

NATIONAL HEALTH INVESTORS INC  
Form DEF 14A  
March 18, 2014

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

(Rule 14a-101)

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities  
Exchange Act of 1934

Filed by the Registrant  [ x ]

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant  [ ]

Check the appropriate box:

[ ] Preliminary Proxy Statement

[ ] Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

[ x ] Definitive Proxy Statement

[ ] Definitive Additional Materials

[ ] Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

National Health Investors, Inc.

(Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if Other Than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

[ x ] No fee required.

[ ] Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

[ ] Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

[ ] Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL HEALTH INVESTORS INC - Form DEF 14A

- (1) Amount Previously Paid:
  - (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
  - (3) Filing Party:
  - (4) Date Filed:
-

NATIONAL HEALTH INVESTORS, INC.

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS  
TO BE HELD FRIDAY MAY 2, 2014 AT 12:30 PM CDT

To Our Shareholders:

We cordially invite you to attend the Annual Meeting of the Shareholders (the "Meeting") of National Health Investors, Inc. ("NHI" or the "Company"). It will be held at the Stones River Country Club, 1830 NW Broad Street, Murfreesboro, Tennessee on Friday, May 2, 2014, at 12:30 pm CDT, for the following purposes:

- (1) To elect two directors, W. Andrew Adams and Robert A. McCabe, Jr., both of whom currently serve as directors of the Company;
- (2) To authorize and approve an amendment to the Articles of Incorporation of the Company to increase the number of authorized shares from forty million to sixty million;
- (3) To approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation paid to our named executive officers;
- (4) To ratify the Audit Committee's selection of BDO USA, LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2014; and
- (5) To transact such other business as may properly come before the Meeting or any continuances of it.

The Board of Directors has fixed the close of business on Monday, March 3, 2014 (the "Record Date"), for the determination of shareholders who are entitled to vote at the Meeting, including any continuances.

To assure your representation at the Meeting, the Board of Directors solicits votes by the execution and prompt return of the proxy in the enclosed return envelope by mail or by use of our telephone or Internet voting procedures. Any shareholder attending the Meeting may vote in person even if he or she has returned a proxy. Whether you are able to attend the Meeting or not, we urge you to indicate your vote as follows:

- \* FOR the election of Mr. Adams and Mr. McCabe as Directors of NHI;
- \* FOR authorization and approval of an amendment to the Articles of Incorporation to increase the number of authorized shares;
- \* FOR the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section and accompanying compensation tables contained in this proxy statement;
- \* FOR ratification of the Audit Committee's selection of BDO USA, LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2014.

By order of the Board of Directors,

/s/ SUSAN V. SIDWELL  
Corporate Secretary  
Murfreesboro, Tennessee  
March 18, 2014



NATIONAL HEALTH INVESTORS, INC.

222 Robert Rose Drive  
Murfreesboro, Tennessee 37129

## PROXY STATEMENT

The accompanying proxy is solicited by the Board of Directors of National Health Investors, Inc. (“NHI” or the “Company”) to be voted at the Annual Meeting (the “Meeting”) of the Shareholders to be held on Friday, May 2, 2014, commencing at 12:30 pm CDT and at any continuances of the Meeting. The Meeting will be held at the Stones River Country Club, 1830 NW Broad Street, Murfreesboro, Tennessee. It is anticipated that this proxy statement and the form of proxy solicited on behalf of our Board of Directors will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) and an accompanying Notice mailed to our shareholders on March 18, 2014.

Why am I receiving this proxy statement and proxy form?

As permitted by the SEC, we are making this proxy statement and our 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K (the “2013 Annual Report”) available to our shareholders electronically via the Internet. If you received a Notice by mail, you will not automatically receive a printed copy of the proxy material in the mail. Instead, the Notice instructs you how to access and review on the Internet all of the important information contained in the proxy statement and 2013 Annual Report. The Notice also instructs you how to submit your vote over the Internet. If you received a Notice by mail and would like to receive a printed copy of the proxy materials, you should follow the instructions for requesting such materials included in the Notice.

You are receiving the Notice by mail or this proxy statement and proxy form because you own shares of National Health Investors, Inc. common stock. This proxy statement describes issues on which you are entitled to vote. When you sign the proxy form, you appoint J. Justin Hutchens, the Company's Chief Executive Officer, and Roger R. Hopkins, the Company's Chief Accounting Officer, or either of them, as your representative at the Meeting. Mr. Hutchens and Mr. Hopkins will vote your shares at the Meeting as you have instructed on the proxy form. This way, your shares will be voted even if you cannot attend the Meeting.

If your shares are not voted in person or by telephone or on the Internet, they cannot be voted on your behalf unless you provide our corporate secretary with a signed proxy authorizing another person to vote on your behalf. Even if you expect to attend the Meeting in person, in order to ensure that your shares are represented, please vote using the telephone or Internet voting instructions found on the enclosed proxy card or complete, sign and date the enclosed proxy form and return it promptly.

If your shares are held in a brokerage account or in the name of another nominee, you are considered the beneficial owner of shares held in street name, and these proxy materials are being forwarded to you together with a voting instruction form. As the beneficial owner, you have the right to direct your broker, trustee or nominee how to vote your shares. Since a beneficial owner is not the owner of record, you may not vote these shares in person at the Meeting unless you obtain a “legal proxy” from the broker, trustee or nominee that holds your shares, giving you the right to vote the shares at the Meeting. Your broker, trustee or nominee has enclosed or provided voting instructions for you to use in directing the broker, trustee or nominee how to vote your shares.

Who is soliciting my proxy and who is paying the cost of the solicitation?

The Company's Board of Directors is sending you this proxy statement in connection with its solicitation of proxies for use at the Meeting. Certain of our directors, officers and employees may solicit proxies by mail, telephone, facsimile or in person. The Company will pay for the costs of solicitation. As of the date of this proxy statement, we

do not expect to pay any compensation for the solicitation of proxies, except to brokers, nominees and similar recordholders for reasonable expenses in mailing proxy materials to the beneficial owners of our common stock. We utilize the services of Broadridge Financial Solutions Inc. to disseminate our proxy materials for an estimated cost of \$50,000.

What am I voting on?

At the Meeting you will be asked to vote on four proposals: The first proposal is the election of two Directors to serve a three-year term on the Company's Board of Directors. The second proposal is an amendment to our Articles of Incorporation to increase the number of authorized shares from forty million (40,000,000) to sixty million (60,00,000). The third proposal is a non-binding advisory approval of the Company's executive compensation as described in this proxy statement. The fourth proposal is the ratification of the appointment of BDO USA, LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm.

Who is entitled to vote?

Only shareholders of record at the close of business on Monday, March 3, 2014 (the "Record Date") are entitled to notice of and to vote at the Meeting or any continuances. We have no class or series of shares currently outstanding other than our common stock. Each holder of the shares of our common stock is entitled to one vote per share on all matters properly brought before the Meeting. Shareholders are not permitted to cumulate votes for the purpose of electing directors or otherwise.

How do I vote?

You may vote your shares either in person at the Meeting, by telephone or on the Internet or by proxy. If you duly execute and return a proxy in the accompanying form or use our telephone or Internet voting procedures to authorize the named proxies to vote your shares, those shares will be voted as specified, and if no specification is made, the shares will be voted in accordance with the recommendations of the Board of Directors. To vote by proxy, you should mark, date, sign and mail the enclosed proxy in the prepaid envelope provided. Instructions for voting on the Internet or by telephone may be found in the proxy voting instructions included in the Notice. If your shares are registered in your own name and you attend the Meeting, you may deliver your completed proxy in person. "Street name" shareholders, that is, those shareholders whose shares are held in the name of and through a broker or nominee, who wish to vote at the Meeting will need to obtain a proxy form from the institution that holds their shares if they did not receive one directly. Shares held in street name may also be eligible for Internet or telephone voting.

Will my shares be voted if I do not sign and return my proxy form?

If your shares are registered in your name and you do not return your proxy form or do not vote in person at the Meeting, your shares will not be voted. If your shares are held in street name and you do not submit voting instructions to your broker, your broker may vote your shares for you. Brokers normally have discretion to vote on routine matters, such as ratification of auditors, but not on non-routine matters, such as compensation proposals. The New York Stock Exchange has changed its rules so that uncontested director elections are no longer considered routine matters and brokers no longer have discretion to vote on any director election.

Can I change my vote after I return my proxy form?

Yes. You may revoke your proxy and change your vote at any time before the proxy is exercised by filing either a written notice of revocation or another signed proxy bearing a later date. The powers of the proxy holders will be suspended if you attend the Meeting in person and inform the corporate secretary that you wish to revoke or replace your proxy. Your attendance at the Meeting will not by itself revoke a previously granted proxy. If you hold your shares in "street name" through a broker, bank or other nominee, you may revoke your proxy by following instructions provided by your broker, bank or nominee. No notice of revocation or later-dated proxy will be effective until received by the Company at or prior to the Meeting.

How many votes are needed to hold the Meeting?

As of the Record Date, the Company had a total of 33,051,176 shares of outstanding common stock. A majority of the Company's outstanding shares as of the Record Date (a quorum) must be present at the Meeting in order to hold the Meeting and conduct business. Shares are counted as present at the Meeting if: (a) a shareholder is present and votes in person at the Meeting; (b) a shareholder has properly submitted a proxy form, even if the shareholder marks abstentions on the proxy form; or (c) a broker or nominee has properly submitted a proxy form, even if the broker does not vote because the beneficial owner of the shares has not given the broker or nominee specific voting instructions and the broker or nominee does not have voting discretion (a "broker non-vote"). A share, once represented

for any purpose at the Meeting, is deemed present for purposes of determining a quorum for the Meeting (unless the Meeting is adjourned and a new record date is set for the adjourned meeting), even if the holder of the share abstains from voting with respect to any matter brought before the Meeting.

What is the Board of Directors' recommendation and how will my shares be voted?

The Board of Directors recommends a vote (1) FOR the election of Mr. Adams and Mr. McCabe as Directors of NHI; (2) FOR authorization and approval of an amendment to the Articles of Incorporation to increase the number of authorized shares;

(3) FOR the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section and accompanying compensation tables contained in this proxy statement; and (4) FOR ratification of the Audit Committee's selection of BDO USA, LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2014. If properly signed and returned in time for the Meeting, the enclosed proxy will be voted in accordance with the choices specified thereon. If you return a signed proxy, but do not specify a choice, the persons named as the proxy holder on the proxy form will vote as recommended by the Board of Directors. If a broker submits a proxy that indicates that the broker does not have discretionary authority as to



certain shares to vote on one or more matters, those shares will be counted as shares that are present for purposes of determining the presence of a quorum but will not be considered as present and entitled to vote with respect to such matters. Abstentions will be counted as shares that are present for purposes of determining the presence of a quorum, but are not considered votes cast with respect to the tabulations of votes cast on proposals presented to shareholders. Each proposal is tabulated separately.

