ASHFORD HOSPITALITY TRUST INC

Form 10-K March 16, 2017 Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES** 

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

þANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2016

OR

..TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to Commission file number: 001-31775
ASHFORD HOSPITALITY TRUST, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland 86-1062192

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) (IRS employer identification number)

14185 Dallas Parkway, Suite 1100

75254

Dallas, Texas

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)

(972) 490-9600

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code) Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class Name of each exchange on which registered

Common Stock
Preferred Stock, Series A
Preferred Stock, Series D
Preferred Stock, Series D
Preferred Stock, Series F
Preferred Stock, Series F
Preferred Stock, Series G
Preferred Stock Series

None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. b Yes "No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. "Yes b No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90

days. b Yes "No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files) by Yes "No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act):

Large accelerated filer o Accelerated filer b

Non-accelerated filer o Smaller reporting company o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). " Yes \$ \$ No

As of June 30, 2016, the aggregate market value of 90,907,639 shares of the registrant's common stock held by non-affiliates was approximately \$488,174,000.

As of March 14, 2017, the registrant had 96,727,989 shares of common stock issued and outstanding. DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the registrant's definitive Proxy Statement pertaining to the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders are incorporated herein by reference into Part III of this Form 10-K.

## Table of Contents

ASHFORD HOSPITALITY TRUST, INC. YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016 INDEX TO FORM 10-K

|             |  | Page       |
|-------------|--|------------|
| PART I      |  |            |
| Item 1.     | Business   | <u>3</u>   |
| Item<br>1A. | Risk Factors   | <u>8</u>   |
| Item 1B     | 3. <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>  | <u>35</u>  |
| Item 2.     | <u>Properties</u>  | <u>36</u>  |
| Item 3.     | Legal Proceedings  | <u>39</u>  |
| Item 4.     | Mine Safety Disclosures  | <u>39</u>  |
| PART I      | I  |            |
| Item 5.     | Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities | <u>39</u>  |
| Item 6.     | Selected Financial Data  | <u>44</u>  |
| Item 7.     | Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations                        | <u>45</u>  |
| Item<br>7A. | Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk   | <u>68</u>  |
| Item 8.     | Financial Statements and Supplementary Data  | <u>69</u>  |
| Item 9.     | Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure                         | <u>119</u> |
| Item<br>9A. | Controls and Procedures  | <u>119</u> |
| Item 9B     | 3. Other Information   | <u>122</u> |
| PART I      | II   |            |
| Item 10     | . Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance   | <u>122</u> |
| Item 11     | . Executive Compensation   | <u>122</u> |
| Item 12     | . Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters             | <u>122</u> |

| Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence | <u>122</u> |
|--|------------|
| Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services                                    | 122        |
| PART IV  |            |
| Item 15. Financial Statement Schedules and Exhibits                                | <u>122</u> |
| Item 16. Form 10-K Summary   | <u>122</u> |
| <u>SIGNATURES</u>  |            |
|  |            |

#### **Table of Contents**

This Annual Report is filed by Ashford Hospitality Trust, Inc., a Maryland corporation (the "Company"). Unless the context otherwise requires, all references to the Company include those entities owned or controlled by the Company. In this report, the terms "the Company," "Ashford Trust," "we," "us" or "our" mean Ashford Hospitality Trust, Inc. and all entities included in its consolidated financial statements.

#### FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Throughout this Form 10-K and documents incorporated herein by reference, we make forward-looking statements that are subject to risks and uncertainties. These forward-looking statements include information about possible, estimated or assumed future results of our business, financial condition and liquidity, results of operations, plans, and objectives. Statements regarding the following subjects are forward-looking by their nature:

our business and investment strategy, including our ability to complete proposed business transactions described herein or the expected benefit of any such transactions;

anticipated or expected purchases or sales of assets;

our projected operating results;

completion of any pending transactions;

our ability to obtain future financing arrangements;

our understanding of our competition;

market trends;

projected capital expenditures; and

the impact of technology on our operations and business.

Such forward-looking statements are based on our beliefs, assumptions, and expectations of our future performance taking into account all information currently known to us. These beliefs, assumptions, and expectations can change as a result of many potential events or factors, not all of which are known to us. If a change occurs, our business, financial condition, liquidity, results of operations, plans, and other objectives may vary materially from those expressed in our forward-looking statements. Additionally, the following factors could cause actual results to vary from our forward-looking statements:

factors discussed in this Form 10-K, including those set forth under the sections titled "Risk Factors," "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," "Business," and "Properties;"

general volatility of the capital markets and the market price of our common and preferred stock;

changes in our business or investment strategy;

availability, terms, and deployment of capital;

availability of qualified personnel;

changes in our industry and the market in which we operate, interest rates, or local economic conditions;

the degree and nature of our competition;

actual and potential conflicts of interest with our advisor, Remington Lodging & Hospitality, LLC, our executive officers and our non-independent directors;

changes in governmental regulations, accounting rules, tax rates and similar matters;

legislative and regulatory changes, including changes to the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Internal Revenue Code"), and related rules, regulations and interpretations governing the taxation of REITs; and limitations imposed on our business and our ability to satisfy complex rules in order for us to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes.

When we use words or phrases such as "will likely result," "may," "anticipate," "estimate," "should," "expect," "believe," "intrinsimilar expressions, we intend to identify forward-looking statements. You should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. We are not obligated to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events, or otherwise.

#### **Table of Contents**

PART I

Item 1. Business

**GENERAL** 

Ashford Hospitality Trust, Inc., together with its subsidiaries, is an externally-advised real estate investment trust ("REIT") focused on investing opportunistically in the hospitality industry with a focus on full-service upscale and upper-upscale hotels in the U.S. that have a revenue per available room ("RevPAR") generally less than two times the U.S. national average. Additional information can be found on our website at www.ahtreit.com. We were formed as a Maryland corporation in May 2003 and commenced operations in August 2003, as a self-advised REIT. In November 2014, we completed the spin-off of our asset management business, forming Ashford Inc. as a separate publicly traded company, and we became advised by Ashford Inc. We continue to own our lodging investments and conduct our business through Ashford Hospitality Limited Partnership ("Ashford Trust OP"), our operating partnership. Ashford OP General Partner LLC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, serves as the sole general partner of our operating partnership.

Our hotel properties are primarily operated under the widely recognized upscale and upper-upscale brands of Hilton, Hyatt, Marriott and Intercontinental Hotels Group. Currently, all of our hotel properties are located in the United States. As of December 31, 2016, we owned interests in the following:

123 consolidated hotel properties, including 121 (two that are held for sale) directly owned and two owned through a majority-owned investment in a consolidated entity, which represent 26,013 total rooms (or 25,986 net rooms excluding those attributable to our partner);

86 hotel condominium units at WorldQuest Resort in Orlando, Florida;

- a 96.6% ownership in Ashford Quantitative Alternatives (U.S.), LP (the "AQUA U.S. Fund") previously named AIM Real Estate Hedged Equity (U.S.) Fund, LP (the "REHE Fund") with a carrying value of \$50.9 million.
- a 29.7% ownership in Ashford Inc. common stock with a carrying value of \$5.9 million; and
- a 13.3% ownership in OpenKey with a carrying value of \$2.0 million.

For federal income tax purposes, we have elected to be treated as a REIT, which imposes limitations related to operating hotels. As of December 31, 2016, all of our 123 hotel properties were leased or owned by our wholly-owned and majority-owned subsidiaries that are treated as taxable REIT subsidiaries for federal income tax purposes (collectively, these subsidiaries are referred to as "Ashford TRS"). Ashford TRS then engages eligible independent contractors to operate the hotel properties under management contracts. Hotel operating results related to these properties are included in the consolidated statements of operations.

We do not operate any of our hotel properties directly; instead we employ hotel management companies to operate them for us under management contracts. Remington Lodging & Hospitality, LLC, together with its affiliates ("Remington Lodging"), is one of our property managers, and is beneficially wholly-owned by Mr. Monty J. Bennett, our Chairman, and Mr. Archie Bennett, Jr., our Chairman Emeritus. As of December 31, 2016, Remington Lodging managed 84 of our 123 hotel properties and the WorldQuest Resort. On September 17, 2015, Remington Lodging and Ashford Inc. entered into an agreement pursuant to which Ashford Inc. will acquire all of the general partner interest and 80% of the limited partner interests in Remington Lodging. On April 12, 2016, Ashford Inc.'s stockholders approved the acquisition. The agreement was subsequently amended to extend the date by which each of Ashford Inc. and Remington Lodging may unilaterally terminate the agreement if the acquisition has not been consummated to April 7, 2017. The acquisition is subject to the satisfaction of various conditions, and if completed, will not impact our management agreements with Remington Lodging. Third-party management companies managed our remaining hotel properties.

#### **BUSINESS STRATEGIES**

We will continue to seek ways to benefit from the cyclical nature of the hotel industry.

Based on our primary business objectives and forecasted operating conditions, our current key priorities and financial strategies include, among other things:

acquisition of hotel properties that will be accretive to our portfolio;

disposition of non-core hotel properties;

pursuing capital market activities to enhance long-term stockholder value;

preserving capital, enhancing liquidity, and continuing current cost saving measures;

implementing selective capital improvements designed to increase profitability;

#### **Table of Contents**

implementing effective asset management strategies to minimize operating costs and increase revenues;

financing or refinancing hotels on competitive terms;

utilizing hedges and derivatives to mitigate risks; and

making other investments or divestitures that our board of directors deems appropriate.

In June 2015, our board of directors modified our investment strategy to focus predominantly on full-service hotels in the upscale and upper-upscale segments in domestic and international markets that have RevPAR generally less than twice the national average. The change in our investment strategy was made in conjunction with our announcement that we plan to sell the vast majority our select-service hotel portfolio over time as market conditions warrant and values are supported. We believe that as supply, demand, and capital market cycles change, we will be able to shift our investment strategy to take advantage of new lodging-related investment opportunities as they may develop. Our board of directors may change our investment strategy at any time without stockholder approval or notice. While our current investment strategy is focused on direct hotel investments, as the business cycle changes and the hotel markets continue to improve, we may invest in a variety of lodging-related assets based upon our evaluation of diverse market conditions including our cost of capital and the expected returns from those investments. Our

diverse market conditions including our cost of capital and the expected returns from those investments. Our investments may include: (i) direct hotel investments; (ii) mezzanine financing through origination or acquisition; (iii) first-lien mortgage financing through origination or acquisition; (iv) sale-leaseback transactions; and (v) other hospitality transactions.

Our strategy is designed to take advantage of lodging industry conditions and adjust to changes in market circumstances over time. Our assessment of market conditions will determine asset reallocation strategies. While we seek to capitalize on favorable market fundamentals, conditions beyond our control may have an impact on overall profitability and our investment returns.

Our strategy of combining lodging-related equity and debt investments seeks, among other things, to: capitalize on both current yield and price appreciation, while simultaneously offering diversification of types of assets within the hospitality industry; and

vary investments across an array of hospitality assets to take advantage of market cycles for each asset class. To take full advantage of future investment opportunities in the lodging industry, we intend to invest according to the asset allocation strategies described below. However, due to ongoing changes in market conditions, we will continually evaluate the appropriateness of our investment strategies. Our board of directors may change any or all of these strategies at any time without stockholder approval or notice.

Direct Hotel Investments—In selecting hotels to acquire, we target hotels that offer either a high current return or the opportunity to increase in value through repositioning, capital investments, market-based recovery, or improved management practices. Our direct hotel acquisition strategy primarily targets full-service hotels with RevPAR less than twice the national average in primary, secondary, and resort markets, typically throughout the United States and will seek to achieve both current income and appreciation. In addition, we will continue to assess our existing hotel portfolio and make strategic decisions to sell certain under-performing or non-strategic hotels that do not fit our investment strategy or criteria due to micro or macro market changes or other reasons.

Mezzanine Financing—Subordinated loans, or mezzanine loans, that we acquire or originate may relate to a diverse segment of hotels that are located across the U.S. These mezzanine loans are secured by junior mortgages on hotels or pledges of equity interests in entities owning hotels. As the global economic environment improves and the hotel industry stabilizes, we may refocus our efforts on the acquisition or origination of mezzanine loans. Given the greater repayment risks of these types of loans, to the extent we acquire or originate them in the future, we will have a more conservative approach in underwriting these assets. Mezzanine loans that we acquire in the future may be secured by individual assets as well as cross-collateralized portfolios of assets.

First Mortgage Financing—From time to time, we may acquire or originate first mortgages. As the dynamics in the capital markets and the hotel industry make first-mortgage investments more attractive, we may acquire, potentially at a discount to par, or originate loans secured by first priority mortgages on hotels. We may be subject to certain state-imposed licensing regulations related to commercial mortgage lenders, with which we intend to comply. However, because we are not a bank or a federally chartered lending institution, we are not subject to state and federal regulatory constraints imposed on such entities.

Sale-Leaseback Transactions—To date, we have not participated in any sale-leaseback transactions. However, if the lodging industry fundamentals shift such that sale-leaseback transactions become more attractive investments, we may purchase hotels and lease them back to their existing hotel owners.

#### **Table of Contents**

Other Transactions—We may also invest in other lodging related assets or businesses that offer diversification, attractive risk adjusted returns, and/or capital allocation benefits.

### **BUSINESS SEGMENTS**

We currently operate in one business segment within the hotel lodging industry: direct hotel investments. A discussion of our operating segment is incorporated by reference to note 20 to our consolidated financial statements set forth in Part II, Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

#### FINANCING STRATEGY

We utilize debt to increase equity returns. When evaluating our future level of indebtedness and making decisions regarding the incurrence of indebtedness, our board of directors considers a number of factors, including: our leverage levels across the portfolio;

•he purchase price of our investments to be acquired with debt financing;

impact on financial covenants;

eost of debt;

doan maturity schedule;

the estimated market value of our investments upon refinancing;

the ability of particular investments, and our Company as a whole, to generate cash flow to cover expected debt service; and

trailing twelve months net operating income of the hotel to be financed.

We may incur debt in the form of purchase money obligations to the sellers of properties, publicly or privately placed debt instruments, or financing from banks, institutional investors, or other lenders. Any such indebtedness may be secured or unsecured by mortgages or other interests in our properties. This indebtedness may be recourse, non-recourse, or cross-collateralized. If recourse, such recourse may include our general assets or be limited to the particular investment to which the indebtedness relates. In addition, we may invest in properties or loans subject to existing loans secured by mortgages or similar liens on the properties, or we may refinance properties acquired on a leveraged basis. We may also from time to time receive additional capital from our advisor in the form of key money. We may use the proceeds from any borrowings for working capital, consistent with industry practice, to:

purchase interests in partnerships or joint ventures;

finance the origination or purchase of debt investments; or

finance acquisitions, expand, redevelop or improve existing properties, or develop new properties or other uses. In addition, if we do not have sufficient cash available, we may need to borrow to meet taxable income distribution requirements under the Internal Revenue Code. No assurances can be given that we will obtain additional financings or, if we do, what the amount and terms will be. Our failure to obtain future financing under favorable terms could adversely impact our ability to execute our business strategy. In addition, we may selectively pursue debt financing on our individual properties and debt investments.

### DISTRIBUTION POLICY

In December 2015, the board of directors approved our dividend policy for 2016 with an annualized target of \$0.48 per share. For the year ended December 31, 2016, we have declared annual dividends of \$0.48 per share. We may incur indebtedness to meet distribution requirements imposed on REITs under the Internal Revenue Code to the extent that working capital and cash flow from our investments are insufficient to fund required distributions. We may elect to pay dividends on our common stock in cash or a combination of cash and shares of securities as permitted under federal income tax laws governing REIT distribution requirements. We may pay dividends in excess of our cash flow. Distributions are authorized by our board of directors and declared by us based upon a variety of factors deemed relevant by our directors. No assurance can be given that our dividend policy will not change in the future. In December 2016, the board of directors approved our dividend policy for 2017 and we expect to pay a quarterly dividend of \$0.12 per share during 2017. The adoption of a dividend policy does not commit our board of directors to declare future dividends or the amount thereof. The board of directors will continue to review our dividend policy on a quarterly basis. Our ability to pay distributions to our stockholders will depend, in part, upon our receipt of distributions from our operating partnership. This, in turn, may depend upon receipt of

#### **Table of Contents**

lease payments with respect to our properties from indirect, wholly-owned subsidiaries of our operating partnership and the management of our properties by our property managers. Distributions to our stockholders are generally taxable to our stockholders as ordinary income. However, since a portion of our investments are equity ownership interests in hotels, which result in depreciation and non-cash charges against our income, a portion of our distributions may constitute a non-taxable return of capital, to the extent of a stockholder's tax basis in the stock. To the extent that it is consistent with maintaining our REIT status, we may maintain accumulated earnings of Ashford TRS in that entity.

Our charter allows us to issue preferred stock with a preference on distributions, such as our Series A, Series D, Series F and Series G preferred stock. The partnership agreement of our operating partnership also allows the operating partnership to issue units with a preference on distributions, such as our Class B common units (which were converted to common units in 2016). The issuance of these series of preferred stock and units together with any similar issuance in the future, given the dividend preference on such stock or units, could limit our ability to make a dividend distribution to our common stockholders.

#### **COMPETITION**

The hotel industry is highly competitive and the hotels in which we invest are subject to competition from other hotels for guests. Competition is based on a number of factors, most notably convenience of location, availability of rooms, brand affiliation, price, range of services, guest amenities or accommodations offered and quality of customer service. Competition is often specific to the individual markets in which our properties are located and includes competition from existing and new hotels. Increased competition could have a material adverse effect on the occupancy rate, average daily room rate and room revenue per available room of our hotels or may require us to make capital improvements that we otherwise would not have to make, which may result in decreases in our profitability. Our principal competitors include other hotel operating companies, ownership companies (including hotel REITs) and national and international hotel brands. We face increased competition from providers of less expensive accommodations, such as select-service hotels or independent owner-managed hotels, during periods of economic downturn when leisure and business travelers become more sensitive to room rates. We may also experience competition from alternative types of accommodations such as AirBnb.

#### **EMPLOYEES**

We have no employees. Our appointed officers and employees are provided by Ashford Hospitality Advisors LLC ("Ashford LLC"), a subsidiary of Ashford Inc. (collectively, our "advisor"). Services which would otherwise be provided by employees are provided by Ashford LLC and by our executive officers. Ashford LLC has approximately 103 full-time employees. These employees directly or indirectly perform various acquisition, development, asset management, capital markets, accounting, tax, risk management, legal, redevelopment, and corporate management functions pursuant to the terms of our advisory agreement.

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS**

Under various federal, state, and local laws and regulations, an owner or operator of real estate may be liable for the costs of removal or remediation of certain hazardous or toxic substances on such property. These laws often impose liability without regard to whether the owner knew of, or was responsible for, the presence of hazardous or toxic substances. Furthermore, a person who arranges for the disposal of a hazardous substance or transports a hazardous substance for disposal or treatment from property owned by another may be liable for the costs of removal or remediation of hazardous substances released into the environment at that property. The costs of remediation or removal of such substances may be substantial, and the presence of such substances, or the failure to promptly remediate such substances, may adversely affect the owner's ability to sell the affected property or to borrow using the affected property as collateral. In connection with the ownership and operation of our properties, we, our operating partnership, or Ashford TRS may be potentially liable for any such costs. In addition, the value of any lodging property loan we originate or acquire would be adversely affected if the underlying property contained hazardous or toxic substances.

Phase I environmental assessments, which are intended to identify potential environmental contamination for which our properties may be responsible, have been obtained on substantially all of our properties. Such Phase I environmental assessments included:

historical reviews of the properties;

reviews of certain public records;

preliminary investigations of the sites and surrounding properties;

screening for the presence of hazardous substances, toxic substances, and underground storage tanks; and the preparation and issuance of a written report.

#### **Table of Contents**

Such Phase I environmental assessments did not include invasive procedures, such as soil sampling or ground water analysis. Such Phase I environmental assessments have not revealed any environmental liability that we believe would have a material adverse effect on our business, assets, results of operations, or liquidity, and we are not aware of any such liability. To the extent Phase I environmental assessments reveal facts that require further investigation, we would perform a Phase II environmental assessment. However, it is possible that these environmental assessments will not reveal all environmental liabilities. There may be material environmental liabilities of which we are unaware, including environmental liabilities that may have arisen since the environmental assessments were completed or updated. No assurances can be given that (i) future laws, ordinances, or regulations will not impose any material environmental liability, or (ii) the current environmental condition of our properties will not be affected by the condition of properties in the vicinity (such as the presence of leaking underground storage tanks) or by third parties unrelated to us.

We believe our properties are in compliance in all material respects with all federal, state, and local ordinances and regulations regarding hazardous or toxic substances and other environmental matters. Neither we nor, to our knowledge, any of the former owners of our properties have been notified by any governmental authority of any material noncompliance, liability, or claim relating to hazardous or toxic substances or other environmental matters in connection with any of our properties.

#### **INSURANCE**

We maintain comprehensive insurance, including liability, property, workers' compensation, rental loss, environmental, terrorism, and, when available on commercially reasonable terms, flood, wind and earthquake insurance, with policy specifications, limits, and deductibles customarily carried for similar properties. Certain types of losses (for example, matters of a catastrophic nature such as acts of war or substantial known environmental liabilities) are either uninsurable or require substantial premiums that are not economically feasible to maintain. Certain types of losses, such as those arising from subsidence activity, are insurable only to the extent that certain standard policy exceptions to insurability are waived by agreement with the insurer. We believe, however, that our properties are adequately insured, consistent with industry standards.

#### FRANCHISE LICENSES

We believe that the public's perception of quality associated with a franchisor can be an important feature in the operation of a hotel. Franchisors provide a variety of benefits for franchisees, which include national advertising, publicity, and other marketing programs designed to increase brand awareness, training of personnel, continuous review of quality standards, and centralized reservation systems.

As of December 31, 2016, we owned interests in 123 hotel properties, 118 of which operated under the following franchise licenses or brand management agreements:

Embassy Suites is a registered trademark of Hilton Hospitality, Inc.

Hilton is a registered trademark of Hilton Hospitality, Inc.

Hilton Garden Inn is a registered trademark of Hilton Hospitality, Inc.

Hampton Inn is a registered trademark of Hilton Hospitality, Inc.

Homewood Suites is a registered trademark of Hilton Hospitality, Inc.

Marriott is a registered trademark of Marriott International, Inc.

SpringHill Suites is a registered trademark of Marriott International, Inc.

Residence Inn by Marriott is a registered trademark of Marriott International, Inc.

Courtyard by Marriott is a registered trademark of Marriott International, Inc.

Fairfield Inn by Marriott is a registered trademark of Marriott International, Inc.

TownePlace Suites is a registered trademark of Marriott International, Inc.

Renaissance is a registered trademark of Marriott International, Inc.

Ritz Carlton is a registered trademark of Marriott International, Inc.

Hyatt Regency is a registered trademark of Hyatt Corporation.

Le Meridien is a registered trademark of Marriott International, Inc.

Sheraton is a registered trademark of Marriott International, Inc.

#### **Table of Contents**

W is a registered trademark of Marriott International, Inc.

Westin is a registered trademark of Marriott International, Inc.

Crowne Plaza is a registered trademark of InterContinental Hotels Group.

Hotel Indigo is a registered trademark of InterContinental Hotels Group.

One Ocean is a registered trademark of Remington Hotels LP.

Our management companies, including Remington Lodging, must operate each hotel pursuant to the terms of the related franchise or brand management agreement and must use their best efforts to maintain the right to operate each hotel pursuant to such terms. In the event of termination of a particular franchise or brand management agreement, our management companies must operate any affected hotels under another franchise or brand management agreement, if any, that we enter into. We anticipate that many of the additional hotels we acquire could be operated under franchise licenses or brand management agreements as well.

Our franchise licenses and brand management agreements generally specify certain management, operational, recordkeeping, accounting, reporting, and marketing standards and procedures with which the franchisee or brand operator must comply, including requirements related to:

training of operational personnel;

safety;

maintaining specified insurance;

types of services and products ancillary to guestroom services that may be provided;

display of signage; and

type, quality, and age of furniture, fixtures, and equipment included in guestrooms, lobbies, and other common areas. SEASONALITY

Our properties' operations historically have been seasonal as certain properties maintain higher occupancy rates during the summer months, while certain other properties maintain higher occupancy rates during the winter months. This seasonality pattern can cause fluctuations in our quarterly lease revenue under our percentage leases. We anticipate that our cash flows from the operations of our properties will be sufficient to enable us to make quarterly distributions to maintain our REIT status. To the extent that cash flows from operations are insufficient during any quarter due to temporary or seasonal fluctuations in lease revenue, we expect to utilize other cash on hand or borrowings to fund required distributions. However, we cannot make any assurances that we will make distributions in the future.

### ACCESS TO REPORTS AND OTHER INFORMATION

We maintain a website at www.ahtreit.com. On our website, we make available free-of-charge our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and other reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file or furnish such material with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"). In addition, our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, Code of Ethics for the Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, and Chief Accounting Officer, Corporate Governance Guidelines, and Board Committee Charters are also available free-of-charge on our website or can be made available in print upon request. All reports filed with the SEC may also be read and copied at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, N.E. Washington, DC 20549-1090. Further information regarding the operation of the Public Reference Room may be obtained by calling 1-800-SEC-0330. In addition, all of our filed reports can be obtained at the SEC's website at www.sec.gov.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

#### RISKS RELATED TO OUR BUSINESS

The financial crisis and general economic slowdown, which began in late 2007, harmed the operating performance of the hotel industry generally. If these or similar events recur, we may be harmed by declines in occupancy, average daily room rates and/or other operating revenues.

The performance of the lodging industry has been closely linked with the performance of the general economy and, specifically, growth in the U.S. gross domestic product. A majority of our hotels are classified as upscale and upper-upscale. In an economic downturn, these types of hotels may be more susceptible to a decrease in revenue, as compared to hotels in other

#### **Table of Contents**

categories that have lower room rates. This characteristic may result from the fact that upscale and upper-upscale hotels generally target business and high-end leisure travelers. In periods of economic difficulties, business and leisure travelers may seek to reduce travel costs by limiting travel or seeking to reduce costs on their trips. Any economic recession will likely have an adverse effect on us.

Continued or renewed economic weakness in the U.S. economy, generally, or a new recession would likely adversely affect our financial condition and that of our managers and tenants, and could impact the ability of our managers and tenants to pay our returns and rents.

Failure of the hotel industry to exhibit sustained improvement or to improve as expected may adversely affect us. A substantial part of our business plan is based on our belief that the lodging markets in which we invest will experience improving economic fundamentals in the future, despite that fundamentals have already substantially improved over the last several years. In particular, our business strategy is dependent on our expectation that key industry performance indicators, especially RevPAR, will continue to improve. There can be no assurance as to whether or to what extent, hotel industry fundamentals will continue to improve. In the event conditions in the industry do not sustain improvement or improve as we expect, or deteriorate, we may be adversely affected. The hotel industry is highly competitive and the hotels in which we invest are subject to competition from other hotels for guests.

The hotel business is highly competitive. Our hotel properties will compete on the basis of location, room rates, quality, amenities, reputation and reservations systems, among many factors. There are many competitors in the hotel industry, and many of these competitors may have substantially greater marketing and financial resources than we have. This competition could reduce occupancy levels and room revenue at our hotels. Over-building in the lodging industry may increase the number of rooms available and may decrease occupancy and room rates. In addition, in periods of weak demand, as may occur during a general economic recession, profitability is negatively affected by the fixed costs of operating hotels. We may also face competition from services such as AirBnB for leisure, price sensitive guests with longer average stays.

Because we depend upon our advisor and its affiliates to conduct our operations, any adverse changes in the financial condition of our advisor or its affiliates or our relationship with them could hinder our operating performance. We depend on our advisor to manage our assets and operations. Any adverse changes in the financial condition of our advisor or its affiliates or our relationship with our advisor could hinder its ability to manage us successfully. We depend on our advisor's key personnel with long-standing business relationships. The loss of our advisor's key personnel could threaten our ability to operate our business successfully.

Our future success depends, to a significant extent, upon the continued services of our advisor's management team and the extent and nature of the relationships they have developed with hotel franchisors, operators, and owners and hotel lending and other financial institutions. The loss of services of one or more members of our advisor's management team could harm our business and our prospects.

The aggregate amount of fees and expense reimbursements paid to our advisor will exceed the average of internalized expenses of our industry peers (as provided in our advisory agreement), as a percentage of total market capitalization. As a part of these fees, we must pay a minimum advisory fee to our advisor regardless of our performance.

Pursuant to the advisory agreement between us and our advisor, we must pay our advisor a quarterly base management fee (subject to a minimum fee described below), that is based on a declining scale percentage of our total market capitalization (as defined in our advisory agreement) plus the Key Money Asset Management Fee (as defined in our advisory agreement), an annual incentive fee that will be based on our achievement of certain minimum performance thresholds and certain expense reimbursements. For each quarter, the minimum base management fee will be equal to the greater of (i) 90% of the base fee paid for the same quarter in the prior year; and (ii) the "G&A Ratio" multiplied by our total market capitalization for such quarter. The "G&A Ratio" will be calculated as the simple average of the ratios of total general and administrative expenses paid, less any non-cash expenses but including any dead-deal costs, in the applicable quarter by each member of a select peer group, divided by the total market capitalization of such peer group member (as provided in our advisory agreement.) Since the base management fee is subject to this minimum amount and because a portion of such fees are contingent on our performance, the fees we pay to our advisor may fluctuate over time. However, regardless of our advisor's performance, the total amount of fees

and reimbursements paid to our advisor as a percentage of market capitalization will never be less than the average of internalized expenses of our industry peers (as provided in our advisory agreement), and there may be times when the total amount of fees and incentives paid to our advisor greatly exceeds the average of internalized expenses of our industry peers.

#### **Table of Contents**

Our advisor's entitlement to non-performance-based compensation, including the minimum base management fee, might reduce its incentive to devote its time and effort to seeking investments that provide attractive risk-adjusted returns for our portfolio. Further, our incentive fee structure may induce our advisor to encourage us to acquire certain assets, including speculative or high risk assets, or to acquire assets with increased leverage, which could increase the risk to our portfolio.

Our joint venture investments could be adversely affected by our lack of sole decision-making authority, our reliance on a co-venturer's financial condition and disputes between us and our co-venturers.

We have in the past and may continue to co-invest with third parties through partnerships, joint ventures or other entities, acquiring controlling or non-controlling interests in, or sharing responsibility for, managing the affairs of a property, partnership, joint venture or other entity. In such event, we may not be in a position to exercise sole decision-making authority regarding the property, partnership, joint venture or other entity. Investments in partnerships, joint ventures or other entities may, under certain circumstances, involve risks not present were a third party not involved, including the possibility that partners or co-venturers might become bankrupt, suffer a deterioration in their financial condition or fail to fund their share of required capital contributions. Partners or co-venturers may have economic or other business interests or goals which are inconsistent with our business interests or goals, and may be in a position to take actions contrary to our policies or objectives. Such investments may also have the potential risk of impasses on decisions, such as a sale, budgets, or financing, if neither we nor the partner or co-venturer has full control over the partnership or joint venture. Disputes between us and partners or co-venturers may result in litigation or arbitration that would increase our expenses and prevent our officers or directors from focusing their time and effort on our business. Consequently, actions by, or disputes with, partners or co-venturers might result in subjecting properties owned by the partnership or joint venture to additional risk. In addition, we may in certain circumstances be liable for the actions of our third-party partners or co-venturers.

Our business strategy depends on our continued growth. We may fail to integrate recent and additional investments into our operations or otherwise manage our planned growth, which may adversely affect our operating results. Our business plan contemplates a period of continued growth in the next several years. We cannot assure you that we will be able to adapt our management, administrative, accounting, and operational systems, or our advisor will be able to hire and retain sufficient operational staff to successfully integrate and manage any future acquisitions of additional assets without operating disruptions or unanticipated costs. Acquisitions of any additional portfolios of properties or mortgages would generate additional operating expenses for us. Any future acquisitions may also require us to enter into property improvement plans that will increase our operating expenses. As we acquire additional assets, we will be subject to the operational risks associated with owning those assets. Our failure to successfully integrate any future acquisitions into our portfolio could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition and our ability to pay dividends to our stockholders.

Because our board of directors and our advisor have broad discretion to make future investments, we may make investments that result in returns that are substantially below expectations or that result in net operating losses. Our board of directors and our advisor have broad discretion, within the investment criteria established by our board of directors, to make additional investments and to determine the timing of such investments. In addition, our investment policies may be revised from time to time at the discretion of our board of directors, without a vote of our stockholders. Such discretion could result in investments with yield returns inconsistent with expectations.

We may be unable to identify additional investments that meet our investment criteria or to acquire the properties we have under contract.

We cannot assure you that we will be able to identify real estate investments that meet our investment criteria, that we will be successful in completing any investment we identify, or that any investment we complete will produce a return on our investment. Moreover, we have broad authority to invest in any real estate investments that we may identify in the future. We also cannot assure you that we will acquire properties we currently have under firm purchase contracts, if any, or that the acquisition terms we have negotiated will not change.

Hotel franchise or license requirements or the loss of a franchise could adversely affect us.

We must comply with operating standards, terms, and conditions imposed by the franchisors of the hotel brands under which our hotels operate. Franchisors periodically inspect their licensed hotels to confirm adherence to their operating

standards. The failure of a hotel to maintain standards could result in the loss or cancellation of a franchise license. With respect to operational standards, we rely on our property managers to conform to such standards. Franchisors may also require us to make certain capital improvements to maintain the hotel in accordance with system standards, the cost of which can be substantial. It is possible that a franchisor could condition the continuation of a franchise based on the completion of capital improvements that our advisor or board of directors determines is not economically feasible in light of general economic conditions, the operating results or prospects

#### **Table of Contents**

of the affected hotel or other circumstances. In that event, our advisor or board of directors may elect to allow the franchise to lapse or be terminated, which could result in a termination charge as well as a change in brand franchising or operation of the hotel as an independent hotel. In addition, when the term of a franchise expires, the franchisor has no obligation to issue a new franchise.

The loss of a franchise could have a material adverse effect on the operations and/or the underlying value of the affected hotel because of the loss of associated name recognition, marketing support and centralized reservation systems provided by the franchisor.

Our investments are concentrated in particular segments of a single industry.

Nearly all of our business is hotel related. Our current long-term investment strategy is to acquire or develop upscale to upper-upscale hotels, acquire first mortgages on hotel properties, invest in other mortgage-related instruments such as mezzanine loans to hotel owners and operators, and participate in hotel sale-leaseback transactions. Adverse conditions in the hotel industry will have a material adverse effect on our operating and investment revenues and cash available for distribution to our stockholders.

Our reliance on third party property managers, including Remington Lodging, to operate our hotels and for a substantial majority of our cash flow may adversely affect us.

Because U.S. federal income tax laws restrict REITs and their subsidiaries from operating or managing hotels, third parties must operate our hotels. A REIT may lease its hotels to taxable REIT subsidiaries in which the REIT can own up to a 100% interest. A taxable REIT subsidiary ("TRS") pays corporate-level income tax and may retain any after-tax income. A REIT must satisfy certain conditions to use the TRS structure. One of those conditions is that the TRS must hire, to manage the hotels, an "eligible independent contractor" ("EIC") that is actively engaged in the trade or business of managing hotels for parties other than the REIT. An EIC cannot (i) own more than 35% of the REIT, (ii) be owned more than 35% by persons owning more than 35% of the REIT, or (iii) provide any income to the REIT (i.e., the EIC cannot pay fees to the REIT, and the REIT cannot own any debt or equity securities of the EIC). Accordingly, while we may lease hotels to a TRS that we own, the TRS must engage a third-party operator to manage the hotels. Thus, our ability to direct and control how our hotels are operated is less than if we were able to manage our hotels directly. We have entered into management agreements with Remington Lodging, which is owned 100% by Messrs. Archie and Monty J. Bennett, to manage 84 of our 123 hotel properties and the WorldQuest condominium properties as of December 31, 2016. We have hired unaffiliated third-party property managers to manage our remaining properties. We do not supervise any of the property managers or their respective personnel on a day-to-day basis, and we cannot assure you that the property managers will manage our properties in a manner that is consistent with their respective obligations under the applicable management agreement or our obligations under our hotel franchise agreements. We also cannot assure you that our property managers will not be negligent in their performance, will not engage in criminal or fraudulent activity, or will not otherwise default on their respective management obligations to us. If any of the foregoing occurs, our relationships with any franchisors may be damaged, we may be in breach of our franchise agreement, and we could incur liabilities resulting from loss or injury to our property or to persons at our properties. In addition, from time to time, disputes may arise between us and our third-party managers regarding their performance or compliance with the terms of the hotel management agreements, which in turn could adversely affect us. We generally will attempt to resolve any such disputes through discussions and negotiations; however, if we are unable to reach satisfactory results through discussions and negotiations, we may choose to terminate our management agreement, litigate the dispute or submit the matter to third-party dispute resolution, the expense of which may be material and the outcome of which may adversely affect us.

Our cash flow from the hotels may be adversely affected if our managers fail to provide quality services and amenities or if they or their affiliates fail to maintain a quality brand name. In addition, our managers or their affiliates may manage, and in some cases may own, invest in or provide credit support or operating guarantees, to hotels that compete with hotel properties that we own or acquire, which may result in conflicts of interest and decisions regarding the operation of our hotels that are not in our best interests. Any of these circumstances could adversely affect us. Our management agreements could adversely affect our sale or financing of hotel properties.

We have entered into management agreements, and acquired properties subject to management agreements, that do not allow us to replace hotel managers on relatively short notice or with limited cost or contain other restrictive

covenants, and we may enter into additional such agreements or acquire properties subject to such agreements in the future. For example, the terms of a management agreement may restrict our ability to sell a property unless the purchaser is not a competitor of the manager, assumes the management agreement and meets other conditions. Also, the terms of a long-term management agreement encumbering our property may reduce the value of the property. When we enter into or acquire properties subject to any such management agreements, we may be precluded from taking actions in our best interest and could incur substantial expense as a result of the agreements.

#### **Table of Contents**

If we cannot obtain additional capital, our growth will be limited.

We are required to distribute to our stockholders at least 90% of our REIT taxable income, excluding net capital gains, each year to maintain our qualification as a REIT. As a result, our retained earnings available to fund acquisitions, development, or other capital expenditures are nominal. As such, we rely upon the availability of additional debt or equity capital to fund these activities. Our long-term ability to grow through acquisitions or development, which is an important strategy for us, will be limited if we cannot obtain additional financing or equity capital. Market conditions may make it difficult to obtain financing or equity capital, and we cannot assure you that we will be able to obtain additional debt or equity financing or that we will be able to obtain it on favorable terms.

We compete with other hotels for guests and face competition for acquisitions and sales of hotel properties and of desirable debt investments.

The hotel business is competitive. Our hotels compete on the basis of location, room rates, quality, service levels, amenities, loyalty programs, reputation and reservation systems, among many other factors. New hotels may be constructed and these additions to supply create new competitors, in some cases without corresponding increases in demand for hotel rooms. The result in some cases may be lower revenue, which would result in lower cash available to meet debt service obligations, operating expenses and requisite distributions to our stockholders.

We compete for hotel acquisitions with entities that have similar investment objectives as we do. This competition could limit the number of suitable investment opportunities offered to us. It may also increase the bargaining power of property owners seeking to sell to us, making it more difficult for us to acquire new properties on attractive terms or on the terms contemplated in our business plan. In addition, we compete to sell hotel properties. Availability of capital, the number of hotels available for sale and market conditions all affect prices. We may not be able to sell hotel assets at our targeted price.

We also compete for mortgage asset investments with numerous public and private real estate investment vehicles, such as mortgage banks, pension funds, other REITs, institutional investors, and individuals. Mortgages and other investments are often obtained through a competitive bidding process. In addition, competitors may seek to establish relationships with the financial institutions and other firms from which we intend to purchase such assets. Competition may result in higher prices for mortgage assets, lower yields, and a narrower spread of yields over our borrowing costs.

Some of our competitors are larger than us, may have access to greater capital, marketing, and other financial resources, may have personnel with more experience than our officers, may be able to accept higher levels of debt or otherwise may tolerate more risk than us, may have better relations with hotel franchisors, sellers or lenders, and may have other advantages over us in conducting certain business and providing certain services.

We face risks related to changes in the global and political economic environment, including capital and credit markets.

Our business may be impacted by global economic conditions, which recently have been volatile. Political crises in individual countries or regions, including sovereign risk related to a deterioration in the credit worthiness or a default by local governments, has contributed to this volatility. If the global economy experiences continued volatility or significant disruptions, such disruptions or volatility could hurt the U.S. economy and our business could be negatively impacted by reduced demand for business and leisure travel related to a slow-down in the general economy, by disruptions resulting from tighter credit markets, and by liquidity issues resulting from an inability to access credit markets to obtain cash to support operations. Our objective is to maintain access to capital and credit markets.

We are increasingly dependent on information technology, and potential cyber attacks, security problems or other disruption and expanding social media vehicles present new risks.

As do most companies, our advisor and our various hotel managers rely on information technology networks and systems, including the Internet, to process, transmit and store electronic information, and to manage or support a variety of business processes, including financial transactions and records, personal identifying information, reservations, billing and operating data. Our advisor and our hotel managers purchase some of our information technology from vendors, on whom our systems depend, and our advisor relies on commercially available systems, software, tools and monitoring to provide security for processing, transmission and storage of confidential operator

and other customer information, such as individually identifiable information, including information relating to financial accounts.

We often depend upon the secure transmission of this information over public networks. Our advisor's and our hotel managers' networks and storage applications are subject to unauthorized access by hackers or others (through cyber attacks, which are rapidly evolving and becoming increasingly sophisticated, or by other means) or may be breached due to operator error, malfeasance or other system disruptions. In some cases, it is difficult to anticipate or immediately detect such incidents and the damage caused

#### **Table of Contents**

thereby. Any significant breakdown, invasion, destruction, interruption or leakage of our advisor's or our hotel managers' systems could harm us.

In addition, the use of social media could cause us to suffer brand damage or information leakage. Negative posts or comments about us, our hotel managers or our hotels on any social networking website could damage our or our hotels' reputations. In addition, employees or others might disclose non-public sensitive information relating to our business through external media channels. The continuing evolution of social media will present us with new challenges and risks.

Changes in laws, regulations, or policies may adversely affect our business.

The laws and regulations governing our business or the regulatory or enforcement environment at the federal level or in any of the states in which we operate may change at any time and may have an adverse effect on our business. For example, the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act of 2010, as it is phased in over time, will significantly affect the administration of health care services and could significantly impact our cost of providing employees with health care insurance. We are unable to predict how this or any other future legislative or regulatory proposals or programs will be administered or implemented or in what form, or whether any additional or similar changes to statutes or regulations, including the interpretation or implementation thereof, will occur in the future. Any such action could affect us in substantial and unpredictable ways and could have an adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition. Our inability to remain in compliance with regulatory requirements in a particular jurisdiction could have a material adverse effect on our operations in that market and on our reputation generally. No assurance can be given that applicable laws or regulations will not be amended or construed differently or that new laws and regulations will not be adopted, either of which could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

We identified a material weakness in our internal controls over financial reporting that existed for the period ended December 31, 2016. If we fail to properly remediate this material weakness, or fail to properly identify or remediate any future weaknesses or deficiencies, or achieve and maintain effective internal control, our ability to produce accurate and timely financial statements could be impaired and investors could lose confidence in our financial statements.

Internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP. As discussed in "Item 9A. Controls and Procedures," we became aware of a deficiency in the operating effectiveness of our controls that led to a misstatement in our consolidated financial statements related to the release of a valuation allowance reserve for our deferred tax assets. We have corrected the misstatement; however, the lack of proper controls resulted in a material weakness in internal control over financial reporting as defined in Public Company Accounting Oversight Board Auditing Standard No. 5.

Although we have taken remedial actions to address the material weakness, there can be no assurance that our remedial actions will be sufficient to address this material weakness or that our internal control over financial reporting will not be subject to additional material weaknesses in the future. If the remedial actions that we have taken and may take in the future are insufficient to address the material weakness or if additional material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in our internal control are discovered or occur in the future, our consolidated financial statements may contain material misstatements, and we could be required to restate our financial results. Additionally, we may encounter problems or delays in implementing any additional changes necessary for management to make a favorable assessment of our internal control over financial reporting. If we cannot favorably assess the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting, investors could lose confidence in our financial information and the price of our common stock could decline.

Our business could be adversely impacted if there are deficiencies in our disclosure controls and procedures or internal control over financial reporting.

The design and effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting may not prevent all errors, misstatements or misrepresentations. While management will continue to review the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting, there can be no guarantee that our internal control over financial reporting will be effective in accomplishing all control objectives all

of the time. Deficiencies, including any material weakness, in our internal control over financial reporting could result in misstatements of our results of operations, restatements of our financial statements or could otherwise materially adversely affect our business, reputation, results of operations, financial condition or liquidity.

#### **Table of Contents**

The Company faces possible risks associated with the physical effects of climate change.

The Company cannot predict with certainty whether climate change is occurring and, if so, at what rate. However, the physical effects of climate change could have a material adverse effect on the Company. For example, a number of the Company's hotels are located along the Gulf and East coasts. To the extent climate change causes changes in weather patterns, its markets could experience increases in storm intensity and rising sea-levels. Over time, these conditions could result in declining hotel demand or the Company's inability to operate the affected hotels at all. Climate change also may have indirect effects on its business by increasing the cost of (or making unavailable) property insurance on terms the Company finds acceptable, increasing the cost of energy and increasing the cost of snow removal at its properties. There can be no assurance that climate change will not have a material adverse effect on the Company. RISKS RELATED TO OUR DEBT FINANCING

We are subject to various risks related to our use of, and dependence on, debt.

As of December 31, 2016, we had aggregated borrowings of approximately \$3.8 billion outstanding, including \$3.2 billion of variable interest rate debt. The interest we pay on variable-rate debt increases as interest rates increase above any floor rates, which may decrease cash available for distribution to our stockholders. We are also subject to the risk that we may not be able to meet our debt service obligations or refinance our debt as it becomes due. If we do not meet our debt service obligations, we risk the loss of some or all of our assets to foreclosure. Changes in economic conditions or our financial results or prospects could (i) result in higher interest rates on variable-rate debt, (ii) reduce the availability of debt financing generally or debt financing at favorable rates, (iii) reduce cash available for distribution to our stockholders, (iv) increase the risk that we could be forced to liquidate assets or repay debt, either of which could have a material adverse effect on us, and (v) create other challenging situations for us. Some of our debt agreements contain financial and other covenants. If we violate covenants in any debt agreements, including as a result of impairments of our hotel or mezzanine loan assets, we could be required to repay all or a portion of our indebtedness before maturity at a time when we might be unable to arrange financing for such repayment on attractive terms, if at all. Violations of certain debt covenants may also prohibit us from borrowing unused amounts under our lines of credit, even if repayment of some or all the borrowings is not required. In any event, financial covenants under our current or future debt obligations could impair our planned business strategies by limiting our ability to borrow beyond certain amounts or for certain purposes. Our governing instruments do not contain any limitation on our ability to incur indebtedness.

Mortgage debt obligations expose us to increased risk of property losses, which could harm our financial condition, cash flow, and ability to satisfy our other debt obligations and pay dividends.

Incurring mortgage debt increases our risk of property losses because defaults on indebtedness secured by properties may result in foreclosure actions initiated by lenders and ultimately our loss of the property securing any loans for which we are in default. For tax purposes, a foreclosure of any of our properties would be treated as a sale of the property for a purchase price equal to the outstanding balance of the debt secured by the mortgage. If the outstanding balance of the debt secured by the mortgage exceeds our tax basis in the property, we would recognize taxable income on the foreclosure but would not receive any cash proceeds. As a result, we may be required to identify and utilize other sources of cash for distributions to our stockholders of that income.

In addition, our default under any one of our mortgage debt obligations may result in a default on our other indebtedness. If this occurs, our financial condition, cash flow, and ability to satisfy our other debt obligations or ability to pay dividends may be impaired.

We voluntarily elected to cease making payments on the mortgages securing four of our hotels during the last economic downturn, and we may voluntarily elect to cease making payments on additional mortgages in the future, which could reduce the number of hotels we own as well as our revenues and could affect our ability to raise equity or debt financing in the future or violate covenants in our debt agreements.

During the past economic crisis, we undertook a series of actions to manage the sources and uses of our funds in an effort to navigate through challenging market conditions while still pursuing opportunities to create long-term stockholder value. In this effort, we attempted to proactively address value and cash flow deficits among certain of our mortgaged hotels, with a goal of enhancing stockholder value through loan amendments, or in certain instances, consensual transfers of hotel properties to the lenders in satisfaction of the related debt, some of which resulted in

impairment charges. The loans secured by these hotels, subject to certain customary exceptions, were non-recourse to us. We may continue to proactively address value and cash flow deficits in a similar manner as necessary and appropriate.

#### **Table of Contents**

We had approximately \$3.8 billion of mortgage debt outstanding as of December 31, 2016. We may face issues with these loans or with other loans or borrowings that we incur in the future, some of which issues may be beyond our control, including our ability to service payment obligations from the cash flow of the applicable hotel, or the inability to refinance existing debt at the applicable maturity date. In such event, we may elect to default on the applicable loan and, as a result, the lenders would have the right to exercise various remedies under the loan documents, which would include foreclosure on the applicable hotels. Any such defaults, whether voluntary or involuntary, could result in a default under our other debt agreements, could have an adverse effect on our ability to raise equity or debt capital, could increase the cost of such capital or could otherwise have an adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition.

Covenants, "cash trap" provisions or other terms in our loan agreements could limit our flexibility and adversely affect our financial condition or our qualification as a REIT.

Some of our loan agreements contain financial and other covenants. If we violate covenants in any debt agreements, we could be required to repay all or a portion of our indebtedness before maturity at a time when we might be unable to arrange financing for such repayment on attractive terms, if at all. Violations of certain debt covenants may also prohibit us from borrowing unused amounts under our lines of credit, even if repayment of some or all the borrowings is not required. In any event, financial covenants under our current or future debt obligations could impair our planned business strategies by limiting our ability to borrow beyond certain amounts or for certain purposes.

Some of our loan agreements also contain cash trap provisions triggered if the performance of our hotels decline. When these provisions are triggered, substantially all of the profit generated by our hotels is deposited directly into lockbox accounts and then swept into cash management accounts for the benefit of our various lenders. Cash is not distributed to us at any time after the cash trap provisions have been triggered until we have cured performance issues. This could affect our liquidity and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

Our hedging strategies may not be successful in mitigating our risks associated with interest rates and could reduce the overall returns on your investment.

We use various derivative financial instruments to provide a level of protection against interest rate risks, but no hedging strategy can protect us completely. These instruments involve risks, such as the risk that the counterparties may fail to honor their obligations under these arrangements, that these arrangements may not be effective in reducing our exposure to interest rate changes and that a court could rule that such agreements are not legally enforceable. These instruments may also generate income that may not be treated as qualifying REIT income. In addition, the nature and timing of hedging transactions may influence the effectiveness of our hedging strategies. Poorly designed strategies or improperly executed transactions could actually increase our risk and losses. Moreover, hedging strategies involve transaction and other costs. We cannot assure you that our hedging strategy and the derivatives that we use will adequately offset the risk of interest rate volatility or that our hedging transactions will not result in losses that may reduce the overall return on your investment.

#### RISKS RELATED TO HOTEL INVESTMENTS

We are subject to general risks associated with operating hotels.

Our hotels and hotels underlying our mortgage and mezzanine loans are subject to various operating risks common to the hotel industry, many of which are beyond our control, including, among others, the following:

competition from other hotel properties in our markets;

over-building of hotels in our markets, which results in increased supply and adversely affects occupancy and revenues at our hotels;

dependence on business and commercial travelers and tourism;

increases in operating costs due to inflation, increased energy costs and other factors that may not be offset by increased room rates;

changes in interest rates and in the availability, cost and terms of debt financing;

increases in assessed property taxes from changes in valuation or real estate tax rates;

increases in the cost of property insurance;

changes in governmental laws and regulations, fiscal policies and zoning ordinances and the related costs of compliance with laws and regulations, fiscal policies and ordinances;

#### **Table of Contents**

unforeseen events beyond our control, such as terrorist attacks, travel related health concerns which could reduce travel, including pandemics and epidemics such as H1N1 influenza (swine flu), avian flu, SARS and the Zika virus, imposition of taxes or surcharges by regulatory authorities, travel-related accidents, travel infrastructure interruptions and unusual weather patterns, including natural disasters such as hurricanes, tsunamis or earthquakes;

adverse effects of international, national, regional and local economic and market conditions and increases in energy costs or labor costs and other expenses affecting travel, which may affect travel patterns and reduce the number of business and commercial travelers and tourists;

adverse effects of a downturn in the lodging industry; and

risks generally associated with the ownership of hotel properties and real estate, as we discuss in more detail below. These factors could adversely affect our hotel revenues and expenses, as well as the hotels underlying our mortgage and mezzanine loans, which in turn could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, the market price of our common stock and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

Five of our hotels are subject to ground leases; if we are found to be in breach of a ground lease or are unable to renew a ground lease, our business could be materially and adversely affected.

Five of our hotels are on land subject to ground leases. Accordingly, we only own a long-term leasehold or similar interest in those five hotels. If we are found to be in breach of a ground lease, we could lose the right to use the hotel. In addition, unless we can purchase a fee interest in the underlying land and improvements or extend the terms of these leases before their expiration, we will lose our right to operate these properties and our interest in the improvements upon expiration of the leases. We may not be able to renew any ground lease upon its expiration. Our ability to exercise any extension options relating to our ground leases is subject to the condition that we are not in default under the terms of the ground lease at the time that we exercise such options. If we lose the right to use a hotel due to a breach or non-renewal of the ground lease, we would be unable to derive income from such hotel and would be required to purchase an interest in another hotel to attempt to replace that income, which could materially and adversely affect our business, operating results and prospects.

We may have to make significant capital expenditures to maintain our hotel properties, and any development activities we undertake may be more costly than we anticipate.

Our hotels have an ongoing need for renovations and other capital improvements, including replacements, from time to time, of furniture, fixtures and equipment. Managers or franchisors of our hotels also will require periodic capital improvements pursuant to the management agreements or as a condition of maintaining franchise licenses. Generally, we are responsible for the cost of these capital improvements. As part of our long-term growth strategy, we may also develop hotel properties, timeshare units or other alternate uses of portions of our existing properties, including the development of retail, office or apartments, including through joint ventures. Such renovation and development involves substantial risks, including:

construction cost overruns and delays;

the disruption of operations and displacement of revenue at operating hotels, including revenue lost while rooms, restaurants or meeting space under renovation are out of service;

the cost of funding renovations or developments and inability to obtain financing on attractive terms;

the return on our investment in these capital improvements or developments failing to meet expectations;

governmental restrictions on the nature or size of a project;

inability to obtain all necessary zoning, land use, building, occupancy, and construction permits;

loss of substantial investment in a development project if a project is abandoned before completion;

acts of God such as earthquakes, hurricanes, floods or fires that could adversely affect a project;

environmental problems; and

disputes with franchisors or property managers regarding compliance with relevant franchise agreements or management agreements.

If we have insufficient cash flow from operations to fund needed capital expenditures, then we will need to obtain additional debt or equity financing to fund future capital improvements, and we may not be able to meet the loan covenants in any financing obtained to fund the new development, creating default risks.

In addition, to the extent that developments are conducted through joint ventures, this creates additional risks, including the possibility that our partners may not meet their financial obligations or could have or develop business interests, policies or objectives that are inconsistent with ours. See "Our joint venture investments could be adversely affected by our lack of sole decision-making authority, our reliance on a co-venturer's financial condition and disputes between us and our co-venturers."

#### **Table of Contents**

Any of the above factors could affect adversely our and our partners' ability to complete the developments on schedule and along the scope that currently is contemplated, or to achieve the intended value of these projects. For these reasons, there can be no assurances as to the value to be realized by the company from these transactions or any future similar transactions.

The hotel business is seasonal, which affects our results of operations from quarter to quarter.

The hotel industry is seasonal in nature. This seasonality can cause quarterly fluctuations in our financial condition and operating results, including in any distributions on common stock. Our quarterly operating results may be adversely affected by factors outside our control, including weather conditions and poor economic factors in certain markets in which we operate. We can provide no assurances that our cash flows will be sufficient to offset any shortfalls that occur as a result of these fluctuations. As a result, we may have to reduce distributions or enter into short-term borrowings in certain quarters in order to make distributions to our stockholders, and we can provide no assurances that such borrowings will be available on favorable terms, if at all.

The cyclical nature of the lodging industry may cause fluctuations in our operating performance, which could have a material adverse effect on us.

The lodging industry historically has been highly cyclical in nature. Fluctuations in lodging demand and, therefore, hotel operating performance, are caused largely by general economic and local market conditions, which subsequently affect levels of business and leisure travel. In addition to general economic conditions, new hotel room supply is an important factor that can affect the lodging industry's performance, and overbuilding has the potential to further exacerbate the negative impact of an economic recession. Room rates and occupancy, and thus RevPAR, tend to increase when demand growth exceeds supply growth. We can provide no assurances regarding whether, or the extent to which, lodging demand will rebound or whether any such rebound will be sustained. An adverse change in lodging fundamentals could result in returns that are substantially below our expectations or result in losses, which could have a material adverse effect on us.

Many real estate costs are fixed, even if revenue from our hotels decreases.

Many costs, such as real estate taxes, insurance premiums and maintenance costs, generally are not reduced even when a hotel is not fully occupied, room rates decrease or other circumstances cause a reduction in revenues. In addition, newly acquired or renovated hotels may not produce the revenues we anticipate immediately, or at all, and the hotel's operating cash flow may be insufficient to pay the operating expenses and debt service associated with these new hotels. If we are unable to offset real estate costs with sufficient revenues across our portfolio, we may be adversely affected.

Our operating expenses may increase in the future which could cause us to raise our room rates, which may deplete room occupancy, or cause us to realize lower net operating income as a result of increased expenses that are not offset by increased room rates, in either case decreasing our cash flow and our operating results.

Operating expenses, such as expenses for fuel, utilities, labor and insurance, are not fixed and may increase in the future. To the extent such increases affect our room rates and therefore our room occupancy at our lodging properties, our cash flow and operating results may be negatively affected.

The increasing use of Internet travel intermediaries by consumers may adversely affect our profitability. Some of our hotel rooms are booked through Internet travel intermediaries, including, but not limited to, Travelocity.com, Expedia.com and Priceline.com. As Internet bookings increase, these intermediaries may be able to obtain higher commissions, reduced room rates or other significant contract concessions from our management companies. Moreover, some of these Internet travel intermediaries are attempting to offer hotel rooms as a commodity, by increasing the importance of price and general indicators of quality (such as "three-star downtown hotel") at the expense of brand identification. These intermediaries hope that consumers will eventually develop brand loyalties to their reservations system rather than to the brands under which our properties are franchised. Although most of the business for our hotels is expected to be derived from traditional channels, if the amount of sales made through Internet intermediaries increases significantly, room revenues may be lower than expected, and we may be adversely affected.

We may be adversely affected by increased use of business-related technology, which may reduce the need for business-related travel.

The increased use of teleconference and video-conference technology by businesses could result in decreased business travel as companies increase the use of technologies that allow multiple parties from different locations to participate at meetings without traveling to a centralized meeting location. To the extent that such technologies play an increased role in day-to-day business and the necessity for business-related travel decreases, hotel room demand may decrease and we may be adversely affected.

#### **Table of Contents**

Our hotels may be subject to unknown or contingent liabilities which could cause us to incur substantial costs. The hotel properties that we own or may acquire are or may be subject to unknown or contingent liabilities for which we may have no recourse, or only limited recourse, against the sellers. In general, the representations and warranties provided under the transaction agreements related to the sales of the hotel properties may not survive the closing of the transactions. While we will seek to require the sellers to indemnify us with respect to breaches of representations and warranties that survive, such indemnification may be limited and subject to various materiality thresholds, a significant deductible or an aggregate cap on losses. As a result, there is no guarantee that we will recover any amounts with respect to losses due to breaches by the sellers of their representations and warranties. In addition, the total amount of costs and expenses that may be incurred with respect to liabilities associated with these hotels may exceed our expectations, and we may experience other unanticipated adverse effects, all of which may adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, the market price of our common stock and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

Future terrorist attacks or changes in terror alert levels could materially and adversely affect us.

Previous terrorist attacks and subsequent terrorist alerts have adversely affected the U.S. travel and hospitality industries since 2001, often disproportionately to the effect on the overall economy. The extent of the impact that actual or threatened terrorist attacks in the U.S. or elsewhere could have on domestic and international travel and our business in particular cannot be determined, but any such attacks or the threat of such attacks could have a material adverse effect on travel and hotel demand, our ability to finance our business and our ability to insure our hotels, which could materially adversely affect us.

During 2016, approximately 9.3% of our total hotel revenue was generated from nine hotels located in the Washington D.C. areas, areas considered vulnerable to terrorist attack. Our financial and operating performance may be adversely affected by potential terrorist attacks. Terrorist attacks in the future may cause our results to differ materially from anticipated results. Hotels we own in other market locations may be subject to this risk as well.

We are subject to risks associated with the employment of hotel personnel, particularly with hotels that employ unionized labor.

Our third-party managers are responsible for hiring and maintaining the labor force at each of our hotels. Although we do not directly employ or manage employees at our hotels, we still are subject to many of the costs and risks generally associated with the hotel labor force, particularly those hotels with unionized labor. From time to time, hotel operations may be disrupted as a result of strikes, lockouts, public demonstrations or other negative actions and publicity. We also may incur increased legal costs and indirect labor costs as a result of contract disputes or other events. The resolution of labor disputes or re-negotiated labor contracts could lead to increased labor costs, either by increases in wages or benefits or by changes in work rules that raise hotel operating costs. We do not have the ability to affect the outcome of these negotiations.

#### RISKS RELATED TO CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Our agreements with our external advisor, as well as our mutual exclusivity agreement and management agreements with Remington Lodging were not negotiated on an arm's-length basis, and we may pursue less vigorous enforcement of their terms because of conflicts of interest with certain of our executive officers and directors and key employees of our advisor.

Because each of our executive officers are also key employees of our advisor or its affiliates and have ownership interests in our advisor and because our chairman of our board has an ownership interest in Remington Lodging, our advisory agreement as well as our mutual exclusivity agreement and master management agreement with Remington Lodging were not negotiated on an arm's-length basis, and we did not have the benefit of arm's-length negotiations of the type normally conducted with an unaffiliated third party. As a result, the terms, including fees and other amounts payable, may not be as favorable to us as an arm's-length agreement. Furthermore, we may choose not to enforce, or to enforce less vigorously, our rights under these agreements because of our desire to maintain our ongoing relationship with our advisor and Remington Lodging.

#### **Table of Contents**

The termination fee payable to our advisor significantly increases the cost to us of terminating our advisory agreement, thereby effectively limiting our ability to terminate our advisor without causes and could make a change of control transaction less likely or the terms thereof less attractive to us and to our stockholders.

The initial term of our advisory agreement with our advisor is 10 years from the effective date of the advisory agreement, with automatic five-year renewal terms thereafter unless previously terminated. Our board will review our advisor's performance and fees annually and, following the 10 year initial term the advisory agreement may be terminated by us with the payment of the termination fee described below and 180 days' prior notice upon the affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of our independent directors based upon a good faith finding that either: (1) there has been unsatisfactory performance by our advisor that is materially detrimental to us and our subsidiaries taken as a whole, or (2) the base fee and/or incentive fee is not fair (and our advisor does not offer to negotiate a lower fee that a majority of our independent directors determines is fair). Additionally, if there is a change of control transaction, we will have the right to terminate the advisory agreement with the payment of the termination fee described below. If we terminate or do not renew the advisory agreement without cause, including pursuant to clauses (1) or (2) above (following a contractual renegotiation process in the case of clause (2) above) or upon a change of control, we will be required to pay our advisor a termination fee equal to:

•(A) 1.1 multiplied by the greater of (i) 12 times the net earnings of our advisor for the 12 month period preceding the termination date of the advisory agreement or (ii) the earnings multiple (calculated as our advisor's total enterprise value on the trading day immediately preceding the day the termination notice is given to our advisor divided by our advisor's most recently reported adjusted EBITDA) for our advisor's common stock for the 12 month period preceding the termination date of the advisory agreement multiplied by the net earnings of our advisor for the 12 month period preceding the termination date of the advisory agreement; or (iii) the simple average of the earnings multiples for each of the three fiscal years preceding the termination of the advisory agreement (calculated as our advisor's total enterprise value on the last trading day of each of the three preceding fiscal years divided by, in each case, our advisor's adjusted EBITDA for the same periods), multiplied by the net earnings of our advisor for the 12 month period preceding the termination date of the advisory agreement, plus

•(B) an additional amount such that the total net amount received by our advisor after the reduction by state and federal income taxes at an assumed combined rate of 40% on the sum of the amounts described in (A) and (B) shall equal the amount described in (A).

Any such termination fee will be payable on or before the termination date. The termination fee makes it more difficult for us to terminate our advisory agreement even if our board determines that there has been unsatisfactory performance or unfair fees. These provisions significantly increase the cost to us of terminating our advisory agreement, thereby limiting our ability to terminate our advisor without cause.

