

PENNSYLVANIA REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST

Form 10-Q

July 28, 2016

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

---

Form 10-Q

---

Quarterly report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2016

or

Transition report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission File Number: 1-6300

---

PENNSYLVANIA REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

---

Pennsylvania	23-6216339
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

200 South Broad Street	19102
Philadelphia, PA	
(Address of principal executive offices)	(Zip Code)
Registrant's telephone number, including area code (215) 875-0700	

---

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer   
Non-accelerated filer  (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Common shares of beneficial interest, \$1.00 par value per share, outstanding at July 25, 2016: 69,515,348



Table of Contents

PENNSYLVANIA REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST

CONTENTS

	Page
<u>PART I—FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	
Item 1. <u>Financial Statements (Unaudited):</u>	
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets—June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015</u>	1
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations—Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015</u>	2
<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss)—Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015</u>	4
<u>Consolidated Statements of Equity—Six Months Ended June 30, 2016</u>	5
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows—Six Months Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015</u>	6
<u>Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	7
Item 2. <u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	19
Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	42
Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	43
<u>PART II—OTHER INFORMATION</u>	
Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	44
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	44
Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	44
Item 3. Not Applicable	—
Item 4. Not Applicable	—
Item 5. Not Applicable	—
Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u>	45
<u>Signatures</u>	46

Edgar Filing: PENNSYLVANIA REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST - Form 10-Q

Except as the context otherwise requires, references in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q to “we,” “our,” “us,” the “Company” and “PREIT” refer to Pennsylvania Real Estate Investment Trust and its subsidiaries, including our operating partnership, PREIT Associates, L.P. References in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q to “PREIT Associates” or the “Operating Partnership” refer to PREIT Associates, L.P.

---

Table of Contents

## Item 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

PENNSYLVANIA REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST  
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(in thousands, except per share amounts)	June 30, 2016 (unaudited)	December 31, 2015
<b>ASSETS:</b>		
INVESTMENTS IN REAL ESTATE, at cost:		
Operating properties	\$3,259,774	\$3,297,520
Construction in progress	85,877	64,019
Land held for development	5,904	6,350
Total investments in real estate	3,351,555	3,367,889
Accumulated depreciation	(1,057,857 )	(1,015,647 )
Net investments in real estate	2,293,698	2,352,242
INVESTMENTS IN PARTNERSHIPS, at equity:	161,450	161,029
<b>OTHER ASSETS:</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents	16,841	22,855
Tenant and other receivables (net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$7,239 and \$6,417 at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively)	30,591	40,324
Intangible assets (net of accumulated amortization of \$9,872 and \$13,441 at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively)	21,075	22,248
Deferred costs and other assets, net	84,276	75,450
Assets held for sale	23,451	126,244
Total assets	\$2,631,382	\$2,800,392
<b>LIABILITIES:</b>		
Mortgage loans payable	\$1,231,709	\$1,321,331
Term Loans	396,688	398,040
Revolving Facility	85,000	65,000
Tenants' deposits and deferred rent	17,476	14,631
Distributions in excess of partnership investments	63,188	65,547
Fair value of derivative liabilities	10,254	2,756
Liabilities related to assets held for sale	492	69,918
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	78,342	78,539
Total liabilities	1,883,149	2,015,762
<b>COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Note 6):</b>		
<b>EQUITY:</b>		
Series A Preferred Shares, \$.01 par value per share; 25,000 preferred shares authorized; 4,600 shares of Series A Preferred Shares issued and outstanding at each of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015; liquidation preference of \$115,000	46	46
Series B Preferred Shares, \$.01 par value per share; 25,000 preferred shares authorized; 3,450 shares of Series B Preferred Shares issued and outstanding at each of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015; liquidation preference of \$86,250	35	35
Shares of beneficial interest, \$1.00 par value per share; 200,000 shares authorized; issued and outstanding 69,474 shares at June 30, 2016 and 69,197 shares at December 31, 2015	69,474	69,197
Capital contributed in excess of par	1,477,808	1,476,397
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(11,629 )	(4,193 )
Distributions in excess of net income	(939,407 )	(912,221 )
Total equity—Pennsylvania Real Estate Investment Trust	596,327	629,261
Noncontrolling interest	151,906	155,369

Edgar Filing: PENNSYLVANIA REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST - Form 10-Q

Total equity	748,233	784,630
Total liabilities and equity	\$2,631,382	\$2,800,392

See accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

1

---

Table of Contents

PENNSYLVANIA REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS  
(Unaudited)

(in thousands of dollars)	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,	June 30,	June 30,	June 30,
	2016	2015	2016	2015
<b>REVENUE:</b>				
Real estate revenue:				
Base rent	\$61,243	\$67,417	\$128,236	\$131,691
Expense reimbursements	28,870	30,541	60,004	62,050
Percentage rent	385	322	836	846
Lease termination revenue	16	25	251	467
Other real estate revenue	2,225	2,577	4,868	4,612
Total real estate revenue	92,739	100,882	194,195	199,666
Other income	1,514	811	2,030	2,084
Total revenue	94,253	101,693	196,225	201,750
<b>EXPENSES:</b>				
Operating expenses:				
Property operating expenses:				
CAM and real estate taxes	(30,496 )	(33,263 )	(64,685 )	(67,069 )
Utilities	(4,137 )	(4,959 )	(8,463 )	(10,108 )
Other property operating expenses	(2,899 )	(3,792 )	(7,495 )	(7,988 )
Total property operating expenses	(37,532 )	(42,014 )	(80,643 )	(85,165 )
Depreciation and amortization	(31,662 )	(36,641 )	(65,397 )	(69,830 )
General and administrative expenses	(8,883 )	(9,126 )	(17,469 )	(18,070 )
Provision for employee separation expenses	(658 )	—	(1,193 )	—
Acquisition costs and other expenses	(243 )	(817 )	(294 )	(5,269 )
Total operating expenses	(78,978 )	(88,598 )	(164,996 )	(178,334 )
Interest expense, net	(17,067 )	(21,126 )	(36,413 )	(41,271 )
Impairment of assets	(14,118 )	(28,667 )	(14,724 )	(34,907 )
Total expenses	(110,163 )	(138,391 )	(216,133 )	(254,512 )
Loss before equity in income of partnerships, gains on sales of interests in non operating real estate and gains on sales of real estate	(15,910 )	(36,698 )	(19,908 )	(52,762 )
Equity in income of partnerships	4,192	2,032	8,075	4,114
Gains on sales of interests in non operating real estate	—	—	9	43
Gain on sale of interests in real estate	20,887	—	22,922	—
Net income (loss)	9,169	(34,666 )	11,098	(48,605 )
Less: net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interest	(982 )	3,742	(1,190 )	4,172
Net income available (loss attributable) to PREIT	8,187	(30,924 )	9,908	(44,433 )
Less: preferred share dividends	(3,962 )	(3,962 )	(7,924 )	(7,924 )
Net income available (loss attributable) to PREIT common shareholders	\$4,225	\$(34,886)	\$1,984	\$(52,357)

See accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

PENNSYLVANIA REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS  
(Unaudited)

(in thousands of dollars, except per share amounts)	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
Net income (loss)	\$9,169	\$(34,666)	\$11,098	\$(48,605)
Noncontrolling interest	(982 )	3,742	(1,190 )	4,172
Dividends on preferred shares	(3,962 )	(3,962 )	(7,924 )	(7,924 )
Dividends on unvested restricted shares	(76 )	(79 )	(160 )	(165 )
Net income (loss) used to calculate earnings (loss) per share—basic and diluted	\$4,149	\$(34,965)	\$1,824	\$(52,522)
Basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share:	\$0.06	\$(0.51 )	\$0.03	\$(0.76 )
(in thousands of shares)				
Weighted average shares outstanding—basic	69,091	68,753	69,032	68,660
Effect of common share equivalents <sup>(1)</sup>	68	—	125	—
Weighted average shares outstanding—diluted	69,159	68,753	69,157	68,660

The Company had net losses used to calculate earnings per share for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, therefore, the effects of common share equivalents of 425 and 493 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, respectively, are excluded from the calculation of diluted loss per share for these periods because they would be antidilutive.

See accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

3

---



Table of Contents

PENNSYLVANIA REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST  
 CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)  
 (Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
(in thousands of dollars)	2016	2015	2016	2015
Comprehensive income (loss):				
Net income (loss)	\$9,169	\$(34,666)	\$11,098	\$(48,605)
Unrealized loss on derivatives	(3,006 )	1,165	(8,578 )	(846 )
Amortization of losses on settled swaps, net of gains	126	238	252	1,010
Total comprehensive income (loss)	6,289	(33,263 )	2,772	(48,441 )
Less: comprehensive (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interest	(677 )	3,686	(300 )	4,153
Comprehensive income (loss) PREIT	\$5,612	\$(29,577)	\$2,472	\$(44,288)

See accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

4

---

Table of ContentsPENNSYLVANIA REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY

Six Months Ended

June 30, 2016

(Unaudited)

(in thousands of dollars, except per share amounts)	Total Equity	PREIT Shareholders			Capital Contributed in Excess of Par	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Distributions in Excess of Net Income	Noncontrolling interest
		Series A Preferred Shares \$0.01 par	Series B Preferred Shares \$0.01 par	Shares of Beneficial Interest, \$1.00 Par				
Balance December 31, 2015	\$784,630	\$46	\$ 35	\$ 69,197	\$ 1,476,397	\$ (4,193 )	\$ (912,221 )	\$ 155,369
Net income	11,098	—	—	—	—	—	9,908	1,190
Other comprehensive loss	(8,326 )	—	—	—	—	(7,436 )	—	(890 )
Shares issued upon redemption of Operating Partnership units	—	—	—	12	250	—	—	(262 )
Shares issued under employee compensation plans, net of shares retired	(1,505 )	—	—	265	(1,770 )	—	—	—
Amortization of deferred compensation	2,931	—	—	—	2,931	—	—	—
Distributions paid to common shareholders (\$0.42 per share)	(29,170 )	—	—	—	—	—	(29,170 )	—
Distributions paid to Series A preferred shareholders (\$1.0312 per share)	(4,744 )	—	—	—	—	—	(4,744 )	—
Distributions paid to Series B preferred shareholders (\$0.9218 per share)	(3,180 )	—	—	—	—	—	(3,180 )	—
Noncontrolling interests: Distributions paid to Operating Partnership unit holders (\$0.42 per unit)	(3,501 )	—	—	—	—	—	—	(3,501 )
Balance June 30, 2016	\$748,233	\$46	\$ 35	\$ 69,474	\$ 1,477,808	\$ (11,629 )	\$ (939,407 )	\$ 151,906

See accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Table of ContentsPENNSYLVANIA REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)

(in thousands of dollars)	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2016	2015
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income (loss)	\$11,098	\$(48,605)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation	62,655	65,517
Amortization	4,066	5,876
Straight-line rent adjustments	(1,331 )	(740 )
Provision for doubtful accounts	1,260	2,050
Amortization of deferred compensation	2,931	3,227
Loss on hedge ineffectiveness	143	512
Gains on sales of interests in real estate and non operating real estate, net	(22,931 )	(43 )
Equity in income of partnerships in excess of distributions	(3,606 )	(2,212 )
Impairment of assets and expensed project costs	14,964	35,145
Change in assets and liabilities:		
Net change in other assets	8,929	8,069
Net change in other liabilities	2,102	(5,870 )
Net cash provided by operating activities	80,280	62,926
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Investments in consolidated real estate acquisitions	—	(319,986)
Additions to construction in progress	(28,121 )	(14,037 )
Investments in real estate improvements	(15,322 )	(16,867 )
Cash proceeds from sales of real estate	131,592	—
Additions to leasehold improvements	(288 )	(341 )
Investments in partnerships	(3,953 )	(16,194 )
Capitalized leasing costs	(3,016 )	(3,228 )
Decrease (increase) in cash escrows	3,158	(185 )
Cash distributions from partnerships in excess of equity in income	4,778	2,926
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	88,828	(367,912)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Borrowings from term loans	—	120,000
Net borrowings from revolving facility	20,000	270,000
Proceeds from mortgage loans	139,000	102,044
Principal installments on mortgage loans	(8,373 )	(10,059 )
Repayments of mortgage loans	(280,327)	(139,137)
Payment of deferred financing costs	(3,322 )	(2,873 )
Dividends paid to common shareholders	(29,170 )	(29,031 )
Dividends paid to preferred shareholders	(7,924 )	(7,924 )
Distributions paid to Operating Partnership unit holders and noncontrolling interest	(3,501 )	(2,198 )
Value of shares of beneficial interest issued	621	706
Value of shares retired under equity incentive plans, net of shares issued	(2,126 )	(5,655 )
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(175,122)	295,873
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(6,014 )	(9,113 )
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	22,855	40,433
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$16,841	\$31,320

See accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

6

---

Table of Contents

PENNSYLVANIA REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST  
NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
June 30, 2016

1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Nature of Operations

Pennsylvania Real Estate Investment Trust (“PREIT” or the “Company”) prepared the accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”) have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations, although we believe that the included disclosures are adequate to make the information presented not misleading. Our unaudited consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements and the notes thereto included in PREIT’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015. In our opinion, all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, necessary to present fairly our consolidated financial position, the consolidated results of our operations, consolidated statements of other comprehensive income (loss), consolidated statements of equity and our consolidated statements of cash flows are included. The results of operations for the interim periods presented are not necessarily indicative of the results for the full year.

PREIT, a Pennsylvania business trust founded in 1960 and one of the first equity real estate investment trusts (“REITs”) in the United States, has a primary investment focus on retail shopping malls located in the eastern half of the United States, primarily in the Mid-Atlantic region. Our portfolio currently consists of a total of 32 properties in 11 states, including 24 operating shopping malls, four other retail properties and four development or redevelopment properties. Two of the development and redevelopment properties are classified as “mixed use” (a combination of retail and other uses), one is classified as “retail” (redevelopment of The Gallery at Market East into the Fashion Outlets of Philadelphia (“Fashion Outlets of Philadelphia”), and one is classified as “other.” The above property counts do not include Washington Crown Center in Washington, Pennsylvania because that property has been classified as “held for sale” as of June 30, 2016. We also classified an office building adjacent to Voorhees Town Center as held for sale as of June 30, 2016.

We hold our interest in our portfolio of properties through our operating partnership, PREIT Associates, L.P. (“PREIT Associates” or the “Operating Partnership”). We are the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership and, as of June 30, 2016, we held an 89.3% controlling interest in the Operating Partnership, and consolidated it for reporting purposes. The presentation of consolidated financial statements does not itself imply that the assets of any consolidated entity (including any special-purpose entity formed for a particular project) are available to pay the liabilities of any other consolidated entity, or that the liabilities of any consolidated entity (including any special-purpose entity formed for a particular project) are obligations of any other consolidated entity.

