WESCO FINANCIAL CORP Form 10-K March 16, 2006

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

# Form 10-K

(Mark One)

b ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005 or

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Transition period from to Commission file number 1-4720

## WESCO FINANCIAL CORPORATION

(Exact name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

Delaware 95-2109453

(State or Other Jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

Incorporation or organization)

301 East Colorado Boulevard, Suite 300,

Pasadena, California 91101-1901

(Address of Principal Executive Offices) (Zip Code)

(626) 585-6700

(Registrant s Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Securities registered pursuant to section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class

Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered

Capital Stock, \$1 par value

American Stock Exchange and Pacific Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None

(Title of Class)

(Title of Class)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes o No b

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act. Yes o No b

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past

90 days. Yes b No o

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer o Accelerated filer b Non-accelerated filer o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No b

The aggregate market value of voting and non-voting stock of the registrant held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of June 30, 2005 was: \$481,193,000.

The number of shares outstanding of the registrant s Capital Stock as of March 2, 2006 was: 7,119,807.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Title of Document Proxy Statement for 2006 Annual Meeting of Shareholders **Parts of Form 10-K**Part III. Items 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14

#### PART I

#### Item 1. Business

**GENERAL** 

Wesco Financial Corporation (Wesco) was incorporated in Delaware on March 19, 1959. Wesco engages in three principal businesses through its direct or indirect wholly owned subsidiaries:

the insurance business, through Wesco-Financial Insurance Company (Wes-FIC), which was incorporated in 1985 and engages in the property and casualty insurance business, and The Kansas Bankers Surety Company (KBS), which was incorporated in 1909, purchased by Wes-FIC in 1996 and provides specialized insurance coverages for banks;

the furniture rental business, through CORT Business Services Corporation ( CORT ), which traces its national presence to the combination of five regional furniture rental companies in 1972 and was purchased by Wesco in 2000; and

the steel service center business, through Precision Steel Warehouse, Inc. ( Precision Steel ), which was begun in 1940 and acquired by Wesco in 1979.

Wesco s operations also include, through another wholly owned subsidiary, MS Property Company (MS Property), management of owned commercial real estate in downtown Pasadena, California. MS Property began its operations in late 1993, upon transfer to it of real properties previously owned by Wesco and by a former savings and loan subsidiary of Wesco.

Since 1973, Wesco has been 80.1%-owned by Blue Chip Stamps (Blue Chip), a wholly owned subsidiary of Berkshire Hathaway Inc. (Berkshire). Thus, Wesco and its subsidiaries are controlled by Blue Chip and Berkshire. All of these companies may also be deemed to be controlled by Warren E. Buffett, who is Berkshire s chairman and chief executive officer and economic owner of 32.3% of its stock. Wesco s chairman, president and chief executive officer, Charles T. Munger, is also vice chairman of Berkshire, and consults with Mr. Buffett with respect to Wesco s investment decisions, major capital allocations, and the selection of the chief executives to head each of its operating businesses, subject to ultimate approval of Wesco s Board of Directors.

Wesco s activities fall into three business segments insurance, furniture rental and industrial. The insurance segment consists of the operations of Wes-FIC and KBS. The furniture rental segment consists of the operations of CORT. The industrial segment comprises Precision Steel s steel service center and other operations. Wesco is also engaged in several activities not identified with the three business segments, including investment activity unrelated to the insurance segment, MS Property s real estate activities, and parent company activities.

INSURANCE SEGMENT

Wes-FIC was incorporated in 1985 to engage in the property and casualty insurance and reinsurance business. Its insurance operations are managed by National Indemnity Company (NICO), which is headquartered in Omaha, Nebraska. To simplify discussion, the term Berkshire Insurance Group refers to NICO, General Reinsurance Corporation, and certain other wholly owned insurance subsidiaries of Berkshire, although Berkshire also includes in its insurance group the insurance subsidiaries that are 80.1%-owned through Berkshire s ownership of Wesco.

Wes-FIC s high statutory net worth (about \$2.2 billion at December 31, 2005) has enabled Berkshire to offer Wes-FIC the opportunity to participate, from time to time, in contracts in which Wes-FIC effectively has reinsured certain property and casualty risks of unaffiliated property and casualty insurers. These arrangements have included excess-of-loss contracts such as super-catastrophe reinsurance contracts which subject the reinsurer to especially large amounts of losses from mega-catastrophes such as hurricanes or earthquakes. Super-catastrophe policies, which indemnify the ceding companies for all or part of covered losses in excess of large, specified retentions, have

been subject to aggregate limits. Wes-FIC has also been party to quota-share reinsurance, under which it shares in premiums and losses proportionately with the ceding company.

Wesco s board of directors has authorized automatic acceptance of retrocessions of super-catastrophe reinsurance offered by the Berkshire Insurance Group provided the following guidelines and limitations are complied with: (1) in order not to delay the acceptance process, the retrocession is to be accepted without delay in writing in Nebraska by agents of Wes-FIC who are salaried employees of the Berkshire Insurance Group; (2) any ceding commission received by the Berkshire Insurance Group cannot exceed 3% of premiums, which is believed to be less than the Berkshire Insurance Group could get in the marketplace; (3) Wes-FIC is to assume 20% or less of the total risk; (4) the Berkshire Insurance Group must retain at least 80% of the identical risk; and (5) the aggregate premiums from this type of business in any twelve-month period cannot exceed 10% of Wes-FIC s net worth. Occasionally, the Berkshire Insurance Group will also have an upper-level reinsurance interest with interests different from Wes-FIC s, particularly in the event of one or more large losses. Although Wes-FIC has no active super-catastrophe reinsurance contracts in force, Wes-FIC may have opportunities to participate in such business from time to time in the future.

Following are some of the more significant reinsurance arrangements in which Wes-FIC has participated:

A quota-share agreement entered into in 1985 whereby Wes-FIC effectively reinsured through the Berkshire Insurance Group, as intermediary-without-profit 2% of essentially all insurance business of a major property and casualty insurer written during a four-year coverage period that expired in 1989. Wes-FIC remains liable for its share of remaining unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses.

A multi-year, quota-share arrangement, entered into in 2000 through NICO, as intermediary without profit, for participation in a pool of certain property and casualty risks written by a large, unaffiliated insurer, under which Wes-FIC s participation increased from approximately 3.3% of certain risks associated with policy years 2000 through 2002 to 6% of certain risks thereafter. The terms of this arrangement were identical to those accepted by a member of the Berkshire Insurance Group, except as to the amount of the participation. This arrangement was commuted (terminated) in the fourth quarter of 2004. Thus, Wes-FIC is no longer liable for any claims or losses, or for adjustments to losses previously recorded, under the contract.

Participation in several risk pools managed by a subsidiary of General Reinsurance Corporation, a Berkshire Insurance Group member, covering hull, liability, workers—compensation and satellite exposures relating to the aviation industry, as follows: with respect to 2001, to the extent of 3% for each pool, with satellite exposures effective June 1; for 2002, 13% of the hull and liability pools, increasing to 15.5% in August, and 3% of the workers—compensation pool (satellite exposures were not renewed in June); for 2003 and 2004, 10% of the hull and liability pools only; and, for 2005, 10% of the hull and liability pools and 5% of the workers—compensation pool. For 2006, the participation in hull and liability pools has increased to 12.5%. Another General Reinsurance Corporation subsidiary provides a portion of the upper-level reinsurance protection to these aviation risk pools, and therefore to Wes-FIC, on terms that could cause some conflict of interest under certain conditions, such as in settling a large loss. Wes- FIC—s exposure to detrimental effects, however, is mitigated because a senior manager of NICO who represents the membership interests of Wes-FIC, and unrelated pool members representing an additional 75% of the hull and liability pools and 90% of the workers—compensation pool who have the same exposures to this potential conflict of interest, have access to information regarding significant losses and thus are able to address conflict issues that might arise.

Wes-FIC is also licensed to write direct, or primary insurance business (as distinguished from reinsurance) in Nebraska, Utah and Iowa, and may write such insurance in the non-admitted excess and surplus lines market in several other states, but the volume written to date has been minimal.

In 1996, Wes-FIC purchased 100% of KBS. KBS, which writes primary insurance, provides specialized insurance coverage to more than 20% of the banks in the United States, mostly small and medium-sized banks in the Midwest. It is licensed to write business in 30 states. Its product line for financial institutions includes policies for crime insurance, check kiting fraud indemnification, Internet banking catastrophe theft insurance, directors and officers liability, bank employment practices, and bank insurance agents professional errors and omissions indemnity, as well as deposit guaranty bonds which insure deposits in excess of federal deposit insurance limits. KBS purchases reinsurance for indemnification against large losses. Through 2005, 50% of a layer of loss exposure was ceded to an unaffiliated reinsurer and the other 50% to the Berkshire Insurance Group, on identical terms. Effective in 2006, the unaffiliated reinsurer declined to renew its contract with KBS. As a result, the Berkshire Insurance Group now reinsures the entire layer of exposure itself. A layer of losses above such layer is 30%-retained by KBS and the other 70% is reinsured by the Berkshire Insurance Group at market prices. In 2005, premiums of \$2.7 million were ceded to the Berkshire Insurance Group and incurred reinsured losses of \$125,000 were allocated to it. In recent years, KBS has retained a greater proportion of the risks it has underwritten. By retaining a larger amount of risk than in the past, Wesco seeks satisfactory operating results over the long term in return for greater short-term volatility.

KBS markets its products in some states through exclusive, commissioned agents, and directly to insureds in other states. Inasmuch as the number of small midwestern banks is declining as the banking industry consolidates, KBS relies for growth on an extraordinary level of service provided by its employees and agents, and on new products such as deposit guaranty bonds, which were introduced in 1993 and currently account for approximately 46 percent of premiums written.

A significant marketing advantage enjoyed by the Berkshire Insurance Group, including Wesco s insurance segment, is the maintenance of exceptional capital strength. The combined statutory surplus of Wesco s insurance businesses totaled approximately \$2.2 billion at December 31, 2005. This capital strength creates opportunities for Wes-FIC to participate in reinsurance and insurance contracts not necessarily available to many of its competitors.

Management of Wesco believes that an insurer in the reinsurance business must maintain a large net worth in relation to annual premiums in order to remain solvent when called upon to pay claims when a loss occurs. In this respect, Wes-FIC and KBS are competitively well positioned, inasmuch as their net premiums written for calendar 2005 amounted to only 2% of their combined statutory surplus, compared to an industry average of 109% based on figures reported for 2004 by A.M. Best Company, a nationally recognized statistical rating organization for the insurance industry. Standard & Poor s Corporation, in recognition of Wes-FIC s strong competitive position as a member of the Berkshire Insurance Group and its unusual capital strength, has assigned its highest rating, AAA, to Wes-FIC s claims-paying ability. This rating recognizes the commitment of Wes-FIC s management to a disciplined approach to underwriting, conservative reserving, and Wes-FIC s extremely strong capital base.

Insurance companies are subject to regulation by the departments of insurance of the various states in which they write policies as well as the states in which they are domiciled and, if applicable, as is the case with KBS, by the Department of the Treasury. Regulations relate to, among other things, capital requirements, shareholder and policyholder dividend restrictions, reporting requirements, annual audits by independent accountants, periodic regulatory examinations, and limitations on the size and types of investments that can be made.