Our advisor manages other entities and may direct attractive investment opportunities away from us. If we change our investment guidelines, our advisor is not restricted from advising clients with similar investment guidelines. Certain of our executive officers also serve as key employees and as officers of our advisor and Ashford Prime, and will continue to do so. Furthermore, Mr. Monty J. Bennett, our chairman, is also the chief executive officer and chairman of our advisor and chairman of Ashford Prime. Our advisory agreement requires our advisor to present investments that satisfy our investment guidelines to us before presenting them to Ashford Prime or any future client of our advisor. Additionally, in the future our advisor may advise other clients, some of which may have investment guidelines substantially similar to ours.

Some portfolio investment opportunities may include hotels that satisfy our investment objectives as well as hotels that satisfy the investment objectives of Ashford Prime or other entities advised by our advisor. If the portfolio cannot be equitably divided, our advisor will necessarily have to make a determination as to which entity will be presented with the opportunity. In such a circumstance, our advisory agreement requires our advisor to allocate portfolio investment opportunities between us, Ashford Prime or other entities advised by our advisor in a fair and equitable manner, consistent with our, Ashford Prime's and such other entities' investment objectives. In making this determination, our advisor, using substantial discretion, will consider the investment strategy and guidelines of each entity with respect to acquisition of properties, portfolio concentrations, tax consequences, regulatory restrictions, liquidity requirements and other factors deemed appropriate. In making the allocation determination, our advisor has

no obligation to make any such investment opportunity available to us. Further, our advisor and Ashford Prime have agreed that any new investment opportunities that satisfy our investment guidelines will be presented to our board of directors; however, our board will have only ten business days to make a determination with respect to such opportunity prior to it being available to Ashford Prime. The above mentioned dual responsibilities may create conflicts of interest for our officers which could result in decisions or allocations of investments that may benefit one entity more than the other.

#### **Table of Contents**

Our advisor and its key employees, who are Ashford Prime's and our executive officers, face competing demands relating to their time and this may adversely affect our operations.

We rely on our advisor and its employees for the day-to-day operation of our business. Certain key employees of our advisor are executive officers of Ashford Prime and Ashford Inc. Because our advisor's key employees have duties to Ashford Prime and Ashford Inc., as well as to our company, we do not have their undivided attention and they face conflicts in allocating their time and resources between our company, Ashford Prime and Ashford Inc. Our advisor may also manage other entities in the future. During turbulent market conditions or other times when we need focused support and assistance from our advisor, other entities for which our advisor also acts as an external advisor will likewise require greater focus and attention as well, placing competing high levels of demand on the limited time and resources of our advisor's key employees. Additionally, activist investors have, and in the future, may commence campaigns seeking to influence other entities advised by our advisor to take particular actions favored by the activist or gain representation on the board of directors of such entities, which could result in additional disruption and diversion of management's attention. We may not receive the necessary support and assistance we require or would otherwise receive if we were internally managed by persons working exclusively for us.

Our business could be negatively affected as a result of actions by activist stockholders.

Campaigns by stockholders to effect changes in publicly traded companies are sometimes led by activist investors through various corporate actions, including proxy contests. Responding to actions by activist investors can be costly and time-consuming, disrupting our operations and diverting the attention of management and our employees. Stockholder activism could create perceived uncertainties as to our future direction, which could result in the loss of potential business opportunities and make it more difficult to attract and retain qualified personnel and business partners. Furthermore, the election of individuals to our board of directors with a specific agenda could adversely affect our ability to effectively and timely implement our strategic plans.

Conflicts of interest could result in our management acting other than in our stockholders' best interest. Conflicts of interest in general and specifically relating to Remington Lodging may lead to management decisions that are not in the stockholders' best interest. The Chairman of our board of directors, Mr. Monty J. Bennett, serves as the Chief Executive Officer of Remington Lodging and Mr. Archie Bennett, Jr., who is our Chairman Emeritus, serves as Chairman of the board of directors of Remington Lodging. Messrs. Archie and Monty J. Bennett beneficially own 100% of Remington Lodging, which, as of December 31, 2016, managed 84 of our 123 hotel properties and the WorldQuest condominium properties; and provides related services, including property management services and project management services.

Messrs. Archie and Monty J. Bennett's ownership interests in and management obligations to Remington Lodging present them with conflicts of interest in making management decisions related to the commercial arrangements between us and Remington Lodging, and Mr. Monty J. Bennett's management obligations to Remington Lodging reduces the time and effort he spends on Ashford. Our board of directors has adopted a policy that requires all material approvals, actions or decisions to which we have the right to make under the management agreements with Remington Lodging be approved by a majority or, in certain circumstances, all of our independent directors. However, given the authority and/or operational latitude to Remington Lodging under the management agreements to which we are a party, Messrs. Archie and Monty J. Bennett, as officers of Remington Lodging, could take actions or make decisions that are not in our stockholders' best interest or that are otherwise inconsistent with their obligations under the management agreement or our obligations under the applicable franchise agreements.

Holders of units in our operating partnership, including members of our management team, may suffer adverse tax consequences upon our sale of certain properties. Therefore, holders of units, either directly or indirectly, including Messrs. Archie and Monty J. Bennett, Mr. David Brooks, our Chief Operating Officer and General Counsel, or Mr. Mark Nunneley, our Chief Accounting Officer, may have different objectives regarding the appropriate pricing and timing of a particular property's sale. These officers and directors of ours may influence us to sell, not sell, or refinance certain properties, even if such actions or inactions might be financially advantageous to our stockholders, or to enter into tax deferred exchanges with the proceeds of such sales when such a reinvestment might not otherwise be in our best interest.

We are a party to a master hotel management agreement and an exclusivity agreement with Remington Lodging, which describes the terms of Remington Lodging's services to our hotels, as well as any future hotels we may acquire that may or may not be managed by Remington Lodging. The exclusivity agreement requires us to engage Remington Lodging, unless our independent directors either (i) unanimously vote to hire a different manager or developer, or (ii) by a majority vote, elect not to engage Remington Lodging because they have determined that special circumstances exist or that, based on Remington Lodging's prior performance, another manager or developer could perform the duties materially better. As the sole owners of Remington Lodging, which would receive any development, management, and management termination fees payable by us under the management agreement, Mr. Monty Bennett, and to a lesser extent, Mr. Archie Bennett, Jr., in his role as Chairman Emeritus, may influence our decisions to sell, acquire, or develop hotels when it is not in the best interests of our stockholders to do so.

#### **Table of Contents**

Remington's ability to exercise significant influence over the determination of the competitive set for any hotels managed by Remington could artificially enhance the perception of the performance of a hotel, making it more difficult to use managers other than Remington for future properties.

Our mutual exclusivity agreement with Remington requires us to engage Remington to manage all future properties that we acquire, to the extent we have the right or control the right to direct such matters, unless our independent directors either (i) unanimously vote not to hire Remington or (ii) based on special circumstances or past performance, by a majority vote, elect not to engage Remington because they have determined, in their reasonable business judgment, that it would be in our best interest not to engage Remington or that another manager or developer could perform the duties materially better. Under our master management agreement with Remington, we have the right to terminate Remington based on the performance of the applicable hotel, subject to the payment of a termination fee. The determination of performance is based on the applicable hotel's gross operating profit margin and its RevPAR penetration index, which provides the relative revenue per room generated by a specified property as compared to its competitive set. For each hotel managed by Remington, its competitive set will consist of a small group of hotels in the relevant market that we and Remington believe are comparable for purposes of benchmarking the performance of such hotel. Remington will have significant influence over the determination of the competitive set for any of our hotels managed by Remington, and as such could artificially enhance the perception of the performance of a hotel by selecting a competitive set that is not performing well or is not comparable to the Remington-managed hotel, thereby making it more difficult for us to elect not to use Remington for future hotel management.

Under the terms of our mutual exclusivity agreement with Remington, Remington may be able to pursue lodging investment opportunities that compete with us.

Pursuant to the terms of our mutual exclusivity agreement with Remington, if investment opportunities that satisfy our investment criteria are identified by Remington or its affiliates, Remington will give us a written notice and description of the investment opportunity. We will have 10 business days to either accept or reject the investment opportunity. If we reject the opportunity, Remington may then pursue such investment opportunity, subject to a right of first refusal in favor of Ashford Prime, pursuant to an existing agreement between Ashford Prime and Remington, on materially the same terms and conditions as offered to us. If we were to reject such an investment opportunity, either Ashford Prime or Remington could pursue the opportunity and compete with us. In such a case, Mr. Monty J. Bennett, our chairman, in his capacity as chairman of Ashford Prime or chief executive officer of Remington could be in a position of directly competing with us.

Our fiduciary duties as the general partner of our operating partnership could create conflicts of interest, which may impede business decisions that could benefit our stockholders.

We, as the general partner of our operating partnership, have fiduciary duties to the other limited partners in our operating partnership, the discharge of which may conflict with the interests of our stockholders. The limited partners of our operating partnership have agreed that, in the event of a conflict in the fiduciary duties owed by us to our stockholders and, in our capacity as general partner of our operating partnership, to such limited partners, we are under no obligation to give priority to the interests of such limited partners. In addition, those persons holding common units will have the right to vote on certain amendments to the operating partnership agreement (which require approval by a majority in interest of the limited partners, including us) and individually to approve certain amendments that would adversely affect their rights. These voting rights may be exercised in a manner that conflicts with the interests of our stockholders. For example, we are unable to modify the rights of limited partners to receive distributions as set forth in the operating partnership agreement in a manner that adversely affects their rights without their consent, even though such modification might be in the best interest of our stockholders.

In addition, conflicts may arise when the interests of our stockholders and the limited partners of our operating partnership diverge, particularly in circumstances in which there may be an adverse tax consequence to the limited partners. Tax consequences to holders of common units upon a sale or refinancing of our properties may cause the interests of the key employees of our advisor (who are also our executive officers and have ownership interests in our operating partnership) to differ from our stockholders.

Our conflicts of interest policy may not adequately address all of the conflicts of interest that may arise with respect to our activities.

In order to avoid any actual or perceived conflicts of interest with our directors or officers or our advisor's employees, we adopted a conflicts of interest policy to address specifically some of the conflicts relating to our activities. Although under this policy the approval of a majority of our disinterested directors is required to approve any transaction, agreement or relationship in which any of our directors or officers or our advisor or it has an interest, there is no assurance that this policy will be adequate to address all of the conflicts that may arise or will address such conflicts in a manner that is favorable to us.

#### **Table of Contents**

#### RISKS RELATED TO DERIVATIVE TRANSACTIONS

We have engaged in and may continue to engage in derivative transactions, which can limit our gains and expose us to losses.

We have entered into and may continue to enter into hedging transactions to (i) attempt to take advantage of changes in prevailing interest rates, (ii) protect our portfolio of mortgage assets from interest rate fluctuations, (iii) protect us from the effects of interest rate fluctuations on floating-rate debt, (iv) protect us from the risk of fluctuations in the financial and capital markets, or (v) preserve net cash in the event of a major downturn in the economy. Our hedging transactions may include entering into interest rate swap agreements, interest rate cap or floor agreements or flooridor and corridor agreements, credit default swaps and purchasing or selling futures contracts, purchasing or selling put and call options on securities or securities underlying futures contracts, or entering into forward rate agreements. Hedging activities may not have the desired beneficial impact on our results of operations or financial condition. Volatile fluctuations in market conditions could cause these instruments to become ineffective. Any gains or losses associated with these instruments are reported in our earnings each period. No hedging activity can completely insulate us from the risks inherent in our business.

Credit default hedging could fail to protect us or adversely affect us because if a swap counterparty cannot perform under the terms of our credit default swap, we may not receive payments due under such agreement and, thus, we may lose any potential benefit associated with such credit default swap. Additionally, we may also risk the loss of any cash collateral we have pledged to secure our obligations under such credit default swaps if the counterparty becomes insolvent or files for bankruptcy.

Moreover, interest rate hedging could fail to protect us or adversely affect us because, among other things: available interest rate hedging may not correspond directly with the interest rate risk for which protections is sought; the duration of the hedge may not match the duration of the related liability;

the party owing money in the hedging transaction may default on its obligation to pay;

the credit quality of the party owing money on the hedge may be downgraded to such an extent that it impairs our ability to sell or assign our side of the hedging transaction; and

the value of derivatives used for hedging may be adjusted from time to time in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") to reflect changes in fair value and such downward adjustments, or "mark-to-market loss," would reduce our stockholders' equity.

Hedging involves both risks and costs, including transaction costs, which may reduce our overall returns on our investments. These costs increase as the period covered by the hedging relationship increases and during periods of rising and volatile interest rates. These costs will also limit the amount of cash available for distributions to stockholders. We generally intend to hedge to the extent management determines it is in our best interest given the cost of such hedging transactions as compared to the potential economic returns or protections offered. The REIT qualification rules may limit our ability to enter into hedging transactions by requiring us to limit our income and assets from hedges. If we are unable to hedge effectively because of the REIT rules, we will face greater interest rate exposure than may be commercially prudent.

We are subject to the risk of default or insolvency by the hospitality entities underlying our investments. The leveraged capital structure of the hospitality entities underlying our investments will increase their exposure to adverse economic factors (such as rising interest rates, competitive pressures, downturns in the economy or deterioration in the condition of the real estate industry) and to the risk of unforeseen events. If an underlying entity cannot generate adequate cash flow to meet such entity's debt obligations (which may include leveraged obligations in excess of its aggregate assets), it may default on its loan agreements or be forced into bankruptcy. As a result, we may suffer a partial or total loss of the capital we have invested in the securities and other investments of such entity. The derivatives provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act and related rules could have an adverse effect on our ability to use derivative instruments to reduce the negative effect of interest rate fluctuations on our results of operations and liquidity, credit default risks and other risks associated with our business.

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the "Dodd-Frank Act") establishes federal oversight and regulation of the over-the-counter derivatives market and entities, including us, that participate in that market. As required by the Dodd-Frank Act, the Commodities Futures Trading Commission (the "CFTC"), the SEC and other

regulators have adopted certain rules implementing the swaps regulatory provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act and are in the process of adopting other rules to implement those provisions. Numerous provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act and the CFTC's rules relating to derivatives that qualify as "swaps" thereunder apply or may apply to the derivatives to which we are or may become a counterparty. Under such statutory provisions and the CFTC's rules, we must clear on a derivatives clearing organization any over-the-counter swap we

#### **Table of Contents**

enter into that is within a class of swaps designated for clearing by CFTC rule and execute trades in such cleared swap on an exchange if the swap is accepted for trading on the exchange unless such swap is exempt from such mandatory clearing and trade execution requirements. We may qualify for and intend to elect the end-user exception from those requirements for swaps we enter to hedge our commercial risks and that are subject to the mandatory clearing and trade execution requirements. If we are required to clear or voluntarily elect to clear any swaps we enter into, those swaps will be governed by standardized agreements and we will have to post margin with respect to such swaps. To date, the CFTC has designated only certain types of interest rate swaps and credit default swaps for clearing and trade execution. Although we believe that none of the interest rate swaps and credit default swaps to which we are currently party fall within those designated types of swaps, we may enter into swaps in the future that will be subject to the mandatory clearing and trade execution requirements and subject to the risks described.

Rules recently adopted by banking regulators and the CFTC in accordance with a requirement of the Dodd-Frank Act require regulated financial institutions and swap dealers and major swap participants that are not regulated financial institutions to collect margin with respect to uncleared swaps to which they are parties and to which financial end users, among others, are their counterparties. We will qualify as a financial end user for purposes of such margin rules. We will not have to post initial margin with respect to our uncleared swaps under the new rules because we do not have material swaps exposure as defined in the new rules. However, we will be required to post variation margin (most likely in the form of cash collateral) with respect to each of our uncleared swaps subject to the new margin rules in an amount equal to the cumulative decrease in the mark-to-market value of such swap to our counterparty as of any date of determination from the value of such swap as of the date of the swap's execution. The SEC has proposed margin rules for security-based swaps to which regulated financial institutions are not counterparties. Those proposed rules differ from the CFTC's margin rules, but the final form that those rules will take and their effect is uncertain at this time.

The Dodd-Frank Act has caused certain market participants, and may cause other market participants, including the counterparties to our derivative instruments, to spin off some of their derivatives activities to separate entities. Those entities may not be as creditworthy as the historical counterparties to our derivatives.

Some of the rules required to implement the swaps-related provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act remain to be adopted, and the CFTC has, from time to time, issued and may in the future issue interpretations and no-action letters interpreting, and clarifying the application of, those provisions and the related rules or delaying compliance with those provisions and rules. As a result, it is not possible at this time to predict with certainty the full effects of the Dodd-Frank Act, the CFTC's rules and the SEC's rules on us and the timing of such effects.

The Dodd-Frank Act and the rules adopted thereunder could significantly increase the cost of derivative contracts (including from swap recordkeeping and reporting requirements and through requirements to post margin with respect to our swaps, which could adversely affect our available liquidity), materially alter the terms of derivative contracts, reduce the availability of derivatives to protect against risks we encounter, reduce our ability to monetize or restructure our existing derivative contracts, and increase our exposure to less creditworthy counterparties. If we reduce our use of derivatives as a result of the Dodd-Frank Act and the related rules, our results of operations may become more volatile and our cash flows may be less predictable, which could adversely affect our ability to plan for and fund capital expenditures and to pay dividends to our stockholders. Any of these consequences could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

RISKS RELATED TO INVESTMENTS IN SECURITIES, MORTGAGES AND MEZZANINE LOANS Our earnings are dependent, in part, upon the performance of our investment portfolio.

To the extent permitted by the Internal Revenue Code, we may invest in and own securities of other public companies and REITs (including Ashford Inc. and Ashford Prime). To the extent that the value of those investments declines or those investments do not provide an attractive return, our earnings and cash flow could be adversely affected. Debt investments that are not United States government insured involve risk of loss.

As part of our business strategy, we may originate or acquire lodging-related uninsured and mortgage assets, including mezzanine loans. While holding these interests, we are subject to risks of borrower defaults, bankruptcies, fraud and related losses, and special hazard losses that are not covered by standard hazard insurance. Also, costs of financing the mortgage loans could exceed returns on the mortgage loans. In the event of any default under mortgage loans held by

us, we will bear the risk of loss of principal and non-payment of interest and fees to the extent of any deficiency between the value of the mortgage collateral and the principal amount of the mortgage loan. We suffered significant impairment charges with respect to our investments in mortgage loans in 2009 and 2010. The value and the price of our securities may be adversely affected.

#### **Table of Contents**

We may invest in non-recourse loans, which will limit our recovery to the value of the mortgaged property. Our mortgage and mezzanine loan assets have typically been non-recourse. With respect to non-recourse mortgage loan assets, in the event of a borrower default, the specific mortgaged property and other assets, if any, pledged to secure the relevant mortgage loan, may be less than the amount owed under the mortgage loan. As to those mortgage loan assets that provide for recourse against the borrower and its assets generally, we cannot assure you that the recourse will provide a recovery in respect of a defaulted mortgage loan greater than the liquidation value of the mortgaged property securing that mortgage loan.

Investment yields affect our decision whether to originate or purchase investments and the price offered for such investments.

In making any investment, we consider the expected yield of the investment and the factors that may influence the yield actually obtained on such investment. These considerations affect our decision whether to originate or purchase an investment and the price offered for that investment. No assurances can be given that we can make an accurate assessment of the yield to be produced by an investment. Many factors beyond our control are likely to influence the yield on the investments, including, but not limited to, competitive conditions in the local real estate market, local and general economic conditions, and the quality of management of the underlying property. Our inability to accurately assess investment yields may result in our purchasing assets that do not perform as well as expected, which may adversely affect the price of our securities.

Volatility of values of mortgaged properties may adversely affect our mortgage loans.

Lodging property values and net operating income derived from lodging properties are subject to volatility and may be affected adversely by a number of factors, including the risk factors described herein relating to general economic conditions, operating lodging properties, and owning real estate investments. In the event its net operating income decreases, one of our borrowers may have difficulty paying our mortgage loan, which could result in losses to us. In addition, decreases in property values will reduce the value of the collateral and the potential proceeds available to our borrowers to repay our mortgage loans, which could also cause us to suffer losses.

Mezzanine loans involve greater risks of loss than senior loans secured by income-producing properties.

We may continue to make and acquire mezzanine loans. These types of loans are considered to involve a higher degree of risk than long-term senior mortgage lending secured by income-producing real property due to a variety of factors, including the loan being entirely unsecured or, if secured, becoming unsecured as a result of foreclosure by the senior lender. We may not recover some or all of our investment in these loans. In addition, mezzanine loans may have higher loan-to-value ratios than conventional mortgage loans resulting in less equity in the property and increasing the risk of loss of principal.

The assets associated with certain of our derivative transactions do not constitute qualified REIT assets and the related income will not constitute qualified REIT income. Significant fluctuations in the value of such assets or the related income could jeopardize our REIT status or result in additional tax liabilities.

We have entered into certain derivative transactions to protect against interest rate risks and credit default risks not specifically associated with debt incurred to acquire qualified REIT assets. The REIT provisions of the Internal Revenue Code limit our income and assets in each year from such derivative transactions. Failure to comply with the asset or income limitation within the REIT provisions of the Internal Revenue Code could result in penalty taxes or loss of our REIT status. If we elect to contribute the non-qualifying derivatives into a taxable REIT subsidiary to preserve our REIT status, such an action would result in any income from such transactions being subject to federal income taxation.

Our prior investment performance is not indicative of future results.

The performance of our prior investments is not necessarily indicative of the results that can be expected for the investments to be made by our investment subsidiary. On any given investment, total loss of the investment is possible. Although our management team has experience and has had success in making investments in real estate-related lodging debt and hotel assets, the past performance of these investments is not necessarily indicative of the results of our future investments.

Our investment portfolio will contain investments concentrated in a single industry and will not be fully diversified.

Our investment subsidiary was formed for the primary purpose of acquiring public securities and other investments of lodging-related entities. As such, our investment portfolio will contain investments concentrated in a single industry and may not be fully diversified by asset class, geographic region or other criteria, which will expose us to significant loss due to concentration risk. Investors have no assurance that the degree of diversification in our investment portfolio will increase at any time in the future.

#### **Table of Contents**

The values of our investments are affected by the U.S. credit and financial markets and, as such, may fluctuate. The U.S. credit and financial markets may experience severe dislocations and liquidity disruptions. The values of our investments are likely to be sensitive to the volatility of the U.S. credit and financial markets, and, to the extent that turmoil in the U.S. credit and financial markets continues or intensifies, such volatility has the potential to materially affect the value of our investment portfolio.

We may invest in securities for which there is no liquid market, and we may be unable to dispose of such securities at the time or in the manner that may be most favorable to us, which may adversely affect our business.

We may invest in securities for which there is no liquid market or which may be subject to legal and other restrictions on resale or otherwise be less liquid than publicly traded securities generally. The relative illiquidity of these investments may make it difficult for us to sell these investments when desired. In addition, if we are required to liquidate all or a portion of our portfolio quickly, we may realize significantly less than the value at which we had previously recorded these investments. Our investments may occasionally be subject to contractual or legal restrictions on resale or will be otherwise illiquid due to the fact that there is no established trading market for such securities, or such trading market is thinly traded. The relative illiquidity of such investments may make it difficult for us to dispose of them at a favorable price, and, as a result, we may suffer losses.

#### RISKS RELATED TO THE REAL ESTATE INDUSTRY

Illiquidity of real estate investments could significantly impede our ability to respond to adverse changes in the performance of our hotel properties and harm our financial condition.

Because real estate investments are relatively illiquid, our ability to sell promptly one or more hotel properties or mortgage loans in our portfolio for reasonable prices in response to changing economic, financial, and investment conditions is limited.

The real estate market is affected by many factors that are beyond our control, including:

adverse changes in international, national, regional and local economic and market conditions;

changes in interest rates and in the availability, cost, and terms of debt financing;

the ongoing need for capital improvements, particularly in older structures;

changes in operating expenses; and

civil unrest, acts of war or terrorism, and acts of God, including earthquakes, floods and other natural disasters, which may result in uninsured and underinsured losses.

We may decide to sell hotel properties or loans in the future. We cannot predict whether we will be able to sell any hotel property or loan for the price or on the terms set by us, or whether any price or other terms offered by a prospective purchaser would be acceptable to us. We also cannot predict the length of time needed to find a willing purchaser and to close the sale of a hotel property or loan. Because we intend to offer more flexible terms on our mortgage loans than some providers of commercial mortgage loans, we may have more difficulty selling or participating our loans to secondary purchasers than would these more traditional lenders.

We may be required to expend funds to correct defects or to make improvements before a property can be sold. We cannot assure you that we will have funds available to correct those defects or to make those improvements. In acquiring a hotel property, we may agree to lock-out provisions that materially restrict us from selling that property for a period of time or impose other restrictions, such as a limitation on the amount of debt that can be placed or repaid on that property. These and other factors could impede our ability to respond to adverse changes in the performance of our hotel properties or a need for liquidity.

Increases in property taxes would increase our operating costs, reduce our income and adversely affect our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

Each of our hotel properties will be subject to real and personal property taxes. These taxes may increase as tax rates change and as the properties are assessed or reassessed by taxing authorities. If property taxes increase, our financial condition, results of operations and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders could be materially and adversely affected and the market price of our common stock could decline.

#### **Table of Contents**

The costs of compliance with or liabilities under environmental laws may harm our operating results.

Operating expenses at our hotels could be higher than anticipated due to the cost of complying with existing or future environmental laws and regulations. In addition, our hotel properties and properties underlying our loan assets may be subject to environmental liabilities. An owner of real property, or a lender with respect to a property that exercises control over the property, can face liability for environmental contamination created by the presence or discharge of hazardous substances on the property. We may face liability regardless of:

our knowledge of the contamination;

the timing of the contamination;

the cause of the contamination; or

the party responsible for the contamination.

There may be environmental problems associated with our hotel properties or properties underlying our loan assets of which we are unaware. Some of our hotel properties or the properties underlying our loan assets use, or may have used in the past, underground tanks for the storage of petroleum-based or waste products that could create a potential for release of hazardous substances. If environmental contamination exists on a hotel property, we could become subject to strict, joint and several liabilities for the contamination if we own the property or if we foreclose on the property or otherwise have control over the property.

The presence of hazardous substances on a property we own or have made a loan with respect to may adversely affect our ability to sell, on favorable terms or at all, or foreclose on the property, and we may incur substantial remediation costs. The discovery of material environmental liabilities at our properties or properties underlying our loan assets could subject us to unanticipated significant costs.

We generally have environmental insurance policies on each of our owned properties, and we intend to obtain environmental insurance for any other properties that we may acquire. However, if environmental liabilities are discovered during the underwriting of the insurance policies for any property that we may acquire in the future, we may be unable to obtain insurance coverage for the liabilities at commercially reasonable rates or at all, and we may experience losses. In addition, we generally do not require our borrowers to obtain environmental insurance on the properties they own that secure their loans from us.

Numerous treaties, laws and regulations have been enacted to regulate or limit carbon emissions. Changes in the regulations and legislation relating to climate change, and complying with such laws and regulations, may require us to make significant investments in our hotels and could result in increased energy costs at our properties.

Our properties and the properties underlying our mortgage loans may contain or develop harmful mold, which could lead to liability for adverse health effects and costs of remediating the problem.

When excessive moisture accumulates in buildings or on building materials, mold growth may occur, particularly if the moisture problem remains undiscovered or is not addressed over a period of time. Some molds may produce airborne toxins or irritants. Concern about indoor exposure to mold has been increasing as exposure to mold may cause a variety of adverse health effects and symptoms, including allergic or other reactions. Some of the properties in our portfolio may contain microbial matter such as mold and mildew. As a result, the presence of significant mold at any of our properties or the properties underlying our loan assets could require us or our borrowers to undertake a costly remediation program to contain or remove the mold from the affected property. In addition, the presence of significant mold could expose us or our borrowers to liability from hotel guests, hotel employees, and others if property damage or health concerns arise.

Compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act and fire, safety, and other regulations may require us or our borrowers to incur substantial costs.

All of our properties and properties underlying our mortgage loans are required to comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, as amended (the "ADA"). The ADA requires that "public accommodations" such as hotels be made accessible to people with disabilities. Compliance with the ADA's requirements could require removal of access barriers and non-compliance could result in imposition of fines by the U.S. government or an award of damages to private litigants, or both. In addition, we and our borrowers are required to operate our properties in compliance with fire and safety regulations, building codes, and other land use regulations as they may be adopted by governmental agencies and bodies and become applicable to our properties. Any requirement to make substantial modifications to

our hotel properties, whether to comply with the ADA or other changes in governmental rules and regulations, could be costly.

#### **Table of Contents**

We may obtain only limited warranties when we purchase a property and would have only limited recourse if our due diligence did not identify any issues that lower the value of our property, which could adversely affect our financial condition and ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

The seller of a property may sell such property in its "as is" condition on a "where is" basis and "with all faults," without any warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular use or purpose. In addition, purchase agreements may contain only limited warranties, representations and indemnifications that will only survive for a limited period after the closing. The purchase of properties with limited warranties increases the risk that we may lose some or all our invested capital in the property as well as the loss of income from that property.

We may experience uninsured or underinsured losses.

We have property and casualty insurance with respect to our hotel properties and other insurance, in each case, with loss limits and coverage thresholds deemed reasonable by our management team (and with the intent to satisfy the requirements of lenders and franchisors). In doing so, we have made decisions with respect to what deductibles, policy limits, and terms are reasonable based on management's experience, our risk profile, the loss history of our property managers and our properties, the nature of our properties and our businesses, our loss prevention efforts, and the cost of insurance.

Various types of catastrophic losses may not be insurable or may not be economically insurable. In the event of a substantial loss, our insurance coverage may not cover the full current market value or replacement cost of our lost investment. Inflation, changes in building codes and ordinances, environmental considerations, and other factors might cause insurance proceeds to be insufficient to fully replace or renovate a hotel after it has been damaged or destroyed. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that:

the insurance coverage thresholds that we have obtained will fully protect us against insurable losses (i.e., losses may exceed coverage limits);

we will not incur large deductibles that will adversely affect our earnings;

we will not incur losses from risks that are not insurable or that are not economically insurable; or current coverage thresholds will continue to be available at reasonable rates.

In the future, we may choose not to maintain terrorism insurance on any of our properties. As a result, one or more large uninsured or underinsured losses could have a material adverse effect on us.

Each of our current lenders requires us to maintain certain insurance coverage thresholds, and we anticipate that future lenders will have similar requirements. We believe that we have complied with the insurance maintenance requirements under the current governing loan documents and we intend to comply with any such requirements in any future loan documents. However, a lender may disagree, in which case the lender could obtain additional coverage thresholds and seek payment from us, or declare us in default under the loan documents. In the former case, we could spend more for insurance than we otherwise deem reasonable or necessary or, in the latter case, subject us to a foreclosure on hotels collateralizing one or more loans. In addition, a material casualty to one or more hotels collateralizing loans may result in the insurance company applying to the outstanding loan balance insurance proceeds that otherwise would be available to repair the damage caused by the casualty, which would require us to fund the repairs through other sources, or the lender foreclosing on the hotels if there is a material loss that is not insured. RISKS RELATED TO OUR STATUS AS A REIT

If we do not qualify as a REIT, we will be subject to tax as a regular corporation and could face substantial tax liability.

We conduct operations so as to qualify as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code. However, qualification as a REIT involves the application of highly technical and complex Internal Revenue Code provisions for which only a limited number of judicial or administrative interpretations exist. Even a technical or inadvertent mistake could jeopardize our REIT status. Due to the gain we recognized as a result of the spin-off of Ashford Prime, if Ashford Prime were to fail to qualify as a REIT for 2013, we may have failed to qualify as a REIT for 2013 and subsequent taxable years. Furthermore, new tax legislation, administrative guidance, or court decisions, in each instance potentially with retroactive effect, could make it more difficult or impossible for us to qualify as a REIT. The Protecting Americans from Tax Hikes Act of 2015 (the "PATH Act") contained a number of changes to the Internal Revenue Code provisions applicable to REITs (with various effective dates), including, among others, (1) a reduction from 25% to 20% of the

maximum permitted value of a REIT's assets that can consist of stock or securities of one or more TRSs, (2) treatment of debt instruments issued by publicly offered REITs as "real estate assets" (however, unless such a debt instrument is secured by a mortgage or otherwise would have qualified as a real estate asset under prior law, (i) interest income and gain from such a debt instrument is not qualifying income for purposes of one of the REIT gross income tests, the 75% gross income test, and (ii) all such debt instruments may represent no more than 25% of the value of a REIT's assets), and (3) a new 100% excise

#### **Table of Contents**

tax that applies to the extent it is determined that a REIT has been undercharged for certain services provided by a taxable REIT subsidiary. We expect that the changes will not materially impact our operations, but will continue to monitor as regulatory guidance is issued.

If we fail to qualify as a REIT in any tax year, then:

we would be taxed as a regular domestic corporation, which, among other things, means being unable to deduct distributions to our stockholders in computing taxable income and being subject to federal income tax on our taxable income at regular corporate rates;

we would also be subject to federal alternative minimum tax and, possibly, increased state and local taxes; any resulting tax liability could be substantial and would reduce the amount of cash available for distribution to stockholders; and

unless we were entitled to relief under applicable statutory provisions, we would be disqualified from treatment as a REIT for the subsequent four taxable years following the year that we lost our qualification, and, thus, our cash available for distribution to stockholders could be reduced for each of the years during which we did not qualify as a REIT.

If we fail to qualify as a REIT, we will not be required to make distributions to stockholders to maintain our tax status. As a result of all of these factors, our failure to qualify as a REIT could impair our ability to raise capital, expand our business, and make distributions to our stockholders and could adversely affect the value of our securities. Even if we qualify and remain qualified as a REIT, we may face other tax liabilities that reduce our cash flow.

Even if we qualify and remain qualified for taxation as a REIT, we may be subject to certain federal, state, and local taxes on our income and assets. For example:

We will be required to pay tax on undistributed REIT taxable income.

We may be required to pay the "alternative minimum tax" on our items of tax preference.

If we have net income from the disposition of foreclosure property held primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of business or other non-qualifying income from foreclosure property, we must pay tax on that income at the highest corporate rate.

If we sell a property in a "prohibited transaction," our gain from the sale would be subject to a 100% penalty tax. Each of our taxable REIT subsidiaries is a fully taxable corporation and will be subject to federal and state taxes on its income.

We may continue to experience increases in our state and local income tax burden. Over the past several years, certain state and local taxing authorities have significantly changed their income tax regimes in order to raise revenues. The changes enacted that have increased our state and local income tax burden include the taxation of modified gross receipts (as opposed to net taxable income), the suspension of and/or limitation on the use of net operating loss deduction, increases in tax rates and fees, the addition of surcharges, and the taxation of our partnership income at the entity level. Facing mounting budget deficits, more state and local taxing authorities have indicated that they are going to revise their income tax regimes in this fashion and/or eliminate certain federally allowed tax deductions such as the REIT dividends paid deduction.

Failure to make required distributions would subject us to U.S. federal corporate income tax.

We operate in a manner so as to allow us to continue to qualify as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes. In order to continue to qualify as a REIT, we generally are required to distribute at least 90% of our REIT taxable income, determined without regard to the dividends paid deduction and excluding any net capital gain, each year to our stockholders. To the extent that we satisfy this distribution requirement, but distribute less than 100% of our REIT taxable income, we will be subject to U.S. federal corporate income tax on our undistributed taxable income. In addition, we will be subject to a 4% nondeductible excise tax if the actual amount that we pay out to our stockholders in a calendar year is less than a minimum amount specified under the Internal Revenue Code.

Our TRS lessee structure increases our overall tax liability.

Our TRS lessees are subject to federal, state and local income tax on their taxable income, which consists of the revenues from the hotel properties leased by our TRS lessees, net of the operating expenses for such hotel properties and rent payments to us. Accordingly, although our ownership of our TRS lessees allows us to participate in the operating income from our hotel properties in addition to receiving fixed rent, the net operating income is fully subject

to income tax. The after-tax net income of our TRS lessees is available for distribution to us.

#### **Table of Contents**

We may be subject to taxes in the event our leases are held not to be on an arm's-length basis.

In the event that leases between us and our taxable REIT subsidiaries are held not to be on an arm's-length basis, we or our taxable REIT subsidiaries could be subject to taxes, and adjustments to the rents could cause us to fail to meet certain REIT income tests. In determining amounts payable by our taxable REIT subsidiaries under our leases, we engage a third party to prepare transfer pricing studies to ascertain whether the lease terms we establish are on an arm's-length basis, but we may still be subject to challenge by the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS"). In addition, if the IRS were to successfully challenge the terms of our leases with any of our taxable REIT subsidiaries, we or our taxable REIT subsidiaries could owe additional taxes and we could be required to pay penalty taxes if the effect of such challenges were to cause us to fail to meet certain REIT income tests, which could materially adversely affect us and the value of our securities.

Our ownership of TRSs is limited and our transactions with our TRSs will cause us to be subject to a 100% penalty tax on certain income or deductions if those transactions are not conducted on arm's-length terms.

A REIT may own up to 100% of the stock of one or more TRSs. A TRS may hold assets and earn income that would not be qualifying assets or income if held or earned directly by a REIT, including gross operating income from hotels that are operated by eligible independent contractors pursuant to hotel management agreements. Both the subsidiary and the REIT must jointly elect to treat the subsidiary as a TRS. A corporation of which a TRS directly or indirectly owns more than 35% of the voting power or value of the stock will automatically be treated as a TRS. Overall, no more than 25% (20% with respect to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017) of the value of a REIT's assets may consist of stock or securities of one or more TRSs. In addition, the TRS rules limit the deductibility of interest paid or accrued by a TRS to its parent REIT to assure that the TRS is subject to an appropriate level of corporate taxation. The rules also impose a 100% excise tax on certain transactions between a TRS and its parent REIT that are not conducted on an arm's-length basis. Finally, for taxable years ending after December 31, 2015, the 100% excise tax also applies to the underpricing of services by a TRS to its parent REIT in contexts where the services are unrelated to services for REIT tenants.

Our TRSs are subject to federal, foreign, state and local income tax on their taxable income, and their after-tax net income is available for distribution to us but is not required to be distributed to us. We believe that the aggregate value of the stock and securities of our TRSs is less than 25% of the value of our total assets (including our TRS stock and securities).

We monitor the value of our respective investments in our TRSs for the purpose of ensuring compliance with TRS ownership limitations. In addition, we scrutinize all of our transactions with our TRSs to ensure that they are entered into on arm's-length terms to avoid incurring the 100% excise tax described above. For example, in determining the amounts payable by our TRSs under our leases, we engage a third party to prepare transfer pricing studies to ascertain whether the lease terms we establish are on an arm's-length basis as required by applicable Treasury Regulations. However the receipt of a transfer pricing study does not prevent the IRS from challenging the arm's length nature of the lease terms between a REIT and its TRS lessees. Consequently, there can be no assurance that we will be able to avoid application of the 100% excise tax discussed above.

If our hotel managers do not qualify as "eligible independent contractors," we would fail to qualify as a REIT. Rent paid by a lessee that is a "related party tenant" of ours is not qualifying income for purposes of the two gross income tests applicable to REITs. We lease all of our hotels to our TRS lessees. A TRS lessee will not be treated as a "related party tenant," and will not be treated as directly operating a lodging facility, which is prohibited, to the extent the TRS lessee leases properties from us that are managed by an "eligible independent contractor."

We believe that the rent paid by our TRS lessees is qualifying income for purposes of the REIT gross income tests and that our TRSs qualify to be treated as TRSs for U.S. federal income tax purposes, but there can be no assurance that the IRS will not challenge this treatment or that a court would not sustain such a challenge. If the IRS were successful in challenging this treatment, it is possible that we would fail to meet the asset tests applicable to REITs and substantially all of our income would fail to qualify for the gross income tests. If we failed to meet either the asset or gross income tests, we would likely lose our REIT qualification for U.S. federal income tax purposes, unless certain relief provisions applied.

If our hotel managers do not qualify as "eligible independent contractors," we would fail to qualify as a REIT. Each of the hotel management companies that enters into a management contract with our TRS lessees must qualify as an "eligible independent contractor" under the REIT rules in order for the rent paid to us by our TRS lessees to be qualifying income for our REIT income test requirements. Among other requirements, in order to qualify as an eligible independent contractor a manager must not own more than 35% of our outstanding shares (by value) and no person or group of persons can own more than 35% of our outstanding shares and the ownership interests of the manager, taking into account only owners of more than 5% of our shares and, with respect to ownership interests in such managers that are publicly-traded, only holders of more than 5% of such ownership interests.

#### **Table of Contents**

Complex ownership attribution rules apply for purposes of these 35% thresholds. Although we intend to monitor ownership of our shares by our property managers and their owners, there can be no assurance that these ownership levels will not be exceeded.

Dividends payable by REITs do not qualify for the reduced tax rates available for some dividends.

The maximum U.S. federal income tax rate applicable to "qualified dividend income" payable to U.S. stockholders that are taxed at individual rates is 20%. Dividends payable by REITs, however, generally are not eligible for the reduced rates on qualified dividend income. Individuals, trusts and estates whose income exceeds certain thresholds are also subject to a 3.8% Medicare tax on dividends received from us. The more favorable rates applicable to regular corporate qualified dividends could cause investors who are taxed at individual rates to perceive investments in REITs to be relatively less attractive than investments in the stocks of non-REIT corporations that pay dividends, which could adversely affect the value of the shares of REITs, including our common stock.

If our operating partnership failed to qualify as a partnership for federal income tax purposes, we would cease to qualify as a REIT and would be subject to higher taxes and have less cash available for distribution to our stockholders and suffer other adverse consequences.

We believe that our operating partnership qualifies to be treated as a partnership for federal income tax purposes. As a partnership, our operating partnership is not subject to federal income tax on its income. Instead, each of its partners, including us, is required to pay tax on its allocable share of the operating partnership's income. No assurance can be provided, however, that the IRS will not challenge its status as a partnership for federal income tax purposes, or that a court would not sustain such a challenge. If the IRS were successful in treating our operating partnership as a corporation for tax purposes, we would fail to meet the gross income tests and certain of the asset tests applicable to REITs and, accordingly, cease to qualify as a REIT. Also, the failure of our operating partnership to qualify as a partnership would cause it to become subject to federal and state corporate income tax, which would reduce significantly the amount of cash available for debt service and for distribution to its partners, including us. Note that although partnerships have traditionally not been subject to federal income tax at the entity level as described above, new audit rules, currently scheduled to become effective for tax years ending after December 31, 2017, will generally apply to the partnership. Under the new rules, unless an entity elects otherwise, taxes arising from audit adjustments are required to be paid by the entity rather than by its partners or members. We may utilize exceptions available under the new provisions (including any changes) and Treasury Regulations so that the partners, to the fullest extent possible, rather than the partnership itself, will be liable for any taxes arising from audit adjustments to the issuing entity's taxable income. It is unclear to what extent these elections will be available to the partnership and how any such elections may affect the procedural rules available to challenge any audit adjustment that would otherwise be available in the absence of any such elections. Proposed Treasury Regulations were recently promulgated implementing portions of these new partnership audit rules, but these proposed Treasury Regulations were withdrawn without legal effect by the Trump Administration.

Investors are urged to consult with their tax advisors regarding the possible effect of the new rules.

Complying with REIT requirements may cause us to forego otherwise attractive opportunities.

To qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, we must continually satisfy tests concerning, among other things, the sources of our income, the nature and diversification of our assets, the amounts we distribute to our stockholders, and the ownership of our stock. We may be required to make distributions to stockholders at disadvantageous times or when we do not have funds readily available for distribution. We may elect to pay dividends on our common stock in cash or a combination of cash and shares of securities as permitted under federal income tax laws governing REIT distribution requirements. Thus, compliance with the REIT requirements may hinder our ability to operate solely on the basis of maximizing profits.

Complying with REIT requirements may limit our ability to hedge effectively.

The REIT provisions of the Internal Revenue Code may limit our ability to hedge mortgage securities and related borrowings by requiring us to limit our income and assets in each year from certain hedges, together with any other income not generated from qualified real estate assets, to no more than 25% of our gross income. In addition, we must limit our aggregate income from nonqualified hedging transactions, from our provision of services, and from other non-qualifying sources to no more than 5% of our annual gross income. As a result, we may have to limit our use of

advantageous hedging techniques. This could result in greater risks associated with changes in interest rates than we would otherwise want to incur. However, for transactions that we enter into to protect against interest rate risks on debt incurred to acquire qualified REIT assets and for which we identify as hedges for tax purposes, any associated hedging income is excluded from the 95% income test and the 75% income test applicable to a REIT. In addition, for taxable years ending after December 31, 2015, similar rules apply to income from positions that primarily manage risk with respect to a prior hedge entered into by a REIT in connection with the extinguishment or disposal (in whole or in part)

#### **Table of Contents**

of the liability or asset related to such prior hedge, to the extent the new position qualifies as a hedge or would so qualify if the hedged position were ordinary property. If we were to violate the 25% or 5% limitations, we may have to pay a penalty tax equal to the amount of income in excess of those limitations multiplied by a fraction intended to reflect our profitability. If we fail to satisfy the REIT gross income tests, unless our failure was due to reasonable cause and not due to willful neglect, we could lose our REIT status for federal income tax purposes.

Complying with REIT requirements may force us to liquidate otherwise attractive investments.

To qualify as a REIT, we must also ensure that at the end of each calendar quarter at least 75% of the value of our assets consists of cash, cash items, government securities, and qualified REIT real estate assets. The remainder of our investment in securities (other than government securities and qualified real estate assets) generally cannot include more than 10% of the outstanding voting securities of any one issuer or more than 10% of the total value of the outstanding securities of any one issuer. In addition, in general, no more than 5% of the value of our assets (other than government securities and qualified real estate assets) can consist of the securities of any one issuer, and no more than 25% (20% with respect to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017) of the value of our total assets can be represented by securities of one or more taxable REIT subsidiaries. If we fail to comply with these requirements at the end of any calendar quarter, we must correct such failure within 30 days after the end of the calendar quarter to avoid losing our REIT status and suffer adverse tax consequences. As a result, we may be required to liquidate otherwise attractive investments.

Complying with REIT requirements may force us to borrow to make distributions to our stockholders.

As a REIT, we must distribute at least 90% of our annual REIT taxable income, excluding net capital gains, (subject to certain adjustments) to our stockholders. To the extent that we satisfy the distribution requirement, but distribute less than 100% of our taxable income, we will be subject to federal corporate income tax on our undistributed taxable income. In addition, we will be subject to a 4% nondeductible excise tax if the actual amount that we pay out to our stockholders in a calendar year is less than a minimum amount specified under federal tax laws.

From time to time, we may generate taxable income greater than our net income for financial reporting purposes or our taxable income may be greater than our cash flow available for distribution to our stockholders. If we do not have other funds available in these situations, we could be required to borrow funds, sell investments at disadvantageous prices, or find another alternative source of funds to make distributions sufficient to enable us to pay out enough of our taxable income to satisfy the distribution requirement and to avoid corporate income tax and the 4% excise tax in a particular year. These alternatives could increase our costs or reduce our equity. We may elect to pay dividends on our common stock in cash or a combination of cash and shares of securities as permitted under federal income tax laws governing REIT distribution requirements.

We may in the future choose to pay dividends in our shares of our common stock instead of cash, in which case stockholders may be required to pay income taxes in excess of the cash dividends they receive.

We may distribute taxable dividends that are payable in cash and common stock at the election of each stockholder. The IRS has issued private letter rulings to other REITs treating certain distributions that are paid partly in cash and partly in stock as taxable dividends that would satisfy the REIT annual distribution requirement and qualify for the dividends paid deduction for U.S. federal income tax purposes. Those rulings may be relied upon only by taxpayers to whom they were issued, but we could request a similar ruling from the IRS. Accordingly, it is unclear whether and to what extent we will be able to make taxable dividends payable in cash and common stock.

If we made a taxable dividend payable in cash and common stock, taxable stockholders receiving such dividends will be required to include the full amount of the dividend as ordinary income to the extent of our current and accumulated earnings and profits, as determined for U.S. federal income tax purposes. As a result, stockholders may be required to pay income taxes with respect to such dividends in excess of the cash dividends received. If a U.S. stockholder sells the shares of common stock that it receives as a dividend in order to pay this tax, the sales proceeds may be less than the amount included in income with respect to the dividend, depending on the market price of our shares at the time of the sale. Furthermore, with respect to certain non-U.S. stockholders, we may be required to withhold U.S. federal income tax with respect to such dividends, including in respect of all or a portion of such dividend that is payable in shares of common stock. In addition, if we made a taxable dividend payable in cash and our common stock and a significant number of our stockholders determine to sell shares of our common stock in order to pay taxes owed on

dividends, it may put downward pressure on the trading price of our common stock. We do not currently intend to pay taxable dividends of our common stock and cash, although we may choose to do so in the future.

The prohibited transactions tax may limit our ability to dispose of our properties.

A REIT's net income from prohibited transactions is subject to a 100% tax. In general, prohibited transactions are sales or other dispositions of property, other than foreclosure property, held primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of

#### **Table of Contents**

business. We may be subject to the prohibited transaction tax equal to 100% of net gain upon a disposition of real property. Although a safe harbor to the characterization of the sale of real property by a REIT as a prohibited transaction is available, we cannot assure you that we can comply with the safe harbor or that we will avoid owning property that may be characterized as held primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of business. Consequently, we may choose not to engage in certain sales of our properties or may conduct such sales through our TRS, which would be subject to federal and state income taxation.

The ability of our board of directors to revoke our REIT qualification without stockholder approval may cause adverse consequences to our stockholders

Our charter provides that our board of directors may revoke or otherwise terminate our REIT election, without the approval of our stockholders, if it determines that it is no longer in our best interest to continue to qualify as a REIT. If we cease to qualify as a REIT, we would become subject to U.S. federal and state and local income taxes on our taxable income and would no longer be required to distribute most of our taxable income to our stockholders, which may have adverse consequences on the total stockholder return to our stockholders.

We may be subject to adverse legislative or regulatory tax changes that could reduce the market price of our securities. At any time, the federal income tax laws governing REITs or the administrative interpretations of those laws may be amended. Any of those new laws or interpretations may take effect retroactively and could adversely affect us or our stockholders. The maximum regular income tax rate applicable to individuals on dividend income from regular C corporations is 20%. This reduces substantially the so-called "double taxation" (that is, taxation at both the corporate and stockholder levels) applicable to corporations that are not taxed as REITs. Generally, dividends from REITs will not qualify for such maximum rate, and dividends from REITs may be taxed at regular income tax rates as great as 39.6%. This difference in maximum tax rates could ultimately cause individual investors to view stocks of non-REIT corporations as more attractive relative to shares of REITs because the dividends paid by non-REIT corporations would be subject to lower tax rates. We cannot predict whether in fact this will occur or, if it occurs, what the impact will be on the value of our securities.

If Ashford Prime failed to qualify as a REIT for 2013, it would significantly affect our ability to maintain our REIT status.

For federal income tax purposes, we recorded a gain of approximately \$145.7 million as a result of the spin-off of Ashford Prime in November 2013. If Ashford Prime qualified for taxation as a REIT for 2013, that gain was qualifying income for purposes of our 2013 REIT income tests. If, however, Ashford Prime failed to qualify as a REIT for 2013, that gain would be non-qualifying income for purposes of the 75% gross income test. Although Ashford Prime covenanted in the Separation and Distribution Agreement to use reasonable best efforts to qualify as a REIT in 2013, no assurance can be given that it so qualified. If Ashford Prime failed to qualify, we would have failed our 2013 REIT income tests, which would either result in our loss of our REIT status for 2013 and the following 4 taxable years or result in a significant tax in 2013 that has not been accrued or paid and thereby would materially negatively impact our business, financial condition and potentially impair our ability to continue operating in the future.

Your investment in our securities has various federal, state, and local income tax risks that could affect the value of your investment.

We strongly urge you to consult your own tax advisor concerning the effects of federal, state, and local income tax law on an investment in our securities because of the complex nature of the tax rules applicable to REITs and their stockholders.

Our failure to qualify as a REIT would potentially give rise to a claim for damages from Ashford Prime. In connection with the spin-off of Ashford Prime, which was completed in November 2013, we represented in the Separation and Distribution Agreement with Ashford Prime that we have no knowledge of any fact or circumstance that would cause us to fail to qualify as a REIT. In the event of a breach of this representation, Ashford Prime may be able to seek damages from us, which could have a significantly negative effect on our liquidity and results of operations.

Declines in the values of our investments may make it more difficult for us to maintain our qualification as a REIT or exemption from the Investment Company Act.

If the market value or income potential of real estate-related investments declines as a result of increased interest rates or other factors, we may need to increase our real estate-related investments and income or liquidate our non-qualifying assets in order to maintain our REIT qualification or exemption from the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the "Investment Company Act"). If the decline in real estate asset values and/or income occurs quickly, this may be especially difficult to accomplish. This difficulty may be exacerbated by the illiquid nature of any non-qualifying assets that we may own. We may have to make investment decisions that we otherwise would not make absent the REIT and Investment Company Act considerations.

#### **Table of Contents**

#### RISKS RELATED TO OUR CORPORATE STRUCTURE

Our charter, the partnership agreement of our operating partnership and Maryland law contain provisions that may delay or prevent a change of control transaction.

Our charter contains 9.8% ownership limits. For the purpose of preserving our REIT qualification, our charter prohibits direct or constructive ownership by any person of more than (i) 9.8% of the lesser of the total number or value (whichever is more restrictive) of the outstanding shares of our common stock or (ii) 9.8% of the total number or value (whichever is more restrictive) of the outstanding shares of any class or series of our preferred stock or any other stock of our company, unless our board of directors grants a waiver.

Our charter's constructive ownership rules are complex and may cause stock owned actually or constructively by a group of related individuals and/or entities to be deemed to be constructively owned by one individual or entity. As a result, the acquisition of less than 9.8% of any class or series of our stock by an individual or entity could nevertheless cause that individual or entity to own constructively in excess of 9.8% of a class or series of outstanding stock, and thus be subject to our charter's ownership limit. Any attempt to own or transfer shares of our stock in excess of the ownership limit without the consent of our board of directors will be void, and could result in the shares being automatically transferred to a charitable trust.

Our board of directors may create and issue a class or series of preferred stock without stockholder approval. Our charter authorizes our board of directors to issue preferred stock in one or more classes and to establish the preferences and rights of any class of preferred stock issued. These actions can be taken without soliciting stockholder approval. Our preferred stock issuances could have the effect of delaying or preventing someone from taking control of us, even if a change in control were in our stockholders' best interests.

Certain provisions in the partnership agreement for our operating partnership may delay or prevent unsolicited acquisitions of us.

Provisions in the partnership agreement for our operating partnership may delay or make more difficult unsolicited acquisitions of us or changes in our control. These provisions could discourage third parties from making proposals involving an unsolicited acquisition of us or change of our control, although some stockholders might consider such proposals, if made, desirable. These provisions include, among others:

redemption rights of qualifying parties;

transfer restrictions on our common units;

the ability of the general partner in some cases to amend the partnership agreement without the consent of the limited partners; and

the right of the limited partners to consent to transfers of the general partnership interest and mergers under specified circumstances.

Because provisions contained in Maryland law and our charter may have an anti-takeover effect, investors may be prevented from receiving a "control premium" for their shares.

Provisions contained in our charter and Maryland general corporation law may have effects that delay, defer, or prevent a takeover attempt, which may prevent stockholders from receiving a "control premium" for their shares. For example, these provisions may defer or prevent tender offers for our common stock or purchases of large blocks of our common stock, thereby limiting the opportunities for our stockholders to receive a premium for their common stock over then-prevailing market prices.

These provisions include the following:

Ownership limit: The ownership limit in our charter limits related investors, including, among other things, any voting group, from acquiring over 9.8% of our common stock without our permission.

Classification of preferred stock: Our charter authorizes our board of directors to issue preferred stock in one or more classes and to establish the preferences and rights of any class of preferred stock issued. These actions can be taken without soliciting stockholder approval. Our preferred stock issuances could have the effect of delaying or preventing someone from taking control of us, even if a change in control were in our stockholders' best interests.

Maryland statutory law provides that an act of a director relating to or affecting an acquisition or a potential acquisition of control of a corporation may not be subject to a higher duty or greater scrutiny than is applied to any other act of a director. Hence, directors of a Maryland corporation are not required to act in certain takeover situations

under the same standards as apply in Delaware and other corporate jurisdictions.

#### **Table of Contents**

We depend on our operating partnership and its subsidiaries for cash flow and are effectively structurally subordinated in right of payment to the obligations of our operating partnership and its subsidiaries, which could adversely affect our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

We have no business operations of our own. Our only significant asset is and will be the general and limited partnership interests of our operating partnership. We conduct, and intend to continue to conduct, all of our business operations through our operating partnership. Accordingly, our only source of cash to pay our obligations is distributions from our operating partnership and its subsidiaries of their net earnings and cash flows. We cannot assure our stockholders that our operating partnership or its subsidiaries will be able to, or be permitted to, make distributions to us that will enable us to make distributions to our stockholders from cash flows from operations. Each of our operating partnership's subsidiaries is a distinct legal entity and, under certain circumstances, legal and contractual restrictions may limit our ability to obtain cash from such entities. Therefore, in the event of our bankruptcy, liquidation or reorganization, our assets and those of our operating partnership and its subsidiaries will be able to satisfy the claims of our stockholders only after all of our and our operating partnership and its subsidiaries liabilities and obligations have been paid in full.

Offerings of debt securities, which would be senior to our common stock and any preferred stock upon liquidation, or equity securities, which would dilute our existing stockholders' holdings and could be senior to our common stock for the purposes of dividend distributions, may adversely affect the market price of our common stock and any preferred stock.

We may attempt to increase our capital resources by making additional offerings of debt or equity securities, including commercial paper, medium-term notes, senior or subordinated notes, convertible securities, and classes of preferred stock or common stock or classes of preferred units. Upon liquidation, holders of our debt securities or preferred units and lenders with respect to other borrowings will receive a distribution of our available assets prior to the holders of shares of preferred stock or common stock. Furthermore, holders of our debt securities and preferred stock or preferred units and lenders with respect to other borrowings will receive a distribution of our available assets prior to the holders of our common stock. Additional equity offerings may dilute the holdings of our existing stockholders or reduce the market price of our common or preferred stock or both. Our preferred stock or preferred units could have a preference on liquidating distributions or a preference on dividend payments that could limit our ability to make a dividend distribution to the holders of our common stock. Because our decision to issue securities in any future offering will depend on market conditions and other factors beyond our control, we cannot predict or estimate the amount, timing, or nature of our future offerings. Thus, our stockholders bear the risk of our future offerings reducing the market price of our securities and diluting their securities holdings in us.

Securities eligible for future sale may have adverse effects on the market price of our securities.

We cannot predict the effect, if any, of future sales of securities, or the availability of securities for future sales, on the market price of our outstanding securities. Sales of substantial amounts of common stock, or the perception that these sales could occur, may adversely affect prevailing market prices for our securities.

We also may issue from time to time additional shares of our securities or units of our operating partnership in connection with the acquisition of properties and we may grant additional demand or piggyback registration rights in connection with these issuances. Sales of substantial amounts of our securities or the perception that such sales could occur may adversely affect the prevailing market price for our securities or may impair our ability to raise capital through a sale of additional debt or equity securities.

An increase in market interest rates may have an adverse effect on the market price of our securities.

A factor investors may consider in deciding whether to buy or sell our securities is our dividend rate as a percentage of our share or unit price relative to market interest rates. If market interest rates increase, prospective investors may desire a higher dividend or interest rate on our securities or seek securities paying higher dividends or interest. The market price of our securities is likely based on the earnings and return that we derive from our investments, income with respect to our properties, and our related distributions to stockholders and not from the market value or underlying appraised value of the properties or investments themselves. As a result, interest rate fluctuations and capital market conditions can affect the market price of our securities. For instance, if interest rates rise without an increase in our dividend rate, the market price of our common or preferred stock could decrease because potential

investors may require a higher dividend yield on our common or preferred stock as market rates on interest-bearing securities, such as bonds, rise. In addition, rising interest rates would result in increased interest expense on our variable-rate debt, thereby adversely affecting cash flow and our ability to service our indebtedness and pay dividends.

#### **Table of Contents**

Our board of directors can take many actions without stockholder approval.

Our board of directors has overall authority to oversee our operations and determine our major corporate policies. This authority includes significant flexibility. For example, our board of directors can do the following: terminate our advisor under certain conditions pursuant to advisory agreement, subject to the payment of a termination fee;

amend or revise at any time and from time to time our investment, financing, borrowing and dividend policies and our policies with respect to all other activities, including growth, debt, capitalization and operations, subject to the limitations and restrictions provided in our advisory agreement and mutual exclusivity agreement;

amend our policies with respect to conflicts of interest provided that such changes are consistent with applicable legal requirements;

subject to the terms of our charter, prevent the ownership, transfer and/or accumulation of shares in order to protect our status as a REIT or for any other reason deemed to be in the best interests of us and our stockholders; issue additional shares without obtaining stockholder approval, which could dilute the ownership of our then-current stockholders;

amend our charter to increase or decrease the aggregate number of shares of stock or the number of shares of stock of any class or series, without obtaining stockholder approval;

classify or reclassify any unissued shares of our common stock or preferred stock and set the preferences, rights and other terms of such classified or reclassified shares, without obtaining stockholder approval;

employ and compensate affiliates;

direct our resources toward investments that do not ultimately appreciate over time; and

determine that it is not in our best interests to attempt to qualify, or to continue to qualify, as a REIT.

Any of these actions could increase our operating expenses, impact our ability to make distributions or reduce the value of our assets without giving you, as a stockholder, the right to vote.

The ability of our board of directors to change our major policies without the consent of stockholders may not be in our stockholders' interest.

Our board of directors determines our major policies, including policies and guidelines relating to our acquisitions, leverage, financing, growth, operations and distributions to stockholders. Our board of directors may amend or revise these and other policies and guidelines from time to time without the vote or consent of our stockholders, subject to certain limitations and restrictions provided in our advisory agreement. Accordingly, our stockholders will have limited control over changes in our policies and those changes could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, the market price of our stock and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

Our rights and the rights of our stockholders to take action against our directors and officers are limited.

Maryland law provides that a director or officer has no liability in that capacity if he or she performs his or her duties in good faith, in a manner he or she reasonably believes to be in our best interests and with the care that an ordinarily prudent person in a like position would use under similar circumstances. In addition, our charter eliminates our directors' and officers' liability to us and our stockholders for money damages except for liability resulting from actual receipt of an improper benefit or profit in money, property or services or active and deliberate dishonesty established by a final judgment to have been material to the cause of action. Our charter requires us to indemnify our directors and officers to the maximum extent permitted by Maryland law for liability actually incurred in connection with any proceeding to which they may be made, or threatened to be made, a party, except to the extent that the act or omission of the director or officer was material to the matter giving rise to the proceeding and was either committed in bad faith or was the result of active and deliberate dishonesty, the director or officer actually received an improper personal benefit in money, property or services, or, in the case of any criminal proceeding, the director or officer had reasonable cause to believe that the act or omission was unlawful. As a result, we and our stockholders may have more limited rights against our directors and officers than might otherwise exist under common law. In addition, we may be obligated to fund the defense costs incurred by our directors and officers.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments None.