Can I vote on other matters or submit a proposal to be considered at the Meeting?

The Company has not received timely notice of any shareholder proposals pursuant to Rule 14a-8 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 to be considered at the Meeting. Shareholders may submit matters for a vote without inclusion in this proxy statement only in accordance with Rule 14a-4(c) or the Company's bylaws. The Company does not intend to present any other business at the Meeting and does not know of any other business intended to be presented other than as discussed or referred to in this proxy statement (the date specified in the Company's bylaws for advance notice of proposals by shareholders has passed). If any other matters properly come before the Meeting, the persons named in the accompanying proxy card will vote the shares represented by the proxy in the manner as the Board of Directors may recommend, or in their discretion, in each case to the extent permitted under the Federal securities laws.

It is contemplated that the Company's 2015 annual meeting of shareholders will take place in May 2015. Shareholders' proposals will be eligible for consideration for inclusion in the proxy statement for the 2015 annual meeting pursuant to Rule 14a-8 if such proposals are received by the Company before the close of business on November 18, 2014. Notices of shareholders' proposals submitted outside the processes of Rule 14a-8 will generally be considered timely (but not considered for inclusion in our proxy statement), pursuant to the advance notice requirement set forth in Rule 14a-4(c). For shareholders seeking to present a proposal at the 2015 annual meeting without inclusion of such proposal in the Company's proxy materials, the proposal should be received by the Company no later than February 1, 2015.

Are there any dissenters' rights or appraisal rights with respect to any of the proposals described in this proxy statement?

There are no rights of appraisal or similar dissenter's rights with respect to any matter to be acted upon pursuant to this proxy statement.

#### EQUITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN PRINCIPAL BENEFICIAL OWNERS

The following information is based upon filings made by the persons or entities identified below with the SEC. Except as set forth below, on the Record Date, no person was known to us to own beneficially more than 5% of the outstanding common stock:

Title of Class	Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Percent of Class
Common Stock	The Vanguard Group, Inc. 100 Vanguard Blvd. Malvern, PA 19355	3,397,496 (1)	10.28%
Common Stock	BlackRock Inc. 40 East 52nd Street New York, NY 10022	2,019,499 (2)	6.11%
Common Stock	Vanguard Specialized Funds - Vanguard REIT Index Fund 100 Vanguard Blvd. Malvern, PA 19355	1,860,543 (3)	5.63%

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL HEALTH INVESTORS INC - Form DEF 14A

Common Stock	Dorothy Adams 5380 Gulf of Mexico Drive, Suite 105 Longboat Key, FL 34228	1,683,713	5.09%
--------------	---	-----------	-------

- (1) Based solely on a Schedule 13G/A filed by The Vanguard Group, Inc. on February 11, 2014.
- (2) Based solely on a Schedule 13G/A filed by BlackRock, Inc. on January 30, 2014.
- (3) Based solely on a Schedule 13G/A filed by Vanguard Specialized Funds on February 4, 2014.

3

---

## EQUITY OWNERSHIP OF DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

The following table shows the beneficial ownership, reported to us as of the Record Date, of our common stock of each director, each director nominee and each executive officer listed in the table below, and of the directors and executive officers as a group:

Title of Class	Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership <sup>(1)</sup>	Percent of Class
Common Stock	W. Andrew Adams 222 Robert Rose Drive Murfreesboro, TN 37129	1,464,786	(2) 4.42%
Common Stock	Robert A. McCabe, Jr. 211 Commerce St., Ste. 300 Nashville, TN 37201	32,070	(3) *
Common Stock	Robert T. Webb 141 E. MTCS Road Murfreesboro, TN 37129	100,264	(4) *
Common Stock	J. Justin Hutchens 222 Robert Rose Drive Murfreesboro, TN 37129	242,375	(5) *
Common Stock	James R. Jobe 745 S. Church Street Murfreesboro, TN 37133	15,100	(6) *
Common Stock	Roger R. Hopkins 222 Robert Rose Drive Murfreesboro, TN 37129	114,145	(7) *
Common Stock	Kristin S. Gaines 222 Robert Rose Drive Murfreesboro, TN 37129	121,264	(8) *
Common Stock	All Directors and Executive Officers as a group - 7 persons	2,090,004	(9) 6.22%

\* Less than 1%

(1) Except as otherwise noted, all shares are owned beneficially with sole voting and investment power. The percentages shown are based on 33,051,176 shares of common stock outstanding plus, as to each individual and group listed, the number of shares of common stock deemed to be owned by such holder pursuant to Rule 13d-3 under the Exchange Act, assuming exercise of options held by such holder that are exercisable within 60 days of the Record Date.

(2) Includes options to purchase 60,000 shares of common stock and 9,707 shares of common stock owned by Mr. Adams' spouse. Also includes 54,186 shares owned by three trusts for which Mr. Adams is a trustee and 1,118,586 shares owned by limited partnerships controlled by Mr. Adams. Of these shares, 244,600 are pledged as a security for a loan by Mr. Adams. Also includes 222,307 shares which are owned by a private foundation of which he is a director; however, Mr. Adams expressly disclaims ownership in these shares.

(3) Includes options to purchase 30,000 shares of common stock.

(4) Includes options to purchase 30,000 shares of common stock and 70,264 shares owned by Mr. Webb's spouse.

(5) Includes options to purchase 200,000 shares of common stock.

(6) Includes options to purchase 15,000 shares of common stock.

(7) Includes options to purchase 100,000 shares of common stock.

(8) Includes options to purchase 100,000 shares of common stock. Of these shares, 10,575 are pledged as security for a loan by Ms. Gaines.

(9) Includes options to purchase 535,000 shares of common stock.

4

---

## DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE COMPANY

NHI is managed by its five-person Board of Directors. A director may be removed from office for cause only. Officers serve at the pleasure of the Board of Directors for a term of one year. The following table gives information about our directors and executive officers:

Name	Age	Director Since	Position	Expiration of term
W. Andrew Adams	68	1991	Chairman of the Board	2014
Robert A. McCabe, Jr.	63	2001	Director	2014
Robert T. Webb	69	1991	Director	2015
J. Justin Hutchens	39	2010	President, CEO & Director	2016
James R. Jobe	52	2013	Director	2016
Roger R. Hopkins	52		Chief Accounting Officer	—
Kristin S. Gaines	42		Chief Credit Officer	—

W. Andrew Adams (Independent Director) has been our Chairman of the Board of Directors since our inception in 1991. Mr. Adams served as both President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company from our inception until his retirement as President in February 2009 and as Chief Executive Officer in March 2011. Mr. Adams was President and Chief Executive Officer of National HealthCare Corporation (“NHC”) until he resigned those positions in 2004. He remains on NHC's Board of Directors, and served as Chairman of the Board until 2008. Mr. Adams served as President of National Health Realty, Inc. (“NHR”) from 1997 until November 2004 and served as Chairman of the Board until NHR was acquired by NHC in 2007. Mr. Adams has previously served on the Boards of Assisted Living Concepts, SunTrust Bank, David Lipscomb University and the Boy Scouts of America. He received his Bachelor of Science and Master of Business Administration degrees from Middle Tennessee State University.

The Board of Directors concluded Mr. Adams should serve as a director of the Company based on his prior role as Chief Executive Officer of the Company, extensive experience in the healthcare and REIT industries and his thorough understanding of the Company. Mr. Adams has not been an officer of the Company since February 28, 2011 and based on the NYSE rules and the Company's amended bylaws, the Board has determined that he is now an independent director.

Robert A. McCabe, Jr. (Independent Director) has served as a director of the Company since February 2001. Mr. McCabe has been Chairman of Pinnacle Financial Partners in Nashville, Tennessee since August 2000. He began his banking career with the former Park National Bank of Knoxville, Tennessee (“PNB”) and held numerous executive positions with PNB and its successor, First American National Bank. In 1994, Mr. McCabe was appointed vice chairman of First American Corporation. In March 1999, he was appointed by First American to manage all banking and non-banking operations, a position he held until First American's merger with AmSouth Bancorporation in October 1999. Mr. McCabe serves as chairman of Nashville Electric Service, a municipal electric distribution company. Mr. McCabe was also a director of Goldleaf Financial Solutions, Inc. until its sale in 2009 and a director of SSC Services of Knoxville, Tennessee until 2010. Mr. McCabe has been active in various civic organizations within his community, including Leadership Knoxville and Leadership Nashville. He is a member of the World President's Organization, Chief Executives Organization, served as the past Chairman of the Board of Trustees of The Ensworth School and Cheekwood Botanical Gardens and Museum of Art. He is also the past chairman of the Middle Tennessee Boy Scout Council, the Nashville Symphony and the Nashville Downtown Partnership. Mr. McCabe received his Master of Business Administration degree from the University of Tennessee and completed the Advanced Management Program of Harvard Business School. Mr. McCabe is Chairman of NHI's Audit Committee, and is a member of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and Compensation Committee.

The Board of Directors concluded Mr. McCabe should serve as a director of the Company because of his extensive leadership experience, his understanding of finance, accounting and the banking industry, and his independence from the Company.

Robert T. Webb (Independent Director) has served as a director of the Company since its inception in 1991. Mr. Webb is the owner of commercial buildings and rental properties in the Middle Tennessee area and is a subdivision developer. Additionally, Mr. Webb is the Vice President and Treasurer of Webb's Refreshments, Inc., which has been in operation serving the Middle Tennessee area since 1976. Mr. Webb served as President of Webb's Refreshments until that position was assumed by his son in 2010. He attended David Lipscomb College and received a Bachelor of Arts in business marketing from Middle Tennessee State University in 1969. Mr. Webb is Chairman of NHI's Compensation Committee, and is a member of the Audit Committee and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee.

The Board of Directors concluded Mr. Webb should serve as a director of the Company based on his extensive real estate business experience, his leadership qualities and his independence from the Company.

J. Justin Hutchens joined National Health Investors in February 2009 as President and COO. Pursuant to a succession plan, in March 2011 he was appointed CEO. Prior to joining NHI, Mr. Hutchens acquired 15 years of senior care operations experience. His background includes multi-site management with assisted living and skilled nursing facilities (1997 – 2003). He has national operating experience (2003 –2009) as the Senior Vice-President and COO of Summerville Senior Living and Executive Vice-President and COO of Emeritus Senior Living (NYSE: ESC). Mr. Hutchens holds a Master of Science in Management from Regis University and a Bachelor of Science in Human Services from the University of Northern Colorado. He was awarded Executive Certificates in Measurement and Control of Organizational Performance from the University of Michigan, and Strategy and Innovation from the MIT Sloan School of Management.

