

CATALYST PHARMACEUTICAL PARTNERS, INC.

Form 10-K

March 31, 2011

[Table of Contents](#)

[Index to Financial Statements](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

[Mark One]

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2010

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission File No. 001-33057

CATALYST PHARMACEUTICAL PARTNERS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware (State of jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	76-0837053 (IRS Employer Identification No.)
355 Alhambra Circle, Suite 1370	
Coral Gables, Florida (Address of principal executive offices)	33134 (Zip Code)
Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (305) 529-2522	

Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act.

Common Stock, par value \$0.001 per share (Title of each class)	Nasdaq Capital Market (Name of exchange on which registered)
Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act.: None	

Indicate by check mark if registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Rule 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such report(s), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Edgar Filing: CATALYST PHARMACEUTICAL PARTNERS, INC. - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

As of June 30, 2010, the last business day of the Registrant's most recently completed second quarter, the aggregate market value of all voting, and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates was \$12,340,451.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date: 21,654,680 shares of common stock, \$0.001 par value per share, were outstanding as of March 25, 2011.

Part III incorporates certain information by reference from the registrant's definitive proxy statement for the 2011 annual meeting of stockholders. The proxy statement with respect to the 2011 annual meeting of stockholders will be filed no later than 120 days after the close of the registrant's fiscal year ended December 31, 2010.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

CATALYST PHARMACEUTICAL PARTNERS, INC.

FORM 10-K ANNUAL REPORT

For the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2010

Table of Contents

	Page
<u>PART I</u>	1
Item 1. <u>Business</u>	1
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	24
Item 2. <u>Properties</u>	38
Item 3. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	38
Item 4. <u>Removed and Reserved</u>	38
<u>PART II</u>	39
Item 5. <u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	39
Item 6. <u>Selected Financial Data</u>	41
Item 7. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	42
Item 7A. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	53
Item 8. <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	53
Item 9. <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	53
Item 9A. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	53
Item 9B. <u>Other Information</u>	54
<u>PART III</u>	55
Item 10. <u>Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant</u>	55
Item 11. <u>Executive Compensation</u>	55
Item 12. <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management</u>	55
Item 13. <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions</u>	55
Item 14. <u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u>	55
<u>PART IV</u>	56
Item 15. <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u>	56
<u>Exhibits Filed with this Form 10-K</u>	
EX-23.1 Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	
EX-31.1 Section 302 Certification of CEO	
EX-31.2 Section 302 Certification of CFO	
EX-32.1 Section 906 Certification of CEO	
EX-32.2 Section 906 Certification of CFO	

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

PART I

You are urged to read this Annual Report on Form 10-K (Form 10-K) in its entirety. This Form 10-K contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. Our actual results may differ significantly from the projected results discussed in these forward-looking statements. Factors that may cause such a difference include, but are not limited to, those discussed below and in Item 1A, Risk Factors. We, our, ours, us, Catalyst, or the Company when used herein, refers to Catalyst Pharmaceutical Partners, Inc., a Delaware corporation.

Forward-Looking Statements

Some of the statements in this Annual Report on Form 10-K are forward-looking statements, as that term is defined in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements do not relate strictly to historical or current matters. Rather, forward-looking statements are predictive in nature and may depend upon or refer to future events, activities or conditions. Although we believe that these statements are based upon reasonable assumptions, we cannot provide any assurances regarding future results. We undertake no obligation to revise or update any forward-looking statements, or to make any other forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. Because forward-looking statements relate to matters that have not yet occurred, these statements are inherently subject to risks and uncertainties. Many factors could cause our actual activities or results to differ materially from the activities and results anticipated in forward-looking statements. Factors that might cause such differences include, but are not limited to, those discussed in the section entitled Item 1A Risk Factors and Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Caution Concerning Forward-Looking Statements.

Item 1. Business

Overview

Catalyst Pharmaceutical Partners, Inc. is a development-stage biopharmaceutical company focused on the development and commercialization of prescription drugs targeting addiction and diseases of the central nervous system. We have two drugs in development. We are currently evaluating our lead drug candidate, CPP-109 (our version of vigabatrin, a GABA aminotransferase inhibitor) for the treatment of cocaine addiction. CPP-109 has been granted Fast Track status by the U.S. Food & Drug Administration (FDA) for the treatment of cocaine addiction, which indicates that the FDA has recognized that CPP-109 is intended for the treatment of a serious or life-threatening condition for which there is no effective pharmacological treatment and which demonstrates the potential to address unmet medical needs. We also hope to evaluate CPP-109 for the treatment of other addictions. Further, we are in the early stages of developing CPP-115, which is another GABA aminotransferase inhibitor that, based on our non-clinical studies to date, we believe is more potent than vigabatrin but has reduced side effects (e.g., visual field defects, or VFDs) from those associated with vigabatrin. We are planning to develop CPP-115 for several indications, including drug addiction, epilepsy and for use in the reduction or elimination of addictive liability in the treatment of pain. We believe that we control all current intellectual property for drugs that have a mechanism of action related to inhibition of GABA aminotransferase.

The successful development of CPP-109, CPP-115 or any other product we may acquire, develop or license is highly uncertain. We cannot reasonably estimate or know the nature, timing, or estimated expenses of the efforts necessary to complete the development of, or the period in which material net cash inflows are expected to commence due to the numerous risks and uncertainties associated with developing such products, including the uncertainty of:

the scope, rate of progress and expense of our non-clinical studies, human proof-of-concept studies and clinical trials, and other product development activities;

the results of our non-clinical studies, human proof-of-concept studies and clinical trials and the number and scope of such studies and trials that will be required for us to seek and obtain approval of new drug applications (NDAs) for CPP-109 and/or CPP-115; and

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements**

the expense of filing, and potentially prosecuting, defending and enforcing our patent claims and other intellectual property rights. At the present time, we estimate that we have sufficient cash resources to complete (i) the non-clinical studies that we currently believe will be required in order for us to file an investigational new drug application (IND) for CPP-115, (ii) an initial Phase I clinical trial evaluating the safety of CPP-115 in humans, and (iii) the U.S. Phase II(b) clinical trial of CPP-109 that we are jointly conducting with the National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA). We also believe that we have sufficient working capital to support our operations through at least the third quarter of 2012. However, we will need additional funding to complete any other non-clinical studies or clinical studies and trials of CPP-109 and CPP-115. There can be no assurance that we will ever be able to commercialize either of our drug candidates.

Our Drug Candidates

The following table summarizes key information regarding our drug candidates:

Drug Candidate	Indications	Current Status
CPP-109	Addiction	Conducting a Phase IIB clinical trial in conjunction with NIDA and the Veterans Administration (VA)
CPP-115	Addiction, Epilepsy	Conducting IND-enabling non-clinical studies

Mechanism of Action

We believe that our drug candidates, CPP-109 and CPP-115, will be effective treatments for addiction and that our drug candidate, CPP-115, will be an effective treatment for epilepsy because they increase GABA levels through the inhibition of GABA-aminotransferase (GABA-AT). In the case of epilepsy, increased GABA decreases the overall excitability by raising the action potential threshold of many neurons. In the case of addiction, increased GABA dampens the perception of pleasure and reward associated with increased levels of dopamine brought about by all drugs of abuse, but most notably by stimulants like cocaine and methamphetamine. Addictive drugs have been shown to block or overwhelm mechanisms involved in the removal of dopamine from synaptic clefts in the mesolimbic pathways of the brain, resulting in highly elevated levels of dopamine available to stimulate receptors and a dramatically heightened sense of pleasure or reward.

GABA, the most abundant inhibitory neurotransmitter in the brain, inhibits over-excitation of neurons. When GABA binds to a GABA receptor, it raises the action potential threshold of that neuron and inhibits the post-synaptic neuron from firing and triggering the release of neurotransmitters and sending a signal to subsequent neurons. GABA helps induce relaxation and sleep, and contributes to functions such as motor control and vision. GABA-AT is responsible for the eventual breakdown of GABA and helps to balance the inhibitory effects of GABA. Disease states like addiction and epilepsy that result from excessive neuronal activity are treatable by enhancing the amount of endogenous GABA in the brain through the blockade of GABA-AT.

CPP-109 and CPP-115 are GABA analogs that inhibit GABA-AT. They are readily absorbed and promptly available to the central nervous system, producing effects that last for many hours after a single dose. Therefore, administration of these drugs results in significantly elevated GABA levels. Due to the fact that these drugs are not receptor active, their administration does not appear to affect the baseline levels of dopamine, nor those variations in dopamine levels caused by normal stimuli. CPP-115, which works by the same mechanisms and is structurally similar to CPP-109, exhibits similar neurochemical behavior. We believe that the similarities between CPP-115 and the well characterized drug, CPP-109, will simplify the development of CPP-115 because potential development risks can be predicted and managed.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

History and Side Effect Profile of Vigabatrin

Vigabatrin has been marketed over the past decade in over 30 countries by Sanofi-Aventis and its predecessors under the brand names Sabril®, Sabrilix® and Sabrilan® (hereinafter referred to as Sabril®) as an adjunct (add-on) treatment for adult epilepsy and as a primary treatment for the management of infantile spasms. The composition of matter patents for Sabril® in the U.S. expired more than ten years ago. On August 21, 2009, the FDA approved two NDAs for Sabril® for the treatment of infantile spasms and as an adjunctive therapy for adult patients with refractory complex partial seizures who have failed several treatments with other anti-epileptic drugs. The NDAs are for different formulations of Sabril® and both NDAs are held by Lundbeck Inc. (Lundbeck). Because of the risks of visual field damage associated with vigabatrin, Sabril® was approved under an FDA-mandated Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategy (REMS) program and is only available through a special restricted distribution program approved by the FDA.

In chronic use for the treatment of epilepsy, vigabatrin has been generally well tolerated with lower than average neurological side effects compared to other approved epilepsy therapies. The most common side effects reported have been drowsiness and fatigue. However, one clearly established adverse side effect is the development, of peripheral visual defects, or VFDs. VFDs occur in approximately 33% of users when cumulative dosage levels of vigabatrin approach approximately 1,500 grams. These VFDs are manifest as a constriction of the peripheral field of vision.

Based on available information as described above, we believe that VFDs occur at cumulative doses far higher than the total dosage amount we anticipate will be used for addiction treatment. To date, we believe that no subjects treated in the trial conducted in Mexico (described herein), or in our U.S. Phase II cocaine trial or methamphetamine human proof-of-concept study, have shown any evidence of VFDs.

CPP-115 is structurally similar to vigabatrin. Due to these similarities, we believe that these two drugs will share a number of biochemical features related to absorption, metabolism, and elimination, and our non-clinical studies of CPP-115 to date support our expectations. However, based upon our non-clinical studies of CPP-115 to date, we expect that there will be a significant reduction, and possibly elimination, of VFDs from the use of CPP-115 compared to vigabatrin. However, there can be no assurance that this will ultimately prove to be the case.

CPP-109 (Vigabatrin) To Treat Addiction

In 2002, we obtained from Brookhaven National Laboratory (Brookhaven) an exclusive license for several patent and patent applications to develop vigabatrin as a treatment for cocaine and other addictions. We have been granted Fast Track status for CPP-109 from the FDA for cocaine addiction. Under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, or FDCA, the FDA is directed to facilitate the development and expedite review of drugs and biologics intended to treat serious or life-threatening conditions and that demonstrate the potential to address unmet medical needs. Fast Track designation emphasizes communication between us and the FDA and affords us benefits that may help to expedite the approval process. For example, Fast Track designation affords us the potential to submit an NDA for CPP-109 on a rolling, or modular, basis, allowing the FDA to review sections of the NDA in advance of receiving our full submission. The designation also means that we may have increased communications with the FDA regarding the design of our clinical studies, which we hope will expedite the development and review of our application for the approval of CPP-109 for cocaine addiction and provide greater certainty overall in the regulatory pathway. However, there can be no assurance that our receipt of Fast Track status will assist us in the regulatory process for CPP-109.

CPP-115 for the Treatment of Addiction and Epilepsy

In August 2009, we licensed the exclusive worldwide rights to commercialize certain composition of matter patents relating to a new class of novel GABA aminotransferase inhibitors and derivatives of vigabatrin. We intend to develop these compounds for a broad range of central nervous system illnesses that could benefit from the inhibition of GABA aminotransferase. CPP-115 is our lead compound from this group of composition of matter patents.

The development efforts of CPP-115 were led by Dr. Richard B. Silverman, the John Evans Professor of Chemistry at Northwestern University (Northwestern). Dr. Silverman, who holds 41 patents, is the inventor of pregabalin, also known as Lyrica®, which is marketed by Pfizer. His goal in inventing the compound that became CPP-115 was to mimic the mechanism of action of vigabatrin, while making it both more potent and specific.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

CPP-115 works by the same mechanism of action as CPP-109; the inhibition of GABA aminotransferase, which leads to increased brain GABA levels that reduce epileptogenesis or dampens the addiction reinforcing dopamine surge. We believe that CPP-115 and vigabatrin are the only two GABA aminotransferase inhibitors, either under development or marketed at this time, and that our patent estates for CPP-109 and CPP-115 are the only existing, currently in force, intellectual property rights for drugs with this primary mode of action.

CPP-115 has been shown to be at least 200 times more potent than CPP-109, our version of vigabatrin, in both in-vitro and animal model studies. The increased potency could enable the development of superior or alternative dosage forms and routes of administration compared with the marketed version of vigabatrin, Sabril® (which is marketed in the U.S. by Lundbeck for infantile spasms and refractory complex partial seizures that have failed to be effectively treated by several pharmacological treatments). Based on non-clinical studies completed to date, CPP-115 has a superior specificity to GABA aminotransferase and we believe, will have a better side effect profile (e.g. less visual field defects) compared with Sabril®.

Our Strategy

Our strategy is to become a leading biopharmaceutical company focused on the in-licensing and development of proprietary drug candidates for the treatment of selected diseases of the central nervous system. Our near-term strategy is to focus on the regulatory approval of CPP-109 for the treatment of cocaine addiction and to initially demonstrate the safety and efficacy of CPP-115 for the treatment of addiction and epilepsy. Our long-term strategy is to gain approvals for additional indications for CPP-109, including methamphetamine addiction, and to initially gain approval for CPP-115 to treat addiction and epilepsy. Specifically, we intend to:

Focus on CPP-109 for cocaine addiction. A pharmacological treatment for cocaine addiction addresses a significant unmet medical need, and we believe that our receipt of Fast Track status from the FDA for CPP-109 for cocaine addiction may facilitate the regulatory approval process. In the second quarter of 2010, we announced that we signed a Clinical Trial Agreement (CTA) with NIDA to conduct a U.S. Phase II(b) clinical trial evaluating CPP-109 for the treatment of cocaine addiction. This trial was initiated in the fourth quarter of 2010 and we expect to have top-line data in the third quarter of 2012. Assuming success, we expect that this trial will serve as one of the adequate and well controlled trials required to support approval of an NDA.

Complete IND Enabling Studies, File an IND and commence a Phase I human clinical trial for CPP-115. Over the last few months, we have completed a series of non-clinical studies that evidenced critical safety and efficacy characteristics of CPP-115 for the treatment of cocaine addiction and epilepsy. We hope to complete the non-clinical studies required to file an IND for CPP-115 during the summer of 2011 and to file an IND for CPP-115 shortly thereafter. We also hope to commence a Phase I human clinical trial evaluating the safety of CPP-115 during the latter part of 2011.

Develop additional indications for CPP-109. The mechanism of action of CPP-109 makes it suitable as a potential treatment for addiction and obsessive-compulsive disorders that share the common element of heightened dopamine levels. Research indicates that CPP-109 is a platform technology with the potential to treat other conditions involving heightened dopamine levels such as addictions to methamphetamine, nicotine, prescription pain medications, alcohol, marijuana and obsessive-compulsive disorders. We hope to develop CPP-109 for one or more of these additional indications.

Identify strategic partners for specific indications. We believe that one or more pharmaceutical companies may be interested in working with us to develop and/or market CPP-109 and CPP-115 in the U.S. and/or Europe. Over the last few months, we have held preliminary discussions with several parties regarding potential transactions, but no agreements have been entered into to date.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Our Potential Markets

Drug Addiction

Historically, individuals suffering from addiction have been treated primarily through behavioral modification and therapy. These treatments have shown a high rate of relapse. We believe that a pharmacological treatment for cocaine addiction and/or other stimulant addictions, including methamphetamine, would complement and significantly improve the effectiveness of counseling programs.

Despite the significant public health implications, there are very few therapies approved for the treatment of addiction, either in the United States or in the rest of the world. Further, there are no therapies currently approved for stimulant addiction to substances, such as cocaine and methamphetamine. We believe that currently approved drugs for addiction treatment, as well as compounds under development (other than CPP-109 and CPP-115), are subject to the following limitations:

no single compound has broad applicability for treatment of multiple addictions;

many of these compounds are receptor active, which means they have drug-like effects themselves and have the potential for abuse or addiction;

increasing dosages over time may be required due to development of tolerance; and

they are often ineffective at eliminating drug cravings or responding to increasing levels of drug use.

We believe that CPP-109 and CPP-115 do not suffer from these limitations and therefore, if approved, both will have the potential to become a widely prescribed, safe and effective treatment for cocaine, methamphetamine and other addictions.

Addictive drugs are used recreationally because of the transient, pleasurable effect they have on the user. Recent scientific evidence has established that drug abuse can interfere with the brain's normal balance of neurotransmitter release and reuptake, resulting in addiction. If this balance is not restored, addicted individuals, even after significant periods of abstinence, may be incapable of suppressing cravings or quitting through willpower alone, even with the assistance of professional counseling.

Cocaine binds to the dopamine reuptake transporter protein of the pre-synaptic neurons preventing the reuptake and eventual breakdown of dopamine, resulting in enhanced and prolonged stimulation of dopamine on post-synaptic receptors, causing a feeling of prolonged euphoria for the user.

Addiction to cocaine is caused by a neurological process called desensitization. Because the brain senses an unnaturally high level of dopamine, it responds by reducing the amount of dopamine released and the number of dopamine receptors created. Consequently, when the cocaine wears off, the user has a lower amount of dopamine and fewer functioning dopamine receptors, which results in a depressed mood. This desensitization process creates a lowering of mood each time the user takes more of the drug, causing the user to seek additional cocaine to restore normal feelings, and requiring the user to take an increasing amount of cocaine to achieve the same feeling of euphoria as before.

Addiction is a worldwide health problem that affects millions of people and has wide-ranging negative social consequences. Drug addiction not only ruins the lives of addicts and their families, but costs America billions of dollars. NIDA estimates the total cost of substance abuse in the United States at more than \$484 billion per year. Federal, state and local governments pay costs associated with medical treatment, social work, criminal investigation, court proceedings, incarceration and controlling drug traffic. Illicit drug use is associated with HIV-AIDS and hepatitis C through sharing contaminated needles, while drug use during pregnancy passes severe medical effects to the next generation.

According to NIDA, there are no pharmacologic treatments for cocaine addiction currently approved for marketing by the FDA. We believe that other therapies being developed for the treatment of cocaine addiction, but not yet approved for marketing, suffer from the significant limitations

discussed earlier which have not been exhibited to date by CPP-109 or CPP-115.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

The 2009 report of the National Center on Addiction and Substance Abuse at Columbia University found that in 2005 federal, state and local government spending as a result of substance abuse and addiction was at least \$467.7 billion, which amounted to 10.7 percent of their entire \$4.4 trillion budgets. Of every dollar federal and state governments spent on substance abuse and addiction in 2005, 95.7 cents went to shoveling up the wreckage and only 1.9 cents on prevention and treatment and 0.4 cents on research.

In 2009, an estimated 21.8 million people in the United States aged 12 or over were current users of illicit drugs (defined as usage in the past month), according to the National Survey on Drug Use and Health, published by SAMHSA, which we refer to as the SAMHSA survey. This represents 8.7% of the total population aged 12 or older. This rate was higher than the rate in 2008 (8.0%), 2007 (8.0%), 2005 (8.1%) and 2004 (7.9%).

According to the SAMHSA survey, an estimated 1.6 million people, or 0.7% of the population aged 12 or over, had used cocaine in the month preceding the survey. Additionally, in 2009, approximately 617,000 people aged 12 or over had used cocaine for the first time within the preceding 12 months, an average of approximately 1,700 new users per day. In addition, approximately 787,000 patients received treatment for cocaine abuse in 2009.

According to the SAMHSA survey, the number and percentage of past-month nonmedical users of stimulants increased from 904,000 (0.3%) in 2008 to 1.3 million (0.5%) in 2009, based in part on a significant increase in methamphetamine users, from 314,000 (0.1%) to 502,000 (0.2%). This represents a reversal of a trend that had seen methamphetamine use fall from 2006 to 2008.

According to the SAMHSA survey, in 2009, approximately 5.2 million people, or 2.1% of the population aged 12 or over took prescription pain relievers for non-medical purposes in the month preceding the survey, as compared to approximately 4.7 million people, or 1.9% of the population aged 12 or over according to the 2008 survey. Further, approximately 17.1 million people aged 12 or over in the United States were classified as heavy drinkers in 2009. Additionally, there are approximately 16.7 million persons aged 12 or over who used marijuana in the month preceding the survey and approximately 1.2 million people sought treatment in 2009. Finally, obsessive-compulsive disorders such as compulsive gambling have been shown to have similar dopamine-related mechanisms of action to drug addiction and affect millions of persons in the United States and around the world.

Addiction is not only a U.S. health problem. In 2007, according to the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime, there were between 4.3 million and 4.6 million users of cocaine and between 2.4 million and 3.1 million users of amphetamine-type stimulants between the ages of 15 and 64 across Europe who had used these drugs within the past year. We believe that the direct and indirect costs of cocaine and methamphetamine use are indicative of a significant global public health problem, representing a significant unmet medical need for which no adequate pharmaceutical therapies exist.

