MANTECH INTERNATIONAL CORP Form 10-K February 25, 2011 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10 K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010				
	OR			
	TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the transition period from to			
	Commission File No. 000-49604			
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)				
	Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.) 12015 Lee Jackson Highway, Fairfax, VA 22033			
	(Address of principal executive offices)			

Table of Contents 1

(703) 218-6000

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class
Class A Common Stock, Par Value \$0.01 Per Share
Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Act. Yes "No x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (Check one):

Large accelerated filer x

Non-accelerated filer " (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes " No x

The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of June 30, 2010 was \$976,465,892 (based on the closing price of \$42.57 per share on June 30, 2010, as reported by the Nasdaq National Market).

There were the following numbers of shares outstanding of each of the registrant s classes of common stock as of February 23, 2011: ManTech International Corp. Class A Common Stock, \$.01 par value per share, 23,215,146 shares; ManTech International Corp. Class B Common Stock, \$.01 par value per share, 13,275,345 shares.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Certain portions of the definitive Proxy Statement to be filed with the Securities Exchange Commission pursuant to Regulation 14A in connection with the registrant s 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, to be filed subsequent to the date hereof, are incorporated by reference into Part III (Items 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14) of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Such definitive Proxy Statement will be filed with the Commission not later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

PART I

In this document, unless the context indicates otherwise, the terms Company and ManTech as well as the words we, our, ours and us refeboth ManTech International Corporation and its consolidated subsidiaries. The term registrant refers only to ManTech International Corporation, a Delaware corporation.

Industry and market data used throughout this Annual Report on Form 10-K were obtained through surveys and studies conducted by third parties, industry and general publications and internal company research. INPUT, an independent federal government market research firm, was the primary source for third-party industry and market data and forecasts. We have not independently verified any of the data from third-party sources nor have we ascertained any underlying economic assumptions relied upon therein. While we are not aware of any misstatements regarding the industry data presented herein, estimates involve risks and uncertainties and are subject to change based on various factors, including those discussed under the heading Risk Factors.

Forward-Looking Statements

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains forward-looking statements that involve substantial risks and uncertainties, many of which are outside of our control. We believe that these statements are within the definition of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. You can identify these statements by the use of words such as may , will , expect , intend , anticipate , believe , estimate , continue and other words. You should read statements that contain these words carefully because they discuss our future expectations, make projections of our future results of operations or financial condition or state other forward-looking information.

Although forward-looking statements in this Annual Report reflect our good faith judgment of management, such statements can only be based on facts and factors currently known by us. Consequently, forward-looking statements are inherently subject to risks and uncertainties and actual results and outcomes may differ materially from the results and outcomes discussed in or anticipated by the forward-looking statements. We believe that it is important to communicate our future expectations to our investors. However, there may be events in the future that we are not able to predict accurately or control. Factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from the results we anticipate include, but are not limited to, those discussed in Item 1A. Risk Factors below, as well as those discussed elsewhere in this Annual Report. We urge you not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this Annual Report. We undertake no obligation to update any forward-looking statement in order to reflect any event or circumstances that may arise after the date of this Annual Report. We also suggest that you carefully review and consider the various disclosures made in this Annual Report that attempt to advise interested parties of the risks and factors that may affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Item 1. Business

Business Overview

With approximately 10,300 professionals in 40 countries around the world currently, ManTech is a leading provider of innovative technologies and solutions for mission-critical national security programs for the intelligence community; departments of Defense, State and Homeland Security; the Department of Justice and the Federal Bureau of Investigations (FBI); the space community; the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration; and other U.S. federal government customers. Our expertise includes Command, Control, Computers, Communications, Intelligence, Surveillance and Reconnaissance (C4ISR) Lifecycle Support, Cyber Security, Global Logistics Support, Intelligence/Counter-Intelligence Support, Information Technology Modernization & Sustainment, Systems Engineering and Test & Evaluation. We support major national missions, such as military readiness, terrorist threat detection, information security and border protection.

Table of Contents

In 2010, we had revenues of \$2.6 billion, an approximately 30% increase over our 2009 revenues of \$2.0 billion. We have grown substantially in the last nine years, from revenues of \$0.43 billion at the end of 2001, just prior to our Initial Public Offering (IPO) in February 2002, to our current levels today.

Industry Background

Our primary customer is the U.S. federal government, the largest consumer of services and solutions in the United States. While there have been significant discussions recently about whether current spending levels can be sustained given the nation s debt level, we believe that the federal government s spending will remain robust over the next several years, driven primarily by national and homeland security programs and the need for sophisticated intelligence gathering and information sharing activities in an increasingly dangerous world.

The U.S. federal government services market is enduring. Federal government spending on information technology has increased in each year since 1980. INPUT, an independent federal government market research firm, expects this trend to continue, with federal government spending on information technology forecasted to increase from approximately \$86 billion in federal fiscal year 2010 to \$112 billion in federal fiscal year 2015. Moreover, this data may not fully reflect government spending on classified intelligence programs, operational support services to our armed forces and complementary technical services, which include sophisticated systems engineering.

The Department of Defense is the largest purchaser of services and solutions in the federal government. For federal fiscal year 2011, President Obama submitted a defense budget of \$708 billion, including \$159 billon for Overseas Contingency Operations (OCO). To date, the federal government is still operating under a continuing resolution at 2010 funding levels. For federal fiscal year 2012, the Obama administration has submitted a defense budget of \$671 billion, including \$118 billion for OCO.

The intelligence community is another significant source of our revenue base. The intelligence budget for federal fiscal year 2010 totaled approximately \$53 billion (not including another \$27 billion funded within the defense budget as the Military Intelligence Program), a 7% increase from federal fiscal year 2009 and a compound annual growth rate of almost 10% over the last twelve years when the aggregate intelligence budget totaled \$27 billion in federal fiscal year 1998.

Like most government customers, the Department of Defense is increasingly focused on efficiency. It is looking for ways to maximize capability delivery under relatively flat budgets by reallocating resources to the areas of greatest return. Comments by defense leadership suggest that they are pursuing a balanced approach, with cuts on major weapons systems, active duty force structure reductions, examination of military health care benefits, hiring and pay freezes for Department of Defense civilian employees as well as reductions in the number of support service contractors. We believe that ManTech is relatively insulated from potential reductions given our focus on mission-critical services with relatively little exposure in the area of staff augmentation for Advisory & Assistance service support.

We believe the following factors will continue to drive robust spending for the types of services ManTech provides and reliance on companies like ManTech.

Ø Persistent Terrorist Threats

ManTech has grown significantly since the 2001 terrorist attacks, which created an urgent need to confront Al Qaeda and its allies with enhanced intelligence efforts. Today, America faces a dynamic threat that has diversified to a broad array of attacks, from shootings to car bombs to simultaneous suicide attacks to attempted in-flight bombings of passenger aircraft. The move to more frequent and less sophisticated attacks places severe stress on finite intelligence and law enforcement resources.

3

Table of Contents

The global threat of terrorism is not projected to diminish in the foreseeable future. We believe that the intelligence, law enforcement and defense communities will continue to see strong budgets for the types of services we provide for such communities to execute their mission. For example, the 2011 National Defense Authorization Act includes authority for the Secretary of Defense to spend \$75 million to provide equipment and training to Yemen s counterterrorism forces, housed in the Ministry of the Interior (MOI) and tasked with combating Al Qaeda in the Arabian Peninsula.

Ø Cyber Security Challenges

The Comprehensive National Cyber Initiative (CNCI), which is mostly classified, is focused on securing the government s cyber networks and involves all agencies of the federal government over the next five to ten years. INPUT forecasts that federal spending on Cyber & Information Security will increase from approximately \$8.6 billion in federal fiscal year 2010 to approximately \$13.3 billion in federal fiscal year 2015, a 9% compound annual growth rate over the next five years. As President Obama expressed recently, this is an urgent national security problem.

Ø Information Sharing, Data Interoperability and Collaboration

Intelligence agencies rely on data and text mining solutions to enable them to extract, analyze and present data gathered from the ever-increasing volumes of information available through open sources such as the Internet as well as through sensor and video feeds. Similarly, we believe the need for interoperability among the many disparate information technology systems throughout the federal government will continue to drive demand for enterprise systems that enable better coordination and communication within and among agencies and departments.

Ø Persistent and Pervasive Intelligence, Surveillance & Reconnaissance Capabilities

Better information about the adversary s capabilities, positions, movements and intent has always been a key to success in warfare, but advances in technology and the demands of asymmetric warfare have led to a wide range of efforts by the Department of Defense to achieve more persistent and pervasive Intelligence, Surveillance & Reconnaissance (ISR) capabilities, a need well documented in the 2010 Quadrennial Defense Review (QDR). Advances in sensors, Unmanned Aircraft Systems (UAS), wireless technologies, computing and analytical software can provide an unprecedented level of situational awareness for military commanders. At the same time, the U.S. military faces entirely new challenges on the ground in its counter-insurgency operations. In addition to industrial complexes, brigades or fixed weapon emplacements, there are new demands for surveillance of individual persons-of-interest. Furthermore, while there is an enduring need for intelligence gathered over long periods of time to plan for potential wars, there is also a need for real-time decision-making where lives hang in the balance.

Ø Reliance on Technology Service Providers

The demand for technology service providers is expected to increase due to the need for federal agencies to maintain core operational functions while maintaining and updating technology across their enterprises. Given the difficulty the federal government has experienced in hiring and retaining skilled technology personnel in recent years and pending military force structure reductions, we believe the federal government will need to rely heavily on technology service providers that have experience with government legacy systems, can sustain mission-critical operations and have the required government security clearances to deploy qualified personnel in classified environments. At December 31, 2010, 78% of ManTech s more than 10,100 employees had U.S. government security clearances. In addition, with active engagements in Iraq and Afghanistan, our Department of Defense customers need to focus their internal resources on combat operations and are turning to contractors for many support operations such as logistics.

Our Solutions and Services

We combine deep domain understanding and technical capability to deliver comprehensive information technology, systems engineering, technical and other services and solutions primarily in support of mission

Table of Contents

critical national security programs for the intelligence community and Department of Defense. Our broad set of services is generally deployed in custom combinations to best address the requirements of our customers long-term programs; however, they generally include the following solution sets that are aligned with the long-term needs of our national security clients: C4ISR Lifecycle Support, Cyber Security, Global Logistics Support, Intelligence/Counter-Intelligence Support, Information Technology Modernization & Sustainment, Systems Engineering and Test & Evaluation.

C4ISR Support

We support the Department of Defense, federal agencies and coalition partners in the development, deployment, operation and maintenance of C4ISR systems and solutions. Our support spans the entire lifecycle continuum, from initial requirements definition, program management and acquisition support, through engineering, development and integration, test & evaluation, deployment, training and ultimate operation and maintenance of C4ISR solutions. Our experience spans all of the military services, with support provided in the U.S. and in deployed locations worldwide.

Through various roles from program management and acquisition support to software development and integration, we have supported the delivery of C4ISR-related solutions for the U.S. Army Communications-Electronics Command (CECOM), the U.S. Navy Space & Naval Warfare Systems Command (SPAWAR) and the U.S. Marine Corps Systems Command (MARCORSYSCOM). Our experience in supporting the delivery of new capabilities spans many key systems, including: the Joint Network Node (JNN), the Distributed Common Ground Systems-Army (DCGS-A), the Advanced Monitoring Display System (AMDS), the EQ-36 RADAR system, and many others.

ManTech has a proven record in successful post-development support for C4ISR systems. For major systems like the Army s DCGS-A and Base Expeditionary Targeting and Surveillance Systems Combined (BETTS-C), we provide training, fielding, logistics support and forward maintenance. ManTech manages and operates Regional Support Centers (RSCs) throughout the United States, Iraq, Afghanistan, Germany, Korea and elsewhere for intelligence, electronic warfare and related critical missions. At these RSCs we perform systems and network troubleshooting, maintenance, repair and installation, as well as integration and testing of approximately 150 systems designed for vehicular, airborne and portable platforms. ManTech personnel located at these RSCs have supported every major military deployment since 1990.

Military operations are increasingly reliant on communication and information architectures that offer global connectivity and interoperability between joint, interagency and multi-national forces. ManTech supports efficient acquisition strategies to develop and integrate the latest C4ISR technologies, provide focused fielding and training programs and rapidly establish and man robust operational system sustainment and logistics infrastructures.

Cyber Security

Cyber security challenges are becoming increasingly ubiquitous, threatening not just traditional Information Technology, but also C4ISR and other national security systems; embedded electronics on ground, sea and aerospace platforms; classified and law enforcement networks & systems; health IT, and systems providing critical civilian services. Our team of security experts tackles some of the most challenging cyber security problems facing the nation, such as identifying and neutralizing external cyber attacks, managing security operations centers (SOCs), developing robust insider threat detection programs, and creating enterprise vulnerability management programs. We have provided computer network operations support to important national security customers for more than a decade, working across the three domains of computer network attack, defense and exploitation. We provide comprehensive cyber warfare and cyber defense security solutions and services to the Department of Defense, agencies in the intelligence community, Department of State, Department of Justice and other Federal agencies. We operate 24/7 SOCs for several key government customers, including the Departments of Justice and Agriculture and the FBI.

5

Table of Contents

We are also trusted partners in the area of information assurance. Our understanding of IT security guidance and policy allows us to assist our customers in ensuring their programs are protected in accordance with that policy and in developing mitigation strategies to reduce the risks of cyber threats. Our vulnerability assessment and penetration testing capabilities allow us to emulate threats to information, whether from wired or wireless networks, software applications, or through social engineering. If a customer is unfortunate enough to have experienced a compromise, our incident response team, comprised in part of former cyber federal law enforcement agents, can deploy around the world to assist them.

Our solutions also support unique mission areas such as computer forensics, cyber threat analysis, computer crimes investigations, security operations center management and specialized training in information assurance, cyber security, computer forensics and computer network operations. We perform advanced services in the areas of data mining analysis, atypical data recovery techniques and data extraction. For example, in support of a customer, we developed and now staff a national level computer forensic laboratory and provide a broad spectrum of subject matter expertise, including reverse engineering and code analysis; forensic signature creation, detection and analysis; damaged media recovery; hidden data processing; protected data processing; forensic software development; and custom training development and implementation. We played a crucial role in the successful establishment of the mission and helped our government mission partner create a strong foundation for providing advanced forensics support.

Global Logistics Support

In recent years the Department of Defense, Department of State and other Federal agencies have experienced an increased need for logistics support worldwide to meet this need, ManTech has provided a wide range of core services for decades, including supply chain management support (such as warehousing, logistics management, shipping/receiving, and property management), maintenance and reset of ground vehicles and electronics, and other field services support (including fielding, training and operations support).

We provide logistics, repair and maintenance services, unique system training and curriculum support, resource management and inventory tracking technologies for complex, critical and specialized customer systems in deployed, isolated and remote locations worldwide. With respect to the Route Clearance program on behalf of the U.S. Army in Southwest Asia (predominantly Iraq and Afghanistan), we are responsible for maintaining critical and life-sustaining operational readiness levels for counter-improvised explosive device (IED) vehicles and systems, including Mine-Resistant Ambush-Protected (MRAP) vehicles and MRAP All-Terrain Vehicles (M-ATV). To that end, we are responsible for the development and management of supply levels, as well as the streamlined operation of supply-chain channels, including vendor partnerships with original equipment manufacturers (OEMs) to ensure the expedient, unencumbered delivery of systems and parts to forward operating theater locations.

We also support the U.S. Department of State Global IT Modernization Program (GITM) by centrally managing the worldwide modernization of the Department's computer networks. We design, support procurement, and integrate the latest system software and hardware technologies including servers, switches, workstations, and network printers. Our installation teams travel to each State Department location worldwide to complete each installation.

Intelligence/Counter-Intelligence Solutions & Support

We provide robust information technology solutions and mission support services that the national intelligence agencies and other classified program customers need to assure continuous operations, improve data gathering and analysis, collaborate securely and protect program security.