The Board of Directors concluded that Mr. Hutchens should serve as a director of the Company based on his role as Chief Executive Officer and his executive experience in the senior care industry.

James R. Jobe joined NHI as a director on April 29th, 2013 as an independent director. Mr. Jobe is a partner in the accounting firm of Jobe, Hastings & Associates, CPA's, established in 1984 in Murfreesboro, Tennessee. In that capacity, he has provided accounting and consulting services in the healthcare and long-term care industries for over 28 years. Mr. Jobe previously served as an independent director of National Health Realty, Inc., a publicly-traded real estate investment trust, until its merger with NHC in 2007. He received his Bachelor of Business Administration in Accounting from Middle Tennessee State University in 1984 and became a certified public accountant in 1986.

The Board of Directors concluded that Mr. Jobe should serve as a director of the Company based on his public company director experience, his accounting experience within the long-term care industry, and his independence from the Company.

Roger R. Hopkins joined NHI in 2006 and was named Chief Accounting Officer in December 2006. He has over 30 years of public accounting and financial management experience. Until 2006, he was a partner in the Tennessee regional accounting firm of Rodefer Moss & Co, PLLC. He was previously a senior manager in the Nashville, Tennessee office of Deloitte & Touche. Mr. Hopkins received his Bachelor of Science in Accounting from Tennessee Technological University in 1982 and is a Certified Public Accountant.

Kristin S. Gaines was appointed NHI's Chief Credit Officer in February 2010. She joined the Company in March 1998 as a Credit Analyst. During her tenure with NHI, Ms. Gaines has had a progressive career in the areas of finance and operations. Her experience has culminated in a breadth of expertise in underwriting, portfolio acquisition and oversight and real estate finance. Ms. Gaines received her Bachelor of Business Administration in Accounting and her Master of Business Administration degree, both from Middle Tennessee State University.

#### Board of Directors and Committees of the Board

Our Company has been led by Mr. W. Andrew Adams, who has served as our Chairman since the Company was founded in 1991. Effective March 1, 2011, J. Justin Hutchens was named Chief Executive Officer. Until that time, Mr. Adams was both Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer. Effective March 1, 2011, we have separated those positions with Mr. Adams now Chairman of the Board of Directors and Mr. Hutchens now Chief Executive Officer. As a result, our leadership structure has changed and our Board of Directors is now comprised of Mr. Adams as Chairman of the Board, Mr. Hutchens as a director and CEO and three independent directors. The Board of Directors has three standing independent committees with separate chairs - the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, Audit Committee, and Compensation Committee. Mr. Adams and Mr. Hutchens do not serve

on any of these committees. The Company does not have a lead director, but our corporate governance guidelines provide that our non-management directors will meet in executive session at least annually and generally each quarter.

We believe that this leadership structure is effective for the Company. Our Chairman is charged with presiding over all meetings of the Board of Directors and our shareholders, and providing advice and counsel to the CEO and our Company's other officers regarding our business and operations. Further, our CEO and Chairman have an excellent working relationship. With over 20 years of experience with NHI and as its founder, our Chairman is well positioned to provide our CEO with guidance, advice and counsel regarding our Company's business, operations and strategy. We believe that having Mr. Adams as Chairman allows us to continue to draw upon Mr. Adams' extensive knowledge of the REIT and healthcare industries while turning over the day-to-day operations of the Company to Mr. Hutchens.

Our full Board of Directors regularly engages in discussions of risk management and receives reports on risk management from members of management. Each of our Board committees also considers the risk within its areas of responsibility. Each of



the independent committee chairs leads the Board in its role of risk oversight with respect to such committee's area of responsibility. Thus, the Audit Committee leads the risk management oversight with respect to the Company's financial statements, the Compensation Committee with respect to the Company's compensation policies and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee with respect to Corporate Governance. Each of the committees will continue to lead risk oversight with respect to such committee's area of responsibility and the Chairman will add additional risk oversight with respect to the Company as a whole. We believe this structure provides effective oversight of the risk management function.

The Board of Directors held 13 meetings during 2013 and acted pursuant to two written consent actions. Each director attended more than 75% of the total number of meetings of the Board and each committee on which such director served. The Company strongly urges, but does not require, directors to attend the Annual Meeting of Shareholders. All directors were in attendance at the 2013 Annual Meeting.

The Board of Directors has determined that no director other than Mr. Hutchens has a material relationship with the Company. Accordingly, Mr. Adams, Mr. McCabe, Mr. Webb and Mr. Jobe are "independent" directors based on an affirmative determination by our Board of Directors in accordance with the listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE"), the SEC and the Company's bylaws. Mr. Adams had not been considered independent prior to March 1, 2014, since he served as the Chief Executive Officer until March 1, 2011. Since three years have now passed since Mr. Adams was an officer of the Company, under NYSE standards and the Company's bylaws, he can be considered independent.

The three standing committees of the Board of Directors are the Audit Committee, the Nominating and Governance Committee, and the Compensation Committee, the charters of which are provided on our website at [www.nhireit.com](http://www.nhireit.com). Each committee is comprised of at least three independent directors, and each committee is submitting a report in this proxy statement. Each committee adopted its respective charter, which provides that each committee elect a chairman. The committee meetings serve as the vehicle for addressing matters at a detailed level which are then brought to the full Board of Directors for specific action. During the Board of Directors meetings, there are regularly scheduled "Executive Sessions" of the independent directors. A presiding director of each Executive Session meeting is elected by the independent directors in attendance. Mr. Webb, Mr. McCabe and Mr. Jobe are each members of the Audit Committee, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and the Compensation Committee.

The Board of Directors has adopted the NHI Code of Business Conduct and Ethics and the NHI Valuesline program which are described on our website. This proxy statement contains a description of our Valuesline program under the caption "Shareholder Communications."

The Board of Directors has determined that the chairman of the Audit Committee, Mr. McCabe, meets the SEC's definition of "audit committee financial expert" and all three members of the Audit Committee are "financially literate" as required by NYSE rules. The Company has determined that Mr. McCabe is independent, as independence for audit committee members is defined under the NYSE listing standards. We maintain an internal audit function as required by NYSE rules to provide management and the Audit Committee with ongoing assessment of our risk management processes and system of internal control over financial reporting. Since 2006, we have outsourced this internal audit function to Rodefer Moss & Co., a Tennessee regional accounting firm with significant experience in providing audit and non-audit related services to its SEC clients.

## COMMITTEE REPORTS

### Report of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee's responsibilities include providing assistance to the Board of Directors in identifying and recommending candidates qualified to serve as directors of the Company, to review the composition of the Board of Directors, to develop, review and recommend governance policies and principles for the Company and to review periodically the performance of the Board of Directors. The process we follow with respect to director nominations is to identify qualified individuals for Board membership and recommend them to the full Board of Directors for consideration. This includes all potential candidates, whether initially recommended from management, other Board members or shareholders of the Company. Nominations by shareholders should be sent to National Health Investors, Inc., Attn: Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, 222 Robert Rose Drive, Murfreesboro, Tennessee 37129. Any such nominations by shareholders shall include the candidate's name, together with appropriate biographical information of the candidate and a statement as to whether the shareholder or group of shareholders making the recommendation has beneficially owned more than 5% of the Company's common stock for at least one year as of the date the recommendation is made. If the appropriate biographical information is provided on a timely basis, we will evaluate shareholder recommended candidates by following substantially the same process, and applying the same criteria, as we follow for candidates submitted by others.

In determining whether to recommend a candidate for the Board of Directors' consideration, we look at diversity of experience and capabilities, with greater weight given to qualifications like an understanding of the healthcare industry, real estate, finance and accounting. The principal qualification of a director is the ability to act successfully on the shareholders' behalf. We then evaluate each nominee and do an internal rank ordering. Existing Board members are automatically considered by us for a term renewal. We believe that the collective diversity of experience and qualifications of the directors should provide a variety of understanding and abilities that will allow the Board of Directors to fulfill its responsibilities. We have not paid a fee to any third party to identify, evaluate or assist in identifying or evaluating potential nominees.

In November 2012, the Board of Directors amended its bylaws to provide that directors in uncontested elections will be elected by a majority of votes cast. A majority of the votes cast means that the number of votes cast "for" a director nominee must exceed the number of votes cast "against" that director nominee. In contested elections (an election in which the number of nominees for director is greater than the number of directors to be elected) the vote standard will continue to be a plurality of votes cast.

In accordance with our bylaw amendment, the Board will nominate for election or re-election as a Director only candidates who agree to tender, promptly following their failure to receive the required vote for election or re-election at the next meeting at which they would face election or re-election, an irrevocable resignation that will be effective upon acceptance by the Board. In addition, the Board will fill Director vacancies and new directorships only with candidates who agree to tender the same form of resignation, promptly following their appointment to the Board.

If an incumbent Director fails to receive the required vote for re-election, then, within 90 days following certification of the shareholder vote, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will act to determine whether to accept the Director's resignation and will submit the recommendation for prompt consideration by the Board, and the Board will act on the Committee's recommendation. Thereafter, the Board will promptly disclose its decision-making process and decision regarding whether to accept the Director's resignation offer (or the reason(s) for rejecting the resignation offer, if applicable) in a Form 8-K furnished to the SEC. Any Director who tenders his or her resignation pursuant to this provision of our bylaws may not participate in the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee recommendation or Board action regarding whether to accept the resignation offer.

The committee met two times during 2013 and acted pursuant to one written consent action. On February 14, 2014 the committee nominated Mr. Adams and Mr. McCabe for re-election to the Board of Directors. Our nominees were assessed and chosen in accordance with our Committee's charter.

This report submitted by the NHI Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee.

James R. Jobe, Chairman

Robert T. Webb

Robert A. McCabe, Jr.

## Report of the Audit Committee

The primary functions of the NHI Audit Committee are to assist the Board of Directors in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities with respect to: (a) the Company's systems of internal control regarding finance, accounting, legal compliance and ethical behavior; (b) the Company's auditing, accounting and financial reporting processes; (c) the Company's financial statements and other financial information provided by the Company to its shareholders, the public and others; (d) the Company's compliance with legal and regulatory requirements; and (e) the performance of the Company's internal audit function and independent auditors. The Committee has the sole authority and responsibility to select, evaluate, and, where appropriate, replace the independent auditors or nominate the independent auditors for shareholder approval. The Committee approves all audit engagement fees and terms and all non-audit engagements with the independent auditors.