Pursuant to the terms of the partnership agreement of the Operating Partnership, each of the limited partners has the right to redeem such partner’s units of limited partnership interest in the Operating Partnership (“OP Units”) for cash or, at our election, we may acquire such OP Units in exchange for our common shares on a one-for-one basis, in some cases beginning one year following the respective issue dates of the OP Units and in other cases immediately. If all of the outstanding OP Units held by limited partners had been redeemed for cash as of June 30, 2016, the total amount that would have been distributed would have been \$178.6 million, which is calculated using our June 30, 2016 closing price on the New York Stock Exchange of \$21.45 per share multiplied by the number of outstanding OP Units held by limited partners, which was 8,326,514 as of June 30, 2016.

We provide management, leasing and real estate development services through two of our subsidiaries: PREIT Services, LLC (“PREIT Services”), which generally develops and manages properties that we consolidate for financial reporting purposes, and PREIT-RUBIN, Inc. (“PRI”), which generally develops and manages properties that we do not consolidate for financial reporting purposes, including properties owned by partnerships in which we own an interest and properties that are owned by third parties in which we do not have an interest. PREIT Services and PRI are consolidated. PRI is a taxable REIT subsidiary, as defined by federal tax laws, which means that it is able to offer an expanded menu of services to tenants without jeopardizing our continuing qualification as a REIT under federal tax law.

We evaluate operating results and allocate resources on a property-by-property basis, and do not distinguish or evaluate our consolidated operations on a geographic basis. Due to the nature of our operating properties, which involve retail shopping, we have concluded that our individual properties have similar economic characteristics and meet all other aggregation criteria. Accordingly, we have aggregated our individual properties into one reportable segment. In addition, no single tenant accounts for 10% or more of consolidated revenue, and none of our properties are located outside the United States.

## Table of Contents

### Fair Value

Fair value accounting applies to reported balances that are required or permitted to be measured at fair value under existing accounting pronouncements. Fair value measurements are determined based on the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. As a basis for considering market participant assumptions in fair value measurements, these accounting requirements establish a fair value hierarchy that distinguishes between market participant assumptions based on market data obtained from sources independent of the reporting entity (observable inputs that are classified within Levels 1 and 2 of the hierarchy) and the reporting entity's own assumptions about market participant assumptions (unobservable inputs classified within Level 3 of the hierarchy).

Level 1 inputs utilize quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that we have the ability to access.

Level 2 inputs are inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Level 2 inputs might include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, as well as inputs that are observable for the asset or liability (other than quoted prices), such as interest rates, foreign exchange rates, and yield curves that are observable at commonly quoted intervals.

Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs for the asset or liability, and are typically based on an entity's own assumptions, as there is little, if any, related market activity.

In instances where the determination of the fair value measurement is based on inputs from different levels of the fair value hierarchy, the level in the fair value hierarchy within which the entire fair value measurement falls is based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. Our assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment, and considers factors specific to the asset or liability. We utilize the fair value hierarchy in our accounting for derivatives (Level 2) and financial instruments (Level 2) and in our reviews for impairment of real estate assets (Level 3) and goodwill (Level 3).

### New Accounting Developments

In March 2016, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (the "FASB") issued guidance intended to simplify various aspects related to how share-based payments are accounted for and presented in the financial statements. The new guidance allows for entities to make an entity-wide accounting policy election to either estimate the number of awards that are expected to vest or account for forfeitures when they occur. In addition, the guidance allows employers to withhold shares to satisfy minimum statutory tax withholding requirements up to the employees' maximum individual tax rate without causing the award to be classified as a liability. The guidance also stipulates that cash paid by an employer to a taxing authority when directly withholding shares for tax-withholding purposes should be classified as a financing activity on the statement of cash flows. This guidance is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016, and interim periods within that reporting period. Early adoption is permitted in any interim or annual period, with any adjustments reflected as of the beginning of the fiscal year of adoption. The Company is in the process of evaluating the impact of this new guidance.

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-02, Leases (Topic 842), which requires lessees to record operating and financing leases as assets and liabilities on the balance sheet and lessors to expense costs that are not initial direct leasing costs. This standard will be effective for the first annual reporting period beginning after December 15, 2018. The Company is evaluating the effect that ASU No. 2016-02 will have on its consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

In 2016, the Company adopted Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) No. 2015-02, Consolidation (Topic 810): Amendments to the Consolidation Analysis. The Company evaluated the application of ASU No. 2015-02 and concluded that no change was required to its accounting of its interests in less than wholly owned joint ventures, however, the Operating Partnership now meets the criteria as a variable interest entity. The Company’s significant asset is its investment in the Operating Partnership, and consequently, substantially all of the Company’s assets and liabilities represent those assets and liabilities of the Operating Partnership. All of the Company’s debt is also an obligation of the Operating Partnership.

In March 2015, the FASB issued “Interest—Imputation of Interest (Subtopic 835-30): Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs” and “Interest—Imputation of Interest (Subtopic 835-30): Presentation and Subsequent Measurement of Debt Issuance Costs Associated with Line-of-Credit Arrangements,” which intend to simplify the presentation of debt issuance costs. This guidance provides an amendment to the accounting guidance related to the presentation of debt issuance costs and is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015, and we have adopted this guidance as of January 1, 2016. This



Table of Contents

guidance is applied retrospectively to all prior periods. Under the new guidance, debt issuance costs related to a note shall be reported in the Consolidated Balance Sheets as a direct deduction from the face amount of that note. In this regard, debt issuance costs shall not be classified separately from related debt obligations as a deferred charge. Therefore, as a result of adopting this guidance, the Company reclassified in its Consolidated Balance Sheets \$4.2 million of debt issuance costs, net of accumulated amortization, at December 31, 2015, from "Deferred costs and other assets, net" to "Mortgage loans payable," and \$2.0 million of debt issuance costs at December 31, 2015, from "Deferred costs and other assets, net" to "Term loans," thereby decreasing the carrying value of our recognized debt obligations for presentational purposes.

## 2. REAL ESTATE ACTIVITIES

Investments in real estate as of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015 were comprised of the following:

(in thousands of dollars)	As of June 30, 2016	As of December 31, 2015
Buildings, improvements and construction in progress	\$2,836,412	\$2,847,986
Land, including land held for development	515,143	519,903
Total investments in real estate	3,351,555	3,367,889
Accumulated depreciation	(1,057,857 )	(1,015,647 )
Net investments in real estate	\$2,293,698	\$2,352,242

## Capitalization of Costs

The following table summarizes our capitalized salaries, commissions, benefits, real estate taxes and interest for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015:

(in thousands of dollars)	Three Months Ended June 30, 2016		Six Months Ended June 30, 2015	
Development/Redevelopment Activities:				
Salaries and benefits	\$266	\$219	\$541	\$373
Real estate taxes	6	276	25	276
Interest	668	770	1,370	804
Leasing Activities:				
Salaries, commissions and benefits	1,279	1,573	3,016	3,228

Table of Contents

## Dispositions

The following table presents our dispositions for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016:

Sale Date	Property and Location	Description of Real Estate Sold	Capitalization Rate		Sale Price (in millions)	Gain
2016 Activity:						
June 2016	Street retail located on Walnut and Chestnut Streets, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania	Street Retail	3.2	%	\$45.0	\$20.3
March 2016	Lycoming Mall Pennsdale, Pennsylvania	Mall	18.0	%	26.4	0.3
March 2016	Gadsden Mall, Gadsden, Alabama, New River Valley Mall, Christiansburg, Virginia, and Wiregrass Commons Mall, Dothan, Alabama <sup>(1)</sup>	Three Malls (single combined transaction)	17.4	%	66.0	1.6
February 2016	Palmer Park Mall, Easton, Pennsylvania	Mall	13.6	%	18.0	0.1

<sup>(1)</sup> In connection with this transaction, we issued a mortgage loan to the buyer for \$17.0 million, which is recorded in “Deferred costs and other assets, net” on our consolidated balance sheet. The mortgage loan is secured by Wiregrass Commons Mall, bears interest at the rate of 6.00% per annum and has a maturity date of April 2026.

## Other Real Estate Activity

In June 2016, we sold an operating parcel located at Monroe Retail Center for \$2.1 million, and recorded a gain of \$0.6 million.

In January 2016, we sold a non operating parcel located at Sunrise Plaza for \$2.0 million, and recorded no gain or loss on the sale of this parcel.

## Impairment of Assets

In June 2016 we recorded a loss on impairment of assets on Washington Crown Center, in Washington, Pennsylvania of \$14.1 million in connection with negotiations with a prospective buyer of the property. In connection with these negotiations, we determined that the holding period of the property was less than previously estimated, which we concluded was a triggering event, leading us to conduct an analysis of possible impairment at this property. Based upon the negotiations, we determined that the estimated undiscounted cash flows, net of capital expenditures for the property, were less than the carrying value of the property, and recorded a loss on impairment of assets.

In March 2016 we recorded a loss on impairment of assets on an office building located in Voorhees, New Jersey of \$0.6 million in connection with negotiations with a prospective buyer of the property. In connection with these negotiations, we determined that the holding period of the property was less than previously estimated, which we concluded was a triggering event, leading us to conduct an analysis of possible impairment at this property. Based upon the negotiations, we determined that the estimated undiscounted cash flows, net of capital expenditures for the property, were less than the carrying value of the property, and recorded a loss on impairment of assets.



Table of Contents

## 3. INVESTMENTS IN PARTNERSHIPS

The following table presents summarized financial information of the equity investments in our unconsolidated partnerships as of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015:

(in thousands of dollars)	As of June 30, 2016	As of December 31, 2015
<b>ASSETS:</b>		
Investments in real estate, at cost:		
Operating properties	\$640,707	\$ 636,774
Construction in progress	143,538	126,199
Total investments in real estate	784,245	762,973
Accumulated depreciation	(196,458 )	(186,580 )
Net investments in real estate	587,787	576,393
Cash and cash equivalents	30,651	37,362
Deferred costs and other assets, net <sup>(1)</sup>	38,951	39,890
Total assets	657,389	653,645
<b>LIABILITIES AND PARTNERS' INVESTMENT:</b>		
Mortgage loans payable <sup>(1)</sup>	446,512	440,450
Other liabilities	24,957	30,425
Total liabilities	471,469	470,875
Net investment	185,920	182,770
Partners' share	95,607	95,165
PREIT's share	90,313	87,605
Excess investment <sup>(2)</sup>	7,949	7,877
Net investments and advances	\$98,262	\$ 95,482
Investment in partnerships, at equity	\$161,450	\$ 161,029
Distributions in excess of partnership investments	(63,188 )	(65,547 )
Net investments and advances	\$98,262	\$ 95,482

(1) The December 31, 2015 balance has been adjusted in connection with the Company's adoption of ASU No. 2015-03 "Imputation of Interest: Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs" (Note 1).

Excess investment represents the unamortized difference between our investment and our share of the equity in the underlying net investment in the partnerships. The excess investment is amortized over the life of the properties, and the amortization is included in "Equity in income of partnerships."

We record distributions from our equity investments as cash from operating activities up to an amount equal to the equity in income of partnerships. Amounts in excess of our share of the income in the equity investments are treated as a return of partnership capital and recorded as cash from investing activities.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes our share of equity in income of partnerships for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015:

(in thousands of dollars)	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
Real estate revenue	\$27,201	\$24,356	\$56,392	\$50,853
Operating expenses:				
Property operating expenses	(6,908 )	(9,290 )	(17,022 )	(20,052 )
Interest expense	(5,384 )	(5,146 )	(10,776 )	(10,441 )
Depreciation and amortization	(5,804 )	(5,932 )	(11,527 )	(12,303 )
Total expenses	(18,096 )	(20,368 )	(39,325 )	(42,796 )
Net income	9,105	3,988	17,067	8,057
Less: Partners' share	(4,883 )	(1,981 )	(9,099 )	(4,017 )
PREIT's share	4,222	2,007	7,968	4,040
Amortization of and adjustments to excess investment	(30 )	25	107	74
Equity in income of partnerships	\$4,192	\$2,032	\$8,075	\$4,114

## Significant Unconsolidated Subsidiary

One of our unconsolidated subsidiaries, Lehigh Valley Associates LP, the owner of the substantial majority of Lehigh Valley Mall, in which we have a 50% partnership interest, met the conditions of significant unconsolidated subsidiaries as of June 30, 2016. The financial information of this entity is included in the amounts above. Summarized balance sheet information as of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015 and summarized statement of operations information for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 for this entity, which is accounted for using the equity method, are as follows:

(in thousands of dollars)	As of			
	June 30, 2016	December 31, 2015		
Summarized balance sheet information				
Total assets	\$49,228	\$ 48,352		
Mortgage loan payable	127,719	128,883		
(in thousands of dollars)	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
Summarized statement of operations information				
Revenue	\$9,121	\$8,960	\$18,169	\$17,904
Property operating expenses	(1,956 )	(2,537 )	(4,183 )	(5,017 )
Interest expense	(1,897 )	(1,931 )	(3,803 )	(3,871 )
Net income	4,727	3,858	8,477	7,319
PREIT's share of equity in income of partnership	2,197	1,929	4,239	3,659

Table of Contents

## 4. FINANCING ACTIVITY

## Credit Agreements

We have entered into four credit agreements (collectively, the “Credit Agreements”), as further discussed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015: (1) the 2013 Revolving Facility, (2) the 2014 7-Year Term Loan, (3) the 2014 5-Year Term Loan, and (4) the 2015 5-Year Term Loan. The 2014 7-Year Term Loan, the 2014 5-Year Term Loan and the 2015 5-Year Term Loan are collectively referred to as the “Term Loans.”

On June 30, 2016, Pennsylvania Real Estate Investment Trust (“PREIT”), PREIT Associates, L.P. (“PREIT Associates”) and PREIT-RUBIN, Inc. (“PRI” and, collectively with PREIT and PREIT Associates, the “Borrower”) entered into an Amendment (the “Amendment”) to the 2014 7-Year Term Loan. The Amendment increased potential borrowing under the 2014 7-Year Term Loan from \$100.0 million to \$250.0 million, and expanded the accordion feature of the 2014 7-Year Term Loan from up to \$200.0 million to up to \$400.0 million. Among other things, the Amendment lowered the interest rates in the applicable pricing grid and extended the termination date from January 7, 2021 to December 29, 2021. Pursuant to the Amendment, amounts borrowed under the 2014 7-Year Term Loan bear interest at a rate between 1.35% and 1.90% per annum, depending on PREIT’s leverage, in excess of LIBOR, which is a reduction from the former range of 1.80% to 2.35%.

