

BRASIL TELECOM SA  
Form 6-K/A  
August 29, 2008

---

**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549**

---

**FORM 6-K/A**

**REPORT OF FOREIGN ISSUER  
PURSUANT TO RULE 13a-16 OR 15d-16 OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**THROUGH August 28, 2008**

**(Commission File No. 1-15256)**

---

**BRASIL TELECOM S.A.**

*(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its Charter)*

**BRAZIL TELECOM COMPANY**

*(Translation of Registrant's name into English)*

---

**SIA Sul, Área de Serviços Públicos, Lote D, Bloco B  
Brasília, D.F., 71.215-000  
Federative Republic of Brazil**

*(Address of Registrant's principal executive offices)*

---

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant files or will file  
annual reports under cover Form 20-F or Form 40-F.

Form 20-F  Form 40-F

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is submitting the Form 6-K  
in paper as permitted by Regulation S-T Rule 101(b)(1) .

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is submitting the Form 6-K  
in paper as permitted by Regulation S-T Rule 101(b)(7) .

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant by furnishing the  
information contained in this Form is also thereby furnishing the  
information to the Commission pursuant to Rule 12g3-2(b) under  
the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Yes  No

Edgar Filing: BRASIL TELECOM SA - Form 6-K/A

If "Yes" is marked, indicated below the file number assigned to the registrant in connection with Rule 12g3-2(b):

---

**CORPORATE EVENTS AGENDA**

Name	Brasil Telecom S.A.
Headquarters Address	SIA/Sul ASP Lote D, Bloco B Brasília, DF
Website	www.brasiltelecom.com.br
Investor Relations Officer	Name: Paulo Narcélio Simões do Amaral E-mail: ri@brasiltelecom.com.br Phone: +55 61 3415-1140 Fax: +55 61 3415-1315
Newspapers for Company Releases	Valor Econômico (national edition) Jornal de Brasília (Brasília) Diário Oficial da União

**Annual Financial Statements, including MD&A, relative to December 31, 2006.**

EVENT	DATE
Available to shareholders	January 29, 2008
Publishing in newspapers	January 31, 2008
Filing with Bovespa	January 29, 2008

**Annual Financial Statements to CVM DFP, relative to December 31, 2006.**

EVENT	DATE
Filing with Bovespa	January 29, 2008

**Dividends and Interest on Shareholders Equity, relative to December 31, 2006**

Type	Event Date	Amount (R\$million)	R\$/1,000 shares (Gross)		Date of Payment
			Common	Preferred	
Interest on Equity	Board of Directors Meeting January 30, 2007	245.0	0.447674858	0.447674858	Starting on April 16, 2008
Interest on Euity	Board of Directors Meeting December 14, 2007	105.4	0.192591552	0.192591552	Starting on April 16, 2008
Dividend	Board of Directors Meeting January 29, 2008	407.0	0.743730289	0.743730289	Starting on April 16, 2008

\* The dividends that were provisioned by the Company integrate the proposal for the Destination of Results to be submitted for the approval of the General Shareholders Meeting.

**Annual Information to CVM IAN, relative to December 31, 2006.**

EVENT	DATE
Filing with Bovespa	April 17, 2008



<b>Quarterly Press Release Information</b>	
EVENT	DATE
Filing with Bovespa	
Fourth Quarter 2007	January 29, 2008
First Quarter 2008	April 22, 2008
Second quarter 2008	July 15, 2008
Third quarter 2008	October 14, 2008

<b>Quarterly Information to CVM ITR</b>	
EVENT	DATE
Filing with Bovespa	
First Quarter 2008	April 22, 2008
Second quarter 2008	July 15, 2008
Third quarter 2008	October 14, 2008

<b>General Shareholders Meeting</b>	
EVENT	DATE
Publishing of Summons Notice	January 31, 2008, February 01 and 06, 2008
Filing of Summons Notice with Bovespa	January 30, 2008
General Shareholders Meeting	March 18, 2008
Filing of the minutes with Bovespa	March 18, 2008

<b>Extraordinary Shareholders Meetings already scheduled</b>	
EVENT	DATE
Publishing of Summons Notice	January 31, 2008, February 01 and 06, 2008
Filing of Summons Notice with Bovespa	January 30, 2008
Extraordinary Shareholders Meeting	March 18, 2008
Filing of the minutes with Bovespa	March 18, 2008

<b>Extraordinary Shareholders Meetings already scheduled</b>	
EVENT	DATE
Publishing of Summons Notice	April 29 and 30, 2008 and May 02, 2008
Filing of Summons Notice with Bovespa	April 28, 2008
Extraordinary Shareholders Meeting	May 29, 2008
Filing of the minutes with Bovespa	May 29, 2008

<b>Public Meetings with Analysts</b>	
EVENT	DATE
API MEC – Investor’s Meeting (Brasília, Brazil)	April 08, 2008



<b>Board of Directors Meetings already scheduled</b>	
<b>EVENT</b>	<b>DATE</b>
<p>Date of the meeting</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Summon the Annual and Extraordinary Shareholders Meeting to be held on March 18, 2008, at 12:00 p.m.;</li> <li>- To approve the hiring of a supplier for the maintenance of the Brasil Telecom S.A. network;</li> <li>- To approve Guarantees to the BNDES financing to 14 Brasil Telecom Celular S.A.;</li> <li>- Deliberate on the Financial Statements, Management Accounts and the Management Report for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007;</li> <li>- Deliberate on the allocation of the income and the payment of dividends for the fiscal year ended on December 31, 2007;</li> <li>- Deliberate on the proposal for global compensation for the Company's management to be submitted to the Shareholders Meeting.</li> </ul>	January 29, 2008
Filing of the the extract of the minutes with Bovespa	January 29, 2008
<p>Date of the meeting</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- To hire a supplier to provide and implement the NGN network;</li> <li>- To hire a supplier to provide and implement the 3G network;</li> <li>- To approve the restructuring of the companies in the Internet group; and</li> <li>- To hire a supplier to expand the 2G network.</li> </ul>	February 26, 2008
Filing of the extract of the minutes with Bovespa	February 26, 2008
<p>Date of the meeting</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- To approve the Company's Senior Management's proposal about the limit of the declaration of Interest on Equity for the fiscal year of 2008.</li> </ul>	March 26, 2008
Filing of the extract of the minutes with Bovespa	March 28, 2008
<p>Date of the meeting</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- To Present the results for the first quarter 2008</li> <li>- To decide a limit for the new guarantees for companies of the Brasil Telecom S.A. group</li> <li>- To decide on a loan from 14 Brasil Telecom Celular S.A.</li> <li>- To decide about the competences of the Company's Executive Officers</li> <li>- To deliberate on the Internal Audit plan and the list of activities of the area.</li> </ul>	April 22, 2008
Filing of the extract of the minutes with Bovespa	April 22, 2008
<p>Date of the meeting</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- To authorize the execution of the "Public Instrument of Transaction, Renunciation, and Settlement" ("Instrument of Settlement"), to be executed by the Company, Brasil Telecom Participações S.A., and other Parties;</li> </ul>	April 24, 2008

Edgar Filing: BRASIL TELECOM SA - Form 6-K/A

- To approve the transaction related to the Highlake case, contemplated by the Instrument of settlement; - To deliberate on the convening of an Extraordinary Shareholders' Meeting to be held by the Company to approve the Instrument of Settlement within its scope of attributions.	
Filing of the minutes with Bovespa	April 25, 2008
Date of the meeting	
- Deliberate on the summons of an Extraordinary Shareholders' Meeting to be held by the Company, contemplated by the Minutes of the Board of Directors' Meeting held on April 24th 2008.	April 28, 2008
Filing of the minutes with Bovespa	April 28, 2008
Date of the meeting	May 27, 2008
- To approve the proposal for restructuring the Company's Management	
Filing of the extract of the minutes with Bovespa	May 27, 2008
Date of the meeting	
- Presentation of the results for the second quarter 2008. - To deliberate on the maintenance of the Independent Auditing company for the 2008 exercise, in the terms of the proposal presented by the Company's Directors.	July 15, 2008
Filing of the extract of the minutes with Bovespa	July 15, 2008
Date of the meeting	
- Approved the corporate restructuring of the internet group companies; - Approved the opening of a subsidiary in Colombia; - Authorized the purchase processes in line with the Company's Responsibility Matrix; - Approved the appointment of a new trustee and subsequent termination and settlement of the Irrevocable Trust Agreement and Declaration, dated September 5, 2003 and amendments thereto, - Election of the Company's Executive Officers, with term expiration on December 31, 2008.	August 28, 2008
Filing of the extract of the minutes with Bovespa	August 28, 2008



**SIGNATURE**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: August 28, 2008

**BRASIL TELECOM S.A.**

By:           /s/ Paulo Narcélio Simões  
                  Amaral

Name: Paulo Narcélio Simões  
Amaral  
Title: Chief Financial  
Officer

**FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS**

This press release may contain forward-looking statements. These statements are statements that are not historical facts, and are based on management's current view and estimates of future economic circumstances, industry conditions, company performance and financial results. The words "anticipates", "believes", "estimates", "expects", "plans" and similar expressions, as they relate to the company, are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Statements regarding the declaration or payment of dividends, the implementation of principal operating and financing strategies and capital expenditure plans, the direction of future operations and the factors or trends affecting financial condition, liquidity or results of operations are examples of forward-looking statements. Such statements reflect the current views of management and are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties. There is no guarantee that the expected events, trends or results will actually occur. The statements are based on many assumptions and factors, including general economic and market conditions, industry conditions, and operating factors. Any changes in such assumptions or factors could cause actual results to differ materially from current expectations.

---

eneration stent technology to compete with existing therapies. These new technologies will likely include bio-absorbable stents, stents that are customizable for different lesion lengths, stents that focus on treating bifurcated lesions, and stents with superior polymer and drug coatings. Some of the companies developing new stents are The Sorin Group, Xtent, Inc., Cinvention AG, OrbusNeich, Biotronik SE & Co. KG, Svelte Medical Systems, Inc., Reva Inc. and Stentys SA, among others. To address current issues with drug-eluting stents, The Sorin Group and Cinvention AG have developed stents that do not require a polymer coating for drug delivery, thereby expanding the types of drugs that can be used on their respective stents. OrbusNeich has addressed the problem differently, developing a stent coated with an antibody designed to eliminate the need for any drug at all. Xtent, Inc. has been concentrating on a stent that can be customized to fit different sized lesions, so as to eliminate the need for multiple stents in a single procedure. Biotronik SE & Co. KG is currently developing bio-absorbable stent technologies, and Abbott Laboratories is currently developing a bio-absorbable drug-eluting stent. These are just a few of the many companies working to improve stenting procedures in the future as the portfolio of available stent technologies rapidly increases. As the market moves towards next-generation stenting technologies, minimally invasive procedures should become more effective, driving the growth of the market in the future. We plan to continue our research and development efforts in order to be at the forefront of the acute myocardial infarction solutions.

According to the 2014 MEDTECH OUTLOOK produced by the BMO Capital Markets on December 3, 2013, the worldwide stent market is dominated by three major players, with a combined total market share of approximately

92%. Within the bare metal stent market and drug-eluting stent market, the top three companies have approximately 71% and 97% of the market share, respectively. These three companies are Abbott Laboratories, Boston Scientific Corporation and Medtronic, Inc. To date, our sales are not significant enough to register in market share. As such, one of the challenges we face to the further growth of MGuard is the competition from numerous pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies in the therapeutics area, as well as competition from academic institutions, government agencies and research institutions. Most of our current and potential competitors, including but not limited to those listed above, have, and will continue to have, substantially greater financial, technological, research and development, regulatory and clinical, manufacturing, marketing and sales, distribution and personnel resources than we do.

In addition to the challenges from our competitors, we face challenges related specifically to our products. None of our products are currently approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Clinical trials necessary to support a pre-market approval application to the U.S. Food and Drug Administration for our MGuard products will be expensive and will require the enrollment of a large number of patients, and suitable patients may be difficult to identify and recruit, which may cause a delay in the development and commercialization of our product candidates. Furthermore, our rights to our intellectual property with respect to our products could be challenged. Based on the prolific litigation that has occurred in the stent industry and the fact that we may pose a competitive threat to some large and well-capitalized companies that own or control patents relating to stents and their use, manufacture and delivery, we believe that it is possible that one or more third parties will assert a patent infringement claim against the manufacture, use or sale of our MGuard products based on one or more of these patents, and/or will allege misappropriation of their proprietary confidential information or other intellectual property.

We note that an additional challenge facing our products comes from drug-eluting stents. Over the last decade, there has been an increasing tendency to use drug-eluting stents in PCI, with a usage rate of drug-eluting stents in PCI approaching 70-80% in some countries, even though drug-eluting stents do not address thrombus management in acute myocardial infarction. The HORIZONS-AMI trial that compared drug-eluting stents to bare-metal stents in STEMI patients failed to show any benefit of drug-eluting stents as compared to bare-metal stents with regard to safety (death, re-infarction, stroke, or stent thrombosis), but showed the 1-year target vessel revascularization (TVR) rate for drug-eluting stent patients was only 5.8%, as compared to 8.7% for patients with bare-metal stents. However, based on data from over 350 patients across three clinical trials, the TVR rate for MGuard Coronary was 2.8%. (This data is comprised of: (i) a TVR rate of 2.3% for a 100-patient study, as reported in “Multicentre Experience with MGuard Net Protective Stent in ST-elevation Myocardial Infarction: Safety, Feasibility, and Impact on Myocardial Reperfusion” in *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv*, 2009; (ii) a TVR rate of 2.3% for a sub-group of 203 STEMI patients from the International MGuard Observational Study; and (iii) a TVR rate of 6.0% for a group of 51 heart attack patients, as reported in “Prevention of Thrombus Embolization during Primary Percutaneous Intervention Using a Novel Mesh Covered Stent” in *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv*, 2009).

Another challenge facing the MGuard products is that placing the stent at the entrance to large side branches, known as jailing large side branches, is not recommended with the MGuard Coronary stent, because there is a risk of thrombosis. Jailing requires the need to cross the stent with guidewire and to create an opening with the balloon to allow proper flow, which can be achieved with lower risk by using other bare-metal stents.

### **Research and Development Expenses**

During each of the six months ended December 31, 2013 and the twelve months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, we spent approximately \$3.3 million, \$4.2 million and \$4.0 million, respectively, on research and development.

### **Sales and Marketing**

## **Sales and Marketing**

In October 2007, MGuard Coronary with a bio-stable mesh received CE Mark approval in the European Union, and shortly thereafter was commercially launched in Europe through local distributors. We are also in negotiations with additional distributors in Europe, Asia and Latin America and are actively selling our MGuard Coronary with a bio-stable mesh in more than 20 countries.

Until U.S. Food and Drug Administration approval of our MGuard Coronary with a bio-stable mesh, which we are targeting for 2016, we plan to focus our marketing efforts primarily on Europe, Asia and Latin America. Within Europe, we have focused on markets with established healthcare reimbursement from local governments such as Russia, Italy, Germany, France, Austria, Poland, Czech Republic, Denmark, Holland, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland and the United Kingdom.

In addition to utilizing local and regional distributor networks, we are using international trade shows and industry conferences to gain market exposure and brand recognition. We plan to work with leading physicians to enhance our marketing efforts. As sales volume has increased, we have engaged in direct sales in certain geographic markets.

### **Product Positioning**

The MGuard Coronary has initially penetrated the market by entering market segments with indications that present high risks of embolic dislodgement, notably acute myocardial infarction and saphenous vein graft coronary interventions. The market penetration of the MGuard Coronary for each of the twelve months ended June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2012 was minimal, with total sales of approximately \$4.9 million and approximately \$5.3 million, respectively each representing less than 1% of the total sales of the acute myocardial infarction solutions market. The market penetration for the six months ended December 31, 2013 was also minimal, with total sales in the six months ended December 31, 2013 of approximately \$3.1 million representing less than 1% of the total sales of the acute myocardial infarction solutions market.

When performing stenting procedures in patients with acute coronary symptoms, interventional cardiologists face a difficult dilemma in choosing between bare-metal stents, which have a high rate of restenosis, and drug-eluting stents, which have a high rate of late stent thrombosis, require administration of anti-platelet drugs for at least one year post procedure and are more costly than bare-metal stents. We are marketing our platform technology, MGuard Coronary, as a superior and cost effective solution to these currently unmet needs of interventional cardiologists. We believe our MGuard Coronary technology is clinically superior to bare-metal stents because it provides embolic protection during and post-procedure. We believe our MGuard Coronary technology is clinically superior to drug-eluting stents, due to its lower stent thrombosis rate and protection from embolic showers during and post-procedure.

In addition to the advantages of the MGuard Coronary technology that we believe to exist, the MGuard Coronary technology maintains the deliverability, crossing profile, and dilatation pressure of a conventional stent, and interventional cardiologists do not have to undergo any training before utilizing the product.

### **Insurance Reimbursement**

In most countries, a significant portion of a patient's medical expenses is covered by third-party payors. Third-party payors can include both government funded insurance programs and private insurance programs. While each payor develops and maintains its own coverage and reimbursement policies, the vast majority of payors have similarly established policies. All of the MGuard products sold to date have been designed and labeled in such a way as to facilitate the utilization of existing reimbursement codes, and we intend to continue to design and label our products in a manner consistent with this goal.

While most countries have established reimbursement codes for stenting procedures, certain countries may require additional clinical data before recognizing coverage and reimbursement for the MGuard products or in order to obtain a higher reimbursement price. In these situations, we intend to complete the required clinical studies to obtain reimbursement approval in countries where it makes economic sense to do so.

In the U.S., if the MGuard Coronary with bio-stable mesh is approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, it will be eligible for reimbursement from the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, which serve as a benchmark for all reimbursement codes. While there is no guarantee these codes will not change over time, we believe that the MGuard Coronary will be eligible for reimbursement through both governmental healthcare agencies and most private insurance agencies in the U.S. once it is approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration.

## Intellectual Property

### Patents

We have filed nine patent applications that are pending in the U.S. covering aspects of our MGuard technology. We have filed corresponding patent applications in Canada, China, Europe, Israel, India and South Africa, for an aggregate total of 35 patents and pending applications. These patent rights are directed to cover percutaneous therapy, knitted stent jackets, stent and filter assemblies, *in vivo* filter assembly, optimized stent jackets, stent apparatuses for treatment via body lumens and methods of use, stent apparatuses for treatment via body lumens and methods of manufacture and use, and stent apparatuses for treatment of body lumens, among others. In lay terms, these patent applications generally cover three aspects of our products: the mesh sleeve with and without a drug, the product and the delivery mechanism of the stent. On October 27, 2010, our patent application pertaining to “Stent Apparatus for Treatment via Body Lumens and Method of Use”, South African patent application 2007/10751, was issued as South African Patent No. 2007/10751. On October 25, 2011, our patent application pertaining to “In Vivo Filter Assembly”, U.S. Patent Application 11/582,354, was issued as U.S. Patent 8,043,323. On June 13, 2012, our patent application pertaining to “Filter Assemblies,” Chinese Patent Application No. 200780046659.9, was issued as Chinese Patent No. ZL200780046659.9. On September 26, 2012, our patent application pertaining to “Bifurcated Stent Assemblies,” Chinese Patent Application No. 200780046676.2, was issued as Chinese Patent No. ZL200780046676.2. On October 10, 2012, our patent application pertaining to “Knitted Stent Jackets,” Chinese Patent Application No. 200780046697.4, was issued as Chinese Patent No. ZL200780046697.4. On January 2, 2013, our patent application pertaining to “Optimized Stent Jacket,” Chinese Patent Application No. 200780043259.2, was issued as Chinese Patent No. ZL200780043259.2. None of the other patent applications has been granted to date, although two more are now allowed and awaiting issuance. We believe one or more pending patent applications, upon issuance, will cover each of our existing products. We also believe that the patent applications we have filed, in particular those covering the use of a knitted micron-level mesh sleeve over a stent for various indications, if issued as patents with claims substantially in their present form, would likely create a significant barrier for another company seeking to use similar technology. There is no assurance, however, that our pending patent applications will issue as patents with such claims or that if issued, the patents will withstand challenges to their validity or enforceability that may arise.

There is also a risk that our products and commercial processes, as commercialized, will be found to infringe or in some way violate the intellectual property rights of third parties. To date, however, we are not aware of other companies that have patents directed to a micron fiber, releasable knitted fiber sleeve over a stent. However, larger, better funded competitors own patents relating to the use of drugs to treat restenosis, stent architecture, catheters to deliver stents, and stent manufacturing and coating processes and compositions, as well as general delivery mechanism patents like rapid exchange that might be alleged to cover one or more of our products. For example, we are aware of one public company that is pursuing patent protection directed to layered materials disposed over a particular stent configuration, however, these applications were generally filed after our core patent application filings. Stent manufacturers have historically engaged in significant litigation, and we could be subject to claims of infringement of intellectual property from one or more competitors. Although we believe that any such claims based on patents of which we are currently aware would be un-founded, such litigation would divert attention and resources away from the development and/or commercialization of MGuard stents and other product development, and could result in an adverse court judgment that would make it impossible or impractical to continue selling MGuard stents in

one or more territories. Furthermore, we may be subject to claims of infringement of patent rights of which we are currently unaware. Other manufacturers or other parties may also challenge the intellectual property that we own, or may own in the future. We may be forced into litigation to uphold the validity of the claims in our patent portfolio, as well as our ownership rights to such intellectual property, and litigation is often an uncertain and costly process.

## **Trademarks**

We use the InspireMD and MGuard trademarks in connection with our products. We have registered these trademarks in Europe. The trademarks are renewable indefinitely, so long as we continue to use the mark in Europe and make the appropriate filings when required. We have also registered the name “MicroNet®” as a trademark in the U.S. and we are pursuing a similar trademark in Europe.

## **Government Regulation**

The manufacture and sale of our products are subject to regulation by numerous governmental authorities, principally the European Union CE Mark, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration and other corresponding foreign agencies.



Sales of medical devices outside the U.S. are subject to foreign regulatory requirements that vary widely from country to country. These laws and regulations range from simple product registration requirements in some countries to complex clearance and production controls in others. As a result, the processes and time periods required to obtain foreign marketing approval may be longer or shorter than those necessary to obtain U.S. Food and Drug Administration market authorization. These differences may affect the efficiency and timeliness of international market introduction of our products. For countries in the European Union, medical devices must display a CE Mark before they may be imported or sold. In order to obtain and maintain the CE Mark, we must comply with the Medical Device Directive 93/42/EEC and pass initial and annual facilities audit inspections to ISO 13485 standards by an European Union inspection agency. We have obtained ISO 13485 quality system certification and the products we currently distribute into the European Union display the required CE Mark. In order to maintain certification, we are required to pass annual facilities audit inspections conducted by European Union inspectors.

As noted below, we currently have distribution agreements for our products with distributors, or are directly selling our products, in the following countries: Italy, Austria, Slovenia, Spain, Hungary, Estonia, Ukraine, Holland, Russia, Latvia, Brazil, Mexico, Argentina, Colombia, India, Sri Lanka, South Africa, Pakistan, Belarus, Croatia, Ireland, Lithuania, Malta, Malaysia, Venezuela, Australia, Belgium, the Czech Republic, Finland, Kazakhstan, Slovakia, Sweden, Denmark, Norway, Switzerland, Poland, Germany, Cyprus, France, Finland, Romania, the United Kingdom, Saudi Arabia, New Zealand and Israel. We are subject to governmental regulation in each of these countries and we are not permitted to sell all of our products in each of these countries. In addition, we have distribution agreements for our products in Uzbekistan, Taiwan, South Korea, Canada, Kuwait and Armenia, although we have not yet obtained regulatory approval to sell our products in those countries. While each of the European Union member countries accepts the CE Mark as its sole requirement for marketing approval, some of these countries still require us to take additional steps in order to gain reimbursement rights for our products. Furthermore, while we believe that each of the above-listed countries that is not a member of the European Union accepts the CE Mark as its primary requirement for marketing approval, each such country requires additional regulatory requirements for final marketing approval of the MGuard Prime version of the MGuard Coronary product. Additionally, in Canada, we are required to pass annual facilities audit inspections performed by Canadian inspectors. Furthermore, we are currently targeting additional countries in Europe, Asia, and Latin America. We believe that each country that we are targeting also accepts the CE Mark as its primary requirement for marketing approval. We expect that the results of the MASTER I trial will enhance our ability to satisfy any additional governmental regulatory requirements in each of the countries where we currently distribute or directly sell our products and in any countries that we are currently targeting for expansion. However, even if all governmental regulatory requirements are satisfied in each such country, we anticipate that obtaining marketing approval in each country could take as few as three months or as many as twelve months, due to the nature of the approval process in each individual country, including typical wait times for application processing and review, as discussed in greater detail below.

The MGuard Prime version of the MGuard Coronary product received CE Mark approval in the European Union in October 2010 and marketing approval in those countries listed in the table below. We are currently seeking marketing approval for the MGuard Prime version of the MGuard Coronary product in Brazil, Mexico, Argentina, South Korea, Taiwan, Australia, Belarus, Malaysia, Saudi Arabia, the U.S. and Canada. We are focused on seeking marketing approval in these countries because we believe that these countries represent the strongest opportunities for us to grow with respect to our sales. We have determined that other countries with better organized and capitalized healthcare systems may not present us the same opportunities for growth due to the lack of use of stents in treatment of cardiac episodes and less advantageous healthcare reimbursement policies, among other reasons. While we understand that

each of the countries in which we are seeking marketing approval for the MGuard Prime version of the MGuard Coronary product accepts the CE Mark as its primary requirement for marketing approval and does not to our understanding require any additional tests, each country does have some additional regulatory requirements for marketing approval. More specifically, for example, for the approval process in Mexico, where we already have approval for and sales of MGuard Coronary, we need to submit an application for regulatory approval for MGuard Prime, which we anticipate will be granted at least thirty months later. For the approval process in Argentina, we need to submit an application for regulatory approval, which we anticipate will be granted approximately at least sixteen months later. For the approval process in South Korea, we need to submit an application for regulatory approval and have in-house quality audit, which we anticipate will be granted in approximately two years. For the approval process in Canada, we need to submit an application for regulatory approval, which we anticipate will be granted approximately twelve months later. For the approval process in Australia, we need to submit an application for regulatory approval, to have in-house quality audit which we anticipate will be granted in approximately one year. For the approval process in Taiwan, we need to submit an application for regulatory approval, which we anticipate will be granted at least fifteen months later. In Israel, where we received marketing approval in September 2011, we are subject to annual renewal of our marketing approval. Regulators in Israel may request additional documentation or other materials and results of studies from medical device manufacturers as part of the renewal process. Generally, however, the annual renewal of marketing approval is given automatically, barring a material change in circumstances or results. In Russia, we received market approval in February 2012. In Chile, we received market approval for our previous distributor in December 2010. We have terminated our relationship with our previous distributor in Chile, however, and once we enter into a relationship with a new distributor, we will be required to submit a new application for regulatory approval in Chile, which we anticipate will be granted approximately twelve months after our submission for approval.

For the approval process in Brazil for MGuard Prime, where we already have approval for and sales of MGuard Coronary, we must comply with Brazilian Good Manufacturing Practice, or GMP, quality system requirements. ANVISA, Brazil's regulatory agency, must conduct an inspection of the manufacturing of the MGuard Prime version of the MGuard Coronary product to determine compliance with Brazil GMP regulations. Upon successful completion of an audit, ANVISA will then issue the GMP certificate necessary to register a medical device in Brazil, which can take approximately one year to complete. Based upon new legislation in Brazil, we intend to apply for regulatory approval while we await the results of the audit necessary to receive our GMP certificate. We anticipate that the approval process in Brazil will take between two and three years.

Please refer to the table below setting forth the approvals and sales for original stainless steel based MGuard Coronary product and the cobalt-chromium based MGuard Prime version of the MGuard Coronary product on a country-by-country basis.

**Approvals and Sales of the Original MGuard Coronary and the MGuard Prime version of the MGuard Coronary on a Country-by-Country Basis**

<b>Countries</b>	<b>Original MGuard Approval</b>	<b>Original MGuard Sales</b>	<b>MGuard Prime Approval</b>	<b>MGuard Prime Sales</b>
Argentina	Y	Y	N	N
Armenia	N	N	N	N
Australia	N	(2) Y	N	Y (1)
Austria	Y	Y	Y	Y
Belarus	Y	Y	N	Y (3)
Belgium	Y	N	Y	Y
Brazil	Y	Y	N	N
Chile	N	(2) Y	N	Y (3)
Colombia	Y	Y	N	N
Croatia	Y	Y	Y	Y
Cyprus	Y	Y	Y	Y
Czech Republic	Y	Y	Y	Y
Denmark	Y	Y	Y	N
Egypt	Y	N	N	N
Estonia	Y	Y	Y	Y
Finland	Y	N	Y	Y
France	Y	Y	Y	Y
Germany	Y	Y	Y	Y
Greece	Y	Y	Y	N
Holland (Netherlands)	Y	Y	Y	Y
Hungary	Y	Y	Y	Y
India	Y	Y	Y	N
Ireland	Y	Y	Y	Y

Edgar Filing: BRASIL TELECOM SA - Form 6-K/A

Israel	Y	Y	Y	Y
Italy	Y	Y	Y	Y
Kazakhstan	N	(4) Y	N	N
Latvia	Y	Y	Y	Y
Lithuania	Y	Y	Y	Y
Malaysia	N	N	N	Y (3)
Malta	Y	N	Y	Y
Mexico	Y	Y	N	N
Norway	Y	N	Y	Y
Pakistan	Y	(5) Y	N	N
Poland	Y	Y	Y	Y
Portugal	Y	Y	Y	N
Romania	Y	Y	Y	Y
Russia	Y	Y	Y	Y
Saudi Arabia	N	N	N	Y (3)
Singapore	N	Y	(6) N	N
Slovakia	Y	Y	Y	Y
Slovenia	Y	Y	Y	Y
South Africa	Y	(5) Y	Y	Y
Spain	Y	Y	Y	Y
Sri Lanka	Y	(5) Y	N	N
Sweden	Y	Y	Y	Y
Switzerland	Y	Y	Y	Y
Ukraine	Y	Y	N	N
United Kingdom	Y	N	Y	Y
Uzbekistan	N	N	N	N
Venezuela	N	(2) Y	N	N

- (1) We sold a limited quantity of our MGuard Prime products in Australia pursuant to a law that permits patients to purchase medical products that are not included on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods under certain limited circumstances, on case by case bases.
- (2) We terminated our relationship with our previous distributor in this country, through which our product had market approval. As a result of such termination, we will be required to obtain regulatory approval upon our selection of a new distributor in such country.
- (3) We have made sales to distributors in this country, but based upon information from such distributors, we believe that the product has not been sold to customers in this country.
- (4) Our regulatory approval for sales in Kazakhstan expired in January 2014. We intend to renew our regulatory approval for Kazakhstan in the future.
- (5) We believe that we have regulatory approval for the MGuard Coronary product in this country, based upon information from our distributor in such country, who was responsible for obtaining the regulatory approval for the MGuard Coronary product. However, the certificate evidencing regulatory approval is held by our distributor and we cannot guarantee that it is in full force and effect.
- (6) At time the sales were made, we satisfied the regulatory requirements in Singapore. The regulatory requirements in Singapore were subsequently changed and we no longer meet these requirements.

In the U.S., the medical devices that will be manufactured and sold by us will be subject to laws and regulations administered by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, including regulations concerning the prerequisites to commercial marketing, the conduct of clinical investigations, compliance with the Quality System Regulation and labeling. We anticipate that our MGuard Prime Coronary product with bio-stable mesh product will be classified as a Class III medical device by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration.

A manufacturer may seek market authorization for a new medical device through the rigorous Premarket Approval application process, which first requires that the U.S. Food and Drug Administration determine that the device is safe and effective for the purposes intended.

We will also be required to register with the U.S. Food and Drug Administration as a medical device manufacturer. As such, our manufacturing facilities will be subject to U.S. Food and Drug Administration inspections for compliance with Quality System Regulation. These regulations will require that we manufacture our products and maintain our documents in a prescribed manner with respect to design, manufacturing, testing and quality control activities. As a medical device manufacturer, we will further be required to comply with U.S. Food and Drug Administration requirements regarding the reporting of adverse events associated with the use of our medical devices, as well as product malfunctions that would likely cause or contribute to death or serious injury if the malfunction were to recur. U.S. Food and Drug Administration regulations also govern product labeling and prohibit a manufacturer from

marketing a medical device for unapproved applications. If the U.S. Food and Drug Administration believes that a manufacturer is not in compliance with the law, it can institute enforcement proceedings to detain or seize products, issue a recall, enjoin future violations and assess civil and criminal penalties against the manufacturer, its officers and employees.

## Customers

Our customer base is varied. We began shipping our product to customers in Europe in January 2008 and have since expanded our global distribution network to Southeast Asia, India, Latin America and Israel. For the six months ended December 31, 2013, 70% of our revenue was generated in Europe, 11% of our revenue was generated in Latin America, 7% of our revenue was generated in Asia and 4% of our revenue was generated in Israel, with the remaining 8% of our revenue generated in the rest of the world. Our major customers in the six months ended December 31, 2013 were Bosti Trading Ltd., a distributor in the Russian Federation that accounted for 24% of our revenues and Izasa Distribuciones Tecnicas SA, a distributor in Spain that accounted for 12% of our revenues. Our agreement with Bosti Trading Ltd. grants Bosti Trading Ltd. the right to be the exclusive distributor of MGuard products in the Russian Federation, the Republic of Uzbekistan and the Republic of Armenia until May 2014, subject to the achievement of certain order minimums. Under our agreement with Bosti Trading Ltd., Bosti Trading Ltd. is required to purchase 3,500 stents from us in 2012, 6,000 stents in 2013 and 4,000 stents in the first six months of 2014, respectively. Although Bosti Trading Ltd. did not adhere to its order minimum for 2012 and 2013, we did not terminate Bosti Trading Ltd.'s right to be the exclusive distributor of MGuard products in the Russian Federation, the Republic of Uzbekistan and the Republic of Armenia. Our agreement with Izasa Distribuciones Tecnicas SA grants Izasa Distribuciones Tecnicas SA the right to be the exclusive distributor of MGuard products in Spain until May 2014, with no order minimums currently in place. Izasa Distribuciones Tecnicas SA also agreed to partner with us in a study to be conducted in Spain entitled MGuard Prime Implementation in STEMI (acute myocardial infarction with ST elevation).

For the twelve months ended June 30, 2013, 71% of our revenue was generated in Europe, 12% of our revenue was generated in Latin America, 6% of our revenue was generated in Asia and 6% of our revenue was generated in Israel, with the remaining 5% of our revenue generated in the rest of the world. Our major customers in the twelve months ended June 30, 2013 were Bosti Trading Ltd., which accounted for 17% of our revenues, Izasa Distribuciones Tecnicas SA, a distributor in Spain that accounted for 14% of our revenues, and CMS Produtos Medicos Ltda., which accounted for 10% of our revenues. Our agreements with Bosti Trading Ltd. and Izasa Distribuciones Tecnicas SA are discussed above. Our agreement with CMS Produtos Medicos Ltda. grants CMS Produtos Medicos Ltda. the right to be the exclusive distributor of MGuard products in Brazil until April 2014, with no order minimums currently in place. Unless otherwise indicated below, all of the distribution agreements described under “Customers” are subject to automatic annual extensions unless affirmatively terminated.

For the six months ended June 30, 2012, 64% of our revenue was generated in Europe, 22% of our revenue was generated in Latin America and 8% of our revenue was generated in Israel, with the remaining 6% of our revenue generated in the rest of the world. Our major customers in the six months ended June 30, 2012 were Bosti Trading Ltd., which accounted for 22% of our revenues, Euromed Deutschland GmbH, our former distributor in Germany that accounted for 14% of our revenues, and Kardia Srl, a distributor in Italy that accounted for 9% of our revenues. For the twelve months ended June 30, 2012, our major customer was Bosti Trading Ltd., accounting for 15% of our revenues. Our agreement with Bosti Trading Ltd. is discussed above. Our agreement with Euromed Deutschland GmbH was terminated on January 28, 2013, in connection with Euromed Deutschland GmbH filing for insolvency protection on September 24, 2012. Our agreement with Kardia Srl grants Kardia Srl the right to be the exclusive distributor of MGuard products in Italy until August 2016, with no order minimums currently in place.

## **Manufacturing and Suppliers**

We manufacture our stainless steel MGuard stent through a combination of outsourcing and assembly at our own facility. Third parties in Germany manufacture the base stent and catheter materials, and we add our proprietary mesh sleeve to the stent. Our current exclusive product supplier is QualiMed Innovative Medizinprodukte GmbH. QualiMed Innovative Medizinprodukte GmbH is a specialized German stent manufacturer that electro polishes and crimps the stent onto a balloon catheter that creates the base for our stainless steel MGuard stents. QualiMed Innovative Medizinprodukte GmbH has agreed to take responsibility for verifying and validating the entire stent system by performing the necessary bench test and biocompatibility testing. During the production process, QualiMed Innovative Medizinprodukte GmbH is responsible for integrating the mesh covered stent with the delivery system, sterilization, packaging and labeling. Our manufacturing agreement with QualiMed Innovative Medizinprodukte GmbH expires in September 2017, unless earlier terminated by either party in the event of breach of material terms of the agreement, liquidation of the other party, our failure to receive requested products for more than 60 days, a substantiated intellectual property claim is brought against the other party or the development agreement between the parties is terminated. The manufacturing agreement provides for a rebate program that rewards us for increases in sales of our products. Our proprietary mesh sleeve is supplied by Biogeneral, Inc., a San Diego, California-based specialty polymer manufacturer for medical and engineering applications. Natec Medical Ltd. supplies us with catheters that help create the base for our MGuard stents. Our agreement with Natec Medical Ltd., which may be terminated by either party upon six months’ notice, calls for non-binding minimum orders and discounted catheters upon reaching certain purchasing thresholds.





