#### SOUTHEAST AIRPORT GROUP

Form 20-F June 16, 2004

\_\_\_\_\_\_

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549 Form 20-F

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003

Commission File Number: 1-15132 Grupo Aeroportuario del Sureste, S.A. de C.V. (Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Southeast Airport Group name into English)

United Mexican States (Translation of registrant's (Jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

> Blvd. Manuel Avila Camacho No. 40, 6th Floor Colonia Lomas de Chapultepec 11000 Mexico, D.F. Mexico

(Address of principal executive offices)

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class:

Name of each exchange on which registered \_\_\_\_\_

Series B Shares, without par value, or shares

New York Stock Exchange, Inc.\*

American Depositary Shares, as evidenced by American Depositary Receipts, ADSs, . each representing ten shares

New York Stock Exchange, Inc

\* Not for trading, but only in connection with the registration of American Depositary Shares, pursuant to the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(q) of the Act:

None

Securities for which there is a reporting obligation pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Act:

N/A

Indicate the number of outstanding shares of each of the issuer's classes of capital or common stock as of the close of the period covered by the annual report: Series B Shares, without par value: 255,000,000

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing

requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes X No

Indicate by check mark which financial statement item the registrant has elected to follow:

\_\_\_\_\_\_

Item 17 Item 18 X

--

Item 1.	Identity of Directors, Senior Management and Advisers1
Item 2.	Offer Statistics and Expected Timetable1
Item 3.	Key Information1
	Selected Financial Data1
	Exchange Rates6
	Risk Factors8
	Forward Looking Statements19
Item 4.	Information on the Company19
	History and Development of the Company19
	Business Overview25
	Regulatory Framework44
	Organizational Structure60
	Property, Plant, And Equipment60
Item 5.	Operating and Financial Review and Prospects60
Item 6.	Directors, Senior Management and Employees76
Item 7.	Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions88
	Major Shareholders88
	Related Party Transactions90
Item 8.	Financial Information93
	Dividends94
Item 9.	The Offer and Listing95
	Trading on the Mexican Stock Exchange97
Item 10.	Additional Information98

		Exchange Controls	.112
		Taxation	.112
		Documents On Display	.117
Item	11.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	.118
Item	12.	Description of Securities Other Than Equity Securities	.118
Item	13.	Defaults, Dividend Arrearages and Delinquencies	.119
Item	14.	Material Modifications to the Rights of Security Holders and Use of Proceeds	.119
Item	15.	Controls and Procedures	.119
Item	16.	Reserved	.119
Item	16A.	Audit Committee Financial Expert	.119
Item	16B.	Code of Ethics	.119
Item	18.	Financial Statements	.120
Item	19.	Exhibits	.122

#### PART I

- Item 1. Identity of Directors, Senior Management and Advisers
  Not applicable.
- Item 2. Offer Statistics and Expected Timetable Not applicable.
- Item 3. Key Information

#### SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

We publish our financial statements in Mexican pesos. Pursuant to generally accepted accounting principles in Mexico, or Mexican GAAP, financial data for all periods in the financial statements included in Items 3, 5 and 8 and, unless otherwise indicated, throughout this Form 20-F have been restated in constant pesos as of December 31, 2003.

This Form 20-F contains translations of certain peso amounts into U.S. dollars at specified rates solely for the convenience of the reader. These translations should not be construed as representations that the peso amounts actually represent such U.S. dollar amounts or could be converted into U.S. dollars at the rate indicated. Unless otherwise indicated, U.S. dollar amounts have been translated from Mexican pesos at an exchange rate of Ps. 11.2372 to U.S.\$1.00, the exchange rate for pesos on December 31, 2003 as published by the Mexican Ministry of Finance. On June 9, 2004 the Federal Reserve Bank of New

York's noon buying rate for Mexican pesos was Ps. 11.3865 to U.S.\$1.00.

The following table presents our summary consolidated financial information and that of our subsidiaries for each of the periods indicated. This information should be read in conjunction with, and is qualified in its entirety by reference to, our financial statements, including the notes thereto. Our financial statements are prepared in accordance with Mexican GAAP, which differs in certain significant respects from generally accepted accounting principles in the United States, or U.S. GAAP. A reconciliation to U.S. GAAP of our net income and total stockholders' equity is provided in this summary financial data. Note 15 to our financial statements provides a description of the principal differences between Mexican GAAP and U.S. GAAP as they relate to our business.

Mexican GAAP provides for the recognition of certain effects of inflation by restating non-monetary assets and non-monetary liabilities using the Mexican National Consumer Price Index, restating the components of stockholders' equity using the Mexican National Consumer Price Index and recording gains or losses in purchasing power from holding monetary liabilities or assets. Mexican GAAP requires the restatement of all financial statements to constant Mexican pesos as of the date of the more recent balance sheet presented. Our audited financial statements and all other financial information contained herein are accordingly presented in constant pesos with purchasing power as of December 31, 2003 unless otherwise noted.

Unless otherwise specified, all share data presented throughout this Form 20-F have been adjusted to reflect a reverse stock split of ASUR's capital stock in which one new share was issued for each outstanding 25.89092035667 shares. This reverse stock split became effective October 12, 1999.

References in this annual report on Form 20-F to "dollars," "U.S. dollars" or "U.S.\$" are to the lawful currency of the United States of America. References in this annual report on Form 20-F to "pesos" or "Ps." are to the lawful currency of Mexico. We publish our financial statements in pesos.

The summary financial and other information set forth below reflects our financial condition, results of operations and certain operating data since the year ended December 31, 1999.

			Year ended	December 31,		
	1999	2000	2001	2002		
	(thousands of pesos) (1)	(thousands of pesos)	(thousands of pesos) (1)	(thousands of pesos) (1)	(thousa of peso (1)	
<pre>Income statement data: Mexican GAAP: Revenues:</pre>						
Aeronautical services(3)	Ps. 949,411	Ps.1,134,400	Ps.1,086,589	Ps.1,041,200	Ps.1,155	
Non-aeronautical services (4)	159,725	195,603	192,973	248,734	311	
Total revenues	1,109,136	1,330,003	1,279,562	1,289,934	1,466	
Operating expenses:						
Costs of services	(255,826)	(309,936)	(316,734)	(357,598)	(369	

(126,874)	(116,072)	(109,455)	(111,242)	(121
(66,508)	(60,252)	(41,857)	(38,913)	(46
(56,058)	(66,456)	(63,968)	(64,459)	(73
(301,456)	(333 <b>,</b> 332)	(332,941)	(348,425)	(354
302,414	443,955	414,607	369,297	501
17,565	(16,421)	38,348	28,249	24
319,979	427,534	452,955	397,546	526
	,	,	, , , ,	
(135,228)	(187,055)	(167,820)	(159,826)	(231
				294
·				(17
•	•			276
201, 101	210,110	277,700	223,010	2.0
0.62	0.80	0.93	0.76	
0.02	0.00	0.30	0.70	
6 16	8 02	9 26	7 63	
0.10	0.02	3.20	7.00	
1.109.134	1.330.003	1.279.562	1.289.934	1,466
				575
•	•	•	•	269
331,331	320,117	321,002	(333) 000)	200
1 17	1 09	1 08	(1 20)	
±•±/	1.03	1.00	(1.20)	
11 71	10 95	10 80	(11 99)	
11.71	10.93	10.00	(11.00)	
0 507 0	11 //0 1	11 240 2	10 006 6	12,1
9, 391.9	11,440.1	11,240.5	10,990.0	14,1
208 1	207 6	10/10	10/10	1
200.1	207.0	194.9	± 9 <del>4</del> • 9	Τ
115 6	116 2	112 0	117 2	1
113.0	110.2	113.8	11/.3	1
	(66,508) (56,058) (301,456) 302,414	(66,508)       (60,252)         (56,058)       (66,456)         (301,456)       (333,332)         302,414       443,955         17,565       (16,421)         319,979       427,534         (135,228)       (187,055)         184,751       240,479         0       0         184,751       240,479         0.62       0.80         6.16       8.02         1,109,134       1,330,003         431,989       328,447         1.17       1.09         11.71       10.95         9,597.9       11,448.1         208.1       207.6	(66,508)       (60,252)       (41,857)         (56,058)       (66,456)       (63,968)         (301,456)       (333,332)       (332,941)         302,414       443,955       414,607         17,565       (16,421)       38,348         319,979       427,534       452,955         (135,228)       (187,055)       (167,820)         184,751       240,479       285,135         0       0       (7,352)         184,751       240,479       277,783         0.62       0.80       0.93         6.16       8.02       9.26         1,109,134       1,330,003       1,279,562         431,989       549,792       513,525         351,331       328,447       324,082         1.17       1.09       1.08         11.71       10.95       10.80         9,597.9       11,448.1       11,240.3         208.1       207.6       194.9	(66,508)       (60,252)       (41,857)       (38,913)         (56,058)       (66,456)       (63,968)       (64,459)         (301,456)       (333,332)       (332,941)       (348,425)         302,414       443,955       414,607       369,297         17,565       (16,421)       38,348       28,249         319,979       427,534       452,955       397,546         (135,228)       (187,055)       (167,820)       (159,826)         184,751       240,479       285,135       237,720         0       0       (7,352)       (8,675)         184,751       240,479       277,783       229,045         0.62       0.80       0.93       0.76         6.16       8.02       9.26       7.63         1,109,134       1,330,003       1,279,562       1,289,934         431,989       549,792       513,525       439,651         351,331       328,447       324,082       (359,606)         1.17       1.09       1.08       (1.20)         11.71       10.95       10.80       (11.99)         9,597.9       11,448.1       11,240.3       10,996.6         208.1       207.6

Δς	$\circ$ f	and	for	t he	Wear	ended	December	31

	1999	2000	2001	2002			
	(thousands of pesos) (1)	(thousands of pesos) (1)	(thousands of pesos) (1)	(thousands of pesos)(1)	(thousand of pesos)(		
Balance Sheet Data: Mexican GAAP:							
Cash and marketable							
securities	Ps. 406,918	Ps. 642,369	Ps. 965,813	Ps. 516,583	Ps. 710,36		
Total current assets	607,860	773,424	1,173,560	751,487	•		
Airport concessions, net	8,563,736	8,343,716	8,124,525	7,903,794	7,683,8		
Rights to use airport							
facilities, net	2,439,509	2,354,039	2,269,357	2,184,679	2,110,4		
Total assets	11,706,092	11,780,929	12,227,009	11,732,122	11,982,2		
Current liabilities	451 <b>,</b> 399	86,906	88 <b>,</b> 697	127,699	155 <b>,</b> 9		
Total liabilities	496,682	331,041	499,336	532,543	634 <b>,</b> 8		

Net equity/stockholders'					
equity U.S. GAAP:	11,209,410	11,449,888	11,727,673	11,199,579	11,347,4
Cash and cash equivalents	153,142	642,364	611,610	457,225	433,5
Total current assets	607,860	773,424	1,175,050	751,487	1,021,7
Airport concessions, net	395,119	342,396	289,725	238,523	184,4
Rights to use airport					
facilities	1,892,576	1,833,903	1,775,146	1,713,426	1,657,7
Total assets	6,867,841	6,831,801	7,158,028	6,349,746	6,488,4
Total liabilities Net equity/stockholders'	451 <b>,</b> 398	86 <b>,</b> 907	89,082	128,377	153,6
equity	6,416,442	6,744,896	7,068,946	6,221,369	6,334,8
Cash Flow Data:					
Mexican GAAP:					
Resources provided by					
operating activities	535 <b>,</b> 094	817,124	700,788	583,521	656 <b>,</b> 5
Resources provided by (used					
in) financing activities	339,064	(339 <b>,</b> 067)	0	(757 <b>,</b> 138)	(128,3
Resources used in investing					
activities	(526,041)	(242,606)	(377,345)	(275,612)	(334,4
Increase in cash and					
marketable securities U.S. GAAP: Cash flow provided	348,117	235,451	323,443	(449,229)	193 <b>,</b> 7
by operating activities		853,283	741,957	612,920	727 <b>,</b> 5
Cash flow used in financing					
activities	0	(339,067)	0	(757,138)	(236 <b>,</b> 3
Cash flow (used in) provided by investing					
activities	(446,891)	11,168	(731,537)	124	(554 <b>,</b> 2
Effect of inflation on cash and cash equivalents	(13,684)	(36, 162)	(41,174)	(10,291)	39 <b>,</b> 2
Increase (decrease) in cash	(13,004)	(30,102)	(41,1/4)	(10,291)	39, 4
and cash equivalents	94,342	489,222	(30,754)	(154,385)	(23,6
50011 0401100	51,012	100,222	(00, .01)	(101,000)	(23) 0

On May 31, 2004 we paid net dividends after income tax of Ps. 168.0 (nominal pesos), or Ps.0.56 per share, which gave rise to an income tax on dividends of Ps.61.4 (nominal pesos). Income tax was payable on the dividends because the distribution was not made from ASUR's after-tax earnings account.

\_\_\_\_\_

- (1) Expressed in constant pesos with purchasing power as of December 31, 2003. Per share peso amounts are expressed in pesos (not thousands of pesos).
- (2) Translated into dollars at the rate of Ps. 11.2372 per U.S. dollar, the Mexican Ministry of Finance exchange rate for Mexican pesos at December 31, 2003. Per share dollar amounts are expressed in dollars (not thousands of dollars).
- (3) Revenues from aeronautical services include those earned from passenger charges, landing charges, aircraft parking charges, charges for airport security services and charges for use of passenger walkways.
- (4) Revenues from non-aeronautical services are earned from the leasing of space in our airports, access fees collected from third parties providing services at our airports and miscellaneous other sources.

- (5) Since April 19, 1999, we have paid ITA a technical assistance fee under the technical assistance agreement entered into in connection with ITA's purchase of its series BB shares. This fee is described in "Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions--Related Party Transactions--Arrangements with ITA."
- (6) Each of our subsidiary concession holders is required to pay a concession fee to the Mexican government under the Mexican Federal Duties Law. The concession fee is currently 5% of each concession holder's gross annual revenues from the use of public domain assets pursuant to the terms of its concession.
- (7) Based on the ratio of 10 series B shares per ADS.
- (8) Passenger data excludes passengers arriving in or departing from the charter terminal in Cancun International Airport prior to July 1, 1999. We began operating this terminal directly on July 1, 1999. For further information relating to the acquisition of this business, see "Item 4. Information on the Company--Business Overview--Acquisition of Businesses."

#### EXCHANGE RATES

Mexico has had a free market for foreign exchange since 1991. Prior to December 1994, the Mexican central bank, Banco de Mexico, kept the peso-U.S. dollar exchange rate within a range prescribed by the Mexican government through intervention in the foreign exchange market. In December 1994, the Mexican government suspended intervention by Banco de Mexico and allowed the peso to float freely against the U.S. dollar. The peso declined sharply in December 1994 and continued to fall under conditions of high volatility in 1995. Volatility in the exchange rate market has gradually declined since 1995, when the exchange rate fluctuated between Ps. 5.00 and Ps. 8.14 per U.S. dollar. In 1996 and most of 1997, the peso fell more slowly and was less volatile. In the last quarter of 1997 and for much of 1998, the foreign exchange markets were volatile as a result of financial crises in Asia and Russia and financial turmoil in countries including Brazil and Venezuela. The peso declined during this period, but was relatively stable in 1999, 2000, 2001 and in the first three quarters of 2002. Between 1999 and 2001, the exchange rate fluctuated between Ps. 8.95 and Ps. 10.60 per U.S. dollar. In 2003, the exchange rate fluctuated between Ps. 11.3985 and Ps. 10.1068 per U.S. dollar. As of April 23, 2004, the Federal Reserve Bank of New York's noon buying rate for Mexican pesos was Ps.11.3500 to U.S.\$1.00. There can be no assurance that the Mexican government will maintain its current policies with regard to the peso or that the peso will not further depreciate or appreciate significantly in the future.

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the high, low, average and period-end noon buying rate in New York City for cable transfers in pesos published by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, expressed in pesos per U.S. dollar. The rates have not been restated in constant currency units.

		Excha	inge Rate	
Year Ended December 31,	Period End	Average(1)	High	Low
1999	9.48 9.62	9.56 9.47	10.60 10.09	9.24 9.18

2001	9.16	9.34	9.97	9.03
2002	10.43	9.75	10.43	9.00
2003	11.24	10.79	11.40	10.11
2004 (through May 31)	11.41	11.14	11.63	10.82

<sup>-----</sup>

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the high and low exchange rate for the purchase of U.S. dollars, expressed in pesos per U.S. dollar.

	Exchang	ge Rate
Month	High	Low
December 2003	11.40	11.16
January 2004	11.24	10.82
February 2004	11.13	10.91
March 2004	11.21	10.93
April 2004	11.41	11.16
May 2004	11.64	11.38

For a discussion of the effects of fluctuations in the exchange rates between the peso and the U.S. dollar, see "Item 10. Additional Information--Exchange Controls."

#### RISK FACTORS

#### Risks Related to Our Operations

The September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks, international conflicts and health epidemics have had a severe impact on the international air travel industry and have adversely affected our business and may continue to do so in the future.

Impact on Passenger Traffic of the Events of September 11, 2001

The terrorist attacks on the United States on September 11, 2001 had a severe adverse impact on the air travel industry, particularly on U.S. carriers and carriers operating international service to and from the United States. Airline traffic in the United States fell precipitously after the attacks. In Mexico, airline and passenger traffic decreased substantially, although the decrease was less severe than in the United States. Our airports experienced a significant decline in passenger traffic following September 11, 2001. Any future terrorists attacks, whether or not involving aircraft, will likely adversely affect our business, results of operations, prospects and financial condition.

#### Impact on Operations

The air travel business is susceptible to increased costs resulting from enhanced security and higher insurance and fuel costs. Following the events of September 11, we reinforced security at our airports. For a description of the security measures that we adopted, see "Item 4. Information on the

<sup>(1)</sup> Average of month-end rates.

Company—Business Overview—Non-Aeronautical Services—Airport Security." While enhanced security at our airports has not resulted in a significant increase in our operating costs to date, we may be required to adopt additional security measures in the future. In addition, our general liability insurance premiums for 2003 increased more than three-fold relative to our 2002 premiums and may continue to rise in the future. Since October 2001, we carry a U.S.\$50 million insurance policy covering liabilities resulting from terrorist acts. Because our insurance policies do not cover losses resulting from war in any amount or from terrorism for amounts greater than U.S.\$50 million, we could incur significant costs if we were to be directly affected by events of this nature. Any such increase in our operating costs will have an adverse effect on our results of operations.

Similarly, the users of airports, principally airlines, have been subject to increased costs. Airlines have been required to adopt additional security measures following the September 11 events and may be required to comply with more rigorous security guidelines in the future. Premiums for aviation insurance have increased substantially and could escalate further. While governments in other countries have agreed to indemnify airlines for liabilities they might incur resulting from terrorist attacks, the Mexican government has given no indication of an intention to do the same. In addition, fuel prices and supplies, which constitute a significant cost for airlines using our airports, may be subject to increases resulting from any future terrorist attacks, a general increase in international hostilities or a reduction in output of fuel, voluntary or otherwise, by oil producing countries. Such increases in airlines' costs have resulted in higher airline ticket prices and decreased demand for air travel generally, thereby having an adverse effect on our revenues and results of operations.

Other Events Affecting International Air Travel

Historically, a substantial majority of our revenues have been aeronautical services, and our principal source of aeronautical revenues is passenger charges. Passenger charges are charges collected from airlines for each passenger (other than diplomats, infants, transfer and transit passengers) departing from the airport terminals we operate. In 2003, passenger charges represented 60.8% of our total revenues. Events such as the recent invasion of Iraq by a coalition of forces led by the United States and the United Kingdom and public health crises such as Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (or "SARS") have negatively affected the frequency and pattern of air travel worldwide. Because our revenues are largely dependent on the level of passenger traffic in our airports, any general increase of hostilities relating to reprisals against terrorist organizations, further conflict in the Middle East, outbreaks of health epidemics such as SARS or other events of general international concern (and any related economic impact of such events) could result in decreased passenger traffic and increased costs to the air travel industry and, as a result, could cause a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, prospects and financial condition.

Our business is highly dependent upon revenues from Cancun International Airport.

In 2002 and 2003, Ps. 961.8 million and Ps. 1,079.6 million, respectively, or 72.2% and 73.6% respectively, of our revenues were derived from operations at Cancun International Airport. During 2002 and 2003, Cancun International Airport represented 70.2% and 71.2%, respectively, of our passenger traffic and 42.5% and 44.1%, respectively, of our air traffic movements. The desirability of Cancun as a tourist destination and the level of tourism to the area is dependent on a number of factors, many of which are beyond our control. We cannot assure you that tourism to Cancun will not decline in the future. Any event or condition affecting Cancun International Airport or the areas that it serves could have a material adverse effect on our business,

results of operations, prospects and financial condition.

The Mexican government could grant new concessions that compete with our airports.

The Mexican government could grant additional concessions to operate existing government-managed airports, or authorize the construction of new airports, which could compete directly with our airports. For example, the governor of the state of Quintana Roo has announced his intention to construct a new airport in Quintana Roo, close to the Mayan Riviera tourist destination. The government of Veracruz has also stated that it is considering opening a commercial airport near Jalapa, in the state of Veracruz. Any competition from other such airports could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations. Under certain circumstances, the grant of a concession for a new or existing airport is required to be made pursuant to a public bidding process. In the event that a competing concession is offered in a public bidding process, we cannot assure you that we would participate in such process, or that we would be successful if we did participate.

We may be restricted from increasing prices or required to reduce prices because a significant portion of our revenues is regulated

In 2002 and 2003, approximately 86.1% and 84%, respectively, of our total revenues were earned from regulated sources of revenues. As a result of the price regulation system applicable to our airports, our flexibility to set or raise prices with respect to services that generate substantially all of our revenues may be limited. We may also be required to reduce prices on services that are subject to regulation. In addition, there can be no assurance that this price regulation system will not be amended in a manner that would cause additional sources of our revenues to be regulated. For a discussion of sources of revenue subject to price regulation, see "Item 4. Information on the Company—Regulatory Framework—Price Regulation."

Our flexibility in managing our business is limited by the regulatory environment in which we operate.

Our airports, like airports in other countries, are highly regulated. These regulations may limit our flexibility in operating our business, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, prospects and financial condition.

We cannot predict how the regulations governing our business will be applied.

Many of the laws, regulations and instruments that regulate our business were adopted or became effective relatively recently, and there is only a limited history that would allow us to predict the impact of these legal requirements on our future operations. In addition, although Mexican law establishes ranges of sanctions that might be imposed should we fail to comply with the terms of one of our concessions, the Mexican Airport Law and its regulations or other applicable law, we cannot predict the sanctions that are likely to be assessed for a given violation within these ranges. We cannot assure you that we will not encounter difficulties in complying with these laws, regulations and instruments. Moreover, there can be no assurance that the laws and regulations governing our business will not change.

The Ministry of Communications and Transportation has announced that it intends to establish a new, independent regulatory agency to supervise the operation of our airports, as well as those of other airports that have been opened to private investment. For further information on this agency, see "Item 4. Information on the Company--Regulatory Framework--New Regulatory Agency." We cannot predict whether or when this new agency will be organized, the scope of its authority, the actions that it will take in the future or the effect of any

such actions on our business.

We may inadvertently exceed our maximum rates.

Our business strategy is to charge prices for regulated services at each airport that are as close as possible to that airport's maximum chargeable rates. Our prices are based on management's projections of passenger and cargo traffic volume and other variables. See "Item 4. Information on the Company--Regulatory Framework." These projections may differ from an airport's actual results of operations, and these differences could cause us to exceed our maximum rates. To avoid exceeding our maximum rates at year end, we may be required to take actions, including reducing our prices during the latter part of the year or issuing credits or discounts to customers, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

If we exceed the maximum rate at any airport at the end of any year, the Ministry of Communications and Transportation may assess a fine and may reduce the maximum rate at that airport in the subsequent year. The imposition of sanctions for violations of certain terms of a concession, including for exceeding the airport's maximum rates, can result in termination of the concession if the relevant term has been violated and sanctions have been imposed at least three times. In the event that any one of our concessions is terminated, our other concessions may also be terminated.

A devaluation of the peso may cause us to exceed our maximum rates.

Our tariffs for the services that we provide to international flights or international passengers are generally denominated in U.S. dollars, but are paid in Mexican pesos based on the average exchange rate for the month prior to each flight. We generally collect passenger charges from airlines 30 to 60 days following the date of each flight. We intend to charge prices that are as close as possible to our maximum chargeable rates. Because we generally are entitled to adjust our specific prices only once every six months (or earlier upon a cumulative increase of 5% in the Mexican producer price index (excluding petroleum)), a devaluation of the peso, particularly late in the year, could cause us to exceed the maximum rates at one or more of our airports which could lead to the termination of one of our concessions. In the event that any one of our concessions is terminated, our other concessions may also be terminated.

The price regulatory system applicable to our airports does not guarantee that our consolidated results of operations, or that the results of operations of any airport, will be profitable.

The system of price regulation applicable to our airports establishes an annual maximum rate for each airport, which is the maximum annual amount of revenues per work load unit (which is equal to one passenger or 100 kilograms (220 pounds) of cargo) that we may earn at that airport from services subject to price regulation. The maximum rates for our airports have been determined for each year through December 31, 2008. For a discussion of the framework for establishing our maximum rates and the application of these rates, see "Item 4. Information on the Company--Regulatory Framework--Price Regulation." Under the terms of our concessions, there is no guarantee that the results of operations of any airport will be profitable.

Our concessions provide that an airport's maximum rates will be adjusted periodically for inflation. Although we are entitled to request additional adjustments to an airport's maximum rates under certain circumstances, our concessions provide that such a request will be approved only if the Ministry of Communications and Transportation determines that certain events specified in our concessions have occurred. The circumstances under which we are entitled to an adjustment are described under "Item 4. Information on the Company--Regulatory Framework--Price Regulation--Special Adjustments to Maximum

Rates." Therefore, there can be no assurance that any such request would be  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{granted}}$  .

Our concessions may be terminated under various circumstances, some of which are beyond our control.

We operate each of our airports under a 50-year concession granted as of November 1, 1998 by the Mexican government. A concession may be terminated for a variety of reasons. For example, a concession may be terminated if we fail to make the committed investments required by the terms of that concession. In addition, in the event that we exceed the applicable maximum rate at an airport in any year, the Ministry of Communications and Transportation is entitled to reduce the applicable maximum rate at that airport for the subsequent year and assess a penalty. Violations of certain terms of a concession (including violations for exceeding the applicable maximum rate) can result in termination only if sanctions have been imposed for violation of the relevant term at least three times. Violations of other terms of a concession can result in the immediate termination of the concession. We would face similar sanctions for violations of the Mexican Airport Law or its regulations. Although we believe we are currently complying with the principal requirements of the Mexican Airport Law and its regulations, we are not in compliance with certain requirements under the regulations. These violations could result in fines or other sanctions being assessed by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation, and are among the violations that could result in termination of a concession if they occur three or more times. For a description of the consequences that may result from the violation of various terms of our concessions, the Mexican Airport Law or its regulations, see "Item 4. Information on the Company--Regulatory Framework--Penalties and Termination and Revocation of Concessions and Concession Assets." Under applicable Mexican law and the terms of our concessions, our concessions may also be made subject to additional conditions, which we may be unable to meet. Failure to meet these conditions may also result in fines, other sanctions and the termination of the concessions.

In addition, the Mexican government may terminate one or more of our concessions at any time through reversion, if, in accordance with applicable Mexican law, it determines that it is in the public interest to do so. In the event of a reversion of the public domain assets that are the subject of our concessions, the Mexican government under Mexican law is required to compensate us for the value of the concessions based on the results of an audit performed by appraisers. There can be no assurance that we will receive compensation equivalent to the value of our investment in our concessions and related assets in the event of such a revocation.

In the event that any one of our concessions is terminated, whether through revocation or otherwise, our other concessions may also be terminated. Thus, the loss of any concession would have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations. For a discussion of events which may lead to a termination of a concession, see "Item 4. Information on the Company--Regulatory Framework---Penalties and Termination and Revocation of Concessions and Concession Assets." Moreover, we are required to continue operating each of our nine airports for the duration of our concessions, even if one or more of them are unprofitable.

Competition from other tourist destinations could adversely affect our business.

One of the principal factors affecting our results of operations and business is the number of passengers using our airports. The number of passengers using our airports may vary as a result of factors beyond our control, including the level of tourism in Mexico. In addition, our passenger traffic volume may be adversely affected by the attractiveness, affordability and accessibility of competing tourist destinations in Mexico, such as Acapulco, Puerto Vallarta and Los Cabos, or elsewhere, such as Puerto Rico, Florida, Cuba,

Jamaica, the Dominican Republic and other Caribbean island and Central American destinations. The attractiveness of the destinations we serve is also likely to be affected by perceptions of travelers as to the safety and political and social stability of Mexico. There can be no assurance that tourism levels in the future will match or exceed current levels.

The loss of one or more of our key customers could result in a loss of a significant amount of our revenues.

Airlines and other entities controlled by Cintra, S.A. de C.V., a holding company of the Mexican government, collectively accounted for approximately 29.5%, 26.7% and 22.6% of the revenues generated by our airports in 2001, 2002 and 2003, respectively. In addition, in recent years American Airlines and Continental Airlines have accounted for a significant portion of the revenues generated by our airports (6.4% and 5.6%, respectively, in 2002 and 6.7% and 5.6%, respectively, in 2003). The global airline industry continues to experience significant financial difficulties, marked by the filing for bankruptcy protection of several major carriers in the U.S. Our business and results of operations could be adversely affected if we do not continue to generate comparable portions of our revenue from our key customers. We do not have contracts with any airlines that obligate them to continue providing service to our airports. We can offer no assurance that competing airlines would seek to increase their flight schedules if any of our key customers reduced their use of our airports. We expect that we will continue to generate a significant portion of our revenues from a relatively small number of airlines in the foreseeable future.

In addition, Mexican law prohibits an international airline from transporting passengers from one Mexican location to another (unless the flight originated outside Mexico), which limits the number of airlines providing domestic service in Mexico. Accordingly, we expect to continue to generate a significant portion of our revenues from domestic travel from a limited number of airlines.

Our results of operations may be adversely affected by required efficiency adjustments to our maximum rates.

Our maximum rates are subject to annual efficiency adjustments, which have the effect of reducing the maximum rates for each year to reflect projected efficiency improvements. For the five-year term ending December 31, 2008, an annual efficiency adjustment factor of 0.75% was established by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation. Future annual efficiency adjustments will be determined by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation in connection with the setting of each airport's maximum rates every five years. For a description of these efficiency adjustments, see "Item 4. Information on the Company-Regulatory Framework--Price Regulation--Methodology for Determining Future Maximum Rates." We cannot assure you that we will achieve efficiency improvements sufficient to allow us to maintain or increase our operating income as a result of the progressive decrease in each airport's maximum rate.

The operations of our airports may be disrupted due to the actions of third parties, which are beyond our control.

As is the case with most airports, the operation of our airports is largely dependent on the services of third parties, such as air traffic control authorities and airlines. We are also dependent upon the Mexican government or entities of the government for provision of services such as energy, supply of fuel to aircraft at our airports and immigration services for our international passengers. We are not responsible for and cannot control the services provided by these parties. Any disruption in or adverse consequence resulting from their services, including a work stoppage or other similar event, may have a material adverse effect on the operation of our airports and on our results of

operations.

Natural disasters could adversely affect our business.

From time to time, the southeast region of Mexico, like other Caribbean destinations, experiences hurricanes, particularly during the third quarter of each year. Portions of the southeast region also experience earthquakes from time to time. Natural disasters may impede operations, damage infrastructure necessary to our operations or adversely affect the destinations served by our airports. Any of these events could reduce our passenger traffic volume. The occurrence of natural disasters in the destinations we serve could adversely affect our business, results of operations, prospects and financial condition. We have insured the physical facilities at our airports against damage caused by natural disasters, accidents or other similar events, but do not have insurance covering losses due to resulting business interruption. Moreover, should losses occur, there can be no assurance that losses caused by damages to the physical facilities will not exceed the pre-established limits on the policies.

In September 2002, hurricane Isidore caused significant damages to the state of Yucatan and to our airport in Merida, which was closed to commercial traffic for 27 hours, resulting in the cancellation of 100 flights. Additionally, the airport sustained property damage of Ps. 9.3 million, of which Ps. 5.6 million was covered by insurance.

Our business could be adversely affected by a downturn in the U.S. economy.

In 2002 and 2003, 69.2% and 69.1%, respectively, of the international passengers served by our airports arrived or departed on flights originating in or departing to the United States. Thus, our business is dependent on the condition of the U.S. economy, and is particularly influenced by trends in the United States relating to leisure travel, consumer spending and international tourism. Events and conditions affecting the U.S. economy may adversely affect our business, results of operations, prospects and financial condition.

We cannot predict what effect any future terrorist attacks or threatened attacks on the United States or any retaliatory measures taken by the United States in response to these events may have on the U.S economy. An economic downturn in the United States may negatively affect our results of operations and a prolonged economic crisis in the United States will likely have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

NAFIN and ITA have substantial influence over our management and their interests may differ from those of other stockholders.

NAFIN, a Mexican national credit institution and development bank controlled by the Mexican government, holds 33,260,870 series B shares, representing 11.1% of our capital stock, and votes these shares based on the instructions of the Ministry of Communications and Transportation. NAFIN, for its own account, directly holds a 25.5% interest in ITA.

ITA holds series BB shares representing 15.0% of our capital stock, which provide it with special management rights. For example, ITA is allowed to appoint and remove our chief executive officer and at least half of our other executive officers (currently two of four) and to elect two members of our board of directors. ITA also has the right to veto certain actions requiring approval of our stockholders. Our bylaws also provide ITA veto rights with respect to certain corporate actions so long as its series BB shares represent at least 7.65% of our capital stock. Special rights granted to ITA are more fully discussed in "Item 10.

Additional Information" and "Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions."

As a result, NAFIN, in its individual capacity, and ITA, as our principal stockholder, are likely to substantially influence our management and matters requiring the approval of our stockholders. The interests of NAFIN and ITA may differ from those of our other stockholders, and there can be no assurance that NAFIN and ITA would exercise their rights in ways that favor the interests of our other stockholders.

Our operations are at greater risk of disruption due to the dependence of most of our airports on a single commercial runway.

As is the case with many other domestic and international airports around the world, most of our airports, including Cancun International Airport, have only one commercial aviation runway. While we seek to keep our runways in good working order and to conduct scheduled maintenance during off-peak hours, we cannot assure you that the operation of our runways will not be disrupted due to required maintenance or repairs. In addition, our runways may require unscheduled repair or maintenance due to natural disasters, aircraft accidents and other factors that are beyond our control. The closure of any runway for a significant period of time could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, prospects and financial condition.

Risks Related to Mexico

Economic developments in Mexico may adversely affect our business and results of operations.

Although a substantial portion of our revenues is derived from foreign tourism, domestic passengers in recent years have represented approximately half of the passenger traffic volume in our airports. In addition, all of our assets are located, and all of our operations are conducted, in Mexico. As a result, our business, financial condition and results of operation could be adversely affected by the general condition of the Mexican economy, by a devaluation of the peso, by inflation and high interest rates in Mexico, or by political developments in Mexico.

Mexico has experienced adverse economic conditions.

Mexico has experienced adverse economic conditions, including high levels of inflation. From 1982 to 1987, Mexico experienced periods of slow or negative growth, high inflation, large devaluations of the peso and limited availability of foreign currency. In the late 1980s and early 1990s, Mexico's growth rate increased, the inflation rate declined and the U.S. dollar/peso exchange rate was relatively stable. Beginning in December 1994 and continuing through 1995, Mexico experienced an economic crisis characterized by exchange rate instability, devaluation of the peso, high inflation, high domestic interest rates, negative economic growth, reduced consumer purchasing power, and high unemployment.

The economic crisis occurred in the context of a series of internal disruptions and political events, including a large current account deficit, civil unrest in the southern state of Chiapas (in which one of our airports is located), the assassination of two prominent political figures, a substantial outflow of capital, and a significant devaluation of the peso.

In response, the Mexican government implemented a broad economic reform program. Economic conditions in Mexico improved in 1996 and 1997. However, a combination of factors led to a slowdown in Mexico's economic growth in 1998. Notably, the decline in the international price of oil resulted in a reduction of federal revenues, approximately one-third of which are derived from petroleum taxes and duties. In addition, the economic crises in Asia and Russia and the financial turmoil in Argentina, Brazil, Venezuela and elsewhere produced greater

volatility in the international financial markets, which further slowed Mexico's economic growth. The Mexican government has reported that real GDP grew by 6.6% in 2000 and decreased by 0.3% in 2001. The Mexican government estimates that GDP growth in 2002 was approximately 0.9%. In 2002, inflation in Mexico was 5.7%, interest rates on 28-day Mexican government treasury securities averaged 7.09% and the peso depreciated by 13.8% (in nominal terms) against the U.S. dollar. The Mexican government estimates that GDP growth in 2003 was approximately 1.3%. In 2003, inflation in Mexico was 3.98%, interest rates on 28-day Mexican government treasury securities averaged 6.31% and the peso depreciated by 7.6% (in nominal terms) against the U.S. dollar.

We cannot assure you that similar events will not occur, or that any recurrence of these or similar events will not adversely affect our business, results of operations, prospects and financial condition.

Depreciation or fluctuation of the peso relative to the U.S. dollar could adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

Following the devaluation of the peso in December 1994, the aggregate passenger traffic volume in our airports in 1995 decreased as compared to the prior year, reflecting a decrease in domestic passenger traffic volume which more than offset an increase in international passenger traffic volume. Any future depreciation of the peso is likely to reduce our aggregate passenger traffic volume, which may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations. In addition, we cannot assure you that any future devaluation would result in an increase in international passenger traffic.

Devaluation or depreciation of the peso against the U.S. dollar may adversely affect the dollar value of an investment in the ADSs and the series B shares, as well as the dollar value of any dividend or other distributions that we may make.

As of December 31, 2003 less than one percent of our liabilities (U.S.\$0.495 million) were dollar-denominated. Although we currently intend to fund the investments required by our business strategy through cash flow from operations, we may incur dollar-denominated debt to finance all or a portion of these investments. A devaluation of the peso would increase the debt service cost of any dollar-denominated indebtedness that we may incur and result in foreign exchange losses.

Severe devaluation or depreciation of the peso may also result in the disruption of the international foreign exchange markets and may limit our ability to transfer or to convert pesos into U.S. dollars and other currencies.

Political conditions in Mexico could materially and adversely affect Mexican economic policy and, in turn, ASUR's operations.

The national elections held on July 2, 2000 ended 71 years of rule by the Institutional Revolutionary Party ("PRI") with the election of President Vicente Fox Quesada, a member of the National Action Party ("PAN") and resulted in the increased representation of opposition parties in the Mexican Congress and in mayoral and gubernatorial positions. Neither the PRI nor the PAN currently has a majority in the Congress or Senate. This shift in political power has transformed Mexico from a one-party state to a pluralist democracy. Although there have not yet been any material adverse repercussions resulting from this political change, multiparty rule is still relatively new in Mexico and could result in economic or political conditions that could materially and adversely affect our operations. The lack of a majority party in the legislature and the lack of alignment between the legislature and the President could result in deadlock and prevent the timely implementation of economic reforms, which in turn could have a material adverse effect on the Mexican economy and on our business.

Developments in other countries may affect us.

The market value of securities of Mexican companies may be, to varying degrees, affected by economic and market conditions in other countries. Although economic conditions in these countries may differ significantly from economic conditions in Mexico, investors' reactions to developments in any of these other countries may have an adverse effect on the market value of securities of Mexican issuers. In past years, prices of both Mexican debt and equity securities have been adversely affected by the sharp drop in Asian securities markets and the economic crises in Russia, Brazil, Argentina and Venezuela. There can be no assurance that the market value of our securities will not be adversely affected by events elsewhere.

You may not be entitled to participate in future preemptive rights offerings.

Under Mexican law, if we issue new shares for cash as part of a capital increase, we generally must grant our shareholders the right to purchase a sufficient number of shares to maintain their existing ownership percentage in ASUR. Rights to purchase shares in these circumstances are known as preemptive rights. We may not legally be permitted to extend such right to allow holders of ADSs in the United States to exercise any preemptive rights in any future capital increase unless we file a registration statement with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, with respect to that future issuance of shares, or the offering qualifies for an exemption from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

At the time of any future capital increase, we will evaluate the costs and potential liabilities associated with filing a registration statement with the SEC and any other factors that we consider important to determine whether we will file such a registration statement.

We cannot assure you that we will file a registration statement with the SEC to allow holders of ADSs in the United States to participate in a preemptive rights offering. In addition, under current Mexican law, sales by the depository of preemptive rights and distribution of the proceeds from such sales to you, the ADS holders, is not possible. As a result, your equity interest in ASUR may be diluted proportionately.

Corporate disclosure.

There may be less or different publicly available information about issuers of securities in Mexico than is regularly published by or about issuers of securities in certain countries with highly developed capital markets. In addition, differences in accounting and other reporting principles and standards may cause our results to differ substantially from those results that would have been obtained using other principles and standards, such as U.S. GAAP.

#### FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Form 20-F contains forward-looking statements. We may from time to time make forward-looking statements in our periodic reports to the Securities and Exchange Commission on Forms 20-F and 6-K, in our annual report to shareholders, in offering circulars and prospectuses, in press releases and other written materials, and in oral statements made by our officers, directors or employees to analysts, institutional investors, representatives of the media and others. Examples of such forward-looking statements include:

- o projections of operating revenues, net income (loss), net income (loss) per share, capital expenditures, dividends, capital structure or other financial items or ratios,
- o statements of our plans, objectives or goals,
- o statements about our future economic performance or that of Mexico or other countries in which we operate, and
- o statements of assumptions underlying such statements.

