

DUCOMMUN INC /DE/
Form 10-K
April 10, 2015
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____
Commission File Number 1-8174

DUCOMMUN INCORPORATED
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

| | |
|---|---|
| Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) | 95-0693330 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.) |
|---|---|

| | |
|---|--------------------------|
| 23301 Wilmington Avenue, Carson, California (Address of principal executive offices) | 90745-6209 (Zip code) |
|---|--------------------------|

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (310) 513-7200

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

| | |
|--|--|
| Title of each class Common Stock, \$.01 par value per share | Name of each exchange on which registered New York Stock Exchange |
|--|--|

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None

(Title of class)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or

information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the price of which the common equity was last sold, or the average bid and asked price of such common equity, as of the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter ended June 28, 2014 was approximately \$286 million.

The number of shares of common stock outstanding on March 31, 2015 was 10,964,901.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

The following documents are incorporated by reference:

(a) Proxy Statement for the 2015 Annual Meeting of Shareholders (the "2015 Proxy Statement"), incorporated partially in Part III hereof.

Table of Contents

DUCOMMUN INCORPORATED AND SUBSIDIARIES

| | Page |
|-----------------|-----------|
| <u>PART I</u> | |
| | <u>3</u> |
| | <u>5</u> |
| Item 1. | <u>6</u> |
| Item 1A. | <u>12</u> |
| Item 1B. | <u>21</u> |
| Item 2. | <u>22</u> |
| Item 3. | <u>22</u> |
| Item 4. | <u>22</u> |
| <u>PART II</u> | |
| Item 5. | <u>23</u> |
| Item 6. | <u>25</u> |
| Item 7. | <u>26</u> |
| Item 7A. | <u>44</u> |
| Item 8. | <u>44</u> |
| Item 9. | <u>44</u> |
| Item 9A. | <u>44</u> |
| Item 9B. | <u>46</u> |
| <u>PART III</u> | |
| Item 10. | <u>47</u> |
| Item 11. | <u>47</u> |
| Item 12. | <u>47</u> |
| Item 13. | <u>48</u> |

| | | |
|----------------|---|------------|
| Item 14. | <u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u> | <u>48</u> |
| <u>PART IV</u> | | |
| Item 15. | <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u> | <u>49</u> |
| | <u>Signatures</u> | <u>102</u> |

Table of Contents

EXPLANATORY NOTE

This Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014 (“Form 10-K”) includes the restatement of certain of our previously issued consolidated financial statements and selected financial data. It also amends previously filed management’s discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations and other disclosures for the periods presented in this Form 10-K. As indicated in Note 2 to our consolidated financial statements included in Part IV, Item 15(a) of this Form 10-K, we corrected errors in prior periods primarily related to (i) a long-term contract (“Contract”) following the discovery of misconduct by employees in the recording of direct labor costs to the Contract from 2009 through the third quarter 2014 which resulted in the identification of a forward loss provision that should have been recorded in 2009 and the impact on subsequent periods of adjustments to the forward loss provision based on information available at the time (“Forward Loss Adjustments”); and (ii) the year end reconciliation of income taxes payable and deferred tax balances identified errors primarily in 2013, 2012, and 2011 (“Tax Adjustments”). The misconduct and its related financial impact were concealed from our senior management, internal auditors, and external auditors.

The Forward Loss Adjustments were based on certain assumptions and estimates. To determine the loss on the Contract, we estimated the number of units we would have expected to ship over the life of the Contract at inception of the Contract using external market industry data for fiscal years 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, and 2013. We used data obtained directly from the customer for 2014 and 2015. The total estimated costs at any given point in time would typically include actual historical costs up to that time plus the estimated cost to produce units to be delivered. In addition, the estimated total cost for the life of the Contract includes certain inefficiencies on labor, material, and overhead costs during the initial start-up period. However, as we progress along the learning curve, the direct labor hours and overhead rates are expected to decrease as we gain technical knowhow and efficiency in producing the product. As a result of the misconduct by the employees in the recording of direct labor hours to the Contract, the historical actual direct labor hours charged to the Contract were inaccurate. As a result, we estimated the costs to complete future units at the end of each period based on an estimate of the direct labor hours chargeable to the Contract, including consideration of anticipated learning curve efficiencies that would decrease the direct labor hours over the remaining term of the Contract. Further, we used the actual direct labor hours incurred by the employees assigned to the Contract as a basis for projecting future hours, less an estimate of the time not allocable to the Contract. Using this model, we calculated the Forward Loss Adjustments from the inception of the Contract in 2009 through the expected life of the Contract. As a result of the Forward Loss Adjustments, cost of goods sold increased (decreased) approximately \$6.7 million in 2009, \$1.3 million in 2010, \$(0.3) million in 2011, \$(2.2) million in 2012, \$(0.9) million in 2013, and \$(0.8) million in the nine months ended September 27, 2014.

The Tax Adjustments were necessary as a result of certain calculation errors. The Tax Adjustments resulted in a net decrease to income tax expense of approximately \$0.9 million in 2013 and zero in 2012. The Tax Adjustments in 2011 resulted in a reduction to the carrying value of goodwill totaling approximately \$4.0 million due to a calculation error in the original purchase price allocation and subsequent performance of step 2 of our annual goodwill impairment analysis related to deferred income taxes and thus, (i) reduced deferred income taxes by approximately \$2.7 million and (ii) generated a pre-tax goodwill impairment charge of approximately \$1.4 million. Further, the Tax Adjustments in 2011 reduced deferred tax assets by approximately \$1.6 million that were established as a result of shared-based compensation expenses recorded previously and should have been reduced as the tax deductions were utilized. Moreover, the restated amounts include previously identified and disclosed immaterial adjustments. In this Form 10-K, we therefore have restated the following financial information as of and for the periods (collectively, the “Restated Periods”) noted in the table below.

Type of Financial Information:
Consolidated balance sheet

Date or Period:
As of December 31, 2013

Consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income,
shareholders’ equity, and cash flows

Years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012

| | |
|---|--|
| Selected financial data | Years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, 2011 and 2010 |
| Unaudited quarterly financial information | Quarters ended September 27, 2014, June 28, 2014 and March 29, 2014 and each quarter in the year ended December 31, 2013 |
| Management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations | As of and for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 |

In addition, we have identified material weaknesses related to our internal control over financial reporting for the year ended December 31, 2014. Disclosures related to these matters are included in Part II, Item 9A of this Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

We believe that presenting all of the amended and restated information regarding the Restated Periods in this Form 10-K allows investors to review all pertinent information in a single presentation. In addition, our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q to be filed during 2015 will include the restated 2014 comparable prior quarter and year to date periods. We have not filed and do not intend to file amendments to (i) our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for the first three quarterly periods in the year ended December 31, 2014 or (ii) our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 (collectively, the “Affected Periods”). Accordingly, investors should rely only on the financial information and other disclosures regarding the Restated Periods in this Form 10-K or in future filings with the SEC (as applicable), and not on any previously issued or filed reports, earnings releases or similar communications relating to those periods.

The combined impact of the adjustments and specified line items in the Affected Periods resulting from the restatement is described in Note 2 to our consolidated financial statements included in Part IV, Item 15(a) of this Form 10-K. The following items of this Form 10-K are impacted as a result of the restatement:

- Part I, Item 1A, Risk Factors
- Part II, Item 6, Selected Financial Data
- Part II, Item 7, Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations
- Part II, Item 9A, Controls and Procedures
- Part IV, Item 15, Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

Table of Contents

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS AND RISK FACTORS

This Form 10-K contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements may be preceded by, followed by or include the words “believe,” “expect,” “anticipate,” “intend,” “plan,” “estimate” or similar expressions. These statements are based on the beliefs and assumptions of our management. Generally, forward-looking statements include information concerning our possible or assumed future actions, events or results of operations. Forward-looking statements specifically include, without limitation, the information in this Form 10-K regarding: future sales, earnings, cash flow, uses of cash and other measures of financial performance, projections or expectations for future operations, our plans with respect to completed acquisitions, future acquisitions and dispositions and expected business opportunities that may be available to us.

Although we believe that the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements are based on reasonable assumptions, these forward-looking statements are subject to numerous factors, risks and uncertainties that could cause actual outcomes and results to be materially different from those projected. We cannot guarantee future results, performance or achievements. Moreover, neither we nor any other person assumes responsibility for the accuracy and completeness of the forward-looking statements. All written and oral forward-looking statements made in connection with this Form 10-K that are attributable to us or persons acting on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by “Risk Factors” contained within Part I, Item 1A of this Form 10-K and other cautionary statements included herein. We are under no duty to update any of the forward-looking statements after the date of this Form 10-K to conform such statements to actual results or to changes in our expectations.

The information in this Form 10-K is not a complete description of our business. There can be no assurance that other factors will not affect the accuracy of these forward-looking statements or that our actual results will not differ materially from the results anticipated in such forward-looking statements. While it is impossible to identify all such factors, factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those estimated by us include, but are not limited to, those factors or conditions described under “Risk Factors” contained within Part I, Item 1A of this Form 10-K and the following:

- our ability to manage and otherwise comply with our covenants with respect to our outstanding indebtedness;
- our ability to service our indebtedness;
- the cyclical nature of our end-use markets and the level of new commercial and military aircraft orders;
- industry and customer concentration;
- production rates for various commercial and military aircraft programs;
- the level of U.S. Government defense spending, including the impact of sequestration;
 - compliance with applicable regulatory requirements and changes in regulatory requirements, including regulatory requirements applicable to government contracts and sub-contracts;
- further consolidation of customers and suppliers in our markets;
- product performance and delivery;
- start-up costs, manufacturing inefficiencies and possible overruns on contracts;
- increased design, product development, manufacturing, supply chain and other risks and uncertainties associated with our growth strategy to become a Tier 2 supplier of higher-level assemblies;
- our ability to manage the risks associated with international operations and sales;
- possible goodwill and other asset impairments;
- the impact and timing of our internal controls remediation;
- economic and geopolitical developments and conditions;
 - unfavorable developments in the global credit markets;
- our ability to operate within highly competitive markets;
- technology changes and evolving industry and regulatory standards;
- the risk of environmental liabilities; and
- litigation with respect to us.

Table of Contents

We caution the reader that undue reliance should not be placed on any forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this Form 10-K. We do not undertake any duty or responsibility to update any of these forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this Form 10-K or to reflect actual outcomes.

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

GENERAL

Ducommun Incorporated (“Ducommun,” “the Company,” “we,” “us” or “our”) is a leading global provider of engineering and manufacturing services for high-performance products and high-cost-of failure applications used primarily in the aerospace, defense, industrial, natural resources, medical and other industries. Ducommun differentiates itself as a full-service solution-based provider, offering a wide range of value-added products and services in our primary businesses of electronics, structures and integrated solutions. We operate through two primary business units: Ducommun LaBarge Technologies and Ducommun AeroStructures. We are the successor to a business that was founded in California in 1849 and reincorporated in Delaware in 1970.

ACQUISITIONS

Acquisitions have been an important element of our growth strategy. We have supplemented our organic growth by identifying, acquiring and integrating acquisition opportunities that result in broader, more sophisticated product and service offerings while diversifying and expanding our customer base and markets.

For example, in June 2011, we acquired all of the outstanding stock of LaBarge Inc., (the “LaBarge Acquisition”), a provider of electronics manufacturing services to aerospace, defense and other diverse markets for \$325.3 million (net of cash acquired and acquisition costs), funded by internally generated cash, senior unsecured notes and a senior secured term loan totaling \$390.0 million. The LaBarge Acquisition has positioned us to benefit from customers that are increasingly outsourcing their integrated electronic content on their platforms and consolidating their supplier base to companies with expanded capabilities.

PRODUCTS AND SERVICES

Business Segment Information

We operate through two primary strategic businesses, Ducommun LaBarge Technologies (“DLT”) and Ducommun AeroStructures (“DAS”), each of which is a reportable operating segment. The results of operations among our operating segments vary due to differences in competitors, customers, extent of proprietary deliverables and performance. DLT designs, engineers and manufactures high-reliability products used in worldwide technology-driven markets including aerospace, defense, natural resources, industrial, and medical and other end-use markets. DLT’s product offerings primarily range from prototype development to complex assemblies as discussed in more detail below. DAS designs, engineers and manufactures large, complex contoured aerospace structural components and assemblies and supplies composite and metal bonded structures and assemblies. DAS’s products are primarily used on commercial aircraft, military fixed-wing aircraft and military and commercial rotary-wing aircraft.

Ducommun LaBarge Technologies (“DLT”)

DLT has three major product offerings in electronics manufacturing for diverse, high-reliability applications: complex cable assemblies and interconnect systems, printed circuit board assemblies, and higher-level electronic, electromechanical and mechanical assemblies. Components and assemblies are provided principally for domestic and foreign commercial and military fixed-wing aircraft, military and commercial rotary-wing aircraft and space programs. In addition, we provide select industrial high-reliability applications for the industrial automation, natural resources (mine automation and drilling), and medical and other end-use markets. We build custom, high-performance electronics and electromechanical systems. Our products include sophisticated radar enclosures, aircraft avionics racks and shipboard communications and control enclosures, printed circuit board assemblies, cable assemblies, wire harnesses, and interconnect systems and other high-level complex assemblies. DLT utilizes a highly-integrated production process, including manufacturing, engineering, fabrication, machining, assembly, electronic integration, and related processes. Engineering, technical and program management services, including design, development, and integration and testing of circuit card assemblies and cable assemblies, are provided to a wide range of customers.

In response to customer needs and utilizing our in-depth engineering expertise, DLT is also considered a leading supplier of engineered products including, illuminated pushbutton switches and panels for aviation and test systems, microwave and millimeter switches and filters for radio frequency systems and test instrumentation, and motors and resolvers for motion control.

Table of Contents

DLT also provides engineering expertise for aerospace system design, development, integration, and test. We leverage the knowledge base, capabilities, talent, and technologies of this focused capability into direct support of our customers. Our expertise includes engineering capabilities in systems engineering, aerodynamics, propulsion, guidance-navigation-and control (“GNC”), lethality/warheads, simulations, avionics, structures, software, inertial measurement units, seeker/sensors, and signal/data processing.

Ducommun AeroStructures (“DAS”)

DAS has three major product offerings to support a global customer base: commercial aircraft, military fixed-wing aircraft, and military and commercial rotary-wing aircraft. Our applications include structural components, structural assemblies and bonded (metal and composite) components. In the structural components products, DAS designs, engineers, and manufactures large complex contoured aluminum, titanium and Inconel® aerostructure components for the aerospace industry. Structural assembly products include winglets, engine components, and fuselage structural panels for aircraft. Metal and composite bonded structures and assemblies products include aircraft wing spoilers, large fuselage skins, rotor blades on rotary-wing aircraft and components, flight control surfaces and engine components. To support these products, DAS maintains advanced machine milling, stretch-forming, hot-forming, metal bonding, composite layup, and chemical milling capabilities and has an extensive engineering capability to support both design and manufacturing.

AEROSPACE AND DEFENSE END-USE MARKETS OVERVIEW

Our largest end-use markets are the aerospace and defense markets and our sales to these markets represent approximately 82% of our net revenues in 2014. These markets are serviced by suppliers which are stratified, from the lowest value provided to the highest, into four tiers; Tier 3, Tier 2, Tier 1 and original equipment manufacturers (“OEMs”). The OEMs provide the highest value and are also known as prime contractors or primes. We derive a significant portion of our revenue from subcontracts with OEMs. As the prime contractor for various programs and platforms, the OEMs sell to their customers, who may include, depending upon the application, the U.S. Federal Government, foreign, state and local governments, global commercial airline carriers, regional jet carriers and various other customers. The OEMs also sell to global leasing companies that lease commercial aircraft. A significant portion of our revenue is earned from subcontracts with the primes. Tier 3 suppliers principally provide components or detailed parts. Tier 2 suppliers provide more complex, value-added parts and may also assume more design risk, manufacturing risk, supply chain risk and project management risk than Tier 3 suppliers. Tier 1 suppliers manufacture aircraft sections and purchase assemblies. We currently compete primarily with Tier 2 and Tier 3 suppliers. Our business growth strategy is to differentiate ourselves from competitors by providing more complex assemblies to our customers as a Tier 2 supplier.

Commercial Aerospace End-Use Market

The commercial aerospace end-use market is highly cyclical and is impacted by the level of global air passenger traffic in general, which in turn is influenced by global economic conditions, fleet fuel and maintenance costs and geopolitical developments. Sales into the commercial aerospace end-use market represented approximately 33% of our 2014 net revenues.

Growth in the world’s gross domestic product (“GDP”) is a contributor to global air passenger traffic expansion. Emerging economies in Asia, the Middle East and Latin America, where the GDP per capita expands at a faster rate than the developed markets, are contributing to the growth rate for new commercial aircraft. The demand for air travel is also expected to be influenced by a cyclical rebound and improvement in the economies of the more mature markets of Europe and North America.

Fuel performance has been and will continue to be an increasingly important component of the commercial airlines’ profitability and will continue to be driving demand for newer, more fuel efficient engine designs. Market acceptance is growing for these types of more fuel efficient aircraft from The Boeing Company (“Boeing”) and Airbus Group, formerly known as the European Aeronautic, Defense & Space Company (“EADS”), through their wholly owned subsidiary Airbus (“Airbus”). Replacement of aging fleets due to increasing costs of maintenance or obsolescence and availability of newer, more efficient aircraft also influences commercial aircraft build rates, but to a lesser degree. Further, the availability of internal or external funding impacts commercial aircraft build rates. Failure of our customers to obtain financing may result in cancellation or deferral of orders.

Industry projections estimate growth rates in air traffic in the mid-single digits and strength in commercial aerospace over the next few years. Boeing's projections in their 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC estimate a growth rate of 5% per year for passenger and cargo traffic, based on a projected average annual worldwide real economic growth rate of 3%, over the next 20 years in the commercial aircraft market. We believe we are well positioned given our product capabilities to participate in the steady projected growth rate for commercial air traffic and build rates for large commercial aircraft for the airframe manufacturing industry.

Defense End-Use Market

7

Table of Contents

Our defense end-use market includes products used in military and space, including technologies and structures applications. The defense end-use market is highly cyclical and is impacted by the level of government defense spending. Government defense spending is impacted by national defense policies and priorities, political climates, fiscal budgetary constraints, U.S. Federal budget deficits, projected economic growth and the level of global military or security threats, or other conflicts. Sales into the military and space end-use market represented approximately 49% of our net revenues during 2014.

U.S. Government appropriations have and likely will continue to be affected by larger U.S. Government budgetary issues and related legislation. In 2011, the Congress enacted the Budget Control Act, as amended by the American Taxpayer Relief Act of 2012 (“BCA”), and the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2013. Pursuant to the terms of the BCA, a sequestration went into effect on March 1, 2013 resulting in an approximate 8% reduction to the Department of Defense (“DoD”) budget for fiscal year (“FY”) 2013 to approximately \$496 billion, excluding funding for military personnel. The FY 2014 DoD base budget was essentially flat at \$496 billion and FY 2015 remains at a similar level. Unless Congress and the President of the United States (the “Administration”) agree to further amend or revoke the BCA, the DoD base budget is expected to increase very modestly to approximately \$500 billion for FY 2016. In the years beyond FY 2016, the BCA permits annual increases for DoD base budget funding of approximately 2% with such caps remaining in effect through FY 2023. The Administration has publicly signaled its intent to submit budget requests that are significantly higher than the BCA caps, as it did in submitting the FY 2016 budget request with all the years exceeding the caps under the BCA. Such levels of DoD budget funding would require the Congress to enact legislation to raise the BCA caps. In the event that DoD appropriations exceed the BCA caps in any fiscal year through FY 2023, an across-the-board sequestration would go into effect, as occurred in FY 2013. Conversely, the Congress could choose to reduce any above-BCA cap budget request, as it did for FY 2014 appropriations.

We derive a significant portion of our business from customers whose principal sales are to the U.S. Government and from direct sales by us to the U.S. Government. Thus, future budget cuts, including cuts mandated by sequestration, or future procurement decisions associated with the authorization and appropriations process could have a material effect on our results of operations, financial position and cash flows. In addition, if Congress is unable to pass appropriation bills in a timely manner, a government shutdown could result which could have impact above and beyond those resulting from budget cuts or sequestration. For additional information related to our sales from customers whose principal sales are to the U.S. Government and our direct sales to the U.S. Government, see “Risk Factors” contained within Part I, Item 1A of this Annual Report on Form 10-K (“Form 10-K”).

NON-AEROSPACE AND DEFENSE END-USE MARKETS OVERVIEW

Our non-aerospace and defense end-use markets, (natural resources, industrial, and medical and other) are diverse and are impacted by the customers’ needs for increasing electronic content and a desire to outsource. Factors expected to impact these markets include capital and industrial goods spending, the number of oil-rigs operating and the level of natural gas exploration in North America and general economic conditions. Our products are used in industrial test systems, energy exploration systems, semiconductor fabrication units, glass electronic manufacturing systems, mine automation and control systems, patient monitoring devices, respiratory care devices, biodecontamination equipment and other technology-driven products. Sales into the industrial end-use markets were approximately 18% of our net revenues during 2014.

