

Argyle Security Acquisition CORP  
Form 10-K  
March 19, 2007

**UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549**

**FORM 10-K  
ANNUAL REPORT  
PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**x ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES AND  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006

OR

**o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES AND  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

**Argyle Security Acquisition Corporation**  
(Name of issuer as specified in its charter)

Delaware  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

20-3101079  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

200 Concord Plaza Suite 700 San Antonio, TX  
(address of principal executive offices)

78216  
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (210) 828-1700

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: None

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

**Common Stock, \$.0001 par value**  
(Title of Class)

**Common Stock Purchase Warrants**  
(Title of Class)

**Units consisting of one share of Common Stock and one  
Common Stock Purchase Warrant**  
(Title of Class)

Edgar Filing: Argyle Security Acquisition CORP - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.  
Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.  
Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange. (Check one):

Large Accelerated Filer

Accelerated Filer

Non-Accelerated Filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).  
Yes  No

State the aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting stock held by non-affiliates of the Issuer as of the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter: \$26,714,332.

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the Registrant's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date: 4,781,307 at February 28, 2007

**DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE: NONE**

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Part I   |  |    |
|----------|--|----|
| Item 1.  | Business   | 1  |
| Item 1A. | Risk Factors   | 9  |
| Item 1B. | Unresolved Staff Comments  | 19 |
| Item 2.  | Description of Property  | 19 |
| Item 3.  | Legal Proceedings  | 19 |
| Item 4.  | Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders  | 19 |
| Part II  |  |    |
| Item 5.  | Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities | 20 |
| Item 6.  | Selected Financial Data  | 22 |
| Item 7.  | Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations                        | 22 |
| Item 7A. | Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk   | 26 |
| Item 8.  | Financial Statements and Supplementary Data  | 26 |
| Item 9.  | Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure                         | 26 |
| Item 9A. | Controls and Procedures  | 26 |
| Item 9B. | Other Information  | 27 |
| Part III |  |    |
| Item 10. | Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance   | 28 |
| Item 11. | Executive Compensation   | 30 |
| Item 12. | Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters               | 31 |
| Item 13. | Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence                                     | 32 |
| Item 14. | Principal Accounting Fees and Services   | 33 |
| Part IV  |  |    |
| Item 15. | Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules   | 34 |

## PART I

### Item 1. Business

#### Overview

Argyle Security Acquisition Corporation (“We”, “Us”, “Our” or “Argyle”) is a Delaware corporation incorporated on June 22, 2005 in order to serve as a vehicle for the acquisition of an operating business through a merger, capital stock exchange, asset acquisition or other similar business combination. We intend to leverage the industry experience of our executive officers by focusing our efforts on identifying a prospective target business in the security industry. We believe that businesses involved in this industry represent attractive acquisition targets for a number of reasons, including the increase in global demand for integrated security-related products and services since September 11, 2001, the development of new technology which has the potential to expand applications and the trend towards integrated networked solutions.

On January 24, 2006, the Company completed a private placement of 125,000 units to Ron Chaimovski, one of our Co-Chief Executive Officers, and Argyle New Ventures, LP, an entity controlled by Bob Marbut, our other Co-Chief Executive Officer, and received net proceeds of \$892,500. On January 30, 2006, we consummated our initial public offering of 3,700,046 units (which includes 75,046 units sold as part of the underwriter’s over-allotment option). Each unit in both the private placement and the public offering consisted of one share of common stock and one redeemable common stock purchase warrant. Each warrant entitles the holder to purchase from us one share of our common stock at an exercise price of \$5.50. Our common stock and warrants started trading separately as of March 2, 2006.

In 2006, the net proceeds from the sale of our units in the public offering and private placement, after deducting certain offering expenses of approximately \$2,424,001, including underwriting discounts of approximately \$1,836,022, were approximately \$28,176,367. Approximately \$27,344,346 of the proceeds from the initial public offering and the private placement was placed in a trust account for our benefit. Except for income taxes payable and \$600,000 in interest that was earned on the funds contained in the trust account that was released to us for working capital, we are not able to access the amounts held in the trust account until we consummate a business combination. The trust account also contains \$1,377,016 of the underwriter’s compensation plus associated interest which will be paid to them only in the event of a business combination. On March 14, 2007, the underwriters from the Company’s initial public offering agreed to forfeit any and all rights or claims to a pro-rata portion of the deferred underwriting costs and associated interest with respect to any shares of common stock which are redeemed in connection with our proposed acquisition. As a result, the deferred underwriting and offering costs discussed above have been reduced by approximately \$.3 million and common stock subject to possible redemption has been increased by \$.3 million. (See Redemption Rights under Part 1 and Note 9 to the Company’s financial statements.)

The amounts held outside of the trust account are available to be used by us to provide for business, legal and accounting due diligence on prospective acquisitions and continuing general and administrative expenses. The net proceeds deposited into the trust fund remain on deposit in the trust account earning interest. In connection with the initial public offering and the private placement, our officers and directors placed all the shares owned by them before the initial public offering into an escrow account. Except in certain circumstances, the shares held in escrow may not be released prior to January 24, 2009.

The prospectus provided to purchasers of our securities in our initial public offering indicated that we would target businesses in one or more of the following segments of the security industry:

- The development, sale or distribution of software solutions for security systems;
- The development, manufacture, sale or distribution of components to be used in security systems;

- Consultation on the design of security systems;
- The development, manufacture, construction, assembly, sale or distribution of security or surveillance systems; and
- The development, manufacture, sale, distribution or assembly of electronic devices that restrict, deny or grant access to areas using technology such as biometrics and other coded means.

Products comprising a part of the foregoing segments include, but are not limited to:

- Perimeter Security

Perimeter security systems permit the monitoring, limiting and controlling of access by unauthorized personnel to specific regions or areas. High-end perimeter systems are sophisticated in nature and are used by correctional facilities, military installations, power companies, ports, airports, refineries, chemical plants, and other high-security installations.

- Video Surveillance/Recording/Motion Analytics Systems and Video Monitoring Services

These systems include video cameras, recording capabilities (which can be equipment or software based) and intelligent video motion analysis capabilities (which can be equipment or software based).

Video monitoring services relate to real-time and/or archived video recording and remote viewing security services for commercial, governmental, and residential facilities.

· Access Control Systems

Access control systems control and permit the entry of authorized persons to all or selected areas of commercial, industrial, educational, and governmental facilities based upon access code, card, and/or biometric identification of individuals. These systems match data against a software database of authorized persons, their access time/day schedules, and the level of access needed to different parts of a facility. These systems are often linked to video surveillance systems to record comings/goings and denials of access and to corporate HR IT systems.

· Intrusion Systems

Intrusion systems detect attempts of unauthorized people to enter facilities and illegally remove the contents of such facilities, activate audible/visual alarms and communicate these events to monitoring/response services that are either on site or remotely located.

· Security Management and Command and Control Systems

The deployment of multiple security systems creates the need for a system that can manage and control these systems through a single database. In response to this need, security management and control systems integrate the management, control and display of various security systems, video, outdoor (such as perimeter security) systems, and indoor (such as access control and intrusion alarm) systems, into a single, real-time database, and support real-time decision making and wide area command and control. These systems improve the response to real-time security events by sharing video and geographical information between the control center and security personnel acting in the field.

· Threat Analysis

Threat analysis entails the provision of consulting services to corporations, organizations, and governmental bodies to develop systems and procedures to best protect people and/or facilities from defined threats to their security.

Although we focused on businesses in the foregoing areas, we were not limited in the areas of the security industry in which we could acquire a target business.

On December 8, 2006, Argyle, Argyle's wholly-owned subsidiary ISI Security Group, Inc. (the "Merger Subsidiary") and ISI Detention Contracting Group, Inc. (ISI) entered into a merger agreement pursuant to which the Merger Subsidiary will merge into ISI and ISI will become a wholly-owned subsidiary of Argyle. ISI is a provider of security solutions to commercial, governmental, and correctional customers. As a security solutions provider, ISI has the ability to interview a customer that needs security for a project and determine that customer's needs in light of the products and technology available within the customer's budget. ISI, using its expertise in the security industry, then develops security systems that answer the customer's needs using hardware and software that is available in the marketplace from third party vendors, as well as its own proprietary software. ISI participates in the perimeter security, access control and video and design consultation segments in the correctional sector through its ISI-Detention and MCS-Detention subsidiaries and in the commercial/industrial/educational sectors through its MCS-Commercial subsidiary. In addition, the MCS-Commercial operation is also engaged in providing its sectors with fire detection security system solutions.

If the merger is consummated, Argyle will pay ISI's security holders an aggregate of \$16,300,000 and 1,180,000 shares of Argyle's common stock (valued at \$8,708,400, based on the closing price of the common stock on February 28, 2007). In the event that ISI's adjusted earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (EBITDA) for the year ended December 31, 2006 are greater than \$4,500,000 and its backlog of orders at February 28, 2007 is greater than \$80,000,000 (including inter-company amounts), Argyle will pay the stockholders of ISI an additional \$1,900,000. Argyle anticipates that the calculation of the February 28, 2007 backlog will be finalized in late March or early April 2007. In addition, Argyle will assume approximately \$6,000,000 of long term debt (not including capitalized leases) and up to \$9,000,000 pursuant to a line of credit (of which approximately \$5,000,000 was outstanding as of December 31, 2006). The merger agreement contains representations and warranties by Argyle and ISI and representations and warranties to be made by ISI's stockholders upon closing of the merger. ISI also makes certain covenants relating to the conduct of its business between the time the merger agreement was signed and the consummation of the merger, including that it will not take certain actions without the permission of Argyle and that Argyle will have access to ISI's records. The parties to the merger agreement also make covenants relating to confidentiality, non-solicitation and non-competition. In addition, after the consummation of the merger, Argyle has agreed to file a registration statement for the resale of the shares issued by Argyle in connection with the merger. The closing of the merger is subject to certain conditions, including the approval of the merger and the equity incentive plan described below by Argyle's stockholders.

