

Edgar Filing: DineEquity, Inc - Form 10-K

DineEquity, Inc  
Form 10-K  
February 25, 2015

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

---

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE  
ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission File Number 001-15283

DineEquity, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

95-3038279

(State or other jurisdiction

(I.R.S. Employer

of incorporation or organization)

Identification No.)

450 North Brand Boulevard, Glendale, California

91203-2306

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (818) 240-6055

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

| Title of each class | Name of each exchange on which registered |
|---------------------|---|
|---------------------|---|

|                               |                         |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Common Stock, \$.01 Par Value | New York Stock Exchange |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was Required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| Large accelerated filer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/> | Non-accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/> | Smaller reporting company <input type="checkbox"/> |
|   |  | (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)  |  |

Edgar Filing: DineEquity, Inc - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes  No   
State the aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of June 30, 2014: \$1.3 billion.

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the registrant's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

| Class                         | Outstanding as of February 20, 2015 |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Common Stock, \$.01 par value | 19,119,025                          |

**DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE**

Portions of the Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on Tuesday, May 19, 2015 (the "2015 Proxy Statement") are incorporated by reference into Part III.

---

DINEEQUITY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 Annual Report on Form 10-K  
 For the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2014  
 Table of Contents

|  | Page       |
|--|------------|
| <u>PART I.</u>   |            |
| <u>Item 1—Business</u>   | <u>3</u>   |
| <u>Item 1A—Risk Factors</u>  | <u>11</u>  |
| <u>Item 1B—Unresolved Staff Comments</u>   | <u>21</u>  |
| <u>Item 2—Properties</u>   | <u>22</u>  |
| <u>Item 3—Legal Proceedings</u>  | <u>24</u>  |
| <u>Item 4—Mine Safety Disclosures</u>  | <u>24</u>  |
| <u>PART II.</u>  |            |
| <u>Item 5—Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> | <u>24</u>  |
| <u>Item 6—Selected Financial Data</u>  | <u>27</u>  |
| <u>Item 7—Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>                        | <u>28</u>  |
| <u>Item 7A—Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>  | <u>58</u>  |
| <u>Item 8—Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>  | <u>60</u>  |
| <u>Item 9—Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>                         | <u>95</u>  |
| <u>Item 9A—Controls and Procedures</u>   | <u>95</u>  |
| <u>Item 9B—Other Information</u>   | <u>98</u>  |
| <u>PART III.</u>   |            |
| <u>Item 10—Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>  | <u>98</u>  |
| <u>Item 11—Executive Compensation</u>  | <u>98</u>  |
| <u>Item 12—Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>              | <u>98</u>  |
| <u>Item 13—Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>                                   | <u>98</u>  |
| <u>Item 14—Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u>  | <u>98</u>  |
| <u>PART IV.</u>  |            |
| <u>Item 15—Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u>  | <u>99</u>  |
| <u>Signatures</u>  | <u>102</u> |

## PART I

### Item 1. Business

DineEquity, Inc., together with its subsidiaries (referred to as the “Company,” “we,” “our” and “us,”), owns, franchises and operates two restaurant concepts: Applebee's Neighborhood Grill & Bar® (“Applebee’s®”), in the bar and grill segment within the casual dining category of the restaurant industry, and International House of Pancakes® (“IHOP®”), in the family dining category of the restaurant industry. References herein to Applebee's and IHOP restaurants are to these two restaurant concepts, whether operated by franchisees, area licensees or by us. As of December 31, 2014, 99% of our 3,667 restaurants across both brands were franchised. We believe this highly franchised business model requires less capital investment and general and administrative overhead, generates higher gross profit margins and reduces the volatility of free cash flow performance, as compared to owning a significant number of company-operated restaurants.

We generate revenue from four reporting segments, comprised of:

- Franchise operations - primarily royalties, fees and other income from 1,994 Applebee’s franchised restaurants and 1,639 IHOP franchised and area licensed restaurants;
- Rental operations - primarily rental income derived from lease or sublease agreements covering 709 IHOP franchised restaurants and one Applebee’s franchised restaurant;
- Company restaurant operations - retail sales from 23 Applebee’s company-operated restaurants and 11 IHOP company-operated restaurants; and
- Financing operations - primarily interest income from approximately \$110 million of receivables for equipment leases and franchise fee notes generally associated with IHOP franchised restaurants developed before 2003.

Most of our revenue is derived from domestic operations within these four reporting segments, with approximately 88% of our total 2014 revenues being generated from our franchise and rental operations. Revenue derived from all foreign country operations comprised less than 3% of total consolidated revenue for the year ended December 31, 2014. At December 31, 2014, there were no long-lived assets located in foreign countries. See Note 17, Segment Reporting, of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in this report for further segment information.

This report should be read in conjunction with the cautionary statements under “Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements.”

#### Our Key Strategies

We are focused on building our brands to create value for our stockholders and franchisees. To build on our achievements we are focused on the following key strategic priorities:

- Drive higher growth from our existing brands;
- Enable an increase in franchisee restaurant development;
- Maintain strong financial discipline; and
- Drive stockholder value by generating strong free cash flow, the majority of which we intend to return to our stockholders.

Our fundamental approach to brand building centers on a strategic combination of menu, media, remodel and development initiatives to continually innovate and evolve both brands. Our shared services operating platform allows our senior management to focus on key factors that drive both our brands while leveraging the resources and expertise of our scalable, centralized support structure. We believe this closely integrated approach is a competitive point of difference that we expect will strengthen brand performance and generate growth in free cash flow.

#### Our History

The first IHOP restaurant opened in 1958 in Toluca Lake, California. Since that time, the Company and its predecessors have engaged in the development, franchising and operation of IHOP restaurants. Prior to 2003, new IHOP restaurants were generally developed by us, and we were involved in all aspects of the construction and financing of the restaurants. We typically identified and leased or purchased the restaurant sites for new company-developed IHOP restaurants, built and equipped the restaurants and then franchised them to franchisees. In addition, we typically financed as much as 80% of the franchise fee for periods ranging from five to eight years and

leased the restaurant and equipment to the franchisee over a 25-year period. We refer to this method of operation as our "Previous IHOP Business Model," which accounts for most of the activity in our rental and financing segments.

3

---

For most IHOP restaurants opened after 2003, the franchisee is primarily responsible for the development and financing of the restaurant. In general, we no longer provide any financing with respect to the franchise fee, restaurant site or equipment. The franchise developer uses its own capital and financial resources along with third-party financial sources arranged for by the franchise developer to purchase or lease a restaurant site, build and equip the business and fund its working capital needs. We refer to this method of operation as our “Current IHOP Business Model.”

The first restaurant in what became the Applebee’s chain opened in 1980 in Decatur, Georgia. In November 2007, we completed the acquisition of Applebee’s International, Inc., which comprised 1,455 franchised restaurants and 510 company-operated restaurants at the time of the acquisition. Over the next five years, we refranchised nearly 480 of the Applebee’s company-operated restaurants and realized our goal of becoming 99% franchised in each brand in October 2012.

#### Restaurant Concepts

##### Applebee's

We franchise, own and operate Applebee’s restaurants in the bar and grill segment within the casual dining category of the restaurant industry. Each Applebee’s restaurant is designed as an attractive, friendly establishment featuring high quality, moderately-priced food, alcoholic and non-alcoholic beverage items, table service and a comfortable neighborhood atmosphere. Applebee’s features a selection of modern American grill and bar fare, such as appetizers, sandwiches and burgers, classic entrees and grilled steaks, specialty cocktails, beers and desserts. Our signature value menu “2 for \$20,” first introduced in 2009, sets the standard within the industry and continues to resonate with our guests. Our commitment to industry leading innovation is evident behind such product platforms as “Unbelievably Great Tasting & Under 550 Calories,” our famous “All-In Burgers,” and most recently, the introduction of “The Pub Diet - grill and bar classics made a little better for you.” Each of our platforms is refreshed regularly to ensure that we are meeting the ever changing tastes and preferences of our guests so that they will choose to make Applebee’s their place, every day.

As of December 31, 2014, 60 franchise groups operated 1,994 Applebee’s franchise restaurants while we operated 23 restaurants in the Kansas City, Missouri area. These restaurants were located in 49 states within the United States, in two United States territories and in 15 countries outside of the United States. Applebee's was the largest casual dining concept in the United States in terms of 2013 system-wide sales<sup>(1)</sup>.

##### IHOP

We franchise, own and operate restaurants in the family dining category of the restaurant industry under the names IHOP and International House of Pancakes. IHOP restaurants feature full table service and high quality, moderately priced food and beverage offerings in an attractive and comfortable family atmosphere. Although the restaurants are best known for their award-winning pancakes, omelets and other breakfast specialties, IHOP restaurants also offer a variety of lunch, dinner and snack items. IHOP restaurants are open throughout the day and evening hours. Over half of our IHOP restaurants operate 24 hours a day, seven days a week and approximately 200 additional restaurants operate 24 hours a day for some portion of the week. In June 2013, we launched a newly designed IHOP menu with three primary objectives: (i) simplify the ordering process with a new layout; (ii) reduce the overall number of menu items over time to lessen complexity; and (iii) introduce new menu offerings and categories.

As of December 31, 2014, 344 franchise groups operated 1,639 IHOP franchise restaurants, while we operated 10 restaurants in the Cincinnati, Ohio area. These restaurants were located in all 50 states within the United States, in the District of Columbia, in two United States territories and in eight countries outside of the United States. IHOP was the largest family dining concept in the United States in terms of 2013 system-wide sales<sup>(1)</sup>.

In addition, from time to time we may also operate, on a temporary basis until refranchised, IHOP restaurants that we re-acquire for a variety of reasons from IHOP franchisees. There was one such restaurant included in total company-operated restaurants as of December 31, 2014.

See Item 2, Properties, for the geographic location of all Applebee’s and IHOP restaurants.

<sup>(1)</sup> Source: Nation's Restaurant News, "Special Report: Top 100," June 30, 2014.

4

---

## Franchising

### Franchisee Relationships

We highly value good relationships between franchisors and franchisees and strive to maintain positive working relationships with our franchisees. For several years, IHOP and Applebee's franchisees have operated their own representative advisory groups. These groups provide a forum for franchisees to share demonstrated best practices, offer counsel and review successful strategies, while working side-by-side with management of the Applebee's and IHOP brands. Applebee's sponsors its Franchise Brand Council ("FBC"), which consists of eight franchisee representatives and three members of our senior management team. One franchisee representative, the founder of Applebee's, is a member for life, while the other franchisee representatives are elected by our franchisees. IHOP sponsors its Franchise Leadership Council ("FLC"), an elected and appointed body of IHOP franchisees formed to advise and assist senior management with respect to a broad range of matters relating to the operation of IHOP restaurants.

The Applebee's FBC and the IHOP FLC assist Applebee's and IHOP senior management in key areas of the business, including franchise marketing, menu development, information technology, operations and innovation.

### Franchise Agreements and Fees

Generally, franchise arrangements for Applebee's restaurants consist of a development agreement and separate franchise agreements for each restaurant. Development agreements grant to the franchise developer the exclusive right to develop Applebee's restaurants within a designated geographical area over a specified period of time. The term of a domestic development agreement is generally 20 years. The development agreements typically provide for an initial development schedule of one to five years as agreed upon by the Company and the franchisee. At or shortly prior to the completion of the initial development schedule or any subsequent supplemental development schedule, the Company and the franchisee generally execute supplemental development schedules providing for the development of additional Applebee's restaurants in the franchise developer's exclusive territory.

Prior to the opening of each new Applebee's restaurant, the franchisee and the Company enter into a separate franchise agreement for that restaurant. Our current standard domestic Applebee's franchise agreement provides for an initial term of 20 years and permits four renewals, in five-year increments, for up to an additional 20 years, upon payment of an additional franchise fee. Our current standard domestic Applebee's franchise arrangement calls for a development fee equal to \$10,000 for each Applebee's restaurant that the franchisee contracts to develop and an initial franchisee fee of \$35,000 for each restaurant developed (against which the \$10,000 development fee will be credited) and a royalty fee equal to 4% of the restaurant's monthly gross sales. We have agreements with most of our franchisees for Applebee's restaurants opened before January 1, 2000, which provide for royalty rates of 4%. The terms, royalties and advertising fees under a limited number of franchise agreements and other franchise fees under older development agreements vary from the currently offered arrangements.

Under the Current IHOP Business Model, a potential franchisee first enters into either a single-restaurant development agreement or a multi-restaurant development agreement with us and, upon completion of a prescribed approval procedure, is primarily responsible for the development and financing of one or more new IHOP franchised restaurants.

The revenues we receive from a typical franchise development arrangement under the Current IHOP Business Model include (a) a development fee equal to \$20,000 for each IHOP restaurant that the franchisee contracts to develop upon execution of a multi-restaurant development agreement; (b) a franchise fee equal to (i) \$50,000 for a restaurant developed under a single-restaurant development agreement or (ii) \$40,000 (against which the \$20,000 development fee will be credited) for each restaurant developed under a multi-restaurant development agreement, in each case paid upon execution of the franchise agreement; (c) franchise royalties equal to 4.5% of weekly gross sales; (d) revenue from the sale of pancake and waffle dry-mixes; and (e) franchise advertising fees.

The principal terms of the franchise agreements entered into under the Previous IHOP Business Model and the Current IHOP Business Model, including the franchise royalties and the franchise advertising fees, are substantially the same except with respect to the terms relating to the franchise fee, lease or sublease rents for the restaurant



property and building, and interest income from any franchise fee notes and equipment leases. In a few instances, we have agreed to accept reduced royalties and/or lease payments from franchisees or have provided other accommodations to franchisees for specified periods of time in order to assist them in either establishing or reinvigorating their businesses.

We have the contractual right, subject to state law, to terminate a franchise agreement for a variety of reasons, including, but not limited to, a franchisee's failure to make required payments when due or failure to adhere to specified Company policies and standards.

#### Advertising Fees

We currently require domestic franchisees of Applebee's restaurants to contribute 3.25% of their gross sales to a national advertising fund and to spend at least 0.5% of their gross sales on local marketing and promotional activities. Under the current Applebee's franchise agreements, we have the ability to increase the amount of the required combined contribution to the national advertising fund and the amount required to be spent on local marketing and promotional activities to a maximum of 5% of gross sales. For the year ended December 31, 2014, approximately 4.70% of Applebee's company restaurant sales was allocated for marketing activities. This amount includes contributions to the national advertising fund, which develops and funds the national promotions and the development of television and radio commercials and print advertising materials. We focus the remainder of our company-operated restaurant marketing expenditures on local marketing in the Kansas City area.

IHOP franchisees and company-operated restaurants allocate a percentage of their sales to local advertising cooperatives and a national advertising fund (the "IHOP NAF"). The IHOP franchise agreements generally provide for advertising fees comprised of (i) a local advertising fee generally equal to 2.0% of weekly gross sales under the franchise agreement, which is typically used to cover the cost of local media purchases and other local advertising expenses incurred by a local advertising cooperative, and (ii) a national advertising fee equal to 1.0% of weekly gross sales under the franchise agreement. Area licensees are generally required to pay lesser amounts toward advertising. The local IHOP advertising cooperatives have historically used advertising fees for various local marketing programs. The national marketing fund is primarily used for buying media and national advertising and also for the production of advertising. The national marketing fund is also used to defray certain expenses associated with our marketing and advertising functions. Beginning in 2005, and every year thereafter, we and the IHOP franchisees agreed to reallocate portions of the local advertising fees to purchase national broadcast, syndication and cable television time in order to reach our target audience more frequently and more cost effectively.

During 2014, we and franchisees whose restaurants contribute a large majority of total annual contributions to the IHOP NAF entered into an amendment to their franchise agreements that increased the advertising contribution percentage of those restaurants' gross sales. Pursuant to the amendment, for the period from June 30, 2014 to December 31, 2014, 2.74% of each participating restaurant's gross sales was contributed to the IHOP NAF and 0.76% was contributed to local advertising cooperatives. For the period from January 1, 2015 to December 31, 2017, 3.50% of each participating restaurant's gross sales will be contributed to the IHOP NAF with no significant contribution to local advertising cooperatives. The amended advertising contribution percentage is also applicable to IHOP company-operated restaurants.

Franchise fees designated for the IHOP NAF and local marketing and advertising cooperatives are recognized as revenue and expense of franchise operations. However, because we have less contractual control over Applebee's advertising expenditures, Applebee's national advertising fund activity is considered to be an agency relationship and therefore is not recognized as franchise revenue and expense.

#### IHOP Area License Agreements

We have entered into two long-term area license agreements for IHOP restaurants covering the state of Florida and certain counties in the state of Georgia, and the province of British Columbia, Canada. The area license agreements provide the licensees with the right to develop and franchise new IHOP restaurants in their respective territories and provide for royalties ranging from 1.0% to 2.0% of gross sales and advertising fees ranging from 0.25% to approximately 2.0% of gross sales. During 2014, the advertising fee contribution provisions of the Florida area license agreement were amended for the period through December 31, 2017 on substantially similar terms as the franchise agreement amendment described above. We also derive revenues from the sale of proprietary products to these area licensees and, in certain instances, to their sub-franchisees. Revenues from our area licensees are included in franchise operations revenues.

As of December 31, 2014, the area licensee for the state of Florida and certain counties in Georgia operated or sub-franchised a total of 154 IHOP restaurants. The area licensee for the province of British Columbia, Canada operated or sub-franchised a total of 13 IHOP restaurants. The area license for British Columbia expires in 2026. The

area license for Florida and Georgia expires in 2102.

Other Franchise-related Revenues and Fees

Approximately 88% of franchise segment revenue for the year ended December 31, 2014 consisted of Applebee's and IHOP royalties and IHOP advertising revenue. Most of the remaining 12% consisted of sales of proprietary products (primarily

6

---

IHOP pancake and waffle dry-mixes), franchise fees and software maintenance and support fees. Depending on circumstances, we may recover a portion of any fees lost due to early termination of a franchise agreement; however, not all franchise restaurant closures necessarily result in our receipt of such fees.

#### International Franchising

We continue to pursue international franchising of the Applebee's and the IHOP concepts. To this end, we seek qualified franchisees that possess the resources needed to open multiple restaurants in each territory and are familiar with the specific local business environment in which they propose to develop and operate our restaurants. We work closely with our international franchisees to develop and implement the Applebee's and IHOP systems outside the United States, recognizing commercial, cultural and dietary diversity. Differences in tastes and cultural norms and standards require that we be flexible and pragmatic regarding many elements of the Applebee's and IHOP systems, including menu, restaurant design, restaurant operations, training, marketing, purchasing and financing.

The success of further international expansion will depend on, among other things, local acceptance of the Applebee's and IHOP concepts and menu offerings and our ability to attract qualified franchisees and operating personnel. Our franchisees must comply with the regulatory requirements of the local jurisdictions.

#### Domestic and International Franchise Restaurant Development

Each franchisee is responsible for selecting the site for each new restaurant. We may assist franchisees in selecting appropriate sites, and any selection made by a franchisee is subject to our approval. We also conduct a physical inspection, review any proposed lease or purchase agreement and may make available to franchisees demographic and other studies. We make the design specifications for a typical restaurant available to franchisees, and we retain the right to prohibit or modify the use of any set of plans.

As of December 31, 2014, we had 55 development agreements with 19 Applebee's franchise groups in place covering the entire United States (except Hawaii and our company-operated market) and 9 development agreements with 8 franchise groups calling for restaurant development in foreign countries. Applebee's development agreements generally provide for a series of two-year development commitments after the initial development period. The Applebee's development agreements in place call for the opening of a combined total of 102 domestic restaurants and 19 international restaurants in 2015 and 2016.

As of December 31, 2014, we had signed commitments and options from IHOP franchisees to build 238 IHOP restaurants over the next 18 years, comprised of five restaurants under single restaurant or non-traditional development agreements, 156 restaurants under domestic multi-restaurant development agreements and 77 restaurants under international development agreements. The signed agreements include options to build an additional 42 restaurants over the next 13 years.

During 2015, we expect our franchisees to open a total of between 50 to 60 new IHOP restaurants and a total of between 30 to 40 new Applebee's restaurants, primarily in the domestic market.

The actual number of openings may differ from both our expectations and development commitments. Historically, the actual number of restaurants developed in a particular year has been less than the total number committed to be developed due to various factors, including economic conditions and franchisee noncompliance with development agreements. The timing of new restaurant openings also may be affected by various factors including weather-related and other construction delays, difficulties in obtaining timely regulatory approvals and the impact of currency fluctuations on our international franchisees.

#### Franchise Operations

We continuously monitor franchise restaurant operations. Company and third-party representatives make both scheduled and unannounced inspections of franchised restaurants to ensure that only approved products are in use and that our prescribed operations practices and procedures are being followed. We have the right to terminate a franchise agreement if a franchisee does not operate and maintain a restaurant in accordance with our requirements. Due to cultural and regulatory differences, we may have different requirements for restaurants opened outside of the United States. We also monitor the financial health of our franchisees through business and financial reviews.

#### Composition of Franchise Systems

As of December 31, 2014, there were 34 Applebee's franchisees that owned a total of 1,847 domestic Applebee's franchise restaurants. The number of domestic restaurants held by an individual franchisee ranged from one restaurant

to 470 restaurants. As of December 31, 2014, there were 26 franchisees that owned a total of 147 international Applebee's franchise restaurants. The number of international restaurants held by an individual franchisee ranged from one restaurant to 19 restaurants. Our five largest Applebee's franchisees own 48% of the total 1,994 Applebee's franchise restaurants.

As of December 31, 2014, there were 330 franchisees that owned a total of 1,568 domestic IHOP franchise restaurants, including 150 franchisees that each own one franchise restaurant. The largest individual IHOP franchisee owned 154 domestic restaurants. As of December 31, 2014, there were 14 franchisees that owned a total of 71 international IHOP franchise restaurants. The number of international restaurants held by an individual franchisee ranged from one restaurant to 13 restaurants. Our five largest IHOP franchisees own 24% of the total 1,639 IHOP franchise restaurants.

#### Company-Operated Restaurants

As of December 31, 2014, we operated 23 Applebee's restaurants located in the Kansas City, Missouri market area and 10 IHOP restaurants located in the Cincinnati, Ohio market area. We expect to rebrand the Applebee's company-operated Applebee's restaurants within the next twelve months, although there can be no guarantee a transaction will take place within that time frame, if at all. Additionally, from time to time, we have reacquired IHOP restaurants from IHOP franchisees for a variety of reasons. In most cases we have been able to quickly rebrand these restaurants to new franchisees. When reacquired restaurants are not quickly rebranded, we typically operate the reacquired restaurants until they can be rebranded. These temporarily reacquired restaurants may require investments in remodeling and rehabilitation before they can be rebranded. As a result, our reacquired restaurants may incur operating losses for some period of time. At December 31, 2014, we operated one such reacquired IHOP restaurant.

#### Supply Chain

In February 2009, Centralized Supply Chain Services, LLC ("CSCS" or the "Co-op"), an independent cooperative entity, was formed by us and franchisees of Applebee's and IHOP domestic restaurants who chose to join the Co-op. CSCS has been appointed as the sole authorized purchasing organization and purchasing agent for goods, equipment and distribution services for Applebee's and IHOP restaurants in the United States. We (as operator of 34 company restaurants as of December 31, 2014) are a member of CSCS and have committed to purchase substantially all goods, equipment and distribution services for company-operated restaurants through the CSCS supply chain program. As of December 31, 2014, 100% of Applebee's domestic franchise restaurants and 99% of IHOP domestic franchise restaurants were members of CSCS.

CSCS combines the purchasing volume for goods, equipment and distribution services within and across the Applebee's and IHOP concepts. Its mission is to achieve for its members the benefit of continuously available goods, equipment and distribution services in adequate quantities at the lowest possible sustainable prices. We do not control CSCS but do have contractual rights associated with supplier certification, quality assurance and protection of our intellectual property. The operations of CSCS are funded by a separately stated administrative fee added to one or more products purchased by operators.

We believe the larger scale provided by combining the supply chain requirements of both brands provides continuing cost savings and efficiencies while helping to ensure compliance with our quality and safety standards.

#### Industry Overview and Competition

Applebee's and IHOP are among the numerous restaurant chains and independent restaurants competing in the restaurant industry in the United States. The restaurant industry is generally categorized into segments by price point ranges, the types of food and beverages offered and the types of service available to customers. These segments include, among others, fast food or quick service restaurants ("QSR"), fast-casual dining, family dining, casual dining and fine dining. Casual dining restaurants offer full table service and typically have bars or serve liquor, wine and beer, while family dining restaurants offer full table service, typically do not have bars or serve liquor, and usually offer breakfast in addition to lunch and dinner items.

Applebee's competes in the casual dining segment against national and multi-state restaurant chains such as Chili's, T.G.I. Friday's, Olive Garden, Red Lobster and Buffalo Wild Wings, among others, as well as fast-casual restaurant chains. In addition, there are many independent restaurants across the country in the casual dining segment. Amongst our competitors, Applebee's is the largest casual dining concept in the United States in terms of 2013 system-wide sales<sup>(1)</sup>.

IHOP competes in the family dining segment against national and multi-state restaurant chains such as Denny's, Cracker Barrel Old Country Store and Bob Evans Restaurants. IHOP also faces competition from QSR restaurant chains and fast-casual restaurant chains that serve breakfast. In addition, there are many independent restaurants and

diners across the country in the family dining segment. Amongst our competitors, IHOP is the largest family dining concept in the United States in terms of 2013 system-wide sales<sup>(1)</sup>.

The restaurant industry is highly competitive and is affected by, among other things, economic conditions, price levels, on-going changes in eating habits and food preferences, population trends and traffic patterns. The principal bases of competition in the industry are the type, quality and price of the food products served. Additionally, restaurant location, quality and speed of service, advertising, name identification and attractiveness of facilities are important.

---

<sup>(1)</sup> Source: Nation's Restaurant News, "Special Report: Top 100," June 30, 2014.

The market for high quality commercial real estate is also very competitive. We and our franchisees compete with other restaurant chains and retail businesses for suitable sites for the development of new restaurants. We also compete against other franchisors both within and outside the restaurant industry for new franchise developers. For further information regarding competition, see Item 1A, Risk Factors.

#### Trademarks and Service Marks

We and our affiliates have registered certain trademarks and service marks with the United States Patent and Trademark Office and various international jurisdictions, including “DineEquity®” and “Great Franchisees. Great Brands.®” We own trademarks and service marks used in the Applebee's system, including “Applebee's®” “Applebee's Neighborhood Grill & Bar®” and variations of each. In addition, we own trademarks and service marks used in the IHOP system, including “IHOP®,” “International House of Pancakes®” and variations of each.

We consider our trademarks and service marks important to the identification of our company and our restaurants and believe they are of material importance to the conduct of our business. Depending upon the jurisdiction, trademarks and service marks generally are valid as long as they are used and/or registered. We generally intend to renew our trademarks and service marks as they come up for renewal. We own or have rights to all trademarks we believe are material to our restaurant operations. In addition, we have registered various domain names on the Internet that incorporate certain of our trademarks and service marks, and believe these domain name registrations are an integral part of our identity. From time to time, we may take appropriate legal action to defend and protect the use of our intellectual property.

#### Information Technology

We utilize programmed point of sale systems, kitchen data management, and back-of-the house systems for accounting, labor and inventory management in our company restaurants. In addition, we are developing several consumer-facing technology initiatives focused on improving our customers' experience. Sales and product mix information is transmitted to our restaurant support centers on a daily basis and this information supports our operations and marketing initiatives. We mitigate the potential impact from operational interruption of our information technology systems through a disaster recovery plan that is updated on a regular basis. We believe that technology is and will continue to be a key component of our long-term plans and are committed to providing system stability and targeted innovation. Our use of technology, particularly in terms of managing electronic payments and confidential information, also represents security and operational risks that we must manage and may result in additional costs incurred.

We accept credit cards, third party gift cards, and branded gift cards as payment in our restaurants. We submit our systems to regular audit and review, as required by Payment Card Industry Standards, including periodic scanning of our networks to check for vulnerability. In addition, we participate in annual audits of our financial and human resources systems to verify that measures are in place to protect our employees' personally identifiable information.

As a franchisor, we are not responsible for ensuring that our franchisees maintain compliance; however, we regularly encourage them to take similar steps to maintain compliance and to mitigate risk. For further information regarding Information Technology, see Item 1A, Risk Factors.

#### Research and Development

We do not engage in any material amount of research and development activity from a financial perspective. We do engage in ongoing culinary development and testing, in addition to consumer research into customers' preferences and opinions as well as overall industry trends.

#### Seasonality

We do not consider our operations to be seasonal to any material degree. We do experience a slight increase in system-wide sales in the first quarter due to redemptions of gift cards sold during the December holiday season. Over the past five years, 26% of our annual system-wide sales (retail sales reported to us by our franchisees plus sales at our company-operated restaurants) occurred in the first quarter. Sales at restaurants owned by franchisees are not attributable to the Company.



### Government Regulation

We are subject to Federal Trade Commission (“FTC”) regulation and a number of state laws which regulate the offer and sale of franchises. We also are subject to a number of state laws which regulate substantive aspects of the franchisor-franchisee relationship. The FTC's Trade Regulation Rule on Franchising, as amended (the “FTC Rule”), requires us to furnish to prospective domestic franchisees a Franchise Disclosure Document containing information prescribed by the FTC Rule.

State laws that regulate the offer and sale of franchises and the franchisor-franchisee relationship presently exist in a number of states and some of these laws require registration of the franchise offering with the state authorities. Those states that regulate the franchise relationship generally require that the franchisor deal with its franchisees in good faith, prohibit interference with the right of free association among franchisees, limit the imposition of unreasonable standards of performance on a franchisee and regulate discrimination against franchisees with respect to charges, royalty fees or other fees. Although such laws may restrict a franchisor in the termination and/or non-renewal of a franchise agreement by, for example, requiring "good cause" to exist as a basis for the termination and/or non-renewal, advance notice to the franchisee of the termination or non-renewal, an opportunity to cure a default and a repurchase of inventory or other compensation upon termination, these provisions have not historically had a significant effect on our franchise operations.

Each restaurant is subject to licensing and regulation by a number of governmental authorities, which may include liquor license authorities (primarily in the case of Applebee's restaurants), health, sanitation, safety, fire, building and other agencies in the state or municipality in which the restaurant is located. We are also subject to new laws and regulations, which vary from jurisdiction to jurisdiction, relating to nutritional content and menu labeling. More stringent and varied requirements of local governmental bodies with respect to zoning, land use and environmental factors could delay or prevent the development of new restaurants in particular areas.

Various federal and state labor laws govern both our own and our franchisees' relationships with our respective employees. These include such matters as minimum wage requirements, overtime and other working conditions. Significant additional government-imposed increases in minimum wages, paid leaves of absence, mandated health benefits or increased tax reporting and tax payment requirements with respect to employees who receive gratuities could be detrimental to the economic viability of our restaurants.

We are subject to a number of privacy and data protection laws and regulations globally. The legislative and regulatory landscape for privacy and data protection continues to evolve, and there has been an increase in attention given to privacy and data protection issues with the potential to affect directly our business, including recently enacted laws and regulations in the United States and internationally requiring notification to individuals and government authorities of security breaches involving certain categories of personal information.

In March 2010, President Obama signed the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act and the Health Care and Education Affordability Reconciliation Act of 2010. The legislation is far-reaching and is intended to expand access to health insurance coverage over time by adjusting the eligibility thresholds for most state Medicaid programs and providing certain other individuals and small businesses with tax credits to subsidize a portion of the cost of health insurance coverage. The legislation includes a requirement that most individuals obtain health insurance coverage beginning in 2014 and a requirement that certain large employers offer coverage to their employees or pay a financial penalty. We expect that our health insurance coverage expenses, and the health insurance coverage expenses of our franchisees, will increase over the long term as a result of this legislation, and any such increases could adversely affect our business, cash flows, financial condition and results of operations.

In recent years, there has been an increased legislative, regulatory and consumer focus at the federal, state and municipal levels on the food industry including nutrition and advertising practices. Restaurants operating in the quick-service and fast-casual segments have been a particular focus. In addition to the United States Food and Drug Administration's recently adopted menu labeling requirements for restaurants, a number of other jurisdictions around the United States have adopted regulations requiring that chain restaurants include calorie information on their menus or make other nutritional information available. Initiatives in the area of nutrition disclosure or advertising, such as requirements to provide information about the nutritional content of our food, may result in increased costs of compliance with the requirements and may also change customer buying habits in a way that adversely impacts our sales. For further information regarding governmental regulation, see Item 1A, Risk Factors.



#### Environmental Matters

We are subject to federal and state environmental regulations, but historically these have not had a material effect on our operations. We are not aware of any federal, state or local environmental laws or regulations that are likely to materially impact our revenues, cash flow or competitive position, or result in any material capital expenditure. However, we cannot predict the effect of possible future environmental legislation or regulations. For further information regarding environmental matters, see Item 1A, Risk Factors.

#### Employees

At December 31, 2014, we had approximately 2,325 employees, of whom approximately 525 were full-time, non-restaurant, corporate personnel. Our employees are not presently represented by any collective bargaining agreements and we have never experienced a work stoppage. We believe our relations with employees are good. Our franchisees are independent business owners, so their employees are not included in our employee count.

#### Corporate Information

We were incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware in 1976 with the name IHOP Corp. In November 2007, we completed the acquisition of Applebee's, which became a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company. Effective June 2, 2008, we changed our name to DineEquity, Inc. Our principal executive offices are located at 450 North Brand Boulevard, Glendale, California 91203-2306 and our telephone number is (818) 240-6055. Our Internet address is [www.dineequity.com](http://www.dineequity.com). Our common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") and trades under the ticker symbol "DIN."

We have a 52/53 week fiscal year ending on the Sunday nearest to December 31 of each year. For convenience, we refer to all fiscal years as ending on December 31 and all interim fiscal quarters as ending on March 31, June 30 and September 30 of the respective fiscal year. There were 52 weeks in our 2014, 2013 and 2012 fiscal years, which ended on December 28, 2014, December 29, 2013 and December 30, 2012, respectively. There will be 53 weeks in our 2015 fiscal year that will end on January 3, 2016. The last month of our fiscal 2015 fourth quarter will contain six weeks.

#### Available Information

Our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, proxy statements and amendments to those reports filed with or furnished to United States Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, are available free of charge through our website as soon as reasonably practicable after electronically filing such material with the SEC. The SEC maintains an Internet site that contains reports, proxy and information statements and other information regarding our filings at [www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov). In addition, the public may read and copy the materials we file with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F. Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20549. Information regarding the operation of the Public Reference Room may be obtained by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The above references to our website and the SEC's website do not constitute incorporation by reference of the information contained on those websites and should not be considered part of this document.

#### Item 1A. Risk Factors.

##### General

This Item 1A includes forward-looking statements. You should refer to our discussion of the qualifications and limitations on forward-looking statements included in Item 7.

The occurrence of any of the events discussed in the following risk factors may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations, which may materially adversely affect the value of our shares of common stock.

Our business is affected by general economic conditions that are largely out of our control. Our business is dependent to a significant extent on national, regional and local economic conditions, and, to a lesser extent, on global economic conditions, particularly those conditions affecting the demographics of the guests that frequently patronize Applebee's or IHOP restaurants. If our customers' disposable income available for discretionary spending is reduced (because of circumstances such as job losses, credit constraints, higher housing costs, increased tax rates, energy costs, interest rates or other costs) or if the perceived wealth of customers decreases (because of circumstances such as lower residential real estate values, increased foreclosure rates, increased tax rates or other economic disruptions), our business could experience a decline in sales and/or customer traffic as potential customers choose lower-cost

alternatives (such as quick-service restaurants) or choose alternatives to dining out. Any decreases in customer traffic or average value per transaction due to these or other reasons could:

• reduce gross sales at franchise restaurants, resulting in lower royalty and other payments from franchisees, reduce the profitability of franchise restaurants, potentially impacting the ability of franchisees to make royalty payments when they are due and to develop new restaurants as may be required in their respective development agreements, and

• negatively impact the financial performance of our company-operated restaurants.

Our level of indebtedness could adversely affect our financial health and prevent us from fulfilling our obligations under our debt. As of December 31, 2014, certain of our indirect, wholly-owned subsidiaries had approximately \$1.3 billion of long-term debt. In addition, we had approximately \$0.2 billion in financing and capital lease obligations as of December 31, 2014. Our level of indebtedness could have important consequences to our financial health. For example, it could:

- make it more difficult for us to satisfy our obligations with respect to our debt or refinance any of our debt on attractive terms, commercially reasonable terms, or at all;
- increase our vulnerability to general adverse economic and industry conditions or a downturn in our business; require us to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations to debt service, thereby reducing the availability of our cash flow to pay dividends to our stockholders, repurchase shares of our common stock, fund working capital, capital expenditures and other general corporate purposes;
- limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and the industry in which we operate;
- place us at a competitive disadvantage compared to our competitors that are not as highly leveraged;
- limit, along with the financial and other restrictive covenants in our indebtedness, among other things, our ability to borrow additional funds; and

result in an event of default if we fail to satisfy our obligations under our debt or fail to comply with the financial and other restrictive covenants contained in our debt documents, which event of default could result in all of our debt becoming immediately due and payable and could permit certain of our lenders to foreclose on our assets securing such debt.

In addition, we may incur substantial additional indebtedness in the future. If new debt is added to our current debt levels, the related risks that we now face could intensify.

The terms of the securitized debt issued by certain of our indirect, wholly-owned subsidiaries have restrictive terms and the failure to comply with such restrictive terms could put us in default, which would have an adverse effect on our business and prospects. Unless and until we repay all outstanding borrowings under our securitized debt, we will remain subject to the restrictive terms of the securitized debt issued by certain of our wholly-owned subsidiaries. For example, the indenture entered into by such subsidiaries in connection with the securitized debt contains covenants that limit the ability of certain of our wholly-owned subsidiaries to, among other things: sell assets; alter the business conducted by such subsidiaries; engage in mergers or acquisitions; declare dividends or redeem or purchase certain equity interests; incur, assume or permit to exist additional indebtedness or guarantees; make loans and investments; incur liens; and enter into transactions with affiliates other than on an arms-length basis. These covenants are applicable only to the securitization subsidiaries and do not apply to any of DineEquity, Inc., International House of Pancakes, LLC or Applebee's International, Inc. as these entities are not a party to the indenture. A breach of a covenant could result in a rapid amortization event or default under the securitized debt.

Further, the securitized debt also includes limitations on our ability to incur additional indebtedness and contains a number of financial performance measures that must be met to avoid a possible rapid amortization event or event of default. The most significant of these measures include a minimum debt service coverage ratio and minimum domestic franchise system sales. The ability to meet these financial performance measures can be affected by events beyond our control and there can be no assurance that we will satisfy these financial measures.

If amounts owed under the securitized debt are accelerated because of a default and we are unable to pay such amounts, the investors may have the right to assume control of substantially all of the securitized assets, which consist of substantially all of our domestic revenue-generating assets and domestic intellectual property.

During the seven-year term following issuance, the outstanding fixed-rate senior notes will accrue interest at a rate of 4.277% per year. Additionally, the fixed-rate senior notes have scheduled quarterly principal amortization payments of \$3.25 million. If we maintain a leverage ratio of less than or equal to 5.25x total debt to EBITDA, we may elect to not make the scheduled principal payments.

If we are unable to refinance or repay amounts under the securitized debt prior to the expiration of the seven-year term, our cash flow would be directed to the repayment of the securitized debt and, other than a weekly management fee sufficient to cover minimal selling, general and administrative expenses, would not be available for operating our business.

In the event that a rapid amortization event occurs under the indenture (including, without limitation, upon an event of default under the indenture or the failure to repay the securitized debt at the end of the seven-year term), the funds available to us would be reduced or eliminated, which would in turn reduce our ability to operate or grow our business.

No assurance can be given that any refinancing or additional financing will be possible when needed or that we will be able to negotiate acceptable terms. In addition, our access to capital is affected by prevailing conditions in the financial and capital markets and other factors beyond our control. There can be no assurance that market conditions will be favorable at the times that we require new or additional financing.

Declines in our financial performance could result in impairment charges in future periods. United States generally accepted accounting principles (“U.S. GAAP”) require annual (or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances warrant) impairment tests of goodwill, intangible assets and other long-lived assets. Generally speaking, if the carrying value of the asset is in excess of the estimated fair value of the asset, the carrying value will be adjusted to fair value through an impairment charge. Fair values of goodwill and intangible assets are primarily estimated using discounted cash flows based on five-year forecasts of financial results that incorporate assumptions as to same-restaurant sales trends, future development plans and brand-enhancing initiatives, among other things. Fair values of long-lived tangible assets are primarily estimated using discounted cash flows over the estimated useful lives of the assets. Significant underachievement of forecasted results could reduce the estimated fair value of these assets below the carrying value, requiring non-cash impairment charges to reduce the carrying value of the asset. As of December 31, 2014, our total stockholders' equity was \$279.1 million. A significant impairment write-down of goodwill, intangible assets or long-lived assets in the future could result in a deficit balance in stockholders' equity. While such a deficit balance would not create an event of default in any of our contractual agreements, the negative perception of such a deficit could have an adverse effect on our stock price and could impair our ability to obtain new financing, or refinance existing indebtedness on commercially reasonable terms or at all.