We believe our business in these markets has stabilized and we are well positioned for these markets.

SALES AND MARKETING

Our commercial sales are substantially dependent on airframe manufacturers’ production rates of new aircraft. Deliveries of new aircraft by airframe manufacturers are dependent on the financial capacity of its customers, primarily airlines and leasing companies, to purchase the aircraft. Thus, sales of commercial aircraft could be affected as a result of changes in new aircraft orders, or the cancellation or deferral by airlines of purchases of ordered aircraft. Further, our sales for commercial aircraft programs could be affected by changes in our customers’ inventory levels and changes in our customers’ aircraft production build rates. In recent years, both major large aircraft manufacturers, Boeing and Airbus, have announced higher build rates due to increases in production of existing programs, including more fully-developed models, and by the introduction of new platforms.

Military components manufactured by us are employed in many of the country's front-line fighters, bombers, rotary-wing aircraft and support aircraft, as well as land and sea-based applications. Engineering, technical and program management services are provided principally for the United States defense, space and homeland security programs. Our defense business is diversified among a number of military manufacturers and programs. In the space sector, we continue to support various unmanned launch vehicle and satellite programs.

Our sales into the industrial, natural resources, and medical and other commercial markets are customer focused in the various markets and driven primarily by their capital spending and manufacturing outsourcing demands.

Table of Contents

We continue to broaden and diversify our customer base in the end-use markets we serve by providing innovative product and service solutions through drawing on our core competencies, experience and technical expertise. Net revenues related to military and space (defense technologies and defense structures), commercial aerospace, and non-aerospace and defense end-use markets in 2014 and 2013 were as follows:

Many of our contracts are fixed price contracts subject to termination at the convenience of the customer (as well as for default). In the event of termination for convenience, the customer generally is required to pay the costs we have incurred and certain other fees through the date of termination. Larger, long-term government subcontracts may have provisions for milestone payments, progress payments or cash advances for purchase of inventory.

Our marketing efforts primarily consist of developing strong, long-term relationships with our customers, which provide the basis for future sales. These close relationships allow us to gain a better insight into each customer's business needs, identify ways to provide greater value to the customer, and allow us to be designed in early in various products and/or high volume products.

SEASONALITY

The timing of our revenue is governed by the purchasing patterns of our customers, and, as a result, we may not generate revenue equally during the year. However, no material portion of our business is considered to be seasonal.

MAJOR CUSTOMERS

We currently generate the majority of our revenues from the aerospace and defense industries. As a result, we have significant sales to certain customers. Boeing and Raytheon each were approximately ten percent or greater of our 2014 net revenues. Sales to our top ten customers, including Boeing and Raytheon, were approximately 59% of our 2014 net revenues. Net sales by major customer for 2014 and 2013 were as follows:

Table of Contents

Net sales to our customers, except the U.S. Government, are diversified over a number of different military and space, commercial aerospace, natural resources, industrial, medical and other products. For additional information on sales to major customers, see Note 15 to our consolidated financial statements included in Part IV, Item 15(a) of this Form 10-K.

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

We perform concurrent engineering with our customers and product development activities under our self-funded programs as well as under contracts with others. Concurrent engineering and product development activities are performed for commercial, military and space applications. Further, we perform high-technology systems engineering and analysis, principally under customer-funded contracts, with a focus on sensors system simulation, engineering and integration activities.

RAW MATERIALS AND COMPONENTS

Raw materials and components used in the manufacturing of our products include aluminum, titanium, steel and carbon fibers, as well as a wide variety of electronic interconnect and circuit card assemblies and components. These raw materials are generally available from a number of suppliers and are generally in adequate supply. However, from time to time, we have experienced increases in lead times for and limited availability of, aluminum, titanium and certain other raw materials and/or components. Moreover, certain components, supplies and raw materials for our operations are purchased from single source suppliers and occasionally, directed by our customers. In such instances, we strive to develop alternative sources and design modifications to minimize the potential for business interruptions.

COMPETITION

The markets we serve are highly competitive, and our products and services are affected by varying degrees of competition. We compete worldwide with domestic and international companies in most markets. These companies may have competitive advantages as a result of greater financial resources, economies of scale and bundled products and services that we do not offer. Additional information related to competition is discussed in “Risk Factors” contained within Part I, Item 1A of this Form 10-K. Our ability to compete depends principally upon the breadth of our technical capabilities, the quality of our goods and services, competitive pricing, product performance, design and engineering capabilities, new product innovation, the ability to solve specific customer problems, and customer relationships.

PATENTS AND LICENSES

We have several patents, but we do not believe that our operations are dependent upon any single patent or group of patents. In general, we rely on technical superiority, continual product improvement, exclusive product features, superior lead time, on-time delivery performance, quality, and customer relationships to maintain our competitive advantage.

BACKLOG

Backlog is subject to delivery delays or program cancellations, which are beyond our control. Backlog is affected by timing differences in the placement of customer orders and tends to be concentrated in several programs to a greater extent than our net sales. As a result, trends in our overall level of backlog may not be indicative of trends in our future sales. Backlog was approximately \$559.3 million at December 31, 2014, compared to approximately \$620.0 million at December 31, 2013. The decrease in backlog was primarily in the defense technologies end-use markets. Approximately \$447.4 million of total backlog is expected to be delivered during 2015.

ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS

Our business, operations and facilities are subject to numerous stringent federal, state and local environmental laws and regulations issued by government agencies, including the Environmental Protection Agency (“EPA”). Among other matters, these regulatory authorities impose requirements that regulate the emission, discharge, generation, management, transport and disposal of hazardous materials, pollutants and contaminants. These regulations govern public and private response actions to hazardous or regulated substances that threaten to release, or have been released to the environment, and they require us to obtain and maintain licenses and permits in connection with our operations. We may also be required to investigate and remediate the effects of the release or disposal of materials at sites associated with past and present operations. Additionally, this extensive regulatory framework imposes significant compliance burdens and risks on us. We anticipate that capital expenditures will continue to be required for the

foreseeable future to upgrade and maintain our environmental compliance efforts, however, we do not expect such expenditures to be material in 2015 and the foreseeable future.

DAS has been directed by California environmental agencies to investigate and take corrective action for groundwater contamination at its facilities located in Adelanto (a.k.a. El Mirage) and Monrovia, California. Based on currently available

Table of Contents

information, we have accrued approximately \$1.5 million for our estimated liabilities related to these sites. For further information, see Note 14 in the accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements included in Part IV, Item 15(a) of this Form 10-K. In addition, see “Risk Factors” contained within Part I, Item 1A of this Form 10-K for certain risks related to environmental matters.

EMPLOYEES

As of December 31, 2014, we employed approximately 3,150 people, of which approximately 378 are subject to collective bargaining agreements expiring on July 1, 2015 and January 1, 2016. We believe our relations with our employees are good. See “Risk Factors” contained within Part I, Item 1A of this Form 10-K for additional information regarding certain risks related to our employees.

AVAILABLE INFORMATION

General information about us can be obtained from our website address at www.ducommun.com. Our Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, and amendments (including restatements) to those reports, if any, are available free of charge on our website as soon as reasonably practicable after they are filed with or furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”). Information included in our website is not incorporated by reference in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The SEC also maintains a website at www.sec.gov that contains reports, proxy statements and other information regarding SEC registrants, including our company.

Table of Contents

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows may be affected by known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors. We have summarized below the significant, known material risks to our business. Additional risk factors not currently known to us or that we currently believe are immaterial may also impair our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. Any of these risks, uncertainties and other factors could cause our future financial results to differ materially from recent financial results or from currently anticipated future financial results. The risk factors below should be considered together with the information included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K (“Form 10-K”) as well as other required filings by us to the SEC.

RISKS RELATED TO OUR CAPITAL STRUCTURE

Our indebtedness could limit our financing options, adversely affect our financial condition, and prevent us from fulfilling our debt obligations.

At December 31, 2014, we had approximately \$290.0 million of outstanding long-term debt, consisting primarily of \$200.0 million of senior unsecured notes (the “Notes”) and approximately \$90.0 million under our senior secured term loan (the “Term Loan”). As a result, we currently have a relatively higher level of indebtedness than industry participants. The debt was the direct result of our LaBarge Acquisition.

In 2012, we began steps to reduce our debt by making approximately \$25.0 million of voluntary principal prepayments on the Term Loan. In 2013, we paid an additional approximately \$30.0 million of voluntary principal prepayments on our Term Loan. In 2014, we paid another approximately \$42.6 million of additional voluntary principal prepayments. We believe that these prepayments will help facilitate our plans to refinance in 2015. Our ability to complete a debt refinancing in 2015 may be limited, as discussed below in this risk factor. We may have to undertake alternative financing plans, such as selling assets; reducing or delaying scheduled expansions and/or capital investments; or seeking various forms of capital. Our ability to complete alternative financing plans may be affected by circumstances and economic events outside of our control. We cannot ensure that we would be able to refinance our debt or enter into alternative financing plans in adequate amounts on commercially reasonable terms, terms acceptable to us or at all, or that such plans guarantee that we would be able to meet our debt obligations.

Our high level of debt could:

- limit our ability to obtain additional financing to fund future working capital, capital expenditures, investments or acquisitions or other general corporate requirements;
 - require a substantial portion of our cash flows to be dedicated to debt service payments instead of other purposes, thereby reducing the amount of cash flows available for working capital, capital expenditures, investments or acquisitions or other general corporate purposes;
- increase our vulnerability to adverse changes in general economic, industry and competitive conditions;
- place us at a disadvantage compared to other, less leveraged competitors;
- expose us to the risk of increased borrowing costs and higher interest rates as borrowings under our Credit Facilities bear interest at variable rates, which could further adversely impact our cash flows;
- limit our flexibility to plan for and react to changes in our business and the industry in which we compete;
- restrict us from making strategic acquisitions or causing us to make non-strategic divestitures;
- expose us to risk of rating agency downgrades and unfavorable changes in the global credit markets;
 - make it more difficult for us to satisfy our obligations with respect to the Notes and our other debt and maintain financial ratios as discussed below; and
- prevent us from raising the funds necessary to repurchase all Notes tendered to us upon the occurrence of certain changes of control, which failure to repurchase would constitute an event of default under the indenture governing the Notes.

The occurrence of any one of these events could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and ability to satisfy our obligations in respect of our outstanding debt.

We require a considerable amount of cash to service our indebtedness.

Table of Contents

Our ability to make payments on and to refinance our debt and to fund planned capital expenditures and working capital needs, will depend upon our ability to generate significant cash in the future. Our ability to generate cash is subject to economic, financial, competitive, legislative, regulatory and other factors that may be beyond our control. The \$200.0 million Notes bear interest of 9.75% per annum, payable semi-annually. At December 31, 2014, the outstanding balance on the Term Loan was approximately \$90.0 million with an interest rate of 4.75%, consisting of a London Interbank Offered Rate (“LIBOR”) interest rate floor of 1.00%, plus a margin of 3.75%, with interest paid quarterly. In 2013, we successfully repriced our Term Loan by 0.75%, resulting in the current lower rate. Should interest rates increase above the interest rate floor of 1.00%, our debt service cost will increase. Any inability to generate sufficient cash flow could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations. While we expect to meet all of our financial obligations, we cannot ensure you that our business will generate sufficient cash flow from operations in an amount sufficient to enable us to pay our debt or to fund our other liquidity needs. In conjunction with the issuance of the Term Loan in 2011, we obtained a \$60.0 million Revolving Credit Facility (“Revolving Credit Facility”), (collectively the “Credit Facilities”). We cannot ensure that future borrowings will be available under the Revolving Credit Facility, in an amount sufficient to enable us to pay our debt or fund our other liquidity needs, if at all.

We require a considerable amount of cash to fund our anticipated voluntary principal prepayments on our Term Loan. Our ability to continue to reduce our Term Loan through voluntary principal prepayments will be a contributing factor to our ability to refinance our debt in 2015. Our ability to make such prepayments will depend upon our ability to generate significant cash in the future. We cannot ensure that our business will generate sufficient cash flow from operations to fund any such prepayments.

The covenants in the credit agreement to our Credit Facilities and the indenture governing the Notes impose restrictions that may limit our operating and financial flexibility and may limit our ability to make payments on the Notes.

In the event that a certain minimum amount is borrowed and outstanding under our Revolving Credit Facility, for so long as any such amount is outstanding, we will be required to comply with a leverage covenant, as defined in the credit agreement. Furthermore, our consolidated earnings before interest, taxes and depreciation and amortization (“EBITDA”), as defined by the credit agreement to our Credit Facilities, as of the end of any fiscal quarter on a trailing four-quarter basis, is not permitted to be less than \$50.0 million. The leverage covenant decreases over the term of the Revolving Credit Facility, which will require us to generate either increasing cash flows or increasing EBITDA in the future. For example, in October 2013, we completed an amendment to the credit agreement to our Credit Facilities which partially mitigated the impact of the leverage covenant by increasing the leverage ratio by 0.75 for all future periods. We believe the voluntary prepayments on the Term Loan will help reduce our leverage, as defined in the credit agreement.

At December 31, 2014, no amounts were outstanding under the Revolving Credit Facility which would have required us to comply with the leverage covenant. However, we would have been in compliance with such leverage covenant. At December 31, 2014, we were in compliance with the EBITDA covenant. There is no assurance that we will continue to be in compliance with the leverage covenant or the EBITDA covenant in future periods.

Our credit agreement to the Credit Facilities and the indenture governing the Notes contain a number of significant restrictions and covenants that limit our ability, among other things, to incur additional indebtedness, to create liens, to make certain payments, investments and capital expenditures, to pay dividends, to engage in transactions with affiliates, to sell certain assets or enter into mergers.

These covenants could materially and adversely affect our ability to finance our future operations or capital needs. Furthermore, they may restrict our ability to expand, pursue our business strategies and otherwise conduct our business. Our ability to comply with these covenants may be affected by circumstances and events beyond our control, such as prevailing economic conditions and changes in regulations, and we cannot ensure that we will be able to comply with such covenants. These restrictions also limit our ability to obtain future financings to withstand a future downturn in our business or the economy in general. In addition, complying with these covenants may also cause us to take actions that are not favorable to holders of the Notes and may make it more difficult for us to successfully execute our business strategy and compete against companies that are not subject to such restrictions.

A breach of any covenant in credit agreement to the Credit Facilities or the agreements and indentures governing any other indebtedness that we may have outstanding from time to time, including the indenture governing the Notes, would result in a default under that agreement or indenture after any applicable grace periods. A default, if not waived, could result in acceleration of the debt outstanding under the agreement and in a default with respect to, and an acceleration of, the debt outstanding under other debt agreements. If that occurs, we may not be able to make all of the required payments or borrow

Table of Contents

sufficient funds to refinance such debt. A default could permit our lenders to foreclose on any of our assets securing such debt. Even if new financing were available at that time, it may not be on terms or amounts that are acceptable to us or terms as favorable as our current agreements. If our debt is in default for any reason, our business, results of operations and financial condition could be materially and adversely affected.

We may not be able to make the change of control offer required by the indenture governing the Notes.

We may be unable to purchase the Notes upon a change of control, as defined in the indenture governing the Notes.

Upon a change of control, we will be required to offer to purchase all of the Notes then outstanding for cash at 101% of the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, on the date of purchase on the Notes. If a change of control were to occur, we may not have sufficient funds to pay the change of control purchase price and we may be required to secure third-party financing to do so. However, we may not be able to obtain such financing on commercially reasonable terms, on terms acceptable to us or at all.

A change of control under the indenture governing the Notes may also result in an event of default under our Credit Facilities which may cause the acceleration of indebtedness outstanding thereunder, in which case, proceeds of collateral pledged to secure borrowings thereunder would be used to repay such borrowings before we repay the Notes. In addition, our future indebtedness may also contain restrictions on our ability to repurchase the Notes upon certain events, including transactions that could constitute a change of control under the indenture governing the Notes. Our failure to repurchase the Notes upon a change of control would constitute an event of default under the indenture governing the Notes and would have a material adverse effect on our financial condition.

The typical trading volume of our common stock may affect an investor's ability to sell significant stock holdings in the future without negatively impacting stock price.

The level of trading activity may vary daily and typically represents only a small percentage of outstanding shares. As a result, a stockholder who sells a significant amount of shares in a short period of time could negatively affect our share price.

Our amount of debt may require us to raise additional capital to fund operations.

We may sell additional shares of common stock or other equity securities to raise capital in the future, which could dilute the value of an investor's holdings.

RISKS RELATED TO OUR BUSINESS

Our end-use markets are cyclical.

We sell our products into aerospace, defense, and industrial end-use markets, which are cyclical and have experienced periodic declines. Our sales are, therefore, unpredictable and tend to fluctuate based on a number of factors, including global economic conditions, geopolitical developments and conditions, and other developments affecting our end-use markets and the customers served. Consequently, results of operations in any period should not be considered indicative of the operating results that may be experienced in any future period.

We depend upon a selected base of industries and customers, which subjects us to unique risks which may adversely affect us.

We currently generate a majority of our revenue from customers in the aerospace and defense industry. Our business depends, in part, on the level of new military and commercial aircraft orders. As a result, we have significant sales to certain customers. Sales to the Boeing Company comprise the majority of our commercial aerospace end-use market. A significant portion of our net sales in our military and space end-use markets are made under subcontracts with OEMs, under their prime contracts with the U. S. Government. We had significant sales to Raytheon Company in 2014 in our defense technologies end-use market.

Our customers may experience delays in the launch of new products, labor strikes, diminished liquidity or credit unavailability, weak demand for their products, or other difficulties in their business. In addition, sequestration is causing additional uncertainty in the placement of orders.

Our sales to our top ten customers, which represented approximately 59% of our total 2014 net revenues, were diversified over a number of different military and space, commercial aerospace, natural resources, industrial, medical and other products. Any significant change in production rates by these customers would have a material effect on our results of operations and cash flows. There is no assurance that our current significant customers will continue to buy products from us at current levels, and that we will retain any or all of our existing customers, or that we will be able

to form new relationships with customers upon the loss of one or more of our existing customers. This risk may be further complicated by pricing pressures, intense

Table of Contents

competition prevalent in our industry and other factors. A significant reduction in sales to any of our major customers, the loss of a major customer, or a default on a major customer on accounts receivable could have a material adverse impact on our financial results.

In addition, we generally make sales under purchase orders and contracts that are subject to cancellation, modification or rescheduling. Changes in the economic environment and the financial condition of the industries we serve could result in customer cancellation of contractual orders or requests for rescheduling. Some of our contracts have specific provisions relating to schedule and performance, and failure to deliver in accordance with such provisions could result in cancellations, modifications, rescheduling and/or penalties, in some cases at the customers' convenience and without prior notice. While we have normally recovered our direct and indirect costs, such cancellations, modifications, or rescheduling that cannot be replaced in a timely fashion, could have a material adverse effect on our financial results. A significant portion of our business depends upon U.S. Government defense spending.

We derive a significant portion of our business from customers whose principal sales are to the U.S. Government and from direct sales by us to the U.S. Government. Accordingly, the success of our business depends upon government spending generally or for specific departments or agencies in particular. Such spending, among other factors, is subject to the uncertainties of governmental appropriations and national defense policies and priorities, constraints of the budgetary process, timing and potential changes in these policies and priorities, and the adoption of new laws or regulations or changes to existing laws or regulations.

These and other factors could cause the government and government agencies, or prime contractors that use us as a subcontractor, to reduce their purchases under existing contracts, to exercise their rights to terminate contracts at-will or to abstain from exercising options to renew contracts, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Further, certain U.S. Government programs in which we participate may extend for several years; however, these programs are typically funded annually. Changes in the government's strategy and priorities may affect our existing programs and future opportunities. Our government contracts and related orders with the U.S. Government are subject to cancellation, or delay, if appropriations for subsequent performance periods are not made. The termination of funding for existing or new U.S. Government programs, or delays in payment, could have a material adverse effect on our financial results. Although we are not yet able to determine how sequestration will impact specific programs and contracts related to us, we expect the reduced U.S. Department of Defense ("U.S. DoD") budgeted spending that began in fiscal 2014 will continue to result in lower or delayed awards on some of our programs. Reductions, cancellations or delays impacting existing contracts or programs could have a material effect on our financial results.

We are subject to extensive regulation and audit by the Defense Contract Audit Agency.

The accuracy and appropriateness of certain costs and expenses used to substantiate our direct and indirect costs for the U.S. Government contracts are subject to extensive regulation and audit by the Defense Contract Audit Agency, an arm of the U.S. DoD. Such audits and reviews could result in adjustments to our contract costs and profitability.

