

DUCOMMUN INC /DE/
Form 10-K
March 01, 2006
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

x ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13
OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005

OR

.. TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13
OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 1-8174

DUCOMMUN INCORPORATED

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

23301 Wilmington Avenue, Carson, California

95-0693330

I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.

90745-6209

Edgar Filing: DUCOMMUN INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (310) 513-7280

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class	Name of each exchange on which registered
Common Stock, \$.01 par value	New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None

(Title of Class)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Table of Contents

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer " Accelerated filer x Non-accelerated filer "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes " No x

The aggregate market value of the voting and nonvoting common equity held by nonaffiliates as of the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter ended July 1, 2005 was approximately \$202 million.

The number of shares of common stock outstanding on January 31, 2006 was 10,123,491.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

The following documents are incorporated by reference:

(a) Proxy Statement for the 2006 Annual Meeting of Shareholders (the "2006 Proxy Statement"), incorporated partially in Part III hereof.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS AND RISK FACTORS

Certain statements in the Form 10-K and documents incorporated by reference contain forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Any such forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties. The Company's future financial results could differ materially from those anticipated due to the Company's dependence on conditions in the airline industry, the level of new commercial aircraft orders, production rates for Boeing commercial aircraft, the C-17 aircraft and Apache helicopter rotor blade programs, the level of defense spending, competitive pricing pressures, manufacturing inefficiencies, start-up costs and possible overruns on new contracts, technology and product development risks and uncertainties, product performance, risks associated with acquisitions and dispositions of businesses by the Company, increasing consolidation of customers and suppliers in the aerospace industry, availability of raw materials and components from suppliers and other factors beyond the Company's control. See the Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, Risk Factors, and other matters discussed in this Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

GENERAL

Ducommun Incorporated (Ducommun or the Company), through its subsidiaries, designs, engineers and manufactures aerostructure and electromechanical components and subassemblies principally for domestic and foreign commercial aircraft, military and space programs. Domestic commercial aircraft programs include the Boeing 737NG, 747, 767 and 777. Foreign commercial aircraft programs include the Airbus Industrie A330, A340 and A340-600 aircraft, Bombardier business and regional jets, and the Embraer 145 and 170/190. Major military programs include the Boeing C-17, F-15 and F-18, Lockheed Martin F-16, various Sikorsky, Bell, Boeing, Augusta and Carson helicopter programs, and various aircraft and shipboard electronics upgrade programs. Space programs include the Space Shuttle external tank, and various commercial and military space launch and satellite programs. The Company's contract for the Space Shuttle program was terminated in January 2006. Ducommun is the successor to a business founded in California in 1849, first incorporated in California in 1907, and reincorporated in Delaware in 1970.

On January 6, 2006, the Company acquired Miltec Corporation (Miltec), a privately-owned company based in Huntsville, Alabama for \$50,000,000 (including assumed indebtedness) plus contingent payments not to exceed \$3,000,000. The purchase price is subject to adjustment based on a closing balance sheet and certain tax refunds. Miltec is a leading provider of missile and aerospace systems design, development, integration and test. The acquisition will be accounted for under the purchase method of accounting. The cost of the acquisition will be allocated on the basis of the estimated fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed. The acquisition was funded from internally generated cash, notes to the sellers, and borrowings of approximately \$27,000,000 under the Company's credit agreement.

On or about January 2, 2004, the Company formally combined several of its businesses. Ducommun AeroStructures, Inc., was formed through the merger of the Company's wholly owned subsidiaries, Aerochem, Inc., AHF-Ducommun Incorporated, CSD Holdings, Inc. and Parsons Precision Products, Inc., and the distribution of assets of Composite Structures, LLC. Ducommun Technologies, Inc., was formed through the merger of the Company's wholly-owned subsidiaries, Ducommun Technologies, Inc., and MechTronics of Arizona Corp.

In August 2003, the Company acquired the assets of DBP Microwave, Inc. (DBP), a privately held company based in Azusa, California for \$2,322,000 in cash and a \$400,000 nonnegotiable promissory note. DBP subsequently was merged into the Ducommun Technologies, Inc. subsidiary. In August 2001, Ducommun, acquired certain assets of the Fort Defiance, Arizona operation of Packard Hughes Interconnect Wiring Systems, a subsidiary of Delphi Automotive Systems Corp. (Fort Defiance), for \$4,590,000 in cash. In June 2001, Ducommun acquired all of the units of Composite Structures, LLC (Composite Structures) for \$47,966,000 in cash and \$5,354,000 in nonnegotiable promissory notes.

In October 2002, Ducommun sold the capital stock of its airline seating manufacturing subsidiary, Brice Manufacturing Company, Inc. (Brice), for \$1,300,000 in cash. Brice has been classified as a discontinued operation in the accompanying financial statements. In August 2002, Ducommun shut down its Chatsworth, California facility (which employed approximately

Table of Contents

47 people at year-end 2001), and transferred a portion of the business to its MechTronics of Arizona Corp. subsidiary in Phoenix, Arizona.

PRODUCTS AND SERVICES

Ducommun operates in two business segments: Ducommun AeroStructures, Inc. (DAS), engineers and manufactures aerospace structural components and subassemblies, and Ducommun Technologies, Inc. (DTI), designs, engineers and manufactures electromechanical components and subsystems principally for the aerospace and military markets. DAS provides aluminum stretch-forming, titanium hot-forming, machining, composite lay-up, metal bonding, and chemical milling services. DTI designs and manufactures illuminated push button switches and panels, microwave switches and filters, fractional horsepower motors and resolvers, and mechanical and electromechanical subassemblies.

Business Segment Information

The Company supplies products and services to the aerospace industry. The Company's subsidiaries are organized into two strategic businesses (DAS and DTI), each of which is a reportable operating segment. The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those of the Company, as described in Note 1, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies.

Ducommun AeroStructures, Inc.: Aerostructure Products

Stretch-Forming, Hot-Forming and Machining

DAS supplies the aerospace industry with engineering and manufacturing of complex components using stretch-forming and hot-forming processes and computer-controlled machining. Stretch-forming is a process for manufacturing large, complex structural shapes primarily from aluminum sheet metal extrusions. DAS has some of the largest and most sophisticated stretch-forming presses in the United States. Hot-forming is a metal working process conducted at high temperature for manufacturing close-tolerance titanium components. DAS designs and manufactures the tooling required for the production of parts in both forming processes. Certain components manufactured by DAS are machined with precision milling equipment, including three 5-axis gantry profile milling machines and five 5-axis numerically-controlled routers to provide computer-controlled machining and inspection of complex parts up to 100 feet long.

Composites and Metal Bonding

DAS engineers and manufactures metal, fiberglass and carbon composite aerostructures. DAS produces helicopter main and tail rotor blades, and adhesive bonded assemblies, including spoilers and fuselage structural panels for aircraft.

Chemical Milling

DAS is a major supplier of close tolerance chemical milling services for the aerospace industry. Chemical milling removes material in specific patterns to reduce weight in areas where full material thickness is not required. This sophisticated etching process enables DAS to produce lightweight, high-strength designs that would be impractical to produce by conventional means. DAS offers production-scale chemical milling on aluminum, titanium, steel, nickel-base and super alloys. Jet engine components, wing leading edges and fuselage skins are examples of products that require chemical milling.

Table of Contents

Ducommun Technologies, Inc.: Electromechanical Products

Switches and Related Components

DTI develops, designs and manufactures illuminated switches, switch assemblies and keyboard panels used in many military aircraft, helicopter, commercial aircraft and spacecraft programs. DTI manufactures switches and panels where high reliability is a prerequisite. DTI also develops, designs and manufactures microwave switches, filters and other components used principally on commercial and military aircraft and satellites. In addition, DTI develops, designs and manufactures high precision actuators, stepper motors, fractional horsepower motors and resolvers principally for space applications.

Mechanical and Electromechanical Subassemblies

DTI is a leading manufacturer of mechanical and electromechanical assemblies for the defense electronics and commercial aircraft markets. DTI has a fully integrated manufacturing capability, including manufacturing engineering, fabrication, machining, assembly, electronic integration and related processes. DTI's products include sophisticated radar enclosures, gyroscopes and indicators, aircraft avionics racks, and shipboard communications and control enclosures.

SALES AND MARKETING

The Company's commercial business is represented on many of today's major commercial aircraft, including the Boeing 737NG, 747, 767 and 777 and the Airbus A330/340. Sales related to commercial business were approximately 35% of total sales in both 2005 and 2004. The Company's commercial sales depend substantially on aircraft manufacturers' production rates, which in turn depend upon deliveries of new aircraft. Deliveries of new aircraft by aircraft manufacturers are dependent on the financial capacity of the airlines and leasing companies to purchase the aircraft. Sales of commercial aircraft could be affected as a result of changes in new aircraft orders, or the cancellation or deferral by airlines of purchases of ordered aircraft. The Company's sales for commercial aircraft programs also could be affected by changes in its customers' inventory levels and changes in its customers' aircraft production build rates.

Military components manufactured by the Company are employed in many of the country's front-line fighters, bombers, helicopters and support aircraft, as well as many sea-based vehicles. The Company's defense business is diversified among military manufacturers and programs. Sales related to military programs were approximately 61% of total sales in both 2005 and 2004. In the space sector, the Company produced in 2005 and earlier years components for the expendable fuel tanks which help boost the Space Shuttle vehicle into orbit. The Company's contract for the Space Shuttle program was terminated in January 2006. Components are also produced for a variety of unmanned launch vehicles and satellite programs. Sales related to space programs were approximately 4% of total sales in both 2005 and 2004.

A major portion of sales is derived from United States government defense programs and space programs, subjecting the Company to various laws and regulations that are more restrictive than those applicable to the private sector. These defense and space programs could be adversely affected by reductions in defense spending and other government budgetary pressures which would result in reductions, delays or stretch-outs of existing and future programs. Additionally, the Company's contracts may be

subject to reductions or modifications

Table of Contents

in the event of changes in government requirements. Although the Company's fixed-price contracts generally permit it to realize increased profits if costs are less than projected, the Company bears the risk that increased or unexpected costs may reduce profits or cause losses on the contracts. The accuracy and appropriateness of certain costs and expenses used to substantiate the Company's direct and indirect costs for the United States government are subject to extensive regulation and audit by the Defense Contract Audit Agency, an arm of the Department of Defense. In addition, many of the Company's contracts covering defense and space programs are subject to termination at the convenience of the customer (as well as for default). In the event of termination for convenience, the customer generally is required to pay the costs incurred by the Company and certain other fees through the date of termination. In January 2006 the Company received from its customer a contract termination notice, terminating all work at the convenience of the customer, for the production of components for the expendable fuel tanks for the Space Shuttle program.

MAJOR CUSTOMERS

The Company had substantial sales to Boeing, Raytheon and Lockheed Martin. During 2005, sales to Boeing were \$114,549,000, or 45.9% of total sales; sales to Raytheon were \$23,071,000, or 9.2% of total sales; and sales to Lockheed Martin were \$18,995,000, or 7.6% of total sales. Sales to Boeing, Raytheon and Lockheed Martin are diversified over a number of different commercial, military and space programs.

INFORMATION ABOUT FOREIGN AND DOMESTIC OPERATIONS AND EXPORT SALES

In 2005, 2004 and 2003, sales to foreign customers worldwide were \$21,092,000, \$17,437,000 and \$12,280,000, respectively. The amounts of revenues, profitability and identifiable assets attributable to foreign sales activity were not material when compared with the revenue, profitability and identifiable assets attributed to United States domestic operations during 2005, 2004 and 2003. The Company had no sales to a foreign country greater than 5% of total sales in 2005, 2004 and 2003. The Company is not subject to any significant foreign currency risks since all sales are made in United States dollars.

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

The Company performs concurrent engineering with its customers and product development activities under Company-funded programs and under contracts with others. Concurrent engineering and product development activities are performed for commercial, military and space applications.

RAW MATERIALS AND COMPONENTS

Raw materials and components used in the manufacture of the Company's products, including aluminum, steel and carbon fibers, generally are available from a number of vendors and are generally in adequate supply. However, the Company has experienced increases in lead times for, and a deterioration in availability of, aluminum, titanium and certain other materials. Moreover, certain components, supplies and raw materials for the Company's operations are purchased from single sources. In such instances, the Company strives to develop alternative sources and design modifications to minimize the effect of business interruptions.

COMPETITION

The aerospace industry is highly competitive, and the Company's products and services are affected by varying degrees of competition. The Company competes worldwide with United

Table of Contents

States and international companies in most markets it services, some of which are substantially larger and have greater financial, sales, technical and personnel resources. Larger competitors offering a wider array of products and services than those offered by the Company can have a competitive advantage by offering potential customers bundled products and services that the Company cannot match. The Company's ability to compete depends principally on the quality of its goods and services, competitive pricing, product performance, design and engineering capabilities, new product innovation and the ability to solve specific customer problems.

PATENTS AND LICENSES

The Company has several patents, but it does not believe that its operations are dependent on any single patent or group of patents. In general, the Company relies on technical superiority, continual product improvement, exclusive product features, superior lead time, on-time delivery performance, quality and customer relationships to maintain its competitive advantage.

BACKLOG

Backlog is subject to delivery delays or program cancellations, which are beyond the Company's control. As of December 31, 2005, backlog believed to be firm was approximately \$292,291,000, compared to \$305,352,000 at December 31, 2004. Approximately \$167,000,000 of total backlog is expected to be delivered during 2006. The backlog at December 31, 2005 included approximately \$104,950,000 of backlog for the Apache helicopter program, \$37,249,000 of backlog for the C-17 program, and \$34,284,000 of backlog for the 737/737NG program. Backlog at December 31, 2005 excluded all of the backlog for the Space Shuttle program. Trends in the Company's overall level of backlog, however, may not be indicative of trends in future sales because the Company's backlog is affected by timing differences in the placement of customer orders and because the Company's backlog tends to be concentrated in several programs to a greater extent than the Company's sales.

ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS AND LEGAL

The Company's business, operations and facilities are subject to numerous stringent federal, state and local environmental laws and regulations issued by government agencies, including the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Among other matters, these regulatory authorities impose requirements that regulate the emission, discharge, generation, management, transportation and disposal of hazardous materials, pollutants and contaminants. These regulations govern public and private response actions to hazardous or regulated substances that may be or have been released to the environment, and they require the Company to obtain and maintain licenses and permits in connection with its operations. The Company may also be required to investigate and remediate the effects of the release or disposal of materials at sites associated with past and present operations. Additionally, this extensive regulatory framework imposes significant compliance burdens and risks on the Company. The Company anticipates that capital expenditures will continue to be required for the foreseeable future to upgrade and maintain its environmental compliance efforts. The Company does not expect to spend a material amount on capital expenditures for environmental compliance during 2006.

The DAS chemical milling business uses various acid and alkaline solutions in the chemical milling process, resulting in potential environmental hazards. Despite existing waste recovery systems and continuing capital expenditures for waste reduction and management, at

Table of Contents

least for the immediate future, this business will remain dependent on the availability and cost of remote hazardous waste disposal sites or other alternative methods of disposal.

The DAS facility located in El Mirage, California has been directed by California environmental agencies to investigate and take corrective action for groundwater contamination. Based upon currently available information, the Company has established a provision for the cost of such investigation and corrective action. DAS expects to spend approximately \$1.5 million for future investigation and corrective action for groundwater contamination and post-closure maintenance of the closed hazardous waste facility at its El Mirage location. However, the Company's ultimate liability in connection with the contamination will depend upon a number of factors, including changes in existing laws and regulations, and the design and cost of the construction, operation and maintenance of the corrective action.

