

ILLUMINA INC
Form DFAN14A
February 27, 2012

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A
(Rule 14a-101)

INFORMATION REQUIRED IN PROXY STATEMENT

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of
the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Filed by the Registrant ☐
Filed by a Party other than the Registrant ☒

Check the appropriate box:

- ☐ Preliminary Proxy Statement
- ☐ Confidential, for Use of the Commission only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- ☐ Definitive Proxy Statement
- ☐ Definitive Additional Materials
- ☒ Soliciting Material Pursuant to Rule 14a-12

ILLUMINA, INC.

(Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

CKH ACQUISITION CORPORATION
ROCHE HOLDING LTD

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- ☒ No fee required.
- ☐ Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

- | | |
|-----|---|
| (1) | Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies: |
| (2) | Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies: |
| (3) | Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined): |

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

- o Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
- o Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule
and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

[logo]

Roche's Offer to Acquire Illumina

February 2012

This presentation contains certain forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements may be identified by words such as 'believes', 'expects', 'anticipates', 'projects', 'intends', 'should', 'estimates', 'future' or similar expressions or by discussion of, among other things, strategy, goals or intentions. Various factors may cause actual results to differ materially in the future from those reflected in forward-looking statements contained in this presentation, among others:

- 1 pricing and product initiatives of competitors;
- 2 legislative and regulatory developments and economic conditions;
- 3 delay or inability in obtaining regulatory approvals or bringing products to market;
- 4 fluctuations in currency exchange rates and general financial market conditions;
- 5 uncertainties in the discovery, development or marketing of new products or new uses of existing products, including without limitation negative results of clinical trials or research projects, unexpected results from pipeline or marketed products;
- 6 increased government pricing pressures;
- 7 interruptions in production;
- 8 loss of or inability to obtain adequate protection for intellectual property rights;
- 9 litigation;
- 10 loss of key executives or other employees; and
- 11 adverse publicity and news coverage.

Any statements regarding earnings per share growth is not a profit forecast and should not be interpreted to mean that Roche's earnings or earnings per share for this year or any subsequent period will necessarily match or exceed the historical published earnings or earnings per share of Roche.

For marketed products discussed in this presentation, please see full prescribing information on the website - www.roche.com

All mentioned trademarks are legally protected.

[logo]

Offer Summary

Illumina Growth Perspectives
Illumina Share Price Perspectives
Offer Price Rationale
Conclusion

3

Acquisition Rationale

- [o] Strengthen Roche's participation in increasingly important sequencing market
- [o] Complementary technologies in Genetics/Genomics research and routine diagnostics
- [o] Leverage Roche's diagnostics expertise to drive biomarker discovery
- [o] Combined capabilities and global reach will help accelerate the transition of sequencing into and routine diagnostics
- [o] Roche is well-positioned to withstand uncertainty around government spending and make the type investments required for long-term success

Offer Summary

Roche's all-cash offer of \$44.50 per share is highly attractive, providing full and certain value to Illumina's shareholders.

| | |
|-----------------------|--|
| Consideration | [o] \$44.50 per share, all-cash |
| Unaffected Price | [o] \$27.17 on 12/21/2011 |
| Premium | [o] 64% to unaffected 1-day (1) [o] 61% to unaffected 1-month average |
| Valuation | [o] 29.5x 2012E net income based on current estimate [o] 18.5x LTM EBITDA (3) |
| Financing | [o] No condition, financed from available cash on balance sheet and/or borrowings under its credit facilities |
| Shareholder Proposals | [o] Roche has nominated six highly qualified independent directors for Illumina's Board [o] Roche has proposed to amend Illumina's bylaws to expand Illumina's Board so that Roche-nominated directors will comprise a majority |

Source: FactSet, Capital IQ, I/B/E/S consensus, Bloomberg.

- (1) Premiums based on unaffected closing share price of \$27.17 on 12/21/2011, the day prior to rumors about a potential acquisition of Illumina by Roche.
- (2) Based on I/B/E/S consensus estimate as of 2/24/2012.
- (3) LTM as of 12/31/2011.

Situation Overview

After multiple attempts to engage with Illumina were rebuffed, Roche has taken its offer directly to Illumina's shareholders.

- [o] On November 1, 2011, a member of Roche's Board spoke with Illumina's Chairman and expressed interest in Illumina and requested a meeting. Illumina agreed to a meeting in San Diego on December 1, 2011
- [o] On December 13, 2011, Roche's Chairman and the Roche Board member met with Illumina's CEO and discussed Roche's strategic interest in Illumina
- [o] On December 21, 2011, Roche's Chairman indicated to Illumina that Roche would be willing to pay a price of up to 50% over Illumina's then-current share price of \$26.71
 - On December 22, 2011, a news story was published speculating about a transaction between Roche and Illumina causing Illumina's stock price to jump by 7%
- [o] On December 28, 2011, Illumina's CEO told Roche's Chairman that Illumina would probably be unable to convene its Board until the end of January, but would try to consider the Roche proposal soon
- [o] On January 3, 2012, Roche sent Illumina a private letter to formally propose an all-cash offer of \$40.00 per share
- [o] On January 17, 2012, Illumina convened a Board meeting and on January 19, its CEO sent a letter to Roche formally rejecting the \$40.00 per share offer
- [o] On January 25, 2012, Roche announced an all-cash offer to acquire Illumina for \$44.50 per share
- [o] On January 31, 2012, Roche announced its nomination of a slate of six independent directors to expand the size of Illumina's Board
- [o] On February 7, 2012, Illumina's Board unanimously recommended that shareholders reject Roche's offer