Pursuant to our certificate of incorporation, the merger is subject to the approval of our stockholders. Argyle has filed a preliminary proxy statement/prospectus with the SEC relating to the merger.

### **Government regulation**

As the communications industry continues to evolve, governments may increasingly regulate products that monitor and record voice, video and data transmissions over public communications networks. For example, certain products sold in the United States to law enforcement agencies which interface with a variety of wireline, wireless and Internet protocol networks, must comply with the technical standards established by the Federal Communications Commission, and certain products sold in Europe must comply with the technical standards established by the European Telecommunications Standards Institute, or ETSI.

In addition, companies involved in the security industry often sell products to federal, state or local governments, as well as to foreign governments. Government contracts often contain provisions that give the governments that are party to those contracts certain rights and remedies not typically found in private commercial contracts, including provisions enabling the governments to: (i) terminate or cancel existing contracts for convenience; (ii) in the case of the United States government, suspend the contracting company from doing business with a foreign government or prevent the company from selling its products in certain countries; (iii) audit and object to the company's contract-related costs and expenses, including allocated indirect costs; and (iv) change specific terms and conditions in the company's contracts, including changes that would reduce the value of its contracts. In addition, many jurisdictions have laws and regulations that deem government contracts in those jurisdictions to include these types of provisions, even if the contract itself does not contain them.

### **Effecting a business combination**

#### *General*

We are not presently engaged in, and we will not engage in, any substantive commercial business until we enter into a business combination. We intend to utilize cash derived from the proceeds of the private placement consummated on January 24, 2006 and the initial public offering of our securities consummated on January 30, 2006, our capital stock, debt or a combination of these in effecting a business combination.

*If we do not consummate the acquisition of ISI, we may seek another target business to acquire, assuming that we have sufficient time to do so*

If we do not acquire ISI pursuant to the merger of ISI into our subsidiary, we will seek an alternative business combination. As provided in our charter, we are required, by July 30, 2007, to consummate a business combination or enter a letter of intent, agreement in principle or definitive agreement, in which case we would be allowed an additional six months to complete the transactions contemplated by such agreement. Under our Second Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation as currently in effect, if we do not acquire at least majority control of a target business by January 30, 2008, we will dissolve and distribute to our public stockholders the amount in the trust account plus any remaining net assets.

In any liquidation, the funds held in the trust account, plus any interest earned thereon (net of taxes payable), together with any remaining out-of-trust net assets, will be distributed pro rata to our common stockholders who hold shares issued in our initial public offering.

#### *Selection of a target business and structuring of a business combination*



Subject to the requirement that our initial business combination must be with a target business with a collective fair market value that is at least 80% of our net assets at the time of such acquisition, our management had virtually unrestricted flexibility in identifying and selecting a target business. In evaluating a prospective target business, our management conducted business, legal and accounting due diligence on such target business and considered, among other factors, the following:

3

---

## Edgar Filing: Argyle Security Acquisition CORP - Form 10-K

- Business Sectors Served: Highest priority given to video surveillance, access control and perimeter/outdoor
  - Markets Served: Highest priority given to U.S. and European companies
- Channels Served: Highest priority given to security IT/IP integrators and security value added resellers
- Products Offered to Include One or More of the Following: Part of a solutions strategy, competitively positioned, scalable, favorable obsolescence factor, strong brand equity
  - Annual Sales: At least \$20 million
- Gross Margin: If video or access control - 50%, if perimeter/outdoor, or, if intrusion protection - 40%
  - Operating Margin: 10% or more, or the potential to reach 10% in the next 12-18 months
    - Annual Cash Flow: At least \$1.5 million
  - Relative Competitive Advantage: Clear competitive advantage in at least one key area
- R&D Capability: Ability to continuously integrate into company's other offerings, ability to add value to Argyle's other targeted sectors and companies, in-house R&D leadership or management capability
  - Management Capabilities: Strong in at least one key functional area
- Location: Located so as to be cost effective in interacting/communicating with Argyle management
  - Relative Attractiveness: To investors and to other targeted companies
- Opportunities/Potential: For revenue growth, for improving margin percentages, for synergies with other target sectors/companies, to improve/expand offerings, for channel expansion
- Target Company's Culture: Senior management supportive of Argyle vision and strategy, customer focused, senior management familiar with and supportive of a solutions strategy

Argyle anticipates that it will incur total transaction costs of approximately \$1.3 million in connection with the acquisition of ISI. Such costs do not include those transaction costs of approximately \$1.0 million to be incurred by ISI (related primarily to anticipated attorney, brokerage and accounting fees). Of the \$1.3 million of Argyle anticipated transaction costs, approximately \$.4 million relate to certain Giuliani Capital Advisors' advisory fees which are contingent upon the closing of the transaction. Approximately \$.5 million of the \$.9 million in non-contingent anticipated costs had been incurred and recorded as of December 31, 2006. The \$.9 million primarily relates to Loeb and Loeb legal expenses, fees for Giuliani Capital Advisors' fairness opinion, accountants' and valuation consultants' fees, road show expenses, printer fees and other miscellaneous expenses. Argyle's cash outside the trust and accrued expenses as of December 31, 2006 was approximately \$.7 million and \$.6 million, respectively. Argyle expects to incur the remaining anticipated non-contingent transaction costs of \$.4 million over the first two quarters of 2007. Additionally, recurring monthly operating expenses of approximately \$75,000 per month will continue to accrue after December 31, 2006, and in January 2007, Argyle renewed its directors and officers insurance policy, incurring a premium of \$88,000.

Our Board of Directors has discussed and preliminarily approved a \$300,000 bridge loan from our Co-Chief Executive Officers, directors and consultants. The terms and conditions of the bridge loan have not yet

been negotiated and the documentation for the bridge loan has not yet been completed. We anticipate that this bridge financing will close in late March or April 2007.

4

---

As a result of the foregoing, Argyle anticipates that the costs to consummate the acquisition will greatly exceed its available cash outside of the trust even after considering the proposed financing described above. Argyle expects these costs would ultimately be borne by the combined company from the funds held in trust if the proposed ISI acquisition is completed. If the acquisition is not completed, they would be subject to the potential indemnification obligations of Argyle's officers and directors to the trust account related to expenses incurred for vendors or service providers. If these obligations are not performed or are inadequate, it is possible that vendors or service providers could seek to recover these expenses from the trust account, which could ultimately deplete the trust account and reduce a stockholder's current pro rata portion of the trust account upon liquidation.

*Fair market value of target business*

The target business that we acquire must have a collective fair market value equal to at least 80% of our net assets at the time of such acquisition, including any amount held in the trust fund subject to the redemption rights described below, although we could acquire a target business whose fair market value significantly exceeds 80% of our net assets. If we were to acquire a target whose fair market value significantly exceeded 80% of our net assets, we could seek to raise additional funds through a private offering of debt or equity securities. However, if we did enter into such an arrangement, it would only be consummated simultaneously with the consummation of the business combination. The fair market value of a target business will be determined by our board of directors based upon standards generally accepted by the financial community, such as actual and potential sales, earnings and cash flow and book value.

Based on the financial analysis of ISI generally used to approve the transaction, Argyle's Board of Directors determined that this requirement was met and exceeded. To determine the value of ISI, the Board of Directors first determined that as of September 30, 2006, Argyle had \$28,402,813 in net assets (total assets minus total liabilities). The consideration being paid to ISI's security holders, is, at minimum, \$16,300,000 and 1,180,000 shares of Argyle's common stock. Based on the closing price of Argyle's common stock on October 27, 2006, the trading day before the term sheet was signed, the fair market value of the common stock to be issued to ISI's stockholders is \$8,496,000 (\$7.20 per share), for a total consideration of \$24,796,000, which is over 87% of Argyle's net assets at the time that the letter of intent was executed. Therefore, the 80% test was satisfied.

*Possible lack of business diversification*

Our initial business combination must be with a target business which satisfies the minimum valuation standard at the time of such acquisition, as discussed above. Accordingly, for an indefinite period of time, the prospects for our future viability may be entirely dependent upon the future performance of the business. Unlike other entities which may have the resources to complete several business combinations of entities operating in multiple industries, or multiple areas of a single industry, it is probable that we will not have the resources to diversify our operations or benefit from the possible spreading of risks or offsetting of losses. By consummating a business combination with only a single entity, our lack of diversification may:

- Subject us to numerous economic, competitive and regulatory developments, any or all of which may have a substantial adverse impact upon the particular industry in which we may operate subsequent to a business combination; and
- Result in our dependency upon the development or market acceptance of a single or limited number of products, processes or services.

*Limited ability to evaluate the target business' management*

Although Argyle closely examined the management of ISI, Argyle cannot assure you that its assessment of ISI's management will prove to be correct, or that future management will have the necessary skills, qualifications or

abilities to manage its business successfully. Assuming Argyle acquires ISI, all of the serving management of ISI will be involved with the management of ISI post acquisition and will for the most part run its day to day operations. Argyle's current Board of Directors will remain directors of Argyle subsequent to the acquisition.

