

VALHI INC /DE/  
Form 10-Q  
August 05, 2010

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF  
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarter ended June 30, 2010

Commission file number 1-5467

VALHI, INC.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

87-0110150  
(IRS Employer  
Identification No.)

5430 LBJ Freeway, Suite 1700, Dallas, Texas 75240-2697  
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area  
code: (972) 233-1700

Indicate by check mark:

Whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). \* Yes No

\* The registrant has not yet been phased into the interactive data requirements.

Whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer or a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer X  
Smaller reporting company .

Edgar Filing: VALHI INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q

Whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes      No  .

Number of shares of the Registrant's common stock outstanding on July 30, 2010: 113,607,955.

---

## VALHI, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## INDEX

	Page number
<b>Part I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</b>	
<b>Item 1. Financial Statements.</b>	
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets – December 31, 2009 and June 30, 2010 (unaudited)	3
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations (unaudited) – Three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2010	5
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited) – Six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2010	6
Condensed Consolidated Statement of Equity and Comprehensive Income – Six months ended June 30, 2010 (unaudited)	8
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)	9
<b>Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</b>	<b>50</b>
<b>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</b>	<b>51</b>
<b>Part II. OTHER INFORMATION</b>	
<b>Item 1. Legal Proceedings.</b>	<b>52</b>
<b>Item 1A. Risk Factors.</b>	<b>53</b>
<b>Item 6. Exhibits.</b>	<b>53</b>

Items 2, 3, 4 and 5 of Part II are omitted because there is no information to report.



VALHI, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In millions)

ASSETS	December 31, 2009	June 30, 2010 (unaudited)
<b>Current assets:</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$68.7	\$46.2
Restricted cash equivalents	8.9	9.6
Marketable securities	6.1	1.6
Accounts and other receivables, net	222.9	269.6
Inventories, net	312.0	258.3
Prepaid expenses and other	17.7	14.9
Deferred income taxes	11.9	11.9
<b>Total current assets</b>	<b>648.2</b>	<b>612.1</b>
<b>Other assets:</b>		
Marketable securities	279.5	295.3
Investment in affiliates	116.1	114.6
Goodwill	396.9	396.9
Deferred income taxes	185.5	183.6
Other assets	103.5	102.2
<b>Total other assets</b>	<b>1,081.5</b>	<b>1,092.6</b>
<b>Property and equipment:</b>		
Land	56.3	51.4
Buildings	293.8	269.1
Equipment	1,176.1	1,085.4
Mining properties	68.4	59.4
Construction in progress	20.7	21.4
	1,615.3	1,486.7
Less accumulated depreciation	934.7	878.4
<b>Net property and equipment</b>	<b>680.6</b>	<b>608.3</b>
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$2,410.3</b>	<b>\$2,313.0</b>



## VALHI, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (CONTINUED)

(In millions)

LIABILITIES AND EQUITY	December 31, 2009	June 30, 2010 (unaudited)
<b>Current liabilities:</b>		
Current maturities of long-term debt	\$2.5	\$23.6
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	288.1	240.5
Income taxes	3.9	3.9
Deferred income taxes	4.7	3.8
<b>Total current liabilities</b>	<b>299.2</b>	<b>271.8</b>
<b>Noncurrent liabilities:</b>		
Long-term debt	988.4	953.8
Deferred income taxes	360.7	363.8
Accrued pension costs	130.5	111.8
Accrued environmental costs	37.9	37.2
Accrued postretirement benefits costs	25.5	25.7
Other liabilities	69.7	62.6
<b>Total noncurrent liabilities</b>	<b>1,612.7</b>	<b>1,554.9</b>
<b>Equity:</b>		
<b>Valhi stockholders' equity:</b>		
Preferred stock	667.3	667.3
Common stock	1.2	1.2
Additional paid-in capital	-	-
Accumulated deficit	(197.7 )	(204.1 )
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(3.2 )	(8.3 )
Treasury stock	(38.9 )	(38.9 )
<b>Total Valhi stockholders' equity</b>	<b>428.7</b>	<b>417.2</b>
Noncontrolling interest in subsidiaries	69.7	69.1
<b>Total equity</b>	<b>498.4</b>	<b>486.3</b>
<b>Total liabilities and equity</b>	<b>\$2,410.3</b>	<b>\$2,313.0</b>

Commitments and contingencies (Notes 10 and 12)

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

- 4 -

---



## VALHI, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(In millions, except per share data)

	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2009	2010	2009	2010
	(unaudited)			
Revenues and other income:				
Net sales	\$312.1	\$415.7	\$589.4	\$772.5
Other income, net	20.8	3.9	51.0	31.9
<b>Total revenues and other income</b>	<b>332.9</b>	<b>419.6</b>	<b>640.4</b>	<b>804.4</b>
Costs and expenses:				
Cost of sales	295.9	326.3	568.6	617.0
Selling, general and administrative	53.9	58.1	105.3	116.4
Litigation settlement and contract termination	-	-	-	33.3
Assets held for sale write-down	.7	-	.7	-
Interest	16.7	17.0	32.7	34.4
<b>Total costs and expenses</b>	<b>367.2</b>	<b>401.4</b>	<b>707.3</b>	<b>801.1</b>
Income (loss) before income taxes	(34.3 )	18.2	(66.9 )	3.3
Provision for income taxes (benefit)	(13.7 )	11.8	(22.9 )	(18.2 )
Net income (loss)	(20.6 )	6.4	(44.0 )	21.5
Noncontrolling interest in net income (loss) of subsidiaries	(1.6 )	1.9	(5.0 )	3.4
Net income (loss) attributable to Valhi stockholders	\$(19.0 )	\$4.5	\$(39.0 )	\$18.1
Amounts attributable to Valhi stockholders:				
Basic and diluted net income (loss) per share	\$(.16 )	\$0.04	\$(.34 )	\$0.14
Cash dividends per share	\$.10	\$.10	\$.20	\$.20
Basic and diluted weighted average shares Outstanding	114.3	114.3	114.3	114.3

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

## VALHI, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(In millions)

	Six months ended June 30,	
	2009	2010
	(unaudited)	
<b>Cash flows from operating activities:</b>		
Net income (loss)	\$(44.0 )	\$21.5
Depreciation and amortization	29.2	31.6
Gain on sale of business	(6.3 )	-
Gain on litigation settlement	(11.1 )	-
Accrued litigation settlement and contract termination	-	33.3
Litigation settlement payments	-	(19.0 )
Assets held for sale write-down	.7	-
Benefit plan expense greater (less) than cash funding requirements:		
Defined benefit pension expense	(2.4 )	1.1
Other postretirement benefit expense	.1	.1
Deferred income taxes	(30.6 )	(24.2 )
Net distributions from TiO2 manufacturing joint venture	4.6	1.5
Other, net	2.8	2.7
Change in assets and liabilities:		
Accounts and other receivables, net	(23.6 )	(89.3 )
Inventories, net	139.0	26.2
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(20.3 )	(21.7 )
Accounts with affiliates	(2.5 )	19.5
Income taxes	1.8	1.1
Other, net	5.3	4.5
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	42.7	(11.1 )
<b>Cash flows from investing activities:</b>		
Capital expenditures	(38.4 )	(18.6 )
Capitalized permit costs	(4.2 )	(1.2 )
Purchases of marketable securities	(4.1 )	(7.5 )
Proceeds from:		
Disposal of marketable securities	3.5	2.6
Disposal of restricted marketable securities	-	5.2
Sale of business	6.7	.5
Real estate-related litigation settlement	11.8	-
Change in restricted cash equivalents, net	.4	(.7 )
Other, net	.3	(.1 )

Net cash used in investing activities	(24.0 )	(19.8 )
---------------------------------------	---------	---------

- 6 -

---

## VALHI, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (CONTINUED)

(In millions)

	Six months ended June 30,	
	2009	2010
	(unaudited)	
<b>Cash flows from financing activities:</b>		
Indebtedness:		
Borrowings	\$210.0	\$251.1
Principal payments	(142.7 )	(207.6 )
Deferred financing costs paid	(.1 )	-
Purchases of Kronos common stock	(.1 )	-
Valhi cash dividends paid	(22.7 )	(22.7 )
Distributions to noncontrolling interest in subsidiaries	(2.5 )	(2.5 )
Purchase of noncontrolling interest in subsidiary	-	(7.0 )
Issuance of common stock and other	.1	-
Net cash provided by financing activities	42.0	11.3
<b>Cash and cash equivalents – net change from:</b>		
Operating, investing and financing activities	60.7	(19.6 )
Currency translation	2.3	(2.9 )
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	37.0	68.7
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$100.0	\$46.2
<b>Supplemental disclosures:</b>		
Cash paid for:		
Interest, net of amounts capitalized	\$32.4	\$32.4
Income taxes paid (refunded), net	4.0	(12.2 )
<b>Noncash investing activities:</b>		
Note receivable from sale of business	.7	-
Accrual for capital expenditures	10.5	4.7
Accrual for capitalized permit costs	1.6	2.2
<b>Noncash financing activities:</b>		
Promissory notes payable incurred in connection with litigation settlements and contract termination	-	30.0

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

- 7 -

---

## VALHI, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF EQUITY AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

Six months ended June 30, 2010

(In millions)

	Valhi Stockholders' Equity				Accumulated other comprehensive loss (unaudited)	Treasury Stock	Non- controlling Interest	Total equity	Comprehensive income (loss)
	Preferred stock	Common stock	paid-in capital	accumulated deficit					
Balance at December 31, 2009	\$667.3	\$1.2	\$-	\$ (197.7 )	\$ (3.2 )	\$ (38.9 )	\$ 69.7	\$ 498.4	
Net income	-	-	-	18.1	-	-	3.4	21.5	\$ 21.5
Other comprehensive loss, net	-	-	-	-	(5.1 )	-	(1.1 )	(6.2 )	(6.2 )
Equity transaction with noncontrolling interest	-	-	.2	-	-	-	.1	.3	-
Cash dividends	-	-	(.3 )	(22.4 )	-	-	(2.5 )	(25.2 )	-
Other	-	-	.1	(2.1 )	-	-	(.5 )	(2.5 )	-
Balance at June 30, 2010	\$667.3	\$1.2	\$-	\$ (204.1 )	\$ (8.3 )	\$ (38.9 )	\$ 69.1	\$ 486.3	
Comprehensive income									\$ 15.3

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

VALHI, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

June 30, 2010

(unaudited)

Note 1 - Organization and basis of presentation:

Organization - We are majority owned by Contran Corporation, which directly and through its subsidiaries owns approximately 93% of our outstanding common stock at June 30, 2010. Substantially all of Contran's outstanding voting stock is held by trusts established for the benefit of certain children and grandchildren of Harold C. Simmons (for which Mr. Simmons is the sole trustee) or is held directly by Mr. Simmons or other persons or entities related to Mr. Simmons. Consequently, Mr. Simmons may be deemed to control Contran and us.

Basis of Presentation - Consolidated in this Quarterly Report are the results of our majority-owned and wholly-owned subsidiaries, including NL Industries, Inc., Kronos Worldwide, Inc., CompX International Inc., Tremont LLC and Waste Control Specialists LLC ("WCS"). Kronos (NYSE: KRO), NL (NYSE: NL) and CompX (NYSE: CIX) each file periodic reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC").

The unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements contained in this Quarterly Report have been prepared on the same basis as the audited Consolidated Financial Statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 that we filed with the SEC on March 10, 2009 (the "2009 Annual Report"). In our opinion, we have made all necessary adjustments (which include only normal recurring adjustments) in order to state fairly, in all material respects, our consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows as of the dates and for the periods presented. We have condensed the Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2009 contained in this Quarterly Report as compared to our audited Consolidated Financial Statements at that date, and we have omitted certain information and footnote disclosures (including those related to the Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2009) normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"). Certain reclassifications have been made to conform the prior year's Consolidated Financial Statements to the current year's classifications. Our results of operations for the interim periods ended June 30, 2010 may not be indicative of our operating results for the full year. The Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements contained in this Quarterly Report should be read in conjunction with our 2009 Consolidated Financial Statements contained in our 2009 Annual Report.

Unless otherwise indicated, references in this report to "we," "us" or "our" refer to Valhi, Inc and its subsidiaries (NYSE: VHI), taken as a whole.



## Note 2 - Business segment information:

Business segment	Entity	% controlled at June 30, 2010
Chemicals	Kronos	95%
Component products	CompX	87%
Waste management	WCS	100%

Our control of Kronos includes 59% we hold directly and 36% held directly by NL. We own 83% of NL. Our control of CompX is through NL.

	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2009	2010	2009	2010
	(In millions)			
<b>Net sales:</b>				
Chemicals	\$282.1	\$380.1	\$530.1	\$699.8
Component products	29.2	34.4	57.7	67.2
Waste management	.8	1.2	1.6	5.5
<b>Total net sales</b>	<b>\$312.1</b>	<b>\$415.7</b>	<b>\$589.4</b>	<b>\$772.5</b>
<b>Cost of sales:</b>				
Chemicals	\$268.4	\$295.3	\$512.8	\$555.3
Component products	23.0	25.5	46.7	49.2
Waste management	4.5	5.5	9.1	12.5
<b>Total cost of sales</b>	<b>\$295.9</b>	<b>\$326.3</b>	<b>\$568.6</b>	<b>\$617.0</b>
<b>Gross margin:</b>				
Chemicals	\$13.7	\$84.8	\$17.3	\$144.5
Component products	6.2	8.9	11.0	18.0
Waste management	(3.7 )	(4.3 )	(7.5 )	(7.0 )
<b>Total gross margin</b>	<b>\$16.2</b>	<b>\$89.4</b>	<b>\$20.8</b>	<b>\$155.5</b>
<b>Operating income (loss):</b>				
Chemicals	\$(20.0 )	\$40.4	\$(45.5 )	\$63.0
Component products	(.9 )	3.0	(1.9 )	4.7
Waste management	(6.7 )	(8.0 )	(13.2 )	(14.7 )
<b>Total operating income (loss)</b>	<b>(27.6 )</b>	<b>35.4</b>	<b>(60.6 )</b>	<b>53.0</b>

Edgar Filing: VALHI INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q

Equity in loss of investee	-	(.2 )	(.7 )	(.1 )
General corporate items:				
Securities earnings	6.6	6.6	13.0	13.1
Insurance recoveries	2.0	.1	2.7	18.3
Litigation settlement gains	11.1	-	23.0	-
Litigation settlement expense	-	-	-	(32.2 )
Gain on sale of business	(.1 )	-	6.3	-
General expenses, net	(9.6 )	(6.7 )	(17.9 )	(14.4 )
Interest expense	(16.7 )	(17.0 )	(32.7 )	(34.4 )
Income (loss) before income taxes	\$ (34.3 )	\$ 18.2	\$ (66.9 )	\$ 3.3

Segment results we report may differ from amounts separately reported by our various subsidiaries and affiliates due to purchase accounting adjustments and related amortization or differences in the way we define operating income. Intersegment sales are not material. Of the \$33.3 million aggregate litigation settlement and contract termination expense we recognized in the first six months of 2010, \$1.1 million relates to WCS and is included in the determination of its operating loss, and the remaining \$32.2 million relates to NL. See Notes 7 and 12. Component Products operating income in the second quarter and first six months of 2009 includes the effect of a \$.7 million write-down of assets held for sale, see Note 7 in our 2009 Annual Report.

