GENCOR INDUSTRIES INC Form 10-Q May 03, 2018 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2018

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD: From ______ to _____

Commission File Number: 001-11703

GENCOR INDUSTRIES, INC.

Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of

59-0933147 (I.R.S. Employer

incorporation or organization)

Identification No.)

5201 North Orange Blossom Trail, Orlando, Florida (Address of principal executive offices) 32810 (Zip Code)

(407) 290-6000

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer , accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated Filer

Non-accelerated Filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller Reporting Company

Emerging Growth Company

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer s classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Class Outstanding at May 1, 2018

Common stock, \$.10 par value Class B stock, \$.10 par value

12,196,837 shares 2,288,857 shares

Table of Contents

GENCOR INDUSTRIES, INC.

Index			Page
Part I.	Financ	ial Information	
	Item 1.	Financial Statements	
		Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets March 31, 2018 (Unaudited) and September 30, 2017	3
		Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income Quarters and Six Months Ended March 31 2018 and 2017 (Unaudited)	, 4
		Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Six Months Ended March 31, 2018 and 2017 (Unaudited)	5
		Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)	6
	Item 2.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	10
	Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	15
	Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	15
Part II.	Other l	Information	
	Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	16
<u>Signatu</u> Introduct		e: Caution Concerning Forward-Looking Statements	17

This Form 10-Q Report and the Company s other communications and statements may contain forward-looking statements, including statements about the Company s beliefs, plans, objectives, goals, expectations, estimates, projections and intentions. These statements are subject to significant risks and uncertainties and are subject to change based on various factors, many of which are beyond the Company s control. The words may, could. believe, anticipate, estimate, expect, intend, plan, target, goal, and similar expressions are intended to it forward-looking statements. All forward-looking statements, by their nature, are subject to risks and uncertainties. The Company s actual future results may differ materially from those set forth in its forward-looking statements. For information concerning these factors and related matters, see Item 2, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, in this Report, and the following sections of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2017: (a) Risk Factors in Part I, and (b) Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in Part II. However, other factors besides those referenced could adversely affect the Company s results, and you should not consider any such list of factors to be a complete set of all potential risks or uncertainties. Any forward-looking statements made by the Company herein speak as of the date of this Report. The Company does not undertake to update any forward-looking statements, except as required by law.

Unless the context otherwise indicates, all references in this Report to the Company, Gencor, we, us, or our, or swords are to Gencor Industries, Inc. and its subsidiaries.

2

Table of Contents

Part I. Financial Information

GENCOR INDUSTRIES, INC.

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets

	March 31,	September 30,		
ASSETS	2018 (Unaudited)	2017		
Current Assets:	,			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 22,883,000	\$ 22,933,000		
Marketable securities at fair value (cost \$88,520,000 at March 31, 2018 and				
\$86,967,000 at September 30, 2017)	88,004,000	87,886,000		
Accounts receivable, less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$181,000 at	, ,			
March 31, 2018 and \$207,000 at September 30, 2017	1,830,000	1,184,000		
Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings	10,928,000	6,768,000		
Inventories, net	15,076,000	16,687,000		
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	783,000	1,660,000		
	,	, ,		
Total Current Assets	139,504,000	137,118,000		
Property and equipment, net	7,783,000	5,722,000		
Other assets	53,000	53,000		
Other dissets	23,000	23,000		
Total Assets	\$ 147,340,000	\$ 142,893,000		
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY Current Liabilities:				
Accounts payable	\$ 3,704,000	\$ 1,320,000		
Customer deposits	5,187,000	8,628,000		
Accrued expenses	2,410,000	2,426,000		
rectued expenses	2,110,000	2,120,000		
Total Current Liabilities	11,301,000	12,374,000		
Total Current Bluemines	11,501,000	12,3 / 1,000		
Deferred and other income taxes	634,000	1,601,000		
	•			
Total Liabilities	11,935,000	13,975,000		
Commitments and contingencies				
Shareholders Equity:				
Preferred stock, par value \$.10 per share; 300,000 shares authorized; none issued				
Common stock, par value \$.10 per share; 15,000,000 shares authorized;				
12,196,837 and 12,154,829 shares issued and outstanding at March 31,	1,220,000	1,215,000		

2018 and September 30, 2017, respectively

Class B Stock, par value \$.10 per share; 6,000,000 shares authorized;

2,288,857 and 2,263,857 shares issued and outstanding at March 31,

2018 and September 30, 2017, respectively	229,000	226,000
Capital in excess of par value	11,547,000	11,178,000
Retained earnings	122,409,000	116,299,000
Total Shareholders Equity	135,405,000	128,918,000
Total Liabilities and Shareholders Equity	\$ 147,340,000	\$ 142,893,000

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents

GENCOR INDUSTRIES, INC.

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income

(Unaudited)

	For the Quarters Ended March 31,			For the Six Months Ended March 31,				
		2018		2017		2018		2017
Net revenue	\$ 30),829,000	\$ 2	22,526,000	\$ 3	53,951,000	\$ 3	38,309,000
Costs and expenses:								
Production costs	22	2,059,000		15,869,000	2	40,098,000	2	27,502,000
Product engineering and development		758,000		470,000		1,458,000		886,000
Selling, general and administrative	2	2,921,000		2,127,000		5,613,000		4,317,000
	25	5,738,000		18,466,000		47,169,000	,	32,705,000
	2.	5,736,000		10,400,000		+7,102,000	•	32,703,000
Operating income	5	5,091,000		4,060,000		6,782,000		5,604,000
Other income (expense), net:								
Interest and dividend income, net of fees		383,000		162,000		676,000		203,000
Net realized and unrealized gains (losses) on								
marketable securities		(719,000)		656,000		(558,000)		1,063,000
Other		3,000				7,000		
		(333,000)		818,000		125,000		1,266,000
Income before income tax expense	4	1,758,000		4,878,000		6,907,000		6,870,000
Income tax expense		994,000		1,463,000		797,000		2,061,000
Net income	\$ 3	3,764,000	\$	3,415,000	\$	6,110,000	\$	4,809,000
Basic Income per Common Share:								
Net income per share	\$	0.26	\$	0.24	\$	0.42	\$	0.33
Diluted Income per Common Share:								
Net income per share	\$	0.26	\$	0.23	\$	0.41	\$	0.33

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents

GENCOR INDUSTRIES, INC.

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(Unaudited)

	For the Six Months Ended March 31,		
	2018 2017		
Cash flows from operations:			
Net income	\$ 6,110,000	\$ 4,809,000	
Adjustments to reconcile net income to cash provided by operating activities:			
Purchases of marketable securities	(140,032,000)	(306,744,000)	
Proceeds from sale and maturity of marketable securities	139,275,000	306,339,000	
Change in fair value of marketable securities	639,000	(861,000)	
Deferred income taxes	(967,000)	108,000	
Depreciation and amortization	648,000	605,000	
Provision for doubtful accounts		50,000	
Stock-based compensation	36,000	36,000	
Changes in assets and liabilities:			
Accounts receivable	(646,000)	(632,000)	
Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings	(4,160,000)	3,241,000	
Inventories	1,611,000	(2,964,000)	
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	877,000	309,000	
Accounts payable	2,384,000	1,698,000	
Customer deposits	(3,441,000)	2,795,000	
Accrued expenses	(15,000)	350,000	
Total adjustments	(3,791,000)	4,330,000	
Cash flows provided by operating activities	2,319,000	9,139,000	
Cash flows used in investing activities:			
Capital expenditures	(2,709,000)	(420,000)	
Cash flows used in investing activities	(2,709,000)	(420,000)	
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Proceeds from stock option exercises	340,000	98,000	
•			
Cash flows provided by financing activities	340,000	98,000	
Net (decrease) increase in cash	(50,000)	8,817,000	
Cash and cash equivalents at:			

Edgar Filing: GENCOR INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10-Q

Beginning of period		22,933,000		18,219,000
	ф	22 002 000	ф	27.026.000
End of period	\$	22,883,000	\$	27,036,000

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents

GENCOR INDUSTRIES, INC.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

Note 1 Basis of Presentation

The accompanying Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and notes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all material adjustments (consisting of normal, recurring adjustments) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included in the interim financial information. Operating results for the quarter and six months ended March 31, 2018 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending September 30, 2018.

The accompanying Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet at September 30, 2017 has been derived from the audited financial statements at that date but does not include all of the information and notes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements.

For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Gencor Industries, Inc. Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2017.

Note 2 Marketable Securities

Marketable debt and equity securities are categorized as trading securities and are thus marked to market and stated at fair value. Fair value is determined using the quoted closing or latest bid prices for Level 1 investments and market standard valuation methodologies for Level 2 investments. Realized gains and losses on investment transactions are determined by specific identification and are recognized as incurred in the condensed consolidated statements of income. Net unrealized gains and losses are reported in the condensed consolidated statements of income in the current period and represent the change in the fair value of investment holdings during the period.

Fair Value Measurements

The fair value of financial instruments is presented based upon a hierarchy of levels that prioritizes the inputs of valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). A financial instrument s level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of any input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

The fair value of marketable equity securities, exchange-traded funds, mutual funds, government securities and cash and money funds are substantially based on quoted market prices (Level 1). Corporate and municipal bonds are valued using market standard valuation methodologies, including: discounted cash flow methodologies, matrix pricing or other similar techniques. The inputs to these market standard valuation methodologies include, but are not limited to: interest rates, credit standing of the issuer or counterparty, industry sector of the issuer, coupon rate, call provisions, maturity, estimated duration and assumptions regarding liquidity and estimated future cash flows. In addition to bond characteristics, the valuation methodologies incorporate market data, such as actual trades completed, bids and actual dealer quotes, where such information is available. Accordingly, the estimated fair values are based on available

market information and judgments about financial instruments (Level 2). Fair values of the Level 2 investments, if any, are provided by the Company s professional investment management firm.

6

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth by level, within the fair value hierarchy, the Company s assets measured at fair value as of March 31, 2018:

	Fair Value Measurements			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Equities	\$ 13,835,000	\$	\$	\$ 13,835,000
Mutual Funds	8,711,000			8,711,000
Exchange-Traded Funds	5,025,000			5,025,000
Corporate Bonds		28,062,000		28,062,000
Government Securities	27,976,000			27,976,000
Cash and Money Funds	4,395,000			4,395,000
•				
Total	\$ 59,942,000	\$ 28,062,000	\$	\$88,004,000

Net unrealized losses included in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for the quarter and six months ended March 31, 2018, on trading securities still held as of March 31, 2018, were \$(1,251,000) and \$(1,435,000), respectively. There were no transfers of investments between Level 1 and Level 2 during the six months ended March 31, 2018.

The following table sets forth by level, within the fair value hierarchy, the Company s assets measured at fair value as of September 30, 2017:

Fair Value Measurements

Level 2 Level 3
00 \$ \$
00
00

7,196,000 &nbsuccessful audit confirms the Company's success in meeting the standards of manufacturing and quality systems. The Company to continue to market its products in Canada and Europe.

Products

Proxcelan® Cesium-131

IsoRay markets the Proxcelan® Cesium-131 brachytherapy seed for the treatment of prostate cancer; brain cancer head and neck cancers; gynecological cancer: pelvic/abdominal cancer; colorectal cancer, and ocular melanoma. intends to market Cesium-131 for the treatment of other malignant diseases as opportunities are identified in the factors.

the use of existing proven technologies that have received FDA-clearance. The strategy of utilizing existing FDA technologies reduces the time and cost required to develop new applications of Cesium-131 and deliver them to next required to develop new applications of the control of the cont

Cesium-131 Manufacturing Process and Suppliers

Product Overview

Cesium-131 is a radioactive isotope that can be produced by the neutron bombardment of Barium-130 (Ba-130). Proxcelan[®] seed, the purified Cesium-131 isotope is adsorbed onto a ceramic core containing a gold X-ray market core assembly is subsequently inserted into a titanium capsule that is then welded shut and becomes a sealed radio and a biocompatible medical device.

Isotope Suppliers

The Company has identified key reactor facilities in the U.S. and Russia that are capable of meeting the specific r Cesium-131 production. On June 23, 2014, and again on January 12, 2015, Medical entered into a supply contrac Agreement) with The Open Joint Stock Company, Institute of the Nuclear Materials, a Russian company (JSC IN current INM Agreement, Medical can purchase Cesium-131 from the Institute of Nuclear Materials within the qu and within the time periods specified, through January 31, 2016.

The Company also receives irradiated barium from the MURR reactor located in the United States. For the fiscal 30, 2015, approximately eighty-three percent (83%) of our Cesium-131 was supplied by our Russian supplier and seventeen percent (17%) of Cesium-131 was generated by the irradiated barium from MURR. The Company has the capability to expand Cesium-131 manufacturing capability at the MURR reactor in a cost effective manner to current needs of the Company, however, the Company intends to continue to obtain Cesium-131 from its foreign mitigate the risk of reliance on a single source.

In the past, management believed that failure to obtain deliveries of Cesium-131 from its Russian supplier (JSC II have a material adverse effect on seed production. Management now believes that its existing domestic supplier of Company's isotope requirements for the near future and can mitigate the periodic required shutdowns at the foreign Company also has a stock of enriched barium that could be utilized to meet isotope requirements.

Quality Controls

In July 2008, IsoRay had its baseline inspection by the FDA at its manufacturing and administrative offices in Ric This inspection was carried out over a five day period during which the investigator performed a complete inspection Quality Systems Inspection Techniques (QSIT). At the end of the inspection, no report of deviations from Good Practices or list of observations (FDA Form 483) was issued to IsoRay. An additional inspection of IsoRay was c FDA in April 2013. Again the FDA reported no deviations from Good Manufacturing Practices and did not list an (FDA Form 483).

13

In May 2015, IsoRay completed an annual ISO13485:2003 audit from BSI (British Standards Institution) with no nonconformities. The Company is subject to a recertfication audit every three years, two annual maintenance audit additional unannounced audit during each three year period for a total of four audits during each three year period audit confirms the Company's success in meeting the standards of manufacturing and quality systems required for continue to market its products in Canada and Europe.

Order Processing

The Company has implemented a just-in-time production process that is responsive to customer input and orders individual customers receive a higher level of customer service than received from our competitors who have the lead times due to longer half-life products. Time from order confirmation to completion of product manufacture i several working days, including receipt of irradiated barium (from the domestic supplier's reactor) or unpurified (from the international supplier's reactor), separation and purification of Cesium-131, isotope labeling of the core cores into pre-welded titanium "cans" for final welding, testing, quality assurance and shipping.

It is up to each physician to determine the dosage necessary for implants and acceptable dosages vary among phy the physicians order more seeds than necessary to assure themselves that they have a sufficient quantity. Upon recorder, the Company either delivers the seeds from its facility directly to the physician in either loose or preloaded the order to an independent preloading service that delivers the seeds preloaded into needles or cartridges just price the implant is postponed or rescheduled, the short half-life of the seeds makes them unsuitable for use and therefore re-ordered.

Due to the lead time for obtaining and processing the Cesium-131 isotope and its short half-life, the Company rel forecasts and historical knowledge to estimate the proper inventory levels of isotope needed to fulfill all customer Consequently, some portion of the isotope is lost through decay and is not used in an end product. Management c reduce the variances between ordered isotope and isotope deliveries and is continually improving its ordering pro efficiencies. The non-prostate applications have resulted in a greater loss of isotope as cancellations are more free factors beyond the control of the physicians. These cancellations both increase the costs of the Company for seed the revenue as these seeds are not sold.

Pre-loading Services

In addition to providing loose seeds to customers, most brachytherapy manufacturers offer their seed product to the packaged in various configurations provided in a sterile or non-sterile package depending on the customer's prefer include:

- § Pre-loaded needles (loaded typically with three to five seeds and spacers)
- § Pre-loaded MickTM cartridges (fits the MickTM applicator)
- § Strands of seeds (consists of seeds and spacers in a bioabsorbable rigid "carrier sleeve")
- § Preloaded strands (strands of seeds loaded into a needle)
- § Pre-loaded braided strands (seeds loaded into a flexible bioabsorbable braided suture)
- § Pre-loaded braided strands attached to bioabsorbable mesh (creates planar implants out of braided sutures and mesh)

In fiscal year 2015, the Company delivered approximately 53% of its Proxcelan® seeds to customers configured in cartridges, approximately 29% of the Proxcelan® seeds configured in stranded and pre-loaded in a needle form, 9 Proxcelan® seeds configured in a braided strand form, 5% of the Proxcelan® seeds sold in a loose configuration a remaining 4% are configured in either a pre-loaded in a needle or stranded form.

14

The role of the pre-loading service is to package, assay and certify the contents of the final product configuration customer. A commonly used method of providing this service is through independent radiopharmacies. Manufact seeds along with the physician's instructions to the radiopharmacy which, in turn, loads needles and/or strands the according to the doctor's instructions. These radiopharmacies then sterilize the product and certify the final packa shipping directly to the end user.

As of June 30, 2015, IsoRay had two entities that handled radiopharmacy services at the request of certain individed that were able to assay, preload, and sterilize loose seeds. Shipping Cs-131 brachytherapy seeds to independent ratequires loading the seeds with additional volume of isotope activity than would be required if the seeds were to be utilizing our in-house loading facility, which causes the Company to incur additional isotope cost to allow for the isotope decay created by the additional processing time. The Company pre-loaded 94% and 97% of the Cs-131 brachytherapy seeds to independent rategies our in-house loading facility, which causes the Company pre-loaded 94% and 97% of the Cs-131 brachytherapy seeds were to be utilizing our in-house loading facility, which causes the Company pre-loaded 94% and 97% of the Cs-131 brachytherapy seeds to independent rategies loading our in-house loading facility, which causes the Company pre-loaded 94% and 97% of the Cs-131 brachytherapy seeds to independent rategies loading our in-house loading facility and processing time. The Company pre-loaded 94% and 97% of the Cs-131 brachytherapy seeds to independent rategies loading our in-house loading facility.

Independent radiopharmacies traditionally provide the final packaging of the product delivered to the end user the eliminating the opportunity for reinforcing the "branding" of our seed product. By providing our own repackaging able to preserve the product branding opportunity, reduce isotope decay loss, control overall product quality and concerns related to the handling of our product by a third party prior to receipt by the end user.

In fiscal year 2012, IsoRay obtained a CE mark which allows shipment of seeds loaded into flexible braided stranstrands attached to bioabsorbable mesh into the European Union.

GliaSite® Radiation Therapy System

IsoRay markets the GliaSite® RTS for the treatment of brain cancer, i.e. primary and recurrent gliomas and metas Specifically, the intended use of GliaSite® RTS is the management of surgically resectable brain tumors where act therapy of the post-resection tissue bed is indicated. In August 2013, the Company successfully amended its CE r GliaSite® RTS which incorporated five changes. These changes included a change in the sterilization method of t clip; a change in the packaging of the right angle clip; an extension of the GliaSite® RTS catheter tray expiration the qualification of a second manufacturer of the Iotrex® solution and the extension of the shelf life of Iotrex® fro days.

Product Overview

GliaSite® RTS is the only FDA cleared balloon catheter device used in the treatment of brain cancer. The main coincluded in the GliaSite® RTS are the GliaSite® Catheter Tray, GliaSite® Access Tray, Iotrex® Solidifier and eith Cesitrex™s the radiotherapy solution. The catheter tray includes a GliaSite® RTS catheter, two non-coring needle anchoring clips. On one end of the catheter subassembly is a balloon device which is filled with radiotherapy solution to the ballof the catheter.

Manufacturing Process and Key Suppliers

A dual balloon configuration is used to act as a primary and secondary reservoir for the radiotherapy solution with cavity in the brain. The balloon catheter is manufactured by Vesta, Inc. and conforms to the applicable required Is standards.

The infusion port consists of a port body, reservoir base, and a self-sealing septum. The infusion port is produced Medical, a subsidiary of Smiths Group plc., and conforms to the applicable required IsoRay quality standards. It is catheter subassembly and is bonded in place.

The radiotherapy solution is inserted in the balloon catheter through the infusion port using a needle. Iotrex[®] is or radiation source used with the GliaSite[®] RTS catheter to deliver the intracranial radiation therapy. The key suppli Iotrex[®] radiotherapy solution are Iso-Tex and Anazao. Another relatively new radiation source to deliver the intra radiation therapy is liquid Cesium-131 or Cesitrex^TCesitrex^TWas approved for the use in the GliaSite[®] RTS cathet intracranial radiation therapy starting in May 2014 with the first case using Cesitrex^Tth June 2014. The dosage of dependent on the strength at implant and is made to order.

15

Other accessories sold and packaged with the GliaSite® RTS catheter trays include access trays and solidifier. The assist in the delivery of both CesitrexTM and Iotr®xand subsequent removal after completion of the radiotherapy transcessories are obtained from distributors and are sterilized and tested by the Company to ensure compliance with standards.

From start to finish, including the creation of the GliaSite® RTS catheter subassemblies, the manufacture of the dapproximately 4 weeks. The Company maintains on hand a number of subassemblies that reduce the manufacture weeks, which includes sterilization of the final product. The subassemblies are maintained in a clean room facility dated until the entire GliaSite® RTS medical device is Gamma sterilized. Management periodically evaluates the sizes in which to manufacture the GliaSite® RTS product to ensure that sterilization capacity is optimized, enough hand to meet customer needs, and to manage the risk of expired product utilizing historical information and sales

Order Processing

The Company implements a just-in-time order process for the Iotrex® radiotherapy solution. The Iodine-125 stock the Company and drop shipped to Iso-Tex or Anazao, the Company's contracted manufacturers of Iotrex®. The Iotested by the manufacturer and if accepted, is used to manufacture the Iotrex® radiotherapy solution which has a sonce manufactured. Once manufacture is completed by Iso-Tex or Anazao, testing is performed on the product are sent to IsoRay along with the batch record for review and acceptance. Facilities performing the implants can of the isotope in vials or the vials can be preloaded into dose-specific vials.

Due to the lead time for obtaining and processing the Iodine-125 by Iso-Tex, the Company relies on sales forecas information to estimate the proper inventory levels of catheters as well as Iotrex® given the 1 year and 30 day she respectively. Consequently, some portions of the product including the Iotrex® or the GliaSite® RTS device itself decay and are subsequently destroyed.

Since May 2014, another option for the radiotherapy solution is the liquid form of Cesium-131 or Cesitrex, which manufactured by the Company. Similar to Iotrex, the Company implements a just-in-time order process and procesitrex the time an order is placed and it can take up to a week to manufacture and deliver. Cesitrex manufacturing plant, then shipped to Anazao as the radiopharmacy which isotope into a syringe and tests it prior to shipment to the end user. Consequently, some portion of the Cesitrex during the process. The Company ensures that the customer receives the dosage specified for the patient treatment for the decay during shipping and processing at Anazao.

Manufacturing Facility

The Company maintains a production facility located at Applied Process Engineering Laboratory (APEL) in Rich Washington. The APEL facility became operational in September 2007. The production facility has over 15,000 s includes space for isotope separation, seed production, order dispensing, a clean room for radiopharmacy work, a shipping area. A description of the lease terms for the APEL facility is located in the Commitments and Continge included in Item 8 below. Management has exercised the second of three three-year renewal options to extend the lease through April 2016.

The Company has negotiated and agreed to a subsequent modification to the lease modification that is awaiting the both parties that provides modifications to the requirement to return the facility to ground at the time of exit at Condiscretion, exercises the additional three year term to April 30, 2019 and modifies the required notice to terminate twelve months to six months. This lease modification provides the flexibility required for the Company to plan, do construct its own production facility which is expected to reduce operational cashflow requirements and provide the security of production capabilities for the Company.

16

The Company has reached agreement with the owner of a property adjacent to its leased facility with the expectat designing and constructing a new production facility which will accommodate the facility requirements for produ laboratory, and administrative offices. The new facility is anticipated to be a similar size to the current facility. The provides for additional future building as needed or subdivision, if required.

Marketing and Sales

Marketing Strategy

IsoRay has chosen to identify its proprietary Cesium-131 seed with the "Proxcelaff" brand and its liquid Cesium-brand "Cesitre. Management is using these brands to differentiate Cesium-131 from competing isotopes.

The market for treatments for localized prostate cancer treatment is very competitive and largely hinges upon the of long term follow-up data that has been presented to the prostate cancer treatment profession. The fact that Prox Cesium-131 was introduced to the prostate cancer marketplace more than a decade after Iodine-125 and Palladiur resulting time for mature clinical data to be developed, has proven an obstacle to widespread market acceptance. The publish these results is lengthy and includes the time to enroll patients in protocols which may take multiple years the size of the enrollment population; time to aggregate the results at five years from the final patient treatment; the data and author the article followed by the time for peer review and publication in a medical journal. The total process may approach a decade in length from start to publication. Management believes that the impressive result treatment with Cesium-131 at the five-year mark should create further scientific support for Cesium-131 as an attreatment for localized prostate cancer, overcoming at least some of the initial resistance predicated on the lack of follow-up reports. The data that was published in fiscal year 2015 is discussed in the section titled Industry Inform Cancer Treatment, "Comparing Cesium-131 to I-125 and Pd-103 Clinical Results".

The professional and patient market segments each play a role in the ultimate choice of cancer treatment and the chosen for seed brachytherapy treatment. The Company has developed a customized brand message for each aud Company's website (www.isoray.com) delivers the message that Cesium-131 is for the treatment of cancers throu IsoRay also maintains print and visual media (including physician brochures discussing the clinical advantages of clinical information binders, informational DVDs, single sheet glossies with targeted clinical data, etc.), and adversaling medical journals. In addition, the Company attends national professional meetings, including the following

\$ American Brachytherapy Society (ABS);
\$ American Society for Therapeutic Radiation and Oncology (ASTRO);
\$ Association of American Physicists in Medicine (AAPM);
\$ Society for Neuro-Oncology (SNO);

§ American Association of Neurological Surgeons (AANS);
 § American Association for Thoracic Surgery (STS);
 various local chapter meetings.

The Company also continues to consult with noted contributors from the medical physics community and expects professional journals regarding the benefits of and clinical trials involving Cesium-131 will continue to be submit

In addition, the Company continues to promote the clinical findings of the various protocols through presentation thought leaders. The Company will continually review and update all marketing materials as more clinical inform gathered from the protocols and studies.

Apart from clinical studies and papers sponsored by the Company, several physicians across the country have ind published papers and studies on the benefits of Cesium-131.

In today's U.S. health care market, patients are more informed and involved in the management of their health that Many physicians relate incidents of their patients coming for consultations armed with articles researched on the other sources describing new treatments and medications. In many cases, these patients are demanding a certain that and the physicians are complying when medically appropriate.

17

Because of this consumer-driven market factor, we also promote our products directly to the general public. We t prostate cancer patient, his spouse, family and care givers. We emphasize to these segments the specific advantage Proxcelan[®] Cesium-131 brachytherapy seed through our websites (located at www.isoray.com and www.proxcelandvocacy efforts, informational patient brochures and DVDs with patient testimonials, patient focused information (www.proxcelan.com), and advertisements in specific markets supporting brachytherapy. None of our websites should be a part of this Report.

The Company's marketing plan with regard to non-prostate segments includes identifying and exhibiting at scient attended by specialty physicians who perform procedures related to Company's product offerings; direct sales complysicians (for example thoracic surgeons and neuro-surgeons); and the development and dissemination of training other media that outline the Company's products. The Company also continues to work with its existing radiation physician customers and to educate them as to additional or new Company products. The Company's sales mana existing radiation oncology physicians and other key decision makers within an organization to discuss the results organizations in coordination with key Company scientific personnel to engage the customer representatives in disperceptions about Cesium-131 and comparisons to competing treatments.

Sales and Distribution

In the prostate cancer market, we target radiation oncologists and medical physicists as well as urologists as key of decision-makers in the type of radiation therapy offered to prostate cancer patients.

With respect to non-prostate applications, the Company targets neurosurgeons and thoracic surgeons in addition to oncologists. After these decision makers determine to use the Company's radiation therapy, the Company then ne the procedure from the medical physicists on staff. The sales cycle for non-prostate applications has proved a long prostate and often takes nine months before the Company is licensed in a new hospital and can make its first sale.

IsoRay has a direct sales organization consisting of five territory sales managers, who are being managed and dev Dwight Babcock, the Company's Chairman and Chief Executive Officer. All of the Company's territory sales managers to call o location for all applications of our products, resulting in a more efficient sales approach.

With the assistance of an executive search firm, the Company is currently actively recruiting additional territory swith previous experience in radiation oncology and specifically with brachytherapy sales for sales territories that have a full-time territory sales manager. The Company is also actively recruiting a new national sales director to the direct sales organization as the former director recently resigned.

The Company expects to continue to expand its customer base outside the U.S. market through use of established the key markets of other countries. As of September 14, 2015, the Company had independent distributors in Aust Zealand, Germany (with a territory covering Germany, Austria, Switzerland, and Luxembourg), Italy, and Russia

Reimbursement

Reimbursement by third party payers is the primary means of payment for all IsoRay products. The Centers for Medicaid Services (CMS) is the primary payer, providing coverage for approximately 65% of all prostate brachyt Well established brachytherapy coverage and payment policies are currently in place by CMS and other non-gove payers. In 2003, CMS established a unique HCPCS code for Cesium-131 brachytherapy seeds that permitted prottee use of Cesium-131 directly to payers. In July 2007, CMS established two separate Cesium-131 codes for prolose seeds and stranded seeds due to the cost differential of these two products. Reimbursement for prostate brachers and sources is well established in the US and most providers (hospitals and physicians) are not faced with reimbursement challenges when providing this treatment option to patients.

