

INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHNOLOGY
Form 10-Q
August 12, 2010

United States
Securities and Exchange Commission
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
ACT OF 1934

For the Quarterly Period Ended July 3, 2010

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from ____ to ____

Commission File Number 001-10684

International Game Technology

Nevada
(State or other jurisdiction of Incorporation or
Organization)

88-0173041
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

9295 Prototype Drive

Reno, Nevada 89521
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)(Zip Code)

(775) 448-7777
(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days:

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer,

Edgar Filing: INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHNOLOGY - Form 10-Q

or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of “large accelerated filer,” “accelerated filer,” and “smaller reporting company” in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act:

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

At August 9, 2010, there were 298.1 million shares of our \$.00015625 par value common stock outstanding.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

GLOSSARY OF TERMS AND ABBREVIATIONS (as used in this document)	iii
PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION	1
Item 1. Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	1
CONSOLIDATED INCOME STATEMENTS	1
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS	2
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS	3
NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	5
Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	29
FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS	29
OVERVIEW	30
RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING STANDARDS	31
CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES	31
CONSOLIDATED OPERATING RESULTS – A Year Over Year Comparative Analysis	32
BUSINESS SEGMENT RESULTS – A Year Over Year Comparative Analysis	37
LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES	39
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	43
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	43
PART II – OTHER INFORMATION	44
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	44
Item 1A. Risk Factors	44
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	49
Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities	49
Item 4. (Removed and Reserved)	49
Item 5. Other Information	49
Item 6. Exhibits	50

GLOSSARY OF TERMS AND ABBREVIATIONS (as used in this document)

Fiscal dates as presented:

June 30, 2010

June 30, 2009

September 30, 2009

Fiscal dates -- actual:

July 3, 2010

July 4, 2009

October 3, 2009

Abbreviation/term as presented

Anchor

ARS

ASU

AVP®

5.5% Bonds

7.5% Bonds

bps

CAD

CCSC

CDS

CEO

CFO

CLS

DCF

Debentures

EBITDA

EPA

EPS

ERISA

FASB

GAAP

ICR

IGT, we, our, the Company

IP

IRS

LIBOR

MBE

MDA

Notes

OSHA

pp

SEC

SIP

TLR

TPE

UK

US

VIE

VSOE

WAP

*

Definition

Anchor Gaming

auction rate securities

accounting standards update

Advanced Video Platform®

5.5% notes due 2020

7.5% notes due 2019

basis points

Canadian dollars

Colorado Central Station Casino

central determination system

Chief Executive Officer

Chief Financial Officer

China LotSynergy Holdings, Ltd.

discounted cash flow

convertible debentures, 1.75% or 2.65% as noted

earnings before interest, tax, depreciation, and amortization

Environmental Protection Agency

earnings per share

Employee Retirement Income Security Act

Financial Accounting Standards Board

generally accepted accounting principles

interest coverage ratio

International Game Technology and its consolidated entities

intellectual property

Internal Revenue Service

London Inter-Bank Offering Rate

management's best estimate

management's discussion and analysis

3.25% Convertible Notes due 2014

Occupational Safety & Health Administration

percentage points

Securities and Exchange Commission

Stock Incentive Plan

total leverage ratio

third-party evidence

United Kingdom

United States

variable interest entity

vendor specific objective evidence

wide area progressive

not meaningful (in tables)

PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

CONSOLIDATED INCOME STATEMENTS

	Quarters Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
(In millions, except per share amounts)				
Revenues				
Gaming operations	\$276.6	\$286.7	\$834.4	\$886.1
Product sales	213.1	230.6	658.1	695.1
Total revenues	489.7	517.3	1,492.5	1,581.2
Costs and operating expenses				
Cost of gaming operations	115.3	108.4	326.5	375.9
Cost of product sales	98.5	113.1	321.8	346.3
Selling, general and administrative	82.3	94.8	254.4	315.2
Research and development	51.6	51.3	149.4	155.2
Depreciation and amortization	19.1	19.7	57.5	58.8
Impairment and restructuring	2.7	3.0	63.4	28.7
Total costs and operating expenses	369.5	390.3	1,173.0	1,280.1
Operating income	120.2	127.0	319.5	301.1
Other income (expense)				
Interest income	15.2	15.1	46.6	46.4
Interest expense	(42.7)	(43.2)	(124.9)	(110.8)
Other	3.3	2.1	3.2	(7.7)
Total other income (expense)	(24.2)	(26.0)	(75.1)	(72.1)
Income from continuing operations before tax	96.0	101.0	244.4	229.0
Income tax provision	1.4	38.8	55.1	69.4
Income from continuing operations	94.6	62.2	189.3	159.6
Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax	(2.5)	(1.6)	(23.2)	(4.2)
Net income	\$92.1	\$60.6	\$166.1	\$155.4
Basic earnings per share				
Continuing operations	\$0.32	\$0.21	\$0.64	\$0.54
Discontinued operations	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.08)	(0.01)
Net income	\$0.31	\$0.20	\$0.56	\$0.53
Diluted earnings per share				
Continuing operations	\$0.32	\$0.21	\$0.63	\$0.54
Discontinued operations	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.08)	(0.01)
Net income	\$0.31	\$0.20	\$0.55	\$0.53
Cash dividends declared per share	\$0.06	\$0.06	\$0.18	\$0.27
Weighted average shares outstanding				
Basic	297.0	294.3	296.0	293.7
Diluted	298.9	294.6	298.1	293.8

See accompanying notes

1

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	June 30, 2010	September 30, 2009
(In millions, except par value)		
Assets		
Current assets		
Cash and equivalents	\$164.9	\$146.7
Investment securities	-	21.3
Restricted cash and investment securities	87.0	79.4
Jackpot annuity investments	65.7	67.2
Accounts receivable, net	275.4	334.3
Current maturities of notes and contracts receivable, net	189.8	154.8
Inventories	114.6	157.8
Deferred income taxes	95.2	82.8
Other assets and deferred costs	152.5	189.4
Total current assets	1,145.1	1,233.7
Property, plant and equipment, net	574.1	558.8
Jackpot annuity investments	372.4	396.9
Notes and contracts receivable, net	186.6	249.4
Goodwill	1,149.2	1,151.5
Other intangible assets, net	216.2	259.2
Deferred income taxes	166.1	172.2
Other assets and deferred costs	275.9	306.4
Total Assets	\$4,085.6	\$4,328.1
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity		
Liabilities		
Current liabilities		
Short-term debt	\$-	\$5.3
Accounts payable	85.5	90.5
Jackpot liabilities, current portion	168.1	155.5
Accrued employee benefits	17.3	32.8
Accrued income taxes	2.0	9.4
Dividends payable	17.9	17.8
Other accrued liabilities	245.2	313.2
Total current liabilities	536.0	624.5
Long-term debt	1,813.2	2,014.7
Jackpot liabilities	402.8	432.6
Other liabilities	124.2	192.7
Total Liabilities	2,876.2	3,264.5
Commitments and Contingencies		
Stockholders' Equity		
Common stock: \$.00015625 par value; 1,280.0 shares authorized; 339.0 and 337.2 issued; 298.1 and 296.6 outstanding		
	0.1	0.1
Additional paid-in capital	1,463.2	1,417.8
Treasury stock at cost: 40.9 and 40.6 shares	(801.8)	(799.3)
Retained earnings	549.9	437.3
Accumulated other comprehensive income	(1.1)	6.1
Total IGT Stockholders' Equity	1,210.3	1,062.0
Noncontrolling Interests	(0.9)	1.6

Total Equity	1,209.4	1,063.6
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity	\$4,085.6	\$4,328.1

See accompanying notes

2

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

Nine Months Ended June 30, (In millions)	2010	2009
Operations		
Net income	\$166.1	\$155.4
Adjustments:		
Depreciation and amortization	179.4	212.3
Discounts and deferred issuance costs	36.8	23.8
Inventory obsolescence	13.2	10.1
Bad debt provisions	6.1	25.0
Share-based compensation	31.3	30.1
(Gain) loss on investments	(0.2)	2.1
Gain on redemption of debt	-	(1.3)
Impairment	59.8	-
Other non-cash items	(1.3)	(5.7)
Excess tax benefits from employee stock plans	(8.3)	-
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, excluding acquisitions:		
Receivables	35.6	73.1
Inventories	35.3	30.8
Other assets and deferred costs	49.5	11.2
Income taxes, net of employee stock plans	(54.2)	(50.1)
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(90.4)	(90.7)
Jackpot liabilities	(34.9)	(71.7)
Cash from operations	423.8	354.4
Investing		
Capital expenditures	(178.2)	(175.8)
Proceeds from assets sold	7.2	7.7
Proceeds from investment securities	21.6	-
Jackpot annuity investments, net	44.9	37.6
Changes in restricted cash	(7.9)	31.7
Loans receivable cash advanced	(17.7)	(85.4)
Loans receivable payments received	10.4	6.1
Investments in unconsolidated affiliates	(4.9)	(11.9)
Business acquisitions/VIE deconsolidation	(1.4)	(15.7)
Cash from investing	(126.0)	(205.7)
Financing		
Debt proceeds	1,350.3	2,789.4
Debt repayments	(1,593.4)	(2,749.8)
Debt issuance costs	(0.1)	(63.5)
Warrant proceeds	-	66.8
Convertible note hedge purchases	-	(177.3)
Employee stock plan proceeds	15.2	5.5
Excess tax benefits from employee stock plans	8.3	-
Dividends paid	(53.5)	(103.5)
Cash from financing	(273.2)	(232.4)
Foreign exchange rates effect on cash and equivalents	(6.4)	1.3
Net change in cash and equivalents	18.2	(82.4)
Beginning cash and equivalents	146.7	266.4

Ending cash and equivalents	\$164.9	\$184.0
-----------------------------	---------	---------

See accompanying notes

3

Supplemental Cash Flows Information

“Depreciation and amortization” reflected in the cash flows statements are comprised of amounts presented separately on the income statements, plus “depreciation and amortization” included in cost of gaming operations, cost of product sales and discontinued operations.