Words such as "believe," "anticipate," "plan," "expect," "intend," "target," "estimate," "project," "predict," "forecast," "guideline," "should" and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements but are not the exclusive means of identifying such statements.

Forward-looking statements involve inherent risks and uncertainties. We caution you that a number of important factors could cause actual results to differ materially from the plans, objectives, expectations, estimates and intentions expressed in such forward-looking statements. These factors, some of which are discussed above under "Risk Factors," include material changes in the performance or terms of our concessions, developments in legal proceedings, economic and political conditions and government policies in Mexico or elsewhere, inflation rates, exchange rates, regulatory developments, customer demand and competition. We caution you that the foregoing list of factors is not exclusive and that other risks and uncertainties may cause actual results to differ materially from those in forward-looking statements.

Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made, and we do not undertake any obligation to update them in light of new information or future developments.

# Item 4. Information on the Company

#### HISTORY AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE COMPANY

Grupo Aeroportuario del Sureste, S.A. de C.V., or ASUR, is a corporation (sociedad anonima de capital variable) organized under the laws of Mexico. We were incorporated in 1998 as part of the Mexican government's program for the opening of Mexico's airports to private-sector investment. The duration of our corporate existence is 100 years. We are a holding company and conduct all of our operations through our subsidiaries. The terms "ASUR," "we" and "our" in this annual report refer both to Grupo Aeroportuario del Sureste, S.A. de C.V. as well as Grupo Aeroportuario del Sureste, S.A. de C.V. together with its subsidiaries. Our registered office is located at Boulevard Manuel Avila Camacho No. 40, 6th Floor, Col. Lomas de Chapultepec, 11000 Mexico, D.F., Mexico, telephone 011-52-555-284-0400.

#### Investment by ITA

As part of the opening of Mexico's airports to investment, in 1998 the Mexican government sold a 15% equity interest in ASUR to ITA pursuant to a public bidding process. ITA's stockholders include Copenhagen Airports A/S, which currently owns a 36.5% interest in ITA. Copenhagen Airports A/S is among the world's leading airport operators and has won several international awards, including the 1999 IATA award for world's best airport shopping facilities, as well as the IATA 1999 award for world's best airport for overall passenger satisfaction. In 2002, Copenhagen Airport was ranked first by IATA in twelve out of twenty-two categories, including overall passenger satisfaction. Copenhagen Airport was named Europe's best and the world's second best airport in the 15-25 million passenger category in May 2003 by IATA. In 2003, approximately 17.7 million passengers were served at Copenhagen Airport. Additionally, Copenhagen

Airports A/S owns and operates Roskilde Airport located about 30 kilometers from Copenhagen, and holds 49% of the shares in Newcastle International Airport in England (4 million passengers in 2003) and a 20% stake in Hainan Meilan Airport Company in China (6 million passengers in 2003).

ITA's other stockholders currently are NAFIN with a 25.5% interest in ITA and Fernando Chico Pardo with a 38.0% interest in ITA. NAFIN, a Mexican national credit institution and development bank controlled by the Mexican government, became a stockholder of ITA in January 2004 when the 25.5% ownership in ITA of Triturados Basalticos y Derivados, S.A. de C.V. was transferred to NAFIN. Fernando Chico Pardo became a stockholder in ITA in April 2004 when he acquired the 24.5% ownership stake in ITA of French group Vinci, S.A. and the 13.5% ownership stake of Spanish Ferrovial Aeropuertos, S.A in ITA. At the same time, Copenhagen Airports A/S acquired the remaining 11.0% the ownership interest in ITA of Ferrovial Aeropuertos, S.A., thereby increasing its participation in ITA from 25.5% to 36.5%. Fernando Chico Pardo is a Mexican businessman. He is the founder and president of Promecap, S.C. and he serves among others as a board member of the United Nations Pension Fund, the Quantum Group of Funds, Grupo Posadas de Mexico, Grupo Financiero Inbursa and Grupo Carso.

ITA paid the Mexican government a total of Ps. 1,165.1 million (nominal pesos, excluding interest) (U.S.\$120.0 million based on the exchange rates in effect on the dates of payment) in exchange for:

- o 45,000,000 series BB shares representing 15% of our outstanding capital stock,
- o three options to subscribe for newly issued series B shares, the first of which has expired without being exercised. The remaining options allow ITA to subscribe for 2% and 1% of our capital stock outstanding at the time of each exercise, each determined on a fully diluted basis, at a price per-share equal to the per-share purchase price of ITA's 15% interest plus a premium accruing at an annual rate of 5%, and
- o the right and obligation to enter into various agreements with us and the Mexican government, including a participation agreement, a technical assistance agreement and a shareholders' agreement under terms established during the public bidding process. These agreements are described in greater detail under "Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions--Related Party Transactions."

Under the technical assistance agreement, ITA provides management and consulting services and transfers industry "know-how" and technology to ASUR in exchange for a technical assistance fee. This agreement is more fully described in "Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions--Related Party Transactions." The agreement provides us a perpetual and exclusive license in Mexico to use all technical assistance and "know-how" transferred to us by ITA or its stockholders during the term of the agreement. The agreement has an initial fifteen-year term, and is automatically renewed for successive five-year terms, unless one party provides the other a notice of termination within a specified period prior to a scheduled expiration date. ITA provides us assistance in various areas, including: development of our commercial activities, preparation of marketing studies focusing on increasing passenger traffic volume at our airports, assistance with the preparation of the master development plans that we are required to submit to the Ministry of Communications and Transportation with respect to each of our airports and the improvement of our airport operations.

The technical assistance fee is equal to the greater of a fixed dollar

amount or 5% of our annual consolidated earnings before comprehensive financing cost, income taxes and depreciation and amortization (determined in accordance with Mexican GAAP and calculated prior to deducting the technical assistance fee under this agreement). The fixed dollar amount decreased during the agreement's initial five years. The fixed dollar amount was U.S.\$5.0 million in 1999 and 2000, and U.S.\$3.0 million in 2001 and 2002. The fixed dollar amount was U.S.\$2.0 million in 2003, and it will remain U.S.\$2.0 million for each subsequent year. We believe that this structure creates an incentive for ITA to increase our annual consolidated earnings before net comprehensive financing cost, income and asset taxes and depreciation and amortization. These amounts are adjusted annually for inflation (measured by the U.S. consumer price index) as from the first anniversary of the technical assistance agreement. ITA is also entitled to reimbursement for the out-of-pocket expenses it incurs in its provision of services under the agreement. Under Mexican tax law, companies may not deduct fees that are determined by reference to their profitability (as defined under Mexican tax law).

The technical assistance agreement allows ITA, its stockholders and their affiliates to render additional services to ASUR only if the Acquisitions and Contracts Committee of our board of directors determines that these related persons have submitted the most favorable bid in a public bidding process involving at least three unrelated parties. For a description of this committee, see "Item 6. Directors, Senior Management and Employees—Committees."

Under our bylaws, the participation agreement and the technical assistance agreement, ITA has the right to elect two permanent members of our board of directors (which currently consists of seven members) and their alternates and to appoint and remove our chief executive officer and half of our executive officers (currently two of four). As the holder of the series BB shares, ITA's consent is also required to approve certain corporate matters so long as ITA's series BB shares represent at least 7.65% of our capital stock. In addition, our bylaws, the participation agreement and the technical assistance agreement contain certain provisions designed to avoid conflicts of interest between ASUR and ITA. The rights of ITA in our management are explained in "Item 6. Directors, Senior Management and Employees--Committees." ITA's stockholders have entered into an agreement regarding the exercise of ITA's rights and performance of its obligations under our bylaws, the participation agreement, the technical assistance agreement and the option agreement. The ITA shareholder's agreement is described in "Principal Stockholders and NAFIN Trust."

The remaining 85% of our outstanding capital stock, consisting of 255,000,000 series B shares, was sold by the Mexican government to a Mexican trust established by NAFIN, a Mexican national credit institution and development bank controlled by the Mexican government. This trust was the selling stockholder in the global offering.

Our bylaws provide that ITA was not permitted to transfer any of its series BB shares until September 28, 2003. From the end of this no-sale period until December 18, 2008, ITA is permitted to transfer up to 49% of its series BB shares without restriction. After December 18, 2008, ITA may sell in any year up to 20% of its remaining 51% ownership interest in ASUR represented by series BB shares. Our bylaws provide that series BB shares must be converted into series B shares prior to transfer. For a more detailed discussion of ITA's rights to transfer its stock, see "Item 10. Additional Information—Registration and Transfer."

As required under the participation agreement entered into in connection with the Mexican government's sale of the Series BB shares of ASUR to ITA, ITA has transferred its series BB shares to a trust, the trustee of which is Banco Nacional de Comercio Exterior, S.N.C. Under the terms of the participation agreement and the trust agreement, ITA's key partners, currently

Copenhagen Airports A/S and NAFIN are required to maintain an ownership interest in ITA of a minimum of 25.5% prior to December 18, 2014 unless otherwise approved by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation. To the extent that a key partner acquires shares of ITA in excess of a 25.5% interest, this additional interest may be sold without restriction See "Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions—Major Shareholders—ITA Trust and Shareholders' Agreement" for a further description of these provisions. There can be no assurance that the terms of the participation agreement or the trust would not be amended to reduce or eliminate these ownership commitments. If ITA or any of its stockholders defaults on any obligation contained in the trust agreement, or if ITA defaults on any obligation contained in the participation agreement or the technical assistance agreement, after specified notice and cure provisions, the trust agreement provides that the trustee may sell 5% of the shares held in the trust and pay the proceeds of such sale to ASUR as liquidated damages.

Pursuant to the terms of the trust, ITA may direct the trustee to vote only shares representing up to 10% of ASUR's capital stock. Any shares in excess of 10% are voted by the trustee in accordance with the vote of the majority of series B shares. The trust does not affect the veto and other special rights granted to the holders of series BB shares described in "Item 10. Additional Information."

#### Master Development Programs

Under the terms of our concessions, each of our subsidiary concession holders is required to submit an updated master development plan for approval by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation every five years. Each master development plan covers a fifteen-year period and includes investment commitments for the regulated part of our business (including certain capital expenditures and improvements) for the succeeding five-year period and investment projections for the regulated part of our business (including certain capital expenditures and improvements) for the remaining ten years. Once approved by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation, these commitments become binding obligations under the terms of our concessions. On December 30, 2003, the Ministry of Communications and Transportation approved each of our current updated master development plans. These plans are in effect as of January 1, 2004.

The following table sets forth our committed investments for the regulated part of our business for each airport pursuant to the terms of our current master development plans for the periods presented.

#### Committed Investments

					Year ended December 31,					
	2004		2005		2006		2007			
	(thousands of peso					sos(1))				
Cancun	Ps.	212,185	Ps.	392,643	Ps.	87 <b>,</b> 045	Ps.	175 <b>,</b> 354	Ps.	
Merida		7,142		43,334		13,379		15,606		
Cozumel		7,171		15 <b>,</b> 676		659		5 <b>,</b> 138		
Villahermosa		16,506		43,760		22,662		18,322		
Oaxaca		4,436		4,513		2,725		3 <b>,</b> 957		
Veracruz		16,175		18,971		773		1,738		
Huatulco		12,726		4,519		4,959		7,760		
Tapachula		12,771		18,376		11,898		10,763		
Minatitlan		30,375		40,604		3,478		6,062		

\_\_\_\_\_

(1) Expressed in adjusted pesos as of December 31, 2003 based on the Mexican construction price index in accordance with the terms of our master development plan.

The following table sets forth our historical capital expenditures made with respect to the regulated and unregulated parts of our business in the periods indicated.

#### Capital Expenditures

Year ended December 31,	(thousand	ls of pesos)
2001	Ps.	377,345 275,612 334,468

We expect to fund our operations and capital expenditures in the short-term and long-term through cash flow from operations. Although we may incur indebtedness from time to time, we do not currently anticipate that we will be required to incur indebtedness to satisfy our commitments under our master development plans or to fund our other capital expenditures.

#### BUSINESS OVERVIEW

We hold concessions to operate, maintain and develop nine airports in the southeast region of Mexico for fifty years from November 1, 1998. As operators of these airports, we charge airlines, passengers and other users fees for the use of the airports' facilities. We also derive rental and other income from commercial activities conducted at our airports, such as the leasing of space to restaurants and retailers. Our concessions include the concession for Cancun International Airport, the second busiest airport in Mexico in 2003 in terms of passenger traffic, according to the Mexican Airport and Auxiliary Services Agency. We also hold concessions to operate the airports in Cozumel, Huatulco, Merida, Minatitlan, Oaxaca, Tapachula, Veracruz and Villahermosa.

Mexico is one of the main tourist destinations in the world. Mexico has historically ranked in the top ten countries worldwide in terms of foreign visitors, with 19.7 million visitors in 2003, according to the Mexican Ministry of Tourism. Within Latin America and the Caribbean, Mexico ranked first in 2003 in terms of number of foreign visitors and income from tourism, according to the World Tourism Organization. The tourism industry is one of the largest generators of foreign exchange in the Mexican economy, contributing U.S.\$9.5 million in 2003, according to the Mexican Ministry of Tourism. Within Mexico, the southeast region (where our airports are located) is a principal tourist

destination due to its beaches and cultural and archeological sites, which are served by numerous hotels and resorts.

Cancun and its surroundings were the most frequently visited international tourism destination in Mexico in 2003, according to the Mexican Ministry of Tourism. Cancun International Airport represented 68.0%, 70.2% and 71.2% of our passenger traffic volume and 70.3%, 72.2% and 73.6% of our revenues in 2001, 2002 and 2003, respectively. At December 31, 2003, Cancun had approximately 26,550 hotel rooms, according to the Mexican Ministry of Tourism. We believe that Cancun International Airport is positioned to benefit from its proximity to the Mayan Riviera, a 129-kilometer (80-mile) stretch of coastal resorts and hotels that is among Mexico's most rapidly developing tourism areas. According to the Mexican National Trust for Tourism Development, the Mayan Riviera had approximately 21,438 hotel rooms as of December 31, 2003.

Our airports served approximately 11.2 million passengers in 2001, approximately 11.0 million passengers in 2002 and approximately 12.2 million passengers in 2003. For year-by-year passenger figures, see "--Our Airports."

The United States currently is a significant source of passenger traffic volume in our airports. In 2001, 2002 and 2003, international passengers represented 59.0%, 58.3% and 58.5%, respectively, of the total passenger traffic volume in our airports. In 2001, 2002 and 2003, 68.4%, 69.2% and 69.1%, respectively, of the international passengers in our airports traveled on flights originating in or departing to the United States. As of December 31, 2003, 17 Mexican and 45 international airlines, including U.S.-based airlines such as American Airlines and Continental Airlines, were operating directly or through code-sharing arrangements (where one aircraft has two or more flight numbers of different, allied airlines) in our airports.

On July 1, 1999, we began operating three businesses previously operated by third parties in two of our airports, including the charter terminal in Cancun International Airport. We acquired the right to operate these businesses for an aggregate purchase price of U.S.\$39.6 million. The charter terminal in Cancun International Airport served 1.9 million passengers in 2001, 1.9 million passengers during 2002, and 2.2 million passengers in 2003. Including the passengers served by the main terminal, Cancun International Airport served a total of 7.6 million passengers in 2001, 7.7 million passengers in 2002 and 8.7 million passengers in 2003. For a discussion of how these acquired businesses are reflected in our financial statements, see "Management's Discussion and Analysis of the acquired businesses." For a description of the acquired businesses, see "--Acquisition of Businesses."

#### Aeronautical Services

The following table sets forth our revenues for the period presented.

	 2001		2002		2003
		(thousa	inds of pesos	)	
Ps.	1,086,589	Ps.		Ps.	1,155,446
	192,973		248,734		311,186
Ps.	1,279,562	Ps.	1,289,934	Ps.	1,466,632
	Ps. Ps.	192,973	Ps. 1,086,589 Ps. 192,973	Ps. 1,086,589 Ps. 1,041,200 192,973 248,734	(thousands of pesos)  Ps. 1,086,589 Ps. 1,041,200 Ps. 192,973 248,734

Aeronautical services represent the most significant source of our revenues. In 2001, 2002 and 2003, aeronautical revenues represented approximately 84.9%, 80.7% and 78.8% of our total revenues, respectively. All of our revenues from aeronautical services are regulated under the "dual-till" price regulation system applicable to our airports.

Our revenues from aeronautical services are derived from: passenger charges, landing charges, aircraft parking charges, charges for the use of passenger walkways and charges for the provision of airport security services. Charges for aeronautical services generally are designed to compensate an airport operator for its infrastructure investment and maintenance expense. Aeronautical revenues are principally dependent on three factors: passenger traffic volume, the number of air traffic movements and the weight of the aircraft.

#### Passenger Charges

We collect a passenger charge for each departing passenger on an aircraft (other than diplomats, infants and transfer and transit passengers). We do not collect passenger charges from arriving passengers. Passenger charges are automatically included in the cost of a passenger's ticket and generally collected twice monthly from each airline. As of December 2003, the charge for international passengers is U.S. \$16.60 and the charge for domestic passengers is Ps. 140.0 (nominal pesos) for all of our airports except Cozumel and Oaxaca, where we charge international passengers U.S. \$14.40 and domestic Ps. 125.7 (nominal pesos). International passenger charges are currently dollar-denominated, but generally collected in pesos based on the average exchange rate during the month prior to the flight. Domestic passenger charges are peso-denominated. In each of 2001 and 2002, passenger charges represented 73.9% of our aeronautical revenues and 62.7% and 59.6%, respectively, of our total revenues. In 2003, passenger charges represented 77.2% of our aeronautical revenues and 60.8% of our total revenues. From time to time we have offered discounts on passenger charges at certain of our airports.

Aircraft Landing and Parking Charges, Passenger Walkway Charges and Airport Security Charges

We collect various charges from carriers for the use of our facilities by their aircraft and passengers. For each aircraft's arrival, we collect a landing charge that is based on the average of the aircraft's maximum takeoff weight and the aircraft's weight without fuel. We also collect aircraft parking charges based on the time an aircraft is at an airport's gate or parking position. Parking charges at several of our airports vary based on the time of day that the relevant service is provided (with higher fees generally charged during peak usage periods at certain of our airports). We collect aircraft parking charges the entire time an aircraft is on our aprons. Airlines are also assessed charges for the connection of their aircraft to our terminals through a passenger walkway. We also assess an airport security charge, which is collected from each airline based on the number of its departing passengers. We provide airport security services at our airports through third-party contractors. We also provide firefighting and rescue services at our airports.

Landing charges represented 10.01%, 10.04% and 8.65% of our aeronautical revenues and 8.50%, 8.10% and 6.81% of our total revenues in 2001, 2002 and 2003, respectively. Aircraft parking charges represented 12.45%, 11.94% and 10.85% of our aeronautical revenues and 10.57%, 9.64% and 8.55% of our total revenues in 2001, 2002 and 2003, respectively. Airport security charges represented 1.53%, 1.64% and 1.56% of our aeronautical revenue and 1.30%, 1.32% and 1.23% of our total revenues in 2001, 2002 and 2003, respectively. Passenger walkway charges represented 2.13%, 2.48% and 1.76% of our aeronautical revenues and 1.81%, 2.01% and 1.38% of our total revenues in 2001, 2002 and 2003, respectively.

Non-aeronautical Services

General

Non-aeronautical services have historically generated a proportionately smaller portion of our revenues. Our revenues from non-aeronautical services are derived from commercial activities (such as the leasing of space in our airports to retailers, restaurants, airlines and other commercial tenants) and access fees charged to providers of complementary services in our airports (such as catering, handling and ground transport). In 2001, 2002 and 2003, revenues from non-aeronautical services represented 15.08%, 19.28% and 21.22%, respectively, of our total revenues, of which 41.8%, 62.3% and 66.6%, respectively, were derived from access fees and 53.3%, 33.9% and 30.5%, respectively, were derived from commercial revenues as defined under the Mexican Airport Law. Prior to July 1, 1999, our revenues from non-aeronautical services also included access fees generated by three businesses that we acquired and began operating as of July 1, 1999.

Currently, the leasing of space in our airports to airlines and other commercial tenants represents the most significant source of our revenues from non-aeronautical services. Although certain of our revenues from non-aeronautical services are regulated under our "dual-till" price regulation system, our revenues from commercial activities (other than the lease of space to airlines and other airport service providers that is considered essential to an airport) are not regulated.

#### Commercial Activities

Leading international airports generally generate an important portion of their revenues from commercial activities. An airport's revenues from commercial activities is largely dependent on passenger traffic, its passengers' level of spending, terminal design, the mix of commercial tenants and the basis of fees charged to businesses operating in the airport. Revenues from commercial activities also depend substantially on the percentage of traffic represented by international passengers due to the revenues generated from duty-free shopping.

In early November 2001, we opened a new commercial center at the Cancun airport, which includes duty free shops, a food court, a full service restaurant, a business lounge, convenience stores and clothing shops. In December 2001, we opened a new commercial center at each of our Merida and Cozumel airports. In 2002, we opened 40 new commercial spaces in our other six airports, including new duty-free shops, restaurants, bank and foreign exchange services, and convenience stores. In addition, we also developed 109 new advertising spaces in our airports in 2002. In 2003, we opened 20 new commercial spaces in our airports, including restaurants, snack bars, convenience stores and retail stores.

We estimate that revenues from commercial activities in our terminals historically have accounted for less than 15% of the total revenues generated by our airports. In contrast, we believe that revenues from commercial activities account for 30% or more of the consolidated revenues of many leading international airports. Accordingly, a significant part of our business strategy is focused on increasing our revenues from commercial activities in our airports.

Within our nine airports, we leased approximately 189 commercial premises as of December 31, 2003, including restaurants, banks, retail outlets (including duty-free stores), currency exchange bureaus and car rental agencies. Our most important tenant in terms of occupied space and revenue in 2003 was Mera Aeropuertos, S.A. de C.V. We generally charge a fixed monthly fee for the lease of commercial space in our terminals and are also paid a monthly

concession fee based on a percentage of our concessionaires' revenues. Most of our revenues from the lease of commercial spaces is due to the monthly concession fee paid to us by our concessionaires.

We are currently involved in legal proceedings in which we are seeking a confirmation of our right to terminate certain lease agreements involving the duty-free stores in Cancun upon the expiration of their term. Although we cannot predict when these proceedings will end, we expect that they will ultimately be resolved in our favor.

In March 2004, we agreed to pay a US\$7 million termination fee to a tenant in the Cancun Airport in connection with the early termination of their lease agreement for five units in the Cancun Airport as compensation for improvements made to the leased space. The five units consist of a restaurant, three convenience stores and a snack bar. We intend to operate these units until we award the concession to operate them to a new concessionaire.

In February 2004, we awarded a 10-year concession to Operadora Aeroboutique to operate duty-paid stores in the Cancun, Cozumel, Merida, Oaxaca, Villahermosa and Veracruz airports.

In September 2003, we awarded a 20-year concession to operate a gas station and convenience store at the Cancun airport to Mexico-based PERC Group as a result of a bidding process.

In June 2001, we awarded airport advertising concessions to a consortium formed by the French and Mexican advertising companies, JCDecaux and UDC, as the result of a bidding process. The eight-year contract began in September 2001, and covers all advertising units at the nine airports. These include the external areas outside the airports (billboards, clock advertising and light-boxes), as well the public areas inside the terminal.

Also in June 2001, we awarded the retail concession for the Cancun, Merida and Cozumel airports to the consortium formed by the Spanish company Aldeasa S.A. The ten-year contract commenced in September 2001 and covers ten duty free stores and a cigar store. In November 2001, we entered into a similar ten-year contract with the Mexican retailer, Tiendas Tropicales, S.A. de C.V. covering six Mexican handicraft stores in the same airports.

In May 2001, we awarded the convenience store concession for all of our nine airports to Cenca Comercializadora, S.A. de C.V. The convenience retail business line includes offerings such as newspapers, magazines, candy, cigarettes, non-prescription pharmaceutical items, and other similar merchandise. The six-year contract commenced in May 2001, and includes a total of 18 stores at the nine airports.

In December 2000, we awarded the food and beverages concession for the Cancun, Cozumel and Merida airports to Controladora Mera, S.A. de C.V., a Mexican company that specializes in operating restaurants. The contract began in July 2001, includes eleven food and beverage units, one business lounge, vending machines, and coffee/snack trolleys, and expires in 2013. In September 2003, we opened the Jose Cuervo Tequileria restaurant in the international gate of the satellite building at the International Airport of Cancun. The restaurant is operated by Controladora Mera.

In May 2000, we offered for competitive bids the rights to develop car rental services at our nine airports. As a result, we entered into agreements with the winning bidders which provide for the payment of increased rent compared to the agreements in place prior to the bidding process.

Access Charges

At each of our airports, we earn revenues from charging access fees to various third-party providers of complementary services, including luggage check-in, sorting and handling, aircraft servicing at our gates, aircraft cleaning, cargo handling, aircraft catering services and assistance with passenger boarding and deplaning. Our revenues from access charges are regulated under our "dual-till" price regulation system. Under current regulations, each of these services may be provided by the holder of an airport concession, by a carrier or by a third party hired by a concession-holder or a carrier. Typically, these services are provided by third parties, whom we charge an access fee based on a percentage of revenues that they earn at our airports. Six different contractors provide handling services at our nine airports.

Mexico's two largest airlines, Aeromexico and Mexicana, participate in a joint venture (Servicios de Apoyo en Tierra or "SEAT") that provides certain complementary services, such as baggage handling, to various carriers at airports throughout Mexico. SEAT operated at our airports prior to our commencement of operations under our concessions and continues to do so. Under the Mexican Airport Law, third-party providers of complementary services are required to enter into agreements with the respective concession holder at that airport, which we did as of December 27, 2000.

Under the Mexican Airport Law, we are required to provide complementary services at each of our airports if there is no third party providing such services. SEAT is currently the sole provider of baggage handling services at five of our airports. If SEAT ceased to provide such services directly, we could be required to provide these services or find a third party to provide such services.

Automobile Parking and Ground Transport

Each of our airports has public car parking facilities consisting of open-air parking lots. We began taking over the operation of the parking lots at all of our airports that were formerly operated by third parties under license agreements in 2000. The only airport at which we do not charge parking fees is Cozumel. As a result of the change from third-parties to ourselves as operators of the lots, revenues from parking lot fees have increased significantly from Ps. 2.5 million in 1999. In 2001, 2002 and 2003, our revenues form parking lot fees were Ps. 13.4 million, Ps. 15.8 million and Ps. 20.4 million, respectively. Revenues from parking at our airports currently are not regulated, although they could become regulated upon a finding by the Mexican Antitrust Commission that there are no competing alternatives.

We collect revenues from various commercial vehicle operators, including taxi, bus and other ground transport operators. Our revenues from permanent providers of ground transport services, such as access fees charged to taxis, are regulated activities, while our revenues from non-permanent providers of ground transport services, such as access fees charged to charter buses, are not regulated revenues.

#### Airport Security

The General Office of Civil Aviation, Mexico's federal authority on aviation, and the Office of Public Security issue guidelines for airport security in Mexico. At each of our airports, security services are provided by independent security companies that we hire. In recent years, we have undertaken various measures to improve our security standards at our airports. These measures included increasing the responsibilities of the private security companies that we hire, the modernization of our carry-on luggage scanning and security equipment, the implementation of strict access control procedures to the restricted areas of our airports and the installation of a closed-circuit television monitoring system in some of our airports.

In response to the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks in the United States, we have taken additional steps to increase security at our airports. At the request of the Transport Security Administration of the United States, the General Office of Civil Aviation issued directives in October 2001 establishing new rules and procedures to be adopted at our airports. Under these directives, these rules and procedures were to be implemented immediately and for an indefinite period of time.

To comply with these directives, we reinforced security by:

- o increasing and improving the security training of airport personnel,
- o increasing the supervision and responsibilities of both our security personnel and airline security personnel that operate in our airports,
- o issuing new electronic identification cards to airport personnel,
- o reinforcing control of different access areas of our airports, and
- o physically changing the access points to several of the restricted areas of our airports.

Airlines have also contributed to the enhanced security at our airports as they have adopted new procedures and rules issued by the General Office of Civil Aviation applicable to airlines. Some measures adopted by the airlines include adding more points for verification of passenger identification, inspecting luggage prior to check-in and reinforcing controls over access to airplanes by various service providers (such as baggage handlers and food service providers).

#### Fuel

All airport property and installations related to the supply of aircraft fuel were retained by the Mexican Airport and Auxiliary Services Agency in connection with the opening of Mexico's airports to private investment. Pursuant to our concessions, the Mexican Airport and Auxiliary Services Agency has entered into agreements obligating it to pay each of our subsidiary concession holders a fee for access to our facilities equivalent to 1% of the service charge for fuel supply. In the event that the Mexican government were to privatize fuel supply activities in the future, the terms of our concessions provide that it will do so through a competitive bidding process.

#### Acquisition of Businesses

When we assumed operation of our nine airports on November 1, 1998, our predecessor, the Mexican Airport and Auxiliary Services Agency, transferred to us its rights to receive payment under three agreements that it had previously entered into with three different companies. These agreements provided for the development of certain businesses within specified areas of Cancun International Airport and Merida International Airport. A general description of these agreements and the parties to each are as follows:

o Cancun Air, S.A. de C.V. was required to construct and entitled to operate and develop the charter terminal and parking facilities in Cancun International Airport through 2006. In exchange, Cancun Air agreed to pay our predecessor 12% of its total revenue from passenger charges from the charter air terminal and parking facilities through December

31, 2001 and 13% of its total revenue from passenger charges from the charter air terminal and parking facilities from January 1, 2002 through December 19, 2006.

- Dicas, S.A. was granted the right to construct and maintain the satellite wing and general aviation building in Cancun International Airport in exchange for the right to collect revenues from commercial activities and passenger walkway charges generated by that wing through 2009. In addition, Dicas was required to pay our predecessor 20% of its passenger walkway fees and a percentage of its profits in excess of a specified rate of return. During the term of the lease, Dicas' rate of return never exceeded the amount that would have required it to pay a portion of its profits to our predecessor.
- o Aeropremier de Mexico, S.A. de C.V. was granted the right to construct and operate a general aviation terminal, a first-class lounge, a tourism office and other commercial areas in Merida International Airport. The access fees earned from Aeropremier were not material.

On November 25, 1998, we acquired the rights and obligations of Cancun Air, Dicas and Aeropremier under these agreements effective June 30, 1999 for an aggregate purchase price of U.S.\$39.6 million. Although these businesses were acquired through the termination of outstanding lease agreements, under Mexican tax law we could be considered the successor to these businesses and thus could be jointly and severally liable for any tax liabilities related to the operation of these businesses prior to our acquisition, up to the value of the acquired businesses and until five years following the date the liability initially should have been incurred. We are not able to determine the likelihood of such tax liability, if any. Under the terms of the agreement by which we acquired these businesses, we are entitled to indemnification from the prior operators of these businesses in the event that ASUR were required to pay any such tax liability.

#### Our Airports

In 2001, our airports served a total of 11.2 million passengers, approximately 59.0% of which were international passengers. In 2002, our airports served a total of 11.0 million passengers, approximately 58.3% of which were international passengers. In 2003, our airports served a total of 12.2 million passengers, approximately 58.5% of which were international passengers. In 2001, 2002 and 2003, Cancun International Airport accounted for 68.0%, 70.2% and 71.2% of the passenger traffic volume and 70.3%, 72.2% and 73.6% of revenues, respectively, from our nine airports.

All of our airports other than Minatitlan Airport are designated as international airports under Mexican law, which indicates that they are equipped to receive international flights and have customs and immigration facilities.

The following table sets forth the number of passengers served by our airports based on flight origination or destination.

						Percenta
Region	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	vs
Mexico	5,033	4,981	4,864	4,814	5,309	10
United States	4,103	4,579	4,500	4,438	4,925	11

Europe	661	909	882	779	980	25
Canada	295	441	530	632	712	12
Latin America	517	528	455	315	256	(18
Asia and others	19	10	9	13	8	(38
Total	10,628	11,448	11,240	10,997	12,190	10

In 2001, 2002 and 2003, approximately 80.6%, 82.0% and 81.1%, respectively, of our domestic passengers traveled to or from Mexico City.

The following table sets forth the total traffic volume and air traffic movements in our nine airports for the periods presented:

> Airport Traffic (in thousands)

	Year ended December 31,							
	1999 	2000	2001	2002	2003			
Passengers: (1) Total	9 <b>,</b> 597.9	11,448.1	11,240.3	10,996.6	12,190.0			
Air traffic movements: (2) Total	208.1	207.6	194.9	194.9	198.0			

The following table sets forth the passenger traffic volume for each of our airports during the periods indicated:

> Passenger Traffic(1) (in thousands)

<sup>(1)</sup> Figures in thousands. Figures exclude passengers in transit and private aviation passengers.

Passenger data prior to July 1, 1999 do not include the Cancun charter (1)terminal, because ASUR did not earn passenger charges from passengers using the Cancun charter terminal prior to that date (although access fees equal to 12% of the passenger charges from passengers using the Cancun charter terminal were collected prior to that date).

Includes landings and departures, in thousands. Air traffic movement (2) data include the Cancun charter terminal for all periods, because ASUR earned landing fees from all landings regardless of the terminal used.

	1999	2000	2001(1)	
Cancun Main Terminal	4,993.9	5,450.6	5,771.3	
Cancun Charter Terminal(2)	1,975.9	2,295.5	1,868.7	
Total Cancun	6,969.8	7,746.1	7,640.0	
Merida	940.6	903.3	919.4	
Cozumel	526.8	600.3	565.2	
Villahermosa	521.7	528.3	533.2	
Oaxaca	477.1	459.8	440.2	
Veracruz	467.6	494.1	503.4	
Huatulco	338.3	331.4	317.3	
Tapachula	289.7	234.4	190.4	
Minatitlan	158.2	150.4	131.2	
Total	10,689.8	11,448.1	11,240.3	
Total excluding Cancun Charter Terminal	8,713.9	9,152.6	9,371.7	
	=======	=======	=======	

<sup>-----</sup>

Air Traffic Movements by Airport(1)

		Year ended December 31,					
	1999	2000	2001	2002			
Cancun(2)	79 <b>,</b> 787	83 <b>,</b> 587	80,900	82 <b>,</b> 73			
Merida	27,702	25 <b>,</b> 680	23,627	22,82			
Cozumel	17,150	17,670	15,225	14,01			
Villahermosa	20,854	21,142	19,058	18,24			
Oaxaca	18,742	16,052	14,428	15,47			
Veracruz	19,269	19,103	18,705	19,03			
Huatulco	7,229	5,988	6,213	5,92			
Tapachula	12,114	13,219	12,317	12,03			
Minatitlan	5,261	5,200	4,431	4,60			

The following table sets forth the air traffic movements in our airports for the periods indicated in terms of commercial, charter and general

194,88

<sup>(1)</sup> The increase and decrease in Cancun's main terminal and charter terminal traffic, respectively, in 2001 is partially due to the occasional diversion of charter flights to the main terminal. See "--Cancun International Airport" below.

<sup>(2)</sup> The charter terminal in Cancun International Airport began operating in March 1996. We began operating the terminal on July 1, 1999.

<sup>-----</sup>

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes departures and landings.

<sup>(2)</sup> Includes the Cancun charter terminal for all periods, because ASUR earned landing fees from all landings regardless of the terminal used.

aviation:

Air Traffic Movements by Aviation Category(1)

		Year ended December 31,				
	1999 	2000	2001	2002		
Commercial Aviation	147,961	143,630	137,019	142 <b>,</b> 87		
Charter Aviation	23,344	27,312	24,565	19,18		
General Aviation(2)	36,803	36,699	33,320	32 <b>,</b> 82		
Total	208,108	207,641	194,904	194 <b>,</b> 88		
		======				

<sup>-----</sup>

The following table sets forth the revenues for each of our airports during the periods indicated:

Revenues by Airport

Year	ended	December	31,
------	-------	----------	-----

	2001				2002				
	(thousands of pesos)	,	usands of lars) (1)		(thousands of pesos)	•	ousands of llars) (1)	,	thousa peso
CancunP	s. 898,947	U.S.\$	79 <b>,</b> 997	Ps.	931,864	U.S.\$	82 <b>,</b> 927	Ps.	1,07
Merida	99 <b>,</b> 577		8,861		97 <b>,</b> 703		8,695		10
Cozumel	61,865		5 <b>,</b> 505		51,405		4,575		5
Villahermosa	54,756		4,873		53,211		4,735		6
Oaxaca	43,375		3 <b>,</b> 860		43,059		3,832		4
Veracruz	52 <b>,</b> 269		4,651		51,820		4,611		5
Huatulco	31,891		2,838		26,001		2,314		2
Tapachula	22,707		2,021		21,108		1,878		2
Minatitlan	14,175		1,261		13,763		1,225		1
Total	1,279,562		113,867		1,289,934		114,792		1,46
	=======		======		=======		======		====

\_\_\_\_\_

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes departures and landings for all nine airports and includes the Cancun charter terminal.

<sup>(2)</sup> General aviation generally consists of small private aircraft.

<sup>(1)</sup> Translated into dollars at the rate of Ps. 11.2372 per U.S. dollar, the Mexican Ministry of Finance exchange rate for Mexican pesos at December 31, 2003.

Cancun International Airport

Cancun International Airport is our most important airport in terms of passenger volume, air traffic movements and contribution to revenues. In 2003, Cancun International Airport was the second busiest airport in Mexico in terms of passenger traffic, according to the Mexican Airport and Auxiliary Services Agency. The airport is located approximately 16 kilometers (ten miles) from the city of Cancun, which has a population of approximately 419,815. In 2001, 2002 and 2003, approximately 5.7 million, 5.9 million and 6.5 million passengers, respectively, traveled through Cancun International Airport's main terminal. Of these passengers, in 2001, 2002 and 2003, 77.3%, 75.6% and 67.1%, respectively, were international passengers. A substantial majority of the airport's international passengers (60.1% in 2001, 69.2% in 2002 and 68.7% in 2003) began or ended their travel in the United States. The airport's most important points of origin and destination are Mexico City, Miami, Houston, Dallas and New York. Due to the airport's significant number of passengers from the United States, its traffic volume and results of operations are substantially dependent on economic conditions in the United States. See "Item 3. Key Information--Risk Factors--Risks Related to Our Operations--Our business could be adversely affected by a downturn in the U.S. economy."

Prior to June 30, 1999, the charter terminal, the general aviation building that handles private aircraft and the commercial operations in the satellite wing of the main terminal were operated by third parties. As of June 30, 1999, we acquired the rights to operate these businesses. During 2003, approximately 2.2 million passengers traveled through the charter terminal in Cancun International Airport. Combined with the 6.5 million passengers that traveled through the main terminal in that year, a total of 8.7 million passengers were served by Cancun International Airport in 2003. We believe this acquisition has given us greater operational flexibility as a result of our operation of both terminals at Cancun International Airport.

Cancun is located in the state of Quintana Roo. Cancun and its surroundings was the most visited international tourism destination in Mexico in 2003, according to the Mexican Ministry of Tourism. According to the Mexican National Trust for Tourist Development, the Cancun area had approximately 26,550 hotel rooms as of December 31, 2003. The Mexican National Trust for Tourist Development estimates that Cancun will be fully developed in 2010 with approximately 30,000 rooms. Although Cancun may be reached by land, sea or air, we believe most tourists arrive by air through Cancun International Airport. Cancun is between approximately one and a half and five hours by air from all major cities in the United States and 10 to 13 hours by air from most major European cities.

Cancun is located near beaches, coral reefs, ecological parks and Mayan archeological sites. Cancun International Airport serves travelers visiting the Mayan Riviera, which stretches from Cancun south to the Mayan ruins at Tulum, and includes coastal hotels and resorts in the towns of Playa del Carmen, Tulum and Akumal. According to the Mexican National Trust for Tourism Development, the greater Cancun area (including the Mayan Riviera) was estimated to have an aggregate of approximately 47,988 hotel rooms as of December 31, 2003.

Since most of the airport's passengers are tourists, the airport's traffic volume and results of operations are influenced by the perceived attractiveness of Cancun as a tourist destination. See "Item 3. Key Information--Risk Factors--Risks Related to Our Operations-- Our business could be adversely affected by a downturn in the U.S. economy."

As part of our commercial strategy, in the fourth quarter of 2001 we completed an expansion of 8,114 square meters (approximately 87,300 square feet) and a remodeling of 32,400 square meters (approximately 348,700 square feet), giving us a main terminal building with a total of 40,514 square meters (436,100

square feet) of which 7,489 square meters (89,600 square feet) are for commercial use in Cancun International Airport's main terminal. We are currently pursuing the eviction of several commercial tenants that occupy a small part of this area. We are also currently studying the possibility of developing cargo facilities at the airport.

The airport has one runway with a length of 3,500 meters (2.2 miles). The airport's facilities include a main terminal (which includes a wing referred to as the satellite wing), a charter terminal and a general aviation building that handles private aircraft. The airport has twenty-one gates, ten of which are accessible by passenger walkways. The main terminal has nine gates accessible by passenger walkways, and the charter terminal has one gate that is accessible by a passenger walkway.

The airport's main terminal (including the satellite terminal wing) has a total area of approximately 40,514 square meters (approximately 436,100 square feet). The charter terminal in Cancun International Airport, which we acquired on June 30, 1999, has an additional 20,500 square meters (approximately 220,500 square feet).

In April 2002, we entered into an agreement with Mera Aeropuertos S.A. de C.V. under which Mera agreed to acquire from Opredi S.A. de C.V. certain contractual rights to provide food and beverage services in several locations in our Cancun airport. Mera successfully acquired the rights in 2002 and, through its subsidiary Hoteleria Inmobiliaria S.A de C.V has since been operating these locations in exchange for a fee that it pays to us which is partially based on its sales and passenger traffic in the airport.