Key Claims

Key Claims Made by Illumina to its Shareholders Roche's Rationale for Illumina Shareholders

- [o] Roche's offer conditions create uncertainty
- [o] Illumina management has a proven track record
- [o] Roche is attempting to take advantage of depressed stock levels
- [o] Illumina and its industry as a whole have substantial growth opportunities
- [o] Illumina has a promising product portfolio
- [o] Due to worldwide economic constraints and Illumina's sales dependency on public research institutions, analysts have a diminishing outlook on Illumina and the sector as a whole
- [o] Illumina management recently issued its lowest growth guidance ever
- [o] The median analyst price target pre-offer was \$34
- [o] Under Roche, Illumina has more attractive long-term growth potential
- [o] Implied 18.5x LTM EBITDA and 5.6x LTM Revenue multiples are highly attractive relative to comparable precedent transactions
- [o] Implied 29.5x 2012E earnings and 1.78x 2012E P/E/G multiples are highly attractive relative to comparable company trading multiples
- [o] Trading at current forward P/E/G of 2.13x (1), 20% above the 3- year high
- However, absent Roche's offer, Illumina would likely trade at much lower levels
- [o] Illumina missed quarterly earnings twice in 2011
- [o] In the face of a declining market price, Illumina's lack of share or warrant repurchase activity questions Illumina's belief that its Q4 stock price is a "temporary dislocation"
- [o] Roche's offer provides attractive value and certainty for Illumina shareholders
- [o] Highly qualified independent director nominees to act in best interests of Illumina shareholders
- [o] Many of the conditions are within the control of the Illumina Board

Source: FactSet, Capital IQ, I/B/E/S consensus, Bloomberg. to satisfy

Note: LTM as of 12/31/2011.

- (1) Assumes midpoint of Illumina management 2012E EPS guidance of \$1.40 - \$1.50, long term cost of capital rate of 16.6%, and current stock price of \$51.22 (as of 2/24/2012).

[logo]

Offer Summary

Illumina Growth Perspectives

Illumina Share Price Perspectives

Offer Price Rationale

Conclusion

Heightened Competition Increases Uncertainty of Illumina's Growth Prospects

Oxford Nanopore Life Technologies

- [o] On February 17, 2012, Oxford Nanopore unveiled high performance electronic sequencing device MinION
- [o] MinION System, a disposable DNA sequencing device the size of a USB memory stick, is expected to cost less than \$900 per unit and is capable of sequencing DNA directly from blood with throughput of 1 Gb per 24 hours
- [o] Analysts believe Oxford Nanopore's new devices could have a disruptive effect on the DNA sequencing market and may dampen future growth potential for Illumina
- [o] On January 10, 2012, Life Technologies announced it had begun taking orders for its new \$149,000 Ion Proton Sequencer that is designed to sequence the entire human genome in a day for \$1,000
- [o] Analysts believe Ion Proton will make Life Technologies newly competitive in the high-end sequencing market (currently dominated by Illumina) and also may improve Life Technologies' offerings to research labs and clinical settings

"For both LIFE and ILMN this will lead to questions on the future growth potential (especially in the long term) for their sequencing franchises and we are seeing that in the pressure that both stocks are under today. While the Roche takeout attempt has provided some support for ILMN shares, much of the multiple expansion in LIFE shares in 2012 has been driven by excitement for their PGM/Ion Proton sequencing. Today's presentation from ONT could dampen much of that enthusiasm for LIFE."

- Credit Suisse, February 17, 2012

"Oxford Nanopore Exceeds Expectations. In what has been an otherwise quiet AGMT, privately held Oxford Nanopore presented additional details on the company's sequencing pipeline. While it is not a surprise that the company is planning to launch a sequencer in 2H2012, we believe newly revealed plans for a "true" long-read like sequencer, the initial pricing plans, and initial specs exceeded expectations. While we will provide more details in our wrap up note, some highlights include up to 40K bp reads, an error rate of only 4% that is well below the competition (including an option for virtually no capital cost)."

- Cowen, February 17, 2012

"The data was well above expectations in almost every way: throughput, read length, ease of use, sample prep, accuracy, run time and costs... Although we need to see how the technology behaves in the hands of scientists, if it works as promised and ONT can execute on its commercialization plan, then this could have a disruptive effect on the DNA sequencing market and is a negative for sequencing companies like Illumina and PACB, as well as array providers like AFFX."

