

ServisFirst Bancshares, Inc.  
Form 10-K  
March 12, 2013

**UNITED STATES**

**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

**WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549**

**FORM 10-K**

**(Mark One)**

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF  
 1934

**FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012**

**OR**

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT  
OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

**Commission file number 000-53149**

**SERVISFIRST BANCSHARES, INC.**

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

**Delaware** **26-0734029**  
(State or Other Jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer  
Incorporation or Organization) Identification No.)

**850 Shades Creek Parkway, Birmingham, Alabama 35209**  
(Address of Principal Executive Offices) (Zip Code)

**(205) 949-0302**

(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Edgar Filing: ServisFirst Bancshares, Inc. - Form 10-K

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

**NONE**

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

**Common Stock, par value \$.001 per share**

(Titles of Class)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definition of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer", and "small reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (Check one):

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer  Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes  No

As of June 30, 2012, the aggregate market value of the voting common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant, based on a stock price of \$30.00 per share of Common Stock, was \$159,534,480.

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

<b>Class</b>	<b>Outstanding as of February 28, 2013</b>
Common stock, \$.001 par value	6,268,812

#### **DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE**

Portions of the registrant's definitive proxy statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in connection with its 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders are incorporated by reference into Part III of this annual report on Form 10-K.

**SERVISFIRST BANCSHARE, INC.**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

**FORM 10-K**

**DECEMBER 31, 2012**

CAUTIONARY NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS	3
<u>PART I</u>	4
ITEM 1. BUSINESS	4
ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS	22
ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS	30
ITEM 2. PROPERTIES	31
ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS	31
ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES	31
<u>PART II</u>	32
ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES	32
ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA	34
ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	36
ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK	55
ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA	57
ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURES	99
ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES	99
ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION	100
	100
	4

PART  
III.

ITEM 10.	DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE	100
ITEM 11.	EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	100
ITEM 12.	SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS	100
ITEM 13.	CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE	100
ITEM 14.	PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES	100

PART  
IV.

ITEM 15.	EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES	101
	SIGNATURES	103
	EXHIBIT INDEX	104

## CAUTIONARY NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Some of our statements contained in this Form 10-K, including matters discussed under the caption “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations”, are “forward-looking statements” that are based upon our current expectations and projections about future events. Forward-looking statements relate to future events or our future financial performance and include statements about the competitiveness of the banking industry, potential regulatory obligations, our entrance and expansion into other markets, our other business strategies and other statements that are not historical facts. Forward-looking statements are not guarantees of performance or results. When we use words like “may,” “plan,” “contemplate,” “anticipate,” “believe,” “intend,” “continue,” “expect,” “project,” “pre-estimate,” “could,” “should,” “would,” “will,” and similar expressions, you should consider them as identifying forward-looking statements, although we may use other phrasing. These forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties and are based on our beliefs and assumptions, and on the information available to us at the time that these disclosures were prepared and may not be realized due to a variety of factors, including, but not limited to, the following:

- the effects of the continued slow economic recovery and high unemployment;
- the effects of continued deleveraging of United States citizens and businesses;
- the effects of potential federal spending cuts due to the United States debt ceiling crisis;
- the effects of continued depression of residential housing values and the slow market for sales and resales;
- credit risks, including credit risks resulting from the devaluation of collateralized debt obligations (CDOs) and/or structured investment vehicles to which we currently have no direct exposure;
- the effects of governmental monetary and fiscal policies and legislative and regulatory changes;
- the effect of changes in interest rates on the level and composition of deposits, loan demand and the values of loan collateral, securities and interest sensitive assets and liabilities;
- the effects of terrorism and efforts to combat it;
- the effects of hazardous weather such as the tornados that struck the state of Alabama in April 2011 and January 2012;
- the effects of competition from other commercial banks, thrifts, mortgage banking firms, consumer finance companies, credit unions, securities brokerage firms, insurance companies, money market and other mutual funds and other financial institutions operating in our market area and elsewhere, including institutions operating regionally, nationally and internationally, together with competitors offering banking products and services by mail, telephone and the internet;
- the effect of any merger, acquisition or other transaction to which we or our subsidiary may from time to time be a party, including our ability to successfully integrate any business that we acquire; and
- the effect of inaccuracies in our assumptions underlying the establishment of our loan loss reserves.

All written or oral forward-looking statements attributable to us are expressly qualified in their entirety by this Cautionary Note. Our actual results may differ significantly from those we discuss in these forward-looking statements. For certain other factors, risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual results to differ materially from estimates and projections contained in these forward-looking statements, please read the “Risk Factors” in Item 1A.



## **PART I**

*Unless this Form 10-K indicates otherwise, the terms “we,” “our,” “us,” “the Company,” “ServisFirst Bancshares” or “ServisFirst Bank” as used herein refer to ServisFirst Bancshares, Inc., and its subsidiaries, including ServisFirst Bank, which sometimes is referred to as “our bank subsidiary” or “the Bank” and its other subsidiaries. References herein to the fiscal years 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012 mean our fiscal years ended December 31, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012, respectively.*

### **ITEM 1. BUSINESS**

#### **Overview**

We are a bank holding company within the meaning of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 and are headquartered in Birmingham, Alabama. Through our wholly-owned subsidiary bank, we operate 11 full-service banking offices located in Jefferson, Shelby, Madison, Montgomery and Houston Counties of Alabama and in Escambia County Florida in the metropolitan statistical areas (“MSAs”) of Birmingham-Hoover, Huntsville, Montgomery and Dothan, Alabama, and Pensacola-Ferry Pass-Brent, Florida. Additionally, we operate a loan production office in Mobile County of Alabama in the Mobile MSA. As of December 31, 2012, we had total assets of approximately \$2.9 billion, total loans of approximately \$2.4 billion, total deposits of approximately \$2.5 billion and total stockholders’ equity of approximately \$233 million.

In January 2012, we formed SF Holding 1, Inc., an Alabama corporation, and its subsidiary, SF Realty 1, Inc., an Alabama corporation. SF Realty 1 elected to be treated as a real estate investment trust (“REIT”) for U.S. income tax purposes. SF Realty 1 holds and manages participations in residential mortgages and commercial real estate loans originated by ServisFirst Bank. SF Holding 1, Inc. and SF Realty 1, Inc. are both consolidated into the Company.

We were originally incorporated as a Delaware corporation in August 2007 for the purpose of acquiring all of the common stock of ServisFirst Bank, an Alabama banking corporation (separately referred to herein as the “Bank”), which started operations on May 2, 2005. On November 29, 2007, we became the sole shareholder of the Bank by virtue of a plan of reorganization and agreement of merger pursuant to which (i) a wholly-owned subsidiary formed for the purpose of the reorganization was merged with and into the Bank, with the Bank surviving, and (ii) each shareholder of the Bank exchanged their shares of the Bank’s common stock for an equal number of shares of our common stock.

The holding company structure provides flexibility for expansion of our banking business through the possible acquisition of other financial institutions, the provision of additional banking-related services which the traditional commercial bank may not provide under current law, and additional financing alternatives such as the issuance of trust preferred securities. We have no current plans to acquire any operating subsidiaries in addition to the Bank, but we may make acquisitions in the future if we deem them to be in the best interest of our stockholders. Any such acquisitions would be subject to applicable regulatory approvals and requirements.

Our principal business is to accept deposits from the public and to make loans and other investments. Our principal sources of funds for loans and investments are demand, time, savings and other deposits (including negotiable orders of withdrawal, or NOW accounts) and the amortization and prepayment of loans and borrowings. Our principal sources of income are interest and fees collected on loans, interest and dividends collected on other investments, and service charges. Our principal expenses are interest paid on savings and other deposits (including NOW accounts), interest paid on our other borrowings, employee compensation, office expenses and other overhead expenses.

#### Market Growth and Competition

The markets in which we operate enjoyed steady expansion in their deposit base until being negatively affected by the recession and credit crisis beginning in 2008. We believe that the long-term growth potential of each of our markets is substantial, and further believe that many local affluent professionals and small business owners will do their banking with local, autonomous institutions that offer a higher level of personalized service. According to FDIC reports, total deposits in each of our market areas have expanded from 2002 to 2012 (deposit data reflects totals as reported by financial institutions as of June 30<sup>th</sup> of each year) as follows:

	2012	2002	Compound Annual Growth Rate	
	(Dollars in Billions)			
Jefferson/Shelby County, Alabama	\$26.6	\$15.1	5.83	%
Madison County, Alabama	5.9	3.4	5.67	%
Montgomery County, Alabama	6.6	3.2	7.51	%
Houston County, Alabama	2.1	1.3	4.91	%
Escambia County, Florida	3.5	2.6	3.02	%

The Bank is subject to intense competition from various financial institutions and other financial service providers. The Bank competes for deposits with other local and regional commercial banks, savings and loan associations, credit unions and issuers of commercial paper and other securities, such as money-market and mutual funds. In making loans, the Bank competes with other commercial banks, savings and loan associations, consumer finance companies, credit unions, leasing companies and other lenders.

The following table illustrates our market share, by insured deposits, in our primary service areas at June 30, 2012, as reported by the FDIC:

Market	Number of Branches	Our Market Deposits (Dollars in Millions)	Total Market Deposits	Ranking	Market Share Percentage	
Alabama:						
Birmingham-Hoover MSA	3	\$ 975.2	\$ 29,406.0	6	3.32	%
Huntsville MSA	2	500.5	6,606.7	5	7.58	%
Montgomery MSA	2	376.9	7,909.1	6	4.76	%
Dothan MSA	2	249.9	2,800.0	3	8.92	%
Florida:						
Pensacola-Ferry Pass-Brent MSA	1	140.8	4,686.3	11	3.00	%

Together, deposits for all institutions in Jefferson, Shelby, Montgomery, Madison, and Houston Counties represented approximately 48.75% of all the deposits in the State of Alabama at June 30, 2012. Deposits for all institutions in Escambia County represent approximately 0.82% of all the deposits in the state of Florida at June 30, 2012.

Our retail and commercial divisions operate in highly competitive markets. We compete directly in retail and commercial banking markets with other commercial banks, savings and loan associations, credit unions, mortgage brokers and mortgage companies, mutual funds, securities brokers, consumer finance companies, other lenders and insurance companies, locally, regionally and nationally. Many of our competitors compete by using offerings by mail, telephone, computer and/or the Internet. Interest rates, both on loans and deposits, and prices of services are

significant competitive factors among financial institutions generally. Providing convenient locations, desired financial products and services, convenient office hours, quality customer service, quick local decision making, a strong community reputation and long-term personal relationships are all important competitive factors that we emphasize.

In our primary service areas, our five largest competitors are Regions Bank, Wells Fargo Bank, Compass Bank, BB&T and PNC Bank, NA. These institutions, as well as other competitors of ours, have greater resources, serve broader geographic markets, have higher lending limits, offer various services that we do not offer and can better afford, and make broader use of, media advertising, support services, and electronic technology than we can. To offset these competitive disadvantages, we depend on our reputation for greater personal service, consistency, and flexibility and the ability to make credit and other business decisions quickly.

## **Business Strategy**

### ***Management Philosophy***

Our philosophy is to operate as an urban community bank emphasizing prompt, personalized customer service to the individuals and businesses located in our primary service areas. We believe this philosophy has attracted and will continue to attract customers and capture market share historically controlled by other financial institutions operating in our market. Our management and employees focus on recognizing customers' needs and delivering products and services to meet those needs. We aggressively market to businesses, professionals and affluent consumers that may be underserved by the large regional banks that operate in their service areas. We believe that local ownership and control allows us to serve customers more efficiently and effectively and will aid in our growth and success.

## *Operating Strategy*

In order for us to achieve the level of prompt, responsive service necessary to attract customers and to develop our desired reputation as an urban bank with a community focus, we have employed the following operating strategies:

*Quality Employees.* We strive to hire a highly trained and experienced staff. Employees are trained to answer questions about all of our products and services, so that the first employee the customer encounters can usually resolve most questions the customer may have.

*Experienced Senior Management.* Our senior management has extensive experience in the banking industry and substantial business and banking contacts in our markets.

*Relationship Banking.* We focus on cross-selling financial products and services to our customers. Our customer-contact employees are highly trained to recognize customer needs and to meet those needs with a sophisticated array of products and services. We view cross-selling as a means to leverage relationships and help provide useful financial services to retain customers, attract new customers and remain competitive.

*Community-Oriented Directors.* The boards of directors for the holding company and the Bank currently consist of residents of Birmingham, but we also have a non-voting advisory board of directors in each of the Huntsville, Montgomery, Dothan and Pensacola markets. These advisory directors represent a broad spectrum of business experience and community involvement in the service areas where they live. As residents of our primary service areas, they are sensitive and responsive to the needs of our customers and prospects in their respective areas. In addition, our directors and advisory directors bring substantial business and banking contacts to us.

*Highly Visible Offices.* Our local headquarters buildings are highly visible in Birmingham's south Jefferson County, and in the metropolitan areas of Huntsville, Montgomery, Dothan and Pensacola. We believe that a highly visible headquarters building gives us a powerful presence in each local market.

*Individual Customer Focus.* We focus on providing individual service and attention to our target customers, which include privately held businesses with \$2 million to \$250 million in sales, professionals, and affluent consumers. As our officers and directors become familiar with our customers on an individual basis, they are able to respond to credit requests quickly.

*Market Segmentation and Advertising.* We utilize traditional advertising media, such as local periodicals and event sponsorships, to increase our public visibility. The majority of our marketing and advertising efforts, however, are focused on leveraging our management's, directors', advisory directors' and stockholders' existing relationship networks.

*Telephone and Internet Banking Services.* We offer various banking services by telephone through 24-hour voice response and through internet banking.

### ***Growth Strategy***

Because we believe that growth and expansion of our operations are significant factors in our success, we have implemented the following growth strategies:

*Capitalize on Community Orientation.* We seek to capitalize on the extensive relationships that our management, directors, advisory directors and stockholders have with businesses and professionals in our markets. We believe that these market sectors are not adequately served by the existing banks in such areas.

*Emphasize Local Decision-Making.* We emphasize local decision-making by experienced bankers. We believe this helps us attract local businesses and service-minded customers.

*Offer Fee-Generating Products and Services.* Our range of services, pricing strategies, interest rates paid and charged, and hours of operation are structured to attract our target customers and increase our market share. We strive to offer the businessperson, professional, entrepreneur and consumer the best loan services available while pricing these services competitively.

*Office Location Strategy.* We located our offices within each of our local markets in areas that we believe provide visibility, convenience and access to our target customers.