18

Prostate brachytherapy is typically performed in an outpatient setting, and as such, is covered by the CMS Outpat Payment System, which since 2010 has provided a fixed reimbursement per seed for stranded and loose seeds. It and cesium each have their own reimbursement values for stranded and loose seeds. If reported correctly when submitted for payment to CMS, providers are reimbursed at a flat rate that is equivalent to the cost of the seeds. It that this reimbursement system established in January 2010 will continue as currently scheduled through calendar is no assurance that this will occur. CMS has generally continued its historical trend of declining year over year rewith few exceptions. Private insurance companies have historically followed the CMS reimbursement policies. The expects that CMS will continue its annual review of payments provided as reimbursement for our various product will continue to provide favorable reimbursement rates for our Cesium-131 brachytherapy seeds. At this time, the loose seeds (which sometimes is the preferred configuration for the physician) is less than the amount reimbursed However, typically physicians order so few loose seeds that it does not appear to be a significant impairment to the

Unlike prostate brachytherapy implants, lung and brain procedures utilizing either seed brachytherapy or the Glia performed when the patient has been admitted to the hospital. In-patient procedures, as they are known, are cover which remits a set amount depending on the kind of surgery being performed and the status of the patient. Under Related Group or "DRG" system, the hospital pays for all the items involved in the care of the patient excluding parachytherapy seeds or the GliaSite® RTS in these in-patient cases are not paid for separately by CMS, but rather pays for the seeds out of the DRG payments from CMS. Because the Company's seeds may be more expensive the incurred by a hospital for a competing treatment, this reimbursement method can sometimes result in greater difficonvincing the hospitals to use the Company's products.

Other Information

Customers

The following top five customers, facilities or physician practices that utilize multiple surgical facilities at which prostate brachytherapy procedures are performed accounted for approximately 49.50% of the total Company procedure months ended June 30, 2015:

Facility	Location	% of revenue	2
El Camino, Los Gatos, & other facilities	Northern CA (1)	24.16	%
Bon Secours DePaul and Maryview Medical Center	MD	11.72	%
University of Pittsburg Medical Center – Mercy	PA	5.10	%
Advanced Radiation Centers of New York	NY	4.53	%
Candler Hospital & other facilities (Savannah, GA)	GA	4.49	%
Total		49.50	%

The head of the single largest physician practice also serves as the Company's medical director. As the medical physician is a member of the Medical Advisory Board; advises the Company Board of Directors and managem (1) technical advice related to product development and research and development; and provides internal training sales staff and professional training to our sales staff and to other physicians. Revenue from this practice decrease in the year ended June 30, 2015 when compared to the year ended June 30, 2014.

The loss of either the single largest physician practice or a combination of the other significant facilities and custo have a material adverse effect on the Company's revenues, which would continue until the Company located new replace them and there can be no assurance this would occur in a timely manner or at all.

19

Proprietary Rights

The Company relies on a combination of patent, copyright and trademark laws, trade secrets, software security m agreements and nondisclosure agreements to protect its proprietary rights. Some of the Company's proprietary inf not be patentable. The Company has a registered U.S. trademark for Proxcelan® and a pending application for Ce

The Company intends to vigorously defend its proprietary technologies, trademarks, and trade secrets. Members of employees, and certain equity holders have previously signed non-disclosure, non-compete agreements, and future consultants, advisors, with whom the Company engages, and who are privy to this information, will be required to patent for the cesium separation and purification process was granted on May 23, 2000 by the U.S. Patent and Tra (USPTO) under Patent Number 6,066,302, with an expiration date of April 28, 2019. The process was developed our Chief Chemist until his recent passing and a shareholder of the Company, and has been assigned exclusively usoRay's predecessor also obtained patent protection in four European countries under the Patent Cooperation Tre patents have been assigned to IsoRay.

Our management believes that certain aspects of the IsoRay seed design and construction techniques are patentab These innovations resulted in a patent granted by the USPTO under Patent Number 7,410,458, in August 2008 will date of December 5, 2025. Certain methodologies regarding isotope production, separation, and seed manufacture trade secrets and are embodied in IsoRay's procedures and documentation. Five patents have been granted by the to methods of deriving Cesium-131 developed by IsoRay employees: Patent Number 7,479,261 with an expiration 6, 2027; Patent Number 7,517,508 with an expiration date of July 19, 2027; Patent Number 7,531,150 with an expiration date of July 13, 2027; Patent Number 7,316,644 with an expiration date of August 5, 2025; and Patent Number 7,510,692 expiration date of July 19, 2027. The Company has two patents allowed in Canada which will become effective a their use in Canada. The Company has patents granted in the Russian Federation which expire at various times in The Company has a single patent granted in the Netherlands and India that each expire on June 22, 2025. The Cosingle patent pending in the EU and Hong Kong. The Company is continuing its efforts on developing and patent methods of deriving Cesium-131 and other isotopes.

There are specific conditions attached to the assignment of the Cesium-131 patent from Lane Bray. In particular, Royalty Agreement provides for 1% of gross profit payment from seed sales to Lane Bray and 1% of gross profit the Cesium-131 process patent for non-seed products. If IsoRay reassigns the Royalty Agreement to another composition increase to 2%. The Royalty Agreement has an anti-shelving clause which requires IsoRay to return the permanently abandons sales of products using the invention. During fiscal years 2015 and 2014, the Company receptors of \$14,448 and \$10,106, respectively, related to this patent.

The terms of a license agreement with the Lawrence Family Trust (successor to Don Lawrence) for a patent applicated "know-how" require the payment of a royalty based on the Net Factory Sales Price, as defined in the agree licensed product sales. Because the licensor's patent application was ultimately abandoned, only a 1% "know-how patent application".

remains applicable. To date, management believes that there have been no product sales incorporating the "know therefore believes no royalty is due pursuant to the terms of the agreement. Management believes that ultimately should be paid under this agreement as there is no intent to use this "know-how" in the future.

The Lawrence Family Trust has disputed management's contention that it is not using this "know-how". On Septe and again on October 31, 2007, the Company participated in nonbinding mediation regarding this matter; however was reached with the Lawrence Family Trust. After additional settlement discussions, which ended in April 2008 failed to reach a settlement. The parties may demand binding arbitration at any time.

Research and Development

During the three-year period ended June 30, 2015, IsoRay and its subsidiaries incurred approximately \$0.62 milli related to research and development activities. The Company expects to continue ongoing research and development the foreseeable future.

20

Government Regulation

The Company's present and future intended activities in the development, manufacture and sale of cancer therapy subject to extensive laws, regulations, regulatory approvals and guidelines. Within the United States, the Compan radiological devices must comply with the U.S. Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act, which is enforced by the I Company is also required to adhere to applicable FDA Quality System Regulations, also known as the Good Man Practices, which include extensive record keeping and periodic inspections of manufacturing facilities. The Company redecessor obtained FDA 510(k) clearance in March 2003 to market the Proxcelan® Cesium-131 seed for the tree localized solid tumors and other malignant disease and IsoRay obtained FDA 510(k) clearance in November 2006 preloaded brachytherapy seeds and in August 2009 for preloading flexible braided strands and bioabsorbable mess

In the United States, the FDA regulates, among other things, new product clearances and approvals to establish the efficacy of these products. We are also subject to other federal and state laws and regulations, including the Occu and Health Act and the Environmental Protection Act.

The Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and other federal statutes and regulations govern or influence the rese manufacture, safety, labeling, storage, record keeping, approval, distribution, use, reporting, advertising and promproducts. Noncompliance with applicable requirements can result in civil penalties, recall, injunction or seizure or refusal of the government to approve or clear product approval applications, disqualification from sponsoring or clinical investigations, preventing us from entering into government supply contracts, withdrawal of previously applications, and criminal prosecution.

In the United States, medical devices are classified into three different categories over which the FDA applies inc regulation: Class I, Class II, and Class III. Most Class I devices are exempt from premarket notification (510(k)); devices require premarket notification (510(k)); and most Class III devices require premarket approval. Our Proxe Cesium-131 seed is a Class II device and received 510(k) clearance in March 2003.

Approval of new Class III medical devices is a lengthy procedure and can take a number of years and require the significant resources. There is a shorter FDA review and clearance process for Class II medical devices, the prem notification or 510(k) process, whereby a company can market certain Class II medical devices that can be shown substantially equivalent to other legally marketed devices. Since brachytherapy seeds have been classified by the II device, we have been able to achieve market clearance for our Cesium-131 seed using the 510(k) process.

In August 2011, IsoRay Medical received clearance from the FDA for its premarket notification (510(k)) for the The GliaSite® RTS is the only FDA-cleared balloon catheter device used in the treatment of brain cancer.

In May 2014, the Company received clearance from the FDA for its pre-market notification (510k) for the radioth Cesitrex [liquid Cesium-131] for use with the GliaSite® RTS.

As a registered medical device manufacturer with the FDA, we are subject to inspection to ensure compliance with Good Manufacturing Practices, or cGMP. These regulations require that we and any of our contract manufacturer manufacture and service products, and maintain documents in a prescribed manner with respect to manufacturing distribution, storage, design control, and service activities. Modifications or enhancements that could significantly safety or effectiveness of a device or that constitute a major change to the intended use of the device require a new premarket notification for any significant product modification.

The Medical Device Reporting regulation requires that we provide information to the FDA on deaths or serious in to be associated with the use of our devices, as well as product malfunctions that are likely to cause or contribute serious injury if the malfunction were to recur. Labeling and promotional activities are regulated by the FDA and circumstances, by the Federal Trade Commission.

As a medical device manufacturer, we are also subject to laws and regulations administered by governmental entifederal, state and local levels. For example, our facility is licensed as a medical device manufacturing facility in the Washington and is subject to periodic state regulatory inspections. Our customers are also subject to a wide variety regulations that could affect the nature and scope of their relationships with us.

21

In support of IsoRay's global strategy to expand marketing to Canada, the European Union (EU) and Russia, we i process in fiscal year 2008 to obtain the European CE Mark, Canadian registration, and certification to ISO 1348. internationally recognized quality system. During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014, the CE Mark was renewed additional five years. European law requires that medical devices sold in any EU Member State comply with the the European Medical Device Directive (MDD) or the Active Implantable Medical Device Directive (AIMDD). I brachytherapy seeds are classified in Europe as an active implantable and are subject to the AIMDD and GliaSite Class 3 device subject to the MDD. Compliance with the AIMDD, MDD, and obtaining a CE Mark involves beir ISO 13485:2003 and obtaining approval of the product technical file by a notified body that is recognized by com authorities of a Member State. Compliance with ISO 13485:2003 is also required for registration of a company for products in Canada. Many of the recognized EU Notified Bodies are also recognized by Health Canada to conduc 13485:2003 inspections for Canadian registration. During fiscal year 2009, the Company received its certification 13485:2003 and obtained approval from Health Canada for its Canadian registration. The Company has had no su the product in the Canadian market and through its distributors is currently focusing on the markets in Germany, Switzerland, Luxembourg, Italy, and the Russian Federation. On June 18, 2014, the Company entered into an agr MedikorPharma-Ural LLC as the distributor in the Russian Federation. The agreement provides the distributor with sell the entire product line with exception of the Cesitrex which does not carry the CE mark. The Company has ex agreement to August 31, 2016 with one modification that removes Italy from the territory with the German distril market includes Germany, Austria, Switzerland and Luxembourg. The Company reached agreement with a distril during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013 and has actively supported this distributor in achieving regulatory clea distribution market. The agreement with the distributor for Greece was effective on May 1, 2013 but has now exp sales.

In April 2012, IsoRay Medical received a CE mark for the GliaSite® RTS which states that the Company conform requirements of the European Council Directive 93/42/EEC. The CE mark allows the GliaSite® RTS to be sold in countries and to be marketed in the European Free Trade Associate member states and the European Union. In AcCompany successfully amended its CE mark on the GliaSite® RTS which incorporated five changes.

In the United States, as a manufacturer of medical devices and devices utilizing radioactive byproduct material, we extensive regulation by not only federal governmental authorities, such as the FDA, but also by state and local go authorities, such as the Washington State Department of Health, to ensure such devices are safe and effective. In State, the Department of Health, by agreement with the federal Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), regulate use, and disposal of radioactive byproduct material as well as the manufacture of radioactive sealed sources to en with state and federal laws and regulations. Our Cesium-131 brachytherapy seeds and the GliaSite® RTS constituted devices and radioactive sealed sources and are subject to these regulations. The Company has received sealed source approval from the State of Washington Department of Health for the GliaSite® RTS, components of which are more our Richland facility.

Moreover, our use, management, and disposal of certain radioactive substances and wastes are subject to regulative federal and state agencies depending on the nature of the substance or waste material. We believe that we are in call federal and state regulations for this purpose.

Seasonality

The Company believes that some seed implantation procedures are deferred around physician vacations (particula summer months), holidays, and medical conventions and conferences resulting in a seasonal influence on the Corbusiness. These factors cause a momentary decline in revenue which management believes is ultimately realized approximately 49.50% of the Company's business is dependent on five customers, physician practices or facilities or extended vacations by the physicians at these facilities or by our single largest physician who accounts for approf total revenue could cause significant drops in the Company's productivity during those reporting periods.

22

Employees

As of September 11, 2015, IsoRay employed thirty-five full-time individuals and one part-time individual. The C success will depend, in part, on its ability to attract, retain, and motivate highly qualified sales, technical and man personnel. From time to time, the Company may employ independent consultants or contractors to support its residevelopment, marketing, sales, accounting and administrative organizations. None of the Company's employees a by any collective bargaining unit. At June 30, 2015, the Company employed seven direct sales people.

Competition

The Company competes in a market characterized by technological innovation, extensive research efforts, and sig competition. In general, the Proxcelan® Cesium-131 brachytherapy seed competes with conventional methods of localized cancer, including, but not limited to, all forms of prostatectomy surgery and external beam radiation the includes intensity modulated radiation therapy, as well as competing permanent brachytherapy devices.

The Company's patented Cesium-131 separation process is likely to provide a sustainable competitive advantage. Cesium-131 also requires specialized facilities that represent high cost and long lead time if not readily available. competitor would need to develop a method for isotope attachment and seed assembly, would need to conduct tes NRC and FDA requirements, and would need to obtain regulatory clearances before marketing a competing device received FDA 510(k) clearance to market a Cesium-131 seed on June 6, 1993 but to date has not produced any process of the competitive advantage.

The GliaSite® RTS and the Company's brachytherapy products used in non-prostate applications typically compete beam radiation therapy (EBRT), which can be provided as conventional or intensity modulated radiation therapy, stereotactic radiosurgery, a technique that delivers high doses of radiation to a target in a much lower number of so other forms of EBRT.

Manufacturers of EBRT equipment include Varian Medical Systems, Siemens Healthcare, Elekta AB, and Accur among others.

In the cases of lung and brain tumors (and other solid tumors), a surgeon will remove the tumor if it is medically offers the patient some benefit in terms of controlling the growth of the cancer or its symptoms. In many cases, rais added following the surgery; this is known as "adjuvant" radiation therapy. The Company believes that its forn radiation therapy deployable in such cases offers advantages over external beam methods. However, external beam majority of the market for adjuvant radiation therapy.

ITEM 1A – RISK FACTORS

You should carefully consider the following factors regarding information included in this Annual Report. The risuncertainties described below are not the only ones we face. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known we currently deem immaterial also may impair our business operations. If any of the following risks actually occupinancial condition and operating results could be materially adversely affected.

Risks Related to Our Industry and Operations

Our Revenues Depend Upon One Product. With the exception of the GliaSite® RTS which the Company began so 2012 fiscal year, our revenues depend solely upon the successful production, marketing, and sales of the Proxeela brachytherapy seed. The rate and level of market acceptance of this product varies depending on the perception by other members of the healthcare community of its safety and efficacy as compared to that of competing products, clinical outcomes of the patients treated; the effectiveness of our sales and marketing efforts or those of our distriit United States, the European Union (EU), Germany, Australia, New Zealand and the Russian Federation; any unfapublicity concerning our product or similar products; our product's price relative to other products or competing the decrease in current reimbursement rates from the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services or third-party payed evelopments related to the manufacture or continued use of the product; availability of sufficient supplies of bar Cesium-131 seed production; ability to produce sufficient quantities of Cesium-131; the ability of physicians to a dosage of seeds and avoid excessive levels of radiation to patients; and the ability to use this product to treat multicancers in various organs. Because of our reliance on this product as the primary source of our revenue, any mate developments with respect to the commercialization of this product may cause us to continue to incur losses rathe the future.

23

Although Cleared To Treat Any Malignant Tissue, Our Principal Product Is Primarily Used To Treat A Single Ty Currently, the Proxcelan® Cesium-131 seed is used almost exclusively for the treatment of prostate cancer (approeighty-seven percent (87%) of our sales). We have been treating brain cancer which amounted to approximately 7 product sales, lung cancer which amounted to approximately 2% and other cancers including head and neck; color gynecological and brain that combined constituted approximately 2% of our product sales in fiscal year 2015. The contributed 2% of our product sales in fiscal year 2015. Management believes the Proxcelan® Cesium-131 seed we be used to treat other types of cancers as the Company identifies existing delivery systems that can be utilized or delivery methods for the product, however these delivery systems may not prove as effective as anticipated. Manabelieves that clinical data gathered by select groups of physicians under treatment protocols specific to other organ needed prior to widespread acceptance of our product for treating other cancer sites. If our current and future proceeded prior to widespread acceptance of other sites, our sales will depend primarily on treatment of prostate cancer increasing competition and ongoing loss of market share by all brachytherapy products except for this fiscal year Company's prostate cancer revenue increased by 13% compared to the fiscal year 2014 and revenue overall increased year 2015 compared to fiscal year 2014.

We Rely Heavily On Five Customers. Approximately fifty percent (50%) of the Company's revenues are depended customers and approximately twenty-four percent (24%) on one customer. The loss of any of these customers wo material adverse effect on the Company's revenues which may not be replaced by other customers particularly as are in the prostate sector which is facing substantial competition from other treatments.

We Rely Heavily On A Limited Number Of Suppliers. Some materials used in our products are currently available limited number of suppliers. In fiscal 2015, approximately eighty-three percent (83%) of our Cesium-131 was sup JSC INM from a reactor located in Russia. Our current contract with JSC INM terminates on January 31, 2016 and be renegotiated. Management will seek to negotiate favorable pricing but there is no assurance as to the outcome negotiations. Management is evaluating other reactors that meet current specifications to yield Cesium-131 of the Company requires for use in its products but thus far has only confirmed such availability from MURR.

Reliance on any single supplier increases the risks associated with concentrating isotope production at a single rewhich can be subject to unanticipated shutdowns and political or civil unrest. Failure to obtain deliveries of Cesiumultiple sources could have a material adverse effect on seed production and there may be a delay before we could alternative suppliers beyond the two currently used.

We may not be able to locate additional suppliers outside of Russia, other than MURR, capable of producing the of cesium at the quality standards we require. Additional factors that could cause interruptions or delays in our so materials include limitations on the availability of raw materials or manufacturing performance experienced by or a breakdown in our commercial relations with one or more suppliers. Some of these factors may be completely or suppliers' control.

Virtually all titanium tubing used in brachytherapy seed manufacture comes from a single source, Accellent Corp currently obtain a key component of our seed core from another single supplier, C5 Medical Werks, LLC. We do written agreements with Accellent Corporation. We do have a purchase agreement with C5 Medical Werks, LLC fixed quantity of seed cores to be shipped over a 36 month period at a fixed unit price. Any interruption or delay in materials required to produce our products could cause harm to our business if we were unable to obtain an altern substitute equivalent materials in a cost-effective and timely manner. To mitigate any potential interruptions, the continually evaluates its inventory levels and management believes that the Company maintains a sufficient quantalleviate any potential disruptions.

24

Virtually all of the components used in the production of the GliaSite® RTS are from single sources. We do not h written agreements with those suppliers. Any interruption or delay in the supply of these components could cause business as the cost and / or time required to meet the regulatory requirements of the Food and Drug Administration United States and our notified body for our CE mark (British Standards Institute) in the European Union may be

While we work closely with suppliers to assure continuity of supply and maintain high quality and reliability, the not be successful. Manufacturing disruptions experienced by our suppliers may jeopardize our supply of componed disruption of our relationships with outside vendors could subject us to substantial delays in the delivery of our products could result in possible cancellation of orders and the customers.

Due to the stringent regulations and requirements of the FDA and other similar non-U.S. regulatory agencies regard manufacture of our products, we may not be able to quickly establish additional or replacement sources for certain materials. A change in suppliers could require significant effort or investment in circumstances where the items stringeral to product performance or incorporate unique technology. A reduction or interruption in manufacturing, of secure alternative sources of raw materials or components, could have a material effect on our business, results of financial condition and cash flows.

Any casualty, natural disaster or other significant disruption of any of our sole-source suppliers' operations, or an loss of any existing exclusive supply contract could have a material adverse effect on our business.

Although we expect our suppliers to comply with our contract terms, we do not have control over these suppliers. provide a product that meets delivery schedules could have a material adverse effect on our reputation in the inducould have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Further, any single source suppliers or contract manufacturers may operate through a single facility. If an event of resulted in material damage to this manufacturing facility or our supplier/manufacturing contractor lacked sufficient operate the facility, we may be unable to transfer the manufacture of our product or supply of the component to an location in a cost-effective or timely manner, if at all. This potential inability to transfer production could occur for reasons, including but not limited to a lack of necessary relevant manufacturing capability at another facility, or the requirements of the FDA or other governmental regulatory bodies. Even if there are many qualified suppliers or communication and results of operations.

Unfavorable Industry Trends in the Prostate Market. Several factors which began in fiscal 2009 have caused our significantly decline. These factors continued into fiscal year 2014 contributing to our failure to improve sales in

market until this fiscal year when we experienced an increase in sales over fiscal year 2014, but this improvement to the amount of revenues we had in fiscal 2011 or 2012. Beginning in the Fall of 2008, U.S. consumers significal spending (even for life saving medical procedures) which impacted the brachytherapy industry as a whole. In Februoted urologists announced at a medical conference that prostate specific antigen (PSA) testing was not as necess previously believed. Their statements were widely publicized. In May 2012, the U.S. Preventive Services Task For recommended against routine PSA screenings for healthy men without symptoms. We believe this recommendate renewed decline in PSA screenings. In addition, we believe there has been an increase in "active surveillance", a immediate medical treatment is provided; but the physician and patient closely monitor the patient's cancer for signification cancer is growing. We believe that declines in PSA screenings have led to a decline in the number of men diagnost prostate cancer. A decline in the number of PSA screenings would in turn lead to a decline in the number of procedures to treat prostate cancer, including brachytherapy procedures. An increase in the proportion of men diagnosed with prostate seeking immediate medical treatment would also lead to a decline in the number of procedures to treat prostate cancer.

As of the end of fiscal 2015, the American Cancer Society has not further revised its advice regarding PSA testing advise that the decision to be screened for prostate cancer should be made after getting information about the uncand potential benefits of prostate cancer screening. This advice has led to an increased number of men electing to testing.

25

Also the emergence of IMRT as the preferred treatment alternative as a result of a much higher reimbursement ra compared to brachytherapy treatments has resulted in declining market share for brachytherapy treatment. In fisca these factors continued to impact the performance of the Company in the prostate market and the industry as a who assurance that they will not continue to impact sales of the Company in the prostate market through fiscal 2010.

Doctors And Hospitals May Not Adopt Our Products And Technologies At Levels Sufficient To Sustain Our Busin Achieve Our Desired Growth Rate. To date, we have attained very limited penetration of the total potential market our products, particularly our non-prostate applications. Our future growth and success depends upon creating broand acceptance of our products by doctors, hospitals and freestanding clinics, as well as patients. This will require marketing and educational efforts, which will be costly and may not be successful. The target customers for our padopt these technologies or may adopt them at a rate that is slower than desired. We depend extensively on long t results and publications by independent physicians. Unfavorable protocol results or publications would have an insuccess of our products. In addition, potential customers who decide to utilize any of our devices may later choose competitors' products. Important factors that will affect our ability to attain broad market acceptance of our products.

doctor and/or patient awareness and acceptance of our products; the real or perceived effectiveness and safety of our products;

the relationship between the cost of our products and the real or perceived medical benefits of our products, the relationship between the cost of our products and the financial benefits to our customers using our products, greatly affected by the coverage of, and reimbursement for, our products by governmental and private third-party market perception of our ability to continue to grow our business and develop enhanced products.

We must promote our products effectively. Factors that could affect our success in marketing our products includ

the adequacy and effectiveness of our sales force and that of any distributor's sales force the adequacy and effectiveness of our production, distribution and marketing capabilities and those of our description the success of competing treatments or products; and

the availability and extent of reimbursement from third-party payors for our products

If any of our products fails to achieve market acceptance, we may not be able to market and sell the products succeed would limit our ability to generate revenue and could harm our business.

The Single Russian Supplier For Our Cesium-131. In June 2014 and again in January 2015, the Company entered agreement with The Open Joint Stock Company <<Institute of Nuclear Materials>> (JSC INM) to purchase Cesin from Institute of Nuclear Materials (INM). As a result, the Company relies on JSC INM to obtain Cesium-131 fr Russian reactor source. Through the INM Agreement, we have obtained fixed pricing for our Russian Cesium-131 termination of the contract on January 31, 2016. There can be no guarantee that JSC INM will always be able to sufficient Cesium-131 or will renew our existing contract on favorable terms in January 2016, which could be due

associated with foreign operations and beyond either our or JSC INM's control. If we were unable to obtain suppl from Russia in the future, our overall supply of Cesium-131 could be reduced significantly unless we have a sour barium for utilization in domestic reactors beyond the quantity that we already own. The Company has performed enriched barium as part of its annual impairment testing for its existing inventory of enriched barium and has found entity that could supply the required quantities of enriched barium. While recent testing of regions within the reach has found that Cesium-131 can be produced in economically viable quantities at a viable price, there is no assurant obtain the increased quantity of isotope at the pricing and quantities that the Company requires in the long term. It estimates that the supply of enriched barium that it currently owns should last from 24 to 36 months which would expand into other irradiation sites within MURR or at another reactor to supplement its supply of Cs-131.

26

Increased Prices For, Or Unavailability Of, Raw Materials Used In Our Products Could Adversely Affect Our Receivenues are affected by the prices of the raw materials and sub-assemblies used in the manufacture of our product may fluctuate based on a number of factors beyond our control, including changes in supply and demand, general conditions, labor costs, fuel related delivery costs, competition, import duties, tariffs, currency exchange rates, an regulation. Due to the highly competitive nature of the healthcare industry and the cost containment efforts of our third-party payers, we may be unable to pass along cost increases for key components or raw materials through his our customers. If the cost of key components or raw materials increases, and we are unable fully to recover these through price increases or offset these increases through other cost reductions, we could experience lower margin profitability. Significant increases in the prices of raw materials or sub-assemblies that cannot be recovered through gains, price increases or other methods could adversely affect our results of operations.

We Are Subject To Uncertainties Regarding Reimbursement For Use Of Our Products. Hospitals and freestanding less likely to purchase our products if they cannot be assured of receiving favorable reimbursement for treatments products from third-party payers, such as Medicare and private health insurance plans. Currently, Medicare reimbursed rates that cover the cost of stranded and loose seeds. Clinics and physicians performing procedures in a freener are reimbursed at the actual cost of the seeds. It is expected that CMS will continue to reimburse providers methodology in 2016 but there is no assurance this will occur.

Brachytherapy seeds have two CMS codes – one code for loose seeds and a second code for stranded seeds. Reir amounts are reviewed and revised annually based upon information submitted to CMS on claims by providers. C reimbursement can positively or negatively affect market demand for our products. We monitor these changes at comments, as permitted, when changes are proposed, prior to implementation.

In 2011, IsoRay introduced the GliaSite® RTS, which had an existing reimbursement code. As an in-patient procedure by CMS, hospitals are paid based on the type of surgery and the status of the patient. These procedures are done a Diagnostic Related Group or "DRG" system under which the hospital pays for all items involved in the care of the of the physician fees. Hospitals are less receptive to treatments which require out of pocket costs.

Historically, private insurers have followed Medicare guidelines in establishing reimbursement rates. However, the are increasingly challenging the pricing of certain medical services or devices, and we cannot be sure that they will customers at levels sufficient for us to maintain favorable sales and price levels for our products. There is no uniform reimbursement among third-party payers, and we can provide no assurance that our products will continue to quareimbursement from all third-party payers or that reimbursement rates will not be reduced. A reduction in or elim third-party reimbursement for treatments using our products would likely have a material adverse effect on our re-

Our success in international markets also depends upon the eligibility of our products for coverage and reimburse government-sponsored health care payment systems and third-party payors. Reimbursement practices vary significantly. Many international markets have government-managed insurance systems that control reimbursement for

and procedures. Other foreign markets have both private insurance systems and government-managed systems that reimbursement for new products and procedures. Market acceptance of our products may depend on the availability coverage and reimbursement in any country within a particular time. In addition, health care cost containment effect those we face in the United States are prevalent in many of the other countries in which we intend to sell our products are expected to continue.

Furthermore, any federal and state efforts to reform government and private healthcare insurance programs, such by the federal government in 2010, could significantly affect the purchase of healthcare services and products in a demand for our products in particular. Medicare is the payer in approximately 65% of all U.S. prostate brachyther are unable to predict the ultimate impact of the healthcare reform passed in 2010, those reforms that may be enact both in the United States and in other countries, whether other healthcare legislation or regulations affecting the b proposed or enacted in the future or what effect any such legislation or regulations would have on our business, fit condition or results of operations.

27

Our Operating Results Will Be Subject To Significant Fluctuations. Our quarterly revenues, expenses, and operat likely to fluctuate significantly in the future. Fluctuation may result from a variety of factors, which are discussed throughout this "RISK FACTORS" section, including:

```
demand and pricing for the Company's products;
effects of aggressive competitors;
hospital, clinic and physician purchasing decisions;
research and development and manufacturing expenses;
patient outcomes from our products;
physician acceptance of our products;
government or private healthcare reimbursement policies;
healthcare reform;
our manufacturing performance and capacity;
incidents, if any, that could cause temporary shutdown of our manufacturing facility;
the amount and timing of sales orders;
rate and success of future product approvals;
timing of FDA clearance, if any, of competitive products and the rate of market penetration of comproducts;
```

seasonality of purchasing behavior in our market;
overall economic conditions;
the 2.3% excise tax on medical devices which began in January 2013;
the successful introduction or market penetration of alternative therapies; and
the outcome of the FDA's evaluation of the clearance process for class II devices.