### **Table of Contents**

Item 2. Properties

OFFICES. We lease our headquarters located at 14185 Dallas Parkway, Suite 1100, Dallas, Texas 75254. HOTEL PROPERTIES. As of December 31, 2016, we had ownership interests in 123 hotel properties that were included in our consolidated operations, which included direct ownership in 121 hotel properties and 85% ownership in two hotel properties through equity investments with joint venture partners. Currently, all of our hotel properties are located in the United States. The following table presents certain information related to our hotel properties:

| Hotel Property        | Location            | Service           |       | %     | Owned | Year Ended December 31, 2016 |          |
|-----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------|-------|-------|------------------------------|----------|
|                       |                     | Type              | Rooms | Owned | Rooms | Occupan ADR                  | RevPAR   |
| Fee Simple Properties |                     | D-11              |       |       |       |                              |          |
| Embassy Suites        | Austin, TX          | Full service      | 150   | 100 % | 150   | 81.53% \$162.62              | \$132.58 |
| Embassy Suites        | Dallas, TX          | Full service      | 150   | 100   | 150   | 79.40% \$137.62              | \$109.27 |
| Embassy Suites        | Herndon, VA         | Full service      | 150   | 100   | 150   | 80.88% \$150.38              | \$121.62 |
| Embassy Suites        | Las Vegas, NV       | Full service      | 220   | 100   | 220   | 87.06% \$122.79              | \$106.89 |
| Embassy Suites (6)    | Syracuse, NY        | Full service      | 215   | 100   | 215   | 71.82% \$121.83              | \$87.50  |
| Embassy Suites        | Flagstaff, AZ       | Full service      | 119   | 100   | 119   | 88.06% \$146.80              | \$129.27 |
| Embassy Suites        | Houston, TX         | Full service      | 150   | 100   | 150   | 73.40% \$154.19              | \$113.18 |
| Embassy Suites        | West Palm Beach, FL | Full service      | 160   | 100   | 160   | 82.87% \$140.61              | \$116.52 |
| Embassy Suites        | Philadelphia, PA    | Full service      | 263   | 100   | 263   | 81.30% \$155.89              | \$126.74 |
| Embassy Suites        | Walnut Creek, CA    | Full service      | 249   | 100   | 249   | 85.32% \$172.45              | \$147.14 |
| Embassy Suites        | Arlington, VA       | Full service      | 267   | 100   | 267   | 89.83% \$190.87              | \$171.45 |
| Embassy Suites        | Portland, OR        | Full service      | 276   | 100   | 276   | 87.55% \$218.87              | \$191.61 |
| Embassy Suites        | Santa Clara, CA     | Full service      | 257   | 100   | 257   | 82.16% \$233.84              | \$192.11 |
| Embassy Suites        | Orlando, FL         | Full service      | 174   | 100   | 174   | 83.22% \$137.26              | \$114.22 |
| Hilton Garden Inn     | Jacksonville, FL    | Select<br>service | 119   | 100   | 119   | 78.06% \$123.52              | \$96.42  |
| Hilton Garden Inn     | Austin, TX          | Select<br>service | 254   | 100   | 254   | 79.41% \$186.10              | \$147.78 |
| Hilton Garden Inn     | Baltimore, MD       | Select<br>service | 158   | 100   | 158   | 82.77% \$116.01              | \$96.02  |
| Hilton Garden Inn     | Virginia Beach, VA  | Select<br>service | 176   | 100   | 176   | 88.91% \$132.75              | \$118.03 |
| Hilton Garden Inn     | Wisconsin Dells, WI | Select<br>service | 128   | 100   | 128   | 74.25% \$122.24              | \$90.77  |
| Hilton                | Houston, TX         |                   | 242   | 100   | 242   | 69.85% \$126.77              | \$88.55  |

|                          |                    | Full                  |       |       |    |        |          |          |
|--------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-------|-------|----|--------|----------|----------|
|                          |                    | service<br>Full       |       |       |    |        |          |          |
| Hilton                   | St. Petersburg, FL | service 33            | 33 10 | 00 3  | 33 | 78.58% | \$144.00 | \$113.16 |
| Hilton                   | Santa Fe, NM       | Full service 15       | 58 10 | 00 1  | 58 | 85.29% | \$154.67 | \$131.91 |
| Hilton                   | Bloomington, MN    | Full service 30       | 00 10 | 00 3  | 00 | 85.38% | \$132.72 | \$113.31 |
| Hilton                   | Costa Mesa, CA     | Full 48 service       | 36 10 | 00 4  | 86 | 85.54% | \$132.88 | \$113.67 |
| Hilton                   | Boston, MA         | Full service 39       | 90 10 | 00 3  | 90 | 85.19% | \$240.36 | \$204.76 |
| Hilton                   | Parsippany, NJ     | Full service 35       | 53 10 | 00 3  | 53 | 66.61% | \$157.34 | \$104.81 |
| Hilton                   | Tampa, FL          | Full service 23       | 38 10 | 00 2  | 38 | 82.73% | \$129.66 | \$107.27 |
| Hampton Inn              | Lawrenceville, GA  | Select<br>service 85  | 5 10  | 00 8. | 5  | 74.12% | \$105.60 | \$78.27  |
| Hampton Inn              | Evansville, IN     | Select<br>service 14  | 40 10 | 00 1  | 40 | 70.44% | \$118.38 | \$83.39  |
| Hampton Inn              | Parsippany, NJ     | Select<br>service 15  | 52 10 | 00 1. | 52 | 70.25% | \$140.36 | \$98.60  |
| Hampton Inn              | Buford, GA         | Select 92 service     | 2 10  | 00 9  | 2  | 85.90% | \$120.64 | \$103.63 |
| Hampton Inn              | Phoenix, AZ        | Select service Select | )6 10 | 00 1  | 06 | 81.44% | \$120.75 | \$98.34  |
| Hampton Inn - Waterfront | Pittsburgh, PA     | service 11 Select     | 13 10 | 00 1  | 13 | 73.23% | \$127.71 | \$93.52  |
| Hampton Inn - Washington | Pittsburgh, PA     | service 10<br>Select  | )3 10 | 00 1  | 03 | 55.27% | \$107.00 | \$59.14  |
| Hampton Inn              | Columbus, OH       | service 14            |       |       |    | 75.38% | \$141.92 | \$106.98 |
| Marriott                 | Beverly Hills, CA  | service 26            |       |       |    |        | \$262.98 |          |
| Marriott                 | Durham, NC         | service 22            |       |       |    |        | \$144.45 |          |
| Marriott                 | Arlington, VA      | service 69            |       |       |    |        | \$177.88 |          |
| Marriott                 | Bridgewater, NJ    | service 54            |       |       |    |        | \$210.00 |          |
| Marriott                 | Dallas, TX         | service 26            | 55 10 | 00 2  | 65 | 74.50% | \$141.93 | \$105.74 |
| Marriott                 | Fremont, CA        | Full service 35       | 57 10 | 00 3. | 57 | 80.86% | \$179.30 | \$144.99 |
| Marriott                 | Memphis, TN        | Full 23               | 32 10 | 00 2  | 32 | 78.59% | \$152.04 | \$119.48 |
| Marriott                 | Irving, TX         | Full 49 service       | 91 10 | 00 4  | 91 | 76.23% | \$140.84 | \$107.35 |
| 36                       |                    |                       |       |       |    |        |          |          |

# Table of Contents

| Hotel Property                     | Location                                      | Service<br>Type                              | Total<br>Rooms    | %<br>Owned        | Owned<br>Rooms    | 2016   |
|------------------------------------|---|--|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|
| Marriott<br>Marriott<br>Marriott   | Omaha, NE<br>San Antonio, TX<br>Sugarland, TX | Full service<br>Full service<br>Full service | 300<br>251<br>300 | 100<br>100<br>100 | 300<br>251<br>300 | 61.61% \$131.43 \$80.97<br>67.51% \$137.49 \$92.82<br>69.64% \$149.44 \$104.07 |
| SpringHill Suites by Marriott      | Jacksonville, FL                              | Select<br>service                            | 102               | 100               | 102               | 77.18% \$106.49 \$82.19  |
| SpringHill Suites by Marriott      | Baltimore, MD                                 | Select<br>service                            | 133               | 100               | 133               | 79.93% \$108.36 \$86.61  |
| SpringHill Suites by Marriott      | Kennesaw, GA                                  | Select<br>service                            | 90                | 100               | 90                | 80.70% \$116.85 \$94.30  |
| SpringHill Suites by Marriott      | Buford, GA                                    | Select<br>service                            | 97                | 100               | 97                | 82.72% \$111.68 \$92.38  |
| SpringHill Suites by Marriott      | Centreville, VA                               | Select<br>service                            | 136               | 100               | 136               | 70.85% \$94.30 \$66.81   |
| SpringHill Suites by Marriott      | Charlotte, NC                                 | Select<br>service                            | 136               | 100               | 136               | 76.14% \$114.56 \$87.23  |
| SpringHill Suites by Marriott      | Durham, NC                                    | Select<br>service                            | 120               | 100               | 120               | 76.92% \$104.11 \$80.09  |
| SpringHill Suites by Marriott      | Manhattan Beach,<br>CA                        | Select<br>service                            | 164               | 100               | 164               | 88.92% \$152.10 \$135.24   |
| SpringHill Suites by Marriott      | Plymouth Meeting,<br>PA                       | Select<br>service                            | 199               | 100               | 199               | 72.73% \$118.70 \$86.33  |
| SpringHill Suites by Marriott      | Glen Allen, VA                                | Select<br>service                            | 136               | 100               | 136               | 66.34% \$101.06 \$67.05  |
| Fairfield Inn by Marriott          | Kennesaw, GA                                  | Select<br>service                            | 86                | 100               | 86                | 78.70% \$108.98 \$85.77  |
| Courtyard by Marriott              | Bloomington, IN                               | Select<br>service                            | 117               | 100               | 117               | 65.52% \$133.77 \$87.64  |
| Courtyard by Marriott -<br>Tremont | Boston, MA                                    | Select<br>service                            | 315               | 100               | 315               | 76.94% \$223.06 \$171.63   |
| Courtyard by Marriott              | Columbus, IN                                  | Select<br>service                            | 90                | 100               | 90                | 70.33% \$100.34 \$70.57  |
| Courtyard by Marriott              | Denver, CO                                    | Select<br>service                            | 202               | 100               | 202               | 82.12% \$129.74 \$106.54   |
| Courtyard by Marriott              | Louisville, KY                                | Select<br>service                            | 150               | 100               | 150               | 77.30% \$140.09 \$108.28   |
| Courtyard by Marriott              | Gaithersburg, MD                              | Select<br>service                            | 210               | 100               | 210               | 69.11% \$144.94 \$100.17   |
| Courtyard by Marriott              | Crystal City, VA                              | Select<br>service                            | 272               | 100               | 272               | 84.39% \$142.87 \$120.57   |
| Courtyard by Marriott              | Ft. Lauderdale, FL                            | Select<br>service                            | 174               | 100               | 174               | 75.67% \$126.79 \$95.95  |
| Courtyard by Marriott              | Overland Park, KS                             | Select<br>service                            | 168               | 100               | 168               | 66.04% \$116.37 \$76.85  |
| Courtyard by Marriott              | Savannah, GA                                  | Select<br>service                            | 156               | 100               | 156               | 84.85% \$143.92 \$122.11   |
| Courtyard by Marriott              | Foothill Ranch, CA                            |  | 156               | 100               | 156               | 76.13% \$131.13 \$99.83  |

|                                      |                         | Select            |     |     |     |                          |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-----|-----|-----|--------------------------|
|                                      |                         | service           |     |     |     |                          |
| Courtyard by Marriott                | Alpharetta, GA          | Select<br>service | 154 | 100 | 154 | 75.31% \$137.12 \$103.26 |
| Courtyard by Marriott                | Oakland, CA             | Select<br>service | 156 | 100 | 156 | 88.42% \$160.05 \$141.52 |
| Courtyard by Marriott                | Scottsdale, AZ          | Select<br>service | 180 | 100 | 180 | 77.77% \$113.78 \$88.49  |
| Courtyard by Marriott                | Plano, TX               | Select<br>service | 153 | 100 | 153 | 70.37% \$137.76 \$96.94  |
| Courtyard by Marriott                | Newark, CA              | Select<br>service | 181 | 100 | 181 | 79.38% \$163.00 \$129.39 |
| Courtyard by Marriott                | Manchester, CT          | Select<br>service | 90  | 85  | 77  | 75.74% \$130.88 \$99.12  |
| Courtyard by Marriott                | Basking Ridge, NJ       | Select<br>service | 235 | 100 | 235 | 68.32% \$193.71 \$132.34 |
| Courtyard by Marriott                | Wichita, KS             | Select<br>service | 128 | 100 | 128 | 77.15% \$123.45 \$95.23  |
| Courtyard by Marriott -<br>Billerica | Boston, MA              | Select<br>service | 210 | 100 | 210 | 66.93% \$139.57 \$93.41  |
| Homewood Suites                      | Pittsburgh, PA          | Select<br>service | 148 | 100 | 148 | 60.21% \$132.24 \$79.61  |
| Marriott Residence Inn               | Lake Buena Vista,<br>FL | Select<br>service | 210 | 100 | 210 | 75.97% \$125.99 \$95.71  |
| Marriott Residence Inn               | Evansville, IN          | Select<br>service | 78  | 100 | 78  | 79.69% \$124.23 \$99.00  |
| Marriott Residence Inn               | Orlando, FL             | Select<br>service | 350 | 100 | 350 | 86.29% \$121.51 \$104.85 |
| Marriott Residence Inn               | Falls Church, VA        | Select<br>service | 159 | 100 | 159 | 71.69% \$142.91 \$102.45 |
| Marriott Residence Inn               | San Diego, CA           | Select<br>service | 150 | 100 | 150 | 84.76% \$155.48 \$131.78 |
| Marriott Residence Inn               | Salt Lake City, UT      | Select<br>service | 144 | 100 | 144 | 75.44% \$117.06 \$88.31  |
| Marriott Residence Inn               | Las Vegas, NV           | Select<br>service | 256 | 100 | 256 | 86.30% \$119.43 \$103.07 |
| Marriott Residence Inn               | Phoenix, AZ             | Select<br>service | 200 | 100 | 200 | 80.22% \$114.70 \$92.01  |
| Marriott Residence Inn               | Plano, TX               | Select<br>service | 126 | 100 | 126 | 85.55% \$115.74 \$99.02  |
| Marriott Residence Inn               | Newark, CA              | Select<br>service | 168 | 100 | 168 | 86.64% \$173.25 \$150.10 |
| Marriott Residence Inn               | Manchester, CT          | Select<br>service | 96  | 85  | 82  | 83.97% \$138.81 \$116.56 |
| Marriott Residence Inn               | Jacksonville, FL        | Select<br>service | 120 | 100 | 120 | 85.00% \$120.98 \$102.83 |
| Marriott Residence Inn               | Stillwater, OK          | Select<br>service | 101 | 100 | 101 | 68.77% \$113.13 \$77.80  |
| Marriott Residence Inn               | Tampa, FL               | Select<br>service | 109 | 100 | 109 | 80.47% \$146.73 \$118.08 |
|                                      |                         |                   | 143 | 100 | 143 | 82.22% \$142.17 \$116.89 |

| TownePlace Suites by<br>Marriott<br>One Ocean<br>Sheraton Hotel | Manhattan Beach,<br>CA<br>Atlantic Beach, FL<br>Ann Arbor, MI | Select<br>service<br>Full service<br>Full service | <br>100<br>100 | 193<br>197 | 68.10% \$208.16 \$141.76<br>74.79% \$152.73 \$114.22 |
|---|---|---|----------------|------------|--|
| 37  |   |   |                |            |  |

## **Table of Contents**

| Hotel Property                 | Location         | Service Type | Total  | %     | Owned  | Year Ended December 31, 2016 |
|--------------------------------|------------------|--------------|--------|-------|--------|------------------------------|
|                                |                  |              | Rooms  | Owned | Rooms  | Occupan ADR RevPAR           |
| Sheraton Hotel                 | Langhorne, PA    | Full service | 186    | 100   | 186    | 70.90% \$119.84 \$84.97      |
| Sheraton Hotel                 | Minneapolis, MN  | Full service | 220    | 100   | 220    | 71.36% \$130.00 \$92.77      |
| Sheraton Hotel                 | Indianapolis, IN | Full service | 378    | 100   | 378    | 79.31% \$122.02 \$96.77      |
| Sheraton Hotel                 | Anchorage, AK    | Full service | 370    | 100   | 370    | 71.25% \$136.01 \$96.90      |
| Sheraton Hotel                 | San Diego, CA    | Full service | 260    | 100   | 260    | 82.26% \$134.77 \$110.87     |
| Hyatt Regency                  | Coral Gables, FL | Full service | 253    | 100   | 253    | 84.56% \$192.15 \$162.48     |
| Hyatt Regency                  | Hauppauge, NY    | Full service | 358    | 100   | 358    | 66.32% \$133.41 \$88.47      |
| Hyatt Regency                  | Savannah, GA     | Full service | 351    | 100   | 351    | 83.11% \$184.24 \$153.12     |
| Renaissance                    | Nashville, TN    | Full service | 673    | 100   | 673    | 84.40% \$234.12 \$197.61     |
| Crowne Plaza                   | Atlanta, GA      | Full service | 495    | 100   | 495    | 71.59% \$118.81 \$85.05      |
| Annapolis Historic Inn         | Annapolis, MD    | Full service | 124    | 100   | 124    | 62.99% \$153.99 \$97.00      |
| Lakeway Resort & Spa           | Austin, TX       | Full service | 168    | 100   | 168    | 62.22% \$175.58 \$109.25     |
| Silversmith                    | Chicago, IL      | Full service | 144    | 100   | 144    | 75.28% \$184.12 \$138.61     |
| The Churchill                  | Washington, DC   | Full service | 173    | 100   | 173    | 69.00% \$194.89 \$134.47     |
| The Melrose                    | Washington, DC   | Full service | 240    | 100   | 240    | 82.64% \$201.49 \$166.51     |
| Le Pavillon                    | New Orleans, LA  | Full service | 226    | 100   | 226    | 66.27% \$154.82 \$102.60     |
| The Ashton                     | Ft. Worth, TX    | Full service | 39     | 100   | 39     | 78.88% \$201.33 \$158.81     |
| Westin                         | Princeton, NJ    | Full service | 296    | 100   | 296    | 70.62% \$149.65 \$105.68     |
| W                              | Atlanta, GA      | Full service | 237    | 100   | 237    | 77.53% \$210.03 \$162.83     |
| W                              | Minneapolis, MN  | Full service | 229    | 100   | 229    | 84.60% \$207.63 \$175.65     |
| Le Meridien                    | Minneapolis, MN  | Full service | 60     | 100   | 60     | 85.10% \$218.24 \$185.72     |
| Hotel Indigo                   | Atlanta, GA      | Full service | 140    | 100   | 140    | 73.15% \$136.34 \$99.73      |
| Ritz-Carlton                   | Atlanta, GA      | Full service | 444    | 100   | 444    | 75.69% \$218.74 \$165.57     |
| <b>Ground Lease Properties</b> |                  |              |        |       |        |                              |
| Crown Plaza (1)                | Key West, FL     | Full service | 160    | 100   | 160    | 86.43 % \$276.62 \$239.09    |
| Crown Plaza (2)                | Annapolis, MD    | Full service | 196    | 100   | 196    | 50.69% \$109.34 \$55.43      |
| Hilton (3)                     | Ft. Worth, TX    | Full service | 294    | 100   | 294    | 76.77% \$161.64 \$124.09     |
| Renaissance (4)                | Palm Springs, CA | Full service | 410    | 100   | 410    | 68.75% \$153.74 \$105.70     |
| Renaissance (5) (6)            | Portsmouth, VA   | Full service | 249    | 100   | 249    | 71.69% \$103.43 \$74.15      |
| Total                          |                  |              | 26,013 |       | 25,986 | 76.99% \$155.63 \$119.83     |

<sup>(1)</sup> The ground lease expires in 2084.

<sup>(2)</sup> The ground lease expires in 2114.

<sup>(3)</sup> The ground lease expires in 2040.

<sup>(4)</sup> The ground lease expires in 2059.

<sup>(5)</sup> The ground lease expires in 2049.

<sup>(6)</sup> These hotel properties were held for sale as of December 31, 2016. See note 6 to the consolidated financial statements.

#### **Table of Contents**

#### Item 3. Legal Proceedings

Palm Beach Florida Hotel and Office Building Limited Partnership, et al. v. Nantucket Enterprises, Inc. This litigation involves a landlord tenant dispute from 2008 in which the landlord, Palm Beach Florida Hotel and Office Building Limited Partnership, a subsidiary of the Company, claimed that the tenant had violated various lease provisions of the lease agreement and was therefore in default. The tenant counterclaimed and asserted multiple claims including that it had been wrongfully evicted. The litigation was instituted by the plaintiff in November 2008 in the Circuit Court of the Fifteenth Judicial Circuit, in and for Palm Beach County, Florida and proceeded to a jury trial on June 30, 2014. The jury entered its verdict awarding the tenant total claims of \$10.8 million and ruling against the landlord on its claim of breach of contract. A final judgment was entered and the landlord filed an appeal with the 4th District Court of Appeals in Florida. As a result of the jury verdict, we recorded the \$10.8 million judgment, pre and post-judgment interest of \$707,000 and accrued a reasonable estimate of \$400,000 of loss related to legal fees for the year ended December 31, 2014. For the year ended December 31, 2015, we recorded post-judgment interest of \$95,000. Both parties have fully briefed the Appeal and oral argument took place on May 31, 2016. The parties have agreed to table any hearings to establish attorney's fees until after the Court of Appeals decision. In December 2016, the 4th District Court of Appeals issued a decision which affirmed the wrongful eviction judgment and reversed certain other judgments. The Court of Appeals also ruled that the plaintiff was entitled to pre-judgment interest on the wrongful eviction judgment. The decision reduced the original \$10.8 million judgment to \$8.8 million and added pre-judgment interest on the wrongful eviction judgment resulting in a total loss as of December 31, 2016, including the judgment and pre and post-judgment interest, of \$12.8 million, or \$13.2 million including a reasonable estimate of \$400,000 for legal fees, which is included in accounts payable and accrued expenses on our consolidated balance sheet. On January 11, 2017, we filed a motion for rehearing, rehearing en banc (meaning rehearing by the entire Appellate Court) as well as certification of the issues. On March 7, 2017, the Appellate Court denied our motions. We are currently evaluating our options for further appeal to the Florida Supreme Court.

For the year ended December 31, 2016, we recorded expense of \$1.2 million, which included the revised judgment and pre and post-judgment interest. The charges are included in "other" hotel expenses in the consolidated statements of operations.

We are engaged in other various legal proceedings which have arisen but have not been fully adjudicated. The likelihood of loss from these legal proceedings, based on definitions within contingency accounting literature, ranges from remote to reasonably possible and to probable. Based on estimates of the range of potential losses associated with these matters, management does not believe the ultimate resolution of these proceedings, either individually or in the aggregate, will have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position or results of operations. However, the final results of legal proceedings cannot be predicted with certainty and if we fail to prevail in one or more of these legal matters, and the associated realized losses exceed our current estimates of the range of potential losses, our consolidated financial position or results of operations could be materially adversely affected in future

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not Applicable

**PART II** 

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters, and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

(a) Market Price of and Dividends on Registrant's Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters Market Price and Dividend Information

Our common stock is listed and traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "AHT." On March 14, 2017, there were 370 registered holders of record of our common stock. In order to comply with certain requirements related to our qualification as a REIT, our charter limits the number of shares of capital stock that may be owned by any single person or affiliated group without our permission to 9.8% of the outstanding shares of any class of our capital stock. We are aware of one Section 13G filer that presently holds in excess of 9.8% of our outstanding common shares, but our board of directors has granted a waiver which provides this holder with an exception to our ownership restrictions.

#### **Table of Contents**

The following table sets forth, for the indicated periods, the high and low sales prices for our common stock as traded on that exchange and cash distributions declared per share of common stock. The sales prices have not been adjusted for the impact of the Ashford Inc. spin-off. See Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations for additional information on the spin-off transaction.

|                                   | First   | Second  | Third   | Fourth  |
|-----------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
|                                   | Quarter | Quarter | Quarter | Quarter |
| 2016                              |         |         |         |         |
| High                              | \$6.40  | \$6.40  | \$ 7.16 | \$ 7.91 |
| Low                               | 4.15    | 4.79    | 5.10    | 5.35    |
| Close                             | 6.38    | 5.37    | 5.89    | 7.76    |
| Cash dividends declared per share | 0.12    | 0.12    | 0.12    | 0.12    |
| 2015                              |         |         |         |         |
| High                              | \$11.05 | \$10.18 | \$ 9.25 | \$ 7.51 |
| Low                               | 9.52    | 7.77    | 6.01    | 5.85    |
| Close                             | 9.62    | 8.46    | 6.10    | 6.31    |
| Cash dividends declared per share | 0.12    | 0.12    | 0.12    | 0.12    |

For the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, we declared and paid dividends of \$0.48 per share, paid at a rate of \$0.12 per share per quarter. In December 2016, the board of directors approved our dividend policy for 2017, and we expect to pay a quarterly dividend of \$0.12 per share for 2017. The adoption of a dividend policy does not commit our board of directors to declare future dividends or the amount thereof. The board of directors will continue to review our dividend policy on a quarterly basis. We may incur indebtedness to meet distribution requirements imposed on REITs under the Internal Revenue Code to the extent that working capital and cash flow from our investments are insufficient to fund required distributions. We may elect to pay dividends on our common stock in cash or a combination of cash and shares of securities as permitted under federal income tax laws governing REIT distribution requirements. To maintain our qualification as a REIT, we intend to make annual distributions to our stockholders of at least 90% of our REIT taxable income, excluding net capital gains (which does not necessarily equal net income as calculated in accordance with GAAP). Distributions will be authorized by our board of directors and declared by us based upon a variety of factors deemed relevant by our directors. Our ability to pay distributions to our stockholders will depend, in part, upon our receipt of distributions from our operating partnership. This, in turn, may depend upon receipt of lease payments with respect to our properties from indirect, wholly-owned subsidiaries of our operating partnership and the management of our properties by our property managers.

## **Table of Contents**

#### Characterization of Distributions

For income tax purposes, distributions paid consist of ordinary income, capital gains, return of capital or a combination thereof. Distributions paid per share were characterized as follows for the following fiscal years:

|                            | 2016           |        |   | 2015            |        |    | 2014            |       |    |
|----------------------------|----------------|--------|---|-----------------|--------|----|-----------------|-------|----|
|                            | Amount         | %      |   | Amount          | %      |    | Amount          | %     |    |
| Common Stock (cash):       |                |        |   |                 |        |    |                 |       |    |
| Ordinary income            | \$             | _      | % | \$              | _      | %  | \$              |       | %  |
| Capital gain               |                | _      |   |                 |        |    |                 | _     |    |
| Return of capital          | 0.4800 (1)     | 100.00 |   | $0.4800^{-(1)}$ | 100.00 | )  | $0.4800^{-(1)}$ | 100.0 | 0  |
| Total                      | \$0.4800       | 100.00 | % | \$0.4800        | 100.00 | )% | \$0.4800        | 100.0 | 0% |
| Common Stock (stock):      |                |        |   |                 |        |    |                 |       |    |
| Ordinary income            | \$             | _      | % | <b>\$</b> —     |        | %  | <b>\$</b> —     | _     | %  |
| Capital gain               |                |        |   |                 |        |    |                 | _     | %  |
| Return of capital          |                |        |   | 0.6099          | 100.00 | )  | 0.6437          | 100.0 | 0% |
| Total                      | \$             | _      | % | \$0.6099        | 100.00 | )% | \$0.6437        | 100.0 | 0% |
| Preferred Stock – Series A | <b>\:</b>      |        |   |                 |        |    |                 |       |    |
| Ordinary income            | \$             | _      | % | <b>\$</b> —     |        | %  | <b>\$</b> —     | _     | %  |
| Capital gain               |                | _      |   | 1.8277 (1)      | 85.51  |    |                 | _     |    |
| Return of capital          | $2.1376^{(1)}$ | 100.00 |   | 0.3098 (1)      | 14.49  |    | 1.6031 (1)      | 100.0 | 0  |
| Total                      | \$2.1376       | 100.00 | % | \$2.1375        | 100.00 | )% | \$1.6031        | 100.0 | 0% |
| Preferred Stock – Series D | ):             |        |   |                 |        |    |                 |       |    |
| Ordinary income            | \$             | _      | % | <b>\$</b> —     |        | %  | <b>\$</b> —     | _     | %  |
| Capital gain               |                | _      |   | 1.8064 (1)      | 85.51  |    |                 | _     |    |
| Return of capital          | $2.1124^{(1)}$ | 100.00 |   | 0.3061 (1)      | 14.49  |    | 1.5844 (1)      | 100.0 | 0  |
| Total                      | \$2.1124       | 100.00 | % | \$2.1125        | 100.00 | )% | \$1.5844        | 100.0 | 0% |
| Preferred Stock – Series E | <b>:</b> :     |        |   |                 |        |    |                 |       |    |
| Ordinary income            | \$             | _      | % | <b>\$</b> —     |        | %  | <b>\$</b> —     | _     | %  |
| Capital gain               |                | _      |   | 1.9239 (1)      | 85.51  |    |                 | _     |    |
| Return of capital          | 1.6875 (1)     | 100.00 |   | $0.3261^{-(1)}$ | 14.49  |    | 1.6875 (1)      | 100.0 | 0  |
| Total                      | \$1.6875       | 100.00 | % | \$2.2500        | 100.00 | )% | \$1.6875        | 100.0 | 0% |
| Preferred Stock – Series F | <b>':</b>      |        |   |                 |        |    |                 |       |    |
| Ordinary income            | \$             | _      | % | <b>\$</b> —     |        | %  | <b>\$</b> —     | _     | %  |
| Capital gain               |                |        |   |                 |        |    |                 | _     |    |
| Return of capital          | 0.3995 (1)     | 23.67  |   |                 | _      |    |                 | _     |    |
| Total                      | \$0.3995       | 23.67  | % | <b>\$</b> —     | _      | %  | <b>\$</b> —     |       | %  |
|                            |                |        |   |                 |        |    |                 |       |    |

The fourth quarter 2014 preferred and common distributions paid January 15, 2015 are treated as 2015 distributions for tax purposes. The fourth quarter 2015 common distributions paid January 15, 2016 are treated as 2016 distributions for tax purposes. The fourth quarter 2016 preferred and common distributions paid January 17, 2017 are treated as 2017 distributions for tax purposes.

#### **Table of Contents**

#### **Equity Compensation Plan Information**

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to securities authorized and available for issuance under our equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2016:

|  | Number of Securities to be Issued<br>Upon Exercise of<br>Outstanding Options, Warrants and<br>Rights | Weighted-Average<br>Exercise Price<br>Of Outstanding<br>Options, Warrants,<br>And Rights | Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance |
|--|--|--|--|
| Equity compensation plans approved by security holders     | None   | N/A  | 2,121,263 (1)  |
| Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders | None   | N/A  | None   |
| Total  | None   | N/A  | 2,121,263  |

As of December 31, 2016, there were 2,121,263 shares of our common stock, or securities convertible into (1) 2,121,263 shares of our common stock that remained available for issuance under our Amended and Restated 2011 Stock Incentive Plan.

#### Performance Graph

The following graph compares the percentage change in the cumulative total stockholder return on our common stock with the cumulative total return of the S&P 500 Stock Index and the FTSE NAREIT Lodging & Resorts Index for the period from December 31, 2011 through December 31, 2016, assuming an initial investment of \$100 in stock on December 31, 2011 with reinvestment of dividends. The NAREIT Lodging Resorts Index is not a published index; however, we believe the companies included in this index provide a representative example of enterprises in the lodging resort line of business in which we engage. Stockholders who wish to request a list of companies in the FTSE NAREIT Lodging & Resorts Index may send written requests to Ashford Hospitality Trust, Inc., Attention: Stockholder Relations, 14185 Dallas Parkway, Suite 1100, Dallas, Texas 75254.

#### **Table of Contents**

The stock price performance shown below on the graph is not necessarily indicative of future price performance. COMPARISON OF 5 YEAR CUMULATIVE TOTAL RETURN

Among Ashford Hospitality Trust, Inc., the S&P Index and the FTSE NAREIT Lodging & Resorts Index Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer

The following table provides the information with respect to purchases of shares of our common stock during each of the months in the fourth quarter of 2016:

| Period                    | Total<br>Number<br>of<br>Shares<br>Purchased | Averag<br>Price P<br>Per Sha | aid  | Total Number of<br>Shares Purchased as<br>Part of Publicly<br>Announced Plan <sup>(2)</sup> | Maximum Dollar<br>Value of Shares That<br>May Yet Be Purchased<br>Under the Plan |
|---------------------------|--|------------------------------|------|---|--|
| Common stock:             |  |                              |      |   |  |
| October 1 to October 31   | 1,194  | 1) \$                        | _(3) | _   | \$ 200,000,000   |
| November 1 to November 30 | 731  | 1)                           | (3)  | _   | 200,000,000  |
| December 1 to December 31 | 10,850                                       | 1)                           | (3)  | _   | 200,000,000  |
| Total                     | 12,775                                       | \$                           |      | _   |  |

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes shares that were repurchased when former employees of Ashford LLC, who held restricted shares of our common stock, forfeited the shares upon termination of employment.

In September 2011, our board of directors announced the reinstatement of our 2007 share repurchase program and authorized an increase in repurchase plan authorization from the remaining \$58.4 million to \$200.0 million. The

<sup>(2)</sup> plan provides for: (i) the repurchase of shares of our common stock, Series A preferred stock, Series D preferred stock and Series E preferred stock, and /or (ii) discounted purchases of outstanding debt obligations, including debt secured by hotel assets. No shares of common or preferred stock have been repurchased under this program since September 2011and none are authorized for purchase without further authorization from our board of directors.

<sup>(3)</sup> There is no cost associated with the forfeiture of restricted shares of our common stock.

## **Table of Contents**

#### Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The following sets forth our selected consolidated financial and operating information on a historical basis and should be read together with "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and our consolidated financial statements and notes thereto, which are included in "Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data."

|   |                 | Year End<br>2016 | led ] | December 2015 | r 31,<br>2014 | 2013                                    | 2012      |    |
|---|-----------------|------------------|-------|---------------|---------------|---|-----------|----|
|   |                 |                  | ands  |               | per share am  |   | 2012      |    |
| Statements of Operations Data:                |                 | (                |       | ·,            | r             | , |           |    |
| Total revenue                                 |                 | \$1,492,04       | 43    | \$1,336,9     | 966 \$794,84  | 9 \$939,527                             | \$919,65  | 57 |
| Total operating expenses                      |                 | 1,336,339        |       | 1,199,05      |               |   | 805,542   |    |
| Operating income (loss)                       |                 | 155,704          |       | 137,915       |               | 116,897                                 | 114,115   |    |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations      |                 | (58,782          | )     | 305,813       | (41,731       |   | ) (58,760 |    |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operation     | S               |                  |       |               | 33            | , , ,                                   | ) (3,448  | )  |
| Net income (loss) attributable to the Comp    |                 | (46,285          | )     | 270,939       | (31,401       |   | (53,780   |    |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common      | -               | (88,681          | )     | 236,977       | (65,363       |   | (87,582   |    |
| Diluted income (loss) per common share:       |                 |                  |       |               | •             |   |           |    |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations a    | attributable to | 0 0005           | `     | ¢2.25         | ¢ (O 75       | ) \$ (1.00                              | ) ¢(1.26  | \  |
| common stockholders                           |                 | \$(0.95)         | )     | \$2.35        | \$(0.75       | ) \$(1.00                               | ) \$(1.26 | )  |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operation     | s attributable  | to               |       |               |               |   | (0.04     | \  |
| common stockholders                           |                 | _                |       |               | <del></del>   | _                                       | (0.04     | )  |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common      | stockholders    | \$(0.95          | )     | \$2.35        | \$(0.75       | ) \$(1.00                               | \$(1.30)  | )  |
| Weighted average diluted common shares        |                 | 94,426           |       | 114,881       | 87,622        | 75,155                                  | 67,533    |    |
|   | December 3      | 1,               |       |               |               |   |           |    |
|   | 2016            | 2015             | 20    | 14            | 2013          | 2012                                    |           |    |
|   | (in thousand    | ls)              |       |               |               |   |           |    |
| Balance Sheets Data:                          |                 |                  |       |               |               |   |           |    |
| Investments in hotel properties, net          | \$4,160,563     | \$4,419,684      | \$2   | ,128,611      | \$2,164,389   | \$2,872,304                             |           |    |
| Cash and cash equivalents                     | 347,091         | 215,078          |       | 5,063         | 128,780       | 185,935                                 |           |    |
| Restricted cash                               | 144,014         | 153,680          |       | ,830          | 61,498        | 84,786                                  |           |    |
| Notes receivable                              | _               | 3,746            |       | 553           | 3,384         | 11,331                                  |           |    |
| Total assets                                  |                 | 4,965,131        |       | 770,110       | 2,668,973     | 3,450,507                               |           |    |
| Indebtedness, net                             | 3,723,559       | 3,840,617        |       | 943,133       | 1,810,900     | 2,325,188                               |           |    |
| Total stockholders' equity of the Company     |                 | 811,086          |       | 1,633         | 617,789       | 831,942                                 |           |    |
|   |                 | nded Decem       | ıber  |               |               |   |           |    |
|   | 2016            | 2015             |       | 2014          | 2013          | 2012                                    |           |    |
|   | (in thou        | isands, exce     | pt p  | er share a    | amounts)      |   |           |    |
| Other Data:                                   |                 |                  |       |               |               |   |           |    |
| Cash provided by (used in) operating activ    |                 |                  |       | \$111,31      |               |   |           |    |
| Cash provided by (used in) investing activity |                 |                  |       |               | 5) (353,998   |   |           |    |
| Cash provided by (used in) financing activ    |                 | ) 644,604        | 1     | 182,209       | 151,386       | (43,863)                                |           |    |
| Cash dividends declared per common share      |                 | 0.48             | _     | 0.48          | 0.48          | 0.44                                    |           |    |
| EBITDA (unaudited) (1)                        | 410,825         | •                |       | 290,469       | 314,526       | 317,035                                 |           |    |
| Funds From Operations (FFO) (unaudited)       | 129,532         | 2 132,863        | 3     | 85,097        | 95,523        | 84,209                                  |           |    |

A more detailed description and computation of FFO and EBITDA is contained in the "Non-GAAP Financial

<sup>(1)</sup> Measures" section of Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in Item 7.

#### **Table of Contents**

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW

General

We believe that industry fundamentals continue to show RevPAR growth through 2017, albeit at a slower pace. We will continue to seek ways to benefit from the cyclical nature of the hotel industry.

As of December 31, 2016, we owned 123 consolidated hotel properties, including 121 (two that are held for sale) hotel properties directly owned, and two hotel properties owned through a majority-owned investment in a consolidated entity, which represents 26,013 total rooms, or 25,986 net rooms excluding those attributable to our partner. Currently, all of our hotel properties are located in the United States.

On February 27, 2014, we announced that our board of directors had approved a plan to spin-off our asset management business into a separate publicly traded company in the form of a taxable special distribution. The spin-off was completed on November 12, 2014, with a pro-rata taxable distribution of Ashford Inc.'s common stock to our stockholders of record as of November 11, 2014. The distribution was comprised of one share of Ashford Inc. common stock for every 87 shares of our common stock held by our stockholders. In addition for each common unit of our operating partnership the holder received a common unit of the operating limited liability company subsidiary of Ashford Inc. Each holder of common units of the operating limited liability company of Ashford Inc. could exchange up to 99% of those units for shares of Ashford Inc. stock at the rate of one share of Ashford Inc. common stock for every 55 common units of the operating limited liability company subsidiary of Ashford Inc. The distribution was made on November 12, 2014. Following the spin-off, we continue to hold approximately 598,000 shares of Ashford Inc. common stock, which represents an approximate 30% ownership interest in Ashford Inc. at December 31, 2016. In connection with the spin-off we entered into an advisory agreement with Ashford Inc. Based on our primary business objectives and forecasted operating conditions, our current key priorities and financial strategies include, among other things:

acquisition of hotel properties that will be accretive to our portfolio;

disposition of non-core hotel properties;

pursuing capital market activities to enhance long-term stockholder value;

preserving capital, enhancing liquidity, and continuing current cost-saving measures;

• implementing selective capital improvements designed to increase profitability;

implementing effective asset management strategies to minimize operating costs and increase revenues;

financing or refinancing hotels on competitive terms;

utilizing hedges and derivatives to mitigate risks; and

making other investments or divestitures that our board of directors deems appropriate.

In June 2015, our board of directors modified our investment strategy to focus predominantly on full-service hotels in the upscale and upper-upscale segments in domestic and international markets that have revenue per available room ("RevPAR") generally less than twice the national average. The change in our investment strategy was made in conjunction with our announcement that we plan to sell the vast majority of our select-service hotel portfolio over time as market conditions warrant and values are supported. We believe that as supply, demand, and capital market cycles change, we will be able to shift our investment strategy to take advantage of new lodging-related investment opportunities as they may develop. Our board of directors may change our investment strategy at any time without stockholder approval or notice.

## RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

In February 2016, the Four Seasons hotel property in Nevis was sold. No gain or loss was recognized associated with our 14.4% subordinated beneficial interest. As a result of the sale, we have no ownership interest in the hotel property as of December 31, 2016.

On December 2, 2015, we refinanced three mortgage loans totaling \$273.5 million. The initial amount of the new loan was \$375.0 million. On March 1, 2016, we increased the loan amount by \$37.5 million. The loan balance is now \$412.5 million, which is interest only and provides for a floating interest rate of LIBOR + 5.52%. The stated maturity is December 2017, with four one-year extension options. The new loan is secured by 17 hotel properties. The

SpringHill Suites in Jacksonville, Florida is now unencumbered.

#### **Table of Contents**

In March 2016, the Company invested \$2.0 million in an unconsolidated entity, OpenKey, that is controlled and consolidated by Ashford Inc., for a 12.2% ownership interest. On October 4, 2016, we invested an additional \$322,000 in OpenKey, resulting in a 13.34% total ownership interest.

On April 14, 2016, Ashford OP General Partner LLC, a Delaware limited liability company and wholly-owned subsidiary of Ashford Trust, as general partner of Ashford Trust OP, and Ashford OP Limited Partner LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, as a limited partner of Ashford Trust OP, entered into that certain Seventh Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Ashford Hospitality Limited Partnership (the "Amended Partnership Agreement"). The Amended Partnership Agreement was amended to, among other things: incorporate Amendment No. 1 to the Sixth Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Ashford Hospitality Limited Partnership dated November 12, 2014, which adjusted the conversion factor used by the Company to determine the number of shares of Company common stock issuable, at the option of the Company, upon the exercise of a redemption right by a limited partner of Ashford Trust OP and related provisions, including definitions (the "Conversion Factor");

incorporate Amendment No. 2 to the Sixth Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Ashford Hospitality Limited Partnership dated July 20, 2015, which specifically provided for the distribution of common units of Ashford Hospitality Prime Limited Partnership to the common unitholders of Ashford Trust OP; add a provision regarding new federal income tax partnership audit matters as a result of tax legislation enacted in December 2015; and

clarify the computation of the Conversion Factor.

On June 1, 2016, the Company sold a 5-hotel portfolio of select-service hotel properties for approximately \$142.0 million in cash. The sale resulted in a gain of \$22.8 million which is included in "gain (loss) on acquisition of PIM Highland JV and sale of hotel properties" in the consolidated statements of operations for the year ended December 31, 2016. The portfolio is comprised of the Courtyard Edison in Edison, New Jersey; the Residence Inn Buckhead in Atlanta, Georgia; the Courtyard Lake Buena Vista, the Fairfield Inn Lake Buena Vista and the SpringHill Suites Lake Buena Vista in Orlando, Florida (the "Noble Five Hotels").

On July 6, 2016, the Company agreed to issue 4.8 million shares of our 7.375% Series F Cumulative Preferred Stock (the "Series F Preferred Stock"). The Series F Preferred Stock ranks senior to all classes or series of the Company's common stock and future junior securities, on a parity with each series of the Company's outstanding preferred stock (our 8.55% Series A Cumulative Preferred Stock, 8.45% Series D Cumulative Preferred Stock and 7.375% Series G Cumulative Preferred Stock (the "Series G Preferred Stock" noted below), and with any future parity securities and junior to future senior securities and to all of the Company's existing and future indebtedness, with respect to the payment of dividends and the distribution of amounts upon liquidation, dissolution or winding up of the Company's affairs. Dividends on the Series F Preferred Stock accrue in the amount of \$1.84375 per share each year, which is equivalent to 7.375% of the \$25.00 liquidation preference per share of Series F Preferred Stock. Dividends on the Series F Preferred Stock are payable quarterly in arrears on the 15th day of January, April, July and October of each year (or, if not on a business day, on the next succeeding business day). The first dividend on the Series F Preferred Stock sold in this offering was paid on October 17, 2016 in the amount of \$0.39948 per share. Closing of the issuance and sale of the Series F Preferred Stock occurred on July 13, 2016. The Company received net proceeds from the offering of approximately \$115.8 million after deducting underwriting discounts, advisory fees and commissions and estimated offering expenses payable by the Company.

On August 8, 2016, the Company redeemed its 9.000% Series E Cumulative Preferred Stock at a redemption price of \$25.00 per share, plus accrued and unpaid dividends to, but not including, the redemption date, in an amount equal to \$0.23125 per share, for a total redemption price of \$25.2313 per share.

On September 1, 2016, the Company sold the Hampton Inn Gainesville for approximately \$26.5 million in cash. The sale resulted in a gain of \$1.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 and is included in "gain (loss) on acquisition of PIM Highland JV and sale of hotel properties" in the consolidated statements of operations. On September 13, 2016, we acquired a 100% interest in the land underlying the Marriott San Antonio hotel in San Antonio, Texas for total consideration of \$2.0 million in cash. Upon purchase of the land underlying the Marriott San Antonio, we wrote-off approximately \$564,000 of an intangible asset associated with the related below market lease.

On October 1, 2016, the Company completed the sale of the SpringHill Suites Gaithersburg for approximately \$13.2 million. The consideration received from the sale was a combination of cash and approximately 2.0 million Class B common units of the Company's operating partnership. The Class B operating partnership units were redeemed at a price of \$5.74 per unit, or a price of \$6.05 per common share after taking into account the current conversion factor. The Company also paid off approximately \$10.4 million of debt associated with the property.

#### **Table of Contents**

On October 7, 2016, the Company completed the sale of the Courtyard Palm Desert and the Residence Inn Palm Desert in Palm Desert, California ("Palm Desert Hotels") for approximately \$36 million. The consideration received from the sale was a combination of cash and assumption of approximately \$23.8 million of mortgage debt associated with the hotel properties. The sale resulted in a gain of \$7.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 and is included in "gain (loss) on acquisition of PIM Highland JV and sale of hotel properties" in the consolidated statements of operations.

On October 7, 2016, the Company refinanced four mortgage loans with existing outstanding balances totaling approximately \$415.1 million with a new loan totaling \$450.0 million. The mortgage loans were refinanced through one new mortgage loan with a two-year initial term and four one-year extension options, subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions. The mortgage loan is interest only, provides for a floating interest rate of LIBOR + 4.55%, and contains flexible release provisions for the potential sale of assets. The mortgage loan is secured by eighteen hotel properties: Courtyard Basking Ridge, Courtyard Newark, Courtyard Oakland, Courtyard Plano, Courtyard Scottsdale, Residence Inn Newark, Residence Inn Phoenix, Residence Inn Plano, SpringHill Suites Glen Allen, SpringHill Suites Manhattan Beach, SpringHill Suites Plymouth Meeting, TownePlace Suites Manhattan Beach, Embassy Suites Flagstaff, Marriott Bridgewater, Marriott Raleigh Durham, Marriott Suites Dallas, Sheraton Bucks County, and Marriott Fremont.

On October 13, 2016, the Company agreed to issue 6.0 million shares of Series G Preferred Stock. On October 17, 2016, the underwriters exercised the over-allotment option to purchase an additional 200,000 shares of the Series G Preferred Stock. The Series G Preferred Stock ranks senior to all classes or series of the Company's common stock and future junior securities, on a parity with each series of the Company's outstanding preferred stock (our 8.55% Series A Cumulative Preferred Stock, 8.45% Series D Cumulative Preferred Stock and Series F Cumulative Preferred Stock) and with any future parity securities and junior to future senior securities and to all of the Company's existing and future indebtedness, with respect to the payment of dividends and the distribution of amounts upon liquidation, dissolution or winding up of the Company's affairs. Dividends on the Series G Preferred Stock accrue in the amount of \$1.8438 per share each year, which is equivalent to 7.375% of the \$25.00 liquidation preference per share of Series G Preferred Stock. Dividends on the Series G Preferred Stock are payable quarterly in arrears on the 15th day of January, April, July and October of each year (or, if not on a business day, on the next succeeding business day). The first dividend on the Series G Preferred Stock sold in this offering was paid on January 17, 2017 in the amount of \$0.3739 per share.

Closing of the issuance and sale of the Series G Preferred Stock occurred on October 18, 2016. The Company received net proceeds from the offering of approximately \$149.8 million, including proceeds from the exercise of the over-allotment option by the underwriters, after deducting underwriting discounts, advisory fees and commissions and estimated offering expenses payable by the Company.

In October 2016, our secured revolving credit facility expired. We did not draw on the secured revolving credit facility while it was outstanding. Cash flows from operations, capital market activities and property refinancing proceeds have provided sufficient liquidity throughout the term of the secured revolving credit facility. Accordingly, the absence of a credit facility is not expected to have a significant impact on our liquidity.

On January 19, 2017, AHT SMA, LP, a Delaware limited partnership ("Client") and a wholly-owned subsidiary of Ashford Trust entered into an Investment Management Agreement (the "Agreement") with Ashford Investment Management, LLC ("AIM"), a subsidiary of Ashford Inc., to manage all or a portion of Ashford Trust's excess cash (the "Account"). Pursuant to the Agreement, Client retained and appointed AIM as the investment manager of Client. The Agreement will govern the relationship between Client and AIM, as well as grant AIM certain rights, powers and duties to act on behalf of Client. AIM will not be compensated by Client for its services under the Agreement. Client bears all costs and expenses of the establishment and ongoing maintenance of the Account as well as all costs and expenses of AIM.

On February 1, 2017, the Company completed the sale of the Renaissance hotel in Portsmouth, Virginia for approximately \$9.2 million. The carrying value of the land, building and furniture, fixtures and equipment was approximately \$8.8 million at December 31, 2016.

On February 20, 2017, the board of directors of the Company appointed Mr. Douglas A. Kessler as Chief Executive Officer of the Company, effective February 21, 2017. Also on February 20, 2017, Mr. Monty J. Bennett ceased to serve as the Company's Chief Executive Officer. Mr. Bennett remains the Chairman of the Board.

In connection with the appointment of Mr. Kessler as Chief Executive Officer of the Company, the Company and Mr. Kessler entered into a Restricted Stock Award Agreement (the "Award Agreement"), pursuant to which Mr. Kessler will receive 359,477 shares of Restricted Stock (as defined in the Award Agreement).

On February 21, 2017, the Company announced a proposal to acquire all of the outstanding shares of common stock of FelCor Lodging Trust Incorporated ("FelCor") comprised of a fixed exchange ratio of 1.192 shares of Ashford Trust common

#### **Table of Contents**

stock for one share of FelCor common stock, 400,000 shares of Ashford Inc. common stock and 100,000 warrants to purchase shares of Ashford Inc. common stock.

On March 2, 2017, we invested an additional \$650,000 in OpenKey, resulting in a 15.35% total ownership interest. On March 6, 2017, the Company completed the sale of the Embassy Suites in Syracuse, New York for approximately \$8.8 million. The carrying value of the land, building and furniture, fixtures and equipment was approximately \$8.4 million at December 31, 2016.

On March 7, 2017, AIM REHE Funds GP, LP ("AIM GP"), the general partner of the AQUA U.S. Fund, provided written notice to Ashford Trust of its election to dissolve the AQUA U.S. Fund pursuant to Section 6.1(a) of the Second Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of the AQUA U.S. Fund as of March 31, 2017 (the "Dissolution Date").

The balance of Ashford Trust's capital account in the AQUA U.S. Fund, less an audit hold-back of 5%, will be distributed in cash on the Dissolution Date, and thereafter Ashford Trust will cease to be a limited partner of the AQUA U.S. Fund. The balance will be paid (without interest) promptly following the completion of the audits of the AQUA U.S. Fund's and the Master Fund's financial statements for the period January 1, 2017 through March 31, 2017, which is expected to be on or before June 30, 2017.

#### LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Our cash position from operations is affected primarily by macro industry movements in occupancy and rate as well as our ability to control costs. Further, interest rates can greatly affect the cost of our debt service as well as the value of any financial hedges we may put in place. We monitor industry fundamentals and interest rates very closely. Capital expenditures above our reserves will affect cash flow as well.

Certain of our loan agreements contain cash trap provisions that may get triggered if the performance of our hotels decline. When these provisions are triggered, substantially all of the profit generated by our hotels is deposited directly into lockbox accounts and then swept into cash management accounts for the benefit of our various lenders. Cash is distributed to us only after certain items are paid, including deposits into ground leasing and maintenance reserves and the payment of debt service, insurance, taxes, operating expenses, and extraordinary capital expenditures and ground leasing expenses. This could affect our liquidity and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders. Also, we have entered into certain customary guaranty agreements pursuant to which we guaranty payment of any recourse liabilities of our subsidiaries or joint ventures that may result from non-recourse carve-outs, which include, but are not limited to fraud, misrepresentation, willful misconduct resulting in waste, misappropriations of rents following an event of default, voluntary bankruptcy filings, unpermitted transfers of collateral, and certain environmental liabilities. Certain of these guarantees represent a guaranty of material amounts, and if we are required to make payments under those guarantees, our liquidity could be adversely affected.

In September 2011, we entered into an at-the-market ("ATM") program with an investment banking firm, pursuant to which we may issue up to 700,000 shares of 8.55% Series A Cumulative Preferred Stock and up to 700,000 shares of 8.45% Series D Cumulative Preferred Stock at market prices up to \$30.0 million in total proceeds. While the ATM program remains in effect until such time that either party elects to terminate or the share or dollar thresholds are reached, we cannot issue shares under the ATM program until such time as a new prospectus is filed with the SEC. Through December 31, 2016, we have issued 169,306 shares of 8.55% Series A Cumulative Preferred Stock for gross proceeds of \$4.2 million and 501,909 shares of 8.45% Series D Cumulative Preferred Stock for gross proceeds of \$12.3 million. During the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, no shares were issued under this ATM program.

On December 2, 2015, we refinanced three mortgage loans totaling \$273.5 million. The initial amount of the new loan was \$375.0 million. On March 1, 2016, we increased the loan amount by \$37.5 million. The loan balance is now \$412.5 million, which is interest only and provides for a floating interest rate of LIBOR + 5.52%. The stated maturity is December 2017, with four one-year extension options. The new loan is secured by 17 hotel properties. The SpringHill Suites in Jacksonville, Florida is now unencumbered.

On July 6, 2016, the Company agreed to issue 4.8 million shares of Series F Preferred Stock. The Series F Preferred Stock ranks senior to all classes or series of the Company's common stock and future junior securities, on a parity with each series of the Company's outstanding preferred stock (the 8.55% Series A Cumulative Preferred Stock, 8.45%

Series D Cumulative Preferred Stock and 7.375% Series G Cumulative Preferred Stock (noted below)), and with any future parity securities and junior to future senior securities and to all of the Company's existing and future indebtedness, with respect to the payment of dividends and the distribution of amounts upon liquidation, dissolution or winding up of the Company's affairs. Dividends on the Series F Preferred Stock accrue in the amount of \$1.84375 per share each year, which is equivalent to 7.375% of the \$25.00 liquidation preference

#### **Table of Contents**

per share of Series F Preferred Stock. Dividends on the Series F Preferred Stock are payable quarterly in arrears on the 15th day of January, April, July and October of each year (or, if not on a business day, on the next succeeding business day). The first dividend on the Series F Preferred Stock sold in this offering was paid on October 17, 2016 in the amount of \$0.39948 per share. Closing of the issuance and sale of the Series F Preferred Stock occurred on July 13, 2016. The Company received net proceeds from the offering of approximately \$115.8 million after deducting underwriting discounts, advisory fees and commissions and estimated offering expenses payable by the Company. On August 8, 2016, the Company redeemed its 9.000% Series E Cumulative Preferred Stock at a redemption price of \$25.00 per share, plus accrued and unpaid dividends to, but not including, the redemption date, in an amount equal to \$0.23125 per share, for a total redemption price of \$25.2313 per share.

On October 7, 2016, the Company refinanced four mortgage loans with existing outstanding balances totaling approximately \$415.1 million with a new loan totaling \$450.0 million. The mortgage loans were refinanced through one new mortgage loan with a two-year initial term and four one-year extension options, subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions. The mortgage loan is interest only, provides for a floating interest rate of LIBOR + 4.55%, and contains flexible release provisions for the potential sale of assets. The mortgage loan is secured by eighteen hotel properties: Courtyard Basking Ridge, Courtyard Newark, Courtyard Oakland, Courtyard Plano, Courtyard Scottsdale, Residence Inn Newark, Residence Inn Phoenix, Residence Inn Plano, SpringHill Suites Glen Allen, SpringHill Suites Manhattan Beach, SpringHill Suites Plymouth Meeting, TownePlace Suites Manhattan Beach, Embassy Suites Flagstaff, Marriott Bridgewater, Marriott Raleigh Durham, Marriott Suites Dallas, Sheraton Bucks County, and Marriott Fremont.

On October 13, 2016, the Company agreed to issue 6.0 million shares of the Series G Preferred Stock. On October 17, 2016, the underwriters exercised the over-allotment option to purchase an additional 200,000 shares of the Series G Preferred Stock. The Series G Preferred Stock ranks senior to all classes or series of the Company's common stock and future junior securities, on a parity with each series of the Company's outstanding preferred stock (the 8.55% Series A Cumulative Preferred Stock, 8.45% Series D Cumulative Preferred Stock and 7.375% Series F Cumulative Preferred Stock) and with any future parity securities and junior to future senior securities and to all of the Company's existing and future indebtedness, with respect to the payment of dividends and the distribution of amounts upon liquidation, dissolution or winding up of the Company's affairs. Dividends on the Series G Preferred Stock accrue in the amount of \$1.8438 per share each year, which is equivalent to 7.375% of the \$25.00 liquidation preference per share of Series G Preferred Stock, Dividends on the Series G Preferred Stock are payable quarterly in arrears on the 15th day of January, April, July and October of each year (or, if not on a business day, on the next succeeding business day). The first dividend on the Series G Preferred Stock sold in this offering was paid on January 17, 2017 in the amount of \$0.3739 per share. Closing of the issuance and sale of the Series G Preferred Stock occurred on October 18, 2016. The Company received net proceeds from the offering of approximately \$149.8 million, including proceeds from the exercise of the over-allotment option by the underwriters, after deducting underwriting discounts, advisory fees and commissions and estimated offering expenses payable by the Company.

In October 2016, our secured revolving credit facility expired. We did not draw on the secured revolving credit facility while it was outstanding. Cash flows from operations, capital market activities and property refinancing proceeds have provided sufficient liquidity throughout the term of the secured revolving credit facility. Accordingly, the absence of a credit facility is not expected to have a significant impact on our liquidity.

Sources and Uses of Cash

Our principal sources of funds to meet our cash requirements include: cash on hand, positive cash flow from operations, capital market activities, property refinancing proceeds and asset sales. Additionally, our principal uses of funds are expected to include possible operating shortfalls, owner-funded capital expenditures, dividends, new investments, and debt interest and principal payments. Items that impacted our cash flow and liquidity during the periods indicated are summarized as follows:

Net Cash Flows Provided by (Used in) Operating Activities. Net cash flows provided by operating activities, pursuant to our consolidated statements of cash flows, which includes changes in balance sheet items, were \$175.1 million and \$209.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. Cash flows from operations were impacted by changes in hotel operations, the operating results of our 2016 and 2015 hotel acquisitions and

dispositions, as well as changes in restricted cash due to the timing of cash deposits for certain loans as well as the timing of collecting receivables from hotel guests, paying vendors, settling with related parties and settling with hotel managers.

Net Cash Flows Provided by (Used in) Investing Activities. For the year ended December 31, 2016, investing activities used net cash flows of \$7.9 million, which consisted of cash outflows of \$204.0 million for capital improvements made to various hotel properties, \$3.3 million for (i) the purchase of the land underlying the San Antonio Marriott; (ii) an interest in a permanent exclusive docking easement, a leasehold interest and certain floating docks on riverfront land located in front of the Hyatt Savannah; and (iii) a WorldQuest condominium unit, a \$2.3 million investment in OpenKey and \$30,000 for franchise agreements. These outflows

#### **Table of Contents**

were partially offset by inflows of \$183.4 million attributable to net cash proceeds received from the sale of the Noble Five Hotels, the Hampton Inn Gainesville, SpringHill Suites Gaithersburg, the Palm Desert hotel properties and a vacant lot associated with Le Pavillon, \$12.3 million of reductions in restricted cash for capital expenditures, \$4.2 million of cash payments received on a previously impaired mezzanine loan and \$1.9 million of proceeds from property insurance. For the year ended December 31, 2015, investing activities used net cash flows of \$853.5 million, which primarily consisted of cash outflows of \$735.0 million primarily attributable the purchase of the Lakeway Resort, Memphis Marriott, Hampton Inn Gainesville, Le Pavillon, the Rockbridge Portfolio, W Atlanta, Le Meridien Minneapolis, Hilton Garden Inn - Wisconsin Dells, Indigo Atlanta, W Minneapolis and the remaining approximate 28.26% interest in the PIM Highland JV hotel properties, \$175.2 million for capital improvements made to various hotel properties and \$568,000 for franchise agreements. These outflows were partially offset by inflows of \$41.4 million of reductions in restricted cash for capital expenditures, \$7.7 million attributable to cash proceeds received from the sale of the Hampton Inn in Terre Haute, Indiana and a WorldQuest condominium unit, \$7.5 million of key money proceeds from a franchisor related to the extension of a certain franchise agreement, \$385,000 of proceeds from property insurance and \$245,000 of cash payments received on a previously impaired mezzanine loan. Net Cash Flows Provided by (Used in) Financing Activities. For the year ended December 31, 2016, net cash flows used in financing activities were \$34.2 million. Cash outflows consisted of \$559.0 million for repayments of indebtedness, \$115.8 million for the redemption of preferred stock, \$91.5 million for dividend and distribution payments to common and preferred stockholders and unitholders, \$20.2 million for payments of loan costs and exit fees, \$729,000 for the repurchase of common stock and \$199,000 of payments for derivatives. Cash outflows were partially offset by cash inflows of \$487.5 million in borrowings on indebtedness and \$265.6 million from issuance of preferred stock. For the year ended December 31, 2015, net cash flows provided by financing activities were \$644.6 million. Cash inflows consisted primarily of \$2.3 billion in borrowings on indebtedness and proceeds of \$110.9 million from issuance of common stock associated with our equity offering. Cash inflows were partially offset by cash outlays primarily consisting of \$1.6 billion for repayments of indebtedness, \$91.3 million for dividend payments to common and preferred stockholders and unitholders, \$52.3 million for repurchase of common stock, \$48.0 million for payments of loan costs and exit fees and \$2.2 million of payments for derivatives.

We are required to maintain certain financial ratios under various debt and derivative agreements. If we violate covenants in any debt or derivative agreement, we could be required to repay all or a portion of our indebtedness before maturity at a time when we might be unable to arrange financing for such repayment on attractive terms, if at all. Presently, our existing financial debt covenants primarily relate to maintaining minimum net worth and leverage ratios and liquidity. As of December 31, 2016, we were in compliance in all material respects with all covenants or other requirements set forth in our debt and related agreements.

Mortgage and mezzanine loans are nonrecourse to the borrowers, except for customary exceptions or carve-outs that trigger recourse liability to the borrowers in certain limited instances. Recourse obligations typically include only the payment of costs and liabilities suffered by lenders as a result of the occurrence of certain bad acts on the part of the borrower. However, in certain cases, carve-outs could trigger recourse obligations on the part of the borrower with respect to repayment of all or a portion of the outstanding principal amount of the loans. We have entered into customary guaranty agreements pursuant to which we guaranty payment of any recourse liabilities of the borrowers that result from non-recourse carve-outs (which include, but are not limited to, fraud, misrepresentation, willful conduct resulting in waste, misappropriations of rents following an event of default, voluntary bankruptcy filings, unpermitted transfers of collateral, and certain environmental liabilities). In the opinion of management, none of these guaranty agreements, either individually or in the aggregate, are likely to have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, or financial condition.

Based on our current level of operations, management believes that our cash flow from operations and our existing cash balances will be adequate to meet upcoming anticipated requirements for interest and principal payments on debt, working capital, and capital expenditures for the next 12 months. With respect to upcoming maturities, we will continue to proactively address our 2017 and 2018 maturities. No assurances can be given that we will obtain additional financings or, if we do, what the amount and terms will be. Our failure to obtain future financing under favorable terms could adversely impact our ability to execute our business strategy. In addition, we may selectively

pursue debt financing on individual properties.

We are committed to an investment strategy where we will opportunistically pursue hotel-related investments as suitable situations arise. Funds for future hotel-related investments are expected to be derived, in whole or in part, from cash on hand, future borrowings under a credit facility or other loans, or proceeds from additional issuances of common stock, preferred stock, or other securities, asset sales, and joint ventures. However, we have no formal commitment or understanding to invest in additional assets, and there can be no assurance that we will successfully make additional investments. We may, when conditions are suitable, consider additional capital raising opportunities. Our existing hotel properties are mostly located in developed areas with competing hotel properties. Future occupancy, ADR, and RevPAR of any individual hotel could be materially and adversely affected by an increase in the number or quality of competitive hotel properties in its market area. Competition could also affect the quality and quantity of future investment opportunities.

#### **Table of Contents**

Dividend Policy. During each of the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014 our board of directors declared quarterly dividends of \$0.12 per share of outstanding common stock. In December 2016, the board of directors approved our 2017 dividend policy which anticipates a quarterly dividend payment of \$0.12 per share for 2017. However, the adoption of a dividend policy does not commit our board of directors to declare future dividends. The board of directors will continue to review our dividend policy on a quarterly basis. We may incur indebtedness to meet distribution requirements imposed on REITs under the Internal Revenue Code to the extent that working capital and cash flow from our investments are insufficient to fund required distributions. Alternatively, we may elect to pay dividends on our common stock in cash or a combination of cash and shares of securities as permitted under federal income tax laws governing REIT distribution requirements. We may pay dividends in excess of our cash flow.