As of February 2003, we charge taxis and passenger vans an access fee of Ps. 13.64, and buses an access fee of Ps. 22.73, upon entering the airport.

#### Merida International Airport

Merida International Airport serves the inland city of Merida, which has a population of approximately 705,055, and surrounding areas in the state of Yucatan. Merida International Airport was our second ranking airport during 2003 in terms of passenger traffic and contribution to revenues. During 2001, 2002 and 2003, Merida International Airport served 919,365, 849,610 and 899,620 passengers, respectively, the substantial majority of which were domestic. The airport's most important point of origin and destination is Mexico City.

Merida International Airport attracts a mix of both business travelers and tourists. The city of Merida is an established urban area with numerous small and medium-sized businesses. The city is approximately 120 kilometers (75 miles) by highway from Chichen Itza, and approximately 80 kilometers (50 miles) from Uxmal, pre-Columbian archeological sites that attract a significant number of tourists. Because the airport's passengers are predominantly domestic, its passenger traffic and results of operations are affected by Mexican economic conditions. For example, the airport's passenger traffic decreased by 26.4% in 1995 as compared to the prior year following the December 1994 peso devaluation.

The airport has two runways, one with a length of 3,200 meters (2.0 miles) and another with a length of 2,300 meters (1.4 miles). The airport has one main terminal, with four gates accessible by passenger walkways and six remote boarding positions. In 1996, one of the airport's two runways was refurbished.

Prior to June 30, 1999, certain auxiliary facilities at Merida International Airport, including a general aviation terminal, a first-class lounge, a tourism office and other commercial areas, were operated by Aeropremier de Mexico, S.A. de C.V. As of June 30, 1999, we acquired the rights

to operate these businesses. For a description of this business, see "--Acquisition of Businesses" above. As part of our commercial strategy, we remodeled the entire 7,110 square meter (76,400 square foot) terminal, of which 962 square meters (approximately 10,350 square feet) are for commercial use. This remodeled area was opened in December 2001.

In 2001, 2002 and 2003, approximately 18,826, 20,144 and 18,829 metric tons of cargo, respectively, were transported through Merida International Airport, making it our leading airport in terms of cargo volume. In 2001, 2002 and 2003, Merida represented approximately 46.3%, 50.1% and 47.9%, respectively, of our total cargo volume. We have considered opportunities for developing the Merida cargo facilities, but we have no plans to pursue such opportunities at this time.

There is currently one business operating under a long-term lease in Merida International Airport with Grupo de Desarrollo del Sureste, S.A. de C.V. ("GDS"), which will terminate on January 1, 2009. This lease was entered into in 1994 by our predecessor and allowed GDS to construct and develop the airport's air cargo terminal. We are in negotiations with our predecessor regarding the possible assignment of the lease to us. Our concession provides us the right to collect landing charges and parking charges for aircraft using the cargo terminal.

In September 2002, hurricane Isidore caused significant damages to the state of Yucatan and to our airport in Merida, which was closed to commercial traffic for 27 hours, resulting in the cancellation of 100 flights. Additionally, the airport sustained property damage of Ps. 9.3 million, of which Ps. 5.6 million was covered by insurance.

#### Cozumel International Airport

Cozumel International Airport is located on the island of Cozumel in the state of Quintana Roo. The airport primarily serves foreign tourists. During 2001, 2002 and 2003, 565,165, 445,886 and 455,831 passengers, respectively, traveled through Cozumel International Airport, most of which were international passengers. Cozumel is the most frequently visited destination for cruise ships in Mexico, hosting approximately 2.7 million cruise ship visitors in 2003. Cozumel has one of the world's largest coral reserves, and many passengers traveling to Cozumel are divers. The airport's most important points of origin and destination are Houston, Dallas and Cancun. The island of Cozumel has a population of approximately 60,091.

As part of our commercial strategy, at Cozumel International Airport's main terminal we completed an expansion of 2,218 square meters (approximately 23,900 square feet) and a remodeling of 1,132 square meters (approximately 12,200 square feet), giving us a main terminal building with a total of 7,258 square meters (78,100 square feet) of which 610 square meters (6,600 square feet) are for commercial use. The remodeled commercial center was inaugurated on December 27, 2001.

The airport has a commercial runway with a length of 2,700 meters (1.7 miles). The airport has one main commercial terminal, with four remote boarding positions. The airport also has a general aviation building for small private aircraft.

#### Villahermosa International Airport

Villahermosa International Airport is located in the state of Tabasco, approximately 75 kilometers (46.9 miles) from Palenque, a Mayan archeological site. The city of Villahermosa has a population of approximately 330,846. Oil exploration is the principal business activity in the Villahermosa area, and most of the airport's passengers are businesspeople working in the oil industry.

During 2001, 2002 and 2003, the airport served 533,248, 499,117 and 599,729 passengers, respectively, substantially all of which arrived on domestic flights. The airport's most important point of origin and destination is Mexico City.

The airport has one runway with a length of 2,200 meters (1.4 miles). The airport's main terminal has four remote parking positions.

#### Oaxaca International Airport

Oaxaca International Airport serves the city of Oaxaca, which is the capital of the state of Oaxaca. The city of Oaxaca, located 390 kilometers (243.8 miles) from the Pacific coast, has a population of approximately 256,130. The airport served 440,187, 433,296 and 461,013 passengers in 2001, 2002 and 2003, respectively, most of which were domestic. The airport's passengers are primarily Mexican businesspeople and tourists, thus its passenger volume and results of operations are dependent on Mexican economic conditions. Oaxaca is a picturesque colonial city located near several tourist attractions, including the archeological ruins of Monte Alban and Mitla. The airport's most important point of origin and destination is Mexico City.

The airport has one runway with a length of 2,450 meters (1.5 miles) and a main terminal building with five remote positions. The airport also includes a general aviation building for small private airplanes with 20 positions.

#### Veracruz International Airport

Veracruz International Airport is located in the city of Veracruz along the Gulf of Mexico. The city of Veracruz has a population of approximately 457,377. Veracruz is the busiest port in Mexico in terms of commercial traffic, and is the location of the country's largest container terminal. According to the Mexican Bureau of Ports, Veracruz accounted for 22.2% of all waterborne cargo handled by Mexican ports in 2003. In 2001, 2002 and 2003, the airport served 503,465, 479,574 and 514,587 passengers, respectively. Because the airport's passengers are primarily Mexican business people, its passenger volume and results of operations are dependent on Mexican economic conditions. The airport's most important point of origin and destination is Mexico City.

The airport has two perpendicular runways, one with a length of 2,400 meters (1.5 miles) and another with a length of 1,523 meters (1.0 miles). The airport has one main commercial terminal. The airport also has a general aviation building for small private aircraft with 23 positions.

Due to Veracruz's proximity to Mexico City, we believe Veracruz could be an attractive location for developing cargo activities. In January 2002, we entered into a contract with Alianz Aviation Group to allow Alianz to operate a cargo hub at Veracruz.

A commercial airport is also being constructed in Jalapa, in the state of Veracruz, which is expected to be open for commercial flights in the near future. Any competition from other such airports could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

#### Huatulco International Airport

Huatulco International Airport serves the Huatulco resort area in the state of Oaxaca on Mexico's Pacific coast. Huatulco has a population of approximately 28,327. Huatulco was developed as a tourist resort in the late 1980s. The airport served 317,301, 268,354 and 259,386 passengers in 2001, 2002 and 2003, respectively, most of which were domestic. The substantial majority of the airport's passengers are international tourists, although many arrive

through domestic flights and are thus classified as domestic. The airport's most important points of origin and destination are Mexico City, Monterrey and Oaxaca.

The airport has one runway with a length of 2,700 meters (1.7 miles). The airport's main terminal has three remote positions. The airport has a general aviation building for small private airplanes with 8 positions.

We intend to capitalize on the seclusion and natural beauty of the area and its numerous resorts by promoting flights to  $Huatulco\ from\ our\ other$  airports.

# Tapachula International Airport

Tapachula International Airport serves the city of Tapachula, which has a population of approximately 271,674, and the state of Chiapas. In 2001, 2002 and 2003, the airport served 190,375, 176,793 and 184,750 passengers, respectively, substantially all of which were domestic. The airport's passenger volume and results of operations are dependent on Mexican economic conditions since virtually all of its passengers are domestic. The airport's most important point of origin and destination is Mexico City.

The airport has one runway with a length of 2,000 meters (1.3 miles). The airport has one main terminal with three remote boarding positions. The airport also has a general aviation building for small private aircraft with 24 boarding positions.

#### Minatitlan Airport

Minatitlan Airport is located near the Gulf of Mexico, 13 kilometers (8.1 miles) from the city of Coatzacoalcos, 11 kilometers (6.9 miles) from the city of Cosoleacaque and 26 kilometers (16.2 miles) from the city of Minatitlan. The metropolitan area comprised of these three cities has a population of approximately 153,001. In 2001, 2002 and 2003, the airport served 131,229, 126,009 and 130,900 passengers, respectively. In recent years, the airport's passenger traffic has decreased due to lower oil and petrochemical industry activity in Coatzacoalcos and Cosoleacaque. The airport's passengers are principally domestic business people drawn by the area's petrochemical and agriculture businesses. Because the airport's passengers are primarily Mexican travelers, its passenger volume and results of operations are dependent on Mexican economic conditions. The airport's most important point of origin and destination is Mexico City.

The airport has one runway with a length of 2,100 meters (1.3 miles). The airport's main terminal has three remote parking positions. The airport has a general aviation building for small private airplanes with 30 boarding positions.

#### Principal Air Traffic Customers

As of December 31, 2003, 45 international airlines and 17 Mexican airlines operated flights at our nine airports (including airlines operating in the charter terminal in Cancun International Airport and airlines operating solely on a code share basis). A code share arrangement means that airlines that do not fly their own aircraft into our airports arrange to share the passenger space in another airline's aircraft, with both airlines booking passengers through the same code.

Mexicana operates the most flights at our airports, with Aeromexico providing the second highest number of flights. The following chart sets forth total revenues from Mexicana and Aeromexico for 2001, 2002 and 2003 for passenger fees, airport and commercial services (including VIP lounges) and

security.

Revenues from Principal Air Traffic Customers by Category of Service (in thousands)

	2001	2002	2003	2001
Passenger Fees	Ps. 149,053.6	Ps. 133,658.8	Ps. 144,719.8	Ps. 94,661.3
Airport and Commercial Services (including VIP lounges)	36,290.4	30,192.3	24,903.5	28,365.2
Security	2,951.7	2,858.0	2,937.3	1,874.5
Total	188,295.7	166,709.1	172,560.6	124,901.0

Airlines and other entities controlled by Cintra, S.A. de C.V., a holding company of the Mexican government, collectively accounted for approximately 29.5%, 26.7% and 22.6% of the revenues generated by our airports in 2001, 2002 and 2003, respectively. Aeromexico and Mexicana are both owned by Cintra, S.A. de C.V. The Mexican government directly owns approximately 10% of the capital stock of Cintra, S.A. de C.V., and approximately 36% of the capital stock of Cintra, S.A. de C.V. is owned by the Institution for the Protection of Bank Savings, a decentralized entity of the Mexican federal government. Cintra, S.A. de C.V. also controls several other airlines operating in our airports, including Aerocaribe, Aerocozumel, Aerolitoral, as well as the largest provider of baggage and ramp handling services at our airports, SEAT. After a period of study, the Mexican Congress has approved the separate privatization of several of these Cintra-controlled companies through a competitive bidding process. No date has yet been set for this sale process. The Institution for the Protection of Bank Savings is required by law to transfer all holdings, including its shares of Cintra, S.A. de C.V. and the Mexican government has announced that it intends to sell its shares of Cintra, S.A. de C.V. Further information regarding Cintra, S.A. de C.V.-controlled entities may be found in "Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions--Related Party Transactions--Agreements with Entities Controlled by the Mexican Government."

Among foreign airlines, American Airlines and Continental Airlines operate the greatest number of flights to and from our airports. In 2001, American Airlines and Continental Airlines accounted for 5.0% and 6.0%, respectively, of our total revenues. In 2002, American Airlines and Continental Airlines accounted for 6.4% and 5.6%, respectively, of our revenues. In 2003, American Airlines and Continental Airlines accounted for 6.7% and 5.6%, respectively, of our revenues.

The following table sets forth our principal air traffic customers based on the percentage of revenues they represented for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003:

Principal Air Traffic Customers

Percentage of ASUR Revenu

Year ended December 31,

	2001	2002
Customer		
Compania Mexicana de Aviacion, S.A. de C.V.* (Mexicana)	14.6%	13.0%
American Airlines	5.0%	6.4%
Aerovias de Mexico, S.A. de C.V. (Aeromexico)	10.0%	8.9%
Continental Airlines	6.0%	5.6%
Aerovias Caribe, S.A. de C.V.* (Aerocaribe)	4.5%	4.5%
Aviation Support S.A. de C.V	0.7%	4.0%
Aviacion Comercial Especializada S.A. de C.V	4.8%	2.8%
Comercializadora de Productos en Aeropuertos	0.3%	2.9%
Consorcio Aviacsa, S.A. de C.V	3.4%	3.5%
Lineas Aereas Allegro, S.A. de C.V	4.3%	3.5%
Air Routing International Corporation	5.0%	2.7%
American Trans Air	2.7%	2.5%
Petroservicios de Mexico, S.A. de C.V	2.7%	1.1%
Other	36.0%	38.6%
Total	100.0%	100.0%

\_\_\_\_\_

Aerolineas Azteca is a new airline that has received a passenger air carrier license from the Ministry of Communications and Transportation. Currently, Aerolineas Azteca provides regularly scheduled service to our Cancun airport and may operate at our other airports in the near future.

#### Seasonality

Our business is subject to seasonal fluctuations. In general, demand for air travel is typically higher during the summer months and during the winter holiday season, particularly in international markets, because there is more vacation travel during these periods. Our results of operations generally reflect this seasonality, but have also been impacted by numerous other factors that are not necessarily seasonal, including economic conditions, war or threat of war, weather, air traffic control delays and general economic conditions, as well as the other factors discussed above. As a result, our operating results for a quarterly period are not necessarily indicative of operating results for an entire year, and historical operating results are not necessarily indicative of future operating results.

### Competition

Since our business is substantially dependent on international tourists, our principal competition is from competing tourist destinations. We believe that the main competitors to Cancun are vacation destinations in Mexico, such as Acapulco, Puerto Vallarta and Los Cabos, and elsewhere such as Puerto Rico, Florida, Cuba, Jamaica, the Dominican Republic and other Caribbean island and Central American resorts. In March 2000, a new airport opened in Chichen Itza. This airport is operated by the former operator of the charter terminal in Cancun International Airport.

The relative attractiveness of the locations we serve is dependent on many factors, some of which are beyond our control. These factors include

<sup>\*</sup>Denotes airline controlled by the Mexican holding company Cintra, S.A. de C.V.

promotional activities and pricing policies of hotel and resort operators, weather conditions, natural disasters (such as hurricanes) and the development of new resorts that may be considered more attractive. There can be no assurance that the locations we serve will continue to attract the same level of passenger traffic in the future.

Excluding Cancun International Airport, our airports generally do not face significant competition. The Mexican Airport and Auxiliary Services Agency currently operates seven small airports in Mexico's southeast region. The Mexican Airport and Auxiliary Services Agency estimates that its airports collectively account for less than 10% of the passengers traffic in the region.

### Regulatory Framework

#### Sources of Regulation

The following are the principal laws, regulations and instruments that govern our business and the operation of our airports:

- o the Mexican Airport Law, enacted December 22, 1995,
- o the regulations to the Mexican Airport Law, enacted February 17, 2000,
- o the Mexican Communications Law, enacted February 19, 1940,
- o the Mexican Civil Aviation Law, enacted May 12, 1995,
- o the Mexican Federal Duties Law, enacted December 31, 1981,
- o the Mexican National Assets Law, enacted May 20, 2004, and
- o the concessions that entitle our subsidiaries to operate our nine airports, which were granted June 29, 1998 and amended on March 19, 1999.

The Mexican Airport Law and the regulations to the Mexican Airport Law establish the general framework regulating the construction, operation, maintenance and development of Mexican airport facilities. The Mexican Airport Law's stated intent is to promote the expansion, development and modernization of Mexico's airport infrastructure by encouraging investment and competition.

Under the Mexican Airport Law, a concession granted by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation is required to construct, operate, maintain or develop a public service airport in Mexico. A concession generally must be granted pursuant to a public bidding process, except for: (i) concessions granted to (a) entities considered part of "the federal public administration" as defined under Mexican law and (b) private companies whose principal stockholder may be a state or municipal government; (ii) concessions granted to operators of private airports (who have operated privately for five or more years) wishing to begin operating their facilities as public service airports; and (iii) complementary concessions granted to existing concession holders. Complementary concessions may be granted only under certain limited circumstances, such as where an existing concession holder can demonstrate, among other things, that the award of the complementary concession is necessary to satisfy passenger demand. On June 29, 1998, the Ministry of Communications and Transportation granted nine concessions to operate, maintain and develop the nine principal airports in Mexico's southeast region to our subsidiaries. Because our subsidiaries were considered entities of the federal public administration at the time the concessions were granted, the concessions were awarded without a public bidding process. Each of our concessions was amended on March 19, 1999 in order, among other things, to incorporate each airport's

maximum rates and certain other terms as part of the concession.

On May 20, 2004 a new Mexican National Assets Law was adopted and published in the Diario Oficial de la Federacion which, among other items, establishes regulations relating to concessions on real property held in the public domain, including the airports that we operate. The new Mexican National Assets Law requires concessionaires of real property held in the public domain that are used for administrative or other non-public purposes to pay a tax. In addition, the new Mexican National Assets Law establishes new grounds for revocation of concessions for failure to pay this tax.

The constitutionality of the new Mexican National Assets Law has not been challenged in Mexico's court system. If challenged in the future, a court could declare the tax void or determine an alternate amount. We do not expect that this new tax will materially affect our results of operations or financial condition.

On February 17, 2000 the regulations to the Mexican Airport Law were issued. Although we believe we are currently complying with the principal requirements of the Mexican Airport Law and its regulations, we are not in compliance with certain requirements under the regulations. These violations could result in fines or other sanctions being assessed by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation, and are among the violations that could result in termination of a concession if they occur three or more times.

Role of the Ministry of Communications and Transportation

The Ministry of Communications and Transportation is the principal regulator of airports in Mexico and is authorized by the Mexican Airport Law to perform the following functions:

- o grant, modify and revoke concessions for the operation of airports,
- o establish air transit rules and rules regulating take-off and landing schedules through the Mexican air traffic control authority,
- o take all necessary action to create an efficient, competitive and non-discriminatory market for airport-related services,
- o approve any transaction or transactions that directly or indirectly may result in a change of control of a concession holder,
- o approve the master development plans prepared by each concession holder every five years,
- o determine each airport's maximum rates,
- o approve any agreements entered into between a concession holder and a third party providing airport or complementary services at its airport,
- o establish safety regulations,
- o monitor airport facilities to determine their compliance with the Mexican Airport Law, other applicable laws and the terms of the concessions, and
- o impose penalties for failure to observe and perform the rules under the Mexican Airport Law, the Mexican Airport Law

regulations and the concessions.

In addition, under the Mexican Organic Law of the Federal Public Administration, the Mexican Airport Law and the Mexican Civil Aviation Law, the Ministry of Communications and Transportation is required to provide air traffic control, radio assistance and aeronautical communications at Mexico's airports. The Ministry of Communications and Transportation provides these services through SENEAM, the Mexican air traffic control authority, which is a division of the Ministry of Communications and Transportation. Since 1978, the Mexican air traffic control authority has provided air traffic control for Mexico's airports.

New Regulatory Agency

The Ministry of Communications and Transportation has announced that it intends to establish a new regulatory agency. This new agency is expected to be authorized to monitor our activities and those of the other new airport groups, to enforce applicable regulations and to propose amendments to concessions, to set maximum rates, to resolve disputes between concession holders and airport users (such as airlines) and to collect and distribute information relating to the airport sector. No date for the establishment of this new regulatory agency has been publicly announced.

Scope of Concessions and General Obligations of Concession Holders

As authorized under the Mexican Airport Law, each of the concessions held by our subsidiaries is for an initial 50-year term. This initial term of each of our concessions may be renewed in one or more terms for up to an additional 50 years, subject to the concession holder's acceptance of any new conditions imposed by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation and to its compliance with the terms of its concession.

The concessions held by our subsidiary concession holders allow the relevant concession holder, during the term of the concession, to: (i) operate, maintain and develop its airport and carry out any necessary construction in order to render airport, complementary and commercial services as provided under the Mexican Airport Law and the Mexican Airport Law regulations; and (ii) use and develop the assets that comprise the airport that is the subject of the concession (consisting of the airport's real estate and improvements but excluding assets used in connection with fuel supply and storage). These assets are government-owned assets, subject to the Mexican National Assets Law. Upon expiration of a concession, these assets automatically revert to the Mexican government.

Substantially all of contracts entered into by the Mexican Airport and Auxiliary Services Agency with respect to each of our airports have been assigned to the relevant concession holder for each airport. As part of this assignment, each concession holder agreed to indemnify the Mexican Airport and Auxiliary Services Agency for any loss suffered by the Mexican Airport and Auxiliary Services Agency due to the concession holder's breach of its obligations under an assigned agreement.

Under the Mexican Federal Duties Law, each of our subsidiary concession holders is required to pay the Mexican government a concession fee based on its gross annual revenues from the use of public domain assets pursuant to the terms of its concession. Currently, this concession fee is set at a rate of 5% and may be revised annually by the Mexican Congress. Our concessions provide that we may request an amendment of our maximum rates if there is a change in this concession fee.

Concession holders are required to provide airport security. If public order or national security is endangered, the competent federal authorities are

authorized to act to protect the safety of aircraft, passengers, cargo, mail, installations and equipment.

Each concession holder and any third party providing services at an airport is required to carry specified insurance in amounts and covering specified risks, such as damage to persons and property at the airport, in each case as specified by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation. To date the Ministry of Communications and Transportation has not specified the required amounts of insurance. We cannot assure you that we will not be required to obtain additional insurance once these amounts are specified.

ASUR and our subsidiary concession holders are jointly and severally liable to the Ministry of Communications and Transportation for the performance of all obligations under the concessions held by our subsidiaries. Each of our subsidiary concession holders is responsible for the performance of the obligations set forth in its concession, including the obligations arising from third-party contracts, as well as for any damages to the Mexican government-owned assets which they use and to third-party airport users. In the event of a breach of one concession, the Ministry of Communications and Transportation is authorized to revoke all of the concessions held by our subsidiaries.

The shares of a concession holder and the rights under a concession may be subject to a lien only with the approval of the Ministry of Communications and Transportation. No agreement documenting liens approved by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation may allow the beneficiary of a pledge to become a concession holder under any circumstances.

A concession holder may not assign any of its rights or obligations under its concession without the authorization of the Ministry of Communications and Transportation. The Ministry of Communications and Transportation is authorized to consent to an assignment only if the proposed assignee satisfies the requirements to be a concession holder under the Mexican Airport Law, undertakes to comply with the obligations under the relevant concession and agrees to any other conditions that the Ministry may require.

Classification of Services Provided at Airports

The Mexican Airport Law and the Mexican Airport Law regulations classify the services that may be rendered at an airport into the following three categories:

- Airport Services. Airport services may be rendered only by the holder of a concession or a third party that has entered into an agreement with the concession holder to provide such services. These services include:—the use of airport runways, taxiways and aprons for landing, aircraft parking and departure,—the use of hangars, passenger walkways, transport buses and automobile parking facilities,—the provision of airport security services, rescue and firefighting services, ground traffic control, lighting and visual aids,—the general use of terminal space and other infrastructure by aircraft, passengers and cargo, and—the provision of access to an airport to third parties providing complementary services (as defined in the Mexican Airport Law) and third parties providing permanent ground transport services (such as taxis).
- o Complementary Services. Complementary services may be rendered by an airline, by the airport operator or by a third party under agreements with airlines or the airport operator. These services include: --ramp and handling services, --passenger check-in, and --aircraft security, catering, cleaning,

maintenance, repair and fuel supply and related activities that provide support to air carriers.

o Commercial Services. Commercial services involve services that are not considered essential to the operation of an airport or aircraft, and include: —the leasing of space to retailers, restaurants and banks, and —advertising.

Third parties rendering airport, complementary or commercial services are required to do so pursuant to a written agreement with the relevant concession holder. All agreements relating to airport or complementary services are required to be approved by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation. The Mexican Airport Law provides that the concession holder is jointly liable with these third parties for compliance with the terms of the relevant concession with respect to the services provided by such third parties. All third-party service providers of complementary services are required to be corporations incorporated under Mexican law.

Airport and complementary services are required to be provided to all users in a uniform and regular manner, without discrimination as to quality, access or price. Concession holders are required to provide airport and complementary services on a priority basis to military aircraft, disaster support aircraft and aircraft experiencing emergencies. Airport and complementary services are required to be provided at no cost to military aircraft and aircraft performing national security activities.

In the event of force majeure, the Ministry of Communications and Transportation may impose additional regulations governing the provision of services at airports, but only to the extent necessary to address the force majeure event. The Mexican Airport Law allows the airport administrator appointed by a concession holder to suspend the provision of airport services in the event of force majeure.

A concession holder is also required to take all necessary measures to create a competitive market for complementary services. Due to space, efficiency and safety considerations, a concession holder may limit the number of providers of complementary services in its airport. If the number of complementary service providers must be limited due to these considerations, contracts for the provision of complementary services must be awarded through a competitive bidding process.

Master Development Plans

Concession holders are also required to submit to the Ministry of Communications and Transportation a master development plan describing, among other things, the concession holder's construction and maintenance plans.

Each master development plan is for a fifteen-year period and is required to be updated every five years and resubmitted for approval to the Ministry of Communications and Transportation. Upon such approval, the master development plan is deemed to constitute a part of the relevant concession. Any major construction, renovation or expansion of an airport may only be made pursuant to a concession holder's master development plan or upon approval by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation. Information required to be presented in the master development plan includes:

- o airport growth and development expectancies,
- o 15-year projections for air traffic demand (including passenger, cargo and operations),
- o construction, conservation, maintenance, expansion and

modernization programs for infrastructure, facilities and equipment,  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) \left( 1\right$ 

- o five-year detailed investment program and planned major investments for the following ten years,
- o probable sources of financing,
- o descriptive airport plans, and
- o environmental protection measures.

The concessions require the concession holder to engage recognized independent consultants to conduct polls among airport users with respect to current and expected quality standards, and to prepare air traffic projections and investment requirements. The concession holder must submit a draft of the master development plan to airport users for their review and comments. Further, the concession holder must submit the master development plan to the Ministry of Communications and Transportation prior to the expiration of the five-year term. The Ministry of Communications and Transportation may request additional information or clarification as well as seek further comments from airport users.

Changes to a master development plan and investment program require the approval of the Ministry of Communications and Transportation, except for emergency repairs and minor works that do not adversely affect an airport's operations.

On December 30, 2003, the Ministry of Communications and Transportation approved our current master development plans. The current terms of the updated master development plans went into effect on January 1, 2004, and will be in effect until December 31, 2008.

The following table sets forth our committed investments for each airport pursuant to the terms of our current master development plans for the periods presented.

Committed Investments

						Year	ended I 	December 31 
		2004		2005		2006		2007
						(thousands	of pe	sos(1))
Cancun	Ps.	212,185	Ps.	392,643	Ps.	87,045	Ps.	175 <b>,</b> 354
Merida		7,142		43,334		13,379		15,606
Cozumel		7,171		15 <b>,</b> 676		659		5 <b>,</b> 138
Villahermosa		16,506		43,760		22,662		18,322
Oaxaca		4,436		4,513		2,725		3 <b>,</b> 957
Veracruz		16,175		18 <b>,</b> 971		773		1,738
Huatulco		12,726		4,519		4,959		7,760
Tapachula		12,771		18 <b>,</b> 376		11,898		10,763
Minatitlan		30,375		40,604		3,478		6,062
Total	Ps.	319,487	Ps.	582 <b>,</b> 396	Ps.	147,578	Ps.	244,700
	=====		=====		=====		=====	

-----

(1) Expressed in adjusted pesos as of December 31, 2003 based on the Mexican construction price index in accordance with the terms of our master development plan.

#### Price Regulation

The Mexican Airport Law provides that the Ministry of Communications and Transportation may establish price regulations for services for which the Antitrust Commission determines that a competitive market does not exist. On March 9, 1999, the Antitrust Commission issued a ruling stating that competitive markets generally do not exist for airport services and airport access provided to third parties rendering complementary services. This ruling authorized the Ministry of Communications and Transportation to establish regulations governing the prices that may be charged for airport services and access fees that may be charged to providers of complementary services in our airports. On March 19, 1999, a new regulation, the Rate Regulation, was incorporated within the terms of each of our concessions. The Rate Regulation, which became effective May 1, 1999, establishes the annual maximum rates for each of our concession holders, which is the maximum amount of revenue per work load unit (one passenger or 100 kilograms (220 pounds) of cargo) in a given year that the concession holder may earn at its airports from all regulated revenue sources.

### Regulated Revenues

The Rate Regulation establishes a "dual-till" system of price regulation under which certain of our revenues, such as passenger charges, landing charges, aircraft parking charges and access fees from third parties providing complementary services at our airports, are regulated, while the revenues that we earn from commercial activities in our terminals, such as the leasing of space to duty-free stores, retailers, restaurants, car rental companies and banks, are not regulated.

The Rate Regulation provides that the following sources of revenues are regulated under this "dual-till" system:

- o revenues from airport services (as defined under the Mexican Airport Law), other than automobile parking, and
- o access fees earned from third parties providing complementary services, other than those related to the establishment of administrative quarters that the Ministry of Communications and Transportation determines to be non-essential.

All other sources of revenues at our airports are not regulated. Approximately 90.8%, 86.1% and 84.0% of our revenues in 2001, 2002 and 2003, respectively, were derived from regulated sources of revenue.

Each concession holder is entitled to determine the prices charged for each regulated service and is required to register such prices with the Ministry of Communications and Transportation. Once registered, those prices are deemed part of its concession, and may only be changed every six months or earlier if there has been a cumulative increase of at least 5% in the Mexican producer price index (excluding petroleum) as published by the Mexican Central Bank since the date of the last adjustment and in other specific circumstances. See "--Special Adjustments to Maximum Rates."

### Current Maximum Rates

Each airport's maximum rates from January 1, 2004 to December 31, 2008 were set by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation in December 2003.

The following table sets forth the maximum rates for each of our airports for the periods indicated. These maximum rates are subject to adjustment only under the limited circumstances described below under "Special Adjustments to Maximum Rates."

Maximum Rates(1)(2)
Year ended December 31,

		2004		2005		2006		2007	
Cancun	Ps.	105.77	Ps.	104.97	Ps	104.19	Ps.	103.40	Ps.
Merida		79.71		79.12		78.52		77.94	
Cozumel		113.48		112.63		111.78		110.95	
Villahermosa		92.35		91.65		90.97		90.28	
Oaxaca		97.37		96.63		95.91		95.19	
Veracruz		82.71		82.08		81.46		80.86	
Huatulco		93.55		92.85		92.15		91.46	
Tapachula		116.33		115.46		114.60		113.74	
Minatitlan		99.15		98.41		97.67		96.93	

-----

- (1) Expressed in adjusted pesos as of December 31, 2003 based on the Mexican producer price index (excluding petroleum).
- Our concessions provide that each airport's maximum rate may be adjusted annually to take account of projected improvements in efficiency. For the five-year period ending December 31, 2008, the maximum rates applicable to our airports reflect a projected annual efficiency improvement of .75%.

Methodology For Determining Future Maximum Rates

The Rate Regulation provides that each airport's annual maximum rates are to be determined in five-year intervals based on the following variables:

- o Projections for the fifteen-year period of work load units (each of which is equivalent to one passenger or 100 kilograms (220 pounds) of cargo), operating costs and expenses (excluding amortization and depreciation) related to services subject to price regulation.
- o Projections for the fifteen-year period of capital expenditures related to regulated services, based on air traffic forecasts and quality of standards for services to be derived from the master development plans.
- o Reference values, which were established in the concessions and are designed to reflect the net present value of the regulated revenues minus the corresponding regulated operating costs and expenses (excluding amortization and depreciation), and capital expenditures related to the provision of regulated services plus a terminal value.
- o A discount rate to be determined by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation. The concessions provide that the discount rate shall reflect the cost of capital to

Mexican and international companies in the airport industry (on a pre-tax basis), as well as Mexican economic conditions. The concessions provide that the discount rate shall be at least equal to the average yield of long-term Mexican government debt securities quoted in the international markets during the prior 24 months plus a risk premium to be determined by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation based on the inherent risk of the airport business in Mexico.

Our concessions specify a discounted cash flow formula to be used to determine the maximum rates that, given the projected pre-tax earnings, capital expenditures and discount rate, would result in a net present value equal to the reference values established in connection with the last determination of maximum rates.

Our concessions provide that each airport's maximum rate may be adjusted annually to take account of projected improvements in efficiency. For the five-year period ending December 31, 2008, the maximum rates applicable to our airports reflect a projected annual efficiency improvement of .75%.

The concessions provide that each airport's reference values, discount rate and the other variables used in calculating the maximum rates are not guarantees and do not in any manner represent an undertaking by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation or the Mexican government as to the performance of any concession holder. To the extent that the revenues from services subject to price regulation in any period are less than an airport's maximum rate multiplied by the work load units processed for such period, no adjustment will be made to compensate for this shortfall.

To the extent that such aggregate revenues per work load unit exceed the relevant maximum rate, the Ministry of Communications and Transportation may proportionately reduce the maximum rate in the immediately subsequent year and assess penalties equivalent to 1,000 to 50,000 times the general minimum wage in the Federal District (Mexico City). On December 31, 2003, the daily minimum wage in Mexico City was Ps. 43.65. As a result, the maximum penalty at such date could have been Ps. 2.2 million (U.S.\$194,221). In the event that a concession holder fails to comply with certain terms of its concession, or violates certain other terms of its concession after having been sanctioned at least three times for violation of that concession, the Ministry of Communications and Transportation is entitled to revoke its concession. We would face similar sanctions for any violations of the Mexican Airport Law or its regulations. A full discussion of circumstances which might lead to a revocation of a concession may be found below at "Penalties and Termination and Revocation of Concessions and Concession Assets."

Currently, our calculation of work load units (one passenger or 100 kilograms (220 pounds) of cargo does not include transit passengers. There is a possibility that in the future our work load units may include transit passengers and the Ministry of Communications and Transportation will decrease our maximum rates to reflect this higher passenger base. Although there can be no assurance, we do not expect this change to occur in the short term or have a material adverse effect on our revenues if and when it happens.

Special Adjustments to Maximum Rates

Once determined, each airport's maximum rates are subject to special adjustment only under the following circumstances:

O Change in law or natural disasters. A concession holder may request an adjustment in its maximum rates if a change in law with respect to quality standards or safety and environmental

protection results in operating costs or capital expenditures that were not contemplated when its maximum rates were determined. In addition, a concession holder may also request an adjustment in its maximum rates if a natural disaster affects demand or requires unanticipated capital expenditures. There can be no assurance that any request on these grounds would be approved.

- Macroeconomic conditions. A concession holder may also request an adjustment in its maximum rates if, as a result of a decrease of at least 5% in Mexican gross domestic product in a 12-month period, the work load units processed in the concession holder's airport are less than that projected when its maximum rates were determined. To grant an adjustment under these circumstances, the Ministry of Communications and Transportation must have already allowed the concession holder to decrease its projected capital improvements as a result of the decline in passenger traffic volume. There can be no assurance that any request on these grounds would be approved.
- o Increase in concession fee under Mexican Federal Duties Law. An increase in duty payable by a concession holder under the Mexican Federal Duties Law entitles the concession holder to request an adjustment in its maximum rates. There can be no assurance that any request on these grounds would be approved.
- o Failure to make required investments or improvements. The Ministry of Communications and Transportation annually is required to review each concession holder's compliance with its master development plan (including the provision of services and the making of capital investments). If a concession holder fails to satisfy any of the investment commitments contained in its master development plan, the Ministry of Communications and Transportation is entitled to decrease the concession holder's maximum rates and assess penalties.
- o Excess revenues. In the event that revenues subject to price regulation per work load unit in any year exceed the applicable maximum rate, the maximum rate for the following year will be decreased to compensate airport users for overpayment in the previous year. Under these circumstances, the Ministry of Communications and Transportation is also entitled to assess penalties against the concession holder.

Ownership Commitments and Restrictions

The concessions require us to retain a 51% direct ownership interest in each of our nine concession holders throughout the term of these concessions. Any acquisition by us or one of our concession holders of any additional airport concessions or of a beneficial interest of 30% or more of another concession holder requires the consent of the Antitrust Commission. In addition, the concessions prohibit us and our concession holders, collectively or individually, from acquiring more than one concession for the operation of an airport along each of Mexico's southern and northern borders.

Air carriers are prohibited under the Mexican Airport Law from controlling or beneficially owning 5% or more of the shares of a holder of an airport concession. We, and each of our subsidiaries, are similarly restricted from owning 5% or more of the shares of any air carrier.

Foreign governments acting in a sovereign capacity are prohibited from

owning any direct or indirect equity interest in a holder of an airport concession.

Reporting, Information and Consent Requirements

Concession holders and third parties providing services at airports are required to provide the Ministry of Communications and Transportation access to all airport facilities and information relating to an airport's construction, operation, maintenance and development. Each concession holder is obligated to maintain statistical records of operations and air traffic movements in its airport and to provide the Ministry of Communications and Transportation with any information that it may request. Each concession holder is also required to publish its annual audited consolidated financial statements in a principal Mexican newspaper within the first four months of each year.

The Mexican Airport Law provides that any person or group directly or indirectly acquiring control of a concession holder is required to obtain the consent of the Ministry of Communications and Transportation to such control acquisition. For purposes of this requirement, control is deemed to be acquired in the following circumstances:

- o  $\,$  if a person acquires 35% or more of the shares of a concession holder,
- o if a person has the ability to control the outcome of meetings of the stockholders of a concession holder,
- o if a person has the ability to appoint a majority of the members of the board of directors of a concession holder, and
- o if a person by any other means acquires control of an airport.

Under the regulations to the Mexican Airport Law, any company acquiring control of a concession holder is deemed to be jointly and severally liable with the concession holder for the performance of the terms and conditions of the concession.

The Ministry of Communications and Transportation is required to be notified upon any change in a concession holder's chief executive officer, board of directors or management. A concession holder is also required to notify the Ministry of Communications and Transportation at least 90 days prior to the adoption of any amendment to its bylaws concerning the dissolution, corporate purpose, merger, transformation or spin-off of the concession holder.

Penalties and Termination and Revocation of Concessions and Concession Assets

The Mexican Airport Law provides that sanctions of up to 400,000 times the minimum daily wage in the Federal District (Mexico City) may be assessed for failures to comply with the terms of a concession. On December 31, 2003, the daily minimum wage in Mexico City was Ps. 43.65. As a result, the maximum penalty at such date could have been Ps. 17.5 million (U.S.\$1.6 million).

Under the Mexican Airport Law and the terms of the concessions, a concession may be terminated upon any of the following events:

- o expiration of its term,
- o surrender by the concession holder,
- o  $\,$  revocation of the concession by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation,

- o reversion of the Mexican government-owned assets that are the subject of the concession (principally real estate, improvements and other infrastructure),
- o inability to achieve the purpose of the concession, except in the event of force majeure, or
- o dissolution, liquidation or bankruptcy of the concession holder.

In addition, on May 20, 2004 a new Mexican National Assets Law was adopted and published in the Diario Oficial de la Federacion which, among other items, establishes regulations relating to concessions on real property held in the public domain, including the airports that we operate. The new Mexican National Assets Law requires concessionaires of real property held in the public domain that are used for administrative or other non-public purposes to pay a tax. In addition, the new Mexican National Assets Law establishes new grounds for revocation of concessions for failure to pay this tax.

Following a concession's termination, the concession holder remains liable for the performance of its obligations during the term of the concession.

Upon termination, whether as a result of expiration or revocation, the real estate and fixtures that were the subject of the concession automatically revert to the Mexican government. In addition, upon termination the Mexican federal government has a preemptive right to acquire all other assets used by the concession holder to provide services under the concession at prices determined by expert appraisers appointed by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation. Alternatively, the Mexican government may elect to lease these assets for up to five years at fair market rates as determined by expert appraisers appointed by the Mexican government and the concession holder. In the event of a discrepancy between appraisals, a third expert appraiser must be jointly appointed by the Mexican government and the concession holder. If the concession holder does not appoint an expert appraiser, or if such appraiser fails to determine a price, the determination of the appraiser appointed by the Mexican government will be conclusive. If the Mexican government chooses to lease the assets, it may thereafter purchase the assets at their fair market value, as determined by an expert appraiser jointly appointed by the Mexican government and the concession holder.

The Mexican Communications Law, however, provides that upon expiration, termination or revocation of a concession, all assets necessary to operate the airports will revert to the Mexican government, at no cost, and free of any liens or other encumbrances. There is substantial doubt as to whether the provisions of our concessions would prevail over those of the Mexican Communications Law. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that upon expiration or termination of our concessions the assets used by our subsidiary concession holders to provide services at our airports will not revert to the Mexican government, free of charge, together with government-owned assets and improvements permanently attached thereto.