Many factors, including those over which we have no control, affect the trading volatility and price of our stock. Many factors, in addition to our operating results, may have an impact on the trading volatility and price of our common stock. These factors include general economic and market conditions, publicity regarding us, our competitors, or the restaurant industry generally, changes in financial estimates by securities analysts, changes in financial or tax reporting and accounting principles or practices, trading activity in our common stock, and the impact of our capital allocation initiatives, including any future stock repurchase programs or dividend declarations. A number of these factors are outside of our control, and any failure to meet market expectations whether for sales growth rates, earnings per share or other metrics could cause our share price to decline.

Our actual operating and financial results in any given period may differ from guidance we provide to the public, including our most recent public guidance. From time to time, in press releases, SEC filings, public conference calls and other contexts, we have provided guidance to the public regarding current business conditions and our expectations for our future financial results. We expect that we will provide guidance periodically in the future. Our guidance is based upon a number of assumptions, expectations and estimates that are inherently subject to significant business, economic and competitive uncertainties and contingencies, many of which are beyond our control. In providing our guidance, we also make various assumptions with respect to our future business decisions, some of which will change. Our actual financial results, therefore, may vary from our guidance due to our inability to meet the assumptions upon which our guidance is based and the impact on our business of the various risks and uncertainties described in these risk factors and in our public filings with the SEC. Variances between our actual results and our guidance may be material. To the extent that our actual financial results do not meet or exceed our guidance, the trading prices of our securities may be materially adversely affected.

Our business strategy may not achieve anticipated results. We expect to continue to apply a business strategy that includes, among other things, (i) operation of a 99% franchised restaurant system; (ii) the maintenance of a purchasing cooperative that procures products and services for our Applebee's and IHOP restaurants; (iii) the possible

introduction of new restaurant concepts; and (iv) the continued implementation of a shared service model across the brands for various functions, including legal, human resources, communications, quality assurance, information technology, finance and centers of excellence in development and operations support. There can be no assurance that the business strategy we apply to one franchise system will be suitable or will achieve results similar to the application of such business strategy to the other franchise system. In addition, our operational improvement, purchasing and other strategic initiatives may not be successful or achieve the desired results. In particular, there can be no assurance that the existing franchisees or prospective new franchisees will respond favorably to such initiatives.



Our performance is subject to risks associated with the restaurant industry, including the highly competitive nature of the industry. We derive a substantial portion of our revenues in the form of royalties based on a percentage of the gross sales of our franchised restaurants. Sales and profitability of these restaurants and, in turn, payments we receive from our franchisees may be negatively impacted by a number of factors, some of which are outside of our control. The most significant are:

declines in comparable-restaurant sales growth rates due to: (i) failing to meet or adequately adapt to changing customers' expectations for food quality and taste or to innovate new menu items to retain the existing customer base and attract new customers; (ii) competitive intrusions in our markets; (iii) opening new restaurants that cannibalize the sales of existing restaurants; (iv) failure of national or local marketing to be effective; (v) weakening national, regional and local economic conditions; and (vi) natural or man-made disasters or adverse weather conditions;

negative trends in operating expenses such as: (i) increases in food costs including rising commodity costs; (ii) increases in labor costs including increases mandated by minimum wage and other employment laws, immigration reform, the potential impact of union organizing efforts, increases due to tight labor market conditions and the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act; and (iii) increases in other operating costs including advertising, utilities, lease-related expenses and credit card processing fees;

the highly competitive nature of the restaurant industry with respect to, among other things: (i) price, service, location, personnel and the type and quality of food; (ii) the trend toward convergence in grocery, deli and restaurant services, as well as the continued expansion of restaurants in the breakfast daypart; and (iii) the entry of major market players in non-competing industries into the food services market which could decrease the market share of Applebee's and IHOP in their respective categories;

the inability to open new restaurants that achieve and sustain acceptable sales volumes;

the inability to increase menu pricing to offset increased operating expenses;

failure to effectively manage further penetration into mature markets;

negative trends in the availability of credit and in expenses such as interest rates and the cost of construction materials that will affect our ability or our franchisees' ability to maintain and refurbish existing restaurants;

the inability to manage our company-owned restaurants due to unanticipated changes in, or availability of, qualified restaurant management, staff and other personnel; and

the inability to operate effectively in new and/or highly competitive geographic regions or local markets in which we or our franchisees have limited operating experience.

A lack of availability of suitable locations for new restaurants or a decline in the quality of the locations of our current restaurants may adversely affect our sales and results of operations. The success of our restaurants depends in large part on their locations. As demographic and economic patterns change, current locations may not continue to be attractive or profitable. Potential declines in neighborhoods where our restaurants are located or adverse economic conditions in areas surrounding those neighborhoods could result in reduced sales in those locations. In addition, desirable locations for new restaurant openings or for the relocation of existing restaurants may not be available at an acceptable cost when we identify a particular opportunity for a new restaurant or relocation. Additionally, restaurant revitalization initiatives may not be completed as and when projected and may not produce the results we expect.

We may experience shortages or interruptions in the supply or delivery of food and other products from third parties or in the availability of utilities. Our franchised and company-operated restaurants are dependent on frequent deliveries of fresh produce, food, beverage and other products. This subjects us to the risk of shortages or interruptions in food and beverage supplies which may result from a variety of causes including, but not limited to, shortages due to adverse weather, labor unrest, political unrest, terrorism, outbreaks of food-borne illness, disruption of operation of production facilities, the financial difficulties, including bankruptcy, of our suppliers or other unforeseen circumstances. Such shortages could adversely affect our revenue and profits. The inability to secure adequate and reliable supplies or distribution of food and beverage products could limit our ability to make changes to our core menus or offer promotional "limited time only" menu items, which may limit our ability to implement our business strategies. Our restaurants bear risks associated with the timeliness of deliveries by suppliers and distributors as well

as the solvency, reputation, labor relationships, freight rates, prices of raw materials and health and safety standards of each supplier and distributor. Other significant risks associated with our suppliers and distributors include improper handling of food and beverage products and/or the adulteration or contamination of such food and beverage products. Disruptions in our relationships with suppliers and distributors may reduce the payments we receive from our franchisees or our pancake and waffle dry mix distributors or the profits generated by our company-operated restaurants. In addition, interruptions to the availability of gas, electric, water or other utilities may adversely affect our operations.

A failure to develop and implement innovative marketing and guest relationship initiatives, ineffective or improper use of social media or other marketing initiatives, and increased advertising and marketing costs, could adversely affect our business results. If our competitors increase their spending on advertising and promotions, if our advertising, media or marketing expenses increase, or if our advertising and promotions become less effective than those of our competitors, we could experience a material adverse effect on our business results. A failure to sufficiently innovate, develop guest relationship initiatives, or maintain adequate and effective advertising could inhibit our ability to maintain brand relevance and drive increased sales.

As part of our marketing efforts, we rely on search engine marketing and social media platforms to attract and retain guests. These efforts may not be successful, resulting in expenses incurred without the benefit of higher revenues or increased employee engagement. In addition, a variety of risks are associated with the use of social media, including the improper disclosure of proprietary information, negative comments about our brands, exposure of personally identifiable information, fraud, or out-of-date information. The inappropriate use of social media vehicles by our franchisees, guests or employees could increase our costs, lead to litigation or result in negative publicity that could damage our reputation. These efforts may not be successful, and pose a variety of other risks, as discussed below under the heading: “We are heavily dependent on information technology and any material failure of that technology could impair our ability to efficiently operate our business.”

Changing health or dietary preferences may cause consumers to avoid Applebee's and IHOP's products in favor of alternative foods. The food service industry as a whole rests on consumer preferences and demographic trends at the local, regional, national and international levels, and the impact on consumer eating habits of new information regarding diet, nutrition and health. Our franchise development and system-wide sales depend on the sustained demand for our products, which may be affected by factors we do not control. Various factors such as: (i) the Food and Drug Administration's menu labeling rules of nutritional content, (ii) nutritional guidelines issued by the United States Department of Agriculture and issuance of similar guidelines or statistical information by state or local municipalities, or (iii) academic studies, may impact consumer choice and cause consumers to select foods other than those that are offered by Applebee's or IHOP restaurants. We may not be able to adequately adapt Applebee's or IHOP restaurants' menu offerings to keep pace with developments in consumer preferences, which may result in reductions to the franchise payments we receive from franchisees and the revenues generated by our company-operated restaurants.

We face a variety of risks associated with doing business with franchisees and vendors in foreign markets. Our expansion into international markets could create risks to our brands and reputation. We believe that we have selected high-caliber international franchisees with significant experience in restaurant operations. However, the ultimate success and quality of any franchise restaurant rests with the franchisee. If the franchisee does not successfully operate its restaurants in a manner consistent with our standards, or customers have negative experiences due to issues with food quality or operational execution, our brand values could suffer, which could have an adverse effect on our business.

There is no assurance that our international operations will be profitable or that international growth will continue. Our international operations are subject to all of the same risks associated with our domestic operations, as well as a number of additional risks. These include, among other things, international economic and political conditions, foreign currency fluctuations, and differing cultures and consumer preferences.

We also are subject to governmental regulations throughout the world that impact the way we do business with our international franchisees and vendors. These include antitrust and tax requirements, import/export/customs regulations, anti-boycott regulations, and other international trade regulations, the USA Patriot Act and the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. Failure to comply with any such legal requirements could subject us to monetary liabilities and other sanctions, which could harm our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Factors outside our control may harm our brands' reputations. The success of our restaurant business is largely dependent upon brand recognition and the strength of our franchise systems. The continued success of our franchisees and our company-operated restaurants will be directly dependent upon the maintenance of a favorable public view of the Applebee's and IHOP brands. Negative publicity (e.g., crime, scandal, litigation, on-site accidents and injuries or other harm to customers) at a single Applebee's or IHOP location can have a substantial negative impact on the operations of all restaurants within the Applebee's or IHOP system. Multi-unit food service businesses such as ours can be materially and adversely affected by widespread negative publicity of any type, but particularly regarding food quality, food-borne illness, food tampering, obesity, injury or other health concerns with respect to certain foods, whether or not accurate or valid. The risk of food-borne illness or food tampering cannot be completely eliminated. Any outbreak of food-borne illness or other food-related incidents attributed to Applebee's or IHOP restaurants or within the food service industry or any widespread negative publicity regarding the Applebee's or IHOP brands or the restaurant industry in general could harm our reputation. Although the Company maintains

liability insurance, and each franchisee is required to maintain liability insurance pursuant to its franchise agreements, a liability claim could injure the reputation of all Applebee's or IHOP restaurants, whether or not it is ultimately successful.

We may be subject to litigation and other legal proceedings that could be time consuming, require significant amounts of management time and result in the diversion of significant operational resources. We are involved in lawsuits, claims and proceedings incident to the ordinary course of our business. Litigation is inherently unpredictable. Any claims against us, whether meritorious or not, could be time consuming, result in costly litigation, require significant amounts of management time and result in the diversion of significant operational resources. There have been a growing number of lawsuits in recent years. There has also been a rise in employment-related lawsuits. From time to time, we have been subject to these types of lawsuits. The cost of defending claims against us or the ultimate resolution of such claims may harm our business and operating results. In addition, the increasingly regulated business environment may result in a greater number of enforcement actions and private litigation. This could subject us to increased exposure to stockholder lawsuits.

We and our franchisees are subject to complaints or litigation from guests alleging illness, injury or other food quality, food safety, health or operational concerns. We and our franchisees are also subject to "dram shop" laws in some states pursuant to which we and our franchisees may be subject to liability in connection with personal injuries or property damages incurred in connection with wrongfully serving alcoholic beverages to an intoxicated person. Although our franchise agreements require our franchisees to defend and indemnify us, we may be named as a defendant and sustain liability in legal proceedings against franchisees under the doctrines of vicarious liability, agency, negligence or otherwise. We may also initiate legal proceedings against franchisees for breach of the terms of their franchise agreements, including underreporting of sales, failure to operate restaurants according to standard operating procedures and payment defaults. Such claims may reduce the ability of our franchisees to make payments to us and the profits generated by our company-operated restaurants. These claims may also reduce the ability of franchisees to enter into new franchise agreements with us.

Third-party claims with respect to intellectual property assets, if decided against us, may result in competing uses or require adoption of new, non-infringing intellectual property, which may in turn adversely affect sales and revenues. We regard our service marks and trademarks related to our restaurant businesses as having significant value and being important to our marketing efforts. To protect our restaurants and services from infringement, we rely on contracts, copyrights, patents, trademarks, service marks and other common law rights, such as trade secret and unfair competition laws. We have registered certain trademarks and service marks in the United States and foreign jurisdictions; however, effective intellectual property protection may not be available in every country in which we have or intend to open or franchise a restaurant. Although we believe we have taken appropriate measures to protect our intellectual property, there can be no assurance that these protections will be adequate.

In addition, there can be no assurance that third parties will not assert infringement or misappropriation claims against us, or assert claims that our rights in our trademarks, service marks and other intellectual property assets are invalid or unenforceable. Any such claims could have a material adverse effect on us or our franchisees if such claims were to be decided against us. If our rights in any intellectual property were invalidated or deemed unenforceable, it could permit competing uses of intellectual property which, in turn, could lead to a decline in restaurant revenues and sales of other branded products and services (if any). If the intellectual property became subject to third-party infringement, misappropriation or other claims, and such claims were decided against us, we may be forced to pay damages, be required to develop or adopt non-infringing intellectual property or be obligated to acquire a license to the intellectual property that is the subject of the asserted claim. There could be significant expenses associated with the defense of any infringement, misappropriation, or other third-party claims.

Ownership of real property exposes us to potential environmental liabilities. The ownership of real property exposes us to potential environmental liabilities from United States federal, state and local governmental authorities and private lawsuits by individuals or businesses. The potential environmental liabilities in connection with the ownership

of real estate are highly uncertain. We currently do not have actual knowledge of any environmental liabilities that would have a material adverse effect on the Company. From time to time, we have experienced some non-material environmental liabilities resulting from environmental issues at our properties. While we are unaware of any material environmental liabilities, it is possible that material environmental liabilities relating to our properties may arise in the future.

Matters involving employees at company-operated restaurants expose us to potential liability. We are subject to United States federal, state and local employment laws that expose us to potential liability if we are determined to have violated such employment laws. Failure to comply with federal and state labor laws pertaining to minimum wage, overtime pay, meal and rest breaks, unemployment tax rates, workers' compensation regulations, citizenship or residency requirements, child labor requirements, sales taxes and other employment-related matters may have a material adverse effect on our business or operations. In addition, employee claims based on, among other things, discrimination, harassment or wrongful termination

may divert financial and management resources and adversely affect operations. The losses that may be incurred as a result of any violation of such employment laws are difficult to quantify.

Our failure or the failure of our franchisees to comply with federal, state and local governmental regulations may subject us to losses and harm our brands. We are subject to the Fair Labor Standards Act (which governs such matters as minimum wages, overtime and other working conditions), along with the Americans with Disabilities Act, the Immigration Reform and Control Act of 1986, various family leave mandates and a variety of other laws enacted, or rules and regulations promulgated by federal, state and local governmental authorities that govern these and other employment matters, including tip credits, working conditions, safety standards and immigration status. In 2014, the general counsel's office of the National Labor Relations Board issued complaints naming the McDonald's Corporation as a joint employer of workers at its franchisees for alleged violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act. There can be no assurance that other franchisors will not receive similar complaints in the future which may result in legal proceedings based on the actions of its franchisees. We expect increases in payroll expenses as a result of federal and state mandated increases in the minimum wage, and although such increases are not expected to be material, we cannot assure you that there will not be material increases in the future. Enactment and enforcement of various federal, state and local laws, rules and regulations on immigration and labor organizations may adversely impact the availability and costs of labor for our restaurants in a particular area or across the United States. Other labor shortages or increased team member turnover could also increase labor costs. In addition, our vendors may be affected by higher minimum wage standards or availability of labor, which may increase the price of goods and services they supply to us. We continue to evaluate the potential impact of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act and regulations issued thereto on our business, and to accommodate various parts of the law as they take effect. There are no assurances that a combination of cost management and price increases can accommodate all of the costs associated with compliance.

We are subject to extensive federal, state and local governmental regulations, including those relating to the food safety and inspection and the preparation and sale of food and alcoholic beverages. Disruptions within any government agencies could impact the U.S. food industry which may have an adverse effect on our business. We are also subject to laws and regulations relating to building and zoning requirements. Each of our and our franchisees' restaurants is also subject to licensing and regulation by alcoholic beverage control, health, sanitation, safety and fire agencies in the state, county and/or municipality where the restaurant is located. We generally have not encountered any material difficulties or failures in obtaining and maintaining the required licenses and approvals that could impact the continuing operations of an existing restaurant, or delay or prevent the opening of a new restaurant. Although we do not, at this time, anticipate any occurring in the future, we cannot assure you that we or our franchisees will not experience material difficulties or failures that could impact the continuing operations of an existing restaurant, or delay the opening of restaurants in the future.

In addition, we are subject to laws and regulations, which vary from jurisdiction to jurisdiction, relating to nutritional content and menu labeling. Compliance with these laws and regulations may lead to increased costs and operational complexity and may increase our exposure to governmental investigations or litigation. In connection with the continued operation or remodeling of certain restaurants, we or our franchisees may be required to expend funds to meet federal, state and local and foreign regulations. The inability to obtain or maintain such licenses or publicity resulting from actual or alleged violations of such laws could have an adverse effect on our results of operations.

Finally, we are subject to federal regulation and certain state laws which govern the offer and sale of franchises. Many state franchise laws contain provisions that supersede the terms of franchise agreements, including provisions concerning the termination or non-renewal of a franchise. Some state franchise laws require that certain materials be registered before franchises can be offered or sold in that state. The failure to obtain or retain licenses or approvals to sell franchises could adversely affect us and the franchisees. Changes in, and the cost of compliance with, government regulations could have a material effect on operations.

Restaurant development plans under development agreements may not be implemented effectively. We rely on franchisees to develop Applebee's and IHOP restaurants. Restaurant development and the success of restaurants opened by our franchisees involve substantial risks, including the following:

- the demand for Applebee's and IHOP restaurants and the selection of appropriate franchisee candidates;
- costs of construction, permit issuance and regulatory compliance;
- the availability of suitable locations and terms for potential development sites, including lease or purchase terms for new locations;
- the ability of franchisees to fulfill their commitments to build new restaurants in the numbers and the time frames specified in their development agreements;



the availability of financing, at acceptable rates and terms, to both franchisees and third-party landlords, for restaurant development and/or implementation of our business strategy through new remodel programs and other operational changes;

- delays in obtaining construction permits and in completion of construction;
- developed properties not achieving desired revenue or cash flow levels once opened;
- competition for suitable development sites;
- changes in governmental rules, regulations, and interpretations (including interpretations of the requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act); and
- general economic and business conditions.

Approximately 99% of our restaurants are owned and operated by our franchisees and, as a result, we are highly dependent upon our franchisees. Nearly all Applebee's and IHOP restaurants are owned and operated by our franchisees. As a result, we expect to receive less revenue from company restaurant sales and any increase in general and administrative expenses may have a greater proportional impact on our financial condition and business results. While our franchise agreements are designed to maintain brand consistency, the significant percentage of franchised-operated restaurants may expose us to risks not otherwise encountered if we maintained ownership and control of the restaurants. These risks include franchisee defaults on their obligations to us arising from financial or other difficulties encountered by them including personal financial risks unrelated to their franchisee activities, such as:

- payments to us or maintenance and improvement obligations;
- limitations on enforcement of franchise obligations due to bankruptcy or insolvency proceedings;
- unwillingness of franchisees to support our marketing programs and strategic initiatives;
- inability to participate in business strategy changes due to financial constraints;
- inability to meet rent obligations on leases on which we retain contingent liability;
- failure to operate restaurants in accordance with required standards;
- failure to meet the criteria for renewing franchise agreements which could have a negative impact on our revenues;
- failure to report sales information accurately;
- efforts by one or more large franchisees or an organized franchise association to cause poor franchise relations; and
- failure to comply with food quality and preparation requirements subjecting us to potential losses even when we are not legally liable for a franchisee's actions or failure to act.

Although we believe that our current relationships with our franchisees are generally good, there can be no assurance that we will maintain strong franchisee relationships. Our dependence on franchisees could adversely affect us, our reputation and our brands, and could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Concentration of Applebee's franchised restaurants in a limited number of franchisees subjects us to greater credit risk. As of December 31, 2014, Applebee's franchisees operated 1,847 Applebee's restaurants in the United States, comprising 99% of the total Applebee's restaurants in the United States. Of those restaurants, the ten largest Applebee's franchisees owned 1,286 restaurants, representing 70% of all franchised Applebee's restaurants in the United States. The largest Applebee's franchisee owned 470 restaurants, representing 25% of all franchised Applebee's restaurants in the United States. The concentration of franchised restaurants in a limited number of franchisees subjects us to a potentially higher level of credit risk with respect to such franchisees because their financial obligations to us are greater as compared to those franchisees with fewer restaurants. The risk associated with these franchisees is also greater where franchisees are the sole or dominant franchisee for a particular region of the United States, as is the case for most domestic Applebee's franchised territories. In particular, if any of these franchisees experiences financial or other difficulties, the franchisee may default on its obligations under multiple franchise agreements including payments to us and the maintenance and improvement of its restaurants. If any of these franchisees are subject to bankruptcy or insolvency proceedings, a bankruptcy court may prevent the termination of the related franchise agreements and development agreements. Any franchisee that is experiencing financial

difficulties may also be unable to participate in implementing changes to our business strategy. Any franchisee that owns and operates a significant number of Applebee's restaurants and fails to comply with its other obligations under the franchise agreement, such as those relating to the quality and preparation of food and maintenance of restaurants, could cause significant harm to the Applebee's brand and subject us to claims by consumers even if we are not legally liable for the franchisee's actions or failure to act. Development rights for Applebee's restaurants are also concentrated among a limited number of existing franchisees. If any of these existing franchisees experience financial difficulties, future development of Applebee's restaurants may be materially adversely affected.

We are subject to credit risk from our IHOP franchisees operating under our Previous Business Model, and a default by these franchisees may negatively affect our cash flows. Of the 1,472 IHOP restaurants subject to franchise agreements as of December 31, 2014, approximately half operate under the Previous Business Model. The Company was involved in all aspects of the development and financing of the IHOP restaurants established prior to 2003. Under the Previous Business Model, the Company typically identified and leased or purchased the restaurant sites, built and equipped the restaurants and then franchised them to franchisees. In addition, IHOP typically financed as much as 80% of the franchise fee for periods ranging from five to eight years and leased the restaurant and equipment to the franchisee over a 25-year period. Therefore, in addition to franchise fees and royalties, the revenues received from an IHOP franchisee operating under the Previous Business Model include, among other things, lease or sublease rents for the restaurant property building, rent under an equipment lease and interest income from the financing arrangements for the unpaid portion of the franchise fee under the franchise notes. If any of these IHOP franchisees were to default on their payment obligations to us, we may be unable to collect the amounts owed under the building property lease/sublease agreement and our notes and equipment contract receivables, as well as outstanding franchise royalties. The additional amounts owed to us by each of these IHOP franchisees subject us to greater credit risk and defaults by IHOP franchisees operating under our Previous Business Model and may negatively affect our cash flows.

Franchisees may breach the terms of their franchise agreements in a manner that adversely affects our brands. Franchisees are required to conform to specified product quality standards and other requirements pursuant to their franchise agreements in order to protect our brands and to optimize restaurant performance. However, franchisees may receive through the supply chain or produce sub-standard food or beverage products, which may adversely impact the reputation of our brands. Franchisees may also breach the standards set forth in their respective franchise agreements.

Franchisees are subject to potential losses that are not covered by insurance that may negatively impact their ability to make payments to us and perform other obligations under franchise agreements. Franchisees may have insufficient insurance coverage to cover all of the potential risks associated with the ownership and operation of their restaurants. A franchisee may have insufficient funds to cover future unanticipated increases in insurance premiums or losses that are not covered by insurance. Certain extraordinary hazards may not be insurable and insurance may not be available (or may be available only at prohibitively expensive rates) with respect to many other risks. Moreover, there is no assurance that any loss incurred will not exceed the limits on the policies obtained, or that claim payments on such policies will be received on a timely basis, or even if obtained on a timely basis, that such payments will prevent losses to such franchisee or enable timely franchise payments. Accordingly, in cases in which a franchisee experiences increased insurance premiums or must pay claims out-of-pocket, the franchisee may not have the funds necessary to make franchise payments to us.

An insolvency or bankruptcy proceeding involving a franchisee could prevent the collection of payments or the exercise of rights under the related franchise agreement. An insolvency proceeding involving a franchisee could prevent us from collecting payments or exercising any of our other rights under the related franchise agreement. In particular, the protection of the statutory automatic stay that arises under Section 362 of the United States Bankruptcy Code upon the commencement of a bankruptcy proceeding by or against a franchisee would prohibit us from terminating a franchise agreement previously entered into with a franchisee. Furthermore, a franchisee that is subject to bankruptcy proceedings may reject the franchise agreement in which case we would be limited to a general unsecured claim against the franchisee's bankruptcy estate on account of breach-of-contract damages arising from the rejection. Payments previously made to us by a franchisee that is subject to a bankruptcy proceeding also may be recoverable from us on behalf of the franchisee as a preferential transfer under the United States Bankruptcy Code.

The number and quality of franchisees is subject to change over time, which may negatively affect our business. Our Applebee's business is highly concentrated in a limited number of franchisees. We cannot guarantee the retention of any, including the top performing, franchisees in the future, or that we will maintain the ability to attract, retain, and motivate sufficient numbers of franchisees of the same caliber. The quality of existing franchisee operations may be

diminished by factors beyond our control, including franchisees' failure or inability to hire or retain qualified managers and other personnel. Training of managers and other personnel may be inadequate. These and other such negative factors could reduce the franchisee's restaurant revenues, impact payments to us under the franchise agreements and could have a material adverse effect on us. In the case of Applebee's, these negative factors would be magnified by the limited number of existing franchisees.

If franchisees and other licensees do not observe the required quality and trademark usage standards, our brands may suffer reputational damage, which could in turn adversely affect our business. We license our intellectual property to our franchisees, product suppliers, manufacturers, distributors, advertisers and other third parties. The franchise agreements and other license agreements require that each franchisee or other licensee use our intellectual property in accordance with established or approved quality control guidelines. However, there can be no assurance that the franchisees or other licensees will use the intellectual property assets in accordance with such guidelines. Franchisee and licensee noncompliance with the terms and conditions of the governing franchise agreement or other license agreement may reduce the overall goodwill

associated with our brands. Franchisees and other licensees may refer to our intellectual property improperly in communications, resulting in the weakening of the distinctiveness of our intellectual property. There can be no assurance that the franchisees or other licensees will not take actions that could have a material adverse effect on the Applebee's or IHOP intellectual property.

In addition, even if the licensee product suppliers, manufacturers, distributors, or advertisers observe and maintain the quality and integrity of our intellectual property assets in accordance with the relevant license agreement, any product manufactured by such suppliers may be subject to regulatory sanctions and other actions by third parties which can, in turn, negatively impact the perceived quality of our restaurants and the overall goodwill of our brands, regardless of the nature and type of product involved. Any such sanctions or actions could reduce restaurant revenues and corresponding franchise payments to us.

We are heavily dependent on information technology and any material failure of that technology could impair our ability to efficiently operate our business. We rely heavily on information systems across our operations, including, for example, point-of-sale processing in our restaurants, management of our supply chain, collection of cash, payment of obligations and various other processes and procedures. Our ability to efficiently manage our business depends significantly on the reliability and capacity of these systems. The failure of these systems to operate effectively, problems with maintenance, upgrading or transitioning to replacement systems, fraudulent manipulation of sales reporting from our restaurants resulting in loss of sales and royalty payments, or a breach in security of these systems could be harmful and cause delays in customer service and reduce efficiency in our operations. Significant capital investments might be required to remediate any problems.

As part of our marketing efforts, we rely on search engine marketing and social media platforms to attract and retain guests. These efforts may not be successful, and pose a variety of other risks, as discussed above under the heading: "A failure to develop and implement innovative marketing and guest relationship initiatives, ineffective or improper use of social media or other marketing initiatives, and increased advertising and marketing costs, could adversely affect our business results."

The occurrence of cyber incidents, or a deficiency in our cybersecurity, could negatively impact our business by causing a disruption to our operations, a compromise or corruption of our confidential information, and/or damage to our employee and business relationships, all of which could subject us to loss and harm our brands. A cyber incident is considered to be any adverse event that threatens the confidentiality, integrity, or availability of our information resources. More specifically, a cyber incident is an intentional attack or an unintentional event that can include gaining unauthorized access to systems to disrupt operations, corrupt data, or steal confidential information about our customers, franchisees, vendors and employees. As our reliance on technology has increased, so have the risks posed to our systems, both internal and those we have outsourced. Three primary risks that could directly result from the occurrence of a cyber incident include (i) exposure of confidential data about our customers, franchisees, vendors and employees, (ii) damage to the reputation of our brands and (iii) damage to our relationship with our franchisees.

Our use of personally identifiable information is regulated by foreign, federal and state laws, as well as by certain third-party agreements. If our security and information systems are compromised or if our employees or franchisees fail to comply with these laws and regulations, and this information is obtained by unauthorized persons or used inappropriately, it could adversely affect our reputation and could disrupt our operations and result in costly litigation, judgments, or penalties resulting from violation of federal and state laws and payment card industry regulations. As privacy and information security laws and regulations change, we may incur additional costs to ensure that we remain in compliance with those laws and regulations.

Our inability or failure to execute on a comprehensive business continuity plan following a major natural disaster such as an earthquake, tornado or man-made disaster, including terrorism, at our corporate facilities could materially adversely impact our business. Our corporate systems and processes and corporate support for our restaurant

operations are handled primarily at our two restaurant support centers. We have disaster recovery procedures and business continuity plans in place to address most events of a crisis nature, including earthquakes, tornadoes and other natural or man-made disasters, and back up and off-site locations for recovery of electronic and other forms of data and information. However, if we are unable to fully implement our disaster recovery plans, we may experience delays in recovery of data, inability to perform vital corporate functions, tardiness in required reporting and compliance, failures to adequately support field operations and other breakdowns in normal communication and operating procedures that could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operation and exposure to administrative and other legal claims.

Our business depends on our ability to attract and retain talented employees. Our business is based on successfully attracting and retaining talented employees. The market for highly skilled employees and leaders in our industry is extremely competitive. If we are less successful in our recruiting efforts, or if we are unable to retain key employees, our ability to develop and deliver successful products and services may be adversely affected. Effective succession planning is also important

to our long-term success. Failure to ensure effective transfer of knowledge and smooth transitions involving key employees could hinder our strategic planning and execution.

Retail brand development initiatives could negatively impact our IHOP brand. Our business expansion into retail product licensing could create new risks to our IHOP brand and reputation. During 2011, IHOP launched a line of premium frozen breakfast entrées and pancake syrups in retail outlets. If customers have negative perceptions or experiences with our retail products, our brand value could suffer which could have an adverse effect on our business.

Failure of our internal controls over financial reporting and future changes in accounting standards may cause adverse unexpected operating results, affect our reported results of operations or otherwise harm our business and financial results. Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting. Internal control over financial reporting is a process to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting is not intended to provide absolute assurance that we would prevent or detect a misstatement of our financial statements or fraud. Any failure to maintain an effective system of internal control over financial reporting could limit our ability to report our financial results accurately and timely or to detect and prevent fraud. A significant financial reporting failure or material weakness in internal control over financial reporting could cause a loss of investor confidence and decline in the market price of our common stock.

A change in accounting standards can have a significant effect on our reported results and may affect our reporting of transactions before the change is effective. New pronouncements and varying interpretations of pronouncements have occurred and may occur in the future. Changes to existing accounting rules or the questioning of current accounting practices may adversely affect our reported financial results. Additionally, our assumptions, estimates and judgments related to complex accounting matters could significantly affect our financial results. Generally accepted accounting principles and related accounting pronouncements, implementation guidelines and interpretations are highly complex and involve many subjective assumptions, estimates and judgments by us. Changes in these rules or their interpretation or changes in underlying assumptions, estimates or judgments by us could significantly change our reported or expected financial performance.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.  
None.

Edgar Filing: DineEquity, Inc - Form 10-K

Item 2. Properties.

The table below shows the location and ownership type of Applebee's and IHOP restaurants as of December 31, 2014:

|                      | Applebee's |         |       | IHOP      |         |     | Area License | Total |
|----------------------|------------|---------|-------|-----------|---------|-----|--------------|-------|
|                      | Franchise  | Company | Total | Franchise | Company |     |              |       |
| United States        |            |         |       |           |         |     |              |       |
| Alabama              | 31         | —       | 31    | 22        | —       | —   |              | 22    |
| Alaska               | 2          | —       | 2     | 4         | —       | —   |              | 4     |
| Arizona              | 27         | —       | 27    | 39        | —       | —   |              | 39    |
| Arkansas             | 11         | —       | 11    | 15        | —       | —   |              | 15    |
| California           | 117        | —       | 117   | 232       | —       | —   |              | 232   |
| Colorado             | 26         | —       | 26    | 32        | —       | —   |              | 32    |
| Connecticut          | 6          | —       | 6     | 8         | —       | —   |              | 8     |
| Delaware             | 12         | —       | 12    | 7         | —       | —   |              | 7     |
| District of Columbia | —          | —       | —     | 2         | —       | —   |              | 2     |
| Florida              | 109        | —       | 109   | —         | —       | 150 | * 150        |       |
| Georgia              | 70         | —       | 70    | 75        | —       | 4   | * 79         |       |
| Hawaii               | —          | —       | —     | 5         | —       | —   |              | 5     |
| Idaho                | 12         | —       | 12    | 8         | —       | —   |              | 8     |
| Illinois             | 47         | —       | 47    | 50        | 1       | —   |              | 51    |
| Indiana              | 65         | —       | 65    | 23        | —       | —   |              | 23    |
| Iowa                 | 26         | —       | 26    | 9         | —       | —   |              | 9     |
| Kansas               | 24         | 10      | 34    | 22        | —       | —   |              | 22    |
| Kentucky             | 37         | —       | 37    | 8         | 1       | —   |              | 9     |
| Louisiana            | 17         | —       | 17    | 30        | —       | —   |              | 30    |
| Maine                | 12         | —       | 12    | 1         | —       | —   |              | 1     |
| Maryland             | 24         | —       | 24    | 40        | —       | —   |              | 40    |
| Massachusetts        | 28         | —       | 28    | 19        | —       | —   |              | 19    |
| Michigan             | 87         | —       | 87    | 21        | —       | —   |              | 21    |
| Minnesota            | 58         | —       | 58    | 12        | —       | —   |              | 12    |
| Mississippi          | 22         | —       | 22    | 12        | —       | —   |              | 12    |
| Missouri             | 45         | 13      | 58    | 28        | —       | —   |              | 28    |
| Montana              | 8          | —       | 8     | 5         | —       | —   |              | 5     |
| Nebraska             | 19         | —       | 19    | 5         | —       | —   |              | 5     |
| Nevada               | 14         | —       | 14    | 24        | —       | —   |              | 24    |
| New Hampshire        | 14         | —       | 14    | 4         | —       | —   |              | 4     |
| New Jersey           | 58         | —       | 58    | 42        | —       | —   |              | 42    |
| New Mexico           | 20         | —       | 20    | 21        | —       | —   |              | 21    |
| New York             | 113        | —       | 113   | 52        | —       | —   |              | 52    |
| North Carolina       | 60         | —       | 60    | 52        | —       | —   |              | 52    |
| North Dakota         | 12         | —       | 12    | 2         | —       | —   |              | 2     |
| Ohio                 | 92         | —       | 92    | 23        | 9       | —   |              | 32    |
| Oklahoma             | 23         | —       | 23    | 28        | —       | —   |              | 28    |
| Oregon               | 21         | —       | 21    | 7         | —       | —   |              | 7     |
| Pennsylvania         | 79         | —       | 79    | 20        | —       | —   |              | 20    |
| Rhode Island         | 8          | —       | 8     | 3         | —       | —   |              | 3     |
| South Carolina       | 39         | —       | 39    | 30        | —       | —   |              | 30    |
| South Dakota         | 6          | —       | 6     | 3         | —       | —   |              | 3     |
| Tennessee            | 43         | —       | 43    | 37        | —       | —   |              | 37    |
| Texas                | 105        | —       | 105   | 193       | —       | —   |              | 193   |



Edgar Filing: DineEquity, Inc - Form 10-K

|                |       |    |       |       |    |     |       |
|----------------|-------|----|-------|-------|----|-----|-------|
| Utah           | 16    | —  | 16    | 19    | —  | —   | 19    |
| Vermont        | 3     | —  | 3     | 1     | —  | —   | 1     |
| Virginia       | 73    | —  | 73    | 62    | —  | —   | 62    |
| Washington     | 42    | —  | 42    | 32    | —  | —   | 32    |
| West Virginia  | 17    | —  | 17    | 7     | —  | —   | 7     |
| Wisconsin      | 42    | —  | 42    | 15    | —  | —   | 15    |
| Wyoming        | 5     | —  | 5     | 3     | —  | —   | 3     |
| Total Domestic | 1,847 | 23 | 1,870 | 1,414 | 11 | 154 | 1,579 |

22

---

Edgar Filing: DineEquity, Inc - Form 10-K

|                           | Applebee's |         |       | IHOP      |         |              | Total |
|---------------------------|------------|---------|-------|-----------|---------|--------------|-------|
|                           | Franchise  | Company | Total | Franchise | Company | Area License |       |
| International             |            |         |       |           |         |              |       |
| Bahrain                   | —          | —       | —     | 1         | —       | —            | 1     |
| Brazil                    | 13         | —       | 13    | —         | —       | —            | —     |
| Canada                    | 18         | —       | 18    | 10        | —       | 13           | * 23  |
| Chile                     | 4          | —       | 4     | —         | —       | —            | —     |
| Costa Rica                | 3          | —       | 3     | —         | —       | —            | —     |
| Dominican Republic        | 1          | —       | 1     | —         | —       | —            | —     |
| Egypt                     | 1          | —       | 1     | —         | —       | —            | —     |
| Guam                      | 1          | —       | 1     | 1         | —       | —            | —     |
| Guatemala                 | 4          | —       | 4     | 3         | —       | —            | 3     |
| Honduras                  | 3          | —       | 3     | —         | —       | —            | —     |
| Indonesia                 | 1          | —       | 1     | —         | —       | —            | —     |
| Jordan                    | 1          | —       | 1     | —         | —       | —            | —     |
| Kuwait                    | 6          | —       | 6     | 4         | —       | —            | 4     |
| Mexico                    | 59         | —       | 59    | 26        | —       | —            | 26    |
| Philippines               | —          | —       | —     | 6         | —       | —            | 6     |
| Puerto Rico               | 4          | —       | 4     | 3         | —       | —            | 3     |
| Qatar                     | 6          | —       | 6     | —         | —       | —            | —     |
| Saudi Arabia              | 15         | —       | 15    | 1         | —       | —            | 1     |
| St. Croix, Virgin Islands | —          | —       | —     | 1         | —       | —            | 1     |
| United Arab Emirates      | 7          | —       | 7     | 3         | —       | —            | 3     |
| Total International       | 147        | —       | 147   | 58        | —       | 13           | 71    |
| Totals                    | 1,994      | 23      | 2,017 | 1,472     | 11      | 167          | 1,650 |

\* of these restaurants 62 in Florida, 4 in Georgia and 12 in Canada have been sub-licensed by the area licensee

As of December 31, 2014, we operated 23 Applebee's restaurants and 11 IHOP restaurants. We operate the 23 Applebee's restaurants in the Kansas City, Missouri market and 10 IHOP restaurants in the Cincinnati, Ohio market. Of these restaurants, we leased the building for five sites, owned the building and leased the land for 11 sites, owned the land and building for one site and leased the land and building for 17 sites. We are temporarily operating one IHOP company-operated restaurant until it is refranchised.

Of the 1,472 IHOP restaurants operated by franchisees, 60 were located on sites owned by us, 649 were located on sites leased by us from third parties and 763 were located on sites owned or leased by franchisees. All of the IHOP restaurants operated by area licensees and 1,993 of the franchisee-operated Applebee's restaurants were located on sites owned or leased by the area licensees or the franchisees. We owned one site on which a franchisee-operated Applebee's restaurant was located.

Leases of IHOP restaurants generally provide for an initial term of 20 to 25 years, with most having one or more five-year renewal options. Leases of Applebee's restaurants generally have an initial term of 10 to 20 years, with renewal terms of five to 20 years. In addition, a substantial number of the leases for both IHOP and Applebee's restaurants include provisions calling for the periodic escalation of rents during the initial term and/or during renewal terms. The leases typically provide for payment of rents in an amount equal to the greater of a fixed amount or a specified percentage of gross sales and for payment of taxes, insurance premiums, maintenance expenses and certain other costs. Historically, it has been our practice to seek to extend, through negotiation, those leases that expire without renewal options. However, from time to time, we choose not to renew a lease or are unsuccessful in negotiating satisfactory renewal terms. When this occurs, the restaurant is closed and possession of the premises is returned to the landlord.

