EATON VANCE NEW YORK MUNICIPAL BOND FUND Form N-CSR November 27, 2013

#### **UNITED STATES**

#### SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

#### Form N-CSR

#### CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED

#### MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

**Investment Company Act File Number: 811-21148** 

**Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund** 

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Charter)

Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

Maureen A. Gemma

Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110

(Name and Address of Agent for Services)

(617) 482-8260

(Registrant s Telephone Number)

September 30

**Date of Fiscal Year End** 

**September 30, 2013** 

**Date of Reporting Period** 

### **Item 1. Reports to Stockholders**

# Municipal Bond Funds

# Annual Report

September 30, 2013

Municipal (EIM) California (EVM) New York (ENX)

Commodity Futures Trading Commission Registration. Effective December 31, 2012, the Commodity Futures Trading Commission ( CFTC ) adopted certain regulatory changes that subject registered investment companies and advisers to regulation by the CFTC if a fund invests more than a prescribed level of its assets in certain CFTC-regulated instruments (including futures, certain options and swap agreements) or markets itself as providing investment exposure to such instruments. Each Fund has claimed an exclusion from the definition of the term commodity pool operator under the Commodity Exchange Act and is not subject to the CFTC regulation. Because of its management of other strategies, each Fund s adviser is registered with the CFTC as a commodity pool operator.

Fund shares are not insured by the FDIC and are not deposits or other obligations of, or guaranteed by, any depository institution. Shares are subject to investment risks, including possible loss of principal invested.

#### Annual Report September 30, 2013

### Eaton Vance

## Municipal Bond Funds

#### **Table of Contents**

Management s Discussion of Fund Performance	2
Performance and Fund Profile	
Municipal Bond Fund California Municipal Bond Fund New York Municipal Bond Fund	4 5 6
Endnotes and Additional Disclosures	7
Financial Statements	8
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	36
Federal Tax Information	37
Annual Meeting of Shareholders	38
Dividend Reinvestment Plan	39
Board of Trustees Contract Approval	41
Management and Organization	44
Important Notices	47

#### **Municipal Bond Funds**

September 30, 2013

Management s Discussion of Fund Performance

#### **Economic and Market Conditions**

During the first seven months of the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013, interest rates declined to historic lows, driven by highly accommodative monetary policies instituted by central banks around the world. In December 2012, the U.S. Federal Reserve (the Fed) replaced Operation Twist, the central bank s swapping of its short-term holdings for long-term Treasury bonds, with outright purchases of \$45 billion of Treasuries each month. This was in addition to the monthly purchase of approximately \$40 billion of agency mortgage-backed securities that it had begun just a few months before. These actions combined to put extraordinary downward pressure on yields, driving investors to look for other sources of income. One beneficiary was the municipal bond market, which rallied during the first seven months of the period in response to strong investor demand.

In late May 2013, however, Fed Chairman Ben Bernanke surprised the markets by indicating that the Fed s \$85 billion in monthly asset purchases, known collectively as quantitative easing (QE), could be tapered sooner than most investors had expected. The negative effect on the bond market was swift and dramatic. Investors rushed to sell fixed-income assets in anticipation of rising interest rates, causing nearly every fixed-income asset class to decline dramatically in value.

Historic outflows had a particularly significant effect on the municipal bond market because, unlike other domestic fixed-income asset classes, the municipal market is primarily retail based and is generally impacted more by the actions of small individual investors than other fixed-income asset classes. Even after the Fed tried to temper its comments and calm the markets, moderating the outflows in other fixed-income classes, heavy selling in municipals continued through August 2013. The selling abated in September 2013, after the Fed surprised the markets again by postponing its tapering of QE that many investors had thought was imminent. The Barclays Municipal Bond Index<sup>2</sup> an unmanaged index of municipal bonds traded in the United States declined 2.21% for the one-year period, while the Funds benchmark, the Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index (the Index), lost 5.06%.

During the period, additional pressure on the municipal market came from the city of Detroit s bankruptcy filing on July 18, 2013 and heightened attention to Puerto

Rico s fiscal woes throughout September 2013. Although Detroit s bankruptcy was not a surprise, because the city s fiscal problems had been well-documented for many years, the bankruptcy s negative headlines injected additional fear into the municipal bond market. That fear, combined with Puerto Rico s well-publicized fiscal challenges, drove both institutional and retail investors to sell Puerto Rico bonds, exerting additional downward pressure on the market value of the bonds in late August and September 2013 as the Funds fiscal year was ending.

#### Fund Performance

For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013, Municipal Bond Fund, California Municipal Bond Fund and New York Municipal Bond Fund shares at net asset value (NAV) all underperformed the -5.06% return of the Index.

The Funds overall strategy is to invest normally in higher-quality bonds (rated Åor higher) with maturities of 10 years or more in order to capture their typically higher yields and a greater income stream.

Management hedges to various degrees against the greater potential risk of volatility at the long end of the yield curve by using Treasury futures and/or interest-rate swaps in seeking to mitigate risk. As a risk management tactic within the Funds—overall strategy, interest-rate hedging is intended to moderate performance on both the upside and the downside of the market. During this period of negative performance by municipal

bonds, the Funds hedging through Treasury futures mitigated some of the downside and, thus, added to the Funds performance relative to the Index.

The Funds use of leveragehurt performance versus the Index during the period. In managing the Funds, management holds leverage to seek to enhance the Funds tax-exempt income. The use of leverage has the effect of achieving additional exposure to the municipal market. Leverage magnifies a fund s exposure to its underlying investments in both up and down markets. During this period of negative performance by municipal bonds, leverage was a key detractor from the Funds performance versus the Index.

#### Fund-specific Results

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund shares at NAV returned -7.59%, underperforming the -5.06% return of the Index. The chief detractors from performance versus the Index were leverage, security selection in the education sector and

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and includes management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

#### **Municipal Bond Funds**

September 30, 2013

Management s Discussion of Fund Performance continued

security selection in bonds with maturities of 10-20 years. The main contributors to performance relative to the Index were an underweighting and security selection in Puerto Rico bonds, security selection in zero-coupon bonds and BBB-rated bonds, and the Fund s hedging strategy.

Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund shares at NAV returned -6.18%, underperforming the -5.06% return of the Index. In addition to leverage, detractors from results versus the Index included security selection in AAA-rated bonds and an underweighting in the housing sector. Contributors to relative performance versus the Index included an under-weighting and security selection in both Puerto Rico bonds and BBB-rated bonds. Security selection in zero-coupon bonds and the Fund shedging strategy aided results versus the Index as well.

Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund shares at NAV returned -7.16%, underperforming the -5.06% return of the Index. Key detractors from performance versus the Index included leverage, security selection in AAA-rated bonds and security selection in electric utility bonds. Significant contributors to performance versus the Index included the Fund shedging strategy and underweights in Puerto Rico bonds, BBB-rated bonds and bonds with maturities of 30 years or more.

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and includes management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

### Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2013

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

Portfolio Manager William H. Ahern, Jr., CFA

% Average Annual Total Returns	<b>Inception Date</b>	One Year	Five Years	Ten Years
Fund at NAV	08/30/2002	-7.59%	9.40%	5.14%
Fund at Market Price		-15.17	7.97	5.32
Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index		-5.06%	7.24%	4.82%

9	% Premium/Discount to NAV	
		-5.86%

Distributions <sup>5</sup>	
Total Distributions per share for the period	\$ 0.766
Distribution Rate at NAV	6.24%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV	11.02%
Distribution Rate at Market Price	6.63%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price	11.71%

% Total Leverage <sup>6</sup>	
Residual Interest Bond (RIB)	42.17%

Fund Profile

The above chart includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles established in connection with the RIB financing.<sup>6</sup> Absent such securities, credit quality (% of total investments) is as follows:<sup>7</sup>

AAA	10.6%	BBB	4.9%
AA	59.4	BB	0.6
A	23.9	Not Rated	0.6

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and includes management fees and other expenses. Fund

performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

### California Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2013

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

Portfolio Manager Cynthia J. Clemson

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	One Year	Five Years	Ten Years
Fund at NAV	08/30/2002	-6.18%	7.29%	4.37%
Fund at Market Price		-13.60	5.41	3.82
Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index		-5.06%	7.24%	4.82%

## % Premium/Discount to NAV<sup>4</sup> -10.25%

Distributions <sup>5</sup>	
Total Distributions per share for the period	\$ 0.654
Distribution Rate at NAV	5.68%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV	11.58%
Distribution Rate at Market Price	6.33%
Taxable, Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price	12 90%

% Total Leverage <sup>6</sup>	
RIB	42.46%

Fund Profile

The above chart includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles established in connection with the RIB financing.<sup>6</sup> Absent such securities, credit quality (% of total investments) is as follows:<sup>7</sup>

AAA	11.8%	BBB	3.8%
AA	55.5	Not Rated	2.8
A	26.1		

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and includes management fees and other expenses. Fund

performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

### New York Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2013

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

Portfolio Manager Craig R. Brandon, CFA

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	One Year	Five Years	Ten Years
Fund at NAV	08/30/2002	-7.16%	8.05%	4.60%
Fund at Market Price		-17.05	7.20	4.33
Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index		-5.06%	7.24%	4.82%

% Premium/Discount to NAV*	
	-9 42%

Distributions <sup>5</sup>	
Total Distributions per share for the period	\$ 0.688
Distribution Rate at NAV	5.40%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV	10.46%
Distribution Rate at Market Price	5.96%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price	11 55%

% Total Leverage <sup>6</sup>	
RIB	41.34%
Fund Profile	

The above chart includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles established in connection with the RIB financing.<sup>6</sup> Absent such securities, credit quality (% of total investments) is as follows:<sup>7</sup>

AAA	13.8%	BBB	7.1%
AA	49.4	Not Rated	1.5
A	28.2		

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and includes management fees and other expenses. Fund

performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

#### **Municipal Bond Funds**

September 30, 2013

**Endnotes and Additional Disclosures** 

- The views expressed in this report are those of the portfolio manager(s) and are current only through the date stated at the top of this page. These views are subject to change at any time based upon market or other conditions, and Eaton Vance and the Fund(s) disclaim any responsibility to update such views. These views may not be relied upon as investment advice and, because investment decisions are based on many factors, may not be relied upon as an indication of trading intent on behalf of any Eaton Vance fund. This commentary may contain statements that are not historical facts, referred to as forward looking statements. The Fund s actual future results may differ significantly from those stated in any forward looking statement, depending on factors such as changes in securities or financial markets or general economic conditions, the volume of sales and purchases of Fund shares, the continuation of investment advisory, administrative and service contracts, and other risks discussed from time to time in the Fund s filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.
- <sup>2</sup> Barclays Municipal Bond Index is an unmanaged index of municipal bonds traded in the U.S. Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index is an unmanaged index of municipal bonds traded in the U.S. with maturities of 22 years or more. Unless otherwise stated, index returns do not reflect the effect of any applicable sales charges, commissions, expenses, taxes or leverage, as applicable. It is not possible to invest directly in an index.
- <sup>3</sup> Performance results reflect the effects of leverage. Performance since inception for an index, if presented, is the performance since the Fund s or oldest share class inception, as applicable.
- <sup>4</sup> The shares of the Fund often trade at a discount or premium from their net asset value. The discount or premium of the Fund may vary over time and may be higher or lower than what is quoted in this report. For up-to-date premium/discount information, please refer to <a href="http://eatonvance.com/closedend">http://eatonvance.com/closedend</a>.
- <sup>5</sup> The Distribution Rate is based on the Fund s last regular distribution per share in the period (annualized) divided by the Fund s NAV or market price at the end of the period. The Fund s distributions may be comprised of amounts characterized for federal income tax purposes as tax-exempt income, ordinary income, net realized capital gains and nondividend distributions, also known as return of capital. For additional information about nondividend distributions, please refer to Eaton Vance Closed-End Fund Distribution Notices (19a) posted on our website, eatonvance.com. The Fund will determine the federal income tax character of distributions paid to a shareholder after the end of the calendar year. This is reported on the IRS form 1099-DIV and provided to the shareholder shortly after each year-end. The Fund s distributions are determined by the investment adviser based on its current assessment of the Fund s long-term return potential. As portfolio and market conditions change, the rate of distributions paid by the Fund could change. Taxable-equivalent performance is based on the highest combined federal and state income tax rates, where applicable. Lower tax rates would result in lower tax-equivalent performance. Actual tax rates will vary depending on your income, exemptions and deductions. Rates do not include local taxes.
- <sup>6</sup> Fund employs RIB financing. The leverage created by RIB investments provides an opportunity for increased income but, at the same time, creates special risks (including the likelihood of greater price volatility). The cost of leverage rises and falls with changes in short-term interest rates. See Floating Rate Notes Issued in Conjunction with Securities Held in the notes to the financial statements for more information about RIB financing. RIB leverage represents the amount of Floating Rate Notes outstanding at period end as a percentage of Fund net assets plus Floating Rate Notes.
- <sup>7</sup> Ratings are based on Moody s, S&P or Fitch, as applicable. Ratings, which are subject to change, apply to the creditworthiness of the issuers of the underlying securities and not to the Fund or its shares. Credit ratings measure the quality of a bond based on the issuer s creditworthiness, with ratings ranging from AAA, being the highest, to D, being the lowest based on S&P s measures. Ratings of BBB or higher by Standard and Poor s or Fitch (Baa or higher by Moody s) are considered to be investment grade quality. Credit ratings are based largely on the rating agency s analysis at the time of rating. The rating assigned to any particular security is not necessarily a reflection of the issuer s current financial condition and does not necessarily reflect its assessment of the volatility of a security s market value or of the liquidity of an investment in the security. If securities are rated differently by the rating agencies, the higher rating is applied. Holdings designated as Not Rated are not rated by the national rating agencies stated above.

Fund profile subject to change due to active management.

## Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2013

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Municipal Securities 171.0%

Security	Principal Amount (000 s omitted)	Value
Education 16.6%  California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of Southern California), 5.25%, 10/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup> Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Wesleyan University), 5.00%, 7/1/39 <sup>(1)</sup> Houston, TX, Higher Education Finance Corp., (William Marsh Rice University), 5.00%, 5/15/35 <sup>(1)</sup> Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Boston College), 5.50%, 6/1/27 Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Boston College), 5.50%, 6/1/30 Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvard University), 5.00%, 10/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup> Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvard University), 5.50%, 11/15/36 New York Dormitory Authority, (Rockefeller University), 5.00%, 7/1/40 <sup>(1)</sup> North Carolina Capital Facilities Finance Agency, (Duke University), 5.00%, 10/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup> Tennessee School Bond Authority, 5.50%, 5/1/38 University of California, 5.25%, 5/15/39 University of Colorado, (University Enterprise Revenue), 5.25%, 6/1/36 <sup>(1)</sup> University of Massachusetts Building Authority, 5.00%, 11/1/39 <sup>(1)</sup>	14,700 15,000 5,810 8,325 2,000 8,790 15,300 13,500 5,000 4,450	10,443,127 15,244,194 16,451,550 6,867,711 9,596,228 2,102,060 9,780,633 16,134,615 14,359,680 5,372,550 4,729,816 10,722,500 14,827,475
University of North Carolina at Charlotte, 5.00%, 4/1/32	2,090	2,225,432
Electric Utilities 3.7%  JEA St. Johns River Power Park System Revenue, FL, 4.00%, 10/1/32 <sup>(1)</sup> Pima County, AZ, Industrial Development Authority, (Tucson Electric Power Co.), 5.25%, 10/1/40  South Carolina Public Service Authority, (Santee Cooper), 5.50%, 1/1/38  Wyandotte County/Kansas City, KS, Unified Government Board of Public Utilities, 5.00%, 9/1/36	\$ 10,000 \$ 10,000 7,110 3,425	9,689,000 9,928,600 7,517,118 3,542,512
	\$	30,677,230
Security	Principal Amount (000 s omitted)	Value
General Obligations 16.1% Bloomfield Hills Schools, MI, 4.00%, 5/1/37 Chicago Park District, IL, (Harbor Facilities), 5.25%, 1/1/37 <sup>(1)</sup> City & County of San Francisco, CA, (Earthquake Safety & Emergency Response), 4.00%, 6/15/27 Delaware Valley, PA, Regional Finance Authority, 5.75%, 7/1/32 Florida Board of Education, 5.00%, 6/1/31 Hawaii, 5.00%, 12/1/29	\$ 750 \$ 8,320 9,080 3,000 10,000 7,620	710,437 8,618,522 9,300,281 3,169,950 10,881,600 8,402,879

Hawaii, 5.00%, 12/1/30	6,500	7,119,515
Klein, TX, Independent School District, (PSF Guaranteed), 5.00%, 2/1/36 <sup>(1)</sup>	2,000	2,122,040
Mississippi, (Capital Improvements Projects), 5.00%, 10/1/30 <sup>(1)</sup>	10,000	10,871,700
Mississippi, (Capital Improvements Projects), 5.00%, 10/1/36	15	15,850
Mississippi, 5.00%, 10/1/36 <sup>(1)</sup>	12,075	12,759,411
New York, 5.00%, 12/15/30	2,660	2,902,725
New York, NY, 5.00%, 10/1/32	10,000	10,679,600
North East Independent School District, TX, (PSF Guaranteed), 5.25%, 2/1/28	2,000	2,353,400
Northside Independent School District, TX, (PSF Guaranteed), 5.00%, 6/15/35	180	191,353
Northside Independent School District, TX, (PSF Guaranteed), 5.00%, 6/15/35 <sup>(1)</sup>	12,250	13,022,607
Oregon, 5.00%, 8/1/35 <sup>(1)</sup>	6,750	7,167,217
Oregon, 5.00%, 8/1/36	2,000	2,116,740
Port of Houston Authority of Harris County, TX, 5.00%, 10/1/35	7,500	8,282,775
Virginia Beach, VA, 4.00%, 4/1/27	690	715,958
Virginia Beach, VA, 4.00%, 4/1/28	2,820	2,897,832
Washington, 4.00%, 7/1/28 <sup>(1)</sup>	10,000	10,169,700
		\$ 134,472,092

#### Hospital 10.0%

Hospital 10.0%		
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), 5.25%, 3/1/27	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,063,800
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), 5.25%, 3/1/28	1,770	1,864,872
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Cedars-Sinai Medical Center), 5.00%, 8/15/39	11,570	11,695,419
Camden County, NJ, Improvement Authority, (Cooper Health System), 5.00%, 2/15/35	2,520	2,337,199
Camden County, NJ, Improvement Authority, (Cooper Health System), 5.25%, 2/15/27	860	860,086
Camden County, NJ, Improvement Authority, (Cooper Health System), 5.75%, 2/15/34	4,535	4,549,013