During 2013, the Audit Committee met six times. At the 2013 Annual Meeting, shareholders ratified the Audit Committee's selection of BDO USA, LLP ("BDO") as the independent registered public accounting firm for the 2013 fiscal year. BDO was engaged to review the condensed consolidated financial statements set forth in our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for each of the first three quarters of 2013 and to audit the Company's consolidated financial statements and effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting set forth in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013. Our Company's management has the primary responsibility for the preparation of the financial statements, effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, and the periodic filings with the SEC.

The responsibility of BDO is to express an opinion on the conformity of the Company's audited consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedules with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and to express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), and their reports dated February 14, 2014 expressed unqualified opinions thereon.

The Audit Committee (a) reviewed and discussed with management and BDO the quarterly and annual financial statements and disclosures of the Company contained in Form 10-Q and Form 10-K, respectively, (b) reviewed internal operating reports with management, and (c) made detailed inquiries of the Company's internal auditor and independent auditor as part of the Committee's review of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. During Audit Committee meetings, the members met in executive session individually with the Chief Executive Officer, the Chief Accounting Officer (who also oversees Sarbanes-Oxley §404 compliance), the internal auditor and BDO, whenever the Audit Committee deemed it appropriate. The Audit Committee has discussed with BDO the matters required by the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board ("PCAOB") (United States) and as required by SEC and NYSE rules. In addition, the Audit Committee has received from and discussed with BDO the written disclosures and letter from BDO required by the applicable requirements of the PCAOB regarding BDO's communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence and concluded that BDO remains independent from management and the Company.

In reliance on the reviews and discussions referred to above, the responsibilities outlined in the Restated Audit Committee Charter and legal requirements applicable for 2013, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors, and the Board approved, that the audited consolidated financial statements and Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K to the SEC for the year ended December 31, 2013.

This report is hereby submitted by the NHI Audit Committee.

Robert A. McCabe, Jr., Chairman

Robert T. Webb

James R. Jobe

This report of the Audit Committee shall not be deemed incorporated by reference by any general statement incorporating by reference this proxy statement into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, except to the extent that we specifically incorporate this information by reference, and shall not otherwise be deemed filed under these acts.

## Report of the Compensation Committee

The purpose of the Compensation Committee is to discharge the responsibilities of the Board of Directors relating to the compensation of our executive officers and to review and approve senior officers' compensation. The Compensation Committee met two times during 2013 and acted pursuant to one written consent action. All members were present at the meetings.

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis required by Item 402(b) of Regulation S-K with management, and based on such review and discussions, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this proxy statement.

This report is hereby submitted by the NHI Compensation Committee.

Robert T. Webb, Chairman

Robert A. McCabe, Jr.

James R. Jobe

This report of the Compensation Committee shall not be deemed incorporated by reference by any general statement incorporating by reference this proxy statement into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, except to the extent that we specifically incorporate this information by reference, and shall not otherwise be deemed filed under these acts.

## COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

The objectives of our compensation programs are to actively motivate and retain qualified senior officers and other key employees who are responsible for our Company's success. The compensation program is designed to reward our officers for the Company's performance as a whole and for the officer's individual effort in achieving the Company's goals. Our compensation program includes the elements of (a) a base salary that is reflective of job responsibilities, expertise, and comparability to the same positions with companies in our peer group, (b) an annual bonus to reward individual effort in achieving the Company's goals, and (c) share-based compensation to align the financial interests of our senior officers with those of our shareholders. Annual incentive (bonus) awards are designed to focus management attention on key operational goals for the current fiscal year. The key operational goals are a combination of each executive's area of responsibility and the overall financial performance by the Company. In approving annual bonus awards, the Compensation Committee considers, among other factors, the Company's revenue growth and profitability, the development and expansion of its business, the executive's work during the year, past compensation, perceived contribution to the Company, level of responsibility, and any notable individual achievements or failings in the year in question. Those executives in a position to have a more significant impact on the financial performance of the Company are eligible to receive substantially larger bonuses than executives that are not in such a position. The Compensation Committee has not engaged a compensation consultant to date.

While the Compensation Committee does not engage in benchmarking with respect to the compensation paid to our named executive officers, the Compensation Committee does review the compensation of companies within our peer group. Our peer group is ten other REITS, primarily in the healthcare industry, and includes: Healthcare Realty Trust Inc.; HCP, Inc.; Health Care REIT, Inc.; LTC Properties, Inc.; Omega Healthcare Investors, Inc.; Medical Properties Trust, Inc.; National Retail Properties, Inc.; Sabra Health Care REIT, Inc.; Ventas, Inc. and Aviv REIT, Inc. As part of the general information provided to the Compensation Committee members, a summary of the publicly disclosed prior year's compensation paid to the Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Chief Operating Officer of the identified peer group was provided. There was no specific discussion of these numbers, rather, each member of the

Compensation Committee considered this information along with his own professional experience and knowledge of executive compensation practices generally. Once the Compensation Committee determined the amount of compensation for each officer, it was observed that the total compensation expected to be paid our officers was, in each case, below the average of the peer group officers in similar positions. The Compensation Committee specifically discussed, and concluded that we do not believe our policies and practices of compensating our employees, including non-executive officers, are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company because such policies and practices do not relate to risk management practices and risk-taking incentives. The Company's say-on-pay proposal was approved by over 96% of the votes cast at the 2013 annual meeting. The Committee and the Board had a discussion of the results of such shareholder vote and given the high level of shareholder support, the Committee did not materially revise the Company's compensation policies and decisions relating to the named executive officers as a result of such vote. The Committee will continue to consider the outcome of shareholder votes and other shareholder feedback in making future compensation decisions for the named executive officers.

#### J. Justin Hutchens

Effective February 25, 2009, the Company appointed J. Justin Hutchens as President and Chief Operating Officer of the Company. In connection with the appointment of Mr. Hutchens, the Company entered into an employment agreement (the "Agreement") with Mr. Hutchens. The Agreement had a three-year term and provided an initial base salary of \$380,000 and initial bonus of \$380,000. The Agreement provided for a grant of a fully vested option to purchase 100,000 shares of common stock of the Company at the market price on the date of grant on the effective date of the Agreement and on each anniversary of the effective date of the Agreement until 2018, provided he remains employed by the Company on such anniversary date.

In March 2010, the Agreement was amended to provide that Mr. Hutchens became Chief Executive Officer of the Company on March 1, 2011. The Agreement amendment provided that the Agreement be extended until the third anniversary of the Agreement amendment and such term shall automatically be extended for an additional one-year period on the first anniversary of the Agreement amendment unless 90 days' prior notice is given by either party. The Agreement amendment further provided that if his employment is terminated due to a Without Cause Termination or Constructive Discharge (as each is defined in the Agreement), the Company will pay Mr. Hutchens in a lump sum payment upon such termination an amount equal to \$380,000. If Mr. Hutchens resigns his employment with the Company during the term of the Agreement other than due to a Constructive Discharge, Mr. Hutchens will pay the Company in a lump sum an amount equal to \$380,000 upon such termination. In addition, Mr. Hutchens elected to be compensated under the Cash Performance Incentive Plan. The Cash Performance Incentive Plan, as amended, provided a base salary of \$400,000 for 2012 and provided a funds from operations (or FFO) Bonus based on the Company achieving a normalized FFO as defined in the Agreement and a Dividend Bonus based on the Company's payment of recurring dividends as defined in the Agreement.

For 2013, the potential FFO Bonus was \$400,000, provided that no FFO Bonus would be paid if the Company does not achieve a per share normalized FFO of at least \$3.34 for 2013. In addition, the Cash Performance Incentive Plan provided a minimum Dividend Bonus of \$400,000 based on the Company's payment of recurring dividends, as defined in the Agreement, of at least \$2.77 per share. In no event would Mr. Hutchens receive any Dividend Bonus if the per share recurring dividend did not meet or exceed \$2.77 per share; however, the Board of Directors may, in its discretion, increase the Dividend Bonus based on the amount by which the per share recurring dividend exceeds such amount.

Based on the forgoing, on February 14, 2014, Mr. Hutchens was awarded a bonus amount of \$1,000,000. This bonus consisted of a \$400,000 normalized FFO Bonus based upon the Company achieving an actual recurring FFO of \$3.53 for 2013 and a \$400,000 bonus based upon the Company paying a recurring dividend of \$2.90 for 2013. The Board elected to pay Mr. Hutchens a discretionary bonus of \$200,000 as a result of the exceptional performance for 2013. In addition, on February 14, 2014, Mr. Hutchens was granted an option to purchase 100,000 shares of common stock at the closing price of our common stock on February 25, 2014. The option was fully vested on the date of grant.

For 2014, Mr. Hutchens' salary will be \$400,000. The 2014 potential FFO Bonus is based on normalized adjusted funds from operations ("AFFO") and is \$400,000, provided that the Company achieves a 5% increase over the Company's 2013 actual normalized AFFO per share. In addition, the Cash Performance Incentive Plan provides a minimum Dividend Bonus of \$400,000 based on the Company's payment of recurring dividends, as defined in the Agreement, of at least a 5% increase over the actual 2013 recurring dividends paid per share. In no event shall Mr. Hutchens receive any Dividend Bonus if the per share recurring dividend does not meet or exceed a 5% increase per share over 2013; however, the Board of Directors may, in its discretion, increase the Dividend Bonus by up to an additional \$350,000 based on the amount by which the per share recurring dividend exceeds such amount.

#### Other Named Executive Officers



On November 27, 2012, Mr. Hopkins' base salary was set at \$270,375 for 2013. Mr. Hopkins' incentive plan for 2013 provided for a bonus potential of up to \$150,000 based on achieving certain goals related to timing of SEC filings and contributing to the achievement of normalized FFO and recurring dividend payout goals as disclosed above. Mr. Hopkins' bonus was structured such that he is entitled to a \$18,750 bonus each quarter provided the Company's Form 10-Qs and Form 10-K are filed by specified dates and a \$37,500 bonus for meeting the \$3.34 normalized FFO and \$37,500 bonus for meeting the recurring dividend of \$2.77. Mr. Hopkins met each of the filing deadlines and thus earned \$75,000 of his bonus during the year. In addition, on February 14, 2014, the Compensation Committee granted Mr. Hopkins a bonus of \$75,000 for meeting the normalized FFO and recurring dividend goals plus an additional \$80,000 for a total 2013 bonus of \$230,000. The additional amount was awarded as a result of the Company exceeding the normalized FFO and recurring dividend payments as described above. In addition, on February 14, 2014, the Company granted Mr. Hopkins an option to purchase 50,000 shares of our common stock at the closing price of our common stock on February 25, 2014. Mr. Hopkins' option vests one-third on the date of grant and one-third on each of the first and second anniversary of the date of grant. On February 14, 2014, Mr. Hopkins' salary for 2014 was set at \$278,486 and his bonus

was set at \$150,000. His bonus goal is structured such that Mr. Hopkins will be entitled to a bonus amount of \$18,750 per quarter for achieving certain filing dates with the SEC of the Company's Form 10-Qs and Form 10-K. In addition, Mr. Hopkins will be entitled to a bonus of \$37,500 if the Company achieves the normalized AFFO goal described above and a bonus of \$37,500 if the Company achieves the recurring dividend payment goal described above.

