

ANGLO SWISS RESOURCES INC
Form 20-F/A
February 02, 2007

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 20-F/A

Amendment No. 1

ANNUAL REPORT

£

REGISTRATION STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(b) OR (g) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

OR.

S

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the fiscal period ended **December 31, 2005**

OR

£

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT 1934
For the transition period from _____ to _____

OR

£

SHELL COMPANY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT 1934

(Date of event requiring this shell company report)

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 0-8797

ANGLO SWISS RESOURCES INC.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Province of British Columbia, Canada

(Jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

837 West Hastings Street, Suite 1904, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada V6C 3N7

(Address of principal executive offices)

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class

Name of each exchange on which registered

None

Not Applicable

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Common Shares Without Par Value

(Title of Class)

Securities for which there is a reporting obligation pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Act:

None

(Title of Class)

Indicate the number of outstanding shares of each of the issuer's classes of capital or common stock as of the close of the period covered by the annual report.

Title of Class	Issued and Outstanding as of December 31, 2005
Common Shares Without Par Value	67,402,688

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the Company is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check One)

Large accelerated filer _____

Accelerated filer _____

Non-accelerated filer X

Indicate by check mark which financial statement item the registrant has elected to follow.

Item 17 X Item 18

If this is an annual report, indicate by check mark whether the Company is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes _____ No X

(APPLICABLE ONLY TO ISSUERS INVOLVED IN BANKRUPTCY PROCEEDINGS DURING THE PAST FIVE YEARS)

Indicate by check mark whether the Company has filed all documents and reports required to be filed by Sections 12, 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 subsequent to the distribution of securities under a plan confirmed by a court.

Yes _____ No _____ Not Applicable X

-

This information set forth in this Form 20-F Annual Report is as at December 31, 2005 unless an earlier or later date is indicated.

References to Anglo Swiss Resources Inc. and the Company in this Form 20-F Annual Report include any of its subsidiaries except to the extent the context requires otherwise.

Financial information is presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in Canada. The major measurement differences between accounting principles generally accepted in Canada and in the United States, as applicable to the Company, are set forth in Item 5 of this Annual Report and in Note 12 to the accompanying Financial Statements of the Company.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Annual Report contains forward-looking statements and information relating to the Company that are based on the beliefs of its management as well as assumptions made by and information available to Anglo Swiss. When used in this document the words, anticipate , believe , and expect and similar expressions, as they relate to the Company or its management, are intended to identify forward-looking statements.

This Annual Report contains forward-looking statements relating to, among other things, regulatory compliance, the sufficiency of current working capital, the estimated cost and availability of funding for the continued exploration and development of the Company s exploration properties. Many factors could cause the actual results, performance or achievements of Anglo Swiss to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements be expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Important factors are identified in this Annual Report and the attached financial statements.

Statements in this Annual Report regarding expected completion dates of feasibility studies, anticipated commencement dates of mining or metal production operations, projected quantities of future metal production and anticipated production rates, operating efficiencies, costs and expenditures are forward-looking statements. Actual results could differ materially depending upon the availability of materials, equipment, required permits or approvals and financing, the occurrence of unusual weather or operating conditions, the accuracy of reserve estimates, lower than expected ore grades or the failure of equipment or processes to operate in accordance with specifications. See Item 3.D Key Information Risk Factors for other factors that may affect the Company s future financial performance.

Critical Accounting Estimates

A summary of all the Company's significant accounting policies is included in Note 2 to the annual financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2005.

Management is required to make assumptions and estimates that affect the valuation of its mineral properties. The carrying value of each property in the exploration or development stage is evaluated as to the project economics, including the timing of the exploration and/or development work, the work programs and the exploration results experienced by the Company or others. The review of the carrying value of each producing property is made by reference to the estimated future operating results and net cash flows. When the carrying value of a property exceeds its estimated net recoverable amount, provision is made for the decline in value.

Acquisition costs of mineral properties and development expenditures thereon are capitalized. Costs incurred for general explorations that do not result in the acquisition of mineral properties with ongoing exploration or development potential are charged to operations. Costs relating to properties abandoned are written off when such decision is made. When production is attained, the capitalized costs will be amortized using the unit of production method based upon estimated proven and probable recoverable reserves.

Future income tax assets and liabilities are computed based on differences between the carrying amount of assets and liabilities on the balance sheet and their corresponding tax values, using the enacted or substantively enacted, as applicable, income tax rates at each balance sheet date. Future income tax assets also result from unused loss carry forwards and other deductions. The valuation of future income tax assets is reviewed annually and adjusted, if necessary, by use of a valuation allowance to reflect the estimated realizable amount.

The Company follows the provisions of CIC Handbook Section 3870, which requires the fair value based method to be used for all stock-based awards. As a result, the Company is required to expense stock option benefits issued to employees and directors based on their vesting provisions. Accordingly, the fair value of the options at the date of the grant is determined using the Black-Scholes option pricing model and stock-based compensation is accrued and charged to operations, with an offsetting credit to options, on a straight-line basis over the vesting periods. The fair value of stock options granted to non-employees is re-measured at the earlier of each financial reporting or vesting date, and any adjustment is charged or credited to operations upon re-measurement. Option pricing models require the input of highly subjective assumptions regarding the expected volatility. Changes in assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimate, and therefore, the existing models do not necessarily provide a reliable measure of the fair value of the Company's stock options at the date of the grant or thereafter.

CURRENCY TRANSLATIONS

In this Form 20-F Annual Report, unless otherwise specified, all monetary amounts are expressed in Canadian dollars. See **Item 3.A Key Information - Selected Financial Data** for a summary of key exchange rates.

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
FORM 20-F FOR ANGLO SWISS RESOURCES INC.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTERPRETATION

2

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

2

CURRENCY TRANSLATIONS

3

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

6

ITEM 1.

IDENTITY OF DIRECTORS, SENIOR MANAGEMENT AND ADVISORS

10

ITEM 2.

OFFER STATISTICS AND EXPECTED TIMETABLE

10

ITEM 3.

KEY INFORMATION

10

A.

Selected Financial Data

10

B.

Capitalization and Indebtedness

12

C.

Reasons For The Offer and Use of Proceeds

12

D.

Risk Factors

12

ITEM 4.

INFORMATION OF THE COMPANY

18

A.

History and Development of the Company

18

B.

Business Overview

22

C.

Organizational Structure

24

D.

Property, Plants and Equipment

25

ITEM 5.

OPERATING AND FINANCIAL REVIEW AND PROSPECTS

47

A.

Operating Results

47

B.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

.51

C.

Research and Development, Patents and Licenses, etc.

55

D.

Trend Information

55

E.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

55

F.

Tabular Disclosure of Contractual Obligations

55

G.

Safe Harbour

55

ITEM 6.

DIRECTORS, SENIOR MANAGEMENT AND EMPLOYEES

53

A.

Directors and Senior Management

55

B.

Compensation

56

C.

Board Practices

59

D.

Employees

60

E.

Share Ownership

60

ITEM 7.

MAJOR SHAREHOLDERS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

62

A.

Major Shareholders

62

B.

Related Party Transactions

62

C.

Interests of Experts and Counsel

63

ITEM 8.

FINANCIAL INFORMATION

63

A.

Statements and Other Financial Information

63

B.

Significant Changes

63

ITEM 9.

THE OFFER AND LISTING

63

A.

Offer and Listing Details

63

B.

Plan of Distribution

65

C.

Markets

65

D.

Selling Shareholders

66

E.

Dilution

66

F.

Expenses of the Issue

66

ITEM 10.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

67

A.

Share Capital

67

B.

Memorandum and Articles of Association

67

C.

Material Contracts

69

D.

Exchange Controls

70

E.

Taxation

.

72

F.

Dividends and Paying Agents

78

G.

Statements by Experts

78

H.

Documents on Display

78

I.

Subsidiary Information

79

ITEM 11.

**QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES
ABOUT MARKET RISK**

79

PART II

ITEM 12.

DESCRIPTION OF SECURITIES OTHER THAN EQUITY SECURITIES

79

ITEM 13.

DEFAULTS, DIVIDEND ARREARS AND DELINQUENCIES

79

ITEM 14.

**MATERIAL MODIFICATIONS TO THE RIGHTS OF SECURITY
HOLDERS AND USE OF PROCEEDS**

79

ITEM 15.

CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

79

ITEM 16 RESERVED

ITEM 16A. AUDIT COMMITTEE FINANCIAL EXPERT

80

ITEM 16B. CODE OF ETHICS

80

ITEM 16C. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES & SERVICE

80

ITEM 16D.

EXEMPTIONS FROM THE LISTING STANDARDS FOR AUDIT COMMITTEES

81

ITEM 16E. PURCHASERS OF EQUITY SECURITIES BY THE ISSUER AND AFFILIATED PURCHASERS

81

PART III

ITEM 17.

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

81

ITEM 18.

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

81

ITEM 19.

EXHIBIT INDEX

82

SIGNATURES

83

CERTIFICATES

84/85

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

The following glossary, which is not exhaustive, should be used only as an adjunct to a thorough reading of the entire document of which it forms a part.

alluvial: A term used to identify particular types of, or minerals found associated with, deposits made by flowing water, such as alluvial gold.

alluvial diamonds: diamonds found in river sediments.

Arrangement: The arrangement under the Company Act, among the Company and the ASII shareholders and ASII and more particularly described under **Item 4.A Information of the Company History and Development of the Company**.

ASIHI: Anglo Swiss International Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, incorporated under the laws of the Cayman Islands.

ASII: Anglo Swiss Industries Inc., a company incorporated under the Company Act under the name 68063 B.C. Ltd. .

ASII Common Shares: The common shares without par value in the capital of ASII.

bedrock: the solid rock under deposits of gravels, sands, soil and silt.

beryl: A beryllium-aluminium silicate. Used as a gem when clear and well coloured. The grass green variety is known as emerald; light green, beryl; blue-green, aquamarine.

Blu Starr Joint Participation Agreement: The Agreement dated May 1, 2000 between the Company and Hampton Court Resources Inc., respecting the Blu Starr Property, including amendments thereto. Agreement expired on April 30, 2003.

Board of Directors: The board of directors of the Company as elected or appointed from time to time. Also sometimes referred to as the Board .

breccia: A fragmental rock; any rock formation essentially composed of uncemented, or loosely consolidated, small angular-shaped fragments.

Canadamin S.A.: Compania Minera Canadamin S.A., a company incorporated under the laws of Ecuador, all of whose issued and outstanding shares are held by ASIHI.

Canadian GAAP: Canadian generally accepted accounting principles.

carat: A unit employed in weighing diamonds and gemstones. The international metric carat (M.C.) of 200 milligrams is the standard.

carbonatite: A sulfide of copper and iron.

Catamayo Joint Participation Agreement: The Agreement dated May 1, 2000 between the Company and Hampton Court Resources Inc. respecting the Catamayo River Property.

chalcopryrite: A sulfide of copper and iron.

Company: Anglo Swiss Resources Inc., a company continued under the Company Act.

Company Act: The *Company Act* (British Columbia) R.S.B.C. 1996, c.62, as amended from time to time.

Company Class A Preferred: The Class A preferred shares without par value in the capital of the Company.

Company Class B Preferred: The Class B preferred shares without par value in the capital of the Company.

Company Class C Preferred: The Class C preferred shares without par value in the capital of the Company.

Company Common Shares: The common shares without par value in the capital of the Company.

concession: A form of entitlement under Ecuadorian law to either explore and develop a given area for minerals or to exploit or mine such area.

corundum: An aluminium oxide, Al_2O_3 occurring commonly as an accessory mineral in the metamorphic rocks, such as crystal-line limestone mica-schist, gneiss. The coloured and clear varieties form the gems sapphire, ruby, oriental emerald and oriental topaz.

deposit: A coherent geological body such as a mineralized body.

diamondiferous: Containing diamonds.

Director: A director of the Company as elected or appointed from time to time.

Dissenting Shareholders: ASII shareholders who validly exercised the rights of dissent provided to them in respect of the 409556 Sale or the Arrangement and receive fair value for their ASII common shares of ASII in accordance with Section 207 of the Company Act in respect of the 409556 Sale or with the Section 207 of the Company Act in respect of the Arrangement.

dome: A mountain having a smoothly rounded summit of rock that resembles the cupola or dome on a building.

doré bars: An unrefined gold and silver bar consisting of approximately 90% precious metals that would be further refined to almost pure precious metals.

electromagnetic survey (or EM survey): A survey of the conductive properties of rocks. Grade: (to contain a particular) quantity of ore or mineral relative to other constituents, in a specified quantity of rock.

epithermal: Applied to hydrothermal deposits formed at low temperature and pressure.

Exchange Act: The United States *Securities Exchange Act of 1934*, as amended from time to time.

fault: A fracture or a fracture zone along which there has been displacement of the two sides relative to one another parallel to the fracture. The displacement may be a few inches or many miles.

fluorite: A natural calcium fluoride, occurring in veins either alone or with metallic ores.

fracture: The general term to include any kind of discontinuity in a body of rock if produced by mechanical process such as shear stress or tensile stress, but not attended by movement on one side or the other.

garnet: A group of silicate minerals including several species with related chemical structure. Several principal garnets include almandine (iron aluminum) abrasive and gem, precious garnet and pyrope (manganese aluminum).

gemstone: A term that includes pearl, amber, coral, jet, or any stone of any variety of a gem mineral which is of sufficient beauty and durability for use as a personal ornament.

graphite: A allotropic form of carbon found in nature, molecular weight, 12.01; black, dark grey or steel grey; specific gravity 1.9 to 2.3; Mohs hardness 1 to 2.

hectare: A metric unit of land measure equal to 10,000 square metres or 2.471 acres.

iolite: A gem variety of the mineral cordierite; a silicate of magnesium and aluminum, found as an accessory mineral in granite, gneiss, schists and in contact metamorphic zones. Color is different shades of blue, Mohs hardness 7 to 7.5, transparent to translucent.

kimberlite: An igneous rock of mantle origin occurring in intrusive breccia pipes, which is sometimes diamond bearing.

kyanite: A natural silicate of aluminum, found in metamorphic rocks.

mantle: The ultramafic layer of the earth beneath the crust.

mineral: An inorganic substance having usually a definite chemical composition and, if formed under favourable conditions, having a certain characteristic atomic structure which is expressed in its crystalline form and other physical properties.

mineral claim: The portion of mining ground held under law by a claimant.

mineral resource: In-situ mineral occurrence from which valuable or useful minerals may be recovered.

mineralization: Implication that the rocks contain sulphide minerals and that these could be related to ore.

Option Joint Venture Agreement: That agreement dated February 10, 1995 between 409556 and Teck Corp. respecting the Kenville Mine Property.

ore: That part of a mineral deposit which could be economically and legally extracted.

overburden: Material that overlies bedrock.

pipe: A roughly funnel-shaped, approximately vertical extrusion of volcanic breccia and kimberlite that may or may not contain diamonds.

placer: A place where gold is obtained by washing; an alluvial or glacial deposit, as of sand or gravel, containing particles of gold or other valuable minerals including gemstones.

Post-Arrangement Transactions: Post-Arrangement Transactions means those transactions that were undertaken immediately after the Arrangement and more particularly described under **Item 4.A Information of the Company History and Development of the Company.**

Pre-Arrangement Transactions: Pre-Arrangement Transactions means those transactions that were undertaken immediately before the Arrangement and more particularly described under **Item 4.A Information of the Company History and Development of the Company.**

prospect: Mineral occurrence with the potential for an economic deposit.

quality: the degree of excellence of a diamond, measured by its weight, colour, purity or clarity and its perfection of proportions and finish.

Reorganization: The Pre-Arrangement Transactions, the Arrangement and the Post-Arrangement Transactions.

reserve: That part of a mineral deposit which could be economically and legally extracted or produced at the time of the reserve determination.

ruby: Red transparent corundum (Al_2O_3) colour being due to traces of sufficient chromium. Mohs hardness 8-9, specific gravity of 4.

sapphire: Most commonly blue transparent corundum (Al_2O_3) colour being due to traces of cobalt, chromium, titanium: Mohs hardness 8-9, specific gravity of 4.

sediment: unconsolidated material, both mineral and organic, that has come to rest on, or is being transported over, the earth's surface by water, wind or ice.

short ton: A weight of 2,000 pounds. Also sometimes referred to as a ton .

strike: The direction, that is, the course or bearing of a vein or rock formation measured on a horizontal surface.

Teck Corp.: Teck Corporation, a Canadian-based, international mining company.

terrace: An alluvial deposit on a flat area of a river or former riverbank. Also known as a bench placer.

ton: See the definition of short ton .

tonne: A weight of 2,200 pounds. Also sometimes referred to as a metric tonne .

tourmaline: A complex aluminum silicate of hexagonal crystallization containing boron and other elements.

ultramafic: Igneous rocks of mantle origin with low silica contents.

U.S. GAAP: United States generally accepted accounting principles.

vein: A zone or belt of mineralized rock lying within boundaries clearly separating it from neighbouring rock. A mineralized zone has, more or less, a regular development in length, width and depth to give it a tabular form and is commonly inclined at a considerable angle to the horizontal. The term lode is commonly used synonymously for vein.

volcanic: Descriptive of rocks originating from volcanic activity.

409556: 409556 B.C. Ltd., previously a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company which has been now wound-up.

409556 Sale: The sale of all of the issued and outstanding shares of 409556 by ASII to the Company, and forming part of the Pre-Arrangement Transactions.

PART I

ITEM 1.

IDENTITY OF DIRECTORS, SENIOR MANAGEMENT AND ADVISORS

This Form 20-F is being filed as an annual report under the Exchange Act and, as such, there is no requirement to provide any information in regards to the identity of the Directors, Senior Management and Advisors of the Company under this Item.

The Company has had PricewaterhouseCoopers, LPP, Chartered Accountants (PWC) act as their auditors since 1981. PWC has offices at 250 Howe Street, Suite 700, Vancouver, British Columbia, V6C 3S7. PWC are appointed annually by the shareholders to conduct an audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in Canada and the PCAOB.

ITEM 2.

OFFER STATISTICS AND EXPECTED TIMETABLE

This Form 20-F is being filed as an annual report under the Exchange Act and, as such, there is no requirement to provide any information under this Item.

ITEM 3.

KEY INFORMATION

A.

Selected Financial Data

The following table summarizes selected financial data for the Company (stated in Canadian dollars) prepared in accordance with Canadian GAAP. The Reorganization, effective November 28, 1997, resulted in the Company, a former subsidiary of ASII, becoming the parent company and ASII and its other subsidiaries become the subsidiaries of the Company. This transaction was a reorganization of existing companies and had no impact on the financial statements except for authorized capital of the Company which is now 900,000,000 shares. The information in the tables was extracted from the more detailed financial statements and related notes included herein and should be read in conjunction with these financial statements and with the information appearing under **ITEM 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects**. Results for the period ended December 31, 2005 are not necessarily indicative of results for future periods.

Selected Financial Data Prepared in accordance with Canadian GAAP

Edgar Filing: ANGLO SWISS RESOURCES INC - Form 20-F/A

Item	Dec. 31/2005	Dec. 31/2004	Dec. 31/2003	Dec. 31/2002	Dec.31/2001
(a)	\$874	\$1,005	\$888	\$90,456	\$3,512
Interest income					
(b)	\$(482,478)	\$(427,123)	\$(269,542)	\$(82,995)	\$(660,334)
Net earnings (loss)					
(c)	\$(0.01)	\$(0.01)	\$(0.00)	\$(0.00)	\$(0.02)
Net earnings (loss) per common share					
(d)	\$5,453,577	3,959,764	\$3,843,615	\$3,899,540	\$3,946,930
Total assets					
(e)	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	Nil
Total long-term debt					
(f)	\$4,985,299	\$3,645,071	\$3,406,887	\$3,628,483	\$3,711,478
Net Assets					
(g)	\$13,216,707	\$11,545,745	\$11,224,585	\$11,224,585	\$11,185,585
Capital Stock					
(h)	67,402,688	50,005,688	44,825,688	44,825,688	44,500,688
No. of Common Shares					

Note 12 of the financial statements of the Company included herein sets forth the differences were such information to be presented in accordance with U.S. GAAP.

Selected Financial Data Prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP

Item	Dec. 31/2005	Dec. 31/2004	Dec, 31/2003	Dec, 31/2002	Dec. 31/2001
(a)	\$874	\$1,005	\$888	\$90,456	\$3,512
Interest income					
(b)	\$(1,534,161)	\$(380,289)	\$(178,527)	\$(2,492,566)	\$(252,747)
Net earnings (loss)					
(c)	\$(0.03)	\$(0.01)	\$(0.00)	\$(0.01)	\$(0.01)
Net earnings (loss) per common share					
(d)	\$1,640,574	\$1,198,444	\$1,035,461	\$1,025,371	\$3,482,332
Total assets					
(e)	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	Nil
Total long-term debt ⁽¹⁾					
(f)	\$1,172,296	\$883,751	\$598,733	\$754,314	\$3,246,880
Net Assets					
(g)	\$13,216,707	\$11,545,745	\$11,224,585	\$11,224,585	\$11,185,585
Capital Stock					
(h)	67,402,688	50,005,688	44,825,688	44,825,688	44,500,688
No. of Common Shares					

The Company has not declared or paid any dividends in any of its last five financial years.

In this Annual Report on Form 20-F, unless otherwise specified, all monetary amounts are expressed in Canadian Dollars. On May 1, 2006 the exchange rate, based on the noon buying rate published by the Bank of Canada, for the conversion of United States dollars into Canadian dollars (the Noon Rate of Exchange) was \$0.8982 (US \$1.00 = CDN \$1.1133).

The following table sets out the high and low exchange rates exchange rates for each of the last six months.

	April 2006	March 2006	February 2006	January 2006	December 2005	November 2005
High for Period	1.1719	1.1724	1.1578	1.1794	1.1754	1.1977
Low for Period	1.1203	1.1322	1.1380	1.1372	1.1427	1.1642

The following table sets out the average exchange rates for the five most recent financial years calculated by using the average of the Noon Rate of Exchange on the last day of each month during the period.

	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001
Average for the period	1.2135	1.3149	1.4377	1.5715	1.5406

B.

Capitalization and Indebtedness

This Form 20-F is being filed as an annual report under the Exchange Act and, as such, there is no requirement to provide any information under this Item.

C.

Reasons For The Offer and Use of Proceeds

This Form 20-F is being filed as an annual report under the Exchange Act and, as such, there is no requirement to provide any information under this Item.

D.

Risk Factors

The following is a brief discussion of those distinctive or special characteristics of the Company's operations and industry, which may have a material impact on, or constitute risk factors in respect of, the Company's future financial performance.

Exploration and Development Risks

The Company is engaged in the business of acquiring and exploring mineral properties in the expectation of locating mineral reserves. The Company's property interests are in the exploration stage only and are without a known body of commercial ore. Accordingly, there is little likelihood that the Company will realize any profits in the short to medium term. Any profitability in the future from the Company's business will be dependent upon locating mineral reserves, which itself is subject to numerous risk factors.

The business of exploring for minerals and mining involves a high degree of risk. Few properties that are explored are ultimately developed into producing, profitable mines. In developing its mineral deposits, the Company will be subjected to an array of complex economic factors and accordingly there is no assurance that a positive feasibility study or any projected results contained in a feasibility study of a mineral deposit will be attained.

Technical considerations, delays in obtaining governmental approvals, inability to obtain financing or other factors could cause delays in developing properties. Such delays could materially adversely affect the financial performance of the Company.

The business of mining is subject to a variety of risks such as cave-ins and other accidents, flooding, environmental hazards, the discharge of toxic chemicals and other hazards. Such occurrences may delay production, increase production costs or result in liability. The Company may have insurance in amounts that it considers to be adequate to protect itself against certain risks of mining and processing. However, the Company may become subject to liability for hazards against which it cannot insure itself or which it may elect not to insure against because of premium costs or other reasons. In particular, the Company is not insured for environmental liability or earthquake damage.

Title Matters

While the Company believes title to all of its properties is in good standing, this should not be construed as a guarantee of title. Other parties (including indigenous landowners) may dispute title to the mining properties in which the Company has an interest or the right to acquire an interest. The properties may be subject to prior unregistered agreements or transfer or land claims and title may be affected by undetected defects.

Only a small number of the mining claims in which the Company has an interest are held under governmental lease or patent. Accordingly, other parties may dispute the Company's title to its mining and other interests. Such claims may be subject to prior unregistered agreements or transfers or native land claims and title may be affected by undetected defects. There may also be liens or other encumbrances registered against the Company's mining claims from time to time. The Company has entered into, or may enter into, contractual arrangements to acquire interests in resource properties with governments or governmental agencies. Such contractual arrangements may be difficult to enforce.

Insurance

The Company currently has little or no insurance coverage for its plant and related equipment at any of its properties. In the event of one or more uninsured losses, any one of the Company's current projects would be rendered uneconomic.

Environmental and other Regulatory Requirements

The Company's potential mining and processing operations and exploration activities in Canada are subject to various federal, territorial and provincial laws governing land use, the protection of the environment, prospecting, development, production, exports, taxes, labour standards, occupational health, waste disposal, toxic substances, mine safety and other matters. Such operations and exploration activities are also subject to substantial regulation under these laws by governmental agencies and may require that the Company obtain permits from various governmental agencies.

The Company believes it is in substantial compliance with all material laws and regulations which currently apply to its various activities. There can be no assurance, however, that all permits which the Company may require for construction of mining facilities and conduct of mining operations will be obtainable on reasonable terms or that such laws and regulations would not have an adverse effect on any mining project which the Company might undertake.

Failure to comply with applicable laws, regulations, and permitting requirements may result in enforcement actions there-under, including orders issued by regulatory or judicial authorities causing operations to cease or be curtailed and may include corrective measures requiring capital expenditures, installation of additional equipment, or remedial actions. Parties engaged in mining operations may be required to compensate those suffering loss or damage by reason of the mining activities and may have civil or criminal fines or penalties imposed for violations of applicable laws or regulations.

Amendments to current laws, regulations and permits governing operations and activities of mining companies, or more stringent implementation thereof, could have a material adverse impact on the Company and cause increases in capital expenditures or production costs or reduction in levels of production at producing properties or require abandonment or delays in development of new mining properties.

Competition

Significant and increasing competition exists for the limited number of diamond/gemstone/precious and base metals acquisition opportunities available in Canada, the United States and beyond. As a result of this competition, some of which is with large established mining companies with substantial capabilities and greater financial and technical resources than the Company, the Company may be unable to acquire additional attractive opportunities on terms it considers acceptable.

Currency Fluctuations

The Company maintains its accounts in Canadian Dollars. The Company's operations are all situated within Canada and therefore, are not subject to foreign currency fluctuations. The Company does not at the present, nor in the future plan to engage in foreign currency transactions to hedge exchange rate risks.

Mineral Prices

The mining industry in general is intensely competitive and there is no assurance that, even if commercial quantities of mineral resources are discovered, a profitable market will exist for the sale of same. Factors beyond the control of the Company may affect the marketability of any substances discovered. The price of gold and gemstones has experienced volatile and significant price movements over short periods of time, and is affected by numerous factors beyond the control of the Company, including international economic and political trends, expectations of inflation, currency exchange fluctuations (specifically, the U.S. dollar relative to other currencies), speculative activities and increased production due to improved mining and production methods. The supply of and demand for diamond/gemstones/precious and base metals is affected by various factors, including political events, economic conditions and production costs in major commodities producing regions and governmental policies.

Sales and Refining

If production is achieved on any of the Company's properties, the diamonds, gemstones, or precious/base metals can be readily sold on numerous markets throughout the world and it is not difficult to ascertain its market price at any particular time. Doré bars that may be produced by the Company's future mining operations would be refined by a commercial refinery; and any precious/base metals produced would subsequently be purchased on a competitive basis. The Company believes that because of the availability of refiners, each able to supply all services that would be required by the Company, no material adverse affect is likely to result if the Company lost the services of any refiner. Because of the large number of available precious/base metals purchasers, the Company believes that it is not dependent upon the sale of its production to any customer, the loss of which would have material adverse affect on the business of the Company.

Sapphires are far less common than diamonds, with large gem quality rubies being anything from 30-50 times rarer than diamonds. Rubies and sapphires dominate the world gemstone market and account for over 50% of total sales. The world market for diamonds and precious/semi-precious gemstones is maintained mostly through well established markets throughout the world.

Dependence Upon Qualified Personnel

The Company is dependent upon its ability to attract and retain qualified personnel. No assurances can be given that the Company will be able to attract or retain any such persons.

Conflicts of Interest

Certain of the directors of the Company are directors of other reporting companies and to the extent that such other companies may participate in ventures in which the Company may participate, the directors of the Company may have a conflict of interest in negotiating and concluding terms respecting the extent of such participation. In the event that such a conflict of interest arises at a meeting of the directors of the Company, a director who has such a conflict will abstain from voting for or against the approval of such participation or such terms. In appropriate cases the Company will establish a special committee of independent directors to review a matter in which several directors, or management, may have a conflict. From time to time, several companies may participate in the acquisition, exploration and development of natural resource properties thereby allowing for their participating in larger programs, permitting involvement in a greater number of programs and reducing financial exposure in respect of any one program. It may also occur that a particular company will assign all or a portion of its interest in a particular program to another of these companies due to the financial position of the company making the assignment. In accordance with the laws of the Province of British Columbia, the directors of the Company are required to act honestly, in good faith and in the best interests of the Company. In determining whether or not the Company will participate in a particular program and the interest therein to be acquired by it, the directors will primarily consider the potential benefits to the Company, the degree of risk to which the Company may be exposed and its financial position at that time. Other than as indicated, the Company has no other procedures or mechanisms to deal with conflicts of interest.

Additional Funding Requirements

The Company has not received cash flow from operations in the past and positive cash flow is not expected in the next few years to satisfy the Company's operational requirements and cash commitments. In the past, the Company has relied on sales of equity securities to meet most of its cash requirements, together with management fees, property payments and sales or joint ventures of properties. There can be no assurance that funding from these sources will be sufficient in the future to satisfy operational requirements and cash commitments.

The Company currently has minimal financial resources on hand to undertake all of its planned exploration programs and meet its general and administrative expenses budgeted through the current fiscal year ending 2006. The Company completed a private placement of \$734,700 in 2005 and received \$160,000 from the exercise of options, both resulting in the issuance of common shares. **SEE ITEM 17. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Note 7.** The development of the Company's properties in the future will continue to depend upon the Company's ability to obtain financing through any or all of the joint venturing of projects, debt financing, equity financing or other means. There is no assurance that the Company will be successful in obtaining the required financing. Failure to obtain additional financing on a timely basis could cause the Company to forfeit its interest in its properties and reduce or terminate its operations on such properties.

History of Net Losses; Accumulated Deficit; Lack of Revenue From Operations

The Company has incurred significant net losses to date. Its deficit as of December 31, 2005, was \$8,962,155. The Company has not yet had any revenue from the exploration activities on its properties, nor has the Company yet found that development activity is warranted on any of its properties. Even if the Company does undertake development activity on any of its properties, the Company may continue to incur losses beyond the period of commencement of such activity. There is no certainty that the Company will produce revenue, operate profitably or provide a return on investment in the future.

Limited Experience with Development-Stage Mining Operations

The Company has limited experience in placing resource properties into production, and its ability to do so will be dependent upon using the services of appropriately experienced personnel or entering into agreements with other major resource companies that can provide such expertise. There can be no assurance that the Company will have available to it the necessary expertise when and if the Company places its resource properties into production.

Stock Subject to Penny Stock Rules

The capital stock of the Company would be classified as penny stock as defined in Reg. § 2403a51-1 promulgated under the Exchange Act. In response to perceived abuse in the penny stock market generally, the Exchange Act was amended in 1990 to add new requirements in connection with penny stocks. In connection with effecting any transaction in a penny stock, a broker or dealer must give the customer a written risk disclosure document that (a) describes the nature and level of risk in the market for penny stocks in both public offerings and secondary trading, (b) describes the broker's or dealer's duties to the customer and the rights and remedies available to such customer with respect to violations of such duties, (c) describes the dealer market, including bid and ask prices for penny stock and the significance of the spread between the bid and ask prices, (d) contains a toll-free telephone number for inquiries on disciplinary histories of brokers and dealers, and (e) defines significant terms used in the disclosure document or the conduct of trading in penny stocks. In addition, the broker-dealer must provide to a penny stock customer a written monthly account statement that discloses the identity and number of shares of each penny stock held in the customer's account, and the estimated market value of such shares. The extensive disclosure and other broker-dealer compliance related to penny stocks may result in reducing the level of trading activity in the secondary market for such stocks, thus limiting the ability of the holder to sell such stock.

Environmental and Other Regulatory Requirements

The current or future operations of the Company, including development activities and commencement of production on its properties, require permits from various governmental authorities and such operations are and will be subject to laws and regulations governing prospecting, development, mining, production, exports, taxes, labour standards, occupational health, waste disposal, toxic substances, land use, environmental protection, mine safety and other matters. Companies engaged in the development and operation of mines and related facilities generally experience increased costs, and delays in production and other schedules as a result of the need to comply with applicable laws, regulations and permits. There can be no assurance that approvals and permits required to commence production on its various properties will be obtained. Additional permits and studies, which may include environmental impact studies conducted before permits can be obtained, may be necessary prior to operation of the properties in which the Company has interests and there can be no assurance that the Company will be able to obtain or maintain all necessary permits that may be required to commence construction, development or operation of mining facilities at these properties on terms which enable operations to be conducted at economically justifiable costs.

The Company's potential mining and processing operations and exploration activities in Canada are subject to various federal and provincial and state laws governing land use, the protection of the environment, prospecting, development, production, exports, taxes, labour standards, occupational health, waste disposal, toxic substances, mine safety and other matters. Such operations and exploration activities are also subject to substantial regulation under these laws by governmental agencies and may require that the Company obtain permits from various governmental agencies. The Company believes it is in substantial compliance with all material laws and regulations, which currently apply to its

activities. There can be no assurance, however, that all permits the Company may require for construction of mining facilities and conduct of mining operations will be obtainable on reasonable terms or such laws and regulations would not have a material adverse effect on any mining project the Company might undertake.

Failure to comply with applicable laws, regulations, and permitting requirements may result in enforcement actions there under, including orders issued by regulatory or judicial authorities causing operations to cease or be curtailed, and may include corrective measures requiring capital expenditures, installation of additional equipment, or remedial actions. Parties engaged in mining operations may be required to compensate those suffering loss or damage by reason of the mining activities and may have civil or criminal fines or penalties imposed for violations of applicable laws or regulations.

Amendments to current laws, regulations and permits governing operations and activities of mining companies, or more stringent implementation thereof, could have a material adverse impact on the Company and cause increases in capital expenditures or production costs or reduction in levels of production at producing properties or abandonment or delays in development of new mining properties.

To the best of the Company's knowledge, it is currently operating in compliance with all applicable environmental regulations.

Dividends

All of the Company's available funds will be invested to finance the growth of the Company's business and therefore investors cannot expect and should not anticipate receiving a dividend on the Company's common shares in the foreseeable future.

Company's Officers and Directors Resident Outside U.S.; Potential Unenforceability of Civil Liabilities and Judgments

The Company and its officers are residents of countries other than the United States, and all of the Company's assets are located outside the United States. As a result, it may not be possible for investors to effect service of process within the United States upon such persons or enforce in the United States against such persons judgments obtained in the United States courts, including judgments predicated upon the civil liability provisions of United States federal securities laws or state securities laws.

The Company believes that a judgment of a United States court predicated solely upon civil liability under the United States securities laws would probably be enforceable in Canada if the United States court in which the judgment was obtained has a basis for jurisdiction in the matter that was recognized by a Canadian court for such purposes. However, there is doubt whether an action could be brought in Canada in the first instance on the basis of liability predicated solely upon such laws.

If the Company is Unable to Successfully Develop and Subsequently Generate Sufficient Cash Flow from its Properties, the Company Could be treated as a Passive Foreign Investment Company for U.S. Tax Purposes, Possibly Resulting in Additional Taxes to Its U.S. Stockholders and Less Liquidity for the Stock.

The Company, as a foreign corporation with U.S. stockholders, could potentially be treated as a passive foreign investment company (PFIC) for U.S. tax purposes. U.S. stockholders owning shares of a PFIC can be subject to adverse tax consequences. In general, the Company would be considered a PFIC if: 75% or more of its gross income in a taxable year is passive income such as dividends and interest; or, the average percentage of the Company's assets (by value) during the taxable year which produce passive income or which are held for production of same is at least

50%. A U.S. stockholder owning shares of a PFIC, who does not make certain elections for tax purposes, is subject to an additional tax and to an interest charge based on the value of deferral of tax for the period during which the common shares of the PFIC are owned. Also, gain realized on the disposition of common shares of the PFIC would be treated as ordinary income rather than capital gains. If U.S. stockholders are subject to adverse tax consequences related to their ownership of the Company's stock, they might be less willing to acquire the stock, which could result in reduced market activity and liquidity for the stock.

ITEM 4.**INFORMATION OF THE COMPANY****A.****History and Development of the Company**

ASII, the parent of the Company prior to the Reorganization, was organized in 1966 under the laws of the Province of British Columbia, Canada. Prior to February 1, 1990, ASII was known as Carolin Mines Ltd. . Prior to May 1, 1992 ASII was known as Anglo Swiss Mining Corporation and contemporaneous with the change of ASII's name to Anglo Swiss Industries Inc. , a consolidation of ASII's shares on a 1 for 15 basis, was also completed.

The Company was incorporated under the laws of Canada on June 27, 1995 under the name 3160157 Canada Ltd. as a wholly owned subsidiary of Anglo Swiss Industries Inc. (ASII). On July 12, 1995, it changed its name to Canadian Sapphire Corporation . On October 8, 1997 it was continued as Anglo Swiss Resources Inc. under the Company Act. The continuance was implemented to repatriate the Company as a British Columbia company as the majority of its direct business operations are located in that province. As a result of the Reorganization, holders of ASII Common Shares automatically became holders of Company Common Shares and the Company automatically became the holder of all the outstanding ASII Common Shares. This resulted in the Company becoming the new parent company of the Anglo Swiss group of companies. The financial statements of the Company are, in effect, the same as the financial statements of ASII would appear, if the Reorganization had not taken place.

On October 8, 1997, the Board of Directors of the Company and ASII approved the Reorganization. The completion of the Reorganization, effective November 28, 1997 (the Effective Date) resulted in holders of ASII Common Shares automatically becoming holders of the same number of common shares of the Company, formerly a wholly-owned subsidiary of ASII, and the Company automatically became the holder of all of the ASII Common Shares. The Reorganization resulted in a simplified corporate structure for the Anglo Swiss group of companies and the Company becoming the new parent company of the Anglo Swiss group of companies.