As of June 30, 2016, we had borrowed \$400.0 million under the Term Loans and \$85.0 million under the 2013 Revolving Facility (with \$7.4 million pledged as collateral for a letter of credit at June 30, 2016).

Interest expense and the deferred financing fee amortization related to the Credit Agreements for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 was as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
(in thousands of dollars)	2016	2015	2016	2015
2013 Revolving Facility				
Interest expense	\$782.3	\$1,326.7	\$1,472.4	\$1,707.5
Deferred financing amortization	198.7	613.6	397.5	971.5
Term Loans				
Interest expense	3,045.0	1,971.5	6,036.9	3,228.7
Deferred financing amortization	120.7	79.1	240.5	155.5

Each of the Credit Agreements contain certain affirmative and negative covenants, which are identical to those contained in the other Credit Agreements, and which are described in detail in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015. As of June 30, 2016, we were in compliance with all financial covenants in the Credit Agreements. Following recent property sales, the net operating income (“NOI”) from our remaining unencumbered properties is at a level such that within the Unencumbered Debt Yield covenant (as described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015), the maximum unsecured amount that was available for us to borrow under the 2013 Revolving Facility as of June 30, 2016 was \$252.0 million.

Amounts borrowed under the Credit Agreements bear interest at the rate specified below per annum, depending on our leverage, in excess of LIBOR, unless and until we receive an investment grade credit rating and provide notice to the administrative agent (the “Rating Date”), after which alternative rates would apply. In determining our leverage (the ratio of Total Liabilities to Gross Asset Value), the capitalization rate used to calculate Gross Asset Value is 6.50% for each property having an average sales per square foot of more than \$500 for the most recent period of 12 consecutive

months, and (b) 7.50% for any other property. The 2013 Revolving Facility is subject to a facility fee, which is currently 0.25%, depending on leverage, and is recorded in interest expense in the consolidated statements of operations.

Table of Contents

The following table presents the applicable margin for each level for the Credit Agreements:

Level	Ratio of Total Liabilities to Gross Asset Value	Applicable Margin			
		2013 Revolving Facility	2014 7-Year Term Loan	2014 5-Year Term Loan	2015 5-Year Term Loan
1	Less than 0.450 to 1.00	1.20%	1.35%	1.35%	1.35%
2	Equal to or greater than 0.450 to 1.00 but less than 0.500 to 1.00	1.25%	1.45%	1.45%	1.45%
3	Equal to or greater than 0.500 to 1.00 but less than 0.550 to 1.00	1.30%	(1) 1.60%	(1) 1.60%	(1) 1.60% (1)
4	Equal to or greater than 0.550 to 1.00	1.55%	1.90%	1.90%	1.90%

(1) The rate in effect at June 30, 2016.

## Mortgage Loans

The carrying values and estimated fair values of mortgage loans based on interest rates and market conditions at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015 were as follows:

	June 30, 2016		December 31, 2015	
(in millions of dollars)	Carrying Value	Fair Value	Carrying Value	Fair Value
Mortgage loans	\$1,231.7	\$1,241.3	\$1,321.3	\$1,323.3

The mortgage loans contain various customary default provisions. As of June 30, 2016, we were not in default on any of the mortgage loans.

## Mortgage Loan Activity

In April 2016, we entered into a \$130.0 million mortgage loan secured by Woodland Mall in Grand Rapids, Michigan. The new mortgage loan bears interest at the rate of 2.00% plus LIBOR, and has a maturity date of April 2021. The proceeds from the new mortgage loan were used to pay down a portion of the Credit Facility borrowings that were used to repay the previous \$141.2 million mortgage loan.

In March 2016, we borrowed an additional \$9.0 million, lowered the interest rate to 2.35% plus LIBOR, and extended the maturity date to March 2021 on the mortgage loan secured by Viewmont Mall in Scranton, Pennsylvania.

In March 2016, we repaid a \$79.3 million mortgage loan plus accrued interest secured by Valley Mall in Hagerstown, Maryland using \$50.0 million from our 2013 Revolving Facility and the balance from available working capital.

In March 2016, we repaid a \$32.8 million mortgage loan plus accrued interest secured by Lycoming Mall in Pennsdale, Pennsylvania in connection with the March 2016 sale of the property using proceeds from the sale and available working capital.

In March 2016, we repaid a \$28.1 million mortgage loan plus accrued interest secured by New River Valley Mall in Christiansburg, Virginia in connection with the March 2016 sale of the property using proceeds from the sale.

## Interest Rate Risk



We follow established risk management policies designed to limit our interest rate risk on our interest bearing liabilities, as further discussed in note 7 to our unaudited consolidated financial statements.

#### 5. CASH FLOW INFORMATION

Cash paid for interest was \$33.9 million (net of capitalized interest of \$1.4 million) and \$37.3 million (net of capitalized interest of less than \$0.8 million) for the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

## Table of Contents

In our statement of cash flows, we show cash flows on our revolving facility on a net basis. Aggregate borrowings on our 2013 Revolving Facility were \$200.0 million and \$270.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively. Aggregate paydowns were \$180.0 million and \$150.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

In connection with the sale of Gadsden Mall, New River Valley Mall and Wiregrass Commons, we issued a mortgage note to the buyer in the amount of \$17.0 million that is secured by Wiregrass Commons Mall.

## 6. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

### Contractual Obligations

As of June 30, 2016, we had unaccrued contractual and other commitments related to our capital improvement projects and development projects of \$78.7 million, including commitments related to the redevelopment of the Fashion Outlets of Philadelphia, in the form of tenant allowances and contracts with general service providers and other professional service providers. In addition, our operating partnership, PREIT Associates, has jointly and severally guaranteed the obligations of the joint venture we formed with Macerich to develop the Fashion Outlets of Philadelphia to commence and complete a comprehensive redevelopment of that property costing not less than \$300.0 million within 48 months after commencement of construction.

### Provision for Employee Separation Expense

In 2016 and 2015, we terminated the employment of certain employees. In connection with the departure of those employees, we recorded \$0.7 million and \$1.2 million of employee separations expenses, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016.

## 7. DERIVATIVES

In the normal course of business, we are exposed to financial market risks, including interest rate risk on our interest bearing liabilities. We attempt to limit these risks by following established risk management policies, procedures and strategies, including the use of financial instruments such as derivatives. We do not use financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes.

### Cash Flow Hedges of Interest Rate Risk

Our outstanding derivatives have been designated under applicable accounting authority as cash flow hedges. The effective portion of changes in the fair value of derivatives designated as, and that qualify as, cash flow hedges is recorded in "Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)" and is subsequently reclassified into earnings in the period that the hedged forecasted transaction affects earnings. To the extent these instruments are ineffective as cash flow hedges, changes in the fair value of these instruments are recorded in "Interest expense, net." We recognize all derivatives at fair value as either assets or liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. The carrying amount of the derivative assets is reflected in "Deferred costs and other assets, net," the amount of the associated liabilities is reflected in "Accrued expenses and other liabilities" and the amount of the net unrealized income or loss is reflected in "Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)" in the accompanying balance sheets.

Amounts reported in “Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)” that are related to derivatives will be reclassified to “Interest expense, net” as interest payments are made on our corresponding debt. During the next 12 months, we estimate that \$5.1 million will be reclassified as an increase to interest expense in connection with derivatives. The amortization of these amounts could be accelerated in the event that we repay amounts outstanding on the debt instruments and do not replace them with new borrowings.

#### Interest Rate Swaps

As of June 30, 2016, we had entered into 26 interest rate swap agreements with a weighted average base interest rate of 1.27% on a notional amount of \$627.7 million maturing on various dates through February 2021, and one forward starting interest rate swap agreement with a base interest rate of 1.42% on a notional amount of \$48.0 million, which will be effective starting January 2018 and maturing in February 2021.

Table of Contents

In July 2016, we entered into an additional interest rate swap agreement with an interest rate of 0.70% on a notional amount of \$25.0 million and maturing January 2, 2019.

We entered into these interest rate swap agreements in order to hedge the interest payments associated with our issuances of variable interest rate long term debt. We have assessed the effectiveness of these interest rate swap agreements as hedges at inception and on a quarterly basis. As of June 30, 2016, except as set forth below, we considered these interest rate swap agreements to be highly effective as cash flow hedges. The interest rate swap agreements are net settled monthly.

In March 2016, in connection with the sale of, and repayment of, the mortgage loan secured by Lycoming Mall, we recorded a loss on hedge ineffectiveness of \$0.1 million.

Accumulated other comprehensive loss as of June 30, 2016 includes a net loss of \$1.8 million relating to forward starting swaps that we cash settled in prior years that are being amortized over 10 year periods commencing on the closing dates of the debt instruments that are associated with these settled swaps.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the terms and estimated fair values of our interest rate swap derivative instruments at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015. The notional values provide an indication of the extent of our involvement in these instruments, but do not represent exposure to credit, interest rate or market risks.

(in millions of dollars) Notional Value	Fair Value at June 30, 2016 <sup>(1)</sup>	Fair Value at December 31, 2015 <sup>(1)</sup>	Interest Rate	Effective Date of Forward Starting Swap	Maturity Date
<b>Interest Rate Swaps</b>					
\$25.0	\$ —	\$ (0.1 )	1.10 %		July 31, 2016
28.1	(0.1 )	(0.2 )	1.38 %		January 2, 2017
33.0	N/A <sup>(2)</sup>	—	3.72 %		December 1, 2017
48.0	(0.4 )	(0.1 )	1.12 %		January 1, 2018
7.6	(0.1 )	—	1.00 %		January 1, 2018
55.0	(0.5 )	(0.1 )	1.12 %		January 1, 2018
30.0	(0.9 )	(0.5 )	1.78 %		January 2, 2019
20.0	(0.6 )	(0.4 )	1.78 %		January 2, 2019
20.0	(0.6 )	(0.3 )	1.78 %		January 2, 2019
20.0	(0.6 )	(0.3 )	1.79 %		January 2, 2019
20.0	(0.6 )	(0.3 )	1.79 %		January 2, 2019
20.0	(0.6 )	(0.3 )	1.79 %		January 2, 2019
25.0	(0.3 )	—	1.16 %		January 2, 2019
25.0	(0.3 )	—	1.16 %		January 2, 2019
25.0	(0.3 )	—	1.16 %		January 2, 2019
20.0	(0.3 )	—	1.16 %		January 2, 2019
20.0	(0.4 )	0.1	1.23 %		June 26, 2020
20.0	(0.4 )	0.2	1.23 %		June 26, 2020
20.0	(0.4 )	0.2	1.23 %		June 26, 2020
20.0	(0.4 )	0.2	1.23 %		June 26, 2020
20.0	(0.4 )	0.2	1.24 %		June 26, 2020
9.0	(0.2 )	N/A	1.19 %		February 1, 2021
35.0	(0.3 )	N/A	1.01 %		March 1, 2021
35.0	(0.3 )	N/A	1.02 %		March 1, 2021
20.0	(0.2 )	N/A	1.01 %		March 1, 2021
20.0	(0.2 )	N/A	1.02 %		March 1, 2021
20.0	(0.2 )	N/A	1.02 %		March 1, 2021
<b>Forward Starting Swap</b>					
48.0	(0.7 )	N/A	1.42 %	January 2, 2018	February 1, 2021
	\$ (10.3 )	\$ (1.7 )			

As of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, derivative valuations in their entirety were classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy and we did not have any significant recurring fair value measurements related to derivative instruments using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3).

<sup>(2)</sup> This interest rate swap was terminated effective March 23, 2016.

Table of Contents

The table below presents the effect of derivative financial instruments on our consolidated statements of operations and on our share of our partnerships' statements of operations for the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015:

(in millions of dollars)	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,		Consolidated Statements of Operations Location
	2016	2015	2016	2015	
Derivatives in cash flow hedging relationships:					
Interest rate products					
Loss recognized in Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) on derivatives	\$(4.3)	\$0.2	\$(11.0)	\$(1.6)	N/A
Loss reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) into income (effective portion)	\$1.4	\$1.2	\$2.8	\$2.3	Interest expense
Loss recognized in income on derivatives (ineffective portion and amount excluded from effectiveness testing)	\$—	\$—	\$(0.1)	\$(0.5)	Interest expense

## Credit-Risk-Related Contingent Features

We have agreements with some of our derivative counterparties that contain a provision pursuant to which, if our entity that originated such derivative instruments defaults on any of its indebtedness, including default where repayment of the indebtedness has not been accelerated by the lender, then we could also be declared in default on our derivative obligations. As of June 30, 2016, we were not in default on any of our derivative obligations.

We have an agreement with a derivative counterparty that incorporates the loan covenant provisions of our loan agreement with a lender affiliated with the derivative counterparty. Failure to comply with the loan covenant provisions would result in our being in default on any derivative instrument obligations covered by the agreement.

As of June 30, 2016, the fair value of derivatives in a net liability position, which excludes accrued interest but includes any adjustment for nonperformance risk related to these agreements, was \$10.3 million. If we had breached any of the default provisions in these agreements as of June 30, 2016, we might have been required to settle our obligations under the agreements at their termination value (including accrued interest) of \$10.9 million. We had not breached any of these provisions as of June 30, 2016.

Table of Contents

Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.

The following analysis of our consolidated financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with our unaudited consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto included elsewhere in this report.

OVERVIEW

Pennsylvania Real Estate Investment Trust, a Pennsylvania business trust founded in 1960 and one of the first equity real estate investment trusts ("REITs") in the United States, has a primary investment focus on retail shopping malls located in the eastern half of the United States, primarily in the Mid-Atlantic region.

We currently own interests in 32 retail properties in 11 states, of which 28 are operating properties, three are development properties, and one is under redevelopment. The 28 operating properties include 24 shopping malls and four other retail properties, have a total of 23.4 million square feet and are located in 10 states. We and partnerships in which we own an interest own 17.6 million square feet at these properties (excluding space owned by anchors). The above property counts do not include Washington Crown Center in Washington, Pennsylvania because that property has been classified as "held for sale" as of June 30, 2016. We also classified an office building adjacent to Voorhees Town Center as held for sale as of June 30, 2016.

There are 22 operating retail properties in our portfolio that we consolidate for financial reporting purposes. These consolidated operating properties have a total of 19.3 million square feet, of which we own 14.8 million square feet. The six operating retail properties that are owned by unconsolidated partnerships with third parties have a total of 4.1 million square feet, of which 2.8 million square feet are owned by such partnerships.

