

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

VASOMEDICAL INC
Form 10KSB
August 27, 2007

=====

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, DC 20549

FORM 10-KSB

- ANNUAL REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the fiscal year ended May 31, 2007
- TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File No. 0-18105

VASOMEDICAL, INC.
(Exact name of small business issuer in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

11-2871434
(IRS Employer
Identification No.)

180 Linden Avenue, Westbury, New York
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

11590
(Zip Code)

Issuer's telephone number, including area code: (516) 997-4600

Securities registered under Section 12(b) of the Act: None

Securities registered under Section 12(g) of the Act:
Common Stock, \$.001 par value
(Title of Class)

Check whether the issuer is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.

Yes No

Check whether the issuer (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the past 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Check if there is no disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-B contained in this Form, and no disclosure will be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-KSB or any amendment to this Form 10-KSB.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

State issuer's revenues for its most recent fiscal year. \$6,354,083

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

non-affiliates of the registrant based on the closing sale price of \$0.11 as of August 17, 2007, was approximately \$7,009,469. Shares of common stock held by each officer and director and by each person who owns 5% or more of the outstanding common stock have been excluded in that such persons may be deemed to be affiliates. The determination of affiliates status is not necessarily a conclusive determination for other purposes.

At August 17, 2007, the number of shares outstanding of the issuer's common stock was 93,618,004.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Part III - (Items 9, 10, 11, 12 and 14) Registrant's definitive proxy statement to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Transitional Small Business Disclosure Format (check one) Yes [] No [X].

{THIS PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK}

INDEX TO FORM 10-KSB

PART I

Item 1. Business.....

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

Item 2. Properties.....
Item 3. Legal Proceedings.....
Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.....

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Small Business Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.....
Item 6. Management's Discussion and Analysis or Plan of Operation.....
Item 7. Financial Statements.....
Item 8. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosures.....
Item 8A. Controls and Procedures.....
Item 8B. Other Information.....

PART III

Item 9. Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant.....
Item 10. Executive Compensation.....
Item 11. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholders.....
Item 12. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions.....
Item 13. Exhibits
Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services.....

Signatures

EXHIBITS

Exhibit 23 Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.....
Exhibit 31 Certifications Pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rule 13A-14(A)/15D-14(A).....
Exhibit 32 Certification of Periodic Report.....

PART I

ITEM 1 - BUSINESS

Except for historical information contained in this report, the matters discussed are forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. When used in this report, words such as "anticipates", "believes", "could", "estimates", "expects", "may", "plans", "potential" and "intends" and similar expressions, as they relate to the Company or its management, identify forward-looking statements. Such forward-looking statements are based on the beliefs of the Company's management, as well as assumptions made by and information currently available to the Company's management. Among the factors that could cause actual results to differ materially are the following: the effect of business and economic conditions; the effect of the dramatic changes taking place in the healthcare environment; the impact of competitive procedures and products and their pricing; medical insurance reimbursement policies; unexpected manufacturing or supplier problems; unforeseen difficulties and delays in the conduct of clinical trials and other product development programs; the actions of regulatory authorities and third-party payers in the United States and overseas; uncertainties about the acceptance of a novel therapeutic

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

modality by the medical community; and the risk factors reported from time to time in the Company's SEC reports. The Company undertakes no obligation to update forward-looking statements as a result of future events or developments.

General Overview

Vasomedical, Inc. was incorporated in Delaware in July 1987. Unless the context requires otherwise, all references to "we", "our", "us", "Company", "registrant", "Vasomedical" or "management" refer to Vasomedical Inc. and its subsidiaries. Since 1995, we have been primarily engaged in designing, manufacturing, marketing and supporting EECP(R) enhanced external counterpulsation systems based on our unique proprietary technology currently indicated for use in cases of stable or unstable angina (i.e., chest pain), congestive heart failure (CHF), acute myocardial infarction (i.e., heart attack, (MI)) and cardiogenic shock. The EECP(R) therapy system is a non-invasive, outpatient therapy for the treatment of diseases of the cardiovascular system. The therapy serves to increase circulation in areas of the heart with less than adequate blood supply and helps to restore systemic vascular function. The therapy also increases blood flow and oxygen supply to the heart muscle and other organs and decreases the heart's workload and need for oxygen, while also improving function of the endothelium, the lining of blood vessels throughout the body, lessening resistance to blood flow. We provide hospitals, clinics and physician private practices with EECP(R) equipment, treatment guidance, and a staff training and equipment maintenance program designed to provide optimal patient outcomes. EECP(R) is a registered trademark for Vasomedical's enhanced external counterpulsation systems. For more information visit www.vasomedical.com.

We have Food and Drug Administration (FDA) clearance to market our EECP(R) therapy for use in the treatment of stable and unstable angina, congestive heart failure, acute myocardial infarction, and cardiogenic shock, however our current marketing efforts are limited to the treatment of chronic stable angina and congestive heart failure. Medicare and other third-party payers currently reimburse for the treatment of angina symptoms in patients with moderate to severe symptoms who are refractory to medications and not candidates for invasive procedures. Patients with primary diagnoses of heart failure, diabetes, peripheral vascular disease, etc. are also reimbursed under the same criteria, provided the primary indication for treatment with EECP(R) therapy is angina symptoms.

During the last two fiscal years ended May 31, 2007 and 2006 we incurred large operating losses. We attempted to achieve profitability by reducing operating costs and halting the trend of declining revenue, to reduce cash usage through bringing our cost structure more into alignment with current revenue by engaging in restructurings during January 2006, March 2007 and April 2007 to substantially reduce personnel and spending on sales, marketing and development projects. In addition, we sought to obtain a strategic alliance within the sales and marketing areas and/or to raise additional capital through public or private equity or debt financings.

Subsequent to May 31, 2007 the following events took place which allowed us to raise additional capital through a private equity financing and by the sale of our facility under a leaseback agreement.

1

- o On June 21, 2007 we entered into a Securities Purchase Agreement with Kerns Manufacturing Corp. ("Kerns"). Concurrently with our entry into the Securities Purchase Agreement, we also entered into a Distribution Agreement and a Supplier Agreement with Living Data Technology Corporation, an affiliate of Kerns ("Living Data").

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

We sold to Kerns pursuant to the Securities Purchase Agreement, 21,428,572 shares of our common stock at \$.07 per share for an aggregate of \$1,500,000 as well a five-year warrant to purchase 4,285,714 shares of our common stock at an initial exercise price of \$.08 per share (the "Warrant"). We also have an option to sell an additional \$1 million of our common stock to Kerns. The agreement further provided for the appointment to our Board of Directors of two representatives of Kerns. In furtherance thereof, Mr. Jun Ma and Mr. Simon Srybnik, Chairman of both Kerns and Living Data, have been appointed members of our Board of Directors. Pursuant to the Distribution Agreement, we have become the exclusive distributor in the United States of the AngioNew ECP systems manufactured by Living Data. As additional consideration for such agreement, we agreed to issue an additional 6,990,840 shares of our common stock to Living Data. Pursuant to the Supplier Agreement, Living Data now will be the exclusive supplier to us of the ECP therapy systems that we market under the registered trademark EECP(R). The Distribution Agreement and the Supplier Agreement each have an initial term extending through May 31, 2012.

Pursuant to a Registration Rights Agreement, we granted to Kerns and Living Data, subject to certain restrictions, "piggyback registration rights" covering the shares sold to Kerns as well as the shares issuable upon exercise of the Warrant and the shares issued to Living Data.

- o On August 15, 2007 we sold our facility under a five-year leaseback agreement for \$1.4 million. The net proceeds from the sale was approximately \$425,000 after payment in full of the two secured notes on our facility, brokers fees, closing costs, and the opening of a certificate of deposit in accordance with the provisions of the new lease.

Market Overview

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is the leading cause of death in the world and is among the top three diseases in terms of healthcare spending in nearly every country. CVD claimed approximately 2.4 million lives in the United States in 2003 and was responsible for 1 of every 2.7 deaths, according to The American Heart Association (AHA) Heart and Stroke Statistical 2006 Update (2006 Update). Approximately 71.3 million Americans suffer from some form of cardiovascular disease. Among these, 12.0 million have coronary heart disease (CHD).

We have Food and Drug Administration (FDA) clearance to market our EECP(R) therapy for use in the treatment of stable and unstable angina, congestive heart failure, acute myocardial infarction, and cardiogenic shock, however our current marketing efforts are limited to the treatment of chronic stable angina and congestive heart failure. Medicare and other third-party payers currently reimburse for the treatment of angina symptoms in patients with moderate to severe symptoms who are refractory to medications and not candidates for invasive procedures. Patients with primary diagnoses of heart failure, diabetes, peripheral vascular disease, etc. are also reimbursed under the same criteria, provided the primary indication for treatment with EECP(R) therapy is angina symptoms.

The Company sponsored a pivotal, randomized clinical trial to demonstrate the efficacy of EECP(R) therapy in the most prevalent types of heart failure patients. This trial, known as PEECH(TM) (Prospective Evaluation of EECP(R) in Congestive Heart Failure), was intended to provide additional evidence of the safety and efficacy of EECP(R) therapy in the treatment of NY Heart Association (NYHA) class II and III patients with systolic heart failure.

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

The preliminary results of the PEECH(TM) trial were presented at the American College of Cardiology scientific sessions in March 2005. On June 20, 2005, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) accepted our application for expansion of reimbursement coverage of EEC(R) therapy. On March

2

20, 2006, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) issued their Decision Memorandum regarding this reconsideration with the opinion that the evidence is not adequate to extend coverage to include CHF as a primary indication and to keep the existing coverage in the NCP (National Coverage Policy) intact. They commented in their decision memorandum that they were not able to apply full weight to the evidence generated by the PEECH(TM) trial, as it had not yet been published in a peer-reviewed medical journal by the time they were required to issue a final decision on this application.

On August 25, 2006 the results of the trial were initially published on line by the Journal of the American College of Cardiology (JACC), and in print in its September 19, 2006 issue. In the November-December 2006 issue of the journal Congestive Heart Failure, a second report of the results from the PEECH(TM) trial was published, focusing on the results of a prespecified subgroup analysis in trial patients age 65 and over.

We will continue to educate the marketplace that EEC(R) therapy is a therapy for ischemic cardiovascular disease and that patients with a primary diagnosis of heart failure, diabetes, peripheral vascular disease, etc. are also eligible for reimbursement under the current coverage policy, provided the primary indication for treatment with EEC(R) therapy is angina or angina equivalent symptoms and the patient satisfies other listed criteria. Additionally, we will continue to pursue expansion of coverage for EEC(R) therapy with Medicare and other third-party payers as evidence of its clinical utility develops.

Angina

Angina pectoris is the medical term for a recurring pain or discomfort in the chest due to coronary artery disease (CAD). Angina is a symptom of a condition called myocardial ischemia, which occurs when the heart muscle or myocardium doesn't receive as much blood, hence as much oxygen, as it needs. This usually happens because one or more of the heart's arteries, the blood vessels that supply blood to the heart muscle, is narrow or blocked. Insufficient blood supply to meet the need of the organ to function is called ischemia.

The cardinal symptom of stable CAD is anginal chest pain or equivalent symptoms, such as exertional dyspnea or fatigue. Angina is uncomfortable pressure, fullness, squeezing or pain, usually occurring in the center of the chest under the breastbone. The discomfort also may be felt in the neck, jaw, shoulder, back or arm. Often the patient suffers not only from the discomfort of the symptom itself but also from the accompanying limitations on activities and the associated anxiety that the symptoms may produce. Uncertainty about prognosis may be an additional source of anxiety. For some patients, the predominant symptoms may be palpitations or syncope that is caused by arrhythmias or fatigue, edema, or orthopnea caused by heart failure. Episodes of angina occur when the heart's need for oxygen increases beyond the oxygen available from the blood nourishing the heart. Physical exertion is the most common though not only trigger for angina. For example, running to catch a bus could trigger an attack of angina while walking might not. Angina may happen during exercise, periods of emotional stress, exposure to extreme cold or heat, heavy meals, alcohol consumption or cigarette smoking. Some people, such as those with a coronary artery spasm, may have angina when they are resting.

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

There are approximately 6.4 million angina patients in the United States and our EECP(R) therapy currently competes with other technologies in the market for approximately 130,000 new angina patients annually who do not adequately respond to or are not amenable to medical and surgical therapy and have the potential to meet the guidelines for reimbursement of EECP(R) therapy. Most angina patients are treated with medications, including beta blockers to slow and protect the heart and vasodilators, which are often prescribed to increase blood flow to the coronary arteries. When drugs fail or inadequately correct the problem the patients are considered unresponsive to medical therapy. Most angina patients are readily amenable by invasive revascularization procedures such as angioplasty and coronary stent placement, as well as coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG). However, there are approximately 130,000 angina patients each year whose angina can not be stopped by medication and they are no longer amenable by invasive procedures.

In February 1999, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS), the federal agency that administers the Medicare program for more than 39 million beneficiaries, issued a national coverage policy for the use of external counterpulsation therapy in the treatment of angina. Medicare reimbursement guidelines have a significant impact in determining the available market for EECP(R) therapy. We believe that over 65% of the patients that receive EECP(R)

3

therapy are Medicare patients and many of the third-party payers follow Medicare guidelines, which limits reimbursement for EECP(R) therapy to patients who do not adequately respond to or are not amenable to medical therapy and are not readily amenable to invasive therapy. As a result, an important element of our strategy is to grow the market for EECP(R) therapy by expanding reimbursement coverage to include a broader range of angina patients than the current coverage policy provides and enabling EECP(R) therapy to compete more with other therapies for ischemic heart disease. Please see the heading "Reimbursement" in the "Item-1 Business" section of this Form 10-KSB for a more detailed discussion of reimbursement issues.

Congestive Heart Failure

CHF is a condition in which the heart loses its full pumping capacity to supply the metabolic needs of all other organs. The condition affects both sexes and is most common in people over age 50. Symptoms include angina, shortness of breath, weakness, fatigue, swelling of the abdomen, legs and ankles, rapid or irregular heartbeat and low blood pressure. Causes range from chronic high blood pressure, heart-valve disease, heart attack, coronary artery disease, heartbeat irregularities, severe lung disease such as emphysema, congenital disease, cardiomyopathy, hyperthyroidism, severe anemia and others.

CHF is treated with medication and, sometimes, surgery on heart valves or the coronary arteries and, in certain severe cases, heart transplants. Left ventricular assist devices (LVADs) and the use of cardiac resynchronization and implantable defibrillators are useful in selected patients with heart failure. Still, no consensus therapy currently exists for CHF and patients must currently suffer their symptoms chronically and have a reduced life expectancy.

According to the 2006 Update, in 2003 approximately 2.4 million men and 2.6 million women in the US had CHF and about 550,000 new cases of the disease occur each year. Deaths caused by the disease increased 20.5% from 1993 to 2003. The prevalence of the disease is growing as a result of the aging of the population and the improved survival rate of people after heart attacks. Because the condition frequently entails visits to the emergency room and in-patient treatment centers, two-thirds of all hospitalizations for people over age 65 are due to CHF. The economic burden of congestive heart failure is enormous with an estimated cost to the health care system in 2005 in the United States of \$29.6

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

billion. Congestive heart failure offers a good strategic fit with our current angina business and offers an expanded market opportunity for EECP(R) therapy. Unmet clinical needs in CHF are greater than those for angina, as there are few consensus therapies, invasive or otherwise, beyond medical management for the condition. It is noteworthy that data collected from the International EECP(R) Patient Registry(TM) (IEPR) at the University of Pittsburgh Graduate School of Public Health shows that approximately one-third of angina patients treated with EECP(R) also have a history of CHF and 70% to 80% have demonstrated positive outcomes from EECP(R) therapy.

We sponsored a pivotal, randomized clinical trial to demonstrate the efficacy of EECP(R) therapy in the most prevalent types of heart failure patients. This trial, known as PEECH(TM) (Prospective Evaluation of EECP(R) in Congestive Heart Failure), was intended to provide additional evidence of the safety and efficacy of EECP(R) therapy in the treatment of mild-to-moderate heart failure and to support our application for expansion of the Medicare national reimbursement coverage policy to include mild-to-moderate heart failure as a primary indication. The PEECH(TM) trial was a positive clinical trial, having met the statistical requirement of meeting at least one of its co-primary endpoints, a significant difference in the proportion of patients satisfying a prespecified threshold of improvement in exercise duration. The trial also demonstrated significant improvements in favor of EECP(R) therapy on several important secondary endpoints, including exercise duration and improvement in symptom status and quality of life. Measures of change in peak oxygen consumption were not statistically significant in the overall study population, though a trend favoring EECP(R) therapy was present in early follow-up. Patients in the trial who had an ischemic etiology, i.e. pre-existing coronary artery disease, demonstrated a greater response to EECP(R) therapy than those who had an idiopathic (non-ischemic) etiology.

The preliminary results of the PEECH trial were presented at the American College of Cardiology scientific sessions in March 2005. On June 20, 2005, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) accepted our application for expansion of reimbursement coverage of EECP(R) therapy to include patients with New York Heart Association (NYHA) Class II/III stable heart failure symptoms with an ejection fraction of less than or equal to 35%, i.e. chronic, stable, mild-to-moderate systolic heart failure as a primary indication, as well as patients with Canadian Cardiovascular Society Classification (CCSC) II, i.e. chronic, stable mild angina.

4

On June 23, 2005, CMS also received a request from a competing manufacturer of external counterpulsation therapy equipment, to reconsider the reimbursement coverage policy. They requested expansion of coverage to include 1) treatment of congestive heart failure, to include NYHA Class II, III with a left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) less than or equal to 40%, and acute heart failure; 2) treatment of stable angina to include CCSC II angina; 3) treatment of acute myocardial infarction; 4) treatment of cardiogenic shock. On September 15, 2005, they amended their request to include NYHA Class IV heart failure.

On March 20, 2006, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) issued their Decision Memorandum regarding this reconsideration with the opinion "that the evidence is not adequate to conclude that external counterpulsation therapy is reasonable and necessary for the treatment of:

- o Canadian Cardiovascular Society Classification (CCSC) II angina
- o Heart Failure
- o New York Heart Association Class II/III stable heart failure symptoms with an ejection fraction of less than or equal to 35%
- o New York Heart Association Class II/III stable heart failure

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

- o symptoms with an ejection fraction of less than or equal to 40%
- o New York Heart Association Class IV heart failure
- o Acute heart failure
- o Cardiogenic shock
- o Acute myocardial infarction."

They commented in their decision memorandum that they were not able to apply full weight to the evidence generated by the PEECH(TM) trial, as it had not yet been published in a peer-reviewed medical journal by the time they were required to issue a final decision on this application. Moreover, they did not opine on whether they would consider the results of the trial when published to be sufficient evidence to conclude that external counterpulsation therapy is reasonable and necessary for the treatment of New York Heart Association Class II/III stable heart failure symptoms with an ejection fraction of less than or equal to 35%. They did, however, reiterate in the decision memorandum that "Current coverage as described in Section 20.20 of the Medicare National Coverage Determination (NCD) manual will remain in effect.", for refractory angina patients.

On August 25, 2006 the results of the trial were initially published on line by the Journal of the American College of Cardiology (JACC), and in print in its September 19, 2006 issue. JACC is the official journal of the American College of Cardiology.

In the November-December issue of the journal Congestive Heart Failure, a second report of results from the PEECH(TM) trial was published, focusing on the results of a prespecified subgroup analysis in trial patients age 65 and over. This analysis demonstrated a statistically positive response on both co-primary endpoints of the trial in patients receiving EECP(R) therapy versus those who did not, i.e. a significantly larger proportion of patients undergoing EECP(R) therapy met or exceeded prespecified thresholds of improvement in exercise duration and peak oxygen consumption. Moreover, the patients age 65 and older who received EECP(R) therapy demonstrated the greatest differences in exercise duration, peak oxygen consumption and functional class (symptom status) compared with those who did not receive EECP(R) therapy.

We will continue to educate the marketplace that EECP(R) therapy is a therapy for ischemic cardiovascular disease and that patients with a primary diagnosis of heart failure, diabetes, peripheral vascular disease, etc. are also eligible for reimbursement under the current coverage policy, provided the primary indication for treatment with EECP(R) therapy is angina or angina equivalent symptoms and the patient satisfies other listed criteria. Additionally, we will continue to pursue expansion of coverage for EECP(R) therapy with Medicare and other third-party payers as evidence of its clinical utility develops.

5

The EECP(R) Therapy Systems

The EECP(R) therapy systems are noninvasive treatment systems utilizing fundamental hemodynamic principles to augment coronary blood flow and at the same time reduce the workload of the heart while improving the overall vascular function. The treatment is completely noninvasive and is administered to patients on an outpatient basis, usually in daily one-hour sessions, five days per week over seven weeks for a total of 35 treatments. The procedure is well tolerated and most patients begin to experience relief of chest pain due to their coronary artery disease after 15 to 20 hours of therapy. As demonstrated in our clinical studies, positive effects have been shown in most patients to continue for years following a full course of therapy.

During EECP(R) therapy, the patient lies on a contoured treatment table

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

while three sets of inflatable pressure cuffs, resembling oversized blood pressure cuffs, are wrapped around the calves, and the lower and upper thighs, including the buttocks. The system is synchronized to the individual patient's cardiac cycle triggering the system to inflate the cuffs rapidly and sequentially -- via computer-interpreted ECG signals -- starting from the calves and proceeding upward to the buttocks during the relaxation phase of each heartbeat (diastole). This has the effect of creating a strong retrograde counterpulse in the arterial system, forcing freshly oxygenated blood towards the heart and coronary arteries at a time when resistance to coronary blood flow is at its lowest level. The counter pulse also simultaneously increases the volume of venous blood return to the heart when the heart is filling up for ejection in the contracting phase. Just prior to the next heartbeat when the heart begins to eject blood by contracting (systole), all three cuffs simultaneously deflate, leaving an empty vascular space to receive blood ejecting from the heart, thereby significantly reducing the workload of the heart. This is achieved because the vascular beds in the lower extremities are relatively empty when the cuffs are deflated, significantly lowering the resistance, and provide vascular space to receive the blood ejected by the heart, reducing the amount of work the heart must do to pump oxygenated blood to the rest of the body. The inflation/deflation activity is monitored constantly and coordinated by a computerized console that interprets electrocardiogram signals from the patient's heart, monitors heart rhythm and rate information, and actuates the inflation and deflation in synchronization with the cardiac cycles. The end result of this sequential "squeezing" of the legs is to create a pressure wave that significantly increases peak diastolic pressure benefiting circulation to the heart muscle and other organs, increases venous return so that the heart has more blood volume to eject out, and increases cardiac output. The release of external pressure produces reduction of systolic pressure, thereby reducing the workload of the heart. This reduction of vascular resistance insures that the heart does not have to work as hard to pump large amounts of blood through the body to help supply its metabolic needs.

While the precise scientific means by which EEC(R) therapy achieves its long-term beneficial effects are only partially explained, there is evidence to suggest that the EEC(R) therapy triggers a neurohormonal response that induces the production of growth and vasodilatation factors that promotes recruitment of new arteries and dilates existing blood vessels. The recruitment of new arteries known as "collateral blood vessels" bypass blocked or narrowed vessels and increase blood flow to ischemic areas of the heart muscle that are receiving an inadequate supply of blood. There is also evidence to support a mechanism related to improved function of the endothelium (the inner lining of the blood vessels), which regulates the luminal size of the arteries and controls the dilation of the arteries to insure adequate blood flow to all organs, thus reducing constriction of blood vessels that supply oxygenated blood to the body's organs and tissues and as a result the required workload of the heart.

Clinical Studies

Early History

Early experiments with counterpulsation at Harvard in the 1950s demonstrated that this technique markedly reduces the workload, and thus oxygen consumption, of the left ventricle. This basic effect has been demonstrated over the past forty years in both animal experiments and in patients. The clinical benefits of external counterpulsation were not consistently achieved in early studies because the equipment used then lacked some of the features found in the current EEC(R) systems, such as the computerized electrocardiographic signal for triggering, and the use of pneumatic versus hydraulic actuating media that makes sequential cuff inflation possible. As the technology improved, however, it became apparent that both internal (i.e. intra-aortic balloon pumping) and

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

external forms of counterpulsation were capable of improving survival in patients with cardiogenic shock following myocardial infarction. Later, in the 1980s, Dr. Zheng and colleagues in China reported on their extensive experience in treating angina using the newly developed "enhanced" sequentially inflating EECP(R) device that incorporated three sets of cuffs including the buttocks cuff instead of a single cuff used in the previous system. The Chinese investigators were able to show that a 36-hour course of treatment with the EECP(R) system reduced the frequency and severity of anginal symptoms during normal daily functions and also during exercise, and also that the improvements were sustained for years after therapy.

These results prompted a group of investigators at the State University of New York at Stony Brook (Stony Brook) to undertake a number of open label studies with the EECP(R) system between 1989 and 1996 to reproduce the Chinese results, using both subjective and objective endpoints. These studies, though open label and non-randomized, showed significant improvement in exercise tolerance by patients as evidenced by exercise treadmill stress testing, improvement in the perfusion of ischemic regions of the heart muscle by thallium radionuclide imaging stress testing, and partial or complete resolution of coronary perfusion defects. All of these results have been reported in medical literature and support the assertion that EECP(R) therapy is an effective and durable treatment for patients suffering from chronic angina pectoris.

The MUST-EECP(R) Study

In 1995, we began a randomized, controlled and double-blinded multicenter clinical study (MUST-EECP(R)) at seven leading university hospitals in the United States to confirm the patient benefits observed in the open studies conducted at Stony Brook and to provide definitive scientific evidence of EECP(R) therapy's effectiveness. MUST-EECP(R) was completed in July 1997 and the results presented at the annual meetings of the American Heart Association in November 1997 and the American College of Cardiology in March 1998. The results of MUST-EECP(R) were published in the Journal of the American College of Cardiology (JACC), a major peer-review medical journal, in June 1999.

This 139 patient study, which included a sham-EECP(R) control group, demonstrated that patients treated with EECP(R) therapy were able to increase the amount of time on exercise testing before they showed signs of cardiac ischemia (i.e. ST-segment depression on their electrocardiogram) and experienced a reduction in the frequency of their angina attacks compared to patients who did not receive EECP(R) therapy. In 1999, physician collaborators completed a quality-of-life study with the EECP(R) system in a subset of the same patients that participated in MUST-EECP(R). Two highly regarded standardized means of measurement were used to gauge changes in patients' outlook and ability to participate in normal daily living during the treatment phase and for up to 12 months after treatment. Results of this study, which have been presented at major scientific meetings and published in the January 2002 Journal of Investigative Medicine, show that after one-year of follow-up the group of patients receiving EECP(R) therapy enjoyed significantly improved aspects of health-related quality of life compared to those who received a sham treatment.