Ø Secure Information Sharing and Collaboration

The ability to collaborate and share information across non-traditional boundaries in a trusted fashion has become critically important for national security. We apply extensive engineering experience and proven

6

Table of Contents

solutions to facilitate collaboration and information sharing to meet Department of Defense and intelligence community security requirements. TIME magazine recognized A-Space, our next generation analytic sharing and collaboration program developed for the Office of the Director of National Intelligence (ODNI), as one of the Top 50 inventions of 2008. We also led the design and implementation of the Department of Defense Intelligence Information Systems (DoDIIS) service oriented architecture (SOA) and services-based capabilities for the Defense Intelligence Agency. The DoDIIS SOA framework helps intelligence analysts comb through millions of intelligence reports to find relevant and meaningful answers to national security questions and better enables intelligence analysis, information discovery, knowledge management and information sharing.

Ø Multi-Level, Secure, Network Engineering

Our network architecture planning and implementation services and systems engineering services support enterprise-wide network infrastructures and components that include local area network/wide area network (LAN/WAN) architectures, messaging architectures, network management solutions, directory services architecture and web hosting. These services are provided within secure environments requiring the application of multi-level security policies across the enterprise. We apply these capabilities to critical customer missions requiring multi-layered security within applications in order to improve information sharing and collaboration. For example, we developed a state-of-the-art analytic environment that provides access to regional, national and international information with appropriate security level access controls, providing direct operational support to time-sensitive counterterrorism activities in support of an intelligence community customer.

Ø Operations and Analysis Support

We support strategic and tactical intelligence systems, networks and facilities in support of the intelligence community and Department of Defense. To support classified systems and facilities designed to collect, analyze, process and report on various intelligence sources, we develop and integrate collection and analysis systems and techniques. Some of our intelligence-related services also include the design, rapid development and prototyping, integration and management of real-time signal processing systems. We also provide support to the development and application of analytical techniques to counterintelligence, Human-Intelligence (HUMINT) operations/training and counter-terrorist operations. For example, we support intelligence operations designed to counter narcotics trafficking along our nation s southwest border.

Ø Secrecy Management and Program Security Architecture

Highly-classified programs, including intelligence operations and military programs, require secrecy management and security infrastructure services. These services can include vulnerability assessment, exposure analysis, secrecy architecture design, security policy development and implementation, lifecycle acquisition program security, operations security, information assurance, Anti-Tamper, Export Compliance support, foreign disclosure, system security engineering, security awareness and training, comprehensive security support services and technical certification and accreditation services. Due to the highly sensitive and classified nature of these programs, opportunities are often limited to a select number of providers that possess the requisite capabilities, qualifications and special access clearances. We provide integrated security support for a number of programs, including the Joint Strike Fighter (JSF) Program, which presents the most complex security problem set of any weapon system in our nation s history due to the numerous highly classified technologies incorporated in its design and international content in both its development and its usage. We provide a complete range of integrated security services to the JSF Program Office, including physical, personnel and cyber security disciplines, as well as in-depth support to international disclosure controls.

Information Technology Modernization & Sustainment

Information Technology (IT) plays an increasingly central role in the missions of our Defense, Intelligence and Federal Civilian customers, and as a result, is an important part of many of our solution areas. We design, develop, deploy, modernize, operate and maintain IT systems and infrastructure as a more stand-alone service

Table of Contents

offering to improve mission performance and lower costs for our government customers. For the Department of State, we currently have the responsibility to modernize over 650 classified and unclassified networks and systems in over 375 locations around the world. The backbone of our global capabilities is a comprehensive ISO 9001:2000-certified management and control system designed to provide best value for the customers and to lower the total cost of ownership across the systems lifecycles. For the Defense Commissary Agency, we provided Network Operations Center (NOC) services to sustain its global network infrastructure and manage hardware and software at remote sites from headquarters.

Systems Engineering

Since 1968, ManTech s scientists and engineers have provided disciplined systems engineering support to a wide range of customers, that presently include programs and offices within the Department of Homeland Security, Department of Defense, intelligence community and National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA). For example, we perform comprehensive systems engineering services to analyze, develop and integrate solutions for U.S. Navy hardware and software requirements across subsurface, surface, ground, air and space domains; provide systems engineering and program management support for the Department of Homeland Security s Secure Border Initiative; and support current and future space launch operations for the U.S. Air Force Launch and Range Systems Wing (LRSW) with systems engineering and integration services.

In recent years, the Department of Defense, the intelligence community, NASA, the Department of Homeland Security and others have faced serious program delays and cost overruns on their major development programs, amounting to billions in wasted tax dollars. The common theme in almost all of these problem programs has been poor project management and a lack of systems engineering throughout the development process. At the same time, Congress and others have been increasingly concerned about the Organizational Conflict of Interest (OCI) posed by companies providing both advisory and development services.

Our proprietary systems engineering toolset, the *ManTech Enterprise Framework*, provides a regimented and interdisciplinary approach to transition from a stated need to an operationally effective and suitable system, service or capability. Based in Systems Thinking, the framework is an overarching and proven process that integrates the full spectrum of project management, systems engineering and acquisition practices necessary to effectively manage a project or system over its life cycle. Through it, we address a full 360-degree perspective of a program, including disciplines of system, software, hardware, acoustics, communications, reliability, safety and test engineering, as well as modeling, simulation and analysis. Our long-term commitment to the systems engineering discipline is exemplified by our achievement of our Capability Maturity Model[®] Integration Level 3 rating for Software and Systems Engineering.

Moreover, because ManTech is not a major system integrator/developer, we provide systems engineering advisory services to our government customers without concerns about potential conflicts of interest. In fact, ManTech was one of the first companies to have sought and received certification as a non-conflicted services provider from the National Reconnaissance Office (NRO).

Test & Evaluation

ManTech is a leading provider of Test & Evaluation services to a wide range of defense, intelligence, homeland security and space customers. Our Test & Evaluation services are tightly linked with our systems engineering capabilities and include specific competencies in test engineering, preparation and planning; modeling and simulation; test range operations and management; and Independent Validation & Verification (IV&V).

For the Department of Homeland Security s Domestic Nuclear Detection Office, we provide system analysis, modeling and testing of technologies and systems that are being deployed to identify and detect nuclear and radiological sources that are attempting entry into the U.S. We also test complex and mission-critical hardware and software systems used by the Army, Navy and NASA, with many of these customer relationships

8

Table of Contents

spanning more than three decades. We have played key roles in improving the performance, reliability, maintainability, supportability and weapons effectiveness of all Navy in-service rotary and fixed wing platforms and their associated ordnance.

We perform independent tests to certify that new or upgraded systems operate in accordance with design requirements and interoperate with legacy systems. For example, for the past 21 years ManTech has installed, operated and maintained a large and complex joint test environment for the Defense Information Systems Agency (DISA), Joint Interoperability Test Command. Recently, we built a systems integration lab (SIL) for a Department of Defense customer that enables engineers to test new hardware and software on a virtual copy of the enterprise architecture. Once per quarter, virtual snapshots are taken of more than 150 servers and placed in the SIL to create an accurate facsimile of the production environment. We have performed certification services for aircraft weapon systems in support of U.S. Naval Air Systems Command programs. We are a prime contractor on the Department of Homeland Security s Enterprise Acquisition Gateway for Leading Edge Solutions (EAGLE) in the functional category for Independent Test, Evaluation, Validation and Verification. We were awarded the first task order issued under this category to provide the Department of Homeland Security s Science & Technology Directorate with IT security compliance services, IT security architecture services and IT security IV&V of the Directorate s applications and systems at its headquarters and throughout its numerous research laboratories.

Additionally, we are the prime contractor supporting the U.S. Army s Electronic Proving Ground (EPG) at Fort Huachuca, Arizona. ManTech is providing support testing for Command, Control, Communications, Computers and Intelligence (C4I), navigation, and sensor systems for reliability, availability and maintainability, electromagnetic interference/electromagnetic compatibility and security. We provide a full spectrum of services including scientific, engineering, technical, administrative, maintenance and logistics. Other services include instrumentation and hardware/software-related development, as well as laboratory/test bed operations and special studies in Fort Huachuca; Yuma Proving Ground, AZ; Fort Hood and Fort Bliss, TX; Fort Lewis, WA; Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD; and White Sands Missile Range, NM.

Our Growth Strategy

Our objective is to grow our business profitably as a premier provider of technology and engineering services and solutions to the federal government market. Our strategies for achieving this objective include the following:

Ø Expand Within Our National Security Base

Since our founding in 1968, we have focused on providing information technology-based solutions and services for mission-critical national security programs. We have several long standing customer relationships; many of our early customers are still our customers today. We intend to capitalize on our global footprint and long-term relationships with our customers and our reputation within the intelligence community, Department of Defense and other government agencies to attract new customers and to cross-sell our broad array of solutions to our existing customers. Our successful past performance track record and demonstrated technical expertise gives us credibility with our current customers and enhances our ability to gain follow-on contracts and compete for new programs. For example, this year ManTech received the NASA Goddard Space Flight Center Contractor Excellence Award for the third time since 2000. As customers seek a single integrator solution approach, we believe that we have sufficient experience and expertise to support such programs for current and new customers. Because our personnel are on-site with, or work in close proximity to, our customers, we understand their requirements and are often able to enhance their operations by rapidly identifying and developing solutions for customer-specific requirements.

Ø Target High Growth Segments of the Market

We believe the projected growth in government technical services spending will offer opportunities for development and delivery of advanced technology solutions for enterprise applications and information systems.

We intend to expand our service offerings in such high growth program areas. In particular, we intend to focus on providing new or improved solutions in cyber security, information assurance and C4ISR lifecycle support, and we have established campaigns around other potential high growth areas, such as smart power and border security.

Ø Pursue Strategic Acquisitions

Our market, business model and financial discipline enable us to generate substantial cash to accelerate our growth. We plan to enhance our internal growth by selectively pursuing strategic acquisitions of businesses that can cost-effectively broaden our domain expertise and service offerings and allow us to establish relationships with new customers. Our primary acquisition objectives are three-fold: strengthen our core offerings in national security and homeland defense, augment our cyber security capability and diversify into U.S. federal civilian agencies. We have successfully acquired 17 businesses since our IPO in February 2002, accelerating our overall revenue growth. Our recent acquisitions include the following acquisitions:

TranTech, Inc. (TranTech) On February 11, 2011, we acquired TranTech, a provider of information technology, networking and cyber security services to the federal government.

MTCSC, Inc. (MTCSC) On December 23, 2010, we acquired MTCSC, a leading provider of Command, Control, Communication, Computers, Intelligence, Surveillance and Reconnaissance (C4ISR) systems integration, cyber security and network engineering solutions to U.S. government customers.

QinetiQ North America s Security and Intelligence Solution Business (S&IS) On October 8, 2010, we acquired S&IS, a provider of integrated security solutions to the Department of Defense and the intelligence community.

Sensor Technologies Inc. (STI) On January 15, 2010, we acquired STI, a leading provider of mission-critical systems engineering and C4ISR services and solutions to the Department of Defense.

Ø Attract, Train and Retain Highly Skilled and Highly Cleared Personnel

We must continue to attract, train and retain skilled professionals, including engineers, scientists, analysts, technicians and support specialists, to ensure that we have the capabilities to fulfill our customers requirements. We target candidates who have served in the military or as civilian experts in the intelligence community and Department of Defense, as well as those who are leading specialists in their technology disciplines.

Since 2006, we have annually been ranked in the Top 10 in the nation on the G.I. Jobs Magazine Military-Friendly Employers list. In 2008, we announced an employer partnership with the U.S. Army Reserve that will allow both organizations to recruit, train and employ young people interested in serving the nation and pursuing a career in information technology. In 2010, we greatly expanded our internal communications programs to build greater corporate awareness and loyalty. With our emphasis on people, we have lowered our voluntary attrition rate in each of the last two years and grown our employee base by more than 25% in 2010. We believe we can continue to build the key managers and technical staff that we need to meet our growth objectives by offering competitive compensation and incentive plans, opportunities for career growth through company-supported education programs and diverse, challenging job assignments.

Ø Maintain Our Relentless Focus on Efficiency

Our customers are increasingly awarding work to service providers who can provide mission-critical services most efficiently. ManTech has always maintained a very competitive cost structure so we are well-positioned in the marketplace and offer a strong value proposition to our customers. We will continue our focus on being cost-competitive, and look for ways to create additional efficiencies in delivering our services.

10

Our Customers

Our primary customers are U.S. federal government intelligence, military and civilian agencies. In addition, we support some state and local governments and commercial customers. We derive most of our revenues from national security and homeland defense customers. We have successful, long-standing relationships with our customers, having supported many of them for over 40 years.

	Percentage of Revenue from Federal	Percentage of Revenue from National Security	
	Government	and	
Fiscal Year	Customers	Homeland Defense Customers	
2010	98.7%	95.8%	
2009	98.3%	95.0%	
2008	98.1%	93.8%	

Our national security and homeland defense customers include: the Department of Defense; the Department of State; the Department of Homeland Security; the Department of Justice, including the FBI; various intelligence agencies; federal intelligence and terrorism task forces; the U.S. Army, Navy, Air Force and Marine Corps; and joint military commands. Our other U.S. Federal Government customers include NASA, NOAA and the Patent & Trademark Office (PTO).

Our federal government customers typically exercise independent contracting authority, and even offices or divisions within an agency or department may directly, or through a prime contractor, use our services as a separate customer so long as that customer has independent decision-making and contracting authority within its organization. For example, under a contract with one of the Army s contracting agencies, program managers throughout the Army and from other services and defense agencies are able to purchase a wide range of our solutions. The U.S. Army Tank-Automotive Armament Command (TACOM) contract accounted for 12.2%, 20.2% and 1.8% of our revenues for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Similar work was performed under a predecessor program, the U.S. Army Countermine contract, which accounted for less than 1.0%, 2.4% and 11.3% of our revenues for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. In addition, there were no sales to any customers within a single country (except for the United States) where such sales accounted for 10% or more of our total revenues.

For 2010 and 2009, we derived 24.1% and 35.2%, respectively, of our revenues through relationships with prime contractors, who contract directly with the customer and subcontract to us.

Foreign Operations

We treat sales to U.S. government customers as sales within the United States, regardless of where services are performed. North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) is the Company s largest international customer. The percentages of total revenues by geographic customer for the last three years were as follows:

	Yea	Year Ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008	
United States	99.2%	99.0%	98.9%	
International	0.8%	1.0%	1.1%	
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Backlog

At December 31, 2010, our backlog was \$4.9 billion, of which \$1.6 billion was funded backlog. At December 31, 2009, our backlog was \$3.8 billion, of which \$1.1 billion was funded backlog. Backlog represents estimates that we calculate on the basis described below. We expect that approximately 45% to 50% of our total backlog will be recognized as revenue prior to December 31, 2011.

11

Table of Contents

We define backlog as our estimate of the remaining future revenues from existing signed contracts, assuming the exercise of all options relating to such contracts and including executed task orders issued under ID/IQ contracts. We also include an estimate of revenues for solutions that we believe we will be asked to provide in the future under the terms of ID/IQ contracts for which we have an established pattern of revenue.

We define funded backlog to be the portion of backlog for which funding currently is appropriated and allocated to the contract by the purchasing agency or otherwise authorized for payment by the customer upon completion of a specified portion of work. Our funded backlog does not include the full value of our contracts, because Congress often appropriates funds for a particular program or contract on a yearly or quarterly basis, even though the contract may call for performance that is expected to take a number of years.

Changes in the amount of our backlog and funded backlog result from potential future revenues from the execution of new contracts or the extension of existing contracts, reductions from contracts that end or are not renewed, reductions from the early termination of contracts and adjustments to estimates for previously included contracts. Changes in the amount of our funded backlog also are affected by the funding cycles of the government. Our estimates of future revenues are inexact and the receipt and timing of any of these revenues is subject to various contingencies, many of which are beyond our control. The actual accrual of revenues on programs included in backlog and funded backlog may never occur or may change because a program schedule could change, a program could be canceled, a contract could be modified or canceled, an option that we have assumed would be exercised is not exercised or initial estimates regarding the amount of services that we may provide could prove to be wrong. For the same reason, we believe that period-to-period comparisons of backlog and funded backlog are not necessarily indicative of future revenues that we may receive.