On November 27, 2012, Kristin S. Gaines' base salary was set at \$146,260. Ms. Gaines' incentive plan for 2013 provided for a bonus goal of \$200,000 based on a \$15,000 bonus per occurrence for achieving a closing of a lease or loan transaction with a cap of \$105,000 on these bonus amounts. In addition, Ms. Gaines was entitled to a bonus of \$47,500 if the Company achieves the normalized FFO goal described above and a bonus of \$47,500 if the Company achieves the recurring dividend payment goal described above. Based on the foregoing, on February 14, 2014, the Compensation Committee granted Ms. Gaines a bonus of \$280,000 for 2013. This included her bonus goal of \$200,000, plus a discretionary bonus of \$80,000 as a result of the Company exceeding the normalized FFO and recurring dividend payments as described above. In addition, on February 14, 2014, the Company granted Ms. Gaines an option to purchase 50,000 shares of our common stock at the closing price of our common stock on February 25, 2014. Ms. Gaines' option vests one third on the date of grant and one third on each of the first and second anniversary of the date of grant. On February 14, 2014, Ms. Gaines' salary for 2014 was set at \$150,648 and her bonus goal was set at \$200,000. Ms. Gaines' incentive plan for 2014 is based on a \$15,000 bonus per occurrence for achieving a closing of a lease or loan transaction with a cap of \$105,000 on these bonus amounts. In addition, Ms. Gaines will be entitled to a bonus of \$47,500 if the Company achieves the normalized AFFO goal described above and a bonus of \$47,500 if the Company achieves the recurring dividend payment goal described above.

#### Role of Executive Officers in Determining Compensation

The Compensation Committee makes all final determinations with respect to executive officers' compensation, based on information provided by management and an appraisal of the Company's financial status. The Chief Executive Officer does make recommendations to the Compensation Committee relating to the compensation of executive officers who directly report to him, but the Compensation Committee has full autonomy in determining executive compensation.

#### Tax and Accounting Considerations

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, generally provides that compensation in excess of \$1 million paid to certain executive officers is not deductible unless it is performance-based. The Compensation Committee will periodically review and consider whether particular compensation and incentive payments to the Company's executives will be deductible for federal income tax purposes. However, the Compensation Committee retains the ability to evaluate the performance of the Company's executives and to pay appropriate compensation, even if it may result in the non-deductibility of certain compensation under federal tax law.

## 2013 Summary Compensation Table

The following table sets forth the compensation earned by the Chief Executive Officer, Chief Accounting Officer and Chief Credit Officer at December 31, 2013, or during the 2013 fiscal year (collectively, the “named executive officers”) for their services in all capacities to the Company for the 2013, 2012 and 2011 fiscal years.

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)	Option Awards (\$) <sup>(1)</sup>	Change in		All Other Comp. (\$)	Total (\$)
						Non-Equity Incentive Plan Comp. (\$)	Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Comp. Earnings (\$)		
J. Justin Hutchens <sup>(2)</sup> Chief Executive Officer, President	2013	400,000	200,000	—	587,930	800,000	—	—	1,987,930
	2012	400,000	100,000	—	537,730	750,000	—	—	1,787,730
	2011	380,000	25,000	—	996,380	650,000	—	—	2,051,380
Roger R. Hopkins Chief Accounting Officer	2013	270,375	80,000	—	341,504	150,000	—	—	841,879
	2012	262,500	40,000	—	361,558	125,000	—	—	789,058
	2011	250,000	10,000	—	495,255	100,000	—	1,340 <sup>(3)</sup>	856,595
Kristin S. Gaines Chief Credit Officer	2013	146,260	80,000	—	341,504	200,000	—	—	767,764
	2012	142,000	40,000	—	361,558	175,000	—	—	718,558
	2011	135,000	10,000	—	495,255	135,000	—	1,755 <sup>(3)</sup>	777,010

(1) Represents amounts to be expensed by us over the vesting period for grants made to executive officers. Such grants provide our executive officers the opportunity to purchase shares of NHI common stock at some future date at the fair market value of the stock on the date of the grant. The dollar value of the stock option grants is based on the grant date fair value. The grant date fair value is determined in accordance with ASC Topic 718. For additional information on the valuation assumptions with respect to the expense, refer to the Notes of NHI's consolidated financial statements in Form 10-K for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, as filed with the SEC. The grant date fair value does not represent cash received by the executive. Stock option grants are designed to provide long-term (up to ten years) incentives and awards linked directly to the price of our common stock. Stock options add value to the recipient only when shareholders benefit from stock price appreciation and, as such, further align management's interests with those of our shareholders.

(2) Mr. Hutchens served as Chief Operating Officer until March 1, 2011, at which time he became the Chief Executive Officer.

(3) This amount represents the value of dividends Mr. Hopkins and Ms. Gaines received on unvested restricted stock awards.

## Grants of Plan-Based Awards in 2013

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards			All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units (#)	All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options (#)	Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards (\$/Sh)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards (\$/Sh) <sup>(3)</sup>
		Threshold (\$)	Target (\$) <sup>(1)</sup>	Maximum (\$)				
J. Justin Hutchens	2/25/2013	—	800,000	—	—	100,000 <sup>(2)</sup>	64.49	5.88
Roger R. Hopkins	2/25/2013	—	150,000	—	—	50,000 <sup>(2)</sup>	64.49	6.83
Kristin S. Gaines	2/25/2013	—	200,000	—	—	50,000 <sup>(2)</sup>	64.49	6.83

(1) Amounts represent target bonus for 2013. The target amount is based on the executive achieving each bonus target described above. The amount actually paid under this non-equity incentive plan is included in the Bonus and Non-Equity Incentive Plan columns of the Summary Compensation Table.

(2) On February 25, 2013, the Company granted options to purchase 100,000 shares to Mr. Hutchens and 50,000 shares to each of Mr. Hopkins and Ms. Gaines. These option grants provided for vesting immediately for Mr. Hutchens and on a straight-line basis on the grant date anniversary over the next two years with one-third vesting immediately for Mr. Hopkins and Ms. Gaines. A change of control of NHI will cause the unvested options to vest immediately.

(3) The grant date fair value is determined in accordance with ASC Topic 718 and does not represent cash received by the named executive officers in 2013. Stock option grants are designed to provide long-term (up to ten years) incentives and rewards linked directly to the price of our common stock. Stock options add value to the recipient only when shareholders benefit from stock price appreciation and, as such, further align management's interests with those of our shareholders.

## 2013 Option Exercises and Stock Vested at Fiscal Year-End

Name	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	(Spread) Value Realized on Exercise (\$)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)	Value Realized on Vesting (\$)
J. Justin Hutchens	—	—	—	—
Roger R. Hopkins	—	—	—	—
Kristin S. Gaines	5,000	175,250	—	—

## 2013 Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End

Name	Options Awards			Restricted Stock Awards		
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)
J. Justin Hutchens	100,000	—	64.49	2/25/2018	—	—
Roger R. Hopkins	16,668	—	46.22	2/25/2016	—	—
	16,666	16,668	47.52	2/21/2017	—	—
	16,666	33,334	64.49	2/25/2018	—	—
Kristin S. Gaines	16,668	—	46.22	2/25/2016	—	—
	16,666	16,668	47.52	2/21/2017	—	—
	16,666	33,334	64.49	2/25/2018	—	—

## Potential Payments upon Termination or Change-in-Control

Mr. Hutchens is the only executive officer that has an employment agreement. Under Mr. Hutchens' employment agreement, if there had been a Without Cause Termination (or Constructive Discharge) on December 31, 2013, Mr. Hutchens would have been entitled to a severance payment equal to \$380,000. In the event Mr. Hutchens had voluntarily terminated his employment with the Company on December 31, 2013, other than pursuant to a Constructive Discharge, he would be obligated to pay the Company \$380,000. Mr. Hutchens had no unvested options as of December 31, 2013.

At December 31, 2013, Mr. Hutchens' employment agreement provided that Mr. Hutchens would be granted a fully vested option to purchase 100,000 shares of common stock on February 25th of each year until February 2018 provided that Mr. Hutchens remains employed by NHI on that date, priced at the fair market price of NHI's common stock on the date granted. In the event there is a change in control (as defined below) of NHI and Mr. Hutchens did not remain the CEO of the surviving entity, upon the closing of such change in control transaction, Mr. Hutchens would receive a grant of stock with a value equal to the spread between the price of NHI stock on the day prior to the announcement of the change in control transaction and the per share value received by the NHI shareholders in the change in control transaction. This would apply to each 100,000-share option required to be granted under his agreement that has not been granted as of the date of the change in control. NHI's stock was trading at \$56.10 per share at December 31, 2013. As an example, if a change of control event occurred on December 31, 2013 and that event provided the NHI shareholders would receive a value of \$70 per share; Mr. Hutchens would receive 19,857 shares of NHI stock for each of the five options to purchase 100,000 shares that had not been granted as of December 31, 2013, for a total of 99,285 shares of NHI stock. If NHI is not the surviving entity, Mr. Hutchens would receive the

number of shares of the surviving entity that equal the number of shares he would have received for such NHI shares in the change in control transaction if the NHI stock had been issued to him immediately prior to the change in control transaction. However, in the event that Mr. Hutchens remains the CEO of the surviving entity following the change in control transaction, his employment agreement would continue as in effect at such time, including the right to receive any remaining option grants, and the grant of shares described above would not occur.