An annual and special general meeting of shareholders of ASII was held on November 24, 1997 to vote upon the Reorganization. All necessary approvals, including court and shareholder approvals, were received, and the Reorganization took effect on the Effective Date.

After the Reorganization, Company Common Shares provided their holders with, in all material respects, the same interests in the same assets as those shareholders had through their ASII Common Shares held immediately before the Reorganization. The financial statements of the Company are, in effect, the same as the financial statements of ASII would appear, if the Reorganization were not carried out.

Although ASII had expanded into gemstone exploration (through its then wholly-owned subsidiary, the Company) and into exploration of precious metals in Ecuador (through its then wholly-owned subsidiary, Canadamin S.A.), ASII was identified for the most part with precious metals exploration and development in British Columbia. Management of ASII was of the view that the Reorganization would maximize shareholder value as a new and simplified corporate structure and the name Anglo Swiss Resources Inc. would facilitate and reflect continued expansion into other segments of the natural resources industry and create administrative and other efficiencies. Management also believed that, as a result of the Reorganization, the Company would be more appropriately viewed in the marketplace as a more

broadly-based resources company and its ability to pursue future financing would be enhanced.

The Reorganization occurred in 3 major steps, all of which occurred on the Effective Date:

Pre-Arrangement Transactions. ASII sold all of the issued and outstanding common shares of Canadamin S.A. to Anglo Swiss International Holdings Ltd. (ASIHI) in consideration for one Company Class A Preferred Share. ASII also sold all of the issued and outstanding common shares of 409556 to the Company in consideration of one Company Class C Preferred Share (the Pre-Arrangement Transactions).

Arrangement. ASII exchanged the single issued and outstanding Company Common Share it held for one Company Class B Preferred Share. All holders of ASII Common Shares, except for Dissenting Shareholders, then exchanged their ASII Common Shares for Company Common Shares on the basis of one Company Common Share for each ASII Common Share (the Arrangement).

Post-Arrangement Transactions. The Company redeemed for a promissory note the Company Class A Preferred Share, the Company Class B Preferred Share and the Company Class C Preferred Share acquired by ASII in the Pre-Arrangement and Arrangement Transactions. The Company adopted a stock option plan substantially the same as the 1997 Stock Option Plan and granted an option to purchase Company Common Shares to each person who held an option to purchase ASII Common Shares on the same terms and conditions as contained in the original grant pursuant to ASII's 1997 Stock Option Plan. The Company wound-up 409556 and changed its fiscal year end to December 31. Finally, the name of ASII was changed to 68063 B.C. Ltd. to avoid any potential for confusion with the Company (the Post-Arrangement Transactions).

The foregoing transactions closed in the order set forth above with the closing of each prior transaction being a condition precedent to the closing of the subsequent transactions; however, all of the transactions closed in escrow so that none of the transactions would close unless they all closed. The Board of Directors had previously approved of the Reorganization. Although shareholder approval from the ASII shareholders was required for the 409556 Sale and the Arrangement, the Board would not have proceeded with the Reorganization unless it was satisfied that all parts of the Reorganization would be completed as set forth in the Plan of Arrangement or with such variations as, in the opinion of the Board of Directors, would not be unduly detrimental to the interests of ASII, its shareholders or the Company.

On December 9, 1997, the Company Common Shares were listed for trading on the Montreal Exchange in substitution for the ASII Common Shares. On October 1, 2001 the Company's shares began trading on the Canadian Venture Exchange (CDNX), subsequent to an agreement negotiated between the CDNX and the Montreal Exchange whereby, as approved by the regulatory authorities, the Montreal Exchange ceased operations as an equity exchange. On May 1, 2002 the CDNX changed its name to the TSX Venture Exchange. As at May 1, 2006, there were 68,402,688 common shares of the Company issued and outstanding.

The Company's head office and principal office address is located at 837 West Hastings Street, Suite 1904, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, V6C 3N7. The registered office of the Company is located at 700 West Georgia Street, Suite 2600, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, V7Y 1B3.

The Company is a Canadian mining company engaged in the acquisition and exploration of mineral properties. Its primary assets are the Kenville Mine Property, the Blu Starr Gemstone Property, the McAllister Pipe Property, all located in south eastern British Columbia, between Nelson and Castlegar. The Company also acquired four distinct properties of merit in the Northwest Territories (NWT) of Canada for the potential to host diamonds. The properties are all located in the Slave Craton/Lac de Gras region of the NWT the host to Canada's first two producing diamond mines. **See ITEM 4.D Information of the Company Property, Plants and Equipment** for a more complete description of these properties.

The Company was involved in gold exploration in Ecuador in 1995 and was actively exploring the Catamayo River Property and the Nuevo Playas Property until 2000 where the poor global markets for mining and precious and base metals forced the Company to abandon itself of all its interests in Ecuador.

As there has been no recent work undertaken since 2000 on either of the Company's properties in Ecuador; the Catamayo River Property, or the Nevos Playas Property, the Company wrote down the total deferred exploration and acquisition costs of \$467,390 in the fiscal year ended December 31, 2001. The Company estimated a net recoverable amount of equipment located in Ecuador of \$25,000 and has wrote this amount off during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003, as the Company was unable in its attempt to sell the equipment. The Company has divested itself of its subsidiaries, Canadamin S.A. and Anglo Swiss International Holdings Inc. **See ITEM 4.C Information of the Company Organizational Structure and ITEM 4.D Information of the Company Property, Plants and Equipment.**

The Kenville Mine Property is 100% owned by the Company and is located near Nelson, British Columbia. It was previously owned by 409556, a former wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, which has now been wound up. **SEE ITEM 4.A History and Development of the Company.** On February 10, 1995, 409556 entered into an option joint venture agreement with Teck Corp. (the Option Joint Venture Agreement). The agreement provided Teck Corp. with an option to earn a 70% undivided interest in the Kenville Mine Property within a four year period. Teck Corp. was able to exercise its option by making cash payments of \$100,000 to 409556 and by expending \$700,000 on exploration of the Kenville Mine Property.

On January 22, 1997, Teck Corp. informed 409556 of its intention not to continue with its option.

The Company entered into a confidentiality agreement with a major mining company on April 16, 1999. The agreement allowed this company to perform its due diligence and a geological assessment of the Kenville Mine Property. This Agreement was terminated on March 2, 2000.

During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003, the Company re-entered into a three-year Option Joint Venture Agreement (OJVA) to explore the Kenville Mine property effective August 29, 2003. The Optionees to the OJVA may earn a 70% interest to the mineral rights of the Kenville Mine property (the Company retains 100% ownership of the surface rights, facilities, buildings, equipment, etc.) by paying the Company \$100,000 (\$100,000 received, non-refundable prior to August 29, 2005) and expending \$700,000 over the three year exploration period, by August 29, 2006. The Optionee may at any time during this term, elect to form a Joint Venture to put the property back into production by expending the balance of \$700,000.

Exploration on the Kenville Mine property was initiated on August 16, 2005 thru November 10, 2005 on the Kenville Mine property under the terms of the OJVA. Work consisted mainly on the west side of Eagle Creek and consisted of geochemical soil sampling and excavator trenching, extensive compilation of decades of data by numerous operators, and GPS mapping of the property. The Company has received approval from the Ministry of Energy and Mines for the OJVA's 2006 work program, exploration is expected to re-commence in late April or May of 2006.

Management has received and is reviewing an Assessment Report on the Kenville Mine Property, Physical and Geochemical dated March 5, 2006, by Thomson Geological Consulting on behalf of the Optionees to the OJVA. The Company has been advised by the Optioness of their intent to complete the required expenditures of \$700,000 by August 29, 2006. Upon receiving notice of completion and a review and acceptance of the qualifying expenditures a formal joint venture will be executed by both parties.

The Blu Starr Gemstone Property is owned by the Company. On May 16 and May 19, 1995, ASII entered into two option to purchase agreements to acquire 188 mineral claims in the Slocan Mining District of British Columbia representing over 11,000 acres of land. The Company's preliminary geological evaluation was conducted by Dr. Marylou Coyle, P.Geol., and confirmed a sapphire showing now referred to as the Blu Starr showing. Due to the confirmation of this showing, ASII exercised the two option agreements on July 7, 1995 and transferred such claims to the Company (all such claims along with nearby claims and other Property interests the Company has subsequently acquired are commonly referred to in the aggregate as the Blu Starr Property).

The Company has worked with the British Columbia Government's Geological Survey Office to explore the Blu Starr Property and has conducted processing and heat treatment evaluation in the United States and Sri Lanka. Exploration was commenced on July 3, 1996 consisting of mapping the outcropping hosting these occurrences and further prospecting of this Property to ensure optimum locations to commence sapphire/gemstone extraction. During this initial phase of exploration, a beryl occurrence was also identified on the Blu Starr Property. The Company subsequently acquired additional mineral claims aggregately representing over 4,300 acres of land and 13 contiguous placer claims totalling over 1,600 acres.

The Company entered into a Joint Participation Agreement with Hampton Court Resources Inc. (Hampton) on May 1, 2000 with respect to the Blu Starr Property, subsequently amended it on May 25, 2000 (collectively, the Blu Starr Joint Participation Agreement) and has since expired on April 30, 2003. Hampton has completed its participation in Phase I of the evaluation and earned a 10% interest in the Blu Starr Property. In Phase II of the Blu Starr Joint Participation Agreement, Hampton Court was to earn another 10% working interest in the Property. The Company has not received any documentation or communication from Hampton Court since 2003 in regards to their overall interest in the Blu Starr Property. Hampton Court is currently undergoing corporate restructuring.

The Company filed a Notice of Work in 2004 and received a permit to perform drilling and trenching of the alluvial terraces held within the placer claims overlain on the Blu Starr mineral staked claims. The Company owns 100% of these placer claims. The permit was for 10 drill locations, sites were located by GPS, flagged and all approvals obtained by any private property owners during the fall of 2004. The drill program has been postponed while the Company focused all its efforts on the acquisition of the NWT diamond properties.

The Blu Starr hosts numerous occurrences of sapphire (15), iolite (11), gemstone quality garnet (2), as well as a flake graphite deposit; other minerals include aquamarine (beryl), tourmaline, titanite, moonstone and several varieties of quartz crystals. Previous exploration has confirmed that the geological setting and potential gem grades (carats per tonne) of the numerous showings are extremely promising.

Less than 10% of the Blu Starr property has been explored and mapped to date. The mineral claims are in good standing for a number of years, ranging from 2007 to 2011 due to the expenditures incurred by Hampton Court during May 1, 2000 through April 30, 2003.

A large flake graphite discovery outcropping over 2,000 meters has also been discovered on the Blu Starr Property and has been optioned to a nearby producer of graphite concentrate. The option allowed the graphite producer to perform testing and sampling of the graphite discovery by December 31, 2002, and has expired, un-exercised.

Management will not conduct any further exploration of this resource but will monitor the market and success of the local graphite producer. The graphite discovery is contained within the Blu Starr claim group and is also in good standing for a number of years.

The Company staked a number of mineral tenures known as the McAllister Pipe Property in south-eastern British Columbia for a total of 31,000 hectares in 2004 and 2005 for diamond exploration and optioned the Iva Fern claim group as they were situated within the McAllister group. In lieu of the Company's decision to focus on the Lac de Gras region of the Northwest Territories for diamond exploration in summer of 2005, the Company has retained only 1,959 hectares with 10 mineral cells of the McAllister property in January of 2006. This resulted in a write down of \$38,159 to this property during the year ended December 31, 2005. The Company did not re-new the option on the Iva Fern claim group in February 2006. The main focus of the McAllister property is the McAllister Diatreme, identified as the host of a potential diamondiferous lamproite. The Company will re-assess the merits of this property within the current exploration year.

The Company in 2005 made the decision to acquire diamond exploration opportunities in the Northwest Territories of Canada as it had identified key land positions within the Slave Craton and the Lac de Gras regions that could be acquired. The properties acquired at December 31, 2005 are:

Fry Inlet Diamond Property: the Company acquired the property consisting of 42 contiguous mineral claims, located immediately to the west of Fry Inlet Lake and directly 25 km east of the Ranch lake kimberlite and 25 km north of the BHP Billiton Diamonds Inc. Ekati Mine property. The claims were acquired in two separate transactions in June of 2005; the New Shoshoni option/joint venture for up to 60% (23,587 hectares) and the PQ claims for a 100% (13,586 hectares) interest totalling over 37,173 hectares **SEE EXHIBITS 5.3 and 5.4;** and

Falcon Bay Diamond Property: the Company acquired a 100% interest to 25 semi-contiguous mineral claims (MS 1-25), covering approximately 21,229 hectares in the diamond producing area of Lac de Gras, NWT. This property is located approximately 35 kilometers southeast of the Diavik Diamond Mine and is proximal to the DO-27 Kimberlite, currently the focus of detailed exploration by Peregrine Diamonds, Southernera and others **SEE EXHIBIT 5.5;** and

Fishing Lake Diamond Property: the Company acquired a 100% interest to 6,730 hectares now known as the Fishing Lake Diamond property, located approximately 110 kilometers northwest of Yellowknife, NWT. The Fishing Lake Diamond Property claims cover dispersion trains of kimberlite indicator minerals identified in till sampling programs. These claims lie within a region of active diamond exploration by other diamond explorers and are midway between the Crosslake area kimberlites (Ashton, DeBeers, Diamonds North, et al) and the Big Hole target (GGL Diamond) **SEE EXHIBIT 5.2.**

The Company Common Shares continue to trade on the TSX Venture Exchange (ASW), formerly known as the CDNX ; and in the United States on the Over The Counter Bulletin Board (OTC BB) (ASWRF). In February of 2004 the shares were also listed for quotation on the Berlin Exchange (AMO). **SEE ITEM. 9 THE OFFERING AND LISTING Offering and Listing Details**

B.

Business Overview

The Company is in the business of the acquisition, exploration, exploration management and purchase of mineral properties, with the primary aim of developing them to a stage where the Company can exploit them profitably. The Company also has advanced its properties through partnerships, whereby proven companies manage the property with expertise in developing, designing and operating the extraction of mineral resources. At that stage, the Company's operations would, to some degree, be dependent on the prevailing market prices for any of the minerals produced by

such operations. The Company currently does not have any producing properties and its current operations on its various properties are in the exploration stages, working towards establishing economic concentrations of minerals.

Before during and after the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005, the Company was engaged in continued exploration of its diamond, precious/base metals and gemstone properties in Canada.

The Company's future mineral exploration and mining activities may be affected in varying degrees by prevailing market prices, political stability and government regulations, the success of existing joint venture partners, all of which are beyond the control of the Company. The increase in world demand and the subsequent increase in the value of precious and base metals appears to be the beginning of a sustained improvement in the outlook for the mining industry and has presented the Company an opportunity to complete a private placement of \$734,700 in the fourth quarter of 2005. The Company also received \$160,000 through the exercise of options to Directors of the Company in the fourth quarter of the year ended 2005.

The Company's mineral exploration activities have been funded through the sales of common shares, and although the Company has been successful in attracting joint venture partners to continue development and exploration of its properties, there is no assurance that this trend will continue indefinitely. The ongoing general and administrative obligations are dependent on this source as well and the Company expects to continue to utilize this source of financing until it develops cash flow from its operations. There can be no assurance, however, that the Company will be able to obtain required financing in the future on acceptable terms, or at all. The Company has working capital balance of \$153,366 at December 31, 2005; the Company expects to require additional financing in the future.

Accordingly, there is substantial doubt about the ability of the Company to continue as a going concern. SEE ITEM 5B. FINANCIAL INFORMATION - Liquidity and Capital Resources.

C.

Organizational Structure

The following chart sets out the Company's corporate structure and the mineral resource properties owned by each of the Company's subsidiaries:

1. During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003, the Company re-entered into a three-year Option Joint Venture Agreement (OJVA) to explore the Kenville Mine property effective August 29, 2003. The Optionees to the OJVA may earn a 70% interest to the mineral rights of the Kenville Mine property (the Company retains 100% ownership of the surface rights, facilities, buildings, equipment, etc.) by paying the Company \$100,000 (\$100,000 received, non-refundable prior to August 29, 2005) and expending \$700,000 over the three year exploration period, by August 29, 2006. The Optionee may at any time during this term, elect to form a Joint Venture to put the property back into production by expending the balance of \$700,000.

2. The Company entered into a Joint Participation Agreement with Hampton Court Resources Inc. (Hampton) on May 1, 2000 with respect to the Blu Starr Property, subsequently amended it on May 25, 2000 (collectively, the Blu Starr Joint Participation Agreement) and has since lapsed on April 30, 2003. Hampton has completed its participation in Phase I of the evaluation and earned a 10% interest in the staked mineral claims only on the Blu Starr Gemstone Property.

3. The Fry Inlet claims were acquired in two separate transactions in June of 2005; the New Shoshoni option/joint venture for up to 60% (23,587 hectares) and the PQ claims for a 100% (13,586 hectares) interest totalling over 37,173 hectares.

D.**Property, Plants and Equipment**

All properties of the Company are in the exploration stage only and are without a known body of commercial ore. The Company has no producing properties and has not had any revenue from any mineral in the last three fiscal years. Reference should be made to the **Glossary of Terms** appearing commencing on page 5 of this Form 20-F Annual Report.

The Company owns the Kenville Mine Property, the Blu Starr Gemstone Property, the McAllister Pipe property and the NWT Diamond Properties. (Fry Inlet, Falcon Bay, Fishing Lake projects).

The Company (as discussed in **ITEM 4.A INFORMATION OF THE COMPANY - History and development of the Company**), previously owned the Catamayo River Property and had an option to earn a 75% interest in the Nuevo Playas Property. There was no work undertaken after 2000 on either of the Company's properties in Ecuador; the Catamayo River Property, or the Neuvos Playas Property, and accordingly, the Company wrote down the total deferred exploration and acquisition costs of \$467,390 in the fiscal year ended December 31, 2001. The Company estimated a net recoverable amount of equipment located in Ecuador of \$25,000, but wrote off this amount in the year ended December 31, 2003, as the Company was unsuccessful in its attempts to sell the equipment. The Company has divested itself of its subsidiaries, Canadamin S.A. and Anglo Swiss International Holdings Inc.

The Kenville Mine Property consists of 15 Crown granted (180.88 hectares) and 10 staked (385.82 hectares) mineral claims and three parcels of deeded fee simple surface property and is 100% owned by the Company.

During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003, the Company re-entered into a three-year Option Joint Venture Agreement (OJVA) to explore the Kenville Mine property effective August 29, 2003. The Optionees to that agreement may earn a 70% interest to the mineral rights of the Kenville Mine property (the Company retains 100% ownership of the surface rights, facilities, buildings, equipment, etc.) by paying the Company \$100,000 (\$100,000 received prior to August 29, 2005, non-refundable) and expending \$700,000 over the three year exploration period, ending August 29, 2006. The Optionee may at any time during this term, elect to form a Joint Venture to put the property back into production by expending the balance of \$700,000.

Management has received and is reviewing an Assessment Report on the Kenville Mine Property, Physical and Geochemical dated March 5, 2006, by Thomson Geological Consulting on behalf of the Optionees to the OJVA. The Company has been advised by the Optioness of their intent to complete the required expenditures of \$700,000 by August 29, 2006. Upon receiving notice of completion and a review and acceptance of the qualifying expenditures a formal joint venture will be executed by both parties.

The following map is the location of the Kenville Mine Property.

Location

The map page shows the location of the Kenville Mine Property.

The Kenville Mine Property is located near Nelson, British Columbia at an elevation of between 600 and 1,200 metres and is accessed by way of the Kenville Mine Road that connects with Highway 3A, three kilometres from the Taghum Bridge. It is approximately 35 kilometres east of the Castlegar Airport and 65 kilometres north of Cominco Ltd.'s smelter in Trail, British Columbia.

History

The Kenville Mine Property has a long history as a gold producer, and is notable as British Columbia's first producing hardrock gold mine. It was discovered prior to the 1880s and originally known as the Granite Poorman Mine. In 1946 Kenville Gold Mines Ltd., a company controlled by Quebec Gold Corporation and Noranda Mines Ltd., gained control of the Kenville Mine Property, built a 125 ton per day cyanide mill and commenced significant exploration, development and mining operations. Operations ceased in 1949, save for the continued milling of ore by individual lessors until 1954. Small amounts of high grade ore from the Kenville Mine Property were shipped in 1960 and 1961 directly to Cominco Ltd.'s smelter located in Trail, British Columbia. Noranda Mines Ltd. then removed all usable equipment from the Kenville Mine Property in 1962.

In 1969, Algoma Industries & Resources Ltd. (Algoma) acquired the Kenville Mine Property, reopened the 257 level and commenced dewatering activities. Mining activities were recommenced for a short time once Algoma rebuilt the primary crushing mill. Such activities ceased in 1986.

In 1987, Coral Industries Ltd. (Coral) acted as a trustee for a partnership of three individuals in arriving at an agreement to purchase the Kenville Mine Property from Algoma and exercised its rights to direct control of operations late in 1989. Coral invested approximately \$750,000 in anticipation of recommencing mining operations. Small scale mining production was commenced for the purposes of testing milling operations. The tests indicated that the mill was not properly designed but that the ore was amenable to the recovery of precious metals by a flotation process. The Kenville Mine Property has remained dormant since December 1992. Since acquiring ownership of the Kenville Mine Property, 409556 only engaged in a small amount of test production for evaluating flotation results. The test was conducted at a custom milling operation of Bow Mines Ltd. located near Greenwood, British Columbia. Testing confirmed that ore from the Kenville Mine Property is amenable to flotation, with a recovery rate of greater than 85%.

409556 entered into an Option Joint Venture Agreement with Teck Corp. on February 10, 1995. The agreement provided Teck Corp. with the right to earn a 70% undivided interest to the Kenville Mine Property by the aggregate expenditure of \$800,000 over a maximum of four years (comprised cash payments of \$100,000 to 409556 and \$700,000 on exploration and development of the Kenville Mine Property).

On July 13, 1995, Teck Corp. commenced a diamond drilling program, with a total of five holes drilled with a total depth of 1,110 metres. The 1995 drill program was successful in locating several zones of copper, silver, gold and molybdenum mineralization and a significant new gold quartz vein. Due to these successful results, Teck Corp. initiated a continued program consisting of approximately 3.2 kilometres of surveyed grid, followed by an induced polarization survey in the Spring of 1996. After exercising the second year option on February 10, 1996, Teck Corp. conducted a magnetometer survey which again confirmed the anomalous zone identified by the previous season's exploration. These two programs identified a continuous anomaly approximately 250 metres by 1,000 metres along a NW/SE trend. Teck Corp. also informed 409556 of a new chalcopyrite outcrop occurrence on the southern part of the

Kenville Mine Property. This occurrence lies within the strong coincidental induced polarization chargeability and magnetic high zone. Teck Corp. completed a seven hole, 1317 metre diamond drill program in 1996 to test these anomalies.

Upon confirming its intention on February 10, 1996 to continue under the Option Joint Venture Agreement, Teck Corp. conducted a magnetometer survey on the Kenville Mine Property which again confirmed the anomalous zone identified by the previous season's exploration. These two programs identified a continuous anomaly approximately 250 metres by 1,000 metres along a NW/SE trend. Teck Corp. also informed the Company of a new chalcopyrite outcrop occurrence on the southern part of the Kenville Mine Property. This occurrence lies within the strong coincidental induced polarization chargeability and magnetic high zone. Teck Corp. completed a seven hole, 1317 meter diamond drill hole program in 1996 to test these anomalies.

On January 22, 1997, Teck Corp. informed 409556 of its intention not to continue with its option.

The Company entered into a confidentiality agreement with a major mining company on April 16, 1999. The agreement allowed the Company to perform its due diligence and a geological assessment of the Kenville Mine Property. This agreement was terminated on March 2, 2000.

During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003, the Company re-entered into a three-year Option Joint Venture Agreement (OJVA) to explore the Kenville Mine property through August 29, 2006. The Optionees to the agreement may earn a 70% interest to the mineral rights of the Kenville Mine property (the Company retains 100% ownership of the surface rights, facilities, buildings, equipment, etc.) by paying the Company \$100,000 (\$100,000 received prior to August 29, 2005, non-refundable) and expending \$700,000 over the three year exploration period, ending August 29, 2006. The Optionee may at any time during this term, elect to form a Joint Venture to put the property back into production by expending the total of \$700,000.

Geology

The Kenville Mine Property is located at the north and west end of a Mesozoic Island Arc represented by a sequence of Lower Jurassic Rossland Group augite porphyry flows, pyroclastics and crystal tuffs of andesitic and shoshonitic composition.

This sequence is intruded by coeval, usually stratabound, bodies of similar composition, including a stock referred to by the Geological Survey of Canada as of pseudodioritic composition, the Silver King Porphyries and by granodiorite of the Nelson Batholith. The Kenville Mine Property is underlain by one such pseudodioritic stock showing at least two intrusive phases.

Regionally, shear zones up to 100 metres in width have often localized hydrothermal alteration and sulphide mineralization. A major zone composed of multiple parallel shears known as the Silver King Shear Zone is projected to pass through the Kenville Mine Property. This zone can be identified in several properties covering the known exposure of the Island Arc, for a distance of more than 100 kilometres. Intrusive rocks of dioritic to granodioritic composition showing varying degrees of hydrothermal alteration, shearing and mineralization underlie the Kenville Mine Property. Gold-quartz veins systems often form in extensional structures related to the regional shear zones.

Mature second growth larch, douglas fir, hemlock and western red and white cedar covers much of the Kenville Mine Property. Typically, snow precipitation is expected from about mid-November through to about mid-February and can accumulate to as much as three metres in the higher elevations. Heavy rain storms during spring time makes for a quick snow run-off. Except for the short lived storms, surface work is not stopped due to weather and underground work can progress year round.

Mineralization

The principal mineralized veins found on the Kenville Mine Property include, from east to west, the Beelzebub, Granite, Greenhorn, Poorman and Hardscrabble, across a 500+ metre width. This zone is extended further west by the Venango, Dundee and Paradise system of veins. The mineralized veins found in the Kenville Mine itself are similar in character and trend at an azimuth of 330 to 350 degrees. The dip of the vein structures averages 45E to the north east but varies from 20E to 75E and ranges in width from a few centimetres to about two metres. Although no dip is more favoured than another by the average grade ores or widths of quartz, rich pockets with visible gold are reported to occur where the dip of the structures change. Predominant associated minerals are pyrite, chalcopyrite, marcasite and minor amounts of galena, scheelite, sphalerite and visible gold. Commonly, the higher grade gold is diagnosed by the presence of galena and/or sphalerite and/or white pyrite.

The tungsten content of quartz veins at the Venango and Shenango workings is higher than that present at the Kenville Mine Property. Scheelite has been observed in the 217 Flat vein, the Upper 217 (also referred to as the Jewellery Box area), the Yule vein and, to a lesser extent, in the Hardscrabble vein.

Scheelite is generally coarse and occurs as distinct veins within the quartz, usually independent of the sulphides. It appears that the scheelite content increases towards the south. Quartz is the main gangue mineral but pink feldspar, calcite and tourmaline are often present.

The veins vary in width, attitude and in the character of the quartz. The main veins are, for the most part, freewalled accompanied by a gouge selvage, while the flatter ore shoots are frozen to the walls. The veins are in narrow extensional fault zones with the hanging walls of each vein moving relatively upward and southward an unknown distance. From available data, there also appears to be present on the Kenville Mine Property, quartz stockwork zones of significant widths (15 metres) with economically significant values of copper and gold. A bulk sample of 180 short tons was shipped in the Summer of 1991 by rail to Asarco Incorporated in East Helena, Montana. The bulk sample graded 0.46 oz/ton of gold.

British Columbia Government records indicate that the production from the Kenville Mine Property totalled 199,232 short tons averaging 0.327 oz/ton gold and 0.14 oz/ton silver. Although copper, lead, zinc and tungsten were known to be present, no record of significant production of these metals is found. The historical silver to gold ratio for the mine was 0.43.

Permitting

The Company has obtained all permits, approvals and bonding required to enable it to carry on exploration activity at the Kenville Mine Property for the 2006 season.

Plant and Equipment

Facilities at the Kenville Mine Property include a shop and core storage; an engineering office; an office and assay lab; compressor facilities; a Mine Manager's residence and the plant itself. The plant consists of a coarse ore bin, jaw crusher, cone crusher and fine ore bin, with a crushing capacity of 300 short tons/day.

The underground workings at the Kenville Mine Property are extensive. The 257 level and the 217 heading were rehabilitated in 1986 with track-age and air supply piping to these two levels. Underground mine facilities are complete with all necessary mining and safety equipment on site. Electric power is supplied by the City of Nelson. Fresh water is supplied from nearby Eagle Creek.

Encumbrances

The Company is unaware of any claims against the Kenville Mine property at the time of this report.

Exploration Recent Results

Exploration on the Kenville Mine property was initiated on August 16, 2005 thru November 10, 2005 on the Kenville Mine property under the terms of the Option Joint Venture Agreement OJVA . Work consisted mainly on the west side of Eagle Creek and consisted of geochemical soil sampling and excavator trenching, extensive compilation of decades of data by numerous operators and GPS mapping of the property. The Company has received approval from the Ministry of Energy and Mines for the OJVA s 2006 work program, exploration is expected to re-commence in late April or May.

Management has received and is reviewing an Assessment Report on the Kenville Mine Property, Physical and Geochemical dated March 5, 2006, by Thomson Geological Consulting on behalf of the Optionees to the OJVA.

For the first time in decades of operating as a gold mine and numerous exploration programs on the Kenville Mine property, all the data available is being correlated and compiled utilizing state of the art technologies and computer modelling. The current exploration methodology is being correlated to the extensive compilation of operations and exploration data undertaken by numerous operators over many years.

The Kenville Mine property has three main areas of interest to potentially host mineral deposits:

* The Old Kenville Mine workings, the mineralization contained within, and immediately adjacent to the old workings need much collation and interpretation before proceeding with further exploration although work performed by previous operators has demonstrated that potential exists for quartz gold vein type mineralization to be outlined.

* The Eagle Vein has been intercepted by two drill holes (TK95-04, 05). As this vein contains high-grade gold and silver values, its surface location and strike direction are of considerable importance. Further excavator trenching and diamond drilling will be required before considering an underground mining approach.

* The Porphyry target is based on compelling evidence, a strong case is presented for further investigation of the presence of a copper-molybdenum-silver-gold porphyry deposit, on the west side of Eagle Creek. The presence of such a deposit is also supported by past rock sampling results, geophysical surveys and diamond drilling results.

Blu Starr Property

The Company acquired the Blu Starr Property in 1995 in two separate transactions. On June 27, 1995, the Company acquired 174 claims from Andrew W. Molnar of Vancouver, British Columbia, in return for \$40,000, 1,600,000 Company Common Shares and a 1.5% net smelter royalty on any future non-gemstone product. On July 6, 1995, the Company acquired an additional 14 claims from Marc R. Goldenberg, Rodney L. Luchansky, Inyo R. Youngreen, Jean W. Demers and Brian G. Meszaros, collectively of the Slocan Valley, British Columbia, in consideration for the issuance of 600,000 Company Common Shares, a 3.5% net royalty interest from any gemstone production and a 2% net smelter return on any metal production from such claims. The Company subsequently acquired additional mineral claims aggregately representing over 4,300 acres and 13 contiguous placer claims totalling 1,606 acres.

Recent changes to the British Columbia Ministry of Energy and Mines in January of 2005 has implemented a change to on-line staking of all mineral tenures, which are now expressed in hectares; mineral claims on the Blu Starr total 7,312.77 hectares and the placer claims total 712.87 hectares.

The Company entered into a Joint Participation Agreement with Hampton Court Resources Inc. (Hampton) on May 1, 2000 with respect to the Blu Starr Property and subsequently amended it May 25, 2000 (collectively, the Blu Starr Joint Participation Agreement) which has since lapsed on April 30, 2003. The Blu Starr Joint Participation Agreement provided for Hampton to earn up to a 60% interest in the Blu Starr Property. Up to a 40% interest may be earned by Hampton expending \$1,000,000 in 3 work phases to be completed within 3 years from the date of the Blu Starr Joint Participation Agreement. This agreement lapsed on April 30, 2003 with Hampton retaining a 10% interest in the mineral claims.

With respect to the 3 Phases, the Blu Starr Joint Participation Agreement (expired April 30, 2003) contemplated as follows:

Phase 1. Hampton will expend a minimum of \$250,000 on an exploration and development program on the Blu Starr Property. This program must be completed within one year. During this period, Hampton will be responsible to maintain the Blu Starr Property in good standing. Hampton has successfully completed this program, earning a 10% interest in the Blu Starr Property.

Phase 2. Prior to the expiry of the time permitted to complete Phase 1, Hampton has elected to proceed with a Phase 2 work commitment. Hampton will expend a minimum of \$250,000 within one year on a work program agreed to between the parties. Hampton will again be responsible to maintain the Blu Starr Property in good standing during Phase 2. Upon successful completion of the program, Hampton will have earned an aggregate 20% interest in the Blu Starr Property.

Phase 3. Prior to the expiry of the time permitted to complete Phase 2, Hampton must elect whether to proceed with a Phase 3 work commitment or terminate its participation in any further earning. If it elects to proceed, Hampton will expend a minimum of \$500,000 on a work program agreed to between the parties within one year. Hampton will again be responsible to maintain the Blu Starr Property in good standing during Phase 3. Upon successful completion of this program, Hampton would have earned an aggregate 40% interest in the Blu Starr Property.

Hampton has only completed its participation in Phase I of the evaluation of the Blu Starr Property. In Phase II and Phase III of the Blu Starr Joint Participation Agreement, Hampton Court was to earn an additional 30% working interest in the Property. The Company has not received any documentation or communication from Hampton Court since 2003 in regards to their overall interest in the Blu Starr Property. Hampton Court is currently undergoing corporate restructuring. This agreement lapsed on April 30, 2003. Hampton had previously elected not to participate in the placer mineral claims, accordingly the Company owns 100% of the placer claims and 90% of the staked mineral cells.

Location

The Blu Starr Property is located in the Slocan Valley of British Columbia, and forms a portion of the Valhalla Metamorphic Core Complex. The main parts of the Valhalla Complex are located in the Valkyr range in the southern Selkirk Mountains of British Columbia. These ranges lie between Lower Arrow and Slocan Lakes in the West Kootenay district, northwest of the town of Nelson. The main showing of the Blu Starr Property is located at the confluence of the Slocan River and the Little Slocan River, approximately one kilometre north of the town of

Passmore via Highway 6. The exact coordinates of the centre of the mineralized outcrop are 49 degrees 32.5 minutes latitude, and 117 degrees 39 minutes longitude. The showing area is easily accessed, and virtually all modern amenities are readily available in the area. The nearest major centre is the town of Castlegar, which is located in the Kootenay Mountains just north of the Washington/British Columbia border. Castlegar is 425 metres above sea level, with a district population of 15,000. Castlegar is a popular vacation area, due to both its mountain activities and river wilderness, and the town has its own airport, which is serviced by all the major Canadian airlines. The outcrops themselves are exposed directly on Highway 6, along an abandoned Canadian Pacific Railway line which runs parallel to both Highway 6 and the Slokan River.

The following map shows the location of the Blu Starr Property.

History

The earliest exploratory work in this area was carried out by G.M. Dawson in 1889, who visited the Arrow Lakes and travelled up the Kootenay River to Kootenay Lake. Reconnaissance work was carried out in 1898 and 1899 by R.W. Brock; his results were published by McConnel and Brock (1904) and in Reports of the Geological Survey for 1899 and 1900. Further studies were carried out initially by Little in 1948-50 and part of 1952, and some of his observations were made in the areas of the Valhalla and Valkyr ranges (Little, 1960). This work was followed by the fundamental studies of the Valhalla gneiss complex in detail, carried out by J.E. Reesor between 1958 and 1960. His works were published in 1965 and a number of specific topical studies have been carried out since.

In 1991, prospector Rod Luchansky discovered sapphires along the old Canadian Pacific rail line near Passmore, British Columbia and subsequently named it the Blu Starr showing. Along with prospecting partners John Demers and Marc Goldenberg, the initial find was staked and exploration begun. In 1993, John Demers discovered the nearby Blu Moon sapphire showing, which was subsequently staked by the partners. From 1991 to 1995, the prospectors hand mined approximately 10 tonnes of high-grade ore, containing an estimated 55,000 rough carats of sapphire.

Geology

The following discussion of the geology of the Blu Starr Property is based upon a 1995 Report by Dr. Marylou Coyle, P.Geol., a 1996 Report by Kathleen Dixon, P.Geol. and Guylaine Gauthier. The Report was prior to the Canadian Securities Administrators NI 43-101 Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects and does not meet the new disclosure requirements in that regard.

The Blu Starr Property is part of the Valhalla Metamorphic Core Complex, located within the Omineca belt of the Canadian Cordillera. The Valhalla complex belongs to a belt of domal metamorphic complexes trending north-south and extending from central British Columbia to New Mexico. In Canada, these complexes include, from north to south, The Pinnacles, the Frenchman Cap Dome, the Thor Odin Complex, the Malton Complex and the Valhalla Complex. They all share similar characteristics: high grade metamorphic rocks bordered by major ductile/brittle shears or faults, with low grade metamorphic rocks exposed in the hanging walls of these outward dipping faults.

The overall pattern of regular foliation throughout the Valhalla complex defines two roughly domal masses: the Valhalla and Passmore domes. The complex consists in its northern part of rugged east-west trending ridges reaching 2500 - 2800 metres elevation. The southern part of the complex, in the Passmore area, is not as rugged.

The Property area is separated from the major part of the complex by the northeast trending Perry Ridge, which is drained on its north side by the Little Slovan river, and by the Slovan river on its southern side.

The Valhalla Complex is approximately 100 kilometres long by 30 kilometres wide and trends roughly north-south. It is composed of sheets of granitic orthogneiss ranging in age from 100 to 59 Ma and paragneiss of uncertain age. The complex is roofed by the ductile Valkyr Shear zone on its west, north and south margins, and, by the ductile/brittle Slovan Lake fault zone on its east side. The hanging walls of the Valkyr Shear zone and the Slovan Lake fault include low grade metamorphic rocks mostly of the Nelson batholith and its satellites. The northern end of the complex is overlain by metasedimentary rocks of the Paleozoic and Triassic Nemo Lakes belt and Upper Triassic Slovan Group. The southern portion of the complex is overlain structurally by lower grade greenschist volcanoclastic and volcanic rocks of the Early Jurassic Rossland Group, as well as metasedimentary rocks thought to be correlative with the Pennsylvanian Mount Roberts Formation. The lower plate of the complex includes the Castlegar gneiss complex and the Trail gneiss.

