

CVR ENERGY INC  
Form 10-Q  
May 05, 2010

**Table of Contents**

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549**

**Form 10-Q**

(Mark One)

- QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**  
**For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2010**
- OR**
- TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**  
**For the transition period from        to        .**

**Commission file number: 001-33492**

**CVR ENERGY, INC.**

*(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)*

**Delaware**

*(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)*

**61-1512186**

*(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)*

**2277 Plaza Drive, Suite 500  
Sugar Land, Texas**

*(Address of principal executive offices)*

**77479**

*(Zip Code)*

**(281) 207-3200**

*(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)*

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 or Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer  Smaller reporting company   
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Edgar Filing: CVR ENERGY INC - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

There were 86,329,237 shares of the registrant's common stock outstanding at May 4, 2010.

---

**CVR ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**INDEX TO QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q  
For The Quarter Ended March 31, 2010**

	<b>Page No.</b>
<b><u>PART I. Financial Information</u></b>	
<u>Item 1.</u>	
<u>Financial Statements</u>	4
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets March 31, 2010 (unaudited) and December 31, 2009</u>	4
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations Three Months Ended March 31, 2010 and 2009 (unaudited)</u>	5
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Three Months Ended March 31, 2010 and 2009 (unaudited)</u>	6
<u>Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements March 31, 2010 (unaudited)</u>	7
<u>Item 2.</u>	
<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	29
<u>Item 3.</u>	
<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	53
<u>Item 4.</u>	
<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	53
<b><u>PART II. Other Information</u></b>	
<u>Item 1.</u>	
<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	55
<u>Item 1A.</u>	
<u>Risk Factors</u>	55
<u>Item 6.</u>	
<u>Exhibits</u>	56
<b><u>Signatures</u></b>	59
<u>EX-10.1</u>	
<u>EX-10.2</u>	
<u>EX-10.3</u>	
<u>EX-10.4</u>	
<u>EX-10.5</u>	
<u>EX-10.6</u>	
<u>EX-10.7</u>	
<u>EX-10.8</u>	
<u>EX-12.1</u>	
<u>EX-31.1</u>	
<u>EX-31.2</u>	
<u>EX-32.1</u>	
<u>EX-32.2</u>	

---

**Table of Contents**

**GLOSSARY OF SELECTED TERMS**

The following are definitions of certain industry terms used in this Form 10-Q.

**2-1-1 crack spread** The approximate gross margin resulting from processing two barrels of crude oil to produce one barrel of gasoline and one barrel of distillate. The 2-1-1 crack spread is expressed in dollars per barrel.

**Ammonia** Ammonia is a direct application fertilizer and is primarily used as a building block for other nitrogen products for industrial applications and finished fertilizer products.

**Backwardation market** Market situation in which futures prices are lower in succeeding delivery months. Also known as an inverted market. The opposite of contango.

**Barrel** Common unit of measure in the oil industry which equates to 42 gallons.

**Blendstocks** Various compounds that are combined with gasoline or diesel from the crude oil refining process to make finished gasoline and diesel fuel; these may include natural gasoline, fluid catalytic cracking unit or FCCU gasoline, ethanol, reformate or butane, among others.

**bpd** Abbreviation for barrels per day.

**Bulk sales** Volume sales through third party pipelines, in contrast to tanker truck quantity sales.

**Capacity** Capacity is defined as the throughput a process unit is capable of sustaining, either on a calendar or stream day basis. The throughput may be expressed in terms of maximum sustainable, nameplate or economic capacity. The maximum sustainable or nameplate capacities may not be the most economical. The economic capacity is the throughput that generally provides the greatest economic benefit based on considerations such as feedstock costs, product values and downstream unit constraints.

**Catalyst** A substance that alters, accelerates, or instigates chemical changes, but is neither produced, consumed nor altered in the process.

**Coker unit** A refinery unit that utilizes the lowest value component of crude oil remaining after all higher value products are removed, further breaks down the component into more valuable products and converts the rest into pet coke.

**Common units** The class of interests issued under the limited liability company agreements governing Coffeyville Acquisition LLC, Coffeyville Acquisition II LLC and Coffeyville Acquisition III LLC, which provide for voting rights and have rights with respect to profits and losses of, and distributions from, the respective limited liability companies.

**Contango market** Market situation in which prices for future delivery are higher than the current or spot price of the commodity. The opposite of backwardation.

**Crack spread** A simplified calculation that measures the difference between the price for light products and crude oil. For example, the 2-1-1 crack spread is often referenced and represents the approximate gross margin resulting from processing two barrels of crude oil to produce one barrel of gasoline and one barrel of distillate.

**Distillates** Primarily diesel fuel, kerosene and jet fuel.

**Ethanol** A clear, colorless, flammable oxygenated hydrocarbon. Ethanol is typically produced chemically from ethylene, or biologically from fermentation of various sugars from carbohydrates found in agricultural crops and cellulosic residues from crops or wood. It is used in the United States as a gasoline octane enhancer and oxygenate.

**Farm belt** Refers to the states of Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, South Dakota, Texas and Wisconsin.

**Feedstocks** Petroleum products, such as crude oil and natural gas liquids, that are processed and blended into refined products.

**Table of Contents**

**Heavy crude oil** A relatively inexpensive crude oil characterized by high relative density and viscosity. Heavy crude oils require greater levels of processing to produce high value products such as gasoline and diesel fuel.

**Independent petroleum refiner** A refiner that does not have crude oil exploration or production operations. An independent refiner purchases the crude oil used as feedstock in its refinery operations from third parties.

**Light crude oil** A relatively expensive crude oil characterized by low relative density and viscosity. Light crude oils require lower levels of processing to produce high value products such as gasoline and diesel fuel.

**Magellan** Magellan Midstream Partners L.P., a publicly traded company whose business is the transportation, storage and distribution of refined petroleum products.

**MMBtu** One million British thermal units or Btu is a measure of energy. One Btu of heat is required to raise the temperature of one pound of water one degree Fahrenheit.

**Natural gas liquids** Natural gas liquids, often referred to as NGLs, are both feedstocks used in the manufacture of refined fuels and are products of the refining process. Common NGLs used include propane, isobutane, normal butane and natural gasoline.

**PADD II** Midwest Petroleum Area for Defense District which includes Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, South Dakota, Tennessee, and Wisconsin.

**Petroleum coke (Pet coke)** A coal-like substance that is produced during the refining process.

**Refined products** Petroleum products, such as gasoline, diesel fuel and jet fuel, that are produced by a refinery.

**Sour crude oil** A crude oil that is relatively high in sulfur content, requiring additional processing to remove the sulfur. Sour crude oil is typically less expensive than sweet crude oil.

**Spot market** A market in which commodities are bought and sold for cash and delivered immediately.

**Sweet crude oil** A crude oil that is relatively low in sulfur content, requiring less processing to remove the sulfur. Sweet crude oil is typically more expensive than sour crude oil.

**Throughput** The volume processed through a unit or a refinery or transported on a pipeline.

**Turnaround** A periodically required standard procedure to refurbish and maintain a refinery that involves the shutdown and inspection of major processing units and occurs every three to four years.

**UAN** A solution of urea and ammonium nitrate in water used as a fertilizer.

**WTI** West Texas Intermediate crude oil, a light, sweet crude oil, characterized by an American Petroleum Institute gravity, or API gravity, between 39 and 41 degrees and a sulfur content of approximately 0.4 weight percent that is used as a benchmark for other crude oils.

**WTS** West Texas Sour crude oil, a relatively light, sour crude oil characterized by an API gravity of 30-32 degrees and a sulfur content of approximately 2.0 weight percent.

**Yield** The percentage of refined products that is produced from crude oil and other feedstocks.





**Table of Contents****PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****CVR ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

	<b>March 31, 2010 (unaudited)</b>	<b>December 31, 2009</b>
	<b>(in thousands, except share data)</b>	
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 37,536	\$ 36,905
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$5,037 and \$4,772, respectively	61,537	45,729
Inventories	255,612	274,838
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	26,251	26,141
Income tax receivable	31,177	20,858
Deferred income taxes	22,647	21,505
Total current assets	434,760	425,976
Property, plant, and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation	1,126,443	1,137,910
Intangible assets, net	369	377
Goodwill	40,969	40,969
Deferred financing costs, net	4,422	3,485
Insurance receivable	1,000	1,000
Other long-term assets	4,998	4,777
Total assets	\$ 1,612,961	\$ 1,614,494
<b>LIABILITIES AND EQUITY</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Current portion of long-term debt	\$	\$ 4,777
Note payable and capital lease obligation	8,099	11,774
Accounts payable	115,892	106,471
Personnel accruals	18,927	14,916
Accrued taxes other than income taxes	21,596	15,904
Deferred revenue	30,080	10,289
Other current liabilities	20,611	26,493
Total current liabilities	215,205	190,624

Edgar Filing: CVR ENERGY INC - Form 10-Q

Long-term liabilities:		
Long-term debt, net of current portion	453,304	474,726
Accrued environmental liabilities, net of current portion	2,754	2,828
Deferred income taxes	281,817	278,008
Other long-term liabilities	3,949	3,893
Total long-term liabilities	741,824	759,455
Commitments and contingencies		
Equity:		
CVR stockholders' equity:		
Common Stock \$0.01 par value per share, 350,000,000 shares authorized, 86,344,508 and 86,344,508 shares issued, respectively	863	863
Additional paid-in-capital	450,143	446,263
Retained earnings	194,426	206,789
Treasury stock, 15,271 and 15,271 shares, respectively, at cost	(100)	(100)
Total CVR stockholders' equity	645,332	653,815
Noncontrolling interest	10,600	10,600
Total equity	655,932	664,415
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 1,612,961	\$ 1,614,494

See accompanying notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents****CVR ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>
	<b>(unaudited)</b>	
	<b>(in thousands, except share data)</b>	
Net sales	\$ 894,512	\$ 609,395
Operating costs and expenses:		
Cost of product sold (exclusive of depreciation and amortization)	802,890	421,605
Direct operating expenses (exclusive of depreciation and amortization)	60,562	56,234
Selling, general and administrative expenses (exclusive of depreciation and amortization)	21,394	19,506
Net costs associated with flood		181
Depreciation and amortization	21,260	20,909
Total operating costs and expenses	906,106	518,435
Operating income (loss)	(11,594)	90,960
Other income (expense):		
Interest expense and other financing costs	(9,922)	(11,470)
Interest income	416	14
Gain (loss) on derivatives, net	1,490	(36,861)
Loss on extinguishment of debt	(500)	
Other income, net	42	25
Total other income (expense)	(8,474)	(48,292)
Income (loss) before income tax expense (benefit)	(20,068)	42,668
Income tax expense (benefit)	(7,705)	12,007
Net income (loss)	\$ (12,363)	\$ 30,661
Basic earnings (loss) per share	\$ (0.14)	\$ 0.36
Diluted earnings (loss) per share	\$ (0.14)	\$ 0.36
Weighted-average common shares outstanding:		
Basic	86,329,237	86,243,745
Diluted	86,329,237	86,322,411

See accompanying notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents****CVR ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

	<b>Three Months Ended</b>	
	<b>March 31,</b>	
	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>
	<b>(unaudited)</b>	
	<b>(in thousands)</b>	
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income (loss)	\$ (12,363)	\$ 30,661
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	21,260	20,909
Provision for doubtful accounts	265	185
Amortization of deferred financing costs	462	535
Deferred income taxes	2,667	(5,090)
Loss on disposition of fixed assets	343	8
Loss on extinguishment of debt	500	
Share-based compensation	7,279	3,854
Unrealized (gain) loss on derivatives	(3,180)	18,434
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Restricted cash		34,560
Accounts receivable	(16,073)	(32,478)
Inventories	19,226	(24,632)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(469)	11,580
Insurance proceeds from flood		11,756
Other long-term assets	(390)	3,622
Accounts payable	10,878	(25,392)
Accrued income taxes	(10,319)	24,780
Deferred revenue	19,791	2,670
Other current liabilities	3,602	10,321
Payable to swap counterparty		(49,301)
Accrued environmental liabilities	(74)	(300)
Other long-term liabilities	56	(9)
Net cash provided by operating activities	43,461	36,673
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Capital expenditures	(11,416)	(15,918)
Net cash used in investing activities	(11,416)	(15,918)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Revolving debt payments	(40,000)	(72,700)
Revolving debt borrowings	40,000	72,700
Principal payments on term debt	(26,199)	(1,211)
Payment of financing costs	(5,195)	

Edgar Filing: CVR ENERGY INC - Form 10-Q

Payment of capital lease obligation	(20)	(40)
Net cash used in financing activities	(31,414)	(1,251)
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	631	19,504
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	36,905	8,923
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 37,536	\$ 28,427
Supplemental disclosures:		
Cash paid for income taxes, net of refunds (received)	\$ (53)	\$ (7,683)
Cash paid for interest, net of capitalized interest of \$881 and \$413 in 2010 and 2009, respectively	10,505	9,102
Non-cash investing activities:		
Accrual of construction in progress additions	(1,457)	(3,756)

See accompanying notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents**

**CVR ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**MARCH 31, 2010**

**(unaudited)**

**(1) Organization and History of the Company and Basis of Presentation**

***Organization***

The Company or CVR may be used to refer to CVR Energy, Inc. and, unless the context otherwise requires, its subsidiaries. Any references to the Company as of a date prior to October 16, 2007 (the date of the restructuring as further discussed in this note) and subsequent to June 24, 2005 are to Coffeyville Acquisition LLC ( CALLC ) and its subsidiaries.

The Company, through its wholly-owned subsidiaries, acts as an independent petroleum refiner and marketer of high value transportation fuels in the mid-continental United States. In addition, the Company, through its majority-owned subsidiaries, acts as an independent producer and marketer of upgraded nitrogen fertilizer products in North America. The Company's operations include two business segments: the petroleum segment and the nitrogen fertilizer segment.

CALLC formed CVR Energy, Inc. as a wholly-owned subsidiary, incorporated in Delaware in September 2006, in order to effect an initial public offering. The initial public offering of CVR was consummated on October 26, 2007. In conjunction with the initial public offering, a restructuring occurred in which CVR became a direct or indirect owner of all of the subsidiaries of CALLC. Additionally, in connection with the initial public offering, CALLC was split into two entities: CALLC and Coffeyville Acquisition II LLC ( CALLC II ).

CVR is a controlled company under the rules and regulations of the New York Stock Exchange where its shares are traded under the symbol CVI. As of March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, approximately 64% of its outstanding shares were beneficially owned by GS Capital Partners V, L.P. and related entities ( GS or Goldman Sachs Funds ) and Kelso Investment Associates VII, L.P. and related entities ( Kelso or Kelso Funds ).

***Nitrogen Fertilizer Limited Partnership***

In conjunction with the consummation of CVR's initial public offering in 2007, CVR transferred Coffeyville Resources Nitrogen Fertilizer, LLC ( CRNF ), its nitrogen fertilizer business, to a then newly created limited partnership, CVR Partners, LP (the Partnership ), in exchange for a managing general partner interest ( managing GP interest ), a special general partner interest ( special GP interest ) represented by special GP units and a de minimis limited partner interest represented by special LP units. This transfer was not considered a business combination as it was a transfer of assets among entities under common control and, accordingly, balances were transferred at their historical cost. CVR concurrently sold the managing GP interest to Coffeyville Acquisition III LLC ( CALLC III ), an entity owned by its controlling stockholders and senior management, at fair market value. The board of directors of CVR determined, after consultation with management, that the fair market value of the managing GP interest was \$10,600,000. This interest has been classified as a noncontrolling interest included as a separate component of equity in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009.

CVR owns all of the interests in the Partnership (other than the managing GP interest and the associated incentive distribution rights ( IDRs )) and is entitled to all cash distributed by the Partnership except with respect to IDRs. The managing general partner is not entitled to participate in Partnership distributions except with respect to its IDRs, which entitle the managing general partner to receive increasing percentages (up to 48%) of the cash the Partnership

distributes in excess of \$0.4313 per unit in a quarter. However, the Partnership is not permitted to make any distributions with respect to the IDRs until the aggregate Adjusted Operating Surplus, as defined in the Partnership's partnership agreement, generated by the Partnership through December 31, 2009, has been distributed in respect of the units held by CVR and any common units issued by

**Table of Contents**

**CVR ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

the Partnership if it elects to pursue an initial public offering. In addition, the Partnership and its subsidiaries are currently guarantors under the first priority credit facility of Coffeyville Resources, LLC ( CRLLC ), a wholly-owned subsidiary of CVR. There will be no distributions paid with respect to the IDRs for so long as the Partnership or its subsidiaries are guarantors under the first priority credit facility.

The Partnership is operated by CVR's senior management pursuant to a services agreement among CVR, the managing general partner, and the Partnership. The Partnership is managed by the managing general partner and, to the extent described below, CVR, as special general partner. As special general partner of the Partnership, CVR has joint management rights regarding the appointment, termination, and compensation of the chief executive officer and chief financial officer of the managing general partner, has the right to designate two members of the board of directors of the managing general partner, and has joint management rights regarding specified major business decisions relating to the Partnership. CVR, the Partnership, and the managing general partner also entered into a number of agreements to regulate certain business relations between the parties.

At March 31, 2010, the Partnership had 30,333 special LP units outstanding, representing 0.1% of the total Partnership units outstanding, and 30,303,000 special GP interests outstanding, representing 99.9% of the total Partnership units outstanding. In addition, the managing general partner owned the managing GP interest and the IDRs. The managing general partner contributed 1% of CRNF's interest to the Partnership in exchange for its managing GP interest and the IDRs.

In accordance with the Contribution, Conveyance, and Assumption Agreement, by and between the Partnership and the partners, dated as of October 24, 2007, since an initial private or public offering of the Partnership was not consummated by October 24, 2009, the managing general partner of the Partnership can require the Company to purchase the managing GP interest. This put right expires on the earlier of (1) October 24, 2012 or (2) the closing of the Partnership's initial private or public offering. If the Partnership's initial private or public offering is not consummated by October 24, 2012, the Company has the right to require the managing general partner to sell the managing GP interest to the Company. This call right expires on the closing of the Partnership's initial private or public offering. In the event of an exercise of a put right or a call right, the purchase price will be the fair market value of the managing GP interest at the time of the purchase determined by an independent investment banking firm selected by the Company and the managing general partner.

***Basis of Presentation***

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements were prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ( GAAP ) and in accordance with the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission ( SEC ). The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of CVR and its majority-owned direct and indirect subsidiaries. The ownership interests of noncontrolling investors in its subsidiaries are recorded as a noncontrolling interest included as a separate component of equity for all periods presented. All intercompany account balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. Certain information and footnotes required for complete financial statements under GAAP have been condensed or omitted pursuant to SEC rules and regulations. These unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the December 31, 2009 audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in CVR's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, which was filed with the SEC on March 12, 2010.



In the opinion of the Company's management, the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements reflect all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments) that are necessary to fairly present the financial position of the Company as of March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, and the cash flows for the three

**Table of Contents**

**CVR ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009. Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to current year presentation.