Effective February 14, 2014, Mr. Hutchens' employment agreement was amended and restated. The current employment agreement provides that Mr. Hutchens will be granted a fully vested option to purchase 100,000 shares of common stock on February 25 of each year during the term of his employment with the Company priced at the fair market price of NHI's common stock on the date granted. As amended, in the event there is a change in control (as defined below) of NHI and Mr. Hutchens did not remain the CEO of the surviving entity, upon the closing of such change in control transaction, Mr. Hutchens would receive

a grant of stock with a value equal to 750,000 times the spread between the price of NHI stock on the day prior to the announcement of the change in control transaction and the per share value received by the NHI shareholders in the change in control transaction. Using the example numbers above, Mr. Hutchens would receive 148,929 shares of NHI stock. In the event Mr. Hutchens remains the CEO of the surviving entity following the change in control transaction, his employment agreement would continue as in effect at such time, including the right to receive option grants, and the grant of shares described above would not occur. The amended and restated employment agreement also deleted Mr. Hutchens' obligation to pay the Company \$380,000 if he should voluntarily terminate his employment with the Company.

The term “change in control” means (i) the acquisition, directly or indirectly, of the beneficial or record ownership of more than fifty percent (50%) of the outstanding voting power of the Company (by operation of law or otherwise) by any Person, provided, however, that the merger or consolidation of the Company with another entity as a result of which more than 50% of the outstanding voting securities of the surviving or resulting entity (or of the parent entity of such resulting or surviving entity) shall be owned in the aggregate by the former owners of the Company, as the same shall have existed immediately prior to such merger or consolidation, shall not constitute a change of control; (ii) the sale of all or substantially all of the assets of the Company and its subsidiaries in one or more transactions; (iii) the merger or consolidation of the Company with another entity as a result of which less than fifty percent (50%) of the outstanding voting securities of the surviving or resulting entity (or of the parent entity of such resulting or surviving entity) shall be owned in the aggregate by the former owners of the Company, as the same shall have existed immediately prior to such merger or consolidation; or (iv) a majority of members of the Board are replaced during any twelve (12) month period by directors whose appointment or election is not endorsed by a majority of the members of the Board prior to the date of the appointment or election.

At December 31, 2013, Mr. Hopkins held unvested options to purchase 50,002 shares of common stock and Ms. Gaines held unvested options to purchase 50,002 shares of common stock. The 2005 Stock Option Plan and the 2012 Stock Option Plan provide that a dissolution or liquidation of the Company or a merger, consolidation or acquisition in which the Company is not the surviving corporation shall cause the vesting date of each outstanding option to accelerate. Thus, if such an event had occurred at December 31, 2013, Mr. Hopkins would have received value equal to \$143,011.44 and Ms. Gaines would have received value equal to \$143,011.44 based on the number of unvested options that would have vested upon such event multiplied by the difference between the exercise price of such unvested options and \$56.10, the closing market price of the Company's common stock on December 31, 2013.

#### Equity Compensation Plans

The 2012 Stock Option Plan. The maximum number of shares of Company common stock which may be awarded and delivered under the 2012 Stock Option Plan is 1,500,000 shares. Options granted under the 2012 Stock Option Plan may be either “incentive stock options,” as defined in Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code (“Code”), or non-statutory stock options. The 2012 Stock Option Plan also continues the long-standing automatic grant of non-statutory options to the independent directors of the Board. Pursuant to the terms of the 2012 Stock Option Plan, independent directors will receive a fully vested option to purchase 15,000 shares once a year at the closing price of the shares on the third business day after the Company releases earnings for the prior year. The stock options are non-transferable except with Board approval and the maximum term is ten years from the date of grant. The Board of Directors is authorized to specify other terms and conditions of the grants. The options are granted at the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the date of grant.

The Compensation Committee may grant Stock Appreciation Rights (“SAR”) under the 2012 Stock Option Plan. The terms and conditions of each SAR granted under the 2012 Plan shall be as specified by the Compensation Committee, in its sole discretion, and must be set forth in a written agreement between the Company and the participant, and shall be clearly identified therein as a SAR.

Incentive stock options may be granted only to employees of the Company or its subsidiaries. Non-statutory stock options and SAR awards may be granted under the 2012 Stock Option Plan to employees and consultants of the Company, its affiliates and subsidiaries, as well as to persons to whom offers of employment as employees have been granted.

The Compensation Committee determines when options become exercisable. The means of payment for shares issued upon exercise of an award will be specified in each award agreement. Under the 2012 Stock Option Plan, the exercise price may be payable in cash or by tendering shares of stock acceptable to the Compensation Committee valued at fair market value as of the day of exercise, or in any combination thereof, as determined by the Compensation Committee. In addition, the Compensation Committee may permit a participant to elect to pay the exercise price upon the exercise of an incentive stock option or non-qualified stock option by irrevocably authorizing a third party to sell shares of stock (or a sufficient portion of the shares) acquired upon exercise of the incentive stock option or non-qualified stock option and remit to the Company a sufficient portion of the sale proceeds to pay the entire exercise price and any tax withholding resulting from such exercise. For non-qualified stock options



and stock received upon the exercise of SARs, the option holder or stock recipient must also pay the Company, at the time of purchase, the amount of federal, state, and local withholding taxes required to be withheld by the Company.

The federal income tax consequences to the Company, its affiliates and their employees of awards under the 2012 Stock Option Plan are complex and subject to change. There typically will be no federal income tax consequences to a participant or to us upon the grant of an incentive stock option. If the participant holds shares acquired through the exercise of an incentive stock option for the later of two years after the date the option was granted or one year after exercise of the option, the difference between the exercise price and the amount realized upon sale or disposition of the option shares will be long-term capital gain or loss, and we will not be entitled to a federal income tax deduction. If the participant disposes of the option shares in a sale, exchange, or other disqualifying disposition before the required holding period ends, he/she will realize taxable ordinary income in an amount equal to the excess of the fair market value of the option shares at the time of exercise over the exercise price, and the Company will be allowed a federal income tax deduction equal to such amount, subject to certain limitations under Section 162(m) of the Code. While the exercise of an incentive stock option does not result in current, taxable income, the excess of the fair market value of the option shares at the time of exercise over the exercise price will be an item of adjustment for purposes of determining the participant's alternative minimum tax income.

Non-qualified stock options granted under the 2012 Stock Option Plan do not qualify as "incentive stock options" and will not qualify for any special tax benefits to the optionee. An optionee generally will not recognize any taxable income at the time he or she is granted a non-qualified option. However, upon its exercise, the optionee will recognize ordinary income for federal tax purposes measured by the excess of the then fair market value of the shares over the exercise price. The income realized by the optionee will be subject to income and other employee withholding taxes.

In general, there will be no federal income tax deduction allowed to the Company upon the grant or termination of a non-qualified stock option or a sale or disposition of the shares acquired upon the exercise of a non-qualified stock option. However, upon the exercise of a non-qualified stock option, the Company will be entitled to a deduction for federal income tax purposes equal to the amount of ordinary income that an optionee is required to recognize as a result of the exercise, provided that the deduction is not otherwise disallowed under the Code.

At December 31, 2013, there were 1,140,000 shares available for grant under the 2012 Stock Option Plan. At December 31, 2013, there were 360,000 options to purchase shares of common stock granted under the 2012 Stock Option Plan.

The 2005 Stock Option Plan. The maximum number of shares of Company common stock which may be awarded and delivered under the 2005 Stock Option Plan is 1,500,000 shares. Options granted under the 2005 Stock Option Plan may be either "incentive stock options," as defined in Section 422 of the Code, or non-statutory stock options. The 2005 Stock Option Plan also continues the long-standing automatic grant of non-statutory options to the independent directors of the Board. Pursuant to the terms of the 2005 Stock Option Plan, independent directors will receive a fully vested option to purchase 15,000 shares once a year at the closing price of the shares on the third business day after the Company releases earnings for the prior year. The stock options are non-transferable except with Board approval and the maximum term is ten years from the date of grant. The Board of Directors is authorized to specify other terms and conditions of the grants. The options are granted at the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the date of grant.

The Compensation Committee may grant SARs and Restricted Stock Awards under the 2005 Stock Option Plan. The terms and conditions of each SAR or Restricted Stock Award granted under the 2005 Plan shall be as specified by the Compensation Committee, in its sole discretion, and must be set forth in a written agreement between the Company and the participant, and shall be clearly identified therein as a SAR or Restricted Stock Award.

Incentive stock options may be granted only to employees of the Company or its subsidiaries. Non-statutory stock options, restricted stock awards and SAR awards may be granted under the 2005 Stock Option Plan to employees and consultants of the Company, its affiliates and subsidiaries, as well as to persons to whom offers of employment as employees have been granted.

The Compensation Committee determines when options become exercisable. The means of payment for shares issued upon exercise of an award will be specified in each award agreement. Under the 2005 Stock Option Plan, the exercise price may be payable in cash or by tendering shares of stock acceptable to the Compensation Committee valued at fair market value as of the day of exercise, or in any combination thereof, provided, however, unless otherwise determined by the Compensation Committee, no shares may be tendered unless such shares have been held by the participant for six (6) months or more. In addition, the Compensation Committee may permit a participant to elect to pay the exercise price upon the exercise of an incentive stock option or non-qualified stock option by irrevocably authorizing a third party to sell shares of stock (or a sufficient portion of the shares) acquired upon exercise of the incentive stock option or non-qualified stock option and remit to the Company a sufficient portion of the sale proceeds to pay the entire exercise price and any tax withholding resulting from such exercise. For non-qualified

stock options and stock received from restricted stock awards or upon the exercise of SARs, the option holder or stock recipient must also pay the Company, at the time of purchase, the minimum amount of federal, state, and local withholding taxes required to be withheld by the Company.

The federal income tax consequences to the Company, its affiliates and their employees of awards under the 2005 Stock Option Plan are complex and subject to change. There typically will be no federal income tax consequences to a participant or to us upon the grant of an incentive stock option. If the participant holds shares acquired through the exercise of an incentive stock option for the later of two years after the date the option was granted or one year after exercise of the option, the difference between the exercise price and the amount realized upon sale or disposition of the option shares will be long-term capital gain or loss, and we will not be entitled to a federal income tax deduction. If the participant disposes of the option shares in a sale, exchange, or other disqualifying disposition before the required holding period ends, he/she will realize taxable ordinary income in an amount equal to the excess of the fair market value of the option shares at the time of exercise over the exercise price, and the Company will be allowed a federal income tax deduction equal to such amount, subject to certain limitations under Section 162(m) of the Code. While the exercise of an incentive stock option does not result in current, taxable income, the excess of the fair market value of the option shares at the time of exercise over the exercise price will be an item of adjustment for purposes of determining the participant's alternative minimum tax income.

Non-qualified stock options granted under the 2005 Stock Option Plan do not qualify as "incentive stock options" and will not qualify for any special tax benefits to the optionee. An optionee generally will not recognize any taxable income at the time he or she is granted a non-qualified option. However, upon its exercise, the optionee will recognize ordinary income for federal tax purposes measured by the excess of the then fair market value of the shares over the exercise price. The income realized by the optionee will be subject to income and other employee withholding taxes.

