WELLS FARGO UTILITIES & HIGH INCOME FUND Form N-CSR November 01, 2016 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM N-CSR

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED

MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act file number: 811-21507

Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fund

(Exact name of registrant as specified in charter)

525 Market St., San Francisco, CA 94105

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)

C. David Messman

Wells Fargo Funds Management, LLC

525 Market St., San Francisco, CA 94105

(Name and address of agent for service)

Registrant s telephone number, including area code: 800-222-8222

Date of fiscal year end: August 31

Date of reporting period: August 31, 2016

ITEM 1. REPORT TO STOCKHOLDERS

1

Annual Report

August 31, 2016

Wells Fargo

Utilities and High Income Fund (ERH)

Reduce clutter. Save trees.

Sign up for electronic delivery of prospectuses and shareholder reports at wellsfargo.com/advantagedelivery

Contents

<u>Letter to shareholders</u>	2
Performance highlights	4
Summary portfolio of investments*	7
Financial statements	
Statement of assets and liabilities	15
Statement of operations	16
Statement of changes in net assets	17
Statement of cash flows	18
Financial highlights	19
Notes to financial statements	20
Report of independent registered public accounting firm	26
Other information	27
Automatic dividend reinvestment plan	33
List of abbreviations	34

https://www.wellsfargofunds.com/assets/edocs/regulatory/holdings/utilities-and-high-income-ann.pdf or by calling Wells Fargo Funds at **1-800-222-8222**. This complete schedule, filed on Form N-CSR, is also available on the SEC s website at sec.gov.

The views expressed and any forward-looking statements are as of August 31, 2016, unless otherwise noted, and are those of the Fund managers and/or Wells Fargo Funds Management, LLC. Discussions of individual securities, or the markets generally, or any Wells Fargo Fund are not intended as individual recommendations. Future events or results may vary significantly from those expressed in any forward-looking statements. The views expressed are subject to change at any time in response to changing circumstances in the market. Wells Fargo Funds Management, LLC and the Fund disclaim any obligation to publicly update or revise any views expressed or forward-looking statements.

NOT FDIC INSURED; NO BANK GUARANTEE; MAY LOSE VALUE

^{*}A complete schedule of portfolio holdings as of the report date may be obtained, free of charge, by accessing the following website:

2 Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fund

Letter to shareholders (unaudited)

Karla M. Rabusch

President

Wells Fargo Funds

For the 12-month reporting period, U.S. stocks returned 12.55%, utilities stocks returned 20.32%, and high-yield bonds returned 9.18%

Dear Valued Shareholder:

We are pleased to offer you this annual report for the Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fund for the 12-month period that ended August 31, 2016. During this period, which began September 1, 2015, U.S. and international stock markets experienced heightened volatility, with intermittent rebounds interspersed with sell-offs; markets became especially volatile during June 2016 as the U.K. s vote over whether to leave the European Union (E.U.) approached. For the 12-month reporting period, U.S. stocks returned 12.55%, utilities stocks returned 20.32%, and high-yield bonds returned 9.18% (as represented, respectively, by the S&P 500 Index, S&P 500 Utilities Index, and BofA Merrill Lynch U.S. High Yield Index. The U.S. economy displayed resilience throughout the period, although growth was somewhat sluggish amid ongoing pressures that included slowing growth in China, a strengthening U.S. dollar, and uncertainty regarding interest-rate increases by the U.S. Federal Reserve (Fed). International economies faced deeper ongoing challenges.

During the last four months of 2015, ongoing global concerns pressured economies and markets worldwide.

China s slowdown, in particular, negatively affected many countries—economies. Because China is the world—s largest importer of many commodities, a number of emerging markets—key commodities exporters—struggled under the dual strains of reduced demand and depressed prices for commodities. In December 2015, the Fed, as expected, raised its target interest rate by 25 basis points (bps; 100 bps equals 1.00%) after keeping it near zero for seven years. The move reflected confidence in the U.S. economy—s ability to stay healthy with less central-bank support. The Fed also clarified that future interest-rate increases would be gradual. Energy prices remained depressed during this period due to improved oil/natural gas extraction efficiency, lackluster industrial demand, and a warm start to the 2015—2016 heating season in the U.S. In this environment, utilities that are more sensitive to changing oil and natural gas prices tended to underperform investments in more stable, rate-based utilities (those that can charge customers rates that are set by

regulatory action). Within the high-yield bond market, performance was challenged by concerns about interest-rate increases and declining commodity prices.

Market volatility increased globally in the first quarter of 2016.

Most stock markets worldwide declined sharply in the first six weeks of 2016 on concerns such as weak global growth, falling commodity prices, and uncertainty over the timing and impact of Fed interest-rate increases. As the quarter progressed, fears abated somewhat and global markets generally rallied back. The U.S. economy ended the quarter on a positive note as much of the quarter s data reflected resiliency. With ongoing uncertainties about global growth and financial markets, however, the Fed held off from raising the target interest rate. Investors bid up the prices of utilities, which often are perceived as low-volatility, safe-haven stocks. Energy stocks gained modestly late in the quarter as oil prices began trending higher. High-yield bond returns declined early in the quarter and then rebounded as the quarter progressed.

Worries over interest rates and the U.K. s vote largely drove the markets during the second quarter of 2016.

U.S. stocks began the quarter in positive territory but plunged briefly in mid-May following comments by Fed officials noting that a June interest-rate increase remained on the table. Once investors had processed this information, stocks again rallied. But the first three weeks of June brought heightened volatility, spurred largely

- ¹ The S&P 500 Index consists of 500 stocks chosen for market size, liquidity, and industry group representation. It is a market-value-weighted index with each stock s weight in the index proportionate to its market value. You cannot invest directly in an index.
- ² The S&P 500 Utilities Index is a market-value-weighted index that measures the performance of all stocks within the utilities sector of the S&P 500 Index. You cannot invest directly in an index.
- ³ The BofA Merrill Lynch U.S. High Yield Index (formerly known as BofA Merrill Lynch High Yield Master II Index) is a market-capitalization-weighted index of domestic and Yankee high-yield bonds. The index tracks the performance of the high-yield securities traded in the U.S. bond market. You cannot invest directly in an index.

Letter to shareholders (unaudited)

Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fund 3 by a disappointing jobs report and uncertainty over whether the U.K. would remain in the E.U. The U.K. s Brexit vote on June 23 shocked countries in Europe and much of the rest of the world. Stock markets plummeted as investors worried that the U.K. s departure from the E.U. would slow global growth and prolong the low-interest-rate environment. Following the initial rout, however, U.S. stocks rallied as investors seemed to decide that any negative effects would be more localized and not create a serious risk for global growth. In contrast with the broader market, utilities stocks generally benefited in June, as investors turned more risk averse, and outperformed the overall market for the quarter. Within the high-yield bond market, firmer oil prices led to quarterly outperformance by energy-related bonds. Metals and mining bonds also outperformed as currency volatility sparked by Brexit boosted gold prices and economic data out of China stabilized other commodities prices.

Utilities weakened in July/August 2016; high-yield bonds rallied.

The U.S. economy continued to demonstrate resilience in July and August 2016, and expectations for another interest-rate hike by the Fed in the near future increased. In this environment, investors tended to shift toward areas of the market perceived as more risk-oriented and away from defensive sectors such as utilities, which lagged. High-yield bonds, in contrast, delivered positive results for both months, spurred by investors improved appetite for risk; lower-quality bonds tended to outperform.

Don t let short-term uncertainty derail long-term investment goals. Periods of investment uncertainty can present challenges, but experience has taught us that maintaining long-term investment goals can be an effective way to plan for the future. Although diversification cannot guarantee an investment profit or prevent losses, we believe it can be an effective way to manage investment risk and potentially smooth out overall portfolio performance. We encourage investors to know their investments and to understand that appropriate levels of risk-taking may unlock opportunities.

Thank you for choosing to invest with Wells Fargo Funds. We appreciate your confidence in us and remain committed to helping you meet your financial needs.

Sincerely,

Karla M. Rabusch

President

Wells Fargo Funds

We encourage investors to know their investments and to understand that appropriate levels of risk-taking may unlock opportunities.

Notice to shareholders

Effective May 25, 2016, the Fund s Board of Trustees approved a change to the investment strategy guidelines of the Fund which allowed the Fund to hold more than 20% of its assets in securities rated CCC or lower. However, additional securities rated CCC or lower cannot be added to the Fund if, at the time of purchase, more than 20% of the Fund s assets are rated CCC or lower.

On December 17, 2015, the Fund announced an open-market share repurchase program (the Buyback Program). Under the Buyback Program, the Fund may repurchase up to 10% of its outstanding shares within one year of December 17, 2015. The Fund s Board of Trustees has delegated to Wells Fargo Funds Management, LLC, the Fund s adviser, full discretion to administer the Buyback Program including the determination of the amount and timing of repurchases in accordance with the best interests of the Fund and subject to applicable legal limitations.

For further information about your Fund, contact your investment professional, visit our website at **wellsfargofunds.com**, or call us directly at **1-800-222-8222**. We are available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week.

4 Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fund Investment objective

Performance highlights (unaudited)

The Fund seeks a high level of current income and moderate capital growth, with an emphasis on providing tax-advantaged dividend income.

Adviser

Wells Fargo Funds Management, LLC

Subadvisers

Crow Point Partners, LLC

Wells Capital Management Incorporated

Portfolio managers

Niklas Nordenfelt, CFA®

Timothy P. O Brien, CFA

Phillip Susser

Average annual total return (%) as of August 31, 2016¹

	1 year	5 year	10 year
Based on market value	27.83	11.22	4.34
Based on net asset value (NAV) per share	10.35*	9.51	4.34

Figures quoted represent past performance, which is no guarantee of future results, and do not reflect taxes that a shareholder may pay on fund distributions or the sales of fund shares. Investment return and principal value of an investment will fluctuate so that an investor s shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Current performance may be lower or higher than the performance data quoted, which assumes the reinvestment of dividends and capital gains. Performance figures of the Fund do not reflect brokerage commissions that a shareholder would pay on the purchase and sale of shares. If taxes and such brokerage commissions had been reflected, performance would have been lower. To obtain performance information current to the most recent month-end, please call 1-800-222-8222.

The Fund s expense ratio for the year ended August 31, 2016, was 1.19% which includes 0.21% of interest expense.

Comparison of NAV vs. market value²

High-yield, lower-rated bonds may contain more risk due to the increased possibility of default. Foreign investments may contain more risk due to the inherent risks associated with changing political climates, foreign market instability, and foreign currency fluctuations. Risks of international investing are magnified in emerging or developing markets. Funds that concentrate their investments in a single industry or sector may face increased risk of price fluctuation due to adverse developments within that industry or sector. Small- and midcap securities may be subject to special risks associated with narrower product lines and limited financial resources compared with their large-cap counterparts. The use of leverage results in certain risks including, among others, the likelihood of greater volatility of net asset value and the market price of common shares. Derivatives involve additional risks, including interest-rate risk, credit risk, the risk of improper valuation, and the risk of noncorrelation to the relevant instruments they are designed to hedge or to closely track. There are numerous risks associated with transactions in options on securities. Illiquid securities may be subject to wide fluctuations in market value and may be difficult to sell. This closed-end fund is no longer offered as an initial public offering and is only offered through broker/ dealers on the secondary market. A closed-end fund is not required to buy its shares back from investors upon request.

¹Total returns based on market value are calculated assuming a purchase of common stock on the first day and sale on the last day of the period reported. Total returns based on NAV are calculated based on the NAV at the beginning of the period and end of the period. Dividends and distributions, if any, are assumed for the purposes of these calculations to be reinvested at prices obtained under the Fund s Automatic Dividend Reinvestment Plan.

²This chart does not reflect any brokerage commissions charged on the purchase and sale of the Fund s common stock. Dividends and distributions paid by the Fund are included in the Fund s average annual total returns but have the effect of reducing the Fund s NAV.

^{*}Total return reflects litigation payments received during the year. Excluding the payments, the total return would have been 9.74%.

Performance highlights (unaudited) MANAGERS DISCUSSION

Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fund 5

The Fund s return based on its market value was 27.83% for the 12-month period that ended August 31, 2016. During the same period, the Fund s return based on its net asset value (NAV) was 10.35%. Based on its NAV return, the Fund underperformed relative to the ERH Blended Index³ which returned 17.19%.

Overview

During the reporting period, the Fund s utilities holdings delivered weak results relative to the S&P 500 Utilities Index.⁴ Some of the utilities positions were preferred stocks, which supported the Fund s income generation but lagged in terms of total return. Also, European and Canadian utilities stocks held in the Fund underperformed their U.S. peers. Energy holdings detracted from returns as well.

While the high-yield bond market delivered a positive return for the 12-month period overall, the path to that result was somewhat volatile. High-yield bonds delivered negative monthly results for the first five months of the reporting period, driven largely by depressed energy prices and other commodity-related issues; downgrades of large, investment-grade issuers into high-yield territory aggravated the decline. The high-yield market bounced back in mid-February 2016 and delivered positive monthly results for the remaining seven months of the period as commodity prices began to stabilize and improve and the issuer downgrades were absorbed by the high-yield market.

Detractors from performance

Among the Fund s utilities holdings, The Williams Companies, Incorporated, detracted significantly from performance. In addition to being pressured by depressed oil and gas prices early in the period, the company suffered other setbacks, including news that its expected acquisition by Energy Transfer Equity, L.P., had fallen through. Vivendi S.A. was a notable detractor as well. Both The Williams Companies and Vivendi were sold from the Fund during the period. Also, the Fund s holdings of cash and preferred stocks materially detracted from results as investors demonstrated their preference for common stocks within the utilities sector.

Within the Fund s allocation to high-yield bonds, the largest detractor from relative performance was an underweight to the metals and mining sector. Many companies that were downgraded from investment-grade to below-investment-grade during the period were in this sector, and they rebounded after being added to the high-yield bond index. Exposure to the electric utility and cable and satellite sectors also detracted from performance. The ratings distribution and maturity profile of the Fund s high-yield bond allocation had a slightly negative effect on results as well.

Ten largest holdings (%) as of August 31, 2016 ⁵	
Enel SpA	4.59
Red Electrica Corporacion SA	4.39
Enagas SA	4.36
Eversource Energy	4.12
American Electric Power Company Incorporated	3.84
Edison International	3.70

Chunghwa Telecom Company Limited ADR	3.65
NextEra Energy Incorporated	3.59
American Water Works Company Incorporated	3.14
Endesa SA	3.11
Credit quality as of August 31, 2016 ⁶	

- ³ Source: Wells Fargo Funds Management, LLC. The ERH Blended Index is weighted 70% S&P 500 Utilities Index and 30% BofA Merrill Lynch U.S. High Yield Index (formerly known as BofA Merrill Lynch High Yield Master II Index). The BofA Merrill Lynch U.S. High Yield Index is a market-capitalization-weighted index of domestic and Yankee high-yield bonds. The index tracks the performance of the high-yield securities traded in the U.S. bond market. You cannot invest directly in an index.
- ⁴ The S&P 500 Utilities Index is a market-value-weighted index that measures the performance of all stocks within the utilities sector of the S&P 500 Index. You cannot invest directly in an index.
- ⁵The ten largest holdings, excluding cash and cash equivalents, are calculated based on the value of the investments divided by total net assets of the Fund. Holdings are subject to change and may have changed since the date specified.
- 6 The credit quality distribution of portfolio holdings reflected in the chart is based on ratings from Standard & Poor s, Moody s Investors Service, and/ or Fitch Ratings Ltd. Credit quality ratings apply to the underlying holdings of the Fund and not to the Fund itself. The percentages of the Fund s portfolio with the ratings depicted in the chart are calculated based on the total market value of fixed income securities held by the Fund. If a security was rated by all three rating agencies, the middle rating was utilized. If rated by two of three rating agencies, the lower rating was utilized, and if rated by one of the rating agencies, that rating was utilized. Standard & Poor s rates the creditworthiness of bonds, ranging from AAA (highest) to D (lowest). Ratings from A to CCC may be modified by the addition of a plus (+) or minus (-) sign to show relative standing within the rating categories. Standard & Poor s rates the creditworthiness of short-term notes from SP-1 (highest) to SP-3 (lowest). Moody s rates the creditworthiness of bonds, ranging from Aaa (highest) to C (lowest). Ratings Aa to B may be modified by the addition of a number 1 (highest) to 3 (lowest) to show relative standing within the ratings categories. Moody s rates the creditworthiness of short-term U.S. tax-exempt municipal securities from MIG 1/VMIG 1 (highest) to SG (lowest). Fitch rates the creditworthiness of bonds, ranging from AAA (highest) to D (lowest). Credit quality distribution is subject to change and may have changed since the date specified.

6 Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fund Contributors to performance

Performance highlights (unaudited)

Notable contributors to performance among the Fund s utilities stocks included American Water Works Company, Incorporated; American Electric Power Company, Incorporated; and Edison International. Shenandoah Telecommunications Company also significantly benefited results; the company s stock was up more than 30% year over year, despite declining sharply following a weak report for the second quarter of 2016.

The Fund s allocation to high-yield bonds benefited from a meaningful overweight to the midstream industry and solid security selection within that industry. Exposure to the health care and information technology industries contributed positively as well. Although positioning was unfavorable in the energy exploration and production and oilfield-services sectors, performance by the Fund s holdings within those sectors largely offset the impact of the positioning.

Country allocation as of August 31, 2016⁷

Management outlook

With regard to the Fund s allocation to utilities stocks, we are seeing what appears to be a more solid and accelerating economic recovery in the U.S. In our view, shorter-term interest rates could soon rise. However, the outlook for 10-year interest rates, which are highly correlated with the dividend yields of utilities stocks, is less clear to us. Stronger economic growth may be positive for utilities suffering from weak sales, while higher interest rates could provide a near-term challenge for utilities stocks. Over the longer term, fundamentals for

operators of regulated utilities appear robust. The outlook for utilities with significant exposure to commodities prices, however, remains challenging.

With respect to the broad high-yield market, we believe high-yield bonds may remain relatively stable and potentially outperform other fixed-income asset classes that may be more affected by a rising-interest-rate environment. While default rates rose over the reporting period, most of the increase was concentrated in the energy and commodities industries. Bankruptcies likely will remain concentrated in those industries in the near term; however, many of the weakest companies already have filed for bankruptcy. In the long run, we expect the relative performance of high-yield bonds will be driven primarily by corporate fundamentals and defaults. In the near term, our default outlook remains fairly benign, with the exception of the energy sector and other commodities sectors. Over a full cycle, we believe the best way to protect the Fund s high-yield bond allocation from periodic bouts of systemic fears and rebalancing is by following a bottom-up investment process that attempts to minimize downside risk and capture the return potential of high-yield issuers.

⁷Amounts are calculated based on the total long-term investments of the Fund. These percentages are subject to change and may have changed since the date specified.

Summary portfolio of investments August 31, 2016

Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fund 7

The Summary portfolio of investments shows the 50 largest portfolio holdings in unaffiliated issuers and any holdings exceeding 1% of the total net assets as of the report date. The remaining securities held are grouped as Other securities in each category.