Results of operations and cash flows for the interim periods presented are not necessarily indicative of the results that will be realized for the year ending December 31, 2010 or any other interim period. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

The Company evaluated subsequent events, that would require an adjustment to the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements or require disclosure in the notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements. The Company has evaluated subsequent events through the date of issuance of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

**(2) Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

In January 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) issued Accounting Standards Update ( ASU ) No. 2010-06, Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements an amendment to Accounting Standards Codification ( ASC ) Topic 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures. This amendment requires an entity to: (i) disclose separately the amounts of significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements and describe the reasons for the transfers, (ii) present separate information for Level 3 activity pertaining to gross purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements and (iii) enhance disclosures of assets and liabilities subject to fair value measurements. The provisions of ASU No. 2010-06 are effective for the Company for interim and annual reporting beginning after December 15, 2009, with one new disclosure effective after December 15, 2010. The Company adopted this ASU as of January 1, 2010. The adoption of this standard did not impact the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In June 2009, the FASB issued an amendment to a previously issued standard regarding consolidation of variable interest entities. This amendment was intended to improve financial reporting by enterprises involved with variable interest entities. Overall, the amendment revises the test for determining the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity from a primarily quantitative analysis to a qualitative analysis. The provisions of the amendment are effective as of the beginning of the entity's first annual reporting period that begins after November 15, 2009, for interim periods within that first annual reporting period, and for interim and annual reporting periods thereafter. The Company adopted this standard as of January 1, 2010. The adoption of this standard did not impact the Company's financial position or results of operations.

**(3) Share-Based Compensation**

Prior to CVR's initial public offering in October 2007, CVR's subsidiaries were held and operated by CALLC. Management of CVR holds an equity interest in CALLC. CALLC issued non-voting override units to certain management members who held common units of CALLC. There were no required capital contributions for the override operating units. In connection with CVR's initial public offering, CALLC was split into two entities: CALLC and CALLC II. In connection with this split, management's equity interest in CALLC, including both their common units and non-voting override units, was split so that half of management's equity interest was in CALLC and half was in CALLC II. CALLC was historically the primary reporting company and CVR's predecessor. In addition, in

connection with the transfer of the managing GP interest of the Partnership to CALLC III in October 2007, CALLC III issued non-voting override units to certain management members of CALLC III.

CVR, CALLC, CALLC II and CALLC III account for share-based compensation in accordance with standards issued by the FASB regarding the treatment of share-based compensation, as well as guidance

Table of Contents**CVR ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

regarding the accounting for share-based compensation granted to employees of an equity method investee. CVR has been allocated non-cash share-based compensation expense from CALLC, CALLC II and CALLC III.

In accordance with these standards, CVR, CALLC, CALLC II and CALLC III apply a fair-value based measurement method in accounting for share-based compensation. In addition, CVR recognizes the costs of the share-based compensation incurred by CALLC, CALLC II and CALLC III on its behalf, primarily in selling, general, and administrative expenses (exclusive of depreciation and amortization), and a corresponding capital contribution, as the costs are incurred on its behalf, following the guidance issued by the FASB regarding the accounting for equity instruments that are issued to other than employees for acquiring, or in conjunction with selling goods or services, which requires remeasurement at each reporting period through the performance commitment period, or in CVR's case, through the vesting period.

At March 31, 2010, the value of the override units of CALLC and CALLC II was derived from a probability-weighted expected return method. The probability-weighted expected return method involves a forward-looking analysis of possible future outcomes, the estimation of ranges of future and present value under each outcome, and the application of a probability factor to each outcome in conjunction with the application of the current value of the Company's common stock price with a Black-Scholes option pricing formula, as remeasured at each reporting date until the awards are vested.

The estimated fair value of the override units of CALLC III has been determined using a probability-weighted expected return method which utilizes CALLC III's cash flow projections, which are representative of the nature of the interests held by CALLC III in the Partnership.

The following table provides key information for the share-based compensation plans related to the override units of CALLC, CALLC II, and CALLC III. Compensation expense amounts are disclosed in thousands.

Award Type	Benchmark Value (per Unit)	Original Awards Issued	Grant Date	*Compensation Expense Increase (Decrease) for the Three Months Ended March 31,	
				2010	2009
Override Operating Units(a)	\$ 11.31	919,630	June 2005	\$ 415	\$ 584
Override Operating Units(b)	\$ 34.72	72,492	December 2006	15	24
Override Value Units(c)	\$ 11.31	1,839,265	June 2005	3,181	1,187
Override Value Units(d)	\$ 34.72	144,966	December 2006	93	61
Override Units(e)	\$ 10.00	138,281	October 2007		
Override Units(f)	\$ 10.00	642,219	February 2008	2	1
			Total	\$ 3,706	\$ 1,857

\* As CVR's common stock price increases or decreases, compensation expense increases or is reversed in correlation with the calculation of the fair value under the probability-weighted expected return method.

Table of Contents**CVR ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)***Valuation Assumptions*

Significant assumptions used in the valuation of the Override Operating Units (a) and (b) were as follows:

	<b>(a) Override Operating Units March 31,</b>		<b>(b) Override Operating Units March 31,</b>	
	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>
	Estimated forfeiture rate	None	None	None
CVR closing stock price	\$8.75	\$5.54	\$8.75	\$5.54
Estimated weighted-average fair value (per unit)	\$15.01	\$10.77	\$2.52	\$2.62
Marketability and minority interest discounts	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%
Volatility	50.0%	68.2%	50.0%	68.2%

On the tenth anniversary of the issuance of override operating units, such units convert into an equivalent number of override value units. Override operating units are forfeited upon termination of employment for cause. The explicit service period for override operating unit recipients is based on the forfeiture schedule below. In the event of all other terminations of employment, the override operating units are initially subject to forfeiture as follows:

<b>Minimum Period Held</b>	<b>Forfeiture Percentage</b>
2 years	75%
3 years	50%
4 years	25%
5 years	0%

Significant assumptions used in the valuation of the Override Value Units (c) and (d) were as follows:

	<b>(c) Override Value Units March 31,</b>		<b>(d) Override Value Units March 31,</b>	
	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>
	Estimated forfeiture rate	None	None	None
Derived service period	6 years	6 years	6 years	6 years
CVR closing stock price	\$8.75	\$5.54	\$8.75	\$5.54
Estimated weighted-average fair value (per unit)	\$9.61	\$5.17	\$2.50	\$2.62
Marketability and minority interest discounts	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%
Volatility	50.0%	68.2%	50.0%	68.2%

Unless the compensation committee of the board of directors of CVR takes an action to prevent forfeiture, override value units are forfeited upon termination of employment for any reason, except that in the event of termination of employment by reason of death or disability, all override value units are initially subject to forfeiture as follows:

<b>Minimum Period Held</b>	<b>Forfeiture Percentage</b>
2 years	75%
3 years	50%
4 years	25%
5 years	0%

**Table of Contents****CVR ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

(e) *Override Units* Using a binomial and a probability-weighted expected return method that utilized CALLC III's cash flow projections which includes expected future earnings and the anticipated timing of IDRs, the estimated grant date fair value of the override units was approximately \$3,000. As a non-contributing investor, CVR also recognized income equal to the amount that its interest in the investee's net book value has increased (that is its percentage share of the contributed capital recognized by the investee) as a result of the disproportionate funding of the compensation cost. As of March 31, 2010 these units were fully vested. Significant assumptions used in the valuation were as follows:

Estimated forfeiture rate	None
Grant date valuation	\$0.02 per unit
Marketability and minority interest discount	15.0%
Volatility	34.7%

(f) *Override Units* Using a probability-weighted expected return method that utilized CALLC III's cash flow projections which includes expected future earnings and the anticipated timing of IDRs, the estimated grant date fair value of the override units was approximately \$3,000. As a non-contributing investor, CVR also recognized income equal to the amount that its interest in the investee's net book value has increased (that is its percentage share of the contributed capital recognized by the investee) as a result of the disproportionate funding of the compensation cost. Of the 642,219 units issued, 109,720 were immediately vested upon issuance and the remaining units are subject to a forfeiture schedule. Significant assumptions used in the valuation were as follows:

	2010	March 31, 2009
Estimated forfeiture rate	None	None
Derived Service Period	Based on forfeiture schedule	Based on forfeiture schedule
Estimated fair value (per unit)	\$0.08	\$0.02
Marketability and minority interest discount	20.0%	20.0%
Volatility	59.7%	47.0%

Assuming no change in the estimated fair value at March 31, 2010, there was approximately \$3,631,000 of unrecognized compensation expense related to non-voting override units. This expense is expected to be recognized over a remaining period of approximately two years as follows (in thousands):

	<b>Override Operating Units</b>	<b>Override Value Units</b>
Nine months ending December 31, 2010	\$ 136	\$ 2,140
Year ending December 31, 2011		1,355
	\$ 136	\$ 3,495



***Phantom Unit Plans***

CVR, through a wholly-owned subsidiary, has two Phantom Unit Appreciation Plans (the Phantom Unit Plans ) whereby directors, employees, and service providers may be awarded phantom points at the discretion of the board of directors or the compensation committee. Holders of service phantom points have rights to receive distributions when holders of override operating units receive distributions. Holders of performance phantom points have rights to receive distributions when CALLC and CALLC II holders of override value units receive distributions. There are no other rights or guarantees and the plan expires on July 25, 2015, or at the discretion of the compensation committee of the board of directors. As of March 31, 2010, the issued

**Table of Contents****CVR ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Profits Interest (combined phantom points and override units) represented 15.0% of combined common unit interest and Profits Interest of CALLC and CALLC II. The Profits Interest was comprised of approximately 11.1% of override interest and approximately 3.9% of phantom interest. The expense associated with these awards is based on the current fair value of the awards which was derived from a probability-weighted expected return method. The probability-weighted expected return method involves a forward-looking analysis of possible future outcomes, the estimation of ranges of future and present value under each outcome, and the application of a probability factor to each outcome in conjunction with the application of the current value of the Company's common stock price with a Black-Scholes option pricing formula, as remeasured at each reporting date until the awards are settled. Based upon this methodology, the service phantom interest and performance phantom interest were valued at \$14.49 and \$9.41 per point, respectively, at March 31, 2010. Using the March 31, 2009 CVR stock closing price to determine the Company's equity value, through an independent valuation process, the service phantom interest and performance phantom interest were valued at \$10.77 and \$5.17 per point, respectively. CVR has recorded approximately \$10,122,000 and \$6,723,000 in personnel accruals as of March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. Compensation expense for the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009 related to the Phantom Unit Plans was \$3,399,000 and \$1,896,000, respectively.

Assuming no change in the estimated fair value at March 31, 2010, there was approximately \$1,200,000 of unrecognized compensation expense related to the Phantom Unit Plans. This is expected to be recognized over a remaining period of approximately two years.

***Long-Term Incentive Plan***

CVR has a Long-Term Incentive Plan ( LTIP ) that permits the grant of options, stock appreciation rights, non-vested shares, non-vested share units, dividend equivalent rights, share awards and performance awards (including performance share units, performance units and performance based restricted stock).

***Stock Options***

As of March 31, 2010, there have been a total of 32,350 stock options granted, of which 17,086 have vested. There were no options that vested in the first quarter of 2010. There were also no additional grants or forfeitures of stock options for the three months ended March 31, 2010. The fair value of stock options is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. As of March 31, 2010, there was approximately \$40,000 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to stock options to be recognized over a weighted-average period of approximately one year.

***Non-Vested Stock***

A summary of non-vested stock grant activity and changes during the three months ended March 31, 2010 is presented below:

<b>Non-Vested Stock</b>	<b>Shares</b>	<b>Weighted-Average Grant-Date Fair Value</b>
-------------------------	---------------	---

Edgar Filing: CVR ENERGY INC - Form 10-Q

Outstanding at January 1, 2010 (non-vested)	177,060	\$	6.59
Vested			
Granted			
Forfeited	(333)		4.14
Outstanding at March 31, 2010 (non-vested)	176,727	\$	6.60

**Table of Contents****CVR ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Through the LTIP, shares of non-vested stock have been granted to employees and directors of the Company. Non-vested shares, when granted, are valued at the closing market price of CVR's common stock on the date of issuance and amortized to compensation expense on a straight-line basis over the vesting period of the stock. These shares generally vest over a three-year period. As of March 31, 2010, there was approximately \$755,000 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested shares to be recognized over a weighted-average period of approximately two years.

Compensation expense recorded for the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009 related to the non-vested stock and stock options was \$173,000 and \$101,000, respectively.

**(4) Inventories**

Inventories consist primarily of crude oil, blending stock and components, work-in-progress, fertilizer products, and refined fuels and by-products. Inventories are valued at the lower of the first-in, first-out ( FIFO ) cost or market for fertilizer products, refined fuels and by-products for all periods presented. Refinery unfinished and finished products inventory values were determined using the ability-to-bear process, whereby raw materials and production costs are allocated to work-in-process and finished products based on their relative fair values. Other inventories, including other raw materials, spare parts, and supplies, are valued at the lower of moving-average cost, which approximates FIFO, or market. The cost of inventories includes inbound freight costs.

Inventories consisted of the following (in thousands):

	<b>March 31, 2010</b>	<b>December 31, 2009</b>
Finished goods	\$ 119,247	\$ 123,548
Raw materials and catalysts	95,741	107,840
In-process inventories	16,867	19,401
Parts and supplies	23,757	24,049
	<b>\$ 255,612</b>	<b>\$ 274,838</b>

**(5) Property, Plant, and Equipment**

A summary of costs for property, plant, and equipment is as follows (in thousands):

	<b>March 31, 2010</b>	<b>December 31, 2009</b>
Land and improvements	\$ 18,394	\$ 18,016
Buildings	24,802	23,316
Machinery and equipment	1,305,971	1,305,362

Edgar Filing: CVR ENERGY INC - Form 10-Q

Automotive equipment	8,796	8,796
Furniture and fixtures	8,261	8,095
Leasehold improvements	1,220	1,301
Construction in progress	84,500	77,818
	1,451,944	1,442,704
Accumulated depreciation	325,501	304,794
	\$ 1,126,443	\$ 1,137,910

Capitalized interest recognized as a reduction in interest expense for the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, totaled approximately \$881,000 and \$413,000, respectively. Land and buildings that are under

**Table of Contents**

**CVR ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

a capital lease obligation approximated \$4,827,000 as of March 31, 2010. Amortization of assets held under capital leases is included in depreciation expense.

**(6) Cost Classifications**

Cost of product sold (exclusive of depreciation and amortization) includes cost of crude oil, other feedstocks, blendstocks, pet coke expense and freight and distribution expenses. Cost of product sold excludes depreciation and amortization of \$728,000 and \$711,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Direct operating expenses (exclusive of depreciation and amortization) includes direct costs of labor, maintenance and services, energy and utility costs, as well as chemicals and catalysts and other direct operating expenses. Direct operating expenses exclude depreciation and amortization of \$20,018,000 and \$19,742,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Selling, general and administrative expenses (exclusive of depreciation and amortization) consist primarily of legal expenses, treasury, accounting, marketing, human resources and maintaining the corporate office in Texas and the administrative office in Kansas. Selling, general and administrative expenses exclude depreciation and amortization of \$514,000 and \$456,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

**(7) Note Payable and Capital Lease Obligation**

The Company entered into an insurance premium finance agreement in July 2009 to finance a portion of the purchase of its 2009/2010 property, liability, cargo and terrorism insurance policies. The original balance of the note provided by the Company under such agreement was \$10,000,000. As of March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the Company owed \$3,750,000 and \$7,500,000, respectively, related to this note. This note is due in equal monthly installments commencing November 1, 2009, with the final payment due in June 2010.

The Company also entered into a capital lease for real property used for corporate purposes on May 29, 2008. The lease had an initial lease term of one year with an option to renew for three additional one-year periods. The Company renewed the lease for a one-year period commencing June 5, 2009, and subsequently renewed the lease in April 2010 for an additional one-year period commencing June 5, 2010. In connection with this capital lease, the Company makes quarterly lease payments that total \$80,000 annually. The Company also has the option to purchase the property during the term of the lease, including the renewal periods. In connection with the capital lease, the Company originally recorded a capital asset and capital lease obligation of \$4,827,000. The capital lease obligation was \$4,349,000 and \$4,274,000 as of March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.

**(8) Flood, Crude Oil Discharge and Insurance Related Matters**

For the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, the Company recorded pre-tax expenses, net of anticipated insurance recoveries of \$0 and \$181,000, respectively, associated with the June/July 2007 flood and associated crude oil discharge. The costs are reported in net costs associated with flood in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations. With the final insurance proceeds received under the Company's property insurance policy and builders risk policy during the first quarter of 2009, in the amount of \$11,756,000, all property insurance claims and builders risk claims were fully settled, with all remaining claims closed under these policies only.

As of March 31, 2010, the remaining receivable from environmental insurance carriers was not anticipated to be collected in the next twelve months, and therefore has been classified as a non-current asset.

**Table of Contents****CVR ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

See Note 11 ( Commitments and Contingent Liabilities ) for additional information regarding environmental and other contingencies related to the crude oil discharge that occurred on July 1, 2007.

**(9) Income Taxes**

As of March 31, 2010, the Company did not have any unrecognized tax benefits and did not have an accrual for any amounts for interest or penalties related to uncertain tax positions. The Company's accounting policy with respect to interest and penalties related to tax uncertainties is to classify these amounts as income taxes.

CVR and its subsidiaries file U.S. federal and various state income and franchise tax returns. The Company's U.S. federal and state tax years generally subject to examination as of March 31, 2010 are 2006 to 2009.

The Company's effective tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009 was 38.4% and 28.1%, respectively, as compared to the Company's combined federal and state expected statutory tax rate of 39.7%. The effective tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2009 is lower than the expected statutory tax rate due primarily to federal income tax credits available to small business refiners related to the production of ultra low sulfur diesel fuel. Additionally, the effective tax rate for 2009 was favorably impacted by Kansas state income tax incentives generated under the High Performance Incentive Program.

**(10) Earnings Per Share**

Basic and diluted earnings per share are computed by dividing net income (loss) by weighted-average common shares outstanding. The components of the basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share calculation are as follows:

	<b>For the Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>
	<b>(in thousands, except share data)</b>	
Net income (loss)	\$ (12,363)	\$ 30,661
Weighted-average common shares outstanding	86,329,237	86,243,745
Effect of dilutive securities:		
Non-vested common stock		78,666
Weighted-average common shares outstanding assuming dilution	86,329,237	86,322,411
Basic earnings (loss) per share	\$ (0.14)	\$ 0.36
Diluted earnings (loss) per share	\$ (0.14)	\$ 0.36

Outstanding stock options totaling 32,350 common shares were excluded from the diluted earnings (loss) per share calculation for the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, as they were antidilutive. For the three months ended March 31, 2010, 176,727 shares of non-vested common stock were excluded from the diluted earnings (loss) per share calculation, as they were antidilutive.





**Table of Contents****CVR ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(11) Commitments and Contingent Liabilities*****Leases and Unconditional Purchase Obligations***

The minimum required payments for the Company's lease agreements and unconditional purchase obligations are as follows (in thousands):

	<b>Operating Leases</b>		<b>Unconditional Purchase Obligations(1)</b>
Nine months ending December 31, 2010	\$ 3,778	\$	24,411
Year ending December 31, 2011	5,393		30,487
Year ending December 31, 2012	4,985		27,693
Year ending December 31, 2013	2,541		27,846
Year ending December 31, 2014	1,878		27,846
Thereafter	1,354		154,696
	\$ 19,929	\$	292,979

- (1) This amount excludes approximately \$510,000,000 potentially payable under petroleum transportation service agreements between Coffeyville Resources Refining & Marketing, LLC ( CRRM ) and TransCanada Keystone Pipeline, LP ( TransCanada ), pursuant to which CRRM would receive transportation of at least 25,000 barrels per day of crude oil with a delivery point at Cushing, Oklahoma for a term of ten years on a new pipeline system being constructed by TransCanada. This \$510,000,000 would be payable ratably over the ten year service period under the agreements, such period to begin upon commencement of services under the new pipeline system. Based on information currently available to us, we believe commencement of services would begin in the first quarter of 2011. The Company filed a Statement of Claim in the Court of the Queen's Bench of Alberta, Judicial District of Calgary, on September 15, 2009, to dispute the validity of the petroleum transportation service agreements. The Company cannot provide any assurance that the petroleum transportation service agreements will be found to be invalid.