8

## Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2013

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	Principal Amount (000 s omitted	) Value
Hospital (continued) Hawaii Department of Budget and Finance, (Hawaii Pacific Health), 5.50%, 7/1/38 <sup>(2)</sup> Hawaii Department of Budget and Finance, (Hawaii Pacific Health), 5.60%, 7/1/33 Highlands County, FL, Health Facilities Authority, (Adventist Health System), 5.25%, 11/15/36 Knox County, TN, Health, Educational and Housing Facilities Board, (Covenant Health), 0.00%, 1/1/38 Knox County, TN, Health, Educational and Housing Facilities Board, (Covenant Health), 0.00%, 1/1/41 Michigan Hospital Finance Authority, (Henry Ford Health System), 5.25%, 11/15/46 New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Robert Wood Johnson University Hospital), 5.25%, 7/1/35 Tarrant County, TX, Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corp., (Cook Children s Medical Center), 5.25%, 12/1/39 Tarrant County, TX, Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corp., (Scott & White Healthcare), 5.25%, 8/15/40 West Virginia Hospital Finance Authority, (United Health System), 5.375%, 6/1/38 <sup>(2)</sup> Wisconsin Health & Educational Facilities Authority, (Ascension Health Alliance Senior Credit Group), 5.00%, 11/15/41 Wisconsin Health & Educational Facilities Authority, (Ascension Health Alliance Senior Credit Group), 5.00%, 11/15/41	\$ 2,790 3,900 7,190 8,310 10,000 5,355 4,385 7,500 6,105 7,605 35	3,901,755 7,292,889 2,057,307 2,053,900 5,161,684 4,524,837
	11,000	
		\$ 83,617,381
Industrial Development Revenue 0.8%  Maricopa County, AZ, Pollution Control Corp., (El Paso Electric Co.), 4.50%, 8/1/42  St. John Baptist Parish, LA, (Marathon Oil Corp.), 5.125%, 6/1/37	\$ 4,245 2,840	\$ 3,689,414 2,818,615 \$ <b>6,508,029</b>
Insured Education 3.1%  Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (College of the Holy Cross), (AMBAC), 5.25%, 9/1/32 <sup>(3)</sup> Miami-Dade County, FL, Educational Facilities Authority, (University of Miami), (AMBAC), (BHAC), 5.00%, 4/1/31	\$ 15,900 7,865	\$ 17,764,593 8,219,318 \$ 25,983,911
Security	Principal Amount (000 s omittee	. , ,
Insured Electric Utilities 3.8%  American Municipal Power-Ohio, Inc., OH, (Prairie State Energy Campus), (AGC), 5.75%, 2/15/39  Long Island Power Authority, NY, Electric System Revenue, (BHAC), 5.50%, 5/1/33  Mississippi Development Bank, (Municipal Energy), (XLCA), 5.00%, 3/1/41  Paducah, KY, Electric Plant Board, (AGC), 5.25%, 10/1/35  South Carolina Public Service Authority, (Santee Cooper), (BHAC), 5.50%, 1/1/38	\$ 5,000 1,350 13,895 2,735 7,840	\$ 5,312,350 1,487,538 13,353,512 2,886,054 8,641,326

		\$ 31,680,780
Insured Escrowed / Prerefunded 0.6% Centre County, PA, Hospital Authority, (Mount Nittany Medical Center), (AGC), Prerefunded to 11/15/14, 6.125%, 11/15/39 Centre County, PA, Hospital Authority, (Mount Nittany Medical Center), (AGC), Prerefunded to 11/15/14, 6.25%, 11/15/44	\$ 3,950 1,050	\$ 4,211,451 1,120,980
		\$ 5,332,431
Insured General Obligations 11.2%		
Cincinnati, OH, City School District, (AGM), (FGIC), 5.25%, 12/1/30	\$ 3,750	\$ 4,290,150
Clark County, NV, (AMBAC), 2.50%, 11/1/36	11,845	7,978,437
Frisco, TX, Independent School District, (AGM), (PSF Guaranteed), 2.75%, 8/15/39	9,530	7,349,345
Kane, Cook and DuPage Counties, IL, School District No. 46, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 1/1/22	39,750	29,277,068
King County, WA, Public Hospital District No. 1, (AGC), 5.00%, 12/1/37 <sup>(1)</sup>	7,000	7,106,890
Palm Springs, CA, Unified School District, (AGC), 5.00%, 8/1/32	8,955	9,347,766
Port Arthur, TX, Independent School District, (AGC), 4.75%, 2/15/38	95	95,517
Port Arthur, TX, Independent School District, (AGC), 4.75%, 2/15/38 <sup>(1)</sup>	10,950	11,009,568
Schaumburg, IL, (BHAC), (FGIC), 5.00%, 12/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup>	12,750	13,454,942
Yuma and La Paz Counties, AZ, Community College District, (Arizona Western College), (NPFG), 3.75%, 7/1/31	4,275	4,061,464
		\$ 93,971,147
Insured Hospital 16.6%		
Arizona Health Facilities Authority, (Banner Health), (BHAC), 5.375%, 1/1/32	\$ 8,250	\$ 8,610,360

## Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2013

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security		Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Insured Hospital (continued) California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Sutter Health), (AGM), 5.05%, 8/15/38 <sup>(1)</sup> Colorado Health Facilities Authority, (Catholic Health), (AGM), 5.10%, 10/1/41 <sup>(1)</sup> Highlands County, FL, Health Facilities Authority, (Adventist Health System), (BHAC), 5.25%, 11/15/36 <sup>(1)</sup> Highlands County, FL, Health Facilities Authority, (Adventist Health System), (NPFG), 5.00%, 11/15/35 Illinois Finance Authority, (Children s Memorial Hospital), (AGC), 5.25%, 8/15/47) Indiana Health and Educational Facility Finance Authority, (Sisters of St. Francis Health Services), (AGM), 5.25%, 5/15/41 <sup>(1)</sup> Iowa Finance Authority, Health Facilities, (Iowa Health System), (AGC), 5.625%, 8/15/37 Maricopa County, AZ, Industrial Development Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), (BHAC), 5.25%, 7/1/32 Maryland Health and Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (LifeBridge Health), (AGC), 4.75%, 7/1/47 <sup>(1)</sup> New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Meridian Health System), Series II, (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/38 New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Meridian Health System), Series V, (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/38 New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Wirtidan Health System), Series V, (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/38 Washington Health Care Facilities Authority, (MultiCare Health System), (AGC), 5.50%, 7/1/38 Washington Health Care Facilities Authority, (Providence Health Care), Series C, (AGM), 5.25%, 10/1/33 <sup>(1)</sup> Washington Health Care Facilities Authority, (Providence Health Care), Series D, (AGM), 5.25%, 10/1/33 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$	11,000 11,500 15,500 3,795 15,000 2,500 2,625 1,675 19,150 535 405 3,200 13,115 5,795 8,700 12,605	1 1 1 1	1,060,720 1,539,100 6,369,550 3,811,091 5,066,144 2,521,650 2,772,367 1,786,388 8,661,292 542,752 410,868 3,246,368 3,514,221 6,201,635 9,190,854 3,378,443
			\$ 13	8,683,803
Insured Industrial Development Revenue 1.1% Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority, (Aqua Pennsylvania, Inc.), (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/39 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$	,,,,,,,		9,153,900 <b>9,153,900</b>
Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Insured Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 5.0%  New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (School Facilities Construction), (AGC), 5.50%, 12/15/34  San Diego County, CA, Water Authority, Certificates of Participation, (AGM), 5.00%, 5/1/38(1)  Tri-Creek Middle School Building Corp., IN, (AGM), 5.25%, 1/15/34(1)	\$	2,910 24,000 13,000	2	3,170,998 5,000,800 3,392,600
Insured Other Revenue 4.5% Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corp., CA, (AGC), 5.00%, 6/1/45 <sup>(1)</sup> Harris County-Houston, TX, Sports Authority, (NPFG), 0.00%, 11/15/34	\$	25,875 16,795		25,710,176 4,539,353

New York, NY, Industrial Development Agency, (Yankee Stadium), (AGC), 7.00%, 3/1/49	6,750	7,864,020
		\$ 38,113,549
Insured Solid Waste 0.6% Palm Beach County, FL, Solid Waste Authority, (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/24 Palm Beach County, FL, Solid Waste Authority, (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/26	\$ 2,760 1,575	\$ 3,157,937 1,764,220
		\$ 4,922,157
Insured Special Tax Revenue 6.3% Alabama Public School and College Authority, (AGM), 2.50%, 12/1/27 Houston, TX, Hotel Occupancy Tax, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 9/1/24 Miami-Dade County, FL, Professional Sports Franchise Facilities, (AGC), 7.00%, (0.00% until 10/1/19), 10/1/39 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45 Utah Transportation Authority, Sales Tax Revenue, (AGM), 4.75%, 6/15/32(1)	\$ 17,940 18,035 15,000 28,945 10,800	\$ 15,192,848 10,763,288 11,684,700 3,662,121 11,083,175 \$ 52,386,132
Insured Student Loan 0.9% Maine Educational Loan Authority, (AGC), 5.625%, 12/1/27	\$ 7,150	\$ 7,670,592 \$ 7,670,592
Insured Transportation 22.9% Chicago, IL, (O Hare International Airport), (AGM), 4.75%, 1/1/34)	\$ 21,640	\$ 20,874,162

10

## Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2013

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000	Principal Amount 0 s omitted)	Value
Insured Transportation (continued) Chicago, IL, (O Hare International Airport), (AGM), 5.00%, 1/1/28 Chicago, IL, (O Hare International Airport), (AGM), 5.00%, 1/1/29 Chicago, IL, (O Hare International Airport), (AGM), 5.125%, 1/1/30 Chicago, IL, (O Hare International Airport), (AGM), 5.125%, 1/1/31 Chicago, IL, (O Hare International Airport), (AGM), 5.25%, 1/1/32 Chicago, IL, (O Hare International Airport), (AGM), 5.25%, 1/1/32 Chicago, IL, (O Hare International Airport), (AGM), 5.25%, 1/1/32 Clark County, NV, (Las Vegas-McCarran International Airport), (AGM), 5.25%, 7/1/39 Director of the State of Nevada Department of Business and Industry, (Las Vegas Monorail), (AMBAC), 0.00%, 1/1/28 <sup>(4)</sup> Director of the State of Nevada Department of Business and Industry, (Las Vegas Monorail), (AMBAC), 0.00%, 1/1/28 <sup>(4)</sup> Director of the State of Nevada Department of Business and Industry, (Las Vegas Monorail), (AMBAC), 5.375%, 1/1/40 <sup>(5)</sup> E-470 Public Highway Authority, CO, (NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/21 E-470 Public Highway Authority, CO, (NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/39 Harris County, TX, Toll Road, Senior Lien, (BHAC), (NPFG), 5.00%, 8/15/33 <sup>(1)</sup> Manchester, NH, (Manchester-Boston Regional Airport), (AGM), 5.125%, 1/1/30 Maryland Transportation Authority, (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/36 <sup>(1)</sup> Metropolitan Washington, D.C., Airports Authority, (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/29 New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/29 North Carolina Turnpike Authority, (Triangle Expressway System), (AGC), 5.50%, 1/1/39 North Carolina Turnpike Authority, (Triangle Expressway System), (AGC), 5.50%, 1/1/39 North Texas Tollway Authority, (BHAC), 5.75%, 1/1/48 <sup>(1)</sup> Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, (AGM), 5.00%, 8/15/26 <sup>(1)</sup> Port Palm Beach District, FL, (XLCA), 0.00%, 9/1/26 Port Palm Beach District, FL, (XLCA), 0.00%, 9/1/26	\$	2,500 1,000 1,800 1,570 1,015 1,150 8,080 10,070 3,100 15,000 10,200 25,000 7,800 6,710 20,995 14,000 1,785 11,700 1,015 1,160 20,000 10,000 1,605 1,950 1,000	\$ 2,540,550 1,010,260 1,819,026 1,581,963 1,028,276 1,159,971 8,410,957 1,346,258 307,799 3,599,850 7,411,524 5,369,000 8,211,840 6,983,366 21,785,671 14,500,920 1,908,004 12,584,169 1,104,462 1,247,278 21,970,000 11,189,200 782,357 884,891 422,620
Security		Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Insured Transportation (continued) San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor Agency, CA, (Toll Road Bonds), (NPFG), 0.00%, 1/15/25 Texas Turnpike Authority, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 8/15/20	\$	23,845	\$ 13,462,189 18,410,009 \$ <b>191,906,572</b>
Insured Water and Sewer 16.6% Austin, TX, Water and Wastewater, (AGM), (BHAC), 5.00%, 11/15/33 <sup>(1)</sup> Bossier City, LA, Utilities Revenue, (BHAC), 5.25%, 10/1/26 Bossier City, LA, Utilities Revenue, (BHAC), 5.25%, 10/1/27 Bossier City, LA, Utilities Revenue, (BHAC), 5.50%, 10/1/38	\$	2,000 3,185 1,985 3,170	\$ 2,119,580 3,473,720 2,175,977 3,423,029

Chicago, IL, Wastewater Transmission Revenue, (BHAC), 5.50%, 1/1/38	3,060	3,299,690
Chicago, IL, Wastewater Transmission Revenue, (NPFG), 0.00%, 1/1/23	13,670	9,450,071
DeKalb County, GA, Water and Sewer, (AGM), 5.25%, 10/1/32 <sup>(1)</sup>	10,000	10,980,600
Detroit, MI, Water Supply System, (NPFG), 5.00%, 7/1/34	8,680	7,876,666
District of Columbia Water and Sewer Authority, (AGC), 5.00%, 10/1/34 <sup>(1)</sup>	8,500	8,884,710
Houston, TX, Utility System, (AGM), (BHAC), 5.00%, 11/15/33 <sup>(1)</sup>	27,570	28,927,269
Massachusetts Water Resources Authority, (AGM), 5.25%, 8/1/32	5,540	6,295,545
Massachusetts Water Resources Authority, (AGM), 5.25%, 8/1/38	1,070	1,196,956
Massachusetts Water Resources Authority, (AMBAC), (BHAC), 4.00%, 8/1/40	9,095	8,718,558
New York, NY, Municipal Water Finance Authority, (BHAC), 5.75%, 6/15/40 <sup>(1)</sup>	9,500	10,611,025
San Luis Obispo County, CA, (Nacimiento Water Project), (NPFG), 4.50%, 9/1/40	3,535	3,385,858
Seattle, WA, Drain and Wastewater Revenue, (AGM), 5.00%, 6/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup>	27,670	28,336,294

#### \$ 139,155,548

#### Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 1.7%

Hudson Yards Infrastructure Corp., NY, 5.75%, 2/15/47	\$ 2,565	\$ 2,718,669
North Carolina, Capital Improvement Limited Obligation Bonds, 5.00%, 5/1/30	335	362,417
North Carolina, Capital Improvement Limited Obligation Bonds, 5.00%, 5/1/30 <sup>(1)</sup>	10,000	10,818,400

11

\$ 13,899,486

## Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2013

Portfolio of Investments continued

	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
\$	10,750 9,200 3,135 1,700	\$ 11,142,160 10,286,520 3,066,939 1,646,552 \$ 26,142,171
\$	1,175	\$ 1,247,874 \$ 1,247,874
\$	20,000 1,390 1,500 1,285 1,020 20,000 10,000	\$ 21,775,000 1,483,561 1,594,545 1,349,674 1,064,921 20,971,000 10,827,500 \$ 59,066,201
Ar	nount	\$ 3,422,171 5,252,247 8,607,489 3,547,537 4,813,629 6,940,283 10,933,807 11,385,100 Value
	(000 \$ \$ \$ Prii Ai	\$ 10,750 9,200 3,135 1,700 \$ 1,175 \$ 20,000 1,390 1,500 1,285 1,020 20,000 10,000 \$ 3,205 4,950 8,275 3,285 4,640 6,735 10,870

Transportation (continued)

New York Thruway Authority, 5.00%, 1/1/42 Orlando-Orange County, FL, Expressway Authority, Series A, 5.00%, 7/1/35 Orlando-Orange County, FL, Expressway Authority, Series A, 5.00%, 7/1/40 Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, 6.00%, (0.00% until 12/1/15), 12/1/34 Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, 4.00%, 7/15/32(1) Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, 5.00%, 7/15/39 Texas Transportation Commission, (Central Texas Turnpike System), 5.00%, 8/15/41 Triborough Bridge and Tunnel Authority, NY, 5.00%, 11/15/33	\$ 1,110 2,915 2,590 5,000 9,650 5,000 1,170 5,000	\$	1,136,296 2,968,257 2,614,916 4,669,100 9,548,868 5,141,600 1,145,804 5,307,600
		\$	87,434,704
Water and Sewer 8.3% California Department of Water Resources, (Central Valley Project), 5.25%, 12/1/35 <sup>(1)</sup> Charleston, SC, Waterworks and Sewer Revenue, 5.00%, 1/1/35 Chicago, IL, Water Revenue, 5.00%, 11/1/42 Detroit, MI, Sewage Disposal System, 5.00%, 7/1/32 Detroit, MI, Sewage Disposal System, 5.25%, 7/1/39 Detroit, MI, Water Supply System, 5.25%, 7/1/41 Honolulu, HI, City and County Wastewater System, 5.25%, 7/1/36 <sup>(1)</sup> King County, WA, Sewer Revenue, 5.00%, 1/1/34 <sup>(1)</sup> Marco Island, FL, Utility System, 5.00%, 10/1/34 Marco Island, FL, Utility System, 5.00%, 10/1/40 New York, NY, Municipal Water Finance Authority, 5.00%, 6/15/31 Portland, OR, Water System, 5.00%, 5/1/36	\$ 10,000 2,735 5,000 1,070 1,965 2,910 9,750 10,000 1,445 6,325 10,000 5,385	\$	10,946,000 2,898,471 4,999,800 969,420 1,779,917 2,634,627 10,514,497 10,591,300 1,493,248 6,463,581 10,789,700 5,708,854
		\$	69,789,415
Total Tax-Exempt Municipal Securities 171.0% (identified cost \$1,411,398,842)		\$ 1	,432,237,074

12

### Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2013

Portfolio of Investments continued

Corporate Bonds & Notes 0.0%)

Security	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Municipal 0.0%) Las Vegas Monorail Co., Jr. Subordinated Notes, 3.00% to 12/31/15, 5.50%, 7/15/55 <sup>(7)</sup> (8) Las Vegas Monorail Co., Sr. Secured Notes, 5.50%, 7/15/19 <sup>(7)</sup> (8)	\$ 125 433	\$	12,613 65,329
Total Corporate Bonds & Notes 0.0% (identified cost \$16,361)		\$	77,942
Total Investments 171.0% (identified cost \$1,411,415,203)		\$ :	1,432,315,016
Other Assets, Less Liabilities (71.0)%		\$	(594,867,889)
Net Assets 100.0%		\$	837,447,127

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets.