- Bank of America Merrill Lynch, February 17, 2012

"Today, Life Technologies announced the release of a new DNA sequencer, the Ion Proton. Priced at \$149,000, this is a natural product line extension over the PGM (priced at \$49,000) and shipments will begin in early 2012 with early access customers receiving the system in early 2Q12. The first chip (Proton 1 - for exome sequencing, \$699) will be available in mid-2012 and the second (Proton II - for whole human genome sequencing, \$1,000) will be available about 6 months later (YE2012)."

"The introduction of the Ion Proton will crowd the high-end of the DNA sequencing market with its capabilities - namely speed. We also note the quality of early access customers referenced in the release (Nusbaum at Broad, Gibbs at Baylor, Lifton at Yale), all of whom are leading minds in the field."

Edgar Filing: ILLUMINA INC - Form DFAN14A

whose opinions will carry weight among customers. We therefore view this news as a negative for our high-end platforms (Illumina HiSeq, Life Technologies SOLiD, Pacific Biosciences RS) given the current funding environment."

- Goldman Sachs, January 10, 2012

"Ion Proton specs imply a game changer. In addition to per genome and system cost, the Ion Proton is expected to bring industry leading speed without the need for an outsized IT investment. Even without detailed accuracy, systems specs imply serious competition for other NGS providers."

"Based on the technology underpinning the Ion Torrent, the Ion Proton will be able to sequence a human genome in a day for \$1,000. Life will strategically target Illumina's core HiSeq markets: genomic centers, mid-size labs, cores and centralized testing labs."

- Oppenheimer, January 10, 2012

Gene Sequencing Market Continues to Mature

Illumina's statements around its substantial near term growth opportunities are not consistent with the views of the broader equity research community.

[o] Technological innovation continues to put pressure on pricing

[o] Growth prospects have weakened, as macro-economic headwinds as well as government and institutional/academic funding constraints pose secular challenges

Diminishing Outlook on the Sector

"Although it remains to be seen how future specifications will evolve, the one certainty today is in for a period of heightened competition for share across the throughput spectrum in sequencing, PGM vs. MiSeq at the low throughput end, and the Ion Proton vs. the HiSeq at the high throughput battle for share will likely play out as costs decline and profitability for sequencing providers comes under pressure. Also, note that the backdrop is one of funding constraints (concerns of sig to the NIH budget in 2013, European macro pressures)."

Barclays, January 11, 2012

Illumina 2-Year Forward Growth Expectations
(Revenue CAGR) (1)

[graphic omitted]

Illumina 2-Year Forward Growth Expectations
(Adjusted EPS CAGR) (1)

[graphic omitted]

Source: Company filings, Capital IQ.

(1) 2-Year forward growth expectations based on prior year actual results and 2-year forward consensus estimates as of January 1 of the respective year.

Diminishing Illumina Growth Prospects

Lowest growth guidance ever provided by Illumina management despite the disappointing 2011 results provided a lower base for year-over-year comparison in 2012.

Illumina Management Guidance

Revenue

[graphic omitted]

Adjusted EPS (2)

[graphic omitted]

Source: Company filings and Bloomberg.

Note: Guidance reflects initial guidance provided by Illumina management for the upcoming year.

- (1) Reflects midpoint of 2012E revenue guidance of \$1,100 - \$1,175mm and EPS guidance of \$1.4
- (2) 2007-2011 guidance based on initially reported Adjusted EPS; 2008 guidance adjusted by es based compensation expense based on 2007 amount.

Offer Summary

Illumina Growth Perspectives

Illumina Share Price Perspectives

Offer Price Rationale

Conclusion

12

Illumina's Share Price Has Underperformed Peers

Over the past year, Illumina has underperformed its peers and the broader market.

[graphic omitted]

Recent Management Performance

- [o] Missed quarterly earnings twice in 2011
- [o] Suspended forward guidance in October 2011
- [o] Refused to engage in dialogue with Roche

Source: FactSet as of 1/24/2012.

Note: Peer Index includes Thermo Fisher, Becton, Dickinson, Agilent, Life Technologies, Sigma-Aldrich, Mettler-Toledo, Qiagen, PerkinElmer, Bio-Rad Laboratories, Bruker and Technica. Stock prices based on market capitalization.

Illumina Share Price Decline Driven by Diminishing Growth Prospects

Illumina Share Price Post Earnings
Release(1)

Illumina 2-Year Forward Growth Estimates
(Adjusted EPS)

[graphic omitted]

[graphic omitted]

Source: FactSet, Capital IQ, I/B/E/S consensus.

Note: 2-Year forward growth estimates based on growth estimates for following eight quarters.

(1) Post earnings release prices and growth estimates as of 2/9/2011, 4/27/2011, 7/27/2011 and

(2) Pre-offer price as of 1/10/2012, the day Illumina pre-announced 2011 revenue and adjusted

Illumina Valuation Over Time

Until recently, Illumina's projected revenue and net income growth were well above its peer average. As the gap in growth between Illumina and its peers has closed, the gap in valuation between them has narrowed.

