

MEREDITH CORP
Form DEF 14A
September 26, 2013

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, DC 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities

Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant [X]

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant []

Check the appropriate box:

[] Preliminary Proxy Statement

[] Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14A-6(E)(2))

[X] Definitive Proxy Statement

[] Definitive Additional Materials

[] Soliciting Material Pursuant to Section 240.14a-11(c) or Section 240.14a-12

MEREDITH CORPORATION
(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee required

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(4) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

Table of Contents

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

November 6, 2013

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Annual Meeting of holders of common stock and class B common stock of Meredith Corporation (hereinafter called the “Company”) will be held at the Company’s principal executive offices, 1716 Locust Street, Des Moines, Iowa 50309-3023 on Wednesday, November 6, 2013 at 10:00 a.m., local time, for the following purposes:

1. To elect three Class III directors for terms expiring in 2016;
2. To approve, on an advisory basis, the executive compensation program for the Company’s named executive officers as described in this Proxy Statement;
3. To ratify the appointment of KPMG LLP as the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending June 30, 2014, and
4. To transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof.

By resolution of the Board of Directors, only holders of record of the Company’s common stock and class B common stock at the close of business on September 13, 2013 are entitled to notice of and to vote at the meeting or at any adjournment or postponement thereof.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

JOHN S. ZIESER

Chief Development Officer

General Counsel

Des Moines, Iowa

September 26, 2013

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Shareholder Meeting to Be Held on November 6, 2013: This Proxy Statement and the 2013 Annual Report are available at <http://www.idelivercommunications.com/proxy/mdp>. These documents are also posted on our web site at www.meredith.com. Directions to the Annual Meeting are available on our web site at www.meredith.com/meredith_corporate/findus.html.

PROXY STATEMENT

2013 ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

Table of Contents

<u>ABOUT THE 2013 ANNUAL MEETING</u>	1
<u>VOTING PROCEDURES</u>	1
<u>PROPOSAL ONE – ELECTION OF DIRECTORS</u>	4
<u>Involvement in Certain Proceedings</u>	6
<u>CORPORATE GOVERNANCE</u>	7
<u>Board Leadership Structure</u>	7
<u>Board’s Role in Risk Oversight</u>	7
<u>Corporate Governance Guidelines</u>	8
<u>Director Independence</u>	8
<u>MEETINGS AND COMMITTEES OF THE BOARD</u>	9
<u>The Board</u>	9
<u>Director Stock Ownership</u>	9
<u>Committees of the Board</u>	9
<u>Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation</u>	11
<u>PROPOSAL TWO – APPROVAL OF ADVISORY RESOLUTION ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION (“SAY ON PAY”)</u>	
<u>COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS</u>	11
<u>Executive Summary</u>	11
<u>Fiscal 2013 Financial Highlights</u>	12
<u>Say on Pay Vote</u>	12
<u>Compensation Philosophy and Objectives</u>	12
<u>The Elements of Our Compensation Program</u>	13
<u>Compensation Consultant</u>	19
<u>Treatment of Special Items</u>	20
<u>Tax Deductibility of Compensation – Section 162(m) Compliance</u>	20
<u>Practices Regarding the Grant of Options</u>	20
<u>Post-Termination Compensation</u>	21
<u>COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT</u>	21
<u>NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICER COMPENSATION</u>	22
<u>Summary Compensation Table for Fiscal Year 2013</u>	22
<u>Grants of Plan-Based Awards for Fiscal Year 2013</u>	23
<u>Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End 2013</u>	24
<u>Option Exercises and Stock Vested in Fiscal 2013</u>	25
<u>Pension Benefits in Fiscal 2013</u>	25
<u>Nonqualified Deferred Compensation in Fiscal 2013</u>	26
<u>Potential Payments upon Termination</u>	27
<u>Employment and Other Agreements</u>	27
<u>Change in Control</u>	32
<u>Payment Obligations upon Termination Due to Change in Control</u>	33
<u>COMPONENTS OF DIRECTOR COMPENSATION</u>	35
<u>Director Compensation for Fiscal 2013</u>	35
<u>SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT</u>	36

<u>AUDIT COMMITTEE DISCLOSURE</u>	37
<u>Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policy</u>	37
<u>Service Fees Paid to Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	38
<u>Report of the Audit Committee</u>	38
<u>PROPOSAL THREE – RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM</u>	39
<u>EQUITY COMPENSATION PLANS</u>	40
<u>SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE</u>	40
<u>RELATED PERSON TRANSACTION POLICY AND PROCEDURES</u>	40
<u>ANNUAL REPORT AND ADDITIONAL MATERIALS</u>	41
<u>HOUSEHOLDING OF PROXY MATERIALS</u>	41
<u>How to Receive Future Proxy Statements and Annual Reports Online</u>	41
<u>SUBMITTING SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS</u>	42

Table of Contents

PROXY STATEMENT

Annual Meeting of Shareholders

November 6, 2013

ABOUT THE 2013 ANNUAL MEETING

This Proxy Statement, along with the Company's Annual Report to Shareholders, is being sent to shareholders on or about September 26, 2013 in connection with the solicitation of proxies by the Board of Directors of Meredith Corporation ("Meredith" or the "Company"). The proxies are to be used in voting at the Annual Meeting of holders of common stock and class B common stock of the Company to be held at the Company's principal executive offices, 1716 Locust Street, Des Moines, Iowa 50309-3023 on Wednesday, November 6, 2013 at 10:00 a.m., local time, and at any adjournment or postponement thereof. The cost of soliciting proxies will be borne by the Company. In addition, officers and employees of the Company may solicit the return of proxies from certain shareholders by telephone or meeting. Such officers and employees will receive no additional compensation for such solicitation activities.

VOTING PROCEDURES

Who Is Entitled to Vote?

Only shareholders of record at the close of business on September 13, 2013 (the "record date") will be entitled to notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof. On the record date, there were issued and outstanding 36,383,277 shares of common stock, each entitled to one vote at the Annual Meeting. On the record date, there were issued and outstanding 8,316,745 shares of class B common stock, each entitled to ten votes at the Annual Meeting, for a total of 119,550,727 votes.

How Can I Vote?

You can vote either in person at the Annual Meeting or by proxy without attending the meeting. We are again taking advantage of the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") rules that allow companies to furnish proxy materials to their shareholders over the Internet. On September 26, 2013 we mailed to shareholders of record on the record date a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials (the "Notice") containing instructions on how to access this Proxy Statement and our 2013 Annual Report to Shareholders online. If you received a Notice by mail you will not automatically receive a printed copy of our proxy materials in the mail. You may request a paper copy of our proxy materials by mail or an electronic copy by e-mail. The Notice also contains instructions for voting online.

If you are a holder of record and have requested and received a paper copy of our proxy materials, you may also vote by following the instructions on the proxy card that is included with the proxy materials. As set forth on the proxy card, there are three convenient methods for holders of record to direct their vote by proxy without attending the Annual Meeting:

- Vote by Mail: You may vote by marking the proxy card, dating and signing it, and returning it in the postage-paid envelope provided. Please mail your proxy card promptly to ensure that it is received prior to the closing of the polls at the Annual Meeting.
- Vote by Internet: You may also vote via the Internet. The web site address for Internet voting is provided on your proxy card. You will need to use the control number appearing on your proxy card to vote via the Internet. You can

use the Internet to transmit your voting instructions up until noon of the day prior to the Annual Meeting. Internet voting is available 24 hours a day. If you vote via the Internet you do NOT need to vote by telephone or

Table of Contents

return a proxy card. If you vote via the Internet, you may incur costs such as usage charges from Internet access providers and telephone companies. You will be responsible for those costs.

Vote by Telephone: You may also vote by telephone by calling the toll-free number provided on your proxy card.

You will need to use the control number appearing on your proxy card to vote by telephone. You may transmit your 3. voting instructions from any touch-tone telephone up until noon of the day prior to the Annual Meeting. Telephone voting is available 24 hours a day. If you vote by telephone you do NOT need to vote over the Internet or return a proxy card.

If your shares are held in the name of your bank, broker or other nominee, you must obtain a proxy executed in your favor from the holder of record (that is, your bank, broker or nominee) to be able to vote at the Annual Meeting.

If your shares are held in the name of your bank, broker or other nominee, please contact your bank, broker or nominee to determine whether you will be able to vote by Internet or telephone.

Please refer to the Notice or the proxy card for more information about the voting methods available to you.

How Can I Change My Vote?

Registered shareholders can revoke their proxy at any time before it is voted at the Annual Meeting by:

1. Delivering timely written notice of revocation to the Secretary of the Company, Meredith Corporation, 1716 Locust Street, Des Moines, Iowa 50309-3023;
2. Submitting another timely, later-dated proxy using the same voting method you used to vote your shares;
3. Attending the Annual Meeting and voting in person.

If your shares are held in the name of a bank, broker or other nominee, please contact your bank, broker or nominee to determine how you may change your vote prior to the Annual Meeting.

How Many Votes Must Be Present to Conduct Business at the Annual Meeting?

In order for business to be conducted, a quorum must be represented either in person or by proxy at the Annual Meeting. The presence in person or by proxy of a majority of the voting power of the outstanding shares eligible to vote at the Annual Meeting constitutes a quorum. Shares represented by a proxy marked WITHHOLD or ABSTAIN will be considered present at the Annual Meeting for purposes of determining a quorum.

How Many Votes Am I Entitled to Cast?

You are entitled to cast one vote for each share of common stock you own on the record date. You are entitled to cast ten votes for each share of class B common stock you own on the record date. Shareholders do not have the right to vote cumulatively in electing directors.

How Many Votes Are Required to Elect Directors?

Directors are elected by a plurality of the votes cast by holders of shares entitled to vote in the election at a meeting at which a quorum is present. This means that the nominees receiving the highest number of votes cast for the number of positions to be filled are elected. Only votes cast FOR a nominee will be counted. An instruction to WITHHOLD authority to vote for one or more of the nominees will result in those nominees receiving fewer votes, but will not count as a vote AGAINST the nominees. Abstentions and broker non-votes will have no effect on the director election since only votes FOR a nominee will be counted.

Table of Contents

How Many Votes Are Required to Approve, on an Advisory Basis, the Executive Compensation Program for the Company's Named Executive Officers?

The affirmative vote of a majority of the voting power present in person or by proxy and entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting will be required to approve the executive compensation program. For this proposal, an abstention will have the same effect as a vote AGAINST the proposal. Broker non-votes will have no effect on the proposal.

How Many Votes Are Required to Ratify the Appointment of KPMG LLP ("KPMG") as Meredith's Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm?

The affirmative vote of a majority of the voting power present in person or by proxy and entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting will be required to ratify the selection of KPMG. Abstentions will have the same effect as a vote AGAINST the proposal. Broker non-votes will have no effect on the proposal.

How Many Votes Are Required to Approve Other Matters?

Unless otherwise required by law or the Company's Bylaws, the affirmative vote of a majority of the voting power represented at the Annual Meeting and entitled to vote will be required for other matters that may properly come before the meeting.

For matters requiring majority approval, abstentions will have the same effect as a vote AGAINST the proposal. Broker non-votes will have no effect on such a proposal.

Will My Shares Be Voted if I Do Not Provide Instructions to My Broker?

If you are the beneficial owner of shares held in street name by a broker, the broker, as the record holder of the shares, is required to vote those shares in accordance with your instructions. If you do not give instructions to the broker, the broker will be entitled to vote the shares with respect to discretionary items but will not be permitted to vote the shares with respect to non-discretionary items (those shares are treated as broker non-votes). The ratification of the appointment of KPMG (Proposal Three) is a discretionary item. The election of directors (Proposal One) and the advisory vote on executive compensation (Say on Pay) (Proposal Two) are non-discretionary items. A broker or other nominee will not be permitted to vote shares without instructions from the beneficial owners on Proposals One or Two.

Who Represents My Proxy at the Annual Meeting?

If you do not vote in person at the Annual Meeting but have voted your shares over the Internet, by telephone or by signing and returning a proxy card, you have authorized certain members of Meredith's Board of Directors ("Board of Directors" or the "Board"), as designated by the Board, to represent you and to vote your shares as instructed.

What if I Return a Proxy Card but Do Not Provide Specific Voting Instructions for Some or All of the Items?

All shares that have been properly voted – whether by Internet, telephone or mail – will be voted at the Annual Meeting in accordance with your instructions unless such vote has been revoked. If you sign a proxy card but do not give voting instructions, the votes represented by the proxy will be voted as recommended by the Board of Directors and in the discretion of the persons named as proxies upon such matters not presently known or determined that may properly come before the meeting. The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR the election of the director nominees, FOR the approval of the advisory resolution on executive compensation (Say on Pay) and FOR the ratification of the appointment of KPMG as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal

2014.

What if Other Matters Are Voted on at the Annual Meeting?

If any other matters are properly presented at the Annual Meeting for consideration and if you have voted your shares by Internet, telephone or mail, the persons named as proxies will have the discretion to vote on those matters for you. At the date of filing this Proxy Statement with the SEC, the Board of Directors did not know of any other matter to be raised at the Annual Meeting.

3

Table of Contents

How Do I Vote if I participate in the Meredith Corporation Employee Stock Purchase Plan of 2002 (the “ESPP”) and/or Meredith Savings and Investment Plan (the “401(k) Plan”)?

If you are a participant in the Company’s ESPP and/or the 401(k) Plan, you have the right to give instructions to the respective plan administrator as to the voting of the shares of stock allocated to your account. The voting of those shares will occur at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders or at any adjournment or postponement thereof. In this regard, please indicate your voting choices by voting online using the instructions on the Notice that has been sent to you or by voting using the methods as described on the proxy card if you have requested hard copies of the proxy materials. If you hold shares in the 401(k) Plan and do not vote your shares, those shares will be voted by the plan administrator in the same percentage as the shares held in the 401(k) Plan for which directions are received. If you hold shares in the ESPP and do not vote your shares, those shares will be voted by the plan administrator on discretionary matters but will not be voted on non-discretionary matters.

PROPOSAL ONE – ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Our Restated Articles of Incorporation provide that the Board of Directors shall consist of no fewer than three or more than fifteen persons, as may be provided by the Bylaws, to be divided into three classes, each class to consist, as nearly as may be possible, of one-third of the total number of directors. The Bylaws provide that the number of directors shall be fixed from time to time by resolution of the Board of Directors. The last resolution provided for nine directors.

Listed below are the three persons who have been nominated as Class III directors to serve three-year terms to expire in 2016. All of the Class III nominees are currently serving as directors of the Company and were previously elected by the shareholders. Should any of the nominees become unable to serve prior to the upcoming Annual Meeting, an event that is not anticipated by the Company, the proxies, except those from shareholders who have given instructions to WITHHOLD voting for the following nominees, will be voted FOR such other person or persons as the Nominating/Governance Committee may nominate. Certain information concerning each of the nominees standing for election and each of the continuing directors is set forth below.

Nominees for Election as Class III Directors – Terms to Expire in 2016

Stephen M. Lacy Chairman and Chief Executive Officer (“CEO”), Meredith Corporation

Mr. Lacy, 59, is Chairman of the Board and CEO of Meredith and was elected to his current position on February 1, 2010. Mr. Lacy joined Meredith in 1998 as Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. He was promoted to President of the National Media Group in 2000, elected to the Board and named President and Chief Operating Officer in 2004 and elected President and CEO in 2006. Mr. Lacy joined the Board of Directors at Hormel Foods Corporation in September 2011. His intimate knowledge of our Company, gained through 15 years of service in critical executive positions within the Company and including eight years as President, enables him to provide important insights regarding our operations, including finance, marketing, strategic planning and management.