Epilepsy

Epilepsy is a brain disorder in which clusters of nerve cells, or neurons, in the brain sometimes signal abnormally. In epilepsy, the normal pattern of neuronal activity becomes disturbed, causing strange sensations, emotions, and behavior or sometimes convulsions, muscle spasms, and loss of consciousness. Epilepsy is a disorder with many possible causes. Anything that disturbs the normal pattern of neuron activity - from illness to brain damage to abnormal brain development, can lead to seizures. Epilepsy may develop because of an abnormality in brain wiring, an imbalance of nerve signaling chemicals called neurotransmitters, imbalance of sensitivity to neurotransmitters, or some combination of these factors.

We will focus our development efforts for CPP-115 on its use as a treatment for adult complex partial seizures and infantile spasms.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

In complex partial seizures, consciousness is altered. Patients may exhibit automatisms (automatic repetitive behavior) such as walking in a circle, sitting and standing, or smacking their lips together. Often accompanying these symptoms are the presence of unusual thoughts, such as the feeling of déjà vu, uncontrollable laughing, fear, visual hallucinations, and experiencing unusual unpleasant odors. These symptoms are thought to be caused by abnormal discharges in the temporal lobe.

An infantile spasm (IS) is a specific type of seizure seen in an epilepsy syndrome of infancy and childhood. The onset of infantile spasms is usually in the first year of life, typically between 4-8 months. The seizures primarily consist of a sudden bending forward of the body with stiffening of the arms and legs; some children arch their backs as they extend their arms and legs. Spasms tend to occur upon awakening or after feeding, and often occur in clusters of up to 100 spasms at a time. Infants may have dozens of clusters and several hundred spasms per day. Infantile spasms usually stop by age five, but may be replaced by other seizure types.

According to the Epilepsy Foundation, there are about 2.5 million epilepsy patients in the United States, with approximately 180,000 new cases diagnosed in the U.S. each year. Worldwide, 50 million people are estimated to have epilepsy. The incidence of epilepsy appears to depend somewhat on the age of the individual. The risk of epilepsy from birth through age 20 is approximately 1%. Within this group, incidence is highest during the first year of life and increases somewhat at the onset of puberty. From age 20 to 55 it decreases again, but increases after age 55.

Anti-epileptic drugs work through a variety of mechanisms, including inhibition of sodium ion channels and the enhancement of GABA. Although the different types of epilepsy vary greatly, in general, medications can only control seizures in about two-thirds of patients. CPP-115, like vigabatrin (CPP-109), is a GABA-AT inhibitor, and we are developing it for both drug addiction (cocaine addiction) and epilepsy (specifically, infantile spasms and complex partial seizures). Based on the historic use of vigabatrin in treating epilepsy, we believe that CPP-115 may ultimately work best as an adjunct therapy to existing drugs.

Vigabatrin is used in over 30 countries for the treatment of infantile spasms and for the treatment of adult complex partial seizures in patients who have failed several treatments. On August 21, 2009, Sabril® was approved for these indications in the United States. Although vigabatrin (CPP-109) is one of the drugs in our development pipeline, we have no plans to develop CPP-109 for the treatment of epilepsy.

Our Clinical Trials

CPP-109

In 2007, we initiated a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled U.S. Phase II clinical trial evaluating the use of CPP-109 in treating subjects addicted to cocaine. The trial enrolled 186 cocaine addicted patients at 11 addiction treatment clinical centers throughout the United States. Patients were treated for a period of 12 weeks, with an additional 12 weeks of follow-up. On May 29, 2009, we announced that the top-line data from this trial showed that CPP-109 did not demonstrate statistical significance in the primary endpoint that a significantly larger proportion of CPP-109 treated subjects than placebo-treated subjects were cocaine free during the last two weeks of the treatment period (weeks 11 and 12).

On September 30, 2009, we announced additional results from our U.S. Phase II cocaine clinical trial. Based on post hoc analyses for vigabatrin levels in urine samples collected during the trial, we have concluded that less than 40% of the trial subjects were medication compliant. As a result, we now believe that the trial was inadequately powered to properly test the efficacy of CPP-109 for the treatment of patients with cocaine addiction. On the basis of a comprehensive review of the trial data, however, we concluded that: (i) CPP-109 was safe and well tolerated; and (ii) while there were no statistically significant differences between active and placebo groups for the protocol-specified primary and secondary efficacy endpoints, there were positive and consistent data trends observed in favor of CPP-109 across measures of cocaine abstinence, reduction in cocaine use, and reduction in use days.

When corrected for poor medication compliance, the following favorable outcome trends were observed: (i) cocaine use as measured by benzoylecgonine (the major metabolite of cocaine) levels in urine collected from subjects were consistently lower in the CPP-109 treatment group during the 12 week treatment period, generally indicating a reduction of cocaine use; and (ii) in those subjects who were compliant with study medication, the differences between CPP-109 and placebo were amplified, which suggest that CPP-109 may facilitate abstinence, reduce overall cocaine use as measured by urine benzoylecgonine levels (an objective measure of daily cocaine usage), and reduce cocaine usage days (an objective measure of dependence severity).

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Consistent with previously published addiction trials conducted by other parties, the protocol of our cocaine trial assessed subjects' medication compliance based on self-reporting and on counting the unused medication returned by subjects. The subjects self-reported a compliance level of greater than 85%, which was inconsistent with our urine data. This low medication compliance effectively reduced the power of the study, because not all subjects in the treatment group were actually treated. However, analyses of subject responses, corrected for poor medication compliance, makes the response ratios observed in our trial more consistent with the results reported by Dr. Jonathan Brodie et al. in a double-blind, placebo-controlled, 103-patient Phase II trial evaluating vigabatrin for the treatment of cocaine addiction that was completed in Mexico in 2007 (the results of which trial were published in *The American Journal of Psychiatry* in November 2009).

During June 2008, we initiated a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled U.S. Phase II clinical trial evaluating the use of CPP-109 in treating patients with methamphetamine addiction. We had planned to enroll 180 methamphetamine addicted patients at 15 addiction treatment clinical centers in the United States. However, in March 2009, in order to conserve cash, we converted our methamphetamine trial into a proof-of-concept study evaluating the results obtained from the 57 patients who had already been randomized into the trial. The patients we enrolled were treated for a period of 12 weeks and we evaluated data related to endpoints based on abstinence, reductions in methamphetamine use and craving for evidence of potential efficacy.

On September 30, 2009, we announced the top-line results of our proof-of-concept study. The results showed that there was a 2.5 times higher rate of abstinence in the last two weeks of the study in the vigabatrin group versus the placebo group. While we consider this to be an encouraging trend, the results were not statistically significant due to the small sample size. We also believe that medication compliance, similar to the cocaine trial, was also below expectations.

Based on the results from our Phase II cocaine trial and our Phase II methamphetamine proof-of-concept study, we expect that the data from those studies will be treated as supportive of any NDA application that we file.

On April 13, 2010, we signed a Clinical Trial Agreement (CTA) with NIDA to jointly conduct a U.S. Phase II(b) clinical trial evaluating CPP-109 for the treatment of cocaine addiction (the Phase II(b) Trial). As part of the CTA, NIDA, under their agreement with the Veterans Administration Cooperative Studies Program, has agreed to provide substantial resources towards the completion of the Phase II(b) Trial. It is anticipated that this double-blind, placebo-controlled trial, which is being conducted at twelve leading addiction research facilities across the United States, will recruit approximately 200 subjects. The Phase II(b) Trial, which is being overseen by us, NIDA and the VA, was initiated in November 2010 and we expect to have top line data in the third quarter of 2012. The Phase II(b) Trial is designed to confirm the safety and efficacy of CPP-109 for the treatment of cocaine addiction and if successful, we believe it will qualify as one of the adequate and well controlled trials required to support approval of an NDA for CPP-109.

Pursuant to the CTA, we will provide the study drug (and matching placebo) to the VA Clinical Pharmacy to be packaged suitably for use in the Phase II(b) Trial. In conjunction with NIDA and the VA, we have developed the Phase II(b) Trial protocol and informed consent and have submitted such documents to the FDA for review. We will also be responsible for, among other duties, funding patient recruitment activities and advertising for the Phase II(b) Trial, establishing and funding a contract with a vendor capable of decrypting and converting the visual field data obtained from study subjects into a format analyzable by the VA statisticians who will interpret the study data, and, if requested, funding the treatment costs of up to 25 study subjects. Further, pursuant to the CTA, NIDA has provided input on the protocol and informed consent and will, under their agreement with the VA, solicit, recruit and fund qualified study sites and investigators. NIDA has also presently contracted to treat more than 200 study subjects. Finally, NIDA, through its agreement with the VA, will provide clinical monitoring of all sites, pursuant to the CTA.

The CTA terminates on April 13, 2015 or upon the completion of the Phase II(b) Trial, whichever comes first, except that the CTA may be extended for two further periods of two years each by agreement of the parties if it is necessary to complete the Phase II(b) Trial. Either party may terminate the CTA upon 60 days' notice without

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

cause, or upon 30 days' written notice for cause. Both NIDA and we have continuing rights under the CTA if the CTA is terminated. Among other obligations, this includes an obligation of each party to continue their respective obligations under the CTA until all study subjects enrolled in the trial at the time of such termination have completed the trial and continuing duties of confidentiality.

The protocol for the Phase II(b) Trial has been designed to attempt to mitigate compliance issues that were observed in our previous U.S. Phase II cocaine clinical trial and our Phase II methamphetamine proof-of-concept study. In the Phase II(b) Trial, subjects will be observed taking their medication on the days that they are at the trial sites for tests and therapy. Urine samples collected from subjects will also be monitored to determine whether trial subjects are taking their medication (CPP-109 or placebo). Further, the subjects will also undergo therapy once per week and will receive substantially lower compensation for participation than in our previous trials. Finally, the trial is being conducted at twelve treatment-oriented centers, and the patient recruitment firm that is working with us on this trial has been directed to target trial subjects who appear to be genuinely interested in seeking treatment to overcome their addiction to cocaine. Although there can be no assurance, we believe that with these modifications we should avoid the medication compliance issues observed in our prior clinical studies.

Generally, the process of seeking approval of an NDA requires multiple clinical trials, including two pivotal U.S. Phase III clinical trials. In our case, because CPP-109 is intended to treat a serious condition for which there is no approved therapy, there is a possibility that if the data from the Phase II(b) Trial is sufficiently compelling, that the FDA will allow us to file an NDA for CPP-109 on the basis of this trial, when combined with the data from the previous clinical trials and studies of vigabatrin to treat addiction. However, it is more likely that the FDA will require a Phase III trial supported by the safety and efficacy data obtained from our Phase II(b) clinical trial before they will allow us to file an NDA for CPP-109, even if the data from our currently ongoing Phase II(b) clinical trial are compelling. Further, even if the FDA permits us to file an NDA based on our current Phase II(b) trial or only one pivotal U.S. Phase III trial, it is unlikely that we will submit an NDA for CPP-109 for at least two years. Finally, if the FDA requires more than one Phase III clinical trial, our NDA submission would be delayed even further. There can be no assurance that the data from our ongoing Phase II(b) Trial will be sufficiently compelling or that even if such data are sufficiently compelling, that the FDA will allow us to file an NDA based on the results of that trial.

Clinical studies of vigabatrin undertaken by others

The primary focus of our product development efforts is on our clinical trials and non-clinical studies. However, we have in the past supported and will continue in the future to support non-clinical studies and clinical trials by academic investigators of the use of vigabatrin for the treatment of addiction and various forms of epilepsy and other central nervous system disorders, including members of our Scientific Advisory Board and the academic institutions with which they are affiliated. In some cases, we may provide unrestricted sponsorship funds for such studies. In other cases, we may provide alternative assistance to the investigator, most typically providing CPP-109 or CPP-115 drug substance or dosage form as well as matching placebo. We expect to continue to support investigator studies in the future to the extent that they meet criteria acceptable to us. Such criteria include research on the use of vigabatrin and/or CPP-115 to treat addiction, various forms of epilepsy and/or other central nervous system disorders, to assist investigators in designing their studies so that such studies are most appropriately conducted and, to the extent possible, to make sure that these investigator studies potentially complement, and do not adversely impact our activities.

A study describing the positive results obtained in an investigator-initiated, Phase II, randomized double-blind, placebo-controlled trial conducted in Mexico in 2007 was published in the November 2009 issue of *The American Journal of Psychiatry*, a world leading peer-reviewed medical journal. The paper, entitled "Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Trial of Vigabatrin for the Treatment of Cocaine Dependence in Mexican Parolees," was authored by Jonathan D. Brodie, M.D., Ph.D., Brady G. Case, M.D., Emilia Figueroa, M.D., Stephen L. Dewey, Ph.D., James A. Robinson, M.Ed., Joseph A. Wanderling, M.A. and Eugene M. Laska, Ph.D. The trial provided evidence that vigabatrin may be effective in the treatment of cocaine addiction. One hundred and three (103) community-based, non-hospitalized cocaine addicted individuals participated in this trial conducted at a single site in Mexico City, Mexico. Of the 103 participants, 50 were treated with vigabatrin and 53 received placebo. A total of 53 subjects completed the 9 week treatment period. Twice-weekly urine screening tests were obtained from each subject in order to objectively evaluate each subject's cocaine use. All subjects were also offered one group counseling session per week. The primary outcome measure of the trial was no positive urine tests for cocaine use during the last three weeks of the nine-week trial.

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements**

Eighteen subjects fulfilled the criteria for the primary outcome measure. Fourteen of the 50 subjects treated with vigabatrin (28.0%) versus four of the 53 subjects treated with placebo (7.5%) met the primary outcome measure. This result was statistically significant with a p-value of 0.009 (A p-value represents the probability that, if the test is repeated, a similar observation will be made. In addition, 12 of the abstinent subjects on vigabatrin versus 2 of the abstinent placebo subjects remained abstinent for 4 additional weeks (p=0.002). Generally, a p-value of less than 0.05 indicates that the different results between treatment groups were unlikely to be random). Additional findings included increased retention and self-reported abstinence from alcohol favoring vigabatrin.

Two of our collaborators have received a \$1.2 million grant from the U. S. Department of Defense to conduct an animal study of the use of vigabatrin in combination with opiates to effectively manage pain while reducing the potential for opiate addiction. This research is being conducted by a research team led by Wynne K. Schiffer, Ph.D. and Stephen L. Dewey, Ph.D. of The Feinstein Institute for Medical Research at North Shore – Long Island Jewish Health System (LIJ) and by Jonathan D. Brodie, M.D., Ph.D. from the Department of Psychiatry at New York University’s School of Medicine. Dr. Dewey and Dr. Brodie are members of our Scientific Advisory Board. Opioid abuse is one of the many substance addiction indications covered under our exclusive license of Brookhaven’s vigabatrin use patent portfolio. We are supplying study materials (CPP-109) to facilitate this study.

A team of researchers led by Kyle M. Kampman, M.D., Associate Professor of Psychiatry at the Veteran’s Administration Medical Center Department: Psychiatry affiliated with the University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine’s Treatment Research Center have initiated a randomized, double-blind placebo-controlled study in 60 cocaine and alcohol co-dependent subjects. Subjects will receive either CPP-109 (vigabatrin) or matching placebo, in addition to weekly counseling for eight weeks. The primary outcome measures are cocaine abstinence confirmed by twice weekly urine drug screens and alcohol abstinence measured by self report. Recruitment is targeted to be completed in 12 months. NIDA is providing the majority of funding for this study as part of a pilot trial program included in a P50 center grant. The goal of this pilot project is to rapidly screen medications for the treatment of comorbid alcohol and cocaine dependence in small clinical trials. The program also utilizes state of the art techniques to ensure excellent medication adherence and treatment retention so that reliable results can be obtained rapidly to inform future larger trials. We will provide CPP-109 and matching placebo and financial support to conduct eye-safety examinations to facilitate the study.

In addition, the following represents other investigator initiated research of our product candidates that we are aware of that is currently being undertaken:

<u>Investigator (Institution)</u>	CPP-109	CPP-115	Alcohol/Cocaine Co-addiction	Prevention of Opiate Addiction	Heroin & Morphine Addiction	Infantile Spasms
S. Dewey & W. Schiffer (Northshore-LIJ)	ü	ü	ü	ü	ü	
J. Brodie (NYU)	ü	ü	ü	ü	ü	
A. Galanopoulou (Albert Einstein)		ü				ü
CPP-115						

On November 1, 2010 we announced key results for our initial series of safety and efficacy evaluations in a number of animal and in-vitro laboratory studies:

In visual safety studies of rats exposed for 90 days to either CPP-115, vigabatrin or placebo, CPP-115 caused substantially less retinal damage than vigabatrin at well above the expected therapeutic doses.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

The oral pharmacokinetic behavior of CPP-115 in rats supports further development as an orally delivered pharmacotherapy.

CPP-115 was found to not inhibit or induce metabolic enzymes and is not itself metabolized. As a result, drug-drug interactions or other metabolism-related side effects are unlikely. Additionally, non-metabolized drugs are advantageous for treating drug addicts, a population that often has impaired liver function.

With the exception of its biochemical target, GABA-aminotransferase, CPP-115 did not show any clinically significant binding to 111 of the most prominent receptors, proteins and transporters. Additionally, CPP-115 showed no binding to other GABA-related targets (GABA receptors and transporters). Therefore, CPP-115 is very specific and not likely to induce drug-drug interactions or unintended side effects.

CPP-115 did not show any interference with the hERG channel and is therefore not likely to induce heart arrhythmias.

CPP-115 did not show any abnormalities in an in-vitro battery of genotoxicity studies and thus is not likely to be carcinogenic.

CPP-115 did not show any inhibition of ALT and AST at doses far above the expected therapeutic dosage. This is in contrast to vigabatrin's known inhibition at therapeutic doses of these key liver transaminase enzymes.

CPP-115, like vigabatrin, was found to significantly reduce seizures in accepted animal models of epilepsy, as evaluated by the National Institutes of Health's Anticonvulsant Screening Program (ASP) at lower doses than vigabatrin.

CPP-115 was found to eliminate cocaine-conditioned place preference and significantly reduced cocaine-induced dopamine surge, key tests needed to demonstrate a drug's effectiveness as a potential treatment for stimulant addiction. These effects were observed at doses more than 200 times lower than that needed by vigabatrin to achieve the same effect.

We are currently completing the additional non-clinical studies that we believe will be required for us to file an IND for CPP-115. We expect to file an IND for CPP-115 during the summer of 2011. We also expect to commence a Phase I clinical trial evaluating the safety of CPP-115 in humans during the latter part of 2011 and to have the results from that trial in the third quarter of 2012.

Competitive Landscape

Disease Background and Our Market Opportunity

We have identified two initial market opportunities that can be exploited by pharmacotherapies that inhibit GABA-aminotransferase (GABA-AT): drug addiction and epilepsy.

Drug Addiction. Research has established that neurochemical signals responsible for craving and addiction can be modulated through a GABA-ergic mechanism. We have been developing CPP-109 for the treatment of drug addiction and will also be evaluating CPP-115 for potential use in the treatment of drug addiction. Due to the differing stages of development for these two drugs, we expect CPP-109 to be approved as the first drug to treat cocaine addiction, with CPP-115 following later for cocaine, methamphetamine and/or other forms of drug addiction and epilepsy.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Epilepsy. Epilepsy is not a neurological disorder with a single underlying cause, but is instead a complex category of neurological disorders of varied neurological origin exhibiting a large spectrum of severities. As such, there are a large number of therapies spanning many pharmacological mechanisms of actions, certain medical devices, and in extreme cases, brain surgery. With regard to epilepsy, we intend to develop CPP-115 to reduce neuronal excitability through a GABA-ergic mechanism, analogous to vigabatrin for the same forms of epilepsy that Sabril® is prescribed, complex partial seizures and infantile spasms.

CPP-109

While there are no currently approved therapies for cocaine addiction, we are aware of certain other therapies that are under development. These can be broadly classified into four groups:

Cocaine-mimetics. The mechanism of action of these drugs is similar to cocaine. None of these approaches have, to our knowledge, shown any efficacy.

Cocaine-antagonists. These compounds are intended to prevent a cocaine induced dopamine surge by limiting the release of dopamine (drugs that act on GABA receptors, for example) or drugs that block the effects of a cocaine induced dopamine surge (dopamine receptor antagonists, for example). All of the known drugs in this class, with the exception of the GABA-AT inhibitors (CPP-109 and CPP-115) are receptor active and could require increasing dosing over time. None of these compounds are presently approved for marketing to treat addiction.

Dopamine β -hydroxylase inhibitors. These compounds block the enzyme that converts dopamine to norepinephrine, which raises dopamine levels in the central nervous system (CNS). We believe that this strategy is designed to address withdrawal, rather than craving and euphoria. This approach, to our knowledge, has yet to show any efficacy.

Addiction Vaccines. These vaccines are designed to block cocaine or methamphetamine transport into the brain. They are not broadly immunogenic in humans to date and require several injections. They also may not address issues relating to craving or other behaviors associated with cocaine or methamphetamine addiction. We also believe that they can be overwhelmed by increasing dosages of the abuse drug.

On August 21, 2009, the FDA approved two NDAs for Sabril® for the treatment of infantile spasms and as an adjunctive (add-on) therapy for adult patients with refractory complex partial seizures who have failed several treatments. The NDAs are for different formulations of Sabril®, and both NDAs are held by Lundbeck. Because of the risks of visual field damage associated with vigabatrin, Sabril® was approved under an FDA-mandated REMS program.

