FEDERATED PREMIER MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND Form N-CSR February 06, 2009

United States
Securities and Exchange Commission
Washington, D.C. 20549

Form N-CSR
Certified Shareholder Report of Registered Management Investment Companies

811-21235

(Investment Company Act File Number)

Federated Premier Municipal Income Fund

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Charter)

Federated Investors Funds 4000 Ericsson Drive Warrendale, Pennsylvania 15086-7561 (Address of Principal Executive Offices)

(412) 288-1900 (Registrant's Telephone Number)

John W. McGonigle, Esquire
Federated Investors Tower
1001 Liberty Avenue
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15222-3779
(Name and Address of Agent for Service)
(Notices should be sent to the Agent for Service)

Date of Fiscal Year End: 11/30/08

Date of Reporting Period: Fiscal year ended 11/30/08

Item 1. Reports to Stockholders

Federated

World-Class Investment Manager

Federated Premier Municipal Income Fund

Federated Premier Intermediate Municipal Income Fund

ANNUAL SHAREHOLDER REPORT

November 30, 2008

FEDERATED INVESTORS' CLOSED-END MUNICIPAL FUNDS

Established 2002

FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS SUMMARY TABLES

PORTFOLIOS OF INVESTMENTS

STATEMENTS OF ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN NET ASSETS

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

BOARD OF TRUSTEES AND FUND OFFICERS

LAST MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

EVALUATION AND APPROVAL OF ADVISORY CONTRACT

DIVIDEND REINVESTMENT PLAN

VOTING PROXIES ON FUND PORTFOLIO SECURITIES

OUARTERLY PORTFOLIO SCHEDULE

SOURCE OF DISTRIBUTIONS - NOTICE

CERTIFICATION DISCLOSURE

Not FDIC Insured * May Lose Value * No Bank Guarantee

Financial Highlights - Federated Premier Municipal Income Fund

(For a Common Share Outstanding Throughout Each Period)

Edgar Filing: FEDERATED PREMIER MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND - Form N-CSR

Year Ended November 30	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
Net Asset Value, Beginning of Period	011.00	**	44 = 0 =	h 4.66	
Income From Investment Operations:	- \$14.60	\$15.56	\$15.05	\$14.66	\$14.56
Net investment income ¹	_				
Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) on investments, swap contracts and futures contracts	- 1.12	1.12	1.12	1.12	1.13
Distributions to preferred shareholders from net investment income ²	(0.25)	(0.96) (0.32)	0.55 (0.29)	0.43 (0.19)	0.07 (0.10)
TOTAL FROM INVESTMENT OPERATIONS	(2.72)	(0.16)	1.38	1.36	1.10
Less Distributions to Common Shareholders:					
From net investment income	(0.80)	(0.80)	(0.87)	(0.97)	(1.00)
Net Asset Value, End of Period	\$11.08	\$14.60	\$15.56	\$15.05	\$14.66
Market Price, End of Period	\$ 9.37	\$13.92	\$15.80	\$14.44	\$14.31
Total Return at Net Asset Value ³	(19.45)%	(1.01)%	9.51%	9.49%	8.05%
Total Return at Market Price ⁴	(28.31)%	(7.03)%	15.90%	7.75%	7.76%
Ratios to Average Net Assets:					
Net expenses ⁵	% 0.98 _{6,7}	0.85%	0.85%	0.85%	0.85%
Net investment income ⁸	6.37%	5.33%	5.49%	6.16%	7.13%
Expense waiver/reimbursement ⁹	0.54%	0.47% 10	% 0.45 ₁₀	% 0.45 ₁₀	% 0.49 ₁₀
Supplemental Data:					
Net assets, end of period (000 omitted)	\$67,990	\$89,528	\$95,291	\$92,013	\$89,590
Portfolio turnover	32%	12%	21%	3%	12%

Asset Coverage Requirements for Investment Company Act of 1940 - Preferred Shares

Total Amount Asset Minimum Involuntary Average
Outstanding— Coverage— Required— Liquidating— Market

Edgar Filing: FEDERATED PREMIER MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND - Form N-CSR

•		Per Share	Asset Coverage Per Share	Preference Per Share	Value Per Share ¹¹
11/30/2008	\$44,075,000	\$63,565	\$50,006	\$25,003	\$25,000
11/30/2007	\$53,675,000	\$66,699	\$50,006	\$25,003	\$25,000
11/30/2006	\$53,675,000	\$69,383	\$50,034	\$25,017	\$25,000
11/30/2005	\$53,675,000	\$67,857	\$50,024	\$25,012	\$25,000
11/30/2004	\$53,675,000	\$66,728	\$50,010	\$25,005	\$25,000

¹ Per share numbers have been calculated using the average shares method.

- 4 Total Return at Market Price is the combination of changes in the market price per share and the effect of reinvested dividend income and reinvested capital gains distributions, if any, at the average price paid per share at the time of the reinvestment
- 5 Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred shareholders and any associated commission costs.
- 6 Includes interest and trust expense related to the Fund's participation in certain inverse floater structures of 0.13% for the year ended November 30, 2008.
- 7 The net expense ratio is calculated without reduction for expense offset arrangements. The net expense ratio for the year ended November 30, 2008 is 0.98% after taking into account this expense reduction.
- 8 Ratios reflect reductions for dividend payments to preferred shareholders.
- 9 This expense decrease is reflected in both the net expense and the net investment income ratios shown above.
- 10 Additional information relating to contractual expense waivers, which has no effect on net expenses, net investment income and net assets previously reported, has been provided to conform to the current year presentation.
- 11 Represents initial public offering price.

See Notes which are an integral part of the Financial Statements

² The amounts shown are based on Common Share equivalents.

³ Total Return at Net Asset Value is the combination of changes in the Common Share net asset value, reinvested dividend income and reinvested capital gains distributions at net asset value, if any, and does not reflect the sales charge, if applicable. Total return for the year ended November 30, 2004 was calculated utilizing the average price paid per share at the time of reinvestment. If net asset value had been utilized, the total return would have been 7.89%.

Financial Highlights - Federated Premier Intermediate Municipal Income Fund

(For a Common Share Outstanding Throughout Each Period)

Year Ended November 30	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	
Net Asset Value, Beginning of Period	****	444.05	****	****	****	
Income From Investment Operations:	\$14.15	\$14.83	\$14.41	\$14.53	\$14.65	
Net investment income ¹				0.00		
Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) on investments, swap contracts and futures contracts	0.97	0.99	0.96	0.92	0.90	
Distributions to preferred shareholders from net investment income ²	(2.29)	(0.66) (0.32)	0.44 (0.29)	(0.08) (0.20)	(0.14) (0.10)	
TOTAL FROM INVESTMENT OPERATIONS	(1.56)	0.01	1.11	0.64	0.66	
Less Distributions to Common Shareholders:						
From net investment income	(0.69)	(0.69)	(0.69)	(0.76)	(0.78)	
Net Asset Value, End of Period	\$11.90	\$14.15	\$14.83	\$14.41	\$14.53	
Market Price, End of Period	\$ 9.37	\$12.50	\$13.81	\$12.68	\$13.50	
Total Return at Net Asset Value ³	(11.47)%	0.10%	7.94%	4.46%	5.03%	
Total Return at Market Price ⁴	(20.62)%	(4.80)%	14.63%	(0.66)%	6.14%	
Ratios to Average Net Assets:						
Net expenses ⁵	% 0.986,7	0.89%	0.89%	0.89%	0.89%	
Net investment income ⁸	5.43%	4.63%	4.61%	4.97%	5.51%	
Expense waiver/reimbursement ⁹	0.45%	0.42% 10	% 0.39 ₁₀	0.40% 10	% 0.39 ₁₀	
Supplemental Data:						
Net assets, end of period (000 omitted)	\$82,655	\$98,317	\$103,017	\$100,124	\$100,908	

		. =			
Portfolio turnover	20%	17%	46%	15%	11%

Asset Coverage Requirements for Investment Company Act of 1940 - Preferred Shares

	Total Amount Outstanding	Asset Coverage Per Share	Minimum Required Asset Coverage Per Share	Involuntary Liquidating Preference Per Share	Average Market Value Per Share ¹¹
11/30/2008	\$50,900,000	\$65,597	\$50,004	\$25,002	\$25,000
11/30/2007	\$61,025,000	\$65,277	\$50,018	\$25,009	\$25,000
11/30/2006	\$61,025,000	\$67,203	\$50,010	\$25,005	\$25,000
11/30/2005	\$61,025,000	\$66,017	\$50,004	\$25,002	\$25,000
11/30/2004	\$61,025,000	\$66,339	\$50,016	\$25,008	\$25,000

¹ Per share numbers have been calculated using the average shares method.

- 5 Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred shareholders and any associated commission costs.
- 6 Includes interest and trust expense related to the Fund's participation in certain inverse floater structures of 0.09% for the year ended November 30, 2008.
- 7 The net expense ratio is calculated without reduction for expense offset arrangements. The net expense ratio for the year ended November 30, 2008 is 0.98% after taking into account this expense reduction.
- 8 Ratios reflect reductions for dividend payments to preferred shareholders.
- 9 This expense decrease is reflected in both the net expense and the net investment income ratios shown above.

10 Additional information relating to contractual expense waivers, which has no effect on net expenses, net investment income and net assets previously reported, has been provided to conform to the current year presentation.

² The amounts shown are based on Common Share equivalents.

³ Total Return at Net Asset Value is the combination of changes in the Common Share net asset value, reinvested dividend income and reinvested capital gains distributions at net asset value, if any, and does not reflect the sales charge, if applicable. Total return for the year ended November 30, 2004 was calculated utilizing the average price paid per share at the time of reinvestment. If net asset value had been utilized, the total return would have been 4.63%.

⁴ Total Return at Market Price is the combination of changes in the market price per share and the effect of reinvested dividend income and reinvested capital gains distributions, if any, at the average price paid per share at the time of the reinvestment.

11 Represents initial public offering price.

See Notes which are an integral part of the Financial Statements

Federated Premier Municipal Income Fund - Portfolio of Investments Summary Table

At November 30, 2008, the Fund's sector composition ¹ was as follows:

Sector Composition	Percentage of Total Investments
Insured	25.0%
Refunded	19.5%
Hospital	14.3%
GO State	9.0%
Special Tax	7.6%
Senior Care	7.3%
IDB/PCR	4.4%
Education	4.0%
Tobacco	2.7%
GO Local	2.1%
Other ²	4.1%
TOTAL	100.0%

¹ Sector classifications and the assignment of holdings to such sectors are based upon the economic sector and/or revenue source of the underlying borrower, as determined by the Fund's adviser. For securities that have been enhanced by a third party, such as a guarantor, sector classifications are based upon the economic sector and/or revenue source of the third party, as determined by the Fund's adviser. Securities that are insured by a bond insurer are assigned to the "Insured" sector. Refunded securities are those whose debt is paid from escrowed funds, usually U.S. government securities.

² For purposes of this table, sector classifications constitute 95.9% of the Fund's total investments. Remaining sectors have been aggregated under the designation "Other."

Federated Premier Municipal Income Fund - Portfolio of Investments

November 30, 2008

Principal	l
A mount	

Amount		Value
\$ 350,000	MUNICIPAL BONDS98.0% Alabama0.2% Courtland, AL IDB, PCR Refunding Bonds (Series 2005A), 5.00% (International Paper Co.), 6/1/2025	\$ 231,703
750,000	Arizona1.0% Tempe, AZ IDA, Senior Living Revenue Bonds (Series A), 6.75% (Friendship Village of Tempe), 12/1/2030	
750,000	Verrado Community Facilities District No. 1, AZ, Revenue Bonds, 6.50%, 7/15/2027	603,367 647,070
	TOTAL	1,250,437
1,000,000	Arkansas-0.9% Arkansas Development Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds (Series 2000), 7.375% (Washington Regional Medical Center)/(United States Agency PRF 2/1/2010@100)/(Original Issue Yield: 7.50%), 2/1/2029	1,063,060
2,000,000	California-3.2% California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Revenue Bonds (Series 2008A), 5.00% (Sutter Health)/(Original Issue Yield: 5.21%), 8/15/2038	
1,000,000	California State, UT GO Bonds, 5.25%, 10/1/2020	1,585,360
260,000	Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corp., CA, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds (Series 2007A-1), 5.75%, 6/1/2047	995,740
250,000	Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corp., CA, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Revenue Bonds (Series 2003A-1), 6.75% (United States Agency PRF 6/1/2013@100)/(Original Issue Yield: 7.00%), 6/1/2039	163,033
750,000	Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corp., CA, Tobacco Settlement Revenue Bonds (Series 2003A-2), 7.90% (United States Agency PRF 6/1/2013@100), 6/1/2042	287,322 895,987
	TOTAL	3,927,442
725,000	Colorado5.3%	899,036

Value

	Antelope Heights Metropolitan District, CO, LT GO Bonds, 8.00% (United States Treasury PRF 12/1/2013@101), 12/1/2023	
1,000,000	Buckhorn Valley Metropolitan District No. 2, CO, LT GO Bonds, 7.00%, 12/1/2023	
500,000	Colorado Educational & Cultural Facilities Authority, Refunding Revenue Bonds (Series A), 7.00% (Denver Academy)/(Original Issue Yield: 7.25%), 11/1/2023	792,120
Principal Amount		458,060 Value
\$ 1,000,000	MUNICIPAL BONDScontinued Coloradocontinued Colorado Health Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds (Series 2002A), 6.125% (Covenant Retirement Communities, Inc.)/(Original Issue Yield: 6.40%), 12/1/2033	
320,000	Colorado State Higher Education Capital Construction Lease Purchase Financing Program, COPs (Series 2008), 5.50% (Original Issue Yield: 5.60%), 11/1/2027	\$ 825,890
250,000	Conservatory Metropolitan District, CO, LT GO Bonds, 6.75% (United States Treasury PRF 12/1/2013@102), 12/1/2034	311,190
500,000	Conservatory Metropolitan District, CO, LT GO Bonds, 7.55% (United States Treasury PRF 12/1/2013@102), 12/1/2032	299,415
665,000	Conservatory Metropolitan District, CO, Refunding & Improvement LT GO Bonds, 5.125% (Radian Asset Assurance, Inc. INS), 12/1/2037	616,260
1,000,000	Denver, CO Health & Hospital Authority, Revenue Bonds, 6.25% (United States Treasury PRF 12/1/2014@100)/(Original Issue Yield: 6.28%), 12/1/2033	494,142
500,000	Southlands, CO Metropolitan District No. 1, LT GO Bonds (Series 2004), 7.00%	1,179,450
	(United States Treasury PRF 12/1/2014@100)/(Original Issue Yield: 7.05%), 12/1/2024	605,630
	TOTAL	6,481,193
1,250,000	Connecticut-1.3% Connecticut State Development Authority, First Mortgage Gross Revenue Health Care Project Bonds (Series 2003), 5.85% (Elim Park Baptist Home, Inc.)/(Original Issue Yield: 5.98%), 12/1/2033	
825,000	Connecticut State HEFA, Revenue Bonds (Series 2006B), 5.00% (Canterbury School)/(Radian Asset Assurance, Inc. INS), 7/1/2036	925,762 607,893
	TOTAL	1,533,655

2,500,000	District of Columbia-1.5% District of Columbia Tobacco Settlement Financing Corp., Asset-Backed Revenue Bonds, 6.50% (Original Issue Yield: 6.67%), 5/15/2033	1,800,975
600,000	Florida-8.2% Broward County, FL Educational Facilities Authority, Educational Facilities Revenue Bonds (Series 2004B), 5.60% (Nova Southeastern University)/(Original Issue Yield: 5.625%), 4/1/2029	
400,000	¹ Capital Trust Agency, FL, Revenue Bonds (Series 2003A), 8.95% (Seminole Tribe of Florida Convention and Resort Hotel Facilities)/(United States Treasury PRF 10/1/2012@102), 10/1/2033	473,028
1,490,000	Citrus County, FL Hospital Board, Refunding Revenue Bonds, 6.375% (Citrus Memorial Hospital)/(Original Issue Yield: 6.50%), 8/15/2032	495,028
Principal Amount		1,281,877 Value
\$ 1,255,000	MUNICIPAL BONDScontinued Florida-continued Harbor Bay, FL Community Development District, Special Assessment Revenue Bonds, 6.75%, 5/1/2034	
2,000,000	Jacksonville, FL Sales Tax, Revenue Bonds (Series 2003), 5.00% (MBIA Insurance Corp. INS), 10/1/2024	\$ 964,518
400,000	Orlando, FL Urban Community Development District, Capital Improvement Revenue Bonds, 6.25%, 5/1/2034	1,921,580
1,000,000	South Lake County, FL Hospital District, Revenue Bonds, 6.625% (South Lake Hospital, Inc.), 10/1/2023	280,332
2,000,000	South Miami, FL Health Facilities Authority, Health Facilities Revenue Bonds (Series 2007), 5.00% (Baptist Health System of South Florida), 8/15/2042	911,500
1,000,000	Tolomato Community Development District, FL, Special Assessment Revenue Bonds (Series 2007), 6.65% (Original Issue Yield: 6.70%), 5/1/2040	1,543,020
490,000	Tuscany Reserve Community Development District, FL, Capital Improvement Revenue Bonds (Series 2005A), 5.55%, 5/1/2036	747,100
1,010,000	Verandah West, FL Community Development District, Capital Improvement Revenue Bonds (Series 2003A), 6.625% (Original Issue Yield: 6.75%), 5/1/2033	289,864
495,000	Winter Garden Village at Fowler Groves Community Development District, FL, Special Assessment Bonds (Series 2006), 5.65%, 5/1/2037	 825,089 348,539

	TOTAL	10,081,475
1,000,000	Georgia-0.6% Atlanta, GA, Tax Allocation Bonds (Series 2005B), 5.60% (Eastside Tax Allocation District)/(Original Issue Yield: 5.65%), 1/1/2030	699,040
1,400,000	Hawaii-1.1% Hawaii State Department of Budget & Finance, Special Purpose Revenue Bonds (Series A), 8.00% (Kahala Nui)/(Original Issue Yield: 8.175%), 11/15/2033	1,287,468
996,000	Illinois-4.4% Antioch Village, IL Special Service Area No. 1, Special Tax Revenue Bonds, 6.625% (Deercrest Project), 3/1/2033	
2,500,000	Chicago, IL Sales Tax, Revenue Bonds, 5.25% (FGIC INS)/(Original Issue Yield: 5.385%), 1/1/2028	744,689
1,000,000	Chicago, IL Special Assessment, Improvement Revenue Bonds, 6.75% (Lakeshore East Project)/(Original Issue Yield: 6.769%), 12/1/2032	2,435,200
420,000	DuPage County, IL, Special Tax Bonds (Series 2006), 5.625% (Naperville Campus LLC), 3/1/2036	800,660
Principal Amount		269,333 Value
\$ 1,000,000	MUNICIPAL BONDScontinued Illinois-continued Illinois Educational Facilities Authority, Refunding Revenue Bonds (Series A), 5.70% (Augustana College)/(Original Issue Yield: 5.90%), 10/1/2032	
625,000	Illinois Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds (Series 2005A), 6.00% (Landing at Plymouth Place)/(Original Issue Yield: 6.04%), 5/15/2037	\$ 778,530 422,569
	TOTAL	5,450,981
1,930,000	Indiana-2.5% Indiana Health & Educational Facility Financing Authority, Revenue Bonds (Series 2005), 5.25% (Baptist Homes of Indiana), 11/15/2035	
1,985,000	Indiana Municipal Power Agency, Power Supply System Revenue Bonds (Series 2007A), 5.00% (MBIA Insurance Corp. INS), 1/1/2042	1,432,214 1,613,884
	TOTAL	3,046,098
325,000	Kentucky-1.3% Kentucky EDFA, Revenue Bonds (Series 2000A), 6.625% (Norton Healthcare,	288,964

Inc.)/(Original Issue Yield: 6.97%), 10/1/2028

1,175,000	Kentucky EDFA, Revenue Bonds (Series 2000A), 6.625% (Norton Healthcare, Inc.)/(United States Treasury PRF 10/1/2010@101)/(Original Issue Yield: 6.97%), 10/1/2028	1,279,352
	TOTAL	1,568,316
2,500,000	Louisiana-1.3% St. John the Baptist Parish, LA, Revenue Bonds (Series 2007A), 5.125% (Marathon Oil Corp.), 6/1/2037	1,584,875
550,000	Maryland0.5% Baltimore, MD, Special Obligation Revenue Bonds (Series 2008A), 7.00% (East Baltimore Research Park), 9/1/2038	
300,000	Maryland State Health & Higher Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds (Series 2007A), 5.25% (King Farm Presbyterian Retirement Community), 1/1/2027	422,967 197,445
	TOTAL	620,412
1,000,000	Massachusetts-2.5% Massachusetts HEFA, Revenue Bonds (Series 2003E), 6.75% (Jordan Hospital)/(Original Issue Yield: 7.00%), 10/1/2033	
2,000,000	Massachusetts State Development Finance Agency, Revenue Bonds, 5.75% (Massachusetts College of Pharmacy & Allied Health Sciences)/(United States Treasury PRF 7/1/2013@101), 7/1/2033	782,770 2,286,860
	TOTAL	3,069,630
Principal Amount		Value
\$ 2,000,000	MUNICIPAL BONDScontinued Michigan-1.8% Cornell Township MI, Economic Development Corp., Refunding Revenue Bonds, 5.875% (MeadWestvaco Corp.)/(United States Treasury PRF 5/1/2012@100), 5/1/2018	\$ 2,216,320
2,000,000	Mississippi-2.5% Lowndes County, MS Solid Waste Disposal, Refunding PCRBs (Series 1992B), 6.70% (Weyerhaeuser Co.), 4/1/2022	
2,000,000	Mississippi Development Bank, Special Obligation Bonds (Series 2006A), 5.00% (Municipal Energy Agency of Mississippi)/(Syncora Guarantee, Inc. INS),	1,599,020

