

BAYER AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT

Form 20-F

June 27, 2003



Edgar Filing: BAYER AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT - Form 20-F

None

(Title of class)

Indicate the number of outstanding shares of each of the issuer's classes of capital or common stock as of the close of the period covered by the annual report.

As of December 31, 2002, 730,341,920 ordinary shares, of no par value, of Bayer AG were outstanding.

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes  No  Not applicable.

Indicate by check mark which financial statement item the registrant has elected to follow:

Item 17  Item 18

\* Bayer Corporation is also the name of a wholly-owned subsidiary of the registrant in the United States.

\*\* Not for trading, but only in connection with the registration of American Depositary Shares.

---

---

---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

**PART I**

- Item 1. Identity of Directors, Senior Management and Advisors
- Item 2. Offer Statistics and Expected Timetable
- Item 3. Key Information
- Item 4. Information on the Company
- Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects
- Item 6. Directors, Senior Management and Employees
- Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions
- Item 8. Financial Information
- Item 9. The Listing
- Item 10. Additional Information
- Item 11. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk
- Item 12. Description of Securities Other Than Equity Securities

**PART II**

- Item 13. Defaults, Dividend Arrearages and Delinquencies
- Item 14. Material Modifications to the Rights of Security Holders and Use of Proceeds
- Item 15. Controls and Procedures
- Item 16. [Reserved]

**PART III**

- Item 17. Financial Statements
- Item 18. Financial Statements
- Item 19. Exhibits

**SIGNATURES**

- Summary of Employment Agreement Wenning
  - Summary of Employment Agreement Oels
  - Summary of Employment Agreement Kuehn
  - Summary of Employment Agreement Pott
  - 906 Certification
-

**Table of Contents****TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b>Page</b>
<b>PART I</b>	<b>5</b>
Item 1. Identity of Directors, Senior Management and Advisors	5
Item 2. Offer Statistics and Expected Timetable	5
Item 3. Key Information	5
Item 4. Information on the Company	12
Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects	64
Item 6. Directors, Senior Management and Employees	84
Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions	94
Item 8. Financial Information	95
Item 9. The Listing	104
Item 10. Additional Information	105
Item 11. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	110
Item 12. Description of Securities Other Than Equity Securities	113
<b>PART II</b>	<b>114</b>
Item 13. Defaults, Dividend Arrearages and Delinquencies	114
Item 14. Material Modifications to the Rights of Security Holders and Use of Proceeds	114
Item 15. Controls and Procedures	114
Item 16. [Reserved]	114
<b>PART III</b>	<b>114</b>
Item 17. Financial Statements	114
Item 18. Financial Statements	114
Item 19. Exhibits	114



## Edgar Filing: BAYER AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT - Form 20-F

the judgment would conflict with the final judgment of a German court or with the final judgment of another foreign court that is recognizable under German law;

recognition of the judgment would violate an important principle of German law, especially basic constitutional rights; or

3

---

**Table of Contents**

there is a lack of reciprocity between Germany and the jurisdiction whose court rendered the original judgment.

You should be aware that German courts hold certain elements of some U.S. court judgments, for example punitive damages, to violate important principles of German law. Judgments for ordinary compensatory damages are generally enforceable, unless in an individual case one of the reasons described above would forbid enforcement.

If you bring an original action before a German court based on the provisions of the U.S. securities laws and the court agrees to take jurisdiction over the case, the court will decide the matter in accordance with the applicable U.S. laws, to the extent that these do not violate important principles of German law. However, the court may refuse to accept jurisdiction if another action is pending before a U.S. or other foreign court in the same matter. Furthermore, the court might decide that, for a lawsuit brought by a U.S. resident under U.S. law against a defendant that, like Bayer, has a significant presence in the United States, a U.S. court would be the more proper forum.



























































































































































































*2001 compared with 2000*

Sales of our Plastics, Rubber segment declined 4.0 percent. Plastics, with 2001 sales of 3.4 billion, and Rubber, with sales of 2.2 billion, accounted for approximately equal proportions of this decrease. Plastics sales



Edgar Filing: BAYER AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT - Form 20-F

Operating result	134	(34.0)	203	(48.5)	394
	73				

---







**Table of Contents**

Year	Segment	Description
	CropScience	Construction of a multi-purpose facility for crop protection products, Dormagen, Germany Protection products, Dormagen, Germany Insecticides production facility, Dormagen, Germany
	Plastics, Rubber	Expansion of polycarbonate capacities (production of bisphenol A and Makrolon), Map Ta Phut, Thailand and Uerdingen, Germany Expansion of films capacity, Dormagen, Germany Construction of a melt polycarbonate facility, Antwerp, Belgium (completed 2001) Construction of a rubber chemicals facility, Brunsbüttel, Germany (completed 2001)
	Polyurethanes, Coatings, Fibers	Expansion of isocyanate capacities including precursors, Uerdingen and Brunsbüttel, Germany Expansion of coating raw materials production, Leverkusen, Germany Expansion of capacity for aqueous dispersions, Dormagen/ Germany (brought on stream 2001) Expansion of dyestuff production for transparent Plastics, Leverkusen, Germany Construction of a coating raw materials facility, Caojing, China
	Chemicals	Construction of a sulfuric acid facility, Leverkusen, Germany Expansion/ modification of the electrolysis plant, Leverkusen, Germany Construction of a polyaspartic acid facility, Leverkusen, Germany Expansion of tantalum production, Goslar, Germany and Mito, Japan Process technology center, Goslar, Germany (completed 2001) Modernization and expansion of the nitrocellulose facility, Bomlitz, Germany Expansion of the molybdenum facility, Laufenburg, Germany
2000	Pharmaceuticals, Biological Products	Construction of process development pilot plant, Wuppertal, Germany (completed 2000)
	Consumer Care, Diagnostics	Expansion of solids plant, Bitterfeld, Germany
	CropScience	Fungicides production facility, Dormagen, Germany (completed 2000) Expansion of solids formulation plant (parasiticides, insecticides, rodenticides), Belford Roxo, Brazil
	Plastics, Rubber	Expansion of polycarbonate capacities (Makrolon) and Bisphenol A), Map Ta Phut, Thailand Construction of Therban facility, Leverkusen, Germany (completed 2000)
	Polyurethanes, Coatings, Fibers	Facility for continuous production of long chain polyethers by our proprietary IMPACT process, Channelview, Texas Expansion of coating raw materials production, Leverkusen, Germany
	Chemicals	Construction of sulfuric acid facility, Leverkusen, Germany Expansion/ modification of electrolysis plant, Leverkusen, Germany Construction of polyaspartic acid facility, Leverkusen, Germany Expansion of tantalum production at H.C. Starck, Germany and Japan



570 million. For 2001 the figure had been 732 million.

**Borrowings**

Our consolidated financial statements reflect borrowings as financial obligations , which include debentures, liabilities to banks, liabilities under lease agreements, liabilities from the issuance of promissory notes,























**Table of Contents**

during 2002. Of the amount paid to members who were active on the Board as of December 31, 2002, 1,988,291 represented base salary and fixed bonus and 2,324,111 represented variable bonus. The Board members who were active as of December 31, 2002 also received remuneration in kind totaling 114,355 and consisting mainly of amounts such as the value assigned to the use of a company car for taxation purposes.

Emoluments to retired members of the Board of Management and their surviving dependents amounted to 14,383,353 (2001: 8,355,270). We pay former and retired members of the Board of Management a monthly pension equal to 80 percent of the last monthly base salary received while in service. These amounts are in addition to any amounts they receive as a result of their participation in the Bayer pension plan described below. See *Employee Pension Plan*. If we increase the base salary of current members of the Board of Management, we adjust the pension payments to retired members accordingly.

In 2000 we implemented our Stock Option Program, under which we may grant option rights to members of the Board of Management. The number of shares that these option rights entitle holders to receive will vary substantially depending on certain performance benchmarks; if minimum benchmarks are not reached, the holder is not entitled to exercise the option rights. From the 2002 tranche of the Stock Option Program, the members of the Board of Management received a total of 476 option rights on the basis of their own investments. These rights are initially blocked for three years. During the subsequent two-year exercise period, the option rights entitle the participants to receive a maximum of 47,600 shares in total. See below, *Employee Option Plans* *Stock Option Program*.

The following table shows the remuneration paid to those individual members of our Board of Management who were active on the Board as of December 31, 2002.

***Remuneration of the Members of the Board of Management***

	<u>Period</u>	<u>Fixed Salary</u>	<u>Variable bonus</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Stock option rights (2002 tranche)</u>
Klaus Kühn	May-Dec. 2002	271,550	320,332	591,882	119
Dr. Udo Oels	Jan.-Dec. 2002	414,079	480,498	894,577	119
Dr. Richard Pott	May-Dec. 2002	271,832	320,332	592,164	0
Werner Spinner	Jan.-Dec. 2002	408,513	480,498	889,011	119
Werner Wenning	Jan.-Dec. 2002	622,317	722,451	1,344,768	119















































**Table of Contents**

2003 but in taxable years beginning before January 1, 2009, the maximum rate of tax generally will be 15% (rather than the higher rates of tax generally applicable to items of ordinary income). Finally, qualified dividend income received in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2002 and beginning before January 1, 2009, generally will be taxed at the rates applicable to these capital gains (*i.e.*, a maximum rate of 15%) rather than the rates applicable to other items of ordinary income. For this purpose, qualified dividend income generally includes dividends received from U.S. corporations as well as from certain non-U.S. corporations. The exact extent to which dividends paid by non-U.S. corporations will constitute qualified dividend income and the effect of such status on the ability of a taxpayer to utilize associated foreign tax credits is not entirely clear at present, but it is anticipated that a number of uncertainties will be clarified through administrative pronouncements throughout the remainder of 2003. In the meantime, you are urged to consult your own tax advisor regarding the impact on your particular situation of the provisions of the 2003 Act.

**Documents on display**

You can inspect the documents concerning Bayer AG mentioned in this annual report during normal business hours at Bayer AG's headquarters at the Bayerwerk, 51368 Leverkusen, Germany, as well as at the headquarters of Bayer AG's U.S. subsidiary, Bayer Corporation, 100 Bayer Road, Pittsburgh, PA 15205-9741.







---

\* Insufficient trades in 2001 to calculate effective market rates.

---



**Table of Contents**

**PART II**

**Item 13. Defaults, Dividend Arrearages and Delinquencies**

None.

**Item 14. Material Modifications to the Rights of Security Holders and Use of Proceeds**

Not applicable.

**Item 15. Controls and Procedures**

As required by Rule 13a-15 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the chairman of our Board of Management and our chief financial officer, assisted by other members of our management, have evaluated our disclosure controls and procedures within 90 days prior to the date of this annual report. Based on this evaluation, they have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that the information we are required to disclose in this annual report is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the Securities and Exchange Commission. There were no significant changes in our internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect these controls subsequent to the date of the evaluation. We identified no significant deficiencies or material weaknesses that required corrective action.

**Item 16. [Reserved]**

**PART III**

**Item 17. Financial Statements**

We have responded to Item 18 in lieu of responding to this item.

**Item 18. Financial Statements**

See pages F-1 through F-77, incorporated herein by reference.

**Item 19. Exhibits**

Documents filed as exhibits to this Annual Report:

Exhibit 1.1	Articles of Association ( <i>Satzung</i> ) of Bayer AG, as amended to date, in English translation.*
Exhibit 2.2	The total amount of long term debt securities Bayer AG authorized under any instrument does not exceed 10 percent of the total assets of the Company. We agree to furnish the Securities and Exchange Commission, upon its request, a copy of any instrument defining the rights of holders of long-term debt of Bayer AG or its subsidiaries for which consolidated or unconsolidated financial statements are required to be filed.
Exhibit 4.1	Stock Purchase Agreement dated October 2, 2001 among Aventis S.A., Hoechst AG, and Bayer AG.**
Exhibit 4.2	

Edgar Filing: BAYER AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT - Form 20-F

Stock Purchase Agreement dated as of October 2, 2001, among Schering Aktiengesellschaft, SCIC Holdings LLC and Bayer AG.\*\*\*

Exhibit 4.3	Summary of Employment Arrangements between Bayer AG and Werner Wenning.
Exhibit 4.4	Summary of Employment Arrangements between Bayer AG and Dr. Udo Oels.
Exhibit 4.5	Summary of Employment Arrangements between Bayer AG and Klaus Kühn.
Exhibit 4.6	Summary of Employment Arrangements between Bayer AG and Dr. Richard Pott.

**Table of Contents**

Exhibit 8.1	Subsidiaries as of the end of the year covered by this report: See Organizational Structure in Item 4, <i>Information on the Company</i> . We agree to furnish to the Securities and Exchange Commission upon request by the Commission a list or diagram of our subsidiaries indicating as to each subsidiary named: (a) its country or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization, (b) its relationship to Bayer AG, and (c) the percentage of voting securities owned or other basis of control by its immediate parent if any.
Exhibit 11.1	Certification in accordance with 18 U.S.C. § 1350 as adopted by § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

---

\* Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1.1 to the Registration Statement on Form 20-F of Bayer AG filed with the Commission on January 15, 2002.

\*\* Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.3 to the Annual Report on Form 20-F of Aventis, SEC File Number 001-10378, filed with the Commission on April 8, 2002, for which confidential treatment was requested.

\*\*\* Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1 to the Report on Form 6-K of Schering AG, SEC File Number 001-16143, filed with the Commission on March 13, 2002, for which confidential treatment was requested.

**Table of Contents**

**SIGNATURES**

The registrant hereby certifies that it meets all of the requirements for filing on Form 20-F and that it has duly caused and authorized the undersigned to sign this annual report on its behalf.

**BAYER AG**

/s/ WERNER WENNING

---

Name: Werner Wenning

Title: Chairman of the Board of Management

/s/ DR. ROLAND HARTWIG

---

Name: Dr. Roland Hartwig

Title: General Counsel

Date: June 27, 2003

**Table of Contents**

**CERTIFICATION OF THE PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICER OF THE REGISTRANT**

**IN ACCORDANCE WITH RULE 13a-14  
UNDER THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934, AS AMENDED**

I, Werner Wenning, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 20-F of Bayer AG;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15 and 15d-15) for the registrant and have:
  - (a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - (b) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days prior to the filing date of this report (the Evaluation Date); and
  - (c) presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures based on our evaluation as of the Evaluation Date;
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - (a) all significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data and have identified for the registrant's auditors any material weaknesses in internal controls; and
  - (b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls; and
6. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have indicated in this report whether there were significant changes in internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the date of our most recent evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

/s/ WERNER WENNING

---

Name: Werner Wenning

Title: Chairman of the Board of Management

Date: June 27, 2003

**Table of Contents**

**CERTIFICATION OF THE PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL OFFICER OF THE REGISTRANT**

**IN ACCORDANCE WITH RULE 13a-14  
UNDER THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934, AS AMENDED**

I, Klaus Kühn, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 20-F of Bayer AG;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15 and 15d-15) for the registrant and have:
  - (a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - (b) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days prior to the filing date of this report (the "Evaluation Date"); and
  - (c) presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures based on our evaluation as of the Evaluation Date;
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - (a) all significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data and have identified for the registrant's auditors any material weaknesses in internal controls; and
  - (b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls; and
6. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have indicated in this report whether there were significant changes in internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the date of our most recent evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

/s/ KLAUS KÜHN

---

Name: Klaus Kühn  
Title: Chief Financial Officer and  
Member of the Board of Management

Date: June 27, 2003



































**Table of Contents****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Bayer Group (Continued)**

Lists of Bayer AG's direct and indirect holdings have been included in the Cologne commercial register. They also are available directly from Bayer AG on request.

The principle companies included in the consolidated financial statements are listed in the following table:

<b>Company Name and Place of Business</b>	<b>Bayer's interest</b>
	(%)
<b>Germany</b>	
Bayer Buna GmbH, Marl	100
Bayer CropScience AG, Monheim	100
Bayer Distribution Service GmbH, Leverkusen	100
Bayer Industrieprodukte GmbH & Co. KG, Leverkusen	100
Bayer Vital GmbH, Leverkusen	100
H.C. Starck GmbH, Goslar	100
Wolff Walsrode AG, Walsrode	100
<b>Other European Countries</b>	
Bayer A/S, Denmark	100
Bayer Antwerpen N.V., Belgium	100
Bayer B.V., Netherlands	100
Bayer CropScience France S.A., France	100
Bayer CropScience Holding S.A., France	100
Bayer CropScience S.A., France	100
Bayer Hispania, S.A., Spain	100
Bayer International S.A., Switzerland	99.7
Bayer Pharma S.A., France	99.9
Bayer plc, U.K.	100
Bayer Rubber N.V., Belgium	100
Bayer S.A.S, France	99.9
Bayer S.p.A., Italy	100
Quimica Farmaceutica Bayer, S.A., Spain	100
<b>North America</b>	
Bayer Corporation, United States	100
Bayer CropScience LP, United States	100
Bayer CropScience Inc., Canada	100
Bayer Inc., Canada	100
<b>Asia/Pacific</b>	
Bayer (India) Ltd., India	55.3
Bayer (South East Asia) Pte Ltd., Singapore	100
Bayer Australia Ltd., Australia	99.9
Bayer China Co. Ltd., Hong Kong	99.3
Bayer CropScience K.K., Japan	100
Bayer Ltd., Japan	100
Bayer Polymers Co. Ltd., Hong Kong	100
Bayer Yakuhin Ltd., Japan	100
Sumika Bayer Urethane Co., Ltd., Japan	60







**Table of Contents****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Bayer Group (Continued)**

to customers are recognized in the period in which the related sales are recorded based on the contract terms. Payments relating to the sale or outlicensing of technologies or technological expertise once the respective agreements have become effective are immediately recognized in income if all rights to the technologies and all obligations resulting from them have been relinquished under the contract terms. However, if rights to the technologies continue to exist or obligations resulting from them have yet to be fulfilled, the payments received are recorded in line with the actual circumstances.

Total reported sales declined by 651 million compared with 2001, to 29,624 million (2001: 30,275 million; 2000: 30,971 million). Sales from continuing operations were 212 million lower than in 2001 and amounted to 28,958 million (2001: 29,170 million; 2000: 29,121 million). In 2002, a 54 million increase in volumes was offset by negative contributions of 715 million from lower selling prices and 1,421 million from adverse shifts in exchange rates. The net effect of acquisitions and divestitures raised sales by 1,870 million. Acquisitions and divestitures during 2002 and 2001 affected the comparison between the two years' sales figures by the following amounts:

<b>2002</b>	<b>million</b>
<b>Acquisitions</b>	
Aventis CropScience Holding S.A., Lyon, France	1,977
Tectrade A/S, Copenhagen, Denmark	12
Other	3
	<u>1,992</u>
<b>Divestitures</b>	
ChemDesign Corporation (divested in 2001)	(56)
Covexx Films (divested in 2001)	(42)
Sale of the generics business	(16)
Other	(8)
	<u>(122)</u>
<b>Net effect an sales from continuing operations</b>	<b><u>1,870</u></b>

While total reported sales declined 2001 by 696 million, to 30,275 million, sales from continuing operations increased by 49 million to 29,170 million. A 1,168 million decrease due to lower volumes was offset by positive contributions of 274 million from higher selling prices, 84 million from favorable shifts in exchange rates and 859 million from the net effect of acquisitions and divestitures. Acquisitions and divestitures during 2001 and 2000 affected the comparison between the two years' sales figures by the following amounts:

**Table of Contents**

**Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Bayer Group (Continued)**

<b>2001</b>	<b>million</b>
<b>Acquisitions</b>	
Sybron Chemicals Inc. (polymers and specialty chemicals) (acquired in 2000)	206
Polyols business of Lyondell Chemical Company (acquired in 2000)	202
CSM Group (acquired in 2000)	133
Fungicide product lines, primarily FLINT (acquired in 2000)	104
Full consolidation of Sumika Bayer Urethane Co. Ltd., Japan	99
Paper chemicals business of Cytec Industries Inc. (acquired in 2000)	83
MIKADO corn herbicide	46
Other	110
	<hr/> 983
<b>Divestitures</b>	
Covexx Films	(61)
U.S. livestock vaccines business to Intervet International (divested in 2000)	(30)
Other	(33)
	<hr/> (124)
<b>Net effect on sales from continuing operations</b>	<hr/> <b>859</b>

2000 sales increased by 3,651 million compared with 1999, to 30,971 million. Sales from continuing operations advanced by 5,158 million, to 29,121 million. The increase in 2000 comprised 1,684 million from higher volumes, 568 million from improvement in selling prices, 2,158 million from favorable shifts in exchange rates and 748 million from the net positive effect of acquisitions and investitures. Acquisition and divestitures during 2000 and 1999 affected the comparison between the two years-sales figures by the following amounts:

<b>2000</b>	<b>million</b>
<b>Acquisitions</b>	
Polyols Business (from Lyondell)	646
Plastic sheet Business (from DSM an April 1, 1999)	80
Purchase of further interest in Misung Ltd., Pyongtaek, South Korea	58
Sybron Chemicals Inc., Birmingham, New Jersey, United States	35
Paper chemicals Business (from Cytec Industries)	14
	<hr/> 833
<b>Divestitures</b>	
U.S. livestock vaccines Business to Intervet International	(27)
Troponwerke GmbH & Co. KG	(24)
Other	(34)
	<hr/> (85)
<b>Net effect on sales from continuing operations</b>	<hr/> <b>748</b>
Sale of 70 percent of the shares of the Agfa-Gevaert group (May 31, 1999)	(1,801)
	<hr/> (1,053)

[2] **Selling expenses**

Selling expenses include 797 million (2001: 789 million; 2000: 796 million) in shipping and handling costs.