Nine Months Ended June 30, (In millions)	2010	2009
Jackpot funding		
Change in jackpot liabilities	\$(34.9)	\$(71.7)
Jackpot annuity purchases	(3.8)	(10.9)
Jackpot annuity proceeds	48.7	48.5
Net change in jackpot annuity investments	44.9	37.6
Net jackpot funding	\$10.0	\$(34.1)
Capital expenditures		
Property, plant and equipment	\$(15.9)	\$(33.2)
Gaming operations equipment	(159.9)	(136.7)
Intellectual property	(2.4)	(5.9)
Total	\$(178.2)	\$(175.8)
Payments		
Interest	\$83.3	\$71.4
Income taxes	109.3	117.8
Non-cash investing and financing items:		
Accrued capital asset additions	\$2.1	\$4.7
Interest accretion for jackpot annuity investments	18.7	20.9
Business acquisitions/purchase price adjustments and VIE deconsolidations		
Fair value of assets	\$(0.8)	\$21.9
Fair value of liabilities	(2.2)	6.2

See accompanying notes

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND CONSOLIDATION

Our consolidated financial statements include the accounts of International Game Technology (IGT, we, our, or the Company), including all majority-owned or controlled subsidiaries and VIEs for which we are the primary beneficiary. All appropriate inter-company accounts and transactions are eliminated.

We prepare our consolidated financial statements in accordance with SEC and US GAAP requirements and include all adjustments of a normal recurring nature that are necessary to fairly present our consolidated results of operations, financial position, and cash flows for all periods presented. Interim period results are not necessarily indicative of full year results. This quarterly report should be read in conjunction with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2009 and our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 3, 2010, which revised and recast certain financial information from our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2009, to reflect the retrospective application of accounting standards adopted at the beginning of 2010 for convertible debt, noncontrolling interests and participating securities.

Our fiscal year is reported on a 52/53-week period that ends on the Saturday nearest to September 30 each year. Similarly, our quarters end on the Saturday nearest to the last day of the quarter end month. For simplicity, this report presents all fiscal periods using the calendar month end as outlined in the table below. Fiscal 2010 will contain 52 weeks and our results for the first nine months contained 39 weeks versus 40 weeks in the prior year period.

	Period End	
	Presented as	Actual
Current quarter	June 30, 2010	July 3, 2010
Prior year quarter	June 30, 2009	July 4, 2009
Prior fiscal year end	September 30, 2009	October 3, 2009

Use of Estimates

Our consolidated financial statements are prepared in conformity with US GAAP. Accordingly, we are required to make estimates, judgments and assumptions that we believe are reasonable based on our historical experience, contract terms, observance of known trends in our company and the industry as a whole, and information available from other outside sources. Our estimates affect reported amounts for assets, liabilities, revenues, expenses, and related disclosures. Actual results may differ from initial estimates.

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Revenue Recognition

We recognize revenues when all of the following have been satisfied:

- ♠ persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists
- ♠ the price to the customer is fixed and determinable
- ♠ delivery has occurred and any acceptance terms have been fulfilled
- ♠ no significant contractual obligations remain

♠ collection is reasonably assured

Revenues are reported net of incentive rebates, discounts, sales taxes, and other taxes of a similar nature. Amounts billed prior to completing the earnings process are deferred until revenue recognition criteria are met.

Gaming Operations

Gaming operations revenues are generated from providing customers with our proprietary electronic gaming equipment and related network systems, licensing, and services under a variety of recurring revenue arrangements, including WAP, CDS, stand-alone participation and flat fee, equipment leasing and rental, and online gaming solutions.

WAP systems consist of linked slot machines located in multiple casino properties, connecting to an IGT central computer system. WAP games differ from stand-alone units in that a progressive jackpot increases with every wager until a player wins the top award combination. Casinos with IGT WAP machines pay a percentage of the coin-in (amounts wagered) for IGT services related to the design, assembly, installation, operation, maintenance, and marketing of the WAP systems, as well as funding and administration of the progressive jackpot.

Revenues from CDS, stand-alone and other equipment leasing or rentals are recognized based on a percentage of the net win or on a fixed daily/monthly fee or rental basis. Online gaming solutions encompass online casino gaming software and content licensing, as well as back office operational support services. All online gaming solutions are provided under revenue sharing arrangements based on net gaming revenues.

Product Sales

Our product sales revenues are generated from the sale of electronic gaming equipment and network systems, as well as licensing, services, and component parts. Time-based licensing and maintenance fees are typically recognized ratably over the term of the agreement. Our credit sales terms are predominately 90 days or less. We also grant extended payment terms under contracts of sale secured by the related equipment sold, and these contracts are typically paid within their terms.

Our gaming machines and certain other tangible products, containing both software and nonsoftware components that function together to deliver the product's essential functionality, were previously subject to software revenue recognition. Under ASUs adopted for new and materially modified arrangements entered into after the beginning of our first quarter of fiscal 2010 (discussed below under Recently Issued Accounting Standards – Adopted), our gaming machines and certain other tangible products no longer fall under the scope of software revenue recognition and are generally recognized upon delivery and customer acceptance.

Multi-element Arrangements

The majority of our multiple element contracts are for some combination of machines, network systems, license fees, maintenance, training, and other services. Revenues for individual deliverables are recognized when the recognition criteria for that element has been met. We elected to early adopt ASUs for revenue recognition related to certain software-enabled products and multi-element arrangements on a prospective basis for new or materially modified arrangements entered into after the beginning of our fiscal year 2010. Most of our products and services qualify as separate units of accounting, and the ASUs do not change this premise. The terms of performance, cancellation, termination, or refunds in our multiple element contracts are similar to those for individual stand-alone deliverables.

Under the ASUs, arrangement consideration is allocated among multiple deliverables based on relative selling prices. In order of preference, relative selling prices are estimated based on VSOE, TPE, or MBE, and the residual method is not allowed for nonsoftware elements. VSOE is determined by the net price charged for each deliverable when it is sold separately. VSOE for maintenance agreements is determined based on the annual renewal rates. When VSOE or TPE is not available, generally for new or highly customized offerings, the estimated selling price is the amount we would sell the product or service for individually. The determination of MBE is made based on our standard pricing and discounting practices, which consider multiple factors, such as market conditions, competitive landscape, internal costs, and profit objectives.

Under the ASUs, revenues for machines and other software-enabled equipment in certain bundled arrangements are no longer deferred because VSOE is not available for an undelivered element. Generally, revenues allocated to non-software elements will be recognized upon delivery and customer acceptance, and only revenues allocated to software elements require deferral and recognition over a lease or license term.

Prior to the beginning of fiscal 2010, if we were unable to establish VSOE for any undelivered element, revenue was generally deferred until all elements were delivered or until VSOE could be determined. If we did not have VSOE for a delivered element, VSOE for the undelivered elements was deferred, and the residual amount constituted the revenues recognized for the delivered elements. Additionally, when machines were sold in combination with a leased system on which the machines depend for essential functionality, machine revenues were recognized ratably over the system lease contract term.

Deferred Revenue

Deferred revenue consists of amounts received or billed after products are delivered or services are rendered, but prior to meeting all of the requirements for revenue recognition. Complex systems and/or multi-element contracts may take several months to complete and our deferred revenues may increase as our products evolve toward a more systems-centric environment.

Deferred revenue balances in the table below related primarily to product sales where the installation was not yet complete or we were obligated to perform future services. At June 30, 2010, \$17.0 million remains deferred because it is subject to prior revenue recognition criteria in effect before the beginning of fiscal 2010.

	June 30, 2010	September 30, 2009
(In millions)		
Other accrued liabilities (current)	\$77.8	\$101.7
Other liabilities (noncurrent)	14.0	20.3
Total	\$91.8	\$122.0

Recently Issued Accounting Standards - Adopted

Revenue Recognition For Software-enabled Products and Multi-element Arrangements

In October 2009, the FASB issued ASUs about revenue recognition for certain software-enabled products and multi-element arrangements. Under these ASUs, tangible products, containing both software and nonsoftware components that function together to deliver a tangible product's essential functionality, are no longer subject to software revenue accounting. These ASUs also established a more economically aligned model for allocating revenues among deliverables in a multi-element arrangement, based on relative selling prices.

We elected to early adopt these ASUs prospectively for new or materially modified arrangements entered into on or after the beginning of our fiscal 2010. See our revenue recognition accounting policies above for additional information about our application of these ASUs. Although this adoption is not currently expected to have a material effect on the timing or amount of future revenues, the impact is dependent upon the prevalence of multi-element arrangements and the implementation of new sales arrangements.

Pro forma revenues that would have been reported under the prior accounting guidance are reflected in the table below.

	Quarter Ended June 30, 2010			Nine Months Ended June 30, 2010		
	As Reported	Pro Forma	Increase (decrease)*	As Reported	Pro Forma	Increase (decrease)*
(In millions)						
Revenues						
Gaming operations	\$276.6	\$276.6	\$-	\$834.4	\$827.5	\$ 6.9
Product Sales	213.1	205.2	7.9	658.1	633.3	24.8
Total	\$489.7	\$481.8	\$7.9	\$1,492.5	\$1,460.8	\$ 31.7

* Additional revenues recognized which would have been recognized in other periods under prior guidance

Fair Value Measurements

In September 2006, an accounting standard was issued which refined the definition of fair value, established a framework for measuring fair value, and expanded disclosures about fair value measurements. The adoption of this accounting standard for non-financial assets and liabilities at the beginning of fiscal 2010 did not have a material impact on our results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

In January 2010, the FASB issued an ASU requiring added disclosures related to assets and liabilities carried at fair value to identify significant transfers between Level 1 and Level 2, techniques and inputs used, and any significant changes to techniques and inputs. See Note 16 for additional information about fair value measurements. This adoption in our second quarter of fiscal 2010 did not have a material impact on our results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

Participating Securities in Share-Based Payment Transactions

At the beginning of fiscal 2010, we adopted an ASU issued in June 2008 for determining whether instruments granted in share-based payment transactions are participating securities which should be included in the computation of EPS using the two-class method (see Note 13). Certain restricted stock granted under our employee SIP (see Note 6) is considered a participating security because it carries non-forfeitable rights to dividends. This adoption did not have a material impact on our financial statements and the effect of the required retrospective application for prior periods presented is summarized in the table at the end of this section.