The complex includes three paragneiss sheets of uncertain age and three granitic sheets dated by the U-Pb zircon method: the late Cretaceous Mulvey granodioritic gneiss (100 ± 5 Ma), the Paleocene Airy quartz-monzonite (62 ± 1 Ma), and the Paleocene - Eocene Ladybird granite (59 ± 1 Ma). Displacement on the Valkyr Shear zone closely followed, or was synchronous, with emplacement of Ladybird granitic rocks.

The ages and correlations of the three paragneiss sheets are uncertain. The uppermost paragneiss sheet, exposed around the periphery of the Valhalla dome and in the core of Passmore dome, comprises quartzofeldspathic gneisses with minor amphibolite, calc-silicates, and quartzite, and is intruded by leucogranite, pegmatites, and migmatites. The two lower paragneiss sheets are exposed north of the study area, in the lower reaches of Gwillim Creek in the Mulvey gneiss core of the Valhalla dome. In both cases, their upper boundaries are in sheared contact with the Mulvey gneiss in the Gwillim Creek shear zones.

The paragneiss and Mulvey gneiss are overlain by early Tertiary granitic rocks which occur around the periphery of the Valhalla dome and throughout Passmore dome. The Airy quartz-monzonite borders the hybrid gneiss on all but the eastern side of the complex, along the Slokan river. The Airy quartz monzonite is two kilometres thick in the Passmore dome area. It pinches out to the north and south. This unit is in turn mantled by the Ladybird granite, which is structurally the highest and most extensive granitoid sheet of the complex. It lies in the immediate footwalls of both the Valkyr Shear and Slokan Lake fault zones. It is a 0.5 to three kilometres thick variably foliated sheet of biotite leucocratic granite. Displacement on the Valkyr Shear zone closely followed, or was synchronous with, emplacement of Ladybird granitic rocks. Slightly younger late kinematic to post kinematic granite and pegmatite of the Ladybird intrusion suite intruded deformed rocks of the Valkyr Shear zone and have a U-Pb zircon lower intercept age of 56.5 ± 1.5 Ma.

The last phase of plutonism to occur in the Valhalla complex is represented by the post-kinematic syenitic Coryell intrusions (51.7 ± 0.5 Ma). They outcrop in the upper and lower plate of the Valkyr Shear zone. The Valhalla Complex is composed of high-grade metamorphic rocks of the garnet-amphibolite sub-facies. The paragneiss sheets are at sillimanite-potassium-feldspar grade and are polydeformed.

Mineralization

The following discussion of the mineralization of the Blu Starr Property is based upon a 1995 Report by Dr. Marylou Coyle, a 1996 Report by Kathleen Dixon, P.Geol. and Guylaine Gauthier, and reports generated by management of the Company following the 1997 and 1998 exploration seasons.

Sapphire mineralization on the Blu Starr Property is shown at 15 separate outcrops, with three main areas: the Blu Starr, the Blu Moon and the Sapphire Hill showings. On the Blu Starr showing, sapphire-bearing layers are exposed along the old Canadian Pacific railway grade, the Slokan River and the main highway. An estimate of the surface area within which gemstones are known to occur would be 250 metres in length, 50 metres in elevation and 50 metres in width, and is open to expansion.

It is difficult to assess the total contained carats of rough sapphire crystal due to erratic occurrence in small layers and high grade pockets hosted in felsic gneiss. However, selective mining to date has averaged 5,000 rough carats per tonne. The sapphires have a wide range in size, occasionally exceeding 250 carats, and colour, with black and bronze predominating but good quality blue, grey, yellow, green and mixed colour stones being occasionally found. Some other notable specimen or gem-grade crystals occurring on the Blu Starr showing include red garnet, dark green epidote, black tourmaline and quartz.

At the Blu Moon showing, the sapphires are exposed in the face of a low bluff. The host rock is a foliated leucocratic biotite syenite gneiss, intruded by feldspar-amphibole pegmatite sills and dikes. Quartz is notably deficient. At the Blu Moon showing, the syenite gneiss unit has been tightly folded into a series of recumbent isoclinal folds with an amplitude of five to 10 metres. Small low-angle thrust faults were developed during the folding episode and host a series of narrow pegmatite sills. The best blue sapphires occur on the hinge of a recumbent fold, directly above a pegmatite sill and within the sill's metasomatic halo. This hinge zone has been traced down a shallow westerly plunge for more than 50 metres where it becomes covered with talus. The sapphires occur sporadically in the syenite gneiss over at least 50 metres in length, 10 metres in height and 10 metres in width, giving about 15,000 tonnes of potential mineralized host rock. Within the eastern part of this block, a well developed zone showing a consistent amount of visible sapphires measures about 20 metres long by three metres in height and width, giving about 500 tonnes of probable ore-grade reserves as estimated by the Company.

The sapphires occur as hexagonal barrel-shaped crystals and plates, singly or in groups. Near the edges of the mineralized zones, the stones are often violet-bronze to blue-grey in colour, and commonly from one to 10 carats in size. In the core of the fold hinge, much larger sapphire crystals have formed, including a recently discovered blue crystal exceeding 150 carats in weight. The colour of the larger stones, often zoned, varies from sky-blue to cornflower and indigo blue, violet and purple. Inclusions of rutile silk which can form stars is common. Associated minerals include pink margarite mica and large golden brown zircon crystals. Veinlets and disseminations of translucent blue sodalite and yellow-green apatite crystals have also recently been discovered in the syenite gneiss.

In 1997, a 150 tonne composite bulk sample was permitted and mined from the Blu Moon showing on the Blu Starr Property. This sample was composed of talus rock accumulated below the main sapphire outcrop and approximately 20 tonnes of outcrop. The sample was sent to the Company's nearby Kenville Mine Property for sorting and manual extraction of sapphires. Extraction from approximately five tonnes of hand sorted high-grade material is estimated to contain 20,000 carats of rough sapphire.

During 1998, the Company discovered a third showing of sapphires, which was named *Sapphire Hill*, and although this showing is located in the vicinity of the Company's Blu Moon showing, it has a geological occurrence which more closely resembles the Blu Starr showing, located 2,000 metres across the valley floor. The sapphire-bearing zone, exposed in rock outcroppings and talus, stretches for more than 400 metres in length and 50 metres in elevation, and is open to expansion in all directions.

The *Sapphire Hill* showing has a surface area approximately twice the size of the Blu Starr showing, thereby more than tripling the Company's total potential sapphire resource. The new showing, a forested area of moderate topography with good road access, is ideally situated for exploration and development.

Initial heat treatment experiments have been successful in optimizing the colour range of the sapphire gemstones. Early results are very encouraging, with virtually all sapphires turning various shades of blue, including the preferred cornflower blue. The final heat treatments will be to drive the iron content out of the sapphires, producing blue, transparent gem roughs for faceting.

While no gemological valuation of the sapphire occurrences have been completed yet, the world price for comparable unheated, black star sapphire starts at approximately US\$10 per carat, and top quality coloured sapphires often rival diamonds and emeralds in price. The average wholesale price for top quality, blue sapphire is in the hundreds of dollars.

The almandine-pyrope garnet discovery of 1999 has provided to date over 250,000 carats of rough material from about two tonnes of mineralized pegmatite. The host quartz-feldspar-biotite-garnet pegmatite is transitional from a sill to a dike, and occurs within garnet amphibolite gneiss. The mineralized outcrop measures about 5 metres in length, varying from 30 to 130 centimetres in width, and more than three metres in depth. The garnet occurs as irregularly distributed single crystals up to 10 centimetres across and in vein-like masses up to 30 centimetres in width.

Initial cutting of the garnets has been completed in Sri Lanka with a very early rough estimate of about 30% of the sorted eye-clean, coarse garnet rough will cut gemstones from 0.25 ct. to 3 ct. in size. The colour of the finished stones is a brilliant, translucent cranberry red, with a hint of hot pink. Exploration and sampling of this showing will continued through the 2000 season. Several other similar occurrences of large garnet crystals have been located and are currently being assessed.

The initial iolite discovery of 1999, known as the Rainbow North Zone, outcrops at the base of a small bluff. The mineralized zone has been hand-trenched and is presently exposed for 20 metres along strike, 5 metres in thickness, and five metres in profile depth. The zone has a shallow dip to the east and is open along strike and to depth. The surrounding wallrock is composed of well-layered feldspar-quartz-biotite-hornblende gneiss with scattered garnet porphyroblasts. The iolite hostrock is a distinctive, dark-green fibrous metamorphic rock composed of biotite and fuchsite mica, tremolite-actinolite, iolite, quartz, feldspar, megacrystic almandine-pyrope garnets, and amphibole. Iolite content varies, averaging about 5% of the observed rock surface, which is highly altered due to weathering.

Additional minerals noted include amethyst, clear, rose and star quartz; schorl and dravite tourmaline crystals up to 10 cm in diameter, rutile, beryl, spinel, ilmenite, muscovite, chlorite and others. The amethyst and clear quartz crystals commonly display positive and negative scepters and complex twinning patterns.

The iolite occurs as large crystals in quartz vein stockworks and pegmatites, and as scattered masses throughout the hostrock in 11 different showings. The iolite crystals weather to irregular masses of translucent violet gem material on the outcrop surface. Beneath the weathered outcrop, the tabular iolite crystals display a greenish-brown retrograde alteration known as pinite, which is a fine-grained mixture of sericite mica and chlorite. The largest single crystals exceed 1,000 carats in weight, but much larger crystalline masses have resulted from complex twinning and intergrowths. A representative 2 metre by 2 metre panel sample averaging about 10 centimetres in depth was taken by hammer and moil from the south end of the mineralized zone. The total sample weight was approximately one tonne, from which 25 kilograms of very high-grade iolite crystal ore was extracted.

The second iolite zone discovered in 2000, known as Rainbow South Zone, is also found outcropping at the base of a low bluff, and is presently exposed for 10 metres along strike, two metres in thickness, and two metres in profile depth. This zone also has a shallow dip and is open to strike and to depth. General deposit morphology, mineralogy and alteration are similar to the Rainbow North Zone. Large, euhedral iolite crystals form in quartz veins and as scattered masses and crystals throughout the hostrock. A representative 2 metre by 2 metre panel sample averaging about 10 centimetres in depth was taken by hammer and moil was taken from the centre of the zone. The sample weight was approximately one tonne, which yielded about 25 kilograms of high-grade iolite crystal ore.

The two samples taken together contained more than 100,000 rough carats of iolite crystal, a small percentage of which may be of gem quality. The samples are currently stored at the Company's Kenville property in Nelson, British Columbia.

The first iolite gems were cut by master gem cutters and designers Bruce MacLellan of Mountain Gems Ltd. and Ken Dale of Rusty's Gems Ltd., who stated that the iolite is among the finest they have ever cut. The cutters both remarked on the superb blue-violet colour, excellent clarity, and intense brilliance of the gems. An additional small package of rough iolite has been successfully cut in Sri Lanka with the finished stones averaging 0.5 carat. A larger package of gem rough garnet and iolite is currently being cut in Sri Lanka consisting of approximately 2,000 carat of rough stones. The work programs completed by April 30, 2002 resulted in several new discoveries of potential economic importance including 13 new sapphire occurrences, 10 new iolite/anthophyllite occurrences, a potentially large and economic gem garnet occurrence, a new flake graphite occurrence and occurrences of amethyst quartz, rose quartz and titanite.

The 1606 acres of placer claims have been evaluated for their mineralogical and gem potential. Recommendations include a drill program of 12 holes, 500 meters of trenching to sample geophysical anomalies and 23 bulk samples to evaluate fluvial zones targeted on two separate terraces. A geological survey using ground penetrating radar technology was performed to profile shallow sediment conditions, infer depth and distribution of fluvial deposits and

sub-alluvial or intra alluvial sediment/bedrock formations.

Permitting

Sufficient work was undertaken in 2000 through 2002 on the staked mineral claims and has been banked forward to provide the Company control of these mineral claims through 2007 up to 2011.

Anglo Swiss filed a Notice of Work in 2004 and received a permit to perform drilling and trenching of the alluvial terraces held within the placer claims overlain on the Blu Starr mineral staked claims. The Company owns 100% of these placer claims. The permit was for 10 drill locations, sites were located by GPS, flagged and all approvals obtained by any private property owners during the fall of 2004. The drill program was postponed in 2005 as the Company focused all its efforts on the acquisition of the McAllister and Northwest Territories Diamond properties.

Plant and Equipment

Currently, no plant and equipment is situated on the Blu Starr Property, owing to its grassroots status. However, due to the proximity of the Blu Starr Property to the Kenville Mine Property (45 kilometres away), the facilities of the Kenville Mine Property will be utilized on an as needed basis.

Encumbrances

The Company is not aware of any material encumbrances against its ownership of the Blu Starr Property.

Exploration Current Results

The work programs to-date have resulted in several new discoveries of potential economic importance including 15 sapphire occurrences, 11 iolite/anthophyllite occurrences, a potentially large and economic gem-grade garnet occurrence, a new flake graphite occurrence and occurrences of amethyst quartz, rose quartz and titanite.

The placer claims have been evaluated for their mineralogical and gem potential. Recommendations include a drill program of 10 holes totalling 500 meters to sample geophysical anomalies and bulk samples to evaluate fluvial zones targeted on two separate terraces. A geological survey using ground penetrating radar technology was performed to profile shallow sediment conditions, infer depth and distribution of fluvial deposits and sub-alluvial or intra alluvial sediment/bedrock formations.

The staked mineral claims are in good standing for various terms from August 2007 through 2011 from the work undertaken during a three year option period by Hampton Court Resources (for a 10% interest), ended April 2003.

The Company owns 100% of the placer claims which require work annually to retain the rights. The Company is reviewing the upcoming work requirements for the placer claims and will hold the placer claims for at least one more year as a joint venture partner is being sourced for the Blu Starr property in its entirety.

Graphite

A graphite zone located on the north-western area of the Blu Starr Property was discovered in 1999. Prospecting and geophysical field data have further extended the zone to the north and west. The discovery outcrops over 2,000

meters. Management will not conduct any further exploration of this resource but will monitor the market and success of a local producer of graphite. The graphite discovery is contained within the Blu Starr claim group and is also in good standing for a number of years.

McAllister Pipe Property Diamond Exploration

The Company staked a number of mineral tenures in south-eastern British Columbia for a total of 31,000 hectares in 2004 and 2005 for diamond exploration and optioned the Iva Fern claim group as they were situated within the McAllister group. In lieu of the Company's decision to focus on the Lac de Gras region for diamond exploration the Company has retained only 1,959 hectares with 10 mineral cells of the McAllister property. The Company did not re-new the option on the Iva Fern claim group in February 2006. The main focus of the McAllister property is the McAllister Diatreme, identified as the host of a potential diamondiferous lamproite. The Company will re-assess the merits of this property within the current exploration year. This reduction resulted in a write-down of \$38,159 to the value of this property.

Northwest Territories Diamond Properties

NWT Diamond Properties

The Company in 2005 made the decision to acquire diamond exploration opportunities as it had identified key land positions within the Slave Craton/Lac de Gras region that could be acquired. Collectively within this annual report the four transactions are referred to as three distinct properties of merit. The Fishing Lake and Falcon Bay Diamond properties are at grassroots, early exploration status and therefore the Company does not have extensive exploration data available at this time. These properties are the probable source areas for numerous unresolved KIM trains and include a known diamondiferous kimberlite which warrants additional exploration.

In light of the close proximity to producing diamond mines, the presence of numerous diamondiferous kimberlites and KIM's, management is of the opinion that these properties are highly prospective for the further discovery of diamonds. Anglo Swiss will continue to evaluate other diamond properties within the Lac de Gras/Slave Craton area that meet its acquisition criteria.

The properties acquired at December 31, 2005 are:

Falcon Bay Diamond Property:

The Company acquired a 100% interest to 25 semi-contiguous mineral claims (MS 1-25), covering approximately 21,229 hectares in the diamond producing area of Lac de Gras, NWT. This property is located approximately 35 kilometers southeast of the Diavik Diamond Mine and is proximal to the DO-27 Kimberlite, currently the focus of detailed exploration by Peregrine Diamonds, Southernera and others.

The claims are collectively known as the Falcon Bay Diamond Property. The company paid a total of \$50,000 and issued 2,000,000 common shares valued at \$220,000. The vendors retained a 2% GORR based on the average appraised value of all gem and industrial diamonds recovered and graded from the property in that calendar quarter.

The company may purchase 1% of the GORR by paying \$1,000,000. The vendors are eligible to receive kimberlite bonus payments of 250,000 shares upon the discovery of the first new kimberlite and 100,000 shares on the discovery of each successive kimberlite body to a cumulative total of 1,000,000 shares.

The Company's claims cover KIM (kimberlite indicator minerals) anomalies and airborne geophysical anomalies which require further work to prioritize drill targets. The 2006 exploration program is being designed and budgeted to further define existing known anomalies located on these claims as they are surrounded by numerous diamondiferous kimberlites in all directions.

Location

See Map on page 39 for the location of the Falcon Bay Diamond property.

Fishing Lake Diamond Property:

The Company acquired a 100% interest to 6,730 hectares now known as the Fishing Lake Diamond property, located approximately 110 kilometers northwest of Yellowknife, NWT. The mineral tenures are collectively known as the Till Claims (1-7) and are located on the Fishing Lake area in the Northwest Territories. The company paid \$20,000 and issued 900,000 common shares valued at \$94,500. The vendors retained a 2% gross overriding royalty (GORR) based on the average appraised value of all gem and industrial diamonds recovered and graded from the property. The company may purchase 1% of the GORR by paying \$1,000,000. The vendors are eligible to receive kimberlite bonus payments of 150,000 shares for each individual kimberlite discovered by the company on the property.

The Fishing Lake Diamond Property claims cover dispersion trains of kimberlite indicator minerals identified in till sampling programs. These claims lie within a region of active diamond exploration by other diamond explorers and are midway between the Crosslake area kimberlites (Ashton, DeBeers, Diamonds North, et al) and the Big Hole target (GGL Diamond).

Till samples previously collected during the 2004 season confirmed earlier sampling program results and include G9 and G10 garnets. A more extensive sampling program was completed in late 2005 with results pending soon.

Location

See Map on page 38 for the location of the Fishing Lake Diamond property.

Fry Inlet Diamond Property

The Company acquired the property consisting of 42 contiguous mineral claims, located immediately to the west of Fry Inlet Lake and directly 25 km east of the Ranch lake kimberlite and 25 km north of the BHP Billiton Diamonds Inc. Ekati Mine property. The claims were acquired in two separate transactions in June of 2005; (i) the New Shoshoni option/joint venture for up to 60% (23,587 hectares) and (ii) the PQ claims for a 100% (13,586 hectares) interest totalling 37,173 hectares.

(i) The Company entered into an option and joint venture agreement with New Shoshoni Ventures Ltd. to acquire an undivided interest of 50%, plus a further option to earn an additional 10% interest in a number of mineral tenures totalling 23,587 hectares for diamond exploration. The claims are collectively known as the New Shoshoni Claims and are located near the Fry Inlet Lake in the Northwest Territories. The company paid a cash instalment of \$25,000 and issued 400,000 common shares valued at \$44,000. The agreement requires that the company pay an additional purchase price of \$105,000 through annual payments over a three year period commencing May 25, 2006. The company must also incur \$3,000,000 in exploration expenditures by February 2009. The vendors retained a 3% GORR based on the average appraised value of all gem and industrial diamonds recovered and graded from the property. The company may purchase 1% of the GORR by paying 2,500,000. The vendors are eligible to receive kimberlite bonus payments of 250,000 shares upon the discovery of the first new kimberlite and 100,000 shares on the discovery of each successive kimberlite body to a cumulative total of 1,000,000 shares.

(ii) The Company acquired a 100% interest a number of mineral tenures totalling 13,586 hectares for diamond exploration during 2005. The claims are collectively known as the PQ Claims and are located on the Fry Inlet area in the Northwest Territories. The company paid a total of \$50,000 and issued 5,000,000 common shares valued at \$475,000. The vendors retained a 2% GORR based on the average appraised value of all gem and industrial diamonds recovered and graded from the property in that calendar quarter. The company may purchase 1% of the GORR by paying \$1,000,000. The vendors are eligible to receive kimberlite bonus payments of 250,000 shares to a cumulative total of 1,000,000 shares for each kimberlite body discovered by the company on the property.

The Company's most advanced diamond property is the Fry Inlet Diamond Property as previous exploration programs by Kennecott Canada Exploration in 1997 identified a kimberlite body on the property (LI-201). Kennecott drilled four holes, two of which intersected LI-201, and retrieved 14 macro-diamonds and 46 micro-diamonds from 281.1 kg of kimberlite.

A review of the publicly available data has indicated the following;

There are some unresolved indicator mineral trains possibly indicating the presence of undiscovered kimberlites on the Fry Inlet Diamond Property.

The property is under explored by modern exploration technology.

There are 8 reported geophysical anomalies.

The property is in an area known to produce diamondiferous kimberlite (LI-201).

The current understanding of LI-201 leaves more questions than answers.

Geophysical surveys suggest that the body may be larger with only two preliminary drill holes encountering kimberlite. Encouraging macro and micro diamond counts indicate that LI-201 kimberlite has tapped the diamond stability field.

Initial field work consisting of a detailed airborne geophysical survey of the eastern portion of the property has been commissioned to Fugro Airborne Surveys Corp in February, 2006. A total of 1,695 line-kilometers were flown during this survey along parallel flight lines spaced 100 meters apart. This program will re-evaluate the known diamondiferous kimberlite and evaluate the remainder of the claim block for potential sources of anomalous KIM s present locally.

Location

See map on page 39 for the location of the Fry Inlet Diamond property.

The Fry Inlet Diamond Property is located approximately 360 km north-northeast of Yellowknife centred at approximately 65° 15' N latitude and 110° 57' W longitude. The claims are immediately to the west of Fry Inlet Lake, directly 25 km east of the Ranch lake kimberlite, consisting of 42 contiguous mineral claims covering 37,173 hectares.

The Fry Inlet Diamond Property, which hosts two documented kimberlites LI-201 and T-31, comprises the TML1 to TML12, TML16 to TML 20, PQ1 to PQ16, and PQ18 to PQ26 mineral claims. The property is located approximately 360 km north-northeast of the city of Yellowknife, Northwest Territories. The LI-201 and T-31 kimberlite are located on the TML 2 mineral claims at approximately 65° 15' N latitude and 110° 57' W longitude within NTS sheet 76E.

Access to the area is from Yellowknife is the main staging area for all operations in this region. Access is via fixed wing aircraft equipped with wheels,

The property is located within the Canadian Arctic tundra, or Barren Lands. For the majority of the year, the area is covered with ice and snow. Summer begins in June, when melting commences and by October winter has returned.

Temperatures range from highs of around 25°C during the brief summer months, to winter lows of -45°C which are often magnified by strong, constant winds. Daylight varies from nearly 24 hours in the summer to only a few hours per day during the winter.

The percentage of outcrop averages from about 25% to around 35%. Frost-heave and/or shattered subcrop is common. Flat to undulose muskeg, with or without scattered boulder fields are common. Glaciations has also produced scattered glaciofluvial landforms such as eskers, braided esker complexes and deltas, outwash plains, boulder fields and alluvial fans

Approximately 20 to 25% of the property is covered by lakes. River systems are juvenile and not deeply incised. Water levels vary greatly with the season; they are highest during spring runoff and almost dry at the end of summer.

Caribou, wolves, foxes, Arctic hares, ptarmigan, wolverines, ground squirrels and grizzly bears are native to the area. Muskoxen are occasionally seen. Most of the larger lakes contain fish and support bird life.

History

The Fry Inlet property is located approximately 360 km north-northeast of Yellowknife at approximately 65° 15' N latitude and 110° 57' W longitude. The claims are immediately to the west of Fry Inlet Lake and directly 25 km east of the Ranch lake kimberlite and 25 km north of the BHP Billiton Diamonds Inc Ekati Mine property.

There is no record of exploration on the Fry Inlet Diamond property for commodities other than diamonds. The property has been the subject of diamond exploration since the early 1990 s. The LI-201 diamondiferous kimberlite was discovered on the property and numerous others occur on the claims to the south, west and east of the property.

The Fry Inlet Diamond property is located within the Archean Slave Structural Province and is predominantly underlain by Archean supracrustal metasedimentary schists and gneisses and minor metavolcanic rocks intruded by later granitoid plutons.

The Fry Inlet Diamond property is host to two documented kimberlites LI-201 and T-31. A search of the open file assessment reports indicates that portions of the property were previously explored by Kennecott Canada Exploration, Lytton Minerals, New Dolloy Varden, Benachee Resources and Inukshuk Capital. Past exploration programs included regional and detailed till sampling, airborne and ground geophysics, and drilling. The property lies within a diamondiferous kimberlite field. Less than one kilometre off the property to the south is the Vega kimberlite and seven kilometres east of the property is the DIA-1 kimberlite.

Till Sampling

Previous operators' till exploration programs focused on the east and west portions of the Fry Inlet Diamond property. Based on the previously released results there are areas of unresolved indicator anomalies, which are found in the north-western part of the property. Furthermore the centre portion of the property has undergone no reported sampling. These factors indicate that the property has the potential to host another diamondiferous kimberlite.

Geophysical Surveys

Airborne geophysical surveys have been conducted on the property. On the eastern portions, Kennecott flew 8412 Line km of Magnetic/resistivity/ Electromagnetic at 50 m line spacing at 20 meters and Benachee Resources and Inukshuk Capitol flew 18219.3 Line kms of Magnetic and Electromagnetic at 200 m line spacing at 20m height. On the western portion, New Dolly Varden flew 4825 Line kms of Magnetic and Electromagnetic at 200 m line spacing.

Based on the current publicly available, data there are 8 reported geophysical anomalies/targets on the property which have been identified in previous assessment reports. These geophysical anomalies need to be re-evaluated as to their potential for possible drill targets.

The region has undergone several major periods of glaciation; however, only one till sheet can be recognized. At least 3 different ice directions have been recorded in the area, but the last, which was to the northwest, appears to be dominant.

Regional Geology

The Fry Inlet Diamond claims are within the Slave Structural Province of the Northwest Territories, northern Canada, which is an Archean segment of the North American Craton that covers 213,000 km². It is composed of granites, gneisses and supracrustal rocks. Sialic basement remnants are well documented in the western part of the Slave Province and include the oldest known rocks in the world, the Acasta gneisses, which have been dated at 4.0 Ga (Bowring and Housch, 1995). Metasedimentary and subordinate metavolcanic rocks of the Yellowknife Supergroup, deposited mainly between 2.71 and 2.61 Ga, dominate the supracrustal sequences. Syn- to post-volcanic granitoid plutons cover approximately 65% of the Slave (Padgham and Fyson, 1992). Four swarms of Proterozoic diabase dykes cut the older units: the dominant north-northwest trending (330°) Mackenzie swarm (1.27 Ga); the northerly trending (010°) Lac de Gras swarm (2.02 Ga); the east trending MacKay dykes (2.21 Ga); and the northeast trending Malley dykes (2.23 Ga) (LeCheminant and van Breeman, 1994). The Slave Province is a classical setting for

diamondiferous kimberlites: a stable Archean craton with, as suggested by seismic tomography, a cool mantle root (Anderson et. al., 1992).

The Slave Province can be subdivided isotopically into an eastern and a western domain. Lead isotopic compositions for galena from volcanogenic massive sulphides, syn-volcanic veins and breccias are characterized by high $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$ ratios in the western part of the Slave Province and by low $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$ ratios in the eastern Slave. The high $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$ ratios west of the boundary are interpreted to reflect derivation of a significant component of lead from an ancient upper crustal source, whereas the low $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$ ratios east of the boundary suggests derivation from a mantle or juvenile crustal source (Thorpe et. al., 1992). Neodymium isotopic studies of supracrustal and granitoid rocks in the Slave Province support this interpretation.

The isotopic subdivision of the Slave Province is supported by the observation that Mesoarchean granitic and gneissic rocks with zircon U-Pb dates older than the Yellowknife Supergroup sequences have only been identified in the western part of the province (Bleeker and Davis, 1999) and that quartz arenites of circa 2.8 Ga and other supracrustal rocks older than the Yellowknife Supergroup occur only in the western domain. Further support for a distinct difference between the eastern and western Slave Province comes from magnetotelluric studies in the Slave, which indicate, among other things that the lithosphere beneath the western Slave Province is laterally homogeneous, thicker and more resistive than lithosphere to the east (Jones and Ferguson, 1997; Jones et. al., 1997). As well, the western Slave shows no conducting lower crust, which is in contrast to all other Archean cratons, such as the Superior, Kaapvall and Siberian cratons (Jones and Ferguson, 1997).

Kusky (1989) first suggested that the eastern and western parts of the Slave Province represented separate cratons that were accreted during the Archean along an east dipping subduction zone. Kusky (1989) termed the older, western part of the Slave the Anton Terrane and the eastern Slave, the Contwoto Terrane and Hackett River Arc. Current workers (eg. Bleeker and Davis, 1999) support these general subdivisions but use the terms Central and Northwestern Slave Basement Complex for the western Slave instead of Anton Complex, and Eastern Slave Province for the Contwoyto and Hackett terranes.

Recent lithoprobe studies support the accretion concept and suggest that, near surface the main suture is west-dipping with the western Slave (Central Slave Basement Complex) thrust over the Eastern Slave. In the lower crust and upper mantle, east-dipping reflectors delineate a coeval subduction zone and an accretionary wedge with the eastern Slave Province forming and indented into the western Slave (van der Velden and Cook, 2002).

Kimberlites intrude granites, supracrustal rocks and, in some cases, diabase dykes (Pell, 1995, 1997) in both the eastern and western parts of the Slave Province. To date, all economic and near economic kimberlites, including those at Ekati, Diavik, Gahcho Kué and Jericho are located in the eastern Slave Province. The Snap Lake kimberlite is located near the boundary of the two terranes, but east of Thorpe et. al. s (1992) Pb line.

Subsequent to kimberlite emplacement, the area was covered by Laurentide ice during the Late Wisconsinan glaciation, which climaxed about 20,000 years B.P. and is believed to have retreated about 9000 years ago. Local and regional ice flow patterns show considerable variation and in some areas there appear to have been at least three ice movement directions (Ward et. al., 1996; Dredge et. al. 1994).

Till is the most prominent surficial sediment type in the Slave Geological Province. At a regional scale, till can be divided into thin veneers, blanket deposits up to 10 m thick that include drumlins, and hummocky till up to 30 m thick (Dredge et. al., 1999). Dredge et. al. (1994) recognized only one till sheet formed by several glacial advances. Three dominant directions were identified, which from oldest to youngest are: southwest, west and west to northwest.

Glaciofluvial deposits, eskers and outwash plains, are also present in the Slave Province. In the Lac de Gras area, eskers are mainly west and northwest trending (Dredge et. al., 1994; 1999).

Property Geology

The Fry Inlet Diamond property is in the Eastern Slave Province (Contwoyto Terrane) and is predominantly underlain by granitic rocks and lesser supracrustal rocks. Syn- to post kinematic 2-mica or k-spar megacrystic granites (<2600 Ma) underlie most of the northern part of the property. Pre- to syn-kinematic biotite and hornblende-rich granitoids (diorites, quartz diorites, tonalities, granodiorites, etc.) occur on the eastern part of the property and as a band across the southwestern part of the claims. Medium grade metaturbidites underlie the southern and southwestern part of the property.

Proterozoic diabase dykes in various orientations intrude the Archean rocks, the most dominant of which is the north-northwest trending (330°) Mackenzie swarm (1.27 Ga).

The claims are covered, to varying degrees, by a veneer of till, the majority of which is basal till and consists of well compacted clays containing angular to subangular clasts and a lesser amount of pebbles and cobbles. Local areas of lodgement till and ablation till are reported on the property. Till thickness is variable. Outcrops comprise between 1 and 25% of the area; in outcrop dominated areas, tills are only preserved in small gullies. In areas of no outcrop, till cover averages from a few centimetres to tens of metres in thickness. In areas where tills are thicker than one metre, mud boils are commonly and ubiquitously developed. Permafrost is generally found at a depth of 50 to 60 centimetres. Ice directions of 270 to 285° were reported in most of the area.

Mineralization

Diamonds are the high-pressure form of carbon and are produced deep within the earth's mantle, more than 150 kilometers beneath our feet. They have been sought by man for the past two millennia. Diamonds occur in primary (hardrock) and secondary (alluvial and marine placer) deposits. Although diamonds can be found in rocks as varied as high-pressure metamorphic garnet-biotite gneisses and meteorites, the only economically significant primary source rocks known to date are kimberlites and olivine lamproites. Both of these rock types form as magmas deep in the mantle and rapidly rise through it, sampling diamonds along the way. It must be stressed that diamonds do not form in the kimberlite or lamproite, they are simply transported to a level within the earth's crust where we can access them, by these magmas.

Kimberlites are volatile-rich, potassic ultrabasic rocks that commonly exhibit a distinctive inequigranular texture resulting from the presence of macrocrysts (and sometimes megacrysts and xenoliths) set in a fine grained matrix. The megacryst and macrocryst assemblage in kimberlites includes anhedral crystals of olivine, magnesian ilmenite, pyrope garnet, phlogopite, Ti-poor chromite, diopside and enstatite. Some of these phases may be xenocrystic in origin. Matrix minerals include microphenocrysts of olivine and one or more of: monticellite, perovskite, spinel, phlogopite, apatite, and primary carbonate and serpentine (Pell, 1998a).

Lamproites are peralkaline and typically ultrapotassic (6 to 8% K₂O). They are characterized by the presence of one or more of the following primary phenocryst and/or groundmass constituents: forsteritic olivine; Ti-rich, Al-poor phlogopite and tetraferriphlogopite; Fe-rich leucite; Ti, K-richrichterite; diopside; and Fe-rich sanidine. Minor and accessory phases include priderite, apatite, wadeite, perovskite, spinel, ilmenite, armalcolite, shcherbakovite and jeppeite. Glass and mantle derived xenocrysts of olivine, pyrope garnet and chromite may also be present (Pell, 1998b).

Primary economic diamond deposits are more commonly associated with kimberlites than lamproites. From measurements of kimberlite distribution, Janse (1984) observed that kimberlites occur in clusters of up to 50

intrusions, each cluster no more than 40 km across. The distance between clusters is in the order of a hundred to several hundred kilometres. Kennedy (1964) first pointed out that diamondiferous kimberlites are restricted to cratons. Lamproites more commonly occur off craton, generally in Proterozoic mobile belts.

The model for a single diamond-bearing volcanic system includes a feeder magmatic dyke intrusion, diatreme breccia, an overlying crater with epiclastic reworked sediments and a surrounding ring of pyroclastic ejecta. The size of the crater and the depth, shape and complexity of the diatreme vary considerably. Diamond-bearing magmas are believed to rise along zones of structural weakness. The model commonly used to depict a typical kimberlite pipe is shown in Figure 5.

Permitting

Upon receiving interpretation from a diamond geophysics engineer of the results of the airborne geophysical program completed in April of 2006, the Company will design and apply for an exploration/drilling program based on the recommendations received.

Plant & Equipment

Currently, no plant and equipment is situated on the Northwest Territories Properties, owing to their grassroots, early exploration status.

Encumbrances

The Company is not aware of any material encumbrances against its ownership of the Northwest Territories Properties.

Exploration - Current Results

The Company initiated the 2006 exploration program on the Fry Inlet Diamond property in February 2006 with an airborne geophysics program. Results are currently under review by a diamond geophysics engineer and are expected shortly. Recommendations will assist in prioritizing drill targets and improve the rate of discovery of additional kimberlites.

Initial exploration programs are being designed and budgeted on the Fishing Lake and Falcon Bay projects to include ground reconnaissance, surveys (prospecting, till sampling, ground geophysics) during the upcoming season and additional airborne geophysics later this year.

The Company is planning ongoing exploration programs for each of its projects well into 2007 with budgets and funding requirements being determined from initial work programs underway in Q1 and Q2 2006.

ITEM 5.

OPERATING AND FINANCIAL REVIEW AND PROSPECTS

A.

Operating results

The following discussion of the financial condition, changes in financial condition and results of operations of the Company for the years ended December 31, 2005 and prior, should be read in conjunction with the financial statements of the Company and related notes included therein. The Company's financial statements are in Canadian dollars and are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in Canada (Canadian GAAP). Note 12 of the financial statements of the Company, as well as Material Differences between Canadian and U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles , below, set forth the significant measurement differences were such information to be presented in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles (U.S. GAAP).

The Company is in the business of the acquisition, exploration, exploration management and purchase of mineral properties, with the primary aim of developing them to a stage where the Company can exploit them profitably. The Company also has advanced its properties through partnerships, whereby proven companies manage the property with expertise in developing, designing and operating the extraction of mineral resources. At that stage, the Company's operations would, to some degree, be dependent on the prevailing market prices for any of the minerals produced by such operations.

The Company currently does not have any producing properties and its current operations on its various properties are in the exploration stages, working towards establishing economic concentrations of minerals. Before, during and after the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005, the Company was engaged in continued exploration of its Kenville Mine Property, the Blu Starr Gemstone Property, and the McAllister Pipe Property all located in south-eastern British Columbia. The Company also acquired four distinct properties of merit in the Northwest Territories of Canada for the potential to host diamonds. As a result, the Company's future mineral exploration and mining activities may be affected in varying degrees by prevailing market prices, political stability and government regulations, the success of existing joint venture partners, all of which are beyond the control of the Company.

Fiscal Year ended December 31, 2005 Compared to Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2004

The Company's loss over the past years results from general and administration expenses, stock based compensation expensed and write offs of the deferred exploration costs attributed to the properties in Ecuador and the reduction to the McAllister Group of claims. On January 1, 2004, the company adopted the fair value-based method of accounting for stock options granted to employees, directors and non-employees.

Anglo Swiss's general and administrative expenses have been fairly consistent over the past two years, incurring \$267,293 in 2005 and \$279,816 in 2004; both years higher than the \$196,597 incurred for the year ended 2003. The Company was able to take advantage of the increased interest in the mining sector in 2005 and 2004; raising funds through two private placements, the exercise of options and became more active in property acquisitions, incurring increase in the related expenses in filing fees and increasing the investor relations budget over the last two year period.

Shareholder's information expense was \$40,113 for the year end 2005, while in 2004 the amount was \$80,972; \$53,768 higher than the \$27,204 expensed in 2003. The Company was more active starting in 2004 in all investor relations departments, taking advantage of the new interest in the market place for mining and junior mining companies after many years of disinterest. The Company among other things redesigned its website, contracted with Stockgroup for six months of promotion, advertised in industry print media and aggressively contacted existing shareholders and new contacts in 2004 which resulted in a higher expenditure for that year.

Travel and promotion activities were \$10,945 in 2005, and in 2004 - \$17,161, due to increased activity commencing in 2004; this compared to \$7,386 for 2003. Filing fees related to the financing, option grants and property acquisitions were also higher for the years 2005 - \$28,571 and \$30,079 2004, from \$9,632 for the year ended December 31, 2003.