Under our Applebee's franchise agreements, we have certain rights to gain control of a restaurant site in the event of default under the franchise agreement. Because substantially all IHOP franchised restaurants developed by us under

our Previous Business Model are subleased to the franchisees, IHOP has the ability to regain possession of the subleased restaurant if the franchisee defaults in the payment of rent or other terms of the sublease.

We currently occupy our principal corporate offices and IHOP restaurant support center in Glendale, California, under a lease expiring in June 2020. The Applebee's restaurant support center is located in Kansas City, Missouri under a lease expiring in October 2021.

## Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

We are subject to various lawsuits, administrative proceedings, audits, and claims arising in the ordinary course of business. Some of these lawsuits purport to be class actions and/or seek substantial damages. We are required to record an accrual for litigation loss contingencies that are both probable and reasonably estimable. Legal fees and expenses associated with the defense of all of our litigation are expensed as such fees and expenses are incurred. Management regularly assesses our insurance deductibles, analyzes litigation information with our attorneys and evaluates our loss experience in connection with pending legal proceedings. While we do not presently believe that any of the legal proceedings to which we are currently a party will ultimately have a material adverse impact on us, there can be no assurance that we will prevail in all the proceedings we are party to, or that we will not incur material losses from them.

## Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosure.

Not Applicable.

## PART II

## Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.

## Market Information

Our common stock is traded on the NYSE under the symbol "DIN". The following table sets forth the high and low sales prices of our common stock on the NYSE for each fiscal quarter of 2014 and 2013.

| Quarter | Fiscal Year 2014 |         | Fiscal Year 2013 |         |
|---------|------------------|---------|------------------|---------|
|         | High             | Low     | High             | Low     |
| First   | \$85.73          | \$74.21 | \$78.39          | \$65.44 |
| Second  | \$82.75          | \$74.07 | \$74.96          | \$66.39 |
| Third   | \$84.99          | \$74.93 | \$72.49          | \$64.44 |
| Fourth  | \$103.26         | \$77.08 | \$85.74          | \$65.96 |

## Holders

The number of stockholders of record and beneficial owners of our common stock as of February 6, 2015 was estimated to be 12,400.

## Dividends

During the fiscal years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, we declared and paid dividends on our common stock as follows:

| Year ended December 31, 2014 | Declaration date  | Payment date       | Dividend per share | Total <sup>(1)</sup><br>(In millions) |
|------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------|
| First quarter                | February 25, 2014 | March 28, 2014     | \$0.75             | \$14.3                                |
| Second quarter               | May 28, 2014      | June 27, 2014      | 0.75               | 14.3                                  |
| Third quarter                | August 4, 2014    | September 26, 2014 | 0.75               | 14.2                                  |
| Fourth quarter               | October 27, 2014  | <sup>(2)</sup>     | 0.875              | —                                     |
| Total                        |                   |                    | \$3.125            | \$42.8                                |
| Year ended December 31, 2013 |                   |                    |                    |                                       |
| First quarter                | February 26, 2013 | March 29, 2013     | \$0.75             | \$14.6                                |
| Second quarter               | May 14, 2013      | June 28, 2013      | 0.75               | 14.4                                  |
| Third quarter                | August 2, 2013    | September 27, 2013 | 0.75               | 14.3                                  |
| Fourth quarter               | October 3, 2013   | December 27, 2013  | 0.75               | 14.3                                  |

Edgar Filing: DineEquity, Inc - Form 10-K

|       |        |        |
|-------|--------|--------|
| Total | \$3.00 | \$57.6 |
|-------|--------|--------|

---

(1) Includes dividend equivalents paid on restricted stock units

(2) The fourth quarter 2014 dividend of \$16.6 million was paid on January 9, 2015

24

---

On February 24, 2015, our Board of Directors approved payment of a cash dividend of \$0.875 per share of common stock, payable at the close of business on April 10, 2015 to the stockholders of record as of the close of business on March 13, 2015.

#### Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

The following table provides information as of December 31, 2014, regarding shares outstanding and available for issuance under our existing equity compensation plans:

| Plan Category  | Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights | Weighted average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights | Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) |
|--|---|---|---|
|  | (a)   | (b)   | (c)   |
| Equity compensation plans approved by security holders     | 618,115   | \$53.10   | 1,015,869   |
| Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders | —   | —   | —   |
| Total  | 618,115   | \$53.10   | 1,015,869   |

The number of securities remaining available for future issuance represents shares under our 2011 Stock Incentive Plan. Please refer to Note 13, Stock-Based Incentive Plans, in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for a description of the Plan.

#### Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

##### Purchases of Equity Securities by the Company

| Period   | Total number of shares purchased | Average price paid per share | Total number of shares purchased as part of publicly announced plans or programs (c) | Approximate dollar value of shares that may yet be purchased under the plans or programs (c) |
|--|----------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|
| September 29, 2014 – October 26, 2014 <sup>(a)</sup> | 2,175                            | \$80.63                      | —  | \$ 40,300,000  |
| October 27, 2014 – November 23, 2014 <sup>(b)</sup>  | 263                              | \$93.63                      | —  | \$ 100,000,000   |
| November 24, 2014 – December 28, 2014 <sup>(b)</sup> | 20,973                           | \$98.34                      | 20,335   | \$ 98,000,000  |
| Total  | 23,411                           | \$96.64                      | 20,335   | \$ 98,000,000  |

(a) These amounts represent shares owned and tendered by employees to satisfy tax withholding obligations on the vesting of restricted stock awards.

(b) This amount includes 638 shares owned and tendered by employees at an average price of \$97.43 per share to satisfy tax withholding obligations on the vesting of restricted stock awards during the fiscal month ended December 28, 2014.

(c) On October 27, 2014, our Board of Directors approved a stock repurchase authorization of up to \$100 million of our common stock, replacing the previously announced \$100 million authorization pursuant to which \$57.7 million of our common stock had been repurchased. Repurchases are subject to prevailing market prices and may take place in open market transactions and in privately negotiated transactions, based on applicable legal requirements and business, market, and other considerations. The program does not require the repurchase of a specific number of shares and may be terminated at any time.



## Stock Performance Graph

The graph below shows a comparison of the cumulative total stockholder return on our common stock with the cumulative total return on the Standard & Poor's 500 Composite Index and the Value-Line Restaurants Index ("Restaurant Index") over the five-year period ended December 31, 2014. The graph and table assume \$100 invested at the close of trading on the last day of trading in 2009 in our common stock and in each of the market indices, with reinvestment of all dividends. Stockholder returns over the indicated periods should not be considered indicative of future stock prices or stockholder returns.

## Comparison of Five-Year Cumulative Total Stockholder Return

DineEquity, Inc., Standard & Poor's 500 And Value Line Restaurant Index  
(Performance Results Through December 31, 2014)

|                       | 2009     | 2010     | 2011     | 2012     | 2013     | 2014     |
|-----------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| DineEquity, Inc.      | \$100.00 | \$203.29 | \$173.78 | \$275.83 | \$358.50 | \$461.12 |
| Standard & Poor's 500 | 100.00   | 115.06   | 117.49   | 136.29   | 180.43   | 205.13   |
| Restaurant Index      | 100.00   | 139.17   | 183.55   | 193.33   | 256.50   | 287.81   |



Edgar Filing: DineEquity, Inc - Form 10-K

Item 6. Selected Financial Data.

The following selected consolidated financial data should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto and “Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” appearing elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The consolidated statement of operations information and the consolidated balance sheet data for the years ended and as of December 31, 2014, 2013, 2012, 2011 and 2010 are derived from our audited consolidated financial statements.

|  | Fiscal Year Ended December 31,                              |         |          |         |           |
|--|---|---------|----------|---------|-----------|
|  | 2014  | 2013    | 2012     | 2011    | 2010      |
|  | (In millions, except per share amounts and restaurant data) |         |          |         |           |
| <b>Segment Revenues</b>  |   |         |          |         |           |
| Franchise and restaurant revenues (a)  | \$518.6   | \$502.6 | \$712.5  | \$929.5 | \$1,192.7 |
| Rental revenues  | 122.9   | 124.8   | 122.9    | 126.0   | 124.5     |
| Financing revenues   | 13.5  | 13.1    | 14.5     | 19.7    | 16.4      |
| Total revenues   | 655.0   | 640.5   | 849.9    | 1,075.2 | 1,333.6   |
| <b>Segment Expenses</b>  |   |         |          |         |           |
| Franchise and restaurant expenses (a)  | 184.5   | 173.3   | 359.2    | 563.4   | 802.8     |
| Rental expenses  | 94.6  | 97.3    | 97.2     | 98.2    | 99.0      |
| Financing expenses   | 0.8   | 0.2     | 1.6      | 6.0     | 2.0       |
| Total segment expenses   | 279.9   | 270.8   | 458.0    | 667.6   | 903.8     |
| Gross segment profit   | 375.1   | 369.7   | 391.9    | 407.6   | 429.8     |
| General and administrative expenses  | 145.9   | 143.6   | 163.2    | 155.8   | 160.3     |
| Interest expense   | 96.6  | 100.3   | 114.3    | 132.7   | 171.5     |
| Closure and impairment charges   | 3.7   | 1.8     | 4.2      | 29.9    | 4.3       |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt and temporary equity                          | 64.9  | 0.1     | 5.6      | 11.2    | 107.0     |
| Loss (gain) on disposition of assets (a)                                     | 0.3   | (0.2 )  | (102.6 ) | (43.3 ) | (13.5 )   |
| Other expense (b)  | 12.1  | 13.6    | 12.3     | 16.3    | 12.3      |
| Income (loss) before income taxes  | 51.6  | 110.6   | 194.9    | 105.0   | (12.1 )   |
| Income tax (provision) benefit   | (15.1 )   | (38.6 ) | (67.2 )  | (29.8 ) | 9.3       |
| Net income (loss)  | 36.5  | 72.0    | 127.7    | 75.2    | (2.8 )    |
| Less: Series A preferred stock dividends                                     | —   | —       | —        | —       | (25.9 )   |
| Less: Accretion of Series B preferred stock                                  | —   | —       | (2.5 )   | (2.6 )  | (2.5 )    |
| Less: Net (income) loss allocated to unvested participating restricted stock | (0.5 )  | (1.2 )  | (2.7 )   | (1.9 )  | 1.2       |
| Net income (loss) available to common stockholders                           | \$35.9  | \$70.8  | \$122.5  | \$70.7  | \$(30.0 ) |
| Net income (loss) available to common stockholders per share:                |   |         |          |         |           |
| Basic  | \$1.92  | \$3.75  | \$6.81   | \$3.96  | \$(1.74 ) |
| Diluted  | \$1.90  | \$3.70  | \$6.63   | \$3.89  | \$(1.74 ) |
| Weighted average shares outstanding:   |   |         |          |         |           |
| Basic  | 18.8  | 18.9    | 18.0     | 17.8    | 17.2      |
| Diluted  | 19.0  | 19.1    | 18.9     | 18.2    | 17.2      |
| Dividends declared per common share  | \$3.125   | \$3.00  | \$—      | \$—     | \$—       |
| Dividends paid per common share  | \$2.25  | \$3.00  | \$—      | \$—     | \$—       |
| Balance Sheet Data (end of year):  |   |         |          |         |           |
| Cash and cash equivalents  | \$104.0   | \$106.0 | \$64.5   | \$60.7  | \$102.3   |
| Restricted cash—short-term and long-term (c)                                 | 67.0  | 0.7     | 1.9      | 1.2     | 1.6       |
| Property and equipment, net (a)  | 241.2   | 274.3   | 294.4    | 474.2   | 612.2     |
| Total assets   | 2,448.1   | 2,404.6 | 2,415.4  | 2,614.3 | 2,856.6   |
| Long-term debt, less current maturities                                      | 1,300.0   | 1,203.5 | 1,202.1  | 1,411.4 | 1,631.5   |

Edgar Filing: DineEquity, Inc - Form 10-K

|   |         |         |        |         |         |   |
|---|---------|---------|--------|---------|---------|---|
| Capital lease obligations, less current maturities            | 98.1    | 111.7   | 124.4  | 134.4   | 144.0   |   |
| Financing obligations, less current maturities                | 42.5    | 48.8    | 52.0   | 162.7   | 237.8   |   |
| Stockholders' equity  | 279.1   | 315.2   | 308.8  | 155.2   | 83.6    |   |
| Other Financial Data:   |         |         |        |         |         |   |
| Cash flows provided by operating activities                   | \$118.5 | \$127.8 | \$52.9 | \$121.7 | \$179.3 |   |
| Capital expenditures  | 5.9     | 7.0     | 17.0   | 26.3    | 18.7    |   |
| Domestic system-wide same-restaurant sales percentage change: |         |         |        |         |         |   |
| Applebee's  | 1.1     | % (0.3  | )% 1.2 | % 2.0   | % 0.3   | % |
| IHOP  | 3.9     | % 2.4   | % (1.6 | )% (2.0 | )% 0.0  | % |
| Total restaurants (end of year):                              |         |         |        |         |         |   |
| Applebee's  | 2,017   | 2,011   | 2,034  | 2,019   | 2,010   |   |
| IHOP  | 1,650   | 1,620   | 1,581  | 1,550   | 1,504   |   |
| Total restaurants   | 3,667   | 3,631   | 3,615  | 3,569   | 3,514   |   |

(a) We refranchised 369 Applebee's company-operated restaurants between 2010 and 2012.

(b) Includes amortization of intangible assets in each year as well as \$1.3 and \$4.0 of debt modification costs in 2013 and 2011, respectively.

(c) Restricted cash increased in 2014 due to refinancing of long-term debt. See Note 7 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

## Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

### Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

Statements contained in this report may constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors, which may cause actual results to be materially different from those expressed or implied in such statements. You can identify these forward-looking statements by words such as “may,” “will,” “should,” “expect,” “anticipate,” “believe,” “estimate,” “intend,” “plan” and other similar expressions. You should consider our forward-looking statements in light of the risks discussed under the heading “Risk Factors,” as well as our consolidated financial statements, related notes, and the other financial information appearing elsewhere in this report and our other filings with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission. The forward-looking statements contained in this report are made as of the date hereof and the Company assumes no obligation to update or supplement any forward-looking statements. You should read the following Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and the related notes that appear elsewhere in this report.

### Business Overview

#### The Company

The first International House of Pancakes restaurant opened in 1958 in Toluca Lake, California. Shortly thereafter, the Company's predecessor began developing and franchising additional restaurants. The Company was incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware in 1976 with the name IHOP Corp. In November 2007, the Company completed the acquisition of Applebee's International, Inc., which became a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company. Effective June 2, 2008, the name of the Company was changed to DineEquity, Inc. (“DineEquity,” “we” or “our”). Through various subsidiaries (see Exhibit 21, Subsidiaries of DineEquity, Inc.) we own, franchise and operate two restaurant concepts: Applebee's Neighborhood Grill & Bar® (“Applebee®”), in the bar and grill segment within the casual dining category of the restaurant industry, and International House of Pancakes® (“IHOP®”), in the family dining category of the restaurant industry. References herein to Applebee's and IHOP restaurants are to these two restaurant concepts, whether operated by franchisees, area licensees or us.

Domestically, IHOP restaurants are located in all 50 states and the District of Columbia, while Applebee's restaurants are located in every state except Hawaii. Internationally, IHOP restaurants are located in two United States territories and eight foreign countries; Applebee's restaurants are located in two United States territories and 15 foreign countries. With over 3,600 restaurants combined, we believe we are the largest full-service restaurant company in the world.

#### Our Vision

To be the preferred franchisor of choice and deliver maximum franchisee and stockholder value.

#### Our Mission

To unite great franchisees, iconic brands and team members to create the world's leading restaurant company - one guest at a time. To achieve this mission, our strategies are designed to ensure strong brands; drive profitable, organic growth; identify and exploit complementary concepts and extensions; and create and monetize new value-added services.

#### 2014 Highlights

Refinanced our long-term debt at a lower, fixed interest rate, reducing future cash interest payments by approximately \$34 million on an annualized, pre-tax basis and extending the maturity date to 2021;

Announced a new capital allocation strategy, increasing our quarterly dividend on common stock by 17%, effective with the fourth quarter of 2014, from \$0.75 per share to \$0.875 per share, and resetting the share repurchase authorization back to \$100 million;

Generated free cash flow (cash provided by operating activities, plus receipts from long-term receivables, less additions to property and equipment, principal payments on capital lease and financing obligations and mandatory debt service payments) of approximately \$113 million in 2014;

Increased IHOP's domestic system-wide same-restaurant sales by 3.9% during 2014, the second consecutive full year of growth in domestic system-wide same-restaurant sales and the highest yearly increase since 2004;

Generated positive traffic growth at IHOP restaurants for the first time in eight years;



Increased Applebee's domestic system-wide same-restaurant sales by 1.1% during 2014, the highest since 2012; Opened 56 new restaurants worldwide by IHOP franchisees and area licensees and 36 new restaurants by Applebee's franchisees;

- Remodeled nearly 500 restaurants system-wide during 2014. Applebee's and its franchisees remodeled 357 restaurants during 2014, while IHOP and its franchisees remodeled 137 restaurants. Over the past four years, approximately 90% of Applebee's restaurants and almost 50% of IHOP restaurants have been remodeled.

#### 2014 Key Performance Indicators

In evaluating the performance of each restaurant concept, we consider the key performance indicators to be net franchise restaurant development and the percentage change in domestic system-wide same-restaurant sales. Since we are a 99% franchised company, expanding the number of franchise restaurants is an important driver of revenue growth because we currently do not plan to open any new Applebee's or IHOP company-operated restaurants or expand our rental and financing operations, legacies from the Previous IHOP Business Model we operated under prior to 2003. Growth in both the number of franchise restaurants and in sales at those restaurants will drive franchise revenues in the form of higher royalty revenues, additional franchise fees and, in the case of IHOP restaurants, sales of proprietary pancake and waffle dry mix.

An overview of our 2014 performance in these metrics is as follows:

|   | Applebee's | IHOP |
|---|------------|------|
| Percentage increase in domestic system-wide same-restaurant sales | 1.1%       | 3.9% |
| Net franchise restaurant development <sup>(1)</sup>               | 6          | 32   |

<sup>(1)</sup> Franchise and area license openings, net of closings

IHOP's increase of 3.9% in domestic system-wide restaurant sales for the year ended December 31, 2014 resulted from a higher average customer check as well as an increase in customer traffic. The increase reflects a strong performance throughout 2014, with positive domestic system-wide restaurant sales in each quarter. Customer traffic was positive in the third and fourth quarters of 2014. Based on data from Black Box Intelligence, a restaurant sales reporting firm ("Black Box"), IHOP outperformed the family dining segment as well as the overall restaurant industry in both domestic system-wide same-restaurant sales and traffic during 2014.

Applebee's increase of 1.1% in domestic system-wide restaurant sales for the year ended December 31, 2014 resulted from an increase in average customer check partially offset by a decrease in customer traffic. The increase reflects sequential quarterly improvement throughout 2014. Based on data from Black Box, Applebee's increase in domestic system-wide restaurant sales exceeded that of the casual dining segment as well as the overall restaurant industry in 2014, and Applebee's decrease in customer traffic was smaller than that of the casual dining segment as well as the overall restaurant industry.

IHOP franchisees and area licensees opened 56 new restaurants in 2014, with net franchise and area license restaurant development of 32 restaurants. Included in 2014 openings were 18 international restaurants, the most international openings we have ever had in a single year. Over the past five years, the total number of IHOP restaurants has grown from 1,456 to 1,650 restaurants, an average annual growth of nearly 39 restaurants per year.

Applebee's franchisees opened 36 new franchise restaurants in 2014, with net franchise restaurant development of six restaurants. The total number of Applebee's restaurants has increased from 1,965 to 2,017 restaurants since our November, 2007 acquisition of the brand. More significantly, during that time frame we transitioned Applebee's from a 72% franchised system to a 99% franchised system.

Additional information on each of these metrics is presented under the caption "Restaurant Data" that follows.

In evaluating the performance of the consolidated enterprise, we consider a key performance indicator to be consolidated free cash flow (cash provided by operating activities, plus receipts from notes, equipment contracts and other long-term receivables (collectively, "long-term receivables"), less additions to property and equipment, principal payments on capital lease and financing obligations and mandatory debt service payments). Consolidated free cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 were \$112.5 million and \$120.1 million, respectively.

Additional information on this metric is presented under the caption “Liquidity and Capital Resources” that follows.

## Key Overall Strategies

### DineEquity's Key Strategies

We are focused on building our brands to create value for our stockholders and franchisees. To build on our achievements we are focused on the following key strategic priorities:

- Drive higher growth from our existing brands;
- Enable an increase in franchisee restaurant development;
- Maintain strong financial discipline; and
- Drive stockholder value by generating strong free cash flow, the majority of which we intend to return to our stockholders.

Our fundamental approach to brand building centers on a strategic combination of menu, media, remodel and development initiatives to continually innovate and evolve both brands. Our shared services operating platform allows our senior management to focus on key factors that drive both our brands while leveraging the resources and expertise of our scalable, centralized support structure. We believe this closely integrated approach is a competitive point of difference that we expect will strengthen brand performance and generate growth in free cash flow.

Significant Known Events, Trends or Uncertainties Impacting or Expected to Impact Comparisons of Reported or Future Results

Same-restaurant Sales Trends

Applebee's domestic system-wide same-restaurant sales increased 2.8% for the three months ended December 31, 2014 from the same period in 2013. The increase for the fourth quarter was due to an increase in average customer check as well as a slight increase in customer traffic. For the full year ended December 31, 2014, Applebee's domestic system-wide same-restaurant sales increased 1.1%. The increase for the full year 2014 was due to an increase in average customer check partially offset by a decrease in customer traffic.

IHOP's domestic system-wide same-restaurant sales increased 6.1% for the three months ended December 31, 2014, IHOP's highest quarterly increase since the first quarter of 2004. For the full year ended December 31, 2014, IHOP's domestic system-wide same-restaurant sales increased 3.9%, the highest annual increase since 2004. The increase for both the fourth quarter and full year 2014 was due to an increase in average customer check as well as an increase in customer traffic.

We believe the increases in our brands' domestic system-wide same-restaurant sales during 2014 resulted from a number of different factors. These factors include, but are not limited to, an increase in advertising effectiveness, a continuing positive impact on IHOP domestic system-wide same-restaurant sales from the 2013 redesign of IHOP's menu, and other macroeconomic factors. There can be no assurance as to how long the positive impact of any of these factors will continue, if at all.



#### Advertising Contributions to IHOP National Advertising Fund

During 2014, the Company and franchisees whose restaurants contribute a large majority of total annual contributions to the IHOP National Advertising Fund (the "IHOP NAF") entered into an amendment to their franchise agreements that increased the advertising contribution percentage of those restaurants' gross sales. Pursuant to the amendment, for the period from June 30, 2014 to December 31, 2014, 2.74% of each participating restaurant's gross sales was contributed to the IHOP NAF and 0.76% was contributed to local advertising cooperatives. For the period from January 1, 2015 to December 31, 2017, 3.50% of each participating restaurant's gross sales will be contributed to the IHOP NAF with no significant contribution to local advertising cooperatives. The amended advertising contribution percentage is also applicable to IHOP company-operated restaurants.

#### Same-restaurant Traffic

The IHOP increase in customer traffic for the year ended December 31, 2014 was the first such increase in eight years. Prior to that, both of our brands have generally experienced a decline in customer traffic in recent years, including a decrease in Applebee's customer traffic for the year ended December 31, 2014. Based on data from Black Box, customer traffic declined in 2014 for the restaurant industry overall and the casual dining segment of the restaurant industry, while customer traffic increased slightly for the family dining segment. In the short term, a decline in customer traffic may be offset by an increase in average customer check resulting from an increase in menu prices, a favorable change in product sales mix, or a combination thereof. A sustained decline in same-restaurant customer traffic that cannot be offset by an increase in average customer check could have an adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. We continue to evaluate and assess opportunities to drive same-restaurant sales and traffic at both our brands. However, in the highly competitive restaurant industry, there can be no assurance that our efforts will achieve the intended results within the time frame anticipated.

#### Interest Expense

As discussed under "Liquidity and Capital Resources - Refinancing of Long-Term Debt," during 2014 we refinanced \$1.225 billion principal amount of existing long-term debt that bore interest at a weighted average interest rate of approximately 7.3% with \$1.3 billion principal amount of new long-term debt bearing interest at a fixed rate of 4.277%. As a result, we expect our annual cash interest expense on long-term debt will be approximately \$34 million lower than it had been prior to the refinancing.

#### 2015 Fiscal Year

Our fiscal year ends on the Sunday nearest to December 31 of each year. As a result, every five or six years, a fiscal year will contain 53 weeks. Our 2015 fiscal year will contain 53 weeks. In a 52-week fiscal year, each fiscal quarter contains 13 weeks, comprised of two, four-week fiscal months followed by a five-week fiscal month. In a 53-week fiscal year, the last month of the fourth fiscal quarter contains six weeks.

## Restaurant Data

The following table sets forth, for each of the past three years, the number of “Effective Restaurants” in the Applebee’s and IHOP systems and information regarding the percentage change in sales at those restaurants compared to the same periods in the prior two years. Sales at restaurants that are owned by franchisees and area licensees are not attributable to the Company. However, we believe that presentation of this information is useful in analyzing our revenues because franchisees and area licensees pay us royalties and advertising fees that are generally based on a percentage of their sales, and, where applicable, rental payments under leases that partially may be based on a percentage of their sales. Management also uses this information to make decisions about future plans for the development of additional restaurants as well as evaluation of current operations.

|   | Year Ended December 31, |         |         |    |
|---|-------------------------|---------|---------|----|
|   | 2014                    | 2013    | 2012    |    |
| <b>Applebee's Restaurant Data</b>                               |                         |         |         |    |
| Effective Restaurants: <sup>(a)</sup>                           |                         |         |         |    |
| Franchise   | 1,986                   | 1,996   | 1,894   |    |
| Company   | 23                      | 23      | 123     |    |
| Total   | 2,009                   | 2,019   | 2,017   |    |
| System-wide: <sup>(b)</sup>                                     |                         |         |         |    |
| Domestic sales percentage change <sup>(c)</sup>                 | 1.3                     | % 0.3   | % 1.7   | %  |
| Domestic same-restaurant sales percentage change <sup>(d)</sup> | 1.1                     | % (0.3) | )% 1.2  | %  |
| Franchise: <sup>(b)(e)</sup>                                    |                         |         |         |    |
| Domestic sales percentage change <sup>(c)</sup>                 | 1.4                     | % 5.7   | % 8.1   | %  |
| Domestic same-restaurant sales percentage change <sup>(d)</sup> | 1.1                     | % (0.3) | )% 1.3  | %  |
| Domestic average weekly unit sales (in thousands)               | \$47.4                  | \$46.5  | \$46.6  |    |
|   | Year Ended December 31, |         |         |    |
|   | 2014                    | 2013    | 2012    |    |
| <b>IHOP Restaurant Data</b>                                     |                         |         |         |    |
| Effective Restaurants: <sup>(a)</sup>                           |                         |         |         |    |
| Franchise   | 1,454                   | 1,414   | 1,379   |    |
| Area license  | 167                     | 167     | 165     |    |
| Company   | 11                      | 12      | 15      |    |
| Total   | 1,632                   | 1,593   | 1,559   |    |
| System-wide: <sup>(b)</sup>                                     |                         |         |         |    |
| Sales percentage change <sup>(c)</sup>                          | 6.6                     | % 4.8   | % 1.6   | %  |
| Domestic same-restaurant sales percentage change <sup>(d)</sup> | 3.9                     | % 2.4   | % (1.6) | )% |
| Franchise: <sup>(b)</sup>                                       |                         |         |         |    |
| Sales percentage change <sup>(c)</sup>                          | 6.7                     | % 4.8   | % 1.3   | %  |
| Domestic same-restaurant sales percentage change <sup>(d)</sup> | 3.9                     | % 2.4   | % (1.6) | )% |
| Average weekly unit sales (in thousands)                        | \$36.0                  | \$34.7  | \$34.0  |    |
| Area License: <sup>(b)</sup>                                    |                         |         |         |    |
| IHOP sales percentage change <sup>(c)</sup>                     | 6.3                     | % 6.3   | % 2.7   | %  |

“Effective Restaurants” are the weighted average number of restaurants open in a given fiscal period, adjusted to account for restaurants open for only a portion of the period. Information is presented for all Effective Restaurants in the Applebee’s and IHOP systems, which includes restaurants owned by franchisees and area licensees as well as those owned by the Company.

“System-wide sales” are retail sales at Applebee’s restaurants operated by franchisees and IHOP restaurants operated by franchisees and area licensees, as reported to the Company, in addition to retail sales at company-operated restaurants. Sales at restaurants that are owned by franchisees and area licensees are not attributable to the Company. Unaudited reported sales for Applebee’s domestic franchise restaurants, IHOP franchise restaurants and IHOP area license restaurants for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 were as follows:

| Reported sales (unaudited)            | Year Ended December 31, |           |           |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|
|                                       | 2014                    | 2013      | 2012      |
|                                       | (In millions)           |           |           |
| Applebee's franchise restaurant sales | \$4,535.1               | \$4,474.7 | \$4,234.9 |
| IHOP franchise restaurant sales       | \$2,725.7               | \$2,553.9 | \$2,437.2 |
| IHOP area license restaurant sales    | \$265.2                 | \$249.5   | \$234.7   |

“Sales percentage change” reflects, for each category of restaurants, the percentage change in sales in any given fiscal year compared to the prior fiscal year for all restaurants in that category.

“Domestic same-restaurant sales percentage change” reflects the percentage change in sales in any given fiscal year, compared to the same weeks in the prior year, for domestic restaurants that have been operated throughout both fiscal years that are being compared and have been open for at least 18 months. Because of new unit openings and restaurant closures, the domestic restaurants open throughout the fiscal years being compared may be different from year to year. Domestic same-restaurant sales percentage change does not include data on IHOP area license restaurants.

The sales percentage change for Applebee’s franchise restaurants was impacted by the refranchising of 154 company-operated restaurants during 2012.

Edgar Filing: DineEquity, Inc - Form 10-K

The following tables summarize Applebee's and IHOP restaurant development and franchising activity.

|   | Year Ended December 31, |        |        |
|---|-------------------------|--------|--------|
|   | 2014                    | 2013   | 2012   |
| <b>Applebee's Restaurant Development Activity</b> |                         |        |        |
| Summary - beginning of period:                    |                         |        |        |
| Franchise   | 1,988                   | 2,011  | 1,842  |
| Company   | 23                      | 23     | 177    |
| Total Applebee's restaurants, beginning of period | 2,011                   | 2,034  | 2,019  |
| Franchise restaurants opened:                     |                         |        |        |
| Domestic  | 29                      | 20     | 20     |
| International                                     | 7                       | 6      | 14     |
| Refranchised from Company                         | —                       | —      | 154    |
| Total franchise restaurants opened                | 36                      | 26     | 188    |
| Franchise restaurants closed:                     |                         |        |        |
| Domestic  | (20                     | ) (44  | ) (6   |
| International                                     | (10                     | ) (5   | ) (13  |
| Total franchise restaurants closed                | (30                     | ) (49  | ) (19  |
| Net franchise restaurant additions (reductions)   | 6                       | (23    | ) 169  |
| Summary - end of period:                          |                         |        |        |
| Franchise   | 1,994                   | 1,988  | 2,011  |
| Company restaurants                               | 23                      | 23     | 23     |
| Total Applebee's restaurants, end of period       | 2,017                   | 2,011  | 2,034  |
| Change over prior year                            | 0.3                     | % (1.1 | )% 0.7 |
| <b>IHOP Restaurant Development Activity</b>       |                         |        |        |
| Summary - beginning of period:                    |                         |        |        |
| Franchise   | 1,439                   | 1,404  | 1,369  |
| Area license                                      | 168                     | 165    | 166    |
| Company   | 13                      | 12     | 15     |
| Total IHOP restaurants, beginning of period       | 1,620                   | 1,581  | 1,550  |
| Franchise/area license restaurants opened:        |                         |        |        |
| Domestic franchise                                | 34                      | 42     | 39     |
| Domestic area license                             | 4                       | 4      | 1      |
| International franchise                           | 18                      | 11     | 8      |
| International area license                        | —                       | 1      | —      |
| Total franchise/area license restaurants opened   | 56                      | 58     | 48     |
| Franchise/area license restaurants closed:        |                         |        |        |
| Domestic franchise                                | (19                     | ) (17  | ) (14  |
| Domestic area license                             | (4                      | ) (2   | ) (2   |
| International franchise                           | (2                      | ) —    | —      |
| International area license                        | (1                      | ) —    | —      |
| Total franchise/area license restaurants closed   | (26                     | ) (19  | ) (16  |
| Net franchise/area license restaurant development | 30                      | 39     | 32     |
| Refranchised from Company restaurants             | 4                       | 1      | 9      |
| Franchise restaurants reacquired by the Company   | (2                      | ) (2   | ) (7   |
| Net franchise/area license restaurant additions   | 32                      | 38     | 34     |
| Company restaurants closed                        | —                       | —      | (1     |
| Summary - end of period:                          |                         |        |        |

Edgar Filing: DineEquity, Inc - Form 10-K

|                                       |       |       |       |   |
|---------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|---|
| Franchise                             | 1,472 | 1,439 | 1,404 |   |
| Area license                          | 167   | 168   | 165   |   |
| Company                               | 11    | 13    | 12    |   |
| Total IHOP restaurants, end of period | 1,650 | 1,620 | 1,581 |   |
| Change over prior year                | 1.9   | % 2.5 | % 2.0 | % |

35

---

## Comparison of the fiscal years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013

## SUMMARY

|                                   | Year ended December 31,           |          | Favorable<br>(Unfavorable) Variance |                  |    |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------|-------------------------------------|------------------|----|
|                                   | 2014                              | 2013     | \$                                  | % <sup>(1)</sup> |    |
|                                   | (In millions, except percentages) |          |                                     |                  |    |
| Revenue                           | \$ 655.0                          | \$ 640.5 | \$ 14.5                             | 2.3              | %  |
| Segment profit                    | 375.1                             | 369.7    | 5.4                                 | 1.5              | %  |
| Segment profit as % of revenue    | 57.3                              | % 57.7   | % —                                 | (0.4)            | )% |
| General & administrative expenses | 145.9                             | 143.6    | (2.3                                | ) (1.6           | )% |
| Interest expense                  | 96.6                              | 100.3    | 3.6                                 | 3.6              | %  |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt    | 64.9                              | 0.1      | (64.8                               | ) n.m            |    |
| Income tax provision              | 15.1                              | 38.6     | 23.4                                | 60.7             | %  |
| Effective tax rate                | 29.3                              | % 34.9   | % —                                 | 5.6              | %  |
| Net income                        | \$ 36.5                           | \$ 72.0  | \$ (35.5                            | ) (49.4          | )% |

<sup>(1)</sup> Percentages calculated on actual amounts, not rounded amounts presented above  
n.m. - not meaningful

The refinancing of our long-term debt had a significant impact on the comparison of our results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2014 with the same period of the prior year. We recognized a loss on debt extinguishment of \$64.9 million, comprised of the \$36.1 million make-whole premium on the Senior Notes and the write-off of unamortized debt discount and issuance costs associated with the extinguished debt of \$16.9 million and \$11.9 million, respectively. As a result of the refinancing, our interest expense was nearly \$4 million lower than in the prior year (see “Other Expense and Income Items - Interest Expense”). We expect our annual cash interest expense on the new long-term debt will be approximately \$34 million lower than it had been on the old long-term debt.

## REVENUE

|               | Year ended December 31,           |          | Favorable<br>(Unfavorable) Variance |                  |    |
|---------------|-----------------------------------|----------|-------------------------------------|------------------|----|
|               | 2014                              | 2013     | \$                                  | % <sup>(1)</sup> |    |
|               | (In millions, except percentages) |          |                                     |                  |    |
| Franchise     | \$ 456.1                          | \$ 439.2 | \$ 16.9                             | 3.9              | %  |
| Company       | 62.5                              | 63.4     | (0.9                                | ) (1.6           | )% |
| Rental        | 122.9                             | 124.8    | (1.9                                | ) (1.5           | )% |
| Financing     | 13.5                              | 13.1     | 0.4                                 | 2.8              | %  |
| Total revenue | \$ 655.0                          | \$ 640.5 | \$ 14.5                             | 2.3              | %  |

<sup>(1)</sup> Percentages calculated on actual amounts, not rounded amounts presented above

The increase in total revenue was primarily due to an increase in contributions to the IHOP NAF by the large majority of IHOP franchisees, a 3.9% increase in IHOP domestic franchise same-restaurant sales, an increase in the number of IHOP restaurants due to new restaurant development by franchisees and a 1.1% increase in Applebee's domestic same-restaurant sales. These favorable variances were partially offset by a decrease in Applebee's franchise termination, transfer and extension fees. In 2014, we received a total of \$0.7 million in termination, transfer and extension fees related to Applebee's restaurants compared to a total of \$7.8 million in such fees in 2013. We believe the amount of these fees received in 2013 was atypically high.



## SEGMENT PROFIT (LOSS)

|                               | Year ended December 31,           |         | Favorable<br>(Unfavorable) Variance |                  |    |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|-------------------------------------|------------------|----|
|                               | 2014                              | 2013    | \$                                  | % <sup>(1)</sup> |    |
|                               | (In millions, except percentages) |         |                                     |                  |    |
| Franchise operations          | \$334.3                           | \$329.5 | \$4.8                               | 1.5              | %  |
| Company restaurant operations | (0.2                              | ) (0.2  | ) 0.0                               | 10.1             | %  |
| Rental operations             | 28.3                              | 27.5    | 0.8                                 | 3.0              | %  |
| Financing operations          | 12.7                              | 12.9    | (0.2                                | ) (1.7           | )% |
| Total                         | \$375.1                           | \$369.7 | \$5.4                               | 1.5              | %  |

<sup>(1)</sup> Percentages calculated on actual amounts, not rounded amounts presented above

The improvement in segment profit for the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to the prior year was primarily due to a 3.9% increase in IHOP domestic franchise same-restaurant sales, an increase in the number of IHOP restaurants due to new restaurant development by franchisees and a 1.1% increase in Applebee's domestic same-restaurant sales. These favorable variances were partially offset by a decrease in Applebee's franchise termination, transfer and extension fees.

## Franchise Operations

|   | Year ended December 31,                                     |         | Favorable<br>(Unfavorable) Variance |                  |    |
|---|---|---------|-------------------------------------|------------------|----|
|   | 2014  | 2013    | \$                                  | % <sup>(2)</sup> |    |
|   | (In millions, except percentages and number of restaurants) |         |                                     |                  |    |
| Effective Franchise Restaurants: <sup>(1)</sup> |   |         |                                     |                  |    |
| Applebee's                                      | 1,986   | 1,996   | (10                                 | ) (0.5           | )% |
| IHOP  | 1,621   | 1,581   | 40                                  | 2.5              | %  |
| Franchise Revenues:                             |   |         |                                     |                  |    |
| Applebee's                                      | \$195.6   | \$199.2 | \$(3.6                              | ) (1.8           | )% |
| IHOP  | 170.2   | 160.5   | 9.7                                 | 6.1              | %  |
| IHOP advertising                                | 90.3  | 79.5    | 10.8                                | 13.7             | %  |
| Total franchise revenues                        | 456.1   | 439.2   | 16.9                                | 3.9              | %  |
| Franchise Expenses:                             |   |         |                                     |                  |    |
| Applebee's                                      | 5.3   | 5.7     | 0.4                                 | 7.9              | %  |
| IHOP  | 26.2  | 24.5    | (1.7                                | ) (7.0           | )% |
| IHOP advertising                                | 90.3  | 79.5    | (10.8                               | ) (13.7          | )% |
| Total franchise expenses                        | 121.8   | 109.7   | (12.1                               | ) (11.1          | )% |
| Franchise Segment Profit:                       |   |         |                                     |                  |    |
| Applebee's                                      | 190.3   | 193.5   | (3.2                                | ) (1.6           | )% |
| IHOP  | 144.0   | 136.0   | 8.0                                 | 5.9              | %  |
| Total franchise segment profit                  | \$334.3   | \$329.5 | \$4.8                               | 1.5              | %  |
| Segment profit as % of revenue <sup>(2)</sup>   | 73.3  | % 75.0  | %                                   |                  |    |

<sup>(1)</sup> Effective Franchise Restaurants are the weighted average number of franchise restaurants open in a given fiscal period, adjusted to account for franchise restaurants open for only a portion of the period.

<sup>(2)</sup> Percentages calculated on actual amounts, not rounded amounts presented above.



The decline in Applebee's franchise revenue was primarily attributable to a \$7.1 million decrease in termination, transfer and extension fees and a decrease in the number of Effective Franchise Restaurants open during the year. The impact on franchise revenue of these declines was partially offset by a 1.1% increase in Applebee's domestic same-restaurant sales, a higher effective royalty rate and an increase in franchise fees due to 10 more restaurant openings in 2014 than in 2013.