Because it is operated by NICO, Wes-FIC has no employees of its own. KBS has 17 employees. FURNITURE RENTAL SEGMENT

CORT is the largest, and only national, provider of rental furniture, accessories and related services in the rent-to-rent (as opposed to rent-to-own) segment of the furniture industry. CORT rents high-quality furniture to corporate and individual customers who desire flexibility in meeting their temporary office, residential or trade show furnishing needs, and who typically do not seek to

own such furniture. In addition, CORT sells previously rented furniture through company-owned clearance centers, thereby enabling it to regularly renew its inventory and update styles. CORT s network of facilities (in 32 states and the District of Columbia) comprises 91 showrooms, 79 clearance centers and 75 warehouses, as well as four websites www.cort1.com, www.corttradeshow.com, www.relocationcentral.com and www.myrelocationcentral.com.

CORT s rent-to-rent business is differentiated from rent-to-own businesses primarily by the terms of the rental arrangements and the type of customer served. Rent-to-rent customers generally desire high-quality furniture to meet temporary needs, have established credit, and pay on a monthly basis. Typically, these customers do not seek to acquire the property on a permanent basis. In a typical rent-to-rent transaction, the customer agrees to rent furniture for a minimum of three months, subject to extension by the customer on a month-to-month basis. By contrast, rent-to-own arrangements are generally made by customers lacking established credit whose objective is the eventual ownership of the property. These transactions are typically entered into on a month-to-month basis and may require weekly rental payments.

CORT s customer base includes primarily Fortune 500 companies, small businesses, professionals, and owners and operators of apartment communities. CORT s management believes its size, national presence, brand awareness, consistently high level of customer service, product quality, breadth of selection, depth and experience of management, and efficient clearance centers have been key contributors to the company s success. CORT offers a wide variety of office and home furnishings, including commercial panel systems, televisions, housewares and accessories. CORT emphasizes its ability to furnish an apartment, home or entire suite of offices with high-quality furniture, housewares and accessories in two business days. CORT s objective is to build upon these core competencies and competitive advantages to increase revenues and market share. Key to CORT s growth strategies are:

expanding its commercial customer base;

enhancing its ability to capture an increasing number of Internet customers through its on-line catalog and other web services;

making selective acquisitions; and

continuing to develop various products and services.

In order to capitalize on the significant profit potential available from longer average rental periods and the higher average monthly rent typically available for office products, CORT s strategy is to place greater emphasis on rentals of office furniture than on residential furniture. In order to promote longer office lease terms, CORT offers lower rates on leases when lease terms exceed six months. A significant portion of CORT s residential furniture rentals are derived from corporate relocations and temporary assignments, as new and transferred employees of CORT s corporate customers enter into leases for residential furniture. Sales personnel maintain contact with corporate relocation departments and present the possibility of obtaining fully furnished rental apartments as a lower-cost alternative to hotel accommodations. Thus, CORT offers its corporate rental customers a way to reduce the costs of corporate relocation and travel while developing residential business with new and transferred employees. CORT also provides short-term rentals for trade shows and conventions. Its www.corttradeshow.com website assists in providing information to and gathering leads from prospects.

Following four years of disappointing results, CORT began to see improved profitability in 2004. In 2005 this trend continued, with increased lease pricing and improved gross margin outpacing a 3.8% decline in the number of outstanding leases (attributable mainly to non-renewals by customers of a competitor acquired in the latter half of 2004). Wesco management is hopeful that the slight decline in leases is anomalous and that the improvement in gross margin and profitability will continue.

CORT s Relocation Central division (Relocation Central) provides a nation-wide apartment locator service through its websites (www.relocationcentral.com and www.myrelocationcentral.com), customer call centers and walk-in locations. Relocation Central started as a subsidiary of CORT in 2001 and was reorganized as a division of CORT as of yearend 2004. It now relies more on Internet traffic and less on separate, fully staffed facilities. The integration of Relocation Central into CORT was begun in 2003 as part of an ongoing corporate-wide program to reduce operating expenses, including the number of facilities and personnel. While this market has developed more competition during the past two years, Wesco management is hopeful that Relocation Central, which has sustained losses since inception, will enhance corporate operating results in the future by allowing CORT to reach potential customers earlier in the rental cycle. Overall, it is believed that CORT is well positioned to benefit from domestic job growth and any corresponding economic expansion.

The rent-to-rent segment of the furniture rental industry is highly competitive. There are several large regional competitors, as well as a number of smaller regional and local rent-to-rent competitors. In addition, numerous retailers offer residential and office furniture under rent-to-own arrangements. We believe that the principal competitive factors in the furniture rental industry are product value, furniture condition, the extent of furniture selection, terms of the rental agreement, speed of delivery, exchange privileges, options to purchase, deposit requirements and customer service.

The majority of CORT s furniture sales revenue is from its clearance center sales. The remaining furniture sales revenue is derived primarily from lease conversions and sales of new furniture. The sale of previously leased furniture allows CORT to control inventory quantities and to maintain inventory quality at showroom level. On the average, furniture is typically sold through the clearance centers three years after its initial purchase. With respect to sales of furniture through its clearance centers, CORT competes with numerous new and used furniture retailers, some of which are larger than CORT. Wesco management believes that price and value are CORT s principal competitive advantages in this activity.

CORT has approximately 2,270 full-time employees, including 50 union members. Management considers labor relations to be good.

#### INDUSTRIAL SEGMENT

Precision Steel and one of its subsidiaries operate steel service centers in the Chicago and Charlotte metropolitan areas. The service centers buy stainless steel, low carbon sheet and strip steel, coated metals, spring steel, brass, phosphor bronze, aluminum and other metals, cut these metals to order, and sell them to a wide variety of customers.

The service center business is highly competitive. Precision Steel s annual sales volume of approximately 24 thousand tons of flat rolled products compares with the domestic steel service industry s annual volume of approximately 13 million tons of comparable products. Precision Steel competes not only with other service centers but also with mills that supply metal to the service centers. Sales competition exists in the areas of price, quality, availability and speed of delivery. Because it is willing to sell in relatively small quantities, Precision Steel has been able to compete in geographic areas distant from its service center facilities. Competitive pressure has been intensified by imports, a shift to production abroad and an increasing tendency of domestic manufacturers to use less costly materials in making parts.

Precision Brand Products, Inc. ( Precision Brand ), a wholly owned subsidiary of Precision Steel that is also located in the Chicago area, manufactures shim stock and other toolroom specialty items, and distributes a line of hose clamps and threaded rod. These products are sold under the Precision Brand and DuPage names nationwide, generally through industrial distributors. This business is highly competitive, and Precision Brand s sales represent a very small share of the market.

Steel service raw materials are obtained principally from major domestic steel mills, and their availability had generally been good until approximately two years ago, when the market drifted into near chaos caused by shortages. Consolidation and downsizing at the mill level, coupled with an increased use of steel due to a higher level of manufacturing activity, have resulted in extended mill lead times and limitations being placed on order quantities by the producing mills. Precision Steel s service centers maintain extensive inventories in order to meet customer demand for prompt deliveries; typically, processed metals are delivered to the customer within one or two weeks. Precision Brand normally maintains inventories adequate to allow for off-the-shelf service to customers within 24 hours.

The industrial segment businesses are subject to economic cycles and other factors. These businesses are not dependent on a few large customers. The backlog of steel service orders increased to \$6.0 million at December 31, 2005 from \$4.8 million at December 31, 2004.

There are 200 full-time employees engaged in the industrial segment businesses, 40% of whom are members of unions. Management considers labor relations to be good.

# ACTIVITIES NOT IDENTIFIED WITH A BUSINESS SEGMENT

Certain of Wesco s activities are not identified with any business segment. These include investment activity unrelated to the insurance segment, management of owned commercial real property, a portion of which it is redeveloping, and parent company activities.

Six full-time employees are engaged in the activities of Wesco and MS Property.

## **AVAILABLE INFORMATION**

Wesco s Forms 10-K, 10-Q and 8-K, and amendments thereto, may be accessed soon after they are electronically filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), through Wesco s website, www.wescofinancial.com, or the SEC s, www.sec.gov.

#### Item 1A. Risk Factors

In addition to the factors affecting specific business operations identified in connection with the description of these operations and their financial results elsewhere in this report, the most significant factors affecting Wesco s operations are listed below. These factors could cause Wesco s actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking and other statements contained in this report and in the other periodic reports and other filings Wesco makes with the SEC, as well as in news releases, annual reports and other communications that Wesco makes from time to time.

# Wesco is dependent for its investment and all other capital allocation decisions on a few key people.

Investment decisions and all other capital allocation decisions are made for Wesco s businesses by Charles T. Munger, chairman of the board of directors, president and CEO of Wesco, and vice chairman of the board of directors of Berkshire Hathaway, age 82, in consultation with Warren E. Buffett, chairman of the board of directors and CEO of Berkshire Hathaway, age 75. If for any reason the services of those key personnel, particularly Mr. Buffett, were to become unavailable to Wesco, there could be a materially adverse effect on the Company. However, Berkshire s board of directors has identified three current Berkshire subsidiary managers who are capable of being CEO of Berkshire, Wesco s parent company. Berkshire s Board has agreed on a replacement for Mr. Buffett should a replacement be needed currently. Its board continually monitors this matter and could alter its current view in the future. Management of Wesco believes that the Berkshire board s succession plan mitigates this risk.

# <u>Unless Wesco can reinvest a large amount currently invested in cash equivalents at attractive returns, future returns on shareholders</u> equity will probably be less than those of the past.

Wesco s consolidated balance sheet reflects total assets of \$2.7 billion as of yearend 2005. Of that amount, more than \$1 billion has been invested in cash equivalents and fixed-maturity investments since early in 2003. Unless those funds can be attractively reinvested in acquisitions, equity securities or other long-term instruments of the type that have been responsible for the long-term growth of Wesco s shareholders equity, future returns on shareholders equity will probably be less than those of the past. Due to the current size of Wesco and its parent, Berkshire Hathaway, Wesco s opportunities for growing shareholders equity are unlikely to be as attractive as in the past.

# <u>Wesco</u> s Wes-FIC subsidiary is dependent upon the Berkshire Insurance Group for its management and personnel, and for opportunities to participate with the Group in reinsurance contracts representing essentially the entirety of its reinsurance business, as well as a significant portion of its insurance business to date.

Since the incorporation of Wes-FIC in 1985, its insurance and reinsurance business, other than that conducted by its Kansas Bankers Surety subsidiary, has been limited principally to participation with members of the Berkshire Insurance Group in contracts for the reinsurance of risks of unaffiliated property and casualty insurance companies. Wes-FIC s operations are managed by National Indemnity Company, a member of the Berkshire Insurance Group; it has no employees of its own. In the event the Berkshire Insurance Group were to cease operating Wes-FIC s business or to significantly curtail Wes-FIC s participation with it in reinsurance contracts, Wes-FIC would be required to look elsewhere for personnel who would conduct and manage its operations, and/or seek to continue its insurance business in a different manner, possibly by acquisition. Inasmuch as Wesco and its subsidiaries, including Wes-FIC, are also subsidiaries of Berkshire Hathaway through Berkshire Hathaway s 80.1%-ownership of Wesco, Wesco does not foresee a time when Berkshire Hathaway would not continue operating its insurance business.