		TOTAL	3,065,640
	500,000	Missouri0.3% Missouri Development Finance Board, Infrastructure Facilities Revenue Bonds (Series 2003A), 5.50% (Branson, MO)/(Original Issue Yield: 5.56%), 12/1/2032	361,715
	500,000	New Jersey1.3% New Jersey EDA, Revenue Bonds, (Series 2004), 5.75% (NJ Dedicated Cigarette Excise Tax)/(Original Issue Yield: 5.89%), 6/15/2029	
	231,858	³ New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, Revenue Bonds, 6.50% (Pascack Valley Hospital Association)/(Original Issue Yield: 6.72%), 7/1/2023	359,900
	1,000,000	New Jersey State Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Project C, 6.50% (Georgian Court University)/(United States Treasury PRF 7/1/2013@100), 7/1/2033	7,767 1,170,580
		TOTAL	1,538,247
	750,000	New Mexico-0.6% 1,2 Jicarilla, NM Apache Nation, Revenue Bonds, 5.50%, 9/1/2023	733,118
	190,000	New York3.2% Amherst, NY IDA, Civic Facility Revenue Bonds (Series 2006A), 5.20% (Beechwood Health Care Center, Inc.), 1/1/2040	
	750,000	Dutchess County, NY IDA, Civic Facility Revenue Bonds (Series 2004B), 7.50% (St. Francis Hospital and Health Centers), 3/1/2029	111,821
	750,000	Dutchess County, NY IDA, Revenue Bonds, 5.00% (Marist College)/(Original Issue Yield: 5.25%), 7/1/2022	709,193
	800,000	¹ New York City, NY IDA, Liberty Revenue Bonds (Series A), 6.50% (7 World Trade Center LLC), 3/1/2035	694,073
:	2,000,000	New York State Dormitory Authority, Revenue Bonds (Series 2003A), 5.50% (Brooklyn Law School)/(Radian Asset Assurance, Inc. INS), 7/1/2019	528,360 1,917,780
		TOTAL	3,961,227
	icipal ount		 Value
\$	665,000	MUNICIPAL BONDScontinued North Carolina-1.8% Johnston Memorial Hospital Authority, NC, FHA INS Mortgage Revenue Bonds (Series 2008), 5.25% (Johnston Memorial Hospital)/ (FSA INS), 10/1/2036	\$ 568,422

Principal Amount		Value
	TOTAL	5,079,594
500,000	Pennsylvania State Higher Education Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, (Series A), 6.00% (UPMC Health System)/(Original Issue Yield: 6.16%), 1/15/2031	480,450
2,000,000	Montgomery County, PA Higher Education & Health Authority Hospital, Revenue Bonds (Series 2006FF1), 5.00% (Dickinson College)/(CIFG Assurance N.A. INS), 5/1/2031	1,741,780
360,000	Cumberland County, PA Municipal Authority, Retirement Community Revenue Bonds (Series 2002A), 7.25% (Wesley Affiliated Services, Inc. Obligated Group)/(United States Treasury PRF 1/1/2013@101)/(Original Issue Yield: 7.50%), 1/1/2035	1,098,345 422,892
935,000	Cumberland County, PA Municipal Authority, Retirement Community Revenue Bonds (Series 2002A), 7.25% (Wesley Affiliated Services, Inc. Obligated Group)/(United States Treasury PRF 1/1/2013@101)/(Original Issue Yield: 7.50%), 1/1/2035	1,336,127
1,165,000	Pennsylvania4.1% Allegheny County, PA HDA, Health System Revenue Bonds (Series 2000B), 9.25% (West Penn Allegheny Health System)/(United States Treasury PRF 11/15/2010@ 102)/(Original Issue Yield: 9.70%), 11/15/2030	1 226 127
500,000	Oregon0.3% ¹Cow Creek Band of Umpqua Tribe of Indians, Tax-Exempt Tax Revenue Bonds (Series 2006C), 5.625%, 10/1/2026	353,510
975,000	Ohio-0.5% Buckeye Tobacco Settlement Financing Authority, OH, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds (Series 2007A), 6.50%, 6/1/2047	665,174
2,000,000	North Dakota-1.6% Fargo, ND, Health System Revenue Bonds (Series 2000A), 5.60% (Meritcare Obligated Group)/(FSA INS)/(Original Issue Yield: 5.70%), 6/1/2021	1,994,300
	TOTAL	2,173,926
800,000	North Carolina Medical Care Commission, Health Care Housing Revenue Bonds (Series 2004A), 5.80% (Arc of North Carolina Projects), 10/1/2034	599,184
1,000,000	North Carolina Eastern Municipal Power Agency, Power System Refunding Revenue Bonds (Series 2003C), 5.375% (Original Issue Yield: 5.57%), 1/1/2017	1,006,320

\$ 1,000,000	South Carolina-3.8% Clemson University, SC, University Revenue Bonds, 5.00% (Syncora Guarantee, Inc. INS), 5/1/2023	
1,940,000	Myrtle Beach, SC, Hospitality Fee Revenue Bonds (Series2004A), 5.375% (FGIC and MBIA Insurance Corp. INS), 6/1/2023	\$ 910,630
1,660,000	South Carolina Jobs-EDA, Health System Revenue Bonds (Series A), 5.625% (Bon Secours Health System)/(Original Issue Yield: 5.84%), 11/15/2030	1,762,374
520,000	South Carolina Jobs-EDA, Health System Revenue Bonds (Series A), 5.625% (Bon Secours Health System)/(United States Treasury PRF 11/15/2012@100)/(Original Issue Yield: 5.84%), 11/15/2030	1,368,886 578,568
	TOTAL	4,620,458
1,750,000	South Dakota-1.3% South Dakota State Health & Educational Authority, Revenue Bonds, 5.65% (Westhills Village Retirement Community)/(Original Issue Yield: 5.75%), 9/1/2023	1,568,350
2,000,000	Tennessee-4.9% Johnson City, TN Health & Education Facilities Board, Hospital Refunding Revenue Bonds (Series A), 7.50% (Mountain States Health Alliance)/(MBIA GIC PRF 7/1/2012@103), 7/1/2025	
1,535,000	Knox County, TN Health Education & Housing Facilities Board, Refunding Improvement Revenue Bonds (Series 2003B), 5.75% (East Tennessee Children's Hospital)/(Original Issue Yield: 5.90%), 7/1/2033	2,382,460
2,580,000	Tennessee State School Board Authority, Higher Educational Facilities Second Program Bonds (Series 2008B), 5.50%, 5/1/2038	1,119,583 2,524,685
	TOTAL	6,026,728
600,000	Texas-9.5% Abilene, TX HFDC, Retirement Facilities Revenue Bonds (Series 2003A), 7.00% (Sears Methodist Retirement)/(Original Issue Yield: 7.25%), 11/15/2033	406.450
1,500,000	Dallas, TX, LT GO Bonds (Series 2008), 5.00% (Original Issue Yield: 5.19%), 2/15/2027	486,450
700,000	Decatur, TX Hospital Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds (Series 2004A), 7.125% (Wise Regional Health System), 9/1/2034	1,470,030
385,000	HFDC of Central Texas, Inc., Retirement Facility Revenue Bonds (Series 2006A), 5.50% (Village at Gleannloch Farms, Inc.), 2/15/2027	578,816
585,000		254,935 360,764

	HFDC of Central Texas, Inc., Retirement Facility Revenue Bonds (Series 2006A), 5.50% (Village at Gleannloch Farms, Inc.), 2/15/2037		
2,000,000	Houston, TX Combined Utility System, First Lien Revenue Bonds, 5.00% (FSA INS), 11/15/2035		

1,795,660

Principal Amount		1,793,000 Value
\$ 2,500,000	MUNICIPAL BONDScontinued Texas-continued Houston, TX Combined Utility System, First Lien Refunding Revenue Bonds (Series 2004A), 5.25% (FGIC and MBIA Insurance Corp. INS), 5/15/2023	
200,000	Matagorda County, TX Navigation District No. 1, COL Refunding Revenue Bonds, 5.60% (CenterPoint Energy Houston Electric LLC), 3/1/2027	\$ 2,334,075
1,350,000	North Central Texas HFDC, Retirement Facility Revenue Bonds (Series 1999), 7.50% (Northwest Senior Housing Corp. Edgemere Project)/(United States Treasury PRF 11/15/2009@102)/(Original Issue Yield: 7.75%), 11/15/2029	141,844
1,050,000	Sabine River Authority, TX, PCR Refunding Bonds (Series 2003B), 6.15% (Texas Competitive Electric Holdings Co. LLC), 8/1/2022	1,455,611
500,000	Tarrant County, TX Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corp., Revenue Bonds, (Series 2006A), 6.00% (Northwest Senior Housing Corp. Edgemere Project), 11/15/2036	629,790
1,000,000	Tyler, TX HFDC, Hospital Revenue Bonds, 5.75% (Mother Frances Hospital)/(United States Treasury PRF 7/1/2013@100)/(Original Issue Yield: 5.84%), 7/1/2027	346,025
1,000,000	Tyler, TX HFDC, Refunding Revenue & Improvement Bonds (Series 2007A), 5.25% (East Texas Medical Center Regional Healthcare System)/(Original Issue Yield: 5.32%), 11/1/2027	1,126,710 688,840
	TOTAL	11,669,550
1,000,000	Virginia-3.7% Broad Street CDA, VA, Revenue Bonds, 7.50% (Original Issue Yield: 7.625%), 6/1/2033	
1,280,000	Hampton, VA Convention Center, Revenue Bonds, 5.125% (AMBAC INS), 1/15/2028	877,350
1,400,000	Peninsula Port Authority, VA, Residential Care Facility Revenue Bonds (Series 2003A), 7.375% (Virginia Baptist Homes Obligated Group)/(United States Treasury PRF 12/1/2013@ 100)/(Original Issue Yield: 7.625%), 12/1/2032	1,172,544
		1,692,992

1,000,000	Virginia Peninsula Port Authority, Coal Terminal Refunding Revenue Bonds (Series 2003), 6.00% (Brinks Co. (The)), 4/1/2033	817,000
	TOTAL	4,559,886
1,910,000	Washington-15.0% King County, WA Public Hospital District No. 1, Refunding LT GO Bonds, 5.00% (FSA INS)/(Original Issue Yield: 5.17%), 12/1/2021	
2,000,000	Washington State Health Care Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds (Series 2008C), 5.50% (Children's Hospital & Regional Medical Center, WA), 10/1/2035	1,917,392
1,000,000	Washington State Health Care Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, 5.00% (Group Health Cooperative)/(Radian Asset Assurance, Inc. INS), 12/1/2036	1,736,040
Principal		686,490
Amount		Value
\$ 12,790,000	MUNICIPAL BONDScontinued Washington-continued 4Washington State, UT GO Bonds (Series 2008A), 5.000%, 7/1/2030	
2,000,000	Washington State, Various Purpose UT GO Bonds (Series 2002A), 5.00% (FSA	\$ 12,048,564
2,000,000	INS)/(Original Issue Yield: 5.09%), 7/1/2022	2,000,380
	TOTAL	18,388,866
1,450,000	West Virginia-0.8% Pleasants County, WV County Commission, PCR Refunding Revenue Bonds (Series 2007F), 5.25% (Allegheny Energy Supply Company LLC), 10/15/2037	1,017,842
655,000	Wisconsin-5.2% Badger, WI Tobacco Asset Securitization Corp., Asset-Backed Revenue Bonds, 6.125% (Original Issue Yield: 6.35%), 6/1/2027	
3,000,000	Wisconsin State HEFA, Health Facilities Revenue Bonds (Series A), 5.25% (Ministry Health Care)/(MBIA Insurance Corp. INS)/(Original Issue Yield: 5.38%), 2/15/2032	618,955
160,000	Wisconsin State HEFA, Revenue Bonds (Series 2004), 5.75% (Blood Center of Wisconsin, Inc.)/(Original Issue Yield: 5.82%), 6/1/2034	2,344,440
500,000	Wisconsin State HEFA, Revenue Bonds, 6.50% (Tomah Memorial Hospital, Inc.)/(Original Issue Yield: 6.75%), 7/1/2023	131,165
500,000	Wisconsin State HEFA, Revenue Bonds, 6.625% (Tomah Memorial Hospital, Inc.)/(Original Issue Yield: 6.875%), 7/1/2028	415,400
		400,410

1,564,620 853,650 6,328,640 120,019,851
6,328,640
120,019,851
1,100,000
100,000
Value
\$ 400,000
1,300,000
2,500,000
122,519,851
(10,454,502)
(44,075,000)
\$ 67,990,349

At November 30, 2008, the Fund holds no securities that are subject to the federal alternative minimum tax (AMT)

(unaudited).

- 1 Denotes a restricted security that either: (a) cannot be offered for public sale without first being registered, or being able to take advantage of an exemption from registration, under the Securities Act of 1933; or (b) is subject to a contractual restriction on public sales. At November 30, 2008, these restricted securities amounted to \$2,110,016, which represented 1.7% of total market value.
- 2 Denotes a restricted security that may be resold without restriction to "qualified institutional buyers" as defined in Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933 and that the Fund has determined to be liquid under criteria established by the Fund's Board of Trustees (the "Trustees"). At November 30, 2008, these liquid restricted securities amounted to \$733,118, which represented 0.6% of total market value.
- 3 Obligor filed for bankruptcy. On September 30, 2008, a Notice of Initial Distribution was received and a portion of the bond was redeemed at par. The market value of the remaining par was adjusted to reflect the expected value of future cash flows.
- 4 Underlying security in inverse floater structure.
- 5 Current rate and next reset date shown for Variable Rate Demand Notes.
- 6 The cost of investments for federal tax purposes amounts to \$128,448,054.
- 7 Assets, other than investments in securities, less liabilities. See Statement of Assets and Liabilities.

Note: The categories of investments are shown as a percentage of total market value at November 30, 2008.

Various inputs are used in determining the value of the Fund's investments. These inputs are summarized in the three broad levels listed below:

Level 1--quoted prices in active markets for identical securities

Level 2--other significant observable inputs (including quoted prices for similar securities, interest rates, prepayment speeds, credit risk, etc.)

Level 3--significant unobservable inputs (including the Fund's own assumptions in determining the fair value of investments)

The inputs or methodology used for valuing securities are not an indication of the risk associated with investing in those securities.

The following is a summary of the inputs used, as of November 30, 2008, in valuing the Fund's assets carried at fair value:

Valuation Inputs	Investments in Securities
Level 1Quoted Prices	\$
Level 2Other Significant Observable Inputs	122,519,851

Level 3--Significant Unobservable Inputs

TOTAL	\$122,519,851

The following acronyms are used throughout this portfolio:

AMBAC -- American Municipal Bond Assurance Corporation

CDA --Community Development Authority

COL --Collateralized

COPs --Certificates of Participation

EDA --Economic Development Authority

EDFA --Economic Development Finance Authority
FGIC --Financial Guaranty Insurance Company

FHA --Federal Housing Administration FSA --Financial Security Assurance

GO --General Obligation

HDA --Hospital Development Authority

HEFA --Health and Education Facilities Authority
HFDC --Health Facility Development Corporation

IDA --Industrial Development Authority
IDB --Industrial Development Bond

INS --Insured

LIQs --Liquidity Agreements LOC --Letter of Credit LT --Limited Tax

MBIA GIC --GIC from MBIA Corporation PCR --Pollution Control Revenue

PCRBs --Pollution Control Revenue Bonds

PRF --Prerefunded UT --Unlimited Tax

VRDNs -- Variable Rate Demand Notes

See Notes which are an integral part of the Financial Statements

Federated Premier Intermediate Municipal Income Fund - Portfolio of Investments Summary Table

At November 30, 2008, the Fund's sector composition 1 was as follows:

Sector Composition	Percentage of Total Investments
Insured	21.4%
Hospital	15.7%
Refunded	12.2%
GO State	8.2%

Senior Care	7.6%
Special Tax	6.8%
Education	6.7%
Public Power	3.8%
Electric & Gas	3.5%
IDB/PCR	3.3%
Tobacco	3.0%
GO Local	2.2%
Other ²	5.6%
TOTAL	100.0%

¹ Sector classifications and the assignment of holdings to such sectors are based upon the economic sector and/or revenue source of the underlying borrower, as determined by the Fund's adviser. For securities that have been enhanced by a third party, such as a guarantor, sector classifications are based upon the economic sector and/or revenue source of the third party, as determined by the Fund's adviser. Securities that are insured by a bond insurer are assigned to the "Insured" sector. Refunded securities are those whose debt is paid from escrowed funds, usually U.S. government securities.

2 For purposes of this table, sector classifications constitute 94.4% of the Fund's total investments. Remaining sectors have been aggregated under the designation "Other."

Federated Premier Intermediate Municipal Income Fund - Portfolio of Investments

November 30, 2008

TOTAL

Principal

Amount		Value
\$ 2,000,000	MUNICIPAL BONDS98.0% Alabama1.3% Birmingham-Baptist Medical Centers, AL Special Care Facilities Financing Authority, Revenue Bonds (Series 2005A), 5.25% (Baptist Health System of Birmingham), 11/15/2020	
350,000	Courtland, AL IDB, PCR Refunding Bonds (Series 2005A), 5.00% (International	\$ 1,699,240
,	Paper Co.), 6/1/2025	231,703

1,930,943

1,000,000	Alaska0.7% Alaska State Housing Finance Corp., State Capitalization Project Revenue Bonds, (Series A), 5.00% (MBIA Insurance Corp. INS), 7/1/2011	1,050,250
1,500,000	Arizona1.0% Verrado Community Facilities District No. 1, AZ, Revenue Bonds, 6.15%, 7/15/2017	1,411,635
1,000,000	Arkansas1.5% Arkansas Development Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, 7.25% (Washington Regional Medical Center)/(United States Agency PRF 2/1/2010@100)/(Original Issue Yield: 7.40%), 2/1/2020	
1,000,000	Independence County, AR, PCR Refunding Bonds (Series 2005), 5.00% (Entergy Arkansas, Inc.), 1/1/2021	1,061,620
300,000	Jefferson County, AR, PCR Refunding Bonds (Series 2006), 4.60% (Entergy Arkansas, Inc.), 10/1/2017	841,460 273,756
	TOTAL	2,176,836
1,250,000	California5.9% California Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds (Series 2000A), 6.75% (Fresno Pacific University), 3/1/2019	
570,000	California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Health Facility Revenue Bonds (Series 2004I), 4.95% TOBs (Catholic Healthcare West), Mandatory Tender 7/1/2014	1,182,687
2,000,000	California Statewide Communities Development Authority, Revenue Bonds (Series 2007), 5.00% (Inland Regional Center), 12/1/2017	550,010
1,865,000	Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corp., CA, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Revenue Bonds (Series 2003A-1), 6.25% (United States Agency PRF 6/1/2013@100)/(Original Issue Yield: 6.55%), 6/1/2033	1,989,240
Principal Amount		2,003,196 Value
\$ 1,700,000	MUNICIPAL BONDScontinued Californiacontinued Stockton, CA Public Financing Authority, Redevelopment Projects Revenue Bonds (Series 2006A), 5.00% (Radian Asset Assurance, Inc. INS), 9/1/2016	vanue
1,195,000	Stockton, CA Public Financing Authority, Redevelopment Projects Revenue Bonds (Series 2006A), 5.00% (Radian Asset Assurance, Inc. INS), 9/1/2018	\$ 1,663,110 1,134,402
	TOTAL	 8,522,645