Consists nome	Shares	Value	Percent of net assets
Security name	Shares	varue	assets
Common Stocks: 66.82%			
Energy: 2.30%			
Oil, Gas & Consumable Fuels: 2.30%			
Spectra Energy Corporation Other securities	75,000	\$ 2,671,500 33,385	2.27% 0.03
		2,704,885	2.30
Telecommunication Services: 11.81%			
Diversified Telecommunication Services: 9.85%			
CenturyLink Incorporated #	75,000	2,085,000	1.77
Chunghwa Telecom Company Limited ADR	120,000	4,302,000	3.65
Orange SA	200,000	3,054,103	2.59
Verizon Communications Incorporated	41,291	2,160,758	1.84
		11,601,861	9.85
Wireless Telecommunication Services: 1.96%			
Shenandoah Telecommunications Company	90,000	2,314,800	1.96
Utilities: 52.71%			
Electric Utilities: 39.91%			
American Electric Power Company Incorporated	70,000	4,519,900	3.84

Edgar Filing: WELLS FARGO UTILITIES & HIGH INCOME FUND - Form N-CSR

	-0 -1		• • •
Duke Energy Corporation	30,514	2,430,745	2.06
Edison International	60,000	4,363,200	3.70
Endesa SA	180,000	3,666,262	3.11
Enel SpA	1,225,000	5,408,316	4.59
Eversource Energy	90,000	4,857,300	4.12
Exelon Corporation	16,000	544,000	0.46
Great Plains Energy Incorporated	100,000	2,716,000	2.31
IDACORP Incorporated	25,000	1,901,750	1.62
NextEra Energy Incorporated	35,000	4,232,900	3.59
PNM Resources Incorporated	75,000	2,384,250	2.02
Red Electrica Corporacion SA	240,000	5,168,104	4.39
Spark Energy Incorporated Class A	36,700	1,063,933	0.90
Terna SpA	650,000	3,358,398	2.85
Other securities		400,895	0.35
		47,015,953	39.91
Gas Utilities: 4.39%			
Enagas SA	175,000	5,138,740	4.37
Other securities		25,328	0.02
		5,164,068	4.39
Multi-Utilities: 5,27%			
CenterPoint Energy Incorporated	50,000	1,123,500	0.95
Hera SpA	300,000	831,234	0.93
πεια υρπ	500,000	031,434	0.71

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

8 Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fund

Summary portfolio of investments August 31, 2016

Security name			Shares	Value	Percent of net assets
Multi-Utilities (continued) Public Service Enterprise Group Incorporated			50,000	\$ 2,138,000	1.81%
Sempra Energy Other securities			19,900	2,082,137 34,033	1.77 0.03
				6,208,904	5.27
Water Utilities: 3.14% American Water Works Company					
Incorporated			50,000	3,699,500	3.14
Total Common Stocks (Cost \$60,295,682)				78,709,971	66.82
Corporate Bonds and Notes: 30.77%					
Consumer Discretionary: 5.94%					
Auto Components: 0.20% Other securities				235,150	0.20
Distributors: 0.09% Other securities				101,000	0.09
Diversified Consumer Services: 0.41%	Interest rate	Maturity date	Principal		
Service Corporation International Other securities	7.50%	4-1-2027	\$ 351,000	410,670 75,270	0.35 0.06
				485,940	0.41

Edgar Filing: WELLS FARGO UTILITIES & HIGH INCOME FUND - Form N-CSR

Hotels, Restaurants & Leisure: 1.12%					
CCM Merger Incorporated 144A Greektown Holdings LLC 144A Other securities	9.13 8.88	5-1-2019 3-15-2019	465,000 675,000	487,669 712,125 118,766	0.41 0.61 0.10
				1,318,560	1.12
Household Durables: 0.21% Other securities				245,401	0.21
Leisure Products: 0.04% Other securities				42,100	0.04
Media: 2.94% Other securities				3,466,111	2.94
Specialty Retail: 0.85% Other securities				1,005,842	0.85
Textiles, Apparel & Luxury Goods: 0.08% Other securities				96,526	0.08
Consumer Staples: 0.50%					
Beverages: 0.10% Other securities				114,475	0.10

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Summary portfolio of investments August 31, 2016

Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fund 9

					Percent of net
Security name	Interest rate	Maturity date	Principal	Value	assets
Food Products: 0.38% Other securities				\$ 449,677	0.38%
Household Products: 0.02% Other securities				21,475	0.02
Energy: 7.43%					
Energy Equipment & Services: 2.42%					
NGPL PipeCo LLC NGPL PipeCo LLC 144A Other securities	7.12-9.63% 7.77	12-15-2017 to 6-1-2019 12-15-2037	\$ 225,000 950,000	234,413 1,016,500 1,593,769	0.20 0.86 1.36
				2,844,682	2.42
Oil, Gas & Consumable Fuels: 5.01% Rockies Express Pipeline					
LLC 144A Ultra Petroleum	6.88	4-15-2040	425,000	429,250	0.36
Corporation 144A(s) Other securities	6.13	10-1-2024	550,000	448,250 5,029,620	0.38 4.27
				5,907,120	5.01
Financials: 4.83%					
Banks: 0.30% Other securities				352,006	0.30
Capital Markets: 0.27% Other securities				317,625	0.27

Consumer Finance: 1.30%						
Other securities					1,523,957	1.30
Diversified Financial						
Services: 0.52% Other securities					613,650	0.52
Insurance: 0.43%						
Other securities					502,938	0.43
Real Estate Management & Development: 0.30%					222 000	0.00
Other securities					357,000	0.30
REITs: 1.71% Other securities					2,016,682	1.71
Health Care: 3.10%						
Health Care Equipment & Supplies: 0.72% Other securities					842,363	0.72
Health Care Providers & Services: 1.84%						
Select Medical Corporation Other securities	6.38	6-1	-2021	405,000	405,506 1,764,622	0.34 1.50
					2,170,128	1.84

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

10 Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fund

Summary portfolio of investments August 31, 2016

Security name	Interest rate	Maturity date	Principal	Value	Percent of net assets
Health Care Technology: 0.45% Other securities				\$ 534,413	0.45%
Pharmaceuticals: 0.09% Other securities				105,822	0.09
Industrials: 1.45%					
Airlines: 0.10% Other securities				117,500	0.10
Commercial Services & Supplies: 0.44%					
Other securities				513,082	0.44
Construction & Engineering: 0.20%					
Other securities				234,650	0.20
Professional Services: 0.01% Other securities				17,500	0.01
Trading Companies & Distributors: 0.70%					
Ashtead Capital Incorporated 144A Other securities	6.50%	7-15-2022	\$ 400,000	422,000 407,753	0.36 0.34
Omer securines				829,753	0.34

Information Technology: 2.61%

Communications Equipment:

0.09%

Edgar Filing: WELLS FARGO UTILITIES & HIGH INCOME FUND - Form N-CSR

Other securities				106,125	0.09
Electronic Equipment, Instruments & Components: 0.70%					
Jabil Circuit Incorporated Other securities	8.25	3-15-2018	620,000	674,250 146,475	0.57 0.13
				820,725	0.70
Internet Software & Services: 0.12%					
Other securities				147,381	0.12
IT Services: 0.19% Other securities				219,255	0.19
Omer securities				219,233	0.19
Semiconductors & Semiconductor Equipment: 0.30%					
Other securities				349,188	0.30
Software: 0.20%					
Other securities				240,408	0.20
Technology Hardware, Storage & Peripherals: 1.01% Diamond 1 Finance Corporation /					
Diamond 2 Finance Corporation 144A	7.13	6-15-2024	425,000	460,192	0.39
NCR Corporation	6.38	12-15-2023	450,000	475,875	0.41
Other securities				253,883	0.21
				1,189,950	1.01

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Summary portfolio of investments August 31, 2016

Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fund 11

Security name	Interest rate	Maturity date	Principal	Value	Percent of net assets
Materials: 0.68%					
Chemicals: 0.02% Other securities				\$ 22,812	0.02%
Containers & Packaging: 0.64% Other securities				748,705	0.64
Metals & Mining: 0.02% Other securities				26,500	0.02
Telecommunication Services: 3.03%					
Diversified Telecommunication Services: 1.23% Other securities				1,449,688	1.23
Wireless Telecommunication Services: 1.80% Sprint Capital Corporation	6.88%	11-15-2028	\$ 850,000	760,487	0.65
T-Mobile USA Incorporated Other securities	6.73	4-28-2022	375,000	394,219 969,767	0.33 0.82
				2,124,473	1.80
Utilities: 1.20%					
Electric Utilities: 0.25% Other securities				293,797	0.25
Gas Utilities: 0.09% Other securities				107,125	0.09

Independent Power & Renewable Electricity Producers: 0.86%					
TerraForm Power Operating LLC 144A Other securities	5.88	2-1-2023	400,000	401,500 612,086	0.34 0.52
				1,013,586	0.86
Total Corporate Bonds and Notes (Cost \$34,767,636)				36,242,846	30.77
Loans: 0.87%					
Consumer Discretionary: 0.23%					
Hotels, Restaurants & Leisure: 0.08%					
Other securities				97,279	0.08
Specialty Retail: 0.15% Other securities				176,714	0.15
Energy: 0.05%					
Oil, Gas & Consumable Fuels: 0.05% Other securities				51,563	0.05
Financials: 0.04%					
Diversified Financial Services: 0.04%					
Other securities				48,000	0.04

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

12 Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fund

Summary portfolio of investments August 31, 2016

Security name	Interest rate	Maturity date	P	rincipal	Value	Percent of net assets
Health Care: 0.10%		-				
Pharmaceuticals: 0.10% Other securities					\$ 121,377	0.10%
Industrials: 0.04%						
Commercial Services & Supplies: 0.04% Other securities					46,384	0.04
Information Technology: 0.02%						
Technology Hardware, Storage & Peripherals: 0.02% Other securities	k				27,200	0.02
Utilities: 0.39%						
Electric Utilities: 0.39% Texas Competitive Electric Holdings Company LLC ±(s)	4.66%	10-10-2016	\$	1,471,940	463,293	0.39
Total Loans (Cost \$2,043,173)					1,031,810	0.87
Preferred Stocks: 7.40% Utilities: 7.40%	Dividend yield		\$	Shares		
Electric Utilities: 6.34%						
Alabama Power Company Baltimore Gas & Electric	6.45			41,284	1,109,508	0.94
Company Entergy Louisiana LLC	6.70 4.88			9,312 30,000	946,041 750,000	0.80 0.64

Edgar Filing: WELLS FARGO UTILITIES & HIGH INCOME FUND - Form N-CSR

Indianapolis Power & Light				
Company	5.65	28,811	2,936,022	2.49
Nextera Energy Capital	5.25	18,826	481,569	0.41
The Connecticut Light & Power				
Company	5.28	12,000	620,250	0.53
The Connecticut Light & Power				
Company	6.56	12,000	622,500	0.53
			7,465,890	6.34
Multi-Utilities: 1.06%				
Dominion Resources Incorporated	5.25	22,000	564,300	0.48
Integrys Holding Incorporated ±	5.47	25,050	687,372	0.58
			1,251,672	1.06
Total Preferred Stocks (Cost				
\$8,526,046)			8,717,562	7.40
Warrants: 0.00%				
Utilities: 0.00%				
Gas Utilities: 0.00%				
Other securities			304	0.00
Total Warrants (Cost \$30,480)			304	0.00

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Metals & Mining: 0.02%

Summary portfolio of investments August 31, 2016

Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fund 13

Security name	Value	Percent of net assets
Yankee Corporate Bonds and Notes: 2.34%		
Energy: 0.50%		
Oil, Gas & Consumable Fuels: 0.50% Other securities	\$ 593,247	0.50%
Financials: 0.03%		
Banks: 0.03% Other securities	31,275	0.03
Health Care: 0.73%		
Pharmaceuticals: 0.73% Other securities	857,952	0.73
Industrials: 0.23%		
Building Products: 0.01% Other securities	16,200	0.01
Commercial Services & Supplies: 0.20% Other securities	230,450	0.20
Machinery: 0.02% Other securities	20,700	0.02
Materials: 0.17%		
Containers & Packaging: 0.15% Other securities	179,578	0.15

Other securities			26,063	0.02
Telecommunication Services: 0.68%				
Diversified Telecommunication Services: 0.64% Other securities			753,198	0.64
Wireless Telecommunication Services: 0.04% Other securities			50,000	0.04
Total Yankee Corporate Bonds and Notes (Cost \$3,431,451)			2,758,663	2.34
Short-Term Investments: 10.16%	Yield	Shares		
Investment Companies: 10.16% Wells Fargo Government Money Market Select Class (l)(u)##	0.32%	11,972,808	11,972,808	10.16
Total Short-Term Investments (Cost \$11,972,808)			11,972,808	10.16
Total investments in securities (Cost \$121,067,276) *			139,433,964	118.36
Other assets and liabilities, net			(21,633,722)	(18.36)
Total net assets			\$117,800,242	100.00%

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

14 Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fu	14	Wells	Fargo	Utilities	and	High	Income	Fun
---	----	-------	-------	-----------	-----	------	--------	-----

Summary portfolio of investments August 31, 2016

- # All or a portion of this security is segregated as collateral for investments in derivative instruments.
- 144AThe security may be resold in transactions exempt from registration, normally to qualified institutional buyers, pursuant to Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933.
- (s) The security is currently in default with regards to scheduled interest and/or principal payments. The Fund has stopped accruing interest on the security.
- ± Variable rate investment. The rate shown is the rate in effect at period end.
- (I) The issuer of the security is an affiliate of the Fund as defined in the Investment Company Act of 1940.
- (u) The rate represents the 7-day annualized yield at period end.
- ## All or a portion of this security is segregated for when-issued securities.
- * Cost for federal income tax purposes is \$121,553,836 and unrealized gains (losses) consists of:

Gross unrealized gains \$ 23,024,618

Gross unrealized losses (5,144,490)

Net unrealized gains \$ 17,880,128

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Statement of assets and liabilities August 31, 2016	Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fund 15
Assets Investments In unaffiliated securities, at value (cost \$109,094,468) In affiliated securities, at value (cost \$11,972,808)	\$ 127,461,156 11,972,808
Total investments, at value (cost \$121,067,276) Foreign currency, at value (cost \$28,643) Receivable for investments sold Receivable for dividends and interest Prepaid expenses and other assets	139,433,964 28,595 220,252 1,256,136 6,780
Total assets	140,945,727
Liabilities Dividends payable Payable for investments purchased Written options, at value (premiums received \$52,977) Due to custodian bank Secured borrowing payable Advisory fee payable Administration fee payable Accrued expenses and other liabilities	692,830 226,406 8,155 33,300 22,017,990 72,274 6,023 88,507
Total liabilities	23,145,485
Total net assets	\$ 117,800,242
NET ASSETS CONSIST OF Paid-in capital Overdistributed net investment income Accumulated net realized losses on investments Net unrealized gains on investments Total net assets	\$ 151,570,364 (190,837) (51,986,590) 18,407,305 \$ 117,800,242
NET ASSET VALUE PER SHARE Based on \$117,800,242 divided by 9,241,382 shares issued and of shares authorized)	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

16 Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fund	Statement of operations year ended August 31, 2016
Investment income Dividends (net of foreign withholding taxes of \$457,362) Interest Income from affiliated securities	\$ 6,315,860 2,936,072 24,592
Total investment income	9,276,524
Expenses Advisory fee Administration fee Custody and accounting fees Professional fees Shareholder report expenses Trustees fees and expenses Transfer agent fees Interest expense Other fees and expenses	826,591 68,883 27,106 92,060 52,704 19,889 33,435 238,420 13,585
Total expenses	1,372,673
Net investment income REALIZED AND UNREALIZED GAINS (LOSSES) ON INV Net realized gains (losses) on:	7,903,851 /ESTMENTS
Unaffiliated securities Written options	(664,783) 76,053
Net realized losses on investments	(588,730)
Net change in unrealized gains (losses) on: Unaffiliated securities Written options	3,793,965 44,822
Net change in unrealized gains (losses) on investments	3,838,787
Net realized and unrealized gains (losses) on investments	3,250,057
Net increase in net assets resulting from operations	\$ 11,153,908

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Statement of changes in net assets				ome Fund 17 Year ended Igust 31, 2015
Operations Net investment income Net realized gains (losses) on investments Net change in unrealized gains (losses) on investments	\$	7,903,851 (588,730) 3,838,787	\$	8,422,585 319,865 (13,285,652)
Net increase (decrease) in net assets resulting from operations		11,153,908		(4,543,202)
Distributions to shareholders from Net investment income		(8,311,826)		(8,308,807)
Capital share transactions Net asset value of shares issued under the Automatic Dividend Reinvestment Plan		109,977		22,151
Total increase (decrease) in net assets		2,952,059		(12,829,858)
Net assets Beginning of period		114,848,183		127,678,041
End of period	\$	117,800,242	\$	114,848,183
Undistributed (overdistributed) net investment income	\$	(190,837)	\$	155,876

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

18 Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fund	Statement of cash flows	year ended A	August 31, 2016
Cash flows from operating activities: Net increase in net assets resulting from operations		\$	11,153,908
Adjustments to reconcile net increase in net assets from opera operating activities: Purchase of securities Proceeds from sale of securities Amortization Purchase of short-term securities, net Decrease in receivable for investments sold Increase in receivable for dividends and interest Decrease in prepaid expenses and other assets Increase in payable for investments purchased Increase in premiums received Increase in due to custodian bank Increase in secured borrowing payable Increase in advisory fee payable Increase in administration fee payable Decrease in accrued expenses and other liabilities Litigation payments received Net realized losses on investments Net change in unrealized gains (losses) on investments	tions to net cash provide	(115,052,557) 117,525,219 (218,987) (2,793,237) 31,018 (12,233) 2,036 64,152 52,977 33,300 3,426 878 73 (21,776) 665,970 588,730 (3,838,787)
Net cash provided by operating activities			8,184,110
Cash flows from financing activities: Cash distributions paid			(8,221,802)
Net cash used in financing activities			(8,221,802)
Net decrease in cash			(37,692)
Cash (including foreign currency): Beginning of period		\$	66,287
End of period		\$	28,595
Supplemental cash disclosure Cash paid for interest		\$	234,994
Supplemental non-cash financing disclosure Reinvestment of dividends		\$	109,977

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Financial highlights (For a share outstanding throughout each period)

Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fund 19

	Year ended August 31				
	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012
Net asset value, beginning of					
period	\$12.44	\$13.83	\$12.24	\$11.74	\$11.75
Net investment income	0.86	0.91	0.97^{1}	0.87^{1}	0.87^{1}
Net realized and unrealized gains					
(losses) on investments	0.35	(1.40)	1.52	0.53	0.02
Total from investment operations Distributions to shareholders from	1.21	(0.49)	2.49	1.40	0.89
Net investment income	(0.90)	(0.90)	(0.90)	(0.90)	(0.90)
Net asset value, end of period	\$12.75	\$12.44	\$13.83	\$12.24	\$11.74
Market value, end of period	\$12.93	\$10.89	\$12.87	\$12.04	\$11.92
Total return based on market					
value ²	27.83%	(9.11)%	14.89%	8.93%	17.03%
Ratios to average net assets (annualized)					
Net expenses ³	1.19%	1.19%	1.11%	1.25%	1.20%
Net investment income	6.83%	6.88%	7.38%	7.11%	7.48%
Supplemental data Portfolio turnover rate	85%	61%	29%	65%	48%
Net assets, end of period (000s	8370	0170	2970	03%	40%
omitted)	\$117,800	\$114,848	\$127,678	\$113,001	\$108,327
Borrowings outstanding, end of period (000s omitted) Asset coverage per \$1,000 of	\$22,000	\$22,000	\$22,000	\$22,000	\$22,000
borrowing, end of period	\$6,355	\$6,220	\$6,804	\$6,136	\$5,866

¹ Calculated based upon average shares outstanding

² Total return is calculated assuming a purchase of common stock on the first day and a sale on the last day of the period reported. Dividends and distributions, if any, are assumed for purposes of these calculations to be reinvested at prices obtained under the Fund s Automatic Dividend Reinvestment Plan. Total return does not reflect brokerage

commissions that a shareholder would pay on the purchase and sale of shares.

³ Ratios include interest expense relating to interest associated with borrowings and/or leverage transactions as follows:

Year ended August 31, 2016	0.21%
Year ended August 31, 2015	0.16%
Year ended August 31, 2014	0.19%
Year ended August 31, 2013	0.21%
Year ended August 31, 2012	0.25%

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

20 Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fund 1. ORGANIZATION

Notes to financial statements

Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fund (the Fund) was organized as a statutory trust under the laws of the state of Delaware on February 4, 2004. Originally classified as non-diversified, the Fund now is classified as a diversified closed-end management investment company and is registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended. As an investment company, the Trust follows the accounting and reporting guidance in Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification Topic 946, *Financial Services Investment Companies*.

2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The following significant accounting policies, which are consistently followed in the preparation of the financial statements of the Fund, are in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles which require management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Securities valuation

All investments are valued each business day as of the close of regular trading on the New York Stock Exchange (generally 4 p.m. Eastern Time), although the Fund may deviate from this calculation time under unusual or unexpected circumstances.

Equity securities and options that are listed on a foreign or domestic exchange or market are valued at the official closing price or, if none, the last sales price. If no sale occurs on the principal exchange or market that day, the prior day s price will be deemed stale and a fair value price will be determined in accordance with the Fund s Valuation Procedures.

Non-listed options are valued at the evaluated price provided by an independent pricing service or, if a reliable price is not available, the quoted bid price from an independent broker-dealer.

The values of securities denominated in foreign currencies are translated into U.S. dollars at rates provided by an independent foreign currency pricing source at a time each business day specified by the Management Valuation Team of Wells Fargo Funds Management, LLC (Funds Management).

Many securities markets and exchanges outside the U.S. close prior to the close of the New York Stock Exchange and therefore may not fully reflect trading or events that occur after the close of the principal exchange in which the foreign securities are traded, but before the close of the New York Stock Exchange. If such trading or events are expected to materially affect the value of such securities, then fair value pricing procedures approved by the Board of Trustees of the Fund are applied. These procedures take into account multiple factors including movements in U.S. securities markets after foreign exchanges close. Foreign securities that are fair valued under these procedures are categorized as Level 2 and the application of these procedures may result in transfers between Level 1 and Level 2. Depending on market activity, such fair valuations may be frequent. Such fair value pricing may result in net asset values that are higher or lower than net asset values based on the last reported sales price or latest quoted bid price. On August 31, 2016, such fair value pricing was not used in pricing foreign securities.

Debt securities are valued at the evaluated bid price provided by an independent pricing service or, if a reliable price is not available, the quoted bid price from an independent broker-dealer.

Investments in registered open-end investment companies are valued at net asset value.

Investments which are not valued using any of the methods discussed above are valued at their fair value, as determined in good faith by the Board of Trustees. The Board of Trustees has established a Valuation Committee comprised of the Trustees and has delegated to it the authority to take any actions regarding the valuation of portfolio securities that the Valuation Committee deems necessary or appropriate, including determining the fair value of portfolio securities, unless the determination has been delegated to the Management Valuation Team. The Board of Trustees retains the authority to make or ratify any valuation decisions or approve any changes to the Valuation Procedures as it deems appropriate. On a quarterly basis, the Board of Trustees receives reports on any valuation actions taken by the Valuation Committee or the Management Valuation Team which may include items for ratification.

Valuations of fair valued securities are compared to the next actual sales price when available, or other appropriate market values, to assess the continued appropriateness of the fair valuation methodologies used. These securities are fair valued on a day-to-day basis, taking into consideration changes to appropriate market information and any significant changes to the inputs considered in the valuation process until there is a readily available price provided on an exchange

Notes to financial statements Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fund 21 or by an independent pricing service. Valuations received from an independent pricing service or independent broker-dealer quotes are periodically validated by comparisons to most recent trades and valuations provided by other independent pricing services in addition to the review of prices by the manager and/or subadvisers. Unobservable inputs used in determining fair valuations are identified based on the type of security, taking into consideration factors utilized by market participants in valuing the investment, knowledge about the issuer and the current market environment.

Foreign currency translation

The accounting records of the Fund are maintained in U.S. dollars. The values of other assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies are translated into U.S. dollars at rates provided by an independent foreign currency pricing source at a time each business day specified by the Management Valuation Team. Purchases and sales of securities, and income and expenses are converted at the rate of exchange on the respective dates of such transactions. Net realized foreign exchange gains or losses arise from sales of foreign currencies, currency gains or losses realized between the trade and settlement dates on securities transactions, and the difference between the amounts of dividends, interest and foreign withholding taxes recorded and the U.S. dollar equivalent of the amounts actually paid or received. Net unrealized foreign exchange gains and losses arise from changes in the fair value of assets and liabilities other than investments in securities resulting from changes in exchange rates. The changes in net assets arising from changes in exchange rates and the changes in net assets resulting from changes in market prices of securities are not separately presented. Such changes are included in net realized and unrealized gains or losses from investments.