The Company's subsidiary, Composite Structures, LLC (Composite), and several other companies have been ordered by a California environmental agency to investigate and clean up soil and groundwater contamination at its Monrovia, California facility. Composite has filed a petition for review of the order.

A California environmental agency has issued an order to DAS and other companies and government entities which allegedly sent hazardous waste to a landfill in West Covina, California. The order directs DAS and the other companies and government entities to take over the closure and post-closure operation of the landfill and to take certain other actions. The Company, at this time, is unable to estimate reliably its liability in connection with the landfill. Based on currently available information, the Company preliminarily estimates that the range of its future liability in connection with the landfill is between approximately \$369,000 and \$3.0 million. The Company accrued liabilities at December 31, 2005 included the minimum amount of the range of approximately \$369,000.

The Orange County Water District has filed a lawsuit against American Electronics, Inc. (AEI), a subsidiary of the Company, and other companies, to recover damages, relating to contamination of groundwater within the District. The Company is defending the lawsuit, and has notified the former owners of AEI of their contractual indemnification obligations to the Company in connection with the lawsuit.

In the normal course of business, Ducommun and its subsidiaries are defendants in certain other litigation, claims and inquiries, including matters relating to environmental laws. In addition, the Company makes various commitments and incurs contingent liabilities. While it is not feasible to predict the outcome of these matters, the Company does not presently expect that any sum it may be required to pay in connection with these matters would have a material adverse effect on its consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

EMPLOYEES

At December 31, 2005 the Company employed 1,353 persons. The Company's DAS subsidiary is a party to collective bargaining agreements with labor unions at its Monrovia, California facility. Under these agreements, the Company currently employs 317 full-time employees, all of whom are members of labor unions. If the unionized workers were to engage in a strike or other work stoppage, if DAS is unable to negotiate acceptable collective bargaining agreements with the unions, or if other employees were to become unionized, the Company could experience a significant disruption of the Company's operations and higher ongoing labor costs, which could have an adverse effect on its business and results of operations. The

Table of Contents

Company has not experienced any material labor-related work stoppage and considers its relations with its employees to be good.

AVAILABLE INFORMATION

The Company's Internet website address is www.ducommun.com. The company makes available through its Internet website its annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports as soon as reasonably practicable after filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

The Company's business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows may be affected by known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors. Any of these risks, uncertainties and other factors could cause the Company's future financial results to differ materially from recent financial results or from currently anticipated future financial results. In addition to those noted elsewhere in this report, the Company is subject to the following risks and uncertainties:

Aerospace Markets Are Cyclical

The aerospace markets in which the Company sells its products are cyclical and have experienced periodic declines. The Company's sales are, therefore, unpredictable and tend to fluctuate based on a number of factors, including economic conditions and developments affecting the aerospace industry and the customers served. Although the market for the Company's products sold for new commercial aircraft production currently appears to be experiencing a slight improvement, any downturn in commercial aircraft production could have a negative impact on the Company's business, financial condition and operating results.

Military and Space-Related Products Are Dependent Upon Government Spending

The Company estimates that in 2005 approximately 65% of its sales were derived from military and space markets. These military and space markets are largely dependent upon government spending, particularly by the United States government. Changes in the levels of spending for military and space could improve or negatively impact the Company's prospects in its military and space markets. The Company has received a termination notice on the Space Shuttle program which affects virtually all of the Company's work on the program. The Company had sales of approximately \$7,396,000 for the Space Shuttle program in 2005.

The Company Is Dependent on Boeing Commercial Aircraft, the C-17 Aircraft and Apache Helicopter Programs

The Company estimates that in 2005 approximately 15% of its sales were for Boeing commercial aircraft, 12% of its sales were for the C-17 aircraft, and 20% of its sales were for the Apache helicopter. The Company's sales for Boeing commercial aircraft and the C-17 aircraft are principally for new aircraft production; and the Company's sales for the Apache helicopter are principally for

replacement rotor blades. Any significant change in production rates for these programs would have a material effect on the Company's results of operations and cash flows. In addition, there is no guarantee that the Company's current significant customers will continue to buy products from the Company at current levels. The loss of a key customer could have a material adverse effect on the Company. For example, the Company manufactures the spoilers for the Boeing 737NG aircraft (the 737 Spoilers), which contributed approximately \$15,996,000

Table of Contents

to sales in 2005. The Company has been informed that a competitor has been awarded a contract to produce the 737 Spoilers. Although the precise timing and amount of any transition of work to the competitor is presently unknown, such a transition of work may occur as early as 2008.

Terrorist Attacks May Adversely Impact the Company's Operations

There can be no assurance that the current world political and military tensions, or the United States military actions, will not lead to acts of terrorism and civil disturbances in the United States or elsewhere. These attacks may strike directly at the physical facilities of the Company, its suppliers or its customers. Such attacks could have an adverse impact on the Company's domestic and international sales, supply chain, production capabilities, insurance premiums or ability to purchase insurance, thereby adversely affecting the Company's financial position, results of operations and cash flows. In addition, the consequences of terrorist attacks and armed conflicts are unpredictable, and their long-term effects upon the Company are uncertain.

The Company Is Experiencing Competitive Pricing Pressures

The aerospace industry is highly competitive and competitive pressures may adversely affect the Company. The Company competes worldwide with a number of United States and international companies that are larger than it in terms of resources and market share. The Company is experiencing competitive pricing pressures in both its DAS and DTI businesses. These competitive pricing pressures have had, and are expected to continue to have, a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and operating results.

The Company Faces Risks of Cost Overruns and Losses on Fixed-Price Contracts

The Company sells its products under firm, fixed-price contracts providing for a fixed price for the products regardless of the production costs incurred by the Company. As a result, manufacturing inefficiencies, start-up costs and other factors may result in cost overruns and losses on contracts. The cost of producing products also may be adversely affected by increases in the cost of labor, materials, outside processing, overhead and other factors. In many cases, the Company makes multiyear firm, fixed-price commitments to its customers, without assurance that the Company's anticipated production costs will be achieved.

Risks Associated With Foreign Operations Could Adversely Impact the Company

In 2006, the Company plans to commence limited production of certain products for its DTI subsidiary at a new manufacturing facility in Thailand. The Company will incur start-up and operating expenses in connection with the Thailand facility which could be greater than expected. Doing business in Thailand and other foreign countries also is subject to various risks, including political instability, local economic conditions, foreign currency fluctuations, foreign government regulatory requirements, trade tariffs, and the potentially limited availability of skilled labor in proximity to the Company's facility.

The Company's Products and Processes Are Subject to Risks from Changes in Technology

The Company's products and processes are subject to risks of obsolescence as a result of changes in technology. To address this risk, the Company invests in product design and development, and for capital expenditures. There can be no guarantee that the Company's product design and development efforts will be successful, or that the amounts of money

Table of Contents

required to be invested for product design and development and capital expenditures will not increase materially in the future.

The Company Faces Risks Associated with Acquisitions and Dispositions of Businesses

A key element of the Company's long-term strategy has been growth through acquisitions. The Company is continuously reviewing and actively pursuing acquisitions, including acquisitions outside of its current aerospace markets. Acquisitions may require the Company to incur additional indebtedness, resulting in increased leverage. Any significant acquisition may result in a material weakening of the Company's financial position and a material increase in the Company's cost of borrowings. Acquisitions also may require the Company to issue additional equity, resulting in dilution to existing stockholders. This additional financing for acquisitions and capital expenditures may not be available on terms acceptable or favorable to the Company. Acquired businesses may not achieve anticipated results, and could result in a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. The Company also periodically reviews its existing businesses to determine if they are consistent with the Company's strategy. The Company has sold, and may sell in the future, business units and product lines, which may result in either a gain or loss on disposition.

The Company's acquisition strategy exposes it to risks, including the risk that the Company may not be able to successfully integrate acquired businesses. The Company's ability to grow by acquisition is dependent upon, among other factors, the availability of suitable acquisition candidates. Growth by acquisition involves risks that could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and operating results, including difficulties in integrating the operations and personnel of acquired companies, the potential amortization of acquired intangible assets, the potential impairment of goodwill and the potential loss of key employees of acquired companies. The Company may not be able to consummate acquisitions on satisfactory terms or, if any acquisitions are consummated, to satisfactorily integrate these acquired businesses.

Goodwill Could Be Impaired in the Future

In assessing the recoverability of the Company's goodwill at December 31, 2005, management was required to make certain critical estimates and assumptions. These estimates and assumptions included that during the next several years the Company will make improvements in manufacturing efficiency, achieve reductions in operating costs, and obtain increases in sales and backlog. If any of these or other estimates and assumptions are not realized in the future, the Company may be required to record an impairment charge for the goodwill. The goodwill of the Company was \$57,201,000 at December 31, 2005.

Significant Consolidation in the Aerospace Industry Could Adversely Affect the Company's Business and Financial Results

The aerospace industry is experiencing significant consolidation, including the Company's customers, competitors and suppliers. Consolidation among the Company's customers may result in delays in the award of new contracts and losses of existing business. Consolidation among the Company's competitors may result in larger competitors with greater resources and market share, which could adversely affect the Company's ability to compete successfully. Consolidation among the Company's suppliers may result in fewer sources of supply and increased cost to the Company.

Table of Contents

The Company's Failure to Meet Quality or Delivery Expectations of Customers Could Adversely Affect the Company's Business and Financial Results

The Company's customers have increased, and are expected to increase further in the future, their expectations with respect to the on-time delivery and quality of the Company's products. In many cases, the Company does not presently satisfy these customer expectations, particularly with respect to on-time delivery. If the Company fails to meet the quality or delivery expectations of its customers, this failure could lead to the loss of one or more significant customers of the Company.

The Company's Manufacturing Operations May Be Adversely Affected by the Availability of Raw Materials and Components from Suppliers

In some cases, the Company's customers supply raw materials and components to the Company. In other cases, the Company's customers designate specific suppliers from which the Company is directed to purchase raw materials and components. As a result, the Company may have limited control over the selection of suppliers and the timing of receipt and cost of raw materials and components from suppliers. The failure of customers and suppliers to deliver on a timely basis raw materials and components to the Company may adversely affect the Company's results of operations and cash flows. In addition, the Company has experienced increases in lead times for, and a deterioration in the availability of, aluminum, titanium and certain other materials. These problems with raw material availability could have an adverse effect on the Company's results of operations in the future.

Environmental Liabilities Could Adversely Affect the Company's Financial Results

The Company is subject to various environmental laws and regulations. The Company is investigating and taking corrective action for groundwater contamination at its DAS subsidiary's El Mirage, California site. The Company is also a potentially responsible party at certain sites at which it previously disposed of hazardous wastes or previously had manufacturing operations. There can be no assurance that future developments, lawsuits and administrative actions, and liabilities relating to environmental matters will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations or cash flows.

The DAS chemical milling business uses various acid and alkaline solutions in the chemical milling process, resulting in potential environmental hazards. Despite existing waste recovery systems and continuing capital expenditures for waste reduction and management, at least for the immediate future, this business will remain dependent on the availability and cost of remote hazardous waste disposal sites or other alternative methods of disposal.

Product Liability Claims in Excess of Insurance Could Adversely Affect the Company's Financial Results and Financial Condition

The Company faces potential liability for personal injury or death as a result of the failure of products designed or manufactured by the Company. Although the Company maintains product liability insurance, any material product liability not covered by insurance could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Damage or Destruction of the Company's Facilities Caused by Earthquake or Other Causes Could Adversely Affect the Company's Financial Results and Financial Condition

Although the Company maintains standard property casualty insurance covering its properties, the Company does not carry any earthquake insurance because of the cost of such insurance. Most of the Company's properties are located in Southern California, an area subject

Table of Contents

to frequent and sometimes severe earthquake activity. Even if covered by insurance, any significant damage or destruction of the Company's facilities could result in the inability to meet customer delivery schedules and may result in the loss of customers and significant additional costs to the Company. As a result, any significant damage or destruction of the Company's properties could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition or results of operations.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

Not applicable.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

The Company occupies approximately 15 facilities with a total office and manufacturing area of over 1,266,000 square feet, including both owned and leased properties. At December 31, 2005, facilities which were in excess of 60,000 square feet each were occupied as follows:

Location	Company	Square Feet	Expiration of Lease
El Mirage, California	Ducommun AeroStructures, Inc.	74,000	Owned
Orange, California	Ducommun AeroStructures, Inc.	76,000	Owned
Carson, California	Ducommun AeroStructures, Inc.	76,000	2008
Carson, California	Ducommun AeroStructures, Inc.	286,000	Owned
Carson, California	Ducommun Technologies, Inc.	117,000	2007
Phoenix, Arizona	Ducommun Technologies, Inc.	100,000	2012
Parsons, Kansas	Ducommun AeroStructures, Inc.	120,000	Owned
Monrovia, California	Ducommun AeroStructures, Inc.	274,000	Owned

The Company's facilities are, for the most part, fully utilized, although excess capacity exists from time to time based on product mix and demand. Management believes that these properties are in good condition and suitable for their present use.

Although the Company maintains standard property casualty insurance covering its properties, the Company does not carry any earthquake insurance because of the cost of such insurance. Most of the Company's properties are located in Southern California, an area subject to frequent and sometimes severe earthquake activity.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The Company is a defendant in a lawsuit entitled United States of America ex rel Taylor Smith, Jeannine Prewitt and James Ailes v. The Boeing Company and Ducommun Inc., filed in the United States District Court for the District of Kansas. The lawsuit is qui tam action brought against The Boeing Company (Boeing) and Ducommun on behalf of the United States of America for violations of the United States False Claims Act. The lawsuit alleges that Ducommun sold unapproved parts to the Boeing Commercial Airplanes-Wichita Division which were installed by Boeing in 32 aircraft ultimately sold to the United States government. The

lawsuit seeks damages, civil penalties and other relief from the defendants for presenting or causing to be presented false claims for payment to the United States government. Although the amount of alleged damages are not specified, the lawsuit seeks damages in an amount equal to three times the amount of damages the United States government sustained because

Table of Contents

of the defendants' actions, plus a civil penalty of \$10,000 for each false claim made on or before September 28, 1999, and \$11,000 for each false claim made on or after September 28, 1999, together with attorneys' fees and costs. The Company has filed a motion to dismiss the lawsuit, which is currently pending before the court. The Company intends to defend itself vigorously against the lawsuit. The Company, at this time, is unable to estimate what, if any, liability it may have in connection with the lawsuit.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

None.

Table of Contents**PART II****ITEM 5. MARKET FOR THE REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS**

The common stock of the Company (DCO) is listed on the New York Stock Exchange. On December 31, 2005, the Company had approximately 401 holders of record of common stock. No dividends were paid during 2005 or 2004. The following table sets forth the high and low sales prices per share for the Company's common stock as reported on the New York Stock Exchange for the fiscal periods indicated.

	2005		2004	
	High	Low	High	Low
First Quarter	\$ 22.40	\$ 18.56	\$ 25.65	\$ 21.35
Second Quarter	20.40	16.20	24.25	18.40
Third Quarter	22.37	16.90	23.49	18.90
Fourth Quarter	22.47	19.60	25.63	19.75

The following table provides information about Company purchases of equity securities that are registered by the Company pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act during the quarter ended December 31, 2005.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Period	Total Number of Shares (or Units) Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share (or Unit)	Total Number of Shares (or Units) Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number (or Approximate Dollar Value) of Shares (or Units) that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs *
Month beginning October 2, 2005 and ending October 29, 2005	0	\$ 0.00	0	\$ 4,704,000
Month beginning October 30, 2005 and ending November 26, 2005	0	\$ 0.00	0	\$ 4,704,000
Month beginning November 27, 2005 and ending December 31, 2005	0	\$ 0.00	0	\$ 4,704,000

Edgar Filing: DUCOMMUN INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

Total	<u>0</u>	\$ 0.00	<u>0</u>	\$ 4,704,000
-------	----------	---------	----------	--------------

* At December 31, 2005, \$4,704,000 remained available to repurchase common stock of the Company under stock repurchase programs previously approved by the Board of Directors. The Company did not repurchase any of its common stock during 2005, 2004 and 2003, in the open market.