Business Combinations and Noncontrolling Interests

At the beginning of fiscal 2010, we adopted accounting standards issued in December 2007 revising the method of accounting for a number of aspects of business combinations and noncontrolling interests (i.e. minority interests), such that more assets and liabilities will be measured at fair value as of the acquisition date. Certain contingent consideration liabilities will require remeasurement at fair value in each subsequent reporting period. Noncontrolling interests will initially be measured at fair value and classified as a separate component of equity.

Acquisition related costs, such as fees for attorneys, accountants, and investment bankers, will be expensed as incurred and no longer be capitalized as part of the business purchase price. For all acquisitions, regardless of the consummation date, deferred tax assets and uncertain tax position adjustments occurring after the measurement period will be recorded as a component of income tax expense, rather than adjusted through goodwill. For business combinations and asset purchases, the impact of this guidance on our results of operations or financial position will vary depending on the specifics of each transaction.

This adoption did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows. Income attributable to the noncontrolling interests is presented as a component of other income (expense) as it was not significant to our consolidated operating results. The required retrospective presentation of noncontrolling interests as a separate component of stockholders' equity, rather than liabilities, is summarized in the table at the end of this section.

Convertible Debt Instruments

At the beginning of fiscal 2010, we adopted an ASU issued in May 2008 requiring the separation of liability (debt) and equity (conversion option) components for convertible debt instruments that may settle in cash upon conversion to reflect an effective nonconvertible borrowing rate when the debt was issued. We estimated fair value of our Debentures (1.75% and 2.6%) and Notes using similar debt instruments at issuance that did not have a conversion feature and allocated an equity component included in paid-in capital that represents the estimated fair value of the conversion feature at issuance.

The effects of the required retrospective application for prior periods presented as it relates to our Debentures (1.75% and 2.6%) and Notes are summarized in the table at the end of this section. See Note 10 for additional information related to our 2.6% Debentures and Notes. The adjustment to long-term debt represents the unamortized balance of the revised discount. Additionally, this adoption decreased long-term debt, deferred tax assets and deferred offering costs and increased stockholders' equity.

In addition to the retrospective adjustments noted in the table at the end of this section, this adoption increased noncash interest expense for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2010 by \$6.8 million and \$22.8 million, respectively. On an annual basis, we expect this adoption to increase noncash interest by approximately \$30.0 million for both fiscal years 2010 and 2009, decrease Debenture repurchase gains by \$5.2 million for fiscal 2009, and reduce

diluted EPS \$0.06 for fiscal 2010 and \$0.08 for fiscal 2009.

8

Retrospective Application of Accounting Standards Adopted at the Beginning of Fiscal 2010
(Including Discontinued Operations Reclassification – see Note 19)

	Retrospective Adjustments					
	As Previously Reported	Discontinued Operations Reclass	Convertible Debt	Non- controlling Interest	Partici- pating Securities	As Currently Presented
(In millions except per share amounts)						
INCOME STATEMENTS						
Three Months Ended June 30, 2009						
Interest expense	\$(34.2)	\$ -	\$(9.0)	\$-	\$-	\$(43.2)
Income tax provision	40.4	1.7	(3.3)	-	-	38.8
Net income	66.3	-	(5.7)	-	-	60.6
Basic EPS	\$0.23	-	\$(0.03)	-	-	\$0.20
Diluted EPS	\$0.22	-	\$(0.02)	-	-	\$0.20
Diluted weighted average shares outstanding	295.0	-	-	-	(0.4)	294.6
Nine Months Ended June 30, 2009						
Interest expense	\$(92.6)	\$ 0.1	\$(18.3)	\$-	\$-	\$(110.8)
Income before tax	245.5	7.0	(23.5)	-	-	229.0
Income tax provision	75.2	2.8	(8.6)	-	-	69.4
Net income	170.3	-	(14.9)	-	-	155.4
Basic EPS	\$0.58	-	\$(0.05)	-	-	\$0.53
Diluted EPS	\$0.58	-	\$(0.05)	-	-	\$0.53
Diluted weighted average shares outstanding	294.2	-	-	-	(0.4)	293.8
BALANCE SHEET						
September 30, 2009						
Deferred income taxes (noncurrent)	\$227.3	\$ -	\$(55.1)	\$-	\$-	\$172.2
Other assets and deferred costs (noncurrent)	311.4	-	(5.0)	-	-	306.4
Total assets	4,388.2	-	(60.1)	-	-	4,328.1
Long-term debt	2,169.5	-	(154.8)	-	-	2,014.7
Other liabilities	194.3	-	-	(1.6)	-	192.7
Total liabilities	3,420.9	-	(154.8)	(1.6)	-	3,264.5
Additional paid-in capital	1,264.1	-	153.7	-	-	1,417.8
Retained earnings	496.3	-	(59.0)	-	-	437.3

Total Equity	967.3	-	94.7	1.6	-	1,063.6
--------------	-------	---	------	-----	---	---------

STATEMENT OF CASH
FLOWS

Nine Months Ended June 30,
2009

Operations:						
Net income	\$170.3	\$ -	\$(14.9) \$-	\$-	\$155.4
Adjustments:						
Other non-cash items	(4.7) -	-	(1.0) -	(5.7
Discounts and deferred issuance costs	5.5	-	18.3	-	-	23.8
Gain on redemption of debt	(6.5) -	5.2	-	-	(1.3
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:						
Income taxes, net of employee stock plans	(41.5) -	(8.6) -	-	(50.1
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(91.7) -	-	1.0	-	(90.7

Recently Issued Accounting Standards - Not Yet Adopted

Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities

In June 2009, the FASB issued an ASU which requires us to reassess our primary beneficiary position for all VIE arrangements based on qualitative factors on an on-going basis. This ASU is effective beginning with our first quarter of fiscal 2011 and must be adopted through a cumulative-effect adjustment (with a retrospective option). We continue to evaluate the extent to which this ASU will impact our results of operations, financial position, or cash flows.

Fair Value Measurement Disclosures

In January 2010, the FASB issued an ASU which will require supplemental disclosures related to purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements within the Level 3 reconciliation. This ASU is effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning with our first quarter of fiscal 2012 and is not expected to have a material impact on our financial statements.

Accruals for Casino Jackpot Liabilities

In April 2010, the FASB issued an ASU on jackpot liabilities clarifying that an entity should not accrue jackpot liabilities (or portions thereof), specifically addressing base jackpot liabilities, before they are won if the payout can be avoided. The ASU will be applied prospectively with a cumulative-effect adjustment in retained earnings at the beginning of the period of adoption and is effective beginning with our first quarter of fiscal 2012. We continue to evaluate the extent to which this guidance will impact our results of operations, financial position, or cash flows.

Revenue Recognition – Milestone Method for Research and Development Transactions

In April 2010, the FASB issued an ASU related to defining a milestone and determining when it may be appropriate to apply the milestone method of revenue recognition for research and development transactions. This ASU generally allows milestone payments to be recognized as revenue in their entirety when specific milestone results are achieved. The ASU will be applied prospectively to milestones achieved as of the beginning of our fiscal year 2011. We continue to evaluate this ASU, but do not expect it to have a material impact to our results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses

In July 2010, the FASB issued an ASU to address concerns about the sufficiency, transparency, and robustness of credit risk disclosures for finance receivables and the related allowance for credit losses. This ASU is effective for disclosures as of our first quarter in fiscal 2011. Additional disclosures require information at disaggregated levels, including the nature of the credit risk and how it is analyzed in arriving at the allowance for credit losses, a roll-forward schedule of and reasons for changes in the allowance, credit quality indicators, an aging of past due amounts, the nature and extent of modifications, and significant purchases or sales. Other than the enhanced disclosures, this ASU will have no impact on our results of operations, financial position, or cash flows.

3. VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES AND AFFILIATES

Variable Interest Entities

As the primary beneficiary, we consolidate our VIE WAP trusts in New Jersey that are responsible for administering jackpot payments to winners. The VIE trust consolidations increase jackpot liabilities and related assets, as well as

interest income and equivalent offsetting interest expense. Consolidated VIE trust assets and equivalent liabilities totaled \$83.0 million at June 30, 2010 and \$91.3 million at September 30, 2009.

Investments in Unconsolidated Affiliates

China LotSynergy Holdings, Ltd.

At June 30, 2010, our investments in CLS stock and convertible notes were accounted for as available-for-sale securities reflected in the aggregate table below. We determined that no features of the convertible notes met the definition of a derivative requiring bifurcation at June 30, 2010. See Note 15 about related foreign currency derivatives and Note 16 for factors related to fair value measurements.

For our equity method joint ventures with CLS, IGT Synergy Holding Ltd. and Asiatic Group Ltd., as of and for the nine months ended June 30, 2010, we recognized a loss of \$0.3 million and \$8.4 million remains to be funded on our capital commitment.