When-issued transactions

The Fund may purchase securities on a forward commitment or when-issued basis. The Fund records a when-issued transaction on the trade date and will segregate assets in an amount at least equal in value to the Fund s commitment to purchase when-issued securities. Securities purchased on a when-issued basis are marked-to-market daily and the Fund begins earning interest on the settlement date. Losses may arise due to changes in the market value of the underlying securities or if the counterparty does not perform under the contract.

Loans

The Fund may invest in direct debt instruments which are interests in amounts owed to lenders by corporate or other borrowers. The loans pay interest at rates which are periodically reset by reference to a base lending rate plus a spread. Investments in loans may be in the form of participations in loans or assignments of all or a portion of loans from third parties. When the Fund purchases participations, it generally has no rights to enforce compliance with terms of the loan agreement with the borrower. As a result, the Fund assumes the credit risk of both the borrower and the lender that is selling the participation. When the Fund purchases assignments from lenders, it acquires direct rights against the borrower on the loan and may enforce compliance by the borrower with the terms of the loan agreement. Loans may include fully funded term loans or unfunded loan commitments, which are contractual obligations for future funding.

Options

The Fund is subject to equity price risk in the normal course of pursuing its investment objectives. The Fund may write covered call options or secured put options on individual securities and/or indexes. When the Fund writes an option, an amount equal to the premium received is recorded as a liability and is subsequently adjusted to the current market value of the written option. Premiums received from written options that expire unexercised are recognized as realized gains on the expiration date. For exercised options, the difference between the premium received and the amount paid on effecting a closing purchase transaction, including brokerage commissions, is treated as a realized gain or loss. If a call option is exercised, the premium is added to the proceeds from the sale of the underlying security in calculating the realized gain or loss on the sale. If a put option is exercised, the premium reduces the cost of the security purchased. The Fund, as a writer of an option, bears the market risk of an unfavorable change in the price of the security and/or index underlying the written option.

The Fund may also purchase call or put options. Premiums paid are included in the Statement of Assets and Liabilities as investments, the values of which are subsequently adjusted based on the current market values of the options. Premiums paid for purchased options that expire are recognized as realized losses on the expiration date. Premiums paid for purchased options that are exercised or closed are added to the amount paid or offset against the proceeds received for the underlying security to determine the realized gain or loss. The risk of loss associated with purchased options is limited to the premium paid.

Options traded on an exchange are regulated and terms of the options are standardized. Purchased options traded over-the-counter expose the Fund to counterparty risk in the event the counterparty does not perform. This risk can be mitigated by having a master netting arrangement between the Fund and the counterparty and by having the counterparty post collateral to cover the Fund s exposure to the counterparty.

22 Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fund Security transactions and income recognition

Notes to financial statements

Securities transactions are recorded on a trade date basis. Realized gains or losses are recorded on the basis of identified cost.

Dividend income is recognized on the ex-dividend date, except for certain dividends from foreign securities, which are recorded as soon as the custodian verifies the ex-dividend date. Dividend income from foreign securities is recorded net of foreign taxes withheld where recovery of such taxes is not assured.

Interest income is accrued daily and bond discounts are accreted and premiums are amortized daily based on the effective interest method. To the extent debt obligations are placed on non-accrual status, any related interest income may be reduced by writing off interest receivables when the collection of all or a portion of interest has become doubtful based on consistently applied procedures. If the issuer subsequently resumes interest payments or when the collectability of interest is reasonably assured, the debt obligation is removed from non-accrual status.

Distributions to shareholders

Distributions to shareholders from net investment income and net realized gains, if any, are recorded on the ex-dividend date. Such distributions are determined in conformity with federal income tax regulations, which may differ in amount or character from net investment income and realized gains recognized for purposes of U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Federal and other taxes

The Fund intends to continue to qualify as a regulated investment company by distributing substantially all of its investment company taxable income and any net realized capital gains (after reduction for capital loss carryforwards) sufficient to relieve it from all, or substantially all, federal income taxes. Accordingly, no provision for federal income taxes was required.

The Fund s income and federal excise tax returns and all financial records supporting those returns for the prior three fiscal years are subject to examination by the federal and Delaware revenue authorities. Management has analyzed the Fund s tax positions taken on federal, state, and foreign tax returns for all open tax years and does not believe that there are any uncertain tax positions that require recognition of a tax liability.

Reclassifications are made to the Fund s capital accounts for permanent tax differences to reflect income and gains available for distribution (or available capital loss carryforwards) under federal income tax regulations. U.S. generally accepted accounting principles require that certain components of net assets be adjusted to reflect permanent differences between financial and tax reporting. These reclassifications have no effect on net assets or net asset values per share. The primary permanent differences causing such reclassifications are due to bond premiums and foreign currency transactions. At August 31, 2016, as a result of permanent book-to-tax differences, the following reclassification adjustments were made on the Statement of Assets and Liabilities:

Overdistributed net	Accumulated net
investment income	realized losses
	on investments
\$61,262	\$(61,262)

Capital loss carryforwards that do not expire are required to be utilized prior to capital loss carryforwards that expire. As of August 31, 2016, capital loss carryforwards available to offset future net realized capital gains were as follows through the indicated expiration dates:

		No expiration
2017	2018	Short-term
\$19,833,087	\$27,435,579	\$4,258,819

3. FAIR VALUATION MEASUREMENTS

Fair value measurements of investments are determined within a framework that has established a fair value hierarchy based upon the various data inputs utilized in determining the value of the Fund s investments. The three-level hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1) and the lowest priority to significant unobservable inputs (Level 3). The Fund s investments are classified within the fair value hierarchy based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. The inputs are summarized into three broad levels as follows:

Notes to financial statements

Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fund 23

- n Level 2 other significant observable inputs (including quoted prices for similar securities, interest rates, prepayment speeds, credit risk, use of amortized cost, etc.)
- n Level 3 significant unobservable inputs (including the Fund s own assumptions in determining the fair value of investments)

The inputs or methodologies used for valuing investments in securities are not necessarily an indication of the risk associated with investing in those securities.

The following is a summary of the inputs used in valuing the Fund s assets and liabilities as of August 31, 2016:

	Quoted prices (Level 1)	Other significant observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)	Total
Assets Investments in:				
Common stocks				
Energy Telecommunication services Utilities	\$ 2,671,500 13,916,661 62,088,425	\$ 33,385 0 0	\$ 0 0 0	\$ 2,704,885 13,916,661 62,088,425
Corporate bonds and notes	0	36,242,846	0	36,242,846
Loans	0	944,409	87,401	1,031,810
Preferred stocks Utilities	1,795,869	6,921,693	0	8,717,562
Warrants Utilities	0	304	0	304
Yankee corporate bonds and notes	0	2,721,416	37,247	2,758,663
Short-term investments				
Investment companies	11,972,808	0	0	11,972,808
Total assets	\$ 92,445,263	\$ 46,864,053	\$ 124,648	\$ 139,433,964
<u>Liabilities</u> Written options	\$ 0	\$ 8,155	\$ 0	\$ 8,155
Total liabilities	\$ 0	\$ 8,155	\$ 0	\$ 8,155

The Fund recognizes transfers between levels within the fair value hierarchy at the end of the reporting period. At August 31, 2016, the Fund had no material transfers into/out of Level 1, Level 2, or Level 3.

n Level 1 quoted prices in active markets for identical securities

4. TRANSACTIONS WITH AFFILIATES AND OTHER EXPENSES

Advisory fee

Funds Management, an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of Wells Fargo & Company (Wells Fargo) is the adviser to the Fund and is entitled to receive a fee at an annual rate of 0.60% of the Fund s average daily total assets. Total assets consist of net assets of the Fund plus borrowings or other leverage for investment purposes to the extent excluded in calculating net assets.

Funds Management has retained the services of certain investment subadvisers to provide daily portfolio management to the Fund. The fees for subadvisory services are borne by Funds Management. Wells Capital Management Incorporated (an affiliate of Funds Management and an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of Wells Fargo) and Crow Point Partners, LLC are each investment subadvisers to the Fund and are each entitled to receive a fee from Funds Management at an annual rate of 0.20% of the Fund saverage daily total assets.

Administration fee

Funds Management also serves as the administrator to the Fund, providing the Fund with a wide range of administrative services necessary to the operation of the Fund. Funds Management is entitled to receive an annual administration fee from the Fund equal to 0.05% of the Fund s average daily total assets.

24 Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fund

Notes to financial statements

5. CAPITAL SHARE TRANSACTIONS

The Fund has authorized an unlimited number of shares with no par value. For the years ended August 31, 2016 and August 31, 2015, the Fund issued 8,550 and 1,649 shares, respectively. During the year ended August 31, 2016, the Fund did not repurchase any of it shares under the open-market share repurchase program.

6. BORROWINGS

The Fund has borrowed \$22 million through a revolving credit facility administered by a major financial institution (the Facility). The Facility has a commitment amount of \$25 million with no specific contract expiration date but the Facility can be terminated upon 180 days notice. The Fund is charged interest at London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus 0.70% and a commitment fee of 0.30% of the average daily unutilized amount of the commitment which is waived if the amount drawn on the Facility is over 75% of the committed amount.

At August 31, 2016, the Fund had borrowings outstanding in the amount of \$22,017,990 (including accrued interest payable). During the year ended August 31, 2016, an effective interest rate of 1.08% was incurred on the borrowings and the Fund incurred interest expense in the amount of \$238,420, representing 0.21% of the Fund s average daily net assets.

7. INVESTMENT PORTFOLIO TRANSACTIONS

Purchases and sales of investments, excluding U.S. government obligations (if any) and short-term securities, for the year ended August 31, 2016 were \$111,824,517 and \$110,831,050, respectively.

The Fund may purchase or sell investment securities to other Wells Fargo funds under procedures adopted by the Board of Trustees. The procedures have been designed to ensure that these interfund transactions, which generally do not incur broker commissions, are effected at current market prices. Interfund trades are included within the respective purchases and sales amounts shown.

As of August 31, 2016, the Fund had unfunded term loan commitments of \$50,000.

8. DERIVATIVE TRANSACTIONS

During the year ended August 31, 2016, the Fund entered into written options for economic hedging purposes.

During the year ended August 31, 2016, the Fund had written call option activities as follows:

	Number of	Premiums
	contracts	received
Options outstanding at August 31, 2015	0	\$ 0
Options written	1,340	131,293
Options expired	(386)	(58,849)
Options closed	(205)	(19,467)

Options outstanding at August 31, 2016

749 \$ 52,977

Open call options written at August 31, 2016 were as follow for the Fund:

Expiration date	Counterparty	Description	Number of contracts	Strike price	Value
9-16-2016	JPMorgan	CenturyLink Incorporated	385 short	\$ 29	\$ (2,695)
10-21-2016	JPMorgan	CenturyLink Incorporated	364 short	30	(5,460)

The Fund had an average of 140 written call option contracts during the year ended August 31, 2016.

The fair value, realized gains or losses and change in unrealized gains or losses, if any, on derivative instruments are reflected in the appropriate financial statements.

For certain types of derivative transactions, the Fund has entered into International Swaps and Derivatives Association, Inc. master agreements (ISDA Master Agreements) or similar agreements with approved counterparties. The ISDA Master Agreements or similar agreements may have requirements to deliver/deposit securities or cash to/with an exchange or broker-dealer as collateral and allows the Fund to offset, with each counterparty, certain derivative financial instrument sassets and/or liabilities with collateral held or pledged. Collateral requirements differ by type of derivative. Collateral or margin requirements are set by the broker or exchange clearing house for exchange traded derivatives while collateral terms are contract specific for over-the-counter traded derivatives. Cash collateral that has been pledged to cover obligations of the Fund under ISDA Master Agreements or similar agreements, if any, are reported separately in the

Notes to financial statements

Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fund 25

Statement of Assets and Liabilities. Securities pledged as collateral, if any, are noted in the Portfolio of Investments. With respect to balance sheet offsetting, absent an event of default by the counterparty or a termination of the agreement, the reported amounts of financial assets and financial liabilities in the Statement of Assets and Liabilities are not offset across transactions between the Fund and the applicable counterparty. A reconciliation of the gross amounts on the Statement of Assets and Liabilities to the net amounts by derivative type, including any collateral exposure, is as follows:

		Gross amounts of liabilities in the Statement of	Amounts subject to		
		Assets and	netting	Collateral	Net amount
Derivative type	Counterparty	Liabilities	agreements	pledged ¹	of liabilities
Written options	JPMorgan	\$8,155	\$ 0	\$ (8,155)	\$ 0

¹ Collateral pledged within this table is limited to the collateral for the net transaction with the counterparty.

9. DISTRIBUTIONS TO SHAREHOLDERS

The tax character of distributions paid was \$8,311,826 and \$8,308,807 of ordinary income for the years ended August 31, 2016 and August 31, 2015, respectively.

As of August 31, 2016, the components of distributable earnings on a tax basis were as follows:

Undistributed	Unrealized	Capital loss
ordinary income	gains	carryforward
\$571,135	\$17,920,745	\$(51,527,485)

10. CONCENTRATION RISK

The Fund invests a substantial portion of its assets in utilities companies and, therefore, would be more affected by changes in that industry than would be a fund whose investments are not heavily weighted in the industry.

11. INDEMNIFICATION

Under the Fund s organizational documents, the officers and Trustees have been granted certain indemnification rights against certain liabilities that may arise out of performance of their duties to the Fund. Additionally, in the normal course of business, the Fund may enter into contracts with service providers that contain a variety of indemnification clauses. The Fund s maximum exposure under these arrangements is dependent on future claims that may be made against the Fund and, therefore, cannot be estimated.

12. SUBSEQUENT DISTRIBUTIONS

The Fund declared the following distributions to shareholders:

Declaration date	Record date	Payable date	Per share amount
August 10, 2016	September 14,	<u> </u>	
_	2016	October 3, 2016	\$0.075
September 30, 2016		November 1,	
	October 13, 2016	2016	0.075
October 28, 2016	November 14, 2016	December 1, 2016	0.075

These distributions are not reflected in the accompanying financial statements. The final determination of the source of all distributions is subject to change and made after the Fund s tax year-end.

26 Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fund Report of independent registered public accounting firm BOARD OF TRUSTEES AND SHAREHOLDERS OF WELLS FARGO UTILITIES AND HIGH INCOME FUND:

We have audited the accompanying statement of assets and liabilities, including the summary portfolio of investments, of the Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fund (formerly known as Wells Fargo Advantage Utilities and High Income Fund) (the Fund), as of August 31, 2016, and the related statements of operations and cash flows for the year then ended, the statements of changes in net assets for each of the years in the two-year period then ended, and the financial highlights for each of the years in the five-year period then ended. These financial statements and financial highlights are the responsibility of the Fund s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial highlights based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements and financial highlights are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. Our procedures included confirmation of securities owned as of August 31, 2016, by correspondence with the custodian and brokers, or by other appropriate auditing procedures. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements and financial highlights referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fund as of August 31, 2016, the results of its operations and its cash flows for the year then ended, the changes in its net assets for each of the years in the two-year period then ended, and the financial highlights for each of the years in the five-year period then ended, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Boston, Massachusetts

October 24, 2016

Other information (unaudited) TAX INFORMATION

Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fund 27

For corporate shareholders, pursuant to Section 854 of the Internal Revenue Code, 34.04% of ordinary income dividends qualify for the corporate dividends-received deduction for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2016.

Pursuant to Section 854 of the Internal Revenue Code, \$4,579,620 of income dividends paid during the fiscal year ended August 31, 2016 has been designated as qualified dividend income (QDI).

For the fiscal year ended August 31, 2016, \$2,414,092 has been designated as interest-related dividends for nonresident alien shareholders pursuant to Section 871 of the Internal Revenue Code.

PROXY VOTING INFORMATION

A description of the policies and procedures that the Fund uses to determine how to vote proxies relating to portfolio securities is available, upon request, by calling **1-800-222-8222**, visiting our website at **wellsfargofunds.com**, or visiting the SEC website at sec.gov. Information regarding how the Fund voted proxies relating to portfolio securities during the most recent 12-month period ended June 30 is available on the Fund s website at **wellsfargofunds.com** or by visiting the SEC website at sec.gov.

PORTFOLIO HOLDINGS INFORMATION

The complete portfolio holdings for the Fund are publicly available monthly on the Fund s website (wellsfargofunds.com), on a one-month delayed basis. The Fund files its complete schedule of portfolio holdings with the SEC for the first and third quarters of each fiscal year on Form N-Q, which is available by visiting the SEC website at sec.gov. In addition, the Fund s Form N-Q may be reviewed and copied at the SEC s Public Reference Room in Washington, DC, and at regional offices in New York City, at 233 Broadway, and in Chicago, at 175 West Jackson Boulevard, Suite 900. Information about the Public Reference Room may be obtained by calling 1-800-SEC-0330.

28 Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fund BOARD OF TRUSTEES AND OFFICERS

Other information (unaudited)

The following table provides basic information about the Board of Trustees and Officers of the Fund. Each of the Trustees and Officers listed below acts in identical capacities for each fund in the Wells Fargo family of funds, which consists of 139 mutual funds comprising the Wells Fargo Funds Trust, Wells Fargo Variable Trust, Wells Fargo Master Trust, and four closed-end funds, including the Fund (collectively the Fund Complex). The mailing address of each Trustee and Officer is 525 Market Street, 12th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94105. The Board of Trustees is classified into three classes of which one is elected annually. Each Trustee serves a three-year term concurrent with the class from which the Trustee is elected. Each Officer serves an indefinite term.

Independent Trustees

Name and	Position held and		Current other public company or
year of birth	length of service	Principal occupations during past five years or longer	investment company directorships
William R. Ebsworth (Born 1957)	Trustee, since 2015	Retired. From 1984 to 2013, equities analyst, portfolio manager, research director and chief financial officer at Fidelity Management and Research Company in Boston, Tokyo, and Hong Kong and retired in 2013 as Chief Investment Officer of Fidelity Strategic Advisers, Inc. where he lead a team of investment professionals managing client assets. Prior thereto, Board	Asset Allocation Trust
	T	member of Hong Kong Securities Clearing Co., Hong Kong Options Clearing Corp., the Thailand International Fund, Ltd., Fidelity Investments Life Insurance Company, and Empire Fidelity Investments Life Insurance Company. Mr. Ebsworth is a CFA® charterholder and an Adjunct Lecturer, Finance, at Babson College.	
Jane A. Freeman (Born 1953)	Trustee, since 2015	Retired. From 2012 to 2014 and 1999 to 2008, Chief Financial Officer of Scientific Learning Corporation. From 2008 to 2012, Ms. Freeman provided consulting services related to strategic business projects. Prior to 1999, Portfolio Manager at Rockefeller & Co. and Scudder, Stevens & Clark. Board member of the Harding Loevner Funds from 1996 to 2014, serving as both Lead Independent Director and chair of the Audit Committee. Board member of the Russell Exchange Traded Funds Trust from 2011 to 2012 and the chair of the Audit Committee. Ms.	Asset Allocation Trust

		Freeman is a Board Member of Ruth Bancroft Garden (non-profit organization) and an inactive chartered financial analyst.	
Peter G. Gordon	Trustee, since 2010;	Co-Founder, Retired Chairman, President and CEO of Crystal Geyser Water Company. Trustee	Asset Allocation Trust
(Born 1942)	Chairman, since 2010	Emeritus, Colby College.	
Ìsaiah Harris, Jr.	Trustee, since 2010	Retired. Chairman of the Board of CIGNA Corporation since 2009, and Director since 2005.	CIGNA Corporation; Asset
(Born 1952)		From 2003 to 2011, Director of Deluxe Corporation. Prior thereto, President and CEO of BellSouth Advertising and Publishing Corp. from 2005 to 2007, President and CEO of BellSouth Enterprises from 2004 to 2005 and President of BellSouth Consumer Services from 2000 to 2003. Emeritus member of the Iowa State University Foundation Board of Governors. Emeritus Member of the Advisory Board of Iowa State University School of Business. Advisory Board Member, Palm Harbor Academy (charter school). Advisory Board Member, Child Evangelism Fellowship (non-profit). Mr. Harris is a certified	Allocation Trust
Judith M. Johnson	Trustee, since 2010;	public accountant (inactive status). Retired. Prior thereto, Chief Executive Officer and Chief Investment Officer of Minneapolis	Asset Allocation Trust
(Born 1949)	Audit Committee Chairman, since 2010	Employees Retirement Fund from 1996 to 2008. Ms. Johnson is an attorney, certified public accountant and a certified managerial accountant.	
David F. Larcker	Trustee, since 2010	James Irvin Miller Professor of Accounting at the Graduate School of Business, Stanford	Asset Allocation Trust
(Born 1950)		University, Director of the Corporate Governance Research Initiative and Senior Faculty of The Rock Center for Corporate Governance since 2006. From 2005 to 2008, Professor of Accounting at the Graduate School of Business, Stanford University. Prior thereto, Ernst & Young Professor of Accounting at The Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania from 1985 to 2005.	

Other information (unaudited)	Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fund 29
	Current other public

Name and	Position held and	Wens Turge Cumies und Trig	Current other public company or investment company	
year of birth	length of service	Principal occupations during past five years or longer	directorships	
Olivia S. Mitchell	Trustee, since	International Foundation of Employee Benefit Plans	Asset Allocation	
	2010	Professor, Wharton School of the University of	Trust	
(Born 1953)		Pennsylvania since 1993. Director of Wharton s Pension		
		Research Council and Boettner Center on Pensions &		
		Retirement Research, and Research Associate at the		
		National Bureau of Economic Research. Previously,		
		Cornell University Professor from 1978 to 1993.		
Timothy J. Penny	Trustee, since	President and Chief Executive Officer of Southern	Asset Allocation	
	2010	Minnesota Initiative Foundation, a non-profit	Trust	
(Born 1951)		organization, since 2007 and Senior Fellow at the		
		Humphrey Institute Policy Forum at the University of		
		Minnesota since 1995. Member of the Board of		
		Trustees of NorthStar Education Finance, Inc., a		
		non-profit organization, since 2007.		
Michael S. Scofield	Trustee, since	Served on the Investment Company Institute s Board o	fAsset Allocation	
	2004	Governors and Executive Committee from 2008-2011	Trust	
(Born 1943)		as well the Governing Council of the Independent		
		Directors Council from 2006-2011 and the Independent		
		Directors Council Executive Committee from		
		2008-2011. Chairman of the IDC from 2008-2010.		
		Institutional Investor (Fund Directions) Trustee of Year		
		in 2007. Trustee of the Evergreen Funds complex (and		
		its predecessors) from 1984 to 2010. Chairman of the		
		Evergreen Funds from 2000-2010. Former Trustee of		
		the Mentor Funds. Retired Attorney, Law Offices of		
		Michael S. Scofield.		