The Company leases various equipment, including rail cars, and real properties under long-term operating leases, expiring at various dates. In the normal course of business, the Company also has long-term commitments to purchase services such as natural gas, electricity, water and transportation services. For the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, lease expense totaled \$1,192,000 and \$1,190,000, respectively. The lease agreements have various remaining terms. Some agreements are renewable, at the Company's option, for additional periods. It is expected, in the ordinary course of business, that leases will be renewed or replaced as they expire. The Company also has other customary operating leases and unconditional purchase obligations primarily related to pipeline, utility and raw material suppliers. These leases and agreements are entered into in the normal course of business.

***Litigation***

From time to time, the Company is involved in various lawsuits arising in the normal course of business, including matters such as those described below under, Environmental, Health, and Safety ( EHS ) Matters. Liabilities related to such litigation are recognized when the related costs are probable and can be reasonably estimated. Management believes the Company has accrued for losses for which it may ultimately be responsible. It is possible that management's estimates of the outcomes will change within the next year due to uncertainties inherent in litigation and settlement negotiations. In the opinion of management, the ultimate resolution of any other litigation matters is not expected to have a material adverse effect on the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements. There can be no assurance that management's beliefs or opinions with respect to liability for potential litigation matters are accurate.

**Table of Contents**

**CVR ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Samson Resources Company, Samson Lone Star, LLC and Samson Contour Energy E&P, LLC (together, "Samson") filed fifteen lawsuits in federal and state courts in Oklahoma and two lawsuits in state courts in New Mexico against CRRM and other defendants between March 2009 and July 2009. All of the lawsuits allege that Samson sold crude oil to a group of companies, which generally are known as SemCrude or SemGroup (collectively, "Sem"), which later declared bankruptcy and that Sem has not paid Samson for all of the crude oil purchased from Sem. The lawsuits further allege that Sem sold some of the crude oil purchased from Samson to J. Aron & Company ("J. Aron") and that J. Aron sold some of this crude oil to CRRM. All of the lawsuits seek the same remedy, the imposition of a trust, an accounting and the return of crude oil or the proceeds therefrom. The amount of Samson's alleged claims are unknown since the price and amount of crude oil sold by Samson and eventually received by CRRM through Sem and J. Aron, if any, is unknown. CRRM timely paid for all crude oil purchased from J. Aron and intends to vigorously defend against these claims.

The Company received a letter dated January 27, 2010, from the Litigation Trust formed pursuant to the Sem bankruptcy plan of reorganization claiming that \$41,625,000 received by the Company from various Sem entities within the 90 day period prior to the Sem bankruptcy on July 22, 2008, may constitute recoverable preferences under the U.S. Bankruptcy Code. The Company has asserted that it has various defenses to such preference claim including that the payments were made in the ordinary course of business in return for products sold by the Company. The Company intends to vigorously defend against this claim.

See note (1) to the table at the beginning of this Note 11 ("Commitments and Contingent Liabilities") for a discussion of the TransCanada litigation.

***Flood, Crude Oil Discharge and Insurance***

Crude oil was discharged from the Company's refinery on July 1, 2007 due to the short amount of time available to shut down and secure the refinery in preparation for the flood that occurred on June 30, 2007. In connection with that discharge, the Company received in May 2008 notices of claims from sixteen private claimants under the Oil Pollution Act in an aggregate amount of approximately \$4,393,000. In August 2008, those claimants filed suit against the Company in the United States District Court for the District of Kansas in Wichita ("Angleton Case"). In October, 2009, a companion case to the Angleton Case was filed in the United States District Court for the District of Kansas at Wichita, seeking a total of \$3,200,000 for three additional plaintiffs as a result of the July 1, 2007 crude oil discharge. The Company believes that the resolution of these claims will not have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial statements.

As a result of the crude oil discharge that occurred on July 1, 2007, the Company entered into an administrative order on consent (the "Consent Order") with the Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") on July 10, 2007. As set forth in the Consent Order, the EPA concluded that the discharge of oil from the Company's refinery caused an imminent and substantial threat to the public health and welfare. Pursuant to the Consent Order, the Company agreed to perform specified remedial actions to respond to the discharge of crude oil from the Company's refinery. In July 2008, the Company substantially completed remediating the damage caused by the crude oil discharge. The substantial majority of all known remedial actions were completed by January 31, 2009. The Company prepared its final report to the EPA to satisfy the final requirement of the Consent Order. The Company anticipates that the EPA's review of this report will not result in any further requirements that could be material to the Company's business, financial condition, or results of operations.

The Company has not estimated or accrued for any potential fines, penalties or claims that may be imposed or brought by regulatory authorities or possible additional damages arising from lawsuits related to the June/July 2007 flood as management does not believe any such fines, penalties or lawsuits would be material nor can they be estimated.

**Table of Contents**

**CVR ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The Company is seeking insurance coverage for this release and for the ultimate costs for remediation and property damage claims. On July 10, 2008, the Company filed two lawsuits in the United States District Court for the District of Kansas against certain of the Company's environmental and property insurance carriers with regard to the Company's insurance coverage for the June/July 2007 flood and crude oil discharge. The Company's excess environmental liability insurance carrier has asserted that its pollution liability claims are for cleanup, which is not covered by such policy, rather than for property damage, which is covered to the limits of the policy. While the Company will vigorously contest the excess carrier's position, it contends that if that position were upheld, its umbrella Comprehensive General Liability policies would continue to provide coverage for these claims. Each insurer, however, has reserved its rights under various policy exclusions and limitations and has cited potential coverage defenses. Although the Company believes that certain additional amounts under the environmental and liability insurance policies will be recovered, the Company cannot be certain of the ultimate amount or timing of such recovery because of the difficulty inherent in projecting the ultimate resolution of the Company's claims. The Company has received \$25,000,000 of insurance proceeds under its primary environmental liability insurance policy which constitutes full payment to the Company of the primary pollution liability policy limit.

The lawsuit with the insurance carriers under the environmental policies remains the only unsettled lawsuit with the insurance carriers. The property insurance lawsuit has been settled and dismissed.

***Environmental, Health, and Safety ( EHS ) Matters***

CRRM, Coffeyville Resources Crude Transportation, LLC ( CRCT ) and Coffeyville Resources Terminal, LLC ( CRT ), all of which are wholly-owned subsidiaries of CVR, and CRNF are subject to various stringent federal, state, and local EHS rules and regulations. Liabilities related to EHS matters are recognized when the related costs are probable and can be reasonably estimated. Estimates of these costs are based upon currently available facts, existing technology, site-specific costs, and currently enacted laws and regulations. In reporting EHS liabilities, no offset is made for potential recoveries. EHS liabilities are monitored and adjusted regularly as new facts emerge or changes in law or technology occur.

CRRM, CRNF, CRCT and CRT own and/or operate manufacturing and ancillary operations at various locations directly related to petroleum refining and distribution and nitrogen fertilizer manufacturing. Therefore, CRRM, CRNF, CRCT and CRT have exposure to potential EHS liabilities related to past and present EHS conditions at these locations.

CRRM and CRT have agreed to perform corrective actions at the Coffeyville, Kansas refinery and Phillipsburg, Kansas terminal facility, pursuant to Administrative Orders on Consent issued under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act ( RCRA ) to address historical contamination by the prior owners (RCRA Docket No. VII-94-H-0020 and Docket No. VII-95-H-011, respectively). In 2005, CRNF agreed to participate in the State of Kansas Voluntary Cleanup and Property Redevelopment Program ( VCPRP ) to address a reported release of UAN at its UAN loading rack. As of March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, environmental accruals of \$4,827,000 and \$5,007,000, respectively, were reflected in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets for probable and estimated costs for remediation of environmental contamination under the RCRA Administrative Orders and the VCPRP, for which \$2,073,000 and \$2,179,000, respectively, are included as other current liabilities. The Company's accruals were determined based on an estimate of payment costs through 2031 and were discounted at the appropriate risk free rates at March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. The accruals include estimated closure and post-closure costs of \$909,000



**Table of Contents****CVR ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

and \$883,000 for two landfills at March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. The estimated future payments for these required obligations are as follows (in thousands):

	<b>Amount</b>
Nine months ending December 31, 2010	\$ 1,980
Year ending December 31, 2011	370
Year ending December 31, 2012	435
Year ending December 31, 2013	325
Year ending December 31, 2014	431
Thereafter	2,023
Undiscounted total	5,564
Less amounts representing interest at 3.39%	737
Accrued environmental liabilities at March 31, 2010	\$ 4,827

Management periodically reviews and, as appropriate, revises its environmental accruals. Based on current information and regulatory requirements, management believes that the accruals established for environmental expenditures are adequate.

In February 2000, the EPA promulgated the Tier II Motor Vehicle Emission Standards Final Rule for all passenger vehicles, establishing standards for sulfur content in gasoline that were required to be met by 2006. In addition, in January 2001, the EPA promulgated its on-road diesel regulations, which required a 97% reduction in the sulfur content of diesel sold for highway use by June 1, 2006, with full compliance by January 1, 2010. In February 2004, the EPA granted the Company approval under a hardship waiver that would defer meeting final Ultra Low Sulfur Gasoline ( ULSG ) standards and Ultra Low Sulfur Diesel ( ULSD ) requirements. The hardship waiver was revised at CRRM's request on September 25, 2008. The Company met the conditions of the hardship waiver related to the ULSD requirements in late 2006 and is continuing its work related to meeting its compliance date with ULSG standards in accordance with a revised hardship waiver which gave the Company short-term flexibility on sulfur content during the recovery from the flood. Compliance with the Tier II gasoline and on-road diesel standards required the Company to spend approximately \$20,589,000 during 2009, \$13,787,000 during 2008, \$16,800,000 during 2007 and \$79,033,000 during 2006. Based on information currently available, CRRM anticipates spending approximately \$21,984,000 in 2010 to comply with ULSG requirements. The entire amounts are expected to be capitalized. For the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, CVR spent \$6,751,000 and \$3,450,000, respectively.

In 2007, the EPA promulgated the Mobile Source Air Toxic II ( MSAT II ) rule, that requires the reduction of benzene in gasoline by 2011. CRRM is considered a small refiner under the MSAT II rule and compliance with the rule is extended until 2015 for small refiners. Because of the extended compliance date, CRRM has not begun engineering work at this time. CVR anticipates that capital expenditures to comply with the rule will not begin before 2013.

In February 2010, the EPA finalized changes to the Renewable Fuel Standards ( RFS2 ) which require the total volume of renewable transportation fuels sold or introduced in the U.S. to reach 12.95 billion gallons in 2010 and rise to



36 billion gallons by 2022. Due to mandates in the RFS2 requiring increasing volumes of renewable fuels to replace petroleum products in the U.S. motor fuel market, there may be a decrease in demand for petroleum products. In addition, CRRM may be impacted by increased capital expenses and production costs to accommodate mandated renewable fuel volumes. CRRM's small refiner status under the original Renewable Fuel Standards will continue under the RFS2 and therefore, CRRM is exempted from the requirements of the RFS2 through December 31, 2010.

**Table of Contents**

**CVR ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

In March 2004, CRRM and CRT entered into a Consent Decree (the Consent Decree) with the EPA and the Kansas Department of Health and Environment (the KDHE) to resolve air compliance concerns raised by the EPA and KDHE related to Farmland's prior ownership and operation of our refinery. Under the Consent Decree, CRRM agreed to install controls to reduce emissions of sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxides and particulate matter from its FCCU by January 1, 2011. In addition, pursuant to the Consent Decree, CRRM and CRT assumed cleanup obligations at the Coffeyville refinery and the Phillipsburg terminal facilities. The costs of complying with the Consent Decree are expected to be approximately \$54 million, of which approximately \$44 million is expected to be capital expenditures which do not include the cleanup obligations for historic contamination at the site that are being addressed pursuant to administrative orders issued under the RCRA. As a result of our agreement to install certain controls and implement certain operational changes, the EPA and KDHE agreed not to impose civil penalties, and provided a release from liability for Farmland's alleged noncompliance with the issues addressed by the Consent Decree. To date, CRRM and CRT have materially complied with the Consent Decree. On June 30, 2009, CRRM submitted a force majeure notice to the EPA and KDHE in which CRRM indicated that it may be unable to meet the Consent Decree's January 1, 2011 deadline related to the installation of controls on the FCCU because of delays caused by the June/July 2007 flood. In February 2010, CRRM and the EPA reached an agreement in principle to a 15-month extension of the January 1, 2011 deadline for the installation of controls that is awaiting final approval by the government before filing as a material modification to the existing Consent Decree. Pursuant to this agreement, CRRM will offset any incremental emissions resulting from the delay by providing additional controls to existing emission sources over a set timeframe.

Over the course of the last decade, the EPA has embarked on a national Petroleum Refining Initiative alleging industry-wide noncompliance with four marquee issues under the Clean Air Act: New Source Review, Flaring, Leak Detection and Repair, and Benzene Waste Operations NESHAP. The Petroleum Refining Initiative has resulted in most refiners entering into consent decrees imposing civil penalties and requiring substantial expenditures for pollution control and enhanced operating procedures. The EPA has indicated that it will seek to have all refiners enter into global settlements pertaining to all marquee issues. Our current Consent Decree covers some, but not all, of the marquee issues. We currently are in negotiations with EPA and KDHE under the Petroleum Refining Initiative. To date, the EPA has not made any specific claims or findings against us and we have not determined whether we will ultimately enter into a global settlement agreement with the EPA and KDHE. By entering into a global settlement, we may be able to extend the deadline for the installation of controls on the FCCU required under the 2004 Consent Decree. If we agree to enter into a global settlement we would be required to pay a civil penalty, but our incremental capital expenses would be limited primarily to the retrofit and replacement of heaters and boilers over a seven-year timeframe.

On February 24, 2010, the Company received a letter from the United States Department of Justice on behalf of the EPA seeking a \$900,000 civil penalty related to alleged late and incomplete reporting of air releases in violation of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act and the Emergency Planning and Community Right to Know Act. The Company is currently in the process of reviewing the EPA's allegations to determine whether they are factually and legally accurate.

Environmental expenditures are capitalized when such expenditures are expected to result in future economic benefits. For the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, capital environmental expenditures were \$7,663,000 and \$3,963,000, respectively, and were incurred to improve environmental compliance and efficiency of operations.

CRRM, CRNF, CRCT and CRT each believe it is in substantial compliance with existing EHS rules and regulations. There can be no assurance that the EHS matters described above or other EHS matters which may develop in the

future will not have a material adverse effect on the business, financial condition, or results of operations.

**Table of Contents****CVR ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(12) Fair Value Measurements**

In September 2006, the FASB issued ASC 820 *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures* ( ASC 820 ). ASC 820 established a single authoritative definition of fair value when accounting rules require the use of fair value, set out a framework for measuring fair value, and required additional disclosures about fair value measurements. ASC 820 clarifies that fair value is an exit price, representing the amount that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants.

ASC 820 discusses valuation techniques, such as the market approach (prices and other relevant information generated by market conditions involving identical or comparable assets or liabilities), the income approach (techniques to convert future amounts to single present amounts based on market expectations including present value techniques and option-pricing), and the cost approach (amount that would be required to replace the service capacity of an asset which is often referred to as replacement cost). ASC 820 utilizes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value into three broad levels. The following is a brief description of those three levels:

Level 1 Quoted prices in active market for identical assets and liabilities

Level 2 Other significant observable inputs (including quoted prices in active markets for similar assets or liabilities)

Level 3 Significant unobservable inputs (including the Company's own assumptions in determining the fair value)

The following table sets forth the assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis, by input level, as of March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009 (in thousands):

<b>Location and Description</b>	<b>March 31, 2010</b>			<b>Total</b>
	<b>Level 1</b>	<b>Level 2</b>	<b>Level 3</b>	
Cash equivalents (money market account)	\$ 23	\$	\$	\$ 23
Total Assets	\$ 23	\$	\$	\$ 23
Derivatives:				
Other current liabilities (Interest Rate Swap)		(1,085)		(1,085)
Other current liabilities (Other derivative agreements)		(412)		(412)
Total Derivatives	\$	\$ (1,497)	\$	\$ (1,497)
Total Liabilities	\$	\$ (1,497)	\$	\$ (1,497)

	<b>December 31, 2009</b>			
	<b>Level 1</b>	<b>Level 2</b>	<b>Level 3</b>	<b>Total</b>
Cash equivalents (money market account)	\$ 723	\$	\$	\$ 723
Total Assets	\$ 723	\$	\$	\$ 723
Derivatives:				
Other current liabilities (Interest Rate Swap)		(2,830)		(2,830)
Other current liabilities (Other derivative agreements)		(1,847)		(1,847)
Total Derivatives	\$	\$ (4,677)	\$	\$ (4,677)
Total Liabilities	\$	\$ (4,677)	\$	\$ (4,677)

Table of Contents**CVR ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

As of March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the only financial assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis are the Company's money market account and derivative instruments. The Company's Interest Rate Swap giving rise to a liability under Level 2 is valued using broker quotations from the respective counterparties to the Interest Rate Swap. These quotations are derived from projected yield curves that consider inputs that include but are not limited to market risk, interest risk and credit risk. See Note 13 ( Derivative Financial Instruments ) for further discussion of the Interest Rate Swap. Given the degree of varying assumptions used to value the Interest Rate Swap, it was deemed as having level 2 inputs. The Company's commodity derivative contracts giving rise to a liability under Level 2 are valued using broker quoted market prices of similar commodity contracts. The Company had no transfers of assets or liabilities between any of the above levels during the three months ended March 31, 2010. The carrying value of the Company's long-term debt approximates fair value as a result of floating interest rates assigned to this financial instrument.

**(13) Derivative Financial Instruments**

Gain (loss) on derivatives, net consisted of the following (in thousands):

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>
Realized gain (loss) on cash flow swap agreements	\$	\$ (15,714)
Unrealized gain (loss) on cash flow swap agreements		(20,114)
Realized gain (loss) on other derivative agreements	85	(1,003)
Unrealized gain (loss) on other derivative agreements	1,435	163
Realized gain (loss) on interest rate swap agreements	(1,775)	(1,710)
Unrealized gain (loss) on interest rate swap agreements	1,745	1,517
Total gain (loss) on derivatives, net	\$ 1,490	\$ (36,861)

CVR is subject to price fluctuations caused by supply and demand conditions, weather, economic conditions, interest rate fluctuations and other factors. To manage price risk on crude oil and other inventories and to fix margins on certain future production, the Company may enter into various derivative transactions. The Company, as further described below, entered into certain commodity derivative contracts and an interest rate swap as required by the long-term debt agreements. The commodity derivative contracts are for the purpose of managing price risk on crude oil and finished goods and the interest rate swap is for the purpose of managing interest rate risk.

CVR has adopted accounting standards which impose extensive record-keeping requirements in order to designate a derivative financial instrument as a hedge. CVR holds derivative instruments, such as exchange-traded crude oil futures, certain over-the-counter forward swap agreements, and interest rate swap agreements, which it believes provide an economic hedge on future transactions, but such instruments are not designated as hedges for GAAP purposes. Gains or losses related to the change in fair value and periodic settlements of these derivative instruments are classified as gain (loss) on derivatives, net in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

***Cash Flow Swap***

Until October 8, 2009, CRLLC had been a party to commodity derivative contracts (referred to as the Cash Flow Swap ) that were originally executed on June 16, 2005. The swap agreements were executed at the prevailing market rate at the time of execution and were to provide an economic hedge on future transactions. The Cash Flow Swap resulted in unrealized gains (losses), using a valuation method that utilized quoted market prices. All of the activity related to the Cash Flow Swap is reported in the Petroleum Segment.