Following the acquisition of ISI, Argyle has agreed that it will negotiate employment agreements with Sam Youngblood, Don Carr, Mark McDonald and Tim Moxon. Other than the agreement that the term of the employment agreements will be five years for Mark McDonald and two years for the others, and that Sam Youngblood and Don Carr must be directors of ISI post merger, the agreements have not yet been negotiated, meaning that the employment agreements currently in place with those parties will remain in full force and effect until the new agreements take effect. It is also anticipated that Argyle's current Co-Chief Executive Officers, Bob Marbut and Ron Chaimovski, will enter into employment agreements with Argyle post merger, though the terms of such agreements have not yet been determined. All the employment agreements will be approved by the Compensation Committee of Argyle's Board of Directors that will be formed after the closing of the merger.

Following a business combination, we may seek to recruit additional managers to supplement the incumbent management of the target business. We cannot assure our stockholders that we will have the ability to recruit additional managers, or that additional managers will have the requisite skills, knowledge or experience necessary to enhance the incumbent management.

*Opportunity for stockholder approval of business combination*

Prior to the completion of a business combination, we will submit the transaction to our stockholders for approval, even if the nature of the acquisition is such that it would not ordinarily require stockholder approval under applicable state law. In connection with seeking stockholder approval of a business combination, we will furnish our stockholders with proxy solicitation materials prepared in accordance with the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, which, among other matters, will include a description of the operations of the target business and audited historical financial statements of the target business based on United States generally accepted accounting principles. The SEC is currently reviewing a registration statement on Form S-4 (including a preliminary proxy statement that will be provided to the holders of Argyle's common stock in connection with the vote on the merger) that we filed with the SEC relating to the acquisition of ISI.

In connection with the vote required for any business combination, all of our officers and directors have agreed to vote their respective shares of common stock owned by them immediately prior to the initial public offering and the private placement in accordance with the majority of the shares of common stock voted by the public stockholders. Our officers and directors, and their respective affiliates who own our securities, have agreed to vote all the shares of our common stock acquired in the private placement or in the aftermarket in favor of any transaction that our officers negotiate and present for approval to our stockholders. We will proceed with the business combination only if a majority of the shares of common stock of the public stockholders are voted in favor of the business combination and public stockholders owning less than 20% of the shares sold in the initial public offering and the private placement exercise their redemption rights.

*Redemption rights*

At the time we seek stockholder approval of any business combination, we will offer each public stockholder the right to have such stockholder's shares of common stock redeemed for cash if the stockholder votes against the business combination and elects to exercise the stockholder's redemption rights and the business combination is approved and completed. The actual per-share redemption price will be equal to the amount in the trust account, which includes interest (calculated as of two business days prior to the consummation of the proposed business combination (net of taxes payable, \$45,000 of private placement fees plus associated interest and \$600,000 of interest that was released from the trust account for working capital purposes), divided by the number of shares sold in the initial public offering and the private placement. Including interest earned on the trust account, net of taxes payable, as of December 31, 2006, the per share redemption amount would be approximately \$7.66. The underwriters from our initial public offering recently agreed to reduce their underwriting compensation on a pro-rata basis for dissenting stockholders. As of December 31, 2006, the redemption amount was \$.36 (including interest) higher than it would otherwise have been due to that agreement; the \$.36 increase is reflected in the \$7.66 redemption price previously discussed. Because the initial per-share redemption price is lower than the \$8.00 per-unit offering price and may be lower than the market price of the common stock on the date of redemption, there may be a perceived disincentive on the part of public stockholders to exercise their redemption rights.

An eligible stockholder may request redemption at any time after the mailing to our stockholders of the proxy statement and prior to the vote taken with respect to a proposed business combination at a meeting held for that purpose, but the request will not be granted unless the stockholder votes against the business combination and elects to exercise the stockholder's redemption rights and the business combination is approved and completed. If a stockholder votes against the business combination but fails to properly exercise his, her or its redemption rights, such stockholder

will not have his, her or its shares of common stock redeemed for its pro rata distribution of the trust account. Any request for redemption, once made, may be withdrawn at any time up to the date of the meeting. It is anticipated that the funds to be distributed to stockholders entitled to redeem their shares who elect redemption will be distributed promptly after completion of a business combination. Public stockholders who redeem their stock for their share of the trust account still have the right to exercise the warrants that they received as part of the units. We will not complete any business combination if public stockholders owning 20% or more of the shares sold in the initial public offering and the private placement, exercise their redemption rights.

*Liquidation if no business combination*

If we do not complete a business combination by July 30, 2007, or by January 30, 2008 if the extension criteria described below have been satisfied, we will dissolve and distribute to all of our public stockholders, in proportion to their respective equity interests, an aggregate sum equal to the amount in the trust account, inclusive of any interest (net of taxes payable and \$600,000 of interest released to us), plus any remaining net assets. Our officers and directors, and their respective affiliates who own our securities, have waived their rights to participate in any liquidation distribution with respect to shares of common stock owned by them immediately prior to the initial public offering, including those acquired in the private placement. There will be no distribution from the trust account with respect to our warrants, which will expire worthless.

If we are unable to consummate a business combination and expend all of the net proceeds of the initial public offering, other than the proceeds deposited in the trust account, and without taking into account interest, if any, earned on the trust account, the initial per-share liquidation price to the holders of the 3,700,046 shares entitled to participate in liquidation distributions was \$7.76, or \$0.24 less than the per-unit offering price of \$8.00. Including interest earned on the trust account net of income taxes and the \$600,000 of interest income released to us, as of December 31, 2006, the per share liquidation amount would be approximately \$7.93. The proceeds deposited in the trust account could, however, become subject to the claims of our creditors, which could be prior to the claims of our public stockholders. Each member of our board of directors has agreed, pursuant to agreements with us, that if we liquidate prior to the consummation of a business combination, they will be personally liable to pay debts and obligations to vendors that are owed money by us for services rendered or products sold to us in excess of the net proceeds of the initial public offering not held in the trust account at that time, but only to the extent necessary to ensure that such loss, liability, claim, damage or expense does not reduce the amount in the trust account. It is our intention that all vendors, prospective target businesses and other entities that we engage will execute agreements with us waiving any right to the monies held in the trust account. If any third party refuses to execute an agreement waiving such claims, we would perform an analysis of the alternatives available to us and evaluate if such engagement would be in the best interest of our stockholders, if such third party refused to waive such claims. Examples of possible instances where we may engage a third party that had refused to execute a waiver include the engagement of a third party consultant whose particular expertise or skills are believed by management to be superior to those of other consultants that would agree to execute a waiver, or in cases where management does not believe it would be able to find a provider of required services willing to provide the waiver. We cannot assure our stockholders, however, that they would be able to satisfy those obligations. Further, they will not be personally liable to pay debts and obligations to prospective target businesses if a business combination is not consummated with such prospective target businesses, or for claims from any other entity other than vendors. Accordingly, we cannot assure our stockholders that the actual per-share liquidation price will not be less than \$7.93, plus interest (net of taxes payable and the \$600,000 of interest released to us), due to claims of creditors.

If we enter into either a letter of intent, an agreement in principle or a definitive agreement to complete a business combination prior to July 30, 2007, but are unable to complete the business combination by such date, then we will have an additional six months in which to complete the business combination contemplated by the letter of intent, agreement in principle or definitive agreement. If we are unable to do so by January 30, 2008, we will then liquidate. Upon notice from us, the trustee of the trust account will commence liquidating the investments constituting the trust account and will turn over the proceeds to our transfer agent for distribution to our public stockholders.

Our public stockholders shall be entitled to receive funds from the trust account only in the event of our liquidation or if the stockholders seek to redeem their respective shares for cash upon a business combination which the stockholder voted against and which is actually completed by us. In no other circumstances shall a stockholder have any right or interest of any kind to or in the trust account.

**Competition**



Should the acquisition of ISI not be consummated, we may encounter intense competition in connection with identifying, evaluating and selecting another target business from other entities having a business objective similar to ours. Many of these entities are well established and have extensive experience identifying and effecting business combinations directly or through affiliates. Many of these competitors possess greater technical, human and other resources than we do and our financial resources will be relatively limited when contrasted with those of many of these competitors. While we believe there are numerous potential target businesses that we could acquire with the net proceeds of the initial public offering, our ability to compete in acquiring a certain sizable target business will be limited by our available financial resources. This inherent competitive limitation gives others an advantage in pursuing the acquisition of a target business. Further:

7

---

- Our obligation to seek stockholder approval of a business combination and to obtain the necessary financial information to be included in the proxy statement to be sent to stockholders in connection with such business combination may delay or prevent the completion of a transaction;
- Our obligation to redeem for cash shares of common stock held by our public stockholders in certain instances may reduce the resources available to us for a business combination; and
- Our outstanding warrants, and the future dilution they potentially represent, may not be viewed favorably by certain target businesses.

Any of these factors may place us at a competitive disadvantage in successfully negotiating a business combination. Our management believes, however, that to the extent that a target business is a privately held entity, our status as a well-financed public entity may give us a competitive advantage over entities having a similar business objective as ours in acquiring a target business on favorable terms.

If we succeed in effecting a business combination, there will be, in all likelihood, intense competition from competitors of the target business. We cannot assure our stockholders that, subsequent to a business combination, we will have the resources or ability to compete effectively.

### **Employees**

We have two officers, both of whom are also members of our board of directors. These individuals are not obligated to contribute any specific number of hours per week and intend to devote only as much time as they deem necessary to our affairs. The amount of time they will devote in any time period will vary based on the availability of suitable target businesses to investigate, although we expect each of them to devote an average of approximately 10 hours per week to our business until a target business is acquired. We do not intend to have any full time employees prior to the consummation of a business combination. We have, however, hired four consultants to assist with securities compliance, the acquisition process and administration.