Our MGuard Prime cobalt-chromium stent was designed by Svelte Medical Systems Inc. We have an agreement with Svelte Medical Systems Inc. that grants us a non-exclusive, worldwide license for production and use of the MGuard Prime cobalt-chromium stent for the life of the stent's patent, subject to the earlier termination of the agreement upon the bankruptcy of either party or the uncured default by either party under any material provision of the agreement. Our royalty payments to Svelte Medical Systems Inc. are determined by the sales volume of MGuard Prime stents. Until October 20, 2012, we paid a royalty of 7% for all product sales outside of the U.S. and, for products sales within the U.S., a rate of 7% for the first \$10.0 million of sales and a rate of 10% for all sales exceeding \$10.0 million. We also shared with Svelte Medical Systems Inc. in the cost of obtaining the CE Mark approval, with its costs not to exceed \$85,000, and the U.S. Food and Drug Administration approval, with its costs not to exceed \$200,000. On October 20, 2012, we amended our agreement with Svelte Medical Systems Inc., pursuant to which Svelte Medical Systems Inc. reduced the royalty rate to 2.9% of all net sales both inside and outside the U.S. in exchange for (i) us waiving the \$85,000 in regulatory fees for the CE Mark that were owed to us by Svelte Medical Systems Inc., (ii) us making full payment of royalties in the amount of \$205,587 due to Svelte Medical Systems, Inc. as of September 30, 2012, and (iii) \$1,763,000, payable in 215,000 shares of our common stock (as adjusted for the one-for-four reverse stock split of our common stock that occurred on December 21, 2012), that were valued at the closing price of our common stock on October 19, 2012 of \$8.20 per share (as adjusted for the one-for-four reverse stock split of our common stock that occurred on December 21, 2012). On August 22, 2013, we further amended our agreement with Svelte Medical Systems Inc., pursuant to which (i) we agreed to pay Svelte Medical Systems Inc. an advanced payment of \$192,000, representing a royalty rate of 2.0% of all net sales for the period from July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2015, assuming net sales of \$1.2 million per quarter, (ii) we agreed to pay a royalty rate of 2.5% on any net sales exceeding \$10.56 million for the period from July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2015 and (iii) the royalty rate was increased to 2.9% of all net sales beginning July 1, 2015. We have mutual indemnification obligations with Svelte Medical Systems Inc. for any damages suffered as a result of third party actions based upon breaches of representations and warranties or the failure to perform certain covenants in the license agreement, and Svelte Medical Systems Inc. will also indemnify us for any damages suffered as a result of third party actions based upon intellectual property or design claims against the MGuard Prime cobalt-chromium stent.

Our MGuard Prime cobalt-chromium stent is being manufactured and supplied by MeKo Laserstrahl-Materialbearbeitung. Our agreement with MeKo Laserstrahl-Materialbearbeitung for the production of electro polished L605 bare metal stents for MGuard Prime is priced on a per-stent basis, subject to the quantity of stents ordered. The complete assembly process for MGuard Prime, including knitting and securing the sleeve to the stent and the crimping of the sleeve stent on to a balloon catheter, is done at our Israel manufacturing site. Once MGuard Prime has been assembled, it is sent for sterilization in Germany and then back to Israel for final packaging.

Each MGuard stent is manufactured from two main components, the stent and the mesh polymer. The stent is made out of stainless steel or cobalt chromium. Both of these materials are readily available and we acquire them in the open market. The mesh is made from polyethylene terephthalate (PET). This material is readily available in the market as well, because it is used for many medical applications. In the event that our supplier can no longer supply this material in fiber form, we would need to qualify another supplier, which could take several months. In addition, in order to retain the approval of the CE Mark, we are required to perform periodic audits of the quality control systems of our key suppliers in order to insure that their products meet our predetermined specifications.

## Distributors

We currently have exclusive distribution agreements for our CE Mark-approved MGuard Coronary with bio stable mesh with medical product distributors based in Italy, Austria, Slovenia, Spain, Hungary, Estonia, Ukraine, Holland, Russia, Latvia, Brazil, Mexico, Argentina, Colombia, India, Sri Lanka, South Africa, Pakistan, Belarus, Croatia, Ireland, Lithuania, Malta, Malaysia, Venezuela, Australia, Belgium, the Czech Republic, Finland, Kazakhstan, Slovakia, Sweden, Denmark, Norway, Uzbekistan, Armenia, Canada, Finland, Korea, Romania, the United Kingdom, Taiwan, Saudi Arabia and Israel. We are currently in discussions with multiple distribution companies in Europe, Asia, and Latin America.

We are in the process of replacing certain third party distributors with direct sales channels in key countries where end user average selling prices and the lack of strong distributors are limiting factors. While we believe that this transition to direct selling will ultimately lead to greater sales in these markets, the transition away from certain distributors adversely impacted revenue for the six months ended December 31, 2013 and the twelve months ended June 30, 2013, as we had fewer parties selling our products. In addition, we are in the process of appointing new distributors in certain territories, and believe that new incentives and broader responsibilities have strengthened arrangements with our partners in those territories.

Current and future agreements with distributors stipulate that, while we are responsible for training, providing marketing guidance, marketing materials, and technical guidance, distributors will be responsible for carrying out local registration, marketing activities and sales. In addition, in most cases, all sales costs, including sales representatives, incentive programs, and marketing trials, will be borne by the distributor. Under current agreements, distributors purchase stents from us at a fixed price. Our current agreements with distributors are generally for a term of approximately three years and automatically renew for an additional three years unless modified by either party.

## Employees

As of February 25, 2014, we had 71 full-time employees. Except for some of our employees in Europe, our employees are not party to any collective bargaining agreements. We do not expect the collective bargaining agreements to which our employees are party to have a material effect on our business or results of operations. We consider our relations with our employees to be good. We believe that our future success will depend, in part, on our continued ability to attract, hire and retain qualified personnel.

### Item 1A. Risk Factors.

There are numerous and varied risks, known and unknown, that may prevent us from achieving our goals. You should carefully consider the risks described below and the other information included in this Transition Report on Form 10-K/T, including the consolidated financial statements and related notes. If any of the following risks, or any other risks not described below, actually occur, it is likely that our business, financial condition, and/or operating results could be materially adversely affected. The risks and uncertainties described below include forward-looking statements and our actual results may differ from those discussed in these forward-looking statements.

#### Risks Related to Our Business

***We have a history of net losses and may experience future losses.***

To date, we have experienced net losses. A substantial portion of the expenses associated with our manufacturing facilities are fixed in nature (i.e., depreciation) and will reduce our operating margin until such time, if ever, as we are able to increase utilization of our capacity through increased sales of our products. The clinical trials necessary to support our anticipated growth will be expensive and lengthy. In addition, our strategic plan will require a significant investment in clinical trials, product development and sales and marketing programs, which may not result in the accelerated revenue growth that we anticipate. Because we expect to continue incurring negative cash flows from operations, there can be no assurance that we will ever generate sufficient revenues to become profitable.

***We expect to derive our revenue from sales of our MGuard stent products and other products we may develop. If we fail to generate revenue from this source, our results of operations and the value of our business would be materially and adversely affected.***

We expect our revenue to be generated from sales of our MGuard stent products and other products we may develop. Future sales of these products, if any, will be subject to the receipt of regulatory approvals and commercial and market uncertainties that may be outside our control. If we fail to generate such revenues, our results of operations and the value of our business and securities would be materially and adversely affected.

*If we are unable to obtain and maintain intellectual property protection covering our products, others may be able to make, use or sell our products, which would adversely affect our revenue.*

Our ability to protect our products from unauthorized or infringing use by third parties depends substantially on our ability to obtain and maintain valid and enforceable patents. Similarly, the ability to protect our trademark rights might be important to prevent third party counterfeiters from selling poor quality goods using our designated trademarks/trade names. Due to evolving legal standards relating to the patentability, validity and enforceability of patents covering medical devices and pharmaceutical inventions and the scope of claims made under these patents, our ability to enforce patents is uncertain and involves complex legal and factual questions. Accordingly, rights under any of our pending patent applications and patents may not provide us with commercially meaningful protection for our products or may not afford a commercial advantage against our competitors or their competitive products or processes. In addition, patents may not be issued from any pending or future patent applications owned by or licensed to us, and moreover, patents that may be issued to us now or in the future may not be valid or enforceable. Further, even if valid and enforceable, our patents may not be sufficiently broad to prevent others from marketing products like ours, despite our patent rights.

The validity of our patent claims depends, in part, on whether prior art references exist that describe or render obvious our inventions as of the filing date of our patent applications. We may not have identified all prior art, such as U.S. and foreign patents or published applications or published scientific literature, that could adversely affect the patentability of our pending patent applications. For example, some material references may be in a foreign language and may not be uncovered during examination of our patent applications. Additionally, patent applications in the U.S. are maintained in confidence for up to 18 months after their filing. In some cases, however, patent applications remain confidential in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office for the entire time prior to issuance as a U.S. patent. Patent applications filed in countries outside the U.S. are not typically published until at least 18 months from their first filing date. Similarly, publication of discoveries in the scientific or patent literature often lags behind actual discoveries. Therefore, we cannot be certain that we were the first to invent, or the first to file patent applications relating to, our stent technologies. In the event that a third party has also filed a U.S. patent application covering our stents or a similar invention, we may have to participate in an adversarial proceeding, known as an interference, declared by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office to determine priority of invention in the U.S. It is possible that we may be unsuccessful in the interference, resulting in a loss of some portion or all of our position in the U.S. The laws of some foreign jurisdictions do not protect intellectual property rights to the same degree as in the U.S., and many companies have encountered significant difficulties in protecting, enforcing, and defending such rights in certain foreign jurisdictions. If we encounter such difficulties or are otherwise precluded from effectively protecting our intellectual property rights in any foreign jurisdictions, our business prospects could be substantially harmed.

We may initiate litigation to enforce our patent rights on any patents issued on pending patent applications, which may prompt adversaries in such litigation to challenge the validity, scope, ownership, or enforceability of our patents. Third parties can sometimes bring challenges against a patent holder to resolve these issues, as well. If a court decides that any such patents are not valid, not enforceable, not wholly owned by us, or are of a limited scope, we may not have the right to stop others from using our inventions. Also, even if our patent rights are determined by a court to be valid and enforceable, they may not be sufficiently broad to prevent others from marketing products similar to ours or designing around our patents, despite our patent rights, nor do they provide us with freedom to operate unimpeded by the patent and other intellectual property rights of others that may cover our products.

We also rely on trade secret protection to protect our interests in proprietary know-how and for processes for which patents are difficult to obtain or enforce. We may not be able to protect our trade secrets adequately. In addition, we rely on non-disclosure and confidentiality agreements with employees, consultants and other parties to protect, in part, trade secrets and other proprietary technology. These agreements may be breached and we may not have adequate remedies for any breach. Moreover, others may independently develop equivalent proprietary information, and third parties may otherwise gain access to our trade secrets and proprietary knowledge. Any disclosure of confidential data into the public domain or to third parties could allow competitors to learn our trade secrets and use the information in competition against us.

***We have limited manufacturing capabilities and manufacturing personnel, and if our manufacturing facilities are unable to provide an adequate supply of products, our growth could be limited and our business could be harmed.***

We currently manufacture our MGuard stent at our facility in Tel Aviv, Israel, and we have contracted with QualiMed Innovative Medizinprodukte GmbH, a German manufacturer, to assist in production. If there were a disruption to our existing manufacturing facility, we would have no other means of manufacturing our MGuard stent until we were able to restore the manufacturing capability at our facility or develop alternative manufacturing facilities. If we were unable to produce sufficient quantities of our MGuard stent to meet market demand or for use in our current and planned clinical trials, or if our manufacturing process yields substandard stents, our development and commercialization efforts would be delayed.

We currently have limited resources, facilities and experience to commercially manufacture our product candidates. In order to produce our MGuard stent in the quantities that we anticipate will be required to meet anticipated market demand, we will need to increase, or “scale up,” the production process by a significant factor over the current level of production. There are technical challenges to scaling-up manufacturing capacity, and developing commercial-scale manufacturing facilities will require the investment of substantial funds and hiring and retaining additional management and technical personnel who have the necessary manufacturing experience. We may not successfully complete any required scale-up in a timely manner or at all. If unable to do so, we may not be able to meet potential future demand. If we are unable to manufacture a sufficient supply of our MGuard stent, our revenues, business and financial prospects would be adversely affected and we may suffer reputational harm, which could further adversely affect our revenues, business and financial prospects. In addition, if the scaled-up production process is not efficient or produces stents that do not meet quality and other standards, our future gross margins may decline. Also, our current and planned personnel, systems, procedures and controls may not be adequate to support our anticipated growth. If we are unable to manage our growth effectively, our business could be harmed.

Additionally, any damage to or destruction of our Tel Aviv facility or its equipment, prolonged power outage or contamination at our facility would significantly impair our ability to produce MGuard stents.

Finally, the production of our MGuard stent must occur in a highly controlled, clean environment to minimize particles and other yield and quality-limiting contaminants. In spite of stringent quality controls, weaknesses in process control or minute impurities in materials may cause a substantial percentage of defective products in a lot. If we are unable to maintain stringent quality controls, or if contamination problems arise, our clinical development and commercialization efforts could be delayed, which would harm our business and results of operations.

***Clinical trials necessary to support a pre-market approval application to the U.S. Food and Drug Administration for our products will be lengthy and expensive and will require the enrollment of a large number of patients, and suitable patients may be difficult to identify and recruit. Any such delay or failure of clinical trials could prevent us from commercializing our stent products, which would materially and adversely affect our results of operations and the value of our business.***

Clinical trials necessary to support a pre-market approval application to the U.S. Food and Drug Administration for our products will be expensive and will require the enrollment of a large number of patients, and suitable patients may be difficult to identify and recruit, which may cause a delay in the development and commercialization of our product candidates. In some trials, a greater number of patients and a longer follow up period may be required. Patient enrollment in clinical trials and the ability to successfully complete patient follow-up depends on many factors, including the size of the patient population, the nature of the trial protocol, the proximity of patients to clinical sites, the eligibility criteria for the clinical trial and patient compliance. For example, patients may be discouraged from enrolling in our clinical trials if the trial protocol requires them to undergo extensive post-treatment procedures or follow-up to assess the safety and efficacy of our products, or they may be persuaded to participate in contemporaneous clinical trials of competitive products. In addition, patients participating in our clinical trials may die before completion of the trial or suffer adverse medical events unrelated to or related to our products. Delays in patient

enrollment or failure of patients to continue to participate in a clinical trial may cause an increase in costs and delays or result in the failure of the clinical trial.

In addition, the length of time required to complete clinical trials for pharmaceutical and medical device products varies substantially according to the degree of regulation and the type, complexity, novelty and intended use of a product, and can continue for several years and cost millions of dollars. The commencement and completion of clinical trials for our products under development may be delayed by many factors, including governmental or regulatory delays and changes in regulatory requirements, policy and guidelines or our inability or the inability of any potential licensee to manufacture or obtain from third parties materials sufficient for use in preclinical studies and clinical trials.

***Physicians may not widely adopt the MGuard stent unless they determine, based on experience, long-term clinical data and published peer reviewed journal articles, that the use of the MGuard stent provides a safe and effective alternative to other existing treatments for coronary artery disease.***



We believe that physicians will not widely adopt the MGuard stent unless they determine, based on experience, long-term clinical data and published peer reviewed journal articles, that the use of our MGuard stent provides a safe and effective alternative to other existing treatments for coronary artery disease, including coronary artery bypass grafting balloon angioplasty, bare-metal stents and other drug-eluting stents, provided by Boston Scientific Corporation, Medtronic Inc., Abbott Laboratories and others.

We cannot provide any assurance that the data collected from our current and planned clinical trials will be sufficient to demonstrate that the MGuard stents are an attractive alternative to other procedures. If we fail to demonstrate safety and efficacy that is at least comparable to other drug-eluting stents or bare-metal stents that have received regulatory approval and that are available on the market, our ability to successfully market the MGuard stent will be significantly limited. Even if the data collected from clinical studies or clinical experience indicate positive results, each physician's actual experience with our MGuard stent will vary. Clinical trials conducted with the MGuard Coronary stent have involved procedures performed by physicians who are technically proficient and are high-volume stent users. Consequently, both short-term and long-term results reported in these clinical trials may be significantly more favorable than typical results of practicing physicians, which could negatively affect rates of adoptions of our products. We also believe that published peer-reviewed journal articles and recommendations and support by influential physicians regarding our MGuard Coronary stent will be important for market acceptance and adoption, and we cannot assure you that we will receive these recommendations and support, or that supportive articles will be published.

In addition, currently, physicians consider drug-eluting stents to be the industry standard for treatment of coronary artery disease. While we believe that the MGuard Coronary stent is a safe and effective alternative, it is not a drug-eluting stent, which may further hinder its support and adoption by physicians.

***Our products are based on a new technology, and we have only limited experience in regulatory affairs, which may affect our ability or the time required to navigate complex regulatory requirements and obtain necessary regulatory approvals, if such approvals are received at all. Regulatory delays or denials may increase our costs, cause us to lose revenue and materially and adversely affect our results of operations and the value of our business.***

Because our products are new and long-term success measures have not been completely validated, regulatory agencies, including the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, may take a significant amount of time in evaluating product approval applications. For example, there are currently several methods of measuring restenosis and we do not know which of these metrics, or combination of these metrics, will be considered appropriate by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration for evaluating the clinical efficacy of stents. Treatments may exhibit a favorable measure using one of these metrics and an unfavorable measure using another metric. Any change in the accepted metrics may result in reconfiguration of, and delays in, our clinical trials. Additionally, we have only limited experience in filing and prosecuting the applications necessary to gain regulatory approvals, and our clinical, regulatory and quality assurance personnel are currently composed of only eight employees. As a result, we may experience delays in connection with obtaining regulatory approvals for our products.

In addition, the products we and any potential licensees license, develop, manufacture and market are subject to complex regulatory requirements, particularly in the U.S., Europe and Asia, which can be costly and time-consuming. There can be no assurance that such approvals will be granted on a timely basis, if at all. Furthermore, there can be no assurance of continued compliance with all regulatory requirements necessary for the manufacture, marketing and sale of the products we will offer in each market where such products are expected to be sold, or that products we have commercialized will continue to comply with applicable regulatory requirements. If a government regulatory agency were to conclude that we were not in compliance with applicable laws or regulations, the agency could institute proceedings to detain or seize our products, issue a recall, impose operating restrictions, enjoin future violations and assess civil and criminal penalties against us, our officers or employees and could recommend criminal prosecution. Furthermore, regulators may proceed to ban, or request the recall, repair, replacement or refund of the cost of, any device manufactured or sold by us. Furthermore, there can be no assurance that all necessary regulatory approvals will be obtained for the manufacture, marketing and sale in any market of any new product developed or that any potential licensee will develop using our licensed technology.

***Even if our products are approved by regulatory authorities, if we or our suppliers fail to comply with ongoing regulatory requirements, or if we experience unanticipated problems with our products, these products could be subject to restrictions or withdrawal from the market.***

Any product for which we obtain marketing approval in the U.S., along with the manufacturing processes, post-approval clinical data and promotional activities for such product, will be subject to continual review and periodic inspections by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration and other regulatory bodies. In particular, we and our suppliers will be required to comply with the U.S. Food and Drug Administration's Quality System Regulation for the manufacture of our MGuard stent, which covers the methods and documentation of the design, testing, production, control, quality assurance, labeling, packaging, storage and shipping of any product for which we obtain marketing approval in the U.S. The U.S. Food and Drug Administration enforces the Quality System Regulation through unannounced inspections. We and our third-party manufacturers and suppliers have not yet been inspected by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration and will have to successfully complete such inspections before we receive U.S. regulatory approval for our products. Failure by us or one of our suppliers to comply with statutes and regulations administered by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration and other regulatory bodies, or failure to take adequate response to any observations, could result in, among other things, any of the following enforcement actions:

- warning letters or untitled letters;
- fines and civil penalties;
- unanticipated expenditures;
- delays in approving, or refusal to approve, our products;
- withdrawal or suspension of approval by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration or other regulatory bodies;
- product recall or seizure;
- orders for physician notification or device repair, replacement or refund;
- interruption of production;
- operating restrictions;
- injunctions; and
- criminal prosecution.

If any of these actions were to occur, it could harm our reputation and could cause our product sales and profitability to suffer. Furthermore, key component suppliers may not currently be or may not continue to be in compliance with applicable regulatory requirements.

Even if regulatory approval of a product is granted in the U.S., the approval may be subject to limitations on the indicated uses for which the product may be marketed. If the U.S. Food and Drug Administration determines that our promotional materials, training or other activities constitute promotion of an unapproved use, it could request that we cease or modify our training or promotional materials or subject us to regulatory enforcement actions. It is also possible that other federal, state or foreign enforcement authorities might take action if they consider our training or other promotional materials to constitute promotion of an unapproved use, which could result in significant fines or penalties under other statutory authorities, such as laws prohibiting false claims for reimbursement.

Moreover, any modification to a device that has received U.S. Food and Drug Administration approval that could significantly affect its safety or effectiveness, or that would constitute a major change in its intended use, design or manufacture, requires a new approval from the U.S. Food and Drug Administration. If the U.S. Food and Drug Administration disagrees with any determination by us that new approval is not required, we may be required to cease

marketing or to recall the modified product until approval is obtained. In addition, we could also be subject to significant regulatory fines or penalties.

Additionally, we may be required to conduct costly post-market testing and surveillance to monitor the safety or efficacy of our products, and we will be required to report adverse events and malfunctions related to our products. Later discovery of previously unknown problems with our products, including unanticipated adverse events or adverse events of unanticipated severity or frequency, manufacturing problems, or failure to comply with regulatory requirements, such as Quality System Regulation, may result in restrictions on such products or manufacturing processes, withdrawal of the products from the market, voluntary or mandatory recalls, fines, suspension of regulatory approvals, product seizures, injunctions or the imposition of civil or criminal penalties.

Further, healthcare laws and regulations may change significantly in the future. Any new healthcare laws or regulations may adversely affect our business. A review of our business by courts or regulatory authorities may result in a determination that could adversely affect our operations. In addition, the healthcare regulatory environment may change in a way that restricts our operations.

***Failure to obtain regulatory approval in foreign jurisdictions will prevent us from marketing our products in such jurisdictions.***

We market our products in international markets. In order to market our products in other foreign jurisdictions, we must obtain separate regulatory approvals from those obtained in the U.S. and Europe. The approval procedure varies among countries and can involve additional testing, and the time required to obtain approval may differ from that required to obtain CE Mark or U.S. Food and Drug Administration approval. Foreign regulatory approval processes may include all of the risks associated with obtaining CE Mark or U.S. Food and Drug Administration approval in addition to other risks. We may not obtain foreign regulatory approvals on a timely basis, if at all. CE Mark does not ensure approval by regulatory authorities in other countries. We may not be able to file for regulatory approvals and may not receive necessary approvals to commercialize our products in certain markets.

***We operate in an intensely competitive and rapidly changing business environment, and there is a substantial risk our products could become obsolete or uncompetitive.***

The medical device market is highly competitive. We compete with many medical device companies in the U.S. and internationally in connection with our current product and products under development. We face competition from numerous pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies in the therapeutics area, as well as competition from academic institutions, government agencies and research institutions. When we commercialize our products, we expect to face intense competition from Boston Scientific Corporation, Guidant Corporation, Medtronic, Inc., Abbott Vascular Devices, Johnson & Johnson, Terumo Medical Corporation and others. Most of our current and potential competitors, including but not limited to those listed above, have, and will continue to have, substantially greater financial, technological, research and development, regulatory and clinical, manufacturing, marketing and sales, distribution and personnel resources than we do. There can be no assurance that we will have sufficient resources to successfully commercialize our products, if and when they are approved for sale. The worldwide market for stent products is characterized by intensive development efforts and rapidly advancing technology. Our future success will depend largely upon our ability to anticipate and keep pace with those developments and advances. Current or future competitors could develop alternative technologies, products or materials that are more effective, easier to use or more economical than what we or any potential licensee develop. If our technologies or products become obsolete or uncompetitive, our related product sales and licensing revenue would decrease. This would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

***We may become subject to claims by much larger and better capitalized competitors seeking to invalidate our intellectual property or our rights thereto.***

Based on the prolific litigation that has occurred in the stent industry and the fact that we may pose a competitive threat to some large and well-capitalized companies that own or control patents relating to stents and their use, manufacture and delivery, we believe that it is possible that one or more third parties will assert a patent infringement claim against the manufacture, use or sale of our MGuard stent based on one or more of these patents. It is also possible that a lawsuit asserting patent infringement, misappropriation of intellectual property, or related claims may have already been filed against us of which we are not aware. A number of stent-related patents are owned by very large and well-capitalized companies that are active participants in the stent market. As the number of competitors in the stent market grows, the possibility of patent infringement by us, and/or a patent infringement or misappropriation claim against us, increases.

These companies have maintained their position in the market by, among other things, establishing intellectual property rights relating to their products and enforcing these rights aggressively against their competitors and new entrants into the market. All of the major companies in the stent and related markets, including Boston Scientific Corporation and Medtronic, Inc., have been repeatedly involved in patent litigation relating to stents since at least 1997. The stent and related markets have experienced rapid technological change and obsolescence in the past, and our competitors have strong incentives to stop or delay the introduction of new products and technologies. We may pose a competitive threat to many of the companies in the stent and related markets. Accordingly, many of these companies will have a strong incentive to take steps, through patent litigation or otherwise, to prevent us from commercializing our products.

***If we fail to maintain or establish satisfactory agreements with suppliers or if we experience an interruption of the supply of materials from suppliers, we may not be able to obtain materials that are necessary to develop our products.***

We depend on outside suppliers for certain raw materials. These raw materials or components may not always be available at our standards or on acceptable terms, if at all, and we may be unable to locate alternative suppliers or produce necessary materials or components on our own.

Some of the components of our products are currently provided by only one vendor, or a single-source supplier. We depend on QualiMed Innovative Medizinprodukte GmbH, which manufactures the body of the stent, MeKo Laserstrahl-Materialbearbeitung for the laser cutting of the stent, Natec Medical Ltd. for the supply of catheters and Biogeneral Inc. for the fiber. We may have difficulty obtaining similar components from other suppliers that are acceptable to the U.S. Food and Drug Administration or foreign regulatory authorities if it becomes necessary.

If we have to switch to a replacement supplier, we will face additional regulatory delays and the interruption of the manufacture and delivery of our MGuard stent for an extended period of time, which would delay completion of our clinical trials or commercialization of our products. In addition, we will be required to obtain prior regulatory approval from the U.S. Food and Drug Administration or foreign regulatory authorities to use different suppliers or components that may not be as safe or as effective. As a result, regulatory approval of our products may not be received on a timely basis or at all.

***We may be exposed to product liability claims and insurance may not be sufficient to cover these claims.***

We may be exposed to product liability claims based on the use of any of our products, or products incorporating our licensed technology, in clinical trials. We may also be exposed to product liability claims based on the sale of any such products following the receipt of regulatory approval. Product liability claims could be asserted directly by

consumers, health-care providers or others. We have obtained product liability insurance coverage; however such insurance may not provide full coverage for our future clinical trials, products to be sold, and other aspects of our business. We also have liability insurance for an ongoing clinical trial in Europe and our MASTER II trial. Insurance coverage is becoming increasingly expensive and we may not be able to maintain current coverage, or expand our insurance coverage to include future clinical trials or the sale of products incorporating our licensed technology if marketing approval is obtained for such products, at a reasonable cost or in sufficient amounts to protect against losses due to product liability or at all. A successful product liability claim or series of claims brought against us could result in judgments, fines, damages and liabilities that could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. We may incur significant expense investigating and defending these claims, even if they do not result in liability. Moreover, even if no judgments, fines, damages or liabilities are imposed on us, our reputation could suffer, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

***We may implement a product recall or voluntary market withdrawal due to product defects or product enhancements and modifications, which would significantly increase our costs.***

The manufacturing and marketing of our MGuard stent products involves an inherent risk that our products may prove to be defective. In that event, we may voluntarily implement a recall or market withdrawal or may be required to do so by a regulatory authority. A recall of one of our products, or a similar product manufactured by another manufacturer, could impair sales of the products we market as a result of confusion concerning the scope of the recall or as a result of the damage to our reputation for quality and safety.



***The successful management of operations depends on our ability to attract and retain talented personnel.***

We depend on the expertise of our senior management and research personnel, which would be difficult to replace. The loss of the services of any of our senior management could compromise our ability to achieve our objectives. Furthermore, recruiting and retaining qualified personnel will be crucial to future success. There can be no assurance that we will be able to attract and retain necessary personnel on acceptable terms given the competition among medical device, biotechnology, pharmaceutical and healthcare companies, universities and non-profit research institutions for experienced management, scientists, researchers, sales and marketing and manufacturing personnel. If we are unable to attract, retain and motivate our key personnel, our operations may be jeopardized and our results of operations may be materially and adversely affected.

***We are an international business, and we are exposed to various global and local risks that could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.***

We operate globally and develop and manufacture products in multiple countries. Consequently, we face complex legal and regulatory requirements in multiple jurisdictions, which may expose us to certain financial and other risks. International sales and operations are subject to a variety of risks, including:

- foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations;
- greater difficulty in staffing and managing foreign operations;
- greater risk of uncollectible accounts;
- longer collection cycles;
- logistical and communications challenges;
- potential adverse changes in laws and regulatory practices, including export license requirements, trade barriers, tariffs and tax laws;
- changes in labor conditions;
- burdens and costs of compliance with a variety of foreign laws;
- political and economic instability;
- increases in duties and taxation;
- foreign tax laws and potential increased costs associated with overlapping tax structures;
- greater difficulty in protecting intellectual property;
- the risk of third party disputes over ownership of intellectual property and infringement of third party intellectual property by our products; and
- general economic and political conditions in these foreign markets.

International markets are also affected by economic pressure to contain reimbursement levels and healthcare costs. Profitability from international operations may be limited by risks and uncertainties related to regional economic conditions, regulatory and reimbursement approvals, competing products, infrastructure development, intellectual property rights protection and our ability to implement our overall business strategy. We expect these risks will

increase as we pursue our strategy to expand operations into new geographic markets. We may not succeed in developing and implementing effective policies and strategies in each location where we conduct business. Any failure to do so may harm our business, results of operations and financial condition.

***If we fail to obtain an adequate level of reimbursement for our products by third party payors, there may be no commercially viable markets for our product candidates or the markets may be much smaller than expected.***

The availability and levels of reimbursement by governmental and other third party payors affect the market for our product candidates. The efficacy, safety, performance and cost-effectiveness of our product candidates and of any competing products will determine the availability and level of reimbursement. Reimbursement and healthcare payment systems in international markets vary significantly by country, and include both government sponsored healthcare and private insurance. To obtain reimbursement or pricing approval in some countries, we may be required to produce clinical data, which may involve one or more clinical trials, that compares the cost-effectiveness of our products to other available therapies. We may not obtain international reimbursement or pricing approvals in a timely manner, if at all. Our failure to receive international reimbursement or pricing approvals would negatively impact market acceptance of our products in the international markets in which those approvals are sought.

We believe that future reimbursement may be subject to increased restrictions both in the U.S. and in international markets. There is increasing pressure by governments worldwide to contain health care costs by limiting both the coverage and the level of reimbursement for therapeutic products and by refusing, in some cases, to provide any coverage for products that have not been approved by the relevant regulatory agency. Future legislation, regulation or reimbursement policies of third party payors may adversely affect the demand for our products currently under development and limit our ability to sell our product candidates on a profitable basis. In addition, third party payors continually attempt to contain or reduce the costs of healthcare by challenging the prices charged for healthcare products and services. If reimbursement for our products is unavailable or limited in scope or amount or if pricing is set at unsatisfactory levels, market acceptance of our products would be impaired and future revenues, if any, would be adversely affected.

***In the U.S. and in the European Union, our business could be significantly and adversely affected by recent healthcare reform legislation and other administration and legislative proposals.***

The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act and the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act in the U.S. were enacted into law in March 2010. Certain provisions of these acts will not be effective for a number of years and there are many programs and requirements for which the details have not yet been fully established or consequences not fully understood, and it is unclear what the full impacts will be from the legislation. The legislation levies a 2.3% excise tax, that began on January 1, 2013, on all sales of any U.S. medical device listed with the U.S. Food and Drug Administration under Section 510(j) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and 21 C.F.R. Part 807, unless the device falls within an exemption from the tax, such as the exemption governing direct retail sale of devices to consumers or for foreign sales of these devices. If we commence sales of our MGuard Coronary stent in the U.S., this new tax may materially and adversely affect our business and results of operations. The legislation also focuses on a number of Medicare provisions aimed at improving quality and decreasing costs. It is uncertain at this point what negative unintended consequences these provisions will have on patient access to new technologies. The Medicare provisions include value-based payment programs, increased funding of comparative effectiveness research, reduced hospital payments for avoidable readmissions and hospital acquired conditions, and pilot programs to evaluate alternative payment methodologies that promote care coordination (such as bundled physician and hospital payments). Additionally, the provisions include a reduction in the annual rate of inflation for hospitals which started in 2011 and the establishment of an independent payment advisory board to recommend ways of reducing the rate of growth in Medicare spending. We cannot predict what healthcare programs and regulations will be ultimately implemented at the federal or state level in the U.S., or the effect of any future legislation or regulation. However, any changes that lower reimbursements for our products or reduce medical procedure volumes could adversely affect our business plan to introduce our products in the U.S.

In the European Union, on September 26, 2012, the European Commission proposed a revision of the legislation currently governing medical devices. If adopted by the European Parliament and the Council in their present form, these proposed revisions, which would be adopted in 2014 and would then gradually come into effect from 2015 to 2019, will impose stricter requirements on medical device manufacturers. Moreover, the supervising competences of the competent authorities of the European Union Member States and the notified bodies will be strengthened. The regulation of advanced therapy medicinal products is also in continued development in the European Union, with the European Medicines Agency publishing new clinical or safety guidelines concerning advanced therapy medicinal

products on a regular basis. Any of these regulatory changes and events could limit our ability to form collaborations and our ability to continue to commercialize our products, and if we fail to comply with any such new or modified regulations and requirements it could adversely affect our business, operating results and prospects.

***Our strategic business plan may not produce the intended growth in revenue and operating income.***

Our strategies include making significant investments in sales and marketing programs to achieve revenue growth and margin improvement targets. If we do not achieve the expected benefits from these investments or otherwise fail to execute on our strategic initiatives, we may not achieve the growth improvement we are targeting and our results of operations may be adversely affected.

In addition, as part of our strategy for growth, we may make acquisitions and enter into strategic alliances such as joint ventures and joint development agreements. However, we may not be able to identify suitable acquisition candidates, complete acquisitions or integrate acquisitions successfully, and our strategic alliances may not prove to be successful. In this regard, acquisitions involve numerous risks, including difficulties in the integration of the operations, technologies, services and products of the acquired companies and the diversion of management's attention from other business concerns. Although we will endeavor to evaluate the risks inherent in any particular transaction, there can be no assurance that we will properly ascertain all such risks. In addition, acquisitions could result in the incurrence of substantial additional indebtedness and other expenses or in potentially dilutive issuances of equity securities. There can be no assurance that difficulties encountered with acquisitions will not have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

***We may have violated Israeli securities law.***

We may have violated section 15 of the Israeli Securities Law of 1968. Section 15 of the Israeli Securities Law of 1968 requires the filing of a prospectus with the Israel Securities Authority and the delivery thereof to purchasers in connection with an offer or sale of securities to more than 35 parties during any 12-month period. We allegedly issued securities to more than 35 investors during certain 12-month periods, ending in October 2008. Our wholly-owned subsidiary, InspireMD Ltd., a private company incorporated under the laws of the State of Israel, applied for a no-action determination from the Israel Security Authority on February 14, 2011 in connection with the foregoing. To date, the Israel Securities Authority has not responded to InspireMD Ltd.'s application for no-action determination and we are unable to predict when a response will be received. The maximum penalties for violating section 15 of the Israeli Securities Law of 1968 are as follows: imprisonment of five years; a fine of up to approximately \$317,000 to be paid by management of the violating company; and a fine of up to approximately \$1,590,000 to be paid by the violating company, any of which penalties could result in a material adverse effect on our operations. We believe that it is unlikely that either we or any individual will be subject to fines or other penalties as a result of these alleged violations.

***We will need to raise additional capital to meet our business requirements in the future and such capital raising may be costly or difficult to obtain and could dilute our stockholders' ownership interests.***

In order to fully realize all of our business objectives, we will need to raise additional capital, which may not be available on reasonable terms or at all. For instance, we will need to raise additional funds to accomplish the following:

- developing MGuard Carotid, MGuard Peripheral and MGuard Coronary with a drug eluting bio-absorbable mesh and any additional products;
- pursuing growth opportunities, including more rapid expansion;
- acquiring complementary businesses;

- making capital improvements to improve our infrastructure;
- hiring qualified management and key employees;
- developing new services, programming or products;
- responding to competitive pressures;
- complying with regulatory requirements such as licensing and registration; and
- maintaining compliance with applicable laws.

Any additional capital raised through the sale of equity or equity backed securities may dilute our stockholders' ownership percentages and could also result in a decrease in the market value of our equity securities.

The terms of any securities issued by us in future capital transactions may be more favorable to new investors, and may include preferences, superior voting rights and the issuance of warrants or other derivative securities, which may have a further dilutive effect on the holders of any of our securities then outstanding.

Furthermore, any additional debt or equity financing that we may need may not be available on terms favorable to us, or at all. If we are unable to obtain such additional financing on a timely basis, we may have to curtail our development activities and growth plans and/or be forced to sell assets, perhaps on unfavorable terms, which would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations, and ultimately could be forced to discontinue our operations and liquidate, in which event it is unlikely that stockholders would receive any distribution on their shares. Further, we may not be able to continue operating if we do not generate sufficient revenues from operations needed to stay in business.

In addition, we may incur substantial costs in pursuing future capital financing, including investment banking fees, legal fees, accounting fees, securities law compliance fees, printing and distribution expenses and other costs. We may also be required to recognize non-cash expenses in connection with certain securities we issue, such as convertible notes and warrants, which may adversely impact our financial condition.