A concession may be revoked by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation under certain conditions, including:

- o the failure by a concession holder to begin operating, maintaining and developing an airport pursuant to the terms established in the concession,
- o the failure by a concession holder to maintain insurance as required under the Mexican Airport Law,
- o the assignment, encumbrance, transfer or sale of a concession,

any of the rights thereunder or the assets underlying the concession in violation of the Mexican Airport Law,

- o any alteration of the nature or condition of an airport's facilities without the authorization of the Ministry of Communications and Transportation,
- o use, with a concession holder's consent or without the approval of air traffic control authorities, of an airport by any aircraft that does not comply with the requirements of the Mexican Civil Aviation Law, that has not been authorized by the Mexican air traffic control authority, or that is involved in the commission of a felony,
- o knowingly appointing a chief executive officer or board member of a concession holder that is not qualified to perform his functions under the law as a result of having violated criminal laws,
- o a violation of the safety regulations established in the Mexican Airport Law and other applicable laws,
- o a total or partial interruption of the operation of an airport or its airport or complementary services without justified cause,
- o the failure of ASUR to be the beneficial owner of at least 51% of the capital stock of its subsidiary concession holders,
- o the failure to maintain the airport's facilities,
- o the provision of unauthorized services,
- o the failure to indemnify a third party for damages caused by the provision of services by the concession holder or a third-party service provider,
- o charging prices higher than those registered with the Ministry of Communications and Transportation for regulated services or exceeding the applicable maximum rate,
- o any act or omission that impedes the ability of other service providers or authorities to carry out their functions within the airport, or
- o any other failure to comply with the Mexican Airport Law, its regulations and the terms of a concession.

The Ministry of Communications and Transportation is entitled to revoke a concession without prior notice as a result of the first six events described above. In the case of other violations, a concession may be revoked as a result of a violation only if sanctions have been imposed at least three times with respect to the same violation.

According to the Mexican National Assets Law, Mexico's national patrimony consists of private and government-owned assets of the Federation. The surface area of our airports and improvements on such space are considered government-owned assets. A concession concerning government-owned assets may be "rescued," or revert to the Mexican government prior to the concession's expiration, when considered necessary for the public interest. In exchange, the Mexican government is required to pay compensation as determined by expert appraisers. Following a declaration of "rescue," or reversion, the assets that

were subject to the concession are automatically returned to the Mexican government.

In the event of war, public disturbances or threats to national security, the Mexican government may requisition any airport, airport and complementary services as well as any other airport assets. Such government action may exist only during the duration of the emergency. Except in the case of war, the Mexican federal government is required to compensate all affected parties for any damages or losses suffered as a result of such government action. If the Mexican government and a concession holder cannot agree as to the appropriate amount of damages or losses, the amount of damages shall be determined by experts jointly appointed by both parties and the amount of losses shall be determined based on the average net income of the concession holder during the previous year.

#### Environmental Matters

Our operations are subject to Mexican federal and state laws and regulations relating to the protection of the environment. The principal environmental laws include the General Law of Ecological Balance and Environmental Protection, or the Ecological Law, which is administered by the Federal Attorney's Office for the Protection of the Environment, the enforcement arm of the Ministry of the Environment, Natural Resources and Fishing, and the Law of National Waters and its regulations, which are administered by the National Water Commission. Under the Ecological Law, regulations have been promulgated concerning air pollution, environmental impact studies, noise control and hazardous wastes. The Ecological Law also regulates vibrations, thermal energy, soil pollution and visual pollution that result from construction, although the Mexican government has not yet issued specific enforcement standards on these issues. Pursuant to the Law of National Waters, companies that discharge waste water must comply with maximum allowable contaminant levels in order to preserve water quality. The Ecological Law also provides that companies that contaminate the soil are responsible for clean-up. Promulgated pursuant to the Ecological Law, Mexican Official Norms, which are technical regulations issued by a competent regulatory authority, establish standards relating to air emissions, discharges of pollution and waste water and the handling of hazardous waste. Mexican Official Norms also regulate noise pollution. The Federal Attorney's Office for the Protection of the Environment can bring administrative, civil and criminal proceedings against companies that violate environmental laws, and it also has the power to close non-complying facilities. Every company in Mexico is required to provide the National Institute of Ecology, the regulatory arm of the Ministry of the Environment, Natural Resources and Fishing, with periodic reports regarding compliance with the Ecological Law and the regulations thereunder.

Prior to the opening of Mexico's airports to investment, the Federal Attorney's Office for the Protection of the Environment required that environmental audits be performed at each of our airports. Based on the results of these audits, our predecessor entered into agreements with this agency for each of our airports in which it undertook to make specified improvements and take other corrective actions. In connection with the transfer of the management of the southeast airports from our predecessor, we assumed the obligations under these environmental agreements. In April 1999, we entered into amended agreements with this agency revising the actions required to be taken and the schedule for completion of these actions. While we had previously reflected environmental liabilities related to these assumed obligations on our balance sheet in past years, we believe that we have satisfactorily completed the actions we were required to take. Accordingly, our balance sheets as of December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003 do not reflect any such environmental liabilities. Additionally, under the terms of our concessions, the Mexican government has agreed to indemnify us for any environmental liabilities arising prior to March 19, 1998 and for any failure by the Mexican Airport and Auxiliary Services

Agency prior to November 1, 1998 to comply with its agreements with Mexican environmental authorities. Although there can be no assurance, we believe that we are entitled to be indemnified for the amounts related to the actions our predecessor was required to perform under these agreements. For further information regarding these liabilities, see Note 13 to our financial statements.

The level of environmental regulation in Mexico has increased in recent years, and the enforcement of the law is becoming more stringent. We expect this trend to continue and to be stimulated by international agreements between Mexico and the United States. We do not expect that compliance with Mexican environmental laws or Mexican state environmental laws will have a material effect on our financial condition or results of operations. There can be no assurance, however, that environmental regulations or the enforcement thereof will not change in a manner that could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, prospects or financial condition.

The Procuraduria Federal de Proteccion Ambiental (PROFEPA) has issued "clean industry" certificates for all of our airports. These certificates certify compliance with applicable Mexican environmental law regulations.

#### Organizational Structure

The following table sets forth our consolidated subsidiaries as of December 31, 2003, including the ownership interest:

Subsidiary	Ownership Interest
Aeropuerto de Cancun, S.A. de C.V.	99.99%
Aeropuerto de Cozumel, S.A. de C.V.	99.99%
Aeropuerto de Merida, S.A. de C.V.	99.99%
Aeropuerto de Huatulco, S.A. de C.V.	99.99%
Aeropuerto de Oaxaca, S.A. de C.V.	99.99%
Aeropuerto de Veracruz, S.A. de C.V.	99.99%
Aeropuerto de Villahermosa, S.A. de C.V.	99.99%
Aeropuerto de Tapachula, S.A. de C.V.	99.99%
Aeropuerto de Minatitlan, S.A. de C.V.	99.99%
Servicios Aeroportuarios del Sureste, S.A. de C.V.	99.99%

All of our subsidiaries are organized under the laws of Mexico.

### Property, Plant, And Equipment

Pursuant to the Mexican General Law of National Assets, all real estate and fixtures in our airports are owned by the Mexican nation. Each of our concessions is scheduled to terminate in 2048, although each concession may be extended one or more times for up to an aggregate of an additional fifty years. The option to extend a concession is subject to our acceptance of any changes to such concession that may be imposed by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation and our compliance with the terms of our current concessions. Upon expiration of our concessions, these assets automatically revert to the Mexican nation, including improvements we may have made during the terms of the concessions, free and clear of any liens and/or encumbrances, and we will be required to indemnify the Mexican government for damages to these assets, except for those caused by normal wear and tear.

Our corporate headquarters are located in Mexico City, and total 971.36 square meters. We also rent two warehouses totaling 128 square meters located in Mexico City for storage.

We maintain comprehensive insurance coverage that covers the principal assets of our airports and other property, subject to customary limits, against damage due to natural disasters, accidents or similar events. We do not maintain business interruption insurance.

#### Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects

The following discussion is derived from our financial statements, which are included elsewhere in this annual report. This discussion does not include all of the information included in these financial statements. You should read these financial statements to gain a better understanding of our business and our historical results of operations.

Our financial statements have been prepared in accordance with Mexican GAAP, which differ in certain respects from U.S. GAAP. See Note 15 to our financial statements for a description of the principal differences between Mexican GAAP and U.S. GAAP as they relate to us.

Passenger Traffic Volume and Composition

To date, a substantial majority of the revenues generated from our nine airports have been earned from aeronautical services. For example, in 2001, 2002 and 2003, 84.9%, 80.7% and 78.8%, respectively, of our revenues were derived from aeronautical services and the remainder of our revenues were derived from non-aeronautical services.

Our principal source of revenues is passenger charges, which are charges collected from airlines for each passenger (other than diplomats, infants and transfer and transit passengers) departing from the airport terminals that we operate. In 2001, 2002 and 2003, passenger charges represented 73.9%, 73.9% and 77.2 % of our aeronautical services revenues and 62.7%, 59.6% and 60.8%, respectively, of our consolidated revenues. As a result, the principal factor affecting our results of operations is the number of passengers using our airports.

In recent years, the aggregate passenger traffic volume in our airports has been divided between domestic and international passengers at fairly constant levels. In 2001, 2002 and 2003, for example, approximately 59.0%, 58.3% and 58.5%, respectively, of the passengers using our airports were international and the remaining were domestic. During 2001, 2002 and 2003, 40.0%, 37.4% and 39.7% of our total revenues were derived from passenger charges collected from international passengers.

Of the international passengers traveling through our airports, a majority historically has traveled on flights originating in or departing to the United States. In 2001, 2002 and 2003, for example, approximately 40.4%, 40.4% and 40.4% of the total passengers and approximately 68.4%, 69.2% and 69.1%, respectively, of the international passengers in our airports arrived or departed on flights originating in or departing to the United States. Accordingly, our results of operations are substantially influenced by U.S. economic and other conditions, particularly trends and events affecting leisure travel and consumer spending. In addition, of the domestic passengers traveling through our airports, a majority has historically traveled on flights originating in or departing to Mexico City. In 2001, 2002 and 2003, for example, approximately 78.0%, 79.3% and 78.1%, respectively, of the domestic passengers in our airports arrives or departed on flights originating in or departing to Mexico City. Many factors affecting our passenger traffic volume and the mix of passenger traffic in our airports are beyond our control.

Classification of Revenues and Price Regulation

For financial reporting purposes, we classify our revenues into two categories: revenues from aeronautical services and revenues from non-aeronautical services. Our revenues from aeronautical services are earned from passenger charges, landing charges, aircraft parking charges, charges for airport security services and for the use of passenger walkways. Our revenues from non-aeronautical services are earned from the leasing of space in our airports to airlines, retailers and other commercial tenants, access fees collected from third parties providing complementary services at our airports and related miscellaneous sources.

On May 1, 1999, revenues from our airports became subject to a "dual-till" price regulation system. Under this system, a substantial portion of our revenues, such as revenues from passenger charges, landing charges, aircraft parking charges and access fees from third parties providing services at our airports, are regulated. Based on our classification of our revenues for financial reporting purposes, all of our revenues from aeronautical services and certain of our revenues from non-aeronautical services are regulated by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation. The system of price regulation applicable to our airports establishes an annual maximum rate in pesos for each airport, which is the maximum annual amount of revenues per work load unit (which is equal to one passenger or 100 kilograms (220 pounds) of cargo) that we may earn at that airport from services subject to price regulation. The maximum rates for our airports have been determined for each year through December 31, 2008. In 2001, 2002 and 2003, approximately 90.8%, 86.1% and 84.0%, respectively, of our total revenues and approximately 39.3%, 28.2% and 24.8%, respectively, of our revenues from non-aeronautical services were earned from regulated sources of revenues. Revenues from our leasing of space in our terminals (other than space leased to airlines and other space deemed essential to our airports by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation) are currently not regulated under this price regulation system.

The following table sets forth our revenues for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003, based on the categories of services established under the Mexican Airport Law.

	Year ended December 31,							
		2001	2002					
		Amount	(thous		of pesos, Amount	except perc Percent	_	es) Am
Regulated Revenues:								
Airport Services(1) Non-regulated Revenues: Access fees from non-permanent	Ps.	1,162,448	90.8%	Ps.	1,111,235	86.1%	Ps.	1,
ground transportation  Car parking and related access		3,269	0.3%		2,340	0.2%		
feesOther fees		13,419 987 0	1.0% 0.1% 0.0%		15,83 1,81			
Complementary Services(1)		U	0.06			0.06		ı

	=========	=====	=========	=====	
Total	Ps. 1,279,562	100.0%	Ps. 1,289,934	100.0	Ps. 1
Other Services	7,400	0.6%	6 <b>,</b> 709	0.5%	
Commercial Services	92,039	7.2%	151 <b>,</b> 997	11.8%	

-----

#### Taxation Treatment

Mexican companies are generally required to pay the greater of their income tax liability (determined at a rate of 35% for 2001 and 2002, 34% for 2003, 33% for 2004 and 32% thereafter) or their asset tax liability (determined at a rate of 1.8% of the average tax value of virtually all of their assets (including, in our case, our concessions), less the average tax value of certain liabilities (basically liabilities owed to Mexican residents excluding those with financial institutions or their intermediaries)). To the extent a company is required to pay the asset tax in any year, the portion of that tax that exceeds the company's income tax liability may be credited against the company's income tax liability in subsequent years. We are amortizing our investment in our concessions for tax purposes at rates ranging from 6% to 10%. We expect this accelerated depreciation to allow us to reduce our current income tax payments. We will continue to record a deferred tax provision in our financial statements with respect to these amounts because the amortization period for book purposes is different. Mexican companies are generally exempt from the asset tax during the first three full fiscal years following the commencement of operations (which in our case occurred on November 1, 1998). Accordingly, we were exempt from the asset tax until December 31, 2001. In 2002 and 2003, we and each of our subsidiaries paid an aggregate of Ps. 155.6 million and Ps. 150.2 million, respectively, in asset taxes. On January 1, 2000, we became subject to the mandatory employee statutory profit sharing regime established under the Mexican federal labor law. Under this regime, 10% of each unconsolidated company's annual profits (as calculated for tax purposes) must be distributed among its employees, other than its chief executive officer. ASUR was not required to pay employee statutory profit sharing in 2001, 2002 and 2003 because ASUR generated tax losses in those years.

Our results of operations reflect the accrual of a technical assistance fee to ITA under the technical assistance agreement. This fee is explained in Item 4, "Information on the Company--History and Development of the Company--Investment by ITA."

### Effects of Devaluation and Inflation

The following table sets forth, for the periods presented:

- o the percentage that the Mexican peso depreciated or appreciated against the U.S. dollar,
- o the Mexican inflation rate,
- o the U.S. inflation rate, and
- o the percentage that Mexican gross domestic product, or GDP, changed as compared to the previous period.

<sup>(1)</sup> Access fees charged to third parties providing complementary services in our airports are recorded under regulated airport services.

	Year e	31,	
	2001	2002	2003
Depreciation (appreciation) of the Mexican Peso as	(4, 0) 0	12.00	7.60
compared to the U.S. dollar(1)	(4.2)%	13.8%	7.6%
Mexican inflation rate(2)	4.4%	5.7%	4.0%
U.S. inflation rate(3)	1.6%	2.0%	1.9%
Increase (decrease) in Mexican gross domestic product(4)	(0.3)%	0.9%	1.3%

- -----
- (1) Based on changes in the rates for calculating foreign exchange liabilities, as reported by Banco de Mexico, the Mexican Central Bank, at the end of each period, which were as follows: Ps. 9.1695 per U.S. dollar as of as of December 31, 2001, Ps. 10.4393 per U.S. dollar as of December 31, 2002 and 11.2372 pesos per U.S. dollar as of December 31, 2003.
- (2) Based on changes in the Mexican consumer price index from the previous period, as reported by the Banco de Mexico. The Mexican consumer price index at year end was: 97.3543 in 2001, 102.904 in 2002 and 106.996 in 2003.
- (3) As reported by the U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Statistics.
- (4) In real terms, as reported by the Mexican National Statistical, Geographic and Information Institute (INEGI).

The general condition of the Mexican economy, the depreciation of the peso as compared to the dollar, inflation and high interest rates have in the past adversely affected, and may in the future adversely affect, our:

- o Depreciation and amortization expense. We restate our non-monetary Mexican and foreign assets to give effect to inflation. The restatement of these assets in periods of high inflation increases the carrying value of these assets in pesos, which in turn increases the related depreciation expense and risk of impairments.
- Passenger charges. Passenger charges for international passengers are currently denominated in dollars, while passenger charges for domestic passengers are denominated in pesos. Because Mexican GAAP requires Mexican companies to restate their results of operations in prior periods in constant pesos as of the most recent balance sheet date, when the rate of inflation in a period exceeds the depreciation of the peso as compared to the dollar for that period, the peso value of dollar-denominated or dollar-linked revenues in the prior period will be higher than those of the current period. This effect may occur despite the fact that the amount of such revenues in dollar terms may have been the same or greater in the current period.
- o Comprehensive financing cost. As required by Mexican GAAP, our comprehensive financing cost reflects gains or losses from foreign exchange and gains or losses from monetary position and, as a result is impacted by both inflation or devaluations.
- Maximum rates in pesos. Our tariffs for the services we provide to international flights or international passengers are denominated in U.S.

dollars, but are generally paid in Mexican pesos based on the average exchange rate for the month prior to each flight. We generally collect passenger charges from airlines 60-115 days following the date of each flight. We intend to charge prices that are as close as possible to the maximum rates that we can charge. Because we generally are entitled to adjust our specific prices only once every six months (or earlier upon a cumulative increase of 5% in the Mexican producer price index (excluding petroleum)), a depreciation of the peso as compared to the dollar, particularly late in the year, could cause us to exceed the maximum rates at one or more of our airports that could lead to the termination of one of our concessions. In the event that any one of our concessions is terminated, our other concessions may also be terminated.

Revenues from Aeronautical Services and Non-aeronautical Services

The following table sets forth our revenues from aeronautical services and non-aeronautical services for the periods presented.

#### Revenues

		Year Ended December 31,				
		2001		2002		2003
				ns of pesc		
Aeronautical Services:						
Passenger charges	Ps.	802.7	Ps.	769.4	Ps.	891.8
Landing charges		108.8		104.5		99.9
Aircraft parking charges		135.3		124.4		125.4
Airport security charges		16.6		17.1		18.0
Passenger walkway charges		23.2		25.9		20.3
Total				1,041.2		1,155.4
Non-aeronautical Services:						
Leasing of space		102.8		84.4		94.8
Access fees from catering						
Access fees from ground transport						
Other access fees						
Other		9.7		9.3		9.1
Total		193.0				
Total Revenues:	Ps.		Ps.	1,289.9	Ps.	1,466.6

Operating Results by Airport

The following table sets forth our results of operations for the periods presented.  $\$ 

Year Ended December 31,

	2001		2002	
		Airport	Operating	
			lions of pe	
Cancun:				
Revenues:				
Aeronautical services	Ps.	766.9	Ps. 7	56.9 P
Non-aeronautical services		132.0	1	75.0
Total revenues		898.9	9	31.8
Operating income		399.5	3	96.5
Merida:				
Revenues:				
Aeronautical services		80.8		73.6
Non-aeronautical services		18.8		24.1
Total revenues		99.6		97.7
Operating income		15.1		8.5
Cozumel:				
Revenues:				
Aeronautical services		50.7		38.9
Non-aeronautical services		11.1		12.5
Total revenues		61.9		51.4
Operating (loss) income		8.8		(4.9)
Villahermosa:				
Revenues:				
Aeronautical Services		46.6		42.2
Non Aeronautical Services		8.2		11.0
Total revenues		54.8		53.2
Operating (loss) Income		11.0		7.2
Other: (1)				
Revenues:				
Aeronautical services		141.5	_	29.6
Non-aeronautical services		22.9		26.2
Total revenues		164.4	1	55.8
Operating (loss) income		(19.8)	(	38.0)
Total:				
Revenues:				
Aeronautical services		086.6	•	41.2
Non-aeronautical services		193.0		48.7
Total revenues	•	279.6	•	89.9
Operating income		414.6	3	69.3

<sup>(1)</sup> Reflects the results of operations of our parent holding company, our airports located in Veracruz, Minatitlan, Oaxaca, Huatulco, Villahermosa and Tapachula and consolidation adjustments.

Summary Historical Results of Operations

The following table sets forth our consolidated results of operations for the periods presented.  $\,$ 

				led December 31,
		2001		2002
				inds of pesos)
Revenues:				
Aeronautical services	Ps.	1,086,589	Ps.	1,041,200
Non-aeronautical services		192 <b>,</b> 973		248,734
Total revenues		1,279,562		1,289,934
Operating Expenses:		(216 724)		(257 500)
Cost of services		(316,734)		(357,598)
General and administrative expenses		(109, 455)		(111,242)
Technical assistance(1)		(41,857)		(38,913)
Concession fee(2)		(63,968)		(64,459)
Depreciation and amortization		(332,941)		(348,425)
Total operating expenses		(864 <b>,</b> 955)		(920 <b>,</b> 637)
Operating income		414,607		369 <b>,</b> 297
Comprehensive Financing Cost:				
Interest income, net		85 <b>,</b> 358		48,653
Exchange (losses) gains, net		(5,700)		12,431
Loss from monetary position		(41,310)		(32,835)
Net comprehensive financing (cost) income		38,348		28,249
Income before income taxes and employees'		,		,
statutory profit sharing and extraordinary				
items		452,955		397,546
(Provision for) income taxes and employees'		102,300		031,010
statutory profit sharing		(167,820)		(159,826)
Extraordinary item		(7,352)		(8,675)
Net income		277,783		229,045
Other Operating Data (Unaudited):		211,103		229,043
		32.4%		28.6%
Operating margin(3)		3∠.4%		∠ၓ.७४
Net margin(4)		21.7%		17.8%

<sup>-----</sup>

Results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2003 compared to the year ended December 31, 2002

#### Revenues

Total revenues for 2003 were Ps. 1,466.6 million, 13.7% higher than the Ps. 1,289.9 million recorded in 2002. The increase in total revenues resulted primarily from an 11.21% increase in international passenger traffic.

<sup>(1)</sup> We are required to pay ITA a technical assistance fee based on the technical assistance agreement. This fee is described in "Information on the Company--History and Development of the Company--Investment by ITA" under Item 4.

<sup>(2)</sup> Each of our subsidiary concession holders is required to pay a concession fee to the Mexican government under the Mexican Federal Duties Law. The concession fee is currently 5% of each concession holder's gross annual revenues from the use of public domain assets pursuant to the terms of its concession.

<sup>(3)</sup> Operating income divided by total revenues, expressed as a percentage.
(4) Net income divided by total revenues, expressed as a percentage.

Our revenues from aeronautical services, net of rebates, increased 11.0% to Ps. 1,155.4 million in 2003 from Ps. 1,041.2 million in 2002, primarily as a result of the 11.21% rise in international passengers. Revenues from passenger charges increased 15.9% to Ps. 891.8 million in 2003 (77.2% of our aeronautical revenues during the period) from Ps. 769.4 million in 2002 (73.9% of our aeronautical revenues during the period). Other access fees increased 35.5% to Ps. 163.1 million in 2003 (52.4% of our non-aeronautical services during the period) from Ps. 120.3 million in 2002.

Revenues from non-aeronautical services increased 25.1% to Ps. 311.2 million in 2003 from Ps. 248.7 million in 2002, principally due to a 32.9% improvement in commercial revenues. The increase in commercial revenues was mainly due to an increase in international passengers, new commercial services in all of our airports, including new restaurants, stores and bars, and our addition of rented office space in the Cancun airport.

Our revenues from regulated sources in 2003 were Ps. 1,232.5 million, a 10.9% increase compared to Ps. 1,111.2 million in 2002, mainly due to the increase in passenger charges. During 2003, Ps. 234.1 million of our revenues were from non-regulated sources, 31.0% more than the Ps. 178.7 million of revenues from non-regulated sources in 2002. This increase was primarily due to increased revenues from parking lots and related access fees and commercial services.

Operating Expenses and Operating Income

Total operating expenses were Ps. 964.8 million in 2003, a 4.8% increase from the Ps. 920.6 million recorded as operating expenses in 2002, primarily as a result of a 13.6% increase in administrative expenses, an 18.5% increase in technical assistance fees and a 13.7% increase in concession fees, all due primarily to the increase in overall revenues. As a percentage of total revenues, operating expenses decreased to 65.8% of total revenues in 2003 from 71.4% of total revenues in 2002. The decrease in total operating expenses as a percentage of total revenues resulted primarily from the increase in overall revenues.

Cost of services increased 1.9% to Ps. 364.3 million in 2003 from Ps. 357.6 million in 2002. The increase was principally due to increases in insurance and maintenance costs.

General and administrative expenses increased 13.6% to Ps. 126.4 million in 2003 from Ps. 111.2 million in 2002. This increase was primarily attributable to a 10.9% average wage increase granted to non-unionized employees during the third quarter of 2003. The increase in administrative expenses also reflected the preparation and presentation of the investment projects for the Cancun airport and the development, design and preparation of the 2004-2008 Master Development Plan.

Technical assistance fees increased by 18.5% to Ps. 46.1 million in 2003 from Ps. 38.9 million in 2002, and concession fees increased by 13.7% to Ps. 73.3 million in 2003 from Ps. 64.5 million in 2002. Technical assistance fees increased in 2003 due to our improved profitability. The 13.7% increase in concession fees was primarily the result of the increase in overall revenues.

Depreciation and amortization costs increased by 1.8% to Ps. 354.6 million in 2003 from Ps. 348.4 million in 2002. This increase was principally due to additional depreciation in 2003 that resulted from the capitalization of Ps. 275.6 million in fixed assets and improvements we made in December 2002 to our concession assets, principally in the Cancun airport.

Operating income increased 35.9% to Ps. 501.8 million in 2003 from Ps. 369.3 million in 2002. This increase in operating income was primarily a result

of the 13.7% increase in total revenues and the effectiveness of our cost controls.

Operating income for Cancun International Airport increased by 18.3% to Ps. 726.5 million in 2003 from Ps. 613.8 million in 2002. Our eight other airports, on an aggregate basis, had an operating loss of Ps. 2.4 million in 2003 compared to an operating loss of Ps. 18.5 million in 2002. During 2003, revenues and passenger traffic volume in those eight airports increased 8.0% and 6.9%, respectively, from 2002.

Comprehensive Financing Result

Our net comprehensive financing result decreased 14.3% to income of Ps. 24.2 million in 2003 as compared to income of Ps. 28.3 million in 2002, primarily due to a reduction in our net foreign exchange gain resulting primarily from the depreciation of the Peso against the U.S. Dollar by 7.6% in 2003 as compared to 13.8% in 2002.

Income Taxes, Employees' Statutory Profit Sharing and Asset Tax

Provision for income taxes and employees' statutory profit sharing increased by 41.9% to Ps. 231.9 million in 2003 from Ps. 159.8 million in 2002, primarily due to increases in deferred income and higher asset taxes for new construction in 2003.

Net Income

Net income increased 20.6% from Ps. 229.0 million in 2002 to Ps. 276.2 million in 2003, reflecting the factors described above.

Results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2002 compared to the year ended December 31, 2001

### Revenues

Total revenues for 2002 were Ps. 1,289.9 million, 0.8% higher than the Ps. 1,279.6 million recorded in 2001. The increase in total revenues resulted primarily from an increase in commercial revenues due to the opening of new, improved commercial spaces at the Cancun, Merida and Cozumel airports in the last quarter of 2001. This increase was partially offset by a decrease in revenues from aeronautical services resulting from a decrease in operations and international passenger traffic following the events of September 11, 2001.

Our revenues from aeronautical services, net of rebates, decreased 4.2% to Ps. 1,041.2 million in 2002 from Ps. 1,086.6 million in 2001, primarily as a result of a decrease in operations and international passenger traffic. Revenues from passenger charges decreased 4.1% to Ps. 769.4 million in 2002 (73.9% of our aeronautical revenues during the period) from Ps. 802.7 million in 2001 (73.9% of our aeronautical revenues during the period). Other sources of aeronautical services revenues were substantially the same in both periods.

Revenues from non-aeronautical services increased 28.9% to Ps. 248.7 million in 2002 from Ps. 193.0 million in 2001, principally due to the opening of new, improved commercial spaces at the Cancun, Merida and Cozumel airports in the last quarter of 2001.

Our revenues from regulated sources of revenues in 2002 were Ps. 1,111.2 million, a 4.4% decrease compared to Ps. 1,162.5 million in 2001, mainly reflecting the decrease in passenger traffic volume described above. During 2002, Ps. 178.7 million of our revenues were from non-regulated sources of revenues, 52.6% more than the Ps. 117.2 million of revenues from non-regulated sources of revenues in 2001. This increase was primarily due to increased

commercial revenues from the new commercial spaces opened at the airports in Cancun, Merida and Cozumel in the last quarter of 2001.

Operating Expenses and Operating Income

Total operating expenses were Ps. 920.6 million in 2002, a 6.4% increase from the Ps. 865.0 million recorded as operating expenses in 2001. As a percentage of total revenues, operating expenses increased to 71.4% of total revenues in 2002 from 67.6% of total revenues in 2001. The increase in total operating expenses resulted primarily from an increase in the cost of services, which was partially offset by a decline in technical assistance fees for the period, as explained below.

Cost of services increased 12.9% to Ps. 357.6 million in 2002 from Ps. 316.7 million in 2001. The increase was principally due to the cost of renewing third party liability insurance and acquiring additional insurance coverage against terrorist acts. Additionally, the cost of services for the year was impacted by increases in maintenance and energy costs, as new commercial areas opened in the fourth quarter of 2001.

General and administrative expenses increased 1.6% to Ps. 111.3 million in 2002 from Ps. 109.5 million in 2001. This increase was primarily attributable to a wage increase granted to non-unionized employees during the second quarter of 2002.

Technical assistance fees paid by us decreased by 7.2% to Ps. 38.9 million in 2002 from Ps. 41.9 million in 2001, and concession fees paid by us increased by 0.8% to Ps. 64.5 million in 2002 from Ps. 63.9 million in 2001. Technical assistance fees decreased in 2002 because these fees are based on operating results, which decreased by 3.8%. Under the technical assistance agreement, ITA provides management and consulting services and transfers industry "know-how" and technology to ASUR in exchange for a technical assistance fee. This agreement is more fully described in "Related Party Transactions." Technical assistance fees are calculated by the greater of a fixed dollar amount or 5% of ASUR's annual consolidated earnings before comprehensive financing cost, income taxes and depreciation and amortization (determined in accordance with Mexican GAAP and calculated prior to deducting the technical assistance fee). The fixed dollar amount decreases during the agreement's initial five years. For further information regarding the calculation of the technical assistance fees and its minimum annual level, see "Item 4. Information on the Company--History and Development of the Company--Investment by ITA." The increase in concession fees is principally due to the increase in overall revenues in 2002. Under the Mexican Federal Duties Law, each of our subsidiary concession holders is required to pay the Mexican government a concession fee based on its gross annual revenues from the use of public domain assets pursuant to the terms of its concession. Currently, this concession fee is set at a rate of 5% and may be revised annually by the Mexican Congress.

Depreciation and amortization costs increased by 4.6% to Ps. 348.4 million in 2002 from Ps. 332.9 million in 2001. This increase was principally due to depreciation of new commercial space at our three largest airports during the fourth quarter of 2001.

Operating income decreased 10.9% to Ps. 369.3 million in 2002 from Ps. 414.7 million in 2001. This decrease in operating income was primarily a result of increases in costs and expenses for the year, as discussed above.

Operating income for Cancun International Airport decreased by 0.7% to Ps. 396.5 million in 2002 from Ps. 399.5 million in 2001. Our eight other airports, on an aggregate basis, had an operating loss of Ps. 18.5 million in 2002 as compared to operating income of Ps. 26.4 million in 2001. During 2002,

revenues and passenger traffic volume in those eight airports decreased 5.9% and 8.9%, respectively, from 2001. We believe that these declines resulted from a decline in domestic and international passenger traffic due to the events of September 11, 2001 in the United States. Cozumel airport was hit the hardest by the decline in international traffic due to a decrease in North American tourists who represent the great majority of travelers to this resort island. In addition, domestic passenger traffic to Cozumel airport was impacted by the suspension of flights to Cozumel by Aeroferinco, a Mexican airline.

Comprehensive Financing Result

Net comprehensive financing result decreased 26.3% to income of Ps. 28.3 million in 2002 as compared to income of Ps. 38.4 million in 2001, primarily due to a decrease in net interest income from a lower average cash balance in 2002 due to dividends paid in the second quarter of 2002.

Income Taxes, Employees' Statutory Profit Sharing and Asset Tax

Provision for income taxes and employees' statutory profit sharing (all of which represented deferred income taxes and deferred employees' statutory profit sharing) decreased by 6.1% to Ps. 157.5 million in 2002 to a Ps. 167.8 million provision in 2001, primarily due to the tax rate reduction for future periods effective January 1, 2002, which was partially off-set by the asset tax of 161.8 million that we were subject to in 2002. Ps. 32.4 million of this asset tax was expensed and Ps. 129.3 million was capitalized as a recoverable asset tax.

Net Income

Net income decreased 17.5% from Ps. 277.8 million in 2001 to Ps. 229.1 million in 2002, principally as a result of an increase in operating expenses.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Historically, our operations have been funded through cash flow from operations. The cash flow generated from our operations has generally been used to fund operating expenses and to increase our cash balances. In addition, in 2002 and 2003 we used Ps. 487.9 million and Ps. 155.9 million, respectively, for the payment of dividends.

In 2003, we generated Ps. 656.6 million in resources from operating activities. Our resources used in financing activities were Ps. 128.3 million, reflecting payment of dividends of Ps. 155.9 million and Ps. 80.3 million of tax on dividends paid, partially offset by recovered income tax on dividends paid. Our resources used in investing activities in 2003 were Ps. 334.5 million for purchases of machinery, furniture and equipment principally for the Cancun, Huatulco and Veracruz airports.

In 2002, we generated Ps. 583.5 million in resources from operating activities. Our resources used in financing activities were Ps. 757.1 million, reflecting the payment of Ps. 488.0 million of dividends in the second quarter of 2002 and Ps. 269.2 million of tax on dividends paid, and our resources used in investing activities were Ps. 275.6 million in 2002 for the acquisition of machinery, furniture and equipment principally for the Cancun, Cozumel and Merida airports.

In 2001, we generated Ps. 700.8 million in resources from operating activities. During the same period there was no financing activity and therefore no financing resources were generated or used. Resources used in investing activities were Ps. 377.3 million, reflecting the expansion and remodeling of the main terminals at Cancun, Cozumel and Merida airports and the purchase of machinery, furniture and equipment.

Under the terms of our concessions, each of our subsidiary concession holders is required to present a master development plan for approval by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation every five years. Each master development plan includes investment commitments (including capital expenditures and improvements) of the concession holder for the succeeding five-year period. Once approved by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation, these commitments become binding obligations under the terms of our concessions. On December 30, 2003, the Ministry of Communications and Transportation approved each of our master development plans. The current terms of the master development plans went into effect on January 1, 2004 and will be in effect until December 31, 2008.

The following table sets forth our committed investments for each airport pursuant to the terms of our current master development plans for the periods presented. There can be no assurance as to the level of committed investments we will be required to undertake under future master development plans.

#### Committed Investments

		Year ended December 31,							
		2004	2005	2006	2007	2008			
				(thousands of pe	sos)(1))				
Cancun	Ps.	212,185 Ps.	392,643 P	s. 87,045 Ps.	175,354 Ps.	92,516			
Merida		7,142	43,334	13,379	15,606	13,084			
Cozumel		7,171	15,676	659	5,138	28,833			
Villahermosa		16,506	43,760	22,662	18,322	1,803			
Oaxaca		4,436	4,513	2,725	3 <b>,</b> 957	5,061			
Veracruz		16,175	18,971	773	1,738	12,339			
Huatulco		12,726	4,519	4,959	7,760	3,304			
Tapachula		12,771	18,376	11,898	10,763	1,163			
Minatitlan		30,375	40,604	3,478	6,062	9,932			
Total	Ps.	319,487 Ps.	582,396 P	Ps. 147,578 Ps.	244,700 Ps.	168,035			

<sup>(1)</sup> Expressed in adjusted pesos as of December 31, 2003 based on the Mexican construction price index in accordance with the terms of our master development plan.

The following table sets forth our historical investments in the periods indicated.

### Investments

Year ended December 31,	(thousands of pesos)(1)	
1999	Ps.	526,040
2000		242,606
2001		377,345
2002		275,612
2003		334,468

-----

(1) Expressed in constant pesos with purchasing power as of December 31, 2003.

We expect to fund our operations and capital expenditures in the short-term and long-term through cash flow from operations, nevertheless, we may also incur indebtedness from time to time.

#### Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of our financial statements requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amount of assets and liabilities, disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of our financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses generated during the reporting period. There can be no assurance that actual results will not differ from those estimates. The impact and any associated risks related to such policies on our business operations are addressed where such policies affect our reported and expected financial results throughout our discussion of our results of operation. Critical accounting policies are defined as those that are both important to the portrayal of our financial condition and results that require us to exercise significant judgment. Our most critical accounting policies are described briefly below. For a detailed discussion of the application of these and other accounting policies, see notes 2 and 15 of our financial statements.

### Revenue Recognition

Our regulated revenues are subject to a maximum chargeable rate at each airport established by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation. To avoid exceeding our maximum rates at year end, we may be required to take actions, including reducing prices during the latter part of the year or issuing credits or discounts to customers. These actions are recorded against revenues. If we exceed the maximum rate at any of our airports at the end of the year, the Ministry of Communications and Transportation may assess a fine and may reduce the maximum rate at that airport in the subsequent year. The imposition of sanctions for exceeding an airport's maximum rate can also result in termination of the concession if the maximum rate has been exceeded and sanctions have been imposed three times. In the event that any one of our concessions is terminated, our other concessions may also be terminated.

#### Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

We perform ongoing credit evaluations of our customers and adjust credit limits based upon the customer's payment history and current creditworthiness. We continuously monitor collections and payments from our customers and maintain a provision for estimated credit losses based upon our historical experience and any specific customer collection issues that we have identified. While such credit losses have historically been within our expectations and the established allowance we have created to provide for such losses, we cannot guarantee that we will continue to experience the same credit loss rates that we have in the past. Since our accounts receivable are concentrated in the hands of a few large customers, a significant change in the liquidity or financial position of any one of these customers could have a material adverse impact on the collection of our accounts receivables and our future operating results.

Valuation of Rights to Use Airport Facilities and Airport Concessions

We periodically review the carrying value of our rights to use airport facilities and airport concessions. This review is based upon our projections of anticipated future cash flows over the life of the asset or our concessions, as appropriate. Since our airport concessions expire in 2047, significant management judgment is required in estimating these future cash flows. While we

believe that our estimates of future cash flows are reasonable, different assumptions regarding such cash flows could materially affect our evaluations including assumptions concerning passenger traffic, increases or decreases in rates and inflation. Further, in analyzing the carrying value of our airport concessions, we compare the aggregate carrying value of all nine of our airport concessions to the net cash flows derived from all of the airports, as permitted by applicable accounting literature. The aggregate net cash flows from all of our airports exceeds the carrying value of the airport concessions. Accordingly, because we analyze our valuation estimates on an aggregate level, we have not recognized any impairment loss in the carrying value of an individual airport concession where the carrying value of the individual airport concession exceeds the net cash flows of that airport.

Deferred Income Tax, Employees' Statutory Profit Sharing and Asset Tax

Our income tax expense, employees' statutory profit sharing and asset tax is comprised of current expenses and deferred expenses. Deferred income tax represents future receivables or payables resulting from the temporary differences generated from the differences in the accounting and tax treatment of balance sheet items, such as our airport concessions, rights to use airport facilities, and from tax loss carry-forwards and credits. Deferred employees' statutory profit sharing is calculated in a similar manner. These temporary differences and tax loss carry-forwards and credits are accounted for as deferred tax assets or liabilities on our balance sheet. The corresponding change in the balances of the recognized deferred tax assets and liabilities is recorded in earnings. Asset tax is a minimum tax that is calculated as 1.8% of the average tax value of virtually all of our assets less the average tax value of certain liabilities. In 2003, we were subject to the asset tax, which can be credited against taxable income for a period of ten years. A company may credit the asset tax against taxable income when it generates taxable income. Deferred tax assets, deferred employees' statutory profit sharing assets and recoverable asset tax are subject to valuation allowances if we estimate that there is a high probability that the assets will not be realized. We have recognized valuation allowances against deferred tax assets, deferred employees' statutory profit sharing and recoverable asset tax for some of our airport subsidiaries. We have not recognized valuation allowances against tax loss carry-forwards generated by our other airport subsidiaries because under current tax law these tax carry-forwards can be carried forward through the term of the airport concessions or a period of ten years. As our airport concessions expire in 2047, significant management judgment concerning a number of factors, including the number of passengers we anticipate in our airports, increases in rates or inflation; changes in the discount rate and taxes is required in determining any valuation allowance.

Contingent Liabilities

We are a party to a number of legal proceedings. Under generally accepted accounting principles, liabilities are recognized in the financial statements when a loss is both estimable and probable. If the loss is neither probable nor estimable or if the likelihood of a loss is remote, no amounts are recognized in the financial statements. Based on legal advice we have received from our Mexican counsel and other information available to us, we have not recognized any losses in the financial statements as a result of these proceedings.

Differences between Mexican GAAP and U.S. GAAP

Our financial statements are prepared in accordance with Mexican GAAP, which differs in certain respects from U.S. GAAP. See Note 16 to our financial statements. Net income (loss) under U.S. GAAP was Ps. 324.1 million, Ps. (359.6) million and Ps. 269.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003, respectively.