#### **Table of Contents**

#### **RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

RevPAR is a commonly used measure within the hotel industry to evaluate hotel operations. RevPAR is defined as the product of the ADR charged and the average daily occupancy achieved. RevPAR does not include revenues from food and beverage or parking, telephone, or other guest services generated by the property. Although RevPAR does not include these ancillary revenues, it is generally considered the leading indicator of core revenues for many hotels. We also use RevPAR to compare the results of our hotels between periods and to analyze results of our comparable hotels (comparable hotels represent hotels we have owned for the entire year). RevPAR improvements attributable to increases in occupancy are generally accompanied by increases in most categories of variable operating costs. RevPAR improvements attributable to increases in ADR are generally accompanied by increases in limited categories of operating costs, such as management fees and franchise fees.

The following table summarizes the changes in key line items from our consolidated statements of operations for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014 (in thousands):

|  |            |                         |             |   |           |           | Favorable  | ;   |           |    |  |
|--|------------|-------------------------|-------------|---|-----------|-----------|------------|-----|-----------|----|--|
|  | Year Ende  | Year Ended December 31, |             |   |           | (Unfavora | ıbl        | le) |           |    |  |
|  |            |                         |             |   |           |           | Change     |     |           |    |  |
|  | 2016       |                         | 2015        |   | 2014      |           | 2016 to    |     | 2015 to   |    |  |
|  | 2010       |                         | 2013        |   | 2014      |           | 2015       |     | 2014      |    |  |
| Total revenue  | \$1,492,04 | 3                       | \$1,336,966 | ) | \$794,849 | )         | \$155,077  |     | \$542,11  | 7  |  |
| Total hotel expenses                                     | (938,399   | )                       | (840,244    | ) | (507,024  | )         | (98,155    | )   | (333,220  | )) |  |
| Property taxes, insurance and other                      | (73,457    | )                       | (65,301     | ) | (38,499   | )         | (8,156     | )   | (26,802   | )  |  |
| Depreciation and amortization                            | (243,863   | )                       | (210,410    | ) | (110,653  | )         | (33,453    | )   | (99,757   | )  |  |
| Impairment charges                                       | (17,816    | )                       | (19,511     | ) | 415       |           | 1,695      |     | (19,926   | )  |  |
| Gain (loss) on insurance settlements                     |            |                         |             |   | 5         |           | _          |     | (5        | )  |  |
| Transaction costs  | (77        | )                       | (6,252      | ) | (625      | )         | 6,175      |     | (5,627    | )  |  |
| Advisory service fee                                     | (54,361    | )                       | (43,023     | ) | (4,533    | )         | (11,338    | )   | (38,490   | )  |  |
| Corporate general and administrative                     | (8,366     | )                       | (14,310     | ) | (57,243   | )         | 5,944      |     | 42,933    |    |  |
| Operating income (loss)                                  | 155,704    |                         | 137,915     |   | 76,692    |           | 17,789     |     | 61,223    |    |  |
| Equity in earnings (loss) of unconsolidated entities     | (6,110     | )                       | (6,831      | ) | 2,495     |           | 721        |     | (9,326    | )  |  |
| Interest income  | 331        |                         | 90          |   | 62        |           | 241        |     | 28        |    |  |
| Gain (loss) on acquisition of PIM Highland JV and sale   | 31,599     |                         | 380,752     |   |           |           | (349,153   | `   | 380,752   |    |  |
| of hotel properties                                      | 31,399     |                         | 360,732     |   |           |           | (349,133   | ,   | 360,732   |    |  |
| Other income (expense)                                   | (4,517     | )                       | (864        | ) | 6,573     |           | (3,653     | )   | (7,437    | )  |  |
| Interest expense and amortization of loan costs          | (223,967   | )                       | (187,514    | ) | (114,502  | )         | (36,453    | )   | (73,012   | )  |  |
| Write-off of premiums, loan costs and exit fees          | (12,702    | )                       | (5,750      | ) | (10,353   | )         | (6,952     | )   | 4,603     |    |  |
| Unrealized gain (loss) on marketable securities          | 4,946      |                         | 127         |   | (332      | )         | 4,819      |     | 459       |    |  |
| Unrealized gain (loss) on derivatives                    | (2,534     | )                       | (7,402      | ) | (1,100)   | )         | 4,868      |     | (6,302    | )  |  |
| Income tax benefit (expense)                             | (1,532     | )                       | (4,710      | ) | (1,266    | )         | 3,178      |     | (3,444    | )  |  |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations                 | (58,782    | )                       | 305,813     |   | (41,731   | )         | (364,595   | )   | 347,544   |    |  |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations               | _          |                         |             |   | 33        |           |            |     | (33       | )  |  |
| Gain (loss) on sale of hotel property, net of tax        | _          |                         | 599         |   | 3,491     |           | (599       | )   | (2,892    | )  |  |
| Net income (loss)  | (58,782    | )                       | 306,412     |   | (38,207   | )         | (365,194   | )   | 344,619   |    |  |
| (Income) loss from consolidated entities attributable to | 14         |                         | 30          |   | 406       |           | (16        | `   | (376      | )  |  |
| noncontrolling interests                                 | 17         |                         | 30          |   | 400       |           | (10        | ,   | (370      | ,  |  |
| Net (income) loss attributable to redeemable             | 12,483     |                         | (35,503     | ) | 6,400     |           | 47,986     |     | (41,903   | )  |  |
| noncontrolling interests in operating partnership        |            |                         |             | , |           |           |            |     |           |    |  |
| Net income (loss) attributable to the Company            | \$(46,285  | )                       | \$270,939   |   | \$(31,401 | )         | \$(317,224 | 1)  | \$302,340 | 0  |  |

#### **Table of Contents**

Comparison of Year Ended December 31, 2016 with Year Ended December 31, 2015

All hotel properties owned during the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 have been included in our results of operations during the respective periods in which they were owned. Based on when a hotel property was acquired or disposed, operating results for certain hotel properties are not comparable for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015. The hotel properties listed below are not comparable hotel properties for the periods indicated and all other hotel properties are considered comparable hotel properties. The following acquisitions and dispositions affect reporting comparability related to our consolidated financial statements:

| Location               | Acquisition/Disposition   | Acquisition/Disposition Date  |
|------------------------|---|---|
| Austin, TX             | Acquisition   | February 6, 2015  |
| Memphis, TN            | Acquisition   | February 25, 2015   |
| Various                | Acquisition   | March 6, 2015   |
| Gainesville, FL        | Acquisition   | April 29, 2015  |
| New Orleans, LA        | Acquisition   | June 3, 2015  |
| Various                | Acquisition   | June 17, 2015   |
| Atlanta, GA            | Acquisition   | July 1, 2015  |
| Minneapolis, MN        | Acquisition   | July 23, 2015   |
| Wisconsin Dells,<br>WI | Acquisition   | August 5, 2015  |
| Atlanta, GA            | Acquisition   | October 15, 2015  |
| Minneapolis, MI        | Acquisition   | November 10, 2015   |
| Various                | Disposition   | June 1, 2016  |
| Gainesville, FL        | Disposition   | September 1, 2016   |
| Gaithersburg, MD       | Disposition   | October 1, 2016   |
| Palm Desert, CA        | Disposition   | October 7, 2016   |
|                        | Austin, TX Memphis, TN Various Gainesville, FL New Orleans, LA Various Atlanta, GA Minneapolis, MN Wisconsin Dells, WI Atlanta, GA Minneapolis, MI Various Gainesville, FL Gaithersburg, MD | Austin, TX Memphis, TN Acquisition  Various Acquisition  Gainesville, FL New Orleans, LA Various Acquisition  Atlanta, GA Minneapolis, MN Acquisition  Atlanta, GA Acquisition  Acquisition  Acquisition  Minneapolis, MN Acquisition  Acquisition  Acquisition  Acquisition  Acquisition  Acquisition  Acquisition  Acquisition  Acquisition  Disposition  Gainesville, FL Disposition  Disposition  Disposition |

<sup>(1)</sup> Collectively reported as ("2015 Hotel Acquisitions")

The following table illustrates the key performance indicators of the hotel properties (including WorldQuest) included in our results of operations:

Year Ended
December 31,
2016
2015

RevPAR (revenue per available room) \$118.44 \$114.25
Occupancy
77.00 % 77.27 %
ADR (average daily rate) \$153.83 \$147.85

The following table illustrates the key performance indicators of the 78 hotel properties (including WorldQuest) that were included for the full years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively:

Year Ended
December 31,
2016 2015
RevPar \$118.21 \$113.52
Occupancy 78.86 % 78.21 %
ADR \$149.91 \$145.15

Net Income (Loss) Attributable to the Company. Net income (loss) attributable to the Company changed \$317.2 million, from net income of \$270.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 ("2015") to a net loss of \$46.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 ("2016") as a result of the factors discussed below.

<sup>(2)</sup> Collectively reported as ("2016 Hotel Dispositions")

#### **Table of Contents**

Revenue. Rooms revenue from our hotel properties increased \$121.2 million, or 11.4%, to \$1.2 billion during 2016 compared to 2015. We experienced an increase in rooms revenue of \$66.6 million as a result of the PIM Highland JV acquisition, \$53.5 million associated with the 2015 Hotel Acquisitions and \$27.1 million from our comparable hotel properties and WorldQuest, which experienced an increase of 65 basis points in occupancy and an increase of 3.3% in room rates. This increase was offset by lower revenue of \$26.0 million resulting from our 2016 Hotel Dispositions. Food and beverage revenue experienced an increase of \$26.1 million, or 11.5%, to \$253.2 million during 2016 compared to 2015. This increase is a result of \$20.2 million from the PIM Highland JV acquisition, \$10.0 million associated with the 2015 Hotel Acquisitions, offset by lower revenue of \$2.2 million from our comparable hotel properties and WorldQuest and \$1.5 million resulting from our 2016 Hotel Dispositions.

Other hotel revenue, which consists mainly of Internet access, parking, and spa, experienced an increase of \$8.2 million, or 16.8%, to \$56.9 million during 2016 compared to 2015. This increase is a result of \$3.3 million from the PIM Highland JV acquisition, \$5.4 million associated with the 2015 Hotel Acquisitions and \$270,000 from our comparable hotel properties and WorldOuest, offset by lower revenue of \$815,000 from our 2016 Hotel Dispositions. Other non-hotel revenue decreased \$414,000, or 19.2% to \$1.7 million during 2016 compared to 2015. The decrease in other non-hotel revenue is primarily attributable to the acquisition of the PIM Highland JV. Prior to the acquisition, we received expense reimbursements related to our managing the day-to-day operations and providing corporate administrative services such as accounting, insurance, marketing support, asset management, and other services. Hotel Operating Expenses. Hotel operating expenses increased \$98.2 million, or 11.7%, to \$938.4 million during 2016 compared to 2015. Hotel operating expenses consist of direct expenses from departments associated with revenue streams and indirect expenses associated with support departments and management fees. We experienced an increase in direct expenses of \$44.9 million in 2016 compared to 2015. The increase in direct expenses was comprised of \$29.5 million from the PIM Highland JV acquisition, \$20.0 million as a result of the 2015 Hotel Acquisitions and \$4.5 million from our comparable hotel properties and WorldOuest, offset by a decrease of \$9.1 million from our 2016 Hotel Dispositions. Direct expenses were 30.2% and 30.4% of total hotel revenue in 2016 and 2015, respectively. We experienced an increase in indirect expenses and management fees of \$53.3 million in 2016 compared to 2015, which was comprised of \$31.1 million from the PIM Highland JV acquisition, \$23.2 million from the 2015 Hotel Acquisitions and \$8.3 million from our comparable hotel properties and WorldQuest, offset by a decrease of \$9.4 million from our 2016 Hotel Dispositions. The increases from our comparable hotel properties and WorldOuest are attributable to higher hotel revenues at those properties.

Property Taxes, Insurance, and Other. Property taxes, insurance, and other increased \$8.2 million or 12.5%, to \$73.5 million during 2016 compared to 2015. The increase was comprised of \$3.7 million of property taxes, insurance, and other associated with the PIM Highland JV acquisition, \$5.0 million associated with the 2015 Hotel Acquisitions and \$1.6 million from our comparable hotel properties and WorldQuest. This increase was partially offset by \$1.7 million from our 2016 Hotel Dispositions.

Depreciation and Amortization. Depreciation and amortization increased \$33.5 million or 15.9%, to \$243.9 million during 2016 compared to 2015. The increase was primarily due to \$12.8 million of depreciation and amortization associated with the PIM Highland JV acquisition and \$14.7 million associated with the 2015 Hotel Acquisitions. The remaining increase of \$11.6 million is attributable to capital expenditures at our comparable hotel properties that have occurred since December 31, 2015. These increases were offset by a decrease of \$5.6 million from our 2016 Hotel Dispositions.

Impairment Charges. We recorded impairment charges of \$17.8 million and \$19.5 million in 2016 and 2015, respectively. We recorded impairment charges on the SpringHill Suites Gaithersburg, Embassy Suites Syracuse and Renaissance Portsmouth totaling \$18.3 million, offset by an impairment credit of \$500,000 in 2016 and on the SpringHill Suites Gaithersburg and Residence Inn Las Vegas totaling \$19.9 million, offset by an impairment credit of \$439,000 in 2015.

Transaction Costs. Transaction costs decreased \$6.2 million or 98.8%, to \$77,000 in 2016 compared to 2015. The decrease is primarily attributable to the costs related to the acquisitions of the PIM Highland JV, Lakeway Resort, Memphis Marriott, Hampton Inn Gainesville, Le Pavillon, Rockbridge Portfolio, W Atlanta, Le Meridien Minneapolis, Hilton Garden Inn - Wisconsin Dells, Indigo Atlanta and W Minneapolis in 2015.

Advisory Service Fee. Advisory services fees increased \$11.3 million or 26.4%, to \$54.4 million in 2016 compared to 2015, which represent fees paid in connection with our advisory agreement with Ashford Inc. For 2016, the advisory services fee comprised of a base advisory fee of \$34.6 million, reimbursable expenses of \$5.9 million, an incentive fee of \$5.4 million and equity-based compensation of \$8.4 million associated with equity grants of our common stock and LTIP units awarded to the officers and employees of Ashford Inc. in connection with providing advisory services. For 2015, the advisory services fee comprised of a base advisory fee of \$33.8 million, reimbursable expenses of \$6.5 million and equity-based compensation of \$2.7 million associated with equity grants of our common stock and LTIP units awarded to the officers and employees of Ashford Inc.

#### **Table of Contents**

Ashford Prime of \$874,000.

Corporate, General, and Administrative. Corporate, general, and administrative expenses decreased \$5.9 million, or 41.5%, to \$8.4 million during 2016 compared to 2015. The decrease was primarily attributable to \$5.4 million of transaction, acquisition and management conversion costs in the 2015, as well as lower public company costs, office expenses, professional fees and other miscellaneous expenses of approximately \$502,000 in 2016. Equity in Earnings (Loss) of Unconsolidated Entities. We recorded equity in loss of unconsolidated entities of \$6.1 million and \$6.8 million in 2016 and 2015, respectively. In 2016, we recorded equity in loss of \$5.1 million in AQUA U.S. Fund, \$743,000 in Ashford Inc. and \$305,000 in OpenKey. In 2015, we recorded equity in loss of \$3.4 million in

the AQUA U.S. Fund, \$3.8 million in PIM Highland JV and \$483,000 in Ashford Inc., offset by equity in earnings in

Interest Income. Interest income was \$331,000 and \$90,000 in 2016 and 2015, respectively.

Gain (Loss) on Acquisition of PIM Highland JV and Sale of Hotel Properties. Gain on acquisition of PIM Highland JV and sale of hotel properties was \$31.6 million and \$380.8 million for 2016 and 2015, respectively. The gain in 2016 was primarily related to our 2016 Hotel Dispositions, offset by a loss on the sale of a vacant lot associated with the Le Pavillon Hotel in New Orleans, Louisiana. See note 6 to the consolidated financial statements. The gain in 2015 was primarily related to the acquisition of the remaining interest in the PIM Highland JV in March 2015. Other Income (Expense). Other income (expense) increased \$3.7 million, or 422.8%, from a loss of \$864,000 during 2015 to a loss of \$4.5 million during 2016. In 2016 we recognized a realized loss of \$3.3 million related to the termination of CMBX tranches, \$313,000 related to the maturity of options on futures contracts, \$150,000 as a result of an investment write-off and \$872,000 related to CMBX premiums and usage fees. As a result of the contribution of certain marketable securities in consideration for an ownership interest in the AOUA U.S. Fund we no longer have realized gain or loss on marketable securities and dividend income. In 2015 prior to our contribution to the AQUA U.S. Fund we recognized a realized gain on marketable securities of \$1.9 million and dividend income of \$255,000. Interest Expense and Amortization of Loan Costs, Interest expense and amortization of loan costs increased \$36.5 million or 19.4%, to \$224.0 million during 2016 compared to 2015. The increase is primarily due to \$14.8 million of higher interest expense and amortization associated with the PIM Highland JV acquisition and refinance, higher interest expense and loan cost amortization as a result of new financings on the majority of the 2015 Hotel Acquisitions of \$12.5 million and higher interest expense and loan cost amortization of \$12.6 million as a result of refinances on our comparable hotel properties, offset by lower interest expense and amortization of loan costs of \$3.4 million resulting from our 2016 Hotel Dispositions. The average LIBOR rates in 2016 and 2015 were 0.45% and 0.20%, respectively.

Write-off of Loan Costs and Exit Fees. Write-off of loan costs and exit fees was \$12.7 million and \$5.8 million in 2016 and 2015, respectively. For 2016, we wrote-off unamortized loan costs of \$897,000 and incurred defeasance and other exit fees of \$11.8 million. For 2015, we wrote-off unamortized loan costs of \$122,000 and incurred defeasance and other exit fees of \$5.6 million.

Unrealized Gain (Loss) on Marketable Securities. Unrealized gain (loss) on marketable securities was a gain of \$4.9 million and \$127,000 in 2016 and 2015, respectively, which are based on changes in closing market prices during the period.

Unrealized Gain (Loss) on Derivatives. Unrealized gain (loss) on derivatives decreased \$4.9 million or 65.8%, to a loss of \$2.5 million during 2016 compared to 2015. In 2016, we had an unrealized gain of \$611,000 related to interest rate floors, a \$3.3 million unrealized gain associated with the recognition of the realized loss from CMBX tranche terminations and a \$313,000 unrealized gain associated with the maturity of an option on futures contract, offset by unrealized losses of \$5.8 million, \$348,000 and \$536,000 on the remaining CMBX tranches, options on futures contracts and interest rate caps, respectively. In 2015, we had unrealized losses consisting of \$7.6 million, \$2.0 million and \$391,000 related to interest rate floors, interest rate caps and options on futures contracts, respectively, offset by an unrealized gain of \$2.6 million on credit default swaps. The fair values of interest rate floors and interest rate derivatives are primarily based on movements in the LIBOR forward curve and the passage of time. The fair value of options on futures contracts is determined based on the last reported settlement price as of the measurement date. The fair value of credit default swaps is based on the change in value of CMBX indices.

Income Tax Benefit (Expense). Income tax benefit (expense) decreased \$3.2 million, or 67.5% from expense of \$4.7 million in 2015 to \$1.5 million during 2016. The decrease in income tax expense is primarily due to a decrease in profitability for our wholly owned TRS entities.

Gain (Loss) on Sale of Hotel Property, net of tax. Gain (loss) on sale of hotel properties, net of tax, was a gain of \$599,000 in 2015. We recognized a previously deferred gain of \$599,000 on the sale of the Pier House Resort as a result of the distribution of Ashford Prime OP common units to our stockholders and OP unitholders that eliminated our equity investment in Ashford Prime OP. See note 5 to our consolidated financial statements.

#### **Table of Contents**

(Income) Loss from Consolidated Entities Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests. Our noncontrolling interest partner in consolidated entities was allocated losses of \$14,000 and \$30,000 during 2016 and 2015, respectively.

Net (Income) Loss Attributable to Redeemable Noncontrolling Interests in Operating Partnership. Noncontrolling interests in our operating partnership were allocated their proportionate share of net loss of \$12.5 million and net income of \$35.5 million in 2016 and 2015, respectively. Redeemable noncontrolling interests represented ownership interests of 14.48% and 13.36% in the operating partnership at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

Comparison of Year Ended December 31, 2015 with Year Ended December 31, 2014

All hotel properties owned for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 have been included in our results of operations during the respective periods. Based on when a hotel property was acquired or disposed, operating results for certain hotel properties are not comparable for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014. The hotel properties listed below are not comparable hotel properties for the periods indicated and all other hotel properties are considered comparable hotel properties. The following acquisitions and dispositions affect reporting comparability related to our consolidated financial statements:

| Hotel Properties                              | Location               | Acquisition/Disposition | Acquisition/Disposition Date |
|---|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|
| Pier House Resort                             | Key West, FL           | Disposition             | March 1, 2014                |
| The Ashton (1)                                | Fort Worth, TX         | Acquisition             | July 18, 2014                |
| Marriott Fremont Silicon Valley (1)           | Fremont, CA            | Acquisition             | August 6, 2014               |
| Lakeway Resort & Spa (1)                      | Austin, TX             | Acquisition             | February 6, 2015             |
| Memphis Marriott East (1)                     | Memphis, TN            | Acquisition             | February 25, 2015            |
| PIM Highland JV (28.26% interest) (28 hotels) | Various                | Acquisition             | March 6, 2015                |
| Hampton Inn & Suites (1)                      | Gainesville, FL        | Acquisition             | April 29, 2015               |
| Le Pavillon Hotel (1)                         | New Orleans, LA        | Acquisition             | June 3, 2015                 |
| 9-hotel portfolio (1)                         | Various                | Acquisition             | June 17, 2015                |
| W Atlanta Downtown (1)                        | Atlanta, GA            | Acquisition             | July 1, 2015                 |
| Le Meridien Minneapolis (1)                   | Minneapolis, MN        | Acquisition             | July 23, 2015                |
| Hilton Garden Inn - Wisconsin Dells (1)       | Wisconsin Dells,<br>WI | Acquisition             | August 5, 2015               |
| Hotel Indigo Atlanta (1)                      | Atlanta, GA            | Acquisition             | October 15, 2015             |
| W Minneapolis Foshay (1)                      | Minneapolis, MI        | Acquisition             | November 10, 2015            |

<sup>(1)</sup> Collectively reported as ("New Hotel Acquisitions")

The following table illustrates the key performance indicators of the hotel properties (including WorldQuest) included in our results of operations:

Year Ended
December 31,
2015 2014

RevPAR (revenue per available room) \$114.25 \$102.30
Occupancy 77.27 % 76.25 %

ADR (average daily rate) \$147.85 \$134.16

#### **Table of Contents**

The following table illustrates the key performance indicators of the 85 hotel properties (including WorldQuest) that were included for the full years ended 2015 and 2014, respectively:

Year Ended
December 31,
2015 2014
\$109.78 \$101.9

 RevPar (revenue per available room)
 \$109.78
 \$101.98

 Occupancy
 78.11
 % 76.29
 %

 ADR (average daily rate)
 \$140.55
 \$133.68

Net Income (Loss) Attributable to the Company. Net income (loss) attributable to the Company increased \$302.3 million, from a net loss of \$31.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 ("2014") to net income of \$270.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 ("2015") as a result of the factors discussed below.

Revenue. Rooms revenue from our hotel properties increased \$418.7 million, or 65.4%, to \$1.1 billion during 2015 compared to 2014. We experienced an increase in rooms revenue of \$303.8 million as a result of the PIM Highland JV acquisition, \$74.1 million associated with the New Hotel Acquisitions and \$44.2 million from our remaining hotels and WorldOuest, which experienced an increase of 182 basis points in occupancy and an increase of 5.1% in room rates. These increases were offset by revenue of \$3.4 million from the Pier House Resort that was sold in 2014. Food and beverage revenue experienced an increase of \$114.4 million, or 101.5%, to \$227.1 million during 2015 compared to 2014. This increase is a result of \$93.1 million from the PIM Highland JV acquisition, \$16.7 million associated with the New Hotel Acquisitions and \$5.2 million from our remaining hotel properties and WorldQuest, offset by revenue of \$597,000 from the Pier House Resort that was sold in 2014. Other hotel revenue, which consists mainly of Internet access, parking, and spa, experienced an increase of \$21.7 million, or 80.6%, to \$48.7 million during 2015 compared to 2014. This increase is a result of \$13.1 million from the PIM Highland JV acquisition, \$4.9 million associated with the New Hotel Acquisitions and \$4.0 million from our remaining hotel properties and WorldOuest, offset by revenue of \$247,000 from the Pier House Resort that was sold in 2014. In the 2014, we recorded advisory services revenue of \$10.7 million from an agreement between Ashford LLC and Ashford Prime that was in place prior to the spin-off of Ashford, Inc. The advisory services revenue was comprised of a base advisory fee of \$7.5 million and reimbursable expenses of \$1.4 million. We also recorded advisory revenue for equity grants of Ashford Prime common stock and LTIP units awarded to our officers and employees of approximately \$1.8 million in connection with providing advisory services. Other non-hotel revenue decreased \$2.0 million, or 47.9%, to \$2.2 million during 2015 compared to 2014. The decrease in other non-hotel revenue is primarily attributable to the acquisition of the PIM Highland JV. Prior to the acquisition, we received expense reimbursements related to our managing the day-to-day operations and providing corporate administrative services such as accounting, insurance, marketing support, asset management, and other services.

Hotel Operating Expenses. Hotel operating expenses increased \$333.2 million, or 65.7%, to \$840.2 million during 2015 compared to 2014. Hotel operating expenses consist of direct expenses from departments associated with revenue streams and indirect expenses associated with support departments and management fees. We experienced increases of \$168.6 million in direct expenses and \$164.6 million in indirect expenses and management fees in 2015. The increase in direct expenses was comprised of \$127.6 million from the PIM Highland JV acquisition, \$30.5 million as a result of the New Hotel Acquisitions and \$10.5 million from our remaining hotel properties and WorldQuest. The increase in indirect expenses was comprised of \$129.1 million from the PIM Highland JV acquisition, \$32.3 million from the New Hotel Acquisitions and \$3.2 million from our remaining hotel properties and WorldQuest. Direct expenses were 30.4% and 30.3% of total hotel revenue for 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Property Taxes, Insurance, and Other. Property taxes, insurance, and other increased \$26.8 million or 69.6%, to \$65.3 million during 2015 compared to 2014. The increase was primarily due to \$21.0 million of property taxes, insurance, and other associated with the PIM Highland JV acquisition, \$5.1 million associated with the New Hotel Acquisitions and \$929,000 from our remaining hotel properties and WorldQuest. This increase was partially offset by \$297,000 from the Pier House Resort that was sold in 2014.

Depreciation and Amortization. Depreciation and amortization increased \$99.8 million or 90.2%, to \$210.4 million during 2015 compared to 2014. The increase was primarily due to \$69.8 million of depreciation and amortization

associated with the PIM Highland JV acquisition and \$16.4 million associated with the New Hotel Acquisitions. The remaining increase of \$13.9 million is attributable to capital expenditures that have occurred since December 31, 2014. These increases were offset by lower depreciation of \$344,000 as a result of the sale of the Pier House Resort. Impairment Charges. We recorded impairment charges of \$19.5 million and impairment credits of \$415,000 in 2015 and 2014, respectively. In 2015 the amount was comprised of an impairment charge on two hotel properties totaling \$19.9 million, offset by a \$439,000 impairment credit related to valuation adjustments on a previously impaired mezzanine loan.

#### **Table of Contents**

Transaction Costs. Transaction costs increased \$5.6 million or 900.3%, to \$6.3 million in 2015 compared to 2014. The increase is primarily attributable to costs related to the acquisitions of the PIM Highland JV, Lakeway Resort, Memphis Marriott, Hampton Inn Gainesville, Le Pavillon, Rockbridge Portfolio, W Atlanta, Le Meridien Minneapolis, Hilton Garden Inn - Wisconsin Dells, Indigo Atlanta and W Minneapolis. In 2014, transaction costs were primarily attributable to the acquisition of the Ashton and Fremont hotels.

Advisory Service Fee. Advisory services fees increased \$38.5 million or 849.1%, to \$43.0 million in 2015 compared to 2014, which represent fees paid in connection with the advisory agreement between Ashford Inc. and us. For 2015, the advisory services fee comprised of a base advisory fee of \$33.8 million, reimbursable overhead and internal audit, insurance claims advisory and asset management services of \$6.5 million and equity-based compensation of \$2.7 million associated with equity grants of our common stock and LTIP units awarded to the officers and employees of Ashford Inc. For 2014, the advisory services fee comprised of a base advisory fee of \$4.0 million and reimbursable expenses of \$534,000.

Corporate, General, and Administrative. Corporate, general, and administrative expenses decreased \$42.9 million, or 75.0%, to \$14.3 million during 2015 compared to 2014. Other general and administrative expenses decreased \$24.3 million and non-cash equity-based compensation decreased \$18.6 million in 2015. The decrease in other general and administrative expenses was primarily attributable to salaries and benefits of \$23.4 million associated with the Ashford Inc. spin-off, as such expenses are no longer recognized with all employees moving to Ashford Inc. Additionally, non-cash equity-based compensation decreased \$18.6 million as a result of the Ashford Inc. spin-off. The remaining decrease is primarily attributable to lower office expenses, professional fees and other miscellaneous expenses totaling approximately \$3.5 million. These decreases were partially offset by \$2.5 million of transaction, acquisition and management conversion costs.

Equity in Earnings (Loss) of Unconsolidated Entities. We recorded equity in loss of unconsolidated entities of \$6.8 million and equity in earnings of \$2.5 million in 2015 and 2014, respectively. In 2015, we recorded equity in loss in Ashford Inc. of \$483,000, \$3.4 million in the REHE Fund and \$3.8 million in PIM Highland JV, offset by equity in earnings in Ashford Prime of \$874,000. In 2014, we recorded equity in earnings in Ashford Prime and in PIM Highland JV of \$258,000 and \$5.5 million, respectively, and equity in loss in Ashford Inc. of \$3.2 million. Interest Income. Interest income was \$90,000 and \$62,000 for 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Gain (Loss) on Acquisition of PIM Highland JV and Sale of Hotel Properties. Gain (loss) on acquisition of PIM Highland JV and sale of hotel properties was a gain of \$380.8 million for 2015. This gain is a result of remeasuring our equity interest in PIM Highland JV before the business combination of \$381.8 million and \$47,000 on the sale of a WorldQuest unit. The gain was offset by a loss of \$1.1 million on the sale of the Hampton Inn in Terre Haute, Indiana. No gain was recorded in 2014.

Other Income (Expense). Other income (expense) changed \$7.4 million, or 113.1%, from other income of \$6.6 million to other expense of \$864,000 during 2015 compared to 2014. The change in other income (expense) is primarily attributable to the contribution of certain marketable securities in consideration for an ownership interest in the AQUA U.S. Fund. As a result, we no longer have realized gain or loss on marketable securities and dividend income. For the period in 2015 prior to our contribution to the AQUA U.S. Fund, we recognized a realized gain on marketable securities of \$1.9 million and dividend income of \$255,000 compared to a realized gain on marketable securities of \$5.8 million and dividend income of \$789,000 in 2014. Additionally, we recognized a realized loss of \$2.5 million related to the termination of a CMBX tranche for the year ended December 31, 2015.

Interest Expense and Amortization of Loan Costs. Interest expense and amortization of loan costs increased \$73.0 million or 63.8%, to \$187.5 million during 2015 compared to 2014. The increase is primarily due to \$54.6 million of interest expense and amortization associated with the PIM Highland JV acquisition and refinance. The remaining increase is associated with higher loan cost amortization and interest expense as a result of new financings on the majority of the New Hotel Acquisitions of \$13.6 million and higher loan cost amortization and interest expense as a result of refinances on our remaining hotel properties of \$5.6 million. These expenses were offset by \$718,000 as a result of transferring the mortgage loan associated with the Pier House Resort as a result of its sale to Ashford Prime. The average LIBOR rates in 2015 and 2014 were 0.20% and 0.15%, respectively.

Write-off of Loan Costs and Exit Fees. Write-off of loan costs and exit fees decreased \$4.6 million, or 44.5%, to \$5.8 million during 2015 compared to 2014. The decrease is primarily attributable to six mortgage loans we refinanced and five hotel properties and a portfolio in which we obtained new financing or assumed debt. See note 9 to our consolidated financial statements. During 2015, we wrote-off unamortized loan costs of \$122,000 and incurred defeasance and exit fees of \$5.6 million. For the 2014 period, we refinanced three mortgage loans, including our \$135.0 million mortgage loan due May 2015, our \$102.3 million mortgage loan due December 2014, which had an outstanding balance of \$101.1 million, and our \$89.3 million mortgage loan due February 2016, which had an outstanding balance of \$88.5 million. The new loans total \$468.9 million. As a result we wrote-off the unamortized loan costs of \$209,000 and incurred defeasance and exit fees of \$8.1 million. Additionally, we refinanced our \$164.4 million loan due March 2014 with a \$200.0 million loan due February 2016. As a result, we wrote-off the unamortized loan costs

#### **Table of Contents**

of \$251,000 and incurred exit fees of \$397,000. We also wrote off loan costs of \$1.4 million associated with the Pier House Resort loan that was assumed by Ashford Prime.

Unrealized Gain (Loss) on Marketable Securities. Unrealized gain (loss) on marketable securities was an unrealized gain of \$127,000 and an unrealized loss of \$332,000 in 2015 and 2014, respectively, are based on changes in closing market prices during the period.

Unrealized Gain (Loss) on Derivatives. Unrealized gain (loss) on derivatives increased \$6.3 million or 572.9%, to a loss of \$7.4 million during 2015 compared to 2014. In 2015, we had losses consisting of \$7.6 million, \$2.0 million and \$391,000 related to interest rate floors, interest rate derivatives and futures contracts, respectively, offset by an unrealized gain of \$2.6 million on credit default swaps. In 2014, we had losses consisting of \$484,000 and \$616,000 related to interest rate derivatives and credit default swaps, respectively. The fair values of interest rate floors and interest rate derivatives are primarily based on movements in the LIBOR forward curve and the passage of time. The fair value of credit default swaps is based on the change in value of CMBX indices.

Income Tax Benefit (Expense). Income tax benefit (expense) increased \$3.4 million, or 272.0% to an expense of \$4.7 million during 2015 compared to 2014. The increase in income tax expense is primarily due to the acquisition of the remaining interest in the PIM Highland JV. Prior to the acquisition, the PIM Highland JV was accounted for under the equity method of accounting. After the acquisition, the PIM Highland JV became wholly-owned and income tax expense for its TRS is now included in consolidated income tax expense.

Income (Loss) from Discontinued Operations. Income (loss) from discontinued operations was income of \$33,000 in 2014 related to the sale of the Homewood Suites Mobile hotel in Mobile, Alabama in November 2014. There were no discontinued operations in the 2015.

Gain (Loss) on Sale of Hotel Property, net of tax. Gain (loss) on sale of hotel properties, net of tax, was a gain of \$599,000 and a gain of \$3.5 million in 2015 and 2014, respectively. In 2015, we recognized a previously deferred gain of \$599,000 on the sale of the Pier House Resort as a result of the final distribution of Ashford Prime OP common units to our stockholders and OP unitholders that eliminated our equity investment in Ashford Prime OP. In 2014, we recognized a gain of \$3.5 million in connection with the sale of the Pier House Resort to Ashford Prime. We deferred a portion of the gain of the Pier House Resort in the amount of \$599,000, in accordance with the applicable accounting guidance, as a result of our equity investment in Ashford Prime OP.

(Income) Loss from Consolidated Entities Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests. Noncontrolling interest partners in consolidated entities were allocated losses of \$30,000 and \$406,000 during 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Net (Income) Loss Attributable to Redeemable Noncontrolling Interests in Operating Partnership. Noncontrolling interests in our operating partnership were allocated their proportionate share of net income of \$35.5 million and net loss of \$6.4 million in 2015 and 2014, respectively. Redeemable noncontrolling interests represented ownership interests of 13.36% and 13.01% in the operating partnership at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

#### **INFLATION**

We rely entirely on the performance of our properties and the ability of the properties' managers to increase revenues to keep pace with inflation. Hotel operators can generally increase room rates rather quickly, but competitive pressures may limit their ability to raise rates faster than inflation. Our general and administrative costs, real estate and personal property taxes, property and casualty insurance, and utilities are subject to inflation as well.

### **SEASONALITY**

Our properties' operations historically have been seasonal as certain properties maintain higher occupancy rates during the summer months, while certain other properties maintain higher occupancy rates during the winter months. This seasonality pattern can cause fluctuations in our quarterly lease revenue under our percentage leases. We anticipate that our cash flows from the operations of our properties will be sufficient to enable us to make quarterly distributions to maintain our REIT status. To the extent that cash flows from operations are insufficient during any quarter due to temporary or seasonal fluctuations in lease revenue, we expect to utilize other cash on hand or borrowings to fund required distributions. However, we cannot make any assurances that we will make distributions in the future.

#### **OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS**

In the normal course of business, we form partnerships or joint ventures that operate certain hotels. We evaluate each partnership and joint venture to determine whether the entity is a Variable Interest Entity ("VIE"). If the entity is

#### **Table of Contents**

be a VIE, we assess whether we are the primary beneficiary and need to consolidate the entity. For further discussion of the company's VIEs, see notes 2 and 5 to our consolidated financial statements.

#### CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS AND COMMITMENTS

The table below summarizes our future obligations for principal and estimated interest payments on our debt, future minimum lease payments on our operating and capital leases with regard to our continuing operations and capital commitments, each as of December 31, 2016 (in thousands):

Payments Due by Period

|  | < 1 Year    | 1-3 Years | 3-5 Years | > 5 Years | Total       |
|--|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|
| Contractual obligations excluding extension options: |             |           |           |           |             |
| Long-term debt obligations                           | \$2,760,554 | \$677,077 | \$101,170 | \$234,803 | \$3,773,604 |
| Estimated interest obligations (1)                   | 113,816     | 56,723    | 30,174    | 28,183    | 228,896     |
| Operating lease obligations                          | 2,771       | 4,935     | 4,736     | 117,249   | 129,691     |
| Capital commitments                                  | 83,803      | _         | _         | _         | 83,803      |
| Total contractual obligations                        | \$2,960,944 | \$738,735 | \$136,080 | \$380,235 | \$4,215,994 |

<sup>(1)</sup> For variable interest rate indebtedness, interest obligations are estimated based on the LIBOR interest rate as of December 31, 2016.

In addition to the amounts discussed above, we also have management agreements which require us to pay monthly management fees, market service fees and other general fees, if required. These management agreements expire from 2017 through 2044. See note 13 to our consolidated financial statements.

#### CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Our accounting policies are fully described in note 2 to our consolidated financial statements included in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data. We believe that the following discussion addresses our most critical accounting policies, representing those policies considered most vital to the portrayal of our financial condition and results of operations and require management's most difficult, subjective, and complex judgments.

Investments in Hotel Properties, net—Hotel properties are generally stated at cost. However, four hotel properties contributed upon Ashford Trust's formation in 2003 are stated at the predecessor's historical cost, net of impairment charges, if any, plus a partial step-up related to the acquisition of noncontrolling interests from third parties associated with certain of these properties. For hotel properties owned through our majority-owned entities, the carrying basis attributable to the partners' minority ownership is recorded at the predecessor's historical cost, net of any impairment charges, while the carrying basis attributable to our majority ownership is recorded based on the allocated purchase price of our ownership interests in the entities. All improvements and additions that extend the useful life of the hotel properties are capitalized.

Impairment of Investments in Hotel Properties—Hotel properties are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that their carrying amounts may not be recoverable. Recoverability of the hotel is measured by comparison of the carrying amount of the hotel to the estimated future undiscounted cash flows, which take into account current market conditions and our intent with respect to holding or disposing of the hotel. If our analysis indicates that the carrying value of the hotel is not recoverable on an undiscounted cash flow basis, we recognize an impairment charge for the amount by which the property's net book value exceeds its estimated fair value, or fair value, less cost to sell. In evaluating impairment of hotel properties, we make many assumptions and estimates, including projected cash flows, expected holding period, and expected useful life. Fair value is determined through various valuation techniques, including internally developed discounted cash flow models, comparable market transactions and third-party appraisals, where considered necessary. We recorded impairment charges of \$18.3 million and \$19.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. See note 6 to our consolidated financial statements. No impairment charge was recorded for investments in hotel properties for the year ended December 31, 2014.

Depreciation and Amortization Expense—Depreciation expense is based on the estimated useful life of the assets, while amortization expense for leasehold improvements is based on the shorter of the lease term or the estimated useful life of the related assets. Presently, hotel properties are depreciated using the straight-line method over lives which range

from 7.5 to 39 years for buildings and improvements and 1.5 to 5 years for furniture, fixtures and equipment. While we believe our estimates are reasonable, a change in estimated lives could affect depreciation expense and net income (loss) as well as resulting gains or losses on potential hotel sales.

#### **Table of Contents**

Hotel Dispositions—Effective January 1, 2015, discontinued operations are defined as the disposal of components of an entity that represents strategic shifts that have (or will have) a major effect on an entity's operations and financial results. We believe that individual dispositions of hotel properties do not represent a strategic shift that has (or will have) a major effect on our operations and financial results as most will not fit the definition. This new guidance was implemented prospectively. As such, hotel property dispositions that occurred prior to December 31, 2014, will continue to be reported as discontinued operations in the statements of operations for all applicable periods presented. See note 6 to our consolidated financial statements.

Assets Held for Sale—We classify assets as held for sale when we have obtained a firm commitment from a buyer, and consummation of the sale is considered probable and expected within one year. The related operations of assets held for sale are reported as discontinued if the disposal is a component of an entity that represents a strategic shift that has (or will have) a major effect on our operations and cash flows. Depreciation and amortization will cease as of the date assets have met the criteria to be deemed held for sale.

Income Taxes—At December 31, 2016 and 2015, we recorded a valuation allowance of \$15.4 million and \$20.7 million, respectively. At each reporting date, we evaluate whether it is more likely than not that we will utilize all or a portion of our deferred tax assets. We consider all available positive and negative evidence, including historical results of operations, projected future taxable income, carryback potential and scheduled reversals of deferred tax liabilities. At December 31, 2016, we had net operating loss carryforwards for federal income tax purposes of \$29.7 million that begin to expire in 2029. The loss carryforwards may be available to offset future taxable income, if any, through 2034. Approximately \$10.1 million of the \$29.7 million of net operating loss carryforwards is attributable to acquired subsidiaries and subject to substantial limitation on its use. Management determined that it is more likely than not that as of December 31, 2016 \$15.4 million of our net deferred tax assets will not be realized, and a valuation allowance has been recorded accordingly. At December 31, 2016, Ashford Hospitality Trust, Inc., our REIT, had net operating loss carryforwards for federal income tax purposes of \$388.8 million, which begin to expire in 2023, and are available to offset future taxable income, if any, through 2035.

The "Income Taxes" topic of the Financial Accounting Standards Board's ("FASB") Accounting Standards Codification addresses the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise's financial statements. The guidance requires us to determine whether tax positions we have taken or expect to take in a tax return are more likely than not to be sustained upon examination by the appropriate taxing authority based on the technical merits of the positions. Tax positions that do not meet the more likely than not threshold would be recorded as additional tax expense in the current period. We analyze all open tax years, as defined by the statute of limitations for each jurisdiction, which includes the federal jurisdiction and various states. We classify interest and penalties related to underpayment of income taxes as income tax expense. We and our subsidiaries file income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction and various states and cities. Tax years 2012 through 2016 remain subject to potential examination by certain federal and state taxing authorities.

Investments in Unconsolidated Entities—Investments in entities in which we have ownership interests ranging from 13.3% to 96.6% at December 31, 2016, are accounted for under the equity method of accounting by recording the initial investment and our percentage of interest in the entities' net income/loss. We review the investments in our unconsolidated entities for impairment in each reporting period pursuant to the applicable authoritative accounting guidance. An investment is impaired when its estimated fair value is less than the carrying amount of our investment. Any impairment is recorded in equity earnings (loss) in unconsolidated entities. No such impairment was recorded for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014.

Our investments in certain unconsolidated entities are considered to be variable interests in the underlying entities. VIE's, as defined by authoritative accounting guidance, must be consolidated by a reporting entity if the reporting entity is the primary beneficiary because it has (i) the power to direct the VIE's activities that most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance, (ii) an implicit financial responsibility to ensure that a VIE operates as designed, and (iii) the obligation to absorb losses of the VIE or the right to receive benefits from the VIE. Because we do not have the power and financial responsibility to direct the unconsolidated entities' activities and operations, we are not considered to be the primary beneficiary of these entities on an ongoing basis and therefore such entities should not be consolidated. In evaluating VIEs, our analysis involves considerable management judgment and assumptions.

Derivative Instruments and Hedges—We use interest rate derivatives to hedge our risks and to capitalize on the historical correlation between changes in LIBOR (London Interbank Offered Rate) and RevPAR. Interest rate derivatives could include swaps, caps, floors and flooridors. We assess the effectiveness of each hedging relationship by comparing the changes in fair value or cash flows of the derivative hedging instrument with the changes in fair value or cash flows of the designated hedged item or transaction. We also use credit default swaps to hedge financial and capital market risk. All of our derivatives are subject to master- netting settlement arrangements and the credit default swaps are subject to credit support annexes. For credit default swaps, cash collateral is posted by us as well as our counterparty. We offset the fair value of the derivative and the obligation/right to return/reclaim cash collateral. We also purchase options on Eurodollar futures as a hedge against our cash flows. Eurodollar futures prices reflect market expectations for interest rates on three month Eurodollar deposits for specific dates in the future, and the final

#### **Table of Contents**

settlement price is determined by three month LIBOR on the last trading day. Options on Eurodollar futures provide the ability to limit losses while maintaining the possibility of profiting from favorable changes in the futures prices. As the purchaser, our maximum potential loss is limited to the initial premium paid for the Eurodollar option contracts, while our potential gain has no limit. These exchange-traded options are centrally cleared, and a clearinghouse stands in between all trades to ensure that the obligations involved in the trades are made good.

All derivatives are recorded at fair value in accordance with the applicable authoritative accounting guidance. Interest rate derivatives, credit default swaps and options on futures contracts are reported as "derivative assets, net" in the consolidated balance sheets. For interest rate derivatives, credit default swaps and options on futures contracts, changes in fair value and realized gains and losses are recognized in earnings as "unrealized gain (loss) on derivatives" and "other income (expense)", respectively, in the consolidated statements of operations. Accrued interest on interest rate derivatives is included in "accounts receivable, net" in the consolidated balance sheets.

#### RECENTLY ADOPTED ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

In August 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-15, Disclosure of Uncertainties about an Entity's Ability to Continue as a Going Concern ("ASU 2014-15"), to provide guidance on management's responsibility to perform interim and annual assessments of an entity's ability to continue as a going concern. ASU 2014-15 also requires certain disclosures if conditions or events raise substantial doubt about the entity's ability to continue as a going concern. ASU 2014-15 applies to all entities and is effective for annual periods ending after December 15, 2016, and interim periods thereafter, with early adoption permitted. We have adopted this standard effective January 1, 2016, and the adoption of this standard did not have any impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In February 2015, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standard Update ("ASU") 2015-02, Amendments to the Consolidation Analysis ("ASU 2015-02"). The ASU amends the consolidation guidance for VIEs and general partners' investments in limited partnerships and modifies the evaluation of whether limited partnerships and similar legal entities are VIEs or voting interest entities. The ASU is effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2015, with early adoption permitted. We have adopted this standard effective January 1, 2016, and the adoption of this standard did not have an impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

## RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers ("ASU 2014-09"). ASU 2014-09 is a comprehensive new revenue recognition model, which requires a company to recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to a customer in an amount that reflects the consideration the company expects to receive in exchange for those goods or services. The update will replace most existing revenue recognition guidance in U.S. GAAP when it becomes effective. In August 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-14, Revenue From Contracts With Customers (Topic 606): Deferral of the Effective Date, which defers the effective date to fiscal periods beginning after December 15, 2017. The FASB has also issued additional updates that further clarify the requirements of Topic 606 and provide implementation guidance. Early adoption is permitted for fiscal periods beginning after December 15, 2016. The standard permits the use of either the retrospective or cumulative effect transition method. We are in the initial stages of evaluating the effect of the standard on our consolidated financial statements, including as it pertains to accounting for real estate sales, and continue to evaluate the available transition methods. However, we have not yet selected a transition method. Based on our initial and ongoing assessment of ASU 2014-09, we do not currently believe there will be a material impact to the amount or timing of revenue recognition for rooms revenue, food and beverage revenue and other hotel revenue.

In January 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-01, Recognition and Measurement of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities ("ASU 2016-01"), which requires an entity to: (i) measure equity investments at fair value through net income, with certain exceptions; (ii) present in OCI the changes in instrument-specific credit risk for financial liabilities measured using the fair value option; (iii) present financial assets and financial liabilities by measurement category and form of financial asset; (iv) calculate the fair value of financial instruments for disclosure purposes based on an exit price and; (v) assess a valuation allowance on deferred tax assets related to unrealized losses of AFS debt securities in combination with other deferred tax assets. ASU 2016-01 provides an election to subsequently measure certain nonmarketable equity investments at cost less any impairment and adjusted for certain observable price

changes. It also requires a qualitative impairment assessment of such equity investments and amends certain fair value disclosure requirements. ASU 2016-01 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within those fiscal years. Certain provisions of ASU 2016-01 are eligible for early adoption. We do not expect that ASU 2016-01 will have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-02, Leases ("ASU 2016-02"). The new standard establishes a right-of-use ("ROU") model that requires a lessee to record a ROU asset and a lease liability on the balance sheet for all leases with terms longer than 12 months. Leases will be classified as either finance or operating, with classification affecting the pattern of expense

#### **Table of Contents**

recognition in the income statement. ASU 2016-02 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, including interim periods within those fiscal years. Early adoption is permitted. A modified retrospective transition approach is required for lessees for capital and operating leases existing at, or entered into after, the beginning of the earliest comparative period presented in the financial statements, with certain practical expedients available. The accounting for leases under which we are the lessor remains largely unchanged. While we are currently in the initial stages of assessing the impact that ASU 2016-02 will have on our consolidated financial statements, we expect the primary impact to our consolidated financial statements upon adoption will be the recognition, on a discounted basis, of our future minimum rentals due under noncancelable leases on our consolidated balance sheets resulting in the recording of right of use assets and lease obligations. Our current minimum commitments under noncancelable operating leases are disclosed in note 13 to our consolidated financial statements.

In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-07, Simplifying the Transition to the Equity Method of Accounting ("ASU 2016-07"), which simplifies the equity method of accounting by eliminating the requirement to retrospectively apply the equity method to an investment that subsequently qualifies for such accounting as a result of an increase in the level of ownership interest or degree of influence. ASU 2016-07 requires that unrealized holding gains or losses in accumulated other comprehensive income related to an available-for-sale security that becomes eligible for the equity method be recognized in earnings as of the date on which the investment qualifies for the equity method. ASU 2016-07 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim periods within those fiscal years. Early adoption is permitted. We are currently evaluating the impact that ASU 2016-07 will have on our consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

In June 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-13, Financial Instruments - Credit Losses (Topic 326): Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments ("ASU 2016-13"). The ASU sets forth an "expected credit loss" impairment model to replace the current "incurred loss" method of recognizing credit losses. The standard requires measurement and recognition of expected credit losses for most financial assets held. The ASU is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2019, including interim periods within those fiscal years. Early adoption is permitted for periods beginning after December 15, 2018. We are currently evaluating the impact that ASU 2016-13 will have on the consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

In August 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-15, Statement of Cash Flows (Topic 230): Classification of Certain Cash Receipts and Cash Payments - a consensus of the Emerging Issues Task Force ("ASU 2016-15"). The new guidance is intended to reduce diversity in practice in how certain transactions are classified in the statement of cash flows. Certain issues addressed in this guidance include - Debt payments or debt extinguishment costs, contingent consideration payments made after a business combination, proceeds from the settlement of insurance claims, distributions received from equity method investments and beneficial interests in securitization transactions. ASU 2016-15 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017, and interim periods within those fiscal years. Early adoption is permitted. We are currently evaluating the impact that ASU 2016-15 will have on our consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

In November 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-18, Statement of Cash Flows (Topic 230): Restricted Cash ("ASU 2016-18"), which clarifies the presentation of restricted cash and restricted cash equivalents in the statements of cash flows. Under ASU 2016-18 restricted cash and restricted cash equivalents are included with cash and cash equivalents when reconciling the beginning-of-period and end-of-period total amounts shown on the statements of cash flows. ASU 2016-18 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017, and interim periods within those fiscal years. Early adoption is permitted. We anticipate that our restricted cash will be included with cash and cash equivalents in our consolidated statements of cash flows upon adoption of ASU 2016-18. We are currently evaluating whether we will early adopt ASU 2016-18.

In January 2017, the FASB issued ASU 2017-01, Business Combinations (Topic 805) - Clarifying the Definition of a Business ("ASU 2017-01"), which clarifies the definition of a business with the objective of adding guidance to assist entities with evaluating whether a transaction should be accounted for as an acquisition (or disposal) of an asset or a business. ASU 2017-01 is effective for annual reporting beginning after December 15, 2017. Early adoption is permitted. While we are currently evaluating the potential impact of the standard, we currently expect that certain future hotel acquisitions may be considered asset acquisitions rather than business combinations, which would affect

capitalization of acquisitions costs (such costs are expensed for business combinations and capitalized for asset acquisitions).

In February 2017, the FASB issued ASU 2017-05, Other Income-Gains and Losses from the Derecognition of Nonfinancial Assets (Subtopic 610-20): Clarifying the Scope of Asset Derecognition Guidance and Accounting for Partial Sales of Nonfinancial Assets (ASU "2017-05"), which clarifies the scope of ASC Subtopic 610-20, Other Income-Gains and Losses from the Derecognition of Nonfinancial Assets and adds guidance for partial sales of nonfinancial assets. ASU 2017-05 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017. Early adoption is permitted. An entity may elect to apply ASU 2017-05 under a retrospective or modified retrospective approach. We are evaluating the impact that ASU 2017-05 will have on our consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

#### **Table of Contents**

#### NON-GAAP FINANCIAL MEASURES

The following non-GAAP presentations of EBITDA, Adjusted EBITDA, FFO and Adjusted FFO are made to help our investors in evaluating our operating performance.

EBITDA is defined as net income (loss) attributable to the Company before interest expense and amortization of premiums and loan costs, net, interest income other than interest income from mezzanine loans, income taxes, depreciation and amortization, and noncontrolling interests in the operating partnership and after adjustments for unconsolidated joint ventures. We adjust EBITDA to exclude certain additional items such as gain/loss on acquisition of PIM Highland JV and sale of hotel properties, impairment charges, write-off of loan costs and exit fees, other income/expense, transaction, acquisition and management conversion costs, legal judgment and related legal costs, dead deal costs, software implementation costs, compensation adjustment related to modified employment terms and non-cash items such as amortization of unfavorable contract liabilities, gain /loss on insurance settlements, non-cash stock/unit-based compensation, unrealized gains/losses on marketable securities, derivative instruments, investment in securities investment fund, as well as our portion of adjustments to EBITDA of unconsolidated entities. We exclude items from Adjusted EBITDA that are either non-cash or are not part of our core operations in order to provide a period-over-period comparison of our operations. We present EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA because we believe these measurements a) more accurately reflect the ongoing performance of our hotel assets and other investments, b) provide more useful information to investors as indicators of our ability to meet our future debt payment and working capital requirements, and c) provide an overall evaluation of our financial condition. EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA as calculated by us may not be comparable to EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA reported by other companies that do not define EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA exactly as we define the terms. EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA do not represent cash generated from operating activities determined in accordance with GAAP and should not be considered as an alternative to a) GAAP net income or loss as an indication of our financial performance or b) GAAP cash flows from operating activities as a measure of our liquidity.

## Table of Contents

The following table reconciles net income (loss) to EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA (in thousands) (unaudited):

| The following table reconciles liet income (loss) to EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA         | Year Ende    | ed December | r 31,         |  |
|---|--------------|-------------|---------------|--|
| Not in some (loss)  | 2016         | 2015        | 2014          |  |
| Net income (loss)   |              |             | \$(38,207)    |  |
| Loss from consolidated entities attributable to noncontrolling interests                | 14           | 30          | 406           |  |
| Net (income) loss attributable to redeemable noncontrolling interests in operating      | 12,483       | (35,503)    | 6,400         |  |
| partnership   |              |             |               |  |
| Net income (loss) attributable to the Company   |              | 270,939     | (31,401)      |  |
| Interest income   | ` '          |             | (63)          |  |
| Interest expense and amortization of premiums and loan costs, net                       | 223,850      | 187,396     | 114,709       |  |
| Depreciation and amortization   | 243,617      | 210,197     | 110,770       |  |
| Income tax (benefit) expense  | 1,532        | 4,710       | 1,278         |  |
| Net (income) loss attributable to redeemable noncontrolling interests in operating      | (12,483      | 35,503      | (6,400 )      |  |
| partnership   |              |             |               |  |
| Equity in (income) loss of unconsolidated entities                                      | 1,048        | 3,445       | (2,495)       |  |
| Company's portion of EBITDA of unconsolidated entities (Ashford Inc.)                   | 180          | 828         | (3,016)       |  |
| Company's portion of EBITDA of unconsolidated entities (OpenKey)                        | (303         | ) —         |               |  |
| Company's portion of EBITDA of unconsolidated entities (Ashford Prime OP)               |              | 7,640       | 11,643        |  |
| Company's portion of EBITDA of unconsolidated entities (PIM Highland JV)                | _            | 11,982      | 95,444        |  |
| EBITDA available to the Company and OP unitholders                                      | 410,825      | 732,550     | 290,469       |  |
| Amortization of unfavorable contract liabilities  | (2,101       | (1,975)     | (1,975)       |  |
| Impairment charges  | 17,816       | 19,511      | (415)         |  |
| (Gain) loss on acquisition of PIM Highland JV and sale of hotel properties              | (31,599      | (381,351)   | (3,503)       |  |
| (Gain) loss on insurance settlements  | (456         | ) —         | (5)           |  |
| Write-off of loan costs and exit fees   | 12,702       | 5,750       | 10,353        |  |
| Other (income) expense, net   | 4,517        | 864         | (6,573)       |  |
| Transaction, acquisition and management conversion costs                                | 1,778        | 12,348      | 4,856         |  |
| Dead deal costs   | 391          | 769         |               |  |
| Software implementation costs   |              |             | 320           |  |
| Legal judgment and related legal costs  | 1,176        | 95          | 11,907        |  |
| Unrealized (gain) loss on marketable securities   | (4,946       | ) (127 )    | 332           |  |
| Unrealized (gain) loss on derivatives   | 2,534        | 7,402       | 1,100         |  |
| Compensation adjustment related to modified employment terms                            |              |             | 2,997         |  |
| Non-cash stock/unit-based compensation  | 9,672        | 3,470       | 16,918        |  |
| Company's portion of unrealized loss of AQUA U.S. Fund                                  | 5,062        | 3,386       | _             |  |
| Company's portion of adjustments to EBITDA of unconsolidated entities (Ashford          | 3,729        | 2.652       | 2 427         |  |
| Inc.)   | 3,129        | 3,652       | 3,427         |  |
| Company's portion of adjustments to EBITDA of unconsolidated entities (OpenKe           | y <b>)</b> 8 |             |               |  |
| Company's portion of adjustments to EBITDA of unconsolidated entities (Ashford          |              | 720         | 624           |  |
| Prime OP)   | _            | 738         | 634           |  |
| Company's portion of adjustments to EBITDA of unconsolidated entities (PIM Highland JV) | _            |             | (669 )        |  |
| Adjusted EBITDA available to the Company and OP unitholders                             | \$431,108    | \$407,082   | \$330,173     |  |
| game or annionation   | ,,100        | ,,oo2       | , , - , - , - |  |
| 65  |              |             |               |  |

#### **Table of Contents**

We calculate FFO and AFFO in the following table. FFO is calculated on the basis defined by NAREIT, which is net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders, computed in accordance with GAAP, excluding gains or losses on properties, and extraordinary items as defined by GAAP, plus depreciation and amortization of real estate assets, impairment charges on real estate assets, and after adjustments for unconsolidated entities and noncontrolling interests in the operating partnership. Adjustments for unconsolidated entities are calculated to reflect FFO on the same basis. NAREIT developed FFO as a relative measure of performance of an equity REIT to recognize that income-producing real estate historically has not depreciated on the basis determined by GAAP. Our calculation of AFFO excludes extinguishment of issuance costs upon redemption of Series E preferred stock, write-off of loan costs and exit fees, other impairment charges, other income/expense, transaction, acquisition and management conversion costs, legal judgment and related legal costs, dead deal costs, software implementation costs, compensation adjustment related to modified employment terms and non-cash items such as gain/loss on insurance settlements, non-cash stock/unit-based compensation, unrealized gains/losses on marketable securities, derivative instruments, investment in securities investment fund, as well as our portion of adjustments to FFO related to unconsolidated entities. We exclude items from AFFO that are either non-cash or are not part of our core operations in order to provide a period-over-period comparison of our operating results. We consider FFO and AFFO to be appropriate measures of our ongoing normalized operating performance as a REIT. We compute FFO in accordance with our interpretation of standards established by NAREIT, which may not be comparable to FFO reported by other REITs that either do not define the term in accordance with the current NAREIT definition or interpret the NAREIT definition differently than us. FFO and AFFO do not represent cash generated from operating activities as determined by GAAP and should not be considered as an alternative to a) GAAP net income or loss as an indication of our financial performance or b) GAAP cash flows from operating activities as a measure of our liquidity, nor is it indicative of funds available to satisfy our cash needs, including our ability to make cash distributions. However, to facilitate a clear understanding of our historical operating results, we believe that FFO and AFFO should be considered along with our net income or loss and cash flows reported in the consolidated financial statements.

## Table of Contents

67

The following table reconciles net income (loss) to FFO and Adjusted FFO (in thousands) (unaudited):

| The following table reconciles liet income (loss) to FFO and Adjusted FFO (in thou |           | ed Decembe | r 31        |   |
|--|-----------|------------|-------------|---|
|  | 2016      | 2015       | 2014        |   |
| Net income (loss)  |           | \$306,412  |             | ) |
| (Income) loss from consolidated entities attributable to noncontrolling interests  | 14        | 30         | 406         | , |
| Net (income) loss attributable to redeemable noncontrolling interests in operating |           |            |             |   |
| partnership  | 12,483    | (35,503    | 6,400       |   |
| Preferred dividends  | (36,272   | (33,962    | (33,962     | ) |
| Extinguishment of issuance costs upon redemption of Series E preferred stock       | (6,124    | ) —        | <del></del> | , |
| Net income (loss) available to common stockholders                                 |           | 236,977    | (65,363     | ) |
| Depreciation and amortization on real estate                                       | 243,617   | 210,197    | 110,465     |   |
| (Gain) loss on acquisition of PIM Highland JV and sale of hotel properties         |           | (381,351   |             | ) |
| Net income (loss) attributable to redeemable noncontrolling interests in operating |           |            |             | ` |
| partnership  | (12,483   | 35,503     | (6,400      | ) |
| Equity in (income) loss of unconsolidated entities                                 | 1,048     | 3,445      | (2,495      | ) |
| Impairment charges on real estate  | 18,316    | 19,949     |             |   |
| Company's portion of FFO of unconsolidated entities (Ashford Inc.)                 | (380      | (19        | (3,252      | ) |
| Company's portion of FFO of unconsolidated entities (OpenKey)                      | (306      | · —        |             |   |
| Company's portion of FFO of unconsolidated entities (Ashford Prime OP)             |           | 4,371      | 5,897       |   |
| Company's portion of FFO of unconsolidated entities (PIM Highland JV)              | _         | 3,791      | 49,748      |   |
| FFO available to common stockholders and OP unitholders                            | 129,532   | 132,863    | 85,097      |   |
| Extinguishment of issuance costs upon redemption of Series E preferred stock       | 6,124     |            |             |   |
| Write-off of loan costs and exit fees  | 12,702    | 5,750      | 10,353      |   |
| (Gain) loss on insurance settlements   | (456      | <b>—</b>   | (5          | ) |
| Other impairment charges   | (500      | (438       | (415        | ) |
| Transaction, acquisition and management conversion costs                           | 1,778     | 12,348     | 4,856       |   |
| Other (income) expense, net  | 4,517     | 864        | (6,573      | ) |
| Legal judgment and related legal costs   | 1,176     | 95         | 11,907      |   |
| Dead deal costs  | 391       | 769        | _           |   |
| Software implementation costs  |           |            | 320         |   |
| Compensation adjustment related to modified employment terms                       |           |            | 2,997       |   |
| Non-cash stock/unit-based compensation   | 9,672     | 3,470      | 16,918      |   |
| Unrealized (gain) loss on marketable securities                                    | (4,946    | (127       | 332         |   |
| Unrealized (gain) loss on derivatives  | 2,534     | 7,402      | 1,100       |   |
| Company's portion of unrealized loss of AQUA U.S. Fund                             | 5,062     | 3,386      |             |   |
| Company's portion of adjustments to FFO of unconsolidated entities (Ashford Inc.)  | 3,729     | (1,032     | 2,558       |   |
| Company's portion of adjustments to FFO of unconsolidated entities (OpenKey)       | 8         |            |             |   |
| Company's portion of adjustments to FFO of unconsolidated entities (Ashford Prim   | ie        | 593        | 398         |   |
| OP)  |           | 373        | 370         |   |
| Company's portion of adjustments to FFO of unconsolidated entities (PIM Highland   | d         |            | (669        | ) |
| JV)  |           |            |             | , |
| Adjusted FFO available to common stockholders and OP unitholders                   | \$171,323 | \$165,943  | \$129,174   |   |
|  |           |            |             |   |

#### **Table of Contents**

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Our primary market risk exposure consists of changes in interest rates on borrowings under our debt instruments and our derivatives portfolio that bear interest at variable rates that fluctuate with market interest rates. The analysis below presents the sensitivity of the market value of our financial instruments to selected changes in market interest rates. At December 31, 2016, our total indebtedness of \$3.8 billion included \$3.2 billion of variable-rate debt. The impact on our results of operations of a 25-basis point change in interest rate on the outstanding balance of variable-rate debt at December 31, 2016 would be approximately \$8.0 million annually. Interest rate changes have no impact on the remaining \$576.4 million of fixed-rate debt. At December 31, 2015, the total consolidated indebtedness of \$3.9 billion included \$2.8 billion of variable-rate debt. The impact on the results of operations of a 25-basis point change in interest rate on the outstanding balance of variable-rate debt at December 31, 2015 would be approximately \$7.0 million per year. Interest rate changes will have no impact on the remaining \$1.1 billion of fixed rate debt. The above amounts were determined based on the impact of hypothetical interest rates on our borrowings and assume no changes in our capital structure. As the information presented above includes only those exposures that existed at December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively, it does not consider exposures or positions that could arise after that date. Accordingly, the information presented herein has limited predictive value. As a result, the ultimate realized gain or loss with respect to interest rate fluctuations will depend on exposures that arise during the period, the hedging strategies at the time, and the related interest rates.