We are not aware of any on-going or planned studies by Lundbeck intended to evaluate Sabril® for any addiction indication, and we believe that any attempted commercialization by Lundbeck of Sabril® for the treatment of cocaine and/or other addictions would violate our licensed patents (and we have advised Lundbeck of our belief in that regard). We would vigorously assert our intellectual property rights if Lundbeck sought to market Sabril® for the treatment of any addictive or obsessive compulsive conditions covered by our patents. There can be no assurance we would be successful in that regard.

CPP-115 For Epilepsy

Epilepsy represents a large and growing market opportunity. Sales of drugs currently marketed for the treatment of epilepsy totaled approximately \$8.9 billion in the United States during 2006, according to IMS Health. These sales included prescriptions of these drugs for both epilepsy and other indications, including neuropathic pain.

The market for epilepsy treatments is highly competitive. Large pharmaceutical companies, including Pfizer (Neurontin®, Lyrica®, Dilantin®, Zarontin®), J&J (Topamax®), UCB (Keppra®), Abbott (Depakote®), GSK (Lamictal®), Roche (Klonopin®), and Novartis (Trileptal®) sell, or are developing, epilepsy therapies. However, as stated earlier, approximately one-third of all epilepsy patients are refractory to treatment with any currently available epilepsy treatments. It is difficult to determine sales of products specifically for epilepsy as many of these products are

used in other indications such as neuropathic pain, migraine, dementia, and bipolar disorders.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Licensing and Patents

Protection of our intellectual property and proprietary technology is a strategic priority for our business. We rely on a combination of patent, trademark, copyright and trade secret laws along with institutional know-how and continuing technological advancement, to develop and maintain our competitive position. Our ability to protect and use our intellectual property rights in the continued development and commercialization of our technologies and products, operate without infringing the proprietary rights of others, and prevent others from infringing our proprietary rights, is crucial to our continued success. We will be able to protect our products and technologies from unauthorized use by third parties only to the extent that they are covered by valid and enforceable patents, trademarks or copyrights, or are effectively maintained as trade secrets, know-how or other proprietary information. See Item 1A., Risk Factors Risks Related to Our Intellectual Property.

Brookhaven License Agreement

We have been granted an exclusive, worldwide license from Brookhaven to nine patents relating to the use of vigabatrin for a range of indications, including the treatment of a wide variety of substance addictions, with expiration dates for the issued patents between 2018 and 2023, with the principal patents expiring in 2018. Additionally, we received approval from the European Union (EU) with respect to one of our principal patents, which has allowed us to seek registration for this patent in eighteen EU member states.

The license agreement, which is dated as of April 30, 2006 and which supersedes a previous license agreement that was entered into in 2002, grants us an exclusive worldwide license, including the right to sublicense, to make, have made, use, and/or sell licensed products and practice the licensed process with respect to the medical application in humans of vigabatrin under certain patent rights. These rights are subject to the United States government's rights to practice the licensed process for its own use. The purpose of this agreement is to permit us to commercialize products upon the receipt of government regulatory approval for the use of vigabatrin for the treatment of human drug addiction and addiction-related behavior. In exchange for such rights, we paid Brookhaven an initial fee of \$50,000 and have agreed to pay a fee of \$100,000 in the year of NDA approval for CPP-109, \$250,000 in each of the second and third years following approval, and \$500,000 per year thereafter until the last patent expires. In addition, upon the filing of an NDA for CPP-109 and the approval of an NDA for CPP-109, we will be obligated to reimburse Brookhaven for certain expenses it incurs in connection with the filing, prosecution and maintenance of all patents and patent applications included in the patent rights we have licensed. We also have the right to enter into sub-license agreements, and if we do a royalty of 20% of any sub-license fees will be payable to Brookhaven.

We have also agreed to consult with Brookhaven on at least a quarterly basis with respect to drug development steps taken and progress made toward the objective of gaining marketing approval from the FDA for any licensed product from the beginning of our agreement through the date the FDA grants us its approval to sell any licensed product. We have also agreed to have in effect and maintain a liability insurance policy in an amount of at least \$1,000,000 to cover claims arising out of the manufacture and use of licensed products and such policy shall designate Brookhaven as an additional insured. We have agreed to increase and maintain, throughout the life of the agreement and for five years after its termination, liability insurance coverage in the amount of at least \$5,000,000 upon acceptance by the FDA of our application to commence Phase III clinical trials involving licensed products. Our agreement with Brookhaven expires simultaneously with the expiration of the last to expire patent it has licensed to us.

Brookhaven has formally advised us that they believe that the amount due them for patent related expenses as of December 31, 2010 was approximately \$1.3 million. We believe that we are only contingently liable to Brookhaven for approximately \$166,000, and we have advised Brookhaven that we are disputing their determination of patent-related expenses due under the license agreement. There can be no assurance as to the outcome of this matter. In any event, no patent-related expenses are due to Brookhaven under the license agreement until we submit an NDA for CPP-109.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

During July 2010, we announced that the European Patent Office has granted to Brookhaven a European patent for the use of vigabatrin for the prevention of addiction to opioids (e.g. oxycodone, hydrocodone) used in pain management. By dampening dopamine release and thus, the euphoria associated with opioids, the opioid/vigabatrin combination may lower or prevent addictive liability without adversely affecting pain relief. Further, we announced in December 2010 that the Canadian Intellectual Property Office has granted to Brookhaven a patent for the use of vigabatrin for the prevention of addiction in pain management. The patent is broad and includes the use of vigabatrin/PPP-109 in combination with opioids (e.g., oxycodone, hydrocodone) for pain management. We license these patents from Brookhaven.

Northwestern University License Agreement

On August 27, 2009, we entered into a license agreement with Northwestern under which we acquired worldwide rights to commercialize new GABA aminotransferase inhibitors and derivatives of vigabatrin which have been discovered and patented by Northwestern. Under the terms of the license agreement, Northwestern granted us an exclusive worldwide license to certain composition of matter patents related to the new class of inhibitors and a patent application relating to derivatives of vigabatrin. We have designated the lead compound to be developed under this license as PPP-115.

We believe that the newly licensed compounds are the only known GABA aminotransferase inhibitors in existence or in development other than vigabatrin. We also believe, based on our non-clinical testing to date of PPP-115, that the newly licensed compounds are significantly more potent than vigabatrin with less visual side effects than vigabatrin. We plan to seek to develop these compounds for the treatment of several indications, including epilepsy (specifically, complex partial seizures and infantile spasms) and drug addiction. However, these compounds are at a very early stage of development and there can be no assurance as to whether these new compounds will ever be determined to be safe and effective.

Under our license agreement with Northwestern, we will be responsible for continued research and development of any resulting product candidates. We have the right to terminate the agreement in whole or in part after August 27, 2012, upon written notice. As of December 31, 2010, we have paid Northwestern upfront payments aggregating \$35,000 and maintenance and patent fees aggregating \$21,436, and we are obligated to pay certain additional fees, \$16,436 in patent expenses, and milestone payments in future years relating to our clinical development activities under this license or payable upon passage of time. The first milestone payment of \$50,000 is due on or before August 27, 2012. We are also obligated to pay Northwestern royalties on any products resulting from the license agreement. We also have the right to enter into sub-license agreements, and if we do, a royalty on any sub-license fees will be payable to Northwestern.

We have recently filed an application under the Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT) seeking to protect PPP-115 in all anticipated non-US markets around the world. Prosecution of this patent is ongoing. There can be no assurance that the claims of this patent will be allowed, or if allowed, that such claims will provide adequate patent protection for PPP-115 outside of the United States.

Manufacturing and Supply

PPP-109

Since the composition of matter patent for vigabatrin has previously expired, we will not, to our knowledge, violate any patents if we commercialize PPP-109. We have entered into a new agreement to formulate and manufacture PPP-109 for use in our future clinical trials. We also intend in the future to manufacture commercial quantities of PPP-109 on a contract basis, if the FDA approves an NDA for PPP-109.

Our supplier has agreed to manufacture PPP-109 and matching placebo for us in quantities that we believe will be sufficient to conduct our current clinical trial evaluating PPP-109 for the treatment of cocaine addiction. Our contract contains no renewal provisions. Pursuant to our agreement, we have agreed to indemnify our supplier against: (i) costs relating to any potential injury suffered by persons who take PPP-109 that our supplier manufactures; (ii) any losses arising from our negligence in labeling, handling or storing PPP-109; (iii) any specifications which we give them that are incorrect or do not meet FDA-approved standards; (iv) any misrepresentation or breach by us of the agreement; and (v) any patent infringement claims that may result from the use of PPP-109.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Further, our supplier has agreed to indemnify us against any losses related to its negligence or willful misconduct in the manufacture of CPP-109; any misrepresentation by our supplier in the agreement; and any claims by third parties that our supplier infringed or misappropriated any intellectual property in its manufacture of CPP-109.

Any NDA that we file for CPP-109 will require a manufacturing plan. If the manufacturing plan and data are insufficient, the NDA will not be approved. Further, even if we receive approval of an NDA for CPP-109, if our manufacturer does not follow good manufacturing practices (cGMP), in the manufacture of our products, it may delay product launches or shipments or adversely affect our business.

Since we intend to contract with a third party to manufacture our products, if the FDA approves an NDA for CPP-109, our contract manufacturer will be required to comply with all applicable environmental laws and regulations that affect the manufacturing process. As a result, we do not believe that we will have any significant exposure to environmental issues.

CPP-115

We have entered into a contract to manufacture the active pharmaceutical ingredient (API) sufficient to meet the needs of our currently ongoing non-clinical studies and our anticipated Phase I human safety study of CPP-115. While we have taken steps to insure that the amount of API ordered under this contract is sufficient for our needs, there is no absolute assurance of this.

We have no plans at this time to build or acquire the manufacturing capability needed to prepare either the CPP-115 API or CPP-115 product on a commercial scale. We expect at this time that these materials will be prepared by a contractor with suitable capabilities for these tasks and that we will enter into appropriate supply agreements with these contractors at appropriate times in the development and commercialization of this product. There are no plans at this time to enter into such agreements. Further, the contractors selected would have to be inspected by the FDA and found in substantial compliance with federal regulations in order for an NDA for CPP-115 to be approved and there can be no assurance that the contractors we select in the future would pass such an inspection.

Competition

The biotechnology and pharmaceutical industries are highly competitive. In particular, competition for the development and marketing of therapies to treat addictive substances such as cocaine and methamphetamine and epilepsy is intense and expected to increase. Many of our competitors have substantially greater financial and other resources, larger research and development staffs and more experience developing products, obtaining FDA and other regulatory approval of products, and manufacturing and marketing products. We compete against pharmaceutical companies that are developing or currently marketing therapies for addictive substances. In addition, we compete against biotechnology companies, universities, government agencies, and other research institutions in the development of substance abuse treatments, technologies and processes that are, or in the future may be, the basis for competitive commercial products. While we believe that our product candidates will offer advantages over many of the currently available competing therapies, our business could be negatively impacted if our competitors' present or future offerings are more effective, safer or less expensive than ours, or more readily accepted by regulators, healthcare providers or third-party payers.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Regulatory Matters

Government Regulation and Product Approval

Government authorities in the United States, at the federal, state and local level, and other countries extensively regulate, among other things, the research, development, testing, manufacture, labeling, record-keeping, promotion, storage, advertising, distribution, marketing and export and import of products such as those we are developing. Our drugs must be approved by the FDA through the new drug application, or NDA, process before they may be legally marketed in the United States.

In the United States, drugs are subject to rigorous regulation by the FDA under the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act, or FDCA, and implementing regulations, as well as other federal and state statutes. The process of obtaining regulatory approvals and the subsequent compliance with appropriate federal, state, local, and foreign statutes and regulations require the expenditure of substantial time and financial resources. Failure to comply with the applicable United States requirements at any time during the product development process, approval process or after approval, may subject an applicant to administrative or judicial sanctions. These sanctions could include the FDA's refusal to approve pending applications, license suspension or revocation, withdrawal of an approval, a clinical hold, warning letters, product recalls, product seizures, total or partial suspension of production or distribution, injunctions, fines, civil penalties or criminal prosecution. Any agency or judicial enforcement action could have a material adverse effect on us. The process required by the FDA before a drug may be marketed in the United States generally involves the following:

completion of preclinical laboratory tests, animal studies and formulation studies according to the FDA's Good Laboratory Practice regulations;

submission of an investigational new drug application (IND), which must become effective before human clinical trials may begin and which must include approval by an institutional review board (IRB) at each clinical site before the trials are initiated;

performance of adequate and well-controlled human clinical trials according to the FDA's Good Clinical Practice regulations to establish the safety and efficacy of the proposed drug for its intended use;

submission to, and acceptance by, the FDA of an NDA;

satisfactory completion of an FDA inspection of the manufacturing facility or facilities at which the drug is produced to assess compliance with current good manufacturing practice, or cGMP, regulations to assure that the facilities, methods and controls are adequate to preserve the drug's identity, strength, quality and purity; and

FDA review and approval of the NDA.

United States Drug Development Process

Once a pharmaceutical candidate is identified for development it enters the preclinical testing stage. Preclinical tests include laboratory evaluations of product chemistry, toxicity and formulation, as well as animal studies. Prior to beginning human clinical trials, an IND sponsor must submit an IND to the FDA. The IND sponsor must submit the results of the preclinical tests, together with manufacturing information and analytical data, to the FDA as part of the IND. Some preclinical or nonclinical testing may continue even after the IND is submitted. In addition to including the results of the preclinical studies, the IND will also include a protocol detailing, among other things, the objectives of the first phase of the clinical trial, the parameters to be used in monitoring safety and the effectiveness criteria to be evaluated, if the first phase lends itself to an efficacy evaluation. The IND automatically becomes effective 30 days after receipt by the FDA, unless the FDA, within the 30-day time period, raises concerns or questions about the conduct of the trial. In such a case, the IND sponsor and the FDA must resolve any

Edgar Filing: CATALYST PHARMACEUTICAL PARTNERS, INC. - Form 10-K

outstanding concerns before the clinical trial can begin. The FDA may, at any time, impose a clinical hold on ongoing clinical trials. If the FDA imposes a clinical hold, clinical trials cannot commence or recommence without FDA authorization and then only under terms authorized by the FDA.

Clinical trials involve the administration of the investigation new drug to healthy volunteers or patients under the supervision of one or more qualified investigators in accordance with Good Clinical Practice regulations.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Clinical trials must be conducted under protocols detailing the objectives of the trial and the safety and effectiveness criteria to be evaluated. Each protocol must be submitted to the FDA as part of the IND. Further, an IRB at each institution participating in the clinical trial must review and approve each protocol before any clinical trial commences at that institution. All research subjects must provide informed consent, and informed consent information must be submitted to the IRB for approval prior to initiation of the trial. Progress reports detailing the results of the clinical trials must be submitted at least annually to the FDA and more frequently if adverse events or other certain types of other changes occur.

Human clinical trials are typically conducted in three phases. These phases may be sequential, or may overlap or be combined:

In Phase I, the drug is introduced into healthy human subjects or patients with the disease and tested for safety, dosage tolerance, absorption, metabolism, distribution and excretion. In the case of some products for severe or life-threatening illnesses, especially where the drug may be too toxic or have other side effects where it would not be deemed safe to give to healthy volunteers, the initial human testing may be conducted in patients.

Phase II involves studies in a limited patient population to identify potential adverse effects and safety risks, to evaluate, on a preliminary basis, the efficacy of the product, and to determine optimal dosage and dosage tolerance.

In Phase III, dosage is further evaluated along with safety and efficacy in an expanded patient population. These studies establish the overall risk-benefit ratio of the product and provide, if appropriate, an adequate basis for product labeling.

While Phase I, Phase II, and Phase III tests are generally required for approval of an NDA, certain drugs may not require one or more steps in the process depending on other testing and the situation involved. Additionally, the FDA, an IRB, or the sponsor may stop testing at any time if results show patients being exposed to unnecessary health risks or overly dangerous side effects.

Concurrent with clinical trials, companies usually complete additional animal studies and must also develop additional information about the chemistry and physical characteristics of the drug and finalize a process for manufacturing the product in accordance with cGMP requirements. The manufacturing process must be capable of consistently producing quality batches of the drug candidate and, among other requirements, the manufacturer must develop methods for testing the identity, strength, quality and purity of the final drug. Additionally, appropriate packaging must be selected and tested and stability studies must be conducted to demonstrate that the drug candidate does not undergo unacceptable deterioration over its shelf life.

United States Review and Approval Processes

FDA approval of an NDA is required before marketing of the product may begin in the United States. The NDA must include the results of product development, preclinical studies and clinical studies, together with other detailed information, including information on the chemistry, manufacture and composition of the product. The FDA has 60 days from its receipt of the NDA to review the application to ensure that it is sufficiently complete for substantive review before accepting it for filing. The FDA may request additional information rather than accept an NDA for filing. In this event, the NDA must be resubmitted with the additional information. The resubmitted application also is subject to review before the FDA accepts it for filing. Once the submission is accepted for filing, the FDA begins an in-depth substantive review. The submission of an NDA is also subject to the payment of user fees; a waiver of such fees may be obtained under certain limited circumstances. Further, the sponsor of an approved NDA is subject to annual product and establishment user fees. The approval process is lengthy and difficult and the FDA may refuse to approve an NDA if the applicable regulatory criteria are not satisfied or may require additional clinical or other data and information. Even if such data and information is submitted, the FDA may ultimately decide that the NDA does not satisfy the criteria for approval. The FDA may also refer applications for novel drug products or drug products which present difficult questions of safety or efficacy to an advisory committee, typically a panel that includes clinicians and other experts, for review, evaluation and a recommendation as to whether the

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

application should be approved. The FDA is not bound by the recommendation of an advisory committee. The FDA reviews an NDA to determine, among other things, whether a product is safe and effective for its intended use. Before approving an NDA, the FDA will inspect the facility or facilities where the product is manufactured to determine whether its manufacturing is cGMP compliant to assure and preserve the product's identity, strength, quality, purity and stability.

If the FDA's evaluation of the NDA submission or manufacturing facilities is not favorable, the FDA may refuse to approve the NDA or issue a complete response letter. The complete response letter outlines the deficiencies in the submission and often requires additional testing or information in order for the FDA to reconsider the application. Even after submitting this additional information, the FDA ultimately may decide that the application does not satisfy the regulatory criteria for approval. With limited exceptions, the FDA may withhold approval of a NDA regardless of prior advice it may have provided or commitments it may have made to the sponsor.

Post-Approval Requirements and Considerations

Once an NDA is approved, a product will be subject to certain post-approval requirements. For instance, the FDA closely regulates the post-approval marketing and promotion of drugs, including standards and regulations for direct-to-consumer advertising, off-label promotion, industry-sponsored scientific and educational activities and promotional activities involving the internet. The FDA may also require as a condition of approval for drugs with significant safety issues, implementation of a REMS strategy. Such strategy may include Black Box warnings, limitations on promotion and distribution, and periodic testing of patients on the drug to monitor whether administration of the drug continues to be safe and effective for the patient.

Drugs may be marketed only for the approved indications and in accordance with the provisions of the approved labeling. Changes to some of the conditions established in an approved application, including changes in indications, labeling, or manufacturing processes or facilities, require submission and FDA approval of a new NDA or NDA supplement before the change can be implemented. An NDA supplement for a new indication typically requires clinical data similar to that in the original application, and the FDA uses the same procedures and actions in reviewing NDA supplements as it does in reviewing NDAs.

Adverse event reporting and submission of periodic reports is required following FDA approval of an NDA. The FDA also may require post-marketing testing, known as Phase IV testing, and surveillance to monitor the effects of an approved product or place conditions on an approval that could restrict the distribution or use of the product. In addition, quality control as well as drug manufacture, packaging, and labeling procedures must continue to conform to cGMPs after approval. Drug manufacturers and certain of their subcontractors are required to register their establishments with the FDA and certain state agencies, and are subject to periodic unannounced inspections by the FDA during which the agency inspects manufacturing facilities to assess compliance with cGMPs. Accordingly, manufacturers must continue to expend time, money and effort in the areas of production and quality control to maintain compliance with cGMPs. Regulatory authorities may withdraw product approvals or request product recalls if a company fails to comply with regulatory standards, if it encounters problems following initial marketing, or if previously unrecognized problems are subsequently discovered.

Foreign Regulation

Any marketing of CPP-109 or CPP-115 outside of the United States will be contingent on the receipt of approval from various regulatory authorities. Foreign regulatory systems, which vary from country to country, generally include risks similar to those associated with FDA regulation in the U.S. Under the European Union regulatory system, applications for drug approval may be submitted either in a centralized or decentralized manner. Under the centralized procedure, a single application to the European Medicines Agency leads to an approval granted by the European Commission which permits marketing of the product throughout the European Union. The decentralized procedure provides for mutual recognition of nationally approved decisions and is used for products that do not comply with requirements for the centralized procedure. Under the decentralized procedure, the holders of national marketing authorization in one of the countries within the European Union may submit further applications to other countries within the European Union, who will be requested to recognize the original authorization based on an assessment report provided by the country in which marketing authorization is held.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

As with FDA approval, we may not be able to secure regulatory approvals in certain European countries in a timely manner, if at all. Additionally, as in the U.S., post-approval regulatory requirements would apply to any products that are approved in Europe, and failure to comply with such obligations could have a material adverse effect on our ability to successfully commercialize any product.

Outside of the European Union, we are subject to widely varying foreign obligations, which may be quite different from those of the FDA, governing clinical studies, product registration and approval and pharmaceutical sales. Whether or not FDA approval has been received, we must obtain separate approval for products by the comparable regulatory authorities of foreign countries prior to the commencement of marketing CPP-109 in those countries. The approval process varies from country to country, and the time may be longer or shorter than that required for FDA approval. In addition, under current U.S. law, there are significant restrictions on the export of products not approved by the FDA, depending on the country involved and the status of the product in that country.