Note 3 – Accounts and other receivables, net:

	December 31, 2009	June 30, 2010
	(In millions)	
Accounts receivable	\$204.0	\$267.0
Notes receivable	3.4	3.1
Refundable income taxes	2.6	2.0
Receivable from Contran – income taxes, net	16.2	-
Allowance for doubtful accounts	(3.3 )	(2.5 )
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$222.9</b>	<b>\$269.6</b>

Note 4 - Inventories, net:

	December 31, 2009	June 30, 2010
	(In millions)	
<b>Raw materials:</b>		
Chemicals	\$56.4	\$44.5
Component products	4.8	6.4
<b>Total raw materials</b>	<b>61.2</b>	<b>50.9</b>
<b>Work in process:</b>		
Chemicals	18.2	13.6
Component products	6.2	6.8
<b>Total in-process products</b>	<b>24.4</b>	<b>20.4</b>
<b>Finished products:</b>		
Chemicals	161.8	130.2
Component products	5.3	4.7
<b>Total finished products</b>	<b>167.1</b>	<b>134.9</b>
<b>Supplies (primarily chemicals)</b>	<b>59.3</b>	<b>52.1</b>

Total	\$312.0	\$258.3
-------	---------	---------

- 11 -

---

## Note 5 - Other noncurrent assets:

	December 31, 2009	June 30, 2010
	(In millions)	
<b>Marketable securities:</b>		
The Amalgamated Sugar Company LLC	\$250.0	\$250.0
Titanium Metals Corporation ("TIMET")	28.5	40.0
Other	1.0	5.3
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$279.5</b>	<b>\$295.3</b>
<b>Investment in affiliates:</b>		
TiO2 manufacturing joint venture, Louisiana Pigment Company, L.P. ("LPC")	\$98.7	\$97.2
Other	17.4	17.4
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$116.1</b>	<b>\$114.6</b>
<b>Other assets:</b>		
Waste disposal site operating permits, net	\$53.5	\$56.1
Real-estate related note receivable	15.0	15.0
IBNR receivables	7.5	7.7
Deferred financing costs	6.0	4.3
Other intangible assets	1.4	1.1
Pension asset	.3	.3
Other	19.8	17.7
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$103.5</b>	<b>\$102.2</b>

Our noncurrent marketable securities are carried at fair value using quoted market prices, primarily Level 1 inputs as defined by Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") Topic 820-10-35, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, except for our investment in The Amalgamated Sugar Company ("Amalgamated"). Our investment in Amalgamated is measured using significant unobservable inputs, which are Level 3 inputs. Please refer to Note 4 in our 2009 Annual Report for a complete description of the valuation methodology for our investment in Amalgamated. There have been no changes to the carrying value of this investment during the periods presented. See Note 13.

## Note 6 - Accounts payable and accrued liabilities:

	December 31, 2009	June 30, 2010
	(In millions)	
<b>Current:</b>		
Accounts payable	\$124.5	\$105.8
Employee benefits	34.8	35.2
Payable to affiliates:		
LPC	12.0	10.9
Contran – trade items	14.1	15.6
TIMET	-	.7
Accrued sales discounts and rebates	21.4	11.0
Environmental costs	11.0	7.5
Interest	8.0	7.0
Deferred income	7.5	.9
Other	54.8	45.9
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$288.1</b>	<b>\$240.5</b>
<b>Noncurrent:</b>		
Reserve for uncertain tax positions	\$35.2	\$36.1
Insurance claims and expenses	11.7	11.9
Employee benefits	9.2	7.9
Deferred income	8.5	1.1
Payable to affiliate – TIMET	.3	-
Other	4.8	5.6
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$69.7</b>	<b>\$62.6</b>

## Note 7 - Long-term debt:

	December 31, 2009	June 30, 2010
	(In millions)	
<b>Valhi:</b>		
Snake River Sugar Company	\$250.0	\$250.0
Contran credit facility	54.9	69.0
Promissory note payable to Contran	30.0	30.0
<b>Total Valhi debt</b>	<b>334.9</b>	<b>349.0</b>
<b>Subsidiary debt:</b>		
Kronos International:		
6.5% Senior Secured Notes	574.6	493.3
European bank credit facility	13.0	18.6
CompX promissory note payable to TIMET	42.2	42.2

Edgar Filing: VALHI INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q

Kronos U.S. bank credit facility	16.7	-
Kronos Contran credit facility	-	31.6
NL promissory note (see Note 12)	-	18.0
WCS 6% promissory note payable	-	12.0
CompX bank credit facility	-	5.0
Other	9.5	7.7
Total subsidiary debt	656.0	628.4
Total debt	990.9	977.4
Less current maturities	2.5	23.6
Total long-term debt	\$988.4	\$953.8

- 13 -

---

Valhi - During the first six months of 2010, we had net borrowings of \$14.1 million under our Contran credit facility. The average interest rate on these outstanding borrowings at June 30, 2010 was 5.75%. The average interest rate on the Contran promissory note was 1.75% at June 30, 2010. On March 31, 2010 we amended both the Contran credit facility and the Contran promissory note to extend their maturity date, and such facilities are now due on demand, but in any event no earlier than March 31, 2012, and on July 1, 2010 we amended the Contran promissory note to increase the size of the facility from \$70 million to \$100 million.

Kronos - During the first six months of 2010, we borrowed a net euro 6.0 million (\$10.3 million when borrowed/repaid) under Kronos' European credit facility and repaid a net \$16.7 million under Kronos' U.S. credit facility. The average interest rates on the outstanding euro borrowings at June 30, 2010 were 4.15%. Kronos European revolving credit facility currently matures in May 2011. We have commenced discussions with the lenders of the facility regarding an extension of the facility, and we expect to have a new agreement of extension in place prior to the maturity.

In May 2010, Kronos satisfied certain specified financial covenants in its European revolving credit facility, and as a result the maximum borrowing availability under such facility returned to the full euro 80 million facility size at that time. In addition, in August 2010 Kronos satisfied the financial ratio of net secured debt to earnings before income taxes, interest and depreciation as defined in the credit facility, and as a result two financial covenants added to such credit facility in September 2009 became no longer effective, and the interest rate on outstanding borrowings under such credit facility will prospectively be reduced from LIBOR plus a margin ranging from 3% to 4% to LIBOR plus 1.75%.

In April 2010, Kronos entered into an unsecured revolving credit facility with Contran pursuant to which Kronos may borrow up to \$40.0 million from Contran. The loans from Contran bear interest, payable quarterly, at the prime rate minus 0.5%, with all outstanding principal due on demand and in any event no later than December 31, 2011. The amount of Kronos' outstanding borrowing at any time is solely at the discretion of Contran. As of June 30, 2010, Kronos had aggregate borrowings outstanding from Contran of \$31.6 million. The average interest rate on these outstanding borrowings at June 30, 2010 was 2.75%. While Kronos' revolving credit facility with Contran is due on demand, we have classified the outstanding balance under such facility as a noncurrent liability at June 30, 2010 because Kronos could completely repay such outstanding borrowings by borrowing an equal amount under its \$70 million U.S. revolving bank credit facility that matures in September 2011.

NL - NL's \$18.0 million long-term promissory note is discussed in Note 12.

WCS - As part of the termination of a contract with a former customer regarding various contractual and legal claims, in April 2010 WCS issued the former customer a \$12.0 million long-term promissory note. The note is unsecured, bears interest at a fixed rate of 6% and is payable in five equal annual installments of principal plus accrued interest beginning on December 31, 2010. We have the right to prepay the note at any time without penalty. A substantial portion of the principal amount of the promissory note issued was offset against deferred revenue that was unearned by us. The remaining \$1.1 million we recognized in contract termination expense related to this agreement in the first quarter of 2010.

CompX - During the first six months of 2010, CompX borrowed \$5.0 million under its revolving bank credit facility that matures in January 2012. The average interest rate on this outstanding borrowing at June 30, 2010 was 3.6%.



Restrictions and Other - Certain of the credit facilities with unrelated, third-party lenders described above require the respective borrowers to maintain minimum levels of equity, require the maintenance of certain financial ratios, limit dividends and additional indebtedness and contain other provisions and restrictive covenants customary in lending transactions of this type. We are in compliance with all of our debt covenants at June 30, 2010. We believe we will be able to comply with the financial covenants contained in all of our credit facilities through the maturity of the respective facility; however if future operating results differ materially from our expectations, we may be unable to maintain compliance.

Note 8 - Employee benefit plans:

Defined benefit plans - The components of our net periodic defined benefit pension cost are presented in the table below.

	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2009	2010	2009	2010
	(In millions)			
Service cost	\$1.8	\$2.5	\$3.6	\$5.2
Interest cost	6.8	6.4	13.4	12.9
Expected return on plan assets	(5.5 )	(5.2 )	(10.7 )	(10.5 )
Amortization of unrecognized:				
Prior service cost	.3	.3	.6	.6
Net transition obligations	.1	.2	.2	.3
Recognized actuarial losses	1.9	1.6	3.7	3.3
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$5.4</b>	<b>\$5.8</b>	<b>\$10.8</b>	<b>\$11.8</b>

Other postretirement benefits - The components of our net periodic other postretirement benefit cost are presented in the table below.

	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2009	2010	2009	2010
	(In millions)			
Service cost	\$-	\$ .1	\$ .1	\$ .2
Interest cost	.6	.3	1.0	.7
Amortization of prior service credit	(.1 )	-	(.2 )	(.2 )
Recognized actuarial gains	(.1 )	(.1 )	(.1 )	(.1 )
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$.4</b>	<b>\$ .3</b>	<b>\$ .8</b>	<b>\$ .6</b>

Contributions - We expect to contribute the equivalent of \$24.4 million and \$2.5 million, respectively, to all of our defined benefit pension plans and other postretirement benefit plans during 2010.



## Note 9 - Other income, net:

	Six months ended June 30,	
	2009	2010
	(In millions)	
<b>Securities earnings:</b>		
Dividends and interest	\$13.1	\$13.0
Securities transactions, net	(.1 )	.1
<b>Total securities earnings</b>	<b>13.0</b>	<b>13.1</b>
Equity in loss of investee	(.7 )	(.1 )
Currency transactions, net	6.4	(.4 )
Insurance recoveries	2.7	18.3
Gain on litigation settlements	23.0	-
Gain on sale of business	6.3	-
Other, net	.3	1.0
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$51.0</b>	<b>\$31.9</b>

Insurance recoveries reflect, in part, amounts we received from certain of our former insurance carriers, and relate to the recovery of prior lead pigment and asbestos litigation defense costs incurred by us. In addition, a substantial portion of the insurance recoveries we recognized in the first quarter of 2010 relates to the NL litigation settlement discussed in Note 12.

We provided certain research, laboratory and quality control services within and outside the sweetener industry for Amalgamated and others. In January 2009, we sold our research, laboratory and quality control business to Amalgamated for an aggregate sales price of \$7.5 million, consisting of \$6.7 million in cash paid at closing and \$500,000 which was paid in February 2010 and \$250,000 payable in February 2011. The amounts owed to us in 2010 and 2011 do not bear interest, and we recognized these amounts at their aggregate net present value of approximately \$.7 million. We recognized a pre-tax gain of \$6.3 million from the sale of this business. The revenues, pre-tax income and total assets of the operations sold are not material in any period presented.

The litigation settlement gains in 2009 include a first quarter gain of \$11.9 million related to amounts we received from Halliburton Company in recovery of past environmental remediation and related legal costs we had previously incurred, and a second quarter gain of \$11.1 million related to the second closing associated with the settlement of condemnation proceedings on certain real property formerly owned by NL that is subject to environmental remediation.

## Note 10 - Income tax benefit:

	Six months ended June 30,	
	2009	2010
	(In millions)	
Expected tax benefit, at U.S. federal Statutory income tax rate of 35%	\$(23.4	) \$1.2
Incremental U.S. tax and rate differences on equity in earnings	(4.9	) 15.5
Non-U.S. tax rates	1.8	(1.3
German tax attribute adjustments	-	(35.2
Nondeductible expenses	2.2	1.0
Nontaxable income	(.9	) (.2
Prior year adjustments	-	(.7
Change in reserve for uncertain tax positions	1.2	.8
U.S. state income taxes, net	.8	.4
Other, net	.3	.3
Income tax benefit	\$(22.9	) \$(18.2

Tax authorities are continuing to examine certain of our foreign tax returns and have or may propose tax deficiencies, including penalties and interest. We cannot guarantee that these tax matters will be resolved in our favor due to the inherent uncertainties involved in settlement initiatives and court and tax proceedings. We believe we have adequate accruals for additional taxes and related interest expense that could ultimately result from tax examinations. We believe the ultimate disposition of tax examinations should not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or liquidity. We currently estimate that our unrecognized tax benefits will decrease by \$.5 million within the next twelve months due to certain statutes of limitation.

As a consequence of a European Court ruling that resulted in a favorable resolution of certain income tax issues in Germany, during the first quarter of 2010 the German tax authorities agreed to an increase in Kronos' German net operating loss carryforwards. Accordingly, we recognized a non-cash income tax benefit of \$35.2 million in the first quarter of 2010.