AGC Assured Guaranty Corp.

AGM Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.

AMBAC AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

BHAC Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp.

FGIC Financial Guaranty Insurance Company

NPFG National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.

PSF Permanent School Fund XLCA XL Capital Assurance, Inc.

At September 30, 2013, the concentration of the Fund s investments in the various states, determined as a percentage of total investments, is as follows:

Texas 12.9% New York 10.5%

d by 3, e

## California Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2013

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 169.9%

Security		Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Education 18.2%  Colifornia Educational Equilities Authority (Colifornia Institute of Tachnalogy) 5.00% 11/1/20(1)	¢	10,000	¢ 10 512 100
California Educational Facilities Authority, (California Institute of Technology), 5.00%, 11/1/39 <sup>(1)</sup> California Educational Facilities Authority, (Claremont McKenna College), 5.00%, 1/1/27	\$	2,680	\$ 10,513,100 2,938,406
California Educational Facilities Authority, (Charleston Methodia College), 5.25%, 12/1/31		550	589,947
California Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvey Mudd College), 5.25%, 12/1/36		940	992,950
California Educational Facilities Authority, (Loyola Marymount University), 5.00%, 10/1/30		1,375	1,424,706
California Educational Facilities Authority, (Santa Clara University), 5.00%, 2/1/29		3,630	3,879,381
California Educational Facilities Authority, (Stanford University), 5.00%, 6/1/43		5,250	6,061,440
California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of San Francisco), 6.125%, 10/1/36		650	744,816
California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of Southern California), 5.25%, 10/1/39		6,200	6,659,234
California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of the Pacific), 5.00%, 11/1/30 California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.00%, 10/1/31		1,790 1,175	1,877,245 1,220,519
California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.00%, 10/1/35		800	813,720
California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.25%, 10/1/26		2,270	2,485,877
California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.25%, 10/1/27		2,395	2,597,449
California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.25%, 10/1/28		2,520	2,712,452
			\$ 45,511,242
Electric Utilities 1.8%			
Southern California Public Power Authority, (Tieton Hydropower), 5.00%, 7/1/35	\$	1,890	\$ 1,946,492
Vernon, Electric System Revenue, 5.125%, 8/1/21		2,375	2,560,226
			\$ 4,506,718
General Obligations 31.2%			
Burbank Unified School District, (Election of 2013), 4.00%, 8/1/31 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$	6,900	\$ 6,710,733
California, 5.50%, 11/1/35	Ŧ	4,600	4,951,394
Contra Costa Community College District, (Election of 2006), 5.00%, 8/1/38		20	20,981
Contra Costa Community College District, (Election of 2006), 5.00%, 8/1/38(1)		9,750	10,228,335
		Principal	
	(000	Amount	*7 1
Security	(000)	s omitted)	Value
General Obligations (continued)			
Foothill-De Anza Community College District, 5.00%, 8/1/36 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$	10,000	\$ 10,522,100

Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.00%, 8/1/34	630	610,035
Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.25%, 8/1/36	525	514,442
Palo Alto, (Election of 2008), 5.00%, 8/1/40 <sup>(1)</sup>	7,020	7,422,948
San Bernardino Community College District, 4.00%, 8/1/27 <sup>(1)</sup>	5,775	5,840,431
San Diego Community College District, (Election of 2002), 5.00%, 8/1/32	1,375	1,478,125
San Diego Community College District, (Election of 2006), 5.00%, 8/1/31	2,545	2,746,589
San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District, (Election of 2004), 5.00%, 8/1/35	5,000	5,264,800
San Jose-Evergreen Community College District, (Election of 2010), 5.00%, 8/1/33	1,910	2,061,215
San Jose-Evergreen Community College District, (Election of 2010), 5.00%, 8/1/35	2,230	2,379,098
San Jose-Evergreen Community College District, (Election of 2010), 5.00%, 8/1/37	15	15,697
San Jose-Evergreen Community College District, (Election of 2010), 5.00%, 8/1/37 <sup>(1)</sup>	4,975	5,206,139
Santa Monica-Malibu Unified School District, (Election 2006),		
4.50%, 7/1/36 <sup>(1)</sup>	12,000	12,198,360

#### \$ 78,171,422

#### Hospital 15.1%

California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), 5.25%, 7/1/23	\$ 2,000	\$ 2,051,380
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), 5.25%, 3/1/27	1,750	1,861,650
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), 5.25%, 3/1/28	550	579,480
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Cedars-Sinai Medical Center), 5.00%, 8/15/39	4,505	4,553,834
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (City of Hope), 5.00%, 11/15/32	1,795	1,832,480
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (City of Hope), 5.00%, 11/15/35	2,565	2,584,494
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (St. Joseph Health System), 5.00%, 7/1/33	4,480	4,601,498
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (St. Joseph Health System), 5.00%, 7/1/37	2,100	2,124,087
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Sutter Health), 5.25%, 8/15/31(1)	5,000	5,297,100
California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (John Muir Health), 5.00%, 8/15/34	2,170	2,179,960

14

## California Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2013

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Hospital (continued) Torrance, (Torrance Memorial Medical Center), 5.50%, 6/1/31 Washington Township Health Care District, 5.00%, 7/1/32 Washington Township Health Care District, 5.25%, 7/1/29	\$	3,950 3,165 3,005	\$ 3,954,305 3,072,898 3,008,366
			\$ 37,701,532
Insured Education 7.3%  California State University, (AGM), (BHAC), 5.00%, 11/1/39 <sup>(1)</sup> University of California, (AGM), 4.50%, 5/15/26 <sup>(1)</sup> University of California, (AGM), 4.50%, 5/15/28 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$	8,250 3,095 6,690	\$ 8,356,095 3,177,729 6,819,117 \$ 18,352,941
Insured Electric Utilities 16.4% Anaheim Public Financing Authority, (Electric System District), (BHAC), (NPFG), 4.50%, 10/1/32 <sup>(1)</sup> Glendale, Electric System Revenue, (AGC), 5.00%, 2/1/31 Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, Electric System Revenue, (AMBAC), (BHAC), 5.00%, 7/1/26 <sup>(1)</sup> Northern California Power Agency, (Hydroelectric), (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/24 Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (NPFG), 5.25%, 7/1/34 Sacramento Municipal Utility District, (AGM), 5.00%, 8/15/27 Sacramento Municipal Utility District, (AMBAC), (BHAC), 5.25%, 7/1/24	\$	20,000 2,240 6,750 2,000 3,840 1,000 4,000	\$ 20,422,199 2,344,586 7,412,175 2,224,200 2,947,430 1,072,860 4,665,920
Insured General Obligations 22.3% Antelope Valley Community College District, (Election of 2004), (NPFG), 5.25%, 8/1/39 Burbank Unified School District, (Election of 1997), (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/21 Coast Community College District, (Election of 2002), (AGM), 0.00%, 8/1/34 Palm Springs Unified School District, (Election of 2008), (AGC), 5.00%, 8/1/33	\$	4,175 4,135 23,150 4,500	\$ 41,089,370 \$ 4,423,872 3,172,786 7,634,407 4,667,850
Riverside Community College District, (Election of 2004), (AGM), (NPFG), 5.00%, 8/1/32 San Diego Community College District, (Election of 2006), (AGM), 5.00%, 8/1/32 San Diego Community College District, (Election of 2006), (AGM), 5.00%, 8/1/32 San Diego Unified School District, (NPFG), 0.00%, 7/1/22 San Diego Unified School District, (NPFG), 0.00%, 7/1/23 San Juan Unified School District, (AGM), 0.00%, 8/1/21		5,705 15 6,100 2,300 5,000 5,630 <b>Principal</b>	5,981,635 16,221 6,596,479 1,680,219 3,435,650 4,343,263
Security	(000	Amount s omitted)	Value

Insured General Obligations (continued) San Mateo County, Community College District, (NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/22 San Mateo County, Community College District, (NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/23 San Mateo County, Community College District, (NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/25 San Mateo Union High School District, (NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/21 Ventura County, Community College District, (NPFG), 5.00%, 8/1/27	\$	4,840 4,365 3,955 5,240 350	\$ 3,643,213 3,102,948 2,496,712 4,160,717 353,917
			\$ 55,709,889
Insured Hospital 7.3%  California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Cedars-Sinai Medical Center), (BHAC), 5.00%, 11/15/34  California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Kaiser Permanente), (BHAC),	\$	2,205	\$ 2,267,115
5.00%, 4/1/31(1)  Guiffornia Statumida Communitia Dundamunt Authorita (Vaina Removata) (RHAC)		10,000	10,499,500
California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Kaiser Permanente), (BHAC), 5.00%, 3/1/41 <sup>(1)</sup> California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Sutter Health), (AMBAC), (BHAC), 5.00%, 11/15/38 <sup>(1)</sup>		3,500 2,000	3,513,300 2,012,400
			\$ 18,292,315
Insured Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 6.0%			
Puerto Rico Public Finance Corp., (AMBAC), Escrowed to Maturity, 5.50%, 8/1/27 San Diego County Water Authority, Certificates of Participation, (AGM), 5.00%, 5/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$	3,885 10,000	\$ 4,673,849 10,417,000
San Diego County water Authority, Certificates of Participation, (AGM), 5.00%, 5/1/58		10,000	10,417,000
			\$ 15,090,849
Insured Special Tax Revenue 12.4%	<b>c</b>	7.765	Ф 6 115 171
Ceres, Redevelopment Agency Tax, (AMBAC), 4.00%, 11/1/36 Hesperia Public Financing Authority, (Redevelopment and Housing Projects), (XLCA), 5.00%, 9/1/31	\$	7,765 595	\$ 6,115,171 534,405
Hesperia Public Financing Authority, (Redevelopment and Housing Projects), (XLCA), 5.00%, 9/1/37		7,240	6,195,703
Pomona, Public Financing Authority, (NPFG), 5.00%, 2/1/33		5,940	5,413,419
Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45		15,020	1,900,330
San Jose Redevelopment Agency, (Merged Area Redevelopment Project), (XLCA), 4.25%, 8/1/36		3,680	3,025,438
Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority, Sales Tax Revenue, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 4/1/32 <sup>(1)</sup>		7,500	7,975,200
			\$ 31,159,666

15

## California Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2013

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000)	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Insured Transportation 2.1% San Joaquin Hills, Transportation Corridor Agency, (NPFG), 0.00%, 1/15/30 San Jose, Airport Revenue, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 3/1/33 San Jose, Airport Revenue, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 3/1/37	\$	3,445 1,885 2,040	\$ 1,242,233 1,900,815 2,043,080 \$ 5,186,128
Insured Water and Sewer 10.4%  Calleguas Las Virgines Public Financing Authority, (Municipal Water District), (BHAC), (FGIC), 4.75%, 7/1/37 <sup>(1)</sup> East Bay Municipal Utility District, Water System Revenue, (AGM), (FGIC), 5.00%, 6/1/32  East Bay Municipal Utility District, Water System Revenue, (NPFG), 5.00%, 6/1/32 <sup>(1)</sup> Riverside, Water System Revenue, (AGM), 5.00%, 10/1/38  San Luis Obispo County, (Nacimiento Water Project), (BHAC), (NPFG), 5.00%, 9/1/38  San Luis Obispo County, (Nacimiento Water Project), (NPFG), 4.50%, 9/1/40  Santa Clara Valley Water District, (AGM), 3.75%, 6/1/28	\$	7,000 345 6,500 1,595 5,000 2,750 2,075	\$ 7,136,990 366,977 6,914,050 1,657,572 5,146,650 2,633,977 2,084,358 \$ 25,940,574
Special Tax Revenue 5.3% Riverside County Transportation Commission, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.25%, 6/1/39 <sup>(1)</sup> San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transportation District, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 7/1/36 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$	6,285 6,250	\$ 6,706,785 6,631,500 \$ 13,338,285
Transportation 8.5%  Bay Area Toll Authority, Toll Bridge Revenue, (San Francisco Bay Area), 5.25%, 4/1/29 <sup>(1)</sup> Long Beach, Harbor Revenue, 5.00%, 5/15/27  Los Angeles Department of Airports, (Los Angeles International Airport), 5.00%, 5/15/35 <sup>(1)</sup> San Francisco City and County Airport Commission, (San Francisco International Airport), 5.00%, 5/1/35  San Jose, Airport Revenue, 5.00%, 3/1/31	\$	6,500 1,960 7,500 2,190 1,750	\$ 7,282,275 2,140,006 7,812,675 2,242,954 1,787,993 \$ 21,265,903
Water and Sewer 5.6% Beverly Hills Public Financing Authority, Water Revenue, 5.00%, 6/1/37 Beverly Hills Public Financing Authority, Water Revenue, 5.00%, 6/1/37 <sup>(1)</sup> Security	\$	10 5,725	\$ 10,748 6,153,631 <b>Value</b>

Principal Amount (000 s omitted)

Water and Sewer (continued)

Los Angeles, Wastewater System Revenue, 5.00%, 6/1/43<sup>(1)</sup> \$ 7,500 \$ 7,850,850

\$ 14,015,229

Total Tax-Exempt Investments 169.9%

(identified cost \$418,324,951) \$ 425,332,063

Other Assets, Less Liabilities (69.9)% \$ (174,924,757)

Net Assets 100.0% \$ 250,407,306

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets.

AGC Assured Guaranty Corp.

AGM Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.

AMBAC AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

BHAC Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp.

FGIC Financial Guaranty Insurance Company

NPFG National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.

XLCA XL Capital Assurance, Inc.

The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by California municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at September 30, 2013, 49.6% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution or financial guaranty assurance agency ranged from 1.8% to 18.6% of total investments.

16

<sup>(1)</sup> Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1H).

## New York Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2013

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 166.7%

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)	ı	Value
Bond Bank 5.0%  New York Environmental Facilities Corp., 5.00%, 10/15/39  New York Environmental Facilities Corp., Clean Water and Drinking Water, (Municipal Water Finance), 5.00%, 10/15/35  New York Environmental Facilities Corp., Clean Water and Drinking Water, (Municipal Water Finance), 5.00%, 10/15/35(1)	\$	3,360 50 6,100	\$	3,545,707 52,654 6,423,727
			<b>\$</b> ]	10,022,088
Education 29.1%  Geneva Development Corp., (Hobart and William Smith Colleges), 5.00%, 9/1/32  Hempstead Local Development Corp., (Adelphi University), 5.00%, 6/1/20  Hempstead Local Development Corp., (Adelphi University), 5.00%, 6/1/21  Hempstead Local Development Corp., (Adelphi University), 5.00%, 6/1/31  Hempstead Local Development Corp., (Adelphi University), 5.00%, 6/1/32  Monroe County Industrial Development Corp., (St. John Fisher College), 5.00%, 6/1/23  Monroe County Industrial Development Corp., (St. John Fisher College), 5.00%, 6/1/24  Monroe County Industrial Development Corp., (St. John Fisher College), 5.00%, 6/1/25  New York City Cultural Resource Trust, (The Juilliard School), 5.00%, 1/1/39  New York City Cultural Resource Trust, (The Juilliard School), 5.00%, 1/1/39(1)  New York Dormitory Authority, (Columbia University), 5.00%, 1/1/37(1)  New York Dormitory Authority, (New York University), 5.00%, 7/1/39(1)  New York Dormitory Authority, (Rochester Institute of Technology), 5.00%, 7/1/40  New York Dormitory Authority, (Rockefeller University), 5.00%, 7/1/40	\$	1,330 760 950 800 300 405 210 135 240 10,000 5,700 10,000 2,000 500		1,398,322 872,845 1,088,045 826,704 308,640 444,362 226,323 143,379 250,181 10,424,200 10,622,700 6,071,526 10,323,400 2,057,180 527,275
New York Dormitory Authority, (Rockefeller University), 5.00%, 7/1/40 <sup>(1)</sup> New York Dormitory Authority, (Skidmore College), 5.00%, 7/1/26 New York Dormitory Authority, (Skidmore College), 5.25%, 7/1/30  Security		2,700 1,175 300 Principal Amount s omitted)		2,847,285 1,282,466 320,640 Value
Education (continued) New York Dormitory Authority, (The New School), 5.50%, 7/1/40 Onondaga County Cultural Resources Trust, (Syracuse University), 5.00%, 12/1/38	\$	5,250 3,305	\$	5,468,610 3,449,428

\$ 58,953,511

Electric Utilities 1.3% Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, 5.25%, 7/1/31	\$ 3,615		2,713,961
General Obligations 12.1% Arlington Central School District, 4.00%, 12/15/30 Long Beach City School District, 4.50%, 5/1/26 New York City, 5.00%, 8/1/34 <sup>(1)</sup> New York, 5.00%, 2/15/34 <sup>(1)</sup> Peekskill, 5.00%, 6/1/35 Peekskill, 5.00%, 6/1/36	\$ 1,540 4,715 8,650 7,250 465 490	<b>\$</b> \$	2,713,961 1,552,936 5,014,874 9,202,389 7,718,277 482,196 506,229
		\$	24,476,901
Hospital 10.5%  New York Dormitory Authority, (Highland Hospital of Rochester), 5.00%, 7/1/26  New York Dormitory Authority, (Highland Hospital of Rochester), 5.20%, 7/1/32  New York Dormitory Authority, (Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center), 4.375%, 7/1/34 <sup>(1)</sup> New York Dormitory Authority, (North Shore-Long Island Jewish Obligated Group), 5.00%, 5/1/20  New York Dormitory Authority, (North Shore-Long Island Jewish Obligated Group), 5.00%, 5/1/26  Suffolk County Economic Development Corp., (Catholic Health Services of Long Island Obligated Group), 5.00%, 7/1/28	\$ 620 820 9,325 1,065 2,055 6,900	\$ \$	666,587 843,665 9,346,913 1,221,683 2,124,007 7,048,419 21,251,274
Housing 1.7% New York Housing Development Corp., 4.95%, 11/1/39 New York Mortgage Agency, 3.55%, 10/1/33	\$ 2,500 1,000	\$ <b>\$</b>	2,514,425 839,560 <b>3,353,985</b>
Industrial Development Revenue 1.0%  New York Liberty Development Corp., (Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.), 5.25%, 10/1/35  New York Liberty Development Corp., (Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.), 5.50%, 10/1/37	\$ 490 1,440	\$ <b>\$</b>	519,758 1,571,889 <b>2,091,647</b>

17

See Notes to Financial Statements.