F-15

---





























**Table of Contents****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Bayer Group (Continued)**

Deferred taxes computed according to IAS 12 (Income Taxes) result primarily from temporary differences between the accounting and tax balance sheets of the individual consolidated companies with regard to the recognition and/or valuation of certain items.

The deferred tax assets and liabilities are allocable to the various balance sheet items as follows:

	Dec. 31, 2002		Dec. 31, 2001		Dec. 31, 2000	
	Deferred tax assets	Deferred tax liabilities	Deferred tax assets	Deferred tax liabilities	Deferred tax assets	Deferred tax liabilities
	( million)					
Intangible assets	416	1,589	438	177	87	72
Property, plant and equipment	419	1,558	243	1,672	68	1,745
Investments	52	106	20	88	2	79
Inventories	308	75	267	86	298	86
Receivables	113	70	122	53	116	51
Other current assets	52	242	11	189	51	132
Pension provisions	328	225	357	247	327	202
Other provisions	254	66	166	74	144	46
Other liabilities	55	172	158	30	163	40
Loss carry-forwards	743		282		82	
Valuation allowance for loss carry-forwards	(123)		(78)		(67)	
	2,617	4,103	1,986	2,616	1,271	2,453
Set-off*	(1,650)	(1,650)	(1,378)	(1,378)	(858)	(858)
	967	2,453	608	1,238	413	1,595

\* According to IAS 12 (Income Taxes), deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities should, under certain conditions, be offset if they relate to income taxes levied by the same taxation authority.

In 2002, deferred tax assets of 331 million (2001: 9 million; 2000: 122 million) and deferred tax liabilities of 1,340 million (2001: 10 million; 2000: 167 million) relate to changes in the scope of consolidation. Utilization of tax loss carryforwards from previous years diminished the amount of income taxes paid or accrued in 2002 by 11 million (2001: 88 million; 2000: 7 million).

The value of existing loss carryforwards by expiration date is as follows:

	Dec. 31, 2002	Dec. 31, 2001	Dec. 31, 2000
	( million)		
One year	17	6	3
Two years	4	11	20
Three years	17	16	11
Four years	17	50	22
Five years and thereafter	1,936	653	196
	1,991	736	525

## Edgar Filing: BAYER AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT - Form 20-F

Deferred tax assets of 620 million (2001: 204 million; 2000: 15 million) are recognized on the 1,731 million (2001: 540 million; 2000: 48 million) in loss carryforwards that represent income likely to be realized in the future. Recognition of these deferred tax assets results in deferred tax income of 220 million (2001: 198 million).

Deferred tax liabilities have not been recognized for temporary differences associated with investments in foreign subsidiaries of 3,327 million (2001: 3,030 million, 2000: 2,887 million) as Bayer has determined that

F-25

---

**Table of Contents****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Bayer Group (Continued)**

the profits concerned will not be distributed in the foreseeable future. If deferred taxes were recognized for these temporary differences, the liability would be based on the respective withholding tax rates only. For most countries, double taxation agreements ensure that any withholding taxes paid can be deducted from the tax base or the tax to be paid in Germany.

The actual tax income for 2002 is 107 million (2001: 154 million expense; 2000: 1,148 million expense). This figure differs by 465 million (2001: 259 million; 2000: 31 million) from the 358 million expense (2001: 413 million expense; 2000: 1,179 million expense) that would result from applying to the pre-tax income of the Group a tax rate of 37.5 percent (2001: 37.1 percent; 2000: 39.5 percent), which is the weighted average of the theoretical tax rates for the individual Group companies.

The reconciliation of theoretical to actual income tax expense for the Group is as follows:

	2002		2001		2000	
	million	%	million	%	Million	%
Theoretical income tax expense	358	100	413	100	1,179	100
Lower taxes due to tax-free income	(677)	(189)	(283)	(68)	(151)	(13)
Higher taxes due to non-tax-deductible expenses	168	47	47	11	93	8
Other tax effects	44	12	(23)	(5)	27	2
<b>Actual income tax expense</b>	<b>(107)</b>	<b>(30)</b>	<b>154</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>1,148</b>	<b>97</b>
Effective tax rate in %	(11.2)		13.8		38.4	

**[12] Other taxes**

Other taxes amounting to 221 million (2001: 247 million; 2000: 229 million) are included in the cost of goods sold, selling expenses, research and development expenses or general administration expenses. These are mainly property-related taxes.

**[13] Minority Stockholders interest**

Minority interest in income amounts to 12 million (2001: 6 million; 2000: 29 million), and minority interest in losses to 9 million (2001: 10 million; 2000: 3 million).

**[14] Earnings per share**

Earnings per share are determined according to IAS 33 (Earnings Per Share) by dividing the net income by the average number of shares.

In 2002, as in 2001 and in 2000, the number of shares remained constant at 730,341,920. Earnings per share were 1.45 (2001: 1.32; 2000: 2.49).

There were no subscription rights outstanding in 2002, in 2001 or in 2000, and therefore no dilutive potential shares.

**[15] Cost of materials**

The total cost of materials for continuing operations amounted to 11,346 million (2001: 11,093 million; 2000: 10,166 million), comprising 10,760 million (2001: 10,387 million; 2000: 9,494 million) in expenses for raw materials, supplies and goods purchased for resale, and 586 million (2001: 706 million; 2000: 673 million) in expenses for purchased services.

The cost of materials for the discontinuing operations was 268 million (2001: 497 million; 2000: 1,042 million). The figure for 2002 was attributable in its entirety to the inclusion of the Haarmann & Reimer group for the first nine months until the date of divestiture. The cost of

Edgar Filing: BAYER AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT - Form 20-F

materials for Haarmann & Reimer was 268 million (2001: 344 million; 2000: 393 million), including 4 million (2001: 10 million; 2000:

F-26

---

**Table of Contents****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Bayer Group (Continued)**

10 million) for purchased services. The 153 million cost of materials pertaining to Erdölchemie in 2001 (2000: 545 million) related solely to raw materials and supplies. In 2000, DyStar accounted for 104 million, which included 1 million for purchased services.

**[16] Personnel expenses**

Personnel expenses for continuing operations rose in 2002 on aggregate by 387 million (2001 by 251 million) to 8,001 million (2001: 7,614 million), despite a 214 million reduction (2001: 43 million increase) due to exchange rate fluctuations.

The breakdown of personnel expenses is as follows:

	Discontinuing Operations												
	Continuing Operations												
			EC		HR			Dystar		Total			
	2002	2001	2000	2001	2000	2002	2001	2000	2000	2002	2001	2000	
Wages and salaries	6,317	6,037	5,869	18	55	138	188	184	67	138	206	306	
Social expenses	1,684	1,577	1,494	5	15	37	47	39	13	37	52	67	
Of which pension expenses	[519]	[430]	[400]	[2]	[5]	[25]	[14]	[8]	[3]	[25]	[16]	[16]	
	8,001	7,614	7,363	23	70	175	235	223	80	175	258	373	

**[17] Number of employees**

The average number of employees in continuing operations, classified by corporate functions, was as follows:

	2002	2001	2000
Marketing	35,985	33,875	33,397
Technology	66,051	61,055	61,196
Research	12,521	11,206	11,071
Administration	10,035	9,091	9,526
	124,592	115,227	115,190
<i>Of which trainees</i>	2,564	2,646	2,670

The employees of joint ventures are included in the above figures in proportion to Bayer's interests in the respective companies. The total number of people employed by our joint ventures in 2002 was 1,102 (2001: 1,075; 2000: 1,048).

The figures in the above table do not include people employed in discontinuing operations. In 2002, Haarmann & Reimer employed an average 3,576 people (2001: 3,660; 2000: 3,742).

**[18] Intangible assets**

## Edgar Filing: BAYER AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT - Form 20-F

Acquired intangible assets other than goodwill are recognized at cost and amortized by the straight-line method over a period of 4 to 15 years, depending on their estimated useful lives. Write-downs are made for impairment losses. Assets are written back if the reasons for previous years' write-downs no longer apply.

Goodwill, including that resulting from capital consolidation, is capitalized in accordance with IAS 22 (Business Combinations) and amortized on a straight-line basis over a maximum estimated useful life of 20 years. The value of goodwill is reassessed regularly based on impairment indicators and written down if necessary. In compliance with IAS 36 (Impairment of Assets), such write-downs of goodwill are measured by comparison to the discounted cash flows expected to be generated by the assets to which the goodwill can be ascribed.

Self-created intangible assets generally are not capitalized.

F-27

---

**Table of Contents****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Bayer Group (Continued)**

Certain development costs relating to the application development stage of internally developed software are capitalized in the Group Balance sheet. These costs are amortized over their useful life from the date they are placed in service.

Changes in intangible assets in 2002 were as follows:

	Acquired concessions, industrial property rights, similar rights and assets, and licenses thereunder	Acquired goodwill	Advance payments	Total
		( million)		
Gross carrying amounts, Dec. 31, 2001	5,240	1,399	42	6,681
Exchange differences	(529)	(163)	(4)	(696)
Changes in scope of consolidation	2	7		9
Acquisitions	3,057	2,267		5,324
Capital expenditures	363		72	435
Retirements	(249)	(204)	(13)	(466)
Transfers	39		(39)	
	<u>7,923</u>	<u>3,306</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>11,287</u>
<b>Gross carrying amounts, Dec. 31, 2002</b>				
Accumulated amortization and write-downs, Dec. 31, 2001	1,243	424		1,667
Exchange differences	(149)	(43)		(192)
Changes in scope of consolidation				
Amortization and write-downs in 2002	1,058	205		1,263
<i>of which write-downs</i>	[249]	[11]	[ ]	[260]
Write-backs				
Retirements	(186)	(144)		(330)
Transfers				
	<u>1,966</u>	<u>442</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>2,408</u>
<b>Accumulated amortization and write-downs, Dec. 31, 2002</b>				
<b>Net carrying amounts, Dec. 31, 2002</b>	<u>5,957</u>	<u>2,864</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>8,879</u>
Net carrying amounts, Dec. 31, 2001	<u>3,997</u>	<u>975</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>5,014</u>

The exchange differences are the differences between the carrying amounts at the beginning and the end of the year that result from translating foreign companies' figures at the respective different exchange rates and changes in their assets during the year at the average rate for the year.

**[19] Property, plant and equipment**

Property, plant and equipment is carried at the cost of acquisition or construction. Assets subject to depletion are depreciated over their estimated useful lives. Write-downs are made for any declines in value that go beyond the depletion reflected in depreciation. In compliance with IAS 36 (Impairment of Assets), such write-downs are measured by comparing the carrying amounts to the discounted cash flows expected to be generated by the respective assets. Where it is not possible to estimate the impairment loss for an individual asset, the loss is assessed on the basis of the discounted cash flow for the cash-generating unit to which the asset belongs. Assets are written back if the reasons for previous years' write-downs no longer apply.

Edgar Filing: BAYER AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT - Form 20-F

The cost of construction of self-constructed property, plant and equipment comprises the direct cost of materials, direct manufacturing expenses, appropriate allocations of material and manufacturing overheads, and

F-28

---

**Table of Contents****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Bayer Group (Continued)**

an appropriate share of the depreciation and write-downs of assets used in construction. It includes the shares of expenses for company pension plans and discretionary employee benefits that are attributable to construction.

If the construction phase of property, plant or equipment extends over a long period, the interest incurred on borrowed capital up to the date of completion is capitalized as part of the cost of acquisition or construction.

Expenses for the repair of property, plant and equipment are normally charged against income, but they are capitalized if they result in an enlargement or substantial improvement of the respective assets.

Property, plant and equipment is depreciated by the straight-line method, except where the declining-balance method is more appropriate in light of the actual utilization pattern.

When assets are retired, sold, or abandoned, the difference between the net proceeds and the net carrying amount of the assets is recognized as a gain or loss in other operating income or expenses, respectively.

The following depreciation periods, based on the estimated useful lives of the respective assets, are applied throughout the Group:

Buildings	20 to 50 years
Outdoor infrastructure	10 to 20 years
Plant installations	6 to 20 years
Machinery and apparatus	6 to 12 years
Laboratory and research facilities	3 to 5 years
Storage tanks and pipelines	10 to 20 years
Vehicles	4 to 8 years
Computer equipment	3 to 5 years
Furniture and fixtures	4 to 10 years

In accordance with IAS 17 (Leases), assets leased on terms equivalent to financing a purchase by a long-term loan (finance leases) are capitalized at the lower of their fair value or the present value of the minimum lease payments. The leased assets are depreciated over their estimated useful life except where subsequent transfer of title is uncertain, in which case they are depreciated over their estimated useful life or the respective lease term, whichever is shorter. The future lease payments are recorded as financial obligations.

**Table of Contents****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Bayer Group (Continued)**

Changes in property, plant and equipment in 2002 were as follows:

	<u>Land and buildings</u>	<u>Machinery and technical equipment</u>	<u>Furniture, fixtures and other equipment</u>	<u>Construction in progress and advance payments to vendors and contractors</u>	<u>Total</u>
	( Million)				
Gross carrying amounts, Dec. 31, 2001	8,148	19,544	2,500	1,698	31,890
Exchange differences	(538)	(1,691)	(233)	(110)	(2,572)
Changes in scope of consolidation	(139)	3	1	15	(120)
Acquisitions	411	401	62	54	928
Capital expenditures	98	516	196	1,138	1,948
Retirements	(521)	(901)	(369)	(78)	(1,869)
Transfers	602	718	88	(1,408)	
<b>Gross carrying amounts, Dec. 31, 2002</b>	<b>8,061</b>	<b>18,590</b>	<b>2,245</b>	<b>1,309</b>	<b>30,205</b>
Accumulated depreciation and write-downs, Dec. 31, 2001	4,168	12,486	1,675	18	18,347
Exchange differences	(203)	(1,053)	(149)		(1,405)
Changes in scope of consolidation	(108)	(2)			(110)
Depreciation and write-downs in 2002	285	1,460	304	1	2,050
<i>of which write-downs</i>	[11]	[238]	[1]	[ ]	[250]
Write-backs		(3)	(1)		(4)
Retirements	(157)	(654)	(280)	(18)	(1,109)
Transfers	67	(58)	(9)		
<b>Accumulated depreciation and write-downs, Dec. 31, 2002</b>	<b>4,052</b>	<b>12,176</b>	<b>1,540</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>17,769</b>
<b>Net carrying amounts, Dec. 31, 2002</b>	<b>4,009</b>	<b>6,414</b>	<b>705</b>	<b>1,308</b>	<b>12,436</b>
Net carrying amounts, Dec. 31, 2001	3,980	7,058	825	1,680	13,543

The exchange differences are as defined for intangible assets.

Capitalized property, plant and equipment includes assets with a total net value of 504 million (2001: 588 million) held under finance leases. The gross carrying amounts of these assets total 1,106 million (2001: 1,229 million). These assets are mainly machinery and technical equipment with a carrying amount of 358 million (gross amount 864 million) and buildings with a carrying amount of 105 million (gross amount 140 million). In the case of buildings, either the present value of the minimum lease payments covers substantially all of the cost of acquisition, or title passes to the lessee at expiration of the lease.

Also included are products leased to other parties under operating leases with a carrying amount of 232 million (2001: 381 million). The gross carrying amount of these assets was 500 million (2001: 753 million); their depreciation in 2002 amounted to 83 million (2001: 52 million). However, if under the relevant agreements the lessee is to be regarded as the economic owner of the assets and the lease therefore constitutes a finance lease as defined in IAS 17 (Leases), a receivable is recognized in the balance sheet in the amount of the discounted future lease payments.

**[20] Investments**

Investments in non-consolidated subsidiaries and other affiliated companies are generally carried individually at cost. Where other affiliated companies or other securities included in investments are classified as held-to-maturity investments or available-for-sale financial assets, they are recognized in compliance with IAS 39

F-30

---

**Table of Contents****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Bayer Group (Continued)**

(Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement) at amortized cost or fair value. Where evidence exists that such assets may be impaired, they are written down as necessary on the basis of an impairment test. Investments are written back if the reasons for previous years' write-downs no longer apply.

The cost of acquisition of investments in companies included at equity is adjusted annually in line with any changes in these companies' total stockholders' equity. In the first-time consolidation, differences between the cost of acquisition and the underlying equities at the dates of acquisition of the investments are allocated to assets or liabilities by the same method applied to fully consolidated subsidiaries.

Loans receivable that are interest-free or bear low rates of interest are carried at present value; other loans receivable are carried at amortized cost.

Changes in investments in 2002 were as follows:

	Investments in subsidiaries	Loans to subsidiaries	Investments in other affiliated companies		Loans to other		Other loans	Total
			Associated companies	Other companies	affiliated companies	Other securities		
								( Million)
Gross carrying amounts, Dec. 31, 2001	182		1,290	1,341	13	196	207	3,229
Exchange differences	(12)		(137)	(13)	(1)	(33)	(9)	(205)
Changes in scope of consolidation	(22)			1	3		(1)	(19)
Changes of fair value				(570)		(3)		(573)
Acquisitions	23			59		61	26	169
Other additions	4		107	75		101	345	632
Retirements	(28)		(55)	(496)		(84)	(165)	(828)
Transfers	(8)			(6)	(8)	14	8	
<b>Gross carrying amounts, Dec. 31, 2002</b>	<b>139</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>1,205</b>	<b>391</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>252</b>	<b>411</b>	<b>2,405</b>
Accumulated write-downs, Dec. 31, 2001	14		58			3	9	84
Exchange differences	(5)		(2)	(1)		(7)		(15)
Changes in scope of consolidation					1			1
Write-downs in 2002	22		7	67	1	81	1	179
Write-backs							(1)	(1)
Retirements	(22)		(17)			(1)	(1)	(41)
Transfers								
<b>Accumulated write-downs, Dec. 31, 2002</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>207</b>
<b>Net carrying amounts, Dec. 31, 2002</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>1,159</b>	<b>325</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>176</b>	<b>403</b>	<b>2,198</b>
Net carrying amounts, Dec. 31, 2001	168		1,232	1,341	13	193	198	3,145

The exchange differences are as defined for intangible assets.

The additions to investments in associated companies relate mainly to a manufacturing company being established jointly with Lyondell, which is included at equity. The difference between the equity interest in the underlying net assets of companies included at equity and their at-equity accounting values is 34 million (2001: 45 million). It mainly relates to goodwill. Retirements of investments in other companies mainly relate to the divestiture of the 30 percent interest in Agfa-Gevaert N.V., Belgium, which in 2001 was included at fair value under investments in other companies. Of the additions to other loans, 250 million relates to the granting of a loan to the financial investor EQT Northern Europe Private Equity Funds in connection with its acquisition of the Haarmann & Reimer group.

**[21]Inventories**

Raw Materials, supplies, and goods purchased for resale are valued at the cost of acquisition; work in process and finished goods are valued at the cost of production. If the inventory values are lower at the closing date because of a drop in market prices, for example, the lower amounts are shown. Of the 6,342 million (2001:

F-31

---

**Table of Contents****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Bayer Group (Continued)**

5,818 million) in inventories carried as of December 31, 2002, 833 million (2001: 824 million) represents those included at their net realizable value. Inventories are normally valued by the weighted-average method.

The cost of production comprises the direct cost of materials, direct manufacturing expenses, appropriate allocations of material and manufacturing overheads, and an appropriate share of the depreciation and write-downs of assets used for production. It also includes the shares of expenses for company pension plans and discretionary employee benefits that are attributable to production. Administrative costs are included where they are attributable to production.

Work in process and finished goods are grouped together in light of the production sequences characteristic of the chemical industry.

Inventories are comprised as follows:

	<u>Dec. 31, 2002</u>	<u>Dec. 31, 2001</u>
	( million)	
Raw materials and supplies	1,362	1,179
Work in process, finished goods and goods purchased for resale	4,963	4,626
Advanced payments	17	13
	<u>6,342</u>	<u>5,818</u>

The changes in inventory write-downs are as follows:

	<u>Dec. 31, 2002</u>	<u>Dec. 31, 2001</u>
	( million)	
Balance at the beginning of the year	(434)	(241)
Additions charged to expense	(282)	(362)
Exchange differences	33	(2)
Changes in scope of consolidation	(82)	17
Deductions due to utilization	308	154
	<u>(457)</u>	<u>(434)</u>

**[22] Trade accounts receivable**

Trade accounts receivable are stated at nominal value, less write-downs of 345 million (2001: 222 million) for amounts unlikely to be recovered.

Trade accounts receivable as of December 31, 2002 include 5,529 million (2001: 5,413 million) maturing within one year and 13 million (2001: 2 million) maturing after one year. Of the total, 47 million (2001: 18 million) is receivable from subsidiaries, 60 million (2001: 66 million) from other affiliated companies and 5,435 million (2001: 5,331 million) from other customers.

Changes in write-downs of trade accounts receivable are as follows:

Edgar Filing: BAYER AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT - Form 20-F

	<u>Dec. 31, 2002</u>	<u>Dec. 31, 2001</u>
	( million)	
Balance at the beginning of the year	(222)	(204)
Additions charged to expense	(101)	(94)
Exchange differences	49	(5)
Changes in scope of consolidation	(384)	5
Deductions due to utilization	313	76
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Balance at the end of year	(345)	(222)
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>

F-32

**Table of Contents****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Bayer Group (Continued)****[23] Other receivables and other assets**

Other receivables and other assets are carried at amortized cost, less write-downs of 4 million (2001: 4 million).