Share Price Performance Since January 2009

- [o] Prior to the Q2 2010 stock run up, Illumina had traded between \$20 - \$40 for ~3 years
- [o] Run-up was driven by significant growth expectations
 - Justifying premium P/E multiple to peers
- [o] Similarly, recent stock price has been driven by meaningful decline in growth prospects
 - Still traded at premium P/E despite lower growth expectations relative to peers

[graphic omitted]

Valuation

| | | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|
| 1. Start of Run-up (Q1 2010) | 2. Peak Period (Q1 2011) | 3. Unaffected(1) |
| [graphic omitted] | [graphic omitted] | [graphic omitted] |

Source: Company filings, FactSet, I/B/E/S consensus.

Note: Equity value and estimates as of 10-days post announcement; net income represents non-GAAP net income.

- (1) Calculated using Illumina's share price of \$27.17, publicly available filings and consensus estimates as of December 21, 2011, the day prior to market rumors about a potential acquisition of Illumina by Roche.

Illumina Rolling NTM P/E/G Analysis

Roche's offer implies a price-to-earnings-growth ratio that is approximately equal to Illumina's high and above its three-year average.

- [o] Based on Illumina's current price, management's 2012 EPS guidance of \$1.45 and its long-term of 16.6%, the company trades at a forward P/E/G of 2.13x (1)
- 20% higher than any multiple it has traded at for the past 3 years

[graphic omitted]

Source: Company filings, Bloomberg, Capital IQ, I/B/E/S consensus, and FactSet.

- (1) Assumes midpoint of Illumina management 2012E EPS guidance of \$1.40 - \$1.50, long term cost of capital rate of 16.6%, and current stock price of \$51.22 (as of 2/24/2012).
- (2) Calculated using Illumina's share price of \$37.69, publicly available filings and research estimates as of January 24, 2012, the day prior to the public announcement of Roche's offer of \$44.00 per share for Illumina.
- (3) Calculated using Illumina's share price of \$27.17 as of 12/21/2011, the day prior to market close, a potential acquisition of Illumina by Roche, publicly available filings and consensus estimates as of January 24, 2012, the day prior to the public announcement of Roche's offer of \$44.00 per share for Illumina.

Absent Roche's Offer, Illumina Would Likely be Trading at Much Lower Levels

P/E/G Adj. Price

[graphic omitted]

Source: Company filings, Bloomberg, Capital IQ, I/B/E/S consensus, and FactSet.

(1) Reflects midpoint of Illumina 2012E EPS management guidance of \$1.40 - \$1.50.

17

Absent Roche's Offer, Illumina Would Likely be Trading at Much Lower Levels (Cont'd)

Estimated Unaffected Price

[graphic omitted]

Source: FactSet as of 2/24/2012.

Notes: Peer Index includes Thermo Fisher, Becton, Dickinson, Agilent, Life Technologies, Sigma-Aldrich, Waters, Mettler-Toledo, Qiagen, PerkinElmer, Bio-Rad Laboratories, Bruker and Techne. Stock prices are market capitalization weighted based on market capitalization.

(1) Based on unaffected closing share price of \$27.17 on 12/21/2011, the day prior to market announcement of potential acquisition of Illumina by Roche.

Absent Roche's Offer, Illumina Would Likely be Trading at Much Lower Levels (Cont'd)

P/E Adj. Price

- [o] Starting point is Illumina's P/E multiple as of 12/21 (17.8x), which represents a premium of 21.8x compared to the peer median of 13.0x (1)
- [o] From 12/22 to 1/9, Illumina's multiple is assumed to be 4.8x higher than the median of its peers
- [o] On 1/10 (day of JPMorgan Conference announcement), Illumina's multiple increases by 0.8x (from 21.8x to 22.6x) whereas the peer's median multiple increases by 0.1x, representing a premium of 0.7x
- [o] On 1/11 and after, Illumina's multiple is assumed to be 5.5x higher (an increase of 0.7x from previous 4.8x) than the median of its peers

[graphic omitted]

Source: Company filings, Bloomberg, Capital IQ, I/B/E/S consensus, and FactSet.

Note: Reflects midpoint of Illumina 2012E EPS management guidance of \$1.40 - \$1.50.

- (1) Based on unaffected closing share price of \$27.17 on 12/21/2011, the day prior to market announcement of potential acquisition of Illumina by Roche.
- (2) Reflects incremental P/E multiple expansion vs. peers post JPMorgan Healthcare conference pre-release on 1/10/2012.