Under GAAP, we are required to recognize a deferred income tax liability with respect to the incremental U.S. (federal and state) and foreign withholding taxes that would be incurred when undistributed earnings of a foreign subsidiary are subsequently repatriated, unless management has determined that those undistributed earnings are permanently reinvested for the foreseeable future. Prior to March 31, 2010, we had not recognized a deferred income tax liability related to incremental income taxes on the pre-2005 undistributed earnings of CompX's Taiwanese subsidiary, as those earnings were deemed to be permanently reinvested. We are required to reassess the permanent reinvestment conclusion on an ongoing basis to determine if our intentions have changed. At the end of March 2010, and based primarily upon changes in our cash management plans, we determined that none of the undistributed earnings of CompX's Taiwanese subsidiary can now be considered to be permanently reinvested in Taiwan. Accordingly, in the first quarter of 2010 we recognized an aggregate \$1.9 million provision for deferred income taxes on the pre-2005 undistributed earnings of our Taiwanese subsidiary. Consequently, none of the undistributed earnings of our Component Products non-U.S. operations are now considered to be not permanently reinvested.



## Note 11 - Noncontrolling interest in subsidiaries:

	December 31, 2009	June 30, 2010
	(In millions)	
<b>Noncontrolling interest in subsidiaries:</b>		
NL	\$43.6	\$41.0
Kronos	15.0	17.4
CompX	11.1	10.7
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$69.7</b>	<b>\$69.1</b>
	Six months ended June 30,	
	2009	2010
	(In millions)	
<b>Noncontrolling interest in net income (loss) of subsidiaries:</b>		
NL	\$(2.4 )	\$.3
Kronos	(2.3 )	3.0
CompX	(.3 )	.1
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$(5.0 )</b>	<b>\$3.4</b>

The changes in our ownership interest in our subsidiaries and the effect on our equity is as follows:

	Six months ended June 30, 2009	2010
	(In millions)	
Net income (loss) attributable to Valhi stockholders	\$(39.0 )	\$18.1
<b>Transfers from noncontrolling interest:</b>		
Increase in additional paid-in capital for purchase of 14,000 shares of Kronos common stock	.2	-
Equity adjustment, Note 12	-	.4
Issuance of subsidiary common stock	.1	(.1 )
Transfers from noncontrolling interest	.3	.3
<b>Net income (loss) attributable to Valhi stockholders and change from noncontrolling interest in subsidiaries</b>	<b>\$(38.7 )</b>	<b>\$ 18.4</b>

Note 12 - Commitments and contingencies:

Lead pigment litigation - NL

NL's former operations included the manufacture of lead pigments for use in paint and lead-based paint. NL, other former manufacturers of lead pigments for use in paint and lead-based paint (together, the "former pigment manufacturers"), and the Lead Industries Association ("LIA"), which discontinued business operations in 2002, have been named as defendants in various legal proceedings seeking damages for personal injury, property damage and governmental expenditures allegedly caused by the use of lead-based paints. Certain of these actions have been filed by or on behalf of states, counties, cities or their public housing authorities and school districts, and certain others have been asserted as class actions. These lawsuits seek recovery under a variety of theories, including public and private nuisance, negligent product design, negligent failure to warn, strict liability, breach of warranty, conspiracy/concert of action, aiding and abetting, enterprise liability, market share or risk contribution liability, intentional tort, fraud and misrepresentation, violations of state consumer protection statutes, supplier negligence and similar claims.

The plaintiffs in these actions generally seek to impose on the defendants responsibility for lead paint abatement and health concerns associated with the use of lead-based paints, including damages for personal injury, contribution and/or indemnification for medical expenses, medical monitoring expenses and costs for educational programs. To the extent the plaintiffs seek compensatory or punitive damages in these actions, such damages are generally unspecified. In some cases, the damages are unspecified pursuant to the requirements of applicable state law. A number of cases are inactive or have been dismissed or withdrawn. Most of the remaining cases are in various pre-trial stages. Some are on appeal following dismissal or summary judgment rulings in favor of either the defendants or the plaintiffs. In addition, various other cases are pending (in which we are not a defendant) seeking recovery for injury allegedly caused by lead pigment and lead-based paint. Although we are not a defendant in these cases, the outcome of these cases may have an impact on cases that might be filed against us in the future.

We believe that these actions are without merit, and we intend to continue to deny all allegations of wrongdoing and liability and to defend against all actions vigorously. We do not believe it is probable that we have incurred any liability with respect to all of the lead pigment litigation cases to which we are a party, and liability to us that may result, if any, in this regard cannot be reasonably estimated, because:

- we have never settled any of the market share, risk contribution, intentional tort, fraud, nuisance, supplier negligence, strict liability, breach of warranty, conspiracy, misrepresentation, aiding and abetting, enterprise liability, or statutory cases,
  - no final, non-appealable adverse verdicts have ever been entered against us, and
  - we have never ultimately been found liable with respect to any such litigation matters.

Accordingly, we have not accrued any amounts for any of the pending lead pigment and lead-based paint litigation cases. New cases may continue to be filed against us. We cannot assure you that we will not incur liability in the future in respect of any of the pending or possible litigation in view of the inherent uncertainties involved in court and jury rulings. The resolution of any of these cases could result in recognition of a loss contingency accrual that could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations for the interim or annual period during which such liability is recognized, and a material adverse impact on our consolidated financial condition and liquidity.

#### Environmental matters and litigation

General - Our operations are governed by various environmental laws and regulations. Certain of our businesses are and have been engaged in the handling, manufacture or use of substances or compounds that may be considered toxic or hazardous within the meaning of applicable environmental laws and regulations. As with other companies engaged in similar businesses, certain of our past and current operations and products have the potential to cause environmental or other damage. We have implemented and continue to implement various policies and programs in an effort to minimize these risks. Our policy is to maintain compliance with applicable environmental laws and regulations at all of our plants and to strive to improve our environmental performance. From time to time, we may be subject to environmental regulatory enforcement under U.S. and foreign statutes, the resolution of which typically involves the establishment of compliance programs. It is possible that future developments, such as stricter requirements of environmental laws and enforcement policies, could adversely affect our production, handling, use, storage, transportation, sale or disposal of such substances. We believe that all of our facilities are in substantial compliance with applicable environmental laws.



Certain properties and facilities used in our former operations, including NL's divested primary and secondary lead smelters and former mining locations are the subject of civil litigation, administrative proceedings or investigations arising under federal and state environmental laws. Additionally, in connection with past disposal practices, we are currently involved as a defendant, potentially responsible party ("PRP") or both, pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, as amended by the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act ("CERCLA"), and similar state laws in various governmental and private actions associated with waste disposal sites, mining locations, and facilities we or our predecessors currently or previously owned, operated or were used by us or our subsidiaries, or their predecessors, certain of which are on the United States Environmental Protection Agency's ("EPA") Superfund National Priorities List or similar state lists. These proceedings seek cleanup costs, damages for personal injury or property damage and/or damages for injury to natural resources. Certain of these proceedings involve claims for substantial amounts. Although we may be jointly and severally liable for these costs, in most cases we are only one of a number of PRPs who may also be jointly and severally liable, and among whom costs may be shared or allocated. In addition, we are also a party to a number of personal injury lawsuits filed in various jurisdictions alleging claims related to environmental conditions alleged to have resulted from our operations.

Environmental obligations are difficult to assess and estimate for numerous reasons including the:

- complexity and differing interpretations of governmental regulations;
- number of PRPs and their ability or willingness to fund such allocation of costs;
- financial capabilities of the PRPs and the allocation of costs among them;
  - solvency of other PRPs;
  - multiplicity of possible solutions;
- number of years of investigatory, remedial and monitoring activity required; and
- number of years between former operations and notice of claims and lack of information and documents about the former operations.

In addition, the imposition of more stringent standards or requirements under environmental laws or regulations, new developments or changes regarding site cleanup costs or allocation of costs among PRPs, solvency of other PRPs, the results of future testing and analysis undertaken with respect to certain sites or a determination that we are potentially responsible for the release of hazardous substances at other sites, could cause our expenditures to exceed our current estimates. Because we may be jointly and severally liable for the total remediation cost at certain sites, the amount for which we are ultimately liable may exceed our accruals due to, among other things, the reallocation of costs among PRPs or the insolvency of one or more PRPs. We cannot assure you that actual costs will not exceed accrued amounts or the upper end of the range for sites for which estimates have been made, and we cannot assure you that costs will not be incurred for sites where no estimates presently can be made. Further, additional environmental matters may arise in the future. If we were to incur any future liability, this could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations and liquidity.

We record liabilities related to environmental remediation obligations when estimated future expenditures are probable and reasonably estimable. We adjust our environmental accruals as further information becomes available to us or as circumstances change. Such further information or changed circumstances could include, among other things, new assertions of liability, revised expectations regarding the nature, timing and extent of any remediation required or revised estimates of the allocation of remediation costs among PRPs, and such further information or changed circumstances could result in an increase or reduction in our accrued environmental costs. We generally do not discount estimated future expenditures to their present value due to the uncertainty of the timing of the pay out. We recognize recoveries of remediation costs from other parties, if any, as assets when their receipt is deemed probable. At June 30, 2010, we have not recognized any receivables for recoveries.

We do not know and cannot estimate the exact time frame over which we will make payments for our accrued environmental costs. The timing of payments depends upon a number of factors including the timing of the actual remediation process; which in turn depends on factors outside of our control. At each balance sheet date, we estimate the amount of our accrued environmental costs we expect to pay within the next twelve months, and we classify this estimate as a current liability. We classify the remaining accrued environmental costs as a noncurrent liability.

Changes in our accrued environmental costs during the first six months of 2010 are as follows:

	Amount (In millions)
Balance at the beginning of the period	\$48.9
Reductions charged against expense, net	(.9 )
Changes in currency exchange rates	(.1 )
Payments, net	(3.2 )
Balance at the end of the period	\$44.7
Amounts recognized in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet at the end of the period:	
Current liability	\$7.5
Noncurrent liability	37.2
Total	\$44.7

NL - On a quarterly basis, we evaluate the potential range of our liability at sites where NL, its present or former subsidiaries have been named as a PRP or defendant. At June 30, 2010, we accrued approximately \$43 million, related to approximately 50 sites, for those environmental matters related to NL which we believe are at the present time and/or in their current phase reasonably estimable. The upper end of the range of reasonably possible costs to us for sites for which we believe it is currently possible to estimate costs is approximately \$78 million, including the amount currently accrued. We have not discounted these estimates to present value.

We believe that it is not possible to estimate the range of costs for certain sites. At June 30, 2010, there were approximately 5 sites for which we are not currently able to estimate a range of costs. For these sites, generally the investigation is in the early stages, and we are unable to determine whether or not NL actually had any association with the site, the nature of our responsibility, if any, for the contamination at the site and the extent of contamination at and cost to remediate the site. The timing and availability of information on these sites is dependent on events outside of our control, such as when the party alleging liability provides information to us. At certain of these previously inactive sites, NL has received general and special notices of liability from the EPA and/or state agencies alleging that NL, sometimes with other PRPs, is liable for past and future costs of remediating environmental contamination allegedly caused by former operations. These notifications may assert that NL, along with any other alleged PRPs, is liable for past and/or future clean-up costs that could be material to us if we are ultimately found liable.

In July 2010, we entered into a settlement agreement with another PRP pursuant to which, among other things, the other PRP reimbursed us for certain remediation and legal costs we had previously incurred for certain sites related to one of our former business units, and the PRP also affirmed its full responsibility to indemnify us for all claims (environmental or otherwise) with respect to certain specified sites related to our former business unit as well as indemnify us for any future claims that may arise related to such former business unit. As a result of the July 2010 settlement agreement, in the third quarter of 2010 we expect to recognize a litigation settlement gain of \$6.1 million, consisting of \$4.0 million related to the PRP's cash reimbursement of prior remediation and legal costs and \$2.1 million related to a reduction in our accrued environmental remediation costs resulting from the PRP's agreement to indemnify us.

Other - We have also accrued approximately \$2.0 million at June 30, 2010 for other environmental cleanup matters. This accrual is near the upper end of the range of our estimate of reasonably possible costs for such matters.

#### Insurance coverage claims

We are involved in certain legal proceedings with a number of our former insurance carriers regarding the nature and extent of the carriers' obligations to us under insurance policies with respect to certain lead pigment and asbestos lawsuits. The issue of whether insurance coverage for defense costs or indemnity or both will be found to exist for our lead pigment and asbestos litigation depends upon a variety of factors, and we cannot assure you that such insurance coverage will be available.

We recognize insurance recoveries in income only when receipt of the recovery is probable and the amount is determinable. We have agreements with two former insurance carriers pursuant to which the carriers reimburse us for a portion of our future lead pigment litigation defense costs, and one such carrier reimburses us for a portion of our future asbestos litigation defense costs. We are not able to determine how much we will ultimately recover from these carriers for defense costs incurred by us because of certain issues that arise regarding which defense costs qualify for reimbursement. While we continue to seek additional insurance recoveries, we do not know if we will be successful in obtaining reimbursement for either defense costs or indemnity. We have not considered any additional potential insurance recoveries in determining accruals for lead pigment or asbestos litigation matters.

For a complete discussion of certain litigation involving NL and certain of its former insurance carriers, please refer to our 2009 Annual Report.

#### Other litigation

NL - In June 2010, the case captioned Contran Corporation, et al. v. Terry S. Casey, et al. (Case No. 07-04855, 192nd Judicial District Court, Dallas County, Texas) was dismissed with prejudice in accordance with the previously reported settlement agreement. In May 2010, pursuant to such agreement, we paid \$26.0 million in cash and NL issued an \$18.0 million long-term promissory note. The note bears interest, payable quarterly, at the prime rate. Fifty percent of the principal amount will be payable on each of December 1, 2011 and December 1, 2012. The note is collateralized by shares of Kronos and CompX common stock, owned by NL, and having an aggregate market value of at least 200% of the outstanding principal amount of the promissory note. Under certain conditions, NL has agreed to prepay up to \$4.0 million principal amount of such indebtedness.