The Hatch-Waxman Act

The approval process described above is premised on the applicant being the owner of, or having obtained a right of reference to, all of the data required to prove the safety and effectiveness of a drug product. This type of marketing application, sometimes referred to as a full or stand-alone NDA, is governed by Section 505(b)(1) of the FDC Act. A Section 505(b)(1) NDA contains full reports of investigations of safety and effectiveness, which includes the results of pre-clinical studies and clinical trials, together with detailed information on the manufacture and composition of the product, in addition to other information. We intend to submit a Section 505(b)(1) application for CPP-109 for cocaine addiction.

In seeking approval for a drug through an NDA, applicants are required to list with the FDA each patent with claims that cover the applicant's product. Upon approval of a drug, each of the patents listed in the application for the drug is then published in the FDA's Approved Drug Products with Therapeutic Equivalence Evaluations, commonly known as the Orange Book. Drugs listed in the Orange Book can, in turn, be cited by potential competitors in support of approval of a 505(b)(2) application or an abbreviated new drug application, or ANDA.

An ANDA provides for marketing of a drug product that has the same active ingredients in the same strengths and dosage form as the listed drug and has been shown through bioequivalence testing to be therapeutically equivalent to the listed drug. These applicants are not required to conduct or submit results of pre-clinical or clinical tests to prove the safety or effectiveness of their drug product, other than the requirement for bioequivalence testing. Drugs approved in this way are commonly referred to as generic equivalents to the listed drug, and can often be substituted by pharmacists under prescriptions written for the original listed drug.

The ANDA applicant is required to certify to the FDA concerning any patents listed for the approved product in the FDA's Orange Book. Specifically, the applicant must certify that: (i) the required patent information has not been filed; (ii) the listed patent has expired; (iii) the listed patent has not expired, but will expire on a particular date and approval is sought after patent expiration; or (iv) the listed patent is invalid or will not be infringed by the new product. A certification that the new product will not infringe the already approved product's listed patents or that such patents are invalid is called a Paragraph 4 certification. If the applicant does not challenge the listed patents, the ANDA application will not be approved until all the listed patents claiming the referenced product have expired.

If the ANDA applicant has provided a Paragraph 4 certification to the FDA, the applicant must also send notice of the Paragraph 4 certification to the NDA and patent holders once the ANDA has been accepted for filing by the FDA. The NDA and patent holders may then initiate a patent infringement lawsuit in response to the notice of the Paragraph 4 certification. The filing of a patent infringement lawsuit within 45 days of the receipt of a Paragraph 4 certification automatically prevents the FDA from approving the ANDA until the earlier of 30 months, expiration of the patent, settlement of the lawsuit or a decision in the infringement case that is favorable to the ANDA applicant.

The ANDA application also will not be approved until any non-patent exclusivity, such as exclusivity for obtaining approval of a new chemical entity, listed in the Orange Book for the referenced product has expired. Federal law provides a period of five years of marketing exclusivity following approval of a drug containing no

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

previously approved active ingredients (or salts and esters thereof), during which ANDAs for generic versions of those drugs cannot be submitted unless the submission contains a Paragraph 4 challenge to a listed patent, in which case the submission may be made four years following the original product approval.

A 505(b)(2) NDA enables the applicant to rely, in part, on the FDA's previous approval of a similar product, or published literature, in support of its application. 505(b)(2) NDAs often provide an alternate path to FDA approval for new or improved formulations or new uses of previously approved products. Section 505(b)(2) permits the filing of an NDA where at least some of the information required for approval comes from studies not conducted by or for the applicant and for which the applicant has not obtained a right of reference. If the 505(b)(2) applicant can establish that reliance on FDA's previous approval is scientifically appropriate, it may eliminate the need to conduct certain pre-clinical or clinical studies conducted of the new product. The FDA may also require companies to perform additional studies or measurements to support the change from the approved product. The FDA may then approve the new product candidate for all or some of the label indications for which the referenced product has been approved, as well as for any new indication sought by the Section 505(b)(2) applicant.

To the extent that the Section 505(b)(2) applicant is relying on studies conducted for an already approved product, the applicant is required to certify to the FDA concerning any patents listed for the approved product in the Orange Book to the same extent that an ANDA applicant would. Thus approval of a 505(b)(2) NDA can be stalled until all the listed patents claiming the referenced product have expired, until any non-patent exclusivity, such as exclusivity for obtaining approval of a new chemical entity, listed in the Orange Book for the referenced product has expired, and, in the case of a Paragraph 4 certification and subsequent patent infringement suit, until the earlier of 30 months, settlement of the lawsuit or a decision in the infringement case that is favorable to the Section 505(b)(2) applicant.

In August 2009, the FDA approved Lundbeck's NDAs for Sabril® (vigabatrin) tablets for the treatment of refractory complex partial seizures in patients who have failed several treatments and for sachets for the treatment of infantile spasms. The NDAs were granted the five year exclusivity described above and therefore that exclusivity will not be available to CPP-109 upon approval at a later date.

Federal law provides for the extension of a patent's expiration date for up to five years if that patent is listed in the FDA's Orange Book, was not previously extended for any other approved product, and if that patent covers a product containing an active ingredient that was not previously approved in any other product. Since the FDA approved both of Lundbeck's NDAs (described previously), any patents listed in the Orange Book for CPP-109 would not be eligible for a patent term extension due to the fact that vigabatrin will have been previously approved in Lundbeck's NDAs.

Federal law provides for a period of three years of exclusivity following approval of a listed drug that contains previously approved active ingredients, but is approved in a new dosage form, route of administration or combination, or for a new use, the approval of which was required to be supported by new clinical trials conducted by or for the sponsor, during which the FDA cannot grant effective approval of an ANDA based on that listed drug for the same new dosage form, route of administration or combination, or new use. Non-patent exclusivity under the Hatch-Waxman Act does not prevent a competitor from submitting, or the FDA from approving, a full 505(b)(1) NDA. Furthermore, this three year period of exclusivity does not prevent an applicant from filing an ANDA or 505(b)(2) application prior to the expiration of the exclusivity, and in which the applicant is requesting approval after the expiration of this three year period of exclusivity. CPP-109, if approved, is expected to be eligible for three years of exclusivity.

Fast Track Designation

Under the fast track program, the sponsor of a new drug candidate intended for the treatment of a serious or life-threatening condition and which demonstrates the potential to address unmet medical needs for the condition may request the FDA to designate the drug candidate as a fast track drug concurrent with or after the filing of the IND for the drug candidate. The FDA must determine if the drug candidate qualifies for fast track designation within 60 days of receipt of the sponsor's request. Once the FDA designates a drug as a fast track product, it is required to facilitate the development and expedite the review of that drug.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

In addition to other benefits such as the ability to use surrogate endpoints and have greater interactions with the FDA, the FDA may initiate review of sections of a fast track drug's NDA before the application is complete. This rolling review is available if the applicant provides and the FDA approves a schedule for the submission of the remaining information and the applicant pays applicable user fees. However, the FDA's time period goal for reviewing an application does not begin until the last section of the NDA is submitted. Additionally, the fast track designation may be withdrawn by the FDA if it believes that the designation is no longer supported by data emerging in the clinical trial process.

Orphan Drug Designation

On September 15, 2010, CPP-115 was granted orphan drug designation by the FDA for the treatment of infantile spasms. Under the Orphan Drug Act of 1983 (ODA), the FDA incentivizes companies which develop drugs for diseases that affect fewer than 200,000 people in the United States. This designation, among other benefits, allows the sale of an orphan drug for seven years without competition. Sabril® has previously been granted orphan drug designation for the treatment of infantile spasms. Upon approval by the FDA of a product intended to treat an orphan disease, the holder of the NDA is granted 7 years of marketing exclusivity. In the case of Sabril®, Lundbeck has 7 years of market exclusivity as a treatment for infantile spasms.

Priority Review

Under FDA policies, a drug candidate is eligible for priority review, or review within a six-month time frame from the time a complete NDA is submitted, if the drug candidate is intended for the treatment, diagnosis or prevention of a serious or life-threatening condition, demonstrates the potential to address an unmet medical need, or provides a significant improvement compared to marketed drugs.

Anti-Kickback, False Claims Laws & The Prescription Drug Marketing Act

In addition to FDA restrictions on marketing of pharmaceutical products, several other types of state and federal laws have been applied to restrict certain marketing practices in the pharmaceutical industry in recent years. These laws include anti-kickback statutes and false claims statutes. The federal healthcare program anti-kickback statute prohibits, among other things, knowingly and willfully offering, paying, soliciting or receiving remuneration to induce or in return for purchasing, leasing, ordering or arranging for the purchase, lease or order of any healthcare item or service reimbursable under Medicare, Medicaid or other federally financed healthcare programs. This statute has been interpreted to apply to arrangements between pharmaceutical manufacturers on the one hand and prescribers, purchasers and formulary managers on the other. Violations of the anti-kickback statute are punishable by imprisonment, criminal fines, civil monetary penalties and exclusion from participation in federal healthcare programs. Although there are a number of statutory exemptions and regulatory safe harbors protecting certain common activities from prosecution or other regulatory sanctions, the exemptions and safe harbors are drawn narrowly, and practices that involve remuneration intended to induce prescribing, purchases or recommendations may be subject to scrutiny if they do not qualify for an exemption or safe harbor.

Federal false claims laws prohibit any person from knowingly presenting, or causing to be presented, a false claim for payment to the federal government, or knowingly making, or causing to be made, a false statement to have a false claim paid. Recently, several pharmaceutical and other healthcare companies have been prosecuted under these laws for allegedly inflating drug prices they report to pricing services, which in turn were used by the government to set Medicare and Medicaid reimbursement rates, and for allegedly providing free product to customers with the expectation that the customers would bill federal programs for the product. In addition, certain marketing practices, including off-label promotion, may also violate false claims laws. The majority of states also have statutes or regulations similar to the federal anti-kickback law and false claims laws, which apply to items and services reimbursed under Medicaid and other state programs, or, in several states, apply regardless of the payer.

Our Employees

As of March 25, 2011, we had six employees. We also utilize the services of consultants including a member of our Board of Directors, our Chief Medical Officer and several members of our Scientific Advisory Board. None of our employees are covered by a collective bargaining agreement. We believe our relationship with our employees and consultants is good.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Our Scientific Advisory Board

We rely on prominent scientists and physicians to advise us on our pipeline of drug candidates and the clinical development of CPP-109 and CPP-115. All of our advisors are employed by organizations other than ours and may have commitments to or consulting or advisory agreements with other entities that may limit their availability to us. Our Scientific Advisory Board currently consists of the following members:

Stephen L. Dewey, Ph.D. serves as Chairman of our Scientific Advisory Board. Dr. Dewey, a former Senior Scientist at Brookhaven National Laboratory, is now the Head of the Center for Behavioral and Molecular Imaging at the Feinstein Institute for Medical Research at the North Shore-LIJ Health System. Dr. Dewey is a recognized authority in positron emission tomography, which uses certain compounds to visualize and quantify biochemical processes as well as the distribution and movement of drugs in the living human and animal body. Dr. Dewey was with Brookhaven since 1986, serving as Assistant Scientist, Associate Scientist, Scientist, Tenured Scientist and Senior Scientist. Dr. Dewey recently moved his entire research program to the Feinstein Institute for Medical Research. Dr. Dewey is a Professor of Molecular Medicine at the Hofstra University School of Medicine as well as a Research Professor of Psychiatry at the New York University School of Medicine and an Adjunct Professor of Neurobiology and Behavior and Cellular and Molecular Pharmacology at Stony Brook University. Dr. Dewey has been developing a novel approach to treating addiction and is devoted to research within this area. Dr. Dewey is a co-inventor of Brookhaven's patents for substance addiction, including Brookhaven's patents covering the use of vigabatrin to treat addiction.

Jonathan Brodie, Ph.D., M.D. is the Marvin Stern Professor of Psychiatry at New York University School of Medicine. Dr. Brodie completed his B.S. in Chemistry as a Ford Foundation Scholar and his Ph.D. in Physiological Chemistry (Organic Chemistry minor) at the University of Wisconsin-Madison. He was a National Institutes of Health postdoctoral Fellow in Biochemistry at Scripps Clinic and Research Foundation and a tenured Associate Professor of Biochemistry at the School of Medicine at SUNY/Buffalo. He then received his M.D. at New York University School of Medicine and joined the faculty after completing his residency in psychiatry at NYU/ Bellevue Medical Center. He was a member of the Promotions and Tenure Committee of the School of Medicine as well as a member of the Executive Advisory Committee of the General Clinical Research Center and the Protocol Review Committee of the Center for Advanced Brain Imaging (CABI) of the Nathan Kline Institute. For 15 years, he was the NYU Director of the Brookhaven National Laboratory/ NYUSoM collaboration investigating the use of positron emitters and PET in neuroscience and psychiatry. Additionally, Dr. Brodie serves as a psychopharmacology preceptor to psychiatry residents. As a clinician, he treats patients in general issues of adult psychiatry including anxiety and depression. Dr. Brodie is a co-inventor of Brookhaven's patents for substance addiction, including Brookhaven's patents covering the use of vigabatrin to treat addiction.

Robert D. Fechtner, M.D. is Professor of Ophthalmology and Director, Glaucoma Division at the Institute of Ophthalmology and Visual Science and chair of the Institutional Review Board UMDNJ - New Jersey Medical School, Newark, New Jersey. Dr. Fechtner received his B.S. in Biomedical Science and his medical degree from the University of Michigan School of Medicine. He completed his residency at Albert Einstein College of Medicine in New York. This was followed by a fellowship in glaucoma at the University of California, San Diego under a National Research Service Award from the National Institutes of Health. After several years on the faculty at University of Louisville, he joined the faculty at New Jersey Medical School. Dr. Fechtner has published over 100 scientific articles, abstracts and chapters.

Eugene Laska, Ph.D. is Professor of Psychiatry at the Department of Psychiatry at New York University Medical Center. Dr. Laska received a Ph.D. in Mathematics at New York University, and then completed a PHS Postdoctoral Fellowship at the Department of Statistics at Stanford University. Dr. Laska is the Director of the Statistical Sciences and Epidemiology Division of the Nathan Kline Institute for Psychiatric Research. Dr. Laska has published more than 135 scientific peer reviewed articles and edited four books; he has served as a consultant to large and small pharmaceutical companies in the areas of biostatistics and clinical trial design.

Thomas Kosten, M.D. is currently the Waggoner Professor of Psychiatry and Neuroscience, Professor of Pharmacology and Associate Dean of Clinical Research at Baylor College of Medicine. He was recently appointed Professor of Psychiatry and Epidemiology at the MD Anderson Cancer Center. Dr. Kosten is also Research Director of the VA National Substance Use Disorders

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Quality Enhancement Research Initiative (QUERI) and the founder of the Division of Substance Abuse at Baylor, where he directs their NIH Medications Development Center for Substance Abuse. Dr. Kosten has been supported by a Research Scientist Award from the NIH since 1987 and has served on national and international review groups for medications development in substance abuse. Dr. Kosten is the founding Vice Chair for Added Qualifications in Addiction Psychiatry of the American Board of Psychiatry and Neurology. He is a Distinguished Fellow in the American Psychiatric Association and fellow of the American College of Neuropsychopharmacology, Past President of the American Academy of Addiction Psychiatry, and President of the College on Problems of Drug Dependence. He has several major awards for clinical research, and is editor of two major journals in substance abuse. His recent work includes serving on the National Academy of Sciences Institute of Medicine committee on vaccines for substance abuse. Dr. Kosten has published over 550 papers, books, and reviews related to substance dependence, post-traumatic stress disorder, and neuroimaging. He has been involved in numerous clinical trials involving potential therapies to treat cocaine addiction, cocaine-induced cerebral perfusion defects and opioid dependence, providing immunotherapy for hallucinogens, and combining medications with contingency management for opioid and cocaine dependence.

Richard A. Rawson, Ph.D. is a member of the University of California, Los Angeles Department of Psychology and is currently a Professor-in-Residence. He also serves as the Associate Director of the UCLA Integrated Substance Abuse Programs in the UCLA School of Medicine, where he oversees a portfolio of addiction research ranging from brain imaging studies to numerous clinical trials on pharmacological and psychosocial addiction treatments to the study of how new treatments are applied in the treatment system. During the past decade, Dr. Rawson has worked with the U.S. State Department on large substance abuse research and treatment projects, exporting U.S. technology and addiction science to Mexico, Thailand, Israel, Egypt, South Africa and the Palestinian Authority. He also directs the capacity building and training component of the United Nations International Network of Drug Treatment and Rehabilitation Resource Centers, and is currently principal investigator of the Pacific Southwest Addiction Technology Center and the NIDA Methamphetamine Clinical Trials Group. Dr. Rawson has published two books, 20 book chapters and over 175 professional papers. He also conducts more than 50 workshops annually, as well as paper presentations and training sessions. Dr. Rawson earned his Ph.D. in experimental psychology from the University of Vermont.

Richard B. Silverman, Ph.D. is the John Evans Professor of Chemistry at Northwestern. Dr. Silverman received his Ph.D. in organic chemistry from Harvard University and completed postdoctoral research at Brandeis University on specific enzyme inactivation. Dr. Silverman is the inventor of CPP-115, one of our lead product candidates. Dr. Silverman holds 42 patents overall and is the inventor of pregabalin, which is sold by Pfizer under the brand name Lyrica®, which in 2010 had approximately \$2.9 billion in sales worldwide. Dr. Silverman is a member of the American Chemical Society, the American Association for the Advancement of Science, and the American Society for Biochemistry and Molecular Biology.

Available Information

We make available free of charge on or through our internet website our Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and all amendments to those reports as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is electronically filed with or furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Our internet address is www.catalystpharma.com. The content on our website is not, nor should it be deemed to be, incorporated by reference into this Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Our business involves a high degree of risk. You should carefully consider the risks and uncertainties described below, and all of the other information contained in this Form 10-K in assessing the risks relating to ownership of our common stock. The risks described below could cause our business, results of operations, financial condition and prospects to materially suffer and the market price of our stock to decline.

Risks Related to Our Business

We are a development stage company. Our limited operating history makes it difficult to evaluate our future performance.

We are a development stage company. We are the successor by merger to a company that began operations in 2002. As such, we have a limited operating history upon which you can evaluate our current business and our prospects. The likelihood of our future success must be viewed in light of the problems, expenses, difficulties, delays and complications often encountered in the operation of a new business, especially in the pharmaceutical industry, where failures of new companies are common. We are subject to the risks inherent in the ownership and operation of a development stage company, including availability of capital, regulatory setbacks and delays, fluctuations in expenses, competition and government regulation. If we fail to address these risks and uncertainties our business, results of operations, financial condition and prospects would be adversely affected.

We have no products currently available and we have never had any products available for commercial sale.

We have had no revenues from product sales to date, currently have no products available for commercial sale, and have never had any products available for commercial sale. We expect to incur losses at least until we can commercialize CPP-109. Our net loss was \$4,006,323 for the year ended December 31, 2010, and as of December 31, 2010 we had a deficit accumulated during the development stage of \$31,711,555. We may never obtain approval of an NDA for CPP-109 or CPP-115 and may never achieve profitability.

Our business will require additional capital.

Our business will require additional capital to meet our product development objectives. We presently have funds that will allow us to complete: (i) the non-clinical studies that we currently believe will be required in order for us to file an IND for CPP-115, (ii) an initial Phase I clinical trial of CPP-115 evaluating the safety of CPP-115 in humans, and (iii) the U.S. Phase II(b) clinical trial of CPP-109 that we are jointly conducting with NIDA. We currently expect to receive the results from the Phase II(b) trial of CPP-109 and the U.S. Phase I trial of CPP-115 in the third quarter of 2012. Based on currently available information, we estimate that we have sufficient working capital to support our operations through at least the third quarter of 2012. The expectations described above are based on current information available to us. If the cost of these studies is greater than we expect, or it takes longer to complete and obtain the results of these studies, our assumptions may not prove to be accurate.

At the present time, we will require additional funding to complete studies or trials other than those described above, including any Phase III clinical trial that we may be required to complete before we are in a position to file an NDA for CPP-109 for cocaine addiction and any additional human studies of CPP-115 evaluating the safety and efficacy of its use in treating addiction and epilepsy. Since these studies and trials have not yet been developed, we cannot estimate what our funding requirements will be with respect to such additional studies and trials. We will also require additional working capital to support our operations after the third quarter of 2012. There can be no assurance as to the amount of any such funding that will be required for these purposes or whether any such funding will be available to us when it is required.

We expect to raise any required additional funds through public or private equity offerings, debt financings, capital lease transactions, corporate collaborations, governmental research grants or cost sharing arrangements with NIDA, the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (NINDS) or other appropriate agencies that operate under the NIH umbrella, and/or other means. However, there is no assurance that any such grants will be made available, and if available, that we will qualify to receive any such grants. We may also seek to raise additional capital to fund additional product development efforts, even if we have sufficient funds for our planned operations. Any sale by us of additional equity or convertible debt securities could result in dilution to our stockholders. There can be no assurance that any such required additional funding will be available to us at all or available on terms acceptable to us. Further, to the extent that we raise additional funds through collaborative arrangements, it may be necessary to relinquish some rights to our technologies or grant sublicenses on terms that are not favorable to us. If we are not able to secure additional funding when needed, we may have to delay, reduce the scope of or eliminate one or more research and development programs, which could have an adverse effect on our business.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Our business is subject to substantial competition.