We Are Subject To The Risk That Certain Third Parties May Mishandle Our Product. We rely on third parties, su Express, to deliver our Proxcelan® Cesium-131 seed and all components of our GliaSite® RTS including Iotrex® and on other third parties, including various radiopharmacies, to package our products in certain specialized pack requested by customers. We are subject to the risk that these third parties may mishandle our product, which coul adverse effects, particularly given the radioactive nature of our products.

We May Encounter Manufacturing Problems Or Delays That Could Result In Lost Revenue. Manufacturing our promplex process. We (or our critical suppliers) may encounter difficulties in scaling up or maintaining production products, including:

```
problems involving production yields;
quality control and assurance;
component supply shortages;
import or export restrictions on components, materials or technology;
shortages of qualified personnel; and
compliance with state, federal and foreign regulations.
```

If demand for our products exceeds our manufacturing capacity, we could develop a substantial backlog of custor are unable to maintain larger-scale manufacturing capabilities, our ability to generate revenues will be limited and in the marketplace could be damaged.

28

Failure Of Any Clinical Studies Or Third-Party Assessments To Demonstrate Desired Outcomes In Proposed End Reduce Physician Usage Or Result In Pricing Pressures That Could Have A Negative Impact On Business Perfordirectly conduct or support third party clinical studies designed to test a variety of endpoints associated with product and use across a number of applications. If, as a result of poor design, implementation or otherwise, a clinical studies or others fails to demonstrate statistically significant results supporting performance or use benefits or comparate effectiveness of our products, physicians may elect not to use our products as a treatment for conditions that may them. Furthermore, in the event of an adverse clinical study outcome, our products may not achieve "standard-of-designations, where they exist, for the conditions in question, which could deter the adoption of our products. Als device-related adverse events are reported during the conduct of a study it could affect continuation of the study, approval and product adoption. If we are unable to develop a body of statistically significant evidence from our composition, whether due to adverse results or the inability to complete properly designed studies, domestic and internal private payers could refuse to cover our products, limit the manner in which they cover our products, or reduct are willing to pay or reimburse for our products. In the case of a pre-approval study or a study required by a regulation of clearance or approval, a regulatory body can revoke, modify or deny clearance or approval of the study product in question.

It Is Possible That Other Treatments May Be Deemed Superior To Brachytherapy. Our Proxeelan® Cesium-131 s GliaSite® RTS face competition not only from companies that sell other radiation therapy products, but also from are developing alternative therapies for the treatment of cancers. It is possible that advances in the pharmaceutica gene therapy fields could render some or all radiation therapies, whether conventional or brachytherapy, obsolete therapies are proven or even perceived to offer treatment options that are superior to brachytherapy, physician adebrachytherapy product could be negatively affected and our revenues from our brachytherapy product could decli

Our Industry Is Intensely Competitive. The medical device industry is intensely competitive. We compete with bo private medical device, biotechnology and pharmaceutical companies that have been in existence longer than we greater number of products on the market, have greater financial and other resources, and have other technological advantages. As physicians migrate to medical devices such as external beam radiation and robotic surgery that ha higher capital cost to repay and higher profit margins, this puts increasing pressure on all brachytherapy products regardless of their superior treatment results. The market share for brachytherapy continues to decline as a result from increasing usage by oncologists of external beam radiation. In addition, centers that wish to offer the Proxec Cesium-131 seed or the GliaSite® RTS must comply with licensing requirements specific to the state, province, a which they do business and these licensing requirements may take a considerable amount of time to comply with may choose not to offer our Proxcelan® Cesium-131 seed or the GliaSite® RTS due to the time required to obtain license amendments. We also compete with academic institutions, government agencies, and private research org development of technologies and processes and in acquiring key personnel. Although we have patents granted an applied for to protect our isotope separation processes and Cesium-131 seed manufacturing technology, we cannot one or more of our competitors will not attempt to obtain patent protection that blocks or adversely affects our prodevelopment efforts. The Company's GliaSit® RTS brachytherapy products typically compete with external bear therapy (EBRT), which can be provided as conventional or intensity modulated radiation therapy, or as stereotact a technique that delivers high doses of radiation to a target in a much fewer number of sessions than other forms Manufacturers of EBRT equipment include Varian Medical Systems, Siemens Healthcare, Elekta AB, and Accur among others. In the case of brain tumors, a surgeon will remove the tumor and radiation therapy is added follow this is known as "adjuvant" radiation therapy. The Company believes that its form of adjuvant radiation therapy of cases offers advantages over external beam methods. However, external beam holds the vast majority of the mark

radiation therapy. Until the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015, when the Company experienced 13% growth in prosbrachytherapy and 9% overall growth in product sales, revenues had declined in each of the prior four fiscal year the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015 rebounded to similar levels as the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013.

Cost-Containment Efforts Of Our Customers, Purchasing Groups, Third-Party Payers And Governmental Organ Adversely Affect Our Sales And Profitability. The continuing efforts of governments, insurance companies and othe healthcare costs to contain or reduce these costs, combined with closer scrutiny of such costs, could lead to patient to obtain approval for payment from these third-party payors. The cost containment measures that healthcare provinstituting both in the U.S. and internationally could harm our business. Some healthcare providers in the U.S. ha are considering a managed care system in which the providers contract to provide comprehensive healthcare for a person. Healthcare providers may attempt to control costs by authorizing fewer elective surgical procedures or by use of the least expensive devices possible, which could adversely affect the demand for our products or the price can sell our products. Some healthcare providers have sought to consolidate and create new companies with great power, including hospitals. As the healthcare industry consolidates, competition to provide products and services will continue to become more intense. This has resulted and likely will continue to result in greater pricing pressul exclusion of certain suppliers from important marketing segments.

29

Outside the United States, we expect to experience pricing pressure from centralized governmental healthcare aut efforts by such authorities to lower healthcare costs. Implementation of healthcare reforms and competitive bidding tenders may limit the price or the level at which reimbursement is provided for our products and adversely affect flexibility and the demand for our products. Healthcare providers may respond to such cost-containment pressure lower cost products or other therapies for our products. We may be required to engage in competitive bidding for products to governmental purchasing agents and hospital groups. Our failure to offer acceptable prices to these cuadversely affect our sales and profitability in these markets. Distributors of our products may also negotiate terms aggressively to increase their profitability. Failure to negotiate distribution arrangements having advantageous priterms of sale could cause us to lose market share and would adversely affect our business, results of operations, fit condition and cash flows.

If We Fail To Comply With Applicable Healthcare Regulations, We Could Face Substantial Penalties And Our Be Operations And Financial Condition Could Be Adversely Affected. Certain federal and state healthcare laws and pertaining to fraud and abuse and patients' rights may be applicable to our business. We could be subject to health abuse and patient privacy regulation by both the federal government and the states in which we conduct our busine limitation. The laws that may affect our ability to operate include, but are not limited to:

the federal Anti-Kickback Statute, which prohibits, among other things, knowingly and willfully soliciting, receipaying any remuneration (including any kickback, bribe or rebate), directly or indirectly, overtly or covertly, in to induce, or in return for, the referral of an individual for the furnishing or arranging for the furnishing of any ite the purchase, lease, order, arrangement for, or recommendation of the purchase, lease, or order of any good, facilitative for which payment may be made, in whole or in part, under a federal healthcare program, such as the Medicaid programs;

the civil federal False Claims Act, which imposes civil penalties, including through civil whistleblower or qui ta against individuals or entities for, among other things, knowingly presenting, or causing to be presented, to the for government, claims for payment that are false or fraudulent; knowingly making, using or causing to be made or record or statement to get a false or fraudulent claim paid or approved by the government; conspiring to defraud by getting a false or fraudulent claim paid or approved by the government; or knowingly making, using or causin used a false record or statement to avoid, decrease or conceal an obligation to pay money to the federal government the criminal federal False Claims Act, which imposes criminal fines or imprisonment against individuals or entiting present a claim to the government knowing such claim to be false, fictitious or fraudulent;

the civil monetary penalties statute, which imposes penalties against any person or entity who, among other thing to have presented or caused to be presented a claim to a federal health program that the person knows or should item or service that was not provided as claimed or is false or fraudulent;

the Veterans Health Care Act of 1992 that requires manufacturers of "covered drugs" to offer them for sale to ce agencies, including but not limited to, the Department of Veterans Affairs, on the Federal Supply Schedule, which compliance with applicable federal procurement laws and regulations and subjects manufacturers to contractual as administrative, civil and criminal sanctions;

•the federal Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (HIPAA), which created new federal cri that prohibit knowingly and willfully executing, or attempting to execute, a scheme to defraud any healthcare be obtain, by means of false or fraudulent pretenses, representations or promises, any of the money or property own the custody or control of, any healthcare benefit program, regardless of the payor (e.g., public or private), knowi willfully embezzling or stealing from a health care benefit program, willfully obstructing a criminal investigation care offense and knowingly and willfully falsifying, concealing or covering up by any trick or device a material

any materially false statements in connection with the delivery of, or payment for, healthcare benefits, items or s to healthcare matters;

30

HIPAA, as amended by the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act of 2009, and implementing regulations, which impose requirements on certain covered healthcare providers, health plans and clearinghouses as well as their respective business associates that perform services for them that involve individualth information, relating to the privacy, security and transmission of individually identifiable health information appropriate authorization, including mandatory contractual terms as well as directly applicable privacy and securand requirements;

the federal Physician Payment Sunshine Act, created under the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (the Arimplementing regulations requires manufacturers of drugs, devices, biologicals and medical supplies for which provailable under Medicare, Medicaid or the Children's Health Insurance Program (with certain exceptions) to repethe United States Department of Health and Human Services information related to payments or other transfers of physicians (defined to include doctors, dentists, optometrists, podiatrists and chiropractors) and teaching hospital ownership and investment interests held by physicians and their immediate family members, with data collection reporting to CMS by the 90th day following each calendar year;

federal consumer protection and unfair competition laws, which broadly regulate marketplace activities and activities and activities are potentially harm consumers;

the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, a U.S. law which regulates certain financial relationships with foreign govern (which could include, for example, certain medical professionals); and state law equivalents of each of the above federal laws, such as anti-kickback, false claims, consumer protection

competition laws which may apply to our business practices, including but not limited to, research, distribution, marketing arrangements as well as submitting claims involving healthcare items or services reimbursed by any the payors, including commercial insurers, and state laws governing the privacy and security of health information in circumstances many of which differ from each other in significant ways, with differing effect.

Additionally, the compliance environment is changing, with more states, such as California and Massachusetts, n implementation of compliance programs, compliance with industry ethics codes, and spending limits, and other s Vermont, Maine, and Minnesota, requiring reporting to state governments of gifts, compensation, and other remu physicians. These laws all provide for penalties for non-compliance. The shifting regulatory environment, along verguirement to comply with multiple jurisdictions with different compliance and/or reporting requirements, increased possibility that a company may inadvertently run afoul of one or more laws.

If our past or present operations are found to be in violation of any of the laws described above or the other gover regulations to which we, our distributors or our customers are subject, we may be subject to the applicable penalty with the violation, including civil and criminal penalties, damages, fines, exclusion from Medicare, Medicaid and government programs and the curtailment or restructuring of our operations. If we are required to obtain permits of under these laws that we do not already possess, we may become subject to substantial additional regulation or in expense. Any penalties, damages, fines, curtailment or restructuring of our operations would adversely affect our operate our business and our financial results. The risk of our being found in violation of these laws is increased to a variety of interpretations and additional legal or regulatory authorities or the courts, and their provi to a variety of interpretations and additional legal or regulatory change. Any action against us for violation of these we successfully defend against it, could cause us to incur significant legal expenses, divert our management's atternation of our business and damage our reputation. Moreover, achieving and sustaining compliance with application of the privacy, security and fraud laws may prove costly.

Medical Device Tax. Significant reforms to the healthcare system were adopted in the form of the ACA. The ACA provisions that, among other things, require the medical device industry to subsidize healthcare reform in the form excise tax (the Medical Device Tax) on the U.S. sales of most medical devices beginning in 2013. We believe this on 100% of our product sales that are sold in the United States. This tax is subject to change due to, among other IRS guidance and interpretations of the Medical Device Tax regulations, and changes in our product mix. This rewill have a material impact on our consolidated results of operations, cash flows, and financial condition.

31

In the year ended June 30, the Company's medical device tax expense was:

Amount 2015 \$99,203 2014 \$96,115

Healthcare Reform Measures Could Hinder Our Products' Commercial Success. In both the United States and ce jurisdictions there have been, and we anticipate there will continue to be, a number of legislative and regulatory continues that could impact our ability to sell any of our products profitably. In the United States, the Fedgovernment passed healthcare reform legislation, the ACA. The provisions of the ACA have become or will beconvarious dates. While many of the details regarding the implementation of the ACA are yet to be determined, we be continuing trends towards expanding coverage to more individuals, containing health care costs and improving

The continuing efforts of the government, insurance companies, managed care organizations and other payors of services to make and implement healthcare reforms may adversely affect:

our ability to set a price we believe is fair for our products;
our ability to generate revenues and achieve or maintain profitability;
the availability of capital; and
our ability to obtain timely approval of any future product modifications.

CMS has published final regulations that implement provisions in ACA related to disclosure of payments made b to physicians and teaching hospitals, effective April 2013. Because we manufacture devices that are covered by the all payments that we make to physicians and teaching hospitals would be subject to this reporting requirement ever payment relates to a device that is not considered a covered device. The tracking and reporting of these payments adverse impact on our business and/or consolidated results of operations and financial condition and on our relation customers and potential customers.

We May Be Unable To Adequately Protect Or Enforce Our Intellectual Property Rights Or Secure Rights To Thin Patents. Our ability and the abilities of our distributors to obtain and maintain patent and other protection for our affect our success. We are assigned, have rights to, or have exclusive licenses to patents and patents pending in the numerous foreign countries. The patent positions of medical device companies can be highly uncertain and involve and factual questions. Our patent rights may not be upheld in a court of law if challenged. Our patent rights may recompetitive advantages for our products and may be challenged, infringed upon or circumvented by our competit patent our products in all countries or afford to litigate every potential violation worldwide.

Because of the large number of patent filings in the medical device and biotechnology field, our competitors may applications or been issued patents and may obtain additional patents and proprietary rights relating to products o competitive with or similar to ours. We cannot be certain that U.S. or foreign patents do not exist or will not be is harm our ability to commercialize our products and product candidates.

Pending And Future Patent Litigation Could Be Costly And Disruptive And May Have An Adverse Effect On Our Condition And Results Of Operations. We operate in an industry characterized by extensive patent litigation. Pote claims include challenges to the coverage and validity of the Company's patents on products or processes as well that the Company's products infringe patents held by competitors or other third parties. A loss in any of these typ result in a loss of patent protection or the ability to market products, which could lead to a significant loss of sales materially affect future results of operations.

32

The Company's commercial success will depend in part on not infringing the patents or violating the other propri third parties. Intellectual property litigation is expensive and complex and outcomes are difficult to predict. Any putture patent litigation may result in significant damage awards, including treble damages under certain circumstating injunctions that could prevent the manufacture and sale of affected products or force us to make significant royalt order to continue selling the affected products. At any given time, we may be involved as either a plaintiff or a denumber of patent infringement actions, the outcomes of which may not be known for prolonged periods of time. A supplier, we can expect to face additional claims of patent infringement in the future. A successful claim of patent intellectual property infringement against us could adversely affect our results of operations and financial conditions.

The Value Of Our Granted Patents, and Our Patents Pending, Is Uncertain. Although our management strongly patent on the process for producing Cesium-131, our patents on additional methods for producing Cesium-131 and our patent on the manufacture of the brachytherapy seed, and anticipated future patent applications, which have n filed, have significant value, we cannot be certain that other like-kind processes may not exist or be discovered, the patents is enforceable, or that any of our patent applications will result in issued patents.

Failure To Comply With Government Regulations Could Harm Our Business. As a medical device and medical is manufacturer, we are subject to extensive, complex, costly, and evolving governmental rules, regulations and rest administered by the FDA, by other federal and state agencies, and by governmental authorities in other countries. with these laws and regulations is expensive and time-consuming, and changes to or failure to comply with these regulations, or adoption of new laws and regulations, could adversely affect our business.

In the United States, as a manufacturer of medical devices and devices utilizing radioactive by-product material, we extensive regulation by federal, state, and local governmental authorities, such as the FDA and the Washington State, or the FDA devices are safe and effective. Regulations promulgated by the FDA under the U.S. Foo Cosmetic Act, or the FDC Act, govern the design, development, testing, manufacturing, packaging, labeling, distributing and sale, post-market surveillance, repairs, replacements, and recalls of medical devices. In Washington Department of Health, by agreement with the federal Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), regulates the possed disposal of radioactive byproduct material as well as the manufacture of radioactive sealed sources to ensure communication and regulations. Our Proxcelan® Cesium-131 brachytherapy seeds and the GliaSite® RTS of medical devices and radioactive sealed sources and are subject to these regulations.

Under the FDC Act, medical devices are classified into three different categories, over which the FDA applies income of regulation: Class I, Class II, and Class III. Our Proxcelan® Cesium-131 seed has been classified as a Class II described clearance from the FDA through the 510(k) pre-market notification process. Any modifications to the designificantly affect safety or effectiveness, or constitute a major change in intended use, would require a new 510 As with any submittal to the FDA, there is no assurance that a 510(k) clearance would be granted to the Company

The FDA has been considering legislative, regulatory and/or administrative changes to the FDA's 510(k) program committees of the U.S. Congress have also indicated that they may consider investigating the FDA's 510(k) procedurent 510(k) rules, certain types of medical devices can obtain FDA approval without lengthy and expensive clitrials. Among our product offerings, those products that require FDA approval have received such approval under rules. Our R&D programs and new product programs contemplate obtaining any required FDA approvals under the 510(k) rules. Any changes to the current 510(k) or related FDA rules that make such rules more stringent or required actions are approval and considered with bringing new products or product modifications may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

In addition to FDA-required market clearances and approvals for our products, our manufacturing operations are comply with the FDA's Quality System Regulation, or QSR, which addresses requirements for a company's quality as management responsibility, good manufacturing practices, product and process design controls, and quality commanufacturing. Compliance with applicable regulatory requirements is monitored through periodic inspections by Office of Regulatory Affairs (ORA). We anticipate both announced and unannounced inspections by the FDA. Su could result in non-compliance reports (Form 483) which, if not adequately responded to, could lead to enforcement FDA can institute a wide variety of enforcement actions ranging from public warning letters to more severe sanct fines; injunctions; civil penalties; recall of our products; operating restrictions; suspension of production; non-approximately material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

33

In addition to the ACA, various healthcare reform proposals have also emerged at the state level. Like the ACA, to could reduce medical procedure volumes and impact the demand for our products or the prices at which we sell o impact of these proposals could have a material adverse effect on our business and/or consolidated results of oper financial condition.

The automatic spending cuts of nearly \$1 trillion over the next 10 years that were included under the Budget Con including a 2% cut to Medicare providers and suppliers, took effect in 2013. Medicaid is exempt from these cuts. Medicare reimbursement which affect our products could have a material adverse effect on our business and/or or results of operations and financial condition.

The marketing of our products in foreign countries will, in general, be regulated by foreign governmental agencie FDA. Foreign regulatory requirements vary from country to country. The time and cost required to obtain regulat could be longer than that required for FDA clearance in the United States and the requirements for licensing a procountry may differ significantly from FDA requirements. We will rely, in part, on foreign distributors to assist us with foreign regulatory requirements. We may not be able to obtain these approvals without incurring significant all, and the failure to obtain these approvals would prevent us from selling our products in the applicable countrie limit our sales and growth.

Quality Problems With Our Products Could Harm Our Reputation For Producing High-Quality Products And En Competitive Advantage, Sales, And Market Share. Quality is extremely important to us and our customers due to costly consequences of product failure, which can include patient harm. Our operating results depend in part on o sustain an effective quality control system and effectively train and manage our employee base with respect to our Our quality system plays an essential role in determining and meeting customer requirements, preventing defects our products and services. While we have a network of quality systems throughout our business lines and facilities safety issues may occur with respect to any of our products. A quality or safety issue may result in a public warnithe FDA, product recalls or seizures, monetary sanctions, injunctions to halt manufacturing and distribution of procriminal sanctions, refusal of a government to grant clearances or approvals or delays in granting such clearances import detentions of any future products made outside the United States, restrictions on operations or withdrawal of existing approvals. Negative publicity regarding a quality issue could damage our reputation, cause us to lose of decrease demand for our products. Any of the foregoing events could disrupt our business and have an adverse ef results of operations and financial condition.

Our Business Exposes Us To Product Liability Claims. Our design, testing, development, manufacture, and marked products involve an inherent risk of exposure to product liability claims and related adverse publicity. Our brachy products deliver a highly concentrated and confined dose of radiation directly to the organ in which it is implanted the patient's body. Surrounding tissues and organs are typically spared excessive radiation exposure. It is an inherindustries in which we operate that we might be sued in a situation where one of our products results in, or is alleg a personal injury to a patient, health care provider, or other user. Although we believe that as of the date of this readequate insurance to address anticipated potential liabilities associated with product liability, any unforeseen proexposure in excess of, or outside the scope of, such insurance coverage could adversely affect our financial condi-

operating results. Any such claim brought against us, with or without merit, could result in significant damage to Insurance coverage is expensive and difficult to obtain, and, although we currently have a five million dollar policy we may be unable to obtain or renew coverage on acceptable terms, if at all. If we are unable to obtain or renew so insurance at an acceptable cost or if a successful product liability claim is made against us, whether fully covered not, our business could be harmed. The FDA's medical device reporting regulations require us to report any incide products may have caused or contributed to a death or serious injury, or in which our products malfunctioned in a be likely to cause or contribute to a death or serious injury if the malfunction reoccurred. Any required filing could investigation of our products and possibly subsequent regulatory action against us if it is found that one of our protects death or serious injury of a patient.

34

Our Business Involves Environmental Risks. Our business involves the controlled use of hazardous materials, che biologics, and radioactive compounds. Manufacturing is extremely susceptible to product loss due to radioactive, viral contamination; material or equipment failure; vendor or operator error; or due to the very nature of the product half-life. Although we believe that our safety procedures for handling and disposing of such materials comply wit federal standards there will always be the risk of accidental contamination or injury. In addition, radioactive, microcontamination may cause the closure of the manufacturing facility for an extended period of time. By law, radioactive and only be disposed of at state-approved facilities. At our leased facility we use commercial disposal contractor planning process of shutting down our leased manufacturing and office facility, planning the construction of a new and office facility to be owned by the Company on an adjacent property and moving to the new manufacturing factoristructed and licensed, we will incur costs related to the clean-up and disposal of hazardous materials, chemical radioactive components of the leased facility. While management believes it has reserved a sufficient amount of the process, the Company may need more than the amount of the asset retirement obligation to meet the lease require receive clearance from the Washington State Department of Health. We may incur substantial costs related to the these materials. If we were to become liable for an accident, or if we were to suffer an extended facility shutdown significant costs, damages, and penalties that could harm our business.

We Rely Upon Key Personnel. Our success will depend, to a great extent, upon the experience, abilities and contiour executive officers, sales staff and key scientific personnel. If we lose the services of several officers, sales per scientific personnel, our business could be harmed. Our success also will depend upon our ability to attract and re highly qualified scientific, managerial, sales, and manufacturing personnel and their ability to develop and mainta with key individuals in the industry. Competition for these personnel and relationships is intense and we compete pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies as well as with universities and non-profit research organizations. Very dependent on our direct sales organization who promote and support our brachytherapy products. There is intense skilled sales and marketing employees, particularly for people who have experience in the radiation oncology marketing employees, particularly for people who have experience in the radiation oncology marketing end it difficult to hire or retain skilled individuals to sell our products. Failure to retain our force could adversely affect our growth and our ability to meet our revenue goals. There can be no assurance that and marketing efforts will be successful. If we are not successful in our direct sales and marketing, our sales revenue of operations are likely to be materially adversely affected. We may not be able to continue to attract and retain quersonnel.

Our Ability To Operate In Foreign Markets Is Uncertain. Our future growth will depend in part on our ability and our distributors to establish, grow and maintain product sales in foreign markets, particularly in the European Unithrough the German distributor in its territory which includes Germany, Austria, Switzerland, and Luxembourg. In have limited experience in marketing and distributing products in other countries. Foreign operations subject us to risks and uncertainties, including our customers' ability to obtain reimbursement for procedures using our product markets; the burden of complying with complex and changing foreign regulatory requirements; time-sensitive derequirements due to the short half-life of our product; language barriers and other difficulties in providing long-disservice; potentially increased time to collect accounts receivable; significant currency fluctuations, which could distributors to reduce the number of products they purchase from us because the cost of our products to them cour relative to the price they can charge their customers; reduced protection of intellectual property rights in some for and the possibility that contractual provisions governed by foreign laws would be interpreted differently than interevent of a contract dispute. In addition, the significant appreciation of the U.S. dollar during the past year has manuch more expensive in overseas markets. Any future foreign sales of our products could also be adversely affect license requirements, the imposition of governmental controls, political and economic instability, trade restrictions.

tariffs, and difficulties in staffing and managing foreign operations. Many of these factors may also affect our abi Cesium-131 from Russia under our contract with JSC INM. Sanctions placed on financial transactions with Russi institutions may interfere with the Company's ability to transact business in Russia on a temporary or other basis interruption of the Cs-131 supply which could have a temporary material adverse effect on the Company's business results and financial condition.

35

Our Ability To Expand Operations And Manage Growth Is Uncertain. Our efforts to expand our operations will r increased responsibilities for management personnel and will place a strain upon the entire company. To compete to accommodate growth, if any, we may be required to continue to implement and to improve our management, n sales and marketing, operating and financial systems, procedures and controls on a timely basis and to expand, train and manage our employees. There can be no assurance that our personnel, systems, procedures, and controls will support our future operations. If the Proxcelan® Cesium-131 seed were to rapidly become the "seed of choice," it we could immediately meet demand. This could cause customer discontent and invite competition. There can be no that our personnel, systems, procedures, and controls will be adequate to immediately react to that growth.

We Rely On The Performance Of Our Information Technology Systems, The Failure Of Which Could Have An Act Our Business And Performance. Our business requires the continued operation of sophisticated information technology infrastructure. These systems are vulnerable to interruption by fire, power loss, system malfunction, viruses, cyber-attacks and other events, which may be beyond our control. Systems interruptions could reduce our accept customer orders, manufacture our products, or provide service for our customers, and could have an adversoperations and financial performance. The level of protection and disaster-recovery capability varies from site to can be no guarantee that any such plans, to the extent they are in place, will be totally effective. In addition, secur our information technology systems could result in the misappropriation or unauthorized disclosure of confidential belonging to us, our employees, partners, customers, or our suppliers, which may result in significant costs and programment sanctions. In particular, if we are unable to adequately safeguard individually identifiable health information be subject to additional liability under domestic and international laws respecting the privacy and security of information.

Fluctuations In Insurance Cost And Availability Could Adversely Affect Our Profitability Or Our Risk Management hold a number of insurance policies, including product liability insurance, directors' and officers' liability insurance compensation insurance. If the costs of maintaining adequate insurance coverage increase significantly in the future operating results could be materially adversely affected. Likewise, if any of our current insurance coverage should unavailable to us or become economically impractical, we would be required to operate our business without indecommercial insurance providers. If we operate our business without insurance, we could be responsible for paying judgments against us that would have otherwise been covered by insurance, which could adversely affect our rest operations or financial condition.

Risks Of Ongoing Litigation. On May 22, 2015, the first of multiple class action complaints for violation of the felaws were filed in U.S. District Court for the Central District of California and in the Eastern District of Washing IsoRay, Inc., Dwight Babcock (CEO and Chairman of the Board) and Brien Ragle (CFO). The complaint, purpor behalf of all purchasers of IsoRay, Inc. common stock from May 20, 2015 through and including May 21, 2015, a related to a press release on May 20, 2015 regarding a May 19 online publication of the peer-reviewed article in the Brachytherapy titled "Analysis of Stereotactic Radiation vs. Wedge Resection vs. Wedge Resection Plus Cesium-Brachytherapy in Early-Stage Lung Cancer" by Dr. Bhupesh Parashar, et al. and seeks, among other things, dama expenses. An order dated August 17, 2015 was filed in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Washing multiple complaints were consolidated into a single action in that district, appointing a group of lead plaintiffs and their choice of lead counsel. The order provided the plaintiffs with the opportunity to amend the complaint. We coutcome of such proceedings or provide an estimate of damages, if any. We believe that these claims are without

intend to defend them vigorously. While management believes that its insurance will adequately cover costs to de and damages, if any, it is too early to determine what those amounts may ultimately be and there is no assurance costs and outcome of this lawsuit.

We Have Incurred Significant Losses To Date, And There Is No Guarantee That We Will Ever Become Profitable net losses of \$3,681,050 and \$5,959,122 in the fiscal years ended 2015 and 2014, respectively. In addition, we had deficit from the inception of business through June 30, 2015 of \$61,731,506. The costs for research and product our products along with marketing and selling expenses and general and administrative expenses have been the profitable our losses. We may not ever become profitable and if we do not become profitable your investment could be had a selling expense.

36

We May Need Additional Capital In The Future For Acquisitions And Expansion Into Other Markets. At June 30, cash and certificates of deposit of \$19,696,089. The combination of our current cash and certificates of deposit be non-current balance and projected product sales should provide us with sufficient funds to support operations at c expenses and revenues for 5 years. However, we may need to raise capital for strategic acquisitions or expansion markets and there is no assurance management will not pursue this additional capital if available.