D. Mell
Meredith Vice-Chairman, Meredith Corporation
Frazier

Ms. Frazier, 57, has been a member of the Board of Directors since 2000 and was elected Vice Chairman in 2010. She is Chairman of the Nominating/Governance Committee and a member of the Compensation Committee. She is also the Chairman of the Board of the Meredith Corporation Foundation. Ms. Frazier began her career at Meredith in 1976 and held various positions throughout the Company, including editorial, financial, marketing and production positions in publishing; acquisition and financial analysis in broadcasting and various corporate staff positions through 2003. As a fourth-generation member of the Meredith family, she holds a deep appreciation of the values and societal roles of the Company throughout its history. In addition, her previous service as an employee in various positions throughout the Company allows her to share a singular perspective with the Board.

Table of Contents

Dr. Mary

Sue Coleman President, University of Michigan

Dr. Coleman, 69, has been a member of Meredith's Board of Directors since 1997 and is a member of the Audit and Finance Committees. Dr. Coleman assumed responsibility as the President of the University of Michigan with its 53,000 students in August 2002. She holds academic appointments as Professor of Chemistry in the College of Literature, Sciences and the Arts and Professor of Chemistry in the College of Medicine. Dr. Coleman is a member of the Board of Directors of Johnson & Johnson. Dr. Coleman's service as President of one of the nation's largest and most prestigious public universities allows her to bring to the Board a unique point of view regarding organizational management.

The Board recommends a vote FOR each of the nominees for director, as listed above.

Directors Continuing in Office as Class I Directors – Terms to Expire in 2014

**Donald
C. Berg**

Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, Brown-Forman Corporation

Mr. Berg, 58, started with Brown-Forman Corporation, one of the largest American-owned companies in the wine and spirits business, in 1988. He was appointed to his present position in 2008 and was previously Senior Vice President and Director of Corporate Development and Strategy. He also has a wide variety of experience with respected national and international firms. The Board believes that he will be a valuable asset bringing additional financial expertise, strategic development and international business experience to the Board.

**Philip A.
Marineau**

Partner, LNK Partners

Mr. Marineau, 66, has been a member of the Board of Directors since 1998 and currently serves as Chairman of the Audit Committee and as a member of the Compensation Committee. In October 2008 he became a partner at LNK Partners, a private equity firm based in White Plains, New York. He retired from Levi Strauss & Co. in November 2006, where he served as President and CEO from September 1999. His prior service includes terms as an executive officer at PepsiCo, Dean Foods Company and Quaker Oats Co. Mr. Marineau has an extensive record of achievement in consumer products marketing and management. He is currently Chairman of the Board of Shutterfly, Inc., a position he has held since February 2007. Mr. Marineau's consumer products and marketing experience provides important insight and guidance to our management team and the Board of Directors and is instrumental to the development of our overall business strategy.

**Elizabeth
E. Tallett**

Principal, Hunter Partners, LLC

Ms. Tallett, 64, was first elected to the Board of Directors in 2008 and serves on the Nominating/Governance and Compensation Committees. Since 2002 she has been Principal at Hunter Partners, LLC, a firm which provides management services to life science businesses, including early to mid-stage pharmaceutical, biotech and medical device companies. In addition to serving on the Meredith Board of Directors, Ms. Tallett serves on the boards of The Principal Financial Group, Inc. and Qiagen,

N.V. During the past five years, she was also a director at the following public companies: Varian, Inc.; Varian Semiconductor Equipment Associates, Inc.; Coventry Health Care, Inc.; IntegraMed America, Inc. and Immunicon, Inc. In addition to her leadership and financial management in pharmaceutical and biotechnology firms, she has executive-level experience in multinational companies, international operations, economics, strategic planning, marketing, product development and acquisitions and mergers.

Table of Contents

Directors Continuing in Office as Class II Directors – Terms to Expire in 2015

James R. Craigie Chairman and CEO, Church & Dwight Co., Inc.

Mr. Craigie, 59, has been a member of the Board of Directors since 2006 and is a member of both the Audit and Finance Committees. He is the Chairman and CEO of Church & Dwight Co., Inc. (a developer and marketer of consumer and specialty products), a position he has held since 2007. He served as CEO of Church & Dwight from 2004 to 2007. He also currently serves on the Board of the Gettysburg Foundation. Mr. Craigie's experience as Chairman and CEO at Church & Dwight and his leadership in connection with several acquisitions and dispositions during his tenure enables him to analyze business combination and disposition opportunities and to provide valuable insights regarding finance, marketing and strategic planning to the Board.

Frederick B. Henry President, the Bohen Foundation

Mr. Henry, 67, has served on the Company's Board of Directors since 1969. He is currently the Chairman of the Compensation Committee and a member of the Nominating/Governance Committee. Mr. Henry has been President of the Bohen Foundation, a private charitable foundation that supports the arts, since 1985. During his tenure as a director Mr. Henry has served on every standing committee of the Board and he brings an invaluable understanding of each committee's work to the Board as a whole.

Joel W. Johnson Former Chairman and CEO, Hormel Foods Corporation

Mr. Johnson, 70, has been a member of Meredith's Board of Directors since 1994. He serves as Chairman of the Finance Committee and is a member of the Nominating/Governance Committee. Mr. Johnson retired as Chairman of the Board of Hormel Foods Corporation ("Hormel") in December 2006. Mr. Johnson currently serves on the Boards of Ecolab, Inc. and U.S. Bancorp. Mr. Johnson's tenure as Chairman and CEO of Hormel, a public company with global operations, provided him with directly relevant operating experience. In addition, his service on the boards of Hormel, Ecolab, Inc. and U.S. Bancorp has provided him with significant public company board experience.

Involvement in Certain Proceedings

Mr. James R. Craigie was President and CEO and a member of the Board of Directors of Spalding Sports Worldwide Inc. and its successor, Top-Flite Golf Company from December 1998 through September 2003. Mr. Craigie was recruited by Kohlberg Kravis Roberts & Co. to assist in the turnaround of this financially troubled athletic equipment manufacturer and marketer. In April 2003, Spalding Sports Worldwide Inc. sold its Etonic shoe and glove business to a private investment entity and its non-golf sporting goods assets to Russell Corp. and changed its name to Top-Flite Golf Company ("Top-Flite"). In June 2003, Top-Flite filed for bankruptcy in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware, and the court administered an auction process which resulted in the sale of Top-Flite's assets to Callaway Golf Company in September 2003.

Mr. Joseph H. Ceryanec, our Chief Financial Officer, was named Acting Chief Financial Officer of McLeodUSA in September 2005 when both the CEO and the Chief Financial Officer left the company. In October 2005, McLeodUSA filed a prepackaged petition for bankruptcy. McLeodUSA emerged from bankruptcy in January 2006. Mr. Ceryanec was named Chief Financial Officer at McLeodUSA in February 2006 and served in that position through early 2008.

Table of Contents

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Our Company was founded upon service to our customers and we are committed to building value for our shareholders. Our products and services continue to distinguish themselves on the basis of quality, customer service and value that can be trusted. Consistent with these principles, Meredith strives to uphold the highest standards of ethical conduct, to be a leader in corporate governance, to report results with accuracy and transparency and to maintain full compliance with the laws, rules and regulations that govern Meredith's businesses.

Board Leadership Structure

The Company's businesses are overseen by the Board of Directors which currently has nine members. There is one member of management on the Board and the remaining eight directors are independent directors. The Board has four standing committees, namely Audit, Compensation, Nominating/Governance and Finance, all of which are comprised entirely of independent directors. Each committee has its own charter and the chair of each committee reports to the Board at each regular meeting.

The Board of Directors has no specific policy with respect to the separation of the offices of Chairman and CEO. The Board believes this issue is part of the succession planning process and that it is in the best interests of the Company for the Board to make this determination on a periodic basis. Our current Board leadership structure combines these roles, with Mr. Lacy acting as Chairman and CEO. In addition, the Board elected Ms. Frazier, an independent director under the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") rules, to serve as Vice Chairman and as Chairman of the Nominating/Governance Committee. Ms. Frazier presides at the executive sessions of non-management directors and executive sessions of independent directors. Each year the Nominating/Governance Committee recommends its nominees for Chairman of the Board and members and chairs for each standing committee.

Mr. Lacy has primary responsibility for managing the Company's businesses; designing, developing and establishing strategic plans and providing leadership to the management team, all subject to the Board's direction and review. As Chairman of the Board, Mr. Lacy is the key link between the Board and other members of management, as well as between the Board and the Company's shareholders. Because of his day-to-day knowledge of the Company's operations and challenges in his role as CEO, he is well-suited to provide leadership to the Board and guide its deliberations and activities.

As Vice Chairman, Ms. Frazier works closely with the Chairman to ensure that the Board's procedures, processes and communications reflect sound corporate governance. She chairs executive sessions of the independent, non-management directors and counsels collectively and individually with the members of the Board to utilize their individual capabilities to the Board's best advantage. She collaborates with the Chairman to organize and establish the Board agenda, works to ensure there is sufficient time for discussion of agenda items and oversees the circulation of timely and relevant information to directors. The Board of Directors believes at this time this leadership structure enhances Board effectiveness in performing its oversight role and furthers the policies and procedures of the Board.

Board's Role in Risk Oversight

Risk is an integral part of the Board and committee deliberations throughout the year. The Board is responsible for and oversees the Company's risk management process through regular discussion of the Company's risks with management both during and outside of regularly scheduled Board meetings. The Board considers, as appropriate, risks, among other factors, in reviewing the Company's strategy, business plan, budgets and major transactions. Each of the Board's committees assists the Board in overseeing the management of the Company's risks within the areas delegated to the committee. The Company uses an enterprise risk management framework to ensure that key risk areas

are identified and that oversight responsibility is assigned to the appropriate Board committee and management. Each committee has a charter that lists such committee's designated areas of responsibility for specific risk areas that might impact the Company. Board committees make regular reports addressing risk oversight to the Board at its meetings. The full Board also receives periodic information about the Company's risk areas and initiatives for addressing those risks. In addition, future risks are anticipated and discussed as part of the strategic planning process.

At least quarterly, the Audit Committee discusses with management, corporate counsel, the Company's director of internal audit and the Company's independent external auditor: current business trends affecting the Company that may impact risk; litigation and ethics compliance matters; the risk exposures facing the Company; the steps management has taken to

Table of Contents

monitor and control such risk factors (including a subcertification program in which senior and middle managers attest to review and approval of financial disclosures with respect to which they have some responsibility) and the adequacy of internal controls that could materially affect the Company's financial statements. As part of this process, the Company's director of internal audit interviews key executives regarding business strategies and areas of risk faced by the Company and its business segments. The Chair of the Audit Committee reports to the Board at each meeting concerning its risk oversight activities.

The Compensation Committee oversees risks related to the Company's compensation programs and policies and reviews management's periodic reports on such risks. In 2010, the Compensation Committee engaged Towers Watson & Co. ("Towers Watson") to work with the Company's director of internal audit as well as the Company's human resources and legal departments to develop a framework to assess the specific risks associated with the Company's compensation programs. The framework was designed to evaluate the key elements of the Company's compensation programs to determine whether such programs could reasonably be expected to have or create a material adverse effect on the Company. As part of this framework, the Company's pay philosophy, incentive plan designs, performance metrics and pay plan governance process were considered. Based on the results of the annual assessment, management and the Compensation Committee, with the assistance of Towers Watson and the Company's internal audit and legal advisors, have concluded that any risks associated with the Company's compensation programs are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company.

Corporate Governance Guidelines

The Board of Directors has adopted the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines ("Guidelines"), charters for each of the Board committees, Code of Business Conduct and Ethics and Code of Ethics for CEO and Senior Financial Officers. These documents are posted on the Corporate Governance section of the Meredith web site, www.meredith.com, and are available upon written request to the Secretary of the Company, 1716 Locust Street, Des Moines, Iowa 50309-3023.

Director Independence

Because certain members of the Meredith family, acting as a group, control more than 50% of the voting power of Meredith, the Company is a "Controlled Company" and need not comply with the requirements for a majority of independent directors or for independent compensation and nominating/corporate governance committees. Our Board of Directors has, nevertheless, determined to comply in all respects with the NYSE rules relating to non-controlled companies. The Board currently does not have any categorical standards to assist it in determining the independence of its members other than those expressly set forth in the NYSE rules.

For purposes of the NYSE listing standards, the Board of Directors has determined that each of the following directors and/or nominees has no material relationship with the Company (directly or as a partner, shareholder or officer of an organization that has a relationship with the Company) and, accordingly, is independent:

Donald C. Berg	Mary Sue Coleman	James R. Craigie
D. Mell Meredith	Frazier Frederick B. Henry	Joel W. Johnson
Philip A. Marineau	Elizabeth E. Tallett	

Nominations for Director

Director nominees are selected by the Nominating/Governance Committee in accordance with the policies and principles of its charter and the Guidelines. The committee considers independence, diversity, age, skills and experience in the context of the needs of the Board. The committee will consider shareholder recommendations for directors that comply with the requirements set forth in the section entitled "SUBMITTING SHAREHOLDER

PROPOSALS” which appears later in this Proxy Statement. For additional information, please see “Committees of the Board” which appears later in this Proxy Statement.

Executive Sessions of Non-Management Directors

Non-management directors meet in executive session at least quarterly. The Chair of the Nominating/Governance Committee presides at these executive sessions.

Table of Contents

Communications with the Board

Interested parties and shareholders who wish to communicate with the Board and/or the non-management directors should address their communication to: Board of Directors, Meredith Corporation, c/o Office of the General Counsel, 1716 Locust Street, Des Moines, Iowa 50309-3023. Mail addressed in this manner will be forwarded to the Chairman of the Board. Shareholders may also deliver such communication by telephone at 1-866-457-7445 or at <https://www.integrity-helpline.com/meredith.jsp>.

MEETINGS AND COMMITTEES OF THE BOARD

The Board

The Board has a majority of directors who meet the criteria for independence established by the NYSE. The responsibility of the directors is to exercise their business judgment to act in what they reasonably believe to be the best interests of the Company and its shareholders. Directors are expected to attend Board meetings and meetings of the committees on which they serve and to spend the time needed and meet as frequently as necessary to properly discharge their responsibilities.

The Board had four regularly scheduled meetings during fiscal 2013, as did the Audit, Compensation, Finance and Nominating/Governance Committees. In addition, the Audit Committee had four special meetings and the Board had three special meetings. All current directors attended more than 75% of the meetings of the full Board and the respective committees on which they served during fiscal 2013. The Company policy is that all directors are expected to attend the Annual Meeting of Shareholders. All directors attended the November 7, 2012 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

Director Stock Ownership

All directors are expected to own stock in the Company. The Board approved an increase in the stock ownership requirements for non-employee directors in fiscal 2011. Within five years of July 1, 2010 (or five years from their initial appointment or election to the Board for subsequently appointed or elected directors), each non-employee director is expected to own 7,500 shares of common stock or a number of shares of common stock equal to three times the value of non-employee director annual compensation, whichever is less. The value of shares for ownership purposes will be determined using a 200-day average stock price.