The Option Joint Venture Agreement on the Kenville property remains in good standing, the Company has received the final anniversary payment of \$35,000 and has received a total of \$100,000, non-refundable over the term of this agreement.

General exploration expenses for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005 were \$8,753 compared to \$7,366 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004. The bulk of exploration costs were attributed to the annual work required on the placer mineral claims on the Blu Starr property. The Company believes it has minimal risk in losing any of its mineral claims as they are all in good standing up to 2016 at the Kenville Mine property and 2007 to 2011 on the Blu Starr property. The Company does have to incur approximately \$7,500 to keep the placer claims located on the Blu Starr property in good standing during the current fiscal year. The Company has over its more recent years advanced its properties through partners who incurred exploration expenditures on the Company's properties under the terms of their respective option agreements.

Anglo Swiss total assets consist of cash and short-term deposits plus its resource property costs. Cash resources at 2005 year-end of \$603,369 were higher than the 2004 year end cash position of \$167,735 due to the private placement completed on December 29, 2005 of \$734,700. The Company received \$160,000 in the fourth quarter of 2005 as directors exercised 1,600,000 options at \$0.10 per share. The balance of its resource properties and the plant and equipment at the Kenville Mine property, is \$4,813,133 at year-end 2005 compared to \$3,766,484 at year-end 2004.

At December 31, 2005 Anglo Swiss had paid up capital of \$13,216,707, representing 67,402,688 common shares without par value, and a deficit of \$8,962,155 resulting in a shareholder's equity (or net assets) of \$4,985,299 (2004 - \$3,645,071). Anglo Swiss had working capital of \$153,366 at December 31, 2005 and a working capital deficiency of \$140,213 at December 31, 2004.

On December 29, 2005, the company closed a private placement for 1,224,500 units at \$0.60/unit for gross proceeds of \$734,700. Each unit comprises one non flow-through common share (1,224,500 shares), five flow-through common shares (6,122,500 shares) and one share purchase warrant, entitling the holder to purchase an additional common share for \$0.22 for a two-year period expiring on December 29, 2007. There were 7,447,000 common shares issued as part of this private placement which included 100,000 shares paid as share issue costs. During the year ended 2005, 8,350,000 common shares were issued for a total of \$840,750 to purchase mineral claims for diamond exploration in the Northwest Territories.

Anglo Swiss has accounts payable and accrued liabilities of \$153,156 at December 31, 2005 (2004 - \$136,824) due to directors and organizations controlled by directors. The Company incurred consulting fees of \$60,000 in the year ended December 31, 2005 (2004 - \$60,000) for management services provided by directors and officers or organizations controlled by such parties.

Included in accounts payable and accrued liabilities is \$139,954 (2004 - \$67,115) to a law firm in which an officer is a partner of the firm during the year ended December 31, 2005.

The net loss for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005 was 482,478 or \$0.01 per share as compared to a net loss for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004 of \$427,123 or \$0.01 per share. The options expensed for the year was \$177,026 (\$147,307 in 2004) for options set to directors, officers and consultants to the Company attributing to the loss for the current year. Increases in shareholder information and filing fees also contributed to the loss plus the Company wrote down \$38,159 of the McAllister Pipe property.

Fiscal Year ended December 31, 2004 Compared to Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2003

Anglo Swiss's general and administrative expenses have been fairly consistent over the past years, although in 2004, cash expenses of \$279,816 were incurred in this regard; an increase of \$83,220 compared to \$196,596 for the year

ended 2003. Shareholder s information expense was \$53,768 higher in 2004 (\$80,972) than the \$27,204 expensed in 2003. The Company was more active in all investor relations departments, taking advantage of the new interest in the market place for mining and junior mining companies. The Company among other things redesigned its website, contracted with Stockgroup for six months of promotion, advertised in industry print media and aggressively contacted existing shareholders and new contacts.

Travel and promotion activities also increased in 2004 to \$17,161 with the new activity compared to \$7,386 for 2003 plus filing fees related to the financing, option grants and permitting of work programs resulted in an increase to \$30,079 2004, from \$9,632 for the year ended December 31, 2003. Professional fees for the 2004 year were lower at \$21,688 than 2003 fees of \$46,261, as the Company had litigation in 2003 over the OJVA at the Kenville property which was resolved in 2003.

The Option Joint Venture Agreement on the Kenville property has one anniversary payment of \$35,000 remaining, due on August 29, 2005. The Company may also finance itself through the exercise of options and warrants outstanding; although this avenue of funding is directly related to the capital markets and the future share price of its common shares within the marketplace and therefore should not be relied upon.

General exploration expenses for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004 were \$7,366 compared to \$6,740 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003. The bulk of exploration costs were attributed to the annual work required on the placer mineral claims on the Blu Starr property. The Company believes it has no risk in losing any of its mineral claims as they are all in good standing up to 2006 at the Kenville Mine property and 2007 to 2011 on the Blu Starr property. The Company does have to incur approximately \$7,500 to keep the placer claims located on the Blu Starr property in good standing during the current year. The Company has over its more recent years advanced its properties through partners who incurred exploration expenditures on the Company's properties under the terms of their option agreements.

Anglo Swiss total assets consist of cash and short-term deposits plus its resource property costs. Cash resources at 2004 year-end of \$167,735 were higher than the 2003 year end cash position of \$22,165 due to the private placement completed on March 29, 2004 of \$518,000. The balance of its resource properties and the plant and equipment at the Kenville Mine property, is \$ 3,766,484 at year-end 2004.

At December 31, 2004 Anglo Swiss had paid up capital of \$11,545,745, representing 50,005,688 common shares without par value, and a deficit of \$8,479,677 resulting in a shareholder's equity (or net assets) of \$3,645,071 (2003 - \$3,406,887). Anglo Swiss had a working capital deficiency of \$140,213 at December 31, 2004. The Company raised \$518,000 in the first quarter of 2004 in a private placement of 5,180,000 units consisting of 5,180,000 common shares and 5,180,000 warrants to purchase an additional 5,180,000 common shares at \$0.15 per share, originally exercisable until March 29, 2005, subsequently extended to September 29, 2005.

Anglo Swiss has accounts payable and accrued liabilities of \$136,824 at December 31, 2004 (2003 - \$135,361) due to directors, organizations controlled by directors. The Company incurred consulting fees of \$60,000 in the year ended December 31, 2004 (2003 - \$60,000) for management services provided by directors and officers or organizations controlled by such parties. The Company paid \$35,246 to a law firm in which an officer is a partner of the firm during the year and carries a payable of \$67,115 at December 31, 2004 (\$0 in 2003) to the same firm.

The net loss for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004 was \$427,123 or \$0.01 per share as compared to a net loss for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003 of \$269,542. The options expensed for the year was \$147,307 in 2004 (2003- \$47,946) for options set to directors, officers and consultants to the Company attributing to the larger loss for the current year. Increases in shareholder information and filing fees also contributed to the loss.

Fiscal Year ended December 31, 2003 Compared to Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2002.

During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003, the company recorded simple interest and sundry revenue of \$888 compared to the year ended December 31, 2002 of \$90,546. The Company received \$90,000 from a private company in return for the right to the timber on two crown granted claims. The logging took place between May and October

of 2002 and was completed the same year. The Company also received a \$40,000 payment from the sale of two small land parcels that were owned fee simple by the Company. The land was in a residential area and were deemed unessential by management to the overall land position on the Kenville.

During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003 general and administrative expenses were \$196,596, which compared favourably to previous years as the Company continued to conserve funds. Expenses for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002 were \$173,451. The Company has been unable in its attempts to sell the equipment in Ecuador and accordingly, the Company wrote off the related deferred costs of \$25,000.

Professional fees for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003 were \$46,261, compared to \$23,331 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002. The Company incurred additional legal fees related to the option agreement on the Kenville Mine property of \$27,970 during 2003. The Company expects that approximately \$25,000 will be incurred annually for accounting, legal and audit fees, with the exception of any material events in future years.

Shareholders' information and travel/promotion expenses increased to \$27,204 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003, again reflecting an increase in shareholder information costs due to an Investor Relations contract entered into in 2003. In the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002 a total of \$13,795 was spent, somewhat lower than previous years.

Filing fees for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003 were \$9,632, a result of basic costs associated with maintaining the TSX Venture Exchange listing. Filing fees for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002 were \$6,347.

General exploration expenses for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003 were \$6,740 compared to \$8,294 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002. The bulk of exploration costs were attributed to the annual work required on the placer mineral claims on the Blu Starr property. The Company has no risk in losing any of its mineral claims as they are all in good standing up to 2006 at the Kenville Mine property and 2007 to 2011 on the Blu Starr property. The Company does have to incur approximately \$7,500 to keep the placer claims located on the Blu Starr property in good standing during the current year. The Company has over its more recent years advanced its properties through partners who incurred exploration expenditures on the Company's properties under the terms of their option agreements.

Consulting fees for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003 totalled \$60,000 compared to \$57,000 for the 2002 year-end. Consulting fees were set at a maximum of \$60,000 (\$2,500 per month per director) for management fees upon listing on the TSX Venture Exchange and the Company will follow these guidelines. Consulting fees consist of fees for management services by Directors or Officers of the Company.

The net loss for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003 was \$269,542 or <\$0.01 per share as compared to a net loss for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002 of \$82,995. The write-down of the \$25,000 in Ecuador and expensing \$47,946 due to the options set to non-employees attributed to the larger loss for the current year. Increases in shareholder information and legal fees also contributed to the loss. The year ended December 31, 2002 enjoyed an income of \$90,000 from a logging contract which was completed during the same period.

B.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

In management's view given the nature of the Company's activities, which consists of the acquisition, exploration, exploration management and purchase of mineral properties, the most meaningful and material financial information concerning the Company relates to its current liquidity and capital resources. The company currently does not have

any producing properties and its current operations on its various properties are in the exploration stages and have not derived any revenues from the sale of gold, gemstones or any other materials in the last three years. Before, during and after the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005, the Company was engaged in continued exploration of its diamond, gold and precious/semi-precious gemstone properties all located in Canada. As a result, the Company's future mineral exploration and mining activities may be affected in varying degrees by prevailing market prices, political stability and government regulations, the success of existing joint venture partners, all of which are beyond the control of the Company.

The Company's mineral exploration activities have been funded through the sales of common shares, and although the Company has been successful in attracting partners to continue development and exploration of its properties, there is no assurance that this trend will continue indefinitely. The ongoing general and administrative obligations are dependent on this source as well and the Company expects to continue to utilize this source of financing until it develops cash flow from its operations. There can be no assurance, however, that the Company will be able to obtain required financing in the future on acceptable terms, or at all.

At December 31, 2005 the Company had working capital of \$153,366. The Company expects to require additional financing to cover administrative expenditures and to further exploration of its mineral properties and to meet its ongoing obligations as they incur. The Company has not carried out debt financing nor has it made use of any financial instruments for hedging purposes. The Company had no material commitments for capital expenditures at the end of its most recent fiscal year.

Management reviews the carrying value of the Company's interest in each mineral property at least annually to consider whether there are any conditions that may indicate impairment. Where estimates of future cash flows are not available and where exploration results or other information suggests an impairment has occurred, management assesses whether the carrying value can be recovered. Costs relating to properties abandoned are written off when the decision to abandon is made.

In lieu of the Company's decision to focus on the Lac de Gras region of Canada's Northwest Territories for diamond exploration the Company has retained only 1,959 hectares with 10 mineral cells of the McAllister property. The Company did not re-new the option on the Iva Fern claim group in February 2006. The main focus of the McAllister property is the McAllister Diatreme, identified as the host of a potential diamondiferous lamproite. The Company will re-assess the merits of this property within the current exploration year. This reduction resulted in a write-down of \$38,159 to the value of this property.

The Option Joint Venture Agreement on the Kenville property is current with the Company having received all anniversary payments; the last was paid prior to August 29, 2005. The Company has received \$100,000 in this regard, which is non-refundable. The company will require additional financing to further the exploration of its mineral properties. Management plans to continue to raise equity funding and work with joint venture partners to further advance its projects. While the company has been successful in raising funds in the past, there can be no assurance that it will be able to do so in the future.

The Company believes that there is minimal risk in losing any of its mineral claims as they are all in good standing up to 2016 at the Kenville Mine property and 2007 to 2011 on the Blu Starr property. The Company does have to incur approximately \$7,500 to keep the placer claims located on the Blu Starr property in good standing during the current year. The Company has over its more recent years advanced its properties through partners who incurred exploration expenditures on the Company's properties under the terms of their option agreements.

At December 31, 2005, the Company has working capital of 153,366. While the Company has been successful in raising the necessary funds to finance its exploration activities to-date, there can be no assurance that it will be able to continue to do so. Accordingly, there is substantial doubt about the ability of the Company to continue as a going concern.

If such funds are not available or cannot be obtained and its partnership arrangements are insufficient to cover the costs of the Company's mineral exploration activities, the Company will be forced to further curtail its exploration

activities to a level for which funding is available or can be obtained.

Other than as discussed herein, the Company is not aware of any trends, demands, commitments, events or uncertainties that may result in the Company's liquidity either materially increasing or decreasing at present or in the foreseeable future. Material increases or decreases in the Company's liquidity will be substantially determined by the success for failure of its exploration programs on its properties.

Fiscal Year ended December 31, 2005 Compared to Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2004.

As at December 31, 2005 the Company's current cash assets totalled \$603,369 compared to \$167,735 at December 31, 2004. The Company realised three separate sources of cash over this period, the \$35,000 anniversary payment from the Option Joint Venture Agreement in place at the Kenville property; gross proceeds of \$160,000 from directors exercising options; and the private placement of \$734,700. During this period the Company's total liabilities increased to \$468,278 from \$314,693 at December 31, 2004.

The current liabilities (accounts payable and accrued liabilities) at December 31, 2005 increased to \$468,278 as related parties (directors and a law firm to which an officer of the Company is a partner in) increased to \$293,110 (2004 - \$203,939); current payables at year end were \$16,878; with the balance of \$158,289 in accrued liabilities for 2005.

Accrued liabilities consists principally of accounting/audit costs \$27,500, a charge for BC Capital Tax of \$75,300, annual property taxes of \$7,289 on the surface rights owned at the Kenville and a \$35,950 charge in respect to stumpage fees owed to the BC Ministry of Provincial Revenue by a mill during the logging at the Kenville property in 2004. The mill deducted the stumpage fee but to-date has not submitted the balance owed to the ministry.

The Company's working capital increased to \$153,366 compared to a deficit of \$140,213 at year end December 31, 2004. Share capital increased in 2005 due to the private placement of \$734,700 (plus shares issued for Agent issue costs), gross proceeds realized of \$160,000 from directors options and \$840,750 for shares issued for mineral property acquisitions to \$13,216,707. December 31, 2004 showed \$11,545,745 in share capital.

The Company's largest cash outflow continues to be the ongoing general and administrative expense for the years, December 31, 2005 at \$267,293 and \$279,816 for the year ended December 31, 2004. The G&A expenses do not include non-cash items of \$177,026 and \$147,307 for stock-based compensation for the 2005 and 2004 years respectively and a \$38,159 charge in 2005 for the final write down of the McAllister mineral properties. The Company expects to continue to advance its exploration properties through sourcing partners, thereby conserving its limited working capital.

Fiscal Year ended December 31, 2004 Compared to Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2003.

As at December 31, 2004 the Company's current cash assets totalled \$167,735 compared to \$22,165 at December 31, 2003. The Company realised two separate sources of cash over this period, the \$35,000 anniversary payment from the Option Joint Venture Agreement in place at the Kenville property and \$56,561 from logging activities to build 2 kilometers of road on the Kenville property. During this same period the Company's total liabilities decreased to \$314,693 from \$436,728 as overdue accounts payable were paid. Included in accounts payable and accrued liabilities is \$136,824, (2003 - \$135,361) due to directors and organizations controlled by directors and \$67,115 (\$107,990 2003) to a law firm in which a director is a partner of the firm. A charge for any possible Corporate Capital Tax is carried of \$75,300, which includes principal and interest from 1998 onward and is no longer an ongoing expense other than interest accruals.

The Company's working capital deficiency was greatly reduced to \$140,213 at year end December 31, 2004 compared to a deficit of \$408,668 at the year ended December 31, 2003. Share capital increased in 2004 due to the private placement of \$518,000 to \$11,545,745, December 31, 2003 showed \$11,224,585 in share capital. The Company's largest cash outflow continues to be the ongoing general and administrative expense for the years, December 31, 2004 at \$279,816 and \$196,596 for the year ended December 31, 2003. The G&A expenses do not include non-cash items of \$147,307 and \$47,946 for stock-based compensation for the 2004 and 2003 years respectively and a \$25,000 charge in 2003 for the final write down of the Ecuador mineral properties. The Company expects to continue to advance its exploration properties through sourcing partners, thereby conserving its limited working capital.

Fiscal Year ended December 31, 2003 Compared to Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2002.

As at December 31, 2003 the Company's current cash assets totalled \$22,165 compared to \$10,906 at December 31, 2002. The Company realised two separate sources of cash over this period, the \$90,000 logging contract and \$40,000 received from the purchase of two small surface lots. During this same period the Company's total liabilities increased to \$436,728 from \$271,057 as accounts payable were accrued (\$165,671 2003 and \$35,605 2002) due to lack of working capital. Included in accounts payable is \$135,361 (2002 - \$157,439) due to directors, organizations controlled by directors and a law firm in which a director is a partner of the firm. Corporate capital taxes payable is \$75,300, which includes principal and interest for the 1998 to 2001 years and is no longer an ongoing expense other than interest accruals.

During the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002, there were no payables reversed while amounts of \$36,800 and \$18,299 of accounts payable and accrued liabilities were reversed in the year ended December 31, 2001. These amounts, which related to past services, were reversed as management is of the opinion that the Company does not have any liability in respect of these past services.

The Company's working capital deficiency increased to \$408,668 at the year ended December 31, 2003, from a deficit of \$255,443 for the year ended December 31, 2002. Share capital as unchanged at December 31, 2003 and 2002 at \$11,224,585. The Company's largest cash outflow continues to be the ongoing general and administrative expense of \$197,484 and \$173,451 for years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively. The Company expects to continue to advance its exploration properties through sourcing partners, thereby conserving its limited working capital.

Material Differences between Canadian and United States Generally Accepted Accounting Principles.

The Company prepares its financial statements in accordance with accounting principals generally accepted in Canada (Canadian GAAP), which differs in certain respects from those principles that the company would have followed had its financial statements been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (U.S. GAAP).

Resource property and exploration costs

For U.S. GAAP purposes, the Company expenses exploration and acquisition costs incurred relating to unproven mineral properties. When proven and probable reserves are determined for a property, subsequent exploration and development costs of the property are capitalized. The capitalized costs of such properties are assessed periodically to ensure that the carrying value can be recovered on an undiscounted cash flow basis. If the carrying value cannot be recovered on this basis, the mineral properties are written down to fair value on a discounted cash flow basis.

Under U.S. GAAP, deferred exploration costs are written off as incurred. Had the Company presented its financial statements in accordance with U.S. GAAP, its loss for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003 would have been \$1,534,161, \$380,289 and \$178,527 respectively.

Outlook

For the remainder of the fiscal year ending December 31, 2006, the Company's activities will focus primarily on the NWT diamond properties. The Company initiated the 2006 exploration program on the Fry Inlet Diamond property in February 2006 with an airborne geophysics program. Results expected shortly will assist in prioritizing drill targets and improve the rate of discovery of additional kimberlites.

Initial exploration programs are being designed and budgeted on the other 3 properties to include ground reconnaissance, surveys (prospecting, till sampling, ground geophysics) during the upcoming season and additional

airborne geophysics later this year. The Company is planning ongoing exploration programs for each of its projects well into 2007 with budgets and funding requirements being determined from initial work programs underway in Q1 and Q2 2006.

Based on its existing working capital, the Company expects to require additional funding during the fiscal year as it intends to accelerate exploration in the NWT through to year end. While the Company has been successful in raising funds in the past, and sourcing partners to advance its properties, there can be no assurance that it will be able to do so in the future. Accordingly, there is some doubt about the ability of the company to continue as a going concern.

The Company does not have any material commitments for capital expenditures at the time of this report.

C.

Research and Development, Patents and Licenses, etc.

As the Company is a mineral exploration company with no producing properties, the information required by this section is inapplicable.

D.

Trend Information

As the Company is a mineral exploration company with no producing properties, the information required by this section is inapplicable.

E.

Off-balance Sheet Arrangements

The Company has no off-balance sheet arrangements.

F.

Tabular Disclosure of Contractual Obligations

The Company has no contractual obligations of this type required to be disclosed in this section.

G.

Safe Harbour

This Annual Report and accompanying financial statements and management discussion and analysis contains forward-looking statements and information relating to Anglo Swiss Resources Inc. (Anglo Swiss or the Company) that are based on the beliefs of its management as well as assumptions made by and information currently available to Anglo Swiss. When used in this document, the words anticipate , believe , estimate , and expect and similar expressions, as they relate to the Company or its management, are intended to identify forward-looking statements.

This Annual Report contains forward-looking statements relating to, among other things, regulatory compliance, the sufficiency of current working capital, the estimated cost and availability of funding for the continued exploration and development of Anglo Swiss exploration properties. Many factors could cause the actual results, performance or achievements of Anglo Swiss to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements whether expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Important factors are identified in this Annual Report.

Management is required to make assumptions and estimates that affect the valuation of its mineral properties. The carrying value of each property in the exploration or development stage is evaluated as to the project economics, including the timing of the exploration and/or development work, the work programs and the exploration results experienced by the Company or others. The review of the carrying value of each producing property is made by reference to the estimated future operating results and net cash flows. When the carrying value of a property exceeds

its estimated net recoverable amount, provision is made for the decline in value.

ITEM 6.

DIRECTORS, SENIOR MANAGEMENT AND EMPLOYEES

A.

Directors and Senior Management

Leonard R. Danard

Mr. Danard is a director and President and CEO of the Company. He has held this position since December 14, 1992. Prior to this date he was president of a private company, which held the Kenville mine property, the Company's main asset. He has spent in excess of 20 years in the resource sector where he held various senior management positions. Mr. Danard is 57 years old.

Christopher C. Robbins

Mr. Robbins is a director and Vice President of the Company. He has been a director since November 25, 1994 and vice-president since June of 1999. He has business experience in public relations, corporate governance and financing for over 20 years, both in private and public sectors. Before his involvement with Anglo Swiss, Mr. Robbins was a consultant to a telecommunications firm, which also went public. Mr. Robbins is 47 years old.

Leroy Wolbaum

Mr. Wolbaum has been a director of the Company since August 30, 1993. He resides in Nelson, British Columbia and is the Company's liaison for the Kenville, Blu Starr and McAllister properties. Mr. Wolbaum has extensive corporate experience as he has served on a number of boards for various public listed companies over the years. Mr. Wolbaum is 62 years old.

Glen C Macdonald

Mr. Macdonald is a professional geologist with over 30 years of exploration and mine development experience in the mineral industry. He has designed and managed exploration campaigns worldwide for both metals and gemstones, and has concentrated primarily on Canadian diamond and gemstone exploration for the past 10 years. Mr. Macdonald will assume technical responsibility for development of the Company's gemstone exploration programs. Mr. Macdonald is 57 years old.

B.

Compensation

During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005, the Company accrued a total \$60,000 in cash compensation to its directors and officers. This amount does not take account of incentive stock options granted to or exercised by such directors and officers or other non-cash compensation, as more particularly described below. No other funds were set aside or accrued by the Company during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005 to provide pension, retirement or similar benefits for directors or officers of the Company pursuant to any existing plan provided or contributed to by the Company under applicable Canadian laws.

The Company is required, under applicable securities legislation in Canada to disclose to its shareholders details of compensation paid to its executive officers. The following fairly reflects all material information regarding compensation paid to the Company's executive officers, which has been disclosed to the Company's shareholders under

applicable Canadian law.

Cash and Non-Cash Compensation Executive Officers and Directors

The Company currently has three executive officers: Len Danard, President; Chris Robbins, Vice President; and Brian Canfield, Corporate Secretary (the Named Executive Officers). Mr. Canfield is a lawyer who practices in Vancouver, British Columbia.

The following table sets forth all annual and long term compensation for services in all capacities to the Company for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003, in respect of the individuals who were, at December 31, 2005, the Named Executive Officers:

Name and Principal Position (a)	Year (b) ⁽¹⁾	Annual Compensation			Long Term Compensation			
		Salary (\$) (c)	Bonus (\$) (d)	Other Annual Compensation (\$) (e)	Awards	Payouts	All Other Compensation (\$) (i)	
					Securities under Options granted (#) ⁽²⁾ (f)	Restricted Shares or Share Units (\$) (g)	LTIP Payouts (\$) (h)	
Len Danard	2005	30,000	Nil	Nil	2,800,000	n/a	n/a	Nil
President and CEO	2004	30,000	Nil	Nil	1,812,500	n/a	n/a	Nil
	2003	30,000	Nil	Nil	1,150,000			
Chris Robbins	2005	30,000	Nil	Nil	2,700,00	n/a	n/a	Nil
Vice President	2004	30,000	Nil	Nil	1,712,500	n/a	n/a	Nil
	2003	30,000	Nil	Nil	1,150,000			
Brian Canfield Secretary	2005	Nil	Nil	Nil	250,000	n/a	n/a	Nil
	2004	Nil	Nil	Nil	250,000	n/a	n/a	Nil
	2003	Nil			800,000			

(1)

Fiscal years ended December 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003 .

(2)

Indicates total options held in each of the fiscal periods down.

Option Grants in Last Fiscal Year

The following table, if required, sets forth stock options granted during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005 to the Named Executive Officers:

Name of Optionee	Position (Director/ Employee/ Consultant/ Management Company)	% of Total Options Granted*	No. of Options Granted	Exercise Price	Expiry Date	Market Value of Shares Underlying Options at Date of Grant (\$/share)
Len Danard	Officer	29.62	2,000,000	\$0.11	11/17/2010	\$0.11
Chris Robbins	Officer	29.62	2,000,000	\$0.11	11/17/2010	\$0.11
Brian Canfield	Officer	3.70	250,000	\$0.11	11/17/2010	\$0.11

* Percentage of total options granted during the year ended December 31, 2005.

Aggregated Option Exercises in Last Fiscal Year and Fiscal Year-End Option Values

The following table, if required, sets forth details of all exercises of stock options during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005 by the Named Executive Officers and the fiscal year-end value of unexercised options on an aggregated basis:

Name of Optionee	Securities Acquired on Exercise	Aggregate Value Realized(1)	Unexercised Options at Fiscal year-End Exercisable/Un-exercisable	Value of Exercisable/Unexercised In-the-Money Options at Year-End
Len Danard	550,000	\$8,250	800,000/2,000,000	NIL
Chris Robbins	550,000	\$8,250	700,000/2,000,000	NIL
Brian Canfield	Nil	NIL	0/250,000	NIL

(1) Calculated using the closing price on the exercise date for a board lot of common shares on the TSX Venture Exchange.

Defined Benefit or Actuarial Plan Disclosure

The Company does not provide retirement benefits for directors and executive officers.

Termination of Employment, Change in Responsibilities and Employment Contracts.

The Company has not entered into any formal employment agreements with its Named Executive Officers.

Directors

The Company has no arrangements, standard or otherwise, pursuant to which directors are compensated by the Company or its subsidiaries for their services in their capacity as directors, or for committee participation, or involvement in special assignments during the most recently completed financial year or subsequently up to and including the date of this Annual Report, except that directors are compensated for their actual expenses incurred in pursuance of their duties as directors and certain directors may be compensated for services rendered as consultants or experts. The Company carries a payable of \$139,954 at year ended December 31, 2005 (\$67,115 2004) to a law firm

where Brian Canfield (corporate secretary) works, for legal services rendered.

The following table, if required, sets forth stock options granted by the Company during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005 to directors who are not Named Executive Officers of the Company.

Name of Optionee	Position (Director/ Employee/ Consultant/ Management Company)	% of Total Options Granted	No. of Optioned Shares	Exercise Price	Expiry Date	No. of Options Granted in Past 12 Months
Leroy Wolbaum	Director	7.40	500,000	\$0.11	11/17/2010	NIL
Glen C Macdonald	Director	29.62	2,000,000	\$0.11	11/17/2010	NIL

The following table, if required, sets forth detail of all exercises of stock options during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005 by directors who are not Named Executive Officers of the Company, and the fiscal year-end value of unexercised options on an aggregated basis:

Name of Optionee	Securities Acquired on Exercise	Aggregate Value Realized(1)	Unexercised Options at Fiscal year-End Exercisable/Un-exercisable	Value of Exercisable/Unexercised In-the-Money Options at Year-End
Glen C Macdonald	500,000	\$7,500	500,000/2,000,000	NIL/NIL

(1) Calculated using the closing price on the exercise date for a board lot of common shares on the TSX Venture Exchange.

C.

Board Practices

The Directors hold office for a term of one year or until the next annual general meeting of the Company, at which time all directors retire, and are eligible for re-election. Len Danard has been a director, President and the Chief Executive Officer of the Company since December 14, 1992. Leroy Wolbaum has been a director of the Company since August 30, 1993. Chris Robbins has been a director of the Company since November 25, 1994 and Vice President of the Company effective June 25, 1999. Brian Canfield has been a director and Corporate Secretary of the Company since June 25, 1999; Mr. Canfield resigned as a director on January 9, 2003. Mr Glen Macdonald became a director on September 28, 2004.

The Company currently does not have three independent directors to serve as an Audit Committee. Two members of The Board of Directors are independent and meet and review and discuss along with the recommendations of its auditors, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, effectively serving as an Audit Committee. The Board of Directors after receiving recommendations from the audit committee reviews the audited financial statements of the Company and liaises with the Company's auditors and makes recommendations whether or not to approve such statements. At the request of the Company's auditors, the Board of Directors convenes a meeting to consider any matters which the auditor believes should be brought to the attention of the Board of Directors or the shareholders of the Company.

D.**Employees**

During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005 the Company had no employees, other than the CEO and Vice President who oversee the day to day development of the Company. The Company utilizes independent consultants and casual labour sporadically when it is undertaking exploration or staking mineral claims. The Joint Participation Agreement (expired April 30, 2003) on the Blu Starr Property in British Columbia required the partner to hire and manage employees as part of their contractual earn in, relieving the Company of this responsibility. The Option Joint Venture Agreement in place on the Kenville property requires all exploration expenditures to be the responsibility of the optionee. The Company expects to continue to contract out this responsibility to the partners it attracts to its properties.

E.**Share Ownership**

See Item 6.A Directors, Senior Management and Employees Directors and Senior Management for a summary of all common shares of the Company held by the directors and senior management.

The following table sets forth a summary of the details of all options and warrants to purchase shares of the Company held by directors and senior management to the Company during the year ended December 31, 2005. As at December 31, 2005 there were an aggregate of 10,475,000 stock options outstanding of the 11,000,000 authorized under the Plan; the Company cancelled 1,000,000 options effective January 6, 2006.

Name

of Common

of Warrants

Beneficial Percentage**Exercise Expiry**

Shares Held

or Options Held

Ownership(1)

Price

Date

Exercisable/

Un-exercisable

Len Danard

3,950,066

7.2%

N/A

N/A

800,000/

\$0.10

02/12/2009

2,000,000

\$0.11

11/17/ 2010

Chris Robbins

1,931,138

4.3%

N/A

N/A

700,000/

\$0.10

02/12/ 2009

2,000,000

\$0.11

11/17/ 2010

Leroy Wolbaum

1,549,020

3.1%

N/A

N/A

200,000

\$0.10

01/23/ 2007

400,000/

\$0.10

02/12/ 2009

500,000

\$0.11

11/17/ 2010

Glen Macdonald

NIL

1.4%

N/A

N/A

500,000/

\$0.10

09/28/ 2009

2,000,000

\$0.11

11/17/ 2010

Brian Canfield

240,000

0.5%

N/A

N/A

40,000/

\$0.22

12/29/ 2007

250,000

\$0.11

11/17/ 2010

Totals

7,670,244

9,475,000/4,327,500

16.5%

(1) Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission. In computing the number of shares owned by a person and the percentage ownership of that person, Common Shares subject to options and warrants held by that person that are currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days of May 1, 2006, are deemed outstanding. Such shares, however, are not deemed outstanding for the purposes of computing the percentage ownership of any other person. This table has been prepared based on 68,402,688 Common Shares outstanding as of May 1, 2006, plus the exercisable shares that are deemed outstanding as listed in this table.

2005 Share Option Plan (the Plan)

In November of 2005, the Board of Directors approved a new Stock Option Plan to replace the Amended Plan from 1999. All shareholder and regulatory approvals were received prior to the year ended December 31, 2005 and the plan is now in effect. Options for executive officers are granted initially at the time of employment. Option grants for all employees including executive officers are reviewed on an annual basis.

The Plan has been drafted to comply with Exchange policies and received all required approvals in 2005. It reserves 11,000,000 common shares for issuance pursuant to the exercise of options granted pursuant to the Plan being less than 20% of the number of issued and outstanding common shares as at the effective date of the Plan. Any common shares subject to a share option which for any reason is cancelled or terminated without having been exercised shall again be available for grant under the Plan.

The Plan provides that eligible persons there under include any director, officer, employee (full or part-time), consultant or management company employee of the Company or any affiliate of the Company designated by the directors under the Plan. The definition of consultant is the same as that contained in the policies of the Exchange.

The Plan is administered by the board of directors of the Company, or if appointed, by a special committee of directors appointed from time to time by the board of (the Option Committee). The board of directors has authority to determine, among other things, the persons to whom options are granted and the number of such options. At the time an option is granted, the board will also determine the exercise price of the option which, subject to a minimum price of \$0.10, shall be equal to the closing price of the common shares on the Exchange on the day immediately preceding the date of grant, and any vesting criteria or other restrictions with respect to the exercise-ability of the option. At a minimum, unless approval of the Exchange is received, options will vest in equal instalments, either monthly, quarterly or bi-annually, at the discretion of the board over a period of 18 months. Subject to any restrictions contained in the Plan, the board may also impose such other terms and conditions as it shall deem necessary or advisable at the time of the grant.

The term of the options will be determined by the board, but in any case must be no more than five years from the date of the grant. Options are not transferable other than by will or the laws of descent and distribution. If an optionee ceases to be an eligible person for any reason whatsoever, the option (to the extent that it has vested at the time of termination) is exercisable for a period of 90 days or until the option expiration date, whatever is earlier, after which time the options will terminate and be of no further force and effect. If an optionee dies, the legal representative of the optionee may exercise the option (to the extent that it has vested at the time of death) until the earlier of one year after the date of death and the option expiration date.

The Plan provides that the maximum number of common shares which may be reserved for issuance to any participant pursuant to options may not exceed 5% of the common shares outstanding at the time of the grant (on a non-diluted basis) less the aggregate number of common shares reserved for issuance to such person under any option to purchase common shares under any other share compensation arrangement. Under the Plan, the maximum number of common

shares that may be issued to any one participant, or to any one insider and the insider's associates, within a one year period pursuant to option exercises may not exceed 5% of the outstanding issue.

The maximum number of common shares which may be reserved for issuance to all the insiders of the Company pursuant to share options is limited to 20% of the common shares outstanding at the time of the grant (on a non-diluted basis) less the aggregate number of common shares reserved for issuance to insiders under any other share compensation arrangement.

The Company will not provide any optionee with financial assistance in order to enable such optionee to exercise share options granted under the Plan.

ITEM 7.

MAJOR SHAREHOLDERS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

A.

Major Shareholders

To the best of the Company's knowledge, the Company is not directly or indirectly owned or controlled by another corporation or any foreign government.

As at May 1 2006 and except for the officers and directors as a group (as summarized in Item 6.E Directors, Senior Management and Employees Share Ownership), the Company is aware of the following persons or groups of persons which are registered as owning more than 10% of the Company's issued and outstanding common shares.

Title of Class	Person or Group	Shares Owned	% of Class
Common Shares	CDS & Co.	36,101,532	52.77
Common Shares	Cede & Co.	7,163,464	10.47

(1) No officer or director individually owns or controls more than 10% of the Company's shares.

To the knowledge of the Company, it is not controlled by another corporation, any foreign government or by any other natural or legal persons severally or jointly.

As at May 1, 2006 there were 68,402,688 common shares of the Company issued and outstanding. Based on the records of the Company's registrar and transfer agent, Computershare Trust Company of Canada, of 510 Burrard Street, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, as at such date there were 2,836 registered holders of the Company's common shares resident in the United States, holding an aggregate 7,976,080 common shares. This number represents approximately 11.66% of the total issued and outstanding common shares of the Company as at May 1, 2006.

B.

Related Party Transactions

There were no material transactions in the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005, or proposed material transactions between the Company or any of its subsidiaries and:

(a)

enterprises that directly or indirectly through one or more intermediaries, control or are controlled by, or are under common control with, the Company;

(b)

associates;

(c)

individuals owning directly or indirectly, an interest in the voting power of the Company that gives them significant influence over the Company, and close members of any such individual's family;

(d)

key management personnel, that is, those persons having authority and responsibility for planning, directing and controlling the activities of the Company, including directors and senior management of companies and close members of such individuals' families;

(e)

enterprises in which a substantial interest in the voting power is owned, directly or indirectly, by any person described in (c) or (d) or over which such person is able to exercise significant influence including enterprises owned by directors or major shareholders of the Company and enterprises that have a member of key management in common with the Company.

C.

Interests of Experts and Counsel

This Form 20-F is being filed as an Annual Report under the *Exchange Act* and, as such, there is not requirement to provide any information under this section.

ITEM 8.

FINANCIAL INFORMATION

A.

Statements and Other Financial Information

This Annual Report contains the financial statements for the Company for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005 which contain an Audit Report dated April 13, 2006, Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2005 and 2004, Statements of Loss and Deficit for the Fiscal Years Ended December 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003 statements of Cash flows for the Fiscal Years Ended December 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003 and Notes to the Financial Statements.

B.

Significant Changes

No significant change has occurred since the date of the annual financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 20-F.

ITEM 9.

THE OFFER AND LISTING

A.

Offer and Listing Details

The high and low sale prices for the common shares of the Company on the TSX Venture Exchange, (formerly known as the CDNX) until April 30, 2006, for each of the six months, each fiscal quarter in each of the last two full financial years and subsequent period and each of the last five full financial years are as follows:

Time Period	High \$/Share	Low \$/Share
April 2006	0.145	0.11
March 2006	0.15	0.12
February 2006	0.16	0.12
January 200	0.155	0.105
December 2005	0.12	0.085
November 2005	0.115	0.08
2005		
Fourth Quarter	0.125	0.08
Third Quarter	0.10	0.075
Second Quarter	0.115	0.065
First Quarter	0.18	0.09
2004		
Fourth Quarter	0.145	0.075
Third Quarter	0.165	0.10
Second Quarter	0.15	0.09
First Quarter	0.185	0.06
2003	0.11	0.03
2002	0.13	0.02
2001	0.24	0.04

The closing price of the Company's common shares on the TSX Venture Exchange, on May 2, 2006 was \$0.125.