Risks Related to Our Stock and Reporting Requirements

Our Reporting Obligations As A Public Company Are Costly. Operating a public company involves substantial company involves substantial continued to increase as provisions of the Sarbar of 2002 have been implemented and may increase again as a result of the Company becoming subject to the accelerated requirements of the Securities and Exchange Commission as of the year ended June 30, 2015. The accelerated filia and requirement for the auditor to opine on internal control effectiveness may increase the cost of the quarterly reannual audit and may require additional employees or technology investment to meet these requirements.

Our Stock Price Is Likely To Be Volatile. The market price of our common stock has experienced fluctuations an fluctuate significantly in the future. For example, during fiscal 2015 the closing price of one share of our common a high of \$3.79 and a low of \$1.22. There is generally significant volatility in the market prices and limited liquid of early stage companies, and particularly of early stage medical product companies. Contributing to this volatility events that can affect our stock price in a positive or negative manner. These events include, but are not limited to approvals of or refusals to approve regulations or actions; market acceptance and sales growth of our products; lit involving the Company or our industry; developments or disputes concerning our patents or other proprietary right the structure of healthcare payment systems; departure of key personnel; future sales of our securities; fluctuation financial results or those of companies that are perceived to be similar to us; swings in seasonal demands of purch general perception of us; and general economic, industry and market conditions. In addition, the securities of mandevice companies, including us, have historically been subject to extensive price and volume fluctuations that material price of their common stock. If any of these events occur, it could cause our stock price to fall.

The Price Of Our Common Stock May Be Adversely Affected By The Future Issuance And Sale Of Shares Of Our Or Other Equity Securities. We cannot predict the size of future issuances or sales of our common stock or other for future acquisitions or capital raising activities, or the effect, if any, that such issuances or sales may have on the of our common stock. The issuance and sale of substantial amounts of common stock or other equity securities or that such issuances and sales may occur, could adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

The Issuance Of Shares Upon Exercise Of Derivative Securities May Cause Immediate And Substantial Dilution Shareholders. The issuance of shares upon conversion of the preferred stock and the exercise of common stock wo options may result in substantial dilution to the interests of other shareholders since these selling shareholders may convert or exercise and sell all or a portion of the full amount issuable upon exercise. If all derivative securities of

September 11, 2015 were converted or exercised into shares of common stock, there would be approximately an a 2,817,153 shares of common stock outstanding as a result. The issuance of these shares will have the effect of fur proportionate equity interest and voting power of holders of our common stock.

We Do Not Expect To Pay Any Dividends For The Foreseeable Future. We do not anticipate paying any dividends shareholders for the foreseeable future except for dividends on the Series B Preferred Stock which we intend to proceed to rely on sales of their common stock after price appreciation investment return, which may never occur. Any determination to pay dividends in the future will be made at the common of Directors and will depend on our results of operations, financial conditions, contractual restrictions, restrictions, applicable laws and other factors that our Board deems relevant.

37

Certain Provisions of Minnesota Law and Our Charter Documents Have an Anti-Takeover Effect. There exist cer mechanisms under Minnesota law and our charter documents that may delay, defer or prevent a change of contro provisions of our articles of incorporation, bylaws and Minnesota law could diminish the opportunity for shareho participate in acquisition proposals at a price above the then-current market price of our common stock. For exam have no present plans to issue any preferred stock, our Board of Directors, without further shareholder approval, of undesignated preferred stock and fix the powers, preferences, rights and limitations of such class or series, whi adversely affect the voting power of the common shares. In addition, our bylaws provide for an advance notice pr nomination of candidates to our Board of Directors that could have the effect of delaying, deterring or preventing control. Further, as a Minnesota corporation, we are subject to provisions of the Minnesota Business Corporation regarding "business combinations," which can deter attempted takeovers in certain situations. Pursuant to the term shareholder rights plan adopted in February 2007, each outstanding share of common stock has one attached righ cause substantial dilution of the ownership of a person or group that attempts to acquire the Company on terms no the Board of Directors and may have the effect of deterring hostile takeover attempts. The effect of these anti-tak may be to deter business combination transactions not approved by our Board of Directors, including acquisitions a premium over the market price to some or all shareholders. We may, in the future, consider adopting additional measures. The authority of our Board to issue undesignated preferred or other capital stock and the anti-takeover the MBCA, as well as other current and any future anti-takeover measures adopted by us, may, in certain circums deter or prevent takeover attempts and other changes in control of the Company not approved by our Board of Di

ITEM 1B - UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

We have no unresolved written comments from the SEC staff regarding our filings under the Exchange Act.

ITEM 2 – PROPERTIES

The Company's executive offices are located at 350 Hills Street, Suite 106, Richland, WA 99354, (509) 375-1202 currently leases approximately 15,300 square feet of office and laboratory space for approximately \$22,850 per m janitorial expenses of approximately \$400 per month from Energy Northwest, the owner of the Applied Process E Laboratory (the APEL facility). The Company is not affiliated with this lessor. The monthly rent is subject to ann based on the Consumer Price Index. The current lease was entered into in May 2013, and expires on April 30, 20 modification and renewal entered into in May 2013 added one additional three-year renewal option, giving the Coability to extend the lease through April 2019.

The Company has negotiated and agreed to a subsequent modification to the lease modification that is awaiting the both parties that provides modifications to the requirement to return the facility to ground at the time of exit at Condiscretion, exercises the additional three year term to April 30, 2019 and modifies the required notice to terminate twelve months to six months. This lease modification provides the flexibility required for the Company to plan, deconstruct its own production facility which is expected to reduce operational cashflow requirements and provides

security of production capabilities for the Company

The Company has entered into an agreement with the owner of a property adjacent to its leased facility with the eplanning, designing and constructing a new production facility which will accommodate the facility requirements laboratory, and administrative offices. The new facility is anticipated to be a similar size to the current facility. The provides for additional future building as needed or subdivision, if required. The property is approximately 4.2 activities that the Technology & Business Campus of the Port of Benton. The agreement provides for a 60 day "Feasibility determine that the property is acceptable for its intended use and in which the Company at its sole discretion may agreement. The closing date is to be no later than October 30, 2015.

The Company's management believes that all facilities occupied by the Company are adequate for present require the Company's current equipment is in good condition and is suitable for the operations involved.

38

ITEM 3 – LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

On May 22, 2015, the first of multiple class action complaints alleging violations of the federal securities laws were District Court for the Central District of California and in the Eastern District of Washington against IsoRay, Inc. Babcock (CEO and Chairman of the Board) and Brien Ragle (CFO). The complaints, purportedly brought on bell purchasers of IsoRay, Inc. common stock from May 20, 2015 through and including May 21, 2015, asserts claims press release on May 20, 2015 regarding a May 19 online publication of the peer-reviewed article in the journal E titled "Analysis of Stereotactic Radiation vs. Wedge Resection vs. Wedge Resection Plus Cesium-131 Brachyther Early-Stage Lung Cancer" by Dr. Bhupesh Parashar, et al. and seeks, among other things, damages and costs and order dated August 17, 2015 was filed in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Washington in which to complaints were consolidated into a single action in that district, appointing a group of lead plaintiffs and appoint of lead counsel. The order provided the plaintiffs with the opportunity to amend the complaint. We cannot predict such proceedings or provide an estimate of damages, if any. We believe that these claims are without merit and in them vigorously.

ITEM 4 -MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable

PART II

ITEM 5 – MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTE ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

The Company's Articles of Incorporation provide that the Company has the authority to issue 200,000,000 shares which are currently divided into two classes as follows: 192,998,329 shares of common stock, par value of \$0.00 7,001,671 shares of preferred stock, par value of \$0.001 per share. As of September 11, 2015, we had 55,013,553 shares of Common Stock and 59,065 outstanding shares of Series B Preferred Stock.

On April 19, 2007, our common stock began trading on the American Stock Exchange (now the NYSE MKT) un "ISR."

39

The following table sets forth, for the fiscal quarters indicated, the high and low sales prices for our common stock the NYSE MKT.

Year ended June 30, 2015	High	Low
First quarter	\$3.24	\$1.35
Second quarter	2.18	1.22
Third quarter	1.86	1.27
Fourth quarter	3.79	1.42
Year ended June 30, 2014	High	Low
First quarter	\$0.82	\$0.52

0.65

3.30

3.18

0.46

0.50

1.86

Second quarter

Third quarter

Fourth quarter

Report.

The Company has never paid any cash dividends on its Common Stock and does not plan to pay any cash divider foreseeable future. On December 17, 2014, the Board of Directors declared a dividend on the Series B Preferred outstanding and cumulative dividends through December 31, 2014. The total Series B accrued dividends of \$10,60 of December 31, 2014. At June 30, 2015, there were 59,065 Series B preferred shares outstanding and cumulative arrears were \$5,316. There are no Series A, Series C or Series D shares of Preferred Stock outstanding as of the dividends of the dividends of \$10,60 of December 31, 2014.

As of September 11, 2015, we had approximately 234 shareholders of record, exclusive of shares held in street na price of our common stock was \$1.46 on September 11, 2015.

Equity Compensation Plans

periods as determined by the Board.

On May 27, 2005, the Company adopted the 2005 Stock Option Plan (the Option Plan) and the 2005 Employee Stock Option Plan (the 2005 Employee Plan). The Option Plan and the 2005 Employee Plan terminated on May 27, 2015 and no options may be granted under either Plan. On August 15, 2006, the Company adopted the 2006 Director Stock Option Plan) pursuant to which it may grant equity awards to eligible persons. Each of the Plans has subsequent amended. On May 15, 2014, the Company adopted the 2014 Employee Stock Option Plan (the 2014 Employee Plan which it may grant equity awards to eligible persons. The 2014 Employee Plan allows the Board of Directors to go purchase up to 2,000,000 shares of common stock to officers and key employees of the Company. The Director Plans are provided under all of the Plans have a ten year maximum term, an exercise price equal to at least the fair market vary Company's common stock (based on the trading price on the NYSE MKT) on the date of the grant, and with vary

As of June 30, 2015, the following options had been granted under the option plans.

Plan Category Equity compensation plans approved by shareholders	Number of securities to be issued on exercise of outstanding options, warrants, and rights # 270,000	Weighted- average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants, and rights \$ \$ 1.47	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans 1,730,000
Equity compensation plans not approved by shareholders	2,148,282	\$ 1.96	133,334
Total	2,418,282	\$ 1.91	1,863,334

40

Da	rformar	oo C	ranh
rei	rtormar	ice G	rann

The graph below matches IsoRay, Inc.'s cumulative 5-year total shareholder return on common stock with the curreturns of the NYSE MKT Composite index and the Russell Microcap® Index. The graph tracks the performance investment in our common stock and in each index (with the reinvestment of all dividends) from June 30, 2010 to

The stock price performance included in this graph is not necessarily indicative of future stock price performance performance graph is furnished solely to accompany this Form 10-K annual report and is not being filed for purp Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and is not to be incorporated by reference into any filing of the Co made before or after the date hereof, regardless of any general incorporation language in such filing.

Sales of Unregistered Securities

All sales of unregistered securities during the 2015 fiscal year were previously reported.

ITEM 6 - SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following table sets forth our selected consolidated financial data for the periods indicated, derived from confinancial statements prepared in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles.

41

Consolidated Statement of Operations Data

	Year Ended J	una 30		
	2015	2014	2013	2012
Product Sales, net	\$4,606,539		\$4,525,233	\$5,071,088
•				
Cost of product sales	4,439,146		4,375,057	4,367,884
Gross income/(loss)	167,393	(196,471)	150,176	703,204
Operating expenses:				
Research and development	614,771	668,803	627,107	780,579
Research and development reimbursement	-	-	-	(50,000
Sales and marketing	1,488,456	1,234,725	1,296,149	1,215,580
General and administrative	2,400,353	2,488,219	2,294,173	2,355,015
Total operating expenses	4,503,580	4,391,747	4,217,429	4,301,174
Operating loss	(4,336,187)	(4,588,218)	(4,067,253)	(3,597,970
Non-operating income (select items)				
Interest income	282,745	12,113	664	747
Change in fair value	374,605	(1,382,134)		170,000
Net loss applicable to common shareholders	*	\$(6,696,132)		•
Basic and diluted loss per share	\$(0.07) \$(0.16	\$(0.11	\$(0.12)
Weighted average common Shares used in computing loss per shares	54,900,828	42,675,158	34,423,420	28,621,83

Consolidated Balance Sheet Data

	As of June 30,				
	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
Cash and cash equivalents	\$5,226,740	\$7,680,073	\$2,899,927	\$2,672,711	\$2,112,254
Certificates of deposit	9,362,574	10,002,912	-	-	-
Working capital	15,233,328	18,060,973	3,650,792	3,487,161	3,447,795
Certificates of deposit, non-current	5,106,775	5,401,398	-	-	-
Total assets	23,003,284	26,549,255	7,055,356	7,505,482	7,888,895
Long-term liabilities	1,128,849	1,439,560	896,242	1,038,298	662,181
Total shareholders' equity	20,782,241	23,955,768	5,366,246	5,818,192	6,452,516

ITEM 7 – MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESPONSE

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Management's discussion and analysis of the Company's financial condition and results of operations is based upon consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally a United States of America. The preparation of these financial statements requires management to make estimates at that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosures of contingent an on-going basis, management evaluates past judgments and estimates, including those related to bad debts, inveliabilities, and contingencies. Management bases its estimates on historical experience and on various other assurbelieved to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from the under different assumptions or conditions.

42

The Company believes the following critical accounting policies affect its more significant judgments and estima preparation of its consolidated financial statements.

Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable are stated at the amount that management of the Company expects to collect from outstanding Management provides for probable uncollectible amounts through an allowance for doubtful accounts. Additions allowance for doubtful accounts are based on management's judgment, considering historical write-offs, collection credit conditions. Balances which remain outstanding after management has used reasonable collection efforts are through a charge to the allowance for doubtful accounts and a credit to the applicable accounts receivable. Paymes subsequent to the time that an account is written off are considered bad debt recoveries.

Inventory

Inventory is reported at the lower of cost or market. Cost of raw materials is determined using the weighted avera of work in process and finished goods is computed using standard cost, which approximates actual cost, on a first basis.

Licenses

Amortization of licenses is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated economic useful lives of t

Amortization expense of licenses for each fiscal year was:

For the Year Ended June 30, 2015 2014 2013
Licenses amortization expense \$11,721 \$11,721 \$11,721

Based on the licenses recorded at June 30, 2015, and assuming no subsequent impairment of the underlying asset amortization expense for each fiscal year ending June 30 is expected to be as follows:

Fiscal Year Amount 2016 \$15,628 All years thereafter \$-

Revenue Recognition

The Company applies the provisions of ASC Topic 605, *Revenue Recognition*. ASC 605 provides guidance on the presentation and disclosure of revenue in financial statements. ASC 605 outlines the basic criteria that must be more revenue and provides guidance for the disclosure of revenue recognition policies. The Company recognizes revert product sales when (i) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, (ii) shipment has occurred, (iii) the fee is fix determinable, and (iv) collectability is reasonably assured.

Revenue for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively, was derived primarily from sales Proxcelan® Cs-131 brachytherapy seed, which is used in the treatment of cancer. The Company also had sales fro RTS, which is used in the treatment of brain cancer, in the fiscal years ended June 30, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively, was derived prior to the treatment of brain cancer, in the fiscal years ended June 30, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively prior to the time that products are shipped are recorded as deferred revenue. In these cases, when the related products amount recorded as deferred revenue is then recognized as revenue. The Company accrues for sales returns an allowances at the time of shipment. Although the Company does not have an extensive operating history upon whe sales returns estimates, we have used the expertise of our management team, particularly those with extensive indexperience and knowledge, to develop a proper methodology.

43

Product Returns and Allowances

The Company as part of normal operations allows for customers to receive credit for patient procedures cancelled to the customer for a variety of criteria. These criteria include but are not limited to a physical symptom on the dathat interferes with the patient's ability to go forward with the procedure, discovery that a patient's condition is be during surgery and other criteria as determined acceptable by management.

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company measures and recognizes expense for all share-based payments at fair value. The Company uses th option valuation model to estimate fair value for all stock options on the date of grant. For stock options that vest Company recognizes compensation cost on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period for the entire aw

Research and Development Costs

Research and development costs, including salaries, research materials, administrative expenses and contractor fe to operations as incurred. The cost of equipment used in research and development activities which has alternative capitalized as part of fixed assets and not treated as an expense in the period acquired. Depreciation of capitalized to perform research and development is classified as research and development expense in the year recognized.

Legal Contingencies

In the ordinary course of business, the Company is involved in legal proceedings involving securities, contractual employment relationships, product liability claims, patent rights, environmental matters, and a variety of other matter company is also subject to various local, state, and federal environmental regulations and laws due to the isotope produce the Company's product. As part of normal operations, amounts are expended to ensure that the Company compliance with these laws and regulations. While there have been no reportable incidents or compliance issues, believes that if it relocates its current production facilities then certain decommissioning expenses will be incurred recorded an asset retirement obligation for these expenses.

The Company records contingent liabilities resulting from asserted and unasserted claims against it, when it is proliability has been incurred and the amount of the loss is reasonably estimable. Estimating probable losses requires

multiple factors, in some cases including judgments about the potential actions of third-party claimants and courts actual losses in any future period are inherently uncertain. Currently, the Company does not believe any probable proceedings or claims will have a material adverse effect on its financial position or results of operations. However, estimated probable future losses exceed the Company's recorded liability for such claims, it would record addition other expense during the period in which the actual loss or change in estimate occurred.

Income Taxes

Income taxes are accounted for under the liability method. Under this method, the Company provides deferred income temporary differences that will result in taxable or deductible amounts in future years based on the reporting of cedifferent periods for financial statement and income tax purposes. This method also requires the recognition of fusuch as net operating loss carry-forwards, to the extent that realization of such benefits is more likely than not. Deassets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a rates is recognized in operations in the period that includes the enactment of the change. Management has determ Company, its subsidiary Medical, and its subsidiary International are subject to examination of their income tax for United States and state jurisdictions for the 2012 through 2015 tax years. In the event that the Company is assessed and/or interest, penalties will be charged to other operating expense and interest will be charged to interest expense.

44

Income (Loss) Per Common Share

Basic earnings per share is calculated by dividing net income (loss) available to common shareholders by the wei number of common shares outstanding, and does not include the impact of any potentially dilutive common stock including preferred stock, common stock warrants or options that are potentially convertible into common stock as be anti-dilutive due to the Company's net loss position.

Securities that could be dilutive in the future as of June 30, 2015, 2014 and 2013 were as follows:

	2015	2014	2013
Preferred stock	59,065	59,065	59,065
Common stock warrants	385,800	444,747	1,957,033
Common stock options	2,418,282	2,314,422	2,305,072
-			
Total potential dilutive securities	2,863,147	2,818,234	4,321,170

Subsequent Events

Effective April 1, 2009, the Company adopted ASC 855 Subsequent Events. This Statement establishes the accound is closure of, material events that occur after the balance sheet date, but before the financial statements are issued these events will be recognized if the condition existed at the date of the balance sheet, and will not be recognized did not exist at the balance sheet date. Disclosure is required for non-recognized events if required to keep the fin statements from being misleading. Subsequent events have been evaluated through the date our financial statement issued—the filing time and date of our 2015 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United America requires management of the Company to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts report financial statements and accompanying notes. Accordingly, actual results could differ from those estimates and a amounts reported in the financial statements.

Results of Operations

Financial Presentation

The following sets forth a discussion and analysis of the Company's financial condition and results of operations years ended June 30, 2015, 2014 and 2013. This discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our c financial statements appearing elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The following discussion contains forward-looking statements. Our actual results may differ significantly from the results discussed in such forward statements. Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to, those discuss Risk Factors," beginning on page 23 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

45

Fiscal 2015 Results Compared to 2014

	Year Ended June 30,								
	2015	2014 % (a) Amount		2014			2015-2014		
	Amount			Amount	% (a)		% Change		
Product sales, net	4,606,539	100	%	4,219,158	100	%	9	%	
Gross profit / (loss)	167,393	4	%	(196,471)	-5	%	185	%	
Research and development	614,771	13	%	668,803	16	%	-8	%	
Sales and marketing	1,488,456	32	%	1,234,725	29	%	21	%	
General and administrative	2,400,353	52	%	2,488,219	59	%	-4	%	
Non-operating income (Select items)									
Interest income	282,745	6	%	12,113	0	%	2234	%	
Change in fair value of warrant derivative liability	374,605	8	%	(1,382,134)	-33	%	127	%	
Net loss	(3,681,051)	-80	%	(5,959,122)	-141	%	38	%	
Preferred stock deemed dividends	-	0	%	(726,378)	-17	%	100	%	
Net loss applicable to common shareholders	(3,691,683)	-80	%	(6,696,132)	-159	%	45	%	

(a) calculated as a percentage of product sales, net

Product sales.

Product Sales

Total revenue from product sales increased \$0.39 million in fiscal year 2015 when compared to fiscal year 2014. over year growth was the result of 13% overall growth in seed brachytherapy treatments which more than offset t decrease in GliaSite® revenues year over year. The growth in revenue of 9% year over year was significantly imp 41% growth in the fourth quarter results year over year. In the fourth quarter, prostate seed brachytherapy treatment 44%, while other seed brachytherapy treatments grew by 49% and combined to more than offset the decrease in r GliaSite® RTS of 24%.

Prostate Brachytherapy.

During the year ended June 30, 2015 prostate brachytherapy increased to 87% of total revenue compared to 84% during the year ended June 30, 2014. The growth in revenue was the result of an 8% increase in the number of ca the loading configurations selected by the physicians. Physicians in fiscal year 2015 utilized approximately 38% configured into stranded and pre-loaded in needles.

Management believes that the declines in the prostate brachytherapy market prior to fiscal year 2015 have now er increased pressure to deliver effective healthcare in both terms of outcome and cost is beginning to drive some tredecisions. While market trends can shift rapidly and we remain heavily dependent on five or fewer physicians for revenue, management believes that the knowledge being shared with physicians is reaching a stage of moving beginnovating physician and into a broader market acceptance but there is no assurance these trends will continue.

46

While there is still overall market pressure to use other treatment options with higher reimbursement rates such as Intensity–Modulated Radiation Therapy (IMRT) and robotic-assisted surgery, management believes that the idea in treating prostate cancer using Cesium-131 seeds combined with conversion of physicians in part or in whole from competing isotopes based on the data published in peer reviewed articles on the performance of Cesium-131 when other isotopes used in seed brachytherapy, is assisting the Company with better prostate revenue. Additionally, the brachytherapy in treating low–risk prostate cancer is beginning to be challenged within the physician community publication addressing the overall cost effectiveness of treating low-risk prostate cancer in the Affordable Care A with low dose rate (LDR) brachytherapy instead of high-dose rate (HDR) brachytherapy, or IMRT. The analysis padditional 70,000 cases in the next ten years from the implementation of the ACA of early prostate cancers, proving comparisons for our product. The cost of using HDR will be approximately 175% of LDR and the cost of using HDR approximately 295% of LDR.

Other Brachytherapy.

The strategy implemented by management in diversifying the number of body sites being actively treated with the Cs-131 brachytherapy seed has continued to provide approximately 11% of total revenue. These treatments continued to the influence of a small pool of innovative physicians who are the early adopters of the technology who also te at teaching hospitals which provide the next generation of physicians to learn from the innovative physician. This revenue created by these types of treatment application to be more volatile and vary significantly from quarter to to year.

GliaSite® Radiation Therapy System.

All product sales are generated by the brachytherapy seeds and the related methods of application except for the regenerated by the sales of GliaSite® RTS which come from sale of the liquid isotope, catheter trays and access tray from GliaSite® RTS decreased 57% in the year ended June 30, 2015 when compared to 2014. GliaSite® RTS cortotal revenue in the year ended June 2015 compared to 5% in the year ended June 30, 2014. The decrease in production of the graph of the graph of the graph of the graph of the control of the change of the graph of th

The conversion of prospects to new GliaSite® RTS customers has been a longer process than originally anticipated Company. The Company has experienced lengthy timelines in the internal processes of the medical facilities in reapproving the use of the product at the request of their physician(s). These longer than anticipated internal process compounded by uncertain timelines and delays in receiving the approval for the requested modification of each famaterials license, which is required to begin using GliaSite® RTS and is dependent on external government regul

Cost of product sales.

Total cost of product sales overall have remained materially unchanged with an approximate 1% increase during ended June 30, 2015 compared to the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014. Cost of product sales related to seed produ by 3% during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015 when compared to the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014. Cost of related to GliaSite® RTS decreased by 67% in the year ended June 30, 2015 compared to the fiscal year ended Ju to the significant decrease in the sales of this product.

During the year ended June 30, 2015 compared to the year ended June 30, 2014, the total cost of product sales rel production increased by 3%. This increase was the net result of increases in payroll, taxes, employee benefits and compensation which included cost of living payroll increases granted to employees at the beginning of the fiscal of the associated increased payroll taxes, increased cost of accrued paid vacation and the increase in share-based corrections granted to production employees. The increase in pre-loading expense was a function of the increased man Specifically, the increased number of seeds being ordered in a stranded and pre-loaded needle configuration result increase in the volume of orders and the increased cost of third-party loading for a certain new configuration that particular type of sterilization that the Company does not have the capability to perform (the capital investment in its not yet warranted by the current volume). These cost increases were partially offset by the decreased depreciation production equipment has reached the end of depreciable lives. The cost of product sales related to GliaSite® RTS significantly primarily as the result of decreased product sales. This reduction was the result of reduced isotope product of an inventory impairment expense which was a non-recurring cost that occurred in the year ended June 30,

47

Gross margin.

Gross margin for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015 increased substantially when compared to the fiscal year ended 2014 as the direct result of the increased revenue primarily from the increased sales of brachytherapy seeds for the prostate cancer on similar cost of goods sold. The additional seeds sold were able to be produced using isotope the purchased and previously would have been expensed as it decayed, thus the similar cost of goods sold year over year.

Research and development expenses.

Research and development costs for fiscal year ended June 30, 2015 compared to the fiscal year ended June 30, 2 decreased by the net of an increased legal expense partially offset by decreased other organ research expense and expense. Legal expense increased as the result of legal costs related to the maintenance of US and European pater been granted. Other organ research expense decreased as a result of the completion of the CesitrexTM project with clearance and management focusing on projects that have the best opportunity for a return with an engaged partner focused on developing their own product that utilize our brachytherapy seeds. Protocol expense decreased as the reinstatement of the brain study at Weill Medical College which temporarily increased the cost related to the reinstall year 2014 and did not reoccur in fiscal year 2015.

Sales and marketing expenses.

Sales and marketing expenses increased during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015 when compared to the fiscal y 30, 2014. Conventions and tradeshow expense increased as there was increased investment in the Company prese American Society for Radiation Oncology annual meeting, general attendance at various other tradeshows and conational sales meeting to refine the information being presented to physicians. Payroll, benefits and share-based concreased primarily as the result of increased commission compensation based on territory sales managers reaching in the final four months of the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015, and increased payroll and related taxes and insurant the result of having an increased number of team members in the year ended June 30, 2015. Travel expense increased function of having additional territory sales managers in the field which increased the Company cost of travel, increased transportation, including mileage reimbursement, auto rentals, cabs and parking.

General and administrative expenses.

General and administrative expenses decreased by 4% during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015 when compared year ended June 30, 2014. Costs were reduced related to legal services and public company expense while there were the company expense while there were reduced related to legal services.

insurance expense and occupancy expense.

The increased cost of insurance expense was the result of a changed insurance market in the directors and officers August of 2014 which resulted in an 84% increase in cost due to the overall directors and officers insurance mark risk tolerance in the Summer of 2014. The Company renewed its directors and officers insurance for fiscal year 2 August 2015 with only a moderate increase in cost and increased coverage. Costs from legal counsel decreased by year ended June 30, 2015 as the result of no legal input needed for capital raises which was required in the year ended june 30, 2015 as the result of no legal input needed for capital raises which was required in the year ended june 30, 2015 as the result of no legal input needed for capital raises which was required in the year ended june 30, 2015 as the result of no legal input needed for capital raises which was required in the year ended june 30, 2015 as the result of no legal input needed for capital raises which was required in the year ended june 30, 2015 as the result of no legal input needed for capital raises which was required in the year ended june 30, 2015 as the result of no legal input needed for capital raises which was required in the year ended june 30, 2015 as the result of no legal input needed for capital raises which was required in the year ended june 30, 2015 as the result of no legal input needed for capital raises which was required in the year ended june 30, 2015 as the result of no legal input needed for capital raises which was required in the year ended june 30, 2015 as the result of no legal input needed for capital raises which was required in the year ended june 30, 2015 as the result of no legal input needed for capital raises which was required in the year ended june 30, 2015 as the result of no legal input needed for capital raises which was required in the year ended june 30, 2015 as the result of no legal input needed for capital raises which was required in the year ended june 30, 2015 as the result of no legal input needed fo

Operating loss.

Operating loss for the year ended June 30, 2015 compared to the year ended June 30, 2014 decreased as a result of revenue generated from the sales of brachytherapy seeds for the treatment of prostate cancer; increases in product other seed brachytherapy partially reduced by a decrease in the sales of GliaSite® RTS and a minimal increase in sales.

48

Change in fair value of warrant derivative liabilities.