### **Periodic reporting and financial information**

We have registered our units, common stock and warrants under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and have reporting obligations, including the requirement that we file annual and quarterly reports with the SEC. In accordance with the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, our annual reports will contain financial statements audited and reported on by our independent accountants.

We will not acquire a target business if audited financial statements based on United States generally accepted accounting principles cannot be obtained for such target business. Additionally, our management will provide stockholders with the foregoing financial information as part of the proxy solicitation materials sent to stockholders to assist them in assessing each specific target business we seek to acquire. Our management believes that the requirement of having available financial information for the target business may limit the pool of potential target businesses available for acquisition.

We will be required to comply with the internal control requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2007. A target business may not be in compliance with the provisions of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act regarding adequacy of their internal controls. The development of the internal controls of any such entity to achieve compliance with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act may increase the time and costs necessary to complete any such acquisition.



## Item 1A. Risk Factors

### **Risks associated with our business**

***We are a development stage company with a limited operating history and, accordingly, our stockholders will not have any basis on which to evaluate our ability to achieve our business objective.***

Since we have a limited operating history and no business operations, our stockholders will have no basis upon which to evaluate our ability to achieve our business objective, which is to acquire an operating business. We will not generate any revenues until, at the earliest, after the consummation of a business combination.

***If we are forced to liquidate before a business combination, our public stockholders will receive less than \$8.00 per share upon distribution of the trust account and our warrants will expire worthless.***

If we are unable to complete a business combination and are forced to liquidate our assets (which will include the full amount in the trust account, including the amounts held for the benefit of the co-managers and any interest earned thereon (net of taxes payable and the \$600,000 of interest released to us)), the per-share liquidation price to our public stockholders, as of December 31, 2006, was approximately \$7.93. The initial per shares liquidation amount of \$7.76 was less than the initial per share offering amount of our units because of the expenses of the initial public offering, our general and administrative expenses and the anticipated costs of seeking a business combination. Furthermore, there will be no distribution with respect to our outstanding warrants and, accordingly, the warrants will expire worthless if we liquidate before the completion of a business combination.

***Our Stockholders are not entitled to protections normally afforded to investors of blank check companies.***

Since the net proceeds of the initial public offering and the private placement are intended to be used to complete a business combination with a target business, we may be deemed to be a “blank check” company under the United States securities laws. However, since we have net tangible assets in excess of \$5,000,000, we are exempt from rules promulgated by the SEC to protect investors of blank check companies, such as Rule 419. Accordingly, investors will not be afforded the benefits or protections of those rules.

***If third parties bring claims against us, the proceeds held in trust could be reduced and the per-share liquidation price received by stockholders could be less than \$7.93 per share.***

Our placing of funds in trust may not protect those funds from third party claims against us. Although we will seek to have vendors, prospective target businesses or other entities we hire or do business with execute agreements with us waiving any right, title, interest or claim of any kind in or to any monies held in the trust account for the benefit of our public stockholders, there is no guarantee that they will execute such agreements or, even if they execute such agreements, that they would be prevented from bringing claims against the trust account. If any third party refused to execute an agreement waiving such claims to the monies held in the trust account, we would perform an analysis of the alternatives available to us and evaluate if such engagement would be in the best interest of our stockholders. Examples of possible instances where we may engage a third party that has refused to execute a waiver include the engagement of a third party consultant whose particular expertise or skills are believed by management to be significantly superior to those of other consultants that would agree to execute a waiver or in cases where management is unable to find a provider of required services willing to provide the waiver. In addition, there is no guarantee that such entities will agree to waive any claims they may have in the future as a result of, or arising out of, any negotiations, contracts or agreements with us and will not seek recourse against the trust account for any reason. Accordingly, the proceeds held in trust could be subject to claims which could take priority over the claims of our public stockholders and the per-share liquidation price could be less than the liquidation price of \$7.93 as of December 31, 2006, which takes into consideration interest earned through that date, income taxes payable and the

\$600,000 of interest released to us, due to claims of such creditors. If we are unable to complete a business combination and are forced to liquidate, our officers and directors, severally, in accordance with their respective beneficial ownership interests in us, will be personally liable to ensure that the proceeds in the trust account are not reduced by the claims of various vendors or other entities that are owed money by us for services rendered or contracted for or products sold to us, but only to the extent necessary to ensure that such loss, liability, claim, damage or expense does not reduce the amount in the trust account. However, we cannot assure our stockholders that they will be able to satisfy those obligations. Further, they will not be personally liable to pay debts and obligations to prospective target businesses, if a business combination is not consummated with a prospective target business, or for claims from any entity other than vendors.

***In the event we do not acquire ISI, because there are numerous companies with business plans similar to ours seeking to effectuate business combinations, it may be more difficult for us to identify another target business.***

Since August 2003 and through February 2007, based upon publicly available information, approximately 87 similarly structured blank check companies have completed initial public offerings, and numerous others have filed registration statements for initial public offerings. Of these companies, only 20 companies have consummated a business combination, while 22 (not including Argyle) other companies have announced they have entered into a definitive agreement for a business combination, but have not consummated such business combination. While, like us, some of those companies have specific industries in which they must complete a business combination, a number of them may consummate a business combination in any industry they choose. In the event that we are unable to consummate the acquisition of ISI, we may be subject to competition from these and other companies seeking to consummate a business plan similar to ours, which, as a result, would increase demand for privately-held and publicly-held companies to combine with companies structured similarly to ours. Further, the fact that only a few of such companies have completed a business combination or entered into a definitive agreement for a business combination, may be an indication that there are only a limited number of attractive target businesses available to such entities, or that many privately held or publicly held, target businesses may not be inclined to enter into business combinations with publicly held blank check companies like us. We cannot assure our stockholders that we will be able to successfully compete for an attractive business combination. Additionally, because of this competition, we cannot assure our stockholders that we will be able to effectuate a business combination within the required time periods. If we are unable to find a suitable target business within such time periods, we will be forced to liquidate.

***We may issue shares of our capital stock or debt securities to complete a business combination, which would reduce the equity interest of our stockholders and likely cause a change in control of our ownership.***

Our certificate of incorporation authorizes the issuance of up to 89,000,000 shares of common stock, par value \$.0001 per share, and 1,000,000 shares of preferred stock, par value \$.0001 per share. As of February 28, 2007 there are 80,018,647 authorized but unissued shares of our common stock available for issuance (after appropriate reservation for the issuance of shares upon full exercise of our outstanding warrants and the purchase option granted to Rodman & Renshaw, LLC, the representative of the underwriters) and all of the 1,000,000 shares of preferred stock available for issuance. We may issue a substantial number of additional shares of our common stock or preferred stock, or a combination of common and preferred stock, to complete a business combination. The issuance of additional shares of our common stock or any number of shares of our preferred stock:

- May significantly reduce the equity interest of current stockholders;
- Will likely cause a change in control if a substantial number of our shares of common stock are issued, which may affect, among other things, our ability to use our net operating loss carry forwards, if any, and most likely also result in the resignation or removal of our present officers and directors; and
  - May reduce the prevailing market prices for our common stock.

Similarly, if we issue debt securities, it could result in:

- Default and foreclosure on our assets if our operating cash flow after a business combination were insufficient to pay our debt obligations;
- Acceleration of our obligations to repay the indebtedness even if we have made all principal and interest payments when due if the debt security contained covenants that required the maintenance of certain financial ratios or reserves and any such covenant were breached without a waiver or renegotiation of that covenant;

- Our immediate payment of all principal and accrued interest, if any, if the debt security was payable on demand; and
- Our inability to obtain additional financing, if necessary, if the debt security contained covenants restricting our ability to obtain additional financing while such security was outstanding.

If we consummate the acquisition of ISI, we agreed to issue 1,180,000 of our shares of common stock to the stockholders of ISI as partial consideration for the acquisition.

***Our officers and directors control a substantial interest in us and, thus, may influence certain actions requiring a stockholder vote.***

Our officers and directors and their respective affiliates collectively own 22.61% of our issued and outstanding shares of common stock (and following the acquisition of ISI, the management teams of Argyle and ISI will collectively and beneficially own 29.8% of our outstanding common stock), which could permit them to effectively influence the outcome of all matters requiring approval by our stockholders at such time, including the election of directors and approval of significant corporate transactions, following the consummation of our initial business combination.

In addition, our board of directors is divided into three classes, each of which will generally serve for a term of three years with only one class of directors being elected in each year. It is unlikely that there will be an annual meeting of stockholders to elect new directors prior to the consummation of a business combination, in which case all of the current directors will continue in office at least until the consummation of the business combination. If there is an annual meeting, as a consequence of our “staggered” board of directors, only a minority of the board of directors will be considered for election, and our officers and directors, because of their ownership position, will have considerable influence regarding the outcome. Accordingly, our officers and directors will continue to exert control at least until the consummation of a business combination.

***Our officers and directors will allocate their time to other businesses, thereby causing conflicts of interest in their determination as to how much time to devote to our affairs. This could have a negative impact on our ability to consummate a business combination.***

Our officers and directors are not required to commit their full time to our affairs, which may result in a conflict of interest in allocating their time between our operations and other businesses. We do not intend to have any full-time employees prior to the consummation of a business combination. Our executive officers are engaged in several other business endeavors and are not obligated to contribute any specific number of hours per week to our affairs. While it is our executive officers’ intention to devote substantial business time to identifying potential target businesses and consummating a business combination, their other business affairs could require them to devote more substantial amounts of time to such affairs, thereby limiting their ability to devote time to our affairs. This could have a negative impact on our ability to consummate a business combination.