Employees

As of December 31, 2010, we had approximately 10,100 employees, including approximately 1,900 employees located outside of the United States. Of our overall employee base, approximately 78% held security clearances and approximately 38% held Top Secret or higher level clearances. We believe that our relationships with our employees are good. The Company currently has approximately 10,300 employees.

Patents, Trademarks, Trade Secrets and Licenses

We own a limited number of patents. We also maintain a number of trademarks and service marks to identify and distinguish the goods and services we offer, to assure consistent quality of those goods and services and to advertise and promote those goods and services. While retaining protection of our patents, trade secrets and vital confidential information is important, we do not consider our business to be dependent on the existence or protection of such intellectual property.

Seasonality

Our business is not seasonal. However, it is not uncommon for federal government agencies to award extra tasks or complete other contract actions in the weeks before the end of the federal government s fiscal year (which is September 30) in order to avoid the loss of unexpended fiscal year funds. Additionally, our quarterly results are impacted by the number of working days in a given quarter. There are generally fewer working days for our employees to generate revenue in the first and fourth quarters of our fiscal year.

Competition

Our key competitors currently include divisions of large defense contractors, as well as a number of mid-size U.S. government contractors with specialized capabilities. Because of the diverse requirements of U.S. government customers and the highly competitive nature of large procurements, we frequently collaborate with these and other companies to compete for large contracts and bid against these team members in other situations.

12

Table of Contents

Major differentiators for ManTech in our markets include our distinctive technical competencies, extensive experience supporting critical intelligence and military missions, successful past contract performance, reputation for quality at a competitive price and key management with domain expertise.

Company Information Available on the Internet

Our internet address is www.mantech.com. Through a link to the Investor Relations section of our website, we make available, free of charge, our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and any amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or furnish it to, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC).

You may request a copy of the materials identified in the preceding paragraph, at no cost, by writing or telephoning us at the following address or telephone number:

ManTech International Corporation

Attention: Investor Relations

12015 Lee Jackson Highway

Fairfax, Virginia 22033-3300

Phone: (703) 218-6000

13

Item 1A. RISK FACTORS

Forward-Looking and Cautionary Statements

Set forth below are the risks that we believe are material to investors who purchase our common stock. You should carefully consider the following risks together with the other information contained in or incorporated by reference into this Annual Report on Form 10-K, including our consolidated financial statements and notes thereto. The risks described below are not the only risks facing us. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us, or those we currently deem to be immaterial, may also materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations. This section contains forward-looking statements. You should refer to the explanation of the qualification and limitations of forward-looking statements set forth at the beginning of this Annual Report.

Risks Related to Our Business

We depend on contracts with the U.S. federal government for substantially all of our revenues. If our relationships with the federal government were harmed, our business, future revenues and growth prospects could be adversely affected.

We expect that federal government contracts will continue to be the primary source of our revenues for the foreseeable future. We derived approximately 98.7%, 98.3% and 98.1% for fiscal years 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, of our revenues from our federal government customers (consisting primarily of national security customers in the intelligence community; departments of Defense, State, Homeland Security and Justice; the space community; the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration; and other U.S. federal government customers). Our business, prospects, financial condition or operating results could be materially harmed if:

We are suspended or debarred from contracting with the federal government or a significant government agency;

Our reputation or relationship with government agencies is impaired; or

The government ceases to do business with us, or significantly decreases the amount of business it does with us.

Among the key factors in maintaining our relationships with federal government agencies are our performance on individual contracts and task orders, the strength of our professional reputation and the relationships of our senior management with our customers.

Federal government spending and mission priorities may change in a manner that adversely affects our future revenues and limits our growth prospects.

Our business depends upon continued federal government expenditures on intelligence, defense and other programs that we support. These expenditures have not remained constant over time. In the late 1980s and early 1990s the overall U.S. defense budget declined, resulting in a slowing of new program starts, program delays and program cancellations. As a result, certain defense-related government contractors experienced declining revenues, increased pressure on operating margins and, in some cases, net losses. After the 2001 terrorist attacks and more recently in support of U.S. war efforts in Southwest Asia, spending authorizations for intelligence and defense-related programs by the government increased. Today, in the face of growing national debt, and certain longer term fiscal challenges facing the nation, the U.S. defense budget has again come under pressure. Although we believe there will continue to be pockets of growth for many of the services that we provide, the focus on creating efficiencies and savings may increase, affecting future levels of expenditures, changing mission priorities and shifting authorizations to programs in areas where we do not currently provide services. For example, current federal government spending levels on defense-related programs are in part related to the U.S. military operations in Afghanistan and Iraq, and may not be

Table of Contents

sustainable if stability in the region increases and there is a shift toward supporting other initiatives. More generally, our business, prospects, financial condition or operating results could be materially harmed by the following:

Budgetary constraints affecting federal government spending, generally, or specific departments or agencies in particular, and changes in fiscal policies or available funding;

Changes in federal programs or requirements;

Realignment of funds with changed federal government priorities, which may impact the U.S. war efforts, including reductions in funds for in-theater missions;

Efforts to improve efficiency and reduce costs affecting federal government programs generally,

Delays in the payment of our invoices by federal government offices;

Curtailment of the federal government s outsourcing of mission critical and technology support services;

Competition and consolidation in the information technology industry;

General economic conditions.

The adoption of new laws or regulations; and

These or other factors could cause federal government agencies and departments to reduce their purchases under contracts, incorporate less favorable terms in existing or future contracts, exercise their right to terminate contracts or not exercise options to renew contracts, any of which could cause us to lose revenue. A significant decline in overall U.S. government spending or a shift in expenditures away from agencies or programs that we support could cause a material decline to our revenues.

The failure by Congress to approve budgets on a timely basis for the federal agencies we support could delay procurement of our services and solutions and cause us to lose future revenues.

On an annual basis, Congress must approve budgets that govern spending by the federal agencies that we support. In years when Congress is not able to complete its budget process before the end of the federal government s fiscal year on September 30, Congress typically funds government operations pursuant to a continuing resolution. A continuing resolution allows federal government agencies to operate at spending levels approved in the previous budget cycle. When the U.S. government operates under a continuing resolution, it may delay funding we expect to receive from customers on work we are already performing and will likely result in new initiatives being delayed or in some cases cancelled. The federal government s failure to complete its budget process, or to fund government operations pursuant to a continuing resolution, may result in a federal government shutdown, such as that which occurred during the 1996 fiscal year.

If we fail to comply with complex procurement laws and regulations, we could lose business and be liable for various penalties or sanctions.

We must comply with laws and regulations relating to the formation, administration and performance of federal government contracts. These laws and regulations affect how we conduct business with our federal government customers. In complying with these laws and regulations, we may incur additional costs. Non-compliance may also allow for the assignment of additional fines and penalties, including contractual damages.

Among the more significant laws and regulations affecting our business are the following:

The Federal Acquisition Regulation, which comprehensively regulates the formation, administration and performance of federal government contracts;

The Truth in Negotiations Act, which requires certification and disclosure of all cost and pricing data in connection with contract negotiations;

15

Table of Contents

The Cost Accounting Standards and Cost Principles, which impose accounting requirements that govern our right to reimbursement under certain cost-based federal government contracts;

Laws, regulations and executive orders restricting the use and dissemination of information classified for national security purposes and the export of certain products, services and technical data;

U.S export controls, which apply when we engage in international work; and

Foreign Corrupt Practices Act.

Failure to comply with these control regimes can lead to severe penalties, both civil and criminal, and can include debarment from contracting with the U.S. government.

Our contracting agency customers periodically review our compliance with procurement laws and regulations, as well as our performance under the terms of our federal government contracts. If a government review or investigation uncovers improper or illegal activities, we may be subject to civil or criminal penalties or administrative sanctions, including:

Termination of contracts,

Forfeiture of profits,

Cost associated with triggering of price reduction clauses,

Suspension of payments,

Suspension or debarment from doing business with federal government agencies.

Additionally, the civil False Claims Act provides for potentially substantial civil penalties where, for example, a contractor presents a false or fraudulent claim to the government for payment or approval. Actions under the civil False Claims Act may be brought by the government or by other persons on behalf of the government (who may then share a portion of any recovery).

If we fail to comply with these laws and regulations, we may also suffer harm to our reputation, which could impair our ability to win awards of contracts in the future or receive renewals of existing contracts. If we are subject to civil and criminal penalties and administrative sanctions or suffer harm to our reputation, our current business, future prospects, financial condition or operating results could be materially harmed.

The federal government may change its procurement or other practices in a manner adverse to us.

The federal government may change its procurement practices or adopt new contracting laws, rules or regulations, such as cost accounting standards. For example, it could change its preference for procurement methods and/or contract type in a manner that is unfavorable to technology support contractors generally. Any such change could potentially place greater pressure on our profit margins, and could materially harm our operating results. Additionally, aspects of the federal government system, such as the number of acquisition personnel available to support the workload imposed by new federal procurement regulations and an increasing number of protests, could exacerbate delays in the procurement decision making process, thus delaying our ability to generate revenues from proposals and awards. The federal

government could also adopt new socio-economic requirements, or could curtail the outsourcing of various types of work, which could reduce our revenue opportunities. For example, certain government agencies have begun insourcing various types of inherently governmental services, and other government agencies could adopt similar practices, which could adversely affect our revenues. These changes could impair our ability to obtain new contracts or win re-competed contracts. Any new contracting methods could be costly or administratively difficult for us to satisfy and, as a result, could cause actual results to differ materially and adversely from those anticipated.

16

Many of our federal government customers execute their procurement budgets through multiple award contracts under which we are required to compete for post-award orders, or for which we may not be eligible to compete, potentially limiting our ability to win new contracts and increase revenue.

Budgetary pressures and reforms in the procurement process have caused many U.S. federal government customers to increasingly purchase goods and services through multiple award ID/IQ contracts and other multiple award and/or GWAC vehicles. These contract vehicles require that we make sustained post-award efforts to obtain task orders under the relevant contract. There can be no assurance that we will obtain revenues or otherwise sell successfully under these contract vehicles. Our failure to compete effectively in this procurement environment could harm our operating results.

Unfavorable federal government audits or results of other investigations could subject us to penalties or sanctions, adversely affect our profitability, harm our reputation and relationships with our customers or impair our ability to win new contracts.

The Defense Contract Audit Agency (DCAA) and other government agencies routinely audit and investigate government contracts and systems. These agencies review a contractor s performance on its contract, cost structure and compliance with applicable laws, regulations and standards. The DCAA also reviews the adequacy of, and a contractor s compliance with, its internal control systems and policies, including the contractor s accounting, purchasing, estimating, compensation and management information systems. Allegations of impropriety or deficient controls could harm our reputation or influence the award of new contracts. Any costs found to be improperly allocated to a specific contract will not be reimbursed, while such costs already reimbursed must be refunded. Recently, U.S. Government contractors, including our Company, have seen a trend of increased scrutiny by the DCAA and other U.S. Government agencies. For example, among other matters, the DCAA has begun to focus on the strict adherence by technology support contractors to labor qualification requirements contained in the terms of federal government contracts which we support. The DCAA has also generally increased its examination of U.S. government contractors that, like our Company, perform services outside the United States, particularly in Southwest Asia. If any of our internal control systems or policies is found non-compliant or inadequate, payments may be suspended under our contracts or we may be subjected to increased government scrutiny and approval that could delay or adversely affect our ability to invoice and receive timely payment on our contracts, perform contracts or compete for contracts with the U.S. Government. As a result, a DCAA audit could materially affect our competitive position and result in a substantial adjustment to our revenues. DCAA audits for costs incurred on work performed after 2005 have not yet been completed. In addition, government agency audits on a certain cost center of the Company have not been completed for the years 2002 through 2004 and one of our foreign operations has not yet been audited for 2003 and 2004. While we believe that the vast majority of such costs will be approved upon final audit, we do not know the outcome of any future audits and adjustments and, if any future audit adjustments exceed our estimates, our profitability could be adversely affected.

U.S. Government contractors are subject to a greater risk of investigation, criminal prosecution, civil fraud, whistleblower lawsuits and other legal actions and liabilities than companies with solely commercial customers. In addition to increased investigation by the DCAA, contractors that provide support services to U.S. forces in Southwest Asia have also come under increasing scrutiny by agency inspector generals, other government auditors and congressional committees. If a government audit or other investigation uncovers improper or illegal activities, we may be subject to civil and criminal penalties and administrative sanctions, including termination of contracts, forfeiture of profits, suspension of payments, fines and suspension or debarment from doing business with federal government agencies. More generally, increased scrutiny and investigation into business practices and into major programs supported by contractors may lead to increased legal costs and may harm our reputation and profitability if we are among the targeted companies, regardless of the underlying merit of the allegations being investigated.

17

Federal government contracts contain provisions giving government customers a variety of rights that are unfavorable to us, including the ability to terminate a contract at any time for convenience.

Federal government contracts contain provisions and are subject to laws and regulations that give the government rights and remedies not typically found in commercial contracts. These provisions may allow the government to:

Terminate existing contracts for convenience, as well as for default;

Reduce orders under, or otherwise modify contracts or subcontracts;

Cancel multi-year contracts and related orders if funds for contract performance for any subsequent year become unavailable;

Decline to exercise an option to renew multi-year contracts or issue task orders in connection with multiple award contracts;

Suspend or debar us from doing business with the federal government or with a government agency;

Prohibit future procurement awards with a particular agency as a result of a finding of an organizational conflict of interest based upon prior related work performed for the agency that would give a contractor an unfair advantage over competing contractors;

Subject the award of contracts to protest by competitors, which may require the contracting federal agency or department to suspend our performance pending the outcome of the protest and may also result in a requirement to resubmit offers for the contract or in the termination, reduction or modification of the awarded contract;

Terminate our facility security clearances and thereby prevent us from receiving classified contracts;

Claim rights in products and systems produced by us; and

Control or prohibit the export of our products and services.

If the government terminates a contract for convenience, we may recover only our incurred or committed costs, settlement expenses and profit on work completed prior to the termination. If the government terminates a contract for default, we may not even recover those amounts and instead may be liable for excess costs incurred by the government in procuring undelivered items and services from another source. If one of our government customers were to unexpectedly terminate, cancel or decline to exercise an option to renew one or more of our significant contracts or programs, our revenues and operating results would be materially harmed.

We derive significant revenues from contracts awarded through a competitive bidding process. This process can impose substantial costs upon us and we may lose revenue if we fail to compete effectively, or if there are delays caused by protests or challenges of contract awards.

We derive significant revenue from federal government contracts that are awarded through a competitive bidding process. We expect that a significant portion of our future business will also be awarded through competitive bidding. Competitive bidding presents a number of risks, including:

Bidding on programs in advance of the completion of their design, this may result in unforeseen difficulties in execution, cost overruns, or, in the case of unsuccessful competition, the loss of committed costs;

Spending substantial cost and managerial time and effort to prepare bids and proposals for contracts that may not be awarded to us, which may result in reduced profitability;

Failing to accurately estimate the resources and cost structure that will be required to service any contract we are awarded;

18

Incurring expense and delays due to competitor s protest or challenge of contract awards made to us, including the risk that any such protest or challenge could result in the resubmission of bids on modified specifications, or in the termination, reduction or modification of the awarded contract, which may result in reduced profitability;

Changes to client bidding practices or government reform of its procurement practices, which may alter the prescribed contract relating to contract vehicles, contract types and consolidations; and

Changes in policy and goals by the government providing set-aside funds to small business, disadvantaged businesses and other socio-economic requirements in the allocation of contracts.

If we are unable to win particular contracts that are awarded through the competitive bidding process, in addition to the risk that our operating results may be adversely affected, we may be unable to operate in the market for services that are provided under those contracts for a number of years. Even if we win a particular contract through competitive bidding, our profit margins may be depressed as a result of the costs incurred through the procurement process. Additionally, the competitive bidding process, and any increased use by the federal government of a lowest price/technically acceptable standard for contract awards, may require us to decrease the margin by which we expect our bid price to exceed our costs.