They are comprised as follows:

	<u>Dec. 31, 2002</u>	<u>Dec. 31, 2001</u>
	( million)	
Claims for tax refunds	677	448
Receivables from derivative financial instruments	502	72
Interest receivable on loans	98	19
Short-term loans	85	102
Short-term loans from clearing	51	41
Lease payments receivable	48	94
Payroll receivables	14	47
Other receivables	2,735	1,624
	<u>4,210</u>	<u>2,447</u>

Interest receivable and loans consists mainly of interest earned in the fiscal year but not due to be received until after the balance sheet date.

Total other receivables and other assets include 47 million (2001: 66 million) pertaining to subsidiaries and 60 million (2001: 124 million) pertaining to other affiliated companies.

Total other receivables and other assets in the amount of 711 million (2001: 444 million) mature in more than one year. Of this amount, 29 million (2001: 30 million) pertains to subsidiaries.

Other receivables in 2002 include 1.1 billion in assets earmarked for sale because the Bayer Group is mandated by regulatory conditions imposed in connection with the acquisition of Aventis CropScience Holding S.A., France, to divest certain insecticidal active ingredients businesses and related rights.

Lease agreements in which the other party, as lessee, is to be regarded as the economic owner of the leased assets (finance leases) give rise to accounts receivable in the amount of the discounted future lease payments. These receivables amount to 48 million (2001: 94 million), while the interest portion pertaining to future years amounts to 9 million (2001: 29 million). The lease payments are due as follows:

	<u>Lease payments</u>	<u>Of which interest</u>	<u>Account receivable</u>
	( million)		
2003	20	3	17
2004	14	2	12
2005	10	1	9
2006	7	1	6
2007	4	1	3
After 2007	2	1	1
	<u>57</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>48</u>



**Table of Contents****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Bayer Group (Continued)****[24] Liquid assets**

	<u>Dec. 31, 2002</u>	<u>Dec. 31, 2001</u>
	( million)	
Marketable securities and other instruments	29	52
Cash and cash equivalents	<u>767</u>	<u>719</u>
	<u>796</u>	<u>771</u>

As of 2001, securities are recognized at fair value in compliance with IAS 39 (Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement). Their total fair value at the closing date amounts to 29 million (2001: 52 million), which is 14 million less (2001: 13 million more) than their cost of acquisition. Financial instruments with original maturities of up to three months are recognized as cash equivalents in view of their high liquidity.

**[25] Deferred charges**

Total deferred charges include 261 million (2001: 183 million) that is expected to be used up in 2003.

**[26] Stockholders equity**

The capital stock of Bayer AG amounts to 1,870 million, as in the previous year, and is divided into 730,341,920 no-par bearer shares of a single class.

Authorized capital totaling 250 million was approved by the Annual Stockholders Meeting on April 26, 2002. It expires on April 26, 2007. The authorized capital can be used to increase the capital stock by issuing new shares against cash contributions. The Board of Management is authorized to exclude subscription rights with respect to 100 million of this authorized capital; however, in this case the issue price of the new shares must not be significantly below the market price. Exclusion of subscription rights for a further 150 million is only possible in specific cases.

Further authorized capital in the amount of 374 million was approved by the Annual Stockholders Meeting on April 27, 2001. This authorized capital, which expires on April 27, 2006, can be used to increase the capital stock by issuing new shares against non-cash contributions. Subscription rights for existing stockholders are excluded.

Conditional capital of 83 million existed on December 31, 2002. This capital may only be utilized to the extent necessary to issue the requisite number of shares as and when conversion or subscription rights are exercised by the holders of convertible bonds or of warrants conferring subscription rights, respectively, that may be issued by Bayer AG or a wholly owned direct or indirect subsidiary through April 29, 2004.

Capital reserves include the paid-in surplus from the issuance of shares and subscription rights by Bayer AG.

The retained earnings contain prior years undistributed income of consolidated companies. Changes in fair values of financial instruments are recognized in miscellaneous items of other comprehensive income. Among other factors affecting these items in 2002 was the sale of our remaining interest in Agfa-Gevaert N.V., Belgium. The changes in the various components of stockholders equity during 2002 and 2001 are shown in the statements of changes in stockholders equity.

The dividend per share paid for the 2001 fiscal year was 0.90 (2000: 1.40).

**[27] Minority interest**

Minority interest mainly comprises third parties' shares in the equity of the consolidated subsidiaries Sumika Bayer Urethane Co. Ltd., Japan; the Makroform GmbH group; Bayer (India) Ltd.; and Bayer ABS Ltd., India.

F-34

---

**Table of Contents****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Bayer Group (Continued)****[28] Provisions for pensions and other post-employment benefits**

Group companies provide retirement benefits for most of their employees, either directly or by contributing to independently administered funds.

The way these benefits are provided varies according to the legal, fiscal and economic conditions of each country, the benefits generally being based on the employees' remuneration and years of service. The obligations relate both to existing retirees' pensions and to pension entitlements of future retirees.

Group companies provide retirement benefits under defined contribution and/or defined benefit plans.

In the case of **defined contribution plans**, the company pays contributions to publicly or privately administered pension insurance plans on a mandatory, contractual or voluntary basis. Once the contributions have been paid, the company has no further payment obligations. The regular contributions constitute net periodic costs for the year in which they are due and as such are included in the cost of goods sold, selling expenses, research and development expenses or general administration expenses, and thus in the operating result. In 2002, these expenses totaled 393 million (2001: 312 million; 2000: 437 million).

All other retirement benefit systems are **defined benefit plans**, which may be either unfunded, i.e. financed by provisions (accruals), or funded, i.e. financed through pension funds. In 2002, expenses for defined benefit plans amounted to 521 million (2001: 301 million; 2000: 326 million). These net periodic costs – except for the interest portion – are generally included in the cost of goods sold, selling expenses, research and development expenses, general administration expenses or other operating income. For the most important defined benefit plans, they are comprised as follows:

	<u>Dec. 31, 2002</u>	<u>Dec. 31, 2001</u>
	( million)	
Service cost	302	265
Past service cost	12	10
Interest cost	727	669
Projected return on plan assets	(607)	(640)
Amortization of actuarial amounts	67	(34)
Plan curtailments and settlements	20	
	<u>521</u>	<u>270</u>

The pension provisions for defined benefit plans are calculated in accordance with IAS 19 (Employee Benefits) by the projected unit credit method. The future benefit obligations are valued by actuarial methods on the basis of an appropriate assessment of the relevant parameters.

Benefits expected to be payable after retirement are spread over each employee's entire period of employment, allowing for future changes in remuneration.

The legally independent fund Bayer Pensionskasse VvaG (Bayer Pensionskasse) is a private insurance company and is therefore subject to the German Law on the Supervision of Private Insurance Companies. Since Bayer guarantees the commitments of the Bayer Pensionskasse, it is classified as a defined benefit plan for IAS and U.S. GAAP purposes.

**Table of Contents****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Bayer Group (Continued)**

All defined benefit plans necessitate actuarial computations and valuations. These are based not only on a life expectancy but also on the following parameters, which vary from country to country according to economic conditions:

	Parameters	
	Dec. 31, 2002	Dec. 31, 2001
Discount rate	2.5%-7.0%	2.5%-7.0%
Projected future remuneration increases	2.0%-5.1%	2.0%-4.8%
Projected future pension increases	1.7%-3.3%	2.0%-3.3%
Projected employee turnover (according to age and gender)	Empirical data	Empirical data
Projected return on plan assets	1.5%-8.5%	2.0%-8.5%

The status of unfunded and funded defined benefit obligations, computed using the appropriate parameters, is as follows:

	Dec. 31, 2002	Dec. 31, 2001
	( million)	
Defined benefit obligation	(12,414)	(11,303)
Fair value of plan assets	7,506	8,126
<b>Funded Status</b>	(4,908)	(3,177)
Unrecognized transition liability (asset)	2	3
Unrecognized actuarial (gain) loss	2,352	1,366
Asset limitation due to uncertainty of obtaining future benefits	(1,187)	(1,249)
<b>Net recognized liability</b>	(3,741)	(3,057)

Of the defined benefit obligation, 4,267 million (2001: 3,929 million) relates to unfunded benefit obligations and 8,147 million (2001: 7,374 million) to funded benefit obligations. The adjustments, as yet unrecognized in the income statement, represent the difference between the defined benefit obligation after deducting the fair value of plan assets and the net liability recognized in the balance sheet. They arise mainly from actuarial gains or losses caused by differences between actual and previously assumed trends in employee turnover and remuneration. Pension assets in excess of the obligation are reflected in other receivables, subject to the asset limitation specified in IAS 19 (Employee Benefits). In accordance with IAS 19, the amounts reflected in the balance sheet will be recognized in the income statement over the expected average remaining working lives of existing employees. The portion of the net actuarial gain or loss to be recognized in the income statement is determined by the corridor method. This procedure is also applied to the differences between the projected return on plan assets and the actual amount of minus 668 million (2001: minus 563 million).

The net liability under these defined benefit plans changed as follows:

	Dec. 31, 2002	Dec. 31, 2001
	( million)	
Net liability recognized at the beginning of the year	(3,057)	(3,151)
Pension benefit (cost) income	(521)	(270)
Employer contributions	351	313
Divestitures	(25)	54
Change in scope of consolidation	(500)	*
Plan curtailments and settlements	(10)	*

Edgar Filing: BAYER AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT - Form 20-F

Change in currency translation	21	(3)
<b>Net liability recognized at end of year</b>	<b>(3,741)</b>	<b>(3,057)</b>

---

\* less than 1 million

F-36

---

**Table of Contents****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Bayer Group (Continued)**

Funds and benefit obligations are valued on a regular basis at least every three years. For all major funds, comprehensive actuarial valuations are performed annually.

Provisions are also set up under this item for the obligations of Group companies, particularly in the United States, to provide health care to their retirees. For health care costs, the valuation is based on the assumption that they will increase at an annual rate of 5 percent in the long term. Early retirement and certain other benefits to retirees are also included, since these obligations are similar in character to pension obligations. Like pension obligations, they are valued in line with international standards. In 2002, provisions for early retirement and other post-retirement benefits amounted to 629 million (2001: 635 million). The resulting expenses for 2002 amounted to 114 million (2001: 63 million), comprising 87 million (2001: 23 million) for service cost, 57 million (2001: 58 million) for interest cost, 26 million (2001: 31 million) for projected return on plan assets and 4 million for actuarial gains (2001: 13 million for actuarial losses).

**[29] Other provisions**

Other provisions are valued in accordance with IAS 37 (Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets) and, where appropriate, IAS 19 (Employee Benefits), using the best estimate of the extent of the obligation. Long-term portions of provisions are discounted to their present value.

The Group sets up and maintains provisions for probable and on-going litigation cases when a reasonable estimate can be made. These provisions include all estimated legal fees and costs of settlement. The amounts are based upon written notification and reasonable settlement cost estimates provided by the Group's attorneys. Periodically, but at least quarterly, the provisions are reviewed with the Group's attorneys and updated.

The breakdown of provisions is as follows:

	Dec. 31, 2002		Dec. 31, 2001	
	Total	Maturing in 2003	Total	Maturing in 2002
	( million)			
Provisions for taxes	650	426	524	151
Provisions for personnel commitments	976	411	923	451
Provisions for environmental remediation	202	23	200	19
Provisions for restructuring	230	189	145	79
Provisions for trade-related commitments	694	649	438	426
Miscellaneous provisions	720	559	535	351
	<b>3,472</b>	<b>2,257</b>	<b>2,765</b>	<b>1,477</b>

Personnel commitments mainly include annual bonus payments, service awards and other personnel costs. Reimbursements to be received from the German government under the pre-retirement part-time work program are recorded as receivables and recognized in income as soon as the criteria for such reimbursements are fulfilled. Trade-related commitments mainly include rebates, as well as obligations relating to services already received but not yet invoiced.

**Table of Contents****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Bayer Group (Continued)**

Changes in provisions were as follows:

	<u>Jan. 1, 2002</u>	<u>Changes in scope of consolidation</u>	<u>Currency effects</u>	<u>Allocation</u>	<u>Utilization</u>	<u>Reversal</u>	<u>Dec. 31, 2002</u>
	( million)						
Provisions for taxes	524	91	(52)	453	(311)	(55)	650
Provisions for personnel commitments	923	113	(43)	486	(448)	(55)	976
Provisions for environmental remediation	200	31	(14)	35	(45)	(5)	202
Provisions for restructuring	145	65	(28)	196	(140)	(8)	230
Provisions for trade-related commitments	438	173	(40)	496	(336)	(37)	694
Miscellaneous provisions	535	108	(34)	717	(557)	(49)	720
	<u>2,765</u>	<u>581</u>	<u>(211)</u>	<u>2,383</u>	<u>(1,837)</u>	<u>(209)</u>	<u>3,472</u>

**Stock compensation program**

Bayer's three-tier stock compensation program was first launched in 2000. It consists of a Stock Option Program for the members of the Board of Management and senior executives, a Stock Incentive Program for middle management and equivalent employees, and a Stock Participation Program for junior management and other employees. To be eligible for the Stock Option Program, Stock Incentive Program or Module 1 of the Stock Participation Program, participants must place Bayer AG shares of their own into a special deposit account. Participants do not pay an exercise price for the shares they receive under these programs. Rather, they receive the shares as bonus shares. In the case of Module 2 of the Stock Participation Program, employees have the opportunity to purchase shares at a discounted price.

*Stock Option Program*

Members of the Board of Management and senior executives who wish to participate in the Stock Option Program must place Bayer AG shares of their own in a special deposit account. We determine on an individual basis the maximum number of shares each participant may deposit; the participant receives one option right for each 20 shares deposited. These deposited shares are blocked and may not be sold during the following three years. After the end of these three years, a two-year exercise period begins. During this period, the participant may exercise the option rights if he or she has fulfilled the performance criteria. Any unexercised option rights expire at the end of this two-year period. To determine whether the participant is eligible to exercise option rights and, if so, the number of shares received upon exercise, we apply three performance criteria. Two of these measure the relative performance of the Bayer AG share; the third measures the individual contribution of the participant. If the participant fails to meet minimum standards under these criteria, he or she receives no shares under the program. At December 31, 2002, no options were exercisable. No options expired, nor were any options canceled, during fiscal 2002.

If it is not possible to issue shares under the Stock Option Program to participants at the time they are entitled to exercise their option rights, the option rights would function as share appreciation rights. Instead of shares, the participant would receive the cash value of the shares to which the option rights would otherwise entitle him or her, based on the trading price of the Bayer AG share at the time of exercise.

*Stock Incentive Program*

Like the Stock Option Program, our Stock Incentive Program for middle management requires participants to deposit Bayer AG shares of their own in a special deposit account. Each participant may deposit shares with a maximum aggregate value of half his or her performance-related bonus for the preceding fiscal year. In fiscal



**Table of Contents****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Bayer Group (Continued)**

2002, the bonus payment for 2000 was used as the reference base where this was higher. The number of incentive shares the participant receives depends on the number deposited at the launch of the program as well as on the overall performance of Bayer stock. Unlike the Stock Option Program, the Stock Incentive Program does not block deposited shares. Participants may sell their deposited shares during the term of the program, but any deposited shares they sell are no longer counted in calculating the number of incentive shares for subsequent distribution dates. The Stock Incentive Program has a ten-year term. There are three incentive share distribution dates during this period. On these dates, the participant receives incentive shares as follows:

*Issuance of incentive shares to employees in the Stock Incentive Program*

Distribution date at end of	Incentive shares received (per 10 deposited shares)
Second year	2
Sixth year	4
Tenth year	4
	—
Total	10

Participants receive incentive shares only if Bayer stock has outperformed the Dow Jones EURO STOXX 50 index on the relevant distribution date, as calculated from the beginning of the program.

*Stock Participation Program*

Our Stock Participation Program has two components, Module 1 and Module 2. Employees not covered by the Stock Option Program or Stock Incentive Program may normally participate in both Module 1 and Module 2. The Module 1 program, like the Stock Incentive Program, requires participants to deposit Bayer AG shares of their own in a special account. As with the Stock Incentive Program, participants in the Stock Participation Program may sell their deposited shares during the term of the program; any shares they sell are no longer counted in calculating the number of bonus shares on subsequent distribution dates.

Module 1 has a term of ten years and entitles the participant to receive incentive shares on three distribution dates based on the number of shares he or she has deposited. Unlike the Stock Incentive Program, Module 1 does not impose a share performance criterion. The participant receives incentive shares as follows on the distribution dates:

*Issuance of incentive shares to employees in the Stock Participation Program*

Distribution date at end of	Incentive shares received (per 10 deposited shares)
Second year	1
Sixth year	2
Tenth year	2
	—
Total	5

In addition, under Module 2 each participant may purchase 10 Bayer AG shares per year at a tax-free discount. In 2002 this comprised a discount of 15.40 (2001: 15.34) per share to the market price. Participants may not include shares that they purchase under Module 2 among the shares they deposit under Module 1. Each participant may take up both modules up to a maximum aggregate value of half his or her performance-related bonus for the year he or she participates in the program. In fiscal 2002, in the case of managerial staff, the bonus payment for 2000 was used as the reference base where this was higher.

## Edgar Filing: BAYER AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT - Form 20-F

The Stock Option Program, the Stock Incentive Program and Module 1 of the Stock Participation Program are accounted for as follows:  
Since participants are entitled to receive shares of Bayer AG stock bought in the

F-39

---

**Table of Contents**

**Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Bayer Group (Continued)**

capital market, subject to certain performance criteria, compensation expense for potential share distributions is recorded when there is a reasonable basis on which to estimate whether the performance criteria will ultimately be met. Compensation expense is recorded at each balance sheet date by estimating the number of rights outstanding multiplied by the current quoted market price of Bayer AG shares. The related personnel provisions on December 31, 2002 amounted to 9 million.

For Module 2 of the Stock Participation Program, the difference between the quoted market price of Bayer AG stock and the discounted price paid by participants at the date of purchase is expensed immediately. During the year ended December 31, 2002, participants in Module 2 received 195,412 shares at a total price of 3.7 million, resulting in personnel expenses of 3.0 million. The discount to the price of Bayer AG stock was 45.1 percent.

**Environmental Provisions**

The Group's activities are subject to extensive laws and regulations in the jurisdictions in which it does business and maintains properties. Our compliance with environmental laws and regulations may require us to remove or mitigate the effects of the disposal or release of chemical substances at various sites. Under some of these laws and regulations, a current or previous owner or operator of property may be held liable for the costs of removal or remediation of hazardous substances on, under, or in its property, without regard to whether the owner or operator knew of, or caused the presence of the contaminants, and regardless of whether the practices that resulted in the contamination were legal at the time they occurred. As many of our production sites have an extended history of industrial use, it is impossible to predict precisely what effect these laws and regulations will have on us in the future.

As is typical for companies involved in the chemical and related industries, soil and groundwater contamination has occurred in the past at some of our sites, and might occur or be discovered at other sites. We are subject to claims brought by United States Federal or State regulatory agencies and other private entities and individuals regarding the remediation of sites that we own, formerly owned or operated, where materials were produced specifically for us by contract manufacturers or where waste from our operations was treated, stored or disposed. In particular, we have a potential liability under the U.S. Federal Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, commonly known as Superfund, the U.S. Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and related state laws for investigation and remediation costs at a number of sites. At most of these sites, numerous companies, including Bayer, have been notified that the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, state governing body or private individuals consider such companies to be potentially responsible parties under Superfund or related laws. At other sites, Bayer is the sole responsible party. The proceedings relating to these sites are in various stages. In most cases remediation measures have already been initiated.

Provisions for environmental remediation as of December 31, 2002 amounted to 202 million (2001: 200 million). The material components of the provisions for environmental remediation costs primarily relate to land reclamation, rehabilitation of contaminated sites, recultivation of landfills, and redevelopment and water protection measures. The provisions for environmental remediation costs are recorded on a discounted basis where environmental assessments or clean-ups are probable, the costs can be reasonably estimated and no future economic benefit is expected to arise from these measures. The above amount of provisions represents anticipated future remediation payments totaling 270 million (2001: 265 million), discounted at risk-free rates of 0.5 percent to 5.5 percent.

These discounted amounts will be paid out over the period of remediation of the relevant sites, which is expected to be 15 years. Costs are estimated based on significant factors such as previous experience in similar cases, environmental assessments, development of current costs and new circumstances with major influences on expenses, our understanding of current environmental laws and regulations, the number of other potentially responsible parties at each site and the identity and financial position of such parties in light of the joint and several nature of the liability, and the remediation methods expected to be employed.

**Table of Contents****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Bayer Group (Continued)**

It is difficult to estimate the future costs of environmental protection and remediation because of many uncertainties, particularly with regard to the status of laws, regulations and the information available about conditions in the various countries and at the individual sites. Subject to these factors, but taking into consideration our experience to date regarding environmental matters of a similar nature, we believe that the provisions are adequate based upon currently available information. However, given the inherent difficulties in estimating liabilities in this area, it cannot be guaranteed that additional costs will not be incurred beyond the amounts accrued. It is possible that final resolution of these matters may require us to make expenditures in excess of established provisions, over an extended period of time and in a range of amounts that cannot be reasonably estimated. Management nevertheless believes that such additional amounts, if any, would not have a material adverse effect on the Group's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

**Legal risks**

As a global company with a diverse business portfolio, the Bayer Group is exposed to numerous legal risks, particularly in the areas of product liability, patent disputes, tax assessments, competition and antitrust law, and environmental matters. The outcome of any current or future proceedings cannot be predicted with certainty. It is therefore possible that legal judgments could give rise to expenses that are not covered, or not fully covered, by insurers' compensation payments and could significantly affect our revenues and earnings.