### **Risks Related to Operating in Israel**

*We anticipate being subject to fluctuations in currency exchange rates because we expect a substantial portion of our revenues will be generated in Euros and U.S. dollars, while a significant portion of our expenses will be incurred in New Israeli Shekels.*

We expect a substantial portion of our revenues will be generated in U.S. dollars and Euros, while a significant portion of our expenses, principally salaries and related personnel expenses, is paid in New Israeli Shekels, or NIS. As a result, we are exposed to the risk that the rate of inflation in Israel will exceed the rate of devaluation of the NIS in relation to the Euro or the U.S. dollar, or that the timing of this devaluation will lag behind inflation in Israel. Because inflation has the effect of increasing the dollar and Euro costs of our operations, it would therefore have an adverse effect on our dollar-measured results of operations. The value of the NIS, against the Euro, the U.S. dollar, and other currencies may fluctuate and is affected by, among other things, changes in Israel's political and economic conditions. Any significant revaluation of the NIS may materially and adversely affect our cash flows, revenues and financial condition. Fluctuations in the NIS exchange rate, or even the appearance of instability in such exchange rate, could adversely affect our ability to operate our business.

*If there are significant shifts in the political, economic and military conditions in Israel and its neighbors, it could have a material adverse effect on our business relationships and profitability.*

Our sole manufacturing facility and certain of our key personnel are located in Israel. Our business is directly affected by the political, economic and military conditions in Israel and its neighbors. Since the establishment of the State of Israel in 1948, a number of armed conflicts have occurred between Israel and its Arab neighbors. A state of hostility,

varying in degree and intensity, has caused security and economic problems in Israel. Although Israel has entered into peace treaties with Egypt and Jordan, and various agreements with the Palestinian Authority, there has been a marked increase in violence, civil unrest and hostility, including armed clashes, between the State of Israel and the Palestinians since September 2000. The establishment in 2006 of a government in the Gaza Strip by representatives of the Hamas militant group has created heightened unrest and uncertainty in the region. In mid-2006, Israel engaged in an armed conflict with Hezbollah, a Shiite Islamist militia group based in Lebanon, and in June 2007, there was an escalation in violence in the Gaza Strip. From December 2008 through January 2009 and again in November and December 2012, Israel engaged in an armed conflict with Hamas, which involved missile strikes against civilian targets in various parts of Israel and negatively affected business conditions in Israel. Recent political uprisings and social unrest in Syria are affecting its political stability, which has led to the deterioration of the political relationship between Syria and Israel and have raised new concerns regarding security in the region and the potential for armed conflict. Similar civil unrest and political turbulence is currently ongoing in many countries in the region. The continued political instability and hostilities between Israel and its neighbors and any future armed conflict, terrorist activity or political instability in the region could adversely affect our operations in Israel and adversely affect the market price of our shares of common stock. In addition, several countries restrict doing business with Israel and Israeli companies have been and are today subjected to economic boycotts. The interruption or curtailment of trade between Israel and its present trading partners could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

***Our operations could be disrupted as a result of the obligation of certain of our personnel residing in Israel to perform military service.***



Some of our key employees reside in Israel and may be required to perform annual military reserve duty. Currently, all male adult citizens and permanent residents of Israel under the age of 40 (or older, depending on their position with the Israeli Defense Forces reserves), unless exempt, are obligated to perform military reserve duty annually and are subject to being called to active duty at any time under emergency circumstances. Our operations could be disrupted by the absence for a significant period of one or more of our key employees due to military service. Any such disruption could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

***We may not be able to enforce covenants not-to-compete under current Israeli law.***

We have non-competition agreements with most of our employees, many of which are governed by Israeli law. These agreements generally prohibit our employees from competing with us or working for our competitors for a specified period following termination of their employment. However, Israeli courts are reluctant to enforce non-compete undertakings of former employees and tend, if at all, to enforce those provisions for relatively brief periods of time in restricted geographical areas and only when the employee has unique value specific to that employer's business and not just regarding the professional development of the employee. Any such inability to enforce non-compete covenants may cause us to lose any competitive advantage resulting from advantages provided to us by such confidential information.

***It may be difficult for investors in the U.S. to enforce any judgments obtained against us or some of our directors or officers.***

The majority of our assets are located outside the U.S. In addition, certain of our officers are nationals and/or residents of countries other than the U.S., and all or a substantial portion of such persons' assets are located outside the U.S. As a result, it may be difficult for investors to enforce within the U.S. any judgments obtained against us or any of our non-U.S. officers, including judgments predicated upon the civil liability provisions of the securities laws of the U.S. or any state thereof. Additionally, it may be difficult to assert U.S. securities law claims in actions originally instituted outside of the U.S. Israeli courts may refuse to hear a U.S. securities law claim because Israeli courts may not be the most appropriate forums in which to bring such a claim. Even if an Israeli court agrees to hear a claim, it may determine that the Israeli law, and not U.S. law, is applicable to the claim. Further, if U.S. law is found to be applicable, certain content of applicable U.S. law must be proved as a fact, which can be a time-consuming and costly process, and certain matters of procedure would still be governed by the Israeli law. Consequently, you may be effectively prevented from pursuing remedies under U.S. federal and state securities laws against us or any of our non-U.S. directors or officers.

***The tax benefits that are available to us require us to continue meeting various conditions and may be terminated or reduced in the future, which could increase our costs and taxes.***

The tax benefits that are available to us require us to continue meeting various conditions and may be terminated or reduced in the future, which could increase our costs and taxes. InspireMD Ltd. has been granted a “Beneficiary Enterprise” status by the Investment Center in the Israeli Ministry of Industry Trade and Labor which made us eligible for tax benefits under the Israeli Law for the Encouragement of Capital Investments, 1959. The main benefit is a two-year exemption and five years of a reduced tax rate of 25% from tax on income derived from beneficiary activities in facilities located in Israel. In order to remain eligible for the tax benefits of a “Beneficiary Enterprise”, we must continue to meet certain conditions stipulated in the Israeli Law for the Encouragement of Capital Investments, 1959 and its regulations, as amended, which may include, among other things, making specified investments in fixed assets and equipment, financing a percentage of those investments with our capital contributions, filing certain reports with the Investment Center, complying with provisions regarding intellectual property and the criteria set forth in the specific certificate of approval issued by the Investment Center or the Israel Tax Authority. If we do not meet these requirements, the tax benefits could be cancelled and we could be required to refund any tax benefits that we received in the past. Further, in the future, these tax benefits may be reduced or discontinued. If these tax benefits are cancelled, our Israeli taxable income would be subject to regular Israeli corporate tax rates. The standard corporate tax rate for Israeli companies is 26.5% of taxable income. In the future, we may not be eligible to receive additional tax benefits under the Israeli Law for the Encouragement of Capital Investments, 1959. The termination or reduction of these tax benefits would increase our tax liability, which would reduce our profits.

## **Risks Related to Our Organization and Our Common Stock**

*We are subject to financial reporting and other requirements that place significant demands on our resources.*

On March 31, 2011, we became subject to reporting and other obligations under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, including the requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Section 404 requires us to conduct an annual management assessment of the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting. These reporting and other obligations place significant demands on our management, administrative, operational, internal audit and accounting resources. Any failure to maintain effective internal controls could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and stock price. Moreover, effective internal control is necessary for us to provide reliable financial reports and prevent fraud. If we cannot provide reliable financial reports or prevent fraud, we may not be able to manage our business as effectively as we would if an effective control environment existed, and our business and reputation with investors may be harmed.

*There are inherent limitations in all control systems, and misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.*

The ongoing internal control provisions of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 require us to identify of material weaknesses in internal control over financial reporting, which is a process to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S. Our management, including our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, does not expect that our internal controls and disclosure controls will prevent all errors and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. In addition, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints and the benefit of controls must be relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, in our company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty and that breakdowns can occur because of simple errors or mistakes. Further, controls can be circumvented by individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more persons, or by management override of the controls. The design of any system of controls is also based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Over time, a control may be inadequate because of changes in conditions, such as growth of the company or increased transaction volume, or the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. Because of inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

In addition, discovery and disclosure of a material weakness, by definition, could have a material adverse impact on our financial statements. Such an occurrence could discourage certain customers or suppliers from doing business

with us, cause downgrades in our future debt ratings leading to higher borrowing costs and affect how our stock trades. This could in turn negatively affect our ability to access public debt or equity markets for capital.

*Our stock price has been and may continue to be volatile, which could result in substantial losses for investors.*

The market price of our common stock has been and is likely to continue to be highly volatile and could fluctuate widely in response to various factors, many of which are beyond our control, including the following:

- technological innovations or new products and services by us or our competitors;
- additions or departures of key personnel;
- sales of our common stock, particularly under any registration statement for the purposes of selling any other securities, including management shares;
- limited availability of freely-tradable “unrestricted” shares of our common stock to satisfy purchase orders and demand;
- our ability to execute our business plan;
- operating results that fall below expectations;

loss of any strategic relationship;  
industry developments;  
economic and other external factors; and  
period-to-period fluctuations in our financial results.

In addition, the securities markets have from time to time experienced significant price and volume fluctuations that are unrelated to the operating performance of particular companies. These market fluctuations may also significantly affect the market price of our common stock.

***Delaware law, our corporate charter and bylaws and our stockholder rights plan, or poison pill, contain anti-takeover provisions that could delay or discourage takeover attempts that stockholders may consider favorable.***

Our board of directors is authorized to issue shares of preferred stock in one or more series and to fix the voting powers, preferences and other rights and limitations of the preferred stock. Accordingly, we may issue shares of preferred stock with a preference over our common stock with respect to dividends or distributions on liquidation or dissolution, or that may otherwise adversely affect the voting or other rights of the holders of common stock. Issuances of preferred stock, depending upon the rights, preferences and designations of the preferred stock, may have the effect of delaying, deterring or preventing a change of control, even if that change of control might benefit our stockholders. In addition, we are subject to Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law. Section 203 generally prohibits a public Delaware corporation from engaging in a “business combination” with an “interested stockholder” for a period of three years after the date of the transaction in which the person became an interested stockholder, unless (i) prior to the date of the transaction, the board of directors of the corporation approved either the business combination or the transaction which resulted in the stockholder becoming an interested stockholder; (ii) the interested stockholder owned at least 85% of the voting stock of the corporation outstanding at the time the transaction commenced, excluding for purposes of determining the number of shares outstanding (a) shares owned by persons who are directors and also officers and (b) shares owned by employee stock plans in which employee participants do not have the right to determine confidentially whether shares held subject to the plan will be tendered in a tender or exchange offer; or (iii) on or subsequent to the date of the transaction, the business combination is approved by the board and authorized at an annual or special meeting of stockholders, and not by written consent, by the affirmative vote of at least 66 2/3% of the outstanding voting stock which is not owned by the interested stockholder.

Section 203 could delay or prohibit mergers or other takeover or change in control attempts with respect to us and, accordingly, may discourage attempts to acquire us even though such a transaction may offer our stockholders the opportunity to sell their stock at a price above the prevailing market price.

In addition, on October 22, 2013, our board of directors adopted a rights agreement, implementing what is commonly known as a “poison pill,” to protect and maximize the value of our outstanding equity interests in the event of an unsolicited attempt by an acquirer to take us over, in a manner or on terms not approved by our board of directors. The rights agreement may have the effect of rendering more difficult or discouraging an acquisition of our company

deemed undesirable by our board of directors and could cause substantial dilution to any such person or group that attempts to acquire us on terms or in a manner not approved by our board of directors, except pursuant to an offer conditioned upon the negation, purchase or redemption of the rights set forth in the rights agreement.

*Offers or availability for sale of a substantial number of shares of our common stock may cause the price of our common stock to decline.*

Sales of a significant number of shares of our common stock in the public market could harm the market price of our common stock and make it more difficult for us to raise funds through future offerings of common stock. Our stockholders and the holders of our options and warrants may sell substantial amounts of our common stock in the public market. The availability of these shares of our common stock for resale in the public market has the potential to cause the supply of our common stock to exceed investor demand, thereby decreasing the price of our common stock.

In addition, the fact that our stockholders, option holders and warrant holders can sell substantial amounts of our common stock in the public market, whether or not sales have occurred or are occurring, could make it more difficult for us to raise additional financing through the sale of equity or equity-related securities in the future at a time and price that we deem reasonable or appropriate.

***We do not expect to pay dividends in the future. As a result, any return on investment may be limited to the value of our common stock.***

We do not anticipate paying cash dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future. The payment of dividends on our common stock will depend on our earnings, financial condition and other business and economic factors as our board of directors may consider relevant. We are also subject to certain restrictions pursuant to our loan and security agreement with Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc., which prohibits us from paying dividends or distributions on our common stock. If we do not pay dividends, our common stock may be less valuable because a return on an investment in our common stock will only occur if our stock price appreciates.

#### **Risks Related to our Indebtedness**

***Our obligations under our term loan are secured by substantially all of our assets, so if we default on those obligations, the lender could foreclose on our assets. As a result of these security interests, such assets would only be available to satisfy claims of our general creditors or to holders of our equity securities if we were to become insolvent at a time when the value of such assets exceeded the amount of our indebtedness and other obligations. In addition, the existence of these security interests may adversely affect our financial flexibility.***

The lender under our term loan has a security interest in substantially all of our assets and those of InspireMD Ltd., our wholly-owned subsidiary. As a result, if we default under our obligations to the lender, the lender could foreclose on its security interests and liquidate some or all of these assets, which would harm our business, financial condition and results of operations.

In the event of a default in connection with our bankruptcy, insolvency, liquidation, or reorganization, the lender would have a prior right to substantially all of our assets to the exclusion of our general creditors. In that event, our assets would first be used to repay in full all indebtedness and other obligations secured by the lender, resulting in all or a portion of our assets being unavailable to satisfy the claims of any unsecured indebtedness. Only after satisfying the claims of any unsecured creditors would any amount be available for our equity holders.

The pledge of these assets and other restrictions may limit our flexibility in raising capital for other purposes. Because substantially all of our assets are pledged under the term loan, our ability to incur additional secured indebtedness or to sell or dispose of assets to raise capital may be impaired, which could have an adverse effect on our financial flexibility.

Our loan and security agreement contains customary events of default. In addition, an event of default will include the occurrence of a circumstance that would reasonably be expected to have a material adverse effect upon (i) our business, operations, properties, assets, prospects or condition (financial or otherwise), (ii) our ability to perform our obligations under the agreement and any related loan documents or (iii) the collateral, the lender's liens on the collateral or the priority of such liens.

***We have a substantial amount of indebtedness, which may adversely affect our cash flow and our ability to operate our business.***

Pursuant to the terms of our loan and security agreement, the lender made a term loan to us and InspireMD Ltd. in aggregate amount of \$10 million. We are required to make monthly payments of interest until August 31, 2014, monthly payments of principal and interest after such date, and repay the entire principal balance and any unpaid interest on February 1, 2017.

The terms of our term loan could have negative consequences to us, such as:

we may be unable to obtain additional financing to fund working capital, operating losses, capital expenditures or acquisitions on terms acceptable to us, or at all;



· the amount of our interest expense may increase because our term loan has a variable rate of interest at any time that the prime rate, as reported in the Wall Street Journal, is above 5.5%;

· we will need to use a substantial portion of our cash flows to pay principal and interest on our term loan, which will reduce the amount of money we have for operations, working capital, capital expenditures, expansion, acquisitions or general corporate or other business activities;

· we may have a higher level of debt than some of our competitors, which may put us at a competitive disadvantage;

· we may be unable to refinance our indebtedness on terms acceptable to us, or at all; and

· we may be more vulnerable to economic downturns and adverse developments in our industry or the economy in general.

Our ability to meet our expenses and debt obligations will depend on our future performance, which will be affected by financial, business, economic, regulatory and other factors. We will be unable to control many of these factors, such as economic conditions. We cannot be certain that our earnings will be sufficient to allow us to pay the principal and interest on our debt and meet any other obligations. If we do not have enough money to service our debt, we may be required, but unable to refinance all or part of our existing debt, sell assets, borrow money or raise equity on terms acceptable to us, if at all, and the lender could foreclose on its security interests and liquidate some or all of our assets.

***Our loan and security agreement contains covenants that could limit our financing options and liquidity position, which would limit our ability to grow our business.***

Covenants in our loan and security agreement impose operating and financial restrictions on us. These restrictions prohibit or limit our ability, and the ability of InspireMD Ltd., to, among other things:

· pay cash dividends to our stockholders;

· redeem or repurchase our common stock or other equity;

· incur additional indebtedness;

· permit liens on assets;

· make certain investments (including through the acquisition of stock, shares, partnership or limited liability company interests, any loan, advance or capital contribution)

· sell, lease, license, lend or otherwise convey an interest in a material portion of our assets; and

· cease making public filings under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

These restrictions may limit our ability to obtain additional financing, withstand downturns in our business or take advantage of business opportunities. Moreover, additional debt financing we may seek, if permitted, may contain terms that include more restrictive covenants, may require repayment on an accelerated schedule or may impose other obligations that limit our ability to grow our business, acquire needed assets, or take other actions we might otherwise consider appropriate or desirable.

## SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Transition Report on Form 10-K/T contains “forward-looking statements,” which include information relating to future events, future financial performance, strategies, expectations, competitive environment and regulation. Words such as “may,” “should,” “could,” “would,” “predicts,” “potential,” “continue,” “expects,” “anticipates,” “future,” “intends,” “estimates,” and similar expressions, as well as statements in future tense, identify forward-looking statements.

Forward-looking statements should not be read as a guarantee of future performance or results and will probably not be accurate indications of when such performance or results will be achieved. Forward-looking statements are based on information we have when those statements are made or our management’s good faith belief as of that time with respect to future events, and are subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause actual performance or results to differ materially from those expressed in or suggested by the forward-looking statements. Important factors that could cause such differences include, but are not limited to:

- our history of recurring losses and negative cash flows from operating activities, significant future commitments and the uncertainty regarding the adequacy of our liquidity to pursue our complete business objectives;

- our ability to complete clinical trials as anticipated and obtain and maintain regulatory approvals for our products;

- our ability to adequately protect our intellectual property;

- disputes over ownership of intellectual property;

- our dependence on a single manufacturing facility and our ability to comply with stringent manufacturing quality standards and to increase production as necessary;

- the risk that the data collected from our current and planned clinical trials may not be sufficient to demonstrate that the MGuard technology is an attractive alternative to other procedures and products;

- intense competition in our industry, with competitors having substantially greater financial, technological, research and development, regulatory and clinical, manufacturing, marketing and sales, distribution and personnel resources than we do;

- entry of new competitors and products and potential technological obsolescence of our products;

- loss of a key customer or supplier;

- technical problems with our research and products and potential product liability claims;

- adverse economic conditions;

- adverse federal, state and local government regulation, in the U.S., Europe or Israel;

- price increases for supplies and components;

- inability to carry out research, development and commercialization plans; and

- loss or retirement of key executives and research scientists.

You should review carefully the risks and uncertainties described under the heading “Item 1A. Risk Factors” in this Transition Report on Form 10-K/T for a discussion of these and other risks that relate to our business and investing in shares of our common stock. The forward-looking statements contained in this Transition Report on Form 10-K/T are expressly qualified in their entirety by this cautionary statement. We do not undertake any obligation to publicly update any forward-looking statement to reflect events or circumstances after the date on which any such statement is made or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.

Not applicable.

Item 2. Properties.

Our headquarters are located in Boston, Massachusetts, where we lease approximately 2,725 square feet of executive office space. In addition, in Tel Aviv, Israel, we currently have a 1,000 square meter office and manufacturing facility that has the capacity to manufacture and assemble 4,800 stents per month, based upon the production schedule of one shift per day. We believe that our current facility is sufficient to meet anticipated future demand by adding additional shifts to our current production schedule.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

From time to time, we may be involved in litigation that arises through the normal course of business. As of the date of this filing, we are not a party to any material litigation nor are we aware of any such threatened or pending litigation.

There are no material proceedings in which any of our directors, officers or affiliates or any registered or beneficial shareholder of more than 5% of our common stock is an adverse party or has a material interest adverse to our interest.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.

Not applicable.

## PART II

**Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.**

Our common stock has been quoted on the NYSE MKT since April 11, 2013 under the symbol “NSPR.” Prior to that date, it was traded on the OTC Bulletin Board since April 11, 2011. Prior to that date, there was no active market for our common stock.

The following table sets forth (i) the intra-day high and low sales price per share for our common stock, as reported on the NYSE MKT, for the period of April 11, 2013 to December 31, 2013, and (ii) the high and low bid prices for our common stock, as reported by the OTC Bulletin Board, for the period of April 11, 2011 to April 10, 2013. The quotations reflect inter-dealer prices, without retail mark-up, mark-down or commission, and may not represent actual transactions. The OTC Bulletin Board quotations prior to December 21, 2012 are adjusted for the one-for-four reverse stock split of our common stock that occurred on such date.

Transition Period Ended December 31, 2013	High	Low
First Quarter	\$2.68	\$1.80
Second Quarter	\$3.67	\$2.27

Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2013	High	Low
First Quarter	\$10.00	\$3.84
Second Quarter	\$10.16	\$3.01
Third Quarter	\$4.25	\$1.95
Fourth Quarter	\$3.15	\$1.88

Transition Period Ended June 30, 2012	High	Low
First Quarter	\$ 8.60	\$ 4.40
Second Quarter	\$ 7.40	\$ 2.40

Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2011	High	Low
Second Quarter	\$11.56	\$7.00
Third Quarter	\$10.96	\$7.20
Fourth Quarter	\$10.36	\$6.40

The last reported sales price of our common stock on the NYSE MKT on February 25, 2014, was \$3.60 per share. As of February 25, 2014, there were approximately 200 holders of record of our common stock.

### **Dividend Policy**

In the past, we have not declared or paid cash dividends on our common stock. Our loan and security agreement with Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc., dated October 23, 2013, prohibits us from paying dividends or distributions on our common stock. Even if we are permitted to pay cash dividends in the future, we do not intend to do so. Rather, we intend to retain future earnings, if any, to fund the operation and expansion of our business and for general corporate purposes.

### **Item 6. Selected Financial Data.**

Not applicable.

### **Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.**

*The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this Transition Report on Form 10-K/T.*

## Overview

We are a medical device company focused on the development and commercialization of our proprietary stent platform technology, MGuard. MGuard provides embolic protection in stenting procedures by placing a micron mesh sleeve over a stent. Our initial products are marketed for use mainly in patients with acute coronary syndromes, notably acute myocardial infarction (heart attack) and saphenous vein graft coronary interventions (bypass surgery).

We effectuated a one-for-four reverse stock split of our common stock on December 21, 2012. Our authorized shares of common stock were not adjusted as a result of this reverse stock split. All share and related option and warrant information presented in the following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations and the accompanying consolidated interim financial statements have been retroactively adjusted to reflect the reduced number of shares outstanding which resulted from this action.



On June 1, 2012, our board of directors approved a change in our fiscal year-end from December 31 to June 30, effective June 30, 2012 and on September 16, 2013, our board of directors approved a change in our fiscal year-end from June 30 to December 31, effective December 31, 2013.

## Critical Accounting Policies

### Use of estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates using assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of sales and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

As applicable to these consolidated financial statements, the most significant estimates and assumptions relate to inventory write-off, royalty buyout, legal contingencies and estimation of the fair value of warrants.

### Functional currency

The currency of the primary economic environment in which we and our subsidiaries conduct operations is the U.S. dollar (“\$” or “dollar”). Accordingly, our and our subsidiaries’ functional currency is the dollar.

The dollar figures are determined as follows: transactions and balances originally denominated in dollars are presented in their original amounts. Balances in foreign currencies are translated into dollars using historical and current exchange rates for non-monetary and monetary balances, respectively. The resulting translation gains or losses are recorded as financial income or expense, as appropriate. For transactions reflected in the statements of operations in foreign currencies, the exchange rates at transaction dates are used. Depreciation and changes in inventories and other changes deriving from non-monetary items are based on historical exchange rates.

### Concentration of credit risk and allowance for doubtful accounts

Financial instruments that may potentially subject us to a concentration of credit risk consist of cash and cash equivalents, which are deposited in major financially sound institutions in the U.S, Israel and Germany, and trade accounts receivable. Our trade accounts receivable are derived from revenues earned from customers from various countries. We perform ongoing credit evaluations of our customers' financial condition and, generally, require no collateral from customers. We also have a credit insurance policy for some of customers. We maintain an allowance for doubtful accounts receivable based upon the expected ability to collect the accounts receivable. We review our allowance for doubtful accounts quarterly by assessing individual accounts receivable and all other balances based on historical collection experience and an economic risk assessment. If we determine that a specific customer is unable to meet its financial obligations to us, we provide an allowance for credit losses to reduce the receivable to the amount management reasonably believes will be collected.

## Inventory

Inventories include finished goods, work in process and raw materials. Inventories are stated at the lower of cost (cost is determined on a "first-in, first-out" basis) or market value. Our inventories generally have a limited shelf life and are subject to impairment as they approach their expiration dates. We regularly evaluate the carrying value of our inventories and when, in our opinion, factors indicate that impairment has occurred, we established a reserve against the inventories' carrying value. Our determination that a valuation reserve might be required and the quantification of such reserve requires management to utilize significant judgment.

## Revenue recognition

Revenue is recognized when delivery has occurred, evidence of an arrangement exists, title and risks and rewards for the products are transferred to the customer, collection is reasonably assured and product returns can be reliably estimated. When product returns can be reliably estimated a provision is recorded, based on historical experience, and deducted from revenues.

When returns cannot be reliably estimated, both related revenues and costs are deferred.

As of December 31, 2013, June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2012, there were no deferred revenues related to sales for which the rate of return could not be reliably estimated.

Our arrangements with our distributors sometimes contain the right to receive free products from us upon the achievement of sales targets. Each period, we estimate the amount of free products to which our distributors will be entitled based upon the expected achievement of sales targets and defer a portion of revenues accordingly.

We recognize revenue net of value added tax (VAT).

## Research and development costs

Research and development costs are charged to the statement of operations as incurred.

## Share-based compensation

Employee option awards are classified as equity awards and accounted for using the grant-date fair value method. The fair value of share-based awards is estimated using the Black-Scholes valuation model and expensed over the requisite service period, net of estimated forfeitures. We estimate forfeitures based on historical experience and anticipated future conditions.

We elected to recognize compensation expenses for awards with only service conditions that have graded vesting schedules using the accelerated multiple option approach.

We account for equity instruments issued to third party service providers (non-employees), by recording the fair value of the options granted using an option pricing model, at each reporting period, until awards are vested in full. The expense is recognized over the vesting period using the accelerated multiple option approach.

In addition, certain share-based awards are performance based and dependent upon achieving certain goals. With respect to these awards, we estimate the expected pre-vesting award probability that the performance conditions will be achieved. We only recognize expense for those shares that are expected to vest.

#### Uncertain tax and value added tax positions

We follow a two-step approach for recognizing and measuring uncertain tax positions. The first step is to evaluate the tax position for recognition by determining if the weight of available evidence indicates that it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained on audit. If under the first step a tax provision is assessed to be more likely than not of being sustained on audit, the second step is performed, under which the tax benefit is measured as the largest amount that is more than 50% likely to be realized upon ultimate settlement. Such liabilities are classified as long-term, unless the liability is expected to be resolved within twelve months from the balance sheet date.

## Fair value measurement

We measure fair value and disclose fair value measurements for financial assets and liabilities. Fair value is based on the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date.

The accounting standard establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes observable and unobservable inputs used to measure fair value into three broad levels, which are described below:

Level 1: Quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for assets or liabilities. The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to Level 1 inputs.

Level 2: Observable prices that are based on inputs not quoted on active markets, but corroborated by market data.

Level 3: Unobservable inputs are used when little or no market data is available. The fair value hierarchy gives the lowest priority to Level 3 inputs.

In determining fair value, we utilize valuation techniques that maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs to the extent possible and consider counterparty credit risk in our assessment of fair value.

### *Allocation of issuance proceeds*

When debt is issued with other components that are subsequently measured at fair value, the proceeds are allocated first to such components (such as warrants and embedded derivatives in the debt that require bifurcation at their fair values), then the residual amount of the proceeds is allocated to the debt. When other components are classified in equity, the proceeds are allocated based on relative fair values.

## **Results of Operations**

*Six month period ended December 31, 2013 compared to the six month period ended December 31, 2012*

*Revenues.* For the six month period ended December 31, 2013, revenue increased by \$1.2 million, or 67.0%, to \$3.1 million from \$1.9 million during the same period in 2012. This increase was predominantly driven by an increase in sales volume of \$1.2 million, or 65.4%, with price increases to our repeat distributors driving the remaining increase of \$30,000, or 1.6%. The \$1.2 million increase in sales volume reflects the positive impact of recent steps taken to stabilize our global distribution strategy and targeted selling activities in select European countries.

With respect to regions, the increase in revenue was mainly attributable to an increase of \$1.1 million in revenue from our distributors in Europe and an increase of \$0.1 million in revenue from our distributors in Latin America.

*Gross Profit.* For the six month period ended December 31, 2013, gross profit (revenue less cost of revenues) increased 53.7%, or \$0.6 million, to \$1.7 million from \$1.1 million during the same period in 2012. The increase in gross profit was attributable to an increase in revenue of \$1.2 million, as described above, partially offset by an increase in cost of revenues of \$0.6 million, which was composed of an increase in material and labor costs of \$0.4 million associated with our increased sales and increase of \$0.2 million in non-recurring expenses related to the consolidation of our manufacturing facilities. Gross margin (gross profits as a percentage of revenue) decreased from 58.2% in the six month period ended December 31, 2012 to 53.6% in same period in 2013. If the non-recurring effects of the consolidation of our manufacturing facilities in the six month period ended December 31, 2013, are removed, gross margin for the six month period ended December 31, 2013 would have been 59.3%.

	Six month period ended December 31,	
	2013	2012
	(\$ in thousands)	
Gross profit	\$ 1,663	\$ 1,082
Non-recurring expenses	178	-
Gross profit excluding non-recurring expenses	\$ 1,841	\$ 1,082

*Royalties' Buyout Expenses.* For the six month period ended December 31, 2012, we incurred \$0.9 million in royalties' buyout expenses relating to the restructuring of our royalty agreement for MGuard Prime. In connection with the restructuring of this agreement, the licensor of the stent design used for this product agreed to reduce the royalty from 7% of net sales outside of the United States, 7% of the first \$10,000,000 of net sales in the United States and 10% of net sales in the United States above \$10,000,000 to 2.9% of all net sales both inside and outside the United States in exchange for (i) us waiving \$85,000 in regulatory fees owed to us, (ii) us making full payment of royalties owed as of September 30, 2012 in the amount of \$205,587 and (iii) \$1,763,000, payable in 215,000 shares of our common stock that were valued at \$8.20 per share. There was no such expense during the six month period ended December 31, 2013. Royalties' buyout expenses as a percentage of revenue was 49.4% for the six month period ended December 31, 2012.

*Research and Development Expenses.* For the six month period ended December 31, 2013, research and development expenses increased 50.0%, or \$1.1 million, to \$3.3 million, from \$2.2 million during the same period in 2012. This increase in research and development expenses resulted primarily from increases of \$0.3 million in related salaries, \$0.1 million in related travel expenses and \$1.4 million in clinical trial expenses associated with our MASTER II trial moving from the pre-clinical stage to the set-up and enrollment phases, triggering costs associated with the selection and qualification of trial sites, contract research organization management fees and patient fees, among others. This increase in research and development expenses, however, was partially offset by a decrease of \$0.4 million in expenses associated with our MASTER I trial, which has concluded, a decrease of \$0.2 million in expenditures related to the development of the MGuard Carotid product and a decrease of \$0.1 million in share based compensation expense. Research and development expense as a percentage of revenue decreased to 106.8% for the six month period ended December 31, 2013, from 118.5% in the same period in 2012.

*Selling and Marketing Expenses.* For the six month period ended December 31, 2013, selling and marketing expenses increased 64.6%, or \$1.0 million, to \$2.6 million, from \$1.6 million during the same period in 2012. The increase in selling and marketing expenses resulted primarily from an increase of \$0.8 million in salaries, as we expanded our sales activities worldwide, an increase of \$0.1 million in travel expenses for our increased sales force and an increase of \$0.1 million in miscellaneous expenses. Much of these sales initiatives were driven by our efforts to capitalize on the publication of the MASTER I trial results, which represented our first randomized data related to our MGuard technology, and efforts to support our new direct sales channels in key European countries. Selling and marketing expenses as a percentage of revenue decreased to 85.2% in the six month period ended December 31, 2013 from 86.5% in the same period in 2012.

*General and Administrative Expenses.* For the six month period ended December 31, 2013, general and administrative expenses increased 13.2%, or \$0.5 million, to \$4.5 million from \$4.0 million during the same period in 2012. The increase in general and administrative expenses resulted primarily from an increase of \$0.6 million in salaries (which predominately relates to the hiring of our new chief executive officer and bonuses), an increase in share based compensation of \$0.2 million, an increase in director's compensation of \$0.1 million and an increase in travel expense of \$0.1 million. This increase was partially offset by a decrease in legal fees of \$0.3 million and a decrease of \$0.2 million in bad debt expense. General and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenue decreased to 145.8% in the six month period ended December 31, 2013 from 215.2% in the same period in 2012.



*Financial Expenses.* For the six month period ended December 31, 2013, financial expenses decreased 71.2%, or \$1.2 million, to \$0.5 million from \$1.7 million during the same period in 2012. The decrease in financial expenses resulted primarily from a decrease of \$1.8 million of amortization and interest expenses. In the six month period ended December 31, 2013, we recognized \$0.3 million in amortization and interest expense, in contrast to the six month period ended December 31, 2012, during which we recognized \$2.1 million of amortization and interest expense pertaining to our previously outstanding senior convertible debentures and their related issuance costs (of which \$1.6 million represented the non-cash amortization of the discount of the convertible debentures and their related issuance costs). This decrease in expenses was partially offset by \$0.2 million of non-cash expense in the six month period ended December 31, 2013 pertaining to our obligation to issue shares of common stock without new consideration to the investors in our March 2011 private placement due to certain anti-dilution rights held by such stockholders and the absence of any non-cash revaluations of our warrants during the six month period ended December 31, 2013. During the six month period ended December 31, 2012, we recognized \$0.3 million of financial income pertaining to the non-cash revaluation of certain of our warrants due to our stock price decreasing from \$4.24 to \$3.90 during such period. No such income was recognized during the six month period ended December 31, 2013. Financial expense as a percentage of revenue decreased to 16.1% in the six month period ended December 31, 2013, from 93.1% in the same period in 2012.

The following presentation is a non-GAAP financial measure. Management believes this measure provides investors with useful supplemental information regarding our financial expenses and is useful for understanding the period-over-period comparison. In addition, management used this non-GAAP financial measure internally in its review of financial expenses for the periods presented. While management believes that this non-GAAP financial measure is useful in evaluating our financial expenses, this information should be considered as supplemental in nature and should be viewed in addition to, and not in lieu of or superior to, our financial expenses calculated in accordance with GAAP. In addition, this non-GAAP financial measure may not be the same as similarly titled measures presented by other companies. If the non-cash effects of the warrant revaluation and amortization expense in the six month period ended December 31, 2012, as well as the non-cash effects of the anti-dilution rights in the six month period ended December 31, 2013 are removed, financial expenses for the six month period ended December 31, 2013 would have totaled \$0.3 million, as compared to \$0.4 million for the same period in 2012, resulting in a decrease of \$0.1 million.

	Six month period ended	
	December 31,	
	2013	2012
	(\$ in thousands)	
Financial expenses, as reported under GAAP	\$ 499	\$ 1,730
Non-cash expenses:		
Anti-dilution rights	200	-
Revaluation of warrants	-	(305 )
Amortization expense	-	1,637
Total non-cash expenses	200	1,332
Financial expenses (income) excluding non-cash expenses	\$ 299	\$ 398

*Tax Expenses.* For the six month period ended December 31, 2013, tax expenses decreased \$39,000 to \$10,000 for the six month period ended December 31, 2013, from \$49,000 during the same period in 2012.

*Net Loss.* Our net loss decreased by \$0.1 million, or 1.0%, to \$9.3 million for the six month period ended December 31, 2013 from \$9.4 million during the same period in 2012. The decrease in net loss resulted primarily from a decrease of \$1.2 million in financial expenses, of which \$1.1 million were non-cash (see above for explanation), and an increase of \$0.6 million in gross profit (see above for explanation), partially offset by an increase of \$1.7 million in operating expenses (see above for explanation). If the non-cash effects of the warrant revaluation and amortization expense in the six months ended December 31, 2012, as well as the anti-dilution rights in the six month period ended December 31, 2013 are removed, our net loss would be \$8.1 million for the six months ended December 31, 2012, as compared to a net loss of \$9.1 million for the same period in 2013, resulting in an increase of \$1.0 million, or 12.9%.

*Twelve months ended June 30, 2013 compared to twelve months ended June 30, 2012*

*Revenues.* For the twelve months ended June 30, 2013, revenue decreased by \$0.5 million, or 8.9%, to \$4.9 million from \$5.3 million during the same period in 2012. This decrease was predominantly driven by a decrease in sales volume of \$0.5 million, or 9.6%, partially offset by price increases to our repeat distributors of \$36,000, or 0.7%. The \$0.5 million decrease in sales volume was due largely to the fact that we were in the process of replacing certain third party distributors with direct sales channels in key countries where end user average selling prices, along with other limiting factors, continued to impair sales. While we believe that this transition to direct selling will ultimately lead to greater sales in these markets, the transition away from certain distributors adversely impacted revenue for the twelve months ended June 30, 2013, as we had fewer parties selling our products.

With respect to regions, the decrease in revenue was mainly attributable to a decrease of \$0.6 million in revenue from our distributors in Latin America and a decrease of \$0.2 million in revenue from our distributors throughout the rest of the world. These decreases were partially offset by an increase of \$0.3 million in revenue from our distributors in Asia.