Table of Contents**ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA**

Year ended December 31,	2005	2004	2003(a)	2002	2001(a)
(In thousands, except per share amounts)					
Net Sales	\$ 249,696	\$ 224,876	\$ 225,906	\$ 212,446	\$ 212,744
Gross Profit as a Percentage of Sales	20.7%	19.4%	22.4%	19.5%	26.4%
Income from Continuing Operations Before Taxes	21,120	14,465	23,144	15,504	25,188
Income Tax Expense	(5,127)	(3,293)	(6,943)	(5,582)	(9,073)
Income from Continuing Operations	15,993	11,172	16,201	9,922	16,115
Loss from Discontinued Operation, Net of Tax	-	-	-	(1,092)	(1,512)
Cumulative Effect of Accounting Change, Net of Tax	-	-	-	(2,325)	-
Net Income	\$ 15,993	\$ 11,172	\$ 16,201	\$ 6,505	\$ 14,603
Earnings Per Share:					
Basic earnings per share					
Income from continuing operations	\$ 1.59	\$ 1.12	\$ 1.64	\$ 1.01	\$ 1.67
Loss from discontinued operation, net of tax	-	-	-	(0.11)	(0.16)
Cumulative effect of accounting change, net of tax	-	-	-	(0.24)	-
Basic Earnings Per Share	\$ 1.59	\$ 1.12	\$ 1.64	\$ 0.66	\$ 1.51
Diluted earnings per share					
Income from continuing operations	\$ 1.57	\$ 1.10	\$ 1.63	\$ 0.99	\$ 1.66
Loss from discontinued operation, net of tax	-	-	-	(0.11)	(0.16)
Cumulative effect of accounting change, net of tax	-	-	-	(0.23)	-
Diluted Earnings Per Share	\$ 1.57	\$ 1.10	\$ 1.63	\$ 0.65	\$ 1.50
Working Capital	\$ 64,312	\$ 45,387	\$ 29,660	\$ 33,986	\$ 45,819
Total Assets	227,969	204,553	198,041	197,610	216,075
Long-Term Debt, Including Current Portion	-	1,200	2,585	25,850	52,298
Total Shareholders' Equity	167,851	151,491	137,750	120,442	114,602

- (a) In June 2001, the Company acquired Composite Structures, which is now a part of DAS. In August 2001 and August 2003, the Company acquired Fort Defiance and DBP, respectively, which are now part of DTI. These transactions were accounted for as purchase business combinations.

Table of Contents**ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS****Overview**

Ducommun designs, engineers and manufactures aerostructure and electromechanical components and subassemblies principally for the aerospace industry. The Company manufactures components and assemblies principally for domestic and foreign commercial and military aircraft and space programs. Domestic commercial aircraft programs include the Boeing 737NG, 747, 767 and 777. Foreign commercial aircraft programs include the Airbus Industrie A330, A340 and A340-600 aircraft, Bombardier business and regional jets, and the Embraer 145 and 170/190. Major military programs include the Boeing C-17, F-15 and F-18 and Lockheed Martin F-16, various Sikorsky, Bell, Boeing, Augusta and Carson helicopter programs, and various aircraft and shipboard electronics upgrade programs. Space programs include the Space Shuttle external fuel tank (for which the Company's contract was terminated in January 2006), and various commercial and military space launch and satellite programs. Sales, diluted earnings per share, gross profit as a percentage of sales, selling general and administrative expense as a percentage of sales, and the effective tax rate in 2005, 2004 and 2003 respectively, were as follows:

	2005	2004	2003
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Sales (in \$000's)	\$ 249,696	\$ 224,876	\$ 225,906
Diluted Earnings Per Share	\$ 1.57	\$ 1.10	\$ 1.63
Gross Profit % of Sales	20.7%	19.4%	22.4%
SG&A Expense % of Sales	12.4%	12.8%	12.4%
Effective Tax Rate	24.3%	22.8%	30.0%

The Company manufactures components and assemblies principally for domestic and foreign commercial and military aircraft and space programs. The Company's mix of military, commercial and space business in 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively, was approximately as follows:

	2005	2004	2003
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Military	61%	61%	65%
Commercial	35%	35%	30%
Space	4%	4%	5%
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Total	100%	100%	100%
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

The Company is dependent on Boeing commercial aircraft, the C-17 aircraft and the Apache helicopter programs. Sales to these programs, as a percentage of total sales, for 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively, were approximately as follows:

	2005	2004	2003
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Boeing Commercial Aircraft	15%	17%	20%
Boeing C-17 Aircraft	12%	13%	14%

Edgar Filing: DUCOMMUN INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

Boeing Apache Helicopter	20%	16%	16%
All Others	53%	54%	50%
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Total	100%	100%	100%
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

The Company's net income has fluctuated in recent years. Net income for 2005 was higher than 2004, but slightly lower than 2003. Based on currently available information, the

Table of Contents

Company expects that its net income will be lower in 2006 than in 2005. The reasons for the expected decline in net income in 2006 include (1) an unfavorable change in sales mix, (2) expenses resulting from a change in accounting for stock options, (3) the absence of further significant warranty reserve reversals (which benefited 2005 as described below), (4) a higher effective tax rate, (5) expenses for start-up of the Company's Thailand facility and facility consolidation in the United States, and (6) dilution of net income from the January 2006 acquisition of Miltec Corporation.

Critical Accounting Policies

Critical accounting policies are those accounting policies that can have a significant impact on the presentation of our financial condition and results of operations, and that require the use of subjective estimates based upon past experience and management's judgment. Because of the uncertainty inherent in such estimates, actual results may differ from these estimates. Below are those policies applied in preparing our financial statements that management believes are the most dependent on the application of estimates and assumptions. For additional accounting policies, see Note 1 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Revenue Recognition

The Company recognizes revenue when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, the price is fixed or determinable, collection is reasonably assured and delivery of products has occurred or services have been rendered. Revenue is recognized under long-term contracts on the same basis as other sale transactions.

Provision for Estimated Losses on Contracts

The Company records provisions for estimated losses on contracts in the period in which such losses are identified. The provisions for estimated losses on contracts require management to make certain estimates and assumptions, including with respect to the future revenue under a contract and the future cost to complete the contract. Management's estimate of the future cost to complete a contract may include assumptions as to improvements in manufacturing efficiency and reductions in operating and material costs. If any of these or other assumptions and estimates are not recognized in the future, the Company may be required to record additional provisions for estimated losses on contracts.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

The Company maintains an allowance for doubtful accounts for estimated losses from the inability of customers to make required payments. The allowance for doubtful accounts is evaluated periodically based on the aging of accounts receivable, the financial condition of customers and their payment history, historical write-off experience and other assumptions. The determination of the allowance for doubtful accounts requires management to make estimates as to these and other factors on the ultimate realization of accounts receivable. These estimates historically have not resulted in material adjustments in subsequent periods when the estimates were adjusted to actual amounts.

Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market, cost being determined on a first-in, first-out basis. Inventoried costs include raw materials, outside processing, direct labor and allocated overhead, but do not include any selling, general and administrative expense. Costs

Table of Contents

under long-term contracts are accumulated into, and removed from, inventory on the same basis as other contracts. The Company assesses the inventory carrying value and reduces it if necessary to its net realizable value based on customer orders on hand, and internal demand forecasts using management's best estimates given information currently available. The Company's customer demand is highly unpredictable, and can fluctuate significantly caused by factors beyond the control of the Company. The Company maintains an allowance for inventories for potentially excess and obsolete inventories and inventories that are carried at costs that are higher than their estimated net realizable values. If market conditions are less favorable than those projected by management, such as an unanticipated decline in demand not meeting expectations, inventory write-downs may be required.

Goodwill

The Company's business acquisitions have typically resulted in goodwill, which affects the amount of possible impairment expense that the Company may incur. The determination of the value of goodwill requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the Company's consolidated financial statements. The Company performs goodwill impairment tests on an annual basis in the fourth quarter and between annual tests, in certain circumstances, whenever events may indicate an impairment may have occurred. Goodwill is tested for impairment utilizing a two-step method. In the first step, the Company determines the fair value of the reporting unit using expected future discounted cash flows and other market valuation approaches. If the net book value of the reporting unit exceeds the fair value, the Company would then perform the second step of the impairment test which requires allocation of the reporting unit's fair value of all of its assets and liabilities in a manner similar to a purchase price allocation, with any residual fair value being allocated to goodwill. The fair value of the goodwill is then compared to the carrying amount to determine impairment. An impairment charge will be recognized only when the implied fair value of a reporting unit, including goodwill, is less than its carrying amount. In assessing the recoverability of the Company's goodwill, management must make assumptions regarding estimated future cash flows and other factors to determine the fair value of the respective assets. If these estimates or their related assumptions change in the future, the Company may be required to record impairment charges for these assets. In the event that a goodwill impairment charge is required, it would adversely affect the operating results and financial condition of the Company.

Acquisitions

In August 2003, the Company acquired the assets of DBP Microwave, Inc. (DBP), a privately held company based in Azusa, California for \$2,322,000 in cash and a \$400,000 nonnegotiable promissory note. DBP is a manufacturer of electromechanical RF and microwave switches for both aerospace and nonaerospace applications, and is now part of the Company's Ducommun Technologies, Inc. (DTI), subsidiary. In August 2001, the Company, acquired certain assets of the Fort Defiance, Arizona operation of Packard Hughes Interconnect Wiring Systems, a subsidiary of Delphi Automotive Systems Corp. (Fort Defiance) for \$4,590,000 in cash. Fort Defiance supplies wiring harnesses and cable assemblies for use in commercial and military aerospace applications and other military applications, and is now part of the Company's DTI subsidiary. In June 2001, the Company acquired all of the units of Composite Structures, LLC (Composite Structures), for \$47,966,000 in cash and \$5,354,000 in nonnegotiable promissory notes. Composite Structures designs and manufactures metal, fiberglass and carbon composite aerostructures, and is now part of the Company's Ducommun AeroStructures, Inc. (DAS), subsidiary. The acquisitions were accounted for under the purchase method of accounting and, accordingly, the operating results for these acquisitions have been included in the consolidated statements of income since the dates of the respective

Table of Contents

acquisitions. The cost of the acquisitions was allocated on the basis of the estimated fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed. These acquisitions accounted for approximately \$25,627,000 of the excess of cost over net assets acquired at December 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003. The acquisitions were funded from internally generated cash, notes and other accounts payable to sellers, and borrowings under the Company's credit agreement (see Financial Condition for additional information). These acquisitions strengthened the Company's position in the aerospace industry and added complementary lines of business.

On January 6, 2006, the Company acquired Miltec Corporation (Miltec), a privately-owned company based in Huntsville, Alabama for \$50,000,000 (including assumed indebtedness) plus contingent payments not to exceed \$3,000,000. The purchase price is subject to adjustment based on a closing balance sheet and certain tax refunds. Miltec is a leading provider of missile and aerospace systems design, development, integration and test. The acquisition will be accounted for under the purchase method of accounting. The cost of the acquisition will be allocated on the basis of the estimated fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed. The acquisition was funded from internally generated cash, notes to the sellers, and borrowings of approximately \$27,000,000 under the Company's credit agreement.

Results of Operations

2005 Compared to 2004

Net sales in 2005 were \$249,696,000, compared to net sales of \$224,876,000 for 2004. The Company's mix of business in both 2005 and 2004 was approximately 61% military, 35% commercial, and 4% space. Foreign sales were approximately 8% of total sales in both 2005 and 2004. The Company did not have sales to any foreign country greater than 5% of total sales in 2005 or 2004.

The Company had substantial sales, through both of its business segments, to Boeing, Raytheon and Lockheed Martin. During 2005 and 2004, sales to Boeing were \$114,549,000 and \$101,571,000, respectively; sales to Raytheon were \$23,071,000 and \$25,287,000, respectively; and sales to Lockheed Martin were \$18,995,000 and \$15,997,000, respectively. At December 31, 2005, trade receivables from Boeing, Raytheon and Lockheed Martin were \$6,183,000, \$4,467,000 and \$1,902,000, respectively. The sales and receivables relating to Boeing, Raytheon and Lockheed Martin are diversified over a number of different commercial, space and military programs.

Military components manufactured by the Company are employed in many of the country's front-line fighters, bombers, helicopters and support aircraft, as well as many sea-based vehicles. The Company's defense business is diversified among military manufacturers and programs. Sales related to military programs were approximately \$152,898,000, or 61% of total sales in 2005, compared to \$137,275,000, or 61% of total sales in 2004. The increase in military sales in 2005 resulted principally from an increase in sales to the Apache helicopter program at Ducommun AeroStructures, Inc. (DAS). The Apache helicopter program accounted for approximately \$50,472,000 in sales in 2005, compared to \$36,054,000 in sales in 2004. The C-17 program accounted for approximately \$29,245,000 in sales in 2005, compared to \$29,766,000 in sales in 2004.

The Company's commercial business is represented on many of today's major commercial aircraft. Sales related to commercial business were approximately \$87,519,000, or 35% of total sales in 2005, compared to \$78,594,000, or 35% of total sales in 2004. During 2005,

Table of Contents

commercial sales were higher, principally because of an increase in commercial aftermarket sales. Sales to the Boeing 737/737NG program accounted for approximately \$27,433,000 in sales in 2005, compared to \$27,891,000 in sales in 2004.

In the space sector, the Company produces components for the expendable fuel tanks which help boost the Space Shuttle vehicle into orbit. Components are also produced for a variety of unmanned launch vehicles and satellite programs. Sales related to space programs were approximately \$9,279,000, or 4% of total sales in 2005, compared to \$9,007,000, or 4% of total sales in 2004. The Space Shuttle program accounted for approximately \$7,396,000 in sales in 2005, compared to \$6,211,000 in sales in 2004. In January 2006, the Company received a termination notice on the Space Shuttle program which affects virtually all of the Company's work on the program.

Backlog is subject to delivery delays or program cancellations, which are beyond the Company's control. At December 31, 2005, backlog believed to be firm was approximately \$292,291,000, compared to \$305,352,000 at December 31, 2004. Approximately \$167,000,000 of total backlog is expected to be delivered during 2006. The backlog at December 31, 2005 included approximately \$104,950,000 of backlog for the Apache helicopter program, \$37,249,000 of backlog for the C-17 program, and \$34,284,000 of backlog for the 737/737NG program. Backlog at December 31, 2005 excluded all of the backlog for the Space Shuttle program. Trends in the Company's overall level of backlog, however, may not be indicative of trends in future sales because the Company's backlog is affected by timing differences in the placement of customer orders and because the Company's backlog tends to be concentrated in several programs to a greater extent than the Company's sales.

Gross profit, as a percent of sales, increased to 20.7% in 2005 from 19.4% in 2004. The gross profit margin increase was primarily due to the reversal of warranty reserves in 2005, lower accruals for contract losses in 2005 than in 2004, and the spreading of fixed overhead costs over higher sales volume during 2005 compared to 2004. In 2005, as a result of the favorable resolution of a customer warranty claim, the Company reversed and took into income \$1,605,000 of warranty reserves originally accrued in 2002. The gross profit margin improvement was partially offset by higher operating costs and unfavorable changes in sales mix in 2005, compared to 2004.