# Wesco s tolerance for risk in its insurance businesses may result in a high degree of volatility in periodic reported earnings.

Wes-FIC participates with members of the Berkshire Insurance Group in certain reinsurance contracts in which significant risk is periodically assumed. The Berkshire Insurance Group has indicated that it continues to be willing to assume more risk than any other insurer has knowingly taken on. Although Wes-FIC s reinsurance currently in force does not subject it to super-catastrophe risks, it has procedures in place for the immediate acceptance of participations in catastrophic excess of loss reinsurance, which could subject it to large amounts of losses from mega-catastrophes such as hurricanes or earthquakes, if offered to it by the Berkshire Insurance Group, so long as the Berkshire Insurance Group participates in such reinsurance activities to a greater degree. The tolerance for significant losses may in certain future periods result in significant losses. This policy may result in a high degree of volatility in Wesco s periodic reported earnings.

# The degree of estimation error inherent in the process of estimating property and casualty insurance loss reserves may result in a high degree of volatility in periodic reported earnings.

In the insurance business, premiums are charged today for promises to pay covered losses in the future. The principal cost associated with premium revenue is claims. However, it will literally take decades before all losses that have occurred as of the balance sheet date will be reported and settled. Although Wesco believes that loss reserve balances are adequate to cover losses, Wesco will not truly know whether the premiums charged for the coverages provided are sufficient until well after the balance sheet date. Wesco s objective is to generate underwriting profits over the long term. Estimating insurance claim costs is inherently imprecise. Wesco s reserve estimates are subject to revision, so adjustments to reserve estimates can have a material effect on periodic reported earnings.

## Wesco s insurance subsidiaries investments are unusually concentrated.

Compared to other insurers, Wesco s insurance subsidiaries may keep an unusually high percentage of their assets in common stocks and diversify their portfolios far less than is conventional. A significant decline in the stock market or in the price of major investees could produce a large decrease in Wesco s shareholders equity, and could precipitate recognition of such losses in the statement of earnings. Decreases in values of equity investments could have a materially adverse effect on Wesco s book value per share.

## Each of Wesco s operating businesses faces intense competitive pressures.

Each of Wesco s operating businesses faces intense competitive pressures within its respective market. While Wesco s businesses are managed with the objective of achieving sustainable growth over the long term through developing and strengthening competitive advantages, many factors, including market changes and technology, could erode or impede those competitive advantages.

The property and casualty insurance industry is highly competitive. Many insurers price their business more to provide immediate cash flow than profitability. Competition occurs not only with respect to price, but also to service, the ability to adapt to meet needs of customers as changes occur, reputation, and often, the need to satisfy customers expectations that insurers have sufficient capital strength to ensure that they will be viable when called upon to pay large losses in the future. Because of the disciplined underwriting standards of the Berkshire Insurance Group, Wes-FIC does not enter into insurance or reinsurance activities that do not provide the expectation of acceptable underwriting profitability. Thus, the volume of written premiums will continue to vary significantly from period to period.

CORT competes not only with regional and local furniture rental businesses, but also with furniture businesses offering lease purchase or rent-to-own programs, as well as with national, regional and local furniture retailers. Competitive factors include price, furniture style and condition, lease terms, speed of delivery and overall customer service.

Precision Steel s annual sales volume is a small fraction of the domestic steel service industry s. Precision Steel competes not only with other service centers, but also with mills that supply metal to the service centers. Sales competition exists in the areas of price, quality, availability, speed of delivery, and customer service. Competitive pressure has been intensified by imports, a shift to production abroad and an increasing tendency of domestic manufacturers to use less costly materials in making products. Precision Steel s subsidiary s toolroom specialty business also faces strong competition, mainly based on price.

# <u>In addition to the foregoing risk factors inherent in Wesco</u> s operations, Wesco s shareholders face a market <u>liquidity risk because the daily trading volume of Wesco</u> s shares on the American Stock Exchange and Pacific Stock Exchange is relatively low.

In addition to the risks facing Wesco in its business operations, investors wishing to purchase or sell shares of its capital stock face market price risks because the daily AMEX and PSE trading volume of Wesco s shares is relatively low. An order for the purchase or sale of a large number of Wesco shares could significantly affect the price at which the order is executed.

# An investment in Wesco is not an investment in Berkshire Hathaway.

From time to time there is an erroneous report by an analyst or reporter that an investor wishing to purchase Berkshire Hathaway common stock can simply purchase shares of Wesco stock at a lower price. Berkshire Hathaway is the parent of Wesco. Wesco s operations differ significantly from those of Berkshire Hathaway, and its shares may trade at a significantly different price relative to its intrinsic value than do those of Berkshire Hathaway. In addition to the risk factors affecting Wesco s operations, Berkshire Hathaway has risk factors of its own. Investors wishing to invest in shares of

Berkshire Hathaway cannot do so by purchasing Wesco shares. They should carefully read Berkshire Hathaway s published financial statements and filings with the SEC.

# **Special note about forward-looking statements.**

Certain written or oral representations of management stated in this annual report or elsewhere constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, as contrasted with statements of historical fact. Forward-looking statements include statements which are predictive in nature, or which depend upon or refer to future events or conditions, or which include words such as *expects*, *anticipates*, *intends*, *plans*, *believes*, *estimates*, *may*, or *could*, or which involve hypothetical events. Forward-looking statements are based on information currently available and are subject to various risks and uncertainties that could cause actual events or results to differ materially from those characterized as being likely or possible to occur. Such statements should be considered judgments only, not guarantees, and Wesco s management assumes no duty, nor has it any specific intention, to update them.

Actual events and results may differ materially from those expressed or forecasted in forward-looking statements due to a number of factors. The principal important risk factors that could cause Wesco s actual performance and future events and actions to differ materially from those expressed in or implied by such forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, those reported in this section, but also to changes in insurance laws or regulations, changes in income tax laws or regulations, and changes in general economic and market factors that affect the prices of investment securities or the industries in which Wesco and its affiliates do business.

# **Item 1B.** Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

#### Item 2. Properties

CORT leases 16,212 square feet of office space in a multistory office building in Fairfax, Virginia, which it uses as its headquarters. It has recently exercised its 5 year renewal option and the lease will expire in 2012. Its Relocation Central operation leases 4,280 square feet of office space in a multistory office building in Santa Clara, California, which it uses as its headquarters. The lease was recently renewed for one year and expires in February 2007.

CORT carries out its rental, sales and warehouse operations in metropolitan areas in 32 states and the District of Columbia through 173 facilities, of which 18 were owned and the balance leased as of December 31, 2005. The leased facilities lease terms expire at dates ranging from 2006 to 2015. CORT has generally been able to extend expiration dates of its leases or obtain suitable alternative facilities on satisfactory terms. As leases expire, CORT has been eliminating redundant locations and decreasing the size of its showrooms, which as of year end 2005 ranged in size from 2,500 to 4,000 square feet of floor space. Where locations are desirable, its management has been attempting to combine rental, clearance and warehouse operations rather than retain separate showrooms, because business and residential customers have been increasingly using the Internet. CORT regularly reviews the presentation and appearance of its furniture showrooms and clearance centers and periodically improves or refurbishes them to enhance their attractiveness to customers.

MS Property owns a business block in Pasadena, California situated between the city hall and a large shopping mall. The block s improvements include a nine-story office building that was constructed in 1964 and has approximately 125,000 square feet of net rentable area, and a multistory garage with space for 425 vehicles. Of the 125,000 square feet of space in the office building, approximately 5,000 square feet are used by MS Property or leased to Blue Chip or Wesco at market rental rates. The remaining space is almost fully leased to outside parties, including Citibank (the ground floor tenant), law firms and others, under agreements expiring at dates extending to 2010. Adjacent to the building and garage is a parcel on which MS Property is constructing a multi-story

luxury condominium building; MS Property is seeking city approval of its plans to build another multi-story luxury condominium building on a vacant parcel of land it owns in the next block.

MS Property also owns several buildings that are leased to various small businesses in a small shopping center in Southern California.

Wes-FIC s place of business is the Omaha, Nebraska headquarters office of NICO.

KBS leases 5,100 square feet of office space in a multistory office building in Topeka, Kansas under a lease that expires June 30, 2007.

Precision Steel and its subsidiaries own three buildings housing their plant and office facilities, with usable area approximately as follows: 138,000 square feet in Franklin Park, Illinois; 63,000 square feet in Charlotte, North Carolina; and 59,000 square feet in Downers Grove, Illinois.

# Item 3. Legal Proceedings

Wesco and its subsidiaries are not involved in any legal proceedings that are expected to result in detrimental financial impact material to its shareholders—equity. However, see Note 10 to the accompanying consolidated financial statements for an explanation of an environmental matter involving Precision Steel and one of its subsidiaries that could materially impact consolidated net income in any given fiscal period.

# Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

None.

#### **PART II**

# Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Shareholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Wesco s capital stock is traded on the American Stock Exchange and the Pacific Exchange.

The following table sets forth quarterly ranges of composite prices for American Stock Exchange trading of Wesco shares for 2005 and 2004, based on data reported by the American Stock Exchange, as well as cash dividends paid by Wesco on each outstanding share:

2005

		2005		2004				
	Sales	Sales Price			Sales	Price		
Quarter Ended	High	Low		idends Paid	High	Low		vidends Paid
March 31	\$ 405	\$ 369	\$	0.355	\$ 410	\$ 324	\$	0.345
June 30	388	341		0.355	433	351		0.345
September 30	360	330		0.355	374	339		0.345
December 31	395	339		0.355	419	338		0.345
			\$	1.420			\$	1.380

There were approximately 475 shareholders of record of Wesco s capital stock as of the close of business on February 15, 2006. It is estimated that approximately 4,600 additional Wesco shareholders held shares of Wesco s capital stock in street name at that date.

Wesco did not purchase any of its own equity securities during 2005.

19

## Item 6. Selected Financial Data

Set forth below and on the following page are selected consolidated financial data for Wesco and its subsidiaries. For additional financial information, attention is directed to Wesco s audited 2005 consolidated financial statements appearing elsewhere in this report. (Amounts are in thousands except for amounts per share.)