The principal differences between Mexican GAAP and U.S. GAAP as they relate to us are deferred income taxes, employees' statutory profit sharing, tax on dividends paid, the treatment of our investments in our concessions and the rights to use airport facilities and the treatment of ITA's options, which are accounted for as a deferred technical assistance fee under U.S. GAAP. Each of these differences affects both net income and stockholders' equity. See Note 16 to our financial statements for a discussion of these differences and the effect on our results of operation.

Off-balance sheet arrangements

We are not party to any off-balance sheet arrangements, nor have we been involved in any such transactions in the past.

Tabular disclosure of contractual obligations

Payments	due	bу	period	(in	millions	of	pesos)
----------	-----	----	--------	-----	----------	----	--------

Contractual Obligations	Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	3-5 years	More than year
Master Development Plan  Purchase Obligations  Operating Lease Obligations  Total	Ps.1,462,196 77,285 3,775 Ps.1,543,256	Ps.319,487 77,258 3,775 Ps.400,520	Ps.974,674 - - Ps.974,674	Ps.168,035 - - Ps.168,035	
			========	========	====

Item 6. Directors, Senior Management and Employees

Directors

The board of directors is responsible for the management of our business. Pursuant to our bylaws, the board of directors must consist of an uneven number of directors determined at an ordinary general meeting of stockholders and is required to have at least seven, but not more than eleven, members. Currently, the board of directors consists of seven directors, each of whom is elected at the annual stockholders' meeting for a term of one year or until a successor has been appointed.

Our bylaws provide that the holders of series BB shares are entitled to elect two members and their alternates to the board of directors. Our remaining directors are elected by the holders of our series B shares. Under our bylaws, each stockholder or group of stockholders owning at least 10% of our capital stock in the form of series B shares is entitled to elect one member to the board of directors for each 10% interest that it owns. The other directors to be elected by the holders of our series B shares are elected by majority vote of all holders of series B shares present at the stockholders' meeting (including stockholders that individually or as part of a group elected a director as a result of their 10% stake). On February 28, 2001 the stockholders voted to eliminate alternate members of the board of directors with respect to those directors elected by holders of series B shares.

The following table lists our directors as of the date of this annual report, their title and date of appointment:

Name Title ====

Kjeld Binger1............Director and Chairman (also Interim Chief Executive Officer)

-----

Kjeld Binger. Mr. Binger is a member of our board of directors and was appointed Chairman of the Board on March 20, 2001. He is also currently serving as our Interim Chief Executive Officer. He has been an Executive Vice-President since 2001 and Vice-President of Copenhagen Airports A/S since 1996. Previously, Mr. Binger was Director of Planning and Projects of Copenhagen Airports A/S, Vice-President of project development of Hojgaad & Schultz A/S and Project Director of Hoffman & Sonner A/S. Mr. Binger has been involved in several international bidding processes regarding privatization of airports. Currently, Mr. Binger is a member of the board of directors of Copenhagen Airport Development International A/S, and a member of the management committee of Copenhagen Airports A/S. He is 49 years old. Mr. Binger was appointed director by ITA.

Aaron Dychter Poltolarek. Mr. Dychter is a member of our board of directors and has been Under-Secretary of Transportation of the Ministry of Communications and Transportation since December, 1994. Previously, Mr. Dychter was Chief of the Investment, Energy and Industry Unit of the Under-Secretary of Expenditures of the Ministry of Finance and Public Credit, Chief of the Investment Unit of the Under-Secretary of Budget Control of the Ministry of Finance and Public Credit, Coordinator of Advisors to the Under-Secretary of Budget of the Ministry of Budget and Director General of Energy Policy of the Ministry of Energy, Mining and Industry. Currently, Mr. Dychter is a member of the board of directors of Grupo Aeroportuario del Pacifico, S.A. de C.V., Grupo Aeroportuario del Centro Norte, S.A. de C.V. and Grupo Aeroportuario de la Ciudad de Mexico, S.A. de C.V., as well as of the subsidiaries of last two of these companies. He is 53 years old. Mr. Dycther was appointed director by NAFIN.

Martha Miller de Lombera. Ms. Miller is a member of our board of directors and was Vice-President and General Manager of Procter & Gamble Latin America North until her retirement in April 2001. She currently serves on the board of directors of United Way International and was previously a board member of the American Chamber of Commerce of Mexico City. She is 56 years old. Ms. Miller is an independent director.

Ricardo Guajardo Touche. Mr. Guajardo is a member of our board of directors and has been President of Grupo Financiero BBVA Bancomer, S.A. since 2000. He was a President and General Director of Grupo Financiero BBVA Bancomer, S.A. from 1991 to 2000, and General Director of Grupo Vamsa since 1989. He has served on the board of directors of Instituto Tecnologico y de Estudios Superiores de Monterrey (ITESM), Fomento Economico Mexicano (FEMSA), Grupo Valores de Monterrey (VAMSA), Transportacion Maritima Mexicana (TMM), Alfa, El Puerto de Liverpool and Centro de Estudios Economicos del Sector Privado (CEESP). He is 56

<sup>(1)</sup> Elected by ITA as holder of series BB shares, with Michael Olsen as Alternate.

years old. Mr. Guajardo is an independent director.

George Vojta. Mr. Vojta is a member of our board of directors and has been Director of the Financial Services Forum since 1999. Previously, Mr. Vojta was Vice Chairman to the Board of Bankers Trust, President of Deak & Company, Chief Financial Officer of Phibro-Salomon Inc. and Vice Chairman of Citigroup. Currently, Mr. Vojta is Chairman of Caux Roundtable, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Westchester Group LLC and Chairman of Wharton Financial Institutions Center. He is 68 years old. Mr. Vojta is an independent director.

Francisco Garza Zambrano. Mr. Garza is a member of our board of directors and he has served as President of Cementos Mexicanos of Norteamerica y Trading (his current position), as President of Cementos Mexicanos Mexico, as President of Cementos Mexicanos Panama, as President of Cementos Mexicanos Venezuela, and as President of Cementos Mexicanos E.U.A. He was formerly on the board of directors of Control Administrative Mexicano S.A. de C.V., Vitro Plano, S.A. de C.V., Universidad de Monterrey, Camara Nacional del Cemento (CANACEM), Club Industrial, A.C. and Fundacion Mexicana para la Salud. He is 49 years old. Mr. Garza is an independent director.

Federico Patino Marquez. Mr. Marquez is a member of our board of directors and has held various positions with Nacional Financiera in Mexico. He is the Adjunct General Director of the Banca de Inversion in charge of the Directiones de Financiamiento Internacional, the Banca de Gobierno, and the Banca Empresarial y de Inversion de Capital. He is 51 years old. Mr. Patino was appointed director by ITA.

#### Senior Management

Pursuant to our bylaws, the holders of series BB shares are entitled to appoint and remove our chief executive officer and one half of the executive officers reporting directly to the chief executive officer. Currently, four executive officers report directly to the chief executive officer, one of whom was appointed by ITA as holder of the BB shares.

As of June 2, 2003, Frantz Guns resigned from his position as Chief Executive Officer. Mr. Guns was named Chief Executive Officer of ASUR in March of 2000. Kjeld Binger, our Director and Chairman, was named by the Board of Directors to serve as interim Chief Executive Officer. We have begun a search for a new Chief Executive Officer.

On August 7, 2003, Manuel Gutierrez Sola was appointed Chief Commercial Officer by ASUR's Nominations and Compensation Committee.

As of January 25, 2003, Maria Felisa Perez Luengo resigned from her position as Director of Operations, and the duties of Director of Operations have been divided into two positions. Hector Navarrete Munoz is currently serving in the role of Regional Director of Operations.

The following table lists our executive officers, their current position and their year of appointment as an executive officer:

	Principal	Executive	
Name	occupation	Officer since	
Kjeld Binger*	<pre>Interim Director General (interim chief executive officer)</pre>	June 2, 2003	
Adolfo Castro Rivas*	Director of Finance (chief financial officer)	January 24, 2000	
Hector Navarrete Munoz	Regional Director of Airports	January 15, 2003	

Claudio Gongora Morales	General Counsel	April 19,	1999
Manuel Gutierrez Sola	Chief Commercial Officer	August 7,	2003

-----

Kjeld Binger. Mr. Binger is our Interim Chief Executive Officer. He is also a member of our board of directors and was appointed Chairman of the Board on March 20, 2001. He has been a Vice-President of Copenhagen Airports A/S since 1996. Previously, Mr. Binger was Director of Planning and Projects of Copenhagen Airports A/S, Vice-President of project development of Hojgaad & Schultz A/S and Project Director of Hoffman & Sonner A/S. Mr. Binger has been involved in several international bidding processes regarding privatization of airports. Currently, Mr. Binger is a member of the board of directors of Copenhagen Airport Development International A/S, and a member of the management committee of Copenhagen Airports A/S. He is 49 years old.

Adolfo Castro Rivas. Mr. Castro has been our Director of Finance since January, 2000. Prior to joining ASUR, Mr. Castro was Director of Finance and Administration of Ferrocarril del Sureste S.A. de C.V. Mr. Castro was also Chief Financial Officer of Netcapital S.A. de C.V., and Director of Finance of Grupo Mexicano de Desarrollo S.A. de C.V., Finance Manager of Grupo ICA S.A. and an auditor and consultant with Coopers & Lybrand. He is 40 years old.

Hector Navarrete Munoz. Mr. Navarrete is the Regional Director of Airports. Previously, Mr. Navarrete is also the Administrator of the Merida International Airport, Director of the Board of Culture and Tourism of the State of Yucatan and Coordinator of the Mayan Cultural Project in San Antonio, Texas. He is 47 years old.

Claudio Gongora Morales. Mr. Gongora has been General Counsel since April 25, 2001. Previously, he was Sub-Director of ASUR (starting on April 19, 1999). Mr. Gongora also served as Legal Director of Azufrera Panamericana, S.A. de C.V., alternating as Legal Advisor for Compania Exploradora del Istmo, S.A. de C.V. He has also been Legal Sub-Director of Commission de Fomento Minero, Legal Chief Consultant for Grafito de Mexico, S.A. de C.V., Terrenos para Industrias, S.A. de C.V., Terrenos de Jaltipan, S.A. de C.V., Macocozac, S.,A. de C.V., Pasco Terminals, Inc. and Pasco International, Ltd. He is 52 years old.

Manuel Gutierrez Sola. Mr. Gutierrez has been our Chief Commercial Officer since August 7, 2003. Previously, Mr. Gutierrez Sola was ASUR's Acting Chief Commercial Officer since October 31, 2002, where he has been in charge of the negotiations of the commercial contracts for the airports managed by ASUR and the implementation of the second stage of the company's commercial strategy. Before that, he was Concessions Manager at ASUR since December, 2000. Prior to joining ASUR, Mr. Gutierrez was Chief Operations Officer of G. Accion S.A. de C.V. and Machinery and Equipment Manager of Gutsa Construcciones, S.A. de C.V. He is 41 years old.

Share Ownership of Directors and Senior Management

Directors and senior management do not own any shares of ASUR.

Statutory Auditor

Our bylaws provide for two or more statutory auditors who report to the stockholders at the ordinary general stockholders' meeting on the accuracy of the financial information presented by the board of directors and generally review the affairs of ASUR. Our bylaws provide that each stockholder or group of stockholders owning at least 10% of our shares is entitled to appoint a

<sup>\*</sup>Appointed by ITA, as holder of series BB shares.

statutory auditor and an alternate. The statutory auditors are authorized to: (i) call ordinary or extraordinary stockholders' meetings; (ii) place items on the agenda for meetings of stockholders or the board of directors; and (iii) attend, but not vote at, meetings of stockholders, the board of directors and our management committees. The current statutory auditors are Manuel Canal Hernando, who was appointed by our Series B shareholders, and Rafael Maya Urosa, with Manuel Leyva Vega as alternate, who were appointed by ITA, as holder of series BB shares.

Compensation of Directors and Senior Management

For the year ended December 31, 2003, we paid an aggregate amount of approximately Ps. 14.8 million for the services of our executive officers, which includes payments to Copenhagen Airports A/S for the services of Kjeld Binger as our interim chief executive officer. Directors received Ps. 4.3 million in aggregate compensation for the year ended December 31, 2003.

No amount has been set aside by ASUR or its subsidiaries for pension, retirement or similar benefits.

#### Committees

Our bylaws provide for four committees to assist the board of directors with the management of our business: an Operating Committee, an Audit Committee, an Acquisitions and Contracts Committee and a Nominations and Compensation Committee.

The Operating Committee, which currently has five members, is responsible for proposing and approving certain plans and policies relating to our business, investments and administration, including approval of the master development plans of our subsidiary concession holders, our dividend policy and investments of less than U.S.\$2 million, that are not provided for in our annual budget. Pursuant to our bylaws, the board of directors is authorized to appoint six members of the Operating Committee. Board members elected by the holders of series BB shares have the right to appoint three of the committee members, one of whom is required to be the chief executive officer. The consent of the series BB directors is also required to select the members of the Operating Committee that are not members of our board or officers of our company. The current members of the Operating Committee are Martha Miller de Lombera, Michael Olsen, Samuel Podolsky, Luis Sanchez Salmeron, Francisco Garza Zambrano and Kjeld Binger. One position on the Operating Committee remains open. A secretary has also been appointed who is not a member of the committee.

The Audit Committee, which currently has three members, is responsible for ensuring that our board of directors, our officers and the officers of our subsidiaries comply with the bylaws, applicable law and general guidelines required to be prepared under the bylaws. The Audit Committee is also responsible for monitoring transactions with affiliates, including ITA and its stockholders. Our bylaws provide that a stockholders' meeting shall determine the number of members of the Audit Committee, which is required to be comprised of a majority of members of the board of directors. The members of the board of directors elected by the holders of series BB shares are entitled to appoint one member to the committee. The committee members elect a president, who does not have a tie-breaking vote, and a secretary, who is not required to be a committee member. The committee also appoints a special delegate, George Vojta, who may not be a person appointed by the holders of series BB shares nor be related to them. The special delegate is charged with ensuring that ITA complies with its obligations under the technical assistance agreement with us. We intend to fully comply with the requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and the rules issued thereunder by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission with respect to the composition and functions of our Audit Committee within the timeframe provided. The current members of the Audit Committee are Ricardo Guajardo

Touche, Jose Luis Sanchez Salmeron and George Vojta. A secretary has also been appointed who is not a member of the committee.

The Acquisitions and Contracts Committee, composed of three members, is responsible for ensuring compliance with our procurement policies set forth in our bylaws. Among other things, these policies require that the Acquisitions and Contracts Committee approve any transaction or series of related transactions between us and a third party involving consideration in excess of U.S.\$400,000 and that any contract between us, on the one hand, and ITA or any of its related persons (as defined under "Description of Capital Stock"), on the other hand, be awarded pursuant to a bidding process involving at least three other bidders. Our bylaws provide that a stockholders' meeting will determine the number of members of the Acquisitions and Contracts Committee, which is required to be comprised primarily of members of the board of directors. The members of the board of directors elected by the holders of series BB shares are entitled to appoint one member to the committee. The current members of the Acquisitions and Contracts Committee are Hortencia Contreras, Martha Miller and Kjeld Binger. A secretary has also been appointed who is not a member of the committee.

The Nominations and Compensation Committee was formed on October 12, 1999. The duties of the committee include the proposal, removal and compensation of candidates for election to the board of directors and for appointment as executive officers. Our bylaws provide that a stockholders' meeting will determine the number of members of the committee. The holders of the series B and series BB shares, acting as a class, are each entitled to name one member of the Nominations and Compensation Committee. The remaining members of the committee are to be named by these two initial members. Members of the committee each have a term of one year. At each annual stockholders' meeting after a public offering of our shares, the Nominations and Compensation Committee is required to present a list of at least seven candidates for election as directors for the vote of the series B stockholders. At an ordinary stockholders' meeting held February 28, 2001, our stockholders resolved that the Nominations and Compensation Committee be comprised of three members. The three current members of the Nominations and Compensation Committee are Kjeld Binger, Martha Miller de Lombera and Samuel Podolsky. A secretary has also been appointed who is not a member of the committee.

NYSE Corporate Governance Comparison

Pursuant to Section 303A.11 of the Listed Company Manual of the NYSE, we are required to provide a summary of the significant ways in which our corporate governance practices differ from those required for U.S. companies under the NYSE listing standards. We are a Mexican corporation with shares listed on the Mexican Stock Exchange. Our corporate governance practices are governed by our bylaws, the Securities Market Law and the regulations issued by the Mexican National Banking and Securities Commission. We also generally comply on a voluntary basis with the Mexican Code of Best Corporate Practices (Codigo de Mejores Practicas Corporativas) as indicated below, which was created in January 2001 by a group of Mexican business leaders and was endorsed by the Mexican Banking and Securities Commission. On an annual basis, we file a report with the Mexican Banking and Securities Commission and the Mexican Stock Exchange regarding our compliance with the Mexican Code of Best Corporate Practices.

The table below discloses the significant differences between our corporate governance practices and the NYSE standards.

> NYSE Standards Our Corporate Governance Practice

Director Independence. Majority of Pursuant to the Mexican Securities board of directors must be Market Law, we are required to have a

independent. ss.303A.01

board of directors of between five and 20 members, 25% of whom must be independent. Our Board of Directors is not required to make a determination as to the independence of our directors. Our by-laws provide that our Board of Directors may be composed of between 7 to 11 members. Currently, our board has 7 members, of which 4 are independent under the Mexican Securities Market

The definition of independence applicable to us pursuant to the Mexican Securities Market Law differs in certain respects from the definition applicable to U.S. issuers under the NYSE rules. Generally, under the Mexican Securities Market Law, a director is not independent if such director is an employee or officer of the company or a shareholder that has influence over the company. In addition, if there exist certain relationships between a company and a director, entities with which the director is associated or family members of the director, the director will not qualify as independent.

Executive Sessions. Non-management directors must meet regularly in executive sessions without management. Independent directors should meet alone not do so. Executive sessions are in an executive session at least once a not expressly recommended by the year. ss.303A.03

Our non-management and independent directors are not required to meet in executive sessions and generally do Mexican Code of Best Corporate Practices.

None of our members of management are members of our Board of Directors nor our other committees, except for our CEO, who presides as Chairman of the Board of Directors and is a member of the Nomination and Compensation Committee and the Operating Committee.

Audit committee. Audit committee satisfying the independence and other requirements of Rule 10A-3 under the Exchange Act and the more stringent requirements under the NYSE standards is required. ss.ss.303A.06, 303A.07

We expect to comply with the independence requirements of Rule 10A-3 by July 31, 2005 (the date by which compliance is mandated for foreign private issuers), but the members of our Audit Committee are not required to satisfy the NYSE independence and other audit committee standards that are not prescribed by Rule 10A-3.

The principal characteristics of our Audit Committee are as follows:

- o Our Audit Committee is composed of three members, two of which are members of our Board of Directors.
- o A majority of the members of our Audit Committee and the committee's president are independent as such term is defined under the Mexican Securities Market Law.
- o Our Audit Committee operates pursuant to provisions in the Mexican Securities Market Law and our bylaws.
- o Our Audit Committee submits an annual report regarding its activities to our Board of Directors.
- o The duties of our Audit Committee include, among others, the following:
  - Ensuring compliance with our by-laws by officers and directors of the company and its subsidiaries
  - Making recommendations to the Nomination and Compensation Committee with respect to the removal of directors and officers for violations of the by-laws or any other applicable legal provision
  - Overseeing compliance with the corporate governance provisions as set forth in the General Law of Business Companies (Ley General de Sociedades Mercantiles), and the Mexican Securities Market Law and protection of minority shareholder rights
  - Appointing and removing the company's internal auditor and establishing the scope of the internal auditor's duties and responsibilities

Nominating/corporate governance and compensation committee.

Nominating/corporate governance committee of independent directors and committee, but the Mexican Code of compensation committee of independent Best Corporate Practices recommends directors are required. Compensation that companies have an evaluation and committee must approve executive

We are not required to have a nominating/corporate governance committee or a compensation compensation committee. Our by-laws

officer compensation. Each committee must have a charter specifying the purpose, duties and evaluation procedures of the committee. ss.303A.04 and ss.303A.05

Each committee provide for a Nomination and Compensation Committee, which we believe carries out the duties of an evaluation and compensation committee and a nominating/corporate governance committee. The duties of our Nomination and Compensation Committee include, among others, the following:

- o Proposing individuals to serve as directors at the shareholders meeting.
- o Proposing individuals to serve as officers to the Board of Directors.
- o Proposing compensation for directors, statutory auditors, and officers at the shareholders' meeting or to the Board of Directors, as applicable.
- o Proposing for consideration at the shareholders' meeting the removal of members of the Board of Directors and officers.
- o Submitting an annual report on its activities to the Board of Directors and the shareholders.

The Nomination and Compensation Committee is currently composed of three members who are appointed by the shareholders at the shareholders' meeting. Pursuant to our by-laws, at least one member is appointed by the Series B shareholders and at least one member is appointed by the Series BB shareholders.

Equity compensation plans. Equity compensation plans require shareholder approval, subject to limited exemptions.

required under our bylaws for the adoption and amendment of an equity-compensation plan. No equity-compensation plans have been approved by our shareholders.

Shareholder approval is not expressly

Code of Ethics. Corporate governance guidelines and a code of business conduct and ethics is required, with disclosure of any waiver for directors or executive officers. ss.303A.10

We have adopted a code of ethics applicable to all of our directors and executive officers, which is available to you free of charge upon request and at www.asur.com.mx. We are required by Item 16B of Form 20-F to disclose any waivers granted to our chief executive officer, chief financial officer, and persons performing similar functions as well as to our other officers/employees.

#### Employees

The following table sets forth the number of employees in various positions as of the end of 2001, 2002 and 2003.

	As of December 31, 2001	As of December 31, 2002
Administrative Employees		
Mexico City	123	123
Cancun Airport	70	69
Cozumel Airport	12	13
Huatulco Airport	12	14
Merida Airport	34	35
Minatitlan Airport	13	13
Oaxaca Airport	13	12
Tapachula Airport	15	15
Veracruz Airport	16	18
Villahermosa Airport	10	11
Total Administrative		
Employees	318	323
	====	====
Unionized Employees Mexico		
City	0	0
Cancun Airport	118	113
Cozumel Airport	25	25
Huatulco Airport	18	18
Merida Airport	45	44
Minatitlan Airport	16	16
Oaxaca Airport	20	20
Tapachula Airport	17	17
Veracruz Airport	25	26
Villahermosa Airport	23	24
Total Union Employees	307	303

As of December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003, we had approximately 625, 626 and 640 employees, respectively.

\_\_\_\_

Approximately 47.8% of our employees on December 31, 2003 were members of labor unions. A significant portion of the services rendered in our airports is provided by personnel employed by third parties. Approximately 17.8% of our employees are employed by Servicios Aeroportuarios del Sureste, S.A. de C.V., a wholly-owned subsidiary that provides us with administrative and personnel services, while the remainder, including all unionized personnel, are employed by our nine subsidiary operating companies.

All of our unionized employees are members of local chapters of the Mexican National Union of Airport Workers. Labor relations with our employees are governed by nine separate collective labor agreements, each relating to one

\_\_\_\_

As

of our nine airports, and negotiated by the local chapter of the union. As is typical in Mexico, wages are renegotiated every year, while other terms and conditions of employment are renegotiated every two years. We began renegotiating our collective bargaining agreements with our unionized employees in August 2002 and reached final agreements with the unions in October 2003. We believe that our relations with our employees are good.

As part of the opening of Mexico's airports to investment, personnel employed by our predecessor at our airports were terminated on October 31, 1998 and rehired by us on November 1, 1998 free of any labor liability for their prior employment. In connection with the change in management, we have undertaken a number of personnel initiatives, including:

- o substantially reducing overtime,
- o creating recruiting standards,
- o implementing general training programs,
- o emphasizing customer service, and
- o implementing a management decentralization program.
- Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions

Major Shareholders

The following table sets forth certain information regarding the ownership of outstanding Shares as of December 31, 2003.

	Number	of Shares	Percenta share
Identity of stockholder	B Shares	BB Shares	B Shares
NAFIN (1)	33,260,870		11.1%
ITA(1)(2)		45,000,000	
Copenhagen Airports A/S(2)	7,500,000		2.5%
Fernando Chico Pardo(3)	5,936,000		2.3%
Public	208,031,130		71.4%

-----

- (1) In addition to the series B shares held by the NAFIN trust, NAFIN (as trustee) also holds 25.5% interest in ITA.
- (2) In addition to the series B shares held by Copenhagen Airports A/S, Copenhagen Airports A/S also owns 36.5% of the capital stock of ITA.
- (3) In addition to the series B shares held by Fernando Chico Pardo, Fernando Chico Pardo also owns a 38.0% interest in ITA.

ITA has options through December 18, 2005 to subscribe for newly issued B shares. These options allow ITA to subscribe for 2% and 1% of our capital stock outstanding at the time of each exercise, determined on a fully diluted basis, from December 18, 2001 through December 18, 2005. ITA may exercise its options only if it has complied with its obligations under the technical

assistance agreement and the stock ownership restrictions set forth in ASUR's bylaws. These options are described in "Related Party Transactions."

ITA Trust and Shareholders' Agreement

The rules governing the sale of our series BB shares to ITA required that ITA place all of its series BB shares in trust in order to guarantee ITA's performance of its obligations under the technical assistance agreement and ITA's commitment to maintain its interest in ASUR for a specified period. Accordingly, ITA has placed its shares in trust with Bancomext. This trust provides that ITA may instruct Bancomext with respect to the voting of the shares held in trust that represent up to 10% of ASUR's capital stock; the remaining 5% is required to be voted in the same manner as the majority of all shares voted at the relevant stockholders' meeting. Under our bylaws and the trust, until December 18, 2008 ITA may transfer up to 49% of the series BB shares without restriction. After December 18, 2008, ITA may sell in any year up to 20% of its remaining interest in series BB shares. The term of the trust will be extended for an additional 15 years if, at the end of the initial 15-year term, ITA holds shares representing more than 10% of our capital stock. ITA may terminate the trust before the second 15 year term begins if: (i) ITA holds less than 10% of our capital stock at the end of the initial term; and (ii) the technical services agreement has been terminated. ITA is required to deposit in the trust any additional shares of our capital stock that it acquires.

ITA's stockholders have entered into a shareholders' agreement which provides that most matters relating to ITA's participation in our management are to be decided by a qualified majority consisting of at least six of ITA's eight directors. The agreement among ITA's stockholders also provides that the qualified majority must include the four directors appointed by the two key partners, currently Copenhagen Airports A/S and NAFIN with respect to certain matters, including the appointment and removal of ASUR's chief executive officer and the election of the members of our board of directors to be elected by the series BB stockholders. The directors appointed by Copenhagen Airports A/S are also required to be included in the qualified majority with respect to the adoption or amendment of our master development plans, business plans and investment plans. Currently, Copenhagen Airports A/S and Fernando Chico Pardo are each entitled to appoint three directors out of ITA's eight directors.

Under the agreement among ITA's stockholders, ITA's decision to exercise its options to purchase additional shares of our capital stock requires the unanimous consent of each stockholder of ITA. However, in the event that ITA's stockholders do not unanimously agree to exercise an option, the ITA stockholder or stockholders in favor of exercising that option are permitted to cause ITA to transfer the option to such stockholder or stockholders at the fair value of such option as agreed among the stockholders or determined through an appraisal. These options are described in "--Related Party Transactions--Arrangements with ITA."

Under the terms of the participation agreement and the trust agreement, ITA's key partners, currently Copenhagen Airports A/S and NAFIN, are required to maintain an ownership interest in ITA of a minimum of 25.5% prior to December 18, 2014 unless otherwise approved by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation. To the extent that a key partner acquires shares of ITA in excess of a 25.5% interest, this additional interest may be sold without restriction. There can be no assurance that the terms of the participation agreement or the trust would not be amended to reduce or eliminate these ownership commitments. If ITA or any of its stockholders defaults on any obligation contained in the trust agreement, or if ITA defaults on any obligation contained in the participation agreement or the technical assistance agreement, after specified notice and cure provisions, the trust agreement provides that the trustee may sell 5% of the shares held in the trust and pay the proceeds of such sale to ASUR as liquidated damages.

In January 2004, the 25.5% ownership in ITA's capital stock of Triturados Basalticos y Derivados S.A. de C.V., was transferred to NAFIN. As a result of the transfer, Triturados Basalticos y Derivados ceased to hold any interest in the capital stock of ITA.We cannot assure you that a third party or an affiliate of Triturados Basalticos y Derivados, S.A. de C.V. having an interest in the assets of Triturados Basalticos y Derivados, S.A. de C.V. will not seek to have this transfer to NAFIN rescinded or will try to enforce agreements made with Triturados Basalticos y Derivados, S.A. de C.V. prior to the transfer.

NAFIN has announced its intention of selling its 25.5% interest in ITA. Such sale would require the approval of the Ministry of Communications and Transportation and would be subject to a right of first refusal of the other stockholders of ITA. We can provide no assurance as to the timing of this sale or as to the identity of the potential acquirer of this 25.5% interest in ITA.

In addition, any transfer prior to 2014 at any time that NAFIN holds less than a majority of our capital stock would also require the consent of the holders of a majority of our capital stock. We cannot assure you that our shareholders would approve any transferee to whom NAFIN proposes to sell its 25.5% interest in ITA. In the event that a transfer were to be made without the approval of the Ministry of Communications and Transportation or of our shareholders, ASUR would be entitled to liquidated damages equal to the proceeds of 5% of the series BB shares held in trust, as described above.

In April 2004, French group Vinci, S.A. transferred its 24.5% ownership in ITA's capital stock to Fernando Chico Pardo. As a result of the transfer, Vinci, S.A. ceased to hold any interest in the capital stock of ITA. Fernando Chico Pardo is a Mexican businessman. He is the founder and president of Promecap, S.C. and he serves among others as a board member of the United Nations Pension Fund, The Quantum Group of Funds, Grupo Posadas de Mexico, Grupo Financiero Inbursa and Grupo Carso.

In April 2004, Spanish Ferrovial Aeropuertos, S.A. transferred 11.0% and 13.5% of its ownership in ITA's capital stock to Copenhagen Airports A/S and Fernando Chico Pardo, respectively. As a result of the transfer, Ferrovial Aeropuertos, S.A. ceased to hold any interest in the capital stock of ITA.

### Related Party Transactions

### Arrangements with ITA

The Mexican government's rules for the sale of the Series BB shares required ITA, ASUR and the Ministry of Communications and Transportation to enter into a participation agreement, which established the framework for the option agreement, the technical assistance agreement and the Banco Nacional de Comercio Exterior, S.N.C., or Bancomext, trust agreement.

Pursuant to the technical assistance agreement and the participation agreement, ITA and its stockholders agreed to provide management and consulting services and transfer industry "know-how" related to the operation of airports to us. These agreements entitle ITA to name our chief executive officer, half our other executive officers and two members of our board of directors. These agreements also grant us a perpetual and exclusive license in Mexico to use all technical assistance and know-how transferred to us by ITA or its stockholders during the term of the agreement. The technical assistance agreement has a fifteen-year term and is automatically renewed for additional five-year terms, unless one party provides notice of its intent not to renew within a specified period. We are required under this agreement to pay ITA an annual fee equal to the greater of a fixed dollar amount or 5% of our annual consolidated earnings before comprehensive financing cost, income taxes and depreciation and

amortization (determined in accordance with Mexican GAAP and calculated prior to deducting the technical assistance fee under this agreement). The fixed dollar amount decreases during the initial five years of the agreement in order to create an incentive for ITA to increase ASUR's earnings before comprehensive financing cost, income taxes and depreciation and amortization. ITA is also entitled to reimbursement for the out-of-pocket expenses it incurs in its provision of services under the agreement. The agreement allows ITA, its stockholders and their affiliates to render additional services to us only if our Acquisitions and Contracts Committee determines that these related persons have submitted the most favorable bid in a bidding process. This process is described in "Item 6. Directors, Senior Management and Employees--Committees." In 2000, 2001, 2002 and 2003 we recognized expenses of U.S.\$5.0 million, U.S.\$3.8 million, U.S.\$3.2 million and U.S.\$2.2 million, respectively, pursuant to the technical assistance agreement plus additional expenses of approximately U.S.\$0.3 million, U.S.\$0.3 million, U.S.\$0.6 million and U.S.\$0.3 million, respectively.

Under the option agreement, ITA has options to subscribe for newly issued series B shares. These options allow ITA to subscribe for 2% and 1% of our capital stock outstanding at the time of each exercise, determined on a fully diluted basis, 2001 through December 18, 2005, provided that ITA has complied with its obligations under the technical assistance agreement and the stock ownership restrictions set forth in our bylaws. The option exercise price is U.S.\$2.64559301 per share (the per share purchase price paid by ITA for its series BB shares) plus an accrued annual premium of 5% from December 18, 1998. The option agreement provides that the exercise price will be adjusted in the event of increases or decreases in capital or certain dividend payments.

Arrangements with Copenhagen Airports A/S

In June 2003 we entered into a contract with a subsidiary of Copenhagen Airports A/S under which we retained the services of Kjeld Binger as ASUR's interim chief executive officer in exchange for a monthly fee.

Stock Option Exercise Periods

		then-outstanding diluted capital
First exercise period(1)	Dec. 18, 2001 to Dec. 18, 2003	2%
Second exercise period	Dec. 18, 2002 to Dec. 18, 2004	2%
Third exercise period	Dec. 18, 2003 to Dec. 18, 2005	1%

(1) Expired without being exercised.

ITA is entitled to exercise its remaining options immediately upon the earlier to occur of: (i) the acquisition by any stockholder of at least 35% of ASUR's capital stock (the acquisition of more than 10% of our capital stock by any person other than ITA, NAFIN or the Mexican government would require an amendment to our bylaws); (ii) a stockholders' meeting approving a merger involving us that dilutes the holdings of our stockholders by more than 35%; or (iii) our price per share on a stock exchange is at least U.S.\$5.29118602 (twice Percentage c

the option exercise price). ITA or any holder of the option is entitled to transfer its option to any party that is entitled to be a stockholder of a concession holder under the Mexican Airport Law and our bylaws. The relevant restrictions are described in "Item 4. Information on the Company--Regulatory Framework--Scope of Concessions and General Obligations of Concession Holders."

ITA's stockholders have entered into an agreement under which ITA's decision to exercise any of its options requires the unanimous consent of each stockholder of ITA. However, in the event that ITA's stockholders do not unanimously agree to exercise an option, the ITA stockholder or stockholders in favor of exercising that option are permitted to cause ITA to transfer the option to such stockholder or stockholders at the fair value of such option as agreed among the stockholders or determined through an appraisal.

Arrangements with Entities Controlled by the Mexican Government

In the ordinary course of its business, we enter into transactions with various entities controlled by the Mexican government, including the provision of services to various airlines controlled by the Mexican holding company Cintra, S.A. de C.V. and the purchase of electricity from the Mexican Federal Electricity Commission.

Airlines and other entities controlled by Cintra, S.A. de C.V. accounted for approximately 29.5%, 27.2% and 22.6 of the revenues generated by our airports in 2001, 2002 and 2003, respectively. Of our accounts receivable, these entities accounted for 40.4%, 47.4% and 49.4% and as of December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003, respectively. These airlines include Aeromexico, Mexicana, Aerocaribe, Aerocozumel and Aerolitoral. Through Aeromexico and Mexicana, Cintra, S.A. de C.V. also controls SEAT, the principal provider of baggage and ramp handling services in our airports. A majority of the capital stock of Cintra, S.A. de C.V. is owned by the Institution for the Protection of Bank Savings, a decentralized entity of the Mexican federal government, and by the Mexican government. The Institution for the Protection of Bank Savings is required by law to transfer all holdings, including its shares of Cintra, S.A. de C.V. and the Mexican government has announced that it intends to sell its shares of Cintra, S.A. de C.V. For details of revenues earned from related parties, see "Item 4. Information on the Company--Business Overview--Principal Air Traffic Customers" and Note 12 to our financial statements.

In addition to the revenues earned from Cintra, we recorded revenues from several Mexican federal and state government agencies. Revenues from related public sector entities (excluding Cintra) were Ps. 7.2 million, Ps. 2.8 and Ps. 6.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003, respectively.

During the years ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003, we recorded expenses of Ps. 55.8 million, Ps. 55.7 million and Ps. 54.3 million, respectively, for electricity, waste disposal, water and other services obtained from entities or agencies of the Mexican government. Also, during the years ended December 31, 1999 and 2000, we granted construction contracts for the Cancun, Merida, Cozumel, and Oaxaca airports totaling Ps. 67.4 million and Ps. 15.1 million, respectively, to Triturados Basalticos y Derivados, S.A. de C.V., a shareholder of ITA. These construction projects were concluded during the years ended December 31, 2000 and 2001.

Item 8. Financial Information

See "Item 18. Financial Statements" beginning on page F-1.

Legal Proceedings

We are involved in legal proceedings from time to time that are

incidental to the normal conduct of our business. We are currently involved in certain legal proceedings in which we are seeking a confirmation of our right to terminate certain lease agreements upon the expiration of their term. Although we cannot predict when these proceedings will end, we expect that they will ultimately be resolved in our favor.

The municipalities of Cancun, Cozumel, Merida, Minatitlan, Veracruz and Villahermosa have given us notice requesting that we pay property tax (predial) based upon the property on which the Cancun and Cozumel Airports are located. However, we believe that the request to pay this tax is not in accordance with applicable law relating to property in the public domain, which includes the airports we currently operate under concessions. In April 2001, we filed a protective action in court against the attempt to collect the tax by the municipal treasuries of Cancun and Cozumel. Our case against the municipality of Cancun was decided in our favor in March 2004, and the remaining legal proceedings are still in progress.

We do not believe that liabilities related to any of these claims and proceedings against us are likely to have, individually or in the aggregate, a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

#### Dividends

The declaration, amount and payment of dividends are determined by a majority vote of the stockholders present at a stockholders' meeting and generally, but not necessarily, on the recommendation of the board of directors. So long as the series BB shares represent at least 7.65% of our capital stock, the declaration and payment of dividends will require the approval of the holders of a majority of the series BB shares. Figures included in this subsection "Dividends" are stated in nominal pesos.

Mexican law requires that at least 5% of a company's net income (on a non-consolidated basis) each year (after profit sharing and other deductions required by Mexican law) be allocated to a legal reserve fund until such fund reaches an amount equal to at least 20% of its capital stock (without adjustment for inflation).

Our subsidiaries are required to allocate earnings to their respective legal reserve funds prior to paying dividends to Grupo Aeroportuario del Sureste, S.A. de C.V.

Mexican companies may pay dividends only out of earnings (including retained earnings after all losses have been absorbed or paid up) and only after such allocation to the legal reserve fund. The reserve fund is required to be funded on a stand-alone basis for each company, rather than on a consolidated basis. The level of earnings available for the payment of dividends is determined under Mexican GAAP. The legal reserve of our holding company, Grupo Aeroportuario del Sureste, S.A. de C.V., is Ps. 65.5 million (which includes the required allocation corresponding to year 2003 net income).

Dividends paid to non-resident holders with respect to ASUR's series B shares and ADSs are not subject to Mexican withholding tax. Dividends that are paid from a company's distributable earnings that have not been subject to corporate income tax will be subject to a corporate-level dividend tax that is currently set to decrease over time according to the following schedule of rates: 51.5168% in 2002, 49.2525% in 2003 and 47.0592% thereafter. Distributable earnings that have not been subject to the corporate income tax may arise, for example, when a company's earnings are recognized for accounting purposes before they are recognized for tax purposes. This corporate-level dividend income tax on the distribution of earnings may be applied as a credit against Mexican corporate income tax corresponding to the fiscal year in which the dividend was

paid or against the Mexican corporate income tax of the two fiscal years following the date in which the dividend was paid. In the case of dividends paid in 2003, the credit would be applicable against the Mexican corporate income tax of the following three fiscal years. Dividends paid from a company's distributable earnings that have been subject to corporate income tax are not subject to this corporate-level dividend income tax. Three of our subsidiaries (Cancun, Villahermosa and Merida) benefit from an injunction that reduced the rate for dividends from 51.5168% in 2002, 49.2525% in 2003 and 47.0592% in 2004 to 34%, 33%, and 32%, respectively.

On April 27, 2001, the stockholders approved the application of 5% and 20% of income to the legal and share repurchase reserves, respectively. The stockholders allocated Ps. 11.8 million (5% of net income for fiscal year 2000) to a legal reserve fund in compliance with Mexican law and allocated Ps. 47.3 million (20% of net income for fiscal year 2000) to the constitution of a share repurchase reserve. On April 25, 2002, the stockholders approved the allocation of Ps. 13.9 million (5% of net income for fiscal year 2001) to the legal reserve as required under Mexican law and decided to cancel the share repurchase reserve account, transferring its balance into the company's general profit account. On April 29, 2003, the stockholders approved the allocation of Ps. 11.5 million (5% of net income for fiscal year 2002) to the legal reserve as required under Mexican law. On April 29, 2004, the stockholders approved the application of 5% and 55% of income to the legal and share repurchase reserve, respectively. The stockholders allocated Ps. 13.8 million (5% of net income for fiscal year 2003) to a legal reserve fund in compliance with Mexican law and allocated Ps. 152.0 million to a new share repurchase reserve account in 2004.

At our ordinary and extraordinary stockholders' meeting held on April 25, 2002, our stockholders approved cash dividends totaling Ps. 444.0 million (composed of an ordinary dividend of 0.45 per share and an extraordinary dividend of 1.03 per each share of our Series B and Series BB capital stock outstanding.) This dividend was paid on May 30, 2002, and is the first dividend that we have paid since our company was formed in 1998. On April 27, 2003 general stockholders' meeting, the company's stockholders agreed to pay net dividends after income tax of Ps. 150.0 million, or Ps.0.50 per share. At the general stockholders' meeting on April 29, 2004, ASUR's stockholders agreed to pay net dividends after income tax of Ps. 158.0 million or Ps. 0.56 per share. Because this dividend payment was not taken from the after-tax earnings account, it gave rise to an income tax of Ps. 61.4 million. This dividend was paid on May 31, 2004.