However, we cannot ensure the outcome of any future audits and adjustments may be required to reduce net sales or profits upon completion and final negotiation of audits. If any audit or review were to uncover inaccurate costs or improper activities, we could be subject to penalties and sanctions, including termination of contracts, forfeiture of profits, suspension of payments, fines and suspension or prohibition from conducting future business with the U.S. Government. Any such outcome could have a material adverse effect on our financial results.

Contracts with some of our customers, including Federal government contracts, contain provisions which give our customers a variety of rights that are unfavorable to us and the OEMs to whom we provide products and services, including the ability to terminate a contract at any time for convenience.

Contracts with some of our customers, including Federal government contracts, contain provisions and are subject to laws and regulations that provide rights and remedies not typically found in commercial contracts. These provisions may allow our customers to:

- terminate existing contracts, in whole or in part, for convenience, as well as for default, or if funds for contract performance for any subsequent year become unavailable;
- suspend or debar us from doing business with the federal government or with a governmental agency;

Table of Contents

prohibit future procurement awards with a particular agency as a result of a finding of an organizational conflict of interest based upon prior related work performed for the agency that would give a contractor an unfair advantage over competing contractors;

claim rights in products and systems produced by us; and

control or prohibit the export of the products and related services we offer.

If the U.S. Government terminates a contract for convenience, the counterparty with whom we have contracted on a subcontract may terminate its contract with us. As a result of any such termination, whether on a direct government contract or subcontract, we may recover only our incurred or committed costs, settlement expenses and profit on work completed prior to the termination. If the U.S. Government terminates a direct contract with us for default, we may not even recover those amounts and instead may be liable for excess costs incurred by the U.S. Government in procuring undelivered items and services from another source. Contracts with foreign governments generally contain similar provisions relating to termination at the convenience of the customer.

In addition, the U.S. Government is typically required to open all programs to competitive bidding and, therefore, may not automatically renew any of its prime contracts. If one or more of our government prime or subcontracts is terminated or cancelled, our failure to replace sales generated from such contracts would result in lower sales and have an adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Further consolidation in the aerospace industry could adversely affect our business and financial results.

The aerospace and defense industry is experiencing significant consolidation, including our customers, competitors and suppliers. Consolidation among our customers may result in delays in the award of new contracts and losses of existing business. Consolidation among our competitors may result in larger competitors with greater resources and market share, which could adversely affect our ability to compete successfully. Consolidation among our suppliers may result in fewer sources of supply and increased cost to us.

We rely on our suppliers to meet the quality or delivery expectations of our customers.

Our ability to deliver our products and services on schedule is dependent upon a variety of factors, including execution of internal performance plans, availability of raw materials, internal and supplier produced parts and structures, conversion of raw materials into parts and assemblies, and performance of suppliers and others.

We rely on numerous third-party suppliers for raw materials and a large proportion of the components used in our production process. Certain of these raw materials and components are available only from single sources or a limited number of suppliers, or similarly, customers' specifications may require us to obtain raw materials and/or components from a single source or certain suppliers. Many of our suppliers are small companies with limited financial resources and manufacturing capabilities. We do not currently have the ability to manufacture these components ourselves.

These and other factors, including the loss of a critical supplier or raw materials and/or component shortages, could cause disruptions or cost inefficiencies in our operations compared to our competitors that have greater direct purchasing power, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial results.

We use estimates when bidding on fixed-price contracts. Changes in our estimates could adversely affect our financial results.

We enter into contracts providing for a firm, fixed-price for the sale of some of our products regardless of the production costs incurred by us. In many cases, we make multi-year firm, fixed-price commitments to our customers, without assurance that our anticipated production costs will be achieved. Contract bidding and accounting require judgment relative to assessing risks, estimating contract net sales and costs, including estimating cost increases over time and efficiencies to be gained, and making assumptions for supplier sourcing and quality, manufacturing scheduling and technical issues over the life of the contract. Such assumptions can be particularly difficult to estimate for contracts with new customers. Our failure to accurately estimate these costs can result in reduced profits or incurred losses. Because of the significance of the judgments and estimates involved, it is possible that materially different amounts could be obtained if different assumptions were used or if the underlying circumstances were to change. Therefore, any changes in our underlying assumptions, circumstances or estimates could have a material adverse effect on our financial results. For example, in the fourth quarter of 2013, we recorded a charge in the DAS segment for the estimated cost to complete of approximately \$5.2 million, consisting of \$3.9 million for the Embraer Legacy 450/500 aircraft contracts, and \$1.3 million for the Boeing 777 wing tip contract. The charges resulted from

difficulties in achieving previously anticipated cost reductions, including delays in transferring work to our lower-cost Guaymas, Mexico facility. The charge for the Embraer Legacy 450/500 contracts also reflects estimated cost overruns for customer driven changes on both the development and production phases of the contracts. See “Revenue Recognition” and “Provision for

Table of Contents

Estimated Losses on Contracts” in Part II, Item 7, Management’s Discussion and Analysis—Critical Accounting Policies, of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for further information.

As we move up the value chain to become a Tier 2 supplier, enhanced design, product development, manufacturing, supply chain project management and other skills will be required.

We may encounter difficulties as we execute our growth strategy to move up the value chain to become a Tier 2 supplier of more complex, value-added assemblies. Difficulties we may encounter include, but are not limited to, the need for enhanced and expanded product design skills, enhanced ability to control and influence our suppliers, enhanced quality control systems and infrastructure, enhanced large-scale project management skills, and expanded industry certifications. Assuming incremental project design responsibilities would require us to assume additional risk in developing cost estimates and could expose us to increased risk of losses. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in obtaining the enhanced skills required to be a Tier 2 supplier or that our customers will outsource such functions to us.

Risks associated with operating and conducting our business outside the United States could adversely impact us. We have facilities in Thailand and Mexico and also derive a portion of our net sales from direct foreign sales. Further, our customers may derive portions of their sales to non-U.S. customers. As a result, we are subject to the risks of conducting and operating our business internationally, including:

- political instability;
- economic and geopolitical developments and conditions;
- compliance with a variety of international laws, as well as U.S. laws affecting the activities of U.S. companies conducting business abroad, including, but not limited to, the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act;
- imposition of taxes, export controls, tariffs, embargoes and other trade restrictions;
- and
- difficulties repatriating funds or restrictions on cash transfers.

While the impact of these factors is difficult to predict, any one or more of these factors could have a material adverse effect on our financial results.

Goodwill and/or other assets could be impaired in the future, which could result in substantial charges.

Goodwill is tested for impairment on an annual basis during our fourth quarter or more frequently if events or circumstances occur which could indicate potential impairment. We also test intangible assets with indefinite life periods for potential impairment annually and on an interim basis if there are indicators of potential impairment. We evaluate amortizable intangible assets, fixed assets, and production cost of contracts for impairment if there are indicators of a potential impairment. In assessing the recoverability of goodwill, management is required to make certain critical estimates and assumptions. These estimates and assumptions include projected sales levels, including addition of new customers, programs or platforms and increased content on existing programs or platforms, improvements in manufacturing efficiency, and reductions in operating costs. Due to many variables inherent in the estimation of a business’s fair value and the relative size of our recorded goodwill, differences in estimates and assumptions may have a material effect on the results of our impairment analysis. If any of these or other estimates and assumptions are not realized in the future, or if market multiples decline, we may be required to record an impairment charge for goodwill in addition to the pre-tax impairment charge of approximately \$54.3 million recorded in 2011. Impairment charges may be incurred against other intangible assets or long-term assets if asset utilization declines, customer demand declines or other circumstances indicate that the asset carrying value may not be recoverable. For example, in the fourth quarter of 2013 we recorded a pre-tax asset impairment charge of \$7.0 million to production cost of contracts for the Embraer Legacy 450/500 and Boeing 777 wing tip contracts. Our production cost of contracts as of December 31, 2014 was approximately \$11.7 million or 1.6% of total assets. Our goodwill and other intangible assets as of December 31, 2014 were approximately \$312.7 million, or 42% of total assets. See “Goodwill and Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets” and “Production Cost of Contracts” in Part II, Item 7, Management’s Discussion and Analysis—Critical Accounting Policies, of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for further information.

OTHER RISKS

Our operations are subject to numerous extensive, complex, costly and evolving laws, regulations and restrictions, and failure to comply with these laws, regulations and restrictions could subject us to penalties and sanctions that could

harm our business.

Prime contracts with various agencies of the U.S. Government, and subcontracts with other prime contractors, are subject to numerous laws and regulations which affect how we do business with our customers and may impose added costs on our

17

Table of Contents

business. As a result, our contracts and operations are subject to numerous, extensive, complex, costly and evolving laws, regulation and restrictions, principally by the U.S. Government or their agencies. These laws, regulation and restrictions govern items including, but not limited to, the formation, administration and performance of U.S. Government contracts, disclosure of cost and pricing data, civil penalties for violations or false claims to the U.S. Government for payment, define reimbursable costs, establish ethical standards for the procurement process and control the import and export of defense articles and services.

Noncompliance could expose us to liability for penalties, including termination of our U.S. Government contracts and subcontracts, disqualification from bidding on future U.S. Government contracts and subcontracts, suspension or debarment from U.S. Government contracting and various other fines and penalties. Noncompliance found by any one agency could result in fines, penalties, debarment or suspension from receiving additional contracts with all U.S. Government agencies. Given our dependence on U.S. Government business, suspension or debarment could have a material adverse effect on our financial results.

In addition, the U.S. Government may revise its procurement practices or adopt new contract rules and regulations, at any time, including increased usage of fixed-price contracts and procurement reform. Such changes could impair our ability to obtain new contracts or subcontracts or renew contracts or subcontracts under which we currently perform when those contracts are put up for recompetition. Any new contracting methods could be costly or administratively difficult for us to implement and could adversely affect our future net sales.

In addition, our international operations subject us to numerous U.S. and foreign laws and regulations, including, without limitation, regulations relating to import-export control, technology transfer restrictions, repatriation of earnings, exchange controls, the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and the anti-boycott provisions of the U.S. Export Administration Act. Changes in regulations or political environments may affect our ability to conduct business in foreign markets including investment, procurement and repatriation of earnings. Failure by us or our sales representatives or consultants to comply with these laws and regulations could result in certain liabilities and could possibly result in suspension or debarment from government contracts or suspension of our export privileges, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial results.

Customer pricing pressures could reduce the demand and/or price for our products and services.

All the markets we serve are highly competitive and price sensitive. We compete worldwide with a number of domestic and international companies that have substantially greater manufacturing, purchasing, marketing and financial resources than we do. Many of our customers have the in-house capability to fulfill their manufacturing requirements. Our larger competitors may be able to vie more effectively for very large-scale contracts than we can by providing different or greater capabilities or benefits such as technical qualifications, past performance on large-scale contracts, geographic presence, price and availability of key professional personnel. If we are unable to successfully compete for new business, our net sales growth and operating margins may decline.

Several of our major customers have completed extensive cost containment efforts and we expect continued pricing pressures in 2015 and beyond. Competitive pricing pressures may have an adverse effect on our financial condition and operating results. Further, there can be no assurance that competition from existing or potential competitors in other segments of our business will not have a material adverse effect on our financial results. If we do not continue to compete effectively and win contracts, our future business, financial condition, results of operations and our ability to meet our financial obligations may be materially compromised.

Our products and processes are subject to risks of obsolescence as a result of changes in technology and evolving industry and regulatory standards.

The future success of our business depends in large part upon our and our customers' ability to maintain and enhance technological capabilities, develop and market manufacturing services that meet changing customer needs and successfully anticipate or respond to technological advances in manufacturing processes on a cost-effective and timely basis, while meeting evolving industry and regulatory standards. To address these risks, we invest in product design and development, and undertake capital expenditures. There can be no guarantee that our product design and development efforts will be successful, or that funds required to be invested in product design and development or incurred as capital expenditures will not increase materially in the future.

Environmental liabilities could adversely affect our financial results.

We are subject to various U.S. and foreign environmental laws and regulations, including those relating to the use, storage, transport, discharge and disposal of hazardous chemicals and materials used and emissions generated during our manufacturing process. We do not carry insurance for these potential environmental liabilities. Any failure by us to comply with present or future regulations could subject us to future liabilities or the suspension of production, which could have a material adverse

18

Table of Contents

effect on our financial results. Moreover, some environmental laws relating to contaminated sites can impose joint and several liability retroactively regardless of fault or the legality of the activities giving rise to the contamination. Compliance with existing or future environmental laws and regulations may require extensive capital expenditures, increase our cost or impact our production capabilities. Even if such expenditures are made, there can be no assurance that we will be able to comply. We have been directed to investigate and take corrective action for groundwater contamination at certain sites. Our ultimate liability for such matters will depend upon a number of factors. See Note 14 to our consolidated financial statements included in Part IV, Item 15(a) of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for further information.

Cyber security attacks, internal system or service failures may adversely impact our business and operations. Any system or service disruptions, including those caused by projects to improve our information technology systems, if not anticipated and appropriately mitigated, could disrupt our business and impair our ability to effectively provide products and related services to our customers and could have a material adverse effect on our business. We could also be subject to systems failures, including network, software or hardware failures, whether caused by us, third-party service providers, intruders or hackers, computer viruses, natural disasters, power shortages or terrorist attacks. Cyber security threats are evolving and include, but are not limited to, malicious software, unauthorized attempts to gain access to sensitive, confidential or otherwise protected information related to us or our products, customers or suppliers, or other acts that could lead to disruptions in our business. Any such failures could cause loss of data and interruptions or delays in our business, cause us to incur remediation costs, subject us to claims and damage our reputation. In addition, the failure or disruption of our communications or utilities could cause us to interrupt or suspend our operations or otherwise adversely affect our business. Our property and business interruption insurance may be inadequate to compensate us for all losses that may occur as a result of any system or operational failure or disruption which would adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

We may not have the ability to renew facilities leases on terms favorable to us and relocation of operations presents risks due to business interruption.

Certain of our manufacturing facilities and offices are leased and have lease terms that expire between 2015 and 2020. The majority of these leases provide renewal options at the fair market rental rate at the time of renewal, which, if renewed, could be significantly higher than our current rental rates. We may be unable to offset these cost increases by charging more for our products and services. Furthermore, continued economic conditions may continue to negatively impact and create greater pressure in the commercial real estate market, causing higher incidences of landlord default and/or lender foreclosure of properties, including properties occupied by us. While we maintain certain non-disturbance rights in most cases, it is not certain that such rights will in all cases be upheld and our continued right of occupancy in such instances is potentially jeopardized. An occurrence of any of these events could have a material adverse effect on our financial results.

Additionally, if we choose to move any of our operations, those operations will be subject to additional relocation costs and associated risks of business interruption.

The occurrence of litigation in which we could be named as a defendant is unpredictable.

From time to time, we and our subsidiaries are involved in various legal and other proceedings that are incidental to the conduct of our business. While we believe no current proceedings, if adversely determined, could have a material adverse effect on our financial results, no assurances can be given. Any such claims may divert financial and management resources that would otherwise be used to benefit our operations and could have a material adverse effect on our financial results.

Product liability claims in excess of insurance could adversely affect our financial results and financial condition. We face potential liability for personal injury or death as a result of the failure of products designed or manufactured by us. Although we currently maintain product liability insurance (including aircraft product liability insurance), any material product liability not covered by insurance could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Damage or destruction of our facilities caused by storms, earthquake or other causes could adversely affect our financial results and financial condition.

We have operations located in regions of the U.S. that may be exposed to damaging storms, earthquakes and other natural disasters. Although we maintain standard property casualty insurance covering our properties and may be able to recover costs associated with certain natural disasters through insurance, we do not carry any earthquake insurance because of the cost of such insurance. Many of our properties are located in Southern California, an area subject to earthquake activity. Our California facilities generated approximately \$251.8 million in net sales during 2014. Even if covered by insurance, any significant damage or destruction of our facilities due to storms, earthquakes or other natural disasters could result in our inability to meet

Table of Contents

customer delivery schedules and may result in the loss of customers and significant additional costs to us. Thus, any significant damage or destruction of our properties could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

We are dependent upon our ability to attract and retain key personnel.

Our success depends in part upon our ability to attract and retain key engineering, technical and managerial personnel, at both the executive and plant level. We face competition for management, engineering and technical personnel from other companies and organizations. The loss of members of our senior management group, or key engineering and technical personnel, could negatively impact our ability to grow and remain competitive in the future and could have a material adverse effect on our financial results.

Labor disruptions by our employees could adversely affect our business.

As of December 31, 2014, we employed approximately 3,150 people. Two of our operating units are parties to collective bargaining agreements, covering 159 full time hourly employees and 219 employees, and will expire July 1, 2015 and January 1, 2016, respectively. Although we have not experienced any material labor-related work stoppage and consider our relations with our employees to be good, labor stoppages may occur in the future. If the unionized workers were to engage in a strike or other work stoppage, if we are unable to negotiate acceptable collective bargaining agreements with the unions or if other employees were to become unionized, we could experience a significant disruption of our operations, higher ongoing labor costs and possible loss of customer contracts, which could have an adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

We have identified material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting which could, if not remediated, adversely impact the reliability of our financial reports, cause us to submit our financial reports in an untimely fashion, result in material misstatements in our financial statements and cause current and potential stockholders to lose confidence in our financial reporting, which in turn could adversely affect the trading price of our common stock. We have concluded that there are material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting as 1) the process to communicate, educate, and measure our employees' understanding of ethical standards and code of conduct across the company was not maintained, 2) the structure, authority, and responsibilities to ensure the objectives of internal control over financial reporting were adequately achieved was not maintained, 3) the design of our internal controls did not include a review of the accuracy of labor distribution to various departments and 4) the process to reconcile and review our income tax accounts was not adequate. These material weaknesses resulted in material misstatements of our historical financial statements, which necessitated a restatement of our consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2013 and for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 and our unaudited quarterly financial information for the first three quarters in the year ended December 31, 2014 and for each of the quarters in the year ended December 31, 2013 to correct for errors in the contract forward loss reserve, cost of goods sold, deferred income taxes, goodwill, goodwill impairment, additional-paid-in-capital and income tax expense, as applicable, in each reporting period. Additionally, these material weaknesses could result in a material misstatement of account balances or disclosures that would result in a misstatement to the annual or interim consolidated financial statements that would not be prevented or detected. Thus, management has determined that our disclosure controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting were not effective as of December 31, 2014.

Under standards established by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board ("PCAOB"), a material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of our annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected and corrected on a timely basis. The existence of this issue could adversely affect us, our reputation or investor perceptions of us. We have and will continue to take additional measures to remediate the underlying causes of the material weaknesses noted above. As we continue to evaluate and work to remediate the material weaknesses, we may determine to take additional measures to address the control deficiencies. Also, see Item 9A in Part II of this Form 10-K. We expect to incur additional costs remediating these material weaknesses.

Although we plan to complete this remediation process as quickly as possible, we cannot at this time estimate how long it will take, and our measures may not prove to be successful in remediating these material weaknesses. If our remedial measures are insufficient to address the material weaknesses, or if additional material weaknesses or

significant deficiencies in our internal control over financial reporting are discovered or occur in the future, our consolidated financial statements may contain material misstatements and we could be required to restate our financial results. In addition, if we are unable to successfully remediate these material weaknesses and if we are unable to produce accurate and timely financial statements, our stock price may be adversely affected and we may be unable to maintain compliance with applicable stock exchange listing requirements and debt covenant requirements.

20

Table of Contents

The restatement of our consolidated financial statements and possible related events, should they occur, may consume our time and resources and may have an adverse effect on our business and stock price.

As discussed in Note 2 to our consolidated financial statements included in Part IV, Item 15(a) of this Form 10-K, we have restated in this Form 10-K our consolidated financial statements to correct errors in prior periods primarily related to (i) a long-term contract (“Contract”) following the discovery of misconduct by employees in the recording of direct labor costs to the Contract from 2009 through the third quarter 2014 which resulted in the identification of a forward loss provision that should have been recorded in 2009 and the impact on subsequent periods of adjustments to the forward loss provision based on information available at the time; and (ii) the year end reconciliation of income taxes payable and deferred tax balances identified errors primarily in 2013, 2012, and 2011.

As with all corporate controls, we cannot be certain that the measures we have taken, and are taking, to remedy the errors since they were discovered will ensure that no errors occur in the future. Further, the restatement may affect investor confidence in the accuracy of our financial reporting and disclosures, may raise reputational issues for our business and may negatively impact our stock price.

Although we have now completed the restatement, we cannot guarantee that we will not receive regulatory inquiries or be subject to litigation regarding our restated financial statements or related matters. Were any such future regulatory inquiries or litigation to occur, regardless of the outcome, such actions would likely consume internal resources and result in additional legal and consulting costs.

Enacted and proposed changes in securities laws and regulations have increased our costs and may continue to increase our costs in the future.

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the “Dodd-Frank Act”), enacted in July 2010, expands federal regulation of corporate governance matters. While some provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act are effective upon enactment, others will be implemented upon the SEC’s adoption of related rules and regulations. The scope and timing of the adoption of such rules and regulations is uncertain and accordingly, the cost of compliance with the Dodd-Frank Act is also uncertain.