For financial reporting purposes, we classified \$32.2 million of the aggregate payable under the settlement agreement as a litigation settlement expense in respect of certain claims made by plaintiffs in the litigation. NL had insurance coverage for a portion of such litigation settlement, and a substantial portion of the insurance recoveries we recognized in the first quarter of 2010 relates to such coverage. With respect to the other claim of the plaintiffs as it relates to the repurchase of their EMS noncontrolling interest, the resulting \$2.5 million increase over our previous estimate of such payment is accounted for as a reduction in stockholders' equity in accordance with GAAP, of which \$2.1 million is attributable to Valhi stockholders and \$.4 million is attributable to the shareholders representing the noncontrolling interest of NL.

NL has been named as a defendant in various lawsuits in several jurisdictions, alleging personal injuries as a result of occupational exposure primarily to products manufactured by its former operations containing asbestos, silica and/or mixed dust. In addition, some plaintiffs allege exposure to asbestos from working in various facilities previously owned and/or operated by NL. There are approximately 1,226 of these types of cases remain pending, involving a total of approximately 2,670 plaintiffs. In addition, the claims of approximately 7,500 plaintiffs have been administratively dismissed or placed on the inactive docket in Ohio, Indiana and Texas state courts. We do not expect these claims will be re-opened unless the plaintiffs meet the courts' medical criteria for asbestos-related claims. We have not accrued any amounts for this litigation because of the uncertainty of liability and inability to reasonably estimate the liability, if any. To date, we have not been adjudicated liable in any of these matters. Based on information available to us, including:

- facts concerning historical operations,
  - the rate of new claims,
- the number of claims from which we have been dismissed and
  - our prior experience in the defense of these matters,

we believe that the range of reasonably possible outcomes of these matters will be consistent with our historical costs (which are not material). Furthermore, we do not expect any reasonably possible outcome would involve amounts material to our consolidated financial position, results of operations or liquidity. We have sought and will continue to vigorously seek, dismissal and/or a finding of no liability from each claim. In addition, from time to time, we have received notices regarding asbestos or silica claims purporting to be brought against former subsidiaries, including notices provided to insurers with which we have entered into settlements extinguishing certain insurance policies. These insurers may seek indemnification from us.

CompX – CompX is involved, from time to time, in various contractual, product liability, patent (or intellectual property), employment and other claims and disputes incidental to its business. On February 10, 2009, Humanscale Corporation (“Humanscale”) filed a complaint with the U.S. International Trade Commission (“ITC”) requesting that the ITC commence an investigation pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 to evaluate allegations concerning the unlawful importation of certain adjustable keyboard support products into the U.S. by CompX’s Canadian subsidiary. The products were alleged to infringe certain claims under a U.S. patent held by Humanscale. The complaint sought as relief the barring of future imports of the products into the U.S. until the expiration of the related patent in March 2011. The ITC hearing was completed on December 4, 2009. On July 9, 2010, the ITC issued its final judgment that CompX had not infringed on the Humanscale patents and that the patents are invalid. The final judgment is subject to appeal.

On February 13, 2009, Humanscale filed a complaint for patent infringement in the United States District Court, Eastern District of Virginia, against CompX and its Canadian subsidiary involving the identical patent in question in the ITC case. On March 30, 2009, CompX filed for a stay in the U.S. District Court Action pending the completion of the related case before the ITC. On May 19, 2009, the court granted CompX’s motion to stay the Humanscale claim of patent infringement. With the issuance of the final determination in the ITC case on July 9, 2010, Humanscale may now proceed with their claim in U.S. District Court unless they choose to appeal the ITC judgment. While the ITC determined that we did not infringe the patents and that the patents in question are invalid, the U.S. District Court is not bound by these determinations.

In conjunction with CompX’s filing of a stay of Humanscale’s patent infringement claim in the U.S. District Court on March 30, 2009, CompX filed a counterclaim of patent infringement against Humanscale for infringement of certain of CompX’s keyboard support patents by Humanscale’s models 2G, 4G and 5G support arms. A jury trial was completed on February 25, 2010 relating to CompX’s counterclaims with the jury finding that Humanscale infringed on CompX’s patents and awarded damages to CompX in excess of \$19 million for past royalties. We anticipate the judge will issue a final judgment in August 2010. The verdict is subject to appeal. Due to the uncertain nature of the on-going legal proceedings, we have not accrued a receivable for the amount of the award.

Other – For a discussion of other legal proceedings to which we are a party, please refer to our 2009 Annual Report and our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2010.

In addition to the litigation described above, we and our affiliates are involved in various other environmental, contractual, product liability, patent (or intellectual property), employment and other claims and disputes incidental to our present and former businesses. In certain cases, we have insurance coverage for these items, although we do not expect any additional material insurance coverage for our environmental claims.

We currently believe that the disposition of all of these various other claims and disputes, individually or in the aggregate, should not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or liquidity beyond the accruals already provided.

## Note 13 - Financial instruments:

The following table summarizes the valuation of our marketable securities and financial instruments recorded at fair value:

	Total	Fair Value Measurements		
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
(In millions)				
<b>December 31, 2009:</b>				
Marketable securities:				
Current	\$6.1	\$-	\$6.1	\$ -
Noncurrent	279.5	28.6	.9	250.0
Currency forward contracts	1.6	1.6	-	-
<b>June 30, 2010:</b>				
Marketable securities:				
Current	1.6	-	1.6	-
Noncurrent	295.3	40.0	5.3	250.0
Currency forward contracts	(3.1 )	(3.1 )	-	-

See Note 5 for information on how we determine fair value of our noncurrent marketable securities.

We periodically use currency forward contracts to manage a nominal portion of currency exchange rate market risk associated with trade receivables, or similar exchange rate risk associated with future sales, denominated in a currency other than the holder's functional currency. These contracts generally relate to our Chemicals and Component Products operations. We have not entered into these contracts for trading or speculative purposes in the past, nor do we currently anticipate entering into such contracts for trading or speculative purposes in the future. Some of the currency forward contracts we enter into meet the criteria for hedge accounting under GAAP and are designated as cash flow hedges. For these currency forward contracts, gains and losses representing the effective portion of our hedges are deferred as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income, and are subsequently recognized in earnings at the time the hedged item affects earnings. For the currency forward contracts we enter into which do not meet the criteria for hedge accounting, we mark-to-market the estimated fair value of such contracts at each balance sheet date, with any resulting gain or loss recognized in income currently as part of net currency transactions. The fair value of the currency forward contracts is determined using Level 1 inputs as defined by ASC Topic 820-10-35 based on the foreign currency spot forward rates quoted by banks or foreign currency dealers.

At June 30, 2010 our Chemicals Segment held the following series of short-term forward exchange contracts.

- an aggregate of \$44.0 million for an equivalent value of Canadian dollars at exchange rates ranging from Cdn. \$1.04 to Cdn. \$1.08 per U.S. dollar. These contracts with Wachovia Bank, National Association, mature from July 2010 through May 2011 at a rate of \$4 million per month, subject to early redemption provisions at our option. At June 30, 2010, the actual exchange rate was Cdn. \$1.03 per U.S. dollar;

an aggregate \$52.1 million for an equivalent value of Norwegian kroner at exchange rates ranging from kroner 5.88 to kroner 6.60 per U.S. dollar. These contracts with DnB Nor Bank ASA mature from July 2010 through July 2011 at a rate of \$2.3 million to \$5.5 million per month. At June 30, 2010, the actual exchange rate was kroner 6.44 per U.S. dollar; and

- an aggregate euro 10.6 million for an equivalent value of Norwegian kroner at exchange rates ranging from kroner 8.47 to kroner 9.08 per euro. These contracts with DnB Nor Bank ASA mature from July 2010 through December 2010 at a rate of euro 1.6 million to euro 1.8 million per month, subject to early redemption provisions at our option. At June 30, 2010, the actual exchange rate was kroner 7.97 per euro.

The estimated fair value of our currency forward contracts at June 30, 2010 was a \$3.1 million net liability, which is included in our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as (i) \$1.2 million recognized as part of Prepaid Expenses and Other Current Assets and (ii) \$4.3 million recognized as a part of Accounts Payable and Accrued Liabilities. There is also a corresponding \$3.1 million currency transaction loss in our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations. We are not currently using hedge accounting for our outstanding currency forward contracts at June 30, 2010, and we did not use hedge accounting for any of such contracts we previously held earlier in 2010 and during 2009.

In addition to the currency forward contracts discussed above, in the third quarter of 2010 our Chemicals Segment entered into currency forward contracts to exchange an aggregate of \$8 million for an equivalent value of Canadian dollars at an exchange rate of Cdn. \$1.06 per U.S. dollar. These contracts with Wachovia Bank, National Association, mature from June 2011 through July 2011 and are subject to early redemption provisions at our option.



The following table presents the financial instruments that are not carried at fair value but which require fair value disclosure:

	December 31, 2009		June 30, 2010	
	Carrying amount	Fair value	Carrying amount	Fair value
(In millions)				
Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash equivalents	\$78.0	\$78.0	\$56.1	\$56.1
Real-estate related note receivable	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0
Long-term debt (excluding capitalized leases):				
Publicly-traded fixed rate debt -				
KII Senior Secured Notes	\$574.6	\$466.2	\$493.3	\$422.0
Snake River Sugar Company fixed rate loans	250.0	250.0	250.0	250.0
CompX variable rate promissory note	42.2	42.2	42.2	42.2
Variable rate debt to Contran	84.9	84.9	130.6	130.6
Variable rate bank credit facilities	29.1	29.1	23.6	23.6
Variable rate debt to former shareholders	-	-	18.0	18.0
Other fixed-rate debt	.5	.5	12.4	12.4
Noncontrolling interest in:				
NL common stock	\$43.6	\$57.1	\$41.0	\$50.3
Kronos common stock	15.0	38.4	17.4	46.3
CompX common stock	11.1	12.2	10.7	16.0
Valhi stockholders' equity	\$428.7	\$1,597.3	\$417.2	\$1,411.0

The fair value of our publicly-traded marketable securities, noncontrolling interest in NL, Kronos and CompX and our common stockholders' equity are all based upon quoted market prices, Level 1 inputs as defined at each balance sheet date. The fair value of our 6.5% Notes are also based on quoted market prices at each balance sheet date; however, these quoted market prices represent Level 2 inputs because the markets in which the Notes trade are not active. At December 31, 2009 and June 30, 2010, the estimated market price of the 6.5% Notes was approximately euro 809 and euro 853, respectively, per euro 1,000 principal amount. The fair value of our fixed-rate nonrecourse loans from Snake River Sugar Company is based upon the \$250 million redemption price of our investment in Amalgamated, which collateralizes the nonrecourse loans (this is a Level 3 input). Fair values of the variable interest rate note receivable and variable interest debt and other fixed-rate debt are deemed to approximate book value. Due to their near-term maturities, the carrying amounts of accounts receivable and accounts payable are considered equivalent to fair value. See Notes 5 and 7.

Note 14 – Earnings per share:

Earnings per share is based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during each period. A reconciliation of the numerator used in the calculation of earnings (loss) per share is presented in the following table:

	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2009	2010	2009	2010
	(In millions)			
Net income (loss) attributable to Valhi stockholders	\$(19.0 )	\$4.5	\$(39.0 )	\$18.1
Equity adjustment	-	-	-	(2.1 )
Adjusted net income (loss) attributable to Valhi stockholders	\$(19.0 )	\$4.5	\$(39.0 )	\$16.0

The \$2.1 million equity adjustment attributable to Valhi stockholders is discussed in Note 12.

## ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

### RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

#### Business Overview

We are primarily a holding company. We operate through our wholly-owned and majority-owned subsidiaries, including NL Industries, Inc., Kronos Worldwide, Inc., CompX International Inc., Tremont LLC and Waste Control Specialists LLC ("WCS"). Kronos (NYSE: KRO), NL (NYSE: NL) and CompX (NYSE: CIX) each file periodic reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC").

We have three consolidated operating segments:

• **Chemicals** – Our chemicals segment is operated through our majority ownership of Kronos. Kronos is a leading global producer and marketer of value-added titanium dioxide pigment products ("TiO2"). TiO2 is used for a variety of manufacturing applications, including plastics, paints, paper and other industrial products.

• **Component Products** – We operate in the component products industry through our majority ownership of CompX. CompX is a leading global manufacturer of security products, precision ball bearing slides and ergonomic computer support systems used in the office furniture, transportation, tool storage and a variety of other industries. CompX also manufactures stainless steel exhaust systems, gauges and throttle controls for the performance boat industry.

• **Waste Management** – WCS is our wholly-owned subsidiary which owns and operates a West Texas facility for the processing, treatment, storage and disposal of hazardous, toxic and certain types of low-level radioactive waste. WCS obtained a byproduct disposal license in 2008 and began disposal operations in October 2009. In January 2009 WCS received a low-level radioactive waste disposal license, which was signed in September 2009. Construction of the low-level radioactive waste facility is currently expected to begin by the end of 2010, following the completion of some pre-construction licensing and administrative matters, and is expected to be operational in late 2011.