Officers

Name and		
	Position held and	
year of birth	length of service	Principal occupations during past five years or longer
Karla M. Rabusch	President, since 2010	Executive Vice President of Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. and
		President of Wells Fargo Funds Management, LLC since
(Born 1959)		2003.
Nancy Wiser ¹	Treasurer, since 2012	Executive Vice President of Wells Fargo Funds
		Management, LLC since 2011. Chief Operating Officer
(Born 1967)		and Chief Compliance Officer at LightBox Capital
		Management LLC, from 2008 to 2011.
C. David Messman		

(Born 1960)	Secretary, since 2010; Chief Legal Officer, since 2010	Senior Vice President and Secretary of Wells Fargo Funds Management, LLC since 2001. Assistant General Counsel of Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. since 2013 and Vice President and Managing Counsel of Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. from 1996 to 2013.
Michael Whitaker	Chief Compliance Officer, since 2016*	Executive Vice President of Wells Fargo Funds Management, LLC since 2016. Chief Compliance Officer
(Born 1967)	2010	of Fidelity s Fixed Income Funds and Asset Allocation Funds from 2008 to 2016, Compliance Officer of FMR Co., Inc. from 2014 to 2016, Fidelity Investments Money Management, Inc. from 2014 to 2016, Fidelity Investments from 2007 to 2016.
David Berardi	Assistant Treasurer, since 2009	Vice President of Wells Fargo Funds Management, LLC since 2009. Vice President of Evergreen Investment
(Born 1975)		Management Company, LLC from 2008 to 2010. Manager of Fund Reporting and Control for Evergreen Investment Management Company, LLC from 2004 to 2010.
Jeremy DePalma ¹	Assistant Treasurer, since 2005	Senior Vice President of Wells Fargo Funds Management, LLC since 2009. Senior Vice President of
(Born 1974)	2000	Evergreen Investment Management Company, LLC from 2008 to 2010 and head of the Fund Reporting and Control Team within Fund Administration from 2005 to 2010.

¹Nancy Wiser acts as Treasurer of 71 funds in the Fund Complex. Jeremy DePalma acts as Treasurer of 68 funds and Assistant Treasurer of 71 funds in the Fund Complex.

^{*}Michael Whitaker became Chief Compliance Officer effective May 16, 2016.

30 Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fund Other information (unaudited) BOARD CONSIDERATION OF INVESTMENT ADVISORY AND SUB-ADVISORY AGREEMENTS:

Under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the 1940 Act), the Board of Trustees (the Board) of Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fund (the Fund) must determine whether to approve the continuation of the Fund s investment advisory and sub-advisory agreements. In this regard, at an in-person meeting held on May 24-25, 2016 (the Meeting), the Board, all the members of which have no direct or indirect interest in the investment advisory and sub-advisory agreements and are not interested persons of the Fund, as defined in the 1940 Act (the Independent Trustees), reviewed and approved: (i) an investment advisory agreement with Wells Fargo Funds Management, LLC (Funds Management), (ii) an investment sub-advisory agreement with Wells Capital Management Incorporated (WellsCap), an affiliate of Funds Management; and (iii) an investment sub-advisory agreement with Crow Point Partners, LLC (Crow Point). The investment advisory agreement with Funds Management and the investment sub-advisory agreements with WellsCap and Crow Point (each, a Sub-Adviser and together, the Sub-Advisers) are collectively referred to as the Advisory Agreements.

At the Meeting, the Board considered the factors and reached the conclusions described below relating to the selection of Funds Management and the Sub-Advisers and the continuation of the Advisory Agreements. Prior to the Meeting, including at an in-person meeting in April 2016, the Trustees conferred extensively among themselves and with representatives of Funds Management about these matters. Also, the Board has adopted a team-based approach, with each team consisting of a sub-set of Trustees, to assist the full Board in the discharge of its duties in reviewing performance and other matters throughout the year. The Independent Trustees were assisted in their evaluation of the Advisory Agreements by independent legal counsel, from whom they received separate legal advice and with whom they met separately.

In providing information to the Board, Funds Management and the Sub-Advisers were guided by a detailed set of requests for information submitted to them by independent legal counsel on behalf of the Independent Trustees at the start of the Board s annual contract renewal process earlier in 2016. In considering and approving the Advisory Agreements, the Trustees considered the information they believed relevant, including but not limited to the information discussed below. The Board considered not only the specific information presented in connection with the Meeting, but also the knowledge gained over time through interaction with Funds Management and the Sub-Advisers about various topics. In this regard, the Board reviewed reports of Funds Management at each of its quarterly meetings, which included, among other things, portfolio reviews and performance reports. In addition, the Board and the teams mentioned above confer with portfolio managers at various times throughout the year. The Board did not identify any particular information or consideration that was all-important or controlling, and each individual Trustee may have attributed different weights to various factors.

After its deliberations, the Board unanimously approved the continuation of the Advisory Agreements and determined that the compensation payable to Funds Management and the Sub-Advisers is reasonable. The Board considered the continuation of the Advisory Agreements for the Fund as part of its consideration of agreements for funds across the complex, but its approvals were made on a fund-by-fund basis. The following summarizes a number of important, but not necessarily all, factors considered by the Board in support of its approvals.

Nature, extent and quality of services

The Board received and considered various information regarding the nature, extent and quality of services provided to the Fund by Funds Management and the Sub-Advisers under the Advisory Agreements. This information included,

among other things, a summary of the background and experience of senior management of Funds Management, and the qualifications, background, tenure and responsibilities of each of the portfolio managers primarily responsible for the day-to-day portfolio management of the Fund.

The Board evaluated the ability of Funds Management and the Sub-Advisers to attract and retain qualified investment professionals, including research, advisory and supervisory personnel. The Board further considered the compliance programs and compliance records of Funds Management and the Sub-Advisers. In addition, the Board took into account the full range of services provided to the Fund by Funds Management and its affiliates.

Fund performance and expenses

The Board considered the performance results for the Fund over various time periods ended December 31, 2015. The Board considered these results in comparison to the performance of funds in a custom peer group that included funds selected by Broadridge Inc. (Broadridge) and additional funds that were determined by Funds Management to be similar to the Fund (the Custom Peer Group), and in comparison to the Fund s benchmark index and to other comparative data. The Board received a description of the methodology used by Broadridge and Funds Management to

Other information (unaudited)

Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fund 31 select the funds in the Custom Peer Group and discussed the limitations inherent in the use of other peer groups. The Board noted that the performance of the Fund was higher than or in range of the average performance of the Custom Peer Group for all periods under review except the five- and ten-year period. The Board also noted that the performance of the Fund was higher than or in range of its benchmark, the ERH Blended Index, which is a proprietary index used by the Board to help it assess the Fund s relative performance, for all periods under review except the five- and ten-year period.

The Board also received and considered information regarding the Funds net operating expense ratio and its various components, including actual management fees, and custodian and other non-management fees. The Board considered this ratio in comparison to the median ratio of funds in an expense group that was determined by Broadridge to be similar to the Fund (the Group). Broadridge is an independent provider of investment company data. The Board received a description of the methodology used by Broadridge to select the funds in the expense Group and an explanation of how funds comprising expense groups and their expense ratios may vary from year-to-year. Based on the Broadridge reports, the Board noted that the net operating expense ratio of the Fund was lower than the median net operating expense ratio of the expense Group.

The Board took into account the Fund performance and expense information provided to it among the factors considered in deciding to re-approve the Advisory Agreements.

Investment advisory and sub-advisory fee rates

The Board reviewed and considered the contractual investment advisory fee rate that is payable by the Fund to Funds Management for investment advisory services (the Advisory Agreement Rate), both on a stand-alone basis and on a combined basis with the Fund's contractual administration fee rate (the Management Rate). The Board also reviewed and considered the contractual investment sub-advisory fee rates that are payable by Funds Management to each of the Sub-Advisers for investment sub-advisory services (the Sub-Advisory Agreement Rate).

Among other information reviewed by the Board was a comparison of the Management Rate of the Fund with those of other funds in the expense Group at a common asset level. The Board noted that the Management Rate of the Fund was lower than the average rate for the Fund sexpense Group.

The Board also received and considered information about the portion of the total advisory fee that was retained by Funds Management after payment of the fee to the Sub-Advisers for sub-advisory services. In assessing the reasonableness of this amount, the Board received and evaluated information about the nature and extent of responsibilities retained and risks assumed by Funds Management and not delegated to or assumed by the Sub-Advisers, and about Funds Management s on-going oversight services. However, given the affiliation between Funds Management and WellsCap, the Board ascribed limited relevance to the allocation of the advisory fee between them. The Board also considered that the sub-advisory fees paid to Crow Point had been negotiated by Funds Management on an arm s-length basis.

Based on its consideration of the factors and information it deemed relevant, including those described here, the Board determined that the Advisory Agreement Rate and each Sub-Advisory Agreement Rate was reasonable, in light of the services covered by the Advisory Agreements.

Profitability

The Board received and considered information concerning the profitability of Funds Management, as well as the profitability of Wells Fargo as a whole, from providing services to the Fund and the fund family as a whole. The Board also received and considered information concerning the profitability of WellsCap from providing services to the fund family as a whole, noting that WellsCap s profitability information with respect to providing services to the Fund was subsumed in the Wells Fargo and Funds Management profitability analysis. The Board did not consider profitability with respect to Crow Point, as the sub-advisory fees paid to Crow Point had been negotiated by Funds Management on an arm s-length basis.

Funds Management reported on the methodologies and estimates used in calculating profitability. Among other things, the Board noted that the levels of profitability reported on a fund-by-fund basis varied widely, depending on factors such as the size and type of fund. Based on its review, the Board did not deem the profits reported by Funds Management or Wells Fargo from its services to the Fund to be at a level that would prevent it from approving the continuation of the Advisory Agreements.

Economies of scale

The Board considered the extent to which there may be sharing with the Fund of potential economies of scale in the provision of advisory services to the Fund. The Board noted that, as is typical of closed-end funds, there are no breakpoints in the Management Rate. Although the Fund would not share in any potential economies of scale through

32 Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fund Other information (unaudited) contractual breakpoints, the Board noted that fee waiver and expense reimbursement arrangements and competitive fee rates at the outset are means of sharing potential economies of scale with shareholders of the Fund and the fund family as a whole. The Board concluded that the Fund s fee waiver and expense arrangements constituted a reasonable approach to sharing potential economies of scale with the Fund and its shareholders. The Board also noted that it would have opportunities to revisit the Management Rate as part of future contract reviews.

Other benefits to Funds Management and the Sub-Advisers

The Board received and considered information regarding potential fall-out or ancillary benefits received by Funds Management and its affiliates, including WellsCap, and Crow Point as a result of their relationships with the Fund. Ancillary benefits could include, among others, benefits directly attributable to other relationships with the Fund and benefits potentially derived from an increase in Funds Management s and the Sub-Advisers business as a result of their relationships with the Fund. The Board also reviewed information about soft dollar credits earned and utilized by the Sub-Advisers and commissions earned by affiliated brokers from portfolio transactions.

Based on its consideration of the factors and information it deemed relevant, including those described here, the Board did not find that any ancillary benefits received by Funds Management and its affiliates, including WellsCap, or Crow Point were unreasonable.

Conclusion

At the Meeting, after considering the above-described factors and based on its deliberations and its evaluation of the information described above, the Board unanimously approved the continuation of the Advisory Agreements for an additional one-year period and determined that the compensation payable to Funds Management and the Sub-Advisers is reasonable.

Automatic dividend reinvestment plan AUTOMATIC DIVIDEND REINVESTMENT PLAN Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fund 33

All common shareholders are eligible to participate in the Automatic Dividend Reinvestment Plan (the Plan). Pursuant to the Plan, unless a common shareholder is ineligible or elects otherwise, all cash dividends and capital gains distributions are automatically reinvested by Computershare Trust Company, N.A., as agent for shareholders in administering the Plan (Plan Agent), in additional common shares of the Fund. Whenever the Fund declares an ordinary income dividend or a capital gain dividend (collectively referred to as dividends) payable either in shares or in cash, nonparticipants in the Plan will receive cash, and participants in the Plan will receive the equivalent in common shares. The shares are acquired by the Plan Agent for the participant s account, depending upon the circumstances described below, either (i) through receipt of additional unissued but authorized common shares from the Fund (newly issued common shares) or (ii) by purchase of outstanding common shares on the open-market (open-market purchases) on the NYSE Amex or elsewhere. If, on the payment date for any dividend or distribution, the net asset value per share of the common shares is equal to or less than the market price per common share plus estimated brokerage commissions (market premium), the Plan Agent will invest the amount of such dividend or distribution in newly issued shares on behalf of the participant. The number of newly issued common shares to be credited to the participant s account will be determined by dividing the dollar amount of the dividend by the net asset value per share on the date the shares are issued, provided that the maximum discount from the then current market price per share on the date of issuance may not exceed 5%. If on the dividend payment date the net asset value per share is greater than the market value (market discount), the Plan Agent will invest the dividend amount in shares acquired on behalf of the participant in open-market purchases. There will be no brokerage charges with respect to shares issued directly by the Fund as a result of dividends or capital gains distributions payable either in shares or in cash. However, each participant will pay a pro rata share of brokerage commissions incurred with respect to the Plan Agent s open-market purchases in connection with the reinvestment of dividends. The automatic reinvestment of dividends and distributions will not relieve participants of any federal, state or local income tax that may be payable (or required to be withheld) on such dividends. All correspondence concerning the Plan should be directed to the Plan Agent at P.O. Box 30170, College Station, Texas 77842-3170 or by calling 1-800-730-6001.

34 Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fund

List of abbreviations

The following is a list of common abbreviations for terms and entities that may have appeared in this report.

ACA ACA Financial Guaranty Co.	rporation
--------------------------------	-----------

American depositary receipt **ADR** American depositary shares **ADS AGC Assured Guaranty Corporation** Assured Guaranty Municipal **AGM**

Ambac Financial Group Incorporated Ambac

Alternative minimum tax **AMT**

AUD Australian dollar

BAN Bond anticipation notes

BHAC Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corporation

BRL Brazilian real

Capital appreciation bond **CAB**

Canadian dollar **CAD**

CCAB Convertible capital appreciation bond **CDA** Community Development Authority

Collateralized debt obligation **CDO**

CHF Swiss franc **COP** Colombian peso CLP Chilean peso DKK Danish krone

DRIVER Derivative inverse tax-exempt receipts

DW&P Department of Water & Power Department of Water Resources DWR

ECFA Educational & Cultural Facilities Authority

EDA Economic Development Authority

Economic Development Finance Authority EDFA

Exchange-traded fund **ETF**

EUR Euro

FDIC Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation

FFCB Federal Farm Credit Banks

Financial Guaranty Insurance Corporation **FGIC**

FHA Federal Housing Administration Federal Home Loan Bank **FHLB**

FHLMC Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation

The Financing Corporation **FICO**

Federal National Mortgage Association **FNMA**

FSA Farm Service Agency **GBP** Great British pound Global depositary receipt **GDR**

GNMA Government National Mortgage Association

GO General obligation

HCFR Healthcare facilities revenue

HEFA Health & Educational Facilities Authority HEFAR Higher education facilities authority revenue

HFA Housing Finance Authority

HFFA Health Facilities Financing Authority

HKD Hong Kong dollar

HUD Department of Housing and Urban Development

HUF Hungarian forint

IDA Industrial Development AuthorityIDAG Industrial Development Agency

IDR Indonesian rupiah IEP Irish pound JPY Japanese yen

KRW Republic of Korea won

LIBOR London Interbank Offered Rate

LIFER Long Inverse Floating Exempt Receipts

LIQ Liquidity agreement
LLC Limited liability company

LLLP Limited liability limited partnership

LLP Limited liability partnership

LOC Letter of credit LP Limited partnership

MBIA Municipal Bond Insurance Association

MFHR Multifamily housing revenue MSTR Municipal securities trust receipts

MTN Medium-term note
MUD Municipal Utility District

MXN Mexican peso MYR Malaysian ringgit

National National Public Finance Guarantee Corporation

NGN Nigerian naira NOK Norwegian krone NZD New Zealand dollar

PCFA Pollution Control Financing Authority

PCL Public Company Limited PCR Pollution control revenue PFA Public Finance Authority

PFFA Public Facilities Financing Authority
PFOTER Puttable floating option tax-exempt receipts

plc Public limited company

PLN Polish zloty

PUTTER Puttable tax-exempt receipts
R&D Research & development
Radian Asset Assurance
RAN Revenue anticipation notes
RDA Redevelopment Authority

RDFA Redevelopment Finance Authority

REIT Real estate investment trust ROC Reset option certificates

RON Romanian lei RUB Russian ruble

SAVRS Select auction variable rate securities

SBA Small Business Authority SDR Swedish depositary receipt

SEK Swedish krona

SFHR Single-family housing revenue SFMR Single-family mortgage revenue

SGD Singapore dollar

SPA Standby purchase agreement

SPDR Standard & Poor s Depositary Receipts
SPEAR Short Puttable Exempt Adjustable Receipts
STRIPS Separate trading of registered interest and

principal securities

TAN Tax anticipation notes
TBA To be announced

THB Thai baht

TIPS Treasury inflation-protected securities

TRAN Tax revenue anticipation notes

TRY Turkish lira

TTFA Transportation Trust Fund Authority

TVA Tennessee Valley Authority

ZAR South African rand

This page is intentionally left blank.

This page is intentionally left blank.

Transfer Agent, Registrar, Shareholder Servicing

Agent & Dividend Disbursing Agent

Computershare Trust Company, N.A.

P.O. Box 30170

College Station, TX 77842-3170

1-800-730-6001

Website: wellsfargofunds.com

Wells Fargo Funds Management, LLC, is a subsidiary of Wells Fargo & Company and is an affiliate of Wells Fargo & Company s broker/dealer subsidiaries. Certain material contained in this report may be considered marketing material and has been reviewed by Wells Fargo Funds Distributor, LLC, Member FINRA, an affiliate of Wells Fargo & Company.

NOT FDIC INSURED | NO BANK GUARANTEE | MAY LOSE VALUE

© 2016 Wells Fargo Funds Management, LLC. All rights reserved.

245787 10-16

AUHIF/AR134 08-16

ITEM 2. CODE OF ETHICS

- (a) As of the end of the period covered by the report, Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fund has adopted a code of ethics that applies to its President and Treasurer. A copy of the code of ethics is filed as an exhibit to this Form N-CSR.
- (c) During the period covered by this report, there were no amendments to the provisions of the code of ethics adopted in Item 2(a) above.
- (d) During the period covered by this report, there were no implicit or explicit waivers to the provisions of the code of ethics adopted in Item 2(a) above.

ITEM 3. AUDIT COMMITTEE FINANCIAL EXPERT

The Board of Trustees of Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fund has determined that Judith Johnson is an audit committee financial expert, as defined in Item 3 of Form N-CSR. Mrs. Johnson is independent for purposes of Item 3 of Form N-CSR.

ITEM 4. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

(a), (b), (c), (d) The following table presents aggregate fees billed in each of the last two fiscal years for services rendered to the Registrant by the Registrant s principal accountant. These fees were billed to the registrant and were approved by the Registrant s audit committee.

	Fiscal year ended August 31, 2016		Fiscal year ended August 31, 2015	
Audit fees	\$	51,154	\$	49,900
Audit-related fees				
Tax fees (1)		3,945		4,030
All other fees				
	\$	55,099	\$	53,930

⁽¹⁾ Tax fees consist of fees for tax compliance, tax advice, tax planning and excise tax.

⁽e) The Chairman of the Audit Committees is authorized to pre-approve: (1) audit services for the Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fund; (2) non-audit tax or compliance consulting or training services provided to the Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fund by the independent auditors (Auditors) if the fees for any particular engagement are not anticipated to exceed \$50,000; and (3) non-audit tax or compliance consulting or training services provided by the Auditors to Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fund s investment adviser and its controlling entities (where pre-approval is required because the engagement relates directly to the operations and financial reporting of the Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fund) if the fee to the Auditors for any particular engagement is not anticipated to exceed \$50,000. For any such pre-approval sought from the Chairman, Management shall prepare a brief description

of the proposed services. If the Chairman approves of such service, he or she shall sign the statement prepared by Management. Such written statement shall be presented to the full Committees at their next regularly scheduled meetings.

(f) Not applicable

(g) Not applicable

(h) Not applicable

1

ITEM 5. AUDIT COMMITTEE OF LISTED REGISTRANTS

Not applicable.

ITEM 6. INVESTMENTS

Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fund included a Summary Portfolio of Investments under Item 1. A Portfolio of Investments for Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fund is filed under this Item.