Legal risks include in particular litigation in the United States following the voluntary withdrawal of the statin Lipobay®/Baycol®. By mid-February 2003, approximately 7,900 suits had been filed with Federal and state courts, including approximately 160 class actions. The aim of these class actions is to achieve class status at global, U.S. or state level for all who allege their health has been damaged due to the use of Baycol®, all other former Baycol® users for the purpose of subsequent medical monitoring, and all purchasers of Baycol® for reimbursement of the purchase price. Claims for punitive damages have also been filed. By mid-February 2003, voluntary settlement agreements had been reached in approximately 450 individual cases without concession of liability.

As a result of the voluntary withdrawal of products containing PPA, approximately 1,000 cases have been filed against Bayer Corporation in the United States, including class actions. Similarly, the claims primarily relate to compensation for alleged damage to health, entitlement to subsequent medical monitoring and reimbursement of the purchase price. Claims for punitive damages have also been filed.

In the event plaintiffs substantially prevail despite existing defense arguments, it is possible that Bayer could incur charges in excess of its insurance coverage. Due to the considerable uncertainty associated with these proceedings, it is currently not possible to more accurately estimate potential liability. Depending on the progress of the litigation, we will continue to regularly reconsider the need to establish provisions which may have a negative effect on financial results.

**Restructuring charges**

Restructuring charges of 427 million were incurred in 2002 for closures of facilities and relocation of business activities, including 196 million in provisions that are expected to be utilized as the respective restructuring measures are implemented. The total charges include 193 million in severance payments, a total 166 million in accelerated amortization/ depreciation and write-downs of intangible assets, property, plant and equipment, and 68 million in other expenses. Most of the charges taken for severance payments and other expenses in 2002 will lead to disbursements in 2003.

Restructuring was a major focus of activity in fiscal 2002. We continued the restructuring drives designed to improve the efficiency of our production sites in Hicksville, New York, and New Martinsville, West Virginia, U.S.A., taking 37 million in charges including 25 million in write-downs, 5 million in severance payments and 7 million in other expenses. Further charges of 30 million were incurred in connection with the closure of a production facility at our site in West Virginia. These comprised 10 million in write-offs of property, plant and equipment no longer utilized, 7 million in severance payments and 13 million in other charges.

**Table of Contents****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Bayer Group (Continued)**

The restructuring of our rubber production site in Sarnia, Ontario, Canada, resulted in write-downs of 41 million, severance payments of 7 million and other expenses of 19 million.

Of the restructuring charges incurred in 2002, 51 million related to the integration of the polyols business in the Polymers business area. This amount included 37 million in write-downs and 14 million in severance payments.

Further charges of 20 million relate to the restructuring of the Consumer Care Division in Elkhart, Indiana, U.S.A., including 8 million for write-downs of property, plant and equipment and 6 million for other expenses. A further 6 million comprised severance payments.

The restructuring of our styrenics business in North America led to charges of 9 million, including 5 million in write-downs and 4 million in other expenses.

Further ongoing restructuring programs to improve the profitability of the business areas and integrate acquisitions gave rise to total charges of 197 million, comprising 154 million in severance payments, 26 million in write-downs and 17 million in other expenses. The remaining charges relate to various small-scale restructuring programs.

Changes in provisions for restructuring were as follows:

	<b>Severance payments</b>	<b>Other Costs</b>	<b>Total</b>
	( million)		
<b>Balance at January 1, 2001</b>	86	45	131
Additions	57	96	153
Utilization	(69)	(64)	(133)
Reclassification to fixed assets		(13)	(13)
Exchange differences	5	2	7
<b>Balance at December 31, 2001</b>	79	66	145
Additions	193	68	261
Utilization	(99)	(48)	(148)
	—	—	—
Exchange differences	(15)	(13)	(28)
	—	—	—
<b>Balance at December 31, 2002</b>	158	72	230
	—	—	—

The other costs are mainly demolition expenses and other charges related to the abandonment of production facilities.

**Table of Contents****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Bayer Group (Continued)****[30] Financial obligations**

Financial obligations that are not the hedged item in a permissible hedge accounting relationship are carried at the higher of nominal and redemption value. They comprise the following:

	Dec. 31, 2002		Dec. 31, 2001	
	Total	Maturing in 2003	Total	Maturing in 2002
	( million)			
Debentures	6,742	477	2,592	781
Liabilities to banks	1,023	873	1,122	829
Liabilities under lease agreements	708	121	881	99
Liabilities from the issuance of promissory notes	78	78	84	84
Commercial paper	1,084	1,084	1,365	1,365
Liabilities from derivative financial instruments	86	85	180	169
Other financial obligations	438	123	1,156	982
	10,159	2,841	7,380	4,309

The maturities of financial obligations existing at December 31, 2002 were as follows:

Maturing in	million
2003	2,841
2004	186
2005	427
2006	82
2007	3,231
2008 or later	3,392
	10,159

Financial liabilities in U.S. dollars amounted to 3.3 billion (2001: 5.1 billion) and account for 32 percent (2001: 69 percent) of total financial liabilities.

Short-term borrowings (excluding the short-term portion of debentures) amounted to 2.4 billion (2001: 3.5 billion) with a weighted average interest rate of 7.9 percent (2001: 5.4 percent). The Bayer Group's financial liabilities are primarily unsecured and of equal priority.

**Table of Contents****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Bayer Group (Continued)**

Debentures include the following:

Effective Rate	Stated Rate		Volume	Dec. 31, 2002	Dec. 31, 2001
( million)					
<b>Bayer AG</b>					
4.103%	5.375%	Eurobonds 2002/2007	EUR 3,000 million	3,081	
5.160%	6.000%	Eurobonds 2002/2012	EUR 2,000 million	2,102	
<b>Bayer Capital Corporation B.V.</b>					
2.820%	2.500%	Bonds with Warrants Attached 1987/2002	CHF 250 million		169
<b>Bayer Corporation</b>					
6.735%	6.500%	Notes 1995/2002	USD 400 million		454
5.410%	7.125%	Notes 1995/2015	USD 200 million	191	227
2.166%	2.250%	Bonds 1997/2002	CHF 200 million		135
1.200%	3.500%	Revenue Bonds 1997/2009	USD 20.6 million	20	23
4.000%	4.000%	Revenue Bonds 1997/2027	USD 25 million		28
6.288%	6.650%	Notes 1998/2028	USD 350 million	334	397
6.288%	6.200%	Bonds 1998/2028	USD 250 million	238	284
4.450%	4.450%	Money Market Puttable Reset Securities 2001/2011	USD 500 million	476	568
<b>Bayer Ltd., Japan</b>					
1.270%	3.750%	Bonds 2000/2005	CHF 400 million	300	270
<b>Other debentures</b>					
				6,742	2,592

In April 2002 Bayer AG launched two Eurobond issues under its 8 billion European Medium Term Note (EMTN) program. One of these issues, in the nominal volume of 3,000 million, carries a 5.375% coupon and has a term of 5 years, maturing in 2007. Interest is payable annually in arrears. The issue price was 99.402%. The other Eurobond issue has a nominal volume of 2,000 million and a term of 10 years, so it matures in 2012. The bonds carry a 6% coupon. Again, all interest is payable annually in arrears. The issue price was 99.45%.

In July 1987, Bayer Capital Corporation B.V. issued CHF 250 million of 2.50% Bonds with warrants in Switzerland. The Bonds had a term of 15 years and matured in July 2002.

In October 1995, Bayer Corporation issued USD 400 million of 6.50% Notes to qualified institutional buyers. The Notes had a term of 7 years and matured in October 2002.

Also in October 1995, Bayer Corporation issued USD 200 million of 7.125% Notes to qualified institutional buyers. The Notes have a term of 20 years and mature in October 2015. Interest is paid semi-annually in April and October. The Group recorded a discount of USD 2.4 million, which includes commissions paid to underwriters.

In March 1997, Bayer Corporation issued USD 20.6 million of Revenue Bonds to U.S. institutional buyers. The interest rate is reset daily with monthly interest payments. The Revenue Bonds have a term of 12 years and mature in May 2009.

In April 1997, Bayer Corporation issued CHF 200 million of 2.25% Bonds in Switzerland. The Bonds had a term of 5 years and matured in April 2002.

In May 1997, Bayer Corporation issued USD 25 million of Revenue Bonds to U.S. institutional buyers. The interest rate was reset daily with monthly interest payments. The Revenue Bonds had a term of 30 years but were redeemed at the end of fiscal 2002.



**Table of Contents****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Bayer Group (Continued)**

In February 1998, Bayer Corporation issued USD 350 million of 6.65% Notes to qualified institutional buyers. The Notes have a term of 30 years and mature in February 2028. Interest is paid semi-annually in August and February. The Group recorded a discount of USD 1.9 million, which includes commissions paid to underwriters. The Notes will be redeemable, in whole or in part, at the option of Bayer Corporation at any time, upon not less than 30 but not more than 60 days' notice, at a redemption price equal to the greater of (i) 100% of the principal amount or (ii) as determined by an independent investment banker.

In February 1998, Bayer Corporation issued USD 250 million of 6.20% Bonds to qualified institutional buyers. The Bonds have combined call and put options giving the lead manager the right to repurchase them, and the investors the right to cash them, after 10 years. At that time the lead manager can reset the interest rate and remarket the Bonds for a further period of 20 years such that they would mature in 2028. If the lead manager does not exercise its call option and the investors exercise their put option, the Bonds will be redeemed in 2008. Interest is paid semi-annually in August and February. The Group recorded a discount of USD 0.6 million which includes commissions paid to underwriters. The redemption provision on the 1998 6.65% Notes also applies for these Bonds.

In April 2000, Bayer Ltd., Japan, issued CHF 400 million of 3.75% Bonds in Switzerland. The Bonds have a term of 5 years and mature in April 2005. Interest is paid annually in April. The Group recorded a discount of CHF 1.2 million. The debt was swapped into yen at a floating interest rate.

In March 2001, Bayer Corporation issued USD 500 million of 4.45% Money Market Puttable Reset Securities to qualified institutional buyers, due in 2011. The Bonds have combined call and put options giving the lead manager the right to repurchase them, and the investors the right to cash them, on each anniversary date of the original marketing of the securities.

At December 31, 2002, the Group had approximately 6.2 billion (2001: 6.2 billion) of total lines of credit, of which 1.0 billion (2001: 1.1 billion) was used and 5.2 billion (2001: 5.1 billion) was unused and available for borrowing on an unsecured basis. Liabilities under finance leases are recognized as financial obligations if the leased assets are capitalized under property, plant and equipment. They are stated at present values. Lease payments totaling 899 million (2001: 1,174 million), including 191 million (2001: 293 million) in interest, are to be made to the respective lessors in future years.

The liabilities associated with finance leases mature as follows:

	Lease payments	Of which interest	Liability
	( million)		
2003	151	30	121
2004	103	28	75
2005	100	26	74
2006	71	17	54
2007	53	15	38
After 2007	421	75	346
	<u>899</u>	<u>191</u>	<u>708</u>

Lease payments in 2002 in connection with operating leases amounted to 191 million (2001: 244 million; 2000: 162 million).

The other financial obligations include 4 million (2001: 85 million) to nonconsolidated subsidiaries.

**[31] Trade accounts payable**

Trade accounts are payable mainly to third parties; they are carried at amortized cost. As last year, the entire amount is due within one year.



**Table of Contents****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Bayer Group (Continued)**

Trade accounts payable as of December 31, 2002 include 2,534 million (2001: 1,991 million) maturing within one year and 0 million (2001: 2 million) maturing after one year. Of the total, 4 million (2001: 8 million) is payable to subsidiaries, 18 million (2001: 7 million) to other affiliated companies and 2,512 million (2001: 1,978 million) to other suppliers.

**[32] Miscellaneous liabilities**

Miscellaneous liabilities are carried at amortized cost. They are comprised as follows:

	Dec. 31, 2002		Dec. 31, 2001	
	Total	Maturing in 2002	Total	Maturing in 2001
	( million)			
Payroll liabilities	442	330	443	320
Tax liabilities	346	346	281	280
Liabilities for social expenses	170	170	144	144
Accrued interest on liabilities	308	308	125	125
Advance payments received	20	20	25	25
Liabilities from the acceptance of drafts	17	17	17	17
License liabilities	57	57	56	56
Other miscellaneous liabilities	890	890	881	865
	<b>2,230</b>	<b>2,138</b>	<b>1,972</b>	<b>1,832</b>

Tax liabilities include not only Group companies' own tax liabilities, but also taxes withheld for paying over to the authorities on behalf of third parties.

Liabilities for social expenses include, in particular, social insurance contributions that had not been paid over by the closing date.

The other miscellaneous liabilities comprise mainly guarantees, commissions to customers, and expense reimbursements.

The total of miscellaneous liabilities includes 53 million (2001: 42 million) to non-consolidated subsidiaries and 4 million (2001: 3 million) to other affiliated companies.

**[33] Further Information on other liabilities**

Other liabilities (financial obligations, trade accounts payable and miscellaneous liabilities) include 6,593 million (2001: 1,779 million) maturing in more than five years.

Of the total, 146 million (2001: 334 million) was secured, mainly by mortgages totalling 90 million (2001: 256 million).

Included is 308 million (2001: 125 million) in accrued interest, representing expenses attributable to the fiscal year but not due to be paid until after the closing date.

**[34]Deferred income**

In accordance with IAS 20 (Accounting for Government Grants and Disclosure of Government Assistance), grants and subsidies that serve to promote investment are reflected in the balance sheet as deferred income. The amounts are gradually reversed during the useful lives of the respective assets and recognized in income.

Deferred income as of December 31, 2002 includes 97 million (2001: 111 million) in such grants and subsidies received from governments; the amount reversed and recognized in income was 19 million (2001: 17 million).

F-46

---

**Table of Contents****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Bayer Group (Continued)****[35] Discontinuing operations**

The wholly owned subsidiary Haarmann & Reimer was sold to EQT Northern Europe Private Equity Funds effective September 30, 2002. Assets and liabilities as of December 31, 2002 therefore do not include any amounts pertaining to discontinuing operations.

In 2001, assets of 820 million and liabilities of 233 million related to this business group. The assets comprised 419 million in noncurrent assets, 384 million in current assets and 17 million in liquid assets, while the liabilities consisted of 74 million in pension provisions, 43 million in other provisions, 12 million in financial liabilities and 104 million in miscellaneous liabilities.

**[36] Commitments and contingencies**

Contingent liabilities as of December 31, 2002 almost all of which exist toward third parties amount to 245 million. They result from:

	<u>Dec. 31, 2002</u>	<u>Dec. 31, 2001</u>
	( million)	
Issuance and endorsement of bills	6	22
Guarantees	104	53
Warranties	135	118
	<u>245</u>	<u>193</u>

The respective items refer to potential future obligations where the occurrence of the future events would create an obligation, the existence of which is uncertain at the balance sheet date. The warranties mainly relate to contractual terms encountered in the ordinary course of business.

In addition to provisions, other liabilities and contingent liabilities, there are other financial commitments resulting primarily from long-term lease and rental agreements. Minimum non-discounted future payments relating to operating leases total 619 million (2001: 557 million). The respective payment obligations mature as follows:

	<u>million</u>
2003	191
2004	140
2005	85
2006	61
2007	49
2008 or later	93
	<u>619</u>

Financial commitments resulting from orders already placed under purchase agreements related to planned or ongoing capital expenditure projects total 286 million (2001: 354 million). The respective payments are due almost entirely in 2003.

Under collective agreements on part-time work arrangements for certain older employees, we have to accept applications for such arrangements from a certain quota of the work force. Other financial obligations that may arise from such work arrangements in the future cannot be quantified, since the quota has already been exceeded.

In addition, the Group has entered into research agreements with a number of third parties under which Bayer has agreed to fund various research projects or has assumed other commitments based on the achievement of certain milestones or other specific conditions. The total amount of such funding and other commitments is

F-47

---

**Table of Contents****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Bayer Group (Continued)**

570 million (2001: 732 million). At December 31, 2002, the remaining payments expected to be made to these parties, assuming the milestones or other conditions are met, were as follows:

<u>Maturing in</u>	<u>million</u>
2003	208
2004	153
2005	89
2006	74
2007	36
2008 or later	10
	<u>570</u>

**[37] Related Parties**

Transactions with related persons and companies, which are invariably performed on an arm's length basis, are mainly trade transactions. The related receivables and payables have been included in the respective notes to the financial statements as required by European Union directives. The revenue and expenses related to these transactions are immaterial to the consolidated financial statements as a whole.

**[38] Financial Instruments**

Financial Instruments entail contractual claims and financial assets. Under IAS 32 (Financial Instruments: Disclosure and Presentation), financial instruments include both primary instruments, such as trade accounts receivable and payable, investments, and financial obligations; and derivative financial instruments, which are used to hedge risks arising from changes in currency exchange and interest rates.

**Primary financial instruments**

Primary financial instruments are reflected in the balance sheet. In compliance with IAS 39 (Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement), asset instruments are categorized as held for trading, held to maturity, or available for sale and, accordingly, recognized at fair value or amortized cost. Changes in fair value are recognized in stockholders' equity. In the event of impairment losses, the assets are written down and the write-downs are recognized in income. Financial instruments constituting liabilities are carried at amortized cost.

**Fair value**

The fair value of a primary financial instrument is the price at which it could be exchanged in a current transaction between knowledgeable, willing parties in an active market. The fair values of other securities included in investments and of marketable securities are derived from their market prices and reflected in the financial statements. Financial liabilities are valued mainly by discounting future cash flows, or in some cases on the basis of quoted prices. Their total fair value reflected in the consolidated financial statements is 24 million less than their carrying value. The remaining receivables and liabilities and the liquid assets have such short terms that there is no significant discrepancy between their fair values and carrying amounts.

**Credit risk**

Credit risk arises from the possibility of asset impairment occurring because counterparties cannot meet their obligations in transactions involving financial instruments.

Since we do not conclude master netting arrangements with our customers, the total of the amounts recognized in assets represents the maximum exposure to credit risk.



**Table of Contents****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Bayer Group (Continued)****Currency risk**

Currency risk is the potential decline in the value of financial instruments due to exchange rate fluctuations. Exposure to currency risk arises mainly when receivables and payables are denominated in a currency other than the company's local currency or will be denominated in such a currency in the planned course of business.

Such risks may be naturally hedged, as when a receivable in a given currency is matched, for example between Group companies, by one or more payables in the same amount, and having an equivalent term, in the same currency. They may also be hedged using derivative financial instruments.

All currency risks arising in financial transactions, including interest, are generally fully hedged. The instruments used are mainly currency swaps, interest and principal currency swaps and forward exchange contracts. Currency risks relating to operating activities are systematically monitored and analyzed. The level of hedging is regularly reviewed.

The position at year end was as follows:

	<b>Dec. 31, 2002</b>	<b>Dec. 31, 2001</b>
	( million)	
Primary asset instruments exposed to currency risk	3,564	3,657
Primary liability instruments exposed to currency risk	3,154	2,314
Amount naturally hedged	(3,621)	(3,011)
Amount hedged through derivative financial instruments	(2,720)	(2,527)
<b>Residual unhedged currency exposure</b>	<b>377</b>	<b>433</b>

In some cases forecasted transactions are also hedged to further reduce the related anticipated currency risk. At December 31, 2002 the total notional amount of the hedging instruments concerned mainly forward exchange contracts for the sale of U.S. dollars or Japanese yen and all maturing before December 31, 2003 was 498 million, which is not included in the hedged amount of 2.7 billion. These hedging relationships amount to cash flow hedges as defined in IAS 39. The contracts are concluded monthly so that they run for one year and mature in the middle of each month. On these dates the results of the transactions are recognized in income. In 2002, the differences resulting from fair value measurement and initially recognized in equity, but not in net income, amounted to 16 million.

On the asset side, 56 percent of currency risks relate to the U.S. dollar and 5 percent to the Japanese yen. On the liabilities side, 52 percent of foreign currency risks relate to the U.S. dollar. The remaining exposure involves liabilities in British pounds (11 percent), Japanese yen (2 percent) and a number of other currencies outside the dollar and euro zones. The U.S. dollar accounts for 68 percent of the asset volume hedged through derivative financial instruments, followed by the yen which accounts for 6 percent. Of the hedged liabilities, 54 percent are in U.S. dollars, 24 percent in British pounds and the remaining 22 percent in other currencies. The need for hedging within the euro zone ceased at the beginning of 1999 due to the permanent fixing of exchange rates. When economically hedging exchange rate risk on recorded foreign currency operating items, we do not aim for hedge accounting treatment. Changes in the fair values of the respective hedging instruments are therefore recognized immediately in income.

The other securities included in investments are almost exclusively denominated in the currency used by the Group company making the investment, so no currency risk is involved. Similarly, the other loans are made only to borrowers in the same currency zone. Where intragroup loans are exposed to currency risk, they are hedged through derivative financial instruments.

**Interest rate risk**

An interest rate risk is the possibility that the value of a financial instrument will change due to movements in market rates of interest. It applies mainly to receivables and payables with maturities of over one year.