725,000	Colorado3.9% Antelope Heights Metropolitan District, CO, LT GO Bonds, 8.00% (United States Treasury PRF 12/1/2013@101)/(Original Issue Yield: 8.00%), 12/1/2023			
500,000	Buckhorn Valley Metropolitan District No. 2, CO, LT GO Bonds, 7.00%, 12/1/2023	899,036		
260,000				
1,265,000	Colorado Health Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds (Series 2005), 5.00% (Covenant Retirement Communities, Inc.), 12/1/2013	250,305		
1,000,000	Colorado State Higher Education Capital Construction Lease Purchase Financing Program, COP (Series 2008), 5.25% (Original Issue Yield: 5.40%), 11/1/2023	1,198,044		
865,000	Conservatory Metropolitan District, CO, LT GO Bonds, 7.40% (United States Treasury PRF 12/1/2013@102)/(Original Issue Yield: 7.40%), 12/1/2016	971,950		
230,000	High Plains, CO Metropolitan District, Revenue Bonds (Series 2005B), 4.375% (Compass Bank, Birmingham LOC)/(Original Issue Yield: 4.50%), 12/1/2015	1,029,514		
500,000	Southlands, CO Metropolitan District No. 1, LT GO Bonds (Series 2004), 7.00% (United States Treasury PRF 12/1/2014@100)/(Original Issue Yield: 7.05%), 12/1/2024	225,485 605,630		
	TOTAL	5,576,024		
750,000	Connecticut0.4% Connecticut State Development Authority, First Mortgage Gross Revenue Health Care Project Bonds (Series 2003), 5.75% (Elim Park Baptist Home, Inc.)/(Original Issue Yield: 5.90%), 12/1/2023	617,115		
1,000,000	District of Columbia0.7% District of Columbia, Ballpark Revenue Bonds (Series 2006B-1), 5.25% (FGIC & MBIA Insurance Corp. INS), 2/1/2016	982,300		
600,000	Florida8.1% ¹ Capital Trust Agency, FL, Revenue Bonds (Series 2003A), 8.95% (Seminole Tribe of Florida Convention and Resort Hotel Facilities)/(United States Treasury PRF 10/1/2012@102)/(Original Issue Yield: 8.95%), 10/1/2033			
315,000	East Homestead, FL Community Development District, Special Assessment Revenue Bonds (Series 2006B), 5.00%, 5/1/2011	742,542		
Principal	2000 (Selico 2000), 5.00 %, 51 11 2011	289,022 - Value-		

\$ 265,000	MUNICIPAL BONDScontinued Floridacontinued Fishhawk Community Development District II, Special Assessment Revenue Bonds (Series 2004B), 5.125% (Original Issue Yield: 5.20%), 11/1/2009	
1,000,000	Florida Municipal Loan Council, Revenue Bonds (Series 2003B), 5.25% (MBIA Insurance Corp. INS), 12/1/2019	\$ 243,175
750,000	Miami Beach, FL Health Facilities Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds (Series 2001A), 6.70% (Mt. Sinai Medical Center, FL)/(Original Issue Yield: 6.80%), 11/15/2019	993,400
2,000,000	Miami-Dade County, FL School Board, COP (Series 2003D), 5.00% (FGIC & MBIA Insurance Corp. INS), 8/1/2021	628,822
415,000	Orlando, FL Urban Community Development District, Capital Improvement Revenue Bonds, 6.00%, 5/1/2020	1,830,540
500,000	Orlando, FL, Senior Tourist Development Tax Revenue Bonds (Series 2008A), 5.25% (6th Cent Contract Payments)/(Assured Guaranty Corp. INS), 11/1/2020	331,012
1,000,000	South Broward Hospital District, FL, Hospital Refunding Revenue Bonds (Series 2008), 5.25%, 5/1/2022	498,140
1,665,000	South Miami, FL Health Facilities Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds, 5.00% (Baptist Health System of South Florida), 8/15/2027	927,990
1,500,000	Tolomato Community Development District, FL, Special Assessment Revenue Bonds (Series 2007), 6.375%, 5/1/2017	1,433,815
335,000	Tuscany Reserve Community Development District, FL, Capital Improvement Revenue Bonds (Series 2005B), 5.25%, 5/1/2016	1,290,600
2,390,000	University of Central Florida Athletics Association, Inc., FL, COP (Series 2004A), 5.125% (FGIC & MBIA Insurance Corp. INS), 10/1/2022	256,613 2,083,889
	TOTAL	11,549,560
1,400,000	Georgia3.0% Burke County, GA Development Authority, PCRBs (Sereis 2007E), 4.75% TOBs (Oglethorpe Power Corp.)/(MBIA Insurance Corp. INS), Mandatory Tender 4/1/2011	
750,000	Fulton County, GA Residential Care Facilties, Revenue Bonds (Series 2004A), 6.00% (Canterbury Court), 2/15/2022	1,399,762
2,115,000	Municipal Electric Authority of Georgia, Revenue Bonds (Series 2002A), 5.25%	591,180 2,229,887 –

	(MBIA Insurance Corp. INS), 11/1/2015	_	
	TOTAL		4,220,829
1,550,000	Hawaii1.1% Hawaii State Department of Budget & Finance, Special Purpose Revenue Bonds (Series A), 7.00% (Kahala Nui)/(Original Issue Yield: 7.00%), 11/15/2012		1,522,735
Principal Amount			Value
\$ 500,000	MUNICIPAL BONDScontinued Illinois2.7% Bolingbrook, IL, Special Service Area No. 2005-1 Special Tax Bonds (Series 2005), 5.90% (Forest City Project)/(Original Issue Yield: 5.90%), 3/1/2027	Φ.	
1,000,000	Chicago, IL Special Assessment, Improvement Bonds (Series 2002), 6.625% (Lakeshore East Project)/(Original Issue Yield: 6.637%), 12/1/2022	\$	387,325
1,000,000	Illinois Educational Facilities Authority, Refunding Revenue Bonds (Series A), 5.00% (Augustana College)/(Original Issue Yield: 5.05%), 10/1/2014		855,410
875,000	Illinois Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds (Series 2005A), 6.00% (Landing at Plymouth Place), 5/15/2025		950,070
1,000,000	Illinois Finance Authority, Refunding Revenue Bonds (Series 2005A), 5.00% (Depaul University), 10/1/2014		649,346 1,015,360
	TOTAL		3,857,511
1,050,000	Indiana1.2% Indiana Health & Educational Facility Financing Authority, Revenue Bonds (Series 2005), 5.00% (Baptist Homes of Indiana), 11/15/2014		
1,000,000	Indiana Health Facility Financing Authority, Hospital Refunding Revenue Bonds (Series 2006B), 5.00% (Clarian Health Obligated Group), 2/15/2023		1,021,513 754,830
	TOTAL		1,776,343
500,000	Iowa0.3% Scott County, IA, Refunding Revenue Bonds (Series 2004), 5.625% (Ridgecrest Village), 11/15/2018		414,660
1,055,000	Kansas1.0% Butler County, KS Union School District No. 394, UT GO Bonds, 5.00% (FSA INS), 9/1/2020		
500,000	Lawrence, KS, Hospital Revenue Bonds (Series 2006), 5.125% (Lawrence	_	1,069,664 396,965 –

	Memorial Hospital), 7/1/2026		
	TOTAL		1,466,629
1,335,000	Kentucky1.4% Kentucky EDFA, Revenue Bonds (Series 2000A), 6.25% (Norton Healthcare, Inc.)/(Original Issue Yield: 6.45%), 10/1/2012		1 220 210
665,000	Kentucky EDFA, Revenue Bonds (Series 2000A), 6.25% (Norton Healthcare, Inc.)/(United States Treasury PRF 10/1/2010@101)/(Original Issue Yield: 6.45%), 10/1/2012		1,338,818 719,583
	TOTAL		2,058,401
Principal Amount			Value
\$ 985,000	MUNICIPAL BONDScontinued Louisiana2.9% Lakeshore Villages Master Community Development District, LA, Special Assessment Bonds (Series 2007), 5.25% (Original Issue Yield: 5.378%), 7/1/2017	4	000.040
1,000,000	Louisiana Local Government Environmental Facilities Community Development Authority, Revenue Bonds, 5.375% (BRCC Facilities Corp.)/(MBIA Insurance Corp. INS), 12/1/2014	\$	800,313
1,000,000	Louisiana State Citizens Property Insurance Corp., Assessment Revenue Bonds (Series 2006B), 5.25% (AMBAC INS), 6/1/2013		1,034,690
1,500,000	West Feliciana Parish, LA, PCRBs, 7.00% (Entergy Gulf States Louisiana LLC), 11/1/2015		966,470 1,372,770
	TOTAL		4,174,243
225,000	Maryland9.8% Maryland State Health & Higher Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds (Series 2007B), 5.00% (King Farm Presbyterian Retirement Community), 1/1/2017		
13,500,000	² Maryland State, UT GO Bonds (Second Series 2008), 5.000%, 7/15/2022		174,794 13,809,015
	TOTAL		13,983,809
2,000,000	Michigan2.7% Cornell Township MI, Economic Development Corp., Refunding Revenue Bonds, 5.875% (MeadWestvaco Corp.)/(United States Treasury PRF 5/1/2012@100)/(Original Issue Yield: 5.87%), 5/1/2018		2.217.220
			2,216,320

400,000	OGaylord, MI Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Refunding Revenue Bonds (Series 2004), 6.20% (Otsego Memorial Hospital Obligated Group)/(Original Issue Yield: 6.45%), 1/1/2025					
250,000	50,000 Kent Hospital Finance Authority, MI, Revenue Bonds (Series 2005A), 5.50% (Metropolitan Hospital), 7/1/2020					
			206,960			
1,000,000	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Refunding Revenue Bonds (Series 2003A), 5.625% (Henry Ford Health System, MI)/(United States Treasury PRF 3/1/2013@100)/(Original Issue Yield: 5.20%), 3/1/2017		1,118,150			
	TOTAL		3,844,142			
1,500,000	Mississippi2.1% Lowndes County, MS Solid Waste Disposal, Refunding PCRBs (Series 1992B), 6.70% (Weyerhaeuser Co.), 4/1/2022		1 100 265			
1,000,000	Mississippi Development Bank, Special Obligation Bonds (Series 2006A), 5.00% (Municipal Energy Agency of Mississippi)/(Syncora Guarantee, Inc. INS), 3/1/2018		1,199,265			
1,000,000	Mississippi Hospital Equipment & Facilities Authority, Refunding & Improvement Revenue Bonds, 5.75% (Southwest Mississippi Regional Medical Center)/(Original Issue Yield: 5.85%), 4/1/2023		944,180 842,290			
	TOTAL		2,985,735			
Principal Amount	TOTAL		2,985,735 Value			
-	MUNICIPAL BONDScontinued					
-		\$				
Amount	MUNICIPAL BONDScontinued Missouri1.3% Missouri State HEFA, Health Facilities Revenue Bonds, 5.25% (BJC Health	\$	Value			
Amount \$ 2,000,000	MUNICIPAL BONDScontinued Missouri1.3% Missouri State HEFA, Health Facilities Revenue Bonds, 5.25% (BJC Health System, MO), 5/15/2018 Montana1.1% Montana Facility Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds (Series 2007),	\$	Value 1,916,480 1,585,692			
\$ 2,000,000 1,700,000	MUNICIPAL BONDScontinued Missouri1.3% Missouri State HEFA, Health Facilities Revenue Bonds, 5.25% (BJC Health System, MO), 5/15/2018 Montana1.1% Montana Facility Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds (Series 2007), 5.00% (Benefis Healthcare System)/(Assured Guaranty Corp. INS), 1/1/2023 Nevada2.4% Clark County, NV, IDRBs (Series 2003C), 5.45% TOBs (Southwest Gas Corp.),	\$	Value 1,916,480			

	TOTAL	3,371,248
600,000	New Jersey0.6% New Jersey EDA, Refunding Revenue Bonds (Series A), 5.75% (Winchester Gardens at Ward Homestead)/(Original Issue Yield: 5.75%), 11/1/2024	
278,230	³ New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, Revenue Bonds, 6.00% (Pascack Valley Hospital Association)/(Original Issue Yield: 6.25%), 7/1/2013	486,540
400,000	New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, Revenue Bonds, (Series 2005A), 5.00% (Children's Specialized Hospital)/(Original Issue Yield: 5.01%), 7/1/2024	9,321 307,720
	TOTAL	803,581
1,000,000	New Mexico1.0% Farmington, NM, Refunding Revenue Bonds (Series 2002A), 4.00% TOBs (El Paso Electric Co.)/(FGIC INS) Mandatory Tender 8/1/2012	
655,000	Mariposa East Public Improvement District, NM, UT GO Bonds, (Series 2006), 5.75%, 9/1/2021	966,590 509,852
	TOTAL	1,476,442
325,000	New York4.7% Dutchess County, NY IDA, Civic Facility Revenue Bonds (Series 2004B), 7.25% (St. Francis Hospital and Health Centers), 3/1/2019	
2,000,000	Dutchess County, NY IDA, Revenue Bonds, 5.00% (Marist College)/(Original Issue Yield: 5.15%), 7/1/2020	305,617
2,000,000	Metropolitan Transportation Authority, NY, Transportation Revenue Bonds (Series 2007B), 5.00% (MTA Transportation Revenue), 11/15/2025	1,908,420
Principal Amount		1,808,640 Value
\$ 800,000	MUNICIPAL BONDScontinued New Yorkcontinued New York City, NY IDA, Liberty Revenue Bonds (Series A), 6.25% (7 World Trade Center LLC), 3/1/2015	
2,000,000	New York City, NY, UT GO Bonds (Fiscal 2002 Series D), 5.00% (Original Issue Yield: 5.21%), 6/1/2017	\$ 661,112 2,028,680
	TOTAL	6,712,469

1,000,000	North Carolina4.3% North Carolina Eastern Municipal Power Agency, Power System Refunding Revenue Bonds (Series D), 5.50%, 1/1/2014		1,039,940			
500,000						
965,000	North Carolina Medical Care Commission, Health Care Housing Revenue Bonds (Series 2004A), 5.50% (Arc of North Carolina Projects), 10/1/2024					
3,000,000	North Carolina Municipal Power Agency No. 1, Electric Revenue Bonds (Series 2003A), 5.50%, 1/1/2014		771,730			
1,000,000	Onslow County, NC Hospital Authority, INS Mortgage Revenue Bonds, 5.00% (Onslow Memorial Hospital)/(MBIA Insurance Corp. INS), 4/1/2023		3,134,760 784,750			
	TOTAL		6,119,270			
2,000,000	Ohio2.2% Buckeye Tobacco Settlement Financing Authority, OH, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds (Series 2007A-2), 5.875% (Original Issue Yield: 5.95%), 6/1/2030					
1,000,000	Cuyahoga, OH Community College District, General Receipts Revenue Bonds (Series 2002A), 5.00% (AMBAC INS), 12/1/2022		1,327,220			
925,000	Ohio State Air Quality Development Authority, Environmental Improvement Refunding Revenue Bonds (Series 1995), 5.00% TOBs (Marathon Oil Corp.), Mandatory Tender 11/1/2011		954,420 879,647			
	TOTAL		3,161,287			
500,000	Oregon1.1% ¹ Cow Creek Band of Umpqua Tribe of Indians, Tax-Exempt Tax Revenue Bonds (Series 2006C), 5.625%, 10/1/2026					
1,000,000	Yamhill County, OR Hospital Authority, Revenue Bonds, 6.50% (Friendsview Retirement Community)/(United States Treasury PRF 12/1/2013@101)/(Original Issue Yield: 6.50%), 12/1/2018		353,510 1,164,160			
	TOTAL		1,517,670			
Principal Amount			Value			
	MUNICIPAL BONDScontinued Pennsylvania6.7%					
\$ 1,000,000	•	\$	721,270			

Allegheny County, PA HDA, Health System Revenue Bonds (Series 2007A), 5.00% (West Penn Allegheny Health System), 11/15/2017 Allegheny County, PA HDA, Health System Revenue Bonds, (Series 2000B), 1,195,000 9.25% (West Penn Allegheny Health System)/(United States Treasury PRF 11/15/2010@102)/(Original Issue Yield: 9.30%), 11/15/2015 1,358,703 1,000,000 Allegheny County, PA HDA, Revenue Bonds (Series 2008A), 5.00% (UPMC Health System), 9/1/2017 983,030 150,000 Allegheny County, PA HDA, Revenue Bonds (Series 2008A), 5.00% (UPMC Health System), 9/1/2018 146,483 435,000 Allegheny County, PA IDA, Environmental Improvement Refunding Revenue Bonds (Series 2005), 5.50% (United States Steel Corp.), 11/1/2016 376,184 400,000 Crawford County, PA Hospital Authority, Senior Living Facilities Revenue Bonds (Series 1999), 6.125% (Wesbury United Methodist Community Obligated Group)/(Original Issue Yield: 6.32%), 8/15/2019 335,720 63,000 Crawford County, PA Hospital Authority, Senior Living Facilities Revenue Bonds, 5.90% (Wesbury United Methodist Community Obligated Group), 8/15/2009 62,640 1,000,000 Lancaster, PA Higher Education Authority, College Revenue Bonds, 5.00% (Franklin & Marshall College), 4/15/2019 998,580 1,500,000 Pennsylvania State Higher Education Facilities Authority, Health System Revenue Bonds (Series A), 6.25% (UPMC Health System), 1/15/2018 1,540,755 2,000,000 Philadelphia, PA Gas Works, Revenue Bonds (17th Series), 5.375% (FSA INS), 7/1/2021 2,021,340 1,355,000 Wilkes-Barre, PA Finance Authority, University Refunding Revenue Bonds (Series 2007), 5.00% (Wilkes University), 3/1/2022 1,067,550 **TOTAL** 9,612,255 South Carolina--2.7% 1,000,000 Georgetown County, SC Environmental Improvements, Refunding Revenue Bonds (Series 2000A), 5.95% (International Paper Co.), 3/15/2014 911,930 2,000,000 Kershaw County, SC Public Schools Foundation, Installment Purchase Revenue Bonds (Series 2006), 5.00% (Kershaw County, SC School District)/(CIFG Assurance N.A. INS), 12/1/2019 1,948,800 1,000,000 Scago Educational Facilities Corp. for Pickens School District, Installment 988,010-Purchase Revenue Bonds (Series 2006), 5.00% (FSA INS), 12/1/2020