In the absence of attractive investment opportunities, we intend to continue paying yearly dividends out of our annual net retained earnings, however we do not necessarily plan to pay extraordinary dividends in the future. We do not currently intend to implement a stock repurchase program.

As of December 31, 2003, we had no distributable earnings that were subject to corporate income tax. We do not expect to generate such after-tax earnings in the near future. Until we generate such earnings subject to corporate income tax, dividends paid by us to non-resident holders of series B shares and ADSs will be subject to both the corporate-level dividend tax income discussed above.

We will declare any future dividends in pesos. In the case of series B shares represented by ADSs, cash dividends are paid to the depositary and, subject to the terms of the Deposit Agreement, converted into and paid in U.S. dollars at the prevailing exchange rate, net of conversion expenses of the depositary. Fluctuations in exchange rates affect the amount of dividends that ADS holders receive. For a more detailed discussion, see "Item 10. Additional Information."

Item 9. The Offer and Listing

Stock Price History

Years ended

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the high and low closing prices for (i) our common shares on the Mexican Stock Exchange in pesos and (ii) the ADSs on the New York Stock Exchange in U.S. dollars. For more information, see "Item 10. Additional Information--Exchange Controls" for the exchange rates applicable during the periods set forth below. The information set forth in the table below reflects actual historical amounts at the trade dates and has not been restated in constant pesos.

The annual high and low market prices for (i) our common shares on the Mexican Stock Exchange in pesos and (ii) the ADSs on the New York Stock Exchange in U.S. dollars over the five most recent financial years is as follows:

December 31,	U.S.\$ per ADR(1)		Pesos per Ser
	Low	High	Low
2222			
2002 First Quarter	12.00	14.03	12.85
Second Quarter(2)	11.90	16.45	11.59
Third Quarter	11.00	14.40	10.40
	9.79	12.00	9.50
Fourth Quarter	9.79	12.00	9.50
2003			
First Quarter	11.30	12.86	9.82
Second Quarter	13.06	16.34	10.99
Third Quarter	15.00	17.19	13.93
Fourth Quarter	16.20	20.00	14.55
2004			
First Quarter	19.30	24.71	17.38
	-	Geries B Share	U.S.\$ per
	Low	High	Low
March 1 Paris			
Monthly Prices	18.50	19.70	15.95
December, 2003	19.30	22.18	17.38
January, 2004	21.50	24.71	
February, 2004	21.50		19.50
March, 2004	22.10	24.70 24.00	20.30 19.41
April, 2004		24.00	
May, 2004	21.30	23.20	18.22

<sup>(1) 10</sup> Series B shares per ADR.

Sources: Mexican Stock Exchange and the New York Stock Exchange.

Trading on the Mexican Stock Exchange

<sup>(2)</sup> Dividend paid

The Mexican Stock Exchange, located in Mexico City, is the only stock exchange in Mexico. Founded in 1894, it ceased operations in the early 1900s, and was reestablished in 1907. The Mexican Stock Exchange is organized as a corporation whose shares are held by brokerage firms. These firms are exclusively authorized to trade on the floor of the Exchange. Trading on the Mexican Stock Exchange takes place exclusively through an automated inter-dealer quotation system known as SENTRA, which is open between the hours of 8:30 a.m. and 3:30 p.m., Mexico City time, each business day. Each trading day is divided into six trading sessions with ten-minute periods separating each session. Trades in securities listed on the Mexican Stock Exchange can, subject to certain requirements, also be effected off the Exchange. Due primarily to tax considerations, however, most transactions in listed Mexican securities are effected through the Exchange. The Mexican Stock Exchange operates a system of automatic suspension of trading in shares of a particular issuer as a means of controlling excessive price volatility. The suspension procedures will not apply to shares that are directly or indirectly (through ADSs or CPOs) quoted on a stock exchange outside Mexico.

Settlement is effected two business days after a share transaction on the Mexican Stock Exchange. Deferred settlement, even if by mutual agreement, is not permitted without the approval of the CNBV. Most securities traded on the Mexican Stock Exchange are on deposit with S.D. Indeval, S.A. de C.V., Instituto para el Deposito de Valores, a privately-owned central securities depositary that acts as a clearing house, depositary, custodian and registrar for Mexican Stock Exchange transactions, eliminating the need for the physical transfer of shares.

The Mexican Stock Exchange is one of Latin America's largest exchanges in terms of market capitalization, but it remains relatively small and illiquid compared to major world markets, and therefore subject to greater volatility.

As of December 31, 2003, 158 Mexican companies, excluding mutual funds, had equity listed on the Mexican Stock Exchange. In 2003, the ten most actively traded equity issues (excluding banks) represented approximately 71% of the total volume of equity issues traded on the Mexican Stock Exchange. Although the public participates in the trading of securities, a major part of the activity of the Mexican Stock Exchange reflects transactions by institutional investors. There is no formal over-the-counter market for securities in Mexico.

The market value of securities of Mexican companies is, to varying degrees, affected by economic and market conditions in other emerging market countries. In late October 1997, prices of both Mexican debt securities and Mexican equity securities dropped substantially following declines earlier in the year in the Asian, Russian and Brazilian securities markets.

Item 10. Additional Information

Bylaws

This section summarizes certain provisions of Mexican law and our estatutos sociales (bylaws), a copy of which is attached to this Form 20-F as Exhibit 1.1.

At our Extraordinary Stockholders' Meeting held on December 30, 2003, several changes to our bylaws were approved in order to comply with the regulations published in the Diario Oficial de la Federacion on March 19, 2003 by the Mexican Banking and Securities Commission. Our restated bylaws that include these recent amendments were duly registered with the Federal District Public Registry of Commerce on February 25, 2004 under file number 237,658. Our corporate purpose is defined in Article 2 of our bylaws and includes the management and operation of airports as well as a wide range of other commercial

activities.

Directors

Our bylaws provide that our board of directors will have at least seven but not more than eleven members. All directors can be elected at one meeting.

At each stockholders' meeting for the election of directors, the holders of series BB shares are entitled to elect two directors. The remaining members of the board of directors are to be elected by the holders of the series B shares.

Each person (or group of persons acting together) holding 10% of our capital stock in the form of series B shares is entitled to elect one director. The remaining positions on the board of directors will be filled based on the vote of all holders of series B shares, including those series B holders that were entitled to elect a director by virtue of their owning 10% of our capital stock. The candidates to be considered for election as directors by the series B stockholders will be proposed to the stockholders' meeting by the Nominations and Compensation Committee. All directors are elected based on a simple majority of the votes cast at the relevant stockholders' meeting. Our bylaws do not currently require mandatory retirement of directors after they reach a certain age. The compensation of our directors is proposed by the Nominations and Compensation Committee to all of our stockholders at stockholders' meetings for their approval.

The number of directors to be elected by the holders of series B shares is to be determined based on the number of directors elected by persons holding series B shares representing 10% (individually or as a group) of our capital stock and by the holders of the series BB shares. If less than seven directors are elected by 10% stockholders exercising their right to elect one director and by the holders of the series BB shares, the total number of directors to be elected by the series B holders will be such number as is required to reach seven. If seven directors are elected by 10% stockholders exercising their right to elect one director and by the holders of the series BB shares, the series B stockholders will be entitled to elect two directors in addition to those elected by 10% stockholders. If more than seven directors are elected by 10% stockholders exercising their right to elect one director and the holders of the series BB shares, the series B stockholders will be entitled to elect one or two directors in addition to the directors elected by 10% stockholders (individually or as a group) (depending on which number will result in an odd number of directors).

Authority of the Board of Directors

The board of directors is our legal representative. The powers of the board include, without limitation, the power:

- o to participate in our strategic planning decisions,
- o to authorize changes in our policies regarding financial structure, products, market development and organization,
- o to oversee compliance with general corporate practices, our bylaws and the minority rights set forth thereunder,
- o to call for stockholders' meetings and act on their resolutions,
- o to create special committees and grant them the powers and authority it sees fit, provided that said committees will not be vested with the authorities which by law or under our

bylaws are expressly reserved for the stockholders or the board of directors,

- o to determine how to vote the shares held by us in our subsidiaries in matters related to the appointment of: (i) our chief executive officer; and (ii) the officers determined by the board of directors other than those whose designation is reserved for the series BB directors or the Operating Committee,
- o to approve, upon proposal by the Operating Committee: (i) our annual budget and that of our subsidiaries; and (ii) the master development plan and any amendments thereto for each of the airports to be submitted to the Ministry of Communications and Transportation,
- o to determine how we will vote our shares in subsidiaries when the Operating Committee does not timely do so, and
- o to exercise non-assignable authority to approve: (i) operations outside the ordinary course of business between the Company and related parties; (ii) the purchase or sale of 10% or more of our assets; (iii) the granting of guarantees in an amount greater than 30% of the value of our assets; and (iv) operations, other than those already listed, that are outside the ordinary course of our business for amounts greater than 1% of the value of our assets.

Meetings of the board of directors will be validly convened and held if a majority of its members are present. Resolutions at said meetings will be valid if approved by a majority of the members of the board of directors, unless our bylaws require a higher number. The chairman does not have a tie-breaking vote.

Resolutions at board meetings with respect to any of the issues listed below will be valid only if approved by the members of the board of directors elected by the holders of the series BB shares:

- o approval of our financial statements and those of our subsidiaries and their submission to the stockholders' meeting,
- o approval of the 5-year master development plans for each of the airports operated by our subsidiaries,
- o annual approval of the business plan and the investment budget,
- o approval of capital investments not considered in the approved annual budget for each fiscal year,
- o approval of any sale of fixed assets having, individually or jointly, a value greater than U.S.\$2.0 million,
- o determine the manner in which the Company shall vote its shares at the shareholders meeting of its subsidiaries, taking into consideration the proposal of the Operating Committee,
- o proposal to increase our capital or that of our subsidiaries,
- o approval of any sale of shares of the capital stock of our subsidiaries,

- o approval of any transfer by us of shares in our subsidiaries,
- o purchase of shares or interests in any company,
- o approval or amendment of our management structure,
- o creation of new committees, delegation of powers to the same, and changes to the powers of any existing committee,
- o incurrence of any indebtedness in an amount greater than U.S.\$5.0 million during any calendar year or in excess of the debt level set forth in the annual business plan, which must not exceed a 50% debt to capital ratio, and
- o approval of our dividend policy and its submission to the stockholders' meeting.

### Powers of Series BB Directors

The Series BB directors are entitled to:

- o appoint and remove our chief executive officer and half of our executive officers;
- o appoint three members of the Operating Committee, one of which must be the chief executive officer;
- o appoint at least one member of the Audit Committee and the Acquisitions and Contracts Committee; and
- o determine the composition of our Operating Committee with respect to those members who are not affiliated with ASUR or our corporate group.

#### Our Capital Stock

The following table sets forth our authorized capital stock and our issued and outstanding capital stock at December 31, 2003:

### Capital Stock

	Authorized	Issued and outstanding
Fixed capital stock:		
Series B shares	255,000,000	255,000,000
Series BB shares	45,000,000	45,000,000
Variable capital stock:		
Series B shares	15,789,474	
Series BB shares	0	

All ordinary shares confer equal rights and obligations to holders within each series. The series BB shares have the voting and other rights described below.

Our bylaws provide that our shares have the following characteristics:

- o Series B. Series B shares currently represent 85% of our capital. Series B shares may be held by any Mexican or foreign natural person, company or entity.
- Series BB. Series BB shares currently represent 15% of our capital. Series BB shares may be held by any Mexican or

foreign natural person, company or entity.

Under the Mexican Airport Law and the Mexican Foreign Investments Law, foreign persons may not directly or indirectly own more than 49% of the capital stock of a holder of an airport concession unless an authorization from the Mexican Commission of Foreign Investments is obtained. We obtained this authorization on September 7, 1999 and as a consequence these restrictions do not apply to our series B or series BB shares.

Voting Rights and Stockholders' Meetings

Each series B share and series BB share entitles the holder to one vote at any general meeting of our stockholders. Holders of series BB shares are entitled to elect two members of our board of directors and holders of series B shares are entitled to name the remaining members of the board of directors.

Under Mexican law and our bylaws, we may hold three types of stockholders' meetings: ordinary, extraordinary, and special. Ordinary stockholders' meetings are those called to discuss any issue not reserved for extraordinary stockholders' meeting. An annual ordinary stockholders' meeting must be convened and held within the first four months following the end of each fiscal year to discuss, among other things, the report prepared by the Board on our financial statements, the appointment of members of the Board and statutory auditors and the determination of compensation for members of the Board and statutory auditors.

Extraordinary stockholders' meetings are those called to consider any of the following matters:

- extension of a company's duration or voluntary dissolution,
- o an increase or decrease in a company's minimum fixed capital,
- o change in corporate purpose or nationality,
- o any transformation, merger or spin-off involving the company,
- o any stock redemption or issuance of preferred stock or bonds,
- o the cancellation of the listing of our shares with the National Registry of Securities or on any stock exchange,
- o amendments to a company's bylaws, and
- o any other matters for which applicable Mexican law or the bylaws specifically require an extraordinary meeting.

Special stockholders' meetings are those called and held by stockholders of the same series or class to consider any matter particularly affecting the relevant series or class of shares.

Stockholders' meetings are required to be held in our corporate domicile, which is Mexico City. Calls for stockholders' meetings must be made by the Chairman, the Secretary, any two members of the board of directors or the statutory auditors. Any stockholder or group of stockholders representing at least 10% of our capital stock has the right to request that the board of directors or the statutory auditors call a stockholders' meeting to discuss the matters indicated in the relevant request. If the board of directors or the statutory auditors fail to call a meeting within 15 calendar days following receipt of the request, the stockholder or group of stockholders representing at least 10% of our capital stock may request that the call be made by a competent court.

Calls for stockholders' meetings must be published in the official gazette of the federation or in one newspaper of general circulation in Mexico at least 15 calendar days prior to the date of the meeting. Each call must set forth the place, date and time of the meeting and the matters to be addressed. Calls must be signed by whomever makes them, provided that calls made by the board of directors must be signed by the Chairman, the Secretary or a special delegate appointed by the board of directors for that purpose. Stockholders' meetings will be validly held and convened without the need of a prior call or publication whenever all the shares representing our capital are duly represented.

To be admitted to any stockholders' meeting, stockholders must: (i) be registered in our share registry; and (ii) at least 24 hours prior to the commencement of the meeting submit (a) an admission ticket issued by us for that purpose, and (b) a certificate of deposit of the relevant stock certificates issued by the Secretary or by a securities deposit institution, a Mexican or foreign bank or securities dealer in accordance with the Mexican Securities Market Law. The share registry will be closed three days prior to the date of the meeting. Stockholders may be represented at any stockholders' meeting by one or more attorneys-in-fact who may not be either directors or statutory auditors of ASUR. Representation at stockholders' meetings may be substantiated pursuant to general or special powers of attorney or by a proxy executed before two witnesses.

Promptly following the publication of any call for a stockholders' meeting, we will provide copies of the publication to the depositary for distribution to the holders of ADSs. Holders of ADSs are entitled to instruct the depositary as to the exercise of voting rights pertaining to the series B shares.

### Quorums

Ordinary meetings are regarded as legally convened pursuant to a first call when at least 50% of the shares representing our capital are present or duly represented. Resolutions at ordinary meetings of stockholders are valid when approved by a majority of the shares present at the meeting. Any number of shares represented at an ordinary meeting of stockholders convened pursuant to a second or subsequent call constitutes a quorum. Resolutions at ordinary meetings of stockholders convened in this manner are valid when approved by a majority of the shares present at the meeting.

Extraordinary stockholders' meetings are regarded as legally convened pursuant to a first or subsequent call when at least 75% of the shares representing our capital are present or duly represented. Resolutions at extraordinary meetings of stockholders are valid if taken by the favorable vote of shares representing more that 50% of our capital.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, resolutions at extraordinary meetings of stockholders called to discuss any of the issues listed below are valid only if approved by a vote of shares representing at least 75% of our capital:

- any amendment to our bylaws which: (i) changes or deletes the authorities of our committees; or (ii) changes or deletes the rights of minority stockholders,
- o any actions resulting in the cancellation of the concessions granted to us or our subsidiaries by the Mexican government or any assignment of rights arising therefrom,
- o termination of the participation agreement that was entered into by ITA and the Mexican government in connection with the

Mexican government's sale of the series BB shares to ITA,

- o the cancellation of our registration in the Mexican Securities Registry or in any stock market,
- o a merger by us with an entity the business of which is not related to the business of us or our subsidiaries, and
- o a spin-off, dissolution or liquidation of ASUR. Our bylaws also establish the following voting requirements:
- o the amendment of the restrictions in our bylaws on ownership of shares of our capital stock requires the vote of holders of 85% of our capital stock;
- o a delisting of our shares requires the vote of holders of 95% of our capital stock; and
- o the amendment of the provisions in our bylaws requiring that a stockholder seeking to obtain control carry out a tender offer requires the vote of holders of 85% of our capital stock.

### Right of Withdrawal

Any stockholder having voted against a resolution validly adopted at a meeting of our stockholders with respect to (i) a change in our corporate purpose or nationality, (ii) a change of corporate form, (iii) a merger involving us in which we are not the surviving entity or the dilution of its capital stock by more than 10%, or (iv) a spin-off, may request redemption of its shares, provided that the relevant request is filed with us within fifteen days following the holding of the relevant stockholders' meeting. The redemption of the stockholders' shares will be effected at the lower of (a) 95% of the average trading price determined on the closing prices of our shares over the last thirty days on which trading in our shares took place prior to the date on which the relevant resolution becomes effective, during a period not longer than six months, or (b) the book value of the shares in accordance with our most recent audited financial statements approved by our stockholders' meeting.

Pursuant to our bylaws, our stockholders have waived the right to redeem their variable capital contributions provided in the Mexican General Law of Business Corporations.

Veto Rights of Holders of Series BB Shares

So long as the series BB shares represent at least 7.65% of our capital stock, resolutions adopted at stockholders' meetings with respect to any of the issues listed below will only be valid if approved by a vote of a majority of the series BB shares:

- o approval of our financial statements,
- o liquidation or dissolution,
- o capital increases or decreases,
- o declaration and payment of dividends,
- o amendment to our bylaws,
- o mergers, spin-offs or share-splits,
- o grant or amendment of special rights to series of shares, and

o any decision amending or nullifying a resolution validly taken by the board of directors with respect to (i) appointment of our chief executive officer or the other members of management to be designated by the holders of our series BB shares, (ii) appointment of the three members of our Operating Committee to be designated by the holders of the series BB shares, and (iii) appointment of the members of the Operating Committee whose appointment requires the consent of the holders of the series BB shares.

#### Dividends and Distributions

At our annual ordinary general stockholders' meeting, the board of directors will submit to the stockholders for their approval our financial statements for the preceding fiscal year. Five percent of our net income (after profit sharing and other deductions required by Mexican law) must be allocated to a legal reserve fund until the legal reserve fund reaches an amount equal to at least 20% of our capital stock (without adjustment for inflation). Additional amounts may be allocated to other reserve funds as the stockholders may from time to time determine including a reserve to repurchase shares. The remaining balance, if any, of net earnings may be distributed as dividends on the shares of common stock. A full discussion of our dividend policy may be found in "Item 8. Financial Information—Dividends."

### Registration and Transfer

Our shares are registered with the Mexican Securities Registry, as required under the Securities Market Law and regulations issued by the Mexican Banking and Securities Commission. If we wish to cancel our registration, or if it is cancelled by the Mexican Banking and Securities Commission, the stockholders holding a majority of the ordinary shares or that have the ability, under any title, to impose any decisions in the ordinary shareholders' meeting or to appoint a majority of members to the board of directors of ASUR will be required to make a public offer to purchase all outstanding shares, prior to such cancellation. Unless the Mexican Banking and Securities Commission authorizes otherwise, the public offer price shall be the higher of the weighted average trade price (based on volume) for our shares during the thirty prior days on which shares may have been quoted prior to the date of the public offer during a period not longer than six months or if no shares traded during such period, the book value of the shares as calculated in accordance with the most recent quarterly report submitted to the Mexican Banking and Securities Commission and to the Mexican Stock Exchange. Any amendments to the foregoing provisions included in our bylaws require the prior approval of the Mexican Banking and Securities Commission and the resolution of the extraordinary stockholders' meeting adopted by a minimum voting quorum of 95% of our outstanding capital stock.

Series BB shares may only be transferred after conversion into series B shares, and are subject to the following rules:

- O Currently, ITA is permitted to sell up to 49% of its series BB shares. ITA is required to retain its remaining 51% interest through December 18, 2008.
- o After December 18, 2008, ITA continues to be free to sell 49% of its initial ownership interest without restriction. In addition, ITA may sell in any year up to 20% of its other 51% interest in series BB shares.
- o If ITA owns series BB shares that represent less than 7.65% of our capital stock after December 18, 2013, those remaining

series BB shares will be automatically converted into freely transferable series B shares.

- o If ITA owns series BB shares representing at least 7.65% of our capital stock after December 18, 2013, those series BB shares may be converted into series B shares, provided the holders of at least 51% of series B shares (other than shares held by ITA and any of its "related persons") approve such conversion and vote against renewal of the technical assistance agreement.
- o If upon such conversion any stockholder exceeds the individual ownership limitations set forth in our bylaws, such stockholder will be required to transfer the excess stock to a third party within thirty calendar days. If the stockholder fails to effect such transfer within the thirty calendar day period, we may thereafter redeem such excess stock at book value in accordance with the latest financial statements approved by the stockholders' meeting.

For purposes of our bylaws, a "related person" means, with respect to any person:

- o any person, directly or indirectly, controlling, controlled by, or under common control with such person
- o any person having the ability to determine the business policies of such person
- o in the case of an individual, an individual having a blood or civil kinship in a direct line (ascending or descending) within and including the fourth grade with such person
- o in the case of ASUR, ITA, and
- o in the case of ITA, its stockholders and their related persons.

For purposes of our bylaws, "control" of a person, with respect to any person, is defined as:

- o the ownership, directly or indirectly of 20% or more of the capital stock or voting rights of such person,
- o the ability to elect the majority of the members of the board of directors or managers of the person,
- o the ability to veto resolutions that could otherwise be adopted by the person's stockholders (except with respect to matters required to be approved by an extraordinary stockholders' meeting under Mexican law), either by agreement or by ownership of a special series of shares, or
- o existence of commercial relations representing more than 15% of the total annual consolidated income of such person.

Stockholder Ownership Restrictions and Antitakeover Protection

Holders of our shares are subject to the following restrictions:

o holders of series B shares, either individually or together with their related persons, may not directly or indirectly own

more than 10% of our capital stock,

- o series BB shares may represent no more than 15% of our outstanding capital stock,
- o holders of series BB shares may also own series B shares, provided that as long as they hold series BB shares, their total beneficial ownership may not exceed 20% of our outstanding capital stock,
- o no more than 5% of our outstanding capital stock may be owned by air carriers, and
- o foreign governments acting in a sovereign capacity may not directly or indirectly own any portion of our capital stock.

A person exceeding the 10% threshold described above due solely to our repurchase of our shares is required to reduce its interest below 10% within one year of such repurchase.

The foregoing ownership restrictions do not apply to:

- o NAFIN, including in its capacity as trustee,
- o Institutions that act as depositaries for securities, and
- o Financial and other authorized institutions that hold securities for the account of beneficial owners, provided that such beneficial owners are not exempt from the ownership restrictions.

Any amendment to the ownership restrictions described above requires the vote of shares representing 85% of our capital stock.

If our bylaws are amended to eliminate the share ownership restrictions described above, any stockholder seeking to acquire "control" of ASUR (as defined above) is required to obtain the consent of the board of directors prior to acquiring shares in excess of the amount permitted to be acquired prior to any such amendment. Any such consent granted by the board of directors shall be conditioned on a tender offer being conducted within 30 business days by the person acquiring "control." The tender offer price is required to be the higher of (i) the average price of the trades carried out during the prior 30 (thirty) days on which the shares may have been quoted prior to the date of the offer; or (ii) the book value of the shares in accordance with the most recent quarterly report submitted to the Mexican Banking and Securities Commission and to the Mexican Stock Exchange, unless the Mexican Banking and Securities Commission authorizes a different price. Any amendment of this tender offer requirement requires the vote of the holders of 95% of our capital stock.

Air carriers and their subsidiaries and affiliates are not permitted, directly or indirectly, to "control" ASUR or any of our subsidiary concession holders.

Under the Mexican Airport Law, any control takeover requires the prior consent of the Ministry of Communications and Transportation. See "Item 4. Information on the Company--Regulatory Framework--Reporting, Information and Consent Requirements."

For purposes of these provisions, "related person" and "control" are defined above under "--Registration and Transfer."

Changes in Capital Stock

Increases and reductions of our minimum fixed capital must be approved at an extraordinary stockholders' meeting, subject to the provisions of our bylaws and the Mexican General Law of Business Corporations. Increases or reductions of the variable capital must be approved at an ordinary stockholders' meeting in compliance with the voting requirements of our bylaws.

Shares issued under Article 81 of the Securities Market Law (which are those held in treasury to be delivered upon their subscription) may be offered for subscription and payment by the board of directors, provided that:

- o the issuance is made to effect a public offering in accordance with the Securities Market Law, and
- o the Company shall obtain authorization from the National Banking and Securities Commission,
- o the shares that are not subscribed and paid within the period set forth by the National Banking and Securities Commission shall be considered null and void and be cancelled, and
- o to facilitate the public offer, at the extraordinary stockholders' meeting where the issuance of non-subscribed shares is approved, an express waiver of preemptive rights is made.

If the holders of at least 25% of our capital stock vote against the issuance of non-subscribed shares, said issuance may not take place.

Subject to the individual ownership limitations set forth in our bylaws, in the event of an increase of our capital stock our stockholders will have a preemptive right to subscribe and pay for new stock issued as a result of such increase in proportion to their stockholder interest at that time, unless: (i) the capital increase is made under the provisions of Article 81 of the Securities Market Law; or (ii) the capital increase relates to the issuance of shares upon the conversion of debentures. Said preemptive right shall be exercised by subscription and payment of the relevant stock within fifteen business days after the date of publication of the corresponding notice to our stockholders in the official gazette of the federation and in one of the newspapers or greater circulation in Mexico, provided that if at the corresponding meeting all of our shares are duly represented, the fifteen business day period shall commence on the date of the meeting.

Our capital stock may be reduced by resolution of a stockholders' meeting taken pursuant to the rules applicable to capital increases. Our capital stock may also be reduced upon withdrawal of a stockholder (See "--Voting Rights and Stockholders' Meetings--Right of Withdrawal") or by repurchase of our own stock in accordance with the Securities Market Law (See "--Share Repurchases").

### Share Repurchases

We may choose to acquire our own shares through the Mexican Stock Exchange and the New York Stock Exchange on the following terms and conditions:

- the acquisition must be made at the market price charged against the capital stock and, when applicable, against a reserve created with funds from net profits,
- o the ordinary stockholders' meeting shall determine the amount of capital and, if applicable, the amount of the reserve that we may use to repurchase our shares. The acquisition may be effected by resolution of our board of directors,

- o the acquisition must be made subject to the provisions of applicable law, including the Securities Market Law and carried out, reported and disclosed in the manner established by the Mexican Banking and Securities Commission,
- o as a consequence of the purchase, the corporate capital and the reserve will be reduced, converting the acquired shares into treasury shares, and
- o the shares may be resold out of the treasury, thereby increasing the corporate capital and the reserve.

Ownership of Capital Stock by Subsidiaries

Our subsidiaries may not, directly or indirectly, invest in our shares, unless such subsidiaries acquired our shares to comply with employee stock option or stock sale plans that are established, granted or designed in favor of the employees or officers of such subsidiaries. The number of shares acquired for such purpose may not exceed 15% of our outstanding capital stock.

### Liquidation

Upon our dissolution, one or more liquidators must be appointed at an extraordinary stockholders' meeting to wind up our affairs. All fully paid and outstanding shares will be entitled to participate equally in any distribution upon liquidation. Partially paid shares participate in any distribution in the same proportion that such shares have been paid at the time of the distribution.

Other Provisions

Liabilities of the members of the Board of Directors

As in any other Mexican corporation and due to the provisions of the Mexican General Law on Business Corporations, any stockholder or group of stockholders holding at least 10% of our capital stock may directly file a civil liability action under Mexican law against the members of the board of directors and statutory auditors.

In addition to the foregoing, our bylaws provide that a member of the board of directors will be liable to us and our stockholders in the following circumstances:

- o negligence resulting in the loss of more than two-thirds of our capital stock,
- o fraud resulting in our bankruptcy,
- o exceeding board authority or breach of duties under our bylaws,
- o participation in the resolution of issues where a conflict of interest exists that results in damages to us,
- o negligence resulting in company obligations or agreements violating legal or statutory provisions, and
- o failure to report irregularities in actions of former board members.

The members of the board are liable to our stockholders only for the loss of net worth suffered as a consequence of disloyal acts carried out in

excess of their authority or in violation of our bylaws.

Information to Stockholders

The Mexican General Law on Business Corporations establishes that companies, acting through their boards of directors, must annually present a report at a stockholder's meeting that includes:

- o a report of the directors on the operations of the company during the preceding year, as well as on the policies followed by the directors and on the principal existing projects,
- o a report explaining the principal accounting and information policies and criteria followed in the preparation of the financial information,
- o a statement of the financial condition of the company at the end of the fiscal year,
- o a statement showing the results of operations of the company during the preceding year, as well as changes in the company's financial condition and capital stock during the preceding year,
- o the notes which are required to complete or clarify the above mentioned information, and
- o the report prepared by the statutory auditors with respect to the accuracy and reasonability of the above mentioned information presented by the board of directors.

In addition to the foregoing, our bylaws provide that the board of directors should also prepare the information referred to above with respect to any subsidiary that represents at least 20% of our net worth (based on the financial statements most recently available).

Duration

The duration of our corporate existence is one hundred years.

Stockholders' Conflict of Interest

Under Mexican law, any stockholder that has a conflict of interest with respect to any transaction must abstain from voting on such a transaction at the relevant stockholders' meeting. A stockholder that votes on a transaction in which its interest conflicts with that of ASUR may be liable for damages in the event the relevant transaction would not have been approved without such stockholder's vote.

Directors' Conflict of Interest

Under Mexican law, any director who has a conflict of interest with ASUR in any transaction must disclose the conflict to the other directors and abstain from voting. Any director who violates such provision will be liable to us for any resulting damages or losses. Additionally, our directors and statutory auditors may not represent stockholders in the stockholders' meetings.

Material Contracts

Our subsidiaries are parties to the airport concessions granted by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation under which we are required to construct, operate, maintain and develop the airports in exchange for certain benefits. See "--Sources of Regulation" and "--Scope of Concessions and General

Obligations of Concession Holders" under "Regulatory Framework" in Item 4.

We are a party to a participation agreement with ITA and the Ministry of Communications and Transportation which establishes the framework for several other agreements to which we are a party. See "Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions--Related Party Transactions--Arrangements with ITA".

We have entered into a technical assistance agreement and option agreement with ITA providing for management and consulting services and the option to subscribe for newly issued series B shares. See "Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions--Related Party Transactions--Arrangements with ITA."

### Exchange Controls

Mexico has had free market for foreign exchange since 1991 and the government has allowed the peso to float freely against the U.S. dollar since December 1994. There can be no assurance that the government will maintain its current foreign exchange policies. See "Item 3. Key Information--Exchange Rates."

#### Taxation

The following summary contains a description of the material anticipated U.S. and Mexican federal income tax consequences of the purchase, ownership and disposition of our series B shares or ADSs by a holder that is a citizen or resident of the United States or a U.S. domestic corporation or that otherwise will be subject to U.S. federal income tax on a net income basis in respect of our series B shares or ADSs and that is a "non-Mexican holder" (as defined below) (a "U.S. holder"), but it does not purport to be a comprehensive description of all of the tax considerations that may be relevant to a decision to purchase our series B shares or ADSs. In particular, the summary deals only with U.S. holders that will hold our series B shares or ADSs as capital assets and does not address the tax treatment of a U.S. holder that owns or is treated as owning 10% or more of our outstanding voting shares. In addition, the summary does not address any U.S. or Mexican state or local tax considerations that may be relevant to a U.S. holder.

The summary is based upon the federal income tax laws of the United States and Mexico as in effect on the date of this Form 20-F, including the provisions of the income tax treaty between the United States and Mexico and protocol thereto (the "Tax Treaty"), all of which are subject to change, possibly with retroactive effect in the case of U.S. federal income tax law. Prospective investors in our series B shares or ADSs should consult their own tax advisors as to the U.S., Mexican or other tax consequences of the purchase, ownership and disposition of the series B shares or ADSs, including, in particular, the effect of any foreign, state or local tax laws and their entitlement to the benefits, if any, afforded by the Tax Treaty.

For purposes of this summary, the term "non-Mexican holder" shall mean a holder that is not a resident of Mexico and that will not hold the series B shares or ADSs or a beneficial interest therein in connection with the conduct of a trade or business through a permanent establishment or fixed base in Mexico.

For purposes of Mexican taxation, the definition of "residency" is highly technical and residency results in several situations. Generally an individual is a resident of Mexico if he or she has established his or her home in Mexico, and a corporation is a resident if it is incorporated under Mexican law or it has its center of interests in Mexico. An individual who has a home in Mexico and another country will be considered to be a resident of Mexico if Mexico is the individual's significant center of interest. An individual's

significant center of interest will be considered Mexico in the following circumstances, among other factors: (i) when more than 5% of such person's total yearly income originates in Mexico; (ii) when Mexico is the individual's principal place of business. Additionally, Mexican officers and employees working for the Mexican government but living outside of Mexico will be considered to be Mexican residents even if their significant center of interest is not in Mexico. However, any determination of residence should take into account the particular situation or each person or legal entity.

In general, for U.S. federal income tax purposes, holders of ADSs will be treated as the beneficial owners of the series B shares represented by those ADSs.

Taxation of Dividends

Mexican Tax Considerations

Under Mexican Income Tax Law provisions, dividends paid to non-Mexican holders with respect to our series B shares or ADSs are not subject to any Mexican withholding tax.

### U.S. Federal Income Tax Considerations

The gross amount of any distributions paid with respect to the series B shares or ADSs, to the extent paid out of our current or accumulated earnings and profits, as determined for U.S. federal income tax purposes, generally will be includible in the gross income of a U.S. holder as ordinary income on the date on which the distributions are received by the depositary and will not be eligible for the dividends received deduction allowed to certain corporations under the U.S. Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. To the extent that a distribution exceeds our current and accumulated earnings and profits, it will be treated as a non-taxable return of basis to the extent thereof, and thereafter as capital gain from the sale of series B shares or ADSs. Distributions, which will be made in pesos, will be includible in the income of a U.S. holder in a U.S. dollar amount calculated by reference to the exchange rate in effect on the date they are received by the depositary whether or not they are converted into U.S. dollars. If such distributions are converted into U.S. dollars on the date of receipt, a U.S. holder generally should not be required to recognize foreign currency gain or loss in respect of the distributions. Subject to certain exceptions for short-term and hedged positions, the U.S. dollar amount of dividends received by an individual U.S. holder prior to January 1, 2009 with respect to the ADSs will be subject to taxation at a maximum rate of 15% if the dividends are "qualified dividends." Dividends paid on the ADSs will be treated as qualified dividends if (i) the ADSs are readily tradable on an established securities market in the United States and (ii) the issuer was not, in the year prior to the year in which the dividend was paid, and is not, in the years in which the dividend is paid, a passive foreign investment company ("PFIC"), foreign personal holding company ("FPHC") or foreign investment company ("FIC"). The ADSs are listed on the New York Stock Exchange, and will qualify as readily tradable on an established securities market in the United States so long as they are so listed. Based on our audited financial statements and relevant market and shareholder data, we believe that we were not treated as a PFIC, FPHC or FIC for U.S. federal income tax purposes with respect to our 2003 taxable year. In addition, based on our audited financial statements and our current expectations regarding the value and nature of our assets, the sources and nature of our income, and relevant market and shareholder data, we do not anticipate becoming a PFIC, FPHC or FIC for our 2004 taxable year.

Based on existing guidance, it is not entirely clear whether dividends received with respect to the common shares will be treated as qualified dividends, because the common shares are not themselves listed on a U.S

exchange. In addition, the U.S. Treasury has announced its intention to promulgate rules pursuant to which holders of ADSs or common stock and intermediaries through whom such securities are held will be permitted to rely on certifications from issuers to establish that dividends are treated as qualified dividends. Because such procedures have not yet been issued, it is not clear whether we will be able to comply with them. Holders of ADSs and common shares should consult their own tax advisors regarding the availability of the reduced dividend tax rate in the light of their own particular circumstances.

U.S. holders should consult their own tax advisors regarding the treatment of foreign currency gain or loss, if any, on any pesos received that are converted into U.S. dollars on a date subsequent to receipt. Three of our subsidiaries (Cancun, Villahermosa and Merida) benefit from an injunction that reduced the rate for dividends from 51.5168% in 2002, 49.2525% in 2003 and 47.0592% in 2004 to 34%, 33%, 32%, respectively.

Taxation of Dispositions of Shares or ADSs

Mexican Tax Considerations

Gain on the sale or other disposition of ADSs by a non-Mexican holder will not be subject to any Mexican tax. Deposits and withdrawals of our series B shares in exchange for ADSs will not give rise to Mexican tax or transfer duties.

Gain on the sale of our series B shares by a non-Mexican holder will not be subject to any Mexican tax if the transaction is carried out through the Mexican Stock Exchange or other securities markets approved by the Mexican Ministry of Finance, and provided certain requirements set forth by the Mexican Income Tax Law are complied with. Sales or other dispositions of series B shares made in other circumstances generally would be subject to Mexican tax, except to the extent that a holder is eligible for benefits under an income tax treaty to which Mexico is a party. Under the Tax Treaty, a holder that is eligible to claim the benefits of the Tax Treaty will be exempt from Mexican tax on gains realized on a sale or other disposition of the series B shares in a transaction that is not carried out through the Mexican Stock Exchange or such other approved securities markets, so long as the holder did not own, directly or indirectly, 25% or more of our capital stock (including ADSs) within the 12-month period preceding such sale or other disposition.

For non-Mexican holders that do not meet the requirements referred to above, gross income realized on the sale of the series B shares will be subject to a 5% Mexican withholding tax if the transaction is carried out through the Mexican Stock Exchange. Alternatively, a non-Mexican holder can choose to be subject to a 20% withholding rate on the net gain obtained, as calculated pursuant to Mexican Income Tax Law provisions.

### U.S. Tax Considerations

Upon the sale or other disposition of the series B shares or ADSs, a U.S. holder generally will recognize capital gain or loss in an amount equal to the difference between the amount realized on the sale or other disposition and such U.S. holder's tax basis in the series B shares or ADSs. Gain or loss recognized by a U.S. holder on such sale or other disposition generally will be long-term capital gain or loss if, at the time of the sale or other disposition, the series B shares or ADSs have been held for more than one year. The net amount of long-term capital gain recognized by an individual U.S. holder is taxed at a reduced rate. The deduction of a capital loss is subject to limitations for U.S. federal income tax purposes. Deposits and withdrawals of series B shares by U.S. holders in exchange for ADSs will not result in the realization of gain or loss for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

Gain, if any, realized by a U.S. holder on the sale or other disposition of the series B shares or ADSs generally will be treated as U.S. source income for U.S. foreign tax credit purposes. Consequently, if a Mexican withholding tax is imposed on the sale or disposition of the series B shares, a U.S. holder that does not receive significant foreign source income from other sources may not be able to derive effective U.S. foreign tax credit benefits in respect of these Mexican taxes. U.S. holders should consult their own tax advisors regarding the application of the foreign tax credit rules to their investment in, and disposition of, series B shares.

Other Mexican Taxes

There are no Mexican inheritance, gift, succession or value added taxes applicable to the ownership, transfer or disposition of the series B shares or ADSs by non-Mexican holders; provided, however, that gratuitous transfers of the series B shares or ADSs may in certain circumstances cause a Mexican federal tax to be imposed upon the recipient. There are no Mexican stamp, issue, registration or similar taxes or duties payable by non-Mexican holders of the series B shares or ADSs.

### U.S. Backup Withholding Tax and Information Reporting Requirements

In general, information reporting requirements will apply to payments by a paying agent within the United States to a non-corporate (or other non-exempt) U.S. holder of dividends in respect of the series B shares or ADSs or the proceeds received on the sale or other disposition of the series B shares or ADSs, and a backup withholding tax may apply to such amounts if the U.S. holder fails to provide an accurate taxpayer identification number to the paying agent. Amounts withheld as backup withholding tax will be creditable against the U.S. holder's U.S. federal income tax liability, provided that the required information is furnished to the U.S. Internal Revenue Service.

# Documents On Display

The materials included in this annual report on Form 20-F, and exhibits hereto, may be viewed at the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission's public reference room in Washington, D.C. Please call the Commission at 1-800-SEC-0330 for further information on the public reference rooms. The Securities and Exchange Commission maintains a World Wide Web site on the Internet at http://www.sec.gov that contains reports and information statements and other information regarding us. The reports and information statements and other information about us can also be downloaded from the Securities and Exchange Commission's website.

Item 11. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

We are exposed to market risk from changes in currency exchange rates.

