

BLACKROCK MUNIENHANCED FUND, INC.  
Form N-CSRS  
January 05, 2015

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

**FORM N-CSR**

**CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT  
COMPANIES**

Investment Company Act file number: 811-05739

Name of Fund: BlackRock MuniEnhanced Fund, Inc. (MEN)

Fund Address: 100 Bellevue Parkway, Wilmington, DE 19809

Name and address of agent for service: John M. Perlowski, Chief Executive Officer, BlackRock MuniEnhanced Fund,  
Inc., 55 East 52<sup>nd</sup> Street, New York, NY 10055

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (800) 882-0052, Option 4

Date of fiscal year end: 04/30/2015

Date of reporting period: 10/31/2014

Item 1 Report to Stockholders

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT (UNAUDITED)

**BlackRock MuniAssets Fund, Inc. (MUA)**

**BlackRock MuniEnhanced Fund, Inc. (MEN)**

**BlackRock MuniHoldings Fund, Inc. (MHD)**

**BlackRock MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc. (MUH)**

**BlackRock MuniHoldings Quality Fund, Inc. (MUS)**

**BlackRock Muni Intermediate Duration Fund, Inc. (MUI)**

**BlackRock MuniVest Fund II, Inc. (MVT)**

Not FDIC Insured May Lose Value No Bank Guarantee

## Table of Contents

	<b>Page</b>
<u>Shareholder Letter</u>	3
<b><u>Semi-Annual Report:</u></b>	
<u>Municipal Market Overview</u>	4
<u>The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging</u>	5
<u>Derivative Financial Instruments</u>	5
<u>Fund Summaries</u>	6
<u>Financial Statements:</u>	
<u>Schedules of Investments</u>	20
<u>Statements of Assets and Liabilities</u>	65
<u>Statements of Operations</u>	67
<u>Statements of Changes in Net Assets</u>	69
<u>Statements of Cash Flows</u>	73
<u>Financial Highlights</u>	75
<u>Notes to Financial Statements</u>	82
<u>Disclosure of Investment Advisory Agreements</u>	92
<u>Officers and Directors</u>	96
<u>Additional Information</u>	97

## Shareholder Letter

Dear Shareholder,

The final months of 2013 were generally positive for most risk assets such as equities and high yield bonds even as investors were grappling with uncertainty as to when and by how much the U.S. Federal Reserve would begin to gradually reduce (or taper) its asset purchase programs. Higher quality bonds and emerging market investments, however, struggled as Fed tapering became increasingly imminent. When the central bank ultimately announced its tapering plans in mid-December, equity investors reacted positively, as this action signaled the Fed's perception of real improvement in the economy.

Most asset classes moved higher in the first half of 2014 despite the pull back in Fed stimulus. The year got off to a rocky start, however, as a number of developing economies showed signs of stress and U.S. economic data weakened. Equities declined in January while bond markets found renewed strength from investors seeking relatively safer assets. Although these headwinds persisted, equities were back on the rise in February as investors were assuaged by increasing evidence that the soft patch in U.S. data was temporary and weather-related, and forecasts pointed to growth picking up later in the year.

In the months that followed, interest rates trended lower and bond prices climbed higher in the modest growth environment. Financial markets exhibited a remarkably low level of volatility despite rising tensions in Russia and Ukraine and signs of decelerating growth in China. Equity markets were resilient as investors focused on signs of improvement in the U.S. recovery, stronger corporate earnings, increased merger-and-acquisition activity and, perhaps most importantly, reassurance from the Fed that no changes to short-term interest rates were on the horizon.

In the ongoing low-yield environment, income-seeking investors moved into equities, pushing major indices to record levels. However, as stock prices continued to rise, investors became wary of high valuations and began shedding the stocks that had experienced significant price appreciation in 2013, particularly growth and momentum names. The broad rotation into cheaper valuations resulted in the strongest performers of 2013 struggling most in 2014, and vice versa. Especially hard hit were U.S. small cap and European stocks, where earnings growth had not kept pace with market gains. In contrast, emerging markets benefited from the trend after having suffered heavy selling pressure in early 2014.