	TOTAL		3,848,740
1,715,000	South Dakota0.9% Educational Enhancement Funding Corp., SD, Tobacco Revenue Bonds (Series 2002B), 6.50%, 6/1/2032		1,346,944
cipal ount			Value
1,250,000	MUNICIPAL BONDScontinued Tennessee1.0% Tennessee State School Board Authority, Higher Educational Facilities Second Program Bonds (Series 2008B), 5.00%, 5/1/2020	\$	1,280,163
1,000,000	Texas9.5% Abilene, TX HFDC, Retirement Facilities Revenue Bonds (Series 2003A), 6.50% (Sears Methodist Retirement), 11/15/2020		
1,000,000	Brazos River Authority, TX, PCR Refunding Bonds (Series 2003D), 5.40% TOBs (Texas Competitive Electric Holdings Co. LLC), Mandatory Tender 10/1/2014		870,530
1,000,000	Decatur, TX Hospital Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds (Series 2004A), 6.50% (Wise Regional Health System), 9/1/2014		712,410
500,000	Houston, TX HFDC, Retirement Facilities Revenue Bonds (Series 2004A), 6.25% (Buckingham Senior Living Community)/(United States Treasury PRF 2/15/2009@100)/(Original Issue Yield: 6.25%), 2/15/2020		935,190
1,250,000	Mesquite, TX HFDC, Retirement Facility Revenue Bonds (Series 2005), 5.50% (Christian Care Centers, Inc.), 2/15/2025		505,420
390,000	Montgomery County, TX, UT GO Bonds (Series 2002A), 5.20% (FSA INS)/(Original Issue Yield: 5.29%), 3/1/2021		913,413
2,310,000	Montgomery County, TX, UT GO Bonds (Series 2002A), 5.20% (United States Treasury PRF 3/1/2012@100)/(Original Issue Yield: 5.29%), 3/1/2021		393,221
165,000	Sabine River Authority, TX, PCR Refunding Bonds (Series 2006), 4.95% (Southwestern Electric Power Co.)/(MBIA Insurance Corp. INS), 3/1/2018		2,510,716
1,000,000	San Leanna, TX Education Facilities Corp., Higher Education Revenue Bonds (Series 2007), 5.125% (Saint Edward's University), 6/1/2021		156,314
1,050,000	Spring, TX ISD, UT GO Bonds, 5.00% (GTD by PSFG)/(Original Issue Yield: 5.07%), 8/15/2017		799,670
545,000	Tarrant County, TX Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corp., Retirement		1,094,226 474,106
	1,250,000 1,000,000 1,000,000 1,000,000 390,000 165,000 1,000,000 1,050,000	South Dakota0.9% Educational Enhancement Funding Corp., SD, Tobacco Revenue Bonds (Series 2002B), 6.50%, 6/1/2032 MUNICIPAL BONDScontinued Tennessee1.0% 1,250,000 Tennessee State School Board Authority, Higher Educational Facilities Second Program Bonds (Series 2008B), 5.00%, 5/1/2020 Texas9.5% Abilene, TX HFDC, Retirement Facilities Revenue Bonds (Series 2003A), 6.50% (Sears Methodist Retirement), 11/15/2020 1,000,000 Brazos River Authority, TX, PCR Refunding Bonds (Series 2003D), 5.40% TOBs (Texas Competitive Electric Holdings Co. LLC), Mandatory Tender 10/1/2014 1,000,000 Decatur, TX Hospital Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds (Series 2004A), 6.50% (Wise Regional Health System), 9/1/2014 500,000 Houston, TX HFDC, Retirement Facilities Revenue Bonds (Series 2004A), 6.25% (Buckingham Senior Living Community)/(United States Treasury PRF 2/15/2009@100)/(Original Issue Yield: 6.25%), 2/15/2020 1,250,000 Mesquite, TX HFDC, Retirement Facility Revenue Bonds (Series 2005), 5.50% (Christian Care Centers, Inc.), 2/15/2025 390,000 Montgomery County, TX, UT GO Bonds (Series 2002A), 5.20% (FSA INS)/(Original Issue Yield: 5.29%), 3/1/2021 2,310,000 Montgomery County, TX, UT GO Bonds (Series 2002A), 5.20% (United States Treasury PRF 3/1/2012@100)/(Original Issue Yield: 5.29%), 3/1/2021 165,000 Sabine River Authority, TX, PCR Refunding Bonds (Series 2006), 4.95% (Southwestern Electric Power Co.)/(MBIA Insurance Corp. INS), 3/1/2018 1,000,000 San Leanna, TX Education Facilities Corp., Higher Education Revenue Bonds (Series 2007), 5.125% (Saint Edward's University), 6/1/2021 1,050,000 Spring, TX ISD, UT GO Bonds, 5.00% (GTD by PSFG)/(Original Issue Yield: 5.07%), 8/15/2017	South Dakota-0.9% Educational Enhancement Funding Corp., SD, Tobacco Revenue Bonds (Series 2002B), 6.50%, 6/1/2032 MUNICIPAL BONDScontinued Tennessee-1.0% Tennessee State School Board Authority, Higher Educational Facilities Second Program Bonds (Series 2008B), 5.00%, 5/1/2020 **Texas9.5% Abilene, TX HFDC, Retirement Facilities Revenue Bonds (Series 2003A), 6.50% (Sears Methodist Retirement), 11/15/2020 Brazos River Authority, TX, PCR Refunding Bonds (Series 2003D), 5.40% TOBs (Texas Competitive Electric Holdings Co. LLC), Mandatory Tender 10/1/2014 1,000,000 Decatur, TX Hospital Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds (Series 2004A), 6.50% (Wise Regional Health System), 9/1/2014 500,000 Houston, TX HFDC, Retirement Facilities Revenue Bonds (Series 2004A), 6.25% (Buckingham Senior Living Community)/(United States Treasury PRF 2/15/2009@100)/(Original Issue Yield: 6.25%), 2/15/2020 1,250,000 Mesquite, TX HFDC, Retirement Facility Revenue Bonds (Series 2005), 5.50% (Christian Care Centers, Inc.), 2/15/2025 390,000 Montgomery County, TX, UT GO Bonds (Series 2002A), 5.20% (United States Treasury PRF 3/1/2012@100)/(Original Issue Yield: 5.29%), 3/1/2021 1,650,000 Sabine River Authority, TX, PCR Refunding Bonds (Series 2006), 4.95% (Southwestern Electric Power Co.)/(MBIA Insurance Corp. INS), 3/1/2018 1,000,000 San Leanna, TX Education Facilities Corp., Higher Education Revenue Bonds (Series 2007), 5.125% (Saint Edward's University), 6/1/2021 Spring, TX ISD, UT GO Bonds, 5.00% (GTD by PSFG)/(Original Issue Yield: 5.07%), 8/15/2017

Facility Revenue Bonds (Series 2007), 5.00% (Air Force Village), 5/15/20)16

500,000 Tarrant County, TX Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corp., Revenue Bonds,

1,000,000 Tyl 7/1 2,235,000 We	avis County, TX HFDC, Retirement Facilities Revenue Bonds, 5.00% guerencia at Barton Creek)/(Original Issue Yield: 5.10%), 11/15/2014 vler, TX HFDC, Hospital Revenue Bonds, 5.25% (Mother Frances Hospital), 1/2012 est Harris County, TX Regional Water Authority, Water System Revenue Bonds are 2006), 5.00% (AMBAC INS), 12/15/2021	374,390 733,130
1,000,000 Tyl 7/1. 2,235,000 We	est Harris County, TX Regional Water Authority, Water System Revenue Bonds	
2,235,000 We	est Harris County, TX Regional Water Authority, Water System Revenue Bonds	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	eries 2006), 5.00% (AMBAC INS), 12/15/2021	940,370 2,170,923
T	TOTAL	13,584,029
Principal Amount		Value
Vir \$ 201,000 Bel	UNICIPAL BONDScontinued rginia1.7% ell Creek CDA, VA, Special Assessment Revenue Bonds (Series 2003), 6.75%, 1/2022	
	road Street Community Development Authority, VA, Revenue Bonds, 7.10% briginal Issue Yield: 7.15%), 6/1/2016	\$ 204,111
200	ninsula Port Authority, VA, Residential Care Facility Revenue Bonds (Series 03A), 7.375% (Virginia Baptist Homes Obligated Group)/(United States easury PRF 12/1/2013@100)/(Original Issue Yield: 7.50%), 12/1/2023	987,110 1,213,450
T	TOTAL	2,404,671
500,000 Ska	ashington2.1% tagit County, WA Public Hospital District No. 1, Refunding Revenue Bonds eries 2003), 6.00% (Skagit Valley Hospital), 12/1/2018	
	obacco Settlement Authority, WA, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Revenue onds, 6.50% (Original Issue Yield: 6.65%), 6/1/2026	472,335
Rev	ashington State Public Power Supply System, Nuclear Project No. 2 Refunding evenue Bonds (Series 1992A), 6.30% (Energy Northwest, WA)/(Original Issue eld: 6.40%), 7/1/2012	1,417,056 1,109,740
T	TOTAL	2,999,131

Edgar Eiling	EEDEDATED	PREMIER MUNICIPAL	INICOME ELINID	Form NI CCD
Eugai Filling.	. FEDERATED	FREINIER INDINIOIFAL		- FUIIII IN-USA

	200,000	Wisconsin State HEFA, Revenue Bonds (Series 2004), 5.50% (Blood Center of Wisconsin, Inc.)/(Original Issue Yield: 5.583%), 6/1/2024		
	500,000	Wisconsin State HEFA, Revenue Bonds (Series 2004A), 6.125% (Southwest Health Center)/(Original Issue Yield: 6.15%), 4/1/2024		172,736
2	,000,000	Wisconsin State HEFA, Revenue Bonds, 5.75% (SynergyHealth, Inc.), 11/15/2015		389,195
۷,	,000,000	wisconsin state HEFA, Revenue Bonds, 3.75% (SynergyHealth, Inc.), 11/13/2015		2,027,180
	870,000	Wisconsin State HEFA, Revenue Bonds, 7.125% (Community Memorial Hospital)/(Original Issue Yield: 7.25%), 1/15/2022		700 (00
1,	250,000	Wisconsin State HEFA, Revenue Bonds, (Series 2006A), 5.125% (Marshfield Clinic, WI), 2/15/2026		789,699 928,363
		TOTAL		4,307,173
		TOTAL MUNICIPAL BONDS (IDENTIFIED COST \$152,008,799)	14	40,169,590
1,	100,000	SHORT-TERM MUNICIPALS2.0% ⁴ Louisiana0.8% Louisiana Public Facilities Authority Daily VRDNs (Air Products & Chemicals, Inc.), 1.250%, 12/1/2008		1,100,000
Princi Amou	_			Value
\$	800,000	SHORT-TERM MUNICIPALScontinued ⁴ Tennessee0.5% Blount County, TN Public Building Authority, Local Government Public Improvement Bonds (Series E-3-A) Daily VRDNs (Cumberland County, TN)/(KBC Bank N.V. LOC), 1.000%, 12/3/2008	\$	800,000
	300,000	Texas0.7% Harris County, TX HFDC, (Series 2005 A-2) Daily VRDNs (Methodist Hospital, Harris County, TX), 0.900%, 12/1/2008		
	700,000	Harris County, TX HFDC, (Series B) Daily VRDNs (St. Luke's Episcopal Hospital)/(Bank of America N.A., Bayerische Landesbank, JPMorgan Chase & Co., Northern Trust Co., Chicago, IL and St. Luke's Episcopal Hospital LIQ), 0.800%, 12/1/2008		300,000 700,000
		TOTAL		1,000,000
		TOTAL SHORT-TERM MUNICIPALS (AT COST)		2,900,000
		TOTAL MUNICIPAL INVESTMENTS100.0%	1	43,069,590-

OTHER ASSETS AND LIABILITIESNET 6	(9,514,314)
LIQUIDATION VALUE OF AUCTION PREFERRED SHARES	(50,900,000)
TOTAL NET ASSETS APPLICABLE TO COMMON SHAREHOLDERS	\$ 82,655,276

At November 30, 2008, the Fund holds no securities that are subject to the federal alternative minimum tax (AMT) (unaudited).

- 1 Denotes a restricted security that either: (a) cannot be offered for public sale without first being registered, or being able to take advantage of an exemption from registration, under the Securities Act of 1933; or (b) is subject to a contractual restriction on public sales. At November 30, 2008, these restricted securities amounted to \$1,757,164, which represented 1.2% of total market value.
- 2 Underlying security in inverse floater structure.
- 3 Obligor had filed for bankruptcy. On September 30, 2008, a Notice of Initial Distribution was received and a portion of the bond was redeemed at par. The market value of the remaining par was adjusted to reflect the expected value of future cash flows.
- 4 Current rate and next reset date shown for Variable Rate Demand Notes.
- 5 The cost of investments for federal tax purposes amounts to \$144,617,191.
- 6 Assets, other than investments in securities, less liabilities. See Statement of Assets and Liabilities.

Note: The categories of investments are shown as a percentage of total market value at November 30, 2008.

Various inputs are used in determining the value of the Fund's investments. These inputs are summarized in the three broad levels listed below:

Level 1--quoted prices in active markets for identical securities

Level 2--other significant observable inputs (including quoted prices for similar securities, interest rates, prepayment speeds, credit risk, etc.)

Level 3--significant unobservable inputs (including the Fund's own assumptions in determining the fair value of investments)

The inputs or methodology used for valuing securities are not an indication of the risk associated with investing in those securities.

The following is a summary of the inputs used, as of November 30, 2008, in valuing the Fund's assets carried at fair value:

Valuation Inputs	Investments in Securities			
Level 1Quoted Prices	- \$			

Level 2Other Significant Observable Inputs	143,069,590		
Level 3Significant Unobservable Inputs			
TOTAL	\$143,069,590		

The following acronyms are used throughout this portfolio:

AMBAC -- American Municipal Bond Assurance Corporation

CDA --Community Development Administration

COP -- Certificate of Participation

EDA --Economic Development Authority

EDFA --Economic Development Finance Authority FGIC --Financial Guaranty Insurance Company

FSA --Financial Security Assurance

GO --General Obligation

GTD --Guaranteed

HDA --Hospital Development Authority

HEFA --Health and Education Facilities AuthorityHFDC --Health Facility Development Corporation

IDA --Industrial Development Authority
IDB --Industrial Development Bond

IDRBs --Industrial Development Revenue Bonds

INS --Insured

ISD --Independent School District

LIQ --Liquidity Agreement LOC --Letter of Credit

LT --Limited Tax

PCR --Pollution Control Revenue

PCRBs --Pollution Control Revenue Bonds

PRF --Prerefunded

PSFG --Permanent School Fund Guarantee SID --Special Improvement District

TOBs --Tender Option Bonds


UT --Unlimited Tax

VRDNs -- Variable Rate Demand Notes

See Notes which are an integral part of the Financial Statements

Statements of Assets and Liabilities

November 30, 2008



Assets:				
Investments in securities, at value	- \$	122 510 051	Ф	142,000,500
Cash		122,519,851	\$	143,069,590
Income receivable		101,951		49,035
Receivable for investments sold	_	2,136,268 5,000		2,316,230 20,450
TOTAL ASSETS		124,763,070		145,455,305
Liabilities:				
Payable for floating rate certificate securities (Note 2)	_	0.600.000		10.107.000
Income distribution payableCommon Shares		9,600,000		10,125,000
Income distribution payablePreferred Shares	_	411,253		399,451
Payable for Directors'/Trustees' fees		5,788		3,561
Payable for investments purchased	_	445		434
Accrued expenses	_	2,614,351 65,884		1,299,103 72,480
TOTAL LIABILITIES		12,697,721		11,900,029
Auction Market Preferred Shares (1,763 and 2,036 shares, respectively, authorized and issued at \$25,000 per share)	\$	44,075,000	\$	50,900,000
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares Consist of:				
Paid-in capital	_			
Net unrealized depreciation of investments	- \$	86,998,552	\$	98,478,870
Accumulated net realized loss on investments, swap contracts and futures contracts		(15,630,813)		(11,839,209)
Undistributed net investment income	_	(3,835,475) 458,085		(4,350,897) 366,512
TOTAL NET ASSETS APPLICABLE TO COMMON SHARES	\$	67,990,349	\$	82,655,276
Common Shares Outstanding, (\$0.01 par value, unlimited shares authorized)		6,137,794		6,946,981

Net asset value per share	\$	11.08	\$	11.90
Investments, at identified cost	\$ 13	8,150,664	\$ 15	4,908,799

See Notes which are an integral part of the Financial Statements

Statements of Operations

Year Ended November 30, 2008

	I	Federated Premier Municipal Income Fund		Federated Premier Intermediate Municipal Income Fund	
Investment Income:					
Interest	\$	7,790,117	\$	7,841,700	
Expenses:					
Investment adviser fee (Note 5)		741.500		007.464	
Administrative personnel and services fee (Note 5)		741,599		837,464	
Custodian fees		150,000		150,000	
Transfer and dividend disbursing agent fees and expenses		4,056		3,326	
Directors'/Trustees' fees		34,344		34,113	
Auditing fees		13,488		13,595	
Legal fees		52,992		52,691	
Portfolio accounting fees		12,520		11,380	
Printing and postage		77,924		80,610	
Insurance premiums		21,532		21,221	
Auction agent fees		3,406		3,416	
Trailer commission fees (Note 2)		6,499		6,500	
		129,412		147,508	

Interest and trust expenses (Note 2)

	108,533	82,122
Miscellaneous	48,875	48,274
TOTAL EXPENSES	1,405,180	1,492,220
Waivers, Reimbursement and Expense Reduction:		
Waiver of investment adviser fee (Note 5)		
Waiver of administrative personnel and services fee (Note 5)	(208,286)	(235,120)
Reimbursement of other operating expenses (Note 5)	(150,000)	(150,000)
Reduction of custodian fees (Note 6)	(93,800) (289)	(36,940) (420)
TOTAL WAIVERS, REIMBURSEMENT AND EXPENSE REDUCTION	(452,375)	(422,480)
Net expenses	952,805	1,069,740
Net investment income	6,837,312	6,771,960
Realized and Unrealized Loss on Investments:		
Net realized loss on investments		
Net change in unrealized appreciation of investments	(1,660,730) (20,364,198)	(948,465) (15,016,855)
Net realized and unrealized loss on investments	(22,024,928)	(15,965,320)
Income distributions declared to Preferred Shareholders	(1,510,335)	(1,675,137)
Change in net assets resulting from operations applicable to common shares	\$ (16,697,951)	\$ (10,868,497)

See Notes which are an integral part of the Financial Statements

Statements of Changes in Net Assets

	Federated Premier Municipal Income Fund		Federat Premie Intermed Municip Income F	r iate oal
Year Ended November 30	2008	2007	2008	2007

Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets

Operations:					
Net investment income					
Net realized gain (loss) on investments, swap contracts and futures contracts	\$	6,837,312	\$ 6,871,006	\$ 6,771,960	\$ 6,857,375
Net change in unrealized appreciation/depreciation of investments and futures contracts	_	(1,660,730)	235,293	(948,465)	(615,206)
Distributions from net investment income Preferred Shares		(20,364,198) (1,510,335)	(6,104,049) (1,957,233)	(15,016,855) (1,675,137)	(3,924,846) (2,223,789)
CHANGE IN NET ASSETS RESULTING FROM OPERATIONS APPLICABLE TO COMMON SHARES		(16,697,951)	(954,983)	(10,868,497)	93,534
Distributions to Common Shareholders:					
Distributions from net investment incomeCommon Shares		(4,932,705)	(4,926,985)	(4,793,417)	(4,793,417)
Share Transactions Applicable to Common Shares:					
Net asset value of shares issued to shareholders in payment of distributions declared		92,689	119,064		
CHANGE IN NET ASSETS RESULTING FROM SHARE TRANSACTIONS		92,689	119,064		
Change in net assets		(21,537,967)	(5,762,904)	(15,661,914)	(4,699,883)
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares:					
Beginning of period		89,528,316	95,291,220	98,317,190	103,017,073
End of period	\$	67,990,349	\$ 89,528,316	\$ 82,655,276	\$ 98,317,190
Undistributed net investment income included at end of period	\$	458,085	\$ 64,258	\$ 366,512	\$ 54,634

See Notes which are an integral part of the Financial Statements

Notes to Financial Statements

November 30, 2008

1. ORGANIZATION

Federated Premier Municipal Income Fund and Federated Premier Intermediate Municipal Income Fund (individually referred to as the "Fund," or collectively as the "Funds") are registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the "Act"), as diversified, closed-end management investment companies. Each Fund's investment objective is to provide current income exempt from federal income tax, including the federal AMT.

2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The following is a summary of significant accounting policies consistently followed by the Funds in the preparation of their financial statements. These policies are in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) in the United States of America.

Investment Valuation

In calculating its net asset value (NAV), each Fund generally values investments as follows:

- Fixed-income securities acquired with remaining maturities greater than 60 days are fair valued using price evaluations provided by a pricing service approved by the Trustees.
- Fixed-income securities acquired with remaining maturities of 60 days or less are valued at their cost (adjusted for the accretion of any discount or amortization of any premium).
- Shares of other mutual funds are valued based upon their reported NAVs.
- Derivative contracts listed on exchanges are valued at their reported settlement or closing price.
- Over-the-counter (OTC) derivative contracts are fair valued using price evaluations provided by a pricing service approved by the Trustees.

If the Funds cannot obtain a price or price evaluation from a pricing service for an investment, the Funds may attempt to value the investment based upon the mean of bid and asked quotations or fair value the investment based on price evaluations, from one or more dealers. If any price, quotation, price evaluation or other pricing source is not readily available when the NAV is calculated, the Funds use the fair value of the investment determined in accordance with the procedures described below. There can be no assurance that the Funds could purchase or sell an investment at the price used to calculate the Funds' NAVs.

Fair Valuation and Significant Events Procedures

The Trustees have authorized the use of pricing services to provide evaluations of the current fair value of certain investments for purposes of calculating the NAV. Factors considered by pricing services in evaluating an investment include the yields or prices of investments of comparable quality, coupon, maturity, call rights and other potential prepayments, terms and type, reported transactions, indications as to values from dealers and general market conditions. Some pricing services provide a single price evaluation reflecting the bid-side of the market for an investment (a "bid" evaluation). Other pricing services offer both bid evaluations and price evaluations indicative of a price between the prices bid and asked for the investment (a "mid" evaluation). The Funds normally use bid evaluations for U.S. Treasury and Agency securities, mortgage-backed securities and municipal securities. The Funds normally use mid evaluations for other types of fixed-income securities and OTC derivative contracts. In the event that market quotations and price evaluations are not available for an investment, the fair value of the investment is

determined in accordance with procedures adopted by the Trustees.

The Trustees also have adopted procedures requiring an investment to be priced at its fair value whenever the Adviser determines that a significant event affecting the value of the investment has occurred between the time as of which the price of the investment would otherwise be determined and the time as of which the NAV is computed. An event is considered significant if there is both an affirmative expectation that the investment's value will change in response to the event and a reasonable basis for quantifying the resulting change in value. Examples of significant events that may occur after the close of the principal market on which a security is traded, or after the time of a price evaluation provided by a pricing service or a dealer, include:

- With respect to price evaluations of fixed-income securities determined before the close of regular trading on the NYSE, actions by the Federal Reserve Open Market Committee and other significant trends in U.S. fixed-income markets;
- Political or other developments affecting the economy or markets in which an issuer conducts its operations or its securities are traded; and
- Announcements concerning matters such as acquisitions, recapitalizations, litigation developments, a natural disaster affecting the issuer's operations or regulatory changes or market developments affecting the issuer's industry.

The Funds may seek to obtain more current quotations or price evaluations from alternative pricing sources. If a reliable alternative pricing source is not available, the Funds will determine the fair value of the investment using another method approved by the Trustees.

Investment Income, Expenses and Distributions

Interest income and expenses are accrued daily. Non-cash dividends included in dividend income, if any, are recorded at fair value. Distributions to common shareholders are recorded on the ex-dividend date and are declared and paid monthly. Distributions to preferred shareholders are recorded daily and paid weekly at a rate set through auction procedures. The dividend rate to preferred shareholders for Federated Premier Municipal Income Fund and Federated Premier Intermediate Municipal Income Fund at November 30, 2008 was 1.597% and 1.564%, respectively.