## **Lending Services**

### ***Lending Policy***

Our lending policies are established to support the credit needs of our primary market areas. Consequently, we aggressively seek high-quality borrowers within a limited geographic area and in competition with other well-established financial institutions in our primary service areas that have greater resources and lending limits than we have.

### ***Loan Approval and Review***

Our loan approval policies set various levels of officer lending authority. When the total amount of loans to a single borrower exceeds an individual officer's lending authority, further approval must be obtained from the Regional CEO and/or our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Risk Officer or Chief Credit Officer, based on our loan policies.

### ***Commercial Loans***

Our commercial lending activity is directed principally toward businesses and professional service firms whose demand for funds fall within our legal lending limits. We make loans to small- and medium-sized businesses in our primary service areas for the purpose of upgrading plant and equipment, buying inventory and for general working capital. Typically, targeted business borrowers have annual sales between \$2 million and \$250 million. This category of loans includes loans made to individual, partnership or corporate borrowers, and such loans are obtained for a variety of business purposes. We offer a variety of commercial lending products to meet the needs of business and professional service firms in our service areas. These commercial lending products include seasonal loans, bridge loans and term loans for working capital, expansion of the business, or acquisition of property, plant and equipment. We also offer commercial lines of credit. The repayment terms of our commercial loans will vary according to the needs of each customer.

Our commercial loans usually will be collateralized. Generally, collateral consists of business assets, including any or all of general intangibles, accounts receivables, inventory, equipment, or real estate. Collateral is subject to the risk

that we may have difficulty converting it to a liquid asset if necessary, as well as risks associated with degree of specialization, mobility and general collectability in a default situation. To mitigate this risk, we underwrite collateral to strict standards, including valuations and general acceptability based on our ability to monitor its ongoing condition and value.

We underwrite our commercial loans primarily on the basis of the borrower's cash flow, ability to service debt, and degree of management expertise. As a general practice, we take as collateral a security interest in any available real estate, equipment or personal property. Under limited circumstances, we may make commercial loans on an unsecured basis. This type loan may be subject to many different types of risks, including fraud, bankruptcy, economic downturn, deteriorated or non-existent collateral, and changes in interest rates such as have occurred in the recent economic recession and credit market crisis. Perceived risks may differ depending on the particular industry in which a borrower operates. General risks to an industry, such as the recent economic recession and credit market crisis, or to a particular segment of an industry are monitored by senior management on an ongoing basis. When warranted, loans to individual borrowers who may be at risk due to an industry condition may be more closely analyzed and reviewed by the credit review committee or board of directors. Commercial and industrial borrowers are required to submit financial statements to us on a regular basis. We analyze these statements, looking for weaknesses and trends, and will assign the loan a risk grade accordingly. Based on this risk grade, the loan may receive an increased degree of scrutiny by management, up to and including additional loss reserves being required.

### ***Real Estate Loans***

We make commercial real estate loans, construction and development loans and residential real estate loans.

*Commercial Real Estate.* Commercial real estate loans are generally limited to terms of five years or less, although payments are usually structured on the basis of a longer amortization. Interest rates may be fixed or adjustable, although rates generally will not be fixed for a period exceeding five years. In addition, we generally will require personal guarantees from the principal owners of the property supported by a review by our management of the principal owners' personal financial statements.

Commercial real estate lending presents risks not found in traditional residential real estate lending. Repayment is dependent upon successful management and marketing of properties and on the level of expense necessary to maintain the property. Repayment of these loans may be adversely affected by conditions in the real estate market or the general economy. Also, commercial real estate loans typically involve relatively large loan balances to a single borrower. To mitigate these risks, we closely monitor our borrower concentration. These loans generally have shorter maturities than other loans, giving us an opportunity to reprice, restructure or decline renewal. As with other loans, all commercial real estate loans are graded depending upon strength of credit and performance. A higher risk grade will bring increased scrutiny by our management, the credit review committee and the board of directors.

*Construction and Development Loans.* We make construction and development loans both on a pre-sold and speculative basis. If the borrower has entered into an agreement to sell the property prior to beginning construction, then the loan is considered to be on a pre-sold basis. If the borrower has not entered into an agreement to sell the property prior to beginning construction, then the loan is considered to be on a speculative basis. Construction and development loans are generally made with a term of 12 to 24 months, and interest is paid monthly. The ratio of the loan principal to the value of the collateral as established by independent appraisal typically will not exceed 80% of residential construction loans. Speculative construction loans will be based on the borrower's financial strength and cash flow position. Development loans are generally limited to 75% of appraised value. Loan proceeds will be disbursed based on the percentage of completion and only after the project has been inspected by an experienced construction lender or third-party inspector. During times of economic stress, this type loan has typically had a greater degree of risk than other loan types, as has been evident in the recent credit crisis.

Beginning in 2008, there have been numerous construction loan defaults among many commercial bank loan portfolios, including a number of Alabama-based banks. To mitigate the risk of such defaults in our portfolio, the board of directors and management tracks and monitors these loans closely. Total construction loans increased \$7.1 million in 2012. We maintained our allocation of loan loss reserve for these loans at approximately \$6.5 million, the same amount as allocated at the end 2011. Charge-offs for construction loans increased from \$2.6 million for 2011 to \$3.1 million for 2012, but the overall quality of the construction loan portfolio has improved with \$14.4 million rated as substandard at December 31, 2012 compared to \$19.5 million at December 31, 2011.

*Residential Real Estate Loans.* Our residential real estate loans consist primarily of residential second mortgage loans, residential construction loans and traditional mortgage lending for one-to-four family residences. We will originate fixed-rate mortgages with long-term maturities and balloon payments generally not exceeding five years. The majority of our fixed-rate loans are sold in the secondary mortgage market. All loans are made in accordance with our appraisal policy, with the ratio of the loan principal to the value of collateral as established by independent appraisal generally not exceeding 80%. Risks associated with these loans are generally less significant than those of other loans and involve fluctuations in the value of real estate, bankruptcies, economic downturn and customer financial problems. Real estate has recently experienced a period of declining prices which negatively affects real estate collateralized loans, but this negative effect has to date been more prevalent in regions of the United States other than our primary service areas; however, homes in our primary service areas may experience significant price declines in the future. We have not made and do not expect to make any "Alt-A" or subprime loans.

### ***Consumer Loans***

We offer a variety of loans to retail customers in the communities we serve. Consumer loans in general carry a moderate degree of risk compared to other loans. They are generally more risky than traditional residential real estate loans but less risky than commercial loans. Risk of default is usually determined by the well-being of the local economies. During times of economic stress, there is usually some level of job loss both nationally and locally, which directly affects the ability of the consumer to repay debt. Risk on consumer-type loans is generally managed through policy limitations on debt levels consumer borrowers may carry and limitations on loan terms and amounts depending upon collateral type.

Our consumer loans include home equity loans (open- and closed-end); vehicle financing; loans secured by deposits; and secured and unsecured personal loans. These various types of consumer loans all carry varying degrees of risk.

### ***Commitments and Contingencies***

As of December 31, 2012, we had commitments to extend credit beyond current fundings of approximately \$860.4 million, had issued standby letters of credit in the amount of approximately \$36.4 million, and had commitments for credit card arrangements of approximately \$25.7 million.

*Policy for Determining the Loan Loss Allowance*

The allowance for loan losses represents our management's assessment of the risk associated with extending credit and its evaluation of the quality of the loan portfolio. In calculating the adequacy of the loan loss allowance, our management evaluates the following factors:

· the asset quality of individual loans;

· changes in the national and local economy and business conditions/development, including underwriting standards, collections, and charge-off and recovery practices;

· changes in the nature and volume of the loan portfolio;

· changes in the experience, ability and depth of our lending staff and management;

· changes in the trend of the volume and severity of past-due loans and classified loans, and trends in the volume of non-accrual loans, troubled debt restructurings and other modifications, as has occurred in the residential mortgage markets and particularly for residential construction and development loans;

· possible deterioration in collateral segments or other portfolio concentrations;

· historical loss experience (when available) used for pools of loans (i.e. collateral types, borrowers, purposes, etc.);

· changes in the quality of our loan review system and the degree of oversight by our board of directors; and

· the effect of external factors such as competition and the legal and regulatory requirement on the level of estimated credit losses in our current loan portfolio.

These factors are evaluated monthly, and changes in the asset quality of individual loans are evaluated as needed.

We assign all of our loans individual risk grades when they are underwritten. We have established minimum general reserves based on the asset quality grade of the loan. We also apply general reserve factors based on historical losses, management's experience and common industry and regulatory guidelines.

After a loan is underwritten and booked, it is monitored or reviewed by the account officer, management, internal loan review, and external loan review personnel during the life of the loan. Payment performance is monitored monthly for the entire loan portfolio; account officers contact customers during the regular course of business and may be able to ascertain if weaknesses are developing with the borrower; independent loan consultants perform a review annually; and federal and state banking regulators perform annual reviews of the loan portfolio. If we detect weaknesses that have developed in an individual loan relationship, we downgrade the loan and assign higher reserves based upon management's assessment of the weaknesses in the loan that may affect full collection of the debt. We have established a policy to discontinue accrual of interest (non-accrual status) after the loan has become 90 days delinquent as to payment of principal or interest unless the loan is considered to be well collateralized and is actively in process of collection. In addition, a loan will be placed on non-accrual status before it becomes 90 days delinquent if management believes that the borrower's financial condition is such that the collection of interest or principal is doubtful. Interest previously accrued but uncollected on such loans is reversed and charged against current income when the receivable is determined to be uncollectible. Interest income on non-accrual loans is recognized only as received. If a loan will not be collected in full, we increase the allowance for loan losses to reflect our management's estimate of any potential exposure or loss.

Our net loan losses to average total loans decreased to 0.24% for the year ended December 31, 2012 from 0.32% for the year ended December 31, 2011, which was down from 0.55% for the year ended December 31, 2010. Historical performance, however, is not an indicator of future performance, and our future results could differ materially. As of December 31, 2012, we had \$10.4 million of non-accrual loans, of which 96% are secured real estate loans. We have allocated approximately \$6.5 million of our allowance for loan losses to real estate construction, acquisition and development, and lot loans and \$8.2 million to commercial and industrial loans, and have a total loan loss reserve as of December 31, 2012 allocable to specific loan types of \$19.9 million. We also currently maintain a portion of the allowance for loan losses which is management's evaluation of potential future losses that would arise in the loan portfolio should management's assumption about qualitative and environmental conditions materialize. The qualitative factor portion of the allowance for loan losses is based on management's judgment regarding various external and internal factors including macroeconomic trends, management's assessment of the Company's loan growth prospects and evaluations of internal risk controls. This qualitative factor portion of the allowance for loan losses totaled \$6.4 million, resulting in a total allowance for loan losses of \$26.3 million at December 31, 2012. Our management believes, based upon historical performance, known factors, overall judgment, and regulatory methodologies, that the current methodology used to determine the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses is reasonable, including after considering the effect of the current residential housing market defaults and business failures (particularly of real estate developers) plaguing financial institutions in general.

Our allowance for loan losses is also subject to regulatory examinations and determinations as to adequacy, which may take into account such factors as the methodology used to calculate the allowance for loan losses and the size of the allowance for loan losses in comparison to a group of peer banks identified by the regulators. During their routine examinations of banks, regulatory agencies may require a bank to make additional provisions to its allowance for loan losses when, in the opinion of the regulators, credit evaluations and allowance for loan loss methodology differ materially from those of management.

While it is our policy to charge off in the current period loans for which a loss is considered probable, there are additional risks of future losses that cannot be quantified precisely or attributed to particular loans or classes of loans. Because these risks include the state of the economy, our management's judgment as to the adequacy of the allowance is necessarily approximate and imprecise.

## **Investments**

In addition to loans, we purchase investments in securities, primarily in mortgage-backed securities and state and municipal securities. No investment in any of those instruments will exceed any applicable limitation imposed by law or regulation. Our board of directors reviews the investment portfolio on an ongoing basis in order to ensure that the investments conform to the policy as set by the board of directors. Our investment policy provides that no more than 50% of our total investment portfolio may be composed of municipal securities. All securities held are traded in liquid markets, and we have no auction-rate securities. We had no investments in any one security, restricted or liquid, in excess of 10% of our stockholders' equity at December 31, 2012.

## **Deposit Services**

We seek to establish solid core deposits, including checking accounts, money market accounts, savings accounts and a variety of certificates of deposit and IRA accounts. We currently have no brokered deposits. To attract deposits, we employ an aggressive marketing plan throughout our service areas that features a broad product line and competitive services. The primary sources of core deposits are residents of, and businesses and their employees located in, our market areas. We have obtained deposits primarily through personal solicitation by our officers and directors, through reinvestment in the community, and through our stockholders, who have been a substantial source of deposits and referrals. We make deposit services accessible to customers by offering direct deposit, wire transfer, night depository, banking-by-mail and remote capture for non-cash items. The Bank is a member of the FDIC, and thus our deposits are FDIC-insured. The FDIC's full guarantee of noninterest-bearing transaction accounts, as provided for by The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, expired on December 31, 2012.

## **Other Banking Services**

Given client demand for increased convenience and account access, we offer a range of products and services, including 24-hour telephone banking, direct deposit, Internet banking, mobile banking, traveler's checks, safe deposit boxes, attorney trust accounts and automatic account transfers. We also participate in a shared network of automated teller machines and a debit card system that our customers are able to use throughout Alabama and in other states and, in certain accounts subject to certain conditions, we rebate to the customer the ATM fees automatically after each business day. Additionally, we offer Visa® credit cards.

### **Asset, Liability and Risk Management**

We manage our assets and liabilities with the aim of providing an optimum and stable net interest margin, a profitable after-tax return on assets and return on equity, and adequate liquidity. These management functions are conducted within the framework of written loan and investment policies. To monitor and manage the interest rate margin and related interest rate risk, we have established policies and procedures to monitor and report on interest rate risk, devise strategies to manage interest rate risk, monitor loan originations and deposit activity and approve all pricing strategies. We attempt to maintain a balanced position between rate-sensitive assets and rate-sensitive liabilities. Specifically, we chart assets and liabilities on a matrix by maturity, effective duration, and interest adjustment period, and endeavor to manage any gaps in maturity ranges.

## **Seasonality and Cycles**

We do not consider our commercial banking business to be seasonal.

## **Employees**

We had 234 full-time equivalent employees as of December 31, 2012. We consider our employee relations to be good, and we have no collective bargaining agreements with any employees.