During the years ended June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2014, there were changes in the fair value of the warrant derives established upon issuance of the warrants during October 2011 and December 2011 to the purchasers and underwestablished upon issuance of the warrants during October 2011 and December 2011 to the purchasers and underwestablished upon issuance of the warrant derivative liability requires periodic evaluation fair value. As required at June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2014, the Company evaluated the fair value of the warrant diability using the Black-Scholes option pricing model on which the original warrant derivative liability was based updated inputs as of those dates. The resulting change in fair value was recorded as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, re

Fiscal 2014 Results Compared to 2013

Product sales, net Gross profit / (loss)	Year Ended J 2014 Amount 4,219,158 (196,471)	% (a) 100 %	2013 Amount 4,525,233 150,176	% (a) 100 3		2014 - 2013 % Change -7 -231	% %
Research and development Sales and marketing General and administrative	668,803 1,234,725 2,488,219	29 %	627,107 1,296,149 2,294,173	14 29 51	% % %	7 -5 8	% %
Non-operating income (Select items) Interest income Change in fair value of warrant derivative liability	12,113 (1,382,134)	0 % -33 %		0 5	% %	1724 -758	% %
Net loss	(5,959,122)	-141 %	(3,856,596)	-85	%	-55	%
Preferred stock deemed dividends	(726,378)	-17 %	-	0	%	-100	%
Net loss applicable to common shareholders	(6,696,132)	-159 %	(3,867,228)	-85	%	-73	%

⁽a) calculated as a percentage of product sales, net

Product sales.

Prostate Brachytherapy.

Revenue generated from treatment with prostate brachytherapy increased from 82% of total revenue in the fiscal 30, 2013 to 84% of total revenue in the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014. Prostate brachytherapy revenue decrease rate than revenue classified as other product sales which resulted in the increase from 82% to 84% of the decrease during fiscal year 2014 compared to fiscal year 2013. Management believes that the continuing decrease in sales applications has resulted from key physicians being assigned to new roles within their facilities, moving to new far not licensed for Cesium-131 and the ongoing incentive to recover capital investments in treatment equipment and facilities to house the equipment for competing treatment methods by those facilities. Management believes that the market for prostate brachytherapy has continued to receive increased pressure from other treatment options with the reimbursement rates such as Intensity–Modulated Radiation Therapy (IMRT) and robotic-assisted surgery. Althor treatments incorporating brachytherapy with other modalities in the prostate and treatment of other body sites with have increased, these increases are insufficient to offset the overall decrease in use of prostate brachytherapy.

49

Other Brachytherapy.

The strategy implemented by management in the prior year in diversifying the number of body sites being activel the Proxcelan® Cs-131 brachytherapy seed has continued to partially mitigate the lost revenue from the prostate be segment. The timeline of developing and bringing new products from concept to revenue production in the pharmaceutical/medical device segment is lengthy and is typically measured in years. The probability of any new treatment product reaching the stage at which it produces revenue is very low.

Company management has been investing in development of alternative uses for the Company's brachytherapy someonagement believes have the ability to generate revenue in the near-term to offset development costs. New treat those being initiated by the Company typically experience a staged entry to market in which primary adopters derivationally of a treatment, after which wider adoption is possible. The non-prostate products are very dependent on as a source of revenue. While there may be a steep growth in revenue, it often plateaus due to capacity constraints mainstream adoption occurs, when and if there is favorable publication of the experiences and treatment outcome adopters. Through June 30, 2014, the Company had only experienced minimal sales to first adopters.

During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014, there were over seven hundred and eighty cases treated with the Combrachytherapy seeds, with approximately 12% of the cases being non-prostate applications. Management's strategoriciting the use of other applications for the Company's brachytherapy seeds at major medical institutions that a publish their outcomes and that are training the next generation of decision makers. Company management intended pursue alternative uses for the Company's brachytherapy seeds in treatments consistent with the FDA clearance government of the company to utilize other FDA cleared application methods as a means of administering the treatments.

During the year ended June 30, 2014, the revenue from other brachytherapy treatments decreased 13% over the year, 30, 2013. While revenue for the GliaSite® RTS increased 33%, gynecological increased 70% and head and neck these areas of growth were offset by a 15% decrease in revenue from the treatment of brain cancer and a 41% decrease that the treatment of lung cancer. Management believes the decrease in brain and lung treatments were caused by that were significant early adopters who were on extended leave during the 2014 fiscal year.

GliaSite® Radiation Therapy System.

During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014, revenue from the GliaSite® RTS increased by approximately 33% or compared to the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013. All product sales are generated by the brachytherapy seeds and methods of application except for the revenue generated by the sales of GliaSite® RTS which come from sale of t isotope, catheter trays and access trays.

The conversion of prospects to new GliaSite® RTS customers has been a longer process than originally anticipate Company. The Company has experienced lengthy timelines in the internal processes of the medical facilities in reapproving the use of the product at the request of their physician(s). These longer than anticipated internal process compounded by uncertain timelines and delays in receiving the approval for the requested modification of each far materials license, which is required to begin using GliaSite® RTS and is dependent on external government regular December 17, 2013, the Company received clearance from the US Food and Drug Administration to market Cesial liquid form) with the GliaSite® RTS.

Cost of product sales.

Cost of product sales overall have remained materially unchanged during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014 confiscal year ended June 30, 2013 with the exception of two categories of cost, the medical device tax expense which 76% and GliaSite® RTS cost of product sold increased by 31%. The additional medical device tax of approximate million during the twelve months ended June 30, 2014 was the result of the medical device tax being applicable to quarters during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013 as compared to all four quarters during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013 as compared to all four quarters during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013 as compared to all four quarters during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013 as compared to all four quarters during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013 as compared to all four quarters during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014 million during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013 as compared to all four quarters during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014 was the result of the medical device tax being applicable to quarters during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014 was the result of the medical device tax of approximately \$0.034 million were from the solution ordered and produced to satisfy the increased volume orders of approximately \$0.014 million minimum royalty obligation related to the licensing of intellectual property utilized in the GliaSite® RTS system approximately \$0.015 million.

50

Gross margin.

Gross margin for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014 decreased 231% when compared to the fiscal year ended June change in gross margin was primarily as a result of the previously discussed reduction in sales in the prostate mar combined with the additional cost of the medical device tax, increased cost of isotope to meet the increased numb RTS orders and fixed contractual minimums related to isotope purchases that were lost to decay, partially offset be in other areas during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014 when compared to June 30, 2013.

Research and development expenses.

Research and development costs for fiscal year ended June 30, 2014 were increased due to the protocol expense i 53% as the Company reinstated an investment in the brain study at Weill Medical College. The Company continuprotocols in support of products that have been developed and sales have begun in support of gaining general accommarket. During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014, the Company accrued protocol costs in accordance with its apparticipating facilities.

Sales and marketing expenses.

Sales and marketing expenses decreased during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014 when compared to the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014 when year ended June 30, 2014 when year ended June 30, 2

General and administrative expenses.

General and administrative expenses increased by 8% during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014 when compared year ended June 30, 2013 primarily as the result of increased legal costs of 42% year over year and increased share compensation of 367%, which was the result of fully vested options to purchase 100,000 shares of common stock Dwight Babcock, CEO, valued at \$0.116 million at various grant dates.

Operating loss.

Operating loss for the year ended June 30, 2014 compared to the year ended June 30, 2013 increased by 13% as a decreased revenue generated from the sales of brachytherapy seeds for the treatment of prostate cancer; which was sufficient increase in product sales from other seed brachytherapy and sales of GliaSite® RTS; coupled with cost which failed to decrease commensurate with the decrease in revenues.

Change in fair value of warrant derivative liabilities.

During the years ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013, there were changes in the fair value of the warrant derives established upon issuance of the warrants during October 2011 and December 2011 to the purchasers and underward Company's registered public offering. Per ASC 820, the warrant derivative liability requires periodic evaluation fair value. As required at June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013, the Company evaluated the fair value of the warrant diability using the Black-Scholes option pricing model on which the original warrant derivative liability was base updated inputs as of those dates. The resulting increase of 758% in fair value was recorded as of June 30, 2014 are respectively.

51

Liquidity and capital resources.

At June 30, 2015, we had \$5.23 million in cash and cash equivalents and \$14.48 million in investments. Cash from could be affected by various risks and uncertainties, including, but not limited to, the risks included in Part I, Item Factors." Based on our current business plan and revenue prospects, we believe that we will have sufficient cash anticipated cash flows to fund our operations for at least the next 12 months and, at current levels of revenue and next five years.

The Company has historically financed its operations through the sale of common stock and the issuance of related stock warrants. During fiscal years 2015 and 2014, the Company used existing cash reserves and cash received the common stock of approximately \$3.66 million and \$3.27 million, respectively, to fund operations and capital exp

Cash flows

	For the years ended June 30,				
	2015	2014	2013		
Net cash used in operating activities	\$(3,521,858)	\$(3,228,221)	\$(3,056,267		
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	783,660	(15,441,156)	(12,816		
Net cash provided by financing activities	284,865	23,449,523	3,296,299		
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	\$(2,453,333)	\$4,780,146	\$227,216		

Cash flows from operating activities

Net cash used in operating activities was \$3.52 million in fiscal 2015 as compared to net cash used in operating a million in fiscal 2014. Net cash used in operating activities in fiscal 2015 was primarily related to:

Net loss of \$3.68 million

Net loss offset by non-cash items of \$0.52 million related to allowance for doubtful accounts; depreciation of fix amortization of other assets; change in fair value of warrant derivative liability; accretion of asset retirement oblishare-based compensation.

Increase in accounts receivable of \$0.13 related to the significant increase of product sales in the fourth Increase in inventory of \$0.14 million as the result of a purchase of a quantity of inventory items that the Compa obtain a volume discount by purchasing a supply that will last beyond the current operating cycle and some of w reclassified as inventory, non-current.

Table of Contents 91

•

Increase in prepaid expenses and other current assets of \$0.06 million, the result of prepayment of certain insurar renewal for fiscal year 2016.

Decrease in accounts payable of \$0.08 million as a result of the timing of payments being issue. Increase in accrued protocol expense of \$0.04 million as a result of the timing of facilities billing for their expense Decrease in accrued radioactive waste disposal of \$0.01 million as the Company accrued \$0.05 million of cost defined incurred \$0.06 million of actual disposal cost during the fiscal year.

Net cash used in operating activities was \$3.23 million in fiscal 2014 as compared to net cash used in operating acmillion in fiscal 2013. Net cash used in operating activities in fiscal 2014 was primarily related to:

Net loss of \$5.96 million

Net loss offset by non-cash items of \$2.34 million related to allowance for doubtful accounts; depreciation of fix amortization of other assets; change in fair value of warrant derivative liability; accretion of asset retirement oblishare-based compensation.

Increase in inventory of \$0.05 million as the result of the timing of purchases during the fourth qualification in prepaid expenses and other current assets of \$0.06 million, the result of prepayment of certain insurant renewal for fiscal year 2016.

Increase in accounts payable of \$0.14 million as a result of the timing of payments being issu

52

·Increase in accrued protocol expense of \$0.06 million as a result of the timing of facilities billing for their expension Increase in accrued radioactive waste disposal as the Company accrued \$0.05 million of cost during the year and million of actual disposal cost during the fiscal year.

Increase in accrued payroll and related taxes of \$0.11 million as the result of federal withholding taxes and FICA of \$0.91 million related to the exercise of non-qualified employee stock options and timing differences in the time amount of payroll accrual of \$0.20 million.

Cash flows from investing activities

Net cash provided by investing activities was \$0.78 million in fiscal 2015, which primarily consisted of maturitie of deposit of \$15.87 million, offset by purchases of certificates of deposit of \$10.15 million, offset by purchases of deposit, non-current of \$4.8 million, and offset by purchases of fixed assets of \$0.13 million.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$15.44 million in fiscal 2014, which primarily consisted of purchases of deposit of \$10.0 million and purchases of certificates of deposit, non-current of \$5.4 million.

Cash flows from financing activities

Net cash provided by financing activities during fiscal 2015 was \$0.29 million, attributable to \$0.21 million from employee stock option exercises and \$0.08 million from proceeds from warrant exercises.

Net cash provided by financing activities during fiscal 2014 was \$23.45 million, attributable to \$1.48 million from convertible preferred stock in an underwritten offering, \$1.8 million from the sale of common stock in an underw \$13.82 million from the sale of common stock in a registered public offering, to \$0.27 million from proceeds from stock option exercises and \$6.1 million from proceeds from warrant exercises.

Projected 2016 Liquidity and Capital Resources

Operating Capital and Capital Expenditure Requirements

As of June 30, 2015, the Company had working capital of \$15.24 million and certificates of deposit, non-current that could either be liquidated with an early withdraw penalty or used as security against a debt facility if required

Our future capital requirements depend on numerous factors. These factors include but are not limited to the follow

Purchase of land and construction of a new manufacturing and office facility on this proper

Inability to expand international markets due to the strength of the U.S. dollar.

Facilities, equipment and IT systems required to support current and future operations. Our ability to continue to adapt our product within our current regulatory clearances or to obtain regulatory clear adaptation of our product to meet the treatment needs of physicians.

Stability of costs associated with our manufacturing processes including isotope and other components with a lin manufacturers.

Costs of marketing initiatives.

Maintaining a quality system that meets the requirements of the FDA and the British Standards Institute, our not maintain our CE mark.

Effects of competing products in our markets and changes in the markets as a whole.

Number and timing of acquisitions.

Management believes that our current cash and cash equivalents and investments will be sufficient to meet our an needs for working capital and capital expenditures for at least 12 months and, at our present levels of revenue and the next five years. If these sources of cash, cash equivalents and investments are insufficient to satisfy our liquid requirements, we may seek to sell additional equity, debt securities or obtain credit facilities. The sale of addition convertible debt securities could result in dilution to our stockholders. If additional funds are raised through the is securities, these securities could have rights senior to those associated with our common stock and could contain would restrict our operations. Additional financing may not be available at all, or in amounts or on terms acceptal are unable to obtain this additional financing, we may be required to reduce the scope of our planned product dev marketing efforts.

53

During fiscal year 2016, the Company intends to continue its existing protocol studies and to begin new protocol and inter-cranial cancer treatments using Cesium-131 brachytherapy seeds and the GliaSite® RTS. The Company more than \$250,000 in expense will be incurred during fiscal year 2015 related to protocol expenses relating to lu inter-cranial cancer and both dual therapy and mono therapy prostate cancer protocols but there is no assurance the protocols will not cost more than anticipated.

Management plans to attain breakeven and generate additional cash flows by increasing revenues from the Comp treatment applications of the Cs-131 brachytherapy seed to both new and existing customers (through our direct s and through our distributors), while expanding into new market applications for Cs-131 and continuing to mainta Company's focus on cost control.

Additionally, management plans to increase revenue through expanding the sale of the FDA cleared and ISO 138 certified GliaSite® RTS to current customers, adding new customers in the United States through the Company's force, through international sales with the existing distribution agreements which cover Germany, Austria, Switze Luxembourg, Russia, Australia and New Zealand, and the addition of other distribution channels to European Un covered by the ISO certifications. In the past year, the strengthening of the US dollar against other currencies has of international sales difficult.

Management believes the Company will reach breakeven with revenues of approximately \$750,000 per month will breakeven from operations being reached at approximately \$700,000. However, there can be no assurance that the attain profitability or that the Company will be able to attain its revenue targets. Sales in the prostate market have three of the past four years, which has not allowed breakeven to be reached during the past four fiscal years. The fiscal year experienced an increase of 13% in prostate brachytherapy revenue compared to the year ended June 30 is no assurance this trend will continue. Sales of other applications and of the GliaSite® RTS have been nominal a have not been a substantial contributor to total revenue.

On March 21, 2014, the Company entered into a Securities Purchase Agreement with certain investors providing total of 5,644,300 shares of common stock for an aggregate purchase price of \$14,675,180 at a price per share of Registered Direct Offering). The Company received net proceeds from the offering of approximately \$13,814,742. Registered Direct Offering which will be used to meet the Company's working capital needs and general corpora

On August 29, 2013, the Company entered into an agreement to sell 3,800,985 common units, each consisting of Company's common stock and a warrant to purchase 0.816 shares of common stock (the Common Units), and 1, units, each consisting of 1 share of Series D Convertible Preferred Stock and a warrant to purchase 1,525.23 shares tock (the Preferred Units) on a firm commitment underwritten basis. The Common Units were sold at an initial price of \$0.535 and the Preferred Units were sold at an initial per unit purchase price of \$1,000. The warrants are at \$0.72 per share and have a twenty-four month term. Each share of the Series D Convertible Preferred Stock is 1,869.15 shares of common stock at any time at the option of the holder, subject to adjustment, provided that the

prohibited from converting Series D Convertible Preferred Stock into shares of the Company's common stock if, such conversion, the holder, together with affiliates, would own more than 9.99% of the total shares of the Compatok then issued and outstanding. The offering yielded approximately \$3,279,292 in cash after expenses.

The Company also received over \$6 million from warrant and option exercises in fiscal year 2014.

There was no material change in the use of proceeds from our public offerings as described in our final prospectu filed with the SEC pursuant to Rule 424(b) on August 29, 2013 and March 24, 2014. Through June 30, 2014, the used the net proceeds raised through the August 2013 and March 2014 offerings as described in the table below a remaining net proceeds in cash and cash equivalents, short-term investments and investments. No offering expension directly or indirectly to any of our directors or officers (or their associates) or persons owning ten percent or more our equity securities or to any other affiliates.

54

Offering description Period Net proceeds Remaining net proceeds

Underwritten offering $\frac{\text{August}}{2013}$ 3,279,292

Registered direct offering March 2014 13,814,742 13,814,742

Total \$17,094,034 \$ 13,814,742

Proceeds used in the year ended June 30, 2015:

Indirect payments to directors and officers for database development

Direct payments for services to directors

Direct payments of salaries to officers

Working capital

Total proceeds used in the year ended June 30, 2015

\$24,000

80,867

569,836

3,004,778

\$3,679,481

As a result of these recent capital raises, management does not need to raise financing during fiscal 2016 but may its sole discretion. If financing is obtained, it may be dilutive to shareholders. Of course, funding may not be avail Company on acceptable terms, or at all.

Other Commitments and Contingencies

In April 2013, Medical exercised the second of two options to renew the original lease that was entered into on M. Energy Northwest, the owner of the Applied Process Engineering Laboratory (the APEL lease), for an additional new lease expiration date of April 30, 2016. The Company agreed to modification number 14 which became effect 2014. The lease modification provided for a contractually permitted rent increase based on a CPI index which was modification also provided the Company with an additional (third) three year option to extend its tenancy beyond expiration date of April 30, 2016. The rent contained in lease modification number 15 beginning on May 1, 2014 Company is planning to exercise the option to extend the lease to April 30, 2019 and is in the process of exercising along with certain modifications that have been negotiated between management and the landlord related to the forcest construction of a new manufacturing and office facility between now and the expiration of the lease extension on

Future minimum lease payments under operating leases, including the one remaining three-year renewal of the A as follows:

Year ending June 30.

2016	\$278,855
2017	278,855
2018	278 855

2019 232,380 \$1,068,045

The Company is subject to various local, state, and federal environmental regulations and laws due to the isotopes produce the Company's products. As part of normal operations, amounts are expended to ensure that the Company compliance with these laws and regulations. While there have been no reportable incidents or compliance issues, believes that if it relocates its current production facilities then certain decommissioning expenses will be incurred retirement obligation was established in the first quarter of fiscal year 2008 for the Company's obligations at its not facility. This asset retirement obligation will be for obligations to remove any residual radioactive materials and to leasehold improvements.

The industry that the Company operates in is subject to product liability litigation. Through its production and que procedures, the Company works to mitigate the risk of any lawsuits concerning its products. The Company also cliability insurance to help protect it from this risk.

55

The Company received a Qualifying Therapeutic Discovery Project (QTDP) grant in lieu of a QTDP credit for the years 2010 and 2011. The costs of the Company associated with these grants are subject to examination as are the the Company. While there is no indication that the Internal Revenue Service intends to examine these returns or the underlying basis for the receipt of the grant funds, these grant funds are subject to recapture if the associated determined by the Service to not meet the definition of a "Qualified Investment" during an examination.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

The Company has no off-balance sheet arrangements.

Contractual Obligations and Commitments

The following is a schedule summarizing our obligations to make future payments under contractual obligations a 2015:

		Less than	1 - 3	3 - 5	More	than 5
Contractual obligations	Total	1 year	years	years	years	
Operating lease obligations	\$1,068,045	\$278,855	\$790,090	\$-	\$	-
Seed core purchase obligation	228,256	114,128	114,128	-		-
Asset retirement obligation	1,036,764	-	-	1,036,764		-

Our purchase commitments and obligations include all open purchase orders and contractual obligations in the or business, including commitments with contract manufacturers and suppliers, for which we have not received the services and acquisition and licensing of intellectual property. A majority of these purchase obligations are due we Although open purchase orders are considered enforceable and legally binding, the terms generally allow us the creschedule, and adjust our requirements based on our business needs prior to the delivery of goods or performance and hence, have not been included in the table above.

Inflation

Management does not believe that the current levels of inflation in the United States have had a significant impact operations of the Company. If current levels of inflation hold steady, management does not believe future operation negatively impacted.

New Accounting Standards

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 20 from Contracts with Customers" (ASU 2014-09), which supersedes the revenue recognition requirements in FAS Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 605, "Revenue Recognition". The guidance requires that an entity recognize way that depicts the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in the amount that reflects the considerate entity expects to be entitled to in exchange for those goods and services. The guidance will be effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within that reporting period and is to be appretrospectively, with early application not permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the new standard and it Company's consolidated financial statements.

56

ITEM 7A – QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We do not utilize derivative financial instruments, derivative commodity instruments or other market risk sensitive positions or transactions.

Interest Rate Risk

Market risk represents the risk of loss that may impact our consolidated financial position, results of operations of to adverse changes in financial and commodity market prices and rates. We are exposed to market risk primarily changes in United States Treasury interest rates. All investments are in certificates of deposit of varying terms and insured amounts.

To minimize market risk, we have in the past and, to the extent possible, will continue in the future, to hold debt smaturity at which time the debt security will be redeemed at its stated or face value.

Fair Value Measurements

We account for our common stock warrants pursuant to the authoritative guidance on accounting for derivative fit instruments indexed to, and potentially settled in, a company's own stock, on the understanding that in compliance applicable securities laws, the registered warrants require the issuance of registered securities upon exercise and of sufficiently preclude an implied right to net cash settlement. We classify warrants on the consolidated balance she long-term liability that is revalued at each balance sheet date subsequent to the initial issuance.

Foreign Currency Risk

All of our manufacturing operations are conducted in the United States and all transactions during the year ended have been made in United States dollars. Accordingly, we have not had any material exposure to foreign currency fluctuations.

ITEM 8 - FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

The information required by this Item 8 is incorporated by reference to our Consolidated Financial Statements an Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm beginning at page F-1 of this report.

ITEM 9 – CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND F DISCLOSURE

There were no disagreements or reportable events with DeCoria, Maichel & Teague, P.S.

ITEM 9A - CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedure is defined under Rules 13a-14(c) and 15d-14(c) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amen "Exchange Act"), as of June 30, 2015. Based on that evaluation, our principal executive officer and our principal concluded that the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures were effective. The design of a controls is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurdesign will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions, regardless of how remote. Emanagement believes that our system of disclosure controls and procedures is designed to provide a reasonable lethat the objectives of the system will be met.

57

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) of the Exchange Act. Our internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide assurance concerning both the reliability of our financial reporting and the preparation of our financial statements with generally accepted accounting principles. This control includes policies and procedures that obligate us to m reasonably detailed records that accurately and fairly reflect our transactions and the disposition of our assets, pro that our transactions are properly recorded, ensure that our receipts and expenditures are authorized by management applicable, our board of directors, and prevent or allow us to timely detect material unauthorized acquisitions, used dispositions of our assets.

We have evaluated the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) of the Exchange Act using the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Control Integrated Framework (2013). This evaluation was performed under the supervision and with the our management, including our chief executive officer and our chief financial officer, both of whom concluded the control over financial reporting was effective as of June 30, 2015. Our evaluation of the effectiveness of our interfinancial reporting in future periods may differ due to changing conditions or non-compliance with the policies are we have established.

Attestation Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The independent registered public accounting firm that audited the consolidated financial statements that are included Annual Report on Form 10-K has issued an audit report on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial June 30, 2015. The report appears below.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Board of Directors and Stockholders

IsoRay, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Richland, Washington

We have audited IsoRay, Inc. and Subsidiaries' internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2015, base established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizati Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). IsoRay, Inc. and Subsidiaries' management is responsible for maintainternal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial included in the accompanying Item 9A, Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Report responsibility is to express an opinion on the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit

58

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (U Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understand control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our operating the control over financial reporting.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regar reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance w accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions are of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditure company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; are reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstateme projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become in because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate

In our opinion, IsoRay, Inc. and Subsidiaries maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over five reporting as of June 30, 2015, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (Uniconsolidated balance sheets of IsoRay, Inc. and Subsidiaries as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the related consolidatements of operations, changes in shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period 2015 and our report dated September 11, 2015 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ DeCoria, Maichel & Teague, P.S.

Spokane, Washington

September 11, 2015

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have not been any changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) during the most recent fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonaterially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B – OTHER INFORMATION

There were no items required to be disclosed in a report on Form 8-K during the fourth quarter of the fiscal year of 2015 that have not been already disclosed on a Form 8-K filed with the SEC. The following is being reported in 1 Form 8-K due to the timing of the reportable event occurring just prior to the filing of this report:

Item 1.01 Entry into a Material Definitive Agreement

On September 10, 2015, the Company's operating subsidiary, IsoRay Medical, Inc. ("IM") entered into a Real Es Sale Agreement with The Port of Benton, a municipal corporation of the State of Washington. The Agreement is undeveloped real property of approximately 4.2 acres located adjacent to the Company's existing manufacturing a corporate offices. The purchase price for the property is One Hundred Sixty-Eight Thousand Dollars (\$168,000) on October 30, 2015, the expected date of closing.

In addition to the feasibility studies required on all aspects of the property required by IM to close, IM is also bou with a Development Plan for a ten year period which requirements include but are not limited to the following:

Certain specified site configurations and design with a minimum of 12,000 square feet of warehouse and prod 4,000 square feet of office space;

- (2) Completion of all construction in two years;
- (3) Use of facility as primary production facility for ten (10) years; and
 - (4) Provision of jobs for not less than 25 full time employees.

Failure to comply with these covenants will result in a breach of the Agreement and if not cured, will obligate IM the difference in the sales price and the appraised value of the property at the time of default.

59

PART III

ITEM 10 - DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Each member of the Board of Directors serves a one-year term and is subject to reelection at the Company's Annu Shareholders held each year.

Board Committees

The Board has established an Audit Committee consisting of Thomas LaVoy (Chairman), Michael McCormick and MD; a Compensation Committee consisting of Thomas LaVoy, Michael McCormick and Philip Vitale, MD (Chairman), and Philip Vitale, MD. Nominating Committee consisting of Thomas LaVoy, Michael McCormick (Chairman), and Philip Vitale, MD. Nominitees have been formed.

Audit Committee

The Audit Committee was established on December 8, 2006, the date on which its Charter was adopted. The Audit Charter lists the purposes of the Audit Committee as overseeing the accounting and financial reporting processes and audits of the financial statements of the Company and providing assistance to the Board of Directors in monimitegrity of the Company's financial statements, (2) the Company's compliance with legal and regulatory requirer independent auditor's qualifications and independence, and (4) the performance of the Company's internal audit frand independent auditor.

The Board of Directors has determined that Mr. LaVoy is an "audit committee financial expert" as defined in Item Regulation S-K promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission, and each Audit Committee member is under applicable NYSE MKT standards. The Board's conclusions regarding the qualifications of Mr. LaVoy as an committee financial expert were based on his service as a chief financial officer of a public company, his experient public accountant and his degree in accounting.

Executive Officers and Directors

The executive officers and directors serving the Company as of June 30, 2015 were as follows:

Name	Age	Position Held	Term*
Dwight Babcock	67	Chairman, Chief Executive Officer	Annual
Brien Ragle	46	Chief Financial Officer	
Thomas LaVoy	55	Director	Annual
Michael McCormick	52	Director	Annual
Philip Vitale, MD	68	Director	Annual
William Cavanagh III	49	Vice President, Research and Development	

^{*} For directors only

Dwight Babcock – Mr. Babcock was appointed CEO of the Company on February 18, 2009. He was previously a Chairman and Interim CEO of the Company on February 26, 2008 and has served as a Director of the Company of Babcock has served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Apex Data Systems, Inc., an information technological since 1975. Apex Data Systems automates the administration and claims adjudication needs of insurance compannationally and internationally. Mr. Babcock was formerly President and CEO of Babcock Insurance Corporation 1974 until 1985. BIC was a nationally recognized third party administrator operating within 35 states. Mr. Babcock knowledge and experience in the equity arena and has participated in various activities within the venture capital, institutional capital markets. Mr. Babcock studied marketing and economics at the University of Arizona where he serves on the University of Arizona Astronomy Board. Mr. Babcock brings over 35 years of CEO-level experience on the Company's Board.

Brien Ragle – Mr. Ragle has over 15 years of finance and accounting experience, including SEC reporting, finance cost, project, and management accounting in addition to performing operational analysis. Mr. Ragle became IsoR Financial Officer on October 1, 2013. Mr. Ragle was IsoRay's Controller – Principal Financial and Accounting O October 2009 to September 2013. Mr. Ragle was IsoRay's Cost Accounting Manager from January 2007 until October joining IsoRay in January 2007 as Cost Accounting Manager, Mr. Ragle was employed by BNG America wholly-owned subsidiary of Energy Solutions, LLC (ES), from 2005 to 2006 as Project Accounting Manager for located in the Western United States and from 2000 to 2004 as a Business Unit Controller by SCM Consultants, I wholly-owned subsidiary of Tetra Tech, Inc (TTEK). Mr. Ragle holds Bachelor of Arts degrees in Business Adm an emphasis in accounting, and in Hospitality Management from Washington State University. Mr. Ragle is a Ce Accountant in the State of Washington and designated as a Chartered Global Management Accountant by the Amof Certified Public Accountants. Mr. Ragle filed for personal bankruptcy under Chapter 13 of the U.S. Bankruptcy January 26, 2011.