Each auction requires the participation of one or more Broker-Dealers. The auction agent, currently Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, will enter into agreements with one or more Broker-Dealers selected by the Funds, which provide for the participation of those Broker-Dealers in auctions for preferred shares. The auction agent will pay each Broker-Dealer after each auction, from funds provided by the Funds. The trailer commissions for Federated Premier Municipal Income Fund and Federated Premier Intermediate Municipal Income Fund for the year ended November 30, 2008 were \$129,412 and \$147,508, respectively.

Premium and Discount Amortization

All premiums and discounts on fixed-income securities are amortized/accreted for financial statement purposes.

Federal Taxes

It is each Fund's policy to comply with the Subchapter M provision of the Internal Revenue Code (the "Code") and to distribute to shareholders each year substantially all of its income. Accordingly, no provision for federal income tax is necessary. The Funds adopted the provisions of Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Interpretation No. 48 (FIN 48), "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes" on December 1, 2007. As of and during the year ended November 30, 2008, the Funds did not have a liability for any unrecognized tax expenses. The Funds recognize interest and penalties, if any, related to tax liabilities as income tax expense in the Statement of Operations. As of

November 30, 2008, tax years 2005 through 2008 remain subject to examination by the Funds' major tax jurisdictions, which include the United States of America and the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

When-Issued and Delayed Delivery Transactions

The Funds may engage in when-issued or delayed delivery transactions. The Funds record when-issued securities on the trade date and maintain security positions such that sufficient liquid assets will be available to make payment for the securities purchased. Securities purchased on a when-issued or delayed delivery basis are marked to market daily and begin earning interest on the settlement date. Losses may occur on these transactions due to changes in market conditions or the failure of counterparties to perform under the contract.

Inverse Floater Structures

The Funds may participate in Inverse Floater Structures in which fixed-rate, tax-exempt municipal bonds purchased by the Funds are transferred to a trust. The trust subsequently issues two or more variable rate securities that are collateralized by the cash flows of the fixed-rate, tax-exempt municipal bonds. One or more of these variable rate securities pays interest based on a floating rate set by a remarketing agent at predetermined intervals. A residual-interest tax-exempt security is also created by the trust which is transferred to the Funds, that is paid interest based on the remaining cashflow of the trust, after payment of interest on the other securities and various expenses of the trust.

The Funds account for the transfer of bonds to the trusts as secured borrowings, with the securities transferred remaining in the Funds' investments, and the related floating-rate notes reflected as Fund liabilities under the caption "Payable for floating rate certificate securities" in the Statements of Assets and Liabilities. At November 30, 2008, for Federated Premier Municipal Income Fund investments with a value of \$12,048,564 are held by the trust and serve as collateral for the \$9,600,000 in floating rate certificate securities outstanding at this date, and for Federated Premier Intermediate Municipal Income Fund investments with a value of \$13,809,015 are held by the trust and serve as collateral for the \$10,125,000 in floating-rate certificate securities outstanding at this date. The Federated Premier Municipal Income Fund and the Federated Premier Intermediate Municipal Income Fund recorded interest and trust expenses of \$108,533 and \$82,122, respectively, for these investments for the year ended November 30, 2008.

While these inverse floater structures are accounted for as secured borrowings, the Funds' Adviser has determined that they do not constitute borrowings for purposes of any fundamental limitation on borrowings that may be applicable to the Funds.

Restricted Securities

Restricted securities are securities that either: (a) cannot be offered for public sale without first being registered, or being able to take advantage of an exemption from registration, under the Securities Act of 1933; or (b) are subject to contractual restrictions on public sales. In some cases, when a security cannot be offered for public sale without first being registered, the issuer of the restricted security has agreed to register such securities for resale, at the issuer's expense, either upon demand by the Funds or in connection with another registered offering of the securities. Many such restricted securities may be resold in the secondary market in transactions exempt from registration. Restricted securities may be determined to be liquid under criteria established by the Trustees. The Funds will not incur any registration costs upon such resales. The Funds' restricted securities are valued at the price provided by dealers in the secondary market or, if no market prices are available, at the fair value as determined in accordance with procedures established by and under the general supervision of the Trustees.

Additional information on restricted securities, excluding securities purchased under Rule 144A that have been deemed liquid by the Trustees, held at November 30, 2008, is as follows:

Federal Taxes 42

Federated Premier Municipal Income Fund:

Security	Acquisition Date	Acquisition Cost
Capital Trust Agency, FL, Revenue Bonds (Series 2003A), 8.95% (Seminole Tribe of Florida Convention and Resort Hotel Facilities)/(United States Treasury PRF 10/1/2012@102), 10/1/2033	5/9/2003	\$400,000
Cow Creek Band of Umpqua Tribe of Indians, Tax-Exempt Tax Revenue Bonds (Series 2006C), 5.625%, 10/1/2026	6/9/2006	\$500,000
New York City, NY IDA, Liberty Revenue Bonds, (Series A), 6.50% (7 World Trade Center LLC), 3/1/2035	3/15/2005	\$800,000

Federated Premier Intermediate Municipal Income Fund:

Security	Acquisition Date	Acquisition Cost
Capital Trust Agency, FL, Revenue Bonds (Series 2003A), 8.95% (Seminole Tribe of Florida Convention and Resort Hotel Facilities)/(United State Treasury PRF 10/1/2012@102)/(Original IssueYield:8.95%), 10/1/2033	5/9/2003	\$600,000
Cow Creek Band of Umpqua Tribe of Indians, Tax-Exempt Tax Revenue Bonds (Series 2006C), 5.625%, 10/1/2026	6/9/2006	\$500,000
New York City, NY IDA, Liberty Revenue Bonds (Series A), 6.25% (7 World Trade Center LLC), 3/1/2015	3/15/2005	\$800,000

Futures Contracts

The Funds may periodically purchase and sell bond interest rate futures contracts to manage duration and to potentially reduce transaction costs. Upon entering into a bond interest rate futures contract with a broker, the Funds are required to deposit in a segregated account a specified amount of cash or U.S. government securities. Futures contracts are valued daily and unrealized gains or losses are recorded in a "variation margin" account. Daily, the Funds receive from or pay to the broker a specified amount of cash based upon changes in the variation margin account. When a contract is closed, each Fund recognizes a realized gain or loss. Futures contracts have market risks, including the risk that the change in the value of the contract may not correlate with the changes in the value of the underlying securities. For the year ended November 30, 2008, the Funds had no net realized gain or loss on futures contracts.

At November 30, 2008, the Funds had no outstanding futures contracts.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and

assumptions that affect the amounts of assets, liabilities, expenses and revenues reported in the financial statements. Actual results could differ from those estimated.

Other

Investment transactions are accounted for on a trade-date basis. Realized gains and losses from investment transactions are recorded on an identified-cost basis.

3. COMMON SHARES

The following tables summarize share activity:

Federated Premier Municipal Income Fund

Year Ended November 30	2008	2007
Shares issued		
Shares issued to shareholders in payment of distributions declared	6,638	7,825
TOTAL CHANGE RESULTING FROM SHARE TRANSACTIONS	6,638	7,825
Federated Premier Intermediate Municipal Income Fund		
Year Ended November 30	2008	2007
Shares issued		
Shares issued to shareholders in payment of distributions declared		
TOTAL CHANGE RESULTING FROM SHARE TRANSACTIONS		

4. FEDERAL TAX INFORMATION

The timing and character of income and capital gain distributions are determined in accordance with income tax regulations, which may differ from GAAP. These differences are due in part to differing treatments for discount accretion/premium amortization on debt securities and inverse floater structures.

For the year ended November 30, 2008, permanent differences identified and reclassified among the components of net assets were as follows:

	Increase (Decrease)		
	Undistributed Net Investment Income	Accumulated Net Realized Gains (Losses)	
Federated Premier Municipal Income Fund	- \$ (445)	\$ 445 -	

Use of Estimates 44

Federated Premier Intermediate Municipal Income Fund	\$8,472	\$(8,472)

Net investment income (loss), net realized gains (losses) and net assets were not affected by this reclassification.

The tax character of distributions as reported on the Statements of Changes in Net Assets for the years ended November 30, 2008 and 2007, was as follows:

	2008 Tax-Exempt Income	2007 Tax-Exempt Income
Federated Premier Municipal Income Fund	\$6,443,040	\$6,884,218
Federated Premier Intermediate Municipal Income Fund	\$6,468,554	\$7,017,206

As of November 30, 2008, the components of distributable earnings on a tax basis were as follows:

	Federated Premier Municipal Income Fund	Federated Premier Intermediate Municipal Income Fund
Undistributed tax-exempt income	\$ 458,085	\$ 366,512
Net unrealized depreciation	\$(15,526,464)	\$(11,672,601)
Capital loss carryforwards	\$ (3,939,824)	\$ (4,517,505)

The difference between book-basis and tax-basis net unrealized appreciation/depreciation is attributable in part to differing treatments for the deferral of losses on wash sales and discount accretion/premium amortization on debt securities.

For federal income tax purposes, the following amounts apply as of November 30, 2008:

	Cost of Investments	Unrealized Appreciation	Unrealized Depreciation	Net Unrealized Depreciation
Federated Premier Municipal Income Fund	\$128,448,054	\$3,010,709	\$18,537,173	\$(15,526,464)
Federated Premier Intermediate Municipal Income Fund	\$144,617,191	\$2,011,039	\$13,683,640	\$(11,672,601)

At November 30, 2008, Federated Premier Municipal Income Fund and Federated Premier Intermediate Municipal Income Fund had capital loss carryforwards of \$3,939,824 and \$4,517,505, respectively, which will reduce the Funds' taxable income arising from future net realized gains on investments, if any, to the extent permitted by the Code and thus will reduce the amount of the distributions to shareholders which would otherwise be necessary to relieve the Funds of any liability for federal income tax. Pursuant to the Code, such capital loss carryforwards will expire as follows:

	2011	2012	2013	2015	2016	Total Capital Loss Carryforward
Federated Premier Municipal Income Fund		\$1,497,121	\$ 678,904		\$1,763,799	\$3,939,824
Federated Premier Intermediate Municipal Income Fund	\$5,504	\$1,598,165	\$1,175,977	\$615,196	\$1,122,663	\$4,517,505

5. INVESTMENT ADVISER FEE AND OTHER TRANSACTIONS WITH AFFILIATES

Investment Adviser Fee

Each Fund has entered into an Investment Management Agreement (the "Agreement") with Federated Investment Management Company (the "Adviser"), to serve as investment manager to the Funds. The Agreement provides for an annual management fee, payable daily, at the annual rate of 0.55% of each Fund's managed assets.

In order to reduce the Funds' expenses, the Adviser has contractually agreed to waive a portion of its investment adviser fee at the annual rate of 0.20% of the average daily net value of each Fund's managed assets, inclusive of any assets attributable to any preferred shares that may be issued, from the commencement of operations through December 31, 2007, and at a declining rate thereafter through December 31, 2010. Effective January 1, 2008 and continuing through December 31, 2008, the contractual waiver was reduced to 0.15%. For the year ended November 30, 2008, the Adviser waived \$208,286 and \$235,120 of its fee for Federated Premier Municipal Income Fund and Federated Premier Intermediate Municipal Income Fund, respectively. Additionally, for the year ended November 30, 2008, the Adviser reimbursed other operating expenses of \$93,800 and \$36,940 for Federated Premier Municipal Income Fund and Federated Premier Intermediate Municipal Income Fund, respectively.

Administrative Fee

Federated Administrative Services (FAS), under the Administrative Services Agreement, provides the Funds with administrative personnel and services. The fee paid to FAS is based on the average aggregate daily net assets of certain Federated funds as specified below:

Administrative Fee	Average Aggregate Daily Net Assets of the Federated Funds
0.150%	on the first \$5 billion
0.125%	on the next \$5 billion
0.100%	on the next \$10 billion
0.075%	on assets in excess of \$20 billion

The administrative fee received during any fiscal year shall be at least \$150,000 per portfolio and \$40,000 per each

additional class of Shares. FAS may voluntarily choose to waive any portion of its fee. FAS can modify or terminate this voluntary waiver at any time at its sole discretion. For the year ended November 30, 2008, FAS waived its entire fee of \$150,000 on each Fund.

Interfund Transactions

During the year ended November 30, 2008, the Funds engaged in purchase and sale transactions with funds that have a common investment adviser (or affiliated investment advisers), common Directors/Trustees, and/or common Officers. These purchase and sale transactions complied with Rule 17a-7 under the Act and were as follows:

	Purchases	Sales
Federated Premier Municipal Income Fund	\$32,910,000	\$30,810,000
Federated Premier Intermediate Municipal Income Fund	\$31,150,000	\$31,850,000

General

Certain of the Officers and Trustees of the Funds are Officers and Directors or Trustees of the above companies.

6. EXPENSE REDUCTION

Through arrangements with the Funds' custodian, net credits realized as a result of uninvested cash balances were used to reduce custody expenses. For the year ended November 30, 2008, the Funds' expenses were reduced under these arrangements by \$289 and \$420 for Federated Premier Municipal Income Fund and Federated Premier Intermediate Municipal Income Fund, respectively.

7. PREFERRED SHARES

On February 13, 2003, Federated Premier Municipal Income Fund and Federated Premier Intermediate Municipal Income Fund offered 2,147 and 2,441 Auction Market Preferred Shares (AMPS), respectively. The AMPS are redeemable at the option of the Funds at the redemption price of \$25,000 per share plus an amount equal to accumulated, but unpaid dividends thereon through the redemption date. As of November 30, 2008, Federated Premier Municipal Income Fund and Federated Premier Intermediate Municipal Income Fund currently have outstanding 1,763 and 2,036 AMPS, respectively.

On July 17, 2008, the Funds' Trustees approved a plan to use inverse floater structures to refinance a portion of the Funds' outstanding AMPS. During the year ended November 30, 2008, Federated Premier Municipal Income Fund and Federated Premier Intermediate Municipal Income Fund redeemed \$9,600,000 and \$10,125,000, representing 384 and 405 of their outstanding preferred shares, respectively, at liquidation value, using proceeds that arose from the use of the inverse floater structures.

Whenever AMPS are outstanding, common shareholders will not be entitled to receive any distributions from the Funds unless all accrued dividends on preferred shares have been paid, the Funds satisfy the 200% asset coverage requirement, and certain other requirements imposed by any Nationally Recognized Statistical Ratings Organizations (NRSROs) rating the preferred shares have been met. Should these requirements not be met, or should dividends accrued on the AMPS not be paid, the Funds may be restricted in their ability to declare dividends to common shareholders or may be required to redeem certain of the AMPS. At November 30, 2008, there were no such restrictions on the Funds.

Administrative Fee 47

8. INVESTMENT TRANSACTIONS

Purchases and sales of investments, excluding long-term U.S. government securities and short-term obligations, for the year ended November 30, 2008, were as follows:

	Purchases	Sales
Federated Premier Municipal Income Fund	\$38,149,928	\$37,093,704
Federated Premier Intermediate Municipal Income Fund	\$14,466,397	\$23,926,208

9. INTERFUND LENDING

Pursuant to an Exemptive Order issued by the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), the Funds, along with other funds advised by subsidiaries of Federated Investors, Inc., may participate in an interfund lending program. This program provides an alternative credit facility allowing the Funds to borrow from other participating affiliated funds. As of November 30, 2008, there were no outstanding loans. During the year ended November 30, 2008, the program was not utilized.

10. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Since October 2003, Federated Investors, Inc. and related entities (collectively, "Federated") and various Federated funds ("Federated Funds") have been named as defendants in several class action lawsuits now pending in the United States District Court for the District of Maryland. The lawsuits were purportedly filed on behalf of people who purchased, owned and/or redeemed shares of Federated-sponsored mutual funds during specified periods beginning November 1, 1998. The suits are generally similar in alleging that Federated engaged in illegal and improper trading practices including market timing and late trading in concert with certain institutional traders, which allegedly caused financial injury to the mutual fund shareholders. These lawsuits began to be filed shortly after Federated's first public announcement that it had received requests for information on shareholder trading activities in the Federated Funds from the SEC, the Office of the New York State Attorney General ("NYAG") and other authorities. In that regard, on November 28, 2005, Federated announced that it had reached final settlements with the SEC and the NYAG with respect to those matters. As Federated previously reported in 2004, it has already paid approximately \$8.0 million to certain funds as determined by an independent consultant. As part of these settlements, Federated agreed to pay for the benefit of fund shareholders additional disgorgement and a civil money penalty in the aggregate amount of an additional \$72 million. Federated entities have also been named as defendants in several additional lawsuits that are now pending in the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania, alleging, among other things, excessive advisory and Rule 12b-1 fees. The Board of the Federated Funds retained the law firm of Dickstein Shapiro LLP to represent the Federated Funds in these lawsuits. Federated and the Federated Funds, and their respective counsel have been defending this litigation, and none of the Federated Funds remains a defendant in any of the lawsuits (though some could potentially receive any recoveries as nominal defendants). Additional lawsuits based upon similar allegations may be filed in the future. The potential impact of these lawsuits, all of which seek unquantified damages, attorneys' fees and expenses and future potential similar suits is uncertain. Although we do not believe that these lawsuits will have a material adverse effect on the Federated Funds, there can be no assurance that these suits, the ongoing adverse publicity and/or other developments resulting from the regulatory investigations will not result in increased Federated Fund redemptions, reduced sales of Federated Fund shares or other adverse consequences for the Federated Funds.

11. RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In March 2008, FASB released Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 161, "Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities" (FAS 161). FAS 161 requires qualitative disclosures about objectives and strategies for using derivatives, quantitative disclosures about fair value amounts of gains and losses on derivative instruments, and disclosures about credit-risk-related contingent features in derivative agreements. FAS 161 is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008. At this time, management is evaluating the implications of adopting FAS 161 and its impact on the financial statements and the accompanying notes.

12. FEDERAL TAX INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

For the year ended November 30, 2008, 100.0% of the distributions from net investment income for each Fund is exempt from federal income tax, other than the federal AMT.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

TO THE SHAREHOLDERS AND BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF FEDERATED PREMIER MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND AND FEDERATED PREMIER INTERMEDIATE MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND:

We have audited the accompanying statements of assets and liabilities of Federated Premier Municipal Income Fund and Federated Premier Intermediate Municipal Income Fund (the "Funds"), including the portfolios of investments, as of November 30, 2008, and the related statements of operations for the year then ended, the statements of changes in net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended, and the financial highlights for each of the five years in the period then ended. These financial statements and financial highlights are the responsibility of the Funds' management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial highlights based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements and financial highlights are free of material misstatement. We were not engaged to perform an audit of the Funds' internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Funds' internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements and financial highlights, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our procedures included confirmation of securities owned as of November 30, 2008, by correspondence with the custodian and brokers or by other appropriate auditing procedures where replies from brokers were not received. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements and financial highlights referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial positions of Federated Premier Municipal Income Fund and Federated Premier Intermediate Municipal Income Fund at November 30, 2008, the results of their operations for the year then ended, the changes in their net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended, and their financial highlights for each of the five years in the period then ended, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Ernst & Young LLP

Boston, Massachusetts January 16, 2009

Board of Trustees and Fund Officers

The Board is responsible for managing the Funds' business affairs and for exercising all the Funds' powers except those reserved for the shareholders. The following tables give information about each Board member and the senior officers of the Funds. Where required, the tables separately list Board members who are "interested persons" of the Fund (i.e., "Interested" Board members) and those who are not (i.e., "Independent" Board members). Unless otherwise noted, the address of each person listed is Federated Investors Tower, 1001 Liberty Avenue, Pittsburgh, PA 15222. The address of all Independent Board members listed is 4000 Ericsson Drive, Warrendale, PA 15086-7561; Attention: Mutual Fund Board. As of December 31, 2008, the Federated Fund Complex consisted of 40 investment companies (comprising 149 portfolios). Unless otherwise noted, each Officer is elected annually. Unless otherwise noted, each Board member oversees all portfolios in the Federated Fund Complex.

