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## Safe Harbor Statement under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.

This Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements about U.S. Bancorp. Statements that are not historical or current facts, including statements about beliefs and expectations, are forward-looking statements. These statements often include the words may, could, would, should, believes, expects, anticipates, estimates, intends, potentially, probably, projects, outlook or similar expressions. These forward-looking statements cover, among oth things, anticipated future revenue and expenses and the future plans and prospects of the Company. Forward-looking statements involve inherent risks and uncertainties, and important factors could cause actual results to differ materially from those anticipated, including changes in general business and economic conditions, changes in interest rates, legal and regulatory developments, increased competition from both banks and non-banks, changes in customer behavior and preferences, effects of mergers and acquisitions and related integration, and effects of critical accounting policies and judgments. For discussion of these and other risks that may cause actual results to differ from expectations, refer to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005, on file with the Securities and Exchange Commission, including the sections entitled Risk Factors and Corporate Risk Profile. Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made, and the Company undertakes no obligation to update them in light of new information or future events.
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Table 1 Selected Financial Data

| (Dollars and Shares in Millions, Except Per Share Data) | Three Months Ended September 30, |  |  | Nine Months Ended September 30, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 | 2005 | Percent Change | 2006 | 2005 | Percent Change |
| Condensed Income Statement |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net interest income (taxable-equivalent basis)(a) | \$1,673 | \$1,791 | (6.6)\% | \$5,095 | \$5,303 | (3.9)\% |
| Noninterest income | 1,748 | 1,575 | 11.0 | 5,114 | 4,556 | 12.2 |
| Securities gains (losses), net |  | 1 | * | 3 | (57) | * |
| Total net revenue | 3,421 | 3,367 | 1.6 | 10,212 | 9,802 | 4.2 |
| Noninterest expense | 1,538 | 1,473 | 4.4 | 4,568 | 4,399 | 3.8 |
| Provision for credit losses | 135 | 145 | (6.9) | 375 | 461 | (18.7) |
| Income before taxes | 1,748 | 1,749 | (.1) | 5,269 | 4,942 | 6.6 |
| Taxable-equivalent adjustment | 13 | 9 | 44.4 | 34 | 23 | 47.8 |
| Applicable income taxes | 532 | 586 | (9.2) | 1,678 | 1,573 | 6.7 |
| Net income | \$1,203 | \$1,154 | 4.2 | \$3,557 | \$3,346 | 6.3 |

Net income applicable to common equity
$\$ 1,187 \quad \$ 1,154$
2.9
$\$ 3,524 \quad \$ 3,346$
5.3

Per Common Share

| Earnings per share | $\$ .67$ | $\$ .63$ | $6.3 \%$ | $\$ 1.98$ | $\$ 1.82$ | $8.8 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Diluted earnings per share | .66 | .62 | 6.5 | 1.95 | 1.80 | 8.3 |
| Dividends declared per share | .33 | .30 | 10.0 | .99 | .90 | 10.0 |
| Book value per share | 11.30 | 10.93 | 3.4 |  |  |  |
| Market value per share | 33.22 | 28.08 | 18.3 |  |  |  |
| Average common shares <br> outstanding | 1,771 | 1,823 | $(2.9)$ | 1,784 | 1,836 | $(2.8)$ |
| Average diluted common shares <br> outstanding | 1,796 | 1,849 | $(2.9)$ | 1,809 | 1,862 | $(2.8)$ |
| Financial Ratios | $2.23 \%$ | $2.23 \%$ |  | $2.24 \%$ | $2.22 \%$ |  |
| Return on average assets <br> Return on average common <br> equity | 23.6 | 22.8 |  | 23.7 | 22.5 |  |
| Net interest margin <br> (taxable-equivalent basis)(a) | 3.56 | 3.95 |  | 3.68 | 4.00 |  |
| Efficiency ratio(b) | 45.0 | 43.8 |  | 44.7 | 44.6 |  |


| Average Balances |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Loans | $\$ 142,894$ | $\$ 135,283$ | $5.6 \%$ | $\$ 141,059$ | $\$ 131,432$ | $7.3 \%$ |
| Loans held for sale | 2,448 | 2,038 | 20.1 | 2,062 | 1,723 | 19.7 |
| Investment securities | 39,806 | 41,782 | $(4.7)$ | 39,858 | 42,308 | $(5.8)$ |
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| Earning assets | 187,190 | 180,452 | 3.7 | 185,075 | 176,851 | 4.7 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Assets | 214,089 | 205,667 | 4.1 | 212,188 | 201,505 | 5.3 |
| Noninterest-bearing deposits | 28,220 | 29,434 | $(4.1)$ | 28,666 | 29,003 | $(1.2)$ |
| Deposits | 119,975 | 120,984 | $(.8)$ | 120,456 | 120,552 | $(.1)$ |
| Short-term borrowings | 23,601 | 22,248 | 6.1 | 23,398 | 18,313 | 27.8 |
| Long-term debt | 41,892 | 35,633 | 17.6 | 40,462 | 36,016 | 12.3 |
| Shareholders equity | 20,917 | 20,106 | 4.0 | 20,543 | 19,911 | 3.2 |


| September | December |
| ---: | ---: |
| 30, | 31, |
| 2006 | 2005 |

Period End Balances

| Loans | \$144,408 | \$137,806 | 4.8\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Allowance for credit losses | 2,256 | 2,251 | . 2 |
| Investment securities | 39,520 | 39,768 | (.6) |
| Assets | 216,855 | 209,465 | 3.5 |
| Deposits | 120,961 | 124,709 | (3.0) |
| Long-term debt | 41,230 | 37,069 | 11.2 |
| Shareholders equity | 20,926 | 20,086 | 4.2 |
| Regulatory capital ratios |  |  |  |
| Tier 1 capital | 8.8\% | 8.2\% |  |
| Total risk-based capital | 13.0 | 12.5 |  |
| Leverage | 8.3 | 7.6 |  |
| Tangible common equity | 5.4 | 5.9 |  |

* Not meaningful.
(a) Presented on a fully taxable-equivalent basis utilizing a tax rate of 35 percent.
(b) Computed as noninterest expense divided by the sum of net interest income on a taxable-equivalent basis and noninterest income excluding securities gains (losses), net.
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Management s Discussion and Analysis
OVERVIEW
Earnings Summary U.S. Bancorp and its subsidiaries (the Company ) reported net income of $\$ 1,203$ million for the third quarter of 2006, compared with $\$ 1,154$ million for the third quarter of 2005 . Net income of $\$ .66$ per diluted common share in the third quarter of 2006 was higher than the same period of 2005 by $\$ .04$ ( 6.5 percent). Return on average assets and return on average common equity were 2.23 percent and 23.6 percent, respectively, for the third quarter of 2006, compared with returns of 2.23 percent and 22.8 percent, respectively, for the third quarter of 2005. The Company s results for the third quarter of 2006 improved over the same period of 2005, as net income increased by $\$ 49$ million ( 4.2 percent), primarily due to strong growth in fee-based revenues and the benefit of a lower effective tax rate from a year ago. This was offset somewhat by lower net interest income and the additional operating costs of acquired businesses.

Total net revenue, on a taxable-equivalent basis, for the third quarter of 2006, was $\$ 54$ million ( 1.6 percent) higher than the third quarter of 2005, primarily reflecting a 10.9 percent increase in noninterest income, partially offset by a 6.6 percent decline in net interest income. Noninterest income growth was driven by organic business growth and expansion in trust and payment processing businesses. Noninterest income also included a gain related to the sale of equity interests in a card association. These favorable changes in noninterest income were partially offset by lower mortgage banking revenue due to the impact of adopting the fair value method of accounting under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 156 Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets (SFAS 156 ) in the first quarter of 2006.

Total noninterest expense in the third quarter of 2006 was $\$ 65$ million ( 4.4 percent) higher than the third quarter of 2005, primarily reflecting incremental operating and business integration costs associated with recent acquisitions, increased pension costs and higher operating costs related to investments in tax-advantaged projects from a year ago. This was partially offset by lower intangible expense due to the adoption of SFAS 156. The efficiency ratio (the ratio of noninterest expense to taxable-equivalent net revenue excluding net securities gains or losses) was 45.0 percent for the third quarter of 2006, compared with 43.8 percent for the third quarter of 2005.

The provision for credit losses for the third quarter of 2006 decreased $\$ 10$ million ( 6.9 percent), compared with the third quarter of 2005. The decrease in the provision for credit losses year-over-year primarily reflected strong credit quality and the near-term favorable impact of changes in bankruptcy law in the fourth quarter of 2005. Net charge-offs in the third quarter of 2006 were $\$ 135$ million, compared with $\$ 156$ million in the third quarter of 2005 . The decline in credit losses from a year ago was principally due to the impact of changes in bankruptcy legislation that went into effect during the fourth quarter of 2005. Refer to Corporate Risk Profile for further information on the provision for credit losses, net charge-offs, nonperforming assets and factors considered by the Company in assessing the credit quality of the loan portfolio and establishing the allowance for credit losses.

The Company reported net income of $\$ 3,557$ million for the first nine months of 2006, compared with $\$ 3,346$ million for the first nine months of 2005 . Net income of $\$ 1.95$ per diluted common share in the first nine months of 2006 was higher than the same period of 2005 by $\$ .15$ ( 8.3 percent). Return on average assets and return on average common equity were 2.24 percent and 23.7 percent, respectively, for the first nine months of 2006, compared with returns of 2.22 percent and 22.5 percent, respectively, for the first nine months of 2005. The Company s results for the first nine months of 2006 improved over the same period of 2005 , as net income rose by $\$ 211$ million ( 6.3 percent), primarily due to strong growth in fee-based revenues and lower credit-related costs. This was offset somewhat by lower net interest income and incremental operating and integration costs of acquired businesses.

Total net revenue, on a taxable-equivalent basis, for the first nine months of 2006, was $\$ 410$ million ( 4.2 percent) higher than the first nine months of 2005, primarily reflecting a 13.7 percent increase in noninterest income, partially offset by a 3.9 percent decline in net interest income. Noninterest income growth was driven by organic business growth, expansion in trust and payment processing businesses, higher trading income, and equity gains from the initial public offering and subsequent sale of the equity interest of a card association during the second and third quarters of 2006, respectively. These favorable changes in noninterest income categories were partially offset by
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lower mortgage banking revenue due to the impact of adopting SFAS 156, effective in the first quarter of 2006. In addition, there was a $\$ 60$ million favorable change in net securities gains (losses) as compared with the first nine months of 2005.

Total noninterest expense in the first nine months of 2006 was $\$ 169$ million ( 3.8 percent) higher than the first nine months of 2005, primarily reflecting incremental operating and business integration costs associated with recent acquisitions, increased pension costs and higher operating costs related to certain investments. This was partially offset by lower intangible expense due to the adoption of SFAS 156 and lower debt prepayment expense. The efficiency ratio was 44.7 percent for the first nine months of 2006, compared with 44.6 percent for the first nine months of 2005.

The provision for credit losses for the first nine months of 2006 decreased $\$ 86$ million (18.7 percent), compared with the first nine months of 2005. The decrease in the provision for credit losses year-over-year primarily reflected strong credit quality and lower net charge-offs relative to 2005. Net charge-offs in the first nine months of 2006 were $\$ 375$ million, compared with $\$ 472$ million in the first nine months of 2005 . The decline in losses from a year ago was principally due to the impact of changes in bankruptcy legislation that went into effect during the fourth quarter of 2005 offset somewhat by lower commercial loan recoveries relative to the first nine months of 2005. Refer to
Corporate Risk Profile for further information on the provision for credit losses, net charge-offs, nonperforming assets and factors considered by the Company in assessing the credit quality of the loan portfolio and establishing the allowance for credit losses.

## STATEMENT OF INCOME ANALYSIS

Net Interest Income Net interest income, on a taxable-equivalent basis, was $\$ 1,673$ million in the third quarter of 2006, compared with $\$ 1,791$ million in the third quarter of 2005 . Net interest income, on a taxable-equivalent basis, was $\$ 5,095$ million in the first nine months of 2006, compared with $\$ 5,303$ million in the first nine months of 2005. Average earning assets increased $\$ 6.7$ billion ( 3.7 percent) and $\$ 8.2$ billion ( 4.7 percent) in the third quarter and first nine months of 2006, respectively, compared with the same periods of 2005. The increases in average earning assets were primarily driven by growth in total average loans, partially offset by a decrease in investment securities. The positive impact to net interest income from the growth in earning assets was more than offset by a lower net interest margin. The net interest margin for the third quarter and first nine months of 2006 was 3.56 percent and 3.68 percent, respectively, compared with 3.95 percent and 4.00 percent, respectively, for the same periods of 2005 . The year-over-year decline in the net interest margin for the third quarter and first nine months of 2006 reflected the competitive lending environment, asset/liability management decisions and the impact of changes in the yield curve from a year ago. Compared with the same periods of 2005, credit spreads have tightened by approximately 24 basis points in the third quarter and 22 basis points in the first nine months of 2006 across most lending products due to competitive pricing and a change in mix reflecting growth in lower-spread, fixed-rate credit products and noninterest-bearing corporate card balances. The net interest margin also declined due to funding incremental asset growth with higher cost wholesale funding, share repurchases and asset/liability decisions designed to reduce the Company s interest rate sensitivity position. An increase in the margin benefit of net free funds and loan fees partially offset these factors. Beginning in the third quarter of 2006, the Federal Reserve Bank paused from its policies of increasing interest rates and tightening the money supply. If the Federal Reserve Bank leaves rates unchanged over the next several quarters, the Company expects net interest margin to stabilize as asset repricing occurs and funding costs moderate. Refer to the Consolidated Daily Average Balance Sheet and Related Yields and Rates table for further information on net interest income.

Average loans for the third quarter and first nine months of 2006 were higher by $\$ 7.6$ billion ( 5.6 percent) and $\$ 9.6$ billion ( 7.3 percent), respectively, compared with the same periods of 2005, reflecting growth in the majority of loan categories. During the first quarter of 2006, the Company began selling an increased proportion of its residential mortgage loan production and anticipates that residential mortgage loan balances will remain essentially flat in future periods.