***Our officers and directors are now, and may in the future become, affiliated with entities engaged in business activities similar to those intended to be conducted by us and, accordingly, may have conflicts of interest in determining to which entity a particular business opportunity should be presented.***

Our officers are, and our officers and directors may in the future become, affiliated with entities engaged in business activities similar to those intended to be conducted by us. Additionally, our officers and directors may become aware of business opportunities which may be appropriate for presentation to us as well as the other entities with which they are or may be affiliated. Further, Bob Marbut is currently the Executive Chairman of Electronics Line 3000 Ltd. and the Executive Chairman of SecTecGlobal, Inc. and Ron Chaimovski is the Vice Chairman of Electronics Line 3000 Ltd. Electronics Line 3000 Ltd. is an intrusion protection security company and SecTecGlobal, Inc. is a sales and marketing subsidiary of Electronics Line 3000 Ltd. Due to these existing affiliations, they may have fiduciary obligations to present potential business opportunities to those entities prior to presenting them to us which could cause additional conflicts of interest. Accordingly, they may have conflicts of interest in determining to which entity a particular business opportunity should be presented.

***All of our officers and directors own shares of our common stock which will not participate in liquidation distributions and, therefore, our officers and directors may have a conflict of interest in determining whether or not a particular target business is appropriate for a business combination.***

All of our officers and directors own shares of our common stock that were issued prior to our initial public offering, but have waived their right to receive distributions with respect to those shares upon our liquidation upon our failure to complete a business combination. Each of our officers and directors has agreed to vote all shares purchased in the



private placement and in the open market in favor of any proposal to approve a business combination negotiated by our officers. The shares and warrants owned by our officers and directors and their affiliates will be worthless if we do not consummate a business combination. The personal and financial interests of our officers and directors may influence their motivation in identifying and selecting a target business and completing a business combination in a timely manner. Consequently, our directors' and officers' discretion in identifying and selecting a suitable target business may result in a conflict of interest when determining whether the terms, conditions and timing of a particular business combination are appropriate and in our stockholders' best interest.

***Our officers and directors will not receive reimbursement for any out-of-pocket expenses incurred by them to the extent that such expenses exceed the available proceeds not deposited in the trust account, unless the business combination is consummated and, therefore, they may have a conflict of interest in determining whether or not a particular target business is appropriate for a business combination and in the public stockholders' best interest.***

Our officers and directors, will not receive reimbursement for any out-of-pocket expenses incurred by them to the extent that such expenses exceed the available proceeds not deposited in the trust account and the portion of the interest on the trust account released to us (which, because interest rates are unknown, may be insufficient to fund all of our working capital requirements) unless the business combination is consummated. The financial interest of our officers and directors could influence their motivation in selecting a target business and, thus, there may be a conflict of interest when determining whether or not a particular business combination is in the stockholders' best interest.

***Our initial business combination will be with a single target business, which may cause us to be solely dependent on a limited number of services.***

As of December 31, 2006, we have approximately \$29.5 million held in the trust account with which to complete a business combination. Our initial business combination must be with a business with a collective fair market value of at least 80% of our net assets at the time of such acquisition. There is no limitation on our ability to raise funds privately or through loans that would allow us to acquire a company with a fair market value in excess of 80% of our net assets at the time of the acquisition; however, we have no current plans or agreements to enter into any such financing arrangements. The prospects for our success may be:

- Solely dependent upon the performance of a limited number of services, or
- Dependent upon the development or market acceptance of a single or limited number of products or services.

In this case, we may not be able to diversify our operations or benefit from the possible spreading of risks or offsetting of losses, unlike other entities which may have the resources to complete several business combinations in different industries or different areas of a single industry.

***We may be unable to obtain additional financing, if required, to complete a business combination or to fund the operations and growth of the target business, which could compel us to restructure the transaction or abandon a particular business combination.***

Although we believe that the net proceeds of the initial public offering and the private placement will be sufficient to allow us to consummate a business combination, as we have not yet completed an acquisition, we cannot ascertain the capital requirements for any particular transaction. If we have insufficient funds, either because of the size of the business combination or the depletion of the available net proceeds in search of a target business (including interest earned on the trust account released to us), or because we become obligated to redeem for cash a significant number of shares from dissenting stockholders, we will be required to seek additional financing. We cannot assure our stockholders that such financing would be available on acceptable terms, if at all. To the extent that additional financing proves to be unavailable when needed to consummate a particular business combination, we would be compelled to restructure the transaction or abandon that particular business combination and seek an alternative target business candidate. In addition, it is possible that we could use a portion of the funds not in the trust account to make a deposit, down payment or fund a "no-shop" provision with respect to a particular proposed business combination, although we do not have any current intention to do so. In the event that we were ultimately required to forfeit such funds (whether as a result of our breach of the agreement relating to such payment or otherwise), we may not have a sufficient amount of working capital available outside of the trust account to conduct due diligence and pay other expenses related to finding a suitable business combination without securing additional financing. If we were unable to secure additional financing, we would most likely fail to consummate a business combination in the allotted time and would be forced to liquidate. In addition, if we consummate a business combination, we may require additional financing to fund the operations or growth of the target business. The failure to secure additional financing could result in our inability to effectuate our business plan for the development or growth of the target business. None of our officers, directors or stockholders is required to provide any financing to us in connection with or after a business combination.

*If we are deemed to be an investment company, we may be required to institute burdensome compliance requirements, and our activities may be restricted, which may make it difficult for us to complete a business combination.*

If we are deemed to be an investment company under the Investment Company Act of 1940, our activities may be restricted, including:

12

---

- Restrictions on the nature of our investments; and
- Restrictions on the issuance of securities,

which may make it difficult for us to complete a business combination.

In addition, we may have imposed upon us burdensome requirements, including:

- Registration as an investment company;
- Adoption of a specific form of corporate structure; and
- Reporting, record keeping, voting, proxy and disclosure requirements and other rules and regulations.

We do not believe that our anticipated principal activities will subject us to the Investment Company Act of 1940. To this end, the proceeds held in trust may only be invested by the trustee in Treasury Bills issued by the United States with maturity dates of 180 days or less or in money market funds meeting certain conditions under Rule 2a-7 promulgated under the Investment Company Act of 1940. By restricting the investment of the proceeds to these instruments, we intend to meet the requirements for the exemption provided in Rule 3a-1 promulgated under the Investment Company Act of 1940. If we were deemed to be subject to the act, compliance with these additional regulatory burdens would require additional expense that we have not allotted for.

***Our directors may not be considered “independent” under the policies of the North American Securities Administrators Association, Inc.***

Under the policies of the North American Securities Administrators Association, Inc., an international organization devoted to investor protection, because each of our directors owns shares of our securities and may receive reimbursement for out-of-pocket expenses incurred by him in connection with activities on our behalf, such as identifying potential target businesses and performing due diligence on suitable business combinations, state securities administrators could take the position that such individuals are not “independent.” If this were the case, they would take the position that we would not have the benefit of independent directors examining the propriety of expenses incurred on our behalf and subject to reimbursement. Additionally, there is no limit on the amount of out-of-pocket expenses that could be incurred, and there will be no review of the reasonableness of the expenses by anyone other than our board of directors, which would include persons who may seek reimbursement, or a court of competent jurisdiction if such reimbursement is challenged. Although we believe that all actions taken by our directors on our behalf will be in our best interests, whether or not they are deemed to be “independent,” we cannot assure our stockholders that this will actually be the case. If actions are taken, or expenses are incurred that are actually not in our best interests, our revenues and profits could be reduced, and the price of our stock held by the public stockholders could decrease.

***Because one of our officers resides outside of the United States and, after the consummation of a business combination, a significant portion of our assets may be located outside of the United States, it may be difficult for investors to enforce their legal rights against such individual or such assets.***

Ron Chaimovski, our Vice Chairman and Co-Chief Executive Officer, resides outside of the United States and, after the consummation of a business combination, a significant portion of our assets may be located outside of the United States. As a result, it may not be possible for investors in the United States to enforce their legal rights, to effect service of process upon Mr. Chaimovski, or to enforce judgments of United States courts predicated upon civil liabilities and criminal penalties of our directors and officers under Federal securities laws.

***Because we may acquire a company located outside of the United States, we may be subject to various risks of the foreign jurisdiction in which we ultimately operate.***

If we acquire a company that has sales or operations outside the United States, we could be exposed to risks that negatively impact our future sales or profitability following a business combination. Additionally, if the acquired company is in a developing country or a country that does not have a fully market-oriented economy, our operations may not develop in the same way, or at the same rate, as might be expected in the United States, or another country with an economy similar to the market-oriented economies of member countries which are members of the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development, or the OECD. The OECD is an international organization helping governments through the economic, social and governance challenges of a globalized economy. The additional risks we may be exposed to if the target business we acquire is located outside of the United States include, but are not limited to:

- Tariffs and trade barriers;
- Regulations related to customs and import/export matters;
  - Regulations related to product functionality;
- Tax issues, such as tax law changes and variations in tax laws as compared to the United States;
  - Government instability;
  - An inadequate banking system;
    - Currency fluctuations;
    - Foreign exchange controls;
  - Restrictions on the repatriation of profits or payment of dividends;
  - Crime, strikes, riots, civil disturbances, terrorist attacks, wars;
    - Nationalization or expropriation of property;
  - Law enforcement authorities and courts that are weak or inexperienced in commercial matters;
    - Rapid inflation;
  - Local labor law changes and mandated increases in social welfare costs; and
    - Deterioration of political relations with the United States.