The Dodd-Frank Act contains provisions to improve transparency and accountability concerning the supply of certain minerals originating from the Democratic Republic of Congo and adjoining countries that are believed to be benefiting armed groups (“Conflict Minerals”). The provision does not prevent companies from using conflict minerals; however the SEC mandates due diligence, disclosure and reporting requirements for companies which manufacture products that include components containing such conflict minerals in a Form SD (“Form SD”). These due diligence, disclosure and initial reporting requirements applied to our activities in calendar year 2013 and our first annual filing was completed by the June 2, 2014 due date. These regulations and disclosures in our Form SDs could result in our customers’ request to not use Conflict Minerals in our products they purchase from us. The number of suppliers who provide conflict-free minerals may be limited and thus, decrease the availability and increase the prices of components free of such Conflict Minerals used in our products. In addition, the compliance process will be both time-consuming and costly. Since our supply chain is complex, we may not be able to sufficiently verify the origins of the relevant minerals used in our products through our due diligence procedures, which may harm our reputation with our customers and other stakeholders. In addition, we may be unable to satisfy customers who require that all components included in our products be conflict-free, which could place us at a competitive disadvantage.

Our efforts to comply with the Dodd-Frank Act and other evolving laws, regulations and standards are likely to result in increased general and administrative expenses and a diversion of management time and attention from revenue generating activities to compliance activities. Further, compliance with new and existing laws, rules, regulations and standards may make it more difficult and expensive for us to maintain director and officer liability insurance, and we may be required to accept reduced coverage or incur substantially higher costs to obtain coverage.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

We occupy approximately 33 owned or leased facilities, totaling over 2.2 million square feet of manufacturing area and office space. At December 31, 2014, facilities which were in excess of 50,000 square feet each were occupied as follows:

| Location | Segment | Square Feet | Expiration of Lease |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|---------------------|
| Carson, California | Ducommun AeroStructures | 286,000 | Owned |
| Monrovia, California | Ducommun AeroStructures | 274,000 | Owned |
| Coxsackie, New York | Ducommun AeroStructures | 168,000 | Owned |
| Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania | Ducommun LaBarge Technologies | 136,000 | 2017 |
| Parsons, Kansas | Ducommun AeroStructures | 120,000 | Owned |
| Carson, California | Ducommun LaBarge Technologies | 117,000 | 2016 |
| Phoenix, Arizona | Ducommun LaBarge Technologies | 100,000 | 2017 |
| Joplin, Missouri | Ducommun LaBarge Technologies | 92,000 | Owned |
| Appleton, Wisconsin | Ducommun LaBarge Technologies | 77,000 | Owned |
| Orange, California | Ducommun AeroStructures | 76,000 | Owned |
| Adelanto, California | Ducommun AeroStructures | 74,000 | Owned |
| Huntsville, Arkansas | Ducommun LaBarge Technologies | 69,000 | 2020 |
| Iuka, Mississippi | Ducommun LaBarge Technologies | 66,000 | 2016 |
| Carson, California | Ducommun AeroStructures | 65,000 | 2019 |
| Coxsackie, New York | Ducommun AeroStructures | 65,000 | 2015 |
| Joplin, Missouri | Ducommun LaBarge Technologies | 55,000 | 2016 |
| Tulsa, Oklahoma | Ducommun LaBarge Technologies | 55,000 | Owned |
| Huntsville, Alabama | Ducommun LaBarge Technologies | 52,000 | 2017 |
| Berryville, Arkansas | Ducommun LaBarge Technologies | 52,000 | Owned |

Management believes these properties are adequate to meet our current requirements, are in good condition and are suitable for their present use.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

See Note 14 to our consolidated financial statements included in Part IV, Item 15(a) of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for a description of our legal proceedings.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

Table of Contents

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR THE REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Our common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol DCO. As of December 31, 2014, we had approximately 207 holders of record of our common stock. We have not paid any dividends since the first quarter of 2011 and we do not expect to pay dividends for the foreseeable future. See "Available Liquidity" in Part II, Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis—Liquidity and Capital Resources—Available Liquidity, of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for further discussion on dividend restrictions under our Credit Facility. The following table sets forth the high and low closing prices per share of our common stock as reported on the New York Stock Exchange for the fiscal periods indicated:

| | Years Ended December 31, | | | |
|----------------|--------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| | 2014 | | 2013 | |
| | High | Low | High | Low |
| First Quarter | \$31.35 | \$22.80 | \$22.60 | \$14.32 |
| Second Quarter | \$27.74 | \$22.45 | \$26.71 | \$17.79 |
| Third Quarter | \$32.00 | \$22.60 | \$29.37 | \$20.40 |
| Fourth Quarter | \$29.54 | \$23.52 | \$30.98 | \$24.17 |

See "Part III, Item 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS" for information relating to shares to be issued under equity compensation plans.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

In 2011, we terminated our stock repurchase program.

Table of Contents

Performance Graph

The following graph compares the yearly percentage change in our cumulative total shareholder return with the cumulative total return of the Russell 2000 Index and the Spade Defense Index for the periods indicated, assuming the reinvestment of any dividends. The graph is not necessarily indicative of future price performance:

24

Table of Contents

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

We have restated the selected financial data presented in this report as of and for each of the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, 2011, and 2010 to reflect adjustments to our previously issued consolidated financial statements as more fully described in Note 2 to our consolidated financial statements included in Part IV, Item 15(a) of this Annual Report on Form 10-K (“Form 10-K”).

The following selected consolidated financial data should be read in conjunction with Part II, Item 7 and Part IV, Item 15(a) of this Form 10-K:

| | (In thousands, except per share amounts) | | | | |
|--|--|-------------|-------------|------------------|-------------|
| | Years Ended December 31, | | | | |
| | 2014 | 2013(a)(b) | 2012(c) | 2011(d)(e)(f)(g) | 2010(h) |
| | | As Restated | As Restated | As Restated | As Restated |
| Net Revenues | \$742,045 | \$736,650 | \$747,037 | \$580,914 | \$408,406 |
| Gross Profit as a Percentage of Net Revenues | 18.9 | % 16.9 | % 19.3 | % 18.2 | % 19.1 |
| Income Before (Loss) Taxes | 26,240 | 9,385 | 24,124 | (53,798) | 21,978 |
| Income Tax Expense (Benefit) | 6,373 | (1,993) | 6,501 | (4,877) | 3,976 |
| Net Income (Loss) | \$19,867 | \$11,378 | \$17,623 | \$(48,921) | \$18,002 |
| Per Common Share | | | | | |
| Basic earnings (loss) per share | \$1.82 | \$1.06 | \$1.67 | \$(4.64) | \$1.72 |
| Diluted earnings (loss) per share | \$1.79 | \$1.05 | \$1.66 | \$(4.64) | \$1.70 |
| Dividends per share ⁽ⁱ⁾ | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$0.07 | \$0.30 |
| Working Capital | \$217,670 | \$225,323 | \$219,774 | \$218,665 | \$84,550 |
| Total Assets | \$747,599 | \$762,645 | \$777,275 | \$805,823 | \$347,419 |
| Long-Term Debt, Including Current Portion | \$290,052 | \$332,702 | \$365,744 | \$392,240 | \$3,280 |
| Total Shareholders’ Equity | \$256,570 | \$234,271 | \$215,217 | \$195,640 | \$248,512 |

(a) The results for 2013 include an approximate \$14.1 million in charges related to the Embraer Legacy 450/500 and Boeing 777 wing tip contracts and was comprised of approximately \$7.0 million of asset impairment charges for production cost of contracts; approximately \$5.2 million of forward loss reserves and approximately \$1.9 million of inventory write-offs.

(b) See Note 2 to our consolidated financial statements included in Part IV, Item 15(a) of this Form 10-K.

(c) See Note 2 to our consolidated financial statements included in Part IV, Item 15(a) of this Form 10-K.

(d) In June 2011, we acquired LaBarge Inc., which is now a part of our DLT operating segment. The acquisition was accounted for as a business combination.

(e) The results for 2011 include a goodwill impairment charge of approximately \$54.3 million resulting from our annual impairment testing. The 2011 results also include approximately \$2.4 million of inventory step-up adjustments in cost of sales and approximately \$16.1 million of merger-related transaction expenses.

(f) The results for 2011 also included the correction of errors in prior periods primarily related to a long-term contract following the discovery of misconduct by employees in the recording of direct labor costs to the contract from 2009 through the third quarter 2014 which resulted in the identification of a forward loss provision that should have been recorded in 2009 and then the subsequent adjustment of adjustments to the forward loss provision based on information available at the time (“Forward Loss Adjustments”). As a result of the Forward Loss Adjustments, cost of goods sold decreased approximately \$0.3 million in 2011.

(g) The results for 2011 additionally included the correction of errors as a result of the year end reconciliation of income taxes payable and deferred tax balances (“Tax Adjustments”). The Tax Adjustments resulted in a reduction to the carrying value of goodwill totaling approximately \$4.0 million due to a calculation error in the original purchase price allocation and subsequent performance of step 2 of our annual goodwill impairment analysis related to deferred income taxes and thus, 1) reduced deferred income taxes by approximately \$2.7 million and 2)

generated a pre-tax goodwill impairment charge of approximately \$1.4 million. Further, the Tax Adjustments in 2011 reduced deferred tax assets by approximately \$1.6 million that were established as a result of shared-based compensation expenses recorded previously and should have been reduced as the tax deductions were utilized.

The results for 2010 included Forward Loss Adjustments and as a result, cost of goods sold increased approximately \$1.3 million. In addition, the adjustments related to the years prior to 2010 are reflected in the beginning retained earnings for 2010. The cumulative impact of these adjustments decreased retained earnings by approximately \$3.9 million, net of tax, at the beginning of 2010.

(i) We suspended payments of dividends after the first quarter of 2011.

Table of Contents**Item 7. MANAGEMENT’S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS****Restatement of Previously Issued Financial Statements**

We have restated our consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2013, and for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 and our unaudited quarterly financial information for the first three quarters in the year ended December 31, 2014 and for each of the quarters in the year ended December 31, 2013, to correct errors in prior periods primarily related to (i) a long-term contract (“Contract”) following the discovery of misconduct by employees in the recording of direct labor costs to the Contract from 2009 through the third quarter 2014 which resulted in the identification of a forward loss provision that should have been recorded in 2009 and the impact on subsequent periods of adjustments to the forward loss provision based on information available at the time (“Forward Loss Adjustments”); and (ii) the year end reconciliation of income taxes payable and deferred tax balances identified errors primarily in 2013, 2012, and 2011 (“Tax Adjustments”). The misconduct and its related financial impact were concealed from our senior management, internal auditors, and external auditors.

The Forward Loss Adjustments were based on certain assumptions and estimates. To determine the loss on the Contract, we estimated the number of units we would have expected to ship over the life of the Contract at inception of the Contract using external market industry data for fiscal years 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, and 2013. We used data obtained directly from the customer for 2014 and 2015. The total estimated costs at any given point in time would typically include actual historical costs up to that time plus the estimated cost to produce units to be delivered. In addition, the estimated total cost for the life of the Contract includes certain inefficiencies on labor, material, and overhead costs during the initial start-up period. However, as we progress along the learning curve, the direct labor hours and overhead rates are expected to decrease as we gain technical knowhow and efficiency in producing the product. As a result of the misconduct by the employees in the recording of direct labor hours to the Contract, the historical actual direct labor hours charged to the Contract were inaccurate. As a result, we estimated the costs to complete future units at the end of each period based on an estimate of the direct labor hours chargeable to the Contract, including consideration of anticipated learning curve efficiencies that would decrease the direct labor hours over the remaining term of the Contract. Further, we used the actual direct labor hours incurred by the employees assigned to the Contract as a basis for projecting future hours, less an estimate of the time not allocable to the Contract. Using this model, we calculated the Forward Loss Adjustments from the inception of the Contract in 2009 through the expected life of the Contract. As a result of the Forward Loss Adjustments, cost of goods sold increased (decreased) approximately \$6.7 million in 2009, \$1.3 million in 2010, \$(0.3) million in 2011, \$(2.2) million in 2012, \$(0.9) million in 2013, and \$(0.8) million in the nine months ended September 27, 2014.

The Tax Adjustments were necessary as a result of certain calculation errors. The Tax Adjustments resulted in a net decrease to income tax expense of approximately \$0.9 million in 2013 and zero in 2012. The Tax Adjustments in 2011 resulted in a reduction to the carrying value of goodwill totaling approximately \$4.0 million due to a calculation error in the original purchase price allocation and subsequent performance of step 2 of our annual goodwill impairment analysis related to deferred income taxes and thus, (i) reduced deferred income taxes by approximately \$2.7 million and (ii) generated a pre-tax goodwill impairment charge of approximately \$1.4 million. Further, the Tax Adjustments in 2011 reduced deferred tax assets by approximately \$1.6 million that were established as a result of shared-based compensation expenses recorded previously and should have been reduced as the tax deductions were utilized.

Moreover, the restated amounts include previously identified and disclosed immaterial adjustments. The amounts in this Item 7 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K are reflective of the restatement which includes previously identified and disclosed immaterial adjustments. See Note 2 to our consolidated financial statements included in Part IV, Item 15(a) of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for additional information.

See Part II, Item 9A of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for information regarding our controls and procedures.

Overview

Ducommun Incorporated (“Ducommun,” “the Company,” “we,” “us” or “our”) is a leading global provider of engineering and manufacturing services for high-performance products and high-cost-of failure applications used primarily in the aerospace, defense, industrial, natural resources, medical and other industries. We differentiate ourselves as a full-service solution-based provider, offering a wide range of value-added products and services in our primary

businesses of electronics, structures and integrated solutions. We operate through two primary business units: Ducommun LaBarge Technologies (“DLT”) and Ducommun AeroStructures (“DAS”). During 2014, we made significant progress in our plan to de-lever the balance sheet, reduce interest expense and further our ability to refinance our debt in 2015. While 2014 saw growth in our commercial aerospace and industrial revenue, it was

Table of Contents

partially offset by the continued weakness in defense revenue. Revenue and backlog for our commercial aerospace business remains strong, reflecting increased build rates.

Highlights for the year ended December 31, 2014 were as follows:

• 2014 net revenues were approximately \$742.0 million

• Net income was approximately \$19.9 million, or \$1.79 per diluted share

• Cash generated from operating activities was approximately \$53.4 million

• We made voluntary principal prepayments in aggregate of approximately \$42.6 million on the term loan

For the year ended December 31, 2014, EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA was approximately \$83.3 million. See Non-GAAP Financial Measures below for certain information regarding EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA, including reconciliations of EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA to net income.

Non-GAAP Financial Measures

When viewed with our financial results prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”) and accompanying reconciliations, we believe EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA provide additional useful information to clarify and enhance the understanding of the factors and trends affecting our past performance and future prospects. We define these measures, explain how they are calculated and provide reconciliations of these measures to the most comparable GAAP measure in the tables below. EBITDA, Adjusted EBITDA and the related financial ratios, as presented in this Annual Report on Form 10-K (“Form 10-K”), are supplemental measures of our performance that are not required by, or presented in accordance with, GAAP. They are not a measurement of our financial performance under GAAP and should not be considered as alternatives to net income or any other performance measures derived in accordance with GAAP, or as an alternative to net cash provided by operating activities as measures of our liquidity. The presentation of these measures should not be interpreted to mean that our future results will be unaffected by unusual or nonrecurring items.

We use EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA non-GAAP operating performance measures internally as complementary financial measures to evaluate the performance and trends of our businesses. We present EBITDA, Adjusted EBITDA and the related financial ratios, as applicable, because we believe that measures such as these provide useful information with respect to our ability to meet our future debt service, capital expenditures, working capital requirements and overall operating performance.

EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA have limitations as analytical tools, and you should not consider them in isolation or as substitutes for analysis of our results as reported under GAAP. Some of these limitations are:

• They do not reflect our cash expenditures, future requirements for capital expenditures or contractual commitments;

• They do not reflect changes in, or cash requirements for, our working capital needs;

• They do not reflect the significant interest expense or the cash requirements necessary to service interest or principal payments on our debt;

• Although depreciation and amortization are non-cash charges, the assets being depreciated and amortized will often have to be replaced in the future, and EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA do not reflect any cash requirements for such replacements;

• They are not adjusted for all non-cash income or expense items that are reflected in our statements of cash flows;

• They do not reflect the impact on earnings of charges resulting from matters unrelated to our ongoing operations; and

• Other companies in our industry may calculate EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA differently from us, limiting their usefulness as comparative measures.

Because of these limitations, EBITDA, Adjusted EBITDA and the related financial ratios should not be considered as measures of discretionary cash available to us to invest in the growth of our business or as a measure of cash that will be available to us to meet our obligations. You should compensate for these limitations by relying primarily on our GAAP results and using EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA only as supplemental information. See our consolidated financial statements contained in this Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

However, in spite of the above limitations, we believe that EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA are useful to an investor in evaluating our results of operations because these measures:

Are widely used by investors to measure a company's operating performance without regard to items excluded from the calculation of such terms, which can vary substantially from company to company depending upon accounting methods and book value of assets, capital structure and the method by which assets were acquired, among other factors;

Help investors to evaluate and compare the results of our operations from period to period by removing the effect of our capital structure from our operating performance; and

- Are used by our management team for various other purposes in presentations to our Board of Directors as a basis for strategic planning and forecasting.

The following financial items have been added back to our net income when calculating EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA:

Amortization expense may be useful to investors because it represents the estimated attrition of our acquired customer base and the diminishing value of product rights;

Depreciation may be useful to investors because it generally represents the wear and tear on our property and equipment used in our operations;

Asset impairments (including Goodwill) may be useful to our investors because it generally represents a decline in value in our assets used in our operations;

Merger-related expenses may be useful to investors for determining current cash flow;

Interest expense may be useful to investors for determining current cash flow; and

Income tax expense may be useful to investors because it represents the taxes which may be payable for the period and the change in deferred taxes during the period, and may reduce cash flow available for use in our business.

Reconciliations of net income to EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA and the presentation of Adjusted EBITDA as a percentage of net sales were as follows:

| | (In thousands) | | |
|--|--------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
| | 2014 | 2013 As Restated | 2012 As Restated |
| Net income | \$19,867 | \$11,378 | \$17,623 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 29,024 | 30,926 | 29,413 |
| Interest expense | 28,077 | 29,918 | 32,798 |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | 6,373 | (1,993) | 6,501 |
| EBITDA | 83,341 | 70,229 | 86,335 |
| Asset impairment ⁽¹⁾ | — | 6,975 | — |
| Merger-related expenses ⁽²⁾ | — | — | 702 |
| | — | 6,975 | 702 |
| Adjusted EBITDA | \$83,341 | \$77,204 | \$87,037 |
| % of net revenues | 11.2 | % 10.5 | % 11.7 |

(1) 2013 includes asset impairment charges for production of contracts for the Embraer Legacy 450/500 contracts and Boeing 777 wing tip contract.

(2) 2012 include merger-related transaction costs and change-in-control provision for certain LaBarge key executives and employees arising in connection with the LaBarge Acquisition.

Adjusted EBITDA increased in 2014 compared to 2013 primarily due to fourth quarter 2013 charges of approximately \$14.1 million for the Embraer Legacy 450/500 and Boeing 777 wing tip contracts.

Adjusted EBITDA decreased in 2013 over 2012 primarily due to decreased net sales, mainly in non-aerospace and defense end-use markets, fourth quarter charges of approximately \$14.1 million for the Embraer Legacy 450/500 and Boeing 777 wing tip contracts, and higher inventory reserve charges.

