

MOLINA HEALTHCARE INC  
Form 10-Q  
May 03, 2013  
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2013

Or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission file number: 001-31719

Molina Healthcare, Inc.  
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware  
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

13-4204626  
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

200 Oceangate, Suite 100  
Long Beach, California  
(Address of principal executive offices)

90802  
(Zip Code)

(562) 435-3666  
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer  (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Edgar Filing: MOLINA HEALTHCARE INC - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

The number of shares of the issuer's Common Stock outstanding as of April 19, 2013, was approximately 45,416,300.

---

Table of Contents

MOLINA HEALTHCARE, INC.

Index

Part I — Financial Information

Item 1. Financial Statements

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2013 (unaudited) and December 31, 2012 2

Consolidated Statements of Income for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 (unaudited) 3

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 (unaudited) 4

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 (unaudited) 5

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) 7

Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations 38

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk 57

Item 4. Controls and Procedures 58

Part II — Other Information

Item 1. Legal Proceedings 58

Item 1A. Risk Factors 58

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds 59

Item 6. Exhibits 60

Signatures 61

---

Table of Contents

PART I — FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements.

1

---

Table of ContentsMOLINA HEALTHCARE, INC.  
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

|  | March 31,<br>2013   | December 31,<br>2012 |
|--|---|----------------------|
|  | (Amounts in thousands,<br>except per-share data)<br>(Unaudited) |                      |
| <b>ASSETS</b>  |   |                      |
| Current assets:  |   |                      |
| Cash and cash equivalents  | \$1,169,511   | \$795,770            |
| Investments  | 341,946   | 342,845              |
| Receivables  | 150,251   | 149,682              |
| Deferred income taxes  | 25,753  | 32,443               |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets  | 39,577  | 28,386               |
| Total current assets   | 1,727,038   | 1,349,126            |
| Property, equipment, and capitalized software, net   | 237,735   | 221,443              |
| Deferred contract costs  | 53,813  | 58,313               |
| Intangible assets, net   | 72,864  | 77,711               |
| Goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets  | 151,088   | 151,088              |
| Auction rate securities  | 13,600  | 13,419               |
| Restricted investments   | 55,117  | 44,101               |
| Derivative asset   | 147,385   | —                    |
| Other assets   | 29,449  | 19,621               |
|  | \$2,488,089   | \$1,934,822          |
| <b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>  |   |                      |
| Current liabilities:   |   |                      |
| Medical claims and benefits payable  | \$491,145   | \$494,530            |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities   | 159,986   | 184,034              |
| Deferred revenue   | 135,804   | 141,798              |
| Income taxes payable   | 14,944  | 6,520                |
| Current maturities of long-term debt   | 1,167   | 1,155                |
| Total current liabilities  | 803,046   | 828,037              |
| Long-term debt   | 642,005   | 261,784              |
| Deferred income taxes  | 31,353  | 37,900               |
| Derivative liabilities   | 223,647   | 1,307                |
| Other long-term liabilities  | 23,839  | 23,480               |
| Total liabilities  | 1,723,890   | 1,152,508            |
| Stockholders' equity:  |   |                      |
| Common stock, \$0.001 par value; 80,000 shares authorized; outstanding: 45,415 shares at March 31, 2013 and 46,762 shares at December 31, 2012 | 45  | 47                   |
| Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value; 20,000 shares authorized, no shares issued and outstanding   | —   | —                    |
| Additional paid-in capital   | 234,236   | 285,524              |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss   | (197  | ) (457               |
| Treasury stock, at cost; 111 shares at December 31, 2012   | —   | (3,000               |
| Retained earnings  | 530,115   | 500,200              |
| Total stockholders' equity   | 764,199   | 782,314              |
|  | \$2,488,089   | \$1,934,822          |

See accompanying notes.



Table of ContentsMOLINA HEALTHCARE, INC.  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

|                                      | Three Months Ended<br>March 31,                                       |             |
|--------------------------------------|---|-------------|
|                                      | 2013  | 2012        |
|                                      | (Amounts in thousands, except<br>net income per share)<br>(Unaudited) |             |
| Revenue:                             |   |             |
| Premium revenue                      | \$1,534,608   | \$1,325,406 |
| Service revenue                      | 49,756  | 42,205      |
| Investment income                    | 1,529   | 1,717       |
| Rental and other income              | 4,694   | 4,259       |
| Total revenue                        | 1,590,587   | 1,373,587   |
| Expenses:                            |   |             |
| Medical care costs                   | 1,288,754   | 1,130,988   |
| Cost of service revenue              | 39,770  | 30,494      |
| General and administrative expenses  | 141,407   | 121,474     |
| Premium tax expenses                 | 37,000  | 42,186      |
| Depreciation and amortization        | 16,565  | 15,025      |
| Total expenses                       | 1,523,496   | 1,340,167   |
| Operating income                     | 67,091  | 33,420      |
| Other expenses (income):             |   |             |
| Interest expense                     | 13,037  | 4,298       |
| Other income                         | (131  | ) —         |
| Total other expenses                 | 12,906  | 4,298       |
| Income before income taxes           | 54,185  | 29,122      |
| Income tax expense                   | 24,270  | 11,033      |
| Net income                           | \$29,915  | \$18,089    |
| Net income per share:                |   |             |
| Basic                                | \$0.65  | \$0.39      |
| Diluted                              | \$0.64  | \$0.39      |
| Weighted average shares outstanding: |   |             |
| Basic                                | 45,981  | 45,998      |
| Diluted                              | 46,443  | 46,887      |

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents

MOLINA HEALTHCARE, INC.  
 CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

|   | Three Months Ended<br>March 31,       |          |
|---|---------------------------------------|----------|
|   | 2013                                  | 2012     |
|   | (Amounts in thousands)<br>(Unaudited) |          |
| Net income                              | \$29,915                              | \$18,089 |
| Other comprehensive income, net of tax: |                                       |          |
| Unrealized gain on investments          | 260                                   | 296      |
| Other comprehensive income              | 260                                   | 296      |
| Comprehensive income                    | \$30,175                              | \$18,385 |

See accompanying notes.



Table of ContentsMOLINA HEALTHCARE, INC.  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

|   | Three Months Ended<br>March 31, |           |
|---|---------------------------------|-----------|
|   | 2013                            | 2012      |
|   | (Amounts in thousands)          |           |
|   | (Unaudited)                     |           |
| Operating activities:   |                                 |           |
| Net income  | \$29,915                        | \$18,089  |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: |                                 |           |
| Depreciation and amortization   | 21,799                          | 18,339    |
| Deferred income taxes   | (16                             | ) 8,263   |
| Stock-based compensation  | 4,421                           | 4,666     |
| Gain on sale of subsidiary  | —                               | (1,747    |
| Non-cash interest on convertible senior notes                                     | 3,723                           | 1,443     |
| Change in fair value of derivatives   | (119                            | ) —       |
| Amortization of premium/discount on investments                                   | 1,502                           | 1,850     |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs  | 1,248                           | 258       |
| Tax deficiency from employee stock compensation                                   | (42                             | ) (31     |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities:                                      |                                 |           |
| Receivables   | (569                            | ) (54,356 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets   | (8,956                          | ) (5,640  |
| Medical claims and benefits payable   | (3,385                          | ) 53,357  |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities  | (31,847                         | ) (34,796 |
| Deferred revenue  | (5,994                          | ) 44,543  |
| Income taxes  | 8,424                           | (3,663    |
| Net cash provided by operating activities   | 20,104                          | 50,575    |
| Investing activities:   |                                 |           |
| Purchases of equipment  | (11,167                         | ) (13,505 |
| Purchases of investments  | (76,012                         | ) (88,199 |
| Sales and maturities of investments   | 75,647                          | 65,767    |
| Proceeds from sale of subsidiary, net of cash surrendered                         | —                               | 9,162     |
| Increase in deferred contract costs   | 1,756                           | (12,993   |
| Increase in restricted investments  | (11,016                         | ) (493    |
| Change in other non-current assets and liabilities                                | (408                            | ) (2,457  |
| Net cash used in investing activities   | (21,200                         | ) (42,718 |
| Financing activities:   |                                 |           |
| Proceeds from issuance of 1.125% Notes, net of deferred issuance costs            | 537,973                         | —         |
| Purchase of call option relating to 1.125% Notes                                  | (149,331                        | ) —       |
| Proceeds from issuance of warrants  | 75,074                          | —         |
| Treasury stock purchases  | (50,000                         | ) —       |
| Repayment of amounts borrowed under credit facility                               | (40,000                         | ) —       |
| Amount borrowed under credit facility   | —                               | 10,000    |
| Principal payments on term loan   | (291                            | ) (301    |
| Proceeds from employee stock plans  | 235                             | 2,748     |
| Excess tax benefits from employee stock compensation                              | 1,177                           | 3,592     |
| Net cash provided by financing activities   | 374,837                         | 16,039    |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents   | 373,741                         | 23,896    |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period                                  | 795,770                         | 493,827   |

|  |             |           |
|--|-------------|-----------|
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$1,169,511 | \$517,723 |
|--|-------------|-----------|

5

---

Table of Contents

## MOLINA HEALTHCARE, INC.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS - (continued)

|   | Three Months Ended<br>March 31, |           |
|---|---------------------------------|-----------|
|   | 2013                            | 2012      |
|   | (Amounts in thousands)          |           |
|   | (Unaudited)                     |           |
| Supplemental cash flow information:                       |                                 |           |
| Cash paid during the period for:                          |                                 |           |
| Income taxes  | \$14,712                        | \$1,057   |
| Interest  | \$17,065                        | \$799     |
| Schedule of non-cash investing and financing activities:  |                                 |           |
| Retirement of treasury stock                              | \$53,000                        | \$—       |
| Common stock used for stock-based compensation            | \$4,644                         | \$8,768   |
| Details of sale of subsidiary:                            |                                 |           |
| Decrease in carrying value of assets                      | \$—                             | \$30,942  |
| Decrease in carrying value of liabilities                 | —                               | (23,527 ) |
| Gain on sale  | —                               | 1,747     |
| Proceeds from sale of subsidiary, net of cash surrendered | \$—                             | \$9,162   |

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents

MOLINA HEALTHCARE, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

March 31, 2013

1. Basis of Presentation

Organization and Operations

Molina Healthcare, Inc. provides quality and cost-effective Medicaid-related solutions to meet the health care needs of low-income families and individuals and to assist state agencies in their administration of the Medicaid program. We report our financial performance based on two reportable segments: Health Plans and Molina Medicaid Solutions. Our Health Plans segment comprises health plans in California, Florida, Michigan, New Mexico, Ohio, Texas, Utah, Washington, and Wisconsin, and includes our direct delivery business. As of March 31, 2013, these health plans served approximately 1.8 million members eligible for Medicaid, Medicare, and other government-sponsored health care programs for low-income families and individuals. The health plans are operated by our respective wholly owned subsidiaries in those states, each of which is licensed as a health maintenance organization, or HMO. Our direct delivery business consists of primary care community clinics in California, Florida, New Mexico, and Washington. Our health plans' state Medicaid contracts generally have terms of three to four years with annual adjustments to premium rates. These contracts are renewable at the discretion of the state. In general, either the state Medicaid agency or the health plan may terminate the state contract with or without cause. Most of these contracts contain renewal options that are exercisable by the state. Our health plan subsidiaries have generally been successful in retaining their contracts. Our state contracts are generally at greatest risk of loss when a state issues a new request for proposals, or RFP, subject to competitive bidding by other health plans. If one of our health plans is not a successful responsive bidder to a state RFP, its contract may be subject to non-renewal. For instance, on February 17, 2012, the Division of Purchasing of the Missouri Office of Administration notified us that our Missouri health plan was not awarded a contract under the Missouri HealthNet Managed Care Request for Proposal; therefore, our Missouri health plan's prior contract with the state expired without renewal on June 30, 2012 subject to certain transition obligations. Our state Medicaid contracts may be periodically adjusted to include or exclude certain health benefits (such as pharmacy services, behavioral health services, or long-term care services); populations (such as the aged, blind or disabled, or ABD); and regions or service areas. For example, our Texas health plan added significant membership effective March 1, 2012, in service areas we had not previously served (the Hidalgo and El Paso service areas); and among populations we had not previously served within existing service areas, such as the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families, or TANF, population in the Dallas service area. Additionally, the health benefits provided to our TANF and ABD members in Texas under our contracts with the state were expanded to include inpatient facility and pharmacy services effective March 1, 2012.

Our Molina Medicaid Solutions segment provides business processing and information technology development and administrative services to Medicaid agencies in Idaho, Louisiana, Maine, New Jersey, and West Virginia, and drug rebate administration services in Florida.

On June 9, 2011, Molina Medicaid Solutions received notice from the state of Louisiana that the state intended to award the contract for a replacement Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS) to a different vendor, CNSI. However, in March 2013, the state of Louisiana cancelled its contract award to CNSI. CNSI is currently challenging the contract cancellation. The state has informed us that we will continue to perform under our current contract until a successor is named. Subject to the pending challenge, it is currently uncertain whether a new RFP will be issued, and if so, when it will be issued. At such time as a new RFP may be issued, we intend to respond to the state's RFP. For the three months ended March 31, 2013, our revenue under the Louisiana MMIS contract was approximately \$10.1 million, or 20.3% of total service revenue. So long as our Louisiana MMIS contract continues, we expect to recognize approximately \$40 million of service revenue annually under this contract.

Consolidation and Interim Financial Information

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Molina Healthcare, Inc., its subsidiaries and variable interest entities in which Molina Healthcare, Inc. is considered to be the primary beneficiary. Such variable interest entities are insignificant to our consolidated financial position and results of operations. In the opinion of

management, all adjustments considered necessary for a fair presentation of the results as of the date and for the interim periods presented have been included; such adjustments consist of normal recurring adjustments. All significant intercompany balances and transactions

7

---

Table of Contents

have been eliminated. The consolidated results of operations for the current interim period are not necessarily indicative of the results for the entire year ending December 31, 2013.

The unaudited consolidated interim financial statements have been prepared under the assumption that users of the interim financial data have either read or have access to our audited consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012. Accordingly, certain disclosures that would substantially duplicate the disclosures contained in the December 31, 2012 audited consolidated financial statements have been omitted. These unaudited consolidated interim financial statements should be read in conjunction with our December 31, 2012 audited consolidated financial statements.

Reclassifications

We have reclassified certain amounts in the 2012 consolidated balance sheet, and statements of income and cash flows to conform to the 2013 presentation.

2. Significant Accounting Policies

Revenue Recognition

Premium Revenue – Health Plans Segment

Premium revenue is fixed in advance of the periods covered and, except as described below, is not generally subject to significant accounting estimates. Premium revenues are recognized in the month that members are entitled to receive health care services.

Certain components of premium revenue are subject to accounting estimates. The components of premium revenue subject to estimation fall into two categories:

(1) Contractual provisions that may limit revenue based upon the costs incurred or the profits realized under a specific contract: These are contractual provisions that require the health plan to return premiums to the extent that certain thresholds are not met. In some instances premiums are returned when medical costs fall below a certain percentage of gross premiums; or when administrative costs or profits exceed a certain percentage of gross premiums. In other instances, premiums are partially determined by the acuity of care provided to members (risk adjustment). To the extent that our expenses and profits change from the amounts previously reported (due to changes in estimates), our revenue earned for those periods will also change. In all of these instances, our revenue is only subject to estimate due to the fact that the thresholds themselves contain elements (expense or profit) that are subject to estimate. While we have adequate experience and data to make sound estimates of our expenses or profits, changes to those estimates may be necessary, which in turn would lead to changes in our estimates of revenue. In general, a change in estimate relating to expense or profit would offset any related change in estimate to premium, resulting in no or small impact to net income. The following contractual provisions fall into this category:

California Health Plan Medical Cost Floors (Minimums): A portion of certain premiums received by our California health plan may be returned to the state if certain minimum amounts are not spent on defined medical care costs. We recorded a liability under the terms of these contract provisions of approximately \$0.3 million at both March 31, 2013, and December 31, 2012.

Florida Health Plan Medical Cost Floor (Minimum) for Behavioral Health: A portion of premiums received by our Florida health plan may be returned to the state if certain minimum amounts are not spent on defined behavioral health care costs. At both March 31, 2013, and December 31, 2012, we had not recorded any liability under the terms of this contract provision since behavioral health expenses are not less than the contractual floor.

New Mexico Health Plan Medical Cost Floors (Minimums) and Administrative Cost and Profit Ceilings (Maximums): Our contract with the state of New Mexico directs that a portion of premiums received may be returned to the state if certain minimum amounts are not spent on defined medical care costs, or if administrative costs or profit (as defined) exceed certain amounts. At both March 31, 2013, and December 31, 2012, we had not recorded any liability under the terms of these contract provisions.

Texas Health Plan Profit Sharing: Under our contract with the state of Texas, there is a profit-sharing agreement under which we pay a rebate to the state of Texas if our Texas health plan generates pretax income, as defined in the contract, above a certain specified percentage, as determined in accordance with a tiered rebate schedule. We are limited in the amount of administrative costs that we may deduct in calculating the rebate, if any. As a result of profits in excess of the amount we are allowed to fully retain, we had accrued an aggregate liability of approximately \$3.1

million and \$3.2 million pursuant to our profit-sharing agreement with the state of Texas at March 31, 2013, and December 31, 2012, respectively.

8

---

Table of Contents

Washington Health Plan Medical Cost Floors (Minimums): A portion of certain premiums received by our Washington health plan may be returned to the state if certain minimum amounts are not spent on defined medical care costs. We recorded a liability under the terms of these contract provisions of approximately \$1.0 million at March 31, 2013. At December 31, 2012, we had not recorded any liability under the terms of this contract provision since medical expenses were not less than the contractual floor.

Medicare Revenue Risk Adjustment: Based on member encounter data that we submit to CMS, our Medicare premiums are subject to retroactive adjustment for both member risk scores and member pharmacy cost experience for up to two years after the original year of service. This adjustment takes into account the acuity of each member's medical needs relative to what was anticipated when premiums were originally set for that member. In the event that a member requires less acute medical care than was anticipated by the original premium amount, CMS may recover premium from us. In the event that a member requires more acute medical care than was anticipated by the original premium amount, CMS may pay us additional retroactive premium. A similar retroactive reconciliation is undertaken by CMS for our Medicare members' pharmacy utilization. We estimate the amount of Medicare revenue that will ultimately be realized for the periods presented based on our knowledge of our members' health care utilization patterns and CMS practices. Based on our knowledge of member health care utilization patterns and expenses we have recorded a net payable of approximately \$0.2 million as of March 31, 2013 and a net receivable of approximately \$0.3 million as of December 31, 2012 for anticipated Medicare risk adjustment premiums.

(2) Quality incentives that allow us to recognize incremental revenue if certain quality standards are met: These are contract provisions that allow us to earn additional premium revenue in certain states if we achieve certain quality-of-care or administrative measures. We estimate the amount of revenue that will ultimately be realized for the periods presented based on our experience and expertise in meeting the quality and administrative measures as well as our ongoing and current monitoring of our progress in meeting those measures. The amount of the revenue that we will realize under these contractual provisions is determinable based upon that experience. The following contractual provisions fall into this category:

New Mexico Health Plan Quality Incentive Premiums: Under our contract with the state of New Mexico, incremental revenue of up to 0.75% of our total premium is earned if certain performance measures are met. These performance measures are generally linked to various quality-of-care and administrative measures dictated by the state.

Ohio Health Plan Quality Incentive Premiums: Under our contract with the state of Ohio, incremental revenue of up to 1% of our total premium is earned if certain performance measures are met. These performance measures are generally linked to various quality-of-care measures dictated by the state.

Texas Health Plan Quality Incentive Premiums: Effective March 1, 2012, under our contract with the state of Texas, incremental revenue of up to 5% of our total premium may be earned if certain performance measures are met. These performance measures are generally linked to various quality-of-care measures established by the state.

Wisconsin Health Plan Quality Incentive Premiums: Under our contract with the state of Wisconsin, incremental revenue of up to 3.25% of total premium is earned if certain performance measures are met. These performance measures are generally linked to various quality-of-care measures dictated by the state.

The following table quantifies the quality incentive premium revenue recognized for the periods presented, including the amounts earned in the period presented and prior periods. Although the reasonably possible effects of a change in estimate related to quality incentive premium revenue as of March 31, 2013 are not known, we have no reason to believe that the adjustments to prior years noted below are not indicative of the potential future changes in our estimates as of March 31, 2013.



Table of Contents

|            | Three Months Ended March 31, 2013   |   |  |  |                          |
|------------|---|---|--|--|--------------------------|
|            | Maximum Available Quality Incentive Premium - Current Year (In thousands) | Amount of Current Year Quality Incentive Premium Revenue Recognized | Amount of Quality Incentive Premium Revenue Recognized from Prior Year | Total Quality Incentive Premium Revenue Recognized | Total Revenue Recognized |
| New Mexico | \$585   | \$ 332  | \$ 108   | \$ 440   | \$85,798                 |
| Ohio       | 3,005   | 1,052   | —  | 1,052  | 291,518                  |
| Texas      | 16,264  | 13,512  | 5,995  | 19,507   | 335,296                  |
| Wisconsin  | 761   | —   | 609  | 609  | 27,124                   |
|            | \$20,615  | \$ 14,896   | \$ 6,712   | \$ 21,608  | \$739,736                |

  

|            | Three Months Ended March 31, 2012   |   |  |  |                          |
|------------|---|---|--|--|--------------------------|
|            | Maximum Available Quality Incentive Premium - Current Year (In thousands) | Amount of Current Year Quality Incentive Premium Revenue Recognized | Amount of Quality Incentive Premium Revenue Recognized from Prior Year | Total Quality Incentive Premium Revenue Recognized | Total Revenue Recognized |
| New Mexico | \$555   | \$ 336  | \$ 28  | \$ 364   | \$81,226                 |
| Ohio       | 2,678   | 2,678   | 966  | 3,644  | 293,525                  |
| Texas      | 5,750   | 5,750   | —  | 5,750  | 198,236                  |
| Wisconsin  | 416   | —   | —  | —  | 17,142                   |
|            | \$9,399   | \$ 8,764  | \$ 994   | \$ 9,758   | \$590,129                |

## Service Revenue and Cost of Service Revenue — Molina Medicaid Solutions Segment

The payments received by our Molina Medicaid Solutions segment under its state contracts are based on the performance of multiple services. The first of these is the design, development and implementation (DDI) of a Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS). An additional service, following completion of DDI, is the operation of the MMIS under a business process outsourcing (BPO) arrangement. While providing BPO services (which include claims payment and eligibility processing), we also provide the state with other services including both hosting and support and maintenance. Our Molina Medicaid Solutions contracts may extend over a number of years, particularly in circumstances where we are delivering extensive and complex DDI services, such as the initial design, development and implementation of a complete MMIS. For example, the terms of our most recently implemented Molina Medicaid Solutions contracts (in Idaho and Maine) were each seven years in total, consisting of two years allocated for the delivery of DDI services, followed by five years for the performance of BPO services. We receive progress payments from the state during the performance of DDI services based upon the attainment of predetermined milestones. We receive a flat monthly payment for BPO services under our Idaho and Maine contracts. The terms of our other Molina Medicaid Solutions contracts – which primarily involve the delivery of BPO services with only minimal DDI activity (consisting of system enhancements) – are shorter in duration than our Idaho and Maine contracts.

We have evaluated our Molina Medicaid Solutions contracts to determine if such arrangements include a software element. Based on this evaluation, we have concluded that these arrangements do not include a software element. As such, we have concluded that our Molina Medicaid Solutions contracts are multiple-element service arrangements. Additionally, we evaluate each required deliverable under our multiple-element service arrangements to determine whether it qualifies as a separate unit of accounting. Such evaluation is generally based on whether the deliverable has standalone value to the customer. The arrangement's consideration that is fixed or determinable is then allocated to each separate unit of accounting based on the relative selling price of each deliverable. In general, the consideration

allocated to each unit of accounting is recognized as the related goods or services are delivered, limited to the consideration that is not contingent.