*Gross Profit.* For the twelve months ended June 30, 2013, gross profit increased 3.6%, or \$0.1 million, to \$2.6 million from \$2.5 million during the same period in 2012. The increase in gross profit was attributable to a decrease in cost of revenues of \$0.6 million, primarily attributable to a non-recurring write-off of \$0.4 million of slow moving inventory in the twelve months ended June 30, 2012, which did not occur in the same period in 2013, as well as a decrease of \$0.3 million of material and labor costs due to the decrease in sales of \$0.5 million, as discussed above, partially offset by \$0.2 million of expenses related to the consolidation of our manufacturing facilities. The decrease of \$0.6 million in cost of revenues was partially offset by a decrease in revenue of \$0.5 million as discussed above. Gross margin increased from 46.7% in the twelve months ended June 30, 2012 to 53.2% in the twelve months ended June 30, 2013.

*Royalties' Buyout Expenses.* For the twelve months ended June 30, 2013, we incurred \$0.9 million in royalties' buyout expenses relating to the restructuring of our royalty agreement for the MGuard Prime version of our MGuard Coronary stent, as described above. There was no such expense during the twelve months ended June 30, 2012. Royalties' buyout expenses as a percentage of revenue was 18.8% for the twelve months ended June 30, 2013.

*Research and Development Expenses.* For the twelve months ended June 30, 2013, research and development expenses increased 4.2%, or \$0.2 million, to \$4.2 million, from \$4.0 million during the same period in 2012. The increase in research and development expenses resulted primarily from an increase of \$0.1 million in salaries, an increase of \$0.1 million in patent expenses, an increase of \$0.1 million in expenditures related to the development of the MGuard Carotid product and an increase of \$0.2 million in miscellaneous expense. These increases were partially offset by a decrease in clinical trial expenses of \$0.3 million, attributable mainly to fewer expenses associated with our MASTER I trial, as we approach the trial's conclusion (decrease of \$0.2 million), and our MASTER II trial (decrease of \$0.1 million). Research and development expense as a percentage of revenue increased to 85.3% for the twelve months ended June 30, 2013 from 74.6% in the same period in 2012.

*Selling and Marketing Expenses.* For the twelve months ended June 30, 2013, selling and marketing expenses increased 66.3%, or \$1.4 million, to \$3.6 million, from \$2.2 million during the same period in 2012. The increase in selling and marketing expenses resulted primarily from an increase of \$0.7 million in salaries as we expanded our sales activities worldwide, an increase of \$0.4 million in expenditures related to promotional activities related to the Transcatheter Cardiovascular Therapeutics (TCT) conference in Miami, Florida, where we announced our MASTER I trial results, an increase of \$0.5 million in product promotion expenses and an increase of \$0.3 million in travel expenses for our increased sales force. Much of these sales initiatives were driven by our efforts to capitalize on the publication of the initial MASTER I trial results, which represented our first randomized data related to our MGuard technology. These increases in sales and marketing expenses were partially offset by a decrease of \$0.3 million in share-based compensation expenses and a decrease of \$0.2 million in miscellaneous expenses. With the growth of our sales force, and associated activities, as described above, selling and marketing expenses as a percentage of revenue increased to 74.2% in the twelve months ended June 30, 2013 from 40.6% in the same period in 2012.

*General and Administrative Expenses.* For the twelve months ended June 30, 2013, general and administrative expenses decreased 35.4%, or \$4.9 million, to \$9.0 million from \$13.9 million during the same period in 2012. The decrease in general and administrative expenses resulted primarily from a decrease in share-based compensation of \$6.1 million (which predominantly pertained to director's compensation paid in 2012) and a decrease of \$0.3 million in expenses related to consultants. This decrease was partially offset by an increase in salaries of \$0.6 million (which predominately relates to the hiring of our new chief executive officer), an increase of \$0.5 million in legal expenses largely associated with our previous financing efforts, an increase of \$0.1 million in bad debt expense, an increase of \$0.1 million in audit fees, and an increase of \$0.2 million in miscellaneous expenses. General and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenue decreased to 184.1% in the twelve months ended June 30, 2013 from 259.5% in the same period in 2012.

*Financial Expenses.* For the twelve months ended June 30, 2013, financial expenses increased to \$14.1 million from \$38,000 during the same period in 2012. The increase in financial expenses resulted primarily from \$9.9 million in non-recurring, non-cash effects of the debt inducement related to the adjustment of the conversion ratio of our convertible debentures upon their retirement in April 2013, \$4.3 million of amortization expense pertaining to our convertible debentures and their related issuance costs (of which \$3.5 million represented the non-recurring, non-cash amortization of the discount of the convertible debentures and their related issuance costs). In addition to these non-recurring, non-cash expenses, we also incurred \$1.5 million of expense pertaining to our obligation to issue shares of common stock without new consideration to the investors in our March 2011 private placement due to certain anti-dilution rights held by such stockholders. These expenses were partially offset by \$1.4 million of financial income pertaining to the revaluation of certain of our warrants due to our stock price decreasing to \$2.21 on June 30, 2013, from \$4.24 on June 30, 2012 and \$0.1 million for the favorable impact of exchange rate differences for the twelve months ended June 30, 2013. Financial expense as a percentage of revenue increased from 0.7% in the twelve months ended June 30, 2012, to 290.9% in the same period in 2013.

The following presentation is a non-GAAP financial measure. Management believes this measure provides investors with useful supplemental information regarding our financial expenses and is useful for understanding the period-over-period comparison. In addition, management used this non-GAAP financial measure internally in its review of financial expenses for the periods presented. While management believes that this non-GAAP financial measure is useful in evaluating our financial expenses, this information should be considered as supplemental in nature and should be viewed in addition to, and not in lieu of or superior to, our financial expenses calculated in accordance with GAAP. In addition, this non-GAAP financial measure may not be the same as similarly titled measures presented by other companies. If the non-recurring, non-cash effects of the debt inducement and amortization expense are removed, financial expenses for the twelve months ended June 30, 2013 would have totaled \$0.7 million, an increase of \$0.7 million from the same period in 2012.

Twelve month	
period ended	
June 30,	
2013	2012
(\$ in	
thousands)	

Edgar Filing: BRASIL TELECOM SA - Form 6-K/A

Financial expenses, as reported under GAAP	\$14,177	\$ 38
Non-cash expenses:		-
Debt inducement	9,898	-
Amortization expense	3,524	-
Anti-dilution rights	1,476	-
Revaluation of warrants	(1,412)	-
Total non-cash expenses	13,486	-
Financial expenses (income) excluding non-cash expenses	\$691	\$ 38

*Tax Expenses.* For the twelve months ended June 30, 2013, tax expenses decreased \$6,000 to \$8,000 for the twelve months ended June 30, 2013, from \$14,000 during the same period in 2012.

*Net Loss.* Our net loss increased by \$11.7 million, or 66.3%, to \$29.3 million for the twelve months ended June 30, 2013 from \$17.6 million during the same period in 2012. The increase in net loss resulted primarily from an increase of \$14.2 million in financial expenses, of which \$13.5 million were non-recurring, non-cash (see above for explanation), partially offset by a decrease of \$2.4 million in operating expenses (see above for explanation) and an increase of \$0.1 million in gross profit (see above for explanation). If the non-recurring, non-cash effects of the debt inducement and amortization expense are removed, our net loss would be \$15.8 million for the twelve months ended June 30, 2013, as compared to a net loss of \$17.6 million for the same period in 2012, an improvement of \$1.8 million, or 10%.

## Liquidity and Capital Resources

We have successfully raised funds through both the capital markets and loans. On April 16, 2013, we consummated an underwritten public offering, pursuant to which we received aggregate net proceeds of \$22.6 million, after the underwriters' commissions and offering expenses. In addition, on October 23, 2013, we entered into a loan and security agreement and issued a warrant in exchange for aggregate net proceeds of \$9.8 million.

We have an accumulated deficit as well as a net loss and negative operating cash flows in the current year. We anticipate that such losses will continue until our MGuard products reach commercial profitability. Our management believes that we have sufficient resources to fund operations through December 31, 2014. Management also anticipates that the continued successful commercialization of the MGuard product line, together with financing through our "At-the-Market" equity program, should be sufficient to fund our current and planned operations into the second quarter of 2015. However, no assurance can be provided that we will be able to secure sufficient capital (through sales of products or equity) to fund our operations for such period.

If we are unable to successfully commercialize our MGuard products or obtain sufficient future financing from our "At-the-Market" equity program, we will be required to delay some of our planned research and development programs as well as curtail, discontinue or, in the extreme case, cease operations.



*Six month period ended December 31, 2013 compared to the six month period ended December 31, 2012*

*General.* At December 31, 2013, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$17.5 million, as compared to \$14.8 million as of June 30, 2013. We have historically met our cash needs through a combination of issuing new shares, borrowing activities and sales. Our cash requirements are generally for clinical trials, marketing and sales activities, finance and administrative cost, capital expenditures and general working capital.

Cash used in our operating activities was \$6.8 million for the six month period ended December 31, 2013 and \$5.8 million for the same period in 2012. The principal reason for the usage of cash in our operating activities for the six month period ended December 31, 2013 was a net loss of \$9.3 million, offset by \$1.5 million in non-cash share-based compensation that was largely paid to our directors and chief executive officer, a decrease in working capital of \$0.5 million, \$0.4 million of non-cash financial expense, and \$0.1 million of depreciation expense. The principal reasons for the usage of cash in our operating activities for the six months ended December 31, 2012 include a net loss of approximately \$9.4 million and an increase in working capital of approximately \$0.2 million, offset by approximately \$1.4 million in non-cash share-based compensation, approximately \$1.2 million in non-cash financial expenses, approximately \$0.9 million in a non-cash royalties buyout, approximately \$0.1 million in depreciation and amortization expenses and approximately \$0.2 million of all other miscellaneous expenditures.

Cash used in our investing activities was \$252,000 during the six month period ended December 31, 2013, compared to \$193,000 during the same period in 2012. The principal reason for the increase in cash used in investing activities during 2013 was the purchase of property, plant and equipment of \$180,000 (primarily new manufacturing equipment and leasehold improvements for our production facilities) and the funding of employee retirement funds of \$72,000.

Cash generated by financing activities for the six month period ended December 31, 2013 was \$9.8 million, compared to \$1.0 million generated during the same period in 2012. The principal source of cash generated from financing activities during the six month period ended December 31, 2013 was \$9.8 million received pursuant to a loan and security agreement entered into in October 2013, net of issuance costs, as described below.

As of December 31, 2013, our current assets exceeded our current liabilities by a multiple of 3.5. Current assets increased \$2.7 million during the six month period, mainly due to cash received from the loan and security agreement, partially offset by cash used in operations, and current liabilities increased by 2.1 million during the period. As a result, our working capital surplus increased by \$0.6 million to \$15.5 million at December 31, 2013.

*Twelve months ended June 30, 2013 compared to twelve months ended June 30, 2012*

*General.* At June 30, 2013, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$14.8 million, as compared to \$10.3 million as of June 30, 2012.

Cash used in our operating activities was \$10.3 million for the twelve months ended June 30, 2013 and \$8.6 million for the same period in 2012. The principal reasons for the usage of cash in our operating activities for the twelve months ended June 30, 2013 include a net loss of \$29.3 million, offset by \$13.5 million in non-cash financial expenses, \$3.8 million in non-cash share-based compensation that was largely paid to our directors, \$0.9 million in a non-cash royalties buyout related to the restructuring of our royalty agreement for the MGuard Prime version of our MGuard Coronary stent, as discussed above, a decrease in working capital of \$0.4 million, \$0.2 million in depreciation and amortization expenses and \$0.2 million of miscellaneous expenditures.

Cash used in our investing activities was \$376,000 during the twelve months ended June 30, 2013, compared to \$43,000 during the same period in 2012. The principal reason for the increase in cash used in investing activities during 2013 was the purchase of property, plant and equipment of \$202,000 (primarily new manufacturing equipment and leasehold improvements for our production facilities), an increase in restricted cash of \$56,000 and the funding of employee retirement funds of \$118,000.



Cash generated by financing activities was \$15.1 million for the twelve months ended June 30, 2013, compared to \$11.1 million generated during the same period in 2012. The principal source of cash from financing activities during the twelve months ended June 30, 2013 was funds received from the issuance of shares in connection with the underwritten public offering of our common stock of \$22.9 million, as well as \$1.0 million from the exercise of options and warrants, partially offset by the partial satisfaction of our convertible debentures for \$8.8 million as described below. In contrast, during the twelve months ended June 30, 2012, we received \$9.9 million from the initial issuance of these convertible debentures and associated warrants and \$1.5 million from the exercise of options, partially offset by a repayment of a long term loan of \$0.3 million.

As of June 30, 2013, our current assets exceeded our current liabilities by a multiple of 4.68. Current assets increased \$4.6 million during the twelve months period, mainly due to cash received from financing activities, and current liabilities increased by \$0.5 million during the same period. As a result, our working capital surplus increased by \$4.1 million to \$14.9 million at June 30, 2013.

#### *Secured Loan*

On October 23, 2013, we entered into a loan and security agreement, pursuant to which we received a loan of \$10 million, before deduction of issuance costs. Interest on the loan is determined on a daily basis at a variable rate equal to the greater of either (i) 10.5%, or (ii) the sum of (A) 10.5% plus (B) the prime rate minus 5.5%. Payments under the loan and security agreement are interest only for 9 months, followed by 30 monthly payments of principal and interest through the scheduled maturity date on February 1, 2017. Our obligations under the loan and security agreement are secured by a grant of a security interest in all of our assets (other than our intellectual property). In addition, in connection with the loan and security agreement, we issued the lender a five year warrant to purchase 168,351 shares of our common stock at a per share exercise price of \$2.97.

#### *MLV At-the-Market Agreement*

On October 23, 2013, we entered into an at-the-market issuance sales agreement with MLV & Co. LLC, pursuant to which we may issue and sell shares of our common stock having an aggregate offering price of up to \$40.0 million directly on the NYSE MKT or to or through a market maker other than on an exchange. With our prior written consent, sales may also be made in negotiated transactions and/or any other method permitted by law. MLV & Co. LLC will receive a 3.0% commission from the gross proceeds of any sales. Subject to the terms and conditions of the sales agreement, MLV & Co. LLC will use its commercially reasonable efforts to sell the shares of our common stock from time to time, based upon our instructions (including any price, time or size limits or other parameters or conditions that we may impose). We are not obligated to make any sales of common stock under the sales agreement and no assurance can be given that we will sell any shares under the sales agreement, or, if we do, as to the price or amount of shares that we will sell, or the dates on which any such sales will take place. The sales agreement may be terminated by either party at any time upon 10 days' notice to the other party, or by MLV & Co. LLC at any time in

certain circumstances, including the occurrence of a material adverse effect to us. In addition, the sales agreement will automatically terminate upon the sale of all common stock subject to the sales agreement.

### *Convertible Debentures*

On April 5, 2012, we issued senior secured convertible debentures due April 5, 2014 in the original aggregate principal amount of \$11,702,128 and five-year warrants to purchase an aggregate of 835,866 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$7.20 per share in exchange for aggregate gross proceeds of \$11.0 million, with corresponding net proceeds of \$9.9 million. The convertible debentures were issued with a 6% original issuance discount, bore interest at an annual rate of 8% and were convertible at any time into shares of common stock at an initial conversion price of \$7.00 per share. Upon conversion of the convertible debentures, investors were entitled to receive a conversion premium equal to 8%, per annum, with a limit of 12% for the term of the convertible debentures, of the principal amount being converted. In addition, the investors had the right to require us to redeem the convertible debentures at any time after October 5, 2013 (18 months after the date of issuance) for 112% of the then outstanding principal amount, plus all accrued interest, and we had the right to prepay the convertible debentures after six months for 112% of the then outstanding principal amount, plus all accrued interest. In connection with this financing, we paid placement agent fees of \$848,750 and issued placement agents warrants to purchase 78,078 shares of common stock, with terms identical to the warrants issued to the investors.

On April 9, 2013, we entered into an exchange and amendment agreement with the holders of these convertible debentures, pursuant to which, simultaneously with the closing of our underwritten public offering on April 16, 2013, and in full satisfaction of our obligations under the convertible debentures, we:

- repaid \$8,787,234 in cash;
- issued 2,159,574 shares of common stock to the holders of the convertible debentures, reflecting a conversion price of \$2.00 per share for the remaining unpaid portion of the convertible debentures;
- issued five year warrants to the holders of these convertible debentures to purchase an aggregate of 659,091 shares of common stock for \$3.00 per share;
- amended the securities purchase agreement pursuant to which the convertible debentures were originally issued to prohibit us from issuing securities containing anti-dilution protective provisions; and

amended the warrants issued in connection with the convertible debentures to (i) eliminate the automatic incorporation of the terms of any securities that are superior to those of such warrants, except with respect to exercise price and warrant coverage and (ii) provide that upon a fundamental transaction, the holders of such warrants will have the right to cause us to repurchase the unexercised portion of such warrants at their Black-Scholes value on the date of such fundamental transaction, payable in shares of common stock, rather than in cash as was previously provided.

### **Off Balance Sheet Arrangements**

We have no off-balance sheet transactions, arrangements, obligations (including contingent obligations), or other relationships with unconsolidated entities or other persons that have, or may have, a material effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources.

### **Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

None.

### **Factors That May Affect Future Operations**

We believe that our future operating results will continue to be subject to quarterly variations based upon a wide variety of factors, including the cyclical nature of the ordering patterns of our distributors, timing of regulatory approvals, the implementation of various phases of our clinical trials and manufacturing efficiencies due to the

learning curve of utilizing new materials and equipment. Our operating results could also be impacted by a weakening of the Euro and strengthening of the New Israeli Shekel, or NIS, both against the U.S. dollar. Lastly, other economic conditions we cannot foresee may affect customer demand, such as individual country reimbursement policies pertaining to our products.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

Not applicable.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

The following financial statements are included as part of this Report (See Item 15):

§ Report of Kesselman & Kesselman, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

§ Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2013 and June 30, 2013 and 2012

§ Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Six Months Ended December 31, 2013 and Years Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

§ Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Six Months Ended December 31, 2013 and Years Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

§ Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended December 31, 2013 and Years Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

§ Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

**Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.**

Not applicable.

**Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.**

**Management's Conclusions Regarding Effectiveness of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

We conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our “disclosure controls and procedures”, as defined by Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as of December 31, 2013, the end of the period covered by this Transition Report on Form 10-K/T. The disclosure controls and procedures evaluation was done under the supervision and with the participation of management, including our chief executive officer and chief financial officer. There are inherent limitations to the effectiveness of any system of disclosure controls and procedures. Accordingly, even effective disclosure controls and procedures can only provide reasonable assurance of achieving their control objectives. Based upon this evaluation, our chief executive officer and chief financial officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level as of December 31, 2013.

**Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Our internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of the consolidated financial statements for external reporting purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate over time.

Management, including our chief executive officer and our chief financial officer, assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013. In making this assessment, management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission in *Internal Control—Integrated Framework*. Based on its assessment and those criteria, management has concluded that we maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013.

#### Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2013 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. Other Information.

Not applicable.

### **PART III**

#### **Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.**

The following table sets forth information regarding our executive officers and the members of our board of directors.

<b>Name</b>	<b>Age</b>	<b>Position</b>
Alan Milinazzo	54	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director
Craig Shore	52	Chief Financial Officer, Secretary and Treasurer
Eli Bar	49	Senior Vice President of Research and Development and Chief Technical Officer of InspireMD Ltd.
Rick Olson	51	Vice President of Global Sales Operations
Sol J. Barer, Ph.D.	66	Chairman of the Board of Directors
James Barry, Ph.D.	54	Director
Michael Berman	56	Director
James J. Loughlin	71	Director
Campbell Rogers, M.D.	52	Director
Paul Stuka	59	Director

Our directors hold office until the earlier of their death, resignation or removal by stockholders or until their successors have been qualified. Our directors are divided into three classes. Alan Milinazzo, Sol J. Barer, Ph.D. and Paul Stuka are our Class 1 directors, with their terms of office to expire at our 2015 annual meeting of stockholders. James J. Loughlin and Michael Berman are our Class 2 directors, with their terms of office to expire at our 2016 annual meeting of stockholders. Campbell Rogers, M.D. and James Barry, Ph.D. are our Class 3 directors, with their terms of office to expire at our 2014 annual meeting of stockholders. At each annual meeting of stockholders, directors elected to succeed those directors whose terms expire shall be elected for a term of office to expire at the third succeeding annual meeting of stockholders after their election, with each director to hold office until his or her successor shall have been duly elected and qualified.

Our officers hold office until the earlier of their death, resignation or removal by our board of directors or until their successors have been selected. They serve at the pleasure of our board of directors.

### **Executive Officers and Directors**

**Alan Milinazzo** has served as our president, chief executive officer and director since January 3, 2013. Mr. Milinazzo served as president and chief executive officer of Orthofix International N.V., a Nasdaq-listed medical device company, until August 2011, a position he was promoted to in 2006 after being hired a year earlier as chief operating officer. He also served as a director of Orthofix International N.V. from December 2006 until June 2012. From 2002 to 2005, Mr. Milinazzo was the general manager of Medtronic, Inc.'s coronary and peripheral vascular businesses. Mr. Milinazzo also spent 12 years as an executive with Boston Scientific Corporation in numerous roles, including vice president of marketing for SCIMED Europe. Mr. Milinazzo has over 20 years of experience in management and marketing, including positions with Aspect Medical Systems and American Hospital Supply. As chief executive officer, Mr. Milinazzo's position on the board ensures a unity of vision between the broader goals of our company and our day-to-day operations.

**Craig Shore** has served as our chief financial officer, secretary and treasurer since March 31, 2011 and as our chief administrative officer since May 3, 2013. In addition, since November 10, 2010, Mr. Shore has served as InspireMD Ltd.'s vice president of business development. From February 2008 through June 2009, Mr. Shore served as chief financial officer of World Group Capital Ltd. and Nepco Star Ltd., both publicly traded companies on the Tel Aviv Stock Exchange, based in Tel Aviv, Israel. From March 2006 until February 2008, Mr. Shore served as the chief financial officer of Cellnets Solutions Ltd., a provider of advanced cellular public telephony solutions for low to middle income populations of developing countries based in Azur, Israel. Mr. Shore has over 25 years of experience in financial management in the U.S., Europe and Israel. His experience includes raising capital both in the private and public markets. Mr. Shore graduated with honors and received a B.Sc. in Finance from Pennsylvania State University and an M.B.A. from George Washington University.



**Eli Bar** has served as InspireMD Ltd.'s senior vice president of research and development and chief technical officer since February 2011. Prior to that, he served as InspireMD Ltd.'s vice president of research and development since October 2006 and engineering manager since June 2005. Mr. Bar has over 15 years' experience in medical device product development. Mr. Bar has vast experience building a complete research and development structure, managing teams from the idea stage to an advanced marketable product. He has been involved with many medical device projects over the years and has developed a synthetic vascular graft for femoral and coronary artery replacement, a covered stent and a fully implantable ventricular assist device. Mr. Bar has more than nine filed device and method patent applications and he has initiated two medical device projects. Mr. Bar is also a director of Blue Surgical Ltd., a medical device company based in Israel. Mr. Bar graduated from New Haven University in Connecticut with a B.Sc. in Mechanical Engineering.

**Rick Olson** was appointed as our vice president of global sales and operations on December 1, 2013. From October 2010 to September 2013, he served as the director of international strategy and high performance management system at Covidien Ltd. following its acquisition of ev3 Inc. From 2001 to October 2010, Mr. Olson served in various roles at ev3 Inc., including as its director of international neurovascular marketing & sales force development from September 2009 to October 2010, its regional director, Northern Europe/UK country manager/UK PV sales manager from 2007 to 2009, its sales director, European and Middle Eastern distributors from 2006 to 2007 and various other roles from 2001 to 2006 as one of ev3 Inc.'s original employees. Prior to that, Mr. Olson spent nine years at Boston Scientific, where he served in various sales and marketing leadership positions both in Europe and the U.S. Mr. Olson holds a bachelor's degree in Psychology from Oregon State University.

**Sol J. Barer, Ph.D.**, has served as a director since July 11, 2011 and has served as our chairman since November 16, 2011. Dr. Barer has 25 years of experience with publicly traded biotechnology companies. In 1980, when Dr. Barer was with Celanese Research Company, he formed the biotechnology group that was subsequently spun out to form Celgene Corporation. Dr. Barer spent 18 years leading Celgene Corporation as president, chief operating officer and chief executive officer, culminating with his tenure as Celgene Corporation's executive chairman and chairman beginning in May 2006 until his retirement in June 2011. Dr. Barer is also a director of Cerecor, Inc., Edge Therapeutics, Inc., Medgenics, Inc., ContraFect Corporation, Amicus Therapeutics, Inc. and Aegerion Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and serves as a senior advisor to a number of other biotechnology companies. Dr. Barer received a Ph.D. in organic chemistry from Rutgers University. Dr. Barer brings to the board significant scientific and executive leadership experience in the U.S. biotechnology industry and prior service on the board of directors of other publicly-held biopharmaceutical companies, as well as a unique perspective on the best methods of growth for a biotechnology company.

**James Barry, Ph.D.**, has served as a director since January 30, 2012. Dr. Barry has served as executive vice president and chief operating officer at Arsenal Medical Inc., a medical device company focused on local therapy, since September 2011. Dr. Barry also heads his own consulting firm, Convergent Biomedical Group LLC, advising medtech companies on product development, strategy, regulatory challenges and fund raising. Until June 2010, he was senior vice president, corporate technology development at Boston Scientific Corporation, where he was in charge of the corporate research and development and pre-clinical sciences functions. Dr. Barry joined Boston Scientific in 1992 and oversaw its efforts in the identification and development of drug, device and biological systems for applications with implantable and catheter-based delivery systems. He currently serves on a number of advisory boards including

the College of Biomedical Engineering at Yale University, the College of Sciences at University of Massachusetts-Lowell, and the Massachusetts Life Science Center. Dr. Barry received his Ph.D. in Biochemistry from the University of Massachusetts-Lowell and holds a B.A. degree in Chemistry from Saint Anselm College. Dr. Barry brings to the board over 20 years of experience in leadership roles in the medical device industry and significant medical technology experience, in particular with respect to interventional cardiology products.

**Michael Berman**, has served as our director since February 7, 2013. Mr. Berman is a medical device entrepreneur who works with high-potential development and early-stage commercial companies. From 2005 to 2012, when the company was sold to Boston Scientific, Mr. Berman was a co-founder and the chairman of BridgePoint Medical, Inc., which developed technology to treat coronary and peripheral vascular chronic total occlusions. Mr. Berman was also a member of the board of UltraShape Ltd. from 2005 until 2011, when the company was sold to Syneron Medical Ltd. Mr. Berman has served (i) since 2003 as co-founder and a director of Aetherworks I and II, a medical device incubator, (ii) since 2004 as a co-founder and director of Benechill, Inc., a company developing a therapeutic hypothermia system for the treatment of cardiac arrest, (iii) since 2011 as an advisor to, and since 2012 as a director of, CardioSonic, Inc., a company developing a system for hypertension reduction via renal denervation, (iv) since 2005 as a director of PharmaCentra, LLC, which creates customizable marketing programs that help pharmaceutical companies communicate with physicians and patients, (v) since 2011 as a co-founder and director of Rebiotix Inc., a company developing an innovative treatment for C Diff colitis, (vi) since 2011 as a director of AngioSlide Ltd., a medical device company that has developed an embolic capture angioplasty device, (vii) since 2011 as a director of InterValve, Inc., a medical device company developing an aortic valvuloplasty balloon for treatment of calcific aortic stenosis, and (viii) since 2013 as a Director of ClearCut Inc., a medical device company that has developed an MRI system for tumor margin assessment. Mr. Berman was a member of the Data Sciences International, Inc. board from 2001 until 2012. Mr. Berman brings to the board his extensive executive and entrepreneurial experiences in the field of medical devices and interventional cardiology, which should assist in strengthening and advancing our strategic focus.

**James J. Loughlin** has served as our director since September 19, 2012. Mr. Loughlin served as the national director of the pharmaceuticals practice at KPMG LLP, and a five-year term as member of the board of directors of KPMG LLP. Additionally, Mr. Loughlin served as chairman of the pension and investment committee of the KPMG LLP board from 1995 through 2001. He also served as partner in charge of human resources, chairman of the personnel and professional development committee, secretary and trustee of the Peat Marwick Foundation and a member of the pension, operating and strategic planning committees. In addition, Mr. Loughlin has served as a member of the board of directors of Celgene Corporation, a global biopharmaceutical company focused on novel therapies for the treatment of cancer and inflammatory diseases, since 2006, including as chairman of the audit committee since June 2008 and a member of the compensation committee since June 2008. Mr. Loughlin served as a member of the board of directors of Alfacell Corporation, a biopharmaceutical company primarily focused on therapeutic drugs for the treatment of cancer and other pathological conditions, until 2008 and Datascope Corp., a medical device company engaged in the interventional cardiology and radiology, cardiovascular and vascular surgery, and critical care fields, until January 2009. Mr. Loughlin brings to the board his valuable experiences as national director of the pharmaceuticals practice at KPMG LLP, an extensive background in accounting and financial reporting, qualifying him as an audit committee financial expert, and prior service on the board of directors of other publicly-held biopharmaceutical companies.

**Campbell Rogers, M.D.**, has served as a director since September 3, 2013. Dr. Rogers has served as chief medical officer of HeartFlow, Inc., a cardiovascular diagnostics company, since March 2012. Prior to joining HeartFlow, Inc., he was the chief scientific officer and global head of research and development at Cordis Corporation, Johnson & Johnson, where he was responsible for leading investments and research in cardiovascular devices, from July 2006 to March 2012. Prior to that, he was associate professor of medicine at Harvard Medical School and the Harvard-M.I.T. Division of Health Sciences and Technology and director of the cardiac catheterization and experimental cardiovascular interventional laboratories at Brigham and Women's Hospital. He served as principal investigator for numerous interventional cardiology device, diagnostic, and pharmacology trials, is the author of numerous journal

articles, chapters, and books in the area of coronary artery and other cardiovascular diseases and was the recipient of research grant awards from the National Institute of Health and the American Heart Association. He received his A.B. from Harvard College and his M.D. from Harvard Medical School. Dr. Rogers' qualifications to serve on the board include his significant experience in cardiovascular devices, as well as his familiarity with the operations of medical device companies.

**Paul Stuka** has served as a director since August 8, 2011. Mr. Stuka has served as the managing member of Osiris Partners, LLC, an investment fund, since 2000. Prior to forming Osiris Partners, LLC, Mr. Stuka, with 30 years of experience in the investment industry, was a managing director of Longwood Partners, managing small cap institutional accounts. In 1995, Mr. Stuka joined State Street Research and Management as manager of its Market Neutral and Mid Cap Growth Funds. From 1986 to 1994, Mr. Stuka served as the general partner of Stuka Associates, where he managed a U.S.-based investment partnership. Mr. Stuka began his career in 1980 as an analyst at Fidelity Management and Research. As an analyst, Mr. Stuka followed a wide array of industries including healthcare, energy, transportation, and lodging and gaming. Early in his career he became the assistant portfolio manager for three Fidelity Funds, including the Select Healthcare Fund which was recognized as the top performing fund in the U.S. for the five-year period ending December 31, 1985. Mr. Stuka has served as a director of Lucid, Inc. since June 2013. Mr. Stuka's qualifications to serve on the board include his significant strategic and business insight from his years of experience investing in the healthcare industry.

Messrs. Milinazzo, Shore and Bar are parties to certain agreements related to their service as executive officers and directors described under “Item 11. Executive Compensation – Agreements with Executive Officers.”

### **Family Relationships**

We have no family relationships amongst our directors and executive officers.

### **Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance**

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, requires our directors and officers, and persons who own more than ten percent of our common stock, to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership of our common stock. Directors, officers and persons who own more than ten percent of our common stock are required by Securities and Exchange Commission regulations to furnish us with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file.

To our knowledge, based solely on a review of the copies of such reports furnished to us, during the six months ended December 31, 2013, each of our directors, officers and greater than ten percent stockholders complied with all Section 16(a) filing requirements applicable to our directors, officers and greater than ten percent stockholders.

### **Board Committees**

Our board of directors has established an audit committee, a nominating and corporate governance committee and a compensation committee, each of which has the composition and responsibilities described below.

*Audit Committee.* Our audit committee is currently comprised of Messrs. Loughlin and Stuka and Dr. Barer, each of whom our board has determined to be financially literate and qualify as an independent director under Section 803(B)(2) of the NYSE MKT rules. Mr. Loughlin is the chairman of our audit committee and qualifies as a financial expert, as defined in Item 407(d)(5)(ii) of Regulation S-K. The audit committee’s duties are to recommend to our board of directors the engagement of independent auditors to audit our financial statements and to review our accounting and auditing principles. The audit committee will review the scope, timing and fees for the annual audit and the results of audit examinations performed by the internal auditors and independent public accountants, including their recommendations to improve the system of accounting and internal controls.

*Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee.* Our nominating and corporate governance committee is currently comprised of Messrs. Berman and Stuka and Dr. Barer, each of whom qualify as an independent director under Section 803(A) of the NYSE MKT rules. Mr. Berman is the chairman of our nominating and corporate governance committee. The nominating and corporate governance committee identifies and recommends to our board of directors individuals qualified to be director nominees. In addition, the nominating and corporate governance committee recommends to our board of directors the members and chairman of each board committee who will periodically review and assess our code of business conduct and ethics and our corporate governance guidelines. The nominating and corporate governance committee also makes recommendations for changes to our code of business conduct and ethics and our corporate governance guidelines to our board of directors, reviews any other matters related to our corporate governance and oversees the evaluation of our board of directors and our management.

The nominating and corporate governance committee will consider all proposed nominees for the board of directors, including those put forward by stockholders. Stockholder nominations should be in writing, addressed to the nominating and corporate governance committee in care of the secretary at InspireMD, Inc., 321 Columbus Avenue, Boston, MA 02116, in accordance with the provisions of our Amended and Restated Bylaws.

*Compensation Committee.* Our compensation committee is currently comprised of Messrs. Stuka and Loughlin and Dr. Barer, each of whom qualify as an independent director under Sections 803(A) and 805(c)(1) of the NYSE MKT rules. Mr. Stuka is the chairman of our compensation committee. The compensation committee reviews and approves our salary and benefits policies, including compensation of executive officers and directors. The compensation committee also administers our stock option plans and recommends and approves grants of stock options under such plans.

## Code of Ethics

We have adopted a code of ethics and business conduct that applies to our officers, directors and employees, including our principal executive officer, principal financial officer and principal accounting officer, which is posted on our website at [www.inspire-md.com](http://www.inspire-md.com). We intend to disclose future amendments to certain provisions of the code of ethics, or waivers of such provisions granted to executive officers and directors, on this website within four business days following the date of such amendment or waiver.

## Item 11. Executive Compensation.

### Summary Compensation Table

The table below sets forth, for the sixth month transition period ended December 31, 2013, the twelve month period ended June 30, 2013, the six month period ended June 30, 2012 and the twelve month period ended December 31, 2011, the compensation earned by Alan Milinazzo, our president and chief executive officer, Craig Shore, our chief financial officer, secretary and treasurer and Eli Bar, the senior vice president of research and development and chief technical officer of InspireMD Ltd.

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)(1)	Bonus (\$)(1)	Restricted Stock Awards (\$)(2)	Option Awards(\$)(2)	All Other Compensation(\$)(1)	Total Compensation(\$)(1)
Alan Milinazzo	2013(4)	225,000	275,000(5)	267,608	164,736	11,775 (6)	511,775
<i>President and Chief Executive Officer (3)</i>	2013(7)	222,500	-	1,988,725	1,837,440	9,813 (6)	4,058,478
Craig Shore	2013(4)	92,150	50,137 (5)	238,700	146,941	36,925 (9)	179,212
<i>Chief Financial Officer,</i>	2013(7)	165,083	-	-	45,059	42,881 (9)	253,023

Edgar Filing: BRASIL TELECOM SA - Form 6-K/A

<i>Secretary and Treasurer</i> (8)	2012(10)	76,717	-	-	139,499	18,180	(9)	234,396	
	2011(11)	118,333	-	-	260,554	40,546	(9)	419,433	
Eli Bar	2013(4)	92,150	44,184	(5)	-	36,714	(12)	173,048	
<i>Senior Vice President of Research and Development and Chief Technical Officer of InspireMD Ltd.</i> (14)	2013(7)	165,083	-	-	-	43,575	(12)	208,658	
	2012(10)	77,100	12,850	-	-	22,482	(12)	112,432	
	2011(11)	122,760	-	-	185,175	(13)	42,459	(12)	350,394

- (1) Compensation amounts received in non-U.S. currency have been converted into U.S. dollars using the average exchange rate for the applicable period. The average exchange rate for the sixth month transition period ended December 31, 2013 was 3.545 NIS per dollar, the average exchange rate for the twelve month period ended June 30, 2013 was 3.7944 NIS per dollar, the average exchange rate for the six month period ended June 30, 2012 was 3.80 NIS per dollar and the average exchange rate for the twelve month period ended December 31, 2011 was 3.5781 NIS per dollar.