Table of Contents

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

2014 Compared to 2013

The following table sets forth net revenues, selected financial data, the effective tax rate and diluted earnings per share:

| | (in thousands, except per share data) | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|--|
| | Years Ended December 31, | | | | | |
| | 2014 | % | 2013 | % | | |
| | | of Net Revenues | As Restated | of Net Revenues | As Restated | |
| Net Revenues | \$742,045 | 100.0 | % \$736,650 | 100.0 | % | |
| Cost of Sales | 601,713 | 81.1 | % 612,498 | 83.1 | % | |
| Gross Profit | 140,332 | 18.9 | % 124,152 | 16.9 | % | |
| Selling, General and Administrative Expenses | 88,565 | 11.9 | % 84,849 | 11.5 | % | |
| Operating Income | 51,767 | 7.0 | % 39,303 | 5.3 | % | |
| Interest Expense | (28,077 |) (3.8 |)% (29,918 |) (4.1 |)% | |
| Other Income | 2,550 | 0.3 | % — | — | % | |
| Income Before Taxes | 26,240 | 3.5 | % 9,385 | 1.3 | % | |
| Income Tax Expense (Benefit) | 6,373 | nm | (1,993 |) nm | | |
| Net Income | \$19,867 | 2.7 | % \$11,378 | 1.5 | % | |
| Effective Tax Rate (Benefit) | 24.3 | % nm | (21.2 |)% nm | | |
| Diluted Earnings Per Share | \$1.79 | nm | \$1.05 | nm | | |

nm = not meaningful

Table of Contents

Net Revenues by End-Use Market and Operating Segment

Net revenues by end-use market and operating segment during 2014 and 2013, respectively, were as follows:

| | Change | (In thousands) | | % of Net Sales | | |
|---|----------------|--------------------------|------------------|----------------|--------------|----------|
| | | Years Ended December 31, | | 2014 | 2013 | |
| | | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 | |
| Consolidated Ducommun | | | | | | |
| Military and space | | | | | | |
| Defense technologies | \$(18,983) | \$241,583 | \$260,566 | 32 | % 35 | % |
| Defense structures | (12,797) | 124,298 | 137,095 | 17 | % 19 | % |
| Commercial aerospace | 28,889 | 242,143 | 213,254 | 33 | % 29 | % |
| Natural resources | 6,096 | 45,220 | 39,124 | 6 | % 5 | % |
| Industrial | (3,975) | 42,661 | 46,636 | 6 | % 6 | % |
| Medical and other | 6,165 | 46,140 | 39,975 | 6 | % 6 | % |
| Total | \$5,395 | \$742,045 | \$736,650 | 100 | % 100 | % |
| DAS | | | | | | |
| Military and space (defense structures) | \$(12,797) | \$124,298 | \$137,095 | 39 | % 43 | % |
| Commercial aerospace | 17,521 | 195,658 | 178,137 | 61 | % 57 | % |
| Total | \$4,724 | \$319,956 | \$315,232 | 100 | % 100 | % |
| DLT | | | | | | |
| Military and space (defense technologies) | \$(18,983) | \$241,583 | \$260,566 | 57 | % 62 | % |
| Commercial aerospace | 11,368 | 46,485 | 35,117 | 11 | % 8 | % |
| Natural resources | 6,096 | 45,220 | 39,124 | 11 | % 9 | % |
| Industrial | (3,975) | 42,661 | 46,636 | 10 | % 11 | % |
| Medical and other | 6,165 | 46,140 | 39,975 | 11 | % 10 | % |
| Total | \$671 | \$422,089 | \$421,418 | 100 | % 100 | % |

Net revenues for 2014 were approximately \$742.0 million compared to approximately \$736.7 million for 2013. The net revenue increase reflects an approximate 14% increase in revenue in the commercial aerospace end-use markets and an approximate 7% increase in revenue in the non-aerospace and defense end-use markets, partially offset by an approximate 8% decrease in revenue in the military and space end-use markets.

Net Revenues by Major Customers

A significant portion of our net revenues are from our top ten customers as follows:

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | |
| Boeing | 20 | % 18 | % |
| Raytheon | 9 | % 10 | % |
| Top ten customers | 59 | % 57 | % |

The revenues from Boeing and Raytheon are diversified over a number of commercial, military and space programs and were made by both operating segments.

Gross Profit

Gross profit margin and dollars increased in 2014 primarily due to reversal of forward loss reserve as a result of a settlement with a customer, a favorable product mix, an approximately \$0.8 million workers' compensation audit refund related to prior

Table of Contents

years, combined with charges in the prior year of approximately \$14.1 million in the DAS operating segment, partially offset by an increase in accrued compensation and benefit costs.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses (“SG&A”)

SG&A expenses increased in 2014 primarily due to higher accrued compensation and benefit costs that was partially offset by lower non-recurring professional fees and the prior year included an approximate \$0.5 million charge related to our debt repricing transaction.

Interest Expense

Interest expense decreased in 2014 primarily due to lower outstanding debt balances and interest rate reduction as a result of repricing our term loan towards the end of the first quarter of 2013. See Note 7 to our consolidated financial statements included in Part IV, Item 15(a) of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for further information on our long-term debt.

Income Tax Expense

We recorded income tax expense of approximately \$6.4 million (an effective tax rate of 24.3%) in 2014, compared to an income tax benefit of approximately \$2.0 million (an effective tax benefit rate of 21.2%) in 2013.

Our effective tax rate of approximately 24.3% for 2014 was lower than the federal statutory rate of 35.0% primarily due to the benefit of the federal qualified domestic production activities deduction and the federal research and development tax credit. These benefits were approximately \$0.6 million and \$2.4 million, respectively. Our effective tax benefit rate of approximately 21.2% in 2013 was lower than the federal statutory rate of 35.0% primarily due to the benefit of the federal qualified domestic production activities deduction and the federal research and development tax credit. These benefits were approximately \$0.8 million and \$4.5 million, respectively. The approximately \$4.5 million benefit included approximately \$2.0 million of 2012 federal research and development tax credit benefit recognized in the first quarter of 2013. The 2012 benefit was recognized in 2013 as a result of the American Taxpayer Relief Act of 2012 (the “Act”). The Act extended the federal research and development tax credit for the years 2013 and 2012.

Net Income and Earnings per Diluted Share

Net income and earnings per diluted share for 2014 were approximately \$19.9 million, or \$1.79 per diluted share, compared to approximately \$11.4 million, or \$1.05 per diluted share, for 2013. Net income for 2014 increased primarily due to higher gross profit, insurance recoveries related to property and equipment, lower interest expense combined with charges of approximately \$14.1 million recorded in the prior year related to the Embraer Legacy 450/500 and Boeing 777 wing tip contracts, partially offset by higher income tax expense.

Table of Contents

Business Segment Performance

We report our financial performance based upon the two reportable operating segments: DAS and DLT. The results of operations differ between our reportable operating segments due to differences in competitors, customers, extent of proprietary deliverables and performance. The following table summarizes our business segment performance for 2014 and 2013:

| | % Change | (In thousands) Years Ended December 31, 2014 | 2013 As Restated | % of Net Sales 2014 | % of Net Sales 2013 As Restated | | |
|--|-------------|--|---------------------|---------------------------|--|---|--|
| Net Revenues | | | | | | | |
| DAS | 1.5 | % \$ 319,956 | \$ 315,232 | 43.1 | % 42.8 | % | |
| DLT | 0.2 | % 422,089 | 421,418 | 56.9 | % 57.2 | % | |
| Total Net Revenues | 0.7 | % \$ 742,045 | \$ 736,650 | 100.0 | % 100.0 | % | |
| Segment Operating Income | | | | | | | |
| DAS ⁽²⁾ | | \$ 34,949 | \$ 19,008 | 10.9 | % 6.0 | % | |
| DLT ⁽³⁾ | | 34,599 | 37,030 | 8.2 | % 8.8 | % | |
| | | 69,548 | 56,038 | | | | |
| Corporate General and Administrative Expenses ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾ | | (17,781) | (16,735) | (2.4) | % (2.3) | % | |
| Total Operating Income | | \$ 51,767 | \$ 39,303 | 7.0 | % 5.3 | % | |
| EBITDA | | | | | | | |
| DAS | | | | | | | |
| Operating Income ⁽²⁾ | | \$ 34,949 | \$ 19,008 | | | | |
| Other Income ⁽⁴⁾ | | 2,550 | — | | | | |
| Depreciation and Amortization | | 10,959 | 12,406 | | | | |
| | | 48,458 | 31,414 | 15.1 | % 10.0 | % | |
| DLT | | | | | | | |
| Operating Income ⁽³⁾ | | 34,599 | 37,030 | | | | |
| Depreciation and Amortization | | 17,928 | 18,346 | | | | |
| | | 52,527 | 55,376 | 12.4 | % 13.1 | % | |
| Corporate General and Administrative Expenses ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾ | | | | | | | |
| Operating Loss | | (17,781) | (16,735) | | | | |
| Depreciation and Amortization | | 137 | 174 | | | | |
| | | (17,644) | (16,561) | | | | |
| EBITDA | | \$ 83,341 | \$ 70,229 | 11.2 | % 9.5 | % | |
| Adjusted EBITDA | | | | | | | |
| Asset impairments ⁽²⁾ | | \$ — | \$ 6,975 | | | | |
| Adjusted EBITDA | | \$ 83,341 | \$ 77,204 | 11.2 | % 10.5 | % | |
| Capital Expenditures | | | | | | | |
| DAS | | | | | | | |
| | | \$ 12,742 | \$ 8,287 | | | | |
| DLT | | | | | | | |
| | | 5,782 | 5,000 | | | | |
| Corporate Administration | | | | | | | |
| | | 30 | 116 | | | | |
| Total Capital Expenditures | | \$ 18,554 | \$ 13,403 | | | | |

(1)Includes costs not allocated to either the DLT or DAS operating segments.

(2)2013 includes approximately \$14.1 million in charges related to fourth quarter asset impairment charges of \$5.7 million on the Embraer Legacy 450/500 contracts and \$1.3 million on the Boeing 777 wing tip contract which are

added back to adjusted EBITDA; forward loss reserves of \$3.9 million on the Embraer Legacy 450/500 contracts and \$1.3 million on the Boeing 777 wing tip contract; and inventory write-offs of \$1.9 million on the Embraer Legacy 450/500 contracts.

Table of Contents

(3) 2013 includes approximately \$1.2 million of workers' compensation insurance expenses included in gross profit and not allocated to the operating segments.

(4) Insurance recoveries related to property and equipment included as other income.

Ducommun AeroStructures

DAS's net revenues in 2014 increased approximately 1% primarily due to an approximate 10% increase in commercial aerospace revenue that was partially offset by an approximate 9% decrease in military and space revenue.

The DAS segment operating income and EBITDA increased in 2014 primarily due to a reversal of forward loss reserve as a result of a settlement with a customer, a \$0.8 million workers' compensation audit refund related to prior years, combined with the prior year included charges of approximately \$14.1 million related to the Embraer Legacy 450/500 contracts and Boeing 777 wing tip contracts, partially offset by higher freight costs and higher accrued compensation and benefit costs. The DAS EBITDA included approximately \$2.6 million of insurance recoveries related to property and equipment that was recorded as other income and none in 2013.

Ducommun LaBarge Technologies

DLT's net revenues in 2014 increased slightly compared to 2013 reflecting an approximate 32% increase in commercial aerospace revenue, approximate 7% increase in non-aerospace and defense ("Non A&D") markets revenue, partially offset by an approximate 7% decrease in defense technologies revenue.

DLT's segment operating income and EBITDA decreased in 2014 primarily due to higher accrued compensation and benefit costs that was partially offset by favorable product mix.

Corporate General and Administrative ("CG&A")

CG&A expenses increased in 2014 primarily due to higher accrued compensation and benefit costs and the prior year included an approximate \$0.5 million charge related to our debt repricing transaction.

Backlog

Backlog is subject to delivery delays or program cancellations, which are beyond our control. Backlog is affected by timing differences in the placement of customer orders and tends to be concentrated in several programs to a greater extent than our net sales. Backlog in non-aerospace and defense markets tends to be of a shorter duration and is generally fulfilled within a 3-month period. As a result of these factors, trends in our overall level of backlog may not be indicative of trends in our future net sales.

Backlog was approximately \$559.3 million at December 31, 2014, compared to approximately \$620.0 million at December 31, 2013, as shown in more detail below. The decrease in backlog was primarily in the defense technologies end-use markets. Approximately \$447.4 million of total backlog is expected to be delivered during 2015. The following table summarizes our backlog for 2014 and 2013:

Table of Contents

| | Change | (In thousands) December 31, 2014 | 2013 |
|---|-----------|--|-----------|
| Consolidated Ducommun | | | |
| Military and space | | | |
| Defense technologies | \$(32,436 |) \$185,017 | \$217,453 |
| Defense structures | (42,944 |) 74,789 | 117,733 |
| Commercial aerospace | 1,181 | 232,384 | 231,203 |
| Natural resources | (293 |) 22,512 | 22,805 |
| Industrial | 10,715 | 24,331 | 13,616 |
| Medical and other | 3,064 | 20,247 | 17,183 |
| Total | \$(60,713 |) \$559,280 | \$619,993 |
| DAS | | | |
| Military and space (defense structures) | \$(42,944 |) \$74,789 | \$117,733 |
| Commercial aerospace | (6,123 |) 199,407 | 205,530 |
| Total | \$(49,067 |) \$274,196 | \$323,263 |
| DLT | | | |
| Military and space (defense technologies) | \$(32,436 |) \$185,017 | \$217,453 |
| Commercial aerospace | 7,304 | 32,977 | 25,673 |
| Natural resources | (293 |) 22,512 | 22,805 |
| Industrial | 10,715 | 24,331 | 13,616 |
| Medical and other | 3,064 | 20,247 | 17,183 |
| Total | \$(11,646 |) \$285,084 | \$296,730 |

Table of Contents

2013 Compared to 2012

The following table sets forth net revenues, selected financial data, the effective tax rate and diluted earnings per share:

| | (in thousands, except per share data) | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|-------------|---|
| | 2013 | | 2012 | | | |
| | As Restated | % of Net Sales 2013 | As Restated | % of Net Sales 2012 | As Restated | % |
| Net Revenues | \$736,650 | 100.0 | % \$747,037 | 100.0 | % | |
| Cost of Sales | 612,498 | 83.1 | % 603,060 | 80.7 | % | |
| Gross Profit | 124,152 | 16.9 | % 143,977 | 19.3 | % | |
| Selling, General and Administrative Expenses | 84,849 | 11.5 | % 87,055 | 11.7 | % | |
| Operating Income | 39,303 | 5.3 | % 56,922 | 7.6 | % | |
| Interest Expense | 29,918 | 4.1 | % 32,798 | 4.4 | % | |
| Income Before Taxes | 9,385 | 1.3 | % 24,124 | 3.2 | % | |
| Income Tax (Benefit) Expense | (1,993) | nm | 6,501 | nm | | |
| Net Income | \$11,378 | 1.5 | % \$17,623 | 2.4 | % | |
| Effective Tax (Benefit) Rate | (21.2)% | nm | 26.9 | % | nm | |
| Diluted Earnings Per Share | \$1.05 | nm | \$1.66 | nm | | |

nm = not meaningful

Table of Contents

Net Revenues by End-Use Market and Operating Segment

Net revenues by end-use market and operating segment during 2013 and 2012, respectively, were as follows:

| | Change | (In thousands) | | % of Net Sales | | |
|---|-------------|--------------------------|-----------|----------------|-------|---|
| | | Years Ended December 31, | | 2013 | 2012 | |
| Consolidated Ducommun | | | | | | |
| Military and space | | | | | | |
| Defense technologies | \$19,580 | \$260,566 | \$240,986 | 35 | % 32 | % |
| Defense structures | (2,340) | 137,095 | 139,435 | 19 | % 19 | % |
| Commercial aerospace | 9,427 | 213,254 | 203,827 | 29 | % 27 | % |
| Natural resources | (19,348) | 39,124 | 58,472 | 5 | % 8 | % |
| Industrial | (15,759) | 46,636 | 62,395 | 6 | % 8 | % |
| Medical and other | (1,947) | 39,975 | 41,922 | 6 | % 6 | % |
| Total | \$(10,387) | \$736,650 | \$747,037 | 100 | % 100 | % |
| DAS | | | | | | |
| Military and space (defense structures) | \$(2,340) | \$137,095 | \$139,435 | 43 | % 45 | % |
| Commercial aerospace | 7,590 | 178,137 | 170,547 | 57 | % 55 | % |
| Total | \$5,250 | \$315,232 | \$309,982 | 100 | % 100 | % |
| DLT | | | | | | |
| Military and space (defense technologies) | \$19,580 | \$260,566 | \$240,986 | 62 | % 55 | % |
| Commercial aerospace | 1,837 | 35,117 | 33,280 | 8 | % 8 | % |
| Natural resources | (19,348) | 39,124 | 58,472 | 9 | % 13 | % |
| Industrial | (15,759) | 46,636 | 62,395 | 11 | % 14 | % |
| Medical and other | (1,947) | 39,975 | 41,922 | 10 | % 10 | % |
| Total | \$(15,637) | \$421,418 | \$437,055 | 100 | % 100 | % |

Net revenues for 2013 reflected the growth in the aerospace and defense end-use markets, which were more than offset by lower revenues in the non-aerospace and defense end-use markets.

Net Revenues by Major Customers

A significant portion of our net revenues are from our top ten customers as follows:

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|-------------------|--------------------------|------|---|
| | 2013 | 2012 | |
| Boeing | 18 | % 17 | % |
| Raytheon | 10 | % 7 | % |
| Top ten customers | 57 | % 55 | % |

Net revenues decreased approximately \$10.4 million, or 1%, to approximately \$736.7 million for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013 from approximately \$747.0 million for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012. The lower net revenues was primarily the result of lower revenues in the Non-A&D markets that was partially offset by higher revenues in commercial aerospace and defense technologies.

Gross Profit

Gross profit decreased in 2013 primarily due to lower net revenues and fourth quarter charges of approximately \$14.1 million in the DAS operating segment. The fourth quarter charges were comprised of asset impairment charges on production costs of contracts of approximately \$5.7 million on the Embraer Legacy 450/500 contracts and approximately \$1.3 million on the

Table of Contents

Boeing 777 wing tip contract; forward loss reserves of approximately \$3.9 million on the Embraer Legacy 450/500 contracts and approximately \$1.3 million on the Boeing 777 wing tip contract; and inventory reserves of approximately \$1.9 million on the Embraer Legacy 450/500 contracts.

Gross profit margins as a percentage of net sales decreased in 2013 primarily due to unfavorable product mix, the impact of lower net sales in the non-aerospace and defense end-use markets and the charges discussed above.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

SG&A expenses decreased in 2013 primarily due to lower accrued compensation and benefits costs and partially offset by a charge of \$0.6 million of expenses due to a workers' compensation insurance payroll audit and \$0.5 million of expenses related to the debt repricing, as discussed in Interest Expense below, and increased professional fees.

Interest Expense

Interest expense decreased in 2013 primarily due to lower outstanding debt balances during the year as a result of our voluntary prepayments and a lower interest rate on the Term Loan beginning in April 2013 as a result of our debt repricing. See Note 7 to our consolidated financial statements included in Part IV, Item 15(a) of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for further information.

Income Tax Expense

We recorded an income tax benefit of approximately \$2.0 million (an effective tax benefit rate of 21.2%) in 2013, compared to an income tax expense of approximately \$6.5 million (an effective tax rate of 26.9%) in 2012.

Our effective tax benefit rate of approximately 21.2% in 2013 was lower than the federal statutory rate of 35.0% primarily due to the benefit of the federal qualified domestic production activities deduction and the federal research and development tax credit. These benefits were approximately \$0.8 million and \$4.5 million, respectively. The approximately \$4.5 million benefit included approximately \$2.0 million of 2012 federal research and development tax credit benefit recognized in the first quarter of 2013. The 2012 benefit was recognized in 2013 as a result of the American Taxpayer Relief Act of 2012 (the "Act"). The Act extended the federal research and development tax credit for the years 2013 and 2012.

Our effective tax rate of approximately 26.9% in 2012 was lower than the federal statutory rate of 35.0% primarily due to the benefit of the federal qualified domestic production activities deduction and the revaluation of net deferred tax liabilities as a result of a lower blended state tax rate. These benefits were approximately \$0.7 million and \$1.4 million, respectively. The change in blended state tax rate was primarily due to California's new income tax apportionment legislation. The legislation requires most multistate businesses to use a single sales factor method for apportioning income to California beginning January 1, 2013.

Net Income and Earnings per Diluted Share

Net income and earnings per diluted share for 2013 was approximately \$11.4 million, or \$1.05 per diluted share, compared to approximately \$17.6 million, or \$1.66 per diluted share, for 2012. Net income for 2013 decreased primarily due to lower gross margin as a result of charges of approximately \$14.1 million on the Embraer Legacy 450/500 and Boeing 777 wing tip contracts and lower gross margins in the non-aerospace and defense end-use markets, partially offset by lower SG&A expenses, and lower interest and income tax expense. Diluted earnings per share for 2013 included a federal research and development tax credit benefit of approximately \$2.0 million while 2012 included no such benefit.