Our earnings and profitability may vary based on the mix of type of contracts we perform and may be adversely affected if we do not accurately estimate the expenses, time and resources necessary to satisfy some of our contractual obligations.

We enter into three types of federal government contracts for our services: cost-plus, time-and-materials and fixed-price. For our last two fiscal years, we derived revenue from such contracts as follows:

Contract Type	2010	2009
Time-and-Materials	63.7%	68.1%
Cost-Plus	20.9%	19.6%
Fixed-Price	15.4%	12.3%

Each of these types of contracts, to varying degrees, involves some risk that we could underestimate our cost of fulfilling the contract, which may reduce the profit we earn or lead to a financial loss on the contract.

Under time-and-material contracts, we are reimbursed for labor at negotiated hourly billing rates and for certain expenses. We assume financial risk on time-and-material contracts because we assume the risk of performing those contracts at negotiated hourly rates.

Under cost-plus contracts, we are reimbursed for allowable costs and paid a fee, which may be fixed or performance-based. To the extent that the actual costs incurred in performing a cost-plus contract are within the contract ceiling and allowable under the terms of the contract and applicable regulations, we are entitled to reimbursement of our costs, plus a profit. However, if our costs exceed the ceiling or are not allowable under the terms of the contract or applicable regulations, we may not be able to recover those costs. In particular, there is increasing focus by the federal government on the extent to which contractors are able to receive reimbursement for employee compensation.

Under fixed-price contracts, we perform specific tasks for a fixed price. Compared to cost-plus contracts, fixed-price contracts generally offer higher margin opportunities, but involve greater financial risk because we bear the impact of cost overruns, which could result in increased costs and expenses. Because we assume such risk, an increase in the percentage of fixed-price contracts in our contract mix, whether caused by a shift by the federal government toward a preference for fixed-price contracts or otherwise, could increase the risk that we suffer losses if we underestimate the level of effort required to perform the contractual obligations.

Our profits could be adversely affected if our costs under any of these contracts exceed the assumptions we used in bidding for the contract. Recently, certain federal government customers have begun to shift away from

Table of Contents

time-and-materials contracts, and such shift, if not managed successfully, could impact margins. Should the government further alter its procurement practices, our contract mix may continue to change, thereby potentially increasing our exposure to these risks.

Our overall profit margins on our contracts may decrease and our results of operations could be adversely affected if materials and subcontract revenue grow at a faster rate than labor-related revenues.

Our revenues are generated both from the efforts of our employees (labor-related revenue) and from the receipt of payments for the costs of materials and subcontracts we use in connection with performing our services (materials and subcontract revenue). Generally, our materials and subcontract revenues have lower profit-margins than our labor-related revenues. If our materials and subcontract revenues grow at a faster rate than labor-related revenues, our overall profit margins may decrease and our profitability could be adversely affected.

We face aggressive competition that can impact our ability to obtain contracts and therefore affect our future revenues and growth prospects.

We operate in highly competitive markets and generally encounter intense competition to win contracts. We compete with larger companies that have greater name recognition, financial resources and larger technical staffs. We also compete with smaller, more specialized companies that are able to concentrate their resources on particular areas. To remain competitive, we must provide superior service and performance on a cost-effective basis to our customers. Our competitors may be able to provide our customers with different or greater capabilities or better contract terms than we can provide, including technical qualifications, past contract experience, geographic presence, price and the availability of qualified professional personnel. In particular, increased efforts by our competitors to meet federal government requirements for efficiency and cost reduction may necessitate that we become more competitive with respect to price, and thereby potentially reduce our profit margins, in order to win or maintain contracts. In addition, our competitors may consolidate or establish teaming or other relationships among themselves or with third parties to increase their ability to address customers needs.

We may not receive the full amount authorized under our contracts and we may not accurately estimate our backlog, which could adversely affect our future revenues and growth prospects.

As of December 31, 2010, our estimated contract backlog totaled approximately \$4.9 billion, of which approximately \$1.6 billion was funded. Backlog is our estimate of the remaining future revenues from existing signed contracts, assuming the exercise of all options relating to such contracts and including executed task orders issued under ID/IQ contracts. Backlog also includes estimates of revenues for solutions that we believe we will be asked to provide in the future under the terms of ID/IQ contracts for which we have an established pattern of revenue. Our estimates are based on our experience using such vehicles and similar contracts; however, we cannot assure that all, or any, of such estimated contract revenue will be recognized as revenue. The U.S. government s ability to modify, curtail or terminate our major programs or contracts makes the calculation of backlog subject to numerous uncertainties. There can be no assurance that our backlog projections will result in actual revenue in any particular period, or at all, or that any contract included in backlog will be profitable. There is a higher degree of risk in this regard with respect to unfunded backlog, since it contains management s estimate of amounts expected to be realized on unfunded contract work that may never be realized as revenues. If we fail to realize as revenues those amounts included in our backlog, our future revenue and growth prospects may be adversely affected.

Covenants in the instruments governing our indebtedness may restrict our financial and operating flexibility.

We maintain a credit agreement with a syndicate of lenders led by Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent. The credit agreement provides for a revolving credit facility with up to \$350.0 million in loan commitments. The maturity date for the credit agreement is April 30, 2012. The terms of the credit agreement

20

Table of Contents

permit prepayment and termination at any time, subject to certain conditions. The terms of our credit facility also contain certain covenants that, among other things, limit our ability to create liens, merge or consolidate, dispose of assets, incur indebtedness and guarantees, repurchase or redeem capital stock and indebtedness, make certain investments or acquisitions, enter into certain transactions with affiliates or change the nature of our business. The credit agreement also contains financial maintenance covenants establishing a maximum total leverage ratio and a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio.

On April 13, 2010, we issued an aggregate principal amount of \$200.0 million of 7.25% senior unsecured notes due 2018. The 7.25% senior unsecured notes are general unsecured senior obligations and are guaranteed by our existing and future wholly-owned domestic subsidiaries that also guarantee debt obligations under our credit facility. These 7.25% senior unsecured notes are subordinate to our existing and future senior secured debt (to the extent of the value of the assets securing such debt), including any indebtedness under our credit facility. The indenture governing these notes contains covenants that, subject to important exceptions and qualifications specified in the indenture, will, among other things, limit our ability and the ability of our subsidiaries that guarantee the 7.25% senior unsecured notes to: pay dividends and distributions; repurchase equity; prepay subordinated debt or make certain investments; incur additional debt or issue certain disqualified stock and preferred stock; incur liens on assets; merge or consolidate with another company or sell all or substantially all assets; allow to exist certain restrictions on the ability of the guarantors to transfer assets; and enter into sale and lease-back transactions. Further, upon the occurrence of a change of control (as defined in the indenture), we will be required, unless certain conditions are met, to make an offer to repurchase the 7.25% senior unsecured notes at a price equal to 101% of the principal amount of the 7.25% senior unsecured notes, plus any accrued and unpaid interest to the date of purchase.

As a result of such covenants and restrictions in the instruments governing our indebtedness, we will be limited in how we conduct our business and we may be unable to raise additional debt or equity financing to take advantage of new business opportunities. In addition, our ability to satisfy the financial ratios required by our instruments of indebtedness can be affected by events beyond our control and we cannot assure you that we will meet these ratios. We cannot assure you that we will be able to maintain compliance with these covenants in the future and, if we fail to do so, we may be in default under our credit facility or the indenture, and we may be prohibited from undertaking actions that are necessary or desirable to maintain and expand our business.

Default under our credit facility could allow the lenders to declare all amounts outstanding to be immediately due and payable. We have pledged substantially all of our assets to secure the debt under our credit facility. If the lenders declare amounts outstanding under the credit facility to be due, the lenders could proceed against those assets. Any event of default, therefore, could have a material adverse effect on our business if the creditors determine to exercise their rights.

Default under the indenture governing our 7.25% senior unsecured notes will allow either the trustee or the holders of at least 25% in principal amount of the then outstanding 7.25% senior unsecured notes to accelerate, or in certain cases, will automatically cause the acceleration of, the amounts due under the 7.25% senior unsecured notes. Any event of default, therefore, could have a material adverse effect on our business if the amounts due are accelerated.

Our level of indebtedness could materially adversely affect our ability to generate sufficient cash to fulfill our obligations under our outstanding indebtedness, our ability to react to changes in our business and our ability to incur additional indebtedness to fund future needs.

Our level of indebtedness increases the possibility that we may be unable to generate cash sufficient to pay, when due, the principal of, interest on or other amounts due in respect of our indebtedness. Our indebtedness, combined with our other financial obligations and contractual commitments, could:

make it more difficult for us to satisfy our obligations with respect to our indebtedness, including our 7.25% senior unsecured notes and indebtedness under our credit agreement, and any failure to comply

21

Table of Contents

with the obligations under any of our debt instruments, including restrictive covenants, could result in an event of default under the indenture governing the notes, our credit facility or any agreements governing other indebtedness;

require us to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations to payments on our indebtedness, thereby reducing funds available for working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions, research and development and other corporate purposes;

increase our vulnerability to adverse economic and industry conditions, which could place us at a competitive disadvantage compared to competitors that have relatively less indebtedness;

limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and the industry in which we operate;

limit the rights of the holders of our 7.25% senior unsecured notes to receive payments under the notes if secured creditors have not been paid;

limit our ability to borrow additional funds, or to dispose of assets to raise funds, if needed, for working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions, research and development and other corporate purposes; and

prevent us from raising the funds necessary to repurchase all of our 7.25% senior unsecured notes tendered to us upon the occurrence of certain changes of control, which would constitute a default under the indenture governing the notes.

Subject to the restrictions in our credit facility and the indenture governing our senior notes, we may incur significant additional indebtedness. If we incur a substantial amount of additional indebtedness, the related risks that we face could become more significant. Additionally, the terms of any future debt that we may incur may impose requirements or restrictions that further affect our financial and operating flexibility or subject us to other events of default.

Acquisitions could result in operating difficulties, dilution or other adverse consequences to our business.

One of our key operating strategies is to selectively pursue acquisitions. We have made a number of acquisitions in the past and we expect that a significant portion of our future growth will continue to come from such transactions. We evaluate potential acquisitions on an ongoing basis. Our acquisitions pose many risks, including:

We may not be able to identify suitable acquisition candidates at prices we consider attractive;

We may not be able to compete successfully for identified acquisition candidates, complete future acquisitions or accurately estimate the financial effect of acquisitions on our business;

Future acquisitions may require us to issue common stock or spend significant cash, resulting in dilution of ownership or additional leverage;

We may have difficulty retaining an acquired company s key employees or customers;

We may have difficulty integrating acquired businesses, resulting in unforeseen difficulties, such as incompatible accounting, information management or other control systems;

Acquisitions may disrupt our business or distract our management from other responsibilities; and

As a result of an acquisition, we may need to record write-downs from future impairments of intangible assets, which could reduce our future reported earnings.

In connection with any acquisition that we make, there may be liabilities that we fail to discover or that we inadequately assess. Acquired entities may not operate profitably or result in improved operating performance. Additionally, we may not realize anticipated synergies. If our acquisitions perform poorly, our business and financial results could be adversely affected.

22

We have substantial investments in recorded goodwill and changes in future business conditions could cause these investments to become impaired, requiring substantial write-downs that would reduce our operating income and financial position.

As of December 31, 2010, our goodwill was \$729.6 million. The amount of our recorded goodwill may substantially increase in the future as a result of any acquisitions that we make. We evaluate the recoverability of recorded goodwill amounts annually, or when evidence of potential impairment exists. The annual impairment test is based on several factors requiring judgment. Principally, a decrease in expected reporting unit cash flows or changes in market conditions may indicate potential impairment of recorded goodwill. If there is an impairment, we would be required to write down the recorded amount of goodwill, which would be reflected as a charge against operating income.

If we fail to recruit and retain skilled employees or employees with the necessary security clearances, we might not be able to perform under our contracts or win new business and our growth may be limited.

To be competitive, we must have employees who have advanced information technology and technical services skills and who work well with our customers in a government or defense-related environment. Often, these employees must have some of the highest security clearances in the United States. These employees are in great demand and are likely to remain a limited resource in the foreseeable future. Recruiting, training and retention costs can place significant demands on our resources. If we are unable to recruit and retain a sufficient number of these employees, our ability to maintain and grow our business could be negatively impacted. If we are required to engage larger numbers of contracted personnel, our profit margins could be adversely affected. In addition, some of our contracts contain provisions requiring us to commit to staff a program with certain personnel the customer considers key to our successful performance under the contract. In the event we are unable to provide these key personnel or acceptable substitutions, the customer may terminate the contract and we may not be able to recover certain incurred costs.

Failure to maintain strong relationships with other contractors could result in a decline in our revenues.

In 2010 and 2009, we derived 24.1% and 35.2% of our revenues, respectively, from contracts in which we acted as a subcontractor to other contractors. Additionally, where we are named as a prime contractor, we may sometimes enlist other companies to perform some services under the contract as subcontractors. We expect to continue to depend on such relationships with other contractors for a portion of our revenues for the foreseeable future. Our business, prospects, financial condition or operating results could be harmed if other contractors eliminate or reduce their contracts or joint venture relationships with us because they choose to establish relationships with our competitors; they choose to directly offer services that compete with our business; the government terminates or reduces these other contractors programs; or the government does not award them new contracts.

If our subcontractors or joint venture partners fail to perform their contractual obligations, our performance and reputation as a prime contractor and our ability to obtain future business could suffer.

As a prime contractor, we often rely significantly upon other companies as subcontractors to perform work we are obligated to perform for our customers. If one or more of our subcontractors fail to perform satisfactorily the agreed-upon services on a timely basis, or violate government contracting policies, laws or regulations, our ability to perform our obligations or meet our customers—expectations as a prime contractor may be compromised. In some cases, we have limited involvement in the work performed by the subcontractors but are nevertheless responsible for such work. In extreme cases, performance or other deficiencies on the part of our subcontractors could result in a customer terminating our contract for default. A default termination could expose us to a liability for the agency—s costs of reprocurement, damage our reputation and hurt our ability to compete for future contracts and task orders.

Additionally, we often enter into joint ventures so that we can jointly bid and perform on a particular project. The success of these and other joint ventures depends, in large part, on the satisfactory performance of the contractual obligations by our joint venture partners. If our partners do not meet their obligations, the joint ventures may be unable to adequately perform and deliver their contracted services. Under these circumstances, we may be required to make additional investments and provide additional services to ensure the adequate performance and delivery of the contracted services. These additional obligations could result in reduced profits or, in some cases, significant losses for us with respect to the joint venture, which could also affect our reputation in the industries we serve.

We face risks associated with our international business.

Approximately 0.8% and 1.0% of our total consolidated revenues in 2010 and 2009, respectively, was generated by our entities outside of the United States. These international business operations are subject to a variety of risks associated with conducting business internationally, including:

Changes in or interpretations of foreign laws or policies that may adversely affect the performance of our services;

Political instability in foreign countries;

Imposition of inconsistent laws or regulations;

Conducting business in places where laws, business practices and customs are unfamiliar or unknown;

Imposition of limitations on or increase of withholding and other taxes on payments by foreign subsidiaries or joint ventures; and

Compliance with a variety of U.S. laws, including the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and U.S. export control regulations, by us or subcontractors.

Although revenues generated from our international operations have not been significant to date, we do not know the impact that these regulatory, geopolitical and other factors could have on our business in the future.

Our business operations involve considerable risks and hazards. An accident or incident involving our employees or third parties could harm our reputation, affect our ability to compete for business, and if not adequately insured or indemnified, could adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

Our business involves providing services that require some of our employees to operate in countries that may be experiencing political unrest, war or terrorism, including Afghanistan and Iraq. As a result, during the course of such deployments we are exposed to liabilities arising from accidents or incidents involving our employees or third parties. Any of these types of accidents or incidents could involve significant potential injury or other claims by employees and/or third parties. It is also possible that we will encounter unexpected costs in connection with additional risks inherent in sending our employees to dangerous locations, such as increased insurance costs, as well as the repatriation of our employees or executives for reasons beyond our control.