Selling, general and administrative (SG&A) expenses, as a percentage of sales, were 12.4% in 2005, compared to 12.8% in 2004. The decrease in SG&A expenses, as a percentage of sales, was primarily the results of spreading SG&A costs over a higher volume of sales and lower severance costs, partially offset by higher bonus accruals in 2005, compared to 2004.

Interest income was \$522,000 in 2005, compared to interest expense of \$210,000 in 2004, primarily due to interest on tax refunds received in 2005 and higher cash balances in 2005, compared to 2004.

Income tax expense increased to \$5,127,000 in 2005, compared to \$3,293,000 in 2004, primarily due to higher pre-tax income and a higher effective income tax rate. The Company's effective tax rate for 2005 was 24.3%, compared to 22.8% in 2004. The increase in the effective income tax rate was primarily attributable to lower research and development tax credits in 2005, compared to 2004. Cash expended to pay income taxes was \$3,392,000 in 2005, compared to \$2,202,000 in 2004.

Net income for 2005 was \$15,993,000, or \$1.57 diluted earnings per share, compared to \$11,172,000, or \$1.10 diluted earnings per share, in 2004.

Table of Contents

2004 Compared to 2003

Net sales in 2004 were \$224,876,000, compared to net sales of \$225,906,000 for 2003. Net sales from DBP (acquired in August 2003) were approximately \$5,400,000 in 2004, compared to \$2,500,000 in 2003. The Company's mix of business in 2004 was approximately 61% military, 35% commercial, and 4% space, compared to 65% military, 30% commercial, and 5% space in 2003. Foreign sales increased to 8% of sales in 2004 from 5% in 2003. The Company did not have sales to any foreign country greater than 4% of total sales in 2004 or 2003.

The Company had substantial sales to Boeing, Raytheon and Lockheed Martin. During 2004 and 2003, sales to Boeing were \$101,571,000 and \$113,071,000, respectively; sales to Raytheon were \$25,287,000 and \$29,755,000, respectively; and sales to Lockheed Martin were \$11,263,000 and \$16,509,000, respectively. At December 31, 2004, trade receivables from Boeing, Raytheon and Lockheed Martin were \$9,495,000, \$3,551,000 and \$1,374,000, respectively. The sales and receivables relating to Boeing, Raytheon and Lockheed Martin are diversified over a number of different commercial, space and military programs.

Military components manufactured by the Company are employed in many of the country's front-line fighters, bombers, helicopters and support aircraft, as well as many sea-based vehicles. The Company's defense business is diversified among military manufacturers and programs. Sales related to military programs were approximately \$137,275,000, or 61% of total sales in 2004. In 2004, the C-17 program accounted for approximately \$29,766,000 in sales and the Apache helicopter program accounted for approximately \$36,054,000 in sales.

The Company's commercial business is represented on many of today's major commercial aircraft. Sales related to commercial business were approximately \$78,594,000, or 35% of total sales in 2004. During 2004, commercial sales were higher, principally because of an increase in sales for the Boeing 737/737NG program, the full year benefit of the DBP acquisition and new commercial program awards. The Boeing 737/737NG program accounted for approximately \$27,891,000 in sales in 2004, compared to \$26,396,000 in sales in 2003.

In the space sector, the Company produces components for the expendable fuel tanks which help boost the Space Shuttle vehicle into orbit. Components are also produced for a variety of unmanned launch vehicles and satellite programs. Sales related to space programs were approximately \$9,007,000, or 4% of total sales in 2004. During 2004, sales related to space programs were lower due to lower sales for the Space Shuttle program.

At December 31, 2004, backlog believed to be firm was approximately \$305,352,000, compared to \$283,901,000 at December 31, 2003. The backlog increase from December 31, 2003 was primarily due to higher bookings for the Apache helicopter program. Backlog at December 31, 2004 included approximately \$93,499,000 of backlog for the Apache helicopter program, and \$40,129,000 of backlog for the Space Shuttle program.

Gross profit, as a percentage of sales, decreased to 19.4% in 2004 from 22.4% in 2003. This decrease was primarily the result of initial losses on several new contracts at DAS, an increase in the accrued contract loss provision and higher operating costs in 2004 compared to 2003, as well as changes in sales mix and pricing pressures from our customers. During the year ended December 31, 2004, the Company had a net increase in its accrued contract loss provision of approximately \$1,195,000. The provision for estimated cost overruns was based on currently available information and various assumptions, including customers future production rates and delivery schedules, the Company's future cost structure and learning curve assumptions.

Selling, general and administrative expenses, as a percentage of sales, were 12.8% in 2004, compared to 12.4% in 2003. The increase in 2004 selling, general and administrative

Table of Contents

expenses was primarily due to an increase in salaries and severance costs, partially offset by no bonus accruals in 2004. Selling, general and administrative expenses in 2004 included no accrued bonuses, compared to \$2,358,000 of accrued bonuses in 2003.

There was no gain on sale of real estate in 2004, compared to a \$1,260,000 gain in 2003. In December 2003, the Company sold real estate, that was not needed for the Company's operations, for \$4,825,000 in cash.

Interest expense decreased to \$210,000 in 2004 compared to \$753,000 in 2003. The decrease in interest expense was primarily due to lower debt levels in 2004 compared to 2003.

Income tax expense decreased to \$3,293,000 in 2004, compared to \$6,943,000 in 2003. The decrease in income tax expense was primarily due to the decrease in income before taxes and a lower effective income tax rate. The Company's effective tax rate for 2004 was 22.8%, compared to 30.0% in 2003. The effective tax rate in 2004 included the benefit of reductions in income tax reserves and research and development tax credits established in prior years. These tax reductions were taken as a result of the favorable resolution of matters and the expiration of tax statutes of limitations. Cash expended to pay income taxes decreased to \$2,202,000 in 2004, compared to \$5,026,000 in 2003.

Net income for 2004 was \$11,172,000, or \$1.10 diluted earnings per share, compared to \$16,201,000, or \$1.63 diluted earnings per share in 2003. Net income for 2004 included a tax benefit of \$1,047,000, or \$0.10 per diluted share, from the benefit of reductions in income tax reserves and research and development and other credits which lowered the Company's effective tax rate. Net income for 2003 included a tax benefit of \$1,301,000, or \$0.13 per diluted share, from research and development credits which lowered the Company's effective tax rate, and an after tax gain of \$882,000, or \$0.09 per diluted share, on the sale of real property not needed for the Company's operations.

Financial Condition

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Net cash provided by operating activities for 2005, 2004 and 2003 was \$24,713,000, \$2,402,000 and \$30,457,000, respectively. Net cash provided by operating activities for 2005 included \$15,993,000 of net income, \$7,586,000 of depreciation, a \$672,000 increase in the deferred income tax provision, a \$5,482,000 increase in accrued compensation (of which \$4,947,000 was accrued bonuses and incentives), a \$5,015,000 increase in accounts payable, due to timing of payments of vendors invoices, a \$1,503,000 increase in accrued income and sales taxes, and a \$777,000 decrease in prepaid income taxes and other assets. This cash was partially offset by a \$5,892,000 increase in accounts receivable, due to the timing of shipments and billings to customers, an increase in inventory of \$2,839,000, primarily related to new production jobs and other business scheduled for shipment in 2006, a \$1,030,000 decrease in cash deposits received from customers, a \$1,605,000 decrease in warranty reserves due to the favorable settlement of a customer warranty claim, a \$760,000 decrease in accrued workers' compensation and group health insurance, and a \$737,000 decrease in the net provision for contract cost overruns.

Net cash used in investing activities for 2005 consisted primarily of \$5,133,000 of capital expenditure, partially offset by the net proceeds of \$26,000 from the sale of assets.

Net cash used in financing activities in 2005 of \$543,000 included \$1,200,000 of net repayments by the Company of principal on outstanding borrowings, partially offset by \$657,000 of net cash received from the exercise of common stock options.

Table of Contents

The Company continues to depend on operating cash flow and the availability of its bank line of credit to provide short-term liquidity. Cash from operations and bank borrowing capacity are expected to provide sufficient liquidity to meet the Company's obligations during the next twelve months.

The Company has entered into an Amended and Restated Credit Agreement with Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent, Wachovia Bank, National Association, as Syndication Agent, and the other lenders named therein (the Credit Agreement). The Credit Agreement provides for an unsecured revolving credit line of \$75,000,000 maturing on April 7, 2010. Interest is payable monthly on the outstanding borrowings at Bank of America's prime rate (7.25% at December 31, 2005) plus a spread (0% to 0.50% per annum based on the leverage ratio of the Company) or, at the election of the Company, for terms of up to six months at the LIBOR rate (4.69% for a six month term at December 31, 2005) plus a spread (1.00% to 1.75% per annum depending on the leverage ratio of the Company). The Credit Agreement includes minimum fixed charge coverage, maximum leverage and minimum net worth covenants, an unused commitment fee (0.25% to 0.40% per annum depending on the leverage ratio of the Company), and limitations on future dispositions of property, repurchases of common stock, dividends, outside indebtedness, and acquisitions. At December 31, 2005, the Company had \$72,527,000 of unused lines of credit, after deducting \$2,473,000 for outstanding standby letters of credit. The Company had no outstanding loans and was in compliance with all covenants at December 31, 2005.

On January 6, 2006, the Company acquired Miltec Corporation (Miltec), a privately-owned company based in Huntsville, Alabama for \$50,000,000 (including assumed indebtedness) plus contingent payments not to exceed \$3,000,000. The purchase price is subject to adjustment based on a closing balance sheet and certain tax refunds. Miltec is a leading provider of missile and aerospace systems design, development, integration and test. The acquisition will be accounted for under the purchase method of accounting. The cost of the acquisition will be allocated on the basis of the estimated fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed. The acquisition was funded from internally generated cash, notes to the sellers, and borrowings of approximately \$27,000,000 under the Company's credit agreement.

The weighted average interest rate on borrowings outstanding was 0% and 5.67% at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Certain hourly employees at the Monrovia facility of DAS are covered by a defined benefit pension plan. Pension plan benefits are generally determined on the basis of the retiree's age and length of service. Assets of the defined benefit plan are composed primarily of fixed income and equity securities. On December 31, 2005, the Company's annual measurement date, the accumulated benefit obligation, related to the Company's defined benefit plan, exceeded the fair value of the pension plan assets. Such excess is referred to as an unfunded accumulated benefit obligation. In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 87, Employers' Accounting for Pensions, the Company recognized an additional minimum pension liability of \$2,700,000, net of taxes, and \$2,117,000, net of taxes, at December 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively, which decreased shareholders' equity and is included in other long-term liabilities. This charge to shareholders' equity represents a net loss not yet recognized as pension expense. This charge did not affect reported earnings, and would be reversible if either interest rates increase or market performance and plan returns improve or contributions cause the pension plan to return to fully funded status. During the year ended December 31, 2005, the minimum pension liability increased by \$583,000, net of tax. Pension expense will be approximately \$811,000 in 2006, compared to \$567,000 in 2005. The Company contributed \$1,418,000 to the pension plan in 2004, and \$0 in 2005. The Company has not determined whether it will make a contribution to the pension plan in 2006.

Table of Contents

The Company expects to spend less than \$19,000,000 for capital expenditures in 2006. The increase in capital expenditures in 2006 is principally to support new contract awards at DAS, the startup of the Company's Thailand manufacturing facility, planned facility consolidations in the United States and the January 2006 acquisition of Miltec Corporation. The Company believes that the ongoing subcontractor consolidation makes acquisitions an increasingly important component of the Company's future growth. The Company plans to continue to seek attractive acquisition opportunities and to make substantial capital expenditures for manufacturing equipment and facilities to support long-term contracts for both commercial and military aircraft programs.

The Company has made guarantees and indemnities under which it may be required to make payments to a guaranteed or indemnified party, in relation to certain transactions, including revenue transactions in the ordinary course of business. In connection with certain facility leases the Company has indemnified its lessors for certain claims arising from the facility or the lease. The Company indemnifies its directors and officers to the maximum extent permitted under the laws of the State of Delaware. However, the Company has a directors and officers insurance policy that may reduce its exposure in certain circumstances and may enable it to recover a portion of future amounts that may be payable, if any. The duration of the guarantees and indemnities varies and, in many cases is indefinite but subject to statute of limitations. The majority of guarantees and indemnities do not provide any limitations of the maximum potential future payments the Company could be obligated to make. Historically, payments related to these guarantees and indemnities have been immaterial. The Company estimates the fair value of its indemnification obligations as insignificant based on this history and insurance coverage and has, therefore, not recorded any liability for these guarantees and indemnities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. However, there can be no assurances that the Company will not have any future financial exposure under these indemnification obligations.

As of December 31, 2005 the Company had the following categories of contractual obligations (in thousands):

Contractual Obligations	Payments due by period				
	Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	3-5 years	More than 5 years
Total debt	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Operating leases	6,845	2,219	3,001	1,042	583
Contractual obligations	5,673	-	438	2,005	3,230
Minimum pension liabilities, net of taxes	2,700	-	2,700	-	-
	<u>\$ 15,218</u>	<u>\$ 2,219</u>	<u>\$ 6,139</u>	<u>\$ 3,047</u>	<u>\$ 3,813</u>

The Company is a defendant in a lawsuit entitled United States of America ex rel Taylor Smith, Jeannine Prewitt and James Ailes v. The Boeing Company and Ducommun Inc., filed in the United States District Court for the District of Kansas. The lawsuit is a qui tam action brought against The Boeing Company (Boeing) and Ducommun on behalf of the United States of America for violations of the United States False Claims Act. The lawsuit alleges that Ducommun sold unapproved parts to the Boeing Commercial Airplanes-Wichita Division which were installed by Boeing in 32 aircraft ultimately sold to the United States government. The lawsuit seeks damages, civil penalties and other relief from the defendants for presenting or

Table of Contents

causing to be presented false claims for payment to the United States government. Although the amount of alleged damages are not specified, the lawsuit seeks damages in an amount equal to three times the amount of damages the United States government sustained because of the defendants' actions, plus a civil penalty of \$10,000 for each false claim made on or before September 28, 1999, and \$11,000 for each false claim made on or after September 28, 1999, together with attorneys' fees and costs. The Company has filed a motion to dismiss the lawsuit, which is currently pending before the court. The Company intends to defend itself vigorously against the lawsuit. The Company, at this time, is unable to estimate what, if any, liability it may have in connection with the lawsuit.

The DAS facility located in El Mirage, California has been directed by California environmental agencies to investigate and take corrective action for groundwater contamination. Based upon currently available information, the Company has established a provision for the cost of such investigation and corrective action. DAS expects to spend approximately \$1.5 million for future investigation and corrective action for groundwater contamination and post-closure maintenance of the closed hazardous waste facility at its El Mirage location. However, the Company's ultimate liability in connection with the contamination will depend upon a number of factors, including changes in existing laws and regulations, and the design and cost of the construction, operation and maintenance of the corrective action.

The Company's subsidiary, Composite Structures, LLC (Composite), and several other companies have been ordered by a California environmental agency to investigate and clean up soil and groundwater contamination at its Monrovia, California facility. Composite has filed a petition for review of the order.

A California environmental agency has issued an order to DAS and other companies and government entities which allegedly sent hazardous waste to a landfill in West Covina, California. The order directs DAS and the other companies and government entities to take over the closure and post-closure operation of the landfill and to take certain other actions. The Company, at this time, is unable to estimate reliably its liability in connection with the landfill. Based on currently available information, the Company preliminarily estimates that the range of its future liability in connection with the landfill is between approximately \$369,000 and \$3.0 million. The Company's accrued liabilities at December 31, 2005 included the minimum amount of the range of approximately \$369,000.