The PEECH(TM) Study

As part of our program to expand the therapy's indications for use beyond the treatment of angina, we applied for and received FDA approval in April 1998 to study, under an Investigational Device Exemption (IDE) protocol, the application of EECP(R) therapy in the treatment of CHF. A 32 patient feasibility study was conducted simultaneously at the University of Pittsburgh, the University of California San Francisco and the Grant/Riverside Methodist Hospitals in Columbus, Ohio. The results of this study were presented at the

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

49th Scientific Sessions of the American College of Cardiology in March 2000 and the Heart Failure Society of America's Annual Meeting in September 2000 and were published in the July/August 2002 issue of Congestive Heart Failure. This study indicated that EECP(R) therapy could improve exercise capacity, increase functional capacity was beneficial to left ventricular function in patients with New York Heart Association (NYHA) Class II and III (i.e. mild to moderate) heart failure and a reduced left ventricular ejection fraction (i.e. LVEF = 35% or less).

In summer 2000, an IDE supplement to proceed with a pivotal study to demonstrate the efficacy of EECP(R) therapy in the most prevalent types of heart failure patients was approved. This study, known as PEECH(TM) (Prospective Evaluation of EECP(R) in Congestive Heart Failure), began patient enrollment in March 2001. The PEECH(TM) clinical trial involved nearly thirty centers including: the Cleveland Clinic, Mayo Clinic, Scripps Clinic, Thomas Jefferson University Hospital, the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, the Minnesota Heart Failure Consortium, Advocate Christ Hospital, Hull Infirmary (UK), the University of California at San Diego Medical Center, the University of Pittsburgh Medical Center, the Lindner Clinical Trial Center and the Cardiovascular Research Institute. Vasomedical obtained 510(k) clearance for CHF from FDA in June 2002, obviating the need to continue this trial for FDA

7

regulatory reasons. However, we decided to complete the clinical trial in order to use the anticipated clinical outcomes to help establish the clinical validation of EECP(R) therapy as a treatment for CHF and to provide additional scientific support for Medicare, Medicaid and other third-party payers to expand reimbursement coverage of EECP(R) therapy to include the CHF indication.

The protocol for the study required that patients have NYHA II or III symptoms, have an LVEF of 35% or less, be able to undergo exercise testing and complete patient examinations 1-week, 3-months and 6-months following treatment that evaluated changes from baseline in exercise capacity, symptom status and quality of life. Patients were randomized to receive either optimal (i.e. guideline-recommended) medical therapy (OPT) or EECP(R) therapy in addition to OPT. Enrollment of patients into the PEECH(TM) trial was completed in February 2004, with 187 patients, and the six-month follow-up examinations were completed by the end of December 2004.

The preliminary results of the PEECH(TM) trial were presented at the American College of Cardiology scientific sessions in March 2005. On June 20, 2005, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) accepted our application for expansion of reimbursement coverage of EECP(R) therapy to include patients with New York Heart Association (NYHA) Class II/III stable heart failure symptoms with an ejection fraction of less than or equal to 35%, i.e. chronic, stable, mild-to-moderate systolic heart failure as a primary indication, as well as patients with Canadian Cardiovascular Society Classification (CCSC) II, i.e. chronic, stable mild angina.

In designing the PEECH(TM) trial, success was demonstrated if the difference between EECP(R) therapy combined with optimal medical therapy compared to optimal medical therapy alone achieved a p-value less than 0.025 in at least one of two pre-defined co-primary endpoints:

1. percentage of subjects with greater than or equal to 60 seconds improvement in exercise duration from baseline to six months, or
2. percentage of subjects with at least 1.25 mL/kg/min increase in peak oxygen consumption from baseline to six months.

Additional secondary endpoints were actual changes in exercise duration and

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

peak oxygen consumption, changes in New York Heart Association ("NYHA") functional classification, changes in quality of life, adverse experiences and pre-defined clinical outcomes.

The study was a positive clinical trial on the basis that a significantly greater proportion of patients who underwent EECp(R) therapy improved their exercise duration by 60 seconds or more six months following completion of therapy compared to those who received OPT alone (35.4% vs. 25.3%, p=0.016). The proportion of patients achieving a 1.25 mL/kg/min improvement in peak oxygen consumption was not significantly different between the two groups at six months.

Consistent with the results on the primary endpoint of exercise duration, statistically significant differences favoring the EECp(R)-treated group were seen in changes in average exercise duration, symptom status and quality of life during follow-up. Average peak oxygen consumption showed a trend favoring the EECp(R) group at 1 week, but there were no differences detected at later follow-up. Results in patients with heart failure of ischemic etiology were noted to be clearly superior to those patients of idiopathic etiology though the benefit in these later patients could not be ruled out statistically. Lastly, EECp(R) therapy was deemed safe and well tolerated in this group of patients, as patients in the EECp(R)-treated group did not suffer more adverse events than those in the control group.

Moreover, results of a predefined subgroup analysis showed that patients 65 years of age or older not only had a significantly greater response rate (co-primary endpoint) and average change in exercise duration favoring EECp(R)-treated patients, but the response rate (co-primary endpoint) and average change in peak oxygen consumption were also significantly better out to completion of the study at six months follow-up.

The results of the PEECH(TM) trial indicate that EECp(R) therapy provides beneficial adjunctive therapy in patients with NYHA Class II-III systolic heart failure receiving optimal pharmacological therapy, especially in those 65 years of age or older. There can be no assurance that the results of the PEECH(TM) clinical trial will be sufficient to expand reimbursement coverage or the adoption by the medical community of EECp(R) therapy for use in the treatment of congestive heart failure.

8

The International EECp(R) Patient Registry (IEPR(TM))

The International EECp(R) Patient Registry at the University of Pittsburgh Graduate School of Public Health was established in January 1998 to track the outcomes of angina patients who have undergone EECp(R) therapy. More than one hundred centers have participated in the registry and data from more than 5,000 patients from an initial cohort enrolled between 1998 and 2001 (IEPR-1) have been tabulated and reported in several peer-reviewed publications.

The American Journal of Cardiology published a report in February of 2004 on the two-year outcomes after EECp(R) therapy observed in 1,097 patients with two-year follow-up enrolled in IEPR-1. The authors noted that 73% of patients in this cohort had a decrease in their angina symptom status upon completion of EECp(R) therapy and that the average number of angina episodes for the group was reduced from 10.6 to 2.8 per week. They characterized this improvement as a "significant and dramatic reduction in CCSC" and stated that the adverse clinical event rate was low. (CCSC, or Canadian Cardiovascular Society Classification, is a rating scale used by physicians to assess the limitations imposed on patients' lives by angina.) Patients also reported improvement in health status, quality of life and satisfaction with life.

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

At two-years follow-up, 74.9% of patients reported their angina symptom status (CCSC class) was improved compared to before EECP(R) therapy, and the accompanying improvements in angina frequency and quality of life measures were largely sustained as well. Nine per cent of patients had died over the two-year follow-up and 15% had undergone a revascularization procedure (angioplasty, stenting or coronary bypass surgery).

The authors summarize the results by stating "Most patients experienced a significant reduction in angina and improvement in quality of life after EECP(R) therapy, and this reduction was sustained in most patients at 2-year follow-up."

In a separate report that appeared in The American Journal of Cardiology in 2005, physician investigators participating in the IEPR(TM) reported on the results of EECP(R) therapy in patients with angina who also had severe left ventricular dysfunction (LVD, a reduced pumping capacity of the heart). Previously it was thought that such patients, and those with a diagnosis of heart failure, would be put at risk if treated with EECP(R) therapy, due to the increase in venous return to the heart caused by compression of the leg veins by enhanced external counterpulsation.

The 363 patients in this cohort had long-standing and extensive coronary artery disease, had a high prevalence of cardiovascular disease risk factors, were not amenable to invasive revascularization procedures, and suffered from severe angina. Following completion of EECP(R) treatment, 77% decreased their CCSC angina class by at least one severity rating. The average number of angina episodes per week was greatly reduced and many were able to discontinue the use of nitroglycerin pills designed to relieve angina. As in the overall IEPR population, measures of quality of life were significantly improved after treatment.

The rate of major adverse clinical events, while somewhat more frequent in this group of patients with significant comorbid disease, was characterized as low over the course of EECP(R) therapy. Exacerbation of heart failure was significantly more frequent in patients who did not complete therapy compared to those who did (16% vs. 0%) in patients with a previous history of heart failure.

At two-years of follow-up, 83% remained alive and 70% were free of death, heart attack or invasive revascularization procedures (coronary artery bypass surgery, angioplasty and/or stenting) during that period. The majority of patients experienced sustained relief of their angina and improved quality of life. Twenty per cent of the group underwent repeat EECP(R) therapy during the two-year follow-up, mostly due to failure to complete the original course of therapy.

A second phase of enrollment into the registry (IEPR-2) enrolled approximately 2,500 patients between 2002 and 2004 and these patients are currently being followed to 2-year follow-up. IEPR-2 incorporates sub-studies regarding treatment beyond 35 hours, possible predictors of response, effects on certain aspects of peripheral vascular disease and sexual dysfunction in men. Notably, the data set was modified in February 2003 to capture information on changes in heart failure symptom status, occurrence of clinical events due to heart failure and to include a heart failure-specific quality of life questionnaire in IEPR-2 patients with concomitant heart failure.

Vasomedical considers the IEPR(TM) to be a vital source of information about the effectiveness and safety of EECP(R) therapy in a real-world environment for the medical community at large. To date, twenty full-length articles reporting data from the IEPR(TM) have been published in peer-review medical journals and more than seventy-eight abstracts have been presented at a

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

variety of major cardiovascular scientific conferences. For this reason, we continue to provide an ongoing grant to fund the registry to publicize data that assists clinicians in delivering optimal care to patients.

Registry data, while considered a valuable source of complementary clinical data, is deemed by scientific cardiologists and others to be less convincing than data from randomized, blinded, clinical trials and from certain other well-controlled clinical study designs. There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to obtain regulatory, reimbursement or other types of approvals, or a favorable standing in medical professional practice guidelines, based upon results observed in patients enrolled in registries.

Other studies and publications

A search on the term "external counterpulsation" of the PubMed database available through the National Library of Medicine conducted on August 21, 2006, identified one-hundred-ninety-eight (198) citations of articles published in the medical scientific literature, including 28 review articles. The vast majority of these publications have reported results in patients with chronic stable angina and/or heart failure treated with EECP(R) therapy, while others have reported use of the device in other cardiovascular or non-cardiovascular indications. The vast majority of these reports are generated using Vasomedical EECP(R) therapy systems and equipment. In summary, this body of literature contains evidence from a variety of institutions and investigators demonstrating that EECP(R) therapy can provide benefit to appropriate patients in the following ways:

- o Enhancement of coronary and peripheral circulation, myocardial perfusion, ventricular function and hemodynamics,
- o Improvement in endothelial function and vascular reactivity
- o Elimination or reduction of cardiac ischemia,
- o Elimination or reduction in symptoms and improved functional class in angina and heart failure,
- o Resolution of reversible ischemic defects found on quantitative myocardial perfusion studies,
- o Increased exercise duration and increased time to ischemic changes during treadmill exercise in angina and increased exercise duration and peak oxygen consumption in heart failure in properly selected patients,
- o Elimination or reduction in use of anti-angina medications,
- o Improved quality of life in patients with angina and heart failure.

Strategic Initiatives

Our short- and long-term plans are to:

- a) reduce the cash burn and bring our cost structure into alignment with current revenue in the short term by:
 - i) reducing or eliminating spending on all but critical new product development and clinical research projects,
 - ii) focusing on rebuilding our revenue base through supporting our direct sales effort and expanding our use of independent sales representatives, and
 - iii) maintaining tight cost control on all areas of personnel cost and spending.
- b) pursue possible strategic investments and creative partnerships with others who have distinctive competencies or delivery capabilities for serving the cardiovascular and disease management marketplace, as opportunities become available.
- c) Increase market penetration in the domestic reimbursable user base for EECP(R) therapy by:
 - i) expanding reimbursement to include coverage for the

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

- ii) treatment of ischemic NYHA Class II and III CHF patients, marketing directly to third-party payers to increase third-party reimbursement, and

10

- iii) expanding reimbursement coverage in the angina market to include patients with CCS Class II angina.
- d) Increase the clinical and scientific understanding of EECP(R) therapy by:
- i) resubmitting data to insurers, including Medicare, for favorable coverage policies;
 - ii) continuing to support on a limited basis academic reference centers in the United States and overseas in order to accelerate the growth and prestige of EECP(R) therapy and
- e) Increase awareness of the benefits of the EECP(R) therapy in the medical community by:
- i) developing campaigns to market the benefits of EECP(R) therapy directly to clinicians, third-party payers and patients;
 - ii) engaging in educational campaigns for providers and medical directors of third-party insurers designed to highlight the cost-effectiveness and quality-of-life advantages of EECP(R) therapy; and
 - iii) continuing the development of EECP(R) therapy in certain international markets, principally through the expansion of our distribution network and obtaining of reimbursement approvals.
- f) Maintain development efforts to improve the EECP(R) system and expand its intellectual property estate by filing for additional patents in the United States and other countries.

These listed strategic objectives are forward-looking statements. We review, modify and change our strategic objectives from time to time based upon changing business conditions. There can be no assurance that we will be able to achieve our strategic objectives and even if these results are achieved risks and uncertainties could cause actual results to differ materially from anticipated results. To a large extent limited financial resource availability reduces our ability to achieve these strategic objectives. Please see the section of this Form 10-KSB entitled "Risk Factors" for a description of certain risks among others that may cause our actual results to vary from the forward-looking statements.

Sales and Marketing

Domestic Operations

We sell EECP(R) therapy systems to treatment providers such as hospitals, clinics and physician private practices in the United States through a direct and indirect sales force. Our sales force has consisted of a combination of employees and independent sales representatives managed by a vice president of sales plus in-house administrative support.

The efforts of our sales organization are further supported by clinical educators who are responsible for the onsite training of physicians and therapists as new centers are established. This clinical applications group is also engaged in training and certification of new personnel at each site, as well as for updating providers on new clinical developments relating to EECP(R) therapy.

Our marketing activities support physician education and physician outreach

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

programs, exhibition at national, international and regional medical conferences, as well as sponsorship of seminars at professional association meetings. These programs are designed to support our field sales organization and increase awareness of EECP(R) therapy in the medical community. Additional marketing activities include creating awareness among third-party payers to the benefits of EECP(R) treatment for patients suffering from CHF as well as angina.

We employ service technicians responsible for the repair and maintenance of EECP(R) systems and, in some instances, on-site training of a customer's biomedical engineering personnel. We provide a service arrangement (usually one year) that includes: service by factory-trained service representatives, material and labor costs, emergency and remedial visits, software upgrades, technical phone support and preferred response times. We service our customers after the service arrangement expires either under separately purchased annual service contracts or on a fee-for-service basis.

11

International Operations

We distribute our product internationally through a network of independent distributors. It has generally been our policy to appoint distributors exclusive marketing rights to EECP(R) therapy systems in their respective countries, in exchange for their commitment to meet the duties and responsibilities required of a distributor. Each distribution agreement contains a number of requirements that must be met for the distributor to retain exclusivity, including minimum performance standards. In most cases, distributors must assist us either to obtain an FDA-equivalent marketing clearance, country registration or to establish confirmatory clinical trials, conducted by local key opinion leaders in cardiology, required to obtain Ministry of Health approval, certification or reimbursement. Each distributor is responsible for registering the product and obtaining any required regulatory or clinical approvals, supporting local reimbursement efforts for EECP(R) therapy and maintaining an infrastructure to provide post-sales support.

To date, revenues from international operations have not been significant, but did increase during fiscal 2007. Revenues from non-domestic markets were 16%, 8% and 9% for the fiscal years ended May 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005, respectively. Our international marketing activities include, among other things, assisting in obtaining national or third-party healthcare insurance reimbursement approval and participating in medical conferences to create greater awareness and acceptance of EECP(R) therapy by clinicians.

International sales may be subject to certain risks, including export/import licenses, tariffs, other trade regulations and local medical regulations. Tariff and trade policies, domestic and foreign tax and economic policies, exchange rate fluctuations and international monetary conditions have not significantly affected our business to date. In addition, there can be no assurance that we will be successful in maintaining our existing distribution agreements or entering into any additional distribution agreements, or that our international distributors will be successful in marketing EECP(R) therapy.

Competition

Presently, we are aware of at least four direct competitors with an external counterpulsation device on the market, namely Cardiomedics, Inc., ACS, Scottcare and Living Data Technology Corporation. As of June 2007 Vasomedical and Living Data Technology Corporation entered into a Securities Purchase Agreement with Kerns Manufacturing Corp. ("Kerns"). Concurrently with our entry into the Securities Purchase Agreement, we also entered into a Distribution Agreement and a Supplier Agreement with Living Data Technology Corporation, an affiliate of Kerns ("Living Data").

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

We sold to Kerns pursuant to the Securities Purchase Agreement, 21,428,572 shares of our common stock at \$.07 per share for an aggregate of \$1,500,000 as well a five-year warrant to purchase 4,285,714 shares of our common stock at an initial exercise price of \$.08 per share (the "Warrant"). We also have an option to sell an additional \$1 million of our common stock to Kerns. The agreement further provided for the appointment to our Board of Directors of two representatives of Kerns. In furtherance thereof, Mr. Jun Ma and Mr. Simon Srybnik, Chairman of both Kerns and Living Data, have been appointed members of our Board of Directors. Pursuant to the Distribution Agreement, we have become the exclusive distributor in the United States of the AngioNew ECP systems manufactured by Living Data. As additional consideration for such agreement, we agreed to issue an additional 6,990,840 shares of our common stock to Living Data. Pursuant to the Supplier Agreement, Living Data now will be the exclusive supplier to us of the ECP therapy systems that we market under the registered trademark EECP(R). The Distribution Agreement and the Supplier Agreement each have an initial term extending through May 31, 2012.

Pursuant to a Registration Rights Agreement, we granted to Kerns and Living Data, subject to certain restrictions, "piggyback registration rights" covering the shares sold to Kerns as well as the shares issuable upon exercise of the Warrant and the shares issued to Living Data.

In addition, other companies have received FDA 510(k) clearance for external counterpulsation systems since 1998, although we have not seen these systems commercially in the marketplace. While we believe that these competitors' involvement in the market is limited, there can be no assurance that these companies will not become a significant competitive factor or that other companies will not enter the external counterpulsation market.

We view other companies engaged in the development of device-related, biotechnology and pharmacological approaches to the management of cardiovascular

12

disease as potential competitors in the marketplace as well. These include such common and well established medical devices and treatments as the intra-aortic balloon pump (IABP), ventricular assist devices (VAD), coronary artery bypass graft surgery (CABG), coronary angioplasty, mechanical circulatory support (MCS), transmyocardial laser revascularization (TMR), total artificial hearts, cardiac resynchronization devices, ranolazine and nesiritide (Natrecor(R)); as well as newer technologies currently in FDA-approved clinical trials such as spinal cord stimulation (SCS). There can be no assurance that other companies will not develop new technologies or enter the market intended for EECP(R) therapy systems. Such other companies may have substantially greater financial, manufacturing and marketing resources and technological expertise than those possessed by us and may, therefore, succeed in developing technologies or products that are more efficient than those offered by Vasomedical and that would render our technology and existing products obsolete or noncompetitive.

Government Regulations

We are subject to extensive regulation by numerous government regulatory agencies, including the FDA and similar foreign agencies. Where applicable, we are required to comply with laws, regulations and standards governing the development, preclinical and clinical testing, manufacturing, quality testing, labeling, promotion, import, export, and distribution of our medical devices.

Device Classification

FDA regulates medical devices, including the requirements for premarket review, according to their classification. Class I devices are generally lower

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

risk products for which general regulatory controls are sufficient to provide reasonable assurance of safety and effectiveness. Most Class I devices are exempt from the requirement of 510(k) premarket notification clearance; however, 510(k) clearance is necessary prior to marketing a non-510(k) exempt Class I device in the United States. Class II devices are devices for which general regulatory controls are insufficient, but for which there is sufficient information to establish special controls, such as guidance documents or standards, to provide reasonable assurance of safety and effectiveness. A premarket notification clearance is necessary prior to marketing a non-510(k) exempt Class II device in the United States. Class III devices are devices for which there is insufficient information demonstrating that general and special controls will provide reasonable assurance of safety and effectiveness and which are life-sustaining, life-supporting or implantable devices, are of substantial importance in preventing impairment of human health, or pose a potential unreasonable risk of illness or injury. The FDA generally must approve a premarket approval or PMA application prior to marketing a Class III device in the United States.

A medical device is considered by FDA to be a preamendments device, and generally not subject to premarket review, if it was commercially distributed before May 28, 1976, the date the Medical Device Amendments of 1976 became law. A postamendments device is one that was first distributed commercially on or after May 28, 1976. Postamendments device versions of preamendments Class III devices are subject to the same requirements as those preamendments devices. FDA may require a PMA for a preamendments Class III device only after it publishes a regulation calling for such PMA submissions. Persons who market preamendments devices must submit a PMA, and have it filed by FDA, by a date specified by FDA in order to continue marketing the device. Prior to the effective date of a regulation requiring a PMA, devices must have a cleared premarket notification or 510(k) for marketing.

Certain external counterpulsation devices were commercially distributed prior to May 28, 1976. Our external counterpulsation devices were marketed after 1976; however, they were found to be substantially equivalent to a preamendments Class III device and therefore are subject to the same requirements as the preamendments external counterpulsation devices.

Premarket Review

The 510(k) premarket notification process requires an applicant to give notice to FDA of its intent to introduce its device into commerce. In its premarket notification, the applicant must demonstrate that its new or modified medical device is substantially equivalent to a legally marketed or predicate device marketed before May 28, 1976. Prior to beginning commercialization of the new or modified product it must receive an order from the FDA classifying the device under section 510(k) in the same classification as the predicate device,

and as a result, the new device will be cleared for marketing. Modifications to a previously cleared medical device that do not significantly affect its safety and effectiveness or constitute a major change in the intended use can be made without having to submit a new 510(k). In February 1995, the Company received 510(k) clearance to market the second-generation version of its EEC(R) therapy system, the MC2, which incorporated a number of technological improvements over the predicate system. In addition, in December 2000, the Company received 510(k) clearance to market its third generation system, the TS3. The FDA's clearance in these cases was for the use of EEC(R) therapy in the treatment of patients suffering from stable or unstable angina pectoris, acute myocardial infarction and cardiogenic shock. In June 2002, the FDA granted 510(k) market clearance for an upgraded TS3, which incorporated the Company's patented CHF treatment and

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

oxygen saturation monitoring technologies, and provided for a new indication for the use of EEC(R) in CHF, which applied to all then-current models of the Company's EEC(R) therapy systems.

Modifications to a previously cleared medical device that do not significantly affect its safety and effectiveness or constitute a major change in the intended use can be made without having to submit a new 510(k). FDA publishes guidance for medical device manufacturers on the types of changes that meet the requirements for a new 510(k) prior to introduction of a device for marketing distribution. Vasomedical followed FDA's guidance on when to submit a new 510(k) for changes to a device and concluded that the changes incorporated into its Model TS4 did not require a new 510(k) prior to its introduction to market. Vasomedical subsequently obtained a 510(k) that applied to the Model TS4 and all of its models in March 2004, when it made changes to the labeling of all of its EEC(R) therapy systems. In November 2004, the Company introduced its Model Lumenair, and again concluded that the changes did not require a new 510(k) at that time. There can be no assurance that the FDA will agree with Vasomedical's conclusions that a new 510(k) was unnecessary on these occasions or in other similar instances, or that our products will not be subject to a regulation requiring a PMA for preamendments Class III external counterpulsation devices.

If a device does not receive a clearance order because the FDA determines that the device is not substantially equivalent to a predicate device and thus the device automatically is considered a Class III device, the applicant may ask the FDA to make a risk-based classification to place the device in Class I or II. However, if a timely request for risk-based classification is not made, or if the FDA determines that a Class III designation is appropriate, an approved PMA will be required before the device may be marketed.

The more rigorous premarket review process is the PMA process. The FDA approves a PMA if the applicant has provided sufficient valid scientific evidence to prove that the device is safe and effective for its intended use(s). Applications for premarket approval generally contain human clinical data. This process is usually much more complex, time-consuming and expensive than the 510(k) process, and is uncertain. Both 510(k)s and PMAs now require the submission of user fees in most circumstances.

There can be no assurance that all the necessary FDA clearances or approvals, including approval of any PMA required by the promulgation of a regulation, will be granted for our products, future-generation upgrades or newly developed products, on a timely basis or at all. Failure to receive, or delays in receipt of such clearances, could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Clinical Trials

If human clinical trials of a device are required, whether to support a 510(k) or PMA application, the trials' sponsor, which is usually the manufacturer of the device, first must obtain the approval of the appropriate institutional review boards. If a trial is of a significant risk device, the sponsor also must obtain an investigational device exemption or IDE from FDA before the trial may begin. A significant risk device is a device that presents a potential for serious risk to the subject and is an implant; is life-sustaining or life-supporting; or is for a use of substantial importance in diagnosing, curing, mitigating, or treating disease, or otherwise preventing impairment of human health. For all clinical testing, the sponsor must obtain informed consent from the patients participating in each trial. The results of clinical testing that a sponsor undertakes may be insufficient to obtain clearance or approval of the tested product.

Pervasive and Continuing FDA Regulation

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

We are also subject to other FDA regulations that apply prior to and after a product is commercially released. These include Current Good Manufacturing Practice (CGMP) requirements set forth in FDA's Quality System Regulation (QSR), that require manufacturers to have a quality system for the design, manufacture,

14

packaging, labeling, storage, installation and servicing of medical devices intended for commercial distribution in the United States. This regulation covers various areas including management and organization, device design, purchase and handling of components, production and process controls such as those related to buildings and equipment, packaging and labeling control, distribution, installation, complaint handling, corrective and preventive action, servicing, and records. We are subject to periodic inspection by the FDA for compliance with the CGMP requirements and Quality System Regulation.

The FDA also enforces post-marketing controls that include the requirement to submit medical device reports to the agency when a manufacturer becomes aware of information suggesting that any of its marketed products may have caused or contributed to a death or serious injury, or any of its products has malfunctioned and that a recurrence of the malfunction would likely cause or contribute to a death or serious injury. The FDA relies on medical device reports to identify product problems and utilizes these reports to determine, among other things, whether it should exercise its enforcement powers. The FDA also may require postmarket surveillance studies for specified devices.

We are subject to the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act's, or FDCA's, general controls, including establishment registration, device listing, and labeling requirements. If we fail to comply with any requirements under the FDCA, we, including our officers and employees, could be subject to, among other things, fines, injunctions, civil penalties, and criminal prosecution. We also could be subject to recalls or product corrections, total or partial suspension of production, denial of premarket notification clearance or PMA approval, and rescission or withdrawal of clearances and approvals. Our products could be detained or seized, the FDA could order a recall, repair, replacement, or refund of our devices, and the agency could require us to notify health professionals and others that the devices present unreasonable risks of substantial harm to the public health.