The biotechnology and pharmaceutical industries are highly competitive. In particular, competition for the development and marketing of therapies to treat addictive substances such as cocaine and methamphetamine and epilepsy is intense and expected to increase. Many of our competitors have substantially greater financial and other resources, larger research and development staffs and more experience developing products, obtaining FDA and other regulatory approval of products and manufacturing and marketing products. We compete against pharmaceutical companies that are developing or currently marketing therapies for epilepsy and addictive substances. In addition, we compete against biotechnology companies, universities, government agencies, and other research institutions in the development of substance abuse treatments and epilepsy, technologies and processes that are, or in the future may be, the basis for competitive commercial products. While we believe that our product candidates will offer advantages over many of the currently available competing therapies, our business could be negatively impacted if our competitors' present or future offerings are more effective, safer or less expensive than ours, or more readily accepted by regulators, healthcare providers or third-party payers.

Many of our competitors have substantially greater financial, technical, and human resources than we do. In addition, many of our competitors have significantly greater experience than we do in conducting clinical studies and obtaining regulatory approvals of prescription drugs. Accordingly, our competitors may succeed in obtaining FDA approval for products more rapidly than we can. Furthermore, if we are permitted to commence commercial sales of our product candidates, we may also compete with respect to manufacturing efficiency and marketing capabilities. For all of these reasons, we may not be able to compete successfully.

We face a risk of product liability claims and may not be able to obtain adequate insurance.

Our business exposes us to potential liability risks that may arise from the clinical testing, manufacture, and/or sale of CPP-109 or CPP-115. Patients have received substantial damage awards in some jurisdictions against pharmaceutical companies based on claims for injuries allegedly caused by the use of pharmaceutical products used in clinical trials or after FDA approval. Liability claims may be expensive to defend and may result in large judgments against us. We currently carry liability insurance with an aggregate annual coverage limit of \$5,000,000 per claim and \$5,000,000 in the aggregate, with a deductible of \$10,000 per occurrence. Our insurance may not reimburse us, for certain claims or the coverage may not be sufficient to cover claims made against us. We cannot predict all of the possible harms or side effects that may result from the use of CPP-109, CPP-115 or any of our other future products used in clinical trials or after FDA approval and, therefore, the amount of insurance coverage we currently hold may not be adequate to cover all liabilities we might incur. If we are sued for any injury allegedly caused by our products, our liability could exceed our ability to pay the liability. Whether or not we are ultimately successful in any adverse litigation, such litigation could consume substantial amounts of our financial and managerial resources, all of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations, prospects and stock price.

The obligations incident to being a public company place significant demands on our management.

As a public reporting company, we are required to comply with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and the related rules and regulations of the SEC, including periodic reports, disclosures and more complex accounting rules. As directed by Section 404 of Sarbanes-Oxley, the SEC adopted rules requiring public companies to include a report of management on a company's internal control over financial reporting in their Annual Report on Form 10-K. Based on current rules, we are required to report under Section 404(a) of Sarbanes-Oxley as to management's report under of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting. If we are unable to conclude that we have effective internal control over our financial reporting as required by Section 404(a), investors could lose confidence in the reliability of our financial statements, which could result in a decrease in the value of our common stock.

Risks Related to the Development of our Drug Candidates

There is currently limited clinical evidence supporting the use of vigabatrin to treat addiction.

There is limited clinical evidence currently indicating that CPP-109 will be a safe and effective treatment for any addiction in humans. To date, one double-blind, placebo controlled trial and two open-label clinical studies have been completed in Mexico relating to the use of vigabatrin in the treatment of cocaine addiction and methamphetamine addiction. Only 76 persons receiving vigabatrin completed these trials in the aggregate. Further, these studies were conducted in Mexico and were not subject to FDA oversight in any respect, including study design and protocol. In the U.S., one double-blind, placebo controlled trial and one double-blind, placebo controlled proof-of concept study have been completed. Only 121 persons in the aggregate received CPP-109 (vigabatrin) in these trials. There can be no assurance that the results of subsequent clinical trials in the United States will corroborate the results of these studies, and particularly the results of the double-blind, placebo-controlled trial that was

completed in Mexico. None of these studies, individually, or in the aggregate, provided enough evidence regarding safety or efficacy to support an NDA filing with the FDA.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Our product development efforts may fail.

Development of our pharmaceutical product candidates is subject to risks of failure. For example:

CPP-109 or CPP-115 may be found to be ineffective or unsafe, or fail to receive necessary regulatory approvals;

CPP-109 or CPP-115 may not be economical to market or take substantially longer to obtain necessary regulatory approvals than anticipated; or

Competitors may market equivalent or superior products.

As a result, our product development activities may not result in any safe, effective and commercially viable products, and we may not be able to commercialize our products successfully. Our failure to develop safe, effective, and/or commercially viable products would have a material adverse effect on our business, prospects, results of operations and financial condition.

Failure can occur at any stage of our product development efforts.

We will only obtain regulatory approval to commercialize CPP-109 or CPP-115 if we can demonstrate to the satisfaction of the FDA (or the equivalent foreign regulatory authorities) in adequate and well-controlled clinical studies and trials that the drug is safe and effective for its intended use and that it otherwise meets approval requirements. A failure of one or more pre-clinical or clinical studies can occur at any stage of product development. We may experience numerous unforeseen events during, or as a result of, testing that could delay or prevent us from obtaining regulatory approval for, or commercializing our product candidates, including but not limited to:

regulators or IRBs may not authorize us to commence a clinical trial or conduct a clinical trial at a prospective trial site;

conditions may be imposed upon us by the FDA regarding the scope or design of our clinical trials, or we may be required to resubmit our clinical trial protocols to IRBs for reinspection due to changes in the regulatory environment;

the number of subjects required for our clinical trials may be larger than we anticipate, patient enrollment may take longer than we anticipate, or patients may drop out of our clinical trials at a higher rate than we anticipate;

we may have to suspend or terminate one or more of our clinical trials if we, regulators, or IRBs determine that the participants are being subjected to unreasonable health risks;

our third-party contractors, clinical investigators or contractual collaborators may fail to comply with regulatory requirements or fail to meet their contractual obligations to us in a timely manner;

our tests may produce negative or inconclusive results, and we may decide, or regulators may require us, to conduct additional testing; and

the costs of our pre-clinical and/or clinical trials may be greater than we anticipate.

Vigabatrin has known side effects that may hinder our ability to produce safe and commercially viable products.

When used long-term as a treatment for epilepsy, a formulation of vigabatrin known as Sabril® has been found to cause the development of peripheral visual field defects, known as VFDs, which increase progressively

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

with continuing drug treatment. We intend to include a standardized evaluation of each patient's visual fields as part of our clinical studies and trials. We do not yet know whether our ultimate formulation for and dosing of vigabatrin will cause VFDs or how the potential for this known side effect will affect our ability to obtain marketing approval for CPP-109.

In addition to VFDs, a wide variety of other adverse effects, including depression and other psychiatric reactions, have been noted in patients treated with Sabril®. As patients with seizures often require treatment with multiple drugs, the relationship of such adverse effects to Sabril®, including the VFDs described above, has not always been clear; however, such other side effects tended to disappear when treatment with Sabril® was stopped.

These known side effects, as well as other side effects that may be discovered during our clinical trials, may cause the FDA or other governmental agencies to halt clinical trials prior to their completion, prevent the initiation of further clinical trials, or deny the approval of CPP-109 as a treatment for addiction. These known side effects will most likely cause the FDA to require as a condition of approval, implementation of a REMS strategy, as was required for the recent approvals of Sabril® for refractory complex partial seizures and infantile spasms. Such strategy may include Black Box warnings, limitations on promotion and distribution, and/or testing of patients on drug to monitor whether the administration of the drug continues to be safe and effective for the patient. Should CPP-115 prove to have VFDs, the above risks will apply to it as well.

We rely on third parties to conduct our non-clinical studies and clinical studies and trials, and if they do not perform their obligations to us we may not be able to obtain approval for CPP-109 or CPP-115.

We do not have the ability to conduct our non-clinical studies and clinical studies and trials independently. We rely on academic institutions, governmental agencies, such as NIDA and the VA, and third-party research organizations to assist us in designing, managing, monitoring and otherwise carrying out our studies and trials. Accordingly, we do not have control over the timing or other aspects of our studies and trials. If these third parties do not successfully carry out their duties, our studies, trials and our business may be materially adversely affected. While we believe that there are numerous third parties that can assist us with our studies and trials, if the third parties with which we contract do not perform, our product development efforts would likely be delayed by any such change, and our efforts would likely be more expensive.

If we conduct studies with other parties, such as NIDA, we may not have control over all decisions associated with that trial. To the extent that we disagree with the other party on such issues as study design, study timing and the like, it could adversely affect our drug development plans.

Although we intend to rely on third parties to manage the data from these studies and trials, we are responsible for confirming that each of our studies and trials is conducted in accordance with its general investigational plan and protocol. Moreover, the FDA and foreign regulatory agencies will require us to comply with applicable regulations and standards, commonly referred to as good laboratory practice and good clinical practice, for conducting, recording and reporting the results of such studies and trials to assure that the data and the results are credible and accurate and that the human study and trial participants are adequately protected. Our reliance on third parties does not relieve us of these obligations and requirements, and we may fail to obtain regulatory approval for our product candidates if these requirements are not met.

If we are unable to apply for approval for additional indications for CPP-109 through supplemental NDAs, or if we are required to generate safety and efficacy data beyond what we have planned in order to obtain such approval for additional indications, we may suffer material harm to our future financial performance.

Our current plans for the development of CPP-109 include efforts to minimize the data we will need to generate in order to obtain marketing approval of CPP-109 for other additional indications including, but not limited to, methamphetamine addiction. If we are successful in obtaining approval of an NDA for CPP-109 as a treatment for cocaine addiction, of which there can be no assurance, we plan to subsequently conduct trials in support of, and submit supplemental NDAs for additional indications. Depending on the data we rely upon, approval for additional indications for CPP-109 may be delayed. In addition, even if we receive supplemental NDA approval, the FDA has broad discretion to require us to generate additional data related to safety and efficacy to supplement the data used in the supplemental NDA. We could be required, before obtaining marketing approval for CPP-109 for additional indications, to conduct substantial new research and development activities, which could be more costly and time-consuming than we

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

currently anticipate. The FDA may not agree that we can market CPP-109 for additional indications. If we are required to generate substantial additional data beyond what we have planned to support approval, our product development and commercialization efforts will be delayed and we may suffer significant harm to our future financial performance. In addition, submission of supplemental NDAs for additional indications, conducting new research and development and generating additional data to support FDA approval will require that we obtain additional financing, and we can provide no assurance that we will be able to obtain such financing on acceptable terms, or at all.

We will need to develop marketing, distribution and production capabilities or relationships to be successful.

We do not currently have any marketing, distribution or production capabilities. In order to generate sales of CPP-109, CPP-115 or any other products we may develop, we must either acquire or develop an internal marketing force with technical expertise and with supporting documentation capabilities, or make arrangements with third parties to perform these services for us. The acquisition and development of a marketing and distribution infrastructure will require substantial resources and compete for available resources with our drug development efforts. To the extent that we enter into marketing and distribution arrangements with third parties, our revenues will depend on the efforts of others. If we fail to enter into such agreements, or if we fail to develop our own marketing and distribution channels, we would experience delays in product sales and incur increased costs.

Similarly, we have no manufacturing capacity for production of our products. We have entered into agreements for the manufacture of CPP-109 and CPP-115 for use in our currently ongoing studies and trials. We also intend in the future to enter into an agreement with a contract manufacturer to manufacture CPP-109 and CPP-115 for us if we are successful in obtaining FDA approval to commercialize these products. Any third party we contract with may not meet our manufacturing requirements, and may not pass FDA inspection. Moreover, if any third party fails to perform on a timely basis we may not be able to find a suitable replacement. If we cannot obtain sufficient amounts of CPP-109, CPP-115 or any related final product, it would have a material adverse effect on our ability to successfully market CPP-109 or CPP-115.

We may encounter difficulties in managing our growth, which would adversely affect our results of operations.

If we are successful in obtaining approval to commercialize CPP-109 or CPP-115, we will need to significantly expand our operations, which could put significant strain on our management and our operational and financial resources. We currently have six employees and conduct much of our operations through outsourcing arrangements. To manage future growth, we will need to hire, train, and manage additional employees. Concurrent with expanding our operational and marketing capabilities, we will also need to increase our product development activities. We may not be able to support, financially or otherwise, future growth, or hire, train, motivate, and manage the required personnel. Our failure to manage growth effectively could limit our ability to achieve our goals.

Our success in managing our growth will depend in part on the ability of our executive officers to continue to implement and improve our operational, management, information and financial control systems and to expand, train and manage our employee base, and particularly to expand, train and manage a specially-trained sales force to market our products. We may not be able to attract and retain personnel on acceptable terms given the intense competition for such personnel among biotechnology, pharmaceutical and healthcare companies, universities and non-profit research institutions. Our inability to manage growth effectively could cause our operating costs to grow at a faster pace than we currently anticipate, and could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Our commercial success depends on reimbursement from third-party and governmental insurers.

Sales of pharmaceutical products in the United States depend largely on reimbursement of patients' costs by private insurers, government health care programs including Medicare and Medicaid, and other organizations. These third-party payers control healthcare costs by limiting both coverage and the level of reimbursement for healthcare products. In particular, the rising costs of pharmaceutical products are a subject of considerable attention and debate. Third-party payers are increasingly altering reimbursement levels and challenging the price and cost-effectiveness of pharmaceutical products. The reimbursement status of newly approved pharmaceutical products in

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

particular is generally uncertain. The levels at which government authorities and private health insurers reimburse physicians or patients for the price they pay for CPP-109, CPP-115 and other products we may develop could affect the extent to which we are able to commercialize our products successfully.

Risks Related to Government Regulation

We have not received regulatory approval in the United States or any foreign jurisdiction for the commercial sale of any of our product candidates. The regulatory approval process is lengthy, and we may not be able to obtain all of the regulatory approvals required to manufacture and commercialize our product candidates.

We do not have any products that have been approved for commercialization. We will not be able to commercialize our products until we have obtained the requisite regulatory approvals from applicable governmental authorities. To obtain regulatory approval of a product candidate, we must demonstrate to the satisfaction of the applicable regulatory agency that such product candidate is safe and effective for its intended uses. The type and magnitude of the testing required for regulatory approval varies depending on the product candidate and the disease or condition for which it is being developed. In addition, in the U.S. we must show that the facilities used to produce the product candidate are in compliance with cGMP. We will also have to meet similar regulations in any foreign country where we may seek to commercialize CPP-109 or CPP-115. In general, these requirements mandate that manufacturers follow elaborate design, testing, control, documentation and other quality assurance procedures throughout the entire manufacturing process. The process of obtaining regulatory approvals typically takes several years and requires the expenditure of substantial capital and other resources. Despite the time, expense and resources invested by us in the approval process, we may not be able to demonstrate that our product candidates are safe and effective, in which event we would not receive the regulatory approvals required to market them.

The FDA and other regulatory authorities generally approve products for particular indications. Our current focus for CPP-109 and CPP-115 is to develop treatments for addiction and with respect to CPP-115, to develop treatments for epilepsy. CPP-109 and/or CPP-115 may not be approved for any or all of the indications that we request, which would limit the indications for which we can promote it and adversely impact our ability to generate revenues. We may be required to conduct costly, post-marketing follow-up studies if FDA requests additional information.

Our receipt of Fast Track status does not mean that our product development efforts will be accelerated.

The FDA has granted Fast Track designation to CPP-109 for the treatment of cocaine addiction. Fast Track designation means that the FDA recognizes cocaine addiction as a serious or life threatening condition for which there is an unmet medical need and consequently may initiate review of sections of an NDA before the application is complete. However, Fast Track designation does not accelerate the time needed to conduct clinical trials, nor does it mean that the regulatory requirements necessary to obtain an approval are less stringent. Our Fast Track designation does not guarantee that we will qualify for, or be able to take advantage of, priority review procedures following a submission of an NDA. Additionally, our Fast Track designation may be withdrawn by the FDA if the FDA believes that the designation is no longer supported by data from our clinical development program, or if a competitor's product is approved for the indication we are seeking.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

If our non-clinical studies or our clinical studies and trials are unsuccessful or significantly delayed, our ability to commercialize our products will be impaired.

Before we can obtain regulatory approval for the sale of our product candidates, we will have to conduct, at our own expense, non-clinical tests in animals in order to support the safety of CPP-109 and CPP-115. Non-clinical testing is expensive, difficult to design and implement, can take several years to complete and is uncertain as to outcome. Our non-clinical tests may produce negative or inconclusive results, and on the basis of such results, we may decide, or regulators may require us, to halt ongoing clinical trials or conduct additional pre-clinical testing.

We may also need to conduct additional clinical studies and trials demonstrating the efficacy and safety of CPP-109 in humans. In the United States, in 2009 we completed a Phase II clinical trial to assess the efficacy of using CPP-109 as a treatment for cocaine addiction and in 2009 we completed a clinical proof-of-concept study to assess the efficacy of using CPP-109 as a treatment for methamphetamine addiction. Neither of these completed study/trials provided efficacy data which would allow us to obtain approval of CPP-109 in the U.S. We may also likely have to conduct additional human trials (in addition to the current Phase II(b) human clinical trial with NIDA) in order to seek approval to commercialize CPP-109 for the treatment of cocaine addiction. However, even if the results of our clinical trials are promising, CPP-109 may subsequently fail to meet the safety and efficacy standards required to obtain regulatory approvals. Future clinical trials for CPP-109 may not be successfully completed or may take longer than anticipated because of any number of factors, including potential delays in the start of the trial, an inability to recruit clinical trial participants at the expected rate, failure to demonstrate safety and efficacy, unforeseen safety issues, or unforeseen governmental or regulatory delays. The risks described above also apply to CPP-115.

Our currently on-going U.S. Phase II(b) clinical trial with NIDA, or any other clinical trials we might develop and implement, may not be completed in a timely manner or at all. CPP-109 may not be found to be safe and effective, and may not be approved by regulatory authorities for the proposed indication, especially in light of known side effects associated with the drug. Further, regulatory authorities and IRBs that must approve and monitor the safety of each clinical study may suspend a clinical study at any time if the patients participating in such study are deemed to be exposed to any unacceptable health risk. We may also choose to suspend human clinical studies and trials if we become aware of any such risks. We might encounter problems in our currently on-going U.S. Phase II(b) clinical trial with NIDA or in other future studies we may conduct, including problems associated with VFDs or other side effects that will cause us, regulatory authorities or IRBs to delay or suspend such trial or study.

In other countries where CPP-109 or any other product we develop may be marketed, we will also be subject to regulatory requirements governing human clinical studies, trials and marketing approval for drugs. The requirements governing the conduct of clinical studies, trials, product licensing, pricing and reimbursement varies widely from country to country.

Due to the nature of patients addicted to drugs, we may face significant delays in our clinical studies and trials due to an inability to recruit patients for our clinical studies and trials or to retain patients in the clinical studies and trials we may perform.

We may encounter difficulties in our future clinical studies and trials recruiting patients due to the nature of the addiction mechanism and our resulting target patient population. Because addicts are typically addicted to multiple substances, we may not be able to recruit a sufficient number of eligible participants within our anticipated timeframe or at all. In addition, due to the neurological and physiological mechanisms and implications of substance addiction, it is likely that many of our clinical study and trial participants will either not comply with trial protocols, or not complete the study or trial. An unusually low rate of compliance or completion will present challenges, such as determining the statistical significance of study or trial results. Additionally, we compete for study and trial subjects with others conducting clinical trials testing other treatments for addictions. Finally, unrelated third parties and investigators in the academic community have expressed interest in testing vigabatrin for the treatment of drug abuse. If these third-party tests are unsuccessful, or if they show significant health risk to the test subjects, our development efforts may also be adversely affected.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Our development of CPP-109 may require at least one, or more than one, U.S. Phase III clinical trial.

Generally, the process of seeking approval of an NDA requires multiple clinical trials, including two pivotal U.S. Phase III clinical trials. In our case, because CPP-109 is intended to treat a serious condition for which there is no approved therapy, there is a possibility that if the data from the Phase II(b) Trial is sufficiently compelling, that the FDA will allow us to file an NDA for CPP-109 on the basis of this trial, when combined with the data from the previous clinical trials and studies of vigabatrin to treat addiction. However, the FDA could require a Phase III trial supported by the safety and efficacy data obtained from our Phase II(b) clinical trial before they will allow us to file an NDA for CPP-109, even if the data from our currently ongoing Phase II(b) clinical trial are compelling. Further, even if the FDA permits us to file an NDA based on our current Phase II(b) trial or only one pivotal U.S. Phase III trial, it is unlikely that we will submit an NDA for CPP-109 for at least two years. Finally, if the FDA requires more than one Phase III clinical trial, our NDA submission would be delayed even further. There can be no assurance that the data will be compelling from our currently ongoing Phase II(b) clinical trial or that even if such data are compelling, that the FDA will allow us to file an NDA based on the results of that trial.

The development of CPP-115 is at an early stage.

Our development of CPP-115 is at an early stage, and it is likely going to be several years before we are in a position to file an NDA for CPP-115. Further, our ability to develop CPP-115 will be dependent on our having the resources to conduct the studies and trials that would be required. There can be no assurance that we will ever file an NDA for CPP-115.

If our third-party suppliers or contract manufacturers do not maintain appropriate standards of manufacturing in accordance with cGMP and other manufacturing regulations, our development and commercialization activities could suffer significant interruptions or delays.