Illumina's Business Faces Significant Challenges

- [o] All-cash offer transfers 100% of risk from Illumina's shareholders to Roche
- [o] Maturing market and unsettled global economic conditions imply reduced growth prospects
- [o] Uncertainty around longer-term outlook for genetic funding by NIH and other U.S. and foreign organizations
 - Illumina's sales are vulnerable due to its highly concentrated sales exposure to government academic spending
- [o] Increasingly competitive landscape
 - Recent Life Technologies and Oxford Nanopore announcements around new product introductions enhanced product capabilities
- [o] Advances in technology
 - Single molecule / nanopore technologies currently being developed
- [o] Speculative nature around Illumina's announced single molecule chemistry
 - Multiple competitors in R and D
- [o] Illumina has little experience in regulated areas such as diagnostics that require significant expertise as well as interactions with the FDA
- [o] Illumina meaningfully complements Roche's strategy around enabling personalized healthcare
 - Roche is willing to take a longer term view in light of strategic importance
 - Utilize Roche's comprehensive diagnostic expertise and global reach to accelerate transition of sequencing to routine clinical use
 - Roche provides capabilities and reach that Illumina does not possess in-house

Roche Has the Ability to Grow Illumina's Business Over Long Term

- [o] Roche is better positioned to make the type of investments that are required for long term s
- Roche is uniquely positioned to expand Illumina's end markets from both a geographic and mix perspective
 - Rapidly evolving technologies may put additional risk to Illumina's business over the next years
 - Funding environment may remain challenging for an extended period of time
 - Roche intends to invest in growth, key employees and technologies
- [o] Illumina is a strong fit with Roche's diagnostics and Applied Science business and complements portfolio in sequencing and microarrays and strengthens offering in genomics research and diagnostics
- The combined capabilities will strengthen Roche's position in sequencing and microarray, the growing demand for genetic / genomic solutions
 - An acquisition of Illumina will strengthen Roche's position in the life science and diagnostics market
 - Roche's extensive diagnostics experience and global presence could help accelerate the DNA sequencing into clinical and routine diagnostics

Illumina 2012 Guidance in Line with Pre-JPM Healthcare Conference Consensus Estimates

[o] Illumina's pre-announcement of earnings and signal on 2012 guidance had minimal impact on analysts' price targets

[o] Pre-JPM Healthcare Conference, the median Illumina price target was \$32

Pre-JPM Conference Metrics Comparison to Company Guidance (1) Price Target

Broker Date 2012E Revenue

(\$mm) \$mm Variance % Variance Pre-JPM

| | | | | | |
|-------------------|------------|---------|------|---------|---------|
| Credit Suisse | 1/9/2012 | \$1,070 | \$67 | 6.3% | \$30.00 |
| Piper | 1/6/2012 | 1,137 | 0 | 0.0% | 30.00 |
| Cantor Fitzgerald | 1/5/2012 | 1,122 | 16 | 1.4% | 35.00 |
| William Blair | 1/4/2012 | 1,088 | 50 | 4.5% | N.A. |
| BAML | 1/4/2012 | 1,055 | 83 | 7.8% | 32.00 |
| UBS | 1/4/2012 | 1,115 | 23 | 2.0% | 32.00 |
| Wedbush | 12/8/2011 | 1,145 | (8) | (0.7%) | 35.00 |
| Cowen | 12/5/2011 | 1,093 | 44 | 4.0% | N.A. |
| Credit Agricole | 11/30/2011 | 1,105 | 33 | 2.9% | 30.00 |
| Macquarie | 11/25/2011 | 1,063 | 75 | 7.0% | 27.00 |
| RBC | 10/27/2011 | 1,147 | (9) | (0.8%) | 29.00 |
| Maxim | 10/26/2011 | 1,168 | (31) | (2.6%) | N.A. |
| Barclays | 10/26/2011 | 1,126 | 12 | 1.0% | 33.00 |
| Goldman Sachs | 10/26/2011 | 1,080 | 57 | 5.3% | 32.00 |
| Auriga | 10/26/2011 | 1,206 | (69) | (5.7%) | 47.00 |
| Baird | 10/26/2011 | 1,108 | 29 | 2.6% | 30.00 |
| Deutsche Bank | 10/25/2011 | 1,040 | 98 | 9.4% | 29.50 |
| Leerink | 10/25/2011 | 1,079 | 59 | 5.4% | N.A. |
| JPMorgan | 10/25/2011 | 1,004 | 134 | 13.3% | 44.00 |
| Mizuho | 10/25/2011 | 1,185 | (47) | (4.0%) | 40.00 |
| Oppenheimer | 10/25/2011 | 1,046 | 92 | 8.7% | N.A. |
| Mean | \$1,104 | \$34 | 3.2% | \$33.47 | |
| Median | \$1,105 | \$33 | 2.9% | \$32.00 | |

Source: Wall Street research.