In general, there will be no federal income tax deduction allowed to the Company upon the grant or termination of a non-qualified stock option or a sale or disposition of the shares acquired upon the exercise of a non-qualified stock option. However, upon the exercise of a non-qualified stock option, the Company will be entitled to a deduction for federal income tax purposes equal to the amount of ordinary income that an optionee is required to recognize as a result of the exercise, provided that the deduction is not otherwise disallowed under the Code.

At December 31, 2013, there were 20,635 shares available for grant under the 2005 Stock Option Plan. At December 31, 2013, there were options to purchase 156,674 shares of common stock outstanding under the 2005 Stock Option Plan.

Equity Compensation Plan Information. The following table provides aggregate information as of December 31, 2013, with respect to shares of common stock that may be issued under our existing equity compensation plans:

Plan Category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans [excluding securities reflected in column(a)]
	(a)	(b)	(c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	2005 Plan: 156,674 2012 Plan: 360,000	\$47.06 \$64.49	2005 Plan: 20,635 2012 Plan: 1,140,000
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	None	N/A	N/A
Total	516,674	\$59.20	1,160,635

Director Compensation

During 2013, the independent directors received compensation for their Board service in the amount of \$4,000 per meeting attended, plus fully vested options to purchase 15,000 shares of Company stock based on the closing price of NHI's shares on February 25, 2013, the date of grant. Mr. McCabe received an additional \$1,000 per meeting of the Audit Committee. Mr. Adams received a one-time director's fee of \$250,000 for his services as the chairman of the Board. The automatic grant of options to our independent directors has previously been approved by our shareholders. Additionally, the Company reimburses all directors for travel expenses incurred in connection with their duties as directors of the Company. After the 2013 Annual Meeting, Mr. Welch continued to serve as a director emeritus. In that role, Mr. Welch received compensation in the amount of \$2,000 per meeting attended.

Our review of the peer group indicated that our director compensation is on the lower end of the compensation paid directors by the other companies in our peer group. We do not pay any retainer fees and only compensate directors for meetings attended. In addition, as our option grants only have value if the Company's stock price increases, we believe our director compensation package is reasonable. Mr. Hutchens did not receive any additional compensation as a director of the Company.

### 2013 Director Compensation

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)	Option Awards <sup>(1)</sup> (\$)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Comp.(\$)	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Comp. Earnings	All Other Comp. (\$)	Total (\$)
W. Andrew Adams	302,000 <sup>(2)</sup>	—	88,190	—	—	—	390,190
Robert T. Webb	52,000	—	88,190	—	—	—	140,190
Robert A. McCabe, Jr.	56,000	—	88,190	—	—	—	144,190
James R. Jobe	32,000	—	88,190	—	—	—	120,190
Ted H. Welch <sup>(3)</sup>	12,000	—	—	—	—	—	12,000

(1) This represents the amount of stock compensation expense recorded by the Company in 2013 for the automatic grant (each year) of 15,000 option shares to each independent director on the third day following the Company's annual earnings release. The exercise price of the options is the closing price of our common stock on the NYSE on the day the options are granted. The options vest immediately.

(2) Includes a one time directors fee of \$250,000 for Mr. Adams acting as independent Chairman of the Board

(3) Mr. Welch retired from the Board at the 2013 annual meeting; however, he remains a director emeritus and is entitled to \$2,000 per meeting attended.

### INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FEES AND SERVICES

The aggregate professional fees billed by BDO for each of the following categories of services for the past two years are set forth below:

	2013	2012
Audit Fees <sup>(1)</sup>	\$596,923	\$373,694
Audit-Related Fees <sup>(2)</sup>	\$52,558	—
Tax Fees	—	—
All Other Fees	—	—

(1) Fees for services related to the audit of the Company's consolidated financial statements and internal control over financial reporting, quarterly reviews of the Company's unaudited interim consolidated financial statements, review of SEC comment letters, and consultation on significant accounting matters of \$435,231 and \$373,694, respectively, for 2013 and 2012, and fees in connection with the Company's comfort letters for offerings in 2013 and 2012 of \$161,692 and \$0, respectively.

(2) Fees for audit-related services to the Company include due diligence procedures and debt compliance procedures of \$52,558 and \$0 for 2013 and 2012, respectively.

The Audit Committee exercised its responsibility to pre-approve all services provided by BDO within the categories listed above. The Audit Committee delegates to the Chairman of the Committee the authority to pre-approve fees for services to be provided by BDO until a formal annual audit plan and fee estimate is presented to a regularly scheduled meeting of the Audit Committee for review and approval.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION

The Company's Compensation Committee currently consists of Mr. Webb, Mr. McCabe and Mr. Jobe. No interlocking relationship exists between the members of the Company's Board of Directors or Compensation Committee and the Board of Directors or Compensation Committee of any other company.

SECTION 16(A) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Section 16(a) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, requires our executive officers, directors, and persons who own more than ten percent of a registered class of our equity securities to file statements with the SEC and the NYSE of initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership of such equity securities. Executive officers, directors and

greater than ten percent shareholders are required by SEC regulations to file these reports within two business days of the transaction, and to furnish us with copies of all such forms they file.

To our knowledge, and based solely on review of the copies of such forms furnished to us and written representations that no other reports were required, we believe that during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, all filing requirements applicable to our executive officers, directors, and persons who beneficially own more than ten percent of our common stock were fulfilled and timely filed.

## CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

### National HealthCare Corporation

At December 31, 2013, we leased 42 healthcare facilities to National HealthCare Corporation (“NHC”), a publicly-held company consisting of three independent living facilities and 39 skilled nursing facilities (four of which are subleased to other parties for whom the lease payments are guaranteed to us by NHC). The master lease agreement with NHC originally dated October 17, 1991 has since been amended to extend the lease expiration five years to December 31, 2026. There are two additional five-year renewal options, each at fair rental value of such leased property as negotiated between the parties and determined without including the value attributable to any improvements to the leased property voluntarily made by NHC at its expense. Under the terms of the lease, rent escalates by 4% of the increase, if any, in each facility's revenue over a 2007 base year. We refer to this additional rent component as “percentage rent.”

In December 2012 NHI entered into an agreement with NHC to sell six skilled nursing facilities for \$21,000,000 in cash. The properties had a carrying value of \$1,611,000. The sale was completed on August 31, 2013, and resulted in a gain for financial statement purposes of \$19,370,000 after transaction costs of \$19,000. We plan to defer recognition of the tax gain on the sale of these facilities by utilizing the like-kind exchange rules under Section 1031 of the Internal Revenue Code. The results of operation of the facilities sold were classified as discontinued operations for all periods presented in our Consolidated Statements of Income. Upon the sale of these facilities and prior to the acquisition described below, NHI's annual base rent of \$33,700,000 was reduced to \$30,750,000.

On August 30, 2013, NHI acquired seven skilled nursing facilities (and one vacant assisted living facility) in Massachusetts and New Hampshire from former not-for-profit borrower ElderTrust of Florida, Inc. (“ElderTrust”) for consideration of \$37,417,000, consisting of \$23,676,000 in cash, inclusive of closing costs, and the cancellation of notes receivable from ElderTrust with a principal balance of \$13,741,000. Beginning September 1, 2013, the facilities were placed under a new triple net lease to the current manager, NHC, for a period of 15 years commencing with a lease amount of \$350,000 for the remainder of 2013. In 2014, the lease provides for a base annual rental of \$3,450,000. Under the terms of the lease, rent escalates 4% of the increase in each facility's revenue over the 2014 base year. Because ElderTrust was the owner and operator of the facilities, we accounted for the transaction as an asset purchase. During the last three years of the lease, NHC will have the option to purchase the facilities for \$49,000,000.

Our revenue from continuing operations was \$117,828,000 and \$93,317,000 in 2013 and 2012, respectively. Of these amounts, \$34,756,000 (29%) and \$33,056,000 (35%) in 2013 and 2012, respectively, were derived from our master leases with NHC, including percentage rent of \$3,021,000 and \$2,527,000, respectively.

W. Andrew Adams is on the Board of Directors of NHC and his brother, Robert Adams, is Chairman of its Board of Directors and the Chief Executive Officer.

At December 31, 2013, NHC owned 1,630,642 shares of our common stock.

Pinnacle Financial Partners

We have a primary banking relationship with Pinnacle Financial Partners (“Pinnacle”), the fourth largest bank in the Nashville market area. Mr. McCabe is Chairman of the Board of Directors of Pinnacle and, based upon the advice of our legal counsel, our banking relationship with Pinnacle is not prohibited by law or regulation.

In addition, Pinnacle is a participating bank in our 2013 Credit Agreement. The credit facility is provided by Wells Fargo, Bank of Montreal, KeyBank, and Bank of America, with Pinnacle National Bank as a participating bank. Pursuant to the Credit Agreement, Pinnacle committed to fund a maximum of \$40,000,000 of the \$620,000,000 credit facility. Pinnacle is also the depository bank for a lease escrow deposit of \$22,775,000 from one of our tenants. Our Board of Directors has reviewed these



relationships between the Company and Pinnacle and determined that Mr. McCabe remains an independent director in compliance with the NYSE rules.

#### Policy regarding Related Party Transactions

The Company has a policy that any transactions between NHI and its officers, directors and affiliates will be on terms as favorable to NHI as can be obtained from unaffiliated third parties. Such transactions with such persons will be subject to approval by the Audit Committee of the Board.

#### PROPOSAL I ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Pursuant to our Articles of Incorporation, the directors have been divided into three groups. Each group is elected for a three-year term and only one group is up for election each year. The Company's Articles of Incorporation provide that the number of directors to be elected by the shareholders shall be at least three and not more than 15, as established by the Board of Directors from time to time. The number of directors has been set at five. Thus, two directors have been nominated for election at the May 3, 2014 meeting for a term of three years or until their successors are duly elected and qualified. On February 14, 2014, the Board's Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee recommended and the full Board nominated Mr. Adams and Mr. McCabe for re-election to the Board of Directors. NHI's Bylaws require that Directors be elected by a majority of the votes cast. If a proxy does not specifically vote against the election of Mr. Adams or Mr. McCabe, your proxy holder intends to vote for the election of Mr. Adams and Mr. McCabe to hold office as a director for a term of three years or until his successor has been duly elected and qualified.