## **Supervision and Regulation**

Both we and the Bank are subject to extensive state and federal banking regulations that impose restrictions on and provide for general regulatory oversight of our operations. These regulations require compliance with various consumer protection provisions applicable to lending, deposits, brokerage and fiduciary activities. These guidelines also impose capital adequacy requirements and restrict our ability to repurchase our stock and receive dividends from the Bank. These laws generally are intended to protect depositors and not stockholders. The following discussion describes the material elements of the regulatory framework that applies to us.

### ***Bank Holding Company Regulation***

Since we own all of the capital stock of the Bank, we are a bank holding company under the federal Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (the “BHC Act”). As a result, we are primarily subject to the supervision, examination and reporting requirements of the BHC Act and the regulations of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the “Federal Reserve”).

### ***Acquisition of Banks***

The BHC Act requires every bank holding company to obtain the Federal Reserve’s prior approval before:

acquiring direct or indirect ownership or control of any voting shares of any bank if, after the acquisition, the bank holding company will, directly or indirectly, own or control more than 5% of the bank's voting shares;

· acquiring all or substantially all of the assets of any bank; or

· merging or consolidating with any other bank holding company.

Additionally, the BHC Act provides that the Federal Reserve may not approve any of these transactions if such transaction would result in or tend to create a monopoly or substantially lessen competition or otherwise function as a restraint of trade, unless the anti-competitive effects of the proposed transaction are clearly outweighed by the public interest in meeting the convenience and needs of the community to be served. The Federal Reserve is also required to consider the financial and managerial resources and future prospects of the bank holding companies and banks concerned and the convenience and needs of the community to be served. The Federal Reserve's consideration of financial resources generally focuses on capital adequacy, which is discussed below.

Under the BHC Act, if adequately capitalized and adequately managed, we or any other bank holding company located in Alabama may purchase a bank located outside of Alabama. Conversely, an adequately capitalized and adequately managed bank holding company located outside of Alabama may purchase a bank located inside Alabama. In each case, however, restrictions may be placed on the acquisition of a bank that has only been in existence for a limited amount of time or will result in specified concentrations of deposits.

#### *Change in Bank Control.*

Subject to various exceptions, the BHC Act and the Change in Bank Control Act, together with related regulations, require Federal Reserve approval prior to any person's or company's acquiring "control" of a bank holding company. Under a rebuttable presumption established by the Federal Reserve, the acquisition of 10% or more of a class of voting stock of a bank holding company with a class of securities registered under Section 12 of the Exchange Act would, under the circumstances set forth in the presumption, constitute acquisition of control of the bank holding company. In addition, any person or group of persons must obtain the approval of the Federal Reserve under the BHC Act before acquiring 25% (5% in the case of an acquirer that is already a bank holding company) or more of the outstanding common stock of a bank holding company, or otherwise obtaining control or a "controlling influence" over the bank holding company.

*Permitted Activities*

Under the BHC Act, a bank holding company is generally permitted to engage in or acquire direct or indirect control of more than 5% of the voting shares of any company engaged in the following activities:

· banking or managing or controlling banks; and

· any activity that the Federal Reserve determines to be so closely related to banking as to be a proper incident to the business of banking.

Activities that the Federal Reserve has found to be so closely related to banking as to be a proper incident to the business of banking include:

· factoring accounts receivable;

· making, acquiring, brokering or servicing loans and usual related activities;

· leasing personal or real property;

· operating a non-bank depository institution, such as a savings association;

· trust company functions;

· financial and investment advisory activities;

· discount securities brokerage activities;

· underwriting and dealing in government obligations and money market instruments;

· providing specified management consulting and counseling activities;

· performing selected data processing services and support services;

· acting as an agent or broker in selling credit life insurance and other types of insurance in connection with credit transactions; and

· performing selected insurance underwriting activities.

Despite prior approval, the Federal Reserve may order a bank holding company or its subsidiaries to terminate any of these activities or to terminate its ownership or control of any subsidiary when it has reasonable cause to believe that the bank holding company's continued ownership, activity or control constitutes a serious risk to the financial safety, soundness, or stability of it or any of its bank subsidiaries.

In addition to the permissible bank holding company activities listed above, a bank holding company may qualify and elect to become a financial holding company, permitting the bank holding company to engage in activities that are financial in nature or incidental or complementary to financial activity. The BHC Act expressly lists the following activities as financial in nature:

· lending, trust and other banking activities;

· insuring, guaranteeing, or indemnifying against loss or harm, or providing and issuing annuities, and acting as principal, agent, or broker for these purposes, in any state;

· providing financial, investment, or advisory services;

· issuing or selling instruments representing interests in pools of assets permissible for a bank to hold directly;

underwriting, dealing in or making a market in securities;

other activities that the Federal Reserve may determine to be so closely related to banking or managing or controlling banks as to be a proper incident to managing or controlling banks;

foreign activities permitted outside of the United States if the Federal Reserve has determined them to be usual in connection with banking operations abroad;

merchant banking through securities or insurance affiliates; and

insurance company portfolio investments.

For us to qualify to become a financial holding company, the Bank and any other depository institution subsidiary of ours must be well-capitalized and well-managed and must have a Community Reinvestment Act rating of at least “satisfactory”. Additionally, we must file an election with the Federal Reserve to become a financial holding company and must provide the Federal Reserve with 30 days’ written notice prior to engaging in a permitted financial activity. We have not elected to become a financial holding company at this time.

#### *Support of Subsidiary Institutions*

The Federal Deposit Insurance Act and Federal Reserve policy require a bank holding company to act as a source of financial and managerial strength to its bank subsidiaries and to take measures to preserve and protect its bank subsidiaries in situations where additional investments in a troubled bank may not otherwise be warranted. In addition, where a bank holding company has more than one bank or thrift subsidiary, each of the bank holding company’s subsidiary depository institutions are responsible for any losses to the FDIC as a result of an affiliated depository institution’s failure. As a result, a bank holding company may be required to loan money to a bank subsidiary in the form of subordinate capital notes or other instruments which qualify as capital under bank regulatory rules. However, any loans from the holding company to such subsidiary banks likely will be unsecured and subordinated to such bank’s depositors and perhaps to other creditors of the bank.

#### *Bank Regulation and Supervision*

The Bank is subject to extensive state and federal banking laws and regulations that impose restrictions on and provide for general regulatory oversight of our operations. These laws and regulations are generally intended to protect depositors and not stockholders. The following discussion describes the material elements of the regulatory

framework that applies to the Bank.

Since the Bank is a commercial bank chartered under the laws of the State of Alabama, it is primarily subject to the supervision, examination and reporting requirements of the FDIC and the Alabama Department of Banking (the “Alabama Banking Department”). The FDIC and the Alabama Banking Department regularly examine the Bank’s operations and have the authority to approve or disapprove mergers, the establishment of branches and similar corporate actions. Both regulatory agencies have the power to prevent the development or continuance of unsafe or unsound banking practices or other violations of law. Additionally, the Bank’s deposits are insured by the FDIC to the maximum extent provided by law. The Bank is also subject to numerous state and federal statutes and regulations that affect its business, activities and operations.

### *Branching*

Under current Alabama law, the Bank may open branch offices throughout Alabama with the prior approval of the Alabama Banking Department. In addition, with prior regulatory approval, the Bank may acquire branches of existing banks located in Alabama. While prior law imposed various limits on the ability of banks to establish new branches in states other than their home state, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act allows a bank to branch into a new state by acquiring a branch of an existing institution or by setting up a new branch, without merging with an existing institution in the target state, if, under the laws of the state in which the branch is to be located, a state bank chartered by that state would be permitted to establish the branch. This makes it much simpler for banks to open *de novo* branches in other states. We opened our Pensacola, Florida branch using this mechanism.

*Prompt Corrective Action*

The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991 establishes a system of “prompt corrective action” to resolve the problems of undercapitalized financial institutions. Under this system, the federal banking regulators have established five capital categories (well capitalized, adequately capitalized, undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized and critically undercapitalized) into which all institutions are placed. The federal banking agencies have also specified by regulation the relevant capital levels for each of the other categories. At December 31, 2012, the Bank qualified for the well-capitalized category.

Federal banking regulators are required to take various mandatory supervisory actions and are authorized to take other discretionary actions with respect to institutions in the three undercapitalized categories. The severity of the action depends upon the capital category in which the institution is placed. Generally, subject to a narrow exception, the banking regulator must appoint a receiver or conservator for an institution that is critically undercapitalized.

An institution that is categorized as undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized, or critically undercapitalized is required to submit an acceptable capital restoration plan to its appropriate federal banking agency. A bank holding company must guarantee that a subsidiary depository institution meets its capital restoration plan, subject to various limitations. The controlling holding company’s obligation to fund a capital restoration plan is limited to the lesser of (i) 5% of an undercapitalized subsidiary’s assets at the time it became undercapitalized and (ii) the amount required to meet regulatory capital requirements. An undercapitalized institution is also generally prohibited from increasing its average total assets, making acquisitions, establishing any branches or engaging in any new line of business, except under an accepted capital restoration plan or with FDIC approval. The regulations also establish procedures for downgrading an institution to a lower capital category based on supervisory factors other than capital.

*FDIC Insurance Assessments*

The Bank is subject to risk-based deposit insurance premium assessments imposed by the FDIC upon Deposit Insurance Fund members. Under the FDIC’s assessment system, an insured institution’s deposit insurance premium is computed by multiplying the institution’s assessment base by the institution’s assessment rate. The following information applies to an institution’s assessment base and assessment rate:

Assessment Base. An institution’s assessment base equals the institution’s average consolidated total assets during a particular assessment period, minus the institution’s average tangible equity capital (i.e., Tier 1 capital) during such period.

**Assessment Rate.** An institution's assessment rate is assigned by the FDIC on a quarterly basis. To assign an assessment rate, the FDIC designates an institution as falling into one of four risk categories, or as being a large and highly complex financial institution. The FDIC determines an institution's risk category based on the level of the institution's capitalization and on supervisory evaluations provided to the FDIC by the institution's primary federal regulator. Each risk category designation contains upward and downward adjustment factors based on long-term unsecured debt and brokered deposits. Assessment rates currently range from 0.025% per annum for an institution in the lowest risk category with the maximum downward adjustment, to 0.45% per annum for an institution in the highest risk category with the maximum upward adjustment. For the fourth quarter of 2012, the Bank's assessment rate was set at \$0.0142, or \$0.0568 annually, per \$100 of assessment base.

The FDIC's current risk-based assessment system went into effect in 2011 and represents a major shift from the prior assessment system. Under the prior system, an institution's assessment base was based on the institution's deposits, rather than on the institution's assets minus its tangible equity capital. The prior system also involved assessment rate adjustments on account of an institution's secured liabilities, and somewhat different adjustment methodologies than the new system for long-term unsecured debt and brokered deposits.

In addition to its risk-based insurance assessments, the FDIC also imposes Financing Corporation ("FICO") assessments to help pay the \$780 million in annual interest payments on the \$8 billion of bonds issued in the late 1980s as part of the government rescue of the savings and loan industry. For the fourth quarter of 2012, the FICO assessment was equal to \$0.0016, or \$0.0064 annually, per \$100 of assessment base. These assessments will continue until the bonds mature in 2019.

We note that the FDIC has taken several actions in recent years to supplement the revenues received from its annual deposit insurance premium assessments. On May 22, 2009, the FDIC adopted a final rule imposing a 5 basis point special assessment on each insured depository institution's assets minus Tier 1 capital as of June 30, 2009, subject to a cap of 10 basis points times the institution's assessment base for the second quarter 2009. That special assessment was collected on September 30, 2009. In addition, on November 17, 2009, the FDIC adopted a final rule that required all institutions to prepay their estimated risk-based assessments for the fourth quarter of 2009 and for all of 2010, 2011, and 2012. The prepayment was collected on December 30, 2009, and was mandatory for all banks except for those exempt under certain circumstances. The FDIC's possible need to further increase assessment rates and charge additional one-time assessment fees is generally considered to be greater in the current economic climate. If the FDIC were to take additional action in the future to supplement its assessment revenues, such actions could have a negative impact on the Bank's earnings in certain cases.

### *Termination of Deposit Insurance*

The FDIC may terminate its insurance of deposits of a bank if it finds that the bank has engaged in unsafe or unsound practices, is in an unsafe or unsound condition to continue operations, or has violated any applicable law, regulation, rule, order or condition imposed by the FDIC.

### *Liability of Commonly Controlled Depository Institutions*

Under the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, an FDIC-insured depository institution can be held liable for any loss incurred by, or reasonably expected, to be incurred by, the FDIC in connection with (1) the default of a commonly controlled FDIC-insured depository institution or (2) any assistance provided by the FDIC to any commonly controlled FDIC-insured depository institution in danger of default. "Default" is defined generally as the appointment of a conservator or receiver, and "in danger of default" is defined generally as the existence of certain conditions indicating that a default is likely to occur in the absence of regulatory assistance. The FDIC's claim for damage is superior to claims of stockholders of the insured depository institution but is subordinate to claims of depositors, secured creditors, other general and senior creditors, and holders of subordinated debt (other than affiliates) of the institution.

### *Community Reinvestment Act*

The Community Reinvestment Act ("CRA") requires that, in connection with examinations of financial institutions within their respective jurisdictions, the Federal Reserve or the FDIC will evaluate the record of each financial institution in meeting the credit needs of its local community, including low and moderate-income neighborhoods. These factors are also considered in evaluating mergers, acquisitions, and applications to open an office or facility. Failure to adequately meet these criteria could impose additional requirements and limitations on the Bank. Additionally, we must publicly disclose the terms of various CRA-related agreements.

### *Other Regulations*

Interest and other charges collected or contracted for by the Bank are subject to state usury laws and federal laws concerning interest rates.

### *Federal Laws Applicable to Credit Transactions*

The Bank's loan operations are subject to federal laws applicable to credit transactions, including:

- the Federal Truth-In-Lending Act, governing disclosures of credit terms to consumer borrowers;

the Home Mortgage Disclosure Act, requiring financial institutions to provide information to enable the public and public officials to determine whether a financial institution is fulfilling its obligation to help meet the housing needs of the community it serves;

the Equal Credit Opportunity Act, prohibiting discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, sex, marital status or certain other prohibited factors in extending credit;

- the Fair Credit Reporting Act, governing the use and provision of information to credit reporting agencies;

the Fair Debt Collection Act, governing the manner in which consumer debts may be collected by collection agencies;

the Servicemembers' Civil Relief Act, governing the repayment terms of, and property rights underlying, secured obligations of persons in military service; and

Rules and regulations of the various federal agencies charged with the responsibility of implementing these federal laws.