Aggregate Available-for-sale Investments in Unconsolidated Affiliates

June 30, 2010 (In millions)	Adjusted Cost	Unrealized gain (loss)	Fair Value
CLS Stock	\$12.2	\$2.9	\$15.1
CLS Convertible Note	79.8	6.1	85.9
Total	\$92.0	\$9.0	\$101.0

4. INVENTORIES

(In millions)	June 30, 2010	September 30, 2009
Raw materials	\$59.9	\$74.9
Work-in-process	5.9	6.7
Finished goods	48.8	76.2
Total	\$114.6	\$157.8

5. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

(In millions)	June 30, 2010	September 30, 2009
Land	\$62.6	\$62.7
Buildings	230.1	230.0
Leasehold improvements	13.5	14.5
Machinery, furniture and equipment	285.7	300.2
Gaming operations equipment	838.8	832.4
Total	1,430.7	1,439.8
Less accumulated depreciation	(856.6)	(881.0)
Property, plant and equipment, net	\$574.1	\$558.8

6. SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION

The amount, frequency, and terms of share-based awards may vary based on competitive practices, operating results, and government regulations. New IGT common shares are issued upon option exercises or restricted share grants and vesting of restricted share units. Our current practice is generally to grant restricted share awards in the form of units without dividends. Forfeitures are typically due to employee terminations.

On November 4, 2009, IGT granted 2.7 million employee stock options with an exercise price of \$18.60 per share in exchange for the 5.3 million underwater employee stock options surrendered in a shareholder approved exchange offer that expired on November 3, 2009. The newly granted options have a six-year contractual term and will vest ratably over two years. The exchange ratio was calculated based on the fair values of the options surrendered and issued under a value-for-value exchange and incremental compensation expense recognized was not material. In connection with the exchange, we recorded \$1.4 million of deferred tax benefits.

Edgar Filing: INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHNOLOGY - Form 10-Q

At June 30, 2010, shares available for grant under the IGT SIP totaled 17.2 million and we expect to recognize \$79.9 million of unrecognized share-based compensation over a weighted average period of 1.8 years. SIP activity is reflected below as of and for the nine months ended June 30, 2010.

Options	Shares (thousands)	Exercise Price (per share)	Weighted Average	
			Remaining Contractual Term (years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (millions)
Outstanding at beginning of fiscal year	18,022	\$26.88		
Granted	3,982	18.99		
Exercised	(579)	12.29		
Forfeited	(705)	19.17		
Expired	(907)	33.59		
Stock options exchange:				
Granted	2,653	18.60		
Cancelled	(5,306)	36.35		
Outstanding at end of period	17,160	\$21.29	6.4	\$19.7
Vested and expected to vest	16,682	\$21.40	6.4	\$18.9
Exercisable at end of period	7,071	\$26.42	4.4	\$4.9

Restricted Shares/Units	Shares (thousands)	Grant Date Fair Value (per share)	Weighted Average	
			Remaining Vesting Period (years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (millions)
Outstanding at beginning of fiscal year	2,000	\$22.60		
Granted	1,372	18.37		
Vested	(687)	24.91		
Forfeited	(255)	28.40		
Outstanding at end of period	2,430	\$19.02	1.6	\$37.6
Expected to vest	2,298	\$19.01	1.7	\$35.5

7. ALLOWANCE FOR RECEIVABLES

	June 30, 2010	September 30, 2009
(In millions)		
Allowance for doubtful accounts receivable	\$28.2	\$23.4
Allowance for doubtful notes and contracts		
Current	\$41.2	\$22.6
Non-current	39.5	10.6
	\$80.7	\$33.2

See Note 18 regarding allowance recorded for Alabama-related notes and accounts receivable.

12

8. CONCENTRATIONS OF CREDIT RISK

Financial instruments that potentially subject us to concentrations of credit risk consist principally of cash and equivalents, investments, and receivables. We place short-term investments in high credit quality financial institutions and in short-duration high-quality securities. With the exception of US Government and Agency securities, our short-term investment policy limits the amount of credit exposure in any one financial institution, industry group, or type of investment. Cash on deposit may be in excess of Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation limits.

Our receivables were concentrated in the following legalized gaming regions at June 30, 2010. See Note 18 regarding Alabama charitable bingo receivables.

North America		International			
Nevada	9	%	Argentina	24	%
Alabama	6		Europe	9	
Oklahoma	6		Other Latin America	8	
			Other (less than 5% individually)	6	
Pennsylvania	5				
Other (less than 5% individually)	27			47	%
	53	%			

Auction Rate Securities

We held no ARS or corresponding put rights at June 30, 2010. During the first nine months of fiscal 2010, we sold \$21.6 million par of ARS. The following changes in fair value were included in other income (expense):

- ♣ zero net gain (\$1.0 million ARS gain and \$1.0 million put loss) for the quarter ended June 30, 2010 and \$0.5 million net gain (\$0.7 million ARS gain and \$0.2 million put loss) for the prior year quarter
- ♣ \$0.3 million net gain (\$3.8 million ARS gain and \$3.5 million put loss) for the nine months ended June 30, 2010 and \$0.5 million net loss (\$4.2 million ARS loss and \$3.7 million put gain) for the prior year period

9. GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLES

Goodwill

Activity by Segment	North America	International	Total
Nine Months Ended June 30, 2010 (In millions)			
Beginning balance	\$1,042.8	\$108.7	\$1,151.5
Foreign currency adjustments	-	(2.3)	(2.3)
Ending balance	\$1,042.8	\$106.4	\$1,149.2

Other Intangibles

During the nine months ended June 30, 2010, we added \$1.8 million for capitalized patent legal costs with a weighted average life of 6 years. Additionally, during the second quarter of fiscal 2010, we recorded \$6.7 million of impairment, presented in impairment and restructuring, primarily related to uncertainties surrounding the usage of DigiDeal patents in our future product strategies (see Note 18).

(In millions)	June 30, 2010			September 30, 2009		
	Cost	Accumulated Amortization	Net	Cost	Accumulated Amortization	Net
Patents	\$397.4	\$238.4	\$159.0	\$396.3	\$205.7	\$190.6
Developed technology	76.5	44.9	31.6	76.7	37.3	39.4
Contracts	26.4	17.4	9.0	26.4	15.7	10.7
Reacquired rights	13.4	0.9	12.5	13.4	0.1	13.3
Customer relationships	8.8	5.5	3.3	8.8	4.8	4.0
Trademarks	3.5	2.7	0.8	3.6	2.4	1.2
Total other intangibles	\$526.0	\$309.8	\$216.2	\$525.2	\$266.0	\$259.2

Aggregate amortization expense totaled \$13.2 million in the current quarter versus \$13.3 million in the prior year quarter, and \$38.6 million in the nine months ended June 30, 2010 versus \$37.1 million for the prior year period.

(In millions)	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Estimated annual amortization	\$51.7	\$43.7	\$38.6	\$35.2	\$31.1

10. CREDIT FACILITIES AND INDEBTEDNESS

Outstanding Debt	June 30, 2010	September 30, 2009
(In millions)		
Domestic credit facility	\$270.0	\$100.0
Foreign credit facilities	-	5.3
Debentures	-	707.0
Notes	850.0	850.0
7.5% Bonds	500.0	500.0
5.5% Bonds	300.0	-
Total principal	1,920.0	2,162.3
Debentures discount	-	(2.7)
Notes discount	(131.2)	(152.1)
7.5% Bonds discount	(2.5)	(2.6)
5.5% Bonds discount	(1.3)	-
7.5% Swap fair value adjustment (see Note 15)	23.5	15.1
5.5% Swap fair value adjustment (see Note 15)	4.7	-
Total outstanding debt, net	\$1,813.2	\$2,020.0

IGT was in compliance with all applicable debt covenants at June 30, 2010. Embedded features of all debt agreements were evaluated and did not require bifurcation or had nominal value at June 30, 2010.

At the beginning of fiscal 2010, we adopted accounting standards requiring the separation of liability (debt) and equity (conversion option) components of our convertible debt instruments to reflect an effective nonconvertible borrowing rate at issuance. See Note 2 for amounts retrospectively recast in conjunction with this recently adopted accounting standard.

Domestic Credit Facility

In conjunction with new bonds issued in June 2010 discussed below, we reduced the total amount of our domestic credit facility from \$1.8 billion to \$1.5 billion, leaving the non-extended portion due December 2010 at \$0.2 billion and the extended portion due June 2012 at \$1.3 billion. At June 30, 2010, \$0.3 billion was drawn (\$0.1 billion non-extended and \$0.2 billion extended), \$1.2 billion was available, and \$3.6 million was reserved for letters of credit. The amounts drawn carried a 2.7% weighted average interest rate.

Interest under the credit facility is paid at least quarterly with rates and facility fees based on our public debt ratings or debt to capitalization ratio. As of June 30, 2010, extended commitments bear interest at LIBOR plus 260 bps with a facility fee of 65 bps and non-extended commitments bear interest at LIBOR plus 37.5 bps with a facility fee of 12.5 bps.

Foreign Credit Facilities

At June 30, 2010, \$8.4 million was available under a revolving credit facility in Australia which generally renews annually with maturity in March and is guaranteed by the parent company, International Game Technology. No amounts were drawn at June 30, 2010. Our former credit facilities in Japan were cancelled during the second quarter of fiscal 2010 with the closure of operations (see Note 19).

2.6% Convertible Debentures

On December 15, 2009, 2.6% Debentures of \$701.2 million aggregate principal were validly tendered under the holders' put option and accepted by IGT for payment. On February 4, 2010, IGT completed final redemption of the remaining \$5.8 million aggregate outstanding principal of Debentures.

As recast, the equity component of the 2.6% Debentures totaled \$43.7 million and the effective interest rate was 6.2% on the debt component. As of December 31, 2009, the discount was fully amortized. The table below reflects contractual interest expense, discount amortization in interest expense, and repurchase gain (loss) included in other income (expense), as recast for the prior year periods.

