EATON VANCE NEW YORK MUNICIPAL BOND FUND Form N-CSR November 24, 2015

#### **UNITED STATES**

#### SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form N-CSR

#### **CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED**

#### MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

**Investment Company Act File Number: 811-21148** 

Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Charter)

Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110 (Address of Principal Executive Offices)

Maureen A. Gemma

#### **Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110**

(Name and Address of Agent for Services)

(617) 482-8260

(Registrant s Telephone Number)

September 30

**Date of Fiscal Year End** 

September 30, 2015

**Date of Reporting Period** 

Item 1. Reports to Stockholders

# **Municipal Bond Funds**

# Annual Report

September 30, 2015

Municipal (EIM) California (EVM) New York (ENX)

**Commodity Futures Trading Commission Registration.** Effective December 31, 2012, the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) adopted certain regulatory changes that subject registered investment companies and advisers to regulation by the CFTC if a fund invests more than a prescribed level of its assets in certain CFTC-regulated instruments (including futures, certain options and swap agreements) or markets itself as providing investment exposure to such instruments. Each Fund has claimed an exclusion from the definition of the term commodity pool operator under the Commodity Exchange Act. Accordingly, neither the Funds nor the adviser with respect to the operation of the Funds is subject to CFTC regulation. Because of its management of other strategies, each Fund s adviser is registered with the CFTC as a commodity pool operator and a commodity trading advisor.

Fund shares are not insured by the FDIC and are not deposits or other obligations of, or guaranteed by, any depository institution. Shares are subject to investment risks, including possible loss of principal invested.

Annual Report September 30, 2015

# Eaton Vance

# Municipal Bond Funds

#### **Table of Contents**

Management s Discussion of Fund Performance	2
Performance and Fund Profile	
Municipal Bond Fund	3
California Municipal Bond Fund	4
New York Municipal Bond Fund	5

Endnotes and Additional Disclosures	6
Financial Statements	7
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	34
Federal Tax Information	35
Annual Meeting of Shareholders	36
Dividend Reinvestment Plan	37
Board of Trustees Contract Approval	39
Management and Organization	42
Important Notices	44

## Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2015

Management s Discussion of Fund Performance

#### Economic and Market Conditions

As the fiscal year began on October 1, 2014, municipal bonds were well into a rally that continued for the first four months of the period ended September 30, 2015. U.S. 10-year Treasury and municipal rates hit their low for the period around the end of January 2015. After that, municipal returns turned negative as a flood of new issuance, along with modest outflows from municipal mutual funds, put downward pressure on bond prices. For the period as a whole, however, municipal bonds delivered positive performance as gains during the rally in the opening months of the period outweighed losses during the selloff in the period s final eight months.

Going into the period, investors anticipated rising interest rates, driven by what was expected to be the Federal Reserve Board s (the Fed s) first rate hike since 2006. But while the U.S. economy continued to experience moderate growth and low inflation, fixed-income investors became increasingly concerned about declining growth in the eurozone, Japan and China. The result was strong worldwide demand for U.S. Treasurys that pushed Treasury rates down in the early months of the period, with municipal rates following.

Beginning in February 2015, however, rates in the short end of the yield curve began to creep upward, as investors believed the Fed was getting closer to a rate hike. In the following months, as European interest rates rose from historic lows, yields on longer maturity Treasurys climbed as well.

In August 2015, China surprised the markets by devaluing its currency. Oil prices continued their fall, causing investors to question whether the Fed would raise rates in September as many had expected. After a number of U.S. economic numbers came in weaker than expected, the Fed decided not to take action at its September meeting. Against this backdrop, many asset classes experienced dramatic volatility in August and September, although the municipal market remained relatively calm.

For the one-year period as a whole, the municipal yield curve flattened. For AAA-rated<sup>7</sup> issues, interest rates rose modestly in the one- to six-year part of the curve, while rates declined slightly in the seven- to 30-year part of the curve.

#### Fund Performance

For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2015, Municipal Bond Fund and New York Municipal Bond Fund shares at net asset value (NAV) outperformed the 4.44% return of the Funds benchmark, the Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index (the Index<sup>3</sup>, while California Municipal Bond Fund shares at NAV performed in line with the Index.

The Funds overall strategy is to invest primarily in higher quality bonds (rated A or higher). In managing the Funds, management employs leverage through Residual Interest Bond (RIB) financing<sup>6</sup> to seek to enhance the Funds tax-

exempt income. The use of leverage has the effect of achieving additional exposure to the municipal market, and thus magnifying a fund s exposure to its underlying investments in both up and down market environments. During this period of falling rates and rising prices in the medium- and long-maturity areas of the municipal yield curve, the use of leverage contributed to performance versus the Index which does not employ leverage for all three Funds.