INTERESTED TRUSTEES BACKGROUND

Name Birth Date Positions Held with Funds Date Service Began	Principal Occupation(s) for Past Five Years, Other Directorships Held and Previous Position(s)	Year of Term Expiration
John F. Donahue*+ Birth Date: July 28, 1924 TRUSTEE Began serving: December 2002	Principal Occupations: Director or Trustee of the Federated Fund Complex; Chairman and Director, Federated Investors, Inc.; Chairman of the Federated Fund Complex's Executive Committee. Previous Positions: Chairman of the Federated Fund Complex; Trustee, Federated Investment Management Company; Chairman and Director, Federated Investment Counseling.	2010
J. Christopher Donahue* Birth Date: April 11, 1949 PRESIDENT AND TRUSTEE Began serving: December 2002	Principal Occupations: Principal Executive Officer and President of the Federated Fund Complex; Director or Trustee of some of the Funds in the Federated Fund Complex; President, Chief Executive Officer and Director, Federated Investors, Inc.; Chairman and Trustee, Federated Investment Management Company; Trustee, Federated Investment Counseling; Chairman and Director, Federated Global Investment Management Corp.; Chairman, Federated Equity Management Company of Pennsylvania and Passport Research, Ltd. (investment advisory subsidiary of Federated); Trustee, Federated Shareholder Services Company; Director, Federated Services Company.	
2002	Previous Positions: President, Federated Investment Counseling; President and Chief Executive Officer, Federated Investment Management Company, Federated Global Investment Management Corp. and Passport Research, Ltd.	2011

INDEPENDENT TRUSTEES BACKGROUND

Name Birth Date Positions Held with Funds Date Service Began	Principal Occupation(s) for Past Five Years, Other Directorships Held and Previous Position(s)	Year of Term Expiration
Thomas G. Bigley**++ Birth Date: February 3, 1934 TRUSTEE	Principal Occupation: Director or Trustee of the Federated Fund Complex. Other Directorships Held: Director, Member of Executive Committee, Children's Hospital of Pittsburgh; Director, University of Pittsburgh.	
Began serving: December 2002	Previous Position: Senior Partner, Ernst & Young LLP.	2010
John T. Conroy, Jr.** Birth Date: June 23, 1937 TRUSTEE	Principal Occupations: Director or Trustee of the Federated Fund Complex; Chairman of the Board, Investment Properties Corporation; Partner or Trustee in private real estate ventures in Southwest Florida; Assistant Professor in Theology at Barry University and Blessed Edmund Rice School for Pastoral Ministry.	
Began serving: December 2002	Previous Positions: President, Investment Properties Corporation; Senior Vice President, John R. Wood and Associates, Inc., Realtors; President, Naples Property Management, Inc. and Northgate Village Development Corporation.	2010
Nicholas P. Constantakis**++ Birth Date: September 3, 1939 TRUSTEE Began serving: December 2002	Principal Occupation: Director or Trustee of the Federated Fund Complex. Other Directorships Held: Director and Chairman of the Audit Committee, Michael Baker Corporation (engineering and energy services worldwide). Previous Position: Partner, Andersen Worldwide SC.	2011
John F.	Principal Occupations: Director or Trustee of the Federated Fund Complex.	2011
Cunningham** Birth Date: March 5, 1943 TRUSTEE	Other Directorships Held: Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, Cunningham & Co., Inc. (strategic business consulting); Trustee Associate, Boston College.	
Began serving: December 2002	Previous Positions: Director, QSGI, Inc. (technology services company); Director, Redgate Communications and EMC Corporation (computer storage systems); Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, Computer	

^{*} Family relationships and reasons for "interested" status: John F. Donahue is the father of J. Christopher Donahue; both are "interested" due to their beneficial ownership of shares of Federated Investors, Inc. and the positions they hold with Federated and its subsidiaries.

⁺ Member of Executive Committee

Consoles, Inc.; President and Chief Operating Officer, Wang Laboratories; Director, First National Bank of Boston; Director, Apollo Computer, Inc.

Name Birth Date Positions Held with Funds Date Service Began	Principal Occupation(s) for Past Five Years, Other Directorships Held and Previous Position(s)	Year of Term Expiration
Peter E. Madden**+ Birth Date: March	Principal Occupation: Director or Trustee, and Chairman of the Board of Directors or Trustees, of the Federated Fund Complex.	
16, 1942	Other Directorships Held: Board of Overseers, Babson College.	
TRUSTEE Began serving: December 2002	Previous Positions: Representative, Commonwealth of Massachusetts General Court; President, State Street Bank and Trust Company and State Street Corporation (retired); Director, VISA USA and VISA International; Chairman and Director, Massachusetts Bankers Association; Director, Depository Trust Corporation; Director, The Boston Stock Exchange.	2009
Charles F. Mansfield, Jr.**++	Principal Occupations: Director or Trustee of the Federated Fund Complex; Management Consultant.	
Birth Date: April 10, 1945 TRUSTEE Began serving: December 2002	Previous Positions: Chief Executive Officer, PBTC International Bank; Partner, Arthur Young & Company (now Ernst & Young LLP); Chief Financial Officer of Retail Banking Sector, Chase Manhattan Bank; Senior Vice President, HSBC Bank USA (formerly, Marine Midland Bank); Vice President, Citibank; Assistant Professor of Banking and Finance, Frank G. Zarb School of Business, Hofstra University; Executive Vice President DVC Group, Inc. (marketing, communications and technology).	2009
D. I.	Principal Occupations: Director or Trustee of the Federated Fund Complex; Senior Counsel, Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schrek, P.C.; Former Secretary of the U.S. Dept. of Veterans Affairs; Former U.S. Ambassador to the Holy See; Former Chairman of the Republican National Committee.	
R. James Nicholson**	Other Directorships Held: Director, Horatio Alger Association.	
Birth Date: February 4, 1938 TRUSTEE Began serving: January 2008	Previous Positions: Colonel, U.S. Army Reserve; Partner, Calkins, Kramer, Grimshaw and Harring, P.C.; General Counsel, Colorado Association of Housing and Building; Chairman and CEO, Nicholson Enterprises, Inc. (real estate holding company); Chairman and CEO, Renaissance Homes of Colorado.	2009
Name Birth Date Positions Held with Funds	Principal Occupation(s) for Past Five Years, Other Directorships Held and Previous Position(s)	Year of Term Expiration

Thomas M. O'Neill** Birth Date: June 14, 1951 TRUSTEE Began serving: October 2006	 Principal Occupations: Director or Trustee of the Federated Fund Complex; Managing Director and Partner, Navigator Management Company, L.P. (investment and strategic consulting). Other Directorships Held: Board of Overseers, Children's Hospital of Boston; Visiting Committee on Athletics, Harvard College. Previous Positions: Chief Executive Officer and President, Managing Director and Chief Investment Officer, Fleet Investment Advisors; President and Chief Executive Officer, Aeltus Investment Management, Inc.; General Partner, Hellman, Jordan Management Co., Boston, MA; Chief Investment Officer, The Putnam Companies, Boston, MA; Credit Analyst and Lending Officer, Fleet Bank. 	2010
John S. Walsh+**++ Birth Date: November 28, 1957 TRUSTEE Began serving: December 2002	Principal Occupations: Director or Trustee of the Federated Fund Complex; President and Director, Heat Wagon, Inc. (manufacturer of construction temporary heaters); President and Director, Manufacturers Products, Inc. (distributor of portable construction heaters); President, Portable Heater Parts, a division of Manufacturers Products, Inc. Previous Position: Vice President, Walsh & Kelly, Inc.	2009
James F. Will** Birth Date: October 12, 1938 TRUSTEE Began serving: April 2006	Principal Occupations: Director or Trustee of the Federated Fund Complex; formerly, Vice Chancellor and President, Saint Vincent College. Other Directorships Held: Trustee, Saint Vincent College; Alleghany Corporation. Previous Positions: Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, Armco, Inc.; President and Chief Executive Officer, Cyclops Industries; President and Chief Operating Officer, Kaiser Steel Corporation.	2009

- + Member of Executive Committee
- ++ Member of Audit Committee

OFFICERS

Name Birth Date Positions Held with Funds Date Service Began	Principal Occupation(s) for Past Five Years and Previous Position(s)
John W. McGonigle Birth Date: October	Principal Occupations: Executive Vice President and Secretary of the Federated Fund Complex; Vice Chairman, Executive Vice President, Secretary and Director, Federated

OFFICERS 53

^{**} Member of Nominating Committee

26, 1938

EXECUTIVE VICE

PRESIDENT

AND SECRETARY Began serving: December 2002 Investors, Inc.

Previous Positions: Trustee, Federated Investment Management Company and Federated Investment Counseling; Director, Federated Global Investment Management Corp., Federated Services Company and Federated Securities Corp.

Richard A. Novak

Birth Date: December

25, 1963 TREASURER

Began serving: January 2006 **Principal Occupations:** Principal Financial Officer and Treasurer of the Federated Fund Complex; Senior Vice President, Federated Administrative Services; Financial and

Operations Principal for Federated Securities Corp., Edgewood Services, Inc. and Southpointe

Distribution Services, Inc.

Previous Positions: Controller of Federated Investors, Inc.; Vice President, Finance of Federated Services Company; held various financial management positions within The Mercy

Hospital of Pittsburgh; Auditor, Arthur Andersen & Co.

Richard B. Fisher Birth Date: May 17, 1923

VICE CHAIRMAN

Began serving: December 2002 **Principal Occupations:** Vice Chairman or Vice President of some of the Funds in the Federated Fund Complex; Vice Chairman, Federated Investors, Inc.; Chairman, Federated Securities Corp.

Previous Positions: President and Director or Trustee of some of the Funds in the Federated Fund Complex; Executive Vice President, Federated Investors, Inc.; Director and Chief Executive Officer, Federated Securities Corp.

Brian P. Bouda

Birth Date: February

28, 1947 CHIEF

COMPLIANCE

OFFICER AND SENIOR VICE

PRESIDENT Began serving: August 2004 **Principal Occupations:** Senior Vice President and Chief Compliance Officer of the Federated Fund Complex; Vice President and Chief Compliance Officer of Federated Investors, Inc. and Chief Compliance Officer of its subsidiaries. Mr. Bouda joined Federated in 1999 and is a member of the American Bar Association and the State Bar Association of Wisconsin.

Mary Jo Ochson

Birth Date:

September 12, 1953

CHIEF

INVESTMENT OFFICER.

TAX-FREE FIXED

INCOME, AND VICE PRESIDENT Began serving: December 2002 **Principal Occupations:** Mary Jo Ochson has been the Funds' Portfolio Manager since December 2002. Ms. Ochson was named Chief Investment Officer of tax-exempt fixed-income products in 2004 and is Vice President of the Funds. She joined Federated in 1982 and has been a Senior Portfolio Manager and a Senior Vice President of the Fund's

1982 and has been a Senior Portfolio Manager and a Senior Vice President of the Fund's Adviser since 1996. Ms. Ochson is a Chartered Financial Analyst and received her M.B.A. in

Finance from the University of Pittsburgh.

OFFICERS 54

Last Meeting of Shareholders (Unaudited)

FEDERATED PREMIER MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND

An Annual Meeting of Fund shareholders (Common Shares and Preferred Shares) was held on September 19, 2008. On July 8, 2008, the record date for shareholders voting at the meeting, there were 6,136,693 total outstanding shares. The following item was considered by shareholders and the results of their voting are listed below. Unless otherwise noted, each matter was approved.

ELECTION OF FOUR CLASS II TRUSTEES - COMMON SHARES AND PREFERRED SHARES:

1. J. Christopher Donahue

For	Withheld Authority to Vote
5,900,950	65,209

2. Nicholas P. Constantakis

For	Withheld Authority to Vote
5,898,550	67,609

3. John F. Cunningham

	Withheld		
For	Authority to Vote		
5,898,550	67,609		

4. Marjorie P. Smuts

For	Withheld Authority to Vote
5,896,495	69,664

An Annual Meeting of Fund shareholders (Preferred Shares) was held on September 19, 2008. On July 8, 2008, the record date for shareholders voting at the meeting, there were 2,147 total outstanding shares. The following item was considered by shareholders and the results of their voting are listed below. Unless otherwise noted, each matter was approved.

ELECTION OF TWO TRUSTEES - PREFERRED SHARES ONLY:

1. Peter E. Madden

For	Withheld Authority to Vote
1,850	9
2. John	S. Walsh
For	Withheld Authority to Vote

1,850 9

The following Trustees of the Fund continued their terms as Trustees of the Fund: John F. Donahue, Thomas G. Bigley, John T. Conroy, Jr., Charles F. Mansfield, Jr., John E. Murray, Jr., J.D., S.J.D., R. James Nicholson, Thomas M. O'Neill and James F. Will.

FEDERATED PREMIER INTERMEDIATE MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND

An Annual Meeting of Fund shareholders (Common Shares and Preferred Shares) was held on September 19, 2008. On July 8, 2008, the record date for shareholders voting at the meeting, there were 6,946,981 total outstanding shares. The following item was considered by shareholders and the results of their voting are listed below. Unless otherwise noted, each matter was approved.

ELECTION OF FOUR CLASS II TRUSTEES - COMMON SHARES AND PREFERRED SHARES:

1. J. Christopher Donahue

	Withheld Authority		
For	to Vote		
6,589,482	194,603		

2. Nicholas P. Constantakis

For	Withheld Authority to Vote		
6,602,132	181,953		

3. John F. Cunningham

For	Withheld Authority to Vote	
6,607,452	176,633	

4. Marjorie P. Smuts

For	Withheld Authority to Vote
6 583 482	200 603

An Annual Meeting of Fund shareholders (Preferred Shares) was held on September 19, 2008. On July 8, 2008, the record date for shareholders voting at the meeting, there were 2,441 total outstanding shares. The following item was considered by shareholders and the results of their voting are listed below. Unless otherwise noted, each matter was approved.

ELECTION OF TWO TRUSTEES - PREFERRED SHARES ONLY:

1. Peter E. Madden

Withheld

For	Authority to Vote
2,125	0
2. John	S. Walsh
For	Withheld Authority to Vote

2,125 0

The following Trustees of the Fund continued their terms as Trustees of the Fund: John F. Donahue, Thomas G. Bigley, John T. Conroy, Jr., Charles F. Mansfield, Jr., John E. Murray, Jr., J.D., S.J.D., R. James Nicholson, Thomas M. O'Neill and James F. Will.

Evaluation and Approval of Advisory Contract - May 2008

FEDERATED PREMIER MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND ("FMN" OR THE "FUND") FEDERATED PREMIER INTERMEDIATE MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND ("FPT" OR THE "FUND")

The Fund's Board reviewed each Fund's investment advisory contract at meetings held in May 2008. The Board's decision regarding these contracts reflects the exercise of its business judgment on whether to continue the existing arrangements.

In this connection, the Federated funds' Board had previously appointed a Senior Officer, whose duties include specified responsibilities relating to the process by which advisory fees are to be charged to a Federated fund. The Senior Officer has the authority to retain consultants, experts, or staff as may be reasonably necessary to assist in the performance of his duties, reports directly to the Board, and may be terminated only with the approval of a majority of the independent members of the Board. The Senior Officer prepared and furnished to the Board an independent, written evaluation that covered topics discussed below. The Board considered that evaluation, along with other information, in deciding to approve the advisory contract.

During its review of these contracts, the Board considered compensation and benefits received by the Adviser. This included the fees received for services provided to the Fund by other entities in the Federated organization and research services received by the Adviser from brokers that execute Federated fund trades, as well as advisory fees. The Board is also familiar with and considered judicial decisions concerning allegedly excessive investment advisory fees which have indicated that the following factors may be relevant to an Adviser's fiduciary duty with respect to its receipt of compensation from a fund: the nature and quality of the services provided by the Adviser, including the performance of the Fund; the Adviser's cost of providing the services; the extent to which the Adviser may realize "economies of scale" as the Fund grows larger; any indirect benefits that may accrue to the Adviser and its affiliates as a result of the Adviser's relationship with the Fund; performance and expenses of comparable funds; and the extent to which the independent Board members are fully informed about all facts the Board deems relevant bearing on the Adviser's services and fees. The Board further considered management fees (including any components thereof) charged to institutional and other clients of the Adviser for what might be viewed as like services and the cost to the Adviser and its affiliates of supplying services pursuant to the management fee agreements, excluding any intra-corporate profit and profit margins of the Adviser and its affiliates for supplying such services. The Board was aware of these factors and was guided by them in its review of the Fund's advisory contract to the extent it considered them to be appropriate and relevant, as discussed further below.

The Board considered and weighed these circumstances in light of its substantial accumulated experience in governing the Fund and working with Federated on matters relating to the Federated funds, and was assisted in its deliberations by independent legal counsel. Throughout the year, the Board has requested and received substantial and detailed information about the Fund and the Federated organization that was in addition to the extensive materials that comprise and accompany the Senior Officer's evaluation. Federated provided much of this information at each regular meeting of the Board, and furnished additional reports in connection with the particular meeting at which the Board's formal review of the advisory contract occurred. Between regularly scheduled meetings, the Board also received information on particular matters as the need arose. Thus, the Board's consideration of the advisory contract included review of the Senior Officer's evaluation, accompanying data and additional reports covering such matters as: the Adviser's investment philosophy, revenue, profitability, personnel and processes; investment and operating strategies; the Fund's short- and long-term performance (in absolute terms, both on a gross basis and net of expenses, as well as in relationship to its particular investment program and certain competitor or "peer group" funds and/or other benchmarks, as appropriate), and comments on the reasons for performance; the Fund's investment objectives; the Fund's expenses (including the advisory fee itself and the overall expense structure of the Fund, both in absolute terms and relative to similar and/or competing funds, with due regard for contractual or voluntary expense limitations); the use and allocation of brokerage commissions derived from trading the Fund's portfolio securities (if any); and the nature, quality and extent of the advisory and other services provided to the Fund by the Adviser and its affiliates. The

Board also considered the preferences and expectations of Fund shareholders and their relative sophistication; the continuing state of competition in the investment company industry and market practices; the range of comparable fees for similar funds; compliance and audit reports concerning the Federated funds and the Federated companies that service them (including communications from regulatory agencies), as well as Federated's responses to any issues raised therein; and relevant developments in the fund industry and how the Federated funds and/or Federated are responding to them. The Board's evaluation process is evolutionary. The criteria considered and the emphasis placed on relevant criteria change in recognition of changing circumstances in the fund marketplace.

With respect to the Fund's performance and expenses in particular, the Board has found the use of comparisons to other closed-end funds with comparable investment programs to be particularly useful, given the high degree of competition in the investment company industry. The Board focused on comparisons with other similar funds more heavily than non-fund products or services because, simply put, they are more relevant. For example, other closed-end funds are the products most like the Fund, they are readily available to Fund shareholders as alternative investment vehicles, and they are the type of investment vehicle in fact chosen and maintained by the Fund's investors. The range of their fees and expenses therefore appears to be a generally reliable indication of what consumers have found to be reasonable in the precise marketplace in which the Fund competes. The Fund's ability to deliver competitive performance when compared to its peer group was a useful indicator of how the Adviser is executing the Fund's investment program, which in turn assisted the Board in reaching a conclusion that the nature, extent, and quality of the Adviser's investment management services were such as to warrant continuation of the advisory contract. In this regard, the Senior Officer has reviewed Federated's fees for providing advisory services to Federated registered investment companies as well as products outside the Federated family of funds (e.g., institutional and separate accounts). He concluded that registered investment companies and institutional accounts are inherently different products. Those differences include, but are not limited to, different types of targeted investors; being subject to different laws and regulations; different legal structures; different associated costs; and portfolio management techniques made necessary by such differences. The Senior Officer did not consider these fee schedules to be significant in determining the appropriateness of fund advisory contracts.

The Senior Officer reviewed reports compiled by Federated, using data supplied by independent fund ranking organizations, regarding the performance of, and fees charged by, other regulated investment companies, noting his view that comparisons to fund peer groups are highly important in judging the reasonableness of proposed fees.

For the periods ending December 31, 2007, the FMN's performance for the three-year period was above the median of the relevant peer group, and the FMN's performance fell below the median of the relevant peer group for the one-year period. The Board discussed FMN's performance with the Adviser and recognized the efforts being undertaken by the Adviser. The Board will continue to monitor these efforts and the performance of FMN.

FPT's performance fell below the median of the relevant peer group for both the one- and three-year periods ending December 31, 2007. The Board discussed FPT's performance with the Adviser and recognized the efforts being undertaken by the Adviser. The Board will continue to monitor these efforts and the performance of FPT.

The Board also received financial information about Federated, including reports on the compensation and benefits Federated derived from its relationships with the Federated funds. These reports covered not only the fees under the advisory contracts, but also fees received by Federated's subsidiaries for providing other services to the Federated funds under separate contracts (e.g., for serving as the Federated funds' administrator). The reports also discussed any indirect benefit Federated may derive from its receipt of research services from brokers who execute Federated fund trades. In addition, the Board also considered the fact that, in order for a fund to be competitive in the marketplace, Federated and its affiliates frequently waived fees and/or reimbursed expenses and have disclosed to fund investors and/or indicated to the Board their intention to do so in the future, where appropriate.

Federated furnished reports, requested by the Senior Officer, that reported revenues on a fund by fund basis and made estimates of the allocation of expenses on a fund-by-fund basis, using allocation methodologies specified by the

Senior Officer. The Senior Officer noted that, although they may apply consistent allocation processes, the inherent difficulties in allocating costs (and the unavoidable arbitrary aspects of that exercise) and the lack of consensus on how to allocate those costs may render such allocation reports unreliable. The allocation reports were considered in the analysis by the Board but were determined to be of limited use.

The Board and the Senior Officer also reviewed a report compiled by Federated comparing profitability information for Federated to other publicly held fund management companies. In this regard, the Senior Officer noted the limited availability of such information, but nonetheless concluded that Federated's profit margins did not appear to be excessive and the Board agreed.