We rely, and intend to continue to rely, on third-party suppliers and contract manufacturers to provide us with materials for our clinical trials and commercial-scale production of our products. These suppliers and manufacturers must continuously adhere to cGMP as well as any applicable corresponding manufacturing regulations outside of the U.S. In complying with these regulations, we and our third-party suppliers and contract manufacturers must expend significant time, money and effort in the areas of design and development, testing, production, record-keeping and quality control to assure that our products meet applicable specifications and other regulatory requirements. Failure to comply with these requirements could result in an enforcement action against us, including warning letters, the seizure of products, suspension or withdrawal of approvals, shutting down of production and criminal prosecution. Any of these third-party suppliers or contract manufacturers will also be subject to audits by the FDA and other regulatory agencies. If any of our third-party suppliers or contract manufacturers fail to comply with cGMP or other applicable manufacturing regulations, our ability to develop and commercialize our products could suffer significant interruptions and delays.

Reliance on third-party manufacturers entails risks to which we would not be subject if we manufactured the product ourselves, including:

reliance on the third party for regulatory compliance and quality assurance;

reliance on the continued financial viability of the third parties;

limitations on supply availability resulting from capacity and scheduling constraints of the third parties;

impact on our reputation in the marketplace if manufacturers of our products, once commercialized, fail to meet the demands of our customers;

the possible breach of the manufacturing agreement by the third party because of factors beyond our control; and

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

the possible termination or nonrenewal of the agreement by the third party, based on its own business priorities, at a time that is costly or inconvenient for us.

If any of our contract manufacturers fail to achieve and maintain appropriate manufacturing standards, patients using our drug candidates could be injured or die, resulting in product liability claims. Even absent patient injury, we may be subject to product recalls, product seizures or withdrawals, delays or failures in testing or delivery, cost overruns or other problems that could seriously harm our business or profitability.

Even if we obtain regulatory approvals, our drug candidates, CPP-109 and CPP-115, will be subject to ongoing regulatory review. If we fail to comply with continuing U.S. and applicable foreign regulations, we could lose those approvals, and our business would be severely harmed.

Even if we receive regulatory approval of any drugs we are developing or may develop, we will be subject to continuing regulatory review, including the review of clinical results which are reported after our drug candidates become commercially available approved drugs. As greater numbers of patients use a drug following its approval, side effects and other problems may be observed after approval that were not seen or anticipated during preapproval clinical studies and trials. In addition, the manufacturer, and the manufacturing facilities we use to make any approved drugs, will also be subject to periodic review and inspection by the FDA. The subsequent discovery of previously unknown problems with the drug, manufacturer or facility may result in restrictions on the drug, manufacturer or facility, including withdrawal of the drug from the market. If we fail to comply with applicable continuing regulatory requirements, we may be subject to fines, suspension or withdrawal of regulatory approval, product recalls and seizures, operating restrictions and criminal prosecutions.

Our product promotion and advertising is also subject to regulatory requirements and continuing regulatory review. In particular, the marketing claims we will be permitted to make in labeling or advertising regarding our marketed products will be limited by the terms and conditions of the FDA approved labeling. We must submit copies of our advertisements and promotional labeling to the FDA at the time of initial publication or dissemination. If the FDA believes these materials or statements promote our products for unapproved indications, or with unsubstantiated claims, or if we fail to provide appropriate safety related information, the FDA could allege that our promotional activities misbrand our products. Specifically, the FDA could issue an untitled letter or warning letter, which may demand, among other things, that we cease such promotional activities and issue corrective advertisements and labeling. The FDA also could take enforcement action including seizure of allegedly misbranded product, injunction or criminal prosecution against us and our officers or employees. If we repeatedly or deliberately fail to submit such advertisements and labeling to the agency, the FDA could withdraw our approvals. Moreover, the Department of Justice can bring civil or criminal actions against companies that promote drugs or biologics for unapproved uses, based on the False Claims Act and other federal laws governing reimbursement for such products under the Medicare, Medicaid and other federally supported healthcare programs. Monetary penalties in such cases have often been substantial, and civil penalties can include costly mandatory compliance programs and exclusion from federal healthcare programs.

Substantial and changing healthcare regulations by state and federal authorities in the U.S. could reduce or eliminate our commercial opportunity in the addiction treatment industry.

Healthcare organizations, both public and private, continue to change the manner in which they operate and pay for services. These organizations have had to adapt to extensive and complex laws and regulations and judicial decisions governing activities including drug manufacturing and marketing. Additionally, the healthcare industry in recent years has been subject to increasing levels of government regulation of reimbursement rates and capital expenditures. We believe that the industry will continue to be subject to increasing regulation, as well as political and legal action, as additional proposals to reform the healthcare system continue to be discussed by Congress and state legislatures. This is particularly so in light of the legislative healthcare reform recently approved by Congress. Any new legislative initiatives, if enacted, may further increase government regulation of or other involvement in healthcare, lower reimbursement rates and otherwise change the operating environment for healthcare companies. We cannot predict the likelihood of all future changes in the healthcare industry in general, or the addiction treatment industry in particular, or what impact they may have on our results of operations, financial condition or business. Government regulations applicable to our proposed products or the interpretation thereof might change and thereby prevent us from marketing some or all of our products and services for a period of time or indefinitely.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Risks Related to Our Dependence on Third Parties

We are dependent on our relationship and license agreements with Brookhaven and Northwestern, and we rely upon the patent rights granted to us for vigabatrin and CPP-115 pursuant to the license agreements.

All of our patent rights for CPP-109 are derived from our license agreement with Brookhaven. Pursuant to this license agreement, we have licensed rights under nine patents in the United States, and have broad foreign filings in major international markets, that were filed and obtained by Brookhaven relating to the use of vigabatrin for a range of indications, including the treatment of a wide variety of substance addictions. The nine issued patents expire between 2018 and 2023, with the principal patents expiring in 2018. We also have the right to future patents obtained by Brookhaven relating to the use of vigabatrin in treating addiction. See **Business Licensing and Patents** for more information about our license with Brookhaven and our licensed patents and patent applications. These rights are subject to the right of the U.S. government, under limited circumstances, to practice the covered inventions for or on its own behalf. We may lose our rights to these patents and patent applications if we breach our obligations under the license agreement, including, without limitation, our financial obligations to Brookhaven. If we violate or fail to perform any term or covenant of the license agreement, Brookhaven may terminate the license agreement upon satisfaction of any applicable notice requirements and expiration of any applicable cure periods. Additionally, any termination of the license agreement, whether by us or by Brookhaven, will not relieve us of our obligation to pay any license fees owing at the time of such termination. If we fail to retain our rights under the license agreement, we would not be able to commercialize CPP-109, and our business, results of operations, financial condition and prospects would be materially adversely affected.

If we obtain approval to market CPP-109, our commercial success will depend in large part on our ability to use patents, especially those licensed to us by Brookhaven, to exclude others from competing with us. The patent position of emerging pharmaceutical companies like us can be highly uncertain and involve complex legal and technical issues. Until our licensed patents are interpreted by a court, either because we have sought to enforce them against a competitor or because a competitor has preemptively challenged them, we will not know the breadth of protection that they will afford us. Our patents may not contain claims sufficiently broad to prevent others from practicing our technologies or marketing competing products. Third parties may intentionally design around our patents so as to compete with us without infringing our patents. Moreover, the issuance of a patent is not conclusive as to its validity or enforceability, and so our patents may be invalidated or rendered unenforceable if challenged by others.

All of our patent rights for CPP-115 are derived from our license agreement with Northwestern. Pursuant to this license agreement, we have exclusive worldwide rights to two patents in the United States. These were filed and obtained by Northwestern relating to compositions of matter for a class of molecules, including CPP-115. Both patents expire in 2023. Additionally, we have licensed rights from Northwestern to a pending patent for derivatives of vigabatrin that are unrelated to CPP-115. See **Business Licensing and Patents** for more information about our license with Northwestern and our licensed patents and patent applications. These rights are subject to the right of Northwestern, under limited circumstances, to practice the covered inventions for or on its own behalf for research. We may lose our rights to these patents and patent applications if we breach our obligations under the license agreement, including, without limitation, our financial obligations, including milestone payments, to Northwestern. If we violate or fail to perform any term or covenant of the license agreement, Northwestern may terminate the license agreement upon satisfaction of any applicable notice requirements and expiration of any applicable cure periods. Additionally, any termination of the license agreement, whether by us or by Northwestern, will not relieve us of our obligation to pay any license fees owing at the time of such termination. If we fail to retain our rights under the license agreement, we would not be able to commercialize CPP-115, and our business, results of operations, financial condition and prospects would be materially adversely affected.

A patent to protect CPP-115 in all anticipated non-U.S. markets throughout the world was filed in March 2011 under the Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT). Prosecution of this patent is ongoing, but it cannot be assured that the claims of this patent will be allowed, or, even if allowed, whether such claims will be allowed in a form that will provide adequate protection for CPP-115 outside the United States.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

If we obtain approval to market CPP-115, our commercial success will depend in large part on our ability to use patents, especially those licensed to us by Northwestern, to exclude others from competing with us. The patent position of emerging pharmaceutical companies like us can be highly uncertain and involve complex legal and technical issues. Until our licensed patents are interpreted by a court, either because we have sought to enforce them against a competitor or because a competitor has preemptively challenged them, we will not know the breadth of protection that they will afford us. Third parties may intentionally attempt to design around our patents so as to compete with us without infringing our patents. Moreover, the issuance of a patent is not conclusive as to its validity or enforceability, and so our patents may be invalidated or rendered unenforceable if challenged by others.

As a result of the foregoing factors, we cannot be certain how much protection from competition patent rights will provide us.

We rely on third parties to conduct our non-clinical studies and our clinical studies and trials, and those third parties may not perform satisfactorily, including failing to meet established deadlines for the completion of such trials.

We do not currently have the ability to independently conduct non-clinical studies or clinical studies and trials for our drug candidates, and we rely on third parties such as governmental agencies (including NIDA and the VA), and third-party contract research organizations, medical institutions and clinical investigators, to conduct such studies and trials. Our reliance on third parties for development activities reduces our control over these activities. These third parties may not complete activities on schedule, or may not conduct our non-clinical studies and our clinical studies and trials in accordance with regulatory requirements or our study design. To date, the parties with which we are working have performed well, and we have no reason to believe they will not continue to do such work in the future. However, if these third parties do not successfully carry out their contractual duties or meet expected deadlines, we may be required to replace them. Although we believe there are a number of other parties with which we could engage to continue these activities, it may cause a delay in the affected study or trial and/or increase the cost of such study or trial. Accordingly, our efforts to obtain regulatory approvals for and commercialize our drug candidates may be delayed.

Risks Related to Our Intellectual Property

Our success will depend significantly on our ability to operate without infringing the patents and other proprietary rights of third parties.

While we are not currently aware of any third-party patents which we may infringe, there can be no assurance that we do not or will not infringe on patents held by third parties or that third parties will not claim that we have infringed on their patents. In the event that our technologies infringe or violate the patent or other proprietary rights of third parties, we may be prevented from pursuing product development, manufacturing or commercialization of our products that utilize such technologies. There may be patents held by others of which we are unaware that contain claims that our products or operations infringe. In addition, given the complexities and uncertainties of patent laws, there may be patents of which we are aware that we may ultimately be held to infringe, particularly if the claims of the patent are determined to be broader than we believe them to be. Adding to this uncertainty, in the U.S., patent applications filed in recent years are confidential for 18 months, while older applications are not publicly available until the patent issues. As a result, avoiding patent infringement may be difficult.

If a third party claims that we infringe its patents, any of the following may occur:

we may be required to pay substantial financial damages if a court decides that our technologies infringe a competitor's patent, which can be tripled if the infringement is deemed willful, or be required to discontinue or significantly delay development, marketing, selling and licensing of the affected products and intellectual property rights;

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

a court may prohibit us from selling or licensing our product without a license from the patent holder, which may not be available on commercially acceptable terms or at all, or which may require us to pay substantial royalties or grant cross-licenses to our patents; and

we may have to redesign our product so that it does not infringe others' patent rights, which may not be possible or could require substantial funds or time and require additional studies.

In addition, employees, consultants, contractors and others may use the proprietary information of others in their work for us or disclose our proprietary information to others. As an example, we do not have written agreements regarding confidentiality or any other matters with several principal members of our Scientific Advisory Board. If our employees, consultants, contractors or others disclose our data to others or use data belonging to others in connection with our business, it could lead to disputes over the ownership of inventions derived from that information or expose us to potential damages or other penalties.

The occurrence of any of these events could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or prospects.

We may incur substantial costs as a result of litigation or other proceedings relating to patent and other intellectual property rights.

There is substantial history of litigation and other proceedings regarding patent and intellectual property rights in the pharmaceutical industry. We may be forced to defend claims of infringement brought by our competitors and others, and we may institute litigation against others who we believe are infringing our intellectual property rights. The outcome of intellectual property litigation is subject to substantial uncertainties and may, for example, turn on the interpretation of claim language by the court, which may not be to our advantage, or on the testimony of experts as to technical facts upon which experts may reasonably disagree.

Under our license agreements with Brookhaven and Northwestern, we have the right to bring legal action against any alleged infringers of the patents we license. However, we are responsible for all costs relating to such potential litigation. We have the right to any proceeds received as a result of such litigation, but, even if we are successful in such litigation, there is no assurance we would be awarded any monetary damages.

Our involvement in intellectual property litigation could result in significant expense to us. Some of our competitors have considerable resources available to them and a strong economic incentive to undertake substantial efforts to stop or delay us from commercializing products. For example, Lundbeck, which holds rights in North America to Sabril® for the treatment of epilepsy, has in the past indicated its intent to develop Sabril® for the treatment of cocaine addiction and methamphetamine addiction. However, we have no current evidence that Lundbeck is pursuing clinical trials intended to support approval for either of these indications. We believe that Lundbeck would infringe our patent rights if they seek to commercialize Sabril® to treat cocaine addiction and/or methamphetamine addiction, and we have advised Lundbeck of our belief in that regard. We intend to vigorously pursue infringement claims against Lundbeck if it seeks to commercialize Sabril® for these indications. However, we, unlike Lundbeck and many of our other competitors, are a relatively small company with comparatively few resources available to us to engage in costly and protracted litigation. Moreover, regardless of the outcome, intellectual property litigation against or by us could significantly disrupt our development and commercialization efforts, divert our management's attention and quickly consume our financial resources.

In addition, if third parties file patent applications or issue patents claiming technology that is also claimed by us in pending applications, we may be required to participate in interference proceedings with the U.S. Patent Office or in other proceedings outside the U.S., including oppositions, to determine priority of invention or patentability. Even if we are successful in these proceedings, we may incur substantial costs, and the time and attention of our management and scientific personnel will be diverted from product development or other more productive matters.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Risks Related to Our Common Stock

We are highly dependent on our small number of key personnel and advisors.

We are highly dependent on our officers, on our Board of Directors and on our scientific advisors. The loss of the services of any of these individuals could significantly impede the achievement of our scientific and business objectives. Other than an employment agreement with Patrick J. McEnany, our Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer with respect to his services, and the consulting agreements we have with our medical director, with one of our board members and with several of our scientific advisors, we have no employment or retention agreements with our officers, directors or scientific advisors. If we lose the services of any of our existing officers, directors or scientific advisors, or if we were unable to recruit qualified replacements on a timely basis for persons who leave our employ, our efforts to develop CPP-109, CPP-115 or other products might be significantly delayed. We do not carry key-man insurance on any of our personnel.

We have relationships with our scientific advisers and collaborators at academic and other institutions. Such individuals are employed by entities other than us and may have commitments to, or consulting advisory contracts with, such entities that may limit their availability to us. Although each scientific advisor and collaborator has agreed not to perform services for another person or entity that would create an appearance of a conflict of interest, the Chairman of our Scientific Advisory Board, Stephen L. Dewey, Ph.D., is actively involved in the investigation of neurological mechanisms involved in the addiction process. His research might result in pharmaceutical products that are competitive with, or superior to, CPP-109 or CPP-115. Similarly, other similar conflicts may arise from the work in which other scientific advisers and/or collaborators are involved.

The trading price of the shares of our common stock could be highly volatile.

The market price of our common stock has fluctuated in the past and is likely to fluctuate in the future. Market prices for early-stage pharmaceutical companies have historically been particularly volatile. Some of the factors that may cause the market price of our common stock to fluctuate include:

developments concerning our clinical studies and trials and our non-clinical studies;

announcements of product development successes and failures by us or our competitors;

new products introduced or announced by us or our competitors;

changes in reimbursement levels;

changes in financial estimates by securities analysts;

actual or anticipated variations in operating results;

expiration or termination of licenses (particularly our licenses from Brookhaven and Northwestern), research contracts or other collaboration agreements;

conditions or trends in the regulatory climate and the biotechnology and pharmaceutical industries;

intellectual property, product liability or other litigation against us;

changes in the market valuations of similar companies;

changes in pharmaceutical company regulations or reimbursements as a result of healthcare reform or other legislation;

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

changes in economic conditions; and

sales of shares of our common stock, particularly sales by our officers, directors and significant stockholders, or the perception that such sales may occur.

In addition, equity markets in general, and the market for emerging pharmaceutical and life sciences companies in particular, have experienced substantial price and volume fluctuations that have often been unrelated or disproportionate to the operating performance of companies traded in those markets. In addition, changes in economic conditions in the United States, Europe or globally could impact our ability to grow profitably. Adverse economic changes are outside our control and may result in material adverse impacts on our business or financial results. These broad market and industry factors may materially affect the market price of our shares, regardless of our own development and operating performance. In the past, following periods of volatility in the market price of a company's securities, securities class-action litigation has often been instituted against that company. Such litigation, if instituted against us, could cause us to incur substantial costs and divert management's attention and resources, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Delaware law and our certificate of incorporation and by-laws contain provisions that could delay and discourage takeover attempts that stockholders may consider favorable.

Certain provisions of our certificate of incorporation and by-laws, and applicable provisions of Delaware corporate law, may make it more difficult for or prevent a third party from acquiring control of us or changing our Board of Directors and management. These provisions include:

the ability of our Board of Directors to issue preferred stock with voting or other rights or preferences;

limitations on the ability of stockholders to amend our charter documents, including stockholder supermajority voting requirements;

the inability of stockholders to act by written consent or to call special meetings;

requirements that special meetings of our stockholders may only be called by the Board of Directors; and

advance notice procedures our stockholders must comply with in order to nominate candidates for election to our Board of Directors or to place stockholders' proposals on the agenda for consideration at meetings of stockholders.

In addition, Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law generally prohibits us from engaging in a business combination with any person who owns 15% or more of our common stock for a period of three years from the date such person acquired such common stock, unless board or stockholder approval is obtained. These provisions could make it difficult for a third party to acquire us, or for members of our Board of Directors to be replaced, even if doing so would be beneficial to our stockholders.

Any delay or prevention of a change of control transaction or changes in our Board of Directors or management could deter potential acquirors or prevent the completion of a transaction in which our stockholders could receive a substantial premium over the then current market price for their shares.

Future sales of our common stock may cause our stock price to decline.

As of March 25, 2011 we had 21,654,680 shares of our common stock outstanding, of which 5,589,253 shares were held by affiliates. We also had outstanding an aggregate of 3,118,108 options to purchase shares of common stock, of which 2,666,441 shares were exercisable. We have registered for future sale: (i) 2,188,828 shares of common stock that we may issue under our 2006 Stock Incentive Plan and (ii) 1,459,216 shares of common stock underlying our outstanding stock options that were granted pursuant to written agreements. The outstanding options make a part of the shares registered both under and outside of our 2006 Stock Incentive Plan. Sales of restricted shares or shares underlying stock

options, or the perception in the market that the holders of a large number of shares intend to sell shares, could reduce the market price of our common stock.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

We do not intend to pay cash dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future.

We have never declared or paid any cash dividends on our common stock or other securities, and we currently do not anticipate paying any cash dividends in the foreseeable future. Accordingly, investors should not invest in our common stock if they require dividend income. Our stockholders will not realize a return on their investment unless the trading price of our common stock appreciates, which is uncertain and unpredictable.

Item 2. Properties

We currently operate our business in leased office space in Coral Gables, Florida and Upper Saddle River, New Jersey. We pay annual rent on our office space of approximately \$78,000.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

We are not currently a party to any legal proceedings.

Item 4. Removed and Reserved

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements****PART II****Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities**

Our common stock trades on the Nasdaq Capital Market under the symbol CPRX. Previously, from November 8, 2006 through September 2, 2009, our common stock traded on the Nasdaq Global Market under the same symbol. There was no public market for our common stock before November 8, 2006. The following table sets forth the high and low closing sales prices per share of our common stock as reported on the Nasdaq Global Market or the Nasdaq Capital Market for the period indicated.

	High	Low
<u>Year Ended December 31, 2009</u>		
First Quarter	\$ 2.75	\$ 1.25
Second Quarter	\$ 2.25	\$ 0.61
Third Quarter	\$ 1.39	\$ 0.41
Fourth Quarter	\$ 1.17	\$ 0.60
<u>Year Ended December 31, 2010</u>		
First Quarter	\$ 0.87	\$ 0.56
Second Quarter	\$ 2.00	\$ 0.71
Third Quarter	\$ 1.32	\$ 0.90
Fourth Quarter	\$ 1.19	\$ 0.91
<u>Year Ending December 31, 2011</u>		
First Quarter (through March 25, 2011)	\$ 1.38	\$ 1.05

The closing sale price for the common stock on March 25, 2011 was \$1.12. As of March 25, 2011, there were approximately 58 holders of record of our common stock, which includes custodians who hold our securities for the benefit of others.

Dividend Policy

We have never declared or paid any cash dividends on our capital stock. We currently intend to retain all available funds and any future earnings to support operations and finance the growth and development of our business and do not intend to pay cash dividends on our common stock for the foreseeable future. Any future determination related to our dividend policy will be made at the discretion of our Board of Directors.