**Table of Contents****CVR ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

On October 8, 2009, CRLLC and J. Aron mutually agreed to terminate the Cash Flow Swap. The Cash Flow Swap was expected to terminate in 2010; however, the third amendment to the Company's first priority credit facility permitted early termination.

***Interest Rate Swap***

At March 31, 2010, CRLLC held derivative contracts known as interest rate swap agreements (the Interest Rate Swap) that converted CRLLC's floating-rate bank debt into 4.195% fixed-rate debt on a notional amount of \$110,000,000. Half of the Interest Rate Swap agreements are held with a related party (as described in Note 14, Related Party Transactions), and the other half are held with a financial institution that is a lender under CRLLC's first priority credit facility. The Interest Rate Swap agreements carry the following terms:

<b>Period Covered</b>	<b>Notional Amount</b>	<b>Fixed Interest Rate</b>
March 31, 2010 to June 30, 2010	110 million	4.195%

CVR pays the fixed rate listed above and receives a floating rate based on three month LIBOR rates, with payments calculated on the notional amount listed above. The notional amount does not represent the actual amount exchanged by the parties but instead represents the amount on which the contracts are based. The Interest Rate Swap is settled quarterly and marked to market at each reporting date, and all unrealized gains and losses are currently recognized in income. Transactions related to the Interest Rate Swap agreements are not allocated to the Petroleum or Nitrogen Fertilizer segments.

The Interest Rate Swap has two counterparties. As noted above, one half of the Interest Rate Swap agreements are held with a related party. As of March 31, 2010, both counterparties had an investment-grade debt rating. The maximum amount of loss due to the credit risk of the counterparty, should the counterparty fail to perform according to the terms of the contracts, is contingent upon the unsettled portion of the Interest Rate Swap, if any. For the Company to be at-risk, the unsettled portion of the Interest Rate Swap would need to be in a net receivable position. As of March 31, 2010, the Company's Interest Rate Swap was in a payable position and thus would not be considered at-risk as it relates to risk posed by the swap counterparties.

**(14) Related Party Transactions**

The Goldman Sachs Funds and the Kelso Funds together own a majority of the common stock of the Company.

***Cash Flow Swap***

CRLLC entered into the Cash Flow Swap with J. Aron, a subsidiary of GS. These agreements were entered into on June 16, 2005, with an expiration date of June 30, 2010 (as described in Note 13, Derivative Financial Instruments). The Cash Flow Swap was terminated by the parties effective October 8, 2009. For the three months ended March 31, 2009, the Company recognized net realized and unrealized losses totaling \$35,828,000 related to these swap agreements which are reflected in gain (loss) on derivatives, net in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.



***J. Aron Deferrals***

As a result of the June/July 2007 flood and the related temporary cessation of business operations, the Company entered into deferral agreements for amounts owed to J. Aron under the Cash Flow Swap discussed above. The amount deferred, excluding accrued interest, totaled \$123,681,000. Of the deferred balances, \$61,306,000 had been repaid as of December 31, 2008 and the remaining deferral obligation of \$62,375,000 including accrued interest of \$509,000 was paid in the first quarter of 2009, resulting in the Company being unconditionally and irrevocably released from any and all of its obligations under the deferred agreements. In addition, J. Aron released the Goldman Sachs Funds and the Kelso Funds from any and all of their obligations

**Table of Contents**

**CVR ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

to guarantee the deferred payment obligations. Interest expense related to the deferral agreement totaled \$0 and \$307,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

***Interest Rate Swap***

On June 30, 2005, the Company also entered into three Interest Rate Swap agreements with J. Aron. Net losses totaling \$15,000 and \$97,000 were recognized related to these swap agreements for the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and are reflected in gain (loss) on derivatives, net in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations. In addition, the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet at March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009 includes \$543,000 and \$1,415,000, respectively, in other current liabilities. See Note 13, ( Derivative Financial Instruments ) for additional information.

***Cash and Cash Equivalents***

The Company holds a portion of its cash balance in a highly liquid money market account with average maturities of less than 90 days within the Goldman Sachs Funds family. As of March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the balance in the account was approximately \$23,000 and \$723,000, respectively. For the three months ended March 31, 2010, the account earned a nominal amount of interest income compared to \$16,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2009.

***Financing and Other***

In March 2010, CRLLC amended its outstanding first priority credit facility. In connection with the amendment, CRLLC paid a subsidiary of GS fees and expenses of \$904,500 for their services as lead bookrunner. In addition, on April 6, 2010, a subsidiary of GS received a fee as a participating underwriter of \$2,000,000 upon completion of the issuance of senior secured notes (as described in Note 16, Subsequent Events ).

For the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, the Company purchased approximately \$237,000 and \$77,000, respectively, of Fluid Catalytic Cracking Unit additives from Intercat, Inc. A director of the Company, Mr. Regis Lippert, is also a director, and the President, CEO and majority shareholder of Intercat, Inc.

**(15) Business Segments**

The Company measures segment profit as operating income for Petroleum and Nitrogen Fertilizer, CVR's two reporting segments, based on the definitions provided in ASC 280 *Segment Reporting*. All operations of the segments are located within the United States.

***Petroleum***

Principal products of the Petroleum Segment are refined fuels, propane and petroleum refining by-products including pet coke. The Petroleum Segment sells the pet coke to the Partnership for use in the manufacture of nitrogen fertilizer at the adjacent nitrogen fertilizer plant. For the Petroleum Segment, a per-ton transfer price is used to record intercompany sales on the part of the Petroleum Segment and a corresponding intercompany cost of product sold (exclusive of depreciation and amortization) is recorded for the Nitrogen Fertilizer Segment. The per-ton transfer price paid, pursuant to the pet coke supply agreement that became effective October 24, 2007, is based on the lesser of a pet

coke price derived from the price received by the Nitrogen Fertilizer Segment for UAN (subject to a UAN based price ceiling and floor) and a pet coke price index for pet coke. The intercompany transactions are eliminated in the Other Segment. Intercompany sales included in Petroleum net sales were \$413,000 and \$3,018,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

The Petroleum Segment recorded intercompany cost of product sold (exclusive of depreciation and amortization) for the hydrogen sales described below under Nitrogen Fertilizer for the three months ended March 31,

**Table of Contents**

**CVR ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

2010 of \$568,000. For the three months ended March 31, 2009, the Petroleum Segment purchased hydrogen from the Partnership and recorded cost of product sold (exclusive of depreciation and amortization) of \$658,000.

***Nitrogen Fertilizer***

The principal product of the Nitrogen Fertilizer Segment is nitrogen fertilizer. Intercompany cost of product sold (exclusive of depreciation and amortization) for the pet coke transfer described above was \$438,000 and \$3,536,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Pursuant to the feedstock agreement, the Company's segments have the right to transfer excess hydrogen to one another. Sales of hydrogen to the Petroleum Segment have been reflected as net sales for the Nitrogen Fertilizer Segment. Receipts of hydrogen from the Petroleum Segment have been reflected in cost of product sold (exclusive of depreciation and amortization) for the Nitrogen Fertilizer Segment. The Nitrogen Fertilizer Segment recorded cost of product sold (exclusive of depreciation and amortization) from intercompany hydrogen purchases of \$568,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2010. For the three months ended March 31, 2009, the Nitrogen Fertilizer Segment recorded net sales generated from intercompany sales of hydrogen to the Petroleum Segment of \$658,000.

Table of Contents**CVR ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)***Other Segment*

The Other Segment reflects intercompany eliminations, cash and cash equivalents, all debt related activities, income tax activities and other corporate activities that are not allocated to the operating segments.

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>
	<b>(in thousands)</b>	
Net sales		
Petroleum	\$ 856,688	\$ 545,282
Nitrogen Fertilizer	38,285	67,789
Intersegment eliminations	(461)	(3,676)
Total	\$ 894,512	\$ 609,395
Cost of product sold (exclusive of depreciation and amortization)		
Petroleum	\$ 798,951	\$ 417,598
Nitrogen Fertilizer	4,977	8,682
Intersegment eliminations	(1,038)	(4,675)
Total	\$ 802,890	\$ 421,605
Direct operating expenses (exclusive of depreciation and amortization)		
Petroleum	\$ 38,389	\$ 34,622
Nitrogen Fertilizer	22,173	21,612
Other		
Total	\$ 60,562	\$ 56,234
Net costs associated with flood		
Petroleum	\$	\$ 181
Nitrogen Fertilizer		
Other		
Total	\$	\$ 181
Depreciation and amortization		
Petroleum	\$ 16,134	\$ 15,878
Nitrogen Fertilizer	4,665	4,616
Other	461	415
Total	\$ 21,260	\$ 20,909

Operating income (loss)		
Petroleum	\$ (7,095)	\$ 64,659
Nitrogen Fertilizer	2,968	29,282
Other	(7,467)	(2,981)
Total	\$ (11,594)	\$ 90,960
Capital expenditures		
Petroleum	\$ 9,109	\$ 7,392
Nitrogen Fertilizer	1,216	7,431
Other	1,091	1,095
Total	\$ 11,416	\$ 15,918

**Table of Contents****CVR ENERGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

	<b>As of March 31, 2010</b>	<b>As of December 31, 2009</b>
	<b>(in thousands)</b>	
Total assets		
Petroleum	\$ 1,083,184	\$ 1,082,707
Nitrogen Fertilizer	733,345	702,929
Other	(203,568)	(171,142)
Total	\$ 1,612,961	\$ 1,614,494
Goodwill		
Petroleum	\$	\$
Nitrogen Fertilizer	40,969	40,969
Other		
Total	\$ 40,969	\$ 40,969

**(16) Subsequent Events*****Issuance of Senior Secured Notes***

On April 6, 2010, CRLLC and its newly formed wholly-owned subsidiary, Coffeyville Finance Inc. (together the Issuers), completed a private offering of \$275.0 million aggregate principal amount of 9.0% First Lien Senior Secured Notes due 2015 (the First Lien Notes) and \$225.0 million aggregate principal amount of 10.875% Second Lien Senior Secured Notes due 2017 (the Second Lien Notes and together with the First Lien Notes, the Notes). The Notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed by each of the Company's subsidiaries that also guarantee the first priority credit facility.

CRLLC received total net proceeds from the offering of approximately \$485.7 million, net of underwriter fees of \$10.0 million and original issue discount of approximately \$4.0 million, but before deducting other third-party fees and expenses associated with the offering. CRLLC applied the net proceeds to prepay all of the outstanding balance of its tranche D term loan under its first priority credit facility in an amount equal to \$453.3 million and to pay related fees and expenses. In accordance with the terms of its first priority credit facility, CRLLC paid a 2.0% premium totaling approximately \$9.1 million to the lenders of the term debt upon the prepayment of the outstanding balance. This amount will be recorded as a loss on extinguishment of debt during the second quarter of 2010. Additionally, due to the prepayment and termination of the term debt, a write-off of previously deferred financing charges of approximately \$5.4 million will be recorded during the second quarter of 2010. The discount and related debt issuance costs of the Notes are being amortized over the term of the applicable Notes.

The First Lien Notes mature on April 1, 2015, unless earlier redeemed or repurchased by the Issuers. The Second Lien Notes mature on April 1, 2017, unless earlier redeemed or repurchased by the Issuers. Interest is payable on the Notes

semi-annually on April 1 and October 1 of each year commencing on October 1, 2010.



**Table of Contents**

**Item 2. *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations***

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes and with the statistical information and financial data appearing in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2010, as well as our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. Results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2010 are not necessarily indicative of results to be attained for any other period.

**Forward-Looking Statements**

This Form 10-Q, including this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, contains forward-looking statements as defined by the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"). Such statements are those concerning contemplated transactions and strategic plans, expectations and objectives for future operations. These include, without limitation:

statements, other than statements of historical fact, that address activities, events or developments that we expect, believe or anticipate will or may occur in the future;

statements relating to future financial performance, future capital sources and other matters; and

any other statements preceded by, followed by or that include the words anticipates, believes, expects, plans, intends, estimates, projects, could, should, may, or similar expressions.

Although we believe that our plans, intentions and expectations reflected in or suggested by the forward-looking statements we make in this Form 10-Q, including this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, are reasonable, we can give no assurance that such plans, intentions or expectations will be achieved. These statements are based on assumptions made by us based on our experience and perception of historical trends, current conditions, expected future developments and other factors that we believe are appropriate in the circumstances. Such statements are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties, many of which are beyond our control. You are cautioned that any such statements are not guarantees of future performance and actual results or developments may differ materially from those projected in the forward-looking statements as a result of various factors, including but not limited to those set forth under "Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. Such factors include, among others:

volatile margins in the refining industry;

exposure to the risks associated with volatile crude prices;

the availability of adequate cash and other sources of liquidity for our capital needs;

disruption of our ability to obtain an adequate supply of crude oil;

interruption of the pipelines supplying feedstock and in the distribution of our products;

competition in the petroleum and nitrogen fertilizer businesses;

capital expenditures required by environmental laws and regulations;

changes in our credit profile;

the potential decline in the price of natural gas, which historically has correlated with the market price of nitrogen fertilizer products;

the cyclical nature of the nitrogen fertilizer business;

adverse weather conditions, including potential floods and other natural disasters;

the supply and price levels of essential raw materials;

**Table of Contents**

the volatile nature of ammonia, potential liability for accidents involving ammonia that cause severe damage to property and/or injury to the environment and human health and potential increased costs relating to transport of ammonia;

the dependence of the nitrogen fertilizer operations on a few third-party suppliers, including providers of transportation services and equipment;

the potential loss of the nitrogen fertilizer business transportation cost advantage over its competitors;

existing and proposed environmental laws and regulations, including those relating to climate change, alternative energy or fuel sources, and the end-use and application of fertilizers;

refinery operating hazards and interruptions, including unscheduled maintenance or downtime, and the availability of adequate insurance coverage;

our significant indebtedness; and

instability and volatility in the capital and credit markets.

All forward-looking statements contained in this Form 10-Q speak only as of the date of this document. We undertake no obligation to update or revise publicly any forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances that occur after the date of this Form 10-Q, or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

**Company Overview**

CVR Energy, Inc. and, unless the context requires otherwise, its subsidiaries ( CVR , the Company , we , us or our independent refiner and marketer of high value transportation fuels. In addition, we currently own all of the interests (other than the managing general partner interest and associated incentive distribution rights) in CVR Partners, LP (the Partnership ), a limited partnership which produces nitrogen fertilizers, ammonia and urea ammonium nitrate ( UAN ).

Any references to the Company as of a date prior to October 16, 2007 and subsequent to June 24, 2005 are to Coffeyville Acquisition LLC ( CALLC ) and its subsidiaries. CALLC formed CVR Energy, Inc. as a wholly owned subsidiary, incorporated in Delaware in September 2006, in order to effect an initial public offering, which was consummated on October 26, 2007. In conjunction with the initial public offering, a restructuring occurred in which CVR became a direct or indirect owner of all of the subsidiaries of CALLC. Additionally, in connection with the initial public offering, CALLC was split into two entities: CALLC and Coffeyville Acquisition II LLC ( CALLC II ).

We operate under two business segments: petroleum and nitrogen fertilizer. Throughout the remainder of this document, our business segments are referred to as our petroleum business and our nitrogen fertilizer business, respectively.

*Petroleum business.* Our petroleum business includes a 115,000 bpd complex full coking medium-sour crude oil refinery in Coffeyville, Kansas. In addition, supporting businesses include (1) a crude oil gathering system with a gathering capacity in excess of 30,000 bpd serving Kansas, Oklahoma, western Missouri, eastern Colorado and southwestern Nebraska, (2) a rack marketing division supplying product through tanker trucks directly to customers located in close geographic proximity to Coffeyville and Phillipsburg and at throughput terminals on Magellan s refined products distribution systems, (3) a 145,000 bpd pipeline system that transports crude oil to our refinery and associated crude oil storage tanks with a capacity of 1.2 million barrels and (4) storage and terminal facilities for

refined fuels and asphalt in Phillipsburg, Kansas.

Our refinery is situated approximately 100 miles from Cushing, Oklahoma, one of the largest crude oil trading and storage hubs in the United States. Cushing is supplied by numerous pipelines from locations including the U.S. Gulf Coast and Canada, providing us with access to virtually any crude oil variety in the world capable of being transported by pipeline. In addition to rack sales (sales which are made at terminals into third party tanker trucks), we make bulk sales (sales through third party pipelines) into the mid-continent

## **Table of Contents**

markets via Magellan and into Colorado and other destinations utilizing the product pipeline networks owned by Magellan, Enterprise Products Operating, L.P. and NuStar Energy, L.P.

Crude oil is supplied to our refinery through our gathering system and by a Plains pipeline from Cushing, Oklahoma. We maintain capacity on the Spearhead Pipeline from Canada and have access to foreign and deepwater domestic crude oil via the Seaway Pipeline system from the U.S. Gulf Coast to Cushing. We also maintain leased storage in Cushing to facilitate optimal crude oil purchasing and blending. Our refinery blend consists of a combination of crude oil grades, including onshore and offshore domestic grades, various Canadian medium and heavy sour and sweet synthetics and from time-to-time a variety of South American, North Sea, Middle East and West African imported grades. The access to a variety of crude oils coupled with the complexity of our refinery allows us to purchase crude oil at a discount to WTI. Our crude consumed cost discount to WTI for the first quarter of 2010 was \$(3.02) per barrel compared to \$(6.47) per barrel in the first quarter of 2009.

*Nitrogen fertilizer business.* The nitrogen fertilizer business consists of our interest in the Partnership, which is controlled by our affiliates. The nitrogen fertilizer business consists of a nitrogen fertilizer manufacturing facility, including (1) a 1,225 ton-per-day ammonia unit, (2) a 2,025 ton-per-day UAN unit and (3) a dual train gasifier complex each with a capacity of 84 million standard cubic feet per day, capable of processing approximately 1,400 tons per day of pet coke to produce hydrogen.

The nitrogen fertilizer plant in Coffeyville, Kansas includes two pet coke gasifiers that produce high purity hydrogen which in turn is converted to ammonia at a related ammonia synthesis plant. Ammonia is further upgraded into UAN solution in a related UAN unit. In 2009, the nitrogen fertilizer business produced 435,184 tons of ammonia, of which approximately 64% was upgraded into 677,739 tons of UAN. Pet coke is a low value by-product of the refinery coking process. On average during the last five years, more than 74% of the pet coke consumed by the nitrogen fertilizer plant was produced by our refinery. The nitrogen fertilizer business obtains most of its pet coke via a long-term pet coke supply agreement with the petroleum business.

The nitrogen fertilizer plant is the only commercial facility in North America utilizing a pet coke gasification process to produce nitrogen fertilizers. Its redundant train gasifier provides good on-stream reliability and uses low cost by-product pet coke feed (rather than natural gas) to produce hydrogen. In times of high natural gas prices, the use of low cost pet coke can provide us with a significant competitive advantage. The nitrogen fertilizer business competition utilizes natural gas to produce ammonia. Historically, pet coke has generally been a less expensive feedstock than natural gas on a per-ton of fertilizer produced basis.