2

Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fund 1

Security name	Shares	Value
Common Stocks: 66.82%		
Energy: 2.30%		
Oil, Gas & Consumable Fuels: 2.30% Spectra Energy Corporation Swift Energy Company (i)	75,000 1,214	\$ 2,671,500 33,385 2,704,885
Telecommunication Services: 11.81%		
Diversified Telecommunication Services: 9.85% CenturyLink Incorporated # Chunghwa Telecom Company Limited ADR Orange SA Verizon Communications Incorporated	75,000 120,000 200,000 41,291	2,085,000 4,302,000 3,054,103 2,160,758 11,601,861
Wireless Telecommunication Services: 1.96% Shenandoah Telecommunications Company	90,000	2,314,800
Utilities: 52.71%		
Electric Utilities: 39.91% Alliant Energy Corporation American Electric Power Company Incorporated Chesapeake Utilities Corporation Duke Energy Corporation Edison International Endesa SA Enel SpA Entergy Corporation Eversource Energy Exelon Corporation Great Plains Energy Incorporated IDACORP Incorporated NextEra Energy Incorporated	8,000 70,000 300 30,514 60,000 180,000 1,225,000 1,000 90,000 16,000 100,000 25,000 35,000	303,600 4,519,900 19,095 2,430,745 4,363,200 3,666,262 5,408,316 78,200 4,857,300 544,000 2,716,000 1,901,750 4,232,900
Tion 2. of Theorpe week	33,000	.,232,700

PNM Resources Incorporated Red Electrica Corporacion SA Spark Energy Incorporated Class A Terna SpA	75,000 240,000 36,700 650,000	2,384,250 5,168,104 1,063,933 3,358,398
		47,015,953
Gas Utilities: 4.39%		
Enagas SA	175,000	5,138,740
New Jersey Resources Corporation	400	13,456
South Jersey Industries Incorporated	400	11,872
		5,164,068
Multi-Utilities: 5.27%		
CenterPoint Energy Incorporated	50,000	1,123,500
Dominion Resources Incorporated	300	22,248
Hera SpA	300,000	831,234

2 Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fund

Portfolio of investments August 31, 2016

Security name			Shares	Value
Multi-Utilities (continued) MDU Resources Group Incorporated Public Service Enterprise Group Incorporated Sempra Energy			500 50,000 19,900	\$ 11,785 2,138,000 2,082,137 6,208,904
Water Utilities: 3.14% American Water Works Company Incorporated			50,000	3,699,500
Total Common Stocks (Cost \$60,295,682)				78,709,971
Corporate Bonds and Notes: 30.77% Consumer Discretionary: 5.94%	Interest rate	Maturity date	Principal	
Auto Components: 0.20% Cooper Tire & Rubber Company (i) Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company	7.63% 7.00	3-15-2027 5-15-2022	\$ 190,000 25,000	208,525 26,625 235,150
Distributors: 0.09% LKQ Corporation	4.75	5-15-2023	100,000	101,000
Diversified Consumer Services: 0.41% Service Corporation International Service Corporation International Service Corporation International	7.50 7.63 8.00	4-1-2027 10-1-2018 11-15-2021	351,000 25,000 40,000	410,670 27,970 47,300 485,940
Hotels, Restaurants & Leisure: 1.12% CCM Merger Incorporated 144A Greektown Holdings LLC 144A	9.13 8.88	5-1-2019 3-15-2019	465,000 675,000	487,669 712,125

Edgar Filing: WELLS FARGO UTILITIES & HIGH INCOME FUND - Form N-CSR

Hilton Worldwide Finance LLC Speedway Motorsports Incorporated	5.63 5.13	10-15-2021 2-1-2023	15,000 100,000	15,516 103,250
				1,318,560
Household Durables: 0.21%				
	7.38	12-1-2021	150,000	156 562
American Greetings Corporation			,	156,563
Tempur Sealy International Incorporated 144A	5.50	6-15-2026	75,000	78,338
Tempur Sealy International Incorporated	5.63	10-15-2023	10,000	10,500
				245,401
Leisure Products: 0.04%				
Vista Outdoor Incorporated 144A	5.88	10-1-2023	40,000	42,100
Media: 2.94%				
Altice US Finance I Corporation 144A	5.38	7-15-2023	200,000	209,250
Altice US Finance I Corporation 144A	5.50	5-15-2026	100,000	105,176
Cablevision Systems Corporation	8.63	9-15-2017	145,000	154,099
Carte i a javonia Corporation	0.05) 10 2 017	1 12,000	10 1,000

Portfolio of investments August 31, 2016

Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fund 3

Security name	Interest rate	Maturity date	Principal	Value
Media (continued)				
CCO Holdings LLC	5.13%	2-15-2023	\$ 50,000	\$ 52,500
CCO Holdings LLC 144A	5.13	5-1-2023	135,000	142,046
CCO Holdings LLC	5.25	9-30-2022	90,000	94,500
CCO Holdings LLC 144A	5.38	5-1-2025	335,000	353,006
CCO Holdings LLC 144A	5.50	5-1-2026	5,000	5,294
CCO Holdings LLC 144A	5.75	2-15-2026	275,000	294,250
CCO Holdings LLC 144A	5.88	4-1-2024	125,000	134,688
CCO Holdings LLC	6.63	1-31-2022	50,000	52,625
Cequel Communications Holdings I LLC				
144A	7.75	7-15-2025	100,000	109,250
CSC Holdings LLC	7.88	2-15-2018	75,000	81,000
CSC Holdings LLC	8.63	2-15-2019	125,000	140,000
EMI Music Publishing 144A	7.63	6-15-2024	50,000	54,500
Gray Television Incorporated 144A	5.88	7-15-2026	75,000	78,188
Gray Television Incorporated	7.50	10-1-2020	375,000	390,000
Lamar Media Corporation	5.88	2-1-2022	75,000	78,750
LIN Television Corporation	6.38	1-15-2021	25,000	26,125
Live Nation Entertainment Incorporated 144A	7.00	9-1-2020	50,000	51,938
National CineMedia LLC 144A	5.75	8-15-2026	100,000	102,625
National CineMedia LLC	6.00	4-15-2022	225,000	235,125
National CineMedia LLC	7.88	7-15-2021	100,000	104,000
Nexstar Broadcasting Group Incorporated				
144A	5.63	8-1-2024	25,000	25,500
Nexstar Broadcasting Group Incorporated				
144A	6.13	2-15-2022	150,000	155,250
Nexstar Broadcasting Group Incorporated	6.88	11-15-2020	145,000	151,163
Outfront Media Capital Corporation	5.25	2-15-2022	15,000	15,713
Outfront Media Capital Corporation	5.88	3-15-2025	65,000	69,550
				3,466,111
Specialty Retail: 0.85%				
ABC Supply Company Incorporated 144A	5.63	4-15-2021	40,000	41,500
ABC Supply Company Incorporated 144A	5.75	12-15-2023	50,000	52,875
Asbury Automotive Group Incorporated	6.00	12-15-2024	175,000	182,000
, Ilmonionie Group Incorporateu	9.75	2-15-2019	15,000	15,338

Century Intermediate Holding Company (PIK				
at 10.50%) $144A$ ¥(i)				
Penske Auto Group Incorporated	5.38	12-1-2024	300,000	305,250
Penske Auto Group Incorporated	5.50	5-15-2026	25,000	25,016
Penske Auto Group Incorporated	5.75	10-1-2022	99,000	102,713
Sonic Automotive Incorporated	5.00	5-15-2023	70,000	69,650
Sonic Automotive Incorporated	7.00	7-15-2022	200,000	211,500
				1,005,842
Textiles, Apparel & Luxury Goods: 0.08%				
Wolverine World Wide Company 144A	5.00	9-1-2026	50,000	50,063
Wolverine World Wide Company	6.13	10-15-2020	45,000	46,463
				96,526
Consumer Staples: 0.50%				
Beverages: 0.10%				
Cott Beverages Incorporated	5.38	7-1-2022	50,000	51,625
Cott Beverages Incorporated	6.75	1-1-2020	60,000	62,850
				114,475

4 Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fund

Portfolio of investments August 31, 2016

Security name	Interest rate	Maturity date	Principal	Value
Food Products: 0.38%				
B&G Foods Incorporated	4.63%	6-1-2021	\$ 30,000	\$ 30,938
Darling Ingredients Incorporated	5.38	1-15-2022	15,000	15,750
Pilgrim s Pride Corporation 144A	5.75	3-15-2025	85,000	87,763
Pinnacle Foods Incorporated 144A	5.63	5-1-2024	25,000	25,500
Post Holdings Incorporated 144A	5.00	8-15-2026	75,000	74,813
Prestige Brands Incorporated 144A	6.38	3-1-2024	10,000	10,675
Simmons Foods Incorporated 144A	7.88	10-1-2021	175,000	172,813
US Foods Incorporated 144A	5.88	6-15-2024	30,000	31,425
·				440 677
				449,677
Household Products: 0.02%				
Central Garden & Pet Company	6.13	11-15-2023	20,000	21,475
Energy: 7.43%				
Energy Equipment & Services: 2.42%				
Bristow Group Incorporated	6.25	10-15-2022	400,000	289,000
Cleaver Brooks Incorporated 144A	8.75	12-15-2019	40,000	41,850
Era Group Incorporated	7.75	12-15-2022	219,000	184,508
Hilcorp Energy Company 144A	5.00	12-1-2024	100,000	97,000
Hilcorp Energy Company 144A	5.75	10-1-2025	100,000	98,000
Hilcorp Energy Company 144A	7.63	4-15-2021	25,000	25,688
Holly Energy Partners LP 144A	6.00	8-1-2024	50,000	51,000
Hornbeck Offshore Services Incorporated	1.50	9-1-2019	175,000	91,547
Hornbeck Offshore Services Incorporated	5.00	3-1-2021	325,000	186,063
Hornbeck Offshore Services Incorporated	5.88	4-1-2020	235,000	143,350
NGPL PipeCo LLC 144A	7.12	12-15-2017	190,000	197,838
NGPL PipeCo LLC 144A	7.77	12-15-2037	950,000	1,016,500
NGPL PipeCo LLC 144A	9.63	6-1-2019	35,000	36,575
PHI Incorporated	5.25	3-15-2019	405,000	385,763
				2,844,682
01 C 9 C				
Oil, Gas & Consumable Fuels: 5.01% Continental Resources Incorporated	5.00	9-15-2022	100,000	96,750
Commemui Resources Incorporateu	5.00	9-13-2022	100,000	90,730

Edgar Filing: WELLS FARGO UTILITIES & HIGH INCOME FUND - Form N-CSR

Crestwood Midstream Partners LP	6.25	4-1-2023	100,000	97,500
Denbury Resources Incorporated	4.63	7-15-2023	375,000	241,875
Denbury Resources Incorporated	5.50	5-1-2022	30,000	20,550
Denbury Resources Incorporated	6.38	8-15-2021	160,000	117,200
El Paso Pipeline Partners Operating LLC	5.00	10-1-2021	125,000	135,786
Enable Midstream Partner LP	2.40	5-15-2019	275,000	268,644
Enable Midstream Partner LP	3.90	5-15-2024	150,000	141,137
Enable Midstream Partner LP	5.00	5-15-2044	25,000	21,195
Enable Oklahoma Intrastate Transmission				
LLC 144A	6.25	3-15-2020	50,000	53,159
Enlink Midstream LLC	4.15	6-1-2025	225,000	213,050
Enlink Midstream LLC	4.40	4-1-2024	250,000	242,995
Exterran Partners LP	6.00	4-1-2021	200,000	187,500
Kinder Morgan Incorporated	5.55	6-1-2045	75,000	77,378
Kinder Morgan Incorporated	6.50	9-15-2020	45,000	50,281
Kinder Morgan Incorporated	7.00	6-15-2017	75,000	77,844
Kinder Morgan Incorporated (i)	7.42	2-15-2037	90,000	97,434

Portfolio of investments August 31, 2016

Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fund 5

Security name	Interest rate	Maturity date	Principal	Value
Oil, Gas & Consumable Fuels (continued)				
Kinder Morgan Incorporated	7.80%	8-1-2031	\$ 100,000	\$ 122,310
Overseas Shipholding Group Incorporated	8.13	3-30-2018	175,000	180,250
Rockies Express Pipeline LLC 144A	5.63	4-15-2020	325,000	340,031
Rockies Express Pipeline LLC 144A	6.88	4-15-2040	425,000	429,250
Rockies Express Pipeline LLC 144A	7.50	7-15-2038	205,000	212,175
Rose Rock Midstream LP	5.63	7-15-2022	100,000	92,000
Rose Rock Midstream LP	5.63	11-15-2023	75,000	68,250
Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation (i)(s)	7.25	6-15-2019	50,000	125
Sabine Oil & Gas Corporation (i)(s)	7.50	9-15-2020	260,000	650
Sabine Pass Liquefaction LLC	5.63	2-1-2021	125,000	131,250
Sabine Pass Liquefaction LLC	5.63	4-15-2023	165,000	173,663
Sabine Pass Liquefaction LLC	5.63	3-1-2025	50,000	52,875
Sabine Pass Liquefaction LLC	5.75	5-15-2024	50,000	53,125
Sabine Pass Liquefaction LLC 144A	5.88	6-30-2026	75,000	80,250
Sabine Pass Liquefaction LLC	6.25	3-15-2022	200,000	214,000
Sabine Pass LNG LP	6.50	11-1-2020	320,000	332,000
Sabine Pass LNG LP	7.50	11-30-2016	100,000	101,375
SemGroup Corporation	7.50	6-15-2021	250,000	251,250
Southwestern Energy Company	4.10	3-15-2022	75,000	68,250
Suburban Propane Partners LP	7.38	8-1-2021	26,000	27,040
Swift Energy Company (a)(i)(s)	7.13	6-1-2017	422,000	0
Swift Energy Company $(a)(i)(s)$	8.88	1-15-2020	75,000	0
Tallgrass Energy Partners LP 144A%%	5.50	9-15-2024	175,000	179,375
Tesoro Logistics LP	6.13	10-15-2021	25,000	26,188
Tesoro Logistics LP	6.38	5-1-2024	25,000	26,688
Ultra Petroleum Corporation (s)144A	5.75	12-15-2018	35,000	29,050
Ultra Petroleum Corporation (s)144A	6.13	10-1-2024	550,000	448,250
Valvoline Incorporated 144A	5.50	7-15-2024	25,000	26,238
Western Gas Partners LP	3.95	6-1-2025	25,000	24,582
Western Gas Partners LP	5.38	6-1-2021	25,000	27,152
Western Gas Partners LP	5.45	4-1-2044	50,000	49,200

5,907,120

Financials: 4.83%

Banks: 0.30%

Edgar Filing: WELLS FARGO UTILITIES & HIGH INCOME FUND - Form N-CSR

CIT Group Incorporated 144A CIT Group Incorporated 144A	5.50 6.63	2-15-2019 4-1-2018	100,000 231,000	105,875 246,131 352,006
Capital Markets: 0.27% Jefferies Finance LLC 144A	6.88	4-15-2022	350,000	317,625
Consumer Finance: 1.30%				
Ally Financial Incorporated	8.00	12-31-2018	75,000	83,344
Ally Financial Incorporated	8.00	3-15-2020	203,000	233,450
Ford Motor Credit Company LLC	8.00	12-15-2016	25,000	25,485
Navient Corporation	8.00	3-25-2020	175,000	189,875
SLM Corporation	8.45	6-15-2018	125,000	136,250
Springleaf Finance Corporation	5.75	9-15-2016	50,000	50,000

6 Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fund

Portfolio of investments August 31, 2016

Security name	Interest rate	Maturity date	Principal	Value
Consumer Finance (continued)				
Springleaf Finance Corporation	6.00%	6-1-2020	\$ 175,000	\$ 181,125
Springleaf Finance Corporation	6.50	9-15-2017	50,000	52,000
Springleaf Finance Corporation	6.90	12-15-2017	243,000	256,365
Springleaf Finance Corporation	7.75	10-1-2021	125,000	131,250
Springleaf Finance Corporation	8.25	12-15-2020	25,000	27,313
Springleaf Finance Corporation	8.25	10-1-2023	150,000	157,500
				1,523,957
Diversified Financial Services: 0.52%	7	10 17 2020	207.000	200 255
Denali Borrower LLC 144A	5.63	10-15-2020	295,000	308,275
Infinity Acquisition LLC 144A(i)	7.25	8-1-2022	125,000	109,375
NewStar Financial Incorporated	7.25	5-1-2020	200,000	196,000
				613,650
Insurance: 0.43%				
Hub Holdings LLC (PIK at 8.88%) 144A¥	8.13	7-15-2019	175,000	170,625
Hub International Limited 144A	7.88	10-1-2021	325,000	332,313
				502,938
Real Estate Management & Development:				
0.30%				
Onex Corporation 144A	7.75	1-15-2021	350,000	357,000
REITs: 1.71%				
Crown Castle International Corporation	4.88	4-15-2022	35,000	39,052
Crown Castle International Corporation	5.25	1-15-2023	70,000	79,932
DuPont Fabros Technology Incorporated LP	5.63	6-15-2023	225,000	235,688
DuPont Fabros Technology Incorporated LP	5.88	9-15-2021	340,000	355,725
Equinix Incorporated	5.88	1-15-2026	25,000	27,234
ESH Hospitality Incorporated 144A	5.25	5-1-2025	275,000	274,915
Iron Mountain Incorporated 144A	4.38	6-1-2021	125,000	129,063
Iron Mountain Incorporated 144A	5.38	6-1-2026	100,000	102,000

Edgar Filing: WELLS FARGO UTILITIES & HIGH INCOME FUND - Form N-CSR

Iron Mountain Incorporated 144A	6.00	10-1-2020	20,000	21,250
Iron Mountain Incorporated	6.00	8-15-2023	267,000	285,023
Sabra Health Care Incorporated	5.38	6-1-2023	75,000	76,406
Sabra Health Care Incorporated	5.50	2-1-2021	105,000	110,250
The Geo Group Incorporated	5.13	4-1-2023	45,000	40,163
The Geo Group Incorporated	5.88	1-15-2022	135,000	127,575
The Geo Group Incorporated	5.88	10-15-2024	100,000	90,000
The Geo Group Incorporated	6.00	4-15-2026	25,000	22,406
				2,016,682
Health Care: 3.10%				
Health Care Equipment & Supplies: 0.72%				
Crimson Merger Sub Incorporated 144A	6.63	5-15-2022	325,000	290,875
Hill-Rom Holdings Incorporated 144A	5.75	9-1-2023	25,000	26,250
Hologic Incorporated 144A	5.25	7-15-2022	90,000	95,738
Kinetics Concepts Incorporated 144A	7.88	2-15-2021	200,000	216,000
Surgery Center Holdings Company 144A	8.88	4-15-2021	200,000	213,500
				842,363

Portfolio of investments August 31, 2016

Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fund 7

Security name	Interest rate	Maturity date	Principal	Value
Health Care Providers & Services: 1.84%				
Acadia Healthcare Company Incorporated	6.50%	3-1-2024	\$ 20,000	\$ 21,025
Centene Corporation	5.75	6-1-2017	75,000	77,063
Centene Corporation	6.13	2-15-2024	50,000	54,500
DaVita HealthCare Partners Incorporated	5.00	5-1-2025	35,000	35,473
DaVita HealthCare Partners Incorporated	5.75	8-15-2022	170,000	178,288
HCA Incorporated	5.88	3-15-2022	25,000	27,500
HCA Incorporated	6.50	2-15-2020	325,000	358,735
HealthSouth Corporation	5.75	9-15-2025	75,000	78,469
Mednax Incorporated 144A	5.25	12-1-2023	50,000	52,625
Molina Healthcare Incorporated 144A	5.38	11-15-2022	25,000	25,750
MPH Acquisition Holdings LLC 144A	7.13	6-1-2024	75,000	80,625
MPT Operating Partnership LP	5.25	8-1-2026	100,000	106,250
MPT Operating Partnership LP	6.38	2-15-2022	125,000	131,094
MPT Operating Partnership LP	6.38	3-1-2024	10,000	10,975
Select Medical Corporation	6.38	6-1-2021	405,000	405,506
Team Health Incorporated 144A	7.25	12-15-2023	200,000	218,000
Tenet Healthcare Corporation	6.00	10-1-2020	50,000	52,875
Vizient Incorporated 144A	10.38	3-1-2024	225,000	255,375
				2,170,128
Health Care Technology: 0.45%				
Change Healthcare Holdings Incorporated				
144A	6.00	2-15-2021	150,000	159,000
Change Healthcare Holdings Incorporated	11.00	12-31-2019	355,000	375,413
				534,413
Pharmaceuticals: 0.09%				
Endo Finance LLC 144A	5.38	1-15-2023	50,000	45,250
Endo Finance LLC 144A	5.75	1-15-2022	65,000	60,572
				105,822

Industrials: 1.45%

Airlines: 0.10%

Edgar Filing: WELLS FARGO UTILITIES & HIGH INCOME FUND - Form N-CSR

Aviation Capital Group Corporation 144A	6.75	4-6-2021	100,000	117,500
Commercial Services & Supplies: 0.44%				
Aramark Services Incorporated	5.13	1-15-2024	10,000	10,369
Covanta Holding Corporation	5.88	3-1-2024	185,000	185,925
Covanta Holding Corporation	6.38	10-1-2022	195,000	202,800
Covanta Holding Corporation	7.25	12-1-2020	110,000	113,988
				513,082
Construction & Engineering: 0.20%				
AECOM	5.75	10-15-2022	15,000	15,900
AECOM	5.88	10-15-2024	200,000	218,750
				234,650
Professional Services: 0.01%				
Ascent Capital Group Incorporated	4.00	7-15-2020	25,000	17,500

Table of Contents

8 Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fund

Portfolio of investments August 31, 2016

88

Trading Companies & Distributors: 0.70% Ashtead Capital Incorporated 144A 6.50% 7-15-2022 \$ 400,000 \$	422,000
H&E Equipment Services Incorporated 7.00 9-1-2022 350,000 International Lease Finance Corporation 144A 7.13 9-1-2018 35,000	369,250 38,503 829,753
Information Technology: 2.61%	
Communications Equipment: 0.09% CommScope Technologies Finance LLC 144A 6.00 6-15-2025 100,000	106,125
Electronic Equipment, Instruments & Components: 0.70%	
Jabil Circuit Incorporated 8.25 3-15-2018 620,000	674,250
Zebra Technologies Corporation 7.25 10-15-2022 135,000	146,475 820,725
Internet Software & Services: 0.12%	
Infor Software Parent LLC 6.50 5-15-2022 50,000 Infor Software Parent LLC (PIK at 7.88%)	50,688
144AY 7.13 5-1-2021 75,000	70,313
Zayo Group LLC 6.38 5-15-2025 25,000	26,380 147,381
	147,301
IT Services: 0.19%	
First Data Corporation 144A 5.00 1-15-2024 40,000	40,700
First Data Corporation 144A 5.75 1-15-2024 20,000	20,475
First Data Corporation 144A 6.75 11-1-2020 152,000	158,080 219,255
Semiconductors & Semiconductor Equipment: 0.30%	
Micron Technology Incorporated 144A 5.25 8-1-2023 25,000	24,188