Average investment securities in the third quarter and first nine months of 2006 were $\$ 2.0$ billion ( 4.7 percent) and $\$ 2.5$ billion ( 5.8 percent) lower, respectively, than the same periods of 2005 . The change in the balance of the investment securities portfolio from a year ago principally reflected prepayments and maturities of securities and a
reduced focus on residential mortgage assets given the changing interest rate environment and mix of loan growth. Additionally, the Company reclassified approximately $\$ 460$ million of principal-only securities to its trading account effective January 1, 2006, in connection with the adoption of SFAS 156. Refer to the Interest Rate Risk
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Management section for further information on the sensitivity of net interest income to changes in interest rates.
Average noninterest-bearing deposits for the third quarter and first nine months of 2006 decreased $\$ 1.2$ billion (4.1 percent) and $\$ 337$ million ( 1.2 percent), respectively, compared with the same periods of 2005, reflecting a decline in business demand deposits as these customers reduce excess liquidity to fund business growth. The change also reflects a migration of customers to interest-bearing products given rising interest rates.

Average total savings products declined year-over-year by $\$ 1.7$ billion ( 3.0 percent) in the third quarter and $\$ 2.4$ billion ( 4.2 percent) in the first nine months of 2006, compared with the same periods of 2005, due to reductions in average money market savings and other savings accounts, partially offset by an increase in interest checking balances. Average money market savings balances declined year-over-year primarily due to a decline in balances within the branches. This decrease was partially offset by increases in broker dealer and corporate trust balances. The overall decrease in average money market savings balances year-over-year was primarily the result of the Company s deposit pricing decisions for money market products in relation to fixed-rate deposit products offered. A portion of branch-based money market savings accounts have migrated to fixed-rate time certificates to take advantage of higher interest rates for these products.

Average time certificates of deposit less than $\$ 100,000$ were higher by $\$ 604$ million ( 4.6 percent) and $\$ 556$ million ( 4.2 percent) in the third quarter and first nine months of 2006, respectively, compared with the same periods of 2005. Average time deposits greater than $\$ 100,000$ grew $\$ 1.3$ billion ( 6.2 percent) and $\$ 2.1$ billion ( 10.5 percent) in the third quarter and first nine months of 2006, respectively, compared with the same periods of 2005 . This growth was broad-based across most areas of the Company including: branch banking, commercial banking, private client and corporate trust, as customers migrated balances to higher rate deposits.
Provision for Credit Losses The provision for credit losses for the third quarter and first nine months of 2006 decreased $\$ 10$ million ( 6.9 percent) and $\$ 86$ million ( 18.7 percent), respectively, compared with the same periods of 2005. The decrease in the provision for credit losses year-over-year primarily reflected stronger credit quality and the near-term favorable impact of changes in bankruptcy law in the fourth quarter of 2005. Net charge-offs in the third quarter and first nine months of 2006 were $\$ 135$ million and $\$ 375$ million, respectively, compared with $\$ 156$ million and $\$ 472$ million in the third quarter and first nine months of 2005, respectively. The decline in losses from a year ago was principally due to the impact of changes in bankruptcy legislation that went into effect during the fourth quarter of 2005. Refer to Corporate Risk Profile for further information on the provision for credit losses, net charge-offs, nonperforming assets and factors considered by the Company in assessing the credit quality of the loan portfolio and establishing the allowance for credit losses.

Table 2 Noninterest Income

Three Months Ended
September 30,

Nine Months Ended
September 30,

| (Dollars in Millions) | 2006 | 2005 | Percent <br> Change | 2006 | 2005 | Percent <br> Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Credit and debit card revenue | $\$ 206$ | $\$ 185$ | $11.4 \%$ | $\$ 590$ | $\$ 516$ | $14.3 \%$ |
| Corporate payment products revenue | 150 | 135 | 11.1 | 416 | 362 | 14.9 |
| ATM processing services | 63 | 64 | $(1.6)$ | 183 | 168 | 8.9 |
| Merchant processing services | 253 | 200 | 26.5 | 719 | 576 | 24.8 |
| Trust and investment management fees | 305 | 251 | 21.5 | 916 | 751 | 22.0 |
| Deposit service charges | 268 | 246 | 8.9 | 764 | 690 | 10.7 |
| Treasury management fees | 111 | 109 | 1.8 | 334 | 333 | .3 |
| Commercial products revenue | 100 | 103 | $(2.9)$ | 311 | 299 | 4.0 |
| Mortgage banking revenue | 68 | 111 | $(38.7)$ | 167 | 323 | $(48.3)$ |
| Investment products fees and commissions | 34 | 37 | $(8.1)$ | 114 | 115 | $(.9)$ |
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| Securities gains (losses), net |  | 1 | $*$ | 3 | $(57)$ | $*$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Other | 190 | 134 | 41.8 | 600 | 423 | 41.8 |
| Total noninterest income | $\$ 1,748$ | $\$ 1,576$ | $10.9 \%$ | $\$ 5,117$ | $\$ 4,499$ | $13.7 \%$ |

* Not meaningful.
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Noninterest Income Noninterest income in the third quarter and first nine months of 2006 was $\$ 1,748$ million and $\$ 5,117$ million, respectively, compared with $\$ 1,576$ million and $\$ 4,499$ million in the same periods of 2005 . The $\$ 172$ million ( 10.9 percent) increase during the third quarter and $\$ 618$ million ( 13.7 percent) increase during the first nine months of 2006, compared with the same periods in 2005, were driven by favorable variances in the majority of fee income categories and a gain on the sale of equity interests in a card association included in other income. The increase in noninterest income for the first nine months of 2006 also reflected the impact of higher trading income related to certain derivatives and a gain from the initial public offering of a card association recorded in the current year, and a favorable variance in securities gains (losses) of $\$ 60$ million related to net securities losses recorded in the prior year. This strong growth in fee-based revenue was partially offset by the accounting impact of SFAS 156 on mortgage banking revenue.

The growth in credit and debit card revenue was primarily driven by higher customer transaction volumes. The corporate payment products revenue growth reflected organic growth in sales volumes and card usage and acquired business expansion. ATM processing services revenue for the first nine months of 2006 was higher due to the acquisition of an ATM business in May of 2005. Merchant processing services revenue growth reflected an increase in sales volume driven by acquisitions, higher same store sales, rates and equipment fees. Trust and investment management fees increased in the third quarter and first nine months year-over-year, primarily due to the acquisition of the corporate and institutional trust business of a large national bank, account growth and favorable equity market conditions. Deposit service charges grew year-over-year due to increased transaction-related fees and the impact of net new checking accounts. Other income for the third quarter and first nine months of 2006 was higher than the same periods of 2005 due to a $\$ 32$ million gain on the sale of equity interests in a card association and an increase in other equity investment revenue. In addition, other income for the first nine months of 2006 was higher due to a $\$ 44$ million gain on certain interest rate swaps, a $\$ 35$ million gain from the initial public offering of a card association, end-of-term lease residual value improvement, higher student loan sales gains and the receipt of a favorable settlement within the merchant processing business. These favorable changes in fee-based revenue were partially offset by the decline in mortgage banking revenue, principally driven by the adoption of the fair value method of accounting for mortgage servicing rights ( MSRs ) under SFAS 156.
Noninterest Expense Noninterest expense was $\$ 1,538$ million and $\$ 4,568$ million, respectively, in the third quarter and first nine months of 2006, an increase of $\$ 65$ million ( 4.4 percent) and $\$ 169$ million ( 3.8 percent), respectively, from the same periods of 2005. Compensation expense was higher year-over-year in the third quarter and first nine months of 2006, primarily due to the corporate and institutional trust and payments processing acquisitions and other growth initiatives. Employee benefits increased year-over-year primarily as a result of higher pension costs. Net occupancy and equipment expense increased from the same periods of 2005 primarily due to business expansion. Professional services expense was higher year-over-year primarily due to business development initiatives, regulatory and legal costs. Technology and communications expense rose due to higher outside data

Table 3 Noninterest Expense

|  | Three Months Ended <br> September 30, |  | Nine Months Ended <br> September 30, |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Dollars in Millions) | 2006 | 2005 | Percent <br> Change | 2006 | 2005 | Percent <br> Change |  |
| Compensation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employee benefits | $\$ 632$ | $\$ 603$ | $4.8 \%$ | $\$ 1,892$ | $\$ 1,782$ | $6.2 \%$ |  |
| Net occupancy and equipment | 123 | 106 | 16.0 | 379 | 330 | 14.8 |  |
| Professional services | 168 | 162 | 3.7 | 494 | 475 | 4.0 |  |
| Marketing and business development | 54 | 44 | 22.7 | 130 | 119 | 9.2 |  |
| Technology and communications | 58 | 61 | $(4.9)$ | 156 | 171 | $(8.8)$ |  |
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| Postage, printing and supplies | 66 | 64 | 3.1 | 198 | 190 | 4.2 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Other intangibles | 89 | 125 | $(28.8)$ | 263 | 377 | $(30.2)$ |
| Debt prepayment <br> Other | 220 | 190 | 15.8 | 673 | 564 | 19.3 |
| $\quad$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Total noninterest expense | $\$ 1,538$ | $\$ 1,473$ | $4.4 \%$ | $\$ 4,568$ | $\$ 4,399$ | $3.8 \%$ |
| Efficiency ratio (a) | $45.0 \%$ | $43.8 \%$ |  | $44.7 \%$ | $44.6 \%$ |  |

(a) Computed as noninterest expense divided by the sum of net interest income on a taxable-equivalent basis and noninterest income excluding securities gains (losses), net.
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processing expense principally associated with expanding a prepaid gift card program and the corporate and institutional trust acquisition. Other expense increased in the third quarter and first nine months of 2006 from the same periods of 2005, primarily due to the increased investments in tax-advantaged projects relative to a year ago. Offsetting these expense increases was a year-over-year decline in other intangibles expense, reflecting the elimination of MSR amortization and impairment due to the adoption of SFAS 156. Debt prepayment expense was also lower in the first nine months of 2006, compared with the same period of 2005.
Income Tax Expense The provision for income taxes was $\$ 532$ million (an effective rate of 30.7 percent) for the third quarter and $\$ 1,678$ million (an effective rate of 32.1 percent) for the first nine months of 2006, compared with $\$ 586$ million (an effective rate of 33.7 percent) and $\$ 1,573$ million (an effective rate of 32.0 percent) for the same periods of 2005. The effective rate for the third quarter of 2006 reflected an expected increase in income tax credits from tax-advantaged investments through the remainder of the year. For further information on income taxes, refer to Note 9 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

## BALANCE SHEET ANALYSIS

Loans The Company s total loan portfolio was $\$ 144.4$ billion at September 30, 2006, compared with $\$ 137.8$ billion at December 31, 2005, an increase of $\$ 6.6$ billion ( 4.8 percent). The increase in total loans was driven by growth in commercial loans, retail loans, commercial real estate loans and residential mortgages. The $\$ 3.7$ billion ( 8.5 percent) increase in commercial loans was primarily driven by new customer relationships and growth in corporate payment card and commercial leasing balances.

Commercial real estate loans were $\$ 29.0$ billion at September 30, 2006, an increase of $\$ .5$ billion ( 1.8 percent) compared with December 31, 2005. The increase was driven by growth in construction loans, partially offset by a decrease in commercial mortgage balances.

Residential mortgages held in the loan portfolio were $\$ 21.2$ billion at September 30, 2006, an increase of $\$ .5$ billion ( 2.3 percent) compared with December 31, 2005. The growth was the result of an increase in consumer finance originations, partially offset by the Company selling an increased proportion of its residential mortgage loan production in 2006.

Total retail loans outstanding, which include credit card, retail leasing, home equity and second mortgages and other retail loans, were $\$ 47.6$ billion at September 30, 2006, an increase of $\$ 2.0$ billion ( 4.3 percent) compared with December 31, 2005. The increase was primarily driven by growth in installment, credit card and home equity and second mortgage loans, partially offset by decreases in retail leasing balances.
Investment Securities Investment securities, both available-for-sale and held-to-maturity, totaled $\$ 39.5$ billion at September 30, 2006, compared with $\$ 39.8$ billion at December 31, 2005, reflecting purchases of $\$ 5.6$ billion of securities, which were more than offset by maturities and prepayments and the reclassification of $\$ .5$ billion of principal-only securities to the trading account effective January 1, 2006, in connection with the adoption of SFAS 156. As of September 30, 2006, approximately 39 percent of the investment securities portfolio represented adjustable-rate financial instruments, compared with 41 percent at December 31, 2005. Adjustable-rate financial instruments include variable-rate collateralized mortgage obligations, mortgage-backed securities, agency securities, adjustable-rate money market accounts, asset-backed securities and floating-rate preferred stock.
U.S. Bancorp
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Table 4 Investment Securities


| U.S. Treasury and agencies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maturing in one year or less | \$71 | \$72 | . 5 | 5.27\% | \$ | \$ | \% |
| Maturing after one year through five years | 29 | 29 | 2.5 | 7.14 |  |  |  |
| Maturing after five years through ten years | 24 | 24 | 6.8 | 6.91 |  |  |  |
| Maturing after ten years | 331 | 324 | 13.9 | 5.98 |  |  |  |
| Total | \$455 | \$449 | 10.7 | 5.99\% | \$ | \$ | \% |


| Mortgage-backed <br> securities (a) <br> Maturing in one year <br> or less | $\$ 346$ | $\$ 345$ | .9 | $5.33 \%$ | $\$$ | $\$$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maturing after one <br> year through five <br> years | 17,496 | 17,038 | 3.5 | 4.58 | 7 | 7 | 3.1 | 5.75 |  |
| Maturing after five <br> years through ten <br> years | 12,623 | 12,289 | 7.0 | 5.22 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maturing after ten <br> years | 4,513 | 4,535 | 13.1 | 6.46 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Total | $\$ 34,978$ | $\$ 34,207$ | 6.0 | $5.06 \%$ | $\$ 7$ | $\$ 7$ | 3.1 | $5.75 \%$ |  |