The Board also considered whether the Fund might benefit from "economies of scale" and noted that, as a "closed-end fund," which has made an offering of a fixed number of common shares and (other than the issuance of preferred shares contemplated at the time of the Fund's initial public offering) has not made and does not expect to make additional offerings to raise more assets, the Fund is unlikely to grow materially in size and, as a consequence, there are no meaningful "economies of scale" to be realized from internal growth. Accordingly, the Board concluded that this was not a relevant consideration in its overall evaluation.

It was noted in the materials for the Board meeting that for FMN's most recently completed fiscal year, FMN's investment advisory fee was below the median of the relevant peer group. The Board reviewed the fees and other expenses of FMN with the Adviser and was satisfied that the overall expense structure of FMN remained competitive.

It was noted in the materials for the Board meeting that for FPT's most recently completed fiscal year, FPT's investment advisory fee was below the median of the relevant peer group. The Board reviewed the fees and other expenses of FPT with the Adviser and was satisfied that the overall expense structure of FPT remained competitive.

The Senior Officer's evaluation noted his belief that the information and observations contained in his evaluation supported a finding that the proposed management fees are reasonable, and that Federated appeared to provide appropriate administrative services to the Fund for the fees paid. Under these circumstances, no changes were recommended to, and no objection was raised to, the continuation of the Fund's advisory contract. The Board concluded that the nature, quality and scope of services provided the Fund by the Adviser and its affiliates were satisfactory.

In its decision to continue an existing investment advisory contract, the Board was mindful of the potential disruptions of the Fund's operations and various risks, uncertainties and other effects that could occur as a result of a decision to terminate or not renew an advisory contract. In particular, the Board recognized that most shareholders have invested in the Fund on the strength of the Adviser's industry standing and reputation and with the expectation that the Adviser will have a continuing role in providing advisory services to the Fund. Thus, the Board's approval of the advisory contract reflected the fact that it is the shareholders who have effectively selected the Adviser by virtue of having invested in the Fund.

The Board based its decision to approve the advisory contract on the totality of the circumstances and relevant factors and with a view to past and future long-term considerations. Not all of the factors and considerations identified above were necessarily relevant to the Fund, nor did the Board consider any one of them to be determinative. With respect to the factors that were relevant, the Board's decision to approve the contract reflects its determination that Federated's performance and actions provided a satisfactory basis to support the decision to continue the existing arrangements.

Dividend Reinvestment Plan

The following description of each Fund's Dividend Reinvestment Plan (the "Plan") is furnished to you annually as required by federal securities laws.

Unless the registered owner of a Fund's common shares elects to receive cash by contacting Computershare Trust Co., N.A. (the "Plan Administrator"), all dividends declared on common shares of the Fund will be automatically reinvested by the Plan Administrator, as agent for shareholders in the Plan, in additional common shares of the Fund. Common shareholders who elect not to participate in the Plan will receive all dividends and other distributions in cash. You may elect not to participate in the Plan and to receive all dividends in cash by contacting the Plan Administrator at the address set forth below if your Shares are registered in your name, or by contacting your bank, broker, or other nominee if your Shares are held in street or other nominee name. Participation in the Plan is completely voluntary and may be terminated or resumed at any time without penalty by written notice to the Plan Administrator. Such notice will be effective for a dividend if received and processed by the Plan Administrator prior to the dividend record date; otherwise the notice will be effective with respect to any subsequently declared dividend or other distribution. Some brokers may automatically elect to receive cash on your behalf and may reinvest that cash in additional common shares of the Fund for you. If you wish for all dividends declared on your common shares to be automatically reinvested pursuant to the Plan, please contact your broker.

The Plan Administrator will open an account for each common shareholder under the Plan in the same name in which the shareholder's common shares are registered. Whenever the Fund declares a dividend or other distribution payable in cash (together, a "dividend"), non-participants in the Plan will receive cash, and participants in the Plan will receive the equivalent in common shares. The common shares will be acquired by the Plan Administrator for the participants' accounts, depending upon the circumstances described below, either: (1) through receipt from the Fund of additional authorized but unissued common shares ("newly issued common shares"); or (2) by purchase of outstanding common shares on the open market ("open-market purchases") on the New York Stock Exchange or elsewhere. If, on the payment date for a dividend, the closing market price plus estimated brokerage commissions per common share is equal to or greater than the net asset value (NAV) per common share, the Plan Administrator will invest the dividend amount on behalf of the participants in newly issued common shares. The number of newly issued common shares to be credited to each participant's account will be determined by dividing the dollar amount of the dividend by the NAV per common share on the payment date; provided that, if the NAV is less than or equal to 95% of the closing market value on the payment date, the dollar amount of the dividend will be divided by 95% of the closing market price per common share on the payment date. If, on the payment date for any dividend, the NAV per common share is greater than the closing market value plus estimated brokerage commissions, the Plan Administrator will invest the dividend amount in common shares acquired on behalf of the participants in open-market purchases.

In the event of a market discount on the payment date for any dividend, the Plan Administrator will have until the last business day before the next date on which the common shares trade on an "ex-dividend" basis or 30 days after the payment date for such dividend, whichever is sooner (the "last purchase date"), to invest the dividend amount in common shares acquired in open-market purchases. It is contemplated that the Funds will pay monthly income dividends. Therefore, the period during which open-market purchases can be made will exist only from the payment date of each dividend through the day before the next "ex-dividend" date, which will be approximately ten days. If, before the Plan Administrator has completed its open-market purchases, the market price per common share exceeds the NAV per common share, the average per share purchase price paid by the Plan Administrator may exceed the NAV of the common shares, resulting in the acquisition of fewer common shares than if the dividend had been paid in newly issued common shares on the dividend payment date. Because of the foregoing difficulty with respect to open-market purchases, the Plan provides that if the Plan Administrator is unable to invest the full dividend amount in open-market purchases during the purchase period or if the market discount shifts to a market premium during the purchase period, the Plan Administrator may cease making open-market purchases and may invest the uninvested portion of the dividend amount in newly issued common shares at the NAV per common share at the close of business on the last purchase date; provided that, if the NAV is less than or equal to 95% of the then current market price per common share, the dollar amount of the dividend will be divided by 95% of the market price on the payment date.

The Plan Administrator maintains all shareholders' accounts in the Plan and furnishes written confirmation of all transactions in the accounts, including information needed by shareholders for tax records. Common shares in the account of each Plan participant will be held by the Plan Administrator on behalf of the Plan participant, and each

shareholder proxy will include those shares purchased or received pursuant to the Plan. The Plan Administrator will forward all proxy solicitation materials to participants and vote proxies for shares held under the Plan in accordance with the instructions of the participants.

In the case of record shareholders such as banks, brokers, or nominees which hold common shares for others who are the beneficial owners, the Plan Administrator will administer the Plan on the basis of the number of common shares certified from time to time by the record holder as held for the account of beneficial owners who participate in the Plan.

There will be no brokerage charges with respect to common shares issued directly by the Fund. However, each participant will pay a pro rata share of brokerage commissions incurred in connection with open-market purchases. The automatic reinvestment of dividends will not relieve participants of any federal, state, or local income tax that may be payable (or required to be withheld) on such dividends. Participants that request a sale of shares through the Plan Administrator are subject to a \$15.00 sales fee and a \$0.12 per share sold brokerage commission.

Each Fund reserves the right to amend or terminate its Plan. There is no direct service charge to participants with regard to purchases in the Plan; however, each Fund reserves the right to amend its Plan to include a service charge payable by the participants.

All correspondence or questions concerning the Plan should be directed to the Plan Administrator, Computershare Trust Company, N.A., P.O. Box 43011, Providence, RI 02940-3011 or by telephone at (800) 730-6001.

The address of the principal office of the Funds is 4000 Ericsson Drive, Warrendale, PA 15086-7561.

The Funds' transfer agent is Computershare Trust Company, N.A., P.O. Box 43011, Providence, RI 02940-3011.

Voting Proxies on Fund Portfolio Securities

A description of the policies and procedures that each Fund uses to determine how to vote proxies relating to securities held in the Fund's portfolio is available, without charge and upon request, by calling 1-800-341-7400. A report on "Form N-PX" of how the Fund voted any proxies during the most recent 12-month period ended June 30 is available from Federated's website at FederatedInvestors.com. To access this information go to the "Products" section of the website, select "Closed-End Funds," select the name of the Fund, then select "Shareholder and Regulatory Reports" from the left menu. This information is also available directly from the EDGAR database on the SEC's website at www.sec.gov.

Quarterly Portfolio Schedule

Each Fund files with the SEC a complete schedule of its portfolio holdings, as of the close of the first and third quarters of its fiscal year, on "Form N-Q." These filings are available on the SEC's website at www.sec.gov and may be reviewed and copied at the SEC's Public Reference Room in Washington, DC. (Call 1-800-SEC-0330 for information on the operation of the Public Reference Room.) You may also access this information from the "Products" section of the Federated Investors website at FederatedInvestors.com by clicking on "Closed-End Funds," selecting the name of the Fund, then selecting "Portfolio Holdings" from the left menu.

Source of Distributions - Notice

Under the Federal securities laws, the Funds are required to provide a notice to shareholders regarding the source of distributions made by the Funds if such distributions are from sources other than ordinary investment income. In

addition, important information regarding the Funds' distributions, if applicable, is available in the "Products" section of Federated's website at FederatedInvestors.com. To access this information from the "Products" section of the website, click on the "Notice to Shareholders--Source of Distribution" link under "Related Information."

Certification Disclosure

In October 2008, the Funds' Chief Executive Officer submitted to the New York Stock Exchange the annual certification as to compliance with the Exchange's Corporate Governance Listing Standards required by Section 303A.12(a) of the Exchange's Listed Company Manual. The certification was unqualified.

The Funds' reports on Form N-CSR and Form N-Q filed with the SEC during the past fiscal year, including the annual report for the year ended November 30, 2007, have contained the certifications of the Funds' Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer regarding the quality of the Funds' public disclosure required by Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act.

IMPORTANT NOTICE ABOUT FUND DOCUMENT DELIVERY

In an effort to reduce costs and avoid duplicate mailings, the Fund(s) intend to deliver a single copy of certain documents to each household in which more than one shareholder of the Fund(s) resides (so-called "householding"), as permitted by applicable rules. The Fund's "householding" program covers its Semi-Annual and Annual Shareholder Reports and any Proxies or information statements. Shareholders must give their written consent to participate in the "householding" program. The Fund is also permitted to treat a shareholder as having given consent ("implied consent") if (i) shareholders with the same last name, or believed to be members of the same family, reside at the same street address or receive mail at the same post office box, (ii) the Fund gives notice of its intent to "household" at least sixty (60) days before it begins "householding" and (iii) none of the shareholders in the household have notified the Fund(s) or their agent of the desire to "opt out" of "householding." Shareholders who have granted written consent, or have been deemed to have granted implied consent, can revoke that consent and opt out of "householding" at any time: shareholders who purchased shares through an intermediary should contact their representative; other shareholders may call the Fund at 1-800-730-6001 or email CEinfo@federatedinvestors.com.

Closed-end funds are not bank deposits or obligations, are not guaranteed by any bank and are not insured or guaranteed by the U.S. government, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, the Federal Reserve Board or any other government agency. Investment in closed-end funds involves investment risk, including the possible loss of principal.

This Overview and Report is for shareholder information. This is not a Prospectus intended for use in the sale of Fund Shares. Statements and other information contained in this Overview and Report are as dated and subject to change.

Federated World-Class Investment Manager

Federated Premier Municipal Income Fund Federated Investors Funds 4000 Ericsson Drive Warrendale, PA 15086-7561 Contact us at **FederatedInvestors.com** or call 1-800-341-7400.

Federated Securities Corp., Distributor

Cusip 31423M105 Cusip 31423M204 Cusip 31423P108 Cusip 31423P207

29861 (1/09)

Federated is a registered mark of Federated Investors, Inc. 2009 (c)Federated Investors, Inc.

Item 2. Code of Ethics

- (a) As of the end of the period covered by this report, the registrant has adopted a code of ethics (the "Section 406 Standards for Investment Companies Ethical Standards for Principal Executive and Financial Officers") that applies to the registrant's Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer; the registrant's Principal Financial Officer also serves as the Principal Accounting Officer.
- (c) Not Applicable
- (d) Not Applicable
- (e) Not Applicable
- (f)(3) The registrant hereby undertakes to provide any person, without charge, upon request, a copy of the code of ethics. To request a copy of the code of ethics, contact the registrant at 1-800-341-7400, and ask for a copy of the Section 406 Standards for Investment Companies Ethical Standards for Principal Executive and Financial Officers.

Item 3. Audit Committee Financial Expert

The registrant's Board has determined that each of the following members of the Board's Audit Committee is an "audit committee financial expert," and is "independent," for purposes of this Item: Thomas G. Bigley, Nicholas P. Constantakis and Charles F. Mansfield, Jr.

Item 4. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

(a) Audit Fees billed to the registrant for the two most recent fiscal years:

Fiscal year ended 2008 - \$31,000

Fiscal year ended 2007 - \$28,900

(b) Audit-Related Fees billed to the registrant for the two most recent fiscal years:

Fiscal year ended 2008 - \$16,500

Fiscal year ended 2007 - \$22,000

Fiscal year ended 2008 - Quarterly review of Preferred Shares asset maintenance test calculations. Fiscal year ended 2007- Quarterly review of Preferred Shares asset maintenance test calculations.

Amount requiring approval of the registrant's audit committee pursuant to paragraph (c)(7)(ii) of Rule 2-01 of Regulation S-X, \$0 and \$0 respectively.

(c) Tax Fees billed to the registrant for the two most recent fiscal years:

Fiscal year ended 2008 - \$0

Fiscal year ended 2007 - \$0

Amount requiring approval of the registrant's audit committee pursuant to paragraph (c)(7)(ii) of Rule 2-01 of Regulation S-X, \$0 and \$0 respectively.

(d) All Other Fees billed to the registrant for the two most recent fiscal years:

Fiscal year ended 2008 - \$0

Fiscal year ended 2007 - \$0

Amount requiring approval of the registrant's audit committee pursuant to paragraph (c)(7)(ii) of Rule 2-01 of Regulation S-X, \$0 and \$8,712 respectively. Fiscal year ended 2007 – Discussions related to accounting for swap contracts.

(e)(1) Audit Committee Policies regarding Pre-approval of Services.

The Audit Committee is required to pre-approve audit and non-audit services performed by the independent auditor in order to assure that the provision of such services do not impair the auditor's independence. Unless a type of service to be provided by the independent auditor has received general pre-approval, it will require specific pre-approval by the Audit Committee. Any proposed services exceeding pre-approved cost levels will require specific pre-approval by the Audit Committee.

Certain services have the general pre-approval of the Audit Committee. The term of the general pre-approval is 12 months from the date of pre-approval, unless the Audit Committee specifically provides for a different period. The Audit Committee will annually review the services that may be provided by the independent auditor without obtaining specific pre-approval from the Audit Committee and may grant general pre-approval for such services. The Audit Committee will revise the list of general pre-approved services from time to time, based on subsequent determinations. The Audit Committee will not delegate its responsibilities to pre-approve services performed by the independent auditor to management.

The Audit Committee has delegated pre-approval authority to its Chairman. The Chairman will report any pre-approval decisions to the Audit Committee at its next scheduled meeting. The Committee will designate another member with such pre-approval authority when the Chairman is unavailable.

AUDIT SERVICES

The annual Audit services engagement terms and fees will be subject to the specific pre-approval of the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee must approve any changes in terms, conditions and fees resulting from changes in audit scope, registered investment company (RIC) structure or other matters.

In addition to the annual Audit services engagement specifically approved by the Audit Committee, the Audit Committee may grant general pre-approval for other Audit Services, which are those services that only the

independent auditor reasonably can provide. The Audit Committee has pre-approved certain Audit services, all other Audit services must be specifically pre-approved by the Audit Committee.

AUDIT-RELATED SERVICES

Audit-related services are assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of the Company's financial statements or that are traditionally performed by the independent auditor. The Audit Committee believes that the provision of Audit-related services does not impair the independence of the auditor, and has pre-approved certain Audit-related services, all other Audit-related services must be specifically pre-approved by the Audit Committee.

TAX SERVICES

The Audit Committee believes that the independent auditor can provide Tax services to the Company such as tax compliance, tax planning and tax advice without impairing the auditor's independence. However, the Audit Committee will not permit the retention of the independent auditor in connection with a transaction initially recommended by the independent auditor, the purpose of which may be tax avoidance and the tax treatment of which may not be supported in the Internal Revenue Code and related regulations. The Audit Committee has pre-approved certain Tax services, all Tax services involving large and complex transactions must be specifically pre-approved by the Audit Committee.

ALL OTHER SERVICES

With respect to the provision of services other than audit, review or attest services the pre-approval requirement is waived if:

- (1) The aggregate amount of all such services provided constitutes no more than five percent of the total amount of revenues paid by the registrant, the registrant's adviser (not including any sub-adviser whose role is primarily portfolio management and is subcontracted with or overseen by another investment adviser), and any entity controlling, controlled by, or under common control with the investment adviser that provides ongoing services to the registrant to its accountant during the fiscal year in which the services are provided;
- (2) Such services were not recognized by the registrant, the registrant's adviser (not including any sub-adviser whose role is primarily portfolio management and is subcontracted with or overseen by another investment adviser), and any entity controlling, controlled by, or under common control with the investment adviser that provides ongoing services to the registrant at the time of the engagement to be non-audit services; and
- (3) Such services are promptly brought to the attention of the Audit Committee of the issuer and approved prior to the completion of the audit by the Audit Committee or by one or more members of the Audit Committee who are members of the board of directors to whom authority to grant such approvals has been delegated by the Audit Committee.

The Audit Committee may grant general pre-approval to those permissible non-audit services classified as All Other services that it believes are routine and recurring services, and would not impair the independence of the auditor.

The SEC's rules and relevant guidance should be consulted to determine the precise definitions of prohibited non-audit services and the applicability of exceptions to certain of the prohibitions.

PRE-APPROVAL FEE LEVELS

Pre-approval fee levels for all services to be provided by the independent auditor will be established annually by the Audit Committee. Any proposed services exceeding these levels will require specific pre-approval by the Audit Committee.

PROCEDURES

Requests or applications to provide services that require specific approval by the Audit Committee will be submitted to the Audit Committee by both the independent auditor and the Principal Accounting Officer and/or Internal Auditor, and must include a joint statement as to whether, in their view, the request or application is consistent with the SEC's rules on auditor independence.

(e)(2) Percentage of services identified in items 4(b) through 4(d) that were approved by the registrants audit committee pursuant to paragraph (c)(7)(i)(C) of Rule 2-01 of Regulation S-X:

4(b)

Fiscal year ended 2008 – 0%

Fiscal year ended 2007 - 0%

Percentage of services provided to the registrants investment adviser and any entity controlling, controlled by, or under common control with the investment adviser that provides ongoing services to the registrant that were approved by the registrants audit committee pursuant to paragraph (c)(7)(i)(C) of Rule 2-01 of Regulation S-X, 0% and 0% respectively.

4(c)

Fiscal year ended 2008 – 0%

Fiscal year ended 2007 – 0%

Percentage of services provided to the registrants investment adviser and any entity controlling, controlled by, or under common control with the investment adviser that provides ongoing services to the registrant that were approved by the registrants audit committee pursuant to paragraph (c)(7)(i)(C) of Rule 2-01 of Regulation S-X, 0% and 0% respectively.

4(d)

Fiscal year ended 2008 – 0%

Fiscal year ended 2007 – 0%

Percentage of services provided to the registrants investment adviser and any entity controlling, controlled by, or under common control with the investment adviser that provides ongoing services to the registrant that were approved by the registrants audit committee pursuant to paragraph (c)(7)(i)(C) of Rule 2-01 of Regulation S-X, 0% and 0% respectively.

(f) NA

(g)

Non-Audit Fees billed to the registrant, the registrant's investment adviser, and certain entities controlling, controlled by or under common control with the investment adviser:

Fiscal year ended 2008 - \$111,033

Fiscal year ended 2007 - \$162,375

(h) The registrant's Audit Committee has considered that the provision of non-audit services that were rendered to the registrant's adviser (not including any sub-adviser whose role is primarily portfolio management and is subcontracted with or overseen by another investment adviser), and any entity controlling, controlled by, or under common control with the investment adviser that provides ongoing services to the registrant that were not pre-approved pursuant to paragraph (c)(7)(ii) of Rule 2-01 of Regulation S-X is compatible with maintaining the principal accountant's independence.

Item 5. Audit Committee of Listed Registrants

The registrant has established an Audit Committee of the Board as described in Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The Audit Committee consists of the following Board members: Thomas G. Bigley, Nicholas P. Constantakis, Charles F. Mansfield, Jr. and John S. Walsh.

Item 6. Schedule of Investments

Not Applicable

Item 7. Disclosure of Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures for Closed-End Management Investment Companies

The Board has delegated to the Adviser authority to vote proxies on the securities held in the Fund's portfolio. The Board has also approved the Adviser's policies and procedures for voting the proxies, which are described below.