The advertising of our products is subject to regulation by the Federal Trade Commission, or FTC. The FTC Act prohibits unfair or deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce. Violations of the FTC Act, such as failure to have substantiation for product claims, would subject us to a variety of enforcement actions, including compulsory process, cease and desist orders and injunctions, which can require, among other things, limits on advertising, corrective advertising, consumer redress and restitution, as well as substantial fines or other penalties.

Foreign Regulation

In most countries to which we seek to export the EEC(R) system, we must first obtain approval from the local medical device regulatory authority. The regulatory review process varies from country to country and can be complex, costly, uncertain, and time-consuming.

We are also subject to periodic audits by organizations authorized by foreign countries to determine compliance with laws, regulations and standards that apply to the commercialization of our products in those markets. Examples include auditing by a European Union Notified Body organization (authorized by a member state's Competent Authority) to determine conformity with the Medical

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

Device Directives (MDD) and by an organization authorized by the Canadian government to determine conformity with the Canadian Medical Devices Regulations (CMDR).

There can be no assurance that we will obtain desired foreign authorizations to commercially distribute our products in those markets or that we will comply with all laws, regulations and standards that pertain to our products in those markets. Failure to receive or delays in receipt of such authorizations or determinations of conformity could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Patient Privacy

Federal and state laws protect the confidentiality of certain patient health information, including patient records, and restrict the use and disclosure of that protected information. The U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) published patient privacy rules under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (HIPAA privacy rule) and the regulation was finalized in October 2002. The HIPAA privacy rule governs the use and disclosure of protected health information by "Covered Entities," which are (1) health plans, (2) health care clearinghouses, and (3) health care providers that transmit health information in electronic form in connection with certain health care transactions such as benefit claims. Currently, the HIPAA privacy rule affects us only indirectly in that patient data that we access, collect and

15

analyze may include protected health information. Additionally, we have signed some Business Associate agreements with Covered Entities that contractually bind us to protect protected health information, consistent with the HIPAA privacy rule's requirements. We do not expect the costs and impact of the HIPAA privacy rule to be material to our business.

Practice Guidelines

Medical professional societies periodically issue Practice Guidelines to their members and make them available publicly. The American College of Cardiology (ACC) and the American Heart Association (AHA) have jointly engaged in developing practice guidelines since 1980 to critically evaluate the use of diagnostic procedures and therapies in the management or prevention of cardiovascular diseases. These guidelines are meant to "improve the effectiveness of care, optimize patient outcomes and affect the overall cost of care favorably by focusing resources on the most effective strategies". Recommendations incorporated into the guidelines are based upon an assessment of the strength of evidence for or against a treatment or procedure and estimates of expected health outcomes stemming from a formal review of peer-reviewed published literature. These guidelines may not be updated for some time.

The "ACC/AHA 2002 Guideline Update for the Management of Patients with Chronic Stable Angina" was last issued in 2003. Comments on external counterpulsation appear in a section entitled "Recommendations for Alternative Therapies for Chronic Stable Angina in Patients Refractory to Medical Therapy Who Are Not Candidates for Percutaneous Intervention or Surgical Revascularization" and include a so-called Class IIb recommendation. ACC/AHA guideline classifications I, II and III are used to "provide final recommendations for both patient evaluation and therapy" and a Class IIb rating is defined as "Usefulness/efficacy is less well established by evidence/opinion".

The ACC/AHA 2005 Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Management of Chronic Heart Failure in the Adult were issued in 2005. External counterpulsation is listed as one of the devices under investigation in a section entitled "Drugs

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

and Interventions Under Active Investigation".

The 2006 Comprehensive Heart Failure Practice Guideline issued in February 2006 by the Heart Failure Society of America does not include any comments on the use of external counterpulsation therapy for treating heart failure patients.

In summary, while evaluations of the use of EEC(R) therapy in patients with chronic angina and heart failure continue to appear in several oral or poster presentations at major scientific meetings and in peer-reviewed publications each year, there continues to be skepticism in the cardiology community about its broader use. Additional evidence regarding the efficacy of EEC(R) therapy continues to appear, however the evidence may not be sufficient to warrant a modification of practice guidelines to a more favorable recommendation and increased acceptance by the medical community.

Reimbursement

In addition to regulatory approvals for commercialization by government agencies, reimbursement coverage and payment rates are factors in the sales of our products and we depend in large part on the availability of reimbursement programs. Medicare, Medicaid, as well as private health care insurance and managed-care plans determine eligibility for coverage of a product or therapy based on a number of factors, including the payer's determination that the product is reasonable and necessary for the diagnosis or treatment of the illness or injury for which it is administered according to the scope of clinical evidence available, accepted standards of medical care in practice, the product's cost effectiveness, whether the product is experimental or investigational, impact on health outcomes and whether the product is not otherwise excluded from coverage by law or regulation. The coverage process for Medicare reimbursement is legislated by Congress and administered by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS), and is highly variable in the commercial market. There may be significant delays in obtaining coverage for newly-approved products, and coverage may be more limited than the purposes for which the product is approved or cleared by FDA. Even when we obtain authorization from the FDA or a foreign authority to begin commercial distribution, there may be limited demand for the device until reimbursement approval has been obtained from governmental and private third-party payers. Moreover, eligibility for coverage does not imply that a product will be reimbursed in all cases or at a rate that allows us to market our EEC(R) systems at a price that will enable us to make a profit or even cover our costs. Reimbursement rates may vary according to the use of the product and the

16

clinical setting in which it is used, may be based on payments allowed for lower-cost products that are already reimbursed, may be incorporated into existing payments for other products or services, and may reflect budgetary constraints and/or imperfections in Medicare or Medicaid data. Even if successful, demand for products may be driven more by the scope of peer-reviewed evidence and acceptance, endorsement by regulatory and clinical bodies, or foreign country authorities than by the reimbursement rates available. Securing coverage at adequate reimbursement rates from government and third party payers can be a time consuming and costly process that could require us to provide supporting scientific, clinical, and cost-effectiveness data for the use of our products to each payer. Our inability to promptly obtain coverage and profitable reimbursement rates from government-funded and private payers for our products could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and operating results.

Our reimbursement strategies are currently focused in the following primary

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

areas: expanding Medicare coverage to include congestive heart failure and mild angina, expanding coverage with other third-party payers, expanding Medicare coverage for angina and obtaining coverage in selected international markets.

Current Medicare Coverage in Angina

In February 1999, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS), the federal agency that administers the Medicare program for more than 39 million beneficiaries, issued a national coverage policy under HCPCS code G0166 for the use of the EEC(R) therapy system. Key excerpts from the coverage read as follows:

"Although ECP devices are cleared by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for use in treating a variety of cardiac conditions, including stable or unstable angina pectoris, acute myocardial infarction and cardiogenic shock, the use of this device to treat cardiac conditions other than stable angina pectoris is not covered, since only that use has developed sufficient evidence to demonstrate its medical effectiveness."

"for patients who have been diagnosed with disabling angina (class III or class IV, Canadian Cardiovascular Society Classification or equivalent classification) who, in the opinion of a cardiologist or cardiothoracic surgeon, are not readily amenable to surgical interventions such as balloon angioplasty and cardiac bypass because:

1. their condition is inoperable, or at high risk of operative complications or post-operative failure;
2. their coronary anatomy is not readily amenable to such procedures; or
3. they have co-morbid states, which create excessive risk."

The 2007 national average payment rate per hourly session in the physician office setting and the hospital outpatient facility is approximately \$147 and \$107, respectively. Reimbursement rates vary throughout the country and range from \$98 to \$215 per hourly session. The 2006 national average payment rate per hourly session in the physician office setting and the hospital outpatient facility is approximately \$138 and \$104, respectively. Reimbursement rates vary throughout the country and range from \$113 to \$231 per hourly session. Under the Medicare program, physician reimbursement of the provision of EEC(R) therapy is higher if the therapy is performed in a physician office setting as compared to a hospital outpatient facility in order to reflect higher costs associated with the physician office. Since January 2000, the national average payment rate has varied considerably. The initial national average payment rate for the physician office setting and the hospital outpatient facility in 2000 was approximately \$130 and \$112, respectively per hourly session. The average payment rate for the physician office setting climbed to \$208 per treatment session in 2003 before being reduced approximately 37% in 2004 to \$132 per treatment session. In 2005 the physician rate increased approximately 5% and remained unchanged in 2006. The average payment rate for the hospital outpatient facility declined steadily to 2005 before increasing approximately 2% in 2006.

In order to bill and receive payment from Medicare, an individual or entity must be enrolled in the Medicare program for EEC(R) therapy. The physician office setting and the hospital outpatient facility are the only entities currently authorized to receive reimbursement for the EEC(R) therapy under the Medicare program and reimbursement is not permitted to other individuals or entity types, which include, but are not limited to, nurse practitioners,

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

physical therapists, ambulatory surgery centers, nursing homes, comprehensive outpatient rehabilitation facilities, outpatient dialysis facilities, and independent diagnostic testing facilities. For each of these provider types there is statutory authorization and accompanying regulations that govern the terms and conditions of Medicare program participation.

If there were any material change in the availability of Medicare coverage, or if the reimbursement level for treatment procedures using the EEC(R) therapy system is determined to be inadequate, it would adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. Moreover, we are unable to forecast what additional legislation or regulation, if any, relating to the health care industry or Medicare coverage and payment level may be enacted in the future, or what effect such legislation or regulation would have on us.

Application to Expand Medicare Coverage to include Class II Angina and Class II/III CHF

On May 31, 2005, we submitted an application to CMS to expand the national coverage policy for external counterpulsation treatment to patients with Canadian Cardiovascular Class II stable angina and to patients with New York Heart Association (NYHA) Class II and III stable heart failure symptoms with an ejection fraction less than 35%.

On June 20, 2005, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) accepted our application for expansion of reimbursement coverage of EEC(R) therapy to include patients with New York Heart Association (NYHA) Class II/III stable heart failure symptoms with an ejection fraction of less than or equal to 35%, i.e. chronic, stable, mild-to-moderate systolic heart failure as a primary indication, as well as patients with Canadian Cardiovascular Society Classification (CCSC) II, i.e. chronic, stable mild angina.

On June 23, 2005, CMS also received a request from a competing manufacturer of external counterpulsation therapy equipment, to reconsider the reimbursement coverage policy. They requested expansion of coverage to include 1) treatment of congestive heart failure, to include NYHA Class II, III with a left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) less than or equal to 40%, and acute heart failure; 2) treatment of stable angina to include CCSC II angina; 3) treatment of acute myocardial infarction; 4) treatment of cardiogenic shock. On September 15, 2005, they amended their request to include NYHA Class IV heart failure.

On March 20, 2006, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) issued their Decision Memorandum regarding this reconsideration with the opinion "that the evidence is not adequate to conclude that external counterpulsation therapy is reasonable and necessary for the treatment of:

- o Canadian Cardiovascular Society Classification (CCSC) II angina
- o Heart Failure
- o New York Heart Association Class II/III stable heart failure symptoms with an ejection fraction of less than or equal to 35%
- o New York Heart Association Class II/III stable heart failure symptoms with an ejection fraction of less than or equal to 40%
- o New York Heart Association Class IV heart failure
- o Acute heart failure
- o Cardiogenic shock
- o Acute myocardial infarction."

They commented in their decision memorandum that they were not able to apply full weight to the evidence generated by the PEECH(TM) trial, as it had not yet been published in a peer-reviewed medical journal by the time they were required to issue a final decision on this application. Moreover, they did not opine on whether they would consider the results of the trial when published to be sufficient evidence to conclude that external counterpulsation therapy is

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

reasonable and necessary for the treatment of New York Heart Association Class II/III stable heart failure symptoms with an ejection fraction of less than or equal to 35%. They did, however, reiterate in the decision memorandum that "Current coverage as described in Section 20.20 of the Medicare National Coverage Determination (NCD) manual will remain in effect.", for refractory angina patients.

On August 25, 2006 the results of the trial were initially published on line by the Journal of the American College of Cardiology (JACC), and in print in its September 19, 2006 issue. JACC is the official journal of the American College of Cardiology.

18

In the November-December issue of the journal Congestive Heart Failure, a second report of results from the PEECH(TM) trial was published, focusing on the results of a prespecified subgroup analysis in trial patients age 65 and over. This analysis demonstrated a statistically positive response on both co-primary endpoints of the trial in patients receiving EECP(R) therapy versus those who did not, i.e. a significantly larger proportion of patients undergoing EECP(R) therapy met or exceeded prespecified thresholds of improvement in exercise duration and peak oxygen consumption. Moreover, the patients age 65 and older who received EECP(R) therapy demonstrated the greatest differences in exercise duration, peak oxygen consumption and functional class (symptom status) compared with those who did not receive EECP(R) therapy.

We will continue to educate the marketplace that EECP(R) therapy is a therapy for ischemic cardiovascular disease and that patients with a primary diagnosis of heart failure, diabetes, peripheral vascular disease, etc. are also eligible for reimbursement under the current coverage policy, provided the primary indication for treatment with EECP(R) therapy is angina or angina equivalent symptoms and the patient satisfies other listed criteria. Additionally, we will continue to pursue expansion of coverage for EECP(R) therapy with Medicare and other third-party payers as evidence of its clinical utility develops.

Expanding Coverage with Other Third-Party Payers

Some private insurance carriers continue to adjudicate EECP(R) treatment claims on a case-by-case basis. Since the establishment of reimbursement by the federal government, however, an increasing number of these private carriers now routinely pay for use of EECP(R) therapy for the treatment of angina and have issued positive coverage policies, which are generally similar to Medicare's coverage policy in scope. We estimate that over 300 private insurers are reimbursing for EECP(R) therapy for the treatment of angina today at favorable payment levels and we expect that the number of private insurers and their related health plans that provide for EECP(R) therapy as a covered benefit will continue to increase. In addition, we are aware of two third-party payers that have begun limited coverage of EECP(R) therapy for the treatment of CHF.

We intend to pursue a constructive dialogue with many private insurers for the establishment of positive and expanded coverage policies for EECP(R) treatment that include CHF patients. If there were any material change in the availability of third-party private insurers or the adequacy of the reimbursement level for treatment procedures using the EECP(R) therapy system it would adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. Moreover, we are unable to forecast what additional legislation or regulation, if any, relating to the health care industry or third-party private insurers coverage and payment levels may be enacted in the future or what effect such legislation or regulation would have on us.

Reimbursement in International Markets

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

The reimbursement environment for EECP(R) therapy in international markets is fragmented and coverage varies as a mix of available private and public healthcare providers may not yet be aware of coverage of this therapy. Our reimbursement strategy has been opportunistic and responsive to the selling opportunities presented through our distribution partners. During this fiscal year our efforts on behalf of EECP(R) therapy in both the private and public healthcare sectors of selected international markets have been initiated by our distributors, in support of the therapy, in their designated territory. Additionally, efforts have been initiated to obtain coverage in the public sector in certain overseas markets; however, we do not anticipate an impact on financial performance in the next fiscal year, given the long lead times from submission to approval of international dossiers for each reimbursement authority.

Patents and Trademarks

We own eleven US patents including eight utility and three design patents that expire at various times between 2006 and 2021. In addition, more than 20 foreign patents have been issued that expire at various times from 2007 to 2022. We are also planning to file other patent applications regarding specific enhancements to the current EECP(R) models, future generation products, and methods of treatment in the future. Moreover, trademarks have been registered for the names "EECP(R)" and "Natural Bypass".

19

We pursue a policy of seeking patent protection, both in the US and abroad, for our proprietary technology. We believe that we have a solid patent foundation in the field of external counterpulsation devices and that the number of patents and applications demonstrates our technical leadership, dating back to the mid-1980s. Our patent portfolio focuses on the areas of external counterpulsation control and the overall design and arrangement of the external counterpulsation apparatus, including the console, treatment bed, fluid distribution, and inflatable cuffs. None of our current competitors have a significant patent portfolio in the area of external counterpulsation devices.

There can be no assurance that our patents will not be violated or that any issued patents will provide protection that has commercial significance. As with any patented technology, litigation could be necessary to protect our patent position. Such litigation can be costly and time-consuming, and there can be no assurance that we will be successful. The loss or violation of our EECP(R) patents and trademarks could have a material adverse effect upon our business.

Employees

As of May 31, 2007, we employed 18 full-time and 1 part-time persons with 2 in direct sales, sales and clinical applications support, 7 in manufacturing, quality control and technical service, 1 in marketing and customer support, 2 in engineering, regulatory and clinical research and 7 in administration. None of our employees are represented by a labor union. We believe that our employee relations are good.

Manufacturing

We manufacture our EECP(R) therapy systems in a single facility located in Westbury, New York. Manufacturing operations are conducted under the Current Good Manufacturing Practice (CGMP) requirements as set forth in the FDA Quality System Regulation. These regulations subject us to inspections to verify compliance and require us to maintain documentation and controls for the manufacturing and quality activities. ISO 13485 is the international quality

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

standard for medical device manufacturers, based upon the ISO 9001 quality standard with specific requirements consistent with the FDA Quality System Regulation. While previously we were certified to comply with ISO 9001 requirements, we have applied and received ISO 13485 certification in February 2003. We are also certified to conform with the full quality assurance system requirements of the EU Medical Device Directive and can apply the CE mark to certain of our products. Lastly, we are certified to comply with the requirements of the Canadian Medical Device Regulations (CMDR).

We believe our manufacturing facility, is adequate to meet the current and immediately foreseeable future demand for the production of these systems.

RISK FACTORS

Investing in our common stock involves risk. You should carefully consider the following information about these risks together with the other information contained in this Report. If any of the following risks actually occur, our business could be harmed. This could cause the price of our stock to decline, and you may lose part or all of your investment.

Financial Risks

We have incurred recurring losses over the past few years and continue to sustain losses which could result in a further decline in the value of our common stock.

During the last two fiscal years we incurred large operating losses. We currently anticipate that we will continue to sustain operating losses. Our ability to achieve profitability is largely dependent on our ability to reduce operating costs sufficiently as well as halting the current trend of declining revenue. Our ability to maintain our current base of revenue and increase revenue is largely dependent upon restructuring our sales and marketing efforts in the angina market where reimbursement is currently available and operating in a more efficient manner.

Risks Related to Our Business

We are materially dependent on medical reimbursement for treatment procedures using EECp(R) therapy on patients with congestive heart failure in order to achieve continued growth.

We are currently dependent on a single product platform which, based on current medical reimbursement policies, provides coverage for a restricted class of heart patients. On May 31, 2005, we submitted an application to CMS to expand the national coverage policy for external counterpulsation treatment to patients with Canadian Cardiovascular Class II stable angina and to patients with New York Heart Association (NYHA) Class II and III stable heart failure symptoms with an ejection fraction less than 35%. The application was accepted by CMS effective June 20, 2005, and CMS announced their decision to maintain the existing coverage as stated prior to the application and not to expand it to

20

include Class II Angina and Class II/III CHF on March 20, 2006. Results of the PEECH(TM) trial have been published in the Journal of the American College of Cardiology in September 2006, and the subgroup analysis of CHF patients age 65 and over has also been published in the November-December 2006 issue of the Journal of Congestive Heart Failure. These two papers have been submitted to CMS for reconsideration of our application. We had met with representatives from CMS in February 2007 and presented our case. CMS has requested additional data from us. We will continue our dialogue with CMS to obtain coverage for heart failure patients. However, there is no assurance that the Company will have sufficient

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

resources to gather the necessary data to be sufficient to support expansion of the Medicare National Coverage Policy for EECP(R) treatment for NYHA class II and III heart failure patients.

If we do not receive medical coverage for treatment procedures using EECP(R) therapy on patients with CHF, it will adversely affect our future business prospects.

Material changes in the availability of Medicare, Medicaid or third-party reimbursement at adequate price levels could adversely affect our business.

Health care providers, such as hospitals and physician private practices, that purchase or lease medical devices such as the EECP(R) therapy system for use on their patients generally rely on third-party payers, principally Medicare, Medicaid and private health insurance plans, to reimburse all or part of the costs and fees associated with the procedures performed with these devices. If there were any material change in the availability of Medicare, Medicaid or other third-party coverage or the adequacy of the reimbursement level for treatment procedures using the EECP(R) therapy system, it would adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. Moreover, we are unable to forecast what additional legislation or regulation, if any, relating to the health care industry or Medicare or Medicaid coverage and payment level may be enacted in the future or what effect such legislation or regulation would have on our business. Even if a device has FDA clearance, Medicare, Medicaid and other third-party payers may deny reimbursement if they conclude that the device is not "reasonable and necessary" according to their criteria. In addition, reimbursement may not be at, or remain at, price levels adequate to allow medical professionals and hospitals to realize an appropriate return on the purchase of our products.

Increased acceptance by the medical community is important for continued growth.

While many abstracts and publications are presented each year at major scientific meetings worldwide with respect to EECP(R) treatment efficacy, there is continued skepticism concerning EECP(R) therapy methodology. The American Heart Association and the American College of Cardiology Practice Guidelines currently list EECP(R) as a therapy currently under investigation for treatment of heart failure and have a classification rating of IIb as a treatment for patients who are refractory to medical therapy and are not candidates for percutaneous intervention or revascularization. A classification rating of IIb indicates the usefulness/efficacy of EECP(R) therapy is less well established by evidence/opinion. The medical community utilizes these guidelines when considering the various treatment options for their patients. Certain cardiologists, in cases where the EECP(R) therapy is a viable alternative, still appear to prefer percutaneous coronary interventions (e.g. balloon angioplasty and stenting) and cardiac bypass surgery for their patients. Additional evidence regarding the efficacy of EECP(R) therapy continues to evolve, however the evidence may not be sufficient to warrant a modification of these guidelines to a more favorable recommendation and increased acceptance by the medical community. We are dependent on consistency of favorable research findings about EECP(R) therapy and increasing acceptance of EECP(R) therapy as a safe, effective and cost effective alternative to other available products by the medical community for continued growth.

We face competition from other companies and technologies.

We compete with at least four other companies that are marketing external counterpulsation devices. We do not know whether these companies or other potential competitors who may be developing external counterpulsation devices, may succeed in developing technologies or products that are more efficient than those offered by us, and that would render our technology and existing products

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

obsolete or non-competitive. Potential new competitors may also have substantially greater financial, manufacturing and marketing resources than those possessed by us. In addition, other technologies or products may be developed that have an entirely different approach or means of accomplishing the intended purpose of our products. Accordingly, the life cycles of our products are difficult to estimate. To compete successfully, we must keep pace with technological advancements, respond to evolving consumer requirements and achieve market acceptance.

21

As of June 2007 the Company entered into a distribution and supplier agreement with Living Data Technology Corporation, a competitor as of May 31, 2007. This arrangement has subsequently reduced the competitors to at least three other companies.

We may not continue to receive necessary FDA clearances or approvals, which could hinder our ability to market and sell our products.

If we modify our external counterpulsation devices and the modifications significantly affect safety or effectiveness, or if we make a change to the intended use, we will be required to submit a new premarket notification or 510(k) to FDA. We would be unable to market the modified device until FDA issues a clearance for the 510(k).

Additionally, if FDA publishes a regulation requiring a premarket approval application or PMA for external counterpulsation devices, we would then need to submit a PMA, and have it filed by the agency, by the date specified by FDA in its regulation. A PMA requires us to prove the safety and effectiveness of a device to the FDA. The process of obtaining PMA approval is expensive, time-consuming, and uncertain. If FDA were to require a PMA application, we may be required to undertake a clinical study, which likely will be expensive and require lengthy follow-up, to demonstrate the effectiveness of the device. If we did obtain PMA approval, any change after approval affecting the safety or effectiveness of the device will require approval of a PMA supplement.

If we offer new products that require 510(k) clearance or PMA approval, we will not be able to commercially distribute those products until we receive such clearance or approval. Regulatory agency approval or clearance for a product may not be received or may entail limitations on the device's indications for use that could limit the potential market for any such product. Delays in receipt of, or failure to obtain or maintain, regulatory clearances and approvals, could delay or prevent our ability to market or distribute our products. Such delays could have a material adverse effect on our business.

If we are unable to comply with applicable governmental regulation, we may not be able to continue our operations.

We also must comply with Current Good Manufacturing Practice (CGMP) requirements as set forth in the Quality System Regulation (QSR) to receive FDA approval to market new products and to continue to market current products. The QSR imposes certain procedural and documentation requirements on us with respect to manufacturing and quality assurance activities, including packaging, storage, and record keeping. Our products and activities are subject to extensive, ongoing regulation, including regulation of labeling and promotion activities and adverse event reporting. Also, our FDA registered facilities are subject to inspection by the FDA and other governmental authorities. Any failure to comply with regulatory requirements could delay or prevent our ability to market or distribute our products. Violation of FDA statutory or regulatory requirements could result in enforcement actions, such as voluntary or mandatory recalls, suspension or withdrawal of marketing clearances or approvals, seizures, injunctions, fines, civil penalties, and criminal prosecutions, all of which

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

could have a material adverse effect on our business. Most states also have similar postmarket regulatory and enforcement authority for devices.

We cannot predict the nature of any future laws, regulations, interpretations, or applications, nor can we predict what effect additional governmental regulations or administrative orders, when and if promulgated, would have on our business in the future. We may be slow to adapt, or we may never adapt to changes in existing requirements or adoption of new requirements or policies. We may incur significant costs to comply with laws and regulations in the future or compliance with laws or regulations may create an unsustainable burden on our business.

We may not receive approvals by foreign regulators that are necessary for international sales.

Sales of medical devices outside the United States are subject to foreign regulatory requirements that vary from country to country. Premarket approval or clearance in the United States does not ensure regulatory approval by other jurisdictions. If we, or any international distributor, fail to obtain or maintain required pre-market approvals or fail to comply with foreign regulations, foreign regulatory authorities may require us to file revised governmental notifications, cease commercial sales of our products in the applicable countries or otherwise cure the problem. Such enforcement action by regulatory authorities may be costly.

22

In order to sell our products within the European Union, we must comply with the European Union's Medical Device Directive. The CE marking on our products attests to this compliance. Future regulatory changes may limit our ability to use the CE mark, and any new products we develop may not qualify for the CE mark. If we lose this authorization or fail to obtain authorization on future products, we will not be able to sell our products in the European Union.

We depend on management and other key personnel.

We are dependent on a limited number of key management and technical personnel. The loss of one or more of our key employees may hurt our business if we are unable to identify other individuals to provide us with similar services. We do not maintain "key person" insurance on any of our employees. In addition, our success depends upon our ability to attract and retain additional highly qualified sales, management, manufacturing and research and development personnel. We face competition in our recruiting activities and may not be able to attract or retain qualified personnel.