The development and redevelopment portion of our portfolio contains four properties in two states, with two classified as "mixed use" (a combination of retail and other uses), one is classified as "retail" (redevelopment of The Gallery at Market East into the Fashion Outlets of Philadelphia ("Fashion Outlets of Philadelphia")), and one classified as "other."

Our primary business is owning and operating retail shopping malls, which we primarily do through our operating partnership, PREIT Associates, L.P. ("PREIT Associates"). We provide management, leasing and real estate development services through PREIT Services, LLC ("PREIT Services"), which generally develops and manages properties that we consolidate for financial reporting purposes, and PREIT-RUBIN, Inc. ("PRI"), which generally develops and manages properties that we do not consolidate for financial reporting purposes, including properties in which we own interests through partnerships with third parties and properties that are owned by third parties in which we do not have an interest. PRI is a taxable REIT subsidiary, as defined by federal tax laws, which means that it is able to offer additional services to tenants without jeopardizing our continuing qualification as a REIT under federal tax law.

Net income for the three months ended June 30, 2016 was \$9.2 million, an increase of \$43.8 million compared to net loss of \$34.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2015. This increase was primarily due to \$20.9 million of gains on the sales of real estate assets in the three months ended June 30, 2016, a decrease in impairment of assets from \$28.7 million in the three months ended June 30, 2015 to \$14.1 million in the three months ended June 30, 2016, and a decrease of \$4.0 million in interest expense.

Net income for the six months ended June 30, 2016 was \$11.1 million, an increase of \$59.7 million compared to net loss of \$48.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2015. This increase was primarily due to the \$22.9 million of gains on the sales of real estate assets in the six months ended June 30, 2016, and a decrease in impairment of assets from \$34.9 million in the six months ended June 30, 2015 to \$14.7 million in the six months ended June 30, 2016,

\$3.5 million in acquisition costs primarily related to Springfield Town Center in 2015 that did not recur in 2016, \$1.5 million of shareholder activist defense costs incurred in 2015 that did not recur in 2016, a \$1.7 million increase in Net Operating Income (“NOI”), and decreases of \$4.9 million and \$4.4 million in depreciation and amortization expenses and interest expense, respectively.

We evaluate operating results and allocate resources on a property-by-property basis, and do not distinguish or evaluate our consolidated operations on a geographic basis. Due to the nature of our operating properties, which involve retail shopping, we have concluded that our individual properties have similar economic characteristics and meet all other aggregation criteria. Accordingly, we have aggregated our individual properties into one reportable segment. In addition, no single tenant accounts for 10% or more of consolidated revenue, and none of our properties are located outside the United States.



## Table of Contents

### Current Economic Conditions and Our Near Term Capital Needs

The conditions in the economy have caused relatively slow job growth and have caused fluctuations and variations in retail sales, business and consumer confidence, and consumer spending on retail goods. As a result, the sales and profit performance of certain retailers has fluctuated, and in some cases, has led to bankruptcy filings by them. We continue to adjust our plans and actions to take into account the current environment as it evolves. In particular, we continue to contemplate ways to maintain or reduce our leverage through a variety of means available to us, subject to and in accordance with the terms of our Credit Agreements. These steps might include (i) obtaining capital from joint ventures or other partnerships or arrangements involving our contribution of assets with institutional investors, private equity investors or other REITs, or through sales of properties or interests in properties with values in excess of their mortgage loans and application of the excess proceeds to debt reduction, and (ii) obtaining equity capital, including through the issuance of common or preferred equity securities if market conditions are favorable, or through other actions.

### Capital Improvements, Redevelopment and Development Projects

At our operating properties, we might engage in various types of capital improvement projects. Such projects vary in cost and complexity, and can include building out new or existing space for individual tenants, upgrading common areas or exterior areas such as parking lots, or redeveloping the entire property, among other projects. Project costs are accumulated in "Construction in progress" on our consolidated balance sheet until the asset is placed into service, and amounted to \$85.9 million as of June 30, 2016.

In 2014, we entered into a 50/50 joint venture with The Macerich Company ("Macerich") to redevelop the Fashion Outlets of Philadelphia. As we redevelop the Fashion Outlets of Philadelphia, operating results in the short term, as measured by sales, occupancy, real estate revenue, property operating expenses, NOI and depreciation, will likely be negatively affected until the newly constructed space is completed, leased and occupied.

We are also engaged in several types of development projects. However, we do not expect to make any significant investment in these projects in the short term.

## CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Critical Accounting Policies are those that require the application of management's most difficult, subjective or complex judgments, often because of the need to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain and that might change in subsequent periods. In preparing the unaudited consolidated financial statements, management has made estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting periods. In preparing the financial statements, management has utilized available information, including historical experience, industry standards and the current economic environment, among other factors, in forming its estimates and judgments, giving due consideration to materiality. Management has also considered events and changes in property, market and economic conditions, estimated future cash flows from property operations and the risk of loss on specific accounts or amounts in determining its estimates and judgments. Actual results may differ from these estimates. In addition, other companies may utilize different estimates, which may affect comparability of our results of operations to those of companies in similar businesses. The estimates and assumptions made by management in applying Critical Accounting Policies have not changed materially during 2016 or 2015 except as otherwise noted, and none of these estimates or assumptions have proven to be materially incorrect or resulted in our recording any significant adjustments relating to prior periods. We will continue to monitor the key factors underlying our estimates and judgments, but no change is currently expected.

For additional information regarding our Critical Accounting Policies, see “Critical Accounting Policies” in Part II, Item 7 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015.

#### Asset Impairment

Real estate investments and related intangible assets are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the property might not be recoverable. A property to be held and used is considered impaired only if management’s estimate of the aggregate future cash flows, less estimated capital expenditures, to be generated by the property, undiscounted and without interest charges, are less than the carrying value of the property. This estimate takes into consideration factors such as expected future operating income, trends and prospects, as well as the effects of demand, competition and other factors. In addition, these estimates may consider a probability weighted cash flow estimation approach

## Table of Contents

when alternative courses of action to recover the carrying amount of a long-lived asset are under consideration or when a range of possible values is estimated.

The determination of undiscounted cash flows requires significant estimates by management, including the expected course of action at the balance sheet date that would lead to such cash flows. Subsequent changes in estimated undiscounted cash flows arising from changes in the anticipated action to be taken with respect to the property could impact the determination of whether an impairment exists and whether the effects could materially affect our net income. To the extent estimated undiscounted cash flows are less than the carrying value of the property, the loss will be measured as the excess of the carrying amount of the property over the estimated fair value of the property. Assessment of our ability to recover certain lease related costs must be made when we have a reason to believe that the tenant might not be able to perform under the terms of the lease as originally expected. This requires us to make estimates as to the recoverability of such costs.

See “Results of Operations” for a description of the losses on impairment of assets recorded during the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015.

### New Accounting Developments

In March 2016, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (the “FASB”) issued guidance intended to simplify various aspects related to how share-based payments are accounted for and presented in the financial statements. The new guidance allows for entities to make an entity-wide accounting policy election to either estimate the number of awards that are expected to vest or account for forfeitures when they occur. In addition, the guidance allows employers to withhold shares to satisfy minimum statutory tax withholding requirements up to the employees’ maximum individual tax rate without causing the award to be classified as a liability. The guidance also stipulates that cash paid by an employer to a taxing authority when directly withholding shares for tax-withholding purposes should be classified as a financing activity on the statement of cash flows. This guidance is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016, and interim periods within that reporting period. Early adoption is permitted in any interim or annual period, with any adjustments reflected as of the beginning of the fiscal year of adoption. The Company is in the process of evaluating the impact of this new guidance.

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-02, Leases (Topic 842), which requires lessees to record operating and financing leases as assets and liabilities on the balance sheet and lessors to expense costs that are not initial direct leasing costs. This standard will be effective for the first annual reporting period beginning after December 15, 2018. The Company is evaluating the effect that ASU No. 2016-02 will have on its consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

In 2016, the Company adopted Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) No. 2015-02, Consolidation (Topic 810): Amendments to the Consolidation Analysis. The Company evaluated the application of ASU No. 2015-02 and concluded that no change was required to its accounting of its interests in less than wholly owned joint ventures, however, the Operating Partnership now meets the criteria as a variable interest entity. The Company’s significant asset is its investment in the Operating Partnership, and consequently, substantially all of the Company’s assets and liabilities represent those assets and liabilities of the Operating Partnership. All of the Company’s debt is also an obligation of the Operating Partnership.

In March 2015, the FASB issued “Interest—Imputation of Interest (Subtopic 835-30): Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs” and “Interest—Imputation of Interest (Subtopic 835-30): Presentation and Subsequent Measurement of Debt Issuance Costs Associated with Line-of-Credit Arrangements,” which intend to simplify the presentation of debt issuance costs. This guidance provides an amendment to the accounting guidance related to the presentation of debt issuance costs and is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015, and we have adopted this guidance as of January 1, 2016. This guidance is applied retrospectively to all prior periods. Under the new guidance,

debt issuance costs related to a note shall be reported in the Consolidated Balance Sheets as a direct deduction from the face amount of that note. In this regard, debt issuance costs shall not be classified separately from related debt obligations as a deferred charge. Therefore, as a result of adopting this guidance, the Company reclassified in its Consolidated Balance Sheets \$4.2 million of debt issuance costs, net of accumulated amortization, at December 31, 2015, from “Deferred costs and other assets, net” to “Mortgage loans payable,” and \$2.0 million of debt issuance costs at December 31, 2015, from “Deferred costs and other assets, net” to “Term loans,” thereby decreasing the carrying value of our recognized debt obligations for presentational purposes.

Table of Contents

OFF BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

We have no material off-balance sheet items other than the partnerships described in note 3 to the unaudited consolidated financial statements and in the “Overview” section above.

22

---

Table of Contents

## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

## Occupancy

The table below sets forth certain occupancy statistics for our properties as of June 30, 2016 and 2015:

	Occupancy <sup>(1)</sup> as June 30,					
	Consolidated Properties		Unconsolidated Properties		Combined <sup>(2)</sup>	
	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015
Retail portfolio weighted average:						
Total excluding anchors	89.9%	89.7%	95.9%	96.9%	91.2%	91.0%
Total including anchors	93.5%	93.4%	96.7%	97.6%	94.0%	94.0%
Malls weighted average:						
Total excluding anchors	89.9%	89.7%	95.4%	92.9%	90.4%	90.0%
Total including anchors	93.5%	93.4%	96.9%	95.2%	93.8%	93.6%
Other retail properties	N/A	N/A	96.5%	99.9%	96.5%	99.9%

- 
- (1) Occupancy for both periods presented includes all tenants irrespective of the term of their agreements. Retail portfolio and mall occupancy for all periods presented excludes properties sold or classified as held for sale in 2016 and 2015 and the Fashion Outlets of Philadelphia because the property is under redevelopment.
- (2) Combined occupancy is calculated by using occupied gross leasable area (“GLA”) for consolidated and unconsolidated properties and dividing by total GLA for consolidated and unconsolidated properties.

Table of Contents

## Leasing Activity

The table below sets forth summary leasing activity information with respect to our consolidated and unconsolidated properties for the three months ended June 30, 2016:

	Number	GLA	Term	Initial Rent psf	Previous Rent psf	Initial Gross Rent Spread <sup>(1)</sup>		Avg Rent Spread <sup>(2)</sup>	Annualized Tenant Improvements psf <sup>(3)</sup>
						\$	%		
Non Anchor									
New Leases									
Under 10,000 sf	32	76,263	7.3	\$61.43	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$ 7.45
Over 10,000 sf	2	21,875	10.0	26.51	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	3.77
Total New Leases	34	98,138	7.5	\$53.65	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$ 6.63
Renewal Leases									
Under 10,000 sf	49	121,959	3.8	\$56.02	\$ 52.15	\$3.87	7.4%	13.4%	\$ 0.42
Over 10,000 sf	1	30,701	5.0	15.80	14.82	0.98	6.6%	6.6%	—
Total Fixed Rent	50	152,660	3.9	\$47.93	\$44.64	\$3.29	7.4%	12.8%	\$ 0.34
Percentage in Lieu	2	18,653	4.0	\$15.23	\$9.91	\$5.32	53.7%	N/A	\$ —
Total Renewal Leases	52	171,313	3.9	\$44.37	\$40.86	\$3.51	8.6%	N/A	\$ 0.29
Total Non Anchor	86	269,451	5.3	\$47.75					
Anchor									
New Leases	—	—	—	\$—	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$ —
Renewal Leases	3	193,989	3.7	\$4.40	\$4.32	\$0.08	1.9%	N/A	\$ —
Total	3	193,989	3.7	\$4.40					

Initial renewal spread is computed by comparing the initial rent per square foot in the new lease to the final rent per square foot amount in the expiring lease. For purposes of this computation, the rent amount includes minimum<sup>(1)</sup> rent, common area maintenance (“CAM”) charges, estimated real estate tax reimbursements and marketing charges, but excludes percentage rent. In certain cases, a lower rent amount may be payable for a period of time until specified conditions in the lease are satisfied.

Average renewal spread is computed by comparing the average rent per square foot over the new lease term to the<sup>(2)</sup> final rent per square foot amount in the expiring lease. For purposes of this computation, the rent amount includes minimum rent and fixed CAM charges, but excludes pro rata CAM charges, estimated real estate tax reimbursements, marketing charges and percentage rent.

<sup>(3)</sup> These leasing costs are presented as annualized costs per square foot and are spread uniformly over the initial lease term.

Table of Contents

The table below sets forth summary leasing activity information with respect to our consolidated and unconsolidated properties for the six months ended June 30, 2016:

	Number	GLA	Term	Initial Rent psf	Previous Rent psf	Initial Gross Rent Spread <sup>(1)</sup>			Annualized Tenant Improvements psf <sup>(3)</sup>
						\$	%	%	
Non Anchor									
New Leases									
Under 10,000 sf	53	129,036	8.2	\$54.50	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$ 7.96
Over 10,000 sf	4	110,153	10.0	19.07	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	9.48
Total New Leases	57	239,189	8.3	\$38.18	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$ 8.66
Renewal Leases									
Under 10,000 sf	100	254,684	3.9	\$57.24	\$52.39	\$4.85	9.3%	17.0%	\$ 0.38
Over 10,000 sf	2	41,078	5.0	18.51	17.02	1.49	8.8%	9.4%	—
Total Fixed Rent	102	295,762	3.9	\$51.86	\$47.48	\$4.38	9.2%	16.6%	\$ 0.33
Percentage in Lieu	8	49,316	2.4	\$14.52	\$13.73	\$0.79	5.8%	N/A	\$ —
Total Renewal Leases	110	345,078	3.7	\$46.52	\$42.65	\$3.87	9.1%	N/A	\$ 0.28
Total Non Anchor	167	584,267	5.6	\$43.11					
Anchor									
New Leases	1	90,000	10.0	\$16.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$ 3.68
Renewal Leases	6	488,399	4.2	\$3.47	\$3.43	\$0.04	1.2%	N/A	\$ —
Total	7	578,399	5.1	\$5.51					

Initial renewal spread is computed by comparing the initial rent per square foot in the new lease to the final rent per square foot amount in the expiring lease. For purposes of this computation, the rent amount includes minimum rent, common area maintenance (“CAM”) charges, estimated real estate tax reimbursements and marketing charges, but excludes percentage rent. In certain cases, a lower rent amount may be payable for a period of time until specified conditions in the lease are satisfied.