60

Thomas LaVoy – Mr. LaVoy has been a Director of the Company since 2005. Mr. LaVoy presently serves as Dep Operations Officer and President of Corporate Services of Veolia Transportation on Demand (VTOD), the parent Super Shuttle International Inc. and its subsidiaries, since January 2014. He concurrently serves as Chief Financia SuperShuttle International, Inc. and its subsidiaries as he has since July 1997 and as Secretary since March 1998. SuperShuttle is the largest shuttle transportation company in the US in addition to operating bus and cab services US. He has also served as a director of Alanco Technologies, Inc. (OTCBB: ALAN) since 1998 and presently ser committee. From September 1987 to February 1997, Mr. LaVoy served as Chief Financial Officer of NASDAQ-Photocomm, Inc. Mr. LaVoy was a Certified Public Accountant with the firm of KPMG Peat Marwick from 1980 LaVoy has a Bachelor of Science degree in Accounting from St. Cloud University, Minnesota, and is a Certified Accountant (Inactive) in the State of Minnesota. Mr. LaVoy brings over 25 years of CFO experience for progress companies in multiple industries to his service on the Company's Board.

Michael McCormick – Mr. McCormick has been a Director of the Company since June 2015 and brings over 25 yexecutive positions in global management, sales, and marketing to the Company. He is currently the CEO of Glul fastest growing food energy products in the U.S. He also serves as a founder and partner of GO Intellectual Capit firm specializing in medical, aviation, and financial services. GO Intellectual Capital recently provided consulting DJO Global, a medical device and services company, to expand its product assortment, add new channels of distr market new category opportunities. Previous to his service with Glukos and GO, Mr. McCormick served as Exect President of Global Sales and Marketing for Columbia Sportswear from 2006-2012, where his team successfully several new patented technologies, including Omni-Heat® Reflective and Omni-Freeze® Zero. During Mr. McColumbia built an intellectual property portfolio with over 200 patents. Mr. McCormick started his career with N several senior management roles and ultimately becoming the Director of National Sales, US, prior to his departualso served as Chief Marketing Officer of Golf Galaxy from 2003-2006 and Executive Vice President of Global S Marketing of Callaway Golf from 2000-2003. Mr. McCormick brings over 25 years of marketing experience in a of industries to his service on the Company's Board.

Philip Vitale, MD – Dr. Vitale has been a Director of the Company since 2014 and is a board certified urologist. I Urology from 1978 to 2005 at Lovelace Health Systems in Albuquerque. He also served on the Board of Governous and held various administrative positions including Chief Medical Officer and Senior Vice President at Lovelace. urologist at Albuquerque VA Medical Center from 2005 until his retirement in November 2014. He served as Chi Urology section from 2008 to November 2013. Dr. Vitale was also an Assistant Professor at the University of Ne Division of Urology. He is a member of the American Urological Association and the South Central Section of th Urological Association. Prior to his retirement, Dr. Vitale's clinical trials included: chemotherapy after prostatect phase III randomized study for high risk prostate carcinoma; RTOG 0415 a phase III randomized study of hypofr 3d-crt/IMRT versus conventionally fractionated 3d-crt/IMRT in patients with favorable-risk prostate cancer; RTC III prospective randomized trial of dose-escalated radiotherapy with or without short-term androgen deprivation to patients with intermediate-risk prostate cancer; and YP19A1 gene and pharmacogenetics of response to testostero Vitale holds a BA in Biology from LaSalle College and obtained his M.D. from the New Jersey College of Medical Dentistry. He received his M.S. in Health Services Administration from the College of St. Francis. Dr. Vitale brit medical expertise in the industries the Company is targeting.

61

William Cavanagh III – Mr. Cavanagh joined IsoRay Medical in January 2010 and serves as Vice President, Research Development. Immediately prior to joining IsoRay Medical, Mr. Cavanagh was engaged in the research and development cell therapies for cancer and infectious diseases. He served as Chief Scientific Officer for Sangretech B for the six years prior to joining IsoRay Medical. At Sangretech, he oversaw the design and implementation of a therapy. Mr. Cavanagh began his extensive career in cancer treatment technologies in the early 1990s, when he research and development of a therapy involving the insertion of radioactive sources directly into the prostate for prostate cancer (prostate brachytherapy). He has designed several cancer treatment-related studies, is listed as an peer-reviewed publications, and is the listed inventor on a U.S. patent application detailing a novel treatment for Cavanagh has also served as Director of the Haakon Ragde Foundation for Advanced Cancer Studies in Seattle, Where he led the research foundation in the selection of viable research projects directed at treating advanced cancer Cavanagh holds a B.S. in Biology from the University of Portland (Oregon) and completed two years of medical beginning his career in research management.

The Company's directors, as named above, will serve until the next annual meeting of the Company's shareholder successors are duly elected and have qualified. Directors will be elected for one-year terms at the annual sharehol There is no arrangement or understanding between any of the directors or officers of the Company and any other to which any director or officer was or is to be selected as a director or officer, and there is no arrangement, plan as to whether non-management shareholders will exercise their voting rights to continue to elect the current direct Company's board. There are also no arrangements, agreements or understandings between non-management share may directly or indirectly participate in or influence the management of the Company's affairs.

There are no agreements or understandings for any officer or director to resign at the request of another person, at officers or directors is acting on behalf of, or will act at the direction of, any other person. There are no family rel among our executive officers and directors.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act) requires the Company's directors and exconficers, and persons who beneficially own more than ten percent of a registered class of our equity securities, to Securities and Exchange Commission (the Commission) initial reports of beneficial ownership and reports of characteristical ownership of our Common Stock. The rules promulgated by the Commission under Section 16(a) of the require those persons to furnish us with copies of all reports filed with the Commission pursuant to Section 16(a) information in this section is based solely upon a review of Forms 3, Forms 4, and Forms 5 received by us.

We believe that IsoRay's executive officers, directors and 10% shareholders timely complied with their filing req during the year ended June 30, 2015, except as follows – Fredric Swindler (one Form 4) with one transaction; Wi (two Form 4s) each with one transaction; Brien Ragle (one Form 4) with one transaction and Thomas LaVoy (one one transaction. Each of these Form 4s was filed late.

Code of Ethics

We have adopted a Code of Conduct and Ethics that applies to all of our officers, directors and employees and a sethics for Chief Executive Officer and Senior Financial Officers that supplements our Code of Conduct and Ethics

The Code of Conduct and Ethics was previously filed as Exhibit 14.1 to our Form 10-KSB for the period ended Jand the Code of Ethics for Chief Executive Officer and Senior Financial Officers was previously filed as Exhibit same report. The Code of Ethics for Chief Executive Officer and Senior Financial Officers is also available to the website at http://www.isoray.com/corporate_governance. Each of these policies comprises written standards that designed to deter wrongdoing and to promote the behavior described in Item 406 of Regulation S-K promulgated Securities and Exchange Commission. Any amendments to or waivers of the Codes will be promptly posted on or at www.IsoRay.com or in a report on Form 8-K, as required by applicable laws.

Nominating Procedures

There have been no material changes to the procedures by which our shareholders may recommend nominees to t Directors during our last fiscal year.

62

ITEM 11 - EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The following summary compensation table sets forth information concerning compensation for services rendere capacities during our past three fiscal years awarded to, earned by or paid to each of the following individuals. Sa compensation for these officers are set or recommended to the Board by the Compensation Committee. No other officer received total compensation of over \$100,000 during fiscal year 2015.

Summary Compensation Table

						Non-equity	Nonqualifie deferred	d
				Stock	Option	incentive plan	compensation	onAll oth
		Salary	Bonus	awards	awards	compensation	earnings	compe
Name and principal position	Year	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	$(\$)^{(1)}$	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
Dwight Babcock	2015	291,554	-	-	57,095	-	-	-
Chairman and CEO	2014	284,712	50,000		116,095	-	-	-
	2013	284,394	-	-	-	-	-	-
Brien Ragle	2015	119,620	-	-	20,554	-	-	-
CFO	2014	117,834	-	-	39,401	-	-	-
	2013	99,215	-	-	-	-	-	-
William Cavanagh	2015	158,020	-	-	20,554	-	-	-
VP – R&D	2014	154,500	-	-	37,099	-	-	-
	2013	154,327	-	-	-	-	-	-

Amounts represent the ASC 718, Compensation – Stock Compensation valuation for the fiscal years ended June and 2013, respectively. All such options were awarded under one of the Company's four stock option plans. All awarded (with the exception of Mr. Babcock's stock option grants that were immediately vested on the grant darequal annual installments beginning with the first anniversary from the date of grant and expire ten years after the All options were granted at the fair market value of the Company's stock on the date of grant and the Company Black-Scholes methodology as discussed in the footnotes to the financial statements to value the options.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to stock and option awards and other plan-based avour named executive officers during fiscal 2015.

Edgar Filing: GENCOR INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10-Q

		All other option awards: Number of	•	Grant date fair value of of stock
Name	Grant Date	securities underlying options (#)		and option awards
Dwight Babcock Chairman/CEO	617/2015	50,000	\$ 1.47	\$57,095
Brien Ragle CFO	617/2015	20,000	1.47	20,554
William Cavanagh Vice-President of Research and Development	617/2015	20,000	1.47	20,554

63

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End

Option awards

	Number of securities underlying unexercised Options		Number of securities underlying unexercised options	Equity Incentive plan awards: Number of securities underlying unexercised unearned	Option exercise	Option
	(#)		(#)	options	price	expiration
Name	exercisable		unexercisable	(#)	(\$)	date
Dwight Babcock,	50,000 ((1)	-	-	6.30	03/31/2016
Chairman and CEO	50,000 ((1)	-	-	3.80	06/23/2016
		(1)	-	-	3.11	08/15/2016
	100,000 ((1)	-	-	0.75	05/13/2018
	200,000 ((1)	-	-	0.26	06/01/2019
		(1)	-	-	1.43	06/30/2020
	100,000 ((1)	-	-	0.99	06/07/2021
		(1)	-	-	0.98	06/27/2022
	50,000 ((1)	-	-	0.58	09/05/2023
		(1)	-	-	2.17	05/20/2024
	50,000 ((1)	-	-	1.47	06/17/2025
Brien Ragle	5,000 ((2)	_	-	4.40	03/02/2017
CFO		(3)	_	-	4.14	06/01/2017
		(4)	-	-	1.43	06/30/2020
		(5)	_	-	0.99	06/07/2021
		(7)	3,334	-	0.59	09/06/2023
		(8)	20,000	-	2.46	06/17/2024
	- ((9)	20,000	-	1.47	06/17/2025
William Cavanagh	6,660 ((6)	_	_	0.98	06/27/2022
Vice-President, Research		(8)	20,000	_	2.46	06/17/2024
And Development		(9)	20,000	-	1.47	06/17/2025

Represents options issued to Mr. Babcock which were all immediately vested and exercisable. The grant dates prior to the expiration date in the table above.

- 2) Represents the March 2, 2007 grant, all of which were exercisable as of March 2, 2010
- 3) Represents the June 1, 2007 grant, all of which were exercisable as of June 1, 2010.
- 4) Represents a June 30, 2010 grant, all of which were exercisable as of June 30, 2013.
- 5) Represents a June 7, 2011 grant, all of which were exercisable as of June 30, 2014.
- 6) Represents a June 27, 2012 grant, all of which were exercisable as of June 27, 2015.

- Represents a September 6, 2013 grant, one-third of which became exercisable on September 6, 2014, one-third became exercisable on September 6, 2015, and the final third will become exercisable on September 6, 2016.
 - Represents a June 17, 2014 grant, one-third of which became exercisable on June 17, 2015, one-third of become exercisable on June 17, 2016, and the final third will become exercisable on June 17, 2017.
- Prepares a June 17, 2015 grant, one-third of which will become exercisable on June 17, 2016, one-third of which become exercisable on June 17, 2017, and the final third will become exercisable on June 17, 2018.

Option Exercises and Stock Vested

	Option Awards		Stock Awa	rds
	Number of shares	Value	Number of shares	Value
	acquired		acquired	
	on	realized on exercise	on	realized on vestir
	exercise		vesting	
Name	(#)	(\$)	(#)	(\$)
Dwight Babcock				
Chairman/CEO	-	-	-	-
Brien Ragle				
CFO	-	-	-	-
William Cavanagh Vice-President of Research and Development	13,340	7,337	-	-

The Company has a 401(k) plan that covers all eligible full-time employees of the Company. Contributions to the made by participants to their individual accounts through payroll withholding. Additionally, the 401(k) plan prove Company to make contributions to the 401(k) plan in amounts at the discretion of management. The Company has contributions to the 401(k) plan and does not maintain any other retirement plans for its executives or employees.

64

Director Compensation

	Fees earned or paid in cash	Stock		Non-equity incentive plan compensation		All other	Total
Name	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
Thomas LaVoy (1)	52,000	-	-	-	-	-	52,000
Michael McCormick (2)	433	-	28,548	-	-	-	28,981
Philip Vitale MD	28,433	_	_	-	-	-	28,433

- (1) Mr. LaVoy received an additional \$2,000 per month for serving as Audit Committee Chairman.
- (2) Mr. McCormick received payment for his service as a non-employee director beginning when his Board se service on committees of the Board commenced on June 18, 2015.

During fiscal year 2015, each non-employee director received cash compensation of \$2,000 per month. In addition non-employee director received \$1,000 per Board meeting attended in person or \$500 per Board meeting attended and \$500 per committee meeting attended.

Each non-employee director had stock options to purchase shares of the Company's common stock outstanding as 2015 as follows - Mr. LaVoy had stock options to purchase 150,000 shares of common stock, Mr. McCormick had to purchase 25,000 shares of common stock, and Dr. Vitale had stock options to purchase 25,000 shares of common stock, and Dr. Vitale had stock options to purchase 25,000 shares of common stock, and Dr. Vitale had stock options to purchase 25,000 shares of common stock, and Dr. Vitale had stock options to purchase 25,000 shares of common stock, and Dr. Vitale had stock options to purchase 25,000 shares of common stock, and Dr. Vitale had stock options to purchase 25,000 shares of common stock, and Dr. Vitale had stock options to purchase 25,000 shares of common stock, and Dr. Vitale had stock options to purchase 25,000 shares of common stock, and Dr. Vitale had stock options to purchase 25,000 shares of common stock, and Dr. Vitale had stock options to purchase 25,000 shares of common stock, and Dr. Vitale had stock options to purchase 25,000 shares of common stock, and Dr. Vitale had stock options to purchase 25,000 shares of common stock, and Dr. Vitale had stock options to purchase 25,000 shares of common stock, and Dr. Vitale had stock options to purchase 25,000 shares of common stock, and Dr. Vitale had stock options to purchase 25,000 shares of common sto

Effective June 18, 2015, the Board, on the recommendation of the Compensation Committee, changed its compensation that date, the independent directors receive \$3,000 per month for their service, and the Chair of the Audit Commit additional \$1,000 per month. The per meeting fees as disclosed above were not changed. Any employee directors any compensation for their service on the Board.

<u>Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation</u>

No member of the Compensation Committee is or was during fiscal year 2015 an employee, or is or ever has been our Company. None of our executive officers has served during fiscal year 2015 as a director or a member of the Committee of another company.

Compensation Discussion & Analysis

Overview of Our Compensation Process

We design our named executive officer compensation programs to attract, motivate and retain the key executives success and help us maintain a strong position in our industry. We are committed to industry standards for the reg operate for base pay, and equity payable to our named executive officers based on our ability to raise capital and addition, we design our named executive compensation to encourage long-term commitment by our named executive IsoRay.

Please read the "Executive Compensation" section of this annual report, beginning on page 63. That section of the which includes our named executive officer compensation tables and related narrative discussion, provides histor our compensation programs and policies for our named executive officers. The executive officers named in the su compensation table and deemed to be a "named executive officer" are Dwight Babcock, Brien Ragle, and William

The compensation paid to the Company's named executive officers is intended to align their interests with the lon of the Company's shareholders and is based on a pay-for-performance philosophy. It is straightforward, consisting salary, which must be competitive to retain the skills and experience of excellent employees, and equity compens encourage long term commitment and team performance. Not all elements of our compensation package may be payear, depending on the performance of the Company and the executive.

65

At our 2014 annual meeting, our shareholders approved our executive compensation program, and the next advise held in 2017.

Highlights of our named executive officer compensation programs and policies are as follows:

We generally do not enter into employment agreements with our named executive officers, which results in a lace pay obligations, lack of change in control payments, and the ability of the Board and the CEO to dismiss named officers at will, all of which the Board believes ultimately can save the Company ongoing severance obligations performance by the named executive officers.

The discretionary option grants available to our CEO are linked to the success of the Company in overseeing and investment banking firms in raising capital and reducing costs.

The compensation of our named executive officers is not linked to the performance of the Company, except for obnuses and option grants, but is instead based on our ability to obtain executives with the experience necessary to work for a company located in a small community with limited access to a major metropolitan center.

· The compensation of our CFO is less than the industry norm for a CFO, as our CFO does not have past CFO e

We provide named executive officers with long-term incentives in the form of stock options. These equity-based generally vest over a period of three years (except for grants to our CEO which vest immediately), link compens long-term price performance of our stock, and also provide a substantial retention incentive.

We do not provide perquisites to our named executive officers.

Company Background

Historically, our revenue has been difficult to predict and we have not shown a profit for any quarter since the inc Company. When the entire prostate cancer brachytherapy industry began to experience annual deceases in deman also suffered declines. These declines were exacerbated by the emergence of alternative radiation therapies which greater remuneration to the physician than our brachytherapy solution.

Our CEO, with the assistance of our management team, had the foresight to expand the use of the Company's proinclude treating brain, gynecologic, lung and other cancers with our brachytherapy products. These non-prostate t

required significant capital for research and development, protocols and studies. Acceptance by medical profession with our products is a long term process.

As a consequence of the combination of (i) a decline in the prostate market due to macro-economic factors; and (i) deploy significant resources in non-prostate applications, our compensation programs have not been structured to increases, bonuses, or stock options based on revenues or profits. Instead, our compensation has rewarded capital cutting costs. Capital is critical to fund new applications. Cost cutting is important as we face a declining prostate

This Compensation Discussion and Analysis describes our compensation objectives, our executive compensation policies and actions with respect to each compensation element. We describe the rationale for compensation decis fiscal year 2015 with respect to our President and Chief Executive Officer, our Chief Financial Officer and our V. Research and Development, whom we refer to as our named executive officers.

66

Our Executive Compensation Program

Program Objectives

We design our executive compensation program to achieve the following objectives:

·Motivate and reward executives whose knowledge, skills and performance are essential to our success;

Align the performance of our executives and the interests of our shareholders;

Recruit and retain executive talent; and

Support the corporate business strategy by rewarding cost control measures and capital raising re

Compensation Process

The Compensation Committee of our Board has the primary responsibility for determining compensation of our end Board has determined that each member of our Compensation Committee is "independent" as that term is defined NYSE MKT rules, is an "outside director" as defined in Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code, or the Cod "non-employee" director as defined under Section 16 of the Exchange Act.

Our Compensation Committee determines all compensation matters for our named executive officers, including be bonuses, and equity compensation. Utilizing input from our Chief Executive Officer, the Compensation Committee independent decision on compensation for each executive other than the CEO. The Compensation Committee also relies on the judgment of the Chief Executive Officer in making compensation determinations of our non-executive primary goal of our Compensation Committee is to closely align the interests of our named executive officers and of our shareholders. The Compensation Committee assesses performance on a number of subjective and objective

In making decisions regarding executive compensation, our Compensation Committee considers, among other this

Past compensation levels of each executive and the executives as a group;

Consistency of current compensation with previous compensation decisions and benchmar

Existing levels of stock and stock option ownership among our executives, previous stock option grants and vest ensure executive retention and alignment with shareholder interests;

Management recommendations;

General trends in executive compensation; and

Meeting ongoing cost control and capital raise objectives.

The Compensation Committee conducts an annual review of the Chief Executive Officer's performance and repo to the Board. The Board reviews the Compensation Committee's evaluation and recommendation and also evaluate Executive Officer's performance according to the goals and objectives established periodically by the full Board. serves as the basis for the recommendation of the Compensation Committee on Chief Executive Officer compensation.

The Compensation Committee did not engage an independent compensation consultant to evaluate executive condid not survey healthcare industry data or complete a peer group comparison.

Compensation Components

Our executive compensation primarily consists of base salary, bonuses and long-term equity-based compensation

67

The factors our Compensation Committee considered for each of our executives in fiscal 2015 included:

- Overall corporate performance during fiscal 2015 in achieving certain non-financial milesto
- The roles and responsibilities of our executives in helping the Company meet these milestor
 - The additional roles and responsibilities of our executives;
 - The individual experience and skills of our executives; and
- · The location of the Company in a small city and the fact that we are a much smaller company than any of our co

We have an executive compensation philosophy and goals based on attracting, retaining and rewarding our execu addition, we believe that executive compensation should be linked to corporate performance and accomplishment shareholder value. As such, our executive compensation policy focuses on aligning the interests of our executive long-term interests of our shareholders and with our corporate strategies and goals.

Base salaries of executive officers are reviewed and approved annually by our Compensation Committee and adjumade based on (i) salary recommendations from our Chief Executive Officer, (ii) individual performance of executive previous fiscal year, and (iii) historical pay. In addition, in establishing the total compensation package for our Executive Officer, the Compensation Committee pursues the same objectives and policies that apply for our other officers.

Base Salary

Base salary reflects job responsibilities, value to us and individual performance, taking into consideration the nee retain our executives. We determine salaries for our named executive officers initially by reference to each executive year's salary. The Compensation Committee determines any increase over these salaries based upon recommendate Chief Executive Officer, except in the case of the Chief Executive Officer's own compensation. The Compensation generally reviews base salaries of our executives annually and adjusts salaries from time to time to realign salaries market increases and individual performance.

Achievement of individual and corporate accomplishments along with the executive officer's level of responsibil factors and our internal policies regarding salary increases were considered regarding fiscal 2015 salary increases

Merit-based salary increases for fiscal 2015 were two and one-half percent (2.5%) for Dwight Babcock, Brien Ra Cavanagh. In June 2015, we set the annual base salary for fiscal 2016 for Dwight Babcock, our President and Chi Officer, at \$301,000, for Brien Ragle, our Chief Financial Officer, at \$130,000, and for William Cavanagh, our V Research and Development, at \$163,116.

Performance-Based Annual Bonus

We provide for an annual cash incentive that reinforces our pay-for-performance approach. This incentive compe short-term incentive program that rewards achievement. Annual incentive awards are awarded at the sole determi Compensation Committee (on behalf of the Board) based on the actual and measurable performance of the Comp set of corporate objectives for the previous year.

This past year we did not award any cash incentives and bonuses. Instead, the Compensation Committee requester provide some suggested objective quarterly performance goals so that a bonus plan could be structured. The Committee now believes that the Company has finally reached the stage where it can base bonuses on revenue into Effective for the quarter ending September 30, 2015, each named officer will earn a bonus of three percent (3%) of base salary for a fifteen percent (15%) or greater increase in revenue from the prior fiscal year's comparable quarter a for a fifteen percent (15%) or greater increase in revenue over the prior fiscal year. The Compensation Committee monitor the results of this incentive plan this year to determine if it provides a better incentive than the subjective historically from time to time.

68

Fiscal 2014 and 2015 accomplishments taken into account by the Compensation Committee to determine overall performance included the following:

1.	Maintained controls over expenses.
2.	Achieved annual revenue growth.
3.	Received FDA clearance for our liquid Cesium-131.
4.	Performed the first GliaSite case utilizing Cs-131.
5.	Selected for the Russell Microcap Index.
6.	First patient ever was implanted with Cs-131 combined with the C-4 spacer.
7.	First veterinary case performed on a horse.
8.	Several first ever peer reviewed publications were issued.
9.	2 new focal prostate studies initiated (Moran and UPMC).
10.	Established new Italian distributor.
11.	Received Greek license to ship products.
12.	Introduced Cs-131 in Russia in new cancer medical center grand opening.
13.	CE Mark audit completed with no warnings.
14.	Upgraded manufacturing and enterprise systems with redundancy.
15	. Participated in 6 industry shows.

Long-Term Equity-Based Incentive Compensation

Our long-term incentive program provides an annual award, with the potential for periodic awards, which is perform the objective of the program is to align compensation for named executive officers over a multi-year period direct interests of our shareholders by motivating and rewarding creation and preservation of long-term shareholder value that we can maximize our long-term performance best if we tie the value of the long-term benefits our executives long-term performance.

The sole form of equity compensation to our executive officers are stock options. Our Compensation Committee preliminary recommendations for equity-based awards from our Chief Executive Officer. Our Compensation Correviews the recommendations and recommends equity-based awards for all of our officers, including our Chief Earth of the other named executive officers, to our Board for approval.

Stock option awards provide our executive officers with the right to purchase shares of our common stock at a fix price typically for a period of up to ten years, subject to continued service with us in accordance with the terms of incentive plans, and generally vest over three years (other than for the CEO whose options vest immediately). We stock options that have exercise prices below the fair market value of our common stock on the date of grant. We the exercise price of stock options if the price of our common stock subsequently declines below the exercise price obtain shareholder approval. However, we do adjust the exercise price of previously granted stock options to reflect

recapitalizations, stock splits, mergers, and similar events as permitted by the applicable stock plans.

We typically grant stock options on an annual basis as part of annual performance reviews of our employees. We incentive compensation to our executive officers because we believe doing so will motivate our executives by ali interest more closely with the interest of our shareholders.

On June 18, 2015, we granted stock options to purchase 50,000 shares, 20,000 shares, and 20,000 shares of our conception Dwight Babcock, Brien Ragle, and William Cavanagh, respectively, at an exercise price of \$1.47 per share.

69

Other Aspects of Our Compensation Philosophy

Other Benefits

We provide our named executive officers with the same employee benefits that all of our other employees receive broad-based benefit plans. These plans provide for health benefits, life insurance and other welfare benefits.

Perquisites

We do not provide our named executive officers with any retirement or welfare plan benefits that we do not proviother employees.

Risks Related to Compensation Policies and Practices

The Compensation Committee has considered whether our overall compensation program for employees in 2015 incentives for employees to take excessive or unreasonable risks that could materially harm our Company. We be several features of our compensation policies for management employees appropriately mitigate such risks, including- and short-term compensation incentives that we believe is properly weighted, and the uniformity of compensations our Company, which the Compensation Committee regards as setting an appropriate level of risk taking for believe our internal legal and financial controls appropriately mitigate the probability and potential impact of an it employee committing us to a harmful long-term business transaction in exchange for short-term compensation be

Recoupment Policy

In order to align further management's interests with the interests of our shareholders and to support good corpor practices, the Board has adopted a recoupment policy. Subject to rules of the SEC and NYSE MKT, in the event required to prepare an accounting restatement due to the material noncompliance with any financial reporting required the federal securities laws, we will form a committee of the non-management directors to determine whether we from any of our current or former executive officers, as determined in accordance with such rules, who received performance-based compensation (including stock options awarded as compensation) during the period for which to prepare an accounting restatement, based on the erroneous data, in excess of what would have been paid to the officer under the accounting restatement. The committee may also take any other actions authorized by our Executive.

Compensation Clawback Policy.

Compensation Committee Report

The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors has reviewed and discussed the matters contained under Compensation Discussion and Analysis of this Report with our management and, based on such review and discurrecommended to the Board that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this Annual Report on the Company's fiscal year ended June 30, 2015.

Respectfully submitted,

Philip Vitale, MD (Chair)

Thomas LaVoy

Michael McCormick

ITEM 12 – SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT A STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The following tables set forth certain information regarding the beneficial ownership of the Company's common of preferred stock as of September 11, 2015 for (a) each person known by the Company to be a beneficial owner of more of the outstanding common or preferred stock of the Company, (b) each executive officer, director and nom of the Company, and (c) directors and executive officers of the Company as a group. As of September 11, 2015, thad 55,013,553 shares of common stock and 59,065 shares of Series B preferred stock outstanding. Except as oth below, the address for each listed beneficial owner is c/o IsoRay, Inc., 350 Hills Street, Suite 106, Richland, Wast

70

Common Stock Share Ownership

Name of Beneficial Owner	Common Shares Owned	Common Stock Options(1)	Percent of Class (2)
Dwight Babcock(3)	304,097	850,000	2.00	%
Brien Ragle	-	56,999	0.02	%
Thomas LaVoy	93,523	150,000	0.44	%
Michael McCormick		25,000	0.05	%
Philip Vitale M.D.	10,000	25,000	0.06	%
William Cavanagh III		13,326	0.02	%
Directors and Executive Officers as a group	407,620	1,120,325	0.02	%

1) Only includes those common stock options that could be exercised for common stock within 60 days after Sept Percentage ownership is based on 55,013,553 shares of Common Stock outstanding on September 11, 2015. Shape Common Stock subject to stock options which are currently exercisable or will become exercisable within 60 d September 11, 2015 are deemed outstanding for computing the percentage ownership of the person or group hospitions but are not deemed outstanding for computing the percentage ownership of any other person or group.

3) Mr. Babcock's common shares owned include 2,695 shares owned by his spouse.

Series B Preferred Stock Share Ownership

	Series B Preferred	
	Shares	Percent
	Silares	of
Name of Beneficial Owner	Owned	Class
Traine of Beneficial Owner	Owned	(1)
Aissata Sidibe (2)	20,000	33.86 %
William and Karen Thompson Trust (3)	14,218	24.07 %
Jamie Granger (4)	10,529	17.83 %
Hostetler Living Trust (5)	9,479	16.05 %
Leslie Fernandez (6)	3,688	6.24 %

(1) Percentage ownership is based on 59,065 shares of Series B Preferred Stock outstanding on September

 (2) The address of Aissata Sidibe is 99302 E Sidibe PR SE, Kennewick, WA 99338.