We may not have adequate intellectual property protection.

Our patents and proprietary technology may not be able to prevent competition by others. The validity and breadth of claims in medical technology patents involve complex legal and factual questions. Future patent applications may not be issued, the scope of any patent protection may not exclude competitors, and our patents may not provide competitive advantages to us. Our patents may be found to be invalid and other companies may claim rights in or ownership of the patents and other proprietary rights held or licensed by us. Also, our existing patents may not cover products that we develop in the future. Moreover, when our patents expire, the inventions will enter the public domain. There can be no assurance that our patents will not be violated or that any issued patents will provide protection that has commercial significance. Litigation may be necessary to protect our patent position. Such litigation may be costly and time-consuming, and there can be no assurance that we will be successful in such litigation.

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

The loss or violation of certain of our patents and trademarks could have a material adverse effect upon our business.

Since patent applications in the United States are maintained in secrecy until such patent applications are issued, our current product development may infringe patents that may be issued to others. If our products were found to infringe patents held by competitors, we may have to modify our products to avoid infringement, and it is possible that our modified products would not be commercially successful.

We do not intend to pay dividends in the foreseeable future.

We do not intend to pay any cash dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future.

Risks Related to Our Industry

Technological change is difficult to predict and to manage.

We face the challenges that are typically faced by companies in the medical device field. Our product line has required, and any future products will require, substantial development efforts and compliance with governmental clearance or approval requirements. We may encounter unforeseen technological or scientific problems that force abandonment or substantial change in the development of a specific product or process.

We are subject to product liability claims and product recalls that may not be covered by insurance.

The nature of our business exposes us to risks of product liability claims and product recalls. Medical devices as complex as ours frequently experience errors or failures, especially when first introduced or when new versions are released.

We currently maintain product liability insurance at \$7,000,000 per occurrence and \$7,000,000 in the aggregate. Our product liability insurance may not be adequate. In the future, insurance coverage may not be available on

23

commercially reasonable terms, or at all. In addition, product liability claims or product recalls could damage our reputation even if we have adequate insurance coverage.

We do not know the effects of healthcare reform proposals.

The healthcare industry is undergoing fundamental changes resulting from political, economic and regulatory influences. In the United States, comprehensive programs have been suggested seeking to increase access to healthcare for the uninsured, control the escalation of healthcare expenditures within the economy and use healthcare reimbursement policies to balance the federal budget.

We expect that the United States Congress and state legislatures will continue to review and assess various healthcare reform proposals, and public debate of these issues will likely continue. There have been, and we expect that there will continue to be, a number of federal and state proposals to constrain expenditures for medical products and services, which may affect payments for products such as ours. We cannot predict which, if any of such reform proposals will be adopted and when they might be effective, or the effect these proposals may have on our business. Other countries also are considering health reform.

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

Significant changes in healthcare systems could have a substantial impact on the manner in which we conduct our business and could require us to revise our strategies.

Risks Related to Stock Exchange and SEC Regulation

We were de-listed from Nasdaq and may be subject to regulations that could reduce our ability to raise funds.

By letter dated May 2, 2005, we received written notification from Nasdaq that the bid price of our common stock for the last 30 consecutive business days had closed below the minimum \$1.00 per share required for continued inclusion under Marketplace Rule 4310(c) (4) (the Rule). In accordance with Marketplace Rule 4310 (c) (d), we were provided an initial period of 180 calendar days to regain compliance plus an automatic extension for additional period of 180 calendar days since we met the Nasdaq Capital Markets initial listing criteria except for the bid price requirement. During this period our common stock did not rise above the \$1.00 per share minimum and on May 26, 2006, our common stock was delisted and our stock is currently traded on the Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board.

As a result of our de-listing from the Nasdaq Capital Market due to low stock price, we may become subject to special rules, called "penny stock" rules that impose additional sales practice requirements on broker-dealers who sell our common stock. Penny stocks generally are equity securities that are not registered on certain national securities exchanges or quoted by Nasdaq and have a price per share of less than \$5.00. The rules require, among other things, the delivery, prior to the transaction, of a disclosure schedule required by the Securities and Exchange Commission relating to the market for penny stocks. The broker-dealer also must disclose the commissions payable both to the broker-dealer and the registered representative and current quotations for the securities, and monthly statements must be sent disclosing recent price information.

In the event that our common stock becomes characterized as a penny stock, our market liquidity could be severely affected. The regulations relating to penny stocks could limit the ability of broker-dealers to sell our common stock and thus the ability of purchasers of our common stock to sell their common stock in the secondary market.

Additionally, Nasdaq's delisting of our common stock could have an adverse effect on our ability to raise additional equity capital.

We are subject to stock exchange and SEC regulation.

Recent Sarbanes-Oxley legislation and stock exchange regulations have increased disclosure control, financial reporting, corporate governance and internal control requirements that will increase the administrative costs of documenting and auditing internal processes, gathering data, and reporting information. Our inability to comply with the requirements would significantly impact our market valuation.

24

Our common stock is subject to price volatility.

The market price of our common stock historically has been and may continue to be highly volatile. Our stock price could be subject to wide fluctuations in response to various factors beyond our control, including, but not limited to:

- o medical reimbursement
- o quarterly variations in operating results;

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

- o announcements of technological innovations, new products or pricing by our competitors;
- o the rate of adoption by physicians of our technology and products in targeted markets;
- o the timing of patent and regulatory approvals;
- o the timing and extent of technological advancements;
- o results of clinical studies;
- o the sales of our common stock by affiliates or other shareholders with large holdings; and
- o general market conditions.

Our future operating results may fall below the expectations of securities industry analysts or investors. Any such shortfall could result in a significant decline in the market price of our common stock. In addition, the stock market has experienced significant price and volume fluctuations that have affected the market price of the stock of many medical device companies and that often have been unrelated to the operating performance of such companies. These broad market fluctuations may directly influence the market price of our common stock.

Additional Information

We are subject to the reporting requirements under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and are required to file reports and information with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), including reports on the following forms: annual report on Form 10-KSB, quarterly reports on Form 10-QSB, current reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports files or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Act of 1934.

ITEM 2 - PROPERTIES

We have historically owned our 18,000 square foot headquarters and manufacturing facility at 180 Linden Avenue, Westbury, New York 11590. Additionally, we leased approximately 3,500 square feet of additional warehouse space under an operating lease with a non-affiliated landlord that expired in September 2006, which we did not renew.

On August 15, 2007 we sold our facility under a five-year leaseback agreement for \$1.4 million. The net proceeds from the sale was approximately \$425,000 after payment in full of the two secured notes on our facility, brokers fees, closing costs, and the opening of a certificate of deposit in accordance with the provisions of the new lease. The annual rental expense for the lease is approximately \$138,600. We believe that our current facility is adequate to meet our current needs and should continue to be adequate for the immediately foreseeable future.

ITEM 3 - LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

There were no material legal proceedings under applicable rules.

ITEM 4 - SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

There were no matters submitted to a vote of security holders during the fourth quarter of the fiscal year.

25

PART II

ITEM 5 - MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND SMALL BUSINESS ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Our common stock currently trades on the Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

under the symbol VASO.OB. On May 26, 2006, our common stock ceased trading on the Nasdaq Capital Market tier of the Nasdaq Stock Market and began trading on the NASD Pink Sheets. Effective June 20, 2006, our common stock began trading on the Over the Counter Bulletin Board (OTCBB). The number of record holders of common stock as of August 1, 2007, was approximately 1,066, which does not include approximately 24,755 beneficial owners of shares held in the name of brokers or other nominees. The table below sets forth the range of high and low trade prices of the common stock for the fiscal periods specified.

	Fiscal 2007		Fiscal 2006	
	High	Low	High	Low
First Quarter	\$0.17	\$0.08	\$0.88	\$0.53
Second Quarter	\$0.15	\$0.08	\$0.65	\$0.38
Third Quarter	\$0.12	\$0.07	\$0.53	\$0.16
Fourth Quarter	\$0.09	\$0.07	\$0.35	\$0.10

The last bid price of the Company's common stock on August 17, 2007, was \$0.11 per share.

De-listing from the Nasdaq Capital Market

By letter dated May 2, 2005, we received written notification from Nasdaq that the bid price of our common stock for the last 30 consecutive business days had closed below the minimum \$1.00 per share required for continued inclusion under Marketplace Rule 4310(c) (4) (the Rule). In accordance with Marketplace Rule 4310 (c) (d), we were provided an initial period of 180 calendar days to regain compliance plus an automatic extension for additional period of 180 calendar days since we met the Nasdaq Capital Markets initial listing criteria except for the bid price requirement. During this period our common stock did not rise above the \$1.00 per share minimum and on May 26, 2006, our common stock was delisted and our stock is currently traded over-the-counter.

As a result of our de-listing from the Nasdaq Capital Market due to low stock price, we may become subject to special rules, called penny stock rules that impose additional sales practice requirements on broker-dealers who sell our common stock. The rules require, among other things, the delivery, prior to the transaction, of a disclosure schedule required by the Securities and Exchange Commission relating to the market for penny stocks. The broker-dealer also must disclose the commissions payable both to the broker-dealer and the registered representative and current quotations for the securities, and monthly statements must be sent disclosing recent price information.

The regulations relating to penny stocks could limit the ability of broker-dealers to sell our common stock and thus the ability of purchasers of our common stock to sell their common stock in the secondary market.

Additionally, Nasdaq's delisting of our common stock could have an adverse effect our ability to raise additional equity capital.

Dividend Policy

We have never paid any cash dividends on our common stock. While we do not intend to pay cash dividends in the foreseeable future, payment of cash dividends, if any, will be dependent upon our earnings and financial position, investment opportunities and such other factors as the Board of Directors deems pertinent. Stock dividends, if any, also will be dependent on such factors as the Board of Directors deems pertinent.

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

Sale of Convertible Preferred Securities

On July 19, 2005, we entered into a Securities Purchase Agreement that provided us with gross proceeds of \$2.5 million through a private placement of preferred stock with M.A.G. Capital, LLC through its designated funds, Monarch Pointe Fund Ltd., Mercator Momentum Fund III, LP, and Mercator Momentum Fund, LP (the "Investors"). The agreement provided for a private placement of 25,000 shares of our Series D Preferred Stock at \$100 per share plus warrants. As of February 7, 2006, all of the preferred shares had been converted into common shares and there are no preferred shares currently outstanding.

Entry Into A Material Definitive Agreement

On June 21, 2007 we entered into a Securities Purchase Agreement with Kerns Manufacturing Corp. ("Kerns"). Concurrently with our entry into the Securities Purchase Agreement, we also entered into a Distribution Agreement and a Supplier Agreement with Living Data Technology Corporation, an affiliate of Kerns ("Living Data").

We sold to Kerns pursuant to the Securities Purchase Agreement, 21,428,572 shares of our common stock at \$.07 per share for an aggregate of \$1,500,000 as well a five-year warrant to purchase 4,285,714 shares of our common stock at an initial exercise price of \$.08 per share (the "Warrant"). We also have an option to sell an additional \$1 million of our common stock to Kerns. The agreement further provided for the appointment to our Board of Directors of two representatives of Kerns. In furtherance thereof, Mr. Jun Ma and Mr. Simon Srybnik, Chairman of both Kerns and Living Data, have been appointed members of our Board of Directors. Pursuant to the Distribution Agreement, we have become the exclusive distributor in the United States of the AngioNew ECP systems manufactured by Living Data. As additional consideration for such agreement, we agreed to issue an additional 6,990,840 shares of our common stock to Living Data. Pursuant to the Supplier Agreement, Living Data now will be the exclusive supplier to us of the ECP therapy systems that we market under the registered trademark EECP(R). The Distribution Agreement and the Supplier Agreement each have an initial term extending through May 31, 2012.

Pursuant to a Registration Rights Agreement, we granted to Kerns and Living Data, subject to certain restrictions, "piggyback registration rights" covering the shares sold to Kerns as well as the shares issuable upon exercise of the Warrant and the shares issued to Living Data.

ITEM 6 - MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OR PLAN OF OPERATION

This Management's Discussion and Analysis or Plan of Operations contains descriptions of our expectations regarding future trends affecting our business. These forward looking statements and other forward-looking statements made elsewhere in this document are made under the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Please read the section titled "Risk Factors" in "Item One - Business" to review certain conditions, among others, which we believe could cause results to differ materially from those contemplated by the forward-looking statements.

Except for historical information contained in this report, the matters discussed are forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. When used in this report, words such as "anticipates", "believes", "could", "estimates", "expects", "may", "plans", "potential" and "intends" and similar expressions, as they relate to the Company or its management, identify forward-looking statements. Such forward-looking statements are based on the beliefs of the Company's management, as well as assumptions made by and information currently available to the Company's management. Among the factors that could cause actual results to differ materially are the following: the

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

effect of business and economic conditions; the effect of the dramatic changes taking place in the healthcare environment; the impact of competitive procedures and products and their pricing; medical insurance reimbursement policies; unexpected manufacturing or supplier problems; unforeseen difficulties and delays in the conduct of clinical trials and other product development programs; the actions of regulatory authorities and third-party payers in the United States and overseas; uncertainties about the acceptance of a novel therapeutic modality by the medical community; and the risk factors reported from time to time in the Company's SEC reports. The Company undertakes no obligation to update forward-looking statements as a result of future events or developments.

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with financial statements and notes thereto included in this Annual Report on Form 10-KSB.

27

Overview

Vasomedical, Inc. was incorporated in Delaware in July 1987. Unless the context requires otherwise, all references to "we", "our", "us", "Company", "registrant", "Vasomedical" or "management" refer to Vasomedical Inc. and its subsidiaries. Since 1995, we have been primarily engaged in designing, manufacturing, marketing and supporting EECP(R) enhanced external counterpulsation systems based on our unique proprietary technology currently indicated for use in cases of stable or unstable angina (i.e., chest pain), congestive heart failure (CHF), acute myocardial infarction (i.e., heart attack, (MI)) and cardiogenic shock. The EECP(R) therapy system is a non-invasive, outpatient therapy for the treatment of diseases of the cardiovascular system. The therapy serves to increase circulation in areas of the heart with less than adequate blood supply and helps to restore systemic vascular function. The therapy also increases blood flow and oxygen supply to the heart muscle and other organs and decreases the heart's workload and need for oxygen, while also improving function of the endothelium, the lining of blood vessels throughout the body, lessening resistance to blood flow. We provide hospitals, clinics and physician private practices with EECP(R) equipment, treatment guidance, and a staff training and equipment maintenance program designed to provide optimal patient outcomes. EECP(R) is a registered trademark for Vasomedical's enhanced external counterpulsation systems. For more information visit www.vasomedical.com.

We have Food and Drug Administration (FDA) clearance to market our EECP(R) therapy for use in the treatment of stable and unstable angina, congestive heart failure, acute myocardial infarction, and cardiogenic shock, however our current marketing efforts are limited to the treatment of chronic stable angina and congestive heart failure. Medicare and other third-party payers currently reimburse for the treatment of angina symptoms in patients with moderate to severe symptoms who are refractory to medications and not candidates for invasive procedures, including patients with serious comorbidities, such as heart failure, diabetes, peripheral vascular disease, etc. Patients with primary diagnoses of heart failure, diabetes, peripheral vascular disease, etc. are also reimbursed under the same criteria, provided the primary indication for treatment with EECP(R) therapy is angina symptoms.

During the last two fiscal years ended May 31, 2007 and 2006 we incurred large operating losses. We attempted to achieve profitability by reducing operating costs and halting the trend of declining revenue, to reduce cash usage through bringing our cost structure more into alignment with current revenue by engaging in restructurings during January 2006, March 2007 and April 2007 to substantially reduce personnel and spending on sales, marketing and development projects. In addition, we sought to obtain a strategic alliance within the sales and marketing areas and/or to raise additional capital through public or private equity or debt financings.

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

Subsequent to May 31, 2007 the following events took place which allowed us to raise additional capital through a private equity financing and by the sale of our facility under a leaseback agreement.

- o On June 21, 2007 we entered into a Securities Purchase Agreement with Kerns Manufacturing Corp. ("Kerns"). Concurrently with our entry into the Securities Purchase Agreement, we also entered into a Distribution Agreement and a Supplier Agreement with Living Data Technology Corporation, an affiliate of Kerns ("Living Data").

We sold to Kerns pursuant to the Securities Purchase Agreement, 21,428,572 shares of our common stock at \$.07 per share for an aggregate of \$1,500,000 as well a five-year warrant to purchase 4,285,714 shares of our common stock at an initial exercise price of \$.08 per share (the "Warrant"). We also have an option to sell an additional \$1 million of our common stock to Kerns. The agreement further provided for the appointment to our Board of Directors of two representatives of Kerns. In furtherance thereof, Mr. Jun Ma and Mr. Simon Srybnik, Chairman of both Kerns and Living Data, have been appointed members of our Board of Directors. Pursuant to the Distribution Agreement, we have become the exclusive distributor in the United States of the AngioNew ECP systems manufactured by Living Data. As additional consideration for such agreement, we agreed to issue an additional 6,990,840 shares of our common stock to Living Data. Pursuant to the Supplier Agreement, Living Data now will be the exclusive supplier to us of the ECP therapy systems that we market under the registered trademark EECP(R). The Distribution Agreement and the Supplier Agreement each have an initial term extending through May 31, 2012.

28

Pursuant to a Registration Rights Agreement, we granted to Kerns and Living Data, subject to certain restrictions, "piggyback registration rights" covering the shares sold to Kerns as well as the shares issuable upon exercise of the Warrant and the shares issued to Living Data.

- o On August 15, 2007 we sold our facility under a five-year leaseback agreement for \$1.4 million. The net proceeds from the sale was approximately \$425,000 after payment in full of the two secured notes on our facility, brokers fees, closing costs, and the opening of a certificate of deposit in accordance with the provisions of the new lease.

Results of Operations

Fiscal Years Ended May 31, 2007 and 2006

Net revenue from sales, leases and service of our EECP(R) systems for the fiscal years ended May 31, 2007 and 2006, was \$6,354,083 and \$10,942,997, respectively, which represented a decline of \$4,588,914 or 42%. We reported a net loss attributable to common stockholders of \$1,571,066 and \$11,579,011 for fiscal 2007 and 2006, respectively. Our net loss per common share was \$0.02 for the fiscal year ended May 31, 2007 compared to a net loss of \$0.19 per share for the fiscal year ended May 31, 2006. The decrease in the net loss per share is primarily due to a \$7,082,138 income tax valuation reserve established in fiscal 2006 for the remaining value of the deferred tax asset.

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

The gross profit declined to \$3,450,970 or 54% of revenues for the fiscal year ended May 31, 2007, compared to \$6,168,668 or 56% of revenues for the fiscal year ended May 31, 2006. The decline in gross profit primarily reflects the reduced sales volume, however the loss from operations was reduced in the fiscal year ended May 31, 2007 to \$1,534,339 compared to the loss from operations in fiscal year 2006 of \$3,612,849. Total operating expenses in the fiscal years ended May 31, 2007 and 2006 were \$4,985,309 and \$9,781,517, respectively, reflecting a decline of \$4,796,208 due primarily to two major cost saving restructuring programs initiated in March 2007 and April 2007.

Revenues

Revenue from equipment sales declined approximately 62% to \$2,561,064 for the fiscal year ended May 31, 2007 as compared to \$6,820,980 for the prior year. The decline in equipment sales is due primarily to a 52% decline in the number of equipment shipments and a 23% decrease in average sales prices. The overall decrease in average sales prices is primarily due to the decline of equipment sales as well as the reduction in sales price due to competition in both the domestic and international markets, from the prior fiscal year.

We believe the decline in domestic units shipped reflects weakened demand in the refractory angina market as existing capacity is more fully utilized, coupled with increased direct and indirect competition. We anticipate that demand for EEC(R) systems will remain soft unless there is greater clinical acceptance for the use of EEC(R) therapy in treating patients with angina or angina equivalent symptoms who meet the current reimbursement guidelines or an expansion of the current CMS national reimbursement policy to include some or all Class II & III heart failure patients. Patients with angina or angina equivalent symptoms eligible for reimbursement under current policies include many with serious comorbidities, such as heart failure, diabetes, peripheral vascular disease and/or others. Despite this, many cardiology clinicians appear to be waiting for approval of reimbursement coverage for heart failure as a primary indication before they will move forward with the treatment of ischemic heart failure patients with angina equivalent symptoms. Reluctance to bill for ischemic heart failure patients under the current coverage guidelines, and failure to get or maintain adequate reimbursement coverage for angina and heart failure would adversely affect our business prospects. We anticipate that a prevailing trend of declining prices will continue in the immediate future as our competition attempts to capture greater market share through pricing discounts. The average price of new systems sales declined by 7%, in fiscal 2007, compared to prior year and the average sales price of used systems declined 43% in fiscal 2007. We continue to reorganize certain territory responsibilities in our sales department due to vacant and/or unproductive territories.

29

Our revenue from the sale of EEC(R) systems and related products to international distributors in fiscal 2007 increased approximately 15% to \$991,000 compared to \$863,000 in the prior year reflecting increased sales volume.

The above decline in revenue was also a result of an 8% decrease in revenue from equipment rental and services to \$3,793,019 in fiscal 2007 from \$4,122,017 in fiscal year 2006. Revenue from equipment rental and services represented 60% of total revenue in fiscal 2007 compared to 38% in fiscal 2006. The increase in the percentage of revenue generated from equipment rentals and services is due to decreased unit sales volume and decreased total revenues. The decrease in the absolute amounts and the decrease in the percentage of total revenue resulted primarily from a 31% decline in service related revenue, and a 74% decline in rental revenue. The decline was due to a decrease in the rental install base from the prior fiscal year ended May 31, 2006.

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

Gross Profit

Gross profit declined to \$3,450,970 or 54% of revenues for the fiscal year ended May 31, 2007, compared to \$6,168,668 or 56% of revenues for the fiscal year ended May 31, 2006. Gross profit margin as a percentage of revenue for the fiscal year ended May 31, 2007, decreased compared to the prior fiscal year mainly due to the higher fixed production unit costs associated with reduced production due to decreasing sales in the last fiscal year, offset minimally by the adoption of SFAS No. 151 which increased the amount of fixed overhead costs absorbed into inventory in fiscal 2007. The decline in gross profit when compared to the prior year in absolute dollars is principally due to the lower sales volume.

Gross profits are dependent on a number of factors, particularly the mix of EEC(R) models sold domestically and internationally and their respective average selling prices, the mix of EEC(R) units sold, rented or placed during the period, the ongoing costs of servicing such units, and certain fixed period costs, including facilities, payroll and insurance. Gross profit margins are generally less on non-domestic business due to the use of distributors resulting in lower selling prices. Consequently, the gross profit realized during the current period may not be indicative of future margins.

Selling, General and Administrative

Selling, general and administrative ("SG&A") expenses for fiscal years ended May 31, 2007 and 2006, were \$4,051,570 or 64% of revenues and \$7,865,533 or 72% of revenues, respectively reflecting a decrease of \$3,813,963 or approximately 48%. The decrease in SG&A expenditures in fiscal 2007 compared to fiscal 2006 resulted primarily from decreased direct expenditures of \$2,354,828 due to reduced sales personnel and associated travel plus lower sales commission due to reduced sales volume. Marketing expenses decreased \$975,971 due to reduced personnel in the marketing and clinical application support areas, as well as associated travel, plus lower market research, product promotion, advertising, and trade show expenses. Administrative expenses decreased \$483,164 as a result of decreased expenditures in professional fees related to accounting and outside consulting, along with reduced personnel and their associated costs.

Research and Development

Research and development ("R&D") expenses of \$933,316 or 15% of revenues for the fiscal year ended May 31, 2007, decreased by \$872,351 or 48%, from \$1,805,667 or 17% of revenues for the fiscal year ended May 31, 2006. The decrease is primarily attributable to fewer engineering personnel, lower new product development spending, and reduced spending on clinical trials.

Provision for Doubtful Accounts

During the fiscal years ended May 31, 2007 and 2006, the Company recorded a provision for doubtful accounts of \$423 and \$110,317, respectively. The change in the provision is a primarily a result of the fiscal 2007 decrease in sales.

30

Interest Expense and Financing Costs

Interest expense and financing costs decreased to \$69,767 in the fiscal year ended May 31, 2007, from \$81,662 for the prior year. Interest expense primarily reflects interest on loans secured to refinance the November 2000 purchase of the Company's headquarters and warehouse facility. The majority of the decrease is a result of the loans secured to finance the cost of implementation of a new management information system being paid in full during

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

fiscal 2007.

Interest and Other Income, Net

Interest and other income for the fiscal years ended May 31, 2007 and 2006, were \$53,648 and \$75,508, respectively. Interest income primarily reflects interest earned on the Company's cash balances. As cash balances have declined, the direct impact has been a decrease in interest income.

Income Tax Expense, Net

During the fiscal years ended May 31, 2007 and 2006, we recorded a provision for income taxes of \$20,608 and \$7,082,138, respectively.

As of May 31, 2007, the recorded deferred tax assets were \$19,589,352, reflecting an increase of \$29,894 during the fiscal year ended May 31, 2007, which was offset by the valuation allowance of the same amount.

Ultimate realization of any or all of the deferred tax assets is not assured, due to significant uncertainties and material assumptions associated with estimates of future taxable income during the carryforward period. In November 2005, we concluded that, based upon the weight of available evidence, it was "more likely than not" that the net deferred tax asset would not be realized and increased the valuation allowance to bring the net deferred tax asset carrying value to zero.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash and Cash Flow

We have financed our operations in fiscal 2007 and 2006 primarily from working capital and in fiscal 2006 from the issuance of preferred stock. At May 31, 2007, we had cash of \$850,288 and working capital of \$1,320,347 as compared to cash and cash equivalents of \$2,385,778 and working capital of \$2,867,288 at May 31, 2006. Our cash and cash equivalents decreased \$1,535,490 in fiscal year 2007. Our net loss of \$1,571,066 was partially offset by adjustments to reconcile the net loss to net cash and changes in working capital accounts of \$337,772. Cash used in investing activities was \$10,593 and financing activities was \$291,603.

The adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities was \$294,596 in non-cash adjustments to reconcile the net loss to net cash used in operating activities, primarily due to \$315,269 in depreciation and amortization. In addition, changes in our operating assets and liabilities produced net cash of \$43,176. The changes in the accounts balances primarily reflect a decrease in accounts receivable of \$147,805, lower inventory of \$606,580 and lower other current assets of \$265,408, which were partially offset by a decrease in accounts payable, accrued expenses, deferred revenues-ST and other current liabilities of \$721,970 and a decrease in deferred revenues-LT and in other liabilities of \$253,575. Net accounts receivable were 12% of revenues for the period ended May 31, 2007, as compared to 8% for the period ended May 31, 2006, and accounts receivable turnover improved to 8.1 times as of May 31, 2007, as compared to 7.9 times as of May 31, 2006.