*Federal Laws Applicable to Deposit Transactions*

The deposit operations of the Bank are subject to:

the Right to Financial Privacy Act, which imposes a duty to maintain confidentiality of consumer financial records and prescribes procedures for complying with administrative subpoenas of financial records; and

the Electronic Funds Transfer Act and Regulation E issued by the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau to implement that act, which govern automatic deposits to and withdrawals from deposit accounts and customers' rights and liabilities arising from the use of automated teller machines and other electronic banking services.

*Capital Adequacy*

We and the Bank are required to comply with the capital adequacy standards established by the Federal Reserve (in the case of the holding company) and the FDIC and the Alabama Banking Department (in the case of the Bank). The Federal Reserve has established a risk-based and a leverage measure of capital adequacy for bank holding companies. The FDIC has established substantially similar measures for banks.

The risk-based capital standards are designed to make regulatory capital requirements more sensitive to differences in risk profiles among banks and bank holding companies, to account for off-balance-sheet exposure, and to minimize disincentives for holding liquid assets. Assets and off-balance-sheet items, such as letters of credit and unfunded loan commitments, are assigned to broad risk categories, each with appropriate risk weights. The resulting capital ratios represent capital as a percentage of total risk-weighted assets and off-balance-sheet items.

The minimum guideline for the ratio of total capital to risk-weighted assets is 8%. Total capital consists of two components, Tier 1 capital and Tier 2 capital. Tier 1 capital generally consists of common stock, minority interests in the equity accounts of consolidated subsidiaries, noncumulative perpetual preferred stock, and a limited amount of qualifying cumulative perpetual preferred stock, less goodwill and other specified intangible assets. Tier 1 capital must equal at least 4% of risk-weighted assets. Tier 2 Capital generally consists of subordinated debt, other preferred stock, and a limited amount of loan loss reserves. The total amount of Tier 2 capital is limited to 100% of Tier 1 capital. At December 31, 2012, our consolidated ratio of total capital to risk-weighted assets was 11.78%, and our ratio of Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets was 9.89%.

In addition, the Federal Reserve has established minimum leverage ratio guidelines for bank holding companies. These guidelines provide for a minimum ratio of Tier 1 capital to average assets, less goodwill and other specified intangible assets, of 3% for bank holding companies that meet specified criteria, including having the highest regulatory rating and implementing the Federal Reserve's risk-based capital measure for market risk. All other bank holding companies generally are required to maintain a leverage ratio of at least 4%. At December 31, 2012, our leverage ratio was 8.43%. The guidelines also provide that bank holding companies experiencing internal growth or making acquisitions will be expected to maintain strong capital positions substantially above the minimum supervisory levels without reliance on intangible assets. The Federal Reserve considers the leverage ratio and other indicators of capital strength in evaluating proposals for expansion or new activities.

Failure to meet capital guidelines could subject a bank or bank holding company to a variety of enforcement remedies, including issuance of a capital directive, the termination of deposit insurance by the FDIC, a prohibition on accepting brokered deposits, and certain other restrictions on its business. As described above, significant additional restrictions can be imposed on FDIC-insured depository institutions that fail to meet applicable capital requirements.

As of December 31, 2012, the Bank's most recent notification from the FDIC categorized the Bank as well-capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. To remain categorized as well-capitalized, the Bank must maintain minimum total risk-based, Tier 1 risk-based, and Tier 1 leverage ratios of 10%, 6% and 5%, respectively. Our Bank was well-capitalized under the prompt corrective action provisions as of December 31, 2012.

In addition to the foregoing federal requirements, the Bank is subject to a requirement of the Alabama Banking Department that the Bank maintain a leverage ratio of 8%. At December 31, 2012, the Bank's leverage ratio was 9.03%.

#### *Potential Changes in Capital Adequacy Requirements*

In December 2010, the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision, a group representing the central banking authorities of 27 nations that formulates recommendations on banking supervisory policy, released its final framework for strengthening international capital and liquidity regulation, known as "Basel III". Although the Basel III framework is not directly binding on the U.S. bank regulatory agencies, in June 2012 the agencies circulated proposed regulations that, once finalized, would implement Basel III standards for U.S. insured depository institutions and their holding companies.

Basel III places more emphasis than current capital adequacy requirements on “Common Equity Tier 1” capital, or “CET1”, which is predominantly made up of retained earnings and common stock instruments. The following represent important requirements currently under consideration by U.S. bank regulatory agencies in response to Basel III:

Institutions must maintain CET1 equal to 4.5% of risk-weighted assets;

Institutions must maintain Tier 1 capital (i.e., CET1 and other forms of Tier 1 capital) equal to 6.0% of risk-weighted assets;

Institutions must maintain total capital (i.e., Tier 1 capital and Tier 2 capital) equal to 8.0% of risk-weighted assets;

Institutions must maintain an additional “capital conservation buffer” of CET1 equal to 2.5% of risk-weighted assets;

Institutions must maintain Tier 1 capital equal to 4.0% of total assets;

Certain large or internationally-exposed institutions must comply with supplementary leverage ratio requirements that take into account both on- and off-balance sheet exposures;

During periods of excessive credit growth that pose systemic risks in the national and international banking system, certain large or internationally-exposed institutions could become subject to a “countercyclical buffer”, which could require additional CET1 equal to 2.5% of risk-weighted assets;

Certain instruments that have counted as Tier 1 capital in the past, including certain types of cumulative perpetual preferred stock and trust preferred instruments, no longer will count as Tier 1 capital;

Regulatory deductions from and adjustments to capital largely will apply to CET1 (instead of Tier 1 or total capital);

Unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale debt securities, which are not currently counted for regulatory capital purposes, will be counted for those purposes, which may result in increased volatility of regulatory capital for financial institutions; and

In determining an institution’s risk-weighted assets, higher risk weights may be attributed to certain types of residential mortgage loans and commercial real estate loans.

Initially, the U.S. bank regulatory agencies hoped to adopt final rules implementing Basel III by January 1, 2013. However, final rules have not yet been issued. It is anticipated that, once final rules are issued, the Basel III requirements will be implemented over time so that full implementation is achieved by January 2019. Ultimately, through the future implementation of Basel III or other capital adequacy requirements, it is likely that the Company and the Bank will have to maintain higher capital levels than financial institutions are required to maintain today.

### *Liquidity*

Financial institutions are subject to significant regulatory scrutiny regarding their liquidity positions. Various bank regulatory publications, including FDIC Financial Institution Letter FIL-13-2010 (Funding and Liquidity Risk Management) and FDIC Financial Institution Letter FIL-84-2008 (Liquidity Risk Management), address the identification, measurement, monitoring and control of funding and liquidity risk by financial institutions. Regulatory scrutiny regarding liquidity has increased during recent years, as the economic downturn that began in the late 2000s has added pressure to the liquidity of many financial institutions.

In addition to addressing capital objectives, Basel III establishes two new liquidity metrics for financial institutions. The first metric is the “Liquidity Coverage Ratio”, and it aims to require a financial institution to maintain sufficient high quality liquid resources to survive an acute stress scenario that lasts for one month. The second metric is the “Net Stable Funding Ratio”, and its objective is to require a financial institution to maintain a minimum amount of stable sources relative to the liquidity profiles of the institution’s assets, as well as the potential for contingent liquidity needs arising from off-balance sheet commitments, over a one-year horizon.

The Liquidity Coverage Ratio and the Net Stable Funding Ratio are currently being monitored for implementation, with the view that they will be introduced as requirements in 2015 and 2018, respectively. We cannot yet provide concrete estimates as to how those requirements, or any other regulatory positions regarding liquidity and funding, might affect the Company or the Bank. However, we note that increased liquidity requirements generally would be expected to cause the Bank to invest its assets more conservatively—and therefore at lower yields—than it otherwise might invest. Such lower-yield investments likely would reduce the Bank’s revenue stream, and in turn its earnings potential.

### *Payment of Dividends*

We are a legal entity separate and distinct from the Bank. Our principal source of cash flow, including cash flow to pay dividends to our stockholders, is dividends the Bank pays to us as the Bank’s sole stockholder. Statutory and regulatory limitations apply to the Bank’s payment of dividends to us as well as to our payment of dividends to our stockholders. The requirement that a bank holding company must serve as a source of strength to its subsidiary banks also results in the position of the Federal Reserve that a bank holding company should not maintain a level of cash dividends to its stockholders that places undue pressure on the capital of its bank subsidiaries or that can be funded only through additional borrowings or other arrangements that may undermine the bank holding company’s ability to serve as such a source of strength. Our ability to pay dividends is also subject to the provisions of Delaware corporate law.

The Alabama Banking Department also regulates the Bank's dividend payments. Under Alabama law, a state-chartered bank may not pay a dividend in excess of 90% of its net earnings until the bank's surplus is equal to at least 20% of its capital (the Bank's surplus currently exceeds 20% of its capital). Moreover, the Bank is also required by Alabama law to obtain the prior approval of the Superintendent of Banks (the "Superintendent") for its payment of dividends if the total of all dividends declared by the Bank in any calendar year will exceed the total of (1) the Bank's net earnings (as defined by statute) for that year, plus (2) its retained net earnings for the preceding two years, less any required transfers to surplus. Based on this, the Bank would be limited to paying \$90.1 million in dividends as of December 31, 2012. In addition, no dividends, withdrawals or transfers may be made from the Bank's surplus without the prior written approval of the Superintendent.

The Bank's payment of dividends may also be affected or limited by other factors, such as the requirement to maintain adequate capital above regulatory guidelines. The federal banking agencies have indicated that paying dividends that deplete a depository institution's capital base to an inadequate level would be an unsafe and unsound banking practice. Under the FDIC Improvement Act of 1991, a depository institution may not pay any dividends if payment would cause it to become undercapitalized or if it already is undercapitalized. Moreover, the federal agencies have issued policy statements that provide that bank holding companies and insured banks should generally only pay dividends out of current operating earnings. If, in the opinion of the federal banking regulators, the Bank were engaged in or about to engage in an unsafe or unsound practice, the federal banking regulators could require, after notice and a hearing, that the Bank stop or refrain from engaging in the questioned practice.

*Restrictions on Transactions with Affiliates*

We are subject to Section 23A of the Federal Reserve Act, which places limits on the amount of:

· a bank's loans or extensions of credit to affiliates;

· a bank's investment in affiliates;

· assets a bank may purchase from affiliates, except for real and personal property exempted by the Federal Reserve;

· loans or extensions of credit made by a bank to third parties collateralized by the securities or obligations of affiliates;  
and

· a bank's guarantee, acceptance or letter of credit issued on behalf of an affiliate.

The total amount of the above transactions is limited in amount, as to any one affiliate, to 10% of a bank's capital and surplus and, as to all affiliates combined, to 20% of a bank's capital and surplus. In addition to the limitation on the amount of these transactions, each of the above transactions must also meet specified collateral requirements. The Bank must also comply with other provisions designed to avoid the taking of low-quality assets.

We are also subject to Section 23B of the Federal Reserve Act, which, among other things, prohibits an institution from engaging in the above transactions with affiliates unless the transactions are on terms substantially the same, or at least as favorable to the institution or its subsidiaries, as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with nonaffiliated companies.

The Bank is also subject to restrictions on extensions of credit to its executive officers, directors, principal shareholders and their related interests. These extensions of credit (1) must be made on substantially the same terms, including interest rates and collateral, as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with third parties and (2) must not involve more than the normal risk of repayment or present other unfavorable features. There is also an aggregate limitation on all loans to insiders and their related interests. These loans cannot exceed the institution's total unimpaired capital and surplus, and the FDIC may determine that a lesser amount is appropriate. Insiders are subject to enforcement actions for knowingly accepting loans in violation of applicable restrictions. Alabama state banking laws also have similar provisions.

### *Lending Limits*

Under Alabama law, the amount of loans which may be made by a bank in the aggregate to one person is limited. Alabama law provides that unsecured loans by a bank to one person may not exceed an amount equal to 10% of the capital and unimpaired surplus of the bank or 20% in the case of secured loans. For purposes of calculating these limits, loans to various business interests of the borrower, including companies in which a substantial portion of the stock is owned or partnerships in which a person is a partner, must be aggregated with those made to the borrower individually. Loans secured by certain readily marketable collateral are exempt from these limitations, as are loans secured by deposits and certain government securities.

*Commercial Real Estate Concentration Limits*

On December 12, 2006, the U.S. bank regulatory agencies issued guidance entitled “Concentrations in Commercial Real Estate Lending, Sound Risk Management Practices” (the “Guidance”) to address increased concentrations in commercial real estate (“CRE”) loans. The Guidance describes the criteria the Agencies will use as indicators to identify institutions potentially exposed to CRE concentration risk. An institution that has (1) experienced rapid growth in CRE lending, (2) notable exposure to a specific type of CRE, (3) total reported loans for construction, land development, and other land representing 100% or more of the institution’s capital, or (4) total CRE loans representing 300% or more of the institution’s capital, and the outstanding balance of the institutions CRE portfolio has increased by 50% or more in the prior 36 months, may be identified for further supervisory analysis of the level and nature of its CRE concentration risk.

*Privacy*

Financial institutions are required to disclose their policies for collecting and protecting confidential information of customers. Customers generally may prevent financial institutions from sharing nonpublic personal financial information with nonaffiliated third parties except under certain circumstances, such as the processing of transactions requested by the consumer or when the financial institution is jointly sponsoring a product or service with certain nonaffiliated third parties. Additionally, financial institutions generally may not disclose consumer account numbers to any nonaffiliated third party for use in telemarketing, direct mail marketing or other marketing to consumers.

*Consumer Credit Reporting*

The Fair Credit Reporting Act (the “FCRA”) imposes, among other things:

requirements for financial institutions to develop policies and procedures to identify potential identity theft and, upon the request of a consumer, place a fraud alert in the consumer’s credit file stating that the consumer may be the victim of identity theft or other fraud;

requirements for entities that furnish information to consumer reporting agencies (which would include the Bank) to implement procedures and policies regarding the accuracy and integrity of the furnished information and regarding the correction of previously furnished information that is later determined to be inaccurate; and

requirements for mortgage lenders to disclose credit scores to consumers.

The FCRA also prohibits a business that receives consumer information from an affiliate from using that information for marketing purposes unless the consumer is first provided notice and an opportunity to direct the business not to use the information for such marketing purposes (the “opt-out”), subject to certain exceptions. We do not share consumer information between us and the Bank for marketing purposes, except as allowed under exceptions to the notice and opt-out requirements. Since we do not share consumer information between us and the Bank, the limitations on sharing of information for marketing purposes do not have a significant impact on us.