If Mr. Adams or Mr. McCabe becomes unavailable for any reason (which event is not anticipated), the shares represented by the enclosed proxy may (unless such proxy contains instructions to the contrary) be voted for such other person as may be determined by the proxy holder, to the extent permitted under the federal securities laws.

**THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE "FOR" THE ELECTION OF MR. ADAMS AND MR. MCCABE AS PROVIDED IN PROPOSAL I.**

#### PROPOSAL II AUTHORIZE AND APPROVE AN AMENDMENT TO THE ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION

The Board of Directors has approved, subject to shareholder approval, an amendment to the Articles of Incorporation (the "Charter") of the Company to increase the number of shares of common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, that the Company has the authority to issue from 40,000,000 shares to 60,000,000 shares. As of the Record Date, there were 33,051,176 shares of common stock issued and outstanding, leaving 6,948,824 authorized shares of common stock available for future issuance, of which 1,677,309 shares are reserved for issuance under the 2005 and 2012 Stock Option Plans.

The Board of Directors believes that the availability of additional shares is essential for the Company to successfully pursue its investment strategy. It will also enhance the Company's flexibility in connection with general corporate purposes, such as equity offerings, stock splits, stock dividends and acquisitions or mergers. At the same time, the Board of Directors recognizes the potential dilutive impact issuing additional shares will have on the outstanding shares. The Board of Directors believes that the proposed increase in the authorized shares of common stock strikes an appropriate balance between these important interests. The Board of Directors will determine whether, when, and on what terms the issuance of shares may be warranted in connection with any of the foregoing purposes.

The Company has no current plan, commitment, arrangement, understanding or agreement regarding the issuance of the additional shares of common stock resulting from the proposed increase in authorized shares. The additional shares of common stock will be available for issuance by the Board of Directors for various corporate purposes, including but not limited to, stock splits, stock dividends, grants under employee stock plans, financings, potential strategic transactions, including mergers, acquisitions, strategic partnerships, joint ventures, divestitures, and business combinations, as well as other general corporate transactions, although the Company has no present plans to use them in any such regard. Capital-raising is an essential part of the Company's investment strategy. If the Company is unable to issue additional shares of common stock, or securities convertible into common stock, (1) it may have difficulty raising funds to complete future investments or meet obligations and commitments as they mature (depending on its access to other sources of capital), and/or (2) it may be forced to limit future investments or alter its capitalization structure and increase leverage in order to finance future investments and obligations. These adjustments to the Company's investment strategy may limit the Company's ability to generate earnings growth and increase stockholder value.

The availability for issuance of additional shares of common stock could enable the Board of Directors to render more difficult or discourage an attempt to obtain control of the Company. For example, by increasing the number of outstanding shares, the interest of the party attempting to gain control of the Company could be diluted. Also, the additional shares could be used to render more difficult a merger or similar transaction. However, in order to protect the Company's status as a real estate investment trust, the Company's bylaws provide that no person may acquire securities that would result in the direct or indirect beneficial ownership of more than 9.9% of the Company's common stock or more than 9.9% in value of the Company's outstanding capital stock by such person (unless an exemption is granted to such person by the Board of Directors). Consequently, the approval of the proposed amendment should have little incremental effect in discouraging unsolicited takeover attempts.

If the proposed amendment is approved, all or any of the authorized shares of common stock may be issued without further action by the shareholders and without first offering such shares to the shareholders for subscription. The issuance of shares otherwise than on a pro-rata basis to all current shareholders would reduce current shareholders' proportionate interests. However, in any such event, shareholders wishing to maintain their interests may be able to do so through normal market purchases.

The Board of Directors has unanimously adopted resolutions approving and recommending to the shareholders for their approval the Charter amendment. Two-thirds of the shares of common stock issued and outstanding is required for the approval of the Charter amendment. The Board of Directors recommends a vote for the above proposal to approve the amendment to the Articles of Incorporation.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE "FOR" PROPOSAL II.

### PROPOSAL III ADVISORY VOTE ON COMPENSATION OF OUR NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

The recently enacted Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (the "Dodd-Frank Act") enables the Company's shareholders to vote to approve, on an advisory (nonbinding) basis, the compensation of the Company's named executive officers as disclosed in this proxy statement in accordance with the SEC's rules. The Company is asking its shareholders to indicate their support for its named executive officer compensation as described in this proxy statement. This proposal, commonly known as a "say-on-pay" proposal, gives the Company's shareholders the opportunity to express their views on the compensation paid to the Company's named executive officers. This vote is not intended to address any specific item of compensation, but rather the overall compensation of the Company's named executive officers and the philosophy, policies and practices described in this proxy statement. Accordingly, the Company is asking its shareholders to vote "FOR" the following resolution at the Meeting:

"RESOLVED, that the compensation paid to the Company's named executive officers, as disclosed pursuant to Item 402 of Regulation S-K in the Company's proxy statement for the 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, compensation tables and narrative discussion, is hereby APPROVED."

We believe that our executive compensation is designed to reward our officers for the Company's performance as a whole and for each officer's individual effort in achieving the Company's goals. Our compensation program includes the elements of (a) a base salary that is reflective of job responsibilities, expertise, and comparability to the same positions with companies in our peer group, (b) an annual bonus to reward individual effort in achieving the Company's goals, and (c) share-based compensation to align the financial interests of our senior officers with those of our shareholders. Annual incentive (bonus) awards are designed to focus management attention on key operational goals for the current fiscal year and are significantly tied to the Company's achievement of normalized funds from operation and recurring dividend payment goals. We believe that these goals are aligned with the interests of our shareholders.

The “say-on-pay” vote is advisory, and therefore is not binding on the Company, the Compensation Committee or the Board of Directors. However, the Board of Directors and the Compensation Committee value the opinions of our shareholders and, to the extent there is any significant vote against the named executive officer compensation as disclosed in this proxy statement, will consider the shareholders' concerns and the Board of Directors and Compensation Committee will evaluate whether any actions are necessary to address those concerns. The Company has elected to hold the "say-on-pay" vote every year.

**THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE “FOR” THE COMPANY'S COMPENSATION OF OUR NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AS DISCLOSED IN THE COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS SECTION AND THE ACCOMPANYING COMPENSATION TABLES CONTAINED IN THIS PROXY STATEMENT.**

PROPOSAL IV  
RATIFICATION OF SELECTION OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED  
PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Audit Committee has retained BDO USA, LLP (“BDO”) as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2014. Although a shareholder vote is not required, the Board of Directors submits this accounting firm for approval by the shareholders. BDO has audited the Company's consolidated financial statements for each of the past nine fiscal years, and has also provided the required Sarbanes-Oxley §404 attestation.

The affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the votes cast at the Meeting is required for the ratification of the Audit Committee's selection of BDO as our independent registered public accounting firm. If the shareholders do not ratify the selection of BDO, the selection of the independent registered public accounting firm will be reconsidered by the Audit Committee, although the Audit Committee would not be required to select a different independent registered public accounting firm for the Company. The Audit Committee retains the power to select another firm as the independent registered public accounting firm for the Company to replace the firm whose selection was ratified by the Company's shareholders in the event the Audit Committee determines that the best interest of the Company warrants a change of its independent registered public accounting firm.

Representatives of BDO are expected to be present at the Meeting and will be given the opportunity to address the shareholders and respond to questions.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE “FOR” THE RATIFICATION OF THE SELECTION OF BDO USA, LLP AS OUR INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM AS PROVIDED IN PROPOSAL IV.

How We Count the Votes

Shares of common stock represented in person or by proxy at the Meeting (including shares which abstain or do not \* vote with respect to one or more of the matters presented at the Meeting) will be tabulated by the Company's Secretary who will determine whether or not a quorum is present.

Abstentions will be counted as shares that are present and entitled to vote for purposes of determining the number of \* shares that are present and entitled to vote with respect to any particular matter, but will not be counted as votes cast on such matter. As a result, abstentions will not have any effect on the voting results with respect to Proposal I, Proposal III or Proposal IV. Abstentions will have the effect of a negative vote with respect to Proposal II.

If a broker holding stock in “street name” indicates on the proxy that it does not have discretionary authority as to certain shares to vote on a particular matter, those shares will not be considered as present and entitled to vote with \* respect to that matter. Accordingly, a “broker non-vote” may affect establishment of a quorum, but once a quorum is established, will have no effect on the voting on Proposal I, Proposal III or Proposal IV. However, since Proposal II requires two-thirds of the outstanding shares of common stock, a "broker non-vote" will have the effect of a negative vote with respect to Proposal II.

A majority of the issued and outstanding shares of common stock entitled to vote constitutes a quorum at the \* Meeting. The Charter amendment requires the affirmative vote of two-thirds of the outstanding shares of common stock. The affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the votes cast at the Meeting is required for the election of each of the directors and the approval of Proposal III and Proposal IV.

SHAREHOLDER COMMUNICATIONS

How can shareholders communicate with the Company's executive officers and Board of Directors?

The Board of Directors has created the "NHI Valuesline" program in order to enable interested parties to communicate with (on a non-identifiable basis if so desired) NHI executive officers, independent directors, and the NHI Board. The NHI Valuesline toll free number is 877-880-2974 and is answered by an independent contractor who transmits the communication to the Company's internal auditor and establishes a date by which the caller can obtain a response to the communication, if so requested. The internal auditor will forward any inquiries to or about executive officers or directors to the Corporate Secretary of the Company who will coordinate any necessary communication and response. All communications are relayed by the Corporate Secretary to the Chairman of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee.

Does the Company provide additional information on its website?

The NHI website ([www.nhireit.com](http://www.nhireit.com)) provides information on the Company, including all public filings (Form 10-Qs, 10-Ks, Statements of Beneficial Ownership, 8-Ks). We also maintain the following documents on the website, all of which we hereby incorporate herein by reference:

- Corporate Governance Guidelines
- The Restated Audit Committee Charter
- The Compensation Committee Charter
- The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee Charter
- Valuesline Information
- The NHI Code of Ethics

The Code of Ethics has been adopted for all employees, officers and directors of the Company. The website will also disclose whether there have been any amendments or waivers to the Code of Ethics. To date there have been none.

Copies of any of these documents will be furnished, free of charge, to any interested investor upon receipt of a written request. All of our press releases for the last two years can be accessed through the site's press release page. The website is updated regularly for any SEC filings and press releases.

Are there any other matters to be addressed at the Meeting?

We know of no other matters to be brought before the Meeting, but if other matters are properly brought up before or at the Meeting, the officers named in your proxy will vote as recommended by the Board of Directors on such matters, or if the Board of Directors does not give a recommendation, they will have discretion to vote in accordance with their best judgment on such matters, in each case to the extent permitted under the federal securities laws.