We have concluded that the various service elements in our Molina Medicaid Solutions contracts represent a single unit of accounting due to the fact that DDI, which is the only service performed in advance of the other services (all other services are performed over an identical period), does not have standalone value because our DDI services are not sold separately by any vendor and the customer could not resell our DDI services. Further, we have no objective and reliable evidence of fair value for any of the individual elements in these contracts, and at no point in the contract will we have objective and reliable

Table of Contents

evidence of fair value for the undelivered elements in the contracts. We lack objective and reliable evidence of the fair value of the individual elements of our Molina Medicaid Solutions contracts for the following reasons:

- Each contract calls for the provision of its own specific set of services. While all contracts support the system of record for state MMIS, the actual services we provide vary significantly between contracts; and
- The nature of the MMIS installed varies significantly between our older contracts (proprietary mainframe systems) and our new contracts (commercial off-the-shelf technology solutions).

Because we have determined the services provided under our Molina Medicaid Solutions contracts represent a single unit of accounting and because we are unable to determine a pattern of performance of services during the contract period, we recognize all revenue (both the DDI and BPO elements) associated with such contracts on a straight-line basis over the period during which BPO, hosting, and support and maintenance services are delivered. As noted above, the period of performance of BPO services under our Idaho and Maine contracts is five years. Therefore, absent any contingencies as discussed in the following paragraph, we would recognize all revenue associated with those contracts over a period of five years. In cases where there is no DDI element associated with our contracts, BPO revenue is recognized on a monthly basis as specified in the applicable contract or contract extension.

Provisions specific to each contract may, however, lead us to modify this general principle. In those circumstances, the right of the state to refuse acceptance of services, as well as the related obligation to compensate us, may require us to delay recognition of all or part of our revenue until that contingency (the right of the state to refuse acceptance) has been removed. In those circumstances we defer recognition of any contingent revenue (whether DDI, BPO services, hosting, and support and maintenance services) until the contingency has been removed. These types of contingency features are present in our Maine and Idaho contracts. In those states, we deferred recognition of revenue until the contingencies were removed.

Costs associated with our Molina Medicaid Solutions contracts include software related costs and other costs. With respect to software related costs, we apply the guidance for internal-use software and capitalize external direct costs of materials and services consumed in developing or obtaining the software, and payroll and payroll-related costs associated with employees who are directly associated with and who devote time to the computer software project. With respect to all other direct costs, such costs are expensed as incurred, unless corresponding revenue is being deferred. If revenue is being deferred, direct costs relating to delivered service elements are deferred as well and are recognized on a straight-line basis over the period of revenue recognition, in a manner consistent with our recognition of revenue that has been deferred. Such direct costs can include:

- Transaction processing costs;
- Employee costs incurred in performing transaction services;
- Vendor costs incurred in performing transaction services;
- Costs incurred in performing required monitoring of and reporting on contract performance;
- Costs incurred in maintaining and processing member and provider eligibility; and
- Costs incurred in communicating with members and providers.

The recoverability of deferred contract costs associated with a particular contract is analyzed on a periodic basis using the undiscounted estimated cash flows of the whole contract over its remaining contract term. If such undiscounted cash flows are insufficient to recover the long-lived assets and deferred contract costs, the deferred contract costs are written down by the amount of the cash flow deficiency. If a cash flow deficiency remains after reducing the balance of the deferred contract costs to zero, any remaining long-lived assets are evaluated for impairment. Any such impairment recognized would equal the amount by which the carrying value of the long-lived assets exceeds the fair value of those assets.

#### Income Taxes

The provision for income taxes is determined using an estimated annual effective tax rate, which is generally greater than the U.S. federal statutory rate primarily because of non-deductible compensation and state taxes. The effective tax rate may be subject to fluctuations during the year as new information is obtained. Such information may affect the assumptions used to estimate the annual effective tax rate, including factors such as the mix of pretax earnings in the various tax jurisdictions in which we operate, valuation allowances against deferred tax assets, the recognition or

derecognition of tax benefits related to uncertain tax positions, and changes in or the interpretation of tax laws in jurisdictions where we conduct

11

---

Table of Contents

business. We recognize deferred tax assets and liabilities for temporary differences between the financial reporting basis and the tax basis of our assets and liabilities, along with net operating loss and tax credit carryovers.

The total amount of unrecognized tax benefits was \$10.6 million as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012. Approximately \$8.4 million of the unrecognized tax benefits recorded at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, relate to a tax position claimed on a state refund claim that will not result in a cash payment for income taxes if our claim is denied. The total amount of unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would affect the effective tax rate was \$7.2 million as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012. We expect that during the next 12 months it is reasonably possible that unrecognized tax benefit liabilities may decrease by as much as \$8.6 million due to the expiration of statute of limitations and the resolution to the state refund claim described above.

Our continuing practice is to recognize interest and/or penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in income tax expense. As of March 31, 2013, and December 31, 2012, we had accrued \$60,000 and \$56,000, respectively, for the payment of interest and penalties.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

**Reclassifications Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income.** In February 2013, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued guidance for the reporting of amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income. The new guidance requires entities to report the effect of significant reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income on the respective line items in net income if the amount being reclassified is required under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) to be reclassified in its entirety to net income. The new guidance does not change the current requirements for reporting net income or other comprehensive income in financial statements and is effective prospectively for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2012. The adoption of this new guidance in 2013 did not impact our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

**Balance Sheet Offsetting.** In December 2011, the FASB issued guidance for new disclosure requirements related to the nature of an entity's rights of set-off and related arrangements associated with its financial instruments and derivative instruments. The new guidance is effective for annual reporting periods, and interim periods within those years, beginning on or after January 1, 2013. The adoption of this new guidance in 2013 did not impact our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

**Federal Premium-Based Assessment.** In July 2011, the FASB issued guidance related to accounting for the fees to be paid by health insurers to the federal government under the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act (ACA). The ACA imposes an annual fee on health insurers for each calendar year beginning on or after January 1, 2014. The fee will be imposed beginning in 2014 based on a company's share of the industry's net premiums written during the preceding calendar year.

The new guidance specifies that the liability for the fee should be estimated and recorded in full once the entity provides qualifying health insurance in the applicable calendar year in which the fee is payable with a corresponding deferred cost that is amortized to expense using a straight-line method of allocation unless another method better allocates the fee over the calendar year that it is payable. The new guidance is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 31, 2013, when the fee initially becomes effective. As enacted, this federal premium-based assessment is non-deductible for income tax purposes, and is anticipated to be significant. It is yet undetermined how this premium-based assessment will be factored into the calculation of our premium rates, if at all. Accordingly, adoption of this guidance and the enactment of this assessment as currently written will have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows in future periods. We are currently evaluating the impact of the fee to our financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

Other recent accounting pronouncements issued by the FASB (including its Emerging Issues Task Force), the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA), and the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) did not have, or are not believed by management to have, a material impact on our present or future consolidated financial statements.

3. Net Income per Share

The following table sets forth the calculation of the denominators used to compute basic and diluted net income per share:



Table of Contents

|  | Three Months Ended March 31, |        |
|--|------------------------------|--------|
|  | 2013                         | 2012   |
|  | (In thousands)               |        |
| Shares outstanding at the beginning of the period              | 46,762                       | 45,815 |
| Weighted-average number of shares repurchased                  | (867                         | ) —    |
| Weighted-average number of shares issued                       | 86                           | 183    |
| Denominator for basic income per share                         | 45,981                       | 45,998 |
| Dilutive effect of employee stock options and stock grants (1) | 462                          | 857    |
| Dilutive effect of convertible senior notes                    | —                            | 32     |
| Denominator for diluted income per share (2)                   | 46,443                       | 46,887 |

Unvested restricted shares are included in the calculation of diluted income per share when their grant date fair values are below the average fair value of the common shares for each of the periods presented. Options to purchase common shares are included in the calculation of diluted income per share when their exercise prices are (1) below the average fair value of the common shares for each of the periods presented. For the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, there were approximately 202,500 and 199,700 anti-dilutive weighted restricted shares, respectively. For the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 there were approximately 25,500 and 7,900 anti-dilutive weighted options, respectively.

Potentially dilutive shares issuable pursuant to our 3.75% Notes and 1.125% Warrants (both defined in Note 10, (2) "Long-Term Debt") were not included in the computation of diluted income per share for the three month period ended March 31, 2013, because to do so would have been anti-dilutive.

#### 4. Stock-Based Compensation

At March 31, 2013, we had employee equity incentives outstanding under two plans: (1) the 2011 Equity Incentive Plan; and (2) the 2002 Equity Incentive Plan (from which equity incentives are no longer awarded).

In March 2013, our named executive officers were granted restricted stock awards with performance conditions as follows: our chief executive officer was awarded 186,858 shares, our chief financial officer was awarded 93,429 shares, our chief operating officer was awarded 62,286 shares, our chief accounting officer was awarded 28,029 shares, and our general counsel was awarded 21,800 shares. These awards were apportioned into four equal increments, and will vest in accordance with the following four measures: (i) 1/4th will vest in equal 1/3rd increments over three years on March 1, 2014, March 1, 2015, and March 1, 2016; (ii) 1/4th will vest upon our achievement of three-year Total Stockholder Return as determined by Institutional Shareholder Services Inc. (ISS) calculations for the three-year period ending December 31, 2013 equal to or greater than the 50th percentile within our ISS peer group; (iii) 1/4th shall vest upon our achievement of total revenue in any of the 2013, 2014, or 2015 fiscal years equal to or greater than \$12 billion; and (iv) 1/4th shall vest upon our achievement of the three-year earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (EBITDA) margin percentage for the three-year period ending December 31, 2013 equal to or greater than 2.5%. In the event the vesting conditions are not achieved, the awards shall lapse. As of March 31, 2013, such performance goals have not yet been met, but we do expect the awards to vest in full.

Charged to general and administrative expenses, total stock-based compensation expense was as follows for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

|  | Three Months Ended March 31, |         |
|--|------------------------------|---------|
|  | 2013                         | 2012    |
|  | (In thousands)               |         |
| Restricted stock and performance awards                                | \$3,848                      | \$4,398 |
| Stock options (primarily relating to our employee stock purchase plan) | 573                          | 268     |
|  | \$4,421                      | \$4,666 |

As of March 31, 2013, there was \$29.2 million of total unrecognized compensation expense related to unvested restricted share awards, which we expect to recognize over a remaining weighted-average period of 1.9 years. Also as of March 31, 2013, there was \$0.8 million of total unrecognized compensation expense related to unvested stock

options, which we expect to recognize over a weighted-average period of 2.8 years.

13

---



Table of Contents

Restricted stock activity for the three months ended March 31, 2013 is summarized below:

|  | Shares     | Weighted<br>Average<br>Grant Date<br>Fair Value |
|--|------------|---|
| Unvested balance as of December 31, 2012 | 986,577    | \$23.74   |
| Granted                                  | 601,175    | 31.40   |
| Vested                                   | (409,484 ) | 22.16   |
| Forfeited                                | (7,750 )   | 22.93   |
| Unvested balance as of March 31, 2013    | 1,170,518  | 28.23   |

The total fair value of restricted stock and stock unit awards, including those with performance conditions, granted during the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 was \$19.3 million and \$19.8 million, respectively. The total fair value of restricted stock and stock unit awards vested during the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 was \$13.1 million and \$22.8 million, respectively.

Stock option activity for the three months ended March 31, 2013 is summarized below:

|   | Options   | Weighted<br>Average<br>Exercise<br>Price | Aggregate<br>Intrinsic<br>Value<br><br>(In thousands) | Weighted<br>Average<br>Remaining<br>Contractual<br>term<br><br>(Years) |
|---|-----------|--|---|--|
| Outstanding as of December 31, 2012                                 | 414,061   | \$22.39                                  |   |  |
| Granted   | 45,000    | 33.02                                    |   |  |
| Exercised   | (13,001 ) | 17.97                                    |   |  |
| Forfeited   | (300 )    | 17.63                                    |   |  |
| Outstanding as of March 31, 2013                                    | 445,760   | 23.60                                    | \$3,398   | 3.8  |
| Stock options exercisable and expected to vest as of March 31, 2013 | 445,760   | 23.60                                    | \$3,398   | 3.8  |
| Exercisable as of March 31, 2013                                    | 390,760   | 22.23                                    | \$3,398   | 3.0  |

The weighted-average grant date fair value per share of stock options awarded to the new members of our board of directors during the three months ended March 31, 2013 was \$14.67. The weighted-average grant date fair value per share of the stock option awarded to the director appointed during 2012 was \$13.97. To determine the fair values of these stock options we applied risk-free interest rates of 1.1% to 1.4%, expected volatilities of 41.3% to 43.0%, dividend yields of 0%, and expected lives of 6 years to 7 years.

#### 5. Fair Value Measurements

Our consolidated balance sheets include the following financial instruments: cash and cash equivalents, investments, receivables, a derivative asset, trade accounts payable, medical claims and benefits payable, long-term debt, derivative liabilities, and other liabilities. We consider the carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, receivables, other current assets and current liabilities to approximate their fair value because of the relatively short period of time between the origination of these instruments and their expected realization or payment. For our financial instruments measured at fair value on a recurring basis, we prioritize the inputs used in measuring fair value according to a three-tier fair value hierarchy as follows:

**Level 1** — Observable inputs such as quoted prices in active markets: Our Level 1 financial instruments recorded at fair value consist of investments including government-sponsored enterprise securities (GSEs) and U.S. treasury notes that are classified as current investments in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. These financial instruments are actively traded and therefore the fair value for these securities is based on quoted market prices on one or more securities exchanges.

**Level 2** — Inputs other than quoted prices in active markets that are either directly or indirectly observable: Our Level 2 financial instruments recorded at fair value consist of investments including corporate debt securities, municipal

securities, and certificates of deposit that are classified as current investments in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. Our investments classified as Level 2 are traded frequently though not necessarily

Table of Contents

daily. Fair value for these investments is determined using a market approach based on quoted prices for similar securities in active markets or quoted prices for identical securities in inactive markets.

Additionally, Level 2 financial instruments include an interest rate swap derivative liability. Fair value for the interest rate swap derivative is based on forward LIBOR rates that are and will be observable at commonly quoted intervals for the full term of the interest rate swap agreement.

Level 3 — Unobservable inputs in which little or no market data exists, therefore requiring an entity to develop its own assumptions: Our Level 3 financial instruments recorded at fair value include non-current auction rate securities that are designated as available-for-sale, and are reported at fair value of \$13.6 million (par value of \$14.5 million) as of March 31, 2013. To estimate the fair value of these securities we use valuation data from our primary pricing source, a third party who provides a marketplace for illiquid assets with over 10,000 participants including global financial institutions, hedge funds, private equity funds, mutual funds, corporations and other institutional investors. This valuation data is based on a range of prices that represent indicative bids from potential buyers. To validate the reasonableness of the data, we compare these valuations to data from two other third-party pricing sources, which also provide a range of prices representing indicative bids from potential buyers. We have concluded that these estimates, given the lack of market available pricing, provide a reasonable basis for determining the fair value of the auction rate securities as of March 31, 2013.

Additionally, Level 3 financial instruments include derivative financial instruments comprising the 1.125% Call Option asset, the embedded conversion option liability, and the 1.125% Warrants liability. These derivatives are not actively traded and are valued based on an option pricing model that uses observable and unobservable market data for inputs. Significant market data inputs used to determine fair value as of March 31, 2013 included our common stock price, time to maturity of the derivative instruments, the risk-free interest rate, and the implied volatility of our common stock. See Note 10, “Long-Term Debt,” and Note 11, “Derivative Financial Instruments,” for further information, including defined terms, regarding our derivative financial instruments.

Our financial instruments measured at fair value on a recurring basis at March 31, 2013, were as follows:

|   | Total          | Level 1  | Level 2   | Level 3   |
|---|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|
|   | (In thousands) |          |           |           |
| Corporate debt securities                                     | \$198,567      | \$—      | \$198,567 | \$—       |
| GSEs  | 27,399         | 27,399   | —         | —         |
| Municipal securities  | 75,411         | —        | 75,411    | —         |
| U.S. treasury notes   | 31,938         | 31,938   | —         | —         |
| Auction rate securities                                       | 13,600         | —        | —         | 13,600    |
| Certificates of deposit                                       | 8,631          | —        | 8,631     | —         |
| 1.125% Call Option derivative                                 | 147,385        | —        | —         | 147,385   |
| Total assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis      | \$502,931      | \$59,337 | \$282,609 | \$160,985 |
| Embedded conversion option derivative                         | \$147,309      | \$—      | \$—       | \$147,309 |
| 1.125% Warrants derivative                                    | 75,074         | —        | —         | 75,074    |
| Interest rate swap derivative                                 | 1,264          | —        | 1,264     | —         |
| Total liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis | \$223,647      | \$—      | \$1,264   | \$222,383 |

Table of Contents

Our financial instruments measured at fair value on a recurring basis at December 31, 2012, were as follows:

|  | Total          | Level 1  | Level 2   | Level 3  |
|--|----------------|----------|-----------|----------|
|  | (In thousands) |          |           |          |
| Corporate debt securities                                | \$191,008      | \$—      | \$191,008 | \$—      |
| GSEs   | 29,525         | 29,525   | —         | —        |
| Municipal securities                                     | 75,848         | —        | 75,848    | —        |
| U.S. treasury notes                                      | 35,740         | 35,740   | —         | —        |
| Auction rate securities                                  | 13,419         | —        | —         | 13,419   |
| Certificates of deposit                                  | 10,724         | —        | 10,724    | —        |
| Total assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis | \$356,264      | \$65,265 | \$277,580 | \$13,419 |

Interest rate swap derivative \$1,307 \$— \$1,307 \$—

The following tables present activity relating to our assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3):

|   | Changes in Level 3 Instruments for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 |                         |                  |
|---|--|-------------------------|------------------|
|   | Total  | Auction Rate Securities | Derivatives, Net |
|   | (In thousands)   |                         |                  |
| Balance at December 31, 2012  | \$13,419   | \$13,419                | \$—              |
| Net unrealized gains included in other comprehensive income   | 331  | 331                     | —                |
| Net unrealized gains included in earnings   | 76   | —                       | 76               |
| Purchases and issuances of assets (liabilities)   | (75,074)   | —                       | (75,074)         |
| Settlements   | (150)  | (150)                   | —                |
| Balance at March 31, 2013   | \$(61,398)   | \$13,600                | \$(74,998)       |
| The amount of total unrealized gains for the period included in other comprehensive income attributable to the change in accumulated other comprehensive losses relating to assets still held at March 31, 2013 | \$318  | \$318                   | \$—              |

|  | Changes in Level 3 Instruments for the Year Ended December 31, 2012 |                         |                  |
|--|---|-------------------------|------------------|
|  | Total   | Auction Rate Securities | Derivatives, Net |
|  | (In thousands)  |                         |                  |
| Balance at December 31, 2011   | \$16,134  | \$16,134                | \$—              |
| Net unrealized gains included in other comprehensive income  | 1,635   | 1,635                   | —                |
| Settlements  | (4,350)   | (4,350)                 | —                |
| Balance at December 31, 2012   | \$13,419  | \$13,419                | \$—              |
| The amount of total unrealized gains for the period included in other comprehensive income attributable to the change in accumulated other comprehensive losses relating to assets still held at December 31, 2012 | \$1,059   | \$1,059                 | \$—              |

#### Fair Value Measurements – Disclosure Only

The carrying amounts and estimated fair values of our long-term debt, as well as the applicable fair value hierarchy tiers, are contained in the tables below. Our convertible senior notes are classified as Level 2 financial instruments. Fair value for these securities is determined using a market approach based on quoted prices for similar securities in active markets or quoted prices for identical securities in inactive markets. Our term loan is classified as a Level 3 financial instrument, because certain inputs used to determine the fair value of this financial instrument are unobservable. The carrying value of the term loan at March 31, 2013, approximates its fair value because there has been no significant change to our credit risk relating to this instrument from the term loan's origination date in December 2011, through March 31, 2013. As described in Note 14, "Commitments and Contingencies," we recorded a

financing obligation in connection with a lease entered into in February

16

---

Table of Contents

2013. The financing obligation at March 31, 2013, approximates its fair value because of the short period of time between the origination of the obligation in February 2013 and March 31, 2013. The Credit Facility was repaid and terminated effective February 15, 2013.

|                      | March 31, 2013    |            |         |           |          |
|----------------------|-------------------|------------|---------|-----------|----------|
|                      | Carrying          | Total      | Level 1 | Level 2   | Level 3  |
|                      | Value             | Fair Value |         |           |          |
|                      | (In thousands)    |            |         |           |          |
| 1.125% Notes         | \$402,836         | \$551,271  | \$—     | \$551,271 | \$—      |
| 3.75% Notes          | 177,024           | 223,115    | —       | 223,115   | —        |
| Term loan            | 47,179            | 47,179     | —       | —         | 47,179   |
| Financing obligation | 16,133            | 16,133     | —       | —         | 16,133   |
|                      | \$643,172         | \$837,698  | \$—     | \$774,386 | \$63,312 |
|                      | December 31, 2012 |            |         |           |          |
|                      | Carrying          | Total      | Level 1 | Level 2   | Level 3  |
|                      | Value             | Fair Value |         |           |          |
|                      | (In thousands)    |            |         |           |          |
| 3.75% Notes          | \$175,468         | \$208,460  | \$—     | \$208,460 | \$—      |
| Term loan            | 47,471            | 47,471     | —       | —         | 47,471   |
| Credit facility      | 40,000            | 40,000     | —       | —         | 40,000   |
|                      | \$262,939         | \$295,931  | \$—     | \$208,460 | \$87,471 |

## 6. Investments

The following tables summarize our investments as of the dates indicated:

Table of Contents

|   | March 31, 2013 |       |            | Estimated |
|---|----------------|-------|------------|-----------|
|   | Amortized      | Gross | Unrealized | Fair      |
|   | Cost           | Gains | Losses     | Value     |
|   | (In thousands) |       |            |           |
| Corporate debt securities                         | \$198,265      | \$441 | \$139      | \$198,567 |
| Government-sponsored enterprise securities (GSEs) | 27,372         | 27    | —          | 27,399    |
| Municipal securities                              | 75,216         | 348   | 153        | 75,411    |
| U.S. treasury notes                               | 31,894         | 44    | —          | 31,938    |
| Certificates of deposit                           | 8,617          | 14    | —          | 8,631     |
| Subtotal - current investments                    | 341,364        | 874   | 292        | 341,946   |
| Auction rate securities                           | 14,500         | —     | 900        | 13,600    |
|   | \$355,864      | \$874 | \$1,192    | \$355,546 |

|                                | December 31, 2012 |       |            | Estimated |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|-------|------------|-----------|
|                                | Amortized         | Gross | Unrealized | Fair      |
|                                | Cost              | Gains | Losses     | Value     |
|                                | (In thousands)    |       |            |           |
| Corporate debt securities      | \$190,545         | \$528 | \$65       | \$191,008 |
| GSEs                           | 29,481            | 45    | 1          | 29,525    |
| Municipal securities           | 75,909            | 185   | 246        | 75,848    |
| U.S. treasury notes            | 35,700            | 42    | 2          | 35,740    |
| Certificates of deposit        | 10,715            | 9     | —          | 10,724    |
| Subtotal - current investments | 342,350           | 809   | 314        | 342,845   |
| Auction rate securities        | 14,650            | —     | 1,231      | 13,419    |
|                                | \$357,000         | \$809 | \$1,545    | \$356,264 |

The contractual maturities of our investments as of March 31, 2013 are summarized below:

|                                 | Cost           | Estimated  |
|---------------------------------|----------------|------------|
|                                 | (In thousands) |            |
|                                 | Cost           | Fair Value |
| Due in one year or less         | \$173,871      | \$174,145  |
| Due one year through five years | 167,493        | 167,801    |
| Due after ten years             | 14,500         | 13,600     |
|                                 | \$355,864      | \$355,546  |

Gross realized gains and gross realized losses from sales of available-for-sale securities are calculated under the specific identification method and are included in investment income. Net realized investment gains for the three months ended March 31, 2013, and 2012 were \$94,000 and \$64,000 respectively.