*Anti-Terrorism and Money Laundering Legislation*

The Bank is subject to the Uniting and Strengthening America by Providing Appropriate Tools Required to Intercept and Obstruct Terrorism Act (the “USA PATRIOT Act”), the Bank Secrecy Act, and the requirements of the Office of Foreign Assets Control (the “OFAC”). These statutes and related rules and regulations impose requirements and limitations on specified financial transactions and account and other relationships intended to guard against money laundering and terrorism financing. The Bank has established a customer identification program pursuant to Section 326 of the USA PATRIOT Act and the Bank Secrecy Act, and otherwise has implemented policies and procedures to comply with the foregoing requirements.

*Proposed Legislation and Regulatory Action*

New regulations and statutes are regularly proposed that contain wide-ranging proposals for altering the structures, regulations and competitive relationships of financial institutions operating or doing business in the United States. We cannot predict whether or in what form any proposed regulation or statute will be adopted or the extent to which our business may be affected by any new regulation or statute.

*Effect of Governmental Monetary Policies*

The Bank's earnings are affected by domestic economic conditions and the monetary and fiscal policies of the United States government and its agencies. The Federal Reserve's monetary policies have had, and are likely to continue to have, an important impact on the operating results of commercial banks through its power to implement national monetary policy in order, among other things, to curb inflation or combat a recession. The monetary policies of the Federal Reserve affect the levels of bank loans, investments and deposits through its control over the issuance of United States government securities, its regulation of the discount rate applicable to member banks and its influence over reserve requirements to which member banks are subject. We cannot predict, and have no control over, the nature or impact of future changes in monetary and fiscal policies.

*Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002*

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 represents a comprehensive revision of laws affecting corporate governance, accounting obligations and corporate reporting. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act is applicable to all companies with equity securities registered, or that file reports, under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. In particular, the act established (i) requirements for audit committees, including independence, expertise and responsibilities; (ii) responsibilities regarding financial statements for the chief executive officer and chief financial officer of the reporting company and new requirements for them to certify the accuracy of periodic reports; (iii) standards for auditors and regulation of audits; (iv) disclosure and reporting obligations for the reporting company and its directors and executive officers; and (v) civil and criminal penalties for violations of the federal securities laws. The legislation also established a new accounting oversight board to enforce auditing standards and restrict the scope of services that accounting firms may provide to their public company audit clients.

*Recent Federal Legislation relating to Financial Institutions*

On July 21, 2010, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the “Dodd-Frank Act”) was signed into law. As final rules and regulations implementing the Dodd-Frank Act are adopted, this new law is significantly changing the bank regulatory structure and affecting the lending, deposit, investment, trading and operating activities of financial institutions and their holding companies. The Dodd-Frank Act requires various federal agencies to adopt a broad range of new implementing rules and regulations and to prepare numerous studies and reports for Congress. The federal agencies are given significant discretion in drafting the implementing rules and regulations, and consequently, many of the details and much of the impact of the Dodd-Frank Act may not be known for many years.

The Dodd-Frank Act eliminated the federal prohibitions on paying interest on demand deposits effective one year after the date of its enactment, thus allowing businesses to have interest-bearing checking accounts. Depending on competitive responses, this significant change to existing law could have an adverse impact on our interest expense.

The Dodd-Frank Act also broadens the base for FDIC insurance assessments. Assessments will now be based on the average consolidated total assets less tangible equity capital of a financial institution. The Dodd-Frank Act permanently increases the maximum amount of deposit insurance for banks, savings institutions and credit unions to \$250,000 per depositor. Noninterest-bearing transaction accounts and certain attorney’s trust accounts had unlimited deposit insurance through December 31, 2012.

The Dodd-Frank Act requires publicly traded companies to give stockholders a non-binding vote on executive compensation and golden parachute payments. In addition, the Dodd-Frank Act authorizes the Securities and Exchange Commission to promulgate rules that would allow stockholders to nominate their own candidates using a company’s proxy materials and directs the federal banking regulators to issue rules prohibiting incentive compensation that encourages inappropriate risks.

The Dodd-Frank Act created a new Consumer Financial Protection Bureau with broad powers to supervise and enforce consumer protection laws. The Bureau now has broad rule-making authority for a wide range of consumer protection laws that apply to all banks, including the authority to prohibit “unfair, deceptive or abusive” acts and practices. The Bureau has examination and enforcement authority over all banks with more than \$10 billion in assets. Institutions with less than \$10 billion in assets will continue to be examined for compliance with consumer laws by their primary bank regulator.

The Dodd-Frank Act imposed new requirements regarding the origination and servicing of residential mortgage loans. The law created a variety of new consumer protections, including limitations on the manner by which loan originators

may be compensated and an obligation on the part of lenders to verify a borrower's "ability to repay" a residential mortgage loan. Final rules implementing these latter statutory requirements have been released and will be generally effective in 2014.

As noted above, many aspects of the Dodd-Frank Act are subject to rulemaking and will take effect over several years, making it difficult to anticipate the overall financial impact on us. However, compliance with this new law and its implementing regulations clearly will result in additional operating and compliance costs that could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Recent government efforts to strengthen the U.S. financial system, including the implementation of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act ("ARRA"), the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act ("EESA"), the Dodd-Frank Act, and special assessments imposed by the FDIC, subject us, to the extent applicable, to additional regulatory fees, corporate governance requirements, restrictions on executive compensation, restrictions on declaring or paying dividends, restrictions on stock repurchases, limits on tax deductions for executive compensation and prohibitions against golden parachute payments. These fees, requirements and restrictions, as well as any others that may be imposed in the future, may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

#### **Available Information**

Our corporate website is [www.servisfirstbank.com](http://www.servisfirstbank.com). We have direct links on this website to our Code of Ethics and the charters for our Audit, Compensation and Corporate Governance and Nominations Committees by clicking on the "Investor Relations" tab. We also have direct links to our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), including, but not limited to, our annual reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, proxy statements and any amendments to these filings. You may also obtain a copy of any such report from us free of charge by requesting such copy in writing to 850 Shades Creek Parkway, Suite 200, Birmingham, Alabama 35209, Attention: Chief Financial Officer. This annual report and accompanying exhibits and all other reports and filings that we file with the SEC will be available for the public to view and copy (at prescribed rates) at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, Washington, D.C. 20549. You may also obtain copies of such information at the prescribed rates from the SEC's Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC also maintains a website that contains such reports, proxy and information statements, and other information we file electronically with the SEC. You may access this website by clicking on <http://www.sec.gov>.

## Executive Officers of the Registrant

The business experience of our executive officers who are not also directors is set forth below.

**William M. Foshee** (58) – Mr. Foshee has served as our Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer and Secretary since 2007 and as Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer and Secretary of the Bank since 2005. Mr. Foshee served as the Chief Financial Officer of Heritage Financial Holding Corporation from 2002 until it was acquired in 2005. Mr. Foshee is a Certified Public Accountant.

**Clarence C. Pouncey, III** (56) – Mr. Pouncey has served as our Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer since 2007 and Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of the Bank since November 2006 and also served as Chief Risk Officer of the Bank from March 2006 until November 2006. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Pouncey was employed by SouthTrust Bank (now Wells Fargo Bank) in various capacities from 1978 to 2006, most recently as the Senior Vice President and Regional Manager of Real Estate Financial Services.

**Andrew N. Kattos** (43) – Mr. Kattos has served as Executive Vice President and Huntsville President and Chief Executive Officer of the Bank since April 2006. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Kattos was employed by First Commercial Bank for 14 years, most recently as an Executive Vice President and Senior Lender in the Commercial Lending Department. Mr. Kattos also serves on the advisory council of the University of Alabama in Huntsville School of Business.

**G. Carlton Barker** (64) – Mr. Barker has served as Executive Vice President and Montgomery President and Chief Executive Officer of the Bank since February 1, 2007. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Barker was employed by Regions Bank for 19 years in various capacities, most recently as the Regional President for the Southeast Alabama Region. Mr. Barker serves on the Huntingdon College Board of Trustee.

**Ronald A. DeVane** (61) – Mr. DeVane has served as Executive Vice President and Dothan President and Chief Executive Officer of the Bank since August 2008. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. DeVane held various positions with Wachovia Bank and SouthTrust Bank until his retirement in 2006, including CEO for the Wachovia Midsouth Region, which encompassed Alabama, Tennessee, Mississippi and the Florida panhandle, from September 2004 until 2006, CEO of the Community Bank Division of SouthTrust from January 2004 until September 2004, and CEO for SouthTrust Bank of Atlanta and North Georgia from July 2002 until December 2003. Mr. DeVane is a Trustee at Samford University, a member of the Troy University Foundation Board, a Trustee of the Southeast Alabama Medical Center Foundation Board, and a Board Member of the National Peanut Festival Association.

**Rex D. McKinney** (50) – Mr. McKinney has served as Executive Vice President and Pensacola President and Chief Executive Officer of the Bank since January 2011. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. McKinney held several leadership positions at First American Bank/Coastal Bank and Trust (owned by Synovus Financial Corporation) starting in 1997. Mr. McKinney is on the Membership Committee and a Past Board Member of the Rotary Club of Pensacola. He is Past President of the Pensacola Sports Association, Board Member and Finance Committee Member for the United Way of Escambia County, Finance Committee Member for Christ Episcopal Church, Finance Committee Member for the Pensacola Country Club, Member of the Irish Politicians Club, and Board Member of the Order of Tristan.

#### ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS.

*An investment in our common stock involves risks. Before deciding to invest in our common stock, you should carefully consider the risks described below, together with our consolidated financial statements and the related notes and the other information included in this annual report. The discussion below presents material risks associated with an investment in our common stock. Our business, financial condition and results of operation could be harmed by any of the following risks or by other risks identified in this annual report, as well as by other risks we may not have anticipated or viewed as material. In such a case, the value of our common stock could decline, and you may lose all or part of your investment. The risks discussed below also include forward-looking statements, and our actual results may differ substantially from those discussed in these forward-looking statements. See also “Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements”.*

## Risks Related to Our Industry

***Financial reform legislation will, among other things, tighten capital standards, create a new Consumer Financial Protection Bureau and result in new regulations that are likely to increase our costs of operations.***

On July 21, 2010, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the “Dodd-Frank Act”) was signed into law. As final rules and regulations implementing the Dodd-Frank Act are adopted, this law is significantly changing the current bank regulatory structure and affecting the lending, deposit, investment, trading and operating activities of financial institutions and their holding companies. The Dodd-Frank Act requires various federal agencies to adopt a broad range of new implementing rules and regulations and to prepare numerous studies and reports for Congress. The federal agencies are given significant discretion in drafting the implementing rules and regulations, and consequently, many of the details and much of the impact of the Dodd-Frank Act may not be known for many years.

The Dodd-Frank Act eliminated the federal prohibitions on paying interest on demand deposits effective one year after the date of its enactment, thus allowing businesses to have interest-bearing checking accounts. Depending on competitive responses, this significant change to existing law could have an adverse impact on our interest expense.

The Dodd-Frank Act also broadens the base for FDIC insurance assessments. Assessments are now based on the average consolidated total assets less tangible equity capital of a financial institution. The Dodd-Frank Act permanently increases the maximum amount of deposit insurance for banks, savings institutions and credit unions to \$250,000 per depositor. Noninterest-bearing transaction accounts and certain attorney’s trust accounts had unlimited deposit insurance through December 31, 2012.

The Dodd-Frank Act requires publicly traded companies to give stockholders a non-binding vote on executive compensation and golden parachute payments. In addition, the Dodd-Frank Act authorizes the Securities and Exchange Commission to promulgate rules that would allow stockholders to nominate their own candidates using a company’s proxy materials and directs the federal banking regulators to issue rules prohibiting incentive compensation that encourages inappropriate risks.

The Dodd-Frank Act created a new Consumer Financial Protection Bureau with broad powers to supervise and enforce consumer protection laws. The Bureau now has broad rule-making authority for a wide range of consumer protection laws that apply to all banks, including the authority to prohibit “unfair, deceptive or abusive” acts and practices. The Bureau has examination and enforcement authority over all banks with more than \$10 billion in assets. Institutions with less than \$10 billion in assets will continue to be examined for compliance with consumer laws by their primary bank regulator.

As noted above, many aspects of the Dodd-Frank Act are subject to rulemaking and will take effect over several years, making it difficult to anticipate the overall financial impact on us. However, compliance with this new law and its implementing regulations clearly will result in additional operating and compliance costs that could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

***Additional regulatory requirements especially those imposed under ARRA, EESA or other legislation intended to strengthen the U.S. financial system, could adversely affect us.***

Recent government efforts to strengthen the U.S. financial system, including the implementation of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (“ARRA”), the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act (“EESA”), the Dodd-Frank Act, and special assessments imposed by the FDIC, subject us, to the extent applicable, to additional regulatory fees, corporate governance requirements, restrictions on executive compensation, restrictions on declaring or paying dividends, restrictions on stock repurchases, limits on tax deductions for executive compensation and prohibitions against golden parachute payments. These fees, requirements and restrictions, as well as any others that may be imposed in the future, may have a material and adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

***Recent market conditions have adversely affected, and may continue to adversely affect, us, our customers and our industry.***

Because our business is focused exclusively in the southeastern United States, we are particularly exposed to downturns in the U.S. economy in general and in the southeastern economy in particular. Beginning with the economic recession in 2008 and continuing through 2010, falling home prices, increasing foreclosures, unemployment and under-employment, have negatively impacted the credit performance of mortgage loans and resulted in significant write-downs of asset values by financial institutions, including government-sponsored entities as well as major commercial and investment banks. These write-downs, initially of mortgage-backed securities but spreading to credit default swaps and other derivative and cash securities, in turn, have caused many financial institutions to seek additional capital, to merge with larger and stronger institutions and, in some cases, to fail. Reflecting concern about the stability of the financial markets generally and the strength of counterparties, many lenders and institutional investors have reduced or ceased providing funding to borrowers, including to other financial institutions. This market turmoil and tightening of credit has led to an increased level of commercial and consumer delinquencies, lack of consumer confidence, increased market volatility and widespread reduction of business activity generally. The resulting economic pressure on consumers and businesses and lack of confidence in the financial markets may adversely affect our customers and thus our business, financial condition, and results of operations. A return of these conditions in the near future would likely exacerbate the adverse effects of these difficult market conditions on us and others in the financial institutions industry.

***Current market volatility and industry developments may adversely affect our business and financial results.***

The volatility in the capital and credit markets, along with the housing declines over the past four years, has resulted in significant pressure on the financial services industry. We have experienced a higher level of foreclosures and higher losses upon foreclosure than we have historically. If current volatility and market conditions continue or worsen, there can be no assurance that our industry, results of operations or our business will not be significantly adversely impacted. We may have further increases in loan losses, deterioration of capital or limitations on our access to funding or capital, if needed.