	Quarters Ended June 30,		Nine Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
(In millions)				
Contractual interest expense	\$-	\$4.6	\$3.8	\$15.5
Discount amortization	-	5.0	2.7	14.8
Gain (loss) on repurchases	-	-	-	1.3

3.25% Convertible Notes

As recast, the equity component of the Notes totaled \$99.7 million and the effective interest rate was 8.7% on the debt component. The remaining discount amortization period was 3.9 years at June 30, 2010. The table below reflects contractual interest expense and discount amortization in interest expense, as recast for the prior year periods.

	Quarters Ended June 30,		Nine Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
(In millions)				
Contractual interest expense	\$6.9	\$4.1	\$20.7	\$4.1
Discount amortization	7.1	4.1	20.9	4.1

The market price condition for convertibility of our Notes was not met and there were no related note hedges or warrants exercised at June 30, 2010.

7.5% Bonds

Interest rate swaps executed in conjunction with our 7.5% Bonds due 2019 are described in Note 15.

5.5% Bonds

On June 8, 2010, we issued \$300.0 million aggregate principal amount of 5.5% Bonds due 2020, under our March 2009 shelf registration statement and June 3, 2010 prospectus supplement pursuant to an underwriting agreement dated June 3, 2010. We received net proceeds of \$295.7 million after a discount of \$1.3 million and deferred offering costs of approximately \$3.0 million, both of which will be amortized to interest expense over the bond term. Interest is payable semiannually on June 15 and December 15, beginning December 15, 2010. Net proceeds from the 5.5% Bonds were used to reduce outstanding amounts under our domestic credit facility.

The 5.5% Bonds are general unsecured obligations of IGT, ranking equal with all existing and future unsecured and unsubordinated obligations. The 5.5% Bonds rank junior to all existing and future liabilities, including trade payables, of our subsidiaries. The 5.5% Bonds mature on June 15, 2020, unless IGT redeems them earlier by paying the holders 100% of the principal amount plus a make-whole redemption premium as described further in the related indenture.

The 5.5% Bonds contain covenants which may, in certain circumstances:

- ♠ restrict our ability to incur additional debt
- ♠ limit our ability to enter into sale and leaseback transactions
- ♠ restrict our ability to sell, transfer, lease or dispose of substantially all assets
- ♠ require us to grant a lien on equity interests if certain downgrades by rating agencies occur

The 5.5% Bonds specify a number of events of default (some of which are subject to applicable grace or cure periods), including the failure to make timely principal and interest payments or satisfy the covenants. Upon the occurrence of an event of default under the 5.5% Bonds, the outstanding amounts may become immediately due and payable.

Interest rate swaps executed in conjunction with our 5.5% Bonds due 2020 are described in Note 15.

11. CONTINGENCIES

Litigation

IGT has been named in and has brought lawsuits in the normal course of business. We do not expect the outcome of these suits, including the lawsuits described below, to have a material adverse effect on our future results of operations, financial position, or cash flows.

Bally

2004 Federal District Court of Nevada

On December 7, 2004, IGT filed a complaint in US District Court for the District of Nevada, alleging that defendants Alliance Gaming Corp., Bally Gaming Int'l, Inc., and Bally Gaming, Inc. infringed six US patents held by IGT: US Patent Nos. 6,827,646; 5,848,932; 5,788,573; 5,722,891; 6,712,698; and 6,722,985. On January 21, 2005, defendants filed an answer denying the allegations in the complaint and raising various affirmative defenses to IGT's asserted claims. Defendants also asserted fourteen counterclaims against IGT, including counterclaims for a declaratory judgment of non-infringement, invalidity, and unenforceability of the asserted patents, and for antitrust violations and intentional interference with prospective business advantage. IGT has successfully moved for partial summary judgment on defendants' counterclaims for intentional interference with prospective business advantage and defendants' antitrust allegations related to the gaming machine market. IGT denies the remaining allegations. On May 9, 2007, the Court issued an order construing disputed terms of the asserted patent claims. On October 16, 2008, the Court issued summary judgment rulings finding certain of IGT's patents, including patents that IGT believes cover bonus wheel gaming machines, invalid as obvious. The rulings also found that Bally was not infringing certain patents asserted by IGT. Bally's antitrust and unfair competition counterclaims remain pending. On November 7, 2008, the Court issued an order staying the proceedings and certifying the summary judgment and claim construction rulings for immediate appeal. On December 1, 2008, IGT appealed the rulings to the US Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. On January 8, 2009, Bally moved to dismiss the appeal on jurisdictional grounds. On February 2, 2009, the Federal

Circuit denied the Bally motion without prejudice to the parties raising jurisdictional issues in their merits briefs. On October 22, 2009, the Federal Circuit affirmed the District Court's summary judgment rulings. On December 7, 2009, Bally filed a motion to lift the stay and schedule a trial on the remaining issues. A hearing on the motion was held on February 1, 2010, at which the Court indicated that it would revisit earlier motions for summary judgment on the issues not addressed on appeal, including IGT's motions for summary judgment on Bally's antitrust and unfair competition counterclaims. The Court has under consideration motions for summary judgment or dismissal of all remaining claims pending in the case.

2006 Federal District Court of Delaware

On April 28, 2006, IGT filed a complaint in US District Court for the District of Delaware, alleging that defendants Bally Technologies, Inc., Bally Gaming Int'l, Inc., and Bally Gaming, Inc. infringed nine US patents held by IGT: US Patent Nos. RE 38,812; RE 37,885; 6,832,958; 6,319,125; 6,244,958; 6,431,983; 6,607,441; 6,565,434; and 6,620,046. The complaint alleges that the "BALLY POWER BONUSING™" technology infringes one or more of the claims of the asserted IGT patents. The lawsuit seeks monetary damages and an injunction. On June 30, 2006, defendants filed an answer denying the allegations in the complaint and raising various affirmative defenses to IGT's asserted claims. Defendants also asserted twelve counterclaims against IGT, including counterclaims for a declaratory judgment of non-infringement, invalidity, and unenforceability of the asserted patents, antitrust violations, unfair competition, and intentional interference with prospective business advantage. IGT denies these allegations. Pursuant to stipulation of the parties, all claims and counterclaims except those relating to US Patent Nos. RE 37,885 ("the '885 patent"), RE 38,812 ("the '812 patent"), and 6,431,983 have been dismissed. All proceedings relating to Bally's antitrust, unfair competition, and intentional interference counterclaims have been stayed. On April 28, 2009, the court issued a summary judgment ruling finding the '885 and '812 patents valid. The court also ruled that Bally's "Power Rewards" and "ACSC Power Winners" products infringe certain claims of the '885 and '812 patents. The court granted Bally's motion for summary judgment that Bally's "SDS Power Winners" does not infringe the '885 patent and "Power Bank" and "Power Promotions" do not infringe the '983 patent. The court denied Bally's motion for summary judgment that the '983 patent is invalid. The parties have agreed that Bally's counterclaim for a declaratory judgment on invalidity of the '983 patent will be dismissed without prejudice. IGT's motion for a permanent injunction against Bally's infringing products was denied. On April 28, 2010, the court entered an order dismissing without prejudice Bally's remaining counterclaims (antitrust, unfair competition and intentional interference with business relationships) and entered final judgment in favor of IGT and against the Bally defendants. An appeal from the liability judgment is proceeding. A trial to determine the amount of damages incurred by IGT, and related matters, as a result of Bally's infringement has not yet been scheduled.

Aristocrat

2006 Northern Federal District Court of California

On June 12, 2006, Aristocrat Technologies Australia PTY Ltd. and Aristocrat Technologies, Inc. filed a patent infringement lawsuit against IGT. Aristocrat alleged that IGT willfully infringed US Patent No. 7,056,215, which issued on June 6, 2006. On December 15, 2006, Aristocrat filed an amended complaint, adding allegations that IGT willfully infringed US Patent No. 7,108,603, which issued on September 19, 2006. The IGT products named in the original and amended complaints were the Fort Knox® mystery progressive slot machines. On June 13, 2007, the US District Court for the Northern District of California entered an order granting summary judgment in favor of IGT declaring both patents invalid. The US Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit reversed this decision on September 22, 2008. IGT's request for a rehearing was denied on November 17, 2008. This case has recommenced in the District Court. On May 13, 2010, the District Court entered an Order granting IGT's motion for summary judgment of non-infringement. Aristocrat is appealing this judgment. Proceedings on IGT's claim that Aristocrat committed inequitable conduct in reviving a related patent application are continuing in the District Court. A trial is set on this issue for April 4, 2011.

Brochu v. Loto Quebec

Loto Quebec commenced an action in warranty against VLC, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of IGT, and another manufacturer of video lottery machines in October 2003, in the Superior Court of the Province of Quebec, District of Quebec, seeking indemnification for any damages that may be awarded against Loto Quebec in a class action suit, also filed in the Superior Court of the Province of Quebec. The class action claim against Loto Quebec, to which

neither IGT nor any of its affiliates are parties, was filed by Jean Brochu on behalf of himself and a class of other persons who allegedly developed pathological behaviors through the play of video lottery machines made available by Loto Quebec in taverns and other public locations. In this action, the plaintiff seeks to recover on behalf of the class damages of approximately CAD\$578.7 million, representing CAD\$4,863 per class member, and CAD\$119.0 million in punitive damages. Loto Quebec filed its Plea in Defense in the main action in February 2006. On August 1, 2008, Loto Quebec filed a discontinuance of the action in warranty against VLC. Notwithstanding the discontinuance, Loto Quebec may still pursue the claims it asserted, or could have asserted, in the action in warranty through arbitration against VLC. Settlement of the class action was approved by the Superior Court on March 23, 2010. In a letter, dated May 5, 2010, Loto Quebec's counsel referred to the settlement of the class action and the settlement agreement between Loto Quebec and VLC under which Loto Quebec discontinued its warranty action against VLC but reserved a right to pursue claims via arbitration (the "Settlement Agreement") and advised VLC that "Loto Quebec does not intend to proceed against Video Lottery Consultants, Inc. under the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

Piercey v Atlantic Lotteries

In May 2010, Atlantic Lotteries commenced an action against International Game Technology, VLC, Inc. and IGT-Canada, wholly-owned subsidiaries of International Game Technology, and other manufacturers of video lottery machines in the Supreme Court of New Foundland and Labrador seeking indemnification for any damages that may be awarded against Atlantic Lotteries in a class action suit also filed in the Supreme Court of New Foundland and Labrador. A motion to certify the putative class is pending.