Standard payment terms on our domestic equipment sales are generally net 30 to 90 days from shipment and do not contain "right of return" provisions. We have historically offered a variety of extended payment terms, including sales-type leases, in certain situations and to certain customers in order to expand the market for our EECP(R) products in the US and internationally. Such extended payment terms were offered in lieu of price concessions, in competitive situations, when opening new markets or geographies and for repeat customers. Extended payment terms cover a variety of negotiated terms, including payment in

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

full - net 120, net 180 days or some fixed or variable monthly payment amount for a six to twelve month period followed by a balloon payment, if applicable. During fiscal 2007 and 2006, there were no revenues generated from sales in which initial payment terms were greater than 90 days and we offered no sales-type leases during either period. In general, reserves are calculated on a

31

formula basis considering factors such as the aging of the receivables, time past due, and the customer's credit history and their current financial status. In most instances where reserves are required, or accounts are ultimately written-off, customers have been unable to successfully implement their EEC(R) program. As we are creating a new market for the EEC(R) therapy and recognizing the challenges that some customers may encounter, we have opted, at times, on a customer-by-customer basis, to recover our equipment instead of pursuing other legal remedies, which has resulted in our recording of a reserve or a write-off.

Investing activities used net cash of \$10,593 during the fiscal year ended May 31, 2007 for the purchase of property and equipment.

Our financing activities used net cash of \$291,603 during the fiscal year ended May 31, 2007, reflecting payments on our outstanding notes and loans.

We do not have an available line of credit.

Sale of Convertible Preferred Stock and Warrants

On July 19, 2005, we entered into a Securities Purchase Agreement that provided us with gross proceeds of \$2.5 million through a private placement of preferred stock with M.A.G. Capital, LLC through its designated funds, Monarch Pointe Fund Ltd., Mercator Momentum Fund III, LP, and Mercator Momentum Fund, LP (the "Investors"). The agreement provided for a private placement of 25,000 shares of Vasomedical's Series D Preferred Stock at \$100 per share. The preferred stock was convertible into shares of Vasomedical's common stock at 85 percent of the volume weighted average price per share for the five trading days preceding any conversion, but not at more than \$0.6606 or less than \$0.40 per share. After registration in August 2005 the shares of common stock could be acquired through conversion of the preferred shares. The Investors also acquired warrants for the purchase of 1,892,219 shares of common stock. The warrants may be exercised at a price of \$0.69 per share for a term of five years, ending July 18, 2010.

By the placement of the preferred stock described above, we became obligated to pay a cash dividend monthly on the outstanding shares of preferred stock. The dividend rate was the higher of (i) the prime rate as reported by the Wall Street Journal on the first day of the month, plus three percent or, (ii) 8.5% times \$100 per share, but in no event greater than 10% annually.

During the period beginning on September 14, 2005, and ending February 7, 2006, all the preferred stock issued under this financing were converted into a total of 6,112,209 shares of common stock and there are no remaining shares of preferred stock outstanding.

These securities were offered and sold to the Investors in a private placement transaction made in reliance upon exemptions from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933. The Investors are accredited investors as defined in Rule 501 of Regulation D promulgated under the Securities Act of 1933. Vasomedical intends to apply the funds for working capital.

Liquidity

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

During the last two fiscal years ended May 31, 2007 and 2006 we incurred large operating losses. The Company attempted to achieve profitability by reducing operating costs and halting the trend of declining revenue, to reduce cash usage by bringing its cost structure more into alignment with current revenue by engaging in restructurings during January 2006, March 2007 and April 2007 to substantially reduce personnel and spending on sales, marketing and development projects. In addition, the Company was seeking to obtain a strategic alliance within the sales and marketing areas and/or to raise additional capital through public or private equity or debt financings.

Subsequent to May 31, 2007 the following events took place which allowed us to raise additional capital through a private equity financing and by the sale of our facility under a leaseback agreement.

32

- o On June 21, 2007 we entered into a Securities Purchase Agreement with Kerns Manufacturing Corp. ("Kerns"). Concurrently with our entry into the Securities Purchase Agreement, we also entered into a Distribution Agreement and a Supplier Agreement with Living Data Technology Corporation, an affiliate of Kerns ("Living Data").

We sold to Kerns pursuant to the Securities Purchase Agreement, 21,428,572 shares of our common stock at \$.07 per share for an aggregate of \$1,500,000 as well a five-year warrant to purchase 4,285,714 shares of our common stock at an initial exercise price of \$.08 per share (the "Warrant"). We also have an option to sell an additional \$1 million of our common stock to Kerns. The agreement further provided for the appointment to our Board of Directors of two representatives of Kerns. In furtherance thereof, Mr. Jun Ma and Mr. Simon Srybnik, Chairman of both Kerns and Living Data, have been appointed members of our Board of Directors. Pursuant to the Distribution Agreement, we have become the exclusive distributor in the United States of the AngioNew ECP systems manufactured by Living Data. As additional consideration for such agreement, we agreed to issue an additional 6,990,840 shares of our common stock to Living Data. Pursuant to the Supplier Agreement, Living Data now will be the exclusive supplier to us of the ECP therapy systems that we market under the registered trademark EECp(R). The Distribution Agreement and the Supplier Agreement each have an initial term extending through May 31, 2012.

Pursuant to a Registration Rights Agreement, we granted to Kerns and Living Data, subject to certain restrictions, "piggyback registration rights" covering the shares sold to Kerns as well as the shares issuable upon exercise of the Warrant and the shares issued to Living Data.

- o On August 15, 2007 we sold our facility under a five-year leaseback agreement for \$1.4 million. The net proceeds from the sale was approximately \$425,000 after payment in full of the two secured notes on our facility, brokers fees, closing costs, and the opening of a certificate of deposit in accordance with the provisions of the new lease.

Based on the above transactions, we believe that we have sufficient working capital to continue our operations through at least May 31, 2008.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As part of our on-going business, we do not participate in transactions

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

that generate relationships with unconsolidated entities or financial partnerships, such as entities often referred to as structured finance or special purpose entities ("SPES"), which would have been established for the purpose of facilitating off-balance sheet arrangements or other contractually narrow or limited purposes. As of May 31, 2007, we are not involved in any unconsolidated SPES.

Effects of Inflation

We believe that inflation and changing prices over the past three years have not had a significant impact on our revenue or on our results of operations.

Critical Accounting Policies

Financial Reporting Release No. 60, which was released by the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, in December 2001, requires all companies to include a discussion of critical accounting policies or methods used in the preparation of financial statements. Note B of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended May 31, 2007, includes a summary of our significant accounting policies and methods used in the preparation of our financial statements. In preparing these financial statements, we have made our best estimates and judgments of certain amounts included in the financial statements, giving due consideration to materiality. The application of these accounting policies involves the exercise of judgment and use of assumptions as to future uncertainties and, as a result, actual results could differ from these estimates. Our critical accounting policies are as follows:

33

Revenue Recognition

The Company recognizes revenue when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred or service has been rendered, the price is fixed or determinable and collectibility is reasonably assured. In the United States, we recognize revenue from the sale of our EECP(R) systems in the period in which we deliver the system to the customer. Revenue from the sale of our EECP(R) systems to international markets is recognized upon shipment of the product to a common carrier, as are supplies, accessories and spare parts delivered to both domestic and international customers. Returns are accepted prior to the in-service and training subject to a 10% restocking charge or for normal warranty matters, and we are not obligated for post-sale upgrades to these systems. In addition, we use the installment method to record revenue based on cash receipts in situations where the account receivable is collected over an extended period of time and in our judgment the degree of collectibility is uncertain.

In most cases, revenue from domestic EECP(R) system sales is generated from multiple-element arrangements that require judgment in the areas of customer acceptance, collectibility, the separability of units of accounting, and the fair value of individual elements. Effective September 1, 2003, we adopted the provisions of Emerging Issues Task Force, or EITF, Issue No. 00-21, "Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables", ("EITF 00-21"), on a prospective basis. The principles and guidance outlined in EITF 00-21 provide a framework to determine (a) how the arrangement consideration should be measured (b) whether the arrangement should be divided into separate units of accounting, and (c) how the arrangement consideration should be allocated among the separate units of accounting. We determined that the domestic sale of our EECP(R) systems includes a combination of three elements that qualify as separate units of accounting:

- i. EECP(R) equipment sale,
- ii. provision of in-service and training support consisting of equipment

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

- set-up and training provided at the customer's facilities, and
- iii. a service arrangement (usually one year), consisting of: service by factory-trained service representatives, material and labor costs, emergency and remedial service visits, software upgrades, technical phone support and preferred response times.

Each of these elements represent individual units of accounting as the delivered item has value to a customer on a stand-alone basis, objective and reliable evidence of fair value exists for undelivered items, and arrangements normally do not contain a general right of return relative to the delivered item. We determine fair value based on the price of the deliverable when it is sold separately or based on third-party evidence. In accordance with the guidance in EITF 00-21, we use the residual method to allocate the arrangement consideration when it does not have fair value of the EECP(R) system sale. Under the residual method, the amount of consideration allocated to the delivered item equals the total arrangement consideration less the aggregate fair value of the undelivered items. Assuming all other criteria for revenue recognition have been met, we recognize revenue for:

- i. EECP(R) equipment sales, when delivery and acceptance occurs based on delivery and acceptance documentation received from independent shipping companies or customers,
- ii. in-service and training, following documented completion of the training, and
- iii. the service arrangement, ratably over the service period, which is generally one year.

In-service and training generally occurs within a few weeks of shipment and our return policy states that no returns will be accepted after in-service and training has been completed. The amount related to in-service and training is recognized as service revenue at the time the in-service and training is completed and the amount related to service arrangements is recognized ratably as service revenue over the related service period, which is generally one year. Costs associated with the provision of in-service and training and the service arrangement, including salaries, benefits, travel, spare parts and equipment, are recognized in cost of equipment sales as incurred.

The Company also recognizes revenue generated from servicing EECP(R) systems that are no longer covered by the service arrangement, or by providing sites with additional training, in the period that these services are provided. Revenue related to future commitments under separately priced extended service agreements on our EECP(R) system are deferred and recognized ratably over the service period, generally ranging from one year to four years. Costs associated with the provision of service and maintenance, including salaries, benefits, travel, spare parts and equipment, are recognized in cost of sales as incurred. Amounts billed in excess of revenue recognized are included as deferred revenue in the consolidated balance sheets.

34

Revenues from the sale of EECP(R) systems through our international distributor network are generally covered by a one-year warranty period. For these customers we accrue a warranty reserve for estimated costs to provide warranty parts when the equipment sale is recognized.

The Company has also entered into lease agreements for our EECP(R) systems, generally for terms of one year or less, that are classified as operating leases. Revenues from operating leases are generally recognized, in accordance with the terms of the lease agreements, on a straight-line basis over the life of the respective leases. For certain operating leases in which payment terms are determined on a "fee-per-use" basis, revenues are recognized as incurred (i.e., as actual usage occurs). The cost of the EECP(R) system utilized under operating leases is recorded as a component of property and equipment and is

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

amortized to cost of sales over the estimated useful life of the equipment, not to exceed five years. There were no significant minimum rental commitments on these operating leases at May 31, 2007.

Accounts Receivable, Net

The Company's accounts receivable - trade are due from customers engaged in the provision of medical services. Credit is extended based on evaluation of a customer's financial condition and, generally, collateral is not required. Accounts receivable are generally due 30 to 90 days from shipment and are stated at amounts due from customers net of allowances for doubtful accounts, returns, term discounts and other allowances. Accounts that remain outstanding longer than the contractual payment terms are considered past due. Estimates are used in determining the allowance for doubtful accounts based on the Company's historical collections experience, current trends, credit policy and a percentage of its accounts receivable by aging category. In determining these percentages, we look at historical write-offs of our receivables. The Company also looks at the credit quality of its customer base as well as changes in its credit policies. The Company continuously monitors collections and payments from our customers. While credit losses have historically been within expectations and the provisions established, the Company cannot guarantee that it will continue to experience the same credit loss rates that it has in the past.

Inventories, net

The Company values inventory at the lower of cost or estimated market, cost being determined on a first-in, first-out basis. The Company often places EECP(R) systems at various field locations for demonstration, training, evaluation, and other similar purposes at no charge. The cost of these EECP(R) systems is transferred to property and equipment and is amortized over the next two to five years. The Company records the cost of refurbished components of EECP(R) systems and critical components at cost plus the cost of refurbishment. The Company regularly reviews inventory quantities on hand, particularly raw materials and components, and record a provision for excess and obsolete inventory based primarily on existing and anticipated design and engineering changes to its products as well as forecasts of future product demand.

Effective June 1, 2005, we adopted the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 151, "Inventory Costs", on a prospective basis. The statement clarifies that abnormal amounts of idle facility expense, freight, handling costs, and wasted materials (spoilage) should be recognized as current-period charges and requires the allocation of fixed production overheads to inventory based on the normal capacity of the production facilities. As a result of adopting SFAS No. 151, we absorbed approximately \$3,000 more in fixed production overhead into inventory during fiscal year 2007 and \$256,000 less in fixed production overhead into inventory during fiscal year 2006.

Deferred Revenues

The Company records revenue on extended service contracts ratably over the term of the related contract period. Effective September 1, 2003, we prospectively adopted the provisions of EITF 00-21. Upon adoption of the provisions of EITF 00-21 we began to defer revenue related to EECP(R) system sales for the fair value of installation and in-service training to the period when the services are rendered and for warranty obligations ratably over the service period, which is generally one year.

35

Warranty Costs

Equipment sold is generally covered by a warranty period of one year.

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

Effective September 1, 2003, the Company adopted the provisions of EITF 00-21 on a prospective basis. Under EITF 00-21, for certain arrangements, a portion of the overall system price attributable to the first year service arrangement is deferred and recognized as revenue over the service period. As such, we no longer accrue warranty costs upon delivery but rather recognize warranty and related service costs as incurred. Prior to September 1, 2003, we accrued a warranty reserve for estimated costs to provide warranty services when the equipment sale was recognized.

Equipment sold to international customers through our distributor network is generally covered by a one-year warranty period. For these customers the Company accrues a warranty reserve for estimated costs of providing a parts only warranty when the equipment sale is recognized.

The factors affecting our warranty liability included the number of units sold and historical and anticipated rates of claims and costs per claim.

Net Loss per Common Share

Basic loss per share is based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding without consideration of potential common stock. Diluted loss per share is based on the weighted number of common and potential dilutive common shares outstanding. The calculation takes into account the shares that may be issued upon the exercise of stock options and warrants, reduced by the shares that may be repurchased with the funds received from the exercise, based on the average price during the period.

Income Taxes

Deferred income taxes are recognized for temporary differences between financial statement and income tax bases of assets and liabilities and loss carryforwards for which income tax benefits are expected to be realized in future years. A valuation allowance is established, when necessary, to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized. In estimating future tax consequences, we generally consider all expected future events other than an enactment of changes in the tax laws or rates. The deferred tax asset is continually evaluated for realizability. To the extent our judgment regarding the realization of the deferred tax assets changes, an adjustment to the allowance is recorded, with an offsetting increase or decrease, as appropriate, in income tax expense. Such adjustments are recorded in the period in which our estimate as to the realizability of the asset changed that it is "more likely than not" that all of the deferred tax assets will be realized. The "more likely than not" standard is subjective, and is based upon our estimate of a greater than 50% probability that our long range business plan can be realized.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are classified as current or non-current based on the classification of the related asset or liability for financial reporting. A deferred tax asset or liability that is not related to an asset or liability for financial reporting, including deferred tax assets related to carryforwards, are classified according to the expected reversal date of the temporary difference. The deferred tax asset the Company previously recorded, and then reversed fully in fiscal 2006, related primarily to the realization of net operating loss carryforwards, of which the allocation of the current portion, if any, reflected the expected utilization of such net operating losses for the following twelve months. Such allocation was based on the Company's internal financial forecast and may be subject to revision based upon actual results.

Stock-based Employee Compensation

In December 2004, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Standards No. 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment ("SFAS No. 123 (R)"), which is a revision of

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

SFAS No. 123. SFAS No. 123 (R) supersedes APB Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and amends FASB Statement No. 95, Statement of Cash Flows. Generally, the approach to accounting for share-based payments in SFAS No. 123(R) is similar to the approach described in SFAS No. 123. However, SFAS No. 123(R) requires all share-based payments to employees including grants of

36

employee stock options, to be recognized in the financial statements based on their fair values. Pro forma disclosure of the fair value of share-based payments is no longer an alternative to financial statement recognition. The Company has five stock-based employee compensation plans.

Prior to second quarter of fiscal 2007 the Company accounted for stock-based compensation using the intrinsic value method in accordance with Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," and related Interpretations ("APB No. 25") and adopted the disclosure provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 148, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation - Transition and Disclosure, an amendment of FASB Statement No. 123." Under APB No. 25, when the exercise price of the Company's employee stock options equals the market price of the underlying stock on the date of grant, no compensation expense is recognized. Accordingly, no compensation expense has been recognized in the consolidated financial statements in connection with employee stock option grants prior to fiscal 2007.

In May 2006, the compensation committee of the board of directors accelerated the vesting provision of all outstanding stock options and warrants so that they were fully vested at May 31, 2006, and as a result the Company expects that the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R) will not have an immediate material effect on its financial statements. However, as new stock options are issued by the Company this may have a material effect on its quarterly and annual financial statements, in the form of additional compensation expense. It is not possible to precisely determine the expense impact of adoption since a portion of the ultimate expense that is recorded will likely relate to awards that have not yet been granted. The expense associated with these future awards can only be determined based on factors such as the price for the Company's common stock, volatility of the Company's stock price and risk free interest rates as measured at the grant date. However, the pro forma disclosures related to SFAS No. 123 included in the Company's historic financial statements are relevant data points for gauging the potential level of expense that might be recorded in future periods.

For purposes of estimating the fair value of each option on the date of grant, the Company utilized the Black-Scholes option-pricing model.

The Black-Scholes option valuation model was developed for use in estimating the fair value of traded options, which have no vesting restrictions and are fully transferable. In addition, option valuation models require the input of highly subjective assumptions including the expected stock price volatility. Because the Company's employee stock options have characteristics significantly different from those of traded options and because changes in the subjective input assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimate, in management's opinion, the existing models do not necessarily provide a reliable measure of the fair value of its employee stock options.

Equity instruments issued to non-employees in exchange for goods, fees and services are accounted for under the fair value-based method of SFAS No. 123 (R).

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements Not Yet Effective

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 155, Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments--an amendment of FASB Statements No. 133 and 140, was issued in February 2006 and is effective for all financial instruments acquired or issued after the beginning of an entity's first fiscal year that begins after September 15, 2006. Certain parts of this Statement may be applied prior to the adoption of this Statement. Earlier adoption is permitted as of the beginning of an entity's fiscal year, provided the entity has not yet issued financial statements, including financial statements for any interim period for that fiscal year. Provisions of this Statement may be applied to instruments that an entity holds at the date of adoption on an instrument-by-instrument basis. The Company does not expect that SFAS 155 will have any significant effect on future financial statements.

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 156, Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets--an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140, pertains to the servicing of financial assets and was issued in March 2006 and should be adopted as of the beginning of its first fiscal year that begins after September 15, 2006. Earlier adoption is permitted as of the beginning of an entity's fiscal year, provided the entity has not yet issued financial statements, including interim financial statements, for any period of that fiscal year. The Company does not expect that SFAS 156 will have any significant effect on future financial statements.

37

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157, Fair Value Measurements. This Statement defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. This Statement applies under other accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements, the Board having previously concluded in those accounting pronouncements that fair value is the relevant measurement attribute. Accordingly, this Statement does not require any new fair value measurements. However, for some entities, the application of this Statement will change current practice. This Statement is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. Earlier application is encouraged, provided that the reporting entity has not yet issued financial statements for that fiscal year, including financial statements for an interim period within that fiscal year. The Company does not expect that SFAS 157 will have any significant effect on future financial statements.

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 158, Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans--an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106, and 132(R). This Statement requires employers to recognize the overfunded or underfunded status of a defined benefit postretirement plan (other than a multiemployer plan) as an asset or liability in its statement of financial position and to recognize changes in that funded status in the year in which the changes occur through comprehensive income of a business entity or changes in unrestricted net assets of a not-for-profit organization. This Statement also requires employers to measure the funded status of a plan as of the date of its year-end statement of financial position, with limited exceptions.

An employer with publicly traded equity securities is required to initially recognize the funded status of a defined benefit postretirement plan and to provide the required disclosures as of the end of the fiscal year ending after December 15, 2006.

An employer without publicly traded equity securities is required to recognize the funded status of a defined benefit postretirement plan and to provide the required disclosures as of the end of the fiscal year ending after June 15, 2007.

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities - Including an Amendment to FASB Statement No. 115. This Statement permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. The objective is to improve financial reporting by providing entities with the opportunity to mitigate volatility in reported earnings caused by measuring related assets and liabilities differently without having to apply complex hedge accounting provisions. This Statement is expected to expand the use of fair value measurement, which is consistent with the Board's long-term measurement objectives for accounting for financial instruments. This Statement is effective as of the beginning of an entity's first fiscal year that begins after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. Early adoption is permitted as of the beginning of a fiscal year that begins on or before November 15, 2007, provided the entity also elects to apply the provisions of FASB Statement No. 157, Fair Value Measurements. The Company does not expect that SFAS 159 will have any significant effect on future financial statements.

FASB Staff Position No. FIN 46(R)-6, Determining the Variability to Be Considered in Applying FASB Interpretation No. 46(R). This FASB Staff Position (FSP) addresses how a reporting enterprise should determine the variability to be considered in applying FASB Interpretation No. 46 (revised December 2003), Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities. The variability that is considered in applying Interpretation 46(R) affects the determination of (a) whether the entity is a variable interest entity (VIE), (b) which interests are variable interests¹ in the entity, and (c) which party, if any, is the primary beneficiary of the VIE. That variability will affect any calculation of expected losses and expected residual returns, if such a calculation is necessary. Retrospective application, if elected, must be completed no later than the end of the first annual reporting period ending after July 15, 2006. The Company does not expect that FIN 46(R)-6 will have any significant effect on future financial statements.

FASB Staff Position (FSP) No. FTB 85-4-1, Accounting for Life Settlement Contracts by Third-Party Investors, was posted in March 27, 2006 and is effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2006. It provides initial and subsequent measurement guidance and financial statement presentation and disclosure guidance for investments by third-party investors in life settlement contracts. This FSP also amends certain provisions of FASB Technical Bulletin No. 85-4, Accounting for Purchases of Life Insurance, and FASB Statement No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities. The Company does not expect that FSP 85-4-1 will have any significant effect on future financial statements.

38

FASB Staff Position (FSP) No. AUG AIR-1, Accounting for Planned Major Maintenance Activities, was posted on September 8, 2006 and is effective for the first fiscal year beginning after December 15, 2006. This FSP addresses the accounting for planned major maintenance activities and amends certain provisions in the AICPA Industry Audit Guide, Audits of Airlines (Airline Guide), and APB Opinion No. 28, Interim Financial Reporting. The Airline Guide permits four alternative methods of accounting for planned major maintenance activities: direct expense, built-in overhaul, deferral, and accrual (accrue-in-advance). Those methods are widely used by other industries. The FSP prohibits the use of the accrue-in-advance method. The Company does not expect that FSP No. AUG AIR-1 will have any significant effect on future financial statements.

FASB Staff Position No. FAS 126-1, Applicability of Certain Disclosure and Interim Reporting Requirements for Obligors for Conduit Debt Securities, was posted on October 25, 2006. This FASB Staff Position (FSP) clarifies the

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

definition of a public entity in certain accounting standards to include entities that are conduit bond obligors for conduit debt securities that are traded in a public market. The guidance in this FSP is to be applied prospectively in fiscal periods beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company does not expect that FAS 126-1 will have any significant effect on future financial statements.

The FASB issued Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes--an Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109 (FIN 48) in June 2006. This Interpretation primarily relates to tax positions taken or expected to be taken in a tax return and clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise's financial statements. Under this Interpretation the effects of a tax position would be recognized or derecognized depending on what outcome is more likely than not to occur with respect to the position. The Interpretation also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure, and transition. It requires that all tax positions be evaluated using the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold, and that the enterprise should presume that the position will be examined by the appropriate taxing authority that would have full knowledge of all relevant information for recognition, derecognition, and measurement using consistent criteria. Disclosures are required about the effect of unrecognized tax benefits related to tax positions as well as information about the nature of the uncertainties related to tax positions where it is reasonably possible that changes in the tax provision will occur in the next 12 months of this Interpretation will provide more information about the uncertainty in income tax assets and liabilities. This Interpretation is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. Earlier application of the provisions of this Interpretation is encouraged if the enterprise has not yet issued financial statements, including interim financial statements, in the period this Interpretation is adopted. The Company is currently evaluating whether the adoption of Interpretation No. 48 will have a material effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

EITF Issue 05-1, Accounting for the Conversion of an Instrument That Became Convertible upon the Issuer's Exercise of a Call Option. The Task Force reached a consensus that the issuance of equity securities to settle a debt instrument (pursuant to the instrument's original conversion terms) that became convertible upon the issuer's exercise of a call option should be accounted for as a conversion if the debt instrument contained a substantive conversion feature as of its issuance date, as defined herein. That is, no gain or loss should be recognized related to the equity securities issued to settle the instrument. The issuance of equity securities to settle a debt instrument that became convertible upon the issuer's exercise of a call option should be accounted for as a debt extinguishment if the debt instrument did not contain a substantive conversion feature as of its issuance date. That is, the fair value of the equity securities issued should be considered a component of the reacquisition price of the debt. This Issue applies to all conversions within the scope of this Issue that result from the exercise of call options and is effective in interim or annual reporting periods beginning after June 28, 2006 (the Board ratification date of the consensus), irrespective of whether the instrument was entered into prior or subsequent to Board ratification of this Issue. For instruments issued prior to the effective date of this consensus, the assessment as to whether a substantive conversion feature exists at issuance should be based only on assumptions, considerations, and/or marketplace information available as of the issuance date. The Company does not expect that pronouncement EITF Issue 05-1 will have any significant effect on future financial statements.