Further, if other, particularly larger, financial institutions continue to fail to be adequately capitalized or funded, it may negatively impact our business and financial results. We routinely interact with numerous financial institutions in the ordinary course of business and are therefore exposed to operational and credit risk to those institutions. Failures of such institutions may significantly adversely impact our operations.

***Our profitability is vulnerable to interest rate fluctuations.***

As a financial institution, our earnings can be significantly affected by changes in interest rates, particularly our net interest income, the rate of loan prepayments, the volume and type of loans originated or produced, the sales of loans on the secondary market and the value of our mortgage servicing rights. Our profitability is dependent to a large extent on our net interest income, which is the difference between our income on interest-earning assets and our expense on interest-bearing liabilities. We are affected by changes in general interest rate levels and by other economic factors beyond our control.

Changes in interest rates also affect the average life of loans and mortgage-backed securities. The relatively lower interest rates in recent periods have resulted in increased prepayments of loans and mortgage-backed securities as borrowers have refinanced their mortgages to reduce their borrowing costs. Under these circumstances, we are subject to reinvestment risk to the extent that we are not able to reinvest such prepayments at rates which are comparable to the rates on the prepaid loans or securities.

***We are subject to extensive regulation that could limit or restrict our activities and impose financial requirements or limitations on the conduct of our business, which limitations or restrictions could have a material adverse effect on our profitability.***

We operate in a highly regulated industry and are subject to examination, supervision and comprehensive regulation by various federal and state agencies including the Federal Reserve, the FDIC and the Alabama Banking Department. Regulatory compliance is costly and restricts certain of our activities, including payment of dividends, mergers and acquisitions, investments, loans and interest rates charged, and interest rates paid on deposits. We are also subject to capitalization guidelines established by our regulators, which require us to maintain adequate capital to support our growth. Violations of various laws, even if unintentional, may result in significant fines or other penalties, including restrictions on branching or bank acquisitions. Recently, banks generally have faced increased regulatory sanctions and scrutiny particularly with respect to the USA Patriot Act and other statutes relating to anti-money laundering compliance and customer privacy. The recent recession had major adverse effects on the banking and financial industry, during which time many institutions saw a significant amount of their market capitalization erode as they charged off loans and wrote down the value of other assets. As described above, recent legislation has substantially changed, and increased, federal regulation of financial institutions, and there may be significant future legislation (and regulations under existing legislation) that could have a further material effect on banks and bank holding companies like us.

The laws and regulations applicable to the banking industry could change at any time, and we cannot predict the effects of these changes on our business and profitability. Because government regulation greatly affects the business and financial results of all commercial banks and bank holding companies, our cost of compliance could adversely affect our ability to operate profitably. We are subject to the reporting requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (“Sarbanes-Oxley Act”), and the related rules and regulations promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission. These laws and regulations increase the scope, complexity and cost of corporate governance, reporting and disclosure practices over those of non-public companies. Despite our conducting business in a highly regulated environment, these laws and regulations have different requirements for compliance than we experienced prior to becoming a public company. Our expenses related to services rendered by our accountants, legal counsel and consultants will increase in order to ensure compliance with these laws and regulations that we will be subject to as a public company and may increase further as we grow in size.

*Changes in monetary policies may have a material adverse effect on our business.*

Like all regulated financial institutions, we are affected by monetary policies implemented by the Federal Reserve and other federal instrumentalities. A primary instrument of monetary policy employed by the Federal Reserve is the restriction or expansion of the money supply through open market operations. This instrument of monetary policy frequently causes volatile fluctuations in interest rates, and it can have a direct, material adverse effect on the operating results of financial institutions including our business. Borrowings by the United States government to finance government debt may also cause fluctuations in interest rates and have similar effects on the operating results of such institutions.

## **Risks Related To Our Business**

*Our construction and land development loan portfolio and commercial and industrial loan portfolio are both subject to unique risks that could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.*

The severity of the decline in the U.S. economy has adversely affected the performance and market value of many of our loans. Several years of decline and stagnation in the residential housing market have directly affected our construction and land development loans, while unemployment and general economic weakness have adversely affected parts of our commercial and industrial loan portfolio. Our construction and land development loan portfolio was \$158.4 million at December 31, 2012, comprising 6.7% of our total loans. Our commercial and industrial loans were \$1,031.0 million at December 31, 2012, comprising 43.6% of our total loans. Construction loans are often riskier than home equity loans or residential mortgage loans to individuals. In the event of a general economic slowdown like the one we are currently experiencing, these loans sometimes represent higher risk due to slower sales and reduced cash flow that could negatively affect the borrowers' ability to repay on a timely basis. We, as well as our competitors, have experienced a significant increase in impaired and non-accrual construction and land development loans and commercial and industrial loans. We believe we have established adequate reserves with respect to such loans, although there can be no assurance that our actual loan losses will not be greater or less than we have anticipated in establishing such reserves. At December 31, 2012, we had an allowance for loan losses of \$26.3 million, of which \$6.5 million, or 24.7%, was allocated to real estate construction loans, and \$8.2 million, or 31.2%, was allocated to commercial and industrial loans.

In addition, although regulations and regulatory policies affecting banks and financial services companies undergo continuous change and we cannot predict when changes will occur or the ultimate effect of any changes, there has been recent regulatory focus on construction, development and other commercial real estate lending. Recent changes in the federal policies applicable to construction, development or other commercial real estate loans subject us to substantial limitations with respect to making such loans, increase the costs of making such loans, and require us to have a greater amount of capital to support this kind of lending, all of which could have a material adverse effect on

our financial condition and results of operations.

***Our decisions regarding credit risk could be inaccurate and our allowance for loan losses may be inadequate, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and future prospects.***

Our earnings are affected by our ability to make loans, and thus we could sustain significant loan losses and consequently significant net losses if we incorrectly assess either the creditworthiness of our borrowers resulting in loans to borrowers who fail to repay their loans in accordance with the loan terms or the value of the collateral securing the repayment of their loans, or we fail to detect or respond to a deterioration in our loan quality in a timely manner. Management makes various assumptions and judgments about the collectability of our loan portfolio, including the creditworthiness of our borrowers and the value of the real estate and other assets serving as collateral for the repayment of many of our loans. We maintain an allowance for loan losses that we consider adequate to absorb losses inherent in the loan portfolio based on our assessment of the information available. In determining the size of our allowance for loan losses, we rely on an analysis of our loan portfolio based on historical loss experience, volume and types of loans, trends in classification, volume and trends in delinquencies and non-accruals, national and local economic conditions and other pertinent information. We target small and medium-sized businesses as loan customers. Because of their size, these borrowers may be less able to withstand competitive or economic pressures than larger borrowers in periods of economic weakness. Also, as we expand into new markets, our determination of the size of the allowance could be understated due to our lack of familiarity with market-specific factors. Despite the effects of the ongoing economic decline, we believe our allowance for loan losses is adequate. Our allowance for loan losses as of December 31, 2012 was \$26.3 million, or 1.11% of total gross loans as of year-end.

If our assumptions are inaccurate, we may incur loan losses in excess of our current allowance for loan losses and be required to make material additions to our allowance for loan losses which could consequently materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and future prospects.

However, even if our assumptions are accurate, federal and state regulators periodically review our allowance for loan losses and could require us to materially increase our allowance for loan losses or recognize further loan charge-offs based on judgments different than those of our management. Any material increase in our allowance for loan losses or loan charge-offs as required by these regulatory agencies could consequently materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and future prospects.

***If we fail to maintain effective internal controls over financial reporting or remediate any future material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting, we may be unable to accurately report our financial results or prevent fraud, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.***

Our internal controls over financial reporting are designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of the financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Effective internal controls over financial reporting are necessary for us to provide reliable reports and prevent fraud.

We believe that a control system, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within a company have been detected. We cannot guarantee that we will not identify significant deficiencies and/or material weaknesses in our internal controls in the future, and our failure to maintain effective internal controls over financial reporting in accordance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

***Our business strategy includes the continuation of our growth plans, and our financial condition and results of operations could be negatively affected if we fail to grow or fail to manage our growth effectively.***

We intend to continue pursuing our growth strategy for our business through organic growth of our loan portfolio. Our prospects must be considered in light of the risks, expenses and difficulties that can be encountered by financial service companies in rapid growth stages, which include the risks associated with the following:

maintaining loan quality;

maintaining adequate management personnel and information systems to oversee such growth;

maintaining adequate control and compliance functions; and

securing capital and liquidity needed to support anticipated growth.

We may not be able to expand our presence in our existing markets or successfully enter new markets, and any expansion could adversely affect our results of operations. Failure to manage our growth effectively could have a material adverse effect on our business, future prospects, financial condition or results of operations, and could adversely affect our ability to successfully implement our business strategy. Our ability to grow successfully will depend on a variety of factors, including the continued availability of desirable business opportunities, the competitive responses from other financial institutions in our market areas and our ability to manage our growth.

***Our continued pace of growth will require us to raise additional capital in the future to fund such growth, and the unavailability of additional capital or on terms acceptable to us could adversely affect our growth and/or our financial condition and results of operations.***

We are required by federal and state regulatory authorities to maintain adequate levels of capital to support our operations. To support our recent and ongoing growth, we have completed a series of capital transactions during the past three years, including:

the sale of \$15,000,000 in 6.0% Mandatory Convertible Trust Preferred Securities by our second statutory trust, ServisFirst Capital Trust II, on March 15, 2010;

the sale of an aggregate of 340,000 shares of our common stock at \$30 per share, or \$10,200,000, in a private placement completed on June 30, 2011; and

the sale of \$20,000,000 in 5.5% Subordinated Notes due November 9, 2022 to accredited investor purchasers, the proceeds of which were used to pay off \$15,000,000 in 8.5% subordinated debentures.

After giving effect to these transactions, we believe that we will have sufficient capital to meet our capital needs for our immediate growth plans. However, we will continue to need capital to support our longer-term growth plans. If capital is not available on favorable terms when we need it, we will have to either issue common stock or other securities on less than desirable terms or reduce our rate of growth until market conditions become more favorable. In either of such events, our financial condition and results of operations may be adversely affected.

***Competition from financial institutions and other financial service providers may adversely affect our profitability.***

The banking business is highly competitive, and we experience competition in our markets from many other financial institutions. We compete with commercial banks, credit unions, savings and loan associations, mortgage banking firms, consumer finance companies, securities brokerage firms, insurance companies, money market funds, and other mutual funds, as well as other community banks and super-regional and national financial institutions that operate offices in our service areas.

Additionally, we face competition in our service areas from *de novo* community banks, including those with senior management who were previously affiliated with other local or regional banks or those controlled by investor groups with strong local business and community ties. These new, smaller competitors are likely to cater to the same small and medium-size business clientele and with similar relationship-based approaches as we do. Moreover, with their initial capital base to deploy, they could seek to rapidly gain market share by under-pricing the current market rates for loans and paying higher rates for deposits. These *de novo* community banks may offer higher deposit rates or lower cost loans in an effort to attract our customers, and may attempt to hire our management and employees.

We compete with these other financial institutions both in attracting deposits and in making loans. In addition, we must attract our customer base from other existing financial institutions and from new residents. We expect competition to increase in the future as a result of legislative, regulatory and technological changes and the continuing trend of consolidation in the financial services industry. Our profitability depends upon our continued ability to successfully compete with an array of financial institutions in our service areas.

*Unpredictable economic conditions or a natural disaster in the State of Alabama or the panhandle of the State of Florida, particularly the Birmingham-Hoover, Huntsville, Montgomery and Dothan, Alabama MSAs or the*

*Pensacola-Ferry Pass-Brent, Florida MSA, may have a material adverse effect on our financial performance.*

Substantially all of our borrowers and depositors are individuals and businesses located and doing business in our primary service areas within the state of Alabama and the panhandle of the state of Florida. Therefore, our success will depend on the general economic conditions in Alabama and Florida, and more particularly in Jefferson, Shelby, Madison, Houston and Montgomery Counties in Alabama and Escambia and Santa Rosa Counties in Florida, which we cannot predict with certainty. Unlike with many of our larger competitors, the majority of our borrowers are commercial firms, professionals and affluent consumers located and doing business in such local markets. As a result, our operations and profitability may be more adversely affected by a local economic downturn or natural disaster in Alabama or Florida, particularly in such markets, than those of larger, more geographically diverse competitors. For example, a downturn in the economy of any of our MSAs could make it more difficult for our borrowers in those markets to repay their loans and may lead to loan losses that we cannot offset through operations in other markets until we can expand our markets further. Our entry into the Pensacola market increased our exposure to potential losses associated with hurricanes and similar natural disasters that are more common on the Gulf Coast than in our historical markets.

***We encounter technological change continually and have fewer resources than many of our competitors to invest in technological improvements.***

The financial services industry is undergoing rapid technological changes, with frequent introductions of new technology-driven products and services. In addition to serving customers better, the effective use of technology increases efficiency and enables financial institutions to reduce costs. Our success will depend in part on our ability to address our customers' needs by using technology to provide products and services that will satisfy customer demands for convenience, as well as to create additional efficiencies in our operations. Many of our competitors have substantially greater resources to invest in technological improvements than we have. We may not be able to implement new technology-driven products and services effectively or be successful in marketing these products and services to our customers. As these technologies are improved in the future, we may, in order to remain competitive, be required to make significant capital expenditures, which may increase our overall expenses and have a material adverse effect on our net income.

***We may encounter system failure or breaches of our network security, which could subject us to increased operating costs as well as litigation and other liabilities.***

The computer systems and network infrastructure we use could be vulnerable to unforeseen problems. Our operations are dependent upon our ability to protect our computer equipment against physical damage or loss, as well as from security breaches, denial of service attacks, viruses, worms and other disruptive problems caused by hackers. Computer break-ins, phishing and other disruptions could also jeopardize the security of information stored in and transmitted through our computer systems and network infrastructure, which may result in significant liability to us. While we, with the help of third party service providers, intend to continue to implement security systems and establish operational procedures designed to detect and prevent such break-ins, phishing and other disruptions, there can be no assurance that these systems and procedures will be successful.

***Lower lending limits than many of our competitors may limit our ability to attract borrowers.***

During our early years of operation, and likely for many years thereafter, our legally mandated lending limits will be lower than those of many of our competitors because we will have less capital than such competitors. Our lower lending limits may discourage borrowers with lending needs that exceed those limits from doing business with us. While we may try to serve these borrowers by selling loan participations to other financial institutions, this strategy may not succeed.