Management hedges to various degrees against the greater potential risk of volatility caused by the use of leverage and investing in bonds at the long end of the yield curve, by using Treasury futures and/or interest-rate swaps. As a risk management tactic within the Funds overall strategy, interest rate hedging is intended to moderate performance on both the upside and the downside of the market. During this period of positive

performance by municipal bonds, the Funds Treasury futures hedge mitigated some of the upside and thus detracted modestly from the Funds performance relative to the unhedged Index.

#### Fund-specific Results

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund shares at NAV returned 5.69%, outperforming the 4.44% return of the Index. The main contributors to performance versus the Index included leverage, as mentioned earlier, an overweight and security selection in zero coupon bonds, and an overweight and security selection in the special tax sector. The chief detractors from performance relative to the Index were the Fund s hedging strategy, an underweight in BBB-rated bonds, and an underweight in the hospital sector, which was the best-performing sector in the Index during the period.

Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund shares at NAV returned 4.46%, performing in line with the 4.44% return of the Index. Leverage contributed to Fund performance versus the Index during the period, as did an overweight in zero coupon bonds. In contrast, detractors from performance relative to the Index included the Fund s hedging strategy, an underweight and security selection in the hospital sector, an underweight in BBB-rated bonds, and an overweight in pre-refunded bonds.

Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund shares at NAV returned 5.07%, outperforming the 4.44% return of the Index. Primary contributors to performance versus the Index included leverage, an overweight and security selection in the special tax sector, and security selection in AAA-rated bonds. Key detractors from performance versus the Index included the Fund s hedging strategy, an underweight in the hospital sector, and an underweight in BBB-rated bonds.

#### See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and includes management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month-end, please refer to eatonvance.com.

### Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2015

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

#### Portfolio Manager Cynthia J. Clemson

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	One Year	Five Years	Ten	Years
Fund at NAV	08/30/2002	5.69%	7.93%		5.89%
Fund at Market Price		6.14	4.45		4.99
Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index		4.44%	5.55%		5.02%
% Premium/Discount to NAV <sup>4</sup>					
					9.81%
Distributions <sup>5</sup>					
Total Distributions per share for the period				\$	0.766
Distribution Rate at NAV					5.52%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV					9.75%
Distribution Rate at Market Price					6.12%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price					10.81%
% Total Leverage <sup>6</sup>					

<i>h</i> Iotal Levelage	
Residual Interest Bond (RIB) Financing	38.75%
Fund Profile	

Credit Quality (% of total investments)7,8

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and includes management fees and other expenses. Fund

performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month-end, please refer to eatonvance.com.

# California Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2015

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

Portfolio Manager Craig R. Brandon, CFA

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	One Year	<b>Five Years</b>	Ter	1 Years
Fund at NAV	08/30/2002	4.46%	6.57%		4.94%
Fund at Market Price		8.55	3.57		4.57
Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index		4.44%	5.55%		5.02%
% Premium/Discount to NAV <sup>4</sup>					
					8.57%
Distributions <sup>5</sup>					
Total Distributions per share for the period				\$	0.684
Distribution Rate at NAV					5.38%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV					10.96%
Distribution Rate at Market Price					5.88%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price					11.98%
% Total Leverage <sup>6</sup>					20.15%

**RIB** Financing

Fund Profile

Credit Quality (% of total investments)7,8

39.17%

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and includes management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month-end, please refer to eatonvance.com.

New York Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2015

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

Portfolio Manager Craig R. Brandon, CFA

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	One Year	<b>Five Years</b>	Ter	1 Years
Fund at NAV	08/30/2002	5.07%	6.39%		5.23%
Fund at Market Price		8.14	3.67		4.95
Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index		4.44%	5.55%		5.02%
% Premium/Discount to NAV <sup>4</sup>					
					9.61%
Distributions <sup>5</sup>					
Total Distributions per share for the period				\$	0.718
Distribution Rate at NAV					5.15%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV					9.98%
Distribution Rate at Market Price					5.70%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price					11.04%
% Total Lavarage					

% Total Leverage <sup>6</sup>	
RIB Financing	38.29%
Fund Profile	

Credit Quality (% of total investments)7,8

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and includes management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month-end, please refer to eatonvance.com.