We monitor our investments for other-than-temporary impairment. For investments other than our auction rate securities, discussed below, we have determined that unrealized gains and losses at March 31, 2013, and December 31, 2012, are temporary in nature, because the change in market value for these securities has resulted from fluctuating interest rates, rather than a deterioration of the credit worthiness of the issuers. So long as we hold these securities to maturity, we are unlikely to experience gains or losses. In the event that we dispose of these securities before maturity, we expect that realized gains or losses, if any, will be immaterial.

Table of Contents

The following tables segregate those available-for-sale investments that have been in a continuous loss position for less than 12 months, and those that have been in a loss position for 12 months or more as of March 31, 2013.

|                           | In a Continuous Loss Position<br>for Less than 12 Months |                      |                                  | In a Continuous Loss Position<br>for 12 Months or More |                      |                                  |
|---------------------------|--|----------------------|----------------------------------|--|----------------------|----------------------------------|
|                           | Estimated<br>Fair<br>Value                               | Unrealized<br>Losses | Total<br>Number of<br>Securities | Estimated<br>Fair<br>Value                             | Unrealized<br>Losses | Total<br>Number of<br>Securities |
|                           | (Dollars in thousands)                                   |                      |                                  |  |                      |                                  |
| Corporate debt securities | \$52,264   | \$139                | 28                               | \$—  | \$—                  | —                                |
| Municipal securities      | 21,440   | 153                  | 37                               | —  | —                    | —                                |
| Auction rate securities   | —  | —                    | —                                | 13,600   | 900                  | 19                               |
|                           | \$73,704   | \$292                | 65                               | \$13,600   | \$900                | 19                               |

The following table segregates those available-for-sale investments that have been in a continuous loss position for less than 12 months, and those that have been in a loss position for 12 months or more as of December 31, 2012.

|                           | In a Continuous Loss Position<br>for Less than 12 Months |                      |                                  | In a Continuous Loss Position<br>for 12 Months or More |                      |                                  |
|---------------------------|--|----------------------|----------------------------------|--|----------------------|----------------------------------|
|                           | Estimated<br>Fair<br>Value                               | Unrealized<br>Losses | Total<br>Number of<br>Securities | Estimated<br>Fair<br>Value                             | Unrealized<br>Losses | Total<br>Number of<br>Securities |
|                           | (Dollars in thousands)                                   |                      |                                  |  |                      |                                  |
| Corporate debt securities | \$44,457   | \$65                 | 23                               | \$—  | \$—                  | —                                |
| Municipal securities      | 35,223   | 246                  | 43                               | —  | —                    | —                                |
| GSEs                      | 5,004  | 1                    | 1                                | —  | —                    | —                                |
| U.S. treasury notes       | 4,511  | 2                    | 5                                | —  | —                    | —                                |
| Auction rate securities   | —  | —                    | —                                | 13,419   | 1,231                | 21                               |
|                           | \$89,195   | \$314                | 72                               | \$13,419   | \$1,231              | 21                               |

**Auction Rate Securities**

Due to events in the credit markets, the auction rate securities held by us experienced failed auctions beginning in the first quarter of 2008, and such auctions have not resumed. Therefore, quoted prices in active markets have not been available since early 2008. Our investments in auction rate securities are collateralized by student loan portfolios guaranteed by the U.S. government, and the range of maturities for such securities is from 18 years to 34 years. Considering the relative insignificance of these securities when compared with our liquid assets and other sources of liquidity, we have no current intention of selling these securities nor do we expect to be required to sell these securities before a recovery in their cost basis. For this reason, and because the decline in the fair value of the auction securities was not due to the credit quality of the issuers, we do not consider the auction rate securities to be other-than-temporarily impaired at March 31, 2013. At the time of the first failed auctions during first quarter 2008, we held a total of \$82.1 million in auction rate securities at par value; since that time, we have settled \$67.6 million of these instruments at par value.

For the three months ended March 31, 2013, and 2012, we recorded pretax unrealized gains of \$0.3 million and \$0.1 million, respectively, to accumulated other comprehensive income for the changes in their fair value. Any future fluctuation in fair value related to these instruments that we deem to be temporary, including any recoveries of previous write-downs, would be recorded to accumulated other comprehensive income. If we determine that any future valuation adjustment was other-than-temporary, we would record a charge to earnings as appropriate.

**7. Receivables**



Table of Contents

Receivables consist primarily of amounts due from the various states in which we operate. Accounts receivable were as follows:

|                                   | March 31,<br>2013 | December 31,<br>2012 |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
|                                   | (In thousands)    |                      |
| Health Plans segment:             |                   |                      |
| California                        | \$45,962          | \$28,553             |
| Florida                           | 1,468             | 953                  |
| Michigan                          | 9,519             | 12,873               |
| New Mexico                        | 12,153            | 9,059                |
| Ohio                              | 33,041            | 40,980               |
| Texas                             | 8,100             | 7,459                |
| Utah                              | 4,790             | 3,359                |
| Washington                        | 13,160            | 17,587               |
| Wisconsin                         | 4,404             | 4,098                |
| Others                            | 1,145             | 2,177                |
| Total Health Plans segment        | 133,742           | 127,098              |
| Molina Medicaid Solutions segment | 16,509            | 22,584               |
|                                   | \$150,251         | \$149,682            |

## 8. Restricted Investments

Pursuant to the regulations governing our Health Plans segment subsidiaries, we maintain statutory deposits and deposits required by state authorities in certificates of deposit and U.S. treasury securities. We also maintain restricted investments as protection against the insolvency of certain capitated providers. Additionally, in connection with the Molina Medicaid Solutions segment contracts with the states of Maine and Idaho, we maintain restricted investments as collateral for letters of credit. The following table presents the balances of restricted investments:

|                                   | March 31,<br>2013 | December 31,<br>2012 |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
|                                   | (In thousands)    |                      |
| California                        | \$373             | \$373                |
| Florida                           | 6,840             | 5,738                |
| Michigan                          | 1,014             | 1,014                |
| New Mexico                        | 15,917            | 15,915               |
| Ohio                              | 9,081             | 9,082                |
| Texas                             | 3,500             | 3,503                |
| Utah                              | 3,321             | 3,126                |
| Washington                        | 151               | 151                  |
| Other                             | 4,619             | 5,199                |
| Total Health Plans segment        | 44,816            | 44,101               |
| Molina Medicaid Solutions segment | 10,301            | —                    |
|                                   | \$55,117          | \$44,101             |

Table of Contents

The contractual maturities of our held-to-maturity restricted investments as of March 31, 2013 are summarized below.

|                                 | Amortized<br>Cost<br>(In thousands) | Estimated<br>Fair Value |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Due in one year or less         | \$51,617                            | \$51,622                |
| Due one year through five years | 3,500                               | 3,501                   |
|                                 | \$55,117                            | \$55,123                |

## 9. Medical Claims and Benefits Payable

The following table presents the components of the change in our medical claims and benefits payable for the periods indicated. The amounts displayed for “Components of medical care costs related to: Prior periods” represent the amount by which our original estimate of claims and benefits payable at the beginning of the period were (more) or less than the actual amount of the liability based on information (principally the payment of claims) developed since that liability was first reported.

|   | Three Months Ended March 31, |           |   |
|---|------------------------------|-----------|---|
|   | 2013                         | 2012      |   |
|   | (Dollars in thousands)       |           |   |
| Balances at beginning of period               | \$494,530                    | \$402,476 |   |
| Components of medical care costs related to:  |                              |           |   |
| Current period                                | 1,347,181                    | 1,167,580 |   |
| Prior periods                                 | (58,427 )                    | (36,592 ) |   |
| Total medical care costs                      | 1,288,754                    | 1,130,988 |   |
| Payments for medical care costs related to:   |                              |           |   |
| Current period                                | 916,426                      | 750,994   |   |
| Prior periods                                 | 375,713                      | 326,637   |   |
| Total paid                                    | 1,292,139                    | 1,077,631 |   |
| Balances at end of period                     | \$491,145                    | \$455,833 |   |
| Benefit from prior period as a percentage of: |                              |           |   |
| Balance at beginning of period                | 11.8                         | % 9.1     | % |
| Premium revenue                               | 3.8                          | % 2.8     | % |
| Total medical care costs                      | 4.5                          | % 3.2     | % |

Assuming that our initial estimate of claims incurred but not paid (IBNP) is accurate, we believe that amounts ultimately paid out would generally be between 8% and 10% less than the liability recorded at the end of the period as a result of the inclusion in that liability of the allowance for adverse claims development and the accrued cost of settling those claims. Because the amount of our initial liability is merely an estimate (and therefore not perfectly accurate), we will always experience variability in that estimate as new information becomes available with the passage of time. Therefore, there can be no assurance that amounts ultimately paid out will not be higher or lower than this 8% to 10% range. For example, for the three months ended March 31, 2012, the amounts ultimately paid out were less than the amount of the reserves we had established as of December 31, 2011 by 9.1%. Furthermore, because our initial estimate of IBNP is derived from many factors, some of which are qualitative in nature rather than quantitative, we are seldom able to assign specific values to the reasons for a change in estimate - we only know when the circumstances for any one or more factors are out of the ordinary.

As indicated above, the amounts ultimately paid out on our liabilities in fiscal years 2013 and 2012 were less than what we had expected when we had established our reserves. While many related factors working in conjunction with one another determine the accuracy of our estimates, we are seldom able to quantify the impact that any single factor has on a change in estimate. In addition, given the variability inherent in the reserving process, we will only be able to identify specific factors if they represent a significant departure from expectations. As a result, we do not expect to be able to fully quantify the impact of individual factors on changes in estimates.



Table of Contents

We recognized favorable prior period claims development in the amount of \$58.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013. This amount represents our estimate, as of March 31, 2013, of the extent to which our initial estimate of medical claims and benefits payable at December 31, 2012 was more than the amount that will ultimately be paid out in satisfaction of that liability. We believe the overestimation of our claims liability at December 31, 2012 was due primarily to the following factors:

At our Texas health plan, we saw a reduction in STAR+PLUS (the state's program for aged and disabled members) membership during mid to late 2012. This caused a reduction in costs per member that we did not fully recognize in our December 31, 2012 reserve estimates.

At our Washington health plan, prior to July 2012, certain high-cost newborns that were approved for SSI coverage by the state were retroactively dis-enrolled from our Healthy Options (TANF) coverage, and the health plan was reimbursed for the claims paid on behalf of these members. Starting July 1, 2012, these

- newborns, as well as other high-cost disabled members, are now covered by the health plan under the Health Options Blind and Disabled (HOBD) program. At the end of 2012, we did not have enough claims history to accurately estimate the claims liability of the HOBD members, and as a result the liability for these high-cost members was overstated.

For our New Mexico health plan, we overestimated the impact of certain high-dollar outstanding claim payments as of December 31, 2012.

We recognized favorable prior period claims development in the amount of \$36.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012. This amount represents our estimate as of March 31, 2012, of the extent to which our initial estimate of medical claims and benefits payable at December 31, 2011 was more than the amount that will ultimately be paid out in satisfaction of that liability. We believe the overestimation of our claims liability at December 31, 2011 was due primarily to the following factors:

At our Washington health plan, we underestimated the amount of recoveries we would collect for certain high-cost newborn claims, resulting in an overestimation of reserves at year end.

At our Texas health plan, we overestimated the cost of new members in STAR+PLUS (the name of our ABD program in Texas), in the Dallas region.

In early 2011, the state of Michigan was delayed in the enrollment of newborns in managed care plans; the delay was resolved by mid-2011. This caused a large number of claims with older dates of service to be paid during late 2011, resulting in an artificial increase in the lag time for claims payment at our Michigan health plan. We adjusted reserves downward for this issue at December 31, 2011, but the adjustment did not capture all of the claims overestimation. The overestimation of our liability for medical claims and benefits payable was partially offset by an underestimation of that liability at our Missouri health plan, as a result of the costs associated with an unusually large number of premature infants during the fourth quarter of 2011.

In estimating our claims liability at March 31, 2013, we adjusted our base calculation to take account of the following factors which we believe are reasonably likely to change our final claims liability amount:

In our Texas health plan, although the reduction in STAR+PLUS membership has leveled off in 2013, we have seen a reduction in per member per month (PMPM) cost for outpatient services and an increase in PMPM costs for inpatient services over the past six to nine months. We have estimated the impact of these shifts in cost in our March 31, 2013 liability.

Our Wisconsin health plan is experiencing significant membership increases, and is expected to approximately double in size during the first four months of 2013. This new membership is transitioning to our health plan from a terminated health plan. We enrolled approximately 40,000 new members in February and March 2013. We have computed a separate reserve analysis for these members and have noted that paid claims are less than what we would expect for newly transitioned members. Therefore, we have increased the reserves for this membership, in anticipation of higher claims costs later than usual for these members.

Our Washington health plan began covering disabled members, including newborns, that were previously covered by the state under a separate state program. Coverage for this segment began in July of 2012, with gradual growth in membership. As of March 2013 the health plan covered approximately 28,000 members under this program. Because of the high costs for these members and the relative newness of the product category, there is still some uncertainty

about the cost and reserve liability for these members as of March 31, 2013.

22

---

Table of Contents

The use of a consistent methodology in estimating our liability for claims and medical benefits payable minimizes the degree to which the under- or overestimation of that liability at the close of one period may affect consolidated results of operations in subsequent periods. In particular, the use of a consistent methodology should result in the replenishment of reserves during any given period in a manner that generally offsets the benefit of favorable prior period development in that period. Facts and circumstances unique to the estimation process at any single date, however, may still lead to a material impact on consolidated results of operations in subsequent periods. Any absence of adverse claims development (as well as the expensing through general and administrative expense of the costs to settle claims held at the start of the period) will lead to the recognition of a benefit from prior period claims development in the period subsequent to the date of the original estimate. In 2012 and for the three months ended March 31, 2013, the absence of adverse development of the liability for claims and medical benefits payable at the close of the previous period resulted in the recognition of substantial favorable prior period development. In both years, however, the recognition of a benefit from prior period claims development did not have a material impact on our consolidated results of operations because the replenishment of reserves in the respective periods generally offset the benefit from the prior period.

## 10. Long-Term Debt

As of March 31, 2013, maturities of long-term debt for the years ending December 31 are as follows (in thousands):

|              | Total     | 2013  | 2014      | 2015    | 2016    | 2017    | Thereafter |
|--------------|-----------|-------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|------------|
| 1.125% Notes | \$550,000 | \$—   | \$—       | \$—     | \$—     | \$—     | \$550,000  |
| 3.75% Notes  | 187,000   | —     | 187,000   | —       | —       | —       | —          |
| Term loan    | 47,179    | 863   | 1,206     | 1,259   | 1,309   | 1,372   | 41,170     |
|              | \$784,179 | \$863 | \$188,206 | \$1,259 | \$1,309 | \$1,372 | \$591,170  |

## 1.125% Cash Convertible Senior Notes due 2020

On February 15, 2013, we settled the issuance of \$550.0 million aggregate principal amount of 1.125% Cash Convertible Senior Notes due 2020 (the 1.125% Notes). This transaction included the initial issuance of \$450.0 million on February 11, 2013, plus the exercise of the full amount of the \$100.0 million over-allotment option on February 13, 2013. The aggregate net proceeds of the 1.125% Notes were \$458.9 million, after payment of the net cost of the Call Spread Overlay described below and in Note 11, “Derivative Financial Instruments,” and transaction costs. Additionally, we used \$50.0 million of the net proceeds to purchase shares of our common stock (see Note 12, “Stockholders' Equity”), and \$40.0 million to repay the principal owed under our Credit Facility.

Interest on the 1.125% Notes is payable semiannually in arrears on January 15 and July 15 of each year, at a rate of 1.125% per annum commencing on July 15, 2013. The 1.125% Notes will mature on January 15, 2020 unless repurchased or converted in accordance with their terms prior to such date.

The 1.125% Notes are convertible only into cash, and not into shares of our common stock or any other securities. Holders may convert their 1.125% Notes solely into cash at their option at any time prior to the close of business on the business day immediately preceding July 15, 2019 only under the following circumstances: (1) during any calendar quarter commencing after the calendar quarter ending on June 30, 2013 (and only during such calendar quarter), if the last reported sale price of the common stock for at least 20 trading days (whether or not consecutive) during a period of 30 consecutive trading days ending on the last trading day of the immediately preceding calendar quarter is greater than or equal to 130% of the conversion price on each applicable trading day; (2) during the five business day period immediately after any five consecutive trading day period in which the trading price per \$1,000 principal amount of 1.125% Notes for each trading day of the measurement period was less than 98% of the product of the last reported sale price of our common stock and the conversion rate on each such trading day; or (3) upon the occurrence of specified corporate events. On or after July 15, 2019 until the close of business on the second scheduled trading day immediately preceding the maturity date, holders may convert their 1.125% Notes solely into cash at any time, regardless of the foregoing circumstances. Upon conversion, in lieu of receiving shares of our common stock, a holder will receive an amount in cash, per \$1,000 principal amount of 1.125% Notes, equal to the settlement amount,

determined in the manner set forth in the Indenture.

The initial conversion rate will be 24.5277 shares of our common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of 1.125% Notes (equivalent to an initial conversion price of approximately \$40.77 per share of common stock). The conversion rate will be subject to adjustment in some events but will not be adjusted for any accrued and unpaid interest. In addition, following certain corporate events that occur prior to the maturity date, we will pay a cash make-whole premium by increasing the conversion

## Table of Contents

rate for a holder who elects to convert its 1.125% Notes in connection with such a corporate event in certain circumstances. We may not redeem the 1.125% Notes prior to the maturity date, and no sinking fund is provided for the 1.125% Notes.

If we undergo a fundamental change (as defined in the indenture to the 1.125% Notes), holders may require us to repurchase for cash all or part of their 1.125% Notes at a repurchase price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the 1.125% Notes to be repurchased, plus accrued and unpaid interest to, but excluding, the fundamental change repurchase date. The indenture provides for customary events of default, including cross acceleration to certain other indebtedness of ours, and our significant subsidiaries.

The 1.125% Notes are senior unsecured obligations, and rank senior in right of payment to any of our indebtedness that is expressly subordinated in right of payment to the 1.125% Notes; equal in right of payment to any of our unsecured indebtedness that is not so subordinated; effectively junior in right of payment to any of our secured indebtedness to the extent of the value of the assets securing such indebtedness; and structurally junior to all indebtedness and other liabilities (including trade payables) of our subsidiaries.

The 1.125% Notes contain an embedded cash conversion option. We have determined that the embedded cash conversion option is a derivative financial instrument, required to be separated from the 1.125% Notes and accounted for separately as a derivative liability, with changes in fair value reported in our consolidated statements of income until the cash conversion option transaction settles or expires. The initial fair value liability of the embedded cash conversion option was \$149.3 million, which simultaneously reduced the carrying value of the 1.125% Notes (effectively an original issuance discount). For further discussion of the derivative financial instruments relating to the 1.125% Notes, refer to Note 11, "Derivative Financial Instruments."

As noted above, the reduced carrying value on the 1.125% Notes resulted in a debt discount that is amortized to the 1.125% Notes' principal amount through the recognition of non-cash interest expense over the expected life of the debt. This has resulted in our recognition of interest expense on the 1.125% Notes at an effective rate approximating what we would have incurred had nonconvertible debt with otherwise similar terms had been issued. The effective interest rate of the 1.125% Notes is 5.9%, which is imputed based on the amortization of the fair value of the embedded cash conversion option over the remaining term of the 1.125% Notes. As of March 31, 2013, we expect the 1.125% Notes to be outstanding until their January 15, 2020 maturity date, for a remaining amortization period of 6.8 years. The 1.125% Notes' if-converted value did not exceed their principal amount as of March 31, 2013.

Also in connection with the settlement of the 1.125% Notes, we paid approximately \$16.9 million in transaction costs. Such costs have been allocated to the 1.125% Notes, the 1.125% Call Option (defined below) and the 1.125% Warrants (defined below) according to their relative fair values. The amount allocated to the 1.125% Notes, or \$12.0 million, was capitalized and will be amortized over the term of the 1.125% Notes. The aggregate amount allocated to the 1.125% Call Option and 1.125% Warrants, or \$4.9 million, was recorded to interest expense in the quarter ended March 31, 2013.

### 1.125% Notes Call Spread Overlay

Concurrent with the issuance of the 1.125% Notes, we entered into privately negotiated hedge transactions (collectively, the 1.125% Call Option) and warrant transactions (collectively, the 1.125% Warrants), with certain of the initial purchasers of the 1.125% Notes. These transactions represent a Call Spread Overlay, whereby the cost of the 1.125% Call Option we purchased to cover the cash outlay upon conversion of the 1.125% Notes was reduced by the sales price of the 1.125% Warrants. Assuming full performance by the counterparties (and 1.125% Warrants strike prices in excess of the conversion price of the 1.125% Notes), these transactions are intended to offset cash payments due upon any conversion of the 1.125% Notes. We used \$149.3 million of the proceeds from the settlement of the 1.125% Notes to pay for the 1.125% Call Option, and simultaneously received \$75.1 million for the sale of the 1.125% Warrants, for a net cash outlay of \$74.2 million for the Call Spread Overlay. The 1.125% Call Option and the



1.125% Warrants are derivative financial instruments; refer to Note 11, “Derivative Financial Instruments” for further discussion.

Aside from the initial payment of a premium to the counterparties of \$149.3 million for the 1.125% Call Option, we will not be required to make any cash payments to the counterparties under the 1.125% Call Option, and will be entitled to receive from the counterparties an amount of cash, generally equal to the amount by which the market price per share of common stock exceeds the strike price of the 1.125% Call Options during the relevant valuation period. The strike price under the 1.125% Call Option is initially equal to the conversion price of the 1.125% Notes. Additionally, if the market value per share of our common stock exceeds the strike price of the 1.125% Warrants on any trading day during the 160 trading day measurement period under the 1.125% Warrants, we will be obligated to issue to the counterparties a number of shares equal in value to the product of the amount by which such market value exceeds such strike price and 1/160th of the aggregate number of shares of our common

Table of Contents

stock underlying the 1.125% Warrants transactions and the additional 1.125% Warrants transactions, subject to a share delivery cap. We will not receive any additional proceeds if the 1.125% Warrants are exercised. Pursuant to the 1.125% Warrants transactions, we issued 13,490,236 warrants with strike price of \$53.8475 per share. The number of warrants and the strike price are subject to adjustment under certain circumstances.

**3.75% Convertible Senior Notes due 2014**

We had \$187.0 million of 3.75% Convertible Senior Notes due 2014 (the 3.75% Notes) outstanding as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. The 3.75% Notes rank equally in right of payment with our existing and future senior indebtedness. The 3.75% Notes are convertible into cash and, under certain circumstances, shares of our common stock. The initial conversion rate is 31.9601 shares of our common stock per one thousand dollar principal amount of the 3.75% Notes. This represents an initial conversion price of approximately \$31.29 per share of our common stock. In addition, if certain corporate transactions that constitute a change of control occur prior to maturity, we will increase the conversion rate in certain circumstances.

Because the 3.75% Notes have cash settlement features, accounting guidance required us to allocate the proceeds from their issuance between a liability component and an equity component. The reduced carrying value on the 3.75% Notes resulted in a debt discount that is amortized back to the 3.75% Notes' principal amount through the recognition of non-cash interest expense over the expected life of the debt. This has resulted in our recognition of interest expense on the 3.75% Notes at an effective rate approximating what we would have incurred had nonconvertible debt with otherwise similar terms had been issued. The effective interest rate of the 3.75% Notes is 7.5%, principally based on the seven-year U.S. Treasury note rate as of the October 2007 issuance date, plus an appropriate credit spread. As of March 31, 2013, we expect the 3.75% Notes to be outstanding until their October 1, 2014 maturity date, for a remaining amortization period of 18 months. The 3.75% Notes' if-converted value did not exceed their principal amount as of March 31, 2013. At March 31, 2013, the equity component of the 3.75% Notes, net of the impact of deferred taxes, was \$24.0 million.