The high and low sale prices (US\$) for the common shares of the Company on the Over The Counter Bulletin Board for each of the six months, each fiscal quarter in each of the last two full financial years and subsequent period and each of the last five full financial years are as follows:

Time Period	High \$/Share	Low \$/Share
April 2006	0.125	0.074
March 2006	0.125	0.081
February 2006	0.114	0.81
January 2006	0.145	0.101
December 2005	0.087	0.067
November 2005	0.09	0.067
2005		
Fourth Quarter	0.10	0.067
Third Quarter	0.085	0.051
Second Quarter	0.09	0.05
First Quarter	0.15	0.06
2004		
Fourth Quarter	0.12	0.06
Third Quarter	0.119	0.07
Second Quarter	0.125	0.065
First Quarter	0.18	0.081
2003	0.10	0.012
2002	0.08	0.012
2001	0.17	0.04

The closing price of the Company's common shares on the Over the Counter Bulletin Board on May 2, 2006 was US\$0.11.

B.

Plan of Distribution

This Form 20-F is being filed as an Annual Report under the *Exchange Act* and, as such, there is no requirement to provide any information under this section.

C.

Markets

Issuance and trading of the Company's common shares is currently governed in Canada by the *Company Act* (British Columbia) and the *Securities Act* of each of the Provinces of British Columbia, Alberta, Ontario, Quebec and Nova Scotia.

Principal Non-U.S. Trading Market

On May 1, 2002 the Canadian Venture Exchange (the CDNX) changed its name to the TSX Venture Exchange. On October 1, 2001 the Company's shares began trading on the CDNX, subsequent to an agreement negotiated between the CDNX and the Montreal Exchange whereby, as approved by the regulatory authorities, the Montreal Exchange ceased operations as an equity exchange. On August 22, 1996 ASII received a conditional listing for its shares to be called for trading on the Montreal Exchange in Quebec, Canada. The shares were called for trading on October 18, 1996 under the trading symbol ASW . Upon commencement of trading on the Montreal Exchange, ASII's shares were delisted from the Canadian Dealing Network of Toronto, Ontario, Canada where they had been listed under the symbol ASWC since May 17, 1995. Upon shareholder approval of the Reorganization held November 24, 1997, the Company Common Shares were listed for trading on December 9, 1997 in substitution for ASII Common Shares under the same trading symbol ASW where they continue to trade.

Principal U.S. Trading Market

The Company's shares also currently trade on the Over The Counter Bulletin Board (OTC BB) under the symbol ASWRF . On April 25, 1994, ASII's trading symbol was changed to ASWCF and subsequently to ASWC December 13, 1994. The Company's trading symbol was once again changed on January 26, 1999 to ASWRF as a result of the Reorganization.

European Listing

On February 12, 2004 the Company's shares were quoted on the Berlin Exchange under the symbol AMO . The Company believes the addition of a European listing, in conjunction with the TSX Venture Exchange and the OTC Bulletin Board (ASWRF) listing in the United States is a positive corporate development in our efforts in creating greater worldwide exposure for the Company and its diamond/gemstone/precious and base metals properties. Europeans have historically been strong supporters and investors in the exploration and development of gold properties and more significantly gemstone exploration throughout the world. The shares to date appear to be quoted in Euros, but there does not appear to be any trading volumes as of the time of this report.

D.**Selling Shareholders**

This Form 20-F is being filed as an Annual Report under the *Exchange Act* and, as such, there is no requirement to provide any information under this section.

E.**Dilution**

This Form 20-F is being filed as an Annual Report under the *Exchange Act* and, as such, there is no requirement to provide any information under this section.

F.

Expenses of the Issue

This Form 20-F is being filed as an Annual Report under the *Exchange Act* and, as such, there is no requirement to provide any information under this section.

ITEM 10.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

A.

Share Capital

This Form 20-F is being filed as an Annual Report under the *Exchange Act* and, as such, there is no requirement to provide any information under this section.

B.

Memorandum and Articles of Association

The Company was incorporated under the laws of Canada on June 27, 1995 under the name 3160157 Canada Ltd. as a wholly-owned subsidiary of Anglo Swiss Industries Inc. (ASII). On July 12, 1995, it changed its name to Canadian Sapphire Corporation . On October 8, 1997 it was continued as Anglo Swiss Resources Inc. under the *Company Act*. The continuance was implemented to repatriate the Company as a British Columbia company as the majority of its direct business operations are located in that province. As a result of the Reorganization, holders of ASII Common Shares automatically became holders of Company Common Shares and the Company automatically became the holder of all the outstanding ASII Common Shares. This resulted in the Company becoming the new parent company of the Anglo Swiss group of companies. The financial statements of the Company are, in effect, the same as the financial statements of ASII would appear, if the Reorganization had not taken place.

With respect to directors, the Articles of the Company provide that a director who is, in any way, directly or indirectly interested in an existing or proposed contract or transaction with the Company, or who holds any office or possesses any Property whereby, directly or indirectly, a duty or interest might be created to conflict with his duty or interest as a director, shall declare the nature and extent of his interest in such contract or transaction or the conflict or potential conflict with his duty and interest as a director, as the case may be, in accordance with the provisions of the Act and shall abstain from voting in respect thereof. This prohibition does not apply to:

(a)

any such contract or transaction relating to a loan to the company, which a director or a specified corporation or a specific firm in which he has an interest has guaranteed or joined in guaranteeing the repayment of the loan or any part of the loan;

(b)

any contract or transaction made or to be made with, or for the benefit of a holding corporation or a subsidiary corporation of which a director is a director;

(c)

any contract by a director to subscribe for or underwrite shares or debentures to be issued by the Company or a subsidiary of the Company, or any contract, arrangement or transaction in which a director is, directly or indirectly interested if all the other directors are also, directly or indirectly interested in the contract, arrangement or transaction;

(d)

determining the remuneration of the directors;

(e)

purchasing and maintaining insurance to cover directors against liability incurred by them as directors; or

(f)

the indemnification of any director by the Company.

The Articles of the Company also provide that the directors may from time to time on behalf of the Company borrow such money in such manner and amount, on such security, from such sources and upon such terms and conditions as they think fit; issue bonds, debentures and other debt obligations, either outright or as security for any liability or obligation of the Company, or any other person; and mortgage, charge, whether by way of specific or floating charge, or give other security on the undertaking, or on the whole or any part of the Property and assets of the Company (both present and future). Variation of these borrowing powers would require an amendment to the Articles of the Company which would, in turn, require the approval of the shareholders of the Company by way of a Special Resolution. A Special Resolution means a resolution cast by a majority of not less than $\frac{3}{4}$ of the votes cast by shareholder of the Company who, being entitled to do so, vote in person or by proxy at a general meeting of the Company of which notice as the Articles of the Company may provide and not being less than 21 days notice specifying the intention to propose the resolution as a special resolution, has been duly given (or, if every shareholder entitled to attend and vote at the meeting agrees, at a meeting of which less than 21 days notice has been given), or a resolution consented to in writing by every shareholder of the Company who would have been entitled to vote in person or by proxy at a general meeting of the Company, and a resolution so consented to is deemed to be a special resolution passed at a general meeting of the Company

There is no requirement in the Articles of the Company or in the Act requiring retirement or non--retirement of directors under an age limit requirement, nor is there any minimum shareholding required for a director's qualification.

Holders of common shares of the Company are entitled to vote at meetings of shareholders, and a Special Resolution, as described above, is required to effect a change in the rights of shareholders. Holders of common shares are not entitled to pre-emptive rights. Holders of common shares are entitled, rateably, to the remaining Property of the Company upon liquidation, dissolution or winding up of the Company, and such holders receive dividends if, as, and when, declared by the directors of the Company. There are no restrictions on the purchase or redemption of common shares by the Company while there is an arrearage in the payment of dividends or sinking fund installments. There is no liability on the part of any shareholder to further capital calls by the Company nor any provision discriminating against any existing or prospective holder of securities of the Company as a result of such shareholder owning a substantial number of shares. There are no limitations on the rights to own securities, including the rights of non-resident or foreign shareholders to hold or exercise voting rights on the securities imposed by the Act or by the constating document of the Company.

The Company is required to give its registered shareholders not less than 21 days notice of any general meeting of the Company unless all such shareholders consent to reduce or waive the period. In addition, the Company is obliged to give notice to registrants and intermediaries who hold shares on behalf of the ultimate beneficial owners no fewer than 35 or more than 60 days prior to the date of the meeting. The Company then delivers, in bulk, proxy-related materials in amounts specified by the intermediaries. No shares of the Company owned by registrants or intermediaries may be voted at a general meeting of the Company unless all proxy-related materials are delivered to the ultimate beneficial owners of such shares. Such ultimate beneficial owner must then deliver a proxy to the Company within the time limited by the Company for the deposit of proxies in order to vote the shares in respect of which such person is the beneficial owner.

There is no provision in the Company's Articles that would have an effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a change in control of the Company and that would operate only with respect to a merger, acquisition or corporate restructuring involving the Company (or any of its subsidiaries).

Securities legislation in the Company's home jurisdiction of British Columbia requires that shareholder ownership must be disclosed once a person owns beneficially or has control or direction over greater than 10% of the issued shares of the Company. This threshold is higher than the 5% threshold under U.S. securities legislation at which shareholders must report their share ownership.

C.

Material Contracts

The Company has not completed any material contracts other than ordinary course of business during the last two fiscal years. Included in this regard, the Company has entered into an option agreement on the Kenville Mine property with a private group of companies and received three non-refundable payments totalling \$100,000. The Company will await the final completion date of August 29, 2006 and thereon review and approve the qualified expenditures to date, as defined in the Option Joint Venture Agreement. The agreement allows the optionees to earn up to 70% of the mineral claims over the three year period; the Company retains 100% ownership of the assets of the surface, facilities, equipment, etc. and accordingly has not considered this agreement material at the time of this annual report. If the optionees enter to complete the aggregate expenditures of \$800,000 and the commitment to form an official Joint Venture Agreement, the Company will then consider it has a material contract. The agreement expires on August 29, 2006.

The Company entered into an Option Joint Venture Agreement in respect to the New Shoshoni diamond claims in the NWT of Canada. The agreement requires the following payments and exploration expenditures at the Company's option:

i)

\$30,000 on or before May 25, 2006;

ii)

\$35,000 on or before May 25, 2007;

iii)

\$40,000 on or before May 25, 2008

a)

incur the following minimum annual expenditures on the Property:

b)

\$200,000 on or before twelve months from the date of Exchange approval of this Agreement;

c)

an additional \$400,000 on or before 24 months from the date of Exchange approval of this Agreement;

d)

an additional \$800,000 on or before 36 months from the date of Exchange approval of this Agreement;

e)

an additional \$1,600,000 on or before 48 months from the date of Exchange approval of this Agreement;

Upon the Company completing the work requirements over the four year period and consequently completing the acquisition of this property and forming a Joint Partnership, the agreement is not considered material at the time of this annual report. **SEE EXHIBIT 5.3 - New Shoshoni Option & Joint Venture Agreement.**

D.**Exchange Controls**

There are no governmental laws, decrees or regulations in Canada relating to restrictions on the export or import of capital, or affecting the remittance of interest, dividends or other payments to non-resident holders of the Company's common shares. Any remittances of dividends to United States residents are, however, subject to a 15% withholding tax (5% if the shareholder is a corporation owning at least 10% of the outstanding common shares of the Company) pursuant to Article X of the reciprocal tax treaty between Canada and the United States. **See Item 10.E Additional Information Taxation below.**

Except as provided in the Investment Canada Act (the Act), which has provisions which govern the acquisition of a control block of voting shares by non-Canadians of a corporation carrying on a Canadian business, there are no limitations specific to the rights of non-Canadians to hold or vote the common shares of the Company under the laws of Canada or the Province of British Columbia or in the charter documents of the Company.

Management of the Company considers that the following general summary fairly describes those provisions of the Act pertinent to an investment in the Company by a person who is not a Canadian resident (a non-Canadian).

The Act requires a non-Canadian making an investment which would result in the acquisition of control of the Canadian business to notify the Investment Review Division of Industry Canada, the federal agency created by the Act; or in the case of an acquisition of a Canadian business, the gross value of the assets of which exceeds certain threshold levels or the business activity of which is related to Canada's cultural heritage or national identity, to file an application for review with the Investment Review Division.

The notification procedure involves a brief statement of information about the investment on a prescribed form which is required to be filed with Investment Canada by the investor at any time up to 30 days following implementation of the investment. Once the completed notice has been filed, a receipt bearing the certified date will be issued to the non-Canadian investor. The receipt must advise the investor either that the investment proposal is unconditionally non-reviewable or that the proposal will not be reviewed as long as notice of review is not issued within 21 days of the date certified under the receipt. It is intended that investments requiring only notification will proceed without government intervention unless the investment is in a specific type of business activity related to Canada's cultural heritage and national identity.

If an investment is reviewable under the Act, an order for review must be issued within 21 days after the certified date on which notice of investment was received. An application for review in the form prescribed is required to be filed with Investment Canada prior to the investment taking place. Once the application has been filed, a receipt will be issued to the applicant, certifying the date on which the application was received. For incomplete applications, a deficiency notice will be sent to the applicant, and if not done within 15 days of receipt of application, the application will be deemed to be complete as of the date it was received. Within 45 days after the complete application has been received, the Minister responsible for the Investment Canada Act must notify the potential investor that the Minister is satisfied that investment is likely to be of net benefit to Canada. If within such 45-day period the Minister is unable to complete the review, the Minister has an additional 30 days to complete the review, unless the applicant agrees to a longer period. Within such additional period, the Minister must advise either that he is satisfied or not satisfied that the investment is likely to be of net benefit to Canada. If the time limits have elapsed, the Minister will be deemed to be satisfied that the investment is likely to be of net benefit to Canada. The investment may not be implemented until the review has been completed and the Minister is satisfied that the investment is likely to be of net benefit to Canada.

If the Minister is not satisfied that the investment is likely to be of net benefit to Canada, the non-Canadian must not implement the investment or, if the investment has been implemented, could be penalized by being required to divest himself of control of the business that is the subject of the investment. To date, the only types of business activities which have been prescribed by regulation as related to Canada's cultural heritage or national identity deal largely with publication, film and music industries. Because the Company's total assets are less than the \$5 million notification threshold, and because the Company's business activities would likely not be deemed related to Canada's cultural heritage or national identity, acquisition of a controlling interest in the Company by a non-Canadian investor would not be subject to even the notification requirements under the Act.

The following investments by non-Canadians are subject to notification under the Act:

1.
an investment to establish a new Canadian business; and
2.
an investment to acquire control of a Canadian business that is not re-viewable pursuant to the Act.

The following investments by a non-Canadian are subject to review under the Act:

1.
direct acquisitions of control of Canadian businesses with assets of \$5 million or more, unless the acquisition is being made by a World Trade Organization (WTO) member country investor (the United States being a member of the WTO);
2.
direct acquisitions of control of Canadian businesses with assets of \$218,000,000 or more by a WTO investor;
3.
indirect acquisitions of control of Canadian businesses with assets of \$5 million or more if such assets represent more than 50% of the total value of the assets of the entities, the control of which is being acquired, unless the acquisition is being made by a WTO investor, in which case there is no review;
4.
indirect acquisitions of control of Canadian businesses with assets of \$50 million or more even if such assets represent less than 50% of the total value of the assets of the entities, the control of which is being acquired, unless the acquisition is being made by a WTO investor, in which case there is no review; and
- 5.

an investment subject to notification that would not otherwise be re-viewable if the Canadian business engages in the activity of publication, distribution or sale of books, magazines, periodicals, newspapers, film or video recordings, audio or video music recordings, or music in print or machine-readable form.

Generally speaking, an acquisition is direct if it involves the acquisition of control of the Canadian business or of its Canadian parent or grandparent and an acquisition is indirect if it involves the acquisition of control of a non-Canadian parent or grandparent of an entity carrying an the Canadian business. Control may be acquired through the acquisition of actual voting control by the acquisition of voting shares of a Canadian corporation or through the acquisition of substantially all of the assets of the Canadian business. No change of voting control will be deemed to have occurred if less than one-third of the voting control of a Canadian corporation is acquired by an investor.

A WTO investor, as defined in the Act, includes an individual who is a national of a member country of the World Trade Organization or who has the right of permanent residence in relation to that WTO member, a government or government agency of a WTO investor-controlled corporation, limited partnership, trust or joint venture and a corporation, limited partnership, trust or joint venture that is neither WTO-investor controlled or Canadian controlled of which two-thirds of its board of directors, general partners or trustees, as the case may be, are any combination of Canadians and WTO investors.

The higher thresholds for WTO investors do not apply if the Canadian business engages in activities in certain sectors such as uranium, financial services, transportation services or communications.

The Act specifically exempts certain transactions from either notification or review. Included among this category of transactions is the acquisition of voting shares or other voting interests by any person in the ordinary course of that person's business as a trader or dealer in securities.

E.

Taxation

Material Canadian Federal Income Tax Consequences

Management of the Company believes that the following general summary accurately describes all material Canadian federal income tax consequences applicable to a holder of common shares of the Company who is a resident of the United States and who is not a resident of Canada and who does not use or hold, and is not deemed to use or hold, his common shares of the Company in connection with carrying on a business in Canada (a non-resident holder).

This summary is based upon the current provisions of the Income Tax Act (Canada) (the ITA), the regulations thereunder (the Regulations), the current publicly announced administrative and assessing policies of Revenue Canada, Taxation, and all specific proposals (the Tax Proposals) to amend the ITA and Regulations announced by the Minister of Finance (Canada) prior to the date hereof. This summary assumes that the Tax Proposals will be enacted in their form as of the date of this Annual Report. This description, except for the Tax Proposals, does not take into account or anticipate any changes in law, whether by legislative, governmental or judicial action, nor does it take into account provincial, territorial, or foreign tax considerations which may differ significantly from those discussed herein.

Dividends

Dividends paid on the common shares of the Company to a non-resident holder will be subject to withholding tax. The Canada-U. S. Income Tax Convention (1980) (the Treaty) provides that the normal 25% withholding tax rate under the ITA is reduced to 15% on dividends paid on shares of a corporation resident in Canada (such as the Company) to beneficial owners of the dividends who are residents of the United States, and also provides for a further reduction of this rate to 5% where the beneficial owner of the dividends is a corporation that is a resident of the United States which owns at least 10% of the voting shares of the corporation paying the dividend.

Capital Gains

Under the ITA, a taxpayer's capital gain or capital loss from a disposition of a share of the Company is the amount, if any, by which his proceeds of disposition exceed (or are exceeded by) the aggregate of his adjusted cost base of the share and reasonable expenses of disposition. One-half of a capital gain (the taxable capital gain) is included in income, and one-half of a capital loss in a year (the allowable capital loss) is deductible from taxable capital gains realized in the same year. The amount by which a shareholder's allowable capital loss exceeds his taxable capital gains in a year may be deducted from a taxable capital gain realized by the shareholder in the three previous or any subsequent year, subject to certain restrictions in the case of a corporate shareholder.

A non-resident holder is not subject to tax under the ITA in respect of a capital gain realized upon the disposition of a share of a public corporation unless the share represents taxable Canadian Property to the holder thereof. The Company is a public corporation for purposes of the ITA and a common share of the Company will be taxable Canadian Property to a non-resident holder if, at any time during the period of five years immediately preceding the disposition, the non-resident holder, persons with whom the non-resident holder did not deal at arm's length, or the non-resident holder and persons with whom he did not deal at arm's length together owned not less than 25% of the issued shares of any class of shares of the Company.

Where a non-resident holder who is an individual ceased to be resident in Canada, and at the time he ceased to be a Canadian resident elected to have his the Company shares treated as taxable Canadian Property, he will be subject to Canadian tax on any capital gain realized on disposition of the Company's shares, subject to the relieving provisions of the Treaty described below. Shares of the Company may also be taxable Canadian Property to a holder if the holder acquired them pursuant to certain tax-deferred rollover transactions whereby the holder exchanged Property that was taxable Canadian Property for shares of the Company.

Where the non-resident holder realizes a capital gain on a disposition of the Company shares that constitute taxable Canadian Property, the Treaty relieves the non-resident shareholder from liability for Canadian tax on such capital gains unless:

(a)

the value of the shares is derived principally from real Property in Canada, including the right to explore for or exploit natural resources and rights to amounts computed by reference to production from natural resources, which is the case for the Company,

(b)

the non-resident holder is an individual who was resident in Canada for not less than 120 months during any period of 20 consecutive years preceding, and at any time during the 10 years immediately preceding, the disposition and the shares were owned by him when he ceased to be resident in Canada or are Property substituted for Property that was owned at that time, or

(c)

the shares formed part of the business Property of a permanent establishment or pertained to a fixed base used for the purpose of performing independent personal services that the shareholder has or had in Canada within the 12 months preceding the disposition.

Material United States Federal Income Tax Consequences

The following summary is a general discussion of the material United States Federal income tax considerations to U.S. holders of shares of the Company under current law. This discussion assumes that U.S. holders hold their shares of the Company's common stock as capital assets within the meaning of Section 1221 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code). It does not discuss all the tax consequences that may be relevant to particular holders in light of their circumstances or to holders subject to special rules, such as tax-exempt organizations, qualified retirement plans, financial institutions, insurance companies, real estate investment trusts, regulated investment companies, broker-dealers, non-resident alien individuals or foreign corporations whose ownership of shares of the

Company is not effectively connected with the conduct of a trade or business in the United States, shareholders who acquired their stock through the exercise of employee stock options or otherwise as compensation, shareholders who hold their stock as ordinary assets and not capital assets and any other non-U.S. holders. In addition, U.S. holders may be subject to state, local or foreign tax consequences. No opinion or representation with respect to the United States Federal income tax consequences to any such holder or prospective holder is being made by the Company herein. Holders and prospective holders should therefore consult with their own tax advisors with respect to their particular circumstances. This discussion covers all material tax consequences.

The following discussion is based upon the sections of the Code, Treasury Regulations, published Internal Revenue Service (IRS) rulings, published administrative positions of the IRS and court decisions that are currently applicable, any or all of which could be materially and adversely changed, possibly on a retroactive basis, at any time. This discussion does not consider the potential effects, both adverse and beneficial, of any recently proposed legislation that, if enacted, could be applied, possibly on a retroactive basis, at any time. Accordingly, holders and prospective holders of shares of the Company should consult their own tax advisors about the Federal, state, local, estate, and foreign tax consequences of purchasing, owning and disposing of shares of the Company.

U. S. Holders

As used herein, a U.S. Holder includes a holder of shares of the Company who is a citizen or resident of the United States, a corporation created or organized in or under the laws of the United States or of any political subdivision thereof, any entity that is taxable as a corporation for U.S. tax purposes and any other person or entity whose ownership of shares of the Company is effectively connected with the conduct of a trade or business in the United States. A U.S. Holder does not include persons subject to special provisions of Federal income tax law, such as tax exempt organizations, qualified retirement plans, financial institutions, insurance companies, real estate investment trusts, regulated investment companies, broker-dealers, non-resident alien individuals or foreign corporations whose ownership of shares of the Company is not effectively connected with conduct of trade or business in the United States, shareholders who acquired their stock through the exercise of employee stock options or otherwise as compensation and shareholders who hold their stock as ordinary assets and not as capital assets.

Distributions on Common Shares of the Company

U.S. Holders receiving dividend distributions (including constructive dividends) with respect to shares of the Company are required to include in gross income for United States Federal income tax purposes the gross amount of such distributions to the extent that the Company has current or accumulated earnings and profits as defined under U.S. Federal tax law, without reduction for any Canadian income tax withheld from such distributions. Such Canadian tax withheld may be credited, subject to certain limitations, against the U.S. Holder's United States Federal income tax liability or, alternatively, may be deducted in computing the U.S. Holder's United States Federal taxable income by those who itemize deductions. (See more detailed discussion at Foreign Tax Credit below). To the extent that distributions exceed current or accumulated earnings and profits of the Company, they will be treated first as a return of capital up to the U.S. Holder's adjusted basis in the shares and thereafter as gain from the sale or exchange of the shares. Preferential tax rates for net capital gains are applicable to a U.S. Holder that is an individual, estate or trust. There are currently no preferential tax rates for long term capital gains for a U.S. Holder that is a corporation.

Dividends paid on the shares of the Company will not generally be eligible for the dividends received deduction provided to corporations receiving dividends from certain United States corporations. A U.S. Holder that is a corporation may, under certain circumstances, be entitled to a 70% deduction of the United States source portion of dividends received from the Company (unless the Company qualifies as a foreign personal holding company or a passive foreign investment company, as defined below) if such U.S. Holder owns shares representing at least 10% of the voting power and value of the Company. The availability of this deduction is subject to several complex limitations that are beyond the scope of this discussion.

In the case of foreign currency received as a dividend that is not converted by the recipient into U.S. dollars on the date of receipt, a U.S. Holder will have a tax basis in the foreign currency equal to its U.S. dollar value on the date of receipt. Generally, any gain or loss recognized upon a subsequent sale or other disposition of the foreign currency, including the exchange for U. S. dollars, will be ordinary income or loss. However, for tax years after 1997, an individual whose realized foreign exchange gain does not exceed U.S. \$200 will not recognize that gain, to the extent that there are not expenses associated with the transaction that meet the requirement for deductibility as a trade or business expense (other than travel expenses in connection with a business trip or as an expense for the production of income).

Foreign Tax Credit

A U.S. Holder who pays (or has withheld from distributions) Canadian income tax with respect to the ownership of shares of the Company may be entitled, at the option of the U.S. Holder, to either a deduction or a tax credit for such foreign tax paid or withheld. Generally, it will be more advantageous to claim a credit because a credit reduces United States Federal income taxes on a dollar-for-dollar basis, while a deduction merely reduces the taxpayer's income subject to tax. This election is made on a year-by-year basis and applies to all foreign taxes paid by (or withheld from) the U.S. Holder during that year. There are significant and complex limitations that apply to the credit, among which is the general limitation that the credit cannot exceed the proportionate share of the U.S. Holders United States Federal income tax liability that the U.S. Holder's foreign source income bears to his or its worldwide taxable income. In the determination of the application of this limitation, the various items of income and deduction must be classified into foreign and domestic sources. Complex rules govern this classification process. There are further limitations on the foreign tax credit for certain types of income such as passive income, high withholding tax interest, financial services income, shipping income, and certain other classifications of income. The availability of the foreign tax credit and the application of the limitations on the credit are fact specific and holders and prospective holders of shares of the Company should consult their own tax advisors regarding their individual circumstances.

Information Reporting and Backup Withholding

U.S. information reporting requirements may apply with respect to the payment of dividends to U. S. Holders of the Company's common shares. Under Treasury regulations currently in effect, non-corporate holders may be subject to backup withholding at a 31% rate with respect to dividends when such holder (1) fails to furnish or certify a correct taxpayer identification number to the payor in the required manner, (2) is notified by the IRS that it has failed to report payments of interest or dividends properly or (3) fails, under certain circumstances, to certify that it has been notified by the IRS that it is subject to backup withholding for failure to report interest and dividend payments. Any amounts withheld under the backup withholding rules from a payment to a U.S. Holder generally will be allowed as a credit against the U.S. Holder's U.S. federal income tax liability and may entitle the U.S. Holder to a refund, provided that the required information is furnished to the IRS. Certain U.S. Holders, including corporations, are not subject to backup withholding.

Disposition of Common Shares of the Company

A U.S. Holder will recognize a gain or loss upon the sale of shares of the Company equal to the difference, if any, between (i) the amount of cash plus the fair market value of any Property received, and (ii) the shareholder's tax basis in the shares of the Company. This gain or loss will be a capital gain or loss if the shares are a capital asset in the hands of the U.S. Holder, and will be a short-term or long-term capital gain or loss depending upon the holding period of the U.S. Holder. Gains and losses are netted and combined according to special rules in arriving at the overall capital gain or loss for a particular tax year. Deductions for net capital losses are subject to significant limitations.

Corporate capital losses (other than losses of corporations electing under Subchapter S of the Code) are deductible to the extent of capital gains. Non--corporate taxpayers may deduct net capital losses, whether short-term or long-term, up to U.S.\$3,000 a year (U.S.\$1,500 in the case of a married individual filing separately). For U.S. Holders which are individuals, any unused portion of such net capital loss may be carried over to be used in later tax years until such net capital loss is thereby exhausted. For U.S. Holders which are corporations (other than corporations subject to Subchapter S of the Code), an unused net capital loss may be carried back three years from the loss year and carried forward five years from the loss year to be offset against capital gains until such net capital loss is thereby exhausted.

Currency Exchange Gains or Losses

U.S. holders generally are required to calculate their taxable incomes in United States dollars. Accordingly, a U.S. holder who purchases common shares of the Company with Canadian dollars will be required to determine the tax basis of such shares in United States dollars based on the exchange rate prevailing on the settlement date of the purchase (and may be required to recognize the unrealized gain or loss, if any, in the Canadian currency surrendered in the purchase transaction). Similarly, a U.S. holder receiving dividends or sales proceeds from common shares of the Company in Canadian dollars will be required to compute the dividend income or the amount realized on the sale, as the case may be, in United States dollars based on the exchange rate prevailing at the time of receipt in the case of dividends and on the settlement date in the case of sales on an established securities exchange. Gain or loss, if any, recognized on a disposition of Canadian currency in connection with the described transactions generally will be treated as ordinary gain or loss.

Other Considerations

In the following circumstances, the above sections of this discussion may not describe the United States Federal income tax consequences resulting from the holding and disposition of common shares of the Company (the Company does not believe that it will qualify in the next year, or has qualified within the past three fiscal years, as a foreign personal holding company, foreign investment company, passive foreign investment company or controlled foreign corporation as discussed below):

Foreign Personal Holding Company

If at any time during a taxable year more than 50% of the total combined voting power or the total value of the Company's outstanding shares is owned, directly or indirectly, by five or fewer individuals who are citizens or residents of the United States and 60% or more of the Company's gross income for such year was derived from certain passive sources (e.g., from dividends received from its subsidiaries), the Company would be treated as a foreign personal holding company. In that event, U.S. Holders that hold common shares of the Company (on the earlier of the last day of the Company's tax year or the last date in which the Company was a foreign personal holding company) would be required to include in gross income for such year their allocable portions of such passive income to the extent the Company does not actually distribute such income.

Foreign Investment Company

If 50% or more of the combined voting power or total value of the Company's outstanding shares are held, directly or indirectly, by citizens or residents of the United States, United States domestic partnerships or corporations, or estates or trusts other than foreign estates or trusts (as defined by the Code Section 7701(a)(3i)), and the Company is found to be engaged primarily in the business of investing, reinvesting, or trading in securities, commodities, or any interest therein, it is possible that the Company might be treated as a foreign investment company as defined in Section 1246 of the Code, causing all or part of any gain realized by a U.S. Holder selling or exchanging common shares of the Company to be treated as ordinary income rather than capital gain.

Passive Foreign Investment Company

As a foreign corporation with U.S. Holders, the Company could potentially be treated as a passive foreign investment company (PFIC), as defined in Section 1297 of the Code, depending upon the percentage of the Company's income which is passive, or the percentage of the Company's assets which are producing passive income (generally 75% or more of its gross income in a taxable year is passive income, or the average percentage of the Company's assets (by value) during the taxable year which produce passive income or which are held for production of same is at least 50%). Passive income is generally defined to include gross income in the nature of dividends, interest, royalties, rents and annuities; excess of gains over losses from certain transactions in any commodities not arising *inter alia* from a PFIC whose business is actively involved in such commodities; certain foreign currency gains; and other similar types of income. U.S. Holders owning shares of a PFIC are subject to an additional tax and to an interest charge based on the value of deferral of tax for the period during which the common shares of the PFIC are owned, in addition to treatment of gain realized on the disposition of common shares of the PFIC as ordinary income rather than capital gain. However, if the U.S. Holder makes a timely election to treat a PFIC as a qualified electing fund (QEF) with respect to such shareholder's interest therein, the above-described rules generally will not apply. Instead, the electing U.S. Holder would include annually in his gross income his pro rata share of the PFIC's ordinary earnings and net capital gain regardless of whether such income or gain was actually distributed. A U. S. Holder of a QEF can, however, elect to defer the payment of United States Federal income tax as such income inclusions. Special rules apply to U.S. Holders who own their interests in a PFIC through intermediate entities or persons.

Effective for tax years of U.S. Holders beginning after December 31, 1997, U.S. Holders who hold, actually or constructively, marketable stock of a foreign corporation that qualifies as a PFIC may elect to mark such stock to the market (a mark-to-market election). If such an election is made, such U.S. Holder will not be subject to the special taxation rules of PFIC described above for the taxable years for which the mark-to-market election is made. A U.S. Holder who makes such an election will include in income for the taxable year an amount equal to the excess, if any, of the fair market value of the shares of the Company as of the close of such tax year over such U. S. Holder's adjusted basis in such shares. In addition, the U.S. Holder is allowed a deduction for the lesser of (i) the excess, if any, of such U.S. Holder's adjusted tax basis in the shares over the fair market value of such shares as of the close of the tax year, or (ii) the excess, if any of (A) the mark-to-market gains for the shares in the Company included by such U.S. Holder for prior tax years, including any amount which would have been included for any prior year but for Section 1291 interest on tax deferral rules discussed above with respect to a U.S. Holder, who has not made a timely QEF election during the year in which he holds (or is deemed to have held) shares in the Company and the Company is a PFIC (Non-Electing U.S. Holder), over (B) the mark-to-market losses for shares that were allowed as deductions for prior tax years. A U.S. Holder's adjusted tax basis in the shares of the Company will be increased or decreased to reflect the amount included or deducted as a result of mark-to-market election. A mark-to-market election will apply to the tax year for which the election is made and to all later tax years, unless the PFIC stock ceases to be marketable or the IRS consents to the revocation of the election.

The IRS has issued proposed regulations that, subject to certain exceptions, would treat as taxable certain transfers of PFIC stock by a Non-Electing U. S. Holder that are generally not otherwise taxed, such as gifts, exchanges pursuant to corporate reorganizations, and transfers at death. Generally, in such cases, the basis of the Company's shares in the hands of the transferee and the basis of any Property received in the exchange for those shares would be increased by the amount of gain recognized. A U.S. Holder who has made a timely QEF election (as discussed below) will not be taxed on certain transfers of PFIC stock, such as gifts, exchanges pursuant to corporate reorganizations, and transfers at death. The transferee's basis in this case will depend on the manner of the transfer. The specific tax effect to the U.S. Holder and the transferee may vary based on the manner in which the shares of the Company are transferred. Each U.S. Holder should consult a tax advisor with respect to how the PFIC rules affect their tax situation.

The PFIC and QEF election rules are complex. U.S. Holders should consult a tax advisor regarding the availability and procedure for making the QEF election as well as the applicable method for recognizing gains or earnings and profits under the foregoing rules.

Controlled Foreign Corporation

If more than 50% of the voting power of all classes of stock or the total value of the stock of the Company is owned, directly or indirectly, by citizens or residents of the United States, United States domestic partnerships and corporations or estates or trusts other than foreign estates or trusts, each of whom owns 10% or more of the total combined voting power of all classes of stock of the Company (United States shareholder), the Company could be treated as a controlled foreign corporation under Subpart F of the Code. This classification would effect many complex results including the required inclusion by such United States shareholders in income of their pro rata shares of Subpart F income (as specially defined by the Code) of the Company. Subpart F requires current inclusions in the income of United States shareholders to the extent of a controlled foreign corporation's accumulated earnings invested in excess passive assets (as defined by the Code). In addition, under Section 1248 of the Code, gain from the sale or exchange of stock by a holder of common shares of the Company who is or was a United States shareholder at any time during the five year period ending with the sale or exchange is treated as ordinary dividend income to the extent of earnings and profits of the Company attributable to the stock sold or exchanged. Because of the complexity of Subpart F, and because it is not clear that Subpart F would apply to the holders of common shares of the Company, a more detailed review of these rules is outside of the scope of this discussion.

If the Company is both a PFIC and controlled foreign corporation, the Company will generally not be treated as a PFIC with respect to United States shareholders of the controlled foreign corporation. This rule generally will be effective for taxable years of the Company ending with or within such taxable years of United States shareholders.

The foregoing summary is based upon the sections of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code), Treasury Regulations, published Internal Revenue Service (IRS) rulings, published administrative positions of the IRS and court decisions that are currently applicable, any or all of which could be materially and adversely changed, possibly on a retroactive basis, at any time. This discussion does not consider the potential effects, both adverse and beneficial, of any recently proposed legislation that, if enacted, could be applied, possibly on a retroactive basis, at any time. Accordingly, holders and prospective holders of shares of the Company should consult their own tax advisors about the Federal, state, local, estate, and foreign tax consequences of purchasing, owning and disposing of shares of the Company.

F.

Dividends and Paying Agents

This Form 20-F is being filed as an Annual Report under the Exchange Act and, as such, there is no requirement to provide any information under this section.

G.

Statements by Experts

This Form 20-F is being filed as an Annual Report under the Exchange Act and, as such, there is no requirement to provide any information under this section.

H.

Documents on Display

Any documents referred to in this Annual Report may be inspected at the head office of the Company, 26th Floor, 700 West Georgia Street, Vancouver, British Columbia, V7Y 1B3, during normal business hours.

I.

Subsidiary Information

There is no information relating to the Company's subsidiaries which must be provided in Canada and which is not otherwise called for by the body of generally accepted accounting principles used in preparing the financial statements. The Company has wound up its subsidiaries, Canadamin, S.A. and Anglo Swiss International Holdings Inc. in 2001.

ITEM 11. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The Company anticipates its primary market risk, if any, to be related to fluctuations in exchange rates. Exchange rate risk may arise if the Company is required to use different currencies for various aspects of its operations. At present, the functional currency of the Company is the Canadian dollar. Based on the Company's overall exchange rate risk as at December 31, 2005, the Company believes that a ten percent change in exchange rates would not have a material adverse effect on its financial position, results of operations, or changes in financial position. The Company intends to monitor its exchange rate risk and take reasonable steps to reduce its exposure. The Company does not intend to purchase or sell derivative instruments for speculative purposes.

ITEM 12.

DESCRIPTION OF SECURITIES OTHER THAN EQUITY SECURITIES

This Form 20-F is being filed as an annual report under the Exchange Act and, as such, there is no requirement to provide any information under this item.

PART II

ITEM 13.