***Argyle may choose to redeem its outstanding warrants at a time that is disadvantageous to the warrant holders.***

Subject to there being a current prospectus under the Securities Act of 1933, Argyle may redeem all of its outstanding warrants at any time after they become exercisable at a price of \$.01 per warrant, upon a minimum of 30 days prior written notice of redemption, if and only if, the last sale price of Argyle's common stock equals or exceeds \$11.50 per share for any 20 trading days within a 30 trading day period ending three business days before Argyle sends the notice of redemption. Calling all of Argyle's outstanding warrants for redemption could force the warrant holders:

- To exercise the warrants and pay the exercise price for such warrants at a time when it may be disadvantageous for the holders to do so;
- To sell the warrants at the then current market price when they might otherwise wish to hold the warrants; or
- To accept the nominal redemption price which, at the time the warrants are called for redemption, is likely to be substantially less than the market value of the warrants.

***Argyle's warrant holders may not be able to exercise their warrants, which may create liability for Argyle.***

Holders of the warrants Argyle issued in its initial public offering and private placement will be able to receive shares upon exercise of the warrants only if (i) a current registration statement under the Securities Act of 1933 relating to the shares of its common stock underlying the warrants is then effective and (ii) such shares are qualified for sale or exempt from qualification under the applicable securities laws of the states in which the various holders of warrants reside. Although Argyle has agreed to use its best efforts to maintain a current registration statement covering the shares underlying the warrants to the extent required by federal securities laws, and Argyle intends to comply with such agreement, Argyle cannot assure that it will be able to do so. In addition, some states may not permit Argyle to register the shares issuable upon exercise of its warrants for sale. The value of the warrants will be greatly reduced if a registration statement covering the shares issuable upon the exercise of the warrants is not kept current or if the securities are not qualified, or exempt from qualification, in the states in which the holders of warrants reside. Holders of warrants who reside in jurisdictions in which the shares underlying the warrants are not qualified and in which there is no exemption will be unable to exercise their warrants and would either have to sell their warrants in the open market or allow them to expire unexercised. If and when the warrants become redeemable by Argyle, Argyle may exercise its redemption right even if Argyle is unable to qualify the underlying securities for sale under all applicable state securities laws. Since Argyle's obligations in this regard are subject to a "best efforts" standard, it is possible that, even if Argyle is able to successfully assert a defense to a claim by warrant holders due to the impossibility of registration, a court may impose monetary damages on Argyle to compensate warrant holders due to the change in circumstances that led to Argyle being unable to fulfill its obligations.

***Argyle does not have an Audit Committee composed solely of independent directors and therefore Argyle's financial statements have not been subject to independent review.***

Argyle does not have an audit committee. Pursuant to SEC regulations, the entire Board of Directors of a company without an audit committee acts as the audit committee. Two of the members of Argyle's Board of Directors are also officers of Argyle and therefore not independent. Therefore, Argyle does not have solely independent directors reviewing its financial statements, making it more difficult for Argyle to discover if there was any fraud in connection with the preparation of its financial statements.

### **Risks associated with the security industry**

***It is difficult to forecast the timing of revenues in the security industry, and it is likely that any business we acquire will have significant variation in revenues from period to period.***

It is difficult to forecast the timing of revenues in the security industry because the development period for a customized system or solution may be lengthy, customers often need a significant amount of time to evaluate products before purchasing them and, in the case of governmental customers, sales are dependent on budgetary and other bureaucratic processes. The period between initial customer contact and a purchase by a customer varies greatly, and could be a year or more. During the evaluation period, customers may defer or scale down proposed orders of products or systems for various reasons, including: (i) changes in budgets and purchasing priorities; (ii) a reduced need to upgrade existing systems; (iii) deferrals in anticipation of enhancements or new products; (iv) introduction of products by competitors; and (v) lower prices offered by competitors.

***If we are unable to respond to the technological, legal, financial or other changes in the security industry and changes in our customers' requirements and preferences, we will not be able to effectively compete with our competitors.***

Once we enter into a business combination, if we are unable, for technological, legal, financial or other reasons, to adapt in a timely manner to changing market conditions, customer needs or regulatory requirements, we could lose customers. Changes in customer requirements and preferences, the introduction of new products and services embodying new technologies, and the emergence of new industry standards and practices could render the existing products of the company we acquire obsolete. Our success will depend, in part, on our ability to:

- Enhance products and services;
- Anticipate changing customer requirements by designing, developing, and launching new products and services that address the increasingly sophisticated and varied needs of customers;
- Respond to technological advances and emerging industry standards and practices on a cost-effective and timely basis; and
- Respond to changing regulatory requirements in a cost effective and timely manner.

The development of additional products and services involves significant technological and business risks and requires substantial expenditures and lead time. If we fail to introduce products with new technologies in a timely manner, or adapt our products to these new technologies, we will not be able to effectively compete with our competitors. We cannot assure our stockholders that, even if we are able to introduce new products or adapt our products to new technologies, that our products would gain acceptance among our customers.

***The market in certain segments of the security industry is still not fully developed, and, if we acquire a business operating in one of those segments, and if the market for our products does not expand as we expect after acquiring a business, our business will not generate the growth and/or profits that stockholders expect.***

The market in certain segments of the security industry, including, but not limited to, outdoor perimeter protection (an area often ignored previously but, since the onset of greatly escalated global terrorism, is getting much greater attention, much of it linked to governmental subsidies or funding), video analytics (newly emerging technology linked with concerns about escalating global terrorism) and digital video (emerging from under the shadow of less costly and more accepted analog video), is still emerging. If we acquire a business in one of these segments, our growth will be dependent on, among other things, the size and pace at which the markets for our products and/or services develop.



If the market for our products or services decreases, remains constant or grows slower than we anticipate, we will not be able to generate the growth and/or profits that stockholders expect.

***Intellectual property infringement claims are not uncommon in the security industry and, after acquiring a business, we may be involved in costly litigation that substantially reduces our profitability.***

Any allegation of infringement of intellectual property against us could be time consuming and expensive to defend or resolve, result in substantial diversion of management resources, cause product shipment delays, or force us to enter into royalty or license agreements rather than dispute the merits of such an allegation. If holders of intellectual property rights initiate legal proceedings against us, we may be forced into protracted and costly litigation. We may not be successful in defending such litigation and we may not be able to procure any required royalty or license agreements on acceptable terms, or at all.

***If a business we acquire does business internationally, we may face labor, political, currency and other risks.***

Any business we acquire may involve the sale of products internationally. International sales may be impacted by, among other things:

- Regulatory limitations imposed by foreign governments and insurance industry-sponsored bodies (similar to Underwriter's Laboratories in the United States),
  - Price increases due to fluctuations in currency exchange rates,
  - Political, military and terrorist risks,
- Disruptions or delays in shipments caused by customs brokers or government agencies,
- Unexpected changes in regulatory requirements, tariffs, customs, duties and other trade barriers, and
  - Potentially adverse tax consequences resulting from changes in tax laws.

Any of the foregoing factors may result in reduced earnings and/or profits.

***If a business we acquire exports products to foreign countries, and we are unable to maintain required licenses, we may be prevented from exporting our products, reducing our revenues and profits.***

We may be required to obtain export licenses to the extent we wish to ship products to certain countries. We may not be successful in obtaining or maintaining the licenses and other authorizations required to export our products from applicable governmental authorities. Our failure to receive or maintain any required export license or authorization could hinder our ability to sell our products, reducing our revenues and profits.

***Government contracts generally contain rights and remedies which could reduce the value of such contracts, or result in losses for a business we acquire.***

Companies involved in the security industry often sell products to federal, state or local governments, as well as to foreign governments. Government contracts often contain provisions that give the governments that are party to those contracts certain rights and remedies not typically found in private commercial contracts, including provisions enabling the governments to: (i) terminate or cancel existing contracts for convenience; (ii) in the case of the U.S. government, suspend the contracting company from doing business with a foreign government or prevent the company from selling its products in certain countries; (iii) audit and object to the company's contract-related costs and expenses, including allocated indirect costs; and (iv) change specific terms and conditions in the company's contracts, including changes that would reduce the value of its contracts. In addition, many jurisdictions have laws and regulations that deem government contracts in those jurisdictions to include these types of provisions, even if the contract itself does not contain them. If a government terminates a contract with a target business for convenience, we may not be able to recover our incurred or committed costs, any settlement expenses or profit on work completed prior to the termination. If a government terminates a contract for default, we may not recover those amounts and, in addition, we may be liable for any costs incurred by a government in procuring undelivered items and services from another source. Further, an agency within a government may share information regarding our termination with other government agencies. As a result, our on-going or prospective relationships with such other government agencies could be impaired.

***If a target business has government contracts, we could be required to comply with various regulations relating to government contracts, which could prevent us from operating in the most economically efficient manner.***

A target business with which we seek a business combination may be required to comply with domestic and foreign laws and regulations relating to the formation, administration and performance of government contracts. These laws and regulations affect how such an entity does business with government agencies in various countries and may impose added costs on its business. For example, in the United States, we could be subject to the Federal Acquisition Regulations, which comprehensively regulate the formation, administration and performance of federal government contracts, and to the Truth in Negotiations Act, which requires certification and disclosure of cost and pricing data in connection with contract negotiations. We could be subject to similar regulations in foreign countries as well.

***Governments are increasingly regulating the security industry, and such additional regulations could lead to increased operating costs for any business we acquire.***

As the communications industry continues to evolve, governments may increasingly regulate products that monitor and record voice, video and data transmissions over public communications networks. For example, certain products sold in the United States to law enforcement agencies which interface with a variety of wireline, wireless and Internet protocol networks, must comply with the technical standards established by the Federal Communications Commission pursuant to CALEA, and certain products sold in Europe must comply with the technical standards established by ETSI. The adoption of new laws or regulations governing the use of products or changes made to existing laws or regulations could cause a decline in the use of our products and could result in increased expenses for the business we acquire, particularly if we are required to modify or redesign our products to accommodate these new or changing laws or regulations.