**Table of Contents****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Bayer Group (Continued)**

Items with such long maturities are not of material significance on the operating side but are relevant in the case of investments and financial liabilities. Here, derivative financial instruments are used as the main method of interest rate hedging, though in some cases interest rate risk is not hedged if attractive fixed interest rates can be obtained.

The other securities included in investments are mostly floating rate investments at market rates of interest. Interest rate swaps are not used to convert floating rate investments into fixed rate investments.

The other loans chiefly comprise loans to employees, generally at market-oriented, fixed interest rates. Such loans are exposed to an interest rate risk which, however, is not hedged since it was entered into for specific reasons. More than three quarters of employee loans are for terms of more than five years.

**Derivative financial instruments**

The derivatives we use are mainly over-the-counter instruments, particularly forward foreign exchange contracts, option contracts, interest rate swaps, and interest and principal currency swaps. We deal only with banks of high credit standing. The instruments are employed according to uniform guidelines and are subject to strict internal controls. Their use is confined to the hedging of the operating business and of the related investments and financing transactions. Regular way purchases and sales of financial assets are recorded at the settlement date in compliance with IAS 39. The main objective in using derivative financial instruments is to reduce fluctuations in cash flows and earnings associated with changes in interest and foreign exchange rates.

**Market risk**

Market risk arises from the fact that the value of financial instruments may be positively or negatively affected by fluctuating prices on the financial markets. The fair values quoted are the current values of the derivative financial instruments, disregarding any opposite movements in the values of the respective hedged transactions. The fair value is the repurchase value of the derivatives on the closing date, based on quoted prices or determined by standard methods. The notional amount is the total volume of the contracted purchases or sales of the respective derivatives.

The notional amounts and fair values of the derivative financial instruments held at the closing date were as follows:

	Notional amount		Fair value	
	Dec. 31, 2002	Dec. 31, 2001	Dec. 31, 2002	Dec. 31, 2001
	( million)			
Forward foreign exchange contracts	2,979	2,749	105	(28)
Currency options	239	279	9	*
Interest rate hedging contracts (including interest and principal currency swaps)	5,799	4,485	365	(60)
	9,017	7,513	479	(88)

\* less than 1 million

Gains and losses from changes in fair values are immediately recognized in income, except where the strict conditions for the recognition of a hedge accounting relationship are present. This is also the case with fair value hedges, where the gain or loss on both the hedging contract and the hedged item are recognized in income. However, gains or losses incurred through cash flow hedge accounting are recognized initially in equity and subsequently in the income for the year in which the term of the respective hedging contract is completed.



**Table of Contents****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Bayer Group (Continued)****Credit risk**

Credit risk exposure is 578 million (2001: 139 million), this amount being the total of the positive fair values of derivatives that give rise to claims against the other parties to the instruments. It represents the losses that could result from non-performance of contractual obligations by these parties. We minimize this risk by imposing a limit on the volume of business in derivative financial instruments transacted with individual parties.

**Currency risk**

Exchange hedging instruments in the notional amount of 3.2 billion (2001: 2.7 billion) mature within one year, while instruments in the amount of only 8 million (2001: 0.3 billion) have longer remaining terms.

**Interest rate risk**

Short-term interest rate hedging contracts (including interest and principal currency swaps) total 0.5 billion (2001: 2.0 billion). Those maturing after more than one year total 5.3 billion (2001: 2.5 billion).

**Hedge accounting**

Most interest rate swaps and interest and principal currency swaps are performed to allow the company to maintain a target range of floating rate debt. All swap contracts amount to permissible hedge accounting relationships and there is no ineffectiveness related to these hedges. Changes in the fair values of derivatives that hedge interest rate risk are recorded as interest expense for the respective periods, as are offsetting changes in the fair value of the related hedged debt items. Fair value hedge accounting is not used in any other circumstances. Some interest rate or interest and principal currency instruments involve a swap from variable to fixed interest rates. Such contracts are accounted for as cash flow hedges as defined in IAS 39. However, most of the cash flow hedges are entered into to protect future operating revenues against currency fluctuations, as explained earlier.

**[39] Net cash provided by operating activities**

The cash flow statement starts from the operating result. The gross cash flow for 2002 of 3.0 billion (2001: 2.9 billion; 2000: 4.2 billion) is the cash surplus from operating activities before any changes in working capital. Breakdowns of the gross cash flow by segment and region are given in the table on pages F-19 to F-22. The net cash flow of 4.4 billion (2001: 3.9 billion; 2000: 3.1 billion) takes into account changes in working capital.

**[40] Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities**

Additions to property, plant and equipment and intangible assets in 2002 resulted in a cash outflow of 2.2 billion (2001: 2.6 billion; 2000: 2.6 billion). Cash outflows for acquisitions, primarily that of Aventis CropScience, amounted to 7.8 billion (2001: 0.5 billion; 2000: 4.1 billion). Sales of property, plant and equipment led to a cash inflow of 2.2 billion (2001: 0.5 billion; 2000: 0.3 billion), while the cash inflow from the sale of investments and from interest and dividend receipts, including marketable securities, amounted to 1.3 billion (2001: 0.4 billion; 2000: 0.3 billion).

**[41] Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities**

The main factor in the net cash inflow of 2.2 from financing activities in 2002 (2001: net cash outflow of 1.5 billion; 2000: net cash inflow of 0.8 billion) was 3.5 billion in net borrowings. Dividend payments for and interest payments totaled 1.4 billion (2001: 1.5 billion; 2000: 1.3 billion).

**Table of Contents****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Bayer Group (Continued)****[42] Discontinuing operations**

Discontinuing operations affected the Group cash flow statements as follows:

	<b>Erdölchemie</b>		<b>Haarmann &amp; Reimer</b>			<b>DyStar</b>		<b>Total</b>	
	<b>2001</b>	<b>2000</b>	<b>2002</b>	<b>2001</b>	<b>2000</b>	<b>2000</b>	<b>2002</b>	<b>2001</b>	<b>2000</b>
	( million)								
Net cash provided by operating activities	13	38	87	118	84	66	87	131	188
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	474	(87)	1,286	(164)	(116)	(65)	1,286	310	(268)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	0	0	1	77	(7)	18	1	77	11
<b>Change in cash and cash equivalents</b>	<b>487</b>	<b>(49)</b>	<b>1,374</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>(39)</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>1,374</b>	<b>518</b>	<b>(69)</b>

**[43] Cash and cash equivalents**

Cash and cash equivalents as of December 31, 2002 amounted to 0.8 billion (2001: 0.7 billion; 2000: 0.5 billion). In accordance with IAS 7 (Cash Flow Statements), this item also includes financial securities with original maturities of up to three months. The liquid asset of 0.8 billion (2001: 0.8 billion; 2000: 0.7 billion) shown in the balance sheet also include marketable securities and other instruments.

**[44] U.S. GAAP Information**

The Group's consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with IAS which, as applied by the Group, differs in certain significant respects from U.S. GAAP. The effects of the application of U.S. GAAP to net income and stockholders' equity are set out in the tables below:

	<b>Notes</b>	<b>2002</b>	<b>2002</b>	<b>2001</b>	<b>2000</b>
		<b>(\$ million(1))</b>	<b>( million)</b>	<b>( million)</b>	<b>( million)</b>
<b>Net income reported under IAS</b>		1,111	1,060	965	1,816
Fair value of derivative financial instruments	a			(95)	95
Available for sale securities	b	31	30	(30)	
Business combinations	c	215	205	(63)	(128)
Pensions	d	(25)	(24)	(24)	(24)
In-process research and development	e	(139)	(133)		
Asset impairments	f	215	205		
Other	g	(34)	(33)	(5)	33
Deferred tax effect on U.S. GAAP adjustments		(35)	(33)	52	(9)
<b>Net income reported under U.S. GAAP</b>		<b>1,339</b>	<b>1,277</b>	<b>800</b>	<b>1,783</b>
<b>Basic and diluted earnings per share under U.S. GAAP</b>		<b>1.83</b>	<b>1.75</b>	<b>1.10</b>	<b>2.44</b>

**Table of Contents****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Bayer Group (Continued)**

	Notes	December 31,		
		2002	2002	2001
		(\$ million(1))	( million)	( million)
<b>Stockholders equity reported under IAS</b>				
Fair value of derivative financial instruments	a	16,079	15,335	16,922
Available for sale securities	b			
Business combinations	c	943	900	786
Pensions	d	765	730	881
In-process research and development	e	(152)	(145)	(15)
Asset impairments	f	215	205	
Other	g	88	84	120
Deferred tax effect on U.S. GAAP adjustments		(393)	(375)	(394)
<b>Stockholders equity reported under U.S. GAAP</b>		<b>17,545</b>	<b>16,734</b>	<b>18,300</b>

	December 31,		
	2002	2002	2001
	(\$ million(1))	( million)	( million)
<b>Components of stockholders equity in accordance with U.S. GAAP:</b>			
Capital stock of Bayer AG	1,961	1,870	1,870
Capital reserves of Bayer AG	3,085	2,942	2,942
Retained earnings	13,399	12,780	12,270
Accumulated other comprehensive income:			
Unrealized market value adjustment on securities available for sale (net of taxes of \$14 million, 13 million, 42 million)	(21)	(20)	561
Unrealized market value adjustment on cash flow hedges (net of taxes of \$6 million, 6 million, 1 million)	(12)	(11)	1
Additional minimum pension liability (net of taxes of \$207 million, 197 million, and 171 million)	(297)	(283)	(244)
Translation differences	(570)	(544)	900
<b>Total</b>	<b>17,545</b>	<b>16,734</b>	<b>18,300</b>

(1) The 2001 U.S. dollar figures have been translated at an exchange rate of 1.0000 = \$1.0485. Such translations should not be construed as representations that the euro amounts represent, or have been or could be converted into, United States dollars at that or any other rate.

**a. Fair Value of Derivative Financial Instruments**

Effective January 1, 2001, the Group began applying IAS 39, Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement and Statement of Financial Accounting Standard (SFAS) 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities. As a result, derivative financial instruments are recorded in the balance sheet at their fair values under both IAS and U.S. GAAP. The Group uses foreign currency forward contracts for hedging of anticipated transactions. Prior to the adoption of IAS 39 and SFAS 133, these forward contracts were recorded at the lower of cost or market value under IAS and marked to market through the income statement in accordance with U.S. GAAP applicable at the time. The 95 million difference between IAS and U.S. GAAP net income for the year ended December 31, 2000 arose from the recognition of a 95 million gain relating to the anticipated cash flow forward contracts under U.S. GAAP.

## Edgar Filing: BAYER AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT - Form 20-F

During 2001, the fair value of the foreign currency forward contracts that had been entered into during 2000 declined to 68 million. As the hedged anticipated transactions were realized during 2001, this 68 million was recorded as gain under IAS. Conversely, under U.S. GAAP, the 27 million decline in value was recognized as an

F-53

---

**Table of Contents****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Bayer Group (Continued)**

expense. Therefore, the reconciling item between net income under IAS and U.S. GAAP for the year ended December 31, 2001 of 95 million results from the difference between the 68 million gain under IAS compared to the 27 million expense under U.S. GAAP. Subsequent to the adoption of IAS 39 and SFAS 133, the accounting for new foreign currency forward contracts for hedging of anticipated transactions is consistent between IAS and U.S. GAAP.

**b. Available for Sale Securities**

Under IAS, unrealized losses on available-for-sale financial assets are recorded in income only when the decline in market value is considered permanent. Under U.S. GAAP, unrealized losses are recorded in income when they are judged to be other-than-temporary. Bayer's policy is to evaluate all declines in market value if they have exceeded 20% of the carrying value over a continual period of 6 months. If there is no indication of a significant increase in fair value in the short-term, the declines are considered other-than-temporary. Principally, other declines in fair value that do not meet these criteria may be considered other-than-temporary depending upon the circumstances surrounding the underlying investment.

Prior to the adoption of IAS 39 in 2001, investments in debt and certain equity securities were reflected in the balance sheet at nominal value less any necessary write-downs under IAS. Under U.S. GAAP, all investments that have been classified as available-for-sale are carried at fair value, with any unrealized gains or losses recorded as a separate component of equity. The adjustment recorded in 2001 reflects an other-than-temporary decline for U.S. GAAP purposes where no impairment was recognized under IAS; therefore, the amounts were reclassified from other comprehensive income to net income. The adjustment in 2002 reflects the recognition under IAS of the impairment that had been recognized under U.S. GAAP in 2001.

**c. Business Combinations**

Prior to the adoption of IAS 22 (revised 1993) on January 1, 1995, the Group wrote-off all goodwill directly to equity in accordance with IAS existing at that time. The adoption of IAS 22 (revised 1993) did not require prior period restatement. Accordingly, a U.S. GAAP difference exists with respect to the recognition of goodwill and amortisation before January 1, 1995. For the purpose of the reconciliation to U.S. GAAP, in 2001 and 2000, the pre-1995 goodwill is being amortized through the income statement over estimated useful lives between 20 and 40 years. In addition to the normally recurring amortization expense on these amounts during 2001, the Group wrote-off 22 million of goodwill capitalized under U.S. GAAP. The write-off was due to the disposal of the entity to which the goodwill relates.

In 2002, as required by the newly implemented SFAS 142, the Group ceased amortization of its goodwill recorded under IAS, its indefinite-lived intangible asset, the Bayer Cross, and the pre-1995 goodwill recognized for U.S. GAAP purposes. The adjustment reverses the amortization recorded under IAS for the Group's IAS goodwill of 194 million and the Bayer Cross indefinite-lived intangible asset of 11 million.

**d. Pension Provisions**

Under IAS, pension costs and similar obligations are accounted for in accordance with IAS 19, Employee Benefits. For purposes of U.S. GAAP, pension costs for defined benefit plans are accounted for in accordance with SFAS No. 87 Employers Accounting for Pensions. Using an accommodation of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) to foreign private issuers, the Group adopted SFAS No. 87 on January 1, 1994, for its non-U.S. plans, which was also the date of adoption for IAS 19 for those plans. It was not feasible to apply SFAS No. 87 on the effective date specified in the standard. IAS 19 as applied by the Group from 1994 was substantially similar to the methodology required under SFAS No. 87. The adjustment between IAS and U.S. GAAP comprises required SFAS 87 amortization of the unrecognized transition obligation over the remaining average service lives of employees from 1994 of 238 million, the recognition of an asset limitation under IAS 19, which is not allowed under SFAS No. 87, and the recognition of an additional minimum liability under SFAS No. 87, which is not required under IAS 19.

**Table of Contents****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Bayer Group (Continued)**

Following is a reconciliation of the balance sheet and income statement amounts recognized for IAS and U.S. GAAP for both pension and post-retirement benefit plans:

	2002	2001	2000
	( million)	( million)	( million)
<b>Pension benefits:</b>			
Liability recognized for IAS	(3,741)	(3,057)	(3,151)
Asset limitation under IAS 19	1,187	1,249	1,249
Additional minimum liability under SFAS No. 87	(480)	(415)	(215)
Difference in unrecognized transition obligation	23	47	71
	<u>(3,011)</u>	<u>(2,176)</u>	<u>(2,046)</u>
<b>Liability recognized for U.S. GAAP</b>			
Net periodic benefit cost recognized for IAS	521	270	260
Amortization of transition obligation	24	24	24
	<u>545</u>	<u>294</u>	<u>284</u>
<b>Net periodic benefit cost recognized for U.S. GAAP</b>			

**e. In-process Research and Development**

IAS does not consider that in-process research and development ( IPR&D ) is an intangible asset that can be separated from goodwill. Under U.S. GAAP it is considered to be a separate asset that needs to be written-off immediately following an acquisition as the feasibility of the acquired research and development has not been fully tested and the technology has no alternative future use.

During 2002, IPR&D has been identified for U.S. GAAP purposes in connection with the Aventis CropScience and Visible Genetics acquisitions. Fair value determinations were used to establish 133 million of IPR&D for both acquisitions, which was expensed immediately. The independent appraisers used a discounted cash flow approach and relied upon information provided by Group management. The discounted cash flow approach uses the expected future net cash flows, discounted to their present value, to determine an asset's current fair value.

As a whole, the income booked for the reversal of the amortization of IPR&D recorded under IAS as a component of other operating expense and selling expense amounted to 5 million in 2002.

**f. Asset Impairments**

While the triggering events under both IAS and U.S. GAAP that require an impairment test on long-lived assets are the same, there is a difference in the indicator of an impairment. Under IAS, impairments on long-lived assets are recognized when the recoverable amount of an asset is less than its carrying amount. An asset's recoverable amount is the higher of its net selling price, which is the sales price less costs of disposal, and value in use, which is the estimated discounted cash flows from the use and disposal of the asset. Under U.S. GAAP, a two-step model is used. The first step is an analysis of an asset's carrying value as compared to the sum of its undiscounted cash flows. Only if the undiscounted cash flows are less than the asset's carrying value will an impairment be indicated, in which case, the loss is measured as the difference between the asset's carrying value and its fair value.

In 2002, the carrying values of long-lived assets of the Polyether business were adjusted for a 205 million impairment loss under IAS. However, as the undiscounted cash flows of the Polyether business were greater than its carrying value, under U.S. GAAP no further impairment test was required and; therefore, no impairment loss was recorded.

**Table of Contents**

**Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Bayer Group (Continued)**

**g. Other**

There are also differences between IAS and U.S. GAAP in relation to (1) restructuring provisions, (2) equity compensation and (3) other employee benefits. None of the differences are individually significant and they are therefore shown as a combined total.

**Additional U.S. GAAP disclosures**

***Purchase Accounting***

On June 1, 2002, Bayer acquired 100% of the issued share capital of Aventis CropScience for 7.8 billion, the sum of the cash consideration and the assumption of debt. The results of Aventis CropScience's operations have been included in the Group's consolidated financial statements since that date. Aventis CropScience is one of the leading companies in the areas of crop protection, biotechnology and agrochemical specialties. The purchase of Aventis CropScience, which was combined with Bayer CropScience, is intended to allow Bayer CropScience to become one of the leading competitors in the industry, by achieving an outstanding product offering to customers on a global level, and to substantially boost its earning power. Bayer CropScience will take advantage of synergies and economies of scale to meet market demands and competitive challenges. The business will cover all agrochemical activities as well as biotechnology and seeds.

As a result of the fair value appraisal, increases in the values of Aventis CropScience's net assets were recognized and fair market values attributed to its other intangible assets and in-process research and development, together with associated deferred taxation effects. The difference between the cost of acquisition and the fair value of assets less liabilities of Aventis CropScience has been recognized as goodwill. The amount allocated to in-process research and development was written off at the date of acquisition, as the technological feasibility has not yet been established and it has no alternative future use, as required under U.S. GAAP. Fair value adjustments to the recorded amount of inventory were expensed in 2002 and additional amortization and depreciation was recorded in respect of the fair value adjustments to tangible and intangible assets over the period of their respective economic useful lives. In accordance with SFAS 142, goodwill will not be amortized, but instead tested on an annual basis for impairment.

**Table of Contents****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Bayer Group (Continued)**

The adjustments to the assets and liabilities of Aventis CropScience to reflect the fair values and allocation of the excess purchase consideration over the fair value of net assets acquired and liabilities assumed, based on an independent appraisal prepared using estimates and assumptions provided by management, are summarized in the table below:

	( million)
<b>At June 1, 2002</b>	
Current Assets:	
Trade accounts receivable	1,660
Inventory	1,334
Other assets	980
Noncurrent Assets:	
Property, plant and equipment	874
Identifiable Intangible assets:	
Existing Technology/ Patents	2,239
Trademarks and trade names/ Registration	742
Assets held for Sale	1,138
In-process R&D projects	129
	<hr/>
Subtotal Intangible Assets	4,248
Investments	186
Goodwill	2,153
	<hr/>
<b>Total Assets Acquired</b>	<b>11,435</b>
	<hr/>
Trade liabilities	(488)
Other liabilities	(721)
Pensions	(578)
Borrowings	(2,545)
Deferred taxes	(1,365)
	<hr/>
<b>Total Liabilities Assumed</b>	<b>(5,697)</b>
	<hr/>
<b>Net assets acquired</b>	<b>5,738</b>
	<hr/>

Of the 4,248 million of acquired identifiable intangible assets, 1,138 million was assigned to assets to be sold, as mandated by regulatory conditions imposed in connection with the acquisition of Aventis CropScience, and 129 million to research and development assets that were written off at the date of acquisition. The remaining 2,981 million of acquired intangible assets have a weighted average useful life of approximately 13 years which was determined based on management's appraisal of each asset's remaining useful life. The intangible assets that comprise that amount have weighted average useful lives as noted in the table below:

Existing Technology	12 years
Patents	11 years
Trademarks and trade names	14 years
Registration	13 years
<b>Total</b>	<b>13 years</b>

The 2,153 million of goodwill was assigned to the CropScience business segment. The goodwill is not deductible for tax purposes.