(1) As compared to \$1,138 million, the midpoint of Company guidance of \$1,100 to \$1,175 million for 2012E sales

Illumina's Actions Suggest Bearish View of Stock Price

[o] Throughout the first half of 2011, Illumina repurchased stock in the \$60's and \$70's

[o] However, after suspending forward guidance and highlighting a pull-back in academic research, Illumina ceased its share repurchases

[o] Most recently in its 14D-9, Illumina stated that its recent stock price decline is a "temporary dislocation"

[o] If Illumina believed its stock price were temporarily dislocated, prudent corporate finance would have been to execute a meaningful share repurchase or retire the out-of-the money warrants, especially given ample cash flow generation in Q4 2011

- Such lack of activity (after previously buying back shares at high price levels) calls into question whether Illumina viewed its Q4 stock price as a "temporary" dislocation

Q2'2011 Buyback: \$28mm
(\$71.07 avg. cost per share)

Q4'2011: Did not buy back
any shares or warrants

Q1'2011 Buyback: \$338mm
(\$64.53 avg. cost per share)

Q3'2011 Buyback: \$204mm
(\$56.89 avg. cost per share)
Share Price

[graphic omitted]

Source: Company filings.

Illumina's Actions Suggest Bearish View of Stock Price (Cont'd)

- [o] When Illumina repurchased its 2014 convertible debt and unwound the corresponding bond hedge right to purchase 18.3mm Illumina shares at \$21.83), Illumina did not retire warrants related to the convertible bond call spread (counter-parties' right to purchase 18.3mm Illumina shares at \$21.83)
- [o] In Q4 2011, the intrinsic value of the warrants was \$0 (as Illumina's share price was below the strike price)
 - Given Illumina's cash balance of \$1.1bn, the company had sufficient means to extinguish the warrants
- [o] If Illumina believed its stock price was only temporarily dislocated, an attractive alternative would have been for Illumina to repurchase the warrants from Goldman Sachs and Deutsche Bank
 - Using assumptions from the 14D-9, it is clear that the value of this unbounded liability would be in the hundreds of millions of dollars if Illumina's stock price recovers
 - Leaving such a volatile and unbounded liability in place contradicts Illumina's stated "temporary" dislocation in their Q4 stock price

Pre-Offer
Intrinsic Value of
Counterparty Warrants

Pre-Offer
Time Value of
Counterparty Warrants

Post-Offer
Intrinsic and Time Value of
Counterparty Warrants

[graphic omitted]

Source: Company filings.

Note: All warrant values based on implied volatility of 61.1%, derived from 14D-9 disclosure captioned above, assuming the \$273 million estimated in the 14D-9 to be owed to Goldman Sachs if the Roche acquisition were consummated on February 6, 2012 represents the aggregate value of the warrants held by Goldman Sachs; full warrant value based on grossing up the \$273mm estimated to be owed to Goldman Sachs to include the amount of warrants held by other counterparties.

(1) Represented illustrative warrant value as of unaffected date.

[logo]

Offer Summary

Illumina Growth Perspectives

Illumina Share Price Perspectives

Offer Price Rationale

Conclusion

25

Offer Multiples Above All Peers

Roche's offer values Illumina well above any of its peers based upon a P/E multiple. Even after a growth, the P/E/G multiple is well above the peer median P/E/G.

2012E P/E

[graphic omitted]

2012E P/E/G

[graphic omitted]

Source: Company filings, Bloomberg, Capital IQ, I/B/E/S consensus, analyst research as of 2/24/20

Note: All Illumina statistics excluded from median calculations. Financials have been calendar

- (1) Represents an offer price of \$44.50 per share.
- (2) Calculated using Illumina's share price of \$37.69, publicly available filings and I/B/E/S estimates as of January 24, 2012, the day prior to the public announcement of Roche's offer per share for Illumina.
- (3) Calculated using Illumina's share price of \$27.17 on December 21, 2011, the day prior to about a potential acquisition of Illumina by Roche, and publicly available filings and I/B/E/S estimates as of January 24, 2012.

Offer Multiples Above Precedents

Roche's offer is at the high end of valuation multiples paid in recent precedent life science tool transactions.

Enterprise Value / LTM Revenue

[graphic omitted]

Enterprise Value / LTM EBITDA

[graphic omitted]

Source: Company filings, Bloomberg, Capital IQ, I/B/E/S consensus.

Note: Includes selected announced, closed and effective transactions since 2006 in the biotechnology, medical equipment manufacturing and biological products and life sciences tools industries. Roche's offer is based on median calculations. LTM Illumina statistics as of 12/31/2011.

Offer Premiums Above Precedent Transactions

Unaffected Premiums

1 Day Premiums

1 Month Average Premiums

[graphic omitted]

Source: Company filings, Bloomberg, FactSet.

Note: Includes announced, closed and effective transactions since 2006 in the biotechnology research, pharmaceuticals, medical devices, diagnostics, manufacturing and biological products and life sciences tools industries. Roche offer excludes transactions with Roche. Excludes transactions with Roche offer exclusions.

1 day and 1 month average based on starting date of 12/21/2011, the day prior to market close on the date of the potential acquisition of Illumina by Roche.