We maintain insurance policies that mitigate risk and potential liabilities related to our operations. Our insurance coverage may not be adequate to cover those claims or liabilities, and we may be forced to bear substantial costs from an accident or incident. Substantial claims in excess of our related insurance coverage could adversely affect our operating performance and may result in additional expenses and possible loss of revenue.

Furthermore, any accident or incident for which we are liable, even if fully insured, may result in negative publicity which could adversely affect our reputation among our customers and the public, which could result in us losing existing and future contracts or make it more difficult to compete effectively for future contracts. This could adversely affect our operating performance and may result in additional expenses and

possible loss of revenue.

24

Our employees or subcontractors may engage in misconduct or other improper activities, which could cause us to lose customers or affect our ability to contract with the federal government.

Because we are a government contractor, should an employee or subcontractor fraud or other misconduct occur, such occurrences could have an adverse impact on our business and reputation. Misconduct by employees, subcontractors or joint venture partners could involve intentional failures to comply with federal laws including: federal government procurement regulations; proper handling of sensitive or classified information; compliance with the terms of our contracts that we receive; falsifying time records; or failures to disclose unauthorized or unsuccessful activities to us. These actions could lead to civil, criminal and/or administrative penalties (including fines, imprisonment, suspension and/or debarment from performing federal government contracts) and harm our reputation. The precautions we take to prevent and detect such activity may not be effective in controlling unknown or unmanaged risks or losses.

We may be liable for systems and service failures.

We create, implement and maintain information technology and technical services solutions that are often critical to our customers—operations, including those of federal, state and local governments. We have experienced and may in the future experience some systems and service failures, schedule or delivery delays and other problems in connection with our work. If our solutions, services, products or other applications have significant defects or errors, are subject to delivery delays or fail to meet our customers—expectations, we may:

Lose revenues due to adverse customer reaction:

Be required to provide additional services to a customer at no charge;

Receive negative publicity that could damage our reputation and adversely affect our ability to attract or retain customers; and

Suffer claims for substantial damages against us.

In addition to any costs resulting from product warranties, contract performance or required corrective action, these failures may result in increased costs or loss of revenues if they result in customers postponing subsequently scheduled work, canceling contracts or failing to renew contracts.

While many of our contracts with the federal government limit our liability for damages that may arise from negligence in rendering services to our customers, we cannot be sure that these contractual provisions will protect us from liability for damages if we are sued. Furthermore, our errors and omissions and product liability insurance coverage may not continue to be available on reasonable terms or in sufficient amounts to cover one or more large claims. In addition, the insurer may disclaim coverage as to some types of future claims. The successful assertion of any large claim against us could seriously harm our business. Even if unsuccessful, these claims could result in significant legal and other costs that may be a distraction to our management and/or may harm our reputation.

Security breaches in classified government systems could adversely affect our business.

Many of the programs we support and systems we develop, install and maintain involve managing and protecting information involved in intelligence, national security and other classified government functions. While we have programs designed to comply with relevant security laws, regulations and restrictions, a security breach in one of these systems could cause serious harm to our business, damage our reputation and prevent us from being eligible for further work on critical classified systems for federal government customers. Losses that we could incur from such a security breach could exceed the policy limits that we have for errors and omissions and product liability insurance coverage. Damage to our reputation or limitations on our eligibility for additional work resulting from a security breach in one of the systems we develop, install and maintain could materially reduce our revenue.

25

Our business depends upon obtaining and maintaining required security clearances.

Many of our federal government contracts require our employees to maintain various levels of security clearances and we are required to maintain certain facility security clearances complying with the Department of Defense and intelligence community requirements. Obtaining and maintaining security clearances for employees involves a lengthy process and it is difficult to identify, recruit and retain employees who already hold security clearances. If our employees are unable to obtain or retain security clearances or if our employees who hold security clearances terminate employment with us, the customer whose work requires cleared employees could terminate the contract or decide not to renew it upon its expiration. In addition, we expect that many of the contracts on which we will bid will require us to demonstrate our ability to obtain facility security clearances and perform work with employees who hold specified types of security clearances. To the extent we are not able to obtain facility security clearances or engage employees with the required security clearances for a particular contract, we may not be able to bid on or win new contracts, or effectively re-bid on expiring contracts.

Risks Related to Our Stock

Our quarterly operating results may fluctuate.

Our quarterly revenues and operating results may fluctuate as a result of a number of factors, many of which are outside of our control. For these reasons, comparing our operating results on a period-to-period basis may be of limited significance in some cases, and as such, you should not rely on our past results as an indication of our future performance. While our financial results may be negatively affected by any of the risk factors identified in this section of our Form 10-K, a number of factors could cause our revenues, cash flows and operating results to vary from quarter-to-quarter, including:

Timing of award or performance incentive fee notices;

Fluctuations in revenues earned on fixed-price contracts and contracts with a performance-based fee structure;

Commencement, completion or termination of contracts during any particular quarter;

Reallocation of funds to customers due to priority;

Timing of significant bid and proposal costs;

Variable purchasing patterns under government contracts, BPAs and ID/IQ contracts;

Seasonal or quarterly fluctuations in our workdays and staff utilization rates;

Strategic decisions by us or our competitors, such as acquisitions, divestitures, spin-offs and joint ventures;

Changes in Presidential administrations and senior federal government officials that affect the timing of technology procurement;

Changes in the volume of purchase requests from customers for equipment and materials.

Because a relatively large amount of our expenses are fixed, cash flows from our operations may vary significantly as a result of changes in the volume of services provided under existing contracts and the number of contracts that are commenced, completed or terminated during any quarter. We incur significant operating expenses during the start-up and early stages of large contracts and typically we do not receive corresponding payments in that same quarter. We may also incur significant or unanticipated expenses when a contract expires, terminates or is not renewed.

26

Table of Contents

Mr. Pedersen, our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, effectively controls our Company, and his interests may not be aligned with those of other stockholders.

As of December 31, 2010, Mr. Pedersen owned approximately 36.4% of our total outstanding shares of common stock. Holders of our Class B common stock are entitled to ten votes per share, while holders of our Class A common stock are entitled to only one vote per share. Mr. Pedersen beneficially owned 13,275,345 shares of Class B common stock as of December 31, 2010, thus he owned or controlled approximately 85.1% of the combined voting power of our stock as of December 31, 2010. Accordingly, Mr. Pedersen controls the vote on all matters submitted to a vote of our stockholders. As long as Mr. Pedersen beneficially owns a majority of the combined voting power of our common stock, he will have the ability, without the consent of our public stockholders, to elect all members of our Board of Directors and to control our management and affairs.

Mr. Pedersen s voting control may have the effect of preventing or discouraging transactions involving an actual or a potential change of control of the Company, regardless of whether a premium is offered over then-current market prices. Mr. Pedersen will be able to cause a change of control of the Company. Mr. Pedersen s voting control could adversely affect the trading price of our common stock if investors perceive disadvantages in owning stock in a company with such concentrated ownership.

Mr. Pedersen could also cause a registration statement to be filed and to become effective under the Securities Act of 1933, thereby permitting him to freely sell or transfer the shares of common stock that he owns, which could have an impact on the trading price of our stock.

Provisions in our charter documents and Delaware law may inhibit potential acquisition bids that you and other stockholders may consider favorable, and the market price of our Class A common stock may be lower as a result.

There are provisions in our certificate of incorporation and bylaws that make it more difficult for a third party to acquire, or attempt to acquire, control of our Company, even if a change of control were considered favorable by you and other stockholders. Among the provisions that could have an anti-takeover effect, are provisions relating to the following:

The high vote nature of our Class B common stock;

The ability of the Board of Directors to issue preferred stock;

The inability of Stockholders to take action by written consent; and

The advance notice requirements for director nominations or other proposals submitted by our stockholders.

Item 1B. Unresolved SEC Staff Comments

We have not received any written comments from the SEC staff regarding our periodic or current reports under the Exchange Act that remain unresolved.

Item 2. Properties

We lease our office facilities and we do not own any facilities or real estate materially important to our operations. Our facilities are leased in close proximity to our customers. Since 1992, we have leased our corporate headquarters office building in Fairfax, Virginia. The lease on this facility expires in March 2020. As of December 31, 2010, we leased 30 additional operating facilities throughout the metropolitan Washington, D.C. area and 43 facilities in other parts of the United States. We also have employees working at customer sites throughout the United States and in other countries.

27

We believe our current facilities are adequate to meet our current needs. We do not anticipate any significant difficulty in renewing our leases or finding alternative space to lease upon the expiration of our leases and to support our future growth. Lease expiration dates range from years 2011 through 2020.

The following table provides information concerning certain leased properties.

Lease Properties as of

December 31, 2010	Approximate Square Footage	General Usage
Chantilly, VA	210,000	General Office
Hanover, MD	158,000	General Office and Warehouse
Arlington, VA	105,000	General Office
Falls Church, VA	103,000	General Office
Statford, VA	100,000	General Office and Warehouse
Fairfax, VA	92,000	General Office
Herndon, VA	76,000	General Office
BelCamp, MD	67,000	General Office
Springfield, VA	55,000	General Office
Lorton, VA	51,000	General Office
Lexington Park, MD	43,000	General Office
Warren, MI	41,000	General Office and Warehouse
Huntsville, AL	38,000	General Office and Lab
Bethesda, MD	35,000	General Office
Red Bank, NJ	32,000	General Office
Miami, FL	29,000	General Office
Fairmont, WV	22,000	General Office
Sarasota, FL	20,000	General Office
Foreign Locations	4,000	General Office
Other Locations	308,000	General Office and Warehouse

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

We are subject to certain legal proceedings, government audits, investigations, claims and disputes that arise in the ordinary course of our business. Like most large government defense contractors, our contract costs are audited and reviewed on a continual basis by an in-house staff of auditors from the DCAA. In addition to these routine audits, we are subject from time-to-time to audits and investigations by other agencies of the federal government. These audits and investigations are conducted to determine if our performance and administration of our government contracts are compliant with contractual requirements and applicable federal statutes and regulations. An audit or investigation may result in a finding that our performance, systems and administration is compliant or, alternatively, may result in the government initiating proceedings against us or our employees, including administrative proceedings seeking repayment of monies, suspension and/or debarment from doing business with the federal government or a particular agency or civil or criminal proceedings seeking penalties and/or fines. Audits and investigations conducted by the federal government frequently span several years.

Although we cannot predict the outcome of these and other legal proceedings, investigations, claims and disputes, based on the information now available to us, we do not believe the ultimate resolution of these matters, either individually or in the aggregate, will have a material adverse effect on our business, prospects, financial condition or operating results.

Item 4. (Removed and Reserved)

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Market Information

Our Class A common stock has been quoted on the Nasdaq Stock Market under the symbol MANT since our initial public offering on February 7, 2002. The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the high and low prices of our shares of common stock, as reported on the Nasdaq Stock Market.

2010	High	Low
First Quarter	\$ 51.83	\$ 43.75
Second Quarter	\$ 51.00	\$41.95
Third Quarter	\$ 42.63	\$ 34.69
Fourth Quarter	\$ 42.20	\$ 38.56
2009	High	Low
	****	Low
First Quarter	\$ 60.62	\$ 37.07
First Quarter	\$ 60.62	\$ 37.07

There is no established public market for our Class B common stock.

As of February 23, 2011, there were 46 holders of record of our Class A common stock and 3 holders of record of our Class B common stock. The number of holders of record of our Class A common stock is not representative of the number of beneficial holders because many of the shares are held by depositories, brokers or nominees.

Dividend Policy

Currently, we intend to retain any earnings for the future operation and growth of our business. In addition, our credit facility and the indenture governing our 7.25% Senior Unsecured Notes restrict us from paying cash dividends to holders of our common stock. As a result, we do not anticipate paying any cash dividends in the foreseeable future. No dividends have been declared on any class of our common stock since our initial public offering in 2002. Any future dividends declared would be at the discretion of our Board of Directors and would depend, among other factors, upon our results of operations, financial condition and cash requirements, as well as the terms of our credit facility, the indenture and other financing agreements in effect at the time such payment is considered.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

We did not issue or sell any securities in fiscal 2010 that were not registered under the Securities Act of 1933. The issuance of shares to the Employee Stock Ownership Plan did not constitute sales within the meaning of the Securities Act.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

Information regarding our equity compensation plans and the securities authorized for issuance thereunder is incorporated by reference in Item 12.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The selected financial data presented below for each of the five years ended December 31, 2010 is derived from our audited consolidated financial statements. The selected financial data presented below should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements, the notes to our consolidated financial statements and Item 7 Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

	2010 (a)	Year Ended December 31, 2009 (b) 2008 (c) 2007 (d) (in thousands, except per share amounts)					:	2006 (e)
Statement of Income Data:								
Revenues	\$ 2,604,038	\$ 2,020,334	\$	1,870,879	\$	1,448,098	\$ 1	,137,178
Cost of services	2,208,631	1,668,763		1,565,198		1,214,150		944,150
General and administrative expenses	180,267	172,492		152,323		120,244		102,378
Operating income	215,140	179,079		153,358		113,704		90,650
Interest expense	(12,567)	(1,141)		(3,978)		(5,103)		(2,375)
Interest income	361	215		812		1,261		809
Other items, net	(483)	355		(233)		263		1,337
Income from continuing operations before income								
taxes and equity earnings	202,451	178,508		149,959		110,125		90,421
Provision for income taxes	(77,355)	(66,744)		(59,667)		(42,798)		(34,825)
Income from continuing operations	125,096	111,764		90,292		67,327		55,596
(Loss) gain from discontinued operations, net of taxes	0	0		0		(458)		(4,895)
Gain on disposal of discontinued operation, net of taxes								
(sold to CEO)	0	0		0		338		0
Net income	\$ 125,096	\$ 111,764	\$	90,292	\$	67,207	\$	50,701
Basic earnings per share from continuing operations Class								
A and B (f)	\$ 3.45	\$ 3.13	\$	2.58	\$	1.97	\$	1.66
Diluted earnings per share from continuing operations Class A and B (f)	\$ 3.43	\$ 3.11	\$	2.55	\$	1.95	\$	1.64
Balance Sheet Data:								
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 84,829	\$ 86,190	\$	4,375	\$	8,048	\$	41,510
Working capital	\$ 282,496	\$ 276,087	\$	140,744	\$	68,409	\$	168,189
Total assets	\$ 1,590,477	\$ 1,100,747		1,021,712	\$	937,503	\$	613,252
Long-term debt	\$ 200,000	\$ 0	\$	0	\$	39,000	\$	0
Total stockholders equity	\$ 966,343	\$ 817,465	\$	680,536	\$	551,305	\$	459,016
Statement of Cash Flows Data:								
Cash flow from operating activities	\$ 171,445	\$ 132,247	\$	127,266	\$	63,324	\$	84,356
Cash flow from investing activities	\$ (382,161)	\$ (20,014)	\$	(39,162)	\$	(275,286)	\$	(25,709)
Cash flow from financing activities	\$ 209,355	\$ (30,418)	\$	(91,777)	\$	178,500	\$	(22,815)

⁽a) Purchase price for acquisitions is reflected in cash flow from investing activities.

On December 23, 2010, we acquired MTCSC for \$75.0 million. MTCSC added \$0.8 million in revenue to our 2010 results. For further information on acquisitions see Note 3 to our consolidated financial statements in Item 8.

On October 8, 2010, we acquired S&IS for \$59.9 million. S&IS added \$10.5 million in revenue to our 2010 results. For further information on acquisitions see Note 3 to our consolidated financial statements in Item 8.

30

Table of Contents

Effective April 13, 2010, we issued \$200.0 million of 7.25% senior unsecured notes due 2018. The proceeds from the issuance are reflected in the cash flow from financing activities.

On January 15, 2010, we acquired STI for \$241.4 million, which included a favorable \$0.6 million working capital adjustment. STI added \$518.0 million in revenue to our 2010 results. For further information on acquisitions see Note 3 to our consolidated financial statements in Item 8.