	_			_		_		
				De	cember 31,			
		2005	2004		2003		2002	2001
Assets:								
Cash and cash								
equivalents	\$	1,194,113	\$ 1,161,163	\$	1,052,462	\$	349,812	\$ 120,784
Investments								
Securities with fixed								
maturities		74,441	94,299		167,390		827,537	924,160
Marketable equity		ŕ	ŕ		ŕ		·	ŕ
securities		884,673	759,658		754,634		626,768	667,262
Accounts receivable		53,987	46,007		60,168		67,425	43,871
Rental furniture		187,572	171,983		163,699		187,480	212,586
Goodwill of acquired		,	,		,		,	,
businesses		266,607	266,607		266,607		266,203	264,465
Other assets		67,118	71,818		73,435		81,750	86,565
		,	,		,		,	,
Total assets	\$	2,728,511	\$ 2,571,535	\$	2,538,395	\$	2,406,975	\$ 2,319,693
Liabilities:								
Insurance losses and loss								
adjustment expenses								
Affiliated business	\$	19,697	\$ 14,910	\$	67,416	\$	50,299	\$ 47,000
<b>Unaffiliated business</b>		42,283	41,252		35,110		22,766	14,879
Unearned insurance								
premiums								
Affiliated business		12,301	14,118		8,646		23,115	13,594
Unaffiliated business		16,092	11,223		20,347		25,566	11,303
Deferred furniture rental								
income and security								
deposits		22,204	20,358		19,835		21,562	23,796
Accounts payable and								
accrued expenses		52,587	51,501		48,931		45,122	37,410
Notes payable		42,300	29,225		12,679		32,481	33,649
Income taxes payable,								
principally deferred		290,615	272,005		247,241		227,902	225,665
Total liabilities	\$	498,079	\$ 454,592	\$	460,205	\$	448,813	\$ 407,296
Shareholders equity:								
Capital stock and								
additional paid-in capital	\$	33,324	\$ 33,324	\$	33,324	\$	30,439	\$ 30,439

427,690

426,542

374,571

256,710

372,267

Edgar Filing: WESCO FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

Unrealized appreciation of investments, net of taxes					
Retained earnings	1,940,398	1,655,929	1,618,324	1,553,152	1,509,691
Total shareholders equity	\$ 2,230,432	\$ 2,116,943	\$ 2,078,190	\$ 1,958,162	\$ 1,912,397
Per capital share	\$ 313.27	\$ 297.33	\$ 291.89	\$ 275.03	\$ 268.60
20					

# Year Ended December 31,

	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001
Revenues:					
Furniture rentals	\$ 303,485	\$ 275,378	\$ 275,949	\$ 309,341	\$ 329,406
Sales and service revenues	 141,749	 139,130	 130,301	 127,758	 114,222
Insurance premiums earned	- 1-,, 12	,		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	1,
Affiliated business	17,032	19,371	47,818	23,768	22,865
Unaffiliated business	32,450	35,218	58,833	40,859	20,166
Dividend and interest income	56,792	36,844	44,763	70,652	70,981
Realized net investment gains	333,241	,	53,466	,	,
Other	3,541	3,372	3,187	3,299	3,439
	888,290	509,313	614,317	575,677	561,079
Costs and expenses:					
Cost of products and services					
sold	153,402	146,783	144,725	145,677	144,712
Insurance losses and loss					
adjustment expenses					
Affiliated business	11,990	(2,251)	34,599	17,666	26,803
Unaffiliated business	9,482	22,209	27,703	21,157	6,774
Insurance underwriting expenses					
Affiliated business	6,611	6,646	9,490	8,703	7,894
Unaffiliated business	6,832	5,458	10,705	11,210	5,211
Selling, general and					
administrative	262,594	261,434	278,090	288,353	276,712
Interest expense	1,575	799	749	1,994	4,169
Goodwill amortization					7,476
	452,486	441,078	506,061	494,760	479,751
Income before income taxes and					
minority interest	435,804	68,235	108,256	80,917	81,328
Income taxes	141,225	20,808	34,852	28,199	28,792
Minority interest in net loss of subsidiary			(1,307)		
Net income	\$ 294,579	\$ 47,427	\$ 74,711	\$ 52,718	\$ 52,536
Amounts per capital share:					
Net income	\$ 41.37	\$ 6.66	\$ 10.49	\$ 7.40	\$ 7.38
Cash dividends	1.42	1.38	1.34	1.30	1.26

The reinsurance activities of Wesco s insurance segment are managed by Berkshire Hathaway s National Indemnity Company (NICO) subsidiary and represent participations in contracts in which NICO and other members of the Berkshire Insurance Group also participate. Financial information associated with these participations is identified in Wesco s consolidated financial statements, as well as in Item 6, Selected Financial Data, as affiliated business.

# Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations In reviewing this item, attention is directed to Item 6, Selected Financial Data, and Item 1, Business.

#### **OVERVIEW**

The principal goal of Wesco s management is to maximize gain in Wesco s intrinsic business value per share over the long term. Accounting consequences do not influence business decisions. Nor do fluctuations in annual net income. To accomplish desired growth, a high priority is placed on the purchases of companies having excellent economic characteristics, run by outstanding managers. Management strives also to invest in common stocks of outstanding publicly traded companies at prices deemed reasonable. In the event that such investments are not available, as has often been the case in recent years, capital is preserved through investments principally in high-quality cash equivalents and securities of the U.S. Government and its agencies.

Wesco s operating businesses are managed on a decentralized basis. There are essentially no centralized or integrated business functions (such as sales, marketing, purchasing, legal or human resources) and there is minimal involvement by Wesco s management in the day-to-day business activities of the operating businesses. Wesco s chairman, president and chief executive officer, Charles T. Munger, is also vice chairman of Berkshire, and consults with Warren E. Buffett, chairman and chief executive officer of Berkshire, with respect to Wesco s investment decisions, major capital allocations, and the selection of the chief executives to head each of Wesco s operating units, subject to ultimate approval of Wesco s Board of Directors.

The operations of Wesco s Wesco-Financial Insurance Company (Wes-FIC) subsidiary are managed by Berkshire Hathaway s National Indemnity Company (NICO) subsidiary. Wes-FIC participates principally in reinsurance contracts in which NICO and other Berkshire Hathaway insurance subsidiaries participate in the reinsurance of property and casualty risks of unaffiliated insurance companies. Terms of Wes-FIC s participation are essentially identical to those by which the other Berkshire Hathaway insurance subsidiaries participate, except as to the percentages of participation (see Item 1, Business, for further information). Financial information relative to these participations appearing in Item 6, Selected Financial Data, and in Wesco s consolidated financial statements, is identified as affiliated business.

#### Financial Condition

Wesco continues to have a strong balance sheet at December 31, 2005, with relatively low debt and no hedging. Liquidity, which has traditionally been high, has been even higher than usual for the past three years following sales, maturities and redemptions of fixed-maturity investments, the proceeds from which have not yet been reinvested for the long term.

Wesco s equity investments are in strong, well-known companies. The practice of concentrating in a few issues, rather than diversifying, follows the investment philosophy of the chairmen-CEOs of Wesco and its parent, Berkshire Hathaway, who consult with respect to Wesco s investments and major capital allocations.

# Results of Operations

Wesco s consolidated net income for 2005 included realized investment gains of \$333.2 million (\$216.6 million, after income taxes) resulting principally from the exchange of common shares of the Gillette Company ( Gillette ) for common shares of The Procter & Gamble Company ( PG ) in connection with PG s acquisition of Gillette in the fourth quarter of 2005. Realized investment gains or losses have been an element of Wesco s net income for a number of years. The varying effect upon Wesco s pre-tax and net income is evident on the face of the consolidated statement of income. The amount of realized gain or loss has no predictive value and variations in amount from period to period have no practical analytical value, particularly in view of the existence of substantial unrealized price appreciation in Wesco s consolidated investment portfolio at each balance sheet date.

Wesco s 2005 after-tax income, excluding realized investment gains, increased by \$30.5 million for the year, due mainly to improved operating results of the furniture rental business and increased 22

investment income earned by the insurance businesses, partially offset by decreased net underwriting gains. FINANCIAL CONDITION

Wesco s shareholders equity at December 31, 2005 was \$2.23 billion (\$313.27 per share), up \$113 million from the \$2.12 billion (\$297.33 per share) at December 31, 2004. Wesco s consolidated balance sheet reflects investments carried at fair values, with unrealized appreciation, net of deemed applicable income taxes, included as a component of shareholders equity. Upon completion of PG s acquisition of Gillette on October 1, 2005, the after-tax gain of \$216.1 million, which had been included in the unrealized gain component at September 30, 2005, was reclassified to retained earnings, another component of Wesco s shareholders equity. Principally as a result, unrealized appreciation declined from 20.2% of Wesco s shareholders equity at December 31, 2004, to 11.5% at December 31, 2005. These changes have no significant economic effect.

Wesco s consolidated borrowings totaled \$42.3 million at December 31, 2005 versus \$29.2 million at December 31, 2004. Of these amounts, \$42.1 million and \$29.0 million related to a \$100 million revolving line of credit used in CORT s furniture rental business. In addition to this recorded debt, Wesco and its subsidiaries had \$145.2 million of operating lease and other contractual obligations at December 31, 2005, up from \$130.2 million one year earlier. (See the section on off-balance sheet arrangements and contractual obligations appearing below in this Item 7, as well as Note 7 to the accompanying consolidated financial statements, for additional information on debt.)

Wesco s liability for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses at December 31, 2005 totaled \$62.0 million versus \$56.2 million at December 31, 2004. Wes-FIC enjoys Standard & Poor s Corporation s highest rating, AAA, with respect to its claims-paying ability.

#### **RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

Wesco s reportable business segments are organized in a manner that reflects how Wesco s top management views those business activities. Wesco s management views insurance businesses as possessing two distinct operations underwriting and investing, and believes that underwriting gain or loss is an important measure of their financial performance. Underwriting gain or loss represents the simple arithmetic difference between the following line items appearing on the consolidated statement of income: (1) insurance premiums earned, less (2) insurance losses and loss adjustment expenses, and insurance underwriting expenses. Management s goal is to generate underwriting gains over the long term. Underwriting results are evaluated without allocation of investment income.

The consolidated data in the second table in Item 6 are set forth essentially in the income statement format customary to generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). Revenues, including realized net securities gains, are followed by costs and expenses, and a provision for income taxes, to arrive at net income. The following summary sets forth the after-tax contribution to GAAP net income of each business segment insurance, furniture rental and industrial as well as activities not considered related to such segments. Realized net securities gains are excluded from segment

activities, consistent with the way Wesco s management views the business operations. (Amounts are in thousands, *all after income tax effect.*)

#### Year Ended December 31,

	2005	2004		2003
Insurance segment:				
Underwriting	\$ 11,798	\$	14,618	\$ 15,711
Investment income	39,068		26,302	30,925
Furniture rental segment	20,676		5,022	(6,257)
Industrial segment	1,198		1,094	(860)
Nonsegment items other than investment gains	5,233		391	439
Realized investment gains	216,606			34,753
Consolidated net income	\$ 294,579	\$	47,427	\$ 74,711

In the following sections the data set forth in the foregoing summary on an *after*-tax basis are broken down and discussed.

#### Insurance Segment

Wesco engages in both primary insurance and reinsurance of property and casualty risks through Wesco-Financial Insurance Company (Wes-FIC) and The Kansas Bankers Surety Company (KBS). Their operations are conducted or supervised by wholly owned subsidiaries of Berkshire Hathaway Inc. (Berkshire Hathaway), Wesco's ultimate parent company. In reinsurance activities, defined portions of similar or dissimilar risks that other insurers or reinsurers have subjected themselves to in their own insuring activities are assumed. In primary insurance activities, defined portions of the risks of loss from persons or organizations that are directly subject to the risks are assumed. For purposes of the following discussion, the results have been disaggregated between reinsurance and primary insurance activities. Following is a summary of the insurance segment sunderwriting activities. (Amounts are in thousands.)