### **Risks associated with the Acquisition of ISI**

***The combined company's working capital could be reduced if stockholders exercise their redemption rights.***

Pursuant to Argyle's Second Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation, holders of shares purchased in Argyle's initial public offering (other than Argyle's initial stockholders) may vote against the acquisition and demand that Argyle redeem their shares into pro rata portions of the trust account, net of taxes payable, as of the record date. Argyle and ISI will not consummate the acquisition if holders of 765,009 or more shares exercise these redemption rights. To the extent the acquisition is consummated and holders have demanded to so redeem their shares, there will be a corresponding reduction in the amount of funds available to the combined company following the acquisition. As of December 31, 2006, assuming the acquisition is approved, the maximum amount of funds that could be disbursed to Argyle's stockholders upon the exercise of their redemption rights is approximately \$5.9 million.

***If outstanding warrants are exercised, the underlying common shares will be eligible for future resale in the public market. "Market overhang" from the warrants results in dilution and has an adverse effect on the common stock's market price.***

Outstanding warrants and unit purchase options to purchase an aggregate of 4,200,046 shares of common stock issued in connection with Argyle's initial public offering will become exercisable after consummation of the ISI acquisition. If they are exercised, a substantial number of additional shares of Argyle common stock will be eligible for resale in the public market, which could adversely affect the market price.

***Registration rights held by Argyle's initial stockholders who purchased shares prior to Argyle's initial public offering may have an adverse effect on the market price of Argyle's common stock.***

Argyle's initial stockholders who purchased common stock prior to its initial public offering are entitled to demand that Argyle register the resale of their shares at any time after they are released from escrow. If such stockholders exercise their registration rights with respect to all of their shares, there will be an additional 1,081,261 shares of common stock eligible for trading in the public market. The presence of these additional shares may have an adverse effect on the market price of Argyle's common stock.

***Argyle's directors and officers have interests in the acquisition that are different from yours, because if the acquisition is not approved, their shares may become worthless.***

In considering the recommendation of Argyle's Board of Directors to vote to approve the acquisition, you should be aware that Argyle's directors, officers and original stockholders have agreements or arrangements that provide them with interests in the acquisition that differ from, or are in addition to, those of Argyle stockholders generally. Argyle's original stockholders, including its directors and officers, are not entitled to receive any of the funds that would be distributed upon liquidation of the trust account. Therefore, if the acquisition is not approved, these original shares may become worthless. The personal and financial interests of directors and officers may have influenced their

motivation in identifying and selecting a target business and in timely completion of a business combination. Consequently, their discretion in identifying and selecting a suitable target business may result in a conflict of interest when determining whether the terms, conditions and timing of a particular business combination are appropriate and in the best interests of Argyle's stockholders.

***Because Argyle does not intend to pay dividends on its common stock, stockholders will benefit from an investment in Argyle's common stock only if it appreciates in value.***

Argyle has never declared or paid any cash dividends on its shares of common stock. Post acquisition, Argyle currently intends to retain all future earnings, if any, for use in the operations and expansion of the business. As a result, Argyle does not anticipate paying cash dividends in the foreseeable future. Any future determination as to the declaration and payment of cash dividends will be at the discretion of Argyle's Board of Directors and will depend on factors Argyle's Board of Directors deems relevant, including among others, Argyle's results of operations, financial condition and cash requirements, business prospects, and the terms of Argyle's credit facilities and other financing arrangements. It is likely that the debt financing arrangements Argyle puts into place in connection with the acquisition will prohibit Argyle from declaring or paying dividends without the consent of its lenders. Accordingly, realization of a gain on stockholders' investments will depend on the appreciation of the price of Argyle's common stock. There is no guarantee that Argyle's common stock will appreciate in value.

***Argyle's securities are quoted on the Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board, which may limit the liquidity and price of its securities more than if the securities were quoted or listed on the Nasdaq market.***

Argyle's securities are quoted on the Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board, a NASD-sponsored and operated inter-dealer automated quotation system. Quotation of Argyle's securities on the Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board will limit the liquidity and price of its securities more than if the securities were quoted or listed on Nasdaq.

***Argyle has agreed in the merger agreement that it will negotiate employment agreements with ISI's management post business combination.***

Although the merger agreement contains certain terms relating to the employment agreements to be negotiated between Argyle and ISI's management (the specified terms relate to the term of the employment agreements and that certain members of management will remain on the Board of Directors of post merger ISI), Argyle has agreed in the merger agreement that it will negotiate employment agreements with ISI's management post business combination. Therefore, Argyle's stockholders will not have the benefit of knowing what compensation arrangements will be post business combination when voting for the merger. In addition, by not negotiating agreements prior to the merger, it is possible that some or all of ISI's management may decide to seek employment at a company that will provide them with definitive terms of employment now.

***Failure to complete the acquisition could reduce the market price of Argyle's common stock and may make it more difficult for Argyle to attract another acquisition candidate, resulting, ultimately, in the disbursement of the trust proceeds, causing some investors to experience a loss on their investment.***

If the acquisition is not completed for any reason, Argyle may be subject to a number of material risks, including:

- The market price of its common stock may decline to the extent that the current market price of its common stock reflects a market assumption that the acquisition will be consummated;
  - Costs related to the acquisition, such as legal and accounting fees and the costs of the fairness opinion, must be paid even if the acquisition is not completed; and
- Charges will be made against earnings for transaction-related expenses, which could be higher than expected.

If the market price of our securities declines after we fail to consummate the acquisition of ISI, persons who purchased our securities after the merger was announced will have lost money investing in our securities, making future investment in our securities by such persons less likely. Since most of the fees that we incur from our service providers in connection with the merger with ISI must be paid even if we do not consummate the transaction, it is unlikely that we will have sufficient funds outside of the trust to locate and research a second target business. In addition, since Argyle will have to take charges to earnings for transaction-related expenses even if a transaction is not

consummated, Argyle will be a less attractive candidate to a potential target business than another entity that would not have to take such charges. All of these items make it less likely that Argyle will be able to consummate a business combination with a target business if the merger with ISI is not consummated. If an alternative target could not be found, Argyle would be required to dissolve and liquidate after the applicable time periods had lapsed.

***Argyle's Board of Directors has had Limited Ability to Evaluate the Target Business' Management.***

Although Argyle closely examined the management of ISI, Argyle cannot assure you that its assessment of ISI's management will prove to be correct, or that future management will have the necessary skills, qualifications or abilities to manage its business successfully. Essentially, all of the serving management of ISI will be involved with the management of the Merger Subsidiary, will remain with the combined company, and will for the most part run its day to day operations. Argyle's current Board of Directors will remain directors of Argyle subsequent to the acquisition.

**Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments**

None.

**Item 2. Description of Property**

Argyle maintains executive offices at Concord Plaza, Suite 700, San Antonio, TX 78216. The base rental cost for this space is approximately \$5,500 per month. Argyle considers its current office space adequate for current operations.

**Item 3. Legal Proceedings**

To the knowledge of management, there is no litigation currently pending or contemplated against us or any of our officers or directors in their capacity as such.

**Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders**

During the fourth quarter of our fiscal year ended December 31, 2006, there were no matters submitted to a vote of security holders.



## PART II

**Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities**

The Company's common stock, warrants and units, are quoted on the Over the Counter Bulletin Board under the symbols "ARGL," "ARGLW," and "ARGLU," respectively. The Units have been quoted on the Bulletin Board since January 30, 2006 and the common stock and warrants since March 2, 2006. Our securities did not trade on any market or exchange prior to January 30, 2006. The following table sets forth the high and low sales information for the Company's Units for the period from January 30, 2006 through February 28, 2007 and the Company's Common Stock and Warrants for the period from March 2, 2006 through February 28, 2007. The Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board quotations reflect inter-dealer prices, are without retail markup, markdowns or commissions, and may not represent actual transactions.

|  | Common Stock |      | Warrants |      | Units |      |
|--|--------------|------|----------|------|-------|------|
|  | High         | Low  | High     | Low  | High  | Low  |
| First Quarter 2006                                   | 7.55         | 7.25 | 1.35     | 0.93 | 8.85  | 7.90 |
| Second Quarter 2006                                  | 7.45         | 7.22 | 1.56     | 1.02 | 8.86  | 8.00 |
| Third Quarter 2006                                   | 7.30         | 7.14 | 1.08     | 0.88 | 8.30  | 8.00 |
| Fourth Quarter 2006                                  | 7.45         | 7.15 | 1.55     | 0.75 | 8.80  | 7.94 |
| First Quarter 2007<br>(through February 28,<br>2007) | 7.44         | 7.35 | 1.10     | 0.80 | 8.50  | 8.14 |

**Number of Holders of Common Stock.**

The number of holders of record of our Common Stock on February 28, 2007 was five, which does not include beneficial owners of our securities.

**Dividends.**

There were no cash dividends or other cash distributions made by us during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006. Future dividend policy will be determined by our Board of Directors based on our earnings, financial condition, capital requirements and other then existing conditions. It is anticipated that cash dividends will not be paid to the holders of our common stock in the foreseeable future.

**Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities.**

On June 23, 2005 we issued shares of our common stock to the following persons for an aggregate offering price of \$25,000 or \$0.027 per share:

| Stockholders              | Number of Shares |
|---------------------------|------------------|
| Argyle Joint Venture      | 296,875          |
| Argyle New Ventures, L.P. | 296,875          |
| Ron Chaimovski            | 296,875          |
| John J. Smith             | 46,875           |

Such shares were issued pursuant to the exemption from registration contained in Section 4(2) of the Securities Act as they were sold to sophisticated, wealthy individuals. No underwriting discounts or commissions were paid with respect to such sales.