## Asset-backed

securities (a)
Maturing in one year $\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { or less } & \$ 7 & \$ 7 & .2 & 5.32 \% & \$ & \$ & \%\end{array}$
Maturing after one year through five years

Maturing after five years through ten years
Maturing after ten
years

| Total | $\$ 7$ | $\$ 7$ | .2 | $5.32 \%$ | $\$$ | $\$$ | $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Obligations of state
and political
subdivisions (b)

| Maturing in one year <br> or less | $\$ 53$ | $\$ 53$ | .3 | $6.94 \%$ | $\$ 3$ | $\$ 3$ | .4 | $6.94 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maturing after one <br> year through five |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| years | 42 | 43 | 2.2 | 6.83 | 20 | 21 | 3.0 | 6.24 |
| Maturing after five <br> years through ten <br> years | 3,276 | 3,332 | 8.9 | 6.76 | 14 | 16 | 7.9 | 7.18 |
| Maturing after ten <br> years | 324 | 330 | 11.1 | 6.74 | 34 | 37 | 15.4 | 6.54 |
| $\quad$ Total | $\$ 3,695$ | $\$ 3,758$ | 8.9 | $6.76 \%$ | $\$ 71$ | $\$ 77$ | 9.8 | $6.59 \%$ |

## Other debt securities

| Maturing in one year or less | \$210 | \$210 | . 2 | 4.46\% | \$2 | \$2 | . 8 | 6.94\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maturing after one year through five years | 1 | 1 | 3.8 | 10.31 | 10 | 10 | 2.9 | 5.75 |
| Maturing after five years through ten years | 15 | 15 | 9.8 | 6.19 | 1 | 1 | 5.5 | 6.09 |
| Maturing after ten years | 627 | 626 | 20.9 | 6.35 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | \$853 | \$852 | 15.6 | 5.89\% | \$13 | \$13 | 2.8 | 5.96\% |
| Other investments | \$151 | \$156 |  | 5.20\% | \$ | \$ |  | \% |
| Total investment securities (c) | \$40,139 | \$39,429 | 6.5 | 5.25\% | \$91 | \$97 | 8.2 | 6.44\% |

(a) Information related to asset and mortgage-backed securities included above is presented based upon weighted-average maturities anticipating future prepayments.
(b) Information related to obligations of state and political subdivisions is presented based upon yield to first optional call date if the security is purchased at a premium, yield to maturity if purchased at par or a discount.
(c) The weighted-average maturity of the available-for-sale investment securities was 6.1 years at December 31, 2005, with a corresponding weighted-average yield of 4.89 percent. The weighted-average maturity of the held-to-maturity investment securities was 7.2 years at December 31, 2005, with a corresponding
weighted-average yield of 6.44 percent.
(d) Average yields are presented on a fully-taxable equivalent basis under a tax rate of 35 percent. Yields on available-for-sale and held-to-maturity securities are computed based on historical cost balances. Average yield and maturity calculations exclude equity securities that have no stated yield or maturity.

|  | September 30, <br> 2006 | December 31, <br> 2005 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (Dollars in Millions) | Amortized <br> Cost | Percent <br> of Total | Amortized <br> Cost | Percent <br> of Total |
| U.S. Treasury and agencies | $\$ 455$ | $1.1 \%$ | $\$ 496$ | $1.2 \%$ |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 34,985 | 87.0 | 38,169 | 94.4 |
| Asset-backed securities | 7, |  | 12 | .1 |
| Obligations of state and political subdivisions | 3,766 | 9.4 | 724 | 1.8 |
| Other debt securities and investments | 1,017 | 2.5 | 1,029 | 2.5 |
| Total investment securities | $\$ 40,230$ | $100.0 \%$ | $\$ 40,430$ | $100.0 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{8}$ |  |  | U.S. Bancorp |  |

## Table of Contents

Deposits Total deposits were $\$ 121.0$ billion at September 30, 2006, compared with $\$ 124.7$ billion at December 31, 2005 , a decrease of $\$ 3.7$ billion ( 3.0 percent). The decrease in total deposits was primarily the result of decreases in noninterest-bearing deposits, money market savings accounts and time deposits greater than $\$ 100,000$, partially offset by increases in interest checking and time certificates of deposits less than $\$ 100,000$. The $\$ 1.7$ billion ( 5.2 percent) decrease in noninterest-bearing deposits primarily reflected a decline in business demand deposits as these customers reduce excess liquidity to fund business growth. The change also reflected a migration of customers to interest-bearing products given rising interest rates. The $\$ 2.5$ billion ( 8.8 percent) decrease in money market savings account balances reflected the Company s deposit pricing decisions for money market products in relation to other fixed-rate deposit products offered. A portion of branch-based money market savings accounts have migrated to fixed-rate time certificates to take advantage of higher interest rates for these products. Time deposits greater than $\$ 100,000$ decreased $\$ 1.2$ billion ( 5.2 percent) at September 30, 2006, compared with December 31, 2005, due to a decrease in corporate banking balances, partially offset by increases in consumer and private banking balances due to customer migration of deposit balances. Time deposits greater than $\$ 100,000$ are largely viewed as purchased funds and are managed at levels deemed appropriate given alternative lending sources. Time certificates of deposit less than $\$ 100,000$ increased $\$ .6$ billion ( 4.2 percent) at September 30, 2006, compared with December 31, 2005. Interest checking accounts increased $\$ 1.1$ billion ( 4.6 percent) due to an increase in trust and custody and government balances, partially offset by decreases in consumer and private banking balances.
Borrowings The Company utilizes both short-term and long-term borrowings to fund growth of earning assets in excess of deposit growth. Short-term borrowings, which include federal funds purchased, commercial paper, securities sold under agreements to repurchase and other short-term borrowings, were $\$ 24.8$ billion at September 30, 2006, compared with $\$ 20.2$ billion at December 31, 2005. Short-term funding is managed within approved liquidity policies. The increase of $\$ 4.6$ billion in short-term borrowings reflected wholesale funding associated with the Company s earning asset growth and asset/liability management activities. Long-term debt was $\$ 41.2$ billion at September 30, 2006, compared with $\$ 37.1$ billion at December 31, 2005, reflecting the issuances of $\$ 8.0$ billion of medium-term and bank notes, $\$ 2.5$ billion of junior subordinated debentures and the addition of $\$ 2.8$ billion of Federal Home Loan Bank advances, partially offset by $\$ 8.2$ billion of medium-term and bank note maturities and repayments, and $\$ .7$ billion of junior subordinated debentures repayments. Refer to the Liquidity Risk Management section for discussion of liquidity management of the Company.

## CORPORATE RISK PROFILE

Overview Managing risks is an essential part of successfully operating a financial services company. The most prominent risk exposures are credit, residual, operational, interest rate, market and liquidity risk. Credit risk is the risk of not collecting the interest and/or the principal balance of a loan or investment when it is due. Residual risk is the potential reduction in the end-of-term value of leased assets or the residual cash flows related to asset securitization and other off-balance sheet structures. Operational risk includes risks related to fraud, legal and compliance risk, processing errors, technology, breaches of internal controls and business continuation and disaster recovery risk. Interest rate risk is the potential reduction of net interest income as a result of changes in interest rates. Rate movements can affect the repricing of assets and liabilities differently, as well as their market value. Market risk arises from fluctuations in interest rates, foreign exchange rates, and equity prices that may result in changes in the values of financial instruments, such as trading and available-for-sale securities that are accounted for on a mark-to-market basis. Liquidity risk is the possible inability to fund obligations to depositors, investors or borrowers. In addition, corporate strategic decisions, as well as the risks described above, could give rise to reputation risk. Reputation risk is the risk that negative publicity or press, whether true or not, could result in costly litigation or cause a decline in the Company s stock value, customer base or revenue.
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Table 5 Delinquent Loan Ratios as a Percent of Ending Loan Balances

| 90 days or more past due excluding nonperforming loans | September 30, 2006 | December 31, 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial |  |  |
| Commercial | .07\% | .06\% |
| Lease financing |  |  |
| Total commercial | . 06 | . 05 |
| Commercial real estate |  |  |
| Commercial mortgages | . 01 |  |
| Construction and development | . 01 |  |
| Total commercial real estate | . 01 |  |
| Residential mortgages | . 36 | . 32 |
| Retail |  |  |
| Credit card | 1.59 | 1.26 |
| Retail leasing | . 03 | . 04 |
| Other retail | . 19 | . 22 |
| Total retail | . 40 | . 36 |
| Total loans | . $20 \%$ | .18\% |


|  | September 30, <br> 30 days or more past due including nonperforming loans | December 31, <br> 2005 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Commercial | $.55 \%$ | $.69 \%$ |
| Commercial real estate | .54 | .55 |
| Residential mortgages (a) | .53 | .55 |
| Retail | .51 | .50 |
| Total loans | $.53 \%$ | $.58 \%$ |

(a) Delinquent loan ratios exclude advances made pursuant to servicing agreements to Government National Mortgage Association ( GNMA ) mortgage pools whose repayments are insured by the Federal Housing Administration or guaranteed by the Department of Veterans Affairs. Including the guaranteed amounts, the ratio of residential mortgages 90 days or more past due was 2.78 percent at September 30, 2006, and 4.35 percent at December 31, 2005.

Credit Risk Management The Company s strategy for credit risk management includes well-defined, centralized credit policies, uniform underwriting criteria, and ongoing risk monitoring and review processes for all commercial and consumer credit exposures. The strategy also emphasizes diversification on a geographic, industry and customer level, regular credit examinations and management reviews of loans experiencing deterioration of credit quality. The credit risk management strategy also includes a credit risk assessment process, independent of business line managers, that performs assessments of compliance with commercial and consumer credit policies, risk ratings, and other critical
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credit information. The Company strives to identify potential problem loans early, take any necessary charge-offs promptly and maintain adequate reserve levels for probable loan losses inherent in the portfolio.

In evaluating its credit risk, the Company considers changes, if any, in underwriting activities, the loan portfolio composition (including product mix and geographic, industry or customer-specific concentrations), trends in loan performance, the level of allowance coverage relative to similar banking institutions and macroeconomic factors. Economic conditions during the third quarter and first nine months of 2006 have improved from the same periods of 2005, as reflected in strong expansion of the gross domestic product index, lower unemployment rates, favorable trends related to corporate profits and consumer spending for retail goods and services. Current economic conditions are relatively unchanged from December 31, 2005. Through the second quarter of 2006, the Federal Reserve Bank continued increasing short-term interest rates in an effort to prevent an acceleration of inflation and maintain the current rate of economic growth. Beginning in the third quarter of 2006, the Federal Reserve Bank paused from its policies of increasing interest rates and tightening the money supply.

Refer to Management s Discussion and Analysis Credit Risk Management in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005, for a more detailed discussion on credit risk management processes.
Loan Delinquencies Trends in delinquency ratios represent an indicator, among other considerations, of credit risk within the Company s loan portfolios. The entire balance of the account is considered delinquent if the minimum payment contractually required to be made is not received by the specified date on the billing statement. The Company measures delinquencies, both
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including and excluding nonperforming loans, to enable comparability with other companies. Accruing loans 90 days or more past due increased $\$ 42$ million to $\$ 295$ million at September 30, 2006, compared with $\$ 253$ million at December 31, 2005, due to the seasoning of the residential mortgage portfolio originated by the consumer finance division and the continued return to normalized delinquency levels after the bankruptcy legislation change that occurred in the fourth quarter of 2005. These loans are not included in nonperforming assets and continue to accrue interest because they are adequately secured by collateral, and/or are in the process of collection and are reasonably expected to result in repayment or restoration to current status. The ratio of delinquent loans to total loans was .20 percent at September 30, 2006, compared with .18 percent at December 31, 2005.

To monitor credit risk associated with retail loans, the Company monitors delinquency ratios in the various stages of collection including nonperforming status.
The following table provides summary delinquency information for residential mortgages and retail loans:

| (Dollars in Millions) | Amount |  | As a Percent of Ending Loan Balances |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | September 30, 2006 | December 31, 2005 | $\begin{gathered} \text { eer } 30, \\ 2006 \end{gathered}$ | December 31, 2005 |
| Residential mortgages |  |  |  |  |
| 30-89 days | \$129 | \$112 | .61\% | .55\% |
| 90 days or more | 76 | 67 | . 36 | . 32 |
| Nonperforming | 36 | 48 | . 17 | . 23 |
| Total | \$241 | \$227 | 1.14\% | 1.10\% |
| Retail |  |  |  |  |
| Credit card |  |  |  |  |
| 30-89 days | \$168 | \$147 | 2.14\% | 2.06\% |
| 90 days or more | 125 | 90 | 1.59 | 1.26 |
| Nonperforming | 37 | 49 | . 47 | . 69 |
| Total | \$330 | \$286 | 4.20\% | 4.01\% |
| Retail leasing |  |  |  |  |
| 30-89 days | \$30 | \$43 | . $42 \%$ | .59\% |
| 90 days or more | 2 | 3 | . 03 | . 04 |
| Nonperforming |  |  |  |  |
| Total | \$32 | \$46 | .45\% | .63\% |
| Other retail |  |  |  |  |
| 30-89 days | \$187 | \$206 | .57\% | .66\% |
| 90 days or more | 62 | 70 | . 19 | . 22 |
| Nonperforming | 16 | 17 | . 05 | . 06 |
| Total | \$265 | \$293 | .81\% | .94\% |

Nonperforming Assets The level of nonperforming assets represents another indicator of the potential for future credit losses. Nonperforming assets include nonaccrual loans, restructured loans not performing in accordance with modified terms, other real estate and other nonperforming assets owned by the Company. Interest payments collected from
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assets on nonaccrual status are typically applied against the principal balance and not recorded as income. At September 30, 2006, total nonperforming assets were $\$ 575$ million, compared with $\$ 644$ million at December 31, 2005. The ratio of total nonperforming assets to total loans and other real estate decreased to .40 percent at September 30, 2006, compared with .47 percent at December 31, 2005.