#### Year Ended December 31,

	2005		2004		2003
Insurance premiums written					
Reinsurance	\$	29,054	\$	24,313	\$ 67,112
Primary		21,199		20,729	19,850
Total	\$	50,253	\$	45,042	\$ 86,962
Insurance premiums earned					
Reinsurance	\$	28,338	\$	34,217	\$ 86,456
Primary		21,144		20,372	20,195
Total		49,482		54,589	106,651
Insurance losses, loss adjustment expenses and underwriting					
expenses		34,916		32,099	82,480
Underwriting gain, before income taxes					

Edgar Filing: WESCO FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

Reinsurance	6,857	17,146	17,166
Primary	7,709	5,344	7,005
Total	14,566	22,490	24,171
Income taxes	2,768	7,872	8,460
Underwriting gain	\$ 11,798	\$ 14,618	\$ 15,711

Under the aviation-related contracts, written premiums for 2005, 2004 and 2003 totaled \$29.1 million, \$26.6 million and \$36.7 million and earned premiums were \$28.3 million, \$28.0 million and \$44.5 million. Of the increases of 9.0% in aviation-related written premiums and 0.5% in earned premiums for 2005, slightly higher volume and improved pricing were responsible for about half; the entry into the workers—compensation pool in 2005 accounted for the remainder. The decreases of 27.2% in written and 37.0% in earned aviation-related premiums for 2004 were attributable mainly to increased competition. Prices in certain aviation markets declined, resulting in fewer opportunities to write business at prices considered acceptable. Reinsurance activities have fluctuated from year to year. The level of business written in future periods will vary, perhaps materially, based upon market conditions and management—s assessment of the adequacy of premium rates.

Until late 2004, Wes-FIC participated in a multi-year contract covering certain multi-line property and casualty risks of a large, unaffiliated insurer under a contract entered into in 2000. That contract was commuted in the fourth quarter of 2004, at which time Wes-FIC paid the ceding company \$43.1 million, representing all unearned premiums, reduced by unamortized costs and expenses. After the commutation, Wes-FIC s obligation to indemnify with respect to any further insurance losses under the contract ceased. Under the contract, there was a net reduction in written premiums of \$2.3 million for 2004, compared with written premiums of \$30.4 million for 2003; earned premiums were \$6.2 million and \$42.0 million, respectively. Volume of premiums written and earned under that contract in 2004 before its commutation were significantly lower than in 2003 due principally to the imposition of tighter underwriting standards with respect to business written.

Written primary insurance increased \$0.5 million (2.3%) for 2005 and \$0.9 million (4.4%) for 2004. These increases were principally due to growth in volume of bank deposit guarantee bonds; this product currently accounts for approximately 45% of Wes-FIC s primary insurance business.

Earned premiums from primary insurance increased \$0.8 million (3.8%) for 2005 and \$0.2 million (0.8%) for 2004 due mainly to the increase in written premiums for deposit guarantee bonds. Premiums are amortized into income ratably over the coverage period, and, therefore, there is often a difference in the relative fluctuation in written versus earned premium from year to year.

Liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses under property and casualty insurance and reinsurance contracts are recorded based upon estimates of the ultimate amounts payable under the contracts related to losses occurring on or before the balance sheet date. Depending on the type of loss being estimated, the timing and amount of loss payments are subject to a great degree of variability and are contingent, among other factors, upon the timing of the claim reporting by cedants and insureds, and the determination and payment of the ultimate loss amounts through the loss adjustment process. Significant judgments and assumptions are necessary in projecting the ultimate amounts payable in the future with respect to loss events that have occurred.

Claims that have occurred as of the balance sheet date have not all been reported, and if reported may not have been settled. The time between the occurrence date and payment date of a loss is referred to as the claim tail. Property claims usually have fairly short claim tails, and, absent litigation, are reported and settled within no more than a few years after occurrence. Casualty losses usually have very long claim tails. Casualty claims are more susceptible to litigation and can be significantly affected by changing contract interpretations and the legal environment, which contributes to extended claim tails. Claim tails for reinsurers may be further extended due to delayed reporting by ceding insurers or reinsurers due to contractual provisions or reporting practices. The loss and loss expense reserves reflected on the consolidated balance sheet include provision for those claims that have been reported (referred to as case reserves) and for those claims that have not been reported, referred to as incurred-but-not-reported (IBNR) reserves. Actual ultimate loss amounts are likely to differ from amounts recorded at the balance sheet date. Changes in estimates, referred to as loss development, are recorded as a component of losses incurred in the period of change. Wes-FIC and KBS do not use consultants to assist in reserving activities.

Reinsurance Wes-FIC s property and casualty loss reserves derive from individual risk, multi-line and catastrophe reinsurance policies. Reserve amounts are based upon loss estimates reported by ceding companies, whose reserving techniques vary. Wes-FIC necessarily relies in part on the judgment of ceding companies management, to which Wes-FIC management may add additional IBNR reserves, which are primarily a function of reported losses from ceding companies and anticipated loss ratios established on an individual contract basis based on Wes-FIC management s judgment as to the likely impact on each contract of major events as they become known or the history of loss reserving by the ceding companies. Ceding companies report minimal detail to their reinsurers, such as data about most individual claims, case and IBNR reserves, dismissals and settlements. Ranges of reserve amounts as a result of changes in underlying assumptions are not prepared.

<u>Primary insurance</u> Property and casualty loss reserves from Wesco s primary insurance activities derive from individual risk policies written by KBS. Reserve amounts are estimated by its management on a case-by-case basis, and estimates of IBNR reserves, which amounted to \$7.1 million at yearend 2005, 2004 and 2003, are based on management s judgment of the impact of current trends and other factors. Because of the low volume of losses reported annually, KBS management is intimately familiar with each, negating the need for extended actuarial studies and broad estimates of the nature typically performed by large primary insurers whose business volume requires such procedures for the development of their loss data. A range of reserve amounts as a result of changes in underlying assumptions is not prepared.

For 2005, 2004 and 2003, reinsurance generated underwriting gains of \$6.9 million, \$17.1 million and \$17.2 million, before income taxes. The 2005 figure reflects estimated losses of \$0.7 million related to Hurricane Katrina, which struck the Gulf Coast of the United States in the third quarter. Included in the 2004 figure was a pre-tax underwriting gain of \$11.0 million (including \$10.7 million in the fourth quarter) associated with the aforementioned commuted contract as well as an estimated loss of \$0.5 million relating to hurricanes that struck the Southeastern United States that year. The underwriting gain for 2003 is net of a pre-tax underwriting loss of \$1.7 million associated with the commuted contract. Excluding underwriting results attributable to the commuted contract, pre-tax underwriting gains from reinsurance amounted to \$6.9 million, \$6.2 million and \$18.8 million for 2005, 2004 and 2003. These figures include favorable (unfavorable) reserve development of \$0.7 million for 2005, attributable to the aviation-related contracts; \$0.6 million for 2004, consisting of favorable development of \$2.6 million relating to a contract whose coverage period expired in 1989, less \$2.0 million of unfavorable aviation-related development; and (\$1.4 million) for 2003, representing \$4.4 million of unfavorable development under the aviation-related contracts, partially offset by \$3.0 million of favorable development relating principally to the contract whose coverage period expired in 1989.

Management believes that underwriting gain or loss is an important measure of financial performance of insurance companies. When stated as a percentage, the sum of insurance losses, loss adjustment expenses and underwriting expenses, divided by premiums, gives the combined ratio. A combined ratio of less than 100% connotes an underwriting profit and a combined ratio of greater than 100% connotes an underwriting loss.

Excluding the results from the contract commuted in 2004, combined ratios from reinsurance activities were 75.9%, 77.8% and 76.2% for 2005, 2004 and 2003, which management considers to have been favorable.

Underwriting results from primary insurance have also been favorable but have fluctuated from year to year as shown in the preceding table, due to timing and volatility of losses incurred. In 2005, pre-tax underwriting results improved by \$2.4 million (30.7%), after having declined by \$1.7 million (16.6%) for 2004. KBS combined ratios, net of unfavorable loss development of \$0.6 million each for 2005 and 2004, and \$1.9 million for 2003, were 58.8%, 74.9% and 65.0% for those respective years.

Wesco s insurers cede minimal amounts of business, and as a result underwriting results may be volatile. Instead of paying reinsurers substantial amounts to minimize risks associated with significant losses, management accepts volatility in underwriting results provided the prospects of long-term underwriting profitability remain favorable. In addition, reinsurance recoverables may ultimately prove to be uncollectible if the reinsurer is unable to perform under the contract. Reinsurance contracts do not relieve the ceding company of its obligations to indemnify its own policyholders.

The income tax provision associated with the insurance segment s underwriting activities for 2005 benefited by \$2.3 million relating to the resolution of an issue raised in an examination of prior year income tax returns by the Internal Revenue Service.

Since September 11, 2001, the insurance industry has been particularly concerned about its exposure to claims resulting from acts of terrorism. It should be noted that the commutation of the multi-line property and casualty contract in 2004 resulted in the return to the ceding company of all remaining liability relating to the terrorist activity of September 11, 2001. In spite of partial relief provided to the insurance industry by the Terrorism Risk Insurance Act, enacted in 2002 and amended by the Terrorism Risk Extension Act of 2005, Wes-FIC is exposed to insurance losses from terrorist events. Although Wes-FIC s (and thus Wesco s) exposure to such losses from an insurance standpoint cannot be predicted, management does not believe it likely that, on a worst-case basis, Wesco s shareholders equity would be severely impacted by future terrorism-related insurance losses under reinsurance or insurance contracts currently in effect.

Other industry concerns in recent years have been exposures to losses relating to environmental contamination and asbestos. Management currently believes such exposures to be minimal.

Following is a summary of investment income produced by Wesco s insurance segment (in thousands of dollars).

# Year Ended December 31,

	2005			2004	2003		
Investment income, before taxes Income taxes	•	55,889 16,821	\$	36,058 9,756	\$	44,118 13,193	
Investment income, after taxes	\$	39,068	\$	26,302	\$	30,925	

Investment income of the insurance segment comprises dividends and interest earned principally from the investment of shareholder capital (including reinvested earnings) as well as float (principally, premiums received before payment of related claims and expenses). Pre-tax investment income for 2005 increased \$19.8 million, or 55.0%, from the corresponding 2004 figure principally due to higher interest rates earned on short-term investments in 2005. Pre-tax investment income declined \$8.1 million, or 18.3% in 2004 from the corresponding 2003 figure principally because proceeds from sales, maturities and early redemptions of long-term, fixed-maturity investments during the first half of 2003 were reinvested in lower-yielding, short-term investments and cash equivalents.

The increases in short-term interest rates in the United States since mid-2004 should result in increased earnings from such investments in 2006 periods when compared to 2005 periods. Management continues to seek to invest cash balances in the purchase of businesses and in long-term equity holdings. However, absent such opportunities, investment income may remain relatively low.