The principal amounts, unamortized discount and net carrying amounts of the 1.125% Notes and 3.75% Notes were as follows:

|                    | Principal<br>Balance<br>(In thousands) | Unamortized<br>Discount              | Net Carrying<br>Amount |
|--------------------|--|--------------------------------------|------------------------|
| March 31, 2013:    |  |                                      |                        |
| 1.125% Notes       | \$550,000                              | \$147,164                            | \$402,836              |
| 3.75% Notes        | 187,000                                | 9,976                                | 177,024                |
|                    | \$737,000                              | \$157,140                            | \$579,860              |
| December 31, 2012: |  |                                      |                        |
| 3.75% Notes        | \$187,000                              | \$11,532                             | \$175,468              |
|                    |  | Three Months Ended March 31,<br>2013 | 2012                   |
|                    |  | (in thousands)                       |                        |

Interest cost recognized for the period relating to the:

|   |         |         |
|---|---------|---------|
| Contractual interest coupon rate                        | \$2,527 | \$1,753 |
| Amortization of the discount on the liability component | 3,723   | 1,443   |
| Total interest cost recognized                          | \$6,250 | \$3,196 |
| Term Loan   |         |         |

In December 2011, our wholly owned subsidiary, Molina Center LLC, entered into a Term Loan Agreement with various lenders and East West Bank, as Administrative Agent (the Administrative Agent) to borrow \$48.6 million to finance a portion of the purchase price for the Molina Center, located in Long Beach, California.

The outstanding principal amount under the Term Loan Agreement bears interest at the Eurodollar rate for each Interest Period (as defined below). The Eurodollar rate is a per annum rate of interest equal to the greater of (a) the rate that is published in the Wall Street Journal as the London interbank offered rate for deposits in United States dollars, for a period of one month,

25

---

Table of Contents

two business days prior to the commencement of an Interest Period, multiplied by a statutory reserve rate established by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, or (b) 4.25%. Interest Period means the period commencing on the first day of each calendar month and ending on the last day of each calendar month. The loan matures on November 30, 2018, and is subject to a 25-year amortization schedule that commenced on January 1, 2012.

The Term Loan Agreement contains customary representations, warranties, and financial covenants. In the event of a default as described in the Term Loan Agreement, the outstanding principal amount under the Term Loan Agreement will bear interest at a rate 5.00% per annum higher than the otherwise applicable rate. All amounts due under the Term Loan Agreement and related loan documents are secured by a security interest in the Molina Center in favor of and for the benefit of the Administrative Agent and the other lenders under the Term Loan Agreement.

**Credit Facility**

On February 15, 2013, we used approximately \$40.0 million of the net proceeds from the offering of the 1.125% Notes to repay all of the outstanding indebtedness under our \$170 million revolving Credit Facility, with various lenders and U.S. Bank National Association, as Line of Credit Issuer, Swing Line Lender, and Administrative Agent. As of December 31, 2012, there was \$40.0 million outstanding under the Credit Facility.

We terminated the Credit Facility in connection with the closing of the offering and sale of the 1.125% Notes. Two letters of credit in the aggregate principal amount of \$10.3 million that reduced the amount available for borrowing under the Credit Facility as of December 31, 2012, were transferred to direct issue letters of credit with another financial institution.

**11. Derivative Financial Instruments**

The following table summarizes the fair values and the presentation of our derivative financial instruments (defined and discussed individually below) in the consolidated balance sheets:

|                                 | Balance Sheet Location                          | March 31, 2013<br>(In thousands) |
|---------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| <b>Derivative asset:</b>        |   |                                  |
| 1.125% Call Option              | Non-current assets: Derivative asset            | \$ 147,385                       |
| <b>Derivative liabilities:</b>  |   |                                  |
| Embedded cash conversion option | Non-current liabilities: Derivative liabilities | \$ 147,309                       |
| 1.125% Warrants                 | Non-current liabilities: Derivative liabilities | 75,074                           |
| Interest rate swap              | Non-current liabilities: Derivative liabilities | 1,264                            |
|                                 |   | \$223,647                        |

Our derivative financial instruments do not qualify for hedge treatment, therefore the change in fair value of these instruments is recognized immediately in our consolidated statements of income, in "Other income (expense)." The following table summarizes the gain (loss) recorded in the period presented (as of March 31, 2012, we did not hold any derivative financial instruments):

|                                   | Three Months<br>Ended March 31,<br>2013<br>(In thousands) |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| <b>Derivative gains (losses):</b> |   |
| 1.125% Call Option                | \$(1,946 )  |
| Embedded cash conversion option   | 2,022   |
| Interest rate swap                | 43  |
|                                   | \$ 119  |

**1.125% Notes Call Spread Overlay**

We entered into the 1.125% Call Option and the 1.125% Warrants transactions with certain of the initial purchasers of the 1.125% Notes (the Option Counterparties). These transactions represent a Call Spread Overlay, whereby the cost

of the 1.125% Call Option we purchased to cover the cash outlay upon conversion of the debentures was reduced by the sales price of the 1.125% Warrants. Assuming full performance by the counterparties (and 1.125% Warrants strike prices in excess of the

26

---

Table of Contents

conversion price of the 1.125% Notes), these transactions are intended to offset cash payments due upon any conversion of the 1.125% Notes. We used \$149.3 million of the proceeds from the settlement of the 1.125% Notes to pay for the 1.125% Call Option, and simultaneously received \$75.1 million for the sale of the 1.125% Warrants, for a net cash outlay of \$74.2 million for the Call Spread Overlay.

Aside from the initial payment of a premium to the counterparties of \$149.3 million for the 1.125% Call Option, we will not be required to make any cash payments to the counterparties under the 1.125% Call Option, and will be entitled to receive from the counterparties an amount of cash, generally equal to the amount by which the market price per share of common stock exceeds the strike price of the 1.125% Call Options during the relevant valuation period. The strike price under the 1.125% Call Option is initially equal to the conversion price of the 1.125% Notes.

Additionally, if the market value per share of our common stock exceeds the strike price of the 1.125% Warrants on any trading day during the 160 trading day measurement period under the 1.125% Warrants, we will be obligated to issue to the counterparties a number of shares equal in value to the product of the amount by which such market value exceeds such strike price and 1/160th of the aggregate number of shares of our common stock underlying the 1.125% Warrants transactions and the additional 1.125% Warrants transactions, subject to a share delivery cap. We will not receive any additional proceeds if the 1.125% Warrants are exercised. Pursuant to the 1.125% Warrants transactions, we issued 13,490,236 warrants with strike price of \$53.8475 per share. The number of warrants and the strike price are subject to adjustment under certain circumstances.

The 1.125% Call Option, which is indexed to our common stock, is a derivative asset that requires mark-to-market accounting treatment due to the cash settlement features until such transactions settle or expire. The 1.125% Call Option is measured and reported at fair value on a recurring basis, within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. For further discussion of the inputs used to determine the fair value of the 1.125% Call Option, refer to Note 5, "Fair Value Measurements."

The 1.125% Warrants could separately have a dilutive effect to the extent that the market value per share of our common stock (as measured under the terms of the warrant transactions) exceeds the applicable strike price of the 1.125% Warrants. The 1.125% Warrants are recorded as a derivative liability requiring mark-to-market accounting treatment due to certain terms in the warrant agreements that prevent such instruments being considered to be indexed in our common stock. The 1.125% Warrants are measured and reported at fair value on a recurring basis, within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. For further discussion of the inputs used to determine the fair value of the 1.125% Warrants, refer to Note 5, "Fair Value Measurements."

#### 1.125% Notes Embedded Cash Conversion Option

The embedded cash conversion option within the 1.125% Notes is required to be separated from the 1.125% Notes and accounted for separately as a derivative liability, with changes in fair value reported in our consolidated statements of income until the cash conversion option settles or expires. The initial fair value liability of the embedded cash conversion option was \$149.3 million, which simultaneously reduced the carrying value of the 1.125% Notes (effectively an original issuance discount). The embedded cash conversion option is measured and reported at fair value on a recurring basis, within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. For further discussion of the inputs used to determine the fair value of the embedded cash conversion option, refer to Note 5, "Fair Value Measurements."

#### Interest Rate Swap

In May 2012, we entered into a \$42.5 million notional amount interest rate swap agreement (Swap), with an effective date of March 1, 2013. The Swap is intended to reduce our exposure to fluctuations in the contractual variable interest rates under our Term Loan Agreement, and expires on the maturity date of the Term Loan Agreement, which is November 30, 2018. Since its inception, the Swap has not been designated as a hedge. Under the Swap, we will receive a variable rate of the one-month LIBOR plus 3.25%, and pay a fixed rate of 5.34%. The Swap is measured and reported at fair value on a recurring basis, within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. We believe that we are not exposed to more than a nominal amount of credit risk relating to the Swap because the counterparty is an established and well-capitalized financial institution.

## 12. Stockholders' Equity

Securities Repurchases and Repurchase Program. In connection with the issuance and settlement of the 1.125% Notes, we used a portion of the net proceeds from the offering to repurchase \$50 million of our common stock in negotiated transactions with institutional investors in the offering, concurrently with the pricing of the offering. On February 12, 2013, we repurchased a total of 1,624,959 shares at \$30.77 per share, which was our closing stock price on that date. As of March 31, 2013, additional paid-in capital decreased primarily due to this repurchase.

Table of Contents

Effective as of February 13, 2013, our board of directors authorized the repurchase of \$75 million in aggregate of either our common stock or the 3.75% Notes, in addition to the \$50.0 million repurchase discussed above. The repurchase program extends through December 31, 2014.

Shelf Registration Statement. In May 2012, we filed an automatic shelf registration statement on Form S-3 with the Securities and Exchange Commission covering the issuance of an indeterminate number of our securities, including common stock, warrants, or debt securities. We may publicly offer securities from time to time at prices and terms to be determined at the time of the offering.

Stock Plans. In connection with the plans described in Note 4, "Stock-Based Compensation," we issued approximately 279,000 shares of common stock, net of shares used to settle employees' income tax obligations, for the three months ended March 31, 2013.

### 13. Segment Reporting

We report our financial performance based on two reportable segments: Health Plans and Molina Medicaid Solutions. Our reportable segments are consistent with how we manage the business and view the markets we serve. Our Health Plans segment consists of our state health plans and also includes our direct delivery business. Our state health plans represent operating segments that have been aggregated for reporting purposes because they share similar economic characteristics.

Our Molina Medicaid Solutions segment provides design, development, implementation; business process outsourcing solutions; hosting services; and information technology support services to state Medicaid agencies.

We rely on an internal management reporting process that provides segment information to the operating income level for purposes of making financial decisions and allocating resources. The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in Note 2, "Significant Accounting Policies." The cost of services shared between the Health Plans and Molina Medicaid Solutions segments is charged to the Health Plans segment.

|                                | Three Months Ended March 31, |             |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------|
|                                | 2013                         | 2012        |
|                                | (In thousands)               |             |
| Revenue:                       |                              |             |
| Health Plans:                  |                              |             |
| Premium revenue                | \$1,534,608                  | \$1,325,406 |
| Investment income              | 1,529                        | 1,717       |
| Rental and other income        | 4,694                        | 4,259       |
| Molina Medicaid Solutions:     |                              |             |
| Service revenue                | 49,756                       | 42,205      |
|                                | \$1,590,587                  | \$1,373,587 |
| Depreciation and amortization: |                              |             |
| Health Plans                   | \$15,238                     | \$13,743    |
| Molina Medicaid Solutions      | 6,561                        | 4,596       |
|                                | \$21,799                     | \$18,339    |
| Operating Income:              |                              |             |
| Health Plans                   | \$60,738                     | \$25,011    |
| Molina Medicaid Solutions      | 6,353                        | 8,409       |
| Total operating income         | 67,091                       | 33,420      |
| Interest expense               | (13,037                      | ) (4,298    |
| Other income                   | 131                          | —           |
| Income before income taxes     | \$54,185                     | \$29,122    |



Table of Contents

|                                      | March 31,<br>2013<br>(In thousands) | December 31,<br>2012 |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Goodwill and intangible assets, net: |                                     |                      |
| Health Plans                         | \$136,874                           | \$139,710            |
| Molina Medicaid Solutions            | 87,078                              | 89,089               |
|                                      | \$223,952                           | \$228,799            |
| Total assets:                        |                                     |                      |
| Health Plans                         | \$2,280,460                         | \$1,702,212          |
| Molina Medicaid Solutions            | 207,629                             | 232,610              |
|                                      | \$2,488,089                         | \$1,934,822          |

## 14. Commitments and Contingencies

## Leases

We lease administrative and clinic facilities, and certain equipment, under non-cancelable operating leases expiring on various dates through 2024. Facility lease terms generally range from five to ten years with one-to-two renewal options for extended terms. In most cases, we are required to make additional payments under facility operating leases for taxes, insurance and other operating expenses incurred during the lease period. Certain of our leases contain rent escalation clauses or lease incentives, including rent abatements and tenant improvement allowances. Rent escalation clauses and lease incentives are taken into account in determining total rent expense to be recognized during the lease term.

As described and defined in further detail in Note 15, "Related Party Transactions," we entered into a Lease for office space in February 2013 consisting of two office buildings under construction. Because of our involvement in the properties, we are treated as the in-substance owner of the properties. As such, we have recorded \$16.1 million to construction in progress, included in property, equipment and capitalized software, net, in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of March 31, 2013. This amount represents costs, including construction costs, incurred to date by the Landlord on the properties. We have recorded a corresponding financing obligation of \$16.1 million to long-term debt, and will capitalize construction costs as incurred, including imputed interest, until the properties are available for occupancy. In addition to the capitalization of the costs incurred by the Landlord, we have imputed and recorded rent expense relating to the ground leases for the property sites. Such rent expense is computed based on the fair value of the land and our incremental borrowing rate, and was immaterial for the three months ended March 31, 2013.

As of March 31, 2013, our expectation is that Building A will be available for occupancy in June 2013, and Building B will be available for occupancy in November 2014. At the time of occupancy for each property, we will evaluate the accounting guidance applicable to sale-leasebacks of real estate to determine our continuing involvement in the properties, and whether we can remove the assets from our balance sheet.

## Legal Proceedings

The health care and business process outsourcing industries are subject to numerous laws and regulations of federal, state, and local governments. Compliance with these laws and regulations can be subject to government review and interpretation, as well as regulatory actions unknown and unasserted at this time. Penalties associated with violations of these laws and regulations include significant fines and penalties, exclusion from participating in publicly funded programs, and the repayment of previously billed and collected revenues.

We are involved in legal actions in the ordinary course of business, some of which seek monetary damages, including claims for punitive damages, which are not covered by insurance. We have accrued liabilities for certain matters for which we deem the loss to be both probable and estimable. Although we believe that our estimates of such losses are reasonable, these estimates could change as a result of further developments of these matters. The outcome of legal actions is inherently uncertain and such pending matters for which accruals have not been established have not progressed sufficiently through discovery and/or development of important factual information and legal issues to enable us to estimate a range of possible loss, if any. While it is not possible to accurately predict or determine the

eventual outcomes of these items, an adverse determination in one or more of these pending matters could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

## Table of Contents

### Provider Claims

Many of our medical contracts are complex in nature and may be subject to differing interpretations regarding amounts due for the provision of various services. Such differing interpretations have led certain medical providers to pursue us for additional compensation. The claims made by providers in such circumstances often involve issues of contract compliance, interpretation, payment methodology, and intent. These claims often extend to services provided by the providers over a number of years.

Various providers have contacted us seeking additional compensation for claims that we believe to have been settled. These matters, when finally concluded and determined, will not, in our opinion, have a material adverse effect on our business, consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

### Regulatory Capital and Dividend Restrictions

Our health plans, which are operated by our respective wholly owned subsidiaries in those states, are subject to state laws and regulations that, among other things, require the maintenance of minimum levels of statutory capital, as defined by each state. Such state laws and regulations also restrict the timing, payment, and amount of dividends and other distributions that may be paid to us as the sole stockholder. To the extent our subsidiaries must comply with these regulations, they may not have the financial flexibility to transfer funds to us. The net assets in these subsidiaries (after intercompany eliminations) which may not be transferable to us in the form of loans, advances, or cash dividends was \$572.9 million at March 31, 2013, and \$549.7 million at December 31, 2012. Because of the statutory restrictions that inhibit the ability of our health plans to transfer net assets to us, the amount of retained earnings readily available to pay dividends to our stockholders is generally limited to cash, cash equivalents and investments held by the parent company – Molina Healthcare, Inc. Such cash, cash equivalents and investments amounted to \$450.5 million and \$46.9 million as of March 31, 2013, and December 31, 2012, respectively.

The National Association of Insurance Commissioners, or NAIC, adopted rules effective December 31, 1998, which, if implemented by the states, set minimum capitalization requirements for insurance companies, HMOs, and other entities bearing risk for health care coverage. The requirements take the form of risk-based capital (RBC) rules. Michigan, New Mexico, Ohio, Texas, Utah, Washington, and Wisconsin have adopted these rules, which may vary from state to state. California and Florida have not adopted NAIC risk-based capital requirements for HMOs and have not formally given notice of their intention to do so. Such requirements, if adopted by California and Florida, may increase the minimum capital required for those states.

As of March 31, 2013, our health plans had aggregate statutory capital and surplus of approximately \$585.5 million compared with the required minimum aggregate statutory capital and surplus of approximately \$341.7 million. All of our health plans were in compliance with the minimum capital requirements at March 31, 2013. We have the ability and commitment to provide additional capital to each of our health plans when necessary to ensure that statutory capital and surplus continue to meet regulatory requirements.

As described in Note 2, "Significant Accounting Policies," the ACA imposes an annual fee on health insurers for each calendar year beginning on or after January 1, 2014. The fee will be imposed beginning in 2014 based on a company's share of the industry's net premiums written during the preceding calendar year. If the fee assessment is enacted as written, our minimum capitalization requirements will increase significantly on January 1, 2014; we are currently evaluating the impact of the fee assessment to our financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

### 15. Related Party Transactions

#### Lease

On February 27, 2013, we entered into a lease (the Lease) with 6<sup>th</sup> & Pine Development, LLC (the Landlord) for office space located in Long Beach, California. The Lease consists of two office buildings under construction, including a building which comprises approximately 70,000 square feet of office space (Building A); and a building which is expected to comprise approximately 120,000 square feet of office space (Building B).

The term of the Lease with respect to Building A is expected to commence on June 1, 2013, and the term of the Lease with respect to Building B is expected to commence on November 1, 2014. The initial term of the Lease with respect to both buildings expires on December 31, 2024, subject to two options to extend the term for a period of five years each. Initial annual rent for Building A is expected to be approximately \$2.5 million, and initial annual rent for Building B is expected to be approximately \$4.0 million. Rent will increase 3.75% per year through the initial term.

Rent during the extension terms will be the greater of then-current rent or fair market rent.

The principal members of the Landlord are John C. Molina, our chief financial officer and a director of the Company, and his wife. In addition, in connection with the development of the buildings being leased, the Landlord has pledged shares of

30

---

Table of Contents

common stock in the Company he holds as trustee. Dr. J. Mario Molina, our chief executive officer and chairman of the board of directors, holds a partial interest in such shares as trust beneficiary.

Medical Services

We have an equity investment in a medical service provider that provides certain vision services to our members; we account for this investment under the equity method of accounting. For the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, we paid \$7.7 million, and \$6.6 million, respectively, for medical service fees to this provider.

16. Variable Interest Entities

Joseph M. Molina M.D., Professional Corporations

The Joseph M. Molina, M.D. Professional Corporations (JMMPC) were created in 2012 to further advance our direct delivery business. JMMPC's sole shareholder is Dr. J. Mario Molina, our Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer. Dr. Molina is paid no salary and receives no dividends in connection with his work for, or ownership of, JMMPC. JMMPC provides outpatient professional medical services to the general public for routine non-life threatening, outpatient health care needs. Substantially all of the individuals served by JMMPC are members of our health plans. JMMPC does not have agreements to provide professional medical services with any other entities.

Our wholly owned subsidiary, American Family Care, Inc. (AFC), has entered into services agreements with JMMPC to provide clinic facilities, clinic administrative support staff, patient scheduling services and medical supplies to JMMPC. The services agreements were designed such that JMMPC will not operate at a loss, ensuring the availability of quality care and access for our health plan members. The services agreements provide that the administrative fees charged to JMMPC by AFC are reviewed annually to assure the achievement of this goal.

Our California, Florida, New Mexico and Washington health plans have entered into primary care capitation agreements with JMMPC. These agreements also direct our health plans to fund JMMPC's operating deficits, or receive JMMPC's operating surpluses, based on a monthly reconciliation. Because the AFC services agreements described above mitigate the likelihood of significant operating deficits or surpluses, such monthly reconciliation amounts are insignificant. There were no amounts recorded under this reconciliation arrangement for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and March 31, 2012.

We have determined that JMMPC is a variable interest entity, or VIE, and that we are its primary beneficiary. We have reached this conclusion under the power and benefits criterion model according to GAAP. Specifically, we have the power to direct the activities that most significantly affect JMMPC's economic performance, and the obligation to absorb losses or right to receive benefits that are potentially significant to the VIE, under the agreements described above. Because we are its primary beneficiary, we have consolidated JMMPC. JMMPC's assets may be used to settle only JMMPC's obligations, and JMMPC's creditors have no recourse to the general credit of Molina Healthcare, Inc. As of March 31, 2013, JMMPC had total assets of \$1.3 million, comprising primarily cash and equivalents, and total liabilities of \$1.1 million, comprising primarily accrued payroll and employee benefits.

Our maximum exposure to loss as a result of our involvement with JMMPC is equal to the amounts needed to fund JMMPC's ongoing payroll and employee benefits. We believe that such loss exposure will be immaterial to our consolidated operating results and cash flows for the foreseeable future. We provided an initial cash infusion of \$0.3 million to JMMPC in the first quarter of 2012 to fund its start-up operations.

New Markets Tax Credit

During the fourth quarter of 2011 our New Mexico data center subsidiary entered into a financing transaction with Wells Fargo Community Investment Holdings, LLC, or Wells Fargo, its wholly owned subsidiary New Mexico Healthcare Data Center Investment Fund, LLC, or Investment Fund, and certain of Wells Fargo's affiliated Community Development Entities, or CDEs, in connection with our participation in the federal government's New Markets Tax Credit Program, or NMTC. The NMTC was established by Congress in 2000 to facilitate new or increased investments in businesses and real estate projects in low-income communities. The NMTC attracts investment capital to low-income communities by permitting investors to receive a tax credit against their federal income tax return in exchange for equity investments in specialized financial institutions, called CDEs, which provide financing to qualified active businesses operating in low-income communities. The credit amounts to 39% of the

original investment amount and is claimed over a period of seven years (five percent for each of the first three years, and six percent for each of the remaining four years). The investment in the CDE cannot be redeemed before the end of the seven-year period.

Table of Contents

In the fourth quarter of 2011, as a result of a series of simultaneous financing transactions, Wells Fargo contributed capital of \$5.9 million to the Investment Fund, and Molina Healthcare, Inc. loaned the principal amount of \$15.5 million to the Investment Fund. The Investment Fund then contributed the proceeds to certain CDEs, which, in turn, loaned the proceeds of \$20.9 million to our New Mexico data center subsidiary. Wells Fargo will be entitled to claim the NMTC while we effectively received net loan proceeds equal to Wells Fargo's contribution to the Investment Fund, or approximately \$5.9 million. Additionally, financing costs incurred in structuring the arrangement amounting to \$1.2 million were deferred and will be recognized as expense over the term of the loans. This transaction also includes a put/call feature that becomes enforceable at the end of the seven-year compliance period. Wells Fargo may exercise its put option or we can exercise the call, both of which will serve to transfer the debt obligation to us. Incremental costs to maintain the structure during the compliance period will be recognized as incurred.