Table of Contents

Business Segment Performance

We report our financial performance based upon the two reportable operating segments; DAS and DLT. The results of operations differ between our reportable operating segments due to differences in competitors, customers, extent of proprietary deliverables and performance. The following table summarizes our business segment performance for 2013 and 2012:

| | % Change | (In thousands) Years Ended December 31, 2013 As Restated | | % of Net Sales 2013 As Restated | | % of Net Sales 2012 As Restated | |
|---|-------------|---|------------|--|--------|--|-------|
| Net Revenues | | | | | | | |
| DAS | 1.7 | % | \$ 315,232 | \$ 309,982 | 42.8 | % | 41.5 |
| DLT | (3.6 |)% | 421,418 | 437,055 | 57.2 | % | 58.5 |
| Total Net Revenues | (1.4 |)% | \$ 736,650 | \$ 747,037 | 100.0 | % | 100.0 |
| Segment Operating Income | | | | | | | |
| DAS ⁽²⁾ | | | \$ 19,008 | \$ 30,586 | 6.0 | % | 9.9 |
| DLT ⁽³⁾ | | | 37,030 | 41,013 | 8.8 | % | 9.4 |
| | | | 56,038 | 71,599 | | | |
| Corporate General and Administrative Expenses ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾ | | | (16,735 |) (14,677 |) (2.3 |)% | (2.0 |
| Total Operating Income | | | \$ 39,303 | \$ 56,922 | 5.3 | % | 7.6 |
| EBITDA | | | | | | | |
| DAS | | | | | | | |
| Operating Income ⁽²⁾ | | | \$ 19,008 | \$ 30,586 | | | |
| Depreciation and Amortization | | | 12,406 | 10,313 | | | |
| | | | 31,414 | 40,899 | 10.0 | % | 13.2 |
| DLT | | | | | | | |
| Operating Income ⁽³⁾ | | | 37,030 | 41,013 | | | |
| Depreciation and Amortization | | | 18,346 | 18,934 | | | |
| | | | 55,376 | 59,947 | 13.1 | % | 13.7 |
| Corporate General and Administrative Expenses ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾ | | | | | | | |
| Operating Loss | | | (16,735 |) (14,677 |) | | |
| Depreciation and Amortization | | | 174 | 166 | | | |
| | | | (16,561 |) (14,511 |) | | |
| EBITDA | | | \$ 70,229 | \$ 86,335 | 9.5 | % | 11.6 |
| Adjusted EBITDA | | | | | | | |
| Asset impairments ⁽²⁾ | | | \$ 6,975 | \$ — | | | |
| Merger-related expenses ⁽³⁾ | | | — | 702 | | | |
| | | | 6,975 | 702 | | | |
| Adjusted EBITDA | | | \$ 77,204 | \$ 87,037 | 10.5 | % | 11.7 |
| Capital Expenditures | | | | | | | |
| DAS | | | \$ 8,287 | \$ 7,950 | | | |
| DLT | | | 5,000 | 7,809 | | | |
| Corporate Administration | | | 116 | 54 | | | |
| Total Capital Expenditures | | | \$ 13,403 | \$ 15,813 | | | |

(1)Includes costs not allocated to either the DLT or DAS operating segments.

(2)2013 includes approximately \$14.1 million in charges related to fourth quarter asset impairment charges of approximately \$5.7 million on the Embraer Legacy 450/500 contracts and approximately \$1.3 million on the

Boeing 777 wing tip contract which are added back to adjusted EBITDA; forward loss reserves of approximately \$3.9 million on the Embraer Legacy 450/500 contracts and approximately \$1.3 million on the Boeing 777 wing tip contract; and inventory write-offs of approximately \$1.9 million on the Embraer Legacy 450/500 contracts.

Table of Contents

2012 includes merger-related expenses of approximately \$0.3 million in Corporate General and Administrative (3) Expenses and approximately \$0.4 million in DLT resulting from a change in control provision for certain key executives and employees arising in connection with the LaBarge Acquisition.

(4) 2013 and 2012 include workers' compensation insurance expenses included in gross profit and not allocated to the operating segments of approximately \$1.2 million and approximately \$0.6 million, respectively.

Ducommun AeroStructures

DAS's net sales in 2013 increased approximately 2% reflecting an approximate 5% increase in commercial aerospace revenue, partially offset by an approximate 2% decrease in military and space (defense structures) revenue.

The DAS segment operating income and EBITDA decreased in 2013, primarily due to the fourth quarter charges of approximately \$14.1 million related to the Embraer Legacy 450/500 contracts and Boeing 777 wing tip contracts which was partially off set by increased sales volume and lower accrued compensation and benefit costs.

Ducommun LaBarge Technologies

DLT's net sales in 2013 decreased approximately 4% reflecting an approximate 23% decrease in non-aerospace and defense revenue, partially offset by an approximate 8% increase in defense technologies and an approximate 6% increase in commercial aerospace revenue.

DLT's segment operating income and EBITDA decreased in 2013 primarily due to lower operating margins from reduced revenues that was partially offset by lower accrued compensation and benefit costs.

Corporate General and Administrative

CG&A expenses increased in 2013 primarily due to an approximate \$0.6 million related to a workers' compensation insurance payroll audit and an approximate \$0.5 million related to our debt repricing transaction and increased professional fees, partially offset by lower accrued compensation and benefits costs.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCESAvailable Liquidity

Total debt, the weighted-average interest rate, cash and cash equivalents and available credit facilities were as follows:

| | (In millions) | | |
|---|---------------|---------|---|
| | December 31, | | |
| | 2014 | 2013 | |
| Total debt, including long-term portion | \$290.1 | \$332.7 | |
| Weighted-average interest rate on debt | 8.20 | % 7.76 | % |
| Term Loan interest rate | 4.75 | % 4.75 | % |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$45.6 | \$48.8 | |
| Unused Revolving Credit Facility | \$58.5 | \$58.4 | |

We made voluntary principal prepayments totaling approximately \$42.6 million on our Term Loan during 2014. We expect to continue to make voluntarily prepayments during 2015 and we expect to refinance the Term Loan in 2015, market conditions permitting, funded by cash generated from operations.

In March 2013, we executed an amendment to our Credit Facilities which completed a repricing of our Term Loan and Revolving Credit Facility. The repricing reduced the interest rate spread on the Term Loan and Revolving Credit Facility by 50 basis points and the interest rate floor by 25 basis points under the LIBOR rate or the Alternate Base Rate. The LIBOR rate has a floor of 1.00% plus 3.75%. The Alternate Base Rate has a floor of 2.00% plus 2.75%. The Alternate Base Rate is the greater of the (a) Prime rate and (b) Federal Funds rate plus 0.5%. Our Term Loan matures on June 28, 2017, and our \$60.0 million Revolving Credit Facility matures on June 28, 2016.

The Revolving Credit Facility and Term Loan covenants require EBITDA of more than \$50.0 million and a maximum leverage ratio under certain circumstances, as well as annual limitations on capital expenditures and limitations on future disposition of

Table of Contents

property, investments, acquisitions, repurchase of stock, dividends, and outside indebtedness. At December 31, 2014, we were in compliance with all covenants. In addition, capital expenditures for 2014 were approximately \$18.6 million, which was below the maximum amount allowed under the Credit Facility of \$30.0 million for the year. Also, at December 31, 2014, there were no amounts outstanding that would have triggered the leverage covenant. Further, the leverage covenant becomes more restrictive in future periods and will require us to continue to reduce our debt or increase EBITDA.

In October 2013, we executed an amendment to our Credit Facilities which increased the maximum leverage ratio, as defined, by 0.75 basis points in all future periods. We believe the voluntary prepayments on the Term Loan will help reduce our leverage, as defined in the credit agreement.

The Notes bear interest of 9.75% per annum, payable semi-annually on January 15 and July 15 of each year. The Notes become callable on July 15, 2015 at a premium of 4.875% and mature on July 15, 2018, at which time the entire principal amount will be due.

The failure to file our 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K by March 31, 2015 has resulted in defaults, but not an event of default, under our Credit Facilities and our Notes. The defaults on our Credit Facilities and our Notes are deemed cured with the filing of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

We expect to spend a total of approximately \$15.0 million for capital expenditures in 2015 financed by cash generated from operations, which will be lower than 2014, principally to support new contract awards at DAS and DLT. As part of our strategic plan to become a Tier 2 supplier, additional up-front investment in tooling will be required for newer programs which have higher engineering content and higher levels of complexity in assemblies.

We believe the ongoing aerospace and defense subcontractor consolidation makes acquisitions an increasingly important component of our future growth. We will continue to make prudent acquisitions and capital expenditures for manufacturing equipment and facilities to support long-term contracts for commercial and military aircraft and defense programs. In addition, we will continue to invest to grow our non-aerospace and defense end-use markets. We continue to depend on operating cash flow and the availability of our Revolving Credit Facility to provide short-term liquidity. Cash generated from operations and bank borrowing capacity is expected to provide sufficient liquidity to meet our obligations during the next twelve months.

Cash Flow Summary

2014 Compared to 2013 (As Restated)

Net cash generated by operating activities during 2014 increased to approximately \$53.4 million compared to approximately \$46.0 million during 2013 primarily due to higher net income.

Net cash used in investing activities during 2014 was approximately \$15.5 million compared to approximately \$12.3 million during 2013 primarily due to higher capital expenditures, principally to support new contract awards at DAS and DLT, partially offset by insurance recoveries related to property and equipment.

Net cash used in financing activities during 2014 was approximately \$41.2 million compared to approximately \$31.4 million during 2013 primarily due to voluntary principal prepayments on our Term Loan of approximately \$42.6 million during 2014 compared to approximately \$30.0 million during 2013. In addition, 2013 included a final payment of approximately \$3.0 million on a promissory note related to a prior acquisition.

2013 (As Restated) Compared to 2012 (As Restated)

Net cash provided by operating activities in 2013 compared to 2012 reflects lower net income, partially off-set by better working capital management, primarily in inventory and accounts receivable. The improvements in working capital management were off-set by payments in accrued compensation from 2012 and timing differences related to other current assets.

Net cash used in investing activities of approximately \$12.3 million and approximately \$15.8 million in 2013 and 2012, respectively, included capital expenditures, principally to support new contract awards at DAS and DLT.

Net cash used in financing activities during 2013 and 2012 included \$31.4 million and \$26.7 million, respectively, of voluntary principal prepayments on our Term Loan, partially offset by cash from stock option exercises in 2013. In addition, 2013 included a final payment of \$3.0 million on a promissory note related to a prior year acquisition.

Contractual Obligations

A summary of our contractual obligations at December 31, 2014 was as follows (in thousands):

40

Table of Contents

| | Total | Payments Due by Period | | | More Than 5 Years |
|---|-----------|------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------------|
| | | Less Than 1 Year | 1-3 Years | 3-5 Years | |
| Long-term debt, including current portion | \$290,052 | \$26 | \$90,026 | \$200,000 | \$— |
| Future interest on notes payable and long-term debt | 88,841 | 23,834 | 45,507 | 19,500 | — |
| Operating leases | 16,027 | 6,217 | 7,695 | 1,872 | 243 |
| Pension liability | 17,869 | 1,563 | 3,216 | 3,480 | 9,610 |
| Total ⁽¹⁾ | \$412,789 | \$31,640 | \$146,444 | \$224,852 | \$9,853 |

As of December 31, 2014, we recorded approximately \$2.8 million in long-term liabilities related to uncertain tax positions. We are not able to reasonably estimate the timing of the long-term payments, or the amount by which our liability may increase or decrease over time, therefore, the liability or uncertain tax positions has not been included in the contractual obligations table.

We have estimated that the fair value of our indemnification obligations as insignificant based upon our history with such obligations and insurance coverage and have included no such obligation in the table above.

Our ultimate liability with respect to groundwater contamination at certain DAS facilities will depend upon a number of factors, including changes in existing laws and regulations, the design and cost of construction, operation and maintenance activities, and the allocation of liability among potentially responsible parties. The above table does not include obligations related to these matters. See Note 14 to our consolidated financial statements included in Part IV, Item 15(a) of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for discussion of our environmental liabilities.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Our off-balance sheet arrangements consist of operating leases and indemnities.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Critical accounting policies are those accounting policies that can have a significant impact on the presentation of our financial condition and results of operations and that require the use of subjective estimates based upon past experience and management's judgment. Because of the uncertainty inherent in such estimates, actual results may differ from these estimates. Below are those policies applied in preparing our financial statements that management believes are the most dependent on the application of estimates and assumptions. See Note 1 to our consolidated financial statements included in Part IV, Item 15(a) of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for additional accounting policies.

Revenue Recognition

Except as described below, we recognize revenue, including revenue from products sold under long-term contracts, when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, the price is fixed or determinable, collection is reasonably assured and delivery of products has occurred or services have been rendered.

We have a significant number of contracts for which net sales are accounted for under the percentage-of-completion method using the units of delivery as the measure of completion. The percentage-of-completion method requires the use of assumptions and estimates related to the contract value, the total cost at completion, and measurement of progress toward completion. These contracts are primarily fixed-price contracts that vary widely in terms of size, length of performance period and expected gross profit margins.

We also recognize revenue on the sale of services (including prototype products) based on the type of contract: time and materials, cost-plus reimbursement and firm-fixed price. Revenue is recognized (i) on time and materials contracts as time is spent at hourly rates, which are negotiated with customers, plus the cost of any allowable materials and out-of-pocket expenses, (ii) on cost-plus reimbursement contracts based on direct and indirect costs incurred plus a negotiated profit calculated as a percentage of cost, a fixed amount or a performance-based award fee, and (iii) on fixed-price contracts on the percentage-of-completion method measured by the percentage of costs incurred to estimated total costs. Revenue from services was less than 10% of net sales for the periods presented.

Provision for Estimated Losses on Contracts

Table of Contents

We record provisions for total anticipated losses on contracts considering total estimated costs to complete the contract compared to total anticipated revenues in the period in which such losses are identified. The provisions for estimated losses on contracts require management to make certain estimates and assumptions, including those with respect to the future revenue under a contract and the future cost to complete the contract. Management's estimate of the future cost to complete a contract may include assumptions as to improvements in manufacturing efficiency and reductions in operating and material costs. If any of these or other assumptions and estimates do not materialize in the future, we may be required to record additional provisions for estimated losses on contracts.

Production Cost of Contracts

Production cost of contracts includes tooling and other special-purpose machinery necessary to build parts as specified in a contract, and non-recurring production costs such as design and engineering costs. Production costs of contracts are recorded to cost of goods sold using the units of delivery method. We review long-lived assets within production costs of contracts for impairment on an annual basis (in the fourth quarter for us) or when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of our long-lived assets may not be recoverable. An impairment charge is recognized when the carrying value of an asset exceeds the projected undiscounted future cash flows expected from its use and disposal.

Goodwill and Indefinite-Lived Intangible Asset

Our business acquisitions have resulted in the recognition of goodwill. Goodwill is not amortized but is subject to annual impairment tests (in the fourth quarter for us) and between annual tests, if events indicate it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying value.

A significant amount of judgment is involved in determining if an indicator of impairment has occurred. Such indicators may include deterioration in general economic conditions, negative developments in equity and credit markets, adverse changes in the markets in which we operate, increases in costs that have a negative effect on earnings and cash flows, or a trend of negative or declining cash flows over multiple periods, among others.

Goodwill is allocated at the reporting unit level, which is defined as an operating segment or one level below an operating segment. We have three internal reporting units; DAS, DLT and Miltec. Miltec is part of the DLT operating segment. The application of the goodwill impairment test requires significant judgment, including the identification of the reporting units, and the determination of both the carrying value and the fair value of the reporting units. The carrying value of each reporting unit is determined by assigning the assets and liabilities, including existing goodwill, to those reporting units. The determination of the fair value of each reporting unit requires significant judgment, including our estimation of future cash flows, which is dependent upon internal forecasts, estimation of the long-term rate of growth of our businesses, estimation of the useful lives of the assets which will generate the cash flows, determination of our weighted-average cost of capital and other factors. In determining the appropriate discount rate, we considered the weighted-average cost of capital for each reporting unit which, among other factors, considers the cost of common equity capital and the marginal cost of debt of market participants.

The estimates and assumptions used to calculate the fair value of a reporting unit may change from period to period based upon actual operating results, market conditions and our view of the future trends. The estimates and assumptions used to determine whether impairment exists and determine the amount of such impairment, if any, are subject to a high degree of uncertainty. The estimated fair value of a reporting unit would change materially if different assumptions and estimates were used.

We initially perform an assessment of qualitative factors to determine if it is necessary to perform the two-step goodwill impairment test. We test goodwill for impairment using the two-step method if, based on our assessment of the qualitative factors, we determined that it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, or if we decide to bypass the qualitative assessment. When performing the two-step impairment test, we use a combination of an income approach, which estimates fair value of the reporting unit based upon future discounted cash flows, and a market approach, which estimates fair value using market multiples for transactions in a set of comparable companies. If the carrying value of the reporting unit exceeds its fair value, we then perform the second step of the impairment test to measure the amount of the impairment loss, if any. The second step requires fair valuation of all the reporting unit's assets and liabilities in a manner similar to a purchase price allocation, with any

residual fair value being allocated to goodwill. This residual fair value of goodwill is then compared to the carrying value to determine impairment. An impairment charge will be recognized when the estimated fair value of a reporting unit, including goodwill, is less than its carrying value.

We conducted our annual goodwill impairment test in the fourth quarter of 2014 and determined our goodwill was not impaired. For 2014, the DAS, DLT and Miltec internal reporting units had recorded goodwill of approximately \$57.2 million,

Table of Contents

\$92.0 million, and \$8.4 million, respectively, and their fair value exceeded their carrying value by approximately 44%, 36% and 44%, respectively.

We review our indefinite-lived intangible asset for impairment on an annual basis (in the fourth quarter for us) or when events or changes in circumstances indicate that more likely than not the fair value of our indefinite-lived intangible asset is less than its carrying value. We compare the fair value of the indefinite-lived intangible asset with its carrying value if the qualitative factors indicate it is more likely than not that the fair value of the asset is less than its carrying value or if we decide to bypass the qualitative assessment. Impairment indicators include, but are not limited to, cost factors, financial performance, adverse legal or regulatory developments, industry and market conditions and general economic conditions. If the carrying amount of the indefinite-lived intangible asset exceeds its fair value, we would recognize an impairment loss in the amount of such excess. We conducted our annual impairment test during the fourth quarter of 2014 based on assessing the qualitative factors and concluded the indefinite-lived intangible asset was not impaired.

Other Intangible Assets

We amortize purchased other intangible assets with finite lives over the estimated economic lives of the assets, ranging from three to eighteen years generally using the straight-line method. The value of other intangibles acquired through business combinations has been estimated using present value techniques which involve estimates of future cash flows. Actual results could vary, potentially resulting in impairment charges.

Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation

We use the Black-Scholes-Merton (“Black-Scholes”) valuation model in determining stock-based compensation expense for our options, net of an estimated forfeiture rate, on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period of the award. The stock options typically vest over four years and the estimated the forfeiture rate is based on historical experience. The Black-Scholes valuation model requires assumptions and judgments using inputs such as stock price volatility, risk-free interest rates, and expected options terms. As a result, our estimates could differ from actual results.

For performance and restricted stock units, we calculate compensation expense, net of an estimated forfeiture rate, on a straight line basis over the requisite service/performance period of the awards. The performance stock units vest based on a three-year performance cycle. The restricted stock units, vest over various periods of time ranging from one to three years. We estimate the forfeiture rate based on our historical experience.

Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market, cost being determined on a first-in, first-out basis. Market value for raw materials is based on replacement costs and is based on net realizable value for other inventory classifications. Inventoried costs include raw materials, outside processing, direct labor and allocated overhead, adjusted for any abnormal amounts of idle facility expense, freight, handling costs and wasted materials (spoilage) incurred. Costs under long-term contracts are accumulated into, and removed from, inventory on the same basis as other contracts. We assess the inventory carrying value and reduce it, if necessary, to its net realizable value based on customer orders on hand, and internal demand forecasts using management’s best estimates given information currently available. Our customer demand can fluctuate significantly caused by factors beyond our control. We maintain an allowance for potentially excess and obsolete inventories and inventories that are carried at costs that are higher than their estimated net realizable values. If market conditions are less favorable than our projections, such as an unanticipated decline in demand and not meeting expectations, inventory write-downs may be required.

We net advances from customers related to inventory purchases against inventories in the consolidated balance sheets.

Environmental Liabilities

Environmental liabilities are recorded when environmental assessments and/or remedial efforts are probable and costs can be reasonably estimated. Generally, the timing of these accruals coincides with the completion of a feasibility study or our commitment to a formal plan of action. Further, we review and update our environmental accruals as circumstances change and/or additional information is obtained that reasonably could be expected to have a meaningful effect on the outcome of a matter or the estimated cost thereof.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

See Note 1 to our consolidated financial statements included in Part IV, Item 15(a) of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for a description of recent accounting pronouncements.

Table of Contents

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Our main market risk exposure relates to changes in U.S. interest rates on our outstanding long-term debt. At December 31, 2014, we had borrowings of approximately \$90.0 million under our Term Loan which bears interest, at our option, at a rate equal to either an alternate base rate or an adjusted LIBOR rate for a one-, two-, three-, or six-month interest period chosen by us, plus an applicable margin percentage. This LIBOR rate has a floor of 1.00%, and a margin of 3.75%. A hypothetical 10% increase or decrease in the interest rate would have an immaterial impact on our financial condition and results of operations.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

The financial statements and supplementary data together with the report thereon of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP included in Part IV, Item 15(a) 1 and 2 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K and are included herein by reference.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (“Exchange Act”)) are designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the SEC and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including the CEO and CFO, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures.