## **Major Influences on Results of Operations**

### ***Petroleum Business***

Our earnings and cash flows from our petroleum operations are primarily affected by the relationship between refined product prices and the prices for crude oil and other feedstocks. Feedstocks are petroleum products, such as crude oil and natural gas liquids, that are processed and blended into refined products. The cost to acquire feedstocks and the price for which refined products are ultimately sold depend on factors beyond our control, including the supply of and demand for crude oil, as well as gasoline and other refined products which, in turn, depend on, among other factors, changes in domestic and foreign economies, weather conditions, domestic and foreign political affairs, production levels, the availability of imports, the marketing of competitive fuels and the extent of government regulation. Because we apply first-in, first-out, or FIFO, accounting to value our inventory, crude oil price movements may impact net income in the short term because of changes in the value of our unhedged on-hand inventory. The effect of changes in crude oil prices on our results of operations is influenced by the rate at which the prices of refined products adjust to reflect these changes.

Feedstock and refined product prices are also affected by other factors, such as product pipeline capacity, local market conditions and the operating levels of competing refineries. Crude oil costs and the prices of refined products have historically been subject to wide fluctuations. An expansion or upgrade of our

**Table of Contents**

competitors facilities, price volatility, international political and economic developments and other factors beyond our control are likely to continue to play an important role in refining industry economics. These factors can impact, among other things, the level of inventories in the market, resulting in price volatility and a reduction in product margins. Moreover, the refining industry typically experiences seasonal fluctuations in demand for refined products, such as increases in the demand for gasoline during the summer driving season and for home heating oil during the winter, primarily in the Northeast. In addition to current market conditions, there are long-term factors that may impact the demand for refined products. These factors include mandated renewable fuel standards, proposed climate change laws and regulations, and increased mileage standards for vehicles.

In order to assess our operating performance, we compare our net sales, less cost of product sold, or our refining margin, against an industry refining margin benchmark. The industry refining margin is calculated by assuming that two barrels of benchmark light sweet crude oil is converted into one barrel of conventional gasoline and one barrel of distillate. This benchmark is referred to as the 2-1-1 crack spread. Because we calculate the benchmark margin using the market value of NYMEX gasoline and heating oil against the market value of NYMEX WTI, we refer to the benchmark as the NYMEX 2-1-1 crack spread, or simply, the 2-1-1 crack spread. The 2-1-1 crack spread is expressed in dollars per barrel and is a proxy for the per barrel margin that a sweet crude oil refinery would earn assuming it produced and sold the benchmark production of gasoline and distillate.

Although the 2-1-1 crack spread is a benchmark for our refinery margin, because our refinery has certain feedstock costs and logistical advantages as compared to a benchmark refinery and our product yield is less than total refinery throughput, the crack spread does not account for all the factors that affect refinery margin. Our refinery is able to process a blend of crude oil that includes quantities of heavy and medium sour crude oil that has historically cost less than WTI. We measure the cost advantage of our crude oil slate by calculating the spread between the price of our delivered crude oil and the price of WTI. The spread is referred to as our consumed crude oil differential. Our refinery margin can be impacted significantly by the consumed crude oil differential. Our consumed crude oil differential will move directionally with changes in the WTS differential to WTI and the West Canadian Select ( WCS ) differential to WTI as both these differentials indicate the relative price of heavier, more sour, slate to WTI. The correlation between our consumed crude oil differential and published differentials will vary depending on the volume of light medium sour crude oil and heavy sour crude oil we purchase as a percent of our total crude oil volume and will correlate more closely with such published differentials the heavier and more sour the crude oil slate. The WTI less WCS differential was \$10.47 and \$7.19 per barrel for the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The WTI less WTS differential was \$1.89 and \$0.93 per barrel for the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The Company s consumed crude oil differential was \$(3.02) and \$(6.47) per barrel for the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

We produce a high volume of high value products, such as gasoline and distillates. We benefit from the fact that our marketing region consumes more refined products than it produces so that the market prices in our region include the logistics cost for U.S. Gulf Coast refineries to ship into our region. The result of this logistical advantage and the fact the actual product specifications used to determine the NYMEX are different from the actual production in our refinery is that prices we realize are different than those used in determining the 2-1-1 crack spread. The difference between our price and the price used to calculate the 2-1-1 crack spread is referred to as gasoline PADD II, Group 3 vs. NYMEX basis, or gasoline basis, and Ultra Low Sulfur Diesel PADD II, Group 3 vs. NYMEX basis, or Ultra Low Sulfur Diesel basis. If both gasoline and Ultra Low Sulfur Diesel basis are greater than zero, this means that prices in our marketing area exceed those used in the 2-1-1 crack spread. Ultra Low Sulfur Diesel basis for the first quarter of 2010 was \$(0.36) per barrel compared to \$(1.82) per barrel in the first quarter of 2009. Gasoline basis for the first quarter of 2010 was \$(2.73) per barrel compared to \$(0.64) per barrel in the first quarter of 2009.

Our direct operating expense structure is also important to our profitability. Major direct operating expenses include energy, employee labor, maintenance, contract labor, and environmental compliance. Our predominant variable cost is

energy, which is comprised primarily of electrical cost and natural gas. We are therefore sensitive to the movements of natural gas prices.



## **Table of Contents**

Consistent, safe, and reliable operations at our refinery are key to our financial performance and results of operations. Unplanned downtime at our refinery may result in lost margin opportunity, increased maintenance expense and a temporary increase in working capital investment and related inventory position. We seek to mitigate the financial impact of planned downtime, such as major turnaround maintenance, through a diligent planning process that takes into account the margin environment, the availability of resources to perform the needed maintenance, feedstock logistics and other factors. The refinery generally undergoes a facility turnaround every four to five years. The length of the turnaround is contingent upon the scope of work to be completed.

Because petroleum feedstocks and products are essentially commodities, we have no control over the changing market. Therefore, the lower target inventory we are able to maintain significantly reduces the impact of commodity price volatility on our petroleum product inventory position relative to other refiners. This target inventory position is generally not hedged. To the extent our inventory position deviates from the target level, we consider risk mitigation activities usually through the purchase or sale of futures contracts on the NYMEX. Our hedging activities carry customary time, location and product grade basis risks generally associated with hedging activities. Because most of our titled inventory is valued under the FIFO costing method, price fluctuations on our target level of titled inventory have a major effect on our financial results unless the market value of our target inventory is increased above cost.

### ***Nitrogen Fertilizer Business***

In the nitrogen fertilizer business, earnings and cash flow from operations are primarily affected by the relationship between nitrogen fertilizer product prices and direct operating expenses. Unlike its competitors, the nitrogen fertilizer business uses minimal natural gas as feedstock and, as a result, is not directly impacted in terms of cost, by volatile swings in natural gas prices. Instead, our adjacent refinery supplies most of the pet coke feedstock needed by the nitrogen fertilizer business pursuant to a long-term pet coke supply agreement we entered into in October 2007. The price at which nitrogen fertilizer products are ultimately sold depends on numerous factors, including the global supply and demand for nitrogen fertilizer products which, in turn, depends on the price of natural gas, the cost and availability of fertilizer transportation infrastructure, changes in the world population, weather conditions, grain production levels, the availability of imports, and the extent of government intervention in agriculture markets. Nitrogen fertilizer prices are also affected by other factors, such as local market conditions and the operating levels of competing facilities. An expansion or upgrade of competitors' facilities, international political and economic developments and other factors are likely to continue to play an important role in nitrogen fertilizer industry economics. These factors can impact, among other things, the level of inventories in the market, resulting in price volatility and a reduction in product margins. Moreover, the industry typically experiences seasonal fluctuations in demand for nitrogen fertilizer products.

In addition, the demand for fertilizers is affected by the aggregate crop planting decisions and fertilizer application rate decisions of individual farmers. Individual farmers make planting decisions based largely on the prospective profitability of a harvest, while the specific varieties and amounts of fertilizer they apply depend on factors like crop prices, their current liquidity, soil conditions, weather patterns and the types of crops planted.

Natural gas is the most significant raw material required in our competitors' production of nitrogen fertilizers. North American natural gas prices increased significantly in the summer months of 2008 and moderated from these high levels in the last half of 2008. Over the past several years, natural gas prices have experienced high levels of price volatility. This pricing and volatility has a direct impact on our competitors' cost of producing nitrogen fertilizer.

In order to assess the operating performance of the nitrogen fertilizer business, we calculate plant gate price to determine our operating margin. Plant gate price refers to the unit price of fertilizer, in dollars per ton, offered on a delivered basis, excluding shipment costs.

Because the nitrogen fertilizer plant has certain logistical advantages relative to end users of ammonia and UAN and demand relative to our production has remained high, the nitrogen fertilizer business primarily targets end users in the U.S. farm belt where it incurs lower freight costs as compared to U.S. Gulf Coast competitors. The nitrogen fertilizer business does not incur any barge or pipeline freight charges when it sells in these markets, giving us a distribution cost advantage over U.S. Gulf Coast producers and importers. Selling

## **Table of Contents**

products to customers within economic rail transportation limits of the nitrogen fertilizer plant and keeping transportation costs low are keys to maintaining profitability.

The value of nitrogen fertilizer products is also an important consideration in understanding our results. During 2009, the nitrogen fertilizer business upgraded approximately 64% of its ammonia production into UAN, a product that presently generates a greater value than ammonia. UAN production is a major contributor to our profitability.

The direct operating expense structure of the nitrogen fertilizer business also directly affects its profitability. Using a pet coke gasification process, the nitrogen fertilizer business has significantly higher fixed costs than natural gas-based fertilizer plants. Major fixed operating expenses include electrical energy, employee labor, maintenance, including contract labor, and outside services. These costs comprise the fixed costs associated with the nitrogen fertilizer plant.

The nitrogen fertilizer business' largest raw material expense is pet coke, which it purchases from the petroleum business and third parties. In 2009, the nitrogen fertilizer business spent \$12.8 million for pet coke. If pet coke prices rise substantially in the future, the nitrogen fertilizer business may be unable to increase its prices to recover increased raw material costs, because the price floor for nitrogen fertilizer products is generally correlated with natural gas prices, the primary raw material used by its competitors, and not pet coke prices.

Consistent, safe, and reliable operations at the nitrogen fertilizer plant are critical to its financial performance and results of operations. Unplanned downtime of the nitrogen fertilizer plant may result in lost margin opportunity, increased maintenance expense and a temporary increase in working capital investment and related inventory position. The financial impact of planned downtime, such as major turnaround maintenance, is mitigated through a diligent planning process that takes into account margin environment, the availability of resources to perform the needed maintenance, feedstock logistics and other factors. The nitrogen fertilizer plant generally undergoes a facility turnaround every two years. The turnaround typically lasts 13-15 days each turnaround year and costs approximately \$3 million to \$5 million per turnaround. The facility underwent a turnaround in the fourth quarter of 2008, and the next facility turnaround is currently scheduled for the fourth quarter of 2010.

### **Factors Affecting Comparability of Our Financial Results**

Our historical results of operations for the periods presented may not be comparable with prior periods or to our results of operations in the future for the reasons discussed below.

#### **Cash Flow Swap**

Until October 8, 2009, CRLLC had been a party to the Cash Flow Swap with J. Aron, a subsidiary of The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. and a related party of ours. On October 8, 2009, the Cash Flow Swap was terminated and all remaining obligations were settled in advance. We have determined that the Cash Flow Swap did not qualify as a hedge for hedge accounting treatment under Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) Accounting Standards Codification ( ASC ) 815, *Derivatives and Hedging*. As a result, the Consolidated Statement of Operations reflects all the realized and unrealized gains and losses from this swap which has created significant changes between periods. As a result of the termination of the Cash Flow Swap in the fourth quarter of 2009, there was no impact recorded in the first quarter of 2010 compared to net realized and unrealized losses of \$35.8 million related to the Cash Flow Swap for the first quarter of 2009.

#### **Share-Based Compensation**

Through a wholly-owned subsidiary, we have two Phantom Unit Appreciation Plans (the Phantom Unit Plans ) whereby directors, employees, and service providers may be awarded phantom points at the discretion of the board of directors or the compensation committee. We account for awards under our Phantom Unit Plans as liability based awards. In accordance with FASB ASC 718, *Compensation - Stock Compensation*, the expense associated with these awards is based on the current fair value of the awards which was derived from a probability-weighted expected return method. The probability-weighted expected return method involves a forward-looking analysis of possible future outcomes, the estimation of ranges of future and present value

**Table of Contents**

under each outcome, and the application of a probability factor to each outcome in conjunction with the application of the current value of our common stock price with a Black-Scholes option pricing formula, as remeasured at each reporting date until the awards are settled.

Also, in conjunction with the initial public offering in October 2007, the override units of CALLC were modified and split evenly into override units of CALLC and CALLC II. As a result of the modification, the awards were no longer accounted for as employee awards and became subject to an accounting standard issued by the FASB which provides guidance regarding the accounting treatment by an investor for stock-based compensation granted to employees of an equity method investee. In addition, these awards are subject to an accounting standard issued by the FASB which provides guidance regarding the accounting treatment for equity instruments that are issued to other than employees for acquiring or in conjunction with selling goods or services. In accordance with this accounting guidance, the expense associated with the awards is based on the current fair value of the awards which is derived under the same methodology as the Phantom Unit Plans, as remeasured at each reporting date until the awards vest. For the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, we increased compensation expense by \$7.1 million and \$3.8 million, respectively, as a result of the phantom and override unit share-based compensation awards. We expect to incur additional incremental share-based compensation expense to the extent our common stock price increases.

**Results of Operations**

The following tables summarize the financial data and key operating statistics for CVR and our two operating segments for the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009. The summary financial data for our two operating segments does not include certain selling, general and administrative expenses and depreciation and amortization related to our corporate offices. The following data should be read in conjunction with our condensed consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto included elsewhere in this Form 10-Q. All information in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, except for the balance sheet data as of December 31, 2009, is unaudited.

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>
	<b>(unaudited)</b>	
	<b>(in millions, except share data)</b>	
<b>Consolidated Statement of Operations Data</b>		
Net sales	\$ 894.5	\$ 609.4
Cost of product sold(1)	802.9	421.6
Direct operating expenses(1)	60.6	56.2
Selling, general and administrative expenses(1)	21.3	19.5
Net costs associated with flood(2)		0.2
Depreciation and amortization(3)	21.3	20.9
Operating income (loss)	\$ (11.6)	\$ 91.0
Other income, net	0.4	0.1
Interest expense and other financing costs	(9.9)	(11.5)
Gain (loss) on derivatives, net	1.5	(36.9)
Loss on extinguishment of debt	(0.5)	
Income (loss) before income tax expense (benefit)	\$ (20.1)	\$ 42.7

Edgar Filing: CVR ENERGY INC - Form 10-Q

Income tax expense (benefit)		(7.7)		12.0
Net income (loss)(4)	\$	(12.4)	\$	30.7
Basic earnings (loss) per share	\$	(0.14)	\$	0.36
Diluted earnings (loss) per share	\$	(0.14)	\$	0.36
Weighted-average common shares outstanding:				
Basic		86,329,237		86,243,745
Diluted		86,329,237		86,322,411

**Table of Contents**

	<b>As of March 31, 2010 (unaudited)</b>	<b>As of December 31, 2009</b>
	<b>(in millions)</b>	
<b>Balance Sheet Data</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 37.5	\$ 36.9
Working capital	219.6	235.4
Total assets	1,613.0	1,614.5
Total debt, including current portion	461.4	491.3
Total CVR stockholders' equity	645.3	653.8

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31, 2010      2009 (unaudited) (in millions)</b>	
<b>Cash Flow Data</b>		
Net cash flow provided by (used in):		
Operating activities	\$ 43.4	\$ 36.7
Investing activities	(11.4)	(15.9)
Financing activities	(31.4)	(1.3)
<b>Other Financial Data</b>		
Capital expenditures for property, plant and equipment	\$ 11.4	\$ 15.9
Depreciation and amortization	21.3	20.9

- (1) Amounts are shown exclusive of depreciation and amortization.
- (2) Represents the approximate net costs associated with the June/July 2007 flood and crude oil spill that are not probable of recovery.
- (3) Depreciation and amortization is comprised of the following components as excluded from cost of product sold, direct operating expenses and selling, general administrative expenses:

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31, 2010      2009 (unaudited) (in millions)</b>	
Depreciation and amortization excluded from cost of product sold	\$ 0.8	\$ 0.7
Depreciation and amortization excluded from direct operating expenses	20.0	19.7
Depreciation and amortization excluded from selling, general and administrative expenses	0.5	0.5

Total depreciation and amortization	\$ 21.3	\$ 20.9
-------------------------------------	---------	---------

- (4) The following are certain charges and costs incurred in each of the relevant periods that are meaningful to understanding our net income and in evaluating our performance:

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>
	<b>(unaudited)</b>	
	<b>(in millions)</b>	
Loss on extinguishment of debt(a)	\$ 0.5	\$
Letter of credit expense and interest rate swap not included in interest expense(b)	2.3	4.3
Unrealized net (gain) loss from Cash Flow Swap		20.1
Share-based compensation expense(c)	7.3	3.9

36



**Table of Contents**

- (a) In January 2010, we made a voluntary unscheduled principal payment of \$20.0 million on our tranche D term loans. In addition, we made a second voluntary unscheduled principal payment of \$5.0 million in February 2010. In connection with these voluntary prepayments, we paid a 2.0% premium totaling \$0.5 million to the lenders of our first priority credit facility. The premiums paid are reflected as a loss on extinguishment of debt in our Consolidated Statements of Operations.
- (b) Consists of fees which are expensed to selling, general and administrative expenses in connection with the funded letter of credit facility issued in support of the Cash Flow Swap, terminated effective October 8, 2009, as well as other letters of credit outstanding. We consider these fees to be equivalent to interest expense and the fees are treated as such in the calculation of consolidated adjusted EBITDA in the first priority credit facility.
- (c) Represents the impact of share-based compensation awards.