We have entered into credit default swap transactions, excluding those that have terminated, for notional amounts totaling \$226.0 million, to hedge financial and capital market risk for upfront costs of \$10.7 million, which was subsequently returned to us as collateral by our counterparties. A credit default swap is a derivative contract that functions like an insurance policy against the credit risk of an entity or obligation. The seller of protection assumes the credit risk of the reference obligation from the buyer (us) of protection in exchange for annual premium payments. If a default or a loss, as defined in the credit default swap agreements, occurs on the underlying bonds, then the buyer of protection is protected against those losses. The only liability for us, the buyer, is the annual premium and any change in value of the underlying CMBX index (if the trade is terminated prior to maturity). For all CMBX trades completed to date, we were the buyer of protection. Credit default swaps are subject to master-netting settlement arrangements and credit support annexes. Assuming the underlying bonds pay off at par over their remaining average life, our total exposure for these trades was approximately \$8.0 million at December 31, 2016.

We have purchased options on Eurodollar futures, excluding those that have matured, to hedge our cash flow risk for total costs of \$602,000. Eurodollar futures prices reflect market expectations for interest rates on three month Eurodollar deposits for specific dates in the future, and the final settlement price is determined by three month LIBOR on the last trading day. Options on Eurodollar futures provide the ability to limit losses while maintaining the possibility of profiting from favorable changes in the futures prices. As the purchaser, our maximum potential loss is limited to the initial premium paid for the Eurodollar option contracts, while our potential gain has no limit. These exchange-traded options are centrally cleared, and a clearinghouse stands in between all trades to ensure that the obligations involved in the trades are fulfilled.

We hold interest rate floors with notional amounts totaling \$6.0 billion and strike rates ranging from (0.25)% to zero percent. Our total exposure is capped at our initial upfront costs totaling \$9.4 million.

## Table of Contents

| Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data Index to Consolidated Financial Statements      |              |
|---|--------------|
| Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firms   | <u>70</u>    |
| Consolidated Balance Sheets — December 31, 2016 and 2015  | <u>72</u>    |
| Consolidated Statements of Operations — Years Ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014                | <u>73</u>    |
| Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) — Years Ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 20 | 14 <u>74</u> |
| Consolidated Statements of Equity — Years Ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014                    | <u>75</u>    |
| Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows — Years Ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014                | <u>77</u>    |
| Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements  | <u>79</u>    |
| 69  |              |

#### **Table of Contents**

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm The Board of Directors and Stockholders of Ashford Hospitality Trust, Inc. and subsidiaries Dallas, Texas

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Ashford Hospitality Trust, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income (loss), equity, and cash flows for the years then ended. In connection with our audits of the financial statements, we have also audited the financial statement schedules as of and for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 listed in the accompanying index at Item 15(a). These financial statements and schedules are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedules based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements and schedules. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Ashford Hospitality Trust, Inc. and subsidiaries at December 31, 2016 and 2015, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the years then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Also, in our opinion, the financial statement schedules, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, present fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Ashford Hospitality Trust, Inc. and subsidiaries' internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2016, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) and our report dated March 16, 2017, expressed an adverse opinion thereon.

/s/ BDO USA LLP Dallas, Texas March 16, 2017

#### **Table of Contents**

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of Ashford Hospitality Trust, Inc. and subsidiaries

We have audited the accompanying consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income (loss), equity, and cash flows of Ashford Hospitality Trust, Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company) for the year ended December 31, 2014. Our audit also included the financial statement schedules listed in the index at Item 15(a) as they relate to information included therein as of and for the year ended December 31, 2014. These financial statements and schedules are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedules based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated results of Ashford Hospitality Trust, Inc. and subsidiaries' operations and cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2014, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedules as they relate to information included therein as of and for the year ended December 31, 2014, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, present fairly in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP Dallas, Texas March 2, 2015

## Table of Contents

## ASHFORD HOSPITALITY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

| (in thousands, energy share and per share amounts)                                       | December 3      | 1,           |
|--|-----------------|--------------|
|  | 2016            | 2015         |
| Assets   |                 |              |
| Investments in hotel properties, net   | 4,160,563       | 4,419,684    |
| Cash and cash equivalents  | 347,091         | 215,078      |
| Restricted cash  | 144,014         | 153,680      |
| Marketable securities  | 53,185          |              |
| Accounts receivable, net of allowance of \$690 and \$715, respectively                   | 44,629          | 40,438       |
| Inventories  | 4,530           | 4,810        |
| Note receivable, net of allowance of \$0 and \$7,083, respectively                       | _               | 3,746        |
| Investment in unconsolidated entities  | 58,779          | 62,568       |
| Deferred costs, net  | 2,846           | 3,847        |
| Prepaid expenses   | 17,578          | 12,458       |
| Derivative assets, net   | 3,614           | 3,435        |
| Other assets   | 11,718          | 10,647       |
| Intangible asset, net  | 10,061          | 11,343       |
| Due from Ashford Prime OP, net   |                 | 528          |
| Due from third-party hotel managers  | 13,348          | 22,869       |
| Assets held for sale   | 19,588          |              |
| Total assets   | \$4,891,544     | \$4,965,131  |
| Liabilities and Equity   | Ψ+,071,3++      | ΨΨ, 202, 131 |
| Liabilities:   |                 |              |
| Indebtedness, net  | \$3,723,559     | \$3,840,617  |
|  | 126,986         | 123,444      |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses  | •               | •            |
| Dividends payable Unfavorable management contract liabilities                            | 24,765          | 22,678       |
| Unfavorable management contract liabilities  | 1,380           | 3,355        |
| Due to Ashford Inc., net   | 15,716          | 9,856        |
| Due to Ashford Prime OP, net   | 488             |              |
| Due to related party, net  | 1,001           | 1,339        |
| Due to third-party hotel managers  | 2,714           | 2,504        |
| Intangible liabilities, net  | 16,195          | 16,494       |
| Other liabilities  | 16,548          | 14,539       |
| Liabilities related to assets held for sale  | 37,047          |              |
| Total liabilities  | 3,966,399       | 4,034,826    |
| Commitments and contingencies (note 13)  | 122 760         | 110 110      |
| Redeemable noncontrolling interests in operating partnership                             | 132,768         | 118,449      |
| Equity:  |                 |              |
| Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value, 50,000,000 shares authorized:                         |                 |              |
| Series A Cumulative Preferred Stock, 1,657,206 shares issued and outstanding at December | <sup>r</sup> 17 | 17           |
| 31, 2016 and 2015  |                 |              |
| Series D Cumulative Preferred Stock, 9,468,706 shares issued and outstanding at December | <sup>r</sup> 95 | 95           |
| 31, 2016 and 2015  | ,,,             |              |
| Series E Cumulative Preferred Stock, 0 and 4,630,000 shares issued and outstanding at    |                 | 46           |
| December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively   |                 | .0           |
| Series F Cumulative Preferred Stock, 4,800,000 and 0 shares issued and outstanding at    | 48              |              |
| December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively   | 10              |              |

| Series G Cumulative Preferred Stock, 6,200,000 and 0 shares issued and outstanding at    | 62          | _           |
|--|-------------|-------------|
| December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively   |             |             |
| Common stock, \$0.01 par value, 200,000,000 shares authorized, 96,376,827 and 95,470,900 | 3064        | 055         |
| shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively                | 964         | 955         |
| Additional paid-in capital   | 1,764,450   | 1,597,194   |
| Accumulated deficit  | (974,015)   | (787,221)   |
| Total stockholders' equity of the Company  | 791,621     | 811,086     |
| Noncontrolling interests in consolidated entities  | 756         | 770         |
| Total equity   | 792,377     | 811,856     |
| Total liabilities and equity   | \$4,891,544 | \$4,965,131 |
| See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.  |             |             |

## Table of Contents

## ASHFORD HOSPITALITY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(in thousands, except per share amounts)

|  | Year Ended  | December 31 | 1,           |
|--|-------------|-------------|--------------|
|  | 2016        | 2015        | 2014         |
| Revenue  |             |             |              |
| Rooms  | \$1,180,199 | \$1,059,012 | \$640,325    |
| Food and beverage  | 253,211     | 227,099     | 112,701      |
| Other  | 56,891      | 48,699      | 26,958       |
| Total hotel revenue  | 1,490,301   | 1,334,810   | 779,984      |
| Advisory services revenue  |             |             | 10,724       |
| Other  | 1,742       | 2,156       | 4,141        |
| Total revenue  | 1,492,043   | 1,336,966   | 794,849      |
| Expenses   | , ,         | , ,         | ,            |
| Hotel operating expenses:  |             |             |              |
| Rooms  | 255,317     | 231,614     | 143,751      |
| Food and beverage  | 172,530     | 153,340     | 77,653       |
| Other expenses   | 455,818     | 405,896     | 254,495      |
| Management fees  | 54,734      | 49,394      | 31,125       |
| Total hotel expenses   | 938,399     | 840,244     | 507,024      |
| Property taxes, insurance and other  | 73,457      | 65,301      | 38,499       |
| Depreciation and amortization  | 243,863     | 210,410     | 110,653      |
| Impairment charges   | 17,816      | 19,511      | (415)        |
| Gain (loss) on insurance settlement  |             |             | (5)          |
| Transaction costs  | 77          | 6,252       | 625          |
| Advisory services fee  | 54,361      | 43,023      | 4,533        |
| Corporate, general and administrative  | 8,366       | 14,310      | 57,243       |
| Total expenses   | 1,336,339   | 1,199,051   | 718,157      |
| Operating income (loss)  | 155,704     | 137,915     | 76,692       |
| Equity in earnings (loss) of unconsolidated entities                               | •           | •           | ) 2,495      |
| Interest income  | 331         | 90          | 62           |
| Gain (loss) on acquisition of PIM Highland JV and sale of hotel properties         | 31,599      | 380,752     |              |
| Other income (expense)   |             | ·           | 6,573        |
| Interest expense and amortization of premiums and loan costs                       |             |             | ) (114,502)  |
| Write-off of loan costs and exit fees  |             | •           | ) (114,362 ) |
| Unrealized gain (loss) on marketable securities                                    | 4,946       | 127         | (332)        |
| Unrealized gain (loss) on derivatives  | *           |             | ) (1,100 )   |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes                       |             | 310,523     | (40,465)     |
| Income tax benefit (expense)   |             |             | ) (1,266     |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations   |             | ) 305,813   | (41,731)     |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations   |             |             | 33           |
| Gain (loss) on sale of hotel properties, net of tax                                |             | 599         | 3,491        |
| Net income (loss)  | (58,782     | 306,412     | (38,207)     |
| (Income) loss from consolidated entities attributable to noncontrolling interests  | 14          | 30          | 406          |
| Net (income) loss attributable to redeemable noncontrolling interests in           |             |             | 100          |
| operating partnership  | 12,483      | (35,503     | ) 6,400      |
| Net income (loss) attributable to the Company                                      | (46,285     | 270,939     | (31,401)     |
| Preferred dividends  |             |             | (31,401 )    |
| Extinguishment of issuance costs upon redemption of Series E preferred stock       |             | ) (33,702   |              |
| 2. Tainguistantent of issuance costs upon reachiption of sories is preferred stock | (0,127      | ,           |              |

| Net income (loss) available to common stockholders<br>Income (loss) per share – basic and diluted:<br>Basic: | \$(88,681 | ) \$236,977 | \$(65,363) |
|--|-----------|-------------|------------|
| Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to common stockholders                                 | \$(0.95   | ) \$2.43    | \$(0.75)   |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations attributable to common stockholders                               | _         | <del></del> | _          |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders  | \$(0.95   | ) \$2.43    | \$(0.75)   |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding – basic   | 94,426    | 96,290      | 87,622     |
| Diluted:   |           |             |            |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to common stockholders                                 | \$(0.95   | ) \$2.35    | \$(0.75)   |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations attributable to common stockholders                               | _         | _           | _          |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders  | \$(0.95   | ) \$2.35    | \$(0.75)   |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding – diluted   | 94,426    | 114,881     | 87,622     |
| Dividends declared per common share  | \$0.48    | \$0.48      | \$0.48     |
| See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.  |           |             |            |
| 73   |           |             |            |

## Table of Contents

# ASHFORD HOSPITALITY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS) (in thousands)

|  | Year Ende  | d December | r 31,      |
|--|------------|------------|------------|
|  | 2016       | 2015       | 2014       |
| Net income (loss)  | \$(58,782) | \$306,412  | \$(38,207) |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:   |            |            |            |
| Reclassification to interest expense   | _          | _          | 100        |
| Total other comprehensive income (loss)  | _          | _          | 100        |
| Total comprehensive income (loss)  | (58,782)   | 306,412    | (38,107)   |
| Comprehensive (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests in consolidated entities            | 14         | 30         | 406        |
| Comprehensive (income) loss attributable to redeemable noncontrolling interests in operating partnership | 12,483     | (35,503)   | 6,497      |
| Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to the Company  | \$(46,285) | \$270,939  | \$(31,204) |
| See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements   |            |            |            |

## Table of Contents

## ASHFORD HOSPITALITY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY

(in thousands)

| (III tilousanus)                                 | Prefe      | rred S | Stock            |      |                   |      |        |                       |         |                |             | Accum     | uNaomdon             |
|--|------------|--------|------------------|------|-------------------|------|--------|-----------------------|---------|----------------|-------------|-----------|----------------------|
|  | Series     |        | Series           | , D  | Series            | E    | Seri   | eri <b>©</b> omn      | non     | Additional     | Accumulate  | Other     | Interests            |
|  | Series     | A      | Series           | א א  | Series            | E    | F G    | Stock                 |         | Paid-in        | Deficit     | Compr     | e <b>he</b> nsive    |
|  | Share      | sAmo   | o <b>Sht</b> are | sAmo | o <b>Sht</b> ares | sAmo | SAM6   | <b>harit</b> eants    | s Amou  | Capital<br>ant |             |           | Consolic<br>Entities |
| Balance at January 1, 2014                       | 1,657      | \$17   | 9,469            | \$95 | 4,630             | \$46 | \$ -\$ | <del>\$-8</del> 0,566 | 5 \$805 | \$1,513,133    | \$(896,110  | ) \$(197) | \$1,048              |
| Purchases of common                              |            |        |                  |      |                   |      |        | (41                   | `       | (450           | `           |           |                      |
| stock  | _          |        | _                |      | _                 | _    |        | <b>—</b> (41          | ) —     | (458           | ) —         |           |                      |
| Equity-based                                     |            |        |                  |      |                   |      |        |                       |         | 2,782          |             |           |                      |
| compensation                                     | _          |        |                  |      |                   |      |        |                       |         | 2,702          |             |           |                      |
| Forfeitures of restricted                        | d          |        | _                | _    | _                 | _    |        | <b>—</b> (18          | ) —     | 23             |             |           |                      |
| shares   |            |        |                  |      |                   |      |        |                       | ,       |                |             |           |                      |
| Issuance of restricted shares/units              | _          | _      | _                | _    | _                 | _    |        | 423                   | 4       | (4             | ) —         |           |                      |
| Issuance of common                               |            |        |                  |      |                   |      |        |                       |         |                |             |           |                      |
| stock  | _          |        | _                | —    | _                 | —    |        | 8,350                 | 83      | 85,757         | _           | _         | _                    |
| Dividends declared -                             |            |        |                  |      |                   |      |        |                       |         |                |             |           |                      |
| common shares                                    | _          |        | _                | _    | _                 | _    |        |                       |         | _              | (41,894     | ) —       |                      |
| Dividends declared -                             |            |        |                  |      |                   |      |        |                       |         |                |             |           |                      |
| preferred shares- Serie                          | s—         |        | _                | _    | _                 | _    |        |                       | _       | _              | (3,542      | ) —       | _                    |
| A  |            |        |                  |      |                   |      |        |                       |         |                |             |           |                      |
| Dividends declared -                             |            |        |                  |      |                   |      |        |                       |         |                |             |           |                      |
| preferred shares- Serie<br>D                     | s—         |        | _                |      | _                 |      |        |                       |         | _              | (20,002     | ) —       | _                    |
| Dividends declared -                             |            |        |                  |      |                   |      |        |                       |         |                |             |           |                      |
| preferred shares- Serie<br>E                     | s—         |        | _                |      | _                 | _    |        |                       | _       | _              | (10,418     | ) —       | _                    |
| Reclassification to                              |            |        |                  |      |                   |      |        |                       |         |                |             | 197       |                      |
| interest expense                                 |            |        |                  |      |                   |      |        |                       |         | _              | <del></del> | 197       |                      |
| Distributions to                                 | _          | _      |                  |      |                   |      |        |                       |         | _              |             |           | (255)                |
| noncontrolling interest                          | S          |        |                  |      |                   |      |        |                       |         |                |             |           | ( )                  |
| Sale of consolidated                             | _          | _      | _                | _    |                   | _    |        |                       |         | 640            | _           |           | 560                  |
| noncontrolling interest<br>Redemption/conversion |            |        |                  |      |                   |      |        |                       |         |                |             |           |                      |
| of operating                                     |            | _      |                  |      |                   |      |        | <b>—</b> 160          | 2       | 1,814          | (401        | ) —       | _                    |
| partnership units                                |            |        |                  |      |                   |      |        | 100                   | 2       | 1,014          | (401        | ,         |                      |
| Ashford Inc. spin-off                            | _          | _      | _                | _    | _                 | _    |        |                       |         | (18,413        | ) —         |           | (147)                |
| Redemption value                                 |            |        |                  |      |                   |      |        |                       |         | ,              | /45.000     | `         | ,                    |
| adjustment                                       | _          |        | _                | _    | _                 | _    |        |                       |         |                | (45,988     | ) —       |                      |
| Unvested operating                               |            |        |                  |      |                   |      |        |                       |         |                |             |           |                      |
| partnership units                                |            |        | —                | —    | _                 | —    |        |                       |         | (5,328         | ) —         |           | _                    |
| reclassified to equity                           |            |        |                  |      |                   |      |        |                       |         |                |             |           |                      |
| Deferred compensation                            | 1 <u> </u> |        | _                |      | _                 |      |        |                       |         | 958            | (567        | ) —       |                      |
| to be settled in shares                          |            |        |                  |      |                   |      |        |                       |         |                | •           | `         | (406                 |
| Net income (loss)                                |            |        |                  |      |                   |      |        |                       |         |                | (31,401     | ) —       | (406)                |

| Balance at December 31, 2014                          | 1,657 | \$17 | 9,469 | \$95 | 4,630 | \$46 | -\$ | \$-89,440 | \$894 | \$1,580,904 | \$(1,050,323 | ) \$— | \$800 |
|---|-------|------|-------|------|-------|------|-----|-----------|-------|-------------|--------------|-------|-------|
| Purchases of common stock                             |       |      |       |      |       |      |     | (5,803)   | (57)  | (52,235     | ) —          | _     |       |
| Equity-based compensation                             | _     |      | _     | _    | _     | _    |     |           | _     | 2,054       | _            | _     | _     |
| Forfeitures of restricted shares                      | i     | _    | _     | _    | _     | _    |     | (20       | ) —   | 17          | _            | _     | _     |
| Issuance of restricted shares/units                   |       |      | _     |      | _     | _    |     | 1,183     | 12    | (12         | ) —          | _     |       |
| Issuance of common stock                              | _     |      | _     |      | _     | _    |     | 10,530    | 105   | 110,765     | _            | _     | _     |
| Dividends declared - common shares                    | _     |      | _     |      | _     |      |     |           |       | _           | (47,190      | ) —   |       |
| Dividends declared -<br>preferred shares- Series<br>A | s—    | _    | _     | _    | _     | _    |     |           | _     | _           | (3,542       | ) —   | _     |
| Dividends declared -<br>preferred shares- Series<br>D | s—    | _    | _     | _    | _     | _    |     |           | _     | _           | (20,002      | ) —   |       |
| Dividends declared -<br>preferred shares- Series<br>E | s—    | _    | _     | _    | _     | _    |     |           | _     | _           | (10,418      | ) —   | _     |
| Distributions to noncontrolling interests             |       | _    | _     | _    | _     | _    |     |           | _     | _           | _            | _     | _     |
| Redemption/conversion of operating partnership units  | n<br> | _    | _     | _    | _     | _    |     | —141      | 1     | 1,544       | _            | _     | _     |
| 75  |       |      |       |      |       |      |     |           |       |             |              |       |       |

## Table of Contents

|   | Prefer | red S | Stock             |      |                   |      |                 |     |                  |      |                   |             |                    |                    |
|---|--------|-------|-------------------|------|-------------------|------|-----------------|-----|------------------|------|-------------------|-------------|--------------------|--------------------|
|   | Series | s A   | Series            | D    | Series E          | 2    | Series          | F   | Series           | s G  | Commo<br>Stock    |             | Additional Paid-in | Accumulate Deficit |
|   | Share  | sAm   | o <b>Sht</b> are: | sAmo | o <b>Sh</b> tares | Amo  | u <b>S</b> hare | sAm | o <b>Sht</b> are | sAmo | o <b>Sht</b> ares | Amou        | Capital<br>nt      |                    |
| Distribution of Ashford<br>Prime OP units               | l      | _     |                   | _    | _                 | _    | _               | _   | _                |      | _                 | _           | (1 <b>7</b> 0 10   | ) —                |
| Redemption value  | _      | _     |                   | _    |                   | _    |                 | _   |                  | _    | _                 |             | _                  | 73,315             |
| adjustment  |        |       |                   |      |                   |      |                 |     |                  |      |                   |             |                    |                    |
| Net income (loss)<br>Balance at                         | _      | _     | _                 |      |                   |      | _               |     |                  | _    |                   |             |                    | 270,939            |
| December 31, 2015                                       | 1,657  | \$17  | 9,469             | \$95 | 4,630             | \$46 |                 | \$  |                  | \$   | 95,471            | \$955       | \$1,597,194        | \$(787,221)        |
| Purchases of common shares                              | _      |       | _                 | _    | _                 | _    | _               | _   | _                |      | (124              | ) (1 )      | (728               | · —                |
| Equity-based  |        |       |                   |      |                   |      |                 |     |                  |      |                   |             | 5,746              |                    |
| compensation  | _      | _     |                   |      |                   |      | _               | _   | _                | _    |                   | <del></del> | 3,740              | <del></del>        |
| Forfeitures of restricted                               | l      | _     |                   |      | _                 |      |                 | _   |                  |      | (47               | ) —         | _                  |                    |
| shares  |        |       |                   |      |                   |      |                 |     |                  |      |                   | ,           |                    |                    |
| Issuance of restricted shares/units                     |        |       |                   |      | _                 |      |                 | _   |                  |      | 862               | 8           | (8                 | · —                |
| Redemption of   |        |       |                   |      |                   |      |                 |     |                  |      |                   |             |                    |                    |
| preferred shares  | _      | _     | _                 |      | (4,630)           | (46) | _               | _   | _                | _    |                   | —           | (109,580)          | (6,124)            |
| Issuances of preferred                                  |        |       |                   |      |                   |      | 4 000           | 40  | ( 200            | (2)  |                   |             | 265 510            |                    |
| shares  | _      | _     |                   |      |                   | _    | 4,800           | 48  | 6,200            | 62   |                   |             | 265,510            |                    |
| Dividends declared -                                    | _      | _     |                   |      |                   |      |                 |     |                  |      | _                 |             | _                  | (46,292)           |
| common shares   |        |       |                   |      |                   |      |                 |     |                  |      |                   |             |                    | (40,272 )          |
| Dividends declared -                                    |        |       |                   |      |                   |      |                 |     |                  |      |                   |             |                    | (2.5.42            |
| preferred shares- Series<br>A                           | S      |       |                   |      |                   |      |                 | _   |                  |      | _                 | _           | _                  | (3,542)            |
| Dividends declared -                                    |        |       |                   |      |                   |      |                 |     |                  |      |                   |             |                    |                    |
| preferred shares- Series                                | ·—     |       |                   |      |                   |      | _               |     | _                |      | _                 |             | _                  | (20,002)           |
| D   |        |       |                   |      |                   |      |                 |     |                  |      |                   |             |                    | (==,=== )          |
| Dividends declared -                                    |        |       |                   |      |                   |      |                 |     |                  |      |                   |             |                    |                    |
| preferred shares- Series<br>E                           | s—     |       | _                 | _    | _                 | _    | _               |     | _                |      | _                 |             | _                  | (6,280 )           |
| Dividends declared -                                    |        |       |                   |      |                   |      |                 |     |                  |      |                   |             |                    |                    |
| preferred shares- Series F                              | s—     |       | _                 | _    |                   | _    | _               | _   | _                |      | _                 | _           | _                  | (4,130 )           |
| Dividends declared –                                    |        |       |                   |      |                   |      |                 |     |                  |      |                   |             |                    |                    |
| preferred shares- Series G                              | S      |       |                   | _    | _                 |      |                 | _   |                  |      | _                 |             | _                  | (2,318)            |
| Distributions to noncontrolling interests Redemption of |        | _     | _                 | _    | _                 |      | _               | _   | _                |      | _                 | _           | _                  | _                  |
| operating partnership<br>units for sale of hotel        | _      | _     | _                 | _    | _                 | _    | _               | _   | _                | _    | _                 | _           | 4,718              | _                  |
| property Redemption/conversion of operating             | ı—     |       | _                 |      | _                 | _    | _               | _   | _                | _    | 215               | 2           | 1,598              | (2,571 )           |

| partnership units            |          |        |          |       |     |     |       |      |       |      |        |       |             |            |
|------------------------------|----------|--------|----------|-------|-----|-----|-------|------|-------|------|--------|-------|-------------|------------|
| Redemption value adjustment  |          | _      | _        | _     | _   | _   | _     | _    | _     |      |        |       |             | (49,250    |
| Net income (loss)            | _        | _      |          | _     | _   | _   | _     | _    | _     |      | _      | _     | _           | (46,285    |
| Balance at December 31, 2016 | 1,657    | \$17   | 9,469    | \$95  | —   | \$— | 4,800 | \$48 | 6,200 | \$62 | 96,377 | \$964 | \$1,764,450 | \$(974,015 |
| See Notes to Consolida       | ated Fir | nancia | al State | ement | īs. |     |       |      |       |      |        |       |             |            |
| 76                           |          |        |          |       |     |     |       |      |       |      |        |       |             |            |

## Table of Contents

# ASHFORD HOSPITALITY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (in thousands)

| (III thousands)  | Year Ende  | d December | 31,        |   |
|--|------------|------------|------------|---|
|  | 2016       | 2015       | 2014       |   |
| Cash Flows from Operating Activities   |            |            |            |   |
| Net income (loss)  | \$(58,782) | \$306,412  | \$(38,207  | ) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating           |            |            |            |   |
| activities:  |            |            |            |   |
| Depreciation and amortization  | 243,863    | 210,410    | 110,931    |   |
| Impairment charges   | 17,816     | 19,511     | (415       | ) |
| Amortization of intangibles  | (156)      | (167)      |            |   |
| Write-off of intangibles   | 564        | _          |            |   |
| Bad debt expense   | 1,185      | 1,059      | 346        |   |
| Equity in (earnings) loss of unconsolidated entities                                   | 6,110      | 6,831      | (2,495     | ) |
| Distributions of earnings from unconsolidated entities                                 |            | 996        | 995        |   |
| (Gain) loss on acquisition of PIM Highland JV and sale of properties, net              | (31,599)   | (381,351)  | (3,731     | ) |
| Realized and unrealized (gain) loss on trading securities                              |            |            | : <u>-</u> | ) |
| Purchases of marketable securities   | ,          |            | (169,605   | ) |
| Sales of marketable securities   |            | 95,963     | 143,732    |   |
| (Gain) loss on insurance settlement  | (456)      |            | (5         | ) |
| Net settlement of trading derivatives  | ` ,        | (1,106)    | (505       | ) |
| Payments for derivatives   | ` '        |            | _          |   |
| Realized and unrealized (gains) losses on derivatives                                  | 6,116      | 9,861      | 1,100      |   |
| Amortization of loan costs and premiums, write-off of loan costs and exit fees         | 34,696     | 23,059     | 17,590     |   |
| Equity-based compensation  | 9,672      | 3,470      | 19,155     |   |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities, exclusive of effect of hotel acquisitions | >,07=      | 2,         | 17,100     |   |
| and dispositions of hotel properties and Ashford Inc. spin-off:                        |            |            |            |   |
| Restricted cash  | (4,668)    | 5,378      | 2,257      |   |
| Accounts receivable and inventories  |            | 5,325      | (174       | ) |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets  | ,          |            | 415        |   |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses  | 1,670      |            | 12,445     |   |
| Due to/from affiliates   |            | 3,473      |            | ) |
| Due to/from related party  | (610)      |            | 1,630      |   |
| Due to/from third-party hotel managers   | 9,731      | 8,858      | 22,169     |   |
| Due to/from Ashford Prime OP, net  | 1,016      | 136        | (1,699     | ) |
| Due to/from Ashford Inc., net  | 5,860      |            | 1,518      | , |
| Other liabilities  | 641        | 2,295      | 1,490      |   |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities                                    | 175,055    | 208,955    | 111,319    |   |
| Cash Flows from Investing Activities   | ,          | ,          | ,          |   |
| Investment in unconsolidated entity  | (2,321)    | _          | _          |   |
| Proceeds from payments on notes receivable   | 4,246      | 245        | 246        |   |
| Proceeds from franchise agreement extensions   |            | 7,500      | _          |   |
| Cash contribution to Ashford Inc.  |            |            | (32,119    | ) |
| Acquisition of hotel properties and assets, net of cash acquired                       | (3,339)    | (734,998)  |            | ) |
| Change in restricted cash related to improvements and additions to hotel properties    |            | 41,401     | (30,243    | ) |
| Improvements and additions to hotel properties   |            | (175,159)  |            | ) |
| Net proceeds from sale of assets/properties  | 183,350    | 7,650      | 30,269     | , |
| Due from Ashford Prime OP  |            |            | 13,635     |   |
| Due from Asimora i time Of   |            |            | 13,033     |   |

| Payments for initial franchise fees                                | (30      | )  | (568       | (208    | )          | ) |
|--|----------|----|------------|---------|------------|---|
| Proceeds from sale of consolidated noncontrolling interest         |          |    |            | 1,200   |            |   |
| Proceeds from property insurance                                   | 1,872    |    | 385        | 1,671   |            |   |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities                | (7,916   | )  | (853,544   | (207,2  | 245)       | į |
| Cash Flows from Financing Activities                               |          |    |            |         |            |   |
| Borrowings on indebtedness   | 487,500  |    | 2,277,782  | 718,82  | 25         |   |
| Repayments of indebtedness   | (559,037 | 7) | (1,550,299 | (514,6  | 557)       | , |
| Payments for loan costs and exit fees                              | (20,156  | )  | (47,993    | (20,05  | i4 )       | , |
| Payments for dividends and distributions                           | (91,465  | )  | (91,282    | (85,41  | 7 )        | , |
| Purchases of common stock  | (729     | )  | (52,292    | (458    | )          | , |
| Redemption of preferred stock                                      | (115,750 | )  | _          | _       |            |   |
| Payments for derivatives   | (199     | )  | (2,217     | (666    | )          | , |
| Proceeds from common stock offering                                | _        |    | 110,870    | 85,840  | )          |   |
| Proceeds from preferred stock offerings                            | 265,620  |    | _          | _       |            |   |
| Distributions to noncontrolling interests in consolidated entities | _        |    | _          | (1,235  | <b>5</b> ) | , |
| Other  | 66       |    | 35         | 31      |            |   |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities                | (34,150  | )  | 644,604    | 182,20  | )9         |   |
| Net change in cash and cash equivalents                            | 132,989  |    | 15         | 86,283  | 3          |   |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year                     | 215,078  |    | 215,063    | 128,78  | 30         |   |
| Cash and cash equivalents held for sale at the end of period       | (976     | )  | _          |         |            |   |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of year                           | \$347,09 | 1  | \$215,078  | \$215,0 | 063        |   |

## Table of Contents

|   | Year Ended December 31, |           | er 31,    |
|---|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|
|   | 2016                    | 2015      | 2014      |
| Supplemental Cash Flow Information                                    |                         |           |           |
| Interest paid   | \$201,895               | \$165,809 | \$108,013 |
| Income taxes paid   | 1,882                   | 8,730     | 1,159     |
| Supplemental Disclosure of Investing and Financing Activities         |                         |           |           |
| Non-cash deferred compensation  | <b>\$</b> —             | \$—       | \$958     |
| Dividend receivable from Ashford Prime                                | _                       | _         | 249       |
| Accrued but unpaid capital expenditures                               | 11,402                  | 7,525     | 2,774     |
| Transfer of debt to Ashford Prime                                     | _                       | _         | 69,000    |
| Dividends declared but not paid                                       | 24,765                  | 22,678    | 21,889    |
| Investment in unconsolidated entity                                   | _                       | 59,338    | _         |
| Net liabilities distributed to Ashford Inc. (net of cash contributed) | _                       | _         | 7,324     |
| Assumption of debt  |                         | 74,320    | _         |
| Acquisition of land   | _                       | 3,100     | _         |
| Transfer of debt upon sale of hotel property                          | 23,850                  |           | _         |
| Redemption of operating partnership units for sale of hotel property  | 11,705                  | _         | _         |
| See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.                       |                         |           |           |

#### **Table of Contents**

## ASHFORD HOSPITALITY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the Years Ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014

1. Organization and Description of Business

Ashford Hospitality Trust, Inc., together with its subsidiaries ("Ashford Trust"), is a real estate investment trust ("REIT") focused on investing in full service hotels in the upscale and upper-upscale segments in domestic and international markets that have revenue per available room ("RevPAR") generally less than twice the national average, and in all methods including direct real estate, equity, and debt. Other than Ashford Hospitality Trust, Inc.'s investment in Ashford Inc. common stock, we own our lodging investments and conduct our business through Ashford Hospitality Limited Partnership ("Ashford Trust OP"), our operating partnership. Ashford OP General Partner LLC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Ashford Trust, serves as the sole general partner of our operating partnership. In this report, terms such as the "Company," "we," "us," or "our" refer to Ashford Hospitality Trust, Inc. and all entities included in its consolidated financial statements.

We are advised by Ashford Hospitality Advisors LLC ("Ashford LLC"), a subsidiary of Ashford Inc., through an advisory agreement. All of the hotel properties in our portfolio are currently asset-managed by Ashford LLC. We do not have any employees. All of the services that might be provided by employees are provided to us by Ashford LLC. As of December 31, 2016, we owned interests in the following assets:

123 consolidated hotel properties, including 121 (two that are held for sale) directly owned and two owned through a majority-owned investment in a consolidated entity, which represent 26,013 total rooms (or 25,986 net rooms excluding those attributable to our partner);

86 hotel condominium units at WorldQuest Resort in Orlando, Florida;

- a 96.6% ownership in Ashford Quantitative Alternatives (U.S.), LP (the "AQUA U.S. Fund") previously named AIM Real Estate Hedged Equity (U.S.) Fund, LP (the "REHE Fund") with a carrying value of \$50.9 million.
- a 29.7% ownership in Ashford Inc. common stock with a carrying value of \$5.9 million; and
- a 13.3% ownership in OpenKey with a carrying value of \$2.0 million.

For federal income tax purposes, we have elected to be treated as a REIT, which imposes limitations related to operating hotels. As of December 31, 2016, our 123 hotel properties were leased or owned by our wholly owned subsidiaries that are treated as taxable REIT subsidiaries for federal income tax purposes (collectively, these subsidiaries are referred to as "Ashford TRS"). Ashford TRS then engages third-party or affiliated hotel management companies to operate the hotels under management contracts. Hotel operating results related to these properties are included in the consolidated statements of operations.

As of December 31, 2016, Remington Lodging & Hospitality, LLC, together with its affiliates ("Remington Lodging"), which is beneficially wholly owned by Mr. Monty J. Bennett, our Chairman, and Mr. Archie Bennett, Jr., our Chairman Emeritus, managed 84 of our 123 hotel properties and WorldQuest Resort. Third-party management companies managed the remaining hotel properties. On September 17, 2015, Remington Lodging and Ashford Inc. entered into an agreement pursuant to which Ashford Inc. will acquire all of the general partner interest and 80% of the limited partner interests in Remington Lodging. On April 12, 2016, Ashford Inc.'s stockholders approved the acquisition. On June 22, 2016 and September 22, 2016, Ashford Inc. amended the agreement extending the date with respect to which Ashford Inc. and Remington Lodging have the right to terminate the agreement if the acquisition is not consummated prior to April 7, 2017. The acquisition is subject to the satisfaction of various conditions, and if completed, will not impact our management agreements with Remington Lodging.

2. Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation—The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Ashford Hospitality Trust, Inc., its majority-owned subsidiaries and its majority-owned joint ventures in which it has a controlling interest. All significant inter-company accounts and transactions between consolidated entities have been eliminated in these consolidated financial statements.

Ashford Trust OP is considered to be a variable interest entity ("VIE"), as defined by authoritative accounting guidance. A VIE must be consolidated by a reporting entity if the reporting entity is the primary beneficiary because it has (i) the power to direct the VIE's activities that most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance, (ii) an implicit

financial responsibility to ensure that a VIE operates as designed, and (iii) the obligation to absorb losses of the VIE or the right to receive benefits from the VIE. All major decisions related to Ashford Trust OP that most significantly impact its economic performance, including but not limited to operating procedures with respect to business affairs and any acquisitions, dispositions, financings, restructurings or other transactions with sellers, purchasers, lenders, brokers, agents and other applicable representatives, are subject to the

<u>Table of Contents</u>
ASHFORD HOSPITALITY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

approval of our wholly-owned subsidiary, Ashford Trust OP General Partner LLC, its general partner. As such, we consolidate Ashford Trust OP.

The following acquisitions/dispositions affect reporting comparability related to our consolidated financial statements:

| 8 1                                    |                               |                         |                              |
|--|-------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|
| Hotel Property                         | Location                      | Acquisition/Disposition | Acquisition/Disposition Date |
| Pier House Resort                      | Key West, Florida             | Disposition             | March 1, 2014                |
| The Ashton                             | Fort Worth, Texas             | Acquisition             | July 18, 2014                |
| Marriott Fremont Silicon Valley        | Fremont, California           | Acquisition             | August 6, 2014               |
| Lakeway Resort & Spa                   | Austin, Texas                 | Acquisition             | February 6, 2015             |
| Memphis Marriott East                  | Memphis, Tennessee            | Acquisition             | February 25, 2015            |
| PIM Highland JV (28.26% interest)      | Various                       | Acquisition             | March 6, 2015                |
| Hampton Inn & Suites                   | Gainesville, Florida          | Acquisition             | April 29, 2015               |
| Le Pavillon Hotel                      | New Orleans, Louisiana        | Acquisition             | June 3, 2015                 |
| 9-hotel portfolio                      | Various                       | Acquisition             | June 17, 2015                |
| W Atlanta Downtown                     | Atlanta, Georgia              | Acquisition             | July 1, 2015                 |
| Le Meridien Minneapolis                | Minneapolis, Minnesota        | Acquisition             | July 23, 2015                |
| Hilton Garden Inn - Wisconsin<br>Dells | Wisconsin Dells,<br>Wisconsin | Acquisition             | August 5, 2015               |
| Hotel Indigo Atlanta                   | Atlanta, Georgia              | Acquisition             | October 15, 2015             |
| W Minneapolis Foshay                   | Minneapolis, Minnesota        | Acquisition             | November 10, 2015            |
| 5-hotel portfolio                      | Various                       | Disposition             | June 1, 2016                 |
| Hampton Inn & Suites                   | Gainesville, Florida          | Disposition             | September 1, 2016            |
| SpringHill Suites Gaithersburg         | Gaithersburg, Maryland        | Disposition             | October 1, 2016              |
| 2-hotel portfolio                      | Palm Desert, California       | Disposition             | October 7, 2016              |
| TT CENT EN                             | 0.1 11.1 1.0                  |                         |                              |

Use of Estimates—The preparation of these consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Cash and Cash Equivalents—Cash and cash equivalents include cash on hand or held in banks and short-term investments with an initial maturity of three months or less at the date of purchase.

Restricted Cash—Restricted cash includes reserves for debt service, real estate taxes, and insurance, as well as excess cash flow deposits and reserves for furniture, fixtures, and equipment replacements of approximately 4% to 6% of property revenue for certain hotels, as required by certain management or mortgage debt agreement restrictions and provisions. For purposes of the consolidated statements of cash flows, changes in restricted cash caused by using such funds for debt service, real estate taxes, and insurance are shown as operating activities. Changes in restricted cash caused by using such funds for furniture, fixtures, and equipment replacements are included in cash flows from investing activities.

Accounts Receivable—Accounts receivable consists primarily of meeting and banquet room rental and hotel guest receivables. We generally do not require collateral. We maintain an allowance for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of guests to make required payments for services. The allowance is maintained at a level believed adequate to absorb estimated receivable losses. The estimate is based on past receivable loss experience, known and inherent credit risks, current economic conditions, and other relevant factors, including specific reserves for certain accounts.

Inventories—Inventories, which primarily consist of food, beverages, and gift store merchandise, are stated at the lower of cost or market value. Cost is determined using the first-in, first-out method.

Investments in Hotel Properties, net—Hotel properties are generally stated at cost. However, four hotel properties contributed upon Ashford Trust's formation in 2003 are stated at the predecessor's historical cost, net of impairment charges, if any, plus a partial step-up related to the acquisition of noncontrolling interests from third parties associated with certain of these properties.

<u>Table of Contents</u>
ASHFORD HOSPITALITY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

For hotel properties owned through our majority-owned entities, the carrying basis attributable to the partners' minority ownership is recorded at the predecessor's historical cost, net of any impairment charges, while the carrying basis attributable to our majority ownership is recorded based on the allocated purchase price of our ownership interests in the entities. All improvements and additions that extend the useful life of the hotel properties are capitalized.

Impairment of Investments in Hotel Properties—Hotel properties are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that their carrying amounts may not be recoverable. Recoverability of the hotel is measured by comparison of the carrying amount of the hotel to the estimated future undiscounted cash flows, which take into account current market conditions and our intent with respect to holding or disposing of the hotel. If our analysis indicates that the carrying value of the hotel is not recoverable on an undiscounted cash flow basis, we recognize an impairment charge for the amount by which the property's net book value exceeds its estimated fair value, or fair value, less cost to sell. In evaluating impairment of hotel properties, we make many assumptions and estimates, including projected cash flows, expected holding period, and expected useful life. Fair value is determined through various valuation techniques, including internally developed discounted cash flow models, comparable market transactions and third-party appraisals, where considered necessary. See note 6.

Hotel Dispositions—Effective January 1, 2015, discontinued operations are defined as the disposal of components of an entity that represents strategic shifts that have (or will have) a major effect on an entity's operations and financial results. We believe that individual dispositions of hotel properties do not represent a strategic shift that has (or will have) a major effect on our operations and financial results as most will not fit the definition. This new guidance was implemented prospectively. As such, hotel property dispositions that occurred prior to December 31, 2014, will continue to be reported as discontinued operations in the statements of operations for all applicable periods presented. See note 6.

Assets Held for Sale—We classify assets as held for sale when we have obtained a firm commitment from a buyer, and consummation of the sale is considered probable and expected within one year. The related operations of assets held for sale are reported as discontinued if the disposal is a component of an entity that represents a strategic shift that has (or will have) a major effect on our operations and cash flows. Depreciation and amortization will cease as of the date assets have met the criteria to be deemed held for sale. See note 6.

Investments in Unconsolidated Entities—Investments in entities in which we have ownership interests ranging from 13.3% to 96.6%, at December 31, 2016, are accounted for under the equity method of accounting by recording the initial investment and our percentage of interest in the entities' net income/loss. We review the investments in our unconsolidated entities for impairment in each reporting period pursuant to the applicable authoritative accounting guidance. An investment is impaired when its estimated fair value is less than the carrying amount of our investment. Any impairment is recorded in equity earnings (loss) in unconsolidated entities. No such impairment was recorded for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014.

Our investments in certain unconsolidated entities are considered to be variable interests in the underlying entities. VIE's, as defined by authoritative accounting guidance, must be consolidated by a reporting entity if the reporting entity is the primary beneficiary because it has (i) the power to direct the VIE's activities that most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance, (ii) an implicit financial responsibility to ensure that a VIE operates as designed, and (iii) the obligation to absorb losses of the VIE or the right to receive benefits from the VIE. Because we do not have the power and financial responsibility to direct the unconsolidated entities' activities and operations, we are not considered to be the primary beneficiary of these entities on an ongoing basis and therefore such entities should not be consolidated. In evaluating VIEs, our analysis involves considerable management judgment and assumptions.

Note Receivable—Mezzanine loan financing, classified as note receivable, represented a loan held for investment and intended to be held to maturity. Note receivable was recorded at cost, net of unamortized loan origination costs and fees, loan purchase discounts, and allowance for losses when a loan is deemed to be impaired. Premiums, discounts, and net origination fees are amortized or accreted as an adjustment to interest income using the effective interest

method over the life of the loan. We discontinue recording interest and amortizing discounts/premiums when the contractual payment of interest and/or principal is not received when contractually due. Payments received on impaired nonaccrual loans are recorded as adjustments to impairment charges. No interest income was recorded for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014. Our note receivable was paid in full on December 2, 2016. See note 4.

VIEs, as defined by authoritative accounting guidance, must be consolidated by their controlling interest beneficiaries if the VIE does not effectively disperse risks among the parties involved. We no longer hold the mezzanine note receivable at December 31, 2016, which was secured by a hotel property and was subordinate to the controlling interest in the secured hotel property. Although the note receivable was considered to be a variable interest in the entity that owns the related hotel, we were not considered to be the primary beneficiary of the hotel property as a result of holding the loan. Therefore, we did not consolidate the hotel property for which we had provided financing. We will evaluate the interests in entities acquired or created in the future to determine whether

<u>Table of Contents</u>
ASHFORD HOSPITALITY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

such entities should be consolidated. In evaluating VIEs, our analysis involves considerable management judgment and assumptions.

Impairment of Notes Receivable—We review notes receivable for impairment each reporting period. A loan is impaired when, based on current information and events, collection of all amounts recorded as assets on the balance sheet is no longer considered probable. We apply normal loan review and underwriting procedures (as may be implemented or modified from time to time) in making that judgment.

When a loan is impaired, we measure impairment based on the present value of expected cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate against the value of the asset recorded on the balance sheet. We may also measure impairment based on a loan's observable market price or the fair value of collateral if the loan is collateral-dependent. Loan impairments are recorded as a valuation allowance and a charge to earnings. Our assessment of impairment is based on considerable management judgment and assumptions. No impairment charges were recorded for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014. Valuation adjustments of \$500,000, \$439,000 and \$415,000 on previously impaired notes were credited to impairment charges for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. See note 4.

Marketable Securities—Marketable securities include U.S. treasury bills and publicly traded equity securities. All of these investments are recorded at fair value. Prior to our investment in the AQUA U.S. Fund, it also included put and call options in certain publicly traded equity securities. Put and call options are considered derivatives. The fair value of these investments has been determined based on the closing price as of the balance sheet date and is reported as "marketable securities" or "liabilities associated with marketable securities and other" in the consolidated balance sheets. The cost of securities sold is determined by using the high cost method. Net investment income, including interest income (expense), dividends, realized gains and losses and costs of investment, is reported as a component of "other income (expense)." Unrealized gains and losses on these investments are reported as "unrealized gain (loss) on marketable securities" in the consolidated statements of operations.

Deferred Costs, net—Debt issuance costs are reflected as a direct reduction to the related debt obligation on our consolidated balance sheets. Prior to its expiration, debt issuance costs associated with our secured revolving credit facility were presented as an asset on our consolidated balance sheets. Deferred loan costs are recorded at cost and amortized over the terms of the related indebtedness using the effective interest method. Deferred franchise fees are amortized on a straight line basis over the terms of the related franchise agreements and are presented as an asset on our consolidated balance sheets. See notes 7 and 9.

Intangible Assets and Liabilities—Intangible assets and liabilities represent the assets and liabilities recorded on certain hotel properties' ground lease contracts that were below or above market rates at the date of acquisition. These assets and liabilities are amortized using the straight line method over the remaining terms of the respective lease contracts. See note 8.

Derivative Instruments and Hedging—We use interest rate derivatives to hedge our risks and to capitalize on the historical correlation between changes in LIBOR (London Interbank Offered Rate) and RevPAR. Interest rate derivatives could include swaps, caps, floors, flooridors. We assess the effectiveness of each hedging relationship by comparing the changes in fair value or cash flows of the derivative hedging instrument with the changes in fair value or cash flows of the designated hedged item or transaction. We also use credit default swaps to hedge financial and capital market risk. All of our derivatives are subject to master- netting settlement arrangements and the credit default swaps are subject to credit support annexes. For credit default swaps, cash collateral is posted by us as well as our counterparty. We offset the fair value of the derivative and the obligation/right to return/reclaim cash collateral. We also purchase options on Eurodollar futures as a hedge against our cash flows. Eurodollar futures prices reflect market expectations for interest rates on three month Eurodollar deposits for specific dates in the future, and the final settlement price is determined by three month LIBOR on the last trading day. Options on Eurodollar futures provide the ability to limit losses while maintaining the possibility of profiting from favorable changes in the futures prices. As the purchaser, our maximum potential loss is limited to the initial premium paid for the Eurodollar option contracts,

while our potential gain has no limit. These exchange-traded options are centrally cleared, and a clearinghouse stands in between all trades to ensure that the obligations involved in the trades are made good.

All derivatives are recorded at fair value in accordance with the applicable authoritative accounting guidance. Interest rate derivatives, credit default swaps and options on futures contracts are reported as "derivative assets, net" in the consolidated balance sheets. Interest rate derivatives and futures, changes in fair value are recognized in earnings as "unrealized gain (loss) on derivatives" in the consolidated statements of operations. Accrued interest on non-hedge designated interest rate derivatives is included in "accounts receivable, net" in the consolidated balance sheets. For interest rate derivatives designated as cash flow hedges:

the effective portion of changes in fair value is initially reported as a component of "accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)" ("OCI") in the equity section of the consolidated balance sheets and reclassified to interest expense in the consolidated statements of operations in the period during which the hedged transaction affects earnings, and

<u>Table of Contents</u>
ASHFORD HOSPITALITY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

the ineffective portion of changes in fair value is recognized directly in earnings as "unrealized gain (loss) on b)derivatives" in the consolidated statements of operations. For the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014 there was no ineffectiveness.

For non-hedge designated interest rate derivatives, credit default swaps and options on futures contracts, changes in fair value and realized gains and losses are recognized in earnings as "unrealized gain (loss) on derivatives" and "other income (expense)", respectively, in the consolidated statements of operations.

Due to/from Related Party—Due to/from related party represents current receivables and payables resulting from transactions related to hotel management, project management and market services with a related party. Due to/from related party is generally settled within a period not exceeding one year.

Due to/from Ashford Prime OP, net—Due to/from Ashford Prime OP represents receivables and payables resulting from certain expenses. Due to/from Ashford Prime OP is generally settled within a period not exceeding one year. Due to/from Ashford Inc.—Due to/from Ashford Inc. represents current receivables and payables resulting primarily from advisory services fee, including reimbursable expenses. Due to/from Ashford Inc., is generally settled within a period not exceeding one year.

Due to/from Third-Party Hotel Managers—Due from third-party hotel managers primarily consists of amounts due from Marriott related to cash reserves held at the Marriott corporate level related to operating, real estate taxes and other items. Due to/from third-party hotel managers also represents current receivables and payables resulting from transactions related to hotel management.

Unfavorable Management Contract Liabilities—Certain management agreements assumed in previous acquisitions had terms that were more favorable to the respective managers than typical market management agreements at the acquisition dates. As a result, we recorded unfavorable contract liabilities related to those management agreements totaling \$23.4 million based on the present value of expected cash outflows over the initial terms of the related agreements. The unfavorable contract liabilities are amortized as reductions to incentive management fees on a straight-line basis over the initial terms of the related agreements. In evaluating unfavorable contract liabilities, our analysis involves considerable management judgment and assumptions.

Noncontrolling Interests—The redeemable noncontrolling interests in the operating partnership represent the limited partners' proportionate share of equity in earnings/losses of the operating partnership, which is an allocation of net income attributable to the common unit holders based on the weighted average ownership percentage of these limited partners' common unit holdings throughout the period plus distributions paid to these limited partners' Class B unit holdings. The redeemable noncontrolling interests in our operating partnership is classified in the mezzanine section of the consolidated balance sheets as these redeemable operating partnership units do not meet the requirements for permanent equity classification prescribed by the authoritative accounting guidance because these redeemable operating partnership units may be redeemed by the holder as described in note 14. The carrying value of the noncontrolling interests in the operating partnership is based on the greater of the accumulated historical cost or the redemption value.

The noncontrolling interests in consolidated entities represent ownership interests of 15% in two hotel properties held by one joint venture at December 31, 2016 and 2015, and is reported in equity in the consolidated balance sheets. Net income/loss attributable to redeemable noncontrolling interests in the operating partnership and income/loss from consolidated entities attributable to noncontrolling interests in our consolidated entities are reported as deductions/additions from/to net income/loss. Comprehensive income/loss attributable to these noncontrolling interests is reported as reductions/additions from/to comprehensive income/loss.

Revenue Recognition—Hotel revenues, including room, food, beverage, and ancillary revenues such as long-distance telephone service, laundry, parking and space rentals, are recognized when services have been rendered. Taxes collected from customers and submitted to taxing authorities are not recorded in revenue. Interest income (including accretion of discounts on the mezzanine loan using the effective interest method) is recognized when earned. We discontinue recording interest and amortizing discounts/premiums when the contractual payment of interest and/or

principal is not received when contractually due. We were reimbursed by PIM Highland JV for costs associated with managing its day-to-day operations and providing corporate administrative services such as accounting, insurance, marketing support, asset management and other services. Beginning with the three months ended March 31, 2014, we changed the presentation to report such reimbursements as "other" revenue as opposed to credits within "corporate, general and administrative" expense. This change had no impact on our financial condition or results

<u>Table of Contents</u>
ASHFORD HOSPITALITY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

of operations. As of March 6, 2015, we acquired the remaining approximate 28.26% of the PIM Highland JV which discontinued the aforementioned reimbursements.

Prior to the spin-off of Ashford Inc. in November 2014, we recognized advisory services revenue when services had been rendered. The quarterly base fee was equal to 0.7% per annum of the total market capitalization, as defined in the advisory agreement, of Ashford Prime, subject to certain minimums. Reimbursements for overhead and internal audit services were recognized when services had been rendered. We also recorded advisory services revenue for equity grants of Ashford Prime common stock and LTIP units awarded to our officers and employees in connection with providing advisory services equal to the fair value of the award in proportion to the requisite service period satisfied during the period, as well as an offsetting expense in an equal amount included in "corporate, general and administrative" expense.

Other Hotel Expenses—Other hotel expenses include internet, telephone charges, guest laundry, valet parking, and hotel-level general and administrative fees, sales and marketing expenses, repairs and maintenance, franchise fees and utility costs. They are expensed as incurred.

Advertising Costs—Advertising costs are charged to expense as incurred. For the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, our continuing operations incurred advertising costs of \$6.4 million, \$5.6 million and \$3.3 million, respectively. Advertising costs related to continuing operations are included in "other" hotel expenses in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

Equity-Based Compensation—Stock/unit-based compensation for non-employees is accounted for at fair value based on the market price of the shares at period end in accordance with applicable authoritative accounting guidance that results in recording expense, included in "advisory services fee," and "management fees" equal to the fair value of the award in proportion to the requisite service period satisfied during the period. Performance stock units ("PSUs") and performance-based Long-Term Incentive Plan ("Performance LTIP") units granted to certain executive officers are accounted for at fair value at period end based on a Monte Carlo simulation valuation model that results in recording expense, included in "advisory services fee," equal to the fair value of the award in proportion to the requisite service period satisfied during the period. Stock/unit grants to independent directors are recorded at fair value based on the market price of the shares at grant date, which amount is fully expensed as the grants of stock/units are fully vested on the date of grant.

Depreciation and Amortization—Owned hotel properties are depreciated over the estimated useful life of the assets and leasehold improvements are amortized over the shorter of the lease term or the estimated useful life of the related assets. Presently, hotel properties are depreciated using the straight-line method over lives ranging from 7.5 to 39 years for buildings and improvements and 1.5 to 5 years for furniture, fixtures and equipment. While we believe our estimates are reasonable, a change in estimated useful lives could affect depreciation and amortization expense and net income (loss) as well as resulting gains or losses on potential hotel sales.

Income Taxes—As a REIT, we generally are not subject to federal corporate income tax on the portion of our net income (loss) that does not relate to taxable REIT subsidiaries. However, Ashford TRS is treated as a taxable REIT subsidiary for federal income tax purposes. In accordance with authoritative accounting guidance, we account for income taxes related to Ashford TRS using the asset and liability method under which deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. In addition, the analysis utilized by us in determining our deferred tax asset valuation allowance involves considerable management judgment and assumptions.

The "Income Taxes" topic of the Financial Accounting Standards Board's ("FASB") Accounting Standards Codification addresses the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise's financial statements. The guidance requires us to determine whether tax positions we have taken or expect to take in a tax return are more likely than not to be sustained upon examination by the appropriate taxing authority based on the technical merits of the positions. Tax positions that do not meet the more likely than not threshold would be recorded as additional tax

expense in the current period. We analyze all open tax years, as defined by the statute of limitations for each jurisdiction, which includes the federal jurisdiction and various states. We classify interest and penalties related to underpayment of income taxes as income tax expense. We and our subsidiaries file income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction and various states and cities. Tax years 2012 through 2016 remain subject to potential examination by certain federal and state taxing authorities.

Income (Loss) Per Share—Basic income (loss) per common share is calculated by dividing net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders by the weighted average common shares outstanding during the period using the two-class method prescribed by applicable authoritative accounting guidance. Diluted income (loss) per common share is calculated using the two-class method, or the treasury stock method, if more dilutive. Diluted income (loss) per common share reflects the potential dilution

<u>Table of Contents</u>
ASHFORD HOSPITALITY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common shares were exercised or converted into common shares, whereby such exercise or conversion would result in lower income per share.

Recently Adopted Accounting Standards—In August 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-15, Disclosure of Uncertainties about an Entity's Ability to Continue as a Going Concern ("ASU 2014-15"), to provide guidance on management's responsibility to perform interim and annual assessments of an entity's ability to continue as a going concern. ASU 2014-15 also requires certain disclosures if conditions or events raise substantial doubt about the entity's ability to continue as a going concern. ASU 2014-15 applies to all entities and is effective for annual periods ending after December 15, 2016, and interim periods thereafter, with early adoption permitted. We have adopted this standard effective January 1, 2016, and the adoption of this standard did not have any impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In February 2015, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standard Update ("ASU") 2015-02, Amendments to the Consolidation Analysis ("ASU 2015-02"). The ASU amends the consolidation guidance for VIEs and general partners' investments in limited partnerships and modifies the evaluation of whether limited partnerships and similar legal entities are VIEs or voting interest entities. The ASU is effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2015, with early adoption permitted. We have adopted this standard effective January 1, 2016, and the adoption of this standard did not have an impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards—In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers ("ASU 2014-09"). ASU 2014-09 is a comprehensive new revenue recognition model, which requires a company to recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to a customer in an amount that reflects the consideration the company expects to receive in exchange for those goods or services. The update will replace most existing revenue recognition guidance in U.S. GAAP when it becomes effective. In August 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-14, Revenue From Contracts With Customers (Topic 606): Deferral of the Effective Date, which defers the effective date to fiscal periods beginning after December 15, 2017. The FASB has also issued additional updates that further clarify the requirements of Topic 606 and provide implementation guidance. Early adoption is permitted for fiscal periods beginning after December 15, 2016. The standard permits the use of either the retrospective or cumulative effect transition method. We are in the initial stages of evaluating the effect of the standard on our consolidated financial statements, including as it pertains to accounting for real estate sales, and continue to evaluate the available transition methods. However, we have not yet selected a transition method. Based on our initial and ongoing assessment of ASU 2014-09, we do not currently believe there will be a material impact to the amount or timing of revenue recognition for rooms revenue, food and beverage revenue and other hotel revenue. In January 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-01, Recognition and Measurement of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities ("ASU 2016-01"), which requires an entity to: (i) measure equity investments at fair value through net income, with certain exceptions; (ii) present in OCI the changes in instrument-specific credit risk for financial liabilities measured using the fair value option; (iii) present financial assets and financial liabilities by measurement category and form of financial asset; (iv) calculate the fair value of financial instruments for disclosure purposes based on an exit price and; (v) assess a valuation allowance on deferred tax assets related to unrealized losses of AFS debt securities in combination with other deferred tax assets. ASU 2016-01 provides an election to subsequently measure certain nonmarketable equity investments at cost less any impairment and adjusted for certain observable price changes. It also requires a qualitative impairment assessment of such equity investments and amends certain fair value disclosure requirements. ASU 2016-01 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within those fiscal years. Certain provisions of ASU 2016-01 are eligible for early adoption. We do not expect that ASU 2016-01 will have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-02, Leases ("ASU 2016-02"). The new standard establishes a right-of-use ("ROU") model that requires a lessee to record a ROU asset and a lease liability on the balance sheet for all

leases with terms longer than 12 months. Leases will be classified as either finance or operating, with classification affecting the pattern of expense recognition in the income statement. ASU 2016-02 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, including interim periods within those fiscal years. Early adoption is permitted. A modified retrospective transition approach is required for lessees for capital and operating leases existing at, or entered into after, the beginning of the earliest comparative period presented in the financial statements, with certain practical expedients available. The accounting for leases under which we are the lessor remains largely unchanged. While we are currently in the initial stages of assessing the impact that ASU 2016-02 will have on our consolidated financial statements, we expect the primary impact to our consolidated financial statements upon adoption will be the recognition, on a discounted basis, of our future minimum rentals due under noncancelable leases on our consolidated balance sheets resulting in the recording of ROU assets and lease obligations. Our current minimum commitments under noncancelable operating leases are disclosed in note 13. We have not yet selected a transition method.