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including the chief executive officer and the chief financial officer, we carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this Form 10-K. Based on this evaluation, as of the end of the period covered by this Form 10-K, the Company’s chief executive officer and chief financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective as of December 31, 2014 because of the material weaknesses in internal control over financial reporting described below. In light of the material weaknesses described below, management performed additional analysis and other post-closing procedures, including substantial work performed during the restatement process and our internal review that identified adjustments resulting in the restatement to our previously issued financial statements to ensure our consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Accordingly, management has concluded that the Company's consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K fairly present in all material respects our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows for the periods presented therein.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The Company’s internal control over the financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. The Company’s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that

receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on our financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Table of Contents

Management of the Company has assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014. In making this assessment, management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission ("COSO") Internal Control-Integrated Framework (2013).

A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Company's annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. In connection with management's assessment of our internal control over financial reporting, management has identified control deficiencies that constituted material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014, as described below.

(1) We did not maintain an effective control environment as we did not effectively implement a process to communicate, educate, and measure our employees understanding of ethical standards and code of conduct across the Company. Additionally, we did not maintain an effective program to encourage employees to proactively communicate concerns related to questionable or unethical behaviors and activities. While we had implemented an anonymous hotline, we did not sufficiently communicate the availability and purpose of the hotline.

(2) Additionally, we did not maintain an effective control environment as we did not effectively establish the structure, authority, and responsibilities to ensure the objectives of internal control over financial reporting were adequately achieved. Specifically, we did not properly assign and limit authority and responsibilities of certain management and supervisory personnel.

These material weaknesses contributed to the following control deficiency which is also considered to be a material weakness.

(3) We did not design and maintain effective monitoring controls over the accuracy and appropriate classification of reported labor hours associated with contracts accounted for under the percentage-of-completion method using units of delivery. Specifically, we did not maintain proper segregation of duties and monitoring controls over the accuracy and appropriate classification of underlying direct and indirect labor hour data that were subject to the misconduct relating to improper recording of direct labor hours and which were used in our estimates to identify and record contract forward loss reserves.

(4) We did not design and maintain effective controls related to the quarterly and annual accounting and disclosures for income taxes, including maintaining an appropriate level of technical expertise related to income taxes. Specifically, we did not design and maintain effective controls related to the preparation, analysis and review of the income tax provision and significant income tax balance sheet accounts required to assess the appropriateness of balances at period-end. Additionally, we did not maintain effective controls to identify and accumulate all required supporting information to determine the completeness and accuracy of income tax amounts reported within the consolidated financial statements and disclosures.

These material weaknesses resulted in material misstatements of our historical financial statements, which necessitated a restatement of our consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2013 and for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 and our unaudited quarterly financial information for the first three quarters in the year ended December 31, 2014 and for each of the quarters in the year ended December 31, 2013 to correct for errors in the contract forward loss reserve, cost of goods sold, deferred income taxes, goodwill, goodwill impairment, additional-paid-in-capital and income tax expense, as applicable, in each reporting period. Additionally, these material weaknesses could result in a material misstatement of account balances or disclosures that would result in a misstatement to the annual or interim consolidated financial statements that would not be prevented or detected.

Because of the material weaknesses described above, management concluded that the Company did not maintain effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014, based on criteria in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the COSO (2013).

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, has audited the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as stated in the report which appears in Part IV, Item 15(a) of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Management's Remediation Plan

45

Table of Contents

We are committed to remediating the control deficiencies that constitute the material weaknesses described above by implementing changes to our internal control over financial reporting. Our Chief Financial Officer is responsible for implementing changes and improvements in the internal control over financial reporting and for remediating the control deficiencies that gave rise to the material weaknesses. We are currently evaluating the impact of the material weaknesses and have taken or are in the process of taking the following actions:

Actions taken:

We have taken appropriate disciplinary actions for those employees involved in the misconduct relating to improper recording of direct labor costs to a long-term contract including termination of employees. Additionally, certain other organizational changes have been implemented.

Actions to be taken or in process:

We have begun the implementation of additional on-going oversight, training and communication programs to reinforce our ethical standards and code of conduct across the Company.

- We have plans to enhance the availability of our hotline by more clearly defining its purpose, and plan to develop on-going companywide training programs on the purpose and availability of the hotline.

We have redesigned our internal controls over the accounting for contract loss reserves, including an on-going review of the related labor distributions to estimate the anticipated costs used in the forward loss reserve analysis.

We plan to augment our tax department with additional resources and professionals.

We plan to engage third party tax advisors to assist with our methodology of estimating and reconciling tax entries.

We plan to implement new controls and improving existing controls over income tax accounts, including controls over the reconciliation of current and deferred tax asset and liability accounts.

However, we have not completed all of the corrective processes, procedures and related evaluation or remediation that we believe are necessary. As we continue to evaluate and work to remediate the material weaknesses, we may determine to take additional measures to address the control deficiencies.

Until the remediation steps set forth above, including the efforts to implement the necessary control activities we identify, are fully implemented and concluded to be operating effectively, the material weaknesses described above will continue to exist.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended December 31, 2014.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

Table of Contents

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Directors of the Registrant

The information under the caption “Election of Directors” in the 2015 Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

Executive Officers of the Registrant

The information under the caption “Executive Officers of the Registrant” in the 2015 Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

Audit Committee and Audit Committee Financial Expert

The information under the caption “Committees of the Board of Directors” relating to the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors in the 2015 Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act

The information under the caption “Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance” in the 2015 Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

Code of Ethics

The information under the caption “Code of Ethics” in the 2015 Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

Changes to Procedures to Recommend Nominees

There have been no material changes to the procedures by which security holders may recommend nominees to the Company’s Board of Directors since the date of the Company’s last proxy statement.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information under the captions “Compensation of Executive Officers,” “Compensation of Directors,” “Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation” and “Compensation Committee Report” in the 2015 Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The information under the caption “Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management” in the 2015 Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

Securities Authorized for Issuance under Equity Compensation Plan Plans

The following table provides information about our compensation plans under which equity securities are authorized for issuance:

| | Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights (a) | Weighted-Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights (b) | Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in Column (a)(c)(2)) |
|---|---|---|---|
| Equity Compensation Plans | | | |
| Approved by security holders ⁽¹⁾ | 903,078 | \$ 19.02 | 23,998 |
| Not approved by security holders | — | — | — |
| Total | 903,078 | | 23,998 |

Table of Contents

The number of securities to be issued consists of 611,977 for stock options, 122,943 for restricted stock units and (1) 168,158 for performance stock units at target. The weighted average exercise price applies only to the stock options.

(2) Awards are not restricted to any specified form or structure and may include, without limitation, sales or bonuses of stock, restricted stock, stock options, reload stock options, stock purchase warrants, other rights to acquire stock, securities convertible into or redeemable for stock, stock appreciation rights, limited stock appreciation rights, phantom stock, dividend equivalents, performance units or performance shares, and an award may consist of one such security or benefit, or two or more of them in tandem or in alternative.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The information under the caption “Election of Directors” in the 2015 Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

The information under the caption “Principal Accountant Fees and Services” contained in the 2015 Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a) 1. Financial Statements

The following consolidated financial statements of Ducommun Incorporated and subsidiaries, are incorporated by reference in Item 8 of this report.

| | Page |
|--|-----------|
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | <u>50</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets - December 31, 2014 and 2013 (As Restated)</u> | <u>52</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Income Statements - Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 (As Restated) and 2012 (As Restated)</u> | <u>53</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income - Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 (As Restated) and 2012 (As Restated)</u> | <u>54</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity - Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 (As Restated) and 2012 (As Restated)</u> | <u>55</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows - Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 (As Restated) and 2012 (As Restated)</u> | <u>56</u> |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | <u>57</u> |
| <u>Supplemental Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited) (As Restated)</u> | <u>97</u> |

2. Financial Statement Schedule

The following schedule for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 is filed herewith:

| | |
|---|---|
| Schedule II - Valuation and Qualifying Accounts (As Restated) | — |
|---|---|

All other schedules have been omitted because they are not applicable, not required, or the information has been otherwise supplied in the financial statements or notes thereto.

3. Exhibits

See Item 15(b) for a list of exhibits. —

Signatures —

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of Ducommun Incorporated:

In our opinion, the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and the related consolidated statements of income, of comprehensive income, of changes in shareholders' equity and of cash flows present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Ducommun Incorporated and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2014 and 2013, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2014 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In addition, in our opinion, the financial statement schedule listed in the index appearing under Item 15(a)(2) presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related consolidated financial statements. Also in our opinion, the Company did not maintain, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) because material weaknesses in internal control over financial reporting existed as of that date related to the following:

An ineffective control environment, due to i) not effectively implementing a process to communicate, educate, and measure our employees' understanding of ethical standards and code of conduct across the Company, as well as ii) not effectively establishing structure, authority, and responsibilities to ensure the objectives of internal control over i) financial reporting were adequately achieved. These material weaknesses contributed to a material weakness related to (iii) not designing and maintaining effective monitoring controls over the accuracy and appropriate classification of reported labor hours associated with contracts accounted for under the percentage-of-completion method using units of delivery.

- iv) Ineffective controls related to the quarterly and annual accounting and disclosures for income taxes, including maintaining an appropriate level of technical expertise related to income taxes.

A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. The material weaknesses referred to above are described in Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting appearing under Item 9A. We considered these material weaknesses in determining the nature, timing, and extent of audit tests applied in our audit of the 2014 consolidated financial statements, and our opinion regarding the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting does not affect our opinion on those consolidated financial statements. The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in management's report referred to above. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements and on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our integrated audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

As discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company has restated its 2013 and 2012 financial statements to correct for errors.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Table of Contents

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP
Los Angeles, California
April 9, 2015

51

Table of Contents

Ducommun Incorporated and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Balance Sheets

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

| | December 31, 2014 | 2013 As Restated |
|---|----------------------|---------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Current Assets | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$45,627 | \$48,814 |
| Accounts receivable (less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$252 and \$489 at December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively) | 91,060 | 91,909 |
| Inventories | 142,842 | 140,507 |
| Production cost of contracts | 11,727 | 11,599 |
| Deferred income taxes | 13,783 | 12,669 |
| Other current assets | 23,702 | 28,083 |
| Total Current Assets | 328,741 | 333,581 |
| Property and Equipment, Net | 99,068 | 96,090 |
| Goodwill | 157,569 | 157,569 |
| Intangibles, Net | 155,104 | 165,465 |
| Other Assets | 7,117 | 9,940 |
| Total Assets | \$747,599 | \$762,645 |
| Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity | | |
| Current Liabilities | | |
| Current portion of long-term debt | \$26 | \$25 |
| Accounts payable | 58,979 | 58,111 |
| Accrued liabilities | 52,066 | 50,122 |
| Total Current Liabilities | 111,071 | 108,258 |
| Long-Term Debt, Less Current Portion | 290,026 | 332,677 |
| Deferred Income Taxes | 69,448 | 67,989 |
| Other Long-Term Liabilities | 20,484 | 19,450 |
| Total Liabilities | 491,029 | 528,374 |
| Commitments and Contingencies (Notes 11, 14) | | |
| Shareholders' Equity | | |
| Common stock - \$0.01 par value; 35,000,000 shares authorized; 10,952,268 and 10,960,054 shares issued at December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively | 110 | 110 |
| Treasury stock, at cost; zero and 143,300 shares at December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively | — | (1,924) |
| Additional paid-in capital | 72,206 | 68,909 |
| Retained earnings | 190,905 | 171,038 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (6,651) | (3,862) |
| Total Shareholders' Equity | 256,570 | 234,271 |
| Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity | \$747,599 | \$762,645 |
| See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements. | | |

Table of Contents

Ducommun Incorporated and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Income Statements

(In thousands, except per share amounts)

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--|--------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 As Restated | 2012 As Restated |
| Net Revenues | \$742,045 | \$736,650 | \$747,037 |
| Cost of Sales | 601,713 | 612,498 | 603,060 |
| Gross Profit | 140,332 | 124,152 | 143,977 |
| Selling, General and Administrative Expenses | 88,565 | 84,849 | 87,055 |
| Operating Income | 51,767 | 39,303 | 56,922 |
| Interest Expense | (28,077 |) (29,918 |) (32,798 |
| Other Income | 2,550 | — | — |
| Income Before Taxes | 26,240 | 9,385 | 24,124 |
| Income Tax Expense (Benefit) | 6,373 | (1,993 |) 6,501 |
| Net Income | \$19,867 | \$11,378 | \$17,623 |
| Earnings Per Share | | | |
| Basic earnings per share | \$1.82 | \$1.06 | \$1.67 |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$1.79 | \$1.05 | \$1.66 |
| Weighted-Average Number of Shares Outstanding | | | |
| Basic | 10,897 | 10,695 | 10,580 |
| Diluted | 11,126 | 10,852 | 10,628 |
| See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements. | | | |

Table of Contents

Ducommun Incorporated and Subsidiaries
 Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income
 (In thousands)

| | Years Ended December 31, | | | |
|---|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|---|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | |
| | | As Restated | As Restated | |
| Net Income | \$19,867 | \$11,378 | \$17,623 | |
| Pension Adjustments | | | | |
| Amortization of actuarial loss included in net income, net of tax benefit of \$156, \$408 and \$427 for 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively | 263 | 685 | 720 | |
| Actuarial gain (loss) arising during the period, net of tax expense (benefit) of \$(1,810), \$1,737 and \$(300) for 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively | (3,052 |) 2,921 | (863 |) |
| Other Comprehensive (Loss) Income | (2,789 |) 3,606 | (143 |) |
| Comprehensive Income | \$17,078 | \$14,984 | \$17,480 | |
| See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements. | | | | |

Table of Contents

Ducommun Incorporated and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity
(In thousands, except share data)

| | Shares Outstanding | Common Stock | Treasury Stock | Additional Paid-In Capital | Retained Earnings | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss | Total Shareholders' Equity |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| | | | | As Restated | As Restated | | As Restated |
| Balance at December 31, 2011 | 10,540,563 | \$ 107 | \$(1,924) | \$62,745 | \$142,037 | \$ (7,325) | \$ 195,640 |
| Net income | — | — | — | — | 17,623 | — | 17,623 |
| Other comprehensive loss, net of tax | — | — | — | — | — | (143) | (143) |
| Stock options exercised | 69,498 | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Stock repurchased related to the exercise of stock options | (15,296) | — | — | (186) | — | — | (186) |
| Stock-based compensation | — | — | — | 1,959 | — | — | 1,959 |
| Income tax benefit related to exercise of stock options and vesting of stock awards | — | — | — | 324 | — | — | 324 |
| Balance at December 31, 2012 | 10,594,765 | \$ 107 | \$(1,924) | \$64,842 | \$159,660 | \$ (7,468) | \$ 215,217 |
| Net income | — | — | — | — | 11,378 | — | 11,378 |
| Other comprehensive income, net of tax | — | — | — | — | — | 3,606 | 3,606 |
| Stock options exercised | 487,163 | 5 | — | 8,770 | — | — | 8,775 |
| Stock repurchased related to the exercise of stock options | (265,174) | (2) | — | (6,805) | — | — | (6,807) |
| Stock-based compensation | — | — | — | 2,438 | — | — | 2,438 |
| Tax shortfall for exercise of stock options and vesting of stock awards | — | — | — | (336) | — | — | (336) |
| Balance at December 31, 2013 | 10,816,754 | \$ 110 | \$(1,924) | \$68,909 | \$171,038 | \$ (3,862) | \$ 234,271 |
| Net income | — | — | — | — | 19,867 | — | 19,867 |
| Other comprehensive loss, net of tax | — | — | — | — | — | (2,789) | (2,789) |
| Stock options exercised | 117,149 | 1 | — | 2,275 | — | — | 2,276 |
| Stock repurchased related to the exercise of stock options | (34,597) | (1) | — | (919) | — | — | (920) |

Edgar Filing: DUCOMMUN INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------|-------|-----|----------|-----------|-----------|---|------------|
| Stock awards vested | 52,962 | 1 | — | (1 |) | — | — | — |
| Stock-based compensation | — | — | — | 3,725 | — | — | — | 3,725 |
| Income tax benefit related to exercise of stock options and vesting of stock awards | — | — | — | 140 | — | — | — | 140 |
| Retirement of treasury stock | — | (1 |) | 1,924 | (1,923 |) | — | — |
| Balance at December 31, 2014 | 10,952,268 | \$110 | \$— | \$72,206 | \$190,905 | \$ (6,651 |) | \$ 256,570 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Ducommun Incorporated and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(In thousands)

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | | As Restated | As Restated |
| Cash Flows from Operating Activities | | | |
| Net Income | \$19,867 | \$11,378 | \$17,623 |
| Adjustments to Reconcile Net Income to Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities: | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 29,024 | 30,926 | 29,413 |
| Asset impairments | — | 6,975 | — |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 3,725 | 2,438 | 1,959 |
| Deferred income taxes | 345 | (1,551) |) 1,098 |
| Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation | (140 |) — | — |
| (Recovery of) provision for doubtful accounts | (237 |) (77 |) 78 |
| Other | (5,713 |) 5,337 | 181 |
| Changes in Assets and Liabilities: | | | |
| Accounts receivable | 1,086 | 5,468 | (1,204) |
| Inventories | (2,335 |) 6,962 | 5,870 |
| Production cost of contracts | (3,513 |) (5,101 |) (1,324) |
| Other assets | 4,800 | (12,173 |) 6,829 |
| Accounts payable | 410 | 4,533 | (8,097) |
| Accrued and other liabilities | 6,103 | (9,153 |) (4,892) |
| Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities | 53,422 | 45,962 | 47,534 |
| Cash Flows from Investing Activities | | | |
| Purchases of property and equipment | (18,096 |) (12,403 |) (15,813) |
| Proceeds from sales of assets | 91 | 139 | 31 |
| Insurance recoveries related to property and equipment | 2,550 | — | — |
| Net Cash Used in Investing Activities | (15,455 |) (12,264 |) (15,782) |
| Cash Flows from Financing Activities | | | |
| Repayment of term loan and other debt | (42,650 |) (33,024 |) (26,478) |
| Debt issue cost paid | — | (365 |) — |
| Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation | 140 | — | — |
| Net proceeds from issuance of common stock under stock plans | 1,356 | 1,968 | (186) |
| Net Cash Used in Financing Activities | (41,154 |) (31,421 |) (26,664) |
| Net (Decrease) Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents | (3,187 |) 2,277 | 5,088 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Year | 48,814 | 46,537 | 41,449 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Year | \$45,627 | \$48,814 | \$46,537 |
| Supplemental Disclosures of Cash Flow Information | | | |
| Interest paid | \$25,105 | \$27,614 | \$31,505 |
| Taxes paid | \$3,476 | \$7,835 | \$1,953 |
| Non-cash activities: | | | |
| Purchases of property and equipment not paid | \$1,458 | \$1,000 | \$— |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

DUCOMMUN INCORPORATED AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Description of Business

We are a leading global provider of engineering and manufacturing services for high-performance products and high-cost-of failure applications used primarily in the aerospace, defense industrial, natural resources, medical and other industries. Our subsidiaries are organized into two strategic businesses; Ducommun LaBarge Technologies (“DLT”) and Ducommun AeroStructures (“DAS”), each of which is a reportable operating segment. DLT designs, engineers and manufactures high-reliability products used in worldwide technology-driven markets including aerospace and defense, natural resources, industrial and medical and other end-use markets. DLT’s product offerings range from prototype development to complex assemblies. DAS designs, engineers and manufactures large, complex contoured aerospace structural components and assemblies and supplies composite and metal bonded structures and assemblies. DAS’s products are used on commercial aircraft, military fixed-wing aircraft and military and commercial rotary-wing aircraft. All reportable operating segments follow the same accounting principles.

Basis of Presentation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Ducommun Incorporated and its subsidiaries (“Ducommun,” the “Company,” “we,” “us” or “our”), after eliminating intercompany balances and transactions. Our fiscal quarters end on the Saturday closest to the end of March, June and September for the first three fiscal quarters of each year, and ends on December 31 for our fourth fiscal quarter.

In the opinion of management, all adjustments, consisting of recurring accruals, have been made that are necessary to fairly state our consolidated financial position, results of operations, comprehensive income (loss) and cash flows in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”).

Use of Estimates

Certain amounts and disclosures included in the consolidated financial statements required management to make estimates and judgments that affect the amounts of assets, liabilities (including forward loss reserves), revenues and expenses, and related disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities. These estimates are based on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

Reclassifications

Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to current year’s presentation.

Restatement of Previously Issued Consolidated Financial Statements

We have restated our consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2013, and for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 and our unaudited quarterly financial information for the first three quarters in the year ended December 31, 2014 and for each of the quarters in the year ended December 31, 2013, to correct errors in prior periods primarily related to (i) a long-term contract following the discovery of misconduct by employees in the recording of direct labor costs to the contract from 2009 through the third quarter 2014 which resulted in the identification of a forward loss provision that should have been recorded in 2009 and the impact on subsequent periods of adjustments to the forward loss provision based on information available at the time; and (ii) the year end reconciliation of income taxes payable and deferred tax balances identified errors primarily in 2013, 2012, and 2011. In addition, the restated amounts include previously identified and disclosed immaterial adjustments. See Note 2 for additional information.