***We may not be able to successfully expand into new markets.***

We have opened new offices and operations in three primary markets (Dothan and Mobile, Alabama and Pensacola, Florida) in the past four years. We may not be able to successfully manage this growth with sufficient human resources, training and operational, financial and technological resources. Any such failure could have a material adverse effect on our operating results and financial condition and our ability to expand into new markets.

***Our recent results may not be indicative of our future results, and may not provide guidance to assess the risk of an investment in our common stock.***

We may not be able to sustain our historical rate of growth and may not even be able to expand our business at all. In addition, our recent growth may distort some of our historical financial ratios and statistics. In the future, we may not have the benefit of several factors that were favorable until late 2008, such as a rising interest rate environment, a strong residential housing market or the ability to find suitable expansion opportunities. Various factors, such as

economic conditions, regulatory and legislative considerations and competition, may also impede or prohibit our ability to expand our market presence. As a small commercial bank, we have different lending risks than larger banks. We provide services to our local communities; thus, our ability to diversify our economic risks is limited by our own local markets and economies. We lend primarily to small to medium-sized businesses, which may expose us to greater lending risks than those faced by banks lending to larger, better-capitalized businesses with longer operating histories. We manage our credit exposure through careful monitoring of loan applicants and loan concentrations in particular industries, and through our loan approval and review procedures. Our use of historical and objective information in determining and managing credit exposure may not be accurate in assessing our risk.

***We are dependent on the services of our management team and board of directors, and the unexpected loss of key officers or directors may adversely affect our operations.***

If any of our or the Bank's executive officers, other key personnel, or directors leaves us or the Bank, our operations may be adversely affected. In particular, we believe that Thomas A. Broughton III is extremely important to our success and the Bank. Mr. Broughton has extensive executive-level banking experience and is the President and Chief Executive Officer of us and the Bank. If he leaves his position for any reason, our financial condition and results of operations may suffer. The Bank is the beneficiary of a key man life insurance policy on the life of Mr. Broughton in the amount of \$5 million. Also, we have hired key officers to run our banking offices in each of the Huntsville, Montgomery and Dothan, Alabama markets and the Pensacola, Florida market, who are extremely important to our success in such markets. If any of them leaves for any reason, our results of operations could suffer in such markets. With the exception of the key officers in charge of our Huntsville, Montgomery and Dothan banking offices, we do not have employment agreements or non-competition agreements with any of our executive officers, including Mr. Broughton. In the absence of these types of agreements, our executive officers are free to resign their employment at any time and accept an offer of employment from another company, including a competitor. Additionally, our directors' and advisory board members' community involvement and diverse and extensive local business relationships are important to our success. If the composition of our board of directors changes materially, our business may also suffer. Similarly, if the composition of the respective advisory boards of the Bank change materially, our business may suffer in such markets.

***Our directors and executive officers own a significant portion of our common stock and can exert influence over our business and corporate affairs.***

Our directors and executive officers, as a group, beneficially owned approximately 16.26% of our outstanding common stock as of December 31, 2012. As a result of their ownership, the directors and executive officers will have the ability, by voting their shares in concert, to influence the outcome of all matters submitted to our stockholders for approval, including the election of directors.

***We engage in lending secured by real estate and may be forced to foreclose on the collateral and own the underlying real estate, subjecting us to the costs associated with the ownership of the real property.***

Since we originate loans secured by real estate, we may have to foreclose on the collateral property to protect our investment and may thereafter own and operate such property, in which case we are exposed to the risks inherent in the ownership of real estate.

The amount that we, as a mortgagee, may realize after a default is dependent upon factors outside of our control, including, but not limited to:

- general or local economic conditions;
- environmental cleanup liability;
- neighborhood assessments;
- interest rates;
- real estate tax rates;
- operating expenses of the mortgaged properties;
- supply of and demand for rental units or properties;

ability to obtain and maintain adequate occupancy of the properties;

zoning laws;

governmental and regulatory rules;

fiscal policies; and

natural disasters.

### **Risks Related to Our Common Stock**

*We have no current plans to pay dividends on our common stock.*

We paid a cash dividend of \$0.50 per common share on December 31, 2012. This was our first dividend and we have no current intentions to pay dividends in the near future. In addition, our ability to pay dividends is subject to regulatory limitations.

Under Alabama law, a state bank may not pay a dividend in excess of 90% of its net earnings until the bank's surplus is equal to at least 20% of its capital. As of December 31, 2012, the Bank's surplus was equal to 50.1% of the Bank's capital. The Bank is also required by Alabama law to obtain the prior approval of the Alabama Superintendent of Banks (the "Superintendent") for its payment of dividends if the total of all dividends declared by the Bank in any calendar year will exceed the total of (1) the Bank's net earnings (as defined by statute) for that year, plus (2) its retained net earnings for the preceding two years, less any required transfers to surplus. In addition, no dividends, withdrawals or transfers may be made from the Bank's surplus without the prior written approval of the Superintendent.

***There are limitations on your ability to transfer your common stock.***

There is no public trading market for the shares of our common stock, and we have no current plans to list our common stock on any exchange. However, a brokerage firm may create a market for our common stock on the OTC/Bulletin Board or Pink Sheets without our participation or approval upon the filing and approval by the FINRA OTC Compliance Unit of a Form 211. As a result, unless a Form 211 is filed and approved, stockholders who may wish or need to dispose of all or part of their investment in our common stock may not be able to do so effectively except by private direct negotiations with third parties, assuming that third parties are willing to purchase our common stock.

*Alabama and Delaware law limit the ability of others to acquire the Bank, which may restrict your ability to fully realize the value of your common stock.*

In many cases, stockholders receive a premium for their shares when one company purchases another. Alabama and Delaware law makes it difficult for anyone to purchase the Bank or us without approval of our board of directors. Thus, your ability to realize the potential benefits of any sale by us may be limited, even if such sale would represent a greater value for stockholders than our continued independent operation.

***Our Certificate of Incorporation authorizes the issuance of preferred stock which could adversely affect holders of our common stock and discourage a takeover of us by a third party.***

Our Certificate of Incorporation authorizes the board of directors to issue up to 1,000,000 shares of preferred stock without any further action on the part of our stockholders. In 2011, we issued 40,000 shares of Senior Non-cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock with certain rights and preferences set forth in the Certificate of Designation for such preferred stock. Our board of directors also has the power, without stockholder approval, to set the terms of any series of preferred stock that may be issued, including voting rights, dividend rights, and preferences over our common stock

with respect to dividends or in the event of a dissolution, liquidation or winding up and other terms. In the event that we issue preferred stock in the future that has preference over our common stock with respect to payment of dividends or upon our liquidation, dissolution or winding up, or if we issue preferred stock with voting rights that dilute the voting power of our common stock, the rights of the holders of our common stock or the market price of our common stock could be adversely affected. In addition, the ability of our board of directors to issue shares of preferred stock without any action on the part of the stockholders may impede a takeover of us and prevent a transaction favorable to our stockholders.

*An investment in our common stock is not an insured deposit.*

Our common stock is not a bank deposit and, therefore, is not insured against loss by the FDIC, any deposit insurance fund or by any other public or private entity. Investment in our common stock is inherently risky for the reasons described in this “Risk Factors” section and elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K (including the documents incorporated herein by reference) and is subject to the same market forces that affect the price of common stock in any company. As a result, an investor may lose some or all of such investor’s investment in our common stock.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS.

None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES.

We operate through 11 banking offices. Our Shades Creek Parkway office also includes our corporate headquarters. We believe that our banking offices are in good condition, are suitable to our needs and, for the most part, are relatively new. The following table gives pertinent details about our banking offices.

State	MSA	Office Address	City	Zip Code	Owned or Leased	Date Opened
Alabama:						
Birmingham-Hoover:						
		850 Shades Creek Parkway, Suite 200 (1)	Birmingham	35209	Leased	3/2/2005
		324 Richard Arrington Jr. Boulevard North	Birmingham	35203	Leased	12/19/2005
		5403 Highway 280, Suite 401	Birmingham	35242	Leased	8/15/2006
		Total		3 Offices		
Huntsville:						
		401 Meridian Street, Suite 100	Huntsville	35801	Leased	11/21/2006
		1267 Enterprise Way, Suite A (1)	Huntsville	35806	Leased	8/21/2006
		Total		2 Offices		
Montgomery:						
		1 Commerce Street, Suite 200	Montgomery	36104	Leased	6/4/2007
		8117 Vaughn Road, Unit 20	Montgomery	36116	Leased	9/26/2007
		Total		2 Offices		
Dothan:						
		4801 West Main Street (1)	Dothan	36305	Leased	10/17/2008
		1640 Ross Clark Circle	Dothan	36301		2/1/2011
		Total		2 Offices		
Mobile:						
		64 North Royal Street (2)	Mobile	36602	Leased	7/9/2012
		Total Offices in Alabama		9 Offices		
Florida:						
Pensacola-Ferry Pass-Brent:						
		316 South Balen Street	Pensacola	32502	Leased	4/1/2011
		4980 North 12th Avenue	Pensacola	32504	Owned	8/27/2012
		Total		2 Offices		

- (1) Offices relocated to this address. Original offices opened on date indicated.
- (2) Office is a loan production office only.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

Neither we nor the Bank is currently subject to any material legal proceedings. In the ordinary course of business, the Bank is involved in routine litigation, such as claims to enforce liens, claims involving the making and servicing of real property loans, and other issues incident to the Bank's business. Management does not believe that there are any threatened proceedings against us or the Bank which, if determined adversely, would have a material effect on our or the Bank's business, financial position or results of operations.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURE

Not applicable.

## PART II

### ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES.

There is no public market for our common stock, and we have no current plans to list our common stock on any public market. Consequently, we have infrequent secondary trades in our common stock. The most recent sale of our common stock was at \$30.84 per share on February 7, 2013. As of December 31, 2012, we had approximately 1,258 stockholders of record holding 6,268,812 outstanding shares of our common stock. Also as of December 31, 2012, we had 778,500 shares of our common stock currently subject to outstanding options to purchase such shares under the 2005 Amended and Restated Stock Incentive Plan and the 2009 Stock Incentive Plan, 20,500 shares issued with restrictions under our 2009 Stock Incentive Plan, 50,000 shares of common stock subject to other outstanding options, 85,500 shares subject to warrants granted to investors in debt issued by us, and 600,000 shares of common stock reserved for issuance upon conversion of outstanding mandatory convertible trust preferred securities.

#### **Dividends**

We paid a cash dividend of \$0.50 per common share on December 31, 2012. This was our first dividend, and we have no plans to pay additional dividends in the near future. We anticipate that our future earnings, if any, will be retained for purposes of enhancing our capital. Our payment of cash dividends to common stockholders is subject to the discretion of our Board of Directors and the Bank's ability to pay dividends. The principal source of our cash flow, including cash flow to pay dividends, comes from dividends that the Bank pays to us as its sole shareholder. Statutory and regulatory limitations apply to the Bank's payment of dividends to us, as well as our payment of dividends to our stockholders. For a more complete discussion on the restrictions on dividends, see "Supervision and Regulation - Payment of Dividends" in Item 1. We do pay quarterly dividends on our 40,000 shares of outstanding Non-cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock pursuant to its Certificate of Designation.

#### **Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities**

We had no sales of unregistered securities in 2012 other than those previously reported in our reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

#### **Purchases of Equity Securities by the Registrant and Affiliated Purchasers**

We made no repurchases of our equity securities, and no “affiliated purchasers” (as defined in Rule 10b-18(a) (3) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) purchased any shares of our equity securities during the fourth quarter of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012.

### Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table sets forth certain information as of December 31, 2012 relating to stock options granted under our 2005 Amended and Restated Stock Incentive Plan and our 2009 Stock Incentive Plan and other options or warrants issued outside of such plans.

Plan Category	Number of Securities Issued/To Be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Awards	Weighted-average Exercise Price of Outstanding Awards	Number of Securities Remaining Available For Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans
Equity Compensation Award-Plans Approved by Security Holders	799,000	\$ 21.26	257,000
Equity Compensation Awards-Plans Not Approved by Security Holders	50,000	17.50	-
Total	849,000	\$ 21.04	257,000

We grant stock options as incentive to employees, officers, directors and consultants to attract or retain these individuals, to maintain and enhance our long-term performance and profitability, and to allow these individuals to acquire an ownership interest in our Company. Our compensation committee administers this program, making all decisions regarding grants and amendments to these awards. An incentive stock option may not be exercised later than 90 days after an option holder terminates his or her employment with us unless such termination is a consequence of such option holder’s death or disability, in which case the option period may be extended for up to one year after termination of employment. All of our issued options will vest immediately upon a transaction in which we merge or consolidate with or into any other corporation (unless we are the surviving corporation), or sell or otherwise transfer our property, assets or business substantially in its entirety to a successor corporation. At that time, upon the exercise of an option, the option holder will receive the number of shares of stock or other securities or property, including cash, to which the holder of a like number of shares of common stock would have been entitled upon the merger, consolidation, sale or transfer if such option had been exercised in full immediately prior thereto. All of our issued options have a term of 10 years. This means the options must be exercised within 10 years from the date of the grant.

On September 2, 2008, we granted warrants to purchase up to 75,000 shares of our common stock with a price of \$25.00 per share in connection with the issuance of our Subordinated Deferrable Interest Debentures.

On June 23, 2009, we granted warrants to purchase up to 15,000 shares of our common stock with an exercise price of \$25.00 per share in connection with the issuance of our Subordinated Note due June 1, 2016.

On September 21, 2006, we granted non-plan stock options to persons representing certain key business relationships to purchase up to an aggregate of 30,000 shares of our common stock with an exercise price of \$15.00 per share. On November 2, 2007, we granted non-plan stock options to persons representing certain key business relationships to purchase up to an aggregate of 25,000 shares of our common stock with an exercise price of \$20.00 per share. These stock options are non-qualified and are not part of either of our stock incentive plans. They vest 100% in a lump sum five years after their date of grant and expire 10 years after their date of grant.

On October 26, 2009, we made a restricted stock award under the 2009 Stock Incentive Plan of 20,000 shares of common stock to Thomas A. Broughton III, President and Chief Executive Officer. These shares vest in five equal installments commencing on the first anniversary of the grant date, subject to earlier vesting in the event of a merger, consolidation, sale or transfer as described in the first paragraph under the table above.

We have granted restricted stock awards under the 2009 Stock Incentive Plan of 12,500 shares of common stock to six employees. These shares vest five years from the date of grant, subject to earlier vesting in the event of a merger, consolidation, sale or transfer as described in the first paragraph under the table above.

On November 28, 2011, we granted 10,000 non-qualified stock options to each Company director, or a total of 60,000 options, to purchase shares with an exercise price of \$30.00 per share. The options vest 100% at the end of five years.