In 2014, we received a total of \$0.7 million in termination, transfer and extension fees related to Applebee's restaurants compared to a total of \$7.8 million in such fees in 2013. The amount of fees received in 2013 was atypically high, primarily due to a bankruptcy proceeding involving a franchisee that owned and operated 33 Applebee's restaurants, 18 of which were ultimately closed and 15 of which were sold to another franchisee. The receipt of termination, transfer and extension fees is unpredictable and may vary significantly from year to year.

The increase in IHOP franchise revenue (other than advertising) was primarily attributable to higher royalty revenue resulting from an increase of 3.9% in IHOP domestic franchise same-restaurant sales and a 2.5% increase in the number of Effective Franchise Restaurants due to development, as well as a \$1.7 million increase in sales of pancake and waffle dry mix. IHOP added a net total of 32 franchise and area license restaurants during 2014 due to development.

The increase in IHOP franchise expenses (other than advertising) was primarily due to higher purchases of pancake and waffle dry mix and increases in other franchise operating costs. These unfavorable variances were partially offset by lower bad debt expense.

IHOP's total franchise expenses are substantially higher than Applebee's due to advertising expenses. Franchise fees designated for the IHOP NAF and local marketing and advertising cooperatives are recognized as revenue and expense of franchise operations. However, due to our having less contractual control over Applebee's advertising expenditures, that activity is considered to be an agency relationship and therefore is not recognized as franchise revenue and expense.

Approximately \$5.9 million of the increases in IHOP advertising revenue and expenses in 2014 compared to the prior year were due to the increased contributions to the IHOP NAF by the large majority of IHOP franchisees as discussed under "Significant Known Events, Trends or Uncertainties Impacting or Expected to Impact Comparisons of Reported or Future Results - Advertising Contributions to IHOP National Advertising Fund." Approximately \$4.9 million of the increases were due to the increases in domestic franchise same-restaurant sales and Effective Franchise Restaurants that also impacted IHOP franchise revenue.

#### Company Restaurant Operations

|   | Year ended December 31,                                     |          | Favorable<br>(Unfavorable) Variance |                  |    |
|---|---|----------|-------------------------------------|------------------|----|
|   | 2014  | 2013     | \$                                  | % <sup>(2)</sup> |    |
|   | (In millions, except percentages and number of restaurants) |          |                                     |                  |    |
| Effective Company Restaurants: <sup>(1)</sup> |   |          |                                     |                  |    |
| Applebee's                                    | 23  | 23       | —                                   | —                | %  |
| IHOP  | 11  | 12       | (1                                  | ) (8.3           | )% |
| Company restaurant sales                      | \$62.5  | \$63.4   | \$(0.9                              | ) (1.6           | )% |
| Company restaurant expenses                   | 62.7  | 63.6     | 0.9                                 | 1.5              | %  |
| Company restaurant segment profit             | \$(0.2  | ) \$(0.2 | ) \$0.0                             | 10.1             | %  |
| Segment profit as % of revenue <sup>(2)</sup> | (0.3  | )% (0.2  | )%                                  |                  |    |

<sup>(1)</sup> Effective Company Restaurants are the weighted average number of company restaurants open in a given fiscal period, adjusted to account for company restaurants open for only a portion of the period.

<sup>(2)</sup> Percentages calculated on actual amounts, not rounded amounts presented above.

As of December 31, 2014, company restaurant operations comprised 23 Applebee's company-operated restaurants and 10 IHOP company-operated restaurants. We expect to rebrand the Applebee's company-operated restaurants within the next twelve months, although there can be no guarantee a transaction will take place within that time frame, if at all. Additionally, from time to time we may also operate restaurants reacquired from IHOP franchisees on a temporary basis until those restaurants are rebranded. There was one such temporarily operated IHOP restaurant at December 31, 2014. Company restaurant sales and expenses for the year ended December 31, 2014 declined

compared to the prior year primarily because we operated fewer such reacquired restaurants during 2014.

## Rental Operations

|   | Year ended December 31,           |         | Favorable<br>(Unfavorable) Variance |                  |    |
|---|-----------------------------------|---------|-------------------------------------|------------------|----|
|   | 2014                              | 2013    | \$                                  | % <sup>(1)</sup> |    |
|   | (In millions, except percentages) |         |                                     |                  |    |
| Rental revenues                               | \$122.9                           | \$124.8 | \$(1.9)                             | (1.5)            | )% |
| Rental expenses                               | 94.6                              | 97.3    | 2.7                                 | 2.7              | )% |
| Rental operations segment profit              | \$28.3                            | \$27.5  | \$0.8                               | 3.0              | )% |
| Segment profit as % of revenue <sup>(1)</sup> | 23.0                              | % 22.0  | %                                   |                  |    |

<sup>(1)</sup> Percentages calculated on actual amounts, not rounded amounts presented above

Rental operations relate primarily to IHOP franchise restaurants that were developed under the Previous IHOP Business Model described under Item 1. - Business. Rental income includes revenue from operating leases and interest income from direct financing leases. Rental expenses are costs of prime operating leases and interest expense on prime capital leases on certain franchise restaurants.

Rental revenue for the year ended December 31, 2014 decreased primarily due to an increase in write-offs of deferred lease revenue associated with franchise restaurants whose lease agreements were prematurely terminated and a decline in interest income as direct financing leases are repaid. These unfavorable variances were partially offset by higher contingent rental income resulting from an increase in IHOP domestic same-restaurant sales.

Rental expenses for the year ended December 31, 2014 decreased primarily due to a \$1.0 million decline in interest on capital lease obligations and lower operating costs of rental properties.

## Financing Operations

|   | Year ended December 31,           |        | Favorable<br>(Unfavorable) Variance |                  |    |
|---|-----------------------------------|--------|-------------------------------------|------------------|----|
|   | 2014                              | 2013   | \$                                  | % <sup>(1)</sup> |    |
|   | (In millions, except percentages) |        |                                     |                  |    |
| Financing revenues                            | \$13.5                            | \$13.1 | \$0.4                               | 2.8              | )% |
| Financing expenses                            | 0.8                               | 0.2    | (0.6)                               | (236.7)          | )% |
| Financing operations segment profit           | \$12.7                            | \$12.9 | \$(0.2)                             | (1.7)            | )% |
| Segment profit as % of revenue <sup>(1)</sup> | 93.9                              | % 98.1 | %                                   |                  |    |

<sup>(1)</sup> Percentages calculated on actual amounts, not rounded amounts presented above

Financing operations relate primarily to IHOP franchise restaurants that were developed under the Previous IHOP Business Model described under Item 1. - Business. Financing operations revenue primarily consists of interest income from the financing of franchise fees and equipment leases. We also sell equipment associated with IHOP franchise restaurants we have reacquired when those restaurants are subsequently refranchised to a new franchisee. Financing expenses are primarily the cost of the restaurant equipment sold. Sales of equipment associated with reacquired IHOP restaurants are, by nature, unpredictable and variable in any given year.

The increase in financing revenue for the year ended December 31, 2014 was primarily due to fees of \$1.4 million associated with the negotiated early termination of two leases. Early lease terminations such as these occur relatively infrequently and should not be considered indicative of any trend with respect to financing segment revenue. The increase from these termination fees was partially offset by a \$0.8 million decrease in interest revenue resulting from

the progressive decline in note balances due to repayments.

The increase in financing expenses for the year ended December 31, 2014 was due to increased cost of sales of equipment associated with reacquired IHOP restaurants that were refranchised during 2014.

## OTHER EXPENSE AND INCOME ITEMS

|                                      | Year ended December 31,           |         | Favorable<br>(Unfavorable) Variance |                  |    |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|-------------------------------------|------------------|----|
|                                      | 2014                              | 2013    | \$                                  | % <sup>(1)</sup> |    |
|                                      | (In millions, except percentages) |         |                                     |                  |    |
| General and administrative expenses  | \$145.9                           | \$143.6 | \$(2.3)                             | (1.6)            | )% |
| Interest expense                     | 96.6                              | 100.3   | 3.7                                 | 3.6              | %  |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt       | 64.9                              | 0.1     | (64.8)                              | n.m.             | )  |
| Amortization of intangible assets    | 12.1                              | 12.3    | 0.2                                 | 1.8              | %  |
| Closure and impairment charges       | 3.7                               | 1.8     | (1.9)                               | (105.4)          | )% |
| Debt modification costs              | —                                 | 1.3     | 1.3                                 | n.m.             | )  |
| Loss (gain) on disposition of assets | 0.3                               | (0.2)   | (0.5)                               | (247.5)          | )% |
| Provision for income taxes           | 15.1                              | 38.6    | 23.5                                | 60.7             | %  |

<sup>(1)</sup> Percentages calculated on actual amounts, not rounded amounts presented above  
n.m. - not meaningful

## General and Administrative Expenses

The \$2.3 million increase in G&A expenses for the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to the same period of the prior year was primarily due to a \$1.4 million increase in compensation costs and to charges associated with information technology infrastructure projects. These unfavorable variances were partially offset by a decrease in costs for legal and other professional services. The increase in compensation costs was primarily due to the impact of an increase in the per share price of our common stock on certain long-term incentive compensation awards.

## Interest Expense

As discussed under “Liquidity and Capital Resources - Refinancing of Long-Term Debt,” we refinanced \$1.225 billion principal amount of existing long-term debt that bore interest at a weighted average rate of approximately 7.3% with \$1.3 billion principal amount of new long-term debt bearing interest at a fixed rate of 4.277%. The new debt was issued September 30, 2014, on which date \$464 million of the old long-term debt was repaid. On October 30, 2014, after a required 30-day notice period, the remaining \$761 million of old long-term debt was repaid. The decrease of \$3.7 million in interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to the same period of the prior year is primarily due to the 3% reduction in our weighted average interest rate on long-term debt for the last three months of 2014, partially offset by approximately \$6 million of interest paid during the 30-day period in which both the new long-term debt and a portion of the old long-term debt were outstanding.

## Loss on Extinguishment of Debt

As discussed under “Liquidity and Capital Resources - Refinancing of Long-Term Debt,” we recognized a loss on debt extinguishment of \$64.9 million, comprised of a \$36.1 million make-whole premium required for the early retirement of debt and the write-off of unamortized debt discount and issuance costs associated with the extinguished debt of \$28.8 million. We do not expect to recognize any significant losses or gains on extinguishment of debt in the foreseeable future.

## Amortization of Intangible Assets

Amortization of intangible assets relates to intangible assets arising from the November 2007 acquisition of Applebee's, primarily franchising rights. The annual amount of amortization expense will decline to approximately

\$10 million per year beginning in 2015 as certain intangible assets with an estimated life of seven years became fully amortized in November 2014.

## Closure and Impairment Charges

Closure and impairment charges for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 were as follows:

|                                      | Year Ended    |       |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|-------|
|                                      | December 31,  |       |
|                                      | 2014          | 2013  |
|                                      | (In millions) |       |
| Closure charges                      | \$2.1         | \$1.0 |
| Long-lived tangible asset impairment | 1.6           | 0.8   |
| Total closure and impairment charges | \$3.7         | \$1.8 |

Approximately \$1.0 million of the closure charges for the year ended December 31, 2014 related to IHOP restaurants closed during 2014 with the remainder primarily related to adjustments to the estimated reserve for IHOP and Applebee's restaurants closed prior to 2014. Closure charges for the year ended December 31, 2013 primarily related to adjustments to the estimated reserve for closed IHOP and Applebee's restaurants.

On a regular basis, we assess whether events or changes in circumstances have occurred that potentially indicate the carrying value of tangible long-lived assets, primarily assets related to company-operated restaurants, may not be recoverable. Recoverability of a restaurant's assets is measured by comparing the assets' carrying value to the undiscounted future cash flows expected to be generated over the assets' remaining useful lives or remaining lease terms, whichever is less. If the total expected undiscounted future cash flows are less than the carrying amount of the assets, this may be an indicator of impairment. If it is decided that there has been an impairment, the carrying amount of the asset is written down to the estimated fair value. The fair value is primarily determined based on a discounted cash flow analysis.

Long-lived tangible asset impairment charges for the year ended December 31, 2014 related to two IHOP company-operated restaurants in the Cincinnati, Ohio area. Long-lived tangible asset impairment charges for the year ended December 31, 2013 related to three Applebee's company-operated restaurants in the Kansas City, Missouri area. In each year we evaluated the causal factors of all impairments of long-lived assets as they were recorded and concluded the impairments were based on factors specific to each asset and were not potential indicators of an impairment of other long-lived assets.

See "Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates - Goodwill and Intangibles" for a description of our policy with respect to the review for impairments of goodwill and indefinite life intangible assets. In carrying out that policy, we noted no indicators of impairment on an interim basis and no impairments as the result of performing our annual test for impairment during the fiscal years ended 2014 and 2013.

## Debt Modification Costs

On February 4, 2013, we entered into Amendment No. 2 ("Amendment No. 2") to the Credit Agreement dated October 8, 2010. Fees paid to third parties of \$1.3 million in connection with Amendment No. 2 were included as "Debt modification costs" in the Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income for the year ended December 31, 2013.

## Gain on Disposition of Assets

There were no individually significant dispositions of assets during the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013.

## Income Tax Provision

We recorded a tax provision of \$15.1 million in 2014 as compared to a tax provision of \$38.6 million in 2013. The change was primarily due to the decrease in our pretax book income. The 2014 effective tax rate of 29.3% applied to pretax book income was lower than the statutory Federal tax rate of 35% primarily due to the Company's retroactive



adoption in 2014 of the domestic production activity deduction and the federal research and experimentation credit. Our 2014 effective tax rate was reduced by 6.6% because of domestic production activity deductions and research and experimentation credits generated from tax years prior to 2014.

As of each reporting date, we consider new evidence, both positive and negative, that could impact our view with regards to future realization of deferred tax assets. As of December 31, 2014, we determined that, based on available evidence, no change to the valuation allowance against deferred tax assets was warranted.

## Comparison of the fiscal years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012

## SUMMARY

|                                   | Year ended December 31,           |          | Favorable<br>(Unfavorable) Variance |                  |    |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------|-------------------------------------|------------------|----|
|                                   | 2013                              | 2012     | \$                                  | % <sup>(1)</sup> |    |
|                                   | (In millions, except percentages) |          |                                     |                  |    |
| Revenue                           | \$ 640.5                          | \$ 849.9 | \$ (209.4                           | ) (24.6          | )% |
| Segment profit                    | 369.7                             | 391.9    | (22.2                               | ) (5.7           | )% |
| Segment profit as % of revenue    | 57.7                              | % 46.1   | % —                                 | 11.6             | %  |
| General & administrative expenses | 143.6                             | 163.2    | 19.6                                | 12.0             | %  |
| Interest expense                  | 100.3                             | 114.3    | 14.1                                | 12.3             | %  |
| Gain on disposition of assets     | (0.2                              | ) (102.6 | ) (102.4                            | ) (99.8          | )% |
| Income tax provision              | 38.6                              | 38.6     | 28.7                                | 42.6             | %  |
| Effective tax rate                | 34.9                              | % 34.9   | % —                                 | (0.4             | )% |
| Net income                        | \$ 72.0                           | \$ 127.7 | \$ (55.6                            | ) (43.6          | )% |

<sup>(1)</sup> Percentages calculated on actual amounts, not rounded amounts presented above

The completion of our transition to a 99% franchised company in October 2012 had a significant impact on the comparison of our results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2013 with the same period of the prior year. The most significant impact was the decline in revenues and segment profit from the Applebee's company-operated restaurants that were refranchised, partially offset by increased royalty revenues and franchise fees from the refranchised restaurants. While the total amount of segment profit declined, segment profit as a percentage of total revenue improved because royalty revenues and franchise fees produce a higher gross margin than do revenues from company-operated restaurants.

A significant portion of the decline in general and administrative (“G&A”) expenses for the year ended December 31, 2013 was due to the elimination and realignment of administrative functions associated with company-operated restaurants, as well as to the full-year effect of our staff reduction initiative implemented in the latter half of 2012. Additionally, G&A expenses for the year ended December 31, 2012 included a \$9.1 million charge related to settlement of litigation that commenced prior to our acquisition of Applebee's. Interest expense declined, in large part, due to repayment of debt with proceeds from the sale of assets of company-operated restaurants that were refranchised.

## REVENUE

|               | Year ended December 31,           |         | Favorable<br>(Unfavorable) Variance |                  |   |
|---------------|-----------------------------------|---------|-------------------------------------|------------------|---|
|               | 2013                              | 2012    | \$                                  | % <sup>(1)</sup> |   |
|               | (In millions, except percentages) |         |                                     |                  |   |
| Franchise     | \$439.2                           | \$421.4 | \$17.8                              | 4.2              | % |
| Company       | 63.4                              | 291.1   | (227.7)                             | (78.2)           | % |
| Rental        | 124.8                             | 122.9   | 1.9                                 | 1.6              | % |
| Financing     | 13.1                              | 14.5    | (1.4)                               | (9.5)            | % |
| Total revenue | \$640.5                           | \$849.9 | \$(209.4)                           | (24.6)           | % |

<sup>(1)</sup> Percentages calculated on actual amounts, not rounded amounts presented above

The decrease in total revenue was primarily due to the refranchising of Applebee's company-operated restaurants in 2012, partially offset by higher franchise royalty revenues resulting from the increase in the number of Applebee's and IHOP franchise restaurants. Additionally, in 2013 we received a total of \$7.8 million in termination, transfer and extension fees related to Applebee's restaurants compared to a total of \$4.4 million in such fees in 2012.

## SEGMENT PROFIT (LOSS)

|                               | Year ended December 31,           |         | Favorable<br>(Unfavorable) Variance |                  |   |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|-------------------------------------|------------------|---|
|                               | 2013                              | 2012    | \$                                  | % <sup>(1)</sup> |   |
|                               | (In millions, except percentages) |         |                                     |                  |   |
| Franchise operations          | \$329.5                           | \$311.5 | \$18.0                              | 5.8              | % |
| Company restaurant operations | (0.2)                             | 41.8    | (42.0)                              | (100.4)          | % |
| Rental operations             | 27.5                              | 25.7    | 1.8                                 | 6.9              | % |
| Financing operations          | 12.9                              | 12.9    | 0.0                                 | —                | % |
| Total                         | \$369.7                           | \$391.9 | \$(22.2)                            | (5.7)            | % |

<sup>(1)</sup> Percentages calculated on actual amounts, not rounded amounts presented above

The decline in segment profit for the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to the prior year was primarily due to the impact of the refranchising of Applebee's company-operated restaurants, completed in 2012, on the company restaurant segment. This was partially offset by an increase in the number of Applebee's and IHOP franchise restaurants, a \$3.4 million increase in termination, transfer and extension fees related to Applebee's restaurants and a 2.4% increase in IHOP domestic same-restaurant sales. Nearly 90% of our segment profit now comes from our franchise operations.

## Franchise Operations

|   | Year ended December 31, |          | Favorable<br>(Unfavorable) Variance |                  |    |
|---|-------------------------|----------|-------------------------------------|------------------|----|
|   | 2013                    | 2012     | \$                                  | % <sup>(2)</sup> |    |
| (In millions, except percentages and number of restaurants) |                         |          |                                     |                  |    |
| Effective Franchise Restaurants: <sup>(1)</sup>             |                         |          |                                     |                  |    |
| Applebee's  | 1,996                   | 1,894    | 102                                 | 5.4              | %  |
| IHOP  | 1,581                   | 1,544    | 37                                  | 2.4              | %  |
| Franchise Revenues:   |                         |          |                                     |                  |    |
| Applebee's  | \$ 199.2                | \$ 185.9 | \$ 13.3                             | 7.2              | %  |
| IHOP  | 160.5                   | 159.1    | 1.4                                 | 0.8              | %  |
| IHOP advertising  | 79.5                    | 76.4     | 3.1                                 | 3.9              | %  |
| Total franchise revenues                                    | 439.2                   | 421.4    | 17.8                                | 4.2              | %  |
| Franchise Expenses:   |                         |          |                                     |                  |    |
| Applebee's  | 5.7                     | 5.5      | (0.2)                               | (4.1)            | )% |
| IHOP  | 24.5                    | 28.0     | 3.5                                 | 12.5             | %  |
| IHOP advertising  | 79.5                    | 76.4     | (3.1)                               | (3.9)            | )% |
| Total franchise expenses                                    | 109.7                   | 109.9    | 0.2                                 | 0.2              | %  |
| Franchise Segment Profit:                                   |                         |          |                                     |                  |    |
| Applebee's  | 193.5                   | 180.4    | 13.1                                | 7.3              | %  |
| IHOP  | 136.0                   | 131.1    | 4.9                                 | 3.7              | %  |
| Total franchise segment profit                              | \$ 329.5                | \$ 311.5 | \$ 18.0                             | 5.8              | %  |
| Segment profit as % of revenue <sup>(2)</sup>               | 75.0                    | % 73.9   | %                                   |                  |    |

(1) Effective Franchise Restaurants are the weighted average number of franchise restaurants open in a given fiscal period, adjusted to account for franchise restaurants open for only a portion of the period.

(2) Percentages calculated on actual amounts, not rounded amounts presented above.

The increase in Applebee's franchise revenue was attributable to higher royalty revenue resulting from a 5.4% increase in the number of Effective Franchise Restaurants and to termination fees associated with the closure of certain Applebee's franchise restaurants. These favorable changes were partially offset by a decrease in fees associated with franchisee-to-franchisee sales of Applebee's franchise restaurants and a 0.3% decrease in Applebee's domestic same-restaurant sales.

Applebee's Effective Franchise Restaurants increased by 102 due to the full-year effect in 2013 of refranchising 154 Applebee's company-operated restaurants during 2012 (17 in the first quarter, 98 in the third quarter and 39 in the fourth quarter), partially offset by a net decrease of 23 restaurants during 2013. Approximately \$9.2 million of the revenue increase was attributable to the refranchised restaurants. Termination fees increased \$5.4 million in 2013 compared to the prior year, primarily due to the closure of 18 Applebee's restaurants as discussed under "Significant Known Events, Trends or Uncertainties Impacting or Expected to Impact Comparisons of Reported or Future Results - Franchisee Matters" above. Transfer and extension fees associated with franchisee-to-franchisee sales of Applebee's franchise restaurants decreased \$2.0 million in 2013 compared to the prior year, due to a decrease in the amount of transfer activity.

In 2013, we received a total of \$7.8 million in termination, transfer and extension fees related to Applebee's restaurants compared to a total of \$4.4 million in such fees in 2012. Termination, transfer and extension fees, by nature, are unpredictable and variable in any given year; we do not consider the 2013 variances in these fees compared to the prior year to be indicative of any trend.

The increase in IHOP franchise revenue (other than advertising) was primarily attributable to a 2.4% increase in the number of Effective Franchise Restaurants due to development as well as to an increase of 2.4% in IHOP domestic franchise same-restaurant sales. IHOP added a net total of 38 franchise and area license restaurants during 2013 due to development. These favorable changes were partially offset by a \$2.9 million decrease in sales of pancake and waffle dry mix.

The decrease in IHOP franchise expenses (other than advertising) was primarily due to lower purchase volumes of pancake and waffle dry mix, partially offset by a \$0.9 million increase in bad debt expense. The increase in bad debt expense in 2013 was primarily due to a \$0.5 million recovery in 2012 of a receivable previously written off that reduced the comparative 2012 expense.

IHOP's total franchise expenses are substantially higher than Applebee's due to advertising expenses. Franchise fees designated for IHOP's national advertising fund and local marketing and advertising cooperatives are recognized as revenue and expense of franchise operations. However, due to our having less contractual control over Applebee's advertising expenditures, that activity is considered to be an agency relationship and therefore is not recognized as franchise revenue and expense. The increases in IHOP advertising revenue and expense in 2013 compared to the prior year were due to the increases in Effective Franchise Restaurants and the increases in domestic franchise same-restaurant sales that also impacted IHOP franchise revenue as noted above.

The increase in franchise segment profit for the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to the prior year was primarily due to an increase in Applebee's Effective Franchise Restaurants because of the refranchising in 2012 of company-operated restaurants, a net increase in franchise termination, transfer and extension fees, an increase in IHOP's Effective Franchise Restaurants due to new restaurant development and an increase of 2.4% in IHOP domestic franchise same-restaurant sales.

#### Company Restaurant Operations

|   | Year ended December 31,                                     |          | Favorable<br>(Unfavorable) Variance |                  |          |
|---|---|----------|-------------------------------------|------------------|----------|
|   | 2013  | 2012     | \$                                  | % <sup>(2)</sup> |          |
|   | (In millions, except percentages and number of restaurants) |          |                                     |                  |          |
| Effective Company Restaurants: <sup>(1)</sup> |   |          |                                     |                  |          |
| Applebee's                                    | 23  | 123      | (100                                | )                | (81.3)%  |
| IHOP  | 12  | 15       | (3                                  | )                | (20.0)%  |
| Company restaurant sales                      | \$63.5  | \$291.1  | \$(227.7                            | )                | (78.2)%  |
| Company restaurant expenses                   | 63.6  | 249.3    | 185.7                               | 74.5             | %        |
| Company restaurant segment profit             | \$(0.1  | ) \$41.8 | \$(42.0                             | )                | (100.4)% |
| Segment profit as % of revenue <sup>(2)</sup> | (0.2  | )% 14.4  | %                                   |                  |          |

<sup>(1)</sup> Effective Company Restaurants are the weighted average number of company restaurants open in a given fiscal period, adjusted to account for company restaurants open for only a portion of the period.

<sup>(2)</sup> Percentages calculated on actual amounts, not rounded amounts presented above.

As of December 31, 2013, company restaurant operations comprised 23 Applebee's company-operated restaurants and 10 IHOP company-operated restaurants. Additionally, from time to time we may also operate restaurants reacquired from IHOP franchisees on a temporary basis until those restaurants are refranchised. There were three such temporarily operated IHOP restaurants at December 31, 2013. Applebee's Effective Company Restaurants for the year ended December 31, 2012 include the 154 restaurants refranchised as noted under "Franchise Operations" above for the period of time they were operated as company restaurants.

Company restaurant sales and expenses for the year ended December 31, 2013 decreased \$224.0 million and \$184.2 million, respectively, because of the refranchising of 154 Applebee's company-operated restaurants in 2012.

#### Rental Operations

|   | Year ended December 31,           |         | Favorable<br>(Unfavorable) Variance |                  |        |
|---|-----------------------------------|---------|-------------------------------------|------------------|--------|
|   | 2013                              | 2012    | \$                                  | % <sup>(2)</sup> |        |
|   | (In millions, except percentages) |         |                                     |                  |        |
| Rental revenues                               | \$124.8                           | \$122.9 | \$1.9                               | 1.6              | %      |
| Rental expenses                               | 97.3                              | 97.2    | (0.1                                | )                | (0.1)% |
| Rental operations segment profit              | \$27.5                            | \$25.7  | \$1.8                               | 6.9              | %      |
| Segment profit as % of revenue <sup>(1)</sup> | 22.0                              | % 20.9  | %                                   |                  |        |

(1) Percentages are calculated on actual amounts, not the rounded amounts presented above

Rental operations relate primarily to IHOP franchise restaurants that were developed under the Previous IHOP Business Model described under Item 1. - Business. Rental income includes revenue from operating leases and interest income from direct financing leases. Rental expenses are costs of prime operating leases and interest expense on prime capital leases on certain franchise restaurants.

45

---

Rental revenue for the year ended December 31, 2013 increased due to a \$3.0 million increase in contractual and contingent rent, partially offset by a \$0.7 million decline in interest income as direct financing leases are repaid and a \$0.7 million increase in the write-off of deferred lease revenue associated with franchise restaurants whose lease agreements were prematurely terminated. Rental expenses for the year ended December 31, 2013 increased slightly as a \$1.3 million increase in contractual and contingent prime rent costs were substantially offset by a decrease in interest on capital lease obligations. The increase in rental segment profit for the year ended December 31, 2013 was primarily due to the increase in contractual and contingent rent and the net favorable change in interest revenue and expense, partially offset by the increase in write-offs of deferred lease revenue associated with franchise restaurants whose lease agreements were prematurely terminated.

#### Financing Operations

|   | Year ended December 31,           |         | Favorable<br>(Unfavorable) Variance |                  |    |
|---|-----------------------------------|---------|-------------------------------------|------------------|----|
|   | 2013                              | 2012    | \$                                  | % <sup>(1)</sup> |    |
|   | (In millions, except percentages) |         |                                     |                  |    |
| Financing revenues                            | \$ 13.1                           | \$ 14.5 | \$ (1.4                             | ) (9.5           | )% |
| Financing expenses                            | 0.2                               | 1.6     | 1.4                                 | 84.9             | %  |
| Financing operations segment profit           | \$ 12.9                           | \$ 12.9 | \$ 0.0                              | —                | %  |
| Segment profit as % of revenue <sup>(1)</sup> | 98.1                              | % 88.8  | %                                   |                  |    |

<sup>(1)</sup> Percentages are calculated on actual amounts, not the rounded amounts presented above

Financing operations relate primarily to IHOP franchise restaurants that were developed under the Previous IHOP Business Model described under Item 1. - Business. Financing operations revenue primarily consists of interest income from the financing of franchise fees and equipment leases, as well as sales of equipment associated with IHOP restaurants reacquired by us. Financing expenses are primarily the cost of restaurant equipment sold associated with reacquired IHOP restaurants.

The decrease in financing revenue for the year ended December 31, 2013 was due to a \$1.0 million decrease in interest revenue resulting from the progressive decline in note balances due to repayments and less sales activity related to IHOP restaurants reacquired from franchisees. The decrease in financing expenses for the year ended December 31, 2013 was due to less sales activity related to IHOP restaurants reacquired from franchisees. Sales of equipment associated with reacquired IHOP restaurants are, by nature, unpredictable and variable in any given year.

#### Other Expense and Income Components

|                                     | Year ended December 31,           |          | Favorable<br>(Unfavorable) Variance |         |    |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------|-------------------------------------|---------|----|
|                                     | 2013                              | 2012     | \$                                  | %       |    |
|                                     | (In millions, except percentages) |          |                                     |         |    |
| General and administrative expenses | \$ 143.6                          | \$ 163.2 | \$ 19.6                             | 12.0    | %  |
| Interest expense                    | 100.3                             | 114.3    | 14.0                                | 12.3    | %  |
| Amortization of intangible assets   | 12.3                              | 12.3     | 0.0                                 | 0.1     | %  |
| Closure and impairment charges      | 1.8                               | 4.2      | 2.4                                 | 57.0    | %  |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt      | 0.1                               | 5.6      | 5.5                                 | 99.0    | %  |
| Debt modification costs             | 1.3                               | —        | (1.3                                | ) n.m.  |    |
| Gain on disposition of assets       | (0.2                              | ) (102.6 | ) (102.4                            | ) (99.8 | )% |
| Provision for income taxes          | 38.6                              | 67.2     | 28.6                                | 42.6    | %  |



---

(1) Percentages are calculated on actual amounts, not the rounded amounts presented above  
n.m. - not meaningful

46

---

### General and Administrative Expenses

The \$19.6 million decrease in G&A expenses for the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to the same period of the prior year was primarily due to compensation costs that were lower by approximately \$11.6 million and to a \$9.1 million charge recorded in 2012 related to settlement of litigation that commenced prior to our acquisition of Applebee's. These favorable variances were partially offset by a \$1.2 million increase in consumer research costs. The decline in compensation costs was primarily due to: (i) lower salaries and benefits resulting from the refranchising of Applebee's company-operated restaurants and from the full-year effect of restructuring initiatives announced in the third quarter of 2012; (ii) lower stock-based compensation costs and (iii) lower severance costs, partially offset by higher bonus expenses and higher expenses for outsourced services.

### Interest Expense

Interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2013 decreased by \$14.1 million compared to the same period of the prior year primarily due to a reduction of outstanding debt balances. Average interest-bearing debt outstanding (our long-term debt and financing obligations) during the year ended December 31, 2013 was approximately \$200 million lower than the same period of the prior year. Additionally, the 50-basis-point-decline in the interest rate on our variable-rate long-term debt from 4.25% to 3.75% as a result of a debt modification in February 2013 contributed to the decrease in interest expense.

### Amortization of Intangible Assets

Amortization of intangible assets relates to intangible assets arising from the November 2007 acquisition of Applebee's, primarily franchising rights. Absent any impairment, amortization will begin to decline in 2015 as intangible assets with shorter lives become fully amortized.

### Closure and Impairment Charges

Closure and impairment charges for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 were as follows:

|                                      | Year Ended    |       |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|-------|
|                                      | December 31,  |       |
|                                      | 2013          | 2012  |
|                                      | (In millions) |       |
| Long-lived tangible asset impairment | \$1.0         | \$2.3 |
| Other closure charges                | 0.8           | 1.9   |
| Total impairment and closure charges | \$1.8         | \$4.2 |

On a regular basis, we assess whether events or changes in circumstances have occurred that potentially indicate the carrying value of tangible long-lived assets, primarily assets related to company-operated restaurants, may not be recoverable. Recoverability of a restaurant's assets is measured by comparing the assets' carrying value to the undiscounted future cash flows expected to be generated over the assets' remaining useful lives or remaining lease terms, whichever is less. If the total expected undiscounted future cash flows are less than the carrying amount of the assets, this may be an indicator of impairment. If it is decided that there has been an impairment, the carrying amount of the asset is written down to the estimated fair value. The fair value is primarily determined by discounting the future cash flows based on our cost of capital.

Closure charges for the year ended December 31, 2013 primarily related to adjustments to the estimated reserve for closed IHOP and Applebee's restaurants. Long-lived tangible asset impairment charges for the year ended December 31, 2013 related to three Applebee's company-operated restaurants in the Kansas City, Missouri area. We evaluated the causal factors of all impairments of long-lived assets as they were recorded during 2013 and concluded they were based on factors specific to each asset and not potential indicators of an impairment of other long-lived assets.

Closure charges for the year ended December 31, 2012 primarily related to equipment at one franchise restaurant whose lease agreement was prematurely terminated and the restaurant closed, as well as adjustments to the reserve for previously closed surplus IHOP properties. Impairment charges for the year ended December 31, 2012 primarily related to equipment at five IHOP franchise restaurants whose lease agreements were prematurely terminated and the restaurants subsequently refranchised.

See “Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates - Goodwill and Intangibles” for a description of our policy with respect to the review for impairments of goodwill and indefinite life intangible assets. In carrying out that policy, we noted no indicators

47

---

of impairment on an interim basis and no impairments as the result of performing our annual test for impairment during the fiscal years ended 2013 and 2012.

#### Loss on Extinguishment of Debt

| Retired/Repaid <sup>(1)</sup>        | Instrument | Face Amount    |                | Cash Paid |
|--------------------------------------|------------|----------------|----------------|-----------|
|                                      |            | Retired/Repaid | Retired/Repaid |           |
| (In millions)                        |            |                |                |           |
| Term Loans                           |            | \$4.8          | \$4.8          | \$ 0.1    |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt, 2013 |            | \$4.8          | \$4.8          | \$ 0.1    |
| Term Loans                           |            | \$210.5        | \$210.5        | \$ 4.9    |
| Senior Notes                         |            | 5.0            | 5.5            | 0.7       |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt, 2012 |            | \$215.5        | \$216.0        | \$ 5.6    |

<sup>(1)</sup> For a description of the respective instruments, refer to Note 7 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

<sup>(2)</sup> Including write-off of the discount and deferred financing costs related to the debt retired.

The loss on extinguishment of debt for the year ended December 31, 2013, decreased compared to the prior year because of a decrease in the face amount of debt retired. There were no premiums paid to extinguish debt for the year ended December 31, 2013. We paid a total premium of \$0.5 million to repurchase Senior Notes during the year ended December 31, 2012.

#### Debt Modification Costs

On February 4, 2013, we entered into Amendment No. 2 (“Amendment No. 2”) to the Credit Agreement dated October 8, 2010. The key provisions of Amendment No. 2 are discussed under “Liquidity and Capital Resources - February 2013 Amendment to Credit Agreement.” Fees paid to third parties of \$1.3 million in connection with Amendment No. 2 were included as “Debt modification costs” in the Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income for the year ended December 31, 2013.

#### Gain on Disposition of Assets

There were no individually significant dispositions of assets during the year ended December 31, 2013. During the year ended December 31, 2012, we completed the refranchising and sale of related restaurant assets of 154 Applebee's company-operated restaurants, comprised as follows: 17 restaurants in a six-state market area geographically centered around Memphis, Tennessee; 33 restaurants located primarily in Missouri and Indiana; 65 restaurants located in Michigan and 39 restaurants located in Virginia.

With the completion in October 2012 of our strategy to refranchise the substantial majority of Applebee's company-operated restaurants, we do not expect significant gains or losses on dispositions of assets for the foreseeable future.

#### Income Tax Provision

We recorded a tax provision of \$38.6 million in 2013 as compared to a tax provision of \$67.2 million in 2012. The change was primarily due to the decrease in our pretax book income. The 2013 effective tax rate of 34.9% applied to pretax book income was lower than the statutory Federal tax rate of 35% primarily related to the release of valuation allowances for various state net operating loss carryovers.

As of each reporting date, management considers new evidence, both positive and negative, that could impact its estimate with regards to future realization of deferred tax assets. As of December 31, 2013, because we implemented a tax planning strategy that was prudent and feasible in the current year, management determined that sufficient positive

evidence existed as of December 31, 2013, to conclude that it was more likely than not that additional deferred taxes of \$3.0 million were realizable, and therefore, reduced the valuation allowance.

Liquidity and Capital Resources of the Company  
Refinancing of Long-Term Debt

Transaction Summary

On September 30, 2014, Applebee's Funding LLC and IHOP Funding LLC (each a "Co-Issuer"), each a special purpose, wholly-owned indirect subsidiary of the Company, issued \$1.3 billion of Series 2014-1 4.277% Fixed Rate Senior Notes, Class A-2 (the "Class A-2 Notes") in an offering exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. The Co-Issuers also entered into a revolving financing facility of Series 2014-1 Variable Funding Senior Notes Class A-1 (the "Variable Funding Notes"), which allows for drawings of up to \$100 million of Variable Funding Notes and the issuance of letters of credit. The Class A-2 Notes and the Variable Funding Notes are referred to collectively as the "Notes." The Notes were issued in a securitization transaction pursuant to which substantially all of our domestic revenue-generating assets and our domestic intellectual property, are held by the Co-Issuers and certain other special-purpose, wholly-owned indirect subsidiaries of the Company (the "Guarantors") that act as guarantors of the Notes and that have pledged substantially all of their assets to secure the Notes.

On September 30, 2014, we repaid the entire outstanding principal balance of \$463.6 million of our Senior Secured Credit Facility (the "Credit Facility"); there were no premiums or penalties associated with the repayment. On October 30, 2014, after a required 30-day notice period, we repaid the entire outstanding \$760.8 million principal balance of our 9.5% Senior Notes (the "Senior Notes"), along with a required make-whole premium for early repayment of \$36.1 million. All of our obligations under the Credit Facility and the Senior Notes terminated upon the respective repayments thereof.

This transaction was accounted for as an extinguishment of debt under U.S. GAAP. We recognized a loss on debt extinguishment of \$64.9 million, comprised of the \$36.1 million make-whole premium on the Senior Notes and the write-off of the unamortized debt discount and the issuance costs associated with the extinguished debt of \$16.9 million and \$11.9 million, respectively.

The primary impacts of this transaction on our liquidity during 2014 were cash payments of \$36.1 million for the make-whole premium on the Senior Notes and \$24.2 million for issuance costs of the new debt. Additionally, we were required to fund various reserve accounts required by the indenture under which the new debt was issued totaling approximately \$66.7 million. These reserve accounts are considered to be restricted cash. Partially offsetting these cash outflows were proceeds of approximately \$75 million received from issuance of the new debt in excess of the cash required to retire the old debt.

The primary impacts of this transaction on our liquidity in future years are (i) a reduction of approximately \$34 million in annual cash interest payments on long-term debt, (ii) elimination of interest rate risk on the variable-rate Credit Facility and (iii) the extension of the maturity of our long-term debt to 2021. The retired Credit Facility would have expired in October 2017 and the Senior Notes were to be repaid in October 2018.

Class A-2 Notes

The Notes were issued under a Base Indenture, dated September 30, 2014 (the "Base Indenture") and the related Series 2014-1 Supplement to the Base Indenture, dated September 30, 2014 (the "Series 2014-1 Supplement"), among the Co-Issuers and Citibank, N.A., as the trustee (in such capacity, the "Trustee") and securities intermediary. The Base Indenture and the Series 2014-1 Supplement (collectively, the "Indenture") will allow the Co-Issuers to issue additional series of notes in the future subject to certain conditions set forth therein.

While the Notes are outstanding, payment of principal and interest is required to be made on the Class A-2 Notes on a quarterly basis. The quarterly principal payment of \$3.25 million on the Class A-2 Notes may be suspended when the

leverage ratio for the Company and its subsidiaries is less than or equal to 5.25x. In general, the leverage ratio is our indebtedness (assuming all variable funding facilities are fully drawn) divided by adjusted EBITDA for the four preceding quarterly periods. The complete definitions of all calculation elements of the leverage ratio are contained in the Indenture filed as an Exhibit to Form 8-K filed on October 3, 2014. As of December 31, 2014, our leverage ratio was 4.8x; accordingly, no principal payment on the Class A-2 Notes was required.