DEFAULTS, DIVIDEND ARREAGES AND DELINQUENCIES

There has not been a material default in the payment of principal, interest, a sinking or purchase fund instalment, or any other material default not cured within thirty days, relating to indebtedness of the Company or any of its significant subsidiaries. There are no payments of dividends by the Company in arrears, nor has there been any other material delinquency relating to any class of preference shares of the Company.

ITEM 14.

**MATERIAL MODIFICATIONS TO THE RIGHTS OF SECURITY HOLDERS
AND USE OF PROCEEDS**

Not applicable.

ITEM 15.

CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Company's chief executive officer and principal financial officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2005 pursuant to Exchange Rule 13a-15(e) or Rule 15d-15(e). Based upon that evaluation, the Company's chief executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the fiscal year covered by this Annual Report were effective to provide reasonable assurance that the information required to be disclosed in the reports of the Company files and submits under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms.

Managements Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management of the Company is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting for the Company as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934. The Company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed under the supervision of the Company's CEO and CFO, and effected by the Company's Board of Directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of the financial statements for external purposes in accordance with Canadian generally accepted accounting principles.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements.

Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or that the degree of compliance with policies and procedures may deteriorate.

Management has assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005. In making this assessment, management used the criteria described in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Based on Management's assessment in accordance with the Internal Control - Integrated Framework, management has concluded that internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2005.

Changes in Internal Controls over Financial Reporting

There was no change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

It should be noted that while management of the Company believes that its disclosure controls and procedures provide a reasonable level of assurance, management does not expect that its disclosure controls and procedures or internal financial controls will prevent all errors or fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived or operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met.

There were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 16.

RESERVED

ITEM 16A.

AUDIT COMMITTEE FINANCIAL EXPERT

The Company at the time of this report does not have an audit committee financial expert as defined by the rules of the SEC. The Company will attempt to attract a person that qualifies as an expert in the current fiscal year. The audit committee members through their collected experience do meet a majority of the definitions of the SEC for an audit committee financial expert in both the private and public sectors. Both members have served as directors and officers of numerous public companies and have over the years developed a strong knowledge and understanding of generally accepted accounting principals, overseeing the preparation, audit and evaluation of financial statements and run private enterprises as individual owners.

ITEM 16B.

CODE OF ETHICS

The Company has adopted a code of ethics applicable to its directors, principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting procedures and persons performing similar functions. A copy of the Company's Code of Ethics will be made available to any person who requests it in writing to the Company's head office or through the Company's website at www.anglo-swiss.com.

ITEM 16C.

PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

Edgar Filing: ANGLO SWISS RESOURCES INC - Form 20-F/A

In respect of work undertaken for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005, the Company paid or accrued the following amounts of fees to PricewaterhouseCoopers, LLP., the Company's principal accountant, for the following category of services:

Type of Fees

Amount Paid or Incurred

Percentage of Services

Audit Fees

\$25,000

100%

Audit Related Fees

-

-

Tax Fees

-

-

All other Fees

-

-

Total fees

\$25,000

100%

In respect of work undertaken for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004, the Company paid or accrued the following amounts of fees to PricewaterhouseCoopers, LLP., the Company's principal accountant, for the following category of services:

Type of Fees

Amount Paid or Incurred

Percentage of Services

Audit Fees

\$17,400

100%

Audit Related Fees

-

-

Tax Fees

-

-

All other Fees

-

-

Total fees

\$17,400

100%

For the purposes of this Item 16C, the following terms have the following meanings:

Audit fees - Fees billed for the audit of the Company's annual financial statements and for services normally provided by the accountant in connection with statutory and regulatory filings in each fiscal year.

Audit-related fees - Fees billed for assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of the Company's financial statements in each fiscal year reported on and that are not reported as audit fees.

Tax fees - Fees billed for tax compliance, tax advice, and tax planning services in each fiscal year reported on.

All other fees - Fees billed for products and services provided by the principal accountant other than services reported under the three categories above in each fiscal year reported on.

As required by Rule 2-01(c)(7)(i) of Regulation S-X, before the accountant was engaged by the Company to render audit or non-audit services, the Company's audit committee approved the engagement.

ITEM 16D.

EXEMPTIONS FROM THE LISTING STANDARDS FOR AUDIT COMMITTEES

The information referred to in this Item is not required for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005, which is the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 20F.

ITEM 16E.

PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES BY THE ISSUER AND AFFILIATED PURCHASERS

The information referred to in this Item is not required for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005, which is the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 20F.

ITEM 17.

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

See the Financial Statements and Exhibits listed in Item 19 hereof and filed as part of this Annual Report.

These financial statements were prepared in accordance with Canadian GAAP and are expressed in Canadian dollars. Such financial statements have been reconciled to U.S. GAAP (see Note 12 therein). For a history of exchange rates in effect for Canadian dollars as against U.S. dollars, see page 11 of this Annual Report.

ITEM 18.

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Not applicable.

ITEM 19.**EXHIBITS**

(a)

Financial Statements

<u>Description of Document</u>	<u>Page Number</u>
Cover Sheet	F1
Management's Responsibility for Financial Reporting	F2
Auditors' Report dated April 13, 2006	F3
Balance Sheets as at December 31, 2005 and 2004	F4
Statements of Loss and Deficit for the Fiscal Years Ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003	F5
Statements of Cash Flows for the Fiscal Years Ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003	F6
Notes to the Financial Statements	F7

(b)

Exhibits

	<u>Page Number</u>
Exhibit 99.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C.99.1 Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sorbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	E99.1
Exhibit 99.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C.99.2 Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sorbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	E99.2

<u>Exhibit No.</u>	<u>Description of Document</u>	<u>Page Number</u>
4.1.(1)	Blu Starr Joint Participation Agreement	N/A
4.2(1)	Catamayo Joint Participation Agreement	N/A
5.2	Purchase Agreement Till Claims 1-7, NWT	E1
5.3	New Shoshoni Option & Joint Venture Agreement, NWT	E7
5.4	Purchase Agreement PQ Claim 1-25, NWT	E17
5.5	Purchase Agreement MS Claims, NWT	E26

- 5.6(2) 2005 Stock Option Plan N/A
- (1) **The document(s) are contained in the Company's Form 20-F filing for the year ended December 31, 1999 and are hereby incorporated by reference in their entirety.**
 - (2) **The document(s) has been previously filed under a Form 6K dated January 18, 2006 #06534889, and are hereby incorporated by reference in their entirety.**
-

SIGNATURES

The Company hereby certifies that it meets all of the requirements for filing on Form 20-F and has duly caused and authorized the undersigned to sign this Annual Report on its behalf.

Dated at Vancouver, British Columbia, this 24th. day of May 2006.

ANGLO SWISS RESOURCES INC.

Len Danard

Per:

(signed) Len Danard

Title:

President

FORM 52-109F1

CERTIFICATION OF ANNUAL FILINGS

I, Leonard Danard, President and Chief Executive Officer of Anglo Swiss Resources Inc. certify that:

1.

I have reviewed the annual filings (as this term is defined in Multilateral Instrument 52-109 Certification of Disclosure in Issuer's Annual and Interim Filings) of Anglo Swiss Resources Inc., (the Issuer) for the period ended December 31, 2005;

2.

Based on my knowledge, the annual filings do not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated or that is necessary to make a statement not misleading in light of the circumstances under which it was made, with respect to the period covered by the annual filings; and

3.

Based on my knowledge, the annual financial statements together with the other financial information included in the annual filings fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the issuer, as of the date and for the period(s) represented in the annual filings; and

4.

The Issuer's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures for the Issuer, and we have:

a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused them to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance that material information relating to the issuer, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which the annual filings are being prepared; and

b) designed such control over financial reporting, or caused it to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for

external purposes in accordance with the Issuer's GAAP; and

c) evaluated the effectiveness of the Issuer's disclosure controls and procedures as at the end of the period covered by the annual filings and have caused the Issuer to disclose in the annual MD&A our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by the annual filings based on such evaluation.

5.

I have caused the Issuer to now disclose in the MD&A any change, in the Issuer's internal control over financial reporting that occurred, during the Issuer's most recent interim period that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Issuer's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: January 16, 2007

Signed: *Len Danard*

Leonard Danard, President & CEO

FORM 52-109F1

CERTIFICATION OF ANNUAL FILINGS

I, Christopher Robbins, Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Anglo Swiss Resources Inc. certify that:

1.

I have reviewed the annual filings (as this term is defined in Multilateral Instrument 52-109 Certification of Disclosure in Issuer's Annual and Interim Filings) of Anglo Swiss Resources Inc., (the Issuer) for the period ended December 31, 2005;

2.

Based on my knowledge, the annual filings do not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated or that is necessary to make a statement not misleading in light of the circumstances under which it was made, with respect to the period covered by the annual filings; and

3.

Based on my knowledge, the annual financial statements together with the other financial information included in the annual filings fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the issuer, as of the date and for the period(s) represented in the annual filings; and

4.

The Issuer's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures for the Issuer, and we have:

a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused them to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance that material information relating to the issuer, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which the annual filings are being prepared; and

b) designed such control over financial reporting, or caused it to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with the Issuer's GAAP; and

c) evaluated the effectiveness of the Issuer's disclosure controls and procedures as at the end of the period covered by the annual filings and have caused the Issuer to disclose in the annual MD&A our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by the annual filings based on such evaluation.

5.

I have caused the Issuer to now disclose in the MD&A any change, in the Issuer's internal control over financial reporting that occurred, during the Issuer's most recent interim period that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Issuer's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: January 16, 2007

Signed: Chris Robbins

Christopher Robbins, Vice President & CFO

Anglo Swiss Resources Inc.

(an exploration stage company)

Financial Statements

December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003

(expressed in Canadian dollars)

F1

April 13, 2006

Management's Responsibility for Financial Reporting

The accompanying financial statements of the company have been prepared by management in accordance with Canadian generally accepted accounting principles and reconciled to United States generally accepted accounting principles and contain estimates based on management's judgement. Management considers that they maintain an appropriate system of internal controls to provide reasonable assurance that transactions are authorized, assets safeguarded, and proper records maintained.

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors has met with the company's independent auditors to review the scope and results of the annual audit and to review the financial statements and related financial reporting matters prior to submitting the financial statements to the Board for approval.

The company's independent auditors, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, are appointed by the shareholders to conduct an audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in Canada and the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) and their report follows.

Len Danard

Chris Robbins

President and Chief Executive Officer

Vice-President

F2

Independent Auditors Report

To the Shareholders of

Anglo Swiss Resources Inc.

We have audited the balance sheets of **Anglo Swiss Resources Inc.** (an exploration stage company) as at December 31, 2005 and 2004 and the statements of loss and deficit and cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003. These financial statements are the responsibility of the company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards in Canada and the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform an audit to obtain reasonable assurance whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation.

In our opinion, these financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the company as at December 31, 2005 and 2004 and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003 in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in Canada.

(signed) PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Chartered Accountants

Vancouver, B.C., Canada

April 13, 2006

Comments by the Auditors for U.S. Readers on Canada-U.S. Reporting Difference

In the United States, reporting standards for auditors require the addition of an explanatory paragraph (following the opinion paragraph) when the financial statements are affected by conditions and events that cast substantial doubt on the company's ability to continue as a going concern, such as those described in note 1 to the financial statements, and when there is a change in accounting principles that has a material effect on the comparability of the company's financial statements, such as the change described in note 3. Our report to the shareholders dated April 13, 2006 is expressed in accordance with Canadian reporting standards which do not permit a reference to such events and conditions in the auditors' report when these are adequately disclosed in the financial statements.

(signed) PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Chartered Accountants

Vancouver, B.C., Canada

April 13, 2006

F3

Anglo Swiss Resources Inc.
(an exploration stage company)

Balance Sheets
As at December 31, 2005 and 2004

(expressed in Canadian dollars)

	2005	2004
	\$	\$
Assets		
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	603,639	167,735
Accounts receivable	16,758	4,446
Prepaid expenses	1,247	2,299
	621,644	174,480
Reclamation bond (note 4)	18,800	18,800
Property, plant and equipment (note 5)	1,000,130	1,005,164
Mineral properties (note 6)	3,813,003	2,761,320
	5,453,577	3,959,764
Liabilities		
Current liabilities		
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	468,278	314,693
Shareholders' Equity		
Capital stock (note 7)		
Authorized		
500,000,000 common shares without par value		
400,000,000 preferred shares without par value		

Issued		
67,402,688 (2004 - 50,005,688) common shares	13,216,707	11,545,745
Options (note 8)	373,882	275,847
Warrants (note 8)	53,709	196,840
Contributed surplus (note 8)	303,156	106,316
Deficit	(8,962,155)	(8,479,677)
	4,985,299	3,645,071
	5,453,577	3,959,764

Going concern and nature of operations (note 1)

Approved by the Board of Directors:

Leroy Wolbaum, Director

Glen Macdonald, Director

Anglo Swiss Resources Inc.
(an exploration stage company)

Statements of Loss and Deficit
As at December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003

(expressed in Canadian dollars)

	2005	2004	2003
	\$	\$	\$
Expenses			
Interest and other income	(874)	(1,005)	(888)
Administrative	34,836	47,333	30,125
Consulting fees (note 10(b))	60,000	60,000	60,000
Depreciation	5,034	8,699	2,356
Filing fees	28,571	30,079	9,632
General exploration	8,753	7,366	6,740
Interest	2,420	237	1,590
Professional fees	69,416	21,688	46,261
Shareholders information	40,113	80,972	27,204
Stock-based compensation (note 8)	177,026	147,307	47,946
Transfer agent fees	8,079	7,286	6,190
Travel and promotion	10,945	17,161	7,386
Write-down of mineral properties	38,159	-	25,000
Loss for the year	482,478	427,123	269,542
Deficit - Beginning of year			
As previously reported	8,479,677	7,971,960	7,702,418
Stock-based compensation (note 3)	-	80,594	-
As restated	8,479,677	8,052,554	7,702,418
Deficit - End of year	8,962,155	8,479,677	7,971,960
Weighted average number of shares outstanding			
	53,944,165	48,771,002	44,825,688

Basic and diluted loss per share	0.01	0.01	0.01
---	------	------	------

F5

Anglo Swiss Resources Inc.
(an exploration stage company)

Statements of Loss and Deficit
As at December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003

(expressed in Canadian dollars)

	2005	2004	2003
	\$	\$	\$
Cash flows used in operating activities			
Loss for the year	(482,478)	(427,123)	(269,542)
Items not affecting cash			
Depreciation	5,034	8,699	2,356
Write-down of mineral properties	38,159	-	25,000
Stock-based compensation	177,026	147,307	47,946
Changes in non-cash working capital			
Accounts receivable	(12,312)	774	(726)
Prepaid expenses	1,053	(1,624)	(461)
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	83,502	(122,035)	165,671
	(190,016)	(394,002)	(29,756)
Cash flows from financing activities			
Proceeds from issuance of private placement			
and options	894,700	518,000	-
Share issue cost	(89,771)	-	-
	804,929	518,000	-
Cash flows used in investing activities			
Reclamation bond	-	(4,000)	-
Mineral property (cost) recoveries	(179,009)	25,572	41,015
	(179,009)	21,572	41,015

Increase in cash and cash equivalents	435,904	145,570	11,259
Cash and cash equivalents - Beginning of year	167,735	22,165	10,906
Cash and cash equivalents - End of year	603,639	167,735	22,165
Supplemental disclosure of non cash			
Investing and financing activities			
Shares issued for mineral properties	840,750	-	-
Shares issued for agent issue costs	10,000	-	-

F6

Anglo Swiss Resources Inc.

(an exploration stage company)

Statements of Cash Flows

For the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003

1. Going concern and nature of operations

At December 31, 2005, the company has working capital balance of \$153,366. As is typical for an exploration stage company, the company has experienced losses in the current and prior periods and there is an accumulated deficit of \$8,962,155. The company expects to continue to incur losses and is dependent on equity financing to be able to meet its obligations as they fall due. Accordingly, there is substantial doubt about the ability of the company to continue as a going concern.

The company will require additional financing to further the exploration of its mineral properties. Management plans to continue to raise equity funding and work with joint venture partners to further advance its projects. While the company has been successful in raising funds in the past, there can be no assurance that it will be able to do so in the future.

The company is in the process of exploring its diamond, gold and gemstone properties in Canada, and will attempt to bring the properties to production, structure joint ventures with others, option or lease properties to third parties, or sell the properties outright. The company has not determined whether these properties contain ore reserves that are economically recoverable and is considered to be in the exploration stage.

The recoverability of the amounts shown for mineral properties and related deferred costs is dependent upon the existence of economically recoverable reserves, maintaining title to the properties, the ability of the company to obtain the necessary financing to complete the exploration and ultimate development, and upon future profitable production or proceeds from the disposition of the properties.

These financial statements have been prepared on a going concern basis, which assumes the company will be able to realize assets and discharge liabilities in the normal course of business for the foreseeable future. These financial statements do not include the adjustments that would be necessary should the company be unable to continue as a going concern, which could be material.

2.

Significant accounting policies

Generally accepted accounting principles

These financial statements have been prepared in accordance with Canadian generally accepted accounting principles (Canadian GAAP). The significant measurement differences between these principles and those that would be applied under United States generally accepted accounting principles (U.S. GAAP), as they affect the company, are disclosed

in note 12.

F7

Use of estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amount of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amount of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those reported. Such estimates and assumptions affect the carrying value of assets, future income tax, the valuation of warrants and options and impact decisions as to when exploration and development costs should be capitalized or expensed. The company regularly reviews its estimates and assumptions; however, actual results could differ from these estimates and these differences could be material.

Cash and cash equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents include cash on hand, balances held with banks and highly liquid deposits with an initial maturity of less than 90 days.

Property, plant and equipment

Property, plant and equipment are recorded at cost and are depreciated over their estimated useful lives of 3 to 10 years using the declining balance method, net of estimated salvage values. These assets will be written down to the fair value if the carrying value exceeds the estimated net recoverable amount. Mining assets are depreciated on a unit of production basis and while held in care and maintenance are not depreciated.

Mineral properties

Acquisition costs of resource properties together with direct exploration expenditures thereon are deferred until the property to which they relate is placed into production, sold or abandoned or becomes impaired. Option or other payments received in respect of property interests are applied to reduce the carrying value of the properties. The carrying values of mineral properties are, where necessary, written down to the estimated fair value based on discounted estimated future net cash flows.

The company reviews the carrying values of its resource properties whenever events or circumstances indicate that there may be a potential impairment. Where estimates of future cash flows are not available and where exploration results or other information suggest impairment has occurred, management assesses whether the carrying value can be recovered, and if not, an appropriate write-down is recorded.

Although the company has taken steps to verify title to mineral properties in which it has an interest, according to the usual industry standards for the stage of exploration of such properties, these procedures do not guarantee the company's title. Such properties may be subject to prior agreements or transfers and title may be affected by undetected defects in title registration.

Loss per share

Loss per share is calculated based on the weighted average number of common shares issued and outstanding during the year. The effect of potential issuances of shares under options and warrants would be anti-dilutive, and therefore basic and diluted loss per share are the same.

Stock-based compensation

The company follows the provisions of CICA Handbook Section 3870 on Stock-based Compensation and Other Stock-based Payments, which requires the fair value based method to be used for all stock-based awards. As a result, the company expenses stock option benefits issued to employees and directors based on their vesting provisions.

Income taxes

Income taxes are calculated using the liability method. Temporary differences arising from the difference between the tax basis of an asset or liability and its carrying amount on the balance sheet are used to calculate future income tax liabilities or assets. Future income tax liabilities or assets are calculated using tax rates anticipated to apply in the periods that the temporary differences are expected to reverse.

Variable Interest Entities (VIE)

The company adopted the new accounting guidelines requiring the consolidation of VIEs by a primary beneficiary. The adoption of the new accounting guidelines relating to VIEs do not have a material effect on the company.

3. Change in accounting policy

Stock-based Compensation and Other Stock-based Payments

Effective January 1, 2004, the company adopted the provisions of CICA Handbook Section 3870 on Stock-based Compensation and Other Stock-based Payments, which requires companies to adopt the fair value based method for all stock-based awards granted on or after January 1, 2002.

The company applied the provisions retroactively without restatement which resulted in a charge to the deficit and a corresponding increase to options in the amount of \$80,594 on January 1, 2004 with respect to employee stock options granted in 2002 (note 8). No employee or director stock options were granted in 2003.

4. Reclamation bond

The company has on deposit reclamation bonds totalling \$18,800 to satisfy certain performance obligations associated with the exploration of the Kenville and Blu Starr properties, respectively. These investments are recorded at cost and earn interest at market rates.

5. Property, plant and equipment

	2005		
	Cost	Accumulated depreciation	Net
	\$	\$	\$
Mine plant and equipment	1,090,003	105,559	984,444
Office equipment	29,005	24,963	4,042
Motor vehicles	19,564	7,920	11,644
	1,138,572	138,442	1,000,130
	2004		
	Cost	Accumulated depreciation	Net
	\$	\$	\$
Mine plant and equipment	1,090,003	105,559	984,444
Office equipment	29,005	24,062	4,943
Motor vehicles	19,564	3,787	15,777
	1,138,572	133,408	1,005,164

Mine plant and equipment were not depreciated in 2005, 2004, 2003 as the equipment was not used.

F10

6. Mineral properties

	Acquisition costs	Exploration expenditures	Total
	\$	\$	\$
Kenville (a)			
Balance - December 31, 2003	1,507,297	3,891	1,511,188
Acquisition cost recoveries	(91,561)	-	(91,561)
Balance - December 31, 2004	1,415,736	3,891	1,419,627
Option payment received	(35,000)	-	(35,000)
Expenditures	-	55,394	55,394
Balance - December 31, 2005	1,380,736	59,285	1,440,021
Blu Starr (b)			
Balance - December 31, 2003	812,306	484,660	1,296,966
Expenditures	-	31,901	31,901
Balance - December 31, 2004	812,306	516,561	1,328,867
Expenditures	-	27,622	27,622
Balance - December 31, 2005	812,306	544,183	1,356,489
McAllister (c)			
Balance - December 31, 2003	-	-	-
Expenditures	-	12,826	12,826
Balance - December 31, 2004	-	12,826	12,826
Acquisition costs	12,250	-	12,250
Expenditures	-	15,657	15,657
Write-down	(12,250)	(25,909)	(38,159)
Balance - December 31, 2005	-	2,574	2,574

Till Claims (d)

Balance - December 31, 2004	-	-	-
Acquisition costs	114,500	-	114,500
Balance - December 31, 2005	114,500	-	114,500

New Shoshoni Claims (e)

Balance - December 31, 2004	-	-	-
Acquisition costs	69,000	-	69,000
Expenditures	-	2,350	2,350
Balance - December 31, 2005	69,000	2,350	71,350

PQ Claims (f)

Balance - December 31, 2004	-	-	-
Acquisition costs	525,000	-	525,000
Balance - December 31, 2005	525,000	-	525,000

Lac de Gras Claims (g)

Balance - December 31, 2004	-	-	-
Acquisition costs	270,000	-	270,000
Expenditures	-	33,069	33,069
Balance - December 31, 2005	270,000	33,069	303,069

Total mineral properties - December 31, 2004	2,228,042	533,278	2,761,320
---	------------------	----------------	------------------

Total mineral properties - December 31, 2005	3,171,542	641,461	3,813,003
---	------------------	----------------	------------------

a)

Kenville, Canada

The Kenville mineral property together with certain plant and equipment (note 5) is located near Nelson, British Columbia and operated as a gold mine from June to December 1992 whereupon operations were terminated due to insufficient working capital and the mine was placed on a care and maintenance basis. During the year ended May 31, 1997, the company wrote down the plant and equipment to the present carrying value. The company is planning to use the plant and equipment for the processing of high grade ore from Kenville.

On August 29, 2003, the company entered into an option agreement upon the optionee making a payment of \$30,000. The joint venture partner may earn a 70% interest to the mineral rights on the property by paying the company \$100,000 and incurring \$700,000 of expenditures over a three-year exploration period ending August 29, 2005. Under direction by a court order, the option agreement was extended one year to terminate on August 29, 2006 unless the optionee pays the company an additional \$35,000 on each anniversary date. The optionee has met both payment dates and paid the Company the initial \$100,000. The optionee has until August 29, 2006 to incur the \$700,000 in expenditures or the agreement is terminated. During 2003, the company recorded \$91,561 in net acquisition cost recoveries for revenue received from logging activities on the property and optionee payments.

b)

Blu Starr, Canada

The company owns a number of gemstone mineral and placer claims collectively known as the Blu Starr property, located near Nelson and Slocan, British Columbia. In relation to 174 claims, the prior vendors retained a 1.5% net smelter return on any future non-gemstone production. In relation to another 14 claims, the vendors retained a 3.5% net royalty on gemstone production and a 2% net smelter return on any future metal production.

The Blu Starr property was subject to a Joint Participation Agreement with Hampton Court Resources (Hampton), entered into in April 2000, which expired in April 2003. Hampton has earned a 10% working interest in the staked mineral tenures of this property to date. The company owns 100% of the placer mineral claims.

c)

McAllister Group, Canada

The company staked a number of mineral tenures totalling 31,000 hectares nearby Nelson, B.C. for diamond exploration during 2004 and 2005. In February 2005, the company entered into an option agreement to acquire a portion of mineral tenures within the 31,000 hectares. The company paid an initial amount of \$5,000 and issued 50,000 common shares valued at \$7,250 for the first year term of the option purchase agreement. The company did not renew the second year option term for this agreement. In January 2006, the company reduced its number of mineral tenures within the McAllister Group down to 1,959 hectares from the 31,000 hectares initially held. This reduction resulted in a write-down of \$38,159 to the value of this property.

d)

Till Claims, Canada

The company acquired a 100% interest in a number of mineral tenures totalling 6,730 hectares for diamond exploration during 2005. The mineral tenures are collectively known as the Till Claims and are located on the Fishing Lake area in the Northwest Territories. The company paid \$20,000 and issued 900,000 common shares valued at \$94,500. The vendors retained a 2% gross overriding royalty (GORR) based on the average appraised value of all gem and industrial diamonds recovered and graded from the property. The company may purchase 1% of the GORR by paying 1,000,000. The vendors are eligible to receive kimberlite bonus payments of 150,000 shares for each individual kimberlite discovered by the company on the property.

e)

New Shoshoni Claims, Canada

During 2005, the company entered into an option and joint venture agreement with New Shoshoni Ventures Ltd. to acquire an undivided interest of 50%, plus a further option to earn an additional 10% interest in a number of mineral tenures totalling 23,587 hectares for diamond exploration. The claims are collectively known as the New Shoshoni Claims and are located near the Fry Inlet Lake in the Northwest Territories. The company paid a cash instalment of \$25,000 and issued 400,000 common shares valued at \$44,000. The agreement requires that the company pay an additional purchase price of \$105,000 through annual payments over a three year period commencing May 25, 2006. The company must also incur \$3,000,000 in exploration expenditures by February 2009. The vendors retained a 3% GORR based on the average appraised value of all gem and industrial diamonds recovered and graded from the property. The company may purchase 1% of the GORR by paying 2,500,000. The vendors are eligible to receive kimberlite bonus payments of 250,000 shares upon the discovery of the first new kimberlite and 100,000 shares on the discovery of each successive kimberlite body to a cumulative total of 1,000,000 shares.

f)

PQ Claims, Canada

The company acquired a 100% interest a number of mineral tenures totalling 13,586 hectares for diamond exploration during 2005. The claims are collectively known as the PQ Claims and are located on the Fry Inlet area in the Northwest Territories. The company paid a total of \$50,000 and issued 5,000,000 common shares valued at \$475,000. The vendors retained a 2% GORR based on the average appraised value of all gem and industrial diamonds recovered and graded from the property in that calendar quarter. The company may purchase 1% of the GORR by paying 1,000,000. The vendors are eligible to receive kimberlite bonus payments of 250,000 shares to a cumulative total of 1,000,000 shares for each kimberlite body discovered by the company on the property.

G)

Lac de Gras Claims, Canada

The company acquired a 100% interest in a number of mineral tenures totalling 21,229 hectares for diamond exploration during 2005. The claims are collectively known as the Lac de Gras Claims and are located on the Falcon Bay Diamond Property in the Northwest Territories. The company paid a total of \$50,000 and issued 2,000,000

common shares valued at \$220,000. The vendors retained a 2% GORR based on the average appraised value of all gem and industrial diamonds recovered and graded from the property in that calendar quarter. The company may purchase 1% of the GORR by paying 1,000,000. The vendors are eligible to receive kimberlite bonus payments of 250,000 shares upon the discovery of the first new kimberlite and 100,000 shares on the discovery of each successive kimberlite body to a cumulative total of 1,000,000 shares.

F13

7.

Capital stock

	Common shares	Amount \$
Balance - December 31, 2003	44,825,688	11,224,585
Capital stock issued		
Shares	5,180,000	518,000
Warrants extension adjustment	-	(196,840)
Balance - December 31, 2004	50,005,688	11,545,745
Capital stock issued		
Shares - issued for cash	7,347,000	734,700
- issued for property	8,350,000	840,750
- issued for agent issue costs	100,000	10,000
Options exercised	1,600,000	160,000
Contributed surplus, options exercised		129,051
Warrants		(47,979)
Issue costs - cash payment		(89,771)
- fair value of agent issue cost shares		(10,000)
- fair value of broker options (note 8)		(50,059)
- fair value of broker warrants		(5,730)
Balance - December 31, 2005	67,402,688	13,216,707

On March, 29, 2004, the company closed a private placement for 5,180,000 units for gross proceeds of \$518,000. Each unit comprises one common share and one share purchase warrant (note 8), entitling the holder to purchase an additional common share for \$0.15 for an one-year period expiring on March 29, 2005. The company subsequently extended the expiration date of the 5,180,000 warrants to September 29, 2005. The warrant extension was deemed to have a fair value of \$196,840 which was adjusted against capital stock. The warrants expired unexercised.

On December 29, 2005, the company closed a flow-through private placement for 1,224,500 units at \$0.60 per unit for gross proceeds of \$734,700. Each unit comprises one non flow-through common share (1,224,500 shares), five

flow-through common shares (6,122,500 shares) and one share purchase warrant (1,224,500 warrants) entitling the holder to purchase an additional common share for \$0.22 for a two-year period expiring on December 29, 2007. The warrants are deemed to have a fair value of \$47,979 which was adjusted against capital stock. 100,000 common shares were issued as part of this private placement at a fair value of \$10,000. Total issue costs were \$155,560.

During 2005, 8,350,000 common shares were issued for a total of \$840,750 to purchase mineral claims for diamond exploration in the Northwest Territories. Directors exercised 1,600,000 options in 2005 for gross proceeds of \$160,000.

F14

8.

Contributed Surplus, Options and Warrants

	Options	Warrants	Contributed Surplus
	\$	\$	\$
Balance - December 31, 2003	47,946	-	106,316
Stock based compensation	147,307	-	-
Stock based compensation retroactive adoption	80,594	-	-
Fair value of warrants issued on private placement	-	196,840	-
Balance - December 31, 2004	275,847	196,840	106,316
Stock based compensation	177,026	-	-
Exercise of options	(129,050)	-	-
Fair value of agents options	50,059	-	-
Fair value of agents warrants	-	5,730	-
Fair value of warrants issued on private placement	-	47,979	-
Warrants expired	-	(196,840)	196,840
Balance - December 31, 2005	373,882	53,709	303,156

Options

On December 29, 2005, the company closed a flow-through private placement for 1,224,500 units at \$0.60 per unit for gross proceeds of \$734,700. Each unit comprises one non flow-through common share (1,224,500 shares), five flow-through common shares (6,122,500 shares) and one share purchase warrant entitling the holder to purchase an additional common share for \$0.22 for a two-year period expiring on December 29, 2007. As part of their compensation, the broker was granted an option to acquire 146,940 units of this issue at \$0.60 per unit. Each unit comprises six common shares (881,540 shares) and one share purchase warrant (146,940) entitling the holder to purchase an additional common share for \$0.22 for a two-year period expiring on December 29, 2007. The option was valued at \$50,059 for the shares and \$5,730 for the warrants. The valuation is based upon the Black-Scholes model assuming an average risk-free rate of 2.95%, expected life of 2 years and expected volatility of 108%.

The company has a fixed stock option plan. Under the terms of the plan, the company may grant options to eligible directors, employees and consultants of the company, up to a maximum of 11,000,000 common shares (7,000,000

common shares (2004). Options may be issued under the stock option plan at the sole discretion of the company's board of directors. Options may be issued for a term of up to five years, with vesting provisions and the exercise price to be determined by the company's board of directors, provided that the exercise price is no less than either the average high and low price of the company's common stock traded on the TSX Venture Exchange for 10 days prior to the grant or the closing price of the company's common shares on the day the options are granted.

F15

During the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, the change in stock options outstanding is as follows:

	2005		2004	
	Number	Weighted average exercise price	Number	Weighted average exercise price
	of shares	\$	of shares	\$
Options outstanding - Beginning of year	7,000,000	0.13	5,000,000	0.19
Granted	6,750,000	0.11	3,200,000	0.10
Exercised	(1,600,000)	0.10	-	-
Cancelled	-	-	(575,000)	0.21
Expired	(1,675,000)	0.21	(625,000)	0.66
Options outstanding - End of year	10,475,000	0.11	7,000,000	0.13
Options exercisable - End of year	3,475,000	0.10	5,125,000	0.14

At December 31, 2005, the following stock options are outstanding and exercisable:

Exercise price	Options outstanding	Options exercisable	Expiry date	Remaining contractual life, outstanding options (years)	Remaining contractual life, exercisable options (years)
\$					

Edgar Filing: ANGLO SWISS RESOURCES INC - Form 20-F/A

\$0.10	200,000	200,000	January 23, 2007	1.07	1.07
\$0.10	1,125,000	1,125,000	February 17, 2008	2.13	2.13
\$0.10	1,900,000	1,900,000	February 12, 2009	3.12	3.12
\$0.10	500,000	250,000	September 28, 2009	3.74	3.74
\$0.11	6,750,000	-	November 17, 2010	4.88	-
	10,475,000	3,475,000			

During the year ended December 31, 2005, the company granted 6,750,000 stock options to employees, directors and non-employees and the related compensation cost of \$36,884 has been recorded in the statement of loss and deficit. \$140,142 of the \$177,026 recorded for stock compensation in the statement of loss and deficit relates to options granted before 2005 which vested during 2005. The estimated fair value of options granted that will vest subsequent to December 31, 2005 is \$570,928.

F16

The fair value of stock options was estimated at the grant date based on the Black-Scholes option pricing model, using the following assumptions:

	2005	2004	2003
Expected dividend yield	Nil	nil	Nil
Average risk-free interest rate	4.00%	3.54%	4.27%
Expected life	5 years	3 years	5 years
Expected volatility	108%	108%	106% to 109%

On January 6, 2006, the company cancelled 1,000,000 stock options previously granted to employees, directors and non employees.

Option pricing models require the input of highly subjective assumptions including the expected price volatility. Changes in the subjective input assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimate, and therefore, the existing models do not necessarily provide a reliable measure of the fair value of the company's stock options.

Warrants

During the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, the change in warrants outstanding was as follows:

	2005		2004	
	Number of	Exercise	Number of	Exercise
	warrants	price	warrants	price
		\$		\$
At January 1	5,180,000	0.15	-	-
Granted	1,224,500	0.22	5,180,000	0.15
Expired	(5,180,000)	0.15	-	-
At December 31	1,224,500	0.22	5,180,000	0.15

During the year ended December 31, 2005, the company issued 1,224,500 units in connection with a flow-through private placement. Each unit consists of one non flow-through common share of the company, five flow-through common shares and one share purchase warrant. Each warrant entitles the holder to purchase one additional common share at a price of \$0.22 until December 29, 2007. The warrants are estimated to have a fair value of \$47,979 based upon the Black-Scholes model assuming an average risk-free rate of 2.95%, expected life of 2 years and expected volatility of 108%.

Contributed Surplus

During the year ended December 31, 2005, 5,180,000 warrants expired which resulted in a fair value amount of \$196,480 being transferred to contributed surplus.

9.**Income taxes**

The income taxes shown on the statements of loss and deficit differ from the amounts obtained by applying statutory rates due to the following:

	2005	2004	2003
Statutory tax rate	34.86%	35.62%	37.62%
	\$	\$	\$
Loss for the year	(482,478)	(427,123)	(269,542)
Provision for income taxes based on statutory rates	168,192	152,141	101,402
Permanent differences	(68,797)	(35,356)	-
Tax benefits not recognized	(99,395)	(116,785)	(101,402)
	-	-	-

Future income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. Significant components of the company's future tax assets as of December 31 are as follows:

	2005	2004
	\$	\$
Capital and non-capital loss carry-forwards	571,646	471,613
Property, plant and equipment, mineral properties and other	305,693	252,075

Total future tax assets	877,339	723,688
Valuation allowance	(877,339)	(723,688)
Net future tax assets	-	-

The company estimates that it has approximately \$1.7 million in non-capital losses, expiring between 2006 and 2015, to reduce future taxable income in Canada. The realization of income tax benefits related to these losses is uncertain and cannot be viewed as more likely than not. Accordingly, no future income tax asset has been recognized for accounting purposes.

The company completed a private placement of shares for gross proceeds of \$734,700 on December 29, 2005 and will be required to incur \$612,500 in eligible flow-through expenditures during fiscal year 2006.

10.

Related party transactions

Included in accounts payable and accrued liabilities is \$153,156 (2004 - \$136,824) due to directors and organizations controlled by directors, and \$139,954 (2004 - \$67,115) due to a law firm in which an officer of the company is a partner, of which \$68,770 relates to 2005.

The company incurred consulting fees of \$60,000 (2004 - \$60,000; 2003 - \$60,000) for management services provided by directors and officers or organizations controlled by such parties.

These transactions were in the normal course of operations and were measured at the exchange amount, which is the amount of consideration established and agreed to by the related parties.

11.

Financial instruments

At December 31, 2005, the recorded amounts for cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, and accounts payable and accrued liabilities approximate their fair values due to the short-term nature of these instruments.

12.

Material differences between Canadian and United States generally accepted accounting principles

The company prepares its financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in Canada (Canadian GAAP), which differ in certain respects from those principles that the company would have followed had its financial statements been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (U.S. GAAP).