## New York Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2013

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000	Principal Amount 0 s omitted)	Value
Insured Education 18.2%  New York Dormitory Authority, (City University), (AMBAC), 5.50%, 7/1/35  New York Dormitory Authority, (Educational Housing Services CUNY Student Housing), (AMBAC), 5.25%, 7/1/23  New York Dormitory Authority, (Fordham University), (AGC), (BHAC), 5.00%, 7/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup> New York Dormitory Authority, (Pratt Institute), (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/34  New York Dormitory Authority, (Pratt Institute), (AGC), 5.125%, 7/1/39  New York Dormitory Authority, (St. John s University), (NPFG), 5.25%, 7/1/37  New York Dormitory Authority, (State University), (BHAC), 5.00%, 7/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup> Oneida County Industrial Development Agency, (Hamilton College), (NPFG), 0.00%, 7/1/36  Oneida County Industrial Development Agency, (Hamilton College), (NPFG), 0.00%, 7/1/37	\$	925 1,750 10,750 1,555 2,405 3,750 8,500 5,555 8,455 4,000	\$ 931,947 1,954,365 10,885,127 1,618,693 2,491,363 3,803,175 8,606,845 2,155,507 2,977,175 1,342,720
			\$ 36,766,917
Insured Electric Utilities 6.3% Long Island Power Authority, Electric System Revenue, (BHAC), 5.75%, 4/1/33 New York Power Authority, (BHAC), (NPFG), 4.50%, 11/15/47 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$	5,000 7,210	\$ 5,603,200 7,245,833 <b>\$ 12,849,033</b>
Insured Escrowed / Prerefunded 3.1% Madison County Industrial Development Agency, (Colgate University), (NPFG), Prerefunded to 7/1/14, 5.00%, 7/1/39 New York City, (AGM), Prerefunded to 4/1/16, 5.00%, 4/1/22	\$	4,000 1,920	\$ 4,144,200 2,133,600 \$ <b>6,277,800</b>
Insured General Obligations 8.3% Brentwood Union Free School District, (AGC), 4.75%, 11/15/23 Brentwood Union Free School District, (AGC), 5.00%, 11/15/24 East Northport Fire District, (AGC), 4.50%, 11/1/20 East Northport Fire District, (AGC), 4.50%, 11/1/21 East Northport Fire District, (AGC), 4.50%, 11/1/22 East Northport Fire District, (AGC), 4.50%, 11/1/23 Eastchester Union Free School District, (AGM), 3.75%, 6/15/21 Eastchester Union Free School District, (AGM), 4.00%, 6/15/23	\$	2,290 2,390 200 200 200 200 255 175 <b>Principal</b>	\$ 2,566,037 2,689,013 227,412 224,368 222,456 221,136 272,873 185,665
Security	(000	Amount s omitted)	Value

Insured General Obligations (continued) Freeport, (AGC), 5.00%, 10/15/20 Freeport, (AGC), 5.00%, 10/15/21 Hauppauge Union Free School District, (AGC), 4.00%, 7/15/24 Hoosic Valley Central School District, (AGC), 4.00%, 6/15/23 Longwood Central School District, Suffolk County, (AGC), 4.15%, 6/1/23 Longwood Central School District, Suffolk County, (AGC), 4.25%, 6/1/24 New York City, (AGM), 5.00%, 4/1/22 Wantagh Union Free School District, (AGC), 4.50%, 11/15/19 Wantagh Union Free School District, (AGC), 4.50%, 11/15/20 Wantagh Union Free School District, (AGC), 4.75%, 11/15/22 Wantagh Union Free School District, (AGC), 4.75%, 11/15/23 William Floyd Union Free School District, (AGC), 4.00%, 12/15/24	\$ 185 195 940 1,110 820 860 330 785 825 905 950 1,590	\$ 212,27 220,99 994,50 1,182,42 870,27 910,72 360,02 876,27 909,44 987,33 1,029,08 1,675,98	00 01 27 74 23 27 72 47 37
		\$ 16,838,21	18
Insured Hospital 3.5%  New York Dormitory Authority, (Hudson Valley Hospital Center), (AGM), (BHAC), 5.00%, 8/15/36  New York Dormitory Authority, (Maimonides Medical Center), (NPFG), 5.00%, 8/1/33	\$ 4,355 2,525	\$ 4,418,88 2,623,90 \$ 7,042,79	04
Insured Housing 1.3% New York Housing Development Corp., (NPFG), 5.00%, 7/1/25	\$ 2,350	\$ 2,538,82 \$ 2,538,82	
Insured Other Revenue 6.1%  New York City Cultural Resources Trust, (American Museum of Natural History), (NPFG), 5.00%, 7/1/44  New York City Industrial Development Agency, (Yankee Stadium), (NPFG), 4.75%, 3/1/46  New York City Transitional Finance Authority, (BHAC), 5.50%, 7/15/38	\$ 2,055 6,115 4,050	\$ 2,055,22 5,907,57 4,483,91 \$ 12,446,72	26 79 17
Insured Solid Waste 2.2% Ulster County, Resource Recovery Agency, Solid Waste System, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 3/1/21 Ulster County, Resource Recovery Agency, Solid Waste System, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 3/1/23 Ulster County, Resource Recovery Agency, Solid Waste System, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 3/1/25	\$ 1,490 1,090 3,635	\$ 1,218,68 807,17 2,407,35 \$ 4,433,21	78 51

18

See Notes to Financial Statements.

## New York Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2013

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Insured Special Tax Revenue 6.2%  New York State Housing Finance Agency, (AGM), 5.00%, 3/15/37  New York Thruway Authority, Miscellaneous Tax Revenue, (AMBAC), 5.50%, 4/1/20  Puerto Rico Infrastructure Financing Authority, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 7/1/36  Puerto Rico Infrastructure Financing Authority, (FGIC), 0.00%, 7/1/32  Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45  Sales Tax Asset Receivables Corp., (AMBAC), 5.00%, 10/15/29  Sales Tax Asset Receivables Corp., (AMBAC), 5.00%, 10/15/32	\$ 2,415 2,175 3,000 4,000 6,705 850 4,185	\$ 2,482,379 2,623,050 539,850 886,640 848,317 882,232 4,329,382 \$ 12,591,850
Insured Transportation 8.9% Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, (AGM), 5.00%, 8/15/24 <sup>(1)</sup> Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, (AGM), 5.00%, 8/15/33 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 5,600 11,000	\$ 6,335,390 11,640,090 \$ 17,975,480
Insured Water and Sewer 3.0%  Nassau County Sewer and Storm Water Finance Authority, (BHAC), 5.125%, 11/1/23  Nassau County Sewer and Storm Water Finance Authority, (BHAC), 5.375%, 11/1/28  Suffolk County Water Authority, (NPFG), 4.50%, 6/1/25	\$ 300 3,835 1,475	\$ 343,155 4,303,867 1,505,813 \$ <b>6,152,835</b>
Other Revenue 5.9% Battery Park City Authority, 5.00%, 11/1/34 Brooklyn Arena Local Development Corp., (Barclays Center), 0.00%, 7/15/31 New York Liberty Development Corp., (7 World Trade Center), 5.00%, 9/15/32	\$ 5,225 4,900 4,110	\$ 5,730,048 1,771,791 4,363,012 \$ 11,864,851
Special Tax Revenue 15.8%  Metropolitan Transportation Authority Dedicated Tax Fund, 5.00%, 11/15/31 <sup>(1)</sup> New York City Transitional Finance Authority, Future Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 2/1/35 <sup>(1)</sup> New York City Transitional Finance Authority, Future Tax Revenue, 5.50%, 11/1/35 <sup>(1)(2)</sup> New York Dormitory Authority, Personal Income Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 6/15/31 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 10,000 10,000 1,000 6,500	\$ 10,789,500 10,525,800 1,105,360 7,037,875

New York Thruway Authority, Miscellaneous Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 4/1/26

2,370 2,598,634

\$ 32,057,169

Security	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Transportation 11.5%  Metropolitan Transportation Authority, 5.25%, 11/15/38  Nassau County Bridge Authority, 5.00%, 10/1/35  Nassau County Bridge Authority, 5.00%, 10/1/40  New York Thruway Authority, 5.00%, 1/1/37  Triborough Bridge and Tunnel Authority, 5.00%, 11/15/38 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 3,430 1,565 300 7,380 10,000	\$	3,558,351 1,589,007 303,711 7,605,828 10,221,500
		\$	23,278,397
Water and Sewer 5.7% Albany Municipal Water Finance Authority, 5.00%, 12/1/26 Albany Municipal Water Finance Authority, 5.00%, 12/1/29 New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority, (Water and Sewer System), 5.00%, 6/15/34 New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority, (Water and Sewer System), 5.00%, 6/15/44(1)	\$ 755 500 1,000 8,750	<b>\$</b>	832,901 536,690 1,063,790 9,031,750 <b>11,465,131</b>
Total Tax-Exempt Investments 166.7% (identified cost \$328,936,883)		\$	337,442,600
Other Assets, Less Liabilities (66.7)%		\$ (	134,990,131)
Net Assets 100.0%		\$	202,452,469

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets.

AGC Assured Guaranty Corp.

AGM Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.

AMBAC AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

BHAC Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp.

FGIC Financial Guaranty Insurance Company

NPFG National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.

The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by New York municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at September 30, 2013, 40.3% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution or financial guaranty assurance agency ranged from 0.3% to 13.6% of total investments.

<sup>(1)</sup> Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1H).

<sup>(2)</sup> Security (or a portion thereof) has been pledged as collateral for residual interest bond transactions. The aggregate value of such collateral is \$355,360.

## **Municipal Bond Funds**

September 30, 2013

Statements of Assets and Liabilities

Assets Investments Identified cost Unrealized appreciation Investments, at value Cash Restricted cash* Interest receivable Receivable for investments sold Receivable for variation margin on open financial futures contracts Deferred debt issuance costs Total assets	Municipal Fund \$ 1,411,415,203 20,899,813 \$ 1,432,315,016 \$ 7,139,981 1,048,000 19,064,752 141,557 21,188 615,910 \$ 1,460,346,404	•	tember 30, 2013 difornia Fund 418,324,951 7,007,112 425,332,063 4,462,597 575,000 5,355,665 24,641 1,578 168,513 435,920,057	Ne \$ \$ \$	w York Fund  328,936,883 8,505,717  337,442,600 3,511,689 225,000 4,377,827 40,530 4,688 53,956 345,656,290
Liabilities Payable for floating rate notes issued Payable for when-issued securities Payable to affiliates: Investment adviser fee Interest expense and fees payable Accrued expenses Total liabilities Net Assets	\$ 610,570,000 10,185,025 728,438 1,208,644 207,170 \$ 622,899,277 \$ 837,447,127	\$ \$ \$	220,696 361,870 130,185 185,512,751 250,407,306	\$ \$ \$	180,463 226,399 126,959 <b>143,203,821</b> <b>202,452,469</b>
Sources of Net Assets Common shares, \$0.01 par value, unlimited number of shares authorized Additional paid-in capital Accumulated net realized loss Accumulated undistributed (distributions in excess of) net investment income Net unrealized appreciation Net Assets	\$ 681,683 958,234,857 (141,424,528) (362,515) 20,317,630 \$ 837,447,127	\$ <b>\$</b>	217,562 306,726,139 (64,368,647) 1,327,696 6,504,556 <b>250,407,306</b>	\$ <b>\$</b>	158,966 223,846,507 (30,908,122) 969,655 8,385,463 <b>202,452,469</b>
Net Asset Value Net assets ÷ common shares issued and outstanding	68,168,250 \$ 12.29	\$	21,756,186 11.51	\$	15,896,585 12.74

 $<sup>{\</sup>color{blue}*} \quad \text{Represents restricted cash on deposit at the broker for open financial futures contracts.}$ 

See Notes to Financial Statements.

## **Municipal Bond Funds**

September 30, 2013

Statements of Operations

	Year Ended September 30, 2013										
		lunicipal	~								
Investment Income		und		lifornia Fund		w York Fund					
Interest	\$	67,265,051	\$	19,778,431	\$	15,284,310					
Total investment income	\$	67,265,051	\$	19,778,431	\$	15,284,310					
Expenses											
Investment adviser fee	\$	9,840,016	\$	2,978,448	\$	2,348,558					
Trustees fees and expenses		60,366		18,571		14,673					
Custodian fee		308,534		181,155		154,211					
Transfer and dividend disbursing agent fees		19,770		18,562		18,730					
Legal and accounting services		266,084		82,578		80,307					
Printing and postage		74,203		22,763		19,235					
Interest expense and fees		4,278,611		1,249,417		927,019					
Miscellaneous		74,773		36,012		33,301					
Total expenses	\$	14,922,357	\$	4,587,506	\$	3,596,034					
Deduct	·	, ,- ,-	·	, ,	•	- ) )					
Reduction of custodian fee	\$	2,396	\$	1,251	\$	526					
Total expense reductions	\$	2,396	\$	1,251	\$	526					
Net expenses	\$	14,919,961	\$	4,586,255	\$	3,595,508					
Net investment income	\$	52,345,090	\$	15,192,176	\$	11,688,802					
Realized and Unrealized Gain (Loss)											
Net realized gain (loss)											
Investment transactions	\$	(13,592,611)	\$	(5,337,227)	\$	(1,763,824)					
Extinguishment of debt	Ψ	(115,118)	Ψ	(32,635)	Ψ	(1,703,021)					
Financial futures contracts		4,150,075		2,083,552		955,921					
Net realized loss	\$	(9,557,654)	\$	(3,286,310)	\$	(807,903)					
Change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation)	•	(- , , , , , ,	•	(-,,)	-	(001)					
Investments	\$	(113,335,035)	\$	(29,201,066)	\$	(27,177,898)					
Financial futures contracts	Ψ	(674,640)	Ψ	(421,507)	Ψ	(147,656)					
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation)	\$	(114,009,675)	\$	(29,622,573)	\$	(27,325,554)					
Net realized and unrealized loss	\$	(123,567,329)	\$	(32,908,883)	\$	(28,133,457)					
Net decrease in net assets from operations	\$	(71,222,239)	\$	(17,716,707)	\$	(16,444,655)					

See Notes to Financial Statements.

## Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2013

Statements of Changes in Net Assets

		Year	, 2013			
Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets		lunicipal und	Ca	lifornia Fund	Ne	w York Fund
From operations Net investment income	\$	52,345,090	\$	15,192,176	\$	11,688,802
Net realized loss from investment transactions, extinguishment of debt and financial futures contracts		(9,557,654)		(3,286,310)		(807,903)
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) from investments and financial futures contracts		(114,009,675)		(29,622,573)		(27,325,554)
Net decrease in net assets from operations Distributions to common shareholders	\$	(71,222,239)	\$	(17,716,707)	\$	(16,444,655)
From net investment income  Total distributions to common shareholders	\$ <b>\$</b>	(52,214,271) ( <b>52,214,271</b> )	\$ <b>\$</b>	(14,228,546) (14,228,546)	\$ <b>\$</b>	(10,936,048) ( <b>10,936,048</b> )
Capital share transactions Reinvestment of distributions to common shareholders	\$	356,129	\$		\$	40,685
Net increase in net assets from capital share transactions	\$	356,129	\$		\$	40,685
Net decrease in net assets	\$	(123,080,381)	\$	(31,945,253)	\$	(27,340,018)
Net Assets						
At beginning of year  At end of year		960,527,508 <b>837,447,127</b>	\$ <b>\$</b>	282,352,559 <b>250,407,306</b>	\$ <b>\$</b>	229,792,487 <b>202,452,469</b>
	•	, ,	•	, ,	r	· , ·-,···
Accumulated undistributed (distributions in excess of) net investment income included in net assets						
At end of year	\$	(362,515)	\$	1,327,696	\$	969,655

22

## Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2013

Statements of Changes in Net Assets continued

			Year Ended September 30, 2012							
Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets		unicipal ınd	Ca	lifornia Fund	Ne	w York Fund				
From operations										
Net investment income	\$	51,959,034	\$	14,986,278	\$	11,564,459				
Net realized gain (loss) from investment transactions, extinguishment of debt, financial futures										
contracts and swap contracts		(3,852,430)		(5,900,537)		2,773,656				
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) from investments, financial futures contracts										
and swap contracts	1	111,234,773		33,765,761		17,979,700				
Net increase in net assets from operations	<b>\$</b> 1	159,341,377	\$	42,851,502	\$	32,317,815				
Distributions to common shareholders										
From net investment income	\$	(54,964,947)	\$	(15,903,902)	\$	(11,840,617)				
Total distributions to common shareholders	\$	(54,964,947)	\$	(15,903,902)	\$	(11,840,617)				
Capital share transactions										
Reinvestment of distributions to common shareholders	\$	446,577	\$	110,639	\$	312,111				
Net increase in net assets from capital share transactions	\$	446,577	\$	110,639	\$	312,111				
Net increase in net assets	<b>\$</b> 1	104,823,007	\$	27,058,239	\$	20,789,309				
Net Assets										
At beginning of year	\$ 5	855,704,501	\$	255,294,320	\$	209,003,178				
At end of year		960,527,508	\$	282,352,559	\$	229,792,487				
At the of year	Ψ.	700,527,500	Ψ	202,002,009	Ψ	225,152,401				
Accumulated undistributed (distributions in excess of) net investment income included in net assets										
At end of year	\$	(411,732)	\$	417,199	\$	283,114				
	Ψ	(111,104)	Ψ	,.,,	Ψ	200,117				

23

## **Municipal Bond Funds**

September 30, 2013

Statements of Cash Flows

	Year Ended September 30, 2013											
		unicipal										
Cash Flows From Operating Activities		ınd		lifornia Fund		w York Fund						
Net decrease in net assets from operations	\$	(71,222,239)	\$	(17,716,707)	\$	(16,444,655)						
Adjustments to reconcile net decrease in net assets from operations to net cash provided by												
operating activities:												
Investments purchased		(265,105,516)		(108,504,335)		(53,234,757)						
Investments sold		278,657,220		109,525,907		42,894,246						
Net amortization/accretion of premium (discount)		(4,162,907)		(714,259)		(13,372)						
Amortization of deferred debt issuance costs		117,943		20,110		18,209						
Decrease in restricted cash		188,000		300,000		15,000						
Decrease (increase) in interest receivable		(179,137)		173,963		(202,073)						
Decrease in receivable for variation margin on open financial futures contracts		20,187		25,797		4,687						
Decrease in receivable from the transfer agent		93,779				20,736						
Increase (decrease) in payable to affiliate for investment adviser fee		(78,963)		(1,414)		8,237						
Increase (decrease) in interest expense and fees payable		(138,152)		(84,761)		4,812						
Decrease in accrued expenses		(8,752)		(17,180)		(6,920)						
Net change in unrealized (appreciation) depreciation from investments		113,335,035		29,201,066		27,177,898						
Net realized loss from investments		13,592,611		5,337,227		1,763,824						
Net realized loss on extinguishment of debt		115,118		32,635								
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$	65,224,227	\$	17,578,049	\$	2,005,872						
Cash Flows From Financing Activities												
Distributions paid to common shareholders, net of reinvestments	\$	(51,858,142)	\$	(14,228,546)	\$	(10,895,363)						
Proceeds from secured borrowings		96,385,000		57,565,000		28,380,000						
Repayment of secured borrowings		(99,145,000)		(54,040,000)		(15,745,000)						
Decrease in due to custodian		(3,466,104)		(2,411,906)		(233,820)						
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	\$	(58,084,246)	\$	(13,115,452)	\$	1,505,817						
Net increase in cash	\$	7,139,981	\$	4,462,597	\$	3,511,689						
Cash at beginning of year	\$		\$		\$							
Cash at end of year	\$	7,139,981	\$	4,462,597	\$	3,511,689						
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:												
Noncash financing activities not included herein consist of:												
Reinvestment of dividends and distributions	\$	356,129	\$		\$	40.685						
Cash paid for interest and fees	Ψ	4,298,820	Ψ	1,314,068	Ψ	903,998						
Cush paid for interest and rees		1,270,020		1,517,000		703,770						

## Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2013

#### Financial Highlights

	Municipal Fund Year Ended September 30,								2009
Net asset value Beginning of year	\$	<b>2013</b> 14.100	\$	<b>2012</b> 12.560	\$	<b>2011</b> 13.080	\$	<b>2010</b> 13.170	\$ 11.080
Income (Loss) From Operations Net investment income(1) Net realized and unrealized gain (loss)	\$	0.768 (1.812)	\$	0.763 1.584	\$	0.878 (0.482)	\$	0.878 (0.059)	\$ 0.846 2.051
Total income (loss) from operations	\$	(1.044)	\$	2.347	\$	0.396	\$	0.819	\$ 2.897
Less Distributions From net investment income Total distributions Net asset value End of year Market value End of year Total Investment Return on Net Asset Value <sup>(2)</sup> Total Investment Return on Market Value <sup>(2)</sup>	\$ \$ \$	(0.766) (0.766) 12.290 11.560 (7.59)% (15.17)%	•	(0.807)		` ′	•	(0.909) (0.909) 13.080 13.900 6.77% 13.55%	(0.807) (0.807) 13.170 13.160 28.15% 27.36%
Ratios/Supplemental Data Net assets, end of year (000 s omitted) Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets):	\$	837,447	\$	960,528	\$	855,705	\$	889,539	\$ 893,391
Expenses excluding interest and fees <sup>(3)</sup>		1.15%		1.30%		1.25%		1.12%	1.04%
Interest and fee expense <sup>(4)</sup>		0.47%		0.48%		0.56%		0.54%	1.33%
Total expenses <sup>(3)</sup>		1.62%		1.78%		1.81%		1.66%	2.37%
Net investment income Portfolio Turnover		5.67% 18%		5.75% 17%		7.54% 18%		7.04% 18%	7.94% 19%
Totalono Turnover		10/0		17/0		10/0		10 /0	1970

<sup>(1)</sup> Computed using average shares outstanding.

<sup>(2)</sup> Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested. Distributions are assumed to be reinvested at prices obtained under the Fund s dividend reinvestment plan.

<sup>(3)</sup> Excludes the effect of custody fee credits, if any, of less than 0.005%.

<sup>(4)</sup> Interest and fee expense relates to the liability for floating rate notes issued in conjunction with residual interest bond transactions (see Note 1H).

See Notes to Financial Statements.

## Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2013

Financial Highlights continued

California Fund Year Ended September 30,							
\$ 12.980	\$ 11.740	\$ 12.610	\$ 12.940	<b>2009</b> \$ 11.310			
\$ 0.698 (1.514)	\$ 0.689 1.282	\$ 0.801 (0.822)	\$ 0.847 (0.331)	\$ 0.827 1.570			
\$ (0.816)	<b>\$ 1.971</b>	\$ (0.021)	\$ 0.516	\$ 2.397			
\$ (0.654) \$ (0.654) \$ 11.510 \$ 10.330 (6.18)% (13.60)%	\$ (0.731) \$ (0.731) \$ 12.980 \$ 12.650 17.34% 9.42%	\$ (0.849) \$ (0.849) \$ 11.740 \$ 12.270 0.48% (0.43)%	\$ (0.846) \$ (0.846) \$ 12.610 \$ 13.300 4.53% 10.00%	\$ (0.767) \$ (0.767) \$ 12.940 \$ 12.970 22.99% 25.72%			
\$ 250,407 1.22% 0.46% 1.68% 1.22% 5.56%	\$ 282,353 1.25% 0.48% 1.73% 1.25% 5.57%	\$ 255,294 1.42% 0.57% 1.99% 1.42% 7.20%	\$ 273,914 1.16% 0.56% 1.72% 1.16% 7.01%	\$ 280,743 1.06% 1.28% 2.34% 1.04% 7.64% 8%			
	\$ 0.698 (1.514) \$ (0.816) \$ (0.654) \$ (0.654) \$ 11.510 \$ 10.330 (6.18)% (13.60)% \$ 250,407 1.22% 0.46% 1.68% 1.22%	Year En  2013 \$ 12.980 \$ 11.740  \$ 0.698 \$ (1.514) \$ 1.282 \$ (0.816) \$ 1.971  \$ (0.654) \$ (0.731) \$ (0.654) \$ (0.731) \$ 11.510 \$ 12.980 \$ 10.330 \$ 12.650 \$ (6.18)% \$ (13.60)%  \$ 282,353  1.22% \$ 0.46% \$ 0.48% \$ 1.68% \$ 1.73% \$ 1.25% \$ 5.56% \$ 5.57%	Vear Ended September :         2013         Year Ended September :         2011         2011         \$ 12.980         \$ 11.740         \$ 12.610           \$ 12.980         \$ 11.740         \$ 12.610           \$ 0.698         \$ 0.689         \$ 0.801           \$ (1.514)         \$ 1.282         \$ (0.822)           \$ (0.816)         \$ 1.971         \$ (0.021)           \$ (0.654)         \$ (0.731)         \$ (0.849)           \$ (0.654)         \$ (0.731)         \$ (0.849)           \$ 11.510         \$ 12.980         \$ 11.740           \$ 10.330         \$ 12.650         \$ 12.270           \$ (6.18)%         \$ 17.34%         \$ 0.48%           \$ (13.60)%         \$ 9.42%         \$ (0.43)%           \$ 250,407         \$ 282,353         \$ 255,294           \$ 1.22%         \$ 1.25%         \$ 1.42%           \$ 0.46%         \$ 0.48%         \$ 0.57%           \$ 1.68%         \$ 1.73%         \$ 1.99%           \$ 1.22%         \$ 1.25%         \$ 1.42%           \$ 5.56%         \$ 5.57%         \$ 7.20%	Year Ended September 30,           2013         2012         2011         2010           \$ 12.980         \$ 11.740         \$ 12.610         \$ 12.940           \$ 0.698         \$ 0.689         \$ 0.801         \$ 0.847           (1.514)         1.282         (0.822)         (0.331)           \$ (0.816)         \$ 1.971         \$ (0.021)         \$ 0.516           \$ (0.654)         \$ (0.731)         \$ (0.849)         \$ (0.846)           \$ (0.654)         \$ (0.731)         \$ (0.849)         \$ (0.846)           \$ 11.510         \$ 12.980         \$ 11.740         \$ 12.610           \$ 10.330         \$ 12.650         \$ 12.270         \$ 13.300           (6.18)%         17.34%         0.48%         4.53%           (13.60)%         9.42%         (0.43)%         10.00%           \$ 250,407         \$ 282,353         \$ 255,294         \$ 273,914           1.22%         1.25%         1.42%         1.16%           0.46%         0.48%         0.57%         0.56%           1.68%         1.73%         1.99%         1.72%           1.22%         1.25%         1.42%         1.16%           5.56%         5.57%         7.20%			

<sup>(1)</sup> Computed using average shares outstanding.

<sup>(2)</sup> Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested. Distributions are assumed to be reinvested at prices obtained under the Fund s dividend reinvestment plan.

<sup>(3)</sup> Interest and fee expense relates to the liability for floating rate notes issued in conjunction with residual interest bond transactions (see Note 1H).

## Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2013

Financial Highlights continued

	2013		w York Fund ded September 2011	30,	2009
Net asset value Beginning of year	\$ 14.460	\$ 13.170	\$ 13.610	\$ 13.640	\$ 11.650
Income (Loss) From Operations					
Net investment income <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 0.735	\$ 0.728	\$ 0.797	\$ 0.831	\$ 0.790
Net realized and unrealized gain (loss)	(1.767)	1.308	(0.412)	(0.041)	1.934
Total income (loss) from operations	<b>\$</b> (1.032)	\$ 2.036	\$ 0.385	\$ 0.790	\$ 2.724
Less Distributions					
From net investment income	\$ (0.688)	\$ (0.746)	\$ (0.825)	\$ (0.820)	\$ (0.734)
Total distributions	\$ (0.688)	\$ (0.746)	\$ (0.825)	\$ (0.820)	\$ (0.734)
Net asset value End of year	\$ 12.740	\$ 14.460	\$ 13.170	\$ 13.610	\$ 13.640
Market value End of year	\$ 11.540	\$ 14.660	\$ 13.450	\$ 14.010	\$ 14.120
Total Investment Return on Net Asset Value <sup>(2)</sup>	(7.16)%	15.87%	3.37%	6.16%	24.78%
Total Investment Return on Market Value <sup>(2)</sup>	(17.05)%	15.03%	2.56%	5.56%	37.06%
Ratios/Supplemental Data					
Net assets, end of year (000 s omitted)	\$ 202,452	\$ 229,792	\$ 209,003	\$ 215,453	\$ 215,303
Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets):					
Expenses excluding interest and fees	1.21%	1.22%	1.39%	1.12%	1.04%
Interest and fee expense <sup>(3)</sup>	0.42%	0.43%	0.52%	0.55%	1.34%
Total expenses before custodian fee reduction	1.63%	1.65%	1.91%	1.67%	2.38%
Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees	1.21%	1.22%	1.39%	1.12%	1.03%
Net investment income	5.29%	5.29%	6.37%	6.30%	6.83%
Portfolio Turnover	12%	17%	29%	11%	21%

<sup>(1)</sup> Computed using average shares outstanding.

<sup>(2)</sup> Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested. Distributions are assumed to be reinvested at prices obtained under the Fund s dividend reinvestment plan.

<sup>(3)</sup> Interest and fee expense relates to the liability for floating rate notes issued in conjunction with residual interest bond transactions (see Note 1H).

## **Municipal Bond Funds**

September 30, 2013

Notes to Financial Statements

#### 1 Significant Accounting Policies

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund, Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund and Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund, (each individually referred to as the Fund, and collectively, the Funds), are Massachusetts business trusts registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the 1940 Act), as non-diversified, closed-end management investment companies. The Funds investment objective is to provide current income exempt from regular federal income tax, including alternative minimum tax, and, in state specific funds, taxes in its specified state.

The following is a summary of significant accounting policies of the Funds. The policies are in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

A Investment Valuation The following methodologies are used to determine the market value or fair value of investments.

**Debt Obligations.** Debt obligations (including short-term obligations with a remaining maturity of more than sixty days) are generally valued on the basis of valuations provided by third party pricing services, as derived from such services—pricing models. Inputs to the models may include, but are not limited to, reported trades, executable bid and asked prices, broker/dealer quotations, prices or yields of securities with similar characteristics, benchmark curves or information pertaining to the issuer, as well as industry and economic events. The pricing services may use a matrix approach, which considers information regarding securities with similar characteristics to determine the valuation for a security. Short-term obligations purchased with a remaining maturity of sixty days or less are generally valued at amortized cost, which approximates market value.

**Derivatives.** Financial futures contracts are valued at the closing settlement price established by the board of trade or exchange on which they are traded. Interest rate swaps are normally valued using valuations provided by a third party pricing service. Such pricing service valuations are based on the present value of fixed and projected floating rate cash flows over the term of the swap contract. Future cash flows are discounted to their present value using swap rates provided by electronic data services or by broker/dealers.

Fair Valuation. Investments for which valuations or market quotations are not readily available or are deemed unreliable are valued at fair value using methods determined in good faith by or at the direction of the Trustees of a Fund in a manner that fairly reflects the security s value, or the amount that the Fund might reasonably expect to receive for the security upon its current sale in the ordinary course. Each such determination is based on a consideration of relevant factors, which are likely to vary from one pricing context to another. These factors may include, but are not limited to, the type of security, the existence of any contractual restrictions on the security s disposition, the price and extent of public trading in similar securities of the issuer or of comparable entities, quotations or relevant information obtained from broker/dealers or other market participants, information obtained from the issuer, analysts, and/or the appropriate stock exchange (for exchange-traded securities), an analysis of the entity s financial condition, and an evaluation of the forces that influence the issuer and the market(s) in which the security is purchased and sold.

B Investment Transactions and Related Income and losses on investments sold are determined on the basis of identified cost. Interest income is recorded on the basis of interest accrued, adjusted for amortization of premium or accretion of discount.

C Federal Taxes Each Fund s policy is to comply with the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code applicable to regulated investment companies and to distribute to shareholders each year substantially all of its taxable, if any, and tax-exempt net investment income, and all or substantially all of its net realized capital gains. Accordingly, no provision for federal income or excise tax is necessary. Each Fund intends to satisfy conditions which will enable it to designate distributions from the interest income generated by its investments in non-taxable municipal securities, which are exempt from regular federal income tax when received by each Fund, as exempt-interest dividends.

At September 30, 2013, the following Funds, for federal income tax purposes, had capital loss carryforwards and deferred capital losses which will reduce the respective Fund s taxable income arising from future net realized gains on investment transactions, if any, to the extent permitted by the Internal Revenue Code, and thus will reduce the amount of distributions to shareholders, which would otherwise be necessary to relieve the Funds of any liability for federal income or

excise tax. The deferred capital losses are treated as arising on the first day of the Funds next taxable year and are treated as realized prior to the utilization of the capital loss carryforward. The amounts and expiration dates of the capital loss carryforwards and the amounts of the deferred capital losses are as follows:

Expiration Date	Municipal Fund	California Fund	New York Fund
September 30, 2015	\$ 31,250	\$	\$
September 30, 2016	6,857,645	533,889	
September 30, 2017	18,034,628	4,562,453	7,946,914
September 30, 2018	56,183,712	23,169,615	8,909,352
September 30, 2019	16,458,561	7,665,268	6,463,209
Total capital loss carryforward	\$ 97,565,796	\$ 35,931,225	\$ 23,319,475
Deferred capital losses	\$ 48,053,779	\$ 27,483,193	\$ 7,430,247

## **Municipal Bond Funds**

September 30, 2013

Notes to Financial Statements continued

As of September 30, 2013, the Funds had no uncertain tax positions that would require financial statement recognition, de-recognition, or disclosure. Each Fund files a U.S. federal income tax return annually after its fiscal year-end, which is subject to examination by the Internal Revenue Service for a period of three years from the date of filing.

- D Expense Reduction State Street Bank and Trust Company (SSBT) serves as custodian of the Funds. Pursuant to the custodian agreement, SSBT receives a fee reduced by credits, which are determined based on the average daily cash balance each Fund maintains with SSBT. All credit balances, if any, used to reduce each Fund s custodian fees are reported as a reduction of expenses in the Statements of Operations.
- E Legal Fees Legal fees and other related expenses incurred as part of negotiations of the terms and requirement of capital infusions, or that are expected to result in the restructuring of, or a plan of reorganization for, an investment are recorded as realized losses. Ongoing expenditures to protect or enhance an investment are treated as operating expenses.
- F Use of Estimates The preparation of the financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of income and expense during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.
- G Indemnifications Under each Fund s organizational documents, its officers and Trustees may be indemnified against certain liabilities and expenses arising out of the performance of their duties to each Fund. Under Massachusetts law, if certain conditions prevail, shareholders of a Massachusetts business trust (such as a Fund) could be deemed to have personal liability for the obligations of the Fund. However, each Fund s Declaration of Trust contains an express disclaimer of liability on the part of Fund shareholders and the By-laws provide that the Fund shall assume the defense on behalf of any Fund shareholders. Moreover, the By-laws also provide for indemnification out of Fund property of any shareholder held personally liable solely by reason of being or having been a shareholder for all loss or expense arising from such liability. Additionally, in the normal course of business, each Fund enters into agreements with service providers that may contain indemnification clauses. Each Fund s maximum exposure under these arrangements is unknown as this would involve future claims that may be made against each Fund that have not yet occurred.
- H Floating Rate Notes Issued in Conjunction with Securities Held The Funds may invest in residual interest bonds, also referred to as inverse floating rate securities, whereby a Fund may sell a variable or fixed rate bond to a broker for cash. At the same time, the Fund buys a residual interest in the assets and cash flows of a Special-Purpose Vehicle (the SPV), (which is generally organized as a trust), set up by the broker. The broker deposits a bond into the SPV with the same CUSIP number as the bond sold to the broker by the Fund, and which may have been, but is not required to be, the bond purchased from the Fund (the Bond). The SPV also issues floating rate notes (Floating Rate Notes) which are sold to third-parties. The residual interest bond held by a Fund gives the Fund the right (1) to cause the holders of the Floating Rate Notes to generally tender their notes at par, and (2) to have the broker transfer the Bond held by the SPV to the Fund, thereby terminating the SPV. Should the Fund exercise such right, it would generally pay the broker the par amount due on the Floating Rate Notes and exchange the residual interest bond for the underlying Bond. Pursuant to generally accepted accounting principles for transfers and servicing of financial assets and extinguishment of liabilities, the Funds account for the transaction described above as a secured borrowing by including the Bond in their Portfolio of Investments and the Floating Rate Notes as a liability under the caption Payable for floating rate notes issued in their Statement of Assets and Liabilities. The Floating Rate Notes have interest rates that generally reset weekly and their holders have the option to tender their notes to the broker for redemption at par at each reset date. Accordingly, the fair value of the payable for floating rate notes issued approximates its carrying value. If measured at fair value, the payable for floating rate notes would have been considered as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy (see Note 8) at September 30, 2013. Interest expense related to the Funds liability with respect to Floating Rate Notes is recorded as incurred. The SPV may be terminated by the Fund, as noted above, or by the broker upon the occurrence of certain termination events as defined in the trust agreement, such as a downgrade in the credit quality of the underlying Bond, bankruptcy of or payment failure by the issuer of the underlying Bond, the inability to remarket Floating Rate Notes that have been tendered due to insufficient buyers in the market, or the failure by the SPV to obtain renewal of the liquidity agreement under which liquidity support is provided for the Floating Rate Notes up to one year. Structuring fees paid to the liquidity provider upon the creation of an SPV have been recorded as debt issuance costs and are being amortized as interest expense to the expected maturity of the related trust. Unamortized structuring fees related to a terminated SPV are recorded as a realized loss on extinguishment of debt. At September 30, 2013, the amounts of the Funds Floating Rate Notes and related interest rates and collateral were as follows:

	Municipal Fund	California Fund	New York Fund
Floating Rate Notes Outstanding	\$ 610,570,000	\$ 184,800,000	\$ 142,670,000
Interest Rate or Range of Interest Rates (%)	0.07 - 0.52	0.07 - 0.12	0.07 - 0.11
Collateral for Floating Rate Notes Outstanding	\$ 697,933,441	\$ 217,629,196	\$ 166,405,487

## **Municipal Bond Funds**

September 30, 2013

Notes to Financial Statements continued

For the year ended September 30, 2013, the Funds average Floating Rate Notes outstanding and the average interest rate including fees and amortization of deferred debt issuance costs were as follows:

	Municipal Fund	California Fund	New York Fund
Average Floating Rate Notes Outstanding	\$ 612,855,274	\$ 192,061,630	\$ 141,458,986
Average Interest Rate	0.70%	0.65%	0.66%

The Funds may enter into shortfall and forbearance agreements with the broker by which a Fund agrees to reimburse the broker, in certain circumstances, for the difference between the liquidation value of the Bond held by the SPV and the liquidation value of the Floating Rate Notes, as well as any shortfalls in interest cash flows. The Funds exposure under shortfall and forbearance agreements that were entered into as of September 30, 2013 was approximately \$261,000 for Municipal Fund and none for California Fund and New York Fund.