Cash Equivalents

Our cash accounts are not reduced for checks written until the checks are presented for payment and paid by our bank. Cash equivalents consist of highly liquid instruments purchased with original maturities of three months or less. These assets are valued at cost, which approximates fair value, which we classify as Level 1. See Fair Value below.

Table of Contents

Revenue Recognition

Except as described below, we recognize revenue, including revenue from products sold under long-term contracts, when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, the price is fixed or determinable, collection is reasonably assured and delivery of products has occurred or services have been rendered.

We have a significant number of contracts for which net revenues are accounted for under the percentage-of-completion method using the units of delivery as the measure of completion. The percentage-of-completion method requires the use of assumptions and estimates related to the contract value, the total cost at completion, and measurement of progress toward completion. These contracts are primarily fixed-price contracts that vary widely in terms of size, length of performance period and expected gross profit margins.

We also recognize revenue on the sale of services (including prototype products) based on the type of contract: time and materials, cost-plus reimbursement and firm-fixed price. Revenue is recognized (i) on time and materials contracts as time is spent at hourly rates, which are negotiated with customers, plus the cost of any allowable materials and out-of-pocket expenses, (ii) on cost-plus reimbursement contracts based on direct and indirect costs incurred plus a negotiated profit calculated as a percentage of cost, a fixed amount or a performance-based award fee, and (iii) on fixed-price contracts on the percentage-of-completion method measured by the percentage of costs incurred to estimated total costs.

Provision for Estimated Losses on Contracts

We record provisions for the total anticipated losses on contracts considering total estimated costs to complete the contract compared to total anticipated revenues in the period in which such losses are identified. The provisions for estimated losses on contracts require management to make certain estimates and assumptions, including those with respect to the future revenue under a contract and the future cost to complete the contract. Management's estimate of the future cost to complete a contract may include assumptions as to improvements in manufacturing efficiency, reductions in operating and material costs, and our ability to resolve claims and assertions with our customers. If any of these or other assumptions and estimates do not materialize in the future, we maybe required to record additional provisions for estimated losses on contracts.

In the fourth quarter of 2013, we recorded a charge in the DAS segment for the estimated cost to complete of \$5.2 million, consisting of \$3.9 million for the Embraer Legacy 450/500 aircraft contracts, and \$1.3 million for the Boeing 777 wing tip contract. The charges result from difficulties in achieving previously anticipated cost reductions, including delays in transferring work to our lower-cost Guaymas, Mexico facility. The charge for the Embraer Legacy 450/500 contracts also reflects estimated cost overruns for customer driven changes on both the development and production phases of the contracts, for which we have asserted claims with Embraer. Recognition of additional losses in future periods continues to be a risk and will depend upon numerous factors, including our sales forecast, our ability to achieve forecasted cost reductions and our ability to resolve claims and assertions with our customers. The \$5.2 million charge was recorded as part of cost of goods sold in the Company's results of operations. The charge increased accrued liabilities by \$4.2 million and other long-term liabilities by \$1.0 million on our balance sheet.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

We maintain an allowance for doubtful accounts for estimated losses from the inability of customers to make required payments. The allowance for doubtful accounts is evaluated periodically based on the aging of accounts receivable, the financial condition of customers and their payment history, historical write-off experience and other assumptions, such as current assessment of economic conditions.

Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market, cost being determined on a first-in, first-out basis. Market value for raw materials is based on replacement costs, and is based on net realizable value for other inventory classifications. Inventoried costs include raw materials, outside processing, direct labor and allocated overhead, adjusted for any abnormal amounts of idle facility expense, freight, handling costs, and wasted materials (spoilage) incurred. Costs under long-term contracts are accumulated into, and removed from, inventory on the same basis as other contracts. We assess the inventory carrying value and reduce it, if necessary, to its net realizable value based on customer orders on hand, and internal demand forecasts using management's best estimates given information currently available. We maintain an allowance for potentially excess and obsolete inventories and inventories that are carried at costs that are

higher than their estimated net realizable values. In the fourth quarter of 2013, we recorded a charge of approximately \$1.9 million in the DAS segment for the Embraer Legacy 450/500 aircraft contracts. The charge result from difficulties in achieving previously anticipated cost reductions, and estimated cost overruns driven by customer changes for both the development and production phases of the contracts.

58

Table of Contents

We net advances from customers related to inventory purchases against inventories in the consolidated balance sheets.

Production Cost of Contracts

Production cost of contracts includes non-recurring production costs, such as design and engineering costs, and tooling and other special-purpose machinery necessary to build parts as specified in a contract. Production costs of contracts are recorded to cost of goods sold using the units of delivery method. We review long-lived assets within production costs of contracts for impairment on an annual basis (in the fourth quarter for us) or when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of our long-lived assets may not be recoverable. An impairment charge is recognized when the carrying value of an asset exceeds the projected undiscounted future cash flows expected from its use and disposal. In the fourth quarter of 2013, we recorded an impairment charge in the DAS segment on production costs of contracts of \$7.0 million, consisting of \$5.7 million for the Embraer Legacy 450/500 aircraft contracts, and \$1.3 million for the Boeing 777 wing tip contract. The impairment charge reflects a determination that the production cost of contracts for the Boeing 777 wing tip contract and the Embraer Legacy 450/500 contracts are not recoverable since these contracts are estimated to be unprofitable during their remaining terms. The impairment charge represents the entire remaining balance of production cost of contracts for these contracts. The \$7.0 million charge was recorded as part of cost of goods sold in the Company's results of operations and a reduction in production cost of contracts on the Company's balance sheet. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, production costs of contracts were approximately \$11.7 million and \$11.6 million, respectively.

Property and Equipment and Depreciation

Property and equipment, including assets recorded under capital leases, are recorded at cost. Depreciation and amortization are computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the related assets, or the lease term if shorter for leasehold improvements. Repairs and maintenance are charged to expense as incurred. We evaluate long-lived assets for recoverability considering undiscounted cash flows, when significant changes in conditions occur, and recognize impairment losses if any, based upon the fair value of the assets.

Fair Value

Assets and liabilities that are measured, recorded or disclosed at fair value on a recurring basis are categorized using the fair value hierarchy. The fair value hierarchy has three levels based on the reliability of the inputs used to determine fair value. Level 1, the highest level, refers to the values determined based on quoted prices in active markets for identical assets. Level 2 refers to fair values estimated using significant observable inputs. Level 3, the lowest level, includes fair values estimated using significant unobservable inputs.

Goodwill and Indefinite-Lived Intangible Asset

Goodwill is tested for impairment utilizing a two-step method. In the first step, we determine the fair value of the reporting unit using expected future discounted cash flows and market valuation approaches considering comparable Company revenue and Earnings Before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation and Amortization ("EBITDA") multiples. If the carrying value of the reporting unit exceeds its fair value, we then perform the second step of the impairment test to measure the amount of the impairment loss, if any. The second step requires fair valuation of all the reporting unit's assets and liabilities in a manner similar to a purchase price allocation, with any residual fair value being allocated to goodwill. This residual fair value of goodwill is then compared to its carrying value to determine impairment. An impairment charge will be recognized only when the estimated fair value of a reporting unit, including goodwill, is less than its carrying value.

We review our indefinite-lived intangible asset for impairment on an annual basis or when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of our intangible asset may not be recoverable. We may first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that an indefinite-lived intangible asset is impaired as a basis for determining whether it is necessary to perform the quantitative impairment test. Impairment indicators include, but are not limited to, cost factors, financial performance, adverse legal or regulatory developments, industry and market conditions and general economic conditions. If the carrying amount of the indefinite-lived intangible asset exceeds its fair value, we would recognize an impairment loss in the amount of such excess.

Income Taxes

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized, using enacted tax rates, for the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between the book and tax bases of recorded assets and liabilities, operating losses and tax credit

carryforwards. Deferred tax assets are evaluated quarterly and are reduced by a valuation allowance if it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized.

Table of Contents

Tax positions taken or expected to be taken in a tax return are recognized when it is more-likely-than-not to be sustained upon examination by taxing authorities. The amount recognized is measured as the largest amount of benefit that is greater than 50% likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement.

Litigation and Commitments

In the normal course of business, we are defendants in certain litigation, claims and inquiries, including matters relating to environmental laws. In addition, we make various commitments and incur contingent liabilities.

Management's estimates regarding contingent liabilities could differ from actual results.

Environmental Liabilities

Environmental liabilities are recorded when environmental assessments and/or remedial efforts are probable and costs can be reasonably estimated. Generally, the timing of these accruals coincides with the completion of a feasibility study or our commitment to a formal plan of action. Further, we review and update our environmental accruals as circumstances change and/or additional information is obtained that reasonably could be expected to have a meaningful effect on the outcome of a matter or the estimated cost thereof.

Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation

We measure and recognize compensation expense for share-based payment transactions to our employees and non-employees at their estimated fair value. The expense is measured at the grant date, based on the calculated fair value of the share-based award, and is recognized over the requisite service period (generally the vesting period of the equity award). The fair value stock options are determined using the Black-Scholes valuation model, which requires assumptions and judgments regarding stock price volatility, risk-free interest rates, expected options terms, and options that are expected to be forfeited. The fair value of unvested stock awards is determined based on the closing price of the underlying common stock on the date of grant. Management's estimates could differ from actual results.

Other Intangible Assets

We amortize purchased other intangible assets with finite lives over the estimated economic lives of the assets, ranging from three to eighteen years generally using the straight-line method. The value of other intangibles acquired through business combinations has been estimated using present value techniques which involve estimates of future cash flows. We evaluate other intangible assets for recoverability considering undiscounted cash flows, when significant changes in conditions occur, and recognizes impairment losses, if any, based upon the estimated fair value of the assets.

Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share are computed by dividing income available to common shareholders by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding in each period. Diluted earnings per share are computed by dividing income available to common shareholders plus income associated with dilutive securities by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding, plus any potential dilutive shares that could be issued if exercised or converted into common stock in each period.

The net earnings and weighted-average number of common shares outstanding used to compute earnings per share were as follows:

| | (In thousands, except per share data) | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | | As Restated | As Restated |
| Net income | \$19,867 | \$11,378 | \$17,623 |
| Weighted-average number of common shares outstanding | | | |
| Basic weighted-average common shares outstanding | 10,897 | 10,695 | 10,580 |
| Dilutive potential common shares | 229 | 157 | 48 |
| Diluted weighted-average common shares outstanding | 11,126 | 10,852 | 10,628 |
| Earnings per share | | | |
| Basic | \$1.82 | \$1.06 | \$1.67 |
| Diluted | \$1.79 | \$1.05 | \$1.66 |

Table of Contents

Potentially dilutive stock options and stock units to purchase common stock, as shown below, were excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share because their inclusion would have been anti-dilutive. However, these shares may be potentially dilutive common shares in the future.

| | (In thousands) | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|------|------|
| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Stock options and stock units | 218 | 410 | 983 |

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss

Accumulated other comprehensive loss, as reflected in the consolidated balance sheets under the equity section, was composed of cumulative pension and retirement liability adjustments, net of tax.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

New Accounting Guidance Adopted in 2014

In July 2013, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2013-11, "Income Taxes (Topic 740)" ("ASU 2013-11"), which required the netting of unrecognized tax benefits against a deferred tax asset for a loss or other carryforward that would apply in settlement of the uncertain tax positions. This guidance was effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2013, which is our fiscal year 2014, with early adoption permitted. The adoption of this standard at the beginning of our fiscal year 2014 reduced deferred tax assets by approximately \$0.7 million.

In February 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-04, "Liabilities (Topic 405)" ("ASU 2013-04"), which provided guidance for the recognition, measurement and disclosure of obligations resulting from joint and several liability arrangements for which the total amount of the obligation is fixed at the reporting date, except for obligations addressed within existing guidance in GAAP. The new guidance was effective for us beginning January 1, 2014. Early adoption was permitted. The adoption of this standard at the beginning of our fiscal year 2014 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In August 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-15, "Presentation of Financial Statements - Going Concern (Subtopic 205-40): Disclosure of Uncertainties about an Entity's Ability to Continue as a Going Concern" ("ASU 2014-15"), which defines management's responsibility to evaluate whether there is substantial doubt about a company's ability to continue as a going concern. ASU 2014-15 also provide principles and definitions that are intended to reduce diversity in the timing and content of disclosures in the financial statement footnotes. The new guidance is effective for annual periods ending after December 15, 2016, which will be our year ending December 31, 2016, and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2016, which will be our interim period beginning January 1, 2017. Early adoption is permitted. We are evaluating the impact of this standard but currently do not anticipate it will have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-12, "Compensation - Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Accounting for Share-Based Payments When the Terms of an Award Provide that a Performance Target Could be Achieved after the Requisite Service Period" ("ASU 2014-12"), which requires that a performance target that affects vesting, and that could be achieved after the requisite service period, be treated as a performance condition. Thus, the performance target should not be reflected in estimating the grant date fair value of the award. This update further clarifies that compensation cost should be recognized in the period in which it becomes probable that the performance target will be achieved and should represent the compensation cost attributable to the period(s) for which the requisite service has already been rendered. The new guidance is effective for us beginning January 1, 2016. Early adoption is permitted. We currently do not anticipate the adoption of this standard will have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09, “Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606)” (“ASU 2014-09”), which outlines a new, single comprehensive model for entities to use in accounting for revenue arising from contracts with customers and supersedes most current revenue recognition guidance, including industry-specific guidance. This new revenue recognition model provides a five-step analysis in determining when and how revenue is recognized. It requires entities to exercise judgment when considering the terms of the contract(s) which include (i) identifying the contract(s) with the customer, (ii) identifying the separate performance obligations in the contract, (iii) determining the transaction price, (iv) allocating the transaction price to the separate performance obligations, and (v) recognizing revenue when each performance obligation is satisfied. Thus, it depicts the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration an

Table of Contents

entity expects to receive in exchange for those goods or services. Companies have the option of applying the provisions of ASU 2014-09 either retrospectively to each prior reporting period presented or retrospectively with the cumulative effect of initially applying this guidance recognized at the date of initial application. Early adoption is not permitted. The new guidance is effective for us beginning January 1, 2017. We are currently evaluating the method and impact that adopting this new accounting standard will have on our consolidated financial statements.

Note 2. Restatement

We have restated our consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2013, and for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 and our unaudited quarterly financial information for the first three quarters in the year ended December 31, 2014 and for each of the quarters in the year ended December 31, 2013, to correct errors in prior periods primarily related to (i) a long-term contract (“Contract”) following the discovery of misconduct by employees in the recording of direct labor costs to the Contract from 2009 through the third quarter 2014 which resulted in the identification of a forward loss provision that should have been recorded in 2009 and the impact on subsequent periods of adjustments to the forward loss provision based on information available at the time (“Forward Loss Adjustments”); and (ii) the year end reconciliation of income taxes payable and deferred tax balances identified errors primarily in 2013, 2012, and 2011 (“Tax Adjustments”). The misconduct and its related financial impact were concealed from our senior management, internal auditors, and external auditors.

The Forward Loss Adjustments were based on certain assumptions and estimates. To determine the loss on the Contract, we estimated the number of units we would have expected to ship over the life of the Contract at inception of the Contract using external market industry data for fiscal years 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, and 2013. We used data obtained directly from the customer for 2014 and 2015. The total estimated costs at any given point in time would typically include actual historical costs up to that time plus the estimated cost to produce units to be delivered. In addition, the estimated total cost for the life of the Contract includes certain inefficiencies on labor, material, and overhead costs during the initial start-up period. However, as we progress along the learning curve, the direct labor hours and overhead rates are expected to decrease as we gain technical knowhow and efficiency in producing the product. As a result of the misconduct by the employees in the recording of direct labor hours to the Contract, the historical actual direct labor hours charged to the Contract were inaccurate. As a result, we estimated the costs to complete future units at the end of each period based on an estimate of the direct labor hours chargeable to the Contract, including consideration of anticipated learning curve efficiencies that would decrease the direct labor hours over the remaining term of the Contract. Further, we used the actual direct labor hours incurred by the employees assigned to the Contract as a basis for projecting future hours, less an estimate of the time not allocable to the Contract. Using this model, we calculated the Forward Loss Adjustments from the inception of the Contract in 2009 through the expected life of the Contract. As a result of the Forward Loss Adjustments, cost of goods sold increased (decreased) approximately \$6.7 million in 2009, \$1.3 million in 2010, \$(0.3) million in 2011, \$(2.2) million in 2012, \$(0.9) million in 2013, and \$(0.8) million in the nine months ended September 27, 2014.

The Tax Adjustments were necessary as a result of certain calculation errors. The Tax Adjustments resulted in a net decrease to income tax expense of approximately \$0.9 million in 2013 and zero in 2012. The Tax Adjustments in 2011 resulted in a reduction to the carrying value of goodwill totaling approximately \$4.0 million due to a calculation error in the original purchase price allocation and subsequent performance of step 2 of our annual goodwill impairment analysis related to deferred income taxes and thus, (i) reduced deferred income taxes by approximately \$2.7 million and (ii) generated a pre-tax goodwill impairment charge of approximately \$1.4 million. Further, the Tax Adjustments in 2011 reduced deferred tax assets by approximately \$1.6 million that were established as a result of shared-based compensation expenses recorded previously and should have been reduced as the tax deductions were utilized. Moreover, the restated amounts include previously identified and disclosed immaterial adjustments.

We evaluated the cumulative impact of these items on prior periods in accordance with the guidance in ASC 250-10, Accounting Changes and Error Corrections, relating to SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 99, Materiality (“SAB 99”) and SAB No. 108, Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements (“SAB 108”), and we concluded these errors were in the aggregate material to the prior reporting periods, and therefore, restatement of previously filed financial statements was necessary to our previously

issued 2013, 2012, 2011, and 2010 financial statements.

The adjustments related to the years prior to 2012 are reflected in the beginning retained earnings for 2012. The cumulative impact of these adjustments decreased retained earnings by approximately \$7.0 million, net of tax, at the beginning of 2012.

The account balances labeled “As Reported” in the following tables for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 represent the previously reported audited balances in our Annual Report on Form 10-K (“Form 10-K”) for the year ended December 31,

Table of Contents

2013. Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to current year's presentation. The effects of these prior period errors on the consolidated financial statements are as follows (in thousands, except per share data):

| Consolidated Balance Sheet: | December 31, 2013 | | |
|--|-------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | As Reported | Adjustments | As Restated |
| Assets | | | |
| Current Assets | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$48,814 | \$— | \$48,814 |
| Accounts receivable (less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$489 at December 31, 2013) | 91,909 | — | 91,909 |
| Inventories | 140,507 | — | 140,507 |
| Production cost of contracts | 11,599 | — | 11,599 |
| Deferred income taxes | 10,850 | 1,819 | 12,669 |
| Other current assets | 27,085 | 998 | 28,083 |
| Total Current Assets | 330,764 | 2,817 | 333,581 |
| Property and Equipment, Net | 96,090 | — | 96,090 |
| Goodwill | 161,940 | (4,371 |) 157,569 |
| Intangibles, Net | 165,465 | — | 165,465 |
| Other Assets | 9,940 | — | 9,940 |
| Total Assets | \$764,199 | \$(1,554 |) \$762,645 |
| Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity | | | |
| Current Liabilities | | | |
| Current portion of long-term debt | \$25 | \$— | \$25 |
| Accounts payable | 58,111 | — | 58,111 |
| Accrued liabilities | 45,453 | 4,669 | 50,122 |
| Total Current Liabilities | 103,589 | 4,669 | 108,258 |
| Long-Term Debt, Less Current Portion | 332,677 | — | 332,677 |
| Deferred Income Taxes | 68,489 | (500 |) 67,989 |
| Other Long-Term Liabilities | 19,750 | (300 |) 19,450 |
| Total Liabilities | 524,505 | 3,869 | 528,374 |
| Commitments and Contingencies | | | |
| Shareholders' Equity | | | |
| Common stock - \$0.01 par value; 35,000,000 shares authorized; 10,960,054 shares issued at December 31, 2013 | 110 | — | 110 |
| Treasury stock, at cost; 143,300 shares at December 31, 2013 | (1,924 |) — | (1,924 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 70,542 | (1,633 |) 68,909 |
| Retained earnings | 174,828 | (3,790 |) 171,038 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (3,862 |) — | (3,862 |
| Total Shareholders' Equity | 239,694 | (5,423 |) 234,271 |
| Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity | \$764,199 | \$(1,554 |) \$762,645 |

Table of Contents

| Consolidated Statement of Income: | Year Ended December 31, 2013 | | |
|--|------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | As Reported | Adjustments | As Restated |
| Net Revenues | \$736,650 | \$— | \$736,650 |
| Cost of Sales | 614,233 | (1,735) | 612,498 |
| Gross Profit | 122,417 | 1,735 | 124,152 |
| Selling, General and Administrative Expenses | 84,849 | — | 84,849 |
| Operating Income | 37,568 | 1,735 | 39,303 |
| Interest Expense | (29,918) | — | (29,918) |
| Income Before Taxes | 7,650 | | |