Average renewal spread is computed by comparing the average rent per square foot over the new lease term to the final rent per square foot amount in the expiring lease. For purposes of this computation, the rent amount includes minimum rent and fixed CAM charges, but excludes pro rata CAM charges, estimated real estate tax reimbursements, marketing charges and percentage rent.

These leasing costs are presented as annualized costs per square foot and are spread uniformly over the initial lease term.

As of June 30, 2016, for non anchor leases, the average gross rent per square foot as of the expiration date was \$45.88 for the renewing leases in “Holdover” status and \$44.44 for leases expiring in 2016.



Table of Contents

## Overview

Net income for the three months ended June 30, 2016 was \$9.2 million, an increase of \$43.8 million compared to net loss of \$34.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2015. This increase was primarily due to \$20.9 million of gains on the sales of real estate assets in the three months ended June 30, 2016, a decrease in impairment of assets from \$28.7 million in the three months ended June 30, 2015 to \$14.1 million in the three months ended June 30, 2016, and a decrease of \$4.0 million in interest expense.

Net income for the six months ended June 30, 2016 was \$11.1 million, an increase of \$59.7 million compared to net loss of \$48.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2015. This increase was primarily due to the \$22.9 million of gains on the sales of real estate assets in the six months ended June 30, 2016, and a decrease in impairment of assets from \$34.9 million in the six months ended June 30, 2015 to \$14.7 million in the six months ended June 30, 2016, \$3.5 million in acquisition costs primarily related to Springfield Town Center in 2015 that did not recur in 2016, \$1.5 million of shareholder activist defense costs incurred in 2015 that did not recur in 2016, a \$1.7 million increase in Net Operating Income (“NOI”), and decreases of \$4.9 million and \$4.4 million in depreciation and amortization expenses and interest expense, respectively.

The following table sets forth our results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015.

(in thousands of dollars)	Three Months Ended June 30,		% Change 2015 to 2016	Six Months Ended June 30,		% Change 2015 to 2016
	2016	2015		2016	2015	
Real estate revenue	\$92,739	\$100,882	(8 )%	\$194,195	\$199,666	(3 )%
Other income	1,514	811	87 %	2,030	2,084	(3 )%
Property operating expenses	(37,532 )	(42,014 )	(11 )%	(80,643 )	(85,165 )	(5 )%
Depreciation and amortization	(31,662 )	(36,641 )	(14 )%	(65,397 )	(69,830 )	(6 )%
General and administrative expenses	(8,883 )	(9,126 )	(3 )%	(17,469 )	(18,070 )	(3 )%
Provision for employee separation expense	(658 )	—	— %	(1,193 )	—	— %
Acquisition costs and other expenses	(243 )	(817 )	(70 )%	(294 )	(5,269 )	(94 )%
Interest expense, net	(17,067 )	(21,126 )	(19 )%	(36,413 )	(41,271 )	(12 )%
Impairment of assets	(14,118 )	(28,667 )	(51 )%	(14,724 )	(34,907 )	(58 )%
Equity in income of partnerships	4,192	2,032	106 %	8,075	4,114	96 %
Gains on sales of interests in real estate	20,887	—	— %	22,922	—	— %
Gain on sales of interests in non operating real estate	—	—	— %	9	43	(79 )%
Net income (loss)	\$9,169	\$(34,666 )	126 %	\$11,098	\$(48,605 )	(123 )%
Net earnings (loss) per share - basic and diluted	\$0.06	\$(0.51 )	112 %	\$0.03	\$(0.76 )	104 %

The amounts in the preceding table reflect our consolidated properties and our unconsolidated properties. Our unconsolidated properties are presented under the equity method of accounting in the line item “Equity in income of partnerships.”

## Real Estate Revenue

Real estate revenue decreased by \$8.1 million, or 8%, in the three months ended June 30, 2016 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2015, primarily due to:

a decrease of \$11.1 million in real estate revenue related to properties sold in 2015 and 2016; partially offset by an increase of \$2.0 million in Same Store (as defined below) base rent due to increases from new store openings and lease renewals with higher base rental amounts, with notable increases at Springfield Town Center and Moorestown Mall; and an increase of \$0.8 million in Same Store expense reimbursements, due to an increase in real estate tax expense (see “—Operating Expenses”).

Table of Contents

Real estate revenue decreased by \$5.5 million, or 3%, in the six months ended June 30, 2016 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2015, primarily due to:

- a decrease of \$15.9 million in real estate revenue related to properties and real estate interests sold in 2015 and 2016;
- a decrease of \$1.2 million in Same Store expense reimbursements, due to decreases in utility expense and snow removal expense (see “—Operating Expenses”); partially offset by
- an increase of \$9.8 million in real estate revenue from the acquisition of Springfield Town Center in March 2015; and
- an increase of \$1.8 million in Same Store base rent due to increases from new store openings and lease renewals with higher base rental amounts, with notable increases at Moorestown Mall and Cherry Hill Mall.

Property Operating Expenses

Property operating expenses decreased by \$4.5 million or 11% in the three months ended June 30, 2016 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2015, primarily due to:

- a decrease of \$5.2 million in property operating expenses related to properties sold in 2015 and 2016; and
- a decrease of \$0.3 million in bad debt expense due to the business failure of an office tenant at Voorhees Town Center in 2015; partially offset by
- an increase of \$1.2 million in Same Store real estate tax expense due to a combination of increases in the real estate tax assessment value and the real estate tax rate.

Property operating expenses decreased by \$4.5 million or 5% in the six months ended June 30, 2016 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2015, primarily due to:

- a decrease of \$7.3 million in property operating expenses related to properties sold in 2015 and 2016;
- a decrease of \$1.3 million in Same Store common area maintenance expense, including decreases of \$0.8 million in snow removal expense and \$0.3 million in common area utilities. During the three months ended March 31, 2016, weather across the Mid-Atlantic States, where many of our properties are located, was milder and drier compared to the three months ended March 31, 2015;
- a decrease of \$1.1 million in Same Store non-common area utility expense. Temperatures across the Mid-Atlantic States, where many of our properties are located, were well above average during the three months ended March 31, 2016, resulting in lower electricity usage compared to the three months ended March 31, 2015. In addition, there was a significant increase in electric rates during February 2015 due to extreme cold weather that particularly affected our properties located in Pennsylvania, New Jersey and Maryland; and
- a decrease of \$0.6 million in bad debt expense due to the business failure of an office tenant at Voorhees Town Center in 2015; partially offset by

•

an increase of \$4.2 million in property operating expenses from the acquisition of Springfield Town Center in March 2015; and

an increase of \$1.4 million in Same Store real estate tax expense due to a combination of increases in the real estate tax assessment value and the real estate tax rate.

#### Net Operating Income (“NOI”)

NOI (a non-GAAP measure) is derived from real estate revenue (determined in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, or GAAP, including lease termination revenue), minus property operating expenses (determined in accordance with

Table of Contents

GAAP), plus our share of revenue and property operating expenses of our partnership investments. It does not represent cash generated from operating activities in accordance with GAAP and should not be considered to be an alternative to net income (determined in accordance with GAAP) as an indication of our financial performance or to be an alternative to cash flow from operating activities (determined in accordance with GAAP) as a measure of our liquidity. It is not indicative of funds available for our cash needs, including our ability to make cash distributions. We believe that NOI is helpful to management and investors as a measure of operating performance because it is an indicator of the return on property investment, and provides a method of comparing property performance over time. We believe that net income is the most directly comparable GAAP measurement to NOI.

NOI excludes other income, general and administrative expenses, provision for employee separation expenses, interest expense, depreciation and amortization, gain on sale of interest in non operating real estate, gain on sale of interest in real estate, impairment of assets, acquisition costs and other expenses.

The following tables present NOI for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015. The results are presented using the “proportionate-consolidation method” (a non-GAAP measure), which includes our share of the results of our partnership investments. Under GAAP, we account for our partnership investments under the equity method of accounting. Operating results for retail properties that we owned for the full periods presented (“Same Store”) exclude properties acquired or disposed of or reclassified as held for sale during the periods presented. A reconciliation of NOI to net income (loss) determined in accordance with GAAP appears under the heading “Reconciliation of GAAP Net Income (Loss) to Non-GAAP Measures.”

## Three months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015:

(in thousands of dollars)	Same Store			Non Same Store			Total		
	2016	2015	% Change	2016	2015	% Change	2016	2015	% Change
Real estate revenue	\$101,376	\$98,347	3.1 %	\$3,947	\$14,628	(73.0)%	\$105,323	\$112,975	(6.8 )%
Property operating expenses	(39,090 )	(38,426 )	1.7 %	(1,672 )	(8,058 )	(79.3)%	(40,762 )	(46,484 )	(12.3)%
Net Operating Income	\$62,286	\$59,921	3.9 %	\$2,275	\$6,570	(65.4)%	\$64,561	\$66,491	(2.9 )%

Total NOI decreased by \$1.9 million, or 2.9%, in the three months ended June 30, 2016 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2015 primarily due to a decrease of \$4.3 million in NOI from Non Same Store properties. Same Store NOI increased by \$2.4 million. Lease terminations were \$0.1 million for each of the three months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively. See “—Real Estate Revenue” and “—Property Operating Expenses” above for further information about the factors affecting NOI from our consolidated properties.

## Six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015:

(in thousands of dollars)	Same Store			Non Same Store			Total		
	2016	2015	% Change	2016	2015	% Change	2016	2015	% Change
Real estate revenue	\$188,403	\$187,073	0.7 %	\$31,768	\$37,849	(16.1)%	\$220,171	\$224,922	(2.1 )%
Property operating expenses	(73,223 )	(74,616 )	(1.9)%	(15,144 )	(20,221 )	(25.1)%	(88,367 )	(94,837 )	(6.8 )%
Net Operating Income	\$115,180	\$112,457	2.4 %	\$16,624	\$17,628	(5.7 )%	\$131,804	\$130,085	1.3 %

Total NOI increased by \$1.7 million, or 0.8%, in the six months ended June 30, 2016 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2015 primarily due to an increase of \$2.7 million in NOI from Same Store properties. Non Same Store NOI decreased by \$1.0 million. Lease terminations were \$0.3 million and \$0.5 million for the six months ended

June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively. See “—Real Estate Revenue” and “—Property Operating Expenses” above for further information about the factors affecting NOI from our consolidated properties.

## Table of Contents

### Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation and amortization expense decreased by \$5.0 million, or 14%, in the three months ended June 30, 2016 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2015, primarily due to a decrease of \$4.9 million related to properties sold in 2015 and 2016.

Depreciation and amortization expense decreased by \$4.4 million, or 6%, in the six months ended June 30, 2016 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2015, primarily due to:

- a decrease of \$9.7 million related to properties sold in 2015 and 2016; partially offset by

- an increase of \$2.9 million due to a higher asset base resulting from capital improvements related to new tenants at our same store properties, as well as accelerated amortization of capital improvements associated with store closings in the six months ended June 30, 2016; and

- an increase of \$2.4 million related to the March 2015 acquisition of Springfield Town Center.

### Impairment of Assets

Impairment of assets for the three months ended June 30, 2016 consisted of \$14.1 million in connection with sale negotiations with a prospective buyer of Washington Crown Center located in Washington, Pennsylvania. Impairment of assets for the three months ended June 30, 2015 included \$28.7 million recorded in aggregate on Gadsden Mall in Gadsden, Alabama, New River Valley Mall in Christiansburg, Virginia and Wiregrass Commons Mall in Dothan, Alabama.

Impairment of assets for the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 included the items noted above, as well as \$0.6 million recorded in March 2016 in connection with sale negotiations with a prospective buyer of an office building that we own in Voorhees, New Jersey, and \$6.2 million recorded in 2015 in connection with the sale of Uniontown Mall in Uniontown, Pennsylvania.

### Acquisition Costs and Other Expenses

Acquisition costs and other expenses decreased by \$0.6 million and \$5.0 million during the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 compared to the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, primarily due to acquisition costs incurred in the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 related to our acquisition of Springfield Town Center in March 2015 and shareholder activist defense costs of \$0.5 million and \$1.5 million incurred in the three and six months ended June 30, 2015.

### Interest Expense

Interest expense decreased by \$4.1 million, or 19%, in the three months ended June 30, 2016 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2015. This decrease was primarily due to lower weighted average interest rates and average debt balances. Our weighted average effective borrowing rate was 4.10% for the three months ended June 30, 2016 compared to 4.69% for the three months ended June 30, 2015. Our weighted average debt balance was \$1,731.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2016, a decrease of \$136.5 million, compared to \$1,868.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2015. Interest expense for the three months ended June 30, 2015 includes a prepayment

penalty of \$0.8 million in connection with the early repayment of the mortgage secured by Patrick Henry Mall and \$0.2 million due to accelerated amortization of financing costs resulting from the June 2015 amendment to the 2013 Revolving Facility.

Interest expense decreased by \$4.9 million, or 12%, in the six months ended June 30, 2016 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2015. This decrease was primarily due to lower interest rates and lower interest payments due to the sales of properties which were mortgaged. Our weighted average effective borrowing rate was 4.22% for the six months ended June 30, 2016 compared to 4.82% for the six months ended June 30, 2015. Our weighted average debt balance was \$1,782.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2016 compared to \$1,725.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2015. This decrease is primarily due to lower interest rates and lower interest payments due to the sales of properties that were mortgaged. We also recorded a loss on hedge ineffectiveness of \$0.5 million, a \$0.8 million prepayment penalty and \$0.2 million of accelerated amortization of financing costs in the six months ended June 30, 2015.



Table of Contents

Equity in Income of Partnerships

Equity in income of partnerships increased by \$2.2 million, or 106%, for the three months ended June 30, 2016 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2015. This increase was primarily due to an increase in equity in income from the Fashion Outlets of Philadelphia due to decreased operating expenses, Gloucester Premium Outlets, which opened in 2015, and lower depreciation expenses, partially offset by income from properties sold in 2015.