The Funds may also purchase residual interest bonds from brokers in a secondary market transaction without first owning the underlying bond. Such transactions are not required to be treated as secured borrowings. Shortfall agreements, if any, related to residual interest bonds purchased in a secondary market transaction are disclosed in the Portfolio of Investments.

The Funds investment policies and restrictions expressly permit investments in residual interest bonds. Such bonds typically offer the potential for yields exceeding the yields available on fixed rate bonds with comparable credit quality and maturity. These securities tend to underperform the market for fixed rate bonds in a rising long-term interest rate environment, but tend to outperform the market for fixed rate bonds when long-term interest rates decline. The value and income of residual interest bonds are generally more volatile than that of a fixed rate bond. The Funds investment policies do not allow the Funds to borrow money except as permitted by the 1940 Act. Management believes that the Funds restrictions on borrowing money and issuing senior securities (other than as specifically permitted) do not apply to Floating Rate Notes issued by the SPV and included as a liability in the Funds Statement of Assets and Liabilities. As secured indebtedness issued by an SPV, Floating Rate Notes are distinct from the borrowings and senior securities to which the Funds restrictions apply. Residual interest bonds held by the Funds are securities exempt from registration under Rule 144A of the Securities Act of 1933.

- I Financial Futures Contracts Upon entering into a financial futures contract, a Fund is required to deposit with the broker, either in cash or securities, an amount equal to a certain percentage of the contract amount (initial margin). Subsequent payments, known as variation margin, are made or received by the Fund each business day, depending on the daily fluctuations in the value of the underlying security, and are recorded as unrealized gains or losses by the Fund. Gains (losses) are realized upon the expiration or closing of the financial futures contracts. Should market conditions change unexpectedly, the Fund may not achieve the anticipated benefits of the financial futures contracts and may realize a loss. Futures contracts have minimal counterparty risk as they are exchange traded and the clearinghouse for the exchange is substituted as the counterparty, guaranteeing counterparty performance.
- J Interest Rate Swaps Pursuant to interest rate swap agreements, a Fund makes periodic payments at a fixed interest rate and, in exchange, receives payments based on the interest rate of a benchmark industry index. Payments received or made are recorded as realized gains or losses. During the term of the outstanding swap agreement, changes in the underlying value of the swap are recorded as unrealized gains or losses. The value of the swap is determined by changes in the relationship between two rates of interest. A Fund is exposed to credit loss in the event of non-performance by the swap counterparty. Risk may also arise from movements in interest rates.
- K When-Issued Securities and Delayed Delivery Transactions The Funds may purchase or sell securities on a delayed delivery or when-issued basis. Payment and delivery may take place after the customary settlement period for that security. At the time the transaction is negotiated, the price of the security that will be delivered is fixed. The Funds maintain security positions for these commitments such that sufficient liquid assets will be available to make payments upon settlement. Securities purchased on a delayed delivery or when-issued basis are marked-to-market daily and begin earning interest on settlement date. Losses may arise due to changes in the market value of the underlying securities or if the counterparty does not perform under the contract.

L Statement of Cash Flows The cash amount shown in the Statement of Cash Flows of a Fund is the amount included in the Fund s Statement of Assets and Liabilities and represents the unrestricted cash on hand at its custodian and does not include any short-term investments.

#### 2 Distributions to Shareholders

Each Fund intends to make monthly distributions of net investment income to common shareholders. In addition, at least annually, each Fund intends to distribute all or substantially all of its net realized capital gains (reduced by available capital loss carryforwards). Distributions are recorded on the ex-dividend date.

The Funds distinguish between distributions on a tax basis and a financial reporting basis. Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that only distributions in excess of tax basis earnings and profits be reported in the financial statements as a return of capital. Permanent

## Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2013

Notes to Financial Statements continued

differences between book and tax accounting relating to distributions are reclassified to paid-in capital. For tax purposes, distributions from short-term capital gains are considered to be from ordinary income.

The tax character of distributions declared for the years ended September 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012 was as follows:

	Year Ended September 30, 2013			
	Municipal Fund	California Fund	New York Fund	
Distributions declared from:				
Tax-exempt income	\$ 52,180,276	\$ 14,225,931	\$ 10,935,903	
Ordinary income	33,995	2,615	145	

	Year 1	Year Ended September 30, 2012			
	Municipal Fund	California Fund	New York Fund		
Distributions declared from:					
Tax-exempt income	\$ 54,887,510	\$ 15,878,359	\$ 11,824,850		
Ordinary income	77,437	25,543	15,767		
		4 44.00			

During the year ended September 30, 2013, the following amounts were reclassified due to expired capital loss carryforwards and differences between book and tax accounting, primarily for accretion of market discount:

	Municipal Fund	California Fund	New York Fund
Change in:			
Paid-in capital	\$	\$	\$ (125,998)
Accumulated net realized loss	\$ 81,602	\$ 53,133	\$ 192,211
Accumulated undistributed (distributions in excess of) net investment income	\$ (81,602)	\$ (53,133)	\$ (66,213)
These reclassifications had no effect on the net assets or net asset value per share of the Funds			

As of September 30, 2013, the components of distributable earnings (accumulated losses) and unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on a tax basis were as follows:

	Muni	icipal Fund	_	alifornia und	Nev Fui	w York nd
Undistributed tax-exempt income	\$	889,044	\$	1,327,696	\$	969,655

Capital loss carryforward and deferred capital losses

\$ (145,619,575) \$ 23,261,118 \$ (63,414,418)

\$ (30,749,722) \$ 8,227,063

Net unrealized appreciation

23,261,118 \$ 5,550,327 \$

The differences between components of distributable earnings (accumulated losses) on a tax basis and the amounts reflected in the Statements of Assets and Liabilities are primarily due to wash sales, residual interest bonds, expeditures on defaulted bonds, futures contracts and accretion of market discount.

#### 3 Investment Adviser Fee and Other Transactions with Affiliates

The investment adviser fee is earned by Eaton Vance Management (EVM) as compensation for investment advisory services rendered to each Fund. For New York Fund, the fee is computed at an annual rate of 0.65% of its average weekly gross assets. For Municipal Fund and California Fund, prior to May 1, 2013, the fee was computed at an annual rate of 0.65% of each Fund s average weekly gross assets. Pursuant to a fee reduction agreement between each of Municipal Fund and California Fund and EVM, commencing May 1, 2013, the annual fee was reduced by 0.025% to 0.625% and will

## Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2013

Notes to Financial Statements continued

be reduced by another 0.025% commencing May 1, 2014. The fee reductions cannot be terminated without the consent of a majority of Trustees and a majority of shareholders. Average weekly gross assets include the principal amount of any indebtedness for money borrowed, including debt securities issued by a Fund. Pursuant to a fee reduction agreement with EVM, average weekly gross assets are calculated by adding to net assets the amount payable by the Fund to floating rate note holders, such adjustment being limited to the value of the Auction Preferred Shares (APS) outstanding prior to any APS redemptions by the Fund. The investment adviser fee is payable monthly. EVM also serves as the administrator of each Fund, but receives no compensation. For the year ended September 30, 2013, the investment adviser fees were as follows:

	Municipal	California	New York
	Fund	Fund	Fund
Investment Adviser Fee	\$ 9.840.016	\$ 2,978,448	\$ 2.348.558

Trustees and officers of the Funds who are members of EVM s organization receive remuneration for their services to the Funds out of the investment adviser fee. Trustees of the Funds who are not affiliated with the investment adviser may elect to defer receipt of all or a percentage of their annual fees in accordance with the terms of the Trustees Deferred Compensation Plan. For the year ended September 30, 2013, no significant amounts have been deferred. Certain officers and Trustees of the Funds are officers of EVM.

#### 4 Purchases and Sales of Investments

Purchases and sales of investments, other than short-term obligations, for the year ended September 30, 2013 were as follows:

	Municipal	California	New York
	Fund	Fund	Fund
Purchases	\$ 275,290,541	\$ 101,212,023	\$ 53,234,757
Sales	\$ 278,722,336	\$ 104,050,548	\$ 42,934,776

#### 5 Common Shares of Beneficial Interest

Common shares issued pursuant to the Funds dividend reinvestment plan for the years ended September 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012 were as follows:

	Municipal Fund	California Fund	New York Fund
Year Ended September 30, 2013	24,971		2,812
Year Ended September 30, 2012	33,515	9,232	22,680
6 Federal Income Tax Basis of Investments			

The cost and unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments of each Fund at September 30, 2013, as determined on a federal income tax basis, were as follows:

	Municipal	California	New York
	Fund	Fund	Fund
Aggregate cost	\$ 798,483,898	\$ 234,981,736	\$ 186,545,537
Gross unrealized appreciation	\$ 60,173,805	\$ 14,204,839	\$ 14,199,271
Gross unrealized depreciation	(36,912,687)	(8,654,512)	(5,972,208)
Net unrealized appreciation	\$ 23,261,118	\$ 5,550,327	\$ 8,227,063

## Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2013

Notes to Financial Statements continued

#### 7 Financial Instruments

The Funds may trade in financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of their investing activities. These financial instruments may include financial futures contracts and may involve, to a varying degree, elements of risk in excess of the amounts recognized for financial statement purposes. The notional or contractual amounts of these instruments represent the investment a Fund has in particular classes of financial instruments and do not necessarily represent the amounts potentially subject to risk. The measurement of the risks associated with these instruments is meaningful only when all related and offsetting transactions are considered.

A summary of obligations under these financial instruments at September 30, 2013 is as follows:

#### **Futures Contracts**

Fund	Expiration Month/Year	Contracts	Position	Aggregate Cost	Value	_	t realized preciation
Municipal		339					
	12/13	U.S. Long Treasury Bond	Short	\$ (44,631,942)	\$ (45,214,125)	\$	(582,183)
California		125					
	12/13	U.S. 10-Year Treasury Note 119	Short	\$ (15,487,076)	\$ (15,798,828)	\$	(311,752)
	12/13	U.S. Long Treasury Bond	Short	(15,680,821)	(15,871,625)		(190,804)
New York		75					
	12/13	U.S. Long Treasury Bond	Short	\$ (9,882,871)	\$ (10,003,125)	\$	(120,254)
A C . 1 20 20	010 4 E 1 1 1 CC '	4 1 1/ 22 4		.1			

At September 30, 2013, the Funds had sufficient cash and/or securities to cover commitments under these contracts.

Each Fund is subject to interest rate risk in the normal course of pursuing its investment objective. Because the Funds hold fixed-rate bonds, the value of these bonds may decrease if interest rates rise. The Funds purchase and sell U.S. Treasury futures contracts to hedge against changes in interest rates.

The fair values of open derivative instruments (not considered to be hedging instruments for accounting disclosure purposes) and whose primary underlying risk exposure is interest rate risk at September 30, 2013 were as follows:

	Municipal Fund	California Fund	New York Fund
Liability Derivative: Futures Contracts	\$ (582,183)(1)	\$ (502,556)(1)	\$ (120,254)(1)
Total	\$(582,183)	<b>\$</b> (502,556)	\$ (120,254)

<sup>(1)</sup> Amount represents cumulative unrealized depreciation on futures contracts in the Futures Contracts table above. Only the current day s variation margin on open futures contracts is reported within the Statement of Assets and Liabilities as Receivable or Payable for variation margin, as applicable.

The effect of derivative instruments (not considered to be hedging instruments for accounting disclosure purposes) on the Statement of Operations and whose primary underlying risk exposure is interest rate risk for the year ended September 30, 2013 was as follows:

	Municipal	California	New York
	Fund	Fund	Fund
Realized Gain (Loss) on Derivatives Recognized in Income	\$ 4,150,075 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 2,083,552 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 955,921 <sup>(1)</sup>
Change in Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation) on Derivatives Recognized in Income	\$ (674,640) <sup>(2)</sup>	\$ (421,507) <sup>(2)</sup>	\$ (147,656) <sup>(2)</sup>

<sup>(1)</sup> Statement of Operations location: Net realized gain (loss) Financial futures contracts.

<sup>(2)</sup> Statement of Operations location: Change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) Financial futures contracts.

## Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2013

Notes to Financial Statements continued

The average notional amounts of futures contracts outstanding during the year ended September 30, 2013, which are indicative of the volume of this derivative type, were approximately as follows:

	Municipal Fund	California Fund	New York Fund
Average Notional Amount: Futures Contracts	\$ 33.923.000	\$ 32,477,000	\$ 7,500,000
8 Fair Value Measurements	\$ 33,923,000	\$ 32,477,000	\$ 7,500,000

Under generally accepted accounting principles for fair value measurements, a three-tier hierarchy to prioritize the assumptions, referred to as inputs, is used in valuation techniques to measure fair value. The three-tier hierarchy of inputs is summarized in the three broad levels listed below.

Level 1 quoted prices in active markets for identical investments

Level 2 other significant observable inputs (including quoted prices for similar investments, interest rates, prepayment speeds, credit risk, etc.)

Level 3 significant unobservable inputs (including a fund s own assumptions in determining the fair value of investments)

In cases where the inputs used to measure fair value fall in different levels of the fair value hierarchy, the level disclosed is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. The inputs or methodology used for valuing securities are not necessarily an indication of the risk associated with investing in those securities.

At September 30, 2013, the hierarchy of inputs used in valuing the Funds investments and open derivative instruments, which are carried at value, were as follows:

Municipal Fund Asset Description	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3*	Total
Tax-Exempt Municipal Securities Corporate Bonds & Notes	\$	\$ 1,432,237,074	\$ 77,942	\$ 1,432,237,074 77,942
<b>Total Investments</b>	\$	\$ 1,432,237,074	\$ 77,942	\$ 1,432,315,016
Liability Description Futures Contracts	\$ (582,183)	\$	\$	\$ (582,183)
Total	\$ (582,183)	\$	\$	\$ (582,183)

California Fund				
Asset Description	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Tax-Exempt Investments	\$	\$ 425,332,063	\$	\$ 425,332,063
<b>Total Investments</b>	\$	\$ 425,332,063	\$	\$ 425,332,063
Liability Description				
Futures Contracts	\$ (502,556)	\$	\$	\$ (502,556)
Total	\$ (502,556)	\$	\$	\$ (502,556)

## Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2013

Notes to Financial Statements continued

New York Fund Asset Description	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Tax-Exempt Investments	\$	\$ 337,442,600	\$	\$ 337,442,600
Total Investments	\$	\$ 337,442,600	\$	\$ 337,442,600
Liability Description				
Futures Contracts	\$ (120,254)	\$	\$	\$ (120,254)
Total	<b>\$ (120,254)</b>	\$	\$	\$ (120,254)

<sup>\*</sup> None of the unobservable inputs for Level 3 assets, individually or collectively, had a material impact on the Fund.

California Fund and New York Fund held no investments or other financial instruments as of September 30, 2012 whose fair value was determined using Level 3 inputs. Level 3 investments held by Municipal Fund at the beginning and/or end of the period in relation to net assets were not significant and accordingly, a reconciliation of Level 3 assets for the year ended September 30, 2013 is not presented.

At September 30, 2013, there were no investments transferred between Level 1 and Level 2 during the year then ended.

## **Municipal Bond Funds**

September 30, 2013

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Trustees and Shareholders of Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund, Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund and Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund:

We have audited the accompanying statements of assets and liabilities of Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund, Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund and Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund (collectively, the Funds), including the portfolios of investments, as of September 30, 2013, and the related statements of operations and cash flows for the year then ended, the statements of changes in net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended, and the financial highlights for each of the five years in the period then ended. These financial statements and financial highlights are the responsibility of the Funds management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial highlights based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements and financial highlights are free of material misstatement. The Funds are not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of their internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Funds internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our procedures included confirmation of securities owned as of September 30, 2013, by correspondence with the custodian and brokers; where replies were not received from brokers, we performed other auditing procedures. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements and financial highlights referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial positions of Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund, Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund and Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund as of September 30, 2013, the results of their operations and their cash flows for the year then ended, the changes in their net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended, and the financial highlights for each of the five years in the period then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Boston, Massachusetts

November 15, 2013

### Eaton Vance

## Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2013

Federal Tax Information (Unaudited)

The Form 1099-DIV you receive in January 2014 will show the tax status of all distributions paid to your account in calendar year 2013. Shareholders are advised to consult their own tax adviser with respect to the tax consequences of their investment in the Funds. As required by the Internal Revenue Code and/or regulations, shareholders must be notified regarding exempt-interest dividends.

Exempt-Interest Dividends. The Funds designate the following percentages of distributions as exempt-interest dividends:

Municipal Bond Fund California Municipal Bond Fund New York Municipal Bond Fund 99.93% 99.98% 100.00%

## Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2013

Annual Meeting of Shareholders (Unaudited)

The Funds held their Annual Meeting of Shareholders on July 19, 2013. The following action was taken by the shareholders:

Item 1: The election of Scott E. Eston, Benjamin C. Esty, Thomas E. Faust Jr. and Allen R. Freedman as Class II Trustees of each Fund for a three-year term expiring in 2016.