#### General

This report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, as amended. Statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q that are not historical in nature are forward-looking in nature about our future that are not statements of historical fact. Statements in this report including, but not limited to, statements found in Item 2 - "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," are forward-looking statements that represent our beliefs and assumptions based on currently available information. In some cases you can identify these forward-looking statements by the use of words such as "believes," "intends," "may," "should," "could," "anticipates," "expects" or comparable terminology, or by discussions of strategies or trends. Although we believe the expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are reasonable, we do not know if these expectations will be correct. Forward-looking statements by their nature involve substantial risks and uncertainties that could significantly impact expected results. Actual future results could differ materially from those predicted. While it is not possible to identify all factors, we continue to face many risks and uncertainties. Among the factors that could cause our actual future results to differ materially from those described herein are the risks and uncertainties discussed in this Quarterly Report and those described from time to time in our other filings with the SEC including, but not limited to, the following:



- Future supply and demand for our products;
- The cyclical nature of certain of our businesses (such as Kronos' TiO2 operations);
- Customer inventory levels (such as the extent to which Kronos' customers may, from time to time, accelerate purchases of TiO2 in advance of anticipated price increases or defer purchases of TiO2 in advance of anticipated price decreases;
  - Changes in our raw material and other operating costs (such as energy costs);
- General global economic and political conditions (such as changes in the level of gross domestic product in various regions of the world and the impact of such changes on demand for, among other things, TiO2);
- Competitive products and prices, including increased competition from low-cost manufacturing sources (such as China);
- Possible disruption of our business or increases in the cost of doing business resulting from terrorist activities or global conflicts;
  - Customer and competitor strategies;
  - The impact of pricing and production decisions;
  - Competitive technology positions;
  - The introduction of trade barriers;
  - Restructuring transactions involving us and our affiliates;
  - Potential consolidation or solvency of our competitors;
  - Demand for high performance marine components;
- The ability of our subsidiaries to pay us dividends (such as Kronos' suspension of its dividend in 2009);
  - Uncertainties associated with new product development;
- Fluctuations in currency exchange rates (such as changes in the exchange rate between the U.S. dollar and each of the euro, the Norwegian krone, the Canadian dollar and the New Taiwan dollar);
- Operating interruptions (including, but not limited to, labor disputes, leaks, natural disasters, fires, explosions, unscheduled or unplanned downtime and transportation interruptions);
  - The timing and amounts of insurance recoveries;
  - Our ability to renew, amend, refinance or establish credit facilities;
  - Our ability to maintain sufficient liquidity;
- The ultimate outcome of income tax audits, tax settlement initiatives or other tax matters;
- The ultimate ability to utilize income tax attributes or changes in income tax rates related to such attributes, the benefit of which has been recognized under the more likely than not recognition criteria (such as Kronos' ability to utilize its German net operating loss carryforwards);
- Environmental matters (such as those requiring compliance with emission and discharge standards for existing and new facilities, or new developments regarding environmental remediation at sites related to our former operations);
- Government laws and regulations and possible changes therein (such as changes in government regulations which might impose various obligations on present and former manufacturers of lead pigment and lead-based paint, including NL, with respect to asserted health concerns associated with the use of such products);
- Our ability to complete, obtain approval of and comply with the conditions of our licenses and permits (such as approval by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("TCEQ") of license conditions of WCS's low-level radioactive waste disposal license);
- The ultimate resolution of pending litigation (such as NL's lead pigment litigation, environmental and other litigation and CompX's patent litigation);
  - Our ability to comply with covenants contained in our revolving bank credit facilities; and
  - Possible future litigation.

Should one or more of these risks materialize (or the consequences of such development worsen), or should the underlying assumptions prove incorrect, actual results could differ materially from those currently forecasted or expected. We disclaim any intention or obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statement whether as a result of changes in information, future events or otherwise.

## Operations Overview

### Quarter Ended June 30, 2010 Compared to the Quarter Ended June 30, 2009 -

Net income attributable to Valhi stockholders was \$4.5 million, or \$.04 per diluted share, in the second quarter of 2010 compared to a net loss attributable to Valhi stockholders of \$19.0 million, or \$.16 per diluted share, in the second quarter of 2009. As more fully discussed below, our diluted income per share increased from 2009 to 2010 primarily due to the net effects of:

- operating income from our Chemicals and Component Products Segment in 2010 as compared to operating losses in 2009;
- a litigation settlement gain in 2009; and
- higher insurance recoveries in 2009.

Our net loss attributable to Valhi stockholders in 2009 includes (i) a gain of \$.05 per diluted share (net of tax and noncontrolling interest) as a result of the second close of a litigation settlement and (ii) income of \$.01 per diluted share related to certain insurance recoveries we recognized.

### Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 -

Net income attributable to Valhi stockholders was \$18.1 million, or \$.14 per diluted share, in the first six months of 2010 compared to a net loss attributable to Valhi stockholders of \$39.0 million, or \$.34 per diluted share, in the same period of 2009. The net income attributable to Valhi stockholders in the first six months of 2010 was primarily due to an income tax benefit recognized during the first quarter discussed below. As more fully discussed below, our diluted income per share increased from 2009 to 2010 primarily due to the net effects of:

- operating income from our Chemicals and Component Products Segment in 2010 as compared to operating losses in 2009;
- a non-cash deferred income tax benefit recognized in the first quarter of 2010;
- litigation settlement and contract termination expense in 2010 as compared to litigation settlement gains in 2009;
- higher insurance recoveries in 2010; and
- a gain on the sale of a business in 2009.

Our net income attributable to Valhi shareholders in 2010 includes:

- a non-cash deferred income tax benefit of \$.21 per diluted share recognized by Kronos related to a European Court ruling that resulted in the favorable resolution of certain German income tax issues;
- insurance recoveries of \$.09 per diluted share; and
- a charge of \$.16 per diluted share related to a litigation settlement and contract termination.

Our net loss attributable to Valhi stockholders in 2009 includes (i) a gain of \$.07 per diluted share as a result of a litigation settlement, (ii) a gain of \$.04 per diluted share from the sale of a business, (iii) a gain of \$.05 per diluted share (net of tax and noncontrolling interest) as a result of the second close of a litigation settlement and (iv) income of \$.01 per diluted share related to certain insurance recoveries we recognized.

Current Forecast for 2010 –

We currently expect to report net income attributable to Valhi stockholders for 2010 as compared to the net loss in 2009 primarily due to the net effects of:

- higher than expected operating income from our Chemicals Segment due to increased sales volumes and lower anticipated per metric ton production costs;
- higher than expected operating income from our Component Products Segment due to higher sales and lower legal expenses;
- a non-cash income tax benefit of approximately \$24.4 million (\$.21 per diluted share) in the first quarter of 2010 as a result of a European Court ruling that resulted in a favorable resolution of certain income tax issues in Germany;
- litigation settlement and contract termination expense in 2010 as compared to recording litigation settlement gains in 2009; and
- higher operating losses at WCS as we expect more expenses associated with the limited operations of our byproduct disposal facility, which commenced operations in the fourth quarter of 2009.

Segment Operating Results - 2009 Compared to 2010 –

Chemicals -

We consider TiO<sub>2</sub> to be a “quality-of-life” product, with demand affected by gross domestic product (“GDP”) and overall economic conditions in our markets located in various regions of the world. Over the long-term, we expect demand for TiO<sub>2</sub> will grow by 2% to 3% per year, consistent with our expectations for the long-term growth in GDP. However, even if we and our competitors maintain consistent shares of the worldwide market, demand for TiO<sub>2</sub> in any interim or annual period may not change in the same proportion as the change in GDP, in part due to relative changes in the TiO<sub>2</sub> inventory levels of our customers. We believe our customers’ inventory levels are partly influenced by their expectation for future changes in TiO<sub>2</sub> selling prices. The majority of our TiO<sub>2</sub> grades and substantially all of our production are considered commodity pigment products; we compete for sales primarily on the basis of price.

The factors having the most impact on our reported operating results are:

- TiO2 sales and production volumes;
- TiO2 selling prices;
- Currency exchange rates (particularly the exchange rate for the U.S. dollar relative to the euro, Norwegian krone and the Canadian dollar); and
  - Manufacturing costs, particularly raw materials, maintenance and energy-related expenses.

The key performance indicators for our Chemicals Segment are our TiO2 average selling prices, and our levels of TiO2 sales and production volumes. TiO2 selling prices generally follow industry trends and prices will increase or decrease generally as a result of competitive market pressure.

	Three months ended June 30,			Six months ended June 30,			
	2009	2010	% Change	2009	2010	% Change	
	(Dollars in millions)						
Net sales	\$282.1	\$380.1	35	% \$530.1	\$699.8	32	%
Cost of sales	268.4	295.3	10	512.8	555.3	8	
Gross margin	\$13.7	\$84.8	521	% \$17.3	\$144.5	739	%
Operating income (loss)	\$(20.0 )	\$40.4	302	% \$(45.5 )	\$63.0	238	%
Percent of net sales:							
Cost of sales	95	% 78	%	97	% 79	%	
Gross margin	5	22		3	21		
Operating income (loss)	(7 )	11		(9 )	9		
TiO2 operating statistics:							
Sales volumes*	114	148	30	% 211	270	28	%
Production volumes*	87	134	54	151	258	71	
Percent change in net sales:							
TiO2 product pricing			6	%		3	%
TiO2 sales volumes			30			28	
TiO2 product mix			1			-	
Changes in currency exchange rates			(2 )			1	
Total			35	%		32	%

\* Thousands of metric tons

Net Sales - Our Chemicals Segment's sales increased 35% in the second quarter of 2010 compared to the second quarter of 2009, primarily due to a 30% increase in sales volumes along with a 6% increase in average TiO2 selling prices, partially offset by the negative impact of currency exchange rates. We estimate the unfavorable effect of changes in currency exchange rates decreased our Chemicals Segment's net sales by approximately \$6 million, or 2%, as compared to the same period in 2009. Our Chemicals Segment's net sales increased 32% in the first six months of 2010 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2009 primarily due to a 28% increase in sales volumes along with a 3% increase in average TiO2 selling prices and the positive impact of currency exchange rates. We estimate the favorable effect of changes in currency exchange rates increased our Chemicals Segment's net sales by approximately



\$4 million, or 1%, as compared to the same period in 2009. The Chemicals Segment's sales volumes in the second quarter and first six months of 2010 are both new records for their respective periods. TiO<sub>2</sub> selling prices will increase or decrease generally as a result of competitive market pressures and changes in the relative level of supply and demand. We currently expect average selling prices in the second half of 2010 to be higher than the average selling prices in the first six months of 2010. Our increase in sales volumes in both periods is due to higher demand across all market segments resulting from the improvement in current economic conditions. We expect demand will continue to remain above 2009 levels for the remainder of the year.

- 33 -

---

Cost of Sales - Our Chemicals Segment's cost of sales percentage decreased significantly in the second quarter and first six months of 2010 compared to the same periods last year, primarily due to the significantly higher production volumes in 2010, as we implemented temporary plant curtailments during the first half of 2009 in order to reduce our finished goods inventories to an appropriate level and due to higher selling prices in both periods of 2010 as compared to 2009. Such temporary plant curtailments resulted in approximately \$30 million and \$80 million of unabsorbed fixed production costs which were charged directly to cost of sales in the second quarter and first six months of 2009, respectively.

In the second quarter of 2010, TiO<sub>2</sub> production volumes increased 54%, utility costs were lower by \$2.3 million and maintenance costs increased \$6.2 million as compared to the second quarter of 2009. In addition, cost of sales in the second quarter of 2010 was negatively impacted by approximately \$4 million as a result of a higher production costs in the ore we produced in 2010 at our ilmenite mines in Norway.

In the first six months of 2010 TiO<sub>2</sub> production volumes increased 71%, raw material costs were lower by \$7.4 million, utility costs were lower by \$11.7 million and maintenance costs increased \$10.9 million as compared to the first six months of 2009. In addition, cost of sales in the first six months of 2010 was negatively impacted by approximately \$8 million as a result of a higher production costs in 2010 at our ilmenite mines in Norway.

Operating Income (Loss) - Our Chemicals Segment's operating income increased significantly in the second quarter and first six months of 2010, primarily due to the significant increase in our gross margin and increased sales volumes. Gross margin has increased in both periods primarily because of higher sales volumes, higher selling prices and lower manufacturing costs per ton resulting from higher production volumes. However, changes in currency exchange rates have negatively affected our gross margin and operating income (loss). We estimate that changes in currency exchange rates decreased operating income (loss) by approximately \$12 million and \$20 million in the second quarter and first six months of 2010, respectively, as compared to the same periods in 2009.

Our Chemicals Segment's operating income (loss) is net of amortization of purchase accounting adjustments made in conjunction with our acquisitions of interests in NL and Kronos. As a result, we recognize additional depreciation expense above the amounts Kronos reports separately, substantially all of which is included within cost of sales. We recognized an additional \$1.2 million and \$1.3 million of additional depreciation expense in the first six months of 2009 and 2010, respectively, which reduced our reported Chemicals Segment operating income (loss) as compared to amounts reported separately by Kronos.

Currency Exchange Rates - Our Chemicals Segment has substantial operations and assets located outside the United States (primarily in Germany, Belgium, Norway and Canada). The majority of sales generated from our foreign operations are denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar, principally the euro, other major European currencies and the Canadian dollar. A portion of our sales generated from our foreign operations is denominated in the U.S. dollar. Certain raw materials used worldwide, primarily titanium-containing feedstocks, are purchased in U.S. dollars, while labor and other production costs are purchased primarily in local currencies. Consequently, the translated U.S. dollar value of our foreign sales and operating results are subject to currency exchange rate fluctuations which may favorably or adversely impact reported earnings and may affect the comparability of period-to-period operating results. In addition to the impact of the translation of sales and expenses over time, our foreign operations also generate currency transaction gains and losses which primarily relate to the difference between the currency exchange rates in effect when non-local currency sales or operating costs are initially accrued and when such amounts are settled with the non-local currency.

Overall, fluctuations in currency exchange rates had the following effects on our Chemicals Segment's net sales and operating income (loss):

	Impact of changes in currency exchange rates				
	Three months ended June 30, 2010 vs June 30, 2009				
	Transaction gains/(losses) recognized			Translation gain/loss-impact of rate changes	Total currency impact 2009 vs 2010 (in millions)
2009	2010	Change			
Impact on:					
Net sales	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$(6 )	\$(6 )
Operating income (loss)	1	(3 )	(4 )	(8 )	(12 )

	Impact of changes in currency exchange rates				
	Six months ended June 30, 2010 vs June 30, 2009				
	Transaction gains/(losses) recognized			Translation gain/loss-impact of rate changes	Total currency impact 2009 vs 2010 (in millions)
2009	2010	Change			
Impact on:					
Net sales	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$4	\$4
Operating income (loss)	7	(1 )	(8 )	(12 )	(20 )

Outlook - During 2009 and to-date in 2010, our Chemicals Segment has announced various TiO<sub>2</sub> price increases, a portion of which were implemented during the second half of 2009 and the first six months of 2010, with portions of the remainder expected to be implemented during the second half of 2010. Our average TiO<sub>2</sub> selling prices were 3% higher in the first half of 2010 as compared to the first half of 2009, and our average selling prices at the end of the first half of 2010 were 5% higher as compared to the end of 2009. Based on an expected continuation of strong demand levels, we anticipate our average selling prices will continue to increase during the remainder of 2010.