### **Municipal Bond Funds**

September 30, 2015

Endnotes and Additional Disclosures

- <sup>1</sup> The views expressed in this report are those of the portfolio manager(s) and are current only through the date stated at the top of this page. These views are subject to change at any time based upon market or other conditions, and Eaton Vance and the Fund(s) disclaim any responsibility to update such views. These views may not be relied upon as investment advice and, because investment decisions are based on many factors, may not be relied upon as an indication of trading intent on behalf of any Eaton Vance fund. This commentary may contain statements that are not historical facts, referred to as forward looking statements. The Fund s actual future results may differ significantly from those stated in any forward looking statement, depending on factors such as changes in securities or financial markets or general economic conditions, the volume of sales and purchases of Fund shares, the continuation of investment advisory, administrative and service contracts, and other risks discussed from time to time in the Fund s filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.
- <sup>2</sup> Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index is an unmanaged index of municipal bonds traded in the U.S. with maturities of 22 years or more. Unless otherwise stated, index returns do not reflect the effect of any applicable sales charges, commissions, expenses, taxes or leverage, as applicable. It is not possible to invest directly in an index.
- <sup>3</sup> Performance results reflect the effects of leverage. Performance since inception for an index, if presented, is the performance since the Fund s or oldest share class inception, as applicable.
- <sup>4</sup> The shares of the Fund often trade at a discount or premium from their net asset value. The discount or premium of the Fund may vary over time and may be higher or lower than what is quoted in this report. For up-to-date premium/discount information, please refer to http://eatonvance.com/closedend.
- <sup>5</sup> The Distribution Rate is based on the Fund s last regular distribution per share in the period (annualized) divided by the Fund s NAV or market price at the end of the period. The Fund s distributions may be comprised of amounts characterized for federal income tax purposes as tax-exempt income, qualified and non-qualified ordinary dividends, capital gains and nondividend distributions, also known as return of capital. The Fund will determine the federal income tax character of distributions paid to a shareholder after the end of the calendar year. This is reported on the IRS form 1099-DIV and provided to the shareholder shortly after each year-end. For information about the tax character of distributions made in prior calendar years, please refer to Performance-Tax Character of Distributions on the Fund s webpage available at eatonvance.com.

The Fund s distributions are determined by the investment adviser based on its current assessment of the Fund s long-term return potential. As portfolio and market conditions change, the rate of distributions paid by the Fund could change. Taxable-equivalent performance is based on the highest combined federal and state income tax rates, where applicable. Lower tax rates would result in lower tax-equivalent performance. Actual tax rates will vary depending on your income, exemptions and deductions. Rates do not include local taxes.

- <sup>6</sup> Fund employs RIB financing. The leverage created by RIB investments provides an opportunity for increased income but, at the same time, creates special risks (including the likelihood of greater price volatility). The cost of leverage rises and falls with changes in short-term interest rates. See Floating Rate Notes Issued in Conjunction with Securities Held in the notes to the financial statements for more information about RIB financing. RIB leverage represents the amount of Floating Rate Notes outstanding at period end as a percentage of Fund net assets plus Floating Rate Notes.
- <sup>7</sup> Ratings are based on Moody s, S&P or Fitch, as applicable. If securities are rated differently by the RATINGS agencies, the higher rating is applied. Ratings, which are subject to change, apply to the creditworthiness of the inderlying securities and not to the Fund or its shares. Credit ratings measure the quality of a bond based on the issuer s creditworthiness, with ratings ranging from AAA, being the highest, to D, being the lowest based on S&P s measures. Ratings of BBB or higher by S&P or Fitch (Baa or higher by Moody s) are considered to be investment- grade quality. Credit ratings are based largely on the ratings agency s analysis at the time of rating. The rating assigned to any particular security is not necessarily a

reflection of the issuer s current financial condition and does not necessarily reflect its assessment of the volatility of a security s market value or of the liquidity of an investment in the security. Holdings designated as Not Rated are not rated by the national ratings agencies stated above.

<sup>8</sup> The chart includes the municipal bonds held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds, consistent with the Portfolio of Investments.

Fund profile subject to change due to active management.

# Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2015

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 161.8%

Principal

		Amount	
Security	(000	s omitted)	Value
Education 15.2%			
California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of Southern California), 5.25%, 10/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$	9,750	\$ 10,859,940
Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Wesleyan University), 5.00%, 7/1/39(1)		14,700	16,671,858
Houston Higher Education Finance Corp., TX, (St. John s School), 5.25%, 9/1/33		3,985	4,402,429
Houston Higher Education Finance Corp., TX, (William Marsh Rice University), 5.00%, 5/15/35 <sup>(1)</sup>		15,000	17,213,850
Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Boston College), 5.50%, 6/1/27		5,810	7,526,971
Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Boston College), 5.50%, 6/1/30		8,325	10,710,945
Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvard University), 5.00%, 10/1/38		2,000	2,157,500
Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvard University), 5.50%, 11/15/36		8,790	10,017,436
New York Dormitory Authority, (Rockefeller University),			
$5.00\%, 7/1/40^{(1)}$		15,300	17,121,924
North Carolina Capital Facilities Finance Agency, (Duke University), 5.00%, 10/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup>		13,500	15,035,625
University of California, 5.25%, 5/15/39		3,730	4,196,772
University of California, Prerefunded to 5/15/19, 5.25%, 5/15/39		720	830,297
University of Colorado, (University Enterprise Revenue), 5.25%, 6/1/36 <sup>(1)</sup>		10,000	11,298,100