EITF Issue 06-1, Accounting for Consideration Given by a Service Provider to a Manufacturer or Reseller of Equipment Necessary for an End-Customer to Receive Service from the Service Provider. The Task Force reached a consensus

that if the consideration given by a service provider to a manufacturer or reseller (that is not a customer of the service provider) can be linked contractually to the benefit received by the service provider's customer, a service provider should use the guidance in Issue 01-9 to determine the characterization of the consideration (that is, "cash consideration" or "other than cash" consideration). Issue 01-9 presumes that an entity should characterize "cash consideration" as a reduction of revenue unless an entity meets the requirements of paragraph 9 of Issue 01-9. Under Issue 01-9, "other than cash" consideration should be characterized as an expense. In applying that guidance, the service provider should characterize the consideration given to a third-party manufacturer or reseller based on the form of consideration directed by the service provider to be provided to the service provider's customer. If the form of the consideration is directed to be anything other than "cash consideration" (as defined in Issue 01-9), then the form of the consideration should be characterized as "other than cash" consideration. If the service provider does not control the form of the consideration provided to the service provider's customer, the consideration should be characterized as "other than cash" consideration. In reaching this conclusion, Task Force members observed that consideration paid by a service provider that results in a customer receiving a reduced price on equipment purchased from a manufacturer or reseller should be characterized as "other than cash" consideration for purposes of applying Issue 01-9. The consensus in this Issue is effective for the first annual reporting period beginning after June 15, 2007. Earlier application is permitted for financial statements that have not yet been issued. Entities should recognize the effects of applying the consensus in this Issue as a change in accounting principle through retrospective application to all prior periods unless it is impracticable to do so. The Company does not expect that pronouncement EITF Issue 06-1 will have any significant effect on future financial statements.

EITF Issue 06-2, Accounting for Sabbatical Leave and Other Similar Benefits Pursuant to FASB Statement No. 43, "Accounting for Compensated Absences". An employer may provide its employees with sabbatical leave or other similar benefits. Sabbatical leave involves an employee receiving time off upon working at the employer for a specific period of time. When an employer provides sabbatical leave or another similar benefit, the employer must determine whether such benefit should be accrued based on the guidance in FASB Statement No. 43, Accounting for Compensated Absences. The Task Force reached a consensus that an employee's right to a compensated absence under a sabbatical or other similar benefit arrangement (a) that requires the completion of a minimum service period and (b) in which the benefit does not increase with additional years of service accumulates pursuant to Statement 43 for arrangements in which the individual continues to be a compensated employee and is not required to perform duties for the entity during the absence. Therefore, assuming all of the other conditions of Statement 43 are met, the compensation cost associated with a sabbatical or other similar benefit arrangement should be accrued over the requisite service period. This Issue should be effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. An entity should apply the consensus reached in this Issue through either (a) a change in accounting principle through a cumulative-effect adjustment to retained earnings or to other components of equity or net assets in the statement of financial position at the beginning of the year of adoption or (b) a change in accounting principle through retrospective application to all prior periods. Earlier adoption of this guidance is permitted as of the beginning of an entity's fiscal year provided that the entity has not yet issued financial statements, including interim financial statements, for any period of that fiscal year. The Company does not expect that pronouncement EITF Issue 06-2 will have any significant effect on future financial statements.

EITF Issue 06-3, How Sales Taxes Collected from Customers and Remitted to

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

Governmental Authorities Should Be Presented in the Income Statement (That Is, Gross Versus Net Presentation). The issue concerns whether various non-income taxes assessed by governmental authorities should be presented gross or net in an entity's income statement. Non-income taxes on which this question has arisen include sales tax, use tax, excise tax, value added tax, and various taxes related to specific industries (e.g., the severance tax in the oil and gas industry and the franchise tax in the cable industry). The Task Force reached a consensus that the scope of this Issue includes any tax assessed by a governmental authority that is directly imposed on a revenue-producing transaction between a seller and a customer and may include, but is not limited to, sales, use, value added, and some excise taxes. The Task Force also reached a consensus that the presentation of taxes on either a gross (included in revenues and costs) or a net (excluded from revenues) basis is an accounting policy decision that should be disclosed pursuant to Opinion 22. In addition, for any such taxes that are reported on a gross basis, a company should disclose the amounts of those taxes in interim and annual financial statements for each period for which an income statement is presented if those amounts are significant. The disclosure of those taxes can be done on an aggregate basis. The consensus in this Issue should be applied to financial reports for interim

40

and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2006. Earlier application is permitted. The Company does not expect that pronouncement EITF Issue 06-3 will have any significant effect on future financial statements.

EITF Issue 06-4, Accounting for Deferred Compensation and Postretirement Benefit Aspects of Endorsement Split-Dollar Life Insurance Arrangements. An endorsement split-dollar life insurance should be recognized as a liability for future benefits in accordance with Statement 106 (if, in substance, a postretirement benefit plan exists) or Opinion 12 (if the arrangement is, in substance, an individual deferred compensation contract) based on the substantive agreement with the employee. The consensus in this Issue is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2007, with earlier application permitted. The Company does not expect that pronouncement EITF Issue 06-4 will have any significant effect on future financial statements.

EITF Issue 06-5, Accounting for Purchases of Life Insurance--Determining the Amount That Could Be Realized in Accordance with FASB Technical Bulletin No. 85-4. A policyholder should consider any additional amounts included in the contractual terms of the policy in determining the amount that could be realized under the insurance contract. When it is probable (as is used in FASB Statement No. 5) that contractual terms would limit the amount that could be realized under the insurance contract, the Task Force agreed that these contractual limitations should be considered when determining the realizable amounts. Those amounts that are recoverable by the policyholder at the discretion of the insurance company should be excluded from the amount that could be realized under the insurance contract. The consensus in this Issue is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. Earlier application is permitted as of the beginning of a fiscal year for periods in which interim or annual financial statements have not yet been issued. The Company does not expect that pronouncement EITF Issue 06-5 will have any significant effect on future financial statements.

ITEM 7 - FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The consolidated financial statements listed in the accompanying Index to Consolidated Financial Statements are filed as part of this report.

ITEM 8 - CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

None.

ITEM 8A - CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

We carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-15. Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that as of the end of the period covered by this report our disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and are also effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including the principal executive and principal financial officers, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. During the fourth fiscal quarter, there has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 8B - OTHER INFORMATION

None.

41

PART III

ITEM 9 - DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

The information required by this Item will be included in our definitive Proxy Statement, which will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in connection with our 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 10 - EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required by this Item is intended to be included in our definitive Proxy Statement, which will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in connection with our 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 11 - SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The information required by this Item is intended to be included in our definitive Proxy Statement, which will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in connection with our 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 12 - CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

The information required by this Item is intended to be included in our definitive Proxy Statement, which will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in connection with our 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 13 - EXHIBITS

(a) Financial Statements and Financial Statement Schedules

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

(1) See Index to Consolidated Financial Statements on page F-1 at beginning of attached financial statements.

(b) Exhibits

- (3) (a) Restated Certificate of Incorporation (2)
- (b) By-Laws (1)
- (4) (a) Specimen Certificate for Common Stock (1)
- (b) Certificate of Designation of the Preferred Stock, Series A (3)
- (c) Certificate of Designation of the Preferred Stock, Series B (7)
- (d) Form of Rights Agreement dated as of March 9, 1995, between Registrant and American Stock Transfer & Trust Company (5)
- (e) Certificate of Designation of the Preferred Stock, Series C (8)
- (f) Certificate of Designation of the Preferred Stock, Series D (15)
- (g) Form of Stock Purchase Warrant (18)
- (10) (a) 1995 Stock Option Plan (6)
- (b) Outside Director Stock Option Plan (6)
- (c) Employment Agreement dated February 1, 1995, as amended March 12, 1998, and October 10, 2001, between Registrant and John C.K. Hui (4) (9) (13)
- (d) 1997 Stock Option Plan, as amended (10)
- (e) 1999 Stock Option Plan, as amended (11)
- (f) 2004 Stock Option/Stock Issuance Plan (12)
- (g) Credit Agreement dated February 21, 2002, between Registrant and Fleet National Bank (12)
- (h) Securities Purchase Agreement dated June 21, 2007 between Registrant and Kerns Manufacturing Corp. (14)
- (i) Form of Common Stock Purchase Warrant dated June 21, 2007 (14)
- (j) Registration Rights Agreement dated June 21, 2007 between Registrant, Kerns Manufacturing Corp. and Living Data Technology Corporation (14)
- (k) Purchase and Sale Agreement dated June 1, 2007 between 180 Linden Avenue Corp. and 180 Linden Realty LLC
- (l) Lease Agreement dated August 15, 2007 between 180 Linden Realty LLC and Registrant
- (21) Subsidiaries of the Registrant

42

Name	State of Incorporation	Percentage Owned by Co
----	-----	-----
Viromedics, Inc.	Delaware	61%
180 Linden Avenue Corp.	New York	100%
(23) Consent of Miller Ellin & Company, LLP		
(31) Certification Reports pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rule 13a - 14		
(32) Certification Reports pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002		

-
- (1) Incorporated by reference to Registration Statement on Form S-18, No. 33-24095.
 - (2) Incorporated by reference to Registration Statement on Form S-1, No. 33-46377 (effective 7/12/94).
 - (3) Incorporated by reference to Report on Form 8-K dated November 14,

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

- 1994.
- (4) Incorporated by reference to Report on Form 8-K dated January 24, 1995.
- (5) Incorporated by reference to Registration Statement on Form 8-A dated May 12, 1995.
- (6) Incorporated by reference to Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders dated December 5, 1995.
- (7) Incorporated by reference to Report on Form 8-K dated June 25, 1997.
- (8) Incorporated by reference to Report on Form 8-K dated April 30, 1998.
- (9) Incorporated by reference to Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended May 31, 1998.
- (10) Incorporated by reference to Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended May 31, 1999
- (11) Incorporated by reference to Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended May 31, 2000.
- (12) Incorporated by reference to Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders dated October 28, 2004.
- (13) Incorporated by reference to Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended May 31, 2002.
- (14) Incorporated by reference to Report on Form 8-K dated June 21, 2007.
- (15) Incorporated by reference to Report on Form 8-K dated July 19, 2005.

ITEM 14 - PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

The information required by this Item is intended to be included in our definitive Proxy Statement, which will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in connection with our 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, and is incorporated herein by reference.

43

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, we have duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized on the 23rd day of August, 2007.

VASOMEDICAL, INC.

By: /s/ John C.K. Hui

 John C.K. Hui
 President, Chief Executive Officer, and Director
 (Principal Executive Officer)

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below on August 23, 2007, by the following persons in the capacities indicated:

/s/ John C.K. Hui ----- John C.K. Hui	President, Chief Executive Officer, Chief Technology Officer and Director (Principal Executive Officer)
---	---

/s/ Abraham E. Cohen ----- Abraham E. Cohen	Chairman of the Board
---	-----------------------

/s/ Tricia Efstathiou ----- Tricia Efstathiou	Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)
---	---

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

/s/Photios T. Paulson ----- Photios T. Paulson	Director
/s/ Martin Zeiger ----- Martin Zeiger	Director
/s/ Simon Srybnik ----- Simon Srybnik	Director
/s/ Jun Ma ----- Jun Ma	Director
/s/ Behnam Movaseghi ----- Behnam Movaseghi	Director
/s/ Derek Enlander ----- Derek Enlander	Director

Vasomedical, Inc. and Subsidiaries

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Page

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-1
Financial Statements	
Consolidated Balance Sheet as of May 31, 2007	F-2
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended May 31, 2007 and 2006	F-3
Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for the years ended May 31, 2007 and 2006	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended May 31, 2007 and 2006	F-5 to F-
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-7 to F-

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Board of Directors and Shareholders

Vasomedical, Inc. and Subsidiaries

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of Vasomedical, Inc.

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

and Subsidiaries (the "Company") as of May 31, 2007 and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in stockholders' equity and cash flows for the years ended May 31, 2007 and 2006. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Vasomedical, Inc. and Subsidiaries as of May 31, 2007 and the consolidated results of their operations and their consolidated cash flow for the years ended May 31, 2007 and 2006 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the basic financial statements taken as a whole. The financial statement schedules, Schedule II, Valuation and Qualifying Accounts, are presented for the purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the basic financial statements. This schedule has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, are fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

/s/ Miller Ellin & Company, LLP
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

New York, New York
August 23, 2007

F - 1

Vasomedical, Inc. and Subsidiaries

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET
May 31, 2007

ASSETS

CURRENT ASSETS

Cash

Accounts receivable, net of an allowance for doubtful accounts of \$364,809

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

Inventories, net
Other current assets

Total current assets

PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT, net of accumulated depreciation of \$2,836,938
OTHER ASSETS

LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

CURRENT LIABILITIES

Accounts payable and accrued expenses
Current maturities of long-term debt and notes payable
Sales tax payable
Deferred revenue
Accrued director fees
Accrued warranty and customer support expenses
Accrued professional fees
Accrued commissions

Total current liabilities

LONG-TERM DEBT

DEFERRED REVENUE

COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

Preferred stock, \$.01 par value; 1,000,000 shares authorized; none issued and outstanding
Common stock, \$.001 par value; 110,000,000 shares authorized; 65,198,592 shares issued and outstanding
Additional paid-in capital
Accumulated deficit

Total stockholders' equity

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

F-2

Vasomedical, Inc. and Subsidiaries

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

	Years Ended 2007

Revenues	
Equipment sales	\$2,561,064
Equipment rentals and services	3,793,019

Total revenues	6,354,083

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

Cost of Sales and Services	
Cost of sales, equipment	1,457,279
Cost of equipment rentals and services	1,445,834

Total cost of sales and services	2,903,113

Gross profit	3,450,970
Operating Expenses	
Selling, general and administrative	4,051,570
Research and development	933,316
Provision for doubtful accounts	423

Total operating expenses	4,985,309

Loss from operations	(1,534,339)
Other Income (Expenses)	
Interest and financing costs	(69,767)
Interest and other income, net	53,648

Total other income (expenses)	(16,119)

Loss before income taxes	(1,550,458)
Income tax expense, net	(20,608)

Net Loss	(1,571,066)
Preferred stock dividend	--

Net Loss Attributable to Common Shareholders	\$(1,571,066)
	=====
Net loss per common share	
- basic	\$(0.02)
	=====
- diluted	\$(0.02)
	=====
Weighted average common shares outstanding	
- basic	65,198,592
	=====
- diluted	65,198,592
	=====

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

F-3

Vasomedical, Inc. and Subsidiaries

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

	Preferred Stock Share	Amount	Common Stock Shares	Amount	Additional Paid-in- Capital	Accumu- Defi
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Balance at May 31, 2005	--	--	58,552,688	\$58,552	\$51,450,639	\$(32,3

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

Issuance of Series D convertible preferred stock, net of costs	25,000	\$250			1,613,209	
Warrants issued in connection with the issuance of Series D convertible preferred stock issued					411,158	
Beneficial conversion feature embedded in Series D convertible preferred stock					786,247	
Dividends of Series D convertible preferred stock					(877,870)	
Issuance of common stock in connection with the conversion of Series D convertible preferred stock	(25,000)	(250)	6,112,209	6,112	(5,862)	
Issuance of common stock in payment of outside director fees			225,000	225	101,025	
Issuance of common stock in payment of a consulting fee			308,695	309	150,691	
Issuance of stock options in payment of a consulting fee					8,256	
Reserve for tax benefit of stock options and warrants exercised in prior years					(7,489,000)	
Net loss						(10,7
Balance at May 31, 2006	--	--	65,198,592	\$65,198	\$46,148,493	\$ (43,0
Issuance of stock options in payment of outside director fees					11,445	
Issuance of stock options in payment of salaries to company officers					6,060	
Net loss						(1,5
Balance at May 31, 2007	--	\$--	65,198,592	\$65,198	\$46,165,998	\$ (44,6

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this financial statement.

F-4

Vasomedical, Inc. and Subsidiaries

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

Years ended May
2007

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

Cash flows from operating activities		
Net loss	\$ (1,571,066)	\$ (10,000,000)
	-----	-----
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities		
Depreciation and amortization	315,269	
Provision for doubtful accounts, net of write-offs	(38,178)	
Reserve for excess and obsolete inventory	--	
Deferred income taxes	--	7,000
Common stock issued for services	--	
Stock options granted for services	17,505	
Changes in operating assets and liabilities		
Accounts receivable	147,805	
Inventories	606,580	
Other current assets	265,408	
Other assets	(1,072)	
Accounts payable, accrued expenses, deferred revenues - ST, and other current liabilities	(721,970)	(1,000,000)
Deferred revenues - LT and other liabilities	(253,575)	(1,000,000)
	-----	-----
	337,772	8,000
	-----	-----
Net cash used in operating activities	(1,233,294)	(2,000,000)
	-----	-----
Cash flows provided by (used in) investing activities		
Redemptions of certificates and deposit and treasury bills	--	1,000
Purchase of property and equipment	(10,593)	
	-----	-----
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(10,593)	1,000
	-----	-----
Cash flows provided by (used in) financing activities		
Payments on long term debt and notes payable	(291,603)	
Payments of preferred stock dividends	--	
Payments of preferred stock issue costs	--	
Proceeds from notes payable	--	
Proceeds from sale of convertible preferred stock	--	2,000
	-----	-----
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(291,603)	1,000
	-----	-----
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	(1,535,490)	1,000
	-----	-----
Cash and cash equivalents - beginning of year	2,385,778	
	-----	-----
Cash - end of year	\$850,288	\$2,000,000
	=====	=====

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

F-5

Vasomedical, Inc. and Subsidiaries

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS, CONTINUED

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

	Years ended May 31, 2007	2006
NON-CASH INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES WERE AS FOLLOWS:		
Inventories transferred (to)/from property and equipment, attributable to operating leases - net	\$(24,534)	\$190,776
Issue of note for purchase of insurance policy	\$192,120	\$302,052
Preferred stock dividends	\$--	\$786,247
Preferred issue costs	\$--	\$227,087
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES:		
Interest paid	\$69,767	\$81,662
Income taxes paid	\$10,079	\$22,923

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

F-6

Vasomedical, Inc. and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

May 31, 2007 and 2006

NOTE A - ORGANIZATION AND PLAN OF OPERATIONS

Vasomedical, Inc. was incorporated in Delaware in July 1987. Unless the context requires otherwise, all references to "we", "our", "us", "Company", "registrant", "Vasomedical" or "management" refer to Vasomedical Inc. and its subsidiaries. Since 1995, we have been primarily engaged in designing, manufacturing, marketing and supporting EECP(R) enhanced external counterpulsation systems based on our unique proprietary technology currently indicated for use in cases of stable or unstable angina (i.e., chest pain), congestive heart failure (CHF), acute myocardial infarction (i.e., heart attack, (MI)) and cardiogenic shock. The EECP(R) therapy system is a non-invasive, outpatient therapy for the treatment of diseases of the cardiovascular system. The therapy serves to increase circulation in areas of the heart with less than adequate blood supply and helps to restore systemic vascular function. The therapy also increases blood flow and oxygen supply to the heart muscle and other organs and decreases the heart's workload and need for oxygen, while also improving function of the endothelium, the lining of blood vessels throughout the body, lessening resistance to blood flow. We provide hospitals, clinics and physician private practices with EECP(R) equipment, treatment guidance, and a staff training and equipment maintenance program designed to provide optimal patient outcomes. EECP(R) is a registered trademark for Vasomedical's enhanced external counterpulsation systems. For more information visit www.vasomedical.com.

We have Food and Drug Administration (FDA) clearance to market our EECP(R) therapy for use in the treatment of stable and unstable angina, congestive heart failure, acute myocardial infarction, and cardiogenic shock, however, our current marketing efforts are limited to the treatment of chronic stable angina and congestive heart failure. Medicare and other third-party payers currently reimburse for the treatment of angina symptoms in patients with moderate to severe symptoms who are refractory to medications and not candidates for invasive procedures, including patients with serious comorbidities, such as

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

heart failure, diabetes, peripheral vascular disease, etc. Patients with primary diagnoses of heart failure, diabetes, peripheral vascular disease, etc. are also reimbursed under the same criteria, provided the primary indication for treatment with EECF(R) therapy is angina symptoms.

During the last two fiscal years ended May 31, 2007 and 2006 we incurred large operating losses. The Company has attempted to achieve profitability by reducing operating costs and halting the trend of declining revenue, to reduce cash usage through bringing its cost structure more into alignment with current revenues by engaging in restructurings during January 2006, March 2007 and April 2007 to substantially reduce personnel and spending on sales, marketing and development projects. In addition, the Company was seeking to obtain a strategic alliance within the sales and marketing areas and/or to raise additional capital through public or private equity or debt financings.

Subsequent to May 31, 2007 the following events took place which allowed us to raise additional capital through a private equity financing and by the sale of our facility under a leaseback agreement.

- o On June 21, 2007 we entered into a Securities Purchase Agreement with Kerns Manufacturing Corp. ("Kerns"). Concurrently with our entry into the Securities Purchase Agreement, we also entered into a Distribution Agreement and a Supplier Agreement with Living Data Technology Corporation, an affiliate of Kerns ("Living Data").

We sold to Kerns pursuant to the Securities Purchase Agreement, 21,428,572 shares of our common stock at \$.07 per share for an aggregate of \$1,500,000 as well a five-year warrant to purchase 4,285,714 shares of our common stock at an initial exercise price of \$.08 per share (the "Warrant"). We also have an option to sell an additional \$1 million of our common stock to Kerns. The agreement further provided for the appointment to our Board of Directors of two representatives of Kerns. In furtherance thereof, Mr. Jun Ma and Mr. Simon Srybnik, Chairman of both Kerns and Living Data, have been

F-7

Vasomedical, Inc. and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

May 31, 2007 and 2006

appointed members of our Board of Directors. Pursuant to the Distribution Agreement, we have become the exclusive distributor in the United States of the AngioNew ECP systems manufactured by Living Data. As additional consideration for such agreement, we agreed to issue an additional 6,990,840 shares of our common stock to Living Data. Pursuant to the Supplier Agreement, Living Data now will be the exclusive supplier to us of the ECP therapy systems that we market under the registered trademark EECF(R). The Distribution Agreement and the Supplier Agreement each have an initial term extending through May 31, 2012.

Pursuant to a Registration Rights Agreement, we granted to Kerns and Living Data, subject to certain restrictions, "piggyback registration rights" covering the shares sold to Kerns as well as the shares issuable upon exercise of the Warrant and the shares issued to Living Data.

- o On August 15, 2007 we sold our facility under a five-year leaseback

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

agreement for \$1.4 million. The net proceeds from the sale was approximately \$425,000 after payment in full of the two secured notes on our facility, brokers fees, closing costs, and the opening of a certificate of deposit in accordance with the provisions of the new lease.

NOTE B - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

A summary of the significant accounting policies consistently applied in the preparation of the consolidated financial statements follows:

Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications have been made to prior years' amounts to conform with the current year's presentation.

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company, its wholly-owned subsidiary and its inactive majority-owned subsidiary. Significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

Revenue Recognition

The Company recognizes revenue when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred or service has been rendered, the price is fixed or determinable and collectibility is reasonably assured. In the United States, we recognize revenue from the sale of our EECP(R) systems in the period in which we deliver the system to the customer. Revenue from the sale of our EECP(R) systems to international markets is recognized upon shipment, during the period in which we deliver the product to a common carrier, as are supplies, accessories and spare parts delivered to both domestic and international customers. Returns are accepted prior to the in-service and training subject to a 10% restocking charge or for normal warranty matters, and we are not obligated for post-sale upgrades to these systems. In addition, we use the installment method to record revenue based on cash receipts in situations where the account receivable is collected over an extended period of time and in our judgment the degree of collectibility is uncertain.

In most cases, revenue from domestic EECP(R) system sales is generated from multiple-element arrangements that require judgment in the areas of customer acceptance, collectibility, the separability of units of accounting, and the fair value of individual elements. Effective September 1, 2003, we adopted the provisions of Emerging Issues Task Force, or EITF, Issue No. 00-21, "Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables", ("EITF 00-21"), on a prospective basis. The principles and guidance outlined in EITF 00-21 provide a framework to determine (a) how the arrangement consideration should be measured (b) whether the arrangement should be divided into separate units of accounting, and (c) how the arrangement consideration should be allocated among the separate units of accounting. We determined that the domestic sale of our EECP(R) systems includes a combination of three elements that qualify as separate units of accounting:

- i. EECP(R) equipment sale,

F-8

Vasomedical, Inc. and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

May 31, 2007 and 2006

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

- ii. provision of in-service and training support consisting of equipment set-up and training provided at the customer's facilities, and
- iii. a service arrangement (usually one year), consisting of: service by factory-trained service representatives, material and labor costs, emergency and remedial service visits, software upgrades, technical phone support and preferred response times.

Each of these elements represent individual units of accounting as the delivered item has value to a customer on a stand-alone basis, objective and reliable evidence of fair value exists for undelivered items, and arrangements normally do not contain a general right of return relative to the delivered item. We determine fair value based on the price of the deliverable when it is sold separately or based on third-party evidence. In accordance with the guidance in EITF 00-21, we use the residual method to allocate the arrangement consideration when it does not have fair value of the EECP(R) system sale. Under the residual method, the amount of consideration allocated to the delivered item equals the total arrangement consideration less the aggregate fair value of the undelivered items. Assuming all other criteria for revenue recognition have been met, we recognize revenue for:

- i. EECP(R) equipment sales, when delivery and acceptance occurs based on delivery and acceptance documentation received from independent shipping companies or customers,
- ii. in-service and training, following documented completion of the training, and
- iii. the service arrangement, ratably over the service period, which is generally one year.

In-service and training generally occurs within a few weeks of shipment and our return policy states that no returns will be accepted after in-service and training has been completed. The amount related to in-service and training is recognized as service revenue at the time the in-service and training is completed and the amount related to service arrangements is recognized ratably as service revenue over the related service period, which is generally one year. Costs associated with the provision of in-service and training and the service arrangement, including salaries, benefits, travel, spare parts and equipment, are recognized in cost of equipment sales as incurred.

The Company also recognizes revenue generated from servicing EECP(R) systems that are no longer covered by the service arrangement, or by providing sites with additional training, in the period that these services are provided. Revenue related to future commitments under separately priced extended service agreements on our EECP(R) system are deferred and recognized ratably over the service period, generally ranging from one year to four years. Costs associated with the provision of service and maintenance, including salaries, benefits, travel, spare parts and equipment, are recognized in cost of sales as incurred. Amounts billed in excess of revenue recognized are included as deferred revenue in the consolidated balance sheets.

Revenues from the sale of EECP(R) systems through our international distributor network are generally covered by a one-year warranty period. For these customers we accrue a warranty reserve for estimated costs to provide warranty parts when the equipment sale is recognized.

The Company has also entered into lease agreements for our EECP(R) systems, generally for terms of one year or less, that are classified as operating leases. Revenues from operating leases are generally recognized, in accordance with the terms of the lease agreements, on a straight-line basis over the life of the respective leases. For certain operating leases in which payment terms are determined on a "fee-per-use" basis, revenues are recognized as incurred (i.e., as actual usage occurs). The cost of the EECP(R) system utilized under operating leases is recorded as a component of property and equipment and is amortized to cost of sales over the estimated useful life of the equipment, not

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

to exceed five years. There were no significant minimum rental commitments on these operating leases at May 31, 2007.