- The amounts in this column reflect the dollar amounts recognized for financial statement reporting purposes with respect to the six month transition period ended December 31, 2013, the twelve month period ended June 30, 2013, the six month period ended June 30, 2012 and the twelve month period ended December 31, 2011 in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. Fair value is based on the Black-Scholes option pricing model using the fair value of the underlying shares at the measurement date. For additional discussion of the valuation assumptions used in determining stock-based compensation and the grant date fair value for stock options, see “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Critical Accounting Policies-Share-based compensation” and Note 2-“Significant Accounting Policies” and Note 9-“Equity” of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for the Six Months Ended December 31, 2013 included herein.
- (2) Mr. Milinazzo served as our director during the six months ended December 31, 2013 and the twelve months ended June 30, 2013 but did not receive any additional compensation for his services as director.
  - (3) Refers to our transition period from July 1, 2013 to December 31, 2013.
  - (4) Bonuses for the 2013 calendar year were approved by the Compensation Committee in January 2014.
  - (5) Mr. Milinazzo’s other compensation consisted solely of benefits related to health insurance.
  - (6) Refers to the twelve month period ended June 30, 2013.
  - (7) For the twelve months ended June 30, 2012, Mr. Shore’s total compensation was \$334,208, consisting of \$156,873 in salary, \$139,499 in option awards and \$37,836 in other compensation. Mr. Shore’s other compensation consisted solely of benefits in the six months ended December 31, 2013, the twelve months ended June 30, 2013 and the six months ended June 30, 2012 and consisted of a warrant award
  - (8) valued at \$5,266 and \$35,280 in benefits in the twelve months ended December 31, 2011. In each of the periods reported, Mr. Shore’s benefits included our contributions to his severance, pension, vocational studies and disability funds, an annual recreation payment, a company car and cell phone, and a daily food allowance.
  - (9) Refers to the six month period ended June 30, 2012.
  - (10) Refers to the twelve month period ended December 31, 2011. Mr. Bar’s other compensation in each of the periods reported consisted solely of benefits, including our
  - (11) contributions to his severance, pension, vocational studies and disability funds, an annual recreation payment, a company car and cell phone, and a daily food allowance. On June 1, 2011, Mr. Bar was awarded options to acquire up to 50,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$11.00 per share (as adjusted for the one-for-four reverse stock split of our common stock) as a bonus payment for his contributions to our company in 2010. The options had a fair market value of \$268,381. In
  - (12) August 2011, we cancelled these options and reissued an option to purchase 50,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$7.72 because our board of directors determined that the \$11.00 (as adjusted for the one-for-four reverse stock split of our common stock) exercise price was too far out of the money to achieve the compensatory and incentive purposes of the options. The new options had a fair market value of \$185,175.
  - (13) For the twelve months ended June 30, 2012, Mr.Bar’s total compensation was \$390,379, consisting of \$156,873 in salary, \$12,850 in bonus, \$185,175 in option awards and \$35,481 in other compensation.
  - (14)

#### Agreements with Executive Officers

*Alan Milinazzo*

On January 3, 2013, we entered into an employment agreement with Alan Milinazzo to serve as our president, chief executive officer and a director. The employment agreement has an initial term that ends on January 1, 2016 and will automatically renew for additional one-year periods on January 1, 2016 and on each January 1 thereafter unless either party gives the other party written notice of its election not to extend such employment at least six months prior to the next January 1 renewal date. If a change in control occurs when less than two full years remain in the initial term or during any renewal term, the employment agreement will automatically be extended for two years from the change in control date and will terminate on the second anniversary of the change in control date.

Under this employment agreement, Mr. Milinazzo is entitled to an annual base salary of at least \$450,000. Such amount may be reduced only as part of an overall cost reduction program that affects all senior executives of the company and does not disproportionately affect Mr. Milinazzo, so long as such reductions do not reduce the base salary to a rate that is less than 90% of the amount set forth above (or 90% of the amount to which it has been increased). The base salary will be reviewed annually by the board for increase as part of its annual compensation review. Mr. Milinazzo is also eligible to receive an annual bonus of at least \$275,000 upon the achievement of reasonable target objectives and performance goals, to be determined by the board of directors in consultation with Mr. Milinazzo on or before the end of the first quarter of the fiscal year to which the bonus relates and, in the event actual performance exceeds the goals, the board may, in its sole discretion, pay Mr. Milinazzo bonus compensation of more than \$275,000. In addition, Mr. Milinazzo is eligible to receive such additional bonus or incentive compensation as the board may establish from time to time in its sole discretion. In accordance with this employment agreement, on January 3, 2013, we granted Mr. Milinazzo a nonqualified stock option to purchase 525,927 shares of our common stock, made pursuant to a nonqualified stock option agreement, an incentive stock option to purchase 74,073 shares of our common stock, made pursuant to an incentive stock option agreement, and 400,000 shares of restricted stock, which are subject to forfeiture until the vesting of such shares, made pursuant to a restricted stock award agreement. The options have an exercise price of \$4.05, which was the fair market value of our common stock on the date of grant. The options are subject to a three-year vesting period subject to Mr. Milinazzo's continued service with us, with one-thirty-sixth (1/36th) of such awards vesting each month. The shares of restricted stock initially vested monthly over thirty-six months, with 1/36 vesting on February 3, 2013, March 3, 2013 and April 3, 2013. The grant was then amended to vest annually over three years, with 9/36 vesting on January 3, 2014, and one-third vesting on January 3, 2015 and January 3, 2016. On or before December 31 of each calendar year, Mr. Milinazzo will be eligible to receive an additional grant of equity awards equal, in the aggregate, to up to 0.5% of actual outstanding shares of our common stock on the date of grant, provided that the actual amount of the grant will be based on his achievement of certain performance objectives as established by the board, in its reasonable discretion, for each such calendar year. Each additional grant will, with respect to any awards that are options, have an exercise price equal to the fair market value of our common stock, and will be subject to a three-year vesting period subject to Mr. Milinazzo's continued service with us, with one-third of each additional grant vesting equally on the first, second, and third anniversary of the date of grant for such awards. The additional grant for the 2013 calendar year was made on January 29, 2014, pursuant to which Mr. Milinazzo received 86,235 shares of our restricted common stock and an option to purchase 86,235 shares of our common stock, at an exercise price of \$3.10 per share. On January 31, 2014, Mr. Milinazzo also received a 2014 annual equity grant consisting of 96,400 shares of restricted common stock and an option to purchase 313,350 shares of our common stock, at an exercise price of \$2.97 per share. Each grant vests in three equal annual installments, with one-third vesting on the first, second and third anniversaries of the date of grant, subject to Mr. Milinazzo's continued service with us.

Mr. Milinazzo's employment agreement also contains certain noncompetition, no solicitation, confidentiality, and assignment of inventions requirements for Mr. Milinazzo.

Pursuant to Mr. Milinazzo's employment agreement, if Mr. Milinazzo's employment is terminated upon his death or disability, by Mr. Milinazzo for good reason (as such term is defined in Mr. Milinazzo's employment agreement), or by us without cause (as such term is defined in Mr. Milinazzo's employment agreement), Mr. Milinazzo will be entitled to receive, in addition to other unpaid amounts owed to him (e.g., for base salary and accrued vacation): (i) the pro rata amount of any bonus for the fiscal year of such termination (assuming full achievement of all applicable goals

under the bonus plan) that he would have received had his employment not been terminated; (ii) a one-time lump sum severance payment equal to 200% of his base salary, provided that he executes a release relating to employment matters and the circumstances surrounding his termination in favor of the company, our subsidiaries and our officers, directors and related parties and agents, in a form reasonably acceptable to us at the time of such termination; (iii) vesting of 50% of all unvested stock options, restricted stock, stock appreciation rights or similar stock based rights granted to Mr. Milinazzo, and lapse of any forfeiture included in such restricted or other stock grants; (iv) an extension of the term of any outstanding stock options or stock appreciation rights until the earlier of (a) two (2) years from the date of termination, or (b) the latest date that each stock option or stock appreciation right would otherwise expire by its original terms; (v) to the fullest extent permitted by our then-current benefit plans, continuation of health, dental, vision and life insurance coverage for the lesser of 18 months after termination or until Mr. Milinazzo obtains coverage from a new employer; and (vi) a cash payment of \$35,000, which Mr. Milinazzo may use for executive outplacement services or an education program. The payments described above will be reduced by any payments received by Mr. Milinazzo pursuant to any of our employee welfare benefit plans providing for payments in the event of death or disability. If Mr. Milinazzo continues to be employed by us after the term of his employment agreement, unless otherwise agreed by the parties in writing, and Mr. Milinazzo's employment is terminated upon his death or disability, by Mr. Milinazzo for good reason, or by us without cause, Mr. Milinazzo will be entitled to receive, in addition to other unpaid amounts owed to him, the payments set forth in (i), (ii) and (iv) above. If, during the term of his employment agreement, we terminate Mr. Milinazzo's employment for cause, Mr. Milinazzo will only be entitled to unpaid amounts owed to him and whatever rights, if any, are available to him pursuant to our stock-based compensation plans or any award documents related to any stock-based compensation.

Mr. Milinazzo has no specific right to terminate the employment agreement or right to any severance payments or other benefits solely as a result of a change in control. However, if within 24 months following a change in control, (a) Mr. Milinazzo terminates his employment for good reason, or (b) we terminate his employment without cause, the lump sum severance payment to which he is entitled will be increased from 200% of his base salary to 250% of his base salary and all stock options, restricted stock units, stock appreciation rights or similar stock-based rights granted to him will vest in full and be immediately exercisable and any risk of forfeiture included in restricted or other stock grants previously made to him will immediately lapse.

### *Craig Shore*

On November 28, 2010, InspireMD Ltd. entered into an employment agreement with Craig Shore to serve as InspireMD Ltd.'s vice president of business development. Pursuant to the employment agreement, Mr. Shore was entitled to a monthly gross salary of \$8,750, which amount increased to \$10,200 upon consummation of our share exchange transactions on March 31, 2011 and was further increased to \$10,620 as of July 1, 2011. In addition, Mr. Shore's annual base salary was increased to \$175,000 on April 22, 2013, retroactive to January 1, 2013, and to \$220,200 on January 31, 2014, retroactive to January 1, 2014. Mr. Shore is also entitled to certain social and fringe benefits as set forth in the employment agreement. The employment agreement also contains certain confidentiality, non-competition and non-solicitation requirements for Mr. Shore. Mr. Shore is also entitled to, and received, a grant of options to purchase 45,000 restricted ordinary shares of InspireMD Ltd. which were converted into options to purchase 91,306 shares of our common stock (as adjusted for the one-for-four reverse stock split of our common stock that occurred on December 21, 2012) following the consummation of our share exchange transactions on March 31, 2011. Pursuant to Mr. Shore's employment agreement, in the event of a change of control of our company, the majority of shares of our common stock or our intellectual property that results in the termination of Mr. Shore's employment within one year of such change of control, the stock options granted to Mr. Shore in accordance with the terms of his employment agreement that were unvested will vest immediately upon such termination. Furthermore, pursuant to terms contained in Mr. Shore's stock option award agreement, in the event of a change of control of our company, the stock options granted to Mr. Shore that were unvested will vest immediately upon such change of control if such stock options are not assumed or substituted by the surviving company. If Mr. Shore's employment is terminated without cause, Mr. Shore shall be entitled to at least 30 days' prior notice and shall be paid his salary in full and all social and fringe benefits during such notice period. If a major change of control of InspireMD Ltd. occurs, Mr. Shore will be entitled to at least 180 days' prior written notice and shall be paid his salary in full and all social and fringe benefits during such notice period. If Mr. Shore is terminated for cause, he is not entitled to any notice. On April 22, 2013, we modified the compensation package for Mr. Shore to provide for (i) the aforementioned increase in base salary, (ii) Mr. Shore being eligible to receive an annual bonus equal to up to 30% of his base salary, at the sole discretion of our compensation committee, in consultation with our chief executive officer, and (iii) the following termination benefits upon Mr. Shore's termination of service as a result of death, disability, resignation for "good reason" or termination by us without "cause": (a) a one-time lump sum severance payment in an amount equal to 100% of Mr. Shore's annual base salary; (b) 50% vesting of all unvested stock options, restricted stock, restricted stock units, stock appreciation rights or similar stock based rights outstanding at the time of termination of service; and (c) the right to exercise any outstanding stock options or stock appreciation rights for a period equal to the lesser of (x) two years from the date of termination of service, or (y) the period remaining until the original expiration date of any such outstanding stock options or stock appreciation rights. We also amended Mr. Shore's outstanding options as of April 22, 2013 to provide that, upon a termination of service as a result of death, disability, resignation by Mr. Shore for "good reason, or by us without "cause," (i) 50% of the remaining unvested portion of such outstanding options shall vest, and (ii) Mr. Shore has

a period equal to the lesser of (A) two years from the date of termination of service, or (B) the period remaining until the original expiration date of such outstanding options, to exercise such outstanding options. On January 29, 2014, we further modified the compensation package for Mr. Shore so that he would be eligible to receive an annual bonus of up to 45% of his base salary for 2014.

On January 29, 2014, Mr. Shore received 77,000 shares of our restricted common stock and an option to purchase 77,000 shares of our common stock, at an exercise price of \$3.10 per share. On January 31, 2014, Mr. Shore also received a 2014 annual equity grant consisting of 29,735 shares of our restricted common stock and an option to purchase 96,670 shares of our common stock, at an exercise price of \$2.97 per share. Each grant vests in three equal annual installments, with one-third vesting on the first, second and third anniversaries of the date of grant, subject to Mr. Shore's continued service with us.

Subject to certain conditions, either party to our employment agreement with Mr. Shore may terminate the employment agreement without “cause” (as such term is defined in Mr. Shore’s employment agreement with us) upon at least 30 days’ prior notice to the other party or, in the event of a major change of control in terms of the ownership of shares of our common stock or our intellectual property, upon at least 180 days’ prior notice. During such notice period, we will continue to compensate Mr. Shore according to his employment agreement and Mr. Shore will be obligated to continue to discharge and perform all of his duties and obligations under his employment agreement, and to cooperate with us and use his best efforts to assist with the integration of any persons that we have delegated to assume Mr. Shore’s responsibilities. We believe that this arrangement with Mr. Shore will assist us in achieving a successful transition upon Mr. Shore’s departure. In addition, upon termination without “cause,” we have the right to pay Mr. Shore a lump payment representing his compensation for the notice period and terminate Mr. Shore’s employment immediately.

If we terminate Mr. Shore’s employment without cause, Mr. Shore will be entitled, under Israeli law, to severance payments equal to his last month’s salary multiplied by the number of years Mr. Shore has been employed with us. In order to finance this obligation, we make monthly contributions equal to 8.33% of Mr. Shore’s salary to a severance payment fund. The total amount accumulated in Mr. Shore’s severance payment fund as of December 31, 2013 was \$37,050 as adjusted for the conversion from New Israeli Shekels to U.S. dollars. However, if Mr. Shore’s employment is terminated without cause, on account of a disability or upon his death, as of December 31, 2013, Mr. Shore would have been entitled to receive \$48,679 in severance under Israeli law, thereby requiring us to pay Mr. Shore \$11,629, in addition to releasing the \$37,050 in Mr. Shore’s severance payment fund. On the other hand, pursuant to his employment agreement, Mr. Shore is entitled to the total amount contributed to and accumulated in his severance payment fund in the event of the termination of his employment as a result of his voluntary resignation. In addition, Mr. Shore would be entitled to receive his full severance payment under Israeli law, including the total amount contributed to and accumulated in his severance payment fund, if he retires from our company at or after age 67.

We are entitled to terminate Mr. Shore’s employment immediately at any time for “cause” (as such term is defined in the agreement and the Israeli Severance Payment Act 1963), upon which, after meeting certain requirements under the applicable law and recent Israeli Labor court requirements, we believe we will have no further obligation to compensate Mr. Shore.

Also, upon termination of Mr. Shore’s employment for any reason, we will compensate him for all unused vacation days accrued.

On May 3, 2013, we appointed Mr. Shore as our chief administrative officer and granted him an option to purchase 25,000 shares of common stock, at an exercise price of \$2.95, with a term of ten years, vesting in three equal annual installments, subject to Mr. Shore’s continued service with us.

*Eli Bar*

On June 26, 2005, InspireMD Ltd. entered into an employment agreement with Eli Bar to serve as InspireMD Ltd.'s engineering manager. Pursuant to this employment agreement, Mr. Bar is entitled to a monthly gross salary of \$8,750, which amount increased to \$10,620 as of July 1, 2011 and further increased to \$175,000 on April 22, 2013, retroactive to January 1, 2013. Mr. Bar is also entitled to certain social and fringe benefits as set forth in the employment agreement including a company car. The employment agreement also contains certain confidentiality, non-competition and non-solicitation requirements for Mr. Bar. If Mr. Bar's employment is terminated, with or without cause, he is entitled to at least 60 days' prior written notice and shall be paid his salary in full and all social and fringe benefits during such notice period. On April 22, 2013, we modified the compensation package for Mr. Bar to provide for (i) the aforementioned increase in base salary, (ii) Mr. Bar being eligible to receive an annual bonus equal to up to 30% of his base salary, at the sole discretion of our compensation committee, in consultation with our chief executive officer, and (iii) the following termination benefits upon Mr. Bar's termination of service as a result of death, disability, resignation for "good reason" or termination by us without "cause": (a) a one-time lump sum severance payment in an amount equal to 100% of Mr. Bar's annual base salary; (b) 50% vesting of all unvested stock options, restricted stock, restricted stock units, stock appreciation rights or similar stock based rights outstanding at the time of termination of service; and (c) the right to exercise any outstanding stock options or stock appreciation rights for a period equal to the lesser of (x) two years from the date of termination of service, or (y) the period remaining until the original expiration date of any such outstanding stock options or stock appreciation rights. We also modified Mr. Bar's outstanding options as of April 22, 2013 to provide that, upon a termination of service as a result of death, disability, resignation by Mr. Bar for "good reason, or by us without "cause," (i) 50% of the remaining unvested portion of such outstanding options shall vest, and (ii) Mr. Bar has a period equal to the lesser of (A) two years from the date of termination of service, or (B) the period remaining until the original expiration date of such outstanding options, to exercise such outstanding options. On January 29, 2014, we further modified the compensation package for Mr. Bar so that he would be eligible to receive an annual bonus of up to 45% of his base salary for 2014.

In June 2011, Mr. Bar was awarded an option to acquire up to 50,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$11.00 per share (as adjusted for the one-for-four reverse stock split of our common stock that occurred on December 21, 2012) as a bonus payment for his significant contributions to our company, including Mr. Bar's continued exemplary performance and contributions to the clinical development of our product and the desire to continue to retain his services and keep his compensation consistent with what we pay to our other senior executives. We determined that granting Mr. Bar more of an equity interest would further increase his opportunity to share in our future financial success and align his objectives with those of our stockholders. The option vests on an annual basis over a three year period. The exercise price was the fair market value of our common stock on the date of grant. In August 2011, we cancelled this option and reissued an option to purchase 50,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$7.72 because our board of directors determined that the \$11.00 exercise price was too far out of the money to achieve the compensatory and incentive purposes of the options. The exercise price of the new option was the fair market value of our common stock on the date of grant.

On January 31, 2014, Mr. Bar received a 2014 annual equity grant consisting of 29,735 shares of our restricted common stock and an option to purchase 96,670 shares of our common stock, at an exercise price of \$2.97 per share. Each grant vests in three equal annual installments, with one-third vesting on the first, second and third anniversaries of the date of grant, subject to Mr. Bar's continued service with us.



Subject to certain conditions, either party to our employment agreement with Mr. Bar may terminate the employment agreement without “cause” (as such term is defined in Mr. Bar’s employment agreement with us) upon at least 60 days’ prior written notice to the other party. During such notice period, we will continue to compensate Mr. Bar according to his employment agreement and Mr. Bar will be obligated to continue to discharge and perform all of his duties and obligations under his employment agreement, and to cooperate with us and use his best efforts to assist with the integration of any persons that we have delegated to assume Mr. Bar’s responsibilities. We believe that our severance arrangement with Mr. Bar will assist us in achieving a successful transition upon Mr. Bar’s departure. In addition, upon termination without “cause,” we have the right to pay Mr. Bar a lump payment representing his compensation for the notice period and terminate Mr. Bar’s employment immediately.

If Mr. Bar’s employment is terminated without cause, Mr. Bar will also be entitled under Israeli law to severance payments equal to his last month’s salary multiplied by the number of years Mr. Bar has been employed with us. In order to finance this obligation, we make monthly contributions equal to 8.33% of Mr. Bar’s salary each month to a severance payment fund. The total amount accumulated in his severance payment fund as of December 31, 2013 was \$92,906, as adjusted for conversion from New Israeli Shekels to U.S. dollars. However, if Mr. Bar’s employment was terminated without cause, on account of a disability or upon his death, as of December 31, 2013, Mr. Bar would be entitled to receive \$134,357 in severance under Israeli law, thereby requiring us to pay Mr. Bar \$41,451, in addition to releasing the \$92,906 in his severance payment fund. In addition, Mr. Bar would be entitled to receive his full severance payment under Israeli law, including the total amount contributed to and accumulated in his severance payment fund, if he retires from our company at or after age 67.

We are entitled to terminate Mr. Bar’s employment immediately at any time for “cause” (as such term is defined in the agreement and the Israeli Severance Payment Act 1963), upon which, after meeting certain requirements under the applicable law and recent Israeli Labor court requirements, we believe we will have no further obligation to compensate Mr. Bar.

In addition, pursuant to terms contained in Mr. Bar’s stock option award agreement, in the event of a change of control of our company, the stock options granted to Mr. Bar that were unvested will vest immediately upon such change of control if such stock options are not assumed or substituted by the surviving company. Also, upon termination of Mr. Bar’s employment for any reason, we will compensate him for all unused vacation days accrued.

#### **Grants of Plan-Based Awards – Transition Period**

There have been no grants of plan-based awards to our named executive officers in the sixth month transition period ended December 31, 2013.

**Outstanding Equity Awards at December 31, 2013**

The following table shows information concerning unexercised options and unvested restricted shares outstanding as of December 31, 2013 for each of our named executive officers.

Name	Option Awards					Stock Awards	
	Number of securities underlying unexercised options (#) exercisable	Number of securities underlying unexercised options (#) nonexercisable		Option exercise price (\$)	Option expiration date	Number of shares of stock that have not vested (#)	Market value of shares of stock that have not vested (\$)
Alan Milinazzo	183,333	416,667	(1)	4.05	1/3/2023	-	-
		297,447	(3)	2.05	4/25/2023	366,667(2)	902,001
						179,866(4)	442,470
Craig Shore	91,306	-	(5)	4.928	2/27/2021	-	-
	25,000	50,000	(6)	3.20	5/24/2022	-	-
		25,000	(7)	2.95	5/3/2023	-	-
Eli Bar	38,044	-	(8)	0.0001	7/25/2020	-	-
	20,290	-	(8)	4.92	7/28/2020	-	-
	33,333	16,667	(9)	7.72	8/31/2016	-	-

- (1) These options were granted in January 2013 and vest monthly, commencing on February 3, 2013 and vesting on the next thirty-five months of that date.  
These restricted shares were granted in January 2013 and initially vested monthly over thirty-six months, with
- (2) 1/36 vesting on February 3, 2013, March 3, 2013 and April 3, 2013. The grant was then amended to vest annually over three years, with 9/36 vesting in January 2014, and one-third vesting on January 3, 2015 and January 3, 2016.
- (3) These options were granted on April 25, 2013 and vest annually, with one-third vesting on April 25, 2014, April 25, 2015 and April 25, 2016.
- (4) These restricted shares were granted on April 25, 2013 and vest annually, with one-third vesting on April 25, 2014, April 25, 2015 and April 25, 2016.
- (5) These options were granted in February 2011 and vested annually, with one-third vesting on November 23, 2011, November 23, 2012 and November 23, 2013.
- (6) These options were granted on May 25, 2012 and vest annually, with one-third vesting on May 25, 2013, May 25, 2014 and May 25, 2015.
- (7) These options were granted on May 3, 2013 and vest annually, with one-third vesting on May 3, 2014, May 3, 2015 and May 3, 2016.
- (8) These options were granted in July 2010 and vested quarterly over three years, commencing with the quarter in which they were granted.
- (9) These options were granted in August 2011 and vest annually, with 1/3 vesting on May 23, 2012, May 23, 2013 and May 23, 2014.

### **Option Exercises and Stock Vested**

There were no stock options exercised by our named executive officers during the six months ended December 31, 2013.

### **2011 UMBRELLA Option Plan**

On March 28, 2011, our board of directors and stockholders adopted and approved the InspireMD, Inc. 2011 UMBRELLA Option Plan, which was subsequently amended on October 31, 2011 and December 21, 2012. Under the InspireMD, Inc. 2011 UMBRELLA Option Plan, we have reserved 5,000,000 shares of our common stock (as adjusted for the one-for-four reverse stock split of our common stock that occurred on December 21, 2012) as awards to the employees, consultants, and service providers to InspireMD, Inc. and its subsidiaries and affiliates worldwide.

The InspireMD, Inc. 2011 UMBRELLA Option Plan currently consists of three components, the primary plan document that governs all awards granted under the InspireMD, Inc. 2011 UMBRELLA Option Plan, and two appendices: (i) Appendix A, designated for the purpose of grants of stock options and restricted stock awards to Israeli employees, consultants, officers and other service providers and other non-U.S. employees, consultants, and service providers, and (ii) Appendix B, which is the 2011 U.S. Equity Incentive Plan, designated for the purpose of grants of stock options and restricted stock awards to U.S. employees, consultants, and service providers who are subject to the

U.S. income tax. On December 21, 2012, the stockholders approved the awarding of “incentive stock options” pursuant to the U.S. portion of the plan.

The purpose of the InspireMD, Inc. 2011 UMBRELLA Option Plan is to provide an incentive to attract and retain employees, officers, consultants, directors, and service providers whose services are considered valuable, to encourage a sense of proprietorship and to stimulate an active interest of such persons in our development and financial success. The InspireMD, Inc. 2011 UMBRELLA Option Plan is administered by our compensation committee. Unless terminated earlier by the board of directors, the InspireMD, Inc. 2011 UMBRELLA Option Plan will expire on March 27, 2021.

### **2013 Long-Term Incentive Plan**

On December 16, 2013, our stockholders approved the InspireMD, Inc. 2013 Long-Term Incentive Plan, which was adopted by our board of directors on October 25, 2013.

The purpose of the InspireMD, Inc. 2013 Long-Term Incentive Plan is to provide an incentive to attract and retain employees, officers, consultants, directors, and service providers whose services are considered valuable, to encourage a sense of proprietorship and to stimulate an active interest of such persons in our development and financial success. The InspireMD, Inc. 2013 Long-Term Incentive Plan provides for the granting of incentive stock options, nonqualified stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units, performance awards, dividend equivalent rights, and other awards, which may be granted singly, in combination, or in tandem. The InspireMD, Inc. 2013 Long-Term Incentive Plan is administered by our compensation committee. A total of 5,000,000 shares of common stock are reserved for awards under the InspireMD, Inc. 2013 Long-Term Incentive Plan.

The InspireMD, Inc. 2013 Long-Term Incentive Plan is intended serve as an “umbrella” plan for us and our subsidiaries worldwide. Therefore, if so required, appendices may be added to the InspireMD, Inc. 2013 Long-Term Incentive Plan in order to accommodate local regulations that do not correspond to the scope of the InspireMD, Inc. 2013 Long-Term Incentive Plan. Attached as Appendix A to the InspireMD, Inc. 2013 Long-Term Incentive Plan is the InspireMD, Inc. 2013 Employee Stock Incentive Plan, for the purpose of making grants of stock options, restricted stock, and other stock incentive awards pursuant to Sections 102 and 3(i) of the Israeli Income Tax Ordinance (New Version), 1961 to Israeli employees and officers and any other service providers or control holders of us who are subject to Israeli Income Tax.

### **Director Compensation**

The following table shows information concerning our directors, other than Alan Milinazzo, during the six months ended December 31, 2013.

Edgar Filing: BRASIL TELECOM SA - Form 6-K/A

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)	<b>Option Awards<sup>(1)</sup></b> (\$)	All Other Compensation (\$)	Total (\$)
Sol J. Barer, Ph.D.	12,500	—	—	—	12,500
James Barry, Ph.D.	12,500	—	—	—	12,500
Paul Stuka	12,500	—	—	—	12,500
Eyal Weinstein (2)	11,470	—	—	—	11,470
Asher Holzer, Ph.D. (2)	—	—	—	—	—
James J. Loughlin	12,500	—	—	—	12,500
Michael Berman	12,500	—	—	—	12,500
Campbell Rogers, M.D.	8,104	—	164,436	(3)	172,540
Ofir Paz (4)	—	—	—	—	—

The amounts in this column reflect the dollar amounts recognized for financial statement reporting purposes with respect to the six months ended December 31, 2013, in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. Fair value is based on the Black-Scholes option pricing model using the fair value of the underlying shares at the measurement date. For additional discussion of the valuation assumptions used in determining stock-based compensation and the grant date fair value for stock options, see “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation — Critical Accounting Policies — Share-based compensation” and Note 2 — “Significant Accounting Policies” and Note 9 — “Equity” of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for the Six Months Ended December 31, 2013 included herein.

(1) The term of our Class 2 directors expired at the annual meeting of stockholders held on December 16, 2013. Mr. Weinstein and Dr. Holzer did not stand for re-election and thus ceased to be members of the board of directors following the annual meeting.

Represents the fair market value on the date of grant of an option to purchase 125,000 shares of our common stock granted to Dr. Rogers on September 3, 2013 in connection with his appointment as a member of our board of directors. The option has an exercise price of \$2.12 per share and vests annually, with one-third vesting in 2014, 2015 and 2016 on the anniversary of the date of grant, provided that if Dr. Rogers fails to be reelected or nominated for reelection at the 2014 annual meeting of stockholders, the option vests and becomes exercisable as of such date. The option will expire on September 3, 2023. This grant was made under the InspireMD, Inc. 2011 UMBRELLA Option Plan.

(4) Mr. Paz served as our director until his resignation on September 3, 2013 but did not receive any additional compensation for his services as director.

We reimburse our directors for reasonable expenses incurred in connection with their service as directors. For the 2013 calendar year, our board approved the following compensation for our independent directors serving as of January 1, 2013, in recognition of their board service: (i) a \$25,000 stipend, payable quarterly, and (ii) an option to purchase 50,000 shares of our common stock. In addition, Dr. Barer, as chairman of the board, received an additional option to purchase 50,000 shares of our common stock and each director serving as chairman of a board committee received an additional option to purchase 25,000 shares of our common stock. Dr. Barry also received an additional option to purchase 25,000 shares of our common stock in recognition of his extraordinary contributions to our operations. On September 3, 2013, we also granted to Dr. Rogers an option to purchase 125,000 shares of our common stock in connection with his appointment as a member of our board of directors.

For the 2014 calendar year, our board approved the following compensation for our independent directors: (i) a \$25,000 stipend, payable quarterly; (ii) annual committee chair compensation (effective April 1, 2014) of \$12,000 for the chairman of the audit committee, \$8,000 for the chairman of the compensation committee and \$5,000 for the chairmen of the nominating and corporate governance committee and the research and development committee; (iii) annual committee membership compensation (effective April 1, 2014) of \$4,000 for members of the audit committee and the compensation committee and \$2,000 for members of the nominating and corporate governance committee and the research and development committee; (iv) an option to purchase 50,000 shares of our common stock for each board member; and (v) an option to purchase an additional 35,000 shares of our common stock for the chairman of the board. In addition, on February 25, 2014, we entered into a consulting agreement with Dr. Barry, pursuant to which Dr. Barry agreed to provide us with consulting services in exchange for a monthly consultancy fee calculated at the



rate of \$313 per hour.

70

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.

The following table sets forth information with respect to the beneficial ownership of our common stock as of February 25, 2014 by:

each person known by us to beneficially own more than 5.0% of our common stock;

each of our directors;

each of the named executive officers; and

all of our directors and executive officers as a group.

The percentages of common stock beneficially owned are reported on the basis of regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission governing the determination of beneficial ownership of securities. Under the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, a person is deemed to be a beneficial owner of a security if that person has or shares voting power, which includes the power to vote or to direct the voting of the security, or investment power, which includes the power to dispose of or to direct the disposition of the security. Except as indicated in the footnotes to this table, each beneficial owner named in the table below has sole voting and sole investment power with respect to all shares beneficially owned and each person's address is c/o InspireMD, Inc., 321 Columbus Avenue, Boston, MA 02116. As of February 25, 2014, we had 34,925,920 shares outstanding.

Name of Beneficial Owner	Number of Shares Beneficially Owned <sup>(1)</sup>		Percentage Beneficially Owned <sup>(1)</sup>	
<b>5% Owners</b>				
Orbimed Advisors LLC <sup>(2)</sup>	3,395,000	(3)	9.7	%
Asher Holzer, Ph.D.	1,925,110	(4)	5.5	%
Opaleye Management Inc. <sup>(5)</sup>	2,086,100	(6)	6.0	%
Jennison Associates LLC <sup>(7)</sup>	1,756,873	(8)	5.0	%
<b>Officers and Directors</b>				
Alan W. Milinazzo	1,187,948	(9)	3.4	%
Craig Shore	223,791	(10)	*	
Sol J. Barer, Ph.D.	2,754,167	(11)	7.7	%
James Barry, Ph.D.	20,833	(10)	*	
Michael Berman	71,472	(10)	*	
James J. Loughlin	23,333	(10)	*	
Campbell Rogers, M.D.	-		-	
Paul Stuka	932,704	(12)	2.7	%
Eli Bar	387,712	(10)	1.1	%

Edgar Filing: BRASIL TELECOM SA - Form 6-K/A

All directors and executive officers as a group (9 persons)	5,601,959	15.3	%
---	-----------	------	---

71

---

\* Represents ownership of less than one percent.

Shares of common stock beneficially owned and the respective percentages of beneficial ownership of common stock assumes the exercise of all options, warrants and other securities convertible into common stock beneficially owned by such person or entity currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days of February 25, 2014. Shares (1) issuable pursuant to the exercise of stock options and warrants exercisable within 60 days are deemed outstanding and held by the holder of such options or warrants for computing the percentage of outstanding common stock beneficially owned by such person, but are not deemed outstanding for computing the percentage of outstanding common stock beneficially owned by any other person.

(2) Orbimed Advisors LLC's address is 601 Lexington Avenue, 54th Floor, New York, NY 10022.

Based on Amendment No. 1 to Schedule 13G filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 13, 2014 and comprised of (i) 1,018,500 shares of common stock owned directly by OrbiMed Israel Partners Limited Partnership and (ii) 2,376,500 shares of common stock owned directly by OrbiMed Private Investments IV, LP. OrbiMed Israel GP Ltd. is the general partner of OrbiMed Israel BioFund GP Limited Partnership, which is the general partner of OrbiMed Israel Partners Limited Partnership, and each shares voting and dispositive power over the securities directly owned by OrbiMed Israel Partners Limited Partnership. Each of OrbiMed Israel GP Ltd. and (3) OrbiMed Israel BioFund GP Limited Partnership disclaims beneficial ownership of the securities owned directly by OrbiMed Private Investments IV, LP. Samuel D. Isaly is the managing member of OrbiMed Advisors LLC and OrbiMed Advisors LLC is the managing member of OrbiMed Capital GP IV LLC, which is the general partner of OrbiMed Private Investments IV, LP. Therefore, each of Mr. Isaly, OrbiMed Advisors LLC and OrbiMed Capital GP IV LLC shares voting and dispositive power over the securities owned directly by OrbiMed Private Investments IV, LP, but disclaims beneficial ownership of the securities owned directly by OrbiMed Israel Partners Limited Partnership.

(4) Based on a Schedule 13D filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 16, 2014.

(5) Opaleye Management Inc.'s address is 9B Russell Street, Cambridge, MA 02140.

(6) Based on a Schedule 13G filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 16, 2014.

Jennison Associates LLC's address is 466 Lexington Avenue, New York, NY 10017. These shares are also reported (7) as beneficially owned by Prudential Financial, Inc. Prudential Financial, Inc.'s address is 751 Broad Street, Newark, NJ 07102.

Edgar Filing: BRASIL TELECOM SA - Form 6-K/A

Based on a Schedule 13G filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 7, 2014 by Jennison (8) Associates LLC and a Schedule 13G filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 5, 2014 by Prudential Financial, Inc.

(9) Includes options to purchase 349,149 shares of common stock that are currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days of February 25, 2014.

(10) Represents options that are currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days of February 25, 2014.

(11) Comprised of (i) 1,900,000 shares of common stock and (ii) options to purchase 854,167 shares of common stock that are currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days of February 25, 2014.

Paul Stuka is the principal and managing member of Osiris Investment Partners, L.P., and, as such, has beneficial ownership of the (i) 745,204 shares of common stock and (ii) currently exercisable warrants to purchase 166,667 (12) shares of common stock held by Osiris Investment Partners, L.P., in addition to personally holding options to purchase 20,833 shares of common stock that are currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days of February 25, 2014.

### Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table provides certain information as of December 31, 2013 with respect to our equity compensation plans under which our equity securities are authorized for issuance:

Plan Category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (a)	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights (b)	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) (c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	3,231,890	3.68	5,335,191
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	1,174,508 <sup>(1)</sup>	5.78	-
Total	4,406,399	4.24	

(1) Comprised of awards made to individuals outside the InspireMD, Inc. 2011 UMBRELLA Option Plan and 2013 Long Term Incentive Plan, as described below:

In April 2008, we issued options to purchase 1,461 shares of common stock to a provider of finder services who assisted InspireMD Ltd. in raising funds in 2008. The exercise price of these options is \$4.93 per share. These options

are fully vested and expire in June 2016.

Options issued to a consultant: in May 2006, we issued options to purchase 83,636 shares of common stock to a consultant. The exercise price of these options was \$0.76 per share. We believe these options have expired, but they are included above because such expiration is currently under legal dispute.

Options issued to former directors: in August 2011, we issued options to purchase an aggregate of 81,161 shares of common stock to David Ivry and Fellice Pelled. Both Mr. Ivry and Mr. Pelled resigned as directors of InspireMD, Ltd. on March 31, 2011. Pursuant to the terms of the directors' vested options, the vested options expired thirty days after the directors' resignations. However, in connection with their resignation, we granted Mr. Ivry and Mr. Pelled each replacement options to purchase 40,581 shares of common stock. During September and November 2012 Mr. Ivry exercised 17,500 of his options into our common stock. The exercise price of these options is \$4.92 per share and they expire on December 31, 2014.