The legal final maturity of the Class A-2 Notes is in September 2044, but it is anticipated that, unless earlier prepaid to the extent permitted under the Indenture, the Class A-2 Notes will be repaid in September 2021 (the “Class A-2 Anticipated Repayment Date”). If the Co-Issuers have not repaid or refinanced the Class A-2 Notes prior to the Class A-2 Anticipated Repayment Date, additional interest will accrue on the Class A-2 Notes equal to the greater of (i) 5.00% per annum and (ii) a

per annum interest rate equal to the amount, if any, by which the sum of the following exceeds the Class A-2 Note interest rate: (A) the yield to maturity (adjusted to a quarterly bond-equivalent basis) on the Class A-2 Anticipated Repayment Date of the United States Treasury Security having a term closest to 10 years plus (B) 5.00% plus (C) 2.150%.

The Notes are secured by the collateral described below under “Guarantees and Collateral.”

#### Variable Funding Notes

In connection with the issuance of the Class A-2 Notes, the Co-Issuers also entered into a revolving financing facility that allows for the drawings of up to \$100 million of Variable Funding Notes and the issuance of letters of credit. The Variable Funding Notes were issued under the Indenture and allow for drawings on a revolving basis. Drawings and certain additional terms related to the Variable Funding Notes are governed by the Class A-1 Note Purchase Agreement dated as of September 30, 2014 (the “Variable Funding Note Purchase Agreement”), among the Co-Issuers, the Guarantors, certain conduit investors, financial institutions and funding agents, and Cooperatieve Centrale Raiffeisen-Boerenleenbank, B.A. (“Rabobank Nederland”), New York Branch, as provider of letters of credit, as swingline lender and as administrative agent.

The Variable Funding Notes will be governed, in part, by the Variable Funding Note Purchase Agreement and by certain generally applicable terms contained in the Indenture. Depending on the type of borrowing by the Co-Issuers, the applicable interest rate under the Variable Funding Notes is calculated at a per annum rate equal to (a) LIBOR plus 2.50%, (b) (i) the greatest of (x) the prime rate, (y) the federal funds effective rate plus 0.50% or (z) a daily rate equal to one month LIBOR plus 0.5% plus (ii) 2.00% or (c) the lenders’ commercial paper funding rate plus 2.50%. There is a scaled commitment fee based on the unused portion of the Variable Funding Notes facility of between 50 to 100 basis points. It is anticipated that the principal and interest on the Variable Funding Notes will be repaid in full on or prior to September 2019 (the “VFN Anticipated Repayment Date”), subject to two additional one-year extensions at the option of the Company, which acts as the manager (as described below), upon the satisfaction of certain conditions. Following the VFN Anticipated Repayment Date (and any extensions thereof), additional interest will accrue on the Variable Funding Notes equal to 5.00% per annum. The Variable Funding Notes and other credit instruments issued under the Variable Funding Note Purchase Agreement are secured by the collateral described below under “Guarantees and Collateral.”

The Variable Funding Notes were undrawn upon issuance on September 30, 2014 and we have not drawn on them since issuance. At December 31, 2014, approximately \$9.6 million was pledged against the Variable Funding Notes for outstanding letters of credit, leaving \$90.4 million of Variable Funding Notes available for borrowings. The letters of credit are used primarily to satisfy insurance-related collateral requirements.

#### Guarantees and Collateral

Under the Guarantee and Collateral Agreement dated September 30, 2014 (the “Guarantee and Collateral Agreement”), among the Guarantors in favor of the Trustee, the Guarantors guarantee the obligations of the Co-Issuers under the Indenture and related documents and secure the guarantee by granting a security interest in substantially all of their assets.

The Notes are secured by a security interest in substantially all of the assets of the Co-Issuers and the Guarantors (collectively, the “Securitization Entities”). As of September 30, 2014, these assets (the “Securitized Assets”) generally included substantially all of the domestic revenue-generating assets of the Company and its subsidiaries, which principally consist of franchise agreements, area license agreements, development agreements, franchisee fee notes, equipment leases, agreements related to the production and sale of pancake and waffle dry-mixes, owned and leased real property and intellectual property.



The Notes are obligations only of the Co-Issuers pursuant to the Indenture and are unconditionally and irrevocably guaranteed by the Guarantors pursuant to the Guarantee and Collateral Agreement. Except as described below, neither we nor any of our subsidiaries, other than the Securitization Entities, guarantee or in any way are liable for the obligations of the Co-Issuers under the Indenture or the Notes.

#### Covenants and Restrictions

The Notes are subject to a series of covenants and restrictions customary for transactions of this type, including (i) that the Co-Issuers maintain specified reserve accounts to be used to make required payments in respect of the Notes, (ii) provisions relating to optional and mandatory prepayments, and the related payment of specified amounts, including specified make-whole payments in the case of the Class A-2 Notes under certain circumstances, (iii) certain indemnification payments in the event, among other things, the transfers of the assets pledged as collateral for the Notes are in stated ways defective or ineffective and

(iv) covenants relating to recordkeeping, access to information and similar matters. The Notes are also subject to customary rapid amortization events provided for in the Indenture, including events tied to failure of the Securitization Entities to maintain the stated debt service coverage (“DSCR”) ratio, the sum of domestic retail sales for all restaurants being below certain levels on certain measurement dates, certain manager termination events, certain events of default and the failure to repay or refinance the Notes on the Class A-2 Anticipated Repayment Date. The Notes are also subject to certain customary events of default, including events relating to non-payment of required interest, principal or other amounts due on or with respect to the Notes, failure of the Securitization Entities to maintain the stated debt service coverage ratio, failure to comply with covenants within certain time frames, certain bankruptcy events, breaches of specified representations and warranties and certain judgments.

The DSCR ratio is Net Cash Flow for the four quarters preceding the calculation date divided by the total debt service payments of the preceding four quarters. The complete definitions of the DSCR and all calculation elements are contained in the Indenture filed as an Exhibit to Form 8-K filed on October 3, 2014. Failure to maintain a prescribed DSCR ratio can trigger a Cash Trapping Event, A Rapid Amortization Event, a Manager Termination Event or a Default Event as described below. In a Cash Trapping Event, the Trustee is required to retain a certain percentage of cash flow in a restricted account. In a Rapid Amortization Event, all excess Cash Flow is retained and used to retire principal amounts of debt. Key DSCR ratios are as follows:

- DSCR less than 1.75x but equal to or greater than 1.50x - Cash Trapping Event, 50% of Net Cash Flow
- DSCR less than 1.50x - Cash Trapping Event, 100% of Net Cash Flow
- DSCR less than 1.30x - Rapid Amortization Event
- DSCR less than 1.20x - Manager Termination Event
- DSCR less than 1.10x - Default Event

Our DSCR for the reporting period ended December 31, 2014 was 4.9x.

Based on our current level of operations, we believe that our cash flow from operations, available cash and available borrowings under our Variable Funding Notes will be adequate to meet our liquidity needs during 2015.

#### Previous Credit Facilities

We had a \$75.0 million revolving credit facility (the “Revolving Facility”) under our extinguished Credit Facility. We did not borrow from our Revolving Facility during 2014 and there were no outstanding borrowings under the Revolving Facility when the Credit Facility was extinguished on September 30, 2014. The Revolving Facility also was used to collateralize letters of credit that were required for insurance purposes.

#### Cash Flows

In summary, our cash flows were as follows:

|  | 2014          | 2013     | 2012     |
|--|---------------|----------|----------|
|  | (In millions) |          |          |
| Net cash provided by operating activities            | \$118.5       | \$127.8  | \$52.9   |
| Net cash provided by investing activities            | 10.6          | 7.0      | 165.4    |
| Net cash used in financing activities                | (131.1        | ) (93.3  | ) (214.5 |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents | \$(2.0        | ) \$41.5 | \$3.8    |

#### Operating Activities

Cash provided by operating activities is primarily driven by revenues earned and collected from our franchisees, and profit from our rental operations and financing operations. Franchise revenues primarily consist of royalties and franchise fees from Applebee's and IHOP franchised restaurants, IHOP advertising fees and sales of proprietary products by IHOP, each of which fluctuates with increases or decreases in franchise retail sales. Franchise retail sales are impacted by the development of IHOP and Applebee's restaurants by our franchisees and by fluctuations in same-restaurant sales. Rental operations profit is rental income less rental expenses. Rental income includes revenues from operating leases and interest income from direct financing leases. Rental income is impacted by fluctuations in same-restaurant sales as some operating leases include a provision for contingent rent based on retail sales and by a

progressive decline in rental income as leases expire. Rental expenses are costs of prime operating leases and interest expense on prime capital leases on franchisee-operated restaurants. Financing operations revenue consists of interest income from the financing of franchise fees and equipment leases as well as periodic sales of

equipment. Financing income is impacted by a progressive decline in interest revenue as the obligations financed are repaid. Financing expenses are primarily the cost of restaurant equipment.

Cash provided by operating activities decreased \$9.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to the prior year. For the year ended December 31, 2014, our net income plus the non-cash reconciling items shown in our statements of cash flows (primarily loss on extinguishment of debt, depreciation, deferred taxes and stock-based compensation) increased by \$18.2 million compared to 2013. The primary reasons for the increase were a lower effective tax rate, an increase in segment profit and lower interest costs for the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to the same period of 2013.

Net changes in working capital used cash of \$2.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to providing cash of \$25.1 million during the year ended December 31, 2013. This unfavorable change of \$27.5 million was due primarily to timing of estimated tax payments resulting from tax planning and timing of tax deductions and differences in the timing of rent payments due to varying fiscal year end.

#### Investing Activities

Net cash provided by investing activities in 2014 was primarily attributable to \$15.3 million of principal receipts from notes, equipment contracts and other long-term receivables, partially offset by \$5.9 million of capital expenditures. We expect capital expenditures to be approximately \$9 million in fiscal 2015.

The following table represents the timing of principal receipts on various long-term receivables due from our franchisees as of December 31, 2014:

|  | Principal Receipts Due By Period |        |        |        |        |            | Total   |
|--|----------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------------|---------|
|  | 2015                             | 2016   | 2017   | 2018   | 2019   | Thereafter |         |
|  | (In millions)                    |        |        |        |        |            |         |
| Equipment leases <sup>(1)</sup>          | \$7.4                            | \$8.3  | \$13.4 | \$8.8  | \$9.1  | \$60.3     | \$107.3 |
| Direct financing leases <sup>(2)</sup>   | 8.0                              | 8.8    | 10.1   | 11.1   | 11.4   | 32.2       | 81.6    |
| Franchise notes and other <sup>(3)</sup> | 1.1                              | 0.8    | 0.4    | 0.1    | 0.1    | 0.1        | 2.6     |
| Total                                    | \$16.5                           | \$17.9 | \$23.9 | \$20.0 | \$20.6 | \$92.6     | \$191.5 |

(1) Equipment lease receivables extend through the year 2029.

(2) Direct financing lease receivables extend through the year 2027.

(3) Franchise note receivables extend through the year 2020.

#### Financing Activities

Financing activities used net cash of \$131.1 million during 2014. As discussed above under “Refinancing of Long-Term Debt - Transaction Summary,” we issued \$1.3 billion of new debt and repaid \$1.264 billion of old debt (including a make-whole premium of \$36.1 million on our Senior Notes). We paid \$24.2 million of issuance costs related to the new debt. We also funded several reserve accounts required under the terms of the new debt, primarily for the payment of interest on the Notes, as reflected by the increase in restricted cash. Other uses of cash in financing activities consisted of cash dividends paid to stockholders totaling \$42.7 million, repurchases of our common stock totaling \$32.0 million and repayments of capital lease, financing obligations of \$11.8 million. Cash provided by financing activities primarily consisted of a net cash inflow of \$10.0 million related to equity awards.

#### Free Cash Flow

We define “free cash flow” for a given period as cash provided by operating activities, plus receipts from notes, equipment contracts and other long-term receivables (collectively, “long-term receivables”), less additions to property and equipment, principal payments on capital lease and financing obligations and mandatory debt service payments. We believe this information is helpful to investors to determine our cash available for general corporate purposes and for the return of cash to stockholders pursuant to our capital allocation strategy.

Free cash flow is considered to be a non-U.S. GAAP measure. Reconciliation of the cash provided by operating activities to free cash flow is as follows:

|   | Year Ended December 31, |          |         |
|---|-------------------------|----------|---------|
|   | 2014                    | 2013     | 2012    |
|   | (In millions)           |          |         |
| Cash flows provided by operating activities                   | \$ 118.5                | \$ 127.8 | \$ 52.9 |
| Principal receipts from long-term receivables                 | 15.3                    | 14.0     | 12.2    |
| Additions to property and equipment                           | (5.9                    | ) (7.0   | ) (17.0 |
| Principal payments on capital lease and financing obligations | (11.8                   | ) (10.0  | ) (10.8 |
| Mandatory debt service payments                               | (3.6                    | ) (4.7   | ) (7.4  |
| Free cash flow  | \$ 112.5                | \$ 120.1 | \$ 29.9 |

This non-U.S. GAAP measure is not defined in the same manner by all companies and may not be comparable to other similarly titled measures of other companies. Non-U.S. GAAP measures should be considered in addition to, and not as a substitute for, the U.S. GAAP information contained within our financial statements.

Free cash flow totaled \$112.5 million during the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to \$120.1 million in the same period in 2013, a decrease of \$7.5 million. This decrease was primarily due to the decrease in cash provided by operating activities discussed above.

At December 31, 2014, our cash and cash equivalents totaled \$104.0 million, including approximately \$56.2 million of cash held for gift card programs and advertising funds.

#### Dividends

During the fiscal years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, we declared and paid dividends on our common stock as follows:

| Year ended December 31, 2014 | Declaration date  | Payment date       | Dividend per share | Total <sup>(1)</sup> |
|------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
|                              |                   |                    |                    | (In millions)        |
| First quarter                | February 25, 2014 | March 28, 2014     | \$0.75             | \$14.3               |
| Second quarter               | May 28, 2014      | June 27, 2014      | 0.75               | 14.3                 |
| Third quarter                | August 4, 2014    | September 26, 2014 | 0.75               | 14.2                 |
| Fourth quarter               | October 27, 2014  | (2)                | 0.875              | —                    |
| Total                        |                   |                    | \$3.125            | \$42.8               |
| Year ended December 31, 2013 |                   |                    |                    |                      |
| First quarter                | February 26, 2013 | March 29, 2013     | \$0.75             | \$14.6               |
| Second quarter               | May 14, 2013      | June 28, 2013      | 0.75               | 14.4                 |
| Third quarter                | August 2, 2013    | September 27, 2013 | 0.75               | 14.3                 |
| Fourth quarter               | October 3, 2013   | December 27, 2013  | 0.75               | 14.3                 |
| Total                        |                   |                    | \$3.00             | \$57.6               |

(1) Includes dividend equivalents paid on restricted stock units.

(2) The fourth quarter 2014 dividend of \$16.6 million was paid on January 9, 2015.

On February 24, 2015, our Board of Directors approved payment of a cash dividend of \$0.875 per share of common stock, payable at the close of business on April 10, 2015 to the stockholders of record as of the close of business on March 13, 2015.



## Share Repurchases

On February 26, 2013, our Board of Directors approved a stock repurchase authorization of up to \$100 million of our common stock (the “2013 Authorization”). Cumulatively, we repurchased \$59.7 million of our common stock under the 2013 Authorization. On October 27, 2014, our Board of Directors approved increasing our share repurchase authorization back to the previous level of \$100 million (the “2014 Authorization”). During the year ended December 31, 2014, we purchased 367,256 shares of our common stock under the 2013 authorization for a total of \$30.0 million, an average price of \$81.70 per share, and we repurchased 20,335 shares under the 2014 Authorization for a total of \$2.0 million, an average price of \$98.37 per share. We may repurchase up to an additional \$98.0 million of our common stock under the 2014 Authorization.

We do, from time to time, repurchase shares owned and tendered by employees to satisfy tax withholding obligations on the vesting of restricted stock awards. Such shares are purchased at the closing price of our common stock on the vesting date.

## Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of December 31, 2014, we did not have any off-balance sheet arrangements, as defined in Item 303(a)(4) of SEC Regulation S-K.

## Contractual Obligations and Commitments

The following are our significant contractual obligations and commitments as of December 31, 2014:

| Contractual Obligations                         | Payments Due By Period |             |             |                   | Total     |
|---|------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------|-----------|
|   | 1 Year                 | 2 - 3 Years | 4 - 5 Years | More than 5 Years |           |
|   | (In millions)          |             |             |                   |           |
| Debt <sup>(1)</sup>                             | \$55.7                 | \$111.2     | \$111.2     | \$1,397.3         | \$1,675.4 |
| Operating leases                                | 83.5                   | 144.3       | 141.0       | 328.5             | 697.3     |
| Capital leases <sup>(1)</sup>                   | 26.2                   | 45.4        | 37.8        | 58.7              | 168.1     |
| Financing obligations <sup>(1)</sup>            | 5.4                    | 9.6         | 10.7        | 75.8              | 101.5     |
| Purchase commitments                            | 93.1                   | 7.9         | 6.4         | 1.0               | 108.4     |
| Unrecognized income tax benefits <sup>(2)</sup> | 0.7                    | —           | —           | 2.7               | 3.4       |
| Total minimum payments                          | 264.6                  | 318.4       | 307.1       | 1,864.0           | 2,754.1   |
| Less interest                                   | (72.5)                 | (138.4)     | (132.8)     | (145.7)           | (489.4)   |
| Total   | \$192.1                | \$180.0     | \$174.3     | \$1,718.3         | \$2,264.7 |
|   | Expiration By Period   |             |             |                   |           |
| Commitments                                     | 1 Year                 | 2 - 3 Years | 4 - 5 Years | More than 5 Years | Total     |
|   | (In millions)          |             |             |                   |           |
| Lease guarantees <sup>(3)</sup>                 | \$19.7                 | \$38.4      | \$34.0      | \$286.0           | \$378.1   |
| Letters of credit <sup>(4)</sup>                | 9.6                    | —           | —           | —                 | 9.6       |
| Food purchases <sup>(5)</sup>                   | 23.8                   | —           | —           | —                 | 23.8      |
| Total   | \$53.1                 | \$38.4      | \$34.0      | \$286.0           | \$411.5   |

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes interest calculated on balances as of December 31, 2014 using interest rates in effect as of December 31, 2014.

<sup>(2)</sup> While up to \$0.7 million is expected to be paid within one year, there is no contractual obligation to do so. For the remaining liability, due to the uncertainties related to these tax matters, we are unable to make a reasonably reliable estimate when a cash settlement with a taxing authority will occur.

<sup>(3)</sup> This amount represents the maximum potential liability for future payment guarantees under leases that have been assigned to third-party buyers of Applebee's company-operated restaurants and expire at the end of the respective lease terms, which range from 2014 through 2048. See Note 10 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

(4) Primarily to satisfy insurance-related collateral requirements. These letters of credit expire annually, but are typically renewed in the same amount each year unless collateral requirements change.

(5) In some instances, IHOP and Applebee's may be required to guarantee their purchase of any remaining inventory of certain food and other items purchased by CSCS.



### Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our significant accounting policies are comprehensively described in Note 2 of Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements. We believe the accounting policies discussed below are particularly important to the understanding of our consolidated financial statements and require us to make significant judgments in the preparation of those consolidated financial statements. In exercising those judgments, we make estimates and assumptions that affect the carrying values of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of net revenues and expenses in the reporting periods covered by the financial statements. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our estimates based on historical experience, current conditions and various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. We adjust such estimates and assumptions when facts and circumstances dictate. Accounting assumptions and estimates are inherently uncertain and actual results may differ materially from our estimates. Changes in estimates and judgments could significantly affect our results of operations, financial condition and cash flow in the future.

#### Revenue Recognition

We record revenue in four categories: franchise operations, company restaurant operations, rental operations and financing operations.

The franchise operations revenue consists primarily of royalty revenues, sales of proprietary IHOP products, IHOP advertising fees and the portion of the franchise fees allocated to our intellectual property. Company restaurant sales are retail sales at company-operated restaurants. Rental operations revenue includes revenue from operating leases and interest income from direct financing leases. Financing operations revenue consists of interest income from the financing of franchise fees and equipment leases, as well as sales of equipment associated with refranchised IHOP restaurants and a portion of franchise fees for restaurants taken back from franchisees not allocated to IHOP intellectual property.

Revenues from franchised and area licensed restaurants include royalties, continuing rent and service fees and initial franchise fees. Royalties are recognized in the period in which the sales are reported to have occurred. Continuing rent and fees are recognized in the period earned. Initial franchise fees are recognized upon the opening of a restaurant, which is when we have performed substantially all initial services required by the franchise agreement. Fees from development agreements are deferred and recorded into income as restaurants under the development agreement are opened.

Sales by company-operated restaurants are recognized when food and beverage items are sold. Company restaurant sales are reported net of sales taxes collected from guests that are remitted to the appropriate taxing authorities.

We record a liability in the period in which a gift card is sold. We recognize costs associated with our administration of the gift card programs as prepaid assets when the costs are incurred. As gift cards are redeemed, the liability and prepaid asset are reduced. We recognize revenue and related administrative costs when gift cards are redeemed at company-operated restaurants. When gift cards are redeemed at a franchisee-operated restaurant, the revenue and related administrative costs are recognized by the franchisee. We recognize gift card breakage income on gift cards when the assessment of the likelihood of redemption of the gift card becomes remote. This assessment is based upon Applebee's and IHOP's individual historical experience with gift card redemptions in their own program.

#### Goodwill and Intangibles

Goodwill is recorded when the aggregate purchase price of an acquisition exceeds the estimated fair value of the net identified tangible and intangible assets acquired. Intangible assets resulting from the acquisition are accounted for using the purchase method of accounting and are estimated by management based on the fair value of the assets received. Identifiable intangible assets are comprised primarily of trademarks, tradenames and franchise agreements. Identifiable intangible assets with finite lives (franchise agreements, recipes, menus and favorable leaseholds) are amortized over the period of estimated benefit using the straight-line method and estimated useful lives. Goodwill and intangible assets considered to have an indefinite life (primarily tradename and liquor licenses) are not subject to amortization. The determination of indefinite life is subject to reassessment if changes in facts and circumstances indicate the period of benefit has become finite.

Goodwill has been allocated to three reporting units, the Applebee's company-operated restaurants unit ("Applebee's company unit"), the Applebee's franchised restaurants unit ("Applebee's franchise unit") and the IHOP franchised restaurants unit ("IHOP franchise unit"), in accordance with U.S. GAAP. The significant majority of our goodwill

resulted from the November 29, 2007 acquisition of Applebee's and was allocated between the two Applebee's units. The goodwill allocated to the Applebee's company unit was fully impaired in 2008.

We perform a quantitative impairment test of the goodwill of the Applebee's franchise unit and the tradename of the Applebee's company and franchise units as of October 31 of each year. The goodwill of the IHOP franchise unit is assessed qualitatively as of December 31 of each year. In addition to the annual test of impairment, goodwill and indefinite life

55

---

intangible assets are evaluated more frequently if we believe indicators of impairment exist. Such indicators include, but are not limited to, events or circumstances such as a significant adverse change in the business climate, unanticipated competition, a loss of key personnel, adverse legal or regulatory developments or a significant decline in the market price of our common stock.

In the process of our annual quantitative test of goodwill, we primarily use the income approach method of valuation that includes the discounted cash flow method as well as other generally accepted valuation methodologies to determine the fair value of goodwill and intangible assets. Significant assumptions used to determine fair value under the discounted cash flows model include future trends in sales, operating expenses, overhead expenses, depreciation, capital expenditures and changes in working capital, along with an appropriate discount rate based on our estimated cost of equity capital and after-tax cost of debt. The first step of the quantitative impairment test compares the fair value of each of our reporting units to their carrying value. If the fair value is in excess of the carrying value, no impairment exists. If the first step does indicate an impairment, a second step must take place. Under the second step, the fair value of the assets and liabilities of the reporting unit are estimated as if the reporting unit were acquired in a business combination. The excess of the fair value of the reporting unit over the amounts assigned to its assets and liabilities is the implied fair value of the goodwill, to which the carrying value of the goodwill must be adjusted. The fair value of all reporting units is then compared to the current market value of our common stock to determine if the fair values estimated in the impairment testing process are reasonable in light of the current market value.

In the process of our annual impairment review of the tradename, the most significant indefinite life intangible asset, we primarily use the relief of royalty method under income approach method of valuation. Significant assumptions used to determine fair value under the relief of royalty method include future trends in sales, a royalty rate and a discount rate to be applied to the forecast revenue stream.

#### Long-Lived Assets

We assess long-lived and intangible assets with finite lives for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of the assets may not be recoverable. We test impairment using historical cash flows and other relevant facts and circumstances as the primary basis for our estimates of future cash flows. We consider factors such as the number of years the restaurant has been operated by us, sales trends, cash flow trends, remaining lease life, and other factors which apply on a case-by-case basis. The analysis is performed at the individual restaurant level for indicators of permanent impairment. Recoverability of the restaurant's assets is measured by comparing the assets' carrying value to the undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated over the assets' remaining useful life or remaining lease term, whichever is less. If the total expected undiscounted future cash flows are less than the carrying amount of the assets, the carrying amount is written down to the estimated fair value, and a loss resulting from impairment is recognized by a charge to earnings. This process requires the use of estimates and assumptions, which are subject to a high degree of judgment. If these assumptions change in the future, we may be required to record impairment charges for these assets.

#### Allowance for Credit Losses

The allowance for doubtful accounts is our best estimate of the amount of probable credit losses in our existing receivables; however, changes in circumstances relating to receivables may result in additional allowances in the future. We determine the allowance based on historical experience, current payment patterns, future obligations and our assessment of the ability to pay outstanding balances. The primary indicator of credit quality is delinquency, which is considered to be a receivable balance greater than 90 days past due. We continually review our allowance for doubtful accounts. Past due balances and future obligations are reviewed individually for collectability. Account balances are charged against the allowance after all collection efforts have been exhausted and the potential for recovery is considered remote.

#### Leases

Our restaurants are located on (i) sites owned by us, (ii) sites leased by us from third parties and (iii) sites owned or leased by franchisees. For sites owned by or leased by us from third parties, we, in turn, sublease to our franchisees. At the inception of the lease, each property is evaluated to determine whether the lease will be accounted for as an operating or capital lease in accordance with the provisions of U.S. GAAP governing the accounting for leases. The lease term used for straight-line rent expense is calculated from the date we obtain possession of the leased premises through the lease termination date. We record rent from the possession date through restaurant open date as

expense. Once a restaurant opens for business, we record straight-line rent over the lease term plus contingent rent to the extent it exceeded the minimum rent obligation per the lease agreement. We use a consistent lease term when calculating depreciation of leasehold improvements, when determining straight-line rent expense and when determining classification of its leases as either operating or capital. For leases that contain rent escalations, we record the total rent payable during the lease term, as determined above, on the straight-line basis over the term of the lease (including the rent holiday period beginning upon our possession of the premises), and record the difference between the minimum rents paid and the straight-line rent as a lease obligation. Certain

leases contain provisions that require additional rental payments based upon restaurant sales volume (“contingent rent”) that are accrued each period as the liabilities are incurred, in addition to the straight-line rent expense noted above.

There is potential for variability in the rent holiday period, which begins on the possession date and ends on the restaurant open date, during which no cash rent payments are typically due under the terms of the lease. Factors that may affect the length of the rent holiday period generally relate to construction related delays. Extension of the rent holiday period due to delays in restaurant openings will result in greater preopening rent expense recognized during the rent holiday period and lesser occupancy expense during the rest of the lease term (post-opening).

For leases that contain rent escalations, we record the total rent payable or receivable during the lease term, as determined above, on the straight-line basis over the term of the lease (including the rent holiday period beginning upon our possession of the premises if applicable), and record the difference between the minimum rent paid or received and the straight-line rent as a lease obligation or receivable, respectively. Certain leases contain provisions that require additional rental payments or receipts based upon restaurant sales volume (“contingent rent”). Contingent rentals are accrued each period as the liabilities are incurred or receivables are earned, in addition to the straight-line rent expense or revenue, respectively, noted above.

Certain of our lease agreements contain tenant improvement allowances. For purposes of recognizing incentives, we amortize the incentives over the shorter of the estimated useful life or lease term. For tenant improvement allowances, we also record a deferred rent liability or an obligation in our non-current liabilities on the consolidated balance sheets.

Management makes judgments regarding the probable term for each restaurant property lease, which can impact the classification and accounting for a lease as capital or operating, the rent holiday and/or escalations in payment that are taken into consideration when calculating straight-line rent and the term over which leasehold improvements for each restaurant are amortized. These judgments may produce materially different amounts of depreciation, amortization and rent expense than would be reported if different assumed lease terms were used.

#### Stock-Based Compensation

We account for stock-based compensation in accordance with U.S. GAAP governing share-based payments.

Accordingly, we measure stock-based compensation expense at the grant date, based on the fair value of the award, and recognize the expense over the employee's requisite service period using the straight-line method. The fair value of each employee stock option and restricted stock award is estimated on the date of grant using an option pricing model that meets certain requirements. We currently use the Black-Scholes option pricing model to estimate the fair value of our share-based compensation. The Black-Scholes model meets the requirements of U.S. GAAP. The measurement of stock-based compensation expense is based on several criteria including, but not limited to, the valuation model used and associated input factors, such as expected term of the award, stock price volatility, risk free interest rate and forfeiture rate. These inputs are subjective and are determined using management's judgment. If differences arise between the assumptions used in determining stock-based compensation expense and the actual factors which become known over time, we may change the input factors used in determining future stock-based compensation expense. Any such changes could materially impact our operations in the period in which the changes are made and in subsequent periods.

#### Income Taxes

We provide for income taxes based on our estimate of federal and state income tax liabilities. We make certain estimates and judgments in the calculation of tax expense and the resulting tax liabilities and in the recoverability of deferred tax assets that arise from temporary differences between the tax and financial statement recognition of revenue and expense. Tax laws are complex and subject to different interpretations by taxpayers and the respective governmental authorities. We review our tax positions quarterly and adjust the balances as new information becomes available.

We recognize deferred tax assets and liabilities using the enacted tax rates for the effect of temporary differences between the financial reporting basis and the tax basis of recorded assets and liabilities. Deferred tax accounting requires that deferred tax assets be reduced by a valuation allowance if it is more likely than not that some or all of the net deferred tax assets will not be realized. This test requires projection of our taxable income into future years to determine if there will be taxable income sufficient to realize the tax assets. The preparation of the projections requires considerable judgment and is subject to change to reflect future events, including changes in the tax laws. When we

establish or reduce the valuation allowance against our deferred tax assets, our income tax expense will increase or decrease, respectively, in the period such determination is made.

FASB ASC Topic 740-10, requires that a position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return be recognized in the financial statement when it is more likely than not (i.e. a likelihood of more than 50 percent) that the position would be sustained upon examination by taxing authorities including all appeals or litigation processes, based on its technical merits. A recognized tax position is then measured on the largest benefit that has a greater than fifty percent likelihood of being realized

upon ultimate resolution. For each reporting period, management applies a consistent methodology to measure and adjust all uncertain tax positions based on the available information.

#### Recently Adopted Accounting Standards

We adopted the following accounting standards as of January 1, 2014:

Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) No. 2013-04, Obligations Resulting from Joint and Several Liability Arrangements for Which the Total Amount of the Obligation Is Fixed at the Reporting Date (“ASU 2013-04”). The amendments in ASU 2013-04 require an entity to measure obligations resulting from joint and several liability arrangements as the amount the entity agreed to pay on the basis of the arrangement among its co-obligors plus the amount an entity expects to pay on behalf of co-obligors. ASU 2013-04 also requires an entity to disclose the nature, amount and other information about each obligation or group of similar obligations.

ASU No. 2013-11, Income Taxes - Presentation of an Unrecognized Tax Benefit When a Net Operating Loss Carryforward, a Similar Tax Loss, or a Tax Credit Carryforward Exists (“ASU 2013-11”). ASU 2013-11 provides guidance on the financial statement presentation of an unrecognized tax benefit, as either a reduction of a deferred tax asset or as a liability, when a net operating loss carryforward, similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward exists.

The adoption of these standards did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

#### New Accounting Pronouncements

In April 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued ASU No. 2014-08, Reporting Discontinued Operations and Disclosures of Disposals of Components of an Entity (“ASU 2014-08”). The amendments in ASU 2014-08 change the criteria for the reporting of discontinued operations. Under ASU 2014-08, only disposals resulting in a strategic shift that will have a major effect on an entity's operations and financial results will be reported as discontinued operations. ASU 2014-08 also removes the requirement under current U.S. GAAP that an entity not have any significant continuing involvement in the operations of the component after disposal to qualify for reporting of the disposal as a discontinued operation. The Company will be required to apply the provisions of ASU 2014-08 prospectively to all disposals of components beginning with its first fiscal quarter of 2015. Early adoption is permitted for any disposal transaction not previously reported.

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (“ASU 2014-09”). The core principle of ASU 2014-09 is that an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. ASU 2014-09 also requires additional disclosures about the nature, amount, timing and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from customer contracts. The Company will be required to apply the provisions of ASU 2014-09 beginning with its first fiscal quarter of 2017, either retrospectively to each period presented or as a cumulative-effect adjustment as of the date of adoption; early adoption is not permitted. The guidance in ASU 2014-09 supersedes nearly all of the existing general revenue recognition guidance under U.S. GAAP as well as most industry-specific revenue recognition guidance, including guidance with respect to revenue recognition by franchisors. The Company believes the recognition of the majority of its revenues, including franchise royalty revenues, sales of IHOP pancake and waffle dry mix and retail sales at company-operated restaurants will not be affected by ASU 2014-09. Additionally, lease rental revenues are not within the scope of ASU 2014-09 guidance. The Company is currently evaluating the effect that ASU 2014-09 will have on its financial statements and related disclosures and which method of adoption will be used.

We reviewed all other newly issued accounting pronouncements and concluded that they either are not applicable to our operations or that no material effect is expected on our financial statements as a result of future adoption.

#### Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk.

We are exposed to financial market risk, including interest rates and commodity prices. We address these risks through controlled risk management that may include the use of derivative financial instruments to economically hedge or reduce these exposures. We do not enter into financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes.



#### Interest Rate Risk

All of our long-term debt outstanding at December 31, 2014 was issued at a fixed interest rate (see Note 7 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements). We are only exposed to interest rate risk on borrowings under our Class A-1 Variable Funding Notes. As of December 31, 2014, we had no outstanding borrowings under our Class A-1 Variable Funding Notes. We do not engage in speculative transactions nor do we hold or issue financial instruments for trading purposes. We had no outstanding derivative instruments as of December 31, 2014.

Investments in instruments earning a fixed rate of interest carry a degree of interest rate risk. Fixed rate securities may have their fair market value adversely impacted due to a rise in interest rates. We currently do not hold any fixed rate investments.

Based on our cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash and long-term restricted investment holdings as of 2014, a 1% increase in interest rates would increase our annual interest income by approximately \$0.4 million. A 1% decline in interest rates would decrease our annual interest income by less than \$0.4 million as the majority of our cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash and long-term investment holdings are currently yielding less than 1%.

#### Commodity Prices

Many of the food products purchased by us and our franchisees and area licensees are affected by commodity pricing and are, therefore, subject to unpredictable price volatility. Extreme increases in commodity prices and/or long-term changes could affect our franchisees, area licensees and company-operated restaurants adversely. We expect that, in most cases, the IHOP and Applebee's systems would be able to pass increased commodity prices through to our consumers via increases in menu prices. From time to time, competitive circumstances could limit short-term menu price flexibility, and in those cases, margins would be negatively impacted by increased commodity prices. Since less than 1% of our restaurants are company-operated, we believe that any changes in commodity pricing that cannot be adjusted for by changes in menu pricing or other strategies would not be material to our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

The Company and owners of Applebee's and IHOP franchise restaurants are members of CSCS, a Co-op that manages procurement activities for the Applebee's and IHOP restaurants that belong to the Co-op. We believe the larger scale created by combining the supply chain requirements of both brands under one organization can provide cost savings and efficiency in the purchasing function. As of December 31, 2014, 100% of Applebee's franchise restaurants and 99% of IHOP franchise restaurants are members of CSCS. In some instances, IHOP and Applebee's may be required to guarantee their purchase of any remaining inventory of certain food and other items purchased by CSCS for the purpose of supplying limited time promotions on behalf of the Applebee's and IHOP systems as a whole. None of these food product guarantees is a derivative instrument. At December 31, 2014, our outstanding guarantees for food product purchases were \$23.8 million.

#### Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Risk

We have minimal exposure to foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations. Revenue derived from all foreign country operations comprised less than 3% of total consolidated revenue for the year ended December 31, 2014, such that a hypothetical 10% adverse change in the currency of every foreign country in which our franchisees operate restaurants would have a negative impact of less than 0.3% of our consolidated revenue.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.  
Index to Consolidated Financial Statements

|  | Page<br>Reference |
|--|-------------------|
| Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm  | <u>61</u>         |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2014 and 2013</u>  | <u>62</u>         |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for each of the three years in the period ended<br/>December 31, 2014</u> | <u>63</u>         |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for each of the three years in the period ended<br/>December 31, 2014</u> | <u>64</u>         |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2014</u>               | <u>65</u>         |
| <u>Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements</u>  | <u>66</u>         |

60

---

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareholders of DineEquity, Inc. and Subsidiaries:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of DineEquity, Inc. and Subsidiaries as of December 28, 2014 and December 29, 2013, and the related consolidated statements of comprehensive income, stockholders' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 28, 2014. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of DineEquity, Inc. and Subsidiaries at December 28, 2014 and December 29, 2013, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 28, 2014, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), DineEquity, Inc. and Subsidiaries' internal control over financial reporting as of December 28, 2014, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (2013 framework) and our report dated February 25, 2015 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ ERNST & YOUNG LLP  
Los Angeles, California  
February 25, 2015

DineEquity, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
 Consolidated Balance Sheets  
 (In thousands, except share amounts)

|   | December 31, |             |
|---|--------------|-------------|
|   | 2014         | 2013        |
| Assets  |              |             |
| Current assets:   |              |             |
| Cash and cash equivalents   | \$104,004    | \$106,011   |
| Receivables, net  | 153,498      | 144,137     |
| Restricted cash   | 52,262       | 664         |
| Prepaid gift cards  | 51,268       | 49,223      |
| Prepaid income taxes  | 11,753       | 4,708       |
| Deferred income taxes   | 30,860       | 23,853      |
| Other current assets  | 9,239        | 2,986       |
| Total current assets  | 412,884      | 331,582     |
| Long-term receivables   | 180,856      | 197,153     |
| Property and equipment, net   | 241,229      | 274,295     |
| Goodwill  | 697,470      | 697,470     |
| Other intangible assets, net  | 782,336      | 794,057     |
| Deferred rent receivable  | 91,117       | 91,423      |
| Other non-current assets, net   | 42,216       | 18,662      |
| Total assets  | \$2,448,108  | \$2,404,642 |
| Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity  |              |             |
| Current liabilities:  |              |             |
| Current maturities of long-term debt  | \$—          | \$4,720     |
| Accounts payable  | 41,771       | 40,050      |
| Gift card liability   | 179,760      | 171,955     |
| Accrued employee compensation and benefits  | 25,722       | 24,956      |
| Dividends payable   | 16,635       | —           |
| Accrued interest payable  | 14,126       | 13,575      |
| Current maturities of capital lease and financing obligations   | 14,852       | 12,247      |
| Other accrued expenses  | 20,183       | 16,770      |
| Total current liabilities   | 313,049      | 284,273     |
| Long-term debt, less current maturities   | 1,300,000    | 1,203,517   |
| Capital lease obligations, less current maturities  | 98,119       | 111,707     |
| Financing obligations, less current maturities  | 42,524       | 48,843      |
| Deferred income taxes   | 319,111      | 341,578     |
| Deferred rent payable   | 75,375       | 76,798      |
| Other non-current liabilities   | 20,857       | 22,747      |
| Total liabilities   | 2,169,035    | 2,089,463   |
| Commitments and contingencies   |              |             |
| Stockholders' equity:   |              |             |
| Common stock, \$0.01 par value; shares: 40,000,000 authorized; 2014 - 25,240,055 issued, 18,953,567 outstanding; 2013 - 25,299,315 issued, 19,040,890 outstanding | 252          | 253         |
| Additional paid-in-capital  | 279,946      | 274,202     |
| Retained earnings   | 313,644      | 336,578     |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss  | (73          | ) (164      |
| Treasury stock, at cost; shares: 2014 - 6,286,488; 2013 - 6,258,425   | (314,696     | ) (295,690  |
| Total stockholders' equity  | 279,073      | 315,179     |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity  | \$2,448,108  | \$2,404,642 |

See the accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

62

---

DineEquity, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income  
(In thousands, except per share amounts)

|   | Year Ended December 31, |           |           |
|---|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|
|   | 2014                    | 2013      | 2012      |
| Segment Revenues:   |                         |           |           |
| Franchise and restaurant revenues                                     | \$518,579               | \$502,586 | \$712,580 |
| Rental revenues   | 122,932                 | 124,769   | 122,859   |
| Financing revenues  | 13,477                  | 13,112    | 14,489    |
| Total segment revenues  | 654,988                 | 640,467   | 849,928   |
| Segment Expenses:   |                         |           |           |
| Franchise and restaurant expenses                                     | 184,411                 | 173,232   | 359,196   |
| Rental expenses   | 94,637                  | 97,298    | 97,165    |
| Financing expenses  | 825                     | 245       | 1,623     |
| Total segment expenses  | 279,873                 | 270,775   | 457,984   |
| Gross segment profit  | 375,115                 | 369,692   | 391,944   |
| General and administrative expenses                                   | 145,910                 | 143,586   | 163,215   |
| Interest expense  | 96,637                  | 100,264   | 114,338   |
| Amortization of intangible assets                                     | 12,063                  | 12,282    | 12,293    |
| Closure and impairment charges  | 3,721                   | 1,812     | 4,218     |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt  | 64,859                  | 58        | 5,554     |
| Debt modification costs   | —                       | 1,296     | —         |
| Loss (gain) on disposition of assets                                  | 329                     | (223)     | (102,597) |
| Income before income taxes  | 51,596                  | 110,617   | 194,923   |
| Income tax provision  | (15,143)                | (38,580)  | (67,249)  |
| Net income  | 36,453                  | 72,037    | 127,674   |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:                        |                         |           |           |
| Adjustment to unrealized loss on available-for-sale investments       | 107                     | —         | 140       |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment                               | (16)                    | (12)      | 2         |
| Total comprehensive income  | \$36,544                | \$72,025  | \$127,816 |
| Net income available to common stockholders:                          |                         |           |           |
| Net income  | \$36,453                | \$72,037  | \$127,674 |
| Less: Net income allocated to unvested participating restricted stock | (521)                   | (1,200)   | (2,718)   |
| Less: Accretion of Series B preferred stock                           | —                       | —         | (2,498)   |
| Net income available to common stockholders                           | \$35,932                | \$70,837  | \$122,458 |
| Net income available to common stockholders per share:                |                         |           |           |
| Basic   | \$1.92                  | \$3.75    | \$6.81    |
| Diluted   | \$1.90                  | \$3.70    | \$6.63    |
| Weighted average shares outstanding:                                  |                         |           |           |
| Basic   | 18,753                  | 18,871    | 17,992    |
| Diluted   | 18,956                  | 19,141    | 18,877    |
| Dividends declared per common share                                   | \$3.125                 | \$3.00    | \$—       |
| Dividends paid per common share                                       | \$2.25                  | \$3.00    | \$—       |
| See the accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.  |                         |           |           |

DineEquity, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity  
(In thousands, except share amounts)

|  | Preferred Stock       |                    | Common Stock          |        | Additional<br>Paid-in<br>Capital | Retained<br>Earnings | Accumulated<br>Other<br>Comprehensive<br>Loss | Treasury Stock |             | Total     |
|--|-----------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|--------|----------------------------------|----------------------|---|----------------|-------------|-----------|
|  | Shares<br>Outstanding | Series B<br>Amount | Shares<br>Outstanding | Amount |                                  |                      |   | Shares         | Cost        |           |
| Balance at<br>December 31,<br>2011                   | 34,900                | \$44,508           | 18,060,206            | \$247  | \$205,663                        | \$196,869            | \$(294)                                       | 6,598,779      | \$(291,773) | \$155,220 |
| Net income   | —                     | —                  | —                     | —      | —                                | 127,674              | —   | —              | —           | 127,674   |
| Other<br>comprehensive<br>income                     | —                     | —                  | —                     | —      | —                                | —                    | 142   | —              | —           | 142       |
| Reissuance of<br>treasury stock                      | —                     | —                  | 433,732               | —      | (6,636)                          | —                    | —   | (433,732)      | 14,089      | 7,453     |
| Net issuance of<br>shares pursuant<br>to stock plans | —                     | —                  | 59,622                | —      | 1,800                            | —                    | —   | —              | —           | 1,800     |
| Repurchase of<br>restricted<br>shares                | —                     | —                  | (34,829)              | —      | (1,740)                          | —                    | —   | —              | —           | (1,740)   |
| Stock-based<br>compensation                          | —                     | —                  | —                     | —      | 11,442                           | —                    | —   | —              | —           | 11,442    |
| Tax benefit<br>from<br>stock-based<br>compensation   | —                     | —                  | —                     | —      | 6,814                            | —                    | —   | —              | —           | 6,814     |
| Conversion of<br>Series B<br>preferred stock         | (34,900)              | (47,006)           | 679,168               | 7      | 46,999                           | —                    | —   | —              | —           | —         |
| Accretion of<br>Series B<br>preferred stock          | —                     | 2,498              | —                     | —      | —                                | (2,498)              | —   | —              | —           | —         |
| Balance at<br>December 31,<br>2012                   | —                     | —                  | 19,197,899            | 254    | 264,342                          | 322,045              | (152)   | 6,165,047      | (277,684)   | 308,805   |
| Net income   | —                     | —                  | —                     | —      | —                                | 72,037               | —   | —              | —           | 72,037    |
| Other<br>comprehensive<br>loss                       | —                     | —                  | —                     | —      | —                                | —                    | (12)  | —              | —           | (12)      |
| Purchase of<br>DineEquity<br>common stock            | —                     | —                  | (412,022)             | —      | —                                | —                    | —   | 412,022        | (29,698)    | (29,698)  |
| Reissuance of<br>treasury stock                      | —                     | —                  | 318,644               | —      | (2,612)                          | —                    | —   | (318,644)      | 11,692      | 9,080     |
| Net issuance of<br>shares pursuant<br>to stock plans | —                     | —                  | (17,659)              | (1)    | —                                | —                    | —   | —              | —           | (1)       |
|  | —                     | —                  | (45,972)              | —      | (3,324)                          | —                    | —   | —              | —           | (3,324)   |

Edgar Filing: DineEquity, Inc - Form 10-K

|  |   |     |            |       |           |           |        |           |             |           |
|--|---|-----|------------|-------|-----------|-----------|--------|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| Repurchase of restricted shares                |   |     |            |       |           |           |        |           |             |           |
| Stock-based compensation                       | — | —   | —          | —     | 9,364     | —         | —      | —         | —           | 9,364     |
| Tax benefit from stock-based compensation      | — | —   | —          | —     | 3,690     | —         | —      | —         | —           | 3,690     |
| Dividends on common stock                      | — | —   | —          | —     | 139       | (57,504)  | —      | —         | —           | (57,365)  |
| Conversion of liability award to equity award  | — | —   | —          | —     | 2,603     | —         | —      | —         | —           | 2,603     |
| Balance at December 31, 2013                   | — | —   | 19,040,890 | 253   | 274,202   | 336,578   | (164)  | 6,258,425 | (295,690)   | 315,179   |
| Net income                                     | — | —   | —          | —     | —         | 36,453    | —      | —         | —           | 36,453    |
| Other comprehensive loss                       | — | —   | —          | —     | —         | —         | 91     | —         | —           | 91        |
| Purchase of DineEquity common stock            | — | —   | (387,591)  | —     | —         | —         | —      | 387,591   | (32,006)    | (32,006)  |
| Reissuance of treasury stock                   | — | —   | 359,528    | —     | (4,793)   | —         | —      | (359,528) | 13,000      | 8,207     |
| Net issuance of shares pursuant to stock plans | — | —   | (20,767)   | (1)   | 1         | —         | —      | —         | —           | —         |
| Repurchase of restricted shares                | — | —   | (38,493)   | —     | (3,194)   | —         | —      | —         | —           | (3,194)   |
| Stock-based compensation                       | — | —   | —          | —     | 9,319     | —         | —      | —         | —           | 9,319     |
| Tax benefit from stock-based compensation      | — | —   | —          | —     | 4,316     | —         | —      | —         | —           | 4,316     |
| Dividends on common stock                      | — | —   | —          | —     | 95        | (59,387)  | —      | —         | —           | (59,292)  |
| Balance at December 31, 2014                   | — | \$— | 18,953,567 | \$252 | \$279,946 | \$313,644 | \$(73) | 6,286,488 | \$(314,696) | \$279,073 |

See the accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.



Edgar Filing: DineEquity, Inc - Form 10-K

DineEquity, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
 Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows  
 (In thousands)

|   | Year Ended December 31, |          |           |
|---|-------------------------|----------|-----------|
|   | 2014                    | 2013     | 2012      |
| Cash flows from operating activities  |                         |          |           |
| Net income  | \$36,453                | \$72,037 | \$127,674 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to cash flows provided by operating activities: |                         |          |           |
| Depreciation and amortization   | 34,745                  | 35,355   | 39,538    |
| Non-cash interest expense   | 5,770                   | 6,246    | 5,985     |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt  | 64,859                  | 58       | 5,554     |
| Closure and impairment charges  | 3,687                   | 2,195    | 3,931     |
| Deferred income taxes   | (30,236)                | (22,674) | (22,832)  |
| Non-cash stock-based compensation expense   | 9,319                   | 9,364    | 11,442    |
| Tax benefit from stock-based compensation   | 4,316                   | 3,690    | 6,814     |
| Excess tax benefit from stock options exercised                                     | (5,028)                 | (2,858)  | (5,669)   |
| Loss (gain) on disposition of assets  | 329                     | (223)    | (102,597) |
| Other   | (3,344)                 | (492)    | (8,991)   |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities:  |                         |          |           |
| Receivables   | (7,997)                 | (15,226) | (11,629)  |
| Current income tax receivables and payables   | (5,868)                 | 6,143    | 1,272     |
| Other current assets  | (1,771)                 | 9,334    | (9,119)   |
| Accounts payable  | 1,245                   | 8,532    | 1,778     |
| Accrued employee compensation and benefits  | 767                     | 2,521    | (3,756)   |
| Gift card liability   | 7,803                   | 10,266   | 14,735    |
| Other accrued expenses  | 3,475                   | 3,547    | (1,251)   |
| Cash flows provided by operating activities   | 118,524                 | 127,815  | 52,879    |
| Cash flows from investing activities  |                         |          |           |
| Additions to property and equipment   | (5,937)                 | (7,037)  | (16,952)  |
| Proceeds from sale of property and equipment and assets held for sale               | 681                     | —        | 168,881   |
| Principal receipts from notes, equipment contracts and other long-term receivables  | 15,284                  | 13,982   | 12,250    |
| Other   | 540                     | 58       | 1,238     |
| Cash flows provided by investing activities   | 10,568                  | 7,003    | 165,417   |
| Cash flows from financing activities  |                         |          |           |
| Borrowings under revolving credit facilities  | —                       | —        | 50,000    |
| Repayments under revolving credit facilities  | —                       | —        | (50,000)  |
| Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt  | 1,300,000               | —        | —         |
| Repayment of long-term debt (including premiums)                                    | (1,264,086)             | (4,800)  | (216,037) |
| Principal payments on capital lease and financing obligations                       | (11,825)                | (9,968)  | (10,849)  |
| Payment of debt modification/issuance costs   | (24,192)                | (1,296)  | —         |
| Dividends paid on common stock  | (42,733)                | (57,445) | —         |
| Repurchase of DineEquity common stock   | (32,006)                | (29,698) | —         |
| Repurchase of restricted stock  | (3,194)                 | (3,324)  | (1,740)   |
| Proceeds from stock options exercised   | 8,207                   | 9,080    | 9,254     |
| Excess tax benefit from stock options exercised                                     | 5,028                   | 2,858    | 5,669     |
| Change in restricted cash   | (66,298)                | 1,249    | (747)     |
| Cash flows used in financing activities   | (131,099)               | (93,344) | (214,450) |
| Net change in cash and cash equivalents   | (2,007)                 | 41,474   | 3,846     |

Edgar Filing: DineEquity, Inc - Form 10-K

|  |           |           |           |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year                       | 106,011   | 64,537    | 60,691    |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of year                             | \$104,004 | \$106,011 | \$64,537  |
| Supplemental disclosures   |           |           |           |
| Interest paid  | \$104,164 | \$106,784 | \$123,926 |
| Income taxes paid  | \$47,226  | \$50,702  | \$91,354  |
| See the accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements. |           |           |           |

65

---

DineEquity, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

1. The Company

The first International House of Pancakes® (“IHOP®”) restaurant opened in 1958 in Toluca Lake, California. Shortly thereafter, the Company began developing and franchising additional restaurants. The Company was incorporated as IHOP Corp. under the laws of the State of Delaware in 1976. In November 2007, the Company acquired Applebee's International, Inc., which became a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company. Effective June 2, 2008, the name of the Company was changed to DineEquity, Inc. (“DineEquity”). The Company owns, franchises and operates two restaurant concepts: Applebee's Neighborhood Grill and Bar® (“Applebee®”), in the bar and grill segment within the casual dining category of the restaurant industry, and IHOP in the family dining category of the restaurant industry.

As of December 31, 2014, there were a total of 1,650 IHOP restaurants, of which 1,472 were subject to franchise agreements, 167 were subject to area license agreements and 11 were company-operated restaurants. These IHOP restaurants were located in all 50 states of the United States, the District of Columbia, two United States territories and eight countries outside of the United States. As of December 31, 2014, there were a total of 2,017 Applebee's restaurants, of which 1,994 were subject to franchise agreements and 23 were company-operated restaurants. These Applebee's restaurants were located in 49 states of the United States, two United States territories and 15 countries outside of the United States.

References herein to Applebee's and IHOP restaurants are to these restaurant concepts, whether operated by franchisees, area licensees or the Company. Retail sales at restaurants that are owned by franchisees and area licensees are not attributable to the Company.

2. Basis of Presentation and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of DineEquity, Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. All intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Fiscal Periods

The Company has a 52/53 week fiscal year that ends on the Sunday nearest to December 31 of each year. In a 52-week fiscal year, each fiscal quarter contains 13 weeks, comprised of two, four-week fiscal months followed by a five-week fiscal month. In a 53-week fiscal year, the last month of the fourth fiscal quarter contains six weeks. For convenience, the Company refers to all fiscal years as ending on December 31 and fiscal quarters as ending on March 31, June 30 and September 30. The 2014, 2013 and 2012 fiscal years presented herein ended December 28, 2014, December 29, 2013 and December 30, 2012, respectively, and each contained 52 weeks.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with United States generally accepted accounting principles (“U.S. GAAP”) requires the Company's management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities, if any, at the date of the consolidated financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Significant estimates are made in the calculation and assessment of the following: (a) impairment of tangible and intangible assets, (b) income taxes, (c) allowance for doubtful accounts and notes receivables, (d) lease accounting estimates and (e) contingencies. On an ongoing basis, the Company evaluates its estimates based on historical experience, current conditions and various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. The Company adjusts such estimates and assumptions when facts and circumstances dictate. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Concentration of Credit Risk

The Company's cash, cash equivalents and accounts receivable are potentially subject to concentration of credit risk. Cash and cash equivalents are placed with financial institutions that management believes are creditworthy. The Company does not believe that it is exposed to any significant credit risk on cash and cash equivalents. At times, cash and cash equivalent balances may be in excess of FDIC insurance limits.

Accounts receivable are derived from revenues earned from franchisees and area licensees located primarily in the United States. Financing receivables arise from the financing of restaurant equipment, leases or franchise fees by IHOP franchisees. The Company is subject to a concentration of credit risk with respect to receivables from franchisees that own a large number of Applebee's or IHOP restaurants. As of December 31, 2014, there were 15 franchisees that owned 54 or more restaurants each

DineEquity, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

2. Basis of Presentation and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

(11 Applebee's franchisees and four IHOP franchisees). These franchisees operated 1,693 Applebee's and IHOP restaurants in the United States, which comprised 49% of the total Applebee's and IHOP franchise and area license restaurants in the United States. Receivables from these franchisees totaled \$50.7 million at December 31, 2014. The Company maintains an allowance for credit losses based upon historical experience while taking into account current economic conditions.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid investment securities with remaining maturities at the date of purchase of three months or less to be cash equivalents. These cash equivalents are stated at cost which approximates market value. Cash held related to IHOP advertising funds and the Company's gift card programs are classified as unrestricted cash as there are no legal restrictions on the use of these funds. Total cash balances related to the IHOP advertising funds and the Company's gift card programs were \$56.2 million and \$53.2 million as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Restricted Assets

Restricted Cash - Current

Current restricted cash of \$52.3 million as of December 31, 2014 consisted of \$52.1 million of funds required to be held in trust in connection with the Company's securitized debt arrangements and \$0.2 million of funds from Applebee's franchisees pursuant to franchise agreements, usage of which is restricted to advertising activities. Current restricted cash of \$0.7 million at December 31, 2013 related to funds from Applebee's franchisees pursuant to franchise agreements, usage of which is restricted to advertising activities.

Restricted Cash - Non-current

Non-current restricted cash of \$14.7 million as of December 31, 2014 represents interest reserves required to be set aside for the duration of the securitized debt and is included in other non-current assets, net in the consolidated balance sheets.

Other Restricted Assets

At December 31, 2014 and 2013, restricted assets related to a captive insurance subsidiary totaled \$1.2 million and \$1.9 million, respectively, and were included in other non-current assets, net in the consolidated balance sheets. The captive insurance subsidiary, which has not underwritten coverage since January 2006, was formed to provide insurance coverage to Applebee's and its franchisees. These restricted assets are primarily investments, use of which is restricted to the payment of insurance claims for incidents that occurred during the period the insurance coverage had been provided.

Investments

The Company's investments comprise certificates of deposit, money market funds and an auction rate security that are the restricted assets related to the captive insurance subsidiary. The Company has classified all investments as available-for-sale with any unrealized gain or loss included in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss. The contractual maturity of the auction rate security is 2030.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are stated at cost, net of accumulated depreciation. Properties under capital leases are stated at the present value of the minimum lease payments. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets or remaining useful lives. Leasehold improvements and properties under capital leases are amortized on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives or the lease term, if less. The Company has capitalized certain costs incurred in connection with the development of internal-use software which are included in equipment and fixtures and amortized over the expected useful life of the asset. The general ranges of depreciable and amortizable lives are as follows:

| Category                   | Depreciable Life |
|----------------------------|------------------|
| Buildings and improvements | 25 - 40 years    |

|                                 |  |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Leaseholds and improvements     | Shorter of primary lease term or between three to 40 years |
| Equipment and fixtures          | Two to 10 years  |
| Properties under capital leases | Primary lease term or remaining primary lease term         |

DineEquity, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

2. Basis of Presentation and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Long-Lived Assets

The Company evaluates the recoverability of its long-lived assets in accordance with U.S. GAAP. The Company tests impairment using historical cash flows and other relevant facts and circumstances as the primary basis for estimates of future cash flows. The Company considers factors such as the number of years a restaurant has been in operation, sales trends, cash flow trends, remaining lease life and other factors which apply on a case-by-case basis. The analysis is performed at the individual restaurant level for indicators of permanent impairment.

Recoverability of a restaurant's assets is measured by comparing the assets' carrying value to the undiscounted future cash flows expected to be generated over the assets' remaining useful life or remaining lease term, whichever is less. If the total expected undiscounted future cash flows are less than the carrying amount of the assets, this may be an indicator of impairment. If it is decided that there has been an impairment, the carrying amount of the asset is written down to the estimated fair value as determined in accordance with U.S. GAAP governing fair value measurements. The primary method of estimating fair value is based on a discounted cash flow analysis. A loss resulting from impairment is recognized as a charge against operations.

The Company may decide to close certain company-operated restaurants. Typically such decisions are based on operating performance or strategic considerations. In these instances, the Company reserves, or writes off, the full carrying value of these restaurants as impaired.

On a regular (at a minimum, semi-annual) basis, the Company assesses whether events or changes in circumstances have occurred that potentially indicate the carrying value of long-lived assets may not be recoverable. See Note 12, Closure and Impairment Charges.

Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Goodwill is recorded when the aggregate purchase price of an acquisition exceeds the estimated fair value of the net identified tangible and intangible assets acquired. Intangible assets resulting from the acquisition are accounted for using the purchase method of accounting and are estimated by management based on the fair value of the assets received. The Company's identifiable intangible assets are comprised primarily of the Applebee's tradename and Applebee's franchise agreements. Identifiable intangible assets with finite lives (franchise agreements, recipes and menus) are amortized over the period of estimated benefit using the straight-line method and estimated useful lives. Goodwill and intangible assets considered to have an indefinite life (primarily the Applebee's tradename) are not subject to amortization. The determination of indefinite life is subject to reassessment if changes in facts and circumstances indicate the period of benefit has become finite.

Goodwill was allocated to three reporting units, the Applebee's company-operated restaurants unit ("Applebee's company unit"), the Applebee's franchised restaurants unit ("Applebee's franchise unit") and the IHOP franchised restaurants unit ("IHOP franchise unit"), in accordance with U.S. GAAP. The significant majority of the Company's goodwill resulted from the November 29, 2007 acquisition of Applebee's and was allocated between the two Applebee's units. The goodwill allocated to the Applebee's company unit was fully impaired in 2008.

The Company performs a quantitative test for impairment of the goodwill of the Applebee's franchise unit and the tradename of the Applebee's company and franchise units as of October 31 of each year. The goodwill of the IHOP franchise unit is assessed qualitatively as of December 31 of each year. In addition to the annual test of impairment, goodwill and indefinite life intangible assets are evaluated more frequently if the Company believes indicators of impairment exist. Such indicators include, but are not limited to, events or circumstances such as a significant adverse change in the business climate, unanticipated competition, a loss of key personnel, adverse legal or regulatory developments or a significant decline in the market price of the Company's common stock.

In the process of the annual quantitative test of goodwill, the Company primarily uses the income approach method of valuation that includes the discounted cash flow method as well as other generally accepted valuation methodologies to determine the fair value of goodwill and intangible assets. Significant assumptions used to determine fair value under the discounted cash flow model include future trends in sales, operating expenses, overhead expenses, capital

expenditures and changes in working capital, along with an appropriate discount rate based on the Company's estimated cost of equity capital and after-tax cost of debt. The first step of the quantitative impairment test compares the fair value of each of the reporting units to their carrying value. If the fair value is in excess of the carrying value, no impairment exists. If the first step does indicate impairment, a second step must take place. Under the second step, the fair value of the assets and liabilities of the reporting unit are estimated as if the reporting unit were acquired in a business combination. The excess of the fair value of the reporting unit



DineEquity, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

2. Basis of Presentation and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

over the amounts assigned to its assets and liabilities is the implied fair value of the goodwill, to which the carrying value of the goodwill must be adjusted.

In the process of the Company's annual impairment review of the tradename, the most significant indefinite life intangible asset, the Company primarily uses the relief of royalty method under income approach method of valuation. Significant assumptions used to determine fair value under the relief of royalty method include future trends in sales, a royalty rate and a discount rate to be applied to the forecast revenue stream.

There were no impairments of goodwill or intangible assets recorded in 2014, 2013 or 2012.

Revenue Recognition

The Company's revenues are recorded in four categories: franchise operations, company restaurant operations, rental operations and financing operations.

Franchise operations revenue consists primarily of royalty revenues, sales of proprietary IHOP products, IHOP advertising fees and the portion of the franchise fees allocated to the Company's intellectual property. Company restaurant sales are retail sales at company-operated restaurants. Rental operations revenue includes revenue from operating leases and interest income from direct financing leases. Financing operations revenue consists primarily of interest income from the financing of franchise fees and equipment leases, as well as sales of equipment associated with refranchised IHOP restaurants.

Revenues from franchised and area licensed restaurants include royalties, continuing rent and service fees and initial franchise fees. Royalties are recognized in the period in which the sales are reported to have been earned, which occurs at the franchisees' point of sale. Continuing rent and fees are recognized in the period earned. Initial franchise fees are recognized upon the opening of a restaurant, which is when the Company has performed substantially all initial services required by the franchise agreement. Fees from development agreements are deferred and recorded into income as restaurants under the development agreement are opened.

Sales by company-operated restaurants are recognized when food and beverage items are sold. Company restaurant sales are reported net of sales taxes collected from guests that are remitted to the appropriate taxing authorities.

The Company records a liability in the period in which a gift card is sold and recognizes costs associated with our administration of the gift card programs as prepaid assets when the costs are incurred. As gift cards are redeemed, the liability and prepaid asset are reduced. When gift cards are redeemed at a franchisee-operated restaurant, the revenue and related administrative costs are recognized by the franchisee. The Company recognizes revenue and related administrative costs when gift cards are redeemed at company-operated restaurants. The Company recognizes gift card breakage income on gift cards when the assessment of the likelihood of redemption of the gift card becomes remote. This assessment is based upon Applebee's and IHOP's individual historical experience with gift card redemptions in their own program. The Company recorded gift card breakage revenue of \$0.1 million, \$0.2 million and \$1.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The decline from 2012 to 2013 was due to the decrease in the number of Applebee's company-operated restaurants.

Allowance for Credit Losses

The allowance for doubtful accounts is the Company's best estimate of the amount of probable credit losses in existing receivables; however, changes in circumstances relating to receivables may result in additional allowances in the future. The Company determines the allowance based on historical experience, current payment patterns, future obligations and the Company's assessment of the franchisee's or area licensee's ability to pay outstanding balances. The primary indicator of credit quality is delinquency, which is considered to be a receivable balance greater than 90 days past due. The Company continually reviews the allowance for doubtful accounts. Past due balances and future obligations are reviewed individually for collectability. Account balances are charged against the allowance after all collection efforts have been exhausted and the potential for recovery is considered remote.

Leases

The Company is the lessor or sub-lessor of the properties on which 709 IHOP restaurants and one Applebee's restaurant are located. The restaurants are subleased to franchisees or, in a few instances, are operated by the Company. The Company's IHOP leases generally provide for an initial term of 15 to 25 years, with most having one or more five-year renewal options at the Company's option. In addition, the Company leases a majority of its Applebee's company-operated restaurants. The Applebee's company-operated leases generally have an initial term of 10 to 20 years, with renewal terms of five to 20 years, and provide

DineEquity, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

2. Basis of Presentation and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

for a fixed rental plus, in certain instances, percentage rentals based on gross sales. The rental payments or receipts on leases that meet the operating lease criteria are recorded as rental expense or rental income, respectively. Rental expense and rental income for these operating leases are recognized on the straight-line basis over the original terms of the leases. Any difference between straight-line rent expense or income and actual amounts paid or received represents deferred rent and is included in the consolidated balance sheets as other assets or other liabilities, as appropriate.

The rental payments or receipts on those property leases that meet the capital lease criteria result in the recognition of interest expense or interest income and a reduction of capital lease obligation or financing lease receivable, respectively. Capital lease obligations are amortized based on the Company's incremental borrowing rate and direct financing leases are amortized using the implicit interest rate.

The lease term used for straight-line rent expense is calculated from the date the Company obtains possession of the leased premises through the lease termination date. The Company records rent from the possession date through restaurant open date as expense. Once a restaurant opens for business, the Company records straight-line rent over the lease term plus contingent rent to the extent it exceeded the minimum rent obligation per the lease agreement. The Company uses a consistent lease term when calculating depreciation of leasehold improvements, when determining straight-line rent expense and when determining classification of its leases as either operating or capital. For leases that contain rent escalations, the Company records the total rent payable during the lease term, as determined above, on the straight-line basis over the term of the lease (including the rent holiday period beginning upon our possession of the premises), and records the difference between the minimum rents paid and the straight-line rent as a lease obligation. Certain leases contain provisions that require additional rental payments based upon restaurant sales volume ("contingent rent"). Contingent rentals are accrued each period as the liabilities are incurred, in addition to the straight-line rent expense noted above.

Certain lease agreements contain tenant improvement allowances, rent holidays and lease premiums, which are amortized over the shorter of the estimated useful life or lease term. For tenant improvement allowances, the Company also records a deferred rent liability or an obligation in non-current liabilities on the consolidated balance sheets and amortizes the deferred rent over the term of the lease as a reduction to company restaurant expenses in the consolidated statements of comprehensive income.

Pre-opening Expenses

Expenditures related to the opening of new or relocated restaurants are charged to expense when incurred.

Advertising

Franchise fees designated for IHOP's national advertising fund and local marketing and advertising cooperatives are recognized as revenue as the fees are earned and become receivables from the franchisee in accordance with U.S. GAAP governing the accounting for franchise fee revenue. In accordance with U.S. GAAP governing advertising costs, related advertising obligations are accrued and the costs expensed at the same time the related revenue is recognized. Due to different contractual terms in Applebee's marketing agreements, franchise fees designated for Applebee's national advertising fund and local advertising cooperatives constitute agency transactions and are not recognized as revenues and expenses. In both cases, the advertising fees are recorded as a liability against which specific costs are charged. Advertising fees included in IHOP franchise revenue and expense for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 were \$90.3 million, \$79.5 million and \$76.4 million, respectively.

Advertising expense reflected in the consolidated statements of comprehensive income includes local marketing advertising costs incurred by company-operated restaurants, contributions to the national advertising fund made by Applebee's and IHOP company-operated restaurants and certain advertising costs incurred by the Company to benefit future franchise operations. Costs of advertising are expensed either as incurred or the first time the advertising takes place. Advertising expense included in company restaurant operations for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 was \$2.9 million, \$2.9 million and \$13.1 million, respectively. The decline from 2012 to 2013 was due to

the decrease in the number of Applebee's company-operated restaurants.

70

---

DineEquity, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

2. Basis of Presentation and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Fair Value Measurements

The Company determines the fair market values of its financial assets and liabilities, as well as non-financial assets and liabilities that are recognized or disclosed at fair value on a recurring basis, based on the fair value hierarchy established in U.S. GAAP. As necessary, the Company measures its financial assets and liabilities using inputs from the following three levels of the fair value hierarchy:

• Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

• Level 2 inputs are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, including quoted prices in active markets for similar assets or liabilities.

• Level 3 inputs are unobservable and reflect the Company's own assumptions.

The Company does not have a material amount of financial assets or liabilities that are required under U.S. GAAP to be measured at fair value on either a recurring or non-recurring basis. None of the Company's non-financial assets or non-financial liabilities is required to be measured at fair value on a recurring basis. The Company has not elected to use fair value measurement for any assets or liabilities for which fair value measurement is not presently required.

The Company believes the fair values of cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable and the current portion of long-term debt approximate their carrying amounts due to their short duration.

The fair values of non-current financial instruments, determined based on Level 2 inputs, are shown in the following table:

|   | December 31, 2014 |            | December 31, 2013 |            |
|---|-------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|
|   | Carrying Amount   | Fair Value | Carrying Amount   | Fair Value |
|   | (In millions)     |            |                   |            |
| Long-term debt, less current maturities | \$1,300.0         | \$1,302.0  | \$1,203.5         | \$1,306.2  |

Income Taxes

The Company utilizes the liability method of accounting for income taxes. Under the liability method, deferred taxes are determined based on the temporary differences between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates. A valuation allowance is recorded when it is more likely than not that some or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The Company also determines its tax contingencies in accordance with U.S. GAAP governing the accounting for contingencies. The Company records estimated tax liabilities to the extent the contingencies are probable and can be reasonably estimated. The Company recognizes interest accrued related to unrecognized tax benefits and penalties as a component of the income tax provision recognized in the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income.

The Company recognizes the tax benefit from an uncertain tax position only if it is more likely than not that the tax position will be sustained upon examination by taxing authorities including all appeals or litigation processes, based on its technical merits. The tax benefits recognized in the financial statements from such a position are measured based on the largest benefit that has a greater than fifty percent likelihood of being realized upon ultimate resolution. For each reporting period, management applies a consistent methodology to measure and adjust all uncertain tax positions based on the available information.

Stock-Based Compensation

Members of the Board of Directors and certain employees are eligible to receive stock options, restricted stock, restricted stock units and performance units pursuant to the DineEquity, Inc. 2011 Stock Incentive Plan. The Company

accounts for all stock-based payments to employees and non-employee directors, including grants of stock options, restricted stock and restricted stock units to be recognized in the financial statements, based on their respective grant date fair values. The value of the portion of the award that is ultimately expected to vest is recognized as expense ratably over the requisite service periods. The Company reports the benefits of tax deductions in excess of recognized compensation cost as a financing cash flow.

The grant date fair value of restricted stock and stock-settled restricted stock units is determined based on the Company's stock price on the grant date. The Company estimates the grant date fair value of stock option awards using the Black-Scholes option pricing model, which considers, among other factors, a risk-free interest rate, the expected life of the award and the

DineEquity, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

2. Basis of Presentation and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

historical volatility of the Company's stock price. Cash-settled awards are classified as liabilities with the liability and compensation expense related to cash-settled awards adjusted to fair value at each balance sheet date.

Net Income Per Share

Net income per share is calculated using the two-step method prescribed in U.S. GAAP. Basic net income per share is computed by dividing the net income available to common stockholders for the period by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net income per share is computed by dividing the net income (loss) available to common stockholders for the period by the weighted average number of common shares and potential shares of common stock outstanding during the period if their effect is dilutive. The Company uses the treasury stock method to calculate the weighted average shares used in the diluted earnings per share calculation. Potentially dilutive common shares include the assumed exercise of stock options, assumed vesting of restricted stock and, during fiscal years in which Series B Preferred Stock was outstanding, assumed conversion of Series B Preferred Stock using the if-converted method.

Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

For the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, the income tax benefit or provision allocated to items of other comprehensive income was not significant.

Treasury Stock

The Company may from time to time utilize treasury stock when vested stock options are exercised, when restricted stock awards are granted and when restricted stock units settle in stock upon vesting. The cost of treasury stock re-issued is determined using the first-in, first-out method.

Business Segments

The Company identifies its reporting segments based on the organizational units used by management to monitor performance and make operating decisions. These reporting segments are as follows: franchise operations, company restaurant operations, rental operations and financing operations. Within the franchise and company restaurant segments, the Company operates two different restaurant concepts, Applebee's and IHOP. Applebee's has no material rental or financing operations.

Franchise Segment

As of December 31, 2014, the franchise operations segment consisted of 1,994 restaurants operated by Applebee's franchisees in the United States, two United States territories and 15 countries outside of the United States and 1,639 restaurants operated by IHOP franchisees and area licensees in the United States, two United States territories and eight countries outside of the United States. Franchise operations revenue consists primarily of franchise royalty revenues, sales of proprietary products (primarily IHOP pancake and waffle dry-mixes) and the portion of the franchise fees allocated to IHOP and Applebee's intellectual property. Additionally, franchise fees designated for IHOP's national advertising fund and local marketing and advertising cooperatives are recognized as revenue and expense of franchise operations; however, due to different contractual terms in Applebee's marketing agreements, Applebee's national advertising fund activity constitutes agency transactions and therefore is not recognized as franchise revenue and expense.

Franchise operations expenses include IHOP advertising expense, the cost of proprietary products, pre-opening training expenses and other franchise-related costs.

Company Segment

As of December 31, 2014, the company restaurant operations segment consisted of 23 Applebee's company-operated restaurants, 10 IHOP company-operated restaurants and one IHOP restaurant reacquired from franchisees and operated by the Company on a temporary basis until refranchised. All company-operated restaurants are located in the United States.

Company restaurant sales are retail sales at company-operated restaurants. Company restaurant expenses are operating expenses at company-operated restaurants and include food, beverage, labor, benefits, utilities, rent and other

operating costs.

#### Rental Segment

Rental operations revenue includes revenue from operating leases and interest income from direct financing leases. Rental operations expenses are costs of operating leases and interest expense of capital leases on franchisee-operated restaurants. The rental operations revenue and expenses are primarily generated by IHOP. Applebee's has an insignificant amount of rental activity related to one property that was retained after refranchising a company-operated restaurant.

72

---



DineEquity, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

2. Basis of Presentation and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Financing Segment

Financing operations revenue primarily consists of interest income from the financing of IHOP franchise fees and equipment leases, as well as sales of equipment associated with refranchised IHOP restaurants. Financing expenses are the cost of restaurant equipment.

Recently Adopted Accounting Standards

The Company adopted the following accounting standards as of January 1, 2014:

Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) No. 2013-04, Obligations Resulting from Joint and Several Liability Arrangements for Which the Total Amount of the Obligation Is Fixed at the Reporting Date (“ASU 2013-04”). The amendments in ASU 2013-04 require an entity to measure obligations resulting from joint and several liability arrangements as the amount the entity agreed to pay on the basis of the arrangement among its co-obligors plus the amount an entity expects to pay on behalf of co-obligors. ASU 2013-04 also requires an entity to disclose the nature, amount and other information about each obligation or group of similar obligations.

ASU No. 2013-11, Income Taxes - Presentation of an Unrecognized Tax Benefit When a Net Operating Loss Carryforward, a Similar Tax Loss, or a Tax Credit Carryforward Exists (“ASU 2013-11”). ASU 2013-11 provides guidance on the financial statement presentation of an unrecognized tax benefit, as either a reduction of a deferred tax asset or as a liability, when a net operating loss carryforward, similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward exists.

The adoption of these standards did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In April 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued ASU No. 2014-08, Reporting Discontinued Operations and Disclosures of Disposals of Components of an Entity (“ASU 2014-08”). The amendments in ASU 2014-08 change the criteria for the reporting of discontinued operations. Under ASU 2014-08, only disposals resulting in a strategic shift that will have a major effect on an entity's operations and financial results will be reported as discontinued operations. ASU 2014-08 also removes the requirement under current U.S. GAAP that an entity not have any significant continuing involvement in the operations of the component after disposal to qualify for reporting of the disposal as a discontinued operation. The Company will be required to apply the provisions of ASU 2014-08 prospectively to all disposals of components beginning with its first fiscal quarter of 2015. Early adoption is permitted for any disposal transaction not previously reported.

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (“ASU 2014-09”). The core principle of ASU 2014-09 is that an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. ASU 2014-09 also requires additional disclosures about the nature, amount, timing and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from customer contracts. The Company will be required to apply the provisions of ASU 2014-09 beginning with its first fiscal quarter of 2017, either retrospectively to each period presented or as a cumulative-effect adjustment as of the date of adoption; early adoption is not permitted. The guidance in ASU 2014-09 supersedes nearly all of the existing general revenue recognition guidance under U.S. GAAP as well as most industry-specific revenue recognition guidance, including guidance with respect to revenue recognition by franchisors. The Company believes the recognition of the majority of its revenues, including franchise royalty revenues, sales of IHOP pancake and waffle dry mix and retail sales at company-operated restaurants will not be affected by ASU 2014-09. Additionally, lease rental revenues are not within the scope of ASU 2014-09 guidance. The Company is currently evaluating the effect that ASU 2014-09 will have on its financial statements and related

disclosures and which method of adoption will be used.

The Company reviewed all other newly issued accounting pronouncements and concluded that they either are not applicable to the Company's operations or that no material effect is expected on the Company's financial statements as a result of future adoption.

DineEquity, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

## 3. Receivables

|                                       | 2014          | 2013     |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|----------|
|                                       | (In millions) |          |
| Accounts receivable                   | \$65.9        | \$59.4   |
| Gift card receivables                 | 69.0          | 68.3     |
| Notes receivable                      | 1.9           | 1.2      |
| Financing receivables:                |               |          |
| Equipment leases receivable           | 107.3         | 115.1    |
| Direct financing leases receivable    | 81.6          | 88.6     |
| Franchise fee notes receivable        | 1.3           | 1.7      |
| Other                                 | 10.3          | 10.6     |
|                                       | 337.3         | 344.8    |
| Less: allowance for doubtful accounts | (2.9          | ) (3.5   |
|                                       | 334.4         | 341.3    |
| Less: current portion                 | (153.5        | ) (144.1 |
| Long-term receivables                 | \$180.9       | \$197.2  |

Accounts receivable primarily includes receivables due from franchisees and distributors. Gift card receivables consist primarily of amounts due from third-party vendors. Interest is not charged on gift card receivables.

Financing receivables primarily relate to IHOP franchise development activity prior to 2003 when IHOP typically leased or purchased the restaurant site, built and equipped the restaurant then franchised the restaurant to a franchisee. IHOP provided the financing for the franchise fee, leasing of the equipment and the leasing or subleasing of the site. Equipment lease contracts are due in equal weekly installments, primarily bear interest averaging 9.8% per annum at both December 31, 2014 and 2013 and are collateralized by the equipment. The term of an equipment lease contract coincides with the term of the corresponding restaurant building lease. The IHOP franchise fee notes have a term of five to eight years and are due in equal weekly installments, primarily bear interest averaging 6.4% and 6.6% per annum at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and are collateralized by the franchise. Where applicable, franchise fee notes, equipment contracts and building leases contain cross-default provisions wherein a default under one constitutes a default under all. There is not a disproportionate concentration of credit risk in any geographic area. The primary indicator of the credit quality of financing receivables is delinquency. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, approximately \$0.4 million of financing receivables were delinquent more than 90 days.

The following table summarizes the activity in the allowance for doubtful accounts:

| Allowance for Doubtful Accounts | (In millions) |
|---------------------------------|---------------|
| Balance at December 31, 2011    | \$3.6         |
| Provision                       | 0.5           |
| Charge-offs                     | (1.9          |
| Recoveries                      | 0.5           |
| Balance at December 31, 2012    | 2.7           |
| Provision                       | 1.5           |
| Charge-offs                     | (0.7          |
| Recoveries                      | 0.0           |
| Balance at December 31, 2013    | 3.5           |
| Provision                       | 0.5           |
| Charge-offs                     | (1.1          |
| Balance at December 31, 2014    | \$2.9         |

As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, approximately \$0.3 million of the allowance for doubtful accounts in each year related to financing receivables.