Shareholder Actions

Securities Class Action

On July 30, 2009, International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local 697 filed a putative securities fraud class action in the US District Court for the District of Nevada, alleging causes of action under Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act against IGT and certain of its officers, one of whom is a director. The complaint alleges that between November 1, 2007 and October 30, 2008, the defendants inflated IGT's stock price through a series of materially false and misleading statements or omissions regarding IGT's business, operations, and prospects. The Court has appointed a lead plaintiff. The plaintiffs filed an amended complaint on April 26, 2010 and the defendants moved to dismiss that complaint on June 17, 2010.

Derivative Actions

Between August 20, 2009 and September 17, 2009, the Company was nominally sued in a series of derivative lawsuits filed in the US District Court for the District of Nevada, captioned Fosbre v. Matthews et al., Case No. 3:09-cv-00467; Calamore v. Matthews et al., Case No. 3:09-cv-00489-ECR-VPC; Israni v. Bittman, et al., Case No. 3:09-cv-00536; and Aronson v. Matthews et al., Case No. 3:09-cv-00542-RCJ-VPC. Plaintiffs purportedly brought their respective actions on behalf of the Company. The complaints assert claims against various current and former officers and directors of the Company, for breaches of fiduciary duties, unjust enrichment, abuse of control, gross mismanagement, waste of corporate assets, and contribution and indemnification. The complaints seek an unspecified amount of damages and allege similar facts as the securities class action lawsuit. The complaints additionally allege that certain individual defendants engaged in insider trading and that the director defendants improperly handled Thomas J. Matthews' resignation as Chief Executive Officer of the Company. The actions were consolidated and subsequently a consolidated derivative complaint was filed in December 2009. Defendants have moved to dismiss that complaint. On July 6, 2010, the Court granted the defendants' motion to dismiss, with leave to amend.

On September 30, 2009, the Company was nominally sued in a derivative lawsuit filed in the Second Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada, County of Washoe. Plaintiff purportedly filed the action on behalf of the Company. The lawsuit, captioned Kurz et al. v. Hart et al., Case No. cv-0-9-02982, asserts claims against various current and former officers and directors for breach of fiduciary duties and unjust enrichment. The complaint generally makes the same allegations as the federal derivative complaints and seeks an unspecified amount of damages. The parties have stipulated to stay this action pending the motions to dismiss in the above-mentioned consolidated federal derivative action.

In a letter dated October 7, 2009 to the Company's Board of Directors, a shareholder made factual allegations similar to those set forth in the above derivative and securities class actions and demanded that the Board investigate, address and remedy the harm allegedly inflicted on IGT. In particular, the letter alleges that certain officers and directors grossly mismanaged the Company by overspending in the area of R&D of server-based game technology despite a looming recession to which the Company was particularly vulnerable; by making or allowing false and misleading statements regarding the Company's growth prospects and earnings guidance; and by wasting corporate assets by

causing the Company to repurchase Company stock at inflated prices. The letter asserts that this alleged conduct resulted in breaches of fiduciary duties and violations of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and SEC Rule 10b-5. On July 9, 2010, the Company was nominally sued in a derivative lawsuit filed in the US District Court for the District of Nevada, captioned Sprando v. Hart, et al., Case No. 3:10-cv-00415-RCJ-VPC and asserting claims as set forth above.

ERISA Actions

On October 2, 2009, two putative class action lawsuits were filed on behalf of participants in the Company's employee pension plans, naming as defendants the Company, the IGT Profit Sharing Plan Committee, and several current and former officers and directors. The complaints (which seek unspecified damages) allege breaches of fiduciary duty under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act, 29 U.S.C §§ 1109 and 1132. The complaints allege similar facts as the securities class action lawsuit. The complaints further allege that the defendants breached fiduciary duties to Plan Participants by failing to disclose material facts to Plan Participants, failing to exercise their fiduciary duties solely in the interest of the Participants, failing to properly manage Plan assets, failing to diversify Plan assets, and permitting Participants to elect to invest in Company stock. The actions, filed in the US District Court for the District of Nevada, are captioned Carr et al. v. International Game Technology et al., Case No. 3:09-cv-00584, and Jordan et al. v. International Game Technology et al., Case No. 3:09-cv-00585. In October 2009, plaintiffs moved for consolidation of the two actions which motion was granted. On April 9, 2010, defendants moved to dismiss the consolidated complaint.

Environmental Matters

CCSC, a casino operation sold by IGT in April 2003, is located in an area that has been designated by the EPA as an active Superfund site because of contamination from historic mining activity in the area. In order for Anchor Coin, an entity IGT acquired in December 2001, to develop the CCSC site, it voluntarily entered into an administrative order of consent with the EPA to conduct soil removal and analysis (a requirement imposed on similarly situated property developers within the region) in conjunction with re-routing mine drainage. The work and obligations contemplated by the agreement were completed by Anchor in June 1998, and the EPA subsequently issued a termination of the order.

The EPA, together with other property developers excluding CCSC, continues remediation activities at the site. While we believe our remediation obligations are complete, it is possible that additional contamination may be identified and we could be obligated to participate in remediation efforts. Under accounting guidance for environmental remediation liabilities, we determined the incurrence of additional remediation costs is neither probable nor reasonably estimable and no liability has been recorded.

OSHA / Wrongful Termination Matter

On July 8, 2004, two former employees filed a complaint with the US Department of Labor, OSHA alleging retaliatory termination in violation of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. The former employees allege that they were terminated in retaliation for questioning whether Anchor and its executives failed to properly disclose information allegedly affecting the value of Anchor's patents in connection with IGT's acquisition of Anchor in December 2001. The former employees also allege that the acquired patents were overvalued on the financial statements of IGT. Outside counsel, retained by an independent committee of our Board of Directors, reviewed the allegations and found them to be entirely without merit.

On November 10, 2004, the employees withdrew their complaint filed with OSHA and filed a notice of intent to file a complaint in federal court. On December 1, 2004, a complaint was filed under seal in the US District Court for the District of Nevada, based on the same facts set forth above regarding their OSHA complaint. IGT filed a motion for summary judgment as to all claims in plaintiffs' complaint. On June 14, 2007, the US District Court for the District of Nevada entered an order granting summary judgment in favor of IGT as to plaintiffs' Sarbanes-Oxley whistle-blower claims and dismissed their state law claims without prejudice. Plaintiffs' motion for reconsideration of the District Court's decision was denied. Plaintiffs appealed to the US Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. Oral argument was heard on March 12, 2009, and on August 3, 2009, the Ninth Circuit reversed the District Court's decision. IGT's motion

for summary judgment on plaintiffs' state law claims was argued on October 22, 2009 and granted in IGT's favor on December 8, 2009. On April 13, 2010, the District Court granted IGT's motion to strike the plaintiffs' jury demand and granted IGT's motion to retax costs and fees. It denied plaintiffs' motion for certification and/or reconsideration. Trial was scheduled to begin on June 1, 2010, but was rescheduled to begin on January 23, 2011.

In conjunction with the Anchor acquisition purchase price allocation as of December 31, 2001, IGT used the relief of royalty valuation methodology to estimate the fair value of the patents at \$164.4 million. The carrying value of the patents at June 30, 2010 totaled \$44.1 million.

Arrangements with Off-Balance Sheet Risks

In the normal course of business, we are party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk, such as performance bonds and guarantees not reflected in our balance sheet. We do not expect any material losses to result from these arrangements and are not dependent on off-balance sheet financing arrangements to fund our operations.

Performance Bonds

Performance bonds outstanding related to gaming operations totaled \$7.0 million at June 30, 2010. We are liable to reimburse the bond issuer in the event of exercise due to nonperformance.

Letters of Credit

Outstanding letters of credit issued under our domestic line of credit to ensure payment to certain vendors and governmental agencies totaled \$3.6 million at June 30, 2010.

IGT Licensor Arrangements

Our sales agreements that include software and IP licensing arrangements may require IGT to indemnify the third-party licensee against liability and damages (including legal defense costs) arising from any claims of patent, copyright, trademark infringement, or trade secret misappropriation. Should such a claim occur, we could be required to make payments to the licensee for any liabilities or damages incurred. Historically, we have not incurred any significant costs due to infringement claims. As we consider the likelihood of incurring future costs to be remote, no liability has been recorded.

Product Warranties

The majority of our products are generally covered by a warranty for periods ranging from 90 days to one year. We estimate accrued warranty costs in the table below based on historical trends in product failure rates and expected costs to provide warranty services.

Nine Months Ended June 30, (In millions)	2010	2009
Balance at beginning of year	\$7.9	\$8.4
Reduction for payments made	(7.9)	(5.6)
Accrual for new warranties issued	6.7	7.8
Adjustments for pre-existing warranties	(0.8)	(1.9)
Balance at end of period	\$5.9	\$8.7

Self-Insurance

We are self-insured for various levels of workers' compensation, directors' and officers' liability, and electronic errors and omissions liability, as well as employee medical, dental, prescription drug, and disability coverage. We purchase stop loss coverage to protect against unexpected claims. Accrued insurance claims and reserves include estimated settlements for known claims, and actuarial estimates for claims incurred but not reported.