Restricted stock and stock equivalent units (“SEUs”) count toward the required ownership but stock options do not. All of our current directors have met or exceeded or for the directors who have been on the Board for less than five years, are on track to meet or exceed the ownership requirement. For additional information on stock ownership by our officers and directors, please see the section entitled “SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT” in this Proxy Statement.

Committees of the Board

The Guidelines require the Board to have a Nominating/Governance Committee, an Audit Committee and a Compensation Committee and further provide that the Board may establish additional committees as necessary or appropriate. The Board has also established a Finance Committee. Each committee has its own charter setting forth the qualifications for membership on the committee and the purposes, goals and responsibilities of the committee.

Each of these committees has the power to hire independent legal, financial or other advisors as it deems necessary, without consulting or obtaining the approval of any officer of the Company in advance. The charter for each committee is available on the Company's web site at www.meredith.com by first clicking on "Corporate," then on "Corp Governance," then on "Board Committees" and finally clicking on the committee name. The charter of each committee is also available in print to any shareholder who requests it. The table below shows the current membership for each of the standing Board committees:

Table of Contents

Audit Committee	Compensation Committee	Finance Committee	Nominating/Governance Committee
Donald C. Berg	D. Mell Meredith Frazier	Donald C. Berg	D. Mell Meredith Frazier*
Mary Sue Coleman	Frederick B. Henry*	Mary Sue Coleman	Frederick B. Henry
James R. Craigie	Philip A. Marineau	James R. Craigie	Joel W. Johnson
Philip A. Marineau*	Elizabeth E. Tallett	Joel W. Johnson*	Elizabeth E. Tallett

*Committee Chair

Audit Committee. The committee is composed entirely of non-employee directors, each of whom meets the “independence” requirements of the NYSE listing standards, as well as the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Pursuant to our Audit Committee Charter, each member of the committee, in addition to meeting the “independence” requirement, 1. must be “financially literate” as contemplated under the NYSE rules. Furthermore, the Board of Directors has determined that Directors Berg, Craigie and Marineau each meet the requirements to be named “audit committee financial experts” as the term has been defined by the SEC rules implementing Section 407 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

The committee assists the Board of Directors in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities as they relate to the Company’s accounting policies and internal controls, financial reporting practices and legal and regulatory compliance. It is directly responsible for the appointment, compensation and oversight of the Company’s independent auditor, also referred to as “independent registered public accounting firm,” and has sole authority to appoint or replace the independent auditor. In addition, the committee maintains, through regularly scheduled meetings, open lines of communication between the Board of Directors and the Company’s financial management, internal auditors and independent registered public accounting firm.

Nominating/Governance Committee. Pursuant to the committee’s charter, all members of this committee are non-employee directors who meet the “independence” requirements of the NYSE listing standards. The committee’s purpose is to: assist the Board by identifying individuals qualified to become Board members and recommend to the 2. Board the director nominees for the next Annual Meeting of Shareholders; recommend to the Board the Corporate Governance Guidelines applicable to the Company; lead the Board in its annual review of CEO succession planning and the Board’s performance; recommend to the Board any changes in non-employee director compensation and recommend to the Board director nominees for each committee.

Nominees for directorship may be recommended by members of the Board, shareholders or other parties. The Nominating/Governance Committee has from time to time retained an executive recruiting firm whose function is to bring specific director candidates to the attention of the committee. Current directors are contacted at the end of their terms concerning their willingness and intent to continue as a director. All nominees are considered in accordance with the policies and principles in the Nominating/Governance Committee Charter. The committee is responsible for reviewing with the Board the requisite skills and characteristics of director nominees. It assesses nominees’ qualifications for independence as well as other considerations. The committee’s first priority is to seek the most qualified and experienced candidates possible. A person considered for nomination to the Board must be a person of high integrity and ethics. While the committee does not have a formal diversity policy, it seeks to ensure that the Board maintains an appropriate mix of experience, characteristics, skills and background to provide the Board and the Company with sound and effective input and guidance. In addition, while the committee has not adopted a policy with respect to nominations made by shareholders, it will consider nominations that are submitted in accordance with the Company’s Bylaws. For additional information on submitting a nomination for a director, please see “SUBMITTING SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS” later in this Proxy Statement.

3. **Compensation Committee.** Pursuant to the committee’s charter, all members of this committee are non-employee directors who meet the “independence” requirements of the NYSE listing standards. The committee has overall responsibility for evaluation and approval of officer compensation plans, policies and programs. The committee reviews and approves corporate officers’ salaries; approves, prior to adoption, any officer or management incentive,

bonus, stock plans or agreements and administers such plans as required.

4. Finance Committee. The committee advises the Board with respect to corporate financial policies and procedures, dividend policy, specific corporate financing and capital plans and annual operating and capital budgets. It also provides financial advice and counsel to management, reviews and makes recommendations to the Board of Directors concerning acquisitions and dispositions, appoints depositories of corporate funds and specifies

Table of Contents

conditions of deposit and withdrawal and approves corporate investment portfolios and capital expenditure requests by management within the limits established by the Board. In addition, the committee reviews pension plan performance and approves plan documents.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

All members of the Compensation Committee are independent directors. No executive officer of the Company serves on the Board of Directors or Compensation Committee of any other company for which any directors of Meredith served as an executive officer at any time during fiscal 2013.

PROPOSAL TWO – APPROVAL OF ADVISORY RESOLUTION

ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION (“SAY ON PAY”)

The Company is seeking an advisory vote with respect to compensation awarded to its named executive officers (“NEOs”) for fiscal 2013 from its shareholders. Our executive compensation program is described in detail in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis and the related compensation tables and other narrative disclosures as required by the SEC which can be found in this Proxy Statement beginning on page 11.

Since the vote on this proposal is advisory in nature, it will not affect any compensation already paid or awarded to any NEO and will not be binding on the Compensation Committee, the Board or the Company. However, the Compensation Committee, which is responsible for approving the overall design and administering the executive compensation program, values the opinions of the shareholders and will take into account the outcome of the vote when making future executive compensation decisions. The Board of Directors recommends that you approve the following resolution that will be submitted for a shareholder vote at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders in support of the Company’s executive compensation program:

RESOLVED, that the shareholders of the Company approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation paid to the Company’s named executive officers, as disclosed pursuant to Item 402 of Regulation S-K, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, compensation tables and narrative discussion which are included in the Proxy Statement for this Annual Meeting.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR the approval, on an advisory basis, of this item.

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

This section provides information regarding the compensation program in place for our CEO, Chief Financial Officer and the three other most highly compensated executive officers, collectively the NEOs, for fiscal 2013. It includes information regarding, among other things, the overall objectives of our compensation program and each element of compensation that we provide.

The Compensation Committee reviews and approves the compensation of our officers and acts pursuant to a charter that has been approved by the Board of Directors. The committee also administers various stock and other compensation-related plans provided for the benefit of our officers and other key managers.

Executive Summary

Our compensation program is designed to focus our NEOs on key business objectives and is tied to the financial performance of the Company. As described in more detail below, the committee made compensation decisions based on Company performance for fiscal 2013. Our compensation philosophy and objectives provide the framework within which compensation programs are considered and decisions are made.

Table of Contents

Fiscal 2013 Financial Highlights

Fiscal 2013 was a year of strong growth in revenues, profit and cash flow. Our Local Media Group achieved the highest revenue and profit performance in its 65-year history. Our National Media Group grew both advertising and circulation revenues. We strengthened our connection to consumers across media platforms. And importantly, we continued to deliver on our Total Shareholder Return (“TSR”) strategy by growing free cash flow and returning more cash to shareholders through increased dividends and share repurchases. Other financial highlights for fiscal 2013 include:

Earnings per share increased 19% to \$2.74. Excluding special items, fiscal 2013 earnings per share increased 16% to \$2.91. **Total revenues** rose 7% to \$1.5 billion.

Local Media Group revenues grew nearly 20% to \$376 million, a record high. Net political advertising revenues were \$39 million and operating profit grew more than 40% to \$124 million. **All represent record highs.**

National Media Group revenues grew 3%. Advertising revenues increased 5%, boosted by the acquisitions of the Allrecipes, EveryDay with Rachael Ray and FamilyFun brands. Circulation revenues also increased, benefiting from growth at comparable titles; contributions from EveryDay with Rachael Ray and FamilyFun magazines and tests of a magazine based on the Allrecipes brand. Other revenues were \$257 million in fiscal 2013 compared to \$283 million in the prior year, primarily due to lower revenues at Meredith Xcelerated Marketing, partially offset by stronger performance from Meredith’s brand licensing activities.

Total Company digital advertising revenues grew more than 50%, reaching a record high. National Media Group digital advertising revenues increased 62%, while Local Media Group digital advertising revenues rose 8%. Advertising revenues generated from digital sources continued to grow, reaching an all-time high of 11% of Meredith’s total advertising revenues in fiscal 2013.

Cash flow from operations grew to nearly \$190 million. Consistent with Meredith’s TSR strategy, Meredith returned \$125 million to shareholders through increased dividends and share repurchases, a nearly 40% increase. Additionally, Meredith’s stock price increased 49% in fiscal 2013, and its dividend yielded approximately 4%, which equates to a total return of 53%.

The committee evaluated our Company’s fiscal 2013 financial results and the financial and non-financial strategic objectives of each NEO in assessing overall performance results for purposes of our short-term incentive plan. Our Corporate level performance met or exceeded the target objectives on two of the financial metrics established by the Committee under our short-term incentive plan, but fell short of our revenue target by approximately 4%. Our Local Media Group exceeded the target performance goals on all of the relevant group metrics under the plan established by the Committee. Finally, given the challenges faced by our National Media Group, we did not meet the target financial objectives established for the group under the short-term incentive plan.

As a result of financial, operational and individual performance, short-term incentive plan payouts for our NEOs, as a percentage of their target incentive, ranged from 100% to 181%. In total, NEO annual incentive payouts compared to the prior year were approximately 50% higher, which reflected our improved year-over-year performance. The overall payout for the NEOs under the three-year Cash Long-Term Incentive Plan (“Cash LTIP”) was 99.4% of target. Our equity-based incentives consist of stock options and restricted shares to provide a strong link to shareholder interests with grant values to our NEOs generally consistent with prior year amounts.

Say on Pay Vote

In 2012, we provided shareholders the opportunity to cast an advisory Say on Pay vote on our compensation programs and the compensation awarded to our NEOs. We are pleased to report that 86% of the votes cast supported the Say on

Pay proposal. The vote by shareholders affirms our belief that our executive compensation programs, policies and compensation levels are appropriate. The committee considers the results of the shareholder advisory vote when evaluating and establishing executive compensation programs and compensation levels of our NEOs.

Compensation Philosophy and Objectives

Our executive compensation philosophy has the following objectives:

12

Table of Contents

1. To establish a performance-based compensation structure, which links both short-term and long-term compensation to business results;
2. To provide competitive compensation opportunities in the marketplace in which we conduct our businesses in order to attract, retain and motivate top caliber executives;
3. To provide the opportunity to earn greater levels of compensation if superior operating performance and shareholder returns are achieved;
4. To design incentives that balance the need to meet or exceed annual operating plans with the need for long-term business growth and to provide superior shareholder returns, and
5. To provide clear and measurable objectives for executive performance.

We strive to link executive compensation to the performance of the Company. For example, the short-term incentive program awards incentives on the basis of performance over a one-year period and is tied directly to operating performance. Similarly, the long-term incentive program may include grants of stock options, restricted stock and performance-based restricted stock, performance-based restricted stock units (“RSUs”) and Cash LTIPs which are tied to specific performance goals. At the beginning of each fiscal year, the committee identifies performance metrics, establishes minimums, targets and maximums and determines weightings for each of the corporate, business unit and individual goals.

Our compensation program for NEOs is designed so that a significant portion of their total compensation will be delivered in the form of variable annual cash incentives and long-term incentives subject to Company, business unit and individual performance. In setting each compensation element, the committee evaluates both the external market data provided by its consultant and internal pay equity considerations.

The Company attempts to create a compensation program for NEOs that delivers total compensation between the median and 75th percentile of companies in its Compensation Peer Group (“Peer Group”). The Peer Group includes Belo Corp.; CC Media Holdings, Inc.; Emmis Communications Corporation; Gannett Co., Inc.; Lee Enterprises, Incorporated; Martha Stewart Living Omnimedia, Inc.; The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc.; Media General, Inc.; The E. W. Scripps Company; Scripps Network Interactive; Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc. and The Washington Post Company. The committee considers several factors before including companies in the Peer Group. Those factors include companies with similar product lines, similar business strategies, comparable revenues and comparable market capitalization. Due to the dynamics of the competitive marketplace, with companies being acquired, product lines divested and growth occurring through acquisitions, the committee periodically reviews the Peer Group and makes changes to account for these events.

In addition to publicly-filed Peer Group information, the committee reviewed salary survey data prepared by Towers Watson, the committee’s outside compensation consultant. In the report, Towers Watson provided data on base salary, annual non-equity incentives (bonuses), long-term incentives and total direct compensation (the sum of base salary, annual non-equity incentives and long-term incentives) for the NEOs. As part of the the published survey analysis, Towers Watson utilized the 2011/2012 Towers Watson Top Management Survey, 2011 Mercer Executive Benchmark Database and 2011 Towers Watson Executive Compensation Database. These surveys included industry-specific data and data from organizations similar in revenue size to Meredith.

The Elements of Our Compensation Program

This section describes the elements of our compensation program for NEOs, together with a discussion of various matters relating to those items, including a rationale for the Company’s decision to include the items in the compensation program.

- 1.

Cash Compensation. Salary is included in our NEO compensation package because the committee believes it is appropriate that a portion of the compensation provided to NEOs be in a form that is fixed and appropriate for the basic skills and experience required for the position. Performance-based incentives are included in the package because they permit the committee to motivate our NEOs to pursue particular objectives the committee believes are consistent with the overall goals and strategic direction the Board has set for the Company. The components comprising the cash portion of total compensation are described further below.

A. Base Salary. Base salary for NEOs is generally determined by the committee at its meeting in August. Changes in base salary on a year-over-year basis are dependent on the committee's assessment of the

Table of Contents

Company, business unit and individual performance. The committee can set NEO salaries at the level it deems appropriate, unless a minimum salary has been specified in an employment agreement. In evaluating salaries, the committee is mindful of its overall goal to keep target cash compensation for its executive officers between the median and the 75th percentile of cash compensation paid by companies in our Peer Group. Cash compensation provided in the form of salary is generally less than the amount provided under our short-term and long-term incentive programs, each of which is described below. This weighting reflects the committee’s objective of ensuring that a substantial amount of each NEO’s total compensation is tied to Company, business unit and individual performance goals.

Short-Term Incentive Programs. The Amended and Restated Meredith Corporation 2004 Stock Incentive Plan (the “2004 Plan” or the “Plan”) provides the CEO and other executive officers with an annual non-equity incentive (the “Annual Incentive”) to attain established financial and overall performance targets. For fiscal 2013, the committee evaluated the structure of our Short-Term Incentive Program relative to our business strategy and increased the B. weighting on the revenue metric to drive top-line revenue growth. The committee also changed the incentive target for Mr. Thomas H. Harty, President-National Media Group from 70% to 75% of base salary based on external competitive market data and internal pay equity considerations. For fiscal 2013, 80% of the Annual Incentive target for each NEO was based on specific financial targets. The remaining 20% related to predetermined measurable and qualitative organizational objectives.