 (3) The address of the William and Karen Thompson Trust is 285 Dondero Way, San Jose, CA 95
 (4) The address of Jamie Granger is 53709 South Nine Canyon Road, Kennewick, WA 9933
 (5) The address of the Hostetler Living Trust is 9327 NE 175th Street, Bothell, WA 9801

(6) The address of the Hostetler Living Trust is 9327 NE 175th Street, Bothen, WA 9601 (6) The address of Leslie Fernandez is 2615 Scottsdale Place, Richland, WA 99352.

No officers or directors beneficially own shares of any class of Preferred Stock.

71

ITEM 13 – CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEP

Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions

None requiring disclosure under Reg. S-K Item 404.

Review and Approval of Related Party Transactions

The Company's Code of Ethics emphasizes the importance of avoiding situations or transactions in which personal interfere with the best interests of the Company or its shareholders. In addition, the Company's general corporate practice includes Board-level discussion and assessment of procedures for discussing and assessing relationships, business, financial, familial and nonprofit, among the Company and its officers and directors or their immediate for the extent that they may arise. The Board and either the Audit Committee or the Nominations and Corporate Gommittee review any transaction with an officer or director or their immediate family members to determine, or basis, whether a conflict of interest exists. The Board ensures that all directors voting on such a matter have no in matter and discusses the transaction with counsel as the Board deems necessary. The Board will generally delegated discussing, reviewing and approving transactions between the Company and any related persons to either the Audit the Nominations and Corporate Governance Committee.

As required under SEC rules, transactions that are determined to be directly or indirectly material to the Company party would be disclosed in our Annual Report; however, during our fiscal year ended June 30, 2015, we did not party transactions requiring disclosure under Reg. S-K Item 404.

Director Independence

Using the standards of the NYSE MKT, the Company's Board has determined that Mr. LaVoy, Mr. McCormick at Vitale each qualify under such standards as an independent director. Mr. LaVoy, Mr. McCormick and Dr. Vitale NYSE MKT listing standards for independence both as a director and as a member of both the Audit Committee a Compensation Committee. No other directors are independent under these standards. The Company did not consi relationship or transaction between itself and these independent directors not already disclosed in this report in madetermination.

ITEM 14 - PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

The Company paid or accrued the following fees in each of the prior three fiscal years to its principal accountant, Maichel & Teague, P.S.:

		For the Y	ear Ended	June 30,
		2015	2014	2013
		*=	A	.
1.	Audit fees	\$76,566	\$63,471	\$60,372
2.	Audit-related fees	-	-	2,733
3.	Tax fees	11,988	9,000	8,250
4.	All other fees	-	-	3,750
To	tals	\$88,554	\$72,471	\$75,105

Audit fees include fees for the audit of our annual financial statements, reviews of our quarterly financial stateme consents for documents filed with the SEC, as well as, in fiscal 2015, the fees for the audit of our internal control reporting. Audit-related fees include cost of attendance at the annual shareholder meeting. Tax fees include fees f preparation of our federal and state income tax returns. All other fees are related to consulting costs related to the documents related to equity offerings.

72

As part of its responsibility for oversight of the independent registered public accountants, the Audit Committee In pre-approval policy for engaging audit and permitted non-audit services provided by our independent registered pre-approval policy, and it is pre-approved by the case of audit, audit-related, the permitted service to be provided by the independent auditors is specifically described and each such service, toge level or budgeted amount for such service, is pre-approved by the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee has defauthority to its Chairman to pre-approve additional non-audit services (provided such services are not prohibited law) up to a pre-established aggregate dollar limit. All services pre-approved by the Chairman of the Audit Committee meeting for review and ratification. All of the services provided by DeCor Teague, P.S. described above were approved by our Audit Committee.

The Company's principal accountant, DeCoria, Maichel & Teague P.S., did not engage any other persons or firms principal accountant's full-time, permanent employees.

ITEM 15 - EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

EXHIBIT INDEX

(Except as otherwise indicated, all exhibits were previously filed and all omitted exhibits are intentionally of

Exhibit # Description

- Certificate of Designation of Rights, Preferences and Privileges of Series A and B Convertible Preferred 3.2 with the Minnesota Secretary of State on June 29, 2005 incorporated by reference to the Form 8-K file 2005.
- Restated and Amended Articles of Incorporation incorporated by reference to the Form 10-KSB filed of 2005.
- Certificate of Designation of Rights, Preferences and Privileges of Series C Junior Participating Prefer incorporated by reference to the Company's Registration Statement on Form 8-A filed February 7, 200
- Amended and Restated By-Laws of the Company dated as of January 8, 2008, incorporated by referen 8-K filed on January 14, 2008.
- Certificate of Designation and Preferences, Rights and Limitations of Series D Convertible Preferred S August 29, 2013 of IsoRay, Inc., incorporated by reference to the Form 8-K filed on August 29, 2013.
- August 29, 2013 of IsoRay, Inc., Incorporated by reference to the Form 8-8/A Amended and Restated 2006 Director Stock Option Plan, incorporated by reference to the Form S-8/A
- December 18, 2006.

 Rights Agreement, dated as of February 1, 2007, between the Computershare Trust Company N.A., as incorporated by reference to the Company's Registration Statement on Form 8-A filed on February 7, 2007.
- 4.20 Certificate of Designation of Rights, Preferences and Privileges of Series C Junior Participating Prefer incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form 8-A filed Feb.

4.26	Form of Common Stock Purchase Warrant, incorporated by reference to the Form 8-K filed on October
4.32	2014 Employee Stock Option Plan incorporated by reference to the Form 10-Q filed on March 15, 20
4.33	Form of Stock Option Agreement of IsoRay, Inc., incorporated by reference to the Form 10-Q filed on
	2014.
10.2	Universal License Agreement, dated November 26, 1997 between Donald C. Lawrence and William J.
10.2	Pacific Management Associates Corporation, incorporated by reference to the Form SB-2 filed on Nov
10.3	Royalty Agreement of Invention and Patent Application, dated July 12, 1999 between Lane A. Bray ar
	incorporated by reference to the Form SB-2 filed on November 10, 2005.
10.5	Section 510(k) Clearance from the Food and Drug Administration to market Lawrence CSERION Mod
	March 28, 2003, incorporated by reference to the Form SB-2 filed on November 10, 2005.
10.10	Registry of Radioactive Sealed Sources and Devices Safety Evaluation of Sealed Source, dated Septem
10.10	incorporated by reference to the Form SB-2/A2 filed on April 27, 2006.
	State of Washington Radioactive Materials License dated October 6, 2005, incorporated by reference t
10.18	SB-2 filed on November 10, 2005.
	Agreement dated August 9, 2005 between the Curators of the University of Missouri and IsoRay Medi

portions). Form of Officer and Director Indemnification Agreement, incorporated by reference to the Form SB-2

10.35 Amendment No. 2 filed on October 13, 2006.

License Agreement, dated effective June 14, 2010, by and between IsoRay Medical, Inc. and Hologic 10.59 incorporated by reference to the Form 8-K filed on June 23, 2010 (confidential treatment granted for re-

portions). License Agreement dated as of June 1, 2011, by and between Dr. Reddy's Laboratories (EU) Ltd. and

incorporated by reference to the Form SB-2/A2 filed on April 27, 2006 (confidential treatment granted

10.66 Inc., incorporated by reference to the Form 8-K/A filed on August 19, 2011 (confidential treatment gra redacted portions).

73

10.22

10.68	International Distribution Agreement, dated October 31, 2011, by and between IsoRay Medical, Inc. Goehl-Medizintechnik Göhl, incorporated by reference to the Form 8-K filed on November 3, 2011 (treatment granted for redacted portions).
10.74	Letter Agreement, dated August 27, 2013, between IsoRay Medical, Inc. and Karlheinz Goehl-Mediz incorporated by reference to the Form 10-K filed on September 30, 2013.
10.76	Securities Purchase Agreement dated as of March 1, 2014, between IsoRay, Inc., and each Purchaser signature pages thereto, incorporated by reference to the Form 8-K filed on March 21, 2014.
10.77	Letter Agreement dated March 21, 2014, between Maxim Group LLC and IsoRay, Inc., incorporated the Form 8-K filed on March 21, 2014.
10.78	Contract dated June 23, 2014, by and between IsoRay Medical, Inc. and The Open Joint Stock Comp the Nuclear Materials >> (confidential treatment granted for redacted portions), incorporated herein b Form 8-K filed June 25, 2014.
10.79	Addendum No. 1 to Contract No. 840/08624332/1609-14 dated July 31, 2014, by and between Open Company « Institute of the Nuclear Materials » (JSC «INM») and IsoRay Medical, Inc., incorporate Form 8-K filed on August 7, 2014.
10.81	Letter Agreement dated August 28, 2014, by and between IsoRay Medical, Inc. and Karlheinz Goehl-Göhl (confidential treatment granted for redacted portions), incorporated herein by reference to the Fe September 29, 2014.
10.82	Contract, dated January 12, 2015, by and between IsoRay Medical, Inc. and Joint Stock Company "Ir Materials" (confidential treatment granted for redacted portions); incorporated herein by reference to filed May 15, 2015.
10.83*	Letter Agreement dated August 31, 2015, by and between IsoRay Medical, Inc. and Karlheinz Goehl Medizintechnik Göhl.
10.84*	Real Estate Purchase and Sale Agreement, dated September 10, 2015, by and between IsoRay Medica Port of Benton.
14.1	Code of Conduct and Ethics, incorporated by reference to the Form 10-KSB filed on October 11, 200
14.2	Code of Ethics for Chief Executive Officer & Senior Financial Officers, incorporated by reference to 10-KSB filed on October 11, 2005.
21.1*	Subsidiaries of the Company.
23.1*	Consent of DeCoria, Maichel & Teague, P.S.
31.1*	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification - Chief Executive Officer.
31.2*	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification – Principal Financial Officer.
32.1**	Section 1350 Certifications.
101.INS*	XBRL Instance Document.
101.SCH*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document.
101.CAL*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document.
101.DEF*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document.
101.LAB*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document.

Reports on Form 8-K

Table of Contents 135

101.PRE* XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document.

^{*} Filed herewith.

^{**} Furnished herewith.

On May 18, 2015, the Company filed a Current Report on Form 8-K announcing its financial results for the quart months ended March 31, 2015.

On June 22, 2015, the Company filed a Current Report on Form 8-K announcing the appointment of Michael (Mitto the Board; and a change to the Board's compensation.

On September 2, 2015, the Company filed a Current Report on Form 8-K announcing the extension and amendment Medical, Inc.'s International Distribution Agreement with Karlheinz Goehl-Medizintechnik Göhl.

74

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Board of Directors and Stockholders

IsoRay, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Richland, Washington

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of IsoRay, Inc. and Subsidiaries as of June 30, 20 and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of in the period ended June 30, 2015. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (In Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the final are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts are the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our accounting the overall financial statement presentation.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the position of IsoRay, Inc. and Subsidiaries at June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the results of its operations and its cash the three years in the period ended June 30, 2015, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in States of America.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (Un IsoRay, Inc. and Subsidiaries' internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2015, based on criteria estab Control - Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway C (COSO) and our report dated September 11, 2015 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ DeCoria, Maichel & Teague, P.S.

Spokane, Washington

September 11, 2015

F-1

IsoRay, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Balance Sheets

	June 30, 2015
ASSETS	
Current assets:	
Cash and cash equivalents Certificates of deposit (Note 3)	\$5,226,740 9,362,574
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$30,000 and \$38,607, respectively	1,049,041
Inventory	403,955
Other receivables	19,615
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	263,597
Total current assets	16,325,522
Fixed assets, net of accumulated depreciation	574,840
Certificates of deposit, non-current (Note 3)	5,106,775
Restricted cash	181,262
Inventory, non-current	569,854
Other assets, net of accumulated amortization	245,031
Total assets	\$23,003,284
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY	
Current liabilities:	
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$498,253
Accrued protocol expense	124,131
Accrued radioactive waste disposal	129,500
Accrued payroll and related taxes	212,795
Accrued vacation	127,515
Total current liabilities	1,092,194
Long-term liabilities:	
Warrant derivative liability	181,000
Asset retirement obligation	947,849
Total liabilities	2,221,043

Commitments and contingencies (Note 16)

Shareholders' equity:	
Preferred stock, \$.001 par value; 7,001,671 shares authorized:	
Series A: 1,000,000 shares allocated; no shares issued and outstanding	-
Series B: 5,000,000 shares allocated; 59,065 shares issued and outstanding	59
Series C: 1,000,000 shares allocated; no shares issued and outstanding	-
Series D: 1,671 and 0 shares allocated; no shares issued and outstanding	-
Common stock, \$.001 par value; 192,998,329 shares authorized; 54,967,559 and 54,701,708 shares issued and outstanding	54,968
Treasury stock, at cost, 13,200 shares	(8,390
Additional paid-in capital	82,467,111
Accumulated deficit	(61,731,507
Total shareholders' equity	20,782,241
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$23,003,284

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

F-2

IsoRay, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statements of Operations

	Year Ended J 2015	une 30, 2014	2013	
Product sales, net Cost of product sales	\$4,606,539 4,439,146	\$4,219,158 4,415,629	\$4,525,233 4,375,057	
Gross profit / (loss)	167,393	(196,471)	150,176	
Operating expenses: Research and development Sales and marketing General and administrative	614,771 1,488,456 2,400,353	668,803 1,234,725 2,488,219	627,107 1,296,149 2,294,173	
Total operating expenses	4,503,580	4,391,747	4,217,429	
Operating loss	(4,336,187)	(4,588,218)	(4,067,253)	
Non-operating income (expense): Interest income Change in fair value of warrant derivative liability Financing and interest expense	282,745 374,605 (2,214	12,113 (1,382,134) (883)	664 210,000 (7)	
Non-operating income (expense), net	655,136	(1,370,904)	210,657	
Net loss Preferred stock deemed dividends (Note 11) Preferred stock dividends	(3,681,051) - (10,632)	(5,959,122) (726,378) (10,632)	(3,856,596) - (10,632)	
Net loss applicable to common shareholders	\$(3,691,683)	\$(6,696,132)	\$(3,867,228)	
Basic and diluted loss per share	\$(0.07	\$(0.16)	\$(0.11)	
Weighted average shares used in computing net loss per share: Basic and diluted	54,882,350	42,675,158	34,423,420	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

IsoRay, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statement of Changes in Shareholders' Equity

	Series B Preferred Stock		Series D Preferred Stock		Common Stock		Treasury Stock		Additional Paid-	Accum
	Shares	Amo	ou Sih ares	Amo	ou Sit ares	Amount	Shares	Amount	in Capital	Deficit
Balances at June 30, 2012	59,065	\$59	-	\$-	30,950,108	\$30,950	13,200	\$(8,390)	\$54,030,311	\$(48,23
Issuance of common stock pursuant to registered public offering, net Issuance of					3,626,943	3,627			3,288,350	
common stock pursuant to exercise of warrants, net Issuance of					2,766	3			1,822	
common stock pursuant to exercise of options					38,700	38			13,091	
Payment of dividend to preferred shareholders									(10,632)
Share-based compensation Net loss									108,351	(3,856
Balances at June 30, 2013	59,065	\$59	-	\$-	34,618,517	\$34,618	13,200	\$(8,390)	\$57,431,293	\$(52,09
Issuance of preferred stock pursuant to underwritten			1,670	2					1,478,701	

public offering, net Issuance of common stock pursuant to underwritten public offering, net Conversion of					3,800,985	3,801	1,796,788
Series D preferred stock to common stock			(1,670)	(2)	3,121,480	3,121	(3,119)
Issuance of common stock pursuant to exercise of warrants, net Issuance of					7,165,443	7,166	7,005,775
common stock pursuant to exercise of options					350,983	351	265,963
Issuance of common stock pursuant to registered public offering, net Payment of					5,644,300	5,645	13,809,097
dividend to preferred shareholders							(10,632)
Share-based compensation Net loss							185,987 (5,959)
Balances at June 30, 2014 5	59,065	\$59	-	\$-	54,701,708	\$54,702	13,200 \$(8,390) \$81,959,853 \$(58,050
Issuance of common stock pursuant to exercise of warrants, net					58,947	59	99,585
Issuance of common stock pursuant to exercise of					206,904	207	213,041
options							(10,632)

Payment of dividend to preferred shareholders Share-based

205,264

compensation Net loss

Balances at June 30, 2015

59,065 \$59 - \$- 54,967,559 \$54,968 13,200 \$(8,390) \$82,467,111 \$(61,73)

(3,681

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

F-4

IsoRay, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

	Year Ended J 2015		ne 30, 2014
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES: Net loss	\$(3,681,051)	\$(5,959,122
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used by operating activities: Allowance for doubtful accounts Depreciation of fixed assets Amortization of other assets Change in fair value of derivative warrant liabilities Accretion of asset retirement obligation	(8,607 576,380 36,987 (374,605 81,289		(13,992 685,396 30,189 1,382,134 74,318
Share-based compensation Changes in operating assets and liabilities: Accounts receivable	205,264 (127,385)	185,987 24,723
Inventory Other receivables Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(144,314 33,467 (57,550)	45,834 (41,580 (3,167
Accounts payable and accrued expenses Accrued protocol expense Accrued radioactive waste disposal Accrued payroll and related taxes	(76,602 43,698 (12,092 (23,487)	142,289 55,128 41,592 108,863
Accrued payron and related taxes Accrued vacation Net cash used by operating activities	6,750 (3,521,858		13,187
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES: Purchases of fixed assets			
Additions to licenses and other assets Proceeds from the maturity of certificates of deposit Purchases of certificates of deposit Purchases of certificates of deposit - non-current Change in restricted cash	•)	(19,029 (17,758 - (10,002,912 (5,401,398 (59
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	783,660		(15,441,156
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES: Preferred dividends paid Proceeds from sales of preferred stock, pursuant to underwritten offering, net Proceeds from sales of common stock, pursuant to underwritten offering, net Proceeds from sales of common stock, pursuant to registered public offering, net Proceeds from sales of common stock, pursuant to exercise of warrants	(10,632 - - - 82,249)	(10,632 1,478,703 1,800,589 13,814,742 6,099,807

Edgar Filing: GENCOR INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10-Q

Proceeds from sales of common stock, pursuant to exercise of options	213,248	266,314
Net cash provided by financing activities	284,865	23,449,523
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	(2,453,333) 7,680,073	4,780,146 2,899,927
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, END OF YEAR	\$5,226,740	\$7,680,073
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information: Cash paid for interest	\$2,214	\$748
Non-cash investing and financing activities:		
Preferred stock deemed dividends (Note 11)	\$-	\$(726,378
Reclassification of derivative warrant liability to equity upon exercise	(17,395	(913,134
Reclassification of convertible preferred stock to common stock upon conversion	-	(1,478,703

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

F-5

IsoRay, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

For the years ended June 30, 2015, 2014 and 2013

1.

Organization

Century Park Pictures Corporation (Century) was organized under Minnesota law in 1983. Century had no operat period from September 30, 1999 through June 30, 2005.

On July 28, 2005, IsoRay Medical, Inc. (Medical) became a wholly-owned subsidiary of Century pursuant to a m changed its name to IsoRay, Inc. (IsoRay or the Company). In the merger, the Medical stockholders received app of the then outstanding securities of the Company.

Medical, a Delaware corporation, was incorporated effective June 15, 2004 to develop, manufacture and sell isoto medical products and devices for the treatment of cancer and other malignant diseases.

IsoRay International LLC, a Washington limited liability company, which is wholly-owned by IsoRay Inc. was for November 27, 2007 to serve as an owner in a Russian LLC that planned to distribute the Company's products to the market and also license the Company's technology for use in manufacturing Cs-131 brachytherapy seeds in Russian year 2009, the Company divested its ownership in the Russian LLC. IsoRay International, LLC is now used to convert the international distributors of Medical's products.

IsoRay, Inc., together with its subsidiaries, ("IsoRay" or the "Company"), are headquartered in Richland, Washin

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation and Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with US GAAP, pursuant regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC. The consolidated financial statements include the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries (collectively the Company). All significant inter-company transabalances have been eliminated in consolidation.

Cash Equivalents

The Company considers currency on hand, demand deposits, time deposits, and all highly liquid investments with maturity of three months or less at the date of purchase to be cash and cash equivalents. Cash and cash equivalent various financial institutions in the United States.

Certificates of Deposit

Certificates of deposit with original maturities greater than three months and remaining maturities less than one y classified as "Certificates of deposit" and included in current assets. Certificates of deposit with remaining maturities one year are classified as "Certificates of deposit, non-current" and are included in noncurrent assets.

Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable are stated at the amount that management of the Company expects to collect from outstanding Management provides for probable uncollectible amounts through an allowance for doubtful accounts. Additions allowance for doubtful accounts are based on management's judgment, considering historical experience with write collections and current credit conditions. Balances which remain outstanding after management has used reasonal efforts are written off through a charge to the allowance for doubtful accounts and a credit to the applicable accounts are received subsequent to the time that an account is written off are treated as bad debt recoveries.

F-6

Inventory

Inventory is reported at the lower of cost or market. Cost of raw materials is determined using the weighted avera of work in process and finished goods is computed using standard cost, which approximates actual cost, on a first basis.

The cost of materials and production costs contained in inventory that are not useable due to the passage of time, loss of bio-effectiveness, are written off to cost of product sales at the time it is determined that the product is no

Fixed Assets

Fixed assets are capitalized and carried at the lower of cost or net realizable value. Normal maintenance and repair to expense as incurred. When any assets are sold or otherwise disposed of, the cost and accumulated depreciation with any resulting gain or loss being recognized in the non-operating income / (expense) section of the consolidat operations.

Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over the following estimated useful lives:

Production equipment 3 to 7 years Office equipment 2 to 5 years Furniture and fixtures 2 to 5 years

Leasehold improvements and capital lease assets are amortized over the shorter of the lease term or the estimated the asset.

Management of the Company periodically reviews the net carrying value of all of its long-lived assets on an asset These reviews consider the net realizable value of each asset to determine whether there is impairment in the value which has occurred, and there is a need for any asset impairment write-down.

Although management has made its best estimate of the factors that affect the carrying value based on current correasonably possible that changes could occur which could adversely affect management's estimate of net cash flo be generated from its assets that could result in an impairment adjustment.

Prepaid Expenses and Other Assets

Prepaid expenses and other assets, which include deferred charges, patents and licenses, are stated at cost, less accumulation. Amortization of patents is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated economic use assets. Licenses include costs related to licenses pertaining to the use of technology or operational licenses. These recorded at stated cost, less accumulated amortization. Amortization of licenses is computed using the straight-line the estimated economic useful lives of the assets. The Company periodically reviews the carrying values of license evaluates the recorded basis for any impairment. Any impairment is recognized when the expected future operating be derived from the licenses are less than their carrying value. The Company periodically reviews the carrying value and any related impairments are recognized when the expected future operating cash flows to be derived from such than their carrying value.

F-7

Asset Retirement Obligation

The estimated fair value of the future retirement costs of the Company's leased assets and the costs for the decont reclamation of equipment located within the footprint leased asset are recorded as a liability on a discounted basis contractual obligation exists; an equivalent amount is capitalized to fixed assets. The initial recorded obligation is using the Company's credit-adjusted risk-free rate and is reviewed periodically for changes in the estimated future underlying the obligation. The Company amortizes the initial amount capitalized to property and equipment and accretion expense in connection with the discounted liability over the estimated remaining useful life of the leased

Financial Instruments

At June 30, 2015 and 2014, the carrying value of financial instruments such as certificates of deposit approximate based on the short-term maturities of these instruments. The Company discloses the fair value of financial instruments assets and liabilities, recognized and not recognized in the balance sheet, for which it is practicable to estimate the fair value of a financial instrument is the amount at which the instrument could be exchanged in a current transact willing parties, other than a forced liquidation sale.

Fair Value Measurement

ASC Topic 820, *Fair Value Measurements*, establishes a fair value hierarchy for those assets and liabilities measurements which distinguishes between assumptions based on market data (observable inputs). The hierarchy consists of: Le market prices in active markets for identical instruments; Level 2 – inputs other than Level 1 inputs that are observable inputs developed using estimates and assumptions determined by the Company.

At June 30, 2015 and 2014, there were no assets or liabilities measured at fair-value on a recurring basis which w using Level 3 inputs. The Company had a single liability, the derivative warrant liability, that was measured at fair recurring basis using Level 2 inputs during the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014. Certain assets and liabilities fair value on a non-recurring basis; that is, the instruments are not measured at fair value on an ongoing basis, but fair value adjustments only in certain circumstances (for example, when there is evidence of impairment). The Coassets or liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis during the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014.

The following table sets forth the Company's financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring within the fair value hierarchy. Assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety base on the lowest level of input

significant to the fair value measurement.

Fair value at June 30, 2015

	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Le 3	vel
Cash and cash equivalents	\$5,226,740	\$5,226,740	\$-	\$	-
Warrant derivative liability	181,000	-	181,000		-

F-8

Fair value at June 30, 2014

	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Le 3	vel
Cash and cash equivalents	\$7,680,073	\$7,680,073	\$-	\$	-
Warrant derivative liability	573,000	_	573,000		_

The Company's cash and cash equivalent instruments are classified within Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy bec valued using quoted market prices.

The Company's warrant derivative liability is valued using the Black-Scholes option pricing model which require inputs as described in Note 11. Such instruments are typically included in Level 2.

Warrant Derivative Liabilities

For the warrant derivative liabilities which are measured at fair value on a recurring basis, the Company uses the valuation model as described in Note 11.

Revenue Recognition

The Company recognizes revenue related to product sales when (i) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, has occurred, (iii) the fee is fixed or determinable, and (iv) collectability is reasonably assured.

The Company recognizes revenue once the product has been shipped to the customer. Prepayments, if any, receive customers prior to the time that products are shipped are recorded as deferred revenue. In these cases, when the reare shipped, the amount recorded as deferred revenue is then recognized as revenue. The Company accrues for sa other allowances at the time of shipment.

Shipping and Handling Costs

Shipping and handling costs include charges associated with delivery of goods from the Company's facilities to it are reflected in cost of product sales. Shipping and handling costs paid to the Company by its customers are class sales.

Share-Based Compensation

The Company measures and recognizes expense for all share-based payments at fair value. The Company uses the option valuation model to estimate fair value for all stock options on the date of grant. For stock options that vest Company recognizes compensation cost on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period for the entire aw

F-9

Research and Development Costs

Research and development costs, including salaries, research materials, administrative expenses and contractor fe to operations as incurred. The cost of equipment used in research and development activities which has alternative capitalized as part of fixed assets and not treated as an expense in the period acquired. Depreciation of capitalized to perform research and development is classified as research and development expense in the year recognized.

Advertising and Marketing Costs

Advertising costs are expensed as incurred except for the cost of tradeshows and related marketing materials which until the tradeshow occurs.

For the Year Ended June 30, 2015 2014 2013

Advertising and marketing costs expensed (including tradeshows) \$151,197 \$114,313 \$86,705

At June 30,
2015 2014
Prepaid marketing expenses deferred until event occurs \$9,600 \$14,124

Legal Contingencies

The Company records contingent liabilities resulting from asserted and unasserted claims against it, when it is proliability has been incurred and the amount of the loss is reasonably estimable. Estimating probable losses requires multiple factors, in some cases including judgments about the potential actions of third-party claimants and courts actual losses in any future period are inherently uncertain. Currently, the Company does not believe any probable proceedings or claims will have a material adverse effect on its financial position or results of operations. However, estimated probable future losses exceed the Company's recorded liability for such claims, it would record addition other expense during the period in which the actual loss or change in estimate occurred.

Income Taxes

Income taxes are accounted for under the liability method. Under this method, the Company provides deferred incomporary differences that will result in taxable or deductible amounts in future years based on the reporting of cerebrated different periods for financial statement and income tax purposes. This method also requires the recognition of fusuch as net operating loss carry-forwards, to the extent that realization of such benefits is more likely than not. Do assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a rates is recognized in operations in the period that includes the enactment of the change. Management has determ Company and its subsidiaries Medical and International are subject to examination of their income tax filings in the and state jurisdictions for the 2012 through 2015 tax years. In the event that the Company is assessed penalties and penalties will be charged to other operating expense and interest will be charged to interest expense in the period assessed.

F-10

Income (Loss) Per Common Share

Basic earnings per share is calculated by dividing net income (loss) available to common shareholders by the wei number of common shares outstanding, and does not include the impact of any potentially dilutive common stock including preferred stock, common stock warrants or options that are potentially convertible into common stock, be antidilutive due to the Company's net loss position.

Securities that could be dilutive in the future are as follows:

	June 30,		
	2015	2014	2013
Preferred stock	59,065	59,065	59,065
Common stock warrants	385,800	444,747	1,957,033
Common stock options	2,418,282	2,314,422	2,305,072
Total potential dilutive securities	2,863,147	2,818,234	4,321,170

Use of Estimates

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles States of America requires management of the Company to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amount the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes of the Company including the allowance for doubt receivable; net realizable value of the enriched barium inventory; the estimated useful lives used in calculating de amortization on the Company's fixed assets, patents, trademarks and other assets; estimated amount and fair value retirement obligation related to the Company's production facilities; inputs used in the calculation of expense rela share-based compensation including volatility, estimated lives and forfeiture rates of options granted; and the inp Black-Scholes calculation to estimate the fair value of the derivative warrant liability and the related gain or loss. actual results could differ from those estimates and affect the amounts reported in the financial statements.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 20 from Contracts with Customers" (ASU 2014-09), which supersedes the revenue recognition requirements in FAS Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 605, "Revenue Recognition". The guidance requires that an entity recognize way that depicts the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in the amount that reflects the considerate

entity expects to be entitled to in exchange for those goods and services. The guidance will be effective for annua periods beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within that reporting period and is to be ap retrospectively, with early application not permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the new standard and i Company's consolidated financial statements.