- (b) On March 13, 2009, we acquired DDK for \$14.0 million. DDK added \$7.6 million in revenue to our 2009 results. For further information on acquisitions see Note 3 to our consolidated financial statements in Item 8.
- (c) On November 28, 2008, we acquired EWA Services, Inc. (EWA) for \$12.4 million, which includes a \$0.4 million working capital adjustment. EWA added \$1.8 million in revenue to our 2008 results. For further information on acquisitions see Note 3 to our consolidated financial statements in Item 8.

On August 29, 2008, we acquired Emerging Technologies Group, USA, Inc. (ETG) for \$25.1 million, which includes \$0.1 million in transaction fees. ETG added \$3.4 million in revenue to our 2008 results. For further information on acquisitions see Note 3 to our consolidated financial statements in Item 8.

(d) On December 18, 2007, we acquired McDonald Bradley, Inc. (MBI) for \$78.9 million, which includes \$0.4 million in transaction fees. MBI added \$1.2 million in revenue to our 2007 results.

On May 7, 2007, were acquired SRS Technologies (SRS) for \$199.1 million, which includes \$1.2 million in transaction fees. SRS added \$139.1 million in revenue to our 2007 results.

On February 23, 2007, we sold our MSM Security Services subsidiary business (MSM) to MSM Security Services Holdings, LLC for \$3.0 million in cash. The sale resulted in a pre-tax gain of \$0.6 million. MSM Security Services Holdings, LLC is solely owned by George J. Pedersen, our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer (CEO).

In January 2007, Mr. Pedersen received a distribution of 609,296 shares of Class B common stock, which had been held by the ManTech International Corporation Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan for the benefit of George J, Pedersen (GJP SERP). We recognize an \$8.6 million tax benefit on the distribution from the trust. The tax benefit was recorded to additional paid-in-capital.

(e) On October 5, 2006, we acquired GRS Solutions, Inc. (GRS) for \$17.8 million in cash. Subsequent to the acquisition, contingent consideration of \$2.2 million was paid to the shareholders of GRS. GRS added \$2.7 million in revenue to our 2006 results.
 On October 31, 2006, we sold assets related to our NetWitness® operations to the NetWitness Acquisition Corporation for \$2.0 million in cash and an equity stake of less than 5% in the new company. We recorded approximately a \$1.0 million pre-tax gain on the sale.

On January 1, 2006, we adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment*, or Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 718, *Compensation-Stock Compensation*. As a result we recorded \$5.7 million of general and administrative expenses.

(f) The holders of each share of Class A common stock are entitled to one vote per share and holders of each share of Class B common stock are entitled to ten votes per share. For more information on earnings per share including the two class method, see Note 4 to our consolidated financial statements in Item 8.

Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion of our financial condition and results of operations should be read together with the consolidated financial statements and the notes to those statements included in Item 8 of this document. This discussion contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. For a description of these forward-looking statements, refer to Part I Forward-Looking Statements. A description of factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from the results we anticipate include, but are not limited to, those discussed in Item 1A Risk Factors, as well as discussed elsewhere in this Annual Report.

Overview

With approximately 10,300 professionals in 40 countries around the world currently, ManTech is a leading provider of innovative technologies and solutions for mission-critical national security programs for the intelligence community; departments of Defense, State and Homeland Security; the Department of Justice and the Federal Bureau of Investigations; the space community; the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration; and other U.S. federal government customers. Our expertise includes Command, Control, Computers, Communications, Intelligence, Surveillance and Reconnaissance (C4ISR) Lifecycle Support, Cyber Security, Global Logistics Support, Intelligence/Counter-Intelligence Support, Information Technology Modernization & Sustainment, Systems Engineering and Test & Evaluation. We support major national missions, such as military readiness, terrorist threat detection, information security and border protection.

We derive revenues primarily from contracts with U.S. government agencies that are focused on national security and as a result, funding for our programs is generally linked to trends in U.S. government spending in the areas of defense, intelligence, homeland security and other federal agencies. As it relates to the evolving terrorist threats and world events, the U.S. government has continued to increase its overall defense, intelligence and homeland security budgets. However this trend may not continue due to the mounting deficit of the U.S. government and public pressure to reduce U.S. government spending.

For the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, over 93% of our revenues were derived from our customers in the intelligence community and the Department of Defense. These customers include the Office of the Secretary of Defense; the Department of State; the Department of Homeland Security; various intelligence agencies; federal intelligence and terrorism task forces; the U.S. Army, Navy, Air Force and Marine Corps; and joint military commands. We also provide solutions to federal government civilian agencies, including National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) and Patent and Trademark Office (PTO), as well as to state and local governments and commercial customers. The following table shows revenues from each type of customer as a percentage of total revenues for the periods presented.

	Years I	Years Ended December 31,			
	2010	2009	2008		
Department of Defense and intelligence agencies	95.8%	95.0%	93.8%		
Federal civilian agencies	2.9%	3.2%	4.3%		
State agencies, international agencies and commercial entities	1.3%	1.8%	1.9%		
Total Revenues	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		

32

We provide our services and solutions under three types of contracts: time-and-materials; cost-reimbursable; and fixed-price. Our contract mix varies from year-to-year due to numerous factors, including our business strategies and federal government procurement objectives. The following table shows revenues from each of these types of contracts as a percentage of total revenues for the periods presented.

	Years I	Years Ended December 31,			
	2010	2009	2008		
Time-and-materials	63.7%	68.1%	66.1%		
Cost-reimbursable	20.9%	19.6%	20.4%		
Fixed-price	15.4%	12.3%	13.5%		
Total Revenues	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		

Time-and-materials contracts-Under time-and-materials contracts, we are reimbursed for labor at fixed hourly rates and generally reimbursed separately for allowable materials, costs and expenses. To the extent that our actual labor costs under a time-and-materials contract are higher or lower than the billing rates under the contract, our profit under the contract may be either greater or less than we anticipated or we may suffer a loss under the contract. We recognize revenues under time-and-materials contracts by multiplying the number of direct labor hours expended by the contract billing rates and adding the effect of other billable direct costs. In general, we realize a higher profit margin on work performed under time-and-materials contracts than cost-reimbursable contracts.

Cost-reimbursable contracts. Under cost-reimbursable contracts, we are reimbursed for costs that are determined to be reasonable, allowable and allocable to the contract and paid a fee representing the profit margin negotiated between us and the contracting agency, which may be fixed or performance based. Under cost-reimbursable contracts we recognize revenues and an estimate of applicable fees earned as costs are incurred. We consider fixed fees under cost-reimbursable contracts to be earned in proportion to the allowable costs incurred in performance of the contract. For performance based fees under cost-reimbursable contracts, we recognize the relevant portion of the expected fee to be awarded by the client at the time such fee can be reasonably estimated, based on factors such as our prior award experience and communications with the client regarding performance. For cost-reimbursable contracts with performance-based fee incentives that are subject to the provisions of SEC Topic 13, Revenue Recognition, we recognize the relevant portion of the fee upon customer approval. In general, cost-reimbursable contracts are the least profitable of our government contracts and lowest risk of financial loss.

Fixed-price contracts. Under fixed-price contracts, we perform specific tasks for a fixed price. Compared to cost-reimbursable and time-and-materials contracts, fixed-price contracts generally offer higher profit margin opportunities but involve greater financial risk because we bear the impact of cost overruns in return for the full benefit of any cost savings. We generally do not undertake complex, high-risk work, such as long-term software development, under fixed price terms. Fixed-price contracts may include either a product delivery or specific service performance over a defined period. Revenue on fixed-price contracts that provide for the Company to render services throughout a period is recognized as earned according to contract terms as the service is provided on a proportionate performance basis. These contracts are generally less than six months in duration. For fixed-price contracts that provide for the delivery of a specific product with related customer acceptance provisions, revenues are recognized as those products are delivered and accepted.

We derive a majority of our revenues from contracts directly with the U.S. government or as a subcontractor to other providers of services to the U.S. government. The following table shows our revenues as prime contractor and as subcontractor as a percentage of our total revenues for the following periods:

	Years	Years Ended December 31,				
	2010	2009	2008			
Prime contract revenues	75.9%	64.8%	47.9%			
Subcontract revenues	24.1%	35.2%	52.1%			
Total Revenues	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			

Table of Contents

Our prime contract revenues as a percentage of our total revenues increased from 2009 to 2010 largely due to the acquisition of STI, in which we gained access as a prime contractor to the Strategic Services Sourcing (S3) vehicle. Our prime contract revenues as a percentage of our total revenues increased from 2008 to 2009 largely due to our receipt of a sole source prime contract award in 2008 to continue and expand our support for the Route Clearance family of vehicle contracts as well as expansion of our support for MRAP vehicles and growth in our cyber security efforts.

Revenues

Substantially all of our revenue is derived from services and solutions provided to the federal government or to prime contractors supporting the federal government, including services provided by our employees, our subcontractors and through solutions that include third-party hardware and software that we purchase and integrate as a part of our overall solutions. These requirements may vary from period-to-period depending on specific contract and client requirements. Since we earn higher profits from labor services that our employees provide compared with subcontracted efforts and other reimbursable items such as hardware and software purchases for clients, we seek to optimize our labor services on all of our engagements.

Cost of Services

Cost of services primarily includes direct costs incurred to provide our services and solutions to customers. The most significant portion of these costs are direct labor costs, including salaries and wages, plus associated fringe benefits of our employees directly serving customers, in addition to the related management, facilities and infrastructure costs. Cost of services also includes other direct costs, such as the costs of subcontractors and outside consultants and third-party materials, including hardware or software that we purchase and provide to the customer as part of an integrated solution. Since we earn higher profits on our own labor services, we expect the ratio of cost of services as a percent of revenues to decline when our labor services mix increases relative to subcontracted labor or third-party materials. Conversely, as subcontracted labor or third-party material purchases for customers increase relative to our own labor services, we expect the ratio of cost of services as a percent of revenue to increase. Changes in the mix of services and equipment provided under our contracts can result in variability in our contract margins.

General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses include the salaries and wages, plus associated fringe benefits of our employees not performing work directly for clients, and associated facilities costs. Among the functions covered by these costs are corporate business development, bid and proposal, contracts administration, finance and accounting, legal, corporate governance and executive and senior management. In addition, we included stock-based compensation, as well as depreciation and amortization expense related to the general and administrative function for the year ended December 31, 2010. Depreciation and amortization expenses include the depreciation of computers, furniture and other equipment, the amortization of third party software we use internally, leasehold improvements and intangible assets. Identifiable intangible assets include customer relationships and contract backlogs acquired in business combinations, and are amortized over their estimated useful lives.

Interest Expense

Interest expense is primarily related to interest expense incurred or accrued under our outstanding borrowings, our 7.25% senior secured notes and deferred financing charges.

Interest Income

Interest income is primarily from cash on hand and notes receivable.

34

Results of Operations

Year Ended December 31, 2010 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2009

Consolidated Statements of Income

The following table sets forth certain items from our consolidated statements of income and the relative percentages that certain items of expense and earnings bear to revenues as well as the year-over-year change from December 31, 2009 to December 31, 2010.

					Year-to	-Year
		Years Ended De	ecember 31,		Chai	nge
	2010	2009	2010	2009	2009 to	2010
	I	Oollars	Percer	ntages	Dollars	Percent
			(dollars in the	ousands)		
REVENUES	\$ 2,604,038	\$ 2,020,334	100.0%	100.0%	\$ 583,704	28.9%
Cost of services	2,208,631	1,668,763	84.8%	82.6%	539,868	32.4%
General and administrative expenses	180,267	172,492	6.9%	8.5%	7,775	4.5%
OPERATING INCOME	215,140	179,079	8.3%	8.9%	36,061	20.1%
Interest expense	(12,567	(1,141) 0.5%	0.1%	(11,426)	1001.4%
Interest income	361	215	0.0%	0.0%	146	67.9%
Other (expense) income, net	(483	355	0.0%	0.0%	(838)	-236.1%
INCOME FROM OPERATIONS BEFORE INCOME						
TAXES	202,451	178,508	7.8%	8.8%	23,943	13.4%
Provision for income taxes	(77,355	(66,744	3.0%	3.3%	(10,611)	15.9%
NET INCOME	\$ 125,096	\$ 111,764	4.8%	5.5%	\$ 13,332	11.9%

Revenues

Revenues increased 28.9% to \$2.60 billion for the year ended December 31, 2010, compared to \$2.02 billion for the same period in 2009. The increase was primarily due to our acquisitions of STI on January 15, 2010. C4ISR services contributed revenue growth of \$576.4 million, including \$518.0 million from contracts obtained through the acquisition of STI. Revenue growth of \$50.6 million came from our cyber security related contracts. These increases were partially offset by a decrease due to lower procurement of materials on our contracts for installation, sustainment and repair of communication systems and heavily armored vehicles designed to counter or clear mines and improvised explosive devices (IED), such as the Route Clearance family of vehicles supporting U.S. Army TACOM.

We are expecting the growth in revenues to continue in 2011 as a result of our recent acquisitions and continued expansion due to recent wins in the areas of C4ISR and cyber security. However we recognize that the government has expressed its intention to decrease its budgets related to professional and technical services contracts in the coming years.

Cost of Services

Cost of services increased 32.4% to \$2.21 billion for the year ended December 31, 2010, compared to \$1.67 billion for the same period in 2009. The increase in cost of services is primarily due to our acquisition of STI. As a percentage of revenues, cost of services increased to 84.8% for the year 2010 as compared to 82.6% for the same period in 2009. Direct labor costs, which include applicable fringe benefits and overhead, increased 15.9% over the period in 2009 primarily due to growth in staff supporting global logistics, supply chain management and ISR programs, as well as our acquisitions. As a percentage of revenues, direct labor costs decreased to 34.8% for the year ended December 31, 2010, as compared to 38.7% for the same period in 2009. The decrease in direct labor as a percentage of revenue is primarily due to the relative mix of direct labor and other direct costs. Other direct costs, which include subcontractors and third party equipment and materials used in the performance of

Table of Contents

our contracts, increased by 46.9% over the same period in 2009. The increase in other direct costs was primarily due to subcontractors related to STI contracts. As a percentage of revenues, other direct costs increased from 43.9% for the year ended December 31, 2009 to 50.0% for the same period in 2010. The increase of other direct costs as a percentage of revenues is primarily due to the relative mix of direct labor and other direct costs. We expect cost of services in fiscal year 2011 to be relatively consistent with 2010 as a percentage of revenues.

General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses increased 4.5% to \$180.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, compared to \$172.5 million for the same period in 2009. The increase is primarily due to the amortization of intangible assets from our acquisitions. As a percentage of revenues, general and administrative expenses decreased to 6.9% from 8.5% for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively due to the leveraging of our general and administrative expense over a larger base. We expect general and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenue to decrease in 2011 as a result of higher revenues from our recent acquisitions.

Interest Expense

Interest expense increased to \$12.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, compared to \$1.1 million for the same period in 2009. We incurred \$10.7 million of interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2010 related to our 7.25% senior unsecured notes issued in April 2010. We utilized proceeds from the note issuance to pay off all outstanding borrowings under our credit facility. Borrowings under our credit facility were used to finance the acquisition of STI. The interest rate on the 7.25% senior unsecured notes is higher than interest currently available to us under our credit facility. We expect interest expense to increase in 2011 due to incurring twelve months of interest on our 7.25% senior unsecured notes compared to eight months in 2010.

Interest Income

Interest income increased \$0.2 million to \$0.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, compared to \$0.2 million for the same period in 2009. There was increased average cash on hand, which generated interest income during the period.

Provision for Income Taxes

The provision for income taxes increased to \$77.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, compared to \$66.7 million for the same period in 2009. Our effective income tax rates were 38.2% and 37.4% for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The increase in our effective tax rate from December 31, 2009 was primarily due to increased state income taxes as a result of the STI acquisition.