## **Performance Graph**

The information included under the caption “Performance Graph” in this Item 5 of this Form 10-K is not deemed to be “soliciting material” or to be “filed” with the SEC or subject to Regulation 14A or 14C under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 or the liabilities of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and will not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filings we make under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, except to the extent we specifically incorporate it by reference into such a filing.

The following graph compares the change in cumulative total stockholder return on our common stock with the cumulative total return of the NASDAQ Banks Index and the S&P Stock Index from December 31, 2007 through December 31, 2012. This comparison assumes \$100 invested on December 31, 2007 in (a) our common stock, (b) the NASDAQ Banks Index, and (c) the NASDAQ Composite Stock Index. Our common stock is not traded on any exchange or national market system, and prices for our stock are determined based on actual prices at which our stock has been sold in arm's-length private placements completed prior to each point in time represented in the graph. Such prices are not necessarily indicative of the prices that would result from transactions conducted on an exchange.

Index:	Date					
	12/31/2007	12/31/2008	12/31/2009	12/31/2010	12/31/2011	12/31/2012
ServisFirst Bancshares, Inc.	100.00	125.00	125.00	125.00	150.00	154.00
NASDAQ Composite	100.00	59.46	85.55	100.02	98.22	113.85
NASDAQ Bank	100.00	76.08	62.00	69.37	60.75	70.34

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA.

The following table sets forth selected historical consolidated financial data from our consolidated financial statements and should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements including the related notes and “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” which are included below. Except for the data under “Selected Performance Ratios”, “Asset Quality Ratios”, “Liquidity Ratios”, “Capital Adequacy Ratios” and “Growth Ratios”, the selected historical consolidated financial data as of December 31, 2012, 2011, 2010, 2009 and 2008 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, 2010, 2009 and 2008 are derived from our audited consolidated financial statements and related notes.

Edgar Filing: ServisFirst Bancshares, Inc. - Form 10-K

	As of and for the years ended December 31,									
	2012		2011		2010		2009		2008	
	(Dollars in thousands except for share and per share data)									
<b>Selected Balance Sheet Data:</b>										
Total Assets	\$2,906,314		\$2,460,785		\$1,935,166		\$1,573,497		\$1,162,272	
Total Loans	2,363,182		1,830,742		1,394,818		1,207,084		968,233	
Loans, net	2,336,924		1,808,712		1,376,741		1,192,173		957,631	
Securities available for sale	233,877		293,809		276,959		255,453		102,339	
Securities held to maturity	25,967		15,209		5,234		645		-	
Cash and due from banks	58,031		43,018		27,454		26,982		22,844	
Interest-bearing balances with banks	119,423		99,350		204,278		48,544		30,774	
Fed funds sold	3,291		100,565		346		680		19,300	
Mortgage loans held for sale	25,826		17,859		7,875		6,202		3,320	
Restricted equity securities	3,941		3,501		3,510		3,241		2,659	
Premises and equipment, net	8,847		4,591		4,450		5,088		3,884	
Deposits	2,511,572		2,143,887		1,758,716		1,432,355		1,037,319	
Other borrowings	136,982		84,219		24,937		24,922		20,000	
Subordinated debentures	15,050		30,514		30,420		15,228		15,087	
Other liabilities	9,453		5,873		3,993		3,370		3,082	
Stockholders Equity	233,257		196,292		117,100		97,622		86,784	
<b>Selected income Statement Data:</b>										
Interest income	\$109,023		\$91,411		\$78,146		\$62,197		\$55,450	
Interest expense	14,901		16,080		15,260		18,337		20,474	
Net interest income	94,122		75,331		62,886		43,860		34,976	
Provision for loan losses	9,100		8,972		10,350		10,685		6,274	
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	85,022		66,359		52,536		33,175		28,702	
Noninterest income	9,643		6,926		5,169		4,413		2,704	
Noninterest expense	43,100		37,458		30,969		28,930		20,576	
Income before income taxes	51,565		35,827		26,736		8,658		10,830	
Income taxes expenses	17,120		12,389		9,358		2,780		3,825	
Net income	34,445		23,438		17,378		5,878		7,005	
<b>Per common Share Data:</b>										
Net income, basic	\$5.68		\$4.03		\$3.15		\$1.07		\$1.37	
Net income, diluted	4.99		3.53		2.84		1.02		1.31	
Book value	30.84		26.35		21.19		17.71		16.15	
<b>Weighted average shares outstanding:</b>										
Basic	5,996,437		5,759,524		5,519,151		5,485,972		5,114,194	
Diluted	6,941,752		6,749,163		6,294,604		5,787,643		5,338,883	
Actual shares outstanding	6,268,812		5,932,182		5,527,482		5,513,482		5,374,022	
<b>Selected Performance Ratios:</b>										
Return on average assets	1.30	%	1.11	%	1.04	%	0.43	%	0.71	%
Return on average stockholders' equity	15.81	%	14.73	%	15.86	%	6.33	%	9.28	%
Net interest margin (1)	3.80	%	3.79	%	3.94	%	3.31	%	3.70	%
Efficiency ratio (2)	41.54	%	45.54	%	45.51	%	59.57	%	54.61	%
<b>Asset quality Ratios:</b>										
Net charge-offs to average loans outstanding	0.24	%	0.32	%	0.55	%	0.60	%	0.41	%

Edgar Filing: ServisFirst Bancshares, Inc. - Form 10-K

Non-performing loans to totals loans	0.44	%	0.75	%	1.03	%	1.01	%	1.02	%
Non-performing assets to total assets	0.69	%	1.06	%	1.10	%	1.57	%	1.74	%
Allowance for loan losses to total gross loans	1.11	%	1.20	%	1.30	%	1.24	%	1.09	%
Allowance for loan losses to total non-performing loans	253.50	%	159.96	%	126.00	%	122.34	%	108.17	%
Liquidity Ratios:										
Net loans to total deposits	93.05	%	84.37	%	78.28	%	83.23	%	92.32	%
Net average loans to average earning assets	79.82	%	76.71	%	78.04	%	80.06	%	85.84	%
Noninterest-bearing deposits to total deposits	21.71	%	16.96	%	14.24	%	14.75	%	11.71	%
Capital Adequacy Ratios:										
Stockholders Equity to total assets	8.03	%	7.97	%	6.05	%	6.20	%	7.47	%
Total risked-based capital (3)	11.78	%	12.79	%	11.82	%	10.48	%	11.25	%
Tier 1 capital (4)	9.89	%	11.39	%	10.22	%	8.89	%	10.18	%
Leverage ratio (5)	8.43	%	9.17	%	7.77	%	6.97	%	9.01	%
Growth Ratios:										
Percentage change in net income	46.96	%	34.87	%	195.64	%	(16.10)	)%	27.43	%
Percentage change in diluted net income per share	41.36	%	24.30	%	178.43	%	(22.14)	)%	12.93	%
Percentage change in assets	18.11	%	27.16	%	22.99	%	35.38	%	38.65	%
Percentage change in net loans	29.20	%	31.38	%	15.48	%	24.49	%	45.45	%
Percentage change in deposits	17.15	%	21.90	%	22.78	%	38.08	%	36.00	%
Percentage change in equity	18.83	%	67.63	%	19.95	%	12.49	%	20.12	%

(1) Net interest margin is the net yield on interest earning assets and is the difference between the interest yield earned on interest-earning assets and interest rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities, divided by average earning assets.

(2) Efficiency ratio is the result of noninterest expense divided by the sum of net interest income and noninterest income

(3) Total stockholders' equity excluding unrealized gains/(losses) on securities available for sale, net of taxes, and intangible assets plus allowance for loan losses (limited to 1.25% of risk-weighted assets) divided by total risk-weighted assets. The FDIC required minimum to be well capitalized is 10%.

(4) Total stockholders' equity excluding unrealized gains/(losses) on securities available for sale, net of taxes, and intangible assets divided by total risk-weighted assets. The FDIC required minimum to be well-capitalized is 6%.

(5) Total stockholders' equity excluding unrealized losses on securities available for sale, net of taxes, and intangible assets divided by average assets less intangible assets. The FDIC required minimum to be well-capitalized is 5%; however, the Alabama Banking Department has required that the Bank maintain a Tier 1 capital leverage ratio of 7%.

## **ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

*The following is a narrative discussion and analysis of significant changes in our results of operations and financial condition. The purpose of this discussion is to focus on information about our financial condition and results of operations that is not otherwise apparent from the audited financial statements. Analysis of the results presented should be made in the context of our relatively short history. This discussion should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and selected financial data included elsewhere in this document.*

### **Overview**

We are a bank holding company within the meaning of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 headquartered in Birmingham, Alabama. Through our wholly-owned subsidiary bank, we operate 11 full service banking offices located in Jefferson, Shelby, Madison, Montgomery and Houston Counties in Alabama, and in Escambia County in Florida. These offices operate in the Birmingham-Hoover, Huntsville, Montgomery and Dothan, Alabama MSAs, and in the Pensacola-Ferry Pass-Brent, Florida MSA. Additionally, we opened a loan production office in Mobile, Alabama in July 2012. Our principal business is to accept deposits from the public and to make loans and other investments. Our principal source of funds for loans and investments are demand, time, savings, and other deposits and the amortization and prepayment of loans and borrowings. Our principal sources of income are interest and fees collected on loans, interest and dividends collected on other investments and service charges. Our principal expenses are interest paid on savings and other deposits, interest paid on our other borrowings, employee compensation, office expenses and other overhead expenses.

## **Critical Accounting Policies**

Our consolidated financial statements are prepared based on the application of certain accounting policies, the most significant of which are described in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements. Certain of these policies require numerous estimates and strategic or economic assumptions that may prove inaccurate or subject to variation and may significantly affect our reported results and financial position for the current period or in future periods. The use of estimates, assumptions, and judgments are necessary when financial assets and liabilities are required to be recorded at, or adjusted to reflect, fair value. Assets carried at fair value inherently result in more financial statement volatility. Fair values and information used to record valuation adjustments for certain assets and liabilities are based on either quoted market prices or are provided by other independent third-party sources, when available. When such information is not available, management estimates valuation adjustments. Changes in underlying factors, assumptions or estimates in any of these areas could have a material impact on our future financial condition and results of operations.

### ***Allowance for Loan Losses***

The allowance for loan losses, sometimes referred to as the “ALLL”, is established through periodic charges to income. Loan losses are charged against the ALLL when management believes that the future collection of principal is unlikely. Subsequent recoveries, if any, are credited to the ALLL. If the ALLL is considered inadequate to absorb future loan losses on existing loans for any reason, including but not limited to, increases in the size of the loan portfolio, increases in charge-offs or changes in the risk characteristics of the loan portfolio, then the provision for loan losses is increased.

Loans are considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Bank will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the original terms of the loan agreement. The collection of all amounts due according to contractual terms means that both the contractual interest and principal payments of a loan will be collected as scheduled in the loan agreement. Impaired loans are measured based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, or, as a practical expedient, at the loan's observable market price, or the fair value of the underlying collateral. The fair value of collateral, reduced by costs to sell on a discounted basis, is used if a loan is collateral-dependent.

### ***Investment Securities Impairment***

Periodically, we may need to assess whether there have been any events or economic circumstances to indicate that a security on which there is an unrealized loss is impaired on an other-than-temporary basis. In any such instance, we would consider many factors, including the severity and duration of the impairment, our intent and ability to hold the security for a period of time sufficient for a recovery in value, recent events specific to the issuer or industry, and for debt securities, external credit ratings and recent downgrades. Securities on which there is an unrealized loss that is deemed to be other-than-temporary are written down to fair value, with the write-down recorded as a realized loss in securities gains (losses).

### ***Other Real Estate Owned***

Other real estate owned ("OREO"), consisting of assets that have been acquired through foreclosure, is recorded at the lower of cost or estimated fair value less the estimated cost of disposition. Fair value is based on independent appraisals and other relevant factors. Other real estate owned is revalued on an annual basis or more often if market conditions necessitate. Valuation adjustments required at foreclosure are charged to the allowance for loan losses. Subsequent to foreclosure, losses on the periodic revaluation of the property are charged to net income as OREO expense. Significant judgments and complex estimates are required in estimating the fair value of other real estate, and the period of time within which such estimates can be considered current is significantly shortened during periods of market volatility, as experienced in recent years. As a result, the net proceeds realized from sales transactions could differ significantly from appraisals, comparable sales, and other estimates used to determine the fair value of other real estate.

## **Results of Operations**

### ***Net Income***

Edgar Filing: ServisFirst Bancshares, Inc. - Form 10-K

Net income for the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$34.4 million, compared to net income of \$23.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. This increase in net income is primarily attributable to an increase in net interest income, which increased \$18.8 million, or 24.9%, to \$94.1 million in 2012 from \$75.3 million in 2011. Noninterest income increased \$2.7 million, or 39.1%, to \$9.6 million in 2012 from \$6.9 million in 2011. Noninterest expense increased by \$5.6 million, or 14.9%, to \$43.1 million in 2012 from \$37.5 million in 2011. Basic and diluted net income per common share were \$5.68 and \$4.99, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to \$4.03 and \$3.53, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2011. Return on average assets was 1.30% in 2012, compared to 1.11% in 2011, and return on average stockholders' equity was 15.81% in 2012, compared to 14.73% in 2011.

Net income for the year ended December 31, 2011 was \$23.4 million, compared to net income of \$17.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. This increase in net income is primarily attributable to an increase in net interest income, which increased \$12.4 million, or 19.8%, to \$75.3 million in 2011 from \$62.9 million in 2010. Noninterest income increased \$1.7 million, or 32.7%, to \$6.9 million in 2011 from \$5.2 million in 2010. Noninterest expense increased by \$6.5 million, or 21.0%, to \$37.5 million in 2011 from \$31.0 million in 2010. Basic and diluted net income per common share were \$4.03 and \$3.53, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2011, compared to \$3.15 and \$2.84, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2010. Return on average assets was 1.11% in 2011, compared to 1.04% in 2010, and return on average stockholders' equity was 14.73% in 2011, compared to 15.86% in 2010.

	Year Ended December 31,		Change from the Prior Year	
	2012	2011		
	(Dollars in Thousands)			
Interest income	\$ 109,023	\$ 91,411	19.27	%
Interest expense	14,901	16,080	-7.33	%
Net interest income	94,122	75,331	24.94	%
Provision for loan losses	9,100	8,972	1.43	%
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	85,022	66,359	28.12	%
Noninterest income	9,643	6,926	39.23	%
Noninterest expense	43,100	37,458	15.06	%