**Petroleum Business Results of Operations**

The following tables below provide an overview of the petroleum business results of operations, relevant market indicators and its key operating statistics:

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31, 2010                  2009 (unaudited) (in millions)</b>	
<b>Petroleum Business Financial Results</b>		
Net sales	\$ 856.7	\$ 545.3
Cost of product sold(1)	799.0	417.6
Direct operating expenses(1)(2)	38.4	34.6
Net costs associated with flood		0.2
Depreciation and amortization	16.1	15.9
Gross profit(2)	\$ 3.2	\$ 77.0
Plus direct operating expenses(1)	38.4	34.6
Plus net costs associated with flood		0.2
Plus depreciation and amortization	16.1	15.9
Refining margin(3)	57.7	127.7
Operating income (loss)	\$ (7.1)	\$ 64.7
<b>Key Operating Statistics (per crude oil throughput barrel)</b>		
Refining margin(3)	\$ 6.10	\$ 13.36
Gross profit(2)	\$ 0.34	\$ 8.06
Direct operating expenses(1)(2)	\$ 4.06	\$ 3.62



**Table of Contents**

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31,</b>		<b>2010</b>		<b>2009</b>	
		<i>%</i>		<i>%</i>		<i>%</i>
<b>Refining Throughput and Production Data (bpd)</b>						
Throughput:						
Sweet	84,867	75.0	74,958	62.1		
Light/medium sour	7,527	6.6	20,733	17.2		
Heavy sour	12,746	11.3	10,478	8.7		
Total crude oil throughput	105,140	92.9	106,169	88.0		
All other feedstocks and blendstocks	7,980	7.1	14,498	12.0		
Total throughput	113,120	100.0	120,667	100.0		
Production:						
Gasoline	59,036	51.6	64,327	53.3		
Distillate	45,234	39.5	46,184	38.3		
Other (excluding internally produced fuel)	10,184	8.9	10,133	8.4		
Total refining production (excluding internally produced fuel)	114,454	100.0	120,644	100.0		
Product price (dollars per gallon):						
Gasoline	\$ 2.04		\$ 1.24			
Distillate	\$ 2.05		\$ 1.32			

	<b>Three Months Ended</b>		<b>March 31,</b>	
	<b>2010</b>		<b>2009</b>	
<b>Market Indicators (dollars per barrel)</b>				
West Texas Intermediate (WTI) NYMEX	\$ 78.88		\$ 43.31	
Crude Oil Differentials:				
WTI less WTS (light/medium sour)	1.89		0.93	
WTI less WCS (heavy sour)	10.47		7.19	
NYMEX Crack Spreads:				
Gasoline	9.72		9.07	
Heating Oil	7.24		13.13	
NYMEX 2-1-1 Crack Spread	8.48		11.10	
PADD II Group 3 Basis:				
Gasoline	(2.73)		(0.64)	
Ultra Low Sulfur Diesel	(0.36)		(1.82)	
PADD II Group 3 Product Crack:				
Gasoline	6.99		8.43	
Ultra Low Sulfur Diesel	6.88		11.31	
PADD II Group 3 2-1-1	6.93		9.87	

- (1) Amounts are shown exclusive of depreciation and amortization.
- (2) In order to derive the gross profit per crude oil throughput barrel, we utilize the total dollar figures for gross profit as derived above and divide by the applicable number of crude oil throughput barrels for the period. In order to derive the direct operating expenses per crude oil throughput barrel, we utilize the total

**Table of Contents**

direct operating expenses, which does not include depreciation or amortization expense, and divide by the applicable number of crude oil throughput barrels for the period.

- (3) Refining margin is a measurement calculated as the difference between net sales and cost of product sold (exclusive of depreciation and amortization). Refining margin is a non-GAAP measure that we believe is important to investors in evaluating our refinery's performance as a general indication of the amount above our cost of product sold that we are able to sell refined products. Each of the components used in this calculation (net sales and cost of product sold (exclusive of depreciation and amortization)) are taken directly from our Condensed Statement of Operations. Our calculation of refining margin may differ from similar calculations of other companies in our industry, thereby limiting its usefulness as a comparative measure. In order to derive the refining margin per crude oil throughput barrel, we utilize the total dollar figures for refining margin as derived above and divide by the applicable number of crude oil throughput barrels for the period. We believe that refining margin and refining margin per crude oil throughput barrel is important to enable investors to better understand and evaluate our ongoing operating results and allow for greater transparency in the review of our overall financial, operational and economic performance.

**Nitrogen Fertilizer Business Results of Operations**

The tables below provide an overview of the nitrogen fertilizer business' results of operations, relevant market indicators and key operating statistics:

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31, 2010                  2009 (unaudited) (in millions)</b>	
<b>Nitrogen Fertilizer Business Financial Results</b>		
Net sales	\$ 38.3	\$ 67.8
Cost of product sold(1)	5.0	8.7
Direct operating expenses(1)	22.2	21.6
Net costs associated with flood		
Depreciation and amortization	4.7	4.6
Operating income	\$ 3.0	\$ 29.3

**Table of Contents**

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31, 2010            2009 (unaudited)</b>	
<b>Key Operating Statistics</b>		
Production (thousand tons):		
Ammonia (gross produced)(2)	105.1	108.0
Ammonia (net available for sale)(2)	38.2	38.8
UAN	163.8	169.7
Pet coke consumed (thousand tons)	117.7	125.3
Pet coke (cost per ton)	\$ 14	\$ 35
Sales (thousand tons)(3):		
Ammonia	31.2	48.0
UAN	155.8	143.0
Total sales	187.0	191.0
Product pricing (plant gate) (dollars per ton)(3):		
Ammonia	\$ 282	\$ 373
UAN	\$ 167	\$ 316
On-stream factor(4):		
Gasification	96.0%	100.0%
Ammonia	94.2%	100.0%
UAN	90.6%	96.0%
Reconciliation to net sales (in millions):		
Freight in revenue	\$ 3.5	\$ 4.1
Hydrogen revenue		0.7
Sales net plant gate	34.8	63.0
Total net sales	\$ 38.3	\$ 67.8

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31, 2010            2009 (unaudited)</b>	
<b>Market Indicators</b>		
Natural gas NYMEX (dollars per MMBtu)	\$ 4.99	\$ 4.47
Ammonia Southern Plains (dollars per ton)	\$ 330	\$ 337
UAN Mid Cornbelt (dollars per ton)	\$ 245	\$ 274

(1) Amounts are shown exclusive of depreciation and amortization.

(2) The gross tons produced for ammonia represent the total ammonia produced, including ammonia produced that was upgraded into UAN. The net tons available for sale represent the ammonia available for sale that was not upgraded into UAN.

- (3) Plant gate sales per ton represent net sales less freight and hydrogen revenue divided by product sales volume in tons in the reporting period. Plant gate pricing per ton is shown in order to provide a pricing measure that is comparable across the fertilizer industry.
- (4) On-stream factor is the total number of hours operated divided by the total number of hours in the reporting period.

**Table of Contents****Three Months Ended March 31, 2010 Compared to the Three Months Ended March 31, 2009*****Consolidated Results of Operations***

**Net Sales.** Consolidated net sales were \$894.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010 compared to \$609.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009. The increase of \$285.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2009 was primarily due to an increase in petroleum net sales of \$311.4 million that resulted from higher product prices (\$309.3 million) and slightly higher sales volumes (\$2.1 million). The increase petroleum sales were partially offset by a decrease in nitrogen fertilizer net sales of \$29.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2009. The decrease in nitrogen net sales was primarily due to lower plant gate prices (\$26.7 million) and lower overall sales volume (\$2.8 million).

**Cost of Product Sold (Exclusive of Depreciation and Amortization).** Consolidated cost of product sold (exclusive of depreciation and amortization) was \$802.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010 as compared to \$421.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009. The increase of \$381.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2009 primarily resulted from an increase in crude oil prices. On a quarter-over-quarter basis, our consumed crude oil costs increased approximately \$367.3 million. Consumed crude oil cost per barrel increased 106.6% on a quarter-over-quarter basis from an average price of \$36.75 per barrel for the three months ended March 31, 2009 to an average price of \$75.91 per barrel for the three months ended March 31, 2010. Inherent in the overall increase was the impact associated with the decrease in the contango in the crude oil market between the periods of approximately \$2.60 per barrel for the first quarter of 2010 compared to the first quarter of 2009.

**Direct Operating Expenses (Exclusive of Depreciation and Amortization).** Consolidated direct operating expenses (exclusive of depreciation and amortization) were \$60.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010 as compared to \$56.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009. This increase of \$4.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2009 was due to an increase in petroleum direct operating expenses of \$3.8 million and an increase in nitrogen fertilizer direct operating expenses of \$0.6 million. The increase was primarily attributable to increased energy and utility costs (\$3.3 million) which included approximately \$1.1 million of higher natural gas prices and approximately \$3.3 million of increases due to increased natural gas usage. These increases to the overall energy and utility costs were partially offset by a decrease of approximately \$1.1 million of electricity costs for the nitrogen fertilizer business. This increased natural gas usage for our petroleum business occurred in order to attain an overall increased light product yield. The increased natural gas usage offset our increase of recovery in liquid barrels from our internally produced fuel system. Additionally, other increases to the overall costs included increased downtime repairs and maintenance expense (\$2.1 million) which included \$1.1 million of opportunistic repairs and maintenance in the first quarter of 2010 and labor (\$1.1 million) and other direct operating expenses (\$0.5 million). These direct operating expense increases were partially offset by decreases in expenses associated with production chemicals (\$1.6 million) and outside services and other direct operating expense (\$0.9 million).

**Selling, General and Administrative Expenses (Exclusive of Depreciation and Amortization).** Consolidated selling, general and administrative expenses (exclusive of depreciation and amortization) were \$21.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010 as compared to \$19.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009. This variance was primarily the result of an increase in expenses associated with share-based compensation (\$3.4 million), other selling, general and administrative expenses (\$0.3 million), asset write-off (\$0.3 million), outside services (\$0.3 million), other employee costs (\$0.2 million) and office costs (\$0.1 million) which was partially offset by a decrease in bank charges (\$2.1 million), payroll (\$0.4 million), insurance (\$0.2 million) and property taxes



(\$0.1 million).

**Depreciation and Amortization.** Consolidated depreciation and amortization was \$21.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010 as compared to \$20.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009. The increase in depreciation and amortization for the three months ended March 31, 2010 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2009 was the result of additional capital projects completed throughout 2009.

**Table of Contents**

**Operating Income (loss).** Consolidated operating loss was \$11.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010 as compared to an operating income of \$91.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009. For the three months ended March 31, 2010 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2009, petroleum operating income decreased \$71.8 million and nitrogen fertilizer operating income decreased by \$26.3 million. The decline in operating income is primarily attributable to declines in the 2-1-1 crack spread for our petroleum business coupled with lower average plant gate prices for our nitrogen fertilizer business.

**Interest Expense.** Consolidated interest expense for the three months ended March 31, 2010 was \$9.9 million as compared to interest expense of \$11.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009. This \$1.6 million decrease for the three months ended March 31, 2010 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2009 primarily resulted from a decrease in average borrowings outstanding due to scheduled principal payments and voluntary unscheduled principal payments of \$25.0 million in the first quarter of 2010.

**Gain (loss) on Derivatives, net.** For the three months ended March 31, 2010, we recorded \$1.5 million in gain on derivatives, net. This compares to a \$36.9 million loss on derivatives, net for the three months ended March 31, 2009. The gain on derivatives, net for the three months ended March 31, 2010 as compared to the loss on derivatives, net for the three months ended March 31, 2009 was primarily attributable to the termination of the Cash Flow Swap in the fourth quarter of 2009. The Cash Flow Swap for the three months ended March 31, 2009 contributed realized and unrealized losses of approximately \$35.8 million compared \$0 for the three months ended March 31, 2010.

**Income tax expense (benefit).** Income tax benefit for the three months ended March 31, 2010 was \$7.7 million, or 38.4% of income (loss) before income tax expense (benefit), as compared to income tax expense of \$12.0 million, or 28.1% of income before income tax expense (benefit), for the three months ended March 31, 2009. This increase in the effective income tax rate is primarily related to projected levels of pre-tax income for 2010 in correlation with no generation of Ultra Low Sulfur Diesel credits in 2010.

**Net Income (loss).** For the three months ended March 31, 2010, net income decreased to a net loss of \$12.4 million as compared to net income of \$30.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009. The decrease of \$43.1 million for the first quarter of 2010 compared to the first quarter of 2009 was primarily due to a decline in refining margins partially offset by a decrease in the loss on derivatives, net in the first quarter of 2009 compared to a gain on derivatives, net for the first quarter of 2010.

**Petroleum Business Results of Operations for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2010**

**Net Sales.** Petroleum net sales were \$856.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010 compared to \$545.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009. The increase of \$311.4 million during the three months ended March 31, 2010 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2009 was primarily the result of significantly higher product prices (\$309.3 million) and slightly higher overall sales volumes (\$2.1 million). Our average sales price per gallon for the three months ended March 31, 2010 for gasoline of \$2.04 and distillate of \$2.05 increased by 64.5% and 54.8%, respectively, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2009.

**Cost of Product Sold (Exclusive of Depreciation and Amortization).** Cost of product sold (exclusive of depreciation and amortization) includes cost of crude oil, other feedstocks and blendstocks, purchased products for resale, transportation and distribution costs. Petroleum cost of product sold exclusive of depreciation and amortization was \$799.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010 compared to \$417.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009. The increase of \$381.4 million during the three months ended March 31, 2010 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2009 was primarily the result of a significant increase in crude oil prices. The impact of FIFO accounting also impacted cost of product sold during the comparable periods. Our average cost per barrel of crude oil consumed for the three months ended March 31, 2010 was \$75.91 compared to \$36.75 for the comparable

period of 2009, an increase of 106.6%. Sales volume of refined fuels increased by approximately 0.4% for the three months ended March 31, 2010 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2009. In addition, under our FIFO accounting method, changes in crude oil prices can cause fluctuations in the inventory valuation of our crude oil, work in process and finished goods, thereby resulting in a favorable FIFO inventory impact when crude oil prices increase and an

**Table of Contents**

unfavorable FIFO inventory impact when crude oil prices decrease. For the three months ended March 31, 2010, we had a favorable FIFO inventory impact of \$15.7 million compared to an unfavorable FIFO inventory impact of \$6.0 million for the comparable period of 2009.

Refining margin per barrel of crude throughput decreased from \$13.36 for the three months ended March 31, 2009 to \$6.10 for the three months ended March 31, 2010. Gross profit per barrel decreased to \$0.34 in the first quarter of 2010 as compared to gross profit per barrel of \$8.06 in the equivalent period in 2009. Several factors contributed to the negative variance in refining margin per barrel of crude throughput. One contributing factor was the decrease in our consumed crude oil differential over the comparable periods. Our consumed crude oil differential for the three months ended March 31, 2010 was \$(3.02) per barrel as compared to \$(6.47) per barrel for the three months ended March 31, 2009. This was the result of our processing a sweeter crude slate in the three months ended March 31, 2010 (approximately 81% sweet crude) as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2009 (approximately 71% sweet crude). Additionally, the contango in the market during the periods was approximately on average \$2.60 per barrel less than the comparative period in 2009. This factored into the overall decrease in the refining margin. Another factor contributing to the decline of our refining margin per barrel was a decline in the average NYMEX 2-1-1 crack spread over the comparable periods. The average NYMEX 2-1-1 crack spread for the three months ended March 31, 2010 was \$8.48 per barrel or a 23.6% decline from the three months ended March 31, 2009. The negative regional differences between gasoline prices in our primary marketing region (the Coffeyville supply area) and that of the NYMEX also negatively impacted refining margin per barrel over the comparable periods. The average gasoline basis for the three months ended March 31, 2010 decreased by \$2.09 per barrel to \$(2.73) per barrel compared to \$(0.64) per barrel in the comparable period of 2009. The average distillate basis increased by \$1.46 per barrel to \$(0.36) per barrel compared to \$(1.82) per barrel in the comparable period of 2009. The decrease in the crack spread and the average basis differential was the result of increased supply and decreased demand of refined fuels for the majority of the first quarter of 2010 compared to the first quarter of 2009.

***Direct Operating Expenses (Exclusive of Depreciation and Amortization).*** Direct operating expenses for our petroleum operations include costs associated with the actual operations of our refinery, such as energy and utility costs, catalyst and chemical costs, repairs and maintenance, labor and environmental compliance costs. Petroleum direct operating expenses (exclusive of depreciation and amortization) were \$38.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010 compared to direct operating expenses of \$34.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009. The increase of \$3.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2009, was the result of increases in expenses primarily associated with utilities and energy (\$4.1 million), opportunistic repairs and maintenance (\$1.1 million), downtime repairs and maintenance (\$0.2) and labor (\$0.9 million). The increases associated with utilities and energy were primarily generated from increased natural gas usage (\$3.3 million) derived as a result of our increased recovery of saleable liquid barrels from our internally produced fuel system. The natural gas increases were the result of an overall improvement in the light product yield structure. The remaining increase in the energy costs resulted from price increases. Increases in direct operating expenses were partially offset by decreases in expenses primarily associated with chemicals (\$1.5 million) and outside services and other direct operating expenses (\$1.0 million). On a per barrel of crude throughput basis, direct operating expenses per barrel of crude oil throughput for the three months ended March 31, 2010 increased to \$4.06 per barrel as compared to \$3.62 per barrel for the three months ended March 31, 2009.

***Depreciation and Amortization.*** Petroleum depreciation and amortization was \$16.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010 as compared to \$15.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009.

***Operating Income (loss).*** Petroleum operating loss was \$(7.1) million for the three months ended March 31, 2010 as compared to operating income of \$64.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009. This decrease of \$71.8 million from the three months ended March 31, 2010 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2009 was primarily the result of a decline in the refining margin (\$70.0 million), an increase in direct operating expenses

(\$3.8 million) and an increase in depreciation and amortization (\$0.2 million). The decrease in refining margin and increases in direct operating expenses and depreciation

**Table of Contents**

and amortization were partially offset by a decrease in selling, general and administrative expenses (\$2.0 million).

**Nitrogen Fertilizer Business Results of Operations for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2010**

**Net Sales.** Nitrogen fertilizer net sales were \$38.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010 compared to \$67.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009. The decrease of \$29.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2009 was the result of both lower average plant gate prices (\$26.7 million) and lower product sales volume (\$2.8 million).

In regard to product sales volumes for the three months ended March 31, 2010, our nitrogen fertilizer operations experienced a decrease of 35% in ammonia sales unit volumes and an increase of 9% in UAN sales unit volumes. The decrease in ammonia sales for the first quarter of 2010 compared to the first quarter of 2009 was primarily attributable to wet weather conditions in March 2010. The increase in UAN sales volume in the first quarter of 2010 compared to the first quarter of 2009 was primarily attributable to high priced UAN inventory held by distributors and dealers in the first quarter of 2009. Much of this inventory was purchased when prices reached record levels in 2008. As market prices declined, distributors and dealers continued to try to sell this higher priced carryover inventory which led to lower UAN sales volume in first quarter of 2009. On-stream factors (total number of hours operated divided by total hours in the reporting period) for the gasification, ammonia and UAN units decreased over the comparable periods with the units reporting 96.0%, 94.2% and 90.6%, respectively, on-stream for the three months ended March 31, 2010. Although the on-stream factors for the three months ending March 31, 2010 continue to demonstrate reliability, it is typical to experience brief outages in complex manufacturing operations such as our nitrogen fertilizer plant which result in less than one hundred percent on-stream availability for one or more specific units.

Plant gate prices are prices FOB the delivery point less any freight cost we absorb to deliver the product. We believe plant gate price is meaningful because we sell products both FOB our plant gate (sold plant) and FOB the customer's designated delivery site (sold delivered) and the percentage of sold plant versus sold delivered can change month to month or three months to three months. The plant gate price provides a measure that is consistently comparable period to period. Plant gate prices for the three months ended March 31, 2010 for ammonia were lower than the comparable period of 2009 by 24%. Plant gate prices for the three months ended March 31, 2010 for UAN were lower than plant gate prices for the comparable period of 2009 by 47%. The decline in ammonia and UAN prices on a quarter-over-quarter basis was primarily attributable to the fact that 2009 market prices for these commodities were still decreasing from unprecedented highs in 2008. High priced orders booked in 2008 were continuing to be shipped in the first quarter of 2009.

The demand for nitrogen fertilizer is affected by the aggregate crop planting decisions and nitrogen fertilizer application rate decisions of individual farmers. Individual farmers make planting decisions based largely on the prospective profitability of a harvest, while the specific varieties and amounts of nitrogen fertilizer they apply depend on factors like crop prices, their current liquidity, soil conditions, weather patterns and the types of crops planted.

**Cost of Product Sold (Exclusive of Depreciation and Amortization).** Cost of product sold (exclusive of depreciation and amortization) is primarily comprised of pet coke expense and freight and distribution expenses. Cost of product sold (excluding depreciation and amortization) for the three months ended March 31, 2010 was \$5.0 million compared to \$8.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009. The decrease of \$3.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2009 was primarily the result of an decrease in expenses associated with petroleum coke (\$2.8 million), freight expense (\$0.3 million) and inventory (\$1.2 million), partially offset by an increase in expenses associated with the costs of hydrogen (\$0.6 million).