The Orange County Water District has filed a lawsuit against American Electronics, Inc. (AEI), a subsidiary of the Company, and other companies, to recover damages, relating to contamination of groundwater within the District. The Company is defending the lawsuit, and has notified the former owners of AEI of their contractual indemnification obligations to the Company in connection with the lawsuit.

In the normal course of business, Ducommun and its subsidiaries are defendants in certain other litigation, claims and inquiries, including matters relating to environmental laws. In addition, the Company makes various commitments and incurs contingent liabilities. While it is not feasible to predict the outcome of these other matters, the Company does not presently expect that any sum it may be required to pay in connection with these matters would have a material adverse effect on its consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

The Company's off-balance sheet arrangements consist of operating leases.

Table of Contents

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In November 2005, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued FASB Staff Position (FSP) FAS 115-1 and FAS 124-1, *The Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment and Its Application to Certain Investments*. This FSP addresses the determination as to when an investment is considered impaired, whether that impairment is other than temporary, and the measurement of an impairment loss. The FSP also includes accounting considerations subsequent to the recognition of other-than-temporary impairment and requires certain disclosures about unrealized losses that have not been recognized as other-than-impairments. The guidance in this FSP is applicable to reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2005. Management does not expect the adoption of this FSP to have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial position and results of operations.

In May 2005, FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 154, *Accounting Changes and Error Corrections* a Replacement of APB Opinion No. 20 and FASB Statement No. 3. This Statement requires the retrospective application to prior periods financial statements of changes in accounting principle, unless it is impracticable to determine either the period-specific effects or the cumulative effect of the change. This Statement will be effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005. The Company will adopt this Statement when it becomes effective. The adoption of this Statement could have a significant impact on the Company's financial results of operations and financial position, should there be a change in accounting principle once this Statement is implemented.

In December 2004, Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123R, *Share-Based Payment* (SFAS No. 123R), which finalized the new accounting rules for share-based compensation including stock options, restricted stock and performance based equity compensation, was issued. SFAS No. 123R is an amendment to FASB Statement No. 123 and supersedes APB Opinion No. 25. SFAS No. 123R will be effective for the Company in the first quarter of 2006. Beginning in January 1, 2006 all stock options or other equity-based awards to employees or directors that vest or become exercisable must be accounted for under SFAS No. 123R. The Company is required to adopt SFAS No. 123R on January 1, 2006. The Company is evaluating the requirements of SFAS No. 123R and expects that the adoption of SFAS No. 123R will have a material adverse effect on its results of operations, and the amount of the impact will depend on the amount and type of share-based payments granted in future periods.

In November 2004, Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 151, *Inventory Costs*, an Amendment of ARB No. 43, Chapter 4 (SFAS No. 151), was issued. The amendments made by SFAS No. 151 clarify that abnormal amounts of idle facility expense, freight, handling costs, and wasted materials (spoilage) should be recognized as current-period charges and require the allocation of fixed production overheads to inventory based on the normal capacity of the production facilities. SFAS No. 151 will become effective beginning in fiscal 2006. Management does not expect the adoption of SFAS No. 151 will have a material impact on the Company's financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

On October 22, 2004, the President signed the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 (the Act). For companies that pay income taxes on manufacturing activities in the U.S., the Act provides a deduction from taxable income equal to a stipulated percentage of qualified income from domestic production activities, which will be phased-in from 2005 through 2010. The Act also provides for a two-year phase-out of the existing extraterritorial income (ETI) exclusion now in place. The Company currently derives benefit from the ETI exclusion. The Act reduces the Company's ETI exclusion for 2005 and 2006 to 80% and 60% of the otherwise allowable exclusion. No exclusion will be available in 2007 and beyond.

Table of Contents

Under the guidance in FASB Staff Position No. FAS 109-1, the deduction for qualified domestic production activities will be treated as a special deduction as described in FASB Statement No. 109. As such, the special deduction has no effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities existing at the enactment date. Rather, the impact of this deduction will be reported in the period in which the deduction is claimed on our tax return.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.

Not applicable.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

The financial statements and supplementary data together with the report thereon of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP listed in the index at Item 15(a)1 and 2 are incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The Company's chief executive officer and chief financial officer have concluded, based on an evaluation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(c)), that such disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this report. No change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting occurred during the period covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonable likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting, except as indicated below.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting, appearing on page 32 is incorporated herein by reference.

During the fourth quarter of 2005, the Company implemented a new Baan information system at one location of Ducommun AeroStructures, Inc. (DAS). Three other locations of DAS were utilizing the Baan information system prior to the fourth quarter of 2005.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

Table of Contents**PART III****ITEM 10. DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT**Directors of the Registrant

The information under the caption "Election of Directors" in the 2006 Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

Executive Officers of the Registrant

The following table sets forth the names and ages of all executive officers of the Company, as of the date of this report, all positions and offices held with the Company and brief accounts of business experience during the past five years. Executive officers do not serve for any specified terms, but are typically elected annually by the Board of Directors of the Company or, in the case of subsidiary presidents, by the Board of Directors of the respective subsidiaries.

Name (Age)	Positions and Offices	Other Business
	Held With Company (Year Elected)	Experience (Past Five Years)
Joseph C. Berenato (59)	Chief Executive Officer (1997) and Chairman of the Board (1999)	
James S. Heiser (49)	Vice President (1990), Chief Financial Officer (1996), General Counsel (1988), Secretary (1987), and Treasurer (1995)	
Gary Parkinson (49)	Vice President , Human Resources (2005)	Vice President, Human Resources of Great Lakes Chemical Corp. (2003-2005); Director, Human Resources of Eaton Aerospace (1999-2003)
Anthony J. Reardon (55)	President of Ducommun AeroStructures, Inc. (2004)	President of Ducommun AeroStructures (2003); Vice President of Business Management of Ducommun Aerostructures (2001-2002); Vice President of Business Management of Composite Structures, LLC (1997-2001)
John J. Walsh (47)	President of Ducommun Technologies, Inc. (2004)	Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of Special Devices, Inc. (1998-2004)
Samuel D. Williams (57)		

Vice President (1991) and Controller
(1988)

Table of Contents

Audit Committee and Audit Committee Financial Expert

The information under the caption **Committees of the Board of Directors** relating to the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors in the 2006 Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

Compliance With Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act

The information under the caption **Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance** in the 2006 Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

Code of Ethics

The information under the caption **Code of Ethics** in the 2006 Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

Changes to Procedures to Recommend Nominees

There have been no material changes to the procedures by which security holders may recommend nominees to the Company's Board of Directors since the date of the Company's last proxy statement.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information under the caption **Compensation of Executive Officers** in the 2006 Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The information under the caption **Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management** in the 2006 Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

None.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

The information under the caption Principal Accountant Fees and Services contained in the 2006 Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES, AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

(a) 1. Financial Statements

The following consolidated financial statements of Ducommun Incorporated and subsidiaries, are incorporated by reference in Item 8 of this report.

	<u>Page</u>
<u>Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting</u>	32
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	33-34
<u>Consolidated Statements of Income Years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003</u>	35
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets December 31, 2005 and 2004</u>	36
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003</u>	37
<u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity Years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003</u>	38
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	39-56
<u>Supplemental Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)</u>	57

2. Financial Statement Schedule

The following schedule for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003 is filed herewith:

<u>Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts and Reserves</u>	58
---	----

All other schedules have been omitted because they are not applicable, not required, or the information has been otherwise supplied in the financial statements or notes thereto.

3. Exhibits

<u>See Item 15(b) for a list of exhibits.</u>	59-61
---	-------

Table of Contents

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management of Ducommun Incorporated (the Company) is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The Company's internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. The Company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company, (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Company, and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005. In making this assessment, management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control-Integrated Framework.

Based on our assessment and those criteria, management concluded that the Company maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, has audited management's assessment of the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as stated in the report which appears immediately following this Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting.

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of Ducommun Incorporated:

We have completed integrated audits of Ducommun Incorporated's 2005 and 2004 consolidated financial statements and of its internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005, and an audit of its 2003 consolidated financial statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Our opinions, based on our audits, are presented below.

Consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements listed in the index appearing under Item 15(a)(1) present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Ducommun Incorporated and its subsidiaries (the Company) at December 31, 2005 and 2004, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2005 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In addition, in our opinion, the financial statement schedule listed in the index appearing under Item 15(a)(2) presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related consolidated financial statements. These financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits. We conducted our audits of these statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit of financial statements includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

Internal control over financial reporting

Also, in our opinion, management's assessment, included in Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting appearing on page 32, that the Company maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005 based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), is fairly stated, in all material respects, based on those criteria. Furthermore, in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by COSO. The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express opinions on management's assessment and on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit. We conducted our audit of internal control over financial reporting in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. An audit of internal control over financial reporting includes obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, evaluating management's assessment, testing and evaluating the design and

Table of Contents

operating effectiveness of internal control, and performing such other procedures as we consider necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Los Angeles, California

February 27, 2006

Table of Contents**Ducommun Incorporated****Consolidated Statements of Income**

Year ended December 31,	2005	2004	2003
(In thousands, except per share amounts)			
Net Sales	\$ 249,696	\$ 224,876	\$ 225,906
Operating Costs and Expenses:			
Cost of goods sold	198,041	181,344	175,325
Selling, general and administrative expenses	31,057	28,857	27,944
Total Operating Costs and Expenses	229,098	210,201	203,269
Gain on Sale of Real Estate	-	-	1,260
Operating Income	20,598	14,675	23,897
Interest Income (Expense)	522	(210)	(753)
Income Before Taxes	21,120	14,465	23,144
Income Tax Expense	(5,127)	(3,293)	(6,943)
Net Income	\$ 15,993	\$ 11,172	\$ 16,201
Earnings Per Share:			
Basic earnings per share	\$ 1.59	\$ 1.12	\$ 1.64
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 1.57	\$ 1.10	\$ 1.63

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**Ducommun Incorporated****Consolidated Balance Sheets**

December 31,	2005	2004
(In thousands, except share data)		
Assets		
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 19,221	\$ 158
Accounts receivable (less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$244 and \$333)	32,890	26,909
Inventories	53,299	50,460
Deferred income taxes	6,048	7,389
Prepaid income taxes	56	598
Other current assets	4,464	4,397
Total Current Assets	115,978	89,911
Property and Equipment, Net	52,481	54,984
Goodwill	57,201	57,201
Other Assets	2,309	2,457
	\$ 227,969	\$ 204,553
Liabilities and Shareholders Equity		
Current Liabilities:		
Current portion of long-term debt	\$ -	\$ 1,200
Accounts payable	17,787	12,772
Accrued liabilities	33,879	30,552
Total Current Liabilities	51,666	44,524
Long-Term Debt, Less Current Portion	-	-
Deferred Income Taxes	5,752	6,421
Other Long-Term Liabilities	2,700	2,117
Total Liabilities	60,118	53,062
Commitments and Contingencies		
Shareholders Equity:		
Common stock \$.01 par value; authorized 35,000,000 shares; issued 10,108,996 shares in 2005 and 10,042,116 shares in 2004	101	100
Additional paid-in capital	41,987	41,038
Retained earnings	128,463	112,470
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(2,700)	(2,117)
Total Shareholders Equity	167,851	151,491
	\$ 227,969	\$ 204,553

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**Ducommun Incorporated****Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows**

Year ended December 31,	2005	2004	2003
(In thousands)			
Cash Flows from Operating Activities:			
Net Income	\$ 15,993	\$ 11,172	\$ 16,201
Adjustments to Reconcile Net Income to Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	7,586	7,499	7,569
Deferred income tax provision/(benefit)	672	(64)	3,734
Income tax benefit related to the exercise of nonqualified stock options	293	1,433	106
Decrease in doubtful accounts	(89)	(170)	(31)
Loss/(Gain) on sale of assets	24	349	(1,218)
Net (reduction)/increase of warranty reserves	(1,606)	(31)	62
Net (reduction of)/provision for contract cost overruns	(737)	1,195	(763)
Changes in Assets and Liabilities, Net of Effects from Acquisition:			
Accounts receivable (increase)	(5,892)	(464)	(1,716)
Inventories (increase)/decrease	(2,839)	(10,457)	1,714
Prepaid income taxes decrease/(increase)	542	995	(1,453)
Other assets decrease/(increase)	81	(863)	923
Accounts payable increase/(decrease)	5,015	(1,428)	(2,151)
Accrued and other liabilities increase/(decrease)	5,670	(6,764)	7,480
Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities	24,713	2,402	30,457
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:			
Purchase of property and equipment	(5,133)	(5,942)	(5,767)
Proceeds from sale of assets	26	39	4,593
Acquisition of businesses	-	-	(2,322)
Net Cash Used in Investing Activities	(5,107)	(5,903)	(3,496)
Cash Flows from Financing Activities:			
Net repayment of long-term debt	(1,200)	(1,385)	(23,666)
Net cash effect of exercise related to stock options	657	1,212	363
Net Cash Used in Financing Activities	(543)	(173)	(23,303)
Net Increase/(Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents	19,063	(3,674)	3,658
Cash and Cash Equivalents Beginning of Year	158	3,832	174
Cash and Cash Equivalents End of Year	\$ 19,221	\$ 158	\$ 3,832
Supplemental Disclosures of Cash Flow Information:			
Interest paid	\$ 32	\$ 214	\$ 802
Income taxes paid	\$ 3,392	\$ 2,202	\$ 5,026

Supplemental information for Non-Cash Investing and Financing Activities:

See Note 2 for non-cash investing activities related to the acquisition and the disposition of businesses.

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**Ducommun Incorporated****Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity**

	Shares Outstanding	Common Stock	Additional Paid-In Capital	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Total Shareholders' Equity
(In thousands, except share data)						
Balance at December 31, 2002	9,863,985	\$ 99	\$ 37,925	\$ 85,097	\$ (2,679)	\$ 120,442
Comprehensive income:						
Net income				16,201		16,201
Equity adjustment for additional minimum pension liability, net of tax	-	-	-	-	638	638
						16,839
Stock options exercised	49,712	-	620	-	-	620
Stock repurchased related to the exercise of stock options	(11,732)	-	(257)	-	-	(257)
Income tax benefit related to the exercise of nonqualified stock options	-	-	106	-	-	106
Balance at December 31, 2003	9,901,965	99	38,394	101,298	(2,041)	137,750
Comprehensive income:						
Net income				11,172		11,172
Equity adjustment for additional minimum pension liability, net of tax	-	-	-	-	(76)	(76)
						11,096
Stock options exercised	199,806	2	2,596	-	-	2,598
Stock repurchased related to the exercise of stock options	(59,655)	(1)	(1,385)	-	-	(1,386)
Income tax benefit related to the exercise of nonqualified stock options	-	-	1,433	-	-	1,433
Balance at December 31, 2004	10,042,116	100	41,038	112,470	(2,117)	151,491
Comprehensive income:						
Net income				15,993		15,993
Equity adjustment for additional minimum pension liability, net of tax	-	-	-	-	(583)	(583)
						15,410
Stock options exercised	86,213	1	1,081	-	-	1,082
Stock repurchased related to the exercise of stock options	(19,333)	-	(425)	-	-	(425)
Income tax benefit related to the exercise of nonqualified stock options	-	-	293	-	-	293
Balance at December 31, 2005	10,108,996	\$ 101	\$ 41,987	\$ 128,463	\$ (2,700)	\$ 167,851

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Ducommun Incorporated and its subsidiaries, after eliminating intercompany balances and transactions.