State and Federal Taxes

We are subject to sales, use, income, gaming and other tax audits and administrative proceedings in various US federal, state, local, and foreign jurisdictions. While we believe we have properly reported our tax liabilities in each jurisdiction, we can give no assurance that taxing authorities will not propose adjustments that increase our tax liabilities.

12. INCOME TAXES

Our provision for income taxes is based on estimated effective annual income tax rates. The provision differs from income taxes currently payable because certain items of income and expense are recognized in different periods for financial statement purposes than for tax return purposes. We reduce deferred tax assets by a valuation allowance when it is more likely than not that some or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized.

Our effective tax rate for the nine months ended June 30, 2010 decreased to 22.5% from 30.3% for the same prior year period, primarily due to settlement of the IRS audit for fiscal years 2002 through 2005 partially offset by changes in our uncertain tax liabilities.

As of June 30, 2010, we had \$39.6 million of gross unrecognized tax benefits excluding related accrued interest and penalties of \$16.8 million. As of June 30, 2010, \$48.1 million of our unrecognized tax benefits, including related accrued interest and penalties, would affect our effective tax rate, if recognized. During the nine months ended June 30, 2010, our unrecognized tax benefits decreased \$28.2 million, and related interest and penalties decreased \$29.7 million. The decrease in unrecognized tax benefits relating to settlements with tax authorities was \$45.2 million. The increase in unrecognized tax benefits as a result of tax positions taken during a prior period was \$13.0 million. The increase in unrecognized tax benefits as a result of tax positions taken in the current nine-month period was \$4.0 million.

On April 30, 2010, the IRS concluded its examination of our consolidated US tax return for the fiscal years 2002 through 2005. In accordance with the final settlement reached with the IRS, we paid the IRS approximately \$12.4 million, including interest of \$4.3 million during the third quarter of fiscal 2010.

We are also subject to examination in state and foreign jurisdictions. We believe we have recorded all appropriate provisions for outstanding issues for all jurisdictions and open years. However, we can give no assurance that taxing authorities will not propose adjustments that increase our tax liabilities.

13. EARNINGS PER SHARE RECONCILIATION

	Quarters Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
(In millions, except per share amounts)				
Income from continuing operations available to common shares (1)	\$ 94.6	\$ 62.2	\$ 189.3	\$ 159.6
Basic weighted average shares outstanding	297.0	294.3	296.0	293.7
Dilutive effect of non-participating share-based awards	1.9	0.3	2.1	0.1
Diluted weighted average common shares outstanding	298.9	294.6	298.1	293.8
Basic earnings per share from continuing operations	\$ 0.32	\$ 0.21	\$ 0.64	\$ 0.54
Diluted earnings per share from continuing operations	\$ 0.32	\$ 0.21	\$ 0.63	\$ 0.54

Weighted average shares excluded from diluted EPS because the effect would be anti-dilutive:

Edgar Filing: INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHNOLOGY - Form 10-Q

Share-based awards	9.5	15.6	10.2	19.7
Debentures	-	11.4	-	11.4
Notes	42.6	-	42.6	-
Note hedges	(42.6)	-	(42.6)	-
Warrants	42.6	-	42.6	-

(1) Net income available to participating securities was not significant

14. OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

	Quarters Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
(In millions)				
Net income	\$92.1	\$60.6	\$166.1	\$155.4
Currency translation adjustments	(9.8)	21.2	(12.1)	(8.8)
Investment unrealized gains (losses)	(4.5)	11.5	4.9	10.0
Comprehensive income	\$77.8	\$93.3	\$158.9	\$156.6

15. FINANCIAL DERIVATIVES

Foreign Currency Hedging

We hedge our net foreign currency exposure related to monetary assets and liabilities denominated in nonfunctional currency. The notional amount of foreign currency contracts hedging this exposure totaled \$37.9 million at June 30, 2010 and \$24.9 million at September 30, 2009.

In May 2007, we executed five-year forward contracts designated as a fair value hedge to protect a portion of the US dollar value of our Hong Kong dollar investment in the CLS convertible note (see Note 3). The notional amount of foreign currency contracts hedging this exposure totaled \$49.9 million for which there was no ineffectiveness for the three months and the nine months ended June 30, 2010. The component of gain/loss excluded from our assessment of hedge effectiveness was immaterial.

Interest Rate Management

In conjunction with our 7.5% Bonds issued in June 2009, we executed \$250.0 million notional value of interest rate swaps that terminate on June 15, 2019. These swaps effectively exchange 7.5% fixed interest payments for variable rate interest payments at one-month LIBOR plus 342 bps reset on the 15th of each month. Net amounts receivable or payable under the swaps settle semiannually on June 15 and December 15. The interest rate swaps are designated fair value hedges against changes in the fair value of a portion of our 7.5% Bonds. Our assessment determined that the interest rate swaps are highly effective.

In conjunction with our 5.5% Bonds issued in June 2010, we executed \$300.0 million notional value of interest rate swaps that terminate on June 15, 2020. These swaps effectively exchange 5.5% fixed interest payments for variable rate interest payments based on the six-month LIBOR plus 186 bps set in arrears on June 13 and December 13 of each year. Net amounts receivable or payable under the swaps will be settled semiannually on June 15 and December 15. The interest rate swaps are designated fair value hedges against changes in the fair value of a portion of our 5.5% Bonds. Our assessment determined that the interest rate swaps are highly effective.

Presentation of Derivative Amounts

	June 30,	September
	2010	30,
		2009
Balance Sheet Location and Fair Value		
(In millions)		
Non-designated Hedges	\$0.7	\$0.2

Foreign currency contracts: Other assets and deferred costs (current)		
Foreign currency contracts: Other liabilities (current)	0.1	0.8
Designated Hedges		
Foreign currency contracts: Other assets and deferred costs (noncurrent)	\$0.2	\$-
Foreign currency contracts: Other liabilities (noncurrent)	-	0.1
Interest rate swaps: Other assets (noncurrent)	28.0	14.8
Interest rate swaps: Long-term debt	28.2	15.1

Income Statement Location and Gain (loss) (In millions)	Quarters Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	June 30, 2010	2009	June 30, 2010	2009
Non-designated Hedges				
Foreign currency contracts: Other income (expense)	\$0.5	\$(1.3)	\$1.2	\$(2.4)
Designated Hedges				
Foreign currency contracts: Other income (expense)	\$0.2	\$0.2	\$0.2	\$0.4
Interest rate swap - ineffectiveness: Other income (expense)	1.0	(0.3)	0.1	(0.3)
Interest rate swap - effectiveness: Interest expense	2.9	0.5	7.6	0.5

16. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

Financial Assets (Liabilities) Carried at Fair Value

(In millions)	Fair Value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
June 30, 2010				
Money market funds	\$130.0	\$130.0	\$-	\$-
Investments in unconsolidated affiliates	101.0	15.1	-	85.9
Derivative assets	28.9	-	28.9	-
Derivative liabilities	(28.3)	-	(28.3)	-
September 30, 2009				
Money market funds	\$82.6	\$82.6	\$-	\$-
Investments in unconsolidated affiliates	94.1	15.7	-	78.4
Investments in ARS and put rights	21.3	-	-	21.3
Derivative assets	15.0	-	15.0	-
Derivative liabilities	(16.0)	-	(16.0)	-

Reconciliation of Items Carried at Fair Value Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)

(In millions)	Nine Months Ended June 30,			
	2010	2009	2010	2009
	Investments in Unconsolidated Affiliates	Investments in ARS and Put Rights	Investments in Unconsolidated Affiliates	Investments in ARS and Put Rights
Beginning balance	\$78.4	\$21.3	\$80.4	\$19.6
Total gain (loss):				
Included in other income (expense) - other	-	0.3	(1.7)	(0.5)
Included in other comprehensive income	5.6	-	2.4	2.0
Purchases, issuances, accretion, settlements	1.9	(21.6)	(3.9)	-
Ending balance	\$85.9	\$-	\$77.2	\$21.1
Net change in unrealized gain (loss) included in earnings related to instruments still held	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$(0.5)

Valuation Techniques and Balance Sheet Presentation

Investments in unconsolidated affiliates carried at fair value are estimated using quoted market prices when available or DCF models incorporating market participant assumptions, including credit quality and market interest rates and/or a Black-Scholes formula and lattice models with certain assumptions, such as stock price and volatility. These investments are presented as a component of other assets. See Note 3.

Investments in ARS were valued using DCF, with certain assumptions related to lack of liquidity and observable market transactions. Related put rights were valued based on the difference between the ARS par and fair value, discounted for the broker's non-performance risk and the time remaining until the exercise period. The ARS and related put rights were presented in short-term investment securities. See Note 8.

Derivative assets and liabilities are valued using quoted forward pricing from bank counterparties and LIBOR credit default swap rates for non-performance risk, and approximate the net settlement amount if the contracts were settled at the reporting date. These are presented primarily as components of other assets, other liabilities, and notes payable. See Note 15.

Financial Assets (Liabilities) Not Carried at Fair Value

June 30, 2010 (In millions)	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Unrealized Gain	Unrealized Loss
Jackpot investments	\$438.1	\$498.4	\$60.3	\$-
Notes & contracts receivable	376.4	378.9	2.5	-
Jackpot liabilities	(570.9)	(554.2)	16.7	-
Credit facilities & indebtedness	(1,785.0)	(2,084.0)	-	299.0

September 30, 2009 (In millions)	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Unrealized Gain	Unrealized Loss
Jackpot investments	\$464.1	\$518.0	\$54.1	\$0.2
Notes & contracts receivable	404.2	413.8	9.6	-
Jackpot liabilities	(588.1)	(595.5)	-	7.4
Credit facilities & indebtedness	(2,004.9)	(2,435.0)	-	430.1

Valuation Techniques and Balance Sheet Presentation

Jackpot investments are valued based on quoted market prices.