**Direct Operating Expenses (Exclusive of Depreciation and Amortization).** Direct operating expenses for our nitrogen fertilizer operations include costs associated with the actual operations of our nitrogen plant, such as repairs

and maintenance, energy and utility costs, catalyst and chemical costs, outside services, labor and environmental compliance costs. Nitrogen fertilizer direct operating expenses (exclusive of depreciation and amortization) for the three months ended March 31, 2010 were \$22.2 million as compared to \$21.6 million

**Table of Contents**

for the three months ended March 31, 2009. The increase of \$0.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2009 was primarily the result of increases in expenses associated with downtime repairs and maintenance (\$0.7 million), outside services and other direct operating expenses (\$0.4 million), refractory brick amortization (\$0.3 million), labor (\$0.2 million) and property taxes (\$0.1 million). These increases in direct operating expenses were partially offset by decreases in expenses associated with utilities (\$0.8 million), insurance (\$0.2 million) and production chemicals (\$0.1 million).

***Depreciation and Amortization.*** Nitrogen fertilizer depreciation and amortization increased to \$4.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010 as compared to \$4.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009.

***Operating Income.*** Nitrogen fertilizer operating income was \$3.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010 as compared to operating income of \$29.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009. This decrease of \$26.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2009 was primarily the result of a decline in the nitrogen fertilizer margin (\$25.8 million), increases in direct operating costs (\$0.6 million) and depreciation and amortization (\$0.1 million) and slightly offset by a decline of selling, general and administrative expense (\$0.1 million).

**Liquidity and Capital Resources**

Our primary sources of liquidity currently consist of cash generated from our operating activities, existing cash and cash equivalent balances and our existing revolving credit facility. Our ability to generate sufficient cash flows from our operating activities will continue to be primarily dependent on producing or purchasing, and selling, sufficient quantities of refined products and nitrogen fertilizer products at margins sufficient to cover fixed and variable expenses.

We believe that our cash flows from operations and existing cash and cash equivalent balances, together with borrowings under our existing revolving credit facility as necessary, will be sufficient to satisfy the anticipated cash requirements associated with our existing operations for at least the next 12 months. However, our future capital expenditures and other cash requirements could be higher than we currently expect as a result of various factors. Additionally, our ability to generate sufficient cash from our operating activities depends on our future performance, which is subject to general economic, political, financial, competitive, and other factors beyond our control.

***Cash Balance and Other Liquidity***

As of March 31, 2010, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$37.5 million. As of March 31, 2010 and May 4, 2010, we had no amounts outstanding under our revolving credit facility and aggregate availability of \$114.2 million and \$119.2 million, respectively, under our revolving credit facility. At May 4, 2010, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$16.6 million.

Working capital at March 31, 2010 was \$219.6 million, consisting of \$434.8 million in current assets and \$215.2 million in current liabilities. Working capital at December 31, 2009 was \$235.4 million, consisting of \$426.0 million in current assets and \$190.6 million in current liabilities.

***Senior Notes***

On April 6, 2010, CRLLC and its newly formed wholly-owned subsidiary, Coffeyville Finance Inc. (together the Issuers ), completed a private offering of \$275.0 million aggregate principal amount of 9.0% First Lien Senior Secured Notes due 2015 (the First Lien Notes ) and \$225.0 million aggregate principal amount of 10.875% Second Lien Senior Secured Notes due 2017 (the Second Lien Notes and together with the First Lien Notes, the Notes ). The First Lien



Notes were issued at 99.511% of their principal amount and the Second Lien Notes were issued at 98.811% of their principal amount.

CRLLC received total net proceeds from the offering of approximately \$485.7 million, net of underwriter fees of \$10.0 million and original issue discount of approximately \$4.0 million, but before deducting other

**Table of Contents**

third-party fees and expenses associated with the offering. CRLLC applied the net proceeds to prepay all of the outstanding balance of its tranche D term loan under its first priority credit facility in an amount equal to \$453.3 million and to pay related fees and expenses. In accordance with the terms of its first priority credit facility, CRLLC paid a 2.0% premium totaling approximately \$9.1 million to the lenders of the term debt upon the prepayment of the outstanding balance. This amount will be recorded as a loss on extinguishment of debt during the second quarter of 2010. Additionally, due to the prepayment and termination of the term debt, a write-off of previously deferred financing charges of approximately \$5.4 million will be recorded during the second quarter of 2010. The discount and related debt issuance costs of the Notes are being amortized over the term of the applicable Notes.

The First Lien Notes were issued pursuant to an indenture (the First Lien Notes Indenture ), dated April 6, 2010, among the Issuers, the guarantors party thereto and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as trustee (the First Lien Notes Trustee ). The Second Lien Notes were issued pursuant to an indenture (the Second Lien Notes Indenture and together with the First Lien Notes Indenture, the Indentures ), dated April 6, 2010, among the Issuers, the guarantors party thereto and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as trustee (the Second Lien Notes Trustee and in reference to the Indentures, the Trustee ). The Notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed by each of the Company s subsidiaries that also guarantee the first priority credit facility (the Guarantors and, together with the Issuers, the Credit Parties ).

The First Lien Notes bear interest at a rate of 9.0% per annum and mature on April 1, 2015, unless earlier redeemed or repurchased by the Issuers. The Second Lien Notes bear interest at a rate of 10.875% per annum and mature on April 1, 2017, unless earlier redeemed or repurchased by the Issuers. Interest is payable on the Notes semi-annually on April 1 and October 1 of each year, beginning on October 1, 2010, to holders of record at the close of business on March 15 and September 15, as the case may be, immediately preceding each such interest payment date.

The Issuers have the right to redeem the First Lien Notes at the redemption prices set forth below:

On or after April 1, 2012, some or all of the First Lien Notes may be redeemed at a redemption price of 106.750% of the principal amount thereof if redeemed during the twelve-month period beginning on April 1, 2012, 104.500% of the principal amount thereof if redeemed during the twelve-month period beginning on April 1, 2013, and 100% of the principal amount if redeemed on or after April 1, 2014, plus any accrued and unpaid interest;

Prior to April 1, 2012, up to 35% of the First Lien Notes issued under the First Lien Notes Indenture may be redeemed with the proceeds from certain equity offerings at a redemption price of 109.000% of the principal amount thereof, plus any accrued and unpaid interest;

Prior to April 1, 2012, some or all of the First Lien Notes may be redeemed at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount thereof plus a make-whole premium; and

Prior to April 1, 2012, but not more than once in any twelve-month period, up to 10% of the First Lien Notes issued under the First Lien Notes Indenture may be redeemed at a price equal to 103.000% of the principal amount thereof plus accrued and unpaid interest to the date of redemption.

The Issuers have the right to redeem the Second Lien Notes at the redemption prices set forth below:

On or after April 1, 2013, some or all of the Second Lien Notes may be redeemed at a redemption price of 108.156% of the principal amount thereof if redeemed during the twelve-month period beginning on April 1, 2013, 105.438% of the principal amount thereof if redeemed during the twelve-month period beginning on April 1, 2014, 102.719% of the principal amount thereof if redeemed during the twelve-month period

beginning on April 1, 2015, and 100% of the principal amount if redeemed on or after April 1, 2016, plus any accrued and unpaid interest;

Prior to April 1, 2013, up to 35% of the Second Lien Notes issued under the Second Lien Notes Indenture may be redeemed with the proceeds from certain equity offerings at a redemption price of 110.875% of the principal amount thereof, plus any accrued and unpaid interest; and

**Table of Contents**

Prior to April 1, 2013, some or all of the Second Lien Notes may be redeemed at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount thereof plus a make-whole premium.

In the event of a change of control as defined in the Indentures, the Issuers are required to offer to buy back all of the Notes at 101% of their principal amount. A change of control is defined as (1) the sale or transfer (other than by a merger) of all or substantially all of the assets of the Company to any person other than permitted holders, which are generally GS, Kelso and certain members of management, (2) liquidation or dissolution of CRLLC, (3) any person, other than a permitted holder, acquiring 50% of the voting stock of CRLLC or (4) the first day when a majority of the directors of CRLLC or CVR Energy are not existing directors or approved by the then-existing directors.

The definition of change of control specifically excludes a transaction where CVR Energy becomes a subsidiary of another company, so long as (1) CVR Energy's shareholders own a majority of the surviving parent or (2) no one person owns a majority of the common stock of the surviving parent following the merger.

The Indentures also allow the company to sell, spin-off or complete an initial public offering if the Partnership, as long as the Company buys back a percentage of the Notes as described in the Indentures.

The Indentures impose covenants that restrict the ability of the Credit Parties to (i) issue debt, (ii) incur or otherwise cause liens to exist on any of their property or assets, (iii) declare or pay dividends, repurchase equity, or make payments on subordinated or unsecured debt, (iv) make certain investments, (v) sell certain assets, (vi) merge, consolidate with or into another entity, or sell all or substantially all of their assets, and (vii) enter into certain transactions with affiliates. Most of the foregoing covenants would cease to apply at such time the Notes are rated investment grade by both S&P and Moody's; provided, such covenants would be reinstated at such time the Notes lost their investment grade rating. In addition, the Indentures contain customary events of default, the occurrence of which would result in, or permit the Trustee or holders of at least 25% of the First Lien Notes or Second Lien Notes to cause the, acceleration of the applicable Notes, in addition to the pursuit of other available remedies.

The obligations of the Credit Parties under the Notes and the guarantees are secured by liens on substantially all of the Credit Parties' assets. The liens granted in connection with the First Lien Notes are first-priority liens and rank pari passu with the liens granted to the lenders under the first priority credit facility and certain hedge counterparties, including J. Aron. The liens granted in connection with the Second Lien Notes are second-priority liens and rank junior to the aforementioned first-priority liens.

***First Priority Credit Facility***

As of March 31, 2010 the first priority credit facility consisted of tranche D term loans with an outstanding balance of \$453.3 million at March 31, 2010 and a \$150.0 million revolving credit facility. The tranche D term loans were repaid in full on April 6, 2010 as a result of proceeds received through the issuance of the Notes.

The revolving credit facility of \$150.0 million provides for direct cash borrowings for general corporate purposes and on a short-term basis. Letters of credit issued under the revolving credit facility are subject to a \$100.0 million sub-limit. Outstanding letters of credit reduce the amount available under our revolving credit facility. As of March 31, 2010, we had \$35.8 million of outstanding letters of credit consisting of: \$0.2 million in letters of credit in support of certain environmental obligations, \$30.6 million in letters of credit to secure transportation services for crude oil (\$27.4 million of which relates to TransCanada Keystone Pipeline, LP (TransCanada) petroleum transportation service agreements, the validity of which we are contesting) and a \$5.0 million standby letter of credit issued in connection with the Interest Rate Swap. On April 27, 2010, the \$5.0 million standby letter of credit issued in support of the Interest Rate Swap was terminated. The revolving loan commitment expires on December 28, 2012. As

of March 31, 2010, we had available \$114.2 million under the revolving credit facility.

On March 12, 2010, CRLLC entered into a fourth amendment to its first priority credit facility. The amendment, among other things, provided CRLLC the opportunity to issue junior lien debt, subject to certain conditions, including, but not limited to, a requirement that 100% of the proceeds are used to prepay the tranche D term loans. The amendment also provided CRLLC the ability to issue up to \$350.0 million of first

**Table of Contents**

lien debt, subject to certain conditions, including, but not limited to, a requirement that 100% of the proceeds be used to prepay all of the remaining tranche D term loans.

The amendment also provides financial flexibility to CRLLC through modifications to its financial covenants over the next four quarters and as a result of the Notes issuance on April 6, 2010 the total leverage ratio became a first-lien only test and the interest coverage ratio was further modified. Additionally, the amendment permits CRLLC to re-invest up to \$15.0 million of asset sale proceeds each year, so long as such proceeds are re-invested within twelve months of receipt (eighteen months if a binding agreement is entered into within twelve months). CRLLC paid an upfront fee in an amount equal to 0.75% of the aggregate of the approving lenders' loans and commitments outstanding as of March 11, 2010. Additionally, CRLLC paid a fee of \$0.9 million in the first quarter of 2010 to a subsidiary of GS in connection with their services as lead bookrunner related to the amendment.

The first priority credit facility contains customary covenants, which, among other things, restrict, subject to certain exceptions, the ability of CRLLC and its subsidiaries to incur additional indebtedness, create liens on assets, make restricted junior payments, enter into agreements that restrict subsidiary distributions, make investments, loans or advances, engage in mergers, acquisitions or sales of assets, dispose of subsidiary interests, enter into sale and leaseback transactions, engage in certain transactions with affiliates and stockholders, change the business conducted by the credit parties, and enter into hedging agreements. The first priority credit facility provides that CRLLC may not enter into commodity agreements if, after giving effect thereto, the exposure under all such commodity agreements exceeds 75% of Actual Production (the estimated future production of refined products based on the actual production for the three prior months) or for a term of longer than six years from December 28, 2006. In addition, CRLLC may not enter into material amendments related to any material rights under the Partnership's partnership agreement without the prior written approval of the requisite lenders. These limitations are subject to critical exceptions and exclusions and are not designed to protect investors in our common stock.

The first priority credit facility also requires CRLLC to maintain certain financial ratios as follows:

<b>Fiscal Quarter Ending</b>	<b>Minimum Interest Coverage Ratio(1)</b>	<b>Maximum Leverage Ratio(1)</b>
March 31, 2010	2.00:1.00	4.25:1.00
June 30, 2010	1.50:1.00	4.50:1.00
September 30, 2010	1.50:1.00	4.50:1.00
December 31, 2010	2.00:1.00	4.75:1.00
March 31, 2011 and thereafter	2.00:1.00	2.75:1.00

(1) The minimum interest coverage ratio and maximum leverage ratio presented above represents the adjusted ratios in effect as a result of the issuance of the Notes on April 6, 2010.

The computation of these ratios is governed by the specific terms of the first priority credit facility and may not be comparable to other similarly titled measures computed for other purposes or by other companies. The minimum interest coverage ratio is the ratio of consolidated adjusted EBITDA to consolidated cash interest expense over a four quarter period. The maximum leverage ratio is the ratio of consolidated total debt to consolidated adjusted EBITDA over a four quarter period. The computation of these ratios requires a calculation of consolidated adjusted EBITDA. In general, under the terms of our first priority credit facility, consolidated adjusted EBITDA is calculated by adding CRLLC consolidated net income (loss), consolidated interest expense, income taxes, depreciation and amortization,

other non-cash expenses, any fees and expenses related to permitted acquisitions, any non-recurring expenses incurred in connection with the issuance of debt or equity, management fees, any unusual or non-recurring charges up to 7.5% of CRLLC consolidated adjusted EBITDA, any net after-tax loss from disposed or discontinued operations, any incremental property taxes related to abatement non-renewal, any losses attributable to minority equity interests, major scheduled turnaround expenses and for purposes of computing the financial ratios (and compliance therewith), the FIFO

**Table of Contents**

adjustment, and then subtracting certain items that increase consolidated net income (loss). As of March 31, 2010, we were in compliance with our covenants under the first priority credit facility.

We present CRLLC consolidated adjusted EBITDA because it is a material component of material covenants within our first priority credit facility and significantly impacts our liquidity and ability to borrow under our revolving line of credit. However, CRLLC consolidated adjusted EBITDA is not a defined term under GAAP and should not be considered as an alternative to operating income or net income as a measure of operating results or as an alternative to cash flows as a measure of liquidity. CRLLC consolidated adjusted EBITDA is calculated under the first priority credit facility as follows which reconciles CVR consolidated net income (loss) to CRLLC consolidated net income (loss) for the years presented below:

	<b>For the Twelve Months Ended March 31, 2010                  2009 (unaudited) (in millions)</b>	
<b>Consolidated Financial Results</b>		
CVR net income	\$ 26.3	\$ 172.4
Plus:		
Selling, general and administration at CVR	14.0	7.1
Income tax expense	9.5	69.0
Non-cash compensation expense for equity awards	3.0	(6.0)
Unusual or nonrecurring charges		2.2
Interest income		(0.1)
CRLLC consolidated net income	52.8	244.6
Plus:		
Depreciation and amortization	85.2	83.5
Interest expense	42.7	40.5
Loss on extinguishment of debt	2.6	10.0
Letters of credit expenses and interest rate swap not included in interest expense	11.4	10.8
Major scheduled turnaround expense		3.3
Unrealized (gain) or loss on derivatives, net	16.2	(248.4)
Non-cash compensation expense for equity awards	4.0	(9.4)
(Gain) or loss on disposition of fixed assets	0.3	5.8
Unusual or nonrecurring charges	3.4	5.1
Property tax increases due to expiration of abatement	11.0	12.1
FIFO impact (favorable) unfavorable	(83.3)	102.5
Goodwill impairment		42.8
CRLLC consolidated adjusted EBITDA	\$ 146.3	\$ 303.2

**Capital Spending**



Our total capital expenditures for the three months ended March 31, 2010 totaled \$11.4 million, of which approximately \$9.1 million was spent for the petroleum business, \$1.2 million for the nitrogen fertilizer business and \$1.1 million for corporate purposes. We divide our capital spending needs into two categories: non-discretionary and discretionary. Non-discretionary capital spending is required to maintain safe and reliable operations or to comply with environmental, health and safety regulations. We undertake discretionary capital spending based on the expected return on incremental capital employed. Discretionary capital projects

**Table of Contents**

generally involve an expansion of existing capacity, improvement in product yields, and/or a reduction in direct operating expenses.

Compliance with the Tier II Motor Vehicle Emission Standards Final Rule required us to spend approximately \$6.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010 and we estimate that compliance will require us to spend approximately \$22.0 million in 2010.

Our planned capital expenditures for 2010 are subject to change due to unanticipated increases in the cost, scope and completion time for our capital projects. For example, we may experience increases in labor and/or equipment costs necessary to comply with government regulations or to complete projects that sustain or improve the profitability of our refinery or nitrogen fertilizer plant. Capital spending for the nitrogen fertilizer business has been and will be determined by the managing general partner of the Partnership.

**Cash Flows**

The following table sets forth our cash flows for the periods indicated below (in millions):

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31, 2010      2009 (unaudited)</b>	
Net cash provided by (used in):		
Operating activities	\$ 43.4	\$ 36.7
Investing activities	(11.4)	(15.9)
Financing activities	(31.4)	(1.3)
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	\$ 0.6	\$ 19.5

***Cash Flows Provided by Operating Activities***

Net cash flows provided by operating activities for the three months ended March 31, 2010 was \$43.4 million. The positive cash flow from operating activities generated over this period was primarily driven by favorable changes in trade working capital and other working capital which were partially offset by a net loss for the quarter. For purposes of this cash flow discussion, we define trade working capital as accounts receivable, inventory and accounts payable. Other working capital is defined as all other current assets and liabilities except trade working capital. Trade working capital for the three months ended March 31, 2010 resulted in a cash inflow of \$14.0 million, primarily attributable to a decrease in inventory of \$19.2 million, an increase in accounts payable of \$9.4 million coupled with the accrual of construction in progress of \$1.5 million. This activity was partially offset by an increase in accounts receivable of \$16.1 million. In addition, our deferred revenue increased by \$19.8 million as a result of the receipt of nitrogen fertilizer payments.