<u>Table of Contents</u>
ASHFORD HOSPITALITY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-07, Simplifying the Transition to the Equity Method of Accounting ("ASU 2016-07"), which simplifies the equity method of accounting by eliminating the requirement to retrospectively apply the equity method to an investment that subsequently qualifies for such accounting as a result of an increase in the level of ownership interest or degree of influence. ASU 2016-07 requires that unrealized holding gains or losses in accumulated other comprehensive income related to an available-for-sale security that becomes eligible for the equity method be recognized in earnings as of the date on which the investment qualifies for the equity method. ASU 2016-07 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim periods within those fiscal years. Early adoption is permitted. We are currently evaluating the impact that ASU 2016-07 will have on our consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

In June 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-13, Financial Instruments - Credit Losses (Topic 326): Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments ("ASU 2016-13"). The ASU sets forth an "expected credit loss" impairment model to replace the current "incurred loss" method of recognizing credit losses. The standard requires measurement and recognition of expected credit losses for most financial assets held. The ASU is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2019, including interim periods within those fiscal years. Early adoption is permitted for periods beginning after December 15, 2018. We are currently evaluating the impact that ASU 2016-13 will have on the consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

In August 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-15, Statement of Cash Flows (Topic 230): Classification of Certain Cash Receipts and Cash Payments - a consensus of the Emerging Issues Task Force ("ASU 2016-15"). The new guidance is intended to reduce diversity in practice in how certain transactions are classified in the statement of cash flows. Certain issues addressed in this guidance include - Debt payments or debt extinguishment costs, contingent consideration payments made after a business combination, proceeds from the settlement of insurance claims, distributions received from equity method investments and beneficial interests in securitization transactions. ASU 2016-15 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017, and interim periods within those fiscal years. Early adoption is permitted. We are currently evaluating the impact that ASU 2016-15 will have on our consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

In November 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-18, Statement of Cash Flows (Topic 230): Restricted Cash ("ASU 2016-18"), which clarifies the presentation of restricted cash and restricted cash equivalents in the statements of cash flows. Under ASU 2016-18 restricted cash and restricted cash equivalents are included with cash and cash equivalents when reconciling the beginning-of-period and end-of-period total amounts shown on the statements of cash flows. ASU 2016-18 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017, and interim periods within those fiscal years. Early adoption is permitted. We anticipate that our restricted cash will be included with cash and cash equivalents in our consolidated statements of cash flows upon adoption of ASU 2016-18. We are currently evaluating whether we will early adopt ASU 2016-18.

In January 2017, the FASB issued ASU 2017-01, Business Combinations (Topic 805) - Clarifying the Definition of a Business ("ASU 2017-01"), which clarifies the definition of a business with the objective of adding guidance to assist entities with evaluating whether a transaction should be accounted for as an acquisition (or disposal) of an asset or a business. ASU 2017-01 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017. Early adoption is permitted. While we are currently evaluating the potential impact of the standard, we currently expect that certain future hotel acquisitions may be considered asset acquisitions rather than business combinations, which would affect capitalization of acquisitions costs (such costs are expensed for business combinations and capitalized for asset acquisitions). In February 2017, the FASB issued ASU 2017-05, Other Income-Gains and Losses from the Derecognition of Nonfinancial Assets (Subtopic 610-20): Clarifying the Scope of Asset Derecognition Guidance and Accounting for Partial Sales of Nonfinancial Assets (ASU "2017-05"), which clarifies the scope of ASC Subtopic 610-20, Other Income-Gains and Losses from the Derecognition of Nonfinancial Assets and adds guidance for partial sales of nonfinancial assets. ASU 2017-05 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017. Early adoption is permitted. An entity may elect to apply ASU 2017-05 under a retrospective or modified retrospective approach. We

are evaluating the impact that ASU 2017-05 will have on our consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

#### **Table of Contents**

ASHFORD HOSPITALITY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

#### 3. Investments in Hotel Properties

Investments in hotel properties consisted of the following (in thousands):

|                                      | December 31, |             |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|
|                                      | 2016         | 2015        |
| Land                                 | \$663,013    | \$704,534   |
| Buildings and improvements           | 3,913,377    | 4,026,857   |
| Furniture, fixtures and equipment    | 434,091      | 406,893     |
| Construction in progress             | 32,525       | 31,235      |
| Condominium properties               | 11,558       | 11,947      |
| Total cost                           | 5,054,564    | 5,181,466   |
| Accumulated depreciation             | (894,001)    | (761,782)   |
| Investments in hotel properties, net | \$4,160,563  | \$4,419,684 |

The cost of land and depreciable property, net of accumulated depreciation, for federal income tax purposes was approximately \$3.4 billion and \$3.7 billion as of December 31, 2016 and 2015.

For the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, we recognized depreciation expense, including depreciation of discontinued hotel properties, of \$243.6 million, \$210.1 million and \$110.6 million, respectively.

Final Purchase Price Allocation

Hotel Indigo - Atlanta

On October 15, 2015, we acquired a 100% interest in the Hotel Indigo ("Indigo Atlanta") in Atlanta, Georgia for total consideration of \$26.9 million. As part of the transaction, we assumed a mortgage loan with a fair value of \$16.6 million. See note 9. The remaining purchase price was funded in cash. We prepared a purchase price allocation of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed. The final purchase price allocation was completed with the assistance of a third party appraisal firm during the three months ended March 31, 2016. This valuation is considered a Level 3 valuation technique.

The following table summarizes the estimated fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the acquisition (in thousands):

| Land                               | \$3,230  |
|------------------------------------|----------|
| Buildings and improvements         | 22,135   |
| Furniture, fixtures, and equipment | 1,576    |
|                                    | 26,941   |
| Indebtedness                       | (16,581) |
| Net other assets and liabilities   | 425      |

Acquisitions

Marriott San Antonio - Land Acquisition

On September 13, 2016, we acquired a 100% interest in the land underlying and adjacent to the Marriott San Antonio hotel in San Antonio, Texas for total consideration of \$2.0 million in cash. Upon purchase of the land, we wrote-off approximately \$564,000 of an intangible asset associated with a below market lease reported as a component of "other expenses" in the consolidated statements of operations.

Savannah - Dock Acquisition

On October 6, 2016, we acquired a 100% interest in a permanent exclusive docking easement, a leasehold interest and certain floating docks in riverfront land located in front of the Hyatt Savannah hotel in Savannah, Georgia for total consideration of approximately \$1.2 million in cash.

#### **Table of Contents**

ASHFORD HOSPITALITY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

#### 4. Note Receivable

In February 2010, the mezzanine loan secured by the Ritz-Carlton hotel property in Key Biscayne, Florida, with a principal amount of \$38.0 million and a net carrying value of \$23.0 million at December 31, 2009 was restructured. In connection with the restructuring, we received a cash payment of \$20.2 million and a \$4.0 million note receivable. We recorded a net impairment charge of \$10.7 million in 2009 on the original mezzanine loan. The restructured note bore interest at a rate of 6.09% and was set to mature in June 2017 with interest only payments through maturity. The note receivable was recorded at its net present value of \$3.0 million at restructuring, based on its future cash flows. The interest payments were recorded as reductions of the principal of the note receivable, and the valuation adjustments to the net carrying amount of this note was recorded as a credit to impairment charges.

On December 2, 2016, the note receivable was paid in full. The remaining valuation allowance of \$6.6 million related to the original mezzanine loan was removed upon settlement.

The following table summarizes the changes in allowance for losses for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014 (in thousands):

|   | 2016        | 2015    | 2014    |
|---|-------------|---------|---------|
| Balance at beginning of period                        | \$7,083     | \$7,522 | \$7,937 |
| Valuation adjustments (credits to impairment charges) | (500)       | (439)   | (415)   |
| Charge-offs   | (6,583)     | _       |         |
| Balance at end of period                              | <b>\$</b> — | \$7,083 | \$7,522 |

5. Investment in Unconsolidated Entities

#### Ashford Inc.

On February 27, 2014, we announced that our board of directors had approved a plan to spin-off our asset management business into a separate publicly traded company in the form of a taxable special distribution. The spin-off was completed on November 12, 2014, with a pro-rata taxable distribution of Ashford Inc.'s common stock to our common stockholders of record as of November 11, 2014. The distribution was comprised of one share of Ashford Inc. common stock for every 87 shares of our common stock held by our stockholders. In addition for each common unit of our operating partnership, the holder received a common unit of the operating limited liability company subsidiary of Ashford Inc. Each holder of common units of the operating limited liability company of Ashford Inc. could exchange up to 99% of those units for shares of Ashford Inc. stock at the rate of one share of Ashford Inc. common stock for every 55 common units of the operating limited liability company subsidiary of Ashford Inc. The exchange occurred on November 12, 2014, simultaneously with the distribution to common stockholders. Following the spin-off, we continue to hold approximately 598,000 shares of Ashford Inc. common stock, which represented an approximate 30.1% ownership interest in Ashford Inc. at the time of the spin-off. In connection with the spin-off, we entered into an advisory agreement with Ashford Inc. As of December 31, 2016, we owned an approximate 29.7% ownership interest, with a fair value of \$25.8 million, in Ashford Inc.

#### **Table of Contents**

ASHFORD HOSPITALITY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

The following tables summarize the condensed balance sheets as of December 31, 2016 and 2015 and the condensed statements of operations for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014 of Ashford Inc. as well as our equity in loss since November 13, 2014 (in thousands):

Ashford Inc.

**Condensed Balance Sheets** 

|   | December  | December 31, |
|---|-----------|--------------|
|   | 31, 2016  | 2015         |
| Total assets                                      | \$129,797 | \$ 166,991   |
| Total liabilities                                 | 38,168    | 30,115       |
| Redeemable noncontrolling interests               | 1,480     | 240          |
| Total stockholders' equity of Ashford Inc.        | 37,377    | 32,165       |
| Noncontrolling interests in consolidated entities | 52,772    | 104,471      |
| Total equity                                      | 90,149    | 136,636      |
| Total liabilities and equity                      | \$129,797 | \$ 166,991   |
| Our ownership interest in Ashford Inc.            | \$5,873   | \$ 6,616     |
| Ashford Inc.                                      |           |              |

**Condensed Statements of Operations** 

|   | Year Ended December 31,        |
|---|--------------------------------|
|   | 2016 2015 2014                 |
| Total revenue   | \$67,607 \$58,981 \$17,288     |
| Total expenses  | (70,064) (60,332) (63,586)     |
| Operating income (loss)   | (2,457 ) (1,351 ) (46,298 )    |
| Realized and unrealized gain (loss) on investment in unconsolidated entity, net   | (1,460 ) (2,141 ) —            |
| Realized and unrealized gain (loss) on investments, net                           | (7,787 ) (7,600 ) —            |
| Other income (expense)  | 81 1,114 —                     |
| Income tax benefit (expense)  | (780 ) (2,066 ) (783 )         |
| Net income (loss)   | (12,403) (12,044) (47,081)     |
| (Income) loss from consolidated entities attributable to noncontrolling interests | 8,860 10,852 647               |
| Net (income) loss attributable to redeemable noncontrolling interests             | 1,147 2 24                     |
| Net income (loss) attributable to Ashford Inc.                                    | \$(2,396) \$(1,190) \$(46,410) |
| Our equity in earnings (loss) of Ashford Inc.                                     | \$(743 ) \$(483 ) \$(3,245 )   |
| AOUA II C. Frank  |                                |

AQUA U.S. Fund

In June 2015, for consideration of certain marketable securities, we obtained a 52.4% ownership interest in the AQUA U.S. Fund, previously named the REHE Fund. The AQUA U.S. Fund is managed by Ashford Investment Management, LLC ("AIM"), an indirect subsidiary of Ashford Inc. As of and for the year ended December 31, 2016, the AQUA U.S. Fund was consolidated by Ashford Inc. The AQUA U.S. Fund invests substantially all of its assets in the AIM Real Estate Hedged Equity Master Fund, LP (the "Master Fund"), and as a consequence of our investment in the AQUA U.S. Fund, we obtained an indirect interest in the Master Fund. Our maximum exposure of loss is limited to our investment in the AQUA U.S. Fund.

The Master Fund generally invests in publicly traded equity securities and put and call options on publicly traded equity securities. The AQUA U.S. Fund records its investment in the Master Fund at its proportionate share of net assets. Income (loss) and distributions are allocated to the AQUA U.S. Fund's partners based on their ownership percentage of the AQUA U.S. Fund. Our equity in loss in the AQUA U.S. Fund represents our share of the AQUA U.S. Fund's loss for the year ended December 31, 2016 and for the period from June 1, 2015 through December 31, 2015. We generally may redeem our investment in the AQUA U.S. Fund on the last business day of the month after providing written notice. As of December 31, 2016, we have no unfunded

#### **Table of Contents**

ASHFORD HOSPITALITY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

commitments. We are not obligated to pay any portion of the management fee or the performance allocation in favor of the AQUA U.S. Fund's investment manager and general partner, respectively, but do share pro rata in all other applicable expenses of the AQUA U.S. Fund. As of December 31, 2016 and 2015, we owned an approximate 96.6% and 52.4% ownership interest in the AQUA U.S. Fund, respectively.

The following tables summarize the balance sheets as of December 31, 2016 and 2015 and the statements of operations for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 of the AQUA U.S. Fund (in thousands): Ashford Quantitative Alternatives (U.S.), LP

**Condensed Balance Sheets** 

|  | December 31 | , December 31, |
|--|-------------|----------------|
|  | 2016        | 2015           |
| Total assets                                 | \$ 55,022   | \$ 106,792     |
| Total liabilities                            | 2,311       | _              |
| Partners' capital                            | 52,711      | 106,792        |
| Total liabilities and partners' capital      | \$ 55,022   | \$ 106,792     |
| Our ownership interest in the AQUA U.S. Fund | \$ 50,890   | \$ 55,952      |
| Ashford Quantitative Alternatives (U.S.), LP |             |                |
| Condensed Statements of Operations           |             |                |

|   | Year Ended          |  |
|---|---------------------|--|
|   | December 31,        |  |
|   | 2016 2015           |  |
| Total investment income                             | \$200 \$1,266       |  |
| Net expenses  | (401 ) (273 )       |  |
| Net investment income (loss)                        | (201 ) 993          |  |
| Net unrealized gain (loss) on investments           | 1,114 (2,308)       |  |
| Net realized gain (loss) on investments             | (8,773 ) (5,103 )   |  |
| Net gain (loss) attributable to the AQUA U.S. Fund  | \$(7,860) \$(6,418) |  |
| Our equity in earnings (loss) of the AQUA U.S. Fund | \$(5,062) \$(3,386) |  |
| Other   |                     |  |

In July 2015, we announced that our board of directors declared the distribution (1) to our stockholders of approximately 4.1 million shares of common stock of Ashford Hospitality Prime, Inc. ("Ashford Prime") to be received by us upon redemption of common units of Ashford Hospitality Prime Limited Partnership, the operating partnership of Ashford Prime ("Ashford Prime OP") and (2) to the common unitholders of Ashford Trust OP of our remaining common units of Ashford Prime OP. The distribution occurred on July 27, 2015. As a result of the distribution, we no longer retain an interest in Ashford Prime. The previously deferred gain of \$599,000 from the sale of the Pier House Resort in March 2014 was recognized during the year ended December 31, 2015.

In 2016, the Company made investments totaling \$2.3 million in OpenKey, which is controlled and consolidated by Ashford Inc., for a 13.3% ownership interest. Our investment is recorded as a component of "investment in unconsolidated entities" in our consolidated balance sheet and is accounted for under the equity method of accounting as we have been deemed to have significant influence over the entity under the applicable accounting guidance. As of December 31, 2016, our 13.3% ownership interest had a carrying value of \$2.0 million. For the year ended December 31, 2016, our equity in loss of the unconsolidated entity was \$305,000.

We held a 14.4% subordinated beneficial interest in a trust of the Four Seasons property in Nevis, which had a carrying value of zero. In February 2016, the Four Seasons hotel property in Nevis was sold. No gain or loss was recognized associated with our 14.4% subordinated beneficial interest. As a result of the sale, we have no ownership interest in the hotel property as of December 31, 2016.

# <u>Table of Contents</u> ASHFORD HOSPITALITY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

# 6. Hotel Dispositions, Assets Held for Sale and Impairment Charges Hotel Dispositions

On June 1, 2016, the Company sold the Noble Five Hotels, a 5-hotel portfolio of select-service hotel properties for approximately \$142.0 million in cash. The sale resulted in a gain of \$22.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 and is included in "gain (loss) on acquisition of PIM Highland JV and sale of hotel properties" in the consolidated statements of operations. The portfolio is comprised of the Courtyard Edison in Edison, New Jersey; the Residence Inn Buckhead in Atlanta, Georgia; the Courtyard Lake Buena Vista, the Fairfield Inn Lake Buena Vista and the SpringHill Suites Lake Buena Vista in Orlando, Florida.

On September 1, 2016, the Company sold the Hampton Inn Gainesville for approximately \$26.5 million in cash. The sale resulted in a gain of \$1.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 and is included in "gain (loss) on acquisition of PIM Highland JV and sale of hotel properties" in the consolidated statements of operations. On October 1, 2016, the Company sold the SpringHill Suites in Gaithersburg, Maryland for approximately \$13.2 million. The consideration received from the sale was a combination of cash and approximately 2.0 million Class B common units of the Company's operating partnership. The Class B operating partnership units were redeemed at a price of \$5.74 per unit, or a price of \$6.05 per common share after taking into account the current conversion factor. The Company also paid off approximately \$10.4 million of debt associated with the hotel property. The sale resulted in a loss of \$223,000 for the year ended December 31, 2016 and is included in "gain (loss) on acquisition of PIM Highland JV and sale of hotel properties" in the consolidated statements of operations (see impairment discussion below).

On October 7, 2016, the Company sold the Courtyard and Residence Inn in Palm Desert, California for \$36.0 million. The consideration received from the sale was a combination of cash and assumption of approximately \$23.8 million of mortgage debt associated with the hotel properties. The sale resulted in a gain of \$7.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 and is included in "gain (loss) on acquisition of PIM Highland JV and sale of hotel properties" in the consolidated statements of operations.

We included the results of operations for these hotel properties through the date of disposition in net income (loss) as shown in the consolidated statements of operations for the year ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The following table includes condensed financial information from these hotel properties (in thousands):

|  | 1 1      | ,         | ,        |     |
|--|----------|-----------|----------|-----|
|  | Year End | ed Decemb | oer 31,  |     |
|  | 2016     | 2015      | 2014     |     |
| Total hotel revenue  | \$36,985 | \$65,328  | \$56,547 | 7   |
| Total hotel operating expenses   | (23,276) | (41,801)  | (37,002  | , ) |
| Operating income (loss)  | 13,709   | 23,527    | 19,545   |     |
| Property taxes, insurance and other  | (1,699)  | (3,402)   | (2,817   | )   |
| Depreciation and amortization  | (4,564)  | (10,131)  | (7,533   | )   |
| Impairment charges   | (5,039)  | (2,817)   |          |     |
| Gain (loss) on sale of hotel properties  | 31,599   |           |          |     |
| Interest expense and amortization of loan costs  | (4,684)  | (8,418)   | (8,097   | )   |
| Write-off of loan costs and exit fees  | (5,076)  |           |          |     |
| Income (loss) before income taxes  | 24,246   | (1,241)   | 1,098    |     |
| (Income) loss before income taxes attributable to redeemable noncontrolling interests in operating partnership | n(3,511) | 166       | (143     | )   |
| Income (loss) before income taxes attributable to the Company  | \$20,735 | \$(1,075) | \$955    |     |
|  |          |           |          |     |

#### **Table of Contents**

ASHFORD HOSPITALITY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

In November 2014, we completed the sale of the Homewood Suites hotel in Mobile, Alabama. Since this hotel property sold prior to our adoption of ASU 2014-08, we will continue to present the operating results as discontinued operations in the statements of operations for all applicable periods presented. The following table includes condensed financial information from this hotel for the year ended December 31, 2014 (in thousands):

| ·   | Year     |    |
|---|----------|----|
|   | Ended    |    |
|   | Decemb   | er |
|   | 31,      |    |
|   | 2014     |    |
| Hotel revenues  | \$ 2,479 |    |
| Hotel operating expenses  | (1,678   | )  |
| Operating income (loss)   | 801      |    |
| Property taxes, insurance and other   | (109     | )  |
| Depreciation and amortization   | (278     | )  |
| Interest expense and amortization of loan costs   | (332     | )  |
| Income (loss) on sale of hotel property   | (49      | )  |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations  | 33       |    |
| (Income) loss from discontinued operations attributable to redeemable noncontrolling interests in | (4       | )  |
| operating partnership   | (+       | ,  |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations attributable to the Company                            | \$ 29    |    |
| Assets Held For Sale  |          |    |

At December 31, 2016, the Renaissance hotel in Portsmouth, Virginia ("Renaissance Portsmouth") and the Embassy Suites in Syracuse, New York ("Embassy Suites Syracuse") were classified as held for sale in the consolidated balance sheet based on methodologies discussed in note 2. Since the sale of the properties does not represent a strategic shift that has (or will have) a major effect on our operations or financial results, their results of operation were not reported as discontinued operations in the consolidated financial statements. Depreciation and amortization were ceased as of the date the assets were deemed held for sale. For the year ended December 31, 2016, total revenue of \$18.7 million, and net income (excluding impairment charges discussed below) of \$499,000, are included in our consolidated statements of operations. On February 1, 2017, we completed the sale of the Renaissance Portsmouth for approximately \$9.2 million. On March 6, 2017, we completed the sale of the Embassy Suites Syracuse for approximately \$8.8 million. See note 24.

The major classes of assets and liabilities related to the assets held for sale included in the consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2016 were as follows:

|                                      | December 31, 2016 |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Assets                               |                   |
| Investments in hotel properties, net | \$ 17,232         |
| Cash and cash equivalents            | 976               |
| Restricted cash                      | 392               |
| Accounts receivable                  | 305               |
| Inventories                          | 96                |
| Deferred costs, net                  | 4                 |
| Prepaid expenses                     | 309               |
| Other assets                         | 274               |
| Assets held for sale                 | \$ 19,588         |

#### Liabilities

Indebtedness, net \$ 35,679 Accounts payable and accrued expenses 1,323 Due to related party, net 45 Liabilities related to assets held for sale \$ 37,047

#### **Table of Contents**

ASHFORD HOSPITALITY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

#### Hotel Impairments

During 2016, we recorded impairment charges of \$18.3 million related to three hotel properties. The impairment charges occurred at the SpringHill Suites Gaithersburg, Embassy Suites Syracuse and the Renaissance Portsmouth in the amounts of \$5.0 million, \$4.1 million and \$9.2 million, respectively. The impairment charges were based on methodologies discussed in note 2, which are considered Level 3 valuation techniques. On October 1, 2016, the Company completed the sale of the SpringHill Suites Gaithersburg for approximately \$13.2 million.

In 2015, we announced a plan to commence the process to list for sale 24 select-service hotels. While we have determined this announcement did not meet the criteria to classify the 24 select-service hotels as held for sale, we have concluded that these properties were not to be held long-term. Based on our impairment assessment of individual properties, we recorded an impairment charge of \$19.9 million related to two hotel properties in the second quarter of 2015. The impairment charges occurred at the Residence Inn in Las Vegas, Nevada and the SpringHill Suites in Gaithersburg, Maryland, in the amounts of \$17.1 million and \$2.8 million, respectively. The impairment charges were based on methodologies discussed in note 2, which are considered Level 3 valuation techniques. Our estimates of fair value reduced the respective carrying values of the Residence Inn in Las Vegas, Nevada and the SpringHill Suites in Gaithersburg, Maryland to \$37.5 million and \$15.3 million, respectively.

#### 7. Deferred Costs, net

Deferred costs, net consist of the following (in thousands):

|  | December 31, |         |
|--|--------------|---------|
|  | 2016         | 2015    |
| Deferred franchise fees                        | \$4,602      | \$5,086 |
| Deferred loan costs                            | _            | 638     |
| Total costs                                    | 4,602        | 5,724   |
| Accumulated amortization                       | (1,752)      | (1,877) |
|  | \$2,850      | \$3,847 |
| Deferred costs related to assets held for sale | (4)          |         |
| Deferred costs net                             | \$2.846      |         |

8. Intangible Assets, net and Intangible Liabilities, net

Intangible assets, net and intangible liabilities, net consisted of the following (in thousands):

|                          | miangible Assets, net |          | Intangible | Liability, |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|----------|------------|------------|
|                          |                       |          | net        |            |
|                          | December              | 31,      | December   | 31,        |
|                          | 2016                  | 2015     | 2016       | 2015       |
| Cost                     | \$10,276              | \$11,499 | \$16,846   | \$16,817   |
| Accumulated amortization | (215)                 | (156)    | (651)      | (323)      |
|                          | \$10,061              | \$11,343 | \$16,195   | \$16,494   |

The intangible assets and intangible liabilities noted above represent the above-market rate leases (liability) and below-market rate leases (asset) that were determined based on the comparison of rent due under the ground lease contracts assumed in the acquisitions to market rates for the remaining duration of the lease contracts and are amortized over their respective ground lease terms with expiration dates ranging from 2024 to 2114. For the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, net amortization related to intangibles was a reduction in lease expense of \$156,000 and \$167,000, respectively.

In connection with the acquisition of the land underlying and adjacent to the Marriott San Antonio in San Antonio, Texas discussed in note 3, we wrote-off approximately \$564,000 of an intangible asset associated with a below market lease. Also, as a result of the sale of the Hampton Inn & Suites in Gainesville, Florida discussed in note 6, we wrote-off approximately \$1.4 million of an intangible asset associated with a below market lease. In connection with the acquisition of the permanent exclusive docking easement for riverfront land located in front of the Hyatt Savannah

hotel in Savannah, Georgia discussed in note 3, we recorded an intangible asset of approximately \$797,000. This intangible asset is not subject to amortization and has a carrying value of \$797,000 as of December 31, 2016.

#### Table of Contents

ASHFORD HOSPITALITY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

Estimated future net amortization expense for intangible assets and intangible liabilities for each of the next five years is as follows (in thousands):

|            | Intangible | Intangible  |  |  |  |
|------------|------------|-------------|--|--|--|
|            | Assets     | Liabilities |  |  |  |
| 2017       | \$ 118     | \$ 356      |  |  |  |
| 2018       | 118        | 356         |  |  |  |
| 2019       | 118        | 356         |  |  |  |
| 2020       | 118        | 356         |  |  |  |
| 2021       | 118        | 356         |  |  |  |
| Thereafter | :8,674     | 14,415      |  |  |  |
| Total      | \$ 9,264   | \$ 16,195   |  |  |  |

# Table of Contents ASHFORD HOSPITALITY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

9. Indebtedness, net Indebtedness of our continuing operations and the carrying values of related collateral were as follows at December 31, 2016 and 2015 (in thousands):

| December 31, 2016 and 2013 (in thous  |            | inousanus):     |  | December 31, 2016<br>Book |                           | December 31, 2015<br>Book |                     |
|---------------------------------------|------------|-----------------|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| Indebtedness                          | Collateral | Maturity        | Interest Rate  | Debt<br>Balance           | Value<br>of<br>Collateral | Debt<br>Balance           | Value of Collateral |
| Secured revolving credit facility (3) | None       | October 2016    | Base Rate $^{(2)}$ + 2.00% or LIBOR $^{(1)}$ + 3.00% |                           | \$                        | \$—                       | \$ —                |
| Mortgage loan (4)                     | 8 hotels   | January<br>2017 | LIBOR (1) + 4.95%                                    | 376,800                   | 355,707                   | 376,800                   | 366,160             |
| Mortgage loan (5)                     | 5 hotels   | February 2017   | LIBOR $^{(1)} + 4.75\%$                              | 200,000                   | 205,111                   | 200,000                   | 209,550             |
| Mortgage loan (7) (14)                | 24 hotels  | April 2017      | LIBOR $^{(1)}$ + 4.39%                               | 1,070,560                 | 1,278,932                 | 1,070,560                 | 1,301,840           |
| Mortgage loan (6)                     | 1 hotel    | April 2017      | LIBOR $^{(1)}$ + 4.95%                               | 33,300                    | 40,738                    | 33,300                    | 42,273              |
| Mortgage loan (8)                     | 5 hotels   | April 2017      | 5.95%  | _                         | _                         | 110,302                   | 124,038             |
| Mortgage loan (13)                    | 5 hotels   | April 2017      | 5.95%  | _                         | _                         | 99,144                    | 118,946             |
| Mortgage loan (8)                     | 5 hotels   | April 2017      | 5.95%  | _                         |                           | 150,860                   | 156,217             |
| Mortgage loan (8)                     | 7 hotels   | April 2017      | 5.95%  | _                         |                           | 120,671                   | 140,860             |
| Mortgage loan (6)                     | 1 hotel    | May 2017        | LIBOR $^{(1)}$ + 5.10%                               | 25,100                    | 33,801                    | 25,100                    | 33,938              |
| Mortgage loan (6)                     | 1 hotel    | June 2017       | LIBOR $^{(1)}$ + 5.10%                               | 43,750                    | 60,260                    | 43,750                    | 61,197              |
| Mortgage loan                         | 1 hotel    | June 2017       | 5.98%  | 15,729                    | 25,714                    | 16,002                    | 26,668              |
| Mortgage loan (6)                     | 8 hotels   | July 2017       | LIBOR $^{(1)}$ + 4.09%                               | 144,000                   | 178,738                   | 144,000                   | 182,139             |
| Mortgage loan (6)                     | 1 hotel    | July 2017       | LIBOR $^{(1)}$ + 4.15%                               | 35,200                    | 37,375                    | 35,200                    | 38,623              |
| Mortgage loan (6)                     | 1 hotel    | July 2017       | LIBOR $^{(1)}$ + 5.10%                               | 40,500                    | 53,526                    | 40,500                    | 55,600              |
| Mortgage loan (9) (15)                | 7 hotels   | August 2017     | LIBOR (1) + 4.35%                                    | 301,000                   | 185,804                   | 301,000                   | 193,777             |
| Mortgage loan (9) (10)                | 4 hotels   | August 2017     | LIBOR (1) + 4.38%                                    | 52,530                    | 66,725                    | 62,900                    | 85,463              |
| Mortgage loan (8)                     | 1 hotel    | August 2017     | LIBOR (1) + 4.20%                                    | _                         | _                         | 37,500                    | 46,335              |
| Mortgage loan (7)                     | 17 hotels  | December 2017   | LIBOR (1) + 5.52%                                    | 412,500                   | 302,417                   | 375,000                   | 295,020             |
| Mortgage loan                         | 1 hotel    | January<br>2018 | 4.38%  | 96,169                    | 195,768                   | 98,016                    | 193,321             |
| Mortgage loan                         | 2 hotels   | January<br>2018 | 4.44%  | 105,047                   | 228,433                   | 107,054                   | 232,247             |
| Mortgage loan (11) (13)               | 1 hotel    | July 2018       | LIBOR $^{(1)}$ + 4.50%                               | _                         |                           | 21,200                    | 23,222              |
| Mortgage loan (11)                    | 1 hotel    | August 2018     | LIBOR (1) + 4.95%                                    | 12,000                    | 15,010                    | 12,000                    | 14,978              |
| Mortgage loan (8)                     | 18 hotels  | October 2018    | LIBOR (1) + 4.55%                                    | 450,000                   | 457,040                   | _                         | _                   |
| Mortgage loan (12)                    | 1 hotel    | July 2019       | 4.00%  | 5,436                     | 8,326                     | 5,524                     | 8,320               |
| Mortgage loan                         | 1 hotel    | November 2020   | 6.26%  | 96,873                    | 124,654                   | 98,420                    | 114,480             |

| Edgar Filing: | <b>ASHFORD</b> | <b>HOSPITALITY</b> | TRUST INC | - Form 10-K |
|---------------|----------------|--------------------|-----------|-------------|
|---------------|----------------|--------------------|-----------|-------------|

| Mortgage loan                                     | 1 hotel  | May 2023        | 5.46%                        | 54,685             | 84,854      | 55,524               | 87,692    |
|---|----------|-----------------|------------------------------|--------------------|-------------|----------------------|-----------|
| Mortgage loan                                     | 1 hotel  | January<br>2024 | 5.49%                        | 10,378             | 15,229      | 10,529               | 15,655    |
| Mortgage loan                                     | 1 hotel  | January<br>2024 | 5.49%                        | 7,111              | 10,092      | 7,214                | 10,698    |
| Mortgage loan                                     | 1 hotel  | May 2024        | 4.99%                        | 6,641              | 7,922       | 6,745                | 8,528     |
| Mortgage loan                                     | 3 hotels | August 2024     | 5.20%                        | 67,164             | 51,659      | 67,520               | 46,358    |
| Mortgage loan                                     | 2 hotels | August 2024     | 4.85%                        | 12,427             | 8,910       | 12,500               | 9,223     |
| Mortgage loan                                     | 3 hotels | August 2024     | 4.90%                        | 24,836             | 16,647      | 24,980               | 15,880    |
| Mortgage loan (13)                                | 2 hotels | February 2025   | 4.45%                        | _                  | _           | 24,147               | 28,739    |
| Mortgage loan                                     | 3 hotels | February 2025   | 4.45%                        | 53,293             | 69,036      | 54,110               | 70,637    |
| Mortgage loan                                     | 2 hotels | February 2025   | 4.45%                        | 20,575             | 10,952      | 20,919               | 11,807    |
| Premiums, net                                     |          |                 |                              | 3,773,604<br>3,523 | \$4,129,380 | \$3,868,991<br>5,626 | 4,370,429 |
| Deferred loan costs,                              |          |                 |                              | (17,889            | )           | (34,000)             |           |
| net   |          |                 |                              | \$3,759,238        |             | \$3,840,617          |           |
| Indebtedness related                              |          |                 |                              |                    |             |                      |           |
| to assets held for sale (14)                      | 1 hotel  | April 2017      | LIBOR <sup>(1)</sup> + 4.39% | 16,080             |             |                      |           |
| Indebtedness related to assets held for sale (15) | 1 hotel  | August<br>2017  | LIBOR (1) + 4.35%            | 19,599             |             |                      |           |
| Indebtedness, net                                 |          |                 |                              | \$3,723,559        |             |                      |           |
|   |          |                 |                              |                    |             |                      |           |

#### Table of Contents

ASHFORD HOSPITALITY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

- (1) LIBOR rates were 0.772% and 0.430% at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.
- (2) Base Rate, as defined in the secured revolving credit facility agreement is the greater of (i) the prime rate set by Bank of America, (ii) federal funds rate + 0.5% or (iii) LIBOR + 1.0%.
- (3) Our borrowing capacity under our secured revolving credit facility was \$100.0 million. The secured revolving credit facility expired in October 2016.
- (4) This mortgage loan has three one-year extension options subject to satisfaction of certain conditions. The first one-year extension period began in January 2017.
- (5) This mortgage loan has three one-year extension options subject to satisfaction of certain conditions and a LIBOR floor of 0.20%. The second one-year extension period began in February 2017.
- (6) This mortgage loan has three one-year extension options subject to satisfaction of certain conditions.
- (7) This mortgage loan has four one-year extension options subject to satisfaction of certain conditions.
- <sup>(8)</sup> On October 7, 2016, we refinanced four mortgage loans totaling \$415.0 million set to mature from April 2017 to August 2017 with a new \$450.0 million loan with a two year initial term and four one-year extension options subject to satisfaction of certain conditions. See discussion below.
- <sup>(9)</sup> This mortgage loan has three one-year extension options subject to satisfaction of certain conditions. The first one-year extension period began in August 2016.
- (10) This mortgage loan had a \$10.4 million pay down of principal on September 30, 2016 related to the SpringHill Suites Gaithersburg. See note 6.
- (11) This mortgage loan has two one-year extension options subject to satisfaction of certain conditions.
- (12) This mortgage loan provides for an interest rate of LIBOR + 3.75% with a 0.25% LIBOR floor for the first 18 months. Beginning February 2016, the interest rate is fixed at 4.0%.
- (13) This mortgage loan relates to hotel properties sold during 2016.
- (14) A portion of this mortgage loan relates to the Renaissance Portsmouth. See note 24.
- (15) A portion of this mortgage loan relates to the Embassy Suites Syracuse. See note 24.

On October 7, 2016, the Company refinanced four mortgage loans with existing outstanding balances totaling approximately \$415.1 million with a new loan totaling \$450.0 million. The mortgage loans were refinanced through one new mortgage loan with a two-year initial term and four one-year extension options, subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions. The mortgage loan is interest only, provides for a floating interest rate of LIBOR + 4.55%, and contains flexible release provisions for the potential sale of assets. The mortgage loan is secured by eighteen hotel properties: Courtyard Basking Ridge, Courtyard Newark, Courtyard Oakland, Courtyard Plano, Courtyard Scottsdale, Residence Inn Newark, Residence Inn Phoenix, Residence Inn Plano, SpringHill Suites Glen Allen, SpringHill Suites Manhattan Beach, SpringHill Suites Plymouth Meeting, TownePlace Suites Manhattan Beach, Embassy Suites Flagstaff, Marriott Bridgewater, Marriott Raleigh Durham, Marriott Suites Dallas, Sheraton Bucks County, and Marriott Fremont.

On September 30, 2016, we repaid \$10.4 million of principal on our mortgage loan partially secured by the SpringHill Suites Gaithersburg. This hotel property was sold on October 1, 2016. See note 6.

On December 2, 2015, we refinanced three mortgage loans totaling \$273.5 million. The initial amount of the new loan was \$375.0 million. On March 1, 2016, we increased the loan amount by \$37.5 million. The loan balance is now \$412.5 million, which is interest only and provides for a floating interest rate of LIBOR + 5.52%. The stated maturity is December 2017, with four one-year extension options. The new loan is secured by 17 hotel properties. The SpringHill Suites in Jacksonville, Florida is now unencumbered.

On November 10, 2015, we assumed a mortgage loan with a fair value of \$57.7 million, and a principal balance of \$55.5 million, secured by the W Minneapolis. The mortgage loan carries a fixed rate of 5.46%. The stated maturity is May 2023.

On October 30, 2015, we obtained a new \$100.0 million secured revolving credit facility which expired in October 2016. The credit facility provided for a one-year revolving line of credit priced at 200 to 300 basis points over LIBOR

or the base rate. The credit facility also contained customary financial covenant tests with respect to minimum fixed charge coverage ratio and maximum leverage tests allowable. No amounts were drawn under the credit facility while it was outstanding.

On October 15, 2015, we assumed a mortgage loan with a fair value of \$16.6 million, and a principal balance of \$16.0 million, secured by the Indigo Atlanta. The mortgage loan carries a fixed rate of 5.98%. The stated maturity is June 2017.

On August 5, 2015, we completed the financing of a \$12.0 million mortgage loan, secured by the Hilton Garden Inn-Wisconsin Dells. The mortgage loan is interest only and provides for a floating interest rate of LIBOR + 4.95%. The stated maturity is August 2018, with two one-year extension options.

On July 1, 2015, we completed the financing of a \$40.5 million mortgage loan, secured by the W Atlanta. The mortgage loan is interest only and provides for a floating interest rate of LIBOR + 5.10%. The stated maturity is July 2017, with three one-year extension options.

<u>Table of Contents</u>
ASHFORD HOSPITALITY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

On June 24, 2015, we completed the financing of a \$21.2 million mortgage loan, secured by the Hampton Inn Gainesville. The mortgage loan is interest only and provides for a floating interest rate of LIBOR + 4.50%. The stated maturity is July 2018, with two one-year extension options.

On June 17, 2015, we completed the financing of two mortgage loans totaling \$179.2 million, secured by the Rockbridge Portfolio. The financing includes a \$144.0 million mortgage loan, secured by eight of the nine hotel properties. The mortgage loan is interest only and provides for a floating interest rate of LIBOR + 4.09%. The stated maturity is July 2017, with three one-year extension options. The financing also includes a \$35.2 million mortgage loan, secured by the Sheraton Ann Arbor hotel in Ann Arbor, Michigan. The mortgage loan is interest only and provides for a floating rate of LIBOR + 4.15%. The stated maturity is July 2017, with three one-year extension options.

On June 3, 2015, we completed the financing of a \$43.8 million mortgage loan, secured by the Le Pavillon. The mortgage loan is interest only and provides for a floating interest rate of LIBOR + 5.10%. The stated maturity is June 2017, with three one-year extension options.

On April 17, 2015, we completed the financing of a \$25.1 million mortgage loan, secured by the Lakeway Resort. The mortgage loan is interest only and provides for a floating interest rate of LIBOR + 5.10%. The stated maturity is May 2017, with three one-year extension options.

On March 25, 2015, we completed the financing of a \$33.3 million mortgage loan, secured by the Memphis Marriott. The mortgage loan is interest only and provides for a floating interest rate of LIBOR + 4.95%. The stated maturity is April 2017, with three one-year extension options.

On March 6, 2015, we acquired the remaining approximate 28.26% interest in the PIM Highland JV. Subsequent to the close of the transaction, \$907.6 million of assumed mortgage loans due March 2015 were refinanced with a \$1.07 billion non-recourse mortgage loan due April 2017. The new loan is interest only and provides for a floating interest rate of LIBOR plus 4.39%. Additionally we assumed two mortgage loans which included a \$99.3 million mortgage due January 2018 with a fixed interest rate of 4.38% and a \$108.6 million mortgage loan due January 2018 with a fixed interest rate of 4.44%.

On January 2, 2015, we refinanced two mortgage loans totaling \$356.3 million. The refinance included our \$211.0 million mortgage loan due November 2015 and the \$145.3 million mortgage loan due July 2015. The new loans initially totaled \$477.3 million. The new loans included a \$376.8 million mortgage loan due January 2017, a \$54.8 million mortgage loan due February 2025, a \$24.5 million mortgage loan due February 2025 and a \$21.2 million mortgage loan due February 2025. The \$376.8 million mortgage loan is interest only and provides for a floating interest rate of LIBOR + 4.95%. The stated maturity is January 2017, with three one-year extension options. The three mortgage loans totaling \$100.5 million due February 2025 bear interest at a fixed rate of 4.45%. The stated maturity date for each of these loans is February 2025. The new loans continue to be secured by the same 15 hotel properties. During the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, we recognized premium amortization of \$2.1 million and \$1.4 million, respectively. The amortization of the premium is computed using a method that approximates the effective interest method, which is included in interest expense and amortization of loan costs in the consolidated statements of operations.

We are required to maintain certain financial ratios under various debt and related agreements. If we violate covenants in any debt or related agreement, we could be required to repay all or a portion of our indebtedness before maturity at a time when we might be unable to arrange financing for such repayment on attractive terms, if at all. The assets of certain of our subsidiaries are pledged under non-recourse indebtedness and are not available to satisfy the debts and other obligations of Ashford Trust or Ashford Trust OP, our operating partnership, and the liabilities of such subsidiaries do not constitute the obligations of Ashford Trust or Ashford Trust OP. As of December 31, 2016, we were in compliance in all material respects with all covenants or other requirements set forth in our debt and related agreements as amended.

# Table of Contents ASHFORD HOSPITALITY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

Maturities and scheduled amortizations of indebtedness as of December 31, 2016 for each of the five following years and thereafter are as follows (in thousands):

2017 \$2,760,554 2018 665,468 2019 11,609 2020 96,217 2021 4,953 Thereafter 234,803 Total \$3,773,604

10. Derivative Instruments and Hedging

Interest Rate Derivatives—We are exposed to risks arising from our business operations, economic conditions and financial markets. To manage these risks, we primarily use interest rate derivatives to hedge our debt and our cash flows. The interest rate derivatives currently include interest rate caps and interest rate floors. These derivatives are subject to master netting settlement arrangements. To mitigate the nonperformance risk, we routinely use a third party's analysis of the creditworthiness of the counterparties, which supports our belief that the counterparties' nonperformance risk is limited. All derivatives are recorded at fair value.

During the year ended December 31, 2016, we entered into interest rate caps with notional amounts totaling \$1.5 billion and strike rates ranging from 2.00% to 4.50%. These interest rate caps had effective dates from February 2016 to January 2017, maturity dates from February 2017 to October 2018, and a total cost of \$199,000. These instruments were not designated as cash flow hedges.

During the year ended December 31, 2015, we entered into interest rate caps with notional amounts totaling \$2.2 billion and strike rates ranging from 1.50% to 3.00%. These interest rate caps had effective dates from January 2015 to December 2015, maturity dates from January 2017 to August 2018, and a total cost of \$2.2 million. These instruments were not designated as cash flow hedges. We also entered into interest rate floors with notional amounts totaling \$6.0 billion and strike rates ranging from (0.25)% to 0%. These interest rate floors had effective dates from April 2015 to July 2015, maturity dates from April 2020 to July 2020, and a total cost of \$9.4 million.

As of December 31, 2016, we held interest rate caps with notional amounts totaling \$3.6 billion and strike rates ranging from 1.50% to 4.50%. These instruments had maturity dates ranging from January 2017 to October 2018. These instruments cap the interest rates on our mortgage loans with principal balances of \$3.1 billion and maturity dates from January 2017 to October 2018. As of December 31, 2016, we held interest rate floors with notional amounts totaling \$6.0 billion and strike rates ranging from (0.25)% to 0%. These instruments had maturity dates ranging from April 2020 to July 2020.

Credit Default Swap Derivatives—A credit default swap is a derivative contract that functions like an insurance policy against the credit risk of an entity or obligation. The seller of protection assumes the credit risk of the reference obligation from the buyer (us) of protection in exchange for annual premium payments. If a default or a loss, as defined in the credit default swap agreements, occurs on the underlying bonds, then the buyer of protection is protected against those losses. The only liability for us, the buyer, is the annual premium and any change in value of the underlying CMBX index (if the trade is terminated prior to maturity). For all CMBX trades completed to date, we were the buyer of protection. Credit default swaps are subject to master-netting settlement arrangements and credit support annexes. Assuming the underlying bonds pay off at par over their remaining average life, our total exposure for these trades was approximately \$8.0 million as of December 31, 2016. Cash collateral is posted by us as well as our counterparties. We offset the fair value of the derivative and the obligation/right to return/reclaim cash collateral. The change in market value of credit default swaps is settled net through posting cash collateral or reclaiming cash collateral between us and our counterparties when the change in market value is over \$250,000.

Futures Contracts—During the year ended December 31, 2016, we purchased an option on Eurodollar futures for a total cost of \$250,000 and a maturity date of June 2017. During the year ended December 31, 2015, we purchased options

on Eurodollar futures for total costs of \$743,000, and maturity dates ranging from September 2016 to March 2017.

<u>Table of Contents</u>
ASHFORD HOSPITALITY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

#### 11. Fair Value Measurements

Fair Value Hierarchy—For disclosure purposes, financial instruments, whether measured at fair value on a recurring or nonrecurring basis or not measured at fair value, are classified in a hierarchy consisting of three levels based on the observability of valuation inputs in the market place as discussed below:

Level 1: Fair value measurements that are quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets that we have the ability to access for identical assets or liabilities. Market price data generally is obtained from exchange or dealer markets. Level 2: Fair value measurements based on inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Level 2 inputs include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets and inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability, such as interest rates and yield curves that are observable at commonly quoted intervals.

Level 3: Fair value measurements based on valuation techniques that use significant inputs that are unobservable. The circumstances for using these measurements include those in which there is little, if any, market activity for the asset or liability.

Fair values of interest rate swaps are determined using the market standard methodology of netting the discounted future fixed cash receipts/payments and the discounted expected variable cash payments/receipts. Fair values of interest rate caps, floors, flooridors, and corridors are determined using the market standard methodology of discounting the future expected cash receipts that would occur if variable interest rates fell below the strike rates of the floors or rise above the strike rates of the caps. Variable interest rates used in the calculation of projected receipts and payments on the swaps, caps, and floors are based on an expectation of future interest rates derived from observable market interest rate curves (LIBOR forward curves) and volatilities (Level 2 inputs). We also incorporate credit valuation adjustments (Level 3 inputs) to appropriately reflect both our own nonperformance risk and the respective counterparty's nonperformance risk.

Fair values of credit default swaps are obtained from a third party who publishes various information including the index composition and price data (Level 2 inputs). The fair value of credit default swaps does not contain credit-risk-related adjustments as the change in fair value is settled net through posting cash collateral or reclaiming cash collateral between us and our counterparty.

Fair values of interest rate floors are calculated using a third-party discounted cash flow model based on future cash flows that are expected to be received over the remaining life of the floor. These expected future cash flows are probability-weighted projections based on the contract terms, accounting for both the magnitude and likelihood of potential payments, which are both computed using the appropriate LIBOR forward curve and market implied volatilities as of the valuation date (Level 2 inputs).

Fair value of options on futures contracts is determined based on the last reported settlement price as of the measurement date (Level 1 inputs). These exchange-traded options are centrally cleared, and a clearinghouse stands in between all trades to ensure that the obligations involved in the trades are satisfied.

Fair values of marketable securities and liabilities associated with marketable securities, including public equity securities, equity put and call options, and other investments, are based on their quoted market closing prices (Level 1 inputs).

When a majority of the inputs used to value our derivatives fall within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy, the derivative valuations in their entirety are classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. However, when valuation adjustments associated with our derivatives utilize Level 3 inputs, such as estimates of current credit spreads to evaluate the likelihood of default by us and our counterparties, which we consider significant (10% or more) to the overall valuation of our derivatives, the derivative valuations in their entirety are classified in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. Transfers of inputs between levels are determined at the end of each reporting period. In determining the fair values of our derivatives at December 31, 2016, the LIBOR interest rate forward curve (Level 2 inputs) assumed an uptrend from 0.77% to 1.70% for the remaining term of our derivatives. Credit spreads (Level 3 inputs) used in determining the fair values of hedge and non-hedge designated derivatives assumed an uptrend in nonperformance

risk for us and all of our counterparties through the maturity dates.

#### **Table of Contents**

ASHFORD HOSPITALITY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

The following table presents our assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis aggregated by the level within which measurements fall in the fair value hierarchy (in thousands):

| ic ver within which incusureme.                    | iito iuii iii t                         | ne ran varae  | incrarcity (in the                                 | ousunus).  |    |          |     |
|--|---|---|--|------------|----|----------|-----|
|  | Quoted<br>Market<br>Prices<br>(Level 1) | Significant<br>Other<br>Observable<br>Inputs<br>(Level 2) | Significant<br>Unobservable<br>Inputs (Level<br>3) |            | ty | Total    |     |
| December 31, 2016:                                 |   |   |  |            |    |          |     |
| Assets   |   |   |  |            |    |          |     |
| Derivative assets:                                 |   |   |  |            |    |          |     |
| Interest rate derivatives – floor                  |   | \$ 2,358  | \$   | -\$ —      |    | \$2,358  | (2) |
| Interest rate derivatives – caps                   | _                                       | 24  |  |            |    | 24       | (2) |
| Credit default swaps                               | _                                       | 2,867   | _  | (1,751     | )  | 1,116    | (2) |
| Options on futures contracts                       | 116                                     | _   |  |            |    | 116      | (2) |
| Non-derivative assets:                             |   |   |  |            |    |          |     |
| Equity securities                                  | 53,185                                  | _   | _  |            |    | 53,185   |     |
| Total  | \$ 53,301                               | \$ 5,249  | \$   | -\$ (1,751 | )  | \$56,799 | )   |
| December 31, 2015:<br>Assets<br>Derivative assets: |   |   |  |            |    |          |     |
| Interest rate derivatives – floor                  | rs\$ —                                  | \$ 1,747  | \$ -   | -\$        |    | \$1,747  |     |
| Interest rate derivatives – caps                   |   | 361   |  |            |    | 361      |     |
| Credit default swaps                               | _                                       | 5,152   | _  | (4,059     | )  | 1,093    |     |
| Options on futures contracts                       | 234                                     |   | _  | _          |    | 234      |     |
| Total  | \$ 234                                  | \$ 7,260  | \$   | -\$ (4,059 | )  | \$3,435  | (2) |
|  |   |   |  |            |    |          |     |

<sup>(1)</sup> Represents net cash collateral posted between us and our counterparties.

<sup>(2)</sup> Reported net as "derivative assets, net" in the consolidated balance sheets.

<sup>(3)</sup> Reported as "marketable securities" in the consolidated balance sheets.

# <u>Table of Contents</u> ASHFORD HOSPITALITY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

Effect of Fair Value Measured Assets and Liabilities on Consolidated Statements of Operations
The following table summarizes the effect of fair value measured assets and liabilities on the consolidated statement of operations (in thousands):

|  | Gain or (Loss)<br>Recognized in Income |                 |                 | Reclassified from<br>Accumulated OCI<br>into Interest<br>Expense |  |  |
|--|--|-----------------|-----------------|--|--|--|
|  | Year Ended D                           | ecember 31,     |                 | Year Ended December 31,  |  |  |
|  | 2016                                   | 2015            | 2014            | 201@015 2014   |  |  |
| Assets   |  |                 |                 |  |  |  |
| Derivative assets:                                   |  |                 |                 |  |  |  |
| Interest rate derivatives - floors                   | \$611                                  | \$(7,603)       | <b>\$</b> —     | \$ -\$\$   |  |  |
| Interest rate derivatives - caps                     | (535)                                  | (2,038)         | (484)           | <b>—</b> — 100   |  |  |
| Credit default swaps                                 | $(5,843)^{(5)}$                        | -,-             |                 |  |  |  |
| Options on futures contracts                         | (348)                                  | (391)           | <del></del>     |  |  |  |
| Equity put options                                   |  | 26              |                 |  |  |  |
| Equity call options                                  | _                                      | (1,717)         | (3,942)         |  |  |  |
| Non-derivative assets:                               |  |                 |                 |  |  |  |
| Equity - American Depositary Receipt                 | _                                      | (150)           | <del></del>     |  |  |  |
| Equity   | 4,946                                  | 1,072           | 7,932           |  |  |  |
| U.S. Treasury  |  | 314             |                 |  |  |  |
| Total  | (1,169)                                | (10,316)        | 3,506           | <b>—</b> — 100   |  |  |
| Liabilities  |  |                 |                 |  |  |  |
| Derivative liabilities:                              |  |                 |                 |  |  |  |
| Credit default swaps                                 | _                                      |                 | (699 )          |  |  |  |
| Short-equity put options                             | _                                      | 1,002           | 1,111           |  |  |  |
| Short-equity call options                            | _                                      | 1,470           | 429             |  |  |  |
| Non-derivative liabilities:                          |  |                 |                 |  |  |  |
| Short-equity securities                              |  | 78              |                 |  |  |  |
| Total  |  | 2,550           | 841             |  |  |  |
| Net  | \$(1,169)                              | \$(7,766)       | \$4,347         | \$ -\$ -\$ 100   |  |  |
| Total combined                                       |  |                 |                 |  |  |  |
| Interest rate derivatives - floors                   | \$611                                  | \$(7,603)       | <b>\$</b> —     | \$ -\$\$   |  |  |
| Interest rate derivatives - caps                     | (535)                                  | (2,038)         | (484)           | <b>—</b> — 100   |  |  |
| Credit default swaps                                 | (2,574)                                | 2,630           | (616)           |  |  |  |
| Options on futures contracts                         | (36)                                   | (391)           | _               |  |  |  |
| Total derivatives                                    | $(2,534)^{(1)}$                        | $(7,402)^{(1)}$ | $(1,100)^{(1)}$ | <b>—</b> — 100   |  |  |
| Realized gain (loss) on credit default swaps         | $(3,269)^{(2)(5)}$                     | $(2,459)^{(2)}$ |                 |  |  |  |
| Realized gain (loss) on options on futures contracts | $(312)^{(2)}$                          | _               | _               |  |  |  |
| Unrealized gain (loss) on marketable securities      | 4,946 (3)                              | 127 (3)         | $(332)^{(3)}$   |  |  |  |
| Realized gain (loss) on marketable securities        | _                                      | 1,968 (2)       | 5,779 (2) (4)   |  |  |  |
| Net  | \$(1,169)                              | \$(7,766)       | \$4,347         | \$ -\$ -\$ 100   |  |  |

<sup>(1)</sup> Reported as "unrealized gain (loss) on derivatives" in the consolidated statements of operations.

- (2) Included in "other income (expense)" in the consolidated statements of operations.
- (3) Reported as "unrealized gain (loss) on marketable securities" in the consolidated statements of operations.
- (4) Includes costs of \$83 in 2014 associated with credit default swaps.
- (5) Excludes costs of \$873 and \$486 in 2016 and 2015, respectively, included in "other income (expense)" associated with credit default swaps.

#### Table of Contents

ASHFORD HOSPITALITY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

#### 12. Summary of Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Determining estimated fair values of our financial instruments such as notes receivable and indebtedness requires considerable judgment to interpret market data. Market assumptions and/or estimation methodologies used may have a material effect on estimated fair value amounts. Accordingly, estimates presented are not necessarily indicative of amounts at which these instruments could be purchased, sold, or settled. Carrying amounts and estimated fair values of financial instruments, for periods indicated, were as follows (in thousands):

| _  | December 31, 2016 |             | December 3  | 31, 2015       |  |
|--|-------------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|--|
|  | Carrying          | Estimated   | Carrying    | Estimated      |  |
|  | Value             | Fair Value  | Value       | Fair Value     |  |
| Financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value: |                   |             |             |                |  |
| Derivative assets, net                                   | \$3,614           | \$ 3,614    | \$3,435     | \$ 3,435       |  |
| Marketable securities                                    | 53,185            | 53,185      | _           | _              |  |
| Financial assets not measured at fair value:             |                   |             |             |                |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents (1)                            | \$348,067         | \$ 348,067  | \$215,078   | \$ 215,078     |  |
| Restricted cash (1)                                      | 144,406           | 144,406     | 153,680     | 153,680        |  |
| Accounts receivable, net (1)                             | 44,934            | 44,934      | 40,438      | 40,438         |  |
| Note receivable, net                                     | _                 | _           | 3,746       | 3,344 to 3,696 |  |
| Due from Ashford Prime OP, net                           | _                 | _           | 528         | 528            |  |
| Due from third-party hotel managers                      | 13,348            | 13,348      | 22,869      | 22,869         |  |
| Financial liabilities not measured at fair value:        |                   |             |             |                |  |
|  |                   | \$3,600,691 |             | \$3,683,196    |  |
| Indebtedness (1)   | \$3,777,127       |             | \$3,874,617 |                |  |
|  |                   | \$3,979,713 |             | \$4,070,904    |  |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses (1)                | 128,309           | 128,309     | 123,444     | 123,444        |  |
| Dividends payable  | 24,765            | 24,765      | 22,678      | 22,678         |  |
| Due to Ashford Inc., net                                 | 15,716            | 15,716      | 9,856       | 9,856          |  |
| Due to Ashford Prime OP, net                             | 488               | 488         |             |                |  |
| Due to related party, net (1)                            | 1,046             | 1,046       | 1,339       | 1,339          |  |
| Due to third-party hotel managers                        | 2,714             | 2,714       | 2,504       | 2,504          |  |

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes balances associated with assets held for sale and liabilities associated with assets held for sale as of December 31, 2016. See note 6.

Cash, cash equivalents, and restricted cash. These financial assets bear interest at market rates and have original maturities of less than 90 days. The carrying value approximates fair value due to their short-term nature. This is considered a Level 1 valuation technique.

Accounts receivable, net, accounts payable and accrued expenses, dividends payable, due to/from Ashford Prime OP, due to/from related party, due from affiliates, due to/from Ashford Inc. and due to/from third-party hotel managers. The carrying values of these financial instruments approximate their fair values due to their short-term nature. This is considered a Level 1 valuation technique.

Note receivable, net. Fair value of notes receivable is determined using similar loans with similar collateral. We relied on our internal analysis of what we believe a willing buyer would pay for this note. We estimated the fair value of the note receivable to be approximately 10.7% to 1.3% lower than the carrying value of \$3.7 million at December 31, 2015. This is considered a Level 2 valuation technique.

Marketable securities. Marketable securities consist of U.S. treasury bills, publicly traded equity securities, and put and call options on certain publicly traded equity securities. The fair value of these investments is based on quoted market closing prices at the balance sheet date. See notes 2, 10, and 11 for a complete description of the methodology and assumptions utilized in determining the fair values.

<u>Table of Contents</u>
ASHFORD HOSPITALITY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

Indebtedness. Fair value of indebtedness is determined using future cash flows discounted at current replacement rates for these instruments. Cash flows are determined using a forward interest rate yield curve. Current replacement rates are determined by using the U.S. Treasury yield curve or the index to which these financial instruments are tied and adjusted for credit spreads. Credit spreads take into consideration general market conditions, maturity, and collateral. We estimated the fair value of total indebtedness to be approximately 95.3% to 105.4% of the carrying value of \$3.8 billion at December 31, 2016 and approximately 95.1% to 105.1% of the carrying value of \$3.9 billion at December 31, 2015. This is considered a Level 2 valuation technique.

Derivative assets, net. Fair value of interest rate derivatives is determined using the net present value of the expected cash flows of each derivative based on the market-based interest rate curve and adjusted for credit spreads of us and our counterparties. Fair values of credit default swap derivatives are obtained from a third party who publishes the CMBX index composition and price data. Fair values of interest rate floors are calculated using a third-party discounted cash flow model based on future cash flows that are expected to be received over the remaining life of the floor. Fair values of options on futures contracts are valued at their last reported settlement price as of the measurement date. See notes 2, 10 and 11 for a complete description of the methodology and assumptions utilized in determining fair values.

# 13. Commitments and Contingencies

Restricted Cash—Under certain management and debt agreements for our hotel properties existing at December 31, 2016, escrow payments are required for insurance, real estate taxes and debt service. In addition, for certain properties based on the terms of the underlying debt and management agreements, we escrow 4% to 6% of gross revenues for capital improvements.

Franchise Fees—Under franchise agreements for our hotel properties existing at December 31, 2016, we pay franchisor royalty fees between 1% and 6% of gross rooms revenue and, in some cases, food and beverage revenues. Additionally, we pay fees for marketing, reservations, and other related activities aggregating between 1% and 4% of gross rooms revenue and, in some cases, food and beverage revenues. These franchise agreements expire on varying dates between 2017 and 2040. When a franchise term expires, the franchisor has no obligation to renew the franchise. A franchise termination could have a material adverse effect on the operations or the underlying value of the affected hotel due to loss of associated name recognition, marketing support, and centralized reservation systems provided by the franchisor. A franchise termination could also have a material adverse effect on cash available for distribution to stockholders. In addition, if we breach the franchise agreement and the franchisor terminates a franchise prior to its expiration date, we may be liable for up to three times the average annual fees incurred for that property. Our continuing operations incurred franchise fees of \$70.5 million, \$62.8 million and \$37.4 million, respectively, for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, which are included in "other" hotel expenses. Management Fees—Under management agreements for our hotel properties existing at December 31, 2016, we pay a) monthly property management fees equal to the greater of \$10,000 (CPI adjusted since 2003) or 3% of gross revenues, or in some cases 2% to 7% of gross revenues, as well as annual incentive management fees, if applicable, b) market service fees on approved capital improvements, including project management fees of up to 4% of project costs, for certain hotels, and c) other general fees at current market rates as approved by our independent directors, if required. These management agreements expire from 2017 through 2044, with renewal options. If we terminate a management agreement prior to its expiration, we may be liable for estimated management fees through the remaining term and liquidated damages or, in certain circumstances, we may substitute a new management agreement. Leases—We lease land and facilities under non-cancelable operating leases, which expire between 2040 and 2114, including five ground leases related to our hotel properties. Several of these leases are subject to base rent plus contingent rent based on the related property's financial results and escalation clauses. For the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, our continuing operations recognized rent expense of \$5.3 million, \$3.8 million and \$1.5 million, respectively, which included contingent rent of \$1.7 million, \$1.3 million and \$712,000, respectively. Rent expense related to continuing operations is included in "other" hotel expenses in the consolidated

statements of operations.

# <u>Table of Contents</u> ASHFORD HOSPITALITY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

Future minimum rentals due under non-cancelable leases are as follows for each of the five following years and thereafter are as follows (in thousands):

2017 \$2,771 2018 2,551 2019 2,384 2020 2,369 2021 2,367 Thereafter 117,249 Total \$129,691

At December 31, 2016, we had capital commitments of \$83.8 million relating to general capital improvements that are expected to be paid in the next twelve months.

Litigation—Palm Beach Florida Hotel and Office Building Limited Partnership, et al. v. Nantucket Enterprises, Inc. This litigation involves a landlord tenant dispute from 2008 in which the landlord, Palm Beach Florida Hotel and Office Building Limited Partnership, a subsidiary of the Company, claimed that the tenant had violated various lease provisions of the lease agreement and was therefore in default. The tenant counterclaimed and asserted multiple claims including that it had been wrongfully evicted. The litigation was instituted by the plaintiff in November 2008 in the Circuit Court of the Fifteenth Judicial Circuit, in and for Palm Beach County, Florida and proceeded to a jury trial on June 30, 2014. The jury entered its verdict awarding the tenant total claims of \$10.8 million and ruling against the landlord on its claim of breach of contract. A final judgment was entered and the landlord filed an appeal with the 4th District Court of Appeals in Florida. As a result of the jury verdict, we recorded the \$10.8 million judgment, pre and post-judgment interest of \$707,000 and accrued a reasonable estimate of \$400,000 of loss related to legal fees for the year ended December 31, 2014. For the year ended December 31, 2015, we recorded post-judgment interest of \$95,000.

Both parties have fully briefed the Appeal and oral argument took place on May 31, 2016. The parties have agreed to table any hearings to establish attorney's fees until after the Court of Appeals decision. In December 2016, the 4th District Court of Appeals issued a decision which affirmed the wrongful eviction judgment and reversed certain other judgments. The Court of Appeals also ruled that the plaintiff was entitled to pre-judgment interest on the wrongful eviction judgment. The decision reduced the original \$10.8 million judgment to \$8.8 million and added pre-judgment interest on the wrongful eviction judgment resulting in a total loss as of December 31, 2016, including the judgment and pre and post-judgment interest, of \$12.8 million, or \$13.2 million including a reasonable estimate of \$400,000 for legal fees, which is included in accounts payable and accrued expenses on our consolidated balance sheet. On January 11, 2017, we filed a motion for rehearing, rehearing en banc (meaning rehearing by the entire Appellate Court) as well as certification of the issues. On March 7, 2017, the Appellate Court denied our motions. We are currently evaluating our options for further appeal to the Florida Supreme Court.