Notes and contracts receivable are valued using DCF incorporating expected payments and current market interest rates relative to the credit risk of each customer.

Jackpot liabilities are valued using DCF models incorporating estimated funding rates, future payment timing, and IGT's nonperformance credit risk.

Credit facilities and indebtedness are valued at quoted market prices or dealer quotes for the identical liability when traded as an asset in an active market when available. Otherwise, the fair value is determined using DCF models of expected payments on outstanding borrowings at current borrowing rates. The swap fair value adjustment presented as a component of notes payable is excluded from the credit facilities amounts not carried at fair value in the table above. The prior period reflects the retrospective application of accounting guidance for convertible debt instruments adopted at the beginning of fiscal 2010. See Note 2 and Note 10.

17. BUSINESS SEGMENTS

We view our business in the following two operating segments:

- ♠ North America includes our operations associated with customers located in the US and Canada
- ♠ International includes our operations associated with customers located in all other jurisdictions

Certain income and expenses related to company-wide initiatives are managed at the corporate level and not allocated to any operating segment. We do not recognize inter-company revenues or expenses upon the transfer of gaming products between operating segments. Segment accounting policies are consistent with those of our consolidated financial statements and segment profit is measured on the basis of operating income. Restructuring charges are reflected within the segment where actions occurred (see Note 18).

Our business segments below are designed to allocate resources within a framework of management responsibility. Operating costs from one segment may benefit other segments. Realignment of our business development and administrative functions, as well as discontinued operations, during fiscal 2010 resulted in changes to segment allocations. Operating income and income from continuing operations before tax for prior periods presented have been recast accordingly.

	Quarters Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
(In millions)				
NORTH AMERICA				
Revenues	\$355.3	\$397.8	\$1,089.7	\$1,264.3
Gaming operations	230.9	249.7	706.2	774.2
Product sales	124.4	148.1	383.5	490.1
Gross profit	196.4	232.4	615.5	691.1
Gaming operations	127.3	152.9	412.8	441.1
Product sales	69.1	79.5	202.7	250.0
Operating income	95.2	121.9	255.8	312.4
Income from continuing operations before tax	102.1	126.0	271.3	328.0
INTERNATIONAL				
Revenues	\$134.4	\$119.5	\$402.8	\$316.9
Gaming operations	45.7	37.0	128.2	111.9
Product sales	88.7	82.5	274.6	205.0
Gross profit	79.5	63.4	228.7	167.9
Gaming operations	34.0	25.4	95.1	69.1
Product sales	45.5	38.0	133.6	98.8
Operating income	47.4	29.6	129.3	64.7
Income from continuing operations before tax	50.8	33.4	143.7	70.4
CORPORATE (net unallocated costs)				
Operating loss	\$(22.4)	\$(24.5)	\$(65.6)	\$(76.0)
Loss from continuing operations before tax	(56.9)	(58.4)	(170.6)	(169.4)
CONSOLIDATED				
Revenues	\$489.7	\$517.3	\$1,492.5	\$1,581.2
Gaming operations	276.6	286.7	834.4	886.1
Product sales	213.1	230.6	658.1	695.1
Gross profit	275.9	295.8	844.2	859.0
Gaming operations	161.3	178.3	507.9	510.2
Product sales	114.6	117.5	336.3	348.8
Operating income	120.2	127.0	319.5	301.1
Income from continuing operations before tax	96.0	101.0	244.4	229.0

18. IMPAIRMENT AND RESTRUCTURING

Impairment and Restructuring Charges Summary

	Quarters Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	June 30, 2010	2009	June 30, 2010	2009
(In millions)				
Impairment - Alabama	\$-	\$-	\$53.1	\$-
Impairment - DigiDeal	-	-	6.7	-
Restructuring - Other	2.7	3.0	3.6	28.7
Total included in continuing operations	\$2.7	\$3.0	\$63.4	\$28.7

Alabama Impairment

The legality of electronic charitable bingo in Alabama continues to be challenged by the state Governor's task force on gambling. Legislation that would have allowed Alabama voters to decide on the addition of a state constitutional amendment authorizing electronic bingo failed to pass the legislative session concluded in April 2010. Additionally, several Alabama Supreme Court cases have negatively impacted the ability of electronic charitable bingo properties to continue operations.

As the legal and political climate continues to deteriorate, we have determined the recoverability of our assets in this market is impaired. In the second quarter of fiscal 2010, we recognized \$53.1 million of impairment charges, including note allowances of \$47.6 million, accounts receivable allowances of \$2.8 million, and gaming operations equipment impairment of \$2.7 million. The fair value was determined using a DCF model and risk-based market discount rates, with consideration for associated property collateral. Based on our third quarter evaluation, there was no change in our expectations.

As of June 30, 2010, two of the three locations where IGT placed electronic bingo machines, Country Crossing and Greenetrack, had ceased operations. The third location, VictoryLand, voluntarily closed operations on August 9, 2010. Prior to its voluntary closure, VictoryLand was operating under a temporary restraining order entered by the circuit court. The temporary restraining order was subsequently dissolved by an Alabama Supreme Court opinion on July 30, 2010, which will become final on August 17, 2010 unless VictoryLand files an application for reconsideration. On August 5, 2010, the Governor's task force filed an emergency motion with the Alabama Supreme Court seeking immediate certification of the Court's judgment dissolving the Circuit Court's temporary restraining order.

Our net carrying amounts at June 30, 2010 totaled \$37.3 million for development financing and accounts receivable extended to Alabama charitable bingo properties and \$5.1 million for related gaming operations equipment. Revenues and interest income related to these assets were recorded on a cash basis subsequent to the first quarter of fiscal 2010 as collectability is not reasonably assured.

DigiDeal Impairment

During the second quarter of fiscal 2010, we recognized \$6.7 million of intellectual property impairment primarily related to uncertainties surrounding the usage of DigiDeal patents in our future product strategies. After concluding that our carrying value was not recoverable from estimated future cash flows to be generated by these intangible assets, the fair value was determined using a relief from royalty method to calculate the present value of market-based royalty license fees avoided by owning the patents.

In July 2010, we executed a definitive agreement with DigiDeal, under which we will divest our equity interest in DigiDeal and cease to manufacture and distribute DigiDeal products. The transaction is anticipated to close by September 30, 2010 and we expect to recognize between \$6.0 million and \$8.0 million of additional charges related to DigiDeal's deconsolidation in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2010. Excluding impairment, DigiDeal contributed net losses of \$3.3 million for the first nine months of fiscal 2010 and \$3.6 million for the comparable prior year period to our consolidated income from continuing operations.

Restructuring

In response to reduced demand, we continue to restructure our organization to maximize efficiency and align expenses with the current and long-term business outlook. During the second quarter of fiscal 2010, we initiated the closure of our international operations in Japan due to ongoing difficult market conditions and changes in our core business strategy. We also began the process of closing and consolidating certain North America facilities.

During the third quarter of fiscal 2010, we recorded restructuring charges in continuing operations of \$2.7 million related to our North America reorganization. These restructuring charges were comprised of \$0.9 million in severance and employee termination costs, \$0.4 million in accelerated stock compensation, and \$1.4 million in lease termination and other fees. In addition, we recorded third quarter restructuring charges in discontinued operations of \$2.3 million related to the closure of our Japan operations, comprised of \$0.4 million in lease cancellation fees and \$1.9 million for abandoned assets.

We expect to incur additional restructuring charges in continuing operations of \$1.9 million over the next three quarters.

Restructuring Charges and Liability As Of and For the Nine Months Ended June 30, 2010

	Severance and Benefits	Lease Termination	Other Fees	TOTAL CASH CHARGES	Abandoned Assets	Share-based Compensation (Forfeitures)	TOTAL NONCASH CHARGES	TOTAL ALL CHARGES
(In millions)								
Fiscal 2010 to date								
North America	\$ 2.0	\$ 1.0	\$0.4	\$ 3.4	\$ -	\$ 0.1	\$ 0.1	\$ 3.5
International	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Corporate	0.1	-	-	0.1	-	-	-	0.1
Continuing operations	2.1	1.0	0.4	3.5	-	0.1	0.1	3.6
Discontinued operations	3.2	2.0	3.0	8.2	9.6	-	9.6	17.8
Consolidated	5.3	3.0	3.4	11.7	9.6	0.1	9.7	21.4
Fiscal 2009								
North America	\$ 28.0	\$ -	\$-	\$ 28.0	\$ -	\$ (2.6)	\$ (2.6)	\$ 25.4
International	2.6	2.2	0.8	5.6	-	(0.1)	(0.1)	5.5
Corporate	3.4	-	0.3	3.7	-	(0.7)	(0.7)	3.0
Continuing operations	34.0	2.2	1.1	37.3	-	(3.4)	(3.4)	33.9
Discontinued operations	1.0	-	0.1	1.1	-	-	-	1.1
Consolidated	35.0	2.2	1.2	38.4	-	(3.4)	(3.4)	35.0
Life-to-date								
North America	\$ 30.0	\$ 1.0	\$0.4	\$ 31.4	\$ -	\$ (2.5)	\$ (2.5)	\$ 28.9
International	2.6	2.2	0.8	5.6	-	(0.1)	(0.1)	5.5
Corporate	3.5	-	0.3	3.8	-	(0.7)	(0.7)	3.1
	36.1	3.2	1.5	40.8	-	(3.3)	(3.3)	37.5

Continuing operations								
Discontinued operations	4.2	2.0	3.1	9.3	9.6	-	9.6	18.9
Consolidated	\$ 40.3	\$ 5.2	\$4.6	50.1	\$ 9.6	\$ (3.3)	\$ 6.3	\$ 56.4