Included in nonperforming loans were restructured loans of $\$ 43$ million at September 30, 2006, compared with $\$ 75$ million at December 31, 2005. At September 30, 2006, the Company had no commitments to lend additional funds under restructured loans, compared to commitments of $\$ 9$ million at December 31, 2005.
U.S. Bancorp
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Table 6 Nonperforming Assets (a)

| (Dollars in Millions) | September 30, 2006 | December 31, 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial |  |  |
| Commercial | \$192 | \$231 |
| Lease financing | 39 | 42 |
| Total commercial | 231 | 273 |
| Commercial real estate |  |  |
| Commercial mortgages | 114 | 134 |
| Construction and development | 40 | 23 |
| Total commercial real estate | 154 | 157 |
| Residential mortgages | 36 | 48 |
| Retail |  |  |
| Credit card | 37 | 49 |
| Retail leasing |  |  |
| Other retail | 16 | 17 |
| Total retail | 53 | 66 |
| Total nonperforming loans | 474 | 544 |
| Other real estate (b) | 79 | 71 |
| Other assets | 22 | 29 |
| Total nonperforming assets | \$575 | \$644 |
| Accruing loans 90 days or more past due | \$295 | \$253 |
| Nonperforming loans to total loans | .33\% | .39\% |
| Nonperforming assets to total loans plus other real estate (b) | .40\% | . $47 \%$ |

## Changes in Nonperforming Assets

| (Dollars in Millions) | Commercial and Commercial Real Estate | Retail and Residential Mortgages (d) | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance December 31, 2005 | \$457 | \$187 | \$644 |
| Additions to nonperforming assets |  |  |  |
| New nonaccrual loans and foreclosed properties | 334 | 41 | 375 |
| Advances on loans | 30 |  | 30 |
| Total additions | 364 | 41 | 405 |
| Reductions in nonperforming assets |  |  |  |
| Paydowns, payoffs | (210) | (41) | (251) |

[^0]| Net sales | $(21)$ |  | $(21)$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Return to performing status | $(92)$ | $(6)$ | $(98)$ |
| Charge-offs (c) | $(93)$ | $(11)$ | $(104)$ |
| Total reductions | $(416)$ | $(58)$ | $(474)$ |
| Net additions to (reductions in) nonperforming assets | $(52)$ | $(17)$ | $(69)$ |
| Balance September 30, 2006 | $\$ 405$ | $\$ 170$ | $\$ 575$ |

(a) Throughout this document, nonperforming assets and related ratios do not include accruing loans 90 days or more past due.
(b) Excludes $\$ 85$ million of foreclosed GNMA loans which continue to accrue interest.
(c) Charge-offs exclude actions for certain card products and loan sales that were not classified as nonperforming at the time the charge-off occurred.
(d) Residential mortgage information excludes changes related to residential mortgages serviced by others.

Restructured Loans Accruing Interest On a case-by-case basis, management determines whether an account that experiences financial difficulties should be modified as to its interest rate or repayment terms to maximize the Company s collection of its balance.

Loans restructured at a rate equal to or greater than that of a new loan with comparable risk at the time the contract is modified are excluded from restructured loans once repayment performance, in accordance with the modified agreement, has been demonstrated over several payment cycles. Loans that have interest rates reduced below comparable market rates remain classified as restructured loans; however, interest income is accrued at the reduced rate as long as the customer complies with the revised terms and conditions.
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The following table provides a summary of restructured loans that continue to accrue interest:

| (Dollars in Millions) | Amount |  | As a Percent of Ending Loan Balances |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | September 30, 2006 | December 31, 2005 | September 30, 2006 | December 31, 2005 |
| Commercial | \$19 | \$5 | .04\% | .01\% |
| Commercial real estate | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| Residential mortgages | 76 | 59 | . 36 | . 28 |
| Credit card | 236 | 218 | 3.00 | 3.05 |
| Other retail | 37 | 32 | . 09 | . 08 |
| Total | \$369 | \$315 | . $26 \%$ | .23\% |

Restructured loans that continue to accrue interest were higher at September 30, 2006, compared with December 31, 2005, reflecting the impact of the Company implementing higher minimum balance payment requirements for credit card customers in response to industry guidance issued by the banking regulatory agencies. Analysis of Loan Net Charge-Offs Total loan net charge-offs were $\$ 135$ million and $\$ 375$ million during the third quarter and first nine months of 2006, respectively, compared with net charge-offs of $\$ 156$ million and $\$ 472$ million, respectively, for the same periods of 2005 . The ratio of total loan net charge-offs to average loans in the third quarter and first nine months of 2006 was .37 percent and .. 36 percent, respectively, compared with .46 percent and .48 percent, respectively, for the same periods of 2005.

Commercial and commercial real estate loan net charge-offs for the third quarter of 2006 were $\$ 21$ million (. 11 percent of average loans outstanding), compared with $\$ 23$ million (. 13 percent of average loans outstanding) for the third quarter of 2005. Commercial and commercial real estate loan net charge-offs for the first nine months of 2006 were $\$ 55$ million ( .10 percent of average loans outstanding), compared with $\$ 69$ million ( .13 percent of average loans outstanding) for the first nine months of 2005. The decrease in net charge-offs reflected lower gross charge-offs, partially offset by a lower level of recoveries as compared with the same periods of the prior year. The Company expects commercial net charge-offs to increase somewhat over the next several quarters due to an increase in gross chargeoffs from cyclical lows and declining commercial loan recoveries.

Retail loan net charge-offs for the third quarter of 2006 were $\$ 103$ million ( .87 percent of average loans outstanding), compared with $\$ 124$ million ( 1.09 percent of average loans outstanding) for the third quarter of 2005. Retail loan net charge-offs for the first nine months of 2006 were $\$ 291$ million (. 84 percent of average loans outstanding), compared with $\$ 377$ million ( 1.14 percent of average loans outstanding) for the first nine months of 2005. The decrease in retail loan net charge-offs reflected the impact of the bankruptcy legislation change that occurred in the fourth quarter of 2005 and improved retail portfolio performance. The Company anticipates that bankruptcy charge-offs will return to more normalized levels during the next several quarters.

The Company s retail lending business utilizes several distinct business processes and channels to
Table 7 Net Charge-offs as a Percent of Average Loans Outstanding

Three Months
Ended
September 30,

Nine Months
Ended
September 30,
2006200520062005

| Commercial |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial | .18\% | .07\% | .12\% | .11\% |
| Lease financing | . 23 | 1.29 | . 44 | . 95 |
| Total commercial | . 18 | . 21 | . 16 | . 21 |
| Commercial real estate |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial mortgages |  | . 04 | . 01 | . 05 |
| Construction and development |  | (.10) | . 02 | (.05) |
| Total commercial real estate |  |  | . 01 | . 02 |
| Residential mortgages | . 21 | . 19 | . 18 | . 20 |
| Retail |  |  |  |  |
| Credit card | 2.85 | 3.74 | 2.74 | 3.92 |
| Retail leasing | . 22 | . 27 | . 19 | . 33 |
| Home equity and second mortgages | . 31 | . 37 | . 33 | . 42 |
| Other retail | . 72 | 1.04 | . 74 | 1.05 |
| Total retail | . 87 | 1.09 | . 84 | 1.14 |
| Total loans | .37\% | .46\% | .36\% | . $48 \%$ |
| U.S. Bancorp |  |  |  | 13 |
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originate retail credit including traditional branch lending, indirect lending and a consumer finance division. Each distinct underwriting and origination activity manages unique credit risk characteristics and prices its loan production commensurate with the differing risk profiles. Within Consumer Banking, U.S. Bank Consumer Finance ( USBCF ) participates in substantially all facets of the Company s consumer lending activities. USBCF specializes in serving channel-specific and alternative lending markets in residential mortgages, home equity and installment loan financing. USBCF manages loans originated through a broker network, correspondent relationships and U.S. Bank branch offices. Generally, loans managed by the Company s consumer finance division exhibit higher credit risk characteristics, but are priced commensurate with the differing risk profile. The following table provides an analysis of net charge-offs as a percent of average loans outstanding managed by the consumer finance division, compared with traditional branch related loans:

Three Months Ended September 30, Nine Months Ended September 30,

|  | Average Loan <br> Amount |  | Percent of Average Loans |  | Average Loan <br> Amount |  | Percent of Average Loans |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Dollars in Millions) | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Consumer Finance (a) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential mortgages | \$7,627 | \$6,292 | .52\% | . $50 \%$ | \$7,245 | \$5,734 | .48\% | .51\% |
| Home equity and second mortgages | 1,939 | 2,363 | 1.43 | 1.68 | 1,993 | 2,523 | 1.48 | 1.64 |
| Other retail | 397 | 400 | 5.00 | 4.96 | 401 | 390 | 4.67 | 4.80 |
| Traditional Branch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential mortgages | \$13,491 | \$12,449 | .03\% | .03\% | \$13,747 | \$11,532 | .03\% | .05\% |
| Home equity and second mortgages | 13,227 | 12,621 | . 15 | . 13 | 13,054 | 12,421 | . 15 | . 17 |
| Other retail | 16,575 | 15,563 | . 62 | . 94 | 16,313 | 14,935 | . 64 | . 95 |
| Total Company |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential mortgages | \$21,118 | \$18,741 | .21\% | .19\% | \$20,992 | \$17,266 | .18\% | . $20 \%$ |
| Home equity and second mortgages | 15,166 | 14,984 | . 31 | . 37 | 15,047 | 14,944 | . 33 | . 42 |
| Other retail | 16,972 | 15,963 | . 72 | 1.04 | 16,714 | 15,325 | . 74 | 1.05 |

(a) Consumer finance category included credit originated and managed by USBCF, as well as home equity and second mortgages with a loan-to-value greater than 100 percent that were originated in the branches.
Analysis and Determination of the Allowance for Credit Losses The allowance for loan losses provides coverage for probable and estimable losses inherent in the Company s loan and lease portfolio. Management evaluates the allowance each quarter to determine that it is adequate to cover these inherent losses. The evaluation of each element and the overall allowance is based on a continuing assessment of problem loans, recent loss experience and other factors, including regulatory guidance and economic conditions. Because business processes and credit risks associated with unfunded credit commitments are essentially the same as for loans, the Company utilizes similar processes to estimate its liability for unfunded credit commitments, which is included in other liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Both the allowance for loan losses and the liability for unfunded credit commitments are included in the Company $s$ analysis of the allowance for credit losses.

At September 30, 2006, the allowance for credit losses was $\$ 2,256$ million ( 1.56 percent of loans), compared with an allowance of $\$ 2,251$ million ( 1.63 percent of loans) at December 31, 2005. The ratio of the allowance for credit losses to nonperforming loans was 476 percent at September 30, 2006, compared with 414 percent at December 31,
2005. The ratio of the allowance for credit losses to annualized loan net charge-offs was 421 percent at September 30, 2006, compared with 329 percent at December 31, 2005.
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Table 8 Summary of Allowance for Credit Losses

| (Dollars in Millions) | Three Months Ended September 30, |  | Nine Months Ended September 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$2,251 | \$2,269 | \$2,251 | \$2,269 |
| Charge-offs |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial | 34 | 37 | 86 | 111 |
| Lease financing | 12 | 24 | 37 | 62 |
| Total commercial | 46 | 61 | 123 | 173 |
| Commercial real estate |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial mortgages | 1 | 5 | 7 | 15 |
| Construction and development |  |  | 1 | 3 |
| Total commercial real estate | 1 | 5 | 8 | 18 |
| Residential mortgages | 12 | 10 | 31 | 28 |
| Retail |  |  |  |  |
| Credit card | 65 | 71 | 178 | 217 |
| Retail leasing | 6 | 8 | 19 | 27 |
| Home equity and second mortgages | 14 | 19 | 46 | 59 |
| Other retail | 51 | 55 | 141 | 160 |
| Total retail | 136 | 153 | 384 | 463 |
| Total charge-offs | 195 | 229 | 546 | 682 |
| Recoveries |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial | 16 | 30 | 50 | 81 |
| Lease financing | 9 | 8 | 20 | 27 |
| Total commercial | 25 | 38 | 70 | 108 |
| Commercial real estate |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial mortgages | 1 | 3 | 6 | 8 |
| Construction and development |  | 2 |  | 6 |
| Total commercial real estate | 1 | 5 | 6 | 14 |
| Residential mortgages | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Retail |  |  |  |  |
| Credit card | 9 | 8 | 26 | 25 |
| Retail leasing | 2 | 3 | 9 | 9 |
| Home equity and second mortgages | 2 | 5 | 9 | 12 |
| Other retail | 20 | 13 | 49 | 40 |
| Total retail | 33 | 29 | 93 | 86 |


| Total recoveries | 60 | 73 | 171 | 210 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net Charge-offs |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial | 18 | 7 | 36 | 30 |
| Lease financing | 3 | 16 | 17 | 35 |
| Total commercial | 21 | 23 | 53 | 65 |
| Commercial real estate |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial mortgages |  | 2 | 1 | 7 |
| Construction and development |  | (2) | 1 | (3) |
| Total commercial real estate |  |  | 2 | 4 |
| Residential mortgages | 11 | 9 | 29 | 26 |
| Retail |  |  |  |  |
| Credit card | 56 | 63 | 152 | 192 |
| Retail leasing | 4 | 5 | 10 | 18 |
| Home equity and second mortgages | 12 | 14 | 37 | 47 |
| Other retail | 31 | 42 | 92 | 120 |
| Total retail | 103 | 124 | 291 | 377 |
| Total net charge-offs | 135 | 156 | 375 | 472 |
| Provision for credit losses | 135 | 145 | 375 | 461 |
| Acquisitions and other changes | 5 |  | 5 |  |
| Balance at end of period | \$2,256 | \$2,258 | \$2,256 | \$2,258 |
| Components |  |  |  |  |
| Allowance for loan losses | \$2,034 | \$2,055 |  |  |
| Liability for unfunded credit commitments | 222 | 203 |  |  |
| Total allowance for credit losses | \$2,256 | \$2,258 |  |  |