Equity in income of partnerships increased by \$4.0 million, or 96%, for the six months ended June 30, 2016 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2015. This increase was primarily due to an increase in equity in income from the Fashion Outlets of Philadelphia due to decreased operating expenses, Gloucester Premium Outlets, which opened in 2015, and lower bad debt and depreciation expenses, partially offset by income from properties sold in 2015.

Funds From Operations

The National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts (“NAREIT”) defines Funds From Operations (“FFO”), which is a non-GAAP measure commonly used by REITs, as net income (computed in accordance with GAAP) excluding gains and losses on sales of operating properties, plus real estate depreciation and amortization, and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures to reflect funds from operations on the same basis. We compute FFO in accordance with standards established by NAREIT, which may not be comparable to FFO reported by other REITs that do not define the term in accordance with the current NAREIT definition, or that interpret the current NAREIT definition differently than we do. NAREIT’s established guidance provides that excluding impairment write downs of depreciable real estate is consistent with the NAREIT definition.

FFO is a commonly used measure of operating performance and profitability among REITs. We use FFO and FFO per diluted share and unit of limited partnership interest in our operating partnership (“OP Unit”) in measuring our performance against our peers and as one of the performance measures for determining incentive compensation amounts earned under certain of our performance-based executive compensation programs.

FFO does not include gains and losses on sales of operating real estate assets or impairment write downs of depreciable real estate, which are included in the determination of net income in accordance with GAAP. Accordingly, FFO is not a comprehensive measure of our operating cash flows. In addition, since FFO does not include depreciation on real estate assets, FFO may not be a useful performance measure when comparing our operating performance to that of other non-real estate commercial enterprises. We compensate for these limitations by using FFO in conjunction with other GAAP financial performance measures, such as net income and net cash provided by operating activities, and other non-GAAP financial performance measures, such as NOI. FFO does not represent cash generated from operating activities in accordance with GAAP and should not be considered to be an alternative to net income (determined in accordance with GAAP) as an indication of our financial performance or to be an alternative to cash flow from operating activities (determined in accordance with GAAP) as a measure of our liquidity, nor is it indicative of funds available for our cash needs, including our ability to make cash distributions. We believe that net income is the most directly comparable GAAP measurement to FFO.

We also present Funds From Operations, as adjusted, and Funds From Operations per diluted share and OP Unit, as adjusted, which are non-GAAP measures, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively, to show the effect of such items as acquisition costs, provision for employee separation expense, and loss on hedge ineffectiveness, which had a significant effect on our results of operations, but are not, in our opinion, indicative of our operating performance.

We believe that FFO is helpful to management and investors as a measure of operating performance because it excludes various items included in net income that do not relate to or are not indicative of operating performance, such as gains on sales of operating real estate and depreciation and amortization of real estate, among others. We believe that Funds From Operations, as adjusted, is helpful to management and investors as a measure of operating performance because it adjusts FFO to exclude items that management does not believe are indicative of our operating performance, such as acquisition costs, provision for employee separation expense, accelerated amortization of deferred financing costs and gain and loss on hedge ineffectiveness.

A reconciliation of FFO to net income (loss) determined in accordance with GAAP appears below under the heading "Reconciliation of GAAP Net Income (Loss) to Non-GAAP Measures."

Table of Contents

The following table presents FFO attributable to common shareholders and OP Unit holders, FFO attributable to common shareholders and OP Unit holders per diluted share and OP Unit, FFO attributable to common shareholders and OP Unit holders, as adjusted, and FFO attributable to common shareholders and OP Unit holders per diluted share and OP Unit, as adjusted, for the three months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015:

(in thousands, except per share amounts)	Three Months Ended June 30, 2016	% Change 2015 to 2016	Three Months Ended June 30, 2015
Funds from operations attributable to common shareholders and OP Unit holders	\$32,319	10.3%	\$29,311
Accelerated amortization of financing costs	—		1,030
Acquisition costs	—		138
Provision for employee separation expense	658		—
Funds from operations attributable to common shareholders and OP Unit holders, as adjusted	\$32,977	8.2%	\$30,479
Funds from operations attributable to common shareholders and OP Unit holders per diluted share and OP Unit	\$0.42	10.5%	\$0.38
Funds from operations attributable to common shareholders and OP Unit holders per diluted share and OP Unit, as adjusted	\$0.43	10.3%	\$0.39
Weighted average number of shares outstanding	69,091		68,753
Weighted average effect of full conversion of OP Units	8,327		8,357
Effect of common share equivalents	68		425
Total weighted average shares outstanding, including OP Units	77,486		77,535

FFO attributable to common shareholders and OP Unit holders was \$32.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2016, an increase of \$3.0 million, or 10.3%, compared to \$29.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2015. This increase is primarily due to the \$4.0 million decrease in interest expense, a \$2.4 million increase in Same Store NOI partially offset by a \$4.3 million decrease in Non Same Store NOI due primarily to property sales in 2015 and 2016.

FFO attributable to common shareholders and OP Unit holders per diluted share and OP Unit increased by \$0.04 to \$0.42 per share for the three months ended June 30, 2016, compared to \$0.38 for the three months ended June 30, 2015.

Table of Contents

The following table presents FFO attributable to common shareholders and OP Unit holders, FFO attributable to common shareholders and OP Unit holders per diluted share and OP Unit, FFO attributable to common shareholders and OP Unit holders, as adjusted, and FFO attributable to common shareholders and OP Unit holders per diluted share and OP Unit, as adjusted, for the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015:

(in thousands, except per share amounts)	Six Months Ended June 30, 2016	% Change 2015 to 2016	Six Months Ended June 30, 2015
Funds from operations attributable to common shareholders and OP Unit holders	\$64,657	20.5%	\$53,673
Acquisition costs	—		3,468
Provision for employee separation expense	1,193		—
Accelerated amortization costs	—		1,030
Loss on hedge ineffectiveness	143		512
Funds from operations attributable to common shareholders and OP Unit holders, as adjusted	\$65,993	12.5%	\$58,683
Funds from operations attributable to common shareholders and OP Unit holders per diluted share and OP Unit	\$0.83	15.3%	\$0.72
Funds from operations attributable to common shareholders and OP Unit holders per diluted share and OP Unit, as adjusted	\$0.85	7.6%	\$0.79
Weighted average number of shares outstanding	69,032		68,660
Weighted average effect of full conversion of OP Units	8,333		5,291
Effect of common share equivalents	125		493
Total weighted average shares outstanding, including OP Units	77,490		74,444

FFO attributable to common shareholders and OP Unit holders was \$64.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2016, an increase of \$11.0 million, or 20.5%, compared to \$53.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2015. This increase is primarily due to a decrease of \$5.0 million in acquisition cost and other expenses, including \$1.5 million of activist defense costs, a decrease of \$4.9 million of interest expense, an increase of \$2.7 million of Same Store NOI.

FFO attributable to common shareholders and OP Unit holders per diluted share and OP Unit increased by \$0.11 to \$0.83 per share for the six months ended June 30, 2016, compared to \$0.72 for the six months ended June 30, 2015.

## Reconciliation of GAAP Net Income (Loss) to Non-GAAP Measures

The preceding discussion compares our unaudited Consolidated Statements of Operations results for different periods based on GAAP. Also, the non-GAAP measures of NOI and FFO have been discussed. We believe that NOI is helpful to management and investors as a measure of operating performance because it is an indicator of the return on property investment, and provides a method of comparing property performance over time. We believe that FFO is helpful to management and investors as a measure of operating performance because it excludes various items included in net income that do not relate to or are not indicative of operating performance, such as gains on sales of operating real estate and depreciation and amortization of real estate, among others. FFO is a commonly used measure of operating performance and profitability among REITs, and we use FFO attributable to common shareholders and OP Unit holders and FFO attributable to common shareholders and OP Unit holders per diluted share and OP Unit as supplemental non-GAAP measures to compare our performance for different periods to that of our industry peers. The amounts presented in the “Share of Unconsolidated Partnerships” column are derived using the “proportionate consolidation method” (a non-GAAP measure), which includes our share of the results of our unconsolidated

partnerships based on our ownership percentage in each such uncontrolled partnership. We believe that this presentation is helpful to management and investors because it provides comparable information about the operating results of our unconsolidated partnerships and is thus indicative of the return on property investment and of operating performance over time. Results based on our share of the results of unconsolidated partnerships do not represent cash generated from operating activities of our unconsolidated partnerships and should not be considered to be an alternative to cash flow from unconsolidated properties' operating activities as a measure of our liquidity, because we do not have a direct legal claim to the revenues or expenses of the unconsolidated partnerships beyond our rights as an equity owner or tenant in common owner.

Table of Contents

Under the partnership agreements relating to our current unconsolidated partnerships with third parties, we own a 25% to 50% economic interest in such partnerships. As such, in general, we have an indirect economic interest in our proportionate share of the revenue and expenses of the unconsolidated partnership, and, if there were to be some type of distribution of the assets and liabilities of the partnership, our proportionate share of those items. There are generally no provisions in such partnership agreements relating to special non-proportionate allocations of income or loss, and there are no preferred or priority returns of capital or other similar provisions. Thus, we believe that the proportionate-consolidation method represents a valuable means of showing the share of the operating results of our unconsolidated partnership properties that would be allocated to us based on our economic interest under the partnership agreement.

We hold a noncontrolling interest in each of our unconsolidated partnerships, and account for such partnerships using the equity method of accounting. We do not control any of these equity method investees for the following reasons:

Except for two properties that we co-manage with our partner, all of the other entities are managed on a day-to-day basis by one of our other partners as the managing general partner in each of the respective partnerships. In the case of the co-managed properties, all decisions in the ordinary course of business are made jointly.

The managing general partner is responsible for establishing the operating and capital decisions of the partnership, including budgets, in the ordinary course of business.

All major decisions of each partnership, such as the sale, refinancing, expansion or rehabilitation of the property, require the approval of all partners.

Voting rights and the sharing of profits and losses are generally in proportion to the ownership percentages of each partner.

We do not have a direct legal claim to the assets, liabilities, revenues or expenses of the unconsolidated partnerships beyond our rights as an equity owner, in the event of any liquidation of such entity, and our rights as a tenant in common owner of certain unconsolidated properties.

We record the earnings from the unconsolidated partnerships using the equity method of accounting under the statements of operations caption entitled "Equity in income of partnerships," rather than consolidating the results of the unconsolidated partnerships with our results. Changes in our investments in these entities are recorded in the balance sheet caption entitled "Investment in partnerships, at equity." In the case of deficit investment balances, such amounts are recorded in "Distributions in excess of partnership investments."

We hold legal title to properties owned by three of our unconsolidated partnerships through tenancy in common arrangements. For each of these properties, such legal title is held by us and another person or persons, and each has an undivided interest in title to the property. With respect to each of the three properties, under the applicable agreements between us and the other persons with ownership interests, we and such other persons have joint control because decisions regarding matters such as the sale, refinancing, expansion or rehabilitation of the property require the approval of both us and the other person (or at least one of the other persons) owning an interest in the property. Hence, we account for each of the properties like our other unconsolidated partnerships using the equity method of accounting. The balance sheet items arising from the properties appear under the caption "Investments in partnerships, at equity."

For further information regarding our unconsolidated partnerships, see note 3 to our unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

The following information is provided to reconcile NOI and FFO attributable to common shareholders and OP Unit holders, which are non-GAAP measures, to net loss, a GAAP measure.

(in thousands of dollars)	Three Months Ended June 30, 2016			Three Months Ended June 30, 2015		
	Consolidated	Share of Unconsolidated Partnerships	Total (a non-GAAP measure)	Consolidated	Share of Unconsolidated Partnerships	Total (a non-GAAP measure)
Real estate revenue	\$92,739	\$ 12,584	\$ 105,323	\$100,882	\$ 12,093	\$112,975
Property operating expenses	(37,532 )	(3,230 )	(40,762 )	(42,014 )	(4,470 )	(46,484 )
Net operating income (NOI)	55,207	9,354	64,561	58,868	7,623	66,491
General and administrative expenses	(8,883 )	—	(8,883 )	(9,126 )	—	(9,126 )
Provision for employee separation expense	(658 )	—	(658 )	—	—	—
Other income	1,514	—	1,514	811	—	811
Acquisition costs and other expenses	(243 )	(1 )	(244 )	(817 )	(14 )	(831 )
Interest expense, net	(17,067 )	(2,577 )	(19,644 )	(21,126 )	(2,566 )	(23,692 )
Depreciation of non real estate assets	(365 )	—	(365 )	(380 )	—	(380 )
Preferred share dividends	(3,962 )	—	(3,962 )	(3,962 )	—	(3,962 )
Funds from operations attributable to common shareholders and OP Unit holders (FFO)	25,543	6,776	32,319	24,268	5,043	29,311
Depreciation of real estate assets	(31,297 )	(2,584 )	(33,881 )	(36,261 )	(3,011 )	(39,272 )
Equity in income of partnerships	4,192	(4,192 )	—	2,032	(2,032 )	—
Impairment of assets	(14,118 )	—	(14,118 )	(28,667 )	—	(28,667 )
Gains on sales of interests in real estate	20,887	—	20,887	—	—	—
Preferred share dividends	3,962	—	3,962	3,962	—	3,962
Net income (loss)	\$9,169	\$ —	\$9,169	\$(34,666 )	\$ —	\$(34,666 )

Table of Contents

(in thousands of dollars)	Six Months Ended June 30, 2016			Six Months Ended June 30, 2015		
	Consolidated	Share of Unconsolidated Partnerships	Total (a non-GAAP measure)	Consolidated	Share of Unconsolidated Partnerships	Total (a non-GAAP measure)
Real estate revenue	\$194,195	\$ 25,976	\$ 220,171	\$199,666	\$ 25,256	\$224,922
Property operating expenses	(80,643 )	(7,724 )	(88,367 )	(85,165 )	(9,672 )	(94,837 )
Net operating income (NOI)	113,552	18,252	131,804	114,501	15,584	130,085
General and administrative expenses	(17,469 )	—	(17,469 )	(18,070 )	—	(18,070 )
Provision for employee separation expense	(1,193 )	—	(1,193 )	—	—	—
Other income	2,030	—	2,030	2,084	—	2,084
Acquisition costs and other expenses	(294 )	(1 )	(295 )	(5,269 )	(41 )	(5,310 )
Interest expense, net	(36,413 )	(5,158 )	(41,571 )	(41,271 )	(5,206 )	(46,477 )
Depreciation of non real estate assets	(734 )	—	(734 )	(758 )	—	(758 )
Gains on sales of interests in non operating real estate	9	—	9	43	—	43
Preferred share dividends	(7,924 )	—	(7,924 )	(7,924 )	—	(7,924 )
Funds from operations attributable to common shareholders and OP Unit holders (FFO)	51,564	13,093	64,657	43,336	10,337	53,673
Depreciation of real estate assets	(64,663 )	(5,018 )	(69,681 )	(69,072 )	(6,223 )	(75,295 )
Equity in income of partnerships	8,075	(8,075 )	—	4,114	(4,114 )	—
Impairment of assets	(14,724 )	—	(14,724 )	(34,907 )	—	(34,907 )
Gains on sales of interests in real estate	22,922	—	22,922	—	—	—
Preferred share dividends	7,924	—	7,924	7,924	—	7,924
Net income (loss)	\$11,098	\$ —	\$ 11,098	\$(48,605 )	\$ —	\$(48,605 )

## LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

This “Liquidity and Capital Resources” section contains certain “forward-looking statements” that relate to expectations and projections that are not historical facts. These forward-looking statements reflect our current views about our future liquidity and capital resources, and are subject to risks and uncertainties that might cause our actual liquidity and capital resources to differ materially from the forward-looking statements. Additional factors that might affect our liquidity and capital resources include those discussed herein and in the section entitled “Item 1A. Risk Factors” in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. We do not intend to update or revise any forward-looking statements about our liquidity and capital resources to reflect new information, future events or otherwise.