Volatility ticked up in the middle of the summer. Markets came under pressure in July as geopolitical turmoil intensified in Gaza, Iraq and Ukraine and financial troubles boiled over in Argentina and Portugal. Investors regained some confidence in August, allowing markets to rebound briefly amid renewed comfort that the Fed would continue to keep rates low and hopes that the European Central Bank would increase stimulus. However, markets swiftly reversed in September as improving U.S. economic indicators raised concerns that the Fed would increase short-term interest rates sooner than previously anticipated. Global credit markets tightened as the U.S. dollar strengthened, ultimately putting a strain on investor flows. High valuations combined with impending rate hikes stoked increasing volatility in financial markets. Escalating geopolitical risks further fueled the fire. The U.S. renewed its involvement in Iraq and the European Union imposed additional sanctions against Russia, while Scottish voters contemplated separating from the United Kingdom.

U.S. risk assets made a comeback in October while other developed markets continued their descent. This divergence in market performance moved in tandem with economic momentum and central bank policy. As the U.S. economy continued to strengthen, the need for monetary policy accommodation diminished. Meanwhile, economies in other parts of the developed world decelerated and central banks in Europe and Japan implemented aggressive measures to stimulate growth.

U.S. large cap stocks were the strongest performers for the six- and 12-month periods ended October 31, 2014. U.S. small caps experienced significantly higher volatility than large caps, but nonetheless generated positive returns. International developed market equities broadly declined while emerging markets posted modest gains. Most fixed income assets produced positive results as rates generally fell. Tax-exempt municipal bonds benefited from a favorable supply-and-demand environment. Short-term interest rates remained near zero, keeping yields on money market securities close to historic lows.

At BlackRock, we believe investors need to think globally, extend their scope across a broad array of asset classes and be prepared to move freely as market conditions change over time. We encourage you to talk with your financial advisor and visit [blackrock.com](http://blackrock.com) for further insight about investing in today's markets.

Sincerely,

Edgar Filing: BLACKROCK MUNIENHANCED FUND, INC. - Form N-CSRS

Rob Kapito

President, BlackRock Advisors, LLC

*U.S. financial markets generally outperformed other parts of the world given stronger economic growth and corporate earnings, the continuation of low interest rates and the appeal of relative stability amid rising geopolitical uncertainty.*

Rob Kapito

President, BlackRock Advisors, LLC

**Total Returns as of October 31, 2014**

	<b>6-month</b>	<b>12-month</b>
U.S. large cap equities (S&P 500® Index)	8.22%	17.27%
U.S. small cap equities (Russell 2000® Index)	4.83	8.06
International equities (MSCI Europe, Australasia, Far East Index)	(4.83)	(0.60)
Emerging market equities (MSCI Emerging Markets Index)	3.74	0.64
3-month Treasury bills (BofA Merrill Lynch 3-Month U.S. Treasury Bill Index)	0.02	0.05
U.S. Treasury securities (BofA Merrill Lynch 10-Year U.S. Treasury Index)	4.29	5.21
U.S. investment-grade bonds (Barclays U.S. Aggregate Bond Index)	2.35	4.14
Tax-exempt municipal bonds (S&P Municipal Bond Index)	3.54	7.94
U.S. high yield bonds  (Barclays U.S. Corporate High Yield 2% Issuer Capped Index)	1.05	5.82

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Index performance is shown for illustrative purposes only. You cannot invest directly in an index.