Offer Summary

Illumina Growth Perspectives

Illumina Share Price Perspectives

Offer Price Rationale

Conclusion

29

Roche's Offer Represents Value Certainty Amidst Significant Headwinds

[o] \$44.50 all-cash offer provides attractive value today for Illumina shareholders

- Roche to hold all risk related to increasing competitive pressures and tightening fiscal related to government funding
- Removes execution risk around shift in customer and geographic focus
 - [o] Illumina has little experience in regulated areas such as diagnostics that require biological expertise as well as interactions with the FDA
- Not contingent on financing
- Roche stands ready, willing, and able to engage in substantive dialogue with Illumina
 - [o] Can move quickly through accelerated due diligence to reach a negotiated agreement
 - [o] Extensive team from Roche and its advisors ready to engage

Appendix

31

Illumina Stock Performance

Over the past year, Illumina has underperformed its peers and the broader market.

- [o] Illumina's stock dropped as much as 60% from its 52-week high because of several factors, in
 - Twice-lowered revenue guidance after a drop in orders due to the constrained government environment
 - [o] Government and academic clients account for 80% of Illumina's sales
 - [o] Demand for its products was boosted by the 2009 stimulus package, but this funding reduced
 - A shift in buyer preferences from high-cost, high-capacity machines (\$500k+) to low-cost, low-capacity machines (\$50k+) and uncertainty around this product line transition
 - Increased competition in its low-cost product line from Life Technologies and Oxford Nanopore
- [o] Illumina is still facing revenue headwinds due to an unclear government spending picture
 - Visibility of the FY 2013 budget for the National Institute of Health (NIH) remains unclear
 - The NIH is a significant customer of Illumina (approximately one-third of sales)
- [o] Illumina's pre-announcement of 2011 earnings at the JPMorgan Healthcare Conference in mid-June was seen as a positive sign for the company
 - Illumina also introduced the HiSeq 2500, which can sequence an entire human genome in a day to help Illumina match competitive threats from Life Technologies and Oxford Nanopore
 - However, in spite of these positive announcements, Illumina's stock price only increased 10% the day of announcement
- [o] Illumina reinstated guidance for FY 2012 on its Q4 2011 earnings call, removing substantial near-term uncertainty
 - However, Illumina management provided lowest ever growth guidance
 - Guidance was in-line with where analysts were before the JPMorgan Healthcare Conference and the price target was \$32.00 per share 32

Source: FactSet, Bloomberg.

Summary Evaluation of Offer Price

- [o] Higher cash value relative to Illumina's unaffected share price, particularly in a period of uncertainty
 - Implies 1-day, 1-week and 1-month average premiums of 64%, 66% and 61%, respectively (1)
 - Since 2006, similar life sciences tools industry transactions have seen average premium and 40% over similar periods (2)
- [o] Above transaction premiums paid in precedent unsolicited transactions
 - Comparable transaction set includes median premiums to the unaffected stock price prior announcement of 54%, 51% and 49% for 1-day, 1-week and 1-month, respectively (3)
- [o] Implies a valuation multiple of 29.5x 2012E net income, which is higher than the company's p traded peers (4)
 - Core comparable set exhibits median multiples of 16.4x 2012E net income (5)
 - Offer implies a P/E/G ratio of 1.78x, which is at the high end of the range of Illumina
- [o] Implies 1-day and 1-month average premiums of 64% and 61%, respectively, and LTM revenue and multiples of 5.6x and 18.5x, respectively, which are above precedent life science tools tran (1) (2) (6)
 - The precedent transactions have median 1-day and 1-month average premiums to the unaffe price of 33% and 37%, respectively and LTM revenue and EBITDA multiples of 3.3x and 16. respectively
- [o] Exceeds median Wall Street research analyst price target of \$34.00 as of January 23, 2012

Source: FactSet, Capital IQ, I/B/E/S consensus, Bloomberg.

- (1) Premiums based on unaffected closing share price of \$27.17 on 12/21/2011, the day prior t about a potential acquisition of Illumina by Roche.
- (2) Includes selected announced, closed and effective transactions with targets in the biotec equipment manufacturers and biological products and life sciences tools and services manu sectors since 2006.
- (3) Includes unsolicited transactions in all sectors over \$1 billion with U.S. targets since
- (4) Based on I/B/E/S consensus.
- (5) Includes Thermo Fisher, Becton, Dickinson, Agilent, Life Technologies, Sigma-Aldrich, Wat Mettler-Toledo, Qiagen, PerkinElmer, Bio-Rad Laboratories, Bruker and Techne.
- (6) LTM as of 12/31/2011.

Analyst Observations

"What is debatable is Illumina's growth potential over the next 2-3 years (investment time horizon for the majority of the shareholders, in our view), which we estimate is significantly below market projections. Shares were trading at >\$70/share, as well as Illumina's potential for success in Dx as a standalone company (vs. under Roche). Despite Illumina's breathtaking technologies, we think end market growth potential is a more important determinant for valuation, and with the sequencing based clinical diagnostic market in its early stages, 6-7x fwd sales valuation (as implied by share price of \$60-70, at the high end of our range) is unattractive even for Roche."