In establishing the Annual Incentive target awards and goals, the committee considers several factors including:

- Financial and business-related goals which are key to our Company’s success;
- Positioning the Company for continued strategic growth including the expansion of our digital platform;
- The desire to ensure, as described above, that a substantial portion of total compensation is performance-based;
 - The relative importance in any given year of the short-term and long-term performance goals;
 - The qualitative objectives set for NEOs;
- The advice of the independent compensation consultant regarding compensation practices at other companies in the Peer Group;
- The target amounts set and actual incentives paid in recent years and
- The results of the annual shareholder advisory vote on executive compensation programs.

In fiscal 2013, the performance objectives for the NEOs generally included the following, depending upon each officer’s role in the Company:

Financial Objectives. Financial objectives include earnings per share (“EPS”); operating cash flow; revenue; earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (“EBITDA”) from acquisition activity; other cost-saving initiatives and certain group financial measures;

Board or CEO Evaluation of Individual Performance. Each NEO has individual non-financial objectives as a component of the Short-Term Incentive Program. In determining the NEO’s performance for these objectives, the committee considers several factors including the following:

- o The impact the NEO had on developing and executing the Company’s business strategy and maximizing market share;
 - o Management of the business unit’s operating performance and expenses for the fiscal year;
 - o Execution against the Company’s strategic planning initiatives and
 - o Integration of acquisitions, subsidiaries or technologies to enhance operating results.

Table of Contents

Management, including the NEOs, develops preliminary recommendations based upon the business plan for performance goals and specific financial targets. The committee reviews management's preliminary recommendations and establishes final goals. The committee strives to ensure that the incentive awards are consistent with the strategic goals set by the Board, that the goals are sufficiently ambitious to provide meaningful incentives and that amounts paid, assuming target levels of performance are attained, will be consistent with the overall NEO compensation philosophy established by the committee.

The Annual Incentive performance metrics in fiscal 2013 included EPS, corporate operating cash flow and revenue, group operating earnings, operating cash flow and operating revenues. The committee believes the use of these measurements provides the NEOs with an incentive that closely aligns their interests with overall Company and group performance and shareholder interests.

Each NEO's specific objectives are weighted according to the extent to which the executive is responsible for delivering results on those objectives. The weightings assigned to the objectives for each NEO for fiscal 2013 are shown in the table below.

Weightings Assigned in Fiscal 2013 to Each Performance Objective for the NEOs

Objective	Lacy Ceryanec		Harty Karpowicz		Zieser
EPS	35%	35%	20%	20%	25%
Operating Cash Flow(1)	25%	15%	5%	5%	15%
Company Revenue	20%	20%			20%
Group Operating Earnings			25%	25%	
Group Operating Cash Flow			10%	10%	
Group Operating Revenue			20%	20%	
Development Contribution – EBITDA					20%
Capital Expenditure Management		10%			
Individual Strategic Objectives	20%	20%	20%	20%	20%

The committee set the following goals for fiscal 2013:

	Minimum (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)
EPS	2.61	2.90	3.19
Corporate Group			
Operating Cash Flow(1)	144,000,000	160,000,000	176,000,000
Company Revenue	1,451,856,500	1,528,270,000	1,604,683,500
Development Contribution – EBITDA	5,000,000	6,000,000	7,500,000
Capital Expenditure Management	28,875,000	27,500,000	26,125,000
National Media Group			
Operating Earnings	117,900,000	131,000,000	144,100,000
Cash Flow	107,100,000	119,000,000	130,900,000
Revenue	952,528,400	1,002,672,000	1,052,805,600
Local Media Group			
Operating Earnings	102,420,000	113,800,000	125,180,000
Cash Flow	101,700,000	113,000,000	124,300,000
Revenue	347,957,450	366,271,000	384,584,550

Table of Contents

The committee, at its quarterly meetings, reviewed Company financial performance results, the progress of the NEOs toward meeting the quantitative goals established for the fiscal year and approved the final incentive awards for the CEO and each NEO at its August 2013 meeting. The results for fiscal 2013 were:

	Target (\$)	Actual (\$)	Level Achieved
EPS	2.90	2.91	Between Target and Maximum
Corporate			
Operating Cash Flow(1)	160,000,000	173,128,000	Between Target and Maximum
Company Revenue	1,528,270,000	1,471,340,000	Between Minimum and Target
Development Contribution – EBITDA	6,000,000	8,200,000	Maximum
Capital Expenditure Management	27,500,000	25,969,000	Maximum
National Media Group			
Operating Earnings	131,000,000	125,022,000	Between Minimum and Target
Cash Flow	119,000,000	90,161,000	Below Minimum
Revenue	1,002,672,000	958,270,000	Between Minimum and Target
Local Media Group			
Operating Earnings	113,800,000	125,611,000	Maximum
Cash Flow	113,000,000	136,110,000	Maximum
Revenue	366,271,000	376,145,000	Between Target and Maximum

(1) Operating cash flow for Annual Incentive target purposes is measured on a non-GAAP basis. The primary difference is that cash flow for Annual Incentives is reduced by capital expenditures.

Additionally, each NEO had 20% of his Annual Incentive tied to specific individual strategic performance objectives in the general categories of strategy development and execution, operating initiatives, corporate development and people development and succession planning.

The Annual Incentive payout for the NEOs ranges from 50% of target if the minimum levels of performance are achieved, up to 250% of target for achieving or exceeding the maximum performance level. The payouts are linear between minimum and target and between target and maximum.

For fiscal 2013, based on financial and operational results the committee approved the Annual Incentive awards for our NEOs shown in the following table:

NEO	Target Award		Actual Award	
	(\$)	% of Salary	(\$)	% of Target
Stephen M. Lacy	975,000	100	1,325,000	136
Joseph H. Ceryanec	392,000	70	559,860	143
Thomas H. Harty	525,000	75	525,996	100
Paul A. Karpowicz	528,750	75	959,619	181
John S. Zieser	451,500	70	676,366	150

The above award amounts include the results of the NEOs established strategic objectives performance for each NEO which ranged from 128% to 188% of target.

2. Long-Term Incentive Compensation. The committee strives to link executive compensation to performance by basing a substantial portion of compensation on long-term incentive awards. The committee has approved awards under the 2004 Plan in the form of stock options, time-based and performance-based restricted stock and RSUs and Cash LTIPs.

The committee determines the appropriate balance between cash and equity compensation each year. In making that assessment, the committee considers factors such as the relative merits of cash and each form of equity award as a

device for retaining and incentivizing NEOs and the practices, as reported by the committee's independent compensation consultant, of similar companies (including peers).

In fiscal 2013, NEOs received their long-term incentive awards in the form of stock options, time-based restricted stock and cash under a performance-based plan.

Table of Contents

A. **Stock Options.** Stock options vest on the third anniversary of the grant date and have a ten-year term. All options are granted with an exercise price equal to at least the closing price of our common stock on the date of grant. Option repricing is expressly prohibited by the terms of the 2004 Plan.

B. **Restricted Stock.** Restrictions against the sale or other transfer on restricted stock awards generally lapse on either the third or fifth anniversary of the grant date as determined by the committee. Recipients receive dividends and may vote restricted shares. Time-based restricted stock was granted in August 2010 and required three years of continuous employment in order for the restrictions to lapse. As a result, the restrictions lapsed for active participants on August 10, 2013.

For more details on stock options and restricted stock awards, see “Grants of Plan-Based Awards” on page 23 of this Proxy Statement.

Cash LTIP. The committee established a three-year Cash LTIP to further align the compensation structure for our C.NEOs with the goals and strategies of the organization. Each Cash LTIP runs concurrently and requires a certain level of Company performance and continued employment in order for the award to vest.

For the Fiscal 2011 Cash LTIP, awards were earned based on achieving cumulative earning per share results over the three-year performance period. Potential payouts ranged from 50% of the target award for achieving the minimum up to 150% of the target award for achieving the maximum or higher. Performance criteria and results for the July 1, 2010 through June 30, 2013 periods were:

Period	Metric	Minimum (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)	Results (\$)	Payout (%)
FY2011-2013	EPS	7.45	8.28	9.11	8.27	99.4

Based on the results for the three-year performance period, the NEOs earned the following amounts:

Performance Period	Lacy	Ceryanec	Harty	Karpowicz	Zieser
FY2010-2013	\$745,482	\$198,795	\$248,494	\$248,494	\$223,645

For the Fiscal 2012 Cash LTIP, the committee established a three-year cumulative cash flow performance objective. The Cash LTIP range of performance and the amount the NEOs are eligible to earn are as follows:

FY2012-2014	Minimum	Target	Maximum
Cumulative Cash Flow(1)	\$475,000,000	\$530,000,000	\$585,000,000
Payout %	50%	100%	150%

Stephen M. Lacy	\$375,000	\$750,000	\$1,125,000
Joseph H. Ceryanec	\$110,000	\$220,000	\$330,000
Thomas H. Hart	\$125,000	\$250,000	\$375,000
Paul A. Karpowicz	\$125,000	\$250,000	\$375,000
John S. Zieser	\$120,000	\$240,000	\$360,000

(1) Cumulative cash flow on a non-GAAP basis. The primary difference is that cash flow for Cash LTIP is reduced by capital expenditures.

If the minimum level of cumulative cash flow performance is not achieved, the awards will be canceled.

Table of Contents

For the Fiscal 2013 Cash LTIP, the committee again established a three-year cumulative cash flow performance objective. The committee increased the maximum payout from 150% to 200% of target to provide motivational opportunity for greater performance and rewards commensurate with increased performance as shown below:

FY2013-2015	Minimum	Target	Maximum
Cumulative Cash Flow(1)	\$450,000,000	\$500,000,000	\$550,000,000
Payout %	50%	100%	200%
Stephen M. Lacy	\$375,000	\$750,000	\$1,500,000
Joseph H. Ceryanec	\$110,000	\$220,000	\$440,000
Thomas H. Harty	\$125,000	\$250,000	\$500,000
Paul A. Karpowicz	\$125,000	\$250,000	\$500,000
John S. Zieser	\$120,000	\$240,000	\$480,000

(1) Cumulative cash flow on a non-GAAP basis. The primary difference is that cash flow for Cash LTIP is reduced by capital expenditures.

If the minimum level of cumulative cash flow performance is not achieved, the awards will be canceled.

On an annual basis the committee establishes the three-year cumulative cash flow performance target based on Meredith's most current three-year planning process. During this planning process, Meredith estimates its upcoming three-year accumulated cash flow based on its then current assessment of future industry and company-specific factors. The cash flow performance objective was lower for the Fiscal 2013 Cash LTIP than for the Fiscal 2012 Cash LTIP based on these assessments along with an estimated reduction in tax savings related to the amortization of previous years' broadcast acquisitions becoming fully amortized for tax purposes.

The committee believes that its current program for NEO compensation, in the form of cash versus equity, provides significant alignment with shareholders while also permitting the committee to incentivize the NEOs to pursue specific short-term and long-term performance goals. In general, long-term incentive compensation ranges from 41% to 58% of the NEOs' total target compensation, excluding retirement and other compensation.

Executive Stock Ownership Program. To further align executives' interests with shareholders, NEOs are encouraged to own Meredith stock. An Executive Stock Ownership Program has been established by the committee to assist executives in achieving their ownership targets. Target levels for individual stock holdings are established by the committee for the participants in the program. Eligible shares for the NEOs required ownership target include shares acquired through the ESPP, 401(k) Plan, unrestricted and restricted stock and open market share purchases. The 3. NEOs must attain the ownership requirements within a five-year period. Each participant is awarded restricted stock equal to 20% of his personal acquisitions of Meredith stock through share purchases, shares retained upon option exercises or lapsing of restricted stock since the last day of the prior calendar year up to the established target. The incremental stock acquisitions must be held for a period of five years in order for the restrictions to lapse. The committee believes this program provides further incentives to the participants to focus on long-term Company performance and shareholder value.

The following table reflects each NEO's ownership requirements and attainment toward those requirements within the five-year time frame:

Participant	Target Ownership (Shares)	Status
Stephen M. Lacy	120,000	Met
Joseph H. Ceryanec	50,000	Met
Thomas H. Harty	50,000	Met
Paul A. Karpowicz	50,000	Met

John S. Zieser	50,000	Met
----------------	--------	-----

18

Table of Contents

On February 2, 2013 the following participants received restricted stock awards pursuant to the Executive Stock Ownership Plan:

Participant	Eligible Shares	Restricted Shares Granted
Stephen M. Lacy	20,806	4,161
Joseph H. Ceryanec	22,933	4,587
Thomas H. Harty	7,230	1,446
Paul A. Karpowicz*	21,385	2,504
John S. Zieser*	10,530	0

*Mr. Karpowicz reached the maximum number of restricted shares granted during this fiscal year and Mr. Zieser previously received all restricted stock grants available under the Program.

Perquisites. The NEOs receive various perquisites provided by or paid for by the Company. These perquisites include financial planning services, memberships in social and professional clubs, car allowances, matching contributions to 401(k) plans and premiums for life and disability insurance.

The Company provides perquisites to attract and retain executives in a competitive market. These perquisites also allow our NEOs to be effective in conducting day-to-day business by creating and maintaining important business relationships.

The committee reviews the perquisites provided to the NEOs on a regular basis to ensure that they continue to be appropriate in light of the committee's overall goal of designing compensation programs for NEOs that maximize the interests of our shareholders.

Deferred Compensation. The Deferred Compensation Plan ("DCP") allows certain employees, including the NEOs, to defer receipt of salary and/or incentive payments. Amounts may be deferred into a cash account or as stock equivalent units (SEUs). The cash account earns interest at a rate equal to the lower of (i) the base rate charged by CitiBank, N.A. on corporate loans, which is also referred to as the "prime rate" or (ii) the Company's Return on Shareholders' Equity for the immediately preceding fiscal year, as further defined in the Company's DCP. SEUs are not voted in shareholder meetings and dividends are reinvested. The Company does not match any deferred amounts.

Participants may defer up to 100% of base salary over \$255,000 and 100% of incentive payments, provided total annual compensation exceeds \$255,000 after deferrals.

The DCP is not funded by the Company and participants have an unsecured contractual commitment from the Company to pay the amounts due under the DCP. Such payments are distributed from the Company's assets when they become due.

We also provide the opportunity to defer as SEUs, awards of restricted stock when they are earned and vested and awards of RSUs when they are earned and vested, subject to Section 409A regulations. Distributions are paid in accordance with the deferral election, which offers varying deferral periods and payment in a lump sum or a series of annual installments following the end of the deferral period, subject to any legally-required waiting period.

This benefit is provided because we wish to permit employees to defer their obligation to pay taxes on certain elements of compensation they are entitled to receive. The DCP permits them to do this while also receiving interest or dividends on deferred amounts, as described above. The provision of this benefit is important as a retention and recruitment tool because many, if not all, of the companies with which we compete for executive talent provide a similar plan to their senior employees.

Compensation Consultant

The Compensation Committee has authority under its charter to engage the services of outside advisors, experts and others to assist the committee. In accordance with this authority, the committee has retained an independent executive compensation consultant, Towers Watson, to advise the committee on all matters related to executive compensation. The consultant attended four committee meetings in fiscal 2013. From time to time, the compensation consultant may, upon the specific request of the Chair of the Compensation Committee, issue engagement letters for particular projects or assignments. Towers Watson's services to the committee will be limited to those matters on which Towers Watson has

Table of Contents

specifically been engaged and may include executive compensation trends, equity grant philosophies and practices, tally sheet design and specific position competitive data.

Towers Watson reports directly to the Compensation Committee for executive compensation services and the Compensation Committee has the authority to terminate Towers Watson with respect to such services. Services performed by Towers Watson for executive compensation consulting were under the direction and approval of the Compensation Committee. In fiscal 2013, Towers Watson was paid \$77,690 for executive compensation consulting services, \$315,547 for actuarial services and \$131,961 for merger related activities for a total of \$525,198.

The Compensation Committee has assessed Towers Watson's independence using the SEC regulations issued under the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010. In assessing Towers Watson's independence the committee took into account the following factors:

- Policies and procedures Towers Watson has in place to prevent conflicts of interest,
- Any business or personal relationships between Towers Watson and members of the Compensation Committee,
- Any business or personal relationships between Towers Watson and any executive officer,
- Any company stock owned by members of Towers Watson performing consulting services for the Compensation Committee,
- Other services provided by Towers Watson to Meredith and
- Fees paid to Towers Watson as a percent of the firm's revenue.

Based on the above factors, the Compensation Committee believes the engagement of Towers Watson did not raise any conflicts of interest.

Treatment of Special Items

In determining performance goals and evaluating performance results, the committee may use its discretion and judgment to ensure that management's rewards for business performance are commensurate with their contributions to that performance while still holding management accountable for the overall results of the business to the extent permitted by governing law. The committee believes that the metrics for incentive compensation plans should be specific and objective, yet recognizes that interpretation of the application of pre-established metrics to results may be necessary from time to time for certain special items, such as changes in applicable accounting rules pursuant to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"), changes in tax laws or applicable tax rates, acquisitions and divestitures and special investments or expenditures in the Company's operations. The committee did not exercise its discretion in setting management's awards for fiscal 2013.

Tax Deductibility of Compensation – Section 162(m) Compliance

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Tax Code"), places a limit of \$1 million on the amount of compensation that the Company may deduct in any one year with respect to each of its NEOs. The Company generally intends to comply with the requirements for full deductibility. There is an exception to the \$1 million limitation for performance-based compensation meeting certain requirements. Annual and long-term non-equity incentive compensation, performance-based restricted stock and stock option awards generally are performance-based compensation meeting those requirements and, as such, are fully deductible. To maintain flexibility in compensating executive officers in a manner designed to promote varying corporate goals, the committee

reserves the right to provide for compensation to the NEOs that may not be deductible, if it is determined to be in the best interests of the Company and its shareholders.

Practices Regarding the Grant of Options

The committee has generally followed a practice of making option grants to its executive officers at its regular quarterly meeting in August. The August meeting date historically has occurred within four weeks of the issuance of the release reporting earnings for the previous fiscal year. The committee believes it is appropriate that annual awards be made at a time when material information regarding performance for the preceding year has been disclosed. Grants may be made at other times during the year in connection with promotions or as a tool to attract talent. We do not have any program, plan or practice to time annual option grants to our executives, directors or other employees in coordination with the release of material non-public information.

Table of Contents

All option awards made to our non-employee directors, NEOs or any other employee in fiscal 2013 were made in accordance with the 2004 Plan. All options are granted with an exercise price equal to at least the fair market value of our common stock on the date of grant. Fair market value has been defined by the Compensation Committee to be the closing market price of our common stock on the date of grant. We do not have any program, plan or practice of awarding options with an exercise price other than the closing market price on the date of grant.

Post-Termination Compensation

Severance Agreements. We have entered into a Severance Agreement with each of the NEOs. These agreements provide for payments and other benefits if the officer's employment terminates for a qualifying event or circumstance, such as being terminated "Without Cause" or leaving employment for "Good Reason," as these terms are defined in the Severance Agreement. Additional information regarding the Severance Agreement, including a definition of key terms and quantification of benefits that would have been received by our NEOs had termination occurred on June 30, 2013 is found under the heading, "Payment Obligations upon Termination Due to Change in Control" on page 33 of this Proxy Statement.

The committee believes that these Severance Agreements are an important part of overall compensation for our NEOs and that these agreements help secure the continued employment and dedication of our NEOs, notwithstanding any concern they might have regarding their own continued employment prior to or following a Change in Control. The committee also believes that these agreements are important as a recruitment and retention device, given the competitive market for executive talent.

Retirement Income Plan, Replacement Plan and Supplemental Plan. We maintain separate qualified defined benefit plans for our union and non-union employees, as well as two nonqualified supplemental pension plans covering certain non-union employees. The NEOs are covered under the non-union plan (Retirement Income Plan), the Replacement Plan and the Supplemental Plan. The amount of annual earnings that may be considered in calculating benefits under the Retirement Income Plan is limited by law. For 2013, the annual limitation is \$255,000. The Replacement Plan is an unfunded plan that provides an amount substantially equal to the difference between the amount that would have been payable under the Retirement Income Plan in the absence of legislation limiting pension benefits and earnings that may be considered in calculating pension benefits and the amount actually payable under the Retirement Income Plan.

The Supplemental Plan is an unfunded nonqualified plan. The purpose of the Supplemental Plan is to provide for NEOs the excess, if any, of the benefits they would have become entitled to under our prior defined benefit plan if it had continued in effect after August 31, 1989.

The committee believes that the Retirement Income Plan, Replacement Plan and Supplemental Plan serve a critically important role in the retention of our senior executives, as benefits thereunder increase each year that these executives remain with the Company. The plans thereby encourage our most senior executives to continue their work on behalf of the Company and our shareholders.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

We, the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors of Meredith Corporation, have reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis set forth above with management of the Company and, based upon such review and discussion, have recommended to the Board of Directors the inclusion of the Compensation Discussion and Analysis in this Proxy Statement and, through incorporation by reference from this Proxy Statement, in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2013.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE

Frederick B. Henry, Chair

D. Mell Meredith Frazier

Philip A. Marineau

Elizabeth E. Tallett

Table of Contents**NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICER COMPENSATION**

During fiscal 2013 Messrs. Lacy, Ceryanec, Harty, Karpowicz and Zieser were employed pursuant to agreements with the Company. A more complete description of those agreements begins on page 27 of this Proxy Statement. The salary for each of the NEOs is set according to the terms of such employment agreement or at the discretion of the Compensation Committee.

Each NEO is entitled to participate in all employee benefit plans maintained by the Company, including the 2004 Plan. In addition, customary perquisites are provided to each of the NEOs.

Many elements affect the change in the pension value from year to year, including age, years of service, pay increase, annuity conversion rate change and/or discount rate change. Specifically, the change in the assumed annuity conversion rate may produce unexpected changes from year to year.

Summary Compensation Table for Fiscal Year 2013

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)(1)	Option Awards (\$)(2)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)(3)	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$)(4)	Other Compensation (\$)(5)	Total (\$)
Stephen M. Lacy Chairman and CEO	2013	975,000	0	1,127,011	968,217	2,070,482	0	42,081	5,182,791
	2012	950,000	0	834,487	567,042	896,672	2,602,433	36,264	5,886,898
	2011	950,000	0	872,350	827,045	2,083,436	1,271,073	39,338	6,043,246
Joseph H. Ceryanec Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer	2013	560,000	0	428,067	267,094	758,655	52,009	34,565	2,100,390
	2012	525,000	0	222,989	156,271	378,023	351,047	28,025	1,661,356
	2011	525,000	0	234,865	223,302	728,917	221,394	37,893	1,971,371
Thomas H. Harty President-National Media Group	2013	700,000	0	679,848	300,481	774,490	0	36,334	2,491,153
	2012	675,000	0	345,779	178,596	327,923	571,631	40,683	2,139,612
	2011	615,385	0	794,699	248,114	646,064	524,439	36,931	2,865,632
Paul A. Karpowicz President-Local Media Group	2013	705,000	0	404,645	293,804	1,208,113	218,418	23,767	2,853,747
	2012	680,000	0	377,364	174,131	860,182	802,573	35,243	2,929,493
	2011	680,000	0	335,139	256,384	1,030,494	475,152	29,893	2,807,062
John S. Zieser Chief Development Officer, General	2013	645,000	0	296,225	287,126	900,011	0	32,261	2,160,623
	2012	625,000	0	327,175	169,666	500,796	1,184,770	30,353	2,837,760

Counsel

2011 625,000 0 356,398 248,114 956,106 315,873 37,488 2,538,979

Stock awards are reported at the aggregate grant date fair value in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718.

(1) Assumptions used in the calculation of these amounts are included in Note 12 to the Company's audited financial statements included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on August 21, 2013.

(2) Option awards in this column are reported at the aggregate grant date fair value in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. Assumptions used in the calculation of these amounts are included in Note 12 to the Company's audited financial statements included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on August 21, 2013.

(3) Included in this column for each NEO for 2013 are the awards earned during the three year performance period of the Fiscal 2011-2013 Cash LTIP. The awards were earned just below the target level for the three year performance period. The earned awards vested on June 30, 2013 and were paid out after the Compensation Committee met and certified the results of the three year performance period. The awards earned for 2013 are as follows: Lacy-\$745,482, Ceryanec-\$198,795, Harty-\$248,494, Karpowicz-248,494 and Zieser-\$223,645.

(4) The amounts for 2013 shown in this column represent the change in pension value measured from June 30, 2012 to June 30, 2013. The following assumptions were used to calculate the prior year's present values: Measurement date – June 30, 2012; discount rate – 3.50%; interest crediting rate – 2.75%; annuity conversion rate – 3.50%; annuity conversion mortality – 2012 IRS Prescribed 417(e)(3) Unisex; retirement age – 65; compensation and benefit limits – 2012 levels; salary increases – none and pre-retirement decrements – none. The increase in the annuity conversion rate by 1.20 percentage points between fiscal 2012 and fiscal 2013 had the effect of lowering pension values.

During fiscal 2013, total pension value for Messrs. Lacy, Harty, and Zieser decreased by \$494,560, \$30,801, and \$313,547, respectively. In accordance with SEC rules, these negative amounts have been reported as \$0 in this table.

(5) Amounts in this column for fiscal 2013 include for all NEOs: Annual auto allowance less mileage reimbursed as business expense, club membership dues, professional fees reimbursement for tax preparation and financial planning, life insurance premiums and Company contributions to the 401(k) Plan in the amount of \$10,000 for each NEO.

Table of Contents**Awards**

The Grants of Plan-Based Awards table provides additional detail about the equity and non-equity awards shown in the Summary Compensation Table. The committee granted awards during fiscal 2013 as shown in the table below to each of the NEOs pursuant to the 2004 Plan. The February 2, 2013 awards of restricted stock were made subject to the Executive Stock Ownership Program which is described in detail beginning on page 18 of this Proxy Statement.

In addition, restricted stock was awarded by the committee on August 7, 2012. The restricted stock will vest in its entirety on the third anniversary of the grant date, except that the 6,000 shares of restricted stock awarded to Mr. Harty will vest in its entirety on the fifth anniversary of the grant date. The committee also granted options on August 7, 2012 to each of our NEOs. Each option granted will become exercisable in its entirety on the third anniversary of the grant date. For additional information on equity awards, please see the "Equity Compensation" section in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis.

At the beginning of fiscal 2013, the committee established potential non-equity incentive awards for each of the NEOs under the 2004 Plan. The amount of the incentive for each NEO was tied to specific financial and individual performance targets established by the committee. The incentives earned by the NEOs are reported as Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation in the Summary Compensation Table above.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards for Fiscal Year 2013

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards (1)			All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units(2)(3)	All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options(4)	Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards (\$/Sh.)(5)	Grant Date Value of Option A (\$)(6)
		Minimum (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)				
Lacy	08/07/2012	487,500	975,000	2,437,500				
	08/07/2012	375,000	750,000	1,500,000				
	08/07/2012					145,000	34.85	968,217
	08/07/2012				28,000			975,800
	02/02/2013				4,161			151,211
Ceryanec	08/07/2012	196,000	392,000	980,000				
	08/07/2012	110,000	220,000	440,000				
	08/07/2012					40,000	34.85	267,094
	08/07/2012				7,500			261,375
	02/02/2013				4,587			166,692
Harty	08/07/2012	262,500	525,000	1,312,500				
	08/07/2012	125,000	250,000	500,000				
	08/07/2012					45,000	34.85	300,481
	08/07/2012				6,000			209,100
	08/07/2012				12,000			418,200
	02/02/2013				1,446			52,548

Edgar Filing: MEREDITH CORP - Form DEF 14A

Karpowicz	08/07/2012	264,375	528,750	1,321,875				
	08/07/2012	125,000	250,000	500,000				
	08/07/2012					44,000	34.85	293,804
	08/07/2012				9,000			313,650
	02/02/2013				2,504			90,995
Zieser	08/07/2012	225,750	451,500	1,128,750				
	08/07/2012	120,000	240,000	480,000				
	08/07/2012					43,000	34.85	287,126
	08/07/2012				8,500			296,225

The minimum, target and maximum annual non-equity incentive awards that could be earned during the year ended June 30, 2013 are shown on the first line next to each NEO's name. The actual amounts of the awards were determined by the Compensation Committee based on the level achieved with respect to each NEO's individual incentive plan and are reported in the Summary Compensation Table, above. Individual incentive plans may (1) include EPS, operating cash flow, group operating cash flow or other measurements. The minimum, target and maximum 2013 Cash LTIP awards that could be earned by each NEO if certain performance levels are achieved over a three-year performance period (July 1, 2012 to June 30, 2015), are listed on the second line. The awards do not vest until June 30, 2015 and are subject to continued employment. If minimum performance levels are not achieved the awards will be canceled.

The August 7, 2012 grants of restricted stock shown in this column will vest in full on the third anniversary of the (2) grant date, with exception of the 6,000 shares of restricted stock granted to Mr. Harty, which will vest in full on the fifth anniversary of the grant date. Dividends at the normal rate are paid on shares of restricted stock.

Table of Contents

The February 2, 2013 grants of restricted stock shown above were awarded under the Executive Stock Ownership Plan which was designed to encourage increased Company stock holdings by executives. Target levels of individual stock holdings are established by the committee for participants in the program. Each participant (3) receives an annual award of restricted stock equal to 20% of his or her personal acquisition of Company stock. The incremental stock holdings must be maintained for a period of five years in order for the restrictions to lapse. The shares awarded are subject to forfeiture prior to vesting which occurs on the fifth anniversary of the date of grant. Dividends at the normal rate are paid on shares of restricted stock.

(4) Options listed in this column will vest 100% on the third anniversary of the grant date and will expire on the tenth anniversary of the grant.

(5) The exercise price equals the NYSE closing price per share on the date of grant.

The value of restricted stock awards is based on the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the date of grant. The estimated value of options is calculated using the Black-Scholes option valuation model. For a (6) description of the assumptions used to calculate the amounts, see Note 12 (Common Stock and Share-Based Compensation Plans) to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements included in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2013.

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End 2013

The following table discloses outstanding equity awards as of June 30, 2013 for each NEO.

Name	Grant Date	Option Awards			Stock Awards		
		Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options – Exercisable (#)(1)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options – Unexercisable (#)(1)	Option Exercise Price (\$)(2)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)(3)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)(4)
Lacy	08/12/2003	140,000		46.165	08/12/2013		
	08/10/2004	90,000		49.970	08/10/2014		
	08/09/2005	53,333		49.100	08/09/2015		
	08/08/2006	106,000		46.210	08/08/2016		
	08/07/2007	120,000		53.900	08/07/2017		
	01/31/2009					1,432	68,306
	08/10/2010		100,000	32.850	08/10/2020	25,000*	1,192,500
	01/30/2011					1,535	73,220
	08/09/2011		127,000	25.580	08/09/2021	32,000*	1,526,400
	01/28/2012					510	24,327
	08/07/2012		145,000	34.850	08/07/2022	28,000*	1,335,600
	02/02/2013					4,161	198,480
	Ceryanec	10/20/2008					7,500
01/30/2010						104	4,961
08/10/2010			27,000	32.850	08/10/2020	6,800 *	324,360

Edgar Filing: MEREDITH CORP - Form DEF 14A

	01/30/2011				345	16,457
	08/09/2011	35,000	25.580	08/09/2021	8,500 *	405,450
	01/28/2012				178	8,491
	08/07/2012	40,000	34.850	08/07/2022	7,500 *	357,750
	02/02/2013				4,587	218,800
Harty	08/10/2004	4,500	49.970	08/10/2014		
	08/09/2005	6,000	49.100	08/09/2015		
	08/08/2006	5,000	46.210	08/08/2016		
	08/07/2007	10,000	53.900	08/07/2017		
	08/10/2010	30,000	32.850	08/10/2020	8,000 *	381,600
	08/10/2010				15,000	715,500
	01/30/2011				1,176	56,095
	08/09/2011	40,000	25.580	08/09/2021	12,000*	572,400
	01/28/2012				1,243	59,291
	08/07/2012	45,000	34.850	08/07/2022	6,000	286,200
	08/07/2012				12,000*	572,400
	02/02/2013				1,446	68,974
Karpowicz	02/14/2005	40,000	47.560	02/14/2015		
	08/08/2006	30,000	46.210	08/08/2016		
	08/07/2007	30,000	53.900	08/07/2017		
	08/12/2008	70,000	29.230	08/12/2018		

Table of Contents

Name	Grant Date	Option Awards			Stock Awards		
		Number of Securities Underlying Options – Exercisable (#)(1)	Number of Securities Underlying Options – Unexercisable (#)(1)	Option Exercise Price (\$) (2)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)(3)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$) (4)
Karpowicz (cont'd)	01/31/2009					145	6,917
	08/11/2009	83,000		28.600	08/11/2019		
	01/30/2010					254	12,116
	08/10/2010		31,000	32.850	08/10/2020	8,000	* 381,600
	01/30/2011					2,173	103,652
	08/09/2011		39,000	25.580	08/09/2021	10,500	* 500,850
	01/28/2012					3,483	166,139
	08/07/2012		44,000	34.850	08/07/2022	9,000	* 429,300
	02/02/2013					2,504	119,441
Zieser	08/12/2003	60,000		46.165	08/12/2013		
	08/10/2004	40,000		49.970	08/10/2014		
	08/09/2005	20,000		49.100	08/09/2015		
	08/08/2006	20,000		46.210	08/08/2016		
	08/07/2007	20,000		53.900	08/07/2017		
	08/10/2010		30,000	32.850	08/10/2020	7,500	* 357,750
	01/30/2011					3,305	157,649
	08/09/2011		38,000	25.580	08/09/2021	9,500	* 453,150
	01/28/2012					2,695	128,552
	08/07/2012		43,000	34.850	08/07/2022	8,500	* 405,450

(1) Options granted on or after August 12, 2003 vested or will vest 100% on the third anniversary of the grant date.

The exercise price for option grants prior to July 1, 2006 is equal to the average of the high and low prices on the (2) date of grant. The exercise price for options granted after July 1, 2006 is equal to the NYSE closing price per share on the date of grant.

(3) Awards of restricted stock shown in this column which vest on the third anniversary of the grant date are followed by an *. All other awards in this column will vest on the fifth anniversary of the grant date.

(4) Calculated at the NYSE closing price of the Company's common stock on June 28, 2013, the last trading day of the fiscal year (\$47.70).

Option Exercises and Stock Vested in Fiscal 2013

Name	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares	Value Realized	Number of Shares	Value Realized

	Acquired on Exercise (#)	on Exercise (\$)	Acquired on Vesting (#)	on Vesting (\$)(1)
Lacy	460,000	5,448,500	34,025	1,171,189
Ceryanec	121,000	1,371,900	8,000 (2)	274,400
Harty	63,100	408,282	10,000	343,000
Karpowicz	0	0	33,088	1,148,848
Zieser	140,000	1,205,050	8,700 (3)	298,410

(1) Value realized on vesting is computed by multiplying the closing price of the common stock on the date of vesting by the number of shares of restricted stock vesting on such date.

(2) Mr. Ceryanec elected to defer the receipt of 8,000 shares upon vesting by converting the shares to SEUs to be held until his retirement or other termination, whichever is later. The total amount deferred was \$274,400.

(3) Mr. Zieser elected to defer the receipt of 8,700 shares upon vesting by converting the shares to SEUs to be held until his retirement or other termination, whichever is later. The total amount deferred was \$298,410.

Pension Benefits in Fiscal 2013

The following table shows on a plan-by-plan basis for each NEO: the number of years of credited service (rounded to the nearest whole number), the present value of the accumulated benefit and the value of any payments made during the fiscal year. The present values are generally based on the assumptions used for financial reporting purposes as of the Company's most recent fiscal year-end measurement date. For additional information concerning those assumptions, please see Note 9

Table of Contents

to the Company's audited financial statements included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on August 21, 2013. Exceptions include the retirement age, which is assumed to be the earliest time at which a participant may retire under the plan without any benefit reduction due to age, and pre-retirement decrements, which are ignored. The following assumptions were used to calculate the present values in the table:

Measurement date	June 30, 2013
Discount rate	3.80%
Qualified	
Replacement	3.70%
Supplemental	4.70%
Interest crediting rate	
Qualified	3.05%
Replacement	2.95%
Annuity conversion rate	4.70%
Annuity conversion mortality	2013 IRS Prescribed 417(e)(3) Unisex
Retirement age	65
Compensation and benefit limits	2013 levels
Salary increases	None
Pre-retirement decrements	None

Name	Plan Name	Years of Credited Service (#)	Present Value of Accumulated Benefit (\$)	Payments During Last Fiscal Year (\$)
Lacy	Employees' Retirement Income Plan	16	225,261	0
	Replacement Benefit Plan	16	1,421,371	0
	Supplemental Benefit Plan	14	6,220,947	0
Ceryanec	Employees' Retirement Income Plan	5	48,915	0
	Replacement Benefit Plan	5	134,784	0
	Supplemental Benefit Plan	4	491,245	0
Harty	Employees' Retirement Income Plan	9	107,574	0
	Replacement Benefit Plan	9	291,025	0
	Supplemental Benefit Plan	8	845,312	0
Karpowicz	Employees' Retirement Income Plan	8	100,572	0
	Replacement Benefit Plan	8	453,317	0
	Supplemental Benefit Plan	7	1,897,314	0
Zieser	Employees' Retirement Income Plan	15	196,342	0
	Replacement Benefit Plan	15	726,513	0
	Supplemental Benefit Plan	13	2,181,178	0

For a more complete description of the plans and their purposes, see page 21 of this Proxy Statement.

Nonqualified Deferred Compensation in Fiscal 2013

The following table discloses contributions, earnings and balances under each defined contribution or other plan that provides for the deferral of compensation on a basis that is not tax-qualified for each of the NEOs. Each deferral listed below was in the form of SEUs. Dividends are reinvested as additional SEUs. See page 19 of this Proxy Statement for additional information concerning deferred compensation. The aggregate balance was determined by multiplying the number of SEUs held on June 28, 2013 (the last trading day of the fiscal year) by \$47.70, the closing price of the Company's common stock on the NYSE on that date. Distributions are paid in accordance with the deferral election, which offers varying deferral periods and payment in lump sums or a series of annual installments following the end of the deferral period. All payments are also subject to Section 409A restrictions.

Table of Contents

Name	Executive Contributions in Last Fiscal Year (\$)	Aggregate Earnings in Last Fiscal Year (\$)(1)	Aggregate Withdrawals/ Distributions in Last Fiscal Year (\$)	Aggregate Balance at Last Fiscal Year-End (\$)
Lacy	0	80,535	0	2,493,024
Ceryanec (2)	274,400	12,849	0	397,755
Harty	0	0	0	0
Karpowicz	0	0	0	0
Zieser (3)	298,410	20,196	0	2,016,443

(1) Earnings shown in this column equal the dollar value of dividends on SEUs accrued during the last fiscal year. All dividends are reinvested as additional SEUs.

Mr. Ceryanec elected to defer the receipt of 8,000 shares of restricted stock which vested on August 11, 2012 with (2) a grant date value of \$274,400. The value of the SEUs plus all accrued dividends will be distributed upon retirement or other termination, whichever is later.

Mr. Zieser elected to defer the receipt of 8,700 shares of restricted stock which vested on August 11, 2012 with a (3) grant date value of \$298,410. The value of the SEUs plus all accrued dividends will be distributed upon retirement or other termination, whichever is later.

Potential Payments upon Termination**Employment and Other Agreements**

The Company has entered into employment agreements with each of the NEOs as summarized below. Each of the employment agreements described below provides for periods of non-solicitation, non-compete and confidentiality following termination.

On December 30, 2008 the Company entered into amendments to each employment agreement. Those amendments conform to the requirements of Section 409A of the Tax Code and all payouts described below shall be subject to the terms of Section 409A. The amendments provide for the delay of any payment or benefit provided by the employment agreement if such amount or benefit would be subject to or incur additional tax, and further, that any such deferred payment shall be accumulated and paid in a single lump sum, together with interest compounded annually for the period of the delay, on the earliest date on which such payment can be made without incurring any additional tax.

Lacy Employment Agreement. The Company entered into an agreement with Mr. Lacy effective July 1, 2006, the date he became President and CEO of the Company, and by amendment on November 4, 2009, continued in effect through June 30, 2013, subject to automatic renewal for subsequent one-year terms. The amended agreement provides that Mr. Lacy's minimum annual base salary shall be \$810,000 and may be increased at the discretion of the

1. Compensation Committee. Mr. Lacy is a participant in the 2004 Plan or successor plans, the Meredith Employees' Retirement Income Plan, the Meredith Replacement Benefit Plan and the Meredith Supplemental Benefit Plan.

Mr. Lacy's target Annual Incentive under the 2004 Plan will not be less than 100% of his base salary. The agreement also provides for payment to Mr. Lacy in the event his employment is terminated for various reasons as follows:

A.

If his employment were terminated because of death, his base salary would be paid to the legal representative of his estate in substantially equal installments until the end of the month of the first anniversary of his death, any Annual Incentive as determined by the Compensation Committee would be prorated to the date of death, any Cash LTIP would be paid out according to the terms of the award, all restricted stock would vest and all stock options would vest and remain exercisable for the full unexpired term of the option.

In the event of termination due to "Disability," Mr. Lacy would receive 100% of his base salary for the first 12 months following such termination or through the end of the current term. Mr. Lacy would receive his target B. Annual Incentive for the initial year in which the Disability occurs. In addition, any Cash LTIP would be paid out according to the terms of the award, all restricted stock would vest and all stock options would vest and remain exercisable for the full unexpired term of the option.

In the event of termination "Without Cause," or due to "Failure to Re-Elect as CEO or Director," Mr. Lacy would be entitled to receive a lump sum payment, within the Short-Term Deferral Period as defined in the agreement, equal C. to sum of his base salary and target Annual Incentive through the end of the current term or 24 months, whichever is greater. Mr. Lacy would also be eligible for post-retirement welfare benefits which would commence after June 30, 2013; therefore, the value of those benefits for fiscal 2013 would be zero. In

Table of Contents

addition, any Cash LTIP would be paid out according to the terms of the award, all restricted stock would vest and all stock options would vest and remain exercisable for the full unexpired term of the option.

In the event of termination for “Cause,” Mr. Lacy would receive his base salary through the date of termination and D.any Annual Incentive under the 2004 Plan would be prorated to the date of termination. All equity and other incentive awards subject to restriction would be forfeited.

Because he is “Retirement Eligible” under the Company’s retirement policy for all employees, any voluntary resignation would be considered retirement. Mr. Lacy would receive his current base salary through the date of termination, his Annual Incentive would be prorated for the fiscal year in which the termination occurred and any E.Cash LTIP would be paid out according to the terms of the award. He would also be a participant in the retiree welfare plan. In addition, all restricted stock would vest and all stock options would vest and remain exercisable for the full unexpired term of the option.

The following table sets forth the estimated payments and benefits that would have been provided to Mr. Lacy if his employment had been terminated as of June 30, 2013 under the circumstances specified.

	<u>For Cause</u> <u>(\$)</u>	<u>Voluntary</u> <u>(\$)</u>	<u>Without Cause</u> <u>(\$)</u>	<u>Disability</u> <u>(\$)</u>	<u>Death (\$)</u>
Payment equal to a multiple of base salary in effect at termination	N/A	N/A	1,950,000	975,000	975,000
Earned but unpaid Annual Incentive (1)	1,325,000	1,325,000	1,325,000	0	1,325,000
Payment of target Annual Incentive	N/A	N/A	1,950,000	975,000	N/A
Payment due under Cash LTIPs	N/A	1,379,871	1,379,871	1,379,871	1,379,871
Continued health/welfare benefits (2)(3)	N/A	N/A	29,025	N/A	N/A
Pension benefit (lump sum) (4)(5)	8,667,868	8,667,868	8,667,868	0	8,667,868
Immediate vesting of stock options (6)	N/A	6,157,490	6,157,490	6,157,490	6,157,490
Immediate vesting of restricted stock (7)	N/A	4,418,833	4,418,833	4,418,833	4,418,833

(1) The amount due, if any, is the amount of the Annual Incentive set by the Compensation Committee at its August meeting.

(2) Because Mr. Lacy is retirement eligible (age 55 with ten or more years of service) he would be able to participate in the retiree welfare plan.

Mr. Lacy’s employment agreement requires that the Company provide continued benefits to him and his eligible (3)dependents in the event of termination “Without Cause” through the end of the current term of the agreement which would be June 30, 2014.

Mr. Lacy’s employment agreement also provides that if his employment is terminated voluntarily due to a (4)substantial change in his position, duties or responsibilities, his retirement benefits will be accelerated as if the termination were “Without Cause.”

(5) Disabled employees are considered active participants in all retirement plans.

(6) Reflects the benefit of the immediate vesting of stock options.

(7) Reflects the benefit of the immediate vesting of restricted stock and performance-based restricted stock under the terms of the award agreements.

2. Ceryanec Employment Agreement. The Company entered into an agreement with Mr. Ceryanec which became effective October 20, 2008, the date he became Chief Financial Officer of the Company. The agreement, as amended on December 30, 2008, provides that any increases in annual base salary after July 1, 2009 or changes in target Annual Incentive will be determined by the Compensation Committee. The employment agreement also provided a one-time signing bonus of \$100,000, one-half to be paid within 30 days of signing and one-half to be

paid within 30 days after Mr. Ceryanec moved his permanent residence to a location within the Des Moines metropolitan area. The agreement also provided for the reimbursement of certain relocation expenses. Mr. Ceryanec is a participant in the 2004 Plan or successor plans, the Meredith Employees' Retirement Income Plan, the Meredith Replacement Benefit Plan and the Meredith Supplemental Benefit Plan. Beginning with fiscal 2011, Mr. Ceryanec's target Annual Incentive was set at 70% of base salary. The agreement also provides for payment to Mr. Ceryanec in the event his employment is terminated for various reasons as follows:

A. If his employment were terminated because of death, his base salary would be paid through the last day of the month in which his death occurred, any Annual Incentive earned under the 2004 Plan would be prorated for the fiscal year, any Cash LTIP would be paid out according to the terms of the award, all restricted stock would vest and all stock options would vest and remain exercisable for the full unexpired term of the option.

Table of Contents

In the event of termination due to “Disability,” base salary would be paid through the last day of the month in which written notice of termination was given, any Annual Incentive earned under the 2004 Plan would be prorated to the B. date of termination and any Cash LTIP would be paid out according to the terms of the award. In addition, all restricted stock would vest and all stock options would vest and remain exercisable for the full unexpired term of the option.

In the event of termination “Without Cause,” Mr. Ceryanec would receive his base salary for a period of 12 months C. following the date of termination, any Annual Incentive earned under the 2004 Plan would be prorated and any Cash LTIP would be paid out according to the terms of the award.

In the event of voluntary termination or termination for “Cause,” Mr. Ceryanec would receive only his base salary D. through the date of termination. All equity and other incentive awards subject to restriction would be forfeited.

The following table sets forth the estimated payments and benefits that would have been provided to Mr. Ceryanec if his employment had been terminated as of June 30, 2013 under the circumstances specified.

	<u>For Cause</u> <u>(\$)</u>	<u>Voluntary</u> <u>(\$)</u>	<u>Without Cause</u> <u>(\$)</u>	<u>Disability</u> <u>(\$)</u>	<u>Death (\$)</u>
Payment equal to a multiple of base salary in effect at termination	N/A	N/A	560,000	N/A	N/A
Earned but unpaid Annual Incentive (1)	N/A	N/A	559,860	559,860	559,860
Payment due under Cash LTIPs	N/A	N/A	384,883	384,883	384,833
Pension benefit (lump sum) (2)	53,685	53,685	53,685	0	53,685
Immediate vesting of stock options (3)	N/A	N/A	N/A	1,689,150	1,689,150
Immediate vesting of restricted stock (4)	N/A	N/A	N/A	1,694,018	1,694,018

(1) The amount due, if any, is the amount of the Annual Incentive set by the Compensation Committee at its August meeting.

(2) Disabled employees are considered active participants in all retirement plans.

(3) Reflects the benefit of the immediate vesting of all outstanding stock options.

(4) Reflects the benefit of the immediate vesting of restricted stock.

Harty Employment Agreement. The Company entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Harty effective August 2, 2010 for an initial term ending June 30, 2013. The Company has entered into a new employment agreement with Mr. Harty effective July 1, 2013. The table below reflects information pertinent to the contract in effect on June 30, 2013. The agreement provides for a minimum annual base salary of \$650,000 and target Annual Incentive of 60% of base salary which may be increased at the discretion of the Compensation Committee. The 3. committee chose to increase his base salary to \$700,000 and increase his target Annual Incentive to 75% of annual base salary effective July 1, 2012. Mr. Harty is also a participant in the 2004 Plan, the Meredith Employees’ Retirement Income Plan, the Meredith Replacement Benefit Plan and the Meredith Supplemental Benefit Plan. The agreement provides for payment to Mr. Harty in the event his employment was terminated for various reasons as follows:

In the case of termination due to death, his base salary would be paid through the date of death, and any Annual A. Incentive earned under the 2004 Plan would be prorated to the date of death. In addition, all awards of restricted stock would vest and all stock options would vest and remain exercisable for their full unexpired term.

In the event of termination due to “Disability,” Mr. Harty would receive his base salary through the date of Disability. Under the terms of the Company’s Long-Term Disability Plan, he would receive \$15,000 per month until he reaches B. retirement age, up to a maximum payout of \$865,000. In addition, all restricted stock awards would vest and all stock options would vest and remain exercisable for the full unexpired term of the option. All awards under incentive plans would be handled in accordance with the terms of the relevant plan and agreements.

C.

In the event of termination “Without Cause,” Mr. Harty, in return for a full release of all employment-related claims, would receive his base salary through the date on which notice is given, plus separation payments

Table of Contents

equal to his base salary for a period of 18 months following the date of such notice. He would also receive a lump sum payment equal to his target Annual Incentive, prorated to the date on which notice is given, and, if such termination Without Cause occurred prior to August 10, 2015, the 15,000 shares of restricted stock awarded on August 10, 2010 would continue to vest on schedule. If Mr. Harty fails to execute the release described above, he would receive only his base salary through the date of notice of termination.

Mr. Harty's employment agreement also provides that if any person other than Mr. Harty is appointed as the Chief Operating Officer or President of Meredith during the term, he will remain in his current position throughout the remainder of the term. Should Mr. Harty then decide to voluntarily terminate from Meredith, he will be released from the non-compete and confidentiality covenants of his agreement; however, if Meredith chooses to enforce those covenants, the departure shall be treated as a termination Without Cause as described above.

D. In the event of termination for "Cause," Mr. Harty would receive his base salary only through the date of termination. All equity or incentive awards subject to restriction would be forfeited.

The following table sets forth the estimated payments and benefits that would have been provided to Mr. Harty if his employment had been terminated as of June 30, 2013 under the circumstances specified.

	<u>For Cause</u> <u>(\$)</u>	<u>Voluntary</u> <u>(\$)</u>	<u>Without Cause</u> <u>(\$)(1)</u>	<u>Disability</u> <u>(\$)</u>	<u>Death (\$)</u>
Payment equal to a multiple of base salary in effect at termination	N/A	N/A	1,050,000	N/A	N/A
Earned but unpaid Annual Incentive(2)	N/A	N/A	N/A	525,996	525,996
Payment of target Annual Incentive	N/A	N/A	525,000	N/A	N/A
Payment due under Cash LTIPs	N/A	N/A	459,957	459,957	459,957
Pension benefit (lump sum) (3)	119,502	119,502	119,502	0	119,502
Immediate vesting of stock options (4)	N/A	N/A	N/A	1,908,550	1,908,550
Immediate vesting of restricted stock (5)	N/A	N/A	N/A	2,712,461	2,712,461

(1) The payments in this column are to be paid in return for a signed full release of all employment-related claims.

(2) The amount due, if any, is the amount of the Annual Incentive set by the Compensation Committee at its August meeting.

(3) Disabled employees are considered active participants in all retirement plans.

(4) Reflects the benefit of the immediate vesting of all outstanding stock options.

(5) Reflects the benefit of the immediate vesting of restricted stock under the terms of the award agreements. Karpowicz Employment Agreement. On February 14, 2005 the Company entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Karpowicz which was amended on December 30, 2008. The Compensation Committee set his base salary for fiscal 2013 at \$705,000 with a target Annual Incentive of 75% of base salary. Mr. Karpowicz is eligible to participate in the 2004 Plan or successor plans, the Meredith Employees' Retirement Income Plan, the Meredith Replacement Benefit Plan and the Meredith Supplemental Benefit Plan. The agreement provides for payment to Mr. Karpowicz in the event his employment was terminated for various reasons as follows:

In the event of termination due to death or "Disability," Mr. Karpowicz or his estate would receive his base salary through the last day of the month in which such termination occurs plus any Annual Incentive earned under the A. 2004 Plan prorated to the date of termination. In addition, any Cash LTIP would be paid out according to the terms of the award, all restricted stock would vest and all stock options would vest and remain exercisable for the full unexpired term of the option.

B. In the event of termination for "Cause" or voluntary termination, Mr. Karpowicz would receive only his base salary through the date of termination. All equity and incentive awards subject to restriction would be forfeited.

C. In the event of termination "Without Cause," Mr. Karpowicz would be entitled to receive his base salary for a period of 12 months following the date of termination plus a proportionate share of any 2004 Plan Annual Incentive. In

addition, any Cash LTIP and other equity awards would be paid out according to the terms of the awards.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the estimated payments and benefits that would have been provided to Mr. Karpowicz if his employment had been terminated as of June 30, 2013 under the circumstances specified.

	<u>For Cause</u> <u>(\$)</u>	<u>Voluntary</u> <u>(\$)</u>	<u>Without Cause</u> <u>(\$)</u>	<u>Disability</u> <u>(\$)</u>	<u>Death (\$)</u>
Payment equal to a multiple of base salary in effect at termination	N/A	N/A	705,000	N/A	N/A
Earned but unpaid Annual Incentive (1)	N/A	N/A	959,619	959,619	959,619
Payment due under Cash LTIPs	N/A	N/A	459,957	459,957	459,957
Pension benefit (lump sum) (2)	2,695,730	2,695,730	2,695,730	0	2,695,730
Immediate vesting of stock options (3)	N/A	N/A	N/A	1,888,430	1,888,430
Immediate vesting of restricted stock (4)	N/A	N/A	N/A	1,720,014	1,720,014

(1) The amount due, if any, is the amount of the Annual Incentive set by the Compensation Committee at its August meeting.

(2) Disabled employees are considered active participants in all retirement plans.

(3) Reflects the benefit of the immediate vesting of all outstanding stock options.

(4) Reflects the benefit of the immediate vesting of restricted stock under the terms of the award agreements. Zieser Employment Agreement. The Company entered into an agreement with Mr. Zieser which became effective August 12, 2008 and continued in effect through June 30, 2013. The term of employment automatically renews for subsequent one-year terms unless written notice is given by either party. The agreement, as amended on December 30, 2008, provides for a minimum annual base salary of \$600,000 with any increase in base salary to be determined by the Compensation Committee. Mr. Zieser is a participant in the 2004 Plan or successor plans, the Meredith Employees' Retirement Income Plan, the Meredith Replacement Benefit Plan and the Meredith Supplemental Benefit Plan. Mr. Zieser's target Annual Incentive under the 2004 Plan will be no less than 70% of his base salary. The agreement also provides for payment to Mr. Zieser in the event his employment is terminated for various reasons as follows:

- If his employment were terminated because of death, any Cash LTIP would be paid out according to the terms of the award, all restricted stock would vest and all stock options would vest and remain exercisable for the full unexpired term of the option, his base salary would be paid in equal installments until the end of the month of the first anniversary of his death and any Annual Incentive earned under the 2004 Plan, as determined by the Compensation Committee at its meeting following the end of the fiscal year, would be prorated to the date of death. In the event of termination due to "Disability," Mr. Zieser would receive his base salary at the lesser of 100% for 12 months or to the end of the current term and his target Annual Incentive for the fiscal year in which the Disability occurred. In addition, any Cash LTIP award would be paid out according to the terms of the award, all restricted stock would vest and all stock options would vest and remain exercisable for the full unexpired term of the option. In the event of termination "Without Cause," Mr. Zieser would be entitled to receive a lump sum payment within the Short-Term Deferral Period as defined in the agreement, equal to sum of his base salary and target Annual Incentive through the end of the current term or 18 months, whichever is greater. In addition, all restricted stock would vest and all stock options would vest and remain exercisable for the full unexpired term of the option. In the event of voluntary termination or termination for "Cause," Mr. Zieser would receive only his base salary through the date of termination. Any earned but unpaid Annual Incentive would be forfeited as would all other incentive or equity awards subject to restriction.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the estimated payments and benefits that would have been provided to Mr. Zieser if his employment had been terminated as of June 30, 2013 under the circumstances specified.

	<u>For Cause</u> <u>(\$)</u>	<u>Voluntary</u> <u>(\$)</u>	<u>Without Cause</u> <u>(\$)</u>	<u>Disability</u> <u>(\$)</u>	<u>Death (\$)</u>
Payment equal to a multiple of base salary in effect at termination	N/A	N/A	967,500	645,000	645,000
Earned but unpaid Annual Incentive (1)	N/A	N/A	676,366	676,366	676,366
Payment of target Annual Incentive	N/A	N/A	677,250	451,500	N/A
Payment due under Cash LTIPs	N/A	N/A	426,650	426,650	426,650
Continued health/welfare benefits (2)	N/A	N/A	25,180	N/A	N/A
Pension benefit (lump sum) (3)(4)	212,774	212,774	3,437,725	0	212,774
Immediate vesting of stock options (5)	N/A	N/A	1,838,610	1,838,610	1,838,610
Immediate vesting of restricted stock (6)	N/A	N/A	1,502,550	1,502,550	1,502,550

(1) The amount due, if any, is the amount of the Annual Incentive set by the Compensation Committee at its August meeting.

(2) In the event of termination "Without Cause," the benefits would be continued through the end of the current term of the Agreement which would be June 30, 2014.

(3) In the event of termination "Without Cause," Mr. Zieser shall be presumed to have met eligibility requirements specified in Section 2.4 of the Meredith Replacement Benefit Plan and the Meredith Supplemental Benefit Plan or any successor plans and shall be entitled to the amounts that have accrued under such plans through the date of termination.

(4) Disabled employees are considered active participants in all retirement plans.

(5) Reflects the benefit of the immediate vesting of all outstanding stock options.

(6) Reflects the benefit of the immediate vesting of restricted stock under the terms of the award agreements

Change in Control

The Company has entered into Amended and Restated Severance Agreements ("Agreements") with each of the NEOs. The Agreements provide for a double trigger, namely a Change in Control of the Company and the termination of the officer within two years of such a Change in Control. The Agreements provide for payments and other benefits if the executive is terminated within two years of a Change in Control of the Company for any reason other than disability, mandatory retirement, "Cause" or voluntary termination other than for "Good Reason." "Good Reason" includes an adverse substantial change in position, duties, responsibilities or status; a reduction in base salary; elimination of any benefit or incentive plan; relocation to a place more than 25 miles distant and other terms as more fully described in the Agreements. If an executive's employment is terminated prior to the date a Change in Control occurs, and if there is a reasonable basis that such termination (1) was at the request of a third party that has taken steps reasonably calculated to effect a Change in Control of the Company or (2) otherwise arose in connection with or anticipation of a Change in Control, then such termination shall be treated as a termination following a Change in Control of the Company. A Change in Control as defined in the Agreements is summarized briefly as follows:

The acquisition by any person or entity of the beneficial ownership of more than 20% of either (a) the then outstanding common stock of the Company or (b) the combined voting power of the then outstanding voting securities of the Company;

The directors who were incumbent at the time of the execution of the Agreement or their successors cease to constitute at least a majority of the Board (not including any director whose nomination or election occurs as a result of an actual or threatened election contest with respect to the election or removal of directors or other actual or threatened solicitation of proxies or consents by or on behalf of a person or entity other than the Board);

The consummation of certain types of transactions including mergers and the sale of all, or substantially all, of the Company's assets, or

4. Approval by the shareholders of a complete liquidation or dissolution of the Company.

Table of Contents

Immediately upon a Change in Control of the Company, all outstanding stock options and stock appreciation rights shall become exercisable, all restrictions on restricted stock and RSUs shall lapse and all performance awards shall be paid or delivered as if the performance goals had been fully achieved. The benefit of the immediate vesting of the stock options and restricted stock and payments under performance awards would have been as follows had a Change in Control occurred on June 30, 2013.