Micron Technology Incorporated 144A Micron Technology Incorporated Micron Technology Incorporated 144A Micron Technology Incorporated	5.25 5.50 5.63 5.88	1-15-2024 2-1-2025 1-15-2026 2-15-2022	75,000 110,000 85,000 65,000	71,774 106,563 81,175 65,488 349,188
Software: 0.20%				
Activision Blizzard Incorporated 144A	5.63	9-15-2021	45,000	46,969
Activision Blizzard Incorporated 144A	6.13	9-15-2023	10,000	10,938
Boxer Parent Company Incorporated (PIK at				
9.75%) 144A¥	9.00	10-15-2019	175,000	156,188
SS&C Technologies Incorporated	5.88	7-15-2023	25,000	26,313
				240,408
Technology Hardware, Storage & Peripherals: 1.01%				
Diamond 1 Finance Corporation/Diamond 2				
Finance Corporation 144A	5.88	6-15-2021	225,000	238,170
Diamond 1 Finance Corporation/Diamond 2	3.00	0 13 2021	223,000	230,170
Finance Corporation 144A	7.13	6-15-2024	425,000	460,192
NCR Corporation	5.88	12-15-2021	15,000	15,713
NCR Corporation	6.38	12-15-2023	450,000	475,875
•			•	
				1,189,950

Portfolio of investments August 31, 2016

Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fund 9

Security name	Interest rate	Maturity date	Pri	ncipal	,	Value
Materials: 0.68%						
Chemicals: 0.02%						
Celanese US Holdings LLC	5.88%	6-15-2021	\$	20,000	\$	22,812
Containers & Packaging: 0.64%						
Ball Corporation	5.25	7-1-2025		15,000		16,238
Berry Plastics Corporation	5.13	7-15-2023		50,000		51,438
Berry Plastics Corporation	6.00	10-15-2022		65,000		69,266
Crown Cork & Seal Company Incorporated	7.38	12-15-2026		5,000		5,625
Crown Cork & Seal Company Incorporated (i)	7.50	12-15-2096		50,000		50,750
Owens-Brockway Glass Container						
Incorporated 144A	5.88	8-15-2023		50,000		54,688
Owens-Illinois Incorporated 144A	5.38	1-15-2025		50,000		52,375
Owens-Illinois Incorporated 144A	6.38	8-15-2025	,	250,000		276,875
Owens-Illinois Incorporated	7.80	5-15-2018		60,000		65,700
Sealed Air Corporation 144A	5.13	12-1-2024		75,000		80,250
Silgan Holdings Incorporated	5.00	4-1-2020		25,000		25,500
						748,705
Metals & Mining: 0.02%						
Kaiser Aluminum Corporation 144A	5.88	5-15-2024		25,000		26,500
Telecommunication Services: 3.03%						
Diversified Telecommunication Services: 1.23%						
Citizens Communications Company	7.88	1-15-2027		25,000		22,656
Frontier Communications Corporation	8.13	10-1-2018		60,000		66,000
GCI Incorporated	6.75	6-1-2021	,	200,000		206,500
GCI Incorporated	6.88	4-15-2025		125,000		128,750
Level 3 Financing Incorporated	5.13	5-1-2023		75,000		77,906
Level 3 Financing Incorporated	5.38	8-15-2022		125,000		130,644
Level 3 Financing Incorporated	5.38	5-1-2025		75,000		78,750
Level 3 Financing Incorporated	5.63	2-1-2023		65,000		68,088
Level 3 Financing Incorporated	6.13	1-15-2021		80,000		83,000
- ·						

Edgar Filing: WELLS FARGO UTILITIES & HIGH INCOME FUND - Form N-CSR

Level 3 Financing Incorporated 144A	5.25	3-15-2026	50,000	51,875
Level 3 Financing Incorporated	5.38	1-15-2024	50,000	52,438
SBA Communications Corporation	4.88	7-15-2022	100,000	102,500
SBA Communications Corporation 144A	4.88	9-1-2024	50,000	50,688
SBA Communications Corporation	5.63	10-1-2019	10,000	10,300
SBA Communications Corporation	5.75	7-15-2020	38,000	39,093
Syniverse Holdings Incorporated	9.13	1-15-2019	425,000	280,500
				1,449,688
Wireless Telecommunication Services: 1.80%				
MetroPCS Wireless Incorporated	6.63	11-15-2020	240,000	247,800
Sprint Capital Corporation	6.88	11-15-2028	850,000	760,487
Sprint Capital Corporation	8.75	3-15-2032	150,000	148,454
Sprint Communications Incorporated 144A	9.00	11-15-2018	25,000	27,531
Sprint Corporation	7.13	6-15-2024	35,000	32,638
Sprint Corporation	7.63	2-15-2025	25,000	23,680
T-Mobile USA Incorporated	6.00	3-1-2023	25,000	26,501
T-Mobile USA Incorporated	6.13	1-15-2022	25,000	26,375

10 Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fund

Portfolio of investments August 31, 2016

Security name	Interest rate	Maturity date	Principal	Value
Wireless Telecommunication Services				
(continued)				
T-Mobile USA Incorporated	6.25%	4-1-2021	\$ 30,000	\$ 31,313
T-Mobile USA Incorporated	6.38	3-1-2025	145,000	155,875
T-Mobile USA Incorporated	6.46	4-28-2019	10,000	10,200
T-Mobile USA Incorporated	6.50	1-15-2024	5,000	5,375
T-Mobile USA Incorporated	6.50	1-15-2026	25,000	27,359
T-Mobile USA Incorporated	6.54	4-28-2020	10,000	10,325
T-Mobile USA Incorporated	6.63	4-1-2023	35,000	37,340
T-Mobile USA Incorporated	6.63	4-28-2021	75,000	78,563
T-Mobile USA Incorporated	6.73	4-28-2022	375,000	394,219
T-Mobile USA Incorporated	6.84	4-28-2023	75,000	80,438
				2,124,473
Utilities: 1.20%				
Electric Utilities: 0.25%				
NRG Yield Operating LLC 144A	5.00	9-15-2026	75,000	75,000
Otter Tail Corporation (i)	9.00	12-15-2016	215,000	218,797
-				293,797
				200,707
Gas Utilities: 0.09%				
AmeriGas Finance LLC	5.63	5-20-2024	50,000	53,500
AmeriGas Finance LLC	5.88	8-20-2026	50,000	53,625
				107,125
Independent Power & Renewable Electricity				
Producers: 0.86%	- 00	4.47.000:	20.000	4.1.
Calpine Corporation 144A	5.88	1-15-2024	20,000	21,150
Calpine Corporation 144A	6.00	1-15-2022	40,000	41,950
Calpine Corporation 144A	7.88	1-15-2023	40,000	42,200
NSG Holdings LLC 144A	7.75	12-15-2025	330,992	354,161
TerraForm Power Operating LLC 144A	5.88	2-1-2023	400,000	401,500
TerraForm Power Operating LLC 144A	6.13	6-15-2025	150,000	152,625

1,013,586 **Total Corporate Bonds and Notes (Cost** \$34,767,636) 36,242,846 **Loans: 0.87% Consumer Discretionary: 0.23%** Hotels, Restaurants & Leisure: 0.08% 36,969 CCM Merger Incorporated ± 4.50 8-8-2021 37,154 Four Seasons Holdings Incorporated ± 7.75 12-27-2020 60,000 60,125 97,279 Specialty Retail: 0.15% Focus Brands Incorporated ± 10.25 8-21-2018 176,935 176,714 **Energy: 0.05%**

0.00

8-15-2021

50,000

51,563

Oil, Gas & Consumable Fuels: 0.05%

Chesapeake Energy Corporation ±<

Portfolio of investments August 31, 2016

Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fund 11

Security name	Interest rate	Maturity date	Principal	Value
Financials: 0.04%				
Diversified Financial Services: 0.04% <i>American Beacon Advisors Incorporated</i> (i)±	9.75%	3-3-2023	\$ 50,000	\$ 48,000
Health Care: 0.10%				
Pharmaceuticals: 0.10% Valeant Pharmaceuticals International Incorporated ±	5.25	12-11-2019	121,225	121,377
Industrials: 0.04%				
Commercial Services & Supplies: 0.04% W3 Company (i) ± WASH Multifamily Laundry Systems LLC (i) ±	9.25 4.25 4.25 8.00 8.00	9-13-2020 5-14-2022 5-14-2022 5-12-2023 5-14-2023	19,950 25,274 4,426 1,490 8,510	6,983 25,147 4,404 1,468 8,382 46,384
Information Technology: 0.02%				
Technology Hardware, Storage & Peripherals: 0.02% Peak 10 Incorporated (i)±	8.25	6-17-2022	30,000	27,200
Utilities: 0.39%				
Electric Utilities: 0.39% Texas Competitive Electric Holdings Company LLC ±(s)	4.66	10-10-2016	1,471,940	463,293
Total Loans (Cost \$2,043,173)				1,031,810

	Dividend yield	Shares	
Preferred Stocks: 7.40%	v		
Utilities: 7.40%			
Electric Utilities: 6.34%			
Alabama Power Company	6.45	41,284	1,109,508
Baltimore Gas & Electric Company	6.70	9,312	946,041
Entergy Louisiana LLC	4.88	30,000	750,000
Indianapolis Power & Light Company	5.65	28,811	2,936,022
Nextera Energy Capital	5.25	18,826	481,569
The Connecticut Light & Power Company	5.28	12,000	620,250
The Connecticut Light & Power Company	6.56	12,000	622,500
			7,465,890
Multi-Utilities: 1.06%			
Dominion Resources Incorporated	5.25	22,000	564,300
Integrys Holding Incorporated \pm	5.47	25,050	687,372
			1,251,672
Total Preferred Stocks (Cost \$8,526,046)			8,717,562

12 Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fund

Portfolio of investments August 31, 2016

Security name		Expiration date	Shares	Value
Warrants: 0.00%				
Utilities: 0.00%				
Gas Utilities: 0.00% Kinder Morgan Incorporated		5-25-2017	16,000	\$ 304
Total Warrants (Cost \$30,480)				304
	Interest rate	Maturity date	Principal	
Yankee Corporate Bonds and Notes: 2.34%				
Energy: 0.50%				
Oil, Gas & Consumable Fuels: 0.50%				
Baytex Energy Corporation 144A	5.13%	6-1-2021	\$ 50,000	41,625
Baytex Energy Corporation 144A	5.63	6-1-2024	75,000	60,750
Griffin Coal Mining Company Limited				
144A(a)(i)(s)	9.50	12-1-2016	93,118	37,247
Teekay Corporation	8.50	1-15-2020	460,000	391,000
Teekay Corporation 144A	8.50	1-15-2020	75,000	62,625
				593,247
Financials: 0.03%				
Banks: 0.03%				
Nielsen Holding and Finance BV 144A	5.50	10-1-2021	30,000	31,275
Health Care: 0.73%				
Pharmaceuticals: 0.73%				
Mallinckrodt plc 144A	5.50	4-15-2025	50,000	49,250
Mallinckrodt plc 144A	5.63	10-15-2023	20,000	20,075
Valeant Pharmaceuticals International				
Incorporated 144A	5.63	12-1-2021	50,000	45,125
Valeant Pharmaceuticals International			400.00-	4
Incorporated 144A	5.88	5-15-2023	180,000	158,400

Edgar Filing: WELLS FARGO UTILITIES & HIGH INCOME FUND - Form N-CSR

Valeant Pharmaceuticals International Incorporated 144A Valeant Pharmaceuticals International	6.13	4-15-2025	425,000	373,469
Incorporated 144A	6.38	10-15-2020	100,000	95,500
Valeant Pharmaceuticals International	6.77	0.15.2021	25.000	22.701
Incorporated 144A Valeant Pharmaceuticals International	6.75	8-15-2021	25,000	23,781
Incorporated 144A	7.50	7-15-2021	94,000	92,352
				857,952
Industrials: 0.23%				
Building Products: 0.01%				
Allegion plc	5.88	9-15-2023	15,000	16,200
Commercial Services & Supplies: 0.20%				
GFL Environmental Incorporated 144A	7.88	4-1-2020	170,000	175,950
GFL Environmental Incorporated 144A	9.88	2-1-2021	50,000	54,500
				230,450
Machinery: 0.02%				
Sensata Technologies BV 144A	5.00	10-1-2025	20,000	20,700

Portfolio of investments August 31, 2016

Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fund 13

Shares

Security name	Interest rate	Maturity date	Principal	Value
Materials: 0.17%				
Containers & Packaging: 0.15% Ardagh Finance Holdings SA (PIK at 8.63%) 144A¥ Ardagh Packaging Finance plc 144A	8.63% 7.25	6-15-2019 5-15-2024	\$ 123,393 50,000	\$ 126,390 53,188 179,578
Metals & Mining: 0.02% Novelis Corporation 144A	6.25	8-15-2024	25,000	26,063
Telecommunication Services: 0.68%				
Diversified Telecommunication Services: 0.64%				
Intelsat Jackson Holdings SA Intelsat Jackson Holdings SA Intelsat Luxembourg SA Intelsat Luxembourg SA Virgin Media Finance plc 144A Virgin Media Finance plc 144A	5.50 7.25 7.75 8.13 5.38 6.38	8-1-2023 4-1-2019 6-1-2021 6-1-2023 4-15-2021 4-15-2023	545,000 200,000 125,000 500,000 9,000 25,000	371,963 160,250 36,875 148,125 9,360 26,625 753,198
Wireless Telecommunication Services: 0.04% Telesat Canada Incorporated 144A	6.00	5-15-2017	50,000	50,000
Total Yankee Corporate Bonds and Notes (Cost \$3,431,451)				2,758,663

Short-Term Investments: 10.16%

Investment Companies: 10.16%

Table of Contents 98

Yield

Wells Fargo Government Money Market Select Class (l)(u)##	0.32	11,972,808	11,972,808
Total Short-Term Investments (Cost \$11,972,808)			11,972,808
Total investments in securities (Cost	118.36%		139,433,964
\$121,067,276) * Other assets and liabilities, net	(18.36)		(21,633,722)
Total net assets	100.00%		\$ 117,800,242

Non-income-earning security

- (i) Illiquid security for which the designation as illiquid is unaudited.
- 144AThe security may be resold in transactions exempt from registration, normally to qualified institutional buyers, pursuant to Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933.
- ¥ A payment-in-kind (PIK) security is a security in which the issuer may make interest or dividend payments in cash or additional securities. These additional securities generally have the same terms as the original holdings.
- (s) The security is currently in default with regards to scheduled interest and/or principal payments. The Fund has stopped accruing interest on the security.
- (a) The security is fair valued in accordance with procedures approved by the Board of Trustees.
- %% The security is issued on a when-issued basis.
- # All or a portion of this security is segregated as collateral for investments in derivative instruments.
- ± Variable rate investment. The rate shown is the rate in effect at period end.
- < All or a portion of the position represents an unfunded loan commitment.



Table of Contents

14 Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fund

Portfolio of investments August 31, 2016

- (u) The rate represents the 7-day annualized yield at period end.
- ## All or a portion of this security is segregated for when-issued securities and unfunded loans.
- * Cost for federal income tax purposes is \$121,553,836 and unrealized gains (losses) consists of:

Gross unrealized gains	\$ 23,024,618
Gross unrealized losses	(5,144,490)
Net unrealized gains	\$ 17,880,128

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Trustees and Shareholders of

Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fund:

We have audited the accompanying statement of assets and liabilities, including the summary portfolio of investments, of the Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fund (formerly known as Wells Fargo Advantage Utilities and High Income Fund) (the Fund), as of August 31, 2016, and the related statements of operations and cash flows for the year then ended, the statements of changes in net assets for each of the years in the two-year period then ended (collectively, the financial statements), the financial highlights for each of the years in the five-year period then ended (the financial statements and financial highlights are included in Item 1 of this Form N-CSR), and the portfolio of investments as of August 31, 2016 (included in Item 6 of this Form N-CSR). These financial statements, financial highlights, and portfolio of investments are the responsibility of the Fund s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements, financial highlights, and portfolio of investments based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements, financial highlights, and portfolio of investments are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements and portfolio of investments. Our procedures included confirmation of securities owned as of August 31, 2016, by correspondence with the custodian and brokers, or by other appropriate auditing procedures. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements, financial highlights, and portfolio of investments referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Fund as of August 31, 2016, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the year then ended, changes in its net assets for each of the years in the two-year period then ended, and the financial highlights for each of the years in the five-year period then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Boston, Massachusetts

October 24, 2016

ITEM 7. DISCLOSURE OF PROXY VOTING POLICIES AND PROCEDURES FOR CLOSED-END MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

PROXY VOTING POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

REVISED AS OF AUGUST 13, 2014

- 1. Scope of Policies and Procedures. These Policies and Procedures (Procedures) are used to determine how to vote proxies relating to portfolio securities held by the series of Wells Fargo Funds Trust, Wells Fargo Master Trust, Wells Fargo Variable Trust, Asset Allocation Trust, Wells Fargo Advantage Global Dividend Opportunity Fund, Wells Fargo Advantage Income Opportunities Fund, Wells Fargo Advantage Multi-Sector Income Fund, and Wells Fargo Advantage Utilities and High Income Fund (the Trusts) except for those series that exclusively hold non-voting securities (hereafter, all such series, and all such Trusts not having separate series, holding voting securities are referred to as the Funds).
- 2. <u>Voting Philosophy</u>. The Funds and Wells Fargo Funds Management, LLC (Funds Management) have adopted these Procedures to ensure that proxies are voted in the best interests of Fund shareholders, without regard to any relationship that any affiliated person of the Fund (or an affiliated person of such affiliated person) may have with the issuer. Funds Management exercises its voting responsibility, as a fiduciary, with the goal of maximizing value to shareholders consistent with governing laws and the investment policies of each Fund. While securities are not purchased to exercise control or to seek to effect corporate change through share ownership, the Funds support sound corporate governance practices within companies in which they invest.

3. Responsibilities

- (a) Board of Trustees. The Board of Trustees of each Trust (the Board) has delegated the responsibility for voting proxies relating to the Funds portfolio securities to Funds Management. The Board retains the authority to make or ratify any voting decisions or approve any changes to these Procedures as the Board deems appropriate. Funds Management will provide reports to the Board regarding voting matters when and as reasonably requested by the Board. The Board shall review these Procedures as often as it deems appropriate to consider whether any revisions are warranted. On an annual basis, the Board shall receive and review a report from Funds Management on the proxy voting process.
- (b) Funds Management Proxy Committee
 - (i) Responsibilities. The Funds Management Proxy Voting Committee (the Proxy Committee) shall be responsible for overseeing the proxy voting process to ensure its implementation in conformance with these Procedures. The Proxy Committee shall monitor Institutional Shareholder Services (ISS), the proxy voting agent for Funds Management, to determine that ISS is accurately applying the Procedures as set forth herein. The Proxy Committee shall review the continuing appropriateness of the Procedures set forth herein, recommend revisions to the Board as necessary and provide an annual update to the Board on the proxy voting process.
 - (ii) <u>Voting Guidelines.</u> Appendix A hereto sets forth guidelines regarding how proxies will be voted on the issues specified. ISS will vote proxies for or against as directed by the guidelines.

Where the guidelines specify a case by case determination for a particular issue, ISS will forward the proxy to the Proxy Committee for a vote determination by the Proxy Committee. Finally, with respect to issues for which a vote for or against is specified by the Procedures, the Proxy Committee shall have the authority to direct ISS to forward the proxy to the Proxy Committee for a discretionary vote by the Proxy Committee if the Proxy Committee determines that a case-by-case review of such matter is warranted. The Proxy Committee may also consult Fund sub-advisers on certain proxy voting issues on a case-by-case basis as the Proxy Committee deems appropriate or to the extent that a sub-adviser of a Fund makes a recommendation regarding a proxy voting issue. As a general matter, however, proxies are voted consistently on the same matter when securities of an issuer are held by multiple Funds.

1

(iii) Proxy Committee. In all cases, the Proxy Committee will exercise its voting discretion in accordance with the voting philosophy of the Funds. In cases where a proxy is forwarded by ISS to the Proxy Committee, the Proxy Committee may be assisted in its voting decision through receipt of: (i) independent research and voting recommendations provided by ISS or other independent sources; (ii) input from the investment sub-adviser responsible for purchasing the security; and (iii) information provided by company management and shareholder groups.

Voting decisions made by the Proxy Committee will be reported to ISS to ensure that the vote is registered in a timely manner and included in Form N-PX reporting.

- (iv) Securities on Loan. As a general matter, securities on loan will not be recalled to facilitate proxy voting (in which case the borrower of the security shall be entitled to vote the proxy). However, if the Proxy Committee is aware of an item in time to recall the security and has determined in good faith that the importance of the matter to be voted upon outweighs the loss in lending revenue that would result from recalling the security (i.e., if there is a controversial upcoming merger or acquisition, or some other significant matter), the security will be recalled for voting.
- (v) Practical Limitations to Proxy Voting. While Funds Management uses its best efforts to vote proxies, in certain circumstances it may be impractical or impossible for Funds Management to vote proxies (e.g., limited value or unjustifiable costs). For example, in accordance with local law or business practices, many foreign companies prevent the sales of shares that have been voted for a certain period beginning prior to the shareholder meeting and ending on the day following the meeting (share blocking). Due to these restrictions, Funds Management must balance the benefits to its clients of voting proxies against the potentially serious portfolio management consequences of a reduced flexibility to sell the underlying shares at the most advantageous time. As a result, Funds Management will generally not vote those proxies in the absence of an unusual, significant vote or compelling economic importance. Additionally, Funds Management may not be able to vote proxies for certain foreign securities if Funds Management does not receive the proxy statement in time to vote the proxies due to custodial processing delays.