We have determined that the financing arrangement with Investment Fund and CDEs is a VIE, and that we are the primary beneficiary of the VIE. We reached this conclusion based on the following:

The ongoing activities of the VIE-collecting and remitting interest and fees and NMTC compliance-were all considered in the initial design and are not expected to significantly affect economic performance throughout the life of the VIE;

• Contractual arrangements obligate us to comply with NMTC rules and regulations and provide various other guarantees to Investment Fund and CDEs;

• Wells Fargo lacks a material interest in the underlying economics of the project; and

• We are obligated to absorb losses of the VIE.

Because we are the primary beneficiary of the VIE, we have included it in our consolidated financial statements. Wells Fargo's contribution of \$5.9 million is included in cash at December 31, 2012 and the offsetting Wells Fargo's interest in the financing arrangement is included in other liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. As described above, this transaction also includes a put/call provision whereby we may be obligated or entitled to repurchase Wells Fargo's interest in the Investment Fund. The value attributed to the put/call is nominal. The NMTC is subject to 100% recapture for a period of seven years as provided in the Internal Revenue Code and applicable U.S. Treasury regulations. We are required to be in compliance with various regulations and contractual provisions that apply to the NMTC arrangement. Non-compliance with applicable requirements could result in Wells Fargo's projected tax benefits not being realized and, therefore, require us to indemnify Wells Fargo for any loss or recapture of NMTCs related to the financing until such time as the recapture provisions have expired under the applicable statute of limitations. We do not anticipate any credit recaptures will be required in connection with this arrangement.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Forward Looking Statements

This quarterly report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements regarding our business, financial condition, and results of operations within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, or Securities Act, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, or Securities Exchange Act. We intend such forward-looking statements to be covered by the safe harbor provisions for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation reform Act of 1995, and we are including this statement for purposes of complying with these safe harbor provisions. All statements, other than statements of historical facts, included in this quarterly report may be deemed to be forward-looking statements for purposes of the Securities Act and the Securities Exchange Act. Without limiting the foregoing, we use the words “anticipate(s),” “believe(s),” “estimate(s),” “expect(s),” “intend(s),” “may,” “plan(s),” “project(s),” “will,” “would,” “could,” “should” and similar expressions to identify forward-looking statements, although not all forward-looking statements contain these identifying words. We cannot guarantee that we will actually achieve the plans, intentions, or expectations disclosed in our forward-looking statements and, accordingly, you should not place undue reliance on our forward-looking statements. There are a number of important factors that could cause actual results or events to differ materially from the forward-looking statements that we make. You should read these factors and the other cautionary statements as being applicable to all related forward-looking statements wherever they appear in this quarterly report. We caution you that we do not undertake any obligation to update forward-looking statements made by us. Forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks and uncertainties that may cause our actual results in future periods to differ materially from those projected, estimated, expected, or contemplated as a result of, but not limited to, risk factors related to the following:

- uncertainties associated with the implementation of the Affordable Care Act, including the impact of the health insurance industry excise tax, the expansion of Medicaid eligibility in participating states to previously uninsured populations unfamiliar with managed care, the implementation of state insurance exchanges currently expected to become operational by October 1, 2013, the effect of various implementing regulations, and uncertainties regarding the impact of other federal or state health care and insurance reform measures, including the dual demonstration programs in California, Illinois, Ohio, Michigan, Texas, and Washington;
- the success of our medical cost containment initiatives in Texas, and other risks associated with the expansion of our Texas health plan's service areas in 2012;
- significant budget pressures on state governments and their potential inability to maintain current rates, to implement expected rate increases, or to maintain existing benefit packages or membership eligibility thresholds or criteria;
- management of our medical costs, including seasonal flu patterns and rates of utilization that are consistent with our expectations and our accruals for incurred but not reported medical costs;
- the success of our efforts to retain existing government contracts and to obtain new government contracts in connection with state requests for proposals (RFPs) in both existing and new states, and our ability to increase our revenues consistent with our expectations;
- accurate estimation of incurred but not reported medical costs across our health plans;
- risks associated with the continued growth in new Medicaid and Medicare enrollees, and the development of actuarially sound rates with respect to such new enrollees, including duals;
- retroactive adjustments to premium revenue or accounting estimates which require adjustment based upon subsequent developments, including Medicaid pharmaceutical rebates;
- continuation and renewal of the government contracts of both our health plans and Molina Medicaid Solutions and the terms under which such contracts are renewed;
- government audits and reviews, and any enrollment freeze or monitoring program that may result therefrom;
- changes with respect to our provider contracts and the loss of providers;
- the establishment of a federal or state medical cost expenditure floor as a percentage of the premiums we receive, and the interpretation and implementation of medical cost expenditure floors, administrative cost and profit ceilings, and profit sharing arrangements;
- interpretation and implementation of at-risk premium rules regarding the achievement of certain quality measures;
- approval by state regulators of dividends and distributions by our health plan subsidiaries;



changes in funding under our contracts as a result of regulatory changes, programmatic adjustments, or other reforms;  
high dollar claims related to catastrophic illness;  
the favorable resolution of litigation, arbitration, or administrative proceedings, including our pending litigation against the state of California related to rates paid to our California plan in earlier years that were not actuarially sound;  
restrictions and covenants in any future credit facility;  
the relatively small number of states in which we operate health plans;  
the availability of adequate financing to fund and capitalize our expansion and growth activities and to meet our liquidity needs, including the interest expense and other costs associated with such financing;

Table of Contents

- a state's failure to renew its federal Medicaid waiver;
- inadvertent unauthorized disclosure of protected health information;
- changes generally affecting the managed care or Medicaid management information systems industries;
- increases in government surcharges, taxes, and assessments;
- changes in general economic conditions, including unemployment rates; and
- increasing consolidation in the Medicaid industry.

Investors should refer to Part I, Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, for a discussion of certain risk factors that could materially affect our business, financial condition, cash flows, or results of operations. Given these risks and uncertainties, we can give no assurance that any results or events projected or contemplated by our forward-looking statements will in fact occur.

This document and the following discussion of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with the accompanying consolidated financial statements and the notes to those statements appearing elsewhere in this report and the audited financial statements and Management's Discussion and Analysis appearing in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Table of Contents

## Overview

Molina Healthcare, Inc. provides quality and cost-effective Medicaid-related solutions to meet the health care needs of low-income families and individuals and to assist state agencies in their administration of the Medicaid program. We report our financial performance based on two reportable segments: Health Plans and Molina Medicaid Solutions. Our Health Plans segment comprises health plans in California, Florida, Michigan, New Mexico, Ohio, Texas, Utah, Washington, and Wisconsin, and includes our direct delivery business. As of March 31, 2013, these health plans served approximately 1.8 million members eligible for Medicaid, Medicare, and other government-sponsored health care programs for low-income families and individuals. The health plans are operated by our respective wholly owned subsidiaries in those states, each of which is licensed as a health maintenance organization, or HMO. Our direct delivery business consists of primary care community clinics in California, Florida, New Mexico, and Washington. Our health plans' state Medicaid contracts generally have terms of three to four years with annual adjustments to premium rates. These contracts are renewable at the discretion of the state. In general, either the state Medicaid agency or the health plan may terminate the state contract with or without cause. Most of these contracts contain renewal options that are exercisable by the state. Our health plan subsidiaries have generally been successful in retaining their contracts. Our state contracts are generally at greatest risk of loss when a state issues a new request for proposals, or RFP, subject to competitive bidding by other health plans. If one of our health plans is not a successful responsive bidder to a state RFP, its contract may be subject to non-renewal. For instance, on February 17, 2012, the Division of Purchasing of the Missouri Office of Administration notified us that our Missouri health plan was not awarded a contract under the Missouri HealthNet Managed Care Request for Proposal; therefore, our Missouri health plan's prior contract with the state expired without renewal on June 30, 2012 subject to certain transition obligations. Our state Medicaid contracts may be periodically adjusted to include or exclude certain health benefits (such as pharmacy services, behavioral health services, or long-term care services); populations (such as the aged, blind or disabled, or ABD); and regions or service areas. For example, our Texas health plan added significant membership effective March 1, 2012, in service areas we had not previously served (the Hidalgo and El Paso service areas); and among populations we had not previously served within existing service areas, such as the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families, or TANF, population in the Dallas service area. Additionally, the health benefits provided to our TANF and ABD members in Texas under our contracts with the state were expanded to include inpatient facility and pharmacy services effective March 1, 2012.

On February 14, 2013, we announced that the Florida Agency for Health Care Administration awarded our Florida health plan contracts in three regions under the Statewide Medicaid Managed Care Long-Term Care program. As a result of the awards, we will now enter into a comprehensive pre-contracting assessment, with the program currently scheduled to commence on December 1, 2013. Under the program, we will provide long-term care benefits, including institutional and home and community-based services.

On February 11, 2013, we announced that our New Mexico health plan was selected by the New Mexico Human Services Department, or HSD, to participate in the new Centennial Care program. In addition to continuing to provide physical and acute health care services, under the new program our New Mexico health plan will expand its services to provide behavioral health and long-term care services. The selection of our New Mexico health plan was made by HSD pursuant to its request for proposals issued in August 2012. The operational start date for the program is currently scheduled for January 2014.

Our Molina Medicaid Solutions segment provides business processing and information technology development and administrative services to Medicaid agencies in Idaho, Louisiana, Maine, New Jersey, and West Virginia, and drug rebate administration services in Florida.

On June 9, 2011, Molina Medicaid Solutions received notice from the state of Louisiana that the state intended to award the contract for a replacement Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS) to a different vendor, CNSI. However, in March 2013, the state of Louisiana cancelled its contract award to CNSI. CNSI is currently challenging the contract cancellation. The state has informed us that we will continue to perform under our current contract until a successor is named. Subject to the pending challenge, it is currently uncertain whether a new RFP will be issued, and if so, when it will be issued. At such time as a new RFP may be issued, we intend to respond to the state's RFP. For the three months ended March 31, 2013, our revenue under the Louisiana MMIS contract was approximately \$10.1

million, or 20.3% of total service revenue. So long as our Louisiana MMIS contract continues, we expect to recognize approximately \$40 million of service revenue annually under this contract.

Composition of Revenue and Membership

Health Plans Segment

35

---

Table of Contents

Our Health Plans segment derives its revenue, in the form of premiums, chiefly from Medicaid contracts with the states in which our health plans operate. Premium revenue is fixed in advance of the periods covered and, except as described in “Critical Accounting Policies” below, is not generally subject to significant accounting estimates. For the three months ended March 31, 2013, we received approximately 96% of our premium revenue as a fixed amount per member per month, or PMPM, pursuant to our Medicaid contracts with state agencies, our Medicare contracts with CMS, and our contracts with other managed care organizations for which we operate as a subcontractor. These premium revenues are recognized in the month that members are entitled to receive health care services. The state Medicaid programs and the federal Medicare program periodically adjust premium rates.

For the three months ended March 31, 2013, we recognized approximately 4% of our premium revenue in the form of “birth income” — a one-time payment for the delivery of a child — from the Medicaid programs in all of our state health plans except New Mexico. Such payments are recognized as revenue in the month the birth occurs.

The amount of the premiums paid to us may vary substantially between states and among various government programs. PMPM premiums for the Children’s Health Insurance Program, or CHIP, members are generally among our lowest, with rates as low as approximately \$70 PMPM in California. Premium revenues for Medicaid members are generally higher. Among the TANF Medicaid population — the Medicaid group that includes mostly mothers and children — PMPM premiums range between approximately \$100 in California to \$260 in Ohio. Among our ABD membership, PMPM premiums range from approximately \$400 in Utah to \$1,400 in Ohio. Contributing to the variability in Medicaid rates among the states is the practice of some states to exclude certain benefits from the managed care contract (most often pharmacy, inpatient, behavioral health and catastrophic case benefits) and retain responsibility for those benefits at the state level. Medicare membership generates the highest PMPM premiums in the aggregate, at approximately \$1,200 PMPM.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the approximate total number of members by state health plan as of the dates indicated:

|  | March 31,<br>2013 | December 31,<br>2012 | March 31,<br>2012 |
|--|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| Total Ending Membership by Health Plan:                                |                   |                      |                   |
| California   | 332,000           | 336,000              | 351,000           |
| Florida  | 75,000            | 73,000               | 69,000            |
| Michigan   | 217,000           | 220,000              | 222,000           |
| Missouri (1)   | —                 | —                    | 81,000            |
| New Mexico   | 91,000            | 91,000               | 89,000            |
| Ohio   | 242,000           | 244,000              | 249,000           |
| Texas  | 274,000           | 282,000              | 280,000           |
| Utah   | 87,000            | 87,000               | 86,000            |
| Washington   | 416,000           | 418,000              | 356,000           |
| Wisconsin  | 86,000            | 46,000               | 42,000            |
| Total  | 1,820,000         | 1,797,000            | 1,825,000         |
| Total Ending Membership for our Medicare Advantage Plans:              |                   |                      |                   |
| California   | 7,700             | 7,700                | 6,900             |
| Florida  | 600               | 900                  | 800               |
| Michigan   | 9,200             | 9,700                | 8,500             |
| New Mexico   | 900               | 900                  | 900               |
| Ohio   | 300               | 300                  | 200               |
| Texas  | 1,900             | 1,500                | 800               |
| Utah   | 7,600             | 8,200                | 8,100             |
| Washington   | 6,100             | 6,500                | 5,200             |
| Total  | 34,300            | 35,700               | 31,400            |
| Total Ending Membership for our Aged, Blind or Disabled<br>Population: |                   |                      |                   |
| California   | 44,600            | 44,700               | 37,300            |
| Florida  | 10,400            | 10,300               | 10,500            |
| Michigan   | 44,000            | 41,900               | 38,800            |
| New Mexico   | 5,800             | 5,700                | 5,600             |
| Ohio   | 28,200            | 28,200               | 29,700            |
| Texas  | 94,200            | 95,900               | 109,000           |
| Utah   | 9,200             | 9,000                | 8,700             |
| Washington   | 31,300            | 30,000               | 4,700             |
| Wisconsin  | 1,600             | 1,700                | 1,700             |
| Total  | 269,300           | 267,400              | 246,000           |

(1) Our contract with the state of Missouri expired without renewal on June 30, 2012.

#### Molina Medicaid Solutions Segment

The payments received by our Molina Medicaid Solutions segment under its state contracts are based on the performance of multiple services. The first of these is the design, development and implementation, or DDI, of a Medicaid Management Information System, or MMIS. An additional service, following completion of DDI, is the operation of the MMIS under a business process outsourcing, or BPO arrangement. While providing BPO services (which include claims payment and eligibility processing) we also provide the state with other services including both hosting and support and maintenance. Because we have determined the services provided under our Molina Medicaid Solutions contracts represent a single unit of accounting, we recognize revenue associated with such contracts on a straight-line basis over the period during which BPO, hosting, and support and maintenance services are delivered.

#### Composition of Expenses

Health Plans Segment

37

---

Table of Contents

Operating expenses for the Health Plans segment include expenses related to the provision of medical care services, G&A expenses, and premium tax expenses. Our results of operations are impacted by our ability to effectively manage expenses related to medical care services and to accurately estimate medical costs incurred. Expenses related to medical care services are captured in the following four categories:

• **Fee-for-service** — Expenses paid for specific encounters or episodes of care according to a fee schedule or other basis established by the state or by contract with the provider.

• **Capitation** — Expenses for PMPM payments to the provider without regard to the frequency, extent, or nature of the medical services actually furnished.

• **Pharmacy** — Expenses for all drug, injectible, and immunization costs paid through our pharmacy benefit manager.

• **Other** — Expenses for medically related administrative costs of approximately \$33.9 million, and \$32.1 million, for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, as well as certain provider incentive costs, reinsurance, costs to operate our medical clinics, and other medical expenses.

Our medical care costs include amounts that have been paid by us through the reporting date as well as estimated liabilities for medical care costs incurred but not paid by us as of the reporting date. See “Critical Accounting Policies” below for a comprehensive discussion of how we estimate such liabilities.

**Molina Medicaid Solutions Segment**

Cost of service revenue consists primarily of the costs incurred to provide business process outsourcing and technology outsourcing services under our MMIS contracts. General and administrative costs consist primarily of indirect administrative costs and business development costs.

In some circumstances we may defer recognition of incremental direct costs (such as direct labor, hardware, and software) associated with a contract if revenue recognition is also deferred. Such deferred contract costs are amortized on a straight-line basis over the remaining original contract term, consistent with the revenue recognition period.

**First Quarter Financial Performance Summary**

The following table and narrative briefly summarize our financial and operating performance for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012. All ratios, with the exception of the medical care ratio and the premium tax ratio, are shown as a percentage of total revenue. The medical care ratio and the premium tax ratio are computed as a percentage of premium revenue because there are direct relationships between premium revenue earned, and the cost of health care and premium taxes.



Table of Contents

|  | Three Months Ended March 31,                         |             |         |
|--|--|-------------|---------|
|  | 2013   | 2012        |         |
|  | (Dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data) |             |         |
| Net income per diluted share             | \$0.64   | \$0.39      |         |
| Premium revenue                          | \$1,534,608  | \$1,325,406 |         |
| Service revenue                          | \$49,756   | \$42,205    |         |
| Operating income                         | \$67,091   | \$33,420    |         |
| Net income                               | \$29,915   | \$18,089    |         |
| Total ending membership                  | 1,820,000  | 1,825,000   |         |
| Premium revenue                          | 96.5   | %           | 96.5 %  |
| Service revenue                          | 3.1  | %           | 3.1 %   |
| Investment income                        | 0.1  | %           | 0.1 %   |
| Rental and other income                  | 0.3  | %           | 0.3 %   |
| Total revenue                            | 100.0  | %           | 100.0 % |
| Medical care ratio                       | 86.1   | %           | 88.1 %  |
| General and administrative expense ratio | 8.9  | %           | 8.8 %   |
| Premium tax ratio                        | 2.4  | %           | 3.2 %   |
| Operating income                         | 4.2  | %           | 2.4 %   |
| Net income                               | 1.9  | %           | 1.3 %   |
| Effective tax rate                       | 44.8   | %           | 37.9 %  |

## Earnings before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation and Amortization (EBITDA)

We calculate a non-GAAP measure, EBITDA, which management uses as a supplemental metric in evaluating our financial performance, in evaluating financing and business development decisions, and in forecasting and analyzing future periods. For these reasons, management believes that EBITDA is a useful supplemental measure to investors in evaluating our performance and the performance of other companies in our industry. The reconciliation of this non-GAAP to GAAP financial measure is as follows (GAAP stands for U.S. generally accepted accounting principles):

|   | Three Months Ended March 31, |          |
|---|------------------------------|----------|
|   | 2013                         | 2012     |
|   | (In thousands)               |          |
| Net income  | \$29,915                     | \$18,089 |
| Add back:   |                              |          |
| Depreciation and amortization reported in the consolidated statements of cash flows | 21,799                       | 18,339   |
| Interest expense  | 13,037                       | 4,298    |
| Income tax expense  | 24,270                       | 11,033   |
| EBITDA (1)  | \$89,021                     | \$51,759 |

EBITDA is not prepared in conformity with GAAP because it excludes depreciation and amortization, as well as interest expense, and the provision for income taxes. This non-GAAP financial measure should not be considered (1) as an alternative to the GAAP measures of net income, operating income, operating margin, or cash provided by operating activities; nor should EBITDA be considered in isolation from these GAAP measures of operating performance.

## First Quarter 2013 Overview

For the first quarter of 2013, net income was \$29.9 million, or \$0.64 per diluted share, compared with net income of \$18.1 million, or \$0.39 per diluted share, for the first quarter of 2012.

Our financial performance in the first quarter of 2013 improved substantially over the first quarter of 2012. Among the key developments affecting first quarter 2013 performance were the following:



Table of Contents

The recognition of approximately \$21 million of premium rate changes at the California health plan. Approximately \$19 million of the premium revenue related to 2012 and 2011. Net of related expenses, the rate increases resulted in an increase of approximately \$19 million to pretax income; \$18 million (approximately \$0.24 per diluted share) of which related to 2012 and 2011. The adjustment to premium rates resulted from the receipt of new rate sheets from the state of California that restored the rates that had existed prior to the cuts that had been taken effective July 1, 2011, and a modest increase to rates for our ABD membership retroactive to July 1, 2011. The new premium rates are expected to increase premium revenue at the California health plan going forward by approximately \$400,000 per month;

The recognition of approximately \$6 million (approximately \$0.08 per diluted share) of performance revenue at the Texas health plan related to 2012. The Texas health plan recently received notice from the Texas Department of Health and Human Services that a specific measure is being removed from the calculation of performance revenue for all contracted health plans for 2012. As of December 31, 2012, the Texas health plan had not recognized approximately \$6 million of revenue related to this performance measure;

Flat inpatient utilization compared to the first quarter of 2012;

Improved performance at the Florida, Texas, Ohio and Wisconsin health plans; and

The immediate recognition in interest expense of approximately \$6 million (approximately \$0.08 per diluted share) of debt issuance fees related to our issuance of \$550 million of 1.125% cash convertible senior notes (the 1.125% Notes) in February 2013. The remainder of the fees associated with that issuance will be expensed over the seven-year life of the 1.125% Notes.

## Results of Operations

Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 Compared with the Three Months Ended March 31, 2012

## Health Plans Segment

## Premium Revenue

Premium revenue for the first quarter of 2013 increased 16% (or 17%, net of premium taxes) over the first quarter of 2012, primarily due to a shift in member mix to populations generating higher premium revenue per member per month (PMPM). Medicare premium revenue was \$118.4 million for the first quarter of 2013 compared with \$109.8 million for the first quarter of 2012.

Growth in our ABD membership in Washington and California led to higher premium revenue PMPM in 2013. ABD membership, as a percent of total membership, has increased approximately 10% year over year. Premium revenue PMPM also increased in the first quarter of 2013 as a result of the inclusion of revenue for pharmacy benefits for the Utah health plan effective January 1, 2013, and as a result of the inclusion of revenue for inpatient facility and pharmacy benefits across all of the Texas health plan's membership effective March 1, 2012.

## Medical Care Costs

The following table provides the details of consolidated medical care costs for the periods indicated (dollars in thousands except PMPM amounts):

|                 | Three Months Ended March 31,<br>2013 |          |               | 2012          |          |               |   |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------|----------|---------------|---------------|----------|---------------|---|
|                 | Amount                               | PMPM     | % of<br>Total | Amount        | PMPM     | % of<br>Total |   |
| Fee for service | \$867,648                            | \$160.18 | 67.3          | % \$777,267   | \$148.81 | 68.7          | % |
| Pharmacy        | 231,838                              | 42.80    | 18.0          | % 173,237     | 33.17    | 15.3          | % |
| Capitation      | 140,324                              | 25.91    | 10.9          | % 136,038     | 26.04    | 12.0          | % |
| Other           | 48,944                               | 9.04     | 3.8           | % 44,446      | 8.51     | 4.0           | % |
| Total           | \$1,288,754                          | \$237.93 | 100.0         | % \$1,130,988 | \$216.53 | 100.0         | % |

Medical care costs increased in the first quarter of 2013 primarily due to the same shifts in member mix and the benefit expansions that led to increased premium revenue, particularly in California, Texas and Washington. Our consolidated medical care ratio, however, decreased to 86.1% in the first quarter of 2013, from 88.1% in the first quarter of 2012. Retroactive rate increases for the California health plan and increased margins at the Texas health

plan were the primary drivers of the lower medical care ratio in the first quarter of 2013. Stable inpatient utilization and lower pharmacy unit costs also contributed to the lower medical care ratio in the first quarter of 2013.