Cash Equivalents

Cash equivalents consist of highly liquid instruments purchased with original maturities of three months or less. The cost of these investments approximates fair value.

Revenue Recognition

The Company recognizes revenue when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, the price is fixed or determinable, collection is reasonable assured and delivery of products has occurred or services have been rendered. Revenue is recognized under long-term contracts on the same basis as other sale transactions.

Provision for Estimated Losses on Contracts

The Company records provisions for estimated losses on contracts in the period in which such losses are identified.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

The Company maintains an allowance for doubtful accounts for estimated losses from the inability of customers to make required payments. The allowance for doubtful accounts is evaluated periodically based on the aging of accounts receivable, the financial condition of customers and their payment history, historical write-off experience and other assumptions.

Inventory Valuation

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market, cost being determined on a first-in, first-out basis. Inventoried costs include raw materials, outside processing, direct labor and allocated overhead, but do not include any selling, general and administrative expense. Costs under long-term contracts are accumulated into, and removed from, inventory on the same basis as other contracts. The Company assesses the inventory carrying value and reduces it, if necessary, to its net realizable value based on customer orders on hand, and internal demand forecasts using management's best estimates given information currently available. The Company's customer demand is highly unpredictable, and can fluctuate significantly caused by factors beyond the control of the Company. The Company maintains an allowance for inventories for potentially excess and obsolete inventories and inventories that are carried at costs that are higher than their estimated net realizable values.

Property and Depreciation

Property and equipment, including assets recorded under capital leases, are recorded at cost. Depreciation and amortization are computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives and, in the case of leasehold improvements, over the shorter of the lives

Table of Contents

of the improvements or the lease term. The Company evaluates long-lived assets for recoverability, when significant changes in conditions occur, and recognizes impairment losses, if any, based upon the fair value of the assets.

Goodwill

The Company's business acquisitions have typically resulted in goodwill, which affects the amount of possible impairment expense that the Company will incur. The determination of the value of goodwill requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the Company's consolidated financial statements. The Company performs goodwill impairment tests on an annual basis in the fourth quarter and between annual tests, in certain circumstances, whenever events may indicate an impairment may have occurred. Goodwill is tested for impairment utilizing a two-step method. In the first step, the Company determines the fair value of the reporting unit using expected future discounted cash flows and other market valuation approaches. If the net book value of the reporting unit exceeds the fair value, the Company would then perform the second step of the impairment test which requires allocation of the reporting unit's fair value of all of its assets and liabilities in a manner similar to a purchase price allocation, with any residual fair value being allocated to goodwill. The fair value of the goodwill is then compared to the carrying amount to determine impairment. An impairment charge will be recognized only when the implied fair value of a reporting unit, including goodwill, is less than its carrying amount.

Warranty Liability

The Company quantifies and records an estimate for warranty related costs for certain customer returns related to quality. These costs are based on current estimated repair costs.

Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes in accordance with Statement of Financial Standards, No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes (SFAS No. 109), which requires that deferred tax assets and liabilities be recognized using enacted tax rates for the effect of temporary differences between the book and tax bases of recorded assets and liabilities. SFAS 109 also requires that deferred tax assets be reduced by a valuation allowance if it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax asset will not be realized.

Litigation and Commitments

In the normal course of business, the Company and its subsidiaries are defendants in certain litigation, claims and inquiries, including matters relating to environmental laws. In addition, the Company makes various commitments and incurs contingent liabilities. Management's estimates regarding contingent liabilities could differ from actual results.

Environmental Liabilities

Environmental liabilities are recorded when environmental assessments and/or remedial efforts are probable and costs can be reasonably estimated. Generally, the timing of these accruals coincides with the completion of a feasibility study or the Company's commitment to a formal plan of action.

Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation

The Company has adopted only the disclosure provisions of SFAS No. 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure, which amended Statement of

Table of Contents

Financial Accounting Standards No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation (SFAS No. 123). In accordance with these pronouncements, the Company applies APB Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and related interpretations in accounting for its plans and does not recognize compensation expense for its stock-based compensation plans based on the fair value method. If the Company had elected to recognize compensation expense based upon the fair value at the grant date for awards under these plans consistent with the methodology prescribed by SFAS No. 123, the Company's net income and earnings per share would be reduced to the pro forma amounts indicated below:

Year ended December 31,	2005	2004	2003
(In thousands, except per share amounts)			
Net Income:			
As reported	\$ 15,993	\$ 11,172	\$ 16,201
Less: Total expense determined under fair value accounting for all awards, net of tax	(1,131)	(988)	(588)
Pro forma	\$ 14,862	\$ 10,184	\$ 15,613
Earnings per common share:			
As reported:			
Basic	\$ 1.59	\$ 1.12	\$ 1.64
Diluted	1.57	1.10	1.63
Pro forma:			
Basic	\$ 1.48	\$ 1.02	\$ 1.58
Diluted	1.46	1.00	1.57

These pro forma amounts may not be representative of future disclosures since the estimated fair value of stock options is amortized to expense over the vesting period, and additional options may be granted in future years. The weighted average fair value of options granted during 2005, 2004 and 2003, for which the exercise price equals the market price on the grant date, was \$8.13, \$8.80 and \$6.72, respectively. The fair value of these options was estimated at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions for 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively: dividend yields of zero percent; expected monthly volatility of 54.11, 52.95 and 53.14 percent, respectively; risk-free interest rates of 4.36, 3.59 and 2.52 percent, respectively, and expected option life of four years for 2005, 2004 and 2003.

Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing income available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding in each year. Diluted earnings per share is computed by dividing income available to common shareholders plus income associated with dilutive securities by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding plus any potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common stock in each year. In 2005, 2004 and 2003, income available to common shareholders was \$15,993,000, \$11,172,000, and \$16,201,000, respectively. In 2005, 2004 and 2003, the weighted average number of common shares outstanding was 10,065,000, 9,976,000 and 9,876,000, respectively, the dilutive shares associated with stock options were 134,000, 205,000 and 83,000, respectively, and the number of shares not included in the calculations because the impact would have been antidilutive was 244,000, 28,000 and 361,000, respectively.

Table of Contents

Comprehensive Income

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 130, Reporting Comprehensive Income (SFAS No. 130), requires that certain items such as foreign currency translation adjustments, unrealized gains and losses on certain investments in debt and equity securities and minimum pension liability adjustments be presented as separate components of shareholders' equity. SFAS No. 130 defines these as items of other comprehensive income and as such must be reported in a financial statement that is displayed with the same prominence as other financial statements. Accumulated other comprehensive income, as reflected in the Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity, was comprised of an additional minimum pension liability adjustment of \$2,700,000 and \$2,117,000, net of tax, at December 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In November 2005, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued FASB Staff Position (FSP) FAS 115-1 and FAS 124-1, *The Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment and Its Application to Certain Investments*. This FSP addresses the determination as to when an investment is considered impaired, whether that impairment is other than temporary, and the measurement of an impairment loss. The FSP also includes accounting considerations subsequent to the recognition of other-than-temporary impairment and requires certain disclosures about unrealized losses that have not been recognized as other-than-impairments. The guidance in this FSP is applicable to reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2005. Management does not expect the adoption of this FSP to have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial position and results of operations.

In May 2005, FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 154, Accounting Changes and Error Corrections a Replacement of APB Opinion No. 20 and FASB Statement No. 3. This Statement requires the retrospective application to prior periods' financial statements of changes in accounting principle, unless it is impracticable to determine either the period-specific effects or the cumulative effect of the change. This Statement will be effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005. The Company will adopt this Statement when it becomes effective. The adoption of this Statement could have a significant impact on the Company's financial results of operations and financial position, should there be a change in accounting principle once this Statement is implemented.

In December 2004, Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123R, Share-Based Payment (SFAS No. 123R), which finalized the new accounting rules for share-based compensation including stock options, restricted stock and performance based equity compensation, was issued. SFAS No. 123R is an amendment to FASB Statement No. 123 and supersedes APB Opinion No. 25. SFAS No. 123R will be effective for the Company in the first quarter of 2006. Beginning in January 1, 2006 all stock options or other equity-based awards to employees or directors that vest or become exercisable must be accounted for under SFAS No. 123R. The Company is required to adopt SFAS No. 123R on January 1, 2006. The Company is evaluating the requirements of SFAS No. 123R and expects that the adoption of SFAS No. 123R will have a material adverse effect on its results of operations, and the amount of the impact will depend on the amount and type of share-based payments granted in future periods.

In November 2004, Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 151, Inventory Costs, an Amendment of ARB No. 43, Chapter 4 (SFAS No. 151), was issued. The amendments made by SFAS No. 151 clarify that abnormal amounts of idle facility expense, freight, handling costs, and wasted materials (spoilage) should be recognized as current-period charges and require the allocation of fixed production overheads to inventory based on the normal capacity of the production facilities. SFAS No. 151 will become effective beginning in

Table of Contents

fiscal 2006. Management does not expect the adoption of SFAS No. 151 will have a material impact on the Company's financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

On October 22, 2004, the President signed the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 (the Act). For companies that pay income taxes on manufacturing activities in the U.S., the Act provides a deduction from taxable income equal to a stipulated percentage of qualified income from domestic production activities, which will be phased-in from 2005 through 2010. The Act also provides for a two-year phase-out of the existing extraterritorial income (ETI) exclusion now in place. The Company currently derives benefit from the ETI exclusion. The Act reduces the Company's ETI exclusion for 2005 and 2006 to 80% and 60% of the otherwise allowable exclusion. No exclusion will be available in 2007 and beyond.

Under the guidance in FASB Staff Position No. FAS 109-1, the deduction for qualified domestic production activities will be treated as a special deduction as described in FASB Statement No. 109. As such, the special deduction has no effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities existing at the enactment date. Rather, the impact of this deduction will be reported in the period in which the deduction is claimed on our tax return.

Use of Estimates

Certain amounts and disclosures included in the consolidated financial statements required management to make estimates and judgments that affect the amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities. These estimates are based on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Note 2. Acquisition

In August 2003, the Company acquired the assets of DBP Microwave, Inc. (DBP), a privately held company based in Azusa, California for \$2,322,000 in cash and a \$400,000 nonnegotiable promissory note. DBP is a manufacturer of electromechanical RF and microwave switches for both aerospace and nonaerospace applications, and is now part of the Company's Ducommun Technologies, Inc. (DTI), subsidiary. The acquisition was accounted for under the purchase method of accounting and, accordingly, the operating results for this acquisition have been included in the consolidated statements of income since the date of the acquisition. The cost of the acquisition was allocated on the basis of the estimated fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed. The acquisition accounted for approximately \$1,669,000 of the excess of cost over net assets acquired at December 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003, respectively. The acquisition was funded from internally generated cash, notes and other accounts payable to sellers, and borrowings under the Company's credit agreement (see Note 7 for additional information). The acquisition strengthened the Company's position in the aerospace industry and added complementary lines of business.

Pro forma results for 2003, assuming the acquisition of DBP had occurred at the beginning of the period, would not have been materially different from the Company's historical results.

Table of Contents**Note 3. Inventories**

Inventories consist of the following:

December 31,	2005	2004
(In thousands)		
Raw materials and supplies	\$ 17,388	\$ 14,566
Work in process	43,417	41,239
Finished goods	685	1,265
	61,490	57,070
Less progress payments	8,191	6,610
Total	\$ 53,299	\$ 50,460

Note 4. Property and Equipment

Property and equipment consist of the following:

December 31,	2005	2004	Range of Estimated Useful Lives
(In thousands)			
Land	\$ 11,333	\$ 11,333	
Buildings and improvements	28,931	28,629	5 - 40 Years
Machinery and equipment	73,480	71,764	2 - 20 Years
Furniture and equipment	14,209	12,512	2 - 10 Years
Construction in progress	1,989	1,715	
	129,942	125,953	
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	77,461	70,969	
Total	\$ 52,481	\$ 54,984	

Depreciation expense was \$7,586,000, \$7,499,000 and \$7,569,000 for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

Note 5. Goodwill

Effective January 1, 2002, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 141, Business Combinations (SFAS No. 141) and Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets (SFAS No. 142). Pursuant to the nonamortization provisions of SFAS No. 142, there was no goodwill amortization expense. Changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004 are as follows:

December 31,	2005	2004
<hr/>		
(In thousands)		
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 57,201	\$ 57,201
Goodwill additions/(deletions)	-	-
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Balance at end of year	<u>\$ 57,201</u>	<u>\$ 57,201</u>

Table of Contents**Note 6. Accrued Liabilities**

Accrued liabilities consist of the following:

December 31,	2005	2004
(In thousands)		
Accrued compensation	\$ 15,452	\$ 9,970
Provision for environmental costs	4,724	4,469
Customer deposits	1,553	2,584
Accrued insurance costs	2,615	3,375
Provision for contract cost overruns	2,286	3,023
Accrued warranty reserves	122	1,728
Accrued income tax and sales tax	4,869	3,365
Other	2,258	2,038
Total	\$ 33,879	\$ 30,552

Note 7. Long-Term Debt

Long-term debt is summarized as follows:

December 31,	2005	2004
(In thousands)		
Bank credit agreement	\$ -	\$ 800
Notes and other liabilities for acquisitions	-	400
Total debt	-	1,200
Less current portion	-	1,200
Total long-term debt	\$ -	\$ -

The Company has entered into an Amended and Restated Credit Agreement with Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent, Wachovia Bank, National Association, as Syndication Agent, and the other lenders named therein (the "Credit Agreement"). The Credit Agreement provides for an unsecured revolving credit line of \$75,000,000 maturing on April 7, 2010. Interest is payable monthly on the outstanding borrowings at Bank of America's prime rate (7.25% at December 31, 2005) plus a spread (0% to 0.50% per annum based on the leverage ratio of the Company) or, at the election of the Company, for terms of up to six months at the LIBOR rate (4.69% for a six month term at December 31, 2005) plus a spread (1.00% to 1.75% per annum depending on the leverage ratio of the Company). The Credit Agreement includes minimum fixed charge coverage, maximum leverage and minimum net worth covenants, an unused commitment fee (0.25% to 0.40% per annum depending on the leverage ratio of the Company),

Edgar Filing: DUCOMMUN INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

and limitations on future dispositions of property, repurchases of common stock, dividends, outside indebtedness, and acquisitions. At December 31, 2005, the Company had \$72,527,000 of unused lines of credit, after deducting \$2,473,000 for outstanding standby letters of credit. The Company had no outstanding loans and was in compliance with all covenants at December 31, 2005.

On January 6, 2006, the Company acquired Miltec Corporation (Miltec), a privately-owned company based in Huntsville, Alabama for \$50,000,000 (including assumed indebtedness) plus contingent payments not to exceed \$3,000,000. The purchase price is subject to adjustment based on a closing balance sheet and certain tax refunds. Miltec is a leading provider of missile and aerospace systems design, development, integration and test. The acquisition will be accounted for under the purchase method of accounting. The cost of the

Table of Contents

acquisition will be allocated on the basis of the estimated fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed. The acquisition was funded from internally generated cash, notes to the sellers, and borrowings of approximately \$27,000,000 under the Company's credit agreement.

The weighted average interest rate on borrowings outstanding was 0% and 5.67% at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

The carrying amount of long-term debt approximates fair value based on the terms of the related debt, recent transactions and estimates using interest rates currently available to the Company for debt with similar terms and remaining maturities.

Note 8. Shareholders' Equity

The Company is authorized to issue five million shares of preferred stock. At December 31, 2005 and 2004, no preferred shares were issued or outstanding.