Options issued to current director: in November 2011, we issued options to purchase an aggregate of 725,000 shares of common stock to Dr. Barer, the chairman of our board of directors. The exercise price of these options is \$7.80 per share. An option to purchase 181,250 shares of common stock vested on April 11, 2013, when our common stock was first listed on a national securities exchange. An option to purchase 181,250 shares of common stock vested on May 10, 2013, after we received research coverage from a second investment bank that ranked in the top twenty investment banks in terms of life science underwritings. The option to purchase 362,500 shares of common stock vests in substantially equal monthly installments (with any fractional shares vesting on the last vesting date) on the last business day of each calendar month over a two year period from the date of grant, with the first installment vesting on November 30, 2011, provided that Dr. Barer is still providing services to us in some capacity as of each such vesting date.

Warrant issued to current officer: in March 2011, for work performed in connection with the share exchange transactions and as bonus compensation, we issued Craig Shore, our chief financial officer, secretary and treasurer, a five-year warrant to purchase up to 750 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$7.20 per share.

Options issued to current vice president of global marketing and strategy: in September 2013, we issued options to purchase 150,000 shares of common stock to David Blossom. The exercise price of these options was \$2.23 per share. The options vest annually with one-third vesting on September 16, 2014, September 16, 2015 and September 16, 2016. The options expire on September 16, 2023.

Options issued to current vice president of global sales operations: in December 2013, we issued options to purchase 150,000 shares of common stock to Rick Olson. The exercise price of these options was \$2.75 per share. The options vest annually with one-third vesting on December 2, 2014, December 2, 2015 and December 2, 2016. The options expire on December 2, 2023.

### **Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence.**

On January 3, 2013, Ofir Paz resigned as our chief executive officer, and in connection with Mr. Paz's resignation, InspireMD Ltd. and A.S. Paz Management and Investment Ltd. entered into a separation agreement and release, pursuant to which, among other things, the consultancy agreement, dated as of April 1, 2012, by and between InspireMD Ltd. and A.S. Paz Management, was terminated and Mr. Paz resigned as chief executive officer of InspireMD Ltd. and as a director of InspireMD Ltd. In accordance with the terms of the consultancy agreement, we continued to pay Mr. Paz's monthly consultancy fee of \$21,563 for six months following termination of the consultancy agreement.

On June 1, 2012, in connection with his resignation as president and director of InspireMD Ltd. and our president, we entered into a consulting agreement with Dr. Holzer, which terminated on November 30, 2012, pursuant to which Dr. Holzer provided us with consulting services in exchange for monthly payments of \$20,337. On February 21, 2013, we agreed to pay Dr. Holzer \$64,195 in consideration for consulting services provided by Dr. Holzer to us since the expiration of his consulting agreement. The amount equals three months of payments under the expired consulting agreement plus applicable value added tax.



In accordance with our audit committee charter, the audit committee is required to approve all related party transactions. In general, the audit committee will review any proposed transaction that has been identified as a related party transaction under Item 404 of Regulation S-K, which means a transaction, arrangement or relationship in which we and any related party are participants in which the amount involved exceeds \$120,000. A related party includes (i) a director, director nominee or executive officer of us, (ii) a security holder known to be an owner of more than 5% of our voting securities, (iii) an immediate family member of the foregoing or (iv) a corporation or other entity in which any of the foregoing persons is an executive, principal or similar control person or in which such person has a 5% or greater beneficial ownership interest.

### **Director Independence**

The board of directors has determined that Drs. Barer, Barry and Rogers and Messrs. Loughlin, Stuka and Berman satisfy the requirement for independence set out in Section 803 of the NYSE MKT rules and that each of these directors has no material relationship with us (other than being a director and/or a stockholder). In making its independence determinations, the board of directors sought to identify and analyze all of the facts and circumstances relating to any relationship between a director, his immediate family or affiliates and our company and our affiliates and did not rely on categorical standards other than those contained in the NYSE MKT rule referenced above.

### **Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services.**

The fees billed for professional services provided to us by Kesselman & Kesselman, Certified Public Accountants (“Kesselman”), a member of PricewaterhouseCoopers International Limited, for the six month period ended December 31, 2013, the twelve month period ended June 30, 2013, the six month period ended June 30, 2012 and the twelve month period ended December 31, 2011, respectively, are described below.

### **Audit Fees**

Kesselman billed us audit fees in the aggregate amount of \$110,000 for the six month period ended December 31, 2013, \$290,000 for the twelve month period ended June 30, 2013, \$155,000 for the six month period ended June 30, 2012, \$255,000 for the twelve month period ended June 30, 2012 and \$205,000 for the twelve month period ended December 31, 2011. These fees relate to the audit of our annual financial statements and the review of our interim quarterly financial statements.

### **Audit-Related Fees**

Kesselman billed us audit-related fees in the aggregate amount of \$35,000 for the six month period ended December 31, 2013, \$135,000 for the twelve month period ended June 30, 2013, \$20,000 for the six month period ended June 30, 2012, \$60,000 for the twelve month period ended June 30, 2012 and \$106,300 for the twelve month period ended December 31, 2011. The fees for the six month period ended December 31, 2013 related to performance of audit-related services for our registration statement on Form S-3 initially filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 24, 2013. The fees for the twelve month period ended June 30, 2013 related to the performance of audit-related services for our registration statement on Form S-1 initially filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 24, 2012 and amendments thereto. The fees for the six month period ended June 30, 2012 related to the performance of audit-related services for our registration statement on Form S-1 initially filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 17, 2012 and amendments thereto. The fees for the twelve month period ended December 31, 2011 related to the performance of audit-related services for our registration statement on Form S-1 initially filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 16, 2011, amendments thereto and documentation of processes and controls related to Sarbanes-Oxley Act compliance.

### **Tax Fees**

Kesselman billed us tax fees in the aggregate amount of \$35,000 for the six month period ended December 31, 2013, \$55,500 for the twelve month period ended June 30, 2013, \$44,000 for the six month period ended June 30, 2012, \$59,000 for the twelve month period ended June 30, 2012 and \$26,000 for the twelve month period ended December 31, 2011. These fees relate to professional services rendered for tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning.

### **All Other Fees**

Kesselman did not bill us for any other fees for the six month period ended December 31, 2013, the twelve month period ended June 30, 2013, the six month period ended June 30, 2012 or the twelve month period ended December 31, 2011.

For the portion of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011 prior to our formation of the audit committee, the board of directors considered the audit fees, audit-related fees, tax fees and other fees paid to our accountants, as disclosed above, and determined that the payment of such fees was compatible with maintaining the independence of the accountants. Our audit committee pre-approves all auditing services, internal control-related services and permitted non-audit services (including the fees and terms thereof) to be performed for us by our independent auditor, except for de minimis non-audit services that are approved by the audit committee prior to the completion of the audit. The audit committee may form and delegate authority to subcommittees consisting of one or more members when appropriate, including the authority to grant pre-approvals of audit and permitted non-audit services, provided that decisions of such subcommittee to grant pre-approvals is presented to the full audit committee at its next scheduled meeting.

## **PART IV**

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.

Documents filed as part of report:

### 1. Financial Statements

The following financial statements are included herein:

§ Report of Kesselman & Kesselman, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

§ Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2013 and June 30, 2013 and 2012

§ Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Six Months Ended December 31, 2013 and Years Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

§ Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity for the Six Months Ended December 31, 2013 and Years Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

§ Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended December 31, 2013 and Years Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

§ Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

2. Financial Statement Schedules

None

3. Exhibits

See Index to Exhibits

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

INSPIREMD, INC.

Date: February 26, 2014 By: /s/ Alan Milinazzo  
 Alan Milinazzo  
 President and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

<b>Signature</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Date</b>
/s/ Alan Milinazzo Alan Milinazzo	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director (principal executive officer)	February 26, 2014
/s/ Craig Shore Craig Shore	Chief Financial Officer, Secretary and Treasurer (principal financial and accounting officer)	February 26, 2014
/s/ Sol J. Barer Sol J. Barer	Chairman of the Board of Directors	February 26, 2014
/s/ James Barry James Barry	Director	February 26, 2014
/s/ Michael Berman Michael Berman	Director	February 26, 2014
/s/ James J. Loughlin James J. Loughlin	Director	February 26, 2014
/s/ Campbell Rogers Campbell Rogers	Director	February 26, 2014
/s/ Paul Stuka Paul Stuka	Director	February 26, 2014

**Index to Exhibits**

<b>Exhibit No.</b>	<b>Description</b>
3.1	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 1, 2011)
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 1, 2011)
3.3	Certificate of Amendment to Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 21, 2012)
3.4	Certificate of Designation, Preferences and Rights of Series A Preferred Stock (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 25, 2013)
4.1	Form of Common Stock Certificate (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Amendment No. 3 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 5, 2013)
4.2	Rights Agreement dated as of October 22, 2013 between InspireMD, Inc. and Action Stock transfer Corporation, as Rights Agent, including exhibits thereto (incorporated by reference to an exhibit to the Registration Statement on Form 8-A filed with Securities and Exchange Commission on October 25, 2013)
10.1+	Amended and Restated 2011 Umbrella Option Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 4, 2011)
10.2+	Form of Stock Option Award Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 6, 2011)
10.3	Securities Purchase Agreement, dated as of March 31, 2011, by and among InspireMD, Inc. and certain purchasers set forth therein (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to Amendment No. 1 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 26, 2011)
10.4	Form of \$7.20 Warrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 6, 2011)
10.5	Form of \$4.92 Warrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 6, 2011)
10.6	Manufacturing Agreement, by and between InspireMD Ltd. and QualiMed Innovative Medizinprodukte GmbH, dated as of September 11, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 to Amendment No. 1 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 26, 2011)

Edgar Filing: BRASIL TELECOM SA - Form 6-K/A

- 10.7 Development Agreement, by and between InspireMD Ltd. and QualiMed Innovative Medizinprodukte GmbH, dated as of January 15, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to Amendment No. 1 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 26, 2011)
- 10.8 License Agreement, by and between Svelte Medical Systems, Inc. and InspireMD Ltd., dated as of March 19, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to Amendment No. 1 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 26, 2011)
- 10.9+ Personal Employment Agreement, by and between InspireMD Ltd. and Eli Bar, dated as of June 26, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 6, 2011)

- 10.10+ Employment Agreement, by and between InspireMD Ltd. and Craig Shore, dated as of November 28, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.21 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 6, 2011)
- 10.11+ Form of Indemnity Agreement between InspireMD, Inc. and each of the directors and executive officers thereof (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.22 to Amendment No. 1 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 26, 2011)
- 10.12 Form of Warrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 22, 2011)
- 10.13 Agreement by and between InspireMD Ltd. and MeKo Laser Material Processing, dated as of April 15, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.26 to Amendment No. 1 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 26, 2011)
- 10.14 Agreement by and between InspireMD Ltd. and Natec Medical Ltd, dated as of September 23, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.27 to Amendment No. 1 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 26, 2011)
- 10.15 Exclusive Distribution Agreement by and between InspireMD Ltd. and Hand-Prod Sp. Z o.o, dated as of December 10, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.28 to Amendment No. 3 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 12, 2011)
- 10.16+ \$6.00 Nonqualified Stock Option Agreement, dated as of July 11, 2011, by and between InspireMD, Inc. and Sol J. Barer, Ph.D. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 15, 2011)
- 10.17 Exclusive Distribution Agreement by and between InspireMD GmbH. and IZASA Distribuciones Tecnicas SA, dated as of May 20, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.36 to Amendment No. 3 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 12, 2011)
- 10.18 Amendment to the Distribution Agreement by and between InspireMD GmbH. and IZASA Distribuciones Tecnicas SA, dated as of February 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.37 to Amendment No. 3 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 12, 2011)
- 10.19 Exclusive Distribution Agreement by and between InspireMD Ltd. and Tzamal-Jacobsohn Ltd., dated as of December 24, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.38 to Amendment No. 3 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 12, 2011)
- 10.20 Exclusive Distribution Agreement by and between InspireMD Ltd. and Kirloskar Technologies (P) Ltd., dated as of May 13, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.39 to Amendment No. 3 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 12, 2011)
- 10.21 Letter Agreement by and between InspireMD Ltd. and Tzamal-Jacobsohn Ltd., dated as of May 9, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.43 to Amendment No. 4 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 1, 2011)
- 10.22+



Edgar Filing: BRASIL TELECOM SA - Form 6-K/A

Stock Award Agreement, dated as of November 16, 2011, by and between InspireMD, Inc. and Sol J. Barer, Ph.D. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 18, 2011)

10.23+ Nonqualified Stock Option Agreement, dated as of November 16, 2011, by and between InspireMD, Inc. and Sol J. Barer, Ph.D. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 18, 2011)

- 10.24 Amendment No. 1 to Securities Purchase Agreement, dated as of June 21, 2011, by and among InspireMD, Inc. and the purchasers that are signatory thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.43 to Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 13, 2012)
- 10.25 Amendment No. 2 to Securities Purchase Agreement, dated as of November 14, 2011, by and among InspireMD, Inc. and the purchasers that are signatory thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.44 to Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 13, 2012)
- 10.26+ Consultancy Agreement, dated March 27, 2012, by and between InspireMD Ltd. and Robert Ratini (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 2, 2012)
- 10.27 Form of April 2012 \$1.80 Warrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 6, 2012)
- 10.28 Registration Rights Agreement, dated April 5, 2012, by and between InspireMD, Inc. and the purchasers set forth therein (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 6, 2012)
- 10.29 Exclusive Distribution Agreement, dated as of August 1, 2007, by and between InspireMD Ltd. and Kardia Srl. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.59 to Transition Report on Form 10-K/T filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 11, 2012)
- 10.30 Addendum to the Distribution Agreement, dated as of January 18, 2011, by and between InspireMD Ltd. and Kardia Srl. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.60 to Transition Report on Form 10-K/T filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 11, 2012)
- 10.31 Exclusive Distribution Agreement, dated as of May 26, 2011, by and between InspireMD Ltd. and Bosti Trading Ltd. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.62 to Transition Report on Form 10-K/T filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 11, 2012)
- 10.32 Addendum to the Distribution Agreement, dated as of August 29, 2011, by and between InspireMD Ltd. and Bosti Trading Ltd. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.63 to Transition Report on Form 10-K/T filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 11, 2012)
- 10.33 Amendment No. 1 to Registration Rights Agreement, dated May 31, 2012, by and between InspireMD, Inc. and the purchasers set forth therein (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.65 to Transition Report on Form 10-K/T filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 11, 2012)
- 10.34 First Amendment to License Agreement, dated October 20, 2012, by and among Svelte Medical Systems, Inc., InspireMD, Inc. and InspireMD Ltd. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 23, 2012)
- 10.35 Exclusive Distribution Agreement, dated June 7, 2010, by and between InspireMD Ltd. and Tau Medical Supplies (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.68 to Amendment No. 2 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 9, 2012)

Edgar Filing: BRASIL TELECOM SA - Form 6-K/A

10.36+ Second Amendment to the InspireMD, Inc. Amended and Restated 2011 UMBRELLA Option Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 26, 2012)

10.37+ Employment Agreement, dated January 3, 2013, by and between InspireMD, Inc. and Alan Milinazzo (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 9, 2013)

10.38+ Nonqualified Stock Option Agreement, dated January 3, 2013, by and between InspireMD, Inc. and Alan Milinazzo (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 9, 2013)

- 10.39+ Incentive Stock Option Agreement, dated January 3, 2013, by and between InspireMD, Inc. and Alan Milinazzo (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 9, 2013)
- 10.40+ Restricted Stock Award Agreement, dated January 3, 2013, by and between InspireMD, Inc. and Alan Milinazzo (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 9, 2013)
- 10.41 Form of \$3.00 Warrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.76 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 9, 2013)
- 10.42 Letter Agreement, dated as of April 15, 2013, by and among InspireMD, Inc. and each holder of Senior Secured Convertible Debentures Due April 15, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 15, 2013)
- 10.43 Form of Amended \$3.00 Warrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 15, 2013)
- 10.44+ First Amendment to Employment Agreement, dated April 24, 2013, by and between InspireMD, Inc. and Alan Milinazzo (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 26, 2013)
- 10.45+ First Amendment to Restricted Stock Award Agreement, dated April 24, 2013, by and between InspireMD, Inc. and Alan Milinazzo (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 26, 2013)
- 10.46 Master Service Agreement, dated May 31, 2013, by and between InspireMD Ltd. and Medpace, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 12, 2013)
- 10.47 Second Amendment to License Agreement, dated August 22, 2013, by and among Svelte Medical Systems, Inc., InspireMD, Inc. and InspireMD Ltd. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 12, 2013)
- 10.48 Loan and Security Agreement, dated October 23, 2013, by and among InspireMD, Inc., InspireM.D Ltd and Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 25, 2013)
- 10.49 Fixed Charge Debenture, dated October 23, 2013, by and among InspireMD, Inc., Inspire M.D Ltd and Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 25, 2013)
- 10.50 Floating Charge Debenture, dated October 23, 2013, by and among InspireMD, Inc., Inspire M.D Ltd and Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 25, 2013)
- 10.51 Warrant Agreement, dated October 23, 2013, by and between InspireMD, Inc. and Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the

Securities and Exchange Commission on October 25, 2013)

10.52 Account Control Agreement, dated October 23, 2013, among InspireMD, Inc., Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc. and Bank Leumi USA (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 25, 2013)

81

- 10.53+ InspireMD, Inc. 2013 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 20, 2013)
- 10.54\*+ Nonqualified Stock Option Agreement, dated December 2, 2013, by and between InspireMD, Inc. and Rick Olson
- 10.55\*+ Consulting Agreement, dated February 25, 2014, by and between InspireMD, Inc. and James Barry
- 21.1 List of Subsidiaries (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 21.1 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 6, 2011)
- 23.1\* Consent of Kesselman & Kesselman, Certified Public Accountants
- 31.1\* Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 31.2\* Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.1\* Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.2\* Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 101\*\* The following materials from the Company's Transitional Report on Form 10-K/T for the six months ended December 31, 2013, formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language), (i) Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income, (iii) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income, (iv) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, (v) Condensed Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity and (vi) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

\* Filed herewith.

\*\* Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the Interactive Data Files on Exhibit 101 hereto are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, are deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise are not subject to liability under those sections.

+ Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

**INSPIREMD, INC.**

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

AS OF AND FOR THE SIX MONTH PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

**INSPIREMD, INC.**

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

AS OF AND FOR THE SIX MONTH PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
<b>REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM</b>	F-2
<b>CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS:</b>	
Consolidated Balance Sheets	F-3 - F-4
Consolidated Statements of Operations	F-5
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity	F-6
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	F-7
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements	F-8 - F-51

The amounts are stated in U.S. dollars in thousands

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_



report OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the shareholders of

InspireMD, Inc.

In our opinion, the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and the related consolidated statements of operations changes in equity and cash flows present fairly, in all material respects, the financial positions of InspireMD , Inc. (the “Company”) and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2013, June 30, 2013 and 2012, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for 6 months period ended December 31, 2013 and for each of the two years in the period ended June 30, 2013 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit of these financial statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

Tel-Aviv, Israel Kesselman & Kesselman

Certified Public Accountants (Isr.)

A member firm of PricewaterhouseCoopers International Limited

F-2

**INSPIREMD, INC.****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

(U.S. dollars in thousands)

	December 31, 2013	June 30, 2013	2012
<b>ASSETS</b>			
<b>CURRENT ASSETS:</b>			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 17,535	\$14,820	\$10,284
Restricted cash	93	93	37
Accounts receivable:			
Trade	1,855	1,739	1,824
Other	387	388	264
Prepaid expenses	141	272	93
Inventory:			
On hand	1,593	1,593	1,744
On consignment			63
Total current assets	21,604	18,905	14,309
<b>PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT, net</b>	<b>652</b>	<b>550</b>	<b>462</b>
<b>NON-CURRENT ASSETS:</b>			
Deferred issuance costs	310		961
Fund in respect of employee rights upon retirement	434	406	282
Long term prepaid expenses	114		
Royalties buyout	852	884	
Total non-current assets	1,710	1,290	1,243
Total assets	\$ 23,966	\$20,745	\$16,014

**The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.**

**INSPIREMD, INC.****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

(U.S. dollars in thousands)

	<b>December 31, 2013</b>	<b>June 30, 2013</b>	<b>2012</b>
<b>LIABILITIES AND EQUITY</b>			
<b>CURRENT LIABILITIES:</b>			
Accounts payable and accruals:			
Trade	\$ 1,623	\$831	\$441
Other	3,141	3,028	2,925
Advanced payment from customers	179	174	174
Current maturity of loan	1,181		
Deferred revenues		10	10
Total current liabilities	6,124	4,043	3,550
<b>LONG-TERM LIABILITIES:</b>			
Liability for employees rights upon retirement	610	600	354
Long term loan	8,593		
Convertible loan			5,018
Contingently redeemable warrants			1,706
Total long-term liabilities	9,203	600	7,078
<b>COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES (Note 8)</b>			
Total liabilities	15,327	4,643	10,628
<b>EQUITY:</b>			
Common stock, par value \$0.0001 per share; 125,000,000 shares authorized; 33,983,346, 33,888,845 and 17,040,040 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2013 and June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively	3	3	2
Additional paid-in capital	90,952	89,079	49,106
Accumulated deficit	(82,316)	(72,980)	(43,722)
Total equity	8,639	16,102	5,386
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 23,966	\$20,745	\$16,014

**The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.**

**INSPIREMD, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

(U.S. dollars in thousands, except per share data)

	6 month period ended December 31, 2013	Year ended June 30, 2013                      2012	
REVENUES	\$ 3,105	\$4,873	\$5,349
COST OF REVENUES	1,442	2,283	2,849
GROSS PROFIT	1,663	2,590	2,500
OPERATING EXPENSES:			
Royalties buyout expenses		918	
Other research and development expenses	3,315	4,156	3,988
Selling and marketing	2,647	3,616	2,174
General and administrative (including \$1,417, \$3,433 and \$9,549 of share-based compensation for the six month period ended December 31, 2013 and the years ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively)	4,528	8,973	13,883
Total operating expenses	10,490	17,663	20,045
LOSS FROM OPERATIONS	(8,827	) (15,073	) (17,545
INTEREST EXPENSE	273	4,268	1,238
OTHER FINANCIAL EXPENSES, net	226	9,909	(1,200
LOSS BEFORE TAX EXPENSES	(9,326	) (29,250	) (17,583
TAX EXPENSES	10	8	14
NET LOSS	\$ (9,336	) \$(29,258	) \$(17,597
NET LOSS PER SHARE - basic and diluted	\$ (0.27	) \$(1.39	) \$(1.04
WEIGHTED AVERAGE NUMBER OF ORDINARY SHARES USED IN COMPUTING NET LOSS PER SHARE - basic and diluted	33,963,901	20,995,887	16,707,599

**The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.**

## INSPIREMD, INC.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN EQUITY

	Ordinary shares				Total
	Number of	Par	Additional	Accumulated	equity
	shares	value	paid-in	deficit	
			capital		
			U.S. dollars in thousands		
BALANCE AT JULY 1, 2011	16,046,290	\$2	\$ 33,283	\$ (26,125 )	\$7,160
CHANGES DURING 2012:					
Net loss				(17,597 )	(17,597)
Employee and non-employee share-based compensation expenses	748,446	*	10,554		10,554
Acquisition and cancellation of shares	(4,696 )	*	(21 )		(21 )
Exercise of options by employee	250,000	*	1,500		1,500
Beneficial conversion feature of convertible loan			3,790		3,790
BALANCE AT JUNE 30, 2012	17,040,040	\$2	\$ 49,106	\$ (43,722 )	\$5,386
CHANGES DURING 2013:					
Net loss				(29,258 )	(29,258)
Employee and non-employee share-based compensation expenses			3,839		3,839
Issuance of shares - public offering, net of \$2,121 issuance costs	12,500,000	1	22,879		22,880
Issuance of shares	1,168,515	*	3,238		3,238
Exercise of Warrants	195,652	*	962		962
Exercise of options by employees and non- employees	834,570	*	95		95
Shares of common stock used to satisfy tax withholding obligations	(9,506 )	*	(27 )		(27 )
2013 Exchange agreement:					
Induced conversion of convertible debt	2,159,574	*	8,105		8,105
Reclassification of 2012 warrants			314		314
Issuance of warrants			568		568
BALANCE AT JUNE 30, 2013	33,888,845	\$3	\$ 89,079	\$ (72,980 )	\$16,102
CHANGES DURING THE 6 MONTH PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013					
Net loss				(9,336 )	(9,336 )
Issuance of shares	17,398	*	44		44
Issuance of warrants			280		280
Employee and non-employee share-based compensation expenses			1,549		1,549
Exercise of options by employees and non- employees	77,103	*			*
BALANCE AT DECEMBER 31, 2013	33,983,346	\$3	\$ 90,952	\$ (82,316 )	\$8,639

\* Represents an amount less than \$1

**The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.**

F-6

**INSPIREMD, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

(U.S. dollars in thousands)

	6 month period ended December 31, 2013	Year ended June 30, 2013	2012
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:</b>			
Net loss	\$ (9,336	) \$(29,258)	\$(17,597)
Adjustments required to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	110	208	120
Change in liability for employees right upon retirement	69	246	72
Financial expenses (income)	358	13,397	(65 )
Share-based compensation expenses	1,549	3,839	10,554
Gains on amounts funded in respect of employee rights upon retirement, net	(15	) (6 )	(1 )
Royalties buyout expenses		918	
Changes in operating asset and liability items:			
Decrease (increase) in prepaid expenses	17	(179 )	(22 )
Decrease (increase) in trade receivables	(116	) 85	(1,210 )
Decrease (increase) in other receivables	1	(124 )	(93 )
Decrease in inventory on consignment		63	19
Decrease (increase) in inventory on hand		151	(273 )
Increase (decrease) in trade payables	737	390	(322 )
Increase (decrease) in deferred revenues	(10	) 10	
Increase (decrease) in other payable and advance payment from customers	(163	) (30 )	228
Net cash used in operating activities	(6,799	) (10,300)	(8,580 )
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:</b>			
Decrease (increase) in restricted cash		(56 )	306
Purchase of property, plant and equipment	(180	) (202 )	(290 )
Proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment			12
Amounts funded in respect of employee rights upon retirement, net	(72	) (118 )	(71 )
Net cash used in investing activities	(252	) (376 )	(43 )
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:</b>			
Proceeds from issuance of shares, net of \$2,121 issuance costs		22,880	
Proceeds from issuance of convertible loan and warrants, net of \$1,132 issuance costs			9,868
Exercise of options and warrants		1,057	1,500
Repayment of long term loan			(281 )
Proceeds from long term loan and warrants, net of \$237 issuance costs	9,763		
Acquisition and cancellation of shares			(21 )

Edgar Filing: BRASIL TELECOM SA - Form 6-K/A

Shares of common stock used to satisfy tax withholding obligations		(27 )	
Induced conversion of convertible debt		(8,787 )	
Net cash provided by financing activities	9,763	15,123	11,066
EFFECT OF EXCHANGE RATE CHANGES ON CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	3	89	(229 )
INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	2,715	4,536	2,214
BALANCE OF CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT BEGINNING OF PERIOD	14,820	10,284	8,070
BALANCE OF CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF PERIOD	\$ 17,535	\$ 14,820	\$ 10,284
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:			
Taxes on income paid	\$ 8	\$78	\$17
Interest paid	\$ 111	\$745	\$225
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF NON-CASH FINANCING ACTIVITIES:			
Classification of contingently redeemable warrants from Long-term liability to equity		\$314	
Royalties buyout in consideration of shares and waiver		\$930	
Decrease in fund and liabilities in respect of employee rights upon retirement	\$ 59		
Deferred issuance costs	\$ 90		

In the year ended June 30, 2013, in connection with the Exchange and Amendment Agreement, the Company issued the debentures holders 2,159,574 shares of common stock and five year warrants to purchase 659,091 shares of common stock of the Company. See Note 6.

**The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.**



## **NOTE 1 - DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS**

InspireMD, Inc., a Delaware corporation (the “Company”), together with its subsidiaries, is a medical device company focused on the development and commercialization of its proprietary stent platform technology, MGuard™. MGuard provides embolic protection in stenting procedures by placing a micron mesh sleeve over a stent. The Company’s initial products are marketed for use in patients with acute coronary syndromes, notably acute myocardial infarction (heart attack) and saphenous vein graft coronary interventions (bypass surgery). The Company markets its products mainly through distributors in international markets, predominantly in Europe and Latin America.

The Company has successfully raised funds in the capital markets and through loans:

On April 16, 2013, the Company consummated an underwritten public offering. The aggregate net proceeds of the Offering to the Company were approximately \$22.6 million, after the underwriters’ commissions and offering expenses (See Note 9).

On October 23, 2013 the Company entered into a Loan and Security Agreement and Warrant agreement in the aggregate net amount of \$9.8 million (See note 6).

The Company has an accumulated deficit as well as a net loss and negative operating cash flows in the current year. The Company anticipates that such losses will continue until its Mguard™ products reach commercial profitability. Management of the Company presently anticipates that it has sufficient resources to fund operations through December 31, 2014. Management believes that the continued successful commercialization of the Mguard™ product line together with financing through the Company “At-the-Market” equity program, see Note 9f, although there is no assurance such funds will be generated, should be sufficient to fund its current and planned operations into the second quarter of 2015.

If the Company is unable to successfully commercialize its MGuard™ products or obtain sufficient future financing from its "At-the-Market" equity program, the Company will be required to delay some of its planned research and development programs as well as curtail, discontinue or, in the extreme case, cease operations.

## **NOTE 2 - SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

**a. Accounting principles**

The consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (“U.S. GAAP”).

**b. Use of estimates**

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates using assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of sales and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

As applicable to these consolidated financial statements, the most significant estimates and assumptions relate to inventory write-off, royalty buyout, legal contingencies and estimation of the fair value of warrants.

**c. Functional currency**

The currency of the primary economic environment in which the operations of the Company and its subsidiaries are conducted is the U.S. dollar (“\$” or “dollar”). Accordingly, the functional currency of the Company and its subsidiaries is the U.S. dollar.

The dollar figures are determined as follows: transactions and balances originally denominated in dollars are presented in their original amounts. Balances in foreign currencies are translated into dollars using historical and current exchange rates for non-monetary and monetary balances, respectively. The resulting translation gains or losses are recorded as financial income or expense, as appropriate. For transactions reflected in the statements of operations in foreign currencies, the exchange rates at transaction dates are used. Depreciation and changes in inventories and other changes deriving from non-monetary items are based on historical exchange rates.

**d. Principles of consolidation**

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and of its subsidiaries. Intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated upon consolidation.

**e. Cash and cash equivalents**

The Company considers all highly liquid investments, which include short-term bank deposits (up to three months from date of deposit), that are not restricted as to withdrawal or use, to be cash equivalents.

**f. Restricted cash**

The Company maintains certain cash amounts restricted as to withdrawal or use, related to credit cards. Restricted cash is denominated in dollars and New Israeli Shekel (“NIS”). See also Note 8c(2).

**g. Concentration of credit risk and allowance for doubtful accounts**

Financial instruments that may potentially subject the Company to a concentration of credit risk consist of cash and cash equivalents, which are deposited in major financially sound institutions in the U.S, Israel and Germany, and trade accounts receivable. The Company's trade accounts receivable are derived from revenues earned from customers from various countries. The Company performs ongoing credit evaluations of its customers' financial condition and, generally, requires no collateral from its customers. The Company also has a credit insurance policy for some of its customers. The Company maintains an allowance for doubtful accounts receivable based upon the expected ability to collect the accounts receivable. The Company reviews its allowance for doubtful accounts quarterly by assessing individual accounts receivable and all other balances based on historical collection experience and an economic risk assessment. If the Company determines that a specific customer is unable to meet its financial obligations to the Company, the Company provides an allowance for credit losses to reduce the receivable to the amount management reasonably believes will be collected, which is netted against "Accounts receivable Trade".

F-9

**h. Inventory**

Inventories include finished goods, work in process and raw materials. Inventories are stated at the lower of cost (cost is determined on a “first-in, first-out” basis) or market value. The Company’s inventories generally have a limited shelf life and are subject to impairment as they approach their expiration dates. The Company regularly evaluates the carrying value of the Company’s inventories and when, in the Company’s opinion, factors indicate that impairment has occurred, the Company establishes a reserve against the inventories’ carrying value. The Company’s determination that a valuation reserve might be required and the quantification of such reserve require management to utilize significant judgment. With respect to inventory on consignment, see Note 2k.

**i. Property, plant and equipment**

Property, plant and equipment are stated at cost, net of accumulated depreciation and amortization. Depreciation is calculated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the related assets: over three years for computers and other electronic equipment, and seven to fifteen years for office furniture and equipment and machinery and equipment (mainly seven years). Leasehold improvements are amortized on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease, which is shorter than the estimated life of the improvements.

**j. Impairment in value of long-lived assets**

The Company tests long-lived intangible and tangible assets, for impairment, whenever events or circumstances present an indication of impairment. If the sum of expected future cash flows (undiscounted and without interest charges) of the long-lived assets is less than the carrying amount of such assets, an impairment would be recognized and the assets would be written down to their estimated fair values, based on expected future discounted cash flows.

To date, the Company has not recorded any impairment charges relating to its long-lived assets.

**k. Revenue recognition**

Revenue is recognized when delivery has occurred, evidence of an arrangement exists, title and risks and rewards for the products are transferred to the customer, collection is reasonably assured and product returns can be reliably estimated. When product returns can be reliably estimated a provision is recorded, based on historical experience, and deducted from revenues. The provision for product returns and related costs are included in “Accounts payable and accruals-other” under “Current liabilities” and “Inventory-On consignment,” respectively.



When returns cannot be reliably estimated, both related revenues and costs are deferred, and presented under “Deferred revenues” and “Inventory-On consignment,” respectively.

As of December 31, 2013, June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2012, there were no deferred revenues related to sales for which the rate of return could not be reliably estimated.

The Company’s arrangements with its distributors sometimes contain the right to receive free products from the Company upon the achievement of sales targets. Each period, the Company estimates the amount of free products to which its distributors will be entitled based upon the expected achievement of sales targets and defers a portion of revenues accordingly.

The Company recognizes revenue net of value added tax (VAT).

#### **l. Research and development costs**

Research and development costs are charged to the statement of operations as incurred.

#### **m. Share-based compensation**

Employee option awards are classified as equity awards and accounted for using the grant-date fair value method. The fair value of share-based awards is estimated using the Black-Scholes valuation model and expensed over the requisite service period, net of estimated forfeitures. The Company estimates forfeitures based on historical experience and anticipated future conditions.

The Company elected to recognize compensation expenses for awards with only service conditions that have graded vesting schedules using the accelerated multiple option approach.

The Company accounts for equity instruments issued to third party service providers (non-employees), by recording the fair value of the options granted using an option pricing model, at each reporting period, until awards are vested in full. The expense is recognized over the vesting period using the accelerated multiple option approach.

In addition, certain share-based awards of the Company are performance based and dependent upon achieving certain goals. With respect to these awards, the Company estimates the expected pre-vesting award probability that the performance conditions will be achieved. The Company only recognizes expense for those shares that are expected to vest.

**n. Uncertain tax positions**

The Company follows a two-step approach to recognizing and measuring uncertain tax positions. The first step is to evaluate the tax position for recognition by determining if the weight of available evidence indicates that it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained on audit. If under the first step a tax provision is assessed to be more likely than not of being sustained on audit, the second step is performed, under which the tax benefit is measured as the largest amount that is more than 50% likely to be realized upon ultimate settlement. Such liabilities are classified as long-term, unless the liability is expected to be resolved within twelve months from the balance sheet date. The Company's policy is to include interest related to unrecognized tax benefits within "Financial expenses (income)-net".



**o. Deferred income taxes**

Deferred taxes are determined utilizing the “asset and liability” method based on the estimated future tax effects of differences between the financial accounting and tax bases of assets and liabilities under the applicable tax laws, and on tax rates anticipated to be in effect when the deferred taxes are expected to be paid or realized. The Company assesses realization of deferred income tax assets and, based on all available evidence, concludes whether it is more likely than not that the net deferred income tax assets will be realized. A valuation allowance is provided for the amount of deferred income tax assets not considered to be realizable.

The Company may incur additional tax liability in the event of intercompany dividend distributions by its subsidiaries. Such additional tax liability in respect of these foreign subsidiaries has not been provided for in these financial statements as it is the Company’s policy to permanently reinvest the subsidiaries’ earnings and to consider distributing dividends only in connection with a specific tax opportunity that may arise.

Taxes that would apply in the event of disposal of investments in a foreign subsidiary have not been taken into account in computing the deferred taxes, as it is the Company’s intention to hold, and not to realize, these investments.

**p.**

**Advertising**

Costs related to advertising and promotion of products are charged to sales and marketing expense as incurred. Advertising expenses were approximately \$0.8 million for the six month period ended December 31, 2013 and \$1.1 million and \$0.6 million for the years ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

**q. Net loss per share**

Basic and diluted net loss per share is computed by dividing the net loss for the year by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the year. The calculation of diluted net loss per share excludes potential share issuances of common stock upon the exercise of share options, warrants and convertible loans, as the effect is anti-dilutive.

For the six month period ended December 31, 2013, as well as the years ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, all shares of common stock underlying outstanding options, warrants, convertible loans and restricted stock have been excluded from the calculation of the diluted loss per share since their effect was anti-dilutive. The total number of share of common stock related to outstanding options and warrants and restricted stock excluded from the calculations of diluted loss per share were 8,431,252 for the six month period ended December 31, 2013, and 8,006,837 and

8,117,577 for the years ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

F-12

**r. Segment reporting**

The Company has one operating and reportable segment.

**s. Fair value measurement:**

The Company measures fair value and discloses fair value measurements for financial assets and liabilities. Fair value is based on the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date.

The accounting standard establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes observable and unobservable inputs used to measure fair value into three broad levels, which are described below:

Level 1: Quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for assets or liabilities. The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to Level 1 inputs.

Level 2: Observable prices that are based on inputs not quoted on active markets, but corroborated by market data.

Level 3: Unobservable inputs are used when little or no market data is available. The fair value hierarchy gives the lowest priority to Level 3 inputs.

In determining fair value, the Company utilizes valuation techniques that maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs to the extent possible and considers counterparty credit risk in its assessment of fair value.