40

---

## Table of Contents

### Individual Health Plan Analysis

The medical care ratio at the California health plan decreased to 85.1% in the first quarter of 2013 from 88.7% in the first quarter of 2012. The lower medical care ratio was primarily the result of the recognition of retroactive premium rate changes that contributed approximately \$19 million to pretax income for the first quarter of 2013, of which approximately \$18 million (after related expenses) related to 2012 and 2011.

The medical care ratio of the Florida health plan decreased to 84.9% in the first quarter of 2013, from 88.2% in the first quarter of 2012 due to improved hospital provider contracts, inpatient utilization reductions and lower pharmacy costs.

The medical care ratio of the Michigan health plan increased to 88.1% in the first quarter of 2013, from 84.0% in the first quarter of 2012, primarily as a result of higher pharmacy and fee-for-service costs.

The medical care ratio of the New Mexico health plan increased to 85.9% in the first quarter of 2013, from 84.7% in the first quarter of 2012, primarily as a result of higher pharmacy and fee-for-service costs.

The medical care ratio of the Ohio health plan decreased to 84.6% for the first quarter of 2013, from 87.4% for the first quarter of 2012, primarily as a result of a 4% premium rate increase effective January 1, 2013.

The medical care ratio of the Texas health plan was 80.9% in the first quarter of 2013 compared with 92.3% in the first quarter of 2012. We previously reported on the financial challenges faced by the Texas health plan. Although first quarter results show considerable improvement over the results reported for the first quarter of 2012, management cautions investors regarding the following points:

The first quarters of 2013 and 2012 are not meaningfully comparable. The state of Texas expanded Medicaid managed care into new regions effective March 1, 2012. Additionally, the state extended inpatient facility and pharmacy benefits into Medicaid managed care on that date. The result of these actions was to dramatically increase the Texas health plan's revenue and medical costs between the first quarter of 2012 and 2013.

The Texas health plan received a 4% rate increase (adding about \$4 million to monthly revenue) effective September 1, 2012.

Certain out-of-period adjustments artificially lowered the medical care ratio for the Texas health plan in the first quarter of 2013. Absent the previously described \$6 million out-of-period benefit related to 2012 performance revenue, and a \$13.5 million benefit from favorable development of the claims liability established for the health plan at December 31, 2012, the Texas health plan's medical care ratio for the first quarter of 2013 would have been approximately 86.6% rather than the reported medical care ratio of 80.9%.

While management continues to work to improve the financial performance of the Texas health plan, management also believes that increased payments to certain providers are necessary. Specifically, the health plan intends to increase provider reimbursement for personal attendant services and day activity and health services effective July 1, 2013. We anticipate that this increase in provider payments alone will add approximately \$10 million to medical expense in the second half of 2013.

The medical care ratio of the Utah health plan increased to 86.8% in the first quarter of 2013, from 77.0% in the first quarter of 2012 primarily due to a Medicaid premium rate reduction of approximately 16% (exclusive of the pharmacy benefit added) effective January 1, 2013.

The medical care ratio of the Washington health plan increased to 87.6% in the first quarter of 2013, compared with 85.7% in the first quarter of 2012 primarily due to the addition of ABD members effective July 1, 2012. The higher premium revenue PMPM associated with the ABD membership, however, offset the increased medical care ratio, so that the excess of premium revenue over medical care costs increased to \$42.3 million for the first quarter of 2013, compared with \$34.2 million for the first quarter of 2012.

The medical care ratio of the Wisconsin health plan decreased to 87.2% in the first quarter of 2013, compared with 98.5% in the first quarter of 2012. The Wisconsin health plan has implemented provider contracting initiatives and

new utilization management techniques as a part of its efforts to improve profitability, including in-sourcing the management of behavioral health services. The health plan received a 3% premium rate increase effective January 1, 2013. Additionally, the health plan gained 40,000 members in February and March due to another health plan's recent exit from the market. We are closely monitoring the utilization patterns and loss reserves for these new members.

Table of Contents

## Health Plans Segment Operating Data

The following table summarizes member months, premium revenue, medical care costs, medical care ratio, and premium taxes by health plan for the periods indicated (PMPM amounts are in whole dollars; member months and other dollar amounts are in thousands):

| Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 |                      |                 |           |                    |           |                        |         |   |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|-----------|--------------------|-----------|------------------------|---------|---|
|                                   | Member<br>Months (1) | Premium Revenue |           | Medical Care Costs |           | Premium Tax<br>Expense | MCR (2) |   |
|                                   |                      | Total           | PMPM      | Total              | PMPM      |                        |         |   |
| California                        | 1,001                | \$ 187,880      | \$ 187.64 | \$ 159,763         | \$ 159.56 | \$ 92                  | 85.1    | % |
| Florida                           | 223                  | 58,167          | 260.15    | 49,404             | 220.95    | 3                      | 84.9    |   |
| Michigan                          | 652                  | 167,676         | 257.24    | 146,748            | 225.13    | 1,119                  | 88.1    |   |
| New Mexico                        | 274                  | 85,798          | 313.54    | 72,149             | 263.66    | 1,798                  | 85.9    |   |
| Ohio                              | 726                  | 291,518         | 401.73    | 227,454            | 313.45    | 22,710                 | 84.6    |   |
| Texas                             | 832                  | 335,296         | 402.99    | 266,449            | 320.24    | 5,845                  | 80.9    |   |
| Utah                              | 259                  | 74,956          | 289.59    | 65,029             | 251.24    | —                      | 86.8    |   |
| Washington                        | 1,250                | 303,719         | 243.05    | 261,397            | 209.18    | 5,433                  | 87.6    |   |
| Wisconsin                         | 200                  | 27,124          | 135.53    | 23,664             | 118.24    | —                      | 87.2    |   |
| Other <sup>(3) (4)</sup>          | —                    | 2,474           | —         | 16,697             | —         | —                      | —       |   |
|                                   | 5,417                | \$ 1,534,608    | \$ 283.32 | \$ 1,288,754       | \$ 237.93 | \$ 37,000              | 86.1    | % |
| Three Months Ended March 31, 2012 |                      |                 |           |                    |           |                        |         |   |
|                                   | Member<br>Months (1) | Premium Revenue |           | Medical Care Costs |           | Premium Tax<br>Expense | MCR (2) |   |
|                                   |                      | Total           | PMPM      | Total              | PMPM      |                        |         |   |
| California                        | 1,059                | \$ 161,685      | \$ 152.65 | \$ 141,349         | \$ 133.45 | \$ 2,309               | 88.7    | % |
| Florida                           | 208                  | 56,190          | 269.87    | 49,569             | 238.07    | 7                      | 88.2    |   |
| Michigan                          | 665                  | 167,906         | 252.49    | 134,211            | 201.82    | 8,040                  | 84.0    |   |
| Missouri <sup>(4)</sup>           | 243                  | 56,613          | 233.32    | 53,120             | 218.93    | —                      | 93.8    |   |
| New Mexico                        | 266                  | 81,226          | 305.63    | 67,111             | 252.52    | 1,953                  | 84.7    |   |
| Ohio                              | 746                  | 293,525         | 393.73    | 236,701            | 317.51    | 22,853                 | 87.4    |   |
| Texas                             | 592                  | 198,236         | 334.61    | 180,089            | 303.97    | 3,197                  | 92.3    |   |
| Utah                              | 252                  | 75,138          | 297.59    | 57,881             | 229.24    | —                      | 77.0    |   |
| Washington                        | 1,067                | 215,610         | 202.08    | 181,425            | 170.04    | 3,816                  | 85.7    |   |
| Wisconsin                         | 125                  | 17,142          | 136.97    | 16,886             | 134.92    | —                      | 98.5    |   |
| Other <sup>(3)</sup>              | —                    | 2,135           | —         | 12,646             | —         | 11                     | —       |   |
|                                   | 5,223                | \$ 1,325,406    | \$ 253.75 | \$ 1,130,988       | \$ 216.53 | \$ 42,186              | 88.1    | % |

(1) A member month is defined as the aggregate of each month's ending membership for the period presented.

(2) The MCR represents medical costs as a percentage of premium revenues, where premium revenue is reduced by premium tax expense.

(3) "Other" medical care costs also include medically related administrative costs at the parent company.

(4) Our contract with the state of Missouri expired without renewal on June 30, 2012. The Missouri health plan's claims run-out activity subsequent to June 30, 2012, is reported in "Other."

Molina Medicaid Solutions Segment

Table of Contents

Performance of the Molina Medicaid Solutions segment was as follows:

|  | Three Months Ended March 31, |          |
|--|------------------------------|----------|
|  | 2013                         | 2012     |
|  | (In thousands)               |          |
| Service revenue before amortization  | \$50,485                     | \$42,358 |
| Amortization recorded as reduction of service revenue                      | (729                         | ) (153   |
| Service revenue  | 49,756                       | 42,205   |
| Cost of service revenue  | 39,770                       | 30,494   |
| General and administrative costs   | 2,351                        | 2,020    |
| Amortization of customer relationship intangibles recorded as amortization | 1,282                        | 1,282    |
| Operating income   | \$6,353                      | \$8,409  |

Operating income for our Molina Medicaid Solutions segment decreased \$2.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013, compared with the same prior year period. The decrease in operating income was primarily the result of a change in the mix of transactions processed from fee-for-service claims to managed care encounters (processing fees are lower for encounters than for fee-for-service claims) and changes to state contracts implemented during 2012.

#### Consolidated Expenses

##### General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses increased to 8.9% of total revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2013, compared with 8.8% of total revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2012. The increased ratio of general and administrative expenses to total revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2013, was primarily due to continuing investments in administrative infrastructure in anticipation of opportunities among the dual-eligible population.

##### Premium Tax Expense

Premium tax expense decreased to 2.4% of premium revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2013, from 3.2% in the three months ended March 31, 2012. The decrease in 2013 was primarily due to the reduction of premium taxes at the Michigan and California health plans effective in 2012.

##### Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation and amortization related to our Health Plans segment is all recorded in "Depreciation and Amortization" in the consolidated statements of income. Amortization related to our Molina Medicaid Solutions segment is recorded within three different headings in the consolidated statements of income as follows:

• Amortization of purchased intangibles relating to customer relationships is reported as amortization within the heading "Depreciation and Amortization;"

• Amortization of purchased intangibles relating to contract backlog is recorded as a reduction of "Service Revenue;" and

• Amortization of capitalized software is recorded within the heading "Cost of Service Revenue."



Table of Contents

The following table presents all depreciation and amortization recorded in our consolidated statements of income, regardless of whether the item appears as depreciation and amortization, a reduction of service revenue, or as cost of service revenue.

|   | Three Months Ended March 31, |                    | 2012       |                    |   |
|---|------------------------------|--------------------|------------|--------------------|---|
|   | 2013                         |                    | Amount     | % of Total Revenue |   |
|   | Amount                       | % of Total Revenue | Amount     | % of Total Revenue |   |
| (Dollar amounts in thousands)   |                              |                    |            |                    |   |
| Depreciation, and amortization of capitalized software                                  | \$12,447                     | 0.8                | % \$9,472  | 0.7                | % |
| Amortization of intangible assets   | 4,118                        | 0.3                | 5,553      | 0.4                |   |
| Depreciation and amortization reported as such in the consolidated statements of income | 16,565                       | 1.1                | 15,025     | 1.1                |   |
| Amortization recorded as reduction of service revenue                                   | 729                          | —                  | 153        | —                  |   |
| Amortization of capitalized software recorded as cost of service revenue                | 4,505                        | 0.3                | 3,161      | 0.2                |   |
| Total   | \$21,799                     | 1.4                | % \$18,339 | 1.3                | % |

**Other Expenses (Income)**

Interest expense increased to \$13.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013, from \$4.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012, primarily due to the issuance of the 1.125% Notes in February 2013. Interest expense includes non-cash interest expense relating to our convertible senior notes, which amounted to \$3.7 million and \$1.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013, and 2012, respectively. Interest expense in 2013 also included the immediate recognition of approximately \$6 million of interest expense relating to debt issuance costs. The remainder of the fees associated with that issuance, amounting to approximately \$12 million, will be expensed over the seven-year life of the 1.125% Notes.

**Income Taxes**

The provision for income taxes is recorded at an effective rate of 44.8% for the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared with 37.9% for the three months ended March 31, 2012. The higher rate in 2013 is primarily due to the impact of non-deductible compensation under a provision of the Affordable Care Act that limits deductions claimed by health insurers on compensation earned after December 31, 2009 that is paid after December 31, 2012.

**Other Transactions**

As described above, our Missouri health plan, Alliance for Community Health, L.L.C. (ACH), was not awarded a contract under the Missouri HealthNet Managed Care Request for Proposal; therefore, our Missouri health plan's prior contract with the state (the MC+ Contract) expired without renewal on June 30, 2012, subject to certain transition obligations which terminate on June 30, 2013. On April 5, 2013, ACH entered into an assignment and assumption agreement with an affiliated company, Molina Healthcare of Illinois, Inc., another one of our wholly owned subsidiaries (Molina Illinois), pursuant to which ACH assigned to Molina Illinois substantially all of its assets and liabilities, including its surviving rights, duties and obligations, including all of the post-expiration duties and services under the MC+ Contract. Such assignment was approved by the Missouri Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration, and the Illinois Department of Insurance. The state of Missouri's Medicaid agency also consented to the assignment. Subsequent to the effectiveness of the assignment and assumption agreement, ACH surrendered its Missouri certificate of authority as a health maintenance organization. We intend to abandon our equity interests in ACH to an unrelated entity. Pursuant to such transaction, ACH will retain certain assets and investments to which we will no longer have access after the abandonment transaction is effected, and which amounts we intend to write off.

**Liquidity and Capital Resources**

Introduction

We manage our cash, investments, and capital structure to meet the short- and long-term obligations of our business while maintaining liquidity and financial flexibility. We forecast, analyze, and monitor our cash flows to enable prudent investment management and financing within the confines of our financial strategy.

Table of Contents

Our regulated subsidiaries generate significant cash flows from premium revenue. Such cash flows are our primary source of liquidity. Thus, any future decline in our profitability may have a negative impact on our liquidity. We generally receive premium revenue in advance of the payment of claims for the related health care services. A majority of the assets held by our regulated subsidiaries are in the form of cash, cash equivalents, and investments. After considering expected cash flows from operating activities, we generally invest cash of regulated subsidiaries that exceeds our expected short-term obligations in longer term, investment-grade, and marketable debt securities to improve our overall investment return. These investments are made pursuant to board approved investment policies which conform to applicable state laws and regulations. Our investment policies are designed to provide liquidity, preserve capital, and maximize total return on invested assets, all in a manner consistent with state requirements that prescribe the types of instruments in which our subsidiaries may invest. These investment policies require that our investments have final maturities of five years or less (excluding auction rate securities and variable rate securities, for which interest rates are periodically reset) and that the average maturity be two years or less. Professional portfolio managers operating under documented guidelines manage our investments. As of March 31, 2013, a substantial portion of our cash was invested in a portfolio of highly liquid money market securities, and our investments consisted solely of investment-grade debt securities. All of our investments are classified as current assets, except for our restricted investments, and our investments in auction rate securities, which are classified as non-current assets. Our restricted investments are invested principally in certificates of deposit and U.S. treasury securities.

Investment income was \$1.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013, compared with \$1.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012. Our annualized portfolio yield for the three months ended March 31, 2013 was 0.4% compared with 0.6% for the three months ended March 31, 2012.

Investments and restricted investments are subject to interest rate risk and will decrease in value if market rates increase. We have the ability to hold our restricted investments until maturity. Declines in interest rates over time will reduce our investment income.

Cash in excess of the capital needs of our regulated health plans is generally paid to our non-regulated parent company in the form of dividends, when and as permitted by applicable regulations, for general corporate use.

Liquidity

Cash provided by operating activities for the three months ended March 31, 2013 was \$20.1 million compared with \$50.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012, a decrease of \$30.5 million. The decrease in cash provided by operating activities was primarily due to the changes in deferred revenue and medical claims and benefits payable, partially offset by the change in accounts receivable. Deferred revenue and medical claims and benefits payable were a use of operating cash amounting to \$9.4 million in the aggregate in the three months ended March 31, 2013, compared with a source of operating cash of \$97.9 million in the aggregate in the same period in 2012. Accounts receivable was a use of operating cash amounting to \$0.6 million in the three months ended March 31, 2013, compared with \$54.4 million in the same period in 2012.

Cash used in investing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2013 was \$21.2 million compared with \$42.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012, a decrease of \$21.5 million. This decrease was primarily due to reduced purchases of investments in 2013.

Cash provided by financing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2013 was \$374.8 million compared with \$16.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012, an increase of \$358.8 million. The significant increase was primarily due to \$538.0 million in proceeds we received from our offering of 1.125% Notes and \$75.1 million from the sale of warrants, partially offset by \$149.3 million paid for the purchased call option relating to the 1.125% Notes, \$50.0 million paid for repurchases of our common stock, and \$40.0 million used to repay our Credit Facility.

Financial Condition

On a consolidated basis, at March 31, 2013, we had working capital of \$924.0 million compared with \$521.1 million at December 31, 2012. At March 31, 2013, and December 31, 2012, we had cash and investments, including restricted investments, of \$1,580.2 million, and \$1,196.1 million, respectively. We believe that our cash resources and internally generated funds will be sufficient to support our operations, regulatory requirements, and capital expenditures for at

least the next 12 months.

#### Regulatory Capital and Dividend Restrictions

Our health plans, which are operated by our respective wholly owned subsidiaries in those states, are subject to state laws and regulations that, among other things, require the maintenance of minimum levels of statutory capital, as defined by each

45

---

Table of Contents

state. Such state laws and regulations also restrict the timing, payment, and amount of dividends and other distributions that may be paid to us as the sole stockholder. To the extent our subsidiaries must comply with these regulations, they may not have the financial flexibility to transfer funds to us. The net assets in these subsidiaries (after intercompany eliminations) which may not be transferable to us in the form of loans, advances, or cash dividends was \$572.9 million at March 31, 2013, and \$549.7 million at December 31, 2012. Because of the statutory restrictions that inhibit the ability of our health plans to transfer net assets to us, the amount of retained earnings readily available to pay dividends to our stockholders is generally limited to cash, cash equivalents and investments held by the parent company – Molina Healthcare, Inc. Such cash, cash equivalents and investments amounted to \$450.5 million and \$46.9 million as of March 31, 2013, and December 31, 2012, respectively.

The National Association of Insurance Commissioners, or NAIC, adopted rules effective December 31, 1998, which, if implemented by the states, set minimum capitalization requirements for insurance companies, HMOs, and other entities bearing risk for health care coverage. The requirements take the form of risk-based capital (RBC) rules. Michigan, New Mexico, Ohio, Texas, Utah, Washington, and Wisconsin have adopted these rules, which may vary from state to state. California and Florida have not adopted NAIC risk-based capital requirements for HMOs and have not formally given notice of their intention to do so. Such requirements, if adopted by California and Florida, may increase the minimum capital required for those states.

As of March 31, 2013, our health plans had aggregate statutory capital and surplus of approximately \$585.5 million compared with the required minimum aggregate statutory capital and surplus of approximately \$341.7 million. All of our health plans were in compliance with the minimum capital requirements at March 31, 2013. We have the ability and commitment to provide additional capital to each of our health plans when necessary to ensure that statutory capital and surplus continue to meet regulatory requirements.

As described in Note 2 to the accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, the ACA imposes an annual fee on health insurers for each calendar year beginning on or after January 1, 2014. The fee will be imposed beginning in 2014 based on a company's share of the industry's net premiums written during the preceding calendar year. If the fee assessment is enacted as written, our minimum capitalization requirements will increase significantly on January 1, 2014; we are currently evaluating the impact of the fee assessment to our financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

#### Future Sources and Uses of Liquidity

As of March 31, 2013, maturities of long-term debt for the years ending December 31 are as follows (in thousands):

|              | Total     | 2013  | 2014      | 2015    | 2016    | 2017    | Thereafter |
|--------------|-----------|-------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|------------|
| 1.125% Notes | \$550,000 | \$—   | \$—       | \$—     | \$—     | \$—     | \$550,000  |
| 3.75% Notes  | 187,000   | —     | 187,000   | —       | —       | —       | —          |
| Term loan    | 47,179    | 863   | 1,206     | 1,259   | 1,309   | 1,372   | 41,170     |
|              | \$784,179 | \$863 | \$188,206 | \$1,259 | \$1,309 | \$1,372 | \$591,170  |

#### 1.125% Cash Convertible Senior Notes due 2020

On February 15, 2013, we settled the issuance of \$550.0 million aggregate principal amount of 1.125% Cash Convertible Senior Notes due 2020 (the 1.125% Notes). This transaction included the initial issuance of \$450.0 million on February 11, 2013, plus the exercise of the full amount of the \$100.0 million over-allotment option on February 13, 2013. The aggregate net proceeds of the 1.125% Notes were \$458.9 million, after payment of the net cost of the Call Spread Overlay described below. Additionally, we used \$50.0 million of the net proceeds to purchase shares of our common stock, and \$40.0 million to repay principal and accrued interest owed under our Credit Facility.

Interest on the 1.125% Notes is payable semiannually in arrears on January 15 and July 15 of each year, at a rate of 1.125% per annum commencing on July 15, 2013. The 1.125% Notes will mature on January 15, 2020 unless repurchased or converted in accordance with their terms prior to such date.

The 1.125% Notes are convertible only into cash, and not into shares of our common stock or any other securities. Holders may convert their 1.125% Notes solely into cash at their option at any time prior to the close of business on the business day immediately preceding July 15, 2019 only under the following circumstances: (1) during any calendar quarter commencing after the calendar quarter ending on June 30, 2013 (and only during such calendar quarter), if the last reported sale price of the common stock for at least 20 trading days (whether or not consecutive) during a period of 30 consecutive trading days ending on the last trading day of the immediately preceding calendar quarter is greater than or equal to 130% of the conversion price on each applicable trading day; (2) during the five business day period immediately after any five consecutive

Table of Contents

trading day period in which the trading price per \$1,000 principal amount of 1.125% Notes for each trading day of the measurement period was less than 98% of the product of the last reported sale price of our common stock and the conversion rate on each such trading day; or (3) upon the occurrence of specified corporate events. On or after July 15, 2019 until the close of business on the second scheduled trading day immediately preceding the maturity date, holders may convert their 1.125% Notes solely into cash at any time, regardless of the foregoing circumstances. Upon conversion, in lieu of receiving shares of our common stock, a holder will receive an amount in cash, per \$1,000 principal amount of 1.125% Notes, equal to the settlement amount, determined in the manner set forth in the Indenture.

The initial conversion rate will be 24.5277 shares of our common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of 1.125% Notes (equivalent to an initial conversion price of approximately \$40.77 per share of common stock). The conversion rate will be subject to adjustment in some events but will not be adjusted for any accrued and unpaid interest. In addition, following certain corporate events that occur prior to the maturity date, we will pay a cash make-whole premium by increasing the conversion rate for a holder who elects to convert its 1.125% Notes in connection with such a corporate event in certain circumstances. We may not redeem the 1.125% Notes prior to the maturity date, and no sinking fund is provided for the 1.125% Notes.

If we undergo a fundamental change (as defined in the indenture to the 1.125% Notes), holders may require us to repurchase for cash all or part of their 1.125% Notes at a repurchase price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the 1.125% Notes to be repurchased, plus accrued and unpaid interest to, but excluding, the fundamental change repurchase date. The indenture provides for customary events of default, including cross acceleration to certain other indebtedness of ours, and our significant subsidiaries.

The 1.125% Notes are senior unsecured obligations, and rank senior in right of payment to any of our indebtedness that is expressly subordinated in right of payment to the 1.125% Notes; equal in right of payment to any of our unsecured indebtedness that is not so subordinated; effectively junior in right of payment to any of our secured indebtedness to the extent of the value of the assets securing such indebtedness; and structurally junior to all indebtedness and other liabilities (including trade payables) of our subsidiaries.