The following unaudited pro forma financial information presents the combined results of operations of the Group and Aventis CropScience as if the acquisition had occurred as of the beginning of the periods presented, based on U.S. GAAP applicable at that time. The unaudited pro forma financial information is not intended to represent or be indicative of the consolidated results of operations or financial condition of the

Group that would

F-57

---

**Table of Contents****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Bayer Group (Continued)**

have been reported had the acquisition been completed as of the dates presented, and should not be taken as representative of the future consolidated result of operations or financial condition of the Group. Pro forma results were as follows for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001:

<b>Pro forma Financial Information</b>	<b>2002</b>	<b>2001</b>
	<b>(unaudited)</b>	
	<b>( million)</b>	
Revenue	30,789	33,407
Operating result	2,442	1,230
Net income	1,561	385
Earnings per share	2.14	0.53

The unaudited pro forma financial information above includes the following material, non-recurring charges:

Reversal of purchase accounting adjustments of 258 million, net of tax of 194 million, in 2002

Reversal of purchased IPR&D charges of 94 million, net of tax of 35 million, in 2002, and recognition of those charges in 2001

Reversal of restructuring charges of 38 million, net of tax of 22 million, in 2002, and recognition of those charges in 2001

Additional inventory charges related to the acquisition step-up in basis of 138 million, net of tax of 78 million, in 2001

Amortization of intangibles of 186 million, net of tax of 87 million, in 2002

Amortization of intangibles and goodwill of 204 million, net of tax of 87 million, in 2001

The revenue, operating result and net income for the assets held for sale are included in the 2002 and 2001 figures

**Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets**

Effective January 1, 2002, the Group began applying the provisions of SFAS 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*. SFAS 142 requires that goodwill no longer be amortized over its estimated useful life. The Group must instead identify and value its reporting units for the purpose of assessing, at least annually, potential impairment of goodwill allocated to each reporting unit. Goodwill must be evaluated for impairment between these annual tests if events or changes in circumstances indicate that goodwill might be impaired.

The testing of goodwill for impairment involves two steps:

The first step is to compare each reporting unit's fair value with its carrying amount including goodwill. If a reporting unit's carrying amount exceeds its fair value, this indicates that its goodwill may be impaired and the second step is required.

The second step is to compare the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill with the carrying amount of its goodwill. The implied fair value is computed by allocating the reporting unit's fair value to all of its assets and liabilities in a manner that is similar to a purchase price allocation in a business combination in accordance with SFAS 141. The remaining unallocated fair value amount is the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill. If the implied fair value of goodwill is less than its carrying value, the difference is recorded as an impairment.

The Group also reassessed the useful lives of existing recognized intangible assets. Intangible assets deemed to have indefinite lives are no longer amortized, instead they are tested annually for potential impairment, or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the asset might be impaired. Intangible assets with finite lives continue to be amortized over their useful lives. No changes in useful lives were made as a result of this reassessment.



**Table of Contents****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Bayer Group (Continued)**

Since the early 1900s, the Bayer Cross has served to distinguish the Bayer name. After World War I, the U.S. government expropriated the U.S. rights to the Bayer name and trademarks as enemy property. In 1986, Bayer reacquired the U.S. rights to the Bayer trademark with respects to products in the manufacturing industry and, in 1994, reacquired the full U.S. rights to its name and trademarks, including the Bayer Cross. We believe that the use of the Bayer Cross by the business groups distinguishes Bayer products, particularly in the U.S. markets. The Bayer Cross is utilized on substantially all products sold worldwide. There is no regulatory or legal life imposed on the Bayer Cross. Bayer protects the value of the Bayer Cross through its policy of not licensing its use to any parties outside the Bayer Group. Based on these reasons, the value associated with the Bayer Cross is assessed to have an indefinite life and, accordingly, will not be amortized. The intangible assets, related to the Bayer Cross (primarily trademarks), had a total carrying value of 139 million as of January 1, 2002.

The Group has identified its reporting units as their business groups. Upon implementation of SFAS 142, transitional impairment testing was performed as of the beginning of the year in accordance with the requirements of the Standard. This testing resulted in no impairment of the Group's goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets.

The adoption of SFAS 142, required the Group to reclassify as goodwill any intangible assets that were acquired in business combinations completed before July 1, 2001, that do not meet the criteria for separate recognition apart from goodwill under SFAS 141. In addition any intangible asset acquired that meets the new separability criteria but had been previously included in the amount reported as goodwill must be reclassified and accounted for as an asset apart from goodwill. Application of these standards did not result in any reclassifications.

The following table provides details of the Group's total purchased intangible assets and related accumulated amortization:

	<u>Patents</u>	<u>Trademarks</u>	<u>Marketing, Selling and Access Rights</u>	<u>Other</u>	<u>Total</u>
			( million)		
Gross Carrying amounts, Dec 31, 2001	1,351	704	2,249	985	5,289
Accumulated Amortization	(253)	(149)	(518)	(324)	(1,244)
Net book value, Dec 31, 2001	<u>1,098</u>	<u>555</u>	<u>1,731</u>	<u>661</u>	<u>4,045</u>
Gross Carrying amounts, Dec 31, 2002	1,426	1,009	4,409	1,098	7,942
Accumulated Amortization	(344)	(212)	(783)	(423)	(1,762)
Net book value, Dec 31, 2002	<u>1,082</u>	<u>797</u>	<u>3,626</u>	<u>675</u>	<u>6,180</u>

The Group recorded aggregate amortization expense of 852 million, 553 million, and 344 million in 2002, 2001, and 2000, respectively, on its intangible assets. In 2002 additional amortization of 55 million is included. This incremental amortization is due to the reorganization of the Group, which will require certain entities to run their business on individual ERP-Systems, thus, significantly shortening the useful life of the current ERP-System.

**Table of Contents****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Bayer Group (Continued)**

Based on the current amount of intangible assets, subject to amortization, estimated amortization expenses for each of the five succeeding fiscal years are as follows:

<b>Estimated Aggregated Amortization Expense</b>	<b>( million)</b>
2003	783
2004	760
2005	756
2006	750
2007	745

As acquisitions and dispositions occur in the future, actual amounts will vary.

The carrying amount of goodwill had the following changes in 2002 in each of Bayer's segments:

	<b>Pharmaceuticals, Biological Products</b>	<b>Consumer Care, Diagnostics</b>	<b>Animal Health</b>	<b>CropScience</b>	<b>Plastics, Rubber</b>
	<b>( million)</b>				
<b>Book value as of January 1, 2002</b>	1	787	1	148	335
Goodwill additions		8		2,173	
Goodwill impairment					
Other changes*		(132)		32	(17)
<b>Book value as of December 31, 2002</b>	1	663	1	2,353	318

	<b>Polyurethanes, Coatings, Fibers</b>	<b>Chemicals</b>	<b>Discontinuing Operations</b>	<b>Bayer Group</b>
	<b>( million)</b>			
<b>Book value as of January 1, 2002</b>	236	170	82	1,760
Goodwill additions	16	13		2,210
Goodwill impairment				
Other changes*	(43)	(25)	(82)	(267)
<b>Book value as of December 31, 2002</b>	209	158		3,703

\* Other changes include transfers and exchange rate differences

If the Group accounted for goodwill and indefinite-lived intangibles under the nonamortization method of SFAS 142, the impact on reported US GAAP results would have been as follows:

Edgar Filing: BAYER AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT - Form 20-F

For the year ended Dec. 31,	2002	2001	2000
		( million)	
Net income under US GAAP	1,277	800	1,783
Amortization, net of tax			
Goodwill		178	227
Indefinite-lived intangible asset		6	6
Adjusted net income under U.S. GAAP	1,277	984	2,016
Adjusted basic and diluted net income per share	1.75	1.35	2.76

F-60

**Table of Contents****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Bayer Group (Continued)****Discontinued operations**

Under IAS, the Group has classified Haarmann & Reimer, which was disposed in 2002, and EC Erdölchemie, which was disposed in 2001, as discontinuing operations as they met the requirements under IAS, which requires an analysis of a disposition at the level of a major line of business or geographical area of operations. Under the new requirements of SFAS 144 Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-lived Assets, the threshold, a component of an entity, for reporting discontinued operations is lower than under IAS. Accordingly, dispositions or assets and disposal groups held for sale qualifying as a component of an entity, which is defined as comprising operations and cash flows that can be clearly distinguished, operationally and for financial reporting purposes from the rest of the entity, shall be reported as discontinued operations. Therefore, under U.S. GAAP, the divestitures of Bayer Wohnungen GmbH, included in the Reconciliation column in segment reporting; the French and Spanish generic pharmaceuticals operations, included in the Pharmaceutical and Biological Products segment; and the sale of Bayer's global household insecticides business (Flora), included in the Consumer Care and Diagnostics segment, qualify for reporting as discontinued operations. The Fibers business group was reclassified as part of continuing operations in all periods presented due to the Group's decision in May 2002 to retain it as a part of Polymers.

Sales from discontinued operations are 1,027 million, 1,574 million and 1,965, in 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively. The operating result of discontinued operations, including gains on disposals of 1,732 million, 316 million and nil in 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively, is 1,846 million, 522 million and 261 million in 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively.

The following U.S. GAAP income statement information includes these businesses as discontinued operations:

	<u>2002</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2001</u>	<u>2000</u>
	(\$ million)	( million)	( million)	( million)
<b>Income from continuing operations</b>	(312)	(297)	404	1,596
Discontinued operations net of tax	1,651	1,574	396	187
<b>Net income reported under U.S. GAAP</b>	<u>1,339</u>	<u>1,277</u>	<u>800</u>	<u>1,783</u>

  

<b>Earnings per share</b>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2000</u>	<u>2001</u>
	(\$ million)	( million)	( million)	( million)
Basic and diluted:				
Income from continuing operations	(0.43)	(0.41)	0.56	2.19
Income from discontinued operations	2.26	2.16	0.54	0.25
<b>Basic and diluted earnings per share</b>	<u>1.83</u>	<u>1.75</u>	<u>1.10</u>	<u>2.44</u>

**Table of Contents****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Bayer Group (Continued)****Financial assets and liabilities**

The components of marketable securities under U.S. GAAP at December 31, 2002 and 2001 are the following:

	Cost	Gross unrealized gains	Gross unrealized losses	Carrying value and estimated fair value
	( million)			
<b>As of December 31, 2002</b>				
Available for sale securities:				
Equity securities	516	85	(95)	506
Debt securities	29			29
<b>Total</b>	<b>545</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>(95)</b>	<b>535</b>
<b>As of December 31, 2001</b>				
Available for sale securities:				
Equity securities	944	625	(35)	1,534
Debt securities	39	20	(7)	52
<b>Total</b>	<b>983</b>	<b>645</b>	<b>(42)</b>	<b>1,586</b>

Prior to the adoption of IAS 39, unrealized holding gains on available for sale securities were not recorded under IAS and gross unrealized holding losses on available for sale securities were recorded in the *other financial expense* component of financial income, net. Under U.S. GAAP, unrealized holding gains and losses on available for sale securities are recorded as a component of other comprehensive income in all periods presented.

Proceeds from sales of available for sale securities were 714 million, 195 million, and 296 million in 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively. Gross realized gains of 261 million, 25 million, and 73 million were included in those sales in 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively. There were no gross realized losses in 2002, 2001 or 2000. The gain or loss on these sales was determined using the weighted average cost method.

The maturities of debt securities at December 31, 2002 are as follows:

	Available for Sale
	( million)
Within one year	29
Over one year through five years	—
<b>Total</b>	<b>29</b>

**Derivative financial instruments**

The estimated fair values of derivative financial instruments are provided in Note [38] to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Bayer Group. The use of derivatives is confined to the hedging of the operating business and of the related investments and financing transactions.

***Fair Value Hedges***

Changes in the fair value of derivatives that hedge interest rate risk are recorded in *interest expense-net* each period. The offsetting changes in the fair values of the related debt are also recorded in *interest expense-net*. Changes in the fair value of derivatives that hedge foreign exchange rate risks are recorded in *other non-operating expense-net* for each period. The offsetting changes in the fair values of the related debt are also recorded in *other non-operating expense-net*. The Group maintains no other fair value hedges. As of December 31, 2002, Bayer

F-62

---

**Table of Contents**

**Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Bayer Group (Continued)**

recognized no ineffectiveness for any of the fair-value hedges. All components of the Groups interest rate swap and cross average interest rate swap gains or losses were included in the assessment of hedge effectiveness.

***Cash Flow Hedges***

While each risk management program has a different time horizon, no program currently extends beyond the next twelve months. The effects of hedges of foreign currency-denominated cash receipts are reported in *other non-operating expense-net*, and the effects of hedges of payments are reported in the same line item of the underlying payment. There was no hedge ineffectiveness reported in earnings in the twelve months ended December 31, 2002, and no amounts were reclassified to earnings for forecasted transactions that did not occur. The estimated net amount of the existing gains or losses on the reporting date that are expected to be reclassified as earnings within the next twelve months amount to 13 million.

Cash flow hedge results are reclassified into earnings during the same period in which the related exposure impacts earnings. If it appears that a forecasted transaction will not materialize, reclassifications are made sooner.

***Hedges of Net Investment in a Foreign Entity***

The Group does not maintain any hedges of net investment in a foreign entity.

***Non-derivative financial instruments***

The U.S. GAAP carrying values are equivalent to the IAS carrying values for all non-derivative financial assets and liabilities, except for marketable securities before 2001, as described above. Non-derivative financial assets consist of cash and cash equivalents, time deposits, and marketable securities. Non-derivative liabilities consist of commercial paper, bank or other short-term financial debts, and long-term debt.

The carrying amount of cash and cash equivalents, time deposits, commercial paper, and bank and other short-term financial debts approximates their estimated fair values, due to the short-term nature of these instruments. The fair value for marketable securities are estimated based on listed market prices or broker or dealer price quotes. The fair value of long-term debt is estimated based on the current quoted market rates available for debt with similar terms and maturities.

Information concerning the fair values of long and short-term financial debt is provided in Note [38] to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Bayer Group.

**Table of Contents****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Bayer Group (Continued)****Comprehensive Income**

SFAS No. 130, Reporting Comprehensive Income, established standards for the reporting and display of comprehensive income and its components. Comprehensive income includes net income and all changes in equity during a period that arise from non-owner sources, such as foreign currency items and unrealized gains and losses on securities available for sale. The additional disclosures required under U.S. GAAP are as follows:

	<u>2002</u>	<u>2001</u>	<u>2000</u>
	( million)	( million)	( million)
Net income under U.S. GAAP	1,277	800	1,783
Other comprehensive income:			
Unrealized market value adjustment on available-for-sale securities (net of taxes of 51 million, 28 million and 3 million, respectively)	(725)	(884)	799
Unrealized market value adjustment on cash flow hedges (net of taxes of 2 million, 1 million, and nil, respectively)	(13)	1	
Reclassification adjustment:			
Net realized gains (losses) on sales of securities (net of taxes of 1 million, nil and 4 million, respectively)	154	25	(12)
Net realized gains (losses) on sales of cash flow hedges (net of taxes of 4 million, 27 million and nil, respectively)	(9)	68	
Additional minimum pension liability (net of taxes of 26 million, 80 million and 1 million, respectively)	(39)	(120)	2
Foreign currency translation adjustment	(1,444)	322	288
<b>Comprehensive income under U.S. GAAP</b>	<b>(799)</b>	<b>212</b>	<b>2,860</b>

**Table of Contents****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Bayer Group (Continued)****Employee Benefit Plans**

Presented below are the disclosures required by SFAS No. 132 Employees Disclosures about Pensions and Other Post-Retirement Benefits ), which provide a roll forward of benefit obligations, plan assets and funded status of the plan:

	Pension Benefits		Other Post-Employment Benefits	
	2002	2001	2002	2001
	( million)			
<b>Benefit obligation</b>				
At beginning of year	11,303	10,684	957	949
Service cost	302	265	87	23
Interest cost	727	669	57	58
Employee contributions	46			
Plan amendments	7			(94)
Plan settlements	(33)	(1)		
Actuarial (gain) loss	(37)	305	46	84
Foreign currency translation	(531)	66	(149)	25
Benefit payments	(586)	(503)	(103)	(90)
Acquisitions/ Mergers	1,290	2	69	2
Divestitures	(88)	(184)	(4)	
Curtailments	14		1	
<b>Benefit obligation at end of year</b>	<b>12,414</b>	<b>11,303</b>	<b>961</b>	<b>957</b>
<b>Plan assets at fair value</b>				
At beginning of year	8,126	8,790	337	389
Actual return on plan assets	(668)	(563)	(18)	(43)
Acquisitions/ Mergers	790	2		
Divestitures	(67)	(130)		
Plan settlements	(8)			
Foreign currency translation	(478)	178	(51)	9
Employer contribution	351	313	92	72
Employee contributions	46	39		
Benefit payments	(586)	(503)	(103)	(90)
<b>Plan assets at fair value at end of year</b>	<b>7,506</b>	<b>8,126</b>	<b>257</b>	<b>337</b>
<b>Funded status</b>	<b>(4,908)</b>	<b>(3,177)</b>	<b>(704)</b>	<b>(620)</b>
Unrecognized transition obligation	25	50		
Unrecognized prior service cost	7	16	(68)	(85)
Unrecognized actuarial losses	2,345	1,350	143	70
Limitation				
<b>Additional minimum liability</b>	<b>(480)</b>	<b>(415)</b>		
<b>Prepaid (accrued) benefit cost</b>	<b>(3,011)</b>	<b>(2,176)</b>	<b>(629)</b>	<b>(635)</b>
Amounts recognized in the balance sheet				
Prepaid benefit assets	1,742	1,792		
Accrued benefit liability	(4,753)	(3,968)	(629)	(635)

<b>Net amount recognized</b>	<u>(3,011)</u>	<u>(2,176)</u>	<u>(629)</u>	<u>(635)</u>
------------------------------	----------------	----------------	--------------	--------------

F-65

---

**Table of Contents****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Bayer Group (Continued)**

	Pension Benefits		Other Post-Employment Benefits	
	2002	2001	2002	2001
	( million)			
<b>Benefit cost</b>				
Service cost	302	265	87	23
Flat-rate tax on employer contributions		7		
Interest cost	727	669	57	58
Expected return on plan assets	(607)	(608)	(26)	(31)
Employee contributions		(39)		
Amortisation of unrecognized prior service cost	12	10		
Amortisation of transition obligation	24	(22)		
Amortisation of actuarial (gains) losses	67	12	(4)	13
Gains (losses) from settlements	20			
<b>Net periodic benefit cost</b>	<b>545</b>	<b>294</b>	<b>114</b>	<b>63</b>

Other Post-Retirement Benefit Plans weighted-average assumptions as of December 31,	2002	2001
Discount rate	6.80%	7.00%
Rate of compensation increase	N/A	N/A
Expected return on plan assets	7.00%	8.50%

The assumed health care cost trend rate at December 31, 2002 was 7.0% gradually declining to 5.0% by the year 2004. A one-percentage-point change in the assumed health care cost trend rates compared to those used for 2002 would have the following effects:

	1% point increase	1% point decrease
	( million)	
Effects on total of service and interest cost components	13	(11)
Effect on post retirement benefit obligations	89	(78)

**Stock-Based Compensation**

The Group applies Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees ( APB 25 ) and related interpretations in accounting for its stock compensation program. SFAS 123 Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation would result in the same accounting treatment for the Group's stock incentive plans as was applied under APB 25. Hence the additional pro forma disclosures required under SFAS 123 do not apply.

**Proportional Consolidation**

The Group accounts for its investment in 11 joint ventures using the proportional consolidation method, which is the benchmark treatment specified under IAS 31. Under U.S. GAAP, investments in joint ventures generally are accounted for under the equity method. The differences in accounting treatment between proportionate consolidation and the equity method of accounting have no impact on the Group's consolidated stockholders' equity or net income. Rather, they relate solely to matters of classification and display. The SEC permits the omission of such differences in classification and display in the reconciliation to U.S. GAAP provided certain criteria have been met.



**Table of Contents****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Bayer Group (Continued)**

Condensed financial information relating to the Group's pro-rata interest in joint ventures accounted for using the proportionate consolidation method is as follows:

<b>Balance Sheet Information</b>	<b>Dec. 31, 2002</b>	<b>Dec. 31, 2001</b>
	( million)	
Current assets	114	175
Noncurrent assets	221	236
Short-term liabilities	46	128
Long-term liabilities		38

  

<b>Statement of Income Information</b>	<b>Dec. 31, 2002</b>	<b>Dec. 31, 2001</b>
	( million)	
Net sales	176	492
Operating result	52	63
Net income	47	55

  

<b>Statement of Cash Flow Information</b>	<b>Dec. 31, 2002</b>	<b>Dec. 31, 2001</b>
	( million)	
Net cash provided by operating activities	80	61
Net cash (used in) investing activities	(7)	(16)
Net cash (used in) financing activities	(70)	(44)

**Self-Insurance**

Various Group companies are self-insured to different degrees. The maximum amount of any Group company's self-insurance is for general liability up to approximately 10 million per occurrence, and product liability up to 14 million per occurrence. For claims against our U.S. subsidiary, the product liability self-insurance is limited to a maximum of 22 million per year.

**Legal Proceedings**

As discussed in Note [29], Bayer is involved in a number of legal proceedings. As a global company active in a wide range of life sciences and chemical activities, we may in the normal course of our business become involved in proceedings relating to such matters as:

product liability;

patent validity and infringement disputes;

tax assessments;

competition and antitrust; and

past waste disposal practices and release of chemicals into the environment.

We cannot predict with certainty the outcome of any proceedings in which we are or may become involved. An adverse decision in a lawsuit seeking damages from us could result in a monetary award to the plaintiff and, to the extent not covered by our insurance policies, could significantly harm our business or the result of our operations. If we lose a case in which we seek to enforce our patent rights, we could sustain a loss of future revenue as other manufacturers begin to market products we developed.

Edgar Filing: BAYER AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT - Form 20-F

The following describes the most significant proceedings in which Bayer AG or its subsidiaries were involved as of December 31, 2002.