DineEquity, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

4. Property and Equipment

Property and equipment by category is as follows:

|   | 2014          | 2013     |
|---|---------------|----------|
|   | (In millions) |          |
| Land  | \$59.2        | \$63.8   |
| Buildings and improvements                      | 58.7          | 60.1     |
| Leaseholds and improvements                     | 258.3         | 274.9    |
| Equipment and fixtures                          | 78.4          | 81.8     |
| Construction in progress                        | 5.1           | 3.6      |
| Properties under capital lease                  | 59.2          | 60.0     |
| Property and equipment, gross                   | 518.9         | 544.3    |
| Less: accumulated depreciation and amortization | (277.7        | ) (270.0 |
| Property and equipment, net                     | \$241.2       | \$274.3  |

The Company recorded depreciation expense on property and equipment of \$22.7 million, \$23.1 million and \$27.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Accumulated depreciation and amortization includes accumulated amortization for properties under capital lease in the amount of \$36.8 million and \$34.7 million at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

5. Goodwill

The significant majority of the Company's goodwill and other intangible assets arose from the November 29, 2007 acquisition of Applebee's. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, the balance of goodwill was \$697.5 million, of which \$686.7 million has been allocated to the Applebee's franchise reporting unit and \$10.8 million to the IHOP franchise reporting unit.

In accordance with U.S. GAAP, goodwill must be evaluated for impairment, at a minimum, on an annual basis, and more frequently if the Company believes indicators of impairment exist. Such indicators include, but are not limited to, events or circumstances such as a significant adverse change in the business climate, unanticipated competition, a loss of key personnel, adverse legal or regulatory developments, or a significant decline in the market price of the Company's common stock. In the process of the Company's annual impairment review, the Company primarily uses the income approach method of valuation that utilizes a discounted cash flow model to estimate the fair value of its reporting units. Significant assumptions used to determine fair value under the discounted cash flows model include future trends in sales, operating expenses, overhead expenses, depreciation, capital expenditures, and changes in working capital, along with an appropriate discount rate.

During the fiscal years ended 2014 and 2013, the Company made periodic assessments as to whether there were indicators of impairment, particularly with respect to the significant assumptions underlying the discounted cash flow model, and determined an interim test of goodwill was not warranted. Accordingly, the Company performed a quantitative test for impairment of goodwill of the Applebee's franchise reporting unit in the fourth quarter of 2014 and 2013. In the first step of each year's impairment test, the estimated fair value of the Applebee's franchising unit exceeded the carrying values and the Company concluded there was no impairment of goodwill. The Company performed a qualitative assessment of the goodwill of the IHOP franchise reporting unit and concluded there was no impairment of goodwill.

DineEquity, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

6. Other Intangible Assets

As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, intangible assets were as follows:

|                              | Not Subject to Amortization |                 |        | Subject to Amortization |                   |            | Total   |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|--------|-------------------------|-------------------|------------|---------|
|                              | Tradename                   | Liquor Licenses | Other  | Franchising Rights      | Recipes and Menus | Leaseholds |         |
|                              | (In millions)               |                 |        |                         |                   |            |         |
| Balance at December 31, 2011 | \$652.4                     | \$1.5           | \$0.5  | \$159.3                 | \$6.6             | \$2.1      | \$822.4 |
| Amortization expense         | —                           | —               | —      | (10.0 )                 | (2.3 )            | (0.2 )     | (12.5 ) |
| Refranchising                | —                           | (1.5 )          | (0.1 ) | (0.3 )                  | —                 | (1.9 )     | (3.8 )  |
| Other                        | —                           | —               | —      | —                       | —                 | —          | —       |
| Balance at December 31, 2012 | 652.4                       | —               | 0.4    | 149.0                   | 4.3               | —          | 806.1   |
| Amortization expense         | —                           | —               | —      | (10.0 )                 | (2.3 )            | —          | (12.3 ) |
| Other                        | —                           | —               | 0.3    | —                       | —                 | —          | 0.3     |
| Balance at December 31, 2013 | 652.4                       | —               | 0.7    | 139.0                   | 2.0               | —          | 794.1   |
| Amortization expense         | —                           | —               | —      | (10.0 )                 | (2.0 )            | —          | (12.0 ) |
| Other                        | —                           | —               | 0.2    | —                       | —                 | —          | 0.2     |
| Balance at December 31, 2014 | \$652.4                     | \$—             | \$0.9  | \$129.0                 | \$—               | \$—        | \$782.3 |

Annual amortization expense for the next five fiscal years is estimated to be approximately \$10.0 million per year. The weighted average life of the intangible assets subject to amortization was 20 years at December 31, 2014 and 2013.

Gross and net carrying amounts of intangible assets subject to amortization at December 31, 2014 and 2013 are as follows:

|                    | December 31, 2014 |                          |         | December 31, 2013 |                          |         |
|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|---------|-------------------|--------------------------|---------|
|                    | Gross             | Accumulated Amortization | Net     | Gross             | Accumulated Amortization | Net     |
|                    | (In millions)     |                          |         |                   |                          |         |
| Franchising rights | \$200.0           | \$(71.0 )                | \$129.0 | \$200.0           | \$(61.0 )                | \$139.0 |
| Recipes and menus  | 15.7              | (15.7 )                  | —       | 15.7              | (13.7 )                  | 2.0     |
| Leaseholds/other   | 0.3               | (0.3 )                   | —       | 0.3               | (0.3 )                   | —       |
| Total              | \$216.0           | \$(87.0 )                | \$129.0 | \$216.0           | \$(75.0 )                | \$141.0 |

7. Long-Term Debt

Long-term debt consists of the following components:

|   | 2014          | 2013    |
|---|---------------|---------|
|   | (In millions) |         |
| Series 2014-1 Class A-2 4.227% Fixed Rate Senior Secured Notes  | \$1,300.0     | \$—     |
| Senior Secured Credit Facility, due October 2017, at a variable interest rate of 3.75% and 4.25% as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively | —             | 467.2   |
| Senior Notes due October 2018, at a fixed rate of 9.5%  | —             | 760.8   |
| Discount  | —             | (19.7 ) |
| Total debt  | 1,300.0       | 1,208.2 |
| Less: current maturities  | —             | (4.7 )  |

|                |           |           |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|
| Long-term debt | \$1,300.0 | \$1,203.5 |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|

Long-Term Debt Outstanding at December 31, 2014

On September 30, 2014, Applebee’s Funding LLC and IHOP Funding LLC (each a “Co-Issuer”), each a special purpose, wholly-owned indirect subsidiary of the Company issued \$1.3 billion of Series 2014-1 4.277% Fixed Rate Senior Notes, Class A-2 (the “Class A-2 Notes”) in an offering exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. The Co-Issuers also entered into a revolving financing facility of Series 2014-1 Variable Funding Senior Notes Class A-1 (the “Variable Funding Notes”), which allows for drawings of up to \$100 million of Variable Funding Notes and the issuance of letters of

DineEquity, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

7. Long-Term Debt (Continued)

credit. The Class A-2 Notes and the Variable Funding Notes are referred to collectively as the “Notes.” The Notes were issued in a securitization transaction pursuant to which substantially all of our domestic revenue-generating assets and our domestic intellectual property, are held by the Co-Issuers and certain other special-purpose, wholly-owned indirect subsidiaries of the Company (the “Guarantors”) that act as guarantors of the Notes and that have pledged substantially all of their assets to secure the Notes.

Class A-2 Notes

The Notes were issued under a Base Indenture, dated September 30, 2014 (the “Base Indenture”) and the related Series 2014-1 Supplement to the Base Indenture, dated September 30, 2014 (the “Series 2014-1 Supplement”), among the Co-Issuers and Citibank, N.A., as the trustee (in such capacity, the “Trustee”) and securities intermediary. The Base Indenture and the Series 2014-1 Supplement (collectively, the “Indenture”) will allow the Co-Issuers to issue additional series of notes in the future subject to certain conditions set forth therein.

While the Notes are outstanding, payment of principal and interest is required to be made on the Class A-2 Notes on a quarterly basis. The payment of principal on the Class A-2 Notes may be suspended when the leverage ratio for the Company and its subsidiaries is less than or equal to 5.25x. In general, the leverage ratio is our indebtedness (assuming all variable funding facilities are fully drawn) divided by adjusted EBITDA for the four preceding quarterly periods. As of December 31, 2014, the Company's leverage ratio was 4.8x; accordingly, no principal payment on the Class A-2 Notes was required.

The legal final maturity of the Class A-2 Notes is in September 2044, but it is anticipated that, unless earlier prepaid to the extent permitted under the Indenture, the Class A-2 Notes will be repaid in September 2021 (the “Class A-2 Anticipated Repayment Date”). If the Co-Issuers have not repaid or refinanced the Class A-2 Notes prior to the Class A-2 Anticipated Repayment Date, additional interest will accrue on the Class A-2 Notes equal to the greater of (i) 5.00% per annum and (ii) a per annum interest rate equal to the amount, if any, by which the sum of the following exceeds the Class A-2 Note interest rate: (A) the yield to maturity (adjusted to a quarterly bond-equivalent basis) on the Class A-2 Anticipated Repayment Date of the United States Treasury Security having a term closest to 10 years plus (B) 5.00% plus (C) 2.150%.

The Notes are secured by the collateral described below under “Guarantees and Collateral.”

Variable Funding Notes

In connection with the issuance of the Class A-2 Notes, the Co-Issuers also entered into a revolving financing facility that allows for the drawings of up to \$100 million of Variable Funding Notes and the issuance of letters of credit. The Variable Funding Notes were issued under the Indenture and allow for drawings on a revolving basis. Drawings and certain additional terms related to the Variable Funding Notes are governed by the Class A-1 Note Purchase Agreement dated as of September 30, 2014 (the “Variable Funding Note Purchase Agreement”), among the Co-Issuers, the Guarantors, certain conduit investors, financial institutions and funding agents, and Cooperatieve Centrale Raiffeisen-Boerenleenbank, B.A. (“Rabobank Nederland”), New York Branch, as provider of letters of credit, as swingline lender and as administrative agent.

The Variable Funding Notes will be governed, in part, by the Variable Funding Note Purchase Agreement and by certain generally applicable terms contained in the Indenture. Depending on the type of borrowing by the Co-Issuers,



the applicable interest rate under the Variable Funding Notes is calculated at a per annum rate equal to (a) LIBOR plus 2.50%, (b) (i) the greatest of (x) the prime rate, (y) the federal funds effective rate plus 0.50% or (z) a daily rate equal to one month LIBOR plus 0.5% plus (ii) 2.00% or (c) the lenders' commercial paper funding rate plus 2.50%. There is a scaled commitment fee based on the unused portion of the Variable Funding Notes facility of between 50 to 100 basis points. It is anticipated that the principal and interest on the Variable Funding Notes will be repaid in full on or prior to September 2019 (the "VFN Anticipated Repayment Date"), subject to two additional one-year extensions at the option of the Company, which acts as the manager (as described below), upon the satisfaction of certain conditions. Following the VFN Anticipated Repayment Date (and any extensions thereof), additional interest will accrue on the Variable Funding Notes equal to 5.00% per annum. The Variable Funding Notes and other credit instruments issued under the Variable Funding Note Purchase Agreement are secured by the collateral described below under "Guarantees and Collateral."

The Company did not draw on the Variable Funding Notes during 2014. As of December 31, 2014 there were no amounts outstanding under the Revolving Facility; however, available borrowing capacity under the Variable Funding Notes was reduced by \$9.6 million of letters of credit outstanding as of December 31, 2014.

DineEquity, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

7. Long-Term Debt (Continued)

Guarantees and Collateral

Under the Guarantee and Collateral Agreement dated September 30, 2014 (the “Guarantee and Collateral Agreement”), among the Guarantors in favor of the Trustee, the Guarantors guarantee the obligations of the Co-Issuers under the Indenture and related documents and secure the guarantee by granting a security interest in substantially all of their assets.

The Notes are secured by a security interest in substantially all of the assets of the Co-Issuers and the Guarantors (collectively, the “Securitization Entities”). On September 30, 2014, these assets (the “Securitized Assets”) generally included substantially all of the domestic revenue-generating assets of the Corporation and its subsidiaries, which principally consist of franchise agreements, area license agreements, development agreements, franchisee fee notes, equipment leases, agreements related to the production and sale of pancake and waffle dry-mixes, owned and leased real property and intellectual property.

The Notes are obligations only of the Co-Issuers pursuant to the Indenture and are unconditionally and irrevocably guaranteed by the Guarantors pursuant to the Guarantee and Collateral Agreement. Except as described below, neither we nor any of our subsidiaries, other than the Securitization Entities, will guarantee or in any way be liable for the obligations of the Co-Issuers under the Indenture or the Notes.

Covenants and Restrictions

The Notes are subject to a series of covenants and restrictions customary for transactions of this type, including (i) that the Co-Issuers maintain specified reserve accounts to be used to make required payments in respect of the Notes, (ii) provisions relating to optional and mandatory prepayments, and the related payment of specified amounts, including specified make-whole payments in the case of the Class A-2 Notes under certain circumstances, (iii) certain indemnification payments in the event, among other things, the transfers of the assets pledged as collateral for the Notes are in stated ways defective or ineffective and (iv) covenants relating to recordkeeping, access to information and similar matters. The Notes are also subject to customary rapid amortization events provided for in the Indenture, including events tied to failure of the Securitization Entities to maintain the stated debt service coverage (“DSCR”) ratio, the sum of domestic retail sales for all restaurants being below certain levels on certain measurement dates, certain manager termination events, certain events of default and the failure to repay or refinance the Notes on the Class A-2 Anticipated Repayment Date. The Notes are also subject to certain customary events of default, including events relating to non-payment of required interest, principal or other amounts due on or with respect to the Notes, failure of the Securitization Entities to maintain the stated debt service coverage ratio, failure to comply with covenants within certain time frames, certain bankruptcy events, breaches of specified representations and warranties and certain judgments.

The DSCR ratio is Net Cash Flow for the four quarters preceding the calculation date divided by the total debt service payments of the preceding four quarters. Failure to maintain a prescribed DSCR ratio can trigger a Cash Trapping Event, A Rapid Amortization Event, a Manager Termination Event or a Default Event as described below. In a Cash Trapping Event, the Trustee is required to retain a certain percentage of cash flow in a restricted account. In a Rapid Amortization Event, all excess Cash Flow is retained and used to retire principal amounts of debt. Key DSCR ratios are as follows:

- DSCR less than 1.75x but equal to or greater than 1.50x - Cash Trapping Event, 50% of Net Cash Flow
- DSCR less than 1.50x - Cash Trapping Event, 100% of Net Cash Flow
- DSCR less than 1.30x - Rapid Amortization Event
- DSCR less than 1.20x - Manager Termination Event
- DSCR less than 1.10x - Default Event

The DSCR for the reporting period ended December 31, 2014 was 4.9x.

#### Deferred Financing Costs

The Company incurred costs of approximately \$24.3 million in connection with the issuance of the Notes. These deferred financing costs will be amortized using the effective interest method over estimated life of the Notes. Amortization of these deferred financing costs of \$0.8 million was included in interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2014. Unamortized deferred financing costs of \$23.5 million was included as other non-current assets, net in the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2014.

DineEquity, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

7. Long-Term Debt (Continued)

Weighted Average Effective Interest Rate

Taking into account the deferred financing costs that were amortized as additional non-cash interest expense, the weighted average effective interest rate for the Notes as of December 31, 2014 was 4.45%.

Maturities of Long-term Debt

The Class A-2 Anticipated Repayment Date is in September 2021.

Long-Term Debt Outstanding at December 31, 2013

Senior Secured Credit Facility

On October 8, 2010, the Company entered into a Credit Agreement, by and among the Company, a group of lenders and other financial institutions party thereto (the "Credit Agreement"). The Credit Agreement established a senior secured credit facility (the "Credit Facility") that consisted of a \$900.0 million senior secured term loan facility maturing in October 2017 (the "Term Facility") and a \$50.0 million senior secured revolving credit facility maturing in October 2015 (the "Revolving Facility"). The Revolving Facility originally provided for borrowings up to \$50.0 million, with sub-limits for the issuance of letters of credit and for swing-line borrowings, and could be used for general corporate purposes, including working capital, permitted acquisitions, capital expenditures, dividends and investments. The Credit Agreement also provided for an uncommitted incremental facility that permitted the Company, subject to certain conditions, to increase the Credit Facility by up to \$250.0 million, provided that the aggregate amount of the commitments under the Revolving Facility did not exceed \$150.0 million. See "Amendments to Credit Agreement". The Company did not utilize the Revolving Facility during 2014 or 2013. On September 30, 2014, the Company repaid the entire outstanding principal balance of \$463.6 million of the Credit Facility. See "2014 Refinancing of Long-term Debt."

Interest Rate

Loans made under the Term Facility ("Term Loans") and the Revolving Facility ("Revolving Loans") bore interest, at the Company's option, at an annual rate equal to (i) a LIBOR-based rate (originally subject to a floor of 1.50%) plus a margin (originally 4.50%) or (ii) the base rate (the "Base Rate") (originally subject to a floor of 2.50%) which was equal to the highest of (a) the federal funds rate plus 0.50%, (b) the prime rate and (c) the one month LIBOR rate (originally subject to a floor of 1.50%) plus 1.00%, plus a margin of 3.50%. The margin for the Revolving Facility was subject to debt leverage-based step-downs. Both the Term Facility and the Revolving Facility were subject to upfront fees of 1.00% of the principal amount thereof. See "Amendments to Credit Agreement".

Amendments to Credit Agreement

On February 25, 2011, the Company entered into Amendment No. 1 ("Amendment No. 1") to the Credit Agreement. Pursuant to Amendment No. 1, the interest rate margin applicable to LIBOR-based Term Loans was reduced from 4.50% to 3.00%, and the interest rate floors used to determine the LIBOR and Base Rate reference rates for Term Loans was reduced from 1.50% to 1.25% for LIBOR-based Term Loans and from 2.50% to 2.25% for Base Rate-denominated Term Loans. In addition, Amendment No. 1 increased the lender commitments under the Revolving Facility from \$50.0 million to \$75.0 million. Amendment No. 1 also modified certain restrictive covenants of the Credit Agreement, including those relating to repurchases of other debt securities, permitted acquisitions and payments on equity.

The Company paid \$12.3 million in fees and costs related to Amendment No. 1, of which \$7.4 million in fees paid to lenders was recorded as additional discount on debt and \$0.8 million of costs related to the increase in the Revolving Facility was recorded as deferred financing costs. Fees paid to third parties of \$4.0 million were charged against income.

On February 4, 2013, the Company entered into Amendment No. 2 ("Amendment No. 2") to the Credit Agreement. Pursuant to Amendment No. 2, the interest rate margin applicable to LIBOR-based Term Loans was reduced from 3.00% to 2.75%, and the interest rate floors used to determine the LIBOR and Base Rate reference rates for Term Loans was reduced from 1.25% to 1.00% for LIBOR-based Term Loans and from 2.25% to 2.00% for Base

Rate-denominated Term Loans. The interest rate margin for Revolving Loans was reduced from 3.50% to 1.75% for Base Rate loans and from 4.50% to 2.75% LIBOR Rate loans. The commitment fee for the unused portion of the Revolving Facility was reduced from 0.75% to 0.50% and, if the consolidated leverage ratio was reduced below 4.75:1, from 0.50% to 0.375%.

DineEquity, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

7. Long-Term Debt (Continued)

In addition, Amendment No. 2 established the following consolidated leverage ratio thresholds for excess cash flow prepayments: 50% if the consolidated leverage ratio is 5.75:1 or greater; 25% if the consolidated leverage ratio is less than 5.75:1 and greater than or equal to 5.25:1; and 0% if the consolidated leverage ratio is less than 5.25:1.

Amendment No. 2 revised the definition of excess cash flow to eliminate the deduction for any extraordinary receipts or disposition proceeds. Finally, Amendment No. 2 revised the definition of certain permitted payments so that the calculation of allowable restricted payments is performed on a quarterly basis instead of an annual basis that was required prior to Amendment No. 2. All other material provisions, including maturity and covenants under the Credit Agreement, remain unchanged.

Fees of \$1.3 million paid to third parties in connection with Amendment No. 2 were included as "Debt modification costs" in the Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Guarantees

The loans made under the Credit Agreement were guaranteed by the Company's domestic wholly-owned restricted subsidiaries, other than immaterial subsidiaries (the "Prior Guarantors"), and were secured by a perfected first priority security interest in substantially all of the tangible and intangible assets of the Company and the Prior Guarantors, including, without limitation, (i) substantially all personal, real and mixed property, (ii) all intercompany debt owing to the Company and the Prior Guarantors and (iii) 100% of the equity interests held by the Company and each of the Prior Guarantors (with customary limits for foreign subsidiaries), subject to certain customary exceptions.

Mandatory Prepayments

Term Loans under the Credit Agreement were subject to the following prepayment requirements:

Mandatory prepayments equal to 0.25% of the aggregate principal amount of the New Term Loan had to be made on a quarterly basis (1.0% for a fiscal year); and

50% of excess cash flow (as defined in the Credit Agreement or amendments thereto) if the consolidated leverage ratio is 5.75:1 or greater; 25% if the consolidated leverage ratio is less than 5.75:1 and greater than or equal to 5.25:1; and 0% if the consolidated leverage ratio is less than 5.25:1.

The Credit Agreement permitted the Company to purchase loans under the Term Facility pursuant to customary Dutch auction provisions and subject to customary conditions and limitations.

Covenants/Restrictions

The Credit Agreement required the Company to comply with certain financial covenants, including a minimum consolidated interest coverage ratio and a maximum consolidated leverage ratio, in each case, commencing with the fiscal quarter ending March 31, 2011. The Credit Agreement also included certain negative covenants customary for transactions of this type, that restricted the ability of the Company and the Company's existing and future restricted subsidiaries to, among other things, modify material agreements and/or incur additional debt, incur liens, make certain investments and acquisitions, make fundamental changes, transfer and sell assets, pay dividends and make distributions, modify the nature of the Company's business, enter into agreements with shareholders and affiliates, enter into burdensome agreements, change the Company's fiscal year, make capital expenditures and prepay certain indebtedness, subject to certain customary exceptions, including carve-outs and baskets.

The Credit Agreement contained certain customary representations and warranties, affirmative covenants and events of default, including change of control provisions and cross-defaults to other debt. Upon the occurrence of an event of default, the lenders, by a majority vote, had the ability to direct the Administrative Agent to terminate the loan commitments, accelerate all loans and exercise any of the lenders' other rights under the Credit Agreement and the related loan documents on behalf of the lenders.

9.5% Senior Notes due 2018

On October 19, 2010, the Company issued \$825.0 million aggregate principal amount of its 9.5% Senior Notes due October 30, 2018 (the "Senior Notes") pursuant to an Indenture (the "Senior Note Indenture"), by and among the

Company, the Guarantors and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as trustee (the "Trustee"). The Senior Notes were unsecured senior

80

---

DineEquity, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

7. Long-Term Debt (Continued)

obligations of the Company and were jointly and severally guaranteed on a senior unsecured basis by the Guarantors under the Credit Agreement. The Senior Notes were repaid on October 30, 2014. See “2014 Refinancing of Long-term Debt.”

Prepayment

The Company could redeem the Senior Notes for cash in whole or in part, at any time or from time to time, on and after October 30, 2014, at specified redemption premiums, plus accrued and unpaid interest, as specified in the Indenture. In addition, prior to October 30, 2014, the Company could redeem the Senior Notes for cash in whole or in part, at any time and from time to time, at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest and a “make-whole” premium, as specified in the Indenture. The make-whole payment was \$36.1 million as of October 30, 2014.

In addition, prior to October 30, 2013, the Company could redeem up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of Senior Notes issued with the net proceeds raised in one or more equity offerings. If the Company underwent a change of control under certain circumstances, the Company could have been required to offer to purchase the Senior Notes at a purchase price equal to 101% of the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest. If the Company sells assets under certain circumstances, the Company could have been required to offer to purchase the Senior Notes at a purchase price equal to 100% of the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest.

Covenants/Restrictions

The Senior Note Indenture limited the ability of the Company and its restricted subsidiaries to incur additional indebtedness (excluding certain indebtedness under the Credit Facility), issue certain preferred shares, pay dividends and make other equity distributions, purchase or redeem capital stock, make certain investments, create certain liens on its assets to secure certain debt, enter into certain transactions with affiliates, agree to any restrictions on the ability of the Company's restricted subsidiaries to make payments to the Company, merge or consolidate with another company, transfer and sell assets, engage in business other than certain permitted businesses and designate its subsidiaries as unrestricted subsidiaries, in each case as set forth in the Senior Note Indenture. These covenants were subject to a number of important limitations, qualifications and exceptions, including that during any time that the Notes maintain investment grade ratings, certain of these covenants will not be applicable to the Notes.

The Senior Note Indenture also contained customary event of default provisions including, among others, the following: default in the payment of the principal of the Notes when the same becomes due and payable; default for 30 days in the payment when due of interest on the Notes; failure to comply with certain covenants in the Indenture, in some cases without notice from the Trustee or the holders of Notes; and certain events of bankruptcy or insolvency with respect to the Company or any significant restricted subsidiary, in each case as set forth in the Senior Note Indenture. In the case of an event of default, other than a bankruptcy default with respect to the Company, the Trustee or the holders of at least 25% in aggregate principal amount of the Notes then outstanding, by written notice to the Company (and to the Trustee if the notice is given by the holders of the Notes), could, and the Trustee at the written request of the holders of at least 25% in aggregate principal amount of the Notes then outstanding would, declare the principal of and accrued interest on the Notes to be immediately due and payable.

Restricted Payments

The Credit Agreement contained covenants considered customary for similar types of facilities that limit certain permitted restricted payments, including those related to dividends on and repurchases of our common stock. Such restricted payments were limited to a cumulative amount comprised of (i) a general restricted payments allowance of \$35.0 million, plus (ii) 50% of Excess Cash Flow for each fiscal quarter in which the consolidated leverage ratio is greater than 5.75:1; (iii) 75% of Excess Cash Flow for each fiscal quarter if the consolidated leverage ratio is less than 5.75:1 and greater than or equal to 5.25:1; (iv) 100% of Excess Cash Flow for each fiscal quarter in which the consolidated leverage ratio is less than 5.25:1; and (v) proceeds from the exercise of options to purchase our common stock, less any amounts paid as dividends or to repurchase our common stock.



The Senior Note Indenture also contained a limitation on restricted payments that is calculated on an annual basis. Such restricted payments were limited to a cumulative amount comprised of (i) 50% of consolidated net income (as defined in the Indenture), plus (ii) proceeds from exercise of stock options, less (iii) restricted payments made.

81

---

DineEquity, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

7. Long-Term Debt (Continued)

Deferred Financing Costs

In connection with the Credit Agreement and the issuance of the Senior Notes, the Company recorded approximately \$28.2 million of deferred financing costs. In connection with the increase to the Revolving Credit Facility, the Company recorded an additional \$0.8 million of deferred financing costs. These deferred financing costs are being amortized using the effective interest method over the estimated life of the related debt. Amortization of the deferred financing costs associated with the Credit Agreement and the issuance of the Senior Notes included in interest expense for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 was \$2.2 million, \$2.7 million and \$2.6 million, respectively. Additionally, \$2.3 million of deferred issuance costs were written off in connection with debt retirement for the year ended December 31, 2012 and is reflected in the loss on extinguishment of debt in the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income.

As of December 31, 2013, \$14.0 million of deferred financing costs associated with the Credit Agreement and the issuance of the Senior Notes was reported as other non-current assets, net in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Discount on Debt

The Company recorded a discount on debt from the October 2010 Refinancing of \$29.6 million. In connection with Amendment No. 1, the Company recorded an additional discount of \$7.4 million. The discount on debt reflected the difference between the proceeds received from the issuance of the debt and the face amount to be repaid over the life of the debt. The discount will be amortized as additional interest expense over the weighted average estimated life of the debt under the effective interest method. For the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012, \$2.8 million, \$3.5 million and \$3.4 million, respectively, of the discount was amortized as additional interest expense under the effective interest method. Additionally, \$2.7 million was written off in connection with debt retirement for the year ended December 31, 2012 and was reflected in the loss on extinguishment of debt in the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income.

2014 Refinancing of Long-term Debt

On September 30, 2014, the Company repaid the entire outstanding principal balance of \$463.6 million of the Credit Facility; there were no premiums or penalties associated with the repayment. On October 30, 2014, after a required 30-day notice period, the Company repaid the entire outstanding \$760.8 million principal balance of Senior Notes, along with a required make-whole premium for early repayment of \$36.1 million. All of our obligations under the Credit Facility and the Senior Notes terminated upon the respective repayments thereof.

This transaction was accounted for as an extinguishment of debt under U.S. GAAP. We recognized a loss on debt extinguishment of \$64.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, comprised of the \$36.1 million make-whole premium on the Senior Notes and the write-off of the unamortized debt discount and the issuance costs associated with the extinguished debt of \$16.9 million and \$11.9 million, respectively.

8. Financing Obligations

On May 19, 2008, the Company entered into a Purchase and Sale Agreement relating to the sale and leaseback of 181 parcels of real property (the "Sale-Leaseback Transaction"), each of which is improved with a restaurant operating as an Applebee's Neighborhood Grill and Bar (the "Properties"). On June 13, 2008, the closing date of the Sale-Leaseback Transaction, the Company entered into a Master Land and Building Lease ("Master Lease") for the Properties. The proceeds received from the transaction were \$337.2 million. The Master Lease calls for an initial term of twenty years and four, five-year options to extend the term.

The Company has an ongoing obligation related to the Properties until such time as the lease related to each of the Properties is assigned to a qualified franchisee in a transaction meeting certain parameters set forth in the Master

Lease. Due to this continuing involvement, the Sale-Leaseback Transaction was recorded under the financing method in accordance with U.S. GAAP. Accordingly, the value of the land and leasehold improvements will remain on the Company's books and the leasehold improvements will continue to be depreciated over their remaining useful lives. The net proceeds received were recorded as a financing obligation. A portion of the lease payments is recorded as a decrease to the financing obligation and a portion is recognized as interest expense. In the event the lease obligation of any individual property or group of properties is assumed by a qualified franchisee, the Company's continuing involvement will cease. At that time, that portion of the transaction related to that property or group of properties is expected to be recorded as a sale in accordance with U.S. GAAP and the net book value of those properties will be removed from the Company's books, along with a ratable portion of the remaining financing obligation.

DineEquity, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

8. Financing Obligations (Continued)

As of December 31, 2014, the Company's continuing involvement with 152 of the 181 Properties ended by assignment of the lease obligation to a qualified franchisee or a release from the lessor. In accordance with the accounting described above, the transactions related to these properties have been recorded as a sale with property and equipment and financing obligations each cumulatively reduced by approximately \$270.6 million.

As of December 31, 2014, future minimum lease payments under financing obligations during the initial terms of the leases related to the sale-leaseback transactions are as follows:

| Fiscal Years                         | (In millions) |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|
| 2015 <sup>(1)</sup>                  | \$5.4         |
| 2016                                 | 5.0           |
| 2017 <sup>(1)</sup>                  | 4.6           |
| 2018                                 | 5.2           |
| 2019                                 | 5.5           |
| Thereafter                           | 75.8          |
| Total minimum lease payments         | 101.5         |
| Less: interest                       | (58.8 )       |
| Total financing obligations          | 42.7          |
| Less: current portion <sup>(2)</sup> | (0.1 )        |
| Long-term financing obligations      | \$42.6        |

(1) Due to the varying closing date of the Company's fiscal year, 13 monthly payments will be made in fiscal 2015 and 11 monthly payments in fiscal 2017.

(2) Included in current maturities of capital lease and financing obligations on the consolidated balance sheet.

9. Leases

The Company is the lessor or sub-lessor of approximately half of all IHOP franchise restaurants. The restaurants are subleased to IHOP franchisees or in a few instances operated by the Company. These noncancelable leases and subleases consist primarily of land, buildings and improvements.

The following is the Company's net investment in direct financing lease receivables:

|  | December 31,  |         |
|--|---------------|---------|
|  | 2014          | 2013    |
|  | (In millions) |         |
| Total minimum rents receivable                       | \$126.7       | \$144.8 |
| Less: unearned income                                | (45.1 )       | (56.2 ) |
| Net investment in direct financing lease receivables | 81.6          | 88.6    |
| Less: current portion                                | (8.0 )        | (7.0 )  |
| Long-term direct financing lease receivables         | \$73.6        | \$81.6  |

Contingent rental income, which is the amount above and beyond base rent, for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 was \$14.1 million, \$12.7 million and \$12.5 million, respectively.

The following is the Company's net investment in equipment leases receivable:

|  | December 31,  |         |
|--|---------------|---------|
|  | 2014          | 2013    |
|  | (In millions) |         |
| Total minimum leases receivable                | \$165.4       | \$184.2 |
| Less: unearned income                          | (58.1 )       | (69.1 ) |
| Net investment in equipment leases receivables | 107.3         | 115.1   |

Edgar Filing: DineEquity, Inc - Form 10-K

|                                       |        |         |   |
|---------------------------------------|--------|---------|---|
| Less: current portion                 | (7.4   | ) (7.1  | ) |
| Long-term equipment leases receivable | \$99.9 | \$108.0 |   |

The following are minimum future lease payments on noncancelable leases as lessee at December 31, 2014:

83

---

DineEquity, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

## 9. Leases (Continued)

|                                      | Capital<br>Leases<br>(In millions) | Operating<br>Leases |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|
| 2015 <sup>(1)</sup>                  | \$26.2                             | \$83.5              |
| 2016                                 | 24.0                               | 75.9                |
| 2017 <sup>(1)</sup>                  | 21.4                               | 68.4                |
| 2018                                 | 20.6                               | 72.6                |
| 2019                                 | 17.2                               | 68.4                |
| Thereafter                           | 58.7                               | 328.5               |
| Total minimum lease payments         | 168.1                              | \$697.3             |
| Less: interest                       | (55.3                              | )                   |
| Capital lease obligations            | 112.8                              |                     |
| Less: current portion <sup>(2)</sup> | (14.7                              | )                   |
| Long-term capital lease obligations  | \$98.1                             |                     |

<sup>(1)</sup> Due to the varying closing date of the Company's fiscal year, 13 monthly payments will be made in fiscal 2015 and 11 monthly payments in fiscal 2017.

<sup>(2)</sup> Included in current maturities of capital lease and financing obligations on the consolidated balance sheet.

The asset cost and carrying amount on company-owned property leased at December 31, 2014 was \$90.1 million and \$64.5 million, respectively. The asset cost and carrying amount on company-owned property leased at December 31, 2013, was \$90.1 million and \$66.1 million, respectively. The asset cost and carrying amounts represent the land and building asset values and net book values on sites leased to franchisees.

The minimum future lease payments shown above have not been reduced by the following future minimum rents to be received on noncancelable subleases and leases of owned property at December 31, 2014:

|                                | Direct<br>Financing<br>Leases<br>(In millions) | Operating<br>Leases |
|--------------------------------|--|---------------------|
| 2015 <sup>(1)</sup>            | \$18.3   | \$101.5             |
| 2016                           | 17.8   | 99.7                |
| 2017 <sup>(1)</sup>            | 17.9   | 99.6                |
| 2018                           | 17.5   | 98.9                |
| 2019                           | 16.3   | 97.1                |
| Thereafter                     | 38.9   | 555.8               |
| Total minimum rents receivable | \$126.7  | \$1,052.6           |

<sup>(1)</sup> Due to the varying closing date of the Company's fiscal year, 13 monthly payments will be made in fiscal 2015 and 11 monthly payments in fiscal 2017.

The Company has noncancelable leases, expiring at various dates through 2032, which require payment of contingent rents based upon a percentage of sales of the related restaurant as well as property taxes, insurance and other charges. Subleases to franchisees of properties under such leases are generally for the full term of the lease obligation at rents that include the Company's obligations for property taxes, insurance, contingent rents and other charges. Generally, the noncancelable leases include renewal options. Contingent rent expense for all noncancelable leases for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 was \$2.8 million, \$2.8 million and \$2.7 million, respectively. Minimum

rent expense for all noncancelable operating leases for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 was \$75.9 million, \$75.4 million and \$78.0 million, respectively.

#### 10. Commitments and Contingencies

##### Purchase Commitments

In some instances, the Company enters into commitments to purchase advertising and other items. Most of these agreements are fixed price purchase commitments. At December 31, 2014, the outstanding purchase commitments were \$108.4 million, the majority of which related to advertising.

DineEquity, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

10. Commitments and Contingencies (Continued)

Lease Guarantees

In connection with the sale of Applebee's restaurants to franchisees and other parties, the Company has, in certain cases, guaranteed or had potential continuing liability for lease payments. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, the Company has outstanding lease guarantees or is contingently liable for approximately \$378.1 million and \$417.8 million, respectively. This amount represents the maximum potential liability of future payments under these leases. These leases have been assigned to the buyers and expire at the end of the respective lease terms, which range from 2014 through 2048. In the event of default, the indemnity and default clauses in our sale or assignment agreements govern our ability to pursue and recover damages incurred. No material liabilities have been recorded as of December 31, 2014.

Litigation, Claims and Disputes

The Company is subject to various lawsuits, governmental inspections, administrative proceedings, audits, and claims arising in the ordinary course of business. Some of these lawsuits purport to be class actions and/or seek substantial damages. The Company is required to record an accrual for litigation loss contingencies that are both probable and reasonably estimable. Legal fees and expenses associated with the defense of all of the Company's litigation are expensed as such fees and expenses are incurred. In the opinion of management, these matters are adequately covered by insurance or, if not so covered, are without merit or are of such a nature or involve amounts that would not have a material adverse impact on the Company's business or consolidated financial statements. Management regularly assesses the Company's insurance deductibles, analyzes litigation information with the Company's attorneys and evaluates its loss experience in connection with pending legal proceedings. While the Company does not presently believe that any of the legal proceedings to which the Company is currently a party will ultimately have a material adverse impact on the Company, there can be no assurance that the Company will prevail in all the proceedings the Company is party to, or that the Company will not incur material losses from them.

Letters of Credit

The Company provides letters of credit, primarily to various insurance carriers to collateralize obligations for outstanding claims. As of December 31, 2014, the Company had approximately \$9.6 million of unused letters of credit outstanding. These letters expire on various dates in 2015 and are automatically renewed for an additional year if no cancellation notice is submitted.

11. Stockholders' Equity

Preferred Stock

Series B Convertible Preferred Stock

On November 29, 2007, the Company issued and sold 35,000 shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock for an aggregate purchase price of \$35.0 million in cash. Total issuance costs were approximately \$0.8 million. All of the shares were sold to affiliates of Chilton Investment Company, LLC (collectively, "Chilton") pursuant to a purchase agreement dated as of July 15, 2007. The shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock ranked (i) senior to the common stock, and any series of preferred stock specifically designated as junior to the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock, with respect to the payment of dividends and distributions, in a liquidation, dissolution or winding up, and upon any other distribution of the Company's assets; and (ii) on a parity with all other series of preferred stock, with respect to the payment of dividends and distributions, in a liquidation, dissolution or winding up, and upon any other distribution of the Company's assets.

Each share of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock had an initial stated value of \$1,000, that increased at the rate of 6.0% per annum, compounded quarterly, commencing on the issue date of such share of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock to and including the earlier of (i) the date of liquidation, dissolution or winding up or the redemption of such share, or (ii) the date such share is converted into the Company's common stock. The stated value of a share as so accreted as of any date was referred to as the accreted value of the share as of that date. The Series B Convertible



Preferred Stock entitled the holders thereof to receive certain dividends and distributions to the extent that any dividends or distributions paid on the Company's common stock exceeded the annual accretion on the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock. Holders of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock were entitled to vote on all matters (including the election of directors) submitted to the holders of the Company's common stock, as a single class with the holders of the Company's common stock, with each share of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock having one vote per share of the Company's common stock then issuable upon conversion of such share of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock.

At any time and from time to time, any holder of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock could convert all or any portion of the Series B Convertible Stock held by such holder into a number of shares of the Company's common stock computed by

DineEquity, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

11. Stockholders' Equity (Continued)

multiplying (i) each \$1,000 of aggregate accreted value of the shares to be converted by (ii) the conversion rate then in effect (which initially was 14.44878 shares of common stock per \$1,000 of accreted value, but subject to customary anti-dilution adjustments).

The Company also entered into a registration rights agreement, dated as of November 29, 2007, with Chilton pursuant to which the Company granted Chilton certain registration rights with respect to the shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock issued to Chilton and the shares of common stock issuable upon conversion.

In January 2011, 100 shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock with an accreted value of approximately \$120,000 were converted by the holder into 1,737 shares of the Company's common stock. On November 29, 2012, the fifth anniversary of the issue date, the remaining 34,900 outstanding shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock, with an accreted value of approximately \$47.0 million, were automatically converted into 679,168 shares of the Company's common stock.

On December 14, 2012, the Company filed a Certificate of Elimination of the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock with the Secretary of State of the State of Delaware to eliminate its Series B Convertible Preferred Stock. The Certificate of Elimination, effective upon filing, had the effect of eliminating from the Corporation's Restated Certificate of Incorporation, as amended, all matters set forth in the Certificate of Designations of the Series B Preferred Stock with respect to such series, which was previously filed by the Corporation with the Secretary of State on November 29, 2007.