For the year ended December 31, 2016, we recorded expense of \$1.2 million, which included the revised judgment and pre and post-judgment interest. The charges are included in "other" hotel expenses in the consolidated statements of operations.

We are engaged in other various legal proceedings which have arisen but have not been fully adjudicated. The likelihood of loss from these legal proceedings, based on definitions within contingency accounting literature, ranges from remote to reasonably possible and to probable. Based on estimates of the range of potential losses associated with these matters, management does not believe the ultimate resolution of these proceedings, either individually or in the aggregate, will have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position or results of operations. However, the final results of legal proceedings cannot be predicted with certainty and if we fail to prevail in one or more of these legal matters, and the associated realized losses exceed our current estimates of the range of potential losses, our consolidated financial position or results of operations could be materially adversely affected in future periods.

Income Taxes—We and our subsidiaries file income tax returns in the federal jurisdiction and various states. Tax years 2012 through 2016 remain subject to potential examination by certain federal and state taxing authorities. Potential Pension Liabilities—Upon our 2006 acquisition of a hotel property, certain employees of such hotel were unionized and covered by a multi-employer defined benefit pension plan. At that time, no unfunded pension liabilities existed. Subsequent

<u>Table of Contents</u>
ASHFORD HOSPITALITY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

to our acquisition, a majority of employees, who are employees of the hotel manager, Remington Lodging, petitioned the employer to withdraw recognition of the union. As a result of the decertification petition, Remington Lodging withdrew recognition of the union. At the time of the withdrawal, the National Retirement Fund, the union's pension fund, indicated unfunded pension liabilities existed. The National Labor Relations Board ("NLRB") filed a complaint against Remington Lodging seeking, among other things, that Remington Lodging's withdrawal of recognition was unlawful. Pending the final determination of the NLRB complaint, including appeals, the pension fund entered into a settlement agreement with Remington Lodging on November 1, 2011, providing that (a) Remington Lodging will continue to make monthly pension fund payments pursuant to the collective bargaining agreement, and (b) if the withdrawal of recognition is ultimately deemed lawful, Remington Lodging will have an unfunded pension liability equal to \$1.7 million minus the monthly pension payments made by Remington Lodging since the settlement agreement. To illustrate, if Remington Lodging - as of the date a final determination occurs - has made monthly pension payments equaling \$100,000, Remington Lodging's remaining withdrawal liability shall be the unfunded pension liability of \$1.7 million minus \$100,000 (or \$1.6 million). This remaining unfunded pension liability shall be paid to the pension fund in annual installments of \$84,000 (but may be made monthly or quarterly, at Remington Lodging's election), which shall continue for the remainder of the twenty-(20)-year capped period, unless Remington Lodging elects to pay the unfunded pension liability amount earlier. We agreed to indemnify Remington Lodging for the payment of the unfunded pension liability, if any, as set forth in the settlement agreement.

# 14. Redeemable Noncontrolling Interests in Operating Partnership

Redeemable noncontrolling interests in the operating partnership represents the limited partners' proportionate share of equity in earnings/losses of the operating partnership, which is an allocation of net income/loss attributable to the common unit holders based on the weighted average ownership percentage of these limited partners' common units of limited partnership interest in the operating partnership ("common units") and the units issued under our Long-Term Incentive Plan (the "LTIP units") that are vested throughout the period plus distributions paid to the limited partners with regard to the Class B common units. Class B common units had a fixed dividend rate of 7.2% and had priority in payment of cash dividends over common units but otherwise had no preference over common units. During the fourth quarter of 2016, the Class B common units were converted, at the Company's election, to common units. Beginning one year after issuance, each common unit may be redeemed for either cash or, at our sole discretion, up to one share of our REIT common stock, which is either (i) issued pursuant to an effective registration statement, (ii) included in an effective registration statement providing for the resale of such common stock or (iii) issued subject to a registration rights agreement. As a result of the Ashford Inc. spin-off, holders of our common stock were distributed one share of Ashford Inc. common stock for every 87 shares of our common stock, while our unitholders received one common unit of the operating limited liability company subsidiary of Ashford Inc. for each common unit of our operating partnership the holder held, and such holder then had the opportunity to exchange up to 99% of those units for shares of Ashford Inc. common stock at the rate of one share of Ashford Inc. common stock for every 55 common units of the operating limited liability company subsidiary of Ashford Inc. Following the spin-off, Ashford Hospitality Trust, Inc. continues to hold 598,000 shares of Ashford Inc. common stock, and all of our remaining lodging investments are owned by Ashford Trust OP. Therefore, each common unit and LTIP unit was worth approximately 96% and 95% of one share of our common stock at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

LTIP units, which are issued to certain executives and employees of Ashford LLC as compensation, have vesting periods ranging from three to five years. Additionally, certain independent members of the board of directors have elected to receive LTIP units as part of their compensation, which are fully vested upon grant. Upon reaching economic parity with common units, each vested LTIP unit can be converted by the holder into one common unit which can then be redeemed for cash or, at our election, settled in our common stock. An LTIP unit will achieve parity with the common units upon the sale or deemed sale of all or substantially all of the assets of the operating partnership at a time when our stock is trading at a level in excess of the price it was trading on the date of the LTIP issuance. More specifically, LTIP units will achieve full economic parity with common units in connection with (i) the

actual sale of all or substantially all of the assets of the operating partnership or (ii) the hypothetical sale of such assets, which results from a capital account revaluation, as defined in the partnership agreement, for the operating partnership.

During 2016, the compensation committee of the board of directors of the Company approved Performance LTIP units to certain executive officers. The award agreements provide for the grant of a maximum number of approximately 803,000 Performance LTIP units that will be settled in LTIPs or common units of the Ashford Trust OP, if and when the applicable vesting criteria have been achieved following the end of the performance and service period, which began on January 1, 2016 and ends on December 31, 2018. The actual number of units earned may be adjusted from 0% to 100% based on achievement of a specified relative total stockholder return and specified absolute total stockholder return, based on the formula determined by the Company's Compensation Committee on the grant date. The performance criteria for the Performance LTIP units are based on market conditions under the relevant literature, and the Performance LTIP units were granted to non-employees. The Performance LTIP units unamortized fair value of \$3.1 million at December 31, 2016 will be expensed over a period of 2.0 years, subject to future mark to market adjustments. Compensation expense of \$1.2 million was recorded for the year ended December 31, 2016.

<u>Table of Contents</u>
ASHFORD HOSPITALITY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

As of December 31, 2016, we have issued a total of 10.1 million LTIP and Performance LTIP units, all of which, other than approximately 1.2 million units (803,000 of which are Performance LTIP units) and 660,000 units, issued in March 2016 and March 2015, respectively, have reached full economic parity with, and are convertible into, common units. Expense of \$3.9 million and \$1.4 million was recognized for the year ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively, which was associated with LTIP and Performance LTIP units issued to Ashford LLC's employees and Ashford Trust's directors and is included in "advisory services fee" and "corporate, general and administrative," respectively, in our consolidated statements of operations. As the LTIP units are issued to non-employees, the compensation expense was determined based on the share price as of the end of the period. Compensation expense of \$16.4 million associated with the issuance of LTIP units was recognized for the year ended December 31, 2014, while we were self-advised. The fair value of the unrecognized cost of LTIP units, which was \$4.5 million at December 31, 2016, will be expensed over a period of 2.2 years.

During the year ended December 31, 2016, 224,000 common units with an aggregate fair value of \$1.6 million, were redeemed by the holders and, at our election, we issued shares of our common stock to satisfy the redemption price. Also during 2016, as discussed in note 6, 2.0 million Class B common units were redeemed as part of the sale of the SpringHill Suites Gaithersburg. The Class B units had a fair value of \$11.7 million as of the date of conversion. During the year ended December 31, 2015, 152,000 common units with an aggregate fair value of \$1.5 million were redeemed by the holder and, at our election, we issued shares of our common stock to satisfy the redemption price. During the year ended December 31, 2014, 160,000 common units with an aggregate fair value of \$1.8 million were redeemed by the holder and, at our election, we issued shares of our common stock to satisfy the redemption price. Also during 2014, 2,000 common units with a fair value of \$19,000 were redeemed for cash at our election. Redeemable noncontrolling interests, including vested LTIP units, in our operating partnership as of December 31, 2016 and 2015 were \$132.8 million and \$118.4 million, which represented ownership of our operating partnership of 14.48% and 13.36% respectively. The carrying value of redeemable noncontrolling interests as of December 31, 2016 and 2015 included adjustments of \$144.3 million and \$95.0 million, respectively, to reflect the excess of redemption value over the accumulated historical costs. Redeemable noncontrolling interests were allocated net loss of \$12.5 million, net income of \$35.5 million and net loss of \$6.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. We declared aggregate cash distributions to holders of common units and holders of LTIP units of \$11.0 million, \$10.9 million and \$10.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014 respectively. A summary of the activity of the units in our operating partnership is as follow (in thousands):

| Year Ended |   |   |   |
|------------|---|---|---|
| Decemb     | December 31,                                      |   |   |
| 2016       | 2015  | 2014  |   |
| 20,388     | 19,836  | 18,991  |   |
| 515        | 704   | 1,007   |   |
| 803        | _   |   |   |
| _          | _   | (2  | )   |
| (2,039)    | _   |   |   |
| (224)      | (152)   | (160  | )   |
| 19,443     | 20,388  | 19,836  |   |
| 17,531     | 16,918  | 17,068  |   |
|            | Decemb 2016 20,388 515 803 — (2,039) (224) 19,443 | 20,388 19,836<br>515 704<br>803 — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — | December 31, 2016 2015 2014 20,388 19,836 18,991 515 704 1,007 803 — — (2 (2,039) — — (224 ) (152 ) (160 19,443 20,388 19,836 |

#### 15. Equity

Equity Offering—On January 29, 2015, we commenced a follow-on public offering of 9.5 million shares of common stock. The offering priced on January 30, 2015, at \$10.65 per share for gross proceeds of \$101.2 million. We granted the underwriters a 30-day option to purchase up to an additional 1.425 million shares of common stock. On February 10, 2015, the underwriters partially exercised their option and purchased an additional 1.03 million shares of our

common stock at a price of \$10.65 per share. The net proceeds from the offering after underwriting discount and offering expenses were approximately \$110.9 million.

<u>Table of Contents</u>
ASHFORD HOSPITALITY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

On April 8, 2014, we commenced a follow-on public offering of 7.5 million shares of common stock at \$10.70 per share for gross proceeds of \$80.3 million. The aggregate proceeds net of underwriting discount and other expenses were approximately \$76.8 million. The offering settled on April 14, 2014. We granted the underwriters a 30-day option to purchase up to an additional 1.125 million shares of common stock. On May 9, 2014, the underwriters partially exercised their option and purchased an additional 850,000 shares of our common stock at a price of \$10.70 per share less the underwriting discount resulting in additional net proceeds of approximately \$8.7 million. Common Stock Repurchases—For the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, no shares of our common stock have been repurchased under the share repurchase program.

In addition, we acquired 124,463 shares, 52,661 shares and 41,198 shares of our common stock in 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively, to satisfy employees' statutory minimum federal income tax obligations in connection with vesting of equity grants issued under our stock-based compensation plan.

Preferred Stock—In accordance with Ashford Trust's charter, we are authorized to issue 50 million shares of preferred stock, which currently includes Series A cumulative preferred stock, Series D cumulative preferred stock, Series F cumulative preferred stock and Series G cumulative preferred stock.

Series A Preferred Stock. At December 31, 2016 and 2015, we had 1.7 million shares of 8.55% Series A cumulative preferred stock outstanding. Series A preferred stock has no maturity date, and we are not required to redeem these shares at any time. Series A preferred stock is redeemable at our option for cash, in whole or from time to time in part, at a redemption price of \$25 per share plus accrued and unpaid dividends, if any, at the redemption date. Series A preferred stock dividends are payable quarterly, when and as declared, at the rate of 8.55% per annum of the \$25 liquidation preference (equivalent to an annual dividend rate of \$2.1375 per share). In general, Series A preferred stock holders have no voting rights.

Series D Preferred Stock. At December 31, 2016 and 2015, we had 9.5 million shares of 8.45% Series D cumulative preferred stock outstanding. Series D preferred stock has no maturity date, and we are not required to redeem the shares at any time. Series D preferred stock is redeemable at our option for cash, in whole or from time to time in part, at a redemption price of \$25 per share plus accrued and unpaid dividends, if any, at the redemption date. Series D preferred stock quarterly dividends are set at the rate of 8.45% per annum of the \$25 liquidation preference (equivalent to an annual dividend rate of \$2.1125 per share). The dividend rate increases to 9.45% per annum if these shares are no longer traded on a major stock exchange. In general, Series D preferred stock holders have no voting rights.

Series E Preferred Stock. At December 31, 2015, we had 4.6 million shares of our 9.00% Series E cumulative preferred stock outstanding. The Series E preferred stock had no maturity date, and we were not required to redeem the shares at any time. Prior to April 18, 2016, Series E preferred stock was not redeemable, except in certain limited circumstances such as to preserve the status of our qualification as a REIT or in the event a change of control occurs. If we chose not to redeem the Series E shares upon a change of control, each holder of Series E preferred stock can convert their shares into shares of our common stock based on a formula specified in the agreement. However, on and after April 18, 2016, Series E preferred stock was redeemable at our option for cash, in whole or from time to time in part, at a redemption price of \$25 per share plus accrued and unpaid dividends, if any, at the redemption date. On August 8, 2016, the Company redeemed its Series E cumulative preferred stock at a redemption price of \$25 per share, plus accrued and unpaid dividends to, but not including, the redemption date, in an amount equal to \$0.2313 per share, for a total redemption price of \$25.2313 per share.

Series F Preferred Stock. On July 6, 2016, the Company agreed to issue 4.8 million shares of 7.375% Series F cumulative preferred stock. The Series F preferred stock ranks senior to all classes or series of the Company's common stock and future junior securities, on a parity with each series of the Company's outstanding preferred stock (the 8.55% Series A cumulative preferred stock, 8.45% Series D cumulative preferred stock and 7.375% Series G cumulative preferred stock (noted below)) and with any future parity securities and junior to future senior securities and to all of the Company's existing and future indebtedness, with respect to the payment of dividends and the distribution of

amounts upon liquidation, dissolution or winding up of the Company's affairs. Series F preferred stock has no maturity date, and we are not required to redeem the shares at any time. Series F preferred stock is redeemable at our option for cash (on or after July 15, 2021), in whole or from time to time in part, at a redemption price of \$25 per share plus accrued and unpaid dividends, if any, at the redemption date. Series F preferred stock may be converted into shares of our common stock, at the option of the holder, in certain limited circumstances such as a change of control. Each share of Series F preferred stock is convertible into a maximum 9.68992 shares of our common stock. The actual number is based on a formula as defined in the Series F preferred stock agreement (unless the Company exercises its right to redeem the Series F preferred stock to common stock have not been met as of period end. Therefore, Series F preferred stock will not impact our earnings per share

# <u>Table of Contents</u> ASHFORD HOSPITALITY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

calculations. Series F preferred stock quarterly dividends are set at the rate of 7.375% of the \$25 liquidation preference (equivalent to an annual dividend rate of \$1.8438 per share). In general, Series F preferred stock holders have no voting rights.

Series G Preferred Stock. On October 13, 2016, the Company agreed to issue 6.0 million shares of 7.375% Series G cumulative preferred stock. On October 17, 2016, the underwriters exercised the over-allotment option to purchase an additional 200,000 shares of the Series G preferred stock. The 6.2 million of Series G preferred stock ranks senior to all classes or series of the Company's common stock and future junior securities, on a parity with each series of the Company's outstanding preferred stock (the 8.55% Series A cumulative preferred stock, 8.45% Series D cumulative preferred stock and 7.375% Series F cumulative preferred stock) and with any future parity securities and junior to future senior securities and to all of the Company's existing and future indebtedness, with respect to the payment of dividends and the distribution of amounts upon liquidation, dissolution or winding up of the Company's affairs. Series G preferred stock has no maturity date, and we are not required to redeem the shares at any time. Series G preferred stock is redeemable at our option for cash (on or after October 18, 2021), in whole or from time to time in part, at a redemption price of \$25 per share plus accrued and unpaid dividends, if any, at the redemption date. Series G preferred stock may be converted into shares of our common stock, at the option of the holder, in certain limited circumstances such as a change of control. Each share of Series G preferred stock is convertible into a maximum 8.33333 shares of our common stock. The actual number is based on a formula as defined in the Series G preferred stock agreement (unless the Company exercises its right to redeem the Series G preferred shares for cash, for a limited period upon a change in control). The necessary conditions to convert the Series G preferred stock to common stock have not been met as of period end. Therefore, Series G preferred stock will not impact our earnings per share calculations. Series G preferred stock quarterly dividends are set at the rate of 7.375% of the \$25 liquidation preference (equivalent to an annual dividend rate of \$1.8438 per share). In general, Series G preferred stock holders have no voting rights.

Dividends—A summary of dividends declared is as follows (in thousands):

| Dividends 11 summary of dividends declared is as for |                         |          |          |  |  |  |
|--|-------------------------|----------|----------|--|--|--|
|  | Year Ended December 31, |          |          |  |  |  |
|  | 2016                    | 2015     | 2014     |  |  |  |
| Common stock   | \$46,292                | \$47,190 | \$41,894 |  |  |  |
| Preferred stocks:                                    |                         |          |          |  |  |  |
| Series A preferred stock                             | 3,542                   | 3,542    | 3,542    |  |  |  |
| Series D preferred stock                             | 20,002                  | 20,002   | 20,002   |  |  |  |
| Series E preferred stock                             | 6,280                   | 10,418   | 10,418   |  |  |  |
| Series F preferred stock                             | 4,130                   |          | _        |  |  |  |
| Series G preferred stock                             | 2,318                   | _        | _        |  |  |  |
| Total dividends declared                             | \$82,564                | \$81,152 | \$75,856 |  |  |  |

Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Entities—Our noncontrolling entity partner had an ownership interest of 15% in two hotel properties and a total carrying value of \$756,000 and \$770,000 at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. Our ownership interest is reported in equity in the consolidated balance sheets. Noncontrolling interests in consolidated entities were allocated losses of \$14,000, \$30,000 and \$406,000 for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

## 16. Stock-Based Compensation

Under the Amended and Restated 2011 Stock Incentive Plan approved by stockholders, we are authorized to grant 11.5 million restricted stock units and performance stock units of our common stock as incentive stock awards. At December 31, 2016, 2.1 million shares were available for future issuance under the Amended and Restated 2011 Stock Incentive Plan.

# <u>Table of Contents</u> ASHFORD HOSPITALITY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

Restricted Stock Units—Stock-based compensation expense of \$4.5 million and \$1.9 million was recognized for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 in connection with equity awards granted during 2016 and 2015 to employees of Ashford LLC and certain employees of Remington Lodging and is included in "advisory services fee" and "management fees," respectively, in our consolidated statements of operations. Additionally, \$247,000 and \$180,000 of stock-based compensation expense was recognized for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively, in connection with common stock issued to Ashford Trust's directors, which vested immediately, and is included in "corporate general and administrative" expense on our consolidated statements of operations. In connection with the Ashford Inc. spin-off in 2014, all unvested restricted stock was transferred to Ashford Inc., in accordance with the applicable accounting guidance as all of Ashford Trust's employees became employees of Ashford Inc. As a result, no equity-based compensation expense was recorded subsequent to November 12, 2014 for these unvested shares. Stock-based compensation expense of \$2.8 million was recognized for the year ended December 31, 2014 while we were self-advised. At December 31, 2016, the unamortized cost of the unvested shares of restricted stock was \$8.0 million which will be amortized over a period of 2.2 years, subject to future mark to market adjustments, and had vesting schedules between February 2017 and March 2021.

A summary of our restricted stock unit activity is as follows (shares in thousands):

| Year Ended December 31,          |         |                    |         |                    |         |                     |
|----------------------------------|---------|--------------------|---------|--------------------|---------|---------------------|
|                                  | 2016    |                    | 2015    |                    | 2014    |                     |
|                                  |         | Weighted           |         | Weighted           |         | Weighted            |
|                                  | Restric | t <b>e</b> dverage | Restric | t <b>e</b> dverage | Restric | c <b>Aevl</b> erage |
|                                  | Shares  | Price at           | Shares  | Price at           | Shares  | Price at            |
|                                  |         | Grant              |         | Grant              |         | Grant               |
| Outstanding at beginning of year | 1,459   | \$ 10.21           | 595     | \$ 10.92           | 418     | \$ 10.55            |
| Restricted shares granted        | 862     | 6.26               | 1,183   | 9.93               | 423     | 11.04               |
| Restricted shares vested         | (647)   | 9.92               | (299)   | 10.53              | (228)   | 10.47               |
| Restricted shares forfeited      | (47)    | 7.95               | (20)    | 10.13              | (18)    | 11.07               |
| Outstanding at end of year       | 1,627   | 8.30               | 1,459   | 10.21              | 595     | 10.92               |

Performance Stock Units—During 2016, the compensation committee of the board of directors of the Company approved grants of PSUs to certain executive officers. The award agreements provide for the grant of a target number of approximately 336,000 PSUs that will be settled in shares of common stock of the Company, if and when the applicable vesting criteria have been achieved following the end of the performance and service period, which began on January 1, 2016 and ends on December 31, 2018. The target number of PSUs may be adjusted from 0% to 200% based on achievement of a specified relative total stockholder return and specified absolute total stockholder return, based on the formula determined by the Company's Compensation Committee on the grant date. The performance criteria for the PSUs are based on market conditions under the relevant literature, and the PSUs were granted to non-employees. Compensation expense of \$982,000 was recorded for the year ended December 31, 2016. The fair value of the unrecognized cost of PSUs, which was \$2.6 million at December 31, 2016, will be expensed over a period of approximately 2.3 years.

A summary of our PSU activity is as follows (shares in thousands):

|                                  | Year Ende | ed       |
|----------------------------------|-----------|----------|
|                                  | December  | 31, 2016 |
|                                  |           | Weighted |
|                                  | PSUs      | Average  |
|                                  |           | Price at |
|                                  |           | Grant    |
| Outstanding at beginning of year | _         | \$ _     |
| PSUs granted                     | 336       | 6.38     |

Outstanding at end of year 336 6.38

17. Employee Benefit Plans

401(k) Plan—Effective January 1, 2006, we established our 401(k) Plan, a qualified defined contribution retirement plan that covered employees 21 years of age or older who have completed one year of service and work a minimum of 1000 hours annually. The 401(k) Plan allowed eligible employees to contribute subject to IRS imposed limitations, to various investment funds. We made matching cash contributions of 50% of each participant's contributions, based on participant contributions of up to 6% of compensation. Participant contributions vest immediately whereas company matches vest 25% annually. In connection

## **Table of Contents**

with spin-off of Ashford Inc. on November 12, 2014, the 401(k) Plan is now administered by Ashford Inc. For the year ended December 31, 2014, we incurred matching expense of \$260,000.

Employee Savings and Incentive Plan (ESIP)—The ESIP, a nonqualified compensation plan that covered employees who work at least 25 hours per week, allows eligible employees to contribute up to 100% of their compensation to various investment funds. We matched 25% of the first 10% each employee contributes. Matches were only made to employees not participating in the 401(k) Plan. Employee contributions vested immediately whereas company contributions vest 25% annually. In connection with spin-off of Ashford Inc. on November 12, 2014, the ESIP is now administered by Ashford Inc. For the year ended December 31, 2014, we incurred matching expense of \$13,000. Deferred Compensation Plan—Effective January 1, 2008, we established a nonqualified deferred compensation plan for certain executive officers. The plan allows participants to defer up to 100% of their base salary, bonus and stock awards and select an investment fund for measurement of the deferred compensation liability. In connection with the spin-off of Ashford Inc., the \$11.5 million deferred compensation obligation included in additional paid-in-capital was transferred to Ashford Inc.

## 18. Income Taxes

For federal income tax purposes, we elected to be treated as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code. To qualify as a REIT, we must meet certain organizational and operational stipulations, including a requirement that we distribute at least 90% of our REIT taxable income, excluding net capital gains, to our stockholders. We currently intend to adhere to these requirements and maintain our REIT status. If we fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, we will be subject to federal income taxes at regular corporate rates (including any applicable alternative minimum tax) and may not qualify as a REIT for four subsequent taxable years. Even if we qualify for taxation as a REIT, we may be subject to certain state and local taxes as well as to federal income and excise taxes on our undistributed taxable income. At December 31, 2016, all of our 123 hotel properties were leased or owned by Ashford TRS (our taxable REIT subsidiaries). Ashford TRS recognized net book income of \$13.6 million, \$23.4 million and \$17.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

The following table reconciles the income tax expense at statutory rates to the actual income tax (expense) benefit recorded (in thousands):

|  | Year Ended December 31, |            |             |    |
|--|-------------------------|------------|-------------|----|
|  | 2016                    | 2015       | 2014        |    |
| Income tax expense at federal statutory income tax rate of 35%                   | \$(4,764                | ) \$(8,205 | 5) \$(6,041 | 1) |
| State income tax expense, net of federal income tax benefit                      | (742                    | ) (827     | ) (528      | )  |
| Permanent differences  | (798                    | ) (388     | ) (558      | )  |
| State and local income tax (expense) benefit on pass-through entity subsidiaries |                         | _          | (19         | )  |
| Gross receipts and margin taxes  | (692                    | ) (886     | ) (700      | )  |
| Interest and penalties   | (7                      | ) (14      | ) (10       | )  |
| Valuation allowance  | 5,471                   | 5,610      | 6,590       |    |
| Income tax (expense) benefit from continuing operations                          | (1,532                  | ) (4,710   | ) (1,266    | )  |
| Income tax (expense) benefit from discontinued operations                        |                         | _          |             |    |
| Income tax (expense) benefit from gain on sale of hotel property                 |                         | _          | (12         | )  |
| Total income tax (expense) benefit   | \$(1,532                | ) \$(4,710 | 0) \$(1,278 | 8) |

## **Table of Contents**

ASHFORD HOSPITALITY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

The components of income tax (expense) benefit from continuing operations are as follows (in thousands):

|                                    | Year Ended December 31, |           |            |    |  |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|------------|----|--|
|                                    | 2016                    | 2015      | 2014       |    |  |
| Current:                           |                         |           |            |    |  |
| Federal                            | \$(605)                 | \$(3,377  | ) \$(416   | )  |  |
| State                              | (1,229)                 | (1,225    | ) (721     | )  |  |
| Total current                      | (1,834)                 | (4,602    | ) (1,137   | )  |  |
| Deferred:                          |                         |           |            |    |  |
| Federal                            | 278                     | (30       | ) —        |    |  |
| State                              | 24                      | (78       | ) (129     | )  |  |
| Total deferred                     | 302                     | (108      | ) (129     | )  |  |
| Total income tay (aynance) hanafit | \$(1.532)               | \$ (4 710 | 0 \$ (1 26 | 5) |  |

Total income tax (expense) benefit \$(1,532) \$(4,710) \$(1,266)

For the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014 income tax expense includes interest and penalties paid to taxing authorities of \$7,000, \$14,000 and \$10,000, respectively. At December 31, 2016 and 2015, we determined that there were no amounts to accrue for interest and penalties due to taxing authorities.

At December 31, 2016 and 2015, our deferred tax asset (liability) and related valuation allowance consisted of the following (in thousands):

|   | December 31, |          |
|---|--------------|----------|
|   | 2016         | 2015     |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts               | \$260        | \$ 277   |
| Unearned income                               | 2,764        | 3,128    |
| Unfavorable management contract liability     | 516          | 1,302    |
| Federal and state net operating losses        | 10,841       | 15,537   |
| Accrued expenses                              | 2,582        | 2,587    |
| Prepaid expenses                              | (4,591)      | (4,009)  |
| Alternative minimum tax credit                | 2,005        | 1,718    |
| Tax property basis less than book basis       | (1,379)      | (1,743)  |
| Tax derivatives basis greater than book basis | 2,851        | 2,911    |
| Other   | 681          | (162)    |
| Deferred tax asset                            | 16,530       | 21,546   |
| Valuation allowance                           | (15,353)     | (20,670) |
| Net deferred tax asset                        | \$1,177      | \$876    |

At December 31, 2016, Ashford TRS had net operating loss carryforwards for federal income tax purposes of \$29.7 million, which begin to expire in 2029, and are available to offset future taxable income, if any, through 2034. Approximately \$10.1 million of the \$29.7 million of net operating loss carryforwards is attributable to acquired subsidiaries and subject to substantial limitation on their use. At December 31, 2016, Ashford Hospitality Trust, Inc., our REIT, had net operating loss carryforwards for federal income tax purposes of \$388.8 million, which begin to expire in 2023, and are available to offset future taxable income, if any, through 2035.

At December 31, 2016 and 2015, we maintained a valuation allowance of \$15.4 million and \$20.7 million, respectively. At December 31, 2016 and 2015, we fully reserved the deferred tax assets of several of our TRS's as we believe it is more likely than not that these deferred tax assets will not be realized. We considered all available evidence, both positive and negative. We concluded that the objectively verifiable negative evidence of a history of consolidated losses and the limitations imposed by the Internal Revenue Code on the utilization of net operating losses of acquired subsidiaries outweigh the positive evidence. We also considered our current forecasts. We believe this treatment is appropriate considering the nature of the intercompany transactions and leases

# **Table of Contents**

ASHFORD HOSPITALITY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

between the REIT and its subsidiaries and that the current level of taxable income at the TRS is primarily attributable by our current transfer pricing arrangements. The transfer pricing arrangements are updated upon the expiration and renewal of the intercompany leases starting in 2017 and 2018. The intercompany rents are determined in accordance with the arms' length transfer pricing standard, taking into account the cost of ownership to the REIT among other factors. We do not recognize deferred tax assets and a valuation allowance for the REIT since the REIT distributes its taxable income as dividends to stockholders, and in turn, the stockholders incur income taxes on those dividends. The following table summarizes the changes in the valuation allowance (in thousands):

|                         | $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{C}}$           |                                |  |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| Year Ended December 31, |                                      |                                |  |
| 2016                    | 2015                                 | 2014                           |  |
| \$20,670                | \$29,335                             | \$35,146                       |  |
| 2,169                   | 4,774                                | 1,855                          |  |
| (7,486)                 | (13,439)                             | (7,666 )                       |  |
| \$15,353                | \$20,670                             | \$29,335                       |  |
|                         | 2016<br>\$20,670<br>2,169<br>(7,486) | 2016 2015<br>\$20,670 \$29,335 |  |

# Table of Contents

# ASHFORD HOSPITALITY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

# 19. Income (Loss) Per Share

The following table reconciles the amounts used in calculating basic and diluted income (loss) per share (in thousands, except per share amounts):

| except per share amounts):   |            |             |           |    |
|--|------------|-------------|-----------|----|
|  |            | ed December | -         |    |
|  | 2016       | 2015        | 2014      |    |
| Income (loss) attributable to common stockholders – Basic and diluted:<br>Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to the Company | \$(46,285) | \$270,939   | \$(31,430 | 0) |
| Less: Dividends on preferred stocks  |            | (33,962)    |           | -  |
| Less: Extinguishment of issuance costs upon redemption of Series E preferred stock   | ,          | · —         | _         | ,  |
| Less: Dividends on common stock  |            |             | (41,592   | )  |
| Less: Dividends on unvested performance stock units  |            | — (10,150 ) | _         | ,  |
| Less: Dividends on unvested restricted shares  |            |             | (302      | )  |
| Less: Undistributed (income) from continuing operations allocated to unvested  | (, ,       |             | •         |    |
| shares   |            | (2,390)     | _         |    |
| Undistributed income (loss)  | (134,973)  | 187,397     | (107,286  | 5) |
| Add back: Dividends on common stock  | 45,388     | 46,498      | 41,592    |    |
| Distributed and undistributed income (loss) from continuing operations - basic   |            | \$233,895   | \$(65,694 | 4) |
| Add back: Income from continuing operations allocated to operating partnership   | , , , ,    |             | , , ,     |    |
| units  |            | 35,503      |           |    |
| Distributed and undistributed net income (loss) from continuing operations - diluted   | \$(89,585) | \$269,398   | \$(65,694 | 4) |
| , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,  | , , ,      |             |           |    |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations allocated to common stockholders:   |            |             |           |    |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations attributable to the Company   | \$—        | \$          | \$29      |    |
|  |            |             |           |    |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding:  |            |             |           |    |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding - basic   | 94,426     | 96,290      | 87,622    |    |
| Effect of assumed conversion of operating partnership units  |            | 18,591      | _         |    |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding - diluted   | 94,426     | 114,881     | 87,622    |    |
|  |            |             |           |    |
| Basic income (loss) per share:   |            |             |           |    |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations allocated to common stockholders per  | \$(0.95)   | \$2.43      | \$(0.75   | )  |
| share  | \$(0.93)   | \$4.43      | \$(0.75   | ,  |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations allocated to common stockholders per  |            |             |           |    |
| share  |            | <del></del> |           |    |
| Net income (loss) allocated to common stockholders per share   | \$(0.95)   | \$2.43      | \$(0.75   | )  |
|  |            |             |           |    |
| Diluted income (loss) per share:   |            |             |           |    |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations allocated to common stockholders per  | \$(0.95)   | \$2.35      | \$(0.75   | )  |
| share  | Ψ(0.25)    | Ψ2.55       | Ψ(0.75    | ,  |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations allocated to common stockholders per  |            | _           | _         |    |
| share  |            |             |           |    |
| Net income (loss) allocated to common stockholders per share   | \$(0.95)   | \$2.35      | \$(0.75   | )  |
| 112  |            |             |           |    |
| 113  |            |             |           |    |

## **Table of Contents**

# ASHFORD HOSPITALITY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

Due to their anti-dilutive effect, the computation of diluted income (loss) per share does not reflect the adjustments for the following items (in thousands):

|   | Year Ended December 31, |         |           |
|---|-------------------------|---------|-----------|
|   | 2016                    | 2015    | 2014      |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations allocated to common stockholders is not  |                         |         |           |
| adjusted for:   |                         |         |           |
| Income allocated to unvested restricted shares                                    | \$743                   | \$3,082 | \$302     |
| Income allocated to unvested performance stock units                              | 161                     |         | _         |
| Loss attributable to redeemable noncontrolling interests in operating partnership | (12,483)                |         | (6,404)   |
| Total   | \$(11,579)              | \$3,082 | \$(6,102) |
| Weighted average diluted shares are not adjusted for:                             |                         |         |           |
| Effect of unvested restricted shares  | 373                     | 485     | 174       |
| Effect of unvested performance stock units  | 102                     |         | _         |
| Effect of assumed conversion of operating partnership units                       | 18,727                  |         | 19,447    |
| Total   | 19,202                  | 485     | 19,621    |

# 20. Segment Reporting

We operate in one business segment within the hotel lodging industry: direct hotel investments. Direct hotel investments refer to owning hotel properties through either acquisition or new development. We report operating results of direct hotel investments on an aggregate basis as substantially all of our hotel investments have similar economic characteristics and exhibit similar long-term financial performance. As of December 31, 2016 and 2015, all of our hotel properties were domestically located.

# 21. Related Party Transactions

As of December 31, 2016, we have management agreements with parties owned by our Chairman and our Chairman Emeritus. Under the agreements, we pay Remington Lodging a) monthly property management fees equal to the greater of \$10,000 (CPI adjusted since 2003) or 3% of gross revenues as well as annual incentive management fees, if certain operational criteria are met, b) project management fees of up to 4% of project costs, c) market service fees including purchasing, design and construction management not to exceed 16.5% of project budget cumulatively, including project management fees, and d) through November 12, 2014, the date of the Ashford Inc. spin-off, other general and administrative expense reimbursements, approved by our independent directors, including rent, payroll, office supplies, travel, and accounting and subsequent to the spin-off other general and administrative expense reimbursements primarily related to accounting services. This related party allocates such charges to us based on various methodologies, including headcount and actual amounts incurred.

At December 31, 2016, the related party managed 84 of our 123 hotel properties and the WorldQuest condominium properties included in continuing operations and we incurred the following fees (including discontinued operations) related to the management agreements with the related party (in thousands):

Year Ended December 31

|  | Tear Ended December 3 |          |          |
|--|-----------------------|----------|----------|
|  | 2016                  | 2015     | 2014     |
| Property management fees, including incentive property management fees | \$31,164              | \$29,004 | \$17,800 |
| Market service fees  | 18,751                | 14,291   | 13,494   |
| Corporate general and administrative and fixed asset reimbursements    | 5,435                 | 4,677    | 7,689    |
| Total  | \$55,350              | \$47,972 | \$38,983 |

Management agreements with the related party include exclusivity clauses that require us to engage such related party, unless our independent directors either (i) unanimously vote to hire a different manager or developer or (ii) by a majority vote elect not to engage such related party because either special circumstances exist such that it would be in the best interest of our Company not to engage such related party, or, based on the related party's prior performance, it

is believed that another manager or developer could perform the management, development or other duties materially better.

<u>Table of Contents</u>
ASHFORD HOSPITALITY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

Upon formation, we also agreed to indemnify certain related parties, including our Chairman and our Chairman Emeritus, who contributed hotel properties in connection with our initial public offering in exchange for operating partnership units, against the income tax such related parties may incur if we dispose of one or more of those contributed properties under the terms of the agreement.

In connection with the spin-off of Ashford Prime in 2013, our former subsidiary Ashford LLC entered into an advisory agreement with Ashford Prime, in which it acted as its external advisor, and as a result, we received advisory fees from Ashford Prime from the periods from January 1, 2014 through November 12, 2014. Upon the previously discussed spin-off of Ashford Inc. on November 12, 2014, our subsidiary Ashford LLC, was contributed to Ashford Inc. Ashford Prime is required to pay Ashford LLC a quarterly base fee equal to 0.70% per annum of the total market capitalization of Ashford Prime, subject to a minimum quarterly base fee, as payment for managing the day-to-day operations of Ashford Prime and its subsidiaries in conformity with Ashford Prime's investment guidelines. Ashford Prime is also required to pay Ashford LLC an incentive fee that is based on Ashford Prime's total return performance as compared to Ashford Prime's peer group as well as to reimburse Ashford LLC for certain expenses, including equity-based compensation and certain reimbursable overhead and internal audit, insurance claims advisory and asset management services, as specified in the advisory agreement.

For the 2014 period noted above, we received advisory service revenues of \$10.7 million from Ashford Prime. These revenues were comprised of a base advisory fee of \$7.5 million, reimbursable overhead and internal audit, insurance claims advisory and asset management services of \$1.4 million and advisory revenue for equity grants of Ashford Prime common stock and LTIP units awarded to our officers and employees of \$1.8 million. No incentive fee was earned in 2014.

In connection with the previously discussed spin-off of Ashford Inc., we entered into an advisory agreement with Ashford LLC, which was a subsidiary of ours until November 12, 2014, when it spun off and became a subsidiary of Ashford Inc. Ashford LLC acts as our advisor, and as a result, we pay advisory fees to Ashford LLC. The advisory agreement was amended in June 2015. We are required to pay Ashford LLC a quarterly base fee that is a percentage of our total market capitalization on a declining sliding scale, subject to a minimum quarterly base fee, as payment for managing our day-to-day operations in accordance with our investment guidelines. Total market capitalization includes the aggregate principal amount of our consolidated indebtedness (including our proportionate share of debt of any entity that is not consolidated but excluding our joint venture partners' proportionate share of consolidated debt). The range of base fees on the scale are between 0.70% and 0.50% per annum for total market capitalization that ranges from less than \$6.0 billion to greater than \$10.0 billion. At December 31, 2016, the quarterly base fee was 0.70% based on our current market capitalization. We are also required to pay Ashford LLC an incentive fee that is based on our total return performance as compared to our peer group as well as to reimburse Ashford LLC for certain reimbursable overhead and internal audit, insurance claims advisory and asset management services, as specified in the advisory agreement. We also record equity-based compensation expense for equity grants of common stock and LTIP units awarded to our officers and employees of Ashford LLC in connection with providing advisory services equal to the fair value of the award in proportion to the requisite service period satisfied during the period. On June 10, 2015, the independent directors of the Company approved an amended and restated advisory agreement with Ashford LLC, effective as of June 10, 2015. The amendments, among other things: permit the Company to engage an asset manager other than Ashford LLC with respect to any new properties acquired by the Company, if the Company and Ashford LLC determine that such property would be uneconomic to the Company without incentives; shorten the initial term of the advisory agreement to ten years; extend the renewal terms to five years; provide for key money investments by Ashford LLC to facilitate the Company's acquisition of properties under certain conditions, including Ashford LLC becoming the asset manager for the acquired property and receiving related asset management and other fees, as applicable; adjust the base fee payable to Ashford LLC to a declining sliding scale percentage of total market capitalization of the Company above \$6.0 billion; clarify the calculation of the termination fee; allow Ashford LLC to terminate the Advisory Agreement upon a Company Change of Control (as defined in the advisory

agreement) and require the Company to pay a termination fee to Ashford LLC upon such termination; and grant Ashford LLC repurchase rights with respect to its shares held by the Company upon any termination of the advisory agreement.

## **Table of Contents**

ASHFORD HOSPITALITY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

Beginning November 12, 2014, we incur advisory services fees payable to Ashford Inc. The following table summarizes the advisory services fees incurred (in thousands):

Year Ended December 31. 2016 2015 2014 Advisory services fee Base advisory fee \$34,589 \$33,833 \$3,999 Reimbursable expenses (1) 5.917 6,471 534 Equity-based compensation (2) 8,429 2,719 Incentive fee 5.426 Total advisory services fee \$54,361 \$43,023 \$4,533

In connection with our acquisition of the Le Pavillon in 2015 and Ashford Inc.'s engagement to provide hotel advisory services to us, Ashford Inc. agreed to provide \$4.0 million of key money consideration to purchase furniture, fixtures and equipment ("FF&E"). During the fourth quarter of 2016, the \$4.0 million of key money consideration was invested in FF&E by Ashford Inc. to be used by Ashford Trust, which represented all of the key money consideration for Le Pavillon. Lease expense of \$112,000 was recognized for the year ended December 31, 2016 and was included in "other" hotel expense in the consolidated statements of operations.

The hotel advisory services and the lease are considered a multiple element arrangement, in accordance with the applicable accounting guidance. As such, a portion of the base advisory fee will be allocated to lease expense equal to the estimated fair value of the lease payments that would have been made. As a result, \$112,000 of advisory expense was allocated to lease expense for the year ended December 31, 2016.

At December 31, 2016 and 2015, we had payables of \$15.7 million and \$9.9 million, respectively, included in due to Ashford Inc., net, associated with the advisory services fee discussed above.

On July 31, 2015, we entered into a block trade with an unaffiliated third party, pursuant to a sale arrangement between the Company, Ashford Inc. and Ashford Prime. The block trade included the repurchase and retirement of approximately 5.8 million shares of our common stock at a price of \$9.00 per share for a total cost of approximately \$51.8 million. The sale arrangement and block trade were evaluated and approved by the independent members of our board of directors. The block trade purchase price and other terms of the sale arrangement were the result of negotiations with the third party. We did not receive any concessions or economic benefits from Ashford Inc. pertaining to our current contractual arrangements with Ashford Inc. in connection with this block trade. The block trade settled on August 4, 2015.

Certain employees of Remington Lodging, who perform work on behalf of Ashford Trust, were granted approximately 173,000 and 147,000 shares of restricted stock under the Ashford Trust Stock Plan during 2016 and 2015, respectively. These share grants were accounted for under the applicable accounting guidance related to share-based payments granted to non-employees and are recorded as a component of "management fees" in our consolidated statements of operations. Expense of \$639,000 and \$213,000 was recognized for the year ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. The unamortized fair value of the grants was \$1.3 million as of December 31, 2016, which will be recognized over a period of 2.3 years, subject to future mark to market adjustments.

# 22. Concentration of Risk

Our investments are primarily concentrated within the hotel industry. Our investment strategy is to acquire full service hotels in the upscale and upper-upscale segments in domestic and international markets that have RevPAR generally

<sup>(1)</sup> Reimbursable expenses include overhead, internal audit, insurance claims advisory and asset management services.

<sup>(2)</sup> Equity-based compensation is associated with equity grants of Ashford Trust's common stock, LTIP units and Performance LTIP units awarded to officers and employees of Ashford LLC.

less than twice the national average. During 2016, approximately 9.3% of our total hotel revenue was generated from nine hotel properties located in the Washington D.C. area. In addition, all hotel properties securing our mortgage loans are located domestically at December 31, 2016. Accordingly, adverse conditions in the hotel industry will have a material adverse effect on our operating and investment revenues and cash available for distribution to stockholders.

# **Table of Contents**

Financial instruments that potentially subject us to significant concentrations of credit risk consist principally of cash and cash equivalents. We are exposed to credit risk with respect to cash held at various financial institutions, U.S. government treasury bill holdings and amounts due or payable under our derivative contracts. At December 31, 2016, we have exposure risk related to our derivative contracts. Our counterparties are investment grade financial institutions.

# 23. Selected Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)

The following is a summary of the quarterly results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 (in thousands, except per share data):

|  | First      | Second     | Third      | Fourth     | Full        |      |
|--|------------|------------|------------|------------|-------------|------|
|  | Quarter    | Quarter    | Quarter    | Quarter    | Year        |      |
| 2016   |            |            |            |            |             |      |
| Total revenue  | \$367,772  | \$410,670  | \$371,931  | \$341,670  | \$1,492,043 | 3    |
| Total operating expenses   | 326,369    | 341,203    | 330,857    | 337,910    | 1,336,339   |      |
| Operating income (loss)  | \$41,403   | \$69,467   | \$41,074   | \$3,760    | \$155,704   |      |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations   | \$(12,139) | \$35,135   | \$(25,138) | \$(56,640) | \$(58,782   | )    |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to the Company                           | \$(9,989 ) | \$30,753   | \$(20,145) | \$(46,904) | \$(46,285   | )    |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to common stockholders                   | \$(18,479) | \$22,262   | \$(35,144) | \$(57,320) | \$(88,681   | )    |
| Diluted income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to common stockholders per share | \$(0.20)   | \$0.23     | \$0.37     | \$(0.61)   | \$(0.95     | )(1) |
| Weighted average diluted common shares   | 94,136     | 94,474     | 94,531     | 94,585     | 94,426      |      |
| 2015   |            |            |            |            |             |      |
| Total revenue  | \$250,235  | \$369,338  | \$364,516  | \$352,877  | \$1,336,966 | 5    |
| Total operating expenses   | 218,130    | 334,139    | 323,634    | 323,148    | 1,199,051   |      |
| Operating income (loss)  | \$32,105   | \$35,199   | \$40,882   | \$29,729   | \$137,915   |      |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations   | \$366,807  | \$(14,757) | \$(20,110) | \$(26,127) | \$305,813   |      |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to the Company                           | \$321,496  | \$(12,244) | \$(16,321) | \$(21,992) | \$270,939   |      |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to common stockholders                   | \$313,006  | \$(20,735) | \$(24,811) | \$(30,483) | \$236,977   |      |
| Diluted income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to common stockholders per share | \$3.25     | \$(0.21)   | \$(0.26)   | \$(0.33)   | \$2.35      | (1)  |
| Weighted average diluted common shares   | 113,912    | 99,755     | 95,888     | 94,012     | 114,881     |      |

<sup>(1)</sup> The sum of the diluted income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to common stockholders per share for the four quarters in 2016 and 2015 differs from the annual diluted income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to common stockholders per share due to the required method of computing the weighted average diluted common shares in the respective periods.

## **Table of Contents**

ASHFORD HOSPITALITY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

# 24. Subsequent Events

On January 19, 2017, AHT SMA, LP, a Delaware limited partnership ("Client") and a wholly-owned subsidiary of Ashford Trust entered into an Investment Management Agreement (the "Agreement") with Ashford Investment Management, LLC ("AIM"), a subsidiary of Ashford Inc., to manage all or a portion of Ashford Trust's excess cash (the "Account"). Pursuant to the Agreement, Client retained and appointed AIM as the investment manager of Client. The Agreement will govern the relationship between Client and AIM, as well as grant AIM certain rights, powers and duties to act on behalf of Client. AIM will not be compensated by Client for its services under the Agreement. Client bears all costs and expenses of the establishment and ongoing maintenance of the Account as well as all costs and expenses of AIM.

On February 1, 2017, the Company completed the sale of the Renaissance Portsmouth for approximately \$9.2 million. The carrying value of the land, building and furniture, fixtures and equipment was approximately \$8.8 million at December 31, 2016.

On February 20, 2017, the board of directors of the Company appointed Mr. Douglas A. Kessler as Chief Executive Officer of the Company, effective February 21, 2017. Also on February 20, 2017, Mr. Monty J. Bennett ceased to serve as the Company's Chief Executive Officer. Mr. Bennett will remain the Chairman of the Board.

In connection with the appointment of Mr. Kessler as Chief Executive Officer of the Company, the Company and Mr. Kessler entered into a Restricted Stock Award Agreement (the "Award Agreement"), pursuant to which Mr. Kessler will receive 359,477 shares of Restricted Stock (as defined in the Award Agreement).

On February 21, 2017, the Company announced a proposal to acquire all of the outstanding shares of common stock of FelCor Lodging Trust Incorporated ("FelCor") comprised of a fixed exchange ratio of 1.192 shares of Ashford Trust common stock for one share of FelCor common stock, 400,000 shares of Ashford Inc. common stock. and 100,000 warrants to purchase shares of Ashford Inc. common stock.

On March 2, 2017, we invested an additional \$650,000 in OpenKey, resulting in a 15.35% total ownership interest. On March 6, 2017, the Company completed the sale of the Embassy Suites Syracuse for approximately \$8.8 million. The carrying value of the land, building and furniture, fixtures and equipment was approximately \$8.4 million at December 31, 2016.

On March 7, 2017, AIM REHE Funds GP, LP ("AIM GP"), the general partner of the AQUA U.S. Fund, provided written notice to Ashford Trust of its election to dissolve the AQUA U.S. Fund pursuant to Section 6.1(a) of the Second Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of the AQUA U.S. Fund as of March 31, 2017 (the "Dissolution Date").

The balance of Ashford Trust's capital account in the AQUA U.S. Fund, less an audit hold-back of 5%, will be distributed in cash on the Dissolution Date, and thereafter Ashford Trust will cease to be a limited partner of the AQUA U.S. Fund. The balance will be paid (without interest) promptly following the completion of the audits of the AQUA U.S. Fund's and the Master Fund's financial statements for the period January 1, 2017 through March 31, 2017, which is expected to be on or before June 30, 2017.

## **Table of Contents**

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

## EVALUATION OF DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Under the supervision and with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, our management has evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) as of December 31, 2016 ("Evaluation Date"). Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of the Evaluation Date, as a result of the material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting related to the valuation allowance on deferred tax assets, our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective (i) to ensure that information required to be disclosed in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC rules and forms; and (ii) to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures. As further described below, the material weakness was limited to a control specific to assessing the need for a valuation allowance on deferred tax assets of certain of our TRS entities.

# MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting and for the assessment of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting. The internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with GAAP. Our internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of our assets; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP, and that receipts and our expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and our directors and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Management assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2016. In making the assessment of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting, management has utilized the criteria established in Internal Control — Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, (2013 framework) ("COSO").

Based on management's assessment of these criteria, and as a result of the material weakness discussed below, we concluded that, as of December 31, 2016, our internal control over financial reporting was not effective. In March 2017, during our financial statement close process for the year ended December 31, 2016, we identified a material weakness solely related to the review controls over assessing the need for a valuation allowance on deferred tax assets for certain of our TRS entities as of December 31, 2016 (the "Valuation Allowance Assessment"). A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Company's annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. As part of the Valuation Allowance Assessment and related review control, the Company applied inappropriate judgment, which resulted in a judgmental misstatement in the Company's consolidated financial statements prior to being issued. The execution of the related control is highly complex and involves significant judgment for which there are varying interpretations, and the facts and circumstances underlying the deficiency occur infrequently. The operation of the control used in reaching the Company's accounting conclusion, which is highly judgmental, resulted in a misstatement that ultimately caused the control to be ineffective. Since the deficiency was identified prior to the issuance of the consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2016, the consolidated financial statements have been corrected. To prevent future material weaknesses from arising in similar circumstances, the views regarding the judgment of the Company have been further clarified in that we will modify the weight given to various factors involved in the assessment if similar facts and circumstances arise in the future. In addition, we have identified additional external consultations that may be

undertaken, if considered necessary.

We reviewed the results of management's assessment with the audit committee of our board of directors.

## **Table of Contents**

Notwithstanding the material weakness described above, management has concluded that our consolidated financial statements included in this annual report are fairly stated in all material respects in accordance with GAAP.

This material weakness did not result in any restatement of prior period financial statements, and there were no

This material weakness did not result in any restatement of prior-period financial statements, and there were no changes in previously released financial results.

The effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2016 has been audited by BDO USA LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report which appears in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

# CHANGES IN INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

There were no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting that occurred during the fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2016, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting. Subsequent to December 31, 2016, we have implemented the remedial actions described above.

## **Table of Contents**

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders Ashford Hospitality Trust, Inc. and subsidiaries Dallas, Texas

We have audited Ashford Hospitality Trust, Inc. and subsidiaries' (the "Company") internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2016, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). Ashford Hospitality Trust, Inc. and subsidiaries management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Item 9A, Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the company's annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. A material weakness regarding management's failure to maintain controls over the evaluation of the deferred tax asset valuation allowance has been identified and described in management's assessment. This material weakness was considered in determining the nature, timing, and extent of audit tests applied in our audit of the 2016 financial statements, and this report does not affect our report dated March 16, 2017 on those financial statements.

In our opinion, Ashford Hospitality Trust, Inc. did not maintain, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2016, based on the COSO criteria. We do not express an opinion or any other form of assurance on management's statements referring to any corrective actions taken by the company after the date of management's assessment.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Ashford Hospitality Trust, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income (loss), equity, and cash flows for the years then ended, and our report dated March 16, 2017 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ BDO USA LLP Dallas, Texas March 16, 2017

## **Table of Contents**

Item 9B. Other Information

None.

**PART III** 

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officer, and Corporate Governance

The required information is incorporated by reference from the Proxy Statement pertaining to our 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, to be filed with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A promulgated under the Exchange Act not later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

The required information is incorporated by reference from the Proxy Statement pertaining to our 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, to be filed with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A promulgated under the Exchange Act not later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters The required information is incorporated by reference from the Proxy Statement pertaining to our 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, to be filed with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A promulgated under the Exchange Act not later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

The required information is incorporated by reference from the Proxy Statement pertaining to our 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, to be filed with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A promulgated under the Exchange Act not later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

The required information is incorporated by reference from the Proxy Statement pertaining to our 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, to be filed with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A promulgated under the Exchange Act not later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K. PART IV

#### Item 15. Exhibits. Financial Statement and Schedules

(a) Financial Statements and Schedules

See Item 8, "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data," on pages 69 through 118 hereof, for a list of our consolidated financial statements and report of independent registered public accounting firm.

The following financial statement schedules are included herein on pages 124 through 129 hereof.

Schedule III – Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation

Schedule IV – Mortgage Loans on Real Estate

All other financial statement schedules have been omitted because such schedules are not required under the related instructions, such schedules are not significant, or the required information has been disclosed elsewhere in the consolidated financial statements and related notes thereto.

(b) Exhibits

Exhibits required by Item 601 of Regulation S-K: The exhibits filed in response to this item are listed in the Exhibit Index on pages 130 through 136.

Item 16. Form 10-K Summary

None.

# **Table of Contents**

# **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, on March 16, 2017.

# ASHFORD HOSPITALITY

TRUST, INC.