**t. Put warrants**

Put warrants that embody an obligation to repurchase the Company's equity shares, or are indexed to such an obligation, and that require or may require the Company to settle the obligation by transferring assets are within the scope of Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 480-10-25-8, and are recognized as a liability and measured at fair value at each reporting date, with changes in fair value recorded in earnings. See Note 6.

**u. Beneficial conversion feature (“BCF”)**

When the Company issues convertible debt, if the stock price is greater than the effective conversion price (after allocation of the total proceeds) on the measurement date, the conversion feature is considered "beneficial" to the holder. If there is no contingency, this difference is treated as issued equity and reduces the carrying value of the host debt; the discount is accreted as deemed interest on the debt. See Note 6.

**v. Embedded derivatives**

Embedded derivatives in debt contracts that are not clearly and closely related to the host debt are bifurcated and accounted for separately. Those embedded derivatives are measured at fair value each reporting date, with changes in fair value recorded in earnings. See Note 6.

**w. Allocation of issuance proceeds**

When debt is issued with other components that are subsequently measured at fair value, the proceeds are allocated first to such components (such as warrants and embedded derivatives in the debt that require bifurcation at their fair values) then the residual amount of the proceeds to the debt. When other components are classified in equity, the proceeds are allocated based on relative fair values. See Note 6.

**NOTE 3 - FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENT**

**Items Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis**

a. The following table summarizes the balances for those financial liabilities where fair value measurements are estimated utilizing Level 2 and Level 3 inputs:

	Level	December 31, 2013	June 30, 2013	June 30, 2012
		(\$ in thousands)		
Anti- Dilution Rights	3	\$ 156	\$	\$
2012 Warrants at fair value	2			1,706
Embedded derivative	3			49
		\$ 156		\$ 1,755

The Company values Level 3 Anti- Dilution Rights using an internally developed valuation model, whose inputs include potential equity transactions (such as fund raising and share based awards), probability of completing successful fund raising during the relevant period and stock prices.

In connection with the classification of the 2012 Warrants (as defined in Note 6) to equity, see Note 6.

b. The following tables summarize the activity for those financial liabilities where fair value measurements are estimated utilizing Level 3 inputs:

Anti-Dilution  
Rights Embedded  
Derivative

	(\$ in thousands)	
Balance as of July 1, 2011	\$-	\$ -
Issuances		8
Total losses (gains) (realized and unrealized) - included in earnings - Financial expenses (income), net		41
Balance as of June 30, 2012	\$-	\$ 49
Total losses (gains) (realized and unrealized) - included in earnings - Financial expenses (income), net	1,475	(19 )
Settlement by issuance shares	(1,475)	-
Conversion of convertible debt		(30 )
Balance as of June 30, 2013		
Total losses (gains) (realized and unrealized) - included in earnings - Financial expenses (income), net	200	
Settlement by issuance shares	(44 )	
Balance as of December 31, 2013	\$ 156	\$ -

Level 3 liabilities include an embedded derivative related to the Company's 2012 Convertible Debentures (as defined in Note 6a). The Company values the Level 3 embedded derivative using an internally developed valuation model, whose inputs include recovery rates, credit spreads, stock prices, and volatilities, as described below.

The fair value of the warrants included in Level 2 is estimated using the Black & Scholes model.

For a discussion regarding the calculation of the fair value of the 2012 Warrants as of the transaction date, as of June 30, 2012 and as of the Closing Day (as defined in Note 6), see Note 6.

As of the Closing Day, the Company recalculated the fair value of the embedded derivative of the 2012 Warrants using the following assumptions: the Company's credit spread of 28.5%, the Company's recovery rate of 49.8%, and a 10% probability of non-financial event of default.

The carrying amounts of financial instruments included in working capital approximate their fair value either because these amounts are presented at fair value or due to the relatively short-term maturities of such instruments. The carrying amount of the Company's other financial long-term assets and other financial long-term liabilities (other than the debentures) approximate their fair value. The fair value of the Loan (as defined in Note 6) approximated its carrying amount.

#### NOTE 4 - PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

- a. Composition of assets, grouped by major classifications, is as follows:

	December 31, 2013	June 30, 2013	2012
	(\$ in thousands)		
Cost:			
Computer equipment	\$ 199	\$ 167	\$ 142
Office furniture and equipment	90	90	83
Machinery and equipment	891	786	598
Leasehold improvements	184	141	111
	1,364	1,184	934
Less - accumulated depreciation and amortization	(712 )	(634 )	(472)

Net carrying amount	\$652	\$550	\$462
---------------------	-------	-------	-------

b. Depreciation and amortization expenses totaled approximately \$78,000 for the six month period ended December 31, 2013 and approximately \$162,000 and \$120,000 for the years ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

F-15



## NOTE 5 - LIABILITY FOR EMPLOYEES RIGHT UPON RETIREMENT

Israeli labor law generally requires payment of severance pay upon dismissal of an employee or upon termination of employment in certain other circumstances.

Pursuant to section 14 of the Israeli Severance Compensation Act, 1963, some of the Company's employees are entitled to have monthly deposits, at a rate of 8.33% of their monthly salary, made in their name with insurance companies. Payments in accordance with section 14 relieve the Company from any future severance payments to these employees.

The severance pay liability of the Company for the rest of its employees, which reflects the undiscounted amount of the liability, is based upon the number of years of service and the latest monthly salary. The severance pay liability is partly covered by insurance policies and by regular deposits with recognized severance payment funds. The Company may only withdraw funds previously deposited for savings in connection with the payment of severance. The severance pay expenses were approximately \$139,000 for the six month period ended December 31, 2013 and \$311,000 and \$177,000 for the years ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Defined contribution plan expenses were approximately \$168,000 for the six month period ended December 31, 2013 and \$208,000 and \$206,000 for the years ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Gain on amounts funded with respect to employee rights upon retirement totaled to approximately \$15,000 for the six month period ended December 31, 2013 and \$6,000 and \$1,000 for the years ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

The Company expects contribution plan expenses in 2014 to be approximately \$335,000.

## NOTE 6 – LOANS

### I. 2012 Convertible Loan

On April 5, 2012, the Company issued senior secured convertible debentures (the "2012 Convertible Debentures") due April 5, 2014 in the original aggregate principal amount of \$11,702,128 and five-year warrants (the "2012 Warrants") to purchase an aggregate of 835,866 shares of its common stock at an exercise price of \$7.20 per share in a private placement transaction in exchange for aggregate gross proceeds of approximately \$11 million. The 2012 Convertible Debentures beared interest at an annual rate of 8% (payable quarterly beginning on July 1, 2012) and were convertible

at any time into shares of common stock at an initial conversion price of \$7.00 per share.

The relevant features of the 2012 Convertible Debentures and 2012 Warrants at the time of issuance are summarized below:

**a. 2012 Convertible Debentures**

*1. Conversion and contingent conversion*

The 2012 Convertible Debentures, including accrued interest on such 2012 Convertible Debentures, were convertible at any time, in whole or part, at the option of the holders into shares of common stock at an initial conversion price of \$7.00 per share, subject to adjustment for stock splits, fundamental transactions or similar events and an additional conversion adjustment described below.

The number of conversion shares issuable upon a conversion was determined by the quotient obtained by dividing (x) the sum of (a) the outstanding principal amount to be converted, (b) at the option of the holder, a portion or all of any accrued and unpaid interest to be converted and (c) the conversion adjustment amount by (y) the conversion price.

The “conversion adjustment amount” was calculated by multiplying the principal amount being converted by a fraction, the numerator of which is (a) the number of days elapsed from the original issue date multiplied by (b) .021917808; and the denominator of which is 100. The maximum number of days elapsed to be used in calculating the conversion adjustment amount was not permitted to be greater than 548 days regardless of the actual number of days elapsed from the original issue date.

The Company had the right to force conversion of the 2012 Convertible Debentures if the closing bid price of the Company’s common stock equaled or exceeded 165% of the conversion price for twenty consecutive trading days, the minimum daily trading volume for such period was \$1,100,000, all of the shares of the common stock underlying the 2012 Convertible Debentures during such period were either registered for resale with the Securities and Exchange Commission or eligible for resale pursuant to Rule 144 and there was no event of default or existing event which, with the passage of time or the giving of notice, would have constituted an event of default existed during such period.

The 2012 Convertible Debentures contained certain limitations on conversion. No conversion by a holder was permitted if, after giving effect to the conversion, such holder would have beneficially owned in excess of 4.99% of the Company’s outstanding shares of common stock. This percentage could have been increased to a percentage not to exceed 9.99%, at the option of such holder, except any increase would not have been effective until 61 days’ following notice to the Company.

The 2012 Convertible Debentures impose penalties on the Company for any failure to timely deliver any shares of its common stock issuable upon conversion.

2. *Events of default and holder’s contingent redemption option*

If there was an event of default as stipulated in the agreement, then by election of the holders holding at least 60% of the 2012 Convertible Debentures, the Company was required to redeem all of the 2012 Convertible Debentures in cash for 112% of the outstanding principal, together with all unpaid and accrued interest, all interest that would have been payable through the maturity date and any other amounts due under the 2012 Convertible Debentures (such amount, the “Mandatory Default Amount”). The Mandatory Default Amount was to accrue interest at a rate of 24% per annum commencing on the fifth calendar date following the relevant event of default.



3. *Holder's noncontingent redemption option*

Commencing 18 months following the original issuance date of the 2012 Convertible Debentures, the holders had the right to require the Company to redeem all or a portion of the 2012 Convertible Debentures, for a price equal to 112% of the amount of principal to be redeemed plus all accrued but unpaid interest and other amounts due under the 2012 Convertible Debentures.

4. *Company's noncontingent redemption option*

Commencing 6 months following the original issuance date of the 2012 Convertible Debentures, the Company had the right to redeem all or a portion of the 2012 Convertible Debentures for a price equal to 112% of the amount of principal to be redeemed plus all accrued but unpaid interest and other amounts due under the 2012 Convertible Debentures.

5. *Covenants*

The 2012 Convertible Debentures contained certain covenants which prohibited or limited the Company's and its subsidiaries ability to, among other things:

- pay cash dividends to its stockholders;
- redeem, repurchase or otherwise acquire more than a de minimis number of shares of its common stock or common stock equivalents;
- incur additional indebtedness;
- permit liens on assets or conduct sales of assets;
- effectuate stock splits until April 5, 2013, except in connection with an initial listing on a national securities exchange or to meet the continued listing requirements of such exchange;
- cease making public filings under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended;
- engage in transactions with affiliates; and
- amend its charter documents in a way that would materially and adversely affect any holder of the 2012 Convertible Debentures.

6. *Pro rata distributions*

If the Company, at any time while the 2012 Convertible Debentures were outstanding, distributed to all holders of common stock evidences of its indebtedness or assets (including cash and cash dividends) or rights or warrants to subscribe for or purchase any security other than the common stock, then, upon any conversion of the 2012 Convertible Debentures, the holder would have been entitled to receive such distribution to the same extent that the holder would have if the holder had held the number of conversion shares issued upon such conversion of the 2012 Convertible Debentures immediately before the date on which a record was taken for such distribution, or, if no such

record was taken, the date as of which the record holders of shares of common stock were determined for the participation in such distribution.

F-18

**b. 2012 Warrants**

*1. Exercisability*

The 2012 Warrants, upon issuance were immediately exercisable and, in the aggregate, entitle the holders to purchase up to 835,866 shares of common stock. The 2012 Warrants had an initial exercise price of \$7.20 per share payable in cash. The 2012 Warrants would have expired on April 5, 2017.

Similar to the 2012 Convertible Debentures, the 2012 Warrants also contained limitations on exercise that would cause the holder to beneficially own in excess of 4.99% or 9.99% of the Company's outstanding common stock.

*2. Anti-dilution protection*

The exercise price of the 2012 Warrants and the number of shares issuable upon exercise of the 2012 Warrants were subject to adjustments for stock splits, combinations or similar events.

*3. "Most favored nation"*

The 2012 Warrants were also subject to an adjustment pursuant to which, in the event that the Company issues or was deemed to have issued certain securities with terms that are superior to those of the 2012 Warrants, except with respect to exercise price and warrant coverage, the superior terms would have automatically been incorporated into the 2012 Warrants (a "Most Favored Nation Adjustment").

*4. Contingent holder redemption option*

Upon the occurrence of a transaction involving a change of control that was (i) an all cash transaction, (ii) a "Rule 13e-3 transaction" as defined in Rule 13e-3 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or (iii) involving a person or entity not traded on a national securities exchange, the holders of the 2012 Warrants had the right, among others, to have the 2012 Warrants repurchased for a purchase price in cash equal to the Black-Scholes value of the then unexercised portion of the 2012 Warrants.

*5. Pro rata distributions*

Similar to the 2012 Convertible Debentures, the 2012 Warrants allowed exercising holders to participate in pro rata distributions.

6. *Public information failure*

If the Company failed for any reason to satisfy the current public information requirement under Rule 144(c) then, in addition to any other remedies available to the holders, the Company was required to pay to the holders, in cash, partial liquidated damages as set forth in the agreement.

F-19



**c. Transaction costs**

In connection with the Transaction, the Company paid issuance costs, including placement agent and legal fees, of approximately \$1,200,000, and issued five-year warrants (“2012 Placement Agents Warrants”) to purchase 78,078 shares of the Company’s common stock at an exercise price of \$7.20 per share to the placement agent.

**d. Accounting treatment**

*1. 2012 Warrants*

The Company determined, based on the provisions of ASC 480-10-25-8, that equity classification of the 2012 Warrants was precluded because of the redeemable option of the holders in the event of a change in control (in certain conditions), which is an event that is not within the Company’s control. Accordingly, the 2012 Warrants were classified as a liability in the consolidated balance sheets at June 30, 2012 and measured at fair value at each reporting period. The fair value of the 2012 Warrants as estimated using the Black-Scholes valuation model. See Note 2t.

In calculating the fair value of the 2012 Warrants (including the 2012 Placement Agents Warrants) on the transaction date and at June 30, 2012, the Company used the following assumptions: expected term of 5 and 4.76 years, respectively; expected volatility of 66.1% and 69.6%, respectively; risk-free interest rate of 1.01% and 0.72%, respectively; and dividend yield of 0%.

As of the closing day of the Exchange Agreement (see also Note 6) the Company recalculated the fair value of the 2012 Warrants by using the following assumptions: expected term of 3.79-4 years, expected volatility of 63.5%-64.7%, risk-free interest rate of 0.52%-0.78% and dividend yield of 0%.

*2. 2012 Convertible Debentures*

In accordance with ASC 470-20, “Debt with Conversion and Other Options,” the Company determined that a BCF existed at the issuance date of the 2012 Convertible Debentures. The BCF amounting to approximately \$3,790,000 was recorded in equity.

In addition, the Company analyzed the holders’ contingent redemption option based on the guidance stipulated in Topic 815, and concluded that the holders’ contingent redemption option is not clearly and closely related to the debt host contract. Thus, the Company bifurcated and accounted for it separately as an embedded derivative and classified

it, together with the 2012 Convertible Debentures, in its statement of financial position. This embedded derivative was measured at fair value at each reporting period. The fair value of the embedded derivative is estimated using the binominal valuation model.

In addition, the Company analyzed the holders' noncontingent redemption option and determined that the prepayment options were clearly and closely related to the debt host contract and should not have been bifurcated from the 2012 Convertible Debentures.

F-20

The gross proceeds amounting to approximately \$11,000,000 from the 2012 Convertible Debentures transaction were allocated as follows:

- 2012 Warrants at fair value - approximately \$2,807,000 based on their fair value;
- embedded derivative - approximately \$8,000 based on its fair value; and
- 2012 Convertible Debentures - approximately \$8,185,000 based on the residual amount after the allocation of other components as described above.

In addition, an amount of approximately \$3,790,000 was recognized as a BCF against the 2012 Convertible Debentures.

The 2012 Convertible Debentures were subsequently measured at amortized cost on the basis of the effective interest method over the loan period until the maturity date.

3. *Transaction costs*

Direct transaction costs of approximately \$1,394,000, which included the placement agents fees and the 2012 Placement Agents Warrants valued at approximately \$262,000 as of the transaction date, as well as other issuance costs, were allocated to the various instruments associated with the 2012 Convertible Debentures pro-rata to the amount such instruments were recorded as of the transaction date. The amounts that were allocated to the 2012 Warrants at fair value and embedded derivative were recorded in "Financial expenses" and the remainder amounting to approximately \$1,037,000 was recorded as "Deferred debt issuance costs" in the consolidated balance sheets and amortized over the loan period using the effective interest method until the maturity date.

4. *Exchange and amendment agreement*

On April 9, 2013, the Company, entered into an exchange and amendment agreement with the holders of the Company's 2012 Convertible Debentures due April 5, 2014 and as subsequently amended on April 15, 2013 (the "Exchange Agreement"). Simultaneously with the closing of the Offering (as defined in Note 9a), the Company consummated the transactions under the Exchange Agreement on April 16, 2013 (the "Closing Day"). Pursuant the Exchange Agreement and in full satisfaction of the Company's obligations under the 2012 Convertible Debentures, the Company:

- repaid \$8,787,234 in cash;

issued 2,159,574 shares of common stock to the holders of the 2012 Convertible Debentures, reflecting a conversion price of \$2.00 per share for the remaining unpaid portion of the 2012 Convertible Debentures;

issued five year warrants to the holders of the 2012 Convertible Debentures to purchase an aggregate of 659,091 shares of common stock for \$3.00 per share (“\$3.00 Warrants”);

In accordance with the provisions of ASC 470-20, the terms of the Exchange Agreement were considered to be an induced conversion and the retirement of the 2012 Convertible Debentures was accounted for as if the 2012 Convertible Debentures had been converted according to their original conversion price of \$7 valued at \$3,538,723. This value was compared to the fair value of the consideration paid to the holders of the 2012 Convertible Debentures, including the 2,159,574 shares issued (which reflected a conversion price of \$2.00 per share) that were valued at \$4,081,595, the \$8,787,234 of cash paid to the holders of the 2012 Convertible Debentures and the \$3.00 Warrants valued at \$568,098. As a result, the Company incurred approximately \$9.9 million of expenses in connection with the Exchange Agreement which were recorded in "Financial expenses (income), net" within the consolidated statements of operations.

In calculating the fair value of the \$3.00 Warrants, the Company used the following assumptions: dividend yield of 0% and expected term of 5 years; expected volatility of 68%; and risk-free interest rate of 0.71%.

In connection with the Exchange Agreement the Company amortized the deferred debt issuance costs. The expenses amounting to approximately \$641,000 were recorded in "Financial expenses (income), net" within the consolidated statements of operations.

In addition, pursuant to the Exchange Agreement, the Company:

- amended the securities purchase agreement pursuant to which the 2012 Convertible Debentures were originally issued to prohibit the Company from issuing securities containing anti-dilution protective provisions; and

- amended the 2012 Warrants to (i) eliminate the Most Favored Nation Adjustment and (ii) provide that upon a fundamental transaction, the holders of such warrants will now have the right to cause the Company to repurchase the unexercised portion of such warrants at their Black-Scholes value on the date of such fundamental transaction, payable in shares of common stock, rather than in cash as was previously provided.

The Company determined, based on the provisions of ASC 480-10-25-8, that following the amendment to the 2012 Warrants described above, equity classification is no longer precluded and accordingly, the 2012 Warrants valued at approximately \$314,000 as of the Closing Day of the Exchange Agreement were classified from a liability to equity in the consolidated balance sheets.

II. 2013 Security and Loan Agreement

a. **Loan and Security Agreement**

On October 23, 2013, the Company and InspireMD Ltd. entered into a Loan and Security Agreement (the “Loan and Security Agreement”), pursuant to which a lender made a term loan to the Company and InspireMD Ltd. in the aggregate amount of \$10 million (the “Loan”). The annual interest rate on the Loan is prime plus 4%, but shall not be reduced below 10.5%. Payments under the Loan and Security Agreement are for the interest portion only for 9 months, followed by 30 monthly payments of principal and interest through the scheduled maturity date on February 1, 2017. The Company and InspireMD Ltd.’s obligations under the Loan and Security Agreement are secured by a grant of a security interest in all of the Company’s and InspireMD Ltd.’s assets (other than their intellectual property).

The Company is permitted to prepay all or a portion of the Loan. However, any prepayments of the Loan will be subject to a penalty of (i) 2%, if the prepayment occurs within 12 months of the Loan being requested by the Company and InspireMD Ltd. (the “Advance Date”), (ii) 1%, if the prepayment occurs between 12 and 24 months after the Advance Date, and (iii) 0.5%, if the prepayment occurs more than 24 months after the Advance Date. The Company and InspireMD Ltd. will also pay the lender an aggregate end of term charge (the “End of Term Charge”) of \$500,000 when the Loan is paid in full or matures. In addition, upon the occurrence of a change in control, the Company shall prepay the outstanding amount of all principal and accrued interest through the prepayment date and all unpaid lender’s fees and expenses accrued to the date of the repayment (including the End of Term Charge) together with the penalties stated above.

b. **Warrant Agreement**

On October 23, 2013, in connection with the Loan and Security Agreement, the Company issued the lender a warrant to purchase 168,351 shares of common stock at a per share exercise price of \$2.97 (the “2013 Warrant”). The Warrant is immediately exercisable and has a five year term. The 2013 Warrant may also be exercised on a cashless basis. The exercise price of the 2013 Warrant and the number of shares issuable upon exercise of the Warrant are subject to adjustments for stock splits, combinations or similar events.

Upon the occurrence of a transaction involving a change of control of the Company in which the consideration is either all cash or securities that are either registered for sale on an exchange or quotation system or otherwise unrestricted, the Warrant, to the extent not previously exercised, may be exchanged, at the holder’s request, for the consideration, to be paid by the acquirer, that the holder would have received, less the exercise price, had the holder exercised the Warrant immediately prior to the change of control. For all other changes of control of the Company, the 2013 Warrant will be assumed by the successor or surviving entity with similar rights to the 2013 Warrant as if it had been exercised immediately prior to the change of control.

**c. Security Documents**

On October 23, 2013, InspireMD Ltd. issued the lender a Fixed Charge Debenture and a Floating Charge Debenture (collectively, the "Israeli Security Agreements") in order to create a security interest in all the assets and property of InspireMD Ltd., securing the Company's and InspireMD Ltd.'s obligations under the Loan and Security Agreement. In addition, on October 23, 2013 and November 8, 2013, the Company entered into Deposit Account Control Agreements with the lender and two banking institutions in the US (the "Deposit Account Control Agreements") in order to perfect the lender's security interest in the Company's bank account. Pursuant to the Loan and Security Agreement, the Israeli Security Agreements and the Deposit Account Control Agreement, the Company's obligations to the lender are secured by a first priority perfected security interest in all of the assets and properties of the Company and InspireMD Ltd., other than the intellectual property of the Company and InspireMD Ltd.

The Company is required under the Loan and Security Agreement to maintain at all times in the bank accounts under the Deposit Account Control Agreements, cash and cash equivalents, in each case unrestricted and unencumbered, in an aggregate amount of at least the lesser of (a) an amount equal to one hundred percent (100.0%) of the then outstanding principal amount of the Loan and (b) an amount equal to seventy-five percent (75.0%) of the aggregate amount of all of the Company's worldwide cash and cash equivalents

**d. Accounting treatment**

The Company evaluated whether the 2013 Warrants can be classified as equity and determined that equity classification is appropriate. Accordingly, proceeds from the Loan and 2013 Warrant were allocated to the two elements based on the relative fair value. The portion of the proceeds so allocated to the 2013 Warrant, of approximately \$280,000 was recorded in additional paid-in capital. The portion of the proceeds so allocated to the Loan, of approximately \$9.7 million (before deduction of approximately \$237,000 of issuance costs) was recorded in long term liabilities.

The Loan was subsequently measured at amortized cost on the basis of the effective interest method over the loan period until the maturity date.

Direct transactions costs of approximately \$237,000, were allocated to the Loan and the Warrants pro-rata to the amount such instruments were recorded as of the transaction date. The amounts that were allocated to the Warrants were deducted from the Warrants and the amount related to the Loan was recorded as "Deferred issuance costs" in the consolidated balance sheets and is amortized over the loan period using the effective interest method until the maturity date.

The Company has evaluated and concluded that the Prepayment options and the prepayment under an event of Change in control are clearly and closely related to the debt host contract and thus should not be bifurcated from the debt host.

In addition the Company evaluated whether the default interest mechanism, as defined in the Loan and Security Agreement, results in an interest rate derivative that should be bifurcated and determined that based on the provisions of ASC 815-15-25-1, an interest rate derivative should not be bifurcated.

F-24



As of December 31, 2013, the future principal payments obligation for the Loan were as follows:

	(\$ in thousands)
Year Ended December 31:	
2014	\$ 1,181
2015	3,809
2016	4,234
2017	776
	\$ 10,000

#### NOTE 7 - RELATED PARTIES TRANSACTIONS

In January 2009, InspireMD Ltd. signed a sub-lease agreement with a company controlled by a former officer and director, for a period of 12.5 months, for a monthly rent payment of approximately \$1,000. In 2010, the rent period was extended for an additional year, and the rent payments increased by 10%. In 2011, the rent period was extended for an additional year, through February 2012. The sub-lease agreement was not renewed.

Prior to December 1, 2011, the Company's CEO and president were treated as consultants. At the request of the compensation committee, the Company's CEO and president at the time agreed, effective as of December 1, 2011, to be treated as employees for purposes of paying their salary and benefits, rather than as consultants under their consulting agreements. In addition, the Company's CEO and president agreed to formally terminate their consulting agreement upon the execution of an employment agreement with the Company on substantially the same terms as their consultancy agreements. A new employment agreement, however, was never executed with either party.

On June 1, 2012, the Company's former president resigned. Following his resignation, as president, effective June 1, 2012, the Company's former president remained on the Company's board of directors. In connection with the resignation, the Company and its former president entered into a consulting agreement, pursuant to which, among other things, the former president agreed to provide the Company with consulting services for a period of six months, terminating on November 30, 2012, in exchange for payments by the Company of approximately \$20,000 per month. The consulting agreement was subsequently extended until February 2013.

On January 3, 2013, the Company's CEO at the time resigned as CEO (the "Former CEO"). The Former CEO subsequently continued to serve as a member of the Company's board of directors. In accordance with the terms of a Separation Agreement and Release, the Company paid the Former CEO \$21,563 for six months.

c. On January 3, 2013 and in connection with the Former CEO's resignation, the Company appointed a new CEO.

F-25

In connection with the appointment of the CEO, the Company entered into an Employment Agreement (the "Employment Agreement") with the CEO. Under the Employment Agreement, the CEO is entitled to an annual base salary of at least \$450,000. The CEO is also eligible to receive an annual bonus of at least \$275,000 upon the achievement of reasonable target objectives and performance goals, to be determined by the board of directors (see Note 14). In accordance with the Employment Agreement, on January 3, 2013, the Company granted the new CEO a nonqualified stock option to purchase 525,927 shares of the Company's common stock, made pursuant to a Nonqualified Stock Option Agreement, an incentive stock option to purchase 74,073 shares of the Company's common stock, made pursuant to an Incentive Stock Option Agreement, and 400,000 shares of restricted stock, which are subject to forfeiture until the vesting of such shares, made pursuant to a Restricted Stock Award Agreement (the "Restricted Stock Agreement"). The options have an exercise price of \$4.05, which was the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the date of grant. Both the options and the restricted stock were originally subject to a three-year vesting period subject to the CEO's continued service with the Company, with one-thirty-sixth (1/36th) of such awards vesting each month. See Note 9b(13).

On April 24, 2013, the Company and the CEO amended each of (i) Employment Agreement and (ii) Restricted Stock Award Agreement in order to change the vesting of the restricted stock awarded to the CEO thereunder from monthly vesting to annual vesting.

The CEO has an option to deliver a number of shares with an aggregate fair market value that equals or exceeds (to avoid the issuance of fractional shares) the required tax withholding payment resulted from the vesting of the restricted stock or from the exercise of the options. As of December 31, 2013, 9,506 shares were withheld by the Company to satisfy tax withholding obligations. The payment, amounting to \$27,685, was deducted from equity.

On or before December 31 of each calendar year, the CEO will be eligible to receive an additional grant of equity awards equal, in the aggregate, to up to 0.5% of the Company's actual outstanding shares of common stock on the date of grant, provided that the actual amount of the grant will be based on his achievement of certain performance objectives as established by the board, in its reasonable discretion, for each such calendar year.

If, during the term of the Employment Agreement, the CEO's employment is terminated upon certain conditions as stipulated in the agreement, the CEO will be entitled to receive certain benefits as stipulated in the agreement.

On April 25, 2013, the CEO was granted options to purchase shares of the Company's common stock as well as restricted shares. See Note 9b.

On January 29, 2014, the Company's board of directors approved an annual bonus for 2013 to the CEO in the amount of \$275,000 and granted the CEO options to purchase 399,675 shares of the Company's common stock as well as

182,725 restricted shares, See Note 14.

- d.** A director of the Company was granted 125,000 options to purchase shares of the Company's common stock in the six month period ended December 31, 2013. See Note 9b.

F-26

**f**Balances with related parties:

	December 31, 2013	June 30, 2013	2012
	(\$ in thousands)		
Current liabilities:			
Trade payable		\$22	
Other accounts payable	\$355	\$250	\$45

**g**. Transactions with related parties:

	6 month period ended December 31, 2013			Year ended June 30, 2013	2012
	(\$ in thousands)				
Expenses:					
Share-based compensation	\$1,293	\$2,973			\$9,517
Salaries and related expenses	557	531			305
Consulting fees	82	400			393
Rent income				(21	)

**NOTE 8 - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES****a. Lease commitments:**

On December 13, 2011, the Company entered into a lease agreement for a facility in Israel, which expires in 1)December 2014. The Company has the option, under the agreement, to extend the agreement for two additional two year periods, for a total of four years.

On March 13, 2012, the Company entered into a lease agreement for another facility in Israel, which expires in March 2014. The Company has the option, under the agreement, to extend the agreement for two additional two year periods, for a total of four years. On February 27, 2013, the Company gave a 90 days' notice period as stipulated in the agreement which was extended until June 30, 2013, to cancel its lease agreement for the Company's existing production facilities.

In January 2013, the Company entered into a lease agreement for its facilities in the U.S which expires in January 2014.

In December 2013, the Company entered into a lease agreement for its new facilities in the U.S which expires in February 2018. The Company has the right to terminate the lease agreement at the end of the third lease year upon 9 months prior written notice, as stipulated in the agreement.

Rent expense included in the consolidated statements of operations totaled approximately \$180,000 for the six month period ended December 31, 2013 and approximately \$383,000 and \$220,000 for the years ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

As of December 31, 2013, the aggregate future minimum lease obligations for office rent under non-cancelable operating lease agreements were as follows:

F-27

	(\$ in thousands)
Year Ended December 31:	
2014	\$ 385
2015	103
2016	106
2017	91
	\$ 685

- 2) The Company leases its motor vehicles under operating lease agreements. As of December 31, 2013, the aggregate non-cancelable future minimum lease obligations for motor vehicles were approximately \$43,000.

**b. License Agreement:**

In March 2010, the Company entered into a license agreement to use a stent design ("MGuard Prime™"). Pursuant to the agreement, the licensor was entitled to receive royalty payments of 7% of net sales outside the United States and, for sales within the United States, royalty payments as follows: 7% of net sales for the first \$10,000,000 of net sales and 10% of net sales for net sales exceeding \$10,000,000.

On October 20, 2012, the Company, InspireMD Ltd. and the licensor entered into an amendment (the "First Amendment") to License Agreement, which amended the license agreement described above. Pursuant to the First Amendment, amongst other things, the licensor agreed to reduce the royalty owed with respect to sales of MGuard Prime to 2.9% of all net sales both inside and outside the U.S. in exchange for (i) InspireMD Ltd. waiving \$85,000 in regulatory fees for the CE Mark that were owed by the licensor to InspireMD Ltd., (ii) InspireMD Ltd. making full payment of royalties in the amount of \$205,587 due to the licensor as of September 30, 2012 and (iii) 215,000 shares of the Company's common stock, that were valued at the closing price of the common stock on October 19, 2012 at \$8.20 per share. The total amount paid to the licensor was valued at \$1,848,000, inclusive of the shares issued as well as the \$85,000 waiver, and was allocated as follows: approximately \$930,000 was allocated to royalties' buyout and approximately \$918,000 was allocated to "research and development" expenses based on the MGuard Prime registration status in the various territories. The royalties' buyout amortization is calculated using the economic pattern of the Company's estimated future revenues over the estimated useful life of the royalties' buyout. The amortization is recorded in "Cost of Revenues" in the consolidated statements of operations.

On August 22, 2013, the Company, InspireMD Ltd. and the Licensor entered into an amendment to the License Agreement (the "Second Amendment"), pursuant to which the Company and the Licensor agreed to amend the royalty fee from 2.9% of all net sales during the term of the agreement to (i) 2% of the first \$10.56 million of net sales from July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2015, provided that the Company makes an advance royalty payment of \$192,000 on the date of the amendment, (ii) 2.5% of net sales in excess of \$10.56 million from July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2015, payable within 45 days of June 30, 2015, and (iii) 2.9% of all net sales beginning on July 1, 2015. The above referenced advance royalty payment has been included in long term prepaid expenses for the six month period ended December 31, 2013.

F-28



Royalties accrued for these sales are included in "Accounts payable and accruals –Other" as of June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Royalties expenses for the six month period ended December 31, 2013 amounted to approximately \$38,000, and for the years ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 amounted to approximately \$132,000 and \$201,000, respectively.

**c. Liens and pledges**

The Company's obligations under the Loan and Security Agreement (as defined in Note 6) were secured by the Israeli Security Agreements (as defined in Note 6) and the Deposit Account Control Agreements (as defined in Note 6) on all of the assets and properties of the Company and InspireMD Ltd., other than the intellectual property of the Company and InspireMD Ltd.

2) As of December 31, 2013, the Company had fixed liens amounting to \$93,000 to Bank Mizrahi in connection with the Company's credit cards.

**d. Litigation:**

In July 2012, a purported assignee of options in InspireMD Ltd. submitted a statement of claim against the Company, InspireMD Ltd., and the Company's former CEO and President for a declaratory and enforcement order that it is entitled to options to purchase 83,637 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$0.76 per share. After considering the views of its legal counsel as well as other factors, the Company's management believes that a loss to the Company is neither probable nor in an amount or range of loss that is estimable.

In December 2012, a former service provider of InspireMD GmbH filed a claim with the Labor Court in Buenos Aires, Argentina in the amount of \$193,378 plus interest (6% in dollars or 18.5% in pesos), social benefits, legal expenses and fees (25% of the award) against InspireMD Ltd. and InspireMD GmbH. The Company's management, after considering the views of its legal counsel as well as other factors, recorded a provision of \$250,000 in the financial statements for the quarter ended December 31, 2012. The related expense has been recorded to "General and administrative" within the consolidated statements of operations. The Company's management estimates that the ultimate resolution of this matter could result in a loss of up to \$80,000 in excess of the amount accrued.

**NOTE 9 – EQUITY**

**a. Share capital**

Edgar Filing: BRASIL TELECOM SA - Form 6-K/A

As of December 31, 2013, the Company has authorized 130,000,000 shares of capital stock, par value \$0.0001 per share, of which 125,000,000 are shares of common stock and 5,000,000 are shares of “blank check” preferred stock.

F-29

On October 31, 2011, the stockholders approved the authorization of the board of directors, in its discretion, to amend the Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company to effect a reverse stock split of the Company's common stock at a ratio of one-for-two to one-for-four, such ratio to be determined by the board of directors, which approval allowed the board of directors to effect the reverse stock split any time prior to the Company's annual meeting of stockholders in 2012.

On December 19, 2012, the Company filed with the Secretary of State of the State of Delaware a Certificate of Amendment of the Company's Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation to effect a one-for-four reverse stock split of its common stock (the "Reverse Stock Split"), which decreased the number of common shares issued and outstanding from approximately 72.1 million shares to approximately 18.0 million shares.

On January 8, 2013, due to the failure of the Company's common stock to be listed on a national securities exchange on or before December 31, 2012, the Company issued 178,029 shares of common stock to the purchasers, or their assignees, under the securities purchase agreement that the Company entered into on March 31, 2011 (the "2011 SPA"). Pursuant to the 2011 SPA, in the event that the Company's common stock was not listed on a national securities exchange on or before December 31, 2012, the Company was required to issue the purchasers under the 2011 SPA additional shares of common stock equal to 10% of the number of shares of common stock originally acquired by each such purchaser under the 2011 SPA.

On April 16, 2013, the Company consummated an underwritten public offering, pursuant to which it sold a total of 12,500,000 shares of common stock (the "Offering"). The price to the public in the Offering was \$2.00 per share, and the aggregate net proceeds of the Offering to the Company were approximately \$22.6 million, after the underwriters' commissions and offering expenses. On April 11, 2013, following the pricing of the Offering, the Company's common stock began trading on the NYSE MKT.

Following the Offering, the Exchange Agreement and subsequent grants of securities, the Company issued the purchasers in its March 31, 2011 financing, or their assigns, an aggregate of 792,884 shares of common stock during the 2013 calendar year, pursuant to the terms of 2011 SPA that provided these investors with certain anti-dilution protections. The related expense has been recorded to "Financial expenses (income), net" within the consolidated statements of operations.

**b. Share-Based Compensation**

On March 28, 2011, the board of directors and stockholders of the Company adopted and approved the InspireMD, Inc. 2011 UMBRELLA Option Plan (the "Umbrella Plan"). Under the Umbrella Plan, the Company reserved 1,2,367,025 shares of common stock as awards to employees, consultants, and service providers. At a special meeting of stockholders of the Company held on October 31, 2011, the stockholders approved an amendment to the Umbrella Plan to add an additional 1,382,975 shares of common stock for a total of 3,750,000 shares.



The Umbrella Plan currently consists of three components, the primary plan document that governs all awards granted under the Umbrella Plan, and two appendices: (i) Appendix A, designated for the purpose of grants of stock options and restricted stock to Israeli employees, consultants, officers and other service providers and other non-U.S. employees, consultants, and service providers, and (ii) Appendix B, which is the 2011 US Equity Incentive Plan, designated for the purpose of grants of stock options and restricted stock awards to U.S. employees, consultants, and service providers who are subject to the U.S. income tax.