Proxy Voting Policies

The Adviser's general policy is to cast proxy votes in favor of proposals that the Adviser anticipates will enhance the long-term value of the securities being voted. Generally, this will mean voting for proposals that the Adviser believes will: improve the management of a company; increase the rights or preferences of the voted securities; and/or increase the chance that a premium offer would be made for the company or for the voted securities.

The following examples illustrate how these general policies may apply to proposals submitted by a company's board of directors. However, whether the Adviser supports or opposes a proposal will always depend on the specific circumstances described in the proxy statement and other available information.

On matters of corporate governance, generally the Adviser will vote for the full slate of directors nominated in an uncontested election; and for proposals to: require a company's audit committee to be comprised entirely of independent directors; require independent tabulation of proxies and/or confidential voting by shareholders; reorganize in another jurisdiction (unless it would reduce the rights or preferences of the securities being voted); ratify the board's selection of auditors (unless compensation for non-audit services exceeded 50% of the total compensation received from the company, or the previous auditor was dismissed because of a disagreement with the company); and repeal a shareholder rights plan (also known as a "poison pill"). The Adviser will generally vote against the adoption of such a plan (unless the plan is designed to facilitate, rather than prevent, unsolicited offers for the company).

On matters of capital structure, generally the Adviser will vote: against proposals to authorize or issue shares that are senior in priority or voting rights to the securities being voted; and for proposals to: reduce the amount of shares authorized for issuance; authorize a stock repurchase program; and grant preemptive rights to the securities being

voted. The Adviser will generally vote against proposals to eliminate such preemptive rights.

On matters relating to management compensation, generally the Adviser will vote: for stock incentive plans that align the recipients' interests with the interests of shareholders without creating undue dilution; against proposals that would permit the amendment or replacement of outstanding stock incentives with new stock incentives having more favorable terms; and against executive compensation plans that do not disclose the maximum amounts of compensation that may be awarded or the criteria for determining awards.

On matters relating to corporate transactions, the Adviser will vote proxies relating to proposed mergers, capital reorganizations, and similar transactions in accordance with the general policy, based upon its analysis of the proposed transaction. The Adviser will vote proxies in contested elections of directors in accordance with the general policy, based upon its analysis of the opposing slates and their respective proposed business strategies. Some transactions may also involve proposed changes to the company's corporate governance, capital structure or management compensation. The Adviser will vote on such changes based on its evaluation of the proposed transaction or contested election. In these circumstances, the Adviser may vote in a manner contrary to the general practice for similar proposals made outside the context of such a proposed transaction or change in the board. For example, if the Adviser decides to vote against a proposed transaction, it may vote for anti-takeover measures reasonably designed to prevent the transaction, even though the Adviser typically votes against such measures in other contexts.

The Adviser generally votes against proposals submitted by shareholders without the favorable recommendation of a company's board. The Adviser believes that a company's board should manage its business and policies, and that shareholders who seek specific changes should strive to convince the board of their merits or seek direct representation on the board.

In addition, the Adviser will not vote if it determines that the consequences or costs outweigh the potential benefit of voting. For example, if a foreign market requires shareholders casting proxies to retain the voted shares until the meeting date (thereby rendering the shares "illiquid" for some period of time), the Adviser will not vote proxies for such shares.

Proxy Voting Procedures

The Adviser has established a Proxy Voting Committee (Proxy Committee), to exercise all voting discretion granted to the Adviser by the Board in accordance with the proxy voting policies. The Adviser has hired Institutional Shareholder Services (ISS) to obtain, vote, and record proxies in accordance with the Proxy Committee's directions. The Proxy Committee has supplied ISS with general guidelines that represent decisions made by the Proxy Committee in order to vote common proxy proposals; however, the Proxy Committee retains the right to modify these guidelines at any time or to vote contrary to the guidelines at any time in order to cast proxy votes in a manner that the Proxy Committee believes is consistent with the Adviser's general policy. ISS may vote any proxy as directed in the guidelines without further direction from the Proxy Committee and may make any determinations required to implement the guidelines. However, if the guidelines require case-by-case direction for a proposal, ISS shall provide the Proxy Committee with all information that it has obtained regarding the proposal and the Proxy Committee will provide specific direction to ISS.

Conflicts of Interest

The Adviser has adopted procedures to address situations where a matter on which a proxy is sought may present a potential conflict between the interests of the Fund (and its shareholders) and those of the Adviser or Distributor. This may occur where a significant business relationship exists between the Adviser (or its affiliates) and a company involved with a proxy vote. A company that is a proponent, opponent, or the subject of a proxy vote, and which to the knowledge of the Proxy Committee has this type of significant business relationship, is referred to as an "Interested

Company."

The Adviser has implemented the following procedures in order to avoid concerns that the conflicting interests of the Adviser have influenced proxy votes. Any employee of the Adviser who is contacted by an Interested Company regarding proxies to be voted by the Adviser must refer the Interested Company to a member of the Proxy Committee, and must inform the Interested Company that the Proxy Committee has exclusive authority to determine how the Adviser will vote. Any Proxy Committee member contacted by an Interested Company must report it to the full Proxy Committee and provide a written summary of the communication. Under no circumstances will the Proxy Committee or any member of the Proxy Committee make a commitment to an Interested Company regarding the voting of proxies or disclose to an Interested Company how the Proxy Committee has directed such proxies to be voted. If the Proxy Voting Guidelines already provide specific direction on the proposal in question, the Proxy Committee shall not alter or amend such directions. If the Proxy Voting Guidelines require the Proxy Committee to provide further direction, the Proxy Committee shall do so in accordance with the proxy voting policies, without regard for the interests of the Adviser with respect to the Interested Company. If the Proxy Committee provides any direction as to the voting of proxies relating to a proposal affecting an Interested Company, it must disclose to the Fund's Board information regarding: the significant business relationship; any material communication with the Interested Company; the matter(s) voted on; and how, and why, the Adviser voted as it did.

If the Fund holds shares of another investment company for which the Adviser (or an affiliate) acts as an investment adviser, the Proxy Committee will vote the Fund's proxies in the same proportion as the votes cast by shareholders who are not clients of the Adviser at any shareholders' meeting called by such investment company, unless otherwise directed by the Board.

Proxy Voting Report

A report on "Form N-PX" of how the Fund voted any proxies during the most recent 12-month period ended June 30 is available through Federated's website. Go to FederatedInvestors.com; select "Products;" select the Fund; then use the link to "Prospectuses and Regulatory Reports" to access the link to Form N-PX. Form N-PX filings are also available at the SEC's website at www.sec.gov.

Item 8. Portfolio Managers of Closed-End Management Investment Companies

The Portfolio Managers listed below are jointly and primarily responsible for managing the Fund's assets.

Lee R. Cunningham II

Lee R. Cunningham II has been a Portfolio Manager of the Fund since December 2002. Mr. Cunningham joined Federated in 1995 as an Investment Analyst and has been a Portfolio Manager since 1998. He was named an Assistant Vice President of the Fund's Adviser in January 1998 and became a Vice President of the Fund's Adviser in July 2000. From 1986 through 1994, Mr. Cunningham was a Project Engineer with Pennsylvania Power and Light Company. Mr. Cunningham received his M.B.A. with concentrations in Finance and Operations from the University of Pittsburgh.

Portfolio Manager Information

The following information about the Fund's portfolio manager is provided as of February 6, 2009.

Additional Accounts Managed by Portfolio Manager

Types of Accounts Managed by Total Number of Additional Accounts

Lee Cunningham II. Managed / Total Assets*

Registered Investment Companies 3 Funds/\$364.740 million

Other Pooled Investment Vehicles 0 Other Accounts 0

Dollar value range of shares owned in the Fund: none.

Lee Cunningham II is paid a fixed base salary and a variable annual incentive. Base salary is determined within a market competitive position-specific salary range, based on the portfolio manager's experience and performance. The annual incentive amount is determined based primarily on Investment Product Performance (IPP) and, to a lesser extent, Financial Success, and may be paid entirely in cash, or in a combination of cash and restricted stock of Federated Investors, Inc. (Federated). The total combined annual incentive opportunity is intended to be competitive in the market for this portfolio manager role.

IPP is calculated based on rolling 1, 3, and 5 calendar year pre-tax gross income return and, to a lesser extent, pre-tax gross total return vs. the designated peer group of comparable accounts. Performance periods are adjusted if a portfolio manager has been managing an account for less than five years; accounts with less than one-year of performance history under a portfolio manager may be excluded. As noted above, Mr. Cunningham is also the portfolio manager for other accounts in addition to the Fund. Such other accounts may have different benchmarks. Within each performance measurement period, IPP is calculated with an equal weighting of each account managed by the portfolio manager. In this regard, any account for which the total return target is not met with respect to a 3 or 5 year period will receive a score of zero for that period. Additionally, a portion of Mr. Cunningham's IPP score is based on the performance for which he provides research and analytic support. A portion of the bonus tied to the IPP score may be adjusted based on management's assessment of overall contributions to fund performance and any other factors as deemed relevant.

The Financial Success category is designed to tie the portfolio manager's bonus, in part, to Federated's overall financial results. Funding for the Financial Success category may be determined on a product or asset class basis, as well as on corporate financial results. Senior Management determines individual Financial Success bonuses on a discretionary basis, considering overall contributions and any other factors deemed relevant.

As a general matter, certain conflicts of interest may arise in connection with a portfolio manager's management of a fund's investments, on the one hand, and the investments of other accounts for which the portfolio manager is responsible, on the other. For example, it is possible that the various accounts managed could have different investment strategies that, at times, might conflict with one another to the possible detriment of the Fund. Alternatively, to the extent that the same investment opportunities might be desirable for more than one account, possible conflicts could arise in determining how to allocate them. Other potential conflicts might include conflicts created by specific portfolio manager compensation arrangements, and conflicts relating to selection of brokers or dealers to execute fund portfolio trades and/or specific uses of commissions from Fund portfolio trades (for example, research, or "soft dollars"). The Adviser has structured the portfolio managers' compensation in a manner, and the Fund has adopted policies and procedures, reasonably designed to safeguard the Fund from being negatively affected as a result of any such potential conflicts.

R.J. Gallo

R.J. Gallo has been the Fund's Portfolio Manager since December 2002. Mr. Gallo joined Federated in 2000 as an Investment Analyst. He became a Vice President of the Fund's Adviser in January 2005 and served as Assistant Vice President of the Fund's Adviser from January 2002 through 2004. He has been a Portfolio Manger since December

^{*} None of the Accounts has an advisory fee that is based on the performance of the account.

2002. From 1996 to 2000, Mr. Gallo was a Financial Analyst and Trader at the Federal Reserve Bank of New York. Mr. Gallo is a Chartered Financial Analyst. Mr. Gallo received a Master's in Public Affairs with a concentration in Economics and Public Policy from Princeton University.

Portfolio Manager Information

The following information about the Fund's portfolio manager is provided as of Feburary 6, 2009.

Additional Accounts Managed by Portfolio Manager

Types of Accounts Managed by Total Number of Additional Accounts

Richard J. Gallo Managed / Total Assets*

Registered Investment Companies 3 Funds /\$498.367 million

Other Pooled Investment Vehicles 0 Other Accounts 0

Dollar value range of shares owned in the Fund: none.

Richard J. Gallo is paid a fixed base salary and a variable annual incentive. Base salary is determined within a market competitive position-specific salary range, based on the portfolio manager's experience and performance. The annual incentive amount is determined based primarily on Investment Product Performance (IPP) and, to a lesser extent, Financial Success, and may be paid entirely in cash, or in a combination of cash and restricted stock of Federated Investors, Inc. (Federated). The total combined annual incentive opportunity is intended to be competitive in the market for this portfolio manager role.

IPP is calculated based on rolling 1, 3, and 5 calendar year pre-tax gross income return and, to a lesser extent, pre-tax gross total return vs. the designated peer group of comparable accounts. In addition, for certain accounts, performance is measured on a rolling 1, 3, and 5 calendar year taxable equivalent gross total return and taxable equivalent average one-year gross distribution yield basis vs. a designated peer group of comparable accounts. Performance periods are adjusted if a portfolio manager has been managing an account for less than five years; accounts with less than one-year of performance history under a portfolio manager may be excluded. As noted above, Richard J. Gallo is also the portfolio manager for other accounts in addition to the Fund. Such other accounts may have different benchmarks. Within each performance measurement period, IPP is calculated with an equal weighting of each included account managed by the portfolio manager. In this regard, any account for which the total return target is not met with respect to a 3 or 5 year period will receive a score of zero for that period. Additionally, a portion of Mr. Gallo's IPP score is based on the performance of portfolios for which he provides research and analytical support. A portion of the bonus tied to the IPP score maybe adjusted based on management's assessment of overall contributions to fund performance and any other factors as deemed relevant.

The Financial Success category is designed to tie the portfolio manager's bonus, in part, to Federated's overall financial results. Funding for the Financial Success category maybe determined on a product or asset class basis, as well as on corporate financial results. Senior Management determines individual Financial Success bonuses on a discretionary basis, considering overall contributions and any other factors deemed relevant.

As a general matter, certain conflicts of interest may arise in connection with a portfolio manager's management of a fund's investments, on the one hand, and the investments of other accounts for which the portfolio manager is responsible, on the other. For example, it is possible that the various accounts managed could have different

^{*} None of the Accounts has an advisory fee that is based on the performance of the account.

investment strategies that, at times, might conflict with one another to the possible detriment of the Fund. Alternatively, to the extent that the same investment opportunities might be desirable for more than one account, possible conflicts could arise in determining how to allocate them. Other potential conflicts might include conflicts created by specific portfolio manager compensation arrangements, and conflicts relating to selection of brokers or dealers to execute fund portfolio trades and/or specific uses of commissions from Fund portfolio trades (for example, research, or "soft dollars"). The Adviser has adopted policies and procedures and has structured the portfolio managers' compensation in a manner reasonably designed to safeguard the Fund from being negatively affected as a result of any such potential conflicts.

Mary Jo Ochson

Mary Jo Ochson has been the Fund's Portfolio Manager since December 2002. Ms Ochson was named Chief Investment Officer of tax-exempt fixed income products in 2004 and is Vice President of the Fund. She joined Federated in 1982 and has been a Senior Portfolio Manager and a Senior Vice President of the Fund's Adviser since 1996. Ms. Ochson is a Chartered Financial Analyst and received her M.B.A. in Finance from the University of Pittsburgh.

Portfolio Manager Information

The following information about the Fund's portfolio manager is provided as of February 6, 2009.

Additional Accounts Managed by Portfolio Manager

Types of Accounts Managed by Total Number of Additional Accounts

Mary Jo Ochson Managed / Total Assets*

Registered Investment Companies 9 Funds/\$21,615.549 million

Other Pooled Investment Vehicles 0 Other Accounts 0

Dollar value range of shares owned in the Fund: none.

Mary Jo Ochson is paid a fixed base salary and a variable annual incentive. Base salary is determined within a market competitive position-specific salary range, based on the portfolio manager's experience and performance. The annual incentive amount is determined based primarily on Investment Product Performance (IPP) and, to a lesser extent, Financial Success, and may be paid entirely in cash, or in a combination of cash and restricted stock of Federated Investors, Inc. (Federated). The total combined annual incentive opportunity is intended to be competitive in the market for this portfolio manager role.

IPP is calculated based on rolling 1, 3, and 5 calendar year pre-tax gross income return and, to a lesser extent, pre-tax gross total return vs. the designated peer group of comparable accounts. Performance periods are adjusted if a portfolio manager has been managing an account for less than five years; accounts with less than one-year of performance history under a portfolio manager may be excluded. As noted above, Mrs. Ochson is also the portfolio manager for other accounts in addition to the Fund. Such other accounts may have different benchmarks. Within each performance measurement period, IPP is calculated with an equal weighting of each account managed by the portfolio manager. In this regard, any account for which the total return target is not met with respect to a 3 or 5 year period will receive a score of zero for that period. In her role as CIO of the Tax-Exempt Fixed Income Bond Group, Mrs. Ochson has oversight responsibility for other portfolios that she does not personally manage. A portion of the

^{*} None of the Accounts has an advisory fee that is based on the performance of the account.

IPP score is determined by the investment performance of these other portfolios vs. product specific peer groups. A portion of the bonus tied to the IPP score may be adjusted based on management's assessment of overall contributions to fund performance and any other factors as deemed relevant.

The Financial Success category is designed to tie the portfolio manager's bonus, in part, to Federated's overall financial results. Funding for the Financial Success category may be determined on a product or asset class basis, as well as on corporate financial results. Senior Management determines individual Financial Success bonuses on a discretionary basis, considering overall contributions and any other factors deemed relevant.

In addition, Mary Jo Ochson was awarded a grant of restricted Federated stock. Awards of restricted stock are discretionary and are made in variable amounts based on the subjective judgment of Federated's senior management.

As a general matter, certain conflicts of interest may arise in connection with a portfolio manager's management of a fund's investments, on the one hand, and the investments of other accounts for which the portfolio manager is responsible, on the other. For example, it is possible that the various accounts managed could have different investment strategies that, at times, might conflict with one another to the possible detriment of the Fund. Alternatively, to the extent that the same investment opportunities might be desirable for more than one account, possible conflicts could arise in determining how to allocate them. Other potential conflicts might include conflicts created by specific portfolio manager compensation arrangements, and conflicts relating to selection of brokers or dealers to execute fund portfolio trades and/or specific uses of commissions from Fund portfolio trades (for example, research, or "soft dollars"). The Adviser has structured the portfolio managers' compensation in a manner, and the Fund has adopted policies and procedures, reasonably designed to safeguard the Fund from being negatively affected as a result of any such potential conflicts.

Item 9. Purchases of Equity Securities by Closed-End Management Investment Company and Affiliated Purchasers

	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)
Period	Total number	Average price	Total number of	Maximum number (or
	of common	paid per	common shares (or a	approximate dollar value)
	shares (or	common share	units) purchased as	of common shares (or
	units)	(or unit)	part of publicly	units) that may yet be
	purchased		announced plans or p	purchased under the plans
			programs	or programs
Month #1	-0-	NA	-0-	None
(June 1, 2008 –				
June 30, 2008)				
Month #2	-0-	NA	-0-	None
(July 1, 2008-				
July 31, 2008)				
Month #3	-0-	NA	-0-	None
(August 1, 2008-August				
31, 2008)				
Month #4	-0-	NA	-0-	None
(September 1, 2008-				
September 30, 2008)		27.1		
Month #5	-0-	NA	-0-	None
(October 1, 2008-				
October 31, 2008)	0	27.4	0	
Month #6	-0-	NA	-0-	None
(November 1, 2008-				
November 30, 2008)				

TOTAL -0- NA -0- NA

	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)
Period		• •	Total number of	Maximum number (or
	of preferred	paid per	•	approximate dollar value)
	shares (or units)	(or unit)	units) purchased as part of publicly	of preferred shares (or units) that may yet be
	purchased	(or unit)		ourchased under the plans
	purchased		programs	or programs
Month #1	-0-	NA	-0-	None
(June 1, 2008 –	O .	1111	· ·	Tione
June 30, 2008)				
Month #2	-0-	NA	-0-	None
(July 1, 2008-				
July 31, 2008)				
Month #3				
(August 1, 2008- August	384	\$25,000	384*	1,226*
31, 2008)				
Month #4	-0-	NA	-0-	None
(September 1, 2008				
September 30, 2008	0	NTA	0	N
Month #5	-0-	NA	-0-	None
(October 1, 2008				
October 31, 2008 Month #6	-0-	NA	0	None
(November 1, 2008	-0-	NA	-0-	None
November 30, 2008				
TOTAL	384	\$25,000	384	1,226
101/11	304	Ψ25,000	301	1,220

^{*} Plan was approved by the Board of Trustees on July 17, 2008 and originally announced via press release on August 5, 2008. Plan allows for 75% or 1,610 shares to be repurchased. Plan has no expiration date.

Item 10. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

No changes to report.

Item 11. Controls and Procedures

- (a) The registrant's President and Treasurer have concluded that the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in rule 30a-3(c) under the Act) are effective in design and operation and are sufficient to form the basis of the certifications required by Rule 30a-(2) under the Act, based on their evaluation of these disclosure controls and procedures within 90 days of the filing date of this report on Form N-CSR.
- (b) There were no changes in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in rule 30a-3(d) under the Act) during the last fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Item 12. Exhibits

The following exhibits are filed with this report:

- (a)(2) Certifications of Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer.
- (b) Certifications pursuant to 18 U.S.C. section 1350.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Registrant Federated Premier Municipal Income Fund

By /S/ Richard A. Novak

Richard A. Novak, Principal Financial Officer

Date January 21, 2009

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

By /S/ J. Christopher Donahue

J. Christopher Donahue, Principal Executive Officer

Date January 21, 2009

By /S/ Richard A. Novak

Richard A. Novak, Principal Financial Officer

Date January 21, 2009