The 1.125% Notes contain an embedded cash conversion option. We have determined that the embedded cash conversion option is a derivative financial instrument, required to be separated from the 1.125% Notes and accounted for separately as a derivative liability, with changes in fair value reported in our consolidated statements of income until the cash conversion option transaction settles or expires. The initial fair value liability of the embedded cash conversion option was \$149.3 million, which simultaneously reduced the carrying value of the 1.125% Notes (effectively an original issuance discount). As of March 31, 2013, the fair value of embedded cash conversion option derivative liability was \$147.3 million.

As noted above, the reduced carrying value on the 1.125% Notes resulted in a debt discount that is amortized to the 1.125% Notes' principal amount through the recognition of non-cash interest expense over the expected life of the debt. This has resulted in our recognition of interest expense on the 1.125% Notes at an effective rate approximating what we would have incurred had nonconvertible debt with otherwise similar terms had been issued. The effective interest rate of the 1.125% Notes is 5.9% which is imputed based on the amortization of the fair value of the embedded cash conversion option over the remaining term of the 1.125% Notes. As of March 31, 2013, we expect the 1.125% Notes to be outstanding until their January 15, 2020 maturity date, for a remaining amortization period of 6.8 years.

Also in connection with the settlement of the 1.125% Notes, we paid approximately \$16.9 million in transaction costs. Such costs have been allocated to the 1.125% Notes, the 1.125% Call Option (defined below), and the 1.125% Warrants (defined below) according to their relative fair values. The amount allocated to the 1.125% Notes, or \$12.0

million, was capitalized and will be amortized over the term of the 1.125% Notes. The aggregate amount allocated to the 1.125% Call Option and 1.125% Warrants, or \$4.9 million, was recorded to interest expense in the quarter ended March 31, 2013.

#### 1.125% Notes Call Spread Overlay

Concurrent with the issuance of the 1.125% Notes, we entered into privately negotiated hedge transactions (collectively, the 1.125% Call Option) and warrant transactions (collectively, the 1.125% Warrants), with certain of the initial purchasers of the 1.125% Notes. These transactions represent a Call Spread Overlay, whereby the cost of the 1.125% Call Option we purchased to cover the cash outlay upon conversion of the 1.125% Notes was reduced by the sales price of the 1.125% Warrants. Assuming full performance by the counterparties (and 1.125% Warrants strike prices in excess of the conversion price of the 1.125% Notes), these transactions are intended to offset cash payments due upon any conversion of the 1.125% Notes. We used \$149.3 million of the proceeds from the settlement of the 1.125% Notes to pay for the 1.125% Call Option, and simultaneously received \$75.1 million for the sale of the 1.125% Warrants, for a net cash outlay of \$74.2 million for the Call



Table of Contents

Spread Overlay. The 1.125% Call Option and 1.125% Warrants are derivative financial instruments; refer to Note 11 of the accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion.

Aside from the initial payment of a premium to the counterparties of \$149.3 million for the 1.125% Call Option, we will not be required to make any cash payments to the counterparties under the 1.125% Call Option, and will be entitled to receive from the counterparties an amount of cash, generally equal to the amount by which the market price per share of common stock exceeds the strike price of the 1.125% Call Options during the relevant valuation period. The strike price under the 1.125% Call Option is initially equal to the conversion price of the 1.125% Notes. Additionally, if the market value per share of our common stock exceeds the strike price of the 1.125% Warrants on any trading day during the 160 trading day measurement period under the 1.125% Warrants, we will be obligated to issue to the counterparties a number of shares equal in value to the product of the amount by which such market value exceeds such strike price and 1/160th of the aggregate number of shares of our common stock underlying the 1.125% Warrants transactions and the additional 1.125% Warrants transactions, subject to a share delivery cap. We will not receive any additional proceeds if the 1.125% Warrants are exercised. Pursuant to the 1.125% Warrants transactions, we issued 13,490,236 warrants with strike price of \$53.8475 per share. The number of warrants and the strike price are subject to adjustment under certain circumstances. On April 22, 2013, in order to clarify certain accounting matters with respect to the 1.125% Warrants, we entered into amended and restated warrant confirmations with JPMorgan Chase Bank and Bank of America, copies of which are filed as Exhibits 10.1 through 10.4 to this Quarterly Report.

3.75% Convertible Senior Notes due 2014

We had \$187.0 million of 3.75% Convertible Senior Notes due 2014 (the 3.75% Notes) outstanding as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. The 3.75% Notes rank equally in right of payment with our existing and future senior indebtedness. The 3.75% Notes are convertible into cash and, under certain circumstances, shares of our common stock. The initial conversion rate is 31.9601 shares of our common stock per one thousand dollar principal amount of the 3.75% Notes. This represents an initial conversion price of approximately \$31.29 per share of our common stock. In addition, if certain corporate transactions that constitute a change of control occur prior to maturity, we will increase the conversion rate in certain circumstances.

Because the 3.75% Notes have cash settlement features, accounting guidance required us to allocate the proceeds from their issuance between a liability component and an equity component. The reduced carrying value on the 3.75% Notes resulted in a debt discount that is amortized back to the 3.75% Notes' principal amount through the recognition of non-cash interest expense over the expected life of the debt. This has resulted in our recognition of interest expense on the 3.75% Notes at an effective rate approximating what we would have incurred had nonconvertible debt with otherwise similar terms had been issued. The effective interest rate of the 3.75% Notes is 7.5%, principally based on the seven-year U.S. Treasury note rate as of the October 2007 issuance date, plus an appropriate credit spread. As of March 31, 2013, we expect the 3.75% Notes to be outstanding until their October 1, 2014 maturity date, for a remaining amortization period of 18 months. The 3.75% Notes' if-converted value did not exceed their principal amount as of March 31, 2013. At March 31, 2013, the equity component of the 3.75% Notes, net of the impact of deferred taxes, was \$24.0 million.

Term Loan

In December 2011, our wholly owned subsidiary, Molina Center LLC, entered into a Term Loan Agreement with various lenders and East West Bank, as Administrative Agent (the Administrative Agent) to borrow \$48.6 million to finance a portion of the purchase price for the Molina Center, located in Long Beach, California.

The outstanding principal amount under the Term Loan Agreement bears interest at the Eurodollar rate for each Interest Period (as defined below). The Eurodollar rate is a per annum rate of interest equal to the greater of (a) the

rate that is published in the Wall Street Journal as the London interbank offered rate for deposits in United States dollars, for a period of one month, two business days prior to the commencement of an Interest Period, multiplied by a statutory reserve rate established by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, or (b) 4.25%. Interest Period means the period commencing on the first day of each calendar month and ending on the last day of each calendar month. The loan matures on November 30, 2018, and is subject to a 25-year amortization schedule that commenced on January 1, 2012.

The Term Loan Agreement contains customary representations, warranties, and financial covenants. In the event of a default as described in the Term Loan Agreement, the outstanding principal amount under the Term Loan Agreement will bear interest at a rate 5.00% per annum higher than the otherwise applicable rate. All amounts due under the Term Loan Agreement and related loan documents are secured by a security interest in the Molina Center in favor of and for the benefit of the Administrative Agent and the other lenders under the Term Loan Agreement.

## Table of Contents

### Credit Facility

On February 15, 2013, we used approximately \$40.0 million of the net proceeds from the offering of the 1.125% Notes to repay all of the outstanding indebtedness under our \$170 million revolving Credit Facility, with various lenders and U.S. Bank National Association, as Line of Credit Issuer, Swing Line Lender, and Administrative Agent. As of December 31, 2012, there was \$40.0 million outstanding under the Credit Facility.

We terminated the Credit Facility in connection with the closing of the offering and sale of the 1.125% Notes. Two letters of credit in the aggregate principal amount of \$10.3 million that reduced the amount available for borrowing under the Credit Facility as of December 31, 2012, were transferred to direct issue letters of credit with another financial institution.

### Shelf Registration Statement

In the second quarter of 2012, we filed a shelf registration statement on Form S-3 with the Securities and Exchange Commission covering the registration, issuance, and sale of an indeterminate amount of our securities, including common stock, preferred stock, senior or subordinated debt securities, or warrants. We may publicly offer securities from time to time at prices and terms to be determined at the time of the offering.

### Securities Repurchase Program

Effective as of February 13, 2013, our board of directors authorized the repurchase of \$75 million in the aggregate of either our common stock or our 3.75% Notes, in addition to the \$50.0 million of our common stock that we repurchased on February 12, 2013. The repurchase program extends through December 31, 2014.

### Contractual Obligations

A summary of future obligations under our various contractual obligations and commitments as of December 31, 2012, was disclosed in our 2012 Form 10-K. Other than the transactions relating to our February 2013 offering of the 1.125% Notes, there were no material changes to this previously filed information outside the ordinary course of business during the three months ended March 31, 2013. For further discussion and maturities of our long-term debt, see Note 10 of Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

### Critical Accounting Policies

When we prepare our consolidated financial statements, we use estimates and assumptions that may affect reported amounts and disclosures. Actual results could differ from these estimates. Our most significant accounting policies relate to:

- Health plan contractual provisions that may limit revenue based upon the costs incurred or the profits realized under a specific contract;
- Health plan quality incentives that allow us to recognize incremental revenue if certain quality standards are met;
- The recognition of revenue and costs associated with contracts held by our Molina Medicaid Solutions segment; and
- The determination of medical claims and benefits payable.

#### Premium Revenue – Health Plans Segment

Premium revenue is fixed in advance of the periods covered and, except as described below, is not generally subject to significant accounting estimates. Premium revenues are recognized in the month that members are entitled to receive health care services.

Certain components of premium revenue are subject to accounting estimates. The components of premium revenue subject to estimation fall into two categories:

- (1) Contractual provisions that may limit revenue based upon the costs incurred or the profits realized under a specific contract: These are contractual provisions that require the health plan to return premiums to the extent that certain thresholds are not met. In some instances premiums are returned when medical costs fall below a certain percentage of

gross premiums; or when administrative costs or profits exceed a certain percentage of gross premiums. In other instances, premiums are partially determined by the acuity of care provided to members (risk adjustment). To the extent that our expenses and profits change from the amounts previously reported (due to changes in estimates), our revenue earned for those periods will

Table of Contents

also change. In all of these instances, our revenue is only subject to estimate due to the fact that the thresholds themselves contain elements (expense or profit) that are subject to estimate. While we have adequate experience and data to make sound estimates of our expenses or profits, changes to those estimates may be necessary, which in turn would lead to changes in our estimates of revenue. In general, a change in estimate relating to expense or profit would offset any related change in estimate to premium, resulting in no or small impact to net income. The following contractual provisions fall into this category:

**California Health Plan Medical Cost Floors (Minimums):** A portion of certain premiums received by our California health plan may be returned to the state if certain minimum amounts are not spent on defined medical care costs. We recorded a liability under the terms of these contract provisions of \$0.3 million at both March 31, 2013, and December 31, 2012.

**Florida Health Plan Medical Cost Floor (Minimum) for Behavioral Health:** A portion of premiums received by our Florida health plan may be returned to the state if certain minimum amounts are not spent on defined behavioral health care costs. At both March 31, 2013, and December 31, 2012, we had not recorded any liability under the terms of this contract provision since behavioral health expenses are not less than the contractual floor.

**New Mexico Health Plan Medical Cost Floors (Minimums) and Administrative Cost and Profit Ceilings (Maximums):** Our contract with the state of New Mexico directs that a portion of premiums received may be returned to the state if certain minimum amounts are not spent on defined medical care costs, or if administrative costs or profit (as defined) exceed certain amounts. At both March 31, 2013, and December 31, 2012, we had not recorded any liability under the terms of these contract provisions.

**Texas Health Plan Profit Sharing:** Under our contract with the state of Texas, there is a profit-sharing agreement under which we pay a rebate to the state of Texas if our Texas health plan generates pretax income, as defined in the contract, above a certain specified percentage, as determined in accordance with a tiered rebate schedule. We are limited in the amount of administrative costs that we may deduct in calculating the rebate, if any. As a result of profits in excess of the amount we are allowed to fully retain, we had accrued an aggregate liability of approximately \$3.1 million and \$3.2 million pursuant to our profit-sharing agreement with the state of Texas at March 31, 2013, and December 31, 2012, respectively.

**Washington Health Plan Medical Cost Floors (Minimums):** A portion of certain premiums received by our Washington health plan may be returned to the state if certain minimum amounts are not spent on defined medical care costs. We recorded a liability under the terms of these contract provisions of approximately \$1.0 million at March 31, 2013. At December 31, 2012, we had not recorded any liability under the terms of this contract provision since medical expenses were not less than the contractual floor.

**Medicare Revenue Risk Adjustment:** Based on member encounter data that we submit to CMS, our Medicare premiums are subject to retroactive adjustment for both member risk scores and member pharmacy cost experience for up to two years after the original year of service. This adjustment takes into account the acuity of each member's medical needs relative to what was anticipated when premiums were originally set for that member. In the event that a member requires less acute medical care than was anticipated by the original premium amount, CMS may recover premium from us. In the event that a member requires more acute medical care than was anticipated by the original premium amount, CMS may pay us additional retroactive premium. A similar retroactive reconciliation is undertaken by CMS for our Medicare members' pharmacy utilization. We estimate the amount of Medicare revenue that will ultimately be realized for the periods presented based on our knowledge of our members' health care utilization patterns and CMS practices. Based on our knowledge of member health care utilization patterns and expenses we have recorded a net payable of approximately \$0.2 million as of March 31, 2013 and a net receivable of approximately \$0.3 million as of December 31, 2012 for anticipated Medicare risk adjustment premiums.

(2) **Quality incentives that allow us to recognize incremental revenue if certain quality standards are met:** These are contract provisions that allow us to earn additional premium revenue in certain states if we achieve certain quality-of-care or administrative measures. We estimate the amount of revenue that will ultimately be realized for the periods presented based on our experience and expertise in meeting the quality and administrative measures as well as our ongoing and current monitoring of our progress in meeting those measures. The amount of the revenue that we will realize under these contractual provisions is determinable based upon that experience. The following contractual

provisions fall into this category:

New Mexico Health Plan Quality Incentive Premiums: Under our contract with the state of New Mexico, incremental revenue of up to 0.75% of our total premium is earned if certain performance measures are met. These performance measures are generally linked to various quality-of-care and administrative measures dictated by the state.

Ohio Health Plan Quality Incentive Premiums: Under our contract with the state of Ohio, incremental revenue of up to 1% of our total premium is earned if certain performance measures are met. These performance measures are generally linked to various quality-of-care measures dictated by the state.

Table of Contents

Texas Health Plan Quality Incentive Premiums: Effective March 1, 2012, under our contract with the state of Texas, incremental revenue of up to 5% of our total premium is earned if certain performance measures are met. These performance measures are generally linked to various quality-of-care measures established by the state.

Wisconsin Health Plan Quality Incentive Premiums: Under our contract with the state of Wisconsin, incremental revenue of up to 3.25% of total premium may be earned if certain performance measures are met. These performance measures are generally linked to various quality-of-care measures dictated by the state.

The following table quantifies the quality incentive premium revenue recognized for the periods presented, including the amounts earned in the period presented and prior periods. Although the reasonably possible effects of a change in estimate related to quality incentive premium revenue as of March 31, 2013 are not known, we have no reason to believe that the adjustments to prior years noted below are not indicative of the potential future changes in our estimates as of March 31, 2013.

|            | Three Months Ended March 31, 2013   |  |  |  |                          |
|------------|---|--|--|--|--------------------------|
|            | Maximum Available Quality Incentive Premium - Current Year (In thousands) | Amount of Quality Incentive Premium Revenue Recognized | Amount of Quality Incentive Premium Revenue Recognized from Prior Year | Total Quality Incentive Premium Revenue Recognized | Total Revenue Recognized |
| New Mexico | \$585   | \$ 332   | \$ 108   | \$ 440   | \$85,798                 |
| Ohio       | 3,005   | 1,052  | —  | 1,052  | 291,518                  |
| Texas      | 16,264  | 13,512   | 5,995  | 19,507   | 335,296                  |
| Wisconsin  | 761   | —  | 609  | 609  | 27,124                   |
|            | \$20,615  | \$ 14,896  | \$ 6,712   | \$ 21,608  | \$739,736                |

|            | Three Months Ended March 31, 2012   |  |  |  |                          |
|------------|---|--|--|--|--------------------------|
|            | Maximum Available Quality Incentive Premium - Current Year (In thousands) | Amount of Quality Incentive Premium Revenue Recognized | Amount of Quality Incentive Premium Revenue Recognized from Prior Year | Total Quality Incentive Premium Revenue Recognized | Total Revenue Recognized |
| New Mexico | \$555   | \$ 336   | \$ 28  | \$ 364   | \$81,226                 |
| Ohio       | 2,678   | 2,678  | 966  | 3,644  | 293,525                  |
| Texas      | 5,750   | 5,750  | —  | 5,750  | 198,236                  |
| Wisconsin  | 416   | —  | —  | —  | 17,142                   |
|            | \$9,399   | \$ 8,764   | \$ 994   | \$ 9,758   | \$590,129                |

#### Service Revenue and Cost of Service Revenue — Molina Medicaid Solutions Segment

The payments received by our Molina Medicaid Solutions segment under its state contracts are based on the performance of multiple services. The first of these is the design, development and implementation (DDI) of a Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS). An additional service, following completion of DDI, is the operation of the MMIS under a business process outsourcing (BPO) arrangement. While providing BPO services (which include claims payment and eligibility processing), we also provide the state with other services including both hosting and support and maintenance. Our Molina Medicaid Solutions contracts may extend over a number of years, particularly in circumstances where we are delivering extensive and complex DDI services, such as the initial design, development and implementation of a complete MMIS. For example, the terms of our most recently implemented Molina Medicaid Solutions contracts (in Idaho and Maine) were each seven years in total, consisting of two years allocated for the delivery of DDI services, followed by five years for the performance of BPO services. We receive

progress payments from the state during the performance of DDI services based upon the attainment of predetermined milestones. We receive a flat monthly payment for BPO services under our Idaho and Maine contracts. The terms of our other Molina Medicaid Solutions contracts – which primarily involve the delivery of BPO services with only minimal DDI activity (consisting of system enhancements) – are shorter in duration than our Idaho and Maine contracts.

We have evaluated our Molina Medicaid Solutions contracts to determine if such arrangements include a software element. Based on this evaluation, we have concluded that these arrangements do not include a software element. As such, we have concluded that our Molina Medicaid Solutions contracts are multiple-element service arrangements.



Table of Contents

Additionally, we evaluate each required deliverable under our multiple-element service arrangements to determine whether it qualifies as a separate unit of accounting. Such evaluation is generally based on whether the deliverable has standalone value to the customer. The arrangement's consideration that is fixed or determinable is then allocated to each separate unit of accounting based on the relative selling price of each deliverable. In general, the consideration allocated to each unit of accounting is recognized as the related goods or services are delivered, limited to the consideration that is not contingent.

We have concluded that the various service elements in our Molina Medicaid Solutions contracts represent a single unit of accounting due to the fact that DDI, which is the only service performed in advance of the other services (all other services are performed over an identical period), does not have standalone value because our DDI services are not sold separately by any vendor and the customer could not resell our DDI services. Further, we have no objective and reliable evidence of fair value for any of the individual elements in these contracts, and at no point in the contract will we have objective and reliable evidence of fair value for the undelivered elements in the contracts. We lack objective and reliable evidence of the fair value of the individual elements of our Molina Medicaid Solutions contracts for the following reasons:

- Each contract calls for the provision of its own specific set of services. While all contracts support the system of record for state MMIS, the actual services we provide vary significantly between contracts; and

- The nature of the MMIS installed varies significantly between our older contracts (proprietary mainframe systems) and our new contracts (commercial off-the-shelf technology solutions).

Because we have determined the services provided under our Molina Medicaid Solutions contracts represent a single unit of accounting and because we are unable to determine a pattern of performance of services during the contract period, we recognize all revenue (both the DDI and BPO elements) associated with such contracts on a straight-line basis over the period during which BPO, hosting, and support and maintenance services are delivered. As noted above, the period of performance of BPO services under our Idaho and Maine contracts is five years. Therefore, absent any contingencies as discussed in the following paragraph, we would recognize all revenue associated with those contracts over a period of five years. In cases where there is no DDI element associated with our contracts, BPO revenue is recognized on a monthly basis as specified in the applicable contract or contract extension.

Provisions specific to each contract may, however, lead us to modify this general principle. In those circumstances, the right of the state to refuse acceptance of services, as well as the related obligation to compensate us, may require us to delay recognition of all or part of our revenue until that contingency (the right of the state to refuse acceptance) has been removed. In those circumstances we defer recognition of any contingent revenue (whether DDI, BPO services, hosting, and support and maintenance services) until the contingency has been removed. These types of contingency features are present in our Maine and Idaho contracts. In those states, we deferred recognition of revenue until the contingencies were removed.

Costs associated with our Molina Medicaid Solutions contracts include software related costs and other costs. With respect to software related costs, we apply the guidance for internal-use software and capitalize external direct costs of materials and services consumed in developing or obtaining the software, and payroll and payroll-related costs associated with employees who are directly associated with and who devote time to the computer software project. With respect to all other direct costs, such costs are expensed as incurred, unless corresponding revenue is being deferred. If revenue is being deferred, direct costs relating to delivered service elements are deferred as well and are recognized on a straight-line basis over the period of revenue recognition, in a manner consistent with our recognition of revenue that has been deferred. Such direct costs can include:

- Transaction processing costs

- Employee costs incurred in performing transaction services

- Vendor costs incurred in performing transaction services

- Costs incurred in performing required monitoring of and reporting on contract performance

- Costs incurred in maintaining and processing member and provider eligibility

- Costs incurred in communicating with members and providers

The recoverability of deferred contract costs associated with a particular contract is analyzed on a periodic basis using the undiscounted estimated cash flows of the whole contract over its remaining contract term. If such undiscounted

cash flows are insufficient to recover the long-lived assets and deferred contract costs, the deferred contract costs are written down by the amount of the cash flow deficiency. If a cash flow deficiency remains after reducing the balance of the deferred contract costs

Table of Contents

to zero, any remaining long-lived assets are evaluated for impairment. Any such impairment recognized would equal the amount by which the carrying value of the long-lived assets exceeds the fair value of those assets

## Medical Claims and Benefits Payable — Health Plans Segment

The following table provides the details of our medical claims and benefits payable as of the dates indicated:

|   | March 31,<br>2013 | December 31,<br>2012 | March 31,<br>2012 |
|---|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
|   | (In thousands)    |                      |                   |
| Fee-for-service claims incurred but not paid (IBNP) | \$378,926         | \$377,614            | \$347,307         |
| Capitation payable                                  | 45,048            | 49,066               | 37,289            |
| Pharmacy  | 39,495            | 38,992               | 38,443            |
| Other   | 27,676            | 28,858               | 32,794            |
|   | \$491,145         | \$494,530            | \$455,833         |

The determination of our liability for claims and medical benefits payable is particularly important to the determination of our financial position and results of operations in any given period. Such determination of our liability requires the application of a significant degree of judgment by our management.

As a result, the determination of our liability for claims and medical benefits payable is subject to an inherent degree of uncertainty. Our medical care costs include amounts that have been paid by us through the reporting date, as well as estimated liabilities for medical care costs incurred but not paid by us as of the reporting date. Such medical care cost liabilities include, among other items, unpaid fee-for-service claims, capitation payments owed providers, unpaid pharmacy invoices, and various medically related administrative costs that have been incurred but not paid. We use judgment to determine the appropriate assumptions for determining the required estimates.