At December 31, 2005, \$4,704,000 remained available to repurchase common stock of the Company under stock repurchase programs as previously approved by the Board of Directors. The Company did not repurchase any of its common stock during 2005, 2004 and 2003, in the open market.

Note 9. Stock Options

The Company has three stock option or incentive plans. Stock awards may be made to directors, officers and key employees under the stock plans on terms determined by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors or, with respect to directors, on terms determined by the Board of Directors. Stock options have been and may be granted to directors, officers and key employees under the stock plans at prices not less than 100% of the market value on the date of grant, and expire not more than ten years from the date of grant. The option price and number of shares are subject to adjustment under certain dilutive circumstances. At December 31, 2005 and 2004, options for 361,625 and 239,069 shares of common stock were exercisable, respectively.

At December 31, 2005, 232,050 common shares were available for future grants and 845,213 common shares were reserved for the exercise of outstanding options. Option activity during the three years ended December 31, 2005 was as follows:

	2005		2004		2003	
	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price

Edgar Filing: DUCOMMUN INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

Outstanding at December 31	761,313	\$ 16.200	796,326	\$ 14.116	667,815	\$ 13.942
Options granted	197,000	17.554	227,000	20.463	379,000	15.503
Options exercised	(86,213)	12.547	(199,806)	13.002	(49,712)	12.427
Options forfeited	(26,887)	18.570	(62,207)	15.351	(200,777)	16.573
Outstanding at December 31	845,213	\$ 16.813	761,313	\$ 16.200	796,326	\$ 14.116
Exerciseable at December 31	361,625	\$ 15.708	239,069	\$ 13.797	248,401	\$ 13.044
Available for grant at December 31	232,050		404,250		92,564	

Table of Contents

The weighted average fair value of options granted during 2005, 2004 and 2003, for which the exercise price equals the market price on the grant date, was \$8.13, \$8.80 and \$6.72, respectively. The fair value of these options was estimated at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions for 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively: dividend yields of zero percent; expected monthly volatility of 54.11, 52.95 and 53.14 percent, respectively; risk-free interest rate of 4.36, 3.59 and 2.52 percent, respectively; and expected option life of four years for 2005, 2004 and 2003.

The following table summarizes information concerning outstanding and exercisable stock options at December 31, 2005:

Range of Exercise Prices	Number of Outstanding Options	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number Exercisable	Weighted Average Exercise Price
\$10.020 - \$ 11.999	28,950	3.18	\$ 10.862	14,137	\$ 10.978
\$12.000 - \$ 17.999	554,188	4.48	15.496	268,688	14.456
\$18.000 - \$ 23.750	262,075	5.12	20.254	78,800	20.828
Total	845,213	4.63	\$ 16.813	361,625	\$ 15.708

Note 10. Employee Benefit Plans

The Company has three unfunded supplemental retirement plans. The first plan was suspended in 1986, but continues to cover certain former executives. The second plan was suspended in 1997, but continues to covers certain current and retired directors. The third plan covers one former executive. The accumulated benefit obligations under these plans at December 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004 were \$1,900,000 and \$1,185,000, respectively, which are included in accrued liabilities.

The Company sponsors, for all of its employees, a 401(k) defined contribution plan under which employees can make annual voluntary contributions not to exceed the lesser of an amount equal to 25% of their compensation or limits established by the Internal Revenue Code. The Company generally provides a match equal to 50 percent of the employees' contributions up to the first 4 percent of compensation, except for union employees who are not eligible to receive the match. The Company matching contributions for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003 were approximately \$700,000, \$716,000 and \$714,000, respectively.

On December 31, 2004, the Company terminated health care benefits for certain retired employees. In connection with the termination, in January 2005, the Company distributed lump sum payments to the eligible retirees in the aggregate amount of \$277,000.

The Company has a defined benefit pension plan covering certain hourly employees of a subsidiary. Pension plan benefits are generally determined on the basis of the retiree's age and length of service. Assets of the defined benefit pension plan are composed primarily of fixed income and equity securities.

The components of net periodic pension cost for the defined benefit pension plan are as follows:

Year ended December 31,	2005	2004	2003
(In thousands)			
Service cost	\$ 648	\$ 507	\$ 447
Interest cost	607	623	536
Expected return on plan assets	(828)	(727)	(560)
Amortized losses	140	159	175
Net periodic pension cost	\$ 567	\$ 562	\$ 598

Table of Contents

The obligations and funded status of the defined benefit pension plan are as follows:

December 31,	2005	2004
(In thousands)		
Change in benefit obligation		
Beginning benefit obligation (January 1)	\$ 10,740	\$ 10,013
Service cost	648	507
Interest cost	607	623
Actuarial (gain) loss	741	(19)
Benefits paid	(415)	(384)
	\$ 12,321	\$ 10,740
Change in plan assets		
Beginning fair value of plan assets (January 1)	\$ 9,401	\$ 7,644
Return on assets	689	797
Contributions	-	1,418
Benefits paid	(415)	(384)
Other	(97)	(74)
	\$ 9,578	\$ 9,401
Fair value of plan assets (December 31)	\$ 9,578	\$ 9,401
Funded status	\$ (2,743)	\$ (1,339)
Unrecognized net actuarial loss	3,567	2,742
	\$ 824	\$ 1,403
Net amount recognized	\$ 824	\$ 1,403

On December 31, 2005, the Company's annual measurement date, the accumulated benefit obligation, exceeded the fair value of the pension plan assets. Such excess is referred to as an unfunded accumulated benefit obligation. In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 87, Employers' Accounting for Pensions, the Company recognized an additional minimum pension liability at December 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004 of \$2,700,000, net of tax, and \$2,117,000, net of tax, respectively, which decreased shareholders' equity and is included in other long-term liabilities. This charge to shareholders' equity represents a net loss not yet recognized as pension expense. This charge did not affect reported earnings, and would be reversible if either interest rates increase or market performance and plan returns improve or contributions cause the pension plan to return to fully funded status. During the year ended, December 31, 2005, the additional minimum pension liability increased by \$583,000, net of tax.

Information related to the accumulated benefit obligation in excess of the fair value of plan assets and the additional minimum pension liability under the pension plan, which is included in other comprehensive income, is noted as follows:

December 31,	2005	2004
(In thousands)		

Edgar Filing: DUCOMMUN INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

Projected benefit obligation	\$ 12,321	\$ 10,740
Accumulated benefit obligation	12,321	10,740
Fair value of plan assets	9,578	9,401
Increase in additional minimum pension liability included in other comprehensive income, net of tax	583	76

Table of Contents

Amounts recognized in the statement of financial position relating to the pension plan consist of:

December 31,	Pension Benefits	
	2005	2004
(In thousands)		
Prepaid benefit cost	\$ -	\$ -
Accrued benefit cost in liabilities	(2,743)	(1,339)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	3,567	2,742
Net amount recognized	\$ 824	\$ 1,403

The Company's pension plan asset allocations at December 31, 2005 and 2004, by asset category, are as follows:

December 31,	2005	2004
Equity securities	76%	71%
Debt securities	24%	29%
Total	100%	100%

Plan assets consist primarily of listed stocks and bonds. The Company's funding policy is to contribute cash to the pension plan so that the minimum contribution requirements established by government funding and taxing authorities are met.

The following weighted-average assumptions were used to determine the benefit obligations under the pension plan at:

December 31,	Pension Benefits		
	2005	2004	2003
Discount rate	5.50%	5.75%	6.50%

The following weighted average assumptions were used to determine the net periodic benefit cost under the pension plan for:

Pension Benefits

Edgar Filing: DUCOMMUN INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

Year ended December 31,	2005	2004	2003
Discount rate	5.75%	6.50%	6.50%
Expected long-term return on plan assets	9.00%	9.00%	9.00%

The following benefit payments under the pension plan, which reflect expected future service, as appropriate, are expected to be paid:

	Pension Benefits
2006	\$ 438,000
2007	462,000
2008	487,000
2009	514,000
2010	542,000
2011 - 2015	3,230,000

Table of Contents

The Company contributed \$1,418,000 to the pension plan in 2004, and \$0 in 2005. The Company has not determined whether it will make a contribution to the pension plan in 2006.

Note 11. Indemnifications and Warranty LiabilityIndemnifications

The Company has made guarantees and indemnities under which it may be required to make payments to a guaranteed or indemnified party, in relation to certain transactions, including revenue transactions in the ordinary course of business. In connection with certain facility leases the Company has indemnified its lessors for certain claims arising from the facility or the lease. The Company indemnifies its directors and officers to the maximum extent permitted under the laws of the State of Delaware. However, the Company has a directors and officers insurance policy that may reduce its exposure in certain circumstances and may enable it to recover a portion of future amounts that may be payable, if any. The duration of the guarantees and indemnities varies and, in many cases is indefinite but subject to statute of limitations. The majority of guarantees and indemnities do not provide any limitations of the maximum potential future payments the Company could be obligated to make. Historically, payments related to these guarantees and indemnities have been immaterial. The Company estimates the fair value of its indemnification obligations as insignificant based on this history and insurance coverage and has, therefore, not recorded any liability for these guarantees and indemnities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. However, there can be no assurances that the Company will not have any future financial exposure under these indemnification obligations.

Warranty Liability

The Company quantifies and records an estimate for warranty related costs for certain customer returns related to quality. These costs are based on current estimated repair costs.

The warranty liability at December 31, 2005 was \$122,000 compared to the warranty liability of \$1,728,000 at December 31, 2004. In 2005, as a result of the favorable resolution of a customer warranty claim, the Company reversed and took into income \$1,605,000 of warranty reserves originally accrued in 2002.

Information regarding the changes in the Company's aggregate warranty liability is as follows for the year ended December 31, 2005 (in thousands):

Warranty liability at December 31, 2004	\$ 1,728
Accruals for warranties during the year	150
Adjustments relating to pre-existing warranties	(1,756)
	<hr/>
Warranty liability at December 31, 2005	\$ 122

Table of Contents**Note 12. Leases**

The Company leases certain facilities and equipment for periods ranging from 1 to 8 years. The leases generally are renewable and provide for the payment of property taxes, insurance and other costs relative to the property. Rental expense in 2005, 2004 and 2003, was \$2,816,000, \$2,850,000 and \$3,083,000, respectively. Future minimum rental payments under operating leases having initial or remaining noncancelable terms in excess of one year at December 31, 2005 are as follows:

(In thousands)	Lease Commitments
2006	\$ 2,219
2007	1,977
2008	1,024
2009	531
2010	511
Thereafter	583
Total	\$ 6,845

Note 13. Income Taxes

The provision for income tax expense consists of the following:

Year ended December 31,	2005	2004	2003
(In thousands)			
Current tax expense/(benefit):			
Federal	\$ 5,495	\$ 2,885	\$ 2,583
State	(1,040)	472	626
	<u>4,455</u>	<u>3,357</u>	<u>3,209</u>
Deferred tax expense/(benefit):			
Federal	651	(100)	3,202
State	21	36	532
	<u>672</u>	<u>(64)</u>	<u>3,734</u>
Income Tax Expense	\$ 5,127	\$ 3,293	\$ 6,943

Table of Contents

Deferred tax assets (liabilities) are comprised of the following:

December 31,	2005	2004
(In thousands)		
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$ 152	\$ 172
Capital loss carryforwards	871	850
Contract cost overrun reserves	916	1,202
Employment-related reserves	1,871	1,498
Environmental reserves	951	874
Inventory reserves	3,498	2,683
Minimum pension liability	1,082	796
State tax credit carryforwards	349	253
Warranty reserves	49	665
Other	657	809
	10,396	9,802
Depreciation	(3,654)	(4,527)
Goodwill	(4,493)	(2,661)
Valuation allowance	(1,953)	(1,646)
	\$ 296	\$ 968
	\$ 296	\$ 968

The Company has state tax credit carryforwards of \$1.3 million which begin to expire in 2016. Management has recorded benefit for those carryforwards it expects to be utilized on tax returns filed in the future.

Management has established a valuation allowance for items that are not expected to provide future tax benefits. Management believes it is more likely than not that the Company will generate sufficient taxable income to realize the benefit of the remaining deferred tax assets.

The principal reasons for the variation from the customary relationship between income taxes and income before income taxes are as follows:

Year ended December 31,	2005	2004	2003
Statutory federal income tax rate	35.0%	35.0%	35.0%
State income taxes (net of federal benefit)	2.8	2.0	3.4
Benefit of research and development tax credits	(2.1)	(3.8)	(8.7)
Benefit of extraterritorial income exclusion	(0.6)	(0.6)	(0.4)
Benefit of qualified domestic production activities	(1.0)	-	-
Reduction of tax reserves	(10.0)	(10.2)	-
Other	0.2	0.4	0.7
	24.3%	22.8%	30.0%
Effective Income Tax Rate	24.3%	22.8%	30.0%



During 2005 and 2004, the Company reduced certain tax reserves which were previously established for identified exposures. The decision to release the reserves was based upon events occurring during the year, including the expiration of tax statutes.

On October 22, 2004, the President signed the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 (the Act). For companies that pay income taxes on manufacturing activities in the U.S., the Act provides a deduction from taxable income equal to a stipulated percentage of qualified income

Table of Contents

from domestic production activities, which will be phased-in from 2005 through 2010. The Act also provides for a two-year phase-out of the existing extraterritorial income (ETI) exclusion now in place. The Company currently derives benefit from the ETI exclusion. The Act reduces the Company s ETI exclusion for 2005 and 2006 to 80% and 60% of the otherwise allowable exclusion. No exclusion will be available in 2007 and beyond.

Under the guidance in FASB Staff Position No. FAS 109-1, the deduction for qualified domestic production activities is treated as a special deduction as described in FASB Statement No. 109. As such, the special deduction has no effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities existing at the enactment date. Rather, the impact of this deduction is reported in the Company s rate reconciliation.

Note 14. Contingencies

The Company is a defendant in a lawsuit entitled United States of America ex rel Taylor Smith, Jeannine Prewitt and James Ailes v. The Boeing Company and Ducommun Inc., filed in the United States District Court for the District of Kansas. The lawsuit is a qui tam action brought against The Boeing Company (Boeing) and Ducommun on behalf of the United States of America for violations of the United States False Claims Act. The lawsuit alleges that Ducommun sold unapproved parts to the Boeing Commercial Airplanes-Wichita Division which were installed by Boeing in 32 aircraft ultimately sold to the United States government. The lawsuit seeks damages, civil penalties and other relief from the defendants for presenting or causing to be presented false claims for payment to the United States government. Although the amount of alleged damages are not specified, the lawsuit seeks damages in an amount equal to three times the amount of damages the United States government sustained because of the defendants actions, plus a civil penalty of \$10,000 for each false claim made on or before September 28, 1999, and \$11,000 for each false claim made on or after September 28, 1999, together with attorneys fees and costs. The Company has filed a motion to dismiss the lawsuit, which is currently pending before the court. The Company intends to defend itself vigorously against the lawsuit. The Company, at this time, is unable to estimate what, if any, liability it may have in connection with the lawsuit.

The DAS facility located in El Mirage, California has been directed by California environmental agencies to investigate and take corrective action for groundwater contamination. Based upon currently available information, the Company has established a provision for the cost of such investigation and corrective action. DAS expects to spend approximately \$1.5 million for future investigation and corrective action for groundwater contamination and post-closure maintenance of the closed hazardous waste facility at its El Mirage location. However, the Company s ultimate liability in connection with the contamination will depend upon a number of factors, including changes in existing laws and regulations, and the design and cost of the construction, operation and maintenance of the corrective action.

The Company s subsidiary, Composite Structures, LLC (Composite), and several other companies have been ordered by a California environmental agency to investigate and clean up soil and groundwater contamination at its Monrovia, California facility. Composite has filed a petition for review of the order.