## Capital Resources

We expect to meet our short-term liquidity requirements, including distributions to common and preferred shareholders, recurring capital expenditures, tenant improvements and leasing commissions, but excluding acquisitions and development and redevelopment projects, generally through our available working capital and net cash provided by operations, subject to the terms and conditions of our 2013 Revolving Facility and our 2014 Term Loans and 2015 Term Loan (collectively, the “Credit Agreements”). We believe that our net cash provided by operations will be sufficient to allow us to make any distributions necessary to enable us to continue to qualify as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. The aggregate distributions made to preferred shareholders, common shareholders and OP Unit holders for the six months ended June 30, 2016 were \$40.6 million,



based on distributions of \$1.0312 per Series A Preferred Share, \$0.9218 per Series B Preferred Share and \$0.42 per common share and OP Unit. The following are some of the factors that could affect our cash flows and require the funding of future cash distributions, recurring capital expenditures, tenant improvements or leasing commissions with

## Table of Contents

sources other than operating cash flows:

adverse changes or prolonged downturns in general, local or retail industry economic, financial, credit or capital market or competitive conditions, leading to a reduction in real estate revenue or cash flows or an increase in expenses;

deterioration in our tenants' business operations and financial stability, including anchor or non anchor tenant bankruptcies, leasing delays or terminations, or lower sales, causing deferrals or declines in rent, percentage rent and cash flows;

inability to achieve targets for, or decreases in, property occupancy and rental rates, resulting in lower or delayed real estate revenue and operating income;

increases in operating costs, including increases that cannot be passed on to tenants, resulting in reduced operating income and cash flows; and

increases in interest rates resulting in higher borrowing costs.

We expect to meet certain of our longer-term requirements, such as obligations to fund redevelopment and development projects and certain capital requirements (including scheduled debt maturities), future property and portfolio acquisitions, renovations, expansions and other non-recurring capital improvements, through a variety of capital sources, subject to the terms and conditions of our Credit Agreements.

In December 2014, our universal shelf registration statement was filed with the SEC and became effective. We may use the availability under our shelf registration statement to offer and sell common shares of beneficial interest, preferred shares and various types of debt securities, among other types of securities, to the public.

## Credit Agreements

We have entered into four credit agreements (collectively, the "Credit Agreements"): (1) the 2013 Revolving Facility, (2) the 2014 7-Year Term Loan, (3) the 2014 5-Year Term Loan, and (4) the 2015 5-Year Term Loan.

On June 30, 2016, Pennsylvania Real Estate Investment Trust ("PREIT"), PREIT Associates, L.P. ("PREIT Associates") and PREIT-RUBIN, Inc. ("PRI" and, collectively with PREIT and PREIT Associates, the "Borrower") entered into an Amendment (the "Amendment") to the 2014 7-Year Term Loan. The Amendment increased potential borrowing under the 2014 7-Year Term Loan from \$100.0 million to \$250.0 million, and expanded the accordion feature of the 2014 7-Year Term Loan from up to \$200.0 million to up to \$400.0 million. Among other things, the Amendment lowered the interest rates in the applicable pricing grid and extended the termination date from January 7, 2021 to December 29, 2021. Pursuant to the Amendment, amounts borrowed under the 2014 7-Year Term Loan bear interest at a rate between 1.35% and 1.90% per annum, depending on PREIT's leverage, in excess of LIBOR, which is a reduction from the former range of 1.80% to 2.35%. At June 30, 2016, the rate in effect was 1.60% per annum in excess of LIBOR.

See note 4 in the notes to our audited consolidated financial statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015 for a description of the identical covenants and common provisions contained in the Credit Agreements.

As of June 30, 2016, we had borrowed \$400.0 million under the Term Loans, and \$85.0 million was outstanding under our 2013 Revolving Facility, \$7.4 million was pledged as collateral for letters of credit, and the unused portion of the 2013 Revolving Facility that was available to us was \$252.0 million.

## Interest Rate Derivative Agreements

As of June 30, 2016, we had entered into 26 interest rate swap agreements with a weighted average base interest rate of 1.27% on a notional amount of \$627.7 million maturing on various dates through February 2021 and one forward starting interest rate swap agreement with an interest rate of 1.42% on a notional amount of \$48.0 million, which will be effective starting January 2018 and maturing in February 2021.

In July 2016, we entered into an additional interest rate swap agreement with an interest rate of 0.70% on a notional amount of \$25.0 million.

## Table of Contents

We entered into these interest rate swap agreements in order to hedge the interest payments associated with our issuances of variable interest rate long term debt. We have assessed the effectiveness of these interest rate swap agreements as hedges at inception and on a quarterly basis. As of June 30, 2016, except as set forth below, we considered these interest rate swap agreements to be highly effective as cash flow hedges. The interest rate swap agreements are net settled monthly.

Accumulated other comprehensive loss as of June 30, 2016 includes a net loss of \$1.8 million relating to forward starting swaps that we cash settled in prior years that are being amortized over 10 year periods commencing on the closing dates of the debt instruments that are associated with these settled swaps.

As of June 30, 2016, the fair value of derivatives in a net liability position, which excludes accrued interest but includes any adjustment for nonperformance risk related to these agreements, was \$10.3 million. If we had breached any of the default provisions in these agreements as of June 30, 2016, we might have been required to settle our obligations under the agreements at their termination value (including accrued interest) of \$10.9 million. We had not breached any of these provisions as of June 30, 2016.

### Mortgage Loan Activity

In April 2016, we entered into a \$130.0 million mortgage loan secured by Woodland Mall in Grand Rapids, Michigan. The new mortgage loan bears interest at the rate of 2.00% plus LIBOR and has a maturity date of April 2021. The proceeds from the new mortgage loan were used to pay down a portion of the Credit Facility borrowings that were used to repay the previous \$141.2 million mortgage loan.

In March 2016, we borrowed an additional \$9.0 million, lowered the interest rate to 2.35% plus LIBOR and extended the maturity date to March 2021 on the mortgage loan secured by Viewmont Mall in Scranton, Pennsylvania.

In March 2016, we repaid a \$79.3 million mortgage loan plus accrued interest secured by Valley Mall in Hagerstown, Maryland using \$50.0 million from our 2013 Revolving Facility and the balance from available working capital.

In March 2016, we repaid a \$32.8 million mortgage loan plus accrued interest secured by Lycoming Mall in Pennsdale, Pennsylvania in connection with the March 2016 sale of the property using proceeds from the sale and available working capital.

In March 2016, we repaid a \$28.1 million mortgage loan plus accrued interest secured by New River Valley Mall in Christiansburg, Virginia in connection with the March 2016 sale of the property using proceeds from the sale.

### Mortgage Loans

As of June 30, 2016, our mortgage loans, which are secured by 12 of our consolidated properties, are due in installments over various terms extending to October 2025. Nine of these mortgage loans bear interest at fixed interest rates that range from 3.88% to 5.95% and had a weighted average interest rate of 4.46% at June 30, 2016. Three of our mortgage loans bear interest at variable rates and had a weighted average interest rate of 2.70% at June 30, 2016. The weighted average interest rate of all consolidated mortgage loans was 4.10% at June 30, 2016. Mortgage loans for properties owned by unconsolidated partnerships are accounted for in "Investments in partnerships, at equity" and "Distributions in excess of partnership investments" on the consolidated balance sheets and are not included in the table below.

The following table outlines the timing of principal payments related to our consolidated mortgage loans as of June 30, 2016:

(in thousands of dollars)	Total	Remainder of 2016	2017-2018	2019-2020	Thereafter
Principal payments	\$ 137,267	\$ 8,974	\$ 36,556	\$ 39,142	\$ 52,595
Balloon payments	1,099,614	—	218,469	27,161	853,984
Total	\$ 1,236,881	\$ 8,974	\$ 255,025	\$ 66,303	\$ 906,579

37

---

Table of Contents

## Contractual Obligations

The following table presents our aggregate contractual obligations as of June 30, 2016 for the periods presented:

(in thousands of dollars)	Total	Remainder of 2016	2017-2018	2019-2020	Thereafter
Mortgage loan principal payments	\$1,236,881	\$ 8,974	\$ 255,025	\$ 66,303	\$906,579
Term Loans	400,000	—	—	300,000	100,000
2013 Revolving Facility	85,000	—	85,000	—	—
Interest on indebtedness <sup>(1)</sup>	328,521	33,703	117,796	91,559	85,463
Operating leases	6,464	1,056	3,887	1,512	9
Ground leases	315	282	6	6	21
Development and redevelopment commitments <sup>(2)</sup>	78,667	50,015	25,652	3,000	—
Total	\$2,135,848	\$ 94,030	\$ 487,366	\$ 462,380	\$ 1,092,072

<sup>(1)</sup>Includes payments expected to be made in connection with interest rate swaps and forward starting interest rate swap agreements.

<sup>(2)</sup>The timing of the payments of these amounts is uncertain. We expect that the majority of such payments will be made prior to December 31, 2016, but cannot provide any assurance that changed circumstances at these projects will not delay the settlement of these obligations. In addition, our operating partnership, PREIT Associates, has jointly and severally guaranteed the obligations of the joint venture we formed with Macerich to develop the Fashion Outlets of Philadelphia to commence and complete a comprehensive redevelopment of that property costing not less than \$300.0 million within 48 months after commencement of construction.

## Preferred Share Dividends

Annual dividends on our 4,600,000 8.25% Series A Preferred Shares (\$25.00 liquidation preference) and our 3,450,000 7.375% Series B Preferred Shares (\$25.00 liquidation preference) are expected to be \$9.5 million and \$6.4 million, respectively.

## CASH FLOWS

Net cash provided by operating activities totaled \$80.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2016 compared to \$62.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2015. The increase in 2016 is primarily due to a decrease of \$4.9 million in interest expense, a decrease in property operating expenses of \$4.5 million, an increase in equity in income of partnerships of \$4.0 million, a decrease in acquisition costs of \$3.5 million, primarily related to the acquisition of Springfield Town Center, a decrease in escrows held of \$3.2 million, and an increase in other liabilities of \$2.1 million, partially offset by a decrease in revenue of \$5.5 million and an increase in provision for employee separation expense of \$1.1 million.

Cash flows provided by investing activities were \$88.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2016 compared to cash flows used in investing activities of \$367.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2015. Cash flows provided by investing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2016 included \$131.6 million in proceeds from the sale of seven operating properties and two outparcels, investment in construction in progress of \$28.1 million and real estate improvements of \$15.3 million, primarily related to ongoing improvements at our properties. Investing activities for the first six months of 2015 included \$320.0 million used in acquiring Springfield Town Center in Springfield, Virginia, investment in construction in progress of \$14.0 million and real estate improvements of \$16.9 million,

primarily related to ongoing improvements at our properties.

Cash flows used in financing activities were \$175.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2016 compared to cash flows provided by financing activities of \$295.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2015. Cash flows used in financing activities for the first six months of 2016 included mortgage loan repayments of \$280.3 million, dividends and distributions of \$40.6 million, and principal installments on mortgage loans of \$8.4 million, partially offset by \$20.0 million of 2013 Revolving Facility borrowings, borrowing of \$130.0 million from the mortgage loan secured by Woodland Mall and additional borrowing of \$9.0 million from the mortgage loan secured by Viewmont Mall. Cash flows provided by financing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2015 included \$270.0 million of net borrowings from the 2013 Revolving Facility, \$120.0 million in net

Table of Contents

borrowings from the 2014 Term Loans, dividends and distributions of \$39.2 million, and principal installment payments of \$10.1 million, partially offset by \$102.0 million of additional borrowings on the mortgage loan secured by Francis Scott Key Mall.

ENVIRONMENTAL

We are aware of certain environmental matters at some of our properties. We have, in the past, performed remediation of such environmental matters, and we are not aware of any significant remaining potential liability relating to these environmental matters or of any obligation to satisfy requirements for further remediation. We may be required in the future to perform testing relating to these matters. We have insurance coverage for certain environmental claims up to \$25.0 million per occurrence and up to \$25.0 million in the aggregate. See our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015, in the section entitled “Item 1A. Risk Factors —We might incur costs to comply with environmental laws, which could have an adverse effect on our results of operations.”

COMPETITION AND TENANT CREDIT RISK

Competition in the retail real estate market is intense. We compete with other public and private retail real estate companies, including companies that own or manage malls, power centers, strip centers, lifestyle centers, factory outlet centers, theme/festival centers and community centers, as well as other commercial real estate developers and real estate owners, particularly those with properties near our properties, on the basis of several factors, including location and rent charged. We compete with these companies to attract customers to our properties, as well as to attract anchor and in-line stores and other tenants. We also compete to acquire land for new site development or to acquire parcels or properties to add to our existing properties. Our malls and our other retail properties face competition from similar retail centers, including more recently developed or renovated centers that are near our retail properties. We also face competition from a variety of different retail formats, including internet retailers, discount or value retailers, home shopping networks, mail order operators, catalogs, and telemarketers. Our tenants face competition from companies at the same and other properties and from other retail channels or formats as well, including internet retailers. This competition could have a material adverse effect on our ability to lease space and on the amount of rent and expense reimbursements that we receive.