THIS PAGE NOT PART OF YOUR FUND REPORT

3

## Municipal Market Overview

For the Reporting Period Ended October 31, 2014

### Municipal Market Conditions

The latter months of 2013 were challenging for municipal bond performance. Heightened uncertainty as to when the U.S. Federal Reserve (the Fed) would begin to reduce its bond-buying stimulus program (and by how much) caused interest rates to be volatile and generally move higher. (Bond prices fall as rates rise.) Municipal bond mutual funds saw strong outflows through year end when the Fed finally announced its plan to begin the gradual reduction of stimulus in January 2014. Relieved of anxiety around policy changes, investors again sought the relative safety of municipal bonds in the new year. Surprisingly, interest rates trended lower in the first half of 2014 even as the Fed pulled back on its open-market bond purchases. Softer U.S. economic data amid one of the harshest winters on record, coupled with reassurance from the Fed that short-term rates would remain low for a considerable amount of time, resulted in stronger demand for fixed income investments, with municipal bonds being one of the stronger performing sectors. Despite starting the period with negative flows, municipal bond funds finished the 12-month period ended October 31, 2014 with net inflows of approximately \$6.5 (based on data from the Investment Company Institute).

High levels of interest rate volatility in the latter half of 2013, particularly on the long end of the curve, resulted in a curtailment of tax-exempt issuance during the period. However, from a historical perspective, total new issuance for the 12 months ended October 31 remained relatively strong at \$317 billion (albeit meaningfully lower than the \$342 billion issued in the prior 12-month period). A noteworthy portion of new supply during this period was attributable to refinancing activity (roughly 45%) as issuers took advantage of lower interest rates to reduce their borrowing costs.

S&P Municipal Bond Index	
Total Returns as of October 31, 2014	
6 months:	3.54%
12 months:	7.94%

### A Closer Look at Yields

From October 31, 2013 to October 31, 2014, muni yields on AAA-rated 30-year municipal bonds decreased by 103 basis points ( bps ) from 4.04% to 3.01%, while 10-year rates decreased 37 bps from 2.44% to 2.07% and 5-year rates increased 6 bps from 1.06% to 1.12% (as measured by Thomson Municipal Market Data). Overall, the municipal yield curve remained relatively steep over the 12-month period even as the spread between 2- and 30-year maturities flattened by 105 bps and the spread between 2- and 10-year maturities flattened by 39 bps.

During the same time period, U.S. Treasury rates fell by 57 bps on 30-year and 22 bps on 10-year bonds, while moving up 28 bp in 5-years. Accordingly, tax-exempt municipal bonds outperformed Treasuries across the yield curve as investors sought to reduce interest rate risk later in the period. On the short and intermediate parts of the curve, the outperformance of municipal bonds versus Treasuries was driven largely by a supply/demand imbalance within the municipal market and a rotation from long-duration assets into short- and intermediate-duration investments given their lower sensitivity to interest rate movements. More broadly, municipal bonds benefited from the increased appeal of tax-exempt investing in the new higher tax rate environment. The asset class is known for its lower relative volatility and preservation of principal with an emphasis on income as tax rates rise. The municipal market continues to be an attractive avenue for investors seeking yield in the low-rate environment. However, opportunities have not been as broad-based as in 2011 and 2012, warranting a more tactical approach going forward.

### Financial Conditions of Municipal Issuers Continue to Improve

Following an extended period of nation-wide austerity and de-leveraging as states sought to balance their budgets, solid revenue growth exceeding pre-recession levels coupled with the elimination of more than 625,000 jobs in recent years have put state and local governments in a better financial position. Many local municipalities, however, continue to face increased health care and pension costs passed down from the state level. BlackRock maintains the view that municipal bond defaults will be minimal and remain in the periphery, and that the overall market is fundamentally sound. We continue to recognize that careful credit research, appropriate structure and security selection remain imperative amid uncertainty in a modestly improving economic environment.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Index performance is shown for illustrative purposes only. You cannot invest directly in an index.





## The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging

The Funds may utilize leverage to seek to enhance the yield and net asset value ( NAV ) of their common shares ( Common Shares ). However, these objectives cannot be achieved in all interest rate environments.

In general, the concept of leveraging is based on the premise that the financing cost of leverage, which will be based on short-term interest rates, will normally be lower than the income earned by a Fund on its longer-term portfolio investments purchased with the proceeds from leverage. To the extent that the total assets of the Fund (including the assets obtained from leverage) are invested in higher-yielding portfolio investments, the Fund's shareholders will benefit from the incremental net income. The interest earned on securities purchased with the proceeds from leverage is paid to shareholders in the form of dividends, and the value of these portfolio holdings is reflected in the per share NAV.