In response to the worldwide economic slowdown and weak consumer confidence, we reduced our production volumes during the first half of 2009 in order to reduce our finished goods inventory, improve our liquidity and match production to market demand. Overall industry pigment demand has been and is expected to continue to be higher in 2010 as compared to 2009 as a result of improving worldwide economic conditions. While we operated our facilities at approximately 58% of capacity during the first half of 2009, we increased our capacity utilization to approximately 94% during the second half of 2009. We operated our plants at near full capacity utilization during the first half of 2010 and we currently expect to continue to operate our facilities at near full capacity levels during the remainder of 2010. Our expected capacity utilization levels could be adjusted upwards or downwards to match changes in demand for our products. We also expect relative increases in raw material, energy and freight costs during the remainder of 2010 and a portion of any future price increases would compensate for such increases in our operating costs.

We expect operating income will be higher in 2010 as compared to 2009, as the favorable effects of the worldwide economic recovery and improving consumer confidence will continue to improve demand in all of our key market segments. The expected increase in our sales volumes for 2010 should allow us to maintain our near full capacity utilization for the remainder of the year. With such improved capacity utilization levels and higher expected selling prices, we expect to report improved operating and financial performance in 2010.

Our expectations as to the future of the TiO<sub>2</sub> industry are based upon a number of factors beyond our control, including worldwide growth of gross domestic product, competition in the marketplace, solvency and continued operation of competitors, unexpected or earlier than expected capacity additions or reductions and technological advances. If actual developments differ from our expectations, our results of operations could be unfavorably affected.

#### Component Products -

The key performance indicator for our Component Products Segment is operating income margins.

	Three months ended June 30,			Six months ended June 30,			
	2009	2010	% Change	2009	2010	% Change	
	(Dollars in millions)						
Net sales	\$29.2	\$34.4	18	% \$57.7	\$67.2	16	%
Cost of sales	23.0	25.5	11	46.7	49.2	5	
Gross margin	\$6.2	\$8.9	42	% \$11.0	\$18.0	63	%
Operating income (loss)	\$(.9 )	\$3.0	410	% \$(1.9 )	\$4.7	348	%
Percent of net sales:							
Cost of sales	79	% 74	%	81	% 73	%	
Gross margin	21	26		19	27		
Operating income (loss)	(3 )	9		(3 )	7		

Net Sales - Our Component Products Segment's net sales increased 18% in the second quarter of 2010 and 16% in the first six months of 2010, as compared to the same periods of 2009. Net sales increased due to an increase in order rates from our customers resulting from improving economic conditions in North America.

Cost of Sales - Our Component Products Segment's cost of sales percentage improved in the second quarter of 2010 and the first six months of 2010 compared to the same periods in 2009. As a result, gross margin increased over the same periods. The resulting increase in gross margin is primarily due to improved coverage of overhead and fixed manufacturing costs from higher sales volume and the related efficiency gains from the increase in capacity utilization. In addition the gross margin percentage is slightly lower in the second quarter of 2010 compared to the six-month period of 2010 due to an increase in raw material costs.

Operating Income (Loss) - Our Component Products Segment had operating income in the second quarter and first six months of 2010, compared to operating losses in the same periods of 2009 primarily due to the net impact of improved gross margins as a result of improved cost of sales ratios. Improved gross margins were partially offset by an increase in patent litigation expenses in the first six months of 2010 relating to Furniture Components reporting unit of \$1.9 million in the period compared to \$1.0 million in the same period of 2009. In the second quarter and first six months of 2010 we estimated that relative changes in currency exchange rates decreased operating income by \$.4 million and \$1.0 million, respectively. In addition we had a \$.7 million write-down of assets held for sale in the second quarter of 2009.

Currency Exchange Rates – Our Component Products Segment has substantial operations and assets located outside the United States in Canada and Taiwan. The majority of sales generated from our foreign operations are denominated in the U.S. dollar, with the rest denominated in other currencies, principally the Canadian dollar and the New Taiwan dollar. Most raw materials, labor and other production costs for our foreign operations are denominated primarily in local currencies. Consequently, the translated U.S. dollar values of our foreign sales and operating results are subject to currency exchange rate fluctuations which may favorably or unfavorably impact reported earnings and may affect comparability of period-to-period operating results. In addition to the impact of the translation of sales and expenses over time, our foreign operations also generate currency transaction gains and losses which primarily relate to the difference between the currency exchange rates in effect when non-local currency sales or operating costs are initially accrued and when such amounts are settled with the non-local currency.

Overall, fluctuations in currency exchange rates had the following effects on our Component Products Segment’s net sales and operating income (loss):

Three months ended June 30, 2010 vs. 2009 (in millions)

	Transaction gains/(losses)			Translation gain/loss-impact of rate changes	Total currency impact 2010 vs. 2009
	2009	2010	Change		
Impact on:					
Net sales	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ .3	\$ .3
Operating income	-	.1	.1	(.5 )	(.4 )

Six months ended June 30, 2010 vs. 2009 (in millions)

	Transaction gains/(losses)			Translation gain/loss-impact of rate changes	Total currency impact 2010 vs. 2009
	2009	2010	Change		
Impact on:					
Net sales	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ .7	\$ .7
Operating income	-	.1	.1	(1.1 )	(1.0 )

Outlook – Demand for Component Products Segment’s products has increased as conditions in the overall economy have improved, although there is still uncertainty as to the sustainability of the related increase in sales. While changes in market demand are not within our control, we are focused on the areas we can impact. Staffing levels are continuously being evaluated in relation to sales order rates resulting in headcount adjustments, to the extent possible, to match staffing levels with demand. We expect our lean manufacturing and cost improvement initiatives to continue to positively impact our productivity and result in a more efficient infrastructure that we are beginning to leverage as growth in demand returns. Additionally, we continue to seek opportunities to gain market share in markets we currently serve, expand into new markets and develop new product features in order to mitigate the impact of changes in demand as well as broaden our sales base.

In addition to challenges with overall demand, volatility in the cost of raw materials is ongoing. The cost of commodity raw materials began to increase during the first half of 2010 as compared to the end of 2009, and we currently expect these costs to continue to be volatile during the remainder of 2010. We generally seek to mitigate the impact of fluctuations in raw material costs on our margins through improvements in production efficiencies or other operating cost reductions as well as occasional larger quantity tactical spot buys of raw materials which may result in higher inventory balances for a period of time. In the event we are unable to offset raw material cost increases with other cost reductions, it may be difficult to recover those cost increases through increased product selling prices or raw material surcharges due to the competitive nature of the markets served by our products. Consequently, overall operating margins may be affected by raw material cost pressures.

A competitor has filed claims against us for patent infringement. We have denied the allegations of patent infringement and we are defending the lawsuits vigorously. While we currently believe the disposition of these claims should not have a material, long-term adverse effect on our operating income, we expect to continue to incur costs defending against such claims during the short-term that are likely to be material to our operating income.

#### Waste Management -

	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2009	2010	2009	2010
	(In millions)			
Net sales	\$ .8	\$ 1.2	\$ 1.6	\$ 5.5
Cost of sales	4.5	5.5	9.1	12.5
Gross margin	\$(3.7 )	\$(4.3 )	\$(7.5 )	\$(7.0 )
Operating loss	\$(6.7 )	\$(8.0 )	\$(13.2 )	\$(14.7 )

General – We have operated our Waste Management Segment’s waste management facility on a relatively limited basis while we navigated the regulatory licensing requirements to receive permits for the disposal of byproduct waste material and for a broad range of low-level and mixed low-level radioactive wastes (“LLRW”). We previously filed license applications for such disposal capabilities with the applicable Texas state agencies. In May 2008, the TCEQ issued us a license for the disposal of byproduct material. Byproduct material includes uranium or thorium mill tailings as well as equipment, pipe and other materials used to handle and process the mill tailings. We began construction of the byproduct facility infrastructure at our site in Andrews County, Texas in the third quarter of 2008 and this facility began disposal operations in October 2009. In January 2009, TCEQ issued a near-surface low-level and mixed LLRW disposal license to us. This license was signed in September 2009. Construction of the LLRW site is currently expected to commence by the end of 2010, following the completion of some pre-construction licensing

and administrative matters, and is expected to be operational in late 2011. While construction for the LLRW disposal facility is pending, we currently have facilities that allow us to treat, store and dispose of a broad range of hazardous and toxic wastes and byproducts material, and to treat and store a broad range of low-level and mixed LLRW.

- 38 -

---

Net Sales and Operating Loss – The Waste Management Segment’s sales increased in the second quarter and first six months of 2010 compared to the same periods in 2009, primarily due to revenue associated with a new waste acceptance contract and the disposal of certain hazardous waste which had previously been stored at Waste Management’s facility. The Waste Management Segment’s operating loss increased in the second quarter primarily due to increased operating costs and in the first six months of 2010 the operating loss increased primarily due to contract termination expense of \$1.1 million related to an agreement to terminate a disposal contract with a former customer. We continue to seek to increase our Waste Management Segment’s sales volumes from waste streams permitted under our current licenses.

Outlook – Having obtained the final regulatory license we need to commence full scale operations, we are in process of preparing to construct the facilities we will need to provide “one-stop shopping” for hazardous, toxic, low-level and mixed LLRW and radioactive byproduct material. WCS will have the broadest range of capabilities of any commercial enterprise in the U.S. for the storage, treatment and permanent disposal of these materials, which we believe will give WCS a significant and valuable competitive advantage in the industry once final construction is completed in mid-2011. We are also exploring opportunities to obtain certain types of new business (including disposal and storage of certain types of waste) that, if obtained, could help to increase our Waste Management Segment’s sales, and decrease our Waste Management Segment’s operating loss. Our ability to increase our Waste Management Segment’s sales volumes through receipt of these waste streams, together with improved operating efficiencies through further cost reductions and increased capacity utilization, are important factors in improving our Waste Management Segment’s operating results and cash flows. Until we are able to increase our Waste Management Segment’s sales volumes, we generally expect to continue to report operating losses in our Waste Management Segment. While achieving increased sales volumes could result in operating profits, we currently do not believe we will report any significant levels of Waste Management operating profit until we have started to generate revenues following completion of the construction discussed above.

We believe WCS can become a viable, profitable operation; however, we do not know when or if we will be successful in improving WCS cash flows. We have in the past, and we may in the future, consider strategic alternatives with respect to WCS. We could report a loss in any such strategic transaction.

General Corporate Items, Other Items, Interest Expense, Income Tax Benefit and Noncontrolling Interest - 2010 Compared to 2009

Interest and Dividend Income – A significant portion of our interest and dividend income in both 2010 and 2009 relates to the distributions we received from The Amalgamated Sugar Company LLC. We recognized dividend income from the LLC of \$6.3 million and \$12.7 million in each of the second quarters and first six months of 2009 and 2010, respectively.



**Insurance Recoveries** – Insurance recoveries relate to amounts NL received from certain of its former insurance carriers, and relate principally to the recovery of prior lead pigment and asbestos litigation defense costs incurred by NL. We have agreements with certain former insurance carriers pursuant to which the carriers reimburse us for a portion of our future lead pigment litigation defense costs, and one such carrier reimburses us for a portion of our future asbestos litigation defense costs. We are not able to determine how much we will ultimately recover from these carriers for defense costs incurred by us because of certain issues that arise regarding which defense costs qualify for reimbursement.

In addition to the insurance recoveries discussed above, our insurance recoveries in the first six months of 2010 include an insurance recovery recognized in the first quarter of 2010 in connection with the litigation settlement discussed in Note 12 to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. NL had insurance coverage for a portion of the litigation settlement, and a substantial portion of the insurance recoveries we recognized in the first quarter of 2010 relates to such coverage.

**Other Income** – In the first quarter of 2009, we recognized a pre-tax litigation settlement gain of \$11.9 million related to amounts we received in the first quarter of 2009 in recovery of past environmental remediation and related legal costs we had previously incurred. In the second quarter of 2009 we recognized a \$11.1 million pre-tax gain on the second closing on property covered under a litigation settlement reached in the fourth quarter of 2008. See Note 9 to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. Also in 2009 we recognized a \$6.3 million gain on the sale of the assets of our research, laboratory and quality control business to The Amalgamated Sugar Company LLC. See Note 9 to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

In July 2010, we entered into a settlement agreement with another PRP pursuant to which, among other things, the other PRP reimbursed us for certain remediation and legal costs we had previously incurred for certain sites related to one of our former business units, and the PRP also affirmed its full responsibility to indemnify us for all claims (environmental or otherwise) with respect to certain specified sites related to our former business unit as well as indemnify us for any future claims that may arise related to such former business unit. As a result of the July 2010 settlement agreement, in the third quarter of 2010 we expect to recognize a litigation settlement gain of \$6.1 million, consisting of \$4.0 million related to the PRP's cash reimbursement of prior remediation and legal costs and \$2.1 million related to a reduction in our accrued environmental remediation costs resulting from the PRP's agreement to indemnify us.

**Corporate Expenses and Other Items, Net** - The \$33.3 million in litigation settlements and contract termination expense are discussed in Notes 7 and 12 to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. Corporate expenses were 30% lower at \$6.7 million in the second quarter of 2010 compared to \$9.6 million in the same period in 2009 and corporate expenses were 20% lower at \$14.4 million in the second quarter of 2010 compared to \$17.9 million in the same period in 2009. Corporate expenses decreased primarily due to lower defined benefit pension and other postretirement benefit expense, lower environmental related expenses and lower incentive compensation expense which included certain incentive compensation paid in connection with the sale of our research and development business in the first quarter of 2009. In addition we had lower litigation and related costs at NL. Included in corporate expense are:

- litigation and related costs at NL (exclusive of the litigation settlement discussed above) of \$2.0 million and \$4.9 million in the second quarter and first six months of 2010, respectively, compared to \$2.8 million and \$5.3 million in the same periods of 2009; and
- a decrease in the environmental accrual taken as a credit to income of \$1.0 million and \$.9 million in the second quarter and first six months of 2010, respectively, compared to environmental expense of \$.3 million and \$1.2 million in the same periods of 2009.



We expect our corporate expenses in 2010 will be lower than in 2009 primarily due to the lower expected pension and other postretirement benefit expense. The level of our litigation and related expenses varies from period to period depending upon, among other things, the number of cases in which we are currently involved, the nature of such cases and the current stage of such cases (e.g. discovery, pre-trial motions, trial or appeal, if applicable). In addition, we adjust our environmental accruals as further information becomes available to us or as circumstances change. Such further information or changed circumstances could result in an increase or reduction in our accrued environmental costs. See Note 12 to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. If our current expectations regarding the number of cases in which we expected to be involved during 2010, or the nature of such cases, were to change, our corporate expenses could be higher than we currently estimate.