Foreign Currency Risk

Our principal exchange rate risk involves changes in the value of the peso relative to the dollar. Historically, a significant portion of the revenues generated by our airports (principally derived from passenger charges for international passengers) has been denominated in or linked to the U.S. dollar, although such revenues are collected in pesos based on the average exchange rate for the prior month. In 2001, 2002 and 2003, approximately 40.0%, 37.4% and 39.7%, respectively, of our consolidated revenues were derived from passenger charges for international passengers. Substantially all of our other revenues are denominated in pesos. We estimate that substantially all of our consolidated costs and expenses are denominated in pesos (other than the salaries of our executive officers and the technical assistance fee, to the extent paid based on the fixed minimum annual payment).

We did not have any foreign currency indebtedness at December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003. As of December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003, 30.4%, 2.8% and 5.9%, respectively, of our cash and marketable securities were denominated in dollars. In the event that we incur foreign currency denominated indebtedness in the future, decreases in the value of the peso relative to the dollar will increase the cost in pesos of servicing such indebtedness. A depreciation of the peso relative to the dollar would also result in foreign exchange losses as the peso value of our foreign currency denominated indebtedness is increased. At December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003, we did not have any outstanding forward foreign exchange contracts. Prior to the maturity of the U.S.\$27.7 million of notes due June 30, 2000, we entered into several forward foreign exchange contracts to manage the exchange rate risk associated with this debt.

Item 12. Description of Securities Other Than Equity Securities

Not applicable.

### PART II

Item 13. Defaults, Dividend Arrearages and Delinquencies
Not applicable.

Item 14. Material Modifications to the Rights of Security Holders and Use of Proceeds

Not applicable.

Item 15. Controls and Procedures

We carried out an evaluation under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2003. There are inherent limitations to the effectiveness of any system of disclosure controls and procedures, including the possibility of human error and the circumvention or overriding of the controls and procedures. Accordingly, even effective disclosure controls and procedures can only provide reasonable assurance of achieving their control objectives. Based upon our evaluation, our chief executive officer and chief financial officer concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2003 were effective to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in the reports we file and submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported as and when required.

There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting during 2003 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Item 16. Reserved

Item 16A. Audit Committee Financial Expert

Our board of directors has reviewed the qualifications and backgrounds

of the members of the audit committee and has determined that, although no one member of the audit committee is an "audit committee financial expert" within the meaning of Item 16A, the combined qualifications and experience of the members of the audit committee give the committee collectively the financial expertise necessary to discharge its responsibilities. We expect to appoint a new member to the audit committee in 2004 who will serve in the role of "audit committee financial expert".

Item 16B. Code of Ethics

We have adopted a code of ethics, as defined in Item 16B of Form 20-F under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Our code of ethics applies to our chief executive officer, chief financial officer, chief accounting officer and persons performing similar functions as well as to our other officers and employees. Our code of ethics is filed as an exhibit to this Form 20-F and is available on our website at www.asur.com.mx. If we amend the provisions of our code of ethics that apply to our chief executive officer, chief financial officer, chief accounting officer and persons performing similar functions, or if we grant any waiver of such provisions, we will disclose such amendment or waiver on our website at the same address.

Item 16C. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

Audit and Non-Audit Fees

The following table sets forth the fees billed to us by our independent auditors, PricewaterhouseCoopers, during the fiscal years ended December 31,

2002 and 2003:

	Year ended December 31,(thousands of pesos)	
	2002	2003
Audit fees	Ps. 3,200 0 600 0	Ps. 3,200 0 100 0
Total fees	Ps. 3,800	Ps. 3,300

Audit fees in the above table are the aggregate fees billed by PricewaterhouseCoopers in connection with the audit of our annual financial statements and the review of our interim financial statements.

Tax fees in the above table are fees billed by PricewaterhouseCoopers for tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning services.

Our independent auditors did not provide audit-related services or other services in 2002 and 2003.

Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures

Our audit committee has not established pre-approval policies and procedures for the engagement of our independent auditors for services. Our audit committee expressly approves on a case-by-case basis any engagement of our independent auditors for audit and non-audit services provided to our

subsidiaries or to us.

#### PART III

Item 18. Financial Statements

See pages F-1 through F-42, incorporated herein by reference. The following is an index to the financial statements:

Consolidated Financial Statements for Grupo Aeroportuario del Sureste, S.A. de C.V. and Subsidiaries

Item 19. Exhibits

Documents filed as exhibits to this annual report:

### Exhibit No. Description

- 1.1 Amended and Restated Bylaws (Estatutos Sociales) of the Company, together with an English translation.
- 2.1 Deposit Agreement among the Company, The Bank of New York, and all registered holders from time to time of any American Depositary Receipts, including the form of American Depositary Receipt (incorporated by reference to our registration statement on Form F-6 (File No. 333-12486) filed on September 7, 2000).
- 3.1 Trust Agreement among the Company, ITA, and Bancomext, together with an English translation (incorporated by reference to our registration statement on Form F-1 (File No. 333-12486) filed on September 7, 2000).
- 4.1 Amended and Restated Cancun Airport Concession Agreement and

annexes thereto, together with an English translation and a schedule highlighting the differences between this concession and the Company's other concessions (incorporated by reference to our registration statement on Form F-1 (File No. 333-12486) filed on September 7, 2000).

- Participation Agreement among the Company, the Mexican Federal 4.2 Government through the Ministry of Communications and Transportation, Nacional Financiera, S.N.C. ("NAFIN"), Servicios Aeroportuarios del Sureste, S.A. de C.V., Aeropuerto de Cancun, S.A. de C.V., Aeropuerto de Cozumel, S.A. de C.V., Aeropuerto de Huatulco, S.A. de C.V., Aeropuerto de Merida, S.A. de C.V., Aeropuerto de Minatitlan, S.A. de C.V., Aeropuerto de Oaxaca, S.A. de C.V., Aeropuerto de Tapachula, S.A. de C.V., Aeropuerto de Veracruz, S.A. de C.V., Aeropuerto de Villahermosa, S.A. de C.V., Triturados Basalticos y Derivados, S.A. de C.V., Copenhagen Airports A/S, Cintra Concesiones de Infraestructuras de Transporte, S.A., Groupe GTM, S.A., Inversiones y Tecnicas Aeroportuarias, S.A. de C.V. ("ITA"), Banco Nacional de Comercio Exterior, S.N.C. ("Bancomext"), and Aeropuertos y Servicios Auxiliares ("ASA"), together with an English translation (incorporated by reference to our registration statement on Form F-1 (File No. 333-12486) filed on September 7, 2000).
- 4.3 Amendment to the Participation Agreement, the Shareholders Agreement and the Technical Assistance Agreement among the Mexican Federal Government through the Ministry of Communications and Transportation, NAFIN, Bancomext, the Company, Servicios Aeroportuario del Sureste, S.A. de C.V., Aeropuerto de Cancun, S.A. de C.V., Aeropuerto de Cozumel, S.A. de C.V., Aeropuerto de Huatulco, S.A. de C.V., Aeropuerto de Merida, S.A. de C.V., Aeropuerto de Minatitlan, S.A. de C.V., Aeropuerto de Oaxaca, S.A. de C.V., Aeropuerto de Tapachula, S.A. de C.V., Aeropuerto de Veracruz, S.A. de C.V. and Aeropuerto de Villahermosa, S.A. de C.V.; ITA, Triturados Basalticos y Derivados, S.A. de C.V., Copenhagen Airports A/S, Cintra Concesiones de Infraestructura de Transporte, S.A. de C.V. and Groupe GTM, S.A (incorporated by reference to our registration statement on Form F-1 (File No. 333-12486) filed on September 7, 2000).
- Technical Assistance and Transfer of Technology Agreement among the Company, Servicios Aeroportuarios del Sureste, S.A. de C.V., Aeropuerto de Cancun, S.A. de C.V., Aeropuerto de Cozumel, S.A. de C.V., Aeropuerto de Huatulco, S.A. de C.V., Aeropuerto de Merida, S.A. de C.V., Aeropuerto de Minatitlan, S.A. de C.V., Aeropuerto de Oaxaca, S.A. de C.V., Aeropuerto de Tapachula, S.A. de C.V., Aeropuerto de Veracruz, S.A. de C.V., Aeropuerto de Villahermosa, S.A. de C.V., Triturados Basalticos y Derivados, S.A. de C.V., Copenhagen Airports A/S, Cintra Concesiones de Infraestructuras de Transporte, S.A., VINCI, S.A. and ITA, together with an English translation (incorporated by reference to our registration statement on Form F-1 (File No. 333-12486) filed on September 7, 2000).
- 4.5 Stock Option Agreement between the Registrant and ITA, together with an English translation (incorporated by reference to our registration statement on Form F-1 (File No. 333-12486) filed on September 7, 2000).
- 4.6 Shareholders' Agreement among the Company, NAFIN, ITA,

Bancomext, and the Mexican Federal Government through the Ministry of Communications and Transportation, together with an English translation (incorporated by reference to our registration statement on Form F-1 (File No. 333-12486) filed on September 7, 2000).

- 4.7 Indemnity Agreement between the Company and the Mexican Federal Government through the Ministry of Communications and Transportation, dated September 28, 2000, together with an English translation (previously filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission as Exhibit 4.7 on Form 20-F dated June 28, 2001 and incorporated by reference herein) (incorporated by reference to our registration statement on Form F-1 (File No. 333-12486) filed on September 7, 2000).
- 8.1 List of subsidiaries of the Company (incorporated by reference to our registration statement on Form F-1 (File No. 333-12486) filed on September 7, 2000).
- 11.1 Code of Ethics.
- 12.1 Certifications of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 12.2 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 13.1 Certifications of Chief Financial Officer and Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

#### SIGNATURES

The registrant hereby certifies that it meets all of the requirements for filing on Form 20-F and that it has duly caused and authorized the undersigned to sign this registration statement on its behalf.

Grupo Aeroportuario del Sureste, S.A. de C.V.

By: /s/ ADOLFO CASTRO RIVAS

\_\_\_\_\_

Name: Adolfo Castro Rivas Title: Chief Financial Officer

Dated: June 16, 2004

GRUPO AEROPORTUARIO DEL SURESTE, S. A. DE C. V. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2001, 2002 AND 2003

GRUPO AEROPORTUARIO DEL SURESTE,

S. A. DE C. V. AND SUBSIDIARIES

#### CONSOLIDATED AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2001, 2002 AND 2003

#### INDEX

Contents	Page 
Report of independent auditors	F - 1
Financial statements:	
Consolidated balance sheets	F - 3
Consolidated statements of income	F - 4
Consolidated statements of changes in stockholders' equity	F - 5
Consolidated statements of changes in financial position	F - 6
Notes to the consolidated financial statements	F - 7 - 42

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Stockholders of Grupo Aeroportuario del Sureste, S. A. de C.V. and Subsidiaries:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Grupo Aeroportuario del Sureste, S. A. de C.V. and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2002 and 2003, and the related consolidated statements of income, of changes in stockholders' equity and of changes in the financial position for each of the three years in the periods ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Grupo Aeroportuario del Sureste, S. A. de C.V. and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2002 and 2003, and the results of their operations and their changes in stockholders' equity and their changes in their financial position for each of the three years in the

periods ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in Mexico.

F-1

Accounting principles generally accepted in Mexico vary in certain significant respects from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Information relating to the nature and effect of such differences is presented in Note 16 to the consolidated financial statements.

PricewaterhouseCoopers

Luis Moiron Llosa

Mexico, City February 16, 2004, except for the Note 15, as to which the date is April 14, 2004

F-2

GRUPO AEROPORTUARIO DEL SURESTE, S. A. DE C. V. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2002 AND 2003

(Expressed in thousands of Mexican Pesos in purchasing power as of December 31, 2003)

		2002
ASSETS		
Current assets:Cash and marketable securities Trade receivables, net	Ps.	172,824
Recoverable taxes and other current assets		62,080
Total current assets Machinery, furniture and equipment, net of accumulated		751,487
depreciation of Ps.122,376 and Ps.179,965, respectively Airport concessions, net of accumulated amortization		892,162
of Ps.881,393 and Ps.1,101,355, respectively Rights to use airport facilities, net of accumulated amortization		7,903,794
of Ps.353,471 and Ps.427,377, respectively		2,184,679
Total assets	Ps.	11,732,122
	====	

LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY Current liabilities:	_	
Trade accounts payable Accrued expenses and other payables	Ps.	2,586 125,113
Total current liabilities		127,699
Seniority premiums		678
Deferred income tax and employees' statutory profit sharing		404,166
Total liabilities		532,543
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders' equity:		
Capital stock		10,906,498
Legal reserve		40,219
Retained earnings		252,862
Total stockholders' equity		11,199,579
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity		11,732,122

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statement

F-3

GRUPO AEROPORTUARIO DEL SURESTE, S. A. DE C. V. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2001, 2002 AND 2003

(Expressed in thousands of Mexican Pesos in purchasing power as of December 31, 2003, except per share amounts)

For the years ended December 31 2001 2002 REVENUES: Ps. 1,086,589 Ps. 1,041,200 192,973 248,734 Aeronautical services Non-aeronautical services 1,279,562 1,289,934 Total revenues OPERATING EXPENSES: 357**,**598 Cost of services 316,734 41,857 Technical assistance 38,913

Concession fee General and administrative expenses Depreciation and amortization	63,968 109,455 332,941	64,459 111,242 348,425
Total operating expenses	864 <b>,</b> 955	920,637
Operating income	414,607	369,297
COMPREHENSIVE FINANCING RESULT: Interest income Interest expense Exchange (losses) gains, net Loss from monetary position	86,864 (1,506) (5,700) (41,310)	50,160 (1,507) 12,431 (32,835)
Net comprehensive financing income	38,348	28 <b>,</b> 249
Income before taxes, employees' statutory profit sharing and extraordinary items Provisions for: Asset tax Deferred income tax and employees' statutory	452 <b>,</b> 955	397,546 (32,779)
profit sharing	(167,820)	(127,047)
Income before extraordinary items	285,135	237,720
Contract termination fee	(7,352)	(5,000)
Loss on natural disaster		(3,675)
Net income	Ps. 277,783	Ps. 229,045
Earnings per share	Ps. 0.93	Ps. 0.76

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statement

F-4

GRUPO AEROPORTUARIO DEL SURESTE, S. A. DE C. V. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2001, 2002 AND 2003

(Expressed in thousands of Mexican Pesos in purchasing power as of December 31, 2003)

stock	reserve	of stock	earning
Capital	Legal	repurchase	Retaine
		Reserve for	

Balance at December 31, 2000	Ps.10,906,498	Ps. 14,500		Ps. 528,89
Transfer to legal reserve Transfer to reserve for repurchase		11,830		(11,83
of stock Comprehensive income			Ps. 47,323	(47,32 277,78
Balance at December 31, 2001	10,906,498	26,330	47,323	747 <b>,</b> 52
Transfer to legal reserve Cancellation of reserve for repurchase		13,889		(13,88
of stock Dividends paid Income tax paid on dividends			(47,323)	47,32 (487,97 (269,16
Comprehensive income				229 <b>,</b> 04
Balance at December 31, 2002	10,906,498	40,219		252 <b>,</b> 86
Transfer to legal reserve Recovered income tax paid		11,452		(11,45
on dividends Dividends paid Income tax paid on dividends Comprehensive income				107,99 (155,96 (80,34 276,19
Balance at December 31, 2003	Ps.10,906,498	Ps. 51,671	Ps.	Ps. 389,27

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statement

F-5

GRUPO AEROPORTUARIO DEL SURESTE, S. A. DE C. V. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN FINANCIAL POSITION FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2001, 2002 AND 2003

(Expressed in thousands of Mexican Pesos in purchasing power as of December 31, 2003)

		For the years ended December 31,
	2001	2002
Operating activities:		
Net income before extraordinary items	Ps. 285,135	Ps. 237,720

Adjustments to reconcile net income to resources provided by (used in)		
operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	332,941	348,425
Deferred income tax and employees'	·	·
statutory profit sharing	167,820	127,047
Other	(1,741)	·
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Trade receivables	(32,703)	(30,660)
Recoverable taxes and other current assets	(45,487)	3,503
Recoverable asset tax		(129,316)
Trade accounts payable	(11,735)	1,179
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	13,910	34,298
-		
Resources provided by operating activities		
before extraordinary items	708,140	592,196
Contract termination fee	(7,352)	(5,000)
Loss on natural disaster		(3,675)
Resources provided by operating activities	700,788	583,521
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		
Financing activities:		
Dividends paid		(487,970)
Tax on dividends paid		(269, 168)
Recovered income tax paid on dividends		
•		
Resources used in financing activities		(757,138)
Investing activities:		
Purchase of machinery, furniture and		
equipment	(377,345)	(275,612)
Resources used in investing activities	(377,345)	(275,612)
Increase (decrease) in cash and marketable		
securities	323,443	(449,229)
Cash and marketable securities, beginning		
of period	642,369	965,812
Cash and marketable securities, end of		
period	Ps. 965,812	Ps. 516,583
	========	========

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statement

F-6

GRUPO AEROPORTUARIO DEL SURESTE, S. A. DE C. V. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Expressed in thousands of Mexican Pesos in purchasing power as of December 31, 2003, except per share and share amounts)

## 1. Formation and Description of Business

Grupo Aeroportuario del Sureste, S. A. de C. V. ("ASUR"), a Mexican company, was

incorporated in April 1998, as a wholly-owned entity of the Mexican government to operate, maintain and develop nine airports in the Southeast region of Mexico. The nine airports are located in the following cities: Cancun, Cozumel, Merida, Huatulco, Oaxaca, Veracruz, Villahermosa, Tapachula and Minatitlan. ASUR and its subsidiaries are collectively referred to as the "Company".

The Company was formed as part of the Mexican government's plans to open the Mexican airport system to investment under a two-stage program. Under guidelines issued by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation, 35 of Mexico's 58 principal public airports were selected for the program and divided into four groups: the Southeast group (consisting of the Company's nine airports), the Mexico City group (currently consisting of one airport), the Pacific group (consisting of 12 airports) and the Central-North group (consisting of 13 airports). In the first stage of the program, an investor for each airport group would be selected through a series of public bidding processes. The investor would be awarded an equity interest in the airport group and the right and obligation to enter into several agreements, including an agreement to provide certain technical assistance, on terms established during the public bidding process. In the second stage of the program all or a portion of the remaining equity interest in each airport group would be offered for sale to the public.

In June 1998, the Ministry of Communications and Transportation granted to subsidiaries of ASUR the concessions to operate, maintain and develop the nine airports of the Southeast group for a period of 50 years commencing on November 1, 1998, for Ps. 10,830,850 (December 31, 2003 pesos), excluding value added tax. The concession period may be extended by the parties under certain circumstances. The acquisition cost of the airport concessions was paid through the issuance of capital stock of ASUR (see Note 7). The cost of the airport concessions was determined by the Mexican government with reference to the price paid by Inversiones y Tecnicas Aeroportuarias, S. A. de C. V. ("ITA") for its investment in ASUR (see below). Beginning November 1, 1998, the Company is also required to pay the Mexican government annual concession fees currently equal to 5% of each concession holder's gross annual revenues from the use of public domain assets pursuant to the terms of its concessions. Payments against the concession fees are made every two months.

F-7

Notwithstanding the Company's rights to operate, maintain and develop the nine airports, pursuant to the Mexican General Law of National Assets, all the permanent fixed assets in the airports are owned by the Mexican nation. Upon expiration of the Company's concessions, these assets, including any improvements made during the term of the concessions, automatically revert to the Mexican nation.

In December 1998 and in March 1999, the Mexican government sold an aggregate 15% equity interest in ASUR to ITA, pursuant to a public bidding process. ITA paid the Mexican government an aggregate of Ps.1,165,076 (nominal), excluding interest, in exchange for: (i) 45,000,000 Class I Series BB shares (see Note 7) representing 15% of ASUR's capital stock; (ii) options to purchase newly issued shares representing 2%, 2% and 1% of total shares outstanding at the time of exercise, each determined on a fully diluted basis, from the Company; and (iii) the right and obligation to enter into several agreements, including a technical assistance agreement, under terms established during the bidding process. ITA is a consortium consisting of Copenhagen Airports A/S (25.5%), Triturados Basalticos y Derivados, S. A. de C. V. (25.5%), Groupe Vinci S. A. (24.5%) and Cintra Concesiones de Infraestructura de Transporte, S.A. (24.5%). In 2002, Cintra Concesiones de Infraestructura de Transporte, S. A. transferred its 24.5% ownership in ITA to Ferrovial Aeropuertos, S. L. and in December 2003 Triturados

Besalticos y Derivados, S. A. de C. V. transferred its 25.5% ownership in ITA to Nacional Financiera, S. N. C. ITA's Series "BB" shares provide it with certain rights including the right to elect two members of the Company's board of directors and veto rights with respect to certain corporate actions (see Note 15). The technical assistance agreement provides ITA with certain rights including the right to appoint and remove the Company's chief executive officer and half of its most senior members of management.

On October 3, 2000, the Mexican government sold 18,539,350 Series "B" shares and 20,319,978 American Depositary Shares, each of which represents ten Series "B" shares, of the Company's common stock to public investors. Subsequent to this sale, the Mexican government's direct interest in the Company was approximately 11.1%. The Company's Series "B" shares and American Depositary Shares are traded on the Mexican Stock Exchange and the New York Stock Exchange, respectively.

#### 2. Summary of significant accounting policies

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in Mexico ("Mexican GAAP") as promulgated by the Mexican Institute of Public Accountants ("MIPA").

F-8

The principal accounting policies followed by the Company are as follows:

#### a) Basis of presentation

All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated. The consolidated subsidiaries of the Company are:

Subsidiary	Ownership interest
Aeropuerto de Cancun, S. A. de C. V.	99.99%
Aeropuerto de Cozumel, S. A. de C. V.	99.99%
Aeropuerto de Merida, S. A. de C. V.	99.99%
Aeropuerto de Huatulco, S. A. de C. V.	99.99%
Aeropuerto de Oaxaca, S. A. de C. V.	99.99%
Aeropuerto de Veracruz, S. A. de C. V.	99.99%
Aeropuerto de Villahermosa, S. A. de C. V.	99.99%
Aeropuerto de Tapachula, S. A. de C. V.	99.99%
Aeropuerto de Minatitlan, S. A. de C. V.	99.99%
Servicios Aeroportuarios del Sureste, S. A. de C. V.	99.99%

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

#### b) Recognition of the effects of inflation

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with Bulletin B-10, "Recognition of the Effects of Inflation on Financial Information" ("Bulletin B-10") issued by the MIPA, and determined as follows:

o The statements of income and changes in stockholders' equity were restated applying Mexican National Consumer Price Index ("Mexican CPI") factors from

the periods in which the transactions occurred. The financial statements of the Company for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2003 have been restated for comparability purposes to December 31, 2003 purchasing power, by applying the restatement factors of 1.0570 and 1.0398, respectively.

The statements of changes in financial position present, in constant Mexican pesos, the resources provided by or used in operating, financing and investing activities.

F-9

The methodology for the restatement of the individual financial statement items is as follows:

#### Restatement of non-monetary assets:

Machinery, furniture and equipment, net are recorded at acquisition cost, restated using Mexican CPI factors from the date the asset was purchased to the date of the financial statements. Depreciation expense is based on the restated carrying value of the assets.

The rights to use the airport facilities, net and the airport concessions, net were recorded based on the allocation of the purchase cost of the airport concessions and the acquisition cost of the rights of Cancun Air, Dicas and Aeropremier to the assets and liabilities acquired (see Notes 2(e), 5, and 6) and are restated using Mexican CPI factors. Amortization expense is computed on the restated carrying values of the rights to use the airport facilities and the airport concessions.

#### Restatement of stockholders' equity:

The restatement of the Company's capital stock, contributed capital, legal reserve, reserve for the repurchase of stock and retained earnings is determined by applying Mexican CPI factors from the dates on which capital was contributed and earnings were generated and reflects the amounts necessary to maintain the stockholders' investment at the purchasing power of the original amounts.

#### Loss from monetary position:

Loss from monetary position represents the inflationary effect, measured by the Mexican CPI, on the monetary assets and liabilities.

#### c) Cash and marketable securities

Cash and marketable securities includes cash, temporary investments and marketable securities. As of December 31, 2002 and 2003, cash and marketable securities consisted primarily of money market accounts and short-term Mexican government bonds.

#### d) Machinery, furniture and equipment, net

Depreciation of machinery, furniture and equipment is based upon the restated carrying value of the assets and is recognized using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets. The useful lives of the Company's machinery, furniture and equipment is as follows:

	Years	
Improvements to concessioned assets Machinery and equipment Office furniture and equipment	50 and 10 10 10	

Computer equipment
Automotive equipment
Other

3 4 various

F-10

When assets are retired or otherwise disposed of, the restated cost and accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts and any gain or loss is recorded in results of operations.

e) Rights to use airport facilities, environmental liabilities and airport concessions

Rights to use airport facilities and airport concessions include the acquisition of the nine airport concessions and the rights acquired from Cancun Air, Dicas and Aeropremier. Although the Company has, through its concessions, the rights to operate, maintain and develop the nine airports, all the permanent fixed assets in the airports are owned by the Mexican nation. Upon termination of the Company's concessions, these assets, including any improvements made during the term of the concessions automatically revert to the Mexican nation.

The acquisition cost of the nine airport concessions of Ps.10,830,850 (December 31, 2003 pesos) was allocated to the rights to use the airport facilities (Ps.2,480,651) and to certain environmental liabilities assumed (Ps.13,232) with the excess acquisition cost recorded as airport concessions (Ps.8,363,431). The amounts allocated to the rights to use the airport facilities were based on the depreciated replacement cost of the assets as determined by an independent appraiser. The amounts allocated to the environmental liabilities assumed are based on management's best estimate of the actual costs to be incurred and reflect the terms of an agreement with the environmental authorities (see Note 13).

The acquisition cost of the rights acquired from Cancun Air, Dicas and Aeropremier of Ps.478,920 was allocated to the rights to use the airport facilities (Ps.57,164) with the excess acquisition cost recorded as airport concessions (Ps.421,756). The amounts allocated to the rights to use the airport facilities were based on the depreciated replacement cost of the assets as determined by an independent appraiser.

The rights to use the airport facilities are being amortized on a straight-line basis over the estimated remaining useful lives of the underlying assets. The amounts allocated to the airport concessions are being amortized on a straight-line basis over the life of the concessions and the rights acquired.

f) Review of the book value of long - lived assets

The Company estimates the recoverable value of the rights to use airport facilities, airport concessions and improvements to concessioned assets to be the estimated discounted future net cash flows from the nine airport concessions in the aggregate. If the carrying value of the assets exceeds the recoverable value an impairment loss is recognized. At December 31, 2002 and 2003, the recoverable value exceeds the net book value.

The procedure and criterion used by the Company are in line with the provisions of Statement C-15, "Impairment in the Value of Long-lived Assets and Their Disposal", issued by the Accounting Principles Commission of the Mexican Institute of Public Accountants, which went into effect on January 1, 2004, although early application was recommended.

F - 11

#### g) Seniority premiums

Seniority premiums to which employees are entitled after 15 years of service are recorded as cost in the years in which the services are rendered, as required by Statement D-3, "Labor Obligations", issued by the MIPA.

#### h) Revenue recognition

Revenues are obtained from aeronautical services, which generally relate to the use of airport infrastructure by air carriers and passengers, and from non-aeronautical services.

Aeronautical services revenues consist of a passenger charge for each departing passenger (excluding diplomats, infants, and transfer and transit passengers), a landing charge based on the average between aircraft's maximum takeoff weight and the zero-fuel weight and hour of arrival, aircraft parking charges based on the time an aircraft is on the ground and hour of arrival, passenger walkway charges for the connection of aircraft to the terminal, based on hour of arrival, and airport security charges for each departing passenger. Aeronautical services revenue is recognized as passengers depart, at the time of landings and as services are provided, as the case may be.

Non-aeronautical services revenues consist primarily of the leasing of space in the airport terminals, access fees received from third parties providing handling, catering and other services at the airports and miscellaneous other revenues.

Terminal space is leased through operating leases with either fixed monthly rental fees or fees based on the greater of a minimum monthly fee, a specified percentage of the lessee's monthly revenues or the number of departing passengers. Access fees and other services revenues are recognized as services are provided.

Under the Airport Law and its regulations, the Company's revenues are classified as Airport Services, Complementary Services or Commercial Services. Airport Services consist primarily of the use of runways, taxiways and aprons for landings and departures, aircraft parking, the use of passenger walkways, security services, hangars, automobile parking facilities as well as the general use of terminal space and other infrastructure by aircraft, passengers and cargo, including the lease of space essential for the operation of airlines and complementary service providers. Complementary Services consist primarily of ramp and handling services, catering, maintenance and repair and related activities that provide support to air carriers. Revenues from access fees charged to third parties providing complementary services are classified as Airport Services. Commercial Services consist of services that are not considered essential to the operation of an airport, such as the lease of space to retailers, restaurants and banks. The following table presents the Company's revenues for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003, using the classifications established under the Airport Law and its regulations (see below for discussion of revenue regulation):

	December 31,				
		2001		2002	
Regulated services:					
Airport services	Ps.	1,162,448	Ps.	1,111,235	Ps.
Non-regulated services:					
Airport services:					
Access fees from non-permanent					
ground transportation		3,269		2,340	
Car parking lots and related access fees		13,419		15,835	
Other access fees		987		1,818	
Commercial services		92,039		151 <b>,</b> 997	
Other services		7,400		6 <b>,</b> 709	
Total non-regulated services		117,114		178 <b>,</b> 699	
	Ps.	1,279,562	Ps.	1,289,934	Ps.
	====		====		====

The maximum rate is determined by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation based on projections of work load units, operating expenses and pre-tax earnings from services subject to price regulation, capital expenditures, reference amounts established in the concessions and a discount rate to be determined by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation. The projections are to be derived from each airport's approved five year Master Development Plan. Once each airport's maximum rates are determined, they may only be changed every six months or earlier if there has been a cumulative increase of at least 5% in the Mexican producer price index (excluding petroleum) or if a special adjustment event has occurred. In determining the maximum rates for 1999 through 2003, the Ministry of Communications and Transportation set the rates to include a 1% efficiency factor reduction (in real terms) each year.

At December 31, 2003, in accordance with the Airport Law and the Regulations, the Ministry of Communications and Transportation issued the maximum rates at each airport from 2004 to 2008, considering a 0.75% efficiency factor reduction (in real terms each year).

The Company received an official communication from the Ministry of Communications and Transportation indicating compliance with the maximum rates at each airport for periods from 1999 through 2002 and expects to receive an official communication indicating compliance with the maximum rates at each airport for the years ended December 31, 2003.

F-13

i) Transactions in foreign currency and exchange rate differences

Monetary assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies are translated into Mexican pesos at the exchange rates in effect as of the balance sheet dates. Currency exchange fluctuations are included in income for the period and reflected in comprehensive financing cost.

j) Deferred income tax, employees' statutory profit sharing and tax on dividendsDeferred income tax is recorded using the full-scope method of assets

Year ended

and liabilities, which consist of determining deferred income tax by applying the corresponding tax rate to the differences between the book and tax values of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements.

Deferred employees' statutory profit sharing is calculated based on nonrecurring temporary differences between the book profit and the profit subject to employees' statutory profit sharing.

Deferred income tax and employees' statutory profit sharing assets are reduced, if necessary, by the amount of any tax benefits for which evidence does not indicate that there is a high probability of future taxable income to realize the assets.

Tax on dividends is recorded against retained earnings in accordance with Circular 35 issued by the MIPA.

#### k) Comprehensive income

As of January 1, 2001 Bulletin B-4 "Comprehensive Income", became effective. This bulletin requires that the various item-making up the capital gains (losses) during the year be shown in the statements of stockholders' equity under the heading of Comprehensive Income (loss). In the case of the Company, there is no difference between net income for the year and comprehensive income and is reported as comprehensive income in the income statements as well as the statements of stockholders' equity.

#### 1) Earnings per share

Basic earnings per share were computed by dividing income available to stockholders by the weighted-average number of shares outstanding (see Note 7). Weighted-average shares outstanding for calculating diluted earnings per share reflects the potential dilution that could occur if dilutive securities and other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into shares, using the treasury stock method. Under the treasury stock method, proceeds received from the assumed exercise of the stock options would be used to repurchase the Company's shares at the average market price during the period.

F-14

The weighted average shares outstanding for calculating both basic and diluted earnings per share was 300 million shares for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003. Options to purchase newly issued shares representing 5%, 5% and 3% of total shares outstanding, at the time of exercise, each determined on a fully diluted basis, were outstanding during the years ended December 31, 2002, 2002 and 2003 but were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because the assumed exercise would be antidilutive.

#### m) Concentrations

Trade receivables consist primarily of receivables from major domestic and international airlines. Approximately 48% and 50% of trade receivables as of December 31, 2002 and 2003, respectively, were receivable from air carriers and other entities controlled by Cintra S. A. de C. V. ("Cintra") including Mexicana, Aeromexico, Aerocaribe, Aerocozumel and Aerolitoral. A majority of Cintra's capital stock is owned by the Institute for the Protection of Bank Savings, a decentralized entity within the Mexican federal public administration, and by the Mexican government.

In addition, a significant portion of revenues is generated from services provided to a small number of customers. Approximately 30%, 27% and 23% of total

revenues for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003, respectively, were generated from services provided to the air carriers and other entities controlled by Cintra.

Further, approximately 70%, 70% and 74% of revenues during the years ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003, respectively, were generated from operations at the Cancun International Airport.

#### n) Recently issued accounting standards

In 2003, the MIPA issued new Statement C-12, "Financial Instruments Qualifying as Liabilities, Capital or Both" ("Statement C-12"), which highlights the differences between liabilities and stockholders' equity from the viewpoint of the issuer, as a basis for identifying, classifying and recording the liability and capital components of combined financial instruments in their initial recognition.

The new Statement C-12 establishes the methodology for separating liabilities and stockholder's equity from the price received from the placement of combined financial instruments. That methodology is based on the residual nature of stockholders' equity and avoids the use of fair values affecting stockholders' equity in initial transactions. Additionally, it establishes that beginning on January 1, 2004, the initial costs resulting from the issuance of the combined instruments are assigned to liabilities and stockholders' equity in the same proportion as the amounts of the components recognized as liabilities and stockholders' equity; that the losses and incomes related to financial instrument components classified as liabilities are recorded in overall financing; and the yield distributions to owners of financial instrument components classified as stockholders' equity are charged directly to a capital account other than the income account for the year.

F-15

Although this Statement C-12 became effective on January 1, 2004, it is not required when restating information for prior periods or when recognizing an initial accrued effect on the income for the year it is adopted, in accordance with the provisions established in the transitory paragraph of the Statement C-12. The adoption of this Statement will not have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

#### 3. Trade receivables, net

As of December 31, 2002 and 2003, trade receivables, net consist of the following:

	December 31,			
		2002		2003
Trade receivables Less: allowance for doubtful accounts	Ps.	178,974 (6,150)	Ps.	176,226 (5,737)
		172 <b>,</b> 824	Ps.	170,489

The following table presents the roll forward of the allowance for doubtful accounts for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003:

	December 31,		
	2001	2002	2003
Balance at the beginning of the period Increase in the allowance	(Ps. 6,879)	(Ps. 6,588)	(Ps. 6,15
Write-offs		82	17
Effects of inflation	291	356	23
Balance at the end of the period	(Ps. 6,588)	(Ps. 6,150)	(Ps. 5,73

F-16

## 4. Machinery, furniture and equipment

As of December 31, 2002 and 2003, machinery, furniture and equipment, net consists of the following:

	December 31,			
		2002		2003
Machinery and equipment Office furniture and equipment Automotive equipment	Ps.	41,293 44,514 80,233	Ps.	63,668 48,909 90,214
Improvements to concessioned assets (a) Others		648,483		1,038,610 1,151
Total Less: accumulated depreciation		815,769 (122,376)		1,242,552 (179,965)
		693 <b>,</b> 393		1,062,587
Construction in progress Advances to contractors		134,178 64,591		98,164 5,457
		892 <b>,</b> 162		1,166,208

Depreciation expense for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003 was Ps.27,532, Ps.43,016 and Ps.60,606, respectively.

(a) Improvements to concessioned assets as of December 31, 2002 and 2003 were comprised of the following:

	December	31,	
2002			2003

Buildings	Ps.	293,868	Ps.	437,293
Air side		210,994		286,338
IT equipment				109,316
Land side		63 <b>,</b> 083		80 <b>,</b> 997
Technical installations		56 <b>,</b> 827		78 <b>,</b> 663
Machinery and equipment		6,986		12,292
Security equipment		9,428		22,655
Others		7,297		11,056
	Ps.	648,483	Ps.	1,038,610

#### 5. Airport concessions

As stated in Note 1, in June 1998, the Ministry of Communications and Transportation granted to the Company the concessions to operate, maintain and develop nine airports in the Southeast region of Mexico for Ps.10,830,850 (December 31, 2003 pesos). The total cost of the airport concessions, at the acquisition date, were allocated to the rights to use the airport facilities based on the assets' depreciated replacement cost, as determined by an independent appraiser, and to certain environmental liabilities assumed based on management's best estimate of the actual costs to be incurred, with the excess acquisition cost allocated to the airport concessions as follows:

F-17

			Remaining estimated useful life
			(years)
Acquisition cost	Ps.	10,830,850	
allocated to:	====	========	
Rights to use airport facilities:			
Runways, taxiways, aprons	Ps.	1,348,478	42-44
Buildings		436,166	19-45
Other infrastructure		112,538	26
Land		583,469	45
		2,480,651	
Environmental liabilities		(13,232)	
Airport concessions		8,363,431	45
Total	 Ps.	10,830,850	
	====		

Total amortization expense for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003 was Ps.251,275, Ps.251,275, and Ps.239,885, respectively.

Each of the Company's airport concessions contain the following basic terms and conditions:

The concession holder has the right to administer, operate, maintain and use the airport facilities and undertake the construction, improvement or maintenance of the facilities in accordance with its Master Development Plan. The concession holder was required to submit, for approval, its Master Development Plan to the Ministry of Communications and Transportation by September 30, 1999, and is required to update the plan every five years. Each concession requires the Company to make minimum levels of investments at each airport through 2003 (see Note 13).

- o The concession holder may only use the airport facilities for the purposes specified in the concession and must provide services in accordance with all applicable law and regulations and is subject to statutory oversight by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation .
- o The concession holder must pay a concession fee (currently 5% of each concession holder's gross annual revenues from the use of public domain assets pursuant to the terms of its concessions) as required by applicable law.
- O The concession holder assumes the rights and obligations of the Mexican Airport and Auxiliary Services Agency under contracts with third parties relating to its airport. Each concession holder agreed to indemnify the Mexican Airport and Auxiliary Services Agency for any loss that may be suffered by the Mexican Airport and Auxiliary Services Agency due to the concession holders' breach of its obligations under an assigned agreement.

F-18

- o Fuel services and supply are to be provided by the Mexican Airport and Auxiliary Services Agency.
- o The concession holder must grant access to and the use of specific areas of the airport to government agencies to perform their activities inside the airports.
- O The concession may be terminated for non-performance if the concession holder fails to comply with certain of the obligations imposed by the concession as established in Article 27 of the Airport Law or for the reasons specified in Article 26 of the Airport Law and in the concession. Violations of certain terms of a concession can result in the immediate termination of a concession. Violations of other terms of a concession can result in the termination only if the relevant term has been violated at least three times. The terms of the concessions provide that all of the concessions may be revoked if any one of the nine concessions is revoked.
- o The terms and conditions of the regulations governing the operations of the Company may be modified by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation.

#### 6. Other rights acquired

Effective June 30, 1999, the Company acquired the rights of Cancun Air and Dicas to provide certain services at Cancun International Airport, the rights of Aeropremier to provide certain services at Merida International Airport and certain related machinery, furniture and equipment for cash and promissory notes of approximately US\$39.6 million.

Previously, the Mexican Airport and Auxiliary Services Agency granted Cancun Air the right to construct, operate, maintain and develop the charter air terminal and certain auxiliary facilities at Cancun International Airport through December 19, 2006, for which Cancun Air was required to pay the Mexican Airport and Auxiliary Services Agency fees equal to 12% of the charter air terminal's passenger charges through December 31, 2001 and 13% of Cancun Air's total revenues from the charter air terminal and certain auxiliary facilities from January 1, 2002 through December 19, 2006.

The Mexican Airport and Auxiliary Services Agency also granted Dicas the right

to construct, maintain and collect the revenues from the commercial activities and passenger walkway charges generated by the satellite wing of the main terminal building at the Cancun International Airport through 2010. Under the terms of the agreement, Dicas would pay the Mexican Airport and Auxiliary Services Agency a percentage of its passenger walkway fees and a percentage of its profits in excess of a specified rate of return.

F-19

In December 1991, the Mexican Airport and Auxiliary Services Agency granted Aeropremier the right to construct and operate a general aviation terminal, a first class lounge, a tourism office and other commercial areas at Merida International Airport. The access fees earned from Aeropremier were not material.

In accordance with the terms of the concessions for Cancun International Airport and Merida International Airport, on November 1, 1998, the Company assumed the rights and obligations of the Mexican Airport and Auxiliary Services Agency under the above agreements.

Effective with the acquisition of the rights of Cancun Air, Dicas and Aeropremier, the Company assumed the rights and obligations of Cancun Air, Dicas and Aeropremier under their agreements with third parties.

The acquisition cost of the rights has been allocated to the rights to use the underlying facilities based on the assets' depreciated replacement cost, as determined by an independent appraiser, with the excess allocated to airport concessions as follows:

		Remaining estimated useful lives
		(years)
Acquisition cost allocated to: Rights to use:	Ps. 478,920	
Buildings Other infrastructure	Ps. 49,948 7,216	22-45 7-12
Airport concessions	57 <b>,</b> 164 421 <b>,</b> 756	2.5-6
Total	Ps. 478,920	

Amortization of the rights to use the underlying facilities is recorded on a straight-line basis over the estimated remaining useful lives of the assets. Amortization of amounts allocated to airport concessions is recorded over the term of the rights acquired. Amortization expense for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003 was Ps.54,134, Ps.54,134 and Ps.54,134, respectively.