Net Income

Net income increased 11.9% to \$125.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, compared to \$111.8 million for the same period in 2009. The increase is due to higher revenues, which are primarily driven by our acquisitions.

36

Year Ended December 31, 2009 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2008

Consolidated Statements of Income

The following table sets forth certain items from our consolidated statements of income and the relative percentages that certain items of expense and earnings bear to revenues as well as the year-over-year change from December 31, 2008 to December 31, 2009.

	Years Ended December 31,				Year-to-Year Change			
		2009		2008	2009	2008	2008 t	o 2009
		Doll	ars		Percen	ıtages	Dollars	Percent
				(d	lollars in tho	usands)		
REVENUES	\$2	,020,334	\$ 1	,870,879	100.0%	100.0%	\$ 149,455	8.0%
Cost of services	1	,668,763	1	,565,198	82.6%	83.7%	103,565	6.6%
General and administrative expenses		172,492		152,323	8.5%	8.1%	20,169	13.2%
OPERATING INCOME		179,079		153,358	8.9%	8.2%	25,721	16.8%
Interest expense		(1,141)		(3,978)	0.1%	0.2%	2,837	-71.3%
Interest income		215		812	0.0%	0.0%	(597)	-73.5%
Other income (expense), net		355		(233)	0.0%	0.0%	588	252.4%
INCOME FROM OPERATIONS BEFORE INCOME								
TAXES		178,508		149,959	8.8%	8.0%	28,549	19.0%
Provision for income taxes		(66,744)		(59,667)	3.3%	3.2%	(7,077)	11.9%
NET INCOME	\$	111,764	\$	90,292	5.5%	4.8%	\$ 21,472	23.8%

Revenues

Revenues increased 8.0% to \$2.02 billion for the year ended December 31, 2009, compared to \$1.87 billion for the same period in 2008. The increase was primarily due to our contracts supporting forward deployments in Iraq, Afghanistan and other areas around the world and our acquisitions of Emerging Technologies Group, Inc. (ETG) in August 2008, EWA Services, Inc. (EWA) in November 2008 and DDK in March 2009. Revenue growth of \$171.2 million came from contracts for the installation, sustainment and repair of communication systems and heavily armored vehicles designed to counter or clear mines and IEDs, such as the Route Clearance family of vehicles supporting U.S. Army TACOM. Significant cyber security contracts contributed revenue growth of \$42.0 million, including \$13.4 million from contracts transferred through the acquisitions of ETG and DDK. The acquisition of EWA contributed a revenue increase of \$11.6 million. These increases were partially offset by a decline in certain Space related work and other.

Cost of Services

Cost of services increased 6.6% to \$1.67 billion for the year ended December 31, 2009, compared to \$1.57 billion for the same period in 2008. The increase in cost of services is primarily due to direct labor, which includes applicable fringe benefits and overhead related to our IED and cyber security contracts and our recent acquisitions of EWA and DDK. As a percentage of revenues, cost of services decreased to 82.6% for the year 2009 as compared to 83.7% for the same period in 2008. Direct labor costs increased by 8.6% over the period in 2008 primarily due to growth in staff supporting global logistics and supply chain management and acquisitions. As a percentage of revenues, direct labor costs increased to 38.7% for the year ended December 31, 2009, as compared to 38.5% for the same period in 2008. Other direct costs, which include subcontractors and third party equipment and materials used in the performance of our contracts, increased by 4.9% over the same period in 2008. The increase in other direct costs was primarily due to an increase in purchases of equipment and materials on our contracts for installation and repair of systems designed to counter or clear mines and IEDs. As a percentage of revenues, other direct costs decreased from 45.2% for the year ended December 31, 2008 to 43.9% for the same period in 2009. The decrease of other direct costs as a percentage of revenues can be attributed to the IED programs gradual transition of materials procurement to the government procurement offices.

Table of Contents

General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses increased 13.2% to \$172.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, compared to \$152.3 million for the same period in 2008. As a percentage of revenues, general and administrative expenses increased to 8.5% from 8.1% for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The increase as a percentage of revenues was due to systems and staff requirements needed to support increased demands for materials and services, business development and system improvements costs. We have increased expense for internal compliance monitoring and process improvement costs due to the current trend of amplified government regulation and review.

Interest Expense

Interest expense decreased to \$1.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, compared to \$4.0 million for the same period in 2008. The decrease in interest expense is due to a decrease in our average outstanding debt balance. Our average outstanding debt balance for the year ended December 31, 2009 was \$43.9 million as compared to \$122.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The interest rate we incur on our credit facility is impacted by changes in the Federal Funds Rate or London Interbank Offer Rate (LIBOR). Changes in these lending rates could lead to fluctuations in our interest expense in future periods.

Interest Income

Interest income decreased \$0.6 million to \$0.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, compared to \$0.8 million for the same period in 2008. The fluctuation is due to a reduction in the interest rate related to our cash accounts for the year ended December 31, 2009, as compared to the same period in 2008.

Provision for Income Taxes

The provision for income taxes increased \$7.0 million to \$66.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, compared to \$59.7 million for the same period in 2008. Our effective income tax rates were 37.4% and 39.8% for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The decrease in our effective tax rate from December 31, 2008 was largely due to the impact of deductible gains related to our Employee Supplemental Savings Plan.

Net Income

Net income increased 23.8% to \$111.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, compared to \$90.3 million for the same period in 2008. The increase is a result of higher revenues and improved margins primarily driven by increased demand for direct labor projects.

Backlog

For the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 our backlog was \$4.9 billion, \$3.8 billion and \$4.0 billion, respectively, of which \$1.6 billion, \$1.1 billion and \$1.2 billion, respectively, was funded backlog. Backlog represents estimates that we calculate on a consistent basis. For additional information on how we compute backlog, see Backlog in Item 1. At December 31, 2010, STI, S&IS and MTCSC contributed approximately \$1.4 billion in backlog combined.

Significant wins for the year ended December 31, 2010 include contracts from:

The U.S. Air Force Launch and Range Systems Wing (LRSW) to provide systems engineering and integration services to support current and future space launch operations;

The U.S. Army to provide Base Expeditionary Target Surveillance Systems-Combined (BETSS-C) operators and related support services in Iraq;

38

Table of Contents

The Department of Homeland Security to provide Program Management Office Support Services (PMOSS) in support of the Secure Border Initiative (SBI) effort;

The Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) to provide cyber security services, including intrusion detection monitoring, security engineering, cyber threat analysis, vulnerability assessment and penetration testing;

The U.S. Army to provide integrated logistics support services for elevated sensors systems in South West Asia;

The U.S. Navy Naval Warfare centers to provide engineering, programmatic, training and technical support services; and

The U.S. Army to provide Expeditionary Cellular Communications Service (ECCS) in Afghanistan.

Effects of Inflation

Inflation and uncertainties in the macroeconomic environment, such as conditions in the financial markets, could impact our labor rates beyond the predetermined escalation factors. However, we generally have been able to price our contracts in a manner to accommodate the rates of inflation experienced in recent years. Under our time-and-materials contracts, labor rates are usually adjusted annually by predetermined escalation factors. Our cost-reimbursable contracts automatically adjust for changes in cost. Under our fixed-price contracts, we include a predetermined escalation factor, but generally, we have not been adversely affected by near-term inflation. Purchases of equipments and materials directly for contracts are usually cost-reimbursable.

In addition, inflation or inflationary concerns could prompt the Federal Reserve to begin increasing the Federal Funds Rate. As one of the borrowing rates in our credit facility is tied to the Federal Funds Rate, increases in this rate, given similar levels of debt, could lead to higher interest expense.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our primary liquidity needs are the financing of acquisitions, working capital and capital expenditures. Our primary source of liquidity is cash provided by operations and our revolving credit facility. In addition, we issued \$200.0 million of 7.25% unsecured notes in April 2010.

On December 31, 2010, the Company s cash and cash equivalents balance was \$84.8 million. At December 31, 2010, there was no outstanding balance under our credit facility. At December 31, 2010, we were contingently liable under letters of credit totaling \$1.3 million, which reduces our ability to borrow under our credit facility. The maximum available borrowings under our credit facility at December 31, 2010 was \$348.7 million. At December 31, 2010, we had \$200.0 million outstanding of our 7.25% unsecured notes outstanding.

In April 2010, we utilized the proceeds from the 7.25% senior unsecured notes issuance to pay off the outstanding balance under our credit facility and the remainder was utilized to fund acquisitions.

Generally, cash provided by operating activities is adequate to fund our operations. Due to fluctuations in our cash flows and the growth in our operations, it is necessary from time-to-time to increase borrowings under our credit facility to meet cash demands. Our credit facility could also be used to fund future acquisitions.

Cash Flows from Operating Activities

Year Ended December 31, 2010 2009 2008 (in thousands) \$ 171.445 \$ 132.247 \$ 127.266

Net cash flow from operating activities of continuing operations:

Table of Contents

Our operating cash flow is primarily affected by the overall profitability of our contracts, our ability to invoice and collect from our clients in a timely manner and our ability to manage our vendor payments. We bill most of our clients and prime contractors monthly after services are rendered. Increased cash flows from operations for the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to the same period for 2009 was a result of the timing of vendor payments and accrued salaries, increased net income and amortization expense, partially offset by the timing of receivables. Our accounts receivable days outstanding ratio was 67 and 66 for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Increased cash flow from operations for the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to the same period in 2008 was due to the timing of the collection of customer receivables offset by the timing of vendor and other direct cost payments. The timing and amounts of cash collections from our customers can vary significantly based primarily on the procedures requested by the U.S. government to approve such payments.

Cash Flows from Investing Activities

	Year	Year Ended December 31,			
	2010	2009	2008		
		(in thousands)			
Net investing cash flow from continuing operations:	\$ (382,161)	\$ (20,014)	\$ (39,162)		

Our cash flow from investing activities consists primarily of capital expenditures, leasehold improvements, software and business acquisitions. Cash outflows in 2010 were primarily due to the acquisitions of STI on January 15, 2010 for \$236.1 million, S&IS on October 8, 2010 for \$59.9 million and MTCSC on December 23, 2010 for \$73.0 million as well as capital expenditures for \$10.3 million. Cash outflows in 2009 were primarily due to the acquisition of DDK on March 13, 2009 for \$14.0 million, as well as purchases of equipment and software for internal use. Cash outflows in 2008 were primarily from our acquisition of ETG on August 29, 2008 for \$25.1 million, our acquisition of EWA on November 28, 2008 for \$12.4 million and purchases of equipment and software for internal use.

Cash Flows from Financing Activities

	Year	Ended Decembe	er 31,
	2010	2009	2008
		(in thousands)	
Net cash flow from financing activities:	\$ 209,355	\$ (30,418)	\$ (91,777)

Cash flow from financing during 2010 resulted primarily from the issuance of 7.25% senior unsecured notes for \$200.0 million and the proceeds from the exercise of stock options, offset by debt issuance costs. The proceeds from our notes issuance were utilized to payoff outstanding amounts under our credit facility. Cash flow from financing during 2009 resulted primarily from the payments under our credit facility of \$44.1 million partially offset by the proceeds from the exercise of stock options of \$12.6 million. Cash used in financing activities during 2008 resulted primarily from paying down our credit facility with cash from operations; this was slightly offset by borrowings under our credit facility to finance our acquisitions of EWA and ETG and proceeds from the exercise of stock options.

Revolving Credit Facility

On December 18, 2009, we amended our revolving credit agreement to allow for additional flexibility in negative covenants, and specifically to increase the allowable acquisition limitation, the amount of unsecured debt the Company may have and the amount of stock that we may repurchase pursuant to a share repurchase program.

Table of Contents

The amended credit agreement provides for a revolving credit facility of up to \$350.0 million. The credit agreement includes a \$25.0 million letter of credit sublimit and a \$30.0 million swing line loan sublimit. The maturity date for the credit agreement is April 30, 2012.

Borrowings under the amended credit agreement are collateralized by our assets and bear interest at one of the following rates as selected by the Company: a LIBOR-based rate plus market-rate spreads that are determined based on the Company s leverage ratio calculation (1.625% to 2.5%), or the lender s base rate (plus spreads of 0.75% up to 1.5%), which is the highest of the Prime Rate, the sum of 0.5% plus the Federal Funds Rate, and, except during a Eurodollar Unavailability Period, 1.00% plus the Eurodollar Rate.

The terms of the credit agreement permit prepayment and termination of the loan commitments at any time, subject to certain conditions. The credit agreement requires the Company to comply with specified financial covenants, including the maintenance of a certain leverage ratio and fixed charge coverage ratio. The credit agreement also contains various covenants, including affirmative covenants with respect to certain reporting requirements and maintaining certain business activities, and negative covenants that, among other things, may limit our ability to incur liens, incur additional indebtedness, make investments, make acquisitions, pay cash dividends and undertake certain additional actions. As of December 31, 2010, we were in compliance with our financial covenants under the Credit Agreement.

At December 31, 2010 and 2009, there was no outstanding balance under our credit facility.

7.25% Senior Unsecured Notes

Effective April 13, 2010, the Company issued \$200.0 million of 7.25% senior unsecured notes in a private placement that were resold inside the United States to qualified institutional buyers in reliance on Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933, and outside the United States to non-U.S. persons in reliance on Regulation S under Securities Act of 1933. A portion of the proceeds from the issuance was used to pay-off the outstanding balance under our credit agreement.

Pursuant to the terms of the registration rights agreement entered into in connection with the issuance of the 7.25% senior unsecured notes, on August 19, 2010 ManTech completed the exchange of \$200.0 million in aggregate principal amount of 7.25% senior unsecured notes due 2018 that are registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, for all of the then outstanding unregistered 7.25% senior unsecured notes due 2018.

As of December 31, 2010, the Company was in compliance with all covenants required by the indenture.

We believe the capital resources available to us under our credit agreement with up to \$350.0 million in loan commitments and cash from our operations are adequate to fund our ongoing operations and to support the internal growth we expect to achieve for at least the next twelve months. We anticipate financing our external growth from acquisitions and our longer-term internal growth through one or more of the following sources: cash from operations; use of the existing revolving facility; additional senior unsecured notes; and additional borrowing or issuance of equity.

Short-Term Borrowings

From time to time, we borrow funds against our revolving credit facility for working capital requirements and funding of operations as well as acquisitions. Borrowings under our revolving credit facility bear interest at one of the following rates as selected by the Company: a LIBOR-based rate plus market-rate spreads that are determined based on the Company s leverage ratio calculation (1.625% to 2.5%), or the lender s base rate (plus spreads of 0.75% up to 1.5%). In April of 2010, we used the proceeds from the issuance of the 7.25% senior unsecured notes to repay all outstanding borrowings under our revolving credit facility. Since then, we have not drawn any funds against the revolving credit facility. In the next twelve months we may use, as needed, our revolving credit facility in order to fund our ongoing operations and support our internal and external growth.

The following table summarizes the activity under our revolving credit facility for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008:

	Year	Year Ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008	
		(in thousands)		
Borrowings under revolving credit facility	\$ 287,700	\$ 529,125	\$ 779,400	
Repayment of borrowings under revolving credit facility	\$ 287,700	\$ 573,225	\$ 900,300	
Cash Management				

To the extent possible, we invest our available cash in short-term, investment grade securities in accordance with our investment policy. Under our investment policy, we manage our investments, in accordance with the priorities of maintaining the safety of our principal, maintaining the liquidity of our investments, maximizing the yield on our investments and investing our cash to the fullest extent possible. Our investment policy provides that no investment security can have a final maturity that exceeds six months, that the weighted average maturity of the portfolio cannot exceed 60 days, and that a minimum of 10 percent of our investment must be readily convertible into cash.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

None.