Obligations for environmental remediation costs are difficult to assess and estimate, and it is possible that actual costs for environmental remediation will exceed accrued amounts or that costs will be incurred in the future for sites in which we cannot currently estimate the liability. If these events were to occur during 2010, our corporate expenses would be higher than our current estimates. In addition, we adjust our environmental accruals as further information becomes available to us or as circumstances change. Such further information or changed circumstances could result in an increase or reduction in our accrued environmental costs. See Note 12 to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Interest Expense – We have a significant amount of indebtedness denominated in the euro, primarily through our subsidiary Kronos International, Inc. (“KII”). KII has outstanding euro 400 million aggregate principal amount of 6.5% Senior Secured Notes due in 2013 outstanding. The interest expense we recognize on these fixed rate Notes varies with fluctuations in the euro exchange rate.

Interest expense increased to \$17.0 million in the second quarter of 2010 from \$16.7 million in the second quarter of 2009 and increased to \$34.4 million in the first six months of 2010 from \$32.7 million in the first six months of 2009 primarily due to higher average debt balances at Valhi parent, NL and CompX and higher interest rates on our European credit facility and changes in currency exchange rates which offset the effect of decreased average borrowings under Kronos’ revolving credit facilities. Excluding the effect of currency exchange rates, we expect interest expense will continue to be higher in 2010 as compared to 2009 due to continued higher average balances of outstanding borrowings at Valhi parent in 2010 and higher interest rates on certain of our credit facilities.

Provision for Income Taxes (Benefit) – Our income tax provision was \$11.8 million in the second quarter of 2010 compared to a benefit of \$13.7 million in the second quarter of 2009. We had an income tax benefit of \$18.2 million in the first six months of 2010 compared to a \$22.9 million benefit in the first six months of 2009. Our tax rate varies as the contribution of income from our business units changes. Our income tax benefit in the first six months of 2010 includes a \$35.2 million income tax benefit related to a European Court ruling in the first quarter of 2010 that resulted in a favorable resolution of certain income tax issues in Germany and an increase in the amount of our German corporate and trade tax net operating loss carryforwards. Our income tax benefit in the first quarter of 2010 also includes an aggregate \$1.9 million provision for deferred income taxes on the pre-2005 undistributed earnings of our Taiwanese subsidiary.

We have substantial net operating loss carryforwards in Germany (the equivalent of \$941 million for German corporate purposes and \$288 million for German trade tax purposes at December 31, 2009), which amounts exclude the adjustment to such carryforwards recognized in the first quarter of 2010 (see Note 10 to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements). At June 30, 2010, we have concluded that no deferred income tax asset valuation allowance is required to be recognized with respect to such carryforwards, principally because (i) such carryforwards have an indefinite carryforward period, (ii) we have utilized a portion of such carryforwards during the most recent three-year period and (iii) we currently expect to utilize the remainder of such carryforwards over the long term. However, prior to the complete utilization of such carryforwards, particularly if we were to generate losses in our German operations for an extended period of time, it is possible that we might conclude the benefit of such carryforwards would no longer meet the more-likely-than-not recognition criteria, at which point we would be required to recognize a valuation allowance against some or all of the then-remaining tax benefit associated with the carryforwards.

See Note 10 to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for more information about our 2010 income tax items and a tabular reconciliation of our statutory tax benefit to our actual tax benefit.

Noncontrolling Interest in Net Income (Loss) of Subsidiaries – Noncontrolling interest in net income was \$1.9 million in the second quarter of 2010 compared to a loss of \$1.6 million in the second quarter of 2009, and income of \$3.4 million in the first six months of 2010 compared to a loss of \$5.0 million in the first six months of 2009. In the second quarter and first six months of 2010 we had operating income at Kronos and CompX compared to operating losses at each of the these subsidiaries in the second quarter and first six months of 2009.

## LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

### Consolidated Cash Flows

#### Operating Activities -

Trends in cash flows from operating activities (excluding the impact of significant asset dispositions and relative changes in assets and liabilities) are generally similar to trends in our earnings.

Cash flows from operating activities decreased from \$42.7 million cash provided by operations in the first six months of 2009 to \$11.2 million used in the first six months of 2010. This \$53.9 million net decrease in the amount of cash used was primarily due to the net effects of the following items:

- higher consolidated operating income in 2010 of \$113.6 million, due to operating income at Kronos and CompX in 2010 compared to operating losses at all of our segments in 2009;
  - lower cash paid for taxes of \$16.2 million in 2010 due to our lower earnings in 2009;
  - proceeds from a litigation settlement of \$11.8 million received in January 2009;
  - the \$19.0 million paid in 2010 in relation to a litigation settlement expense;
- distributions from our Chemicals Segment's joint venture of \$1.5 million in 2010 compared to \$4.6 million in 2009; and
- changes in receivables, inventories, payables and accrued liabilities in 2010 used \$44.4 million of net cash, a decline of \$141.5 million compared to 2009, primarily due to increased sales and production volumes.
  - Changes in working capital were affected by accounts receivable and inventory changes. As shown below:
    - Kronos' average days sales outstanding ("DSO") increased from December 31, 2009 to June 30, 2010 due to the timing of collection on receivable balances;
    - Kronos' average days sales in inventory ("DSI") decreased from December 31, 2009 to June 30, 2010;
  - CompX's average DSO increased from December 31, 2009 to June 30, 2010. Historically, CompX's average December 31 DSO is low due to the timing of sales and collections in the fourth quarter. Overall, CompX's June 30, 2010 average DSO is comparable to June 30, 2009; and
  - CompX's average DSI was flat from December 31, 2009 to June 30, 2010 as a result of the increase in sales in the first quarter of 2010 and the related timing of inventory purchases.

Edgar Filing: VALHI INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q

For comparative purposes, we have also provided comparable prior period numbers below.

	December 31, 2008	June 30, 2009	December 31, 2009	June 30, 2010
<b>Kronos:</b>				
Days sales outstanding	64 days	67 days	56 days	59 days
Days sales in inventory	113 days	42 days	58 days	49 days
<b>CompX:</b>				
Days sales outstanding	41 days	44 days	37 days	45 days
Days sales in inventory	70 days	74 days	64 days	64 days

We do not have complete access to the cash flows of our majority-owned subsidiaries, due in part to limitations contained in certain credit agreements of our subsidiaries and because we do not own 100% of these subsidiaries. A detail of our consolidated cash flows from operating activities is presented in the table below. Intercompany dividends have been eliminated.

	Six months ended June 30,	
	2009	2010
	(In millions)	
<b>Cash provided by (used in) operating activities:</b>		
Valhi exclusive of subsidiaries	\$15.5	\$21.3
CompX	5.5	1.1
Kronos	44.5	(3.5 )
WCS	(7.8 )	(9.2 )
NL exclusive of its subsidiaries	(3.1 )	(6.3 )
Tremont	8.8	(1.9 )
Other	1.1	.1
Eliminations	(21.8 )	(12.8 )
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$42.7</b>	<b>\$(11.2 )</b>

Investing and Financing Activities –

We spent \$18.6 million in capital expenditures during the first six months of 2010 as follows:

- \$15.4 million in our Chemicals Segment;
- \$2.0 million in our Waste Management Segment; and
- \$1.2 million in our Component Products Segment.

We had the following market transactions during the six months of 2010:

- purchased marketable securities of \$7.5 million;
- sold marketable securities for proceeds of \$2.6 million; and
- reduced restricted cash and restricted marketable securities by a total of \$5.2 million due to the release of funds to us from escrow related to a litigation settlement and due to the reduction of one of our letters of credit.

During the first six months of 2010, we had net borrowings of euro 6.0 million (\$10.3 million when borrowed/repaid) under Kronos' European bank credit facility, repaid a net \$16.7 million under Kronos' U.S. bank credit facility, had net borrowings of \$31.6 million on Kronos' revolving facility with Contran, and had net borrowings of \$5.0 million under CompX's revolving credit facility. During the first six months of 2010, we had net borrowings of \$14.1 million under our Contran credit facility. We paid aggregate cash dividends of \$22.7 million (\$.10 per share per quarter) on our common stock in the first six months of 2010. Distributions to noncontrolling interest in subsidiaries in the first six months of 2010 are primarily comprised of CompX dividends paid to shareholders other than NL.

## Outstanding Debt Obligations

At June 30, 2010, our consolidated indebtedness was comprised of:

- KII's euro 400 million aggregate principal amount of its 6.5% Senior Secured Notes (\$493.3 million) due in 2013;
  - our \$250 million loan from Snake River Sugar Company due in 2027;
- KII's European revolving credit facility euro 15 million (\$18.6 million outstanding) which matures in May 2011;
  - CompX's promissory note payable to TIMET (\$42.2 million outstanding) which is due in 2014;
  - Kronos' Contran revolving credit facility (\$31.6 million outstanding) which matures in December 2011;
    - Valhi's revolving credit facility with Contran (\$69.0 million outstanding) due in 2012;
- A wholly-owned subsidiary of Valhi's promissory demand notes payable to Contran (\$30.0 million outstanding) due in 2012;
  - NL's \$18.0 million promissory note issued in connection with a litigation settlement due in 2011 and 2012;
- WCS' \$12.0 million promissory note issued in connection with the termination of a customer contract due in 2010 through 2014;
  - CompX's revolving credit facility (\$5.0 million outstanding) due in January 2012; and
    - approximately \$7.7 million of other indebtedness.

Kronos' European revolving credit facility currently matures in May 2011. We have commenced discussions with the lenders of the facility regarding an extension of the facility, and we expect to have a new agreement of extension in place prior to the maturity date.

Certain of our revolving credit facilities with unrelated, third party lenders require the respective borrowers to maintain minimum levels of equity, require the maintenance of certain financial ratios, limit dividends and additional indebtedness and contain other provisions and restrictive covenants customary in lending transactions of this type. We are in compliance with all of our debt covenants at June 30, 2010. We believe we will be able to comply with the financial covenants contained in all of our credit facilities through the maturity of the respective facilities; however if future operating results differ materially from our expectations we may be unable to maintain compliance.

## Future Cash Requirements

### Liquidity –

Our primary source of liquidity on an ongoing basis is our cash flows from operating activities and borrowings under various lines of credit and notes. We generally use these amounts to (i) fund capital expenditures, (ii) repay short-term indebtedness incurred primarily for working capital purposes and (iii) provide for the payment of dividends (including dividends paid to us by our subsidiaries) or treasury stock purchases. From time-to-time we will incur indebtedness, generally to (i) fund short-term working capital needs, (ii) refinance existing indebtedness, (iii) make investments in marketable and other securities (including the acquisition of securities issued by our subsidiaries and affiliates) or (iv) fund major capital expenditures or the acquisition of other assets outside the ordinary course of business. Occasionally we sell assets outside the ordinary course of business, and we generally use the proceeds to (i) repay existing indebtedness (including indebtedness which may have been collateralized by the assets sold), (ii) make investments in marketable and other securities, (iii) fund major capital expenditures or the acquisition of other assets outside the ordinary course of business or (iv) pay dividends.



We routinely compare our liquidity requirements and alternative uses of capital against the estimated future cash flows we expect to receive from our subsidiaries, and the estimated sales value of those units. As a result of this process, we have in the past sought, and may in the future seek, to raise additional capital, refinance or restructure indebtedness, repurchase indebtedness in the market or otherwise, modify our dividend policies, consider the sale of our interests in our subsidiaries, affiliates, business units, marketable securities or other assets, or take a combination of these and other steps, to increase liquidity, reduce indebtedness and fund future activities. Such activities have in the past and may in the future involve related companies. From time to time we and our subsidiaries may enter into intercompany loans as a cash management tool. Such notes are structured as revolving demand notes and pay and receive interest on terms we believe are more favorable than current debt and investment market rates. The companies that receive these notes have sufficient borrowing capacity to repay the notes at anytime upon demand. All of these notes and related interest expense and income are eliminated in our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

We periodically evaluate acquisitions of interests in or combinations with companies (including our affiliates) that may or may not be engaged in businesses related to our current businesses. We intend to consider such acquisition activities in the future and, in connection with this activity, may consider issuing additional equity securities and increasing indebtedness. From time to time, we also evaluate the restructuring of ownership interests among our respective subsidiaries and related companies.

Based upon our expectations of our operating performance, and the anticipated demands on our cash resources, we expect to have sufficient liquidity to meet our short-term obligations (defined as the twelve-month period ending June 30, 2011). In this regard, see the discussion above in “Outstanding Debt Obligations.” If actual developments differ from our expectations, our liquidity could be adversely affected.

At June 30, 2010, we had credit available under existing facilities of approximately \$197 million, which was comprised of:

- \$145(1) million under Kronos’ various U.S. and non-U.S. credit facilities;
  - \$21 million under CompX’s bank credit facility, and
  - \$31(2) million under Valhi’s Contran credit facility.

(1) As previously reported, in May 2010 Kronos satisfied certain specified financial covenants in its European revolving credit facility, and as a result the maximum borrowing availability under such facility returned to the full euro 80 million facility size at that time. The amount of unused credit availability under Kronos’ European credit facility indicated above reflects the full euro 80 million facility size.

(2) Amounts available under this facility are at the sole discretion of Contran.

Although CompX has \$32.5 million in availability under its bank credit facility, at June 30, 2010 CompX could only borrow \$21 million and still be in compliance with its debt covenants. Lower future results could further restrict or prohibit CompX's borrowings under the Credit Agreement. We could borrow all of the amounts noted above without violating any covenants of the credit facilities.

At June 30, 2010, we had an aggregate of \$102.9 million of restricted and unrestricted cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities. A detail by entity is presented in the table below.

	Amount (In millions)
NL exclusive of its subsidiaries	\$35.0
Valhi exclusive of its subsidiaries	16.1
Kronos	35.3
CompX	7.6
Tremont	8.8
WCS	.1
Total cash and cash equivalents restricted cash And marketable securities	\$102.9

#### Capital Expenditures –

We currently estimate that we will invest approximately \$70 million in capital expenditures during 2010, as follows:

- \$43 million in our Chemicals Segment, including approximately \$12 million in the area of environmental protection and compliance;
- \$4 million in our Component Products Segment; and
- \$23 million in our Waste Management Segment.