F-67

---

**Table of Contents****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Bayer Group (Continued)***Patent validity challenges and infringement proceedings; patent-related antitrust actions*

In the United States, Bayer AG and its U.S. subsidiary Bayer Corporation are and have been plaintiffs or co-plaintiffs in a number of patent infringement actions against generic drug manufacturers. The lawsuits arose because these manufacturers filed applications in the United States for regulatory approval of generic versions of products containing the active ingredients ciprofloxacin or nifedipine marketed by Bayer or its licensees. Some of these actions have, in turn, given rise to lawsuits alleging that Bayer AG, Bayer Corporation and other parties violated federal and state antitrust and similar statutes.

Generic drug manufacturers may receive approval to market formerly patented products after all applicable patent protections have expired. A generic drug manufacturer may, however, attempt to avoid a patent prior to its scheduled expiry by attacking its validity or enforceability. In the United States, the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetics Act enables generic manufacturers wishing to market a bio-equivalent version of another manufacturer's product to seek regulatory approval by filing an Abbreviated New Drug Application (ANDA). In its ANDA the applicant must state the basis on which it seeks to avoid any applicable patents.

One basis for seeking approval is a claim that the applicant's product does not infringe existing patent rights or that the patent is invalid or unenforceable. This claim is commonly known as a paragraph IV certification or ANDA (IV). Under the act, the filing of a paragraph IV certification is deemed an infringement of patent rights. The act permits the holder of the patent rights to file an infringement action against the ANDA applicant within 45 days of receiving notice of the paragraph IV certification. If the holder of the patent rights chooses not to file suit within this period, the FDA may approve the ANDA immediately. The filing of a suit, however, stays final FDA approval of the ANDA for a period of 30 months. The court may shorten or extend this period. If the court rules that the applicant's product will not infringe the patent or that the patent is invalid or unenforceable, the FDA may grant approval immediately. If, on the other hand, the court rules that the product will infringe the patent, the FDA may not grant final approval until the original patent has expired.

*Ciprofloxacin-related actions*

*Patent-related actions.* In January 1997 Bayer AG and Bayer Corporation settled a patent infringement suit against Barr Laboratories, Inc. This suit arose when Barr filed an ANDA (IV) seeking regulatory approval of a generic form of Bayer's ciprofloxacin anti-infective product, which we sell in the United States under the trademark Cipro®. Under the settlement agreement, Barr and Rugby Laboratories Inc., another generic manufacturer that supported Barr during the infringement suit, agreed to dismiss the litigation, acknowledging the validity and enforceability of Bayer's patent rights, and we agreed to pay each company \$24.5 million. The agreement gave us the option, until our patent expires in 2003, to supply Barr and Rugby's then parent company Hoechst Marion Roussel Inc. with ciprofloxacin products, which they could then market under a license from Bayer using a single trade name, or else to make quarterly cash payments. Since concluding the settlement agreement, we have opted to make payments. Shortly after settling this suit, we applied to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office for a re-examination of our patent. The Patent and Trademark Office reissued the patent in February 1999. See below, *Antitrust actions*.

After the settlement with Barr, a series of generics manufacturers filed ANDA (IV)s seeking regulatory approval of generic versions of ciprofloxacin. We filed patent infringement suits against these manufacturers, all of whom challenged the validity of our patents covering the sales of Cipro. During 2002 all patent infringement actions then still pending were concluded by final judgments in our favor.

*Antitrust actions.* Since July 2000 Bayer Corporation has been named as a defendant in 39 putative class action lawsuits, one individual lawsuit and one consumer protection group lawsuit filed in a number of state and federal courts in the United States. Bayer AG has also been named as a defendant in 20 of those cases, including the individual lawsuit and the consumer protection group lawsuit; however, to date it has only been served with process in the individual lawsuit and twelve of the putative class action lawsuits. In addition, Barr Laboratories, Aventis S.A., Hoechst Marion Roussel, Inc., Rugby Laboratories, Inc., and Watson Pharmaceuticals, Inc. have each been named as a defendant in one or more of these lawsuits. The plaintiffs in these suits allege that they are

**Table of Contents**

**Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Bayer Group (Continued)**

direct or indirect purchasers of Cipro® who were damaged because Bayer's settlement of the Barr ANDA (IV) litigation prevented generic manufacturers from selling a generic version of Cipro®. The plaintiffs allege that the settlement violates various federal antitrust and state business, antitrust, unfair trade practices, and consumer protection statutes, and seek treble damages and injunctive relief.

None of the relevant courts in any of the putative class action lawsuits have certified a class. The Judicial Panel for Multidistrict Litigation (or MDL Panel) transferred 35 of these cases to the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of New York for coordinated pre-trial proceedings. The district court later remanded nine of those cases to various state courts.

On January 25, 2002, Bayer filed a motion to dismiss all of the cases pending in the District Court for the Eastern District of New York, and the plaintiffs filed motions for partial summary judgment that the conduct alleged in the complaints constitutes an agreement that is unlawful on its face.

Nine cases have been consolidated and are currently pending in a California state court. The California state court denied Bayer's demurrer, and Bayer answered plaintiffs' claims on December 23, 2002. Bayer is also involved in state court proceedings in Florida, New York, Kansas, Tennessee and Wisconsin. Bayer has answered plaintiffs' claims in the Florida case and filed motions to dismiss in the other four jurisdictions.

The Barr settlement is also the subject of an ongoing antitrust investigation by the U.S. Federal Trade Commission and a number of state attorneys general.

Because these cases, which may involve joint and several liability among the defendants, in the aggregate allege substantial unquantified damages and also seek treble and punitive damages and penalties, it is possible that the ultimate liability could be materially adverse to our results of operations and cash flows. Although we cannot predict the outcome of these cases with certainty, we believe that we have meritorious defenses to the antitrust allegations and intend to defend them vigorously.

Additionally, due to the considerable uncertainty associated with these proceedings, it is currently not possible to accurately estimate potential liability. Depending on the progress of the litigation, we will continue to reconsider the need to establish provisions, which may have a negative effect on our financial results.

*Nifedipine-related actions*

*Patent-related actions.* Since 1997 Bayer AG and Bayer Corporation have been involved in a number of patent infringement actions arising from ANDA (IV)s filed by generic manufacturers seeking regulatory marketing approval for allegedly bio-equivalent versions of our brand-name product Adalat®CC and Pfizer, Inc.'s brand-name product Procardia®XL. The active ingredient of these products is nifedipine. We own patent rights related to nifedipine drug product formulations. In addition, because Pfizer markets Procardia®XL under a license from Bayer, Bayer AG and Bayer Corporation became Pfizer's co-plaintiffs in the infringement actions relating to that product. We have concluded these cases related to nifedipine with all of the defendants, with the exception of the Biovail and Teva cases described in the following two paragraphs.

Bayer AG and Bayer Corporation filed four ANDA (IV) patent infringement suits against Biovail Laboratories and Biovail Corporation in the U.S. District Court for the District of Puerto Rico. Two of these suits relate to Biovail ANDAs directed to 30 mg and 60 mg dosage strengths of nifedipine extended release tablets assertedly bioequivalent to Pfizer's 30 mg and 60 mg Procardia®XL. The other two ANDA lawsuits relate to Biovail's 30 mg and 60 mg dosage strength tablets assertedly bioequivalent to Bayer's 30 and 60 mg Adalat®CC drug products.

In addition, Bayer and Pfizer filed a suit against Biovail and Teva Pharmaceuticals U.S.A., Inc. asserting that Teva's commercial sales of Biovail's 60 mg tablet asserted to be bioequivalent to Pfizer's 60 mg Procardia®XL drug product infringed Bayer's related U.S. patent. Bayer also filed suit against Biovail and Teva asserting that Teva's commercial sales of Biovail's 60 mg tablet asserted to be bioequivalent to Bayer's 60 mg Adalat®CC drug

**Table of Contents****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Bayer Group (Continued)**

product infringing Bayer's patent. All of these lawsuits are currently proceeding on the limited question of claim construction.

*Antitrust actions.* Biovail filed an antitrust lawsuit against Bayer AG, Bayer Corporation and Pfizer in the U.S. District Court for the District of Western Pennsylvania in April 1998. Biovail was seeking a declaratory judgment that Bayer's nifedipine patents are invalid. Biovail was also seeking damages under federal and state antitrust statutes alleging, among other things, that Bayer illegally asserted its patent rights. The district court stayed this litigation pending resolution of the nifedipine-related patent infringement actions against Biovail.

*Vardenafil-related actions*

In October 2002 Pfizer, Inc. filed a declaratory judgment action against Bayer AG and Bayer Corporation in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware claiming that the expected sale of Levitra®, Bayer's product for the treatment of erectile dysfunction, will infringe upon Pfizer's U.S. patent relating to products for the treatment of erectile dysfunction. Levitra® has not yet been approved in the United States by the Food and Drug Administration. The action seeks a permanent injunction to prevent Bayer AG and Bayer Corporation from selling Levitra® in the United States. If Levitra® is approved and launched in the United States, Pfizer may make a motion for a preliminary injunction to prevent sales of Levitra®. Bayer AG and Bayer Corporation are coordinating their defense with SmithKline Beecham Corporation (a GlaxoSmithKline Company), Bayer's co-promotion partner in the United States and other countries and a co-defendant in this suit. In some other countries the local Pfizer affiliate has initiated similar proceedings to prevent the marketing of Levitra. We believe that we have meritorious defenses in these actions and intend to defend them vigorously.

*Product liability proceedings*

*HIV-related actions.* During the past decade, our U.S. subsidiary Bayer Corporation, as well as other fractionators of plasma products, have been involved in lawsuits alleging that hemophiliacs became infected with the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), or ultimately developed AIDS, by using clotting factor concentrates derived from human plasma. Plaintiffs have brought actions on these grounds in the United States, Ireland, Italy, Taiwan, Argentina, Canada, Japan, and Germany.

In the United States, a class action against Bayer Corporation and three other defendants consolidated the HIV-related claims of more than 6,000 claimants and claimant groups. The parties resolved this class action through a \$600 million settlement. Bayer Corporation's share of this settlement was approximately \$290 million. Bayer Corporation has also satisfactorily settled nearly 400 lawsuits by plaintiffs who opted out of the class action. Seven suits remain pending in the United States. Although Bayer Corporation has prevailed in the majority of cases that have proceeded to trial, plaintiffs were successful in three cases. The juries in each of these cases awarded damages not exceeding \$2 million. In addition, in 1999, a Louisiana jury awarded a plaintiff damages of \$35 million. However, the trial court set this award aside, and an appellate court upheld this decision. Bayer Corporation has since settled this matter in the context of a group settlement of nearly 100 Louisiana cases, of which Bayer Corporation's share was less than \$50 million. Bayer Corporation intends to defend aggressively the remaining HIV-related lawsuits in various countries. We have made what we believe to be appropriate provisions should these suits result in judgments in favor of the plaintiffs.

*Cerivastatin-related actions.* In August 2001 we voluntarily ceased marketing our cerivastatin anticholesterol products in response to reports of serious side effects in some patients. In the United States, we co-promoted this product with SmithKline Beecham Corporation pursuant to an agreement dated July 21, 1997. As of December 31, 2002, approximately 7,200 lawsuits were pending in both federal and state courts, including 158 putative class actions. The actions in the United States have been based primarily on theories of product liability, consumer fraud, medical monitoring, predatory pricing, and unjust enrichment. These lawsuits seek remedies including compensatory and punitive damages, disgorgement of funds received from the marketing and sale of cerivastatin and the establishment of a trust fund to finance the medical monitoring of former cerivastatin users. The federal cases are being transferred to the U.S. District Court for the District of Minnesota for coordinated

**Table of Contents****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Bayer Group (Continued)**

discovery and other pre-trial proceedings. In addition, several actions have been initiated against other companies of the Bayer Group in other countries, including class actions in Canada. We expect additional lawsuits to be filed in the United States and elsewhere.

In the event that plaintiffs substantially prevail, despite existing defense arguments, it is possible that Bayer could incur charges in excess of our insurance coverage. Due to the considerable uncertainty associated with these proceedings, it is currently not possible to more accurately estimate potential liability. Depending on the progress of the litigation, we will continue to reconsider the need to establish provisions, which may have a negative effect on financial results. Without acknowledging any liability, we have settled 650 cases as of December 31, 2002, resulting in settlement payments of approximately \$100 million. Bayer will continue to offer fair compensation to people who experienced serious side effects while taking cerivastatin on a voluntary basis and without concession of liability. In cases where an examination of the facts indicates that Baycol played no part in the patient's medical situation, or where a settlement is not achieved, Bayer will continue to defend itself vigorously. We believe we have meritorious defenses in these actions. In some countries, criminal proceedings have been initiated by the relevant authorities. SmithKline Beecham Corporation and Bayer Corporation have signed an allocation agreement under which SmithKline Beecham has agreed to pay 5 percent of all settlements and compensatory damages judgments arising out of actions based on the sale or distribution of cerivastatin in the United States, with each party responsible for paying its own attorneys' fees.

*Phenylpropanolamine (PPA) actions.* In late 2000 Bayer Corporation discontinued marketing Alka-Seltzer Plus effervescent medicines containing PPA in the United States, Canada and various Latin American countries in response to a recommendation from the U.S. Food and Drug Administration to all manufacturers of drugs and medicines containing PPA. The FDA issued this recommendation after one epidemiological study of a small number of patients suggested a possible association between PPA and hemorrhagic stroke in women of certain ages. As of December 31, 2002, approximately 1,025 lawsuits have been initiated in the United States against Bayer Corporation, including 14 purported class actions of which 11 have been denied. Three are pending and appeals are possible. Bayer AG has also been recently named as a defendant. The MDL Panel has assigned management of the federal court cases to the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Washington. The claims primarily relate to compensation for alleged damage to health, entitlement to subsequent monitoring and reimbursement of the purchase price. Claims for punitive damages have also been filed. It is probable that additional actions will be initiated in the U.S. or in other jurisdictions where products containing PPA were marketed. Bayer Corporation believes it has meritorious defenses to these actions and intends to defend them vigorously. We may, on a case-by-case basis, settle cases for reasonable amounts when, in our judgment, settlement is economically feasible given the risks and costs inherent in the litigation. In the event that plaintiffs in these PPA actions substantially prevail, Bayer could be subject to monetary judgments in excess of our insurance coverage, which could materially affect our business, results of operations, cash flows and financial position. Since the amount of damages to which we could be subject if plaintiffs do prevail is highly uncertain, we have not made any provisions for amounts that may exceed our insurance coverage. We will continue to monitor the progress of these cases and may, in appropriate circumstances, consider establishing such provisions, which may have a material negative effect on our financial results.

*Medicaid Rebate Program allegations*

Our U.S. subsidiary Bayer Corporation was under investigation by the U.S. Attorney's Office for the District of Massachusetts. The investigation, which was assisted by the Department of Health & Human Services, focused primarily on allegations that Bayer Corporation improperly underpaid rebates under the Medicaid Rebate Program during a period from 1995 to 2000. Under the settlement agreement, we will make payments of approximately \$260 million to cover the claims. A reserve for these payments was set up in 2002. In addition, Bayer Corporation pleaded guilty to a single felony count for failing to list private label Cipro® with the U.S. Food and Drug Administration during the second half of 1995.

**Table of Contents**

**Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Bayer Group (Continued)**

*Average wholesale price manipulation proceedings*

Fourteen pending lawsuits allege that a number of pharmaceutical companies, including Bayer Corporation, manipulated the average wholesale price of their products. The suits allege that this manipulation resulted in overcharges to Medicare beneficiaries, Medicaid recipients, state governmental health programs, and private health plans. These suits generally seek damages, treble damages, disgorgement of profits, restitution and attorney's fees. All of the state court actions have been removed to federal court, subject to potential remand. Nine cases filed in federal court were transferred to the U.S. District Court for the District of Massachusetts for coordinated pretrial proceedings. All but two actions are private class actions alleging injury to patients or payors. Two cases are brought by governmental entities, one by the State of Nevada and one by Suffolk County, New York, claiming recovery of their portion of Medicaid cost sharing. Due to the considerable uncertainty associated with these proceedings, it is currently not possible to more accurately estimate potential liability. Depending on the progress of the litigation, we will continue to reconsider the need to establish provisions, which may have a negative effect on financial results.

*Rubber-related actions*

Beginning in late 2002, Bayer AG and certain of its U.S. and Canadian subsidiaries became the subjects of criminal and civil investigations being conducted by the Antitrust Division of the U.S. Department of Justice ( DOJ ), the Directorate General for Competition of the European Commission ( EC ), and the Canadian Competition Bureau ( CCB ). The investigations were based on allegations of cartel-related activities involving certain of Bayer's rubber-related lines of business in violation of antitrust or competition laws.

The DOJ undertook criminal grand jury investigations of alleged cartel activities involving Bayer's rubber chemicals and ethylene propylene diene monomer ( EPDM ) lines of business. The EC undertook civil investigations of similar allegations involving Bayer's rubber chemicals and EPDM lines of business. The CCB undertook a criminal investigation of alleged cartel activities involving Bayer's rubber chemicals line of business. Each of these investigations remains ongoing.

Bayer AG and certain of its direct and indirect subsidiaries have been named as defendants in twenty putative class action lawsuits that were filed in state and federal courts in the United States based on allegations related to these governmental investigations. Bayer became aware that twenty cases had been filed in the state courts of eighteen states on behalf of putative classes of plaintiffs who allegedly purchased rubber chemicals indirectly from one or more of the named defendants by purchasing a product containing or manufactured using such chemicals. Crompton Corporation, Uniroyal Chemical Company, Inc., Uniroyal Chemical Company Ltd., Flexsys NV, and Flexsys America LP were also named as defendants in one or more of these lawsuits. The plaintiffs seek to recover from Bayer and each of the other defendants damages equal to three times any proven negative effect on commerce caused by their alleged conspiracy to fix prices for rubber chemicals, as well as injunctive relief against each defendant to prevent its future participation in such a conspiracy.

All of these lawsuits were in preliminary stages at the end of 2002. Bayer Corporation and Rhein Chemie Corporation were served in fourteen of the twenty cases that had been filed, but neither company filed responsive pleadings before the end of 2002. Bayer AG and Rhein Chemie Rheinau GmbH were not served in any of these cases in 2002.

Because these cases, which may involve joint and several liability among the defendants, in the aggregate allege substantial, unquantified damages and also seek treble and punitive damages and penalties, it is possible that the ultimate liability could be materially adverse to our results of operations and cash flows in one or more periods.

Bayer is also aware that a number of private civil lawsuits have been filed in state and federal courts in the United States asserting claims based on alleged cartel-related activities involving EPDM. Bayer AG has been named as a defendant in two cases, and Bayer Corporation has been named and served in one case, all of which were filed in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California on behalf of a putative class of

**Table of Contents****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Bayer Group (Continued)**

plaintiffs who allegedly purchased EPDM in the United States directly from one or more of the named defendants. Crompton Corporation, DuPont Dow Elastomers LLC, DSM N.V., and Exxon Mobil Chemical Co. are also named in one or more of these complaints. The plaintiffs are seeking damages from Bayer and each of the other defendants equal to three times any proven negative effect on commerce caused by their alleged conspiracy to fix prices for EPDM sold in the United States, as well as injunctive relief against each defendant to prevent its future participation in such a conspiracy. Neither Bayer AG nor any of its direct or indirect subsidiaries with the exception of Bayer Corporation and Rhein Chemie Corporation has been served with complaints in any of these actions.

We are aware that our competitors are subject to investigations by governmental authorities based on their alleged participation in cartel-related activities involving additional rubber-related products in violation of relevant antitrust or competition laws. It is possible that additional governmental investigations may be commenced in the United States or other jurisdictions and that private civil lawsuits may arise out of these investigations, which may involve both Bayer and our competitors. Bayer also became aware that our competitors were subject to investigations by governmental authorities based on their alleged participation in cartel-related activities involving additional rubber-related products in violation of antitrust or competition law. It is possible that additional governmental investigations may be commenced in the United States or other jurisdictions and that private civil lawsuits may arise out of these investigations. If the latter occurs, Bayer could be named in those lawsuits.

Because these cases, which may involve joint and several liability among the defendants, in the aggregate allege substantial, unquantified damages and also seek treble and punitive damages and penalties, it is possible that the ultimate liability could be materially adverse to our results of operations and cash flows in one or more periods.

Additionally, due to the considerable uncertainty associated with these proceedings, it is currently not possible to accurately estimate potential liability. Depending on the progress of the litigation, we will continue to reconsider the need to establish provisions, which may have a negative effect on our financial results.

*Asbestos litigation*

We are currently involved in asbestos litigation in the United States, primarily as a premises defendant, predominantly in the states in which Bayer has industrial sites. The overwhelming majority of Bayer's cases are filed in West Virginia and Texas and involve allegations of exposure at Bayer's sites. Texas law and West Virginia law permit consolidated asbestos actions in which multiple plaintiffs can sue multiple defendants for asbestos-related conditions without specifying which plaintiff has a claim against which defendant. While Bayer may be named as a defendant in the case, each plaintiff does not have to assert a claim against Bayer. As of December 31, 2002, 177 cases had been filed against us. In West Virginia, Bayer is a defendant in approximately 26 cases involving 2,424 plaintiffs. Bayer has been identified by less than 10 percent of the named plaintiffs in these actions, i.e. of the 2,424 plaintiffs, approximately 240 have claimed that they worked at a Bayer site. In addition, after past settlements in principle are finalized, we will be involved in only seven West Virginia cases involving 353 plaintiffs, as well as one medical monitoring class action that is currently inactive. Bayer is involved in 56 cases in Texas involving 6,329 plaintiffs, and, as in West Virginia, is typically identified by a small percentage of plaintiffs (less than 5 percent). Bayer also has cases pending in Indiana and California.