Accounts Receivable, Net

The Company's accounts receivable - trade are due from customers engaged in the provision of medical services. Credit is extended based on evaluation of a customer's financial condition and, generally, collateral is not required. Accounts receivable are generally due 30 to 90 days from shipment and are stated at amounts due from customers net of allowances for doubtful accounts, returns, term discounts and other allowances. Accounts that remain outstanding longer than the contractual payment terms are considered past due. Estimates are used

F-9

Vasomedical, Inc. and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

May 31, 2007 and 2006

in determining the allowance for doubtful accounts based on the Company's historical collections experience, current trends, credit policy and a percentage of its accounts receivable by aging category. In determining these percentages, we look at historical write-offs of our receivables. The Company also looks at the credit quality of its customer base as well as changes in its credit policies. The Company continuously monitors collections and payments from our customers. While credit losses have historically been within expectations and the provisions established, the Company cannot guarantee that it will continue to experience the same credit loss rates that it has in the past.

The changes in the Company's allowance for doubtful accounts are as follows:

	May 31, 2007
Beginning balance	\$410,691
(Reversal)/Provision for losses on accounts receivable	(7,421)
Direct write-offs, net of recoveries	(38,461)
Ending balance	\$364,809

Concentrations of Credit Risk

We market the EEC(R) system principally to hospitals and physician private practices. We perform credit evaluations of our customers' financial condition and, as a consequence, believe that our receivable credit risk exposure is limited. Receivables are generally due 30 to 90 days from shipment. For the year ended May 31, 2007 no customer accounted for 10% or more of revenues or accounts receivable.

Our revenues were derived from the following geographic areas:

Years Ended May 31,	
2007	2006

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

	-----	-----
Domestic (United States)	\$5,363,138	\$10,079,789
Non-domestic (foreign)	990,945	863,208
	-----	-----
	\$6,354,083	\$10,942,997
	=====	=====

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents represent cash and short-term, highly liquid investments in certificates of deposit, treasury bills, money market funds, and investment grade commercial paper issued by major corporations and financial institutions that generally have maturities of three months or less. Dividend and interest income are recognized when earned. The cost of securities sold is calculated using the specific identification method.

Certificates of Deposit

Included in this caption are all certificates of deposit that have original maturities of greater than three months. Realized and unrealized gains and losses and declines in value, if any, are included in earnings. Dividend and interest income are recognized when earned. The cost of securities sold is calculated using the specific identification method.

Inventories, net

The Company values inventory at the lower of cost or estimated market, cost being determined on a first-in, first-out basis. The Company often places EECP(R) systems at various field locations for demonstration, training, evaluation, and other similar purposes at no charge. The cost of these EECP(R) systems is transferred to property and equipment and is amortized over the next

F-10

Vasomedical, Inc. and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

May 31, 2007 and 2006

two to five years. The Company records the cost of refurbished components of EECP(R) systems and critical components at cost plus the cost of refurbishment. The Company regularly review inventory quantities on hand, particularly raw materials and components, and record a provision for excess and obsolete inventory based primarily on existing and anticipated design and engineering changes to its products as well as forecasts of future product demand.

Effective June 1, 2005, we adopted the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 151, "Inventory Costs", on a prospective basis. The statement clarifies that abnormal amounts of idle facility expense, freight, handling costs, and wasted materials (spoilage) should be recognized as current-period charges and requires the allocation of fixed production overheads to inventory based on the normal capacity of the production facilities. As a result of adopting SFAS No. 151, we absorbed approximately \$3,000 more in fixed production overhead into inventory during fiscal year 2007 and \$256,000 less in fixed production overhead into inventory during fiscal year 2006.

Property and Equipment

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

Property and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization. Major improvements are capitalized and minor replacements, maintenance and repairs are charged to expense as incurred. Upon retirement or disposal of assets, the cost and related accumulated depreciation are removed from the consolidated balance sheets. Depreciation is provided over the estimated useful lives of the assets, which range from two to thirty-nine years, on a straight-line basis. Accelerated methods of depreciation are used for tax purposes. We amortize leasehold improvements over the useful life of the related leasehold improvement or the life of the related lease, whichever is less. (See Note E)

Deferred Revenues

We record revenue on extended service contracts ratably over the term of the related warranty contracts. Effective September 1, 2003, we adopted the provisions of EITF 00-21. Upon adoption of the provisions of EITF 00-21 we began to defer revenue related to EEC(R) system sales for the fair value of installation and in-service training to the period when the services are rendered and for warranty obligations ratably over the service period, which is generally one year. (See Note F)

Warranty Costs

Equipment sold is generally covered by a warranty period of one year. Effective September 1, 2003, we adopted the provisions of EITF 00-21 on a prospective basis. Under EITF 00-21, for certain arrangements, a portion of the overall system price attributable to the first year service arrangement is deferred and recognized as revenue over the service period. As such, we do not accrue warranty costs upon delivery but rather recognize warranty and related service costs as incurred. Prior to September 1, 2003, we accrued a warranty reserve for estimated costs to provide warranty services when the equipment sale was recognized.

Equipment sold to international customers through our distributor network is generally covered by a one-year warranty period. For these customers we accrue a warranty reserve for estimated costs of providing a parts only warranty when the equipment sale is recognized.

The factors affecting our warranty liability includes the number of units sold and the historical and anticipated rates of claims and costs per claim. (See Note G)

Research and Development

Research and development costs attributable to development are expensed as incurred. Included in research and development costs is amortization expense related to the capitalized cost of EEC(R) systems under loan for clinical trials.

F-11

Vasomedical, Inc. and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

May 31, 2007 and 2006

Income Taxes

Deferred income taxes are recognized for temporary differences between financial statement and income tax bases of assets and liabilities and loss

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

carryforwards for which income tax benefits are expected to be realized in future years. A valuation allowance is established, when necessary, to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized. In estimating future tax consequences, we generally consider all expected future events other than an enactment of changes in the tax laws or rates. The deferred tax asset is continually evaluated for realizability. To the extent our judgment regarding the realization of the deferred tax assets changes, an adjustment to the allowance is recorded, with an offsetting increase or decrease, as appropriate, in income tax expense. Such adjustments are recorded in the period in which our estimate as to the realizability of the asset changed that it is "more likely than not" that all of the deferred tax assets will be realized. The "realizability" standard is subjective, and is based upon our estimate of a greater than 50% probability that our long range business plan can be realized.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are classified as current or non-current based on the classification of the related asset or liability for financial reporting. A deferred tax asset or liability that is not related to an asset or liability for financial reporting, including deferred tax assets related to carryforwards, are classified according to the expected reversal date of the temporary difference. The deferred tax asset the Company previously recorded, and then reversed fully in fiscal 2006, related primarily to the realization of net operating loss carryforwards, of which the allocation of the current portion, if any, reflected the expected utilization of such net operating losses for the following twelve months. Such allocation was based on the Company's internal financial forecast and may be subject to revision based upon actual results. (See Note L)

Shipping and Handling Costs

All shipping and handling expenses are incurred as a component of cost of sales. Amounts billed to customers related to shipping and handling costs are included as a component of sales.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable and accounts payable approximate fair value due to the short-term maturities of the instruments. The carrying amount of the financing receivables approximates fair value as the interest rates implicit in the leases approximate current market interest rates for similar financial instruments. The carrying amounts of notes payable approximates their fair value as the interest rates of these instruments approximate the interest rates available on instruments with similar terms and maturities.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Significant estimates and assumptions relate to estimates of collectibility of accounts receivable and financing receivables, the realizability of deferred tax assets, and the adequacy of inventory and warranty reserves. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Net Loss Per Common Share

Basic loss per share is based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding without consideration of potential common stock. Diluted loss per share is based on the weighted number of common and potential dilutive common shares outstanding. The calculation takes into account the shares that may be issued upon the exercise of stock options and warrants, reduced by the

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

shares that may be repurchased with the funds received from the exercise, based on the average price during the period.

F-12

Vasomedical, Inc. and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

May 31, 2007 and 2006

Stock-Based Employee Compensation

As of June 1, 2006 the Company has adopted Statement of Financial Standards No. 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment ("SFAS No. 123 (R)"), which is a revision of SFAS No. 123. SFAS No. 123 (R) supersedes APB Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and amends FASB Statement No. 95, Statement of Cash Flows. Generally, the approach to accounting for share-based payments in SFAS No. 123(R) is similar to the approach described in SFAS No. 123. However, SFAS No. 123(R) requires all share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, to be recognized in the financial statements based on their fair values. Pro forma disclosure of the fair value of share-based payments is no longer an alternative to financial statement recognition.

Prior to first quarter of fiscal 2007 the Company accounted for stock-based compensation using the intrinsic value method in accordance with Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," and related Interpretations ("APB No. 25") and adopted the disclosure provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 148, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation - Transition and Disclosure, an amendment of FASB Statement No. 123." Under APB No. 25, when the exercise price of the Company's employee stock options equals the market price of the underlying stock on the date of grant, no compensation expense is recognized. Accordingly, no compensation expense has been recognized in the consolidated financial statements in connection with employee stock option grants prior to fiscal 2007.

The following table illustrates the effect on net income and earnings per share had we applied the fair value recognition provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," to stock-based employee compensation.

	May 31, 2006

Net loss attributable to common shareholders, as reported	\$(11,579,011)
Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value-based method for all awards	(2,173,828)

Pro forma net loss	\$(13,752,839)
	=====
Loss per share:	
Basic and diluted - as reported	\$(0.19)
	=====
Basic and diluted - pro forma	\$(0.22)
	=====

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

During the twelve-month period ended May 31, 2007, the Board of Directors granted non-qualified stock options under the 1997 Stock Option/Stock Issuance Plan to one director to purchase an aggregate of 150,000 shares of common stock, at an exercise price of \$.09 per share, and granted non-qualified stock options under the 1999 Stock Option/Stock Issuance Plan to three directors to purchase an aggregate of 450,000 shares of common stock, at an exercise price of \$.09 per share, and granted non-qualified stock options under the 2004 Stock Option/Stock Issuance Plan to one officer to purchase an aggregate of 200,000 shares of common stock, at an exercise price of \$.11 per share, which represented the fair market value of the underlying common stock at the time of the respective grants. These options vest over a two-year period, and expire ten years from the date of grant.

Stock-based compensation expense recognized under SFAS 123(R) for the fiscal year ended May 31, 2007 was \$17,505, which comprised the fair value of the stock options issued during the year. For purposes of estimating the fair value of each option on the date of grant, the Company utilized the Black-Scholes option-pricing model.

The Black-Scholes option valuation model was developed for use in estimating the fair value of traded options, which have no vesting restrictions and are fully transferable. In addition, option valuation models require the

F-13

Vasomedical, Inc. and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

May 31, 2007 and 2006

input of highly subjective assumptions including the expected stock price volatility. Because the Company's employee stock options have characteristics significantly different from those of traded options and because changes in the subjective input assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimate, in management's opinion, the existing models do not necessarily provide a reliable single measure of the fair value of its employee stock options.

The fair value of the Company's stock-based awards were estimated using the following weighted-average assumptions:

	Years Ended May 31,	
	2007	2006
	-----	-----
Expected life (years)	5	5
Expected volatility	99%	83%
Risk-free interest rate	4.5%	4.5%
Expected dividend yield	0.0%	0.0%

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements Not Yet Effective

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 155, Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments--an amendment of FASB Statements No. 133 and 140, was issued in February 2006 and is effective for all financial instruments acquired or issued after the beginning of an entity's first fiscal year that begins after September 15, 2006. Certain parts of this Statement may be applied

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

prior to the adoption of this Statement. Earlier adoption is permitted as of the beginning of an entity's fiscal year, provided the entity has not yet issued financial statements, including financial statements for any interim period for that fiscal year. Provisions of this Statement may be applied to instruments that an entity holds at the date of adoption on an instrument-by-instrument basis. The Company does not expect that SFAS 155 will have any significant effect on future financial statements.

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 156, Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets--an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140, pertains to the servicing of financial assets and was issued in March 2006 and should be adopted as of the beginning of its first fiscal year that begins after September 15, 2006. Earlier adoption is permitted as of the beginning of an entity's fiscal year, provided the entity has not yet issued financial statements, including interim financial statements, for any period of that fiscal year. The Company does not expect that SFAS 156 will have any significant effect on future financial statements.

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157, Fair Value Measurements. This Statement defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. This Statement applies under other accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements, the Board having previously concluded in those accounting pronouncements that fair value is the relevant measurement attribute. Accordingly, this Statement does not require any new fair value measurements. However, for some entities, the application of this Statement will change current practice. This Statement is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. Earlier application is encouraged, provided that the reporting entity has not yet issued financial statements for that fiscal year, including financial statements for an interim period within that fiscal year. The Company does not expect that SFAS 157 will have any significant effect on future financial statements.

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 158, Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans--an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106, and 132(R). This Statement requires employers to recognize the overfunded or underfunded status of a defined benefit postretirement plan (other than a multiemployer plan) as an asset or liability in its statement of financial position and to recognize changes in that funded status in the year in which the changes occur through comprehensive income of a business entity or changes in unrestricted net assets of a not-for-profit

F-14

Vasomedical, Inc. and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

May 31, 2007 and 2006

organization. This Statement also requires employers to measure the funded status of a plan as of the date of its year-end statement of financial position, with limited exceptions.

An employer with publicly traded equity securities is required to initially recognize the funded status of a defined benefit postretirement plan and to provide the required disclosures as of the end of the fiscal year ending after December 15, 2006.

An employer without publicly traded equity securities is required to

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

recognize the funded status of a defined benefit postretirement plan and to provide the required disclosures as of the end of the fiscal year ending after June 15, 2007.

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities - Including an Amendment to FASB Statement No. 115. This Statement permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. The objective is to improve financial reporting by providing entities with the opportunity to mitigate volatility in reported earnings caused by measuring related assets and liabilities differently without having to apply complex hedge accounting provisions. This Statement is expected to expand the use of fair value measurement, which is consistent with the Board's long-term measurement objectives for accounting for financial instruments. This Statement is effective as of the beginning of an entity's first fiscal year that begins after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. Early adoption is permitted as of the beginning of a fiscal year that begins on or before November 15, 2007, provided the entity also elects to apply the provisions of FASB Statement No. 157, Fair Value Measurements. The Company does not expect that SFAS 159 will have any significant effect on future financial statements.

FASB Staff Position No. FIN 46(R)-6, Determining the Variability to Be Considered in Applying FASB Interpretation No. 46(R). This FASB Staff Position (FSP) addresses how a reporting enterprise should determine the variability to be considered in applying FASB Interpretation No. 46(revised December 2003), Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities. The variability that is considered in applying Interpretation 46(R) affects the determination of (a) whether the entity is a variable interest entity (VIE), (b) which interests are variable interests¹ in the entity, and (c) which party, if any, is the primary beneficiary of the VIE. That variability will affect any calculation of expected losses and expected residual returns, if such a calculation is necessary. Retrospective application, if elected, must be completed no later than the end of the first annual reporting period ending after July 15, 2006. The Company does not expect that FIN 46(R)-6will have any significant effect on future financial statements.

FASB Staff Position (FSP) No. FTB 85-4-1, Accounting for Life Settlement Contracts by Third-Party Investors, was posted in March 27, 2006 and is effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2006. It provides initial and subsequent measurement guidance and financial statement presentation and disclosure guidance for investments by third-party investors in life settlement contracts. This FSP also amends certain provisions of FASB Technical Bulletin No. 85-4, Accounting for Purchases of Life Insurance, and FASB Statement No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities. The Company does not expect that FSP 85-4-1 will have any significant effect on future financial statements.

FASB Staff Position (FSP) No. AUG AIR-1, Accounting for Planned Major Maintenance Activities, was posted on September 8, 2006 and is effective for the first fiscal year beginning after December 15, 2006. This FSP addresses the accounting for planned major maintenance activities and amends certain provisions in the AICPA Industry Audit Guide, Audits of Airlines (Airline Guide), and APB Opinion No. 28, Interim Financial Reporting. The Airline Guide permits four alternative methods of accounting for planned major maintenance activities: direct expense, built-in overhaul, deferral, and accrual (accrue-in-advance). Those methods are widely used by other industries. The FSP prohibits the use of the accrue-in-advance method. The Company does not expect that FSP No. AUG AIR-1 will have any significant effect on future financial statements.

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

Vasomedical, Inc. and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

May 31, 2007 and 2006

FASB Staff Position No. FAS 126-1, Applicability of Certain Disclosure and Interim Reporting Requirements for Obligors for Conduit Debt Securities, was posted on October 25, 2006. This FASB Staff Position (FSP) clarifies the definition of a public entity in certain accounting standards to include entities that are conduit bond obligors for conduit debt securities that are traded in a public market. The guidance in this FSP is to be applied prospectively in fiscal periods beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company does not expect that FAS 126-1 will have any significant effect on future financial statements.

The FASB issued Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes--an Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109 (FIN 48) in June 2006. This Interpretation primarily relates to tax positions taken or expected to be taken in a tax return and clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise's financial statements. Under this Interpretation the effects of a tax position would be recognized or derecognized depending on what outcome is more likely than not to occur with respect to the position. The Interpretation also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure, and transition. It requires that all tax positions be evaluated using the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold, and that the enterprise should presume that the position will be examined by the appropriate taxing authority that would have full knowledge of all relevant information for recognition, derecognition, and measurement using consistent criteria. Disclosures are required about the effect of unrecognized tax benefits related to tax positions as well as information about the nature of the uncertainties related to tax positions where it is reasonably possible that changes in the tax provision will occur in the next 12 months of this Interpretation will provide more information about the uncertainty in income tax assets and liabilities. This Interpretation is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. Earlier application of the provisions of this Interpretation is encouraged if the enterprise has not yet issued financial statements, including interim financial statements, in the period this Interpretation is adopted. The Company is currently evaluating whether the adoption of Interpretation No. 48 will have a material effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

EITF Issue 05-1, Accounting for the Conversion of an Instrument That Became Convertible upon the Issuer's Exercise of a Call Option. The Task Force reached a consensus that the issuance of equity securities to settle a debt instrument (pursuant to the instrument's original conversion terms) that became convertible upon the issuer's exercise of a call option should be accounted for as a conversion if the debt instrument contained a substantive conversion feature as of its issuance date, as defined herein. That is, no gain or loss should be recognized related to the equity securities issued to settle the instrument. The issuance of equity securities to settle a debt instrument that became convertible upon the issuer's exercise of a call option should be accounted for as a debt extinguishment if the debt instrument did not contain a substantive conversion feature as of its issuance date. That is, the fair value of the equity securities issued should be considered a component of the reacquisition price of the debt. This Issue applies to all conversions within the scope of this Issue that result from the exercise of call options and is effective in interim or annual reporting periods beginning after June 28, 2006 (the Board ratification date of the consensus), irrespective of whether the instrument was

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

entered into prior or subsequent to Board ratification of this Issue. For instruments issued prior to the effective date of this consensus, the assessment as to whether a substantive conversion feature exists at issuance should be based only on assumptions, considerations, and/or marketplace information available as of the issuance date. The Company does not expect that pronouncement EITF Issue 05-1 will have any significant effect on future financial statements.

EITF Issue 06-1, Accounting for Consideration Given by a Service Provider to a Manufacturer or Reseller of Equipment Necessary for an End-Customer to Receive Service from the Service Provider. The Task Force reached a consensus that if the consideration given by a service provider to a manufacturer or reseller (that is not a customer of the service provider) can be linked contractually to the benefit received by the service provider's customer, a service provider should use the guidance in Issue 01-9 to determine the characterization of the consideration (that is, "cash consideration" or "other than cash" consideration). Issue 01-9 presumes that an entity should characterize "cash consideration" as a reduction of revenue unless an entity meets the requirements of paragraph 9 of Issue 01-9. Under Issue 01-9, "other than cash" consideration should be characterized as an expense. In applying that guidance, the service provider should characterize the consideration given to a third-party manufacturer or reseller based on the form of consideration directed by the service provider to be provided to the service provider's customer. If the form of the consideration is directed to be anything other than "cash

F-16

Vasomedical, Inc. and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

May 31, 2007 and 2006

consideration" (as defined in Issue 01-9), then the form of the consideration should be characterized as "other than cash" consideration. If the service provider does not control the form of the consideration provided to the service provider's customer, the consideration should be characterized as "other than cash" consideration. In reaching this conclusion, Task Force members observed that consideration paid by a service provider that results in a customer receiving a reduced price on equipment purchased from a manufacturer or reseller should be characterized as "other than cash" consideration for purposes of applying Issue 01-9. The consensus in this Issue is effective for the first annual reporting period beginning after June 15, 2007. Earlier application is permitted for financial statements that have not yet been issued. Entities should recognize the effects of applying the consensus in this Issue as a change in accounting principle through retrospective application to all prior periods unless it is impracticable to do so. The Company does not expect that pronouncement EITF Issue 06-1 will have any significant effect on future financial statements.

EITF Issue 06-2, Accounting for Sabbatical Leave and Other Similar Benefits Pursuant to FASB Statement No. 43, "Accounting for Compensated Absences". An employer may provide its employees with sabbatical leave or other similar benefits. Sabbatical leave involves an employee receiving time off upon working at the employer for a specific period of time. When an employer provides sabbatical leave or another similar benefit, the employer must determine whether such benefit should be accrued based on the guidance in FASB Statement No. 43, Accounting for Compensated Absences. The Task Force reached a consensus that an employee's right to a compensated absence under a sabbatical or other similar benefit arrangement (a) that requires the completion of a minimum service period and (b) in which the benefit does not increase with additional years of service

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

accumulates pursuant to Statement 43 for arrangements in which the individual continues to be a compensated employee and is not required to perform duties for the entity during the absence. Therefore, assuming all of the other conditions of Statement 43 are met, the compensation cost associated with a sabbatical or other similar benefit arrangement should be accrued over the requisite service period. This Issue should be effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. An entity should apply the consensus reached in this Issue through either (a) a change in accounting principle through a cumulative-effect adjustment to retained earnings or to other components of equity or net assets in the statement of financial position at the beginning of the year of adoption or (b) a change in accounting principle through retrospective application to all prior periods. Earlier adoption of this guidance is permitted as of the beginning of an entity's fiscal year provided that the entity has not yet issued financial statements, including interim financial statements, for any period of that fiscal year. The Company does not expect that pronouncement EITF Issue 06-2 will have any significant effect on future financial statements.

EITF Issue 06-3, How Sales Taxes Collected from Customers and Remitted to Governmental Authorities Should Be Presented in the Income Statement (That Is, Gross Versus Net Presentation). The issue concerns whether various non-income taxes assessed by governmental authorities should be presented gross or net in an entity's income statement. Non-income taxes on which this question has arisen include sales tax, use tax, excise tax, value added tax, and various taxes related to specific industries (e.g., the severance tax in the oil and gas industry and the franchise tax in the cable industry). The Task Force reached a consensus that the scope of this Issue includes any tax assessed by a governmental authority that is directly imposed on a revenue-producing transaction between a seller and a customer and may include, but is not limited to, sales, use, value added, and some excise taxes. The Task Force also reached a consensus that the presentation of taxes on either a gross (included in revenues and costs) or a net (excluded from revenues) basis is an accounting policy decision that should be disclosed pursuant to Opinion 22. In addition, for any such taxes that are reported on a gross basis, a company should disclose the amounts of those taxes in interim and annual financial statements for each period for which an income statement is presented if those amounts are significant. The disclosure of those taxes can be done on an aggregate basis. The consensuses in this Issue should be applied to financial reports for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2006. Earlier application is permitted. The Company does not expect that pronouncement EITF Issue 06-3 will have any significant effect on future financial statements.

EITF Issue 06-4, Accounting for Deferred Compensation and Postretirement Benefit Aspects of Endorsement Split-Dollar Life Insurance Arrangements. An endorsement split-dollar life insurance should be recognized as a liability for future benefits in accordance with Statement 106 (if, in substance, a postretirement benefit plan exists) or Opinion 12 (if the arrangement is, in

F-17

Vasomedical, Inc. and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

May 31, 2007 and 2006

substance, an individual deferred compensation contract) based on the substantive agreement with the employee. The consensus in this Issue is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2007, with earlier application permitted. The Company does not expect that pronouncement EITF Issue 06-4 will have any significant effect on future financial statements.

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

EITF Issue 06-5, Accounting for Purchases of Life Insurance--Determining the Amount That Could Be Realized in Accordance with FASB Technical Bulletin No. 85-4. A policyholder should consider any additional amounts included in the contractual terms of the policy in determining the amount that could be realized under the insurance contract. When it is probable (as is used in FASB Statement No. 5) that contractual terms would limit the amount that could be realized under the insurance contract, the Task Force agreed that these contractual limitations should be considered when determining the realizable amounts. Those amounts that are recoverable by the policyholder at the discretion of the insurance company should be excluded from the amount that could be realized under the insurance contract. The consensus in this Issue is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. Earlier application is permitted as of the beginning of a fiscal year for periods in which interim or annual financial statements have not yet been issued. The Company does not expect that pronouncement EITF Issue 06-5 will have any significant effect on future financial statements.

NOTE C - LOSS PER COMMON SHARE

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted loss per share:

	Years Ended May 31,	
	2007	2006
Numerator:		
Net loss	\$(1,571,066)	\$(11,579,011)
Denominator:		
Basic - weighted average shares	65,198,592	61,351,323
Stock options	--	--
Warrants	--	--
Diluted - weighted average shares	65,198,592	61,351,323
Loss per share - basic	\$(0.02)	\$(0.19)
- diluted	\$(0.02)	\$(0.19)

Options and warrants to purchase 8,846,876 and 10,466,613 shares of common stock were excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share for the years ended May 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively, because the effect of their inclusion would be antidilutive.

NOTE D - INVENTORIES, NET

Inventories, net consist of the following:

	May 31, 2007
Raw materials	\$794,188
Work in process	915,744
Finished goods	407,695
	\$2,117,627

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

=====

At May 31, 2007 the Company has recorded reserves for excess and obsolete inventory of \$677,166.