Wesco s insurance subsidiaries, as a matter of practice, maintain liquidity in amounts which exceed by wide margins expected near-term requirements for payment of claims and expenses. As a result, it would be unlikely that any unanticipated payment of claims or expenses would require the liquidation of investments at a loss. Wesco does not attempt to match long-term investment maturities to estimated durations of claim liabilities. Reference is made to the table of contractual obligations appearing elsewhere in this Item 7 for an indication of the periods in which the insurance segment s losses and loss expenses are expected to be paid.

#### Furniture Rental Segment

Following is a summary of the results of operations of CORT Business Services Corporation ( CORT ), Wesco s furniture rental segment. (Amounts are in thousands.)

## Year Ended December 31,

	2005		2004		2003
Revenues:					
Furniture rentals	\$	303,485	\$	275,378	\$ 275,949
Furniture sales		72,394		67,772	68,253
Apartment locator fees		8,021		10,844	15,910
		383,900		353,994	360,112
Cost of rentals, sales and fees		102,032		96,266	105,998
Selling, general and administrative expenses		250,542		249,319	265,799
Interest expense		1,575		799	749
		354,149		346,384	372,546
Income (loss) before income taxes and minority interest		29,751		7,610	(12,434)
Income taxes		9,075		2,588	(4,870)
Minority interest in net loss of subsidiary					(1,307)
Segment net income (loss)	\$	20,676	\$	5,022	\$ (6,257)

Furniture rental revenues for 2005 increased \$28.1 million, or 10.2%, from those of 2004, after being relatively flat from those reported for the previous year. Excluding \$27.5 million, \$31.7 million and \$25.9 million of rental revenues from trade shows and locations not in operation throughout each year, rental revenues for 2005 increased 8.4% from those of 2004; this followed a decline of approximately 3% in the preceding year. The number of furniture leases at yearend 2005 was about 3.8% lower than at yearend 2004 after having increased about 11.3% from year end 2003. Despite the net decrease in furniture leases at the end of 2005, attributed mainly to non-renewals by customers of a competitor acquired in the latter half of 2004, the average rental revenue received per unit leased increased 5.1%. Management is cautiously optimistic about further improvements in the furniture business.

Furniture sales revenues increased 6.8% in 2005 after decreases of 0.7% and 6.3% in 2004 and 2003 from those of each previous year. The increase is attributed principally to continued efforts to reduce inventory.

Apartment locator fees for 2005 decreased \$2.8 million, or 26%, from those reported for 2004, after decreasing \$5.1 million or 32% from those for 2003. Since late in 2003, the apartment locator operations have undergone reorganization in order to reduce operating losses. Some of their walk-in facilities have been merged into the furniture rental facilities, and others have been closed, resulting in significant cost and expense reductions. The reduction in revenues has been more than offset by a reduction in related costs and expenses.

Cost of rentals, sales and fees amounted to 26.6% of revenues for 2005 versus 27.2% for 2004 and 29.4% for 2003. The decrease in costs as a percentage of revenues is principally due to revenue growth and an improvement in revenue mix, with a larger percentage of revenue coming from furniture rental, which has a higher margin than furniture retail. Costs of generating apartment locator fees were \$7.0 million in 2005, down from \$9.4 million in 2004 and \$15.1 million in 2003. Excluding such costs, segment costs for furniture rentals and sales were 25.3% in 2005, 25.3% in 2004 and 26.4% in 2003.

Selling, general, administrative and interest expenses (operating expenses) for the segment were \$250.5 million for 2005, up 0.5% from the \$249.3 million incurred for 2004, following a decrease of 6.2% from the \$266.5 million incurred for 2003. Total operating expenses remained relatively stable, even with the growth of revenues, due to aggressive restructuring of the apartment locator-related expenses, employee headcount management, and substantial improvements in occupancy expenses, offset by an increase in transportation and storage costs primarily associated with the increase in the cost of fuel. Included in the operating expenses are apartment locator-related expenses of \$9.0 million in 2005, an 18% reduction from the \$11.0 million recorded in 2004, which declined from \$16.6 million in 2003. Operating expenses as a percentage of revenues decreased to 65.7% in 2005 from 70.7% in 2004 and 74.0% in 2003.

Furniture rental segment net income amounted to \$20.7 million in 2005, versus \$5.0 million in 2004 and a loss of \$6.3 million in 2003. The \$15.7 million improvement is principally associated with the increase in revenues and the continued focus on reducing operating expenses.

The furniture segment s tax provision and net income for the year ended December 31, 2005 benefited by \$2.1 million relating to an adjustment of a prior year tax reserve.

The minority interest in net loss of subsidiary of \$1.3 million in 2003 relates to a period of several months during which the apartment locator operation was an 80%-owned subsidiary of CORT. (See Note 7 to the accompanying consolidated financial statements for further information.)

Hurricanes Katrina and Rita, which occurred late in the third quarter of the current year, are believed not to have materially affected the furniture rental segment based on information currently available.

#### **Industrial Segment**

Following is a summary of the results of operations of the industrial segment, consisting of the businesses of Precision Steel and its subsidiaries. (Amounts are in thousands.)

Voor	Endad	Decembe	r 21
r ear	Lnaea	Decembe	г эі.

	2005		2004		2003
Revenues, principally sales and services	\$	61,334	\$	60,514	\$ 46,138
Income (loss) before income taxes Income taxes	\$	2,056 858	\$	1,758 664	\$ (1,531) (671)
Segment net income (loss)	\$	1,198	\$	1,094	\$ (860)

For several years prior to 2004, the operations of the industrial segment suffered due to various factors including the following: a prolonged economic downturn, a shift of production by many manufacturers from domestic to overseas facilities, periodic shortages of product from domestic mills, and extraordinary competitive pressures. Not only was there a decline in the number of orders placed, but there was also a trend towards smaller-sized orders. The severity of the impact on the business is demonstrated by the fact that average annual segment revenues for the years 2001 through 2003 were down 27% from those reported for 1998 through 2000.

At the beginning of 2004, a shortage of raw materials from domestic mills produced near chaos in the steel service industry. Customers wishing to avoid running out of stock accelerated their purchasing, exacerbating the tumult. As the year progressed, domestic steel mills were operating at capacity and imported steel was not readily available. These and other factors enabled the mills to raise prices, place limits on order quantities and extend delivery times. Precision Steel reacted by passing the price increases, plus normal mark-ups, on to its customers. Thus, for the year 2004, pounds of steel products sold increased 14.5% and segment revenues increased \$14.4 million (31.2%); pre-tax and net income increased \$3.3 million and \$2.0 million, respectively.

Throughout 2005, supplies of product from domestic mills have become more readily available, demand has softened, competitive pressures have increased, and mills have lowered prices, although such prices remain approximately 40% higher than they were two years earlier. Segment revenues for 2005 increased \$0.8 million, or 1.4%, from those of 2004, despite a year-to-year 8.6% decrease in pounds of steel sold. For 2005, pre-tax and net income increased \$0.3 million and \$0.1 million, respectively. Precision Steel s cost of products sold percentage is very sensitive to current changes in the cost of materials purchased, because it carries its inventories at the lower of last-in, first-out ( LIFO ) cost or market; under this method, the most recent costs are reflected in cost of products sold. Cost of products sold included adverse LIFO inventory accounting adjustments of \$0.3 million (\$0.2 million, after income taxes) for 2005 and \$2.9 million (\$1.8 million, after income taxes) for 2004. LIFO adjustments were insignificant for 2003. Despite these adjustments, cost of sales, which have tended to fluctuate from year to year, amounted to 83.8%, 83.5% and 83.9% of revenues for those years, reflecting mainly customers—acceptance of price increase in view of the metal shortages. Despite improved results in 2005 and 2004, management is concerned that the steel warehouse business may revert to the difficult times that prevailed prior to 2004.

As explained in Note 10 to the consolidated financial statements, Precision Steel and a subsidiary are involved in environmental litigation, the ultimate cost of which is difficult to estimate. The foregoing segment operating results reflect estimated costs plus legal fees for this matter for each of the past three years, less insurance reimbursements received in the two most recent years, as follows: a net benefit of \$0.1 million (\$0.0 million, after taxes) for 2005, net costs of \$0.4 million (\$0.2 million, after taxes) for 2004, and costs of \$1.2 million (\$0.7 million, after taxes) for 2003. Had it not been for the costs incurred in connection with the environmental matter in 2004 and 2003, pre-tax and net income reported for the industrial segment for 2005 would not have differed significantly from those of 2004; however, for 2003, the pre-tax and net losses reported by the industrial segment would have been \$0.3 million and \$0.2 million, respectively.

Management anticipates that additional provisions and legal fees with respect to environmental remediation may be required in the future. However, as of December 31, 2005, it was not possible to reasonably estimate the amount, if any, of additional costs or a range of costs, that may be required in connection with the matter. Although management does not anticipate that the ultimate impact of such provisions and costs, net of future insurance recoveries, if any, will be material in relation to Wesco s shareholders equity, it believes that the effect on industrial segment and consolidated net income in any given period could be material.

#### Unrelated to Business Segment Operations

Set forth below is a summary of items increasing (decreasing) Wesco s consolidated net income that are viewed by management as unrelated to the operations of the insurance, furniture rental and industrial segments. (Amounts are in thousands.)

#### Year Ended December 31,

	2005	20	004	2003
Realized investment gains, before income tax effect	\$ 333,241	\$		\$ 53,466
Income taxes	116,635			18,713
Realized investment gains	\$ 216,606	\$		\$ 34,753
Other nonsegment items  Rental income from commercial real estate  Dividend and interest income  Real estate and general and administrative expenses	\$ 3,541 903	·	3,372 786	\$ 3,187 617 (3,367)
Other items, net	(4,143)	(.	(1)	29
Income before income taxes	301		342	466
Income taxes	(4,932)		(49)	27
Net income from other nonsegment activities	\$ 5,233	\$	391	\$ 439

Management s principal goal is to maximize gain in Wesco s intrinsic business value per share over the long term. Accounting consequences do not influence business decisions. There is no particular strategy as to the timing of sales of investments. Investments may be sold for a variety of reasons, including (1) the belief that prospects for future appreciation of a particular investment are less attractive than the prospects for reinvestment of the after-tax proceeds from its sale, or (2) the desire to generate funds for an acquisition or repayment of debt. Investment gains may also derive from non-cash exchanges of securities for other investment securities as a result of merger activity involving the investees.

Wesco s consolidated earnings contained net realized investment gains of \$333.2 million (\$216.6 million, after taxes) in 2005 and \$53.5 million (\$34.8 million, after taxes) in 2003; no gains or losses were realized in 2004. Of the 2005 figure, \$216.1 million, after taxes, resulted from Wesco s non-cash exchange of common shares of The Gillette Company (Gillette ) for common shares of The Procter & Gamble Company (PG) in connection with the purchase of Gillette by PG in the fourth quarter. The \$332.5 million excess of fair value of the shares exchanged over Wesco s original cost basis was recorded by Wesco as a realized investment gain on October 1, the date of the transaction, as required under generally accepted accounting principles. Although the gain had a material impact on Wesco s reported earnings for the fourth quarter of 2005, there was no effect on shareholders—equity. Wesco carried its investment in Gillette at market value with unrealized gains reflected, net of potential income tax effect, in the net unrealized appreciation component of its shareholders—equity, as of September 30, 2005. Federal income taxes are not currently payable as a result of the exchange.