To illustrate these concepts, assume a Fund's Common Shares capitalization is \$100 million and it utilizes leverage for an additional \$30 million, creating a total value of \$130 million available for investment in longer-term income securities. If prevailing short-term interest rates are 3% and longer-term interest rates are 6%, the yield curve has a strongly positive slope. In this case, the Fund's financing costs on the \$30 million of proceeds obtained from leverage are based on the lower short-term interest rates. At the same time, the securities purchased by the Fund with the proceeds from leverage earn income based on longer-term interest rates. In this case, the Fund's financing cost of leverage is significantly lower than the income earned on the Fund's longer-term investments acquired from such leverage proceeds, and therefore the holders of Common Shares ( Common Shareholders ) are the beneficiaries of the incremental net income.

However, in order to benefit Common Shareholders, the return on assets purchased with leverage proceeds must exceed the ongoing costs associated with the leverage. If interest and other costs of leverage exceed the Fund's return on assets purchased with leverage proceeds, income to shareholders will be lower than if the Fund had not used leverage. Furthermore, the value of the Fund's portfolio investments generally varies inversely with the direction of long-term interest rates, although other factors can influence the value of portfolio investments. In contrast, the value of the Fund's obligations under its leverage arrangement generally does not fluctuate in relation to interest rates. As a result, changes in interest rates can influence the Fund's NAVs positively or negatively. Changes in the future direction of interest rates are very difficult to predict accurately, and there is no assurance that a Fund's intended leveraging strategy will be successful.

Leverage also will generally cause greater changes in the Funds' NAVs, market prices and dividend rates than comparable portfolios without leverage. In a declining market, leverage is likely to cause a greater decline in the net asset value and market price of a Fund's Common Shares than if the Fund were not leveraged. In addition, the Fund may be required to sell portfolio securities at inopportune times or at distressed values in order to comply with regulatory requirements applicable to the use of leverage or as required by the terms of leverage instruments, which may cause the Fund to incur losses. The use of leverage may limit the Fund's ability to invest in certain types of securities or use certain types of hedging strategies. The Fund will incur expenses in connection with the use of leverage, all of which are borne by Common Shareholders and may reduce income to the Common Shares.

To obtain leverage, each Fund has issued Variable Rate Demand Preferred Shares ( VRDP Shares ) or Variable Rate Muni Term Preferred Shares ( VMTP Shares ) (collectively, Preferred Shares ) and/or leveraged its assets through the use of tender option bond trusts ( TOBs ) as described in the Notes to Financial Statements.

Under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the 1940 Act ), each Fund is permitted to issue debt up to ~~33~~33% of its total managed assets or equity securities (e.g., Preferred Shares) up to 50% of its total managed assets. A Fund may voluntarily elect to limit its leverage to less than the maximum amount permitted under the 1940 Act. In addition, a Fund may also be subject to certain asset coverage, leverage or portfolio composition requirements imposed by the Preferred Shares' governing instruments or by agencies rating the Preferred Shares, which may be more stringent than those imposed by the 1940 Act.

If a Fund segregates or designates on its books and records cash or liquid assets having a value not less than the value of the Fund's obligations under the TOB (including accrued interest), a TOB will not be considered a senior security and will not be subject to the foregoing limitations and requirements under the 1940 Act.