F-18

Vasomedical, Inc. and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

May 31, 2007 and 2006

NOTE E - PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

Property and equipment is summarized as follows:

	May 31, 2007

Land	\$ 200,000
Building and improvements	1,394,569
Office, laboratory and other equipment	1,436,360
EECP(R) systems under operating leases or under loan for clinical trials	813,020
Furniture and fixtures	162,066
Leasehold improvements	117,803

	4,123,818

Property and equipment - net	\$1,286,880
	=====

NOTE F - DEFERRED REVENUE

The changes in the Company's deferred revenues are as follows:

	May 31, 2007

Deferred revenue at beginning of year	\$2,322,588
Additions	
Deferred extended service contracts	1,977,341
Deferred in-service and training	45,000
Deferred service contract promotion	9,300
Deferred service arrangement obligations	143,000
Recognized as revenue	
Deferred extended service contracts	(2,450,010)
Deferred in-service and training	(47,500)
Deferred service contract promotion	(2,700)
Deferred service arrangement obligations	(240,667)

Deferred revenue at end of year	1,756,352
Less: current portion	(1,286,726)

Long-term deferred revenue at end of year	\$469,626
	=====

NOTE G - WARRANTY LIABILITY

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

The changes in the Company's product warranty liability are as follows:

	May 31, 2007
Warranty liability at the beginning of the year	\$32,000
Expense for new warranties issued	42,000
Warranty claims	(58,250)

Warranty liability at the end of the year	15,750

Long-term warranty liability at the end of the year	\$ --

F-19

Vasomedical, Inc. and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

May 31, 2007 and 2006

NOTE H - LONG-TERM DEBT

Long-term debt consists of the following:

	May 31, 2007
Facility loans (a)	\$851,015
Term loans (b)	--

	851,015
Less: current portion	(65,769)
	\$785,246

Maturities of long-term debt are as follows at May 31, 2007:

Fiscal Year	Amount
2008	\$65,769
2009	70,524
2010	75,629
2011	81,110
2012	86,995
Thereafter	470,988
	\$851,015

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

NOTE I - SERIES D PREFERRED STOCK AND WARRANTS

On July 19, 2005, we entered into a Securities Purchase Agreement that provided us with gross proceeds of \$2.5 million through a private placement of preferred stock with M.A.G. Capital, LLC through its designated funds, Monarch Pointe Fund Ltd., Mercator Momentum Fund III, LP, and Mercator Momentum Fund, LP (the "Investors"). The agreement provided for a private placement of 25,000 shares of Vasomedical's Series D Preferred Stock at \$100 per share. The preferred stock was convertible into shares of Vasomedical's common stock at 85 percent of the volume weighted average price per share for the five trading days preceding any conversion, but not at more than \$0.6606 or less than \$0.40 per share. The Investors also acquired warrants for the purchase of 1,892,219 shares of common stock. The warrants may be exercised at a price of \$0.69 per share for a term of five years, ending July 19, 2010. As of February 28, 2006, all of the Series D Preferred Stock had been converted into 6,112,209 shares of common stock.

Under the terms of a Registration Rights Agreement with the Investors, Vasomedical filed a Form S-3 registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) on August 22, 2005, for 10,787,871 shares of common stock representing up to 8,533,333 shares issuable in connection with conversion of our Series D Convertible Preferred Stock and up to 2,254,538 shares issuable upon the exercise of our common stock purchase warrants. The SEC declared the registration statement effective on September 1, 2005. The total number of

F-20

Vasomedical, Inc. and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

May 31, 2007 and 2006

shares registered was based on a conversion price of \$0.30 per share, which would only have an affect in the event of default by Vasomedical of its obligation to holders of the Series D Convertible Preferred Stock.

These securities were offered and sold to the Investors in a private placement transaction made in reliance upon exemptions from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933. The Investors are accredited investors as defined in Rule 501 of Regulation D promulgated under the Securities Act of 1933. Vasomedical applied the funds to working capital.

Warrants and Beneficial Conversion Feature

The Company applied Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 98-5 "Accounting for Convertible Securities with Beneficial Conversion Features or Contingently Adjustable Conversion Ratios" (EITF No. 98-5) and Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF 00-27) Application of Issue No. 98-5 to Certain Convertible Instruments in accounting for the preferred stock issuance. EITF No. 98-5 provides that detachable warrants issued with convertible securities are valued separately, and that the beneficial conversion feature of the convertible security be measured and recognized over the minimum period over which the shareholders can realize the return.

The Task Force reached a consensus that convertible preferred securities with a non-detachable conversion feature that is in-the-money at the commitment date represents an embedded beneficial conversion feature that should be recognized as a dividend and recorded to additional paid-in capital. That amount should be calculated at the commitment date as the difference between the allocated portion of the gross proceeds to the convertible preferred stock and

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

the fair value of the common stock or other securities into which the security is convertible, multiplied by the number of shares into which the security is convertible (intrinsic value method).

The beneficial conversion feature is treated analogous to a dividend and is recognizable immediately over the minimum period during which the preferred shareholders can realize that return. The imputed dividend will increase the Company's loss for the purpose of computing the loss-per-share applicable to common shareholders. The beneficial conversion feature is calculated at its intrinsic value at the commitment date (that is, the difference between the total gross proceeds allocated to the preferred stock as compared to the total market value of the common stock into which the Preferred Stock is convertible on the commitment date). The computed value of the beneficial conversion feature is treated as a deemed dividend immediately with a corresponding increase to paid-in capital. No additional amount will be recognized at the conversion date in recognition of an increase in the fair value of the stock conversion.

In circumstances in which convertible securities are issued with detachable warrants, the Task Force noted that in order to determine the amount to be allocated to the beneficial conversion feature, the issuer must first allocate the proceeds between the convertible instrument and the detachable warrants using the relative fair value method of APB Opinion Number 14.

The investors and consultants acquired detachable warrants for the purchase of 1,892,219 and 362,319 shares of common stock, respectively, which were valued at \$345,071 and \$66,087, respectively. The warrants may be exercised at a price of \$0.69 per share for a term of five years, ending July 18, 2010. For purposes of estimating the intrinsic fair value of these warrants as of July 19, 2005, we utilized the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. We estimated the fair value of the warrants using the following weighted-average assumptions:

Expected life (years)	2.5
Expected volatility	66%
Risk-free interest rate	4.16%
Expected dividend yield	0.0%

F-21

Vasomedical, Inc. and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

May 31, 2007 and 2006

We determined the intrinsic fair value of the convertible preferred stock as of July 19, 2005, to be \$2,941,176 based on the number of common shares that could be acquired as of the date of closing times \$0.63, the closing price of the common stock on the date preceding the close of the transaction. In applying EITF No. 98-5, we then allocated the gross proceeds of \$2,500,000 between the warrants and preferred stock based on intrinsic value of each instrument. As a result, we allocated \$2,154,929 of gross proceeds to the convertible preferred stock and \$345,071 to the detachable warrants. The beneficial conversion feature of \$786,247 was then determined by subtracting the allocated proceeds of convertible preferred stock from the intrinsic fair value of convertible preferred stock. The beneficial conversion feature was immediately recognized as a preferred stock dividend, as the preferred stock can be converted immediately.

Dividends

By the placement of the convertible preferred stock described above, we

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

became obligated to pay a cash dividend monthly on the outstanding shares of convertible preferred stock. The dividend rate was the higher of (i) the prime rate as reported by the Wall Street Journal on the first day of the month, plus three percent or, (ii) 8.5% times \$100 per share, but in no event greater than 10% annually. For the fiscal year ended May 31, 2006, cash dividends of \$91,623 were paid. Preferred stock dividends for the fiscal 2006 are summarized as follows:

	Amount
Cash dividends paid	\$91,623
Beneficial conversion feature	786,247
	\$877,870
	=====

Common stock

The Company issued 200,000 shares of common stock in lieu of cash for \$126,000 in consultant services associated with the issuance of the Series D Convertible Preferred Stock. These issue costs were treated as a reduction in the paid-in capital associated with the preferred stock issuance.

NOTE J - STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY AND WARRANTS

On July 19, 2005, we granted warrants for the purchase of 2,254,538 shares of common stock to investors and consultants associated with the issuance of Series D Preferred Stock, (See Note I). The warrants may be exercised at a price of \$0.69 per share for a term of five years, ending July 19, 2010. The remaining 200,000 warrants expired in October 2006.

Warrant activity for the years ended May 31, 2006 and 2007 is summarized as follows:

	Employees	Consultants	Total
Balance at May 31, 2005	--	200,000	200,000
Warrants granted		2,254,538	2,254,538
Balance at May 31, 2006	--	2,454,538	2,454,538
Warrants expired	--	(200,000)	(200,000)
Balance at May 31, 2007	--	2,254,538	2,254,538
Number of shares exercisable	--	2,254,538	2,254,538
	=====	=====	=====

NOTE K - OPTION PLANS

F-22

Vasomedical, Inc. and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

May 31, 2007 and 2006

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

1995 Stock Option Plan

In May 1995, the Company's stockholders approved the 1995 Stock Option Plan for officers and employees of the Company, for which the Company reserved an aggregate of 1,500,000 shares of common stock. In December 1997, the Company's Board of Directors terminated the 1995 Stock Option Plan with respect to new option grants.

In fiscal 2006, options to purchase 155,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$3.44 under the 1995 Stock Option Plan were retired or cancelled.

In fiscal 2007, options to purchase 286,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$3.43750 under the 1995 Stock Option Plan were retired or cancelled.

Outside Director Stock Option Plan

In May 1995, the Company's stockholders approved an Outside Director Stock Option Plan (the "OD Plan") for non-employee directors of the Company, for which the Company reserved an aggregate of 300,000 shares of common stock. In December 1997, the Company's Board of Directors terminated the OD Plan with respect to new option grants.

In fiscal 2006, options to purchase 155,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$2.21 under the OD Plan were retired unexercised.

In fiscal 2007, options to purchase 28,250 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$1.77 under the OD Plan were retired unexercised.

1997 Stock Option Plan

In December 1997, the Company's stockholders approved the 1997 Stock Option Plan (the "1997 Plan") for officers, directors, employees and consultants of the Company, for which the Company has reserved an aggregate of 1,800,000 shares of common stock. The 1997 Plan provides that a committee of the Board of Directors of the Company will administer it and that the committee will have full authority to determine the identity of the recipients of the options and the number of shares subject to each option. Options granted under the 1997 Plan may be either incentive stock options or non-qualified stock options. The option price shall be 100% of the fair market value of the common stock on the date of the grant (or in the case of incentive stock options granted to any individual principal stockholder who owns stock possessing more than 10% of the total combined voting power of all voting stock of the Company, 110% of such fair market value). The term of any option may be fixed by the committee but in no event shall exceed ten years from the date of grant. Options are exercisable upon payment in full of the exercise price, either in cash or in common stock valued at fair market value on the date of exercise of the option. The term for which options may be granted under the 1997 Plan expires August 6, 2007.

In January 1999, the Company's Board of Directors increased the number of shares authorized for issuance under the 1997 Plan by 1,000,000 shares to 2,800,000 shares.

In May 2006, the Board of Directors accelerated the vesting period for all unvested options to May 31, 2006.

In fiscal 2006, options to purchase 4,500 shares of common stock under the 1997 Plan at exercise prices ranging from \$0.88 to \$1.91 were retired or cancelled.

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

In fiscal 2007 the Board of Directors granted non-qualified stock options under the 1997 plan to a Director to purchase 150,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$0.09 per share (which represent the fair market value of the underlying common stock at the time of the respective grants). These options expire 10 years from grant date. In fiscal 2007, there were no options to purchase shares of common stock under the 1997 Plan. In fiscal 2007, options to purchase 175,192 shares of common stock under the 1997 Plan at exercise prices of \$0.88 to \$1.91 were retired or cancelled.

At May 31, 2007, there were 328,360 shares available for future grants under the 1997 Plan.

F-23

Vasomedical, Inc. and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

May 31, 2007 and 2006

1999 Stock Option Plan

In July 1999, the Company's Board of Directors approved the 1999 Stock Option Plan (the "1999 Plan"), for which the Company reserved an aggregate of 2,000,000 shares of common stock. The 1999 Plan provides that a committee of the Board of Directors of the Company will administer it and that the committee will have full authority to determine the identity of the recipients of the options and the number of shares subject to each option. Options granted under the 1999 Plan may be either incentive stock options or non-qualified stock options. The option price shall be 100% of the fair market value of the common stock on the date of the grant (or in the case of incentive stock options granted to any individual principal stockholder who owns stock possessing more than 10% of the total combined voting power of all voting stock of the Company, 110% of such fair market value). The term of any option may be fixed by the committee but in no event shall exceed ten years from the date of grant. Options are exercisable upon payment in full of the exercise price, either in cash or in common stock valued at fair market value on the date of exercise of the option. The term for which options may be granted under the 1999 Plan expires July 12, 2009. In July 2000, the Company's Board of Directors increased the number of shares authorized for issuance under the 1999 Plan by 1,000,000 shares to 3,000,000 shares. In December 2001, the Board of Directors of the Company increased the number of shares authorized for issuance under the 1999 Plan by 2,000,000 shares to 5,000,000 shares.

In May 2006, the Board of Directors accelerated the vesting period for all unvested options to May 31, 2006.

In fiscal 2006, the Company's Board of Directors granted non-qualified stock options to purchase under the 1999 Plan to officers, directors and employees to purchase an aggregate of 1,260,000 shares of common stock, at an exercise price of \$0.20 to \$0.22 per share, which represented the fair market value of the underlying common stock at the time of the respective grants. These ten years from the date of grant. In fiscal 2006, options to purchase 1,173,250 shares of common stock under the 1999 Plan at an exercise price of \$0.20 to \$4.69 were retired or cancelled.

In fiscal 2007, the Board of Directors granted non-qualified stock options under the 1999 Plan to directors to purchase an aggregate of 450,000 shares of common stock, at an exercise price of \$0.09 per shares (which represented the

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

fair market value of the underlying common stock at the time of the respective grants). In fiscal 2007, there were no options to purchase shares of common stock under the 1999 Plan. In fiscal 2007, options to purchase 996,279 shares of common stock under the 1999 Plan at an exercise price of \$0.20 to \$5.00 were retired or cancelled.

At May 31, 2007, there were 412,032 shares available for future grants under the 1999 Plan.

2004 Stock Option and Stock Issuance Plan

In October 2004, the Company's stockholders approved the 2004 Stock Option and Stock Issuance Plan (the "2004 Plan"), for which the Company reserved an aggregate of 2,500,000 shares of common stock. The 2004 Plan is divided into two separate equity programs: (i) the Option Grant Program under which eligible persons ("Optionees") may, at the discretion of the board of directors, be granted options to purchase shares of common stock; and (ii) the Stock Issuance Program under which eligible persons ("Participants") may, at the discretion of the board or directors, be issued shares of common stock directly, either through the immediate purchase of such shares or as a bonus for services rendered to the Corporation.

Options granted under the 2004 Stock Plan shall be non-qualified or incentive stock options and the exercise price is the fair market value of the common stock on the date of grant except that for incentive stock options it shall be 110% of the fair market value if the Optionee owns 10% or more of our common stock. The term of any option may be fixed by the board of directors or committee but in no event shall exceed ten years from the date of grant. Stock options granted under the 2004 Plan may become exercisable in one or more installments in the manner and at the time or times specified by the committee. Options are exercisable upon payment in full of the exercise price, either in cash or in common stock valued at fair market value on the date of exercise of the option. The term for which options may be granted under the 2004 Plan expires July 12, 2014.

F-24

Vasomedical, Inc. and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

May 31, 2007 and 2006

Under the stock issuance program, the purchase price per share shall be fixed by the board of directors or committee but cannot be less than the fair market value of the common stock on the issuance date. Payment for the shares may be made in cash or check payable to us, or for past services rendered to us and all shares of common stock issued thereunder shall vest upon issuance unless otherwise directed by the committee. The number of shares issuable is also subject to adjustments upon the occurrence of certain events, including stock dividends, stock splits, mergers, consolidations, reorganizations, recapitalizations, or other capital adjustments. The term for which shares may be issued under the 2004 Plan expires July 12, 2014.

The 2004 Plan provides that a committee of the Board of Directors of the Company will administer it and that the committee will have full authority to determine and designate the individuals who are to be granted stock options or qualify to purchase shares of common stock under the 2004 Stock Plan, the number of shares to be subject to options or to be purchased and the nature and terms of the options to be granted. The committee also has authority to interpret the 2004 Plan and to prescribe, amend and rescind the rules and regulations relating

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

to the 2004 Plan.

In May 2006, the Board of Directors accelerated the vesting period for all unvested options to May 31, 2006.

In fiscal 2006, the Company's Board of Directors granted an aggregate of 225,000 shares of common stock under the 2004 Plan directors of the Company having a fair market value of \$0.45 per share at the time of the respective grants. In fiscal 2006, the Company's Board of Directors granted non-qualified stock options under the 2004 Plan to Officers and employees to purchase an aggregate of 2,118,045 shares of common stock, at exercise prices of \$0.20 to \$0.58 per share, which represented the fair market value of the underlying common stock at the time of the respective grants. These options expire ten years from the date of grant. In fiscal 2006, options to purchase 266,496 shares of common stock under the 2004 Plan at exercise prices of \$0.45 to \$0.58 were retired or cancelled.

In fiscal 2007, the Company's Board of Directors granted non-qualified stock options under the 2004 Plan to an Officer to purchase an aggregate of 200,000 shares of common stock, at an exercise price of \$0.11 per share, (which represented the fair market value of the underlying common stock at the time of the respective grants). These options expire ten years from the date of grant. In fiscal 2007, options to purchase 733,716 shares of common stock under the 2004 Plan at exercise prices of \$0.20 to \$0.58 were retired or cancelled.

At May 31, 2007, there were 957,457 shares available for future grants under the 2004 Plan.

Activity under all the plans for the years ended May 31, 2006 and 2007, is summarized as follows:

	Shares Available for Grant	Number of Shares	Outstanding Options Range of Exercise Price per Share
Balance at May 31, 2005	2,614,503	6,545,544	\$0.71 - \$5.15
Common shares granted	(225,000)	--	--
Options granted	(3,378,045)	3,378,045	\$0.20 - \$0.58
Options exercised	--	--	--
Options canceled	1,738,414	(1,911,514)	\$0.20 - \$5.15
Balance at May 31, 2006	749,872	8,012,075	\$0.20 - \$5.15
Options granted	(800,000)	800,000	\$0.09 - \$0.11
Options exercised	--	--	--
Options canceled	1,747,987	(2,219,737)	\$0.20 - \$5.00
Balance at May 31, 2007	1,697,859	6,592,338	\$0.20 - \$5.00

F-25

Vasomedical, Inc. and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

May 31, 2007 and 2006

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

(1) May be issued under the Stock Issuance Program.

The following table summarizes information about stock options outstanding and exercisable at May 31, 2007:

Range of Exercise Prices	Options Outstanding			Option
	Number Outstanding at May 31, 2007	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (yrs.)	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number Exercisable May 31, 2007
\$0.09 - \$0.58	2,748,171	8.8	\$0.26	2,748,171
\$0.71 - \$0.97	752,500	4.6	\$0.89	752,500
\$1.00 - \$1.49	1,887,500	6.2	\$1.09	1,887,500
\$1.53 - \$2.49	541,167	1.0	\$1.87	541,167
\$2.66 - \$5.15	663,000	3.8	\$3.66	663,000
	6,592,338	5.3	\$1.03	6,592,338

The weighted-average fair value exercise price of options granted during fiscal years 2007 and 2006 was \$0.10 and \$0.34, respectively. At May 31, 2007, there were approximately 34,256,673 remaining authorized shares of common stock after reserves for all stock option plans and stock warrants.

NOTE L - INCOME TAXES

During the fiscal years ended May 31, 2007 and 2006, the Company recorded income tax expense of \$20,608 and \$7,109,176, respectively.

The fiscal 2006 tax expense consists mainly of \$7,093,000 in additional valuation allowance provided for the deferred tax asset in the second fiscal quarter. The income tax expense for fiscal 2006 does not include \$7,489,000 added to the deferred tax valuation allowance for tax benefits associated with prior years' exercises of stock options and warrants, which was charged directly to additional paid-in capital.

As of May 31, 2005, we had recorded deferred tax assets of \$14,582,000 net of a \$3,774,000 valuation allowance related to the anticipated recovery of tax loss carryforwards. No deferred tax assets have been recorded for state income tax net operating losses since it was determined that the amounts were not material and the Company could not be sure that any benefit from the losses would be utilized.

On December 20, 2005, Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) issued a Proposed Decision Memorandum (PDM) for External Counterpulsation in response to Vasomedical's application to expand reimbursement coverage to include Canadian Cardiovascular Society (CCSC) Class II angina and New York Heart Association (NYHA) Class II/III congestive heart failure (CHF). The PDM stated that the evidence was not adequate to conclude that external counterpulsation therapy is reasonable and necessary to expand reimbursement coverage to CCSC Class II angina and NYHA Class II/III CHF and that current coverage for CCSC class III/IV refractory angina would remain in effect. Consequently, at the end of the second fiscal quarter of fiscal 2006, we concluded that, based upon the weight of available evidence, it was no longer "more likely than not" that the net deferred tax asset of \$14,582,000 would be realized, and added \$14,582,000 to the valuation allowance to bring the net deferred tax asset carrying value to zero. On March 20, 2006, CMS issued its

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

final decision, which upheld the PDM.

As of May 31, 2007, the recorded deferred tax asset was \$19,589,352, reflecting an increase of \$29,894 during fiscal 2007, which was offset by the valuation allowance of the same amount.

F-26

Vasomedical, Inc. and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

May 31, 2007 and 2006

The Company's deferred tax assets are summarized as follows:

	2007	2006
	-----	-----
Net operating loss and other carryforwards	\$18,120,000	\$17,850,000
Accrued compensation	2,400	6,900
Bad debts	125,000	140,000
Other	1,341,952	1,562,558
	-----	-----
Total gross deferred tax assets	19,589,352	19,559,458
Valuation allowance	(19,589,352)	(19,559,458)
	-----	-----
Net deferred tax assets	\$--	\$--
	=====	=====

At May 31, 2007, the Company had net operating loss carryforwards for Federal and state income tax purposes of approximately \$53,290,050, expiring at various dates from 2008 through 2027. In fiscal 2007 \$517,934 of net operating loss carryforwards expired. Expiration of net operating loss carryforwards are as follows:

Fiscal Year	Amount
-----	-----
2008	\$ 558,968
2009	470,994
2010	2,454,162
2011	5,449,051
2012	6,084,213
Thereafter	38,272,662

	\$ 53,290,050
	=====

Under current tax law, the utilization of tax attributes will be restricted if an ownership change, as defined, were to occur. Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code provides, in general, that if an "ownership change" occurs with respect to a corporation with net operating and other loss carryforwards, such carryforwards will be available to offset taxable income in each taxable year after the ownership change only up to the "Section 382 Limitation" for each year (generally, the product of the fair market value of the corporation's stock at the time of the ownership change, with certain adjustments, and a specified long-term tax-exempt bond rate at such time). The Company's ability to use its loss carryforwards could be limited in the event of an ownership change.

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

The following is a reconciliation of the effective income tax rate to the federal statutory rate:

	2007 %	2006 %
Federal statutory rate	(34.0)	(34.0)
State taxes, net	1.3	0.3
Permanent differences	2.7	0.6
Change in valuation allowance relating to operations	31.4	229.0
Other	(2.7)	(0.6)
	(1.3)	(195.3)

NOTE M - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Leases

As of May 31, 2007 the company was not obligated under any lease agreements.

F-27

Vasomedical, Inc. and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

May 31, 2007 and 2006

The Company leased additional warehouse space under a no cancelable-operating lease, which expired on September 30, 2006. Rent expense was \$17,000 and \$94,000 in fiscal 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Litigation

The Company is currently, and has been in the past, a party to various routine legal proceedings incident to the ordinary course of business. The Company believes that the outcome of all such pending legal proceedings in the aggregate is unlikely to have a material adverse effect on the business or consolidated financial condition of the Company.

NOTE N - 401(K) PLAN

In April 1997, the Company adopted the Vasomedical, Inc. 401(k) Plan to provide retirement benefits for its employees. As allowed under Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code, the plan provides tax-deferred salary deductions for eligible employees. Employees are eligible to participate in the next quarter enrollment period after employment. Participants may make voluntary contributions to the plan up to 15% of their compensation. In fiscal year 2007 and 2006, the Company made discretionary contributions of approximately \$15,000 and \$27,000, respectively, to match a percentage of employee contributions.

NOTE O - SUBSEQUENT EVENT

On June 21, 2007 we entered into a Securities Purchase Agreement with Kerns Manufacturing Corp. ("Kerns"). Concurrently with our entry into the Securities

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

Purchase Agreement, we also entered into a Distribution Agreement and a Supplier Agreement with Living Data Technology Corporation, an affiliate of Kerns ("Living Data").

We sold to Kerns pursuant to the Securities Purchase Agreement, 21,428,572 shares of our common stock at \$.07 per share for an aggregate of \$1,500,000 as well a five-year warrant to purchase 4,285,714 shares of our common stock at an initial exercise price of \$.08 per share (the "Warrant"). We also have an option to sell an additional \$1 million of our common stock to Kerns. The agreement further provided for the appointment to our Board of Directors of two representatives of Kerns. In furtherance thereof, Mr. Jun Ma and Mr. Simon Srybnik, Chairman of both Kerns and Living Data, have been appointed members of our Board of Directors. Pursuant to the Distribution Agreement, we have become the exclusive distributor in the United States of the AngioNew ECP systems manufactured by Living Data. As additional consideration for such agreement, we agreed to issue an additional 6,990,840 shares of our common stock to Living Data. Pursuant to the Supplier Agreement, Living Data now will be the exclusive supplier to us of the ECP therapy systems that we market under the registered trademark EECP(R). The Distribution Agreement and the Supplier Agreement each have an initial term extending through May 31, 2012.

Pursuant to a Registration Rights Agreement, we granted to Kerns and Living Data, subject to certain restrictions, "piggyback registration rights" covering the shares sold to Kerns as well as the shares issuable upon exercise of the Warrant and the shares issued to Living Data.

On August 15, 2007 we sold our facility under a five-year leaseback agreement for \$1.4 million. The net proceeds from the sale was approximately \$425,000 after payment in full of the two secured notes on our facility, brokers fees, closing costs, and the opening of a certificate of deposit in accordance with the provisions of the new lease.

F-28

Vasomedical, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Schedule II - Valuation and Qualifying Accounts

Column A	Column B	Column C	Column D
		Additions	
	Balance at beginning of period	(1) Charged to costs and expenses	(2) Charged to other accounts
Allowance for doubtful accounts			
Year ended May 31, 2007	\$410,691	(a) \$ (7,421)	\$--
Year ended May 31, 2006	\$394,692	\$110,317	\$--
Reserve for excess and obsolete inventory			
Year ended May 31, 2007	\$677,166	\$--	\$--
Year ended May 31, 2006	\$566,149	\$152,004	\$--
Valuation Allowance - Deferred Tax Asset			

Edgar Filing: VASOMEDICAL INC - Form 10KSB

Year ended May 31, 2007	\$19,559,458	\$29,894	\$--	
Year ended May 31, 2006	\$3,774,000	\$8,296,458	\$7,489,000	
Provision for warranty obligations				
Year ended May 31, 2007	\$32,000	\$42,000	\$--	(c)
Year ended May 31, 2006	\$118,333	\$33,000	\$--	(c)

S-1