The allegations as to Bayer and numerous other premises defendants are that Bayer employed many contractors on our industrial sites, yet failed to warn them or protect them from the known hazards of asbestos exposures throughout the 1960's, 1970's and 1980's. Since premises owners now form a new group of targeted corporate defendants in these litigations, these types of actions may become material to our business in the future.

One of our U.S. subsidiaries, Bayer CropScience, Inc., is the legal successor to entities that sold asbestos-containing products from the 1940's until 1976 and is named as a defendant in asbestos-related litigation. Bayer CropScience is and has been fully indemnified for its costs and exposure in relation to this litigation by Union Carbide. Union Carbide continues to accept Bayer CropScience's tender of these cases, and it defends and settles

**Table of Contents**

**Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Bayer Group (Continued)**

them in Bayer CropScience's name, in its own name and in the name of the several predecessor companies to Bayer CropScience.

We believe that we have meritorious defenses in these actions and are defending them vigorously. Without acknowledging any liability, we have settled a number of these cases in the past. We may, on a case-by-case basis, settle additional cases for reasonable amounts when, in our judgment, settlement is economically feasible given the risks and costs inherent in the litigation. We have made what we believe to be appropriate provisions should these suits result in judgments in favor of the plaintiffs.

For a discussion of the developments in certain of the actions discussed in this item since December 31, 2002, see Note [45], *Subsequent Events* below.

***Effect of New Accounting Pronouncements***

**U.S. GAAP**

In June 2001 the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) approved Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) 143, *Accounting for Obligations Associated with the Retirement of Long-Lived Assets* (SFAS 143), which requires that the fair values of an obligation associated with the retirement of long-lived assets be recognized in the period in which such obligation is incurred if a reasonable estimate of fair value can be made. When the liability is recorded, the Group must capitalize the costs of the liability by increasing the carrying amount of the long-lived asset. Over the estimated life of the asset, the liability is accreted to its present value and the related capitalized charge is depreciated over the useful life of an asset. This is required to be implemented by the Group with the effect from January 1, 2003. We do not believe the adoption of this standard will have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In April 2002 SFAS 145, *Rescission of FASB Statements no. 4, 44 and 64, Amendment of FASB Statement no. 13 and Technical Corrections* was issued. The statement updates, clarifies and simplifies existing accounting standards related to the presentation of gains and losses from certain extinguishments of debt, the accounting for certain intangible assets and the accounting for certain sale-leaseback transactions. Significant provisions of this statement applicable to Bayer are effective for our 2003 fiscal year. We do not believe the adoption of this standard will have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

SFAS 146, *Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities* (SFAS 146), was issued in June 2002. SFAS 146, which rescinds Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue 94-3, *Liability Recognition for Certain Employee Termination Benefits and Other Costs to Exit an Activity (including Certain Costs Incurred in a Restructuring)*, requires a liability for costs associated with exit or disposal activities to be recognized and measured initially at fair value only when they are incurred rather than at the date of a commitment to an exit or disposal plan. SFAS 146 is to be applied prospectively to exit or disposal activities initiated after December 31, 2002. The Group will adopt this standard effective January 1, 2003. We do not believe the adoption of this standard will have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In November 2002 the FASB published FASB Interpretation no. 45, *Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others* (FIN 45). FIN 45 expands on the accounting guidance of other SFASs by extending the disclosures to be made by a guarantor in its financial statements about its obligations under certain guarantees, and it requires the guarantor to recognize a liability for the fair value of an obligation assumed under a guarantee. The disclosure requirements are effective for financial years ending after December 15, 2002, and require disclosure of the nature of the guarantee, the maximum potential amount of future payments that the guarantor could be required to make under the guarantee, and the current amount of the liability, if any, for the guarantor's obligations under the guarantee. FIN 45's provisions for initial recognition and measurement should be applied prospectively to guarantees issued or modified after December 31, 2002. The Group will apply the standard on January 1, 2003. We do not believe

**Table of Contents**

**Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Bayer Group (Continued)**

the adoption of this standard will have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In January 2003 the FASB published FASB Interpretation no. 46, Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities ( FIN 46 ). FIN 46 addresses the consolidation of entities for which control is achieved through means other than through voting rights (such entities are designated variable interest entities or VIE ) by clarifying the application of ARB No. 51, Consolidated Financial Statements to certain entities in which equity investors do not have the characteristics of a controlling financial interest or do not have sufficient equity at risk for the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support from other parties. The primary objective of this interpretation is to provide guidance on how to identify a VIE and to determine when a VIE's assets, liabilities, noncontrolling interests and result of operations need to be included in a company's consolidated financial statements. The measurement principles will apply to the Group's 2003 financial statements, while the disclosure principles are effective immediately. While we are currently in the process of assessing the impact of the adoption of FIN 46, we do not believe it will have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In May 2003 the FASB published SFAS 150, Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equity ( SFAS 150 ). SFAS 150 establishes standards for how an issuer classifies and measures certain financial instruments with characteristics of both liabilities and equity. This statement is effective for our 2003 fiscal year reporting. We do not believe the adoption of this standard will have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In May 2003 the FASB EITF published Issue 00-21, Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables ( EITF 00-21 ). EITF 00-21 addresses certain aspects of the accounting by a vendor for arrangements under which it will perform multiple revenue generating activities. The Issue is effective for revenue arrangements entered into for fiscal periods beginning after June 15, 2002. We do not believe the adoption of EITF 00-21 will have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In April 2003 the FASB issued SFAS No 149 Amendment of Statement 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities . This Statement amends and clarifies financial accounting and reporting for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts (collectively referred to as derivatives) and for hedging activities under SFAS no. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities . The Group is currently evaluating the impact of this change.

**[45] Subsequent Events (unaudited)**

On January 31, 2003 the sale of the Organic Pigments Division of Bayer Corporation to Sun Chemical Group was completed.

Bayer CropScience AG has completed the sale of several insecticides and fungicides to BASF AG. The total package is valued at 1,185 million. With the completion of this transaction, Bayer has fulfilled the most important condition imposed by the antitrust authorities for the Aventis CropScience acquisition.

On May 9, 2003 Bayer and Degussa sold Polymer Latex GmbH & Co. KG of Marl, Germany, for 235 million to financial investor Soros Private Equity Partners after approval had been received from the relevant antitrust authorities. Bayer and Degussa each owned 50 percent of PolymerLatex, a joint venture established in 1996 that has become a leading supplier of latex products for paper, carpets/ molded foam and speciality applications.

Bayer will use the proceeds of these divestments to further reduce net debt.

***Legal Proceedings***

For a discussion of the developments prior to December 31, 2002 in the actions discussed below, see Item 8, *Financial Information - Legal Proceedings* and Note [44] U.S. GAAP Information - *Legal Proceedings*.

**Table of Contents****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Bayer Group (Continued)***Ciprofloxacin-related actions*

*Patent-related actions.* Since concluding the Barr settlement agreement, we have opted to make payments. In addition, as of June 9, 2003, Barr began selling ciprofloxacin hydrochloride tablets in the United States using licensed product purchased from Bayer. These purchases are being made pursuant to a separate obligation of Bayer under the settlement agreement to supply such product to Barr during the six month period immediately proceeding the December 2003 expiration of the patent protecting the sale of Cipro© in the United States. Bayer further intends to seek pediatric exclusivity for Cipro, which, if granted by the FDA, could delay the introduction of generic versions of ciprofloxacin for six months beyond expiration of the patent. If Bayer is successful, we expect that the agreement term will be extended to include the additional six-month pediatric exclusivity period.

*Antitrust actions.* On January 25, 2002, Bayer filed a motion to dismiss all of the cases pending in the District Court for the Eastern District of New York, and the plaintiffs filed motions for partial summary judgment that the conduct alleged in the complaints constitutes an agreement that is unlawful on its face. On May 20, 2003, the district court denied the plaintiffs' motions for partial summary judgment, concluding that the alleged conduct was not per se anticompetitive under U.S. antitrust laws. The district court also denied Bayer's motion to dismiss, except as to the consumer protection group lawsuit, which the court held to be time-barred under the applicable statute of limitations.

The Barr settlement is also the subject of an ongoing antitrust investigation by the U.S. Federal Trade Commission and a number of state attorneys general.

*Aventis Behring action*

Nattermann & Cie GmbH and Aventis Behring LLC filed a suit on April 11, 2003 against Bayer Corporation and Bayer Healthcare LLC in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania alleging that Bayer's Kogenate FS composition containing recombinant Factor VIII, which is used to treat hemophilia, infringes upon the U.S. patent owned by either Nattermann & Cie or Aventis Behring. Bayer counterclaimed, seeking *inter alia* a declaration of patent invalidity and non-infringement, and asserting that Bayer's use of the patented process is pursuant to an implied license. The proceedings are at an early stage. We believe that we have meritorious defenses in these actions and intend to defend them vigorously.

*Product liability proceedings*

*HIV-related actions.* In June 2003 a U.S. law firm filed a putative class action against Bayer Corporation and other manufacturers on behalf of non-U.S. residents claiming compensation for HIV/HCV infections allegedly acquired through blood plasma products manufactured in the U.S. This case is at an early stage. Although we have not yet responded to the complaint in this action, we believe that we have meritorious defenses to this action and intend to defend it vigorously.

*Cerivastatin-related actions.* As of June 26, 2003, approximately 9,400 lawsuits are pending in both federal and state courts, including 158 putative class actions. The actions in the United States have been based primarily on theories of product liability, consumer fraud, medical monitoring, predatory pricing and unjust enrichment. These lawsuits seek remedies including compensatory and punitive damages, disgorgement of funds received from the marketing and sale of cerivastatin and the establishment of a trust fund to finance the medical monitoring of former cerivastatin users. The federal cases are being transferred to the U.S. District Court for the District of Minnesota for coordinated discovery and other pre-trial proceedings. A decision by the court as to whether class certification will be granted for personal injury, medical monitoring and economic refund classes is currently pending. In addition, several actions have been initiated against other companies of the Bayer Group in other countries, including class actions in Canada. We expect additional lawsuits to be filed in the United States and elsewhere. On March 18, 2003, a jury in an action brought against us in state court in Corpus Christi, Texas delivered a verdict in our favor. On April 3, 2003, a jury in an action brought against us in a state court in Hinds County, Mississippi also delivered a verdict in our favor. A motion for certification of nationwide personal injury, medical monitoring and economic refund classes is awaiting decision by this court. On June 16, 2002, the

**Table of Contents**

**Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Bayer Group (Continued)**

Oklahoma District Court of Pottawatomie County certified a class of all Oklahoma residents who took cerivastatin and sustained muscular/skeletal injuries as a result. Bayer appealed this ruling to the Oklahoma Court of Appeals, which affirmed the lower court's class certification ruling on June 20, 2003. Bayer has meritorious defenses against class certification and intends to seek further appellate review of this ruling. The certification of a class, if such a decision is upheld, is unrelated to a determination of the Company's liability.

Without acknowledging any liability, we have settled over 1,000 cases as of June 26, 2003, resulting in settlement payments of approximately \$343 million. Bayer will continue to offer fair compensation to people who experienced serious side effects while taking cerivastatin on a voluntary basis and without concession of liability.

*Phenylpropanolamine (PPA) actions.* As of May 30, 2003, approximately 1,100 lawsuits have been initiated in the United States against Bayer Corporation, including 14 purported class actions of which 11 have been denied. Three are pending and appeals are possible. Bayer AG has also been recently named as a defendant.

*Average wholesale price manipulation proceedings*

On May 13, 2003, the District Court of Massachusetts granted Bayer's motion to dismiss the master consolidated complaint governing some, but not all of the private party class actions pending against Bayer. Plaintiffs are expected to seek leave to file an amended complaint. Still pending before the court are motions to remand to state court the Nevada action and several of the private party class actions that were not included in the master consolidated complaint. The plaintiff in the Suffolk County action has advised Bayer that it intends to file an amended complaint.

*Rubber-related actions*

In early 2003, Bayer AG and certain of its U.S. and Canadian subsidiaries became the subjects of criminal and civil investigations being conducted by the Antitrust Division of the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), the Directorate General for Competition of the European Commission (EC), and the Canadian Competition Bureau (CCB). The investigations are based on allegations of cartel-related activities involving certain of Bayer's rubber-related lines of business in violation of antitrust or competition laws.

The DOJ undertook a criminal grand jury investigation, and the EC undertook a civil investigation, of alleged cartel activities involving Bayer's acrylonitrile butadiene rubber (NBR) line of business. The CCB undertook criminal investigations of alleged cartel activities involving Bayer's ethylene propylene diene monomer (EPDM) and NBR lines of business. These investigations follow the DOJ's and the EC's respective criminal and civil investigations of alleged cartel activities involving Bayer's rubber chemicals and EPDM lines of business that were initiated in 2002, as well as the criminal investigation of alleged cartel activities involving Bayer's rubber chemicals line of business that was initiated by the CCB in 2002. Each of those investigations remains ongoing.

Bayer AG and certain of its direct and indirect subsidiaries were named as defendants in a number of putative class action lawsuits that were filed in state and federal courts in the United States based on allegations related to these governmental investigations. In 2002, Bayer became aware that twenty cases had been filed in the state courts of eighteen states on behalf of putative classes of plaintiffs who allegedly purchased rubber chemicals indirectly from one or more of the named defendants by purchasing a product containing or manufactured using such chemicals. Crompton Corporation, Uniroyal Chemical Company, Inc., Uniroyal Chemical Company Ltd., Flexsys NV, and Flexsys America LP were also named as defendants in one or more of these lawsuits. The plaintiffs seek to recover from Bayer and each of the other defendants damages equal to three times any proven negative effect on commerce caused by their alleged conspiracy to fix prices for rubber chemicals, as well as injunctive relief against each defendant to prevent its future participation in such a conspiracy.

Bayer Corporation and Rhein Chemie Corporation were served in the six remaining state court cases in which they had not received service of process in 2002, and they filed responsive pleadings in each of the twenty

**Table of Contents**

**Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Bayer Group (Continued)**

state court cases. The complaint filed in state court in North Dakota was dismissed as to Rhein Chemie Corporation for lack of jurisdiction, and the plaintiffs voluntarily dismissed without prejudice the complaint filed in Nebraska. Bayer AG and Rhein Chemie Rheinau GmbH were served in three of these state court cases. Bayer AG did not yet file responsive pleadings in any of these three cases, but Rhein Chemie Rheinau GmbH filed responsive pleadings in each of them.

Bayer AG and certain of its direct and indirect subsidiaries were also named as defendants in private civil lawsuits that have been filed in federal courts in the United States on behalf of putative classes of plaintiffs who allegedly purchased rubber chemicals in the United States directly from one or more of the named defendants. Crompton Corporation, Uniroyal Chemical Company, Inc., Uniroyal Chemical Company Ltd., Flexsys NV, Flexsys America LP, Akzo Nobel NV, Akzo Nobel, Inc., and Solutia, Inc. were also named as defendants in the federal lawsuits. The plaintiffs seek to recover from Bayer and each of the other defendants damages equal to three times any proven negative effect on commerce caused by their alleged conspiracy to fix prices for rubber chemicals sold in the United States, as well as injunctive relief against each defendant to prevent its future participation in such a conspiracy.

Bayer Corporation was served in two and Rhein Chemie Corporation was served in one of these federal cases, but neither company filed responsive pleadings yet. Neither Bayer AG nor any other of its direct or indirect subsidiaries except Bayer Corporation and Rhein Chemie Corporation was served in these federal cases.

Bayer also became aware that in 2003 a number of private civil lawsuits were filed in state and federal courts in the United States asserting claims based on alleged cartel-related activities involving EPDM. Bayer AG was named in two cases, and Bayer Corporation was named and served in another case, all of which were filed in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California on behalf of a putative class of plaintiffs who allegedly purchased EPDM in the United States directly from one or more of the named defendants. Crompton Corporation, DuPont Dow Elastomers LLC, DSM NV, and Exxon Mobil Chemical Co. were also named in one or more of these complaints. The plaintiffs seek to recover from Bayer and each of the other defendants damages equal to three times any proven negative effect on commerce caused by their alleged conspiracy to fix prices for EPDM sold in the United States, as well as injunctive relief against each defendant to prevent its future participation in such a conspiracy. Neither Bayer AG nor any other of its direct or indirect subsidiaries except Bayer Corporation was served with complaints in any of these actions.

Bayer also became aware that our competitors were subject to investigations by governmental authorities based on their alleged participation in cartel-related activities involving additional rubber-related products in violation of antitrust or competition law. It is possible that additional governmental investigations may be commenced in the United States or other jurisdictions and that private civil lawsuits may arise out of these investigations. If the latter occurs, Bayer could be named in those lawsuits.

Because these cases, which may involve joint and several liability among the defendants, in the aggregate allege substantial, unquantified damages and also seek treble and punitive damages and penalties, it is possible that the ultimate liability could be materially adverse to our results of operations and cash flows in one or more periods.

*Securities litigation*

Since March 6, 2003 Bayer AG, along with certain of its current and former officers and members of the Bayer AG Board of Management, has been named as a defendant in seven purported class action lawsuits filed in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. Each class action alleges violations of the U.S. securities laws and asserts that the defendants made false and misleading statements and omissions with respect to the commercial prospects, safety and efficacy of our cerivastatin anticholesterol products and with respect to the extent of the potential product liability exposure following our voluntary decision to cease marketing and withdraw these products in August 2001. Each case seeks damages on behalf of class members who allegedly purchased Bayer securities at inflated prices. There are differences between the seven complaints, both with respect to the length of the purported class period, with the longest alleging claims on behalf of persons

**Table of Contents**

**Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Bayer Group (Continued)**

who purchased securities from June 27, 1997 to February 21, 2003, as well as with respect to the purported class definition, with several seeking certification of a class consisting of persons who purchased American Depository Shares and others seeking certification of a class consisting of persons who purchased Bayer AG's publicly traded securities.

The cases are at an early stage. We expect that all seven cases will be consolidated before a single judge, and that a single consolidated amended class action complaint will be filed. Although Bayer AG has not yet responded to the complaints in these actions, we believe that we have meritorious defenses to these actions and intend to defend them vigorously.

*German shareholder lawsuit*

In June 2003 a shareholder of Bayer AG filed a lawsuit in the regional court of Cologne, Germany (*Landgericht Köln*). This plaintiff is challenging certain resolutions approved by the Shareholders Meeting of April 25, 2003, including the financial statements of Bayer AG (not the consolidated financial statements) and consequently the distribution of profit. In effect, the plaintiff seeks to prevent the implementation of our planned new corporate structure. See Item 4, *Information on the Company's Business*. While this case is pending, the asset transfers within the Bayer Group to our new operating and support subsidiaries cannot be recorded in the commercial register.

We believe that the plaintiff's lawsuit is without merit and intend to defend ourselves vigorously. Furthermore, in cases of this type German law permits the defendant to seek dismissal in an accelerated proceeding (*Eilverfahren*). We intend to make use of this accelerated proceeding.

We do not believe that this lawsuit will affect our day-to-day business. On an operational level, we began to put our new structure into place in July 2002 and expect to continue to be able to do so. If we cannot resolve this matter satisfactorily within a reasonable period of time, however, or if the plaintiff prevails, it could be more difficult for us to implement some elements of our long-term strategic plans.

*Plant Genetic Systems litigation*

Former shareholders of Plant Genetic Systems NV (PGS) have initiated arbitration proceedings in the Netherlands against AgrEvo, now Bayer CropScience GmbH. Claimants seek damages of up to \$400 million based on alleged violations of a confidentiality agreement in connection with the acquisition of PGS by AgrEvo in 1996, which allegedly prevented claimants from obtaining a higher purchase price. Bayer CropScience believes that claimants' allegations are without merit and will continue to contest these allegations vigorously. The stock purchase agreement between Aventis and Bayer for Aventis CropScience provides that, in case of a decision against Bayer CropScience, Bayer CropScience will be fully indemnified by Hoechst AG and/or Aventis Nutrition S.A.

**Total remuneration of the Board of Management and the Supervisory Board, advances and loans**

The remuneration of the Board of Management for 2002 amounted to 5,700,737 (2001: 8,153,562). Emoluments to retired members of the Board of Management and their surviving dependants amounted to 14,383,353 (2001: 8,355,270).

Pension provisions for these individuals amounting to 106,152,766 (2001: 69,341,493) are reflected in the balance sheet of Bayer AG.

The remuneration of the Supervisory Board amounted to 1,293,750 (2001: 1,293,750).

**Table of Contents**

**Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Bayer Group (Continued)**

There were no loans to members of the Board of Management or the Supervisory Board outstanding as of December 31, 2002, nor any repayments of such loans during the year.

Leverkusen, February 26, 2003

Bayer Aktiengesellschaft

The Board of Management

F-80

---

**Table of Contents**

**For further information please contact:**

Bayer AG

Investor Relations  
Dr. Alexander Rosar  
Building W 11  
51368 Leverkusen  
Germany

Phone: ++ 49 214 30 72704

Fax: ++ 49 214 30 66242

E-Mail: [alexander.rosar.arl@bayer-ag.de](mailto:alexander.rosar.arl@bayer-ag.de)

Bayer AG

Corporate Communications  
Günter Forneck  
Building W 11  
51368 Leverkusen

Germany Phone: ++ 49 214 30 50446

Fax: ++ 49 214 30 55156