#### 7. Stockholders' equity

On October 12, 1999, the Company's stockholders: 1) authorized the issuance of 6,602,184,691 fixed capital Class I series B shares in exchange for the 1,000,000 fixed capital Class I Series "A" shares, the 3,960,310,815 variable capital Class II Series "A" shares and the 2,640,873,876 variable capital Class II Series "B" shares then outstanding; 2) authorized the issuance of 1,165,091,416 fixed capital Class I Series "BB" shares for the 1,165,091,416 variable capital Class II Series "BB" shares; and 3) declared a one for 25.89092035667 reverse stock split effective as of such date. The share exchange results in the reclassification of all of the Company's variable capital stock to fixed capital stock. The reverse split adjusted number of shares outstanding as of December 31, 1999 and 2000 is 255,000,000 Class I Series "B" shares and 45,000,000 Class I Series "BB" shares. Basic and diluted earnings per share amounts have been adjusted retroactively to give effect to the one for 25.89092035667 reverse stock split.

During 2003, the Company's stockholders authorized to change as follows: the minimum fixed capital with no withdrawal rights is Ps.7,767,276 (nominal figure), represented by 300,000,000 ordinary nominative Class I shares with no par value, fully subscribed and paid in. The variable portion of the capital stock is represented by ordinary nominative Class II shares. Both classes of shares are determined by the stockholders at the meeting called to approve such issuances.

As of December 31, 2002 and 2003, capital stock was restated as follows:

	Nominal		Restated
	value	Restatement	value
Capital stock:			
Fixed	Ps. 7,767,276	Ps. 3,139,222	Ps. 10,906,498

ASUR and each of its subsidiaries are legally required to allocate at least 5% of their unconsolidated annual net income to a legal equity reserve fund. This allocation must be continued until the equity reserve is equal to 20% of the issued and outstanding capital stock of the relevant company. Mexican corporations may pay dividends only out of earnings after such allocation to the reserve fund. As of December 31, 2002 and 2003, the consolidated reserve fund balance was Ps.40,219 and Ps.51,671, respectively.

At the April 27, 2001 general stockholders' meeting, the shareholders agreed to apply 20% of net income generated in 2000 to establish a reserve within stockholders' equity for the repurchase of shares amounting to Ps.47,323 (Ps.41,016 nominal).

At the December 25, 2002 general stockholders' meeting, the shareholders agreed to reverse the repurchase of shares reserve amounting to Ps.47,323, against retained earnings.

Stock Options

In connection with the sale of the 15% equity interest in the Company to ITA, the Company issued to ITA options to purchase newly issued Series "B" shares representing 2%, 2% and 1% of total shares outstanding at the time of each exercise, determined on a fully diluted basis, from the Company during three exercise periods provided that ITA has complied with its obligations under the technical assistance agreement and the stock ownership restrictions set forth in ASUR's bylaws.

F-21

The exercise periods and the percentage of equity that can be acquired are as shown as follow:

	Percentage of then outstanding
	capital stock each determined
Exercise periods	on a fully diluted basis
December 18, 2001 to December 18, 2003 (1)	2%
December 18, 2002 to December 18, 2004	2%
December 18, 2003 to December 18, 2005	1%

(1) ITA did not exercise the options in that period, nor did it assign that right to its shareholders.

The exercise price of the options will equal US\$2.64559301 on a split adjusted basis per share, plus a premium of 5% per annum, starting from the grant date (December 18, 1998). If for any reason the number of shares representing the capital stock are modified without an increase or decrease to the capital stock, as in the case of a stock split, the exercise price will be modified proportionally. In addition the exercise price will be adjusted for any cash dividends paid.

ITA is entitled to exercise all the options immediately if: i) any other stockholder or group of related stockholders acquires at least 35% of ASUR's capital stock; ii) a merger is approved which dilutes the holdings of ASUR's stockholders by more than 35%, or iii) the price per share of ASUR's Series "B" shares is at least US\$5.29118603 on a split adjusted basis.

ITA is authorized to transfer or assign its options to any of its stockholders or their related companies prior to the start of the first exercise period. After the first exercise period, ITA or any holder of the options is entitled to transfer its options to any party that is entitled to be a stockholder of a concession holder under the Airport Law.

# Dividends

At the April 25, 2002 general stockholders' meeting, the Company's stockholders agreed to pay net dividends after income tax of Ps.487,970 (Ps.444,000 (nominal)), or Ps.1.48 (nominal) per share, thus giving rise to an income tax on dividends of Ps.269,168 (Ps.244,907 (nominal)), since they were not from the After-tax Earnings Account (See Note 10).

At the April 28, 2003 general stockholders' meeting, the Company's stockholders agreed to pay net dividends after income tax of Ps.155,965 (Ps.150,000 (nominal)), or Ps.0.50 (nominal) per share), thus giving rise to an income tax on dividends of Ps.80,348 (Ps.77,275 (nominal)), since they were not from the After-tax Earnings Account (See Note 10).

During 2002, the Company requested an injunction against Article 11 of the Income Tax Law, which requires that dividends be grossed up in the event the dividends are not paid from the After-tax Earnings Account. On August 29, 2003, the Company was granted a favorable ruling and obtained the right to recover Ps.107,990 (net of fees and expenses) which could be applied against current and future tax obligations. This amount was credited against retained earnings. During 2003, the Company utilized Ps.59,257 (nominal) against its tax obligations.

F-22

#### 8. Rentals under operating leases

The Company leases commercial space inside and outside the terminals to third parties under operating leases. The following is a schedule by years of minimum future rentals on noncancelable operating leases as of December 31, 2003 including minimum secured commercial lease agreements per passenger:

#### Period ending December 31:

2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 Thereafter	Ps.	237,895 260,873 286,921 314,117 341,756 1,461,463
	Ps.	2,903,025

#### 9. Foreign currency balances and transactions

The foreign currency position of monetary items at December 31, 2002 and 2003, were as follows:

	Foreign currency amounts 	Period end exchange rate
	(thousands)	
December 31, 2002 Assets:		
Cash and marketable securities	US\$ 1,342	Ps.10.439
Prepaids	3,685	10.439
Deposits Liabilities: Accrued expenses and	104	10.439
other payables	377	10.439

F-23

	Foreign currency amounts	Period end exchange rate
	(thousands)	
December 31, 2003 Assets:		
Cash and marketable securities Prepaids	US\$ 3,713 457	Ps.11.237 11.237

Liabilities:
Accrued expenses and other payables

44

11.237

45

11.237

The principal foreign currency transactions during the year ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003, were as follows:

	Foreign currency amounts	Average exchange rate
	(thousands)	
Year ended December 31, 2001 Income statement: Technical assistance fees and related costs Professional services expenses Other	US\$ 3,319 404 1,340	Ps. 9.323 9.460 9.323
Year ended December 31, 2002 Income statement: Technical assistance fees and related costs	US\$ 3,234	Ps.10.043
Professional services expenses Other	487 1,027	9.762 9.820
	Foreign currency amounts (thousands)	Average exchange rate
	(Cilousalius)	
Year ended December 31, 2003 Income statement: Technical assistance fees and related costs	US\$ 2,196	Ps. 10.97
Professional services expenses Other	1,111 1,240	10.93

The prevailing exchange rate between the Mexican Peso and the US dollar at December 31, 2002 and 2003 was Ps.10.4393 and Ps.11.2372, per US dollar, respectively. The exchange rate was Ps.10.9627 per US dollar on February 16, 2004.

F-24

10. Income tax, asset tax and employees' statutory profit sharing

The Company does not currently prepare a consolidated tax return. Under current Mexican Income Tax Law, ASUR and its subsidiaries must pay the higher of the income tax or the asset tax. The asset tax is a minimum tax, which

is calculated as 1.8% of the average tax value of virtually all of the Company's assets (including the airport concessions), less the average tax value of certain liabilities (basically liabilities with Mexican residents excluding those with financial institutions or their intermediaries). The average tax value of each asset or liability is calculated differently depending on its classification under the tax law. The Company's subsidiaries are exempt from the asset tax through 2001, since they commenced operations in 1998. In 2002 and 2003, the Company incurred Ps.162,095 and Ps.146,266, respectively in asset taxes of which Ps.32,779 and Ps.45,194, respectively were directly charged to income for the year, since there was no certainty of its recoverability in the future. The remaining asset taxes is estimated to be recovered in the following ten years, when income tax incurred exceeds asset tax in any of those years, the asset tax be restated by applying factors derived from the NCPI.

Employees' statutory profit sharing in Mexico is determined for each subsidiary, rather than on a consolidated basis. Under Mexican law, the Company became subject to the employees' statutory profit sharing beginning January 1, 2000.

The components of income tax and employees' statutory profit sharing expense for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003 are as follows:

		For the years ended December 31,				
		2001		2002 	2	003
Income tax deferred	(Ps.	167,820)	(Ps.	123,211)	(Ps.	178,346)
Employees' statutory profit Sharing Deferred income tax on				(1,549)		
extraordinary item Provision for income tax and employees' statutory				(2,287)		(8,396)
profit sharing	(Ps.	167,820)	(Ps. ====	127,047)	(Ps.	186 <b>,</b> 742)

The following items represent the principal differences between income tax computed at the statutory tax rate and the Company's provision for income taxes for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003:

	For the years ended December 31,				
	2001	2002	2003		
Tax at statutory rate Non-deductible items and other	(35%)	(35%)	(34%)		
permanent differences	(1%)	(2%)	1%		
Increase in valuation allowance	(1%)	(7%)	(3%)		
Change in income tax rate		12%	1%		
Provision for income taxes	(37%)	(32%)	(35%)		
	===	===	===		

F-25

Under the amendments to the Income Tax Law in effect beginning January 1, 2002, the income tax rate will be 35% in 2002 and will be gradually reduced by 1% a year beginning in 2003 until it reaches 32% in 2005. As a result of the tax rate reduction, the Company reduced its deferred tax liability by Ps.46,599 and Ps.29,878 in 2002 and 2003 respectively with a corresponding credit to income.

The tax and employee's statutory profit sharing effects of temporary differences that give rise to significant deferred tax and employee's statutory profit sharing assets and liabilities at December 31, 2002 and 2003, are as follows:

	December 31,			
		2002		2003
Deferred income tax				
Deferred tax assets:  Tax loss carryforwards  Other  Valuation allowance		386,047 7,707 (39,008)		13,70 (53,26
		354,746		
Deferred tax liabilities:  Airport concessions and rights to use  airport facilities  Other		(846,328) (2,913)		1,066,34 (3,26
		(849,241)	(	1,069,61
Net deferred tax liabilities before recoverable asset tax		(494,495)		(671,15
Recoverable asset tax, net of valuation allowance of Ps.32,779 and Ps.77,973, respectively		129,316		230 <b>,</b> 38
Net deferred tax liabilities	(Ps.	365 <b>,</b> 179)	(Ps. ====	440,76
Deferred employees' statutory profit sharing: Net deferred employees' statutory profit sharing liabilities recognized in respect of all the non recurring temporary differences generated during the period, between the tax and the book basis	(Ps.	38,987)	(Ps.	37,49
Net deferred income tax and employees' statutory profit sharing liabilities	(Ps. =====	404,166) ======	(Ps. ====	478 <b>,</b> 26

Based on the weight of available evidence as of December 31, 2002 and 2003, valuation allowances were recognized for the amount of the net deferred tax assets as of December 31, 2002 and 2003, for which evidence does not indicate that there is a high probability of future taxable income to realize the assets.

The change in deferred income tax assets (liabilities) for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003 were as follows:

		For the years ended December 31				
		2001		2002		20
Beginning balance	(Ps.	202,822)	(Ps.	370,642)	(Ps.	3
Deferred income tax expense Change in deferred income taxes resulting from inflation effects on		(167,820)		(124,760)		(1
monetary deferred tax balances				907		
Increase in deferred asset tax				129,316		1
Ending balance	(Ps.	370,642)	(Ps.	365,179)	(Ps.	4

For tax purposes, the Company is currently amortizing the value of its airport concessions at rates ranging from 6% to 10%. Tax losses (including those generated from the tax amortization of the airport concessions) may be carried forward until the expiration of the initial term of the concessions. As of December 31, 2002 and 2003, the Company had tax loss carryforwards of approximately Ps.1,206,397 and Ps.1,368,819, respectively.

Dividends paid from retained earnings are exempt from income tax provided they arise from the After-tax Earnings Account, and any excess is subject to 34%, 33% and 32% on the result of multiplying dividends paid by the factor of 1.5152, 1.4925 and 1.4706 if paid until December 31, 2003, 2004 and 2005, respectively. The respective tax is payable by the Company and may be credited against income tax for the same year in which the dividends are paid, or against income tax of the following two years. Dividends paid are not subject to tax withholding. In the event of a capital reduction, the amount exceeding capital contributions, restated as provided in the Income Tax Law, is accorded the same tax treatment as dividends, as required by the Income Tax Law. Through December 31, 2002, the Company has generated minimal after tax earnings.

Substantially all of the Company's consolidated retained earnings were generated by its subsidiaries. Retained earnings may be distributed to the Company's shareholders to the extent the Company's subsidiaries have distributed earnings to ASUR.

F-27

#### 11. Technical assistance agreement

In connection with the sale of the Series "BB" shares to ITA, ASUR entered into a technical assistance agreement with ITA in which ITA and its stockholders agreed to provide management and consulting services and transfer industry expertise and technology to ASUR in exchange for a technical assistance fee. The

agreement has an initial fifteen-year term and is automatically renewed for successive five-year terms, unless one party provides the other a notice of termination within a specified period prior to a scheduled expiration date. The Company may only exercise its termination right pursuant to a stockholder's resolution. ITA began providing assistance under the agreement on April 19, 1999.

Under the agreement, the Company agreed to pay an annual fee equal to the greater of a fixed fee or 5% of the Company's earnings prior to deducting the technical assistance fee and before comprehensive financing cost, income taxes and depreciation and amortization, determined in accordance with Mexican GAAP. For the years 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002 and 2003 and thereafter the fixed fee is equal to US\$5 million, US\$5 million, US\$5 million, US\$3 million, US\$3 million and US\$2 million, respectively. Each year the fixed fee will be increased by the rate of inflation in the US. ASUR must also pay the value-added tax on the payment amount.

In the years ended on December 31, 2002 and 2003, technical assistance expenses were \$46,055 and \$38,886, respectively.

ITA is also entitled to reimbursement for the out-of-pocket expenses it incurs in its provision of services under the agreement.

ITA's Series "BB" shares were placed in a trust to, among other things, ensure performance under the technical assistance agreement.

#### 12. Related party transactions

In addition to the revenues earned from Cintra, the Company recorded revenues from several Mexican federal and state government agencies. Revenues from related parties excluding Cintra were Ps.7,156, Ps.2,826 and Ps.6,390 for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003, respectively.

During the years ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003, the Company recorded expenses of Ps.55,831, Ps.55,692 and Ps.54,273, respectively, for electricity, waste disposal, water and other services obtained from entities or agencies of the Mexican government.

Also, see Notes  $2 \, (m)$ , 7 and 11 for disclosures concerning certain other transactions with related parties.

F-28

## 13. Commitments and contingencies

- a) In 2002, the Company entered into a 12 month operating lease for monthly payments of US\$29,460. In 2003, the Company entered into a new 12 month operating lease for monthly payments of US\$26,385.
  - Rental expense was approximately Ps.3,647, Ps.3,667 and Ps.3,775 for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003, respectively.
- b) On September 30, 1999, the Company submitted its Master Development Plans for each of the nine airports to the Ministry of Communications and Transportation for approval. These plans were approved by the Ministry of Communications and Transportation on July 28, 2000. Based on the Master Development Plans ("MDP"), the Company has committed to make aggregate improvements of Ps.146,517 from 1999 to 2003 as follows:

Period	Amount
May 1, 1999 to December 31, 2000 2001 2002 2003	Ps. 588,294 279,098 198,778 80,347
Total	Ps. 1,146,517

Based on the opinion of the external lawyers, the Company believes that it has been incompliance with the MDP for the 1999-2002 period. The Ministry of Communications and Transportation has not yet issued the certification of compliance with the MDP for the 2003 period.

Aeronautica Civil ("DGAC") filed a lawsuit against Aeropuerto de Cancun, S. A. de C. V. for supposed failure to comply with the 2001 investment plan. The Company addressed all inquiries by the authorities in time and form and submitted evidence supporting the fact that there has been no such failure to comply with the plan. No resolution has been issued to date.

On December 30, 2003, the Company received the Ministry of Communications and Transportation approval for its MDP for each of the nine airports for the period from 2004 through 2008. Based on the MDPs presented, the Company has agreed to make total improvements of \$1,462,196 from 2004 through 2008, as follows:

Period	Amount		
2004 2005 2006 2007	Ps.	319,487 582,396 147,578 244,700	
2008	 Ps.	168,035  1,462,196	
	=====		

F-29

- c) The operations of the Company are subject to Mexican federal and state laws and regulations relating to the protection of the environment. Under these laws, regulations have been issued concerning water and air pollution, environmental impact studies, noise control and hazardous wastes. The Ministry of the Environment, Natural Resources and Fishing can bring administrative, civil and criminal proceedings against companies that violate environmental laws and has the power to close non-complying facilities.
- d) On June 30, 1999, the Company obtained the rights to operate the businesses of Cancun Air, Dicas and Aeropremier through the early termination of their agreements with the Company. Under Mexican tax law, the Company could be interpreted to be the successor to these businesses and thus could be jointly and severally liable for any tax contingencies relating to periods prior to June 30, 1999, up to the value of these businesses and until five years following the date the liability initially should have occurred. The Company is not able to determine the likelihood of any potential tax

liability. The Company is entitled to indemnification from the prior operators of these businesses in the event that the Company is held responsible for any such tax liability.

- e) Claims have been asserted against the Company by the municipalities of Cancun, Cozumel, Merida, Villahermosa and Veracruz for the payment of property taxes in respect of the land comprising the airports in those communities. Based on the opinion of outside counsel, management believes that there is no legal basis for these claims and the Company intends to take legal action to have the claims dismissed. Management does not believe that any liabilities relating to these claims are likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial condition or results of operations.
- f) On April 23, 2003, the Company was informed by the Ministry of Finance and Public Credit of claims for the payment of employees' statutory profit sharing for the years ended December 31, 1999 of approximately Ps.1.181 (nominal) to employees of the Villahermosa Airport. Management believes that there is no legal basis for these claims and the Company management filed an appeal against said resolution, but no reply has been received yet.

#### 14. Segment information

The Company evaluates and assesses its performance on an airport-by-airport basis prior to the allocation of employee and other costs from Servicios Aeroportuarios del Sureste, S.A. de C.V. ("Servicios"), the Company's wholly-owned subsidiary which employs certain of the Company's employees. The performance of Servicios is evaluated and assessed separately by management. All of the airports provide substantially the same services to their customers. Summarized financial information concerning the Company's reportable segments including Cancun International Airport ("Cancun"), Cozumel Airport ("Cozumel"), Merida International Airport ("Merida"), Villahermosa Airport ("Villahermosa") and Servicios is shown in the following table. The financial information of the remaining six airports and that of the parent holding company (including ASUR's investment in its subsidiaries) have been aggregated and included as "Other". The elimination of ASUR's investment in its subsidiaries is included in the consolidation adjustments column.

F-30

Year ended	ed Consolidation						
December 31, 2001	Cancun	Cozumel	Merida	Villahermosa	Servicios	Other	adjustm
Total revenues Operating	Ps.898,949	Ps.61,865	Ps.99,577	Ps.54,756	Ps.145,913	Ps.164,415	(Ps.145
income (loss)	399,515	8,809	15,113	10,959	(911)	127,946	(146
Total assets Capital	8,042,550	673,144	990,413	677,516	29 <b>,</b> 370	13,639,731	(11,825
expenditures Depreciation and	223,633	42,149	32 <b>,</b> 295	17,064	755	61,449	
amortization	208,180	17,333	27,648	16,614	2,692	60,474	
Year ended		2 1		****		0.1	Consolida
December 31, 2002	Cancun 	Cozumel	Merida 	Villahermosa	Servicios	Other	adjustm 

Total revenues	Ps.931,864	Ps.51,405	Ps.97,703	Ps.66,091	Ps.170,232	Ps.142,871	(Ps.170
Operating							
income (loss)	396,449	(4,889)	8,521	7,163	3,848	128,437	(170
Total assets	7,679,713	708,714	952 <b>,</b> 130	648,089	42,846	13,078,117	(11,377
Capital expendit	ures 144,865	48,230	21,762	7,735	2,073	50 <b>,</b> 947	
Depreciation and							
amortization	217,395	16,485	27,956	17,984	2,662	65,943	
Year ended							Consolida
December 31, 200	3 Cancun	Cozumel	Merida	Villahermosa	Servicios	Other	adjustm
Total revenues	Ps.1,079,625	Ps.53,735	Ps.102,724	Ps.53,211	Ps.158,834	Ps.191,034	(Ps.172
Operating							
income (loss)	502 <b>,</b> 799	(2,520)	10,647	16,181	1,477	(26,750)	
Total assets	7,997,449	720,303	962,323	661,746	32,903	13,293,889	(11,686
Capital expendit	ures 98,882	26,863	41,653	25 <b>,</b> 976	3,012	138,082	
Depreciation and							
amortization	223,724	17,776	29 <b>,</b> 711	18,381	1,863	63,170	

The accounting policies of the reportable segments are the same as those described in Note 2.

#### 15. Subsequent event

On April 12, 2004, the Company was notified that Vinci, S. A. transferred its 24.5% ownership in ITA to Mr. Fernando Chico Pardo. In addition, on April 14, 2004, the Company was notified that Ferrovial Aeropuertos, S. L. transferred its 24.5% ownership in ITA to Copenhagen Airports and to Mr. Fernando Chico Pardo.

As a result of these transactions, ITA's stockholders currently are:

-	Copenhagen Airports A/S	36.5%
_	Nacional Financiera, S. N. C.	25.5%
-	Mr. Fernando Chico Pardo	38.0%

#### 16. Differences between Mexican GAAP and US GAAP

The Company's consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with Mexican GAAP, which differ in certain significant respects from Generally Accepted Accounting Principles in the United States of America ("US GAAP"). The Mexican GAAP consolidated financial statements include the effects of inflation as provided for under Bulletin B-10 and its amendments (see Note 2), whereas financial statements prepared in accordance with US GAAP are presented on a historical cost basis. The reconciliation does not include the reversal of adjustments to the financial statements for the effects of inflation required under Mexican GAAP because the application of Bulletin B-10 represents a comprehensive measure of the effects of price level changes in the inflationary Mexican economy and, as such, is considered a more meaningful presentation than historical cost-based financial reporting for both Mexican and US accounting purposes.

F-31

The principal differences between Mexican GAAP and US GAAP and the effect on the Company's net income and stockholders' equity are presented below with an

explanation of the adjustments:

	For the year ended Dece			
	2001	2002		
Reconciliation of net income:				
Net income as reported under Mexican GAAP	Ps. 277,783	Ps. 229,045		
US GAAP adjustments: Amortization of airport concessions Amortization of rights to use airport facilities Depreciation of machinery, furniture and equipment Deferred technical assistance fees Deferred employees' statutory profit sharing Deferred income taxes, net of inflation effects Tax on dividends, net Professional fees for recovered tax on dividends	167,290	167,290 22,178 5,603 (15,332) (96,873) (402,349) (269,168)		
Total US GAAP adjustments	46 <b>,</b> 299	(588 <b>,</b> 651)		
Net income (loss) under US GAAP	Ps. 324,082	(Ps. 359,606)		
Basic and diluted earnings per share	Ps. 1.08	(Ps. 1.20)		
		As of Decemb		
		2002		
Reconciliation of stockholders' equity: Total stockholders' equity reported under Mexican GAAP		11,199,579 I		
US GAAP adjustments: Airport concessions Rights to use airport facilities Machinery, furniture and equipment Deferred technical assistance fees Deferred employees' statutory profit sharing Deferred income taxes		(7,666,704) (471,254) (4,424) 4,686 665,954 2,493,532		
Total US GAAP adjustments		(4,978,210)		
Total stockholders' equity under US GAAP		6,221,369 I		

F-32

A summary of the Company's statement of changes in stockholders' equity with balances determined under US GAAP are as follows:

Balance at December 3 Net loss Dividends paid	31,	2001	Ps.	7,068,945 (359,606) (487,970)
Balance at December 3 Net income Dividends paid	31,	2002		6,221,369 269,426 (155,965)
Balance at December 3	81,	2003	Ps.	6,334,830

The following tables on present the condensed balance sheets and statements of income of the Company, including all US GAAP adjustments, as of December 31, 2002 and 2003, and for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003.

As of December 31,

		2002		2003
Assets				
Current assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	Ps.	457 <b>,</b> 225	Ps.	433,526
Other current assets		294,262		588,250
Total current assets		751 <b>,</b> 487		1,021,776
Deferred technical assistance fee		4,686		
Machinery, furniture and equipment - net		887 <b>,</b> 738		1,166,208
Airport concessions - net		238,523		184,418
Rights to use airport facilities - net		1,713,426		1,657,747
Deferred employees' statutory profit				
sharing		624,833		530,405
Deferred income taxes		2,129,053		1,927,893
Total assets	Ps.	6,349,746	Ps.	6,488,447
	====		=====	

F-33

	As	of	December	31,	
2002					2003

Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity

Seniority premiums Other current liabilities	Ps.	678 127 <b>,</b> 699	Ps.	608 153 <b>,</b> 009
Total liabilities		128 <b>,</b> 377		153 <b>,</b> 617
Capital Legal reserve Retained earnings		5,955,730 40,219 225,420		5,955,730 51,671 327,429
Total stockholders' equity		6,221,369		6,334,830
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	Ps.	6,349,746 	Ps.	6,488,447

# For the years ended December 31,

		2001	2002  Ps. 1,289,934			2003
Net revenues	Ps.	1,279,562			Ps.	1,466,632
Cost of services General and administrative		(389,171)		(466, 982)		(482,080)
expenses		(137,264)		(126,576)		(125,697)
Depreciation and amortization		(133 <b>,</b> 777)		(153 <b>,</b> 354)		(164,348)
Other expenses				(103,371)		(119,430)
Operating expenses		(766 <b>,</b> 037)		(850,283)		(891 <b>,</b> 555)
Operating income		513,525		439,651		575 <b>,</b> 077
Net comprehensive financing (cost) income and other expense Income tax expense		(227,792)		(827,507)		(312,999)
Net income (loss)	Ps.	324,082	(Ps.	359 <b>,</b> 606)	Ps.	269,426

#### Cash and marketable securities

Under Mexican GAAP, temporary investments and marketable securities, expected to be held less than one year, are considered to be cash equivalents.

Under US GAAP, temporary investments and marketable securities with original maturities greater than 90 days are considered to be short-term investments and, accordingly, are shown separately from cash in the balance sheet and cash flow statement.

F - 34

Airport concessions, rights to use airport facilities and environmental liabilities

Under Mexican GAAP, the acquisition cost of the airport concessions was allocated to the rights to use the airport facilities and to the environmental liabilities assumed, with the remainder allocated to airport concessions. The amount allocated to the rights to use the airport facilities was based on the results of an independent appraisal. The fair values of the environmental liabilities assumed are based on management's best estimate of the actual costs to be incurred and reflect the terms of a new agreement with the environmental authorities.

The rights to use the airport facilities, environmental liabilities and the airport concessions were transferred between entities under common control. Under US GAAP, the rights to use the airport facilities and the environmental liabilities were recorded equal to their historical book value (Ps.1,799,939 and Ps.23,470, respectively, at November 1, 1998) and no value was assigned to the airport concessions from the predecessor.

Machinery, furniture and equipment

Under Mexican GAAP, the value assigned to the machinery, furniture and equipment acquired from the Mexican government was equal to the purchase cost. The purchase cost was fully paid through the issuance of shares in the Company.

Under US GAAP, the value assigned to the machinery, furniture and equipment was equal to the historical cost of the assets as recorded by the predecessor. At December 31, 2003, the difference in value was fully depreciated.

Deferred technical assistance fee

Under Mexican GAAP, the fair value of stock based compensation is not recognized in the financial statements.

Under US GAAP, Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 123 "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation" ("SFAS 123") requires that all transactions with non-employees in which goods or services are received for the issuance of equity instruments must be accounted for based on the fair value of the consideration received or the fair value of the equity instrument issued, whichever is more reliably measurable.

As disclosed in Note 7, ASUR granted ITA stock options to acquire additional shares in ASUR provided that ITA has complied with its obligations under the technical assistance agreement. Under US GAAP, the fair value of the options is recognized as deferred technical assistance fee with a corresponding increase to stockholders' equity. The deferred technical assistance fee is recognized as additional compensation expense beginning from the date of grant through the dates the options become exercisable. The estimated fair value of the options was Ps.105,228 at

F-35

the date of grant. The fair value was based on an independent appraisal and determined using the Black-Scholes model. During the years ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003, the Company recognized additional compensation expense of

Ps.27,809, Ps.15,332 and Ps.4,686, respectively. Under US GAAP, at December 31, 2003 total compensation expense of Ps.105,228 has been recognized since all grants are exercisable.

Deferred income taxes

Accounting for income taxes in accordance with Statement D-4 is similar to accounting for income taxes in accordance with US GAAP, SFAS No. 109 ("SFAS 109"), "Accounting for Income Taxes" as they relate to the Company.

Bulletin D-4 requires that the change in net deferred income taxes during the period resulting from inflation on monetary deferred tax assets and liabilities be recorded against the gain or loss on monetary position. Under US GAAP, the Company has chosen to reflect the change in net deferred income taxes during the period resulting from inflation as a component of income tax (expense) benefit.

The deferred tax adjustments required to reconcile stockholders' equity and net income under Mexican GAAP to US GAAP as of and for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003, result from the differences in accounting for the airport concessions, the rights to use airport facilities, the deferred technical assistance fee, the machinery, furniture and equipment and the difference in presenting the effects of inflation.

For US GAAP purposes, the transfer of the airport concessions to ASUR's subsidiaries generated an aggregate net deferred tax asset of Ps.3,027,091, for the difference between the tax value and the book value of the airport concessions at the transfer date. The net deferred tax asset was recorded as a contribution to stockholders' equity.

The components of income tax expense, prepared after considering the impact of US GAAP adjustments, for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2002 and 2003 are as follows:

		For the years ended December 31,					
	2001	2002	2003				
Asset tax Tax on dividends, net Deferred	(Ps. 227,792)	(Ps. 32,779) (269,168) (525,560)	(Ps. 45,194) 34,427 (302,232)				
Income tax expense	(Ps. 227,792)	(Ps. 827,507)	(Ps. 312,999)				

F-36

For the year ended December 31, 2002 as a result of the tax rate reduction, the Company reduced its deferred tax asset by Ps.201,188 with a corresponding charge to income.

The tax effects of temporary differences that give rise to significant deferred tax assets and liabilities, prepared after considering the impact of US GAAP adjustments, at December 31, 2002 and 2003 are as follows:

December 31,

		2002		2003		
Deferred tax assets:						
Airport concessions, rights to						
use airport facilities and machinery						
furniture and equipment	Ps.	1,760,229	Ps.	1,474,491		
Tax loss carryforwards		386,047		438,022		
Recoverable asset tax		162,095		308,361		
Recoverable tax on dividends		269,165		80,348		
Other		4,383		10,434		
Valuation allowance		(451 <b>,</b> 366)		(383,763)		
		2,130,553		1,927,893		
Deferred tax liabilities:						
Deferred technical assistance fees		(1,500)				
Jordina Commical accidence 1000						
Net deferred income tax assets	De	2,129,053	De	1 927 893		
Not deferred fillome tax assets	====	========	====	========		

Based on the weight of available evidence as of December 31, 2002 and 2003, valuation allowances were recognized for the amount of the net deferred tax assets as of December 31, 2002 and 2003, that more likely than not will not be realized. The Company recognized valuation allowances for the deferred tax asset, asset tax and tax on dividends for the amounts estimated that will not be realized by its subsidiaries.

Employees' Statutory Profit Sharing

As stated in Note 10, the Company became subject to the employees' statutory profit sharing beginning January 1, 2000.

Under Mexican GAAP, Bulletin D-4 requires the recognition of employees' statutory profit sharing for all nonrecurring temporary differences generated during the period. Bulletin D-4, did not permit the recognition of deferred assets or liabilities for temporary differences generated before Bulletin D-4 became effective.

Under US GAAP, employees' statutory profit sharing is recognized in accordance with the requirements of SFAS 109. Under this method, employees' statutory profit sharing is recognized in respect of all temporary differences in the period in which the asset or liability arose. In addition, under US GAAP the benefit or expense recognized during the period is recorded in operating earnings.

F-37

For US GAAP purposes, the Company recognized a deferred employees' statutory profit sharing asset of Ps.890,321, for the difference between the tax value and the book value of the airport concessions at the transfer date. The net deferred employees' statutory profit sharing asset was recorded as a contribution to stockholders' equity.

The components of employees' statutory profit sharing expense, prepared after considering the impact of US GAAP adjustments, for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003 are as follows:

# For the years ended December 31,

	_								
	2	2001		2002			2003		
	_								
Deferred	(Ps.	65,082)		(Ps.	98,422)		(Ps.	94,428)	
	(Ps.	65,082)		(Ps.	98,422)		(Ps.	94,428)	

The effects of temporary differences that give rise to significant deferred employees' statutory profit sharing assets and liabilities, prepared after considering the impact of US GAAP adjustments, at December 31, 2002 and 2003 are as follows:

	2	2002		2003
Deferred assets:    Airport concessions, rights to use airport facilities and machinery, furniture				
and equipment Tax loss carryforwards Other	Ps.	120,640		460,778 136,882 3,162
Valuation allowance		(46,694)		(70,417)
Deferred liabilities:		625,302		530,405
Deferred technical assistance fees		(469)		
Net deferred employees' statutory profit sharing asset		624,833	Ps.	530,405

Tax on dividends

Under Mexican GAAP, tax on dividends is recorded as a reduction of retained earnings. For the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2003, the Company paid tax on dividends amounting to Ps.269,168 and Ps.80,348, respectively. Under US GAAP, tax on dividends is recorded as a tax expense since in accordance with Mexican Tax Law it can be used to reduce future taxable income in the year incurred and the following two years. During the year ended December 31, 2003, the Company recovered Ps.114,775, which under Mexican GAAP was recorded as a credit to retained earnings. Under US GAAP, the recovered tax on dividends was recorded as an income tax benefit in the income statement.

F-38

Professional fees for recovered tax on dividends

Under Mexican GAAP, the Company recorded professional fees incurred in connection with the recovery of the tax on dividends against retained earnings. Under US GAAP, these professional fees are not payments made to the tax authorities and, accordingly, they are not classified in the income statement as

income tax expenses, but rather as other expenses.

Comprehensive Income

The Company has adopted SFAS No. 130, "Reporting Comprehensive Income" ("SFAS 130"), for US GAAP purposes. SFAS 130 establishes rules for the reporting and display of comprehensive income and its components in a full set of general purpose financial statements. SFAS 130 requires that all items that are recognized under accounting standards as components of comprehensive income, such as unrealized holding gains and foreign currency translation adjustments, be reported in a financial statement that is displayed with the same prominence as other financial statements. The adoption of this statement has not resulted in any adjustment to US GAAP reported income.

Contract termination fee and loss on natural disaster

Under Mexican GAAP, the contract termination fee and loss on natural disaster were charged against the results of operations as an extraordinary item. Under US GAAP, the contract termination fee and loss from natural disaster would be considered an operating expense. The contract termination fee and loss on natural disaster have been reclassified as an operating expense in the US GAAP condensed income statement.

Concentrations As of December 31, 2002 and 2003, the Company maintained its cash and marketable securities with a major Mexican brokerage firm and other Mexican financial institutions. The Company would be adversely affected in the event of non-performance by any of these institutions. Management does not anticipate non-performance.

Supplemental Cash Flow InformationMexican GAAP Bulletin B-12, "Statements of Changes in Financial Position" ("Bulletin B-12"), specifies the appropriate presentation of the statement of changes in financial position. Under Bulletin B-12, the sources and uses of resources are determined based upon differences between beginning and ending financial statement balances in constant pesos. Under US GAAP, a statement of cash flows is required, which presents only cash movements and excludes non-cash items.

F-39

Presented below are statements of cash flows of the Company for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003, prepared after considering the impact of US GAAP adjustments. The cash flow statements present nominal cash flows during the periods, adjusted to December 31, 2003, purchasing power.

For the years ended December 31, 2001 2002 2003 ----Operating activities: Ps. 324,082 (Ps. 359,606) Ps. 269, Net income (loss) under US GAAP Adjustments to reconcile net income to cash flows provided by operating activities: 41,310 Loss from monetary position 32,835 34, Asset tax, tax on dividends and deferred income taxes 227,792 665,412 166,

Deferred employees' statutory profit sharing		65 <b>,</b> 082		98,422		94,
Depreciation and amortization		133,777		153,354		164,
Other expenses		27,809		15,332		4,
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:						
Trade receivables		(37, 317)		(38, 327)		(4,
Recoverable taxes and other current assets		(46,396)		1,385		(32,
Trade accounts payable		(11, 182)		1,254		7,
Accrued expenses and other payables		17 <b>,</b> 000		42 <b>,</b> 859		22 <b>,</b>
		741 057		(10, 000		707
Cash flows provided by operating activities		741 <b>,</b> 957		612 <b>,</b> 920		727 <b>,</b> 
Investing activities:						
Short-term investments		(354,192)		275,736		(219,
Purchase of other rights and machinery						
furniture and equipment		(377,345)		(275,612)		(334,
ash flows (used in) provided by investing						
activities		(731,537)		124		(554,
4002120200						
Financing activities:						
Payment of dividends				(487,970)		(155,
Payment of tax on dividends				(269, 168)		(80,
Cash flows used in financing activities				(757,138)		(236,
outh 110% doed in lindholmy doctylelet						
Effects of inflation on cash and cash equivalents		(41,174)		(10,291)		39,
ZIZOOO OI INIIAOION ON OAON ANA GASH GAALVAIONOS						
Decrease in cash and cash equivalents		(30.754)		(154, 385)		(23,
20010400 In oddin and oddin oqualvalonoo		(00),01)		(101,000)		(20)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of						
period		642,364		611,610		457 <b>,</b> 
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period		611 <b>,</b> 610		457 <b>,</b> 225	Ps.	433, =====
Supplemental cash disclosures:						
Asset tax and tax on dividends paid	Ps.		Ps.	414,880	Ps.	226,
Supplemental non-cash disclosures:						
Recovered tax on dividends	Ps.		Ps.		Ps.	114,
recovered tax on dividends	10.		10.		10.	T T 4,

F - 40

#### Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In June 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 143, "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations" ("SFAS 143"). SFAS 143 requires the recognition of a liability for the legal obligations associated with the retirement of a tangible long-lived asset that results from the acquisition, construction and (or) normal operation of the asset. The liability is recognized at fair value in the period in which it is incurred if a reasonable estimate of fair value can be made. A corresponding asset retirement cost is added to the carrying value of the long-lived asset and is depreciated to expense using a systematic and rational

method over its useful life. SFAS 143 is effective for fiscal year beginning after June 15, 2002. Upon initial adoption, a liability is recognized for existing asset retirement obligations and the associated asset retirement cost is capitalized as an increase to the carrying value of the asset. The recognized liability and asset are adjusted for cumulative accretion and accumulated depreciation, respectively, from the time period when the asset retirement obligation would have originally been recognized had this statement been in effect to the date of initial adoption. The cumulative effect of initial adoption of SFAS 143 is recorded as a change in accounting principle. The adoption of SFAS 143 did not have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements.

In January 2003, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 46 ("FIN 46"), "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities, an interpretation of ARB 51." The primary objectives of FIN 46 are to provide guidance on the identification of entities for which control is achieved through means other than through voting rights ("variable interest entities" or "VIEs") and how to determine when and which business enterprise should consolidate the VIE (the "primary beneficiary"). This new model for consolidation applies to an entity which either (1) the equity investors (if any) do not have a controlling financial interest or (2) the equity investment at risk is insufficient to finance that entity's activities without receiving additional subordinated financial support from other parties. In addition, FIN 46 requires that both the primary beneficiary and all other enterprises with a significant variable interest in a VIE make additional disclosures. FIN 46 applies immediately to variable interest entities created after January 31, 2003, and to variable interest entities in which an enterprise obtains an interest after that date. It applies in the first fiscal year or interim period beginning after June 15, 2003, to variable interest entities in which an enterprise holds a variable interest that it acquired before February 1, 2003. FIN 46 applies to public enterprises as of the beginning of the applicable interim or annual period, and it applies to nonpublic enterprises as of the end of the applicable annual period. In December 2003 the FASB redeliberated certain proposed modifications and revised FIN 46 ("FIN 46-R"). The revised provisions are applicable no later than the first reporting period ending after March 15, 2004. Company does not expect that the adoption of FIN 46 and FIN 46-R will have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements.

F - 41

In April 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 149, "Amendment of SFAS No. 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities" (SFAS 149"). This statement amends and clarifies the accounting for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts, and for hedging activities under SFAS 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities". SFAS 149 is generally effective for contracts entered into or modified after June 30, 2003 and for hedging relationships designed after June 30, 2003. The adoption of SFAS 149 did not have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements.

In May 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 150, "Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equity" ("SFAS 150"). This statement affects how an entity measures and reports financial instruments that have characteristics of both liabilities and equity, and is effective for financial instruments entered into or modified after May 31, 2003 and is otherwise effective at the beginning of the first interim period beginning after June 15, 2003. The adoption of SFAS 150 did no have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements.