

Form

Unknown document format

ompensation programs to:

provide compensation sufficient to attract and retain the level of talent needed to create and manage an innovative, high growth global company in highly competitive and rapidly evolving markets;

support a performance-oriented culture;

maintain a balance between fixed and variable compensation and place a significant portion of total compensation at risk based on the Logitech s performance, while maintaining controls over inappropriate risk-taking by factoring in both annual and long-term performance;

provide a balance between short-term and long-term objectives and results;

align executive compensation with shareholders interests by tying a significant portion of compensation to increasing share value; and

reflect an executive s role and past performance through base salary and short-term cash incentives, and his or her potential for future contribution through long-term equity incentive awards.

*Proxy Statement* **14**

---

## Agenda Proposals and Explanations

The Compensation Committee of the Board has developed a compensation program that is described more fully in the Compensation Report included in this Invitation and Proxy Statement. Logitech's compensation philosophy, compensation program risks and design, and compensation paid during fiscal year 2016 are also set out in the Compensation Report.

While compensation is a central part of attracting, retaining and motivating the best executives and employees, we believe it is not the sole or exclusive reason why exceptional executives or employees choose to join and stay at Logitech, or why they work hard to achieve results for shareholders. In this regard, both the Compensation Committee and management believe that providing a working environment and opportunities in which executives and employees can develop, express their individual potential, and make a difference, are also a key part of Logitech's success in attracting, motivating and retaining executives and employees.

### Voting Requirement to Approve Proposal

The affirmative **FOR** vote of a majority of the votes cast in person or by proxy at the Annual General Meeting, not counting abstentions.

### Recommendation

The Board of Directors recommends a vote **FOR** approval of the following advisory resolution:

Resolved, that the compensation paid to Logitech's named executive officers as disclosed in the Compensation Report, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the Summary Compensation table and the related compensation tables, notes, and narrative discussion, is hereby approved.

Agenda Proposals and Explanations

**Proposal 3**

**Appropriation of Retained Earnings and Declaration of Dividend**

**Proposal**

The Board of Directors proposes that CHF 653,367,040 (approximately USD 680,503,500 based on the exchange rate on March 31, 2016) of retained earnings be appropriated as follows:

	<b>Year ended March 31, 2016</b>
Retained earnings available at the end of fiscal year 2016	CHF 653,367,040
Proposed dividends	CHF (90,200,000)
Balance of retained earnings to be carried forward	CHF 563,167,040

The Board of Directors approved and proposes distribution of a gross aggregate dividend of CHF 90,200,000 (approximately USD 93,946,300, based on the exchange rate on March 31, 2016), or approximately CHF 0.5554 per share (approximately USD 0.5785 per share).\*

No distribution shall be made on shares held in treasury by the Company and its subsidiaries.

If the proposal of the Board of Directors is approved, the dividend payment of approximately CHF 0.5554 per share (or approximately CHF 0.3610 per share after deduction of 35% Swiss withholding tax whenever required) will be made on or about September 27, 2016 to all shareholders on record as of the record date (which will be on or about September 26, 2016). We expect that the shares will be traded ex dividend as of approximately September 23, 2016.

**Explanation**

Under Swiss law, the use of retained earnings must be submitted to shareholders for approval or disapproval at each annual general meeting. The retained earnings

at the disposal of Logitech shareholders at the 2016 Annual General Meeting are the earnings of Logitech International S.A., the Logitech parent holding company.

The proposal of the Board of Directors to distribute a gross dividend of approximately CHF 0.5554 per share represents an increase of approximately 10% over the prior year, following another year of strong cash flow from operations, and is an indication of the Board of Directors' confidence in the future of the Company. Since fiscal year 2013, the Board of Directors decided on a recurring annual gross dividend and not on an occasional one. As a consequence, the Company expects to propose such a dividend to the shareholders of the Company every year (subject to the approval of the Company's statutory auditors in the applicable year).

Other than the distribution of the dividend, the Board of Directors proposes the carry-forward of retained earnings based on the Board's belief that it is in the best interests of Logitech and its shareholders to retain Logitech's earnings for future investment in the growth of Logitech's business, for share repurchases, and for the possible acquisition of other companies or lines of business.

**Voting Requirement to Approve Proposal**

The affirmative FOR vote of a majority of the votes cast in person or by proxy at the Annual General Meeting, not counting abstentions.

**Recommendation**

## Edgar Filing: - Form

The Board of Directors recommends a vote **FOR** approval of the proposed appropriation of retained earnings with respect to fiscal year 2016, including the payment of a dividend to shareholders in an aggregate amount of CHF 90,200,000.

\* The per share approximations are based on 162,409,503 shares outstanding, net of treasury shares, as of March 31, 2016. Distribution-bearing shares are all shares issued except for treasury shares held by Logitech International S.A. on the day preceding the payment of the distribution.

*Proxy Statement* **16**

---

## Agenda Proposals and Explanations

### Proposal 4

Amendment and restatement of the 2006 Stock Incentive Plan, including an increase to the number of shares available for issuance under the Plan

#### Proposal

The Board of Directors proposes that shareholders approve amendments to and the restatement of the Logitech International S.A. 2006 Stock Incentive Plan (the Plan) to authorize five million seven hundred fifty thousand (5,750,000) additional shares for issuance under the Plan, to improve the Company's corporate governance practices, and to implement other best practices.

#### Explanation

The Board of Directors believes a key component of the Company's continued ability to be successful is due to its talented employee base and that future success depends on the ability to attract and retain high-caliber employees. The Board believes the continued ability to grant equity awards is a necessary and essential recruiting and retention tool for the Company to attract and retain the high-caliber employees, officers and directors critical to the Company's success.

The 2006 Stock Incentive Plan is the Company's only active employee equity plan (other than its 2012 Inducement Equity Plan, all of the authorized shares of which are subject to outstanding awards, and its Employee Stock Purchase Plans), and as of June 1, 2016 we have approximately five million shares remaining for issuance under the Plan. We estimate that this remaining pool will be exhausted before the 2018 Annual General Meeting despite the fact that, to maximize shareholder value, the Company actively manages its program to use its equity plan resources as effectively as possible.

The Compensation Committee anticipates that the additional shares requested will enable the Company to fund the equity compensation program through the end of fiscal year 2020, accommodating anticipated grants relating to the hiring, retention and promotion of employees and providing reasonable flexibility for acquisitions. The table below sets out the shares currently available under the plan and if this proposal is approved:

	<b>Shares</b> (in millions)
<b>2006 Stock Incentive Plan Share Reservation</b>	
Initial shares authorized under the Plan	14.00
Additional shares authorized at subsequent Annual General Meetings	10.80
Shares awarded from June 2006 through June 1, 2016, net of cancellations	(19.79)
Additional shares requested under this proposal	5.75
 Total shares available for issuance at June 1, 2016 (as if proposal approved)	 10.76

The Board is not proposing an increase to the Company's conditional capital for Logitech's employee equity incentive plans. Since 2000, Logitech has used shares held in treasury from its share repurchase programs to cover its issuance obligations under employee equity incentive grants, including grants made under the Plan. It expects to continue to do so.

Logitech has granted equity incentives to employees since its very earliest days in the 1980s. The use of equity compensation in part reflects market practice,

especially in California's Silicon Valley, where the Company has a significant presence. However, it is also a key differentiator in attracting and retaining employees in employment markets outside of the United States where, historically, equity incentive compensation was not or is not common. The Board of Directors believes that having the ability to offer equity incentives continues to be a key part of Logitech's compensation program and the Company's long-term success.



## Agenda Proposals and Explanations

### Material Changes to the Plan

The following summary highlights the proposed material changes to the Plan.

The number of shares reserved for future issuance pursuant to awards granted under the Plan has been increased by five million seven hundred fifty thousand (5,750,000) additional shares from 24.8 million shares to 30.55 million shares.

The Plan has been amended to impose a minimum vesting period of one year on all awards, except (i) for certain awards substituted in connection with a corporate transaction and (ii) for 5% of the number of shares reserved for future issuance under the Plan as of the date that the Plan amendment becomes effective.

The Plan has been amended to allow shares that are used to satisfy tax withholding obligations for awards other than options or SARs to be available for re-issuance under the Plan.

The following summary of certain material features of the Plan is qualified in its entirety by reference to the Plan, which is attached to this proxy statement as Appendix A.

### Awards Outstanding under the Plan as of June 1, 2016

As of June 1, 2016, 5,065,253 shares were issuable upon exercise of stock options outstanding under the Plan with a weighted average exercise price of USD 17.90 per share and a weighted average term of 3.97 years, and an aggregate of 6,972,012 shares were subject to RSUs and PRSUs outstanding under the Plan with no exercise price. In addition as of June 1, 2016, there were 5,011,170 shares available for grant under the Plan.

### Key Terms of the Plan at a Glance

The following is a summary of the key provisions of the Plan, as amended and restated.

Plan Term:	The Plan, as amended and restated, will become effective on the date the shareholders approve the Plan and will continue in effect until terminated by the board of directors. The proposed amendments will apply to previously granted awards that are outstanding as well as to awards that are granted after the effective date of the Plan amendment.
Eligible Participants:	Employees, directors, and consultants of the Company, a parent, a subsidiary or an affiliate generally are eligible to receive each type of award offered under the Plan.  Only employees of the Company, a parent or a subsidiary are eligible to receive incentive stock options (ISOs) under the Plan.
Shares Available for Awards:	If the amendments are approved by the shareholders, 30.55 million shares over the term of the Plan, subject to adjustment in the event of certain changes in the capitalization of the Company, of which approximately 10.76 million shares will be available for the grant of new awards under the Plan (based on awards granted through June 1, 2016).
Award Types:	(1) Options  (2) SARs  (3) Restricted Shares

Edgar Filing: - Form

(4) Restricted Stock Units

Award Terms:

Options and SARs will have a term of no longer than ten years.

ISO Limits:

No more than the maximum number of shares reserved for issuance may be granted as ISOs under the Plan.

*Proxy Statement* **18**

---



## Agenda Proposals and Explanations

**162(m) Share Limits:** Section 162(m) of the Code requires, among other things, that the maximum number of shares awarded to an individual must be approved by the shareholders in order for the awards granted under the Plan to be eligible for treatment as performance-based compensation that will not be subject to the USD 1 million limitation on tax deductibility for compensation paid to certain specified executive officers.

Accordingly, the Plan limits individual awards that are intended to be qualified performance-based compensation under Section 162(m) of the Code as follows:

- (1) no award of options or SARs covering more than 6 million of the Company's shares may be granted to an individual employee in any fiscal year; and
- (2) no award of Restricted Shares or Restricted Stock Units covering more than 4 million of the Company's shares may be granted to an individual employee in any fiscal year.

**Minimum Vesting:** Generally determined by the administrator within the limits set forth in the Plan. If the shareholders approve the proposed amendment, then no award granted after the effective date of the Plan amendment may vest earlier than the first anniversary of the date of grant, except that 5% of the number of shares reserved for future issuance under the Plan as of the effective date of the Plan amendment and substitute awards granted in connection with certain corporate transactions are not subject to this minimum vesting requirement.

**Not Permitted:** The following are not permitted under the Plan:

- (1) **Discounted Options or SARs** Granting options or SARs at a price below fair market value of the Company's shares on the date of grant.
- (2) **Repricing** Unless approved by the shareholders, repricing or reducing the exercise price of an underwater option or SAR, or exchanging underwater options or SARs for (i) a new option or SAR with a lower exercise price, (ii) a cash payment or (iii) any other award.
- (3) **Recycling of Shares Subject to Options/SARs** Adding shares back to the number of shares available for issuance when (i) shares covered by an option or SAR are surrendered in payment of the option exercise price or in satisfaction of tax withholding obligations related to exercise or settlement of an option or SAR, (ii) shares are not issued or delivered as a result of net settlement of an outstanding SAR or option, and (iii) shares are repurchased on the open market with the proceeds of the exercise of an option.
- (4) **Automatic Vesting Acceleration Upon Change of Control.**

### Summary of the Plan

**Administration of the Plan.** The Board of Directors or the Compensation Committee, which is made up entirely of independent directors (collectively referred to herein as the administrator), administers the Plan. The administrator selects the employees, consultants and directors who will receive awards, determines the number of shares covered by the awards, and, subject to the

terms and limitations in the Plan, establishes the terms, conditions and other provisions of each award agreement. The administrator may interpret the Plan and establish, amend and rescind any rules relating to the Plan. The administrator may

Edgar Filing: - Form

delegate to a committee of one or more officers of the Company the ability to grant awards, to the extent permitted by the Company's corporate governing documents. The administrator also may adopt

## Agenda Proposals and Explanations

sub-plans and corresponding rules, procedures and forms of award agreement for the purposes of granting awards to participants outside the U.S. and complying with non-U.S. laws.

**Share Reserve.** The maximum number of shares that we have authorized for issuance under the Plan is 30.55 million shares.

Any award of options or SARs intended to comply with Section 162(m) of the Code is limited to an aggregate of 6 million shares per individual in a single fiscal year, and any award of restricted shares or restricted stock units intended to comply with Section 162(m) of the Code is limited to an aggregate of 4 million shares per individual in a single fiscal year.

Any shares subject to an award that expires or terminates unexercised or before settlement, is not earned in full or is forfeited, is settled in cash, or shares used to satisfy tax withholding obligations for awards other than an option or SAR, will again become available for issuance under the Plan. Any dividend equivalents credited under the Plan and paid in cash shall not be applied against the number of shares that may be issued under the Plan.

The following shares will be counted against the maximum number of shares reserved for issuance and will not be returned to the Plan for future issuance: (i) shares covered by an option or a SAR that are surrendered in payment of the option exercise price or due to tax withholding at exercise, (ii) shares that are not issued or delivered as a result of net settlement of an outstanding SAR or option, and (iii) shares that are repurchased on the open market with the proceeds of the exercise of an option.

**Eligibility.** Only employees of the Company, a parent or a subsidiary are eligible to receive ISOs. Employees, directors and consultants of the Company, a parent, a subsidiary or an affiliate are eligible to receive nonstatutory options, SARs, restricted shares, and restricted stock units. As of June 1, 2016, the Company had approximately 6,400 employees, eight non-employee directors and approximately 220 consultants eligible to receive awards under the Plan. Consultants, however, may only be granted awards to the extent permitted by the Company's corporate governing documents.

**Awards.** Awards granted under the Plan may include any of the following:

**Options.** An option is the right to purchase shares of the Company at a fixed exercise price for a fixed period of time. Each option is evidenced by an award agreement and is subject to the following terms and conditions:

**Number of Options.** The administrator will determine the number of shares subject to an option granted to any participant.

**Exercise Price.** The administrator will determine the exercise price of options granted under the Plan at the time the options are granted, but the exercise price generally must be at least equal to the fair market value of a share of the Company on the date of grant. The fair market value of a share generally is determined with reference to the closing sale price for a share of the Company on the day the option is granted on either the SIX Swiss Exchange (for options denominated in Swiss francs) or the NASDAQ Global Select Market (for options denominated in U.S. dollars). The fair market value on the date of grant also may be determined based on an average of trading prices in a period before or after the date of grant. As of June 1, 2016, the closing price of a share of the Company was CHF 15.15 on the SIX Swiss Exchange and USD 15.33 on the NASDAQ Global Select Market.

**Exercise of Option; Form of Consideration.** The administrator determines when options become exercisable, subject to the minimum vesting requirements described below and may, in its discretion, accelerate the vesting of outstanding options under certain circumstances. The means of payment for shares issued upon exercise of an option is specified in each award agreement. To the extent permitted by applicable law, the Plan permits payment to be made by cash, cash equivalents, promissory note, other shares (with some restrictions), cashless exercise, net exercise, any combination of the prior methods of payment or any other form of consideration permitted by applicable law.

**Term of Option.** The term of an option will be stated in the award agreement. However, the term of an option may not exceed ten years. No option may be exercised after the expiration of its term.



## Agenda Proposals and Explanations

**Termination of Service.** After termination of service, an option holder may exercise his or her option for the period of time determined by the administrator and stated in the award agreement. If no period of time is stated in a participant's award agreement, a participant may exercise the option within ninety days after such termination, to the extent that the option is vested on the date of termination (but in no event later than the expiration of the term of such option as set forth in the award agreement), unless such participant's service terminates due to the participant's death or disability, in which case the participant (or, if the participant has died, the participant's estate, designated beneficiary or the person who acquires the right to exercise the option by bequest or inheritance) may exercise the option, to the extent the option was vested on the date of termination (or to the extent the vesting is accelerated upon the participant's death), within one year after the date of such termination. However, unless a participant's service is terminated for cause, if a participant is prevented from exercising an option within the applicable post-termination time period due to legal compliance issues relating to the issuance of shares, the option will remain exercisable for thirty days after the date on which the Company notifies the participant that the option is exercisable, but in any event no later than the expiration of the term of the option.

**Stock Appreciation Rights.** A SAR is the right to receive the appreciation in the fair market value of shares of the Company between the grant date and the exercise date, for that number of shares of the Company with respect to which the SAR is exercised. The Company may pay the appreciation in cash, shares of the Company with equivalent value, or in some combination thereof, as determined by the administrator. Each award of SARs is evidenced by an award agreement specifying the terms and conditions of the award. The administrator determines the number of shares granted to a service provider pursuant to an award SARs. The administrator also determines the exercise price of SARs, the vesting schedule, subject to the minimum vesting requirements described below, and other terms and conditions of SARs. However, the exercise price must be at least equal to the fair market value of a share of the Company on the date of grant, and the term of a SAR may not exceed ten years.

After termination of service, a participant will be able to exercise the vested portion of his or her SAR for the period of time determined by the administrator and provided in the award agreement. If no period of time is provided in a participant's award agreement, a participant or, in the case of participant's death, his or her estate or beneficiary, will generally be able to exercise his or her vested SAR for (i) 90 days after his or her termination for reasons other than death or disability, and (ii) one year following his or her termination due to death or disability. In no event may a SAR be exercised after the expiration of its term.

**Restricted Shares.** Restricted share awards are awards of shares of the Company that vest in accordance with terms and conditions established by the administrator, subject to the minimum vesting requirements described below. Each award of restricted shares is evidenced by an award agreement specifying the terms and conditions of the award. Vesting can be conditioned on continued employment, the passage of time, or performance goals. The administrator will determine the number of restricted shares granted to any participant. The administrator also determines the purchase price, if any, of restricted shares and, unless the administrator determines otherwise, unvested restricted shares typically will be subject to forfeiture upon the voluntary or involuntary termination of a participant's service for any reason including death or disability.

**Restricted Stock Units (including Performance-Based Restricted Stock Units).** Restricted stock units are awards that represent the right to receive shares of the Company or cash equal to the value of the shares, or some combination of both as determined by the administrator, if the restricted stock units vest. Restricted stock units vest in accordance with terms and conditions established by the administrator, as set forth in the applicable award agreement and subject to the minimum vesting requirements describe below. Vesting can be conditioned on continued employment, the passage of time, or performance goals. Restricted stock units that are subject to performance goals are referred to as performance-based restricted stock units. No condition that is subject to performance goals may be based on performance over a period of less than one

## Agenda Proposals and Explanations

year. The award agreement may provide for forfeiture or cancellation of the restricted stock units, in whole or in part, in the event of termination of the participant's service.

**Minimum One-Year Vesting Requirement.** No award granted under the Plan after the effective date of the Plan amendment may vest prior to the first anniversary of the grant date, except that 5% of the number of shares reserved for future issuance under the Plan as of the date the Plan amendment becomes effective is not subject to this minimum vesting requirement. Substitute awards granted in connection with a corporate transaction are not subject to this minimum vesting requirement.

**Vesting Acceleration.** The administrator has the authority to accelerate the vesting of awards in any circumstance, including upon a participant's termination of service for any reason (including death, disability or retirement) or upon a corporate transaction or change of control.

**162(m) Performance Criteria.** Performance-based awards may, but need not, be based on performance criteria that satisfy Section 162(m) of the Code. To the extent that awards are intended to qualify as performance-based compensation under Section 162(m) of the Code, the performance criteria will be based on the share price appreciation (in the case of options and SARs) or on one or more of the following criteria (in the case of restricted shares and restricted stock units): brand recognition/acceptance, cash flow, cash flow return on investment, contribution to profitability, cost control, cost positions, cost of capital, customer satisfaction, development of products, earnings before interest, taxes and amortization; earnings per share, economic profit, economic value added, free cash flow, income or net income, income before income taxes, market segment share, new product innovation, operating income or net operating income, operating margin or profit margin, operating profit or net operating profit, process excellence, product cost reduction, product mix, product release schedules, product ship targets, quality, return on assets or net assets, return on capital, return on capital employed, return on equity, return on invested capital, return on operating revenue, return on sales, revenue, sales, share price performance, strategic alliances, total shareholder return, and working capital. The performance goals may differ from participant to participant and from award to award and may be used

in any combination. Any performance goals may be applied to the Company as a whole, or to a business unit or a subsidiary, either individually or in any combination, and measured either annually or cumulatively over a period of years. Performance goals may be measured, as applicable, in absolute terms or in relative terms (including against prior years' results and/or against a comparison group).

**Nontransferability of Awards.** Unless otherwise determined by the administrator, awards granted under the Plan are not transferable other than by will, by beneficiary designation (if such a designation is permitted by the administrator) or by the laws of descent and distribution, and may be exercised during the participant's lifetime only by the participant. If the administrator makes an award transferable, the award shall contain such additional terms and conditions as the administrator deems appropriate.

**Adjustments upon Change in Capitalization.** In the event that the shares of the Company or other securities change by reason of a stock dividend, stock split, combination or reclassification of shares, extraordinary dividend of cash or assets, recapitalization, reorganization or any similar event affecting the shares of the Company or other securities, the administrator will make adjustments to the number and kind of the shares of the Company or other securities subject to the Plan, including the maximum number of shares that may be issued pursuant to the exercise of an ISO and the annual limits on the number of shares that may be granted with respect to an ISO award, or subject to awards previously granted, and the exercise or settlement price of awards previously granted, in order to reflect the change and to preclude a dilution or enlargement of benefits under an award.

**Adjustments upon Dissolution or Liquidation.** Effective upon the consummation of the Company's liquidation or dissolution, any unexercised award generally will terminate. The administrator may, in its discretion, provide that a participant will have the right to exercise all or any part of an award, including shares as to which an award would not otherwise be exercisable, prior to the consummation of such proposed action.

## Agenda Proposals and Explanations

**Adjustments upon Merger or Change in Control.** In the event the Company is a party to a merger, consolidation or reorganization, or the sale of substantially all of its assets, then each outstanding award will be subject to the applicable award agreement, which must provide for one or more of the following: the continuation, assumption, or substitution of outstanding awards; full exercisability or vesting of outstanding awards (which may be contingent on the closing of the transaction); or the cancellation of outstanding awards and the payment to the holder in cash or shares of an amount equal to the per share amount that shareholders of the Company are entitled to receive or realize in connection with the applicable transaction with respect to the number of shares subject to the applicable award (which payment may be made subject to continued vesting).

**Amendment and Termination of the Plan.** The Plan will continue in effect until the Board of Directors terminates it. In addition, the Board of Directors has the authority to amend, alter, suspend or terminate the Plan, but no amendment, alteration, suspension or termination may impair the rights of any participant under an outstanding award, unless agreed otherwise between the participant and the administrator. The Plan or an award agreement may be amended, altered, suspended or terminated without consent from the participant if required to facilitate compliance with applicable laws.

### U.S. Federal Tax Consequences

The U.S. federal tax rules applicable to the Plan under the Code are summarized below. This summary does not include the tax laws of any municipality or state or any country outside the United States in which a participant resides or to which he or she may be subject.

**Nonstatutory Options.** An optionee does not recognize any taxable income at the time he or she is granted a nonstatutory option. Upon exercise, the optionee recognizes taxable income generally measured by the excess of the then fair market value of the shares over the exercise price. Any taxable income recognized in connection with an option exercise by an employee is subject to tax withholding. The Company's U.S. operating subsidiary is generally entitled to a deduction in the same amount as the ordinary income recognized by the optionee. Upon a disposition of the shares by the

optionee, any difference between the sale price and the optionee's exercise price, to the extent not recognized as taxable income as provided above, is treated as long-term or short-term capital gain or loss, depending on the holding period.

**Stock Appreciation Rights.** No taxable income is reportable when a SAR is granted to a participant. Upon exercise, the participant will recognize ordinary income in an amount equal to the amount of cash received and the fair market value of any shares received. Any additional gain or loss recognized upon any later disposition of the shares would be long-term or short-term capital gain or loss, depending on the holding period.

Logitech Inc., the Company's U.S. operating subsidiary, generally will be entitled to a tax deduction in connection with an award under the Plan in an amount equal to the ordinary income realized by a participant subject to U.S. taxation and at the time such participant recognizes such income.

**Restricted Shares.** A participant generally will not have taxable income at the time an award of restricted shares is granted. Instead, he or she will recognize ordinary income in the first taxable year in which his or her interest in the restricted shares becomes either (i) freely transferable or (ii) no longer subject to substantial risk of forfeiture (e.g., vested). However, a holder of restricted shares may elect to recognize income at the time he or she is granted the award (to the extent it is not vested) in an amount equal to the fair market value of the shares underlying the award less any amount paid for the shares on the date the award is granted. Upon the sale of any shares received, any gain or loss, based on the difference between the sale price and the fair market value on the settlement date, will be taxed as a long-term or short-term capital gain or loss, depending on the holding period.

Logitech Inc. generally will be entitled to a tax deduction equal to the amount of ordinary income recognized by the participant on the date the shares are freely transferable or no longer subject to a substantial risk of forfeiture, except to the extent such deduction is limited by applicable provisions of the Code.

**Restricted Stock Units.** A participant generally will not have taxable income at the time an award of restricted stock units is granted. Upon the settlement of the award, the participant normally will recognize ordinary income





## Agenda Proposals and Explanations

in the year of receipt in an amount equal to the cash received and the fair market value of any non-restricted shares received. Upon the sale of any shares received, any gain or loss, based on the difference between the sale price and the fair market value on the settlement date, will be taxed as a long-term or short-term capital gain or loss, depending on the holding period.

Logitech Inc. generally will be entitled to a tax deduction equal to the amount of ordinary income recognized by the participant on the settlement date, except to the extent such deduction is limited by applicable provisions of the Code.

**Performance-Based Compensation Under Code Section 162(m).** Special rules limit the deductibility of compensation paid to certain executive officers in the United States. Under Section 162(m) of the Code, the annual compensation paid to executive officers in the U.S. may not be deductible to the extent it exceeds USD 1 million. However, Logitech Inc. can preserve the deductibility of certain compensation in excess of USD 1 million if the conditions of Section 162(m) of the Code are met. These conditions include shareholder approval of the Plan and setting limits on the number

of awards that any individual may receive per year. The Plan has been designed to permit the administrator to grant awards that qualify as performance-based for purposes of satisfying the conditions of Section 162(m) of the Code, which permits Logitech Inc. to continue to receive a federal income tax deduction in connection with such awards.

### New Plan Benefits

The amount and timing of awards granted under the Plan are determined in the sole discretion of the administrator and therefore cannot be determined in advance. The future awards that would be received under the Plan by executive officers and other employees are discretionary and are therefore not determinable at this time.

### Historical Grants under the Plan

The following table shows, for each of the individuals and groups indicated, the aggregate number of shares subject to awards that have been granted to the individuals and groups indicated below under the Plan since its inception through June 1, 2016:

Name of Individual or Group	Number of Shares Underlying Awards Granted
Named Executive Officers	
Guerrino De Luca	766,151
Bracken Darrell <sup>(1)</sup>	1,572,624
Vincent Pilette	1,159,298
Marcel Stolk	648,568
L. Joseph Sullivan	887,061
Current Executive Officers as a Group	5,033,702
Non-Employee Directors	
Edouard Bugnion	11,200
Kee-Lock Chua	97,300
Sally Davis	111,300
Sue Gove	11,200
Didier Hirsch	67,800
Neil Hunt	82,800
Dimitri Panayotopoulos	22,200
Lung Yeh	11,200
Current Non-Employee Directors as a Group	415,000
All Current Employees, other than Current Executive Officers, as a Group	10,928,727

Edgar Filing: - Form

*Mr. Darrell was also awarded 1,800,000 shares under a 2012 Inducement Equity Plan upon joining the Company in April 2012, which are not part of the Plan and are not included in this table.*

*Proxy Statement* **24**

---

## Agenda Proposals and Explanations

### Voting Requirement to Approve Proposal

The affirmative **FOR** vote of a majority of the votes cast in person or by proxy at the Annual General Meeting, not counting abstentions.

### Recommendation of the Board

The Board of Directors recommends a vote **FOR** approval of the proposed amendments to and restatement of the 2006 Stock Incentive Plan, including the increase by five million seven hundred fifty thousand (5,750,000) to the number of shares available for issuance under the Plan.

## Agenda Proposals and Explanations

### **Proposal 5**

Release of the Board of Directors and Executive Officers from Liability for Activities during Fiscal Year 2016

#### **Proposal**

The Board of Directors proposes that shareholders release the members of the Board of Directors and Executive Officers from liability for activities during fiscal year 2016.

#### **Explanation**

As is customary for Swiss corporations and in accordance with Article 698, subsection 2, item 5 of the Swiss Code of Obligations, shareholders are requested to release the members of the Board of Directors and the Executive Officers from liability for their activities during fiscal year 2016 that have been disclosed to shareholders. This release from liability exempts members of the Board of Directors or Executive Officers from liability claims brought by the Company or its shareholders on behalf of the Company against any of them for activities carried out during fiscal year 2016 relating to facts that have been disclosed to shareholders. Shareholders that do not vote in favor of the proposal, or acquire their shares after the vote without knowledge of the approval of this resolution, are not bound by the result for a period ending six months after the vote.

#### **Voting Requirement to Approve Proposal**

The affirmative **FOR** vote of a majority of the votes cast in person or by proxy at the Annual General Meeting, not counting abstentions and not counting the votes of any member of the Board of Directors or of any Logitech executive officers.

#### **Recommendation**

The Board of Directors recommends a vote **FOR** the proposal to release the members of the Board of Directors and Executive Officers from liability for activities during fiscal year 2016.

## Agenda Proposals and Explanations

### Proposal 6

#### Elections to the Board of Directors

Our Board of Directors is presently composed of ten members. Each director was elected for a one-year term ending at the closing of the 2016 Annual General Meeting.

At the recommendation of the Nominating Committee, the Board has nominated the ten individuals below to serve as directors for a one-year term, beginning in each case as of the Annual General Meeting on September 7, 2016. Nine of the nominees currently serve as members of the Board of Directors. Their current terms expire upon the closing of the Annual General Meeting on September 7, 2016. The other nominee was recommended by the Nominating Committee of the Board and approved by the Board in June 2016 as a nominee for election to the Board. Dr. Patrick Aebischer's candidacy as a nominee was recommended by our Chairman Emeritus, co-founder and former director, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman, Mr. Daniel Borel. Mr. Kee-Lock Chua, having served the Company as a member of the Board for sixteen years, has decided to retire and not to stand for re-election.

The term of office ends at the closing of the next Annual General Meeting. There will be a separate vote on each nominee.

Under Swiss law, Board members may only be appointed by shareholders. If the individuals below are elected, the Board will be composed of ten members. The Board has no reason to believe that any of our nominees will be unwilling or unable to serve if elected as a director.

For further information on the Board of Directors, including the current members of the Board, the Committees of the Board, the means by which the Board exercises supervision of Logitech's executive officers, and other information, please see Corporate Governance and Board of Directors Matters below.

#### 6.A Re-election of Dr. Edouard Bugnion

**Proposal:** The Board of Directors proposes that Dr. Edouard Bugnion be re-elected to the Board for a one-year term ending at the closing of the 2017 Annual General Meeting.

For biographical information and qualifications of Dr. Bugnion, please refer to Corporate Governance and Board of Directors Matters Members of the Board of Directors on page 39.

#### 6.B Re-election of Mr. Bracken Darrell

**Proposal:** The Board of Directors proposes that the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Bracken Darrell, be re-elected to the Board for a one-year term ending at the closing of the 2017 Annual General Meeting.

For biographical information and qualifications of Mr. Darrell, please refer to Corporate Governance and Board of Directors Matters Members of the Board of Directors on page 40.

#### 6.C Re-election of Ms. Sally Davis

**Proposal:** The Board of Directors proposes that Ms. Sally Davis be re-elected to the Board for a one-year term ending at the closing of the 2017 Annual General Meeting.

For biographical information and qualifications of Ms. Davis, please refer to Corporate Governance and Board of Directors Matters Members of the Board of Directors on page 40.



## Agenda Proposals and Explanations

### 6.D Re-election of Mr. Guerrino De Luca

**Proposal:** The Board of Directors proposes that Mr. Guerrino De Luca be re-elected to the Board for a one-year term ending at the closing of the 2017 Annual General Meeting.

For biographical information and qualifications of Mr. De Luca, please refer to Corporate Governance and Board of Directors Matters Members of the Board of Directors on page 41.

### 6.E Re-election of Ms. Sue Gove

**Proposal:** The Board of Directors proposes that Ms. Sue Gove be re-elected to the Board for a one-year term ending at the closing of the 2017 Annual General Meeting.

For biographical information and qualifications of Ms. Gove, please refer to Corporate Governance and Board of Directors Matters Members of the Board of Directors on page 41.

### 6.F Re-election of Mr. Didier Hirsch

**Proposal:** The Board of Directors proposes that Mr. Didier Hirsch be re-elected to the Board for a one-year term ending at the closing of the 2017 Annual General Meeting.

For biographical information and qualifications of Mr. Hirsch, please refer to Corporate Governance and Board of Directors Matters Members of the Board of Directors on page 42.

### 6.G Re-election of Dr. Neil Hunt

**Proposal:** The Board of Directors proposes that Dr. Neil Hunt be re-elected to the Board for a one-year term ending at the closing of the 2017 Annual General Meeting.

For biographical information and qualifications of Dr. Hunt, please refer to Corporate Governance and Board of Directors Matters Members of the Board of Directors on page 42.

### 6.H Re-election of Mr. Dimitri Panayotopoulos

**Proposal:** The Board of Directors proposes that Mr. Dimitri Panayotopoulos be re-elected to the Board for a one-year term ending at the closing of the 2017 Annual General Meeting.

For biographical information and qualifications of Mr. Panayotopoulos, please refer to Corporate Governance and Board of Directors Matters Members of the Board of Directors on page 43.

### 6.I Re-election of Dr. Lung Yeh

**Proposal:** The Board of Directors proposes that Dr. Lung Yeh be re-elected to the Board for a one-year term ending at the closing of the 2017 Annual General Meeting.

For biographical information and qualifications of Dr. Yeh, please refer to Corporate Governance and Board of Directors Matters Members of the Board of Directors on page 43.

### 6.J Election of Dr. Patrick Aebischer

**Proposal:** In accordance with the recommendation of the Nominating Committee, the Board of Directors proposes that Dr. Patrick Aebischer be elected to the Board for a one-year term ending at the closing of the 2017 Annual General Meeting.

## Edgar Filing: - Form

*Patrick Aebischer* is the President of the École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), a position to which he was nominated by the Swiss Federal Council and that he has held since March 2000, a Professor in Neurosciences at the EPFL since 2000, and Director of the Neurodegenerative Disease Laboratory at the Brain Mind Institute, EPFL since 2000. He was re-elected as President of the EPFL in 2004, 2008 and 2012 and will hold the position through December 2016. Prior to his current positions, Dr. Aebischer was a Professor and Director of the Surgical Research Division and Gene Therapy Center at the University Hospital of Lausanne, Chairman of the Section of Artificial Organs, Biomaterials

*Proxy Statement* **28**

---



## Agenda Proposals and Explanations

and Cellular Technology of the Division of Biology and Medicine at Brown University, and held other positions in medical sciences at Brown University. Dr. Aebischer is also the founder of three biotech companies. He currently serves on the Boards of Nestlé S.A., a leading nutrition, health and wellness company, and Lonza Group Ltd., a leading supplier to the life-science industries, as well as Chairman of the Advisory Board of the Novartis Venture Fund. Dr. Aebischer holds a M.D. from the University of Geneva and University of Fribourg, Switzerland, and three Honorary Doctorate degrees. He is 61 years old and a Swiss national.

Dr. Aebischer brings senior leadership, innovation and technology expertise, a global world view and strategic experience to the Board from his role as the President

of the EPFL, his experience founding technology companies, and as a member of the senior leadership of leading Swiss companies.

The Board of Directors has determined that he will be an independent Director.

### **Voting Requirement to Approve Proposals**

The affirmative **FOR** vote of a majority of the votes cast in person or by proxy at the Annual General Meeting, not counting abstentions.

### **Recommendation**

The Board of Directors recommends a vote **FOR** the election to the Board of each of the above nominees.

## Agenda Proposals and Explanations

### **Proposal 7**

#### Election of the Chairman of the Board

Pursuant to the so-called Minder Ordinance, Swiss law requires that the Chairman of the Board of Directors be elected on the occasion of each Annual General Meeting for a one-year term ending at the closing of the following Annual General Meeting.

#### **Proposal**

The Board of Directors proposes that Mr. Guerrino De Luca be re-elected as Chairman of the Board of Directors for a one-year term ending at the closing of the 2017 Annual General Meeting.

#### **Voting Requirement to Approve Proposal**

The affirmative **FOR** vote of a majority of the votes cast in person or by proxy at the Annual General Meeting, not counting abstentions.

#### **Recommendation**

The Board of Directors recommends a vote **FOR** the election of Mr. Guerrino De Luca as Chairman of the Board of Directors.

*Proxy Statement* **30**

---

## Agenda Proposals and Explanations

### Proposal 8

#### Elections to the Compensation Committee

Our Compensation Committee is presently composed of three members, each of whom is standing for re-election to the Board of Directors and to the Compensation Committee. Following the amendment to the Swiss corporate law on January 1, 2014, the members of the Compensation Committee are to be elected annually and individually by the shareholders. Only members of the Board of Directors can be elected as members of the Compensation Committee.

At the recommendation of the Nominating Committee, the Board of Directors has nominated the four individuals below to serve as members of the Compensation Committee for a term of one year. Three of the nominees currently serve as members of the Compensation Committee and, as required by our Compensation Committee charter, all of the nominees are independent in accordance with the requirements of the listing standards of the Nasdaq Stock Market, the outside director definition of Section 162(m) of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, the definition of a non-employee director for purposes of Rule 16b-3 promulgated by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, and Rule 10C-1(b)(1) of the U.S. Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

The term of office ends at the closing of the next Annual General Meeting. There will be a separate vote on each nominee.

#### 8.A Re-election of Ms. Sally Davis

**Proposal:** The Board of Directors proposes that Ms. Sally Davis be re-elected to the Compensation Committee for a one-year term ending at the closing of the 2017 Annual General Meeting.

For biographical information and qualifications of Ms. Davis, please refer to Corporate Governance and Board of Directors Matters Members of the Board of Directors on page 40.

#### 8.B Re-election of Dr. Neil Hunt

**Proposal:** The Board of Directors proposes that Dr. Neil Hunt be re-elected to the Compensation Committee for a one-year term ending at the closing of the 2017 Annual General Meeting.

For biographical information and qualifications of Dr. Hunt, please refer to Corporate Governance and Board of Directors Matters Members of the Board of Directors on page 42.

#### 8.C Re-election of Mr. Dimitri Panayotopoulos

**Proposal:** The Board of Directors proposes that Mr. Dimitri Panayotopoulos be re-elected to the Compensation Committee for a one-year term ending at the closing of the 2017 Annual General Meeting.

For biographical information and qualifications of Mr. Panayotopoulos, please refer to Corporate Governance and Board of Directors Matters Members of the Board of Directors on page 43.

#### 8.D Election of Dr. Edouard Bugnion

**Proposal:** The Board of Directors proposes that Dr. Edouard Bugnion be elected to the Compensation Committee for a one-year term ending at the closing of the 2017 Annual General Meeting.

For biographical information and qualifications of Dr. Bugnion, please refer to Corporate Governance and Board of Directors Matters Members of the Board of Directors on page 39.

#### Voting Requirement to Approve Proposals

## Edgar Filing: - Form

The affirmative **FOR** vote of a majority of the votes cast in person or by proxy at the Annual General Meeting, not counting abstentions.

### **Recommendation**

Our Board of Directors recommends a vote **FOR** the election to the Compensation Committee of each of the above nominees.

**31** *Proxy Statement*

---

## Agenda Proposals and Explanations

### Proposal 9

#### Approval of Compensation for the Board of Directors for the 2016 to 2017 Board Year

##### Proposal

The Board of Directors proposes that the shareholders approve a maximum aggregate amount of the compensation of the Board of Directors of CHF 4,600,000 for the term of office from the Annual General Meeting 2016 until the Annual General Meeting 2017 (the 2016-2017 Board Year), subject to adjustment for certain changes in the applicable currency exchange rate.\*

##### Explanation

Pursuant to the so-called Minder Ordinance, the compensation of the Board of Directors must be subject each year to a binding shareholder vote, in the manner contemplated by Logitech's Articles of Incorporation. Article 19 quarter, paragraph 1(a) of Logitech's Articles of Incorporation allows shareholders to approve the maximum aggregate amount of the compensation of the Board of Directors for the period up to the next Annual General Meeting.

Under the Company's Articles of Incorporation, the compensation of the members of the Board of Directors who do not have management responsibilities consists of cash payments and shares or share equivalents. The value of cash compensation and shares or share equivalents corresponds to a fixed amount, which reflects the functions and responsibilities assumed. The value of shares or share equivalents is calculated at market value at the time of grant.

Pursuant to Article 19 bis, paragraph 2 of the Company's Articles of Incorporation, the compensation of the members of the Board of Directors who have management responsibilities (i.e., executive members of the Board of Directors) is structured similarly to the compensation of the members of the Group Management Team.

The proposed maximum amount of CHF 4,600,000 has been determined based on the following non-binding assumptions:

With respect to the eight non-executive members of the Board of Directors:

Cash payments of a maximum of approximately CHF 840,000. Cash payments for non-executive members of the Board of Directors include annual retainers for Board and committee service and travel fees.

Share or share equivalent awards of a maximum of approximately CHF 1,200,000. The value of share or share equivalent awards corresponds to a fixed amount and the number shares granted will be calculated at market value at the time of their grant.

Other payments, including the Company's contributions to social security, of a maximum of approximately CHF 260,000.

\* For each decrease of 0.01 in the exchange rate of the Swiss Franc against the U.S. Dollar below the assumed level of USD 1.0288 to CHF 1.00, if any, the maximum aggregate amount of the compensation of the Board of Directors will increase by CHF 22,000 for the 2016-2017 Board Year. This adjustment reflects the fact that the compensation of our Chairman, which is included in the maximum aggregate amount of the compensation for the Board of Directors, is set in U.S. Dollars.

## Agenda Proposals and Explanations

With respect to executive members of the Board of Directors:

Gross base compensation of a maximum of CHF 515,000.\*\*

Performance-based cash compensation of a maximum of CHF 1,030,000.\*\* Performance-based cash compensation in the form of incentive cash payments may be earned under the Logitech Management Performance Bonus Plan (the Bonus Plan ) or other cash bonuses approved by the Compensation Committee. Payout under the Bonus Plan is variable, and is based on the achievement of the Company s, individual employees or other performance goals. The proposed maximum amount of the performance-based bonus assumes maximum achievement of all performance goals.

Equity incentive awards of a maximum of CHF 670,000.\*\* Long-term equity incentive awards are generally granted in the form of performance-based restricted stock units, or PSUs, time-based restricted stock units, or RSUs, or other financial instruments contemplated in the applicable equity plans. The value of PSUs, RSUs or other financial instruments granted as equity incentive awards is calculated at market value at the time of their grant. The proposed maximum amount of the equity incentive awards assumes maximum achievement of all performance goals and full vesting of all time-based equity incentive awards.

Other compensation of a maximum of CHF 85,000.\*\* Other compensation may include tax preparation services and related expenses, 401(k) savings plan matching contributions, premiums for group term life insurance and long-term disability insurance, employer s contribution to medical premiums, employer s contribution to social security and Medicare, extended business travel-related expenses, defined benefit pension plan employment contributions and other awards. The Company generally does not provide all of these components of other compensation to all executives each year, but the proposed maximum amount of compensation has been formulated to provide flexibility to cover these compensation components as applicable.

The executive member of the Board of Directors to whom the proposed compensation referred to above applies is Mr. Guerrino De Luca, the Company s Chairman. In his capacity as a member of the Group Management Team, Mr. Bracken Darrell is not entitled to compensation for his services on the Company s Board of Directors.

In the event of a negative vote on this proposal by shareholders, the Board of Directors will submit an alternative proposal to the same or a subsequent general meeting.

### Voting Requirement to Approve Proposal

The affirmative FOR vote of a majority of the votes cast in person or by proxy at the Annual General Meeting, not counting abstentions.

### Recommendation

The Board of Directors recommends a vote **FOR** the approval of the maximum aggregate amount of the compensation of the members of the Board of Directors of CHF 4,600,000 for the term of office from the Annual General Meeting 2016 until the Annual General Meeting 2017, subject to adjustment as set forth in the proposal.

\*\* Mr. De Luca s compensation is set in U.S. Dollars. The estimated amounts in U.S. Dollars used in these assumptions were converted using an assumed exchange rate of 1 Swiss Franc to 1.0288 U.S. Dollars based on the 12 month (April 2015 to March 2016) average exchange rate.

## Agenda Proposals and Explanations

### Proposal 10

#### Approval of Compensation for the Group Management Team for Fiscal Year 2018

##### Proposal

The Board of Directors proposes that the shareholders approve a maximum aggregate amount of the compensation of the Group Management Team of USD 20,200,000 for fiscal year 2018, subject to adjustment for certain changes in the applicable currency exchange rate.\*

##### Explanation

Pursuant to the so-called Minder Ordinance, the compensation of the Company's Group Management Team must be subject each year to a binding shareholder vote, in the manner contemplated by Logitech's Articles of Incorporation. Article 19 quarter, paragraph 1(b) of Logitech's Articles of Incorporation allows shareholders to approve the maximum aggregate amount of the compensation of the Group Management Team for the next fiscal year. As the 2016 Annual General Meeting takes place in the middle of Logitech's fiscal year 2017, the applicable next fiscal year is fiscal year 2018. This required, binding vote on the compensation of the Group Management Team is independent from, and comes in addition to, the non-binding, advisory say-on-pay vote contemplated in Proposal 2.

Logitech's Group Management Team currently consists of Messrs. Bracken Darrell, President and Chief Executive Officer, Vincent Pilette, Chief Financial Officer, Marcel Stolk, Senior Vice President, Consumer Computing Platforms Business Group, and L. Joseph Sullivan, Senior Vice President, Worldwide Operations.

Logitech's compensation philosophy, compensation program risks and design, and compensation paid during fiscal year 2016 are set forth in the Compensation Report.

The proposed maximum amount of USD 20,200,000 has been determined based on the following non-binding assumptions for Logitech's Group Management Team as an aggregate group:

Gross base salary of a maximum of USD 2,630,000.

Performance-based cash compensation of a maximum of USD 5,260,000. Performance-based cash compensation in the form of incentive cash payments may be earned under the Logitech Management Performance Bonus Plan (the Bonus Plan) or other cash bonuses approved by the Compensation Committee. Payout under the Bonus Plan is variable, and is based on the achievement of the Company's, individual executives' or other performance goals, and for fiscal year 2018 is expected to continue to range from 0% to 200% of the executive's target incentive. The proposed maximum amount of the performance-based bonus for fiscal year 2018 assumes maximum achievement of all performance goals.

Equity incentive awards of a maximum of USD 11,700,000. Long-term equity incentive awards are generally granted in the form of performance-based restricted stock units, or PSUs, time-based restricted stock units, or RSUs, or other financial instruments contemplated in the applicable equity plans. The value of PSUs, RSUs or other financial instruments granted as equity incentive awards is calculated at market value at the time of their grant. The proposed maximum amount of the equity incentive awards assumes maximum achievement of all performance goals and full vesting of all time-based equity incentive awards.

\* For each increase of 0.01 in the exchange rate of the Swiss Franc against the U.S. Dollar above the assumed level of USD 1.0288 to CHF 1.00, if any, the maximum aggregate amount of the compensation of the Group Management Team will increase by USD 29,000 for fiscal year 2018. This adjustment reflects the fact that the compensation of one member of our Group Management Team is set in Swiss Francs.

## Agenda Proposals and Explanations

Other compensation of a maximum of USD 610,000. Other compensation includes tax preparation services and related expenses, 401(k) savings plan matching contributions, premiums for group term life insurance and long-term disability insurance, employer's contribution to medical premiums, employer's contribution to social security and Medicare, extended business travel-related expenses, defined benefit pension plan employment contributions and other awards. The Company generally does not provide all of these components of other compensation to all executives each year, but the proposed maximum amount of compensation has been formulated to provide flexibility to cover these compensation components as applicable.

The actual pay-out to the members of the Group Management Team for fiscal year 2018 will be disclosed in the Compensation Report in the Invitation and Proxy Statement for the 2018 Annual General Meeting.

In the event of a negative vote on this proposal by shareholders, the Board of Directors will submit an alternative proposal to the same or a subsequent general meeting.

### **Voting Requirement to Approve Proposal**

The affirmative **FOR** vote of a majority of the votes cast in person or by proxy at the Annual General Meeting, not counting abstentions.

### **Recommendation**

The Board of Directors recommends a vote **FOR** the approval of the maximum aggregate amount of the compensation of the Group Management Team of USD 20,200,000 for fiscal year 2018, subject to adjustment as set forth in the proposal.



## Agenda Proposals and Explanations

### Proposal 11

Re-election of KPMG AG as Logitech's Auditors and Ratification of the Appointment of KPMG LLP as Logitech's Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm for Fiscal Year 2017

#### Proposal

The Board of Directors proposes that KPMG AG be re-elected as auditors of Logitech International S.A. for a one-year term and that the appointment of KPMG LLP as Logitech's independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal year 2017 be ratified.

#### Explanation

KPMG AG, upon recommendation of the Audit Committee of the Board, is proposed for re-election for a further year as auditors for Logitech International S.A. KPMG AG assumed its first audit mandate for Logitech during fiscal year 2015.

The Audit Committee has also appointed KPMG LLP, the U.S. affiliate of KPMG AG, as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending March 31, 2017 for purposes of U.S. securities law reporting. Logitech's Articles of Incorporation do not require that shareholders ratify the appointment of KPMG LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm. However, Logitech is submitting the appointment of KPMG LLP to shareholders for ratification as a matter of good corporate governance. If shareholders do not ratify the appointment, the Audit Committee will reconsider whether to retain KPMG LLP. Even if the appointment is ratified, the Audit Committee may, in its discretion, change the appointment during

the year if the Committee determines that such a change would be in the best interests of Logitech and its shareholders.

Information on the fees paid by Logitech to KPMG AG and KPMG LLP, the Company's auditors and independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal year 2016, respectively, as well as further information regarding KPMG AG and KPMG LLP, is set out below under the heading "Independent Auditors" and "Report of the Audit Committee."

Members of KPMG AG will be present at the Annual General Meeting, will have the opportunity to make a statement, and will be available to respond to appropriate questions you may ask.

#### Voting Requirement to Approve Proposal

The affirmative "FOR" vote of a majority of the votes cast in person or by proxy at the Annual General Meeting, not counting abstentions.

#### Recommendation

Our Board of Directors recommends a vote **FOR** the re-election of KPMG AG as auditors of Logitech International S.A. and the ratification of the appointment of KPMG LLP as Logitech's independent registered public accounting firm, each for the fiscal year ending March 31, 2017.

## Agenda Proposals and Explanations

### Proposal 12

#### Re-election of Ms. Béatrice Ehlers as Independent Representative

Pursuant to the so-called Minder Ordinance, Swiss law requires that the independent representative of the shareholders (Independent Representative) be elected on the occasion of each Annual General Meeting for a one-year term ending at the closing of the following Annual General Meeting.

#### Proposal

The Board of Directors proposes that Ms. Béatrice Ehlers be re-elected as Independent Representative for a one-year term ending at the closing of the 2017 Annual General Meeting.

#### Explanation

Shareholders may either represent their shares themselves or have them represented by a third party, whether or not a shareholder, if the latter is given a written proxy. In accordance with Swiss law, each shareholder may be represented at the general meeting by the Independent Representative, Ms. Béatrice Ehlers, or by a third-party proxy. Ms. Ehlers is a notary public and has served as the Independent Representative at previous annual general meetings.

Under Swiss corporate law, the Independent Representative must satisfy strict independence requirements. General voting instructions can be given with respect to a particular general meeting of shareholders with respect to proposals and agenda items that have not been disclosed in the invitation to the general meeting.

#### Voting Requirement to Approve Proposal

The affirmative **FOR** vote of a majority of the votes cast in person or by proxy at the Annual General Meeting, not counting abstentions.

#### Recommendation

Our Board of Directors recommends a vote **FOR** the re-election of Ms. Béatrice Ehlers as Independent Representative.

## Corporate Governance and Board of Directors Matters

The Board of Directors is elected by the shareholders and holds the ultimate decision-making authority within Logitech, except for those matters reserved by law or by Logitech's Articles of Incorporation to its shareholders or those that are delegated to the executive officers under the organizational regulations (also known as by-laws). The Board makes resolutions through a majority vote of the members present at the meetings. In the event of a tie, the vote of the Chairman decides.

Logitech's Articles of Incorporation set the minimum number of directors at three. We had ten members of the Board of Directors as of June 30, 2016. If all of the nominees to the Board presented in Proposal 6 are elected, the Board will have ten members.

### Board of Directors Independence

The Board of Directors has determined that each of our directors and director nominees, other than Bracken Darrell and Guerrino De Luca, qualifies as independent in accordance with the published listing requirements of the Nasdaq Stock Market and Swiss corporate governance best practices guidelines. The Company's independent directors and director nominees include Edouard Bugnion, Kee-Lock Chua, Sally Davis, Sue Gove, Didier Hirsch, Neil Hunt, Dimitri Panayotopoulos, Lung Yeh and Patrick Aebischer. The Nasdaq independence definition includes a series of objective tests, such as that the director is not an employee of

the company and has not engaged in various types of business dealings with the company. In addition, as further required by Nasdaq rules, the Board has made a subjective determination as to each independent director that no relationships exist which, in the opinion of the Board, would interfere with the exercise of independent judgment in carrying out the responsibilities of a director. In making these determinations, the directors reviewed and discussed information provided by the directors and the Company with regard to each director's business and personal activities as they may relate to Logitech and Logitech's management.

## Corporate Governance and Board of Directors Matters

### Members of the Board of Directors

The members of the Board of Directors, including their principal occupation, business experience, and qualifications, are set out below.

Edouard Bugnion 46 Years Old Director since 2015

Professor, School  
of Computer and  
Communication  
Sciences, EPFL  
Swiss and  
U.S. national

*Edouard Bugnion* is a Professor in the School of Computer and Communication Sciences at the École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL). Prior to joining the EPFL in August 2012, Dr. Bugnion was a Founder and Chief Technology Officer of Nuova Systems, Inc., a developer of enterprise data center solutions, from October 2005 to May 2008. Nuova Systems was funded by and acquired by Cisco Systems, Inc., a worldwide leader in Internet Protocol-based networking products and services. He joined Cisco as a Vice President and Chief Technology Officer of Cisco's Server Access and Virtualization Business Unit from May 2008 to June 2011. Prior to Nuova, Dr. Bugnion was a Founder of VMware, a leading provider of cloud and virtualization software and services, where he held many positions, including Chief Technology Officer, from 1998 to 2005. Dr. Bugnion holds an Engineering Diplom from ETH Zürich, a Master's degree from Stanford University and a Ph.D. from Stanford University, all in Computer Science.

Dr. Bugnion's significant expertise in technology, software and cloud computing, and his experience founding technology companies and as a member of the senior leadership of leading technology companies, provides the Board with technology and product strategy expertise as well as senior leadership.

The Board of Directors has determined that Dr. Bugnion is an independent Director.

Kee-Lock Chua 55 Years Old Director since 2000

President and  
Chief Executive  
Officer,  
Vertex Group  
Singapore national

*Kee-Lock Chua* is president and chief executive officer of the Vertex Group, a Singapore-headquartered venture capital group. Prior to joining the Vertex Group in September 2008, Mr. Chua was the president and an executive director of Biosensors International Group, Ltd., a developer and manufacturer of medical

devices used in interventional cardiology and critical care procedures, from 2006 to 2008. Previously, from 2003 to 2006, Mr. Chua was a managing director of Walden International, a U.S.-headquartered venture capital firm. From 2001 to 2003, Mr. Chua served as deputy president of NatSteel Ltd., a Singapore industrial products company active in Asia Pacific. From 2000 until 2001, Mr. Chua was the president and chief executive officer of Intraco Ltd., a Singapore-listed trading and distribution company. Prior to joining Intraco, Mr. Chua was the president of MediaRing.com Ltd., a Singapore-listed company providing voice-over-Internet services. He serves on the Board of Yongmao Holdings Limited (where he is lead independent director), a publicly traded company in Singapore. Mr. Chua holds a BS degree in Mechanical Engineering from the University of Wisconsin, and an MS degree in Engineering from Stanford University in California.

Mr. Chua has extensive investment and senior leadership experience, as a venture capitalist in Asia and the United States, and also as the former Chief Executive Officer of publicly-traded companies in Asia. He brings to the Board senior leadership, and financial and global expertise. As a director of public companies in Asia, and of private companies, he also provides cross-board experience.

Mr. Chua currently is Chair of the Nominating Committee and serves on the Audit Committee. The Board of Directors has determined that he is an independent Director.

Mr. Chua has decided to retire and not to stand for re-election at the 2016 Annual General Meeting.

Corporate Governance and Board of Directors Matters

Bracken Darrell 53 Years Old Director since 2013

President and  
Chief Executive  
Officer,  
Logitech  
International S.A.  
U.S. national

*Bracken Darrell* joined Logitech as President in April 2012 and became Chief Executive Officer in January 2013. Prior to joining Logitech, Mr. Darrell served as President of Whirlpool EMEA and Executive Vice President of Whirlpool Corporation, a home appliance manufacturer and marketing company, from January 2009 to March 2012. Previously, Mr. Darrell had been Senior Vice President, Operations of Whirlpool EMEA from May 2008 to January 2009. From 2002 to May 2008, Mr. Darrell was with P&G (The Procter & Gamble Company), a consumer brand company, most recently as the President of its Braun GmbH subsidiary. Prior to rejoining P&G in 2002, Mr. Darrell served in various executive and managerial positions with General Electric Company from 1997 to 2002, with P&G from 1991 to 1997, and with PepsiCo Inc. from 1987 to 1989. Mr. Darrell holds a BA degree from Hendrix College and an MBA from Harvard University.

In addition to being the President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, Mr. Darrell brings senior leadership, consumer brand marketing and global experience to the Board.

Sally Davis 62 Years Old Director since 2007

Former Chief  
Executive  
Officer,  
BT Wholesale  
British national

*Sally Davis* is the former Chief Executive Officer of BT Wholesale, a division of BT Group responsible for providing telecommunications services and bandwidth to carriers and service providers globally, a position she held from 2007 until she retired in August 2011. She was the Chief Portfolio Officer of British Telecom from 2005 to 2007. She had previously held senior executive roles within BT since joining the company in 1999, including President, Global Products, Global Services from 2002 to 2005, President, BT Ignite Applications Hosting from 2001 to 2002 and Director, Group Internet and Multimedia from 1999 to 2001. Before joining BT, Ms. Davis held leading roles in several major communications companies, including Bell Atlantic in the United States and Mercury Communications in the United Kingdom. Ms. Davis is a member of the Board of Telenor Group, a global mobile communications services company, and a member of the Board of CityFibre

Infrastructure Holdings PLC, a fibre optic infrastructure company. She holds a BA degree from and is a Fellow of University College, London.

Ms. Davis' experience as a Chief Executive of a leading European telecommunications company, and her significant technology product strategy and product portfolio knowledge, provides the Board with expertise in senior leadership, technology, product strategy, and financial management.

Ms. Davis currently is Chair of the Compensation Committee and serves on the Nominating Committee. The Board of Directors has determined that she is an independent Director.

Corporate Governance and Board of Directors Matters

Guerrino De Luca 63 Years Old Director since 1998

Chairman,  
Logitech  
International S.A.  
Italian and  
U.S. national

*Guerrino De Luca* has served as Chairman of the Logitech Board of Directors since January 2008. Mr. De Luca served as Logitech's Chief Executive Officer from April 2012 to January 2013 and as acting President and Chief Executive Officer from July 2011 to April 2012. Previously, Mr. De Luca served as Logitech's President and Chief Executive Officer from February 1998, when he joined the Company, to January 2008. Prior to joining Logitech, Mr. De Luca served as Executive Vice President of Worldwide Marketing for Apple Computer, Inc., a consumer electronics and computer company, from February 1997 to September 1997, and as President of Claris Corporation, a U.S. personal computing software vendor, from May 1994 to February 1997. Prior to joining Claris, Mr. De Luca held various positions with Apple in the United States and in Europe. Mr. De Luca holds a Laurea degree in Electronic Engineering from the University of Rome, Italy.

As Logitech's Chairman and former Chief Executive Officer, Mr. De Luca brings significant senior leadership, industry, strategy, marketing and global experience to the Board and a deep knowledge of, passion for and commitment to Logitech, its people and its products.

Mr. De Luca currently is Chairman of the Board.

Sue Gove 57 Years Old Director since 2015

President,  
Excelsior  
Advisors, LLC  
U.S. national

*Sue Gove* is the President of Excelsior Advisors, LLC, a retail consulting and advisory firm. Prior to founding Excelsior Advisors in August 2014, Ms. Gove was the President and Chief Executive Officer of Golfsmith International, a multi-channel specialty golf retailer, from October 2012 to April 2014 and President from February 2012 to April 2014. She also served Golfsmith as Chief Operating Officer from September 2008 to October 2012, as Chief Financial Officer from March 2009 to July 2012 and as Executive Vice President from September 2008 to February 2012. Prior to joining Golfsmith, Ms. Gove was an independent consultant, serving specialty retail and private equity clients from 2006 to 2008, which included consultancy for Prentice Capital Management, LP from



April 2007 to March 2008 and for Alvarez and Marsal Business Consulting, L.L.C. from April 2006 to March 2007. Ms. Gove served Zale Corporation, a leading specialty jewelry retailer, from 1980 to 2006, including as Chief Operating Officer from August 2002 to March 2006, as Chief Financial Officer from December 1997 to February 2003 and as a Board member from September 2004 to March 2006. She currently serves on the Boards of Iconix Brand Group, a consumer brand licensing and marketing company, and AutoZone, Inc., a leading retailer and distributor of automotive replacement parts and accessories. Ms. Gove holds a BBA degree in Accounting from the University of Texas at Austin.

Ms. Gove has significant executive experience with international retail, marketing, merchandising and global operations, and brings to our Board senior leadership, strategic and financial experience. As a member of other public company boards, Ms. Gove also provides cross-board experience.

Ms. Gove currently serves on the Audit Committee. The Board of Directors has determined that she is an independent Director.

## Corporate Governance and Board of Directors Matters

Didier Hirsch 65 Years Old Director since 2012

Senior Vice  
President and  
Chief Financial  
Officer, Agilent  
Technologies,  
Inc.  
French national

*Didier Hirsch* is the Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Agilent Technologies, Inc., a global leader in life sciences, diagnostics and applied chemical markets. He has served in his current position since July 2010 and served in various senior finance positions with Agilent since 1999. Mr. Hirsch had joined Hewlett-Packard Company in 1989, and served as Director of Finance and Administration of Hewlett-Packard Europe, Middle East and Africa (EMEA) from 1996 to 1999, Director of Finance and Administration of Hewlett-Packard Asia Pacific from 1993 to 1996, and Director of Finance and Administration of Hewlett-Packard France from 1989 to 1993. Prior to Hewlett-Packard, Mr. Hirsch worked in finance positions with Valeo Inc., Gemplus S.C.A., SGS-Thomson Microelectronics, I.B.H. Holding S.A., Bendix Corporation and Ford Motor Company. He serves on the Board of Knowles Corporation, a New York Stock Exchange (NYSE)-listed global supplier of advanced micro-acoustic, audio processing, and specialty component solutions, serving the mobile consumer electronics, communications, medical, military, aerospace and industrial markets. Mr. Hirsch holds an MS degree in Computer Sciences from Toulouse University and an MS degree in Industrial Administration from Purdue University.

As Chief Financial Officer of a leading public technology company, and with significant finance expertise developed over several decades at technology and manufacturing companies in the U.S.A., EMEA and Asia Pacific, Mr. Hirsch brings senior leadership, finance (including U.S. GAAP), technology and global experience to the Board.

Mr. Hirsch currently is Chair of the Audit Committee and serves on the Nominating Committee. The Board of Directors has determined that he is an independent Director.

Neil Hunt 54 Years Old Director since 2010

Chief Product  
Officer,  
Netflix, Inc.  
U.K. and

*Neil Hunt* is the Chief Product Officer of Netflix, Inc., a California-based company offering the world's largest Internet TV service operating in more than 50 countries

U.S. national

worldwide. He has been with Netflix since 1999, and is responsible for the design, implementation and operation of the technology at Netflix. Prior to his current position, he served as Vice President, Internet Engineering at Netflix from 1999 to 2002. From 1997 to 1999, Dr. Hunt was Director of Engineering for Rational Software, a California-based maker of software development tools, and he served in engineering roles at predecessor companies from 1991 to 1997. Dr. Hunt holds a Doctorate in Computer Science from the University of Aberdeen, U.K. and a Bachelors degree from the University of Durham, U.K.

Dr. Hunt s significant expertise in technology, product development leadership and strategy, and his experience as a member of the senior leadership of a leading digital delivery company, provides the Board with technology, product strategy and global expertise as well as senior leadership.

Dr. Hunt currently is the Lead Independent Director and serves on the Compensation Committee. The Board of Directors has determined that he is an independent Director.

*Proxy Statement* 42

---

Corporate Governance and Board of Directors Matters

Dimitri Panayotopoulos 64 Years Old Director since 2014

Senior Advisor,  
The Boston  
Consulting  
Group  
U.K. national

*Dimitri Panayotopoulos* is a Senior Advisor at The Boston Consulting Group, a global management consulting firm. Prior to joining The Boston Consulting Group in April 2014, Mr. Panayotopoulos served with The Procter & Gamble Company ( P&G ), a consumer brand company, from 1977 to 2014. At P&G, he served as Vice Chairman and Advisor to the Chairman & Chief Executive Officer at P&G from July 2013 to January 2014, Vice Chairman of Global Business Units from May 2011 to July 2013, Vice Chairman of Global Household Care Group from July 2007 to May 2011, Group President of Global Fabric Care from July 2004 to July 2007, President of Central and Eastern Europe, Middle East and Africa from July 2001 to July 2004, and President-Greater China from 1999 to July 2001. Mr. Panayotopoulos served in various executive, managerial and other positions with P&G in sales, brand management and advertising in Europe (including Switzerland), Egypt and the Far East from 1977 to 1999. He serves on the Board of British American Tobacco p.l.c., a London Stock Exchange (LSE)-listed global tobacco company. Mr. Panayotopoulos holds a BA degree from Sussex University, U.K.

Mr. Panayotopoulos brings senior leadership, strategic, financial, consumer brand marketing and global experience to the Board from his former leadership positions with P&G in a broad spectrum of regions.

Mr. Panayotopoulos currently serves on the Compensation Committee. The Board of Directors has determined that he is an independent Director.

Lung Yeh 60 Years Old Director since 2015

Managing Director,  
Enspire Capital  
U.S. national

*Lung Yeh* is the Managing Director of Enspire Capital, a Singapore-based venture capital and private equity firm focusing on technology, media and telecommunications, internet and mobile investments in Silicon Valley, China, Taiwan, Hong Kong and Singapore. Prior to joining Enspire Capital in 2004, Dr. Yeh was the Vice President of Business Development at Centrality Communications, Inc., a leading provider of GPS semiconductor platforms for

high-functional mobile devices, from 2003 to 2004, a Founder and Chief Executive Officer of Pico Communications Inc., a provider of integrated Bluetooth and mobile Internet access and networking solutions, from 1999 to 2003, Vice President of the Communication and Internet Division of Creative Labs Ltd., a leader in digital entertainment products, from 1993 to 1998, a Founder and Chief Executive Officer of ShareVision Technology, Inc., a desktop videoconferencing technology company, from 1991 to 1993, and served in various management and technical positions at Apple Inc., NYNEX and Kodak, from 1985 to 1991. Dr. Yeh holds a BSEE in Communication Engineering from National Chiao-Tung University and a Ph.D. in Electrical Engineering from the University of Wisconsin - Madison.

Dr. Yeh has extensive investment and senior leadership experience, as a venture capitalist in Asia and the United States focused on multimedia, wireless and communications, and also as the founder and former Chief Executive Officer of several technology companies. He brings to the Board senior leadership, business development and global expertise.

The Board of Directors has determined that Dr. Yeh is an independent Director.

## Corporate Governance and Board of Directors Matters

Other than the current employment and involvement noted above, no other Logitech Board member currently has material supervisory, management, or advisory functions outside Logitech. None of the Company's directors holds any official functions or political posts.

### Elections to the Board of Directors

Directors are elected at the Annual General Meeting of Shareholders, upon proposal of the Board of Directors. The proposals of the Board of Directors are made following recommendations of the Nominating Committee.

### Shareholder Recommendations and Nominees

Under our Articles of Incorporation, one or more registered shareholders who together represent shares representing at least the lesser of (i) one percent of our issued share capital or (ii) an aggregate par value of one million Swiss francs may demand that an item be placed on the agenda of a meeting of shareholders, including a nominee for election to the Board of Directors. A request to place an item on the meeting agenda must be in writing, describe the proposal and be received by our Board of Directors at least 60 days prior to the date of the meeting. Demands by registered shareholders to place an item on the agenda of a meeting of shareholders should be sent to: Secretary to the Board of Directors, Logitech International S.A., EPFL - Quartier de l'Innovation, Daniel Borel Innovation Center, 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland, or c/o Logitech Inc., 7700 Gateway Boulevard, Newark, CA 94560, USA.

Under the Company's Articles of Incorporation only registered shareholders are recognized as shareholders of the company. As a result, beneficial shareholders do not have a right to place an item on the agenda of a meeting, regardless of the number of shares they hold. For information on how beneficial shareholders may become registered shareholders, see [Questions and Answers about the Logitech 2016 Annual General Meeting - If I am not a registered shareholder, can I attend and vote at the meeting?](#)

If the agenda of a general meeting of shareholders includes an item calling for the election of directors, any registered shareholder may propose a candidate for election to the Board of Directors before or at the meeting.

The Nominating Committee does not have a policy on consideration of recommendations for candidates to the Board of Directors from registered shareholders.

The Nominating Committee considers it appropriate not to have a formal policy for consideration of such recommendations because the evaluation of potential members of the Board of Directors is by its nature a case-by-case process, depending on the composition of the Board at the time, the needs and status of the business of the Company, and the experience and qualification of the individual. Accordingly, the Nominating Committee would consider any such recommendations on a case-by-case basis in their discretion, and, if accepted for consideration, would evaluate any such properly submitted nominee in consideration of the membership criteria set forth under [Board Composition](#) below. Shareholder recommendations to the Board of Directors should be sent to the above address.

### Board Composition

The Nominating Committee is responsible for reviewing and assessing with the Board the appropriate skills, experience, and background sought of Board members in the context of our business and the then-current membership on the Board. The Nominating Committee has not formally established any specific, minimum qualifications that must be met by each candidate for the Board of Directors or specific attributes, qualities or skills that are necessary for one or more of the members of the Board of Directors to possess. However, we do not expect or intend that each director will have the same background, skills, and experience; we expect that Board members will have a diverse portfolio of backgrounds, skills, and experiences. One goal of this diversity is to assist the Board as a whole in its oversight and advice concerning our business and operations.

The review and assessment of Board candidates and the current membership of the Board by the Nominating Committee and the Board includes numerous diverse factors, such as: independence; senior management experience; understanding of and experience in technology, finance, and marketing; international experience and geographic representation; age; and gender and ethnic diversity.

*Proxy Statement* **44**

---

## Corporate Governance and Board of Directors Matters

The priorities and emphasis of the Nominating Committee and of the Board with regard to these factors change from time to time to take into account changes in Logitech's business and other trends, as well as the portfolio of skills and experience of current and prospective Board members.

Listed below are key skills and experience that we currently consider important for our directors to have in light of our current business and structure. We do not expect each director to possess every attribute. The directors' biographies note each director's relevant experience, qualifications, and skills relative to this list.

*Senior Leadership Experience.* Directors who have served in senior leadership positions are important to Logitech, because they bring experience and perspective in analyzing, shaping, and overseeing the execution of important operational and policy issues at a senior level.

*Financial Expertise.* Knowledge of financial markets, financing and funding operations, and accounting and financial reporting processes is important because it assists our directors in understanding, advising, and overseeing Logitech's structure, financial reporting, and internal control of such activities.

*Industry and Technical Expertise.* Because we develop and manufacture hardware and software products, ship them worldwide, and sell to major consumer electronics distributors and retailers, expertise in hardware and software, and experience in supply chain, manufacturing and consumer products is useful

in understanding the opportunities and challenges of our business and in providing insight and oversight of management.

*Brand Marketing Expertise.* Because we are a consumer products company, directors who have brand marketing experience can provide expertise and guidance as we seek to maintain and expand brand and product awareness and a positive reputation.

*Global Expertise.* Because we are a global organization with research and development, and sales and other offices in many countries, directors with global expertise, particularly in Europe, the U.S. and Asia, can provide a useful business and cultural perspective regarding many significant aspects of our business.

### **Identification and Evaluation of Nominees for Directors**

Our Nominating Committee uses a variety of methods for identifying and evaluating nominees for director. Our Nominating Committee regularly assesses the appropriate size and composition of the Board of Directors, the needs of the Board of Directors and the respective Committees of the Board of Directors and the qualifications of candidates in light of these needs. Candidates may come to the attention of the Nominating Committee through shareholders, management, current members of the Board of Directors or search firms. The evaluation of these candidates may be based solely on information provided to the Committee or may also include discussions with persons familiar with the candidate, an interview of the candidate or other actions the Committee deems appropriate, including the use of paid third parties to review candidates.



## Corporate Governance and Board of Directors Matters

## Terms of Office of Directors

Each director is elected individually by a separate vote of shareholders. Until 2012, each director was elected for a term of three years. At the Company's 2012 Annual General Meeting, shareholders approved a change such that each director, starting with the directors elected at the 2012 Annual General Meeting, will be subject to a term of one year. Nine of our ten directors are being presented for re-election to the Board of Directors at the 2016 Annual General Meeting, with one director deciding to retire and not stand for re-election. Each director is eligible for re-election until his or her seventieth birthday. Directors may not seek reelection after they have reached 70 years of age or, starting in 2016, have served on the Board

of Directors as a non-employee member for 12 years, unless the Board of Directors adopts a resolution to the contrary. A member of the Board who reaches 70 years of age or 12 years of service as a non-employee member of the Board of Directors during the term of his or her directorship may remain a director until the expiration of the term. A director's term of office as Chairman coincides with his or her term of office as a director. A director may be indefinitely re-elected as Chairman, subject to the age and tenure limits mentioned above.

The year of appointment and remaining term of office as of March 31, 2016 for each director are as follows:

<b>Name</b>	<b>Year First Appointed</b>	<b>Year Current Term Expires</b>
Edouard Bugnion <sup>(1)</sup>	2015	Annual General Meeting 2016
Kee-Lock Chua <sup>(1)</sup>	2000	Annual General Meeting 2016
Bracken Darrell <sup>(2)</sup>	2013	Annual General Meeting 2016
Sally Davis <sup>(1)</sup>	2007	Annual General Meeting 2016
Guerrino De Luca <sup>(2)</sup>	1998	Annual General Meeting 2016
Sue Gove <sup>(1)</sup>	2015	Annual General Meeting 2016
Didier Hirsch <sup>(1)</sup>	2012	Annual General Meeting 2016
Neil Hunt <sup>(1)</sup>	2010	Annual General Meeting 2016
Dimitri Panayotopoulos <sup>(1)</sup>	2014	Annual General Meeting 2016
Lung Yeh <sup>(1)</sup>	2015	Annual General Meeting 2016

(1) *Non-executive member of the Board of Directors.*

(2) *Executive member of the Board of Directors.*

*Proxy Statement* **46**

## Corporate Governance and Board of Directors Matters

# Board Responsibilities and Structure

The Board of Directors is responsible for supervising the management of the business and affairs of the Company. In addition to the non-transferable powers and duties of boards of directors under Swiss law, the Logitech Board of Directors also has the following responsibilities:

- the signatory power of its members;
- the approval of the budget submitted by the Chief Executive Officer;
- the approval of investments or acquisitions of more than USD 10 million in the aggregate not included in the approved budgets;
- the approval of any expenditure of more than USD 10 million not specifically identified in the approved budgets; and
- the approval of the sale or acquisition, including related borrowings, of the Company's real estate.

The Board of Directors has delegated the management of the Company to the Chief Executive Officer and the executive officers, except where Swiss law or the Company's Articles of Incorporation or Organizational Regulations (By-Laws) provide differently.

### Board Leadership Structure

The Board has since 1997 had a general practice that the positions of Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer should be held by separate persons as an aid in the Board's oversight of management. Since 1997, the Chairman has been a former Chief Executive Officer of the Company and has served as a full-time senior executive. Logitech believes that there are advantages to having a former Chief Executive Officer as Chairman, for matters such as: leadership continuity; day-to-day assistance to and oversight of the Chief Executive Officer and other executive officers; and facilitating communications and relations between the Board, the Chief Executive Officer, and other senior management.

Mr. De Luca, the Company's former Chief Executive Officer and current Chairman, has served in that role since January 2008. On July 27, 2011, Mr. De Luca assumed the role of acting President and Chief Executive

Officer, in addition to continuing his duties as Chairman, at the request of the Board of Directors. The Board appointed Bracken Darrell as President as of April 9, 2012, and he became the Chief Executive Officer as of January 1, 2013. The Board considered the holding of both the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer positions by Mr. De Luca as a temporary arrangement, and returned to its general practice of the positions being held by separate persons upon the appointment of Mr. Darrell as Chief Executive Officer.

The Chairman of the Board is elected by the shareholders on an annual basis, at the Annual General Meeting of Shareholders. The Secretary of the Board of Directors is appointed at the Board meeting coinciding with the Annual General Meeting of Shareholders. As of June 30, 2016, the Secretary was Mr. Bryan Ko, the Company's General Counsel.

### Role of the Chairman and of the Chief Executive Officer

The Chairman assumes a leading role in mid- and long-term strategic planning and the selection of top-level management, and he supports major transaction initiatives of Logitech.

The Chief Executive Officer manages the day-to-day operations of Logitech, with the support of the other executive officers. The Chief Executive Officer has, in particular, the following powers and duties:

- defining and implementing short and medium term strategies;
- preparing the budget, which must be approved by the Board of Directors;
- reviewing and certifying the Company's annual report;

## Edgar Filing: - Form

appointing, dismissing and promoting any employees of Logitech other than executive officers and the head of the internal audit function;

taking immediate measures to protect the interests of the Company where a breach of duty is suspected from executive officers until the Board has decided on the matter;

47 *Proxy Statement*

---

## Corporate Governance and Board of Directors Matters

carrying out Board resolutions;

reporting regularly to the Chairman of the Board of Directors on the activities of the business;

preparing supporting documents for resolutions that are to be passed by the Board of Directors; and

deciding on issues brought to his attention by executive officers.

The detailed authorities and responsibilities of the Board of Directors, the Chief Executive Officer and the executive officers are set out in the Company's Articles of Incorporation and Organizational Regulations. Please refer to <http://ir.logitech.com> for copies of these documents.

### Lead Independent Director

As appointed by the Board, Dr. Hunt serves as Lead Independent Director. The responsibilities of the Lead Independent Director include chairing meetings of the non-executive directors and serving as the presiding director in performing such other functions as the Board may direct. The Lead Independent Director is elected annually by the Independent Directors.

### Means by Which the Board of Directors Supervises Executive Officers

The Board of Directors is regularly informed on developments and issues in Logitech's business, and monitors the activities and responsibilities of the executive officers in various ways.

At each regular Board meeting the Chief Executive Officer reports to the Board of Directors on developments and important issues. The Chief Executive Officer also provides regular updates to the Board members regarding Logitech's business between the dates of regular Board meetings.

The offices of Chairman and Chief Executive Officer are generally separated, to help ensure balance between leadership of the Board and leadership of the day-to-day management of Logitech.

Executive officers and other members of senior management, at the invitation of the Board, attend portions of meetings of the Board and its Committees to report on the financial results of Logitech, its operations, performance and outlook, and on areas of the business within their responsibility, as well as other business matters. For further information on participation by executive officers and other members of senior management in Board and Committee meetings please refer to Board Committees below.

There are regular quarterly closed sessions of the non-executive, independent members of the Board of Directors, led by the Lead Independent Director, where Logitech issues are discussed without the presence of executive or non-independent members of the Board or executive officers.

The Board holds quarterly closed sessions, where all Board members meet without the presence of non-Board members, to discuss matters appropriate to such sessions, including organizational structure and the hiring and mandates of executive officers.

There are regularly scheduled reviews at Board meetings of Logitech strategic and operational issues, including discussions of issues placed on the agenda by the non-executive members of the Board of Directors.

The Board reviews and approves significant changes in Logitech's structure and organization, and is actively involved in significant transactions, including acquisitions, divestitures and major investments.

All non-executive Board members have access, at their request, to all internal Logitech information.

The head of the Internal Audit function reports to the Audit Committee.

### The Board's Role in Risk Oversight

One of the Board's functions is oversight of risk management at Logitech. Risk is inherent in business, and the Board seeks to understand and advise on risk in conjunction with the activities of the Board and the Board's Committees.

*Proxy Statement* **48**

---

## Corporate Governance and Board of Directors Matters

The largest risk in any business typically is that the products and services it offers will not be met by customer demand, because of poor strategy, poor execution, lack of competitiveness, or some combination of these or other factors. The Board implements its risk oversight responsibilities, at the highest level, through regular reviews of the Company's business, product strategy and competitive position, and through management and organizational reviews, evaluations and succession planning.

Within the broad strategic framework established by the Board, management is responsible for identifying risk and risk controls related to significant business activities; mapping the risks to company strategy; and developing programs and recommendations to determine the sufficiency of risk identification, the balance of potential risk to potential reward and the appropriate manner in which to control risk.

The Board's risk oversight role is implemented at the full Board level, and also in individual Board Committees. The full Board receives specific reports on enterprise risk management, in which the identification and control of risk are the primary topics of the discussion. Presentations and other information for the Board and Board Committees generally identify and discuss relevant risk and risk control; and the Board members assess and oversee the risks as a part of their review of the related business, financial, or other activity of the Company. The Compensation Committee oversees issues related to the design and risk controls of compensation programs. The Audit Committee oversees issues related to internal control over financial reporting and Logitech's risk tolerance in cash-management investments. The Board's role in oversight does not have a direct impact on the Board's leadership structure, which is discussed above.

### Board Meetings

The Chairman sets the agenda for Board meetings, in coordination with the Chief Executive Officer. Any member of the Board of Directors may request that a meeting of the Board be convened. The directors receive materials in advance of Board meetings allowing them to prepare for the handling of the items on the agenda.

The Chairman and Chief Executive Officer recommend executive officers or other members of senior management who, at the invitation of the Board, attend portions of each quarterly Board meeting to report on areas of the business within their responsibility. Infrequently, the Board may also receive reports from external consultants such as executive search or succession experts or outside legal experts to assist the Board on matters it is considering.

The Board typically holds regularly scheduled Board meetings twice each quarter: once for a review and discussion of the Company, its strategy or both, which lasts a full day to a day-and-a-half and in which all directors participate in person except in special individual circumstances; and once for a quarterly earnings-related meeting, which typically lasts for approximately one to two hours and in which directors participate in person or by teleconference or video conference. Additional meetings of the Board may be held by teleconference or video conference and the duration of such meetings varies depending on the subject matters considered.

### Emergency Resolutions

In case of emergency, the Chairman of the Board may have the power to pass resolutions which would otherwise be the responsibility of the Board. Decisions by the Chairman of the Board made in this manner are subject to ratification by the Board of Directors at its next meeting or by way of written consent. No such emergency resolutions were passed during fiscal year 2016.

### Independent Director Sessions

The Board of Directors has adopted a policy of regularly scheduled sessions of Board meetings where the independent directors meet to consider matters without management or non-independent directors present. During fiscal year 2016, separate sessions of the independent directors were held at four separate meetings.

### Board Effectiveness

Our Board of Directors performs an annual self-assessment to evaluate its effectiveness in fulfilling its obligations.



## Corporate Governance and Board of Directors Matters

## Board Committees

The Board has standing Audit, Compensation, and Nominating Committees to assist the Board in carrying out its duties. Each of the Board committees is composed entirely of directors that are independent in accordance with the published listing requirements of the Nasdaq Stock Market and Swiss corporate governance best practices guidelines. At each quarterly Board meeting, each applicable Board Committee reports to the full Board on the substance of the Committee's meetings, if any, during the quarter.

Each Committee has a written charter approved by the Board. The chair of each Committee determines the Committee's meeting agenda. The Board Committee members receive materials in advance of Committee meetings allowing them to prepare for the meeting. The Charters of each Board Committee are available on Logitech's Investor Relations website at <http://ir.logitech.com>. Each of the Audit, Compensation and Nominating Committees has the authority to engage outside experts, advisors and counsel to the extent it considers appropriate to assist the Committee in its work. The members of the Committees are identified in the following table:

<b>Director</b>	<b>Audit</b>	<b>Compensation</b>	<b>Nominating</b>
Edouard Bugnion			
Kee-Lock Chua	X		Chair
Bracken Darrell			
Sally Davis		Chair	X
Guerrino De Luca			
Sue Gove	X		
Didier Hirsch	Chair		X
Neil Hunt		X	
Dimitri Panayotopoulos		X	
Lung Yeh			

*Proxy Statement* 50

---



## Corporate Governance and Board of Directors Matters

### Attendance at Board, Committee and Annual Shareholders Meetings

In fiscal year 2016 the Board met eleven times, nine of which were regularly scheduled meetings. In addition, the Audit Committee met eight times, the Compensation Committee met five times, and the Nominating Committee met four times. In addition to its meetings, the Board took four actions for approval by written consent during fiscal year 2016. We expect each director to attend each

meeting of the Board and the Committees on which he or she serves, and also expect them to attend the Annual General Meeting of shareholders. Nine of our ten directors attended the 2015 Annual General Meeting. All of the incumbent directors attended at least 75% of the meetings of the Board and the Committees on which he or she served. Detailed attendance information for Board and Board Committee meetings during fiscal year 2016 is as follows:

	<b>Board of Directors</b>	<b>Audit Committee</b>	<b>Compensation Committee</b>	<b>Nominating Committee</b>
<i># of meetings held</i>	11	8	5	4
Edouard Bugnion <sup>(1)</sup>	7			
Kee-Lock Chua	8	7		4
Bracken Darrell	10			
Sally Davis <sup>(2)</sup>	9	4	5	4
Guerrino De Luca	10			
Sue Gove <sup>(1)</sup>	7	4		
Didier Hirsch <sup>(3)</sup>	10	8		2
Neil Hunt	11		5	
Dimitri Panayotopoulos <sup>(4)</sup>	10		1	
Lung Yeh <sup>(1)</sup>	7			

- (1) *Dr. Bugnion, Ms. Gove and Dr. Yeh were elected to the Board as of the Annual General Meeting on September 9, 2015, and attended all seven of the Board meetings that were held after that date. Ms. Gove also attended all four of the Audit Committee meetings that were held after that date.*
- (2) *Ms. Davis ceased to be a member of the Audit Committee on September 9, 2015. She attended all four of the Audit Committee meetings that were held on or prior to that date.*
- (3) *Mr. Hirsch was appointed to the Nominating Committee as of September 10, 2015, and attended both of the Nominating Committee meetings that were held after that date.*
- (4) *Mr. Panayotopoulos was elected to the Compensation Committee as of the Annual General Meeting on September 9, 2015, and attended one of the two Compensation Committee meetings that were held after that date.*

## Corporate Governance and Board of Directors Matters

### Audit Committee

The Audit Committee is appointed by the Board to assist the Board in monitoring the Company's financial accounting, controls, planning and reporting. It is composed of only non-executive, independent Board members. Among its duties, the Audit Committee:

reviews the adequacy of the Company's internal controls and disclosure controls and procedures;

reviews the independence, fee arrangements, audit scope, and performance of the Company's independent auditors, and recommends the appointment or replacement of independent auditors to the Board of Directors;

reviews and approves all non-audit work to be performed by the independent auditors;

reviews the scope of Logitech's internal auditing and the adequacy of the organizational structure and qualifications of the internal auditing staff;

reviews, before release, the quarterly results and interim financial data;

reviews with management and the independent auditors the Company's major financial risk exposures and the steps management has taken to monitor and control those exposures, including the Company's guidelines and policies with respect to risk assessment and risk management; and

reviews, before release, the audited financial statements and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and recommends that the Board of Directors include the audited financial statements in the annual report made available to shareholders.

The Audit Committee currently consists of Mr. Hirsch, Chairperson, Mr. Chua and Ms. Gove. Following Mr. Chua's retirement effective as of the 2016 Annual General Meeting, the Board of Directors expects that Dr. Yeh will be appointed to the Audit Committee. The Board has determined that each member of the Audit Committee, as well as Dr. Yeh, meets the independence requirements of the Nasdaq Stock Market listing standards and the applicable rules and regulations of

the SEC. In addition, the Board has determined that Mr. Hirsch and Ms. Gove are audit committee financial experts as defined by the applicable rules and regulations of the SEC.

The Audit Committee met eight times in fiscal year 2016. Four meetings were held in person on the day prior to the regularly scheduled quarterly Board meeting, for approximately two to three hours, and four were held by teleconference, for approximately one to one-and-a-half hours preceding the Company's quarterly report of financial results. The Committee received reports and presentations before the meetings in order to allow them time to prepare adequately. At the Committee's invitation, the Company's Chief Financial Officer, Corporate Controller, Vice President of Internal Audit and General Counsel or Associate General Counsel attended each meeting, and representatives from the Company's then-current auditors and independent registered public accounting firm, KPMG AG and KPMG LLP, respectively, also attended all eight of the meetings. Other members of management also participated in certain meetings. Five meetings also included a separate session with representatives of the auditors and independent registered public accounting firm and four meetings included separate sessions with the Chief Financial Officer and with the head of Internal Audit.

### Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee reviews and approves, or recommends to the Board for approval, the compensation of executive officers and non-executive Board members and Logitech's compensation policies and programs, including share-based compensation programs and other incentive-based compensation. Within the guidelines established by the Board and the limits set forth in the Company's employee equity incentive plans, the Compensation Committee also has the authority to grant equity incentive awards to employees without further Board approval. The Committee is composed of only non-executive, independent Board members.

The Compensation Committee currently consists of Ms. Davis, Chairperson, Dr. Hunt and Mr. Panayotopoulos. The Board of Directors has determined that each member

*Proxy Statement* **52**

---

## Corporate Governance and Board of Directors Matters

of the Compensation Committee, as well as Dr. Bugnion as a nominee for election to the Compensation Committee, meets the independence requirements of the Nasdaq Stock Market listing standards.

The Compensation Committee met five times in fiscal year 2016. At the Committee's invitation, the Company's Head of People & Culture and Head of Total Rewards attended each meeting, and the Committee's independent advisors from Compensia and Agnès Blust Consulting attended all five meetings. Four of the meetings were held in person and each meeting lasted for approximately one-and-a-half hours to three hours or more. In addition to its meetings, the Committee took eleven actions for approval by written consent during fiscal year 2016.

Please refer to the Company's Compensation Report for further information on the Compensation Committee's criteria and process for evaluating executive compensation.

### **Nominating Committee**

The Nominating Committee is composed of at least three members, with each of the members being non-executive, independent directors. Among its duties, the Nominating Committee:

- evaluates the composition of the Board of Directors and its Committees, determines future requirements and makes recommendations to the Board of Directors for approval;

- determines on an annual basis the desired Board qualifications and expertise and conducts searches for potential directors with these attributes;

- evaluates and makes recommendations of nominees for election to the Board of Directors; and

- evaluates and makes recommendations to the Board concerning the appointment of directors to Board Committees and the selection of Board Committee chairs.

The Nominating Committee may and typically does retain an executive search firm to assist with the identification and evaluation of prospective Board nominees based on criteria established by the Committee. For information on the Nominating Committee's policies with respect to director nominations please see "Elections to the Board of Directors" above.

The Nominating Committee currently consists of Mr. Chua, Chairperson, Ms. Davis and Mr. Hirsch. Following Mr. Chua's retirement effective as of the 2016 Annual General Meeting, the Board of Directors expects that Ms. Davis will be appointed as the Chairperson of the Nominating Committee and Dr. Hunt will be appointed to the Committee. The Board of Directors has determined that each of Mr. Chua, Ms. Davis, Mr. Hirsch and Dr. Hunt meets the independence requirements of the Nasdaq Stock Market listing standards. Upon the Committee's recommendation of nominees for election to the Board of Directors, the nominees are presented to the full Board. Nominees are then selected by a majority of the independent members of the Board. The Nominating Committee met four times in fiscal year 2016. The meetings were held in person or by teleconference and lasted approximately half-an-hour to one hour.

Corporate Governance and Board of Directors Matters

## Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

None of the members of the Compensation Committee has been an officer or employee of Logitech. None of our executive officers serves on the board of directors or compensation committee of a company that has an executive officer that serves on our Board of Directors.

## Communications with the Board of Directors

Shareholders may contact the Board of Directors about bona fide issues or questions about Logitech by sending an email to [generalcounsel@logitech.com](mailto:generalcounsel@logitech.com) or by writing the Corporate Secretary at the following address:

Logitech International S.A.  
Attn: Corporate Secretary  
EPFL - Quartier de l' Innovation  
Daniel Borel Innovation Center  
1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

All such shareholder communications will be forwarded to the appropriate member or members of the Board of Directors or, if none is specified, to the Chairman of the Board of Directors.

*Proxy Statement* 54

---

## Security Ownership

## Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management as of June 30, 2016

In accordance with the proxy statement rules under U.S. securities laws, the following table shows the number of our shares beneficially owned as of June 30, 2016 by:

each person or group known by Logitech, based on filings pursuant to Section 13(d) or (g) under the U.S. Securities Exchange Act of 1934 or notifications to the Company under applicable Swiss laws, to own beneficially more than 5% of our outstanding shares as of June 30, 2016;

each director and each nominee for director;

the persons named in the Summary Compensation Table in the Compensation Report (the named executive officers); and

all directors and current executive officers as a group.

	Number of Shares Owned <sup>(2)</sup>	Shares that May be Acquired Within 60 Days <sup>(3)</sup>	Total Beneficial Ownership	Total as a Percentage of Shares Outstanding <sup>(4)</sup>
<b>Beneficial Owners<sup>(1)</sup></b>				
<b>5% shareholders:</b>				
Morgan Stanley, The Corporation Trust Company <sup>(5)</sup>	12,315,821		12,315,821	7.6%
Daniel Borel <sup>(6)</sup>	8,774,934		8,774,934	5.4%
BlackRock, Inc. <sup>(7)</sup>	8,711,174		8,711,174	5.4%
<b>Directors, not including the Chairman or the CEO:</b>				
Edouard Bugnion <sup>(8)</sup>				*
Kee-Lock Chua	95,771		95,771	*
Sally Davis	87,361	30,000	117,361	*
Sue Gove <sup>(8)</sup>				*
Didier Hirsch	39,074		39,074	*
Neil Hunt	49,690		49,690	*
Dimitri Panayotopoulos	12,007			*
Lung Yeh <sup>(8)</sup>				*
<b>Nominees for Director:</b>				
Patrick Aebischer				*
<b>Named Executive Officers:</b>				
Guerrino De Luca	366,089	145,000	511,089	*
Bracken Darrell	481,844	900,000	1,381,844	*
Vincent Pilette	371,682		371,682	*
Marcel Stolk	184,851	112,500	297,351	*
L. Joseph Sullivan	143,728	122,500	266,228	*
Current Directors and Executive Officers as a Group (13)	1,832,097	1,310,000	3,130,090	1.9%

## Security Ownership

- \* *Less than 1%*
- (1) *Unless otherwise indicated, the address for each beneficial owner listed in this table is c/o Logitech International S.A., EPFL, Quartier de l'Innovation, Daniel Borel Innovation Center, 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland / 7700 Gateway Boulevard, Newark, California 94560.*
- (2) *To Logitech's knowledge, except as otherwise noted in the footnotes to this table, each director and executive officer has sole voting and investment power over the shares reported as beneficially owned in accordance with SEC rules, subject to community property laws where applicable.*
- (3) *Includes shares represented by vested, unexercised options as of June 30, 2016 and options and restricted stock units that are expected to vest within 60 days after June 30, 2016. These shares are deemed to be outstanding for the purpose of computing the percentage ownership of the person holding the options or restricted stock units, but are not treated as outstanding for the purpose of computing the percentage ownership of any other person.*
- (4) *Based on 161,732,662 shares outstanding on June 30, 2016 (173,106,620 shares outstanding less 11,373,958 treasury shares outstanding).*
- (5) *The number of shares held by Morgan Stanley, The Corporation Trust Company and its subsidiaries is based on a notification filed with the SIX Exchange Regulation on June 29, 2016. The address of Morgan Stanley, The Corporation Trust Company is Corporation Trust Center, 1209 Orange Street, Wilmington, Delaware 19801.*
- (6) *The number of shares held by Mr. Borel includes (a) 53,000 shares held by a charitable foundation, of which Mr. Borel and other members of his family are board members and (b) 6,500 shares held by Mr. Borel's spouse. As of June 30, 2016, Mr. Borel's indicated sole investment and voting power with respect to 8,715,434 shares, shared investment power with respect to 59,500 shares and shared voting power with respect to 53,000 shares.*
- (7) *The number of shares held by BlackRock, Inc. and its subsidiaries is based on a notification filed with the SIX Exchange Regulation on June 16, 2016. The address of BlackRock, Inc. is 55 East 52nd Street, New York, New York 10055.*
- (8) *Dr. Bugnion, Ms. Gove and Dr. Yeh were first elected as a director of the Company at the Annual General Meeting on September 9, 2015.*

## Share Ownership Guidelines

Members of the Board of Directors and executive officers and other officers who report directly to the Chief Executive Officer or President are subject to share ownership guidelines.

Directors are required to own Logitech shares with a market value equal to 3 times the annual Board retainer under guidelines adopted by the Board in June 2006 and revised in June 2013. Directors are required to achieve this ownership within five years of joining the Board, or, in the case of directors serving at the time the guidelines were originally adopted, within five years of the effective date of adoption of the guidelines. The guidelines will be adjusted to reflect any capital adjustments, and will be reevaluated by the Board from time to time. As of June 30, 2016, each director had either satisfied these ownership guidelines or had time remaining to do so.

## Edgar Filing: - Form

The Compensation Committee adopted share ownership guidelines for executive officers and other officers who report directly to the Chief Executive Officer or President effective September 2008 and revised in September 2013. These guidelines now apply to executive officers and other officers who report directly to the Chief Executive Officer. These guidelines require:

the Chief Executive Officer to hold a number of Logitech shares with a market value equal to 5 times his annual base salary;

the Chief Financial Officer to hold a number of Logitech shares with a market value equal to 3 time his annual base salary;

*Proxy Statement* **56**

---



## Security Ownership

executive officers, other than the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, to hold a number of Logitech shares with a market value equal to 2 times their respective annual base salaries; and

remaining officers who report directly to the Chief Executive Officer to hold a number of Logitech shares with a market value equal to their respective annual base salaries.

Officers subject to the guidelines are required to achieve the guideline within five years of being appointed to the position making them subject to the guideline, or, in the case of such officers serving at the time the guidelines were originally adopted, within five years of the effective date of adoption of the guidelines. The guidelines will be adjusted to reflect any capital adjustments, and will be re-evaluated by the Compensation Committee from time

to time. Up to 50% of the guideline may be met through the net value of vested, unexercised stock options. If the guideline is not met within five years, the Chief Executive Officer must hold 100% of his after-tax shares resulting from option exercises or other equity incentive awards until the guideline is reached, and all other executive officers and Chief Executive Officer direct reports must hold at least 50% of the net shares resulting from option exercises or other equity incentive awards until the guideline is reached. In addition, if the guideline is not met, the officer will have 50% of the after-tax value of any earned bonuses under the Leadership Team Bonus Program paid in fully vested Logitech shares. As of June 30, 2016, all of the executive officers and other officers who report directly to Chief Executive Officer had either satisfied these ownership guidelines or had time remaining to do so.

## Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

### Our Policies

It is our policy that all employees must not engage in any activities which could conflict with Logitech's business interests, which could adversely affect its reputation or which could interfere with the fulfillment of the responsibilities of the employee's job, which at all times must be performed in the best interests of Logitech. In addition, Logitech employees may not use their position with Logitech, or Logitech's information or assets, for their personal gain or for the improper benefit of others. These policies are included in our Business Ethics and Conflict of Interest Policy, which covers our directors,

executive officers and other employees. If in a particular circumstance the Board concludes that there is or may be a perceived conflict of interest, the Board will instruct our Legal department to work with our relevant business units to determine if there is a conflict of interest. Any waivers to these conflict rules with regard to a director or executive officer require the prior approval of the Board, and any transaction that is a related party transaction under U.S. securities laws must be approved by the Audit Committee or another independent committee of the Board.

### Nasdaq Rules and Swiss Best Corporate Governance Practices

Nasdaq rules defining independent director status also govern conflict of interest situations, as do Swiss best corporate governance principles published by *economiesuisse*, a leading Swiss business organization. As discussed above, the Board of Directors has determined that each of our directors and nominee to be a director, other than Mr. Darrell and Mr. De Luca, qualifies as independent in accordance with the Nasdaq rules. The Nasdaq rules include a series of objective tests that would not allow a director to be considered independent if the director has or has had

certain employment, business or family relationships with the company. The Nasdaq independence definition also includes a requirement that the Board review the relations between each independent director and the company on a subjective basis. In accordance with that review, the Board has made a subjective determination as to each independent director that no relationships exist that, in the opinion of the Board, would interfere with the exercise of independent judgment in carrying out the responsibilities of a director.

### SEC Rules

In addition to the Logitech and Nasdaq policies and rules described above, the SEC has specific disclosure requirements covering certain types of transactions involving Logitech and a director or executive officer or persons and entities affiliated with them. Since April 1, 2015, we have not been a party to, and we have no plans to be a party to, any transaction or series of similar transactions in which the amount involved exceeded or will exceed USD 120,000 and in which any current director, director nominee, executive officer, holder of more than 5% of our shares, or any member of the immediate family of any of the foregoing, had or will have a direct or indirect material interest. We have entered into an indemnification agreement with each of our directors and executive officers. The indemnification agreements require us to indemnify our directors and officers to the fullest extent permitted by Swiss and California law.

None of the following persons has been indebted to Logitech or its subsidiaries at any time since the beginning of fiscal year 2016: any of our directors or executive officers; any nominee for election as a director; any member of the immediate family of any of our directors, executive officers or nominees for director; any corporation or organization of which any of our directors, executive officers or nominees is an executive officer or partner or is, directly or indirectly, the beneficial owner of 10% or more of any class of equity securities (except trade debt entered into in the ordinary course of business); and any trust or other estate in which any of the directors, executive officers or nominees for director has a substantial beneficial interest or for which such person serves as a trustee or in a similar capacity.

*Proxy Statement* **58**

---

## Independent Auditors

Under Logitech's Articles of Incorporation, the shareholders elect or re-elect the Company's independent auditors each year at the Annual General Meeting.

Logitech's independent auditors for fiscal year 2016 were KPMG AG, Zurich, Switzerland. KPMG AG assumed its first audit mandate for Logitech in fiscal year 2015. They were elected by the shareholders as Logitech's auditors at the Annual General Meeting in December 2014 and re-elected at the Annual General Meeting in September 2015. For purposes of U.S. securities law reporting, KPMG LLP, Santa Clara, California, served as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal year 2016. Together, KPMG AG and KPMG LLP are referred to as KPMG. As appointed by the Board, the Audit Committee is responsible for supervising the performance of the Company's independent auditors, and recommends the election or replacement of the independent auditors to the Board of Directors.

Representatives of KPMG were invited to attend all regular meetings of the Audit Committee. During fiscal year 2016, KPMG representatives attended all of the Audit Committee meetings. The Committee met separately five times with representatives of KPMG in closed sessions of Committee meetings.

On a quarterly basis, KPMG reports on the findings of their audit and/or review work including their audit of Logitech's internal control over financial reporting. These reports include their assessment of critical accounting policies and practices used, alternative treatments of financial information discussed with management, and other material written communication between KPMG and management. At each quarterly Board meeting, the Audit Committee reports to the full Board on the substance of the Committee meetings during the quarter. On an annual basis, the Audit Committee approves KPMG's audit plan and evaluates the performance of KPMG and its senior representatives in fulfilling its responsibilities. Moreover, the Audit Committee recommends to the Board the appointment or replacement of the independent auditors, subject to shareholder approval. The Audit Committee reviews the annual report provided by KPMG as to its independence.

### Change in Independent Auditor

As disclosed in a Current Report on Form 8-K filed by the Company on November 13, 2014, PricewaterhouseCoopers S.A. (referred to as PwC S.A.) and PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP (referred to as PwC LLP) and, together with PwC S.A., referred to as PwC) declined to stand for re-election as Logitech's independent auditors and as Logitech's independent registered public accounting firm, respectively, for the fiscal year ending March 31, 2015. On November 12, 2014, the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors (the Audit Committee) of Logitech appointed KPMG LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending March 31, 2015 for purposes of U.S. securities law reporting purposes.

### Information about PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

The reports of PwC on the Company's financial statements for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2013 and March 31, 2014 did not contain an adverse opinion or a disclaimer of opinion; nor were they qualified or modified as to uncertainty, audit scope or accounting principles. In connection with the audits of the Company's financial statements for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 and in the subsequent interim period through November 6, 2014 there were no disagreements (as that term is defined in Item 304(a)(1)(iv) of Regulation S-K) with PwC LLP on any matter of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure, or auditing scope or procedures which, if not resolved to the satisfaction of PwC LLP would have caused PwC LLP to make reference to the matter in their reports.

There were reportable events (as that term is defined in Item 304(a)(1)(v) of Regulation S-K) during the fiscal years ended March 31, 2014 and March 31, 2013 and the subsequent interim period through November 6, 2014, as follows. On September 2, 2014 (U.S. time), the Company announced that the Audit Committee concluded that the consolidated financial statements for the years ended March 31, 2011 and 2012 included in Logitech's Annual Reports on Form 10-K for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 and for the three months ended June 30, 2011 included in Logitech's

## Independent Auditors

Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the three months ended June 30, 2011 can no longer be relied on due to an accounting misstatement for inventory valuation reserves for Logitech's now discontinued Revue product. The restated fiscal year 2012 consolidated financial statements are included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2014. In addition, as previously disclosed in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2013, the Company's management concluded that material weaknesses existed as of March 31, 2013, as follows:

The Company did not design and maintain effective controls over the review of supporting information to determine the completeness and accuracy of the consolidated statement of cash flows, the consolidated statement of comprehensive income (loss) and disclosures in the notes to the consolidated financial statements; and

The Company did not maintain effective controls related to developing an appropriate methodology to accrue the costs of product warranties given to end customers, including an on-going review of the assumptions within the methodology to determine the completeness and accuracy of the warranty accrual.

In addition to these material weaknesses, which continued to exist as of March 31, 2014, as a result of the Audit Committee's investigation and the restatement of the Company's financial statements the Company's management concluded that two additional material weaknesses existed as of March 31, 2014, including:

The Company did not maintain an effective control environment as former finance management exercised bad judgment and failed to provide effective oversight, which resulted in ineffective information and communication, whereby certain of the Company's finance personnel did not adequately document and communicate accounting issues across the organization, including to our independent registered public accounting firm. Additionally, there was an insufficient complement of personnel with appropriate accounting knowledge, experience and competence, resulting in incorrect conclusions in the application of generally accepted accounting principles; and

The Company did not design and maintain effective controls to consider all relevant information and document the underlying assumptions in our assessment of the valuation of finished goods, work in process and components inventory, including non-cancelable orders for such inventory, related to our now discontinued Revue product.

These material weaknesses, as well as the Company's plans to remediate them, are set forth in Item 9A of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2013 and in Item 9A of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2014. Accordingly, the reports of PwC LLP on the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of March 31, 2013 and as of March 31, 2014 as well as management's reports as of the same date, which were included in the Company's Annual Reports on Form 10-K for Fiscal Years 2013 and 2014, respectively, contained qualified opinions thereon. The material weaknesses in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that the Company disclosed in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for Fiscal Year 2014 continued to exist during the subsequent interim period through November 6, 2014.

The Audit Committee discussed the subject matter of the reportable events with PwC. Other than as disclosed above, there were no reportable events during the fiscal years ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 and through the subsequent interim period through November 6, 2014.

Logitech provided PwC with a copy of the disclosure set forth in this section, which disclosure was set forth in the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by the Company on November 13, 2014. PwC furnished Logitech with a letter addressed to the Securities and Exchange Commission stating their agreement with such disclosure. A copy of the letter was filed as Exhibit 16.1 to such Current Report on Form 8-K.

Independent Auditors

Information about KPMG LLP

On November 12, 2014, the Audit Committee appointed KPMG LLP to serve as its new independent registered public accounting firm to audit the Company’s financial statements for the fiscal year ending March 31, 2015. KPMG LLP’s engagement to serve as the Company’s new independent registered public accounting firm became effective on November 13, 2014.

During the Company’s then two most recent fiscal years ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 and prior to engaging KPMG, neither the Company nor anyone on its behalf consulted KPMG regarding either: (i) the application of accounting principles to a specified transaction, either completed or proposed, or the type of audit opinion

that might be rendered on the Company’s financial statements, in connection with which either a written report or oral advice was provided to the Company that KPMG concluded was an important factor considered by the Company in reaching a decision as to the accounting, auditing or financial reporting issue; or (ii) any matter that was the subject of a disagreement or reportable event as defined in Regulation S-K, Item 304(a)(1)(iv) and Item 304(a)(1)(v), respectively.

The Company authorized PwC to respond fully and without limitation to all requests of KPMG concerning all matters related to the audited periods by PwC, including with respect to the subject matter of the reportable events summarized above.

Audit and Non-Audit Fees

The following table sets forth the aggregate fees billed to us for the audit and other services provided by KPMG during the fiscal years ended March 31, 2016 and 2015 (in thousands):

	<b>2016</b>	<b>2015</b>
Audit fees <sup>(1)</sup>	\$2,991	\$2,596
Audit related fees <sup>(2)</sup>	196	
Tax fees <sup>(3)</sup>	123	
Total	\$3,310	\$2,596

(1) *Audit fees. This category includes fees for the audit of our financial statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K, fees for the audit of our internal control over financial reporting in accordance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, fees for the review of the interim condensed financial statements in our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, and fees for the services that are normally provided by KPMG in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or other engagements and accounting and reporting consultations related to Lifesize discontinued operations.*

(2) *Audit-related fees. This category includes fees for the due diligence related to the Jaybird acquisition.*

(3) *Tax fees. This category includes fees related to the 2014 tax compliance and tax consulting services.*

## Independent Auditors

### Pre-Approval Procedures and Policies

The Audit Committee pre-approves all audit and non-audit services provided by KPMG. This pre-approval must occur before the auditor is engaged. The Audit Committee pre-approves categories of non-audit services and a target fee associated with each category. Usage of KPMG fees against the target is presented to the Audit Committee at each in-person quarterly meeting, with additional amounts requested as needed. Services that last longer than a year must be re-approved by the Audit Committee.

The Audit Committee can delegate the pre-approval ability to a single independent member of the Audit Committee. The delegate must communicate all services

approved at the next scheduled Audit Committee meeting. The Audit Committee or its delegate can pre-approve types of services to be performed by KPMG with a set dollar limit per type of service. The Vice President, Corporate Controller is responsible for ensuring that the work performed is within the scope and dollar limit as approved by the Audit Committee. Management must report to the Audit Committee the status of each project or service provided by KPMG.

*Proxy Statement* **62**

---

## Report of the Audit Committee

The Audit Committee is responsible for overseeing Logitech's accounting and financial reporting processes and audits of Logitech's financial statements. The Audit Committee acts only in an oversight capacity and relies on the work and assurances of management, which has primary responsibility for Logitech's financial statements and reports, Logitech's internal auditors, as well as KPMG, Logitech's independent auditors, which is responsible for expressing an opinion on the conformity of Logitech's audited financial statements to generally accepted accounting principles and attesting to the effectiveness of Logitech's internal control over financial reporting.

The Board of Directors has adopted a written charter for the Audit Committee. A copy of the Charter can be found on our website at <http://ir.logitech.com>. To view the charter, select "Audit Committee Charter" under "Corporate Governance".

The Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed our audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2016, with our management. In addition, the Audit Committee has discussed with the independent auditors the matters required to be discussed by Auditing Standard No. 16 as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board.

The Audit Committee has received the written disclosures and the letter from the independent accountant required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding the independent accountant's communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence, and has discussed with the independent accountant the independent accountant's independence.

Based on the reviews and discussions referred to above, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the audited consolidated financial statements be included in Logitech's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2016.

### Submitted by the Audit Committee of the Board

Didier Hirsch, Chairperson  
Kee-Lock Chua  
Sue Gove



## Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16 of the Exchange Act requires Logitech's directors, executive officers and any persons who own more than 10% of Logitech's shares, to file initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership with the SEC. Such persons are required by SEC regulation to furnish Logitech with copies of all Section 16(a) forms that they file. As a matter of practice, our administrative staff assists our executive officers and directors in preparing initial ownership reports and reporting ownership changes, and typically files these reports on their behalf.

We believe that all Section 16(a) filing requirements were met in fiscal year 2015, with the exceptions noted below:

A late Form 4 report was filed for Neil Hunt on September 3, 2015 to report the forfeiture of shares to satisfy tax withholding obligations arising out of the vesting of restricted stock units on August 31, 2015.

*Proxy Statement* **64**

---

## Compensation Report for Fiscal Year 2016

This Compensation Report has been designed to comply with both the proxy statement rules under U.S. securities laws and Swiss regulations. For Swiss law purposes, this Report is supplemented by a Remuneration Report prepared in compliance with the Ordinance against excessive compensation in stock exchange listed companies in Switzerland (the Minder Ordinance ). This Report is an integrated part of our Annual Report, Invitation, and Proxy Statement for our 2016 Annual General Meeting.

### Compensation Discussion and Analysis

This Compensation Discussion and Analysis is intended to assist our shareholders in understanding our executive compensation program by providing an overview of our executive compensation-related policies, practices, and decisions for fiscal year 2016. It also explains how we determined the material elements of compensation for our Chief Executive Officer, our Chief Financial Officer, and the three executive officers (other than our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer) who were our most highly-compensated executive officers for fiscal year 2016, and who we refer to as our Named Executive Officers. For fiscal year 2016, our Named Executive Officers were:

Guerrino De Luca, our Executive Chairman;

Bracken Darrell, our President and Chief Executive Officer;

Vincent Pilette, our Chief Financial Officer;

Marcel Stolk, our Senior Vice President, CCP Business Group; and

L. Joseph Sullivan, our Senior Vice President, Worldwide Operations.

### Executive Summary

The Compensation Committee believes the design of our executive compensation programs has and will continue to meet our goal of providing our executives with market-competitive compensation packages that provide for above market rewards when Logitech outperforms both our internal goals and the overall market, and limited rewards when Logitech's performance does not meet these objectives. Overall, our Compensation Committee has developed executive compensation programs that it believes will provide an incentive to drive the Company's performance and reward both our shareholders and our executives.

### Fiscal Year 2016 Business Highlights

Logitech had a successful fiscal year 2016. Despite significant currency headwinds, we delivered our best annual retail sales growth in five years by introducing innovative new products that enabled us to grow market share in nearly all of our product categories. Disciplined cost and working capital management led to strong profitability and cash flow from operations. Please see the section entitled *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations* in our Annual Report for a more detailed discussion of our fiscal year 2016 financial results.

## Compensation Report for Fiscal Year 2016

### Executive Compensation Highlights

Consistent with our strong performance and compensation philosophy, the Compensation Committee took the following compensation actions for our executive officers for fiscal year 2016:

Named Executive Officer	FY 2016 Base Salary Increase from FY 2015	FY 2016 Annual Bonus as a Percentage of Target Bonus	FY 2016 Annual Time-Based Restricted Stock Units Award (Grant Date Fair Value)	FY 2016 Annual Performance-Based Restricted Stock Units Award (Grant Date Fair Value)
Guerrino De Luca	0%	135%	\$193,091	\$301,150
Bracken Darrell	0%	135%	\$1,930,803	\$3,011,471
Vincent Pilette	20%	145%	\$965,402	\$1,003,824
Marcel Stolk	0%	135%	\$286,567	\$451,738
L. Joseph Sullivan	4%	140%	\$231,704	\$361,401

#### *Emphasis on Variable and Performance-Based Compensation*

The annual compensation of our executive officers varies from year to year based on our corporate financial and operational results and individual performance. Our executive compensation program emphasizes variable performance-based pay over fixed pay and seeks to balance short-term and long-term incentives as well as performance-based and time-based incentives. In fiscal year 2016, the majority of the target total direct compensation of our CEO consisted of performance-based pay, including cash awarded under our annual bonus plan and long-term incentives in the form of

performance-based equity awards for which value is based on achievement of performance criteria. Fixed pay, primarily consisting of base salary, made up only 12% of our CEO's target total direct compensation in fiscal year 2016, while variable pay, consisting of both annual bonus and long-term equity incentives, made up 88% of his target total direct compensation. This same philosophy was applied to our other executive officers. The following charts show the percentages of target variable pay versus target fixed pay for fiscal year 2016:

## Compensation Report for Fiscal Year 2016

### Executive Compensation Best Practices

We strive to maintain sound executive compensation policies and practices, including compensation-related corporate governance standards, consistent with our executive compensation philosophy. We have the following executive compensation policies and practices in place, including both those that we have implemented to drive performance and those that either prohibit or minimize behaviors that we do not believe serve our shareholders' long-term interests:

#### *What We Do*

**Compensation Committee Independence** Our Board of Directors maintains a Compensation Committee comprised solely of independent directors.

**Compensation Committee Advisor Independence** The Compensation Committee engages and retains its own independent advisors and reviews their independence.

**Annual Compensation Review** The Compensation Committee conducts an annual review of our executive compensation philosophy and strategy, including a review of the compensation peer group and other information used for comparative purposes.

**Compensation-Related Risk Assessment** The Compensation Committee conducts an annual evaluation of our compensation programs, policies, and practices, which are designed to ensure that they reflect an appropriate level of risk-taking but do not encourage our employees to take excessive or unnecessary risks that could have a material adverse impact on the Company.

**Emphasize Performance-based Incentive Compensation** The Compensation Committee designs our executive compensation program to use performance-based short-term and long-term incentive compensation awards to align the interests of our executive officers with the interests of our shareholders.

**Emphasize Long-Term Equity Compensation** The Compensation Committee uses equity awards to deliver long-term incentive compensation opportunities to our executive officers. These equity awards vest or may be earned over multi-year periods, which better serves our long-term value creation goals and retention objectives.

**Limited Executive Perquisites** We do not provide perquisites or other personal benefits to our executive officers. The executive officers participate in our health and welfare benefit programs on the same basis as all of our employees.

**Stock Ownership Policy** We maintain a stock ownership policy for our directors and executive officers which requires each of them to own a specified amount of our registered shares as a multiple of their salary or annual board retainer.

**Compensation Recovery Policy** We have adopted a policy that provides for the recoupment of bonus and other incentive compensation and equity compensation from our executive officers resulting from fraud or intentional misconduct of an executive officer or if the executive officer knew of the fraud or misconduct.

**Double-Trigger Change of Control Arrangements in Equity Award Agreements** The post-employment equity compensation arrangements for our executive officers are based on a double-trigger arrangement that provides for acceleration of time-based equity only in the event of (i) a change in control of the Company and (ii) a qualifying termination of employment. As noted below, we do not have any cash payment related to termination of employment or change of control.

**Prohibition on Hedging and Pledging** Under our Insider Trading Policy, we prohibit our executive officers from hedging any Company securities owned by them and from pledging any Company securities as collateral for a loan owned by them as collateral for a loan.

**Succession Planning** Our Board of Directors reviews on an annual basis our succession strategies and plans for our most critical positions.



## Compensation Report for Fiscal Year 2016

### *What We Do Not Do*

**No Severance or Change of Control Arrangements** To comply with the Minder Ordinance we have terminated all severance and change of control arrangements (other than acceleration of vesting of equity awards as provided in our equity award agreements) for executive officers, including members of our Group Management Team (Messrs. Darrell, Pilette, Stolk and Sullivan).

**No Special Retirement Programs** Other than our Section 401(k) plan and our Swiss Pension plan generally available to all employees in the U.S. and Switzerland, respectively, we do not offer defined benefit or contribution retirement plans or arrangements for our executive officers.

**No Tax Gross-Ups or Payments** We do not provide any gross-ups or tax payments in connection with any compensation element for our executive officers, other than for our standard relocation benefits. This means we do not provide any excise tax gross-up or tax reimbursement in connection with any change of control payments or benefits.

**No Unearned Dividends** We do not pay dividends or dividend equivalents on unvested or unearned restricted stock unit or performance-based restricted stock unit awards.

**No Stock Option Repricing** We do not reprice options to purchase our registered shares without shareholder approval.

### *Say-on-Pay*

Logitech has been a leader in providing our shareholders with an opportunity for advisory votes on compensation. Beginning in 2009, Logitech voluntarily submitted its compensation philosophy, policies, and procedures to a shareholder advisory vote. Our voluntary practice is now a requirement under the U.S. securities laws that provides shareholders the ability to periodically cast advisory votes on executive compensation, and is reflected in the proposals for our 2016 Annual General Meeting. We remain committed to providing clear and thorough disclosure on our executive compensation practices and actions, and our Compensation Committee will carefully consider the voting results.

Beginning in 2015, in compliance with the Minder Ordinance, we instituted annual binding shareholder votes on the aggregate compensation amounts for our directors and for members of our Group Management Team consistent with the compensation structure that shareholders approved in amendments to our Articles of Incorporation at our 2014 Annual General Meeting.

At our 2015 Annual General Meeting, more than 80% of the votes cast on our annual Say-on-Pay proposal supported the compensation of our named executive officers. The Compensation Committee was mindful of shareholder support for our pay-for-performance compensation philosophy in maintaining our general compensation practices and setting fiscal year 2016 compensation for our executive officers. For more information regarding our annual Say-on-Pay proposal for fiscal year 2016, see *Proposal 2 Advisory vote to approve executive compensation*.

## Compensation Philosophy and Guiding Principles

We have designed our executive compensation program to:

Provide compensation sufficient to attract and retain the level of talent needed to create and manage an innovative, high growth global company in highly competitive and rapidly evolving markets;

Support a performance-oriented culture;

Maintain a balance between fixed and variable compensation and place a significant portion of total compensation at risk based on the Company's performance, while maintaining controls over inappropriate risk-taking by factoring in both annual and long-term performance;

## Edgar Filing: - Form

Provide a balance between short-term and long-term objectives and results;

*Proxy Statement* **68**

---

## Compensation Report for Fiscal Year 2016

Align executive compensation with shareholders' interests by tying a significant portion of compensation to increasing share value; and

Reflect the executive's role and past performance through base salary and short-term cash incentives, and his or her potential for future contribution through long-term equity incentive awards.

However, while compensation is a central part of attracting, retaining, and motivating the best executives and employees, we believe it is not the sole or exclusive reason why exceptional executives or employees choose to join and stay at Logitech, or why they work hard to achieve results for our shareholders. In this regard, both the Compensation Committee and management believe that providing a working environment and opportunities in which executives and employees can develop, express their individual potential, and make a difference are also a key part of Logitech's success in attracting, motivating, and retaining executives and employees.

The Compensation Committee periodically reviews and analyzes market trends and the prevalence of various compensation delivery vehicles and adjusts the design and operation of our executive compensation program from time to time as it deems necessary and appropriate. In designing and implementing the various elements of our executive compensation program, the Compensation Committee considers market and industry practices, as well as our compensation structure's tax efficiency and its impact on our financial condition. While the Compensation Committee considers all of these factors in its deliberations, it places no formal weighting on any one factor.

The Compensation Committee evaluates our compensation philosophy and program objectives on an annual basis or more frequently as circumstances require.

## Compensation-Setting Process

### Role of the Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee, among its other responsibilities, establishes our overall compensation philosophy and reviews and approves our executive compensation program, including the specific compensation of our executive officers. The Compensation Committee has the authority to retain special counsel and other advisors, including compensation consultants, to assist in carrying out its responsibilities. The Compensation Committee's authority, duties, and responsibilities are described in its charter, which is reviewed annually and updated as warranted. The charter is available on our Company website at <http://ir.logitech.com>.

While the Compensation Committee determines our overall compensation philosophy and approves the compensation of our executive officers, it considers the recommendations of its compensation consultants and other advisors, as well as our CEO, our CFO, our head of People & Culture, and our compensation department. The Compensation Committee makes all final decisions regarding executive compensation, including base salary

levels, target annual cash bonus opportunities, actual cash bonus payments, and long-term incentives in the form of equity awards. The Compensation Committee meets on a regularly-scheduled basis and at other times as needed. The Compensation Committee periodically reviews compensation matters with our Board of Directors. The chair of the Compensation Committee reports to the Board of Directors on the activities of the Compensation Committee at quarterly board meetings and the minutes of the Compensation Committee meetings are available to the members of the Board of Directors.

Before the beginning of each fiscal year, the Compensation Committee reviews our executive compensation program to assess whether our compensation elements, actions, and decisions (i) are properly coordinated, (ii) are aligned with our vision, mission, values, and corporate goals, (iii) provide appropriate short-term and long-term incentives for our executive officers, (iv) achieve their intended purposes, and (v) are competitive with the compensation of executives in comparable positions at the companies





## Compensation Report for Fiscal Year 2016

with which we compete for executive talent. Following this assessment, the Compensation Committee makes any necessary or appropriate modifications to our existing plans and arrangements or adopts new plans or arrangements.

The Compensation Committee also conducts an annual review of our executive compensation strategy to ensure that it is appropriately aligned with our business strategy and achieving our desired objectives. Further, the Compensation Committee reviews market trends and changes in competitive compensation practices, as further described below.

The factors considered by the Compensation Committee in determining the compensation of our executive officers for fiscal year 2016 included:

Each individual executive's performance;

Each individual executive's skills, experience, qualifications and marketability;

The Company's performance against financial goals and objectives;

The Company's performance relative to both industry competitors and its compensation peer group;

The positioning of the amount of each executive's compensation in a ranking of peer compensation;

The compensation practices of the Company's peer group; and

The recommendations of our CEO (except with respect to his own compensation and the compensation of our Executive Chairman) as described below.

The Compensation Committee did not weight these factors in any predetermined or formulaic manner in making its decisions. The members of the Compensation Committee considered this information in light of their individual experience, knowledge of the Company, knowledge of each executive officer, knowledge of the competitive market, and business judgment in making their decisions regarding executive compensation and our executive compensation program.

As part of this process, our Executive Chairman works closely with the Compensation Committee in determining the compensation of our CEO. The Compensation Committee, in consultation with the other non-employee members of the Board of Directors, also evaluates the performance of our Executive Chairman and our CEO each year and makes all decisions regarding their base salary adjustments, target annual cash bonus opportunities, actual cash bonus payments, and long-term incentives in the form of equity awards. Our Executive Chairman and our CEO are not present during any of the deliberations regarding their own compensation.

### **Role of our CEO**

Our CEO works closely with the Compensation Committee in determining the compensation of our other executive officers, excluding our Executive Chairman. Typically, our CEO works with the Compensation Committee to recommend the structure of the annual bonus plan, and to identify and develop corporate performance objectives for such plan, and to evaluate actual performance against the selected measures. Our CEO also works with the Compensation Committee to determine the appropriate form and performance goals for our equity compensation program.

At the beginning of each year, our CEO reviews the prior year's performance of our executive officers who report to him and then makes recommendations to the Compensation Committee for each element of compensation. Using his evaluation of each executive officer's performance and taking into consideration historical compensation awards to our executive officers and our corporate performance during the preceding year, these recommendations cover base salary adjustments, target annual cash bonus opportunities, actual bonus payments, and long-term incentives in the form of equity awards for each of our executive officers (other than himself and our Executive Chairman) based on our results, the individual executive officer's contribution to these results, and his or her performance toward achieving his or her individual performance goals. The Compensation Committee then reviews these



## Compensation Report for Fiscal Year 2016

recommendations and makes decisions as to the target total direct compensation of each executive officer, as well as each individual compensation element.

While the Compensation Committee considers our CEO's recommendations, as well as the competitive market analysis prepared by its compensation consultants, these recommendations and market data serve as only two of several factors in making its decisions with respect to the compensation of our executive officers. Ultimately, the Compensation Committee applies its own business judgment and experience to determine the individual compensation elements and amount of each element for our executive officers. Moreover, no executive officer participates in the determination of the amounts or elements of his or her own compensation.

### Role of Compensation Consultants

Pursuant to its charter, the Compensation Committee has the authority to engage its own legal counsel and other advisors, including compensation consultants, as it determines in its sole discretion, to assist in carrying out its responsibilities. The Compensation Committee makes all determinations regarding the engagement, fees, and services of these advisors, and any such advisor reports directly to the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee may replace its compensation consultant or hire additional advisors at any time.

In fiscal year 2016, pursuant to this authority, the Compensation Committee engaged Compensia, Inc., a U.S. compensation consulting firm, and Agnès Blust Consulting, a Swiss compensation consulting firm. The Compensation Committee engages compensation consultants to provide information, analysis, and other assistance relating to our executive compensation program on an ongoing basis. The nature and scope of the services provided to the Compensation Committee by the independent compensation consultants in fiscal year 2016 were as follows:

reviewed and recommended updates to the compensation peer group;

provided advice with respect to compensation best practices and market trends for executive officers and members of our Board of Directors;

conducted an analysis of the levels of overall compensation and each element of compensation for our executive officers;

conducted an analysis of the levels of overall compensation and each element of compensation for the members of our Board of Directors;

assisted in our equity compensation strategy and proposal for an equity compensation plan pool increase; and

provided legislative updates and ad hoc advice and support throughout the year.

The independent compensation consultants attend Compensation Committee meetings as requested and also communicate with the Compensation Committee outside of meetings. The compensation consultants report to the Compensation Committee rather than to management, although the compensation consultants typically meet with members of management, including our CEO and members of our executive compensation staff, for purposes of understanding proposals that management may make to the Compensation Committee.

The Compensation Committee has assessed the independence of the compensation consultants taking into account, among other things, the six independence-related factors as set forth in Exchange Act Rule 10C-1 issued by the SEC under the Dodd-Frank Act and the enhanced independence standards and factors set forth in the applicable listing standards of the Nasdaq Stock Market, and has concluded that its relationship with each independent compensation consultant and the work of each of them on behalf of the Compensation Committee has not raised any conflict of interest. Compensia and Agnès Blust Consulting have not provided any other services to us and have received no compensation other than with respect to the services described above.



## Compensation Report for Fiscal Year 2016

### Compensation Peer Group

As part of its deliberations, the Compensation Committee considers competitive market data on executive compensation levels and practices and a related analysis of such data. This data is drawn from a select group of peer companies developed by the Compensation Committee, as well as compensation survey data.

For fiscal year 2016, at the direction of the Compensation Committee, the compensation consultant evaluated the existing compensation peer group and used the criteria set forth in the following table to objectively identify companies for inclusion in the group:

<b>Criteria</b>	<b>Rationale</b>
Industry	We compete for talent with companies in the following industries: Technology Consumer Products
Financial Scope	Our Named Executive Officer compensation should be similar to senior managers at companies that have comparable financial characteristics including revenues and market capitalization.
Other Factors	As appropriate, utilize additional refinement criteria (objective or subjective) such as revenue growth, profitability, valuation, headcount, or business model.  U.S. publicly traded companies. Although we are a Swiss company, in certain circumstances we compete for executive management talent with technology companies in the United States, and particularly in the high-technology area of Silicon Valley.

Based on these criteria, the Compensation Committee selected the following peer group of 16 publicly-traded companies, which it subsequently approved and then used as a reference when making compensation decisions with respect to setting compensation for fiscal year 2016:

Belden Inc.	JDS Uniphase	Polycom, Inc.
Brocade Communications Systems, Inc.	Knowles Corporation	Synaptics Inc.
Diebold, Incorporated	Lexmark International, Inc.	Trimble Navigation Limited
Garmin Ltd.	NETGEAR, Inc.	VeriFone Systems, Inc.
GoPro, Inc.	Plantronics, Inc.	Zebra Technologies Corporation
Hasbro, Inc.		

The following table sets forth the revenue and market capitalization of the fiscal 2016 compensation peer group as of March 2015 as compared to the same data for Logitech:

(in millions)	<b>Revenue</b>	<b>Market Capitalization</b>
75 <sup>th</sup> Percentile	\$2,514	\$5,288
50 <sup>th</sup> Percentile	1,804	3,384
25 <sup>th</sup> Percentile	1,325	2,166
Logitech	2,137	2,423
Percentile Rank	58%	30%

*The table reflects available revenue information for four quarters as of March 3, 2015 and 30-day average market capitalization as of March 3, 2015, as provided by Compensia.*



## Compensation Report for Fiscal Year 2016

The market analysis provided by Compensia, and considered by the Compensation Committee in its review of our executive officers' compensation, compares Logitech to multiple sources of data: the compensation peer group described above, a broad custom survey of similarly sized technology companies, and a broad custom survey of technology companies that are larger than Logitech (the "next tier"). The broad technology survey data, which is necessary to provide market data where we do not have publicly disclosed information from our peers, consists of 75 companies that participated in the Radford survey with comparable revenue and market profile to the compensation peer group. The "next tier" data, which provides the Compensation Committee a view of the compensation levels for larger companies from which we compete for talent, consists of 21 technology companies with annual revenue and market cap a tier

higher than Logitech's peer group selection criteria; revenue between ~\$4 billion and \$16 billion and a market cap between ~\$6 billion and \$45 billion.

The Compensation Committee believes that information regarding the compensation practices at other companies is useful in at least two respects. First, the Compensation Committee recognizes that our compensation policies and practices must be competitive in the marketplace. Second, this information is useful in assessing the reasonableness and appropriateness of individual executive compensation elements and of our overall executive compensation packages. This information is only one of several factors (as described above) that the Compensation Committee considers, however, in making its decisions with respect to the compensation of our executive officers.



Compensation Report for Fiscal Year 2016

## Compensation Elements

The three primary elements of our executive compensation programs are (1) base salary, (2) annual cash bonus opportunities, and (3) long-term incentives in the form of equity awards, as described below:

<b>Compensation Element</b>	<b>What This Element Rewards</b>	<b>Purpose and Key Features of Element</b>
Base salary	Individual performance, level of experience, and contributions.	Provides competitive level of fixed compensation determined by the market value of the position, with actual base salaries established based on the facts and circumstances of each executive officer and each individual position.
Annual cash bonuses	Achievement of pre-established corporate performance objectives (for fiscal year 2016, focused on growing revenue and profitability), as well as management objectives and individual contributions.	Motivates executive officers to achieve above target performance  Generally, performance levels are established to incentivize our executive officers to achieve or exceed performance objectives. For fiscal year 2016, payouts for corporate performance objectives could range from 0% to 200%, depending on actual achievement.

## Compensation Report for Fiscal Year 2016

### Compensation Element

Long-term incentives/equity awards

### What This Element Rewards

Achievement of corporate performance objectives designed to enhance long-term shareholder value and attract, retain, motivate, and reward executive officers over extended periods for achieving important corporate objectives.

### Purpose and Key Features of Element

Provide a variable at risk pay opportunity that aligns executive and shareholder interests through annual equity awards that vest over multiple years.

Because the ultimate value of these equity awards is directly related to the market price of our registered shares, and the awards are only earned over an extended period of time subject to vesting, they serve to focus management on the creation and maintenance of long-term shareholder value.

Performance-based equity links compensation to key financial metrics, such as growth and profitability, that require strong performance for target or any substantial vesting to occur, and provides an extraordinary payout if performance significantly exceeds that of the objective or the benchmark group.

Vesting requirements promote retention.

Our executive officers also participate in the standard employee benefit plans available to most of our employees. Each of these compensation elements is discussed in greater detail below, including a description of the particular elements, how each element fits into our overall executive compensation program and a discussion of the amounts of compensation paid to our executive officers in fiscal year 2016 under each of these elements.

### Base Salary

We believe that a competitive base salary is a necessary element of our executive compensation program, so that we can attract and retain a stable management team. Base salaries for our executive officers are also intended to be competitive with those received by other individuals in similar positions at the companies with which we compete for talent, as well as equitable across the executive team.

## Compensation Report for Fiscal Year 2016

Generally, we establish the initial base salaries of our executive officers through arm's-length negotiation at the time we hire the individual executive officer, taking into account his or her position, qualifications, experience, prior salary level, competitive and market considerations, and the base salaries of our other executive officers.

Thereafter, the Compensation Committee reviews the base salaries of our executive officers annually and makes adjustments to base salaries as it determines to be necessary or appropriate.

In fiscal year 2016, the Compensation Committee reviewed the base salaries of our executive officers, taking into consideration a competitive market analysis performed by Compensia, the scope of each executive officer's role, and the recommendations of our CEO (except with respect to his own base salary and the

base salary of our Executive Chairman), as well as the other factors described above. Following this review, the Compensation Committee set the base salaries of our executive officers at levels that it believed were appropriate to maintain their competitiveness and provided a base salary increase to Messrs. Darrell, Pilette and Sullivan. The Compensation Committee approved a base salary increase for Mr. Darrell from \$825,000 to \$875,000. However, Mr. Darrell declined the increase and his base salary remained unchanged for fiscal year 2016. Due to his outstanding performance since joining the Company, the Compensation Committee decided to provide a base salary increase to Mr. Pilette to bring his target total cash compensation in line with executives in comparable positions in the top quartile of our peer group and after taking into consideration the competitive market for high performing CFOs in Silicon Valley.

The base salaries of our executive officers for fiscal year 2016 were as follows:

Named Executive Officer	Fiscal Year 2016 Base Salary	Fiscal Year 2015 Base Salary	Percentage Adjustment
Guerrino De Luca	\$ 500,000	\$ 500,000	0%
Bracken Darrell	\$ 825,000	\$ 825,000	0%
Vincent Pilette <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 600,000	\$ 500,000	20%
	CHF	CHF	
Marcel Stolk	523,510	523,510	0%
L. Joseph Sullivan	\$ 442,500	\$ 427,500	4%

*(1) The base salary increase for Mr. Pilette was effective September 1, 2016*

The base salaries of our executive officers during fiscal year 2016 are set forth in the 2016 Summary Compensation Table below.

### Annual Cash Bonuses

We use annual bonuses to motivate our executive officers to achieve our short-term financial and operational objectives while making progress towards our longer-term growth and other goals. Consistent with our executive compensation philosophy, these annual bonuses are intended to help us to deliver a competitive total compensation opportunity to our executive officers. Annual cash bonuses are entirely performance-based, are not guaranteed, and may vary materially from year-to-year.

Typically, the Compensation Committee establishes cash bonus opportunities pursuant to a formal cash bonus plan that measures and rewards our executive officers for our actual corporate and their individual performance over our fiscal year. The cash bonus plan is designed to pay above-target bonuses when we exceed our annual corporate objectives and below-target bonuses or no bonus when we do not achieve these objectives.

In fiscal year 2016, the Compensation Committee determined cash bonus opportunities for our executive officers pursuant to the cash bonus plan for fiscal year 2016 under the Logitech Management Performance Bonus Plan (the Bonus Plan). Under the Bonus Plan, the Compensation Committee had the authority to select the performance measures and related target levels applicable to the annual cash bonus opportunities for our executive officers.

*Proxy Statement* **76**

---

## Compensation Report for Fiscal Year 2016

### Target Bonus Opportunities

For fiscal year 2016, the target annual cash bonus opportunities for each of our executive officers under the Bonus Plan, expressed as a percentage of his or her annual base salary, were as follows:

Named Executive Officer	Annual Base Salary	Target Bonus Opportunity (as a percentage of base salary)	Target Bonus Opportunity (\$)
Guerrino De Luca	\$500,000	100%	\$500,000
Bracken Darrell	\$825,000	125%	\$1,031,250
Vincent Pilette	\$600,000	100%	\$600,000
Marcel Stolk	CHF 523,510	80%	CHF 418,808
L. Joseph Sullivan	\$442,500	75%	\$331,875

In setting the amount of the target annual cash bonus opportunities, the Compensation Committee takes into account competitive market data and the individual's role and contribution to performance. In review of Mr. Pilette's compensation, the Compensation Committee decided to increase his target annual cash bonus opportunity for fiscal year 2016 from 80% to 100% of base salary to bring his target total cash compensation in line with executives in comparable positions in the top quartile of our peer group and in consideration of the competitive market for high performing CFOs in Silicon Valley. No changes were made to the target annual cash bonus opportunities for the other executive officers for fiscal year 2016.

### Corporate Performance Objectives

For purposes of the Bonus Plan, the Compensation Committee selected Revenue and Non-GAAP Operating Income as the corporate performance measures for fiscal year 2016. Each of these corporate performance measures was equally weighted. The Compensation Committee believed these performance measures were appropriate for our business because they provided a balance between growing our business, generating revenue, managing our expenses, and increasing profitability, which it believes most directly influences long-term shareholder value. The Compensation Committee established target performance levels for each of these

measures at levels that it believed to be challenging, but attainable, through the successful execution of our Board-approved annual operating plan.

For purposes of the Bonus Plan, the corporate performance measures were to be calculated as follows:

Revenue meant Retail Net Sales measured in constant currency (CC), which excludes the impact of currency exchange rate fluctuations. The target constant currency sales are calculated by translating sales in each local currency at the forecast exchange rate for that currency at the beginning of the performance period. The actual revenue in the performance period is translated in each local currency using the same forecast exchange rate to determine the performance achievement against the performance target. For additional information regarding constant currency sales, please refer to the section entitled Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in our Annual Report; and

Non-GAAP Operating Income meant GAAP Operating Income from continuing operations, excluding share-based compensation expense, amortization of other intangible assets, restructuring charges (credits), other restructuring-related charges, one-time special charges and other items.



## Compensation Report for Fiscal Year 2016

The threshold, target, and maximum levels of achievement for each corporate performance measure and their respective payment levels were as follows:

Corporate Performance Measure	Threshold Performance Level	Threshold Payment Level	Target Performance Level	Target Payment Level	Maximum Performance Level	Maximum Payment Level
Revenue CC	95%		100%		103%	
Non-GAAP Operating Income	84%		100%		133%	

For any bonus payment to be made under the fiscal year 2016 Bonus Plan, the threshold performance requirements had to be met for each of the corporate performance measures. In the event of actual performance between the threshold and target, and

target and maximum, performance levels, the payment amount was to be calculated ratably between each designated segment on a linear basis.

The Compensation Committee established the following target levels for each of the corporate performance measures under the Bonus Plan:

Corporate Performance Measure	Weighting	Fiscal Year 2016 Target Level
Revenue CC	50%	\$1,895M
Non-GAAP Operating Income	50%	\$150M

### Individual and Business Group Performance

For executive officers who are business group or regional leaders we factor in financial metrics with respect to their areas of responsibility, which the Compensation Committee believes are critical to driving long-term shareholder value. As a result, Mr. Stolck's target annual cash bonus opportunity was based 50% on achievement of the corporate performance measures described above and 50% on measures specific to the performance of the business group for which he is responsible.

In addition to the corporate performance objectives, 25% of the annual cash bonuses for our executive officers, other than our CEO and our Executive Chairman, can be adjusted based on each executive officer's individual performance and other factors as reviewed and assessed by our CEO.

### 2016 Performance Results and Bonus Decisions

For fiscal year 2016, the Compensation Committee determined that our actual achievement with respect to the corporate financial objectives under the Bonus Plan was as follows:

Corporate Performance Measure	Weighting	Fiscal Year 2016 Target Level	Fiscal Year 2016 Actual Result	Fiscal Year 2016 Funding Percentage
Revenue CC	50%	\$1,895M	\$1,934M	163%
Non-GAAP Operating Income	50%	\$150M	\$179M	158%
<b>Calculated Result</b>				<b>160%</b>
<b>Adjusted Result</b>				<b>135%</b>





## Compensation Report for Fiscal Year 2016

The actual achievement under the Bonus Plan produced a funding percentage based on the corporate performance measures at a 160% level. While the Committee recognized the significant challenge in achieving both 9% Revenue growth and substantially higher-than-expected operating profitability, the Committee also took into consideration other accomplishments that positively impacted the Bonus Plan funding percentage results such as the divestiture of Lifesize and the exit of the OEM business. As a result, and based on management's recommendation, the Committee determined to lower the funding percentage based on corporate performance to a 135% level. The

Committee believed that this level took account of both the strong results produced by the Company and the executive officers and the effect of factors that were not fully determinable when the Bonus Plan design for fiscal year 2016 was approved.

Based on its review of our overall corporate and business group performance, and taking into account the CEO's recommendations with respect to individual performance for the executive officers, other than himself and the Executive Chairman, the Compensation Committee approved bonus payments as follows for our executive officers for fiscal year 2016:

<b>Named Executive Officer</b>	<b>Target Annual Cash Bonus Opportunity</b>	<b>Actual Annual Cash Bonus Payment</b>	<b>Percentage of Target Annual Cash Bonus Opportunity</b>
Guerrino De Luca	\$500,000	\$675,000	135%
Bracken Darrell	\$1,031,250	\$1,392,188	135%
Vincent Pilette	\$600,000	\$870,000	145%
Marcel Stolk	CHF 418,808	CHF 565,391	135%
L. Joseph Sullivan	\$331,875	\$464,625	140%

The Compensation Committee determined that the bonus amount for:

Messrs. De Luca and Darrell reflected the achievement of the corporate performance measures described above.

Mr. Pilette appropriately reflected his strong performance in reducing operating expenses, reorganizing and managing the Finance organization and contributing to the strong performance of the Company and various strategic initiatives including the divestiture of Lifesize and the acquisition of Jaybird.

Mr. Stolk reflected the achievement of the corporate performance measures described above and business group performance for which he is responsible.

Mr. Sullivan reflected his performance in cost and inventory management and managing the worldwide operations of the Company.

The annual cash bonuses paid to our executive officers for fiscal year 2016 are set forth in the 2016 Summary Compensation Table below.

### Long-Term Incentive Compensation

We use long-term incentive compensation in the form of equity awards to motivate our executive officers by providing them with the opportunity to build an equity interest in the Company and to share in the potential appreciation of the value of our registered shares. We use performance-based restricted stock unit ( PSU ) and restricted stock unit ( RSU ) awards that may be settled for shares of our common stock as the principal vehicles for delivering long-term incentive compensation opportunities to our executive officers. The Compensation Committee views equity awards, whether the awards are subject to time-based vesting requirements or are to be earned based on the attainment of specific performance objectives, as inherently variable since the grant date fair



## Compensation Report for Fiscal Year 2016

value of these awards may not necessarily be indicative of their value when, and if, our registered shares underlying these awards are ever earned or purchased. The Compensation Committee further believes these awards enable us to attract and retain key talent in our industry and aligns our executive officers' interests with the long-term interests of our shareholders. The Compensation Committee uses PSUs and RSUs because they are less dilutive than stock options.

At the beginning of fiscal year 2016, the Compensation Committee approved equity awards for our executive officers in recognition of our financial results and each executive officer's individual performance for fiscal year 2015 and expected future contributions. In determining the amount of each executive officer's equity award, the Compensation Committee took into consideration the recommendations of our CEO (except with respect to his own equity award and the Executive Chairman's equity award), as well as the factors described above. The Compensation Committee considers the dilutive

effect of our long-term incentive compensation practices, and the overall impact that these equity awards, as well as awards to other employees, will have on shareholder value. The Compensation Committee also considered the existing equity holdings of each executive officer, including the current economic value of their unvested equity awards and the ability of these unvested holdings to satisfy our retention objectives.

The equity awards for our executive officers were composed of 60% performance-based RSUs ( PSUs ) and 40% time-based RSUs that may be settled for our registered shares, except for Mr. Pilette, who received his award as 50% PSUs and 50% time-based RSUs. During fiscal year 2015, the Compensation Committee, as part of its risk analysis, determined that certain roles within our finance department, including our CFO, should receive more of their equity in time-based RSUs than awards based on financial results. The equity awards granted to our executive officers in fiscal year 2016 were as follows:

Named Executive Officer	Performance Share Units		Restricted Stock Units	
	Number of Shares	Grant Date Fair Value	Number of Shares	Grant Date Fair Value
Guerrino De Luca	22,382	\$301,150	14,922	\$193,091
Bracken Darrell	223,818	\$3,011,471	149,212	\$1,930,803
Vincent Pilette	74,606	\$1,003,824	74,606	\$965,402
Marcel Stolk	33,574	\$451,738	22,382	\$286,567
L. Joseph Sullivan	26,860	\$361,401	17,906	\$231,704

### *Performance-Based RSUs*

The PSU awards granted to our executive officers in fiscal year 2016 were to be earned based on two performance measures: 50% on Logitech's relative total shareholder return ( TSR ) and 50% on achievement of a Non-GAAP Operating Margin metric. Prior to fiscal year 2016, the PSU awards were based solely on TSR. However, beginning in fiscal year 2016, the Compensation Committee included a Non-GAAP Operating Margin metric to the PSU awards based on its belief that measuring a company's performance with multiple metrics provides a more complete picture of the Company's performance.

### *Relative TSR*

The PSUs under this portion of the award are performance-based compensation because Logitech's relative total shareholder return performance must be at or above the minimum threshold percentile against the Nasdaq-100 Index over the three-year performance period in order for the executive officer to earn any shares from the PSU award. If, at the end of the performance period, threshold performance is achieved, the number of shares in which the executive officer vests is pro-rated according to the Company's actual level of performance.



## Compensation Report for Fiscal Year 2016

The Compensation Committee believes this measure is well aligned to shareholders' interest as it focuses on relative share performance against other mid- to large-size technology companies.

For purposes of the PSUs, relative TSR reflects (i) the aggregate change in the 30-day average closing of Logitech shares against the companies in the Nasdaq-100

Index, and (ii) the value (if any) returned to shareholders in the form of dividends or similar distributions, assumed to be reinvested in shares when paid, each at the beginning and the end of a three-year performance period.

The vesting structure of the fiscal year 2016 PSUs is summarized below:

<b>Percentile Rank of Logitech TSR Against Nasdaq-100 Index TSR</b>	<b>Percentage of Shares that Vest</b>
Below 30 <sup>th</sup> Percentile Rank (threshold)	0%
30 <sup>th</sup> Percentile Rank	50%
50 <sup>th</sup> Percentile Rank (target)	100%
75 <sup>th</sup> Percentile Rank and Above (maximum)	150%

The vested percentage attributable to a TSR Percentile Rank between the 30<sup>th</sup> and 50<sup>th</sup> percentiles, or between the 50<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> percentiles, is determined by straight-line interpolation.

### *Non-GAAP Operating Margin*

The PSUs under this portion of the award are eligible to vest only if Logitech achieves a target level of Non-GAAP Operating Margin over four consecutive trailing quarters during the three-year performance period. Non-GAAP Operating Margin is defined as Logitech's four-consecutive-quarter cumulative Non-GAAP Operating Income (as reported by the Company in or at the time of its quarterly earnings press release furnished to the SEC and/or submitted to the SIX Swiss Stock Exchange), excluding OEM and Lifesize results, divided by Logitech's four-consecutive-quarter cumulative Net Sales (as similarly reported by the Company) excluding OEM and Lifesize results. Provided the performance requirement is achieved within the three-year timeframe, the award will vest over three years.

### *PSUs Vesting in Fiscal Year 2016*

The PSUs granted in April 2013 completed the 3-year measurement period on March 31, 2016 and vested on April 15, 2016 at 150% of target. The amount vesting was dependent on Logitech's Total Shareholder Return (TSR) relative to the NASDAQ 100 over the performance period from April 1, 2013 through March 31, 2016 and Logitech's percentile ranking. Our average stock price at

the beginning of the period was \$6.86 and our ending average stock price was \$16.98 (assuming dividends were reinvested). Therefore, for this period our TSR was 147.43% and our stock performed above the 90th percentile which resulted in a 150% payout.

For the PSUs granted in March and April 2015, the target level of 9% Non-GAAP Operating Margin was achieved over the four quarters of fiscal year 2016. Therefore, 100% of the shares of those PSUs are eligible to vest and one-third of the shares vested on May 15, 2016. The remaining two-thirds of the award will vest thereafter in equal annual installments over the next two years.

### *Restricted Stock Unit Awards*

The RSU awards granted to our executive officers in fiscal year 2016 were subject to a time-based vesting requirement and have a four-year vesting period, in four equal annual installments based on the continued service of the executive officer on each such vesting date.

## Edgar Filing: - Form

The equity awards granted to our executive officers in fiscal year 2016 are set forth in the 2016 Summary Compensation Table and the 2016 Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table below.

### **Welfare and Health Benefits**

We maintain a tax-qualified retirement plan under Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code), for our employees in the U.S., including our executive officers, that provides them with an opportunity to save for retirement on a tax-advantaged

81 *Proxy Statement*

---

## Compensation Report for Fiscal Year 2016

basis. We intend for this plan to qualify under Sections 401(a) and 501(a) of the Code so that contributions by employees to the plan, and income earned on plan contributions, are not taxable to employees until distributed from the plan. In addition, all contributions are deductible by us when made.

All participants' interests in their deferrals are 100% vested when contributed under the plan. In fiscal year 2016, we made matching contributions into the Section 401(k) plan for our employees, including our executive officers. Under the plan, pre-tax contributions are allocated to each participant's individual account and are then invested in selected investment alternatives according to the participants' directions.

In compliance with the Swiss federal pension law, we maintain a Cash Balance pension plan for our employees in Switzerland, including Mr. Stolk, with employee and employer contributions, which provides benefits in case of retirement, death or disability due to sickness.

In addition, we provide other benefits to our executive officers on the same basis as all of our full-time employees. These benefits include health, dental and vision benefits, health and dependent care flexible spending accounts, short-term and long-term disability insurance, accidental death and dismemberment insurance, and basic life insurance coverage. We provide vacation and other paid holidays to all employees, including our executive officers. We also offer our employees the opportunity to participate in the Logitech Employee Share Purchase Plans.

We design our employee benefits programs to be affordable and competitive in relation to the market, as well as compliant with applicable laws and practices. We adjust our employee benefits programs as needed based on regular monitoring of applicable laws and practices, the competitive market and our employees' needs.

### **Deferred Compensation Plan**

Eligible employees, including our executive officers based in the United States, may also participate in the Logitech Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan and a predecessor plan, which are unfunded and unsecured plans that allow employees of Logitech Inc., the Logitech subsidiary in the United States, who earn more than a threshold amount the opportunity to defer U.S. taxes on up to 80% of their base salary and up to 90% of their bonus or commission compensation.

Under the plan, compensation may be deferred until termination of employment or other specified dates chosen by the participants, and deferred amounts are credited with earnings based on investment benchmarks chosen by the participants from a number of mutual funds selected by Logitech Inc.'s 401(k) and Deferred Compensation Committee. The earnings credited to the participants are intended to be funded solely by the plan investments. Logitech does not make contributions to this plan. Information regarding executive officer participation in the deferred compensation plans can be found in the "Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Table for Fiscal Year 2016" below.

Because the executive officers do not receive preferential or above-market rates of return under the deferred compensation plan, earnings under the plan are not included in the Summary Compensation table, but are included in the Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Table below.

### **Perquisites and Other Personal Benefits**

Currently, we do not view perquisites or other personal benefits as a significant component of our executive compensation program. Accordingly, Logitech's executive officer benefit programs are substantially the same as for all other eligible employees. All future practices with respect to perquisites or other personal benefits will be approved and subject to periodic review by the Compensation Committee.

## Compensation Report for Fiscal Year 2016

### Employment Arrangements

We have extended written employment agreements or offer letters or both to each of our executive officers, including our CEO and our other executive officers. Each of these arrangements was approved on our behalf by our Board of Directors or the Compensation Committee, as applicable. We believe that these arrangements were appropriate to induce these individuals to forego other employment opportunities or leave their current employer for the uncertainty of a demanding position in a new and unfamiliar organization.

In filling these executive positions, our Board of Directors or the Compensation Committee, as applicable, was aware that it would be necessary to recruit or retain candidates with the requisite experience and skills to manage a growing business in a dynamic environment.

Accordingly, it recognized that it would need to develop competitive compensation packages to attract or retain qualified candidates in a highly-competitive labor market. At the same time, our Board of Directors or the Compensation Committee, as applicable, was sensitive to the need to integrate new executive officers into the executive compensation structure that it was seeking to develop, balancing both competitive and internal equity considerations.

Each of these employment arrangements provides for at will employment and sets forth the initial compensation arrangements for the executive officer, including an initial base salary, a target annual cash bonus opportunity, and, in some instances, a recommendation for an equity award.

### Post-Employment Compensation

In 2015, to comply with the Minder Ordinance, we eliminated all change of control and severance arrangements with our executive officers, including all members of our Group Management Team. However, the Company continues to grant double trigger change of control arrangements with respect to time-based vesting in equity award agreements, and double trigger change of control equity vesting acceleration arrangements in outstanding equity awards remain in effect.

The purpose of the Change of Control provisions in equity award agreements is to support retention in the event of a prospective change of control. The RSU and PSU award agreements for our executive officers generally provide for the acceleration of vesting of the RSUs and PSUs subject to the award agreements if the executive officer is subject to an involuntary termination within 12 months after a change of control because his or her employment is terminated without cause or the executive resigns for good reason (a double trigger).

In the event of an involuntary termination within 12 months after a change of control with respect to awards granted before fiscal year 2015:

All unvested shares subject to the RSUs will vest in full.

100% of the shares subject to the PSUs will vest if the change of control occurred within 1 year after the grant date of the PSUs. If the change of control occurs more than 1 year after the grant date of the PSUs, the number of shares subject to the PSU that will vest will be determined by applying the performance criteria under the PSUs as if the performance period had ended on the date of the change of control.

In the event of an involuntary termination within 12 months after a change of control with respect to awards granted in fiscal year 2015 or later:

All RSUs and PSUs containing time-based elements would accelerate in full with respect to shares that are subject to time-based vesting.

No shares subject to performance-based vesting requirements would accelerate.





## Compensation Report for Fiscal Year 2016

To determine the level of acceleration of equity awards that may be provided in connection with a change of control, the Compensation Committee considered the requirements of the Minder Ordinance, the impact on shareholders, and market practices.

Logitech does not provide any payments to reimburse its executive officers for additional taxes incurred (also known as gross-ups ) in connection with a change of control.

For a summary of the post-employment compensation arrangements with our executive officers, see *Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control* below.

## Other Compensation Policies

### Stock Ownership Policy

We believe that stock ownership by our directors and executive officers is important to link the risks and rewards inherent in stock ownership of these individuals and our shareholders. The Compensation Committee

has adopted a stock ownership policy that requires our executive officers to own a minimum number of our registered shares. These mandatory ownership levels are intended to create a clear standard that ties a portion of these individuals' net worth to the performance of our stock price. The current ownership levels are as follows:

<b>Named Executive Officer</b>	<b>Minimum Required Level of Stock Ownership</b>
Chief Executive Officer	5x Base Salary
Chief Financial Officer	3x Base Salary
Other Executive Officers	2x Base Salary

Equity interests that count toward the satisfaction of the ownership guidelines include shares owned outright by the executive officer and 50% of vested, unexercised stock options. Newly hired or promoted executives have five years from the date of the commencement of their appointment to attain these ownership levels. The CEO must hold 100% of his after-tax shares until the ownership requirements are met. The other executive officers must hold at least 50% of their after-tax shares until the ownership requirements are met. If an executive officer does not meet the applicable guideline by the end of the five-year period, the executive officer will have 50% of the after-tax value of any earned bonuses under the Leadership Team Bonus Program paid in fully vested Logitech shares. Our CEO and each of our other executive officers have either currently satisfied his or her required stock ownership levels or have remaining time to achieve the required levels of ownership.

Additionally, we have instituted stock ownership guidelines for our non-employee directors. For information regarding these guidelines, see the section entitled *Security Ownership - Share Ownership Guidelines* above.

### Compensation Recovery Policy

In June 2010, the Compensation Committee adopted a policy regarding the recovery of compensation paid to an executive officer or the principal accounting officer of the Company (a clawback ). Under the terms of the policy we may recover bonus amounts, equity awards or other incentive compensation awarded or paid within the prior three years to a covered officer if the Compensation Committee determines the compensation was based on any performance goals that were met or exceeded as a result, in whole or in part, of the officer's fraud or misconduct, or the officer knew at the time of the existence of fraud or misconduct that resulted in performance goals being met or exceeded, and a lower amount would otherwise have been awarded or paid to the officer. In addition, under the policy Logitech may recover gains realized on the exercise of stock options or on the sale of vested shares by

## Edgar Filing: - Form

an executive officer or the principal accounting officer if, within three years after the date of the gains or sales, Logitech discloses the need for a significant financial restatement, other than a financial restatement solely because of revisions to U.S. GAAP,

*Proxy Statement* **84**

---

## Compensation Report for Fiscal Year 2016

and the Compensation Committee determines that the officer's fraud or misconduct caused or partially caused the need for the restatement, or the covered officer knew at the time of the existence of fraud or misconduct that resulted in the need for such restatement.

In addition, our 2006 Stock Incentive Plan and our Management Performance Bonus Plan provide that awards under the plans are suspended or forfeited if the plan participant, whether or not an executive officer:

has committed an act of embezzlement, fraud or breach of fiduciary duty;

makes an unauthorized disclosure of any Logitech trade secret or confidential information; or

induces any customer to breach a contract with Logitech.

Any decision to suspend or cause a forfeiture of any award held by an executive officer under the 2006 Stock Incentive Plan or the Management Performance Bonus Plan is subject to the approval of the Board of Directors. The Compensation Committee will amend the policy, as necessary, to comply with the final SEC rules regarding claw-back policies required by the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act.

### Equity Award Grant Practices

#### *Determination of long-term equity incentive awards*

The Compensation Committee is responsible for approving which executive officers should receive equity incentive awards, when the awards should be made, the vesting schedule, and the number of shares or other rights to be granted. Long-term equity incentive awards to executive officers may be granted only by the Compensation Committee or the full Board of Directors. The Compensation Committee regularly reports its activity, including approvals of grants, to the Board.

#### *Timing of grants*

Long-term equity incentive award grants to executive officers are typically and predominantly approved at regularly scheduled, predetermined meetings of the

Compensation Committee. These meetings are generally scheduled at least 18 months in advance and take place before the regularly scheduled, predetermined meetings of the full Board. On limited occasions, grants may be approved at an interim meeting of the Compensation Committee or by written consent, for the purpose of approving the hiring and compensation package for newly hired or promoted executives.

In fiscal year 2016, grants were made to non-executive new hires and promoted employees through regularly scheduled monthly written consents of the Compensation Committee or approval by the CEO pursuant to authority delegated to him by the Compensation Committee. We do not have any program, plan, or practice to select equity compensation grant dates in coordination with the release of material non-public information, nor do we time the release of information for the purpose of affecting value. We do not backdate options or grant options retroactively.

### Derivatives Trading, Hedging, and Pledging Policies

We have adopted a policy prohibiting our employees, including our executive officers, and members of our Board of Directors from speculating in our equity securities, including the use of short sales, sales against the box or any equivalent transaction involving our equity securities. In addition, they may not engage in any other hedging transactions, such as cashless collars, forward sales, equity swaps and other similar or related arrangements, with respect to the securities that they hold. Finally, no employee, including an executive officer or member of our Board of Directors may acquire, sell, or trade in any interest or position relating to the future price of our equity securities.

We also have adopted a policy prohibiting the pledging of our securities by our employees, including our executive officers, and members of our Board of Directors.



## Compensation Report for Fiscal Year 2016

### Tax and Accounting Considerations

#### Accounting and Tax Treatment of Executive Compensation

Favorable accounting and tax treatment of the various elements of our executive compensation program is a relevant consideration in its design.

However, the Company and the Compensation Committee have placed a higher priority on structuring flexible compensation programs to promote the recruitment, retention, and performance of our officers than on maximizing tax deductibility. Section 162(m) of the Code, as amended (the Tax Code), places a limit of \$1 million on the amount of compensation that Logitech may deduct in any one year with respect to certain executive officers. The Compensation Committee has the ability through the use of the Logitech International S.A. 2006 Stock Incentive Plan to grant awards that

qualify as performance-based compensation exempt from that \$1 million limitation but, to maintain flexibility in compensating executive officers in a manner designed to promote varying corporate goals, the Compensation Committee has not adopted a policy requiring all compensation to be deductible, and has in the past and will in the future make compensation awards that do not qualify to be exempt from the \$1 million limitation when it believes that it is appropriate to meet its compensation objectives.

In addition to considering the tax consequences, the Compensation Committee considers the accounting consequences, including the impact of the Financial Accounting Standard Board's Accounting Standards Codification Section 718, on its decisions in determining the forms of different equity awards.

### Compensation Risks Assessment

The Compensation Committee conducts an annual review, with the assistance of its compensation consultant, of Logitech's compensation programs to assess the risks associated with their design and associated risk controls. The Compensation Committee reviews in particular the following compensation programs and associated practices:

Equity awards granted under the 2006 Stock Incentive Plan.

Management Performance Bonus Plan.

Employee Performance Bonus Plan.

Sales Commission Plans.

Change of Control Agreements.

As in past years, based on its March 2016 review, the Compensation Committee has concluded that our compensation policies and practices do not create risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company.

## Compensation Report for Fiscal Year 2016

### Report of the Compensation Committee

The Logitech Compensation Committee, which is composed solely of independent members of the Logitech Board of Directors, assists the Board in fulfilling its responsibilities with regard to compensation matters. The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of this Compensation Report with management. Based on this review and discussion, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in Logitech's 2016 Invitation and Proxy Statement and Annual Report.

#### **Compensation Committee**

Sally Davis, Chairperson  
Neil Hunt  
Dimitri Panayotopoulos

87 *Proxy Statement*

---

## Compensation Report for Fiscal Year 2016

## Summary Compensation Table for Fiscal Year 2016

The following table provides information regarding the compensation and benefits earned during fiscal years 2016, 2015, and 2014 by our named executive officers. For more information, please refer to the Compensation Disclosure and Analysis, as well as the Narrative Disclosure to Summary Compensation Table and Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table.

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards (\$) <sup>(1)</sup>	Option Awards (\$)	Non-equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$) <sup>(2)</sup>	Changes in Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$)	All Other Compensation (\$) <sup>(3)</sup>	Total (\$)
<b>Guerrino De Luca</b> <sup>(4)</sup> Chairman of the Board	FY16	500,000		494,241		675,000		22,820	1,692,061
	FY15	500,000		427,389		565,000		18,994	1,511,383
	FY14	500,000	460,000	2,684,200		575,000		15,764	4,234,964
<b>Bracken Darrell</b> <sup>(5)</sup> President and Chief Executive Officer	FY16	825,000		4,942,274		1,392,188		49,875	7,209,337
	FY15	825,000		4,408,594		1,165,313		27,531	6,426,438
	FY14	750,000		3,279,270		862,500		13,767	4,905,537
<b>Vincent Pilette</b> <sup>(6)</sup> Chief Financial Officer	FY16	557,308		1,969,226		870,000		65,680	3,462,214
	FY15	500,000		2,701,247		560,000		16,816	3,778,063
	FY14	286,538		5,067,550		512,000		2,673	5,868,761
<b>Marcel Stolk</b> <sup>(7)</sup> Senior Vice President, CCP Business Group	FY16	538,587		738,305		581,674		100,056	1,958,622
	FY15	564,558	345,091	826,097		546,492		104,583	2,386,821
	FY14	535,714		1,100,100		589,643		105,517	2,330,974
<b>L. Joseph Sullivan</b> Senior Vice President, Worldwide Operations	FY16	442,385		593,105		464,625		22,364	1,522,479
	FY15	427,500		545,602		362,306		17,687	1,353,095
	FY14	415,000		733,400		385,950		14,418	1,548,768

(1) These amounts do not represent the actual economic value realized by the named executive officer. Under SEC rules, the values reported in the Stock Awards column reflect the aggregate grant date fair value of grants stock awards to each of the listed officers in the fiscal years shown. The key assumptions and methodology of valuation of stock awards and stock options are presented in Note 5 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Logitech's Annual Report to Shareholders. No stock options were granted to our named executive officers during fiscal years 2014, 2015 or 2016.

For FY16: The amount shown includes an aggregate grant date fair value of the shares issuable for PSUs granted in fiscal year 2016 at target achievement. Assuming the highest level of performance is achieved, the maximum possible value of the PSUs allocated in FY16, using the market value of our shares on the grant date of the PSUs, was: (a) in the case of Mr. De Luca, \$399,519; (b) in the case of Mr. Darrell, \$3,995,151; (c) in the case of Mr. Pilette \$1,331,717; (d) in the case of Mr. Stolk, \$599,296; and (e) in the case of Mr. Sullivan, \$479,451.

For FY15: The amount shown includes an aggregate grant date fair value of the shares issuable for PSUs granted in fiscal year 2015 at target achievement. Assuming the highest level of performance is achieved, the maximum possible value of the PSUs allocated in FY15, using the market value of our shares on the grant date of the PSUs, was: (a) in the case of Mr. De Luca, \$402,062; (b) in the case of Mr. Darrell, \$4,147,341; (c) in the case of Mr. Pilette \$1,851,528; (d) in the case of Mr. Stolk, \$779,949; and (e) in the case of Mr. Sullivan, \$518,509.

For FY14: The amount shown includes an aggregate grant date fair value of the shares issuable for PSUs granted in fiscal year 2014 at target achievement. Assuming the highest level of performance is achieved, the maximum possible value of the PSUs allocated in FY14, using the market value of our shares on the grant date of the PSUs, was: (a) in the case of Mr. De Luca, \$315,450; (b) in the case of Mr. Darrell, \$2,839,050; (c) in the case of Mr. Stolk, \$946,350; and (d) in the case of Mr. Sullivan, \$630,900.



## Compensation Report for Fiscal Year 2016

- (2) Except as noted below, reflects amounts earned under the Logitech Management Performance Bonus Plan. This non-equity incentive plan compensation was earned during the applicable fiscal year but, for executive officers, was paid during the next fiscal year in accordance with the terms of the Logitech Management Performance Bonus Plan.
- (3) Details regarding the various amounts included in this column are provided in the following table entitled *All Other Compensation*.
- (4) Mr. De Luca received a bonus of \$460,000 and an RSU grant of 250,000 shares in fiscal year 2014 in recognition for his service as Logitech's acting Chief Executive Officer from July 2011 through January 2013.
- (5) Mr. Darrell declined his salary increase for fiscal year 2016 as described above in *Compensation Disclosure and Analysis Compensation Elements Base Salary*.
- (6) Mr. Pilette joined the Company as Chief Financial Officer on September 3, 2013.
- (7) Mr. Stolk's fiscal year 2016 compensation amounts in Swiss Francs were converted using the 12 month average (April 2015 to March 2016) exchange rate of 1 Swiss Franc to 1.0288 U.S. Dollars. Mr. Stolk's fiscal year 2015 compensation amounts in Swiss Francs were converted using the 12 month average (April 2014 to March 2015) exchange rate of 1 Swiss Franc to 1.0784 U.S. Dollars. Mr. Stolk's fiscal year 2014 compensation amounts in Swiss Francs were converted using the 12 month average (April 2013 to March 2014) exchange rate of 1 Swiss Franc to 1.13 U.S. Dollars. In January 2015, Mr. Stolk received a special retention bonus of CHF 320,000 (or \$345,091 in U.S. Dollars) in recognition of his leadership role in helping transform Logitech.

## ALL OTHER COMPENSATION TABLE

Name	Year	Tax Preparation Services (\$)	401(k) (\$) <sup>(1)</sup>	Group Term Life Insurance (\$)	Relocation or Travel in lieu of Relocation (\$) <sup>(2)</sup>	Defined Benefit Pension Plan Employer Contrib. (\$) <sup>(3)</sup>	Other Awards (\$)	Total (\$)
Guerrino De Luca	FY16		7,565	15,255				22,820
	FY15		7,800	11,194				18,994
Bracken Darrell	FY14		7,650	8,114				15,764
	FY16	33,695	6,998	9,182				49,875
	FY15	12,181	8,559	6,791				27,531
Vincent Pilette	FY14	1,525	7,650	4,592				13,767
	FY16		8,835	4,947	51,898			65,680
	FY15		8,473	2,946	1,672		3,725	16,816
Marcel Stolk	FY14		1,731	942				2,673
	FY16					100,056		100,056
	FY15					104,583		104,583
L. Joseph Sullivan	FY14					105,517		105,517
	FY16	983	8,101	13,280				22,364
	FY15		7,673	9,592			422	17,687
	FY14		7,650	6,768				14,418

- (1) Represents 401(k) savings plan matching contributions, which are available to all of our regular employees who are on our U.S. payroll.
- (2) Represents costs associated with Mr. Pilette's extended business travel.
- (3) Represents the matching contributions to the Logitech Employee Pension Fund in Switzerland for Mr. Stolk, which are available to all of our similarly-situated regular employees who are on our Swiss payroll.



## Compensation Report for Fiscal Year 2016

## Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table for Fiscal Year 2016

The following table sets forth certain information regarding grants of plan-based awards to each of our executive officers during fiscal year 2016. For more information, please refer to the Compensation Disclosure and Analysis.

Name	Type	Grant Date (MM/DD/YY)	Approval Date	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards <sup>(1)</sup>				Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards			All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares or Units (#) <sup>(3)</sup>	Grant Date Fair Value (\$) <sup>(4)</sup>
				Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)	Actual (\$) <sup>(2)</sup>	Threshold (#)	Target (#)	Maximum (#)		
Guerrino De Luca	RSU	04/15/15	04/15/15								14,922	193,000
	PSU <sup>(5)</sup>	04/15/15	04/15/15					5,596	11,191	16,787		153,300
	PSU <sup>(6)</sup>	04/15/15	04/15/15					11,191	11,191	11,191		147,800
	Bonus	n/a	n/a	187,500	500,000	1,000,000	675,000					
Bracken Darrell	RSU	04/15/15	04/15/15								149,212	1,930,800
	PSU <sup>(5)</sup>	04/15/15	04/15/15					55,955	111,909	167,864		1,533,100
	PSU <sup>(6)</sup>	04/15/15	04/15/15					111,909	111,909	111,909		1,478,300
	Bonus	n/a	n/a	386,719	1,031,250	2,062,500	1,392,188					
Vincent Pilette	RSU	04/15/15	04/15/15								74,606	965,400
	PSU <sup>(5)</sup>	04/15/15	04/15/15					18,652	37,303	55,955		511,000
	PSU <sup>(6)</sup>	04/15/15	04/15/15					37,303	37,303	37,303		492,700
	Bonus	n/a	n/a	225,000	600,000	1,200,000	870,000					
Marcel Stolk	RSU	04/15/15	04/15/15								22,382	286,500
	PSU <sup>(5)</sup>	04/15/15	04/15/15					8,394	16,787	25,181		229,900
	PSU <sup>(6)</sup>	04/15/15	04/15/15					16,787	16,787	16,787		221,700
	Bonus	n/a	n/a	161,576	430,870	861,739	581,674					
L. Joseph Sullivan	RSU	04/15/15	04/15/15								17,906	231,700
	PSU <sup>(5)</sup>	04/15/15	04/15/15					6,715	13,430	20,145		183,900
	PSU <sup>(6)</sup>	04/15/15	04/15/15					13,430	13,430	13,430		177,400
	Bonus	n/a	n/a	124,453	331,875	663,750	464,625					

- (1) The amounts in these columns reflect potential payouts with respect to each applicable performance period for the fiscal year 2016 bonus programs under the Bonus Plan described in Compensation Discussion and Analysis above.
- (2) The amounts in this column reflect actual payouts with respect to each applicable performance period for the fiscal year 2016 bonus programs under the Bonus Plan. The actual payout amounts are reflected in the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column of the Summary Compensation Table for fiscal year 2016.
- (3) RSUs vest at a rate of 25% per year over four years, on each yearly anniversary of the grant date.
- (4) These amounts do not represent the actual economic value realized by the named executive officer. Amounts in this column represent the grant date fair value of RSUs calculated in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 718 but does not include any reduction for estimated forfeitures. For performance-based RSUs ( PSUs ) based on Total Shareholder Return ( TSR ), that number is calculated by multiplying the value determined using the Monte Carlo method by the target number of units awarded. For RSUs and PSUs based on Non-GAAP Operating Income Margin, that number is calculated based on the closing price of Logitech shares on the grant date multiplied by the number of shares granted, adjusted for dividend yield. The key assumptions for the valuation of the PSUs are presented in Note 5 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Logitech's Annual Report to Shareholders and Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal year 2016.



## Compensation Report for Fiscal Year 2016

- (5) *Represents PSUs based on TSR. All shares subject to the PSU vesting conditions are unvested. The actual amount, if any, of shares that will vest under the PSU grants will not be known until March 31, 2018. The actual amount, if any, that may vest depends on Logitech's TSR performance versus the Nasdaq-100 Index TSR benchmark over the performance period.*
- (6) *Represents PSUs based on Non-GAAP Operating Income Margin. All shares subject to the PSU have achieved the performance vesting condition. One-third of the shares vested under the PSU grants on May 15, 2016. The remaining two-thirds of the shares will vest in two equal installments on April 15, 2017 and April 15, 2018.*
- (7) *Mr. Stolk's bonus amounts were converted using the 12 month average (April 2015 to March 2016) exchange rate of 1 Swiss Franc to 1.0288 U.S. Dollars.*

## Narrative Disclosure to Summary Compensation Table and Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table

### Employment Agreements and Offer Letters

We have entered into employment agreements or offer letters with each of our named executive officers. The employment agreements and offer letters generally provide that the compensation of the named executive officer is subject to the sole discretion of the Compensation Committee or the Board of Directors. The compensation earned by the named executive officers in fiscal year 2016 was not the result of any terms of their employment agreements or offer letters.

### Performance-Based Vesting Conditions

Please refer to Compensation Disclosure and Analysis Compensation Elements Annual Cash Bonuses for a discussion of the performance measures applicable to the Bonus Plan during fiscal year 2016. In addition, please refer to Compensation Disclosure and Analysis Compensation Elements Long-Term Incentive Compensation for a discussion of performance measures under the PSUs granted to executive officers during fiscal year 2016.

## Compensation Report for Fiscal Year 2016

## Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year 2016 Year-End Table

The following table provides information regarding outstanding equity awards for each of our named executive officers as of March 31, 2016. This table includes unexercised and unvested stock options, unexercised and unvested performance stock options, unvested PSUs, and unvested RSUs.

Unless otherwise specified, options and RSUs vest at a rate of 25% per year on each of the first four anniversaries of the grant date. The market value for stock options, including Premium Priced Options or

PPOs and Performance Stock Options or PSOs, is calculated by taking the difference between the closing price of Logitech shares on the Nasdaq Global Select Market on the last trading day of the fiscal year (\$15.91 on March 31, 2016) and the option exercise price, and multiplying it by the number of outstanding options. The market value for stock awards (RSUs and PSUs) is determined by multiplying the number of shares subject to such awards by the closing price of Logitech shares on the Nasdaq Global Select Market on the last trading day of the fiscal year.

Name	Grant Date (MM/DD/YY)	Option Awards				Stock Awards				Equity
		Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Option Exercise Price (\$) / Share	Option Expiration Date (MM/DD/YY)	Market Value of Unexercised Options (\$)	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)	Unearned Shares, Units or Rights That Have Not Vested (#)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market o Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Rights That Have No Vested (\$) <sup>(1)</sup>
Guerrino De Luca	04/01/06	100,000		20.05	04/01/16					
	04/02/07	50,000		27.95	04/02/17					
	04/01/08	15,000		26.67	04/01/18					
	04/01/09	15,000		10.64	04/01/19	79,050				
	01/04/13	65,000	65,000	7.83	01/04/23	1,050,400				
	04/15/13						10,000	159,100		
	04/15/13						30,000 <sup>(3)</sup>	477,300		
	04/15/14						9,804	155,982	19,608	311,96
	04/15/15						14,922	237,409	11,191	178,04
	04/15/15						11,191 <sup>(4)</sup>	178,049		
<b>Total</b>		<b>245,000</b>	<b>65,000</b>			<b>1,129,450</b>	<b>75,917</b>	<b>1,207,839</b>	<b>30,799</b>	<b>490,01</b>
Bracken Darrell	04/16/12	375,000	125,000	8.03	04/16/22	3,940,000				
	04/16/12	400,000		14.05	04/16/22	744,000				
	04/16/12		400,000	16.06	04/16/22					
	04/16/12		400,000	20.08	04/16/22					
	04/16/12						25,000	397,750		
	04/15/13						88,500	1,408,035		
	04/15/13						270,000 <sup>(3)</sup>	4,295,700		
	04/15/14						101,130	1,608,978	202,260	3,217,95
	04/15/15						149,212	2,373,963	111,909	1,780,47
	04/15/15						111,909 <sup>(4)</sup>	1,780,472		
<b>Total</b>		<b>775,000</b>	<b>925,000</b>			<b>4,684,000</b>	<b>745,751</b>	<b>11,864,898</b>	<b>314,169</b>	<b>4,998,42</b>



## Compensation Report for Fiscal Year 2016

Name	Grant Date (MM/DD/YY)	Option Awards				Stock Awards			
		Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Exercisable (#)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Unexercisable (#)	Option Exercise Price (\$)/ Share	Option Expiration Date (MM/DD/YY)	Market Value of Unexercised Options (\$)	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)	Market Value of Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Rights That Have Not Vested (#)
Vincent Pilette	09/15/13					58,333	928,078		
	03/25/15					82,657	1,315,073	55,105	876,721
	03/25/15					55,105 <sup>(4)</sup>	876,721		
	04/15/15					74,606	1,186,981	37,303	593,491
	04/15/15					37,303 <sup>(4)</sup>	593,491		
	<b>Total</b>					<b>308,004</b>	<b>4,900,344</b>	<b>92,408</b>	<b>1,470,211</b>
Marcel Stolk	01/04/13	112,500	112,500	7.55 <sup>(2)</sup>	01/04/23	1,880,803			
	04/15/13					30,000	477,300		
	04/15/13					90,000 <sup>(3)</sup>	1,431,900		
	04/15/14					19,018	302,576	38,037	605,169
	04/15/15					22,382	356,098	16,787	267,081
	04/15/15					16,787 <sup>(4)</sup>	267,081		
	<b>Total</b>	<b>112,500</b>	<b>112,500</b>			<b>1,880,803</b>	<b>178,187</b>	<b>54,824</b>	<b>872,250</b>
L. Joseph Sullivan	10/02/06	22,500		21.61	10/02/16				
	10/02/07	50,000		30.09	10/02/17				
	10/01/08	50,000		22.59	10/01/18				
	01/04/13		112,500	7.83	01/04/23	909,000			
	04/15/13					20,000	318,200		
	04/15/13					60,000 <sup>(3)</sup>	954,600		
	04/15/14					8,917	141,869	17,835	283,755
	05/14/14					3,900	62,049	7,800	124,098
	04/15/15					17,906	284,884	13,430	213,671
	04/15/15					13,430 <sup>(4)</sup>	213,671		
	<b>Total</b>	<b>122,500</b>	<b>112,500</b>			<b>909,000</b>	<b>124,153</b>	<b>1,975,274</b>	<b>39,065</b>

- (1) The actual conversion, if any, of the PSUs based on TSR granted in each of fiscal years 2014, 2015 and 2016 into Logitech shares following the conclusion of the 3-year performance period will range between 50% and 150% of that target amount, depending upon Logitech's TSR performance versus the Nasdaq-100 index TSR benchmark over the performance period. The actual conversion, if any, of the remaining PSUs granted in fiscal year 2015 and 2016 is dependent on the achievement of non-GAAP operating margin.
- (2) The exercise price of the option as granted (as split-adjusted) is 7.25 Swiss Francs per share and 7.55 US Dollars per share. Amounts in Swiss Francs were converted using the exchange rate of 1 Swiss Franc to 1.0415 U.S. Dollars as of March 31, 2016.
- (3) The actual conversion of the PSUs based on TSR granted in fiscal year 2014 into Logitech shares was 150% of that target amount, based on Logitech's TSR performance versus the Nasdaq-100 index TSR benchmark from April 1, 2013 to March 31, 2016, which was ratified by the Compensation Committee subsequently in April 2016.
- (4) One-third of the PSUs based on non-GAAP operating margin granted in March and April 2015 vested subsequently in May 2016 as the performance goal was achieved as of March 31, 2016 and confirmed by the Compensation Committee in May 2016. The remaining two thirds will vest in equal annual increments over 2 years on the second and third anniversaries of the grant dates.





## Compensation Report for Fiscal Year 2016

## Option Exercises and Stock Vested Table for Fiscal Year 2016

The following table provides the number of shares acquired and the value realized upon exercise of stock options and the vesting of PSUs and RSUs during fiscal year 2016 by each of our named executive officers.

Name	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized on Exercise (\$) <sup>(1)</sup>	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)	Value Realized on Vesting (\$) <sup>(2)</sup>
Guerrino De Luca			133,268	1,873,067
Bracken Darrell			102,960	1,472,769
Vincent Pilette			85,886	1,251,302
Marcel Stolk			32,340	475,174
L. Joseph Sullivan	186,250	900,949	29,273	422,748

(1) The value realized equals the difference between the option exercise price and the fair market value of Logitech shares on the date of exercise, multiplied by the number of shares for which the option was exercised.

(2) Based on the closing trading price of Logitech shares on the Nasdaq Global Select Market on the date of vesting of underlying awards.

## Pension Benefits Table for Fiscal Year 2016

Marcel Stolk, Senior Vice President, Consumer Computing Platforms Business Group, is a participant in Logitech's Swiss Pension plan, which is a benefit offered to all eligible Swiss employees. No other executive officers are beneficiaries under any pension plan benefits maintained by Logitech.

Name	Plan Name	Number of Years of Credited Service (#)	Present Value of Accumulated Benefit (\$)
Guerrino De Luca		n/a	n/a
Bracken Darrell		n/a	n/a
Vincent Pilette		n/a	n/a
Marcel Stolk	Logitech Employee Pension Fund	5.00	866,180
L. Joseph Sullivan		n/a	n/a

## Compensation Report for Fiscal Year 2016

## Non-qualified Deferred Compensation Table for Fiscal Year 2016

The following table sets forth information regarding the participation by our named executive officers in the Logitech Inc. U.S. Deferred Compensation Plan during fiscal year 2016 and at fiscal year-end.

Name	Executive Contributions in Last Fiscal Year (\$) <sup>(1)</sup>	Logitech Contributions in Last Fiscal Year (\$)	Aggregate Earnings in Last Fiscal Year (\$) <sup>(2)</sup>	Aggregate Withdrawals/Distributions (\$)	Aggregate Balance at Last Fiscal Year End (\$)
Guerrino De Luca					
Bracken Darrell					
Vincent Pilette					
Marcel Stolk					
L. Joseph Sullivan	158,630		(1,007)		740,936

(1) Amounts are included in the Summary Compensation table in the Salary column for fiscal year 2016. All contributions were made under the Logitech Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan.

(2) These amounts are not included in the Summary Compensation table because plan earnings were not preferential or above market.

## Narrative Disclosure to Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Table

Please refer to Compensation Disclosure and Analysis Compensation Elements Deferred Compensation Plan for a discussion of the Logitech Inc. U.S. Deferred Compensation Plan, effective January 1, 2009.

## Payments upon Termination or Change in Control

We have entered into agreements that provide for payments under certain circumstances in the event of termination of employment of our executive officers. These agreements include:

PSU, RSU, and PSO award agreements that provide for the accelerated vesting of the shares subject to the award agreements under certain circumstances described below.

Employment agreements with Bracken Darrell, Vincent Pilette, Joseph Sullivan and Marcel Stolk, under which each of them is entitled to receive a twelve or nine-month notice period if we terminate his employment or if he resigns. Other than the agreements above, there are no agreements or arrangements for the payment of compensation to a named executive officer in the event of his involuntary termination with or without cause.

There are no agreements providing for payment of any consideration to any non-executive member of the Board of Directors upon termination of his or her services with the Company.

## Change of Control Severance Agreements

Each of our executive officers had executed a change of control severance agreement with Logitech. These agreements have been terminated in compliance with the Minder Ordinance.

**PSU and RSU Award Agreements**

The PSU and RSU award agreements for named executive officers provide for the acceleration of vesting of the equity awards subject to the award agreements if the named executive officer is subject to an involuntary termination within 12 months after a change of control

## Compensation Report for Fiscal Year 2016

because his or her employment is terminated without cause or the executive resigns for good reason. In the event of such an involuntary termination following a change of control:

All shares subject to the RSUs will vest;

100% of the shares subject to the PSUs granted in fiscal year 2014 will vest if the change of control occurred within one year after the grant date of the PSUs. If the change of control occurred more than one year after the grant date of the PSUs, the number of shares subject to the PSU that will vest will be determined by applying the performance criteria under the PSUs as if the performance period had ended on the date of the change of control; and

The time-based vesting of PSU awards based on the achievement of a non-GAAP Operating Margin metric will accelerate if the performance-based vesting conditions have been attained.

### Tables of Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control

The table below estimates the amount of compensation that would be paid in the event of an involuntary termination of a named executive officer without cause after a change in control, assuming that each of the terminations was effective as of March 31, 2016, subject to the terms of the PSO, PSU and RSU award agreements with each of the listed executive officers. As of December 2015, we do not have any cash payment related to termination of employment or change of control in compliance with the Minder Ordinance.

As of March 31, 2016, no compensation amounts were payable to any named executive officer in the event of a mutual agreement to terminate employment, whether upon retirement or otherwise.

The price used for determining the value of accelerated vesting of outstanding and unvested equity awards in the tables below was the closing price of Logitech's shares on the Nasdaq Global Select Market on March 31, 2016, the last business day of the fiscal year, of \$15.91 per share.

### POTENTIAL PAYMENTS UPON INVOLUNTARY TERMINATION AFTER CHANGE IN CONTROL

Name	Value of Accelerated Equity Awards <sup>(1)</sup>
Guerrino De Luca	1,446,489
Bracken Darrell	14,997,748
Vincent Pilette	4,900,344
Marcel Stolk	3,550,905
L. Joseph Sullivan	2,452,574

- (1) Represents, as of March 31, 2016, the aggregate intrinsic value (market value less exercise price) of unvested options and the aggregate market value of shares underlying all unvested RSUs and PSUs, in each case held by the named executive officer as of March 31, 2016 that are subject to acceleration according to the terms of an equity award agreement. For the PSUs granted April 15, 2013, as of March 31, 2016 the performance condition was at a level which would have produced a payout percentage of 150%, therefore, 150% of such value was attributed to the shares subject to such PSUs. For the PSUs granted March 25, 2015 and April 15, 2015 based on Non-GAAP Operating Margin, the performance condition was achieved as of March 31, 2016, therefore, 100% of such value was attributed to the shares subject to such PSUs.

## Compensation Report for Fiscal Year 2016

## Compensation of Non-Employee Directors

For fiscal year 2016, the compensation of the members of the Board of Directors that are not Logitech employees, or non-employee directors, was determined by the Compensation Committee, consisting entirely of independent directors, and recommended to the full Board for approval.

The general policy is that compensation for non-employee directors should consist of a mix of cash and equity-based compensation. For fiscal year 2016, to assist the Compensation Committee in its annual review of director compensation, Compensia provided director pay practices and compensation data compiled from the annual reports and proxy statements of companies within our compensation peer group.

For fiscal year 2016, cash compensation of non-employee directors consists solely of annual retainers based on Board and committee service and payment for travel days in connection with attendance at Board meetings. Non-employee directors also receive an annual RSU grant based on a fixed market value. These annual RSU grants have generally been made on the day after our Annual General Meeting with a vesting date of August 31 prior to the next Annual General Meeting.

Directors who are Logitech employees do not receive any compensation for their service on the Board of Directors. Non-employee director compensation currently consists of the following elements:

	<b>Amount (CHF)</b>	<b>Amount (\$)<sup>(1)</sup></b>
Annual cash retainer	60,000	61,728
An additional annual cash retainer for the lead independent director	20,000	20,576
Annual retainer for the Audit Committee chair	40,000	41,152
Annual retainer for the Compensation Committee chair	40,000	41,152
Annual retainer for the Nominating Committee chair	11,000	11,317
Annual retainer for non-chair Audit Committee members	15,000	15,432
Annual retainer for non-chair Compensation Committee members	15,000	15,432
Annual retainer for Nominating Committee members	5,000	5,144
Annual retainer for Lifesize Board members <sup>(2)</sup>	15,000	15,432
Annual RSU grant	150,000	154,320
Compensation for the number of travel days spent traveling to attend Board and committee meetings, per day rate	2,500	2,572
Reimbursement of reasonable expenses for non-local travel (business class)		

(1) Amounts in Swiss Francs were converted using the 12 month average (April 2015 to March 2016) exchange rate of 1 Swiss Franc to 1.0288 U.S. Dollars.

(2) Lifesize retainer has been eliminated from the non-employee director compensation program as of December 2015 and was prorated for the 2015-2016 board year due to the divestiture of Lifesize.

Non-employee Board members may elect to receive their Board fees in shares, net of withholdings at the market price on the date of the Annual General Meeting. Any such shares are to be issued under the 2006 Stock Incentive Plan.

The following table summarizes the total compensation earned or paid by Logitech during fiscal year 2016 to continuing members of the Board of Directors who were not executive officers as of March 31, 2016. Because the table is based on Logitech's fiscal year, and annual service for purposes of Board compensation is measured between the dates of Logitech's Annual



## Compensation Report for Fiscal Year 2016

General Meetings, usually held in September each year, the amounts in the table do not necessarily align with the description of Board compensation above.

Information regarding compensation paid to and the option and stock awards held by Guerrino De Luca and Bracken Darrell, the members of the Board of Directors

that are Logitech executive officers as of fiscal year-end 2016, are presented in the Summary Compensation Table and the Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End Table, respectively.

### NON-EMPLOYEE DIRECTOR COMPENSATION TABLE FOR FISCAL YEAR 2016

Name	Fees Earned in Cash (\$) <sup>(1)</sup>	Stock Awards (\$) <sup>(2)</sup>	Total (\$)
Daniel Borel <sup>(3)(4)</sup>	25,720		25,720
Matthew Bousquette <sup>(3)</sup>	54,441		54,441
Edouard Bugnion <sup>(4)</sup>	41,152	150,880	192,032
Kee-Lock Chua	107,767	151,200	258,966
Sally Davis <sup>(6)</sup>	141,460	150,880	292,340
Sue Gove <sup>(5)</sup>	60,442	151,200	211,642
Didier Hirsch	121,313	151,200	272,512
Neil Hunt <sup>(6)</sup>	116,169	151,200	267,368
Dimitri Panayotopoulos <sup>(4)</sup>	86,162	150,880	237,042
Monika Ribar <sup>(3)</sup>	38,580		38,580
Lung Yeh <sup>(5)</sup>	51,440	151,200	202,640

(1) Amounts in Swiss Francs were converted using the 12 month average (April 2015 to March 2016) exchange rate of 1 Swiss Franc to 1.0288 U.S. Dollars.

(2) Amounts shown do not reflect compensation actually received by the director. Instead, the amount shown is the aggregate grant date fair value of stock-related awards in fiscal year 2016 computed in accordance with ASC Topic 718 -- Compensation -- Stock Compensation, disregarding forfeiture assumptions. The market value used to calculate the aggregate value for fiscal year 2016 was \$13.50 or CHF 13.14 per share.

(3) Daniel Borel, Matthew Bousquette and Monika Ribar did not stand for re-election as directors at the Annual General Meeting in September 2015.

(4) Elected to receive their Board fees in shares.

(5) Edouard Bugnion, Sue Gove and Lung Yeh were first elected as directors at the Annual General Meeting in September 2015.

(6) Board fees include prorated Lifesize retainer due to the divestiture of Lifesize in December 2015.

The following table presents additional information with respect to the equity awards held as of March 31, 2016 by members of the Board of Directors who were not executive officers as of fiscal year-end.

In 2010, Logitech began granting RSUs instead of stock options to continuing non-employee directors. The RSUs granted since fiscal year 2010 fully vest on approximately the one-year anniversary date of the grant.



## Edgar Filing: - Form

The market value for stock options is calculated by taking the difference between the closing price of Logitech shares on the Nasdaq Global Select Market on the last trading day of the fiscal year (\$15.91 on March 31, 2016) and the option exercise price, and multiplying it by the number of outstanding options. The market value for RSUs is determined by multiplying the number of shares subject to the award by the closing price of Logitech shares on the Nasdaq Global Select Market on the last trading day of the fiscal year.

*Proxy Statement* **98**

---

## Compensation Report for Fiscal Year 2016

Certain of the options as granted have exercise prices denominated in Swiss Francs. The U.S. Dollar exercise price in the table below for such options is based on an exchange rate of 1 Swiss Franc to 1.0415 U.S. Dollars as of March 31, 2016.

**OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS FOR NON-EMPLOYEE DIRECTORS AT FISCAL 2016  
YEAR-END**

Name	Grant Date (MM/DD/YY)	Option Awards			Stock Awards		
		Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Exercisable (#)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Unexercisable (#)	Option Exercise Price / Share (\$)	Market Value of Unexercised Options (\$)	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested # <sup>(1)</sup>	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)
Edouard Bugnion	09/10/15					11,200	178,192
Kee-Lock Chua	06/16/06	15,000		19.43			
	09/10/15					11,200	178,192
Sally Davis	06/20/07	30,000		35.88 <sup>(2)</sup>			
	09/10/15					11,200	178,192
Sue Gove	09/10/15					11,200	178,192
Didier Hirsch	09/10/15					11,200	178,192
Neil Hunt	09/10/15					11,200	178,192
Dimitri Panayotopoulos	09/10/15					11,200	178,192
Lung Yeh	09/10/15					11,200	178,192

(1) Unless otherwise indicated, the shares subject to these stock awards vest in full on August 31, 2016.

(2) The exercise price of the option as granted is 34.45 Swiss Francs per share.

## Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table summarizes the shares that may be issued upon the exercise of options (including PSOs and PPOs), RSUs, PSUs, and other rights under our employee equity compensation plans as of March 31, 2016. These plans include the 1996 Employee Share Purchase Plan (U.S.) and 2006 Employee Share

Purchase Plan (Non-U.S.) (together, the ESPPs), 2006 Stock Incentive Plan and 2012 Stock Inducement Equity Plan. The table also includes shares that may be issued upon the exercise of outstanding options under the 1996 Stock Plan (this plan terminated in 2006).

Plan Category	(a) Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights (#)	(b) Weighted Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights <sup>(1)</sup>	(c) Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in Column(a)) (#)
Equity Compensation Plans			
Approved by Security Holders	9,129,879 <sup>(2)</sup>	\$20	15,026,710
Equity Compensation Plans			
Not Approved by Security Holders	1,725,000 <sup>(3)</sup>	14	0
Total	10,854,879	\$18	15,026,710

(1) The weighted average exercise price is calculated based solely on outstanding options.

(2) Includes options and rights to acquire shares outstanding under our 1996 Employee Share Purchase Plan (U.S.), 2006 Employee Share Purchase Plan (Non-U.S.), 2006 Stock Incentive Plan and 1996 Stock Plan (which plan terminated in 2006).

(3) Includes options and rights to acquire shares outstanding under our 2012 Stock Inducement Equity Plan adopted under the Nasdaq rules.

### 2012 Stock Inducement Equity Plan

Under the 2012 Stock Inducement Equity Plan, stock options and RSUs may be granted to eligible employees to serve as inducement material to enter into employment with the Company. Awards under the 2012 Stock Inducement Equity Plan may be conditioned on continued employment, the passage of time or the satisfaction of performance vesting criteria, based on individual written employment offer letters. The 2012 Stock Inducement Equity Plan has an expiration date of March 31, 2022. As of March 31, 2016, an aggregate of 1,800,000 shares was reserved for issuance under the 2012 Stock Inducement Equity Plan. As of March 31, 2016, no shares were available for issuance under this plan.

### 2006 Stock Incentive Plan

The Logitech International S.A. 2006 Stock Incentive Plan provides for the grant to eligible employees and non-employee members of the Board of Directors of stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, and restricted stock units. As of March 31, 2016, Logitech has granted stock options (including PSOs), RSUs, and PSUs under the 2006 Stock Incentive Plan and has made no grants of restricted shares or stock appreciation rights. Stock options granted under the 2006 Stock Incentive Plan generally will

## Edgar Filing: - Form

have terms not exceeding ten years and will be issued at exercise prices not less than the fair market value on the date of grant. Awards under the 2006 Stock Incentive Plan may be conditioned on continued employment, the passage of time, or the satisfaction of performance vesting criteria. As of

*Proxy Statement* **100**

---

## Equity Compensation Plan Information

March 31, 2016, an aggregate of 24.8 million shares is reserved for issuance under the 2006 Stock Incentive Plan. As of March 31, 2016, a total of 7,827,223 shares were available for issuance under this plan.

### 1996 Stock Plan

Under the 1996 Stock Plan, Logitech granted options for shares. Options issued under the 1996 Stock Plan generally vest over four years and remain outstanding for periods not to exceed ten years. Options were granted at exercise prices of at least 100% of the fair market value of the shares on the date of grant. Logitech made no grants of restricted shares, stock appreciation rights, or stock units under the 1996 Stock Plan. No further awards will be granted under the 1996 Stock Plan.

Each option issued under the 1996 Stock Plan entitles the holder to purchase one share of Logitech International S.A. at the exercise price.

### Employee Share Purchase Plans

Logitech maintains two employee share purchase plans, one for employees in the United States and one for employees outside the United States. The plan for

employees outside the United States is named the 2006 Employee Share Purchase Plan (Non-U.S.), or 2006 ESPP, and was approved by the Board of Directors in June 2006. The plan for employees in the United States is named the 1996 Employee Share Purchase Plan (U.S.), or 1996 ESPP. The 1996 ESPP was the worldwide plan until the adoption of the 2006 ESPP in June 2006. Under both plans, eligible employees may purchase shares with up to 10% of their earnings at the lower of 85% of the fair market value at the beginning or the end of each six-month offering period. Purchases under the plans are limited to a fair value of \$25,000 in any one year, calculated in accordance with U.S. tax laws. During each offering period, payroll deductions of employee participants are accumulated under the share purchase plan. Subject to continued participation in these plans, purchase agreements are automatically executed at the end of each offering period. A total of 29 million shares have been reserved for issuance under both the 1996 and 2006 ESPPs. As of March 31, 2016, a total of 7,199,487 shares were available for issuance under these plans.

\*\*\*\*\*

Appendix A

## LOGITECH INTERNATIONAL S.A. 2006 STOCK INCENTIVE PLAN

The following constitute the terms and conditions of the Logitech International S.A. 2006 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended and restated on September 7, 2016. These terms and conditions apply to all Awards granted under the Plan on or after September 7, 2016 as well as to all outstanding Awards granted under the Plan prior to September 7, 2016.

1. Purposes of the Plan. The purposes of this Plan are:

to attract and retain the best available personnel for positions of substantial responsibility,

to provide additional incentive to Employees, Consultants and Directors, and

to promote the success of the Company's business.

2. Definitions. As used herein, the following definitions shall apply:

(a) Administrator means the Board or any of its Committees as shall be administering the Plan, in accordance with Section 4 of the Plan.

(b) Affiliate means any entity other than a Subsidiary, if the Company and/or one or more Subsidiaries own not less than 50% of such entity.

(c) Applicable Laws means the requirements relating to the administration of stock plans under Swiss laws, U.S. state corporate laws, U.S. federal and state securities laws, the Code, any stock exchange or quotation system on which the Shares are listed or quoted and the applicable laws governing the grant of Awards and the issuance of Shares pursuant to Awards in any foreign country or jurisdiction where Awards are, or will be, granted under the Plan.

(d) Award means any award of an Option, a SAR, a Restricted Share or a Restricted Stock Unit under the Plan.

(e) Award Agreement means an agreement between the Participant and the Company setting forth the terms and conditions of an Award. Each Award Agreement shall be subject to the terms and conditions of the Plan.

(f) Board means the Board of Directors of the Company.

(g) Code means the U.S. Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended.

(h) Committee means a Committee appointed by the Board in accordance with Section 4 of the Plan.

(i) Company means Logitech International S.A., a company incorporated under the laws of Switzerland, and any successor thereto.

(j) Consultant means a consultant or adviser who provides bona fide services to the Company, a Parent, a Subsidiary or an Affiliate as an independent contractor.

(k) Corporate Transaction has the meaning ascribed to it in Section 16 of the Plan.

(l) Director means a member of the Board.

(m) Disability means total and permanent disability as defined in Section 22(e)(3) of the Code with respect to Incentive Stock Options. With respect to all other Awards, Disability means that a Participant is unable to carry out the responsibilities and functions of the position held by the Participant by reason of any medically determined physical or mental impairment for a period of not less than ninety (90) consecutive days; a Participant shall not be considered to have incurred a Disability unless he or she furnishes

## Edgar Filing: - Form

proof of such impairment sufficient to satisfy the Administrator in its discretion.

(n) Employee means any person, including officers and Directors, providing services to the Company or any Parent, Subsidiary or Affiliate as an employee. Neither service as a Director nor payment of a director's fee by the Company shall be sufficient to constitute employment by the Company.

(o) Exchange Act means the U.S. Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

*Proxy Statement* **102**

---

## Appendix A

(p) Fair Market Value means, as of any given date, (a) if the Shares are publicly traded and the date in question is a market trading day, the value of a Share determined as the closing sales price for the Shares (or the closing bid, if no sales were reported) as quoted on the SIX Swiss Exchange or the Nasdaq Global Select Market or on such other exchange or system on which the Shares are traded, as reported in such source as the Administrator deems reliable, or, if the date in question is not a market trading day, the closing price or bid, if applicable, as so reported for the last market trading day preceding the day in question, except that Fair Market Value may, if designated by the Administrator, also mean the average of the closing sales prices for the Shares as so quoted or reported over a period of not more than 30 days before and/or after the date in question; or (b) if the Shares are not publicly traded, the value of a Share determined by the Administrator acting in good faith. Such determination shall be conclusive and binding on all persons.

(q) Incentive Stock Option shall mean an option described in Section 422 of the Code.

(r) Nonstatutory Stock Option shall mean an option other than an option described in Section 422 of the Code.

(s) Option means an Incentive Stock Option or a Nonstatutory Stock Option granted pursuant to the Plan.

(t) Parent means a parent corporation, whether now or hereafter existing, as defined in Section 424(e) of the Code.

(u) Participant means an Employee, Consultant or Director who holds an outstanding Award.

(v) Performance Criteria means any one or more of the following performance criteria, either individually, alternatively or in any combination, applied to either the Company as a whole or to a business unit or Subsidiary, either individually, alternatively or in any combination, and measured either annually or cumulatively over a period of years, on an absolute basis or relative to a pre-established target, to previous years' results or to a designated comparison group, in each case as specified by the Administrator in the Award: (i) brand recognition/ acceptance, (ii) cash flow, (iii) cash flow return on

investment, (iv) contribution to profitability, (v) cost control, (vi) cost positions, (vii) cost of capital, (viii) customer satisfaction, (ix) development of products, (x) earnings before interest, taxes and amortization, (xi) earnings per share, (xii) economic profit, (xiii) economic value added, (xiv) free cash flow, (xv) income or net income, (xvi) income before income taxes, (xvii) market segment share, (xviii) new product innovation, (xix) operating income or net operating income, (xx) operating margin or profit margin, (xxi) operating profit or net operating profit, (xxii) process excellence, (xxiii) product cost reduction, (xxiv) product mix, (xxv) product release schedules, (xxvi) product ship targets, (xxvii) quality, (xxviii) return on assets or net assets, (xxix) return on capital, (xxx) return on capital employed, (xxxi) return on equity, (xxxii) return on invested capital, (xxxiii) return on operating revenue, (xxxiv) return on sales, (xxxv) revenue, (xxxvi) sales, (xxxvii) share price performance, (xxxviii) strategic alliances, (xxxix) total shareholder return, and (xl) working capital.

(w) Plan means this Logitech International S.A. 2006 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended from time to time.

(x) Restricted Share means Shares, the grant, issuance, retention and/or vesting of which is subject to such conditions as are expressed in the agreement evidencing the Award of Restricted Shares.

(y) Restricted Stock Unit means a bookkeeping entry representing the equivalent of one Share, as awarded under the Plan, the grant, issuance, retention and/or vesting of which is subject to such conditions as are expressed in the agreement evidencing the Award of Restricted Stock Units.

(z) SAR means a right to receive, in cash or stock (as determined by the Committee and set out in the Award Agreement evidencing the SAR), value with respect to a specific number of Shares equal to or otherwise based on the excess of (i) the market value of a Share at the time of exercise over (ii) the exercise price of the right, subject to such terms and conditions as are expressed in the agreement evidencing the SAR.

(aa) Service means service as a Service Provider. Service shall not terminate solely as a result of a Service Provider's change in status from Director or





## Appendix A

Consultant to Employee or from Employee to Consultant or Director. Service shall not terminate in the case of transfers between locations of the Company or among the Company, any Parent, any Subsidiary, any Affiliate or any successor. The Administrator, in its sole discretion, shall determine all questions relating to termination of Service for purposes of an Award, including whether a particular leave of absence constitutes a termination of Service.

(bb) Service Provider means a Director, Consultant or Employee.

(cc) Share means a registered share of the Company, as adjusted in accordance with Section 15 of the Plan.

(dd) Subsidiary means a subsidiary corporation, whether now or hereafter existing, as defined in Section 424(f) of the Code.

(ee) Unrestricted Share Pool means a number of Shares equal to five percent (5%) of the number of Shares that are available for the grant of Awards hereunder as of the 2016 Amendment Date, subject to adjustment as provided in Section 15 of the Plan.

(ff) 2016 Amendment Date means September 7, 2016.

### 3. Shares Subject to the Plan.

(a) Basic Limitation. Subject to adjustment as provided in Section 15 of the Plan, the maximum aggregate number of Shares that may be subject to Awards and issued under the Plan is thirty million five hundred fifty thousand (30,550,000)<sup>1</sup> Shares, all of which may be issued upon exercise of Incentive Stock Options. The Shares may be authorized but unissued, conditionally issued or acquired Shares.

(b) Shares Returned to Reserve. Any Shares subject to an Award which for any reason expires or terminates unexercised or before settlement, is not earned in full or is forfeited, is settled in cash, or Shares that are tendered by the Participant or withheld by the Company to satisfy any tax withholding obligations with respect to an Award other than an Option or a SAR (or any other Award that is not a full value Award) shall again become available for issuance under the Plan. The following Shares shall be counted against the maximum number of Shares available for issuance pursuant to Section 3(a) hereof and shall not be returned to the Plan: (i) Shares covered by an Option or SAR which are surrendered in payment of the Option exercise price or in satisfaction of tax withholding obligations incident to the exercise or settlement of an Option or SAR; (ii) Shares that are not issued or delivered as a result of the net settlement of an outstanding SAR or Option; or (iii) Shares that are repurchased on the open market with the proceeds of the exercise of an Option. To the extent permitted by Applicable Laws, Shares issued in assumption of, or in substitution for, any outstanding awards of any entity acquired in any form of combination by the Company or any Subsidiary or Affiliate shall not be counted against Shares available for grant pursuant to this Plan. Anything in this Section 3(b) to the contrary notwithstanding, no Shares may again be optioned, granted or awarded if such action would cause an Incentive Stock Option to fail to qualify as an incentive stock option under Section 422 of the Code.

(c) Dividend Equivalents. Any dividend equivalents credited under the Plan and paid in cash shall not be applied against the number of Shares that may be issued under the Plan.

<sup>1</sup> This number reflects the initial reserve of 7,000,000 million shares, a 2 for 1 share / ADR split effective July 14, 2006, a 3,500,000 share increase authorized by the Board on June 23, 2009 and by shareholders on September 1, 2009, a 7,300,000 share increase authorized by the Board on June 27, 2012, as amended on August 9, 2012, and by shareholders on September 5, 2012, and a 5,750,000 share increase authorized by the Board on July 7, 2016, and by shareholders on September 7, 2016.

## Appendix A

### 4. Administration of the Plan.

#### (a) Procedure.

(i) Multiple Administrative Bodies. The Plan may be administered by different Committees with respect to different groups of Service Providers.

(ii) Section 162(m). To the extent that the Administrator determines it to be desirable to qualify Awards granted hereunder as qualified performance-based compensation within the meaning of Section 162(m) of the Code, the Plan shall be administered by a Committee of two or more outside directors within the meaning of Section 162(m) of the Code.

(iii) Rule 16b-3. To the extent desirable to qualify transactions hereunder as exempt under Rule 16b-3 of the Exchange Act, the Plan shall be administered by a Committee of two or more non-employee directors within the meaning of Rule 16b-3.

(iv) Other Administration. Other than as provided above or in Section 4(c) below, the Plan shall be administered by (A) the Board or (B) a Committee, which committee shall be constituted to satisfy Applicable Laws.

(b) Powers of the Administrator. Subject to the express provisions and limitations set forth in this Plan and, in the case of a Committee, subject to the specific duties delegated by the Board to such Committee, the Administrator shall be authorized and empowered to do all things necessary or desirable, in its sole discretion, in connection with the administration of the Plan, including, without limitation, the following:

(i) to determine the Fair Market Value of the Shares, in accordance with Section 2(l) of the Plan;

(ii) to select the Employees, Consultants and Directors to whom Awards may be granted hereunder, to determine the timing of any such Awards, and to grant Awards;

(iii) to determine whether and to what extent Options, SARs, Restricted Shares or Restricted Stock Units, or any combination thereof, are granted hereunder;

(iv) to determine the number of Shares to be covered by each Award granted hereunder;

(v) to approve and amend forms of Award Agreements or other documents evidencing Awards made under the Plan (which need not be identical);

(vi) to grant Awards under this Plan and determine the terms and conditions, not inconsistent with the terms of the Plan, of any Award granted hereunder. Such terms and conditions include, but are not limited to, the exercise price, the time or times when Awards may be exercised or vest (which may be based on performance criteria), any vesting acceleration or waiver of forfeiture restrictions, and any restriction or limitation regarding any Award or Shares relating thereto, based in each case on such factors as the Administrator, in its sole discretion, shall determine;

(vii) to construe and interpret the terms of the Plan and awards granted pursuant to the Plan;

(viii) to establish, adopt, rescind or revise any rules and regulations as it may deem necessary or advisable to administer the Plan, including adopting sub-plans to the Plan or special terms for Award Agreements, for the purposes of complying with non-U.S. laws and/or taking advantage of tax favorable treatment for Awards granted to Participants outside the United States (as further set forth in Section 4(d)) as it may deem necessary or advisable to administer the Plan;

(ix) to modify or amend any Award (subject to Section 20(c) of the Plan), including the discretionary authority to accelerate the exercisability or vesting of all or part of any Award or to extend the post-termination exercisability period of Options;

(x) to authorize any person to execute on behalf of the Company any instrument required to effect the grant of an Award previously granted by the Administrator;

## Edgar Filing: - Form

(xi) to allow Participants to satisfy withholding tax obligations by electing to have the Company withhold from the Shares to be issued upon exercise or settlement of an Award that number of Shares having a Fair Market Value equal to the amount required to be withheld. The Fair Market Value of the Shares to be withheld shall be determined on the date that the amount of tax to be withheld is to be determined. All elections by a Participant

## Appendix A

to have Shares withheld for this purpose shall be made in such form and under such conditions as the Administrator may deem necessary or advisable; and

(xii) to make all other determinations and decisions deemed necessary or advisable for administering the Plan.

(c) Delegation of Authority. To the extent permitted by Applicable Laws and the Company's corporate governing documents, the Board or the Committee may from time to time delegate to one or more officers of the Company the authority to grant Awards to Employees and Consultants who are not Directors and are not considered executive officers of the Company under Section 16 of the Exchange Act. Any delegation hereunder shall be subject to the restrictions and limits that the Board or Committee specifies at the time of such delegation, and the Board or the Committee as applicable may at any time rescind the authority so delegated or appoint a new delegate. At all times, the delegate appointed under this Section 4(c) shall serve in such capacity at the satisfaction and discretion of the Board or the Committee.

(d) Non-U.S. Participants. Notwithstanding any provision of the Plan to the contrary, to comply with the laws in countries outside the United States in which the Company and its Subsidiaries and Affiliates operate or in which Service Providers work or reside, the Administrator, in its sole discretion, shall have the power and authority to: (i) determine which Service Providers outside the United States shall be eligible to participate in the Plan; (ii) modify the terms and conditions of any Award granted to Service Providers outside the United States; (iii) establish sub-plans and modify exercise procedures and other terms and procedures and rules, to the extent such actions may be necessary or advisable, including adoption of rules, procedures or sub-plans applicable to particular Subsidiaries or Affiliates or Participants in particular locations; *provided, however*, that no such sub-plans and/or modifications shall take precedence over Section 3 of the Plan or otherwise require shareholder approval; and (iv) take any action, before or after an Award is granted, that it deems advisable to obtain approval or comply with any necessary local governmental regulatory exemptions or approvals. Without limiting

the generality of the foregoing, the Administrator is specifically authorized to adopt rules, procedures and sub-plans with provisions that limit or modify rights on eligibility to receive an Award under the Plan or on death, disability, retirement or other termination of Service, available methods of exercise or settlement of an Award, payment of income, social insurance contributions and payroll taxes, the shifting of employer tax liability to the Participant, the withholding procedures and handling of any Share certificates or other indicia of ownership. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Board may not take any actions hereunder, and no Awards shall be granted, that would violate Applicable Laws.

(e) Effect of Administrator's Decision. The Administrator's decisions, determinations and interpretations shall be final and binding on all Participants and any other holders of Awards.

5. Eligibility. Only Employees of the Company, a Parent or Subsidiary shall be eligible to be granted Incentive Stock Options. Subject to Section 4(d) of the Plan, Employees, Consultants (to the extent permitted by the Company's corporate governing documents) and Directors shall be eligible to be granted Nonstatutory Stock Options, SARs, Restricted Shares or Restricted Stock Units. If otherwise eligible, an Employee, Consultant or Director who has been granted an Award may be granted additional Awards at the sole discretion of the Administrator.

## 6. Limitations.

(a) No Right to Continued Employment, Future Grants. Neither the Plan nor any Award shall confer upon a Participant any right with respect to continuing the Participant's employment with the Company or a Subsidiary thereof, nor shall they interfere in any way with the Participant's right or the Company's or any Subsidiary's right to terminate such employment at any time, with or without cause. A Participant's rights, if any, in respect of or in connection with any Award is derived solely from the discretionary decision of the Company to permit the individual to participate in the Plan and to benefit from a discretionary Award. By accepting an Award under the Plan, a Participant expressly acknowledges that there is no obligation on the part of the Company to continue the Plan and/or grant any additional Awards.



## Appendix A

(b) Annual Covered Employee Grant Limits. The following limitations shall apply to grants of Awards that are intended to constitute qualified performance-based compensation under Section 162(m) of the Code:

(i) No Employee shall be granted, in any fiscal year of the Company, Options or SARs covering more than six million (6,000,000) Shares in the aggregate.

(ii) No Employee shall be granted, in any fiscal year of the Company, Restricted Shares or Restricted Stock Units covering more than four million (4,000,000) Shares in the aggregate.

(iii) The foregoing limitations shall be adjusted proportionately in connection with any change in the Company's capitalization as described in Section 15.

(c) Minimum Vesting Requirements and Discretionary Vesting Acceleration Authority. Except for Awards granted in substitution for outstanding awards in connection with a corporate transaction or Awards relating to Shares in the Unrestricted Share Pool, Awards granted after the 2016 Amendment Date shall vest no earlier than the first anniversary of the date of grant; provided, however, that the Committee shall have the discretion to accelerate the vesting or exercisability of an Award in any circumstance, including upon a Corporate Transaction or change in control of the Company or the termination of the Participant's Service for any reason, including the Participant's death, Disability or retirement.

7. Effective Date. The Plan was adopted by the Board of Directors on June 15, 2006 and became effective on June 16, 2006, upon approval of the Plan by the shareholders of the Company. The Plan shall continue in effect until no Shares remain available for issuance under the Plan (including, for the avoidance of any doubt, Shares that remain available for issuance under Awards outstanding under the Plan) or until terminated under Section 20 of the Plan, if earlier. Awards that are outstanding as of the termination of the Plan shall remain in force according to the terms of the Plan and the applicable Award Agreement.

## 8. Options

(a) Stock Option Agreement. Each grant of an Option under the Plan shall be evidenced by an agreement between the Participant and the Company in such form

(including by electronic communications) and such terms, conditions and restrictions as may be approved by the Administrator (the Stock Option Agreement). Such Option shall be subject to all applicable terms of the Plan and may be subject to any other terms that are not inconsistent with the Plan. The Stock Option Agreement shall specify whether the Option is an Incentive Stock Option or a Nonstatutory Stock Option. The provisions of the various Stock Option Agreements entered into under the Plan need not be identical.

(b) Number of Shares. Each Stock Option Agreement shall specify the number of Shares subject to the Option and shall provide for the adjustment of such number in accordance with Section 15.

(c) Option Exercise Price. The per Share exercise price for which one Share may be purchased upon exercise of an Option shall be determined by the Administrator and set out in the Stock Option Agreement; provided that the per Share exercise price shall in no event be less than 100% of the Fair Market Value of a Share on the date of grant.

(d) Exercisability and Term. Each Stock Option Agreement shall specify the date or event when all or any installment of the Option is to become exercisable. The Stock Option Agreement shall also specify the term of the Option; provided that the term of an Option shall in no event exceed 10 years from the date of grant. Anything in this Section 8(d) to the contrary notwithstanding, Options shall be subject to the minimum vesting requirement set forth in Section 6(c). Options may be awarded in combination with SARs, and such an Award may provide that the Options will not be exercisable unless the related SARs are forfeited.

(e) Buyout Provisions. Subject to Section 20(b), the Administrator may at any time (a) offer to buy out for a payment in cash or cash equivalents an Option previously granted or (b) authorize a Participant to elect to cash out an Option previously granted, in either case at such time and based upon such terms and conditions as the Administrator shall establish.

Edgar Filing: - Form

(f) Option Exercise Consideration. The Administrator shall determine the acceptable form of consideration for exercising an Option, including the method of payment. Such consideration may consist

107 *Proxy Statement*

---



## Appendix A

entirely of: (i) cash, (ii) cash equivalents, (iii) full-recourse promissory note, (iv) other Shares that have a fair market value on the date of surrender equal to the aggregate exercise price of the Shares as to which said Option shall be exercised; (v) consideration received by the Company under a cashless exercise program implemented by the Company in connection with the Plan, (vi) the amount attributable to the fair market value of Shares withheld under a net exercise arrangement, (vii) any combination of the foregoing methods of payment; or (viii) such other consideration and method of payment for the issuance of Shares to the extent permitted by Applicable Laws. If the Company is subject to Section 13(k) of the Exchange Act, and if the Participant is a Director or executive officer of the Company, he or she may pay the exercise price with a promissory note only to the extent permitted by Section 13(k).

(g) No Rights as Shareholder. No Participant shall have any rights as a shareholder with respect to any Shares subject to Options until such Shares have been issued.

(h) Termination of Participant's Service. Upon termination of a Participant's Service, the Participant (or any person having the right to exercise the Option after his or her death) may exercise his or her Option within such period of time as is specified in the Stock Option Agreement to the extent that he or she is entitled to exercise it on the date of termination (but in no event later than the expiration of the term of such Option as set forth in the Stock Option Agreement). In the absence of a specified time in the Stock Option Agreement, the Option shall remain exercisable for ninety (90) days following the termination of the Participant's Service for any reason other than death or Disability, and the Option shall remain exercisable for one (1) year following the termination of the Participant's Service for reason of death or Disability. Notwithstanding the foregoing, other than where a Participant's Service is terminated for cause (as determined by the Administrator), if the exercise of an Option within the applicable time periods set forth above or in the Stock Option Agreement, as applicable, is prevented as a result of the provisions set forth in Section 21(a) regarding legal compliance with respect to the issuance of Shares, the Option shall remain exercisable until thirty (30) days after the date

the Participant is no longer prevented from exercising the Option. If, on the date of termination of Service, the Participant is not entitled to exercise his or her entire Option, the Shares covered by the unexercisable portion of the Option shall revert to the Plan. If, after termination of Service, the Participant does not exercise his or her Option within the time specified by the Administrator, the Option shall terminate, and the Shares covered by such Option shall revert to the Plan.

### 9. Restricted Shares.

(a) Restricted Stock Agreement. Each grant of Restricted Shares under the Plan shall be evidenced by an agreement between the Participant and the Company in such form (including by electronic communications) and such terms, conditions and restrictions as may be approved by the Administrator (the Restricted Stock Agreement). Such Restricted Shares shall be subject to all applicable terms of the Plan and may be subject to any other terms that are not inconsistent with the Plan. The provisions of the various Restricted Stock Agreements entered into under the Plan need not be identical.

(b) Payment for Awards. Restricted Shares may be granted, sold or awarded under the Plan for such purchase price, if any, or consideration as the Administrator may determine, including (without limitation) cash, cash equivalents, property, full-recourse promissory notes, past services and future services. If the Company is subject to Section 13(k) of the Exchange Act, and if the Participant is a Director or executive officer of the Company, he or she may pay for Restricted Shares with a promissory note only to the extent permitted by Section 13(k). Subject to Section 20(b), within the limitations of the Plan, the Committee may accept the cancellation of outstanding Options in return for the grant of Restricted Shares.

(c) Vesting. The grant, issuance, retention and/or vesting of Shares under Restricted Share Awards shall be at such time and in such installments as determined by the Administrator or under criteria established by the Administrator. The Administrator shall have the right, but shall not be required, to make the timing of the grant and/or the issuance, ability to retain and/or vesting of Shares under Restricted Share Awards subject to continued employment, passage of



## Appendix A

time and/or performance goals as deemed appropriate by the Administrator. Performance goals applicable to Restricted Share Awards that are intended to constitute qualified performance-based compensation under Section 162(m) of the Code shall be based on the Performance Criteria. The Administrator shall determine the level of achievement against any performance goals, without regard to whether they are based on Performance Criteria, and such determination shall be final and binding. For a Restricted Share Award that is intended to constitute qualified performance-based compensation under Section 162(m) of the Code, the Administrator shall identify in writing the target for the performance goals that are based on Performance Criteria at the time the Restricted Share Award is granted, and no later than the earlier of (i) the date ninety (90) days after the commencement of the applicable performance period or (ii) the date on which twenty-five percent (25%) of the performance period has elapsed, and, in any event, at a time when the outcome of the Performance Criteria remains substantially uncertain. Anything in this Section 9(c) to the contrary notwithstanding, a Restricted Stock Agreement shall be subject to the minimum vesting requirement set forth in Section 6(c) of the Plan; provided that any vesting acceleration shall not be given effect if it causes a Restricted Share Award that is intended to constitute qualified performance-based compensation under Section 162(m) to fail to so qualify.

(d) Voting and Dividend Rights. The holders of Restricted Shares awarded under the Plan shall have the same voting, dividend and other rights as the Company's other shareholders. A Restricted Stock Agreement, however, may require that the holders of Restricted Shares invest any cash dividends received in additional Restricted Shares. Such additional Restricted Shares shall be subject to the same conditions and restrictions as the Award with respect to which the dividends were paid.

(e) Termination of Employment. The Restricted Stock Agreement may provide for the forfeiture or cancellation of the Restricted Share Award, in whole or in part, in the event of the termination of Service of the Participant to whom it was granted.

#### 10. Stock Appreciation Rights.

(a) SAR Agreement. Each grant of a SAR under the Plan shall be evidenced by an agreement between the Participant and the Company in such form (including by electronic communications) and such terms, conditions and restrictions as may be approved by the Administrator (the SAR Agreement). Such SAR shall be subject to all applicable terms of the Plan and may be subject to any other terms that are not inconsistent with the Plan. The provisions of the various SAR Agreements entered into under the Plan need not be identical.

(b) Number of Shares and Exercise Price. Each SAR Agreement shall specify the number of Shares to which the SAR pertains and shall provide for the adjustment of such number in accordance with Section 15. Each SAR Agreement shall specify the exercise price; provided that the exercise price shall in no event be less than 100% of the Fair Market Value of a Share on the date of grant.

(c) Exercisability and Term. Each SAR Agreement shall specify the date when all or any installment of the SAR is to become exercisable. The SAR Agreement shall also specify the term of the SAR; provided that the term of a SAR shall in no event exceed 10 years from the date of grant. Anything in this Section 10(c) to the contrary notwithstanding, SARs shall be subject to the minimum vesting requirement set forth in Section 6(c) of the Plan. SARs may be awarded in combination with Options, and such an Award may provide that the SARs will not be exercisable unless the related Options are forfeited. A SAR may be included in an Incentive Stock Option only at the time of grant but may be included in a Nonstatutory Stock Option at the time of grant or thereafter. A SAR granted under the Plan may provide that it will be exercisable only in the event of a Change in Control.

(d) Exercise of SARs. Upon exercise of a SAR, the Participant (or any person having the right to exercise the SAR after his or her death) shall receive from the Company consideration in the form of (a) Shares, (b) cash or (c) a combination of Shares and cash, as set out in the SAR Agreement or as the Administrator shall determine. Each SAR Agreement shall specify the amount and/or Fair Market Value of the consideration that the Participant will receive upon exercising the SAR; provided that the

## Appendix A

aggregate consideration shall not exceed the amount by which the Fair Market Value (on the date of exercise) of the Shares subject to the SAR exceeds the exercise price of the SAR. A SAR Agreement may provide for the automatic exercise of a SAR on (i) the date when the SAR expires if the exercise price of the SAR is less than the Fair Market Value of the Shares subject to the SAR on such date but any portion of the SAR has not yet been exercised, or (ii) an earlier date.

(e) No Rights as Shareholder. No Participant shall have any rights as a shareholder with respect to any Shares covered by SARs until such Shares, if any, have been issued.

(f) Termination of Participant's Service. Upon termination of a Participant's Service, the Participant (or any person having the right to exercise the SAR after his or her death) may exercise his or her SAR within such period of time as is specified in the SAR Agreement to the extent that he or she is entitled to exercise it on the date of termination (but in no event later than the expiration of the term of such SAR as set forth in the SAR Agreement). In the absence of a specified time in the SAR Agreement, the SAR shall remain exercisable for ninety (90) days following the termination of the Participant's Service for any reason other than death or Disability, and the Option shall remain exercisable for one (1) year following the termination of the Participant's Service for reason of death or Disability. If, on the date of termination of Service, the Participant is not entitled to exercise his or her entire SAR, the Shares covered by the unexercisable portion of the SAR shall revert to the Plan. If, after termination of Service, the Participant does not exercise his or her SAR within the time specified by the Administrator, the SAR shall terminate, and the Shares covered by such SAR shall revert to the Plan.

### 11. Restricted Stock Units.

(a) Restricted Stock Unit Agreement. Each grant of Restricted Stock Units under the Plan shall be evidenced by an agreement between the Participant and the Company in such form (including by electronic communications) and such terms, conditions and restrictions as may be approved by the Administrator (the Restricted Stock Unit Agreement). Such Restricted Stock Units shall be subject to all applicable terms of the

Plan and may be subject to any other terms that are not inconsistent with the Plan. The provisions of the various Restricted Stock Unit Agreements entered into under the Plan need not be identical.

(b) Payment for Awards. To the extent that an Award is granted in the form of Restricted Stock Units, no cash consideration shall be required of the Participant.

(c) Vesting Conditions. The grant, issuance, retention and/or vesting of Shares under Restricted Stock Unit Awards shall be at such time and in such installments as determined by the Administrator or under criteria established by the Administrator. The Administrator shall have the right, but shall not be required, to make the timing of the grant and/or the issuance, ability to retain and/or vesting of Shares under Restricted Stock Unit Awards subject to continued employment, passage of time and/or performance goals as deemed appropriate by the Administrator; provided, however, that performance goals applicable to Restricted Stock Unit Awards that are intended to constitute "qualified performance-based compensation" under Section 162(m) of the Code shall be based on Performance Criteria. The Administrator shall determine the level of achievement against any performance goals, without regard to whether they are based on the Performance Criteria and such determination shall be final and binding. For a Restricted Stock Unit Award that is intended to constitute "qualified performance-based compensation" under Section 162(m) of the Code, the Administrator shall identify in writing the target for the performance goals that are based on Performance Criteria at the time the Restricted Stock Unit Award is granted, and no later than the earlier of (i) the date ninety (90) days after the commencement of the applicable performance period or (ii) the date on which twenty-five percent (25%) of the performance period has elapsed, and, in any event, at a time when the outcome of the Performance Criteria remains substantially uncertain. Anything in this Section 11(c) to the contrary notwithstanding, a Restricted Stock Unit Agreement shall be subject to the minimum vesting requirement set forth in Section 6(c) of the Plan; provided that any accelerated vesting shall not be given effect if it causes a Restricted Stock Unit Award that is intended to constitute "qualified performance-based compensation" under Section 162(m) to fail to so qualify.



## Appendix A

(d) Voting and Dividend Equivalent Rights. The holders of Restricted Stock Units shall have no voting rights. Prior to settlement or forfeiture, any Restricted Stock Unit awarded under the Plan may, at the Administrator's discretion, carry with it a right to dividend equivalents. Such right entitles the Participant to be credited with an amount equal to all cash dividends paid on one Share while the Restricted Stock Unit is outstanding. Dividend equivalents may be converted into additional Restricted Stock Units. Settlement of dividend equivalents may be made in the form of cash, in the form of Shares, or in a combination of both. Any dividend equivalents credited to Restricted Stock Units shall be subject to the same conditions (including, without limitation, performance conditions, if any) and restrictions as the Restricted Stock Units to which they attach.

(e) Form and Time of Settlement of Restricted Stock Units. Settlement of vested Restricted Stock Units may be made in the form of (i) cash, (ii) Shares or (iii) any combination of both, as set out in the Restricted Stock Unit Agreement or as the Administrator shall determine. The actual number of Restricted Stock Units eligible for settlement may be larger or smaller than the number included in the original Award, based on predetermined performance factors. Methods of converting Restricted Stock Units into cash may include (without limitation) a method based on the average Fair Market Value of Shares over a series of trading days. Vested Restricted Stock Units may be settled in a lump sum or in installments. The distribution may occur or commence when all vesting conditions applicable to the Restricted Stock Units have been satisfied or have lapsed, or it may be deferred to any later date. The amount of a deferred distribution may be increased by an interest factor or by dividend equivalents. Until an Award of Restricted Stock Units is settled, the number of such Restricted Stock Units shall be subject to adjustment pursuant to Section 15.

(f) Death of Recipient. Any Award of Restricted Stock Units that becomes payable after the Participant's death shall be distributed to the Participant's estate or, to the extent the Participant is permitted by the Administrator (in its sole discretion) to designate a beneficiary and has done so, to the Participant's designated beneficiary or beneficiaries, provided, however, that the Administrator shall retain the discretion

to determine whether any beneficiary designation shall be given effect in the case of any question of the validity and/or enforceability of such designation.

(g) Termination of Employment. The Restricted Stock Unit Agreement may provide for the forfeiture or cancellation of the Restricted Share Award, in whole or in part, in the event of the termination of Service of the Participant to whom it was granted.

(h) Creditors' Rights. A holder of Restricted Stock Units shall have no rights other than those of a general creditor of the Company. Restricted Stock Units represent an unfunded and unsecured obligation of the Company, subject to the terms and conditions of the applicable Restricted Stock Unit Agreement.

12. Evaluation of Performance Criteria. The Administrator may appropriately adjust any evaluation of performance under a Performance Criteria to exclude any of the following events that occurs during a performance period: (i) asset write-downs, (ii) litigation or claim judgments or settlements, (iii) the effect of changes in tax law, accounting principles or other such laws or provisions affecting reported results, (iv) accruals for reorganization and restructuring programs and (v) any unusual, infrequently occurring or non-recurring event, item, transaction or development as determined in accordance with accounting principles and/or as described in management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations appearing in the Company's annual report for the applicable year. Notwithstanding satisfaction or completion of any Performance Criteria, to the extent specified at the time of grant of an Award, the number of Shares, Options, SARs, Restricted Shares, Restricted Stock Units or other benefits granted, issued, retainable and/or vested under an Award on account of satisfaction of such Performance Criteria may be reduced by the Administrator on the basis of such further considerations as the Administrator in its sole discretion shall determine.

13. Suspension or Termination of Awards. If at any time (including after a notice of exercise has been delivered) the Administrator reasonably believes that a Participant, other than an independent Director, has committed an act of misconduct as described in this Section 13, the Administrator may suspend the Participant's right

## Appendix A

to exercise any Award, pending a determination of whether an act of misconduct has been committed. If the Administrator determines that a Participant, other than an independent Director, has committed an act of embezzlement, fraud or breach of fiduciary duty, or if a Participant makes an unauthorized disclosure of any trade secret or confidential information of the Company or any of its Subsidiaries, or induces any customer to breach a contract with the Company or any of its Subsidiaries, neither the Participant nor his or her estate shall be entitled to exercise unexercised Options or SARs or continue vesting in Restricted Shares or Restricted Stock Units, and any unexercised Options and SARs, unvested Restricted Shares and unvested and/or vested but not yet settled Restricted Stock Units shall be forfeited. Any determination by the Administrator with respect to the foregoing shall be final, conclusive and binding on all interested parties. For any Participant who is a Vice President or above the determination of the Administrator shall be subject to the approval of the Board.

14. Non-Transferability of Awards. Unless determined otherwise by the Administrator, an Award may not be sold, pledged, assigned, hypothecated, transferred or disposed of in any manner other than by will, by beneficiary designation or by the laws of descent or distribution and may be exercised, during the lifetime of the Participant, only by the Participant. If the Administrator makes an Award transferable, such Award shall contain such additional terms and conditions as the Administrator deems appropriate.

15. Adjustments Upon Changes in Capitalization.

(a) Changes in Capitalization. In the event of a declaration of a stock dividend, stock split, combination or reclassification of shares, extraordinary dividend of cash and/or assets, recapitalization, reorganization or any similar event affecting the Shares or other securities of the Company, the Administrator shall appropriately and equitably adjust the number and kind of Shares or other securities which are subject to this Plan, including the maximum number of Shares that may be issued pursuant to the exercise of Incentive Stock Options, the limitations set forth in Section 6(b), or the number of Shares subject to any Awards previously granted, and/or

the exercise or settlement prices of such Awards, in order to reflect such change and thereby preclude a dilution or enlargement of benefits under an Award.

(b) No Rights. The existence of outstanding Awards shall not affect in any way the right or power of the Company or its shareholders to make or authorize any or all adjustments, recapitalizations, reorganizations, exchanges, or other changes in the Company's capital structure or its business, or any merger or consolidation of the Company or any issuance of Shares or other securities or subscription rights thereto, or any issuance of bonds, debentures, preferred or prior preference securities ahead of or affecting the Shares or other securities of the Company or the rights thereof, or the dissolution or liquidation of the Company, or any sale or transfer of all or any part of its assets or business, or any other corporate act or proceeding, whether of a similar character or otherwise. Further, except as expressly provided in this Plan (i) the issuance by the Company of shares or any class of securities convertible into shares of any class, for cash, property, labor or services, upon direct sale, upon the exercise of rights or warrants to subscribe therefore, or upon conversion of shares or obligations of the Company convertible into such shares or other securities, (ii) the payment of a dividend in property other than Shares, or (iii) the occurrence of any similar transaction, and in any case whether or not for fair value, shall not affect, and no adjustment by reason thereof shall be made with respect to, the number of Shares subject to Options or other Awards previously granted or the purchase price per Share.

(c) No Fractional Shares. No right to purchase fractional Shares shall result from any adjustment in Options or SARs pursuant to this Section 15. In case of any such adjustment, the Shares subject to the Option or SAR shall be rounded down to the nearest whole share.

(d) Dissolution or Liquidation. In the event of the proposed dissolution or liquidation of the Company, the Administrator shall notify each Participant as soon as practicable prior to the effective date of such proposed transaction. The Administrator in its discretion may provide for a Participant to have the right to exercise an Option or SAR until ten (10) days prior to such transaction





## Appendix A

as to all of the Shares covered thereby, including Shares as to which the Option or SAR would not otherwise be exercisable. In addition, the Administrator may provide that any forfeiture condition applicable to any Shares issued under the Plan shall lapse as to all such Shares, provided the proposed dissolution or liquidation takes place at the time and in the manner contemplated. To the extent it has not been previously exercised, an Option or SAR will terminate immediately prior to the consummation of such proposed action.

16. Merger, Reorganization or Asset Sale. In the event the Company is a party to a merger, consolidation or reorganization, or the sale of substantially all of the assets of the Company (a Corporate Transaction ), then each outstanding Award shall be subject to the agreement evidencing the Corporate Transaction. Such agreement shall provide for one or more of the following:

(a) The continuation of outstanding Awards by the Company (if the Company is the surviving corporation).

(b) The assumption of outstanding Awards by the surviving corporation or its parent.

(c) The substitution by the surviving corporation or its parent of new awards for outstanding Awards.

(d) Full exercisability of outstanding Options and SARs and full vesting of the Shares subject to them, followed by the cancellation of such Options and SARs. The full exercisability of outstanding Options and SARs and full vesting of such Shares, and any exercise of outstanding Options and SARs, shall be contingent on the closing of the merger, reorganization, consolidation or asset sale.

(e) The cancellation of outstanding Options and SARs and a payment to the holding Participants equal to the excess of (i) the per Share amount that shareholders are entitled to receive or realize in connection with the applicable transaction with respect to the number of Shares subject to such Options and SARs (whether or not such Options and SARs are then exercisable or such Shares are then vested) as of the closing date of such merger, reorganization, consolidation or asset sale, over (ii) their Exercise Price. Such payment shall be made in the form of cash, cash equivalents, or securities of the surviving corporation or its parent with a Fair Market

Value equal to the required amount. Such payment may be made in installments and may be deferred until the date or dates when such Options and SARs would have become exercisable or such Shares would have vested. Such payment may be subject to vesting based on the Participant's continuing Service, provided that the vesting schedule shall not be less favorable to the Participant than the schedule under which such Options and SARs would have become exercisable or such Shares would have vested. If the Exercise Price of the Shares subject to such Options and SARs exceeds the Fair Market Value of such Shares, then such Options and SARs may be cancelled without making a payment to the Participants. For purposes of this Subsection (e), the Fair Market Value of any security shall be determined without regard to any vesting conditions that may apply to such security.

(f) The cancellation of outstanding Restricted Stock Units and a payment to the Participants equal to the Fair Market Value of the Shares subject to such Restricted Stock Units (whether or not such Restricted Stock Units are then vested) as of the closing date of such merger or consolidation. Such payment shall be made in the form of cash, cash equivalents, or securities of the surviving corporation or its parent with a Fair Market Value equal to the required amount. Such payment may be made in installments and may be deferred until the date or dates when such Restricted Stock Units would have vested. Such payment may be subject to vesting based on the Participant's continuing Service, provided that the vesting schedule shall not be less favorable to the Participant than the schedule under which such Stock Units would have vested. For purposes of this Subsection (f), the Fair Market Value of any security shall be determined without regard to any vesting conditions that may apply to such security.

For the avoidance of any doubt, nothing in this Section 16 is intended, or shall be construed, to provide for automatic vesting acceleration of any Award upon a Corporate Transaction or other change in control of the Company without additional action by the Committee.

17. Date of Grant. The date of grant of an Award shall be, for all purposes, the date on which the Administrator makes the determination granting such Award, or such other later date as is determined by the Administrator.



## Appendix A

Notice of the determination shall be provided to each Participant within a reasonable time after the date of such grant.

18. Tax Withholding. The Company or any Subsidiary or Affiliate shall have the authority and the right to deduct or withhold (by any means set forth herein or in an Award Agreement), or require a Participant to remit to the Company or a Subsidiary or Affiliate, an amount sufficient to satisfy federal, state, local and foreign income tax, social insurance, payroll tax, fringe benefits tax, payment on account or other tax-related items related to participation in the Plan and legally applicable to Participant and required by Applicable Laws to be withheld. The Administrator may, in its discretion and in satisfaction of the foregoing requirement, allow a Participant to elect to have the Company withhold Shares otherwise issuable under an Award (or allow the tender of Shares previously acquired by the Participant) having a fair market value equal to the amount required to be withheld. The Company shall not be required to issue Shares or to recognize the disposition of such Shares until any withholding obligations on the part of the Company, a Subsidiary or an Affiliate are satisfied.

19. Unfunded Plan. Insofar as it provides for Awards, the Plan shall be unfunded. Although bookkeeping accounts may be established with respect to Participants who are granted Awards under this Plan, any such accounts will be used merely as a bookkeeping convenience. The Company shall not be required to segregate any assets which may at any time be represented by Awards, nor shall this Plan be construed as providing for such segregation, nor shall the Company or the Administrator be deemed to be a trustee of securities or cash to be awarded under the Plan.

### 20. Amendment and Termination of the Plan.

(a) Amendment and Termination. The Board may at any time amend, alter, suspend or terminate the Plan.

(b) Shareholder Approval. The Company shall obtain shareholder approval of any Plan amendment to the extent necessary and desirable to comply with Applicable Laws, including the requirements of any exchange or quotation system on which the Shares are listed or quoted. Such shareholder approval, if required,

shall be obtained in such a manner and to such a degree as is required by Applicable Laws. Notwithstanding any provision in this Plan to the contrary, absent approval of the shareholders of the Company,

(i) No Option or SAR may be amended to reduce the exercise price of such Option or SAR below the Fair Market Value of the Shares as of the date the Option or SAR was granted, and

(ii) Except as permitted by Section 15, and at any time when the then-current fair market value of a Share is less than the Fair Market Value of a Share on the date that an outstanding Option or SAR was granted, such outstanding Option or SAR may not be cancelled or surrendered in exchange for (A) cash, (B) an Option or SAR having an exercise price that is less than the Fair Market Value of a Share on the date that the original Option or SAR was granted, or (C) any other Award.

(c) Effect of Amendment or Termination. No amendment, alteration, suspension or termination of the Plan shall impair the rights of any Participant under an existing Award, unless mutually agreed otherwise between the Participant and the Administrator, which agreement must be in writing and signed by the Participant and the Company. Anything to the contrary notwithstanding, any amendment, alteration, suspension or termination of the Plan (or an amendment to an outstanding Award) to facilitate compliance with Applicable Laws shall not require consent from any Participant or any other beneficiary.

### 21. Conditions Upon Issuance of Shares.

(a) Legal Compliance. Shares shall not be issued under the Plan unless the issuance and delivery of such Shares shall comply with Applicable Laws, and shall be further subject to the approval of counsel for the Company with respect to such compliance.

(b) Investment Representations. As a condition to the issuance of Shares under the Plan, the Company may require the Participant to represent and warrant at the time of any such issuance that the Shares are being purchased only for investment and without any present intention to sell or distribute such Shares if, in the opinion of counsel for the Company, such a representation is required.

*Proxy Statement* **114**

---

## Appendix A

### 22. Liability of Company.

(a) Inability to Obtain Authority; Tax Consequences. The Company shall not be liable to a Participant or other persons as to: (a) the non-issuance or sale of Shares as to which the Company has been unable to obtain from any regulatory body having jurisdiction the authority deemed by the Company's counsel to be necessary to the lawful issuance and sale of any Shares hereunder; and (b) any tax consequence expected, but not realized, by any Participant or other person due to the receipt, exercise or settlement of any Option or other Award granted under this Plan.

(b) Grants Exceeding Allotted Shares. If the number of Shares covered by an Award exceeds, as of the date of grant, the number of Shares that may be issued under the Plan without additional shareholder approval, such Award shall be void with respect to such excess Shares, unless shareholder approval of an amendment sufficiently increasing the number of Shares subject to the Plan is timely obtained in accordance with Section 20(b) of the Plan.

23. Clawback/Recovery. All Awards granted under the Plan will be subject to recoupment in accordance with any clawback policy that the Company is required to adopt pursuant to the listing standards of any national securities exchange or association on which the Company's securities are listed or as is otherwise required by the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act or other Applicable Laws. In addition, the Administrator may impose such other clawback, recovery or recoupment provisions on an Award as the Administrator determines necessary or appropriate, including but not limited to a reacquisition right in respect of previously acquired Shares or other cash or property upon the occurrence of cause (as determined by the Administrator).

24. Section 409A. To the extent applicable, the Plan and Award Agreements shall be interpreted in accordance with Section 409A of the Code and U.S. Department of Treasury regulations and other interpretive guidance issued thereunder, including without limitation any such regulations or other guidance that may be issued after the Plan effective date. Notwithstanding any provision of the Plan to the contrary, in the event that the Administrator determines that any Award may be subject to Section 409A of the Code and related U.S. Department of Treasury guidance (including such U.S. Department of Treasury guidance as may be issued after the Plan effective date), the Administrator may adopt such amendments to the Plan and the applicable Award Agreement or adopt other policies and procedures (including amendments, policies and procedures with retroactive effect), or take any other actions, that the Administrator determines are necessary or appropriate to (a) exempt the Award from Section 409A of the Code and/or preserve the intended tax treatment of the benefits provided with respect to the Award, or (b) comply with the requirements of Section 409A of the Code and related U.S. Department of Treasury guidance and thereby avoid the application of any penalty taxes under such Section, but the Company shall not be under any obligation to make any such amendment. Nothing in this Plan shall provide a basis for any person to take action against the Company or any Subsidiary or Affiliate based on matters covered by Section 409A of the Code, including the tax treatment of any Award granted or Shares issued or amount paid under the Plan, and neither the Company nor any of its Subsidiaries or Affiliates shall under any circumstances have any liability to any Participant or his or her estate or any other party for any taxes, penalties or interest due on Awards granted under this Plan, including taxes, penalties or interest imposed under Section 409A of the Code.

## Edgar Filing: - Form

This Response Coupon is solicited on behalf of the Board of Directors of Logitech International S.A.

The Board recommends a vote For each of Proposals 1-12

«ZustellAdrZeilen»

Shareholder  
«DomAdrKurzAdrEinzeilig»

Number of shares  
«Stimmberechtigt»

Response Coupon for the 28<sup>th</sup> Annual General Meeting of LOGITECH INTERNATIONAL S.A.  
Wednesday, September 7, 2016 14:00 (registration starts at 13:30)  
SwissTech Convention Center, EPFL - Lausanne, Switzerland

Voting instructions, orders of admission cards and of publications, and changes of address can be submitted either with this form or online.

We encourage you to utilize our online voting option in order to reduce the mailing costs incurred by our company and to help reduce our carbon footprint. For online registration / voting, please go to the website: [gvmanager.ch/logitech](http://gvmanager.ch/logitech) and input your **personal, one-time code noted here: «UserName»-«UserPassword»**

If you do not wish to vote online, please complete, sign and return both sides of this form by September 1, 2016 in the enclosed postage-paid return envelope. When voting by mail, this response coupon is valid only when signed and dated.

### Participation:

Option 1: I/we will personally attend the Annual General Meeting and ask you to send me/us an admission card in my/our name(s).

Option 2: I/we hereby authorize the person named below to act as my/our proxy to represent me/us at the Annual General Meeting and ask you to send an admission card directly to such person:

Name and address of proxy:

Option 3: I/we authorize the Independent Representative, Ms. Béatrice Ehlers, Notary Public, Rue Caroline 1, P.O. Box 6035, 1002 Lausanne, Switzerland, to represent me/us at the Annual General Meeting.

If you wish to issue any specific voting instructions, please complete the reverse side of this form.

We invite you to visit the Investors section of our website for more information.

Please print your email address in the space provided below. If you provide us with your email address, we may be able, in the future, to send you additional shareholder communication via email. We will not intentionally share, sell or distribute your email address, except as required by law or company policy.

Name:

Email Address:

Date:

Signature:

EA



## Edgar Filing: - Form

### Instructions for the Independent Representative

Please tick only one box per item

	For	Against	Abstain
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
6.A.			
6.B.			
6.C.			
6.D.			
6.E.			
6.F.			
6.G.			
6.H.			
6.I.			
6.J.			
7.			
8.			
8.A.			
8.B.			
8.C.			
8.D.			
9.			
10.			
11.			
12.			

If additional proposals or amended proposals in connection with the above proposals are formulated at the Annual General Meeting, I instruct the Independent Representative to vote in favor of the recommendations of the Board (For), against the proposals (Against) or abstain (Abstain) as follows:

To the extent that you do not give specific voting instructions with respect to one or several of the above proposals, by signing this form you instruct the Independent Representative to exercise your vote in favor of the recommendations of the Board of Directors on the corresponding proposals contained in the Invitation and Proxy Statement as well as on new proposals and amended proposals that could be formulated during the course of the Annual General Meeting.

---