## Allowance for credit losses as a percentage of

| Period-end loans | $1.56 \%$ | $1.65 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Nonperforming loans | 476 | 413 |
| Nonperforming assets | 392 | 351 |
| Annualized net charge-offs | 421 | 365 |
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Several factors were taken into consideration in evaluating the allowance for credit losses at September 30, 2006, including the risk profile of the portfolios and loan net charge-offs during the period, the level of nonperforming assets, accruing loans 90 days or more past due, delinquency ratios and changes in restructured loan balances compared with December 31, 2005. Management also considered the uncertainty related to certain industry sectors, including the airline industry, and the extent of credit exposure to other borrowers within the portfolio. In addition, concentration risks associated with commercial real estate and the mix of loans, including credit cards, loans originated through the consumer finance division and residential mortgages, and their relative credit risk were evaluated. Finally, the Company considered current economic conditions that might impact the portfolio.
Residual Risk Management The Company manages its risk to changes in the residual value of leased assets through disciplined residual valuation setting at the inception of a lease, diversification of its leased assets, regular residual asset valuation reviews and monitoring of residual value gains or losses upon the disposition of assets. As of September 30, 2006, no significant change in the amount of residuals or concentration of the portfolios has occurred since December 31, 2005. Refer to Management s Discussion and Analysis Residual Risk Management in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005, for further discussion on residual risk management.
Operational Risk Management The Company manages operational risk through a risk management framework and its internal control processes. Within this framework, the Corporate Risk Committee ( Risk Committee ) provides oversight and assesses the most significant operational risks facing the Company within its business lines. Under the guidance of the Risk Committee, enterprise risk management personnel establish policies and interact with business lines to monitor significant operational risks on a regular basis. Business lines have direct and primary responsibility and accountability for identifying, controlling, and monitoring operational risks embedded in their business activities. Refer to Management s Discussion and Analysis Operational Risk Management in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005, for further discussion on operational risk management.
Interest Rate Risk Management In the banking industry, changes in interest rates is a significant risk that can impact earnings, market valuations and safety and soundness of the entity. To minimize the volatility of net interest income and the market value of assets and liabilities, the Company manages its exposure to changes in interest rates through asset and liability management activities within guidelines established by its Asset Liability Policy Committee
( ALPC ) and approved by the Board of Directors. ALPC has the responsibility for approving and ensuring compliance with ALPC management policies, including interest rate risk exposure. The Company uses Net Interest Income Simulation Analysis and Market Value of Equity Modeling for measuring and analyzing consolidated interest rate risk.
Net Interest Income Simulation Analysis One of the primary tools used to measure interest rate risk and the effect of interest rate changes on net interest income is simulation analysis. Through this simulation, management estimates the impact on net interest income of a 200 basis point upward or downward gradual change of market interest rates over a one-year period. This represents a change, effective in the first quarter of 2006, from a previous policy of estimating the effect of a 300 basis point upward or downward gradual change on net interest income. The simulation also estimates the effect of immediate and sustained parallel shifts in the yield curve of 50 basis points as well as the effect of immediate and sustained flattening or steepening of the yield curve.

Refer to Management s Discussion and Analysis Net Interest Income Simulation Analysis in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005, for further discussion on net interest income simulation analysis.
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Sensitivity of Net Interest Income:
September 30, 2006
December 31, 2005

|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Down } \\ 50 \end{array}$ | Up 50 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Down } \\ 200 \end{array}$ | Up 200 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Down } \\ 50 \end{array}$ | Up 50 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Down } \\ 200 \end{array}$ | Up 200 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Immediate | Immediate | Gradual | GradualI | mediate | Immediate | Gradual* | Gradual* |
| Net interest income | 1.14\% | (1.10)\% | 2.35\% | (2.85)\% | .66\% | (.73)\% | 1.19\% | (2.60)\% |

* As of January 31, 2006, due to the change to a 200 basis point gradual change policy during the first quarter of 2006.

The table above summarizes the interest rate risk of net interest income based on forecasts over the succeeding 12 months. At September 30, 2006, the Company s overall interest rate risk position was liability sensitive to changes in interest rates. The Company manages the overall interest rate risk profile within policy limits. ALPC policy guidelines limit the estimated change in net interest income to 3.0 percent of forecasted net interest income over the succeeding 12 months. At September 30, 2006, and December 31, 2005, the Company was within its policy guidelines.
Market Value of Equity Modeling The Company also utilizes the market value of equity as a measurement tool in managing interest rate sensitivity. The market value of equity measures the degree to which the market values of the Company s assets and liabilities and off-balance sheet instruments will change given a change in interest rates. ALPC guidelines limit the change in market value of equity in a 200 basis point parallel rate shock to 15 percent of the market value of equity assuming interest rates at September 30, 2006. The up 200 basis point scenario resulted in a 5.4 percent decrease in the market value of equity at September 30, 2006, compared with a 6.8 percent decrease at December 31, 2005. The down 200 basis point scenario resulted in a 4.2 percent decrease in the market value of equity at September 30, 2006, compared with a 4.1 percent decrease at December 31, 2005. At September 30, 2006, and December 31, 2005, the Company was within its policy guidelines.

The Company also uses duration of equity as a measure of interest rate risk. The duration of equity is a measure of the net market value sensitivity of the assets, liabilities and derivative positions of the Company. The duration of assets was 1.8 years at September 30, 2006, compared with 1.6 years at December 31, 2005. The duration of liabilities was 2.0 years at September 30, 2006, compared with 1.6 years at December 31, 2005. At September 30, 2006, the duration of equity was .. 9 years, compared with 1.8 years at December 31, 2005. The duration of equity measure shows that sensitivity of the market value of equity of the Company was liability sensitive to changes in interest rates. Refer to Management s Discussion and Analysis Market Value of Equity Modeling in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005, for further discussion on market value of equity modeling. Use of Derivatives to Manage Interest Rate Risk In the ordinary course of business, the Company enters into derivative transactions to manage its interest rate, prepayment, credit and foreign currency risks ( asset and liability management positions ) and to accommodate the business requirements of its customers ( customer-related positions ). Refer to Management s Discussion and Analysis Use of Derivatives to Manage Interest Rate Risk in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005, for further discussion on the use of derivatives to manage interest rate risk.

By their nature, derivative instruments are subject to market risk. The Company does not utilize derivative instruments for speculative purposes. Of the Company s $\$ 32.6$ billion of total notional amount of asset and liability management derivative positions at September 30, 2006, $\$ 23.5$ billion was designated as either fair value or cash flow hedges or net investment hedges of foreign operations. The cash flow hedge derivative positions are interest rate swaps that hedge the forecasted cash flows from the underlying variable-rate LIBOR loans and floating-rate debt. The fair value hedges are primarily interest rate swaps that hedge the change in fair value related to interest rate changes of underlying fixed-rate debt and subordinated obligations.

In addition, the Company uses forward commitments to sell residential mortgage loans to hedge its interest rate risk related to residential mortgage loans held for sale. Related to its mortgage banking operations, the Company held $\$ 2.3$ billion of forward commitments to sell mortgage loans and $\$ 1.6$ billion of unfunded mortgage loan commitments that were derivatives in accordance with the provisions of the Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133,
Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedge Activities. The unfunded mortgage loan commitments are reported at fair value as options in Table 9. Beginning in March 2006, the Company entered into U.S. Treasury futures and options on U.S. Treasury futures contracts to hedge the change in fair value related to the election of fair value measurement for its residential MSRs.
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At September 30, 2006, the Company had $\$ 95$ million in accumulated other comprehensive income related to realized and unrealized losses on derivatives classified as cash flow hedges. Unrealized gains and losses are reflected in earnings when the related cash flows or hedged transactions occur and offset the related performance of the hedged items. The estimated amount to be reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income into earnings during the remainder of 2006 and the next 12 months is a loss of $\$ 7$ million and $\$ 32$ million, respectively.

Gains or losses on customer-related derivative positions were not material for the third quarter and first nine months of 2006. The change in fair value of forward commitments attributed to hedge ineffectiveness recorded in noninterest income was a decrease of $\$ 2$ million and $\$ 3$ million for the third quarter and first nine months of 2006, respectively. The change in the fair value of all other asset and liability management derivative positions attributed to hedge ineffectiveness recorded in noninterest income was not material for the third quarter and first nine months of 2006.

The Company enters into derivatives to protect its net investment in certain foreign operations. The Company uses forward commitments to sell specified amounts of certain foreign currencies to hedge its capital volatility risk associated with fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. The net amount of gains or losses included in the cumulative translation adjustment for the third quarter and first nine months of 2006 was not material.

Table 9 Derivative Positions

September 30, 2006


Asset and Liability Management Positions

| Interest rate contracts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Receive fixed/pay floating swaps | $\$ 6,610$ | $\$ 13$ | 22.36 | $\$ 16,370$ | $\$(82)$ | 7.79 |  |
| Pay fixed/receive floating swaps | 11,998 | 4 | 2.42 | 9,163 | 139 | 1.33 |  |
| Futures and forwards |  |  |  | .11 | 104 |  | .07 |
| $\quad$ Buy | 6,469 | $(49)$ | .15 | 2,669 | $(15)$ | .09 |  |
| $\quad$ Sell |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Options | 7,007 | 7 | .12 | 1,086 | 3 | .08 |  |
| $\quad$ Written |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Foreign exchange contracts | 403 | 27 | 8.87 | 387 | 11 | 9.61 |  |
| $\quad$ Cross-currency swaps | 16 |  | .07 | 404 | 7 | .05 |  |
| Forwards | 74 | 4 | 3.38 | 42 | 3 | 3.29 |  |
| Equity contracts | 25 |  | 4.98 |  |  |  |  |
| Credit default swaps |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Customer-related Positions

| Interest rate contracts |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Receive fixed/pay floating swaps | $\$ 10,499$ | $\$(47)$ | 5.33 | $\$ 9,753$ | $\$(69)$ | 5.25 |
| Pay fixed/receive floating swaps | 10,468 | 104 | 5.42 | 9,707 | 121 | 5.25 |
| Options |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Purchased | 1,877 | 4 | 2.00 | 1,453 | 6 | 2.26 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Written | 1,865 | (3) | 2.00 | 1,453 | (5) | 2.26 |
| Risk participation agreements (a) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purchased | 177 |  | 7.05 | 143 |  | 8.02 |
| Written | 320 | (1) | 6.26 | 169 |  | 4.64 |
| Foreign exchange rate contracts |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Forwards and swaps |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Buy | 1,954 | 47 | . 40 | 2,042 | 77 | . 43 |
| Sell | 1,918 | (39) | . 41 | 2,018 | (73) | . 46 |
| Options |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purchased | 104 | (1) | . 40 | 56 | 1 | . 24 |
| Written | 104 | 1 | . 40 | 56 | (1) | . 24 |

(a) At September 30, 2006, the credit equivalent amount was $\$ 2$ million and $\$ 45$ million, compared with $\$ 1$ million and $\$ 18$ million at December 31, 2005, for purchased and written risk participation agreements, respectively.
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Market Risk Management In addition to interest rate risk, the Company is exposed to other forms of market risk as a consequence of conducting normal trading activities. Business activities that contribute to market risk include primarily residential mortgage related risks, but also other items, such as proprietary trading and foreign exchange positions. Value at Risk ( VaR ) is a key measure of market risk for the Company. Theoretically, VaR represents the maximum amount that the Company has placed at risk of loss, with a ninety-ninth percentile degree of confidence, to adverse market movements in the course of its risk taking activities. Due to the election of fair value measurement of its residential MSRs and related hedging strategy in the first quarter of 2006, the Company increased its VaR limit to $\$ 40$ million at March 31, 2006, compared with $\$ 20$ million at December 31, 2005. The Company s market valuation risk, as estimated by the VaR analysis, was $\$ 25$ million at September 30, 2006, compared with $\$ 1$ million at December 31, 2005. Refer to Management s Discussion and Analysis Market Risk Management in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005, for further discussion on market risk management.
Liquidity Risk Management ALPC establishes policies, as well as analyzes and manages liquidity, to ensure that adequate funds are available to meet normal operating requirements in addition to unexpected customer demands for funds, such as high levels of deposit withdrawals or loan demand, in a timely and cost-effective manner. Liquidity management is viewed from long-term and short-term perspectives, as well as from an asset and liability perspective. Management monitors liquidity through a regular review of maturity profiles, funding sources, and loan and deposit forecasts to minimize funding risk. Refer to Management s Discussion and Analysis Liquidity Risk Management in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005, for further discussion on liquidity risk management.

The Company s ability to raise negotiated funding at competitive prices is influenced by rating agencies views of the Company s credit quality, liquidity, capital and earnings. On October 26, 2006, Dominion Bond Rating Service upgraded the Company s senior and unsecured subordinated debt ratings to AA and AA(low), respectively, from AA(low) and A(high), respectively. On November 1, 2006, Standard \& Poor s Ratings Services changed its credit ratings outlook for the Company to Positive . At November 1, 2006, the credit ratings outlook for the Company was considered Positive by Fitch and Stable by Moody s Investors Service and Dominion Bond Ratings Service.

At September 30, 2006, parent company long-term debt outstanding was $\$ 13.9$ billion, compared with $\$ 10.9$ billion at December 31, 2005. The $\$ 3.0$ billion increase was primarily due to the issuances of $\$ 2.5$ billion of junior subordinated debentures and $\$ 4.0$ billion of medium-term notes, offset by long-term debt maturities and repayments during the first nine months of 2006. As of September 30, 2006, there was no parent company debt scheduled to mature in the remainder of 2006.

Federal banking laws regulate the amount of dividends that may be paid by banking subsidiaries without prior approval. The amount of dividends available to the parent company from its banking subsidiaries after meeting the regulatory capital requirements for well-capitalized banks was approximately $\$ 1.2$ billion at September 30, 2006.
Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements Off-balance sheet arrangements include any contractual arrangement to which an unconsolidated entity is a party, under which the Company has an obligation to provide credit or liquidity enhancements or market risk support. Off-balance sheet arrangements include certain defined guarantees, asset securitization trusts and conduits. Off-balance sheet arrangements also include any obligation under a variable interest held by an unconsolidated entity that provides financing, liquidity, credit enhancement or market risk support.