NASDAQ Listing

Our common stock currently trades on the Nasdaq Capital Market. On November 13, 2009, we were informed by the Nasdaq Stock Market (Nasdaq) that, as a result of our common stock no longer meeting the requirement that it trade at a bid price of at least \$1.00 per share, our common stock would be delisted from the Nasdaq Capital Market if, by May 12, 2010, we did not regain compliance with the requirement by our common stock trading at a bid price of at least \$1.00 per share for a period of at least ten consecutive trading days. On April 26, 2010, we received notice from Nasdaq confirming that we had regained compliance with the \$1.00 minimum bid price requirement for continued listing on The Nasdaq Capital Market, as a result of our common stock closing with a bid price of at least \$1.00 for at least ten consecutive trading days.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Performance Graph

The following graph compares the cumulative total shareholder return on our common stock since November 8, 2006, which is the date that our common stock first began trading on the NASDAQ Global Market, to three indices, the Russell Microcap Index, the NASDAQ Composite Index and the NASDAQ Biotechnology Index. The graph assumes an initial investment of \$100 on November 8, 2006. The comparisons in this graph are required by the SEC and are not intended to forecast or be indicative of possible future performance of our common stock.

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements****Item 6. Selected Financial Data**

The selected statement of operations data for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, 2008 and for the cumulative period from inception (January 4, 2002) through December 31, 2010, and the balance sheet data as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, have been derived from our audited financial statements included elsewhere in this Form 10-K. The statement of operations data for 2007 and 2006 and the balance sheet data as of December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 have been derived from financial statements that are not included in this Form 10-K. Historical results are not necessarily indicative of future results. This selected financial data should be read in conjunction with Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and our financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this Form 10-K.

	Year Ended December 31,					Cumulative period from inception (January 4, 2002) through December 31, 2010
	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006	
Statement of Operations Data:						
Revenues-government grant	\$ 488,958	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 488,958
Operating costs and expenses:						
Research and development	2,306,781	5,097,440	8,710,441	3,040,659	1,087,144	22,259,743
General and administrative	2,206,358	2,177,954	2,183,504	1,986,470	1,815,183	11,407,574
Total operating cost and expenses	4,513,139	7,275,394	10,893,945	5,027,129	2,902,327	33,667,317
(Loss) from operations	(4,024,181)	(7,275,394)	(10,893,945)	(5,027,129)	(2,902,327)	(33,178,359)
Interest income	17,858	33,466	329,348	887,636	172,873	1,466,804
Loss before income taxes	(4,006,323)	(7,241,928)	(10,564,597)	(4,139,493)	(2,729,454)	(31,711,555)
Provision for income taxes						
Net loss	\$ (4,006,323)	\$ (7,241,928)	\$ (10,564,597)	\$ (4,139,493)	\$ (2,729,454)	\$ (31,711,555)
Net loss per share basic and diluted	\$ (0.22)	\$ (0.48)	\$ (0.81)	\$ (0.33)	\$ (0.36)	
Weighted average shares outstanding basic and diluted	18,580,223	15,066,799	13,013,041	12,525,405	7,687,630	
	2010	2009	As of December 31, 2008	2007	2006	
Balance Sheet Data:						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 5,475,158	\$ 7,779,277	\$ 11,766,629	\$ 15,943,896	\$ 20,434,702	
Working capital	5,476,443	7,593,272	10,485,039	16,228,401	19,814,976	

Edgar Filing: CATALYST PHARMACEUTICAL PARTNERS, INC. - Form 10-K

Total assets	5,831,488	7,966,382	12,032,968	16,679,922	20,619,479
Total liabilities	313,709	348,522	1,472,753	357,165	772,846
Stockholders equity	5,517,779	7,617,860	10,560,215	16,322,757	19,846,633

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with Selected Financial Data and our financial statements and related notes appearing elsewhere in this Form 10-K. In addition to historical information, this discussion and analysis contains forward-looking statements that involve risks, uncertainties, and assumptions. Our actual results may differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of certain factors, including but not limited to those set forth under the caption Risk Factors in Item 1A of this Form 10-K.

Introduction

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (MD&A) is intended to provide an understanding of our financial condition, changes in financial condition and results of operations. The discussion and analysis is organized as follows:

Overview. This section provides a general description of our business, trends in our industry, as well as significant occurrences which we believe are important in understanding our financial condition and results of operations.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements. This section provides an analysis of relevant recent accounting pronouncements issued by the FASB and/or other standard-setting bodies and the effect of those pronouncements.

Results of Operations. This section provides an analysis of our results of operations for all three fiscal years presented in the accompanying statements of operations.

Liquidity and Capital Resources. This section provides an analysis of our cash flows, capital resources, off-balance sheet arrangements and our outstanding commitments, if any.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimated. This section discusses those accounting policies that are both considered important to our financial condition and results of operations, and require significant judgment and estimates on the part of management in their application. All of our significant accounting policies, including the critical accounting policies, are also summarized in the notes to the accompanying financial statements.

Caution Concerning Forward-Looking Statements. This section discusses how certain forward-looking statements made throughout this MD&A and in other sections of this report are based on management's present expectations about future events and are inherently susceptible to uncertainty and changes in circumstance.

Overview

Catalyst Pharmaceutical Partners, Inc. is a development-stage biopharmaceutical company focused on the development and commercialization of prescription drugs targeting addiction and diseases of the central nervous system. We have two products in development. We are currently evaluating our lead drug candidate, CPP-109 (our version of vigabatrin, a GABA aminotransferase inhibitor) for the treatment of cocaine addiction. CPP-109 has been granted Fast Track status by the U.S. Food & Drug Administration (FDA) for the treatment of cocaine addiction, which indicates that the FDA has recognized that CPP-109 is intended for the treatment of a serious or life-threatening condition for which there is no effective treatment and which demonstrates the potential to address unmet medical needs. We also hope to evaluate CPP-109 for the treatment of other addictions. Further, we are in the early stages of developing CPP-115, another GABA aminotransferase inhibitor that, based on our non-clinical

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

studies to date, we believe is more potent than vigabatrin but has reduced side effects (e.g., visual field defects, or VFDs) from those associated with vigabatrin. We are planning to develop CPP-115 for several indications, including drug addiction, epilepsy and for use in the reduction or elimination of addictive liability in the treatment of pain. We believe that we control all current intellectual property for drugs that have a mechanism of action related to GABA aminotransferase.

The successful development of CPP-109, CPP-115 or any other product we may develop, acquire, or license is highly uncertain. We cannot reasonably estimate or know the nature, timing, or estimated expenses of the efforts necessary to complete the development of, or the period in which material net cash inflows are expected to commence due to the numerous risks and uncertainties associated with developing such products, including the uncertainty of:

the scope, rate of progress and expense of our clinical trials and our other product development activities;

the results of our clinical trials, and the number of clinical trials (and the scope of such trials) that will be required for us to seek and obtain approval of NDAs for CPP-109 and CPP-115; and

the expense of filing, and potentially prosecuting, defending and enforcing any patent claims and other intellectual property rights.

At the present time, we estimate that we have sufficient cash resources to complete (i) the non-clinical studies that we currently believe will be required in order for us to file an investigational new drug application (IND) for CPP-115, (ii) an initial Phase I clinical trial evaluating the safety of CPP-115 in humans, and (iii) the U.S. Phase II(b) clinical trial of CPP-109 that we are jointly conducting with NIDA. We also believe that we have sufficient working capital to support our operations through at least the third quarter of 2012. However, we will need additional funding to complete any other non-clinical studies or clinical studies and trials of CPP-109 and CPP-115. There can be no assurance that we will ever be able to commercialize either of these products. See *Liquidity and Capital Resources* below.

Basis of presentation

Revenues – government grant

We are a development stage company and have no revenues from product sales to date. We will not have revenues from product sales until such time as we receive approval of CPP-109 or CPP-115, successfully commercialize our products or enter into a licensing agreement which may include up-front licensing fees, of which there can be no assurance.

During 2010, we were notified that we had been certified to receive a cash grant aggregating \$488,958 under the Qualifying Therapeutic Discovery Projects Program (section 48D of the Internal Revenue Code), \$354,933 of which was received in 2010, and the remaining amount of \$134,025 was received in 2011. The grant related to two qualifying therapeutic projects, CPP-109 for the treatment of stimulant dependence and CPP-115 for the treatment of epilepsy and stimulant dependence. We have recorded such as government grant revenue in the accompanying statement of operations.

Research and development expenses

Our research and development expenses consist of costs incurred for company-sponsored research and development activities. The major components of research and development costs include non-clinical study costs, clinical manufacturing costs, clinical study and trial expenses, consulting, scientific advisors and other third-party costs, salaries and employee benefits, stock-based compensation expense, supplies and materials and allocations of various overhead costs related to our product development efforts. To date, all of our research and development resources have been devoted to the development of CPP-109 and CPP-115, and we expect this to continue for the foreseeable future. Costs incurred in connection with research and development activities are expensed as incurred.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Our cost accruals for clinical studies and trials are based on estimates of the services received and efforts expended pursuant to contracts with numerous clinical study and trial sites and clinical research organizations. In the normal course of business we contract with third parties to perform various clinical study and trial activities in the on-going development of potential products. The financial terms of these agreements are subject to negotiation and vary from contract to contract and may result in uneven payment flows. Payments under the contracts depend on factors such as the achievement of certain events, the successful enrollment of patients, the allocation of responsibilities among the parties to the agreement, and the completion of portions of the clinical study or trial or similar conditions. The objective of our accrual policy is to match the recording of expenses in our financial statements to the actual services received and efforts expended. As such, expense accruals related to non-clinical and clinical studies or trials are recognized based on our estimate of the degree of completion of the event or events specified in the specific study or trial contract. We monitor service provider activities to the extent possible; however, if we underestimate activity levels associated with various studies or trials at a given point in time, we could be required to record significant additional research and development expenses in future periods. Non-clinical and clinical study and trial activities require significant up front expenditures. We anticipate paying significant portions of a study or trial's cost before such begins, and incurring additional expenditures as the study or trial progresses and reaches certain milestones.

Selling and marketing expenses

We do not currently have any selling or marketing expenses, as we have not yet received approval for the commercialization of CPP-109 or CPP-115. We expect we will begin to incur such costs upon our filing of an NDA, so that we can have a sales force in place to commence our selling efforts immediately upon receiving approval of such NDA, of which there can be no assurance.

General and administrative expenses

Our general and administrative expenses consist primarily of salaries and personnel expenses for accounting, corporate and administrative functions. Other costs include administrative facility costs, regulatory fees, and professional fees for legal, information technology, accounting and consulting services.

Stock-based compensation

We recognize expense for the fair value of all stock-based awards to employees, directors, scientific advisors and consultants in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. For stock options we use the Black-Scholes option valuation model in calculating the fair value of the awards.

Income taxes

We have incurred operating losses since inception. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, we had net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$17,439,000 and \$15,820,000, respectively. Our net deferred tax asset has a 100% valuation allowance as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, as we believe it is more likely than not that the deferred tax asset will not be realized. The net operating loss carry-forwards will expire at various dates beginning 2023 through 2030. If an ownership change, as defined under Internal Revenue Code 382, occurs, the use of these carry-forwards may be subject to limitations.

As required by ASC 740, *Income Taxes*, we recognize the financial statement benefit of a tax position only after determining that the relevant tax authority would more likely sustain the position following the audit. For tax positions meeting the more-likely-than-not threshold, the amount recognized in the financial statements is the largest benefit that has a greater than 50 percent likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement with the relevant tax authority.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In February 2010, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2010-09, *Amendments to Certain Recognition and Disclosure Requirements*, (ASU 2010-09) which amends FASB ASC Topic 855, *Subsequent Events*, to address certain implementation issues related to an entity's requirement to perform and disclose subsequent-events procedures. ASU 2010-09 requires SEC filers to evaluate subsequent events through the date the

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements**

financial statements are issued and exempts SEC filers from disclosing the date through which subsequent events have been evaluated. ASU 2010-09 was effective immediately upon issuance. The adoption of ASU 2010-09 did not have a material effect on our financial statements.

Results of Operations

The tables in this section set forth, for the periods indicated, certain selected financial data expressed as a percentage of total expenses for the respective fiscal year.

Years Ended December 31, 2010 and 2009***Revenues government grant.***

We had \$488,958 of revenues for the year ended December 31, 2010, all of which were derived from the Section 48D tax grants that we received in the fourth quarter of 2010 and the first quarter of 2011. We had no revenues for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Research and Development Expenses.

Year	Amount	Change From Prior Year	Percentage of Total Expenses
2010	\$ 2,306,781	(54.7%)	51.1%
2009	\$ 5,097,440	(41.5%)	70.0%

Our expenses, excluding stock-based compensation, for research and development for the year ended December 31, 2010 decreased significantly compared to amounts expended in the same period in 2009. During 2009, we completed our U.S. Phase II clinical trial evaluating CPP-109 for use in the treatment of cocaine addiction and our proof-of-concept study evaluating CPP-109 for use in the treatment of methamphetamine addiction. We expect that research and development expenses will be substantial in 2011 as we continue our research and development activities.

In our research and development activities for 2010 and 2009, we recorded stock-based compensation relating to the value of stock options and restricted shares granted to certain employees and non-employees. The amount of stock-based compensation recorded in 2010 and 2009 relating to our research and development activities was \$179,737 and \$272,184, respectively. The weighted average fair value of the stock options granted in 2010 and 2009 was \$0.75 and \$0.55, respectively.

Selling and Marketing Expenses.

We had no selling and marketing expenses during 2010 and 2009. We anticipate that we will begin to incur sales and marketing expenses when we file NDAs for CPP-109 or CPP-115, in order to develop a sales organization to market products we may develop upon the receipt of required approvals.

General and Administrative Expenses.

Year	Amount	Change From Prior Year	Percentage of Total Expenses
2010	\$ 2,206,358	1.3%	48.9%
2009	\$ 2,177,954	(0.3%)	30.0%

Included in general and administrative expenses in the years 2010 and 2009 was stock-based compensation expense of \$270,352 and \$329,254, respectively. General and administrative expenses include, among other expenses, office expenses, legal, accounting and consulting fees and travel expenses for our administrative employees, consultants and members of our Board. The increase in general and administrative expenses for the year ended December 31, 2010 when compared to the same period in 2009 is primarily due to increases in consulting and travel

expenses, offset by decreases in stock-based compensation expense and professional fees. We expect general and administrative costs to remain relatively stable in future periods as we continue the monitoring and oversight of our non-clinical and clinical trials evaluating CPP-109 and CPP-115.

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements*****Stock-Based Compensation.***

We issued stock options to several of our employees, directors, and consultants in 2010 and 2009. Total stock-based compensation expense for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 was \$450,089 and \$601,438, respectively.

Interest Income.

We reported interest income in all periods relating to our investment of funds received from our registered direct offerings. The decrease in interest income for the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2009 was due to lower interest rates and lower average investment balances as the proceeds from our registered direct offerings were used to fund our product-development activities and our operations. Substantially all such funds were invested in short-term interest bearing obligations.

Income taxes.

We have incurred net operating losses since inception. Consequently, we have applied a 100% valuation allowance against our deferred tax asset as we believe that it is more likely than not that the deferred tax asset will not be realized.

Net Loss.

Net loss was \$4,006,323 in the year ended December 31, 2010 (\$0.22 per basic and diluted share), as compared to \$7,241,928 in the year ended December 31, 2009 (\$0.48 per basic and diluted share).

Years Ended December 31, 2009 and 2008***Revenues.***

We had no revenues for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008.

Research and Development Expenses.

Year	Amount	Change From Prior Year	Percentage of Total Expenses
2009	\$ 5,097,440	(41.5%)	70.0%
2008	\$ 8,710,441	186.5%	80.0%

Our expenses, excluding stock-based compensation, for research and development for the year ended December 31, 2009 decreased significantly compared to amounts expended in the same period in 2008, as we completed in 2009 our U.S. Phase II clinical trial evaluating CPP-109 for use in the treatment of cocaine addiction and our proof-of-concept study evaluating CPP-109 for use in the treatment of methamphetamine addiction. In addition, payroll expenses and benefits decreased for the year ended December 31, 2009 as compared to the same period in 2008, as we reduced our workforce upon completion of our clinical trial and proof-of-concept study.

In our research and development activities for 2009 and 2008, we recorded stock-based compensation relating to the value of stock options and restricted shares granted to employees and non-employees. The amount of stock-based compensation recorded in 2009 and 2008 relating to our research and development activities was \$272,184 and \$458,289, respectively. The weighted average fair value of the stock options granted in 2009 and 2008 was \$0.55 and \$1.67, respectively.

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements*****Selling and Marketing Expenses.***

We had no selling and marketing expenses during 2009 and 2008.

General and Administrative Expenses.

Year	Amount	Change From Prior Year	Percentage of Total Expenses
2009	\$ 2,177,954	(0.3%)	30.0%
2008	\$ 2,183,504	9.9%	20.0%

Included in general and administrative expenses in 2009 and 2008 was stock-based compensation expense of \$329,254 and \$259,279, respectively. General and administrative expenses includes, among other expenses, office expenses, legal, accounting and consulting fees and travel expenses for our administrative employees, consultants and members of our Board. The decrease in general and administrative expenses for the year ended December 31, 2009 when compared to the same period in 2008 is primarily due to decreases in consulting and travel expenses, offset by increases in professional fees and stock-based compensation expense.

Stock-Based Compensation.

We issued stock options and restricted stock to several of our scientific advisors and employees in 2009 and 2008. Total stock-based compensation expense for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 was \$601,438 and \$717,568, respectively.

Interest Income.

We reported interest income in all periods relating to our investment of the proceeds of our various offerings. The decrease in interest income for the year ended December 31, 2009 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2008 was due to lower interest rates and lower investment amounts, as the proceeds from our registered direct offerings were used to fund our drug development activities and our operations. Substantially all such funds were invested in short-term interest bearing obligations and direct or guaranteed obligations of the United States government.

Income taxes.

We have incurred net operating losses since inception. Consequently, we have applied a 100% valuation allowance against our deferred tax asset as we believe that it is more likely than not that the deferred tax asset will not be realized.

Net Loss.

Net loss was \$7,241,928 in the year ended December 31, 2009 (\$0.48 per basic and diluted share), as compared to \$10,564,597 in the year ended December 31, 2008 (\$0.81 per basic and diluted share).

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our historical capital resource requirements have been the funding of working capital and non-clinical and clinical testing of our drug candidates, CPP-109 and CPP-115. We have historically funded all of our operating requirements from equity issuances.

Since our inception, we have financed our operations primarily through the net proceeds of our private placements, an initial public offering (IPO) and from registered direct offerings under our shelf registration statements. At December 31, 2010, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$5,475,158 and working capital of

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

\$5,476,443, as compared to cash and cash equivalents of \$7,779,277 and working capital of \$7,593,272 at December 31, 2009. As noted below, we raised additional net proceeds of \$2.2 million in March 2011. At December 31, 2010 substantially all of our cash and cash equivalents were deposited with one financial institution. Throughout 2010, we periodically had cash balances at certain financial institutions in excess of federally insured limits.

We have to date incurred operating losses, and we expect these losses to increase substantially in the future as we expand our product development programs and prepare for the commercialization of CPP-109 and CPP-115. We anticipate using current cash on hand to finance these activities. It will likely take several years to obtain the necessary regulatory approvals to commercialize CPP-109 or CPP-115 in the United States.

We currently believe that we have the cash resources to complete: (i) the non-clinical studies that we currently believe will be required in order for us to file an IND for CPP-115, (ii) an initial Phase I clinical trial of CPP-115 evaluating the safety of CPP-115 in humans, and (iii) the U.S. Phase II(b) clinical trial of CPP-109 that we are jointly conducting with NIDA. We currently expect to receive the results from the Phase II(b) trial of CPP-109 and the U.S. Phase I trial of CPP-115 in the third quarter of 2012. Based on currently available information, we also estimate that we have sufficient working capital to support our operations through at least the third quarter of 2012. These expectations are based on current information available to us. If the cost of these studies is greater than we expect, or if such studies take longer to complete, our assumptions may not prove to be accurate.

At the present time, we will require additional funding to complete studies or trials other than those described above, including any Phase III clinical trial that we may be required to complete before we are in a position to file an NDA for CPP-109 for cocaine addiction and any additional human studies of CPP-115 evaluating the safety and efficacy of its use in treating addiction and epilepsy. Since these additional studies or trials have not yet been developed, we cannot estimate what our funding requirements will be with respect to such studies or trials. We will also require additional working capital to support our operations after the third quarter of 2012. There can be no assurance as to the amount of any such funding that will be required for these purposes or whether any such funding will be available to us when it is required.

In that regard, our future funding requirements will depend on many factors, including:

the scope, rate of progress and cost of our clinical trials and other product development activities;

future clinical trial results;

the terms and timing of any collaborative, licensing and other arrangements that we may establish;

the cost and timing of regulatory approvals;

the cost and delays in product development as a result of any changes in regulatory oversight applicable to our products;

the cost and timing of establishing sales, marketing and distribution capabilities;

the effect of competition and market developments;

the cost of filing, prosecuting, defending and enforcing any patent claims and other intellectual property rights; and

the extent to which we acquire or invest in other products.

We expect to raise any required additional funds through public or private equity offerings, corporate collaborations or other means. We also intend to seek additional governmental grants for a portion of the required funding for our clinical trials and non-clinical trials; however, there is no assurance that any such grants will be made available, and if available, that we will qualify to receive any such grants. We may also seek to raise additional capital to fund additional product development efforts, even if we have sufficient funds for our planned operations.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Any sale by us of additional equity or convertible debt securities could result in dilution to our stockholders. There can be no assurance that any such required additional funding will be available to us at all or available on terms acceptable to us. Further, to the extent that we raise additional funds through collaborative arrangements, it may be necessary to relinquish some rights to our technologies or grant sublicenses on terms that are not favorable to us. If we are not able to secure additional funding when needed, we may have to delay, reduce the scope of or eliminate one or more research and development programs, which could have an adverse effect on our business.