(vi) Conflicts of Interest.

Funds Management may have a conflict of interest regarding a proxy to be voted upon if, for example, Funds Management or its affiliates have other relationships with the issuer of the proxy. In most instances, conflicts of interest are avoided through a strict and objective application of the voting guidelines attached hereto. However, when the Proxy Committee is aware of a material conflict of interest regarding a matter that would otherwise require a vote by the Proxy Committee, the Proxy Committee shall address the material conflict by using any of the following methods: (1) instructing ISS to vote in accordance with the recommendation ISS makes to its clients; (2) disclosing the conflict to the Board and obtaining their consent before voting; (3) submitting the matter to the Board to exercise its authority to vote on such matter; (4) engaging an independent fiduciary who will direct the Proxy Committee on voting instructions for the proxy; (5) consulting with outside legal counsel for guidance on resolution of the conflict of interest; (6) erecting information barriers around the person or persons making voting decisions; (7) voting in proportion to other shareholders (mirror voting); or (8) voting in other ways that are consistent with each Fund s

obligation to vote in the best interests of its shareholders. Additionally, the Proxy Committee will not permit its votes to be influenced by any conflict of interest that exists for any other affiliated person of the Fund (such as a sub-adviser or principal underwriter) or any affiliated persons of such affiliated persons and the Proxy Committee will vote all such matters without regard to the conflict.

2

Funds Management may also have a conflict of interest regarding a proxy to be voted on if a member of the Board has an affiliation, directly or indirectly, with a public or private company (an Identified Company). Identified Companies include a Board member s employer, as well as any company of which the Board member is a director or officer or a 5% or more shareholder. The Proxy Committee shall address such a conflict by instructing ISS to vote in accordance with the recommendation ISS makes to its clients.

- (vii) Meetings. The Proxy Committee shall convene as needed and when discretionary voting determinations need to be considered, and shall have the authority to act by vote of a majority of the Proxy Committee members available at that time. The Proxy Committee shall also meet at least semi-annually to review the Procedures and the performance of ISS in exercising its proxy voting responsibilities.
- (viii) Membership. The voting members of the Proxy Committee shall be Tom Biwer, Travis Keshemberg, Erik Sens, Aldo Ceccarelli and Melissa Duller. Changes to the membership of the Proxy Committee will be made only with Board approval. Upon departure from Funds Management, a member s position on the Proxy Committee will automatically terminate.
- 4. <u>Disclosure of Policies and Procedures.</u> Each Fund shall disclose in its statement of additional information a description of the policies and procedures it uses to determine how to vote proxies relating to securities held in its portfolio. In addition, each Fund shall disclose in its semi- and annual reports that a description of its proxy voting policies and procedures is available without charge, upon request, by calling 1-800-222-8222, on the Fund s web site at www.wellsfargo.com/advantagefunds and on the Securities and Exchange Commission s website at http://www.sec.gov.
- 5. <u>Disclosure of Proxy Voting Record.</u> Each Trust shall file with the Commission an annual report on Form N-PX not later than August 31 of each year (beginning August 31, 2004), containing the Trust s proxy voting record for the most recent twelve-month period ended June 30.

Each Fund shall disclose in its statement of additional information and semi- and annual reports that information regarding how the Fund voted proxies relating to portfolio securities during the most recent 12-month period ended June 30 is available without charge on the Funds web site at www.wellsfargo.com/advantagefunds or by accessing the Commission s web site at www.sec.gov.

Each Fund shall disclose the following information on Form N-PX for each matter relating to a portfolio security considered at any shareholder meeting held during the period covered by the report and with respect to which the Fund was entitled to vote:

The name of the issuer of the portfolio security;

The exchange ticker symbol of the portfolio security;

The Council of Uniform Securities Identification Procedures (CUSIP) number for the portfolio security (unless the CUSIP is not available through reasonably practicable means, in which case it will be omitted);

The shareholder meeting date;

A brief identification of the matter voted on;

Whether the matter was proposed by the issuer or by a security holder;

Whether the Fund cast its vote on the matter;

How the Fund cast its vote (e.g. for or against a proposal, or abstain; for or withhold regarding election of directors); and

Whether the Fund cast its vote for or against management.

Form N-PX shall be made available to Fund shareholders through the SEC web site.

3

APPENDIX A

TO

PROXY VOTING POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

Funds Management will vote proxies relating to portfolio securities held by the Trusts in accordance with the following proxy voting guidelines. To the extent the specific guidelines below do not address a proxy voting proposal, Funds Management will vote pursuant to ISS current U.S. and International proxy voting guidelines. Proxies related to issues not addressed by the specific guidelines below or by ISS current U.S. and International proxy voting guidelines will be forwarded to the Proxy Committee for a vote determination by the Proxy Committee.

Uncontested Election of Directors or Trustees

THE FUNDS will generally vote for all uncontested director or trustee nominees. The Nominating Committee is in the best position to select nominees who are available and capable of working well together to oversee management of the company. THE FUNDS will not require a performance test for directors.

FOR

THE FUNDS will generally vote for reasonably crafted shareholder proposals calling for directors to be elected with an affirmative majority of votes cast and/or the elimination of the plurality standard for electing directors, unless the company has adopted formal corporate governance principles that present a meaningful alternative to the majority voting standard

FOR

THE FUNDS will withhold votes for a director if the nominee fails to attend at least 75% of the board and committee meetings without a valid excuse.

WITHHOLD

THE FUNDS will vote against routine election of directors if any of the following apply: company fails to disclose adequate information in a timely manner, serious issues with the finances, questionable transactions, conflicts of interest, record of abuses against minority shareholder interests, bundling of director elections, and/or egregious governance practices.

AGAINST

THE FUNDS will withhold votes from the entire board (except for new nominees) where the director(s) receive more than 50% withhold votes out of those cast and the issue that was the underlying cause of the high level of withhold votes has not been addressed.

WITHHOLD

THE FUNDS will withhold votes from members of the Audit Committee and/or the full board if poor accounting practices, which rise to a level of serious concern, such as: fraud; misapplication of GAAP; and material weaknesses identified in Section 404 disclosures, are identified.

WITHHOLD

THE FUNDS will withhold votes from members of the Audit Committee if the company receives an adverse opinion on the company s financial statements from its auditor.

WITHHOLD

THE FUNDS will withhold votes from all directors (except for new nominees) if the company has adopted or renewed a poison pill without shareholder approval since the company s last annual meeting, does not put the pill to a vote at the current annual meeting, and does not have a requirement or does not commit to put the pill to shareholder vote within 12 months. In addition, THE FUNDS will withhold votes on all directors at any

WITHHOLD

company that responds to the majority of the shareholders voting by putting the poison pill to a shareholder vote with a recommendation other than to eliminate the pill.

THE FUNDS will withhold votes from compensation committee members if they fail to submit one-time transferable stock options (TSO s) to shareholders for approval.

WITHHOLD

Limitation on Number of Boards a Director May Sit On

THE FUNDS will withhold votes from directors who sit on more than six boards

WITHHOLD

THE FUNDS will withhold votes from CEO directors who sit on more than two outside boards besides their own.

WITHHOLD

Ratification of Auditors

THE FUNDS will vote against auditors and withhold votes from audit committee members if non-audit fees are greater than audit fees, audit-related fees, and permitted tax fees, combined. THE FUNDS will follow the disclosure categories being proposed by the SEC in applying the above formula.

AGAINST/WITHHOLD

With the above exception, THE FUNDS will generally vote for proposals to ratify auditors unless:

FOR

an auditor has a financial interest in or association with the company, and is therefore not independent, or

AGAINST

there is reason to believe that the independent auditor has rendered an opinion that is neither accurate nor indicative of the company s financial position.

AGAINST

THE FUNDS will vote against proposals that require auditors to attend annual meetings as auditors are regularly reviewed by the board audit committee, and such attendance is unnecessary.

AGAINST

THE FUNDS will vote for shareholder proposals requesting a shareholder vote for audit firm ratification.

FOR

THE FUNDS will vote against shareholder proposals asking for audit firm rotation. This practice is viewed as too disruptive and too costly to implement for the benefit achieved.

AGAINST

Company Name Change/Purpose

THE FUNDS will vote for proposals to change the company name as management and the board is best suited to determine if such change in company name is necessary.

FOR

However, where the name change is requested in connection with a reorganization of the company, the vote will be based on the merits of the reorganization.

CASE-BY-CASE

In addition, THE FUNDS will generally vote for proposals to amend the purpose of the company. Management is in the best position to know whether the description of what the company does is accurate, or whether it needs to be updated by deleting, adding or revising language.

FOR

Employee Stock Purchase Plans/401(k) Employee Benefit Plans

THE FUNDS will vote for proposals to adopt, amend or increase authorized shares for employee stock purchase plans and 401(k) plans for employees as properly structured plans enable employees to purchase common stock at a slight discount and thus own a beneficial interest in the company, provided that the total cost of the company s plan is not above the allowable cap for the company.

FOR

Similarly, THE FUNDS will generally vote for proposals to adopt or amend thrift and savings plans, retirement plans, pension plans and profit plans.

FOR

Anti-Hedging/Pledging/Speculative Investments Policy

THE FUNDS will consider proposals prohibiting named executive officers from engaging in derivative or speculative transactions involving company stock, including hedging, holding stock in a margin account, or pledging stock as collateral for a loan on a case-by-case basis. The company stock will be considered.

CASE-BY-CASE

Approve Other Business

THE FUNDS will generally vote for proposals to approve other business. This transfer of authority allows the corporation to take certain ministerial steps that may arise at the annual or special meeting.

FOR

Anti-Hedging/Pledging/Speculative Investments Policy

THE FUNDS will consider proposals prohibiting named executive officers from engaging in derivative or speculative transactions involving company stock, including hedging, holding stock in a margin account, or pledging stock as collateral for a loan on a case-by-case basis. The company stock will be considered.

CASE-BY-CASE

Approve Other Business

THE FUNDS will generally vote for proposals to approve other business. This transfer of authority allows the corporation to take certain ministerial steps that may arise at the annual or special meeting.

FOR

However, THE FUNDS retains the discretion to vote against such proposals if adequate information is not provided in the proxy statement, or the measures are significant and no further approval from shareholders is sought.

AGAINST

Independent Board of Directors/Board Committees

THE FUNDS will vote for proposals requiring that two-thirds of the board be independent directors. An independent board faces fewer conflicts and is best prepared to protect stockholders interests.

FOR

THE FUNDS will withhold votes from insiders and affiliated outsiders on boards that are not at least majority independent.

WITHHOLD

THE FUNDS will withhold votes from compensation committee members where there is a pay-for-performance disconnect (for Russell 3000 companies).

WITHHOLD

THE FUNDS will vote for proposals requesting that the board audit, compensation and/or nominating committees be composed of independent directors, only. Committees should be composed entirely of independent directors in order to avoid conflicts of interest.

FOR

THE FUNDS will withhold votes from any insiders or affiliated outsiders on audit, compensation or nominating committees. THE FUNDS will withhold votes from any insiders or affiliated outsiders on the board if any of these key committees has not been established.

WITHHOLD

Indemnification and Liability Provisions for Directors and Officers

THE FUNDS will vote for proposals to allow indemnification of directors and officers, when the actions taken were on behalf of the company and no criminal violations occurred. THE FUNDS will also vote in favor of proposals to purchase liability insurance covering liability in connection with those actions. Not allowing companies to indemnify directors and officers to the degree possible under the law would limit the ability of the company to attract qualified individuals.

FOR

Alternatively, THE FUNDS will vote against indemnity proposals that are overly broad. For example, THE FUNDS will oppose proposals to indemnify directors for acts going beyond mere carelessness, such as gross negligence, acts taken in bad faith, acts not otherwise allowed by state law or more serious violations of fiduciary obligations.

AGAINST

Nominee Statement in the Proxy

THE FUNDS will vote against proposals that require board nominees to have a statement of candidacy in the proxy, since the proxy statement already provides adequate information pertaining to the election of directors.

AGAINST

Director Tenure/Retirement Age

THE FUNDS will vote against proposals to limit the tenure of directors as such limitations based on an arbitrary number could prevent qualified individuals from serving as directors. However, THE FUNDS is in favor of inserting cautionary language when the average director tenure on the board exceeds 15 years for the entire board.

AGAINST

The Funds will vote for proposals to establish a mandatory retirement age for directors provided that such retirement age is not less than 65.

FOR

Board Powers/Procedures/Qualifications

THE FUNDS will consider on a case-by-case basis proposals to amend the corporation s By-laws so that the Board of Directors shall have the power, without the assent or vote of the shareholders, to make, alter, amend, or rescind the By-laws, fix the amount to be reserved as working capital, and fix the number of directors and what number shall constitute a quorum of the Board. In determining these issues, THE FUNDS will rely on the proxy voting Guidelines.

CASE-BY-CASE

Adjourn Meeting to Solicit Additional Votes

THE FUNDS will examine proposals to adjourn the meeting to solicit additional votes on a case-by-case basis. As additional solicitation may be costly and could result in coercive pressure on shareholders, THE FUNDS will consider the nature of the proposal and its vote recommendations for the scheduled meeting.

CASE-BY-CASE

THE FUNDS will vote for this item when:

THE FUNDS is supportive of the underlying merger proposal; the company provides a sufficient, compelling reason to support the adjournment proposal; and the authority is limited to adjournment proposals requesting the authority to adjourn solely to solicit proxies to approve a transaction THE FUNDS supports.

FOR

Reimbursement of Solicitation Expenses

THE FUNDS will consider contested elections on a case-by-case basis, considering the following factors: long-term financial performance of the target company relative to its industry; management s track record; background of the proxy contest; qualifications of director or trustee nominees (both slates); evaluation of what each side is offering shareholders as well as the likelihood that the proposed objectives and goals can be met; and stock ownership positions.

CASE-BY-CASE

Board Structure: Staggered vs. Annual Elections

THE FUNDS will consider the issue of classified boards on a case-by-case basis. In some cases, the division of the board into classes, elected for staggered terms, can entrench the incumbent management and make them less responsive to shareholder concerns. On the other hand, in some cases, staggered elections may provide for the continuity of experienced directors on the Board.

CASE-BY-CASE

Removal of Directors

THE FUNDS will consider on a case-by-case basis proposals to eliminate shareholders rights to remove directors with or without cause or only with approval of two-thirds or more of the shares entitled to vote.

CASE-BY-CASE

However, a requirement that a 75% or greater vote be obtained for removal of directors is abusive and will warrant a vote against the proposal.

AGAINST

Board Vacancies

THE FUNDS will vote against proposals that allow the board to fill vacancies without shareholder approval as these authorizations run contrary to basic shareholders rights.

AGAINST

Alternatively, THE FUNDS will vote for proposals that permit shareholders to elect directors to fill board vacancies.

FOR

Cumulative Voting

THE FUNDS will vote on proposals to permit or eliminate cumulative voting on a case-by-case basis based upon the existence of a counter balancing governance structure and company performance, in accordance with its proxy voting guideline philosophy.

CASE-BY-CASE

THE FUNDS will vote against cumulative voting if the board is elected annually.

AGAINST

Board Size

THE FUNDS will vote for proposals that seek to fix the size of the board, as the ability for management to increase or decrease the size of the board in the face of a proxy contest may be used as a takeover defense.

FOR

However, if the company has cumulative voting, downsizing the board may decrease a minority shareholder s chances of electing a director.

By increasing the size of the board, management can make it more difficult for dissidents to gain control of the board. Fixing the size of the board also prevents a reduction in the board size as a means to oust independent directors or those who cause friction within an otherwise homogenous board.

Shareholder Rights Plan (Poison Pills)

THE FUNDS will generally vote for proposals that request a company to submit its poison pill for shareholder ratification.

FOR

Alternatively, THE FUNDS will analyze proposals to redeem a company s poison pill, or requesting the ratification of a poison pill on a case-by-case basis.

CASE-BY-CASE

Poison pills are one of the most potent anti-takeover measures and are generally adopted by boards without shareholder approval. These plans harm shareholder value and entrench management by deterring stock acquisition offers that are not favored by the board.

Fair Price Provisions

THE FUNDS will consider fair price provisions on a case-by-case basis, evaluating factors such as the vote required to approve the proposed mechanism, the vote required to approve the proposed acquisition, the vote required to repeal the fair price provision, and the mechanism for determining the fair price.

CASE-BY-CASE

THE FUNDS will vote against fair price provisions with shareholder vote requirements of 75% or more of disinterested shares.

AGAINST

Greenmail

THE FUNDS will generally vote in favor of proposals limiting the corporation s authority to purchase shares of common stock (or other outstanding securities) from a holder of a stated interest (5% or more) at a premium unless the same offer is made to all shareholders. These are known as anti-greenmail provisions. Greenmail discriminates against rank-and-file shareholders and may have an adverse effect on corporate image.

FOR

If the proposal is bundled with other charter or bylaw amendments, THE FUNDS will analyze such proposals on a case-by-case basis. In addition, THE FUNDS will analyze restructurings that involve the payment of pale greenmail on a case-by-case basis.

CASE-BY-CASE

Voting Rights

THE FUNDS will vote for proposals that seek to maintain or convert to a one-share, one-vote capital structure as such a principle ensures that management is accountable to all the company s owners.

FOR

Alternatively, THE FUNDS will vote against any proposals to cap the number of votes a shareholder is entitled to. Any measure that places a ceiling on voting may entrench management and lessen its interest in maximizing shareholder value.

AGAINST

Dual Class/Multiple-Voting Stock

THE FUNDS will vote against proposals that authorize, amend or increase dual class or multiple-voting stock which may be used in exchanges or recapitalizations. Dual class or multiple-voting stock carry unequal voting rights, which differ from those of the broadly traded class of common stock.

AGAINST

Alternatively, THE FUNDS will vote for the elimination of dual class or multiple-voting stock, which carry different rights than the common stock.

FOR

Confidential Voting

THE FUNDS will vote for proposals to adopt confidential voting.

FOR

Vote Tabulations

THE FUNDS will vote against proposals asking corporations to refrain from counting abstentions and broker non-votes in their vote tabulations and to eliminate the company s discretion to vote unmarked proxy ballots. Vote counting procedures are determined by a number of different standards, including state law, the federal proxy rules, internal corporate policies, and mandates of the various stock exchanges.

AGAINST

Equal Access to the Proxy

THE FUNDS will evaluate Shareholder proposals requiring companies to give shareholders access to the proxy ballot for the purpose of nominating board members, on a case-by-case basis taking into account the ownership threshold proposed in the resolution and the proponent s rationale for the proposal at the targeted company in terms of board and director conduct.

CASE-BY-CASE

Disclosure of Information

THE FUNDS will vote against shareholder proposals requesting fuller disclosure of company policies, plans, or business practices. Such proposals rarely enhance shareholder return and in many cases would require disclosure of confidential business information.

AGAINST

Annual Meetings

THE FUNDS will vote for proposals to amend procedures or change date or location of the annual meeting. Decisions as to procedures, dates or locations of meetings are best placed with management.

FOR

Alternatively, THE FUNDS will vote against proposals from shareholders calling for a change in the location or date of annual meetings as no date or location proposed will be acceptable to all shareholders.

AGAINST

THE FUNDS will generally vote in favor of proposals to reduce the quorum necessary for shareholders meetings, subject to a minimum of a simple majority of the company s outstanding voting shares.

FOR

Shareholder Advisory Committees/Independent Inspectors

THE FUNDS will vote against proposals seeking to establish shareholder advisory committees or independent inspectors. The existence of such bodies dilutes the responsibility of the board for managing the affairs of the corporation.

AGAINST

Technical Amendments to the Charter of Bylaws

THE FUNDS will generally vote in favor of charter and bylaw amendments proposed solely to conform to modern business practices, for simplification, or to comply with what management s counsel interprets as applicable law.

FOR

However, amendments that have a material effect on shareholder s rights will be considered on a case-by-case basis.

CASE-BY-CASE

Bundled Proposals

THE FUNDS will vote for bundled or conditional proxy proposals on a case-by-case basis, as THE FUNDS will examine the benefits and costs of the packaged items, and determine if the effect of the conditioned items are in the best interests of shareholders.

CASE-BY-CASE

Dividends

THE FUNDS will vote for proposals to allocate income and set dividends.

FOR

THE FUNDS will also vote for proposals that authorize a dividend reinvestment program as it allows investors to receive additional stock in lieu of a cash dividend.

FOR

However, if a proposal for a special bonus dividend is made that specifically rewards a certain class of shareholders over another, THE FUNDS will vote against the proposal.

AGAINST

THE FUNDS will also vote against proposals from shareholders requesting management to redistribute profits or restructure investments. Management is best placed to determine how to allocate corporate earnings or set dividends.

AGAINST

Reduce the Par Value of the Common Stock

THE FUNDS will vote for proposals to reduce the par value of common stock.

FOR

Preferred Stock Authorization

THE FUNDS will generally vote for proposals to create preferred stock in cases where the company expressly states that the stock will not be used as a takeover defense or carry superior voting rights, or where the stock may be used to consummate beneficial acquisitions, combinations or financings.

FOR

Alternatively, THE FUNDS will vote against proposals to authorize or issue preferred stock if the board has asked for the unlimited right to set the terms and conditions for the stock and may issue it for anti-takeover purposes without shareholder approval (blank check preferred stock).

AGAINST

In addition, THE FUNDS will vote against proposals to issue preferred stock if the shares to be used have voting rights greater than those available to other shareholders.

AGAINST

THE FUNDS will vote for proposals to require shareholder approval of blank check preferred stock issues for other than general corporate purposes (white squire placements).