[CANTOR Fitzgerald logo]
Sung Ji Nam
(2/8/2012)

"From our perspective the quarter was a mixed bag, as HiSeq consumable pull-through was marginally better sequentially but well off the previous year's highs. In addition, microarray revenue was again down. Management now sees this business as flattish in 2012. On the bright side, demand for the MiSeq is growing with solid interest from clinical markets, underscoring the large bench-top NGS opportunity. Guidance seems achievable; however, the midpoint of revenue guidance will bring ILMN into uncharted territory. Overall, digit growth, a reasonable scenario given the potential competition."

[Oppenheimer logo]
Ross Muken
(2/7/2012)

"While we agree with management's view that near term academic funding uncertainty masks the long term growth opportunities, we think that the timeline for benefiting from these new markets remains highly uncertain. "

[Deutsche Bank logo]
David Ferreiro
(2/8/2012)

[Roche logo]

We Innovate Healthcare

35

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND WHERE TO FIND IT

THESE MATERIALS ARE FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY AND DO NOT CONSTITUTE AN OFFER TO PURCHASE OR A SOLICITATION OF AN OFFER TO SELL ILLUMINA COMMON STOCK. THE TENDER OFFER IS BEING MADE PURSUANT TO A TENDER OFFER STATEMENT ON SCHEDULE TO (INCLUDING THE OFFER TO PURCHASE, LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL AND OTHER RELATED TENDER OFFER MATERIALS) FILED BY ROCHE WITH THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION (SEC) ON JANUARY 27, 2012. THESE MATERIALS, AS THEY MAY BE AMENDED FROM TIME TO TIME, CONTAIN IMPORTANT INFORMATION, INCLUDING THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE OFFER, THAT SHOULD BE READ CAREFULLY BEFORE ANY DECISION IS MADE WITH RESPECT TO THE TENDER OFFER. INVESTORS AND SECURITY HOLDERS MAY OBTAIN A FREE COPY OF THESE MATERIALS AND OTHER DOCUMENTS FILED BY ROCHE WITH THE SEC AT THE WEBSITE MAINTAINED BY THE SEC AT WWW.SEC.GOV. THE OFFER TO PURCHASE AND RELATED MATERIALS MAY ALSO BE OBTAINED FOR FREE BY CONTACTING THE INFORMATION AGENT FOR THE TENDER OFFER, MACKENZIE PARTNERS, AT (212) 929-5500 OR (800) 322-2885 (TOLL-FREE).

ROCHE HAS FILED A PRELIMINARY PROXY STATEMENT ON SCHEDULE 14A AND OTHER RELEVANT DOCUMENTS WITH THE SEC IN CONNECTION WITH ITS SOLICITATION OF PROXIES FOR THE 2012 ANNUAL MEETING OF ILLUMINA (THE "PROXY STATEMENT"). PROMPTLY AFTER FILING A DEFINITIVE PROXY STATEMENT WITH THE SEC, ROCHE WILL MAIL THE PROXY STATEMENT AND A PROXY CARD TO EACH ILLUMINA STOCKHOLDER ENTITLED TO VOTE AT THE 2012 ANNUAL MEETING. INVESTORS AND SECURITY HOLDERS ARE URGED TO READ THE PROXY STATEMENT CAREFULLY AND IN ITS ENTIRETY WHEN IT BECOMES AVAILABLE AND ANY OTHER RELEVANT DOCUMENTS FILED WITH THE SEC BECAUSE THEY WILL CONTAIN IMPORTANT INFORMATION. INVESTORS AND SECURITY HOLDERS MAY OBTAIN A FREE COPY OF THESE MATERIALS (WHEN AVAILABLE) AND OTHER DOCUMENTS FILED BY ROCHE WITH THE SEC AT THE WEBSITE MAINTAINED BY THE SEC AT WWW.SEC.GOV. THE PROXY STATEMENT AND RELATED MATERIALS MAY ALSO BE OBTAINED (WHEN AVAILABLE) FOR FREE BY CONTACTING THE INFORMATION AGENT FOR THE TENDER OFFER, MACKENZIE PARTNERS, AT (212) 929-5500 OR (800) 322-2885 (TOLL-FREE).

ROCHE HOLDING LTD, CKH ACQUISITION CORPORATION AND THE INDIVIDUALS NOMINATED BY CKH ACQUISITION CORPORATION FOR ELECTION TO ILLUMINA'S BOARD OF DIRECTORS (THE "ROCHE NOMINEES") MAY BE DEEMED TO BE PARTICIPANTS IN THE SOLICITATION OF PROXIES FROM ILLUMINA STOCKHOLDERS FOR USE AT THE 2012 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS, OR AT ANY ADJOURNMENT OR POSTPONEMENT THEREOF. INFORMATION REGARDING THE DIRECT AND INDIRECT INTERESTS OF THE ROCHE NOMINEES AND OTHER POTENTIAL PARTICIPANTS IN THE SOLICITATION OF PROXIES CAN BE FOUND IN THE ADDITIONAL SOLICITING MATERIAL FILED BY ROCHE WITH THE SEC ON FEBRUARY 15, 2012 AND BY READING THE DEFINITIVE PROXY STATEMENT WHEN IT BECOMES AVAILABLE.