Payment Obligations upon Termination Due to Change in Control

Award	<u>Lacy (\$)</u>	<u>Ceryanec (\$)</u>	<u>Harty (\$)</u>	<u>Karpowicz (\$)</u>	<u>Zieser (\$)</u>
Restricted Stock	4,418,833	1,694,018	2,712,461	1,720,014	1,502,550
Options	6,157,490	1,689,150	1,908,550	1,888,430	1,838,610
Cash LTIPs	2,995,482	858,801	998,496	998,500	963,645

The following table sets forth the payment obligations under the Agreements if the NEO's employment is terminated as described above in advance of or within two years of a Change in Control of the Company. The tables assume that the termination took place on June 30, 2013.

Obligation

NEO's annual base salary times three (based upon the highest annual rate of salary earned during the preceding 12-month period) (1)

Annual Bonus times three (higher of the target incentive for the year in which the date of termination occurs or the highest annual incentive compensation paid in respect of the three fiscal years immediately prior to the year in which the Change in Control occurred) (1)

Any earned and due Annual Incentive payments (1)

Prorated Annual Bonus through the date of termination (1)

Immediate vesting and payout of awards under any Cash LTIP (1)

Accrued vacation pay (1)

Any compensation previously deferred (with accrued interest or earnings) (1)

Retirement benefits (plus three years from the date of termination) (1)(2)

Annual matching contribution under the tax-qualified defined contribution plan times three, for each plan (1)

Continuation of medical, dental and life insurance for three years after the date of termination (3)

Continuation of short-term and long-term disability for three years after the date of termination (3)

Continuation of all programs and perquisites for three years after the date of termination (3)

Gross-up payment for tax liabilities (4)

Immediate vesting of equity awards under stock plans

(1) These amounts are to be paid as a lump sum within five days of the date of termination out of the Company's (or its successor's) assets.

(2) The retirement benefit is to be calculated as though the NEO is fully vested and has attained 36 additional months of age under the plans (but not to reduce the NEO's life expectancy).

(3) The benefits are to be continued for three years from the date of termination at the level in effect immediately prior to the Change in Control or the level in effect at the date of termination, whichever is most favorable to the NEO.

(4) The Company may pay directly to the IRS or other taxing authority, for the benefit of the NEO.

¹ Base Salary. The Agreements provide for the lump sum payment of three times the NEO's annual base salary. The following table sets forth the amount of such payments to each NEO.

<u>Lacy</u>	<u>Ceryanec</u>	<u>Harty</u>	<u>Karpowicz</u>	<u>Zieser</u>
\$2,925,000	\$1,680,000	\$2,100,000	\$2,115,000	\$1,935,000

² Annual Bonus. The Agreements provide for the lump sum payment of three times the Annual Bonus, as defined in the Agreements. The following table shows the amount of such payments to each NEO.

<u>Lacy</u>	<u>Ceryanec</u>	<u>Harty</u>	<u>Karpowicz</u>	<u>Zieser</u>
\$5,459,508	\$1,989,051	\$1,608,648	\$2,878,857	\$2,604,717

Earned but Unpaid Annual Incentive. The Agreements provide for the lump sum payment of any previously earned 3. and due annual incentive payments as defined in the Agreements. The following table shows the amount of such payments to each NEO.

<u>Lacy</u>	<u>Ceryanec</u>	<u>Harty</u>	<u>Karpowicz</u>	<u>Zieser</u>
\$1,325,000	\$559,860	\$525,996	\$959,619	\$676,366

Prorated Annual Bonus. The Agreements provide for the lump sum payment of the Annual Bonus as defined in the 4. Agreements pro rata to the date of termination. If termination due to Change in Control had occurred on June 30, 2013 there would be no prorated Annual Bonus.

Table of Contents

Retiree Welfare Benefits. The Agreements provide for an additional three years of age and service to be added to each NEO's post-retirement welfare benefits (including medical, dental and life). Mr. Lacy currently meets the requirements to retire and participate in the retiree welfare plan. With the additional three years, Mr. Karpowicz and Mr. Zieser would also meet the age and service requirements to retire and participate in the retiree welfare plan. None of the other NEOs would meet the eligibility requirements. The terms of the Agreements provide that active welfare benefits would continue for three years and retiree welfare benefits would not commence until the three-year period is over. Therefore, the value of the retiree welfare benefits provided from July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014 is zero.

Pension Benefits. The Agreements provide for an additional three years of age and service to be added (without affecting the life expectancy) in calculating each NEO's pension benefit in the event of a Change in Control. The following table shows the amount of such payments to each NEO.

Lacy **Ceryanec****Harty** **Karpowicz****Zieser**
15,029,832 1,680,760 2,659,337 5,560,654 5,825,131

Continuation of Benefits and Perquisites. The Agreements provide that the NEO and his eligible dependents shall continue, to the extent permitted by law, to be covered by all NEO services, programs, perquisites and insurance plans or programs in effect in which the NEO participated immediately prior to the time of the Change in Control, for a period of 36 months after the NEO's date of termination. The following table shows the cost to the Company for each of the NEOs for each of the benefits and perquisites.

Perquisite/Benefit	Lacy	Ceryanec	Harty	Karpowicz	Zieser
	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
Matching contribution to tax-qualified defined contribution plan	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000
Continuation of medical and dental insurance for 36 months	34,429	34,429	64,178	22,728	51,251
Continuation of group and NEO supplemental life insurance for 36 months	21,852	11,025	4,473	3,855	6,492
Continuation of short-term, long-term and NEO long-term disability for 36 months	30,794	13,163	10,238	23,509	17,797
Continuation of professional fees reimbursement for 36 months (calculated at maximum)	29,780	29,780	29,780	29,780	29,780
Continuation of club dues and auto allowance for 36 months	49,340	50,620	69,504	55,284	55,160

Gross-up Payments. The Agreements provide that the Company will provide to the NEO a "Gross-up" payment to cover any excise taxes incurred under Section 4999 of the Tax Code, including all other income-related taxes. Under those circumstances, each NEO would be entitled to receive the following amounts.

Lacy **Ceryanec****Harty** **Karpowicz****Zieser**
9,356,286 2,913,182 3,997,019 4,641,412 4,186,393

Immediate Vesting of All Restricted Stock, Stock Options and Performance-Based Awards. Upon termination due to a Change in Control, all restricted stock and stock options shall vest immediately and all performance awards shall be paid or delivered as if the performance goals had been fully achieved. The benefit of the immediate vesting of the stock options and restricted stock and payments under performance awards would have been as follows as of June 30, 2013.

Award	Lacy (\$)	Ceryanec (\$)	Harty (\$)	Karpowicz (\$)	Zieser (\$)
Restricted Stock	4,418,833	1,694,018	2,712,461	1,720,014	1,502,550
Options	6,157,490	1,689,150	1,908,550	1,888,430	1,838,610
Cash LTIPs	2,995,482	858,801	998,496	998,500	963,645

Table of Contents

Execution of a release of claims is not a prerequisite to the receipt of payments under the Agreements. The Agreements do not include non-compete, non-solicit, non-disparagement or confidentiality provisions. The NEOs are under no obligation to seek other employment nor shall any compensation earned by the NEOs reduce the amount of any payment provided for under the Agreements.

COMPONENTS OF DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

Employee directors receive no additional compensation for board service. For calendar 2013, the annual board retainer for non-employee directors was \$75,000 with an additional committee member retainer of \$10,000 and an additional committee chair retainer of \$20,000. Non-employee directors may elect to convert all or half of the annual board retainer, including any additional committee retainers, into restricted stock or SEUs as follows: 105% of the retainer may be received as restricted stock or as SEUs or 50% of the retainer may be received in cash and 52.5% of the retainer received as restricted stock or SEUs. Restricted stock pays dividends and vests one-third each year on the first three anniversaries of the grant date or upon the director's retirement from the Board. SEUs are fully vested but are paid out as common stock on a one-for-one basis only upon the director's resignation or retirement from the Board. Dividends on SEUs are reinvested.

Each year, on the date of the Annual Meeting of Shareholders, each non-employee director receives an equity grant with a fair market value of \$100,000, half in restricted stock and half in nonqualified stock options. One-third of the number of shares of stock and options granted vests each year on the first three anniversaries of the grant date. Options have an exercise price equal to the closing price on the date of the grant and expire on the tenth anniversary of the grant date.

Upon election to the Board, each new non-employee director may choose to receive a grant of 1,200 shares of restricted stock which vests one-third each year on the first three anniversaries of the date of the grant or a grant of 1,200 SEUs which, although fully vested, are paid out as common stock on a one-for-one basis only upon the director's resignation, retirement or other termination of service on the Board.

For calendar 2013, four of nine non-employee directors elected to receive all or 50% of their retainer in the form of restricted stock or SEUs. Fees paid in equity are awarded on the date of the Annual Meeting. Cash retainers are paid in advance in quarterly installments. The Company also reimburses directors for out-of-pocket expenses related to attendance at Board and committee meetings. The compensation paid to each non-employee director during fiscal 2013 is shown in the table below.

Director Compensation for Fiscal 2013

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)(1)(3)(4)(6)	Option Awards (\$)(2)(3)(6)	Total (\$)
Berg (4)	23,750	138,717	49,987	212,454
Coleman (4)	23,750	149,803	49,987	223,540
Craigie (4)	47,500	99,933	49,987	197,420
Frazier	105,000	50,031	49,987	205,018
Henry	105,000	50,031	49,987	205,018
Johnson (4)(5)	2,500	160,307	49,987	212,794
Marineau	105,000	50,031	49,987	205,018
Tallett (4)	71,250	99,933	49,987	221,170

(1) Stock awards (including SEUs) are reported at the aggregate grant date fair value in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718.

(2) Option awards are reported at the aggregate grant date fair value in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718.

(3)

All non-employee directors received a grant of restricted stock with a grant date fair value of \$50,031 (1,548 shares) and options with a grant date fair value of \$49,987 (8,100 options) on the date of the Annual Meeting of Shareholders, November 7, 2012. The closing price of the common stock on November 7, 2012 was \$32.32.

(4) Included in this column: Dr. Coleman received 105% of her annual retainer in the form of SEUs with a grant date fair value of \$99,772; Mr. Berg received his initial award and 52.5% of his annual retainer in the form of SEUs with a grant date fair value totaling \$88,686; Ms. Tallett received 52.5% of her annual retainer in the form of SEUs with a grant date fair value of \$49,902; Mr. Craigie received 52.5% of his annual retainer in the form of restricted stock with a grant date fair value of \$49,902; and Mr. Johnson received 105% of his annual retainer in the form of SEUs with a grant date fair value of \$110,276.

(5) The amount shown in the column "Fees Earned or Paid in Cash" for Mr. Johnson is equal to the April 1, 2012 increase in the committee chair retainer paid in cash for two quarters.

35

Table of Contents

(6) As of June 30, 2013 each director held outstanding equity awards as shown in the table below:

<u>Name</u>	<u>Options</u>	<u>Restricted Stock</u>	<u>SEUs</u>
Berg	8,100	1,548	2,830.87
Coleman	60,945	4,545	13,718.72
Craigie	48,945	4,837	10,848.21
Frazier	66,945	3,293	0
Henry	62,945	3,293	1,920.82
Johnson	66,945	3,293	34,017.91
Marineau	66,945	3,293	4,709.49
Tallett	36,945	3,293	5,328.10

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

Under regulations of the SEC, persons who have power to vote or to dispose of shares of the Company, either alone or jointly with others, are deemed to be beneficial owners of such shares. Because the voting or dispositive power of certain stock listed in the following table is shared, in some cases the same securities are listed opposite more than one name in the table. The total number of the Company's shares listed in the table (excluding stock options that are presently exercisable or will become exercisable prior to October 30, 2013) after elimination of such duplication is 15,367,712 shares of common stock (approximately 42% of the outstanding common stock) and 7,872,558 shares of class B common stock (approximately 95% of the outstanding class B common stock).

Set forth below is information as of August 31, 2013 concerning security ownership by each person who is known to management to be the beneficial owner of more than 5% of any class of the Company's voting securities, by each director and nominee for director, by each NEO and by the Company's directors and executive officers as a group.

<i>Name</i>	Common Stock Owned			Class B Common Stock Owned (1)		
	Sole Voting or Investment Power	Shared Voting or Investment Power	% of Class (2)	Sole Voting or Investment Power	Shared Voting or Investment Power	% of Class
a. <i>Beneficial owners of more than 5%</i>						
Katherine C. Meredith (3)						
c/o Chris Sidwell	7,203	92,412	10.27	3,547,595	92,412	43.74
1716 Locust Street						
Des Moines, IA 50309-3023						
E. T. Meredith, IV (3)						
c/o Chris Sidwell	0	92,412	6.78	1,683,770	692,412	28.55
1716 Locust Street						
Des Moines, IA 50309-3023						
D. Mell Meredith Frazier, Director	57,083	92,412	6.66	1,581,960	692,412	27.33
(3)(12)(16)						

1716 Locust Street							
Des Moines, IA 50309-3023							
Anna K. Meredith Endowment Trust (5)							
665 Locust Street	0	0	1.65	0	600,000	7.21	
Des Moines, IA 50304							
Allianz Global Investors Capital LLC (8)							
680 Newport Center, Suite 250							
Newport Beach, CA 92660, and							
NFJ Investment Group, LLC	2,335,349	0	6.5	0	0	0	
2100 Ross Avenue, Suite 700							
Dallas, TX 75201							
Royce & Associates, LLC (7)							
745 Fifth Avenue	2,593,620	0	7.19	0	0	0	
New York, NY 10151							
BlackRock, Inc. (6)							
40 East 52 nd Street	5,522,042	0	15.30	0	0	0	
New York, NY 10022							
Neuberger Berman Group, LLC (9)							
605 Third Avenue	0	2,146,898	5.95	0	0	0	
New York, NY 10158							
The Vanguard Group, Inc. (10)							
100 Vanguard Blvd.	1,866,258	54,097	5.32	0	0	0	
Malvern, PA 19355							
b. <i>Directors, not listed above, including</i>							
<i>nominees and executive officers</i>							
Donald C. Berg, Director (11)	4,379	0	*	0	0	0	
Joseph H. Ceryanec, Chief Financial	59,767	0	*	0	0	0	
Officer (13)(16)(17)							
Mary Sue Coleman, Director (11)(12)	64,821	0	*	0	0	0	
James R. Craigie, Director (11)(12)	30,663	0	*	0	0	0	

Table of Contents

<i>Name</i>	Common Stock Owned			Class B Common Stock Owned (1)		
	Sole Voting or Investment Power	Shared Voting or Investment Power	% of Class (2)	Sole Voting or Investment Power	Shared Voting or Investment Power	% of Class
Thomas H. Harty, President-National Media Group (14)(17)	121,916	0	*	0	0	0
Frederick B. Henry, Director (3)(11)(12)	72,476	118,844	1.53	0	366,821	4.41
Joel W Johnson, Director (11)(12)	96,657	0	*	0	0	0
Paul A. Karpowicz, President-Local Media Group (4)(14)(17)	379,304	5,876	1.05	0	0	0
Stephen M. Lacy, Director, Chairman and CEO (4)(13)(14)(16)	527,113	2,600	1.45	0	0	0
Philip A. Marineau, Director (3)(11)(12)	62,461	0	*	0	0	0
Elizabeth E. Tallett, Director (11)(12)	31,714	0	*	0	0	0
John S. Zieser, Chief Development Officer, General Counsel (4)(13)(14)(16)(17)	129,773	1,856	*	0	0	0
<i>All directors and executive officers as a group c.(3)(4)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)(17) [13 persons]</i>	1,638,127	221,588	10.69	1,581,960		