In the ordinary course of business, the Company enters into various forms of guarantees that may be considered off-balance sheet arrangements. The extent of these arrangements is provided in Note 10 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Asset securitizations and conduits represent a source of funding for the Company through off-balance sheet structures. The Company sponsors an off-balance sheet conduit to which it transferred high-grade investment securities, funded by the issuance of commercial paper. The conduit held assets and related commercial paper liabilities of $\$ 2.7$ billion at September 30, 2006, and $\$ 3.8$ billion at December 31, 2005. The Company provides a liquidity facility to the conduit. A liability for the estimate of the potential risk of loss for the Company as the liquidity facility provider is recorded on the balance sheet in other liabilities and was $\$ 13$ million at September 30, 2006, and $\$ 20$ million at December 31, 2005. In addition, the Company recorded at fair value its retained residual interest in the
investment securities conduit of $\$ 19$ million at September 30, 2006, and $\$ 28$ million at December 31, 2005.
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Table 10 Capital Ratios

|  | September 30, | December 31, |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| (Dollars in Millions) | 2006 | 2005 |
| Tier 1 capital | $\$ 17,042$ | $\$ 15,145$ |
| As a percent of risk-weighted assets | $8.8 \%$ | $8.2 \%$ |
| As a percent of adjusted quarterly average assets (leverage ratio) | $8.3 \%$ | $7.6 \%$ |
| Total risk-based capital | $\$ 25,011$ | $\$ 23,056$ |
| As a percent of risk-weighted assets | $13.0 \%$ | $12.5 \%$ |
| Tangible common equity | $\$ 11,286$ | $\$ 11,873$ |
| As a percent of tangible assets | $5.4 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ |

The Company does not rely significantly on off-balance sheet arrangements for liquidity or capital resources. Refer to Management s Discussion and Analysis Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005, for further discussion on off-balance sheet arrangements.
Capital Management The Company is committed to managing capital for maximum shareholder benefit and maintaining strong protection for depositors and creditors. The Company has targeted returning 80 percent of earnings to its common shareholders through a combination of dividends and share repurchases. In the first nine months of 2006, the Company returned 120 percent of earnings. The Company continually assesses its business risks and capital position. The Company also manages its capital to exceed regulatory capital requirements for well-capitalized bank holding companies. To achieve these capital goals, the Company employs a variety of capital management tools including dividends, common share repurchases, and the issuance of subordinated debt and other capital instruments. Total shareholders equity was $\$ 20.9$ billion at September 30, 2006, compared with $\$ 20.1$ billion at December 31, 2005. The increase was the result of corporate earnings and the issuance of $\$ 1.0$ billion of non-cumulative, perpetual preferred stock on March 27, 2006, partially offset by share repurchases and dividends.

Table 10 provides a summary of capital ratios as of September 30, 2006, and December 31, 2005. Tier 1 capital at September 30, 2006, was positively affected by the $\$ 1.0$ billion issuance of preferred stock and the $\$ 2.5$ billion issuance of junior subordinated debentures during the first nine months of 2006. All regulatory ratios continue to be in excess of regulatory well-capitalized requirements.

On December 21, 2004, the Board of Directors approved and announced an authorization to repurchase 150 million shares of common stock during the next 24 months. During 2006, the Company repurchased 62 million shares under this authorization.

On August 3, 2006, the Company announced that the Board of Directors approved an authorization to repurchase 150 million shares of common stock through December 31, 2008. This new authorization replaced the December 21, 2004, share repurchase program. The Company purchased 18 million shares under this authorization during the third quarter of 2006.
The following table provides a detailed analysis of all shares repurchased during the third quarter of 2006:

| Total | Maximum |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Number of |  | Number |
| Shares |  | of Shares that May |
| Purchased as |  |  |
| Part of | Average | Yet Be Purchased |
| Time Period | Publicly | Price |
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per
Share

| July 1 | July 31 (a) | $8,755,561$ | $\$ 31.80$ | $24,097,204$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| August 1 | August 31 (b) | $14,905,110$ | 32.20 | $137,494,890$ |
| September 1 | September 30 (c) | $5,773,647$ | 33.01 | $131,721,243$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  | $29,434,318$ | $\$ 32.24$ | $131,721,243$ |

(a) All shares purchased during July of 2006 were purchased under the publicly announced December 21, 2004, authorization.
(b) During August of 2006, 2.4 million shares were purchased under the publicly announced December 21, 2004, authorization and 12.5 million shares were purchased under the publicly announced August 3, 2006, authorization.
(c) All shares purchased during September of 2006 were purchased under the publicly announced August 3, 2006, authorization.
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## LINE OF BUSINESS FINANCIAL REVIEW

Within the Company, financial performance is measured by major lines of business, which include Wholesale Banking, Consumer Banking, Wealth Management, Payment Services, and Treasury and Corporate Support. These operating segments are components of the Company about which financial information is available and is evaluated regularly in deciding how to allocate resources and assess performance.
Basis for Financial Presentation Business line results are derived from the Company s business unit profitability reporting systems by specifically attributing managed balance sheet assets, deposits and other liabilities and their related income or expense. Refer to Management s Discussion and Analysis Line of Business Financial Review in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005, for further discussion on the business lines basis for financial presentation.

Designations, assignments and allocations change from time to time as management systems are enhanced, methods of evaluating performance or product lines change or business segments are realigned to better respond to the Company s diverse customer base. During 2006, certain organization and methodology changes were made and, accordingly, 2005 results were restated and presented on a comparable basis, including a change in the allocation of risk adjusted capital to the business lines. Business lines are allocated risk adjusted capital based upon economic capital requirements, regulatory capital requirements, goodwill and intangibles. The allocations to the business lines are equal to the capital that is held by the Company. The capital allocations include credit and operational capital allocations which are performed using a Basel II approach with adjustments for regulatory Tier I leverage requirements.
Wholesale Banking offers lending, depository, treasury management and other financial services to middle market, large corporate, commercial real estate, equipment finance, small-ticket leasing and public sector clients, along with lending guaranteed by the Small Business Administration. Wholesale Banking contributed $\$ 298$ million of the Company s net income in the third quarter and $\$ 907$ million in the first nine months of 2006, or increases of $\$ 11$ million and $\$ 41$ million, respectively, compared with the same periods of 2005 . The increases were primarily driven by growth in total net revenue.

Total net revenue increased $\$ 15$ million ( 2.2 percent) in the third quarter and $\$ 60$ million ( 2.9 percent) in the first nine months of 2006, compared with the same periods of 2005. Net interest income, on a taxable-equivalent basis, increased $\$ 2$ million in the third quarter and $\$ 40$ million in the first nine months of 2006, compared with the same periods of 2005. The increases in net interest income were driven by growth in average loan balances and a wider spread on total deposits due to their funding benefit during a rising interest rate environment, partially offset by reduced loan spreads due to competitive pricing. The increase in average loans was due to stronger commercial loan and commercial real estate loan demand in the first nine months of 2006.

Noninterest income increased $\$ 13$ million ( 6.3 percent) and $\$ 20$ million ( 3.1 percent) in the third quarter and first nine months of 2006, respectively, compared with the same periods of 2005. The third quarter 2006 increase from a year ago was due to higher revenue from equity investments. The increase during the first nine months of 2006 was related to higher commercial products revenue including improvement in equipment leasing, partially offset by lower other commercial loan fees and letter of credit fees.

Noninterest expense was flat in the third quarter of 2006, compared with the third quarter of 2005. Noninterest expense increased $\$ 2$ million (. 3 percent) in the first nine months of 2006, compared with the same period of 2005. The increase was primarily driven by higher personnel-related costs.

The provision for credit losses decreased $\$ 2$ million in the third quarter and $\$ 7$ million in the first nine months of 2006, compared with the same periods of 2005 . The decrease in the provision for credit losses in the third quarter of 2006, was due to lower net charge-offs compared with the third quarter of 2005 caused by lower levels of nonperforming assets during this growth stage of the business cycle and strong credit underwriting. Nonperforming assets within Wholesale Banking were \$213 million at September 30, 2006, \$218 million at June 30, 2006, and $\$ 304$ million at September 30, 2005. Nonperforming assets as a percentage of period-end loans were .42 percent at September 30, 2006, .43 percent at June 30, 2006, and .. 62 percent at September 30, 2005. Refer to the Corporate Risk Profile section for further information on factors impacting the credit quality of the loan portfolios.

Consumer Banking delivers products and services through banking offices, telephone servicing and sales, on-line services, direct mail and ATMs. It encompasses community banking, metropolitan banking, in-store banking, small business banking, consumer lending, mortgage banking, consumer finance, workplace
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Table 11 Line of Business Financial Performance

| Wholesale | Consumer |
| :---: | :---: |
| Banking | Banking |


|  |  | Percent |  | Percent |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Three Months Ended September 30 <br> (Dollars in Millions) | 2006 | 2005 | Change | 2006 | 2005 | Change |

## Condensed Income Statement

Net interest income (taxable-equivalent

| basis) | $\$ 478$ | $\$ 476$ | $.4 \%$ | $\$ 986$ | $\$ 965$ | $2.2 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Noninterest income | 219 | 206 | 6.3 | 458 | 488 | $(6.1)$ |

Securities gains (losses), net

| Total net revenue | 697 | 682 | 2.2 | 1,444 | 1,453 | (.6) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Noninterest expense | 224 | 224 |  | 623 | 622 | 2 |
| Other intangibles | 4 | 4 |  | 12 | 63 | (81.0) |
| Total noninterest expense | 228 | 228 |  | 635 | 685 | (7.3) |
| Income before provision and income taxes | 469 | 454 | 3.3 | 809 | 768 | 5.3 |
| Provision for credit losses | 1 | 3 | (66.7) | 59 | 65 | (9.2) |
| Income before income taxes | 468 | 451 | 3.8 | 750 | 703 | 6.7 |
| Income taxes and taxable-equivalent adjustment | 170 | 164 | 3.7 | 273 | 256 | 6.6 |
| Net income | \$298 | \$287 | 3.8 | \$477 | \$447 | 6.7 |

## Average Balance Sheet Data

| Commercial | $\$ 33,764$ | $\$ 31,643$ | $6.7 \%$ | $\$ 6,447$ | $\$ 6,283$ | $2.6 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Commercial real estate | 17,139 | 17,091 | .3 | 10,805 | 10,385 | 4.0 |
| Residential mortgages | 61 | 68 | $(10.3)$ | 20,592 | 18,263 | 12.8 |
| Retail | 44 | 31 | 41.9 | 35,586 | 34,710 | 2.5 |


| Total loans | 51,008 | 48,833 | 4.5 | 73,430 | 69,641 | 5.4 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Goodwill | 1,329 | 1,329 |  | 2,131 | 2,108 | 1.1 |
| Other intangible assets | 51 | 69 | $(26.1)$ | 1,490 | 1,193 | 24.9 |
| Assets | 56,359 | 53,809 | 4.7 | 82,164 | 78,059 | 5.3 |
| Noninterest-bearing deposits | 11,264 | 12,201 | $(7.7)$ | 12,663 | 13,319 | $(4.9)$ |
| Interest checking | 3,737 | 2,847 | 31.3 | 17,437 | 17,333 | .6 |
| Savings products | 5,481 | 5,181 | 5.8 | 20,591 | 23,809 | $(13.5)$ |
| Time deposits | 12,022 | 14,080 | $(14.6)$ | 18,831 | 17,326 | 8.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total deposits | 32,504 | 34,309 | $(5.3)$ | 69,522 | 71,787 | $(3.2)$ |
| Shareholders equity | 5,800 | 5,503 | 5.4 | 6,622 | 6,627 | $(.1)$ |


|  | Wholesale Banking |  |  | Consumer Banking |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nine Months Ended September 30 (Dollars in Millions) | 2006 | 2005 | Percent <br> Change | 2006 | 2005 | Percent <br> Change |
| Condensed Income Statement |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net interest income (taxable-equivalent basis) | \$1,440 | \$1,400 | 2.9\% | \$2,903 | \$2,824 | 2.8\% |
| Noninterest income | 668 | 654 | 2.1 | 1,306 | 1,361 | (4.0) |
| Securities gains (losses), net | 2 | (4) | * |  |  |  |
| Total net revenue | 2,110 | 2,050 | 2.9 | 4,209 | 4,185 | . 6 |
| Noninterest expense | 678 | 676 | . 3 | 1,827 | 1,809 | 1.0 |
| Other intangibles | 12 | 12 |  | 37 | 189 | (80.4) |
| Total noninterest expense | 690 | 688 | . 3 | 1,864 | 1,998 | (6.7) |
| Income before provision and income taxes | 1,420 | 1,362 | 4.3 | 2,345 | 2,187 | 7.2 |
| Provision for credit losses | (6) | 1 | * | 176 | 202 | (12.9) |
| Income before income taxes | 1,426 | 1,361 | 4.8 | 2,169 | 1,985 | 9.3 |
| Income taxes and taxable-equivalent adjustment | 519 | 495 | 4.8 | 790 | 723 | 9.3 |
| Net income | \$907 | \$866 | 4.7 | \$1,379 | \$1,262 | 9.3 |

## Average Balance Sheet Data

| Commercial | $\$ 33,164$ | $\$ 31,020$ | $6.9 \%$ | $\$ 6,381$ | $\$ 6,104$ | $4.5 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Commercial real estate | 17,262 | 16,779 | 2.9 | 10,691 | 10,252 | 4.3 |
| Residential mortgages | 61 | 62 | $(1.6)$ | 20,478 | 16,808 | 21.8 |
| Retail | 43 | 36 | 19.4 | 35,247 | 33,859 | 4.1 |


| Total loans | 50,530 | 47,897 | 5.5 | 72,797 | 67,023 | 8.6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Goodwill | 1,329 | 1,329 |  | 2,115 | 2,109 | .3 |
| Other intangible assets | 55 | 73 | $(24.7)$ | 1,425 | 1,159 | 23.0 |
| Assets | 56,032 | 53,068 | 5.6 | 81,003 | 74,868 | 8.2 |
| Noninterest-bearing deposits | 11,784 | 12,144 | $(3.0)$ | 12,686 | 13,067 | $(2.9)$ |
| Interest checking | 3,346 | 3,216 | 4.0 | 17,614 | 17,236 | 2.2 |
| Savings products | 5,449 | 5,308 | 2.7 | 21,425 | 24,587 | $(12.9)$ |
| Time deposits | 12,470 | 12,575 | $(.8)$ | 18,471 | 16,865 | 9.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total deposits | 33,049 | 33,243 | $(.6)$ | 70,196 | 71,755 | $(2.2)$ |
| Shareholders equity | 5,689 | 5,424 | 4.9 | 6,509 | 6,486 | .4 |
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| Wealth | Payment |
| :---: | :---: |
| Management | Services |


| Treasury and | Consolidated |
| :---: | :---: |
| Corporate Support | Company |

Percent Percent Percent Percent 2006 2005Change 2006 2005 Change 2006 2005 Change 2006 2005 Change

| $\$ 127$ | $\$ 111$ | $14.4 \%$ | $\$ 164$ | $\$ 154$ | $6.5 \%$ | $\$(82)$ | $\$ 85$ | $* \%$ | $\$ 1,673$ | $\$ 1,791$ | $(6.6) \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 354 | 301 | 17.6 | 672 | 579 | 16.1 | 45 | 1 | $*$ | 1,748 | 1,575 | 11.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Wealth | Payment | Treasury and | Consolidated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Management | Services | Corporate Support | Company |
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banking, student banking and 24-hour banking. Consumer Banking contributed $\$ 477$ million of the Company s net income in the third quarter and $\$ 1,379$ million in the first nine months of 2006 , or increases of $\$ 30$ million and $\$ 117$ million, respectively, compared with the same periods of 2005 . While the retail banking business grew net income 7.5 percent in the third quarter and 10.4 percent in the first nine months of 2006, the contribution of the mortgage banking business decreased 2.9 percent and 4.3 percent, respectively, compared with the same periods of 2005.