The most important element in estimating our medical care costs is our estimate for fee-for-service claims which have been incurred but not paid by us. These fee-for-service costs that have been incurred but have not been paid at the reporting date are collectively referred to as medical costs that are “Incurred But Not Paid,” or IBNP. Our IBNP, as reported on our balance sheet, represents our best estimate of the total amount of claims we will ultimately pay with respect to claims that we have incurred as of the balance sheet date. We estimate our IBNP monthly using actuarial methods based on a number of factors. As indicated in the table above, our estimated IBNP liability represented \$378.9 million of our total medical claims and benefits payable of \$491.1 million as of March 31, 2013. Excluding amounts that we anticipate paying on behalf of a capitated provider in Ohio (which we will subsequently withhold from that provider’s monthly capitation payment), our IBNP liability at March 31, 2013, was \$372.0 million.

The factors we consider when estimating our IBNP include, without limitation, claims receipt and payment experience (and variations in that experience), changes in membership, provider billing practices, health care service utilization trends, cost trends, product mix, seasonality, prior authorization of medical services, benefit changes, known outbreaks of disease or increased incidence of illness such as influenza, provider contract changes, changes to Medicaid fee schedules, and the incidence of high dollar or catastrophic claims. Our assessment of these factors is then translated into an estimate of our IBNP liability at the relevant measuring point through the calculation of a base estimate of IBNP, a further reserve for adverse claims development, and an estimate of the administrative costs of settling all claims incurred through the reporting date. The base estimate of IBNP is derived through application of claims payment completion factors and trended PMPM cost estimates.

For the fifth month of service prior to the reporting date and earlier, we estimate our outstanding claims liability based on actual claims paid, adjusted for estimated completion factors. Completion factors seek to measure the cumulative percentage of claims expense that will have been paid for a given month of service as of the reporting date, based on historical payment patterns.

The following table reflects the change in our estimate of claims liability as of March 31, 2013 that would have resulted had we changed our completion factors for the fifth through the twelfth months preceding March 31, 2013, by the percentages indicated. A reduction in the completion factor results in an increase in medical claims liabilities.

Dollar amounts are in thousands.



Table of Contents

| (Decrease) Increase in Estimated Completion Factors | Increase (Decrease) in Medical Claims and Benefits Payable |
|---|--|
| (6)%  | \$ 156,550   |
| (4)%  | 104,366  |
| (2)%  | 52,183   |
| 2%  | (52,183 )  |
| 4%  | (104,366 )   |
| 6%  | (156,550 )   |

For the four months of service immediately prior to the reporting date, actual claims paid are not a reliable measure of our ultimate liability, given the inherent delay between the patient/physician encounter and the actual submission of a claim for payment. For these months of service, we estimate our claims liability based on trended PMPM cost estimates. These estimates are designed to reflect recent trends in payments and expense, utilization patterns, authorized services, and other relevant factors. The following table reflects the change in our estimate of claims liability as of March 31, 2013 that would have resulted had we altered our trend factors by the percentages indicated. An increase in the PMPM costs results in an increase in medical claims liabilities. Dollar amounts are in thousands.

| (Decrease) Increase in Trended Per member Per Month Cost Estimates | Increase (Decrease) in Medical Claims and Benefits Payable |
|--|--|
| (6)%   | \$(76,431 )  |
| (4)%   | (50,954 )  |
| (2)%   | (25,477 )  |
| 2%   | 25,477   |
| 4%   | 50,954   |
| 6%   | 76,431   |

The following per-share amounts are based on a combined federal and state statutory tax rate of 37.5%, and 46.4 million diluted shares outstanding for the three months ended March 31, 2013. Assuming a hypothetical 1% change in completion factors from those used in our calculation of IBNP at March 31, 2013, net income for the three months ended March 31, 2013 would increase or decrease by approximately \$16.3 million, or \$0.35 per diluted share. Assuming a hypothetical 1% change in PMPM cost estimates from those used in our calculation of IBNP at March 31, 2013, net income for the three months ended March 31, 2013 would increase or decrease by approximately \$8.0 million, or \$0.17 per diluted share. The corresponding figures for a 5% change in completion factors and PMPM cost estimates would be \$81.5 million, or \$1.76 per diluted share, and \$39.8 million, or \$0.86 per diluted share, respectively.

It is important to note that any change in the estimate of either completion factors or trended PMPM costs would usually be accompanied by a change in the estimate of the other component, and that a change in one component would almost always compound rather than offset the resulting distortion to net income. When completion factors are overestimated, trended PMPM costs tend to be underestimated. Both circumstances will create an overstatement of net income. Likewise, when completion factors are underestimated, trended PMPM costs tend to be overestimated, creating an understatement of net income. In other words, errors in estimates involving both completion factors and trended PMPM costs will usually act to drive estimates of claims liabilities and medical care costs in the same direction. If completion factors were overestimated by 1%, resulting in an overstatement of net income by approximately \$16.3 million, it is likely that trended PMPM costs would be underestimated, resulting in an additional overstatement of net income.

After we have established our base IBNP reserve through the application of completion factors and trended PMPM cost estimates, we then compute an additional liability, once again using actuarial techniques, to account for adverse developments in our claims payments which the base actuarial model is not intended to and does not account for. We

refer to this additional liability as the provision for adverse claims development. The provision for adverse claims development is a component of our overall determination of the adequacy of our IBNP. It is intended to capture the potential inadequacy of our IBNP estimate as a result of our inability to adequately assess the impact of factors such as changes in the speed of claims receipt and payment, the relative magnitude or severity of claims, known outbreaks of disease such as influenza, our entry into new geographical markets, our provision of services to new populations such as the aged, blind or disabled (ABD), changes to state-controlled fee

Table of Contents

schedules upon which a large proportion of our provider payments are based, modifications and upgrades to our claims processing systems and practices, and increasing medical costs. Because of the complexity of our business, the number of states in which we operate, and the need to account for different health care benefit packages among those states, we make an overall assessment of IBNP after considering the base actuarial model reserves and the provision for adverse claims development. We also include in our IBNP liability an estimate of the administrative costs of settling all claims incurred through the reporting date. The development of IBNP is a continuous process that we monitor and refine on a monthly basis as additional claims payment information becomes available. As additional information becomes known to us, we adjust our actuarial model accordingly to establish IBNP.

On a monthly basis, we review and update our estimated IBNP and the methods used to determine that liability. Any adjustments, if appropriate, are reflected in the period known. While we believe our current estimates are adequate, we have in the past been required to increase significantly our claims reserves for periods previously reported, and may be required to do so again in the future. Any significant increases to prior period claims reserves would materially decrease reported earnings for the period in which the adjustment is made.

In our judgment, the estimates for completion factors will likely prove to be more accurate than trended PMPM cost estimates because estimated completion factors are subject to fewer variables in their determination. Specifically, completion factors are developed over long periods of time, and are most likely to be affected by changes in claims receipt and payment experience and by provider billing practices. Trended PMPM cost estimates, while affected by the same factors, will also be influenced by health care service utilization trends, cost trends, product mix, seasonality, prior authorization of medical services, benefit changes, outbreaks of disease or increased incidence of illness, provider contract changes, changes to Medicaid fee schedules, and the incidence of high dollar or catastrophic claims. As discussed above, however, errors in estimates involving trended PMPM costs will almost always be accompanied by errors in estimates involving completion factors, and vice versa. In such circumstances, errors in estimation involving both completion factors and trended PMPM costs will act to drive estimates of claims liabilities (and therefore medical care costs) in the same direction.

Assuming that our initial estimate of claims incurred but not paid (IBNP) is accurate, we believe that amounts ultimately paid out would generally be between 8% and 10% less than the liability recorded at the end of the period as a result of the inclusion in that liability of the allowance for adverse claims development and the accrued cost of settling those claims. Because the amount of our initial liability is merely an estimate (and therefore not perfectly accurate), we will always experience variability in that estimate as new information becomes available with the passage of time. Therefore, there can be no assurance that amounts ultimately paid out will not be higher or lower than this 8% to 10% range. For example, for the three months ended March 31, 2012, the amounts ultimately paid out were less than the amount of the reserves we had established as of December 31, 2011 by 9.1%. Furthermore, because our initial estimate of IBNP is derived from many factors, some of which are qualitative in nature rather than quantitative, we are seldom able to assign specific values to the reasons for a change in estimate - we only know when the circumstances for any one or more factors are out of the ordinary.

As shown in greater detail in the table below, the amounts ultimately paid out on our liabilities in fiscal years 2013 and 2012 were less than what we had expected when we had established our reserves. While many related factors working in conjunction with one another determine the accuracy of our estimates, we are seldom able to quantify the impact that any single factor has on a change in estimate. In addition, given the variability inherent in the reserving process, we will only be able to identify specific factors if they represent a significant departure from expectations. As a result, we do not expect to be able to fully quantify the impact of individual factors on changes in estimates.

We recognized favorable prior period claims development in the amount of \$58.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013. This amount represents our estimate as of March 31, 2013 of the extent to which our initial estimate of medical claims and benefits payable at December 31, 2012 was more than the amount that will ultimately be paid out in satisfaction of that liability. We believe the overestimation of our claims liability at December 31, 2012 was due primarily to the following factors:

At our Texas health plan, we saw a reduction in STAR+PLUS (the state's program for aged and disabled members) membership during mid to late 2012. This caused a reduction in costs per member that we did not fully recognize in our December 31, 2012 reserve estimates.

At our Washington health plan, prior to July 2012, certain high-cost newborns that were approved for SSI coverage by the state were retroactively dis-enrolled from our Healthy Options (TANF) coverage, and the health plan was reimbursed for the claims paid on behalf of these members. Starting July 1, 2012, these newborns, as well as other high-cost disabled members, are now covered by the health plan under the Health Options Blind and Disabled (HOBD) program. At the end of 2012, we did not have enough claims history to accurately estimate the claims liability of the HOBD members, and as a result the liability for these high-cost members was overstated.



Table of Contents

For our New Mexico health plan, we overestimated the impact of certain high-dollar outstanding claim payments as of December 31, 2012.

We recognized favorable prior period claims development in the amount of \$36.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012. This amount represents our estimate as of December 31, 2012 of the extent to which our initial estimate of medical claims and benefits payable at December 31, 2011 was more than the amount that will ultimately be paid out in satisfaction of that liability. We believe the overestimation of our claims liability at December 31, 2011 was due primarily to the following factors:

- At our Washington health plan, we underestimated the amount of recoveries we would collect for certain high-cost newborn claims, resulting in an overestimation of reserves at year end.

At our Texas health plan, we overestimated the cost of new members in STAR+PLUS (the name of our ABD program in Texas), in the Dallas region.

In early 2011, the state of Michigan was delayed in the enrollment of newborns in managed care plans; the delay was resolved by mid-2011. This caused a large number of claims with older dates of service to be paid during late 2011, resulting in an artificial increase in the lag time for claims payment at our Michigan health plan. We adjusted reserves downward for this issue at December 31, 2011, but the adjustment did not capture all of the claims overestimation.

The overestimation of our liability for medical claims and benefits payable was partially offset by an underestimation of that liability at our Missouri health plan, as a result of the costs associated with an unusually large number of premature infants during the fourth quarter of 2011.

In estimating our claims liability at March 31, 2013, we adjusted our base calculation to take account of the following factors which we believe are reasonably likely to change our final claims liability amount:

In our Texas health plan, although the reduction in STAR+PLUS membership has leveled off in 2013, we have seen a reduction in per member per month (PMPM) cost for outpatient services and an increase in PMPM costs for inpatient services over the past six to nine months. We have estimated the impact of these shifts in cost in our March 31, 2013 liability.

Our Wisconsin health plan is experiencing significant membership increases, and is expected to approximately double in size during the first four months of 2013. This new membership is transitioning to our health plan from a terminated health plan. We enrolled approximately 40,000 new members in February and March 2013. We have computed a separate reserve analysis for these members and have noted that paid claims are less than what we would expect for newly transitioned members. Therefore, we have increased the reserves for this membership, in anticipation of higher claims costs later than usual for these members.

Our Washington health plan began covering disabled members, including newborns, that were previously covered by the state under a separate state program. Coverage for this segment began in July of 2012, with gradual growth in membership. As of March 2013 the health plan covered approximately 28,000 members under this program. Because of the high costs for these members and the relative newness of the product category, there is still some uncertainty about the cost and reserve liability for these members as of March 31, 2013.

The use of a consistent methodology in estimating our liability for claims and medical benefits payable minimizes the degree to which the under- or overestimation of that liability at the close of one period may affect consolidated results of operations in subsequent periods. In particular, the use of a consistent methodology should result in the replenishment of reserves during any given period in a manner that generally offsets the benefit of favorable prior period development in that period. Facts and circumstances unique to the estimation process at any single date, however, may still lead to a material impact on consolidated results of operations in subsequent periods. Any absence of adverse claims development (as well as the expensing through general and administrative expense of the costs to settle claims held at the start of the period) will lead to the recognition of a benefit from prior period claims development in the period subsequent to the date of the original estimate. In 2012 and for the three months ended March 31, 2013, the absence of adverse development of the liability for claims and medical benefits payable at the close of the previous period resulted in the recognition of substantial favorable prior period development. In both years, however, the recognition of a benefit from prior period claims development did not have a material impact on our consolidated results of operations because the replenishment of reserves in the respective periods generally offset the benefit from the prior period.



Table of Contents

The following table presents the components of the change in our medical claims and benefits payable for the periods indicated. The amounts displayed for “Components of medical care costs related to: Prior periods” represent the amount by which our original estimate of claims and benefits payable at the beginning of the period were (more) or less than the actual amount of the liability based on information (principally the payment of claims) developed since that liability was first reported.

|   | Three Months Ended March 31,                      |             | Year Ended           |
|---|---|-------------|----------------------|
|   | 2013  | 2012        | December 31,<br>2012 |
|   | (Dollars in thousands, except per-member amounts) |             |                      |
| Balances at beginning of period                                   | \$494,530   | \$402,476   | \$402,476            |
| Components of medical care costs related to:                      |   |             |                      |
| Current period  | 1,347,181   | 1,167,580   | 5,136,055            |
| Prior periods   | (58,427 )   | (36,592 )   | (39,295 )            |
| Total medical care costs  | 1,288,754   | 1,130,988   | 5,096,760            |
| Payments for medical care costs related to:                       |   |             |                      |
| Current period  | 916,426   | 750,994     | 4,649,363            |
| Prior periods   | 375,713   | 326,637     | 355,343              |
| Total paid  | 1,292,139   | 1,077,631   | 5,004,706            |
| Balances at end of period   | \$491,145   | \$455,833   | \$494,530            |
| Benefit from prior period as a percentage of:                     |   |             |                      |
| Balance at beginning of period                                    | 11.8  | % 9.1       | % 9.8                |
| Premium revenue   | 3.8   | % 2.8       | % 0.7                |
| Total medical care costs  | 4.5   | % 3.2       | % 0.8                |
| Claims Data:  |   |             |                      |
| Days in claims payable, fee for service                           | 38  | 44          | 40                   |
| Number of members at end of period                                | 1,820,000   | 1,825,000   | 1,797,000            |
| Number of claims in inventory at end of period                    | 135,400   | 260,800     | 122,700              |
| Billed charges of claims in inventory at end of period            | \$236,700   | \$403,800   | \$255,200            |
| Claims in inventory per member at end of period                   | 0.07  | 0.14        | 0.07                 |
| Billed charges of claims in inventory per member at end of period | \$130.05  | \$221.26    | \$142.01             |
| Number of claims received during the period                       | 5,271,000   | 4,855,600   | 20,842,400           |
| Billed charges of claims received during the period               | \$5,170,700                                       | \$4,337,000 | \$19,429,300         |

**Compliance Costs**

Our health plans are regulated by both state and federal government agencies. Regulation of managed care products and health care services is an evolving area of law that varies from jurisdiction to jurisdiction. Regulatory agencies generally have discretion to issue regulations and interpret and enforce laws and rules. Changes in applicable laws and rules occur frequently. Compliance with such laws and rules may lead to additional costs related to the implementation of additional systems, procedures and programs that we have not yet identified.

**Inflation**

We use various strategies to mitigate the negative effects of health care cost inflation. Specifically, our health plans try to control medical and hospital costs through contracts with independent providers of health care services. Through these contracted providers, our health plans emphasize preventive health care and appropriate use of specialty and hospital services. There can be no assurance, however, that our strategies to mitigate health care cost inflation will be successful. Competitive pressures, new health care and pharmaceutical product introductions, demands from health care providers and customers, applicable regulations, or other factors may affect our ability to control health care costs.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

57

---

## Table of Contents

### Concentrations of Credit Risk

Financial instruments that potentially subject us to concentrations of credit risk consist primarily of cash and cash equivalents, investments, receivables, and restricted investments. We invest a substantial portion of our cash in the PFM Fund Prime Series — Institutional Class, and the PFM Fund Government Series. These funds represent a portfolio of highly liquid money market securities that are managed by PFM Asset Management LLC (PFM), a Virginia business trust registered as an open-end management investment fund. Our investments and a portion of our cash equivalents are managed by professional portfolio managers operating under documented investment guidelines. No investment that is in a loss position can be sold by our managers without our prior approval. Our investments consist solely of investment grade debt securities with a maximum maturity of five years and an average duration of two years or less. Restricted investments are invested principally in certificates of deposit and U.S. treasury securities.

Concentration of credit risk with respect to accounts receivable is limited due to payors consisting principally of the governments of each state in which our Health Plans segment and our Molina Medicaid Solutions segment operate. We are also exposed to interest rate risk relating to contractual variable interest rates under our Term Loan Agreement which matures on November 30, 2018. We manage this floating rate debt using an interest rate swap agreement that we expect will reduce our exposure to the impact of changing interest rates to our consolidated results of operations and future outflows for interest. The interest rate swap is not designated as a hedging instrument.

### Item 4. Controls and Procedures

**Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures:** Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, has concluded, based upon its evaluation as of the end of the period covered by this report, that the Company's "disclosure controls and procedures" (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act")) are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms.

**Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting:** There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting during the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2013 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

## PART II — OTHER INFORMATION

### Item 1. Legal Proceedings

The health care and business process outsourcing industries are subject to numerous laws and regulations of federal, state, and local governments. Compliance with these laws and regulations can be subject to government review and interpretation, as well as regulatory actions unknown and unasserted at this time. Penalties associated with violations of these laws and regulations include significant fines and penalties, exclusion from participating in publicly funded programs, and the repayment of previously billed and collected revenues.

We are involved in legal actions in the ordinary course of business, some of which seek monetary damages, including claims for punitive damages, which are not covered by insurance. We have accrued liabilities for certain matters for which we deem the loss to be both probable and estimable. Although we believe that our estimates of such losses are reasonable, these estimates could change as a result of further developments of these matters. The outcome of legal actions is inherently uncertain and such pending matters for which accruals have not been established have not progressed sufficiently through discovery and/or development of important factual information and legal issues to enable us to estimate a range of possible loss, if any. While it is not possible to accurately predict or determine the eventual outcomes of these items, an adverse determination in one or more of these pending matters could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

### Item 1A. Risk Factors

Certain risk factors may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, cash flows, or results of operations, and you should carefully consider them. In addition to the other information set forth in this report, you should carefully consider the risk factors discussed in Part I, Item 1A — Risk Factors, in our Annual Report on Form

10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. The risk factors described herein and in our 2012 Form 10-K are not the only risks facing our Company. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, cash flows, or results of operations.

Table of Contents

There have been no material changes to the risk factors disclosed in our 2012 Form 10-K.

## Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

## Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Common Stock Repurchase in Connection with Offering of 1.125% Cash Convertible Senior Notes Due 2020. We used a portion of the net proceeds in this offering to repurchase \$50.0 million of our common stock in negotiated transactions with institutional investors in the offering, concurrently with the pricing of the offering. On February 12, 2013, we repurchased a total of 1,624,959 shares at \$30.77 per share, which was our closing stock price on that date. Securities Repurchase Program. Effective as of February 13, 2013, our board of directors authorized the repurchase of \$75 million in aggregate of either our common stock or the 3.75% Notes, in addition to the \$50.0 million common stock repurchase discussed above. The repurchase program extends through December 31, 2014.

Purchases of common stock made by or on our behalf during the quarter ended March 31, 2013, including shares withheld by us to satisfy our employees' income tax obligations, are set forth below:

|                        | Total Number<br>of<br>Shares<br>Purchased (a) | Average Price Paid<br>per Share | Total Number of Shares<br>Purchased as Part of<br>Publicly Announced Plans<br>or Programs | Maximum Number (or<br>Approximate Dollar Value)<br>of Shares that May Yet<br>Be<br>Purchased Under the<br>Plans<br>or Programs |
|------------------------|---|---------------------------------|---|--|
| January 1—January 31   | 3,445   | \$ 27.06                        | —   | \$ 75,000,000  |
| February 1—February 28 | 1,626,960                                     | \$ 30.77                        | —   | \$ 75,000,000  |
| March 1—March 31       | 140,641                                       | \$ 31.95                        | —   | \$ 75,000,000  |
| Total                  | 1,771,046                                     | \$ 30.85                        | —   |  |

(a) During the three months ended March 31, 2013, we withheld 146,087 shares of common stock under our 2002 Equity Incentive Plan and 2011 Equity Incentive Plan to settle our employees' income tax obligations.

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits

| Exhibit No. | Title   |
|-------------|---|
| 10.1        | Amended and Restated Base Warrants Confirmation, dated as of April 22, 2013, between Molina Healthcare, Inc. and JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association, London Branch.            |
| 10.2        | Amended and Restated Base Warrants Confirmation, dated as of April 22, 2013, between Molina Healthcare, Inc. and Bank of America, N.A.  |
| 10.3        | Additional Amended and Restated Base Warrants Confirmation, dated as of April 22, 2013, between Molina Healthcare, Inc. and JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association, London Branch. |
| 10.4        | Additional Amended and Restated Base Warrants Confirmation, dated as of April 22, 2013, between Molina Healthcare, Inc. and Bank of America, N.A.                                     |
| 31.1        | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rules 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.   |
| 31.2        | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rules 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.   |
| 32.1        | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.                                    |
| 32.2        | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.                                    |
| 101.INS (1) | XBRL Taxonomy Instance Document.  |
| 101.SCH (1) | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document.  |
| 101.CAL (1) | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document.  |
| 101.DEF (1) | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document.   |
| 101.LAB (1) | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document.  |
| 101.PRE (1) | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document.   |

Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language) information is furnished and not filed or a part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, is deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.



Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

MOLINA HEALTHCARE, INC.  
(Registrant)

Dated: May 2, 2013

/s/ JOSEPH M. MOLINA, M.D.  
Joseph M. Molina, M.D.  
Chairman of the Board,  
Chief Executive Officer and President  
(Principal Executive Officer)

Dated: May 2, 2013

/s/ JOHN C. MOLINA, J.D.  
John C. Molina, J.D.  
Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer  
(Principal Financial Officer)

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

| Exhibit No. | Title   |
|-------------|---|
| 10.1        | Amended and Restated Base Warrants Confirmation, dated as of April 22, 2013, between Molina Healthcare, Inc. and JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association, London Branch.            |
| 10.2        | Amended and Restated Base Warrants Confirmation, dated as of April 22, 2013, between Molina Healthcare, Inc. and Bank of America, N.A.  |
| 10.3        | Additional Amended and Restated Base Warrants Confirmation, dated as of April 22, 2013, between Molina Healthcare, Inc. and JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association, London Branch. |
| 10.4        | Additional Amended and Restated Base Warrants Confirmation, dated as of April 22, 2013, between Molina Healthcare, Inc. and Bank of America, N.A.                                     |
| 31.1        | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rules 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.   |
| 31.2        | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rules 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.   |
| 32.1        | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.                                    |
| 32.2        | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.                                    |
| 101.INS (1) | XBRL Taxonomy Instance Document.  |
| 101.SCH (1) | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document.  |
| 101.CAL (1) | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document.  |
| 101.DEF (1) | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document.   |
| 101.LAB (1) | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document.  |
| 101.PRE (1) | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document.   |

Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language) information is furnished and not filed or a part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, is deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.