	Nominee for Class II Trustee Elected by All Shareholders: Scott E. Eston	Nominee for Class II Trustee Elected by All Shareholders: Benjamin C. Esty	Nominee for Class II Trustee Elected by All Shareholders: Thomas E. Faust Jr.	Nominee for Class II Trustee Elected by All Shareholders: Allen R. Freedman
Municipal Fund				
For	61,277,138	61,126,242	61,104,276	60,989,163
Withheld	1,497,844	1,648,740	1,670,706	1,785,819
California Fund				
For	18,527,977	18,535,213	18,504,327	18,511,610
Withheld	1,310,242	1,303,006	1,333,892	1,326,609
New York Fund				
For	14,124,786	14,040,222	14,302,492	14,017,354
Withheld	611,094	695,658	433,388	718,526

## Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2013

Dividend Reinvestment Plan

Each Fund offers a dividend reinvestment plan (Plan) pursuant to which shareholders may elect to have distributions automatically reinvested in common shares (Shares) of the Fund. You may elect to participate in the Plan by completing the Dividend Reinvestment Plan Application Form. If you do not participate, you will receive all distributions in cash paid by check mailed directly to you by American Stock Transfer & Trust Company (AST) as dividend paying agent. On the distribution payment date, if the NAV per Share is equal to or less than the market price per Share plus estimated brokerage commissions, then new Shares will be issued. The number of Shares shall be determined by the greater of the NAV per Share or 95% of the market price. Otherwise, Shares generally will be purchased on the open market by AST, the Plan agent (Agent). Distributions subject to income tax (if any) are taxable whether or not Shares are reinvested.

If your Shares are in the name of a brokerage firm, bank, or other nominee, you can ask the firm or nominee to participate in the Plan on your behalf. If the nominee does not offer the Plan, you will need to request that each Fund s transfer agent re-register your Shares in your name or you will not be able to participate.

The Agent s service fee for handling distributions will be paid by each Fund. Plan participants will be charged their pro-rata share of brokerage commissions on all open-market purchases.

Plan participants may withdraw from the Plan at any time by writing to the Agent at the address noted on the following page. If you withdraw, you will receive Shares in your name for all Shares credited to your account under the Plan. If a participant elects by written notice to the Agent to sell part or all of his or her Shares and remit the proceeds, the Agent is authorized to deduct a \$5.00 fee plus brokerage commissions from the proceeds.

If you wish to participate in the Plan and your Shares are held in your own name, you may complete the form on the following page and deliver it to the Agent. Any inquiries regarding the Plan can be directed to the Agent at 1-866-439-6787.

# Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Funds September 30, 2013 Application for Participation in Dividend Reinvestment Plan This form is for shareholders who hold their common shares in their own names. If your common shares are held in the name of a brokerage firm, bank, or other nominee, you should contact your nominee to see if it will participate in the Plan on your behalf. If you wish to participate in the Plan, but your brokerage firm, bank, or nominee is unable to participate on your behalf, you should request that your common shares be re-registered in your own name which will enable your participation in the Plan. The following authorization and appointment is given with the understanding that I may terminate it at any time by terminating my participation in the Plan as provided in the terms and conditions of the Plan. Please print exact name on account: Date Shareholder signature Shareholder signature Date Please sign exactly as your common shares are registered. All persons whose names appear on the share certificate must sign. YOU SHOULD NOT RETURN THIS FORM IF YOU WISH TO RECEIVE YOUR DISTRIBUTIONS IN CASH. THIS IS NOT A PROXY. This authorization form, when signed, should be mailed to the following address: Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Funds c/o American Stock Transfer & Trust Company P.O. Box 922 Wall Street Station

New York, NY 10269-0560

#### **Number of Employees**

Each Fund is organized as a Massachusetts business trust and is registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, as a closed-end management investment company and has no employees.

#### **Number of Shareholders**

As of September 30, 2013, Fund records indicate that there are 178, 31 and 44 registered shareholders for Municipal Bond Fund, California Municipal Bond Fund and New York Municipal Bond Fund, respectively, and approximately 24,229, 6,080 and 5,007 shareholders owning the Fund shares in street name, such as through brokers, banks and financial intermediaries for Municipal Bond Fund, California Municipal Bond Fund and New York Municipal Bond Fund, respectively.

If you are a street name shareholder and wish to receive Fund reports directly, which contain important information about a Fund, please write or call:

Eaton Vance Distributors, Inc.

Two International Place

Boston, MA 02110

1-800-262-1122

#### NYSE MKT symbols

Municipal Bond Fund EIM

California Municipal Bond Fund EVM

New York Municipal Bond Fund ENX

40

## Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2013

Board of Trustees Contract Approval

#### Overview of the Contract Review Process

The Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the 1940 Act ), provides, in substance, that each investment advisory agreement between a fund and its investment adviser will continue in effect from year to year only if its continuation is approved at least annually by the fund s board of trustees, including by a vote of a majority of the trustees who are not interested persons of the fund (Independent Trustees), cast in person at a meeting called for the purpose of considering such approval.

At a meeting of the Boards of Trustees (each a Board ) of the Eaton Vance group of mutual funds (the Eaton Vance Funds ) held on April 23, 2013, the Board, including a majority of the Independent Trustees, voted to approve continuation of existing advisory and sub-advisory agreements for the Eaton Vance Funds for an additional one-year period. In voting its approval, the Board relied upon the affirmative recommendation of the Contract Review Committee of the Board, which is a committee comprised exclusively of Independent Trustees. Prior to making its recommendation, the Contract Review Committee reviewed information furnished by each adviser to the Eaton Vance Funds (including information specifically requested by the Board) for a series of meetings of the Contract Review Committee held between February and April 2013, as well as information considered during prior meetings of the committee. Such information included, among other things, the following:

Information about Fees, Performance and Expenses

An independent report comparing the advisory and related fees paid by each fund with fees paid by comparable funds;

An independent report comparing each fund s total expense ratio and its components to comparable funds;

An independent report comparing the investment performance of each fund (including, where relevant, yield data, Sharpe ratios and information ratios) to the investment performance of comparable funds over various time periods;

Data regarding investment performance in comparison to benchmark indices and customized peer groups, in each case as approved by the Board with respect to the funds;

For each fund, comparative information concerning the fees charged and the services provided by each adviser in managing other accounts (including mutual funds, other collective investment funds and institutional accounts) using investment strategies and techniques similar to those used in managing such fund;

Profitability analyses for each adviser with respect to each fund; Information about Portfolio Management and Trading

Descriptions of the investment management services provided to each fund, including the investment strategies and processes employed, and any changes in portfolio management processes and personnel;

Information about the allocation of brokerage and the benefits received by each adviser as a result of brokerage allocation, including information concerning the acquisition of research through client commission arrangements and the fund s policies with respect to soft dollar arrangements;

Data relating to portfolio turnover rates of each fund;

The procedures and processes used to determine the fair value of fund assets and actions taken to monitor and test the effectiveness of such procedures and processes;

Information about each adviser s processes for monitoring best execution of portfolio transactions, and other policies and practices of each adviser with respect to trading;

Information about each Adviser

Reports detailing the financial results and condition of each adviser;

Descriptions of the qualifications, education and experience of the individual investment professionals whose responsibilities include portfolio management and investment research for the funds, and information relating to their compensation and responsibilities with respect to managing other mutual funds and investment accounts;

Copies of the Codes of Ethics of each adviser and its affiliates, together with information relating to compliance with and the administration of such codes;

Copies of or descriptions of each adviser s policies and procedures relating to proxy voting, the handling of corporate actions and class actions;

Information concerning the resources devoted to compliance efforts undertaken by each adviser and its affiliates on behalf of the funds (including descriptions of various compliance programs) and their record of compliance with investment policies and restrictions, including policies with respect to market-timing, late trading and selective portfolio disclosure, and with policies on personal securities transactions;

Descriptions of the business continuity and disaster recovery plans of each adviser and its affiliates;

A description of Eaton Vance Management s procedures for overseeing third party advisers and sub-advisers, including with respect to regulatory and compliance issues, investment management and other matters;

# Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2013

Board of Trustees Contract Approval continued

Other Relevant Information

Information concerning the nature, cost and character of the administrative and other non-investment management services provided by Eaton Vance Management and its affiliates;

Information concerning management of the relationship with the custodian, subcustodians and fund accountants by each adviser or the funds administrator; and

The terms of each advisory agreement.

In addition to the information identified above, the Contract Review Committee considered information provided from time to time by each adviser throughout the year at meetings of the Board and its committees. Over the course of the twelve-month period ended April 30, 2013, with respect to one or more funds, the Board met eight times and the Contract Review Committee, the Audit Committee, the Governance Committee, the Portfolio Management Committee and the Compliance Reports and Regulatory Matters Committee, each of which is a Committee comprised solely of Independent Trustees, met eight, twenty-one, five, nine and thirteen times respectively. At such meetings, the Trustees participated in investment and performance reviews with the portfolio managers and other investment professionals of each adviser relating to each fund. The Board and its Committees considered the investment and trading strategies used in pursuing each fund s investment objective, including, where relevant, the use of derivative instruments, as well as processes for monitoring best execution of portfolio transactions and risk management techniques. The Board and its Committees also evaluated issues pertaining to industry and regulatory developments, compliance procedures, fund governance and other issues with respect to the funds, and received and participated in reports and presentations provided by Eaton Vance Management and other fund advisers with respect to such matters.

For funds that invest through one or more underlying portfolios, the Board considered similar information about the portfolio(s) when considering the approval of advisory agreements. In addition, in cases where the fund s investment adviser has engaged a sub-adviser, the Board considered similar information about the sub-adviser when considering the approval of any sub-advisory agreement.

The Contract Review Committee was assisted throughout the contract review process by Goodwin Procter LLP, legal counsel for the Independent Trustees. The members of the Contract Review Committee relied upon the advice of such counsel and their own business judgment in determining the material factors to be considered in evaluating each advisory and sub-advisory agreement and the weight to be given to each such factor. The conclusions reached with respect to each advisory and sub-advisory agreement were based on a comprehensive evaluation of all the information provided and not any single factor. Moreover, each member of the Contract Review Committee may have placed varying emphasis on particular factors in reaching conclusions with respect to each advisory agreement.

# Results of the Process

Based on its consideration of the foregoing, and such other information as it deemed relevant, including the factors and conclusions described below, the Contract Review Committee concluded that the continuation of the investment advisory agreements of the following funds:

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund

Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund

## Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund

(the Funds ), each with Eaton Vance Management (the Adviser ), including their fee structures, is in the interests of shareholders and, therefore, the Contract Review Committee recommended to the Board approval of each agreement. The Board accepted the recommendation of the Contract Review Committee as well as the factors considered and conclusions reached by the Contract Review Committee with respect to each agreement. Accordingly, the Board, including a majority of the Independent Trustees, voted to approve continuation of the investment advisory agreement for each Fund.

### Nature, Extent and Quality of Services

In considering whether to approve the investment advisory agreements of the Funds, the Board evaluated the nature, extent and quality of services provided to the Funds by the Adviser.

The Board considered the Adviser s management capabilities and investment process with respect to the types of investments held by each Fund, including the education, experience and number of its investment professionals and other personnel who provide portfolio management, investment research, and similar services to the Funds. In particular, the Board considered, where relevant, the abilities and experience of such investment personnel in analyzing factors such as credit risk, tax efficiency, and special considerations relevant to investing in municipal bonds. The Board considered the Adviser s large municipal bond team, which includes portfolio managers and credit specialists who provide services to the Funds. The Board also took into account the resources dedicated to portfolio management and other services, including the compensation methods of the Adviser to recruit and retain investment personnel, and the time and attention devoted to each Fund by senior management.

The Board reviewed the compliance programs of the Adviser and relevant affiliates thereof. Among other matters, the Board considered compliance and reporting matters relating to personal trading by investment personnel, selective disclosure of portfolio holdings, late trading, frequent trading, portfolio valuation, business continuity and the allocation of investment opportunities. The Board also evaluated the responses of the Adviser and its affiliates to requests in recent years from regulatory authorities such as the Securities and Exchange Commission and the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority.

# **Municipal Bond Funds**

September 30, 2013

Board of Trustees Contract Approval continued

The Board considered shareholder and other administrative services provided or managed by Eaton Vance Management and its affiliates, including transfer agency and accounting services. The Board evaluated the benefits to shareholders of investing in a fund that is a part of a large family of funds.

After consideration of the foregoing factors, among others, the Board concluded that the nature, extent and quality of services provided by the Adviser, taken as a whole, are appropriate and consistent with the terms of the investment advisory agreements.

### Fund Performance

The Board compared each Fund s investment performance to a relevant universe of similarly managed funds identified by an independent data provider and appropriate benchmark indices and assessed each Fund s performance on the basis of total return and current income return. The Board s review included comparative performance data for the one-, three-, five- and ten-year periods ended September 30, 2012 for each Fund. The Board considered the impact of extraordinary market conditions in recent years on each Fund s performance in light of, among other things, the Adviser s efforts to generate competitive levels of tax exempt current income over time through investments that, relative to its peer universe, focus on higher quality municipal bonds with longer maturities. The Board noted that the Adviser had taken action to restructure each Fund s portfolio as part of a long-term strategy for managing interest rate risk, consistent with each Fund s objective of providing current income. The Board concluded that each Fund s performance had been satisfactory on the basis of current income return, and that it was appropriate to continue to monitor the effectiveness of the actions taken by the Adviser to improve Fund performance on the basis of total return, which the Board noted had improved for periods ended as of December 31, 2012.

# Management Fees and Expenses

The Board reviewed contractual investment advisory fee rates payable by each Fund (referred to as management fees ). As part of its review, the Board considered the management fees and each Fund s total expense ratio for the year ended September 30, 2012, as compared to a group of similarly managed funds selected by an independent data provider. The Board considered the fact that, at the request of the Contract Review Committee, the Adviser had undertaken to permanently reduce fees of the Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund and the Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund in an agreed upon amount, such reduction to be effective May 1, 2013. The Board also considered factors that had an impact on Fund expense ratios, as identified by management in response to inquiries from the Contract Review Committee, as well as actions taken by management in recent years to reduce expenses at the Eaton Vance fund complex level, including the negotiation of reduced fees for transfer agency and custody services.

After reviewing the foregoing information, and in light of the nature, extent and quality of the services provided by the Adviser, the Board concluded that the management fees charged for advisory and related services are reasonable.

# Profitability

The Board reviewed the level of profits realized by the Adviser and relevant affiliates thereof in providing investment advisory and administrative services to each Fund and to all Eaton Vance Funds as a group. The Board considered the level of profits realized without regard to revenue sharing or other payments by the Adviser and its affiliates to third parties in respect of distribution services. The Board also considered other direct or indirect benefits received by the Adviser and its affiliates in connection with their relationships with the Funds, including the benefits of research services that may be available to the Adviser as a result of securities transactions effected for the Funds and other investment advisory clients.

The Board concluded that, in light of the foregoing factors and the nature, extent and quality of the services rendered, the profits realized by the Adviser and its affiliates are reasonable.

**Economies of Scale** 

In reviewing management fees and profitability, the Board also considered the extent to which the Adviser and its affiliates, on the one hand, and each Fund, on the other hand, can expect to realize benefits from economies of scale as the assets of each Fund increase. The Board acknowledged the difficulty in accurately measuring the benefits resulting from the economies of scale with respect to the management of any specific fund or group of funds. The Board reviewed data summarizing the increases and decreases in the assets of each Fund and of all Eaton Vance Funds as a group over various time periods, and evaluated the extent to which the total expense ratio of each Fund and the profitability of the Adviser and its affiliates may have been affected by such increases or decreases. Based upon the foregoing, the Board concluded that each Fund currently shares in the benefits from economies of scale. The Board also considered the fact that the Funds are not continuously offered and that the Funds assets are not expected to increase materially in the foreseeable future. The Board concluded that, in light of the level of the Adviser s profits with respect to the Fund, the implementation of breakpoints in the advisory fee schedules is not appropriate at this time.

# Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2013

Management and Organization

Fund Management. The Trustees of Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund (EIM), Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund (EVM) and Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund (ENX), (the Funds) are responsible for the overall management and supervision of the Funds—affairs. The Trustees and officers of the Funds are listed below. Except as indicated, each individual has held the office shown or other offices in the same company for the last five years. The Noninterested Trustees—consist of those Trustees who are not—interested persons—of the Funds, as that term is defined under the 1940 Act. The business address of each Trustee and officer is Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110. As used below, EVC—refers to Eaton Vance Corp., EV—refers to Eaton Vance, Inc., EVM—refers to Eaton Vance Management, BMR—refers to Boston Management and Research and EVD—refers to Eaton Vance Distributors, Inc. EVC and EV—are the corporate parent and trustee, respectively, of EVM and BMR. EVD is a wholly-owned subsidiary of EVC. Each officer affiliated with Eaton Vance may hold a position with other Eaton Vance affiliates that is comparable to his or her position with EVM listed below. Each Trustee oversees 190 portfolios in the Eaton Vance Complex (including all master and feeder funds in a master feeder structure). Each officer serves as an officer of certain other Eaton Vance funds. Each Trustee serves for a three year term. Each officer serves until his or her successor is elected.

	Position(s)	Term of Office;	Principal Occupation(s) and Directorships
Name and Year of Birth Interested Trustee	with the Funds	Length of Service	During Past Five Years and Other Relevant Experience
Thomas E. Faust Jr.	Class II	Until 2016.	Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President of EVC, Director and President of EV, Chief Executive Officer and President of EVM and BMR, and Director of EVD.
1958	Trustee	3 years.  Trustee since 2007.	Trustee and/or officer of 190 registered investment companies. Mr. Faust is an interested person because of his positions with EVM, BMR, EVD, EVC and EV, which are affiliates of the Funds.  Directorships in the Last Five Years. Director of EVC and Hexavest Inc.
Noninterested Trustees			
Scott E. Eston	Class II	Until 2016.	Private investor. Formerly held various positions at Grantham, Mayo, Van Otterloo and Co., L.L.C. (investment management firm) (1997-2009), including Chief Operating
1956	Trustee	3 years.	Officer (2002-2009), Chief Financial Officer (1997-2009) and Chairman of the Executive Committee (2002-2008); President and Principal Executive Officer, GMO
		Trustee since 2011.	Trust (open-end registered investment company) (2006-2009). Former Partner, Coopers and Lybrand L.L.P. (now PricewaterhouseCoopers) (public accounting firm) (1987-1997).
			Directorships in the Last Five Years. None.
Benjamin C. Esty	Class II	Until 2016.	Roy and Elizabeth Simmons Professor of Business Administration and Finance Unit Head, Harvard University Graduate School of Business Administration.
1963	Trustee	3 years.	Directorships in the Last Five Years. (1) None.
		Trustee since 2005.	
Allen R. Freedman	Class II	Until 2016.	