The significant measurement differences between Canadian and U.S. GAAP are described below, and their effect on the financial statements is summarized as follows:

	2005	2004	2003
	\$	\$	\$
Statements of loss			
Loss for the year under Canadian GAAP	482,478	427,123	269,542
Mineral property expenditures for the year (a)	1,051,683	(46,834)	(66,015)
Exploration costs written off during the year (a)	-	-	(25,000)
Loss for the year under U.S. GAAP	1,534,161	380,289	178,527
Loss per share under U.S. GAAP	0.03	0.01	0.00
Mineral properties			
Balance per Canadian GAAP	3,813,003	2,761,320	2,808,154
Cumulative mineral property expenditures (a)	(3,813,003)	(2,761,320)	(2,808,154)
Balance under U.S. GAAP	-	-	-
Stock options and warrants			
Under Canadian GAAP	427,591	472,687	47,946
Stock-based compensation (b)	(80,594)	(80,594)	-
Balance under U.S. GAAP	346,997	392,093	47,946
Deficit			
Under Canadian GAAP	(8,962,155)	(8,479,677)	(7,971,960)
Stock-based compensation (b)	80,594	80,594	-
Cumulative mineral property expenditures (a)	(3,813,003)	(2,761,320)	(2,808,154)
Deficit - under U.S. GAAP	(12,694,564)	(11,160,403)	(10,780,114)
Cash flows			
Cash flows from operating activities			
Per Canadian GAAP	(190,016)	(394,002)	(29,756)
Exploration expenditures (a)	(179,009)	25,572	41,015

Per U.S. GAAP	(369,025)	(368,430)	11,259
Cash flows from investing activities			
Per Canadian GAAP	(179,009)	21,572	41,015
Exploration expenditures (a)	179,009	(25,572)	(41,015)
Per U.S. GAAP	-	(4,000)	-

F20

a)

Mineral property and exploration costs

For U.S. GAAP purposes, the company expenses exploration and acquisition costs incurred relating to unproven mineral properties. When proven and probable reserves are determined for a property, subsequent exploration and development costs of the property are capitalized. The capitalized costs of such properties are assessed periodically to assess whether the carrying value can be recovered on an undiscounted cash flow basis. If the carrying value cannot be recovered on this basis, the mineral properties are written down to fair value on a discounted cash flow basis.

b)

Accounting for stock-based compensation

Effective January 1, 2004 for Canadian GAAP, the company adopted CICA 3870, *Stock-based Compensation and Other Stock-based Payments* which requires an expense to be recognized in the financial statements for all forms of employee stock-based compensation. Adoption of CICA 3870 was applied retroactively, without restatement, as permitted by the standard. For U.S. GAAP purposes, the company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) 148, *Accounting for Stock-based Compensation Transition and Disclosure*. For U.S. GAAP, effective January 1, 2004, the company applied the modified prospective method of adoption included in SFAS 148 which recognizes stock-based employee compensation for 2004 as if the fair value based accounting method in this statement had been used to account for all employee awards granted, modified or settled in fiscal years beginning after December 14, 1994. Since all stock options granted from that date to January 1, 2004 vested immediately, application of the modified prospective method for U.S. GAAP purposes in 2004 did not have any additional impact on the stock-based compensation charge for 2004 under U.S. GAAP.

c)

Flow-through shares

As described in Note 7, the company issued by way of private placements 1,224,500 units at \$0.60 per unit which resulted in gross proceeds of \$734,700. Each unit consists of one non flow-through common share, five flow-through common shares and one warrant. As a result, 1,224,500 non flow-through common shares were issued along with 6,122,500 flow-through common shares totalling 7,347,000 shares. According to SFAS 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*, the proceeds should be allocated between the offering of the shares and the sale of tax benefits when the shares are offered. The allocation is made based on the difference between the quoted price of the shares and the amount the investor pays for the flow-through share. A future income tax liability is recognized for the premium paid by the investors. The company did not receive any premiums to market on this issue.

F21

d)

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Financial Instruments - Recognition and Measurement, Hedges, and Comprehensive Income

In January 2005, the CICA issued three new standards relating to financial instruments. These new Canadian standards are applicable for fiscal years starting on or after October 1, 2006 and can be adopted earlier but only at the beginning of a fiscal year and only if the company has not already issued interim financial statements that cover part of the year.

Section 3855 - Financial Instruments prescribes how a financial asset, financial liability or non-financial derivative is to be recognized on the balance sheet and whether fair value or cost-based measures are used. This section also directs how financial instrument gains and losses are to be presented.

Section 3865 Hedges applies when a company chooses to designate a hedging relationship for accounting purposes. This new Section builds on the existing Accounting Guideline AcG-13 Hedging Relationships and Section 1650 Foreign Currency Translation by specifying how hedge accounting is applied and what disclosures are necessary when it is applied.

Section 1530 Comprehensive Income introduces new rules for the reporting and display of comprehensive income. Comprehensive income is currently reported under US GAAP and is the change in shareholders' equity of an entity during a reporting period from transactions and other events and circumstances from non-owner sources. It includes all changes in equity during a period except those resulting from investments by owners and distributions to owners. These items include holding gains and losses on certain investments and gains and losses on certain derivatives.

Accounting Changes and Error Corrections

FASB issued SFAS 154 Accounting Changes and Error Corrections to replace APB Opinion No. 20 and FASB Statement No. 3. SFAS 154 requires retrospective application of voluntary changes in accounting principles, unless deemed impracticable. Under existing US GAAP, a new principle is not applied to prior periods but instead the cumulative effect of the change is recognized in earnings in the period of the change. SFAS 154 also carries forward without change the guidance for APB No. 20 for reporting the correction of an error in previously issued financial statement and the accounting for changes in estimates. This statement is effective for accounting changes and corrections of errors made in fiscal periods beginning after December 15, 2005.

F22

13.

Subsequent events

NWT Group of Mineral Tenures

On January 19, 2006 the company acquired a 100% interest in four strategically located mineral claims covering approximately 4,180 hectares within the Lac de Gras area in the Northwest Territories. The company paid a total of \$12,500 and issued 1,000,000 common shares valued at \$125,000. The vendors retained a 2% GORR based on the average appraised value of all gem and industrial diamonds recovered and graded from the property in that calendar quarter. The company may purchase 1% of the GORR by paying \$1,000,000. The vendors are eligible to receive kimberlite bonus payments of 200,000 shares to a cumulative total of 2,000,000 shares for each successive kimberlite body discovered by the company on the property.

F23

EXHIBIT 5.2

THIS PURCHASE AGREEMENT dated for reference the day of June, 2005.

BETWEEN: ANGLO SWISS RESOURCES LTD., a company duly incorporated in the Province of British Columbia, having an office at 1904-837 West Hastings Street, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada V6C 3N7

("ASW")

AND: MAX BRADEN

3920 Ragged Ass Lane

Yellowknife, NT, Canada X1A 1Z7

(**Braden**)

AND: LANE DEWAR

P.O. Box 1059

Yellowknife, NT, Canada X1A 2N8

(**Dewar**)

AND: TREVOR TEED

11 Horton Crescent

Yellowknife, NT, Canada X1A 3B8

(**Teed**)

WHEREAS Braden, Dewar and Teed (collectively the "**Vendors**") are the beneficial owners of a 100% interest in and to TILL mineral claims located in the Fish Lake area of the Northwest Territories, and have agreed to grant to ASW the exclusive right to acquire an undivided 100% interest therein on the terms and conditions hereinafter set forth.

NOW THEREFORE THIS AGREEMENT WITNESSES that in consideration of the payment by ASW to the Vendors of the sum of \$10.00 (the receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby expressly acknowledged by the Vendors) and of the mutual covenants and agreements herein contained, the parties agree as follows:

1. DEFINITIONS

1.1 In this Agreement and in the Schedules and the recitals hereto, unless the context otherwise requires, the following expressions will have the following meanings:

Agreement Date means the date at the top of this Agreement.

Exchange means the TSX Venture Exchange.

"Property" means those mineral claims covering, more particularly described in Schedule "A" hereto, together with all prospecting, research, exploration, exploitation, operating and mining permits, licences and leases associated therewith, mineral, surface, water and ancillary or appurtenant rights attached or accruing thereto, and any mining licence or other form of substitute or successor mineral title or interest granted, obtained or issued in connection with or in place of or in substitution for any such Property (including, without limitation, any Property issued to cover any internal gaps or fractions in respect of such ground).

2. PURCHASE

2.1 The Vendors hereby give and grant to ASW the sole and exclusive irrevocable right to Purchase an undivided 100% right, title and interest in and to the Property in accordance with the terms of this Agreement.

2.2 To exercise the Purchase, ASW must:

(a) secure written confirmation from the Exchange, dated not later than July 15, 2005, that the Exchange has accepted this Agreement for filing (the **Acceptance Date**);

(b) pay a total of \$20,000.00 to the Vendors (\$10,000.00 30 days after acceptance of this agreement by the TSX Venture Exchange and \$10,000.00 being due 60 days after acceptance of this agreement by the TSX Venture Exchange) and by issuing 900,000 shares of Anglo Swiss Resources Inc. to the Vendors within 5 business days of acceptance of this agreement by the TSX Venture Exchange.

The cash payments and share issuances are herein collectively referred to as the **Purchase Price** to earn a 100% interest, subject to the GORR, in the Property.

2.3 The Property is subject to a 2% Gross Overriding Royalty (**GORR**) as defined in Schedule B.

2.4 This Agreement is an option agreement only, and all Payments comprising the **Purchase Price** are and shall remain optional to ASW, such that ASW need not pay any of the same, other than the payment due on Acceptance. Upon the failure of ASW to deliver the consideration comprising the **Purchase Price** within the time periods set forth herein, ASW will have a period of 30 days following receipt of notice of such default to rectify the same, otherwise

the Option and this Agreement will automatically terminate without further notice from the Vendors.

2.5 Once ASW has paid the Purchase Price in full, ASW will have exercised its right and have acquired an undivided 100% right, title and interest in and to the Property, subject only to the GORR, and will give notice to the Vendors to that effect. Upon the exercise of the Purchase, the Vendors will take the necessary actions to transfer to ASW a 100% interest in and to the Property in accordance with the provisions of applicable legislation.

2.6 The Vendors shall receive a kimberlite bonus payment of 150,000 shares for each individual kimberlite discovered by ASW, or its assigns, on the TILL property.

3. REPRESENTATIONS AND WARRANTIES

3.1 ASW represents and warrants to the Vendors that:

(a) it is a company duly incorporated, organized and validly subsisting under the laws of its incorporating jurisdiction and is qualified to acquire and dispose of interests in, and to explore, develop and exploit, mining properties in Canada;

(b) it has full power, capacity and authority to carry on its business and to enter into and perform its obligations under this Agreement and any agreement or instrument referred to or contemplated by this Agreement;

(c) all necessary corporate and shareholder approvals have been obtained and are in effect with respect to the transactions contemplated hereby, and no further action on the part of the directors or shareholders is necessary or desirable to make this Agreement valid and binding on a party;

(d) neither the execution and delivery of this Agreement nor any of the agreements referred to herein or contemplated hereby, nor the consummation of the transactions hereby contemplated conflict with, result in the breach of or accelerate the performance required by its constating documents or any agreement to which it is a party;

(e) ASW is a public company whose shares are listed and posted for trading on the Exchange; and ASW is a reporting issuer in British Columbia and Alberta.

3.2 The Vendors hereby represent and warrant to ASW that:

(a) they have the full power, capacity and authority to enter into and perform each of their obligations under this Agreement and any agreement or instrument referred to or contemplated herein;

(b) neither the execution and delivery of this Agreement nor any of the agreements referred to herein or contemplated hereby, nor the consummation of the transactions hereby contemplated conflict with, result in the breach of or accelerate the performance required by, any agreement to which he is a party;

(c) the mineral claims comprising the Property have been duly and validly staked and submitted for recording with the applicable mining authority pursuant to all applicable laws and regulations; are accurately described in Schedule "A" hereto; and the Vendors' interest therein is free and clear of all liens, charges, royalties and encumbrances, subject to the rights of the Government of Canada;

(d) The Vendors have the exclusive right to enter into this Agreement and has all necessary authority to dispose of an interest in and to the Property in accordance with the terms of this Agreement, subject only to Exchange approval of this Agreement and any underlying agreement; and

(e) there are no pending or threatened actions, suits, claims or proceedings regarding the Property or any portion thereof of which the Vendors are aware.

3.3 The representations and warranties hereinbefore set out are conditions on which the parties have relied in entering into this Agreement and will survive the acquisition of any interest in the Property by ASW and each of the parties will indemnify and save the other harmless from all loss, damage, costs, actions and suits arising out of or in connection with any breach of any representation, warranty, covenant, agreement or condition made by it and contained in this Agreement.

4. COVENANTS OF THE VENDORS

4.1 During the currency of this Agreement the Vendors covenants and agrees with ASW to:

(a) for so long as ASW is not in default hereunder, not do any act or thing which would in any way adversely affect the rights of ASW hereunder;

(b) make available to ASW and its representatives all records and files in its possession relating to the Property and permit ASW and its representatives at their own risk and expense to take abstracts therefrom and make copies thereof;

(c) co-operate as reasonably necessary with ASW in obtaining any surface and other rights on or related to the Property as ASW deems desirable; and

(d) promptly provide ASW with any and all notices and correspondence received by them from government agencies in respect of the Property and further arrange for government agencies to copy ASW on all correspondence and notices.

5. TERMINATION OF OPTION

5.1 This Agreement, except for the provisions of section 7, (unless otherwise agreed by the Vendors in writing) will terminate upon the failure of ASW to pay any portion of the Purchase Price pursuant to subsection 2.2 within the time periods specified therein.

6. SHARING OF AND CONFIDENTIAL NATURE OF INFORMATION

6.1 Each party agrees that all information obtained hereunder will be the exclusive property of the parties and not publicly disclosed or used other than for the activities contemplated hereunder except as required by law or by the rules and regulations of any regulatory authority or stock exchange having jurisdiction or with the written consent of the other party, such consent not to be unreasonably withheld.

7. ASSIGNMENT

7.1 Either party may at any time assign or transfer any or all of its interest herein, provided such assignee agrees to abide by and be bound by the terms of this Agreement in the same manner and to the same effect as if an original signatory hereto.

8. NOTICES

8.1 Any notice, direction or other instrument required or permitted to be given under this Agreement will be in writing and may be given by the delivery of the same or by mailing the same by prepaid registered or certified mail or by sending the same by facsimile, e-mail or other similar form of communication, in each case addressed to the address first listed above or the following facsimile numbers or e-mail addresses:

- (a) If to Max Braden, 3920 Ragged Ass Lane, Yellowknife, NT, X1A 1Z7;
- (b) If to Lane Dewar, P.O. Box1059, Yellowknife, NT X1A 2N8;
- (c) If to Trevor Teed, 11 Horton Crescent, Yellowknife, NT X1A 3B8;
- (d) If to ASW at facsimile no. (604) 683-7497.

8.2 Any notice, direction or other instrument will:

- (a) if delivered, be deemed to have been given and received on the day it was delivered;
- (b) if mailed, be deemed to have been given and received on the fifth (5th) business day following the day of mailing, except in the event of disruption of the postal service in which event notice will be deemed to be received only when actually received; and
- (c) if sent by facsimile, email or other similar form of communication, be deemed to have been received by each party by that party acknowledging in writing receipt of the same.

8.3 Any party may at any time give to the others notice in writing of any change of address of the party giving such notice and from and after the giving of such notice the address or addresses therein specified will be deemed to be the address of such party for the purposes of giving notice hereunder.

9.0 ARBITRATION

9.1 If any question, difference or dispute shall arise between the parties or any of them in respect of any matter arising under this Agreement or in relation to the construction here the same shall be determined by the award of one arbitrator. The decision of the arbitrator shall be made within 30 days after the selection. The expense of the arbitration shall be paid accordingly as the arbitrator shall decide in his award. The arbitration shall be conducted in accordance with the provisions of the *Commercial Arbitration Act* (British Columbia), as amended, and the decision of the arbitrator shall be conclusive and binding upon the parties. The rules and procedures for the arbitration shall be procedures established by the B.C. Arbitrators Institute. The place of arbitration shall be Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada.

10. GENERAL

10.1 The parties will execute such further and other documents and do such further and other things as may be necessary or convenient to carry out and give effect to the intent of this Agreement.

10.2 All references to moneys hereunder will be in Canadian funds unless otherwise specified. All payments to be made to any party hereunder may be made by cheque or bank draft mailed or delivered to such party at its address for notice purposes as provided herein, or deposited for the account of s such party at such bank or banks in Canada as such party may designate from time to time by notice to the paying party.

10.3 This Agreement will enure to the benefit of and be binding upon the parties hereto and their respective successors and assigns.

10.4 This Agreement shall constitute the entire agreement between the parties and, except as hereafter set out, replaces and supersedes all prior agreements, memoranda, correspondence, communications, negotiations and representations, whether oral or written, express or implied, statutory or otherwise between the parties with respect to the subject matter herein.

10.5 This Agreement will be governed by and construed according to the laws of British Columbia and the laws of Canada applicable therein. All actions arising from this Agreement will be commenced and maintained in the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF the parties hereto have executed these presents as of the day and year first above written.

ANGLO SWISS RESOURCES LTD.

by its authorized signatory:

MAX BRADEN

LANE DEWAR

TREVOR TEED

WITNESS

WITNESS

WITNESS

WITNESS

SCHEDULE "A"**DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY****Mackenzie Mining District, Northwest Territories**

CLAIM NAME	CLAIM NUMBER	ACRES
TILL 1	F80499	206.60
TILL 2	F62469	206.60
TILL 3	F80500	309.90
TILL 4	F91564	2582.50
TILL 5	F91565	2479.20
TILL 6	F91566	826.40
TILL 7	F91567	2582.50



EXHIBIT 5.3

ANGLO SWISS RESOURCES INC.

June ____, 2005

New Shoshoni Ventures Ltd.

609-475 Howe Street

Vancouver, B.C.

V6C 2B3

Dear Sirs:

Re:

Li 201 Kimberlite Property, NWT

Option & Joint Venture Agreement

This letter sets forth the general terms and conditions of our mutual agreement whereby Anglo Swiss Resources Inc. ("ASW") will have the option to earn and acquire from New Shoshoni ("NSV") an undivided 50% interest (the "First Option") and a further option to earn an additional 10% interest (the "Second Option") in NSV's interest in certain

mining claims as outlined on the map in Schedule A (including, without limitation, all prospecting, research, exploration, exploitation, operating and mining permits, licenses and leases associated therewith), all collectively the "Property", subject to the terms and conditions of an agreement attached as Schedule B.

In consideration of the sum of \$10.00 now paid by ASW to NSV, the receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, the parties hereto agree as follows:

1.

Representations and Warranties

1.1

ASW represents and warrants to NSV that:

(a)

it is a valid and subsisting corporation duly incorporated and in good standing under the laws of British Columbia;

(b)

entering into this letter agreement does not and will not conflict with, and does not and will not result in a breach of, any of the terms of its incorporating documents or any agreement or instrument to which ASW is a party;

(c)

this Agreement has been authorized by all necessary corporate action on the part of ASW;

(d)

it has sufficient cash resources, or has the means to raise the cash resources, to undertake the initial work expenditures within the time limits outlined in paragraph 2.1(b)(i) below; and

(e)

its common shares are listed and posted for trading on the TSX Venture Exchange (the Exchange) and is a reporting issuer in British Columbia, Alberta, Ontario, Quebec and Nova Scotia, and it is in good standing with each of the British Columbia Securities Commission, the Alberta Securities Commission, the Ontario Securities Commission, the Quebec Securities Commission, the Nova Scotia Securities Commission and the Exchange.

1.2

NSV represents and warrants to ASW that:

(a)

it is a valid and subsisting corporation duly incorporated and in good standing under the laws of its incorporating jurisdiction;

(b)

it holds under option from Trevor Teed, Lane Dewar, Peter Bambic and Mike Magrum (agreements attached as Schedule B and hereinafter collectively referred to as the Head Agreements), the staked mining claims, as validly issued and outstanding, for the area comprising the Property, and has paid to the appropriate government authorities all necessary access, staking and administration fees as are required to register and hold title to such claims;

(c)

it, or its affiliates, has the exclusive right, free of competing interests, to undertake all work on and explore the area comprising the Property and to develop and mine the same in accordance with applicable rules and regulations;

(d)

title to the claims comprising the Property are properly and validly recorded in the name of NSV (or NSV has the right to have the claims comprising the Property recorded in its name) and there are no adverse claims or challenges against or to the ownership of or title to such mining and mineral claims nor to the best of NSV's knowledge is there any basis therefore;

there are no outstanding agreements or options to acquire or purchase any interest in any of the Property, or to explore, develop or exploit any part thereof, and no person has any royalty or other interest whatsoever in the Property or any production therefrom, save and except the underlying Head Agreements.

(f)

entering into this Agreement does not and will not conflict with, and does not and will not result in a breach of, any agreement or instrument to which NSV is a party:

(g)

NSV has due and sufficient right and authority to enter into this Agreement and to dispose of part of its interest in the Property in accordance with this Agreement, and this Agreement has been authorized by all necessary action on the part of NSV;

(h)

there are no pending or threatened actions, suits, claims or proceedings regarding the Property or any portion thereof of which NSV is aware; and

(i)

it will keep the Head Agreements in good standing as long as this agreement is in force.

2.

Grant of First Option

2.1

NSV hereby grants to ASW the exclusive right and option to acquire an undivided 50 % interest in all of NSV's interest in the Property, subject to the terms of the underlying agreements, in consideration for ASW issuing 400,000 common shares of ASW to NSV, making the payments, and incurring an aggregate of \$3,000,000 of work expenditures on the Property, as follows:

a)

allot, issue and deliver to NSV certificates representing:

400,000 common shares in the capital of ASW within five business days of the date the Exchange approves this Agreement;

b)

make the following payments to NSV:

i)

\$25,000 on or before July 31, 2005, firm commitment;

ii)

\$30,000 on or before May 25, 2006;

iii)

\$35,000 on or before May 25, 2007;

iv)

\$40,000 on or before May 25, 2008

c)

incur the following minimum annual expenditures on the Property:

\$200,000 on or before twelve months from the date of Exchange approval of this Agreement;

an additional \$400,000 on or before 24 months from the date of Exchange approval of this Agreement;

an additional \$800,000 on or before 36 months from the date of Exchange approval of this Agreement;

an additional \$1,600,000 on or before 48 months from the date of Exchange approval of this Agreement;

(the share issuances, cash payments and work commitments collectively referred to as the "First Option Price").

d) additional shares of ASW shall be issued to NSV as follows:

250,000 shares on the discovery of the first new kimberlite body and 100,000 shares on the discovery of each successive kimberlite body to a cumulative total of 1,000,000 shares.

2.2

For purposes of this Agreement, "expenditures" shall mean, monies expended in carrying out exploration work on the Property and shall include all costs and expenses incurred for exploration of or for the benefit of the Property, including but not limited to, preparing engineering reports, costs and expenses to maintain title to the Property or to pay applicable claim renewal fees and permits, aerial and surface reconnaissance including without limitation, geophysical and geochemical work and geological mapping; building and maintenance of necessary access roads, drill site preparation; exploration work; drill costs; logging of drill holes and drill core; evaluation of geological, geophysical, geochemical or exploration data; laboratory work, including without limitation, assay and metallurgical analysis; and any environmental problems, reclamation or restoration work on the Property, any drill sites, access roads or any grounds or waters surrounding the Property as required by any governmental agency or otherwise; salaries for employees employed on the site (including the costs to the Optionee for fringe benefits); the charges of

consultants, auditors, accountants and contractors directly incurred with respect to the Property; the costs of necessary transportation and equipment rentals and repairs, and the costs of mobilization and demobilization of personnel and equipment to the Property and return, from Yellowknife, including the costs of creating and maintaining a camp on or near the Property; all fees required to maintain the Property in good standing in accordance with the laws of the Canada and the Northwest Territories and other governmental authorities having jurisdiction and such other costs as are necessary to provide sustenance and shelter for personnel at the site.

2.3

With respect to the share issuances referred to above forming part of the First Option Price, ASW will deliver or cause to be delivered common shares in the capital of ASW which are fully paid and non-assessable, and free and clear of all liens, charges and encumbrances, save for hold periods or resale restrictions as imposed by applicable securities legislation and the Exchange.

2.4

Any of the expenditures or share issuances comprising the First Option Price may be accelerated by ASW, and any expenditures or share issuances made toward the First Option Price that is over and above that required to be made during the relevant time period shall be carried forward and applied against the First Option Price payable in the subsequent period(s).

2.5

All of the expenditures and share issuances comprising the First Option Price are and shall remain optional to ASW, such that ASW need not incur any of the same, save and except that ASW hereby covenants and agrees to pay NSV the first option payment of \$25,000.00 by July 31, 2005 .

2.6

Upon the failure of ASW to deliver any of the consideration comprising the First Option Price within the time periods set forth herein, ASW will have a period of 30 days following receipt of notice of such default to rectify the same, otherwise the First Option and this Agreement will automatically terminate without further notice from NSV.

2.7

The performance of the covenants of ASW pursuant to the terms of this Agreement is subject to the following conditions precedent:

a)

its satisfactory due diligence and confirmation of title to the mining and mineral claims comprising the Property. NSV agrees to provide ASW with complete access to all agreements and records pertaining to the Property; and

b)

receipt of approval from the Exchange.

ASW covenants to use its best efforts to satisfy the above conditions precedent as soon as possible, but in any event no later than July 31, 2005. In the event that the conditions precedent have not been satisfied or waived by such date, this Agreement will terminate without further notice from ASW, unless the only matter outstanding is Exchange approval, whereupon provided ASW has filed for Exchange approval, this Agreement will continue for so long as Exchange approval is pending; provided that NSV may at any time thereafter give 30 days notice to ASW to satisfy the Exchange approval condition, and if such condition precedent is not so satisfied following expiry of such 30 days this Agreement will terminate without further notice from NSV.

3.

Grant of Second Option

3.1

Subject to the terms of this Agreement, and conditional upon ASW exercising the First Option, NSV hereby grants to ASW the exclusive right and option to acquire an additional undivided 10% interest in the Property (for an aggregate 60% interest), for and in consideration of ASW completing additional expenditures of \$3,200,000 on or before 60 months from the date of Exchange approval of this Agreement.

3.2

Within 60 days following exercise of the First Option, ASW will, at its election, notify NSV of its intention to either: (i) enter into a joint venture agreement with NSV concerning the Property on the initial basis of ASW holding a 50% interest and NSV holding a 50% interest; or (ii) continue to do work on the Property pursuant to the Second Option, during which period no joint venture between the parties will be or deemed to be created.

3.3

All of the requirements comprising the Second Option Price are and shall remain optional to ASW. If ASW elects to continue to do work on the property pursuant to the Second Option and subsequently fails to fulfil the requirements comprising the Second Option Price within the time period set forth herein, ASW will have a period of 30 days following receipt of notice of such default to rectify the same, otherwise the Second Option will automatically terminate without further notice from NSV, and ASW will be deemed to have elected to enter into a joint venture with NSV on the same basis as referred to section 3.2(i) except that NSV will hold a 51% interest and ASW will hold a 49% interest.

3.4

For purposes of this Agreement, Options means collectively the First Option and the Second Option.

4.

Rights and Obligations of the Parties

4.1

From and after the date of this Agreement, unless this Agreement is otherwise terminated, ASW will be entitled to undertake all mineral exploration activities on the Property and shall have the sole and exclusive right to:

a)

enter the Property and have exclusive and quiet possession of the Property, as well as the use and enjoyment thereof without interruption by or disturbance from NSV, or any person claiming by, through or under NSV;

b)

do such prospecting, exploration, development, exploitation and other mining work thereon and thereunder as ASW may in its sole discretion consider advisable or desirable subject to the approval of all applicable laws and regulations;

c)

bring and erect upon the Property such equipment and facilities as ASW may in its sole discretion consider advisable or desirable;

d)

remove materials from the Property for the purposes of assaying and testing, bulk sampling or otherwise as ASW may in its sole discretion consider advisable or desirable, and dispose of such materials by way of sale or otherwise as ASW may in its sole discretion consider advisable or desirable, provided that the gross revenues realized therefrom shall be for the account of, and be distributed to NSV as to their respective interests; and

e)

participate with NSV in negotiating such agreements as may be necessary or in NSV's best interests with the owners of and other persons having interests in the Property concerning surface or access rights affecting the Property, provided that if and to the extent that NSV has any such rights affecting the Property, such rights are hereby included in the Property and are subject to the Options hereunder.

4.2

During the term of the Options, ASW agrees to:

provide NSV with reasonable notice of its exploration activities on the Property, and the results thereof;

take reasonable steps to maintain the mineral and mining claims comprising the Property in good standing through the filing of necessary assessments, work programs, reports as to property activities and expenditures, technical reports, and the payment of annual rental fees; and

permit NSV, and its representatives, at their own risk and expense, access to the Property at all reasonable times, provided NSV does not interfere with the reasonable activities of ASW.

4.3

During the term of this Agreement, NSV shall take reasonable steps to:

a)

do all things necessary to keep its rights, title and any agreements underlying its interests in the Property in good standing;

b)

gain such approvals (if any) from the applicable governmental or regulatory authorities to the Options granted hereunder; and to any joint venture formed between ASW and NSV;

c)

not do or permit to be done any act or thing which would or might in any way adversely affect the rights of ASW hereunder;

d)

make available to ASW and its designated representatives all records, exploration data and files relating to the Property, and permit ASW to take copies thereof; and

e)

take such steps as may be reasonably requested by ASW to ensure that ASW has exclusive and quiet possession, use and enjoyment of the Property without the occupation of the same or any part thereof by any other person.

5.

Joint Venture

5.1

Forthwith upon ASW's election to enter into a joint venture with NSV, or in any event upon the termination of the Second Option, ASW and NSV shall enter into a joint venture agreement concerning the Property, and each of ASW and NSV shall do such further acts and execute such further documents as may be necessary or desirable to implement such joint venture (the "Joint Venture") and to effect registration of the same in the appropriate government mining claims registries.

5.2

The parties' respective interests in the Joint Venture and their deemed contributions thereto shall be calculated as follows:

If the Joint Venture is formed upon exercise of the First Option or upon termination of the Second Option, ASW will hold an initial 50% interest and NSV will hold an initial 50% interest in the Joint Venture, and for purposes of calculating the initial and subsequent interests of each party pursuant to the provisions of this Part 5, ASW shall be deemed to have expended an amount equal to \$3,000,000 (being the aggregate amount of expenditures under the First Option) and NSV shall be deemed to have expended an amount equal to \$3,000,000 ;

If the Joint Venture is formed upon exercise of the Second Option, the parties' respective interests in the Joint Venture will be 60% as to ASW and 40% as to NSV.

5.3

Notwithstanding any other term hereof, for so long as ASW holds a 50% or greater interest in the Joint Venture, it shall be the Operator thereof. If at any time after the commencement of the Joint Venture ASW should hold less than a 50% interest, or at any time an Operator is unable to act as such, the party owning the greater interest shall be Operator, failing which the Management Committee may appoint the Operator.

5.4

If and when a Joint Venture is formed, the parties shall appoint a management committee (the "Management Committee"), consisting of two representatives of each party hereto and one alternate of each party hereto. The Management Committee shall be responsible for approving programs and budgets for the programs to be conducted on the Property, and for determining the general policies and direction to be adopted by the Operator in the conduct of exploration, development and mining operations on the Property. The Management Committee shall meet at least once annually and otherwise on 10 days notice given by either party. Such notices shall be accompanied by an agenda of matters to be discussed and/or decided at the meeting. Decisions of the Management Committee shall be by majority vote. Each party hereto shall be entitled to one vote for each 1% interest held by such party. In the event of a deadlocked vote, the Operator shall have a casting vote.

5.5

The Operator shall submit annual programs and budgets for exploration/development programs to the Management Committee for approval within 90 days of the expiration of the program then in effect. If the Operator should fail to submit a program of exploration/development and a budget within this time then any non-operating party may not less than 60 days thereafter propose a program and budget for the ensuing year for consideration and approval by the Management Committee. No expenditures shall be incurred by the Operator, except with the approval of the Management Committee, or except as may be necessary to keep the Property interests in good standing.

5.6

Each party hereto shall within 30 days (or 60 days if the budget for a program is in excess of \$1,000,000) of receipt of an approved exploration/development program and budget, elect whether to participate in the program and fund its share of the required costs. Failure to elect within this time will be deemed to be an election not to participate, and the interest of the non-contributing party shall be subject to dilution pursuant to paragraph 5.8.

5.7

The Operator shall initially be entitled to receive as compensation for operatorship, 10% of the operational costs of the exploration budget directly incurred by the Operator and 5% of the costs on third party contracted goods or services. Upon implementation of a feasibility study, the Operator shall initially be entitled to receive as compensation for operatorship, 1% of the construction costs of the project and 2% of the operating costs of the projects. The Management Committee shall annually review the foregoing compensation for operatorship charges and shall amend the methodology or rates in a manner so as to ensure that the Operator neither makes a profit nor incurs a loss from such methodology or rates.

5.8

If a party fails to make a required contribution to the costs under an exploration/development program and budget after the formation of the Joint Venture, and should the other party make at least eighty percent (80%) of the costs contemplated by such program and budget, then the party which failed to contribute shall have its interest reduced pro rata. The interest of the contributing party shall be increased and the interest of the non-contributing party shall be decreased so that the interest of such party at all times is that percentage which is equivalent to:

(a)

the sum of its deemed costs and actual costs; divided by:

(b)

the sum of the total deemed costs and actual costs of all parties,

and multiplied by 100.

A party who has had its interest reduced hereunder shall only be entitled to participate in subsequent programs and budgets to the extent of its participating interest at the time any subsequent programs and budgets are approved by the Operator. In the event that a party fails to contribute to a program and budget and the contributing party does not complete at least eighty percent (80%) of the costs contemplated, then the non-contributing party shall be entitled, within thirty (30) days of being notified of completion of the reduced program, to pay its share of the costs actually made by the contributing party and thereby maintain its interest. If the non-contributing party fails to contribute within such thirty (30) day period, its interest shall be reduced in accordance with the foregoing provisions.

5.9

In the event a party is diluted to a 10% interest, that party's interest in the Joint Venture and the Property shall automatically convert to a royalty equivalent to 2.0% of Gross Overriding Royalty (as defined in the Teed Dewar and Bambic Agreements).

6.

Force Majeure

6.1

ASW shall not be liable to NSV, and shall not be deemed in default hereunder for any failure or delay to pay any portion of the First Option Price or Second Option Price if prior to payment thereof any dispute as to ownership or title to the Property or the minerals therein arises, including disputes with any native group or government as to title or rights thereto. All times provided for in this Agreement shall be extended for the period commensurate with the period for the delay and, so far as possible, both parties shall take all reasonable steps to remedy the delay caused by the events referred to above.

6.2

Neither party shall be liable to the other party hereto and neither party shall be deemed in default hereunder for any failure or delay to perform any of its covenants and agreements or failure to comply with any of its representations or warranties hereunder including ASW's covenants to undertake work programs on the Property, caused or arising out of any act nor reasonably within the control of such party, excluding lack of funds but including without limitation acts of God, strikes, lockouts or other industrial disputes, acts of the public enemy, blockades, disputes as to Property ownership, war, riots, fire, storm, flood, explosion, government restriction or the obtaining of governmental approvals, unavailability of equipment or other causes whether of the kind enumerated above or otherwise. The party affected shall give prompt notice to the other party of the commencement and termination of one of the events referred to above. No right of a party shall be affected for failure or delay of a party to meet any condition of this Agreement, if the failure or delay is caused by one of the events referred to above. All times provided for in this Agreement shall be extended for the period commensurate with the period for the delay and, so far as possible, the party affected shall take all reasonable steps to remedy the delay caused by the events referred to above.

7.

Formal Agreement

7.1

The parties agree that this is a preliminary agreement which incorporates all of the essential terms of their agreement and that it shall be binding upon them. However, the parties agree to negotiate a formal agreement (the "Formal Agreement") which incorporates such further terms and conditions as well as a joint venture procedure, all of which are to be based on customary Canadian industry standards.

7.2

The parties agree that upon execution of the Formal Agreement that all prior understandings and agreements, whether verbal or written, shall be superseded by the terms of the Formal Agreement and that such prior understanding and agreements, including this Agreement, shall be superseded and terminated by the terms of the Formal Agreement.

8.

Transfers

8.1

NSV may at any time sell, transfer or otherwise dispose of all or any portion of its interest to the property and this agreement, except that its obligations hereunder shall continue unless released in writing by ASW and provided that any purchaser, assignee or transferee of such interest shall have first delivered to ASW its agreement binding itself to this agreement and containing:

(a)

a covenant by such transferee to perform all of the obligations of the transferring party to be performed under this Agreement and the said Agreement attached hereto as Schedule B in respect of the interest to be acquired by it from the transferring party;

(b)

a provision subjecting any further sale, transfer or other disposition of such interest in the property and this agreement or any portion thereof to the restrictions contained in this Section and the said Agreement attached hereto as Schedule B .

9.

Miscellaneous

9.1

Time is of the essence of this Agreement except as provided for in Section 6.

9.2

Neither party shall sell, transfer or otherwise dispose of any or all of its interest in the Property, or their interests under this Agreement (except as to wholly owned or majority controlled subsidiaries, or other like corporate reorganization) without first offering the same to the other party, on the same terms as offered to or by a third party.

9.3

Any notice to be required or permitted hereunder will be in writing and sent by delivery, facsimile transmission, electronic mail or prepaid registered mail addressed to the party entitled to receive the same, or delivered to such party at the address specified above, or to such other address as either party may give to the other for that purpose. The date of receipt of any notice, demand or other communication hereunder will be the date of delivery if delivered, the date of transmission if sent by facsimile or electronic mail, or, if given by registered mail as aforesaid, will be the date on which the notice, demand or other communication is actually received by the addressee.

9.4

In the event of any dispute regarding this or the Formal Agreement, the parties agree such matters shall be settled by arbitration pursuant to the provisions of the Commercial Arbitration Act (British Columbia) and the ruling of such arbitration panel shall be conclusive and binding upon the parties hereto and the parties hereto agree to abide by the terms and conditions as the arbitrators appointed pursuant to the provisions of the Commercial Arbitration Act (British Columbia) shall have determined.

9.5

This Agreement supersedes any other agreement or arrangement, whether oral or written, heretofore existing between the parties in respect of the Property.

9.6

This Agreement shall enure to the benefit of and be binding upon the parties hereto and their respective successors and permitted assigns.

9.7

Each of the parties agrees to be responsible for their own respective legal expenses relating to this Agreement and the negotiation and preparation of the Formal Agreement.

9.8

This Agreement and the Formal Agreement shall be interpreted and construed in accordance with the laws of British Columbia.

If the above terms and conditions accurately record your understanding of our agreement, please so acknowledge by signing a copy of this Agreement in the space provided and returning the same to us at your earliest convenience.

Upon your execution thereof, this Agreement will constitute a legal and binding agreement subject to its terms.

ANGLO SWISS RESOURCES INC.

By its Authorized Signatory:

Len Danard

In the presence of:

Witness

The foregoing is hereby confirmed, acknowledged and accepted this ____ day of June, 2005.