The existence or development of competing retail properties and the related increased competition for tenants might, subject to the terms and conditions of our Credit Agreements, lead us to make capital improvements to properties that we would have deferred or would not have otherwise planned to make and might affect occupancy and net operating income of such properties.

Any such capital improvements, undertaken individually or collectively, would involve costs and expenses that could adversely affect our results of operations.

We compete with many other entities engaged in real estate investment activities for acquisitions of malls, other retail properties and prime development sites or sites adjacent to our properties, including institutional pension funds, other REITs and other owner-operators of retail properties. When we seek to make acquisitions, competitors might drive up the price we must pay for properties, parcels, other assets or other companies or might themselves succeed in acquiring those properties, parcels, assets or companies. In addition, our potential acquisition targets might find our competitors to be more attractive suitors if they have greater resources, are willing to pay more, or have a more compatible operating philosophy. In particular, larger REITs might enjoy significant competitive advantages that result from, among other things, a lower cost of capital, a better ability to raise capital, a better ability to finance an acquisition, better cash flow and enhanced operating efficiencies. We might not succeed in acquiring retail properties or development sites that we seek, or, if we pay a higher price for a property or site, or generate lower cash flow from an acquired property or site than we expect, our investment returns will be reduced, which will adversely affect the value of our securities.



We receive a substantial portion of our operating income as rent under leases with tenants. At any time, any tenant having space in one or more of our properties could experience a downturn in its business that might weaken its financial condition, as was the case for a few of our tenants in recent periods. There are also a number of tenants that are based outside the U.S., and these tenants are affected by economic conditions in the country where their headquarters are located and internationally. Any of such tenants might enter into or renew leases with relatively shorter terms. Such tenants might also defer or fail to make rental payments when due, delay or defer lease commencement, voluntarily vacate the premises or declare bankruptcy, which could result in the termination of the tenant's lease, or preclude the collection of rent in connection with the space for a period of time, and could result in material losses to us and harm to our results of operations. Also, it might take time to terminate leases of underperforming or nonperforming tenants, and we might incur costs to remove such tenants. Some of our tenants occupy stores at multiple locations in our portfolio, and so the effect of any bankruptcy or store closing of those tenants might be more significant to us than the bankruptcy or store closings of other tenants. In addition, under many of our leases, our tenants pay rent based, in whole or in part, on a percentage of their sales. Accordingly, declines in these tenants' sales directly affect our

## Table of Contents

results of operations. Also, if tenants are unable to comply with the terms of our leases, or otherwise seek changes to the terms, including changes to the amount of rent, we might modify lease terms in ways that are less favorable to us.

### SEASONALITY

There is seasonality in the retail real estate industry. Retail property leases often provide for the payment of all or a portion of rent based on a percentage of a tenant's sales revenue, or sales revenue over certain levels. Income from such rent is recorded only after the minimum sales levels have been met. The sales levels are often met in the fourth quarter, during the December holiday season. Also, many new and temporary leases are entered into later in the year in anticipation of the holiday season and a higher number of tenants vacate their space early in the year. As a result, our occupancy and cash flows are generally higher in the fourth quarter and lower in the first and second quarters. Our concentration in the retail sector increases our exposure to seasonality and has resulted, and is expected to continue to result, in a greater percentage of our cash flows being received in the fourth quarter.

### INFLATION

Inflation can have many effects on financial performance. Retail property leases often provide for the payment of rent based on a percentage of sales, which might increase with inflation. Leases may also provide for tenants to bear all or a portion of operating expenses, which might reduce the impact of such increases on us. However, rent increases might not keep up with inflation, or if we recover a smaller proportion of property operating expenses, we might bear more costs if such expenses increase because of inflation.

### FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2016, together with other statements and information publicly disseminated by us, contain certain "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the federal securities laws. Forward-looking statements relate to expectations, beliefs, projections, future plans, strategies, anticipated events, trends and other matters that are not historical facts. These forward-looking statements reflect our current views about future events, achievements or results and are subject to risks, uncertainties and changes in circumstances that might cause future events, achievements or results to differ materially from those expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. In particular, our business might be materially and adversely affected by uncertainties affecting real estate businesses generally as well as the following, among other factors:

- changes in the retail industry, including consolidation and store closings, particularly among anchor tenants;
- our ability to maintain and increase property occupancy, sales and rental rates, in light of the relatively high number of leases that have expired or are expiring in the next two years;
- increases in operating costs that cannot be passed on to tenants;
- current economic conditions and the state of employment growth and consumer confidence and spending, and the corresponding effects on tenant business performance, prospects, solvency and leasing decisions and on our cash flows, and the value and potential impairment of our properties;
- our ability to sell properties that we seek to dispose of or our ability to obtain estimated sale prices;
- potential losses on impairment of certain long-lived assets, such as real estate, or of intangible assets, such as goodwill, including such losses that we might be required to record in connection with any dispositions of assets;
- risks relating to development and redevelopment activities;
- our ability to identify and execute on suitable acquisition opportunities and to integrate acquired properties into our portfolio;
- our partnerships and joint ventures with third parties to acquire or develop properties;
- concentration of our properties in the Mid-Atlantic region;
- changes in local market conditions, such as the supply of or demand for retail space, or other competitive factors;

- changes to our corporate management team and any resulting modifications to our business strategies;
- the effects of online shopping and other uses of technology on our retail tenants;
- acts of violence at malls, including our properties, or at other similar spaces, and the potential effect on traffic and sales;
- our substantial debt and stated value of preferred shares and our high leverage ratio;

40

---

Table of Contents

constraining leverage, unencumbered debt yield, interest and tangible net worth covenants under our Credit Agreements;

- our ability to refinance our existing indebtedness when it matures, on favorable terms or at all;
- our ability to raise capital, including through the issuance of equity or equity-related securities if market conditions are favorable, through joint ventures or other partnerships, through sales of properties or interests in properties, or through other actions;
- our short and long-term liquidity position;
- potential dilution from any capital raising transactions or other equity issuances; and
- general economic, financial and political conditions, including credit and capital market conditions, changes in interest rates or unemployment.

Additional factors that might cause future events, achievements or results to differ materially from those expressed or implied by our forward-looking statements include those discussed herein and in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015 in the section entitled “Item 1A. Risk Factors.” We do not intend to update or revise any forward-looking statements to reflect new information, future events or otherwise.

Table of Contents

## ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.

The analysis below presents the sensitivity of the market value of our financial instruments to selected changes in market interest rates. As of June 30, 2016, our consolidated debt portfolio consisted primarily of \$1,231.7 million of fixed and variable rate mortgage loans, \$85.0 million borrowed under our 2013 Revolving Facility which bore interest at a rate of 1.76%, \$150.0 million borrowed under our 2014 5-Year Term Loan which bore interest at a rate of 2.06%, \$150.0 million borrowed under our 2015 5-Year Term Loan which bore interest at a rate of 2.06% and \$100.0 million borrowed under our 2014 7-Year Term Loan which bore interest at a rate of 2.06%.

Our mortgage loans, which are secured by 12 of our consolidated properties, are due in installments over various terms extending to October 2025. Nine of these mortgage loans bear interest at fixed interest rates that range from 3.88% to 5.95% and had a weighted average interest rate of 4.46% at June 30, 2016. Three of our mortgage loans bear interest at variable rates and had a weighted average interest rate of 2.70% at June 30, 2016. The weighted average interest rate of all consolidated mortgage loans was 4.1% at June 30, 2016. Mortgage loans for properties owned by unconsolidated partnerships are accounted for in “Investments in partnerships, at equity” and “Distributions in excess of partnership investments” on the consolidated balance sheets and are not included in the table below.

Our interest rate risk is monitored using a variety of techniques. The table below presents the principal amounts of the expected annual maturities due in the respective years and the weighted average interest rates for the principal payments in the specified periods:

(in thousands of dollars) For the Year Ending December 31,	Fixed Rate Debt			Variable Rate Debt		
	Principal Payments	Weighted Average Interest Rate <sup>(1)</sup>	%	Principal Payments	Weighted Average Interest Rate <sup>(1)</sup>	%
2016	\$8,134	4.25	%	\$840	2.46	%
2017	166,244	5.29	%	1,680	2.46	%
2018	16,952	4.25	%	155,149	2.14	%
2019	17,692	4.25	%	151,680	2.10	%
2020 and thereafter	817,920	4.22	%	385,590	2.34	%

(1) Based on the weighted average interest rates in effect as of June 30, 2016.

(2) Includes 2013 Revolving Facility borrowings of \$85.0 million with an interest rate of 1.76% as of June 30, 2016.

(3) Includes Term Loan debt balance of \$150.0 million with a weighted average interest rate of 2.06% as of June 30, 2016.

(4) Includes Term Loan debt balance of \$250.0 million with a weighted average interest rate of 2.06% as of June 30, 2016.

As of June 30, 2016, we had \$694.9 million of variable rate debt. Also, as of June 30, 2016, we had entered into 26 interest rate swap agreements with an aggregate weighted average interest rate of 1.27% on a notional amount of \$627.7 million maturing on various dates through February 2021 and one forward starting interest rate swap agreement with an interest rate of 1.42% on a notional amount of \$48.0 million, which will be effective starting January 2018 and maturing in February 2021.

Changes in market interest rates have different effects on the fixed and variable rate portions of our debt portfolio. A change in market interest rates applicable to the fixed portion of the debt portfolio affects the fair value, but it has no effect on interest incurred or cash flows. A change in market interest rates applicable to the variable portion of the debt portfolio affects the interest incurred and cash flows, but does not affect the fair value. The following sensitivity analysis related to our debt portfolio, which includes the effects of our interest rate swap agreements, assumes an

immediate 100 basis point change in interest rates from their actual June 30, 2016 levels, with all other variables held constant.

A 100 basis point increase in market interest rates would have resulted in a decrease in our net financial instrument position of \$71.7 million at June 30, 2016. A 100 basis point decrease in market interest rates would have resulted in an increase in our net financial instrument position of \$70.9 million at June 30, 2016. Based on the variable rate debt included in our debt portfolio at June 30, 2016, a 100 basis point increase in interest rates would have resulted in an additional \$1.1 million in interest expense annually. A 100 basis point decrease would have reduced interest incurred by \$1.1 million annually.

To manage interest rate risk and limit overall interest cost, we may employ interest rate swaps, options, forwards, caps and floors, or a combination thereof, depending on the underlying exposure. Interest rate differentials that arise under swap contracts are recognized in interest expense over the life of the contracts. If interest rates rise, the resulting cost of funds is

Table of Contents

expected to be lower than that which would have been available if debt with matching characteristics was issued directly. Conversely, if interest rates fall, the resulting costs would be expected to be, and in some cases have been, higher. We may also employ forwards or purchased options to hedge qualifying anticipated transactions. Gains and losses are deferred and recognized in net income in the same period that the underlying transaction occurs, expires or is otherwise terminated. See note 7 of the notes to our unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Because the information presented above includes only those exposures that existed as of June 30, 2016, it does not consider changes, exposures or positions which have arisen or could arise after that date. The information presented herein has limited predictive value. As a result, the ultimate realized gain or loss or expense with respect to interest rate fluctuations will depend on the exposures that arise during the period, our hedging strategies at the time and interest rates.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

We are committed to providing accurate and timely disclosure in satisfaction of our SEC reporting obligations. In 2002, we established a Disclosure Committee to formalize our disclosure controls and procedures. Our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of June 30, 2016, and have concluded as follows:

Our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to ensure that the information that we are required to disclose in our reports under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act") is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms.

Our disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information that we are required to disclose in our Exchange Act reports is accumulated and communicated to management, including our principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

There was no change in our internal controls over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

## PART II—OTHER INFORMATION

## ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

In the normal course of business, we have become and might in the future become involved in legal actions relating to the ownership and operation of our properties and the properties that we manage for third parties. In management's opinion, the resolution of any such pending legal actions is not expected to have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position or results of operations.

## ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS.

In addition to the other information set forth in this report, you should carefully consider the risks that could materially affect our business, financial condition or results of operations, which are discussed under the caption "Risk Factors" in Part I, Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015.

## ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS.

## Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

The following table shows the total number of shares that we acquired in the three months ended June 30, 2016 and the average price paid per share. The May 2016 and June 2016 activity represents shares that were purchased from employees in connection with the payment of taxes due upon restricted share vesting.

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number (or Approximate Dollar Value) of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
April 1 - April 30, 2016	—	\$ —	—	\$ —
May 1 - May 31, 2016	1,455	23.19	—	—
June 1 - June 30, 2016	2,407	21.28	—	—
Total	3,862	\$ 22.00	—	\$ —



Table of Contents

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS.

10.1 Separation of Employment Agreement dated April 15, 2016 by and between Pennsylvania Real Estate Investment Trust, PREIT Associates L.P., PREIT Services, LLC and Ronald Rubin (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed by the registrant on April 29, 2016).

10.2 Fourth Amendment to Seven-Year Term Loan Agreement dated as of June 26, 2015 by and among PREIT Associates L.P., PREIT-RUBIN, Inc., PREIT and the Financial institutions party thereto .

31.1 Certification pursuant to Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

31.2 Certification pursuant to Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

32.1 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

32.2 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

101 Pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T, the following financial information from the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2016 is formatted in XBRL interactive data files: (i) Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015; (ii) Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015; (iii) Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015; (iv) Consolidated Statements of Equity for the six months ended June 30, 2016; (v) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015; and (vi) Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURE OF REGISTRANT

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

PENNSYLVANIA REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT  
TRUST

Date: July 28, 2016

By: /s/ Joseph F. Coradino  
Joseph F. Coradino  
Chief Executive Officer

By: /s/ Robert F. McCadden  
Robert F. McCadden  
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

By: /s/ Jonathen Bell  
Jonathen Bell  
Senior Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer  
(Principal Accounting Officer)

Table of Contents

Exhibit Index

- Separation of Employment Agreement dated April 15, 2016 by and between Pennsylvania Real Estate
- 10.1 Investment Trust, PREIT Associates L.P., PREIT Services, LLC and Ronald Ruben (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed by the registrant on April 29, 2016).
- 10.2\* Fourth Amendment to Seven-Year Term Loan Agreement dated as of June 26, 2015 by and among PREIT Associates L.P., PREIT-RUBIN, Inc., PREIT and the Financial institutions party thereto.
- 31.1\* Certification pursuant to Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2\* Certification pursuant to Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1\*\* Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2\*\* Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T, the following financial information from the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2016 is formatted in XBRL interactive data files: (i) Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015; (ii) Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015; (iii) Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015; (iv) Consolidated Statements of Equity for the six months ended June 30, 2016; (v) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015; and (vi) Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements.

---

\* filed herewith

\*\* furnished herewith