Other nonsegment items include mainly (1) rental income from owned commercial real estate and (2) dividend and interest income from marketable securities and cash equivalents owned outside the insurance subsidiaries, reduced by real estate and general and administrative expenses plus or minus income taxes related to such items. These taxes do not typically correlate well with total pre-tax income, primarily because dividend income is taxed at a very low rate. Additionally, in the fourth quarter of 2005, Wesco and its MS Property Company subsidiary reduced their

liabilities for deferred income taxes by an aggregate of \$4.9 million. That amount is reflected as a reduction of income tax expense of activities unrelated to business segments, shown in the preceding table, as well as an increase in after-tax income, for the fourth quarter as well as the year.

\* \* \* \*

\* \* \* \*

Consolidated revenues, expenses and net income reported for any period are not necessarily indicative of future revenues, expenses and net income in that they are subject to significant variations in amount and timing of investment gains and losses, as well as other unusual nonoperating items such as a large acquisition. In addition, consolidated revenues, expenses and net income are subject to external conditions such as the September 11, 2001 terrorist activity, which had an immediate impact on Wes-FIC s underwriting results, and changes in the economy, which have significantly affected CORT and Precision Steel in recent years.

Wesco is not now suffering from inflation, but its business operations have potential exposure, particularly in the insurance and industrial segments. Large unanticipated changes in the rate of inflation could adversely impact the insurance business, because premium rates are established well in advance of expenditures. Precision Steel s businesses are competitive and operate on tight gross profit margins, making their earnings susceptible to inflationary and deflationary cost changes; the impact, though not material in relation to Wesco s consolidated net income, may be significant to that of the industrial segment, due particularly to the segment s use of LIFO inventory accounting. OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS AND CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS

Neither Wesco nor any of its subsidiaries has off-balance sheet arrangements other than the unrecorded contractual obligations discussed below. Nor do they have any insurance obligations for which estimated provisions have not been made in the accompanying consolidated financial statements or notes thereto.

Wesco and its subsidiaries have contractual obligations associated with ongoing business activities, which will result in cash payments in future periods. Certain of those obligations, such as notes payable, are reflected in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. In addition, Wesco and its subsidiaries have entered into long-term contracts to acquire goods or services in the future, which are not currently reflected in the consolidated financial statements and will be reflected in future periods as the goods are delivered or services provided. A summary of contractual obligations follows. (Amounts are in thousands.)

Doymonte Duo

			Payments Due								
	Total			2006		2007-2008		2009-2010		Subsequently	
Notes payable, including interest	\$	42,593	\$	42,393	\$		\$		\$	200	
Operating lease obligations		107,733		26,089		40,063		23,980		17,601	
Payment of insurance losses and											
loss adjustment expenses*		61,980		61,980							
Purchase obligations, other than for											
capital expenditures		16,792		14,757		1,831		204			
Construction of condominiums		16,564		16,564							
Other, principally deferred											
compensation		4,143		115		60				3,968	
Totals	\$	249,805	\$	161,898	\$	41,954	\$	24,184	\$	21,769	

<sup>\*</sup>Amounts and timing of payments are significantly dependent on estimates. See Critical Accounting Policies and Practices below.

#### CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND PRACTICES

Wesco s consolidated financial statements are prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ( GAAP ). The significant accounting policies and practices followed by Wesco are set forth in Note 1 to the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

Following are the accounting policies and practices considered by Wesco s management to be critical to the determination of consolidated financial position and results of operations.

Use of Estimates in Preparation of Financial Statements

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (GAAP) requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported revenues and expenses during the period reported upon. In particular, estimates of unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses for property and casualty insurance are subject to considerable estimation error due to the inherent uncertainty in projecting ultimate claim amounts that will be reported and settled over a period of many years. The estimates and assumptions are based on management s evaluation of the relevant facts and circumstances using information available at the time such estimates and assumptions are made. The amounts of such assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses included in the consolidated financial statements may differ significantly from those that might result from use of estimates and assumptions based on facts and circumstances not yet available. Although Wesco s management does not believe such changes in estimates would have a materially adverse effect on shareholders equity, they could produce a material effect on results of operations in a reporting period.

#### Investments

The appropriate classifications of investments in securities with fixed maturities and marketable equity securities are established at the time of purchase and reevaluated as of each balance sheet date. There are three permissible classifications: held-to-maturity, trading, and, when neither of those classifications is applicable, available-for-sale. In recent years, all equity and fixed-maturity investments have been classified as available-for-sale and carried at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses, net of applicable deferred income taxes, reported as a separate component of shareholders equity.

## Rental Furniture

Rental furniture consists principally of residential and office furniture which is available for rental or, if no longer up to rental standards or excessive in quantity, for sale. Rental furniture is carried at cost, less accumulated depreciation calculated primarily on a declining-balance basis over 3 to 5 years using estimated salvage values of 25 to 40 percent of original cost.

# Revenue Recognition

Insurance premiums are recognized as earned revenues in proportion to the insurance protection provided, which in most cases is pro rata over the term of each contract. Unearned insurance premiums are deferred in the liability section of the consolidated balance sheet. Certain costs of acquiring premiums are deferred in other assets on Wesco s consolidated balance sheet, and charged to income as the premiums are earned.

Furniture rentals are recognized as revenue proportionately over the rental contract period; rentals received in advance are deferred in the liability section of the consolidated balance sheet. Related costs comprise the main element of cost of products and services sold on the consolidated income statement and include depreciation expense, repairs and maintenance and inventory losses. Revenues from product sales are recognized upon passage of title to the customer, which coincides with product shipment, delivery or acceptance, depending on the sales arrangement. Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses

Liabilities for insurance losses and loss adjustment expenses represent estimates of the ultimate amounts payable under property and casualty reinsurance and insurance contracts related to losses occurring on or before the balance sheet date. As of that date, some incurred claims have not yet

been reported (and some of these may not be reported for many years); the liability for unpaid losses includes significant estimates for these claims. Liabilities for insurance losses are determined from (1) individual case amounts, (2) reports from ceding insurers, and (3) past experience. Considerable judgment is required to evaluate claims and estimate claims liabilities in connection with reinsurance contracts because of the inherent delays in receiving loss information from ceding companies. As further data become available, the liabilities are reevaluated and adjusted as appropriate. Additionally, reported claims are in various stages of the settlement process. Each claim is settled individually based upon its merits, and some take years to settle, especially if legal action is involved. Actual ultimate claims amounts are likely to differ from amounts recorded at the balance sheet date. Changes in estimates are recorded as a component of losses incurred in the period of change.

Provisions for losses and loss adjustment expenses are reported in the consolidated statement of income after deducting estimates of amounts that will be recoverable under reinsurance contracts. Reinsurance contracts do not relieve the ceding companies of their obligations to indemnify policyholders with respect to the underlying insurance contracts.

#### Goodwill

Goodwill of acquired businesses represents the excess of the cost of acquired entities (principally CORT) over the fair values assigned to assets acquired and liabilities assumed. The Company accounts for goodwill in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, which requires the Company to test goodwill for impairment annually or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying values may not be recoverable. Annual impairment tests are performed in the fourth quarter of each year using a variety of methods that require that certain assumptions and estimates be made regarding economic factors and future profitability. Impairments, if any, are charged to earnings. Prior to 2002, GAAP required the amortization of goodwill.

#### Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Wesco s consolidated balance sheet contains substantial amounts of investments whose estimated fair (carrying) values are subject to market risks. Values of marketable equity securities are subject to fluctuations in their stock market prices, and values of securities with fixed maturities are subject to changes in interest rate levels. Apart from investments, the consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2005 did not contain significant assets or liabilities with values subject to these or other potential market exposures such as those relating to changes in commodity prices or foreign exchange rates. Wesco does not utilize derivatives to manage market risks.

# **EQUITY PRICE RISK**

Wesco s consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2005 contained \$885 million of marketable equity securities stated at market value, up from \$760 million one year earlier. The increase was due to an increase in market values as well as the purchase of securities at cost of \$51.9 million during 2005. The carrying values of Wesco s equity securities are exposed to market price fluctuations, which may be accentuated by the concentration existing in the equity portfolio. (At December 31, 2005, two investments comprised 61% of the carrying value of the consolidated equity portfolio.) In addition, the businesses represented by the equity investments are exposed to risks related to other markets. The two largest holdings of the consolidated group at December 31, 2005 (\$652 million, combined) were common stocks of The Coca-Cola Company and The Procter and Gamble Company, both of which have global operations and thus are subject to changes in foreign currency exchange rates. These and other market risks to which these investees are subject, such as commodity price fluctuations, are required, where material, to be reported upon in the filings these companies make with the SEC, which are available to the public.

Strategically, Wesco strives to invest in businesses that possess excellent economics, with able and honest management, at sensible prices. Wesco s management prefers to invest a meaningful amount in each investee, resulting in concentration. Most equity investments are expected to be held for long periods of time; thus, Wesco s management is not ordinarily troubled by short-term price volatility with respect to its investments provided that the underlying business, economic and management characteristics of the investees remain favorable. Wesco strives to maintain above- average levels of shareholders equity as well as much liquidity to provide a margin of safety against short-term equity price volatility.

The carrying values of investments subject to equity price risks are based on quoted market prices. Market prices are subject to fluctuation and, consequently, the amount realized upon the subsequent sale of an investment may significantly differ from the reported market value. Fluctuation in the market price of a security may result from perceived changes in the underlying economic characteristics of the investee, the relative prices of alternative investments, or general market conditions. Furthermore, amounts realized upon the sale of a particular security may be adversely affected if a relatively large quantity of the security is being sold.

The following table summarizes Wesco s equity price risks as of December 31, 2005 and 2004. It shows the effects of a hypothetical 30% overall increase or decrease in market prices of marketable equity securities owned by the Wesco group on total recorded market value and, after tax effect, on Wesco s shareholders equity at each of those dates. (Amounts are in thousands.)

	<b>December 31, 2005</b>			<b>December 31, 2004</b>				
		Increase Decrease		Decrease	Increase		1	Decrease
Market value of marketable equity securities								
As recorded	\$	884,673	\$	884,673	\$	759,658	\$	759,658
Hypothetical		1,150,075		619,271		987,556		531,760
Shareholders equity								
As recorded		2,230,432		2,230,432		2,116,943		2,116,943
Hypothetical		2,402,943		2,057,921		2,265,076		1,968,810

The 30% hypothetical changes in market values assumed in preparing the tables do not reflect what could be considered best- or worst-case scenarios. Indeed, actual results could be much worse or better due both to the nature of equity markets and the aforementioned concentration existing in Wesco s equity investment portfolio. INTEREST RATE RISK

Wesco s consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2005 contained \$70 million of securities with fixed maturities stated at fair value, down from \$94 million one year earlier. The decrease resulted principally from maturities and redemptions of such securities during the year. Proceeds received were mainly invested in U.S. Treasury Notes and investments maturing within three months (cash equivalents); as a result, cash and cash equivalents on the consolidated balance sheet increased from \$1.16 billion to \$1.19 billion during 2005. Consequently, market value risks with respect to interest-rate movements or otherwise as of December 31, 2005 are considered insignificant.