The Umbrella Plan is administered by the compensation committee of the board of directors. Unless terminated earlier by the board of directors, the Umbrella Plan will expire on March 27, 2021.

U.S. federal income tax consequences relating to the transactions described under the Umbrella Plan are set forth in Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the “Code”) and treasury regulations in 2004 to regulate all types of deferred compensation. If the requirements of Section 409A of the Code are not satisfied, deferred compensation and earnings thereon will be subject to tax as it vests, plus an interest charge at the underpayment rate plus 1% and a 20% penalty tax. Certain stock options and certain types of restricted stock are subject to Section 409A of the Code.

Pursuant to the current Section 102 of the Israeli Tax Ordinance, which came into effect on January 1, 2003, options may be granted through a trustee (i.e., Approved 102 Options) or not through a trustee (i.e., Unapproved 102 Options).

On December 21, 2012, the Company amended its Umbrella Plan to increase the total number of shares of common stock issuable under such plan by 1,250,000 shares and to permit the awarding of incentive stock options pursuant to the U.S. portion of the plan.

On December 16, 2013, the board of directors and stockholders of the Company adopted and approved the 2. InspireMD, Inc. 2013 Long-Term Incentive Plan (the “2013 Plan”). Under the 2013 Plan, the Company reserved 5,000,000 shares of common stock for awards to employees, officers, directors, consultants, and service providers.

The 2013 Plan provides for the granting of incentive stock options, nonqualified stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units, performance awards, dividend equivalent rights, and other awards, which may be granted singly, in combination, or in tandem. The 2013 Plan is administered by the Company’s compensation committee.

3. On July 11, 2011, the board of directors of the Company appointed Mr. Sol J. Barer as a new director (“Director A”), with a term expiring at the Company’s 2012 annual meeting of stockholders. In connection with his appointment,

Director A was granted an option to purchase 250,000 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$6.00 per share (the "\$6.00 Option"). The \$6.00 Option was exercisable immediately until September 30, 2011. In calculating the fair value of the \$6.00 Option, the Company used the following assumptions: dividend yield of 0% and expected term of 0.11 years; expected volatility of 53%; and risk-free interest rate of 0.17%.

In addition, in connection with his appointment, Director A was granted an option to purchase 125,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$10.00 per share, the closing price of the common stock on the date of grant (the "\$10.00 Option"), subject to the terms and conditions of the 2011 US Equity Incentive Plan under the Umbrella Plan. The \$10.00 Option vests and becomes exercisable in three equal annual installments beginning on the one-year anniversary of the date of grant, provided that in the event that Director A is either (i) not reelected as a director at the Company's 2012 annual meeting of stockholders, or (ii) not nominated for reelection as a director at the Company's 2012 annual meeting of stockholders, the option vests and becomes exercisable on the date Director A fails to be reelected or nominated. The \$10.00 Option has a term of 10 years from the date of grant. In calculating the fair value of the \$10.00 Option, the Company used the following assumptions: dividend yield of 0% and expected term of 5.5-6 years; expected volatility of 62%-63%; and risk-free interest rate of 1.67%-1.85%.

The fair value of the options granted to Director A, using the Black-Scholes option pricing model, was approximately \$1,700,000.

On September 28, 2011, Director A exercised the \$6.00 Option to purchase 250,000 shares of common stock, resulting in gross proceeds to the Company of \$1,500,000.

On November 16, 2011, the Company's board of directors approved the appointment of Director A as the chairman of the board of directors. In connection with his appointment as chairman of the board of directors, the Company issued Director A 725,000 shares of common stock and an option to purchase 725,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$7.80 per share, the closing price of the common stock on the date of grant. The fair value of the granted shares is approximately \$5.7 million and was recorded as an expense in the consolidated financial statements for the year ended June 30, 2012. In calculating the fair value of these awards, the Company used the following assumptions: dividend yield of 0% and expected term of 5.5 years; expected volatility of 61.6%; and risk-free interest rate of 1.07%. The awards have terms of 10 years from the date of grant, and the vesting terms are as follows: tranche A vests and become exercisable in twenty four equal monthly installments, tranches B and C vest and become exercisable upon meeting certain performance conditions. The fair value of the awards, using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model was approximately \$3.1 million.

On June 18, 2012, the Company's board of directors approved the extension of the date by which the conditions to the vesting of tranches B and C must occur. As of June 30, 2012, the performance condition of tranche B was deemed probable and the performance condition of tranche C was deemed not probable. As a result, as of June 30, 2012, the Company continued to record expense related to tranche B, in accordance with the fair value that was calculated at the grant date. Tranche C was treated as a new grant, and the Company calculated the fair value of the new grant on the date of the extension using the following assumptions: dividend yield of 0% and expected term of 5 years; expected volatility of 66%; and risk-free interest rate of 0.69%. The fair value using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model was approximately \$192,000.





On April 11, 2013, the conditions of tranche B were met.

The conditions of tranche C were met in May 2013.

On August 5, 2011 and effective August 8, 2011, the Board appointed another two new directors (“Director B” and “Director C”). Director B was appointed for a term expiring at the Company’s 2012 annual meeting of stockholders and Director C was appointed for a term expiring at the Company’s 2013 annual meeting of stockholders. In connection with their appointment, the directors were each granted an option to purchase shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$7.80 per share, the closing price of the common stock on the date of grant (the “\$7.80 Options”). The grant to Director B was for 25,000 shares and is subject to the terms and conditions of the 2011 US Equity Incentive Plan.

The grant to Director C was for 6,250 shares and is subject to the 2006 Employee Stock Option Plan, a sub-plan of the Company’s 2011 Umbrella Option Plan. The \$7.80 Options vest and become exercisable in three equal annual installments beginning on the one-year anniversary of the date of grant. In the case of Director B’s option, in the event that Director B is either (i) not reelected as a director at the Company’s 2012 annual meeting of stockholders, or (ii) not nominated for reelection as a director at the Company’s 2012 annual meeting of stockholders, the option vests and becomes exercisable on the date of Director B’s failure to be reelected or nominated. In the case of Director C’s option, in the event that Director C is required to resign from the board due to medical reasons, the option vests and becomes exercisable on the date of Director C’s resignation for medical reasons. The \$7.80 Options have terms of 10 years from the date of grant.

In calculating the fair value of the \$7.80 Options, the Company used the following assumptions: dividend yield of 0% and expected term of 3-4 years; expected volatility of 67%-70%; and risk-free interest rate of 0.45%-0.78%.

The fair value of the options granted to the above-mentioned new directors, using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model, was approximately \$118,000.

On August 5, 2011, options to purchase 81,161 shares of common stock were granted to former directors at a cash exercise price of \$4.92 per share replacing options to purchase 81,161 shares of common stock held by former directors that expired during the second quarter of 2011. The options had terms of five years. In calculating the fair value of the options, the Company used the following assumptions: dividend yield of 0% and expected term of 3.5 years; expected volatility of 69%; and risk-free interest rate of 0.62%.

The fair value of the options granted to the former directors, using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model, was approximately \$424,000.

F-33

During 2011, the Company entered into investor relations consulting agreements with investor relations companies to provide investor relations services. Pursuant to the consulting agreements, in addition to monthly fees in a range of \$3,000 to \$16,500, the Company issued to the investor relations companies:

- a one-year warrant to purchase 20,290 shares of common stock of the Company at an exercise price of \$4.92 per share, valued at approximately \$21,000;
- 12,500 restricted shares of the Company's common stock, valued at approximately \$62,000, and a five-year warrant to purchase 12,500 shares of common stock of the Company at an exercise price of \$6.00 per share, valued at approximately \$30,000; and
- 6,250 shares of the Company's common stock, valued at \$68,750.

The Company recorded share-based compensation expenses of \$181,750 related to these issuances.

On January 30, 2012, the Company appointed a new director ("Director D") to its board of directors. In connection with his appointment, the Company issued Director D an option to purchase 25,000 shares of its common stock, which will vest one-third annually in 2013, 2014 and 2015 on the anniversary of the date of grant, provided that if he is (i) not reelected as a director at our 2014 annual meeting of stockholders, or (ii) not nominated for reelection as a director at our 2014 annual meeting of stockholders, the option vests and becomes exercisable on the date of such failure to be reelected or nominated.

In calculating the fair value of these options, the Company used the following assumptions: dividend yield of 0% and expected term of 5.5-6.5 years; expected volatility of 58%-60%; and risk-free interest rate of 1.01%-1.26%. The options have terms of 10 years from the date of grant, and the fair value of the options, using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model, was approximately \$106,000.

On June 18, 2012 the Company's board of directors issued Directors A, B, C and D options to purchase 12,500 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$3.16 per share, the closing price of the common stock on the date of grant. In calculating the fair value of these options, the Company used the following assumptions: dividend yield of 8.0% and expected term of 5.5-6.5 years; expected volatility of 65%-66%; and risk-free interest rate of 0.78%-0.97%. The options have terms of 10 years from the date of grant, and become exercisable in three equal annual installments. The fair value of the options, using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model, was approximately \$23,000 each.

On August 1, 2012, the Company issued a consultant options with certain market conditions to purchase 50,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$4.72 per share, the closing price of the common stock on the date of grant.

As of December 31, 2013, the first and second tranches were fully vested. The third and fourth tranches expired on July 31, 2013.

F-34

10. On August 27, 2012, the Company issued and extended options to purchase shares of common stock to a consultant who was an immediate family member of the Company's CEO at the time. See Note 7b.

11. On September 16, 2012, the Company appointed a new director ("Director E") to its board of directors. In connection with his appointment, on September 21, 2012, the Company issued Director E an option to purchase 25,000 shares of its common stock at an exercise price of \$9 per share, the closing price of the common stock on the date of grant.

In calculating the fair value of this option, the Company used the following assumptions: dividend yield of 0% and expected term of 5.5-6.5 years; expected volatility of 68.4%-69.3%; and risk-free interest rate of 0.8%-1.03%. The option has a term of 10 years from the date of grant and becomes exercisable in three equal annual installments. The fair value of the option, using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model, was approximately \$137,000.

12. On October 20, 2012, the Company issued 215,000 shares of common stock pursuant to an agreement with a licensor. See Note 8b.

13. On January 3, 2013, in connection with the appointment of the Company's current CEO, the Company granted the new CEO a nonqualified stock option to purchase 525,927 shares of the Company's common stock, made pursuant to a Nonqualified Stock Option Agreement, an incentive stock option to purchase 74,073 shares of the Company's common stock, made pursuant to an Incentive Stock Option Agreement, and 400,000 shares of restricted stock, which are subject to forfeiture until the vesting of such shares, made pursuant to a Restricted Stock Award Agreement. See Note 7.

In calculating the fair value of the above options the Company used the following assumptions: dividend yield of 0% and expected term of 5.04-6.5 years; expected volatility of 68.5%-70.3%; and risk-free interest rate of 0.72%-1.07%.

The fair value of the above 525,927 and 74,073 options, using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model, was approximately \$1,470,000.

The fair value of the above 400,000 restricted shares was approximately \$1,620,000.

On April 24, 2013, the vesting of the restricted stock awarded to the CEO was amended. See Note 7.

On April 25, 2013, the Company granted to the CEO (i) options to purchase 297,447 shares of common stock, with an exercise price of \$2.05 per share (the “April Option Grant”) and (ii) 179,866 restricted shares of common stock (the “April RS Grant”). The April Option Grant vests in three equal annual installments. The April RS Grant is subject to forfeiture until vested and vests in three equal annual installments. The fair value of the April RS Grant was approximately \$369,000. In calculating the fair value of the above options the Company used the following assumptions: dividend yield of 0% and expected term of 5.5-6.5 years; expected volatility of 66.9%-68.2%; and risk-free interest rate of 0.82%-1.04%. The fair value of the above options, using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model, was approximately \$368,000.

As of December 31, 2013, 9,506 restricted shares were withheld by the Company from the CEO upon certain vesting dates to satisfy tax withholding obligations. The payment of the withheld tax, amounting to \$27,685 was deducted from equity. See Note 7.

On February 7, 2013, the Company appointed a new director (“Director F”) to its board of directors. In connection 14. with his appointment, the Company issued Director F an option to purchase 124,415 shares of its common stock at an exercise price of \$3.40 per share, the closing price of the common stock on the date of grant

In calculating the fair value of this option, the Company used the following assumptions: dividend yield of 0% and expected term of 5.5-6.5 years; expected volatility of 66.8%-68.9%; and risk-free interest rate of 0.96%-1.21%. The option has terms of 10 years from the date of grant and becomes exercisable in three equal annual installments. The fair value of the options, using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model, was approximately \$257,000.

On April 16, 2013, the Company appointed a new Vice President of Corporate Development. In accordance with the appointment, on April 22, 2013, the Company granted the new Vice President of Corporate Development an 15. option to purchase 150,000 shares of the Company’s common stock. The option has an exercise price of \$1.97 per share, which was the fair market value of the Company’s common stock on the date of grant. The options are subject to a three-year vesting period with one-third of such awards vesting each year.

In calculating the fair value of the above options the Company used the following assumptions: dividend yield of 0% and expected term of 5.5-6.5 years; expected volatility of 66.9%-68.2%; and risk-free interest rate of 0.8%-1.02%.

The fair value of the above options, using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model, was approximately \$178,000.

On May 9, 2013, the Company granted options to purchase an aggregate of 400,000 shares of the Company’s common stock to certain of the Company’s independent directors. The options have an exercise price of \$2.75 per 16. share, which was the fair market value of the Company’s common stock on the date of grant. The options are subject to a three-year vesting period with one-third of such awards vesting each year. In calculating the fair value of the above options the Company used the following assumptions: dividend yield of 0% and expected term of 5.5-6.5 years; expected volatility of 68.1%-69.2%; and risk-free interest rate of 0.86%-1.09%.

The fair value of the above options, using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model, was approximately \$672,000.

During the six month period ended December 31, 2013, the Company granted stock options to employees and directors to purchase a total of 430,000 shares of the Company's common stock. The options have exercise prices ranging from \$2.12-\$2.75 per share, which were the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the date of each respective grant. The options are subject to a three-year vesting period with one-third of such awards vesting each year.

In calculating the fair value of the above options the Company used the following assumptions: dividend yield of 0% and expected term of 5.5-6.5 years; expected volatility of 67.7%-68.7%; and risk-free interest rate of 1.55%-2.23%.

The fair value of the above options, using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model, was approximately \$633,000.

18. As of December 31, 2013, the Company had reserved 5,251,555 shares of common stock for issuance under the plans as described above.



19. The following table summarizes information about warrants and share options to employees:

	6 month period ended December 31, 2013		Year ended June 30, 2013		2012	
	Number of warrants and options	Weighted average exercise price	Number of warrants and options	Weighted average exercise price	Number of warrants and options	Weighted average exercise price
Outstanding - beginning of period	3,407,054	\$ 4.71	2,321,083	\$ 5.28	1,098,631	\$ 3.60
Granted*	430,000	2.38	1,706,112	3.19	1,579,250	6.80
Forfeited	77,863	3.50	(194,729 )	4.11	(106,799 )	8.44
Exercised	71,016	0.001	(425,412 )	0.001	(250,000 )	6.00
Outstanding - end of period	3,688,175	\$ 4.55	3,407,054	\$ 4.71	2,321,083	\$ 5.28
Exercisable at the end of the period	1,526,024	\$ 6.55	1,316,979	\$ 6.23	904,108	\$ 3.04

\* Including 372,500 options with performance conditions in the year ended June 30, 2012.

The following table summarizes information about warrants and share options to non-employees:

	6 month period ended December 31, 2013		Year ended June 30, 2013		2012	
	Number of warrants and options	Weighted average exercise price	Number of warrants and options	Weighted average exercise price	Number of warrants and options	Weighted average exercise price
Outstanding - beginning of period	1,634,702	\$ 4.57	2,123,943	\$ 3.80	1,999,103	\$ 3.60
Granted*			115,723	5.09	239,086	5.04
Forfeited	85,474	4.86	(33,486 )	5.79	(114,246 )	2.44
Exercised	6,087	0.00	(571,478 )	1.86	-	-
Outstanding - end of period	1,543,141	\$ 4.57	1,634,702	\$ 4.57	2,123,943	\$ 3.80
Exercisable at the end of the period	1,500,713	\$ 4.53	1,546,693	\$ 4.51	2,056,710	\$ 3.76

\* Including 50,000 and 19,479 options with performance conditions in the years ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. See Note 2m.

The following table provides additional information about all warrants and options outstanding and exercisable:

Exercise price	Outstanding as of December 31, 2013		
	Warrants and options outstanding	Weighted average remaining contractual life (years)	Warrants and options exercisable
0-0.002	290,608	4.38	290,608
0.732	37,862	2.78	37,862
0.752	83,636	2.23	83,636
1.97	150,000	9.31	
2.05	297,446	9.32	
2.12	125,000	9.67	
2.23	155,000	9.71	
2.75	500,000	9.52	
2.92	118,750	8.42	39,584
2.95	25,000	9.35	
2.98	21,500	9.35	
3.16	85,417	8.47	31,250
3.20	75,000	8.40	25,000
3.40	179,165	9.10	
4.05	600,000	9.01	183,333
4.72	22,500	3.59	22,500
4.92	63,661	1.00	63,661
4.928	375,882	5.19	366,413
5.80	60,871	0.75	45,653
6.00	717,241	2.27	677,945
6.90	3,652	5.00	3,652
7.00	20,290	2.42	13,527
7.20	188,169	2.69	188,169
7.72	53,750	2.44	35,833
7.80	814,667	7.88	774,778
8.00	10,000	2.67	6,667
8.40	2,500	8.00	1,667
9.00	25,000	8.73	8,333
9.60	2,500	8.70	833
10.00	125,000	7.53	125,000
10.40	1,250	2.47	833
	5,231,317	6.72	3,026,737

The weighted average of the remaining contractual life of total vested and exercisable warrants and options as of December 31, 2013 was 5.10 years.

The aggregate intrinsic value of the total exercisable warrants and options as of December 31, 2013 was approximately \$923,000.

The total intrinsic value of options exercised was approximately \$177,000 for the six month period ended December 31, 2013 and approximately \$4.6 million and \$800,000 for the years ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

The weighted average fair value of warrants and options granted was approximately \$1.47 for the six month period ended December 31, 2013 and approximately \$1.98 and \$4.24 for the years ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The weighted average fair value of warrants and options granted was estimated using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model.

20. The following table sets forth the assumptions that were used in determining the fair value of options granted to employees for the six month period ended December 31, 2013, as well as the years ended June 30, 2013 and 2012:

	6 month period ended December 31, 2013	Year ended June 30,	
		2013	2012
Expected life	5.5-6.5 years	5.04-6.5 years	0.17-6.5 years
Risk-free interest rates	1.55%-2.23%	0.72%-1.28%	0.03%-2.79%
Volatility	68%-69%	67%-70%	55%-71%
Dividend yield	0%	0%	0%

The following table sets forth the assumptions that were used in determining the fair value of warrants and options granted to non-employees for the years ended June 30, 2013 and 2012. No such options were granted during the six month period ended December 31, 2013:

	Year ended June 30,	
	2013	2012
Expected life	2-10 years	2-10 years
Risk-free interest rates	0.28%-1.79%	0.3%-1.97%
Volatility	60%-73%	47%-65%
Dividend yield	0%	0%

The Company does not have sufficient historical exercise data to provide a reasonable basis upon which to estimate expected term. Accordingly, as to ordinary course options granted, the expected term was determined using the simplified method, which takes into consideration the option's contractual life and the vesting periods (for non-employees, the expected term is equal to the option's contractual life).

F-40

The Company estimates its forfeiture rate based on its employment termination history, and will continue to evaluate the adequacy of the forfeiture rate based on analysis of employee turnover behavior and other factors (for non-employees the forfeiture rate is nil). The annual risk-free rates are based on the yield rates of zero coupon non-index linked U.S. Federal Reserve treasury bonds as both the exercise price and the share price are in dollar terms. The Company's expected volatility is derived from a blended volatility, based on its historical data and that of a peer group of public companies.

As of December 31, 2013, the total unrecognized compensation cost on employee and non-employee stock options, related to unvested stock-based compensation, amounted to approximately \$2.4 million. This cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of approximately 1.65 years. This expected cost does not include the impact of any future stock-based compensation awards.

The following table summarizes the allocation of total share-based compensation expense in the consolidated statements of operations:

	6 month period ended December 31, 2013 (\$ in thousands)	Year ended June 30,	
		2013	2012
Deduction from revenue	\$-	\$ -	\$ 68
Cost of revenues	4	44	192
Research and development	41	284	370
Sales and marketing	87	78	375
General and administrative	1,417	3,433	9,549
	\$1,549	\$ 3,839	\$ 10,554

On April 5, 2012, the Company issued the 2012 Convertible Debenture and 2012 Warrants to purchase an aggregate of 835,866 shares of its common stock at an exercise price of \$7.20 per share in a private placement transaction. See Note 6.

On October 23, 2013, in connection with the Loan and Security Agreement, the Company issued the 2013 Warrant to purchase 168,351 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$2.97 per share. See Note 6.

e.

**Rights Agreement**

On October 22, 2013, the Board adopted a stockholder rights plan (the “Rights Plan”) and declared a dividend distribution to the Company’s stockholders of record at the close of business on November 15, 2013, of one preferred stock purchase right (a “Right”) for each outstanding share of common stock that will entitle the registered holder to purchase from the Company one one-thousandth (1/1,000) of a share of Series A Preferred Stock at a purchase price of \$21.00 per one one-thousandth (1/1,000) of a share, subject to adjustment.

Initially, the Rights will not be exercisable and will trade with the Company's shares of common stock.

F-41

Under the Rights Plan, the Rights will generally become exercisable only if a person or group acquires beneficial ownership of 15% or more of the Company's common stock in a transaction not approved by the Company's board. In that situation, each holder of a Right (other than the acquiring person, whose Rights will become void and will not be exercisable) will be entitled to purchase, at the then-current exercise price, additional shares of common stock having a value of twice the exercise price of the Right. In addition, if the Company is acquired in a merger or other business combination after an unapproved party acquires more than 15% of the Company's common stock, each holder of a Right would then be entitled to purchase at the then-current exercise price, shares of the acquiring company's stock, having a value of twice the exercise price of the Right.

The Company's board may redeem the Rights for a nominal amount at any time before an event that causes the Rights to become exercisable. Under the terms of the Rights Plan, it will expire on October 22, 2014.

**f. At-the-Market Agreement**

On October 23, 2013, The Company entered into an at-the-market issuance sales agreement, or the Sales Agreement, with MLV pursuant to which The Company may issue and sell shares of The Company common stock having an aggregate offering price of up to \$40 million directly on The NYSE MKT or sales made to or through a market maker other than on an exchange. With the Company prior written consent, sales may also be made in negotiated transactions and/or any other method permitted by law. MLV will receive a 3% commission from the gross proceeds of any sales. Subject to the terms and conditions of the Sales Agreement, MLV will use its commercially reasonable efforts to sell the shares of the Company common stock from time to time, based upon the Company instructions (including any price, time or size limits or other parameters or conditions that the Company may impose). The Company is not obligated to make any sales of common stock under the Sales Agreement and no assurance can be given that the Company will sell any shares under the Sales Agreement, or, if the Company does, as to the price or amount of shares that the Company will sell, or the dates on which any such sales will take place. The Sales Agreement may be terminated by either party at any time upon 10 days' notice to the other party, or by MLV at any time in certain circumstances, including the occurrence of a material adverse effect to the Company. In addition, the Sales Agreement will automatically terminate upon the sale of all common stock subject to the Sales Agreement.

**NOTE 10 - TAXES ON INCOME**

**a. Tax laws applicable to the Company and its subsidiaries**

**Taxation in the United States**

InspireMD, Inc. is taxed under U.S. tax laws.

F-42



## Taxation in Israel

InspireMD Ltd. is taxed under the Israeli Income Tax Ordinance.

On December 6, 2011, the "Tax Burden Distribution Law" Legislation Amendment (2011) was published in the Official Gazette. Under this law, the previously approved gradual decrease in the corporate tax rate was cancelled. The Corporate tax rate was increased from 24% in 2011, to 25% beginning in 2012.

On August 5, 2013, the Law for Change of National Priorities (Legislative Amendments for Achieving the Budgetary Goals for 2013-2014), 2013 (hereinafter, the "Law") was published in Reshumot (the Israeli government official gazette), and enacts, among other things, the following amendments:

1. Raising the corporate tax rate beginning in 2014 and thereafter to 26.5% (instead of 25%).

Increasing the tax rate on the income of preferred enterprises from the 2014 tax year and thereafter, as stated in the Encouragement of Capital Investment Law, 1959 (hereinafter - the Encouragement Law) of a qualifying company in Development Zone A to 9% (instead of 7% in 2014 and 6% in 2015 and thereafter) and companies located in zones other than Zone A to 16% (instead of 12.5% in 2014 and 12% in 2015 and thereafter). In addition, the tax rate on dividends distributed on January 1, 2014 and thereafter originating from preferred income under the Encouragement Law will be raised to 20% (instead of 15%).

When a company distributes revaluation gains to its shareholders, the asset for which revaluation gains are recognized in the financial statements of the company is deemed as an asset that was sold on distribution day (notional sale) and therefore such revaluation gains are liable to tax. Revaluation gains are defined by the Law as retained earnings not subject to corporate tax, of the kind indicated by the Minister of Finance with approval of the Finance Committee of the Knesset, at over NIS 1 million to be calculated accumulatively from the date of acquiring the asset.

## Taxation in Germany

InspireMD GmbH is taxed according to the tax laws in Germany. Accordingly, the applicable tax rates are corporate tax rate of 15.825% and trade tax rate of 17.15%.

**b. Tax benefits under the Law for the Encouragement of Capital Investments, 1959 (the “Law”):**

<sup>1</sup> InspireMD Ltd. has been granted a “Beneficiary Enterprises” status under the Investment Law including Amendment No. 60 thereof, which became effective in April 2005.

The tax benefits derived from any such Beneficiary Enterprise relate only to taxable profits attributable to the specific program of investment to which the status was granted.

F-43

The main benefit, to which InspireMD Ltd. is entitled, conditional upon the fulfilling of certain conditions stipulated by the above law, is a two-year exemption and five years of a reduced tax rate of 25% from tax on income derived from their beneficiary activities in facilities in Israel. The tax benefit period is twelve years from the years of implementation. The Company elected the year 2007, as the year of election, and 2011 as an additional year of election, with the twelve year period of benefits beginning in 2011.

In the event of a distribution of tax-exempt income attributable to "Beneficiary Enterprises" as a cash dividend, the Company will be required to pay tax at a rate of 25% on the amount distributed. In addition, dividends originating from income attributable to the "Beneficiary Enterprises" will be subject to a 15% withholding tax.

Should InspireMD Ltd. derive income from sources other than the "Beneficiary Enterprises" during the period of benefits, such income shall be taxable at the regular corporate tax rate.

## 2. Conditions for entitlement to the benefits

The entitlement to the above benefits is conditional upon InspireMD Ltd. fulfilling the conditions stipulated by the law, regulations published thereunder and the instruments of approval for the specific investments in approved assets. In the event of failure to comply with these conditions, the benefits may be cancelled and InspireMD Ltd. may be required to refund the amount of the benefits, in whole or in part, with the addition of interest.

The Israeli Law for Encouragement of Capital Investments, 1959 was amended as part of the Economic Policy Law for the years 2011-2012, which was passed in the Knesset (the Israeli parliament) on December 29, 2010. The amendment became effective as of January 1, 2011.

The amendment set alternative benefit tracks to the ones then in place, as follows: (i) an investment grants track designed for enterprises located in national development zone A and (ii) two new tax benefits tracks (for preferred enterprises and for special preferred enterprises), which provide for application of a unified tax rate to all preferred income of the company, as defined in the amendment.

The Company opted not to apply for Preferred Enterprise status.

## c. Carry forward tax losses

As of December 31, 2013, InspireMD Ltd. had a net carry forward tax loss of approximately \$35 million. Under Israeli tax laws, the carry forward tax losses can be utilized indefinitely. As of December 31, 2013, the Company had a net carry forward tax loss of approximately \$20 million. Under U.S. tax laws, the Company's tax losses can be utilized two years back and twenty years forward. As such the Company's carry forward tax losses will begin to expire on December 31, 2031.

**d. Tax assessments**

The Company and its subsidiaries have not been assessed for tax purposes since incorporation.

**e. Loss before income taxes**

The components of loss before income taxes are as follows:

	6 month period ended June 30, December 31, 2013 2012		
	(\$ in thousands)		
Profit (loss) before taxes on income:			
InspireMD, Inc.	\$(2,632)	\$(19,613)	\$(11,078)
InspireMD Ltd.	(6,698)	(9,653)	(6,501)
InspireMD GmbH	4	16	(4)
	\$(9,326)	\$(29,250)	\$(17,583)

**Current taxes on income**

Tax expenses in the amount of approximately \$10,000 for the six month period ended December 31, 2013 and approximately \$8,000 and \$14,000 for the years ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, are related to non-U.S. operations.

The following is a reconciliation of the theoretical tax expense, assuming all income was taxed at the regular tax rates applicable to the Company in the U.S. and the actual tax expense:

	6 month period ended June 30, December 31, 2013 2012		
	(\$ in thousands)		
Loss before taxes on income, as reported in the statements of operations	\$9,326	\$29,250	\$17,583
Theoretical tax benefit	(3,171)	(9,945)	(5,984)
Increase in tax benefit resulting from permanent differences	164	1,613	1,448
Increase (decrease) in taxes on income resulting from the computation of deferred taxes at a rate which is different from the theoretical rate	(171)	205	(75)

Edgar Filing: BRASIL TELECOM SA - Form 6-K/A

Increase (decrease) in uncertain tax positions - net	-	-	(71 )
Decrease in theoretical tax benefit resulting from subsidiaries different tax rate	(14 )	(61 )	1,408
Change in corporate tax rates	(121 )	-	(245 )
Change in valuation allowance	3,323	8,196	3,533
	\$10	\$8	\$14

F-45

As of December 31, 2013, as well as June 30, 2013 and 2012, the Company determined that it was more likely than not that the benefit of the operating losses would not be realized and consequently, management concluded that full valuation allowances should be established regarding the Company's deferred tax assets.

The changes in the valuation allowance for the six month period ended December 31, 2013 and the years ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 were as follows:

	6 month period ended December 31, 2013	Year ended June 30, 2013      2012	
	(\$ in thousands)		
Balance at the beginning of the year	\$16,246	\$ 8,050	\$ 4,517
Changes during the year	3,323	8,196	3,533
Balance at the end of the year	\$19,569	\$ 16,246	\$ 8,050

**f. Accounting for Uncertain Tax position**

The following is a reconciliation of the total amounts of the Company's unrecognized tax benefits during the six month period ended December 31, 2013, as well as the years ended June 30, 2013 and 2012:

	6 month period ended December 31, 2013	Year ended June 30, 2013      2012	
	(\$ in thousands)		
Balance at beginning of period	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 71
Decrease in unrecognized tax benefits as a result of tax positions taken during a prior year			(71 )
Balance at end of period	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

All of the above amounts of unrecognized tax benefits would affect the effective tax rate if recognized.

A summary of open tax years by major jurisdiction is presented below:

**Jurisdiction Years**

U.S.	2008-2013
Israel	2010-2013
Germany	2008-2013

F-46



The Company and its subsidiaries changed its fiscal year for tax fillings in Israel to end December 31, 2013.

**g. Deferred income tax:**

	6 month period ended December 31, 2013	Year ended June 30, 2012	6 month period ended December 31, 2012
(\$ in thousands)			
<b>Short-term:</b>			
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$107	\$82	\$54
Provision for bonus		51	
Provision for vacation and recreation pay	85	79	70
	192	212	124
<b>Long-term:</b>			
R&D expenses	1,085	1,227	746
Beneficial conversion feature		-	(1,251 )
Non cash issuance costs		-	89
Share-based compensation	2,137	1,698	693
Carry forward tax losses	16,111	13,060	7,631
Accrued severance pay, net	44	49	18
	19,377	16,034	7,926
Less-valuation allowance	(19,569)	(16,246 )	(8,050 )
	\$-	\$-	\$-

**NOTE 11 - SUPPLEMENTARY FINANCIAL STATEMENT INFORMATION**

**Balance sheets:**

**a. Accounts receivable:**

	6 month period ended December 31, 2013	Year Ended June 30, 2012	6 month period ended December 31, 2012
(\$ in thousands)			
1) Trade:			
Open accounts	\$2,260	\$ 2,068	\$ 2,039
Allowance for doubtful accounts	(405 )	(329 )	(215 )

Edgar Filing: BRASIL TELECOM SA - Form 6-K/A

	\$1,855	\$ 1,739	\$ 1,824
2) Other:			
Due from government institutions	\$221	\$ 176	\$ 124
Advance payments to suppliers	152	202	118
Miscellaneous	14	10	22
	\$387	\$ 388	\$ 264

F-47

The changes in “Allowance for doubtful accounts” during the six month period ended December 31, 2013, as well as the years ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 are as follows:

	6 month period ended December 31, 2013	Year ended June 30,	
		2013	2012
	(\$ in thousands)		
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 329	\$ 215	\$ 155
Additions during the period	58	245	78
Deductions during the period		(142 )	
Exchange rate differences	18	11	(18 )
Balance at end of period	\$ 405	\$ 329	\$ 215

**b. Inventories:**

	6 month period ended December 31, 2013	Year ended June 30,	
		2013	2012
	(\$ in thousands)		
Finished goods	\$ 1,097	\$ 364	\$ 479
Work in process	341	1,111	1,115
Raw materials and supplies	155	118	150
	\$ 1,593	\$ 1,593	\$ 1,744

As of December 31, 2013, as well as June 30, 2013 and 2012 the Company recorded provisions for slow moving inventory in the amounts of \$418,000, \$379,000 and \$443,000, respectively.

**c. Inventory on consignment**

The changes in inventory on consignment during the six month period ended December 31, 2013, as well as the years ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 are as follows:

	6 month period ended, December 31, 2013	Year ended June 30, 2012
	(\$ in thousands)	
Balance at beginning of period	\$ - \$ 63	\$ 82
Costs of revenues deferred during the period	20	63
Costs of revenues recognized during the period	(83 )	(82 )
Balance at end of period	\$ - \$ -	\$ 63

As of June 30, 2012, inventory on consignment included products of sales for which returns were reliably estimated in the amount of approximately \$63,000. As of December 31, 2013 and June 30, 2013, there was no inventory on consignment.

**d. Accounts payable and accruals-other:**

	6 month period ended December 31, 2013	Year ended June 30, 2013	2012
	(\$ in thousands)		
Employees and employee institutions	\$1,133	\$626	\$438
Accrued vacation and recreation pay	325	313	272
Accrued clinical trials expenses	622	513	607
Provision for sales commissions	139	205	194
Accrued expenses	886	1,343	1,197
Due to government institutions	7	15	22
Provision for returns			139
Taxes payable	29	13	56
	\$3,141	\$3,028	\$2,925

**Statements of Operation:****f. Financial expenses, net:**

	6 month period ended December 31, 2013	Year ended June 30, 2013	2012
	(\$ in thousands)		
Bank commissions	\$27	\$38	\$50
Interest income	(2 )	(28 )	(40 )
Exchange rate differences	1	(63 )	112
Induced conversion of convertible debt		9,330	
Issuance of warrants		568	
Interest expense (including debt issuance costs)	273	4,268	1,238
Change in fair value of warrants, embedded derivatives and anti-dilution rights	200	64	(1,322 )
	\$499	\$14,177	\$38

**NOTE 12 - ENTITY WIDE DISCLOSURES**

The Company operates in one operating segment.

F-49

Disaggregated financial data is provided below as follows:

- (1) Revenues by geographic area and
- (2) Revenues from principal customers.

Revenues are attributed to geographic areas based on the location of the customers. The following is a summary of revenues by geographic areas:

	6 month period ended December 31, 2013		Year ended June 30, 2013		2012	
	(\$ in thousands)					
Russia	\$ 759	\$ 837			\$ 812	
Spain	367	701			422	
Other	1,979	3,335			4,115	
	\$ 3,105	\$ 4,873			\$ 5,349	

By principal customers:

	6 month period ended, December 31, 2013		Year ended June 30, 2013				2012				
Customer A	24		%	17	%	15	%				
Customer B	12		%	14	%	8	%				

All tangible long lived assets are located in Israel.

**NOTE 13 – TRANSITION PERIOD COMPARATIVE DATA**

6 month period ended December 31,  
2013

2012

Edgar Filing: BRASIL TELECOM SA - Form 6-K/A

	(audited)		(unaudited)
	(\$ in thousands)		
Operating Data:			
Revenues	\$ 3,105		\$ 1,859
Cost of Revenues	1,442		777
Gross Profit	1,663		1,082
Operating Expenses:			
Royalties buyout expenses			918
Other research and development expenses	3,315		2,202
Selling and marketing	2,647		1,608
General and administrative (including \$1,417 and \$1,213 of share-based compensation for the six month periods ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively)	4,528		4,001
Total operating expenses	10,490		8,729
Loss from operations	(8,827	)	(7,647
Interest expense	273		1,637
Other financial expenses, net	226		93
Loss before tax expenses	(9,326	)	(9,377
Tax expenses	10		49
Net loss	(9,336	)	\$ (9,426
Net loss per share - basic and diluted	\$ (0.27	)	\$ (0.54
Weighted Average number of ordinary shares used in computing net loss per share - basic and diluted	33,963,901		17,401,025
Cash Flow Data:			
Net cash used by operating activities	(6,799	)	(5,799
Net cash used by investing activities	(252	)	(193
Net cash provided by financing activities	9,763		1,049
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	3		92
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	2,715		(4,851

F-50



**NOTE 14 - SUBSEQUENT EVENTS:**

In January and February 2014, the Company granted options to purchase 1,246,932 shares of the Company's common stock as well as 425,240 restricted shares to certain employees and directors.

F-51