On June 2, 2008, we filed a shelf registration statement with the SEC to sell up to \$30 million of our common stock. This shelf registration was declared effective by the SEC on June 26, 2008. Under our 2008 shelf registration statement, shares may be sold periodically to provide additional funds for our operations. The number of shares we can sell and the amount of proceeds we can raise from the sale of such shares are limited to 25% of outstanding common stock and 33% of our public float, respectively, pursuant to applicable NASDAQ marketplace and SEC rules. There can be no assurance that we will be able to successfully sell shares under our 2008 shelf registration statement.

To date we have completed three registered direct public offerings under our 2008 shelf registration statement:

On September 12, 2008 we raised net proceeds of approximately \$4.1 million from the sale of 1,488,332 shares of our common stock;

On October 6, 2009, we raised net proceeds of approximately \$3.7 million from the sale of 3,973,000 shares of our common stock; and

On August 8, 2010, we raised net proceeds of approximately \$1.5 million from the sale of 1,351,352 shares of our common stock. As of December 31, 2010, we had approximately \$20.0 million of authorized but unissued common stock available for future offerings under our 2008 shelf registration statement. However, there can be no assurance that we will be able to sell additional shares under our 2008 shelf registration statement.

On December 3, 2010, we filed a second shelf registration statement with the SEC to sell up to \$30 million of common stock and common stock purchase warrants. Our 2010 shelf registration statement was declared effective by the SEC on December 15, 2010. The restrictions on sale are identical to those that affect our ability to sell shares under our 2008 shelf registration statement. To date we have completed one registered direct public offering under our 2010 shelf registration statement:

On March 11, 2011, we raised net proceeds of approximately \$2.2 million from the sale of 2,259,943 shares of our common stock.
Cash Flows

Net cash used in operations was \$3,757,405 and \$7,683,189, respectively, for the years ended December 31, 2010, and 2009. During the year ended December 31, 2010, net cash used in operating activities was primarily attributable to our net loss of \$4,006,323 and increases of \$134,025 in grant funds receivable and \$58,074 in prepaid expenses and deposits and a decrease of \$143,702 in accounts payable, partially offset by an increase of \$108,889 in accrued expenses and other liabilities. The loss was further offset by \$475,830 of non-cash expenses. Non-cash expenses include depreciation and stock-based compensation expense.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$2,867 and \$2,298, respectively, for 2010 and 2009. Such funds were used primarily for purchases of computer equipment.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$1,456,153 and \$3,698,135, respectively, for 2010 and 2009. During 2010 and 2009, net cash from financing activities consisted of the net proceeds from the sale of shares of common stock pursuant to the shelf registration and prospectus supplement. Such funds have been used to fund our research and development costs and our general and administrative costs.

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements*****Contractual Obligations***

As of December 31, 2010, we had contractual obligations as follows:

Contractual Obligations (1)	Total	Payments Due by Period			
		Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4-5 years	After 5 years
Operating lease obligations	\$ 139,434	\$ 76,686	\$ 62,748	\$	\$
License obligations	50,186	50,186			
Total	\$ 189,620	\$ 126,872	\$ 62,748	\$	\$

(1) We have not included milestone or royalty payments obligations in the table above that we are not able to determine when or if the related milestones will be achieved, or when or if the events triggering payment of the obligations will occur.

We have entered into the following contractual arrangements:

Payments to Brookhaven under our license agreement. We have agreed to pay Brookhaven a fee of \$100,000 in the year of NDA approval for CPP-109, \$250,000 in each of the second and third years following approval, and \$500,000 per year thereafter until the license agreement expires. We are also obligated to reimburse Brookhaven upon the filing of an NDA for CPP-109 and upon obtaining FDA regulatory approval to sell any licensed products for certain of their patent-related expenses. We believe that such potential obligation is approximately \$166,000 at December 31, 2010. See *Dispute with Brookhaven* below.

Payments to Northwestern under our license agreement. We have agreed to pay Northwestern an upfront fee of \$35,000, expense reimbursements of approximately \$33,000, and certain milestone payments in future years relating to clinical development activities with respect to CPP-115 or payable upon passage of time, and royalties on any products resulting from the license agreement. The first milestone payment of \$50,000 is due on the earlier of filing of an IND or August 27, 2012. At December 31, 2010, we had paid \$56,436 in connection with this agreement, and had accrued license fees of \$50,186 in the accompanying balance sheet.

Payments to our contract manufacturers. We estimate that we will pay our contract manufacturers approximately \$1,870,000, with payments to be based on the achievement of milestones relating to the schedule of work that they have agreed to perform for us. At December 31, 2010, we had paid approximately \$1,593,000 of this amount.

Employment agreements. We have entered into an employment agreement with our Chief Executive Officer that requires us to make base salary payments of approximately \$368,000 per annum.

Leases for office space. We have entered into lease agreements for our office space that require payments of approximately \$7,000 per month.

Dispute with Brookhaven

Brookhaven has formally advised us that they believe that the amount due them for patent related expenses as of December 31, 2010 was approximately \$1.3 million. We believe that we are only liable to Brookhaven for approximately \$166,000, and we have advised Brookhaven

that we dispute their determination of patent-related

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

expenses due under the license agreement. There can be no assurance as to the outcome of this matter. In any event, no patent-related expenses are due to Brookhaven under the license agreement until the submission by us of an NDA for CPP-109.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We currently have no debt. Capital lease obligations as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 were not material. We have operating leases for our office facilities. We do not have any off-balance sheet arrangements as such term is defined in rules promulgated by the SEC.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based on our financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make judgments, estimates, and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, as well as the reported revenue and expenses during the reporting periods. We continually evaluate our judgments, estimates and assumptions. We base our estimates on the terms of underlying agreements, our expected course of development, historical experience and other factors we believe are reasonable based on the circumstances, the results of which form our management's basis for making judgments about the carrying value of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates.

The list below is not intended to be a comprehensive list of all of our accounting policies. In many cases, the accounting treatment of a particular transaction is specifically dictated by generally accepted accounting principles, or GAAP. There are also areas in which our management's judgment in selecting any available alternative would not produce a materially different result. Our financial statements and the notes thereto included elsewhere in this report contain accounting policies and other disclosures as required by GAAP.

Non-clinical study and clinical trial expenses

Research and development expenditures are charged to operations as incurred. Our expenses related to non-clinical and clinical trials are based on actual and estimated costs of the services received and efforts expended pursuant to contracts with multiple research institutions and any CRO that conducts and manages our clinical trials. The financial terms of these agreements are subject to negotiation and will vary from contract to contract and may result in uneven payment flows. Generally, these agreements will set forth the scope of the work to be performed at a fixed fee or unit price. Payments under these contracts will depend on factors such as the successful enrollment of patients or the completion of clinical trial milestones. Expenses related to clinical trials generally are accrued based on contracted amounts applied to the level of patient enrollment and activity according to the protocol. If timelines or contracts are modified based upon changes in the clinical trial protocol or scope of work to be performed, we would be required to modify our estimates accordingly on a prospective basis.

Stock-based compensation

We recognize stock-based compensation for the fair value of all share-based payments, including grants of stock options and restricted stock units. For stock options, we use the Black-Scholes option valuation model to determine the fair value of stock options on the date of grant. This model derives the fair value of stock options based on certain assumptions related to expected stock price volatility, expected option life, risk-free interest rate and dividend yield. Our expected volatility is based on the historical volatility of other publicly traded development stage companies in the same industry. The estimated expected option life is based upon estimated employee exercise patterns and considers whether and the extent to which the options are in-the-money. The risk-free interest rate assumption is based upon the U.S. Treasury yield curve appropriate for the estimated expected life of our stock options awards. For the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, the assumptions used were an estimated annual volatility of 100%, 90% and 80%, average expected holding periods of four to five, four to five years and three to five years, and risk-free interest rates of 0.81% to 2.44%, 1.26% to 2.60%, and 1.55% to 3.23%, respectively.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Caution Concerning Forward-Looking Statements

Some of the statements in this Form 10-K are forward-looking statements, as that term is defined in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These include statements regarding our expectations, beliefs, plans or objectives for future operations and anticipated results of operations. For this purpose, any statements contained herein that are not statements of historical fact may be deemed to be forward-looking statements. Without limiting the foregoing, believes, anticipates, proposes, plans, expects, intends, may, and other similar expressions intended to identify forward-looking statements. Such statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause our actual results, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. The forward-looking statements made in this Form 10-K are based on current expectations that involve numerous risks and uncertainties.

The successful development of CPP-109, CPP-115 or any other product we may acquire, develop or license is highly uncertain. We cannot reasonably estimate or know the nature, timing, or estimated expenses of the efforts necessary to complete the development of, or the period in which material net cash inflows are expected to commence due to the numerous risks and uncertainties associated with developing such products, including the uncertainty of:

the scope, rate of progress and expense of our non-clinical studies, proof-of-concept studies and clinical studies and trials and other product development activities;

our ability to complete our studies and trials on a timely basis and within the budgets we establish for such trials;

whether our studies and trials will be successful;

the results of our non-clinical studies and clinical studies and trials, and the number and scope of such studies and trials that will be required for us to seek and obtain approval of NDAs for CPP-109 and CPP-115;

the expense of filing, and potentially prosecuting, defending and enforcing any patent claims and other individual property rights;

whether others develop and commercialize products competitive to our products;

changes in the laws and regulations affecting our business including changes that may result from healthcare reform legislation;

our ability to attract and retain skilled employees; and

changes in general economic conditions and interest rates.

Our current plans and objectives are based on assumptions relating to the development of our current product candidates. Although we believe that our assumptions are reasonable, any of our assumptions could prove inaccurate. In light of the significant uncertainties inherent in the forward-looking statements made herein, which reflect our views only as of the date of this prospectus, you should not place undue reliance upon such statements. We undertake no obligation to update or revise publicly any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Market risk represents the risk of changes in the value of market risk-sensitive instruments caused by fluctuations in interest rates, foreign exchange rates and commodity prices. Changes in these factors could cause fluctuations in our results of operations and cash flows.

Our exposure to interest rate risk is currently confined to our cash that is invested in highly liquid money market funds. The primary objective of our investment activities is to preserve our capital to fund operations. We also seek to maximize income from our investments without assuming significant risk. We do not use derivative financial instruments in our investment portfolio. Our cash and investments policy emphasized liquidity and preservation of principal over other portfolio considerations.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

See the list of financial statements filed with this report under Item 15 below.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

Not applicable.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We have carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures. The term "disclosure controls and procedures", as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15(d)-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act"), means controls and other procedures of a company that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the company's management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Based on such evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded that as of December 31, 2010, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective to ensure that the information required to be disclosed by us in the reports filed or submitted by us under the Exchange Act was recorded, processed, summarized or reported within the time periods specified in the rules and regulations of the SEC, and include controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in such reports was accumulated and communicated to management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures.

Management's Annual Assessment of Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f). Our internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of our assets; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of our management and directors; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on our financial statements.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements prepared for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Under the supervision and with the participation of our principal executive officer and our principal financial officer, management conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010 based on the framework in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and in accordance with the interpretive guidance issued by the SEC in Release No. 34-55929. Based on that evaluation, management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2010.

There have been no changes in our internal control or in other factors that could have a material affect, or are reasonably likely to have a material affect to the internal control subsequent to the date of the evaluation in connection with the preparation of this Form 10-K.

This Form 10-K does not include an attestation report of our independent registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. The Company's internal control over financial reporting is not subject to attestation by our independent registered public accounting firm under the Exchange Act.

Item 9B. Other Information

Not applicable.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

PART III

Item 10. Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant

The information required by this item will be contained in our definitive proxy statement, or Proxy Statement, to be filed with the SEC in connection with our 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. Our Proxy Statement for the 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders is expected to be filed not later than 120 days after the end of our fiscal year ended December 31, 2010 and is incorporated into this report by this reference.

We have adopted a code of ethics that applies to our chief executive officer, chief financial officer, and to all of our other officers, directors, employees and agents. The code of ethics is available on our website at www.catalystpharma.com. We intend to disclose future amendments to, or waivers from, certain provisions of our code of ethics on the above website within five business days following the date of such amendment or waiver.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

The information required by this item will be set forth in the Proxy Statement and is incorporated into this report by this reference.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management

The information required by this item will be set forth in the Proxy Statement and is incorporated into this report by this reference.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

The information required by this item will be set forth in the Proxy Statement and is incorporated into this report by this reference.

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

The information required by this item will be set forth in the Proxy Statement and is incorporated into this report by this reference.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

(a) Documents filed as part of this report.

1. The following financial statements of Catalyst Pharmaceutical Partners, Inc. and Report of Grant Thornton LLP, independent registered public accounting firm, are included in this report:

Report of Grant Thornton LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2010 and 2009

Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 and the period from inception (January 4, 2002) through December 31, 2010

Statement of Stockholders' Equity for the period from inception (January 4, 2002) through December 31, 2010

Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 and the period from inception (January 4, 2002) through December 31, 2010

Notes to Financial Statements

2. List of financial statement schedules. All schedules are omitted because they are not applicable or the required information is shown in the financial statements or notes thereto.

3. List of exhibits required by Item 601 of Regulation S-K. See part (b) below.

(b) Exhibits.

Exhibit No.	Description of Exhibit
2.1	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated August 14, 2006, between the Company and Catalyst Pharmaceutical Partners, Inc., a Florida corporation(1)
3.1	Certificate of Incorporation(1)
3.2	Amendment to Certificate of Incorporation(1)
3.3	By-laws (1)
4.1	Specimen stock certificate for common stock(1)

Edgar Filing: CATALYST PHARMACEUTICAL PARTNERS, INC. - Form 10-K

- 10.1 + Employment Agreement between the Company and Patrick J. McEnany(2)
- 10.2 + Amendment to Employment Agreement between the Company and Patrick J. McEnany(3)
- 10.3 + Amendment to Employment Agreement between the Company and Patrick J. McEnany(5)
- 10.4 + Letter Agreement between the Company and Jack Weinstein (3)

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Exhibit No.	Description of Exhibit
10.5 +	Stock Option Agreement between the Company and Patrick J. McEnany(1)
10.6 +	Stock Option Agreement between the Company and Hubert Huckel(1)
10.7 +	Consulting Agreement between the Company and Charles O Keeffe(1)
10.8 +	Amendment No. 1 to Consulting Agreement between Charles O Keeffe and the Company(2)
10.9 +	Agreement between the Company and Charles Gorodetzky(1)
10.10 +	2006 Stock Incentive Plan(1)
10.11	License Agreement, as amended, between the Company and Brookhaven National Laboratories(1)
10.12	License Agreement between the Company and Northwestern University(4)
10.13	Agreement between the Company and the Division of Pharmacotherapies and Medical Consequences of Drug Abuse, National Institute on Drug Abuse (6)
10.14	Lease Agreement between the Company and 355 Alhambra Plaza, Ltd.(2)
23.1	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm*
31.1	Section 302 Certification of CEO*
31.2	Section 302 Certification of CFO*
32.1	Section 906 Certification of CEO*
32.2	Section 906 Certification of CFO*

(1) Filed by reference to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-136039)

(2) Filed by reference to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated January 3, 2007

(3) Filed by reference to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 23, 2008.

(4) Filed by reference to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated September 2, 2009

(5) Filed by reference to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2009

(6) Filed by reference to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-3 (File No. 333-170945)

* Filed herewith

+ Management contract or compensatory plan

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements****SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has caused this Annual Report on Form 10-K to be signed by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, this 31st day of March, 2010.

**CATALYST PHARMACEUTICAL PARTNERS,
INC.**

By: /s/ Patrick J. McEnany
Patrick J. McEnany, Chairman, President and CEO

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed by the following persons, in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ Patrick J. McEnany Patrick J. McEnany	Chairman of the Board of Directors, President and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)	March 31, 2011
/s/ Jack Weinstein Jack Weinstein	Vice President, Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)	March 31, 2011
/s/ Alicia Grande Alicia Grande	Corporate Controller/Chief Accounting Officer	March 31, 2011
/s/ Hubert E. Huckel, M.D. Hubert E. Huckel, M.D.	Director	March 31, 2011
/s/ Charles B. O Keeffe Charles B. O Keeffe	Director	March 31, 2011
/s/ Philip H. Coelho Philip H. Coelho	Director	March 31, 2011
/s/ David S. Tierney, M.D. David S. Tierney, M.D.	Director	March 31, 2011
/s/ Milton J. Wallace Milton J. Wallace	Director	March 31, 2011

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008

Report of independent registered public accounting firm F-2

Balance sheets F-3

Statements of operations F-4

Statement of stockholders' equity F-5

Statements of cash flows F-6

Notes to financial statements F-7

F-1

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Board of Directors and Stockholders

Catalyst Pharmaceutical Partners, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets of Catalyst Pharmaceutical Partners, Inc. (a Development Stage Company) (the Company) as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the related statements of operations, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2010 and the period from January 4, 2002 (date of inception) through December 31, 2010. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Catalyst Pharmaceutical Partners, Inc. (a Development Stage Company) as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2010 and the period from January 4, 2002 (date of inception) through December 31, 2010 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/ Grant Thornton LLP
GRANT THORNTON LLP

Miami, Florida
March 31, 2011

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements****CATALYST PHARMACEUTICAL PARTNERS, INC.****(a development stage company)****BALANCE SHEETS**

	December 31, 2010	December 31, 2009
ASSETS		
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 5,475,158	\$ 7,779,277
Government grant receivable	134,025	
Prepaid expenses	166,221	108,147
Total current assets	5,775,404	7,887,424
Property and equipment, net	45,573	68,447
Deposits	10,511	10,511
Total assets	\$ 5,831,488	\$ 7,966,382
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
Current Liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 105,933	\$ 249,635
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	193,028	44,517
Total current liabilities	298,961	294,152
Accrued expenses and other liabilities, non-current	14,748	54,370
Total liabilities	313,709	348,522
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value, 5,000,000 shares authorized: no shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2010 and 2009		
Common stock, \$0.001 par value, 100,000,000 shares authorized: 19,394,737 shares and 18,038,385 shares issued and outstanding, respectively, at December 31, 2010 and 2009		
	19,395	18,038
Additional paid-in capital	37,209,939	35,305,054
Deficit accumulated during the development stage	(31,711,555)	(27,705,232)
Total stockholders' equity	5,517,779	7,617,860
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 5,831,488	\$ 7,966,382

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of ContentsIndex to Financial Statements

CATALYST PHARMACEUTICAL PARTNERS, INC.

(a development stage company)

STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

	Year Ended December 31,			Cumulative
	2010	2009	2008	period from January 4, 2002 (date of inception) through December 31, 2010
Revenues - government grant	\$ 488,958	\$	\$	\$ 488,958
Operating costs and expenses:				
Research and development	2,306,781	5,097,440	8,710,441	22,259,743
General and administrative	2,206,358	2,177,954	2,183,504	11,407,574
Total operating costs and expenses	4,513,139	7,275,394	10,893,945	33,667,317
Loss from operations	(4,024,181)	(7,275,394)	(10,893,945)	(33,178,359)
Interest income	17,858	33,466	329,348	1,466,804
Loss before income taxes	(4,006,323)	(7,241,928)	(10,564,597)	(31,711,555)
Provision for income taxes				
Net loss	\$ (4,006,323)	\$ (7,241,928)	\$ (10,564,597)	\$ (31,711,555)
Net loss per share - basic and diluted	\$ (0.22)	\$ (0.48)	\$ (0.81)	
Weighted average shares outstanding - basic and diluted	18,580,223	15,066,799	13,013,041	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of ContentsIndex to Financial Statements

CATALYST PHARMACEUTICAL PARTNERS, INC.

(a development stage company)

STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

for the period from January 4, 2002 (date of inception) through December 31, 2010

	Preferred Stock Series A	Preferred Stock Series B	Common Stock	Additional Paid-In Capital	Deficit Accumulated During the Development Stage	Total
Balance at January 4, 2002 (date of inception)	\$	\$	\$ 21,888	\$ 78,112	\$	\$ 100,000
Issuance of common stock			7,296	117,704		125,000
Issuance of stock options for services				75,833		75,833
Net loss					(255,945)	(255,945)
Balance at December 31, 2002			29,184	271,649	(255,945)	44,888
Issuance of preferred stock	700			669,757		670,457
Issuance of stock options for services				75,833		75,833
Net loss					(428,615)	(428,615)
Balance at December 31, 2003	700		29,184	1,017,239	(684,560)	362,563
Issuance of stock options for services				294,833		294,833
Net loss					(539,820)	(539,820)
Balance at December 31, 2004	700		29,184	1,312,072	(1,224,380)	117,576
Issuance of common stock			39,545	1,006,971		1,046,516
Issuance of common stock and stock options for services			146	1,087,604		1,087,750
Net loss					(1,805,380)	(1,805,380)
Balance at December 31, 2005	700		68,875	3,406,647	(3,029,760)	446,462
Change in par value	(630)		(61,988)	62,618		
Issuance of preferred stock Series B, net		8		3,225,132		3,225,140
Issuance of common stock (IPO), net			3,350	17,634,670		17,638,020
Conversion of preferred stock Series A into common stock, upon closing of IPO	(70)		1,022	(952)		
Conversion of preferred stock Series B into common stock, upon closing of IPO		(8)	1,116	(1,108)		
Issuance of common stock and stock options for services			142	1,266,323		1,266,465
Net loss					(2,729,454)	(2,729,454)
Balance at December 31, 2006			12,517	25,593,330	(5,759,214)	19,846,633
Issuance of common stock and stock options for services			11	579,676		579,687
Amortization of restricted stock for services				35,930		35,930
Net loss						