The fair values of Wesco s fixed-maturity investments fluctuate in response to changes in market interest rates. Increases and decreases in prevailing interest rates generally translate into decreases and increases in fair values. Fair values of Wesco s investments may also be affected by the creditworthiness of the issuer, prepayment options, relative values of alternative investments, the liquidity of the instrument and other general market conditions.

#### FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Certain written or oral representations of management stated in this annual report or elsewhere constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, as contrasted with statements of historical fact. Forward-looking statements include statements which are predictive in nature, or which depend upon or refer to future events or conditions, or which include words such as *expects*, *anticipates*, *intends*, *plans*, *believes*, *estimates*, *may*, or *could*, or which involve hypothetical events. Forward-looking statements are based on information currently available and are subject to various risks and uncertainties that could cause actual events or results to differ materially from those characterized as being likely or possible to occur. Such statements should be considered judgments only, not guarantees, and Wesco s management assumes no duty, nor has it any specific intention, to update them.

Actual events and results may differ materially from those expressed or forecasted in forward-looking statements due to a number of factors. The principal important risk factors that could cause Wesco s actual performance and future events and actions to differ materially from those expressed in or implied by such forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, changes in market prices of Wesco s significant equity investments, the occurrence of one or more catastrophic events such as acts of terrorism, hurricanes, or other events that cause losses insured by Wesco s insurance subsidiaries, changes in insurance laws or regulations, changes in income tax laws or regulations, and changes in general economic and market factors that affect the prices of investment securities or the industries in which Wesco and its affiliates do business.

#### Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Following is an index to financial statements and related schedules of Wesco appearing in this report:

Financial Statements	Page Number(s)
Report of independent registered public accounting firm	40
Consolidated balance sheet December 31, 2005 and 2004	41
Consolidated statement of income years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003	42
Consolidated statement of changes in shareholders equity years ended December 31, 2005,	
2004 and 2003	43
Consolidated statement of cash flows years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003	44
Notes to consolidated financial statements	45-56

Listed below are financial statement schedules required by the SEC to be included in this report. The data appearing therein should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto of Wesco and the independent auditors—report referred to above. Schedules not included with these financial statement schedules have been omitted because they are not applicable or the required information is shown in the consolidated financial statements or notes thereto.

Financial Statement Schedule	Schedule Number	Page Number(s)
Condensed financial information of Wesco December 31, 2005 and 2004, and		
years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003	I	57-58

#### Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

Not applicable, as there were no such changes or disagreements.

#### Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

An evaluation was performed under the supervision and with the participation of the Company s management, including Charles T. Munger, its Chief Executive Officer and Jeffrey L. Jacobson, its Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2005. Based on that evaluation, Messrs. Munger and Jacobson concluded that the Company s disclosure controls and procedures are effective in ensuring that information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports it files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported as specified in the rules and forms of the Securities Exchange Commission, and are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by Wesco in the reports it files or submits under the Exchange Act, as amended, is accumulated and communicated to Wesco s management, including Mr. Munger and Mr. Jacobson, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

There has been no change in the Company s internal control over financial reporting during the fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2005 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

#### MANAGEMENT S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

Wesco s management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act ). The internal control system of Wesco and its subsidiaries is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the preparation and fair presentation of Wesco s published consolidated financial statements. Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including Charles T. Munger, our principal executive officer, and Jeffrey L. Jacobson, our principal financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005 as required by the Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(c). In making this assessment, we used the criteria set forth in the framework in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on that evaluation, we concluded that Wesco s internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2005.

Wesco s independent registered public accounting firm has audited our assessment of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005. Their report appears immediately below.

WESCO FINANCIAL CORPORATION

Pasadena, California March 2, 2006

#### REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of Wesco Financial Corporation Pasadena, California

We have audited management s assessment, included in the accompanying Management s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting, that Wesco Financial Corporation and subsidiaries (the Company) maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005, based on criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Company s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on management s assessment and an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, evaluating management s assessment, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company s principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company s board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, management s assessment that the Company maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005, is fairly stated, in all material respects, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule as of and for the year ended December 31, 2005 of the Company and our report dated March 2, 2006 expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements and financial statement schedule.

Omaha, Nebraska

March 2, 2006

#### Item 9B. Other Information

None.

#### **PART III**

#### Item 10. Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant

The information set forth in the sections entitled Election of Directors, Executive Officers, Director Independence, Committees and Meetings and Code of Business Conduct and Ethics appearing in the definitive combined notice of annual meeting and proxy statement of Wesco for its annual meeting of shareholders scheduled to be held May 11, 2006 (the 2006 Proxy Statement ) is incorporated herein by reference.

#### **Item 11. Executive Compensation**

The information set forth in the section Compensation of Directors and Executive Officers in the 2006 Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference. All such compensation is cash compensation; Wesco neither has, nor is considering having, any stock option plan or other equity compensation arrangement.

#### Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management

The information set forth in the sections Voting Securities and Principal Holders Thereof, Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Section 16(A) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance in the 2006 Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

#### Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

Certain information set forth in the sections Election of Directors, Voting Securities and Principal Holders Thereof, Compensation of Directors and Executive Officers, Board of Directors Interlocks and Insider Participation and Director Independence, Committees and Meetings in the 2006 Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

#### Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

The information set forth in the section Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm in the 2006 Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

#### Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

The following exhibits (listed by numbers corresponding to Table 1 of Item 601 of Regulation S-K) are filed as part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K or are incorporated herein by reference:

- 3a Articles of incorporation and by-laws of Wesco (filed as exhibit 3a to Wesco s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999 Commission File No. 1-4720)
  - 14 Code of Ethics (may be accessed through Wesco s website, www.wescofinancial.com.)
  - 21 List of subsidiaries
  - 31(a) Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (chief executive officer)
  - 31(b) Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (chief financial officer)
  - 32(a) Certification Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (chief executive officer)

32(b) Certification Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (chief financial officer)

Instruments defining the rights of holders of long-term debt of Wesco and its subsidiaries are not being filed since the total amount of securities authorized by all such instruments does not exceed 10% of the total assets of Wesco and its subsidiaries on a consolidated basis as of December 31, 2005. Wesco hereby agrees to furnish to the Commission upon request a copy of any such debt instrument to which it is a party.

The index to financial statements and related schedules set forth in Item 8 of this report is incorporated herein by reference.

#### **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

WESCO FINANCIAL CORPORATION

By: /s/ Charles T. Munger

Charles T. Munger March 2, 2006

Chairman of the Board and President (principal executive officer)

By: /s/ Jeffrey L. Jacobson

Jeffrey L. Jacobson March 2, 2006

Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (principal financial and accounting officer)

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

/s/ Carolyn H. Carlburg Carolyn H. Carlburg Director	March 2, 2006
/s/ Robert E. Denham Robert E. Denham Director	March 2, 2006
/s/ Robert T. Flaherty Robert T. Flaherty Director	March 2, 2006
/s/ Peter D. Kaufman Peter D. Kaufman Director	March 2, 2006
/s/ Charles T. Munger Charles T. Munger Director	March 2, 2006
40	

#### REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of Wesco Financial Corporation Pasadena, California

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Wesco Financial Corporation and subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2005 and 2004, and the related consolidated statements of income, changes in shareholders equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2005. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 8. These financial statements and financial statements chedule are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Wesco Financial Corporation and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2005 and 2004, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2005, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, such financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated March 2, 2006 expressed an unqualified opinion on management's assessment of the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting and an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Omaha, Nebraska

March 2, 2006

# WESCO FINANCIAL CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

## December 31,

		2005	2004
ASSETS			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	1,194,113	\$ 1,161,163
Investments:			
Securities with fixed maturities		74,441	94,299
Marketable equity securities		884,673	759,658
Accounts receivable		53,987	46,007
Rental furniture		187,572	171,983
Goodwill of acquired businesses		266,607	266,607
Other assets		67,118	71,818
	\$	2,728,511	\$ 2,571,535
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDE	RS	<b>EQUITY</b>	
Insurance losses and loss adjustment expenses			
Affiliated business	\$	19,697	\$ 14,910
Unaffiliated business		42,283	41,252
Unearned insurance premiums			
Affiliated business		12,301	14,118
Unaffiliated business		16,092	11,223
Deferred furniture rental income and security deposits		22,204	20,358
Accounts payable and accrued expenses		52,587	51,501
Notes payable		42,300	29,225
Income taxes payable, principally deferred		290,615	272,005
		498,079	454,592
Shareholders equity:			
Capital stock, \$1 par value authorized, 7,500,000 shares; issued			
and outstanding, 7,119,807 shares		7,120	7,120
Additional paid-in capital		26,204	26,204
Unrealized appreciation of investments, net of taxes		256,710	427,690
Retained earnings		1,940,398	1,655,929
Total shareholders equity		2,230,432	2,116,943
	\$	2,728,511	\$ 2,571,535

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

# WESCO FINANCIAL CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF INCOME

(Dollar amounts in thousands except for amounts per share)

# Year Ended December 31,

	2005	2004	2003
Revenues:			
Furniture rentals	\$ 303,485	\$ 275,378	\$ 275,949
Sales and service revenues	141,749	139,130	130,301
Insurance premiums earned			
Affiliated business	17,032	19,371	47,818
Unaffiliated business	32,450	35,218	58,833
Dividend and interest income	56,792	36,844	44,763
Realized investment gains	333,241		53,466
Other	3,541	3,372	3,187
	888,290	509,313	614,317
Costs and expenses:			
Cost of products and services sold	153,402	146,783	144,725
Insurance losses and loss adjustment expenses			
Affiliated business	11,990	(2,251)	34,599
Unaffiliated business	9,482	22,209	27,703
Insurance underwriting expenses			
Affiliated business	6,611	6,646	9,490
Unaffiliated business	6,832	5,458	10,705
Selling, general and administrative expenses	262,594	261,434	278,090
Interest expense	1,575	799	749
	452,486	441,078	506,061
Income before income toyog and minority interest	435,804	68,235	108,256
Income before income taxes and minority interest Income taxes	141,225	20,808	34,852
Minority interest in net loss of subsidiary	141,223	20,000	(1,307)
Willionty interest in het 1055 of Subsidiary			(1,507)
Net income	\$ 294,579	\$ 47,427	\$ 74,711
Amounts per capital share based on 7,119,807 shares outstanding throughout each year:			
Net income	\$ 41.37	\$ 6.66	\$ 10.49
Cash dividends	1.42	1.38	1.34

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

# WESCO FINANCIAL CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

## Shareholders Equity

	Capital Stock	Additional Paid In Capital	Unrealized Appreciation of Investments		Appreciation Retained  of Earnings		Total	Total Compre- hensive Income
Balance, December 31,								
2002	\$ 7,120	\$ 23,319	\$	374,571	\$ 1,553,152	\$	1,958,162	
Net income					74,711		74,711	
Increase in unrealized appreciation								