A California environmental agency has issued an order to DAS and other companies and government entities which allegedly sent hazardous waste to a landfill in West Covina, California. The order directs DAS and the other companies and government entities to take over the closure and post-closure operation of the landfill and to take certain other actions. The

Table of Contents

Company, at this time, is unable to estimate reliably its liability in connection with the landfill. Based on currently available information, the Company preliminarily estimates that the range of its future liability in connection with the landfill is between approximately \$369,000 and \$3.0 million. The Company's accrued liabilities at December 31, 2005 included the minimum amount of the range of approximately \$369,000.

The Orange County Water District has filed a lawsuit against American Electronics, Inc. (AEI), a subsidiary of the Company, and other companies, to recover damages, relating to contamination of groundwater within the District. The Company is defending the lawsuit, and has notified the former owners of AEI of their contractual indemnification obligations to the Company in connection with the lawsuit.

In the normal course of business, Ducommun and its subsidiaries are defendants in certain other litigation, claims and inquiries, including matters relating to environmental laws. In addition, the Company makes various commitments and incurs contingent liabilities. While it is not feasible to predict the outcome of these matters, the Company does not presently expect that any sum it may be required to pay in connection with these matters would have a material adverse effect on its consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Note 15. Major Customers and Concentrations of Credit Risk

The Company provides proprietary products and services to most of the prime aerospace and aircraft manufacturers. As a result, the Company's sales and trade receivables are concentrated principally in the aerospace industry.

The Company had substantial sales, through both of its business segments, to Boeing, Raytheon and Lockheed Martin. During 2005, 2004 and 2003, sales to Boeing were \$114,549,000, \$101,571,000 and \$113,071,000, respectively; sales to Raytheon were \$23,071,000, \$25,287,000 and \$29,755,000, respectively; and sales to Lockheed Martin were \$18,995,000, \$15,997,000 and \$16,509,000, respectively. At December 31, 2005, trade receivables from Boeing, Raytheon and Lockheed Martin were \$6,183,000, \$4,467,000 and \$1,902,000, respectively. The sales and receivables relating to Boeing, Raytheon and Lockheed Martin are diversified over a number of different commercial, space and military programs.

In 2005, 2004 and 2003, sales to foreign customers worldwide were \$21,092,000, \$17,437,000 and \$12,280,000, respectively. The Company had no sales to a foreign country greater than 5% of total sales in 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively. The amounts of profitability and identifiable assets attributable to foreign sales activity are not material when compared with revenue, profitability and identifiable assets attributed to United States domestic operations during 2005, 2004 and 2003.

Note 16. Business Segment Information

The Company supplies products and services to the aerospace industry. The Company's subsidiaries are organized into two strategic businesses, each of which is a reportable operating segment. Ducommun AeroStructures, Inc., manufactures aerospace structural components and subassemblies. Ducommun Technologies, Inc., manufactures aerospace electromechanical components and subsystems. The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those of the Company, as described in Note 1, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies.

Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 131, Disclosures About Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information (SFAS No. 131), establishes standards for reporting

Table of Contents

information about segments in financial statements. Operating segments are defined as components of an enterprise about which separate financial information is available and that is evaluated regularly by the chief operating decision maker in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance.

Financial information by operating segment is set forth below:

Year Ended December 31,	2005	2004	2003
(In thousands)			
Net Sales:			
Ducommun AeroStructures, Inc.	\$ 173,319	\$ 147,568	\$ 143,682
Ducommun Technologies, Inc.	76,377	77,308	82,224
Total Net Sales	\$ 249,696	\$ 224,876	\$ 225,906
Segment Income before Interest and Taxes (1):			
Ducommun AeroStructures, Inc.	\$ 17,450	\$ 9,097	\$ 15,263
Ducommun Technologies, Inc.	9,433	11,815	15,813
Corporate General and Administrative Expenses	26,883	20,912	31,076
	(6,285)	(6,237)	(7,179)
Total Income Before Interest and taxes	\$ 20,598	\$ 14,675	\$ 23,897
Depreciation and Amortization Expenses:			
Ducommun AeroStructures, Inc.	\$ 6,262	\$ 6,140	\$ 6,170
Ducommun Technologies, Inc.	1,231	1,317	1,293
Corporate Administration	93	42	106
Total Depreciation and Amortization Expenses	\$ 7,586	\$ 7,499	\$ 7,569
Capital Expenditures:			
Ducommun AeroStructures, Inc.	\$ 3,421	\$ 5,129	\$ 3,919
Ducommun Technologies, Inc.	1,620	517	1,839
Corporate Administration	92	296	9
Total Capital Expenditures	\$ 5,133	\$ 5,942	\$ 5,767

(1) Before certain allocated corporate overhead.

Segment assets include assets directly identifiable with each segment. Corporate assets include assets not specifically identified with a business segment, including cash.

Edgar Filing: DUCOMMUN INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

As of December 31,	2005	2004
<hr/>		
(In thousands)		
Total Assets:		
Ducommun AeroStructures, Inc.	\$ 144,466	\$ 140,055
Ducommun Technologies, Inc.	52,980	51,586
Corporate Administration	30,523	12,912
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Total Assets	\$ 227,969	\$ 204,553
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Goodwill		
Ducommun AeroStructures, Inc.	\$ 36,785	\$ 36,785
Ducommun Technologies, Inc.	20,416	20,416
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Total Goodwill	\$ 57,201	\$ 57,201
	<hr/>	<hr/>

Table of Contents

Note 17. Subsequent Events

On January 6, 2006, the Company acquired Miltec Corporation (Miltec), a privately-owned company based in Huntsville, Alabama for \$50,000,000 (including assumed indebtedness) plus contingent payments not to exceed \$3,000,000. The purchase price is subject to adjustment based on a closing balance sheet and certain tax refunds. Miltec is a leading provider of missile and aerospace systems design, development, integration and test. The acquisition will be accounted for under the purchase method of accounting. The cost of the acquisition will be allocated on the basis of the estimated fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed. The acquisition was funded from internally generated cash, notes to the sellers, and borrowings of approximately \$27,000,000 under the Company s credit agreement.

Table of Contents

Supplementary Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)

Three months ended	2005				2004			
	Dec 31	Oct 1	Jul 2	Apr 2	Dec 31	Oct 2	Jul 3	Apr 3
(in thousands, except per share amounts)								
Sales and Earnings								
Net Sales	\$ 60,878	\$ 63,008	\$ 61,998	\$ 63,812	\$ 57,411	\$ 51,835	\$ 57,383	\$ 58,247
Gross Profit	12,878	13,050	14,132	11,595	9,741	9,236	14,141	10,414
Income Before Taxes	4,216	5,902	6,352	4,650	1,744	3,163	6,072	3,486
Income Tax Expense	(694)	(1,587)	(2,279)	(567)	138	(411)	(1,765)	(1,255)
Net Income	\$ 3,522	\$ 4,315	\$ 4,073	\$ 4,083	\$ 1,882	\$ 2,752	\$ 4,307	\$ 2,231
Earnings Per Share:								
Basic earnings per share	\$.35	\$.43	\$.40	\$.41	\$.19	\$.28	\$.43	\$.22
Diluted earnings per share	.34	.42	.40	.40	.18	.27	.42	.22

Table of Contents**DUCOMMUN INCORPORATED AND SUBSIDIARIES****VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS AND RESERVES**

SCHEDULE II

Column A	Column B	Column C		Column D	Column E
Description	Balance at Beginning Of Period	Additions		Deductions	Balance at End of Period
		Charged to Costs and Expenses	Charged to Other Accounts		
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2005					
Allowance for Doubtful Accounts	\$ 333,000	\$ 20,000		\$ 110,000(a)	\$ 243,000
Valuation Allowance on Deferred Tax Assets	\$ 1,646,000	\$ 307,000(c)			\$ 1,953,000
Inventory Reserves	\$ 6,557,000	\$ 2,751,000		\$ 1,025,000	\$ 8,283,000
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2004					
Allowance for Doubtful Accounts	\$ 503,000	\$ 307,000		\$ 456,000(a) \$ 21,000(b)	\$ 333,000
Valuation Allowance on Deferred Tax Assets	\$ 1,604,000	\$ 186,000(d)		\$ 144,000(d)	\$ 1,646,000
Inventory Reserves	\$ 5,379,000	\$ 3,425,000		\$ 2,247,000	\$ 6,557,000
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2003					
Allowance for Doubtful Accounts	\$ 534,000	\$ 113,000(b)		\$ 144,000(b)	\$ 503,000
Valuation Allowance on Deferred Tax Assets	\$ 494,000	\$ 1,110,000(e)			\$ 1,604,000
Inventory Reserves	\$ 5,226,000	\$ 3,335,000		\$ 3,182,000	\$ 5,379,000

(a) Write-offs on uncollectible accounts.

(b) Collections on previously written off accounts.

(c) Increase Valuation Allowance regarding Minimum Pension Liability (\$286,000) and California capital loss carryforward (\$21,000).

(d) Increase Valuation Allowance regarding federal capital loss carryforward (\$186,000). Decrease Valuation Allowance regarding Minimum Pension Liability (\$45,000) and California capital loss carryforward (\$99,000).

- (e) Increase Valuation Allowance regarding Minimum Pension Liability (\$347,000). Establish Valuation Allowance for federal (\$332,000) and California (\$431,000) capital loss carryforwards.

Table of Contents

(b) Exhibits

3.1 Restated Certificate of Incorporation filed with the Delaware Secretary of State on May 29, 1990. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1990.

3.2 Certificate of Amendment of Certificate of Incorporation filed with the Delaware Secretary of State on May 27, 1998. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1998.

3.3 Bylaws as amended and restated on May 3, 2000. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000.

4.1 Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of April 7, 2005 among Ducommun Incorporated and the lenders referred to therein. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to Form 8-K dated April 11, 2005.

4.2 Rights Agreement dated as of February 17, 1999 by and between Ducommun Incorporated and Harris Trust Company of California as Rights Agent. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Form 8-K dated February 17, 1999.

4.3 Conversion Agreement dated July 22, 1992 between Ducommun Incorporated and the holders of the 9% Convertible Subordinated Notes due 1998. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1 to Form 8-K dated July 29, 1992.

* 10.1 1990 Stock Option Plan. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1990.

* 10.2 1994 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended May 7, 1998. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1997.

* 10.3 2001 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended. Incorporated by reference to Appendix B of Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14a, filed on March 31, 2004.

* 10.4 Form of Nonqualified Stock Option Agreement, for grants to employees prior to January 1, 1999, under the 1994 Stock Incentive Plan and the 1990 Stock Option Plan. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1990.

* 10.5 Form of Nonqualified Stock Option Agreement, for grants to employees between January 1, 1999 and June 30, 2003, under the 2001 Stock Incentive Plan, the 1994 Stock Incentive Plan and the 1990 Stock Option Plan. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999.

*

Edgar Filing: DUCOMMUN INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

- 10.6 Form of Incentive Stock Option Agreement under the 2001 Stock Incentive Plan, and the 1994 Stock Incentive Plan. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1996.
- * 10.7 Form of Nonqualified Stock Option Agreement, for nonemployee directors under the 2001 Stock Incentive Plan and the 1994 Stock Incentive Plan. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999.
- * 10.8 Form of Nonqualified Stock Option Agreement, for grants to employees after July 1, 2003, under the 2001 Stock Incentive Plan and the 1994 Stock Incentive Plan. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003.
- * 10.9 Form of Memorandum Amendment to Existing Stock Option Agreements dated August 25, 2003. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003.

Table of Contents

- * 10.10 Form of Key Executive Severance Agreement entered with four current executive officers of Ducommun or its subsidiaries. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999. All of the Key Executive Severance Agreements are identical except for the name of the executive officer and the date of the Agreement:

<u>Executive Officer</u>	<u>Date of Agreement</u>
Joseph C. Berenato	November 4, 1991
James S. Heiser	July 27, 1988
Anthony J. Reardon	June 23, 2004
Samuel D. Williams	June 21, 1989

- * 10.11 Form of Indemnity Agreement entered with all directors and officers of Ducommun. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1990. All of the Indemnity Agreements are identical except for the name of the director or officer and the date of the Agreement:

<u>Director/Officer</u>	<u>Date of Agreement</u>
Joseph C. Berenato	November 4, 1991
H. Frederick Christie	October 23, 1985
Eugene P. Conese, Jr.	January 26, 2000
Ralph D. Crosby, Jr.	January 26, 2000
Robert C. Ducommun	December 31, 1985
James S. Heiser	May 6, 1987
Thomas P. Mullaney	April 8, 1987
Robert D. Paulson	March 25, 2003
Samuel D. Williams	November 11, 1988

- * 10.12 Ducommun Incorporated 2005 Bonus Plan. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 to Form 8-K dated February 28, 2005.
- * 10.13 Ducommun Incorporated 2006 Bonus Plan. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to Form 8-K dated February 8, 2006.
- * 10.14 Directors Deferred Compensation and Retirement Plan, as amended and restated August 5, 2004. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended October 2, 2004.
- * 10.15 Ducommun Incorporated Executive Retirement Plan dated May 5, 1993. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended July 3, 1993.
- * 10.16 Ducommun Incorporated Executive Compensation Deferral Plan dated May 5, 1993. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended July 3, 1993.
- * 10.17 Ducommun Incorporated Executive Compensation Deferral Plan No. 2 dated October 15, 1994. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1994.

10.18 Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated November 22, 2005, by and between Ducommun Incorporated, DT Acquisition Sub, Inc., Miltec Corporation and certain shareholders of Miltec Corporation. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Form 8-K

dated January 6, 2006.

- * 10.19 Severance Agreement between Ducommun Technologies, Inc., and Paul L. Graham dated February 2, 2005. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to Form 8-K dated February 4, 2005.

Table of Contents

* 10.20	Offer Letter of Employment dated August 16, 2004 between Ducommun Technologies, Inc., and John Walsh. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004.
11	Reconciliation of the Numerators and Denominators of the Basic and Diluted Earnings Per Share Computations
21	Subsidiaries of registrant
23	Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP
31.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer
31.2	Certification of Principal Financial Officer
32	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

* Indicates an executive compensation plan or arrangement.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: February 27, 2006

DUCOMMUN INCORPORATED

By: /s/ Joseph C. Berenato
Joseph C. Berenato
Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been duly signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Date: February 27, 2006

By: /s/ Joseph C. Berenato
Joseph C. Berenato
Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

Date: February 27, 2006

By: /s/ James S. Heiser
James S. Heiser
Vice President, Chief Financial Officer,

General Counsel, Secretary and Treasurer

(Principal Financial Officer)

Date: February 27, 2006

By: /s/ Samuel D. Williams
Samuel D. Williams
Vice President, Controller and Assistant Treasurer

(Principal Accounting Officer)

Table of Contents

DIRECTORS

By:	/s/ Joseph C. Berenato Joseph C. Berenato	Date:	February 27, 2006
By:	/s/ Eugene P. Conese, Jr. Eugene P. Conese, Jr.	Date:	February 27, 2006
By:	/s/ Ralph D. Crosby, Jr. Ralph D. Crosby, Jr.	Date:	February 27, 2006
By:	/s/ H. Frederick Christie H. Frederick Christie	Date:	February 27, 2006
By:	/s/ Robert C. Ducommun Robert C. Ducommun	Date:	February 27, 2006
By	/s/ Thomas P. Mullaney Thomas P. Mullaney	Date	February 27, 2006
By:	/s/ Robert D. Paulson Robert D. Paulson	Date:	February 27, 2006