Contractual Obligations

Our contractual obligations as of December 31, 2010 are as follows (in thousands):

		Payments Due By Period					
Contractual Obligations	Total	Less than 1 Year	1-3 Years	3-5 Years	More than 5 Years		
Debt obligations (1)	\$ 200,000	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 200,000		
Interest on fixed rate debt (1)	108,750	14,500	29,000	29,000	36,250		
Operating lease obligations (2)	130,787	31,470	45,295	23,618	30,404		
Other long-term liabilities (3)	7,495	972	3,336	2,021	1,166		
Accrued defined benefit obligations (4)	1,463	145	294	279	745		
Total	\$ 448,495	\$ 47,087	\$ 77,925	\$ 54,918	\$ 268,565		

- (1) See Note 8 to our consolidated financial statements in Item 8 for additional information regarding debt and related matters.
- (2) Operating lease obligations have been reduced for the related amount disclosed in other long-term liabilities as deferred rent (see below). See Note 9 to our consolidated financial statements in Item 8 for additional information regarding operating leases.
- (3) Other long-term liabilities at December 31, 2010 included approximately \$5.5 million of deferred rent liabilities resulting from recording rent expenses on a straight-line basis over the life of the respective lease. Also included in other long-term liabilities is a gross unrecognized tax benefit liability of \$2.0 million.

(4)

Accrued defined benefit obligation includes approximately \$1.5 million of unfunded pension obligations related to nonqualified supplemental defined benefit pension plans for certain retired employees of an acquired company. The amounts above are subject to change based on actuarial as well as the vital status of participants. This obligation is included in the accrued retirement amount on our consolidated balance sheets. In addition, the accrued retirement amount on our consolidated balance sheets includes amounts for one non-qualified deferred compensation plan for certain highly compensated employees. The funds deferred by the employees are invested and these investment assets are maintained in rabbi trusts. The rabbi trusts assets are reflected in the Employee Supplemental Savings Plan Assets on our consolidated balance sheets. Because these liabilities will be satisfied by assets held in rabbi trusts, the amounts have been excluded from the above table.

Critical Accounting Estimates and Policies

Critical accounting policies are defined as those that are reflective of significant judgments and uncertainties, and potentially result in materially different results under different assumptions and conditions. Application of these policies is particularly important to the portrayal of our financial condition and results of operations. The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based on our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these consolidated financial statements requires management to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amount of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. Our significant accounting policies, including the critical policies listed below, are more fully described in the notes to our consolidated financial statements included in this report.

Revenue Recognition and Cost Estimation

We recognize revenues when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, services have been rendered, the contract price is fixed or determinable and collectability is reasonably assured. We have a standard internal process that we use to determine whether all required criteria for revenue recognition have been met.

Our revenues consist primarily of services provided by our employees and the pass through of costs for materials and subcontract efforts under contracts with our customers. Cost of services consists primarily of compensation expenses for program personnel, the fringe benefits associated with this compensation and other direct expenses incurred to complete programs, including cost of materials and subcontract efforts.

We derive the majority of our revenue from cost-plus-fixed-fee, cost-plus-award-fee, firm-fixed-price or time-and-materials contracts. Revenues for cost-reimbursable contracts are recorded as reimbursable costs are incurred, including an estimated share of the applicable contractual fees earned. For performance-based fees under cost-reimbursable contracts, that are subject to the Accounting Standards Codifications (ASC) 605-35, Construction-Type and Certain Production-Type Contracts, we recognize the relevant portion of the expected fee to be awarded by the client at the time such fee can be reasonably estimated, based on factors such as our prior award experience and communications with the client regarding performance. For cost-reimbursable contracts with performance-based fee incentives that are subject to the provisions of SEC Topic 13, Revenue Recognition, we recognize the relevant portion of the fee upon customer approval. For time-and-material contracts, revenue is recognized to the extent of billable rates times hours delivered plus material and other reimbursable costs incurred. For long-term fixed-price production contracts, revenue is recognized at a rate per unit as the units are delivered or by other methods to measure services provided. Revenue from other long-term fixed-price contracts is recognized ratably over the contract period or by other appropriate methods to measure services provided. Contract costs are expensed as incurred except for certain limited long-term contracts noted below. For long-term contracts as described in ASC 605-35, we apply the percentage of completion method. Under the percentage of completion method, income is recognized at a consistent profit margin over the period of performance based on estimated profit margins at completion of the contract. This method of accounting requires estimating the total revenues and total contract cost at completion of the contract. During the performance of long-term contracts, these estimates are periodically reviewed and revisions are made as required. The impact on revenue and contract profit as a result of these revisions is included in the periods in which the revisions are made. This method can result in the deferral of costs or the deferral of profit on these contracts. Because we assume the risk of performing a fixed-price contract at a set price, the failure to accurately estimate ultimate costs or to control costs during performance of the work could result, and in some instances has resulted, in reduced profits or losses for such contracts. Estimated losses on contracts at completion are recognized when identified. In certain circumstances, revenues are recognized when contract amendments have not been finalized.

43

Table of Contents

Accounting for Business Combinations and Goodwill

The purchase price of an acquired business is allocated to the tangible assets, financial assets and separately recognized intangible assets acquired less liabilities assumed based upon their respective fair values, with the excess recorded as goodwill. Such fair value assessments require judgments and estimates that can be affected by contract performance and other factors over time, which may cause final amounts to differ materially from original estimates.

We review goodwill at least annually for impairment. We have elected to perform this review annually during the second quarter of each calendar year. No adjustments were necessary as a result of this review during the quarter end June 30, 2010.

Whenever events and changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of long-lived asset may not be fully recoverable, we evaluate the probability that future undiscounted net cash flows, without interest charges, will be less than carrying amount of assets. If any impairment were indicated as a result of this review, we recognize a loss based on the amount by which that carrying amount exceeds the estimated fair value.

Due to the many variables inherent in the estimation of a reporting unit s fair value and the relative size of the Company s recorded goodwill, differences in assumptions may have a material effect on the results of the Company s impairment analysis.

Accounting Standards Updates

In July 2010, Accounting Standards Update No. 2010-20, *Receivables (Topic 310): Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance of Credit Losses*, was issued. This Update amends Topic 310 to expand the disclosures requirements and provide users with greater transparency about an entity s allowance for credit losses and the quality of its financing receivables. As a result of these amendments, an entity is required to disaggregate by portfolio segment or class certain existing disclosures and provide certain new disclosures about its financing receivables and related allowance for credit losses. The expanded disclosures do not apply to trade accounts receivable that have a contractual maturity of one year or less and that arose from the sale of goods or services. For public entities, the disclosures as of the end of a reporting period are effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending on or after December 15, 2010. The adoption of Accounting Standards Update No. 2010-20 did not have a significant impact on our disclosures, as the majority of our trade receivables have a maturity of less than one year and result from sales to the U.S. government, which is deemed to be free of credit risk.

In April 2010, Accounting Standards Update No. 2010-17, *Revenue Recognition Milestone Method (Topic 605): Milestone Method of Revenue Recognition a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force*, was issued. This Update provides guidance on defining a milestone under Topic 605 and determining when it may be appropriate to apply the milestone method of revenue recognition for research or development transactions. Consideration that is contingent on achievement of a milestone in its entirety may be recognized as revenue in the period in which the milestone is achieved only if the milestone is judged to meet certain criteria to be considered substantive. Milestones should be considered substantive in their entirety and may not be bifurcated. An arrangement may contain both substantive and nonsubstantive milestones that should be evaluated individually. The amendments in this Update are effective on a prospective basis for milestones achieved in fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning on or after June 15, 2010. Early adoption is permitted. The adoption of Accounting Standards Update No. 2010-17 is not expected to have a significant impact on the company s results of operations or financial position.

In February 2010, Accounting Standards Update No. 2010-09, Subsequent Events (Topics 855): Amendments to Certain Recognition and Disclosure Requirements, was issued. This Update addresses both the interaction of the requirements of ASC 885, Subsequent Events, with the SEC s reporting requirements and the

44

intended breadth of the reissuance disclosures provision related to subsequent events. The amendments in this Update affect all entities. The amendments remove the requirement for an SEC filer to disclose a date in both issued and revised financial statements. Revised financial statements include financial statements revised as a result of either correction of an error or retrospective application of U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP). Additionally, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) has clarified that if the financial statements have been revised, then an entity that is not an SEC filer should disclose both the date that the financial statements were issued or available to be issued and the date the revised financial statements were issued or available to be issued. All of the amendments in this Update are effective upon issuance of the final Update, except for the use of the issued date for conduit debt obligors. The amendment was effective for interim or annual periods ending after June 15, 2010. The adoption of Accounting Standards Update No. 2010-09 did not have a significant impact on the company s results of operation or financial position.

In January 2010, Accounting Standards Update No. 2010-06, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (Topic 820): Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements, was issued. All entities that are required to make disclosures about recurring or nonrecurring fair value measurements are affected by the amendments in this Update. This Update provides amendments to Subtopic 820-10 that requires a reporting entity should disclose separately the amounts of significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements and describe the reasons for the transfers. In addition, it requires that in the reconciliation for fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3), a reporting entity should present separately information about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements (that is, on a gross basis rather than as one net number). This Update provides amendments to Subtopic 820-10 that clarifies existing disclosures. Specifically, a reporting entity should provide fair value measurement disclosures for each class of assets and liabilities. A class is often a subset of assets or liabilities within a line item in the statement of financial position. A reporting entity needs to use judgment in determining the appropriate classes of assets and liabilities. Also, a reporting entity should provide disclosures about the valuation techniques and inputs used to measure fair value for both recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements. Those disclosures are required for fair value measurements that fall in either Level 2 or Level 3. This Update also includes conforming amendments to the guidance on employers disclosures about postretirement benefit plan assets (Subtopic 715-20). The conforming amendments to Subtopic 715-20 change the terminology from major categories of assets to classes of assets and provide a cross reference to the guidance in Subtopic 820-10 on how to determine appropriate classes to present fair value disclosures. The new disclosures and clarifications of existing disclosures are effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009, except for the disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements in the roll forward of activity in Level 3 fair value measurements. Those disclosures are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010, and for interim periods within those fiscal years. The adoption of Accounting Standards Update No. 2010-06 is not expected to have a significant impact on the company s results of operations or financial position.

In January 2010, Accounting Standards Update No. 2010-02, Consolidation (Topic 810): Accounting and Reporting for Decreases in Ownership of Subsidiaries a Scope Clarification, was issued. The objective of this Update is to address implementation issues related to the changes in ownership provisions in ASC 810-10, Consolidation Overall. The amendments in this Update affect accounting and reporting by an entity that experiences a decrease in ownership in a subsidiary that is business or non-profit. The amendments also affect accounting and reporting by an entity that exchanges a group of assets that constitutes a business or non-profit activity for an equity interest in another entity. The amendments affect entities that have previously adopted the decrease in ownership provisions of ASC 810-10 but have applied the guidance in that Subtopic differently from the guidance provided in the Update. This Update provides amendments to ASC 810-10 and related guidance within U.S. GAAP to clarify the scope of the decrease in ownership provision of the Subtopic and related guidance applies to a subsidiary or group of assets that is a business or non-profit activity; a subsidiary that is a business or non-profit activity that is transferred to an equity method investee or joint venture; and an exchange of a group that constitutes a business or non-profit activity for a noncontrolling interest in an entity. The amendments in this Update expand the disclosures about the deconsolidation of a subsidiary or derecognition of a group of assets within the scope of ASC 810-10. In addition to the existing disclosures, an entity should disclose

45

the valuation techniques used to measure the fair value of any retained investment in the former subsidiary or group of assets and information that enables users of its financial statements to assess the input used to develop the measurement; the nature of continuing involvement with the subsidiary or entity the group of assets after it has been deconsolidated or derecognized; and whether the transaction that resulted in the deconsolidation of the subsidiary or the derecognition of the group of assets was with a related party or whether the former subsidiary or entity acquiring the group of assets will be a related party after deconsolidation. An entity also should disclose the valuation techniques used to measure an entity interest in an acquiree held by the entity immediately before the acquisition date in a business combination achieved in stages. The amendments in this Update are effective beginning in the period that an entity adopts Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) 160, which was codified in July 2009 in ASC 810-10. If an entity has previously adopted SFAS 160 as of the date the amendments in this Update are included in the ASC, the amendments in this Update are effective beginning in the first interim or annual reporting period ending on or after December 15, 2009. The amendments in this Update should be applied retrospectively to the first period that an entity adopted SFAS 160. The adoption of Accounting Standards Update No. 2010-02 did not have a significant impact on the company s results of operations or financial position.

In December 2009, Accounting Standards Update No. 2009-17, Consolidation (Topic 810): Improvements to Financial Reporting by Enterprises Involved with Variable Interest Entities, was issued. The amendments in this Update to the Accounting Standards Codification are the result of FASB Statement No. 167, Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R). That Statement was issued by the Board on June 12, 2009. The adoption of Accounting Standards Update No. 2010-17 did not have a significant impact on the company s results of operations or financial position.

In October 2009, Accounting Standards Update No. 2009-13, Revenue Recognition (Topic 605): Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force, was issued. The objective of this Update is to address the accounting for multiple-deliverable arrangements to enable vendors to account for products or services (deliverables) separately rather than as a combined unit. Vendors often provide multiple products or services to their customers. Those deliverables often are provided at different points in time or over different time periods. Subtopic 605-25, Revenue Recognition-Multiple-Element Arrangements, establishes the accounting and reporting guidance for arrangements under which the vendor will perform multiple revenue-generating activities. Specifically, this Subtopic addresses how to separate deliverables and how to measure and allocate arrangement consideration to one or more units of accounting. The amendments in this Update will affect accounting and reporting for all vendors that enter into multiple-deliverable arrangements with their customers when those arrangements are within the scope of ASC Subtopic 605-25. The amendments in this Update significantly expand the disclosures related to a vendor s multiple-deliverable revenue arrangement. The objective of the disclosures is to provide information about the significant judgments made and changes to those judgments and about the application of the relative selling-price method affects the timing of the revenue recognition. The amendments in this Update will be effective prospectively for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010. Early adoption is permitted. The adoption of Accounting Standards Update No. 2010-13 is not expected to have a significant impact on the company s results of operations or financial position.

On June 30, 2009, Accounting Standards Update No. 2009-01, *Topic 105 Generally Accepted Accounting Principles amendments based on Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 168 The FASB Accounting Standards Codification and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, was issued. This Accounting Standards Update amends the ASC for the issuance of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 168, <i>The FASB Accounting Standards Codification* and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (SFAS 168). This Accounting Standards Update includes SFAS 168 in its entirety, including the Accounting Standards Update instructions contained in Appendix B of the Statement. The Codification is the source of authoritative U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) recognized by the FASB to be applied by non-governmental entities. Rules and interpretive releases of the SEC under authority of federal securities laws are also sources of authoritative GAAP for SEC registrants. On the effective date of SFAS 168,

46

Table of Contents

the Codification will supersede all then-existing non-SEC accounting and reporting standards. All other non-grandfathered non-SEC accounting literature not included in the Codification will become non-authoritative. SFAS 168 is effective for financial statements issued for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009. Following SFAS 168, the Board will not issue new standards in the form of Statements, FASB Staff Positions or Emerging Issues Task Force Abstracts. Instead, it will issue Accounting Standards Updates. The GAAP hierarchy will be modified to include only two levels of GAAP: authoritative and non-authoritative.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Our exposure to market risk relates to changes in interest rates for borrowings under our revolving credit facility. At December 31, 2010, we had no outstanding balance on our revolving credit facility. Borrowings under our revolving credit facility bear interest at variable rates. A hypothetical 10% increase in interest rates would have increased our annual interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2010 by less than \$0.1 million.

We do not use derivative financial instruments for speculative or trading purposes. When we have excess cash, we invest in short-term, investment grade, interest-bearing securities. Our investments are made in accordance with an investment policy. Under this policy, no investment security can have a maturity exceeding six months and the weighted average maturity of the portfolio cannot exceed 60 days.

47

Table of Contents

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Index to Consolidated Financial Statements	Page(s)
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on the Consolidated Financial Statements and Financial Statement	
Schedule	49
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2010 and 2009	50
Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008	51
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008	52
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders Equity for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008	53
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008	54
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	55 82

48

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of

ManTech International Corporation

Fairfax, Virginia

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of ManTech International Corporation and subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, changes in stockholders equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2010. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15. These financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of ManTech International Corporation and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2010, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. A