## Derivative Financial Instruments

The Funds may invest in various derivative financial instruments, including financial futures contracts, as specified in Note 4 of the Notes to Financial Statements, which may constitute forms of economic leverage. Such derivative financial instruments are used to obtain exposure to a

## Edgar Filing: BLACKROCK MUNIENHANCED FUND, INC. - Form N-CSRS

security, index and/or market without owning or taking physical custody of securities or to hedge market and/or interest rate risks. Derivative financial instruments involve risks, including the imperfect correlation between the value of a derivative financial instrument and the underlying asset, possible default of the counterparty to the transaction or illiquidity of the derivative financial instrument. The Funds' ability to use a derivative financial instrument successfully depends on the investment advisor's ability to predict pertinent market movements accurately, which cannot be assured. The use of derivative financial instruments may result in losses greater than if they had not been used, may require a Fund to sell or purchase portfolio investments at inopportune times or for distressed values, may limit the amount of appreciation a Fund can realize on an investment, may result in lower dividends paid to shareholders and/or may cause a Fund to hold an investment that it might otherwise sell. The Funds' investments in these instruments are discussed in detail in the Notes to Financial Statements.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

OCTOBER 31, 2014

5

## Fund Summary as of October 31, 2014

BlackRock MuniAssets Fund, Inc.

**Fund Overview**

BlackRock MuniAssets Fund, Inc. s (MUA) (the Fund ) investment objective is to provide high current income exempt from federal income taxes by investing primarily in a portfolio of medium- to lower-grade or unrated municipal obligations, the interest on which, in the opinion of bond counsel to the issuer, is exempt from federal income taxes. The Fund seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing, under normal market conditions, at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax). The Fund invests at least 65% of its assets in municipal bonds that are rated in the medium to lower categories by nationally recognized rating services (for example, Baa or lower by Moody s Investors Service, Inc. ( Moody s ) or BBB or lower by Standard & Poor s Corporation ( S&P )) or non-rated securities which are of comparable quality. The Fund may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Fund s investment objective will be achieved.

**Performance**

For the six-month period ended October 31, 2014, the Fund returned 6.89% based on market price and 6.60% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper High Yield Municipal Debt Funds category posted an average return of 4.96% based on market price and 6.71% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions. The Fund s discount to NAV, which narrowed during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV.

The Fund benefited from income generated in the form of coupon payments from its portfolio of municipal bond holdings. The Fund also benefited from holding unrated, non-investment grade and low-rated investment grade bonds, which exhibited superior performance compared to higher quality investment grade bonds during the period. Concentrations in the health care, transportation, utilities, project finance and education sectors also were among the leading contributors to performance. The Fund s positioning with respect to duration (sensitivity to interest rate movements) also helped performance. The Fund s positioning along the yield curve, which favored longer-dated bonds, was an additional contributor as longer-dated bonds generally outperformed those with shorter maturities. The Fund s use of leverage provided both incremental return and income in an environment of declining interest rates. (Bond prices rise as yields fall).

The Fund maintained a short position in U.S. Treasury futures contracts in order to manage interest rate risk. With interest rates falling during the period, as prices rose, this position had a small negative impact on performance. The Fund s holding in New Jersey Economic Development Authority, which financed an energy facility for a casino in Atlantic City, New Jersey, experienced a substantial decline in value in the wake of the casino operator s bankruptcy filing last June. In addition, the Fund s investment in Central Falls Rhode Island Detention Facility Corporation suffered a material decline after the issuer filed for receivership and defaulted on its principal and interest payments.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

**Fund Information**

Symbol on New York Stock Exchange ( NYSE )	MUA
Initial Offering Date	June 25, 1993
Yield on Closing Market Price as of October 31, 2014 (\$13.35) <sup>1</sup>	5.62%
Tax Equivalent Yield <sup>2</sup>	9.93%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share <sup>3</sup>	\$0.0625
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share <sup>3</sup>	\$0.7500
Economic Leverage as of October 31, 2014 <sup>4</sup>	12%

<sup>1</sup> Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

## Edgar Filing: BLACKROCK MUNIENHANCED FUND, INC. - Form N-CSRS

<sup>2</sup> Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal federal tax rate of 43.4%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.

<sup>3</sup> The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.

<sup>4</sup> Represents TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Fund, including any assets attributable to TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques used by the Fund, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.