FOR

Preemptive Rights

THE FUNDS will generally vote for proposals to eliminate preemptive rights. Preemptive rights are unnecessary to protect shareholder interests due to the size of most modern companies, the number of investors and the liquidity of trading.

FOR

Share Repurchase Plans

THE FUNDS will vote for share repurchase plans, unless:

FOR

1. there is clear evidence of past abuse of the authority; or

AGAINST

2. the plan contains no safeguards against selective buy-backs.

AGAINST

Corporate stock repurchases are a legitimate use of corporate funds and can add to long-term shareholder returns.

Executive and Director Compensation Plans

THE FUNDS will analyze on a case-by-case basis proposals on executive or director compensation plans, with the view that viable compensation programs reward the creation of stockholder wealth by having high payout sensitivity to increases in shareholder value. Such proposals may seek shareholder approval to adopt a new plan, or to increase shares reserved for an existing plan.

CASE-BY-CASE

THE FUNDS will review the potential cost and dilutive effect of the plan. After determining how much the plan will cost, ISS evaluates whether the cost is reasonable by comparing the cost to an allowable cap. The allowable cap is industry-specific, market cap-base, and pegged to the average amount paid by companies performing in the top quartile of their peer groups. If the proposed cost is below the allowable cap, THE FUNDS will vote for the plan. ISS will also apply a pay for performance overlay in assessing equity-based compensation plans for Russell 3000 companies.

FOR

If the proposed cost is above the allowable cap, THE FUNDS will vote against the plan.

AGAINST

Among the plan features that may result in a vote against the plan are:

3. plan administrators are given the authority to reprice or replace underwater options; repricing guidelines will conform to changes in the NYSE and NASDAQ listing rules.

AGAINST

THE FUNDS will vote against equity plans that have high average three-year burn rate. (The burn rate is calculated as the total number of stock awards and stock options granted any given year divided by the number of common shares outstanding.) THE FUNDS will define a high average three-year burn rate as the following: The company s most recent three-year burn rate exceeds one standard deviation of its four-digit GICS peer group

AGAINST

segmented by Russell 3000 index and non-Russell 3000 index; and the company s most recent three-year burn rate exceeds 2% of common shares outstanding. For companies that grant both full value awards and stock options to their employees, THE FUNDS shall apply a premium on full value awards for the past three fiscal years.

Even if the equity plan fails the above burn rate, THE FUNDS will vote for the plan if the company commits in a public filing to a three-year average burn rate equal to its GICS group burn rate mean plus one standard deviation. If the company fails to fulfill its burn rate commitment, THE FUNDS will consider withholding from the members of the compensation committee.

FOR

THE FUNDS will calculate a higher award value for awards that have Dividend Equivalent Rights (DER s) associated with them.

CASE-BY-CASE

THE FUNDS will generally vote for shareholder proposals requiring performance-based stock options unless the proposal is overly restrictive or the company demonstrates that it is using a substantial portion of performance-based awards for its top executives.

FOR

THE FUNDS will vote for shareholder proposals asking the company to expense stock options, as a result of the FASB final rule on expensing stock options.

FOR

THE FUNDS will generally vote for shareholder proposals to exclude pension fund income in the calculation of earnings used in determining executive bonuses/compensation.

FOR

THE FUNDS will generally vote for TSO awards within a new equity plan if the total cost of the equity plan is less than the company s allowable cap.

FOR

THE FUNDS will generally vote against shareholder proposals to ban future stock option grants to executives. This may be supportable in extreme cases where a company is a serial repricer, has a huge overhang, or has highly dilutive, broad-based (non-approved) plans and is not acting to correct the situation.

AGAINST

THE FUNDS will evaluate shareholder proposals asking companies to adopt holding periods for their executives on a case-by-case basis taking into consideration the company s current holding period or officer share ownership requirements, as well as actual officer stock ownership in the company.

CASE-BY-CASE

For certain OBRA-related proposals, THE FUNDS will vote for plan provisions that (a) place a cap on annual grants or amend administrative features, and (b) add performance criteria to existing compensation plans to comply with the provisions of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code.

FOR

In addition, director compensation plans may also include stock plans that provide directors with the option of taking all or a portion of their cash compensation in the form of stock. THE FUNDS will consider these plans based on their voting power dilution.

CASE-BY-CASE

THE FUNDS will generally vote for retirement plans for directors.

FOR

THE FUNDS will evaluate compensation proposals (Tax Havens) requesting share option schemes or amending an existing share option scheme on a case-by-case basis.

CASE-BY-CASE

Stock options align management interests with those of shareholders by motivating executives to maintain stock price appreciation. Stock options, however, may harm shareholders by diluting each owner s interest. In addition, exercising options can shift the balance of voting power by increasing executive ownership.

Bonus Plans

THE FUNDS will vote for proposals to adopt annual or long-term cash or cash-and-stock bonus plans on a case-by-case basis. These plans enable companies qualify for a tax deduction under the provisions of Section 162(m) of the IRC. Payouts under these plans may either be in cash or stock and are usually tied to the attainment of certain financial or other performance goals. THE FUNDS will consider whether the plan is comparable to plans adopted by companies of similar size in the company s industry and whether it is justified by the company s performance.

CASE-BY-CASE

Deferred Compensation Plans

THE FUNDS will generally vote for proposals to adopt or amend deferred compensation plans as they allow the compensation committee to tailor the plan to the needs of the executives or board of directors, unless

FOR

4. the proposal is embedded in an executive or director compensation plan that is contrary to guidelines

AGAINST

<u>Disclosure on Executive or Director Compensation Cap or Restrict Executive or Director Compensation</u>

THE FUNDS will generally vote for shareholder proposals requiring companies to report on their executive retirement benefits (deferred compensation, split-dollar life insurance, SERPs, and pension benefits.

FOR

THE FUNDS will generally vote for shareholder proposals requesting to put extraordinary benefits contained in SERP agreements to a shareholder vote, unless the company s executive pension plans do not contain excessive benefits beyond what is offered under employee-wide plans.

FOR

THE FUNDS will generally vote against proposals seek to limit executive and director pay.

AGAINST

Tax-Gross-Up Payments

THE FUNDS will examine on a case-by-case basis proposals calling for companies to adopt a policy of not providing tax gross-up payments to executives.

CASE-BY-CASE

Relocation Benefits

The FUNDS will not consider relocation benefits as a problematic pay practice in connection with management say-on-pay proposals.

Exchange Offers/Re-Pricing

The FUNDS will not vote against option exchange programs made available to executives and directors that are otherwise found acceptable.

Golden and Tin Parachutes

THE FUNDS will vote for proposals that seek shareholder ratification of golden or tin parachutes as shareholders should have the opportunity to approve or disapprove of these severance agreements.

FOR

Alternatively, THE FUNDS will examine on a case-by-case basis proposals that seek to ratify or cancel golden or tin parachutes. Effective parachutes may encourage management to consider takeover bids more fully and may also enhance employee morale and productivity. Among the arrangements that will be considered on their merits are:

CASE-BY-CASE

- 5. arrangements guaranteeing key employees continuation of base salary for more than three years or lump sum payment of more than three times base salary plus retirement benefits:
- 6. guarantees of benefits if a key employee voluntarily terminates;
- 7. guarantees of benefits to employees lower than very senior management; and
- 8. indemnification of liability for excise taxes.

By contrast, THE FUNDS will vote against proposals that would guarantee benefits in a management-led buyout.

AGAINST

Stakeholder Laws

THE FUNDS will vote against resolutions that would allow the Board to consider stakeholder interests (local communities, employees, suppliers, creditors, etc.) when faced with a takeover offer.

AGAINST

Similarly, THE FUNDS will vote for proposals to opt out of stakeholder laws, which permit directors, when taking action, to weight the interests of constituencies other than shareholders in the process of corporate decision-making. Such laws allow directors to consider nearly any factor they deem relevant in discharging their duties.

FOR

Mergers/Acquisitions and Corporate Restructurings

THE FUNDS will consider proposals on mergers and acquisitions on a case-by-case basis. THE FUNDS will determine if the transaction is in the best economic interests of the shareholders. THE FUNDS will take into account the following factors:

CASE-BY-CASE

- 9. anticipated financial and operating benefits;
- 10. offer price (cost versus premium);
- 11. prospects for the combined companies;
- 12. how the deal was negotiated;
- 13. changes in corporate governance and their impact on shareholder rights.

CASE-BY-CASE

In addition, THE FUNDS will also consider whether current shareholders would control a minority of the combined company s outstanding voting power, and whether a reputable financial advisor was retained in order to ensure the protection of shareholders interests.

On all other business transactions, i.e. corporate restructuring, spin-offs, asset sales, liquidations, and restructurings, THE FUNDS will analyze such proposals on a case-by-case basis and utilize the majority of the above factors in determining what is in the best interests of shareholders. Specifically, for liquidations, the cost versus premium factor may not be applicable, but THE FUNDS may also review the compensation plan for executives managing the liquidation.

CASE-BY-CASE

Table of Contents

Appraisal Rights

THE FUNDS will vote for proposals to restore, or provide shareholders with rights of appraisal.

FOR

Rights of appraisal provide shareholders who are not satisfied with the terms of certain corporate transactions (such as mergers) the right to demand a judicial review in order to determine the fair value of their shares.

Mutual Fund Proxies

THE FUNDS will vote mutual fund proxies on a case-by-case basis.

CASE-BY-CASE

Proposals may include, and are not limited to, the following issues:

- 14. eliminating the need for annual meetings of mutual fund shareholders;
- 15. entering into or extending investment advisory agreements and management contracts;
- 16. permitting securities lending and participation in repurchase agreements;
- 17. changing fees and expenses; and
- 18. changing investment policies.

APPENDIX B

TO

PROXY VOTING POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

Members of Funds Management Proxy Voting Committee

Thomas C. Biwer, CFA

Mr. Biwer has over 38 years of investment industry experience. He has served as an investment analyst, portfolio strategist, and corporate pension officer. He received B.S. and M.B.A. degrees from the University of Illinois and has earned the right to use the CFA designation.

Erik J. Sens, CFA

Mr. Sens has over 22 years of investment industry experience. He has served as an investment analyst and portfolio manager. He received undergraduate degrees in Finance and Philosophy from the University of San Francisco and has earned the right to use the CFA designation.

Travis L. Keshemberg, CFA

Mr. Keshemberg has over 17 years of investment industry experience. He has served as an overlay portfolio manager and investment consultant. He holds a Masters Degree from the University of Wisconsin Milwaukee and Bachelors degree from Marquette University. He has earned the right to use the CFA, CIPM and CIMA designations.

Aldo Ceccarelli, CFA

Mr. Ceccarelli has over 14 years of investment industry experience. He has served as a Fixed Income Analyst with responsibilities including portfolio manager selection and performance. He earned his bachelor s degree in business administration with an emphasis in economics from Santa Clara University. He has has earned the right to use the CFA designation and is a member of the CFA Institute and the CFA society of San Francisco.

Melissa Duller, CIMA, CFA

Ms. Duller has over 16 years of experience in the investment industry. She has served as an investment analyst, provides oversight for domestic equity strategies and assists with investment communications for core equity mutual funds, sector specific mutual funds, and closed-end funds. She has also provided research and communications for growth equity and international equity strategies as well as short-term and tax advantaged fixed income products. In addition, she has served as a regional investment manager for high net worth individuals, personal trusts, and charitable foundations.

ITEM 8. PORTFOLIO MANAGERS OF CLOSED-END MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES PORTFOLIO MANAGERS

Timothy O Brien

Mr. O Brien is a managing partner at Crow Point Partners LLC. Prior to founding Crow Point in 2006, he was a managing director and senior portfolio manager with the Value Equity team of Evergreen Investments Equity Management group. Mr. O Brien has been in the investment management industry since 1983.

Niklas Nordenfelt, CFA

Mr. Nordenfelt is currently managing director, senior portfolio manager with the Sutter High Yield Fixed Income team at Wells Capital Management. Niklas joined the Sutter High Yield Fixed Income team of Wells Capital Management in February 2003 as investment strategist. Niklas began his investment career in 1991 and has managed portfolios ranging from quantitative-based and tactical asset allocation strategies to credit driven portfolios. Previous to joining Sutter, Niklas was at Barclays Global Investors (BGI) from 1996-2002 where he was a principal. At BGI, he worked on their international and emerging markets equity strategies after having managed their asset allocation products. Prior to this, Niklas was a quantitative analyst at Fidelity and a portfolio manager and group leader at Mellon Capital Management. He earned a bachelor s degree in economics from the University of California, Berkeley, and has earned the right to use the CFA designation.

Phillip Susser

Mr. Susser is currently managing director, senior portfolio manager, and co-head of the Sutter High Yield Fixed Income team at Wells Capital Management. Philip joined the Sutter High Yield Fixed Income team as a senior research analyst in 2001. He has extensive research experience in the cable/satellite, gaming, hotels, restaurants, printing/publishing, telecom, REIT, lodging and distressed sectors. Philip s investment experience began in 1995 spending three years as a securities lawyer at Cahill Gordon and Shearman & Sterling representing underwriters and issuers of high yield debt. Later, Philip evaluated venture investment opportunities for MediaOne Ventures before joining Deutsche Bank as a research analyst. He received his bachelor s degree in economics from the University of Pennsylvania and his law degree from the University of Michigan Law School.

OTHER FUNDS AND ACCOUNTS MANAGED

The following table provides information about the registered investment companies and other pooled investment vehicles and accounts managed by the portfolio manager of the Fund as of the Fund s most recent year ended August 31, 2016.

Timothy O Brien

	Other	Registered	Other Poole	d Investment		
	Investme	nt Companies	Veh	icles	Other A	ccounts
I manage the following types of						
accounts:						
Number of above accounts		2		0		0
Total assets of above accounts (millions)	\$	750.0	\$	0	\$	0
performance based fee accounts:						

	Registered t Companies	Inve	r Pooled estment hicles	Other A	Accounts
I manage the following types of	-				
accounts:					
Number of above accounts	0		0		0
Total assets of above accounts (millions)	\$ 0.0	\$	0.0	\$	0.0

Niklas Nordenfelt

	Ir	er Registered nvestment ompanies	 oled Investment Tehicles	Accounts
I manage the following types of accounts:		•		
Number of above accounts		4	4	14
Total assets of above accounts (millions)	\$	1,793.6	\$ 414.0	\$ 1,693.2
performance based fee accounts:				

	Other Registered Investment Companies		In	her Pooled evestment Vehicles	Other Accounts	
I manage the following types of accounts:		-				
Number of above accounts		0		0		0
Total assets of above accounts (millions)	\$	0.0	\$	0.0	\$	0.0

Phillip Susser

		r Registered (ent Companies		led Investment	Accounts
I manage the following types of accounts:					
Number of above accounts		4		4	14
Total assets of above accounts (millions)	\$	1,793.6	\$	414.0	\$ 1,693.2
performance based fee accounts:					
	In	Other egistered vestment ompanies	Inv	er Pooled estment ehicles	Other ecounts
I manage the following types of accounts:					
Number of above accounts		0		0	0
Total assets of above accounts (millions)	\$	0.0	\$	0.0	\$ 0.0

MATERIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The Portfolio Managers face inherent conflicts of interest in their day-to-day management of the Funds and other accounts because the Funds may have different investment objectives, strategies and risk profiles than the other accounts managed by the Portfolio Managers. For instance, to the extent that the Portfolio Managers manage accounts with different investment strategies than the Funds, they may from time to time be inclined to purchase securities, including initial public offerings, for one account but not for a Fund. Additionally, some of the accounts managed by the Portfolio Managers may have different fee structures, including performance fees, which are or have the potential to be higher or lower, in some cases significantly higher or lower, than the fees paid by the Funds. The differences in fee structures may provide an incentive to the Portfolio Managers to allocate more favorable trades to the higher-paying accounts.

To minimize the effects of these inherent conflicts of interest, the Sub-Advisers have adopted and implemented policies and procedures, including brokerage and trade allocation policies and procedures, that they believe address the potential conflicts associated with managing portfolios for multiple clients and ensure that all clients are treated fairly and equitably. Additionally, some of the Sub-Advisers minimize inherent conflicts of interest by assigning the Portfolio Managers to accounts having similar objectives. Accordingly, security block purchases are allocated to all accounts with similar objectives in proportionate weightings. Furthermore, the Sub-Advisers have adopted a Code of Ethics under Rule 17j-1 of the 1940 Act and Rule 204A-1 under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 (the Advisers Act) to address potential conflicts associated with managing the Funds and any personal accounts the Portfolio Managers may maintain.

Crow Point.

Crow Point manages other investment vehicles, including some that may have investment objectives and strategies similar to the Fund some some that may have investment objectives and strategies similar to the Fund some some time to the Fund, particularly if the other funds and accounts have different objectives, benchmarks and time horizons. The portfolio manager may also be required to allocate his or her investment ideas across multiple funds and accounts. In addition, if a portfolio manager identifies a limited investment opportunity, such as an initial public offering, that may be suitable for more than one fund or other account, the Fund may not be able to take full advantage of that opportunity due to, for example, an allocation of that investment across all eligible funds and accounts. Further, security purchase and sale orders for multiple accounts often are aggregated for purpose of execution. Although such aggregation generally benefits clients, it may cause the price or brokerage costs to be less favorable to a particular client than if similar transactions were not being executed concurrently for other accounts. It may also happen that the Fund s advisor or subadvisor will determine that it would be in the best interest, and consistent with the investment policies, of another account to sell a security (including by means of a short sale) that the Fund holds long, potentially resulting in a decrease in the market value of the security held by the Fund.

The structure of a portfolio manager s or an investment advisor s compensation may create an incentive for the portfolio manager or investment advisor to favor accounts whose performance has a greater impact on such compensation. The portfolio manager may, for example, have an incentive to allocate favorable or limited opportunity investments or structure the timing of investments to favor such accounts. Similarly, if a portfolio manager holds a larger personal investment in one fund than he or she does in another, the portfolio manager may have an incentive to favor the fund in which he or she holds a larger stake.

In general, Crow Point has policies and procedures that attempt to address the various potential conflicts of interest described above. However, there is no guarantee that such procedures will detect or address each and every situation

where a conflict arises.

All employees of Crow Point are bound by the company s Code of Ethics and compliance policies and procedures. Crow Point s chief compliance officer monitors and reviews compliance regularly. Crow Point s Code of Ethics and compliance procedures have been reviewed and accepted by Wells Fargo Funds Management. In addition, side-by-side trading rules have been agreed between Wells Fargo Funds Management and Crow Point as part of existing sub-advisory arrangements which are intended to ensure that shareholders of the sub-advised Wells Fargo funds are treated equitably by Crow Point with respect to investments, trading and allocations.

Wells Capital Management

Wells Capital Management s Portfolio Managers often provide investment management for separate accounts advised in the same or similar investment style as that provided to mutual funds. While management of multiple accounts could potentially lead to conflicts of interest over various issues such as trade allocation, fee disparities and research acquisition, Wells Capital Management has implemented policies and procedures for the express purpose of ensuring that clients are treated fairly and that potential conflicts of interest are minimized.

COMPENSATION

The Portfolio Managers were compensated by their employing sub-adviser from the fees the Adviser paid the Sub-Adviser using the following compensation structure:

Crow Point. Portfolio managers at Crow Point are paid a fixed salary and participate in the profits of the firm in proportion to their equity ownership in the firm.

Wells Capital Management Compensation. The compensation structure for Wells Capital Management s Portfolio Managers includes a competitive fixed base salary plus variable incentives (Wells Capital Management utilizes investment management compensation surveys as confirmation). Incentive bonuses are typically tied to pretax relative investment performance of all accounts under his or her management within acceptable risk parameters. Relative investment performance is generally evaluated for 1, 3, and 5 year performance results, with a predominant weighting on the 3- and 5- year time periods, versus the relevant benchmarks and/or peer groups consistent with the investment style. This evaluation takes into account relative performance of the accounts to each account s individual benchmark and/or the relative composite performance of all accounts to one or more relevant benchmarks consistent with the overall investment style. In the case of each Fund, the benchmark(s) against which the performance of the Fund s portfolio may be compared for these purposes generally are indicated in the Performance sections of the Prospectuses.

BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP OF THE FUND

The following table shows for each Portfolio Manager the dollar value of the Fund beneficially owned by the Portfolio Manager as of August 31, 2016:

Timothy O Brien	\$ 10,000-\$50,000
Niklas Nordenfelt	none
Phillip Susser	none

ITEM 9. PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES BY CLOSED-END MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANY AND AFFILIATED PURCHASERS

Not applicable.

ITEM 10. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

There have been no material changes to the procedures by which shareholders may recommend nominees to the registrant s Board of Trustees that have been implemented since the registrant s last provided disclosure in response to

the requirements of this Item.

ITEM 11. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

- (a) The President and Treasurer have concluded that Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fund (the Fund) disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 30a-3(c) under the Investment Company Act of 1940) provide reasonable assurances that material information relating to the Fund is made known to them by the appropriate persons based on their evaluation of these controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days of the filing of this report.
- (b) There were no significant changes in the Fund s internal controls over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 30a-3(d) under the Investment Company Act of 1940) that occurred during the second fiscal quarter of the period covered by this report that materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant s internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

ITEM 12. EXHIBITS

- (a)(1) Code of Ethics pursuant to Item 2 of Form N-CSR is filed and attached hereto as Exhibit COE.
- (a)(2) Certification pursuant to Rule 30a-2(a) under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (17 CFR 270.30a-2(a)) is filed and attached hereto as Exhibit 99.CERT.
- (a)(3) Not applicable.
- (b) Certification pursuant to Rule 30a-2(b) under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (17 CFR 270.30a-2(b)) is filed and attached hereto as Exhibit 99.906CERT.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fund

By: /s/ Karla M. Rabusch Karla M. Rabusch President

Date: October 24, 2016

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the date indicated.

Wells Fargo Utilities and High Income Fund

By: /s/ Karla M. Rabusch Karla M. Rabusch President

Date: October 24, 2016

By: /s/ Nancy Wiser Nancy Wiser Treasurer

Date: October 24, 2016