Total net revenue decreased $\$ 9$ million ( .6 percent) in the third quarter and increased $\$ 24$ million ( .6 percent) in the first nine months of 2006, compared with the same periods of 2005. Net interest income, on a taxable-equivalent basis, increased $\$ 21$ million in the third quarter and $\$ 79$ million in the first nine months of 2006, compared with the same periods of 2005. The year-over-year increases in net interest income were due to strong growth in average loans and the funding benefit of total deposits due to rising interest rates. Partially offsetting these increases were reduced spreads on commercial and retail loans due to competitive pricing. The increases in average loan balances reflected growth in residential mortgages, retail loans, commercial loans and commercial real estate loans. Residential mortgages, which include traditional residential mortgages, grew 12.8 percent in the third quarter and 21.8 percent in the first nine months of 2006, compared with the same periods of a year ago. Residential mortgage balances increased throughout 2005, reflecting the Company s retention of adjustable-rate residential mortgages. However, during the first nine months of 2006, residential mortgage balances have increased only slightly and are expected to remain essentially flat in future periods due to the Company s decision in the first quarter of 2006 to package and sell the majority of its residential mortgage loan production in the secondary markets. The growth in retail loans was principally driven by an increase in installment loans which increased 10.1 percent in the third quarter and 13.6 percent in the first nine months of 2006, over the same periods of 2005. The year-over-year decreases in average deposits were primarily due to a reduction in savings and noninterest-bearing deposit products, offset by growth in interest checking and time deposits. Average time deposit balances grew $\$ 1.5$ billion in the third quarter and $\$ 1.6$ billion in the first nine months of 2006, compared with the same periods of 2005, as a portion of noninterest-bearing and money market balances migrated to fixed-rate time deposit products. Average savings balances declined $\$ 3.2$ billion ( 13.5 percent) and $\$ 3.2$ billion ( 12.9 percent) in the third quarter and first nine months of 2006, respectively, compared with the same periods of 2005, principally related to money market accounts.

Fee-based noninterest income decreased $\$ 30$ million in the third quarter and $\$ 55$ million in the first nine months of 2006, compared with the same periods of 2005 . The year-over-year decline in fee-based revenue was driven by a reduction in mortgage banking revenue, partially offset by an increase in deposit service charges due to increased transaction-related fees and net new checking accounts. The reduction in mortgage banking revenue principally reflected the adoption of fair value accounting for MSRs as of January 1, 2006.

Noninterest expense decreased $\$ 50$ million ( 7.3 percent) in the third quarter and $\$ 134$ million ( 6.7 percent) in the first nine months of 2006, compared with the same periods of 2005. The decreases were primarily attributable to the elimination of MSR amortization under SFAS 156 which resulted in a reduction of other intangible expense. Partially offsetting this decrease were increases in compensation and employee benefit expenses. The increases in compensation and employee benefit expenses reflected the impact of the net addition of 33 in-store and 33 traditional branches at September 30, 2006, compared with September 30, 2005.

The provision for credit losses decreased $\$ 6$ million and $\$ 26$ million in the third quarter and first nine months of 2006, respectively, compared with the same periods of 2005. The improvements were attributable to lower net charge-offs. As a percentage of average loans outstanding, net charge-offs declined to .32 percent in the third quarter of 2006, compared with .37 percent in the third quarter of 2005 . The decline in net charge-offs included both the commercial and retail loan portfolios. Commercial and commercial real estate loan net charge-offs declined $\$ 2$ million in the third quarter of 2006, compared with the third quarter of 2005. Retail loan and residential mortgage net charge-offs declined by $\$ 4$ million in the third quarter of 2006, compared with the third quarter of 2005 , primarily due to lower bankruptcy losses and slightly higher retail recoveries. Nonperforming assets within Consumer Banking were $\$ 305$ million at September 30, 2006, $\$ 275$ million at June 30, 2006, and $\$ 302$ million at September 30, 2005. Nonperforming assets as a percentage of period-end loans were .. 43 percent at September 30, 2006, 39 percent at June 30, 2006, and .45 percent at September 30, 2005. Refer to the Corporate Risk Profile section for further
information on factors impacting the credit quality of the loan portfolios.
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Wealth Management provides trust, private banking, financial advisory, investment management, retail brokerage services, insurance, custody and mutual fund servicing through six businesses: Private Client Group, Corporate Trust, U.S. Bancorp Investments and Insurance, FAF Advisors, Institutional Trust and Custody and Fund Services. Wealth Management contributed $\$ 147$ million of the Company s net income in the third quarter and $\$ 433$ million in the first nine months of 2006, or increases of $\$ 25$ million and $\$ 84$ million, respectively, compared with the same periods of 2005. The growth was primarily attributable to higher total net revenue, partially offset by an increase in noninterest expense.

Total net revenue increased $\$ 69$ million ( 16.7 percent) in the third quarter and $\$ 239$ million ( 19.7 percent) in the first nine months of 2006, compared with the same periods of 2005. Net interest income, on a taxable-equivalent basis, increased $\$ 16$ million in the third quarter and $\$ 63$ million in the first nine months of 2006, compared with the same periods of 2005. The increases in net interest income were due to growth in total average deposits and the favorable impact of rising interest rates on the funding benefit of customer deposits, partially offset by a decline in loan spreads. The increase in total deposits was attributable to growth in noninterest-bearing deposits and time deposits principally in Corporate Trust. Noninterest income increased $\$ 53$ million in the third quarter and $\$ 176$ million in the first nine months of 2006, compared with the same periods of 2005, driven by account growth, favorable equity market conditions and the acquisition of a corporate and institutional trust business in late 2005.

Noninterest expense increased $\$ 30$ million ( 13.6 percent) in the third quarter and $\$ 107$ million ( 16.2 percent) in the first nine months of 2006, compared with the same periods of 2005. The increases in noninterest expense were primarily attributable to the acquisition of the corporate and institutional trust business.
Payment Services includes consumer and business credit cards, stored-value cards, debit cards, corporate and purchasing card services, consumer lines of credit, ATM processing and merchant processing. Payment Services contributed $\$ 252$ million of the Company s net income in the third quarter and $\$ 726$ million in the first nine months of 2006, or increases of $\$ 45$ million and $\$ 167$ million, respectively, compared with the same periods of 2005. The increases were due to growth in total net revenue driven by higher transaction volumes and a lower provision for credit losses, partially offset by increases in total noninterest expense.

Total net revenue increased $\$ 103$ million (14.1 percent) in the third quarter and $\$ 354$ million ( 17.3 percent) in the first nine months of 2006, compared with the same periods of 2005 . Net interest income increased $\$ 10$ million in the third quarter and $\$ 48$ million in the first nine months of 2006, compared with the same periods of 2005 . The increases were primarily due to growth in higher yielding retail loan balances, partially offset by an increase in non-earning assets resulting in higher funding expense. Noninterest income increased $\$ 93$ million in the third quarter and $\$ 306$ million in the first nine months of 2006, compared with the same periods of 2005. The increases in fee-based revenue were driven by strong growth in credit and debit card revenue, corporate payment products revenue and merchant processing revenue. Credit and debit card revenue increased due to higher customer transaction volume. Corporate payment products revenue reflected organic growth in sales volumes and card usage as well as the acquisition of a freight payments company in July of 2006. Merchant processing revenue also grew from a year ago due to an increase in sales volume driven by acquisitions, higher same store sales and equipment fees. Noninterest income for the first nine months of 2006 also included the impact of a $\$ 10$ million settlement in the first quarter.

Noninterest expense increased $\$ 47$ million ( 14.7 percent) in the third quarter and $\$ 161$ million ( 17.9 percent) in the first nine months of 2006, compared with the same periods of 2005. The increases in noninterest expense were primarily attributable to the acquisition of merchant acquiring and corporate payments businesses, higher compensation and employee benefit costs for processing associated with increased credit and debit card transaction volumes, higher corporate payment products and merchant processing sales volumes, and higher ATM processing services volumes.

The provision for credit losses decreased $\$ 14$ million ( 15.9 percent) in the third quarter and $\$ 70$ million ( 26.0 percent) in the first nine months of 2006, compared with the same periods of 2005, due to lower net charge-offs. As a percentage of average loans outstanding, net charge-offs were 2.29 percent in the third quarter of 2006, compared with 3.02 percent in the third quarter of 2005. The favorable change in credit losses reflected the near-term impact of changes in bankruptcy legislation in the fourth quarter of 2005.

Treasury and Corporate Support includes the Company s investment portfolios, funding, capital management and asset securitization activities, interest rate risk management, the net effect of transfer pricing related to
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average balances and the residual aggregate of those expenses associated with corporate activities that are managed on a consolidated basis. In addition, prior to the adoption of SFAS 156, changes in MSR valuations due to interest rate changes were managed at a corporate level and, as such, reported within this business unit. Treasury and Corporate Support recorded net income of $\$ 29$ million in the third quarter and $\$ 112$ million in the first nine months of 2006, or decreases of $\$ 62$ million and $\$ 198$ million, respectively, compared with the same periods of 2005.

Total net revenue decreased $\$ 124$ million in the third quarter and $\$ 267$ million in the first nine months of 2006, compared with the same periods of 2005. The year-over-year decreases in total net revenue were primarily due to unfavorable variances in net interest income, partially offset by higher noninterest income. The decrease in net interest income reflected the impact of a flatter yield curve and asset/liability management decisions, including reducing the investment securities portfolio, changes in interest rate derivative positions and the issuance of wholesale funding. Noninterest income increased $\$ 43$ million in the third quarter and $\$ 171$ million in the first nine months of 2006, compared with the same periods of 2005. The increase in noninterest income in the third quarter and first nine months of 2006 was driven by a gain on the sale of equity interests in a card association. The increase during the first nine months of 2006 was also due to trading income from derivatives, a gain from the initial public offering of a card association realized in the second quarter of 2006 and securities losses incurred in the first nine months of 2005.

Noninterest expense increased $\$ 38$ million in the third quarter and $\$ 33$ million in the first nine months of 2006, compared with the same periods of 2005. The increases in noninterest expense were driven by higher business integration costs related to recent acquisitions and amortization of community development investments.

The provision for credit losses for this business unit represents the residual aggregate of the net credit losses allocated to the reportable business units and the Company s recorded provision determined in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. Refer to the Corporate Risk Profile section for further information on the provision for credit losses, nonperforming assets and factors considered by the Company in assessing the credit quality of the loan portfolio and establishing the allowance for credit losses.

Income taxes are assessed to each line of business at a managerial tax rate of 36.4 percent with the residual tax expense or benefit to arrive at the consolidated effective tax rate included in Treasury and Corporate Support. The consolidated effective tax rate of the Company was 30.7 percent and 32.1 percent in the third quarter and first nine months of 2006, respectively, compared with 33.7 percent and 32.0 percent in the same periods of 2005 , respectively. The effective rate for the third quarter of 2006 reflected an expected increase in income tax credits from tax-advantaged investments through the remainder of the year.

## CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The accounting and reporting policies of the Company comply with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States and conform to general practices within the banking industry. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions. The financial position and results of operations can be affected by these estimates and assumptions, which are integral to understanding the Company s financial statements. Critical accounting policies are those policies that management believes are the most important to the portrayal of the Company s financial condition and results, and require management to make estimates that are difficult, subjective or complex. Most accounting policies are not considered by management to be critical accounting policies. Those policies considered to be critical accounting policies relate to the allowance for credit losses, MSRs, goodwill and other intangibles and income taxes. Management has discussed the development and the selection of critical accounting policies with the Company s Audit Committee. These accounting policies are discussed in detail in Management s Discussion and Analysis Critical Accounting Policies the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005. Refer to Note 2 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for discussion of the change in accounting for MSRs implemented in the first quarter of 2006.

## CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Under the supervision and with the participation of the Company s management, including its principal executive officer and principal financial officer, the Company has evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of its disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act )).
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Based upon this evaluation, the principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company s disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

During the most recently completed fiscal quarter, there was no change made in the Company s internal controls over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company s internal control over financial reporting.
U.S. Bancorp
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U.S. Bancorp

Consolidated Balance Sheet
$\left.\begin{array}{lrr} & \begin{array}{rl}\text { September 30, } \\ \text { (Dollars in Millions) } & 2006\end{array} & 2005 \\ & \text { (Unaudited) }\end{array}\right]$

## Liabilities and Shareholders Equity

Deposits

| Noninterest-bearing | \$30,554 | \$32,214 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest-bearing | 69,095 | 70,024 |
| Time deposits greater than \$100,000 | 21,312 | 22,471 |
| Total deposits | 120,961 | 124,709 |
| Short-term borrowings | 24,783 | 20,200 |
| Long-term debt | 41,230 | 37,069 |
| Other liabilities | 8,955 | 7,401 |
| Total liabilities | 195,929 | 189,379 |
| Shareholders equity |  |  |
| Preferred stock, par value $\$ 1.00$ a share (liquidation preference of $\$ 25,000$ per share) authorized: 50,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding: 9/30/06 40,000 shares | 1,000 |  |
| Common stock, par value $\$ 0.01$ a share authorized: $4,000,000,000$ shares; issued: 9/30/06 and 12/31/05 1,972,643,007 shares | 20 | 20 |
| Capital surplus | 5,770 | 5,907 |

[^3]| Retained earnings | 20,770 | 19,001 |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Less cost of common stock in treasury: 9/30/06 | $209,488,841$ shares; | $(6,093)$ | $(4,413)$ |
| $12 / 31 / 05$ 157,689,004 shares | $(541)$ | $(429)$ |  |
| Other comprehensive income | 20,926 | 20,086 |  |
| Total shareholders equity | $\$ 216,855$ | $\$ 209,465$ |  |
| Total liabilities and shareholders equity |  |  |  |
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U.S. Bancorp
Consolidated Statement of Income

| (Dollars and Shares in Millions, Except Per Share Data) (Unaudited) | Three Months Ended September 30, |  | Nine Months Ended <br> September 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Interest Income |  |  |  |  |
| Loans | \$2,569 | \$2,167 | \$7,350 | \$6,105 |
| Loans held for sale | 40 | 30 | 99 | 75 |
| Investment securities | 500 | 492 | 1,490 | 1,454 |
| Other interest income | 40 | 29 | 119 | 84 |
| Total interest income | 3,149 | 2,718 | 9,058 | 7,718 |
| Interest Expense |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits | 640 | 414 | 1,721 | 1,083 |
| Short-term borrowings | 321 | 205 | 861 | 460 |
| Long-term debt | 528 | 317 | 1,415 | 895 |
| Total interest expense | 1,489 | 936 | 3,997 | 2,438 |
| Net interest income | 1,660 | 1,782 | 5,061 | 5,280 |
| Provision for credit losses | 135 | 145 | 375 | 461 |
| Net interest income after provision for credit losses | 1,525 | 1,637 | 4,686 | 4,819 |
| Noninterest Income |  |  |  |  |
| Credit and debit card revenue | 206 | 185 | 590 | 516 |
| Corporate payment products revenue | 150 | 135 | 416 | 362 |
| ATM processing services | 63 | 64 | 183 | 168 |
| Merchant processing services | 253 | 200 | 719 | 576 |
| Trust and investment management fees | 305 | 251 | 916 | 751 |
| Deposit service charges | 268 | 246 | 764 | 690 |
| Treasury management fees | 111 | 109 | 334 | 333 |
| Commercial products revenue | 100 | 103 | 311 | 299 |
| Mortgage banking revenue | 68 | 111 | 167 | 323 |
| Investment products fees and commissions | 34 | 37 | 114 | 115 |
| Securities gains (losses), net |  | 1 | 3 | (57) |
| Other | 190 | 134 | 600 | 423 |
| Total noninterest income | 1,748 | 1,576 | 5,117 | 4,499 |
| Noninterest Expense |  |  |  |  |
| Compensation | 632 | 603 | 1,892 | 1,782 |
| Employee benefits | 123 | 106 | 379 | 330 |
| Net occupancy and equipment | 168 | 162 | 494 | 475 |
| Professional services | 54 | 44 | 130 | 119 |
| Marketing and business development | 58 | 61 | 156 | 171 |
| Technology and communications | 128 | 118 | 372 | 337 |
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| Postage, printing and supplies | 66 | 64 | 198 | 190 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Other intangibles | 89 | 125 | 263 | 377 |
| Debt prepayment <br> Other |  |  | 11 | 54 |
|  | 220 | 190 | 673 | 564 |
| Total noninterest expense | 1,538 | 1,473 | 4,568 | 4,399 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Income before income taxes | 1,735 | 1,740 | 5,235 | 4,919 |
| Applicable income taxes | 532 | 586 | 1,678 | 1,573 |
| Net income | $\$ 1,203$ | $\$ 1,154$ | $\$ 3,557$ | $\$ 3,346$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income applicable to common equity | $\$ 1,187$ | $\$ 1,154$ | $\$ 3,524$ | $\$ 3,346$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Earnings per common share | $\$ .67$ | $\$ .63$ | $\$ 1.98$ | $\$ 1.82$ |
| Diluted earnings per common share | $\$ .66$ | $\$ .62$ | $\$ 1.95$ | $\$ 1.80$ |
| Dividends declared per common share | $\$ .33$ | $\$ .30$ | $\$ .99$ | $\$ .90$ |
| Average common shares outstanding | 1,771 | 1,823 | 1,784 | 1,836 |
| Average diluted common shares outstanding | 1,796 | 1,849 | 1,809 | 1,862 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.
U.S. Bancorp
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U.S. Bancorp
Consolidated Statement of Shareholders Equity


## Total

comprehensive
income
3,154
Cash dividends
declared on common
stock $(1,647)$
$(1,647)$
Issuance of common and treasury stock $13 \quad 360$ (62) 298
Purchase of treasury stock (53)
$(1,549)$
Stock option and restricted stock grants 72
Shares reserved to
meet deferred
compensation
obligations
1
(4)

| Balance <br> September 30, 2005 | 1,818 | $\$$ | $\$ 20$ | $\$ 5,913$ | $\$ 18,457$ | $\$(4,318)$ | $\$(208)$ | $\$ 19,864$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Balance <br> December 31, 2005 | 1,815 | $\$$ | $\$ 20$ | $\$ 5,907$ | $\$ 19,001$ | $\$(4,413)$ | $\$(429)$ | $\$ 20,086$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Change in accounting <br> principle |  |  |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |  |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net income |  |  | 3,557 |  |  |  | 3,557 |  |
| Unrealized loss on securities available for sale |  |  |  |  |  |  | (52) | (52) |
| Unrealized gain on derivatives |  |  |  |  |  |  | 39 | 39 |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 5 |
| Realized loss on derivatives |  |  |  |  |  |  | (199) | (199) |
| Reclassification adjustment for losses realized in net income |  |  |  |  |  |  | 28 | 28 |
| Income taxes |  |  |  |  |  |  | 67 | 67 |
| Total comprehensive income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3,445 |
| Cash dividends declared: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Preferred |  |  |  |  | (33) |  |  | (33) |
| Common |  |  |  |  | $(1,759)$ |  |  | $(1,759)$ |
| Issuance of common and treasury stock | 28 |  |  | (95) |  | 812 |  | 717 |
| Purchase of treasury stock | (80) |  |  |  |  | $(2,488)$ |  | $(2,488)$ |
| Stock option and restricted stock grants |  |  |  | 9 |  |  |  | 9 |
| Shares reserved to meet deferred compensation obligations |  |  |  | 1 |  | (4) |  | (3) |
| Issuance of preferred stock |  | 1,000 |  | (52) |  |  |  | 948 |
| Balance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| September 30, 2006 | 1,763 | \$1,000 | \$20 | \$5,770 | \$20,770 | \$(6,093) | \$(541) | \$20,926 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.
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U.S. Bancorp
Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows

| (Dollars in Millions) | Nine Months Ended September 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| (Unaudited) | 2006 | 2005 |
| Operating Activities |  |  |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$4,512 | \$2,899 |
| Investing Activities |  |  |
| Proceeds from sales of available-for-sale investment securities | 1,132 | 3,065 |
| Proceeds from maturities of investment securities | 3,174 | 8,072 |
| Purchases of investment securities | $(5,094)$ | $(10,430)$ |
| Net (increase) decrease in loans outstanding | $(5,455)$ | $(8,940)$ |
| Proceeds from sales of loans | 1,394 | 1,150 |
| Purchases of loans | $(2,171)$ | $(2,581)$ |
| Acquisitions, net of cash acquired | (587) | (279) |
| Other, net | (305) | $(1,216)$ |
| Net cash used in investing activities | $(7,912)$ | $(11,159)$ |
| Financing Activities |  |  |
| Net increase (decrease) in deposits | $(4,313)$ | 54 |
| Net increase (decrease) in short-term borrowings | 4,462 | 9,977 |
| Principal payments or redemption of long-term debt | $(9,103)$ | $(9,248)$ |
| Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt | 13,379 | 11,002 |
| Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock | 948 |  |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock | 613 | 246 |
| Repurchase of common stock | $(2,480)$ | $(1,605)$ |
| Cash dividends paid on preferred stock | (17) |  |
| Cash dividends paid on common stock | $(1,777)$ | $(1,660)$ |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 1,712 | 8,766 |
| Change in cash and cash equivalents | $(1,688)$ | 506 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 8,202 | 6,537 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$6,514 | \$7,043 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.
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Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)
Note 1 Basis of Presentation
The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q and, therefore, do not include all information and notes necessary for a complete presentation of financial position, results of operations and cash flow activity required in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. In the opinion of management of U.S. Bancorp (the Company ), all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments) necessary for a fair statement of results for the interim periods have been made. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and notes included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005. Certain amounts in prior periods have been reclassified to conform to the current presentation.

Accounting policies for the lines of business are generally the same as those used in preparation of the consolidated financial statements with respect to activities specifically attributable to each business line. However, the preparation of business line results requires management to establish methodologies to allocate funding costs and benefits, expenses and other financial elements to each line of business. Table 11 Line of Business Financial Performance provides details of segment results. This information is incorporated by reference into these Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.
Note 2 Accounting Changes
Employers Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans In September 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) issued Statement No. 158 ( SFAS 158 ), Employers Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106, and 132(R) , effective for the Company for the year ending December 31, 2006. This statement requires the recognition of the overfunded or underfunded status of a defined benefit postretirement plan as an asset or liability on the balance sheet, and recognition of changes in that funded status in the year in which the changes occur through other comprehensive income. The adoption of SFAS 158 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company s financial statements.
Fair Value Measurements In September 2006, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157 ( SFAS 157 ), Fair Value Measurements , effective for the Company beginning on January 1, 2008, with earlier adoption permitted. This Statement defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. This statement establishes a fair value hierarchy that distinguishes between valuations obtained from sources independent of the entity and those from the entity s own unobservable inputs that are not corroborated by observable market data. SFAS 157 expands disclosures about the use of fair value to measure assets and liabilities in interim and annual periods subsequent to initial recognition. The disclosures focus on the inputs used to measure fair value and for recurring fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs, the effect of the measurements on earnings or changes in net assets for the period. This statement encourages an entity to combine the fair value information disclosed under this statement with the fair value information disclosed under other accounting pronouncements, including SFAS 107, Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments , where practicable. The Company is currently assessing the impact of this guidance on its financial statements.
Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes In June 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 48 ( FIN 48 ), Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes, effective for the Company beginning on January 1, 2007. FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for income taxes by prescribing the minimum recognition threshold a tax position is required to meet before being recognized in the financial statements. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, measurement, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. The Company is currently assessing the impact of this guidance on its financial statements.
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Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets In March 2006, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 156, Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets (SFAS 156 ), that amends accounting and reporting standards for servicing assets and liabilities under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 140, Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities . Specifically, SFAS 156 requires that all separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities be initially measured at fair value, if practicable. For subsequent measurement purposes, SFAS 156 permits an entity to choose to measure servicing assets and liabilities either based on fair value or lower of cost or market ( LOCOM ). The Company elected to adopt SFAS 156 effective January 1, 2006, utilizing the fair value measurement option for residential mortgage servicing rights ( MSRs ) and continuing the LOCOM method for all other servicing assets and liabilities. Adopting the fair value measurement method resulted in the Company recording a cumulative-effect accounting adjustment to increase beginning retained earnings by $\$ 4$ million (net of tax). Approximately $\$ 3$ million represented the difference between the fair value and the carrying amount of the Company s MSRs as of January 1, 2006, and the additional $\$ 1$ million represented the reclassification of unrealized gains in accumulated other comprehensive income at adoption, for certain available-for-sale securities reclassified to trading securities upon the adoption of the provisions of this statement. Additional information regarding MSRs is disclosed in Note 5 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.
Other-Than-Temporary Impairment In November 2005, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position FAS 115-1, The Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment and Its Application to Certain Investments ( FSP 115-1 ), effective for the Company beginning on January 1, 2006. FSP 115-1 provides clarification on when an investment is considered impaired, whether that impairment is other than temporary, and the measurement of an impairment loss. FSP 115-1 also requires certain disclosures for unrealized losses that have not been recognized as other-than-temporary impairments. The adoption of FSP 115-1 did not have a material impact on the Company s financial statements. Stock-Based Compensation In December 2004, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised 2004) ( SFAS 123R ), Share-Based Payment, a revision of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 ( SFAS 123 ), Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation. SFAS 123R requires companies to measure the cost of employee services in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the grant-date fair value of the award. This statement eliminates the use of the alternative intrinsic value method of accounting that was allowed when SFAS 123 was originally issued. The provisions of this statement were effective for the Company beginning on January 1, 2006. The Company adopted SFAS 123R using the modified retrospective method. Because the Company retroactively adopted the fair value method in 2003, the impact of expensing stock-based awards was already recorded in the Company s financial results. In conjunction with the adoption of SFAS 123R, the Company recognized $\$ 13$ million of incremental stock-based compensation expense due to certain provisions that require immediate recognition of the value of stock awards to employees that meet retirement status, despite their continued active employment. Upon adoption, the Company also changed its method of expensing all new awards from an accelerated to a straight-line attribution method. This methodology change for expensing stock awards is expected to reduce expenses in 2006 by approximately $\$ 33$ million ( $\$ 20$ million after tax).
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