The WCS amount includes approximately \$5 million in capitalized permit costs. Capital spending for 2010 is expected to be funded through cash generated from operations and credit facilities. Our Waste Management Segment's LLRW disposal license was issued in January 2009 and signed in September 2009. With the receipt of this license, WCS expects to begin construction of its LLRW facility in late 2010. Approximately \$16 million of WCS' planned capital spending relates to the new facility. WCS is currently seeking financing to fund construction of these facilities, and a delay in obtaining such financing could result in a delay in constructing the LLRW facility. In May 2009, the Andrews County voters approved a potential bond sale of up to \$75 million to provide financing for the construction. However, the county has not yet issued the bonds, and we can provide no assurance that the bonds will be issued.

With the exception of our Waste Management Segment, in response to the current economic conditions, planned capital expenditures in 2010 will be to maintain our facilities.

#### Repurchases of Common Stock –

We have in the past, and may in the future, make repurchases of our common stock in market or privately-negotiated transactions. At June 30, 2010 we had approximately 4.0 million shares available to repurchase shares of our common stock under the authorizations made by our Board of Directors.



CompX's board of directors authorized the repurchase of its Class A common stock in open market transactions, including block purchases, or in privately-negotiated transactions at unspecified prices and over an unspecified period of time. At June 30, 2010 approximately 678,000 shares were available for purchase under these authorizations.

#### Dividends –

Because our operations are conducted primarily through subsidiaries and affiliates, our long-term ability to meet parent company level corporate obligations is largely dependent on the receipt of dividends or other distributions from our subsidiaries and affiliates. In February 2009, Kronos' board suspended its quarterly dividend after considering the challenges and opportunities that exist in the TiO2 products industry and we do not currently expect to receive a dividend from Kronos in 2010. NL's current quarterly cash dividend is \$.125 per share, although in the past NL has paid a dividend in the form of Kronos common stock. If NL continues to pay its regular quarterly dividends in cash, based on the 40.4 million shares we held of NL common stock at June 30, 2010, we would receive aggregate annual dividends from NL of \$20.2 million. We do not expect to receive any distributions from WCS during 2010. All of our ownership interest in CompX is held through our ownership in NL, as such we do not receive any dividends from CompX. Instead any dividend CompX declares is paid to NL.

Our subsidiaries have various credit agreements with unrelated third-party lenders which contain customary limitations on the payment of dividends, typically a percentage of net income or cash flow; however, these restrictions in the past have not significantly impacted their ability to pay dividends.

#### Investment in our Subsidiaries and Affiliates and Other Acquisitions –

We have in the past, and may in the future, purchase the securities of our subsidiaries and affiliates or third parties in market or privately-negotiated transactions. We base our purchase decision on a variety of factors, including an analysis of the optimal use of our capital, taking into account the market value of the securities and the relative value of expected returns on alternative investments. In connection with these activities, we may consider issuing additional equity securities or increasing our indebtedness. We may also evaluate the restructuring of ownership interests of our businesses among our subsidiaries and related companies.

We generally do not guarantee any indebtedness or other obligations of our subsidiaries or affiliates. Our subsidiaries are not required to pay us dividends. If one or more of our subsidiaries were unable to maintain its current level of dividends, either due to restrictions contained in a credit agreement or to satisfy its liabilities or otherwise, our ability to service our liabilities or to pay dividends on our common stock could be adversely impacted. If this were to occur, we might consider reducing or eliminating our dividends or selling interests in subsidiaries or other assets. If we were required to liquidate assets to generate funds to satisfy our liabilities, we might be required to sell at what we believe would be less than the value of such assets.

WCS' primary source of liquidity currently consists of intercompany borrowings from one of our wholly-owned subsidiaries under the terms of a revolving credit facility. We eliminate these intercompany borrowings in our Consolidated Financial Statements. During the first six months of 2010, WCS borrowed a net \$8.0 million from our subsidiary. WCS used these net borrowings primarily to fund its operating loss and capital expenditures. The outstanding amount of this intercompany borrowing, which is eliminated in our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, was \$12.6 million at June 30, 2010 and \$4.6 million at December 31, 2009. We expect that WCS will likely borrow additional amounts during the remainder of 2010 from our subsidiary. In addition to WCS' borrowing availability under this facility, we currently expect WCS' cash needs in 2010 to be provided in part by certain third-party borrowings. If WCS is not successful in obtaining third-party borrowings, it is probable the amount WCS may borrow under this facility would be increased to compensate.



In June 2010, NL entered into a promissory note with Valhi that allows NL to borrow up to \$40 million. We also eliminate any such intercompany borrowings in our Consolidated Financial Statement. In June 2010, NL borrowed a net \$2.8 million from us. We expect that NL will likely borrow additional amounts from us during the remainder of 2010.

#### Investment in The Amalgamated Sugar Company LLC –

The terms of The Amalgamated Sugar Company LLC Company Agreement provide for an annual "base level" of cash dividend distributions (sometimes referred to as distributable cash) by the LLC of \$26.7 million, from which we are entitled to a 95% preferential share. Distributions from the LLC are dependent, in part, upon the operations of the LLC. We record dividend distributions from the LLC as income when they are declared by the LLC, which is generally the same month in which we receive the distributions, although distributions may in certain cases be paid on the first business day of the following month. To the extent the LLC's distributable cash is below this base level in any given year, we are entitled to an additional 95% preferential share of any future annual LLC distributable cash in excess of the base level until such shortfall is recovered. Based on the LLC's current projections for 2010, we expect distributions received from the LLC in 2010 will exceed our debt service requirements under our \$250 million loans from Snake River Sugar Company by approximately \$1.8 million.

We may, at our option, require the LLC to redeem our interest in the LLC beginning in 2012, and the LLC has the right to redeem our interest in the LLC beginning in 2027. The redemption price is generally \$250 million plus the amount of certain undistributed income allocable to us, if any. In the event we require the LLC to redeem our interest in the LLC, Snake River has the right to accelerate the maturity of and call our \$250 aggregate million loans from Snake River. Redemption of our interest in the LLC would result in us reporting income related to the disposition of our LLC interest for income tax purposes, although we would not be expected to report a gain in earnings for financial reporting purposes at the time our LLC interest is redeemed. However, because of Snake River's ability to call our \$250 million loans from Snake River upon redemption of our interest in the LLC, the net cash proceeds (after repayment of the debt) generated by the redemption of our interest in the LLC could be less than the income taxes that we would be required to pay as a result of the disposition.

#### Off-balance Sheet Financing

We do not have any off-balance sheet financing agreements other than the operating leases discussed in our 2009 Annual Report.

## Commitments and Contingencies

We are subject to certain commitments and contingencies, as more fully described in Notes 10 and 12 to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and in Part II, Item 1 of this Quarterly Report, including:

- certain income tax examinations which are underway in various U.S. and non-U.S. jurisdictions;
- certain environmental remediation matters involving NL, Tremont and Valhi;
- certain litigation related to NL's former involvement in the manufacture of lead pigment and lead-based paint; and
  - certain other litigation to which we are a party.

In addition to those legal proceedings described in Note 12 to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, various legislation and administrative regulations have, from time to time, been proposed that seek to (i) impose various obligations on present and former manufacturers of lead pigment and lead-based paint (including NL) with respect to asserted health concerns associated with the use of such products and (ii) effectively overturn court decisions in which NL and other pigment manufacturers have been successful. Examples of such proposed legislation include bills which would permit civil liability for damages on the basis of market share, rather than requiring plaintiffs to prove that the defendant's product caused the alleged damage, and bills which would revive actions barred by the statute of limitations. While no legislation or regulations have been enacted to date that are expected to have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or liquidity, enactment of such legislation could have such an effect.

## Recent Accounting Pronouncements

There have been no recent accounting pronouncements for the first six months of 2010.

## Critical Accounting Policies

There have been no changes in the first six months of 2010 with respect to our critical accounting policies presented in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation in our 2009 Annual Report.

## ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We are exposed to market risk, including currency exchange rates, interest rates and equity security prices. For a discussion of such market risk items, please refer to Part I, Item 7A - "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk" in our 2009 Annual Report. There have been no material changes in these market risks during the first six months of 2010.

We have substantial operations located outside the United States for which the functional currency is not the U.S. dollar. As a result, our assets and liabilities, results of operations and cash flows will fluctuate based upon changes in currency exchange rates.

We periodically use currency forward contracts to manage a nominal portion of currency exchange rate market risk associated with trade receivables, or similar exchange rate risk associated with future sales, denominated in a currency other than the holder's functional currency. These contracts generally relate to our Chemicals and Component Products operations. We have not entered into these contracts for trading or speculative purposes in the past, nor do we currently anticipate entering into such contracts for trading or speculative purposes in the future. Some of the currency forward contracts we enter into meet the criteria for hedge accounting under GAAP and are designated as cash flow hedges. For these currency forward contracts, gains and losses representing the effective portion of our hedges are deferred as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income, and are subsequently recognized in

earnings at the time the hedged item affects earnings. For the currency forward contracts we enter into which do not meet the criteria for hedge accounting, we mark-to-market the estimated fair value of such contracts at each balance sheet date, with any resulting gain or loss recognized in income currently as part of net currency transactions. See Note 13 to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

- 50 -

---



#### ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

##### Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures –

We maintain a system of disclosure controls and procedures. The term "disclosure controls and procedures," as defined by regulations of the SEC, means controls and other procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports we file or submit to the SEC under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Act"), is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information we are required to disclose in the reports we file or submit to the SEC under the Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer and our principal financial officer, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions to be made regarding required disclosure. Each of Steven L. Watson, our President and Chief Executive Officer, and Bobby D. O'Brien, our Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, have evaluated the design and operating effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of June 30, 2010. Based upon their evaluation, these executive officers have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of June 30, 2010.

##### Internal Control Over Financial Reporting –

We also maintain internal control over financial reporting. The term "internal control over financial reporting," as defined by Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f), means a process designed by, or under the supervision of, our principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by our board of directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with GAAP, and includes those policies and procedures that:

- pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect our transactions and dispositions of our assets,
- provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP, and that our receipts and expenditures are made only in accordance with authorizations of our management and directors, and
- provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

As permitted by the SEC, our assessment of internal control over financial reporting excludes (i) internal control over financial reporting of our equity method investees and (ii) internal control over the preparation of our financial statement schedules required by Article 12 of Regulation S-X. However, our assessment of internal control over financial reporting with respect to our equity method investees did include our controls over the recording of amounts related to our investment that are recorded in our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, including controls over the selection of accounting methods for our investments, the recognition of equity method earnings and losses and the determination, valuation and recording of our investment account balances.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting –

There has been no change to our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended June 30, 2010 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Part II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

In addition to the matters discussed below, please refer to Note 12 to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and to our 2009 Annual Report and our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2010.

Thomas v. Lead Industries Association, et al. (Circuit Court, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, Case No. 99-CV-6411). In May 2010, the appellate court lifted the stay.

County of Santa Clara v. Atlantic Richfield Company, et al. (Superior Court of the State of California, County of Santa Clara, Case No. CV788657). In July 2010, the California Supreme Court ruled that public entities could pursue this public nuisance case assisted by private counsel on a contingent fee basis after revising the respective retention agreements to conform with the requirements set forth in the Supreme Court's opinion. The Supreme Court (1) clarified that the government attorneys overseeing the external, contingency fee counsel must, at a minimum, retain complete control over the course and conduct of the case; retain veto power over any decisions made by outside counsel; and be personally involved in overseeing the litigation and (2) remanded the case for further proceedings consistent with its opinion.

Lauren Brown v. NL Industries, Inc., et al. (Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois, County Department, Law Division, Case No. 03L 012425). In June 2010, the case was dismissed with prejudice.

Hess, et al. v. NL Industries, Inc., et al. (Missouri Circuit Court 22nd Judicial Circuit, St. Louis City, Cause No. 052-11799). In June 2010, the case was dismissed with prejudice.

Circuit Court Cases in Milwaukee County, Wisconsin. In April 2010, Gibson, one of the four cases removed to federal court, was scheduled for trial in April 2012. In June 2010, defendant ARCO's motion for summary judgment was granted. In July 2010 we, along with other defendants, filed a motion for summary judgment.

Brown et al. v. NL Industries, Inc. et al. (Circuit Court Wayne County, Michigan, Case No. 06-602096 CZ). We filed a motion for judgment notwithstanding the verdict and in June 2010, the court denied the motion.

United States of America v. Halliburton Energy Services, Inc., et al. (U.S. District Court, Southern District of Texas, Civil Action No. 07-cv-03795). In July 2010, this matter was resolved in NL's favor, with no expectation of future liability to us.

Brown, et al. v. NL Industries, Inc. et al. (Circuit Court Wayne County, Michigan, Case No. 09-002458 CE). In May 2010, plaintiffs filed a Notice of Appeal to appeal the dismissal of the case.

New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection v. Occidental Chemical Corp., et al. (L-009868-05, Superior Court of New Jersey, Essex County). In June 2010, the court lifted the stay on discovery as to all third-party defendants.

Raritan Baykeeper, Inc. d/b/a NY/NJ Baykeeper et al. v. NL Industries, Inc. et al. (United States District Court, District of New Jersey, Case No. 3:09-cv-04117). In May 2010, the court granted our Motion to Dismiss. In June 2010, plaintiffs filed an appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit.

Malone Superfund Site, Texas City, Texas. In July 2010, this matter was resolved in NL's favor, with no expectation of future liability to NL.

Haily Paint et al. v. E.I. Dupont de Numours and Company, et al. (United States District Court, Northern Division of Maryland, Case No. 1:10-cv-00318-RDB). In May 2010, defendants filed a motion to dismiss which plaintiffs opposed in June 2010.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

For a discussion of the risk factors related to our businesses, please refer to Part I, Item 1A, "Risk Factors," in our 2009 Annual report. There have been no material changes to such risk factors during the first six months of 2010.

Item 6. Exhibits.

Item No.	Exhibit Index
31.1	Certification
31.2	Certification
32.1	Certification

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

VALHI, INC.  
(Registrant)

Date August 5, 2010

/s/ Bobby D. O'Brien  
Bobby D. O'Brien  
(Vice President and Chief  
Financial Officer)

Date August 5, 2010

/s/ Gregory M. Swalwell  
Gregory M. Swalwell  
(Vice President and Controller,  
Principal Accounting Officer)