Net cash flows from operating activities for the three months ended March 31, 2009 was \$36.7 million. The positive cash flow from operating activities generated over this period was primarily driven by \$30.7 million of net income, favorable changes in other working capital, partially offset by unfavorable changes in trade working capital and other assets and liabilities over the period. Net income for the period was not indicative of the operating margins for the

period. This is the result of the accounting treatment of our derivatives in general and, more specifically, the Cash Flow Swap. The net income for the three months ended March 31, 2009 included both the realized losses and the unrealized losses on the Cash Flow Swap. Since the Cash Flow Swap had a significant term remaining as of March 31, 2009 (approximately one year and three months) and the NYMEX crack spread that is the basis for the underlying swaps had increased, the unrealized losses on the Cash Flow Swap decreased our net income over this period. Other sources of cash in other working capital included \$34.6 million of restricted cash related to insurance proceeds, \$24.8 million of accrued income taxes, \$11.8 million of additional insurance proceeds partially offset by a \$29.2 million use of cash related to the payable on the Cash Flow Swap. Trade working capital for the three months ended March 31, 2009 resulted in a use of cash of \$82.5 million. For the three months ended March 31, 2009,

**Table of Contents**

accounts receivable increased \$32.3 million, inventory increased by \$24.7 million and accounts payable decreased by \$29.1 million.

**Cash Flows Used in Investing Activities**

Net cash used in investing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2010 was \$11.4 million compared to \$15.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009. The decrease in investing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2010 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2009 was the result of decreased capital expenditures primarily related to the nitrogen fertilizer business. For the three months ended March 31, 2010 capital expenditure for the nitrogen fertilizer business totaled approximately \$1.2 million compared to \$7.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009. This decrease was partially offset by an increase in petroleum capital expenditures that totaled approximately \$9.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010 compared to \$7.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009.

**Cash Flows Used in Financing Activities**

Net cash used for financing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2010 was \$31.4 million as compared to net cash used in financing activities of \$1.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009. During the three months ended March 31, 2010, we paid \$1.2 million of scheduled principal payments on our long-term debt and made additional voluntary unscheduled principal payments totaling \$25.0 million. In addition, we incurred approximately \$4.9 million and \$0.3 million of financing costs associated with the fourth amendment to our first priority credit facility completed in March 2010 and our Notes offering, respectively. Additional financing costs associated with the Notes offering will be reflected in our second quarter consolidated financial statements. During the three months ended March 31, 2009, we paid \$1.2 million of scheduled principal payments on our long-term debt.

**Capital and Commercial Commitments**

In addition to long-term debt, we are required to make payments relating to various types of obligations. The following table summarizes our minimum payments as of March 31, 2010 relating to long-term debt, operating leases, capital lease obligation, unconditional purchase obligations and other specified capital and commercial commitments for the period following March 31, 2010 and thereafter.

	Total	2010	Payments Due by Period					Thereafter
			2011	2012	2013	2014	(unaudited) (in millions)	
<b>Contractual Obligations</b>								
Long-term debt(1)	\$ 453.3	\$	\$ 3.4	\$ 4.5	\$ 445.4	\$	\$	
Operating leases(2)	19.9	3.8	5.4	5.0	2.5	1.9	1.3	
Capital lease obligation(3)	4.7		4.7					
Unconditional purchase obligations(4)(5)	293.0	24.4	30.5	27.7	27.8	27.9	154.7	
Environmental liabilities(6)	5.6	2.0	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.4	2.1	
Interest payments(7)	132.2	29.4	38.9	38.6	25.3			
Total	\$ 908.7	\$ 59.6	\$ 83.3	\$ 76.2	\$ 501.3	\$ 30.2	\$ 158.1	
<b>Other Commercial Commitments</b>								

Standby letters of credit(8)	\$	35.8	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
------------------------------	----	------	----	----	----	----	----

(1) Long-term debt amortization is based on the contractual terms of our first priority credit facility and assumes no additional borrowings under our revolving credit facility. As of March 31, 2010, \$453.3 million was outstanding under our credit facility. As a result of the issuance of the Notes, our long-term debt balance was repaid in full on April 6, 2010. The Notes take the form of First Lien Notes totaling

**Table of Contents**

\$275.0 million and Second Lien Notes totaling \$225.0 million which bear an interest rate of 9.0% and 10.875% per year, payable semi-annually, respectively.

- (2) The nitrogen fertilizer business leases various facilities and equipment, primarily railcars, under non-cancelable operating leases for various periods.
- (3) This amount represents a capital lease for real property used for corporate purposes.
- (4) The amount includes (a) commitments under several agreements in our petroleum operations related to pipeline usage, petroleum products storage and petroleum transportation and (b) commitments under an electric supply agreement with the city of Coffeyville.
- (5) This amount excludes approximately \$510.0 million potentially payable under petroleum transportation service agreements between Coffeyville Resources Refining & Marketing, LLC ( CRRM ) and TransCanada, pursuant to which CRRM would receive transportation of at least 25,000 barrels per day of crude oil with a delivery point at Cushing, Oklahoma for a term of 10 years on a new pipeline system being constructed by TransCanada. This \$510.0 million would be payable ratably over the 10 year service period under the agreements, such period to begin upon commencement of services under the new pipeline system. Based on information currently available to us, we believe commencement of services would begin in the first quarter of 2011. CRRM filed a Statement of Claim in the Court of the Queen's Bench of Alberta, Judicial District of Calgary, on September 15, 2009, to dispute the validity of the petroleum transportation service agreements. The Company cannot provide any assurance that the petroleum transportation service agreements will be found to be invalid.
- (6) Environmental liabilities represents (a) our estimated payments required by federal and/or state environmental agencies related to RCRA at our sites in Coffeyville and Phillipsburg, Kansas and (b) our estimated remaining costs to address environmental contamination resulting from a reported release of UAN in 2005 pursuant to the State of Kansas Voluntary Cleaning and Redevelopment Program.
- (7) Interest payments are based on interest rates in effect at March 31, 2010 and assume contractual amortization payments. As a result of the issuance of the Notes, as described above, interest payments are payable semi-annually for both the First Lien Notes and Second Lien Notes.
- (8) Standby letters of credit include \$0.2 million of letters of credit issued in connection with environmental liabilities, \$30.6 million in letters of credit to secure transportation services for crude oil and a \$5.0 million standby letter of credit issued in support of the Interest Rate Swap. On April 27, 2010, the \$5.0 million standby letter of credit issued in support of the Interest Rate Swap was terminated.

**Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

We had no off-balance sheet arrangements as of March 31, 2010.

**Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

In January 2010, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update ( ASU ) No. 2010-06, Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements an amendment to Accounting Standards Codification ( ASC ) Topic 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures. This amendment requires an entity to: (i) disclose separately the amounts of significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements and describe the reasons for the transfers, (ii) present separate information for Level 3 activity pertaining to gross purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements and (iii) enhance disclosures of assets and liabilities subject to fair value measurements. The provisions of

ASU No. 2010-06 are effective for us for interim and annual reporting beginning after December 15, 2009, with one new disclosure effective after December 15, 2010. We adopted this ASU as of January 1, 2010. The adoption of this standard did not impact our financial position or results of operations.

In June 2009, the FASB issued an amendment to a previously issued standard regarding consolidation of variable interest entities. This amendment was intended to improve financial reporting by enterprises involved with variable interest entities. Overall, the amendment revises the test for determining the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity from a primarily quantitative analysis to a qualitative analysis. The provisions of

## **Table of Contents**

the amendment are effective as of the beginning of the entity's first annual reporting period that begins after November 15, 2009, for interim periods within that first annual reporting period, and for interim and annual reporting periods thereafter. We adopted this standard as of January 1, 2010. The adoption of this standard did not impact our financial position or results of operations.

### **Critical Accounting Policies**

Our critical accounting policies are disclosed in the Critical Accounting Policies section of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. No modifications have been made to our critical accounting policies.

### **Item 3. *Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk***

The risk inherent in our market risk sensitive instruments and positions is the potential loss from adverse changes in commodity prices and interest rates. Information about market risks for the three months ended March 31, 2010 does not differ materially from that discussed under Part II Item 6A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. We are exposed to market pricing for all of the products sold in the future both at our petroleum business and the nitrogen fertilizer business, as all of the products manufactured in both businesses are commodities. As of March 31, 2010, all \$453.3 million of the outstanding term debt under our first priority credit facility was at floating rates. On April 6, 2010, we repaid our term debt through the issuance of the Notes consisting of \$275.0 million aggregate principal First Lien Notes and \$225.0 million aggregate principal amount Second Lien Notes. The First Lien Notes bear an interest rate of 9.0% per year, payable semi-annually, and the Second Lien Notes bear an interest rate of 10.875% per year, payable semi-annually. None of our market risk sensitive instruments are held for trading.

Our earnings and cash flows and estimates of future cash flows are sensitive to changes in energy prices. The prices of crude oil and refined products have fluctuated substantially in recent years. These prices depend on many factors, including the overall demand for crude oil and refined products, which in turn depend on, among other factors, general economic conditions, the level of foreign and domestic production of crude oil and refined products, the availability of imports of crude oil and refined products, the marketing of alternative and competing fuels, the extent of government regulations and global market dynamics. The prices we receive for refined products are also affected by factors such as local market conditions and the level of operations of other refineries in our markets. The prices at which we can sell gasoline and other refined products are strongly influenced by the price of crude oil. Generally, an increase or decrease in the price of crude oil results in a corresponding increase or decrease in the price of gasoline and other refined products. The timing of the relative movement of the prices, however, can impact profit margins, which could significantly affect our earnings and cash flows.

### **Item 4. *Controls and Procedures***

#### ***Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures***

Our management, under the direction of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated as of March 31, 2010 the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act). Based upon and as of the date of that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective, at a reasonable assurance level, to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports we file and submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported as and when required and is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. It should be noted that any system of



disclosure controls and procedures, however well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, and not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the system are met. In addition, the design of any system of disclosure controls and procedures is based in part upon assumptions about the likelihood of future events. Due to these and other inherent limitations of any such system, there can be no assurance that any design will always succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions.

**Table of Contents**

***Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting***

There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting required by Rule 13a-15 of the Exchange Act that occurred during the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2010 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

**Table of Contents**

**Part II. Other Information**

**Item 1. *Legal Proceedings***

See Note 11 ( *Commitments and Contingent Liabilities* ) to Part I, Item I of this Form 10-Q, which is incorporated by reference into this Part II, Item 1, for a description of the Samson litigation, TransCanada litigation and Sem preference claim contained in *Litigation* and for a description of the Consent Decree contained in *Environmental, Health, and Safety ( EHS ) Matters*.

**Item 1A. *Risk Factors***

As a result of the offering and issuance of the Notes in April 2010, we have updated the risk factor related to our indebtedness contained in Part I *Item 1A Risk Factors* of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. Other than with respect to the risk factor set forth below, there have been no material changes from the risk factors disclosed in the *Risk Factors* section of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

**Our significant indebtedness may affect our ability to operate our business, and may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.**

We and our subsidiaries may be able to incur significant additional indebtedness in the future. Although the indentures governing the \$275.0 million 9.0% First Lien Senior Secured Notes due 2015 (the *First Lien Notes* ) and \$225.0 million 10.875% Second Lien Senior Secured Notes due 2017 (the *Second Lien Notes* and together with the *First Lien Notes*, the *Notes* ) and our first priority credit facility contain restrictions on our incurrence of additional indebtedness, these restrictions are subject to a number of qualifications and exceptions and, under certain circumstances, indebtedness incurred in compliance with these restrictions could be substantial. In addition to our Notes outstanding totaling \$500.0 million, as of May 4, 2010, we had \$30.8 million in letters of credit outstanding and borrowing availability of \$119.2 million under our first priority credit facility. The restrictions, under our Notes and first priority credit facility, may not prevent us from incurring obligations that do not constitute indebtedness. If new indebtedness is added to our current indebtedness, the risks described below could increase. Our high level of indebtedness could have important consequences, such as:

limiting our ability to obtain additional financing to fund our working capital needs, capital expenditures, debt service requirements or for other purposes;

limiting our ability to use operating cash flow in other areas of our business because we must dedicate a substantial portion of these funds to service debt;

limiting our ability to compete with other companies who are not as highly leveraged, as we may be less capable of responding to adverse economic and industry conditions;

placing restrictive financial and operating covenants in the agreements governing our and our subsidiaries long-term indebtedness and bank loans, including, in the case of certain indebtedness of subsidiaries, certain covenants that restrict the ability of subsidiaries to pay dividends or make other distributions to us;

exposing us to potential events of default (if not cured or waived) under financial and operating covenants contained in our or our subsidiaries debt instruments that could have a material adverse effect on our business,

financial condition and operating results;

increasing our vulnerability to a downturn in general economic conditions or in pricing of our products; and

limiting our ability to react to changing market conditions in our industry and in our customers' industries.

**Table of Contents**

In addition, changes in our credit ratings may affect the way crude oil and feedstock suppliers view our ability to make payments and may induce them to shorten the payment terms of their invoices. Given the large dollar amounts and volume of our feedstock purchases, a change in payment terms may have a material adverse effect on our liability and our ability to make payments to our suppliers.

In addition to our debt service obligations, our operations require substantial investments on a continuing basis. Our ability to make scheduled debt payments, to refinance our obligations with respect to our indebtedness and to fund capital and non-capital expenditures necessary to maintain the condition of our operating assets, properties and systems software, as well as to provide capacity for the growth of our business, depends on our financial and operating performance, which, in turn, is subject to prevailing economic conditions and financial, business, competitive, legal and other factors. In addition, we are and will be subject to covenants contained in agreements governing our present and future indebtedness. These covenants include and will likely include restrictions on certain payments, the granting of liens, the incurrence of additional indebtedness or the issuance of certain preferred shares, dividend restrictions affecting subsidiaries, investments, asset sales, transactions with affiliates and mergers and consolidations. Under our first priority credit facility, we are required to satisfy and maintain specified financial ratios. Our ability to meet those financial ratios can be affected by events beyond our control, and there can be no assurance that we will meet these ratios. The indentures governing the Notes may require us to offer to buy back the Notes (or repay other indebtedness) upon a change of control or fertilizer business event (each as defined in the indentures) or if certain asset sales occur. Any failure to comply with these covenants could result in a default under our first priority credit facility and the indentures governing the Notes. Upon a default, unless waived, the lenders under our first priority credit facility would have all remedies available to a secured lender, and could elect to terminate their commitments, cease making further loans, institute foreclosure proceedings against our or our subsidiaries' assets, and force us and our subsidiaries into bankruptcy or liquidation. Holders of the Notes would also have the ability ultimately to foreclose against our assets and force us into bankruptcy or liquidation, subject to the terms of the intercreditor agreements amongst our lenders and the trustees under the indentures governing the Notes. We have pledged and will pledge substantially all of our assets as collateral under our first priority credit facility and the indentures governing the Notes. In addition, a default under our first priority credit facility, the indentures governing the Notes or any other debt could trigger cross defaults under the agreements governing our existing or future indebtedness. Our operating results may not be sufficient to service our indebtedness or to fund our other expenditures and we may not be able to obtain financing to meet these requirements.

**Item 6. Exhibits**

<b>Number</b>	<b>Exhibit Title</b>
4.1*	Indenture, dated as of April 6, 2010, among Coffeyville Resources, LLC, Coffeyville Finance Inc., the Guarantors (as defined therein) and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Trustee (filed as Exhibit 1.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on April 12, 2010 and incorporated herein by reference).
4.2*	Indenture, dated as of April 6, 2010, among Coffeyville Resources, LLC, Coffeyville Finance Inc., the Guarantors (as defined therein) and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Trustee (filed as Exhibit 1.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on April 12, 2010 and incorporated herein by reference).
4.3*	Second Lien Pledge and Security Agreement, dated as of April 6, 2010, by and between Coffeyville Resources, LLC, Coffeyville Finance Inc., certain affiliates of Coffeyville Resources, LLC as guarantors and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Collateral Trustee (filed as Exhibit 1.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on April 12, 2010 and incorporated herein by reference).



**Table of Contents**

<b>Number</b>	<b>Exhibit Title</b>
4.4*	Omnibus Amendment Agreement and Consent under the Intercreditor Agreement, dated as of April 6, 2010, by and among Coffeyville Resources, LLC, Coffeyville Finance Inc., Coffeyville Pipeline, Inc., Coffeyville Refining & Marketing, Inc., Coffeyville Nitrogen Fertilizers, Inc., Coffeyville Crude Transportation, Inc., Coffeyville Terminal, Inc., CL JV Holdings, LLC, and certain subsidiaries of the foregoing as Guarantors, the Requisite Lenders, Credit Suisse AG, Cayman Islands Branch, as Administrative Agent, Collateral Agent and Revolving Issuing Bank, J. Aron & Company, as a hedge counterparty and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Collateral Trustee (filed as Exhibit 1.4 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on April 12, 2010 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.1	Second Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated as of January 1, 2010, by and between CVR Energy, Inc. and John J. Lipinski.
10.2	Second Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated as of January 1, 2010, by and between CVR Energy, Inc. and Stanley A. Riemann.
10.3	Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated as of January 1, 2010, by and between CVR Energy, Inc. and Edward Morgan.
10.4	Second Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated as of January 1, 2010, by and between CVR Energy, Inc. and Edmund S. Gross.
10.5	Second Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated as of January 1, 2010, by and between CVR Energy, Inc. and Robert W. Haugen.
10.6	Third Amendment to Crude Oil Supply Agreement, dated as of January 1, 2010, by and between Vitol Inc. and Coffeyville Resources Refining & Marketing, LLC.
10.7	Fourth Amendment to Crude Oil Supply Agreement, dated as of January 25, 2010, by and between Vitol Inc. and Coffeyville Resources Refining & Marketing, LLC.
10.8	Amendment to Services Agreement, dated as of January 1, 2010, by and between CVR Partners, LP, CVR GP, LLC, CVR Special GP, LLC and CVR Energy, Inc.
10.9*	Fourth Amendment to the Second Amended and Restated Credit and Guaranty Agreement and Consent Under the First Lien Intercreditor Agreement, dated as of March 12, 2010, among Coffeyville Resources, LLC and the other parties thereto (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on March 18, 2010 and incorporated herein by reference).
12.1	Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges.
31.1	Certification of the Company's Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or 15(d)-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act.
31.2	Certification of the Company's Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or 15(d)-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act.
32.1	Certification of the Company's Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification of the Company's Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
*	Previously filed.

PLEASE NOTE: Pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission, we have filed or incorporated by reference the agreements referenced above as exhibits to this quarterly report on Form 10-Q. The agreements have been filed to provide investors with information regarding their respective terms. The agreements are not intended to provide any other factual information about the Company or its business or operations. In particular, the assertions embodied in any representations, warranties and covenants contained in the agreements may be subject to qualifications with respect to knowledge and materiality different from those applicable to investors and may be

qualified by information in confidential disclosure schedules not included with the exhibits. These disclosure schedules may contain information that modifies, qualifies and creates exceptions to the representations, warranties and covenants set forth in the agreements. Moreover, certain representations, warranties and covenants in the agreements may have been used for the purpose of allocating risk between the parties, rather than establishing matters as facts. In addition, information



**Table of Contents**

concerning the subject matter of the representations, warranties and covenants may have changed after the date of the respective agreement, which subsequent information may or may not be fully reflected in the Company's public disclosures. Accordingly, investors should not rely on the representations, warranties and covenants in the agreements as characterizations of the actual state of facts about the Company or its business or operations on the date hereof.

**Table of Contents**

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

**CVR Energy, Inc.**

Chief Executive Officer  
(Principal Executive Officer)

By: /s/ John J. Lipinski

May 5, 2010

Chief Financial Officer  
(Principal Financial Officer)

By: /s/ Edward Morgan

May 5, 2010