Stock Repurchase Programs

On February 26, 2013, the Company's Board of Directors approved a stock repurchase authorization of up to \$100 million of DineEquity common stock (the "2013 Authorization"). On October 27, 2014, the Company's Board of Directors approved increasing the share repurchase authorization back to the previous level of \$100 million (the "2014 Authorization"). During the year ended December 31, 2014, the Company purchased 367,256 shares of our common stock under the 2013 authorization for a total of \$30.0 million and 20,335 shares under the 2014 Authorization for a total of \$2.0 million. The Company may repurchase up to an additional \$98.0 million of our common stock under the 2014 Authorization.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company repurchased 412,022 shares of stock for \$29.7 million. There were no stock repurchases in 2012.

Treasury Stock

Repurchases of DineEquity common stock are included in treasury stock at the cost of shares repurchased plus any transaction costs. Treasury stock may be re-issued when vested stock options are exercised, when restricted stock awards are granted and when restricted stock units settle in stock upon vesting. The cost of treasury stock re-issued is determined on the first-in, first-out ("FIFO") method. The Company re-issued 359,528 shares, 318,644 shares and 433,732 shares, respectively, during the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 at a total FIFO cost of \$13.0 million, \$11.7 million and \$14.1 million, respectively.

DineEquity, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

11. Stockholders' Equity (Continued)

Dividends

During the fiscal years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, the Company declared and paid dividends on our common stock as follows:

| Year ended December 31, 2014 | Declaration date  | Payment date       | Dividend per share | Total <sup>(1)</sup> |
|------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
|                              |                   |                    |                    | (In millions)        |
| First quarter                | February 25, 2014 | March 28, 2014     | \$0.75             | \$14.3               |
| Second quarter               | May 28, 2014      | June 27, 2014      | 0.75               | 14.3                 |
| Third quarter                | August 4, 2014    | September 26, 2014 | 0.75               | 14.2                 |
| Fourth quarter               | October 27, 2014  | (2)                | 0.875              | —                    |
| Total                        |                   |                    | \$3.125            | \$42.8               |
| Year ended December 31, 2013 |                   |                    |                    |                      |
| First quarter                | February 26, 2013 | March 29, 2013     | \$0.75             | \$14.6               |
| Second quarter               | May 14, 2013      | June 28, 2013      | 0.75               | 14.4                 |
| Third quarter                | August 2, 2013    | September 27, 2013 | 0.75               | 14.3                 |
| Fourth quarter               | October 3, 2013   | December 27, 2013  | 0.75               | 14.3                 |
| Total                        |                   |                    | \$3.00             | \$57.6               |

(1) Includes dividend equivalents paid on restricted stock units

(2) The fourth quarter 2014 dividend of \$16.6 million was paid on January 9, 2015.

On February 24, 2015, the Company's Board of Directors approved payment of a cash dividend of \$0.875 per share of common stock, payable at the close of business on April 10, 2015 to the stockholders of record as of the close of business on March 13, 2015.

There were no dividends declared or paid on common shares in 2012.

12. Closure and Impairment Charges

Closure and impairment charges for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 were as follows:

|                                      | Year Ended December 31, |       |       |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------|-------|
|                                      | 2014                    | 2013  | 2012  |
|                                      | (In millions)           |       |       |
| Closure charges                      | \$2.1                   | \$1.0 | \$2.3 |
| Long-lived tangible asset impairment | 1.6                     | 0.8   | 1.9   |
| Total closure and impairment charges | \$3.7                   | \$1.8 | \$4.2 |

Closure Charges

Approximately \$1.0 million of the closure charges for the year ended December 31, 2014 related to IHOP restaurants closed during 2014 with the remainder primarily related to adjustments to the estimated reserve for IHOP and Applebee's restaurants closed prior to 2014. Closure charges for the year ended December 31, 2013 primarily related to adjustments to the estimated reserve for closed surplus IHOP and Applebee's restaurants. Closure charges for the year ended December 31, 2012 primarily related to the closure of one IHOP restaurant that was taken back from the franchisee operator and to adjustments to the estimated reserve for previously closed surplus IHOP properties.

Long-lived Tangible Asset Impairment

Long-lived tangible asset impairment charges for the year ended December 31, 2014 related primarily to two IHOP company-operated restaurants in the Cincinnati, Ohio area. The Company evaluated the causal factors of all impairments of long-lived assets as they were recorded during 2014 and concluded they were based on factors specific to each asset and not potential indicators of an impairment of other long-lived assets.

87

---

DineEquity, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

12. Closure and Impairment Charges (Continued)

Long-lived tangible asset impairment charges for the year ended December 31, 2013 related to three Applebee's company-operated restaurants in the Kansas City, Missouri area. The Company evaluated the causal factors of all impairments of long-lived assets as they were recorded during 2013 and concluded they were based on factors specific to each asset and not potential indicators of an impairment of other long-lived assets.

Long-lived tangible asset impairment charges for the year ended December 31, 2012 related to equipment at five IHOP restaurants that were taken back from the franchisee operator and subsequently refranchised and to a parcel of land previously intended for future restaurant development. The Company evaluated the causal factors of all impairments of long-lived assets as they were recorded during 2012 and concluded they were based on factors specific to each asset and not potential indicators of an impairment of other long-lived assets.

13. Stock-Based Incentive Plans

General Description

Currently, the Company is authorized to grant stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, cash-settled and stock-settled restricted stock units and performance units to officers, other employees and non-employee directors under the DineEquity, Inc. 2011 Stock Incentive Plan (the "2011 Plan"). The 2011 Plan was approved by stockholders on May 17, 2011 and permits the issuance of up to 1,500,000 shares of the Company's common stock for incentive stock awards. The 2011 Plan will expire in May 2021.

The IHOP Corp. 2001 Stock Incentive Plan (the "2001 Plan") was adopted in 2001 and amended and restated in 2005 and 2008 to authorize the issuance of up to 4,200,000 shares of common stock. The 2001 Plan has expired but there are stock options issued under the 2001 Plan outstanding as of December 31, 2014.

The Stock Option Plan for Non-Employee Directors (the "Directors Plan") was adopted in 1994 and amended and restated in 1999 to authorize the issuance of up to 400,000 shares of common stock pursuant to options to non-employee directors. The Directors Plan has expired but there are stock options issued under the Directors Plan outstanding as of December 31, 2014.

The 2011 Plan, the 2001 Plan and the Directors Plan are collectively referred to as the "Plans."

Stock-Based Compensation Expense

From time to time, the Company has granted nonqualified stock options, restricted stock, cash-settled and stock-settled restricted stock units and performance units to officers, other employees and non-employee directors of the Company under the Plans. The nonqualified stock options generally vest ratably over a three-year period in one-third increments and have a maturity of ten years from the grant date. Options vest immediately upon a change in control of the Company, as defined in the Plans. Option exercise prices equal the closing price of the Company's common stock on the New York Stock Exchange on the date of grant. Restricted stock and restricted stock units are issued at no cost to the holder and vest over terms determined by the Compensation Committee of the Company's Board of Directors, generally three years from the date of grant or immediately upon a change in control of the Company, as defined in the Plans. The Company either utilizes treasury stock or issues new shares from its authorized but unissued share pool when vested stock options are exercised, when restricted stock awards are granted and when restricted stock units settle in stock upon vesting.

The following table summarizes the Company's stock-based compensation expense included as a component of general and administrative expenses in the consolidated financial statements:

| Year Ended December 31, |      |      |
|-------------------------|------|------|
| 2014                    | 2013 | 2012 |
| (In millions)           |      |      |

Total stock-based compensation expense:

Edgar Filing: DineEquity, Inc - Form 10-K

|  |       |        |        |   |
|--|-------|--------|--------|---|
| Equity classified awards                           | \$9.4 | \$9.4  | \$11.4 |   |
| Liability classified awards                        | 2.4   | 0.9    | 4.8    |   |
| Total pre-tax stock-based compensation expense     | 11.8  | 10.3   | 16.3   |   |
| Tax benefit  | (4.5  | ) (3.9 | ) (6.2 | ) |
| Total stock-based compensation expense, net of tax | \$7.3 | \$6.4  | \$10.1 |   |

88

---

DineEquity, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

13. Stock-Based Incentive Plans (Continued)

As of December 31, 2014, total unrecognized compensation cost related to restricted stock and restricted stock units of \$9.1 million and \$3.2 million related to stock options is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of approximately 1.49 years for restricted stock and restricted stock units and 1.37 years for stock options.

Equity Classified Awards - Stock Options

The per share fair values of the stock options granted have been estimated as of the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The Black-Scholes model considers, among other factors, the expected life of the option and the historical volatility of the Company's stock price. The Black-Scholes model meets the requirements of U.S. GAAP, but the fair values generated by the model may not be indicative of the actual fair values of the Company's stock-based awards. The following table summarizes the assumptions used to value options granted in the respective periods:

|  |         |         |         |   |
|--|---------|---------|---------|---|
|  | 2014    | 2013    | 2012    |   |
| Risk free interest rate                        | 1.6     | % 0.8   | % 0.9   | % |
| Weighted average historical volatility         | 51.1    | % 83.4  | % 84.5  | % |
| Dividend yield                                 | 3.7     | % 4.2   | % —     | % |
| Expected years until exercise                  | 4.6     | 4.6     | 4.7     |   |
| Forfeitures                                    | 11.0    | % 11.0  | % 11.0  | % |
| Weighted average fair value of options granted | \$26.87 | \$36.00 | \$33.53 |   |

Stock option activity for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 is summarized as follows:

|  | Number of<br>Shares Under<br>Option | Weighted<br>Average<br>Exercise Price<br>Per Share | Weighted<br>Average<br>Remaining<br>Contractual<br>Term (in<br>Years) | Aggregate<br>Intrinsic<br>Value (in<br>Millions) |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Outstanding at December 31, 2011                 | 1,318,640                           | \$32.06  |   |  |
| Granted  | 147,674                             | 51.63  |   |  |
| Exercised  | (455,217)                           | ) 20.91  |   |  |
| Forfeited  | (39,381)                            | ) 46.97  |   |  |
| Expired  | (13,470)                            | ) 38.64  |   |  |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2012                 | 958,246                             | 39.67  |   |  |
| Granted  | 81,328                              | 72.28  |   |  |
| Exercised  | (225,272)                           | ) 40.31  |   |  |
| Forfeited  | (39,243)                            | ) 55.78  |   |  |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2013                 | 775,059                             | 42.09  |   |  |
| Granted  | 120,932                             | 81.53  |   |  |
| Exercised  | (256,910)                           | ) 31.95  |   |  |
| Forfeited  | (20,966)                            | ) 69.18  |   |  |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2014                 | 618,115                             | \$53.10  | 6.0   | \$31.2   |
| Vested and Expected to Vest at December 31, 2014 | 600,708                             | \$52.36  | 5.9   | \$30.8   |
| Exercisable at December 31, 2014                 | 433,793                             | \$44.09  | 4.8   | \$25.8   |

The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 was \$13.2 million, \$7.5 million and \$15.0 million, respectively.

Cash received from options exercised under all stock-based payment arrangements for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 was \$8.2 million, \$9.1 million and \$9.3 million, respectively. The actual tax benefit realized for the tax deduction from option exercises under the stock-based payment arrangements totaled \$4.3 million, \$3.7

million and \$6.2 million, respectively, for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012.

89

---



DineEquity, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

13. Stock-Based Incentive Plans (Continued)

Equity Classified Awards - Restricted Stock and Restricted Stock Units

Activity in equity classified awards of restricted stock and restricted stock units for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 is as follows:

|   | Shares of<br>Restricted<br>Stock | Weighted<br>Average<br>Grant-Date Per<br>Share<br>Fair Value | Restricted<br>Stock Units | Weighted<br>Average<br>Grant-Date<br>Per Share<br>Fair Value |
|---|----------------------------------|--|---------------------------|--|
| Outstanding at December 31, 2011                  | 486,533                          | \$31.08  | 18,000                    | \$29.32  |
| Granted   | 137,852                          | 52.23  | 19,152                    | 52.23  |
| Released  | (179,465)                        | ) 13.83  | (3,910)                   | ) 40.58  |
| Forfeited   | (98,357)                         | ) 44.40  | —                         | —  |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2012                  | 346,563                          | 44.74  | 33,242                    | 41.19  |
| Granted   | 97,812                           | 73.11  | 15,804                    | 72.04  |
| Conversion of cash-settled restricted stock units | —                                | —  | 37,184                    | 72.28  |
| Released  | (117,075)                        | ) 30.96  | (39,000)                  | ) 54.66  |
| Forfeited   | (61,048)                         | ) 55.37  | —                         | —  |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2013                  | 266,252                          | 58.87  | 47,230                    | 64.57  |
| Granted   | 102,618                          | 82.18  | 13,879                    | 81.65  |
| Released  | (94,798)                         | ) 53.03  | (19,487)                  | ) 70.82  |
| Forfeited   | (40,254)                         | ) 67.68  | —                         | —  |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2014                  | 233,818                          | \$70.14  | 41,622                    | \$66.92  |

Liability Classified Awards - Restricted Stock Units

The Company previously had issued shares of cash-settled restricted stock units to members of the Board of Directors. Originally these instruments were expected to be settled in cash and were recorded as liabilities based on the closing price of the Company's common stock as of each period end. In February 2013, it was determined that, pursuant to the terms of the Plan, these restricted stock units would be settled in shares of common stock and all outstanding restricted stock units were converted to equity classified awards. Activity in liability classified awards of restricted stock units for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 is as follows:

|  | Cash-Settled<br>Restricted<br>Stock Units | Weighted<br>Average<br>Per Share<br>Fair Value |
|--|---|--|
| Outstanding at December 31, 2011                   | 41,957                                    | 64.26  |
| Granted  | —   | —  |
| Released   | (4,773)                                   | ) 49.66  |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2012                   | 37,184                                    | 66.13  |
| Conversion to stock-settled restricted stock units | (37,184)                                  | ) 72.28  |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2013                   | —   | —  |

For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, \$0.3 million and \$1.0 million, respectively, was included as stock-based compensation expense related to these cash-settled restricted stock units.

The Company has granted cash long-term incentive awards to certain employees ("LTIP awards"). Annual LTIP awards vest over a three-year period and are determined using a multiplier from 0% to 200% of the target award based on the total shareholder return of DineEquity, Inc. common stock compared to the total shareholder returns of a peer group of

companies. Though LTIP awards are only paid in cash, since the multiplier is based on the price of the Company's common stock, the awards are considered stock-based compensation in accordance with U.S. GAAP and are classified as liabilities. For the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, \$2.4 million, \$0.6 million and \$3.8 million, respectively, were included in stock-based compensation expense related to the LTIP awards. At December 31, 2014 and 2013, liabilities of \$4.0 million and \$2.8 million, respectively, were included as accrued employee compensation and benefits in the consolidated balance sheet.

DineEquity, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

#### 14. Employee Benefit Plans

##### 401(k) Savings and Investment Plan

Effective January 1, 2013, the Company amended the DineEquity, Inc. 401(k) Plan to (i) modify the Company matching formula and (ii) eliminate the one year completed service requirement that previously had to be met to become eligible for Company matching contributions. As amended, the Company matches 100% of the first four percent of the employee's eligible compensation deferral and 50% of the next two percent of the employee's eligible compensation deferral. All contributions under this plan vest immediately. DineEquity common stock is not an investment option for employees in the 401(k) plan, other than shares transferred from a prior employee stock ownership plan. Substantially all of the administrative cost of the 401(k) plan is borne by the Company. The Company's matching contribution expense was \$2.3 million, \$2.3 million and \$2.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

#### 15. Income Taxes

The provision (benefit) for income taxes for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 was as follows:

|                                       | Year Ended December 31, |         |         |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------|---------|
|                                       | 2014                    | 2013    | 2012    |
|                                       | (In millions)           |         |         |
| Provision (benefit) for income taxes: |                         |         |         |
| Current                               |                         |         |         |
| Federal                               | \$33.2                  | \$48.5  | \$77.4  |
| State                                 | 3.6                     | 2.1     | 1.9     |
| Foreign                               | 2.7                     | 2.4     | 1.8     |
|                                       | 39.5                    | 53.0    | 81.1    |
| Deferred                              |                         |         |         |
| Federal                               | (22.1                   | ) (13.5 | ) (12.2 |
| State                                 | (2.3                    | ) (0.9  | ) (1.7  |
|                                       | (24.4                   | ) (14.4 | ) (13.9 |
| Provision for income taxes            | \$15.1                  | \$38.6  | \$67.2  |

The provision for income taxes differs from the expected federal income tax rates as follows:

|  | Year Ended December 31, |        |         |
|--|-------------------------|--------|---------|
|  | 2014                    | 2013   | 2012    |
| Statutory federal income tax rate                          | 35.0                    | % 35.0 | % 35.0  |
| State and other taxes, net of federal tax benefit          | 2.4                     | 2.9    | 2.8     |
| Change in unrecognized tax benefits                        | 2.4                     | 1.4    | (0.2)   |
| Change in valuation allowance                              | —                       | (2.7   | ) 0.7   |
| Domestic production activity deduction                     | (6.0                    | ) —    | —       |
| Research and experimentation tax credit                    | (3.4                    | ) —    | —       |
| State adjustments including audits and settlements         | (1.1                    | ) (1.1 | ) 0.2   |
| Compensation related tax credits, net of deduction offsets | (0.8                    | ) (0.6 | ) (0.9) |
| Changes in tax rates and state tax laws                    | —                       | —      | (3.2)   |
| Other  | 0.8                     | —      | 0.1     |
| Effective tax rate   | 29.3                    | % 34.9 | % 34.5  |

The Company retroactively adopted the domestic production activity deduction and the federal research and experimentation credit in 2014. Deductions related to 2014 domestic production activity lowered the 2014 tax rate by 2.3%, while deductions related to domestic production activity in prior years lowered the 2014 tax rate by 3.7%.

Similarly, tax credits related to 2014 research activity lowered the 2014 tax rate by 0.5%, while tax credits related to research activity in prior years lowered the 2014 tax rate by 2.9%.

DineEquity, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

Note 15. Income Taxes (Continued)

Net deferred tax assets (liabilities) consisted of the following components:

|  | 2014          | 2013      |
|--|---------------|-----------|
|  | (In millions) |           |
| Differences in capitalization and depreciation and amortization of reacquired franchises and equipment | \$4.8         | \$4.8     |
| Differences in acquisition financing costs   | 1.8           | 1.8       |
| Employee compensation  | 14.4          | 15.0      |
| Deferred gain on sale of assets  | 6.5           | 6.3       |
| Book/tax difference in revenue recognition   | 39.6          | 29.8      |
| Other  | 35.9          | 35.0      |
| Deferred tax assets  | 103.0         | 92.7      |
| Valuation allowance  | (1.1)         | (1.1)     |
| Total deferred tax assets after valuation allowance  | 101.9         | 91.6      |
| Differences between financial and tax accounting in the recognition of franchise and equipment sales   | (48.0)        | (51.2)    |
| Differences in capitalization and depreciation <sup>(1)</sup>  | (294.6)       | (301.1)   |
| Differences in acquisition financing costs   | —             | (7.1)     |
| Book/tax difference in revenue recognition   | (15.6)        | (19.5)    |
| Differences between book and tax basis of property and equipment                                       | (11.4)        | (10.1)    |
| Other  | (20.5)        | (20.3)    |
| Deferred tax liabilities   | (390.1)       | (409.3)   |
| Net deferred tax liabilities   | \$(288.2)     | \$(317.7) |
| Net deferred tax asset—current   | \$31.2        | \$24.2    |
| Valuation allowance—current  | (0.3)         | (0.3)     |
| Net deferred tax asset—current   | 30.9          | 23.9      |
| Deferred tax liability—non-current   | (318.3)       | (340.8)   |
| Valuation allowance—non-current  | (0.8)         | (0.8)     |
| Net deferred tax liability—non-current   | (319.1)       | (341.6)   |
| Net deferred tax liabilities   | \$(288.2)     | \$(317.7) |

<sup>(1)</sup> Primarily related to the Applebee's acquisition.

The Company files federal income tax returns and the Company or one of its subsidiaries file income tax returns in various state and foreign jurisdictions. With few exceptions, the Company is no longer subject to federal, state or non-United States tax examinations by tax authorities for years before 2008. In the second quarter of 2013, the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") issued a Revenue Agent's Report ("RAR") related to its examination of the Company's U.S federal income tax return for the tax years 2008 to 2010. The Company disagrees with a portion of the proposed assessments and has contested them through the IRS administrative appeals procedures. We anticipate the appeals process to continue into 2015. The Company continues to believe that adequate reserves have been provided relating to all matters contained in the tax periods open to examination.

The total gross unrecognized tax benefit as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 was \$3.4 million and \$2.7 million, respectively, excluding interest, penalties and related income tax benefits. The entire \$3.4 million will be included in the Company's effective income tax rate if recognized.



DineEquity, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

Note 15. Income Taxes (Continued)

The Company estimates the unrecognized tax benefits may decrease over the upcoming 12 months by an amount up to \$0.7 million related to settlements with taxing authorities and the lapse of statutes of limitations. A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of unrecognized tax benefits is as follows:

|  | Year Ended December 31, |        |        |
|--|-------------------------|--------|--------|
|  | 2014                    | 2013   | 2012   |
| Unrecognized tax benefit as of January 1                                 | \$2.7                   | \$6.7  | \$8.2  |
| Changes for tax positions of prior years                                 | 1.2                     | 0.8    | 0.8    |
| Increases for tax positions related to the current year                  | 0.1                     | —      | 0.2    |
| Decreases relating to settlements and lapsing of statutes of limitations | (0.6                    | ) (4.8 | ) (2.5 |
| Unrecognized tax benefit as of December 31                               | \$3.4                   | \$2.7  | \$6.7  |

As of December 31, 2014, the accrued interest and penalties were \$3.9 million and \$0.1 million, respectively, excluding any related income tax benefits. As of December 31, 2013, the accrued interest and penalties were \$2.9 million and \$0.1 million, respectively, excluding any related income tax benefits. The increase of \$1.0 million of accrued interest is primarily related to an increase in unrecognized tax benefits as a result of recent audits by taxing authorities. The Company recognizes interest accrued related to unrecognized tax benefits and penalties as a component of the income tax provision recognized in the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income.

The valuation allowance of \$1.1 million as of both December 31, 2014 and 2013 related to the Massachusetts enacted legislation requiring unitary businesses to file combined reports. As of each reporting date, the Company's management considers new evidence, both positive and negative, that could impact management's view with regards to future realization of deferred tax assets. As of December 31, 2014, management determined that, based on available evidence, there was no change to the valuation allowance.

16. Net Income Per Share

The computation of the Company's basic and diluted net income per share is as follows:

|   | Year Ended December 31,               |          |           |
|---|---------------------------------------|----------|-----------|
|   | 2014                                  | 2013     | 2012      |
|   | (In thousands, except per share data) |          |           |
| Numerator for basic and diluted income per common share:              |                                       |          |           |
| Net income  | \$36,453                              | \$72,037 | \$127,674 |
| Less: Accretion of Series B preferred stock                           | —                                     | —        | (2,498    |
| Less: Net income allocated to unvested participating restricted stock | (521                                  | ) (1,200 | ) (2,718  |
| Net income available to common stockholders - basic                   | 35,932                                | 70,837   | 122,458   |
| Effect of unvested participating restricted stock                     | —                                     | 4        | 127       |
| Effect of dilutive securities:  |                                       |          |           |
| Convertible Series B preferred stock                                  | —                                     | —        | 2,498     |
| Numerator - net income available to common shareholders - diluted     | \$35,932                              | \$70,841 | \$125,083 |
| Denominator:  |                                       |          |           |
| Weighted average outstanding shares of common stock - basic           | 18,753                                | 18,871   | 17,992    |
| Effect of dilutive securities:  |                                       |          |           |
| Stock options   | 203                                   | 270      | 264       |
| Convertible Series B preferred stock                                  | —                                     | —        | 621       |
| Weighted average outstanding shares of common stock - diluted         | 18,956                                | 19,141   | 18,877    |

Net income per common share:

|         |        |        |        |
|---------|--------|--------|--------|
| Basic   | \$1.92 | \$3.75 | \$6.81 |
| Diluted | \$1.90 | \$3.70 | \$6.63 |



DineEquity, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

## 17. Segment Reporting

Information on segments and a reconciliation to income (loss) before income taxes are as follows:

|                                   | Year Ended December 31, |               |           |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|-----------|
|                                   | 2014                    | 2013          | 2012      |
|                                   |                         | (In millions) |           |
| Revenues                          |                         |               |           |
| Franchise operations              | \$456.1                 | \$439.2       | \$421.4   |
| Company restaurants               | 62.5                    | 63.4          | 291.1     |
| Rental operations                 | 122.9                   | 124.8         | 122.9     |
| Financing operations              | 13.5                    | 13.1          | 14.5      |
| Total                             | \$655.0                 | \$640.5       | \$849.9   |
| Income (loss) before income taxes |                         |               |           |
| Franchise operations              | \$334.3                 | \$329.5       | \$311.5   |
| Company restaurants               | (0.2)                   | ) (0.2)       | ) 41.8    |
| Rental operations                 | 28.3                    | 27.5          | 25.7      |
| Financing operations              | 12.7                    | 12.9          | 12.9      |
| Corporate                         | (323.5)                 | ) (259.1)     | ) (197.0) |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | \$51.6                  | \$110.6       | \$194.9   |
| Interest expense                  |                         |               |           |
| Company restaurants               | \$0.4                   | \$0.4         | \$0.4     |
| Rental operations                 | 14.7                    | 15.7          | 17.0      |
| Corporate                         | 96.6                    | 100.3         | 114.3     |
| Total                             | \$111.7                 | \$116.4       | \$131.7   |
| Depreciation and amortization     |                         |               |           |
| Franchise operations              | \$10.4                  | \$10.8        | \$9.8     |
| Company restaurants               | 2.1                     | 2.2           | 6.9       |
| Rental operations                 | 13.2                    | 13.4          | 13.6      |
| Corporate                         | 9.0                     | 9.0           | 9.2       |
| Total                             | \$34.7                  | \$35.4        | \$39.5    |
| Closure and impairment charges    |                         |               |           |
| Company restaurants               | \$3.7                   | \$1.8         | \$4.2     |
| Total                             | \$3.7                   | \$1.8         | \$4.2     |
| Capital expenditures              |                         |               |           |
| Company restaurants               | \$1.5                   | \$1.3         | \$9.5     |
| Corporate                         | 4.4                     | 5.7           | 7.5       |
| Total                             | \$5.9                   | \$7.0         | \$17.0    |
| Goodwill (franchise segment)      | \$697.5                 | \$697.5       | \$697.5   |
| Total assets                      |                         |               |           |
| Franchise operations              | \$1,635.0               | \$1,606.4     | \$1,523.0 |
| Company restaurants               | 177.7                   | 191.6         | 186.2     |
| Rental operations                 | 344.3                   | 364.0         | 397.3     |
| Financing operations              | 109.9                   | 117.1         | 125.4     |
| Corporate                         | 181.2                   | 125.5         | 183.5     |
| Total                             | \$2,448.1               | \$2,404.6     | \$2,415.4 |



DineEquity, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

## 18. Selected Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)

|  | Revenues <sup>(1)</sup> | Operating<br>Margin | Net Income<br>(Loss) | Net Income<br>(Loss)<br>Per Share—<br>Basic <sup>(2)</sup> | Net Income<br>(Loss)<br>Per Share—<br>Diluted <sup>(2)</sup> |
|--|-------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| (In thousands, except per share amounts) |                         |                     |                      |  |  |
| 2014                                     |                         |                     |                      |  |  |
| 1st Quarter                              | \$167,201               | \$97,072            | \$20,824             | \$1.09   | \$1.08   |
| 2nd Quarter                              | 160,521                 | 94,473              | 19,167               | 1.00   | 1.00   |
| 3rd Quarter                              | 162,853                 | 91,629              | 18,887               | 0.99   | 0.99   |
| 4th Quarter <sup>(1)</sup>               | 164,413                 | 91,941              | (22,425 )            | (1.18 )  | n/a  |
| 2013                                     |                         |                     |                      |  |  |
| 1st Quarter                              | \$163,169               | \$94,424            | \$18,239             | \$0.95   | \$0.93   |
| 2nd Quarter                              | 158,114                 | 91,026              | 16,937               | 0.88   | 0.87   |
| 3rd Quarter                              | 161,283                 | 93,043              | 18,730               | 0.98   | 0.97   |
| 4th Quarter                              | 157,901                 | 91,199              | 18,131               | 0.95   | 0.94   |

<sup>(1)</sup> Net income was impacted by loss on extinguishment of debt related to the Company's refinancing of its long-term debt. See Note 7 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

<sup>(2)</sup> The quarterly amounts may not add to the full year amount as each quarterly calculation is discrete from the full-year calculation.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.  
None.

## Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.

## Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain "disclosure controls and procedures," as such terms are defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) promulgated under the Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating our disclosure controls and procedures, management recognized that disclosure controls and procedures, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the disclosure controls and procedures are met. Additionally, in designing disclosure controls and procedures, our management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible disclosure controls and procedures. The design of any disclosure controls and procedures also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions.

Based on their assessment as of the end of the period covered by this report, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level.

## Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f). All internal control systems, no matter how well designed, have inherent limitations. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable

assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation.

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 28, 2014 based on the framework in Internal Control—Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of

95

---

Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (“COSO”). Based on that evaluation, our management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 28, 2014. The effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 28, 2014 has been audited by Ernst & Young LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report that appears herein.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareholders of DineEquity, Inc. and Subsidiaries

We have audited DineEquity, Inc. and Subsidiaries' internal control over financial reporting as of December 28, 2014, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (2013 framework) (the COSO criteria). DineEquity, Inc. and Subsidiaries' management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, DineEquity, Inc. and Subsidiaries maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 28, 2014, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of DineEquity, Inc. and Subsidiaries as of December 28, 2014 and December 29, 2013 and the related consolidated statements of comprehensive income, stockholders' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 28, 2014 of DineEquity, Inc. and Subsidiaries and our report dated February 25, 2015 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ ERNST & YOUNG LLP  
Los Angeles, California  
February 25, 2015



**Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2014 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

**Item 9B. Other Information.**

None.

**PART III**

**Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.**

The information required by this Item regarding our directors and executive officers is incorporated by reference to our Proxy Statement for the 2015 Annual Meeting of Shareholders (“2015 Proxy Statement”) to be filed with the SEC within 120 days after the end of our fiscal year ended December 31, 2014.

**Item 11. Executive Compensation.**

The information required by this Item regarding executive compensation is incorporated by reference to the sections entitled “Executive Compensation,” “Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation” and “Compensation Committee Report” to be set forth in our 2015 Proxy Statement.

**Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.**

The information required by this Item regarding security ownership and management is incorporated by reference to the sections entitled “Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management” and “Securities Authorized for Issuance under Equity Compensation Plans” to be set forth in our 2015 Proxy Statement.

**Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence.**

The information required by this Item regarding certain relationships and related transactions is incorporated by reference to the sections entitled “Certain Relationships and Related Transactions” and “Director Independence” to be set forth in our 2015 Proxy Statement.

**Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services.**

The information required by this Item regarding principal accountant fees and services is incorporated by reference to the section entitled “Independent Auditor Fees” to be set forth in our 2015 Proxy Statement.



PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.

(a)(1) Consolidated Financial Statements

The following documents are contained in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K:

• Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.

• Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2014 and 2013.

• Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2014.

• Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2014.

• Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2014.

• Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

(a)(2) Financial Statement Schedules

All schedules are omitted because they are not applicable or the required information is shown in the consolidated financial statements or notes thereto.

(a)(3) Exhibits

Exhibits that are not filed herewith have been previously filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission and are incorporated herein by reference.

3.1 Restated Certificate of Incorporation of DineEquity, Inc. (Exhibit 99.3 to Registrant's Form 8-K filed on December 18, 2012 is incorporated herein by reference).

3.2 Amended Bylaws of DineEquity, Inc. (Exhibit 3.2 to Registrant's Form 8-K filed on June 2, 2008 is incorporated herein by reference).

4.1 Indenture, dated as of September 30, 2014, among Applebee's Funding LLC and IHOP Funding LLC, each as Co-Issuer, and Citibank, N.A., as Trustee and Securities Intermediary (Exhibit 4.1 to Registrant's Form 8-K filed on October 3, 2014 is incorporated herein by reference).

4.2 Supplemental Indenture, dated as of September 30, 2014, among Applebee's Funding LLC and IHOP Funding LLC, each as Co-Issuer, and Citibank, N.A., as Trustee and Series 2014-1 Securities Intermediary (Exhibit 4.2 to Registrant's Form 8-K filed on October 3, 2014 is incorporated herein by reference).

†10.1 Employment Agreement between DineEquity, Inc. and Julia A. Stewart dated November 1, 2008 (Exhibit 10.4 to Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 is incorporated herein by reference).

†10.2 Amended and Restated Employment Agreement between DineEquity, Inc. and Thomas W. Emrey dated April 4, 2012 (Exhibit 10.1 to Registrant's Form 8-K filed on April 5, 2012 is incorporated by reference).

†10.3 Employment Agreement between DineEquity, Inc. and Steven R. Layt dated February 25, 2014 (Exhibit 10.1 to Registrant's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2014 is incorporated herein by reference).

†10.4 Employment Offer Letter between DineEquity, Inc. and Bryan Adel dated August 2, 2010 (Exhibit 10.5 to Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 is incorporated herein by reference).

†10.5 DineEquity, Inc. 2011 Stock Incentive Plan (Annex A to Registrant's Proxy Statement, filed on April 13, 2011 is incorporated herein by reference).

†10.6 .

Edgar Filing: DineEquity, Inc - Form 10-K

DineEquity Inc. 2011 Stock Incentive Plan Non Qualified Stock Option Agreement (Non-Employee Directors) (Exhibit 10.9 to Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 is incorporated herein by reference).

†10.7 DineEquity Inc. 2011 Stock Incentive Plan Non Qualified Stock Option Agreement (Employees) (Exhibit 10.10 to Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 is incorporated herein by reference).

†10.8 DineEquity Inc. 2011 Stock Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Award Agreement (Non-Employee Directors) (Exhibit 10.11 to Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 is incorporated herein by reference).

†10.9 DineEquity Inc. 2011 Stock Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Award Agreement (Employees) (Exhibit 10.12 to Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 is incorporated herein by reference).

Edgar Filing: DineEquity, Inc - Form 10-K

- †10.10 DineEquity Inc. 2011 Stock Incentive Plan Cash-Settled Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement (Employees) (Exhibit 10.13 to Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 is incorporated herein by reference).
- †10.11 DineEquity Inc. 2011 Stock Incentive Plan Cash-Settled Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement (Non-Employee Directors) (Exhibit 10.14 to Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 is incorporated herein by reference).
- †10.12 DineEquity Inc. 2011 Stock Incentive Plan Stock-Settled Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement (Employees) (Exhibit 10.15 to Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 is incorporated herein by reference).
- †10.13 DineEquity Inc. 2011 Stock Incentive Plan Stock-Settled Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement (Non-Employee Directors) (Exhibit 10.16 to Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 is incorporated herein by reference).
- †10.14 DineEquity Inc. 2011 Stock Incentive Plan Stock Appreciation Rights Agreement (Exhibit 10.17 to Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 is incorporated herein by reference).
- †10.15 DineEquity Inc. 2011 Stock Incentive Plan Performance Shares Award Agreement - Employees (50/50) (Exhibit 10.18 to Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 is incorporated herein by reference).
- †10.16 DineEquity Inc. 2011 Stock Incentive Plan Performance Shares Award Agreement (Exhibit 10.19 to Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 is incorporated herein by reference).
- †10.17 DineEquity Inc. 2011 Stock Incentive Plan Performance Unit Award Agreement (Exhibit 10.20 to Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 is incorporated herein by reference).
- †10.18 DineEquity Inc. 2011 Stock Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Award Agreement - Refranchising Event (Exhibit 10.21 to Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 is incorporated herein by reference).
- †10.19 DineEquity, Inc. 2011 Stock Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Award Agreement (Ratable Vesting - Employees) (Exhibit 10.19 to Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 is incorporated herein by reference).
- †10.20 DineEquity, Inc. 2011 Stock Incentive Plan Stock-Settled Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement (International Employees) (Exhibit 10.1 to Registrant's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2014 is incorporated herein by reference).
- †10.21 IHOP Corp. 2001 Stock Incentive Plan Non-qualified Stock Option Agreement (Exhibit 10.15 to Registrant's 2003 Form 10-K is incorporated herein by reference).
- †10.22 IHOP Corp. 2005 Stock Incentive Plan for Non-Employee Directors (Appendix "A" to Registrant's Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders held on May 24, 2005 is incorporated herein by reference).
- †10.23 IHOP Corp 2001 Stock Incentive Plan as amended and restated (Appendix "A" to Registrant's Proxy Statement, filed on April 17, 2008 is incorporated herein by reference).
- †10.24 DineEquity, Inc. Senior Executive Incentive Plan as amended and restated (Annex "A" to Registrant's Proxy Statement, filed on April 15, 2012 is incorporated herein by reference).
- †10.25 DineEquity, Inc. Amended and Restated Executive Severance and Change in Control Policy (Exhibit 10.26 to Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 is incorporated herein by reference).
- †10.26 Form of DineEquity, Inc. Indemnification Agreement (Exhibit 10.27 to Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 is incorporated herein by reference).
- †10.27 IHOP Corp. Deferred Compensation Plan effective January 1, 2008. (Exhibit 10.26 to Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 is incorporated herein by reference).
- †10.28

Edgar Filing: DineEquity, Inc - Form 10-K

- 10.29 DineEquity, Inc. 2011 Cash Long Term Incentive Plan (LTIP) for Company Officers (Exhibit 10.30 to Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 is incorporated herein by reference).  
Purchase Agreement, dated August 13, 2014, among the Registrant, certain subsidiaries of the Registrant and Guggenheim Securities, LLC (Exhibit 99.1 to Registrant's Form 8-K filed on August 14, 2014 is incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.30 Class A-1 Note Purchase Agreement, dated September 30, 2014, among Applebee's Funding LLC and IHOP Funding LLC, each as Co-Issuer, certain special-purpose, wholly-owned indirect subsidiaries of the Registrant, each as a guarantor, the Registrant, as manager, certain conduit investors, financial institutions and funding agents, Cooperatieve Centrale Raiffeisen-Boerenleenbank, B.A., as provider of letters of credit, as swingline lender and as Administrative Agent (Exhibit 10.1 to Registrant's Form 8-K filed on October 3, 2014 is incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.31 Guarantee and Collateral Agreement, dated September 30, 2014, among certain special-purpose, wholly-owned indirect subsidiaries of the Registrant, each as guarantor, in favor of Citibank, N.A., as Trustee (Exhibit 10.2 to Registrant's Form 8-K filed on October 3, 2014 is incorporated herein by reference.)

100

---

- 10.32 Management Agreement, dated September 30, 2014, among Applebee's Funding LLC and IHOP Funding LLC, each as a Co-Issuer, other securitization entities party thereto from time to time, the Registrant, Applebee's Services, Inc. and International House of Pancakes, LLC, as sub-managers, and Citibank, N.A., as Trustee (Exhibit 10.3 to Registrant's Form 8-K filed on October 3, 2014 is incorporated herein by reference).
- \*12.1 Computation of Debt Service Coverage Ratio for the Trailing Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2014 and Leverage Ratio as of December 31, 2014.
- \*21 Subsidiaries of DineEquity, Inc.
- \*23.1 Consent of Ernst & Young LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.
- \*31.1 Certification of CEO pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
- \*31.2 Certification of CFO pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
- \*32.1 Certification of CEO pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- \*32.2 Certification of CFO pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

---

\* Filed herewith.

⌘ A contract, compensatory plan or arrangement in which directors or executive officers are eligible to participate.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, on this 25th day of February 2015.

DINEEQUITY, INC.

By: /s/ JULIA A. STEWART

Julia A. Stewart

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant, and in the capacities indicated, on this 25th day of February 2015.

| Name   | Title  |
|--|--|
| /s/ JULIA A. STEWART<br>Julia A. Stewart       | Chairman and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)         |
| /s/ THOMAS W. EMREY<br>Thomas W. Emrey         | Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)                      |
| /s/ GREGGORY KALVIN<br>Greggory Kalvin         | Senior Vice President, Corporate Controller (Principal Accounting Officer) |
| /s/ RICHARD J. DAHL<br>Richard J. Dahl         | Director   |
| /s/ HOWARD M. BERK<br>Howard M. Berk           | Director   |
| /s/ DANIEL J. BRESTLE<br>Daniel J. Brestle     | Director   |
| /s/ STEPHEN P. JOYCE<br>Stephen P. Joyce       | Director   |
| /s/ LARRY A. KAY<br>Larry A. Kay               | Director   |
| /s/ CAROLINE W. NAHAS<br>Caroline W. Nahas     | Director   |
| /s/ DOUGLAS M. PASQUALE<br>Douglas M. Pasquale | Director   |
| /s/ GILBERT T. RAY<br>Gilbert T. Ray           | Director   |
| /s/ PATRICK W. ROSE<br>Patrick W. Rose         | Director   |