3. Certificates of deposit

```
As of June 30, 2014

Under 90 91 days to Six months to Greater

Daysix months 1 year than 1 year

CDARS $- $5,000,902 $5,002,010 $5,401,398
```

F-11

Certificate of Deposit Account Registry Service (CDARS) is a system that allows the Company to invest in certification through a single financial institution that exceed the \$250,000 limit to be fully insured by the Federal Deposit Institution (FDIC). That institution utilizes the CDARS system to purchase certificates of deposit at other financial while keeping the investment at each institution fully insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC).

4. Inventory

Inventory consisted of the following:

	June 30,	
	2015	2014
Raw materials	\$143,669	\$173,417
Work in process	204,760	151,321
Finished goods	55,526	34,999
Total inventory	\$403,955	\$359,737

	June 30,	
	2015	2014
Enriched barium, non-current	\$469,758	\$469,758
Raw materials, non-current	100,096	-
Total inventory, non-current	\$569,854	\$469,758

Inventory, non-current is raw materials that were ordered in quantities to obtain volume cost discounts which bas and anticipated sales volumes will not be consumed within an operating cycle and the enriched barium which is consumed is only expected to be utilized if required to obtain volumes of isotope that is not able to be purchased existing source in the short or long-term. Management does not anticipate the need to utilize the enriched barium current operating cycle.

5. Prepaid Expenses and Other Current Assets

Prepaid expenses and other current assets consisted of the following:

June 30, 2015 2014 Prepaid insurance \$30,578 \$24,763

Prepaid rent	-	22,701
Other prepaid expenses	206,326	131,890
Other current assets	26,693	26,693
	\$263,597	\$206,047

6. Fixed Assets, net

	June 30,	
	2015	2014
Production equipment	\$3,180,933	\$3,120,782
Office equipment	224,576	167,706
Furniture and fixtures	148,265	148,265
Leasehold improvements	4,129,977	4,129,977
Capitalized assets, not in service ¹	5,925	-
	7,689,676	7,566,730
Less accumulated depreciation	(7,114,836)	(6,548,815)
Fixed assets, net	\$574,840	\$1,017,915

F-12

¹ – Capitalized assets, not placed in service are items that meet the capitalization threshold or which management meet the threshold at the time of completion and which have yet to be placed into service as of the date of the bal

Year Ended June 30, 2015 2014 2013 Depreciation expense \$576,380 \$685,396 \$739,147

During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015, the Company disposed of certain production equipment that were full the amount of \$10,359 which were beyond their useful lives. During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014, the Con of certain information technology assets that were fully depreciated in the amount of \$59,723 that were beyond the lives. No gain or loss was recognized on these assets as they were fully depreciated and had no salvage value. In June 30, 2013, no disposal of assets occurred.

7. Restricted Cash

The Washington Department of Health requires the Company to provide collateral for the decommissioning of its satisfy this requirement, the Company funded two certificates of deposits (CDs) totaling \$172,500 in separate bar both have original maturities of three months but are termed restricted cash and classified as a long-term asset as does not anticipate decommissioning the facility until the end of the current lease plus the one remaining three-ye period. The end date of the current lease including the one remaining three-year renewal option is April 2019. Interest the CDs is rolled-over at the maturity of each CD and becomes part of the restricted cash balance. These funds we settle a portion of the Company's remaining asset retirement obligations (See Note 9).

8. Other Assets, net

Other assets, net of accumulated amortization consisted of the following:

	June 30,	
	2015	2014
Deferred charges	\$46,541	\$40,320
Patents and trademarks, net of accumulated amortization of \$134,559 and \$109,292	198,490	223,756
	\$245,031	\$264.076

Year Ended June 30, 2015 2014 2013

Amortization expense on patents and trademarks \$25,226 \$18,468 \$19,581

F-13

Future amortization is expected to be as follows:

FY2016	\$17,160
FY2017	17,133
FY2018	17,005
FY2019	15,919
FY2020	15,390
Thereafter	115,883
	\$198,490

9.

Asset Retirement Obligation

The asset retirement obligations changed as follows:

	Year Ended June 30,	
	2015	2014
Beginning balance	\$866,560	\$792,242
Accretion of discount	81,289	74,318
Ending balance	\$947,849	\$866,560

10. Share-Based Compensation

The Company currently provides share-based compensation under four equity incentive plans approved by the Bo Directors: the Amended and Restated 2005 Stock Option Plan (the Option Plan), the Amended and Restated 2005 Stock Option Plan (the 2005 Employee Plan), the Amended and Restated 2006 Director Stock Option Plan (the Dand 2014 Employee Stock Option Plan (the 2014 Employee Plan). The Option Plan allowed the Board of Director options to purchase up to 1,800,000 shares of common stock to directors, officers, key employees and service proceed Company until it expired in May 2015. The 2005 Employee Plan and 2014 Employee Plan each allow the Board grant options to purchase up to 2,000,000 shares of common stock to officers and key employees of the Company Employee Plan expired in May 2015. The Director Plan allows the Board of Directors to grant options to purchase 1,000,000 shares of common stock to directors of the Company. Options granted under all of the plans have a ten term, an exercise price equal to at least the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the date of the graying vesting periods as determined by the Board. For stock options with graded vesting terms, the Company recompensation cost on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period for the entire award.

The Black-Scholes option valuation model was developed for use in estimating the fair value of traded options we vesting restrictions and are fully transferable. In addition, option valuation models require the input of highly sub-

assumptions, including the expected stock price volatility. The Company uses the Black-Scholes option valuation management believes the model is appropriate for the Company. However, management understands that because subjective input assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimate, this valuation model does not necessari reliable single measure of the fair value of its stock options. The risk-free interest rate is based on the U.S. treasure with an equivalent term in effect as of the date of grant. The expected option lives, volatility, and forfeiture assumbased on historical data of the Company.

The weighted average fair value of stock option awards granted and the key assumptions used in the Black-Scholmodel to calculate the fair value are as follows:

F-14

	For the Year Ended June 30,					
	2015		2014		2013	
Weighted average fair value of options granted	\$1.11		\$1.28		\$0.80	
Key assumptions used in determining fair value						
Weighted average risk-free interest rate	1.56	%	1.66	%	0.71	%
Weighted average life of the option (in years)	4.63		4.79		4.77	
Weighted average historical stock price volatility	106.7	5%	120.8	9%	132.4	7%
Expected dividend yield	0.00	%	0.00	%	0.00	%

The following table presents the share-based compensation expense:

	For the Year Ended June 30,		
	2015	2014	2013
Cost of product sales	\$44,798	\$17,818	\$38,729
Research and development expense	17,107	13,486	30,350
Sales and marketing expense	11,608	3,588	6,943
General and administrative expense	131,751	151,095	32,329
Total share-based compensation	\$205,264	\$185,987	\$108,351

The total value of the stock options awards is expensed ratably over the vesting period of the employees receiving of June 30, 2015, total unrecognized compensation cost related to stock-based options and awards was \$408,424 weighted-average period over which it is expected to be recognized is approximately 1.35 years.

A summary of stock option information within the Company's share-based compensation plans during the fiscal y presented below:

	Options			
	Outstanding	Price (a)	Life (b)	Value (c)
Balance at June 30, 2012	2,381,306	\$1.82	5.64	\$473,951
Expired/Forfeited	(37,534)	2.58		
Exercised	(38,700)	0.34		
Balance at June 30, 2013	2,305,072	\$1.83	4.93	\$115,302
Granted (d)	430,000	1.81		
Expired/Forfeited	(19,667)	0.61		
Exercised	(350,983)	0.76		
Balance at June 30, 2014	2,314,422	\$2.00	4.69	\$3,186,916
Granted (d)	395,000	1.41		
Expired/Forfeited	(84,236)	4.15		

Edgar Filing: GENCOR INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10-Q

Exercised	(206,904)	1.03		
Balance at June 30, 2015	2,418,282	\$1.91	4.71	\$691,789
Vested and expected to vest at June 30, 2015	2,318,741	\$1.93	4.58	\$666,081
•				
Exercisable at June 30, 2015	2,015,926	\$1.94	3.73	\$680,238
,	, ,	,		, ,

(a) Weighted average exercise price per share.
 (b) Weighted average remaining contractual life.
 (c) Aggregate intrinsic value.

F-15

All options granted had exercise prices equal to or greater than the ending closing market price of the Compan (d) stock on the grant date. The options were granted to employees and management by the Board of Directors an periods from immediate to three years.

For the Year Ended June 30, 2015 2014 2013

Aggregate intrinsic value of options exercised \$306,620 \$548,928 \$16,246

The Company's current policy is to issue new shares to satisfy option exercises.

11.

Shareholders' Equity

The authorized capital structure of the Company consists of \$.001 par value preferred stock and \$.001 par value of

Preferred Stock

The Company's Articles of Incorporation authorize 7,001,671 shares of \$0.001 par value preferred stock available with such rights and preferences, including liquidation, dividend, conversion, and voting rights, as described belo

Series A

Series A preferred shares are entitled to a 10% dividend annually on the stated par value per share. These shares a into shares of common stock at the rate of one share of common stock for each share of Series A preferred stock, to automatic conversion into common stock upon the closing of an underwritten public offering pursuant to an effective registration statement under the Securities Act of 1933 covering the offer and sale of common stock in which the to the Company are at least \$4 million. Series A preferred shareholders have voting rights equal to the voting right stock, except that the vote or written consent of a majority of the outstanding preferred shares is required for any Company's Articles of Incorporation, Bylaws or Certificate of Designation, or for any bankruptcy, insolvency, disliquidation of the Company. Upon liquidation of the Company, the Company's assets are first distributed ratably preferred shareholders, second, to the Series B preferred shareholders, third, to the Series C preferred shareholder the Series D Convertible preferred shareholders on an "as converted" basis on parity with the holders of the Company June 30, 2015 and 2014, there were no shares of Series A preferred stock outstanding.

Series B

Series B preferred shares are entitled to a cumulative 15% dividend annually on the stated par value per share. The convertible into shares of common stock at the rate of one share of common stock for each share of Series B preferate subject to automatic conversion into common stock upon the closing of an underwritten public offering pursue effective registration statement under the Securities Act of 1933 covering the offer and sale of common stock in various proceeds to the Company are at least \$4 million. Series B preferred shareholders have voting rights equal to the various common stock, except that the vote or written consent of a majority of the outstanding preferred shares is required changes to the Company's Articles of Incorporation, Bylaws or Certificate of Designation, or for any bankruptcy, dissolution or liquidation of the Company. Upon liquidation of the Company, the Company's assets are first district the Series A preferred shareholders, second, to the Series B preferred shareholders, third, to the Series C preferred and fourth, to the Series D Convertible preferred shareholders on an "as converted" basis on parity with the holder Stock.

F-16

On December 17, 2014, the Board of Directors declared a dividend on the Series B Preferred Stock of all outstand cumulative dividends through December 31, 2014. The total dividends of \$10,632 were paid as of December 31, 30, 2015, there were 59,065 Series B preferred shares outstanding and cumulative dividends in arrears were \$5,31 liquidation, dissolution, or winding up of the Company, whether voluntary or involuntary, the assets of the Compavailable for distribution, if any, shall be distributed ratably first, to the holders of the Series A Preferred Stock, sholders of the Series B Preferred Stock, third, to the Series C preferred shareholders, and fourth, to the Series D p shareholders on an "as converted" basis on parity with the holders of the Common Stock.

Series C

Series C preferred shares are entitled to a quarterly dividend equal, per share, equal to the greater of \$1.00 or 100 dividends declared on the common stock in such quarter. Each share of Series C preferred stock has voting rights voting rights of 100 shares of common stock. The Series C preferred stock was created upon adoption of the Comrights plan in 2007. Upon liquidation of the Company, the Company's assets are first, distributed to the holders of Preferred Stock, second, to the holders of the Series B Preferred Stock and third, to the Series C preferred shareholders on an "as converted" basis on parity with the holders of the Commo 30, 2015 and 2014, there were no shares of Series C preferred stock outstanding.

Series D

Established in August 2013, Series D preferred shares are entitled to dividends in the same form as dividends actar shares of common stock. Additionally, the Company shall not pay any dividends on shares of common stock (oth dividends in the form of common stock) unless the holders of Series D Convertible Preferred Stock shall first recon shares of Series D Convertible Preferred Stock held by them (on an as-if-converted-to-common-stock-basis) in equal to and in the same form as any such dividends (other than dividends in the form of common stock) to be paracommon stock. Except as required by law, shares of Series D Convertible Preferred Stock shall not have the right matter other than those set forth in the Certificate of Designation with the potential to specifically adversely affect Convertible Preferred Stock. Series D Convertible Preferred shares are convertible into shares of common stock a 1,869.15 shares of common stock for each share of Series D Convertible Preferred Stock at any time at the option provided that the holder will be prohibited from converting shares of Series D Convertible Preferred Stock into shares of shares of our common stock then issued and outstanding, which is referred to herein as the "B Ownership Limitation". At June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively, there were no shares of Series D Convertible Protestanding. In addition to the previously outstanding shares of common stock and Series B preferred stock, the Collowing transactions that affected shareholders' equity during the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014.

Common and Preferred Stock Transactions

Series D Preferred

On August 29, 2013, the Company entered into an agreement to sell 3,800,985 common units, each consisting of common stock and a warrant to purchase 0.816 shares of common stock (the Common Units), and 1,670 preferred consisting of 1 share of Series D Convertible Preferred Stock and a warrant to purchase 1,525.23 shares of common Preferred Units) on a firm commitment underwritten basis. The Common Units were sold at an initial per unit purchase price of \$1,000. The warrants were all exes \$0.535 and the Preferred Units were sold at an initial per unit purchase price of \$1,000. The warrants were all exes \$0.72 per share and had a twenty-four month term. Each share of the Series D Convertible Preferred Stock was consistent of the Series of common stock at any time at the option of the holder, subject to adjustment and certain owners restrictions. The preferred shares which were convertible into shares of common stock contained a beneficial content of \$726,378 which was recognized as a deemed dividend to the Series D preferred shareholders on the date of iss public offering resulted in gross proceeds of \$3.7 million. The offering yielded approximately \$3,279,292 in cash

F-17

During January 2014, the holder of the 1,670 shares of Series D convertible preferred stock fully exercised its rig 1,670 shares of Series D convertible preferred stock into 3,121,480 shares of common stock which at the time of resulted in an increase in shares of common stock outstanding from 38,419,502 to 41,540,982. Subsequent to the shares of Series D convertible preferred stock remain outstanding.

Common Stock

On March 21, 2014, the Company entered into a Securities Purchase Agreement with certain investors providing total of 5,644,300 shares of common stock for an aggregate purchase price of \$14,675,180 at a price per share of Company received net proceeds from the offering of approximately \$13,814,742 which will be used to meet the Company received and general corporate purposes.

F-18

Warrant derivative liability

Based on the guidance contained in ASC 815 "Derivatives and Hedging", management has concluded that the watthe 2011 offering should be classified as a derivative liability and has recorded a liability at fair value.

Change in fair value of the warrant derivative liability is as follows.

For the Year Ended June 30, 2015 2014 2013 Change in fair value of the warrant derivative liability \$374,605 \$(1,382,134) \$210,000

A summary of the change in fair value of derivative warrant liability is as follows for the fiscal years presented.

	Quantity ¹	Amount
Balance at June 30, 2012	713,601	\$314,000
Change in fair value		(210,000)
Balance at June 30, 2013	713,601	\$104,000
Change in fair value		1,382,000
Warrants corrected	10,869	-
Warrants redeemed in cashless exercise	(22,072)	-
Warrants exercised	(463,702)	(913,000)
Balance at June 30, 2014	238,696	\$573,000
Change in fair value		(374,605)
Warrants exercised	(13,209)	(17,395)
Balance at June 30, 2015	225,087	\$181,000

¹ Quantity of derivative warrants issued and/or outstanding as of the date of valuation.

Warrants

The following table summarizes the activity of all stock and weighted average exercise prices for each category. Expired during any of the periods.

	Warrants Price (a)
Balance at June 30, 2012	1,959,799 \$ 1.38
Warrants exercised	(2,766) 0.66
Balance at June 30, 2013	1,957,033 \$ 1.38
Corrections	26,939 1.31
Warrants redeemed in cashless exercise	(22,520) 0.96
Warrants exercised	(7,165,443) 0.86
Warrants granted	5,648,738 0.72
Balance at June 30, 2014	444,747 \$ 1.43
Warrants exercised	(58,947) 1.38
Balance at June 30, 2015	385,800 \$ 1.22

(a) Weighted average exercise price per share.

F-19

The following table summarizes additional information about the Company's common warrants outstanding as of

Number of	Range of	Expiration
Warrants	Exercise Prices ¹	Date
25,000	\$ 2.00	July 2015
130,713	1.56	November 2015
199,437	0.94	October 2016
25,650	0.94	December 2016
5,000	0.98	June 2017
385,800		

¹ – Exercise prices have been rounded to the nearest whole cent.

12. Income Taxes

Due to net losses, the Company did not record an income tax provision or benefit for the years ending June 30, 20 2013.

The significant deferred tax components using a 35% federal income tax rate for the years ended June 30, 2015 at follows:

	As of June 30,	
	2015	2014
Fixed assets	\$649,350	\$473,859
Share-based compensation	443,200	371,358
Reserves	10,500	13,512
Other accruals	85,784	67,446
Asset retirement obligation	331,747	303,296
Net operating loss carryforwards	17,405,312	16,305,768
Total deferred tax assets	18,925,893	17,535,239
Valuation allowance	(18,925,893)	(17,535,239)
Total	\$-	\$-

As management of the Company cannot determine that it is more likely than not that the Company will realize the net deferred tax asset, a valuation allowance equal to 100% of the net deferred tax asset has been recorded at both

and 2014.

The Company has federal net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$49.7 million at June 30, 2015 that confiset future regular taxable income. These net operating loss carryforwards expire at various times through the years.

F-20

The Company's statutory rate reconciliation is as follows:

	For the year e	ended June 30,	
	2015	2014	2013
Expected income tax benefit base on statutory rate of 35%	\$(1,288,368)	\$(2,085,693)	\$(1,349,809)
Meals and entertainment	10,129	10,130	17,966
Non-deductible penalties	18,697	9,122	849
Warrant derivative liability	(131,112)	483,747	(73,500)
Valuation allowance	1,390,654	1,582,694	1,257,494
Income tax expense (benefit)	\$-	\$-	\$-

The Company has reviewed the tax positions taken and concluded that it does not have to book a liability for uncopositions.

Management has determined that the Company and its subsidiaries Medical and International are subject to examincome tax filings in the United States and state jurisdictions for the 2012 through 2015 tax years. In the event that is assessed penalties and/or interest, penalties will be charged to other operating expense and interest will be charged.

13. 401(k) and Profit Sharing Plan

The Company has a 401(k) plan, which commenced in fiscal year 2007, covering all eligible full-time employees Company. Contributions to the 401(k) plan are made by the participants to their individual accounts through payr The 401(k) plan also allows the Company to make contributions at the discretion of management. To date, the Comade any contributions to the 401(k) plan.

14. Foreign Isotope Supply

In January 2015, the Company completed negotiations on a contract to purchase Cs-131 from The Open Joint Stock Company will purchase Cs-131 from Stock Company «Institute of Nuclear Materials». The contract provides for the supply of Cs-131 from a single re Institute of Nuclear Materials. The agreement expires on January 31, 2016.

15. Distribution Agreements

In June 2014, the Company entered into an exclusive distribution agreement through the wholly-owned subsidiar International, LLC with MedikorPharma-Ural LLC for the rights to distribute all of the Company's products in R agreement terminates on December 31, 2015 unless terminated earlier as provided in the agreement. The agreement from year to year beyond the initial term upon mutual written consent of the parties. The distributor is responsible regulatory clearance in Russia.

16. Commitments and Contingencies

Royalty Agreement for Invention and Patent Application

A shareholder of the Company previously assigned his rights, title and interest in an invention to IsoRay Products predecessor company) in exchange for a royalty equal to 1% of the Gross Profit, as defined, from the sale of "see incorporating the technology. The patent and associated royalty obligations were transferred to the Company in on the merger transaction.

F-21

The Company must also pay a royalty of 2% of Gross Sales, as defined, for any sub-assignments of the aforesaid process to any third parties. The royalty agreement will remain in force until the expiration of the patents on the attechnology, unless earlier terminated in accordance with the terms of the underlying agreement.

During fiscal years 2015, 2014 and 2013, the Company recorded royalty expenses of \$14,448, \$10,106 and 14,16

Patent and Know-How Royalty License Agreement

The Company is the holder of an exclusive license to use certain "know-how" developed by one of the founders of to the Company and licensed to the Company by the Lawrence Family Trust, a Company shareholder. The terms agreement require the payment of a royalty based on the Net Factory Sales Price, as defined in the agreement, of sales. Because the licensor's patent application was ultimately abandoned, only a 1% "knowhow" royalty based of Sales Price, as defined in the agreement, remains applicable. To date, management believes that there have been incorporating the "know-how" and therefore no royalty is due pursuant to the terms of the agreement. Management the possibility of a negative outcome in this matter is remote.

The licensor of the "know-how" has disputed management's contention that it is not using this "know-how". On S 2007 and again on October 31, 2007, the Company participated in nonbinding mediation regarding this matter; he settlement was reached with the Lawrence Family Trust. After additional settlement discussions, which ended in parties failed to reach a settlement. The parties may demand binding arbitration at any time.

Operating Lease Agreements

The Company leases office and laboratory space and production and office equipment under non-cancelable oper lease agreements require monthly lease payments and expire on various dates through April 2019 (including rene May 2015, the Company agreed to a modification which was retroactively effective on January 1, 2015. The lease included a contractually permitted rent increase which is based on a CPI index which was 1.1%. The Company, a may exercise a remaining three year option to extend its tenancy beyond the current expiration date of April 30, 230, 2019. The Company's significant lease is described below.

Future minimum lease payments including the one three year option to extend remaining under operating leases a

Edgar Filing: GENCOR INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10-Q

Year ending June 30	Amount
2016	\$278,855
2017	278,855
2018	278,855
2019	232,380
	\$1,068,945

F-22

For the Year Ended June 30, 2015 2014 2013

Rental expense \$280,007 \$276,395 \$284,097

Royalty Agreements for Licensed Intellectual Property related to the GliaSite® RTS

The Company is required to pay a royalty to Dr. Reddy's Laboratory Ltd for the exclusive use of its intellectual p field of treating brain cancer related to the production of Iotrex®, which is a component of the GliaSite® RTS. The royalty agreement is from the date of first sale until the expiration of the last patent. The agreement provides for c payments, as presented below based on calendar year periods and a rate of 2.75% of net sales as defined in the ag initial royalty year began on January 1, 2012.

Royalty Period Amount Calendar Year 2015 \$25,000 Calendar Year 2016 and beyond \$30,000

The Company recorded royalty expenses related to the licensed intellectual property utilized in the manufacture a lotrex[®].

For the Year Ended June

30,

2015 2014 2013

Royalty expense \$20,138 \$20,366 \$5,440

The amount recorded for fiscal year 2015 includes an accrual for the first six months of calendar year 2015 contraroyalty amount of \$25,000.

The Company is required to pay a royalty to Hologic, Inc. for the exclusive worldwide use of intellectual property with the GliaSite® RTS in the field of intracavity radiation therapy of the brain exclusive of the radioisotope. The royalty agreement is from the effective date of the agreement (January 1, 2012) and continues thereafter unless te as defined in the agreement. The agreement provides for a royalty payment based on a rate of 5% of net sales as agreement.

The Company recorded royalty expenses related to the licensed intellectual property utilized in the manufacture a GliaSite® RTS.

For the Year Ended June 30, 2015 2014 2013 Royalty expense \$898 \$2,214 \$2,394

Class Action Lawsuit Related to Press Release

On May 22, 2015, a class action complaint for violation of the federal securities laws were filed in U.S. District C IsoRay, Inc. and certain of our officers, one of whom is also a director. The complaint related to a press release is Company on May 20, 2015 and is purportedly brought on behalf of all purchasers of IsoRay, Inc common stock f 2015 through and including May 21, 2015. The complaint asserts that the purchasers were misled by the press re among other things, damages and costs and expenses. We cannot predict the outcome of such proceedings or an edamages, if any. We believe that these claims are without merit and intend to defend them vigorously.

F-23

17. Concentrations of Credit and Other Risks

The Company's financial instruments that were exposed to concentrations of credit risk consist primarily of cash a equivalents, certificates of deposit, accounts receivable and certificates of deposit, non-current.

The Company's certificates of deposit and certificates of deposit, non-current are maintained in the Certificate of Account Registry Service (CDARS®) through Alliance Bank of Arizona and at Columbia State Bank at June 30, CDARS system provides the Company access to Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) guarantees on m dollar CD deposits through a single financial institution.

The Company's cash and cash equivalents were maintained with high-quality financial institutions at June 30, 201 respectively. The accounts are guaranteed by the (FDIC) up to \$250,000. At June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively balances were guaranteed by the FDIC.

Two groups of customers, facilities or physician practices have revenues that aggregate to greater than 10% of tot product sales:

	Fiscal Year 2015	Fiscal Year 2014	Fiscal Year
Facility	% of	% of	% of
racinty	total revenue	total revenue	total rever
El Camino, Los Gatos, & other facilities ¹	24.16	% 26.75 %	6 25.31
Bon Secours DePaul and Maryview Medical Center ²	11.72	% 6.51 %	6 0.00

¹ – This group of facilities individually do not aggregate to more than 10% of total Company product sales. They the same physician group, one of whom is our Medical Director.

The Company routinely assesses the financial strength of its customers and provides an allowance for doubtful ac necessary.

² – These two facilities are part of the same network and currently share one physician who performs procedures and individually, these facilities would not meet the 10% criteria, however, in aggregate, they do.

Inventories

Most components used in the Company's product are purchased from outside sources. Certain components are purchased suppliers. The failure of any such supplier to meet its commitment on schedule could have a material adverse Company's business, operating results and financial condition. If a sole-source supplier, a supplier of Cs-131 or a irradiated barium were to go out of business or otherwise become unable to meet its supply commitments, the propart and qualifying alternate sources could require up to several months, during which time the Company's production delayed. Such delays could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, operating results and finant Sanctions placed on financial transactions with Russian banking institutions may interfere with the Company's abbusiness in Russia on a temporary or other basis resulting in an interruption of the Cs-131 supply which could have material adverse effect on the Company's business, operating results and financial condition.

Virtually all of the components used in the production of the GliaSite® RTS are from single sources. We do not have written agreements with those suppliers. Any interruption or delay in the supply of these components could harm the cost and/or time required meet the regulatory requirements of the Food and Drug Administration for the Unite notified body for our CE mark (the British Standards Institute) in the European Union may be prohibitive.

18. Related Party Transaction

During the fiscal years ended June 30, 2015, 2014 and 2013, the Company engaged the services of APEX Data S owned by Dwight Babcock, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, to build and maintain a web interfaced data c application to aggregate patient data in a controlled environment. For the fiscal year 2015, the Company incurred website modifications and maintenance of \$12,000 (2014 - \$12,000 and 2013 - \$13,000); and maintenance suppo system of \$12,000 (2014 - \$12,000 and 2013 - \$1,000); and maintenance costs related to the registries of \$0 (2014 - \$1,000). The amount accrued for payment to APEX Data Systems, Inc. was \$2,000 at June 30, 2015 and 2 respectively.

F-24

19. Quarterly Financial Data (unaudited)

The following table provides the selected quarterly financial data for fiscal years 2015 and 2014:

Net revenue Gross profit/(loss) Net loss Net loss per share – basic and diluted Shares used in basic and diluted per share calculation	Quarters ended September 30, December 31, March 31, June 30, 2014 2014 2015 2015 \$1,042,101 \$1,065,585 \$1,158,109 \$1,340,74 \$(54,802) \$(37,964) \$55,197 \$204,962 \$(785,862) \$(906,954) \$(953,553) \$(1,034,66) \$(0.01) \$(0.02) \$(0.02) \$4,883,551 54,900,8
Net revenue Gross profit/(loss) Net loss Net loss per share – basic and diluted	Quarters ended September 30, December 31, March 31, June 30, 2013 2013 2014 2014 \$1,049,915 \$1,085,408 \$1,134,319 \$949,516 \$(77,308) \$(33,906) \$50,906 \$(136,163,163,163,163,163,163,163,163,163,
Shares used in basic and diluted per share calculation	35,921,712 38,419,502 42,506,077 54,435,7

20. Subsequent Events

On September 10, 2015, the Company's operating subsidiary, Medical, entered into a Real Estate Purchase and S with The Port of Benton, a municipal corporation of the State of Washington. The Agreement is for the sale of un property of approximately 4.2 acres located adjacent to the Company's existing manufacturing facility and corpor purchase price for the property is One Hundred Sixty-Eight Thousand Dollars (\$168,000) which is payable on Octobe expected date of closing.

In addition to the feasibility studies required on all aspects of the property required by Medical to close, Medical comply with a Development Plan for a ten year period which requirements include but are not limited to the following the following the following period which requirements include but are not limited to the following the f

s and design with a minimum of 12,000 square feet of warehouse and prod
Completion of all construction in two years;
Use of facility as primary production facility for ten (10) years; and

Failure to comply with these covenants will result in a breach of the Agreement and if not cured, will obligate Me Port the difference in the sales price and the appraised value of the property at the time of default.

Provision of jobs for not less than 25 full time employees.

F-25

(4)

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has dul report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Dated: September 14, 2015

ISORAY, INC., a Minnesota corporation

By/s/ Dwight Babcock Dwight Babcock, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman

By/s/ Brien L. Ragle Brien L. Ragle, Chief Financial Officer, Principal Financial and Accounting Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the fol on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Dated: September 14, 2015

/s/ Dwight Babcock Dwight Babcock, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman

/s/ Brien L. Ragle Brien L. Ragle, Chief Financial Officer, Principal Financial and Accounting Officer

/s/ Thomas LaVoy Thomas LaVoy, Director

/s/ Michael McCormick Michael McCormick, Director

/s/ Philip Vitale Philip Vitale, Director

75