NEW SHOSHONI VENTURES LTD.

By its Authorized Signatory:

Ralf Hillebrand

In the presence of:

Witness

SCHEDULE "A"**DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY**

Claim Name	Tag Number	Acreage
TML 1		
F67471		
2,582.5		
TML 2		
F89748		
2,195.0		
TML 3		
F87623		
645.6		
TML 4		
F87624		
2,582.5		
TML 5		
F87625		
2,582.5		
TML 6		
F87626		

2,479.2

TML 7

F87627

2,479.2

TML 8

F87628

2,479.2

TML 9

F87629

2,582.5

TML 10

F87630

2,582.5

TML 11

F87631

2,582.5

TML 12

F87632

1,239.6

TML 16

F87636

84.5

TML 17

F87637

2,582.5

TML 18

F87638

2,427.55

TML 19

F87639

2,582.5

TML 20

F87640

2,324.25

PQ 14

F89574

2,582.5

PQ 15

F89575

2,582.5

PQ 16

F89741

1,536.6

PQ 18

F89743

1,410.4

PQ 19

F89742

2,539.6

PQ 20

F89580

2,582.5

PQ 21

F89744

2,359.4

PQ 22

F89582

2,066.0

PQ 23

F89583

1,084.65

PQ 24

F89584

1,074.3

PQ 25

F89585

1,280.0

PQ 26

F89752

171.5

TOTAL ACREAGE 58,284.05

EXHIBIT 5.3

THIS OPTION AGREEMENT dated for reference the ____ day of June, 2005.

BETWEEN:

ANGLO SWISS RESOURCES INC., a company duly incorporated in the Province of British Columbia, having an office at 1904-837 West Hastings Street, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada V6C 3N7

("ASW")

AND:

KALAC HOLDINGS LTD., a company duly incorporated in the Province of British Columbia, having an office at 608-475 Howe Street, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada V6C 2B3

(Kalac)

AND:

WGT CONSULTANTS (NWT) LTD., a company duly incorporated in the Province of British Columbia, having an office at 1016-470 Granville Street, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada V6C 1V5

(WGT)

WHEREAS Kalac and WGT (collectively the "Vendors") are the beneficial owners of a 100% interest in and to 13 mineral claims consisting of approximately 33,572.5 acres located in the Contwoyto Lake area of the Northwest Territories, known as the PQ1-13 mineral claims, and have agreed to grant to ASW the exclusive option to acquire an undivided 100% interest therein; on the terms and conditions hereinafter set forth,

NOW THEREFORE THIS AGREEMENT WITNESSES that in consideration of the payment by ASW to the Vendors of the sum of \$10.00 (the receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby expressly acknowledged by the Vendors) and of the mutual covenants and agreements herein contained, the parties agree as follows:

1.

DEFINITIONS

1.1

In this Agreement and in the Schedules and the recitals hereto, unless the context otherwise requires, the following expressions will have the following meanings:

Agreement Date means the date at the top of this Agreement.

Exchange means the TSX Venture Exchange.

"Property" means those 13 mineral claims covering a total of approximately 33,572.5 acres, all as more particularly described in Schedule "A" hereto, together with all prospecting, research, exploration, exploitation, operating and mining permits, licences and leases associated therewith, mineral, surface, water and ancillary or appurtenant rights attached or accruing thereto, and any mining licence or other form of substitute or successor mineral title or interest granted, obtained or issued in connection with or in place of or in substitution for any such Property (including, without limitation, any Property issued to cover any internal gaps or fractions in respect of such ground).

2.

OPTION

2.1

The Vendors hereby give and grant to ASW the sole and exclusive irrevocable right and option (the "Option") to acquire up to an undivided 100% right, title and interest in and to the Property in accordance with the terms of this Agreement.

2.2

To exercise the Option, ASW must:

secure written confirmation from the Exchange, dated not later than July 15, 2005, that the Exchange has accepted this Agreement for filing (the Acceptance Date).

(b)

pay a total of \$50,000.00 to Kalac Holdings Ltd. in two instalments of \$25,000.00 each, the first instalment being due 30 days after acceptance of this agreement by the TSX Venture Exchange and the second instalment being due 60 days after acceptance of this agreement by the TSX Venture Exchange, and by issuing 2,500,000 shares of Anglo Swiss Resources Inc. to Kalac Holdings Ltd. within 5 business days of acceptance of this agreement by the TSX Venture Exchange and issuing 2,500,000 shares of Anglo Swiss Resources Inc. to WGT within 5 business days of acceptance of this agreement by the TSX Venture Exchange.

The cash payments and share issuances are herein collectively referred to as the Option Price to earn a 100% interest, subject to the GORR and Kimberlite Bonus, in the Property.

2.3

Additional shares of ASW shall be issued to Kalac as follows:

250,000 shares on the discovery of each new kimberlite body to a cumulative total of 1,000,000 shares (the Kimberlite Bonus).

2.4

The Property is subject to a 2% Gross Overriding Royalty in favour of Kalac (GORR) as defined in Schedule B. The parties agree that up to a maximum of 1% GORR may be purchased by ASW for the price of \$1,000,000.

2.5

This Agreement is an option agreement only, and all Payments comprising the Option Price are and shall remain optional to ASW, such that ASW need not pay any of the same, other than the payment due on signing. Upon the failure of ASW to deliver the consideration comprising the Option Price within the time periods set forth herein, ASW will have a period of 30 days following receipt of notice of such default to rectify the same, otherwise the Option and this Agreement will automatically terminate without further notice from the Vendors.

2.6

Prior to the exercise of the Option, ASW shall pay all taxes, rentals and maintenance fees on the Property as may be necessary, and shall perform all other actions which may be necessary to keep the Property in good standing, including without limitation, keeping the Property free and clear of all liens, charges and encumbrances of any kind whatsoever which were the result of any actions taken or not taken by ASW.

2.7

If, prior to the exercise of the Option, ASW wishes to abandon one or more claims comprising any of the Property, the Vendors shall regain its beneficial interests in the abandoned claims and ASW shall not have any further right to acquire any interest in such claims pursuant to the terms of this Agreement. For clarity, the Option Price will not change if any portion of the Property is abandoned. ASW shall execute and deliver a transfer of any abandoned claims to the Vendors before such claims expire. Any claims returned to the Vendors will be in good standing for a minimum of one year when they are returned.

2.8

Title to the Property will be transferred to ASW in trust.

2.9

Once ASW has paid the Option Price in full, ASW will have exercised the Option and have acquired an undivided 100% right, title and interest in and to the Property, subject only to the GORR, and will give notice to the Vendors to that effect. Upon the exercise of the Option, the Vendors will take the necessary actions to transfer to ASW a 100% interest in and to the Property in accordance with the provisions of applicable legislation.

3.

REPRESENTATIONS AND WARRANTIES

3.1

ASW represents and warrants to the Vendors that:

(a)

it is a company duly incorporated, organized and validly subsisting under the laws of its incorporating jurisdiction and is qualified to acquire and dispose of interests in, and to explore, develop and exploit, mining properties in Canada;

(b)

it has full power, capacity and authority to carry on its business and to enter into and perform its obligations under this Agreement and any agreement or instrument referred to or contemplated by this Agreement;

(c)

all necessary corporate and shareholder approvals have been obtained and are in effect with respect to the transactions contemplated hereby, and no further action on the part of the directors or shareholders is necessary or desirable to make this Agreement valid and binding on a party;

(d)

neither the execution and delivery of this Agreement nor any of the agreements referred to herein or contemplated hereby, nor the consummation of the transactions hereby contemplated conflict with, result in the breach of or accelerate the performance required by its constating documents or any agreement to which it is a party;

(e)

ASW is a public company whose shares are listed and posted for trading on the Exchange; and ASW is a reporting issuer in British Columbia, Alberta, Ontario, Quebec and Nova Scotia.

3.2

The Vendors hereby represent and warrant to ASW that:

(a)

he has the full power, capacity and authority to enter into and perform each of his obligations under this Agreement and any agreement or instrument referred to or contemplated herein;

(b)

neither the execution and delivery of this Agreement nor any of the agreements referred to herein or contemplated hereby, nor the consummation of the transactions hereby contemplated conflict with, result in the breach of or accelerate the performance required by, any agreement to which he is a party;

(c)

the mineral claims comprising the Property have been duly and validly staked and submitted for recording with the applicable mining authority pursuant to all applicable laws and regulations; are accurately described in Schedule "A" hereto; and the Vendors' interest therein is free and clear of all liens, charges, royalties and encumbrances, subject to the rights of the Government of Canada;

(d)

The Vendors have the exclusive right to enter into this Agreement and has all necessary authority to dispose of an interest in and to the Property in accordance with the terms of this Agreement, subject only to Exchange approval of this Agreement and any underlying agreement; and

(e)

there are no pending or threatened actions, suits, claims or proceedings regarding the Property or any portion thereof of which the Vendors are aware.

3.3

The representations and warranties hereinbefore set out are conditions on which the parties have relied in entering into this Agreement and will survive the acquisition of any interest in the Property by ASW and each of the parties will

indemnify and save the other harmless from all loss, damage, costs, actions and suits arising out of or in connection with any breach of any representation, warranty, covenant, agreement or condition made by it and contained in this Agreement.

4.

COVENANTS OF THE VENDORS

4.1

During the term of this Agreement the Vendors covenants and agrees with ASW to:

(a)

for so long as ASW is not in default hereunder, not do any act or thing which would in any way adversely affect the rights of ASW hereunder;

(b)

make available to ASW and its representatives all records and files in its possession relating to the Property and permit ASW and its representatives at their own risk and expense to take abstracts therefrom and make copies thereof;

(c)

co-operate as reasonably necessary with ASW in obtaining any surface and other rights on or related to the Property as ASW deems desirable; and

(d)

promptly provide ASW with any and all notices and correspondence received by them from government agencies in respect of the Property and further arrange for government agencies to copy ASW on all correspondence and notices.

5.

PRE-EXERCISE ACTIVITIES

5.1

Prior to exercise of the Option, ASW will have full right, power and authority to do everything necessary or desirable in accordance with good mining practice in connection with the exploration and development of the Property and to determine the manner of operation of the Property as a mine including, without limiting the generality of the foregoing, the right, power and authority to regulate access to the Property.

5.2

Prior to exercise of the Option, ASW will have the following duties and obligations:

(a)

to manage, direct and control all exploration, development and production operations in, on and under the Property in a prudent and workmanlike manner, and in compliance with all applicable laws, rules, orders and regulations;

(b)

subject to the terms and conditions of this Agreement, to keep the Property in good standing and free and clear of liens, charges and encumbrances of every character arising from operations hereunder (except liens for taxes not yet due, and other claims and liens contested in good faith by ASW) and to proceed with all diligence to contest or discharge any lien that is filed;

(c)

to obtain and maintain, or cause any contractor engaged to obtain and maintain, adequate insurance coverage with respect to activities on or with respect to the Property;

(d)

to permit the Vendors or his representatives, at their own expense and risk, access to the Property and all data derived from carrying out work hereunder, provided that in exercising such right the Vendors will not unreasonably interfere with the activities of ASW and that the Vendors and his representatives will defend, indemnify and save harmless ASW and its directors, officers, employees and agents from and against all and any losses, damages, expenses, claims, suits, actions and demands of any kind or nature whatsoever in any way referable to or arising out of the entry, presence or activities of the Vendors or his representatives in connection with access to the Property including, without limitation, bodily injuries or death or damage to property at any time resulting therefrom;

(e)

to perform its duties and obligations in a manner consistent with good exploration and mining practices and in compliance with all applicable laws, rules and regulations;

(f)

defend, indemnify and save the Vendors harmless from any and all losses, damages, expenses, claims, suits, actions or demands of any kind or nature whatsoever in any way referable to or arising out of any work done by ASW on or with respect to the Property; and

prior to commencing any operations or activities on the Property, obtain all necessary operating and environmental permits and post any required reclamation or other bonds or safekeeping agreements required by any governmental agency.

to deliver to the Vendors not less often than quarterly within 30 days after the end of each calendar quarter, a written report of ASW's work on the property including brief details of the work done, money expended and results obtained.

6.

TERMINATION OF OPTION

6.1

This Agreement, except for the provisions of section 7, and the Option will (unless otherwise agreed by the Vendors in writing) terminate:

(a)

upon the failure of ASW to pay any portion of the Option Price pursuant to subsection 2.2 within the time periods specified therein; or

(b)

if ASW gives notice in accordance with subsection 6.2.

6.2

At any time prior to the exercise of the Option, ASW will have the right to terminate this Agreement and the Option by giving not less than thirty (30) days' notice to that effect to the Vendors.

7.

OBLIGATIONS AFTER TERMINATION OF OPTION

7.1

If this Agreement is terminated for any reason whatsoever prior to the exercise of the Option, this Agreement, including the Option, but excluding this section 7 (which will continue in full force and effect for so long as is required to give full effect to the same) will be of no further force and effect except that ASW will:

(a)

leave the Property:

(i)

in good standing and in accordance with the applicable laws and regulations for at least one year following the effective date of termination,

(ii)

free and clear of all liens, charges and encumbrances arising from this Agreement or its operations hereunder,

(iii)

in a safe and orderly condition, and

(iv)

in a condition which is in compliance with all applicable rules and orders of governmental authorities with respect to reclamation and restoration of the surface to the Property;

(b)

deliver to the Vendors, within ninety (90) days of termination, a report on all work carried out by ASW on the Property together with copies of all maps, drillhole logs, assay results, reports and other information compiled or prepared by or on behalf of ASW with respect to work on or with respect to the Property, and make available to the Vendors (at the place of storage) all core, samples and sample pulps and rejects;

(c)

unless otherwise agreed by the Vendors, remove from the Property within six (6) months of the effective date of termination all materials, equipment and facilities erected, installed or brought upon the Property by or at the instance of ASW; and

(d)

deliver to the Vendors a duly executed quitclaim of all right, title and interest of ASW in and to the Property in favour of the Vendors with respect to their respective interests therein.

8.

SHARING OF AND CONFIDENTIAL NATURE OF INFORMATION

8.1

Each party agrees that all information obtained hereunder will be the exclusive property of the parties and not publicly disclosed or used other than for the activities contemplated hereunder except as required by law or by the rules and regulations of any regulatory authority or stock exchange having jurisdiction or with the written consent of the other party, such consent not to be unreasonably withheld.

9.

ASSIGNMENT

9.1

Either party may at any time assign or transfer any or all of its interest herein, provided such assignee agrees to abide by and be bound by the terms of this Agreement in the same manner and to the same effect as if an original signatory hereto.

10.

NOTICES

10.1

Any notice, direction or other instrument required or permitted to be given under this Agreement will be in writing and may be given by the delivery of the same or by mailing the same by prepaid registered or certified mail or by sending the same by facsimile, e-mail or other similar form of communication, in each case addressed to the address first listed above or the following facsimile numbers or e-mail addresses:

If to Kalac at facsimile no. (604) 608-3146;

If to WGT at facsimile no. (604) 682-5281;

If to ASW at facsimile no. (604) 683-7497;

10.2

Any notice, direction or other instrument will:

(a)

if delivered, be deemed to have been given and received on the day it was delivered;

(b)

if mailed, be deemed to have been given and received on the fifth (5th) business day following the day of mailing, except in the event of disruption of the postal service in which event notice will be deemed to be received only when

actually received; and

(c)

if sent by facsimile, email or other similar form of communication, be deemed to have been received by each party by that party acknowledging in writing receipt of the same.

10.3

Any party may at any time give to the others notice in writing of any change of address of the party giving such notice and from and after the giving of such notice the address or addresses therein specified will be deemed to be the address of such party for the purposes of giving notice hereunder.

11.

FORCE MAJEURE

11.1

ASW shall not be deemed to be in default hereunder for failure or delay to perform any of its covenants pursuant to this Agreement, if prior to the requirement to perform such covenant any material dispute arises as to the ownership or title to any part of the Property or to the minerals therein (a "Title Dispute").

11.2

Should ASW seek to rely on the provisions of subsection 11.1 it will promptly give written notice to the Vendors of the particulars thereof and all time limits imposed by this Agreement will be extended from the date of delivery of such notice by a period equivalent to the period of delay resulting from such Title Dispute.

12.

ARBITRATION

12.1

If any question, difference or dispute shall arise between the parties or any of them in respect of any matter arising under this Agreement or in relation to the construction here the same shall be determined by the award of one arbitrator. The decision of the arbitrator shall be made within 30 days after the selection. The expense of the arbitration shall be paid accordingly as the arbitrator shall decide in his award. The arbitration shall be conducted in accordance with the provisions of the Commercial Arbitration Act (British Columbia), as amended, and the decision of the arbitrator shall be conclusive and binding upon the parties. The rules and procedures for the arbitration shall be procedures established by the B.C. Arbitrators Institute. The place of arbitration shall be Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada.

13.

GENERAL

13.1

The parties will execute such further and other documents and do such further and other things as may be necessary or convenient to carry out and give effect to the intent of this Agreement.

13.2

All references to moneys hereunder will be in Canadian funds unless otherwise specified. All payments to be made to any party hereunder may be made by cheque or bank draft mailed or delivered to such party at its address for notice purposes as provided herein, or deposited for the account of s such party at such bank or banks in Canada as such party may designate from time to time by notice to the paying party.

13.3

This Agreement will enure to the benefit of and be binding upon the parties hereto and their respective successors and assigns.

13.4

This Agreement shall constitute the entire agreement between the parties and, except as hereafter set out, replaces and supersedes all prior agreements, memoranda, correspondence, communications, negotiations and representations, whether oral or written, express or implied, statutory or otherwise between the parties with respect to the subject matter herein.

13.5

This Agreement will be governed by and construed according to the laws of British Columbia and the laws of Canada applicable therein. All actions arising from this Agreement will be commenced and maintained in the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF the parties hereto have executed these presents as of the day and year first above written.

ANGLO SWISS RESOURCES INC.

by its authorized signatory:

KALAC HOLDINGS LTD.

by its authorized signatory:

WGT CONSULTANTS (NWT) LTD.

by its authorized signatory:

SCHEDULE "A"

DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY

Mackenzie Mining District, Northwest Territories

CLAIM NAME	CLAIM NUMBER	ACRES
PQ 1	F89561	2,582.5
PQ 2	F89562	2,582.5
PQ 3	F89563	2,582.5
PQ 4	F89564	2,582.5
PQ 5	F89565	2,582.5
PQ 6	F89566	2,582.5
PQ 7	F89567	2,582.5
PQ 8	F89568	2,582.5
PQ 9	F89569	2,582.5
PQ 10	F89570	2,582.5
PQ 11	F89571	2,582.5
PQ 12	F89572	2,582.5
PQ 13	F89573	2,582.5
	Total	33,572.5

SCHEDULE B

GROSS OVERRIDING ROYALTY

Pursuant to the Agreement to which this Schedule is attached, Kalac is entitled to a royalty (the GORR) on the Average Appraised Value (as hereinafter defined) of all gem and industrial diamonds recovered, sorted and graded from the Property (the Diamonds), free and clear of all costs of development and operations.

1.

The expression Average Appraised Value shall mean the average of the valuations in Canadian dollars of the Diamonds determined by two independent graders, one appointed by the Company and one appointed by Kalac. Such independent graders shall be duly qualified and accredited, and shall sort, grade and value the Diamonds in accordance with industry standards, having regard to, but without limiting the generality of the foregoing, the commercial demand for the Diamonds. Each independent valuator shall value each particular classification of the Diamonds in accordance with the industry pricebooks, standards and formulas. The parties acknowledge that the intention is that the GORR is to be paid to Kalac on this basis, regardless of the price or proceeds actually received by the Company for or in connection with the Diamonds or the manner in which a sale of the Diamonds to a third party is made, and without deduction.

2.

ASW will calculate and pay the GORR to Kalac within 30 days of the end of each calendar quarter, based on all Diamonds from the Property which were graded in such calendar quarter.

3.

Kalac shall not be entitled to participate in the profits or be obligated to share in any losses generated by the Company's actual marketing or sales practices.

4.

Kalac shall also at its election have the right to take the GORR in kind.

EXHIBIT 5.4

THIS OPTION AGREEMENT dated for reference the 17 day of November, 2005.

BETWEEN:

ANGLO SWISS RESOURCES INC., a company duly incorporated in the Province of British Columbia, having an office at 1904-837 West Hastings Street, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada V6C 3N7

("ASW")

AND:

IMPALA RESOURCE SERVICES LTD.

, a company duly incorporated in the Province of British Columbia, having an office at 609-475 Howe Street, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada V6C 2B3

(**Impala**)

WHEREAS Impala is the beneficial owner of a 100% interest in and to 25 mineral claims consisting of approximately 52,459.15 acres located in the Lac de Gras area of the Northwest Territories, known as the MS1-25 mineral claims, and has agreed to grant to ASW the exclusive option to acquire an undivided 100% interest therein; on the terms and conditions hereinafter set forth,

NOW THEREFORE THIS AGREEMENT WITNESSES that in consideration of the payment by ASW to the Impala of the sum of \$10.00 (the receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby expressly acknowledged by Impala) and of the mutual covenants and agreements herein contained, the parties agree as follows:

1.

DEFINITIONS

1.1

In this Agreement and in the Schedules and the recitals hereto, unless the context otherwise requires, the following expressions will have the following meanings:

Agreement Date means the date at the top of this Agreement.

Exchange means the TSX Venture Exchange.

"Property" means those 25 mineral claims covering a total of approximately 52,459.15 acres, all as more particularly described in Schedule "A" hereto, together with all prospecting, research, exploration, exploitation, operating and mining permits, licenses and leases associated therewith, mineral, surface, water and ancillary or appurtenant rights attached or accruing thereto, and any mining license or other form of substitute or successor mineral title or interest granted, obtained or issued in connection with or in place of or in substitution for any such Property (including, without limitation, any Property issued to cover any internal gaps or fractions in respect of such ground).

2.

OPTION

2.1

Impala hereby give and grant to ASW the sole and exclusive irrevocable right and option (the "Option") to acquire up to an undivided 100% right, title and interest in and to the Property in accordance with the terms of this Agreement.

2.2

To exercise the Option, ASW must:

(a)

secure written confirmation from the Exchange, dated not later than December 15, 2005, that the Exchange has accepted this Agreement for filing (the **Acceptance Date**).

(b)

pay a total of \$50,000.00 to Impala in two instalments of \$25,000.00 each, the first instalment being due 30 days after acceptance of this agreement by the TSX Venture Exchange and the second instalment being due 60 days after acceptance of this agreement by the TSX Venture Exchange, and by issuing 2,000,000 shares of Anglo Swiss Resources Inc. to Impala within 5 business days of acceptance of this agreement by the TSX Venture Exchange.

The cash payments and share issuances are herein collectively referred to as the **Option Price** to earn a 100% interest, subject to the GORR, in the Property.

2.3

The Property is subject to a 2% Gross Overriding Royalty in favour of Impala (**GORR**) as defined in Schedule B. The parties agree that up to a maximum of 1% GORR may be purchased by ASW for the price of \$1,000,000.

2.4

This Agreement is an option agreement only, and all Payments comprising the **Option Price** are and shall remain optional to ASW, such that ASW need not pay any of the same. Upon the failure of ASW to deliver the consideration comprising the **Option Price** within the time periods set forth herein, ASW will have a period of 30 days following receipt of notice of such default to rectify the same, otherwise the Option and this Agreement will automatically terminate without further notice from Impala.

2.5

Prior to the exercise of the Option, ASW shall pay all taxes, rentals and maintenance fees on the Property as may be necessary, and shall perform all other actions which may be necessary to keep the Property in good standing, including without limitation, keeping the Property free and clear of all liens, charges and encumbrances of any kind whatsoever which were the result of any actions taken or not taken by ASW.

2.6

If, prior to the exercise of the Option, ASW wishes to abandon one or more claims comprising any of the Property, Impala shall regain its beneficial interests in the abandoned claims and ASW shall not have any further right to acquire any interest in such claims pursuant to the terms of this Agreement. For clarity, the **Option Price** will not change if any portion of the Property is abandoned. ASW shall execute and deliver a transfer of any abandoned claims to Impala before such claims expire. Any claims returned to Impala will be in good standing for a minimum of

one year when they are returned.

2.7

Title to the Property will be transferred to ASW in trust.

2.8

Once ASW has paid the Option Price in full, ASW will have exercised the Option and have acquired an undivided 100% right, title and interest in and to the Property, subject only to the GORR, and will give notice to Impala to that effect. Upon the exercise of the Option, Impala will take the necessary actions to transfer to ASW a 100% interest in and to the Property in accordance with the provisions of applicable legislation.

3.

REPRESENTATIONS AND WARRANTIES

3.1

ASW represents and warrants to Impala that:

(a)

it is a company duly incorporated, organized and validly subsisting under the laws of its incorporating jurisdiction and is qualified to acquire and dispose of interests in, and to explore, develop and exploit, mining properties in Canada;

(b)

it has full power, capacity and authority to carry on its business and to enter into and perform its obligations under this Agreement and any agreement or instrument referred to or contemplated by this Agreement;

(c)

all necessary corporate and shareholder approvals have been obtained and are in effect with respect to the transactions contemplated hereby, and no further action on the part of the directors or shareholders is necessary or desirable to make this Agreement valid and binding on a party;

(d)

neither the execution and delivery of this Agreement nor any of the agreements referred to herein or contemplated hereby, nor the consummation of the transactions hereby contemplated conflict with, result in the breach of or accelerate the performance required by its constating documents or any agreement to which it is a party;

(e)

ASW is a public company whose shares are listed and posted for trading on the Exchange; and ASW is a reporting issuer in British Columbia, Alberta, Ontario, Quebec and Nova Scotia.

3.2

Impala hereby represents and warrants to ASW that:

(a)

it has the full power, capacity and authority to enter into and perform each of his obligations under this Agreement and any agreement or instrument referred to or contemplated herein;

(b)

neither the execution and delivery of this Agreement nor any of the agreements referred to herein or contemplated hereby, nor the consummation of the transactions hereby contemplated conflict with, result in the breach of or accelerate the performance required by, any agreement to which it is a party;

(c)

the mineral claims comprising the Property have been duly and validly staked and submitted for recording with the applicable mining authority pursuant to all applicable laws and regulations; are accurately described in Schedule "A" hereto; and Impala's interest therein is free and clear of all liens, charges, royalties and encumbrances, subject to the rights of the Government of Canada;

(d)

Impala has the exclusive right to enter into this Agreement and has all necessary authority to dispose of an interest in and to the Property in accordance with the terms of this Agreement, subject only to Exchange approval of this Agreement and any underlying agreement; and

(e)

there are no pending or threatened actions, suits, claims or proceedings regarding the Property or any portion thereof of which Impala is aware.

3.3

The representations and warranties hereinbefore set out are conditions on which the parties have relied in entering into this Agreement and will survive the acquisition of any interest in the Property by ASW and each of the parties will indemnify and save the other harmless from all loss, damage, costs, actions and suits arising out of or in connection with any breach of any representation, warranty, covenant, agreement or condition made by it and contained in this Agreement.

4.

COVENANTS OF IMPALA

4.1

During the term of this Agreement Impala covenants and agrees with ASW to:

(a)

for so long as ASW is not in default hereunder, not do any act or thing which would in any way adversely affect the rights of ASW hereunder;

(b)

make available to ASW and its representatives all records and files in its possession relating to the Property and permit ASW and its representatives at their own risk and expense to take abstracts therefrom and make copies thereof;

(c)

co-operate as reasonably necessary with ASW in obtaining any surface and other rights on or related to the Property as ASW deems desirable; and

(d)

promptly provide ASW with any and all notices and correspondence received by them from government agencies in respect of the Property and further arrange for government agencies to copy ASW on all correspondence and notices.

5.

PRE-EXERCISE ACTIVITIES

5.1

Prior to exercise of the Option, ASW will have full right, power and authority to do everything necessary or desirable in accordance with good mining practice in connection with the exploration and development of the Property and to determine the manner of operation of the Property as a mine including, without limiting the generality of the foregoing, the right, power and authority to regulate access to the Property.

5.2

Prior to exercise of the Option, ASW will have the following duties and obligations:

(a)

to manage, direct and control all exploration, development and production operations in, on and under the Property in a prudent and workmanlike manner, and in compliance with all applicable laws, rules, orders and regulations;

(b)

subject to the terms and conditions of this Agreement, to keep the Property in good standing and free and clear of liens, charges and encumbrances of every character arising from operations hereunder (except liens for taxes not yet due, and other claims and liens contested in good faith by ASW) and to proceed with all diligence to contest or

discharge any lien that is filed;

(c)

to obtain and maintain, or cause any contractor engaged to obtain and maintain, adequate insurance coverage with respect to activities on or with respect to the Property;

(d)

to permit representatives of Impala, at its own expense and risk, access to the Property and all data derived from carrying out work hereunder, provided that in exercising such right Impala will not unreasonably interfere with the activities of ASW and that Impala and his representatives will defend, indemnify and save harmless ASW and its directors, officers, employees and agents from and against all and any losses, damages, expenses, claims, suits, actions and demands of any kind or nature whatsoever in any way referable to or arising out of the entry, presence or activities of Impala's representatives in connection with access to the Property including, without limitation, bodily injuries or death or damage to property at any time resulting therefrom;

(e)

to perform its duties and obligations in a manner consistent with good exploration and mining practices and in compliance with all applicable laws, rules and regulations;

(f)

defend, indemnify and save Impala harmless from any and all losses, damages, expenses, claims, suits, actions or demands of any kind or nature whatsoever in any way referable to or arising out of any work done by ASW on or with respect to the Property; and

(g)

prior to commencing any operations or activities on the Property, obtain all necessary operating and environmental permits and post any required reclamation or other bonds or safekeeping agreements required by any governmental agency.

6.

TERMINATION OF OPTION

6.1

This Agreement, except for the provisions of section 7, and the Option will (unless otherwise agreed by Impala in writing) terminate:

(a)

upon the failure of ASW to pay any portion of the Option Price pursuant to subsection 2.2 within the time periods specified therein; or

(b)

if ASW gives notice in accordance with subsection 6.2.

6.2

At any time prior to the exercise of the Option, ASW will have the right to terminate this Agreement and the Option by giving not less than thirty (30) days' notice to that effect to Impala.

7.

OBLIGATIONS AFTER TERMINATION OF OPTION

7.1

If this Agreement is terminated for any reason whatsoever prior to the exercise of the Option, this Agreement, including the Option, but excluding this section 7 (which will continue in full force and effect for so long as is required to give full effect to the same) will be of no further force and effect except that ASW will:

(a)

leave the Property:

(i)

in good standing and in accordance with the applicable laws and regulations for at least one year following the effective date of termination,

(ii)

free and clear of all liens, charges and encumbrances arising from this Agreement or its operations hereunder,

(iii)

in a safe and orderly condition, and

(iv)

in a condition which is in compliance with all applicable rules and orders of governmental authorities with respect to reclamation and restoration of the surface to the Property;

(b)

deliver to Impala, within ninety (90) days of termination, a report on all work carried out by ASW on the Property together with copies of all maps, drillhole logs, assay results, reports and other information compiled or prepared by or on behalf of ASW with respect to work on or with respect to the Property, and make available to Impala (at the place of storage) all core, samples and sample pulps and rejects;

(c)

unless otherwise agreed by Impala, remove from the Property within six (6) months of the effective date of termination all materials, equipment and facilities erected, installed or brought upon the Property by or at the instance of ASW; and

(d)

deliver to Impala a duly executed quitclaim of all right, title and interest of ASW in and to the Property in favour of Impala with respect to their respective interests therein.

8.

SHARING OF AND CONFIDENTIAL NATURE OF INFORMATION

8.1

Each party agrees that all information obtained hereunder will be the exclusive property of the parties and not publicly disclosed or used other than for the activities contemplated hereunder except as required by law or by the rules and regulations of any regulatory authority or stock exchange having jurisdiction or with the written consent of the other party, such consent not to be unreasonably withheld.

9.

ASSIGNMENT

9.1

Either party may at any time assign or transfer any or all of its interest herein, provided such assignee agrees to abide by and be bound by the terms of this Agreement in the same manner and to the same effect as if an original signatory hereto.

10.

NOTICES

10.1

Any notice, direction or other instrument required or permitted to be given under this Agreement will be in writing and may be given by the delivery of the same or by mailing the same by prepaid registered or certified mail or by sending the same by facsimile, e-mail or other similar form of communication, in each case addressed to the address first listed above or the following facsimile numbers or e-mail addresses:

(a)

If to Impala at facsimile no. (604) 682.1666;

(b)

If to ASW at facsimile no. (604) 683-7497;

10.2

Any notice, direction or other instrument will:

(a)

if delivered, be deemed to have been given and received on the day it was delivered;

(b)

if mailed, be deemed to have been given and received on the fifth (5th) business day following the day of mailing, except in the event of disruption of the postal service in which event notice will be deemed to be received only when actually received; and

(c)

if sent by facsimile, email or other similar form of communication, be deemed to have been received by each party by that party acknowledging in writing receipt of the same.

10.3

Any party may at any time give to the others notice in writing of any change of address of the party giving such notice and from and after the giving of such notice the address or addresses therein specified will be deemed to be the address of such party for the purposes of giving notice hereunder.

11.

FORCE MAJEURE

11.1

ASW shall not be deemed to be in default hereunder for failure or delay to perform any of its covenants pursuant to this Agreement, if prior to the requirement to perform such covenant any material dispute arises as to the ownership or title to any part of the Property or to the minerals therein (a "Title Dispute").

11.2

Should ASW seek to rely on the provisions of subsection 11.1 it will promptly give written notice to Impala of the particulars thereof and all time limits imposed by this Agreement will be extended from the date of delivery of such notice by a period equivalent to the period of delay resulting from such Title Dispute.

12.

ARBITRATION

12.1

If any question, difference or dispute shall arise between the parties or any of them in respect of any matter arising under this Agreement or in relation to the construction here the same shall be determined by the award of one arbitrator. The decision of the arbitrator shall be made within 30 days after the selection. The expense of the arbitration shall be paid accordingly as the arbitrator shall decide in his award. The arbitration shall be conducted in accordance with the provisions of the *Commercial Arbitration Act* (British Columbia), as amended, and the decision of the arbitrator shall be conclusive and binding upon the parties. The rules and procedures for the arbitration shall be procedures established by the B.C. Arbitrators Institute. The place of arbitration shall be Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada.

13.

GENERAL

13.1

The parties will execute such further and other documents and do such further and other things as may be necessary or convenient to carry out and give effect to the intent of this Agreement.

13.2

All references to moneys hereunder will be in Canadian funds unless otherwise specified. All payments to be made to any party hereunder may be made by cheque or bank draft mailed or delivered to such party at its address for notice purposes as provided herein, or deposited for the account of such party at such bank or banks in Canada as such party may designate from time to time by notice to the paying party.

13.3

This Agreement will enure to the benefit of and be binding upon the parties hereto and their respective successors and assigns.

13.4

This Agreement shall constitute the entire agreement between the parties and, except as hereafter set out, replaces and supersedes all prior agreements, memoranda, correspondence, communications, negotiations and representations, whether oral or written, express or implied, statutory or otherwise between the parties with respect to the subject matter herein.

13.5

This Agreement will be governed by and construed according to the laws of British Columbia and the laws of Canada applicable therein. All actions arising from this Agreement will be commenced and maintained in the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF the parties hereto have executed these presents as of the day and year first above written.

ANGLO SWISS RESOURCES INC.

by its authorized signatory:

IMPALA HOLDINGS LTD.

by its authorized signatory:

SCHEDULE "A"**DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY****Mackenzie Mining District, Northwest Territories**

Tag no.	Name of Claim(s)	Claim area	Claim sheet
F92361	MS1	1807.75	76D2
F92362	MS2	1549.50	76D2
F92363	MS3	2582.50	76D2
F92364	MS4	2582.50	76D2
F92365	MS5	2582.50	76D1
F92366	MS6	2582.50	76D1, 75M16
F92367	MS7	2582.50	76D2
F92368	MS8	1429.00	75M16
F92369	MS9	1429.00	75M16
F92370	MS10	1291.25	75M16, 76D1
F92371	MS11	1291.25	76D1
F92372	MS12	2582.50	76D1
F92373	MS13	2582.50	76D1, 75M16
F92374	MS14	1429.00	75M16
F92375	MS15	1549.50	75M16
F92376	MS16	2582.50	76D1
F92377	MS17	2410.30	76D1
F92378	MS18	2410.30	76D1
F92379	MS19	2582.50	76D1
F92380	MS20	2582.50	76D1
F92381	MS21	1601.10	75M16
F92382	MS22	1635.60	75M16
F92383	MS23	2582.50	76D1
F92384	MS24	2582.50	76D1
F92385	MS25	1635.60	75M16
Total	52,459.15 acres		

SCHEDULE B**GROSS OVERRIDING ROYALTY**

Pursuant to the Agreement to which this Schedule is attached, Impala is entitled to a royalty (the GORR) on the Average Appraised Value (as hereinafter defined) of all gem and industrial diamonds recovered, sorted and graded from the Property (the Diamonds), free and clear of all costs of development and operations.

1.

The expression Average Appraised Value shall mean the average of the valuations in Canadian dollars of the Diamonds determined by two independent graders, one appointed by the Company and one appointed by Impala. Such independent graders shall be duly qualified and accredited, and shall sort, grade and value the Diamonds in accordance with industry standards, having regard to, but without limiting the generality of the foregoing, the commercial demand for the Diamonds. Each independent valuator shall value each particular classification of the Diamonds in accordance with the industry pricebooks, standards and formulas. The parties acknowledge that the intention is that the GORR is to be paid to Impala on this basis, regardless of the price or proceeds actually received by the Company for or in connection with the Diamonds or the manner in which a sale of the Diamonds to a third party is made, and without deduction.

2.

ASW will calculate and pay the GORR to Impala within 30 days of the end of each calendar quarter, based on all Diamonds from the Property which were graded in such calendar quarter.

3.

Impala shall not be entitled to participate in the profits or be obligated to share in any losses generated by the Company's actual marketing or sales practices.

4.

Impala shall also at its election have the right to take the GORR in kind.

EXHIBIT 99.1

CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the annual report on Form 20-F of Anglo Swiss resources Inc. (the Company) for the year ended December 31, 2005, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof, I hereby certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

1. The annual report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
2. The information contained in the annual report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: May 24, 2006

Len Danard

Len Danard

Chief Executive Officer

EXHIBIT 99.2

CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the annual report on Form 20-F of Anglo Swiss Resources Inc. (the Company) for the year ended December 31, 2005, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof, I hereby certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

1. The annual report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
2. The information contained in the annual report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: May 24, 2006

Chris Robbins

Chris Robbins

Chief Financial Officer