# By:/s/ DOUGLAS A. KESSLER

Douglas A. Kessler

Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below on behalf of the Registrant in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

| Signature   | Title   | Date           |
|---|---|----------------|
| /s/ MONTY J. BENNETT<br>Monty J. Bennett              | Chairman of the Board of Directors                      | March 16, 2017 |
| /s/ DOUGLAS A. KESSLER<br>Douglas A. Kessler          | Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)   | March 16, 2017 |
| /s/ DERIC S. EUBANKS<br>Deric S. Eubanks              | Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)   | March 16, 2017 |
| /s/ MARK L. NUNNELEY Mark L. Nunneley                 | Chief Accounting Officer (Principal Accounting Officer) | March 16, 2017 |
| /s/ BENJAMIN J. ANSELL, M.D. Benjamin J. Ansell, M.D. | Director  | March 16, 2017 |
| /s/ FREDERICK J. KLEISNER<br>Frederick J. Kleisner    | Director  | March 16, 2017 |
| /s/ AMISH GUPTA<br>Amish Gupta                        | Director  | March 16, 2017 |
| /s/ KAMAL JAFARNIA<br>Kamal Jafarnia                  | Director  | March 16, 2017 |
| /s/ PHILLIP S. PAYNE<br>Philip S. Payne               | Director  | March 16, 2017 |
| /s/ ALAN L. TALLIS<br>Alan L. Tallis                  | Director  | March 16, 2017 |
| 123   |   |                |

# **Table of Contents**

# SCHEDULE III ASHFORD HOSPITALITY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION December 31, 2016

(dollars in thousands)

| (dollars in th       | ousands)               |             |               |                                     |             |                            |   |                                     |          |                   |   |               |
|----------------------|------------------------|-------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|----------|-------------------|---|---------------|
| Column A             |                        | Column<br>B | Column        | ı C                                 | Colum       | ın D                       | Column                                      | a E                                 |          | Columr<br>F       | <sup>n</sup> Column G                     | Colun         |
|                      |                        | _           | Initial C     | Costs Capitalized Since Acquisition |             |                            | Gross Carrying Amount<br>At Close of Period |                                     |          | -                 |   |               |
| Hotel<br>Property    | Location               | Encumbi     | r <b>ames</b> | FF&E,<br>Buildin<br>and<br>improve  | ngs<br>Land | FF&E, Building and improve |   | FF&E,<br>Building<br>and<br>improve |          | Accumo<br>Depreci | u <b>Cated</b> truction<br>id <b>Date</b> | Acqui<br>Date |
| Embassy<br>Suites    | Austin, TX             | \$26,600    | \$1,204       | _                                   |             | _                          |   | \$16,178                            | \$17,575 | \$6,798           | 08/1998                                   |               |
| Embassy<br>Suites    | Dallas, TX             | 15,100      | 1,878         | 8,907                               | 238         | 7,255                      | 2,116                                       | 16,162                              | 18,278   | 7,259             | 12/1998                                   |               |
| Embassy<br>Suites    | Herndon, VA            | 17,700      | 1,303         | 9,836                               | 277         | 9,566                      | 1,580                                       | 19,402                              | 20,982   | 8,285             | 12/1998                                   |               |
| Embassy<br>Suites    | Las Vegas,<br>NV       | 22,100      | 3,307         | 16,952                              | 397         | 13,651                     | 3,704                                       | 30,603                              | 34,307   | 10,961            | 05/1999                                   |               |
| Embassy<br>Suites    | Syracuse, NY           | 19,599      | 2,839         | 9,778                               | (2,839      | (9,778)                    | _   | _                                   | _        | _                 |   | 10/20         |
| Embassy<br>Suites    | Flagstaff, AZ          | 14,814      | 1,267         | 4,278                               | _           | 5,803                      | 1,267                                       | 10,081                              | 11,348   | 4,872             |   | 10/20         |
| Embassy<br>Suites    | Houston, TX            | 23,300      | 1,799         | 10,404                              | _           | 6,819                      | 1,799                                       | 17,223                              | 19,022   | 5,142             |   | 03/20         |
| Embassy<br>Suites    | West Palm<br>Beach, FL | 19,500      | 3,277         | 13,949                              | _           | 9,413                      | 3,277                                       | 23,362                              | 26,639   | 8,381             |   | 03/20         |
| Embassy<br>Suites    | Philadelphia,<br>PA    | 34,513      | 5,791         | 34,819                              | _           | 11,389                     | 5,791                                       | 46,208                              | 51,999   | 13,526            |   | 12/20         |
| Embassy<br>Suites    | Walnut Creek,<br>CA    | 36,342      | 7,452         | 25,334                              | _           | 8,503                      | 7,452                                       | 33,837                              | 41,289   | 9,810             |   | 12/20         |
| Embassy<br>Suites    | Arlington, VA          | 44,802      | 36,065        | 41,588                              | _           | 8,417                      | 36,065                                      | 50,005                              | 86,070   | 14,532            |   | 04/20         |
| Embassy<br>Suites    | Portland, OR           | 75,360      | 11,110        | 60,048                              | _           | 9,009                      | 11,110                                      | 69,057                              | 80,167   | 19,407            |   | 04/20         |
| Embassy<br>Suites    | Santa Clara,<br>CA     | 62,473      | 8,948         | 46,239                              | _           | 4,062                      | 8,948                                       | 50,301                              | 59,249   | 13,460            |   | 04/20         |
| Embassy<br>Suites    | Orlando, FL            | 15,373      | 5,674         | 21,593                              | _           | 7,498                      | 5,674                                       | 29,091                              | 34,765   | 7,007             |   | 04/20         |
| Hilton<br>Garden Inn | Jacksonville,<br>FL    | 10,100      | 1,751         | 9,164                               | _           | 1,929                      | 1,751                                       | 11,093                              | 12,844   | 3,950             |   | 11/20         |
| Hilton<br>Garden Inn | Austin, TX             | 45,760      | 7,605         | 48,725                              |             | 6,585                      | 7,605                                       | 55,310                              | 62,915   | 5,196             |   | 03/20         |
| Hilton<br>Garden Inn | Baltimore,<br>MD       | 19,680      | 4,027         | 20,199                              |             | 426                        | 4,027                                       | 20,625                              | 24,652   | 1,751             |   | 03/20         |

Edgar Filing: ASHFORD HOSPITALITY TRUST INC - Form 10-K

| Hilton<br>Garden Inn           | Virginia<br>Beach, VA        | 24,720           | 4,101          | 26,329 —             | 684              | 4,101          | 27,013           | 31,114           | 2,079           | 03/20 |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------------|------------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|-------|
| Hilton<br>Garden Inn           | Wisconsin<br>Dells, WI       | 12,000           | 867            | 14,318 —             | 852              | 867            | 15,170           | 16,037           | 1,027           | 08/20 |
| Hilton<br>Hilton               | Ft. Worth, TX<br>Houston, TX | 51,202<br>25,500 | 4,538<br>2,200 | 13,922 —<br>13,247 — | 17,912<br>10,195 | 4,538<br>2,200 | 31,834<br>23,442 | 36,372<br>25,642 | 13,119<br>9,769 | 03/20 |
| Hilton                         | St. Petersburg, FL           | 44,800           | 2,991          | 13,907 —             | 18,155           | 2,991          | 32,062           | 35,053           | 12,672          | 03/20 |
| Hilton                         | Santa Fe, NM                 | 17,971           | 7,004          | 10,689 —             | 14,840           | 7,004          | 25,529           | 32,533           | 12,289          | 12/20 |
| Hilton                         | Bloomington,<br>MN           | 52,644           | 5,685          | 59,139 —             | 13,859           | 5,685          | 72,998           | 78,683           | 21,324          | 04/20 |
| Hilton                         | Costa Mesa,<br>CA            | 64,960           | 12,917         | 91,791 —             | 18,819           | 12,917         | 110,610          | 123,527          | 33,286          | 04/20 |
| Hilton                         | Boston, MA                   | 96,169           | 62,555         | 134,407—             | 5,841            | 62,555         | 140,248          | 202,803          | 7,035           | 03/20 |
| Hilton                         | Parsippany,<br>NJ            | 53,120           | 7,293          | 58,098 —             | 9,930            | 7,293          | 68,028           | 75,321           | 8,808           | 03/20 |
| Hilton                         | Tampa, FL                    | 21,440           | 5,206          | 21,186 —             | 1,719            | 5,206          | 22,905           | 28,111           | 1,590           | 03/20 |
| Hampton<br>Inn                 | Lawrenceville,<br>GA         | 5,712            | 697            | 3,808 —              | 3,139            | 697            | 6,947            | 7,644            | 2,065           | 11/20 |
| Hampton<br>Inn                 | Evansville, IN               | 13,100           | 1,301          | 5,034 —              | 3,883            | 1,301          | 8,917            | 10,218           | 4,315           | 09/20 |
| Hampton<br>Inn                 | Parsippany,<br>NJ            | 22,400           | 3,268          | 24,306 —             | 1,597            | 3,268          | 25,903           | 29,171           | 1,704           | 03/20 |
| Hampton<br>Inn                 | Buford, GA                   | 9,097            | 1,168          | 5,338 —              | 1,197            | 1,168          | 6,535            | 7,703            | 2,382           | 07/20 |
| Hampton<br>Inn                 | Phoenix, AZ                  | 11,267           | 853            | 10,145 —             | 569              | 853            | 10,714           | 11,567           | 1,123           | 06/20 |
| Hampton<br>Inn -<br>Waterfront | Pittsburgh, PA               | 12,786           | 2,335          | 18,663 —             | 175              | 2,335          | 18,838           | 21,173           | 1,637           | 06/20 |
| Hampton<br>Inn -<br>Washington | Pittsburgh, PA               | 17,341           | 2,760          | 19,739 —             | 449              | 2,760          | 20,188           | 22,948           | 1,709           | 06/20 |
|                                |                              |                  |                |                      |                  |                |                  |                  |                 |       |

124

# Table of Contents

| Column A                      |                                | Colu: | m <b>©</b> olumn<br>C         | Column<br>D                             | Column  | Е   | Column<br>F Column G  | Column H         | Column I            |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|-------------------------------|---|---|-----|---|------------------|---------------------|
|                               |                                |       | Initial<br>Cost               | Costs<br>Capitaliz<br>Since<br>Acquisit | Gross Carrying Zed Amount Close of ion Period | At  |   |                  |                     |
|                               |                                |       | FF&                           | E, FF&E                                 | E, FF&E,                                      |     |   |                  |                     |
| Hotel Property                | Location                       | Encu  |                               |   |   |     | Accun <b>tion haterl</b> iction<br>tal<br>Depre <b>Diatte</b> ion | Acquisition Date | Income<br>Statement |
| II                            | Calambaa OII                   | 21.01 | •                             | •                                       | vem <b>enpts</b> ov                           |     |   | 06/2015          | (1) (2) (2)         |
| Hampton Inn                   | Columbus, OH<br>Beverly Hills, | 21,01 | 1 <b>7</b> 1,7 <b>89</b> ,21  | 10-631                                  | 1,72879841                                    | 29, | ,02,086   | 06/2015          | (1),(2),(3)         |
| Marriott                      | CA                             | -     |                               |   | 86, <b>5</b> B0039                            | -   | •   | 03/2005          | (1),(2),(3)         |
| Marriott                      | Durham, NC                     |       |                               | -                                       | 1,78994129                                    |     | •   | 02/2006          | (1),(2),(3)         |
| Marriott                      | Arlington, VA                  |       |                               | •                                       | 2201631764                                    |     |   | 07/2006          | (1),(2),(3)         |
| Marriott                      | Bridgewater, NJ                |       |                               |   | 5, <b>05</b> 19246                            |     |   | 04/2007          | (1),(2),(3)         |
| Marriott                      | Dallas, TX                     |       |                               | ,                                       | 22, <b>7402</b> 1,745                         |     | , ,   | 04/2007          | (1),(2),(3)         |
| Marriott                      | Fremont, CA                    |       |                               |   | 15,81020,259                                  |     |   | 8/2014           | (1),(2),(3)         |
| Marriott                      | Memphis, TN                    |       | 06,280,28                     |   | 6,23170892                                    |     | •   | 02/2015          | (1),(2),(3)         |
| Marriott                      | Irving, TX                     |       | 08,330,27                     |   | 8,3830,426                                    |     | · ·   | 03/2015          | (1),(2),(3)         |
| Marriott                      | Omaha, NE                      |       |                               | -                                       | 6, <b>6</b> 461110                            |     | •   | 03/2015          | (1),(2),(3)         |
| Marriott                      | San Antonio, TX                |       |                               |   |   |     | •   | 03/2015          | (1),(2),(3)         |
| Marriott                      | Sugarland, TX                  | 80,00 | 09,04874,04                   | 13—887                                  | 9, <b>%</b> 417,930                           | 93, | ,9//,016  | 03/2015          | (1),(2),(3)         |
| SpringHill Suites by Marriott | Jacksonville, FL               | _     | 1,3478111                     | 3,157                                   | 1,3408268                                     | 11, | <b>,63,6</b> 08   | 11/2003          | (1),(2),(3)         |
| SpringHill Suites by Marriott | Baltimore, MD                  | 14,16 | 54,503,20                     | 06-4,016                                | 2,5072222                                     | 19, | ,752,428  | 05/2004          | (1),(2),(3)         |
| SpringHill Suites by Marriott | Kennesaw, GA                   | 6,964 | 4 1,1 <b>6</b> 6021           | l —557                                  | 1,50,578                                      | 6,6 | 584,862   | 07/2004          | (1),(2),(3)         |
| SpringHill Suites             |                                |       |                               |   |   |     |   |                  |                     |
| by Marriott                   | Buford, GA                     | 10,02 | 2 <b>61</b> ,1 <b>362</b> 089 | 9—1,576                                 | 1,173,665                                     | 8,7 | 937,052   | 07/2004          | (1),(2),(3)         |
| SpringHill Suites by Marriott | Centreville, VA                | 5,992 | 2 1,806,71                    | 12—1,014                                | 1,8026,726                                    | 14, | , <b>533,9</b> 16   | 06/2005          | (1),(2),(3)         |
| SpringHill Suites             |                                |       |                               |   |   |     |   |                  |                     |
| by Marriott                   | Charlotte, NC                  | 13,52 | 221,2365,818                  | 3—1,332                                 | 1,283,550                                     | 9,3 | 885,872   | 06/2005          | (1),(2),(3)         |
| SpringHill Suites by Marriott | Durham, NC                     | 7,053 | 3 1,090991                    | 1—1,323                                 | 1,0591314                                     | 6,4 | 1014,965  | 06/2005          | (1),(2),(3)         |
| SpringHill Suites by Marriott | Manhattan<br>Beach, CA         | 24,43 | 3 <b>05</b> ,7 <b>26</b> ,18  | 37—2,528                                | 5,7226,715                                    | 29, | ,474,131  | 04/2007          | (1),(2),(3)         |
| SpringHill Suites by Marriott | Plymouth<br>Meeting, PA        | 14,49 | 98,2124,57                    | 78—2,895                                | 3, <b>217</b> 0,473                           | 30, | <b>,68,2</b> 92   | 04/2007          | (1),(2),(3)         |
| SpringHill Suites by Marriott | Glen Allen, VA                 | 7,277 | 7 2,045,80                    | )2—1,540                                | 2,0475342                                     | 19, | ,38,891   | 04/2007          | (1),(2),(3)         |
| Fairfield Inn by<br>Marriott  | Kennesaw, GA                   | 5,463 | 3 8404,359                    | 751                                     | 845,110                                       | 5,9 | <b>95</b> 1),862  | 07/2004          | (1),(2),(3)         |
| Courtyard by                  | Bloomington, IN                | 12 80 | ) <b>(0</b> )(11) 7/          | 11/ 157                                 | 00W 808                                       | 15  | 70801   | 09/2004          | (1),(2),(3)         |
| Marriott                      |                                | -     |                               | -                                       |   | -   |   |                  |                     |
|                               | Boston, MA                     | 88,80 | ) <b>(2</b> 4, <b>494</b> 24  | 16—12,03                                | 8249 <b>47</b> 9 <b>2</b> 184                 | 12  | 1 <i>\$</i> 7, <b>78</b> 8  | 03/2015          | (1),(2),(3)         |

| Courtyard by             |                       |   |                              |                       |         |             |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|---|------------------------------|-----------------------|---------|-------------|
| Marriott -               |                       |   |                              |                       |         |             |
| Tremont                  |                       |   |                              |                       |         |             |
| Courtyard by<br>Marriott | Columbus, IN          | 4,981 6734,804 — 2,675                          | 673,479                      | 8,152,526             | 09/2004 | (1),(2),(3) |
| Courtyard by<br>Marriott | Denver, CO            | 31,68 <b>9</b> ,3 <b>42</b> ,656—524            | 9, <b>340</b> 2180           | 39,52,243             | 03/2015 | (1),(2),(3) |
| Courtyard by Marriott    | Louisville, KY        | 19,1011,3 <b>52</b> ,266—1,517                  | 1,352783                     | 3 15,143, <b>5</b> 55 | 09/2004 | (1),(2),(3) |
| Courtyard by<br>Marriott | Gaithersburg,<br>MD   | 28,96 <b>(5</b> ,1 <b>28)</b> ,522—)655         | 5, 229,867                   | 34,99,\$50            | 03/2015 | (1),(2),(3) |
| Courtyard by<br>Marriott | Crystal City, VA      | 41,40 <b>5</b> ,4 <b>BB</b> ,61 <b>0</b> —4,493 | 5,413,103                    | 48,51134,435          | 06/2005 | (1),(2),(3) |
| Courtyard by<br>Marriott | Ft. Lauderdale,<br>FL | 21,56 <b>@</b> ,2 <b>4\$</b> ,520—6,381         | 2, <b>22414</b> 901          | 27,1 <b>74,0</b> 88   | 06/2005 | (1),(2),(3) |
| Courtyard by<br>Marriott | Overland Park,<br>KS  | 9,321 1,868,030-5,416                           | 1,8 <b>6</b> 8446            | 5 21, <b>31,2</b> 94  | 06/2005 | (1),(2),(3) |
| Courtyard by<br>Marriott | Savannah, GA          | 31,44%,948,755—475                              | 6,9428230                    | 39,127,890            | 03/2015 | (1),(2),(3) |
| Courtyard by<br>Marriott | Foothill Ranch,<br>CA | 23,000,446,005—3,920                            | 2,4497,925                   | 5 22,35,189           | 06/2005 | (1),(2),(3) |
| Courtyard by Marriott    | Alpharetta, GA        | 17,5002,2442,345—4,579                          | 2, <b>2164</b> 924           | 19,15,851             | 06/2005 | (1),(2),(3) |
| Courtyard by Marriott    | Oakland, CA           | 23,71 <b>4</b> 5,1 <b>12</b> ,429—4,882         | 5, 242,311                   | 29, <b>42,9</b> 23    | 04/2007 | (1),(2),(3) |
| Courtyard by<br>Marriott | Scottsdale, AZ        | 16,04 <b>3</b> ,7 <b>00</b> ,134—4,717          | 3,72060,851                  | 30,55,396             | 04/2007 | (1),(2),(3) |
| Courtyard by Marriott    | Plano, TX             | 16,95&,122,360—2,888                            | 2, 255248                    | 3 27,36,433           | 04/2007 | (1),(2),(3) |
| Courtyard by<br>Marriott | Newark, CA            | 29,992,863),723—3,949                           | 2,863672                     | 2 17,54,557           | 04/2007 | (1),(2),(3) |
| Courtyard by<br>Marriott | •                     | 6,641 1,3 <b>0</b> 1430 — 3,010                 | 1, <b>300</b> 1440           | 11,734,819            | 04/2007 | (1),(2),(3) |
| Courtyard by<br>Marriott | Basking Ridge,<br>NJ  | 38,52\$,4\$\$,304—5,339                         | 5 <b>,\$</b> 12 <b>9</b> 643 | 5 56,01632,149        | 04/2007 | (1),(2),(3) |
| 125                      |                       |   |                              |                       |         |             |

# Table of Contents

| Column A                             |                        | Colur <b>©io</b> lumi<br>B C   | n Column<br>D                   | Column E                                 | Column<br>F Column G   | Column H         | Column I            |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|------------------|---------------------|
|                                      |                        | Initial<br>Cost                | Costs Capitaliz Since Acquisiti | Gross Garrying Amount At Close of Period |  |                  |                     |
|                                      |                        |                                | E, FF&                          | E, FF&E,                                 |  |                  |                     |
| Hotel Property                       | Location               | Buil<br>Encumbinanc<br>and     | dingBuild<br>eLand<br>and       | ling <b>B</b> uildings<br>Land To<br>and | s Accu <b>Contated</b> ction<br>otal<br>Depr <b>Ecitat</b> ion | Acquisition Date | Income<br>Statement |
|                                      |                        | imp                            | roveninenpts                    | ove <b>impnts</b> ver                    | nents  |                  |                     |
| Courtyard by Marriott                | Wichita, KS            | 18,38 <b>0</b> 9 <b>2</b> 3,0  | 90- 322                         | 29213,41223                              | <b>,7109</b> 01  | 06/2015          | (1),(2),(3)         |
| Courtyard by<br>Marriott - Billerica | Boston, MA             | 29,80 <b>3</b> ,5 <b>29</b> ,3 | 52- 3,548                       | 3, <b>52</b> \$90036                     | <b>,422</b> 90   | 06/2015          | (1),(2),(3)         |
| Homewood Suites                      | •                      | 25,49 <b>2</b> ,9 <b>06</b> ,0 | 93–1,537                        | 7 1, <b>20</b> 663031                    | <b>,5</b> 3670   | 06/2015          | (1),(2),(3)         |
| Marriott Residence<br>Inn            | Vista, FL              | 26,49 <b>0</b> ,5 <b>36</b> ,3 | 67- 6,268                       | 3 2, <b>255</b> 63529                    | , <b>1890</b> 68   | 03/2004          | (1),(2),(3)         |
| Marriott Residence<br>Inn            | Evansville, IN         | 8,0009615,97                   | 2— 3,330                        | 969,302 10                               | , <b>260</b> 76  | 09/2004          | (1),(2),(3)         |
| Marriott Residence<br>Inn            | Orlando, FL            | 27,226,550,5                   | 3 <del>9</del> - 4,709          | 0 6, <b>515</b> 424851                   | ,802334  | 06/2005          | (1),(2),(3)         |
| Marriott Residence<br>Inn            | Falls Church,<br>VA    | 26,60 <b>0</b> ,7 <b>32</b> ,9 | 7 <del>9</del> - 6,156          | 5 2, <b>745</b> ,213543                  | , <b>827</b> 088   | 06/2005          | (1),(2),(3)         |
| Marriott Residence<br>Inn            | San Diego, CA          | 27,90 <b>0</b> ,1 <b>30</b> ,5 | 14- 5,488                       | 3 3, <b>B5</b> 600238                    | <b>,115</b> \$597  | 06/2005          | (1),(2),(3)         |
| Marriott Residence<br>Inn            | Salt Lake City,<br>UT  | 16,74 <b>5</b> ,8 <b>96</b> ,3 | 57- 4,612                       | 2 1, <b>897</b> 96922                    | <b>,765</b> 18   | 06/2005          | (1),(2),(3)         |
| Marriott Residence<br>Inn            | Las Vegas, NV          | 27,39 <b>4</b> 8, <b>39</b> ,5 | 6,1,8151,4                      | 1612 <b>%</b> 9 <b>2</b> 5240            | , B <b>46</b> 58   | 04/2007          | (1),(2),(3),(4)     |
| Marriott Residence<br>Inn            | Phoenix, AZ            | 17,86 <b>3</b> ,1 <b>00</b> ,1 | 87- 6,338                       | 3 4, <b>29</b> 052533                    | <b>,&amp;26</b> 17   | 04/2007          | (1),(2),(3)         |
| Marriott Residence<br>Inn            | Plano, TX              | 12,08 <b>3</b> ,0 <b>46</b> ,8 | 69- 3,546                       | 5 2, <b>005</b> 41522                    | , <b>46</b> 091  | 04/2007          | (1),(2),(3)         |
| Marriott Residence<br>Inn            | Newark, CA             | 31,773,272,7                   | 06- 4,927                       | 7 3, <b>27</b> 5 <b>2</b> 63319          | <b>,505</b> 95   | 04/2007          | (1),(2),(3)         |
| Marriott Residence<br>Inn            | Manchester, CT         | 7,1111,4 <b>62</b> 30          | 6— 4,076                        | 5 1,4 <b>6</b> 238213                    | <b>,847</b> 52   | 04/2007          | (1),(2),(3)         |
| Marriott Residence<br>Inn            | Jacksonville,<br>FL    | 10,378,996,0                   | 84- 2,763                       | 3 1, <b>9%</b> 784720                    | , <b>84<del>0</del></b> 15                                     | 05/2007          | (1),(2),(3)         |
| Marriott Residence<br>Inn            | Stillwater, OK         | 7,91193015,0                   | 7 <del>0</del> – 1,247          | 9306,31717                               | , <b>242</b> 91  | 06/2015          | (1),(2),(3)         |
| Marriott Residence<br>Inn            | Tampa, FL              | 17,60 <b>0</b> ,1 <b>79</b> ,4 | 91- (131                        | 2, <b>19</b> 536021                      | ,542   | 03/2015          | (1),(2),(3)         |
| TownePlace Suites by Marriott        | Manhattan<br>Beach, CA | 18,16 <b>8</b> ,8 <b>03</b> ,5 | 43- 4,480                       | ) 4, <b>805</b> 02326                    | ,\$2\$23   | 04/2007          | (1),(2),(3)         |
| Ritz-Carlton                         | Atlanta, GA            | 69,92 <b>0</b> ,4 <b>80</b> ,1 | 3 <del>9</del> – 1,624          | 4 2, <b>&amp;7,</b> 776384               | <b>,24,007</b> 3   | 03/2015          | (1),(2),(3)         |
| One Ocean                            | Atlantic Beach, FL     | 32,68 <b>3</b> ,81 <b>4</b> ,8 | 17- 26,09                       | 9 <b>5,805</b> 91646                     | , <b>79</b> 1681   | 04/2004          | (1),(2),(3)         |

| Renaissance             | Nashville, TN       | 73,32 <b>8</b> 0, <b>658</b> ,2 <del>60</del>  | 9,769 201 <b>68</b> 102 <b>9</b> 881 <b>700</b> 10     | 03/2015 | (1),(2),(3)     |
|-------------------------|---------------------|--|--|---------|-----------------|
| Renaissance             | Palm Springs,<br>CA | 51,440-74,112-                                 | §68 —74,04474, <b>048</b> 33                           | 03/2015 | (1),(2),(3)     |
| Renaissance             | Portsmouth, VA      | 16,080-19,794-                                 | §19,794— ——  | 03/2015 | (1),(2),(3),(4) |
| Sheraton Hotel          | Ann Arbor, MI       | 35,20 <b>0</b> ,1 <b>38</b> ,04 <del>2</del>   | 962 4, <b>B</b> \$\$00440, <b>2</b> \$7287             | 06/2015 | (1),(2),(3)     |
| Sheraton Hotel          | Langhorne, PA       | 10,30 <b>0</b> ,0 <b>32</b> ,424               | 12,82 <b>5,03</b> 724927, <b>28</b> 6338               | 07/2004 | (1),(2),(3)     |
| Sheraton Hotel          | Minneapolis,<br>MN  | 21,59 <b>2</b> ,9 <b>53</b> ,28 <del>0</del> - | 10,37 <b>2,93</b> 365527, <b>6</b> 0321                | 03/2005 | (1),(2),(3)     |
| Sheraton Hotel          | Indianapolis,<br>IN | 55,200,100,041                                 | 23,8673, <b>46</b> 090849,0 <b>8</b> \$464             | 03/2005 | (1),(2),(3)     |
| Sheraton Hotel          | Anchorage, AK       | 47,31 <b>6</b> ,0 <b>39</b> ,36 <b>3</b> –     | 11,42 <b>\%,62</b> \%379154, <b>\%5</b> \%801          | 12/2006 | (1),(2),(3)     |
| Sheraton Hotel          | San Diego, CA       | 29,18 <b>3</b> ,2 <b>96</b> ,38 <del>2</del>   | 11,35 <b>8</b> 7, <b>249</b> 4,74055, <b>03</b> 64,259 | 12/2006 | (1),(2),(3)     |
| Hyatt Regency           | Coral Gables,<br>FL | 63,60 <b>0</b> ,8 <b>55</b> ,82 <del>0</del> - | 13,738 <b>1,864</b> 555869,3 <i>6</i> 3099             | 04/2007 | (1),(2),(3)     |
| Hyatt Regency           | Hauppauge,<br>NY    | 34,08 <b>6</b> ,2 <b>85</b> ,66 <b>9</b> -     | 2,093 6, <b>28</b> 476244, <b>0</b> 4 <b>9</b> 73      | 03/2015 | (1),(2),(3)     |
| Hyatt Regency           | Savannah, GA        | 70,48 <b>0</b> 4, <b>02,</b> 172 <del>1</del>  | 9,438 14 <b>80</b> 4115996, <b>200</b> 54              | 03/2015 | (1),(2),(3)     |
| Crowne Plaza            | Atlanta, GA         | 65,60 <b>9</b> ,87 <b>0</b> ,88 <del>5</del> - | 4,640 5, <b>879</b> 052585, <b>3895</b> 62             | 03/2015 | (1),(2),(3)     |
| Crowne Plaza            | Key West, FL        | 72,007-27,514-                                 | 18,569-46,08346,0 <b>%</b> 3177                        | 03/2005 | (1),(2),(3)     |
| Crowne Plaza            | Annapolis, MD       | <b>— — 9,903—</b>                              | 7,380 <i>—</i> 17,28317, <b>286</b> 70                 | 03/2015 | (1),(2),(3)     |
| Annapolis Inn           | Annapolis, MD       | 20,234,02,833—                                 | 8,754 3, <b>02</b> \$58719, <b>6</b> 1 <b>2</b> 16     | 03/2005 | (1),(2),(3)     |
| Lakeway Resort &<br>Spa | Austin, TX          | 25,10 <b>0</b> ,5 <b>28</b> ,94 <del>0</del>   | 4,374 4, <b>3</b> 43131437, <b>850</b> 54              | 02/2015 | (1),(2),(3)     |
| 126                     |                     |  |  |         |                 |

### **Table of Contents**

| Column A             |                    | Column B    | Column C    | 3                                       | Column I              | D                                     | Column E               | <u> </u>                                 |              | Column<br>F       |
|----------------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------|---|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------|--|--------------|-------------------|
|                      |                    |             | Initial Cos | st                                      | Costs Cap<br>Since Ac | pitalized<br>equisition               | Gross Car<br>of Period | rrying Amoui                             | nt At Close  |                   |
| Hotel<br>Property    | Location           | Encumbranc  | cEand       | FF&E,<br>Buildings<br>and<br>improvemen | Land<br>nts           | FF&E,<br>Buildings<br>and<br>improvem | Lanu                   | FF&E,<br>Buildings<br>and<br>improvement | Total<br>nts | Accumi<br>Depreci |
| Silversmith          | Chicago, IL        | 22,080      | 4,782       | 22,398                                  | _                     | 572                                   | 4,782                  | 22,970                                   | 27,752       | 2,841             |
| Churchin             | Washington, DC     |             | 25,898      | 32,304                                  | _                     | 10,678                                | 25,898                 | 42,982                                   | 68,880       | 3,063             |
| The<br>Melrose       | Washington,<br>DC  | 74,560      | 29,277      | 62,507                                  | _                     | 1,413                                 | 29,277                 | 63,920                                   | 93,197       | 5,521             |
| Le Pavillon          | New<br>Orleans, LA | 43,750      | 10,933      | 51,549                                  | (2,600 )              | 4,610                                 | 8,333                  | 56,159                                   | 64,492       | 4,237             |
| The Ashton           | Ft. Worth,<br>TX   | 5,436       | 800         | 7,187                                   | _                     | 1,099                                 | 800                    | 8,286                                    | 9,086        | 760               |
| Westin               | Princeton,<br>NJ   | 31,719      | 6,475       | 52,195                                  | _                     | 776                                   | 6,475                  | 52,971                                   | 59,446       | 3,203             |
| W                    | Atlanta, GA        | 40,500      | 2,353       | 54,383                                  | _                     | 1,068                                 | 2,353                  | 55,451                                   | 57,804       | 4,278             |
| W                    | Minneapolis,<br>MN | 54,685      | 8,430       | 79,713                                  | _                     | 441                                   | 8,430                  | 80,154                                   | 88,584       | 3,730             |
| Le<br>Meridien       | Minneapolis, MN    | _           | 2,752       | 12,248                                  | _                     | 400                                   | 2,752                  | 12,648                                   | 15,400       | 946               |
| Hotel<br>Indigo      | Atlanta, GA        | 15,729      | 3,230       | 23,713                                  | _                     | 452                                   | 3,230                  | 24,165                                   | 27,395       | 1,681             |
| WorldQuest<br>Resort | Orlando, FL        | _           | 1,432       | 9,870                                   | (72)                  | 393                                   | 1,360                  | 10,263                                   | 11,623       | 1,679             |
| Total                |                    | \$3,773,604 | \$672,941   | \$3,731,048                             | \$(8,567)             | \$659,142                             | \$664,374              | \$4,390,190                              | \$5,054,564  | \$894,00          |

<sup>(1)</sup> Estimated useful life for buildings is 39 years.

<sup>(2)</sup> Estimated useful life for building improvements is 7.5 years.

<sup>(3)</sup> Estimated useful life for furniture and fixtures is 1.5 to 5 years.

<sup>(4)</sup> Amounts include impairment charges.

# Table of Contents

|                                | Year Ended  | December 31, |             |
|--------------------------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|
|                                | 2016        | 2015         | 2014        |
| Investment in Real Estate:     |             |              |             |
| Beginning balance              | \$5,181,466 | \$2,719,716  | \$2,671,002 |
| Additions                      | 206,022     | 2,531,312    | 171,542     |
| Impairment/write-offs          | (85,338)    | (57,596)     | (22,286)    |
| Sales/disposals                | (227,988)   | (11,966)     | (100,542)   |
| Assets held for sale           | (19,598)    | _            | _           |
| Ending balance                 | 5,054,564   | 5,181,466    | 2,719,716   |
| Accumulated Depreciation:      |             |              |             |
| Beginning balance              | 761,782     | 591,105      | 507,208     |
| Depreciation expense           | 245,953     | 211,434      | 110,464     |
| Impairment/write-offs          | (67,022)    | (37,647)     | (22,286)    |
| Sales/disposals                | (44,346 )   | (3,110)      | (4,281)     |
| Assets held for sale           | (2,366)     |              | _           |
| Ending balance                 | 894,001     | 761,782      | 591,105     |
| Investment in Real Estate, net | \$4,160,563 | \$4,419,684  | \$2,128,611 |

#### **Table of Contents**

SCHEDULE IV — MORTGAGE LOANS ON REAL ESTATE ASHFORD HOSPITALITY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

December 31, 2016 (in thousands)

Column A Column B Column C Column E Column F Column G Column D Being Interest Income Delinquent Accrued Balance at Foreclosed During the Principal Interest at Description Prior LiensDecember 31 Year Ended at December 31 December 31 2016 December 3 December 31, 2016 2016 2016 2016

Ritz Carlton

Key Biscayne,
FL

S

—\$
—\$
—\$
—\$
—

FL

Valuation \_\_ \_ \_ \_

Net carrying value \$ —\$ —

Year Ended December 31, 2016 2015 2014

Investment in Mortgage Loans:

Balance at January 1 \$3,746 \$3,553 \$3,384
Principal payments (4,246 ) (246 ) (246 )
Amortization of discounts/deferred income — — —

Valuation allowance adjustments 500 439 415
Balance at December 31 \$— \$3,746 \$3,553

#### **Table of Contents**

| CVI | TDIT | TIMI | TEV |
|-----|------|------|-----|
| EXH | пвп  | LINI | ノヒス |

Exhibit Description

- Separation and Distribution Agreement, dated October 31, 2014, by and between Ashford Hospitality Trust,
- 2.1 Inc., Ashford OP Limited Partner LLC, Ashford Hospitality Limited Partnership, Ashford Inc. and Ashford Hospitality Advisors LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Form 8-K, filed on November 6, 2014, for the event dated October 31, 2014) (File No. 001-31775)
  - Articles of Amendment and Restatement, as amended by Amendment Number One to Articles of
- 3.1 Amendment and Restatement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.6 to Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed May 15, 2015)
  Second Amended and Restated Bylaws, as amended by Amendment No. 1 on October 26, 2014, by
- 3.2 Amendment No. 2 on October 19, 2015 and by Amendment No. 3 on August 2, 2016 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Form 8-K, filed on August 8, 2016) (File No. 001-31775)
- 4.1 Form of Certificate for Common Stock (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of Form S-11/A, filed on August 20, 2003) (File No. 001-31775)
- 4.1.1 Articles Supplementary for Series A Cumulative Preferred Stock, dated September 15, 2004 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1.1 of Form 10-K, filed on February 28, 2012) (File No. 001-31775)
- 4.1.2 Form of Certificate of Series A Cumulative Preferred Stock (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1.2 of Form 10-K, filed on February 28, 2012) (File No. 001-31775)
- 4.2.1 Articles Supplementary for Series D Cumulative Preferred Stock, dated July 17, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.5 to the Registrant's Form 8-A, filed July 17, 2007)
- 4.2.2 Form of Certificate of Series D Cumulative Preferred Stock (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Registrant's Form 8-A, filed July 17, 2007)
- 4.3.1 Articles Supplementary for Series E Cumulative Preferred Stock, dated April 15, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.6 to the Registrant's Form 8-A, filed April 18, 2011)
- 4.3.2 Form of Certificate of Series E Cumulative Preferred Stock (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Registrant's Form 8-A, filed April 18, 2011)

  Articles Supplementary for Series F Cumulative Preferred Stock, accepted for record and certified by the
- 4.4 Maryland State Department of Assessments and Taxation on July 11, 2016 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Form 8-K, filed July 12, 2016) (File No. 001-31775)

  Articles Supplementary for Series G Cumulative Preferred Stock, accepted for record and certified by the
- 4.5 Maryland State Department of Assessments and Taxation on October 17, 2016 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Form 8-K, filed on October 18, 2016) (File No. 001-31775)

  Seventh Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Ashford Hospitality Limited
- 10.1 Partnership (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Form 8-K, filed on April 15, 2016) (File No. 001-31775)
- Amendment No. 1 to Seventh Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Ashford

  10.1.2 Hospitality Limited Partnership, dated July 12, 2016 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the
  - Registrant's Form 8-K, filed on July 12, 2016) (File No. 001-31775)

    Amendment No. 2 to Seventh Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Ashford
- 10.1.3 <u>Hospitality Limited Partnership, dated October 18, 2016 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Form 8-K, filed on October 18, 2016) (File No. 001-31775)</u>
- Registration Rights Agreement among Ashford Hospitality Trust, Inc. and the persons named therein (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of Form S-11/A, filed on July 31, 2003) (File No. 001-31775)

  Amended and Restated Ashford Hospitality Trust, Inc. Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plan, dated
- 10.3.1† March 31, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3.1 of Form 10-K, filed on March 3, 2014) (File No. 001-31775)
- First Amendment to the Ashford Hospitality Trust, Inc. Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plan, dated
- 10.3.1.1†December 31, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3.1.1 of Form 10-K, filed on March 3, 2014) (File No. 001-31775)

- 2011 Stock Incentive Plan of Ashford Hospitality Trust, Inc. dated May 17, 2011 (incorporated by reference
- 10.3.2† to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Form 8-K, filed on May 20, 2011, for the event dated May 17, 2011) (File No. 001-31775)
- 10.3.2.1† Amendment No. 1 to 2011 Incentive Stock Plan of Ashford Hospitality Trust, Inc., dated May 13, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of Form 8-K, filed on May 19, 2014) (File No. 001-31775)

  Amendment No. 2. to 2011 Incentive Stock Plan of Ashford Hospitality Trust, Inc., dated August 2, 2016
- 10.3.2.2†(incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Form 8-K, filed August 8, 2016) (File No.001-31775)
- 10.3.3† Form of LTIP Unit Award Agreement, dated March 21, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3.3 of Form 10-K, filed on March 3, 2014) (File No. 001-31775)
- 10.3.4† Form of Performance LTIP Unit Award Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to the Registrant's Form 8-K, filed on April 7, 2016) (File No. 001-31775)
- 10.3.5† Form of Performance Stock Unit Award Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 to the Registrant's Form 8-K, filed on April 7, 2016) (File No. 001-31775)

#### **Table of Contents**

- 10.3.6\*†Amended and Restated Form of Performance Stock Unit Award Agreement
- 10.3.7\*†<u>Amended and Restated Form of Performance LTIP Unit Award Agreement</u>
  Non-Compete/Services Agreement, dated as of March 21, 2008, between Ashford Hospitality Trust, Inc. and
- Archie Bennett, Jr. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of Form 10-K, filed on March 3, 2014) (File
  - No. 001-31775)
    Employment Agreement, dated as of June 13, 2014, between Ashford Hospitality Trust, Inc. and Deric
- 10.5.1† Eubanks (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of Form 8-K, filed on June 19, 2014) (File No. 001-31775)
  - Chairman Emeritus Agreement, dated January 7, 2013, between Ashford Hospitality Trust, Inc. Ashford
- 10.5.2 <u>Hospitality Limited Partnership, and Archie Bennett, Jr. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of Form 8-K filed on January 9, 2013) (File No. 001-31775)</u>
- 10.6 Form of Management Agreement between Remington Lodging and Ashford TRS Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 of Form S-11/A, filed on July 31, 2003) (File No. 001-31775)
- 10.6.1 Hotel Management Agreement between Remington Management, L.P. and Ashford TRS companies
  (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6.1 of Form 10-K, filed on February 28, 2012) (File No. 001-31775)
  Hotel Master Management Agreement between Remington Lodging & Hospitality, LLC and PHH TRS
- 10.6.2 <u>Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6.2 of Form 10-K, filed on February 28, 2012) (File No. 001-31775)</u>
  - First Amendment to Hotel Master Management Agreement between Remington Lodging & Hospitality, LLC and Ashford TRS Corporation dated August 29, 2003, effective November 19, 2013 (incorporated by
- 10.6.3 and Asmort TR3 Corporation dated August 29, 2003, effective November 19, 2013 (meorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of Form 8-K, filed on November 25, 2013, for the event dated November 19, 2013) (File No. 001-31775)
  - <u>First Amendment to Hotel Master Management Agreement between Remington Lodging & Hospitality, LLC</u> and Ashford TRS Corporation dated September 29, 2006, effective November 19, 2013 (incorporated by
- 10.6.4 and Asmord TR3 Corporation dated september 25, 2000, effective November 15, 2013 (meorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of Form 8-K, filed on November 25, 2013, for the event dated November 19, 2013) (File No. 001-31775)
- Form of Lease Agreement between Ashford Hospitality Limited Partnership and Ashford TRS Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 of Form S-11/A, filed on July 31, 2003) (File No. 001-31775)

  Mutual Exclusivity Agreement by and between Ashford Hospitality Limited Partnership, Ashford Hospitality
- 10.8.1 Trust, Inc., Remington Hotel Corporation and Remington Lodging and Hospitality, L.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.22 of Form S-11/A, filed on July 31, 2003) (File No. 001-31775)

  First Amendment to the Mutual Exclusivity Agreement between Ashford Hospitality Trust, Inc., Ashford
- Hospitality Limited Partnership and Remington Lodging and Hospitality LLC, dated November 19, 2013 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of Form 8-K, filed on November 25, 2013, for the event dated November 19, 2013) (File No. 001-31775)
  - Contribution and Purchase and Sale Agreement, dated December 27, 2004, between the Registrant and FGSB
- 10.9 <u>Master Corp. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 of Form 10-K, filed on March 1, 2013) (File No. 001-31775)</u>
  - Amended and Restated Loan Agreement, dated as of October 13, 2005, between the Registrant and Merrill
- 10.10 <u>Lynch Mortgage Lending, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13 of Form 10-K, filed on February 28, 2012) (File No. 001-31775)</u>
- Amended and Restated Cross-Collateralization and Cooperation Agreement, dated October 13, 2005, between 10.10.1 the Registrant and Merrill Lynch Mortgage Lending, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13.1 of
- Form 10-K, filed on February 28, 2012) (File No. 001-31775)

  Loan Agreement, dated as of October 13, 2005, between the Registrant and Merrill Lynch Mortgage Lending,
- 10.10.2 <u>Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13.2 of Form 10-K, filed on February 28, 2012) (File No. 001-31775)</u>

10.10.3

- Cross-Collateralization and Cooperation Agreement, dated October 13, 2005, between the Registrant and Merrill Lynch Mortgage Lending, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13.3 of Form 10-K, filed on February 28, 2012) (File No. 001-31775)
- Amended and Restated Loan Agreement, dated as of October 13, 2005, between the Registrant and Merrill
- 10.10.4 <u>Lynch Mortgage Lending, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13.4 of Form 10-K, filed on February 28, 2012) (File No. 001-31775)</u>
  - Amended and Restated Cross-Collateralization and Cooperation Agreement, dated October 13, 2005, between
- 10.10.5 the Registrant and Merrill Lynch Mortgage Lending, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13.5 of Form 10-K, filed on February 28, 2012) (File No. 001-31775)
  - Amended and Restated Loan Agreement, dated as of October 13, 2005, between the Registrant and Merrill
- 10.10.6 <u>Lynch Mortgage Lending, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13.6 of Form 10-K, filed on February 28, 2012) (File No. 001-31775)</u>
  - Amended and Restated Cross-Collateralization and Cooperation Agreement, dated October 13, 2005, between
- 10.10.7 the Registrant and Merrill Lynch Mortgage Lending, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13.7 of Form 10-K, filed on February 28, 2012) (File No. 001-31775)
  - Amended and Restated Loan Agreement, dated as of December 20, 2005, between the Registrant and Merrill
- 10.10.8 <u>Lynch Mortgage Lending, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13.8 of Form 10-K, filed on February 28, 2012) (File No. 001-31775)</u>
  - Amended and Restated Cross-Collateralization and Cooperation Agreement, dated December 20, 2005,
- 10.10.9 between the Registrant and Merrill Lynch Mortgage Lending, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13.9 of Form 10-K, filed on February 28, 2012) (File No. 001-31775)

# Table of Contents

| Exhibit    | Description  |
|------------|--|
| 10.11      | Mortgage Loan Agreement (Pool 1), dated November 14, 2005, between the Registrant and UBS Real Estate Investments, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.14 of Form 10-K, filed on February 28, 2012) (File No. 001-31775)   |
|            | Mortgage Loan Agreement (Pool 2), dated November 14, 2005, between the Registrant and UBS Real   |
| 10.11.1    | Estate Investments, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.14.1 of Form 10-K, filed on February 28, 2012) (File No. 001-31775)  |
| 10.11.2    | Guaranty of Recourse Obligations, dated November 14, 2005, by the Registrant for the benefit of UBS Real Estate Investments, Inc. with respect to Pool 1 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.14.2 of Form 10-K, filed on February 28, 2012) (File No. 001-31775)  |
| 10.11.3    | Guaranty of Recourse Obligations, dated November 14, 2005, by the Registrant for the benefit of UBS Real Estate Investments, Inc. with respect to Pool 1 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.14.3 of Form   |
| 10.11.4    | 10-K, filed on February 28, 2012) (File No. 001-31775)  Guaranty of Recourse Obligations, dated November 14, 2005, by the Registrant for the benefit of UBS  Real Estate Investments, Inc. with respect to Pool 2 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.14.4 of Form  |
| 10.11.5    | 10-K, filed on February 28, 2012) (File No. 001-31775) Guaranty of Recourse Obligations, dated November 14, 2005, by the Registrant for the benefit of UBS Real Estate Investments, Inc. with respect to Pool 2 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.14.5 of Form  |
| 10.12      | 10-K, filed on February 28, 2012) (File No. 001-31775)  Purchase and Sale Agreement, dated May 18, 2006, between the Registrant and EADS Associates Limited Partnership (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.21 of Form 10-K, filed on February 28, 2012) (File  |
|            | No. 001-31775) Loan Agreement, dated December 7, 2006, between the Registrant and Countrywide Commercial Real  |
| 10.13.1    | Estate Finance, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.23.1 of Form 10-K, filed on February 28, 2012) (File No. 001-31775)  MIP Loan Extension Agreement, dated December 9, 2011, between the Registrant and Wells Fargo Bank,  |
| 10.13.2    | N.A. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.23.2 of Form 10-K, filed on February 28, 2012) (File No. 001-31775)  |
| 10.14.1.1  | Mortgage, Security Agreement, Assignment of Rents and Fixture Filing from Ashford Edison LP, as Borrower to Wachovia Bank, National Association, as Lender, dated April 11, 2007, with respect to Courtyard Edison, Edison, New Jersey (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.25.1.1 of Form 10-K, filed March 2, 2015) (File No. 001-31775) |
| 10.14.1.1a | Schedule of Agreements omitted pursuant to Instruction 2 to Item 601 of Regulation S-K (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.25.1.1a of Form 10-K filed March 2, 2015) (File No. 001-31775)   |
| 10.14.1.2  | Guaranty for Fixed-Rate Pool 1, executed as of April 11, 2007 by the Registrant, for the benefit of Wachovia Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.25.1.2 of Form 10-K, filed March 2, 2015) (File No. 001-31775)  |
| 10.14.1.2a | Schedule of Agreements omitted pursuant to Instruction 2 to Item 601 of Regulation S-K (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.25.1.2a of Form 10-K filed March 2, 2015) (File No. 001-31775)   |
| 10.14.1.3  | Guaranty and Indemnity Agreement by Ashford Hospitality Limited Partnership and PRISA III REIT Operating LP for the benefit of Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, dated March 10, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.25.4.11 of Form 10-K, filed on February 28, 2012) (File No. 001-31775)                                    |
| 10.14.1.4  | Mezzanine 1 Guaranty and Indemnity Agreement by Ashford Hospitality Limited Partnership and PRISA III REIT Operating LP for the benefit of BRE/HH Acquisitions LLC and Barclay Capital Real Estate Finance, Inc., dated March 10, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.25.4.15 of Form 10-K, filed                                    |
| 10 14 1 5  | on February 28, 2012) (File No. 001-31775)  Mezzanine 2 Guaranty and Indemnity Agreement by Ashford Hospitality Limited Partnership and PRISA  |

III REIT Operating LP for the benefit of BRE/HH Acquisitions LLC and Barclay Capital Real Estate

|           | Finance, Inc., dated March 10, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.25.4.16 of Form 10-K, filed  |
|-----------|---|
|           | on February 28, 2012) (File No. 001-31775)  |
|           | Mezzanine 3 Guaranty and Indemnity Agreement by Ashford Hospitality Limited Partnership and PRISA         |
| 10.14.1.6 | III REIT Operating LP for the benefit of BRE/HH Acquisitions LLC and Barclay Capital Real Estate          |
| 10.14.1.0 | Finance, Inc., dated March 10, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.25.4.17 of Form 10-K, filed  |
|           | on February 28, 2012) (File No. 001-31775)  |
|           | Mezzanine 4 Guaranty and Indemnity Agreement by Ashford Hospitality Limited Partnership and PRISA         |
| 10.14.1.7 | III REIT Operating LP for the benefit of GSRE III, Ltd. dated March 10, 2011 (incorporated by reference   |
|           | to Exhibit 10.25.4.18 of Form 10-K, filed on February 28, 2012) (File No. 001-31775)                      |
|           | ISDA Master Agreement between Ashford Hospitality Limited Partnership and Wachovia Bank, National         |
| 10.15     | Association, dated March 12, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.27 of Form 10-K, filed on      |
|           | March 3, 2014) (File No. 001-31775)   |
|           | Schedule to the Master Agreement between Ashford Hospitality Limited Partnership and Wachovia Bank,       |
| 10.15.1   | National Association, dated March 12, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.27.1 of Form 10-K,    |
|           | filed on March 3, 2014) (File No. 001-31775)  |
|           | Confirmation of Amended and Restated Swap Transaction, dated November 4, 2010, related to the trade of    |
| 10.16.1   | an interest rate swap by Ashford Hospitality Limited Partnership from Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. as effected  |
| 10.10.1   | on October 13, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.30.7 to the Registrant's Form 10-K, filed on |
|           | March 4, 2011) (File No. 001-31775)   |
|           | Confirmation of Termination of Swap Transaction, dated November 4, 2010, related to the termination of    |
| 10.16.2   | an interest rate swap by Ashford Hospitality Limited Partnership from Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. as effected  |
| 10.10.2   | on October 13, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.30.8 to the Registrant's Form 10-K, filed on |
|           | March 4, 2011) (File No. 001-31775)   |
|           |   |
| 132       |   |

## **Table of Contents**

| Euhihit   | Description  |
|-----------|--|
| Exhibit   | Description  Confirmation of Trade, dated November 19, 2010, related to the trade of an interest rate swap by Ashford  |
|           | Hospitality Limited Partnership from Credit Agricole Corporate and Investment Bank New York Branch as  |
| 10.16.3   | effected on October 13, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.30.9 to the Registrant's Form 10-K,  |
|           | filed on March 4, 2011) (File No. 001-31775)   |
|           | Release and Waiver Agreement, Dated March 31, 2011, by and between Ashford Hospitality Trust, Inc. and   |
|           | Mr. Alan Tallis, former Executive Vice President of Ashford Hospitality Trust, Inc. (incorporated by   |
| 10.17     | reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Form 8-K, filed on April 6, 2011, for the event dated April 11,  |
|           | 2011) (File No. 001-31775)   |
|           | Stock Repurchase Agreement, dated April 11, 2011, by and between Ashford Hospitality Trust, Inc. and   |
| 10.18     | Security Capital Preferred Growth Incorporated (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the   |
| 10110     | Registrant's Form 8-K, filed on April 11, 2011, for the event dated April 11, 2011) (File No. 001-31775)   |
|           | Indemnity Agreement dated March 10, 2011, between the Registrant and Remington Lodging &   |
| 10.19     | Hospitality, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.31 to the Registrant's Form 10-Q, filed on May   |
| 1011)     | 10, 2011) (File No. 001-31775)   |
|           | Amended and Restated Mezzanine 1 Loan Agreement, dated March 10, 2011, between HH Swap A LLC,  |
|           | HH Swap C LLC, HH Swap C-1 LLC, HH Swap D LLC, HH Swap F LLC, HH Swap F-1 LLC, HH Swap   |
| 10.20.1   | G LLC, collectively as Borrower, and BRE/HH Acquisition LLC and Barclays Capital Real Estate Finance.  |
|           | Inc., collectively as Lender (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.35.1 of Form 10-K, filed on February   |
|           | 28, 2012)  |
|           | Omnibus Agreement and Consent, dated December 17, 2012, by and among (i) American Equity   |
|           | Investment Life Insurance Company, Athene Annuity & Life Assurance Company, Newcastle CDO VIII 1,  |
|           | Limited, Newcastle CDO IX 1, Limited, Principal Life Insurance Company, (ii) HH SWAP A LLC, HH   |
| 10.20.1.1 | SWAP C LLC, HH SWAP C-1 LLC, HH SWAP D LLC, HH SWAP F LLC, HH SWAP F-1 LLC, and HH   |
|           | SWAP G LLC, and (iii) Ashford Hospitality Limited Partnership and PRISA III REIT Operating LP  |
|           | (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.36.1.1 of Form 10-K, filed on March 1, 2013) (File No.  |
|           | <u>001-31775)</u>  |
|           | Consent Agreement, dated December 27, 2012, by and among (i) American Equity Investment Life   |
|           | Insurance Company, Athene Annuity & Life Assurance Company, Newcastle CDO VIII 1, Limited,   |
| 10.20.1.2 | Newcastle CDO IX 1, Limited, Principal Life Insurance Company, (ii) HH SWAP A LLC, HH SWAP C   |
| 10.20.1.2 | LLC, HH SWAP C-1 LLC, HH SWAP D LLC, HH SWAP F LLC, HH SWAP F-1 LLC, and HH SWAP G   |
|           | LLC, and (iii) Ashford Hospitality Limited Partnership and PRISA III REIT Operating LP (incorporated by  |
|           | reference to Exhibit 10.36.1.2 of Form 10-K, filed on March 1, 2013) (File No. 001-31775)  |
|           | Amended and Restated Mezzanine 2 Loan Agreement, dated March 10, 2011, between HH Mezz Borrower  |
|           | A-2 LLC, HH Mezz Borrower C-2 LLC, HH Mezz Borrower D-2 LLC, HH Mezz Borrower F-2 LLC, HH  |
| 10.20.2   | Mezz Borrower G-2 LLC, collectively as Borrower, and BRE/HH Acquisition LLC and Barclays Capital   |
|           | Real Estate Finance, Inc., collectively as Lender (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.35.2 of Form  |
|           | 10-K, filed on February 28, 2012) (File No. 001-31775)   |
|           | Omnibus Amendment and Consent dated December 17, 2012, by and among (i) Starwood Property  |
| 10.20.2.1 | Mortgage SUB-10-A, L.L.C., (ii) HH Mezz Borrower A-2 LLC, HH Mezz Borrower C-2 LLC, HH Mezz  |
| 10.20.2.1 | Borrower D-2 LLC, HH Mezz Borrower F-2 LLC, and HH Mezz Borrower G-2 LLC, and (iii) Ashford  |
|           | Hospitality Limited Partnership and PRISA III REIT Operating LP (incorporated by reference to Exhibit  |
|           | 10.36.2.1 of Form 10-K, filed on March 1, 2013) (File No. 001-31775)   |
|           | Consent Agreement dated December 27, 2012, by and among (i) Starwood Property Mortgage SUB-10-A,   |
| 10 20 2 2 | L.L.C., (ii) HH Mezz Borrower A-2 LLC, HH Mezz Borrower C-2 LLC, HH Mezz Borrower D-2 LLC, HF Mezz Borrower E-2 LLC, and HH Mezz Borrower G-2 LLC, and (iii) Ashford Hernitality Limited       |
| 10.20.2.2 | Mezz Borrower F-2 LLC, and HH Mezz Borrower G-2 LLC, and (iii) Ashford Hospitality Limited Partnership and PRISA III REIT Operating LP (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.36.2.2 of Form |
|           | 1 armersing and 1 Ktor Operating Lr (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.50.2.2 of Form  |

10-K, filed on March 1, 2013) (File No. 001-31775)

10.20.3

- Amended and Restated Mezzanine 3 Loan Agreement, dated March 10, 2011, between HH Mezz Borrower A-3 LLC, HH Mezz Borrower C-3 LLC, HH Mezz Borrower D-3 LLC, HH Mezz Borrower F-3 LLC, HH Mezz Borrower G-3 LLC, collectively as Borrower, and BRE/HH Acquisition LLC and Barclays Capital Real Estate Finance, Inc., collectively as Lender (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.35.3 of Form 10-K, filed on February 28, 2012) (File No. 001-31775)
- Omnibus Amendment and Consent dated December 17, 2012, by and among (i) LVS I SPE II LLC, (ii) HH Mezz Borrower A-3 LLC, HH Mezz Borrower D-3 LLC, HH Mezz
- 10.20.3.1 Borrower F-3 LLC, and HH Mezz Borrower G-3 LLC, and (iii) Ashford Hospitality Limited Partnership and PRISA III REIT Operating LP (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.36.3.1 of Form 10-K, filed on March 1, 2013) (File No. 001-31775)
  - Consent Agreement dated December 27, 2012, by and among (i) LVS I SPE II, LLC, (ii) HH Mezz Borrower A-3 LLC, HH Mezz Borrower F-3
- 10.20.3.2 LLC, and HH Mezz Borrower G-3 LLC, and (iii) Ashford Hospitality Limited Partnership and PRISA III REIT Operating LP (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.36.3.2 of Form 10-K, filed on March 1, 2013) (File No. 001-31775)
  - Amended and Restated Mezzanine 4 Loan Agreement, dated March 10, 2011, between HH Mezz Borrower A-4 LLC, HH Mezz Borrower C-4 LLC, HH Mezz Borrower F-4 LLC, HH
- 10.20.4

  Mezz Borrower G-4 LLC, collectively as Borrower, and GSRE III, LTD, as Lender (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.35.4 of Form 10-K, filed on February 28, 2012) (File No. 001-31775)

  Omnibus Amendment and Consent dated December 17, 2012, by and among (i) GSR3LP, LLC, (ii) HH

  Mezz Borrower A-4 LLC, HH Mezz Borrower C-4 LLC, HH Mezz Borrower D-4 LLC, HH Mezz
- 10.20.4.1 Borrower F-4 LLC, and HH Mezz Borrower G-4 LLC, and (iii) Ashford Hospitality Limited Partnership and PRISA III REIT Operating LP (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.36.4.1 of Form 10-K, filed on March 1, 2013) (File No. 001-31775)

# Table of Contents

| Exhibit   | Description   |
|-----------|---|
|           | Consent Agreement dated December, 2012, by and among (i) GSR3LP, LLC, (ii) HH Mezz Borrower A-4   |
| 10.20.4.2 | LLC, HH Mezz Borrower C-4 LLC, HH Mezz Borrower D-4 LLC, HH Mezz Borrower F-4 LLC, and HH   |
|           | Mezz Borrower G-4 LLC, and (iii) Ashford Hospitality Limited Partnership and PRISA III REIT Operating   |
|           | LP (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.36.4.2 of Form 10-K, filed on March 1, 2013) (File No.  |
|           | <u>001-31775</u> )  |
| 10.20.5   | Amended and Restated Mortgage Loan Agreement, dated March 10, 2011, between Entities set forth on   |
|           | Schedule I and II, collectively as Borrower, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association and Barclays  |
|           | Capital Real Estate Finance, Inc., collectively as Lender (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.35.5 of  |
|           | Form 10-K, filed on February 28, 2012) (File No. 001-31775)   |
|           | Right of First Offer Agreement between Ashford Hospitality Trust, Inc. and Ashford Hospitality Prime,   |
| 10.21     | Inc., dated November 19, 2013 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 of Form 8-K, filed on November   |
|           | 25, 2013, for the event dated November 19, 2013) (File No. 001-31775)   |
| 10.22     | Option Agreement Pier House Resort by and between Ashford Hospitality Prime Limited Partnership and   |
|           | Ashford Hospitality Limited Partnership with respect to the Properties Entities, and Ashford TRS  |
|           | Corporation and Ashford Prime TRS Corporation with respect to the TRS Entity, dated November 19, 2013   |
|           | (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 of Form 8-K, filed on November 25, 2013, for the event dated   |
|           | November 19, 2013) (File No. 001-31775)  Option Agreement Crystal Gateway Marriott by and between Ashford Hospitality Prime Limited   |
|           | Partnership and Ashford Hospitality Limited Partnership with respect to the Properties Entities, and  |
| 10.23     | Ashford TRS Corporation and Ashford Prime TRS Corporation with respect to the TRS Entity, dated   |
| 10.23     | November 19, 2013 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 of Form 8-K, filed on November 25, 2013,   |
|           | for the event dated November 19, 2013) (File No. 001-31775)   |
|           | Registration Rights Agreement by and between Ashford Hospitality Prime, Inc., Ashford Hospitality   |
| 10.24     | Limited Partnership and Ashford Hospitality Advisors LLC, dated November 19, 2013 (incorporated by  |
|           | reference to Exhibit 10.9 of Form 8-K, filed on November 25, 2013, for the event dated November 19,   |
|           | 2013) (File No. 001-31775)  |
|           | Assignment, Assumption and Admission Agreement, dated as of September 10, 2014, by and between  |
| 10.25     | Ashford Hospitality Advisors LLC and Monty Bennett, regarding the sale of Class B company interests of  |
|           | AIM Management Holdco, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of Form 8-K, filed on   |
|           | September 10, 2014, for the event dated September 10, 2014) (File No. 001-31775)  |
| 10.26     | Assignment, Assumption and Admission Agreement, dated as of September 10, 2014, by and between  |
|           | Ashford Hospitality Advisors LLC and Rob Hays, regarding the sale of Class B company interests of AIM   |
|           | Management Holdco, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of Form 8-K, filed on September 10,   |
|           | 2014, for the event dated September 10, 2014) (File No. 001-31775)  |
| 10.27     | Assignment, Assumption and Admission Agreement, dated as of September 10, 2014, by and between  |
|           | Ashford Hospitality Advisors LLC and Monty Bennett, regarding the sale of Class B limited partnership   |
|           | interests of AIM Performance Holdco, LP (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of Form 8-K, filed on  |
|           | September 10, 2014, for the event dated September 10, 2014) (File No. 001-31775)  Assignment Assumption and Admission Agreement dated as of September 10, 2014, by and between                            |
| 10.28     | Assignment, Assumption and Admission Agreement, dated as of September 10, 2014, by and between Ashford Hospitality Advisors LLC and Rob Hays, regarding the sale of Class B limited partnership interests |
|           | of AIM Performance Holdco, LP (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 of Form 8-K, filed on  |
|           | September 10, 2014, for the event dated September 10, 2014) (File No. 001-31775)  |
|           | Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of Ashford Hospitality Advisors LLC  |
| 10.29     | (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of Form 8-K, filed on October 15, 2014) (File No. 001-31775)   |
|           | Third Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of AIM Performance Holdco, LP  |
| 10.30     | (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of Form 8-K, filed on November 6, 2014, for the event dated  |
|           | November 5, 2014) (File No. 001-31775)  |
| 10.31     |   |

|         | Second Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Operating Agreement of AIM Management               |
|---------|---|
|         | Holdco, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of Form 8-K, filed on November 6, 2014, for the    |
|         | event dated November 5, 2014) (File No. 001-31775)  |
| 10.32   | Tax Matters Agreement, dated October 31, 2014, between Ashford Inc., Ashford Hospitality Advisors LLC     |
|         | Ashford Hospitality Trust, Inc. and Ashford Hospitality Limited Partnership (incorporated by reference to |
|         | Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K, filed on November 6, 2014, for the event dated October 31, 2014) (File No.      |
|         | <u>001-31775)</u>   |
| 10.33   | Advisory Agreement, dated as of November 12, 2014 by and between Ashford Hospitality Trust, Inc.,         |
|         | Ashford Hospitality Limited Partnership and Ashford Hospitality Advisors LLC (incorporated by reference   |
|         | to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K, filed on November 18, 2014, for the event dated November 12, 2014) (File     |
|         | No. 001-31775)  |
| 10.33.1 | Amended and Restated Advisory Agreement, dated as of June 10, 2015, by and between Ashford                |
|         | Hospitality Trust, Inc., Ashford Hospitality Limited Partnership, Ashford TRS Corporation, Ashford Inc.   |
|         | and Ashford Hospitality Advisors LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of Form 8-K, filed on     |
|         | June 12, 2015) (File No. 001-31775)   |
| 10.34   | Assignment and Assumption Agreement, dated as of November 12, 2014 by and between Ashford                 |
|         | Hospitality Trust, Inc., Ashford Hospitality Limited Partnership and Ashford Hospitality Advisors LLC     |
|         | (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Form 8-K, filed on November 18, 2014, for the event dated   |
|         | November 12, 2014) (File No. 001-31775)   |
|         | Licensing Agreement, dated as of November 12, 2014 by and between Ashford Hospitality Advisors LLC,       |
|         | Ashford Hospitality Trust, Inc. and Ashford Hospitality Limited Partnership (incorporated by reference to |
|         | Exhibit 10.3 to Form 8-K, filed on November 18, 2014, for the event dated November 12, 2014) (File No.    |
|         | <u>001-31775)</u>   |
|         |   |
| 134     |   |

#### **Table of Contents**

- Letter Agreement dated December 14, 2014, between PRISA III Investments, LLC, a Delaware limited
- 10.36 <u>liability company and Ashford Hospitality Limited Partnership, a Delaware limited partnership (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K, filed on December 19, 2014) (File No. 001-31775)</u>
  Contribution Agreement, dated February 18, 2015, by and between Ashford Hospitality Select, Inc., Ashford
- 10.37 Hospitality Select Limited Partnership and Ashford Hospitality Limited Partnership (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of Form 10-Q, filed on May 11, 2015) (File No. 001-31775)

  Termination Letter, dated May 8, 2015, of the Contribution Agreement, dated February 18, 2015, by and
- 10.37.1 between Ashford Hospitality Select, Inc., Ashford Hospitality Select Limited Partnership and Ashford Hospitality Limited Partnership (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1.1 of Form 10-Q, filed on May 11, 2015) (File No. 001-31775)

  Contribution Agreement, dated February 18, 2015, by and between Ashford Hospitality Select, Inc., Ashford
- Hospitality Select Limited Partnership, Ashford Credit Holdings LLC and Ashford Hospitality Limited

  Portnership (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of Form 10.0, filed on May 11, 2015) (File No.
- Partnership (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of Form 10-Q, filed on May 11, 2015) (File No. 001-31775)
  - Termination Letter, dated May 8, 2015, of the Contribution Agreement, dated February 18, 2015, by and between Ashford Hospitality Select, Inc., Ashford Hospitality Select Limited Partnership, Ashford Credit
- 10.38.1 <u>Holdings LLC and Ashford Hospitality Limited Partnership (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2.1 of Form 10-Q, filed on May 11, 2015) (File No. 001-31775)</u>

  Purchase and Sale Agreement, dated February 18, 2015, by and between Ashford TRS VI Corporation,
- 10.39 Ashford Hospitality Select, Inc. and Ashford Hospitality Select Limited Partnership (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of Form 10-Q, filed on May 11, 2015) (File No. 001-31775)

  Termination Letter, dated May 8, 2015, of the Purchase and Sale Agreement, dated February 18, 2015, by and between Ashford TRS VI Corporation, Ashford Hospitality Select, Inc. and Ashford Hospitality Select
- 10.39.1 Detween Asmord TRS VI Corporation, Asmord Hospitanty Select, Inc. and Asmord Hospitanty Select
  Limited Partnership (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3.1 of Form 10-Q, filed on May 11, 2015) (File
  No. 001-31775)
- Purchase and Sale Agreement, dated February 18, 2015, by and between Ashford TRS Corporation, Ashford

  10.40 TRS VI Corporation and Ashford Select TRS Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of Form

  10-Q, filed on May 11, 2015) (File No. 001-31775)
- Termination Letter, dated May 8, 2015, of the Purchase and Sale Agreement, dated February 18, 2015, by and 10.40.1 between Ashford TRS Corporation, Ashford TRS VI Corporation and Ashford Select TRS Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4.1 of Form 10-Q, filed on May 11, 2015) (File No. 001-31775)
- Loan Agreement, dated March 6, 2015, between each of the Parties set forth on Schedule I, which are subsidiaries of the Company, collectively as Borrower, and Column Financial, Inc. as Lender (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of Form 8 K. filed on March 12, 2015, for the event dated March 6, 2015) (Filed
- by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of Form 8-K, filed on March 12, 2015, for the event dated March 6, 2015) (File No. 001-31775)
- Mezzanine A Loan Agreement, dated March 6, 2015, between HH Swap A LLC and HH Swap G LLC.
- 10.42 <u>collectively as Borrower, and Column Financial, Inc., as Lender (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Form 8-K, filed on March 12, 2015, for the event dated March 6, 2015)</u>

  Mezzanine B Loan Agreement, dated March 6, 2015, between HH Mezz Borrower A-2 LLC and HH Mezz
- Borrower G-2 LLC, collectively as Borrower, and Column Financial, Inc., as Lender (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Form 8-K, filed on March 12, 2015, for the event dated March 6, 2015) (File No. 001-31775)
  - Mezzanine C Loan Agreement, dated March 6, 2015, between HH Mezz Borrower A-3 LLC and HH Mezz
- 10.44 Borrower G-3 LLC, collectively as Borrower, and Column Financial, Inc., as Lender(incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to Form 8-K, filed on March 12, 2015, for the event dated March 6, 2015) (File No. 001-31775)
- 10.45 <u>Mezzanine D Loan Agreement, dated March 6, 2015, between HH Mezz Borrower A-4 LLC and HH Mezz Borrower G-4 LLC, collectively as Borrower, and Column Financial, Inc., as Lender(incorporated by</u>

- reference to Exhibit 10.5 to Form 8-K, filed on March 12, 2015, for the event dated March 6, 2015) (File No. 001-31775)
- Equity Distribution Agreement, dated May 15, 2015, between Ashford Hospitality Trust, Inc., Ashford
- 10.46 Hospitality Limited Partnership, Ashford Hospitality Advisors LLC and JMP Securities LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1.1 to Form 8-K, filed May 18, 2015) (File No. 001-31775)

  Letter Agreement, dated September 17, 2015, by and between Ashford Hospitality Trust, Inc., and Ashford
- 10.47 <u>Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Form 8-K filed on September 18, 2015)</u> (File No. 001-31775)
- 12.0\* Statement Regarding Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Combined Fixed Charges and Preferred Stock Dividends
- 21.1\* Registrant's Subsidiaries Listing as of December 31, 2016
- 21.2\* Registrant's Special-Purpose Entities Listing as of December 31, 2016
- 23.1\* Consent of BDO USA LLP
- 23.2\* Consent of Ernst & Young LLP
- 31.1\* Certification of the Chief Executive Officer required by Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended
- 31.2\* Certification of the Chief Financial Officer required by Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended

#### **Table of Contents**

#### **Exhibit Description**

32.2\*

32.1\* Certification of the Chief Executive Officer required by Rule 13a-14(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (In accordance with SEC Release 33-8212, this exhibit is being furnished, and is not being filed as part of this report or as a separate disclosure document, and is not being incorporated by reference into any Securities Act of 1933 registration statement.)

Certification of the Chief Financial Officer required by Rule 13a-14(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (In accordance with SEC Release 33-8212, this exhibit is being furnished, and is not being filed as part of this report or as a separate disclosure document, and is not being incorporated by reference

into any Securities Act of 1933 registration statement.)

The following materials from the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016 are formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language): (i) Consolidated Balance Sheets; (ii) Consolidated Statements of Operations; (iii) Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss); (iii) Consolidated Statements of Equity;(iv) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows; and (v) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. In accordance with Rule 402 of Regulation S-T, the XBRL related information in Exhibit 101 to this Annual Report on Form 10-K shall not be deemed to be "filed" for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), or otherwise subject to the liability of that section, and shall not be part of any registration statement or other document filed under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Exchange Act, except as shall be expressly set forth by specific reference in such filing.

101.INS XBRL Instance Document

101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document.

101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Calculation Linkbase Document.

101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document.

101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Label Linkbase Document.

101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Presentation Linkbase Document.

Submitted electronically with this report.

Submitted electronically with this report.

\* Filed herewith.

<sup>†</sup> Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.