6 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

OCTOBER 31, 2014

## Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

	10/31/14	4/30/14	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$ 13.35	\$ 12.85	3.89%	\$ 13.46	\$ 12.61
Net Asset Value	\$ 14.05	\$ 13.56	3.61%	\$ 14.10	\$ 13.56

## Market Price and Net Asset Value History For the Past Five Years

## Overview of the Fund's Long-Term Investments

Sector Allocation	10/31/14	4/30/14
Health	24%	23%
Transportation	20	20
County/City/Special District/School District	14	16
Utilities	13	14
Corporate	10	12
Education	10	6
Tobacco	7	5
State	2	4
Credit Quality Allocation <sup>1</sup>	10/31/14	4/30/14
AA/Aa	16%	21%
A	12	9
BBB/Baa	24	27
BB/Ba	10	7
B	6	9
Not Rated <sup>2</sup>	32	27

<sup>1</sup> The investment advisor evaluates the credit quality of unrated investments based highest rating assigned by either S&P or Moody's if ratings differ. These rating agencies are independent, nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and are widely used. Investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BBB/Baa or higher. Below investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BB/Ba or lower. Investments designated N/R are not rated by either rating agency. Unrated investments do not necessarily indicate low credit quality. Credit quality ratings are subject to change.

<sup>2</sup> The investment advisor evaluates the credit quality of unrated investments based upon certain factors including but not limited to, credit ratings for similar investments and financial analysis of sectors and individual investments. Using this approach, the investment advisor has deemed certain of these unrated securities as investment grade quality. As of October 31, 2014 and April 30 2014, the market value of these securities was \$36,173,199, representing 6% and \$31,231,049, representing 4%, respectively, of the Fund's long-term investments.

Call/Maturity Schedule<sup>3</sup>

Calendar Year Ended December 31,

2014	8%
2015	4
2016	2
2017	7
2018	10

<sup>3</sup> Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.



## Fund Summary as of October 31, 2014

BlackRock MuniEnhanced Fund, Inc.

**Fund Overview**

BlackRock MuniEnhanced Fund, Inc. s (MEN) (the Fund ) investment objective is to provide shareholders with as high a level of current income exempt from federal income taxes as is consistent with its investment policies and prudent investment management. The Fund seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax). Under normal market conditions, the Fund invests primarily in long-term municipal bonds rated investment grade quality at the time of investment and invests primarily in long-term municipal bonds with maturities of more than ten years at the time of investment. The Fund may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Fund s investment objective will be achieved.

**Performance**

For the six-month period ended October 31, 2014, the Fund returned 3.37% based on market price and 6.99% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper General & Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category posted an average return of 5.65% based on market price and 7.38% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions. The Fund s discount to NAV, which widened during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV.

The Fund benefited from income generated in the form of coupon payments from its portfolio of municipal bond holdings. In addition, the Fund s use of leverage provided both incremental return and income in an environment of declining interest rates. (Bond prices rise as yields fall). The Fund s duration exposure (sensitivity to interest rate movements) contributed positively to performance as municipal interest rates declined during the period. The Fund s exposure to long-maturity bonds also benefited performance given that the yield curve flattened, with yields falling more significantly for longer-term bonds (those with maturities of 20 years and longer) than for intermediate- and short-term issues. Performance was also helped by the Fund s exposure to the utilities and transportation sectors.

In the strong market environment, there were no material detractors from the Fund s performance during the period.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

**Fund Information**

Symbol on NYSE	MEN
Initial Offering Date	March 2, 1989
Yield on Closing Market Price as of October 31, 2014 (\$11.28) <sup>1</sup>	6.44%
Tax Equivalent Yield <sup>2</sup>	11.38%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share <sup>3</sup>	\$0.0605
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share <sup>3</sup>	\$0.7260
Economic Leverage as of October 31, 2014 <sup>4</sup>	37%

<sup>1</sup> Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

<sup>2</sup> Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal federal tax rate of 43.4%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.

<sup>3</sup> The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.

- <sup>4</sup> Represents VRDP Shares and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Fund, including any assets attributable to VRDP Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques used by the Fund, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.