1940	Trustee	3 years.  Trustee since 2007.	Private Investor. Former Chairman (2002-2004) and a Director (1983-2004) of Systems & Computer Technology Corp. (provider of software to higher education). Formerly, a Director of Loring Ward International (fund distributor) (2005-2007). Former Chairman and a Director of Indus International, Inc. (provider of enterprise management software to the power generating industry) (2005-2007). Former Chief Executive Officer of Assurant, Inc. (insurance provider) (1979-2000).
			<b>Directorships in the Last Five Years.</b> (1) Director of Stonemor Partners, L.P. (owner and operator of cemeteries). Formerly, Director of Assurant, Inc. (insurance provider) (1979-2011).
William H. Park	Class I	Until 2015.	Consultant and private investor. Formerly, Chief Financial Officer, Aveon Group L.P. (investment management firm) (2010-2011). Formerly, Vice Chairman, Commercial
1947	Trustee	3 years.  Trustee since 2003.	Industrial Finance Corp. (specialty finance company) (2006-2010). Formerly, President and Chief Executive Officer, Prizm Capital Management, LLC (investment management firm) (2002-2005). Formerly, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, United Asset Management Corporation (investment management firm) (1982-2001). Formerly, Senior Manager, Price Waterhouse (now PricewaterhouseCoopers) (an independent registered public accounting firm) (1972-1981).
			Directorships in the Last Five Years. (1) None.
Ronald A. Pearlman	Class III	Until 2014.	Professor of Law, Georgetown University Law Center. Formerly, Deputy Assistant Secretary (Tax Policy) and Assistant Secretary (Tax Policy), U.S. Department of the
1940	Trustee	3 years.	Treasury (1983-1985). Formerly, Chief of Staff, Joint Committee on Taxation, U.S. Congress (1988-1990).
		Trustee since 2003.	Directorships in the Last Five Years. (1) None.

# Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2013

Management and Organization continued

	Position(s)	Term of Office;	Principal Occupation(s) and Directorships
Name and Year of	with the	Length of	Principal Occupation(s) and Directorships
Birth	Funds	Service	<b>During Past Five Years and Other Relevant Experience</b>
Noninterested Trustees (c	continued)		
Helen Frame Peters	Class III	Until 2014.	Professor of Finance, Carroll School of Management, Boston College. Formerly, Dean, Carroll School of Management, Boston College (2000-2002). Formerly, Chief
1948	Trustee	3 years.	Investment Officer, Fixed Income, Scudder Kemper Investments (investment management firm) (1998-1999). Formerly, Chief Investment Officer, Equity and Fixed Income, Colonial Management Associates (investment management firm)
		Trustee since 2008.	(1991-1998).
			<b>Directorships in the Last Five Years.</b> (1) Formerly, Director of BJ s Wholesale Club, Inc. (wholesale club retailer) (2004-2011). Formerly, Trustee of SPDR Index Shares Funds and SPDR Series Trust (exchange traded funds) (2000-2009). Formerly, Director of Federal Home Loan Bank of Boston (a bank for banks) (2007-2009).
Lynn A. Stout	Class I	Until 2015.	Distinguished Professor of Corporate and Business Law, Jack G. Clarke Business Law Institute, Cornell University Law School. Formerly, the Paul Hastings Professor of
1957	Trustee	3 years.	Corporate and Securities Law (2006-2012) and Professor of Law (2001-2006), University of California at Los Angeles School of Law.
		Trustee since 2002.	Directorships in the Last Five Years. (1) None.
Harriett Tee Taggart	Class III	Until 2014.	Managing Director, Taggart Associates (a professional practice firm). Formerly, Partner and Senior Vice President, Wellington Management Company, LLP
1948	Trustee	2 years.	(investment management firm) (1983-2006).
		Trustee since 2011.	<b>Directorships in the Last Five Years.</b> Director of Albemarle Corporation (chemicals manufacturer) (since 2007) and The Hanover Group (specialty property and casualty insurance company) (since 2009). Formerly, Director of Lubrizol Corporation (specialty chemicals) (2007-2011).
Ralph F. Verni	Chairman of the Board and	Until 2015.	Consultant and private investor. Formerly, Chief Investment Officer (1982-1992), Chief Financial Officer (1988-1990) and Director (1982-1992), New England Life.
1943	Class I Trustee	3 years.	Formerly, Chairperson, New England Mutual Funds (1982-1992). Formerly, President and Chief Executive Officer, State Street Management & Research (1992-2000). Formerly, Chairperson, State Street Research Mutual Funds (1992-2000). Formerly,
		Chairman of the Board since 2007	Director, W.P. Carey, LLC (1998-2004) and First Pioneer Farm Credit Corp. (2002-2006).
		and Trustee since 2005.	Directorships in the Last Five Years. (1) None.
Principal Officers who		Length of	Principal Occupation(s)
Name and Year of	Position(s) with the	Dengin or	Timepu vecupunon(s)
Birth	Funds	Service	During Past Five Years

Cynthia J. Clemson	President of EVM and ENX	Since 2005	Vice President of EVM and BMR.
1963			
Thomas M. Metzold	President of EIM	Since 2010	Vice President of EVM and BMR.
1958			
Payson F. Swaffield	Vice President	Since 2011	Vice President and Chief Income Investment Officer of EVM and BMR.
1956			
Maureen A. Gemma	Vice President, Secretary and	Vice President since 2011,	Vice President of EVM and BMR.
1960	Chief Legal Officer	Secretary since 2007 and	
		Chief Legal Officer since 2008	
James F. Kirchner <sup>(2)</sup>	Treasurer	Since 2013	Vice President of EVM and BMR.
1967			

# Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2013

Management and Organization continued

	Position(s)	Length of	Principal Occupation(s)
Name and Year of Birth Principal Officers who a	with the Funds are not Trustees (continued)	Service ed)	<b>During Past Five Years</b>
Paul M. O Neil	Chief Compliance	Since 2004	Vice President of EVM and BMR.
1953	Officer		

<sup>(1)</sup> During their respective tenures, the Trustees (except Mr. Eston and Ms. Taggart) also served as Board members of one or more of the following Eaton Vance funds (which operated in the years noted): Eaton Vance Credit Opportunities Fund (launched in 2005 and terminated in 2010); Eaton Vance Insured Florida Plus Municipal Bond Fund (launched in 2002 and terminated in 2009); and Eaton Vance National Municipal Income Trust (launched in 1998 and terminated in 2009)

<sup>(2)</sup> Prior to 2013, Mr. Kirchner served as Assistant Treasurer of the Funds since 2007.

# Eaton Vance Funds

### IMPORTANT NOTICES

**Privacy.** The Eaton Vance organization is committed to ensuring your financial privacy. Each of the financial institutions identified below has in effect the following policy ( Privacy Policy ) with respect to nonpublic personal information about its customers:

Only such information received from you, through application forms or otherwise, and information about your Eaton Vance fund transactions will be collected. This may include information such as name, address, social security number, tax status, account balances and transactions.

None of such information about you (or former customers) will be disclosed to anyone, except as permitted by law (which includes disclosure to employees necessary to service your account). In the normal course of servicing a customer s account, Eaton Vance may share information with unaffiliated third parties that perform various required services such as transfer agents, custodians and broker-dealers.

Policies and procedures (including physical, electronic and procedural safeguards) are in place that are designed to protect the confidentiality of such information.

We reserve the right to change our Privacy Policy at any time upon proper notification to you. Customers may want to review our Privacy Policy periodically for changes by accessing the link on our homepage: www.eatonvance.com.

Our pledge of privacy applies to the following entities within the Eaton Vance organization: the Eaton Vance Family of Funds, Eaton Vance Management, Eaton Vance Investment Counsel, Eaton Vance Distributors, Inc., Eaton Vance Trust Company, Eaton Vance Management s Real Estate Investment Group and Boston Management and Research. In addition, our Privacy Policy applies only to those Eaton Vance customers who are individuals and who have a direct relationship with us. If a customer s account (i.e., fund shares) is held in the name of a third-party financial advisor/broker-dealer, it is likely that only such advisor s privacy policies apply to the customer. This notice supersedes all previously issued privacy disclosures. For more information about Eaton Vance s Privacy Policy, please call 1-800-262-1122.

Delivery of Shareholder Documents. The Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) permits funds to deliver only one copy of shareholder documents, including prospectuses, proxy statements and shareholder reports, to fund investors with multiple accounts at the same residential or post office box address. This practice is often called householding and it helps eliminate duplicate mailings to shareholders. Eaton Vance, or your financial advisor, may household the mailing of your documents indefinitely unless you instruct Eaton Vance, or your financial advisor, otherwise. If you would prefer that your Eaton Vance documents not be householded, please contact Eaton Vance at 1-800-262-1122, or contact your financial advisor. Your instructions that householding not apply to delivery of your Eaton Vance documents will be effective within 30 days of receipt by Eaton Vance or your financial advisor.

Portfolio Holdings. Each Eaton Vance Fund and its underlying Portfolio(s) (if applicable) will file a schedule of portfolio holdings on Form N-Q with the SEC for the first and third quarters of each fiscal year. The Form N-Q will be available on the Eaton Vance website at www.eatonvance.com, by calling Eaton Vance at 1-800-262-1122 or in the EDGAR database on the SEC s website at www.sec.gov. Form N-Q may also be reviewed and copied at the SEC s public reference room in Washington, D.C. (call 1-800-732-0330 for information on the operation of the public reference room).

Proxy Voting. From time to time, funds are required to vote proxies related to the securities held by the funds. The Eaton Vance Funds or their underlying Portfolios (if applicable) vote proxies according to a set of policies and procedures approved by the Funds and Portfolios Boards. You may obtain a description of these policies and procedures and information on how the Funds or Portfolios voted proxies relating to portfolio securities during the most recent 12-month period ended June 30, without charge, upon request, by calling 1-800-262-1122 and by accessing the SEC s website at www.sec.gov.

Share Repurchase Program. On November 11, 2013, the Funds Board of Trustees approved a share repurchase program authorizing each Fund to repurchase up to 10% of its currently outstanding common shares in open-market transactions at a discount to net asset value. The repurchase program does not obligate a Fund to purchase a specific amount of shares. The Funds repurchase activity, including the number of shares purchased, average price and average discount to net asset value, will be disclosed in the Funds annual and semi-annual reports to shareholders.

Additional Notice to Shareholders. If applicable, a Fund may redeem or purchase its outstanding auction preferred shares (APS) in order to maintain compliance with regulatory requirements, borrowing or rating agency requirements or for other purposes as it deems appropriate or necessary.

Closed-End Fund Information. Eaton Vance closed-end funds make fund performance data and certain information about portfolio characteristics available on the Eaton Vance website shortly after the end of each month. The funds net asset value per share is readily accessible on the Eaton Vance website. Portfolio holdings for the most recent month-end are also posted to the website approximately 30 days following the end of the month. This information is available at www.eatonvance.com on the fund information pages under Individual Investors Closed-End Funds .

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

# 200 Clarendon Street Boston, MA 02116 Transfer Agent American Stock Transfer & Trust Company 59 Maiden Lane Plaza Level New York, NY 10038 Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Deloitte & Touche LLP 200 Berkeley Street Boston, MA 02116-5022 Fund Offices

Two International Place

Boston, MA 02110

Investment Adviser and Administrator

State Street Bank and Trust Company

**Eaton Vance Management** 

Two International Place

Boston, MA 02110

Custodian

1453-11/13 CE-IMBSRC

# Item 2. Code of Ethics

The registrant has adopted a code of ethics applicable to its Principal Executive Officer, Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer. The registrant undertakes to provide a copy of such code of ethics to any person upon request, without charge, by calling 1-800-262-1122.

# **Item 3. Audit Committee Financial Expert**

The registrant s Board has designated William H. Park, an independent trustee, as its audit committee financial expert. Mr. Park is a certified public accountant who is a consultant and private investor. Previously, he served as the Chief Financial Officer of Aveon Group, L.P. (an investment management firm), as the Vice Chairman of Commercial Industrial Finance Corp. (specialty finance company), as President and Chief Executive Officer of Prizm Capital Management, LLC (investment management firm), as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of United Asset Management Corporation (an institutional investment management firm) and as a Senior Manager at Price Waterhouse (now PricewaterhouseCoopers) (an independent registered public accounting firm).

# **Item 4. Principal Accountant Fees and Services**

# (a) (d)

The following table presents the aggregate fees billed to the registrant for the registrant s fiscal years ended September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2013 by the registrant s principal accountant, Deloitte & Touche LLP ( D&T ), for professional services rendered for the audit of the registrant s annual financial statements and fees billed for other services rendered by D&T during such periods.

Fiscal Years Ended	9/30/12	9/30/13
Audit Fees	\$ 67,050	\$67,850
Audit-Related Fees <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 0	\$ 0
Tax Fees <sup>(2)</sup>	\$ 12,050	\$ 12,460
All Other Fees <sup>(3)</sup>	\$ 310	\$ 0
Total	\$ 79,410	\$80,310

- (1) Audit-related fees consist of the aggregate fees billed for assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit of the registrant s financial statements and are not reported under the category of audit fees.
- (2) Tax fees consist of the aggregate fees billed for professional services rendered by the principal accountant relating to tax compliance, tax advice, and tax planning and specifically include fees for tax return preparation and other related tax compliance/planning matters.
- (3) All other fees consist of the aggregate fees billed for products and services provided by the principal accountant other than audit, audit-related, and tax services.
- (e)(1) The registrant s audit committee has adopted policies and procedures relating to the pre-approval of services provided by the registrant s principal accountant (the Pre-Approval Policies). The Pre-Approval Policies establish a framework intended to assist the audit committee in the proper discharge of its pre-approval responsibilities. As a general matter, the Pre-Approval Policies (i) specify certain types of audit, audit-related, tax, and other services determined to be pre-approved by the audit committee; and (ii) delineate specific procedures governing the mechanics of the pre-approval process, including the approval and monitoring of audit and non-audit service fees. Unless a service is specifically pre-approved under the Pre-Approval Policies, it must be separately pre-approved by the audit committee.

The Pre-Approval Policies and the types of audit and non-audit services pre-approved therein must be reviewed and ratified by the registrant s audit committee at least annually. The registrant s audit committee maintains full responsibility for the appointment, compensation, and oversight of the work of the registrant s principal accountant.

(e)(2) No services described in paragraphs (b)-(d) above were approved by the registrant s audit committee pursuant to the de minimis exception set forth in Rule 2-01 (c)(7)(i)(C) of Regulation S-X.

(f) Not applicable.

(g) The following table presents (i) the aggregate non-audit fees (i.e., fees for audit-related, tax, and other services) billed to the registrant by D&T for the registrant s fiscal years ended September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2013; and (ii) the aggregate non-audit fees (i.e., fees for audit-related, tax, and other services) billed to the Eaton Vance organization by D&T for the same time periods.

Fiscal Years Ended	9/30/12	9/30/13
Registrant	\$ 12,360	\$ 12,460
Eaton Vance <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 606,619	\$ 369,820

- (1) The investment adviser to the registrant, as well as any of its affiliates that provide ongoing services to the registrant, are subsidiaries of Eaton Vance Corp.
- (h) The registrant s audit committee has considered whether the provision by the registrant s principal accountant of non-audit services to the registrant s investment adviser and any entity controlling, controlled by, or under common control with the adviser that provides ongoing services to the registrant that were not pre-approved pursuant to Rule 2-01(c)(7)(ii) of Regulation S-X is compatible with maintaining the principal accountant s independence.

# **Item 5. Audit Committee of Listed Registrants**

The registrant has a separately-designated standing audit committee established in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. William H. Park (Chair), Scott E. Eston, Ronald A. Pearlman, Helen Frame Peters and Ralph F. Verni are the members of the registrant s audit committee.

# Item 6. Schedule of Investments

Please see schedule of investments contained in the Report to Stockholders included under Item 1 of this Form N-CSR.

# Item 7. Disclosure of Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures for Closed-End Management Investment Companies

The Board of Trustees of the Trust has adopted a proxy voting policy and procedure (the Fund Policy), pursuant to which the Trustees have delegated proxy voting responsibility to the Fund s investment adviser and adopted the investment adviser s proxy voting policies and procedures (the Policies) which are described below. The Trustees will review the Fund s proxy voting records from time to time and will annually consider approving the Policies for the upcoming year. In the event that a conflict of interest arises between the Fund s shareholders and the investment adviser, the administrator, or any of their affiliates or any affiliate of the Fund, the investment adviser will generally refrain from voting the proxies related to the companies giving rise to such conflict until it consults with the Board s Special Committee except as contemplated under the Fund Policy. The Board s Special Committee will instruct the investment adviser on the appropriate course of action.

The Policies are designed to promote accountability of a company s management to its shareholders and to align the interests of management with those shareholders. An independent proxy voting service (Agent), currently Institutional Shareholder Services, Inc., has been retained to assist in the voting of

proxies through the provision of vote analysis, implementation and recordkeeping and disclosure services. The investment adviser will generally vote proxies through the Agent. The Agent is required to vote all proxies and/or refer them back to the investment adviser pursuant to the Policies. It is generally the policy of the investment adviser to vote in accordance with the recommendation of the Agent. The Agent shall refer to the investment adviser proxies relating to mergers and restructurings, and the disposition of assets, termination, liquidation and mergers contained in mutual fund proxies. The investment adviser will normally vote against anti-takeover measures and other proposals designed to limit the ability of shareholders to act on possible transactions, except in the case of closed-end management investment companies. The investment adviser generally supports management on social and environmental proposals. The investment adviser may abstain from voting from time to time where it determines that the costs associated with voting a proxy outweighs the benefits derived from exercising the right to vote or the economic effect on shareholders interests or the value of the portfolio holding is indeterminable or insignificant.

In addition, the investment adviser will monitor situations that may result in a conflict of interest between the Fund s shareholders and the investment adviser, the administrator, or any of their affiliates or any affiliate of the Fund by maintaining a list of significant existing and prospective corporate clients. The investment adviser s personnel responsible for reviewing and voting proxies on behalf of the Fund will report any proxy received or expected to be received from a company included on that list to the personnel of the investment adviser identified in the Policies. If such personnel expects to instruct the Agent to vote such proxies in a manner inconsistent with the guidelines of the Policies or the recommendation of the Agent, the personnel will consult with members of senior management of the investment adviser to determine if a material conflict of interests exists. If it is determined that a material conflict does exist, the investment adviser will seek instruction on how to vote from the Special Committee.

Information on how the Fund voted proxies relating to portfolio sec