On July 13, 2005, the aforementioned stockholders were issued options to purchase such additional number of shares as would maintain their respective percentage ownership in the event the over-allotment option granted to the underwriters in our initial public offering was exercised. The maximum number of shares that each stockholder could purchase pursuant to this option was:

20

---

| <b>Stockholders</b>       | <b>Maximum<br/>Number of Shares</b> |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Argyle Joint Venture      | 43,047                              |
| Argyle New Ventures, L.P. | 43,047                              |
| Ron Chaimovski            | 43,047                              |
| John J. Smith             | 6,797                               |

The exercise price of these options was \$0.027 per share. On September 23, 2005, Messrs. Marbut and Chaimovski, along with their affiliated entities, transferred an aggregate of 70,313 of their shares and a pro rata portion of their over-allotment options to Wesley Clark in connection with his appointment to the board of directors. On January 30, 2006, the underwriters exercised a portion of their over-allotment option and on February 1, 2006, the stockholders indicated exercised their option for an aggregate of 18,761 shares of our common stock and we received \$506.55 in connection with such exercise. The underwriters terminated their right to exercise the remainder of the overallotment option and the remainder of the options terminated unexercised. Such securities were issued pursuant to the exemption from registration contained in Section 4(2) of the Securities Act as they were issued to sophisticated, wealthy individuals. No underwriting discounts or commissions were paid with respect to such securities.

On January 24, 2006, we sold 125,000 units to Ron Chaimovski and Argyle New Ventures, L.P. for an aggregate purchase price of \$1,000,000, or \$8.00 per unit. Each unit consists of one share of common stock and a warrant to purchase one share of common stock, exercisable at \$5.50 per share. The securities were sold in reliance on the exemption from registration contained in Section 4(2) of the Securities Act since they were sold to sophisticated, wealthy individuals. We paid Rodman & Renshaw, LLC a placement fee of \$60,000 in connection with this placement.

On January 30, 2006, we sold a warrant to purchase 187,500 units to the two co-managing underwriters in the offering for an aggregate of \$100. The exercise price per unit is \$8.80, and each unit consists of one share of common stock and a warrant to purchase one share of common stock, exercisable at \$5.50 per share. The securities were sold in reliance on the exemption from registration contained in Section 4(2) of the Securities Act since they were sold to the underwriters in our initial public offering. No underwriting discounts or commissions were paid with respect to such securities.

### **Use of Proceeds**

On January 24, 2006, we consummated a private placement of 125,000 units. On January 30, 2006, we consummated our initial public offering of 3,700,046 units (which includes 75,046 units sold pursuant to the exercise of a portion of the underwriter's over-allotment option). Each unit consists of one share of common stock and one redeemable common stock purchase warrant. Each warrant entitles the holder to purchase from us one share of our common stock at an exercise price of \$5.50. The units were sold at an offering price of \$8.00 per unit, generating total gross proceeds of \$30,600,368. Rodman & Renshaw, LLC acted as lead underwriter. The securities sold in our initial public offering were registered under the Securities Act of 1933 on a registration statement on Form S-1 (No. 333-126569). The Securities and Exchange Commission declared the registration statement effective on January 24, 2006.

At the time of our offering, we incurred a total \$1,836,022 in underwriting discounts and commissions and placement agent fees, \$1,377,017 of which was placed in the trust account and will only be paid to the underwriters in the event that we consummate a business combination. The total expenses in connection with the sale of our units in the private placement and the initial public offering were \$2,424,001. On March 14, 2007, the underwriters from the Company's initial public offering agreed to forfeit any and all rights or claims to a pro-rata portion of the deferred underwriting costs and associated interest with respect to any shares of common stock which are redeemed in connection with our proposed acquisition. As a result, the deferred underwriting and offering costs have been reduced by approximately \$.3 million and common stock subject to possible redemption has been increased by \$.3 million. (See Redemption

Rights under Part 1 and Note 9 to the Company's financial statements.) No expenses of the offering were paid to any of our directors or officers or any of their respective affiliates. We did, however, repay Argyle New Ventures, an affiliate of Bob Marbut, and Ron Chaimovski for loans they made to us prior to the consummation of the private placement and the initial public offering. The aggregate amount of principal and interest on such loans that we repaid was \$158,177. All the funds held in the trust account have been invested in either Treasury Bills or Money Market Accounts.

After deducting the underwriting discounts and commissions, placement agent fees and the offering expenses, the total net proceeds to us from the private placement and the initial public offering were \$28,176,367, of which \$27,344,346 was deposited into the trust account for our benefit (exclusive of the amounts for the benefit of the underwriters discussed above). The remaining proceeds are available to be used to provide for business, legal and accounting due diligence on prospective business combinations and continuing general and administrative expenses. The amounts held in the trust account may only be used by us upon the consummation of a business combination, with the exception of amounts due for income taxes and \$600,000 of the interest earned on the trust account, which was released to us in September 2006 to fund our working capital. As of December 31, 2006, there was approximately \$29.5 million held in the trust account.

**Repurchases of Equity Securities.**

None

**Item 6. Selected Financial Data**

The selected financial data presented below summarizes certain financial data which has been derived from and should be read in conjunction with our financial statement and footnotes thereto included in the section beginning on page F-1. See also "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations."

|  | <b>Year Ended December 31,</b> |             |
|--|--------------------------------|-------------|
|  | <b>2006</b>                    | <b>2005</b> |
| <b>Statement of Operations Data:</b>   |                                |             |
| Operating expenses   | \$ 1,024,490                   | \$ 7,743    |
| Other income   | 1,287,925                      | -           |
| Income/(loss) before provision for income taxes  | 263,435                        | (7,743)     |
| Provision for income taxes   | 90,923                         | -           |
| Net income/(loss)  | 172,512                        | (7,743)     |
| Deferred interest (net of taxes), attributable to common stock subject to possible redemption                          | 175,747                        | -           |
| Net (loss) allocable to holders of non-redeemable common stock   | \$ (3,235)                     | \$ (7,743)  |
| Net income/(loss) per share - - basic and diluted  | 0.04                           | (0.01)      |
| Weighted average number of shares outstanding - - basic and diluted  | 4,477,861                      | 937,500     |
| Net (loss) per share exclusive of shares and related interest subject to possible redemption - - basic and diluted     | (0.00)                         | (0.01)      |
| Weighted average number of shares outstanding exclusive of shares subject to possible redemption - - basic and diluted | 3,773,985                      | 937,500     |

|                            | <b>As of December 31,</b> |             |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|
|                            | <b>2006</b>               | <b>2005</b> |
| <b>Balance Sheet Data:</b> |                           |             |
| Cash                       | \$ 694,115                | \$ 9,608    |
| Working capital (deficit)  | 28,249,730                | (277,488)   |
| Total assets               | 30,681,313                | 304,353     |
| Total stockholders' equity | \$ 22,862,193             | \$ 17,257   |

**Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations****Forward Looking Statements**

This Annual Report on Form 10-K includes forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. We have based these forward-looking statements on our current expectations and projections about future events. These forward-looking statements are subject to known and unknown risks, uncertainties and assumptions about us that may cause our actual results, levels of activity, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as "may," "should," "could," "would," "expect," "plan," "anticipate," "believe," "estimate," "continue," or the negative of such terms or other similar expressions. Factors that

might cause or contribute to such a discrepancy include, but are not limited to, those described in our other Securities and Exchange Commission filings. The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our Financial Statements and related Notes thereto included elsewhere in this report.

## Overview

Argyle Security Acquisition Corporation is a Delaware corporation incorporated on June 22, 2005 in order to serve as a vehicle for the acquisition of an operating business through a merger, capital stock exchange, asset acquisition or other similar business combination. We intend to leverage the industry experience of our executive officers by focusing our efforts on identifying a prospective target business in the security industry. We believe that businesses involved in this industry represent attractive acquisition targets for a number of reasons, including the increase in global demand for integrated security-related products and services since September 11, 2001, the development of new technology which has the potential to expand applications and the trend towards integrated networked solutions.

## Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

Deferred income taxes are provided for temporary differences between the carrying amount of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts for tax purposes. Valuation allowances are provided against the deferred tax asset amounts when the realization is uncertain.

Argyle purchases U.S. Treasury Bills and money market investments and holds these investments to maturity. The investments are recorded at market value which approximates their carrying amount, which includes interest accrued through that date.

Argyle must seek stockholder approval to effect any business combination. Argyle will proceed with a business combination only if a majority of the shares of common stock voted by the public stockholders are voted in favor of the business combination, and public stockholders owning less than 20% of the shares sold in the offering exercise their conversion rights and vote against the business combination. Public stockholders voting against the combination may demand that Argyle redeem his or her shares at a redemption price of \$7.52 per share plus interest earned thereon in the trust account, net of taxes payable, if an acquisition is consummated. Accordingly, Argyle has classified the contingent shares at \$7.52 and related deferred interest outside of permanent equity and liabilities in the mezzanine area on the balance sheet.

## Results of Operations for the Year Ended December 31, 2006

Argyle reported net income of \$172,512 for the year ended December 31, 2006, before the deduction of \$175,747 of interest income, net of taxes, attributable to common stock subject to possible redemption. Argyle incurred a net loss of \$7,743 for the period from inception (June 22, 2005) through December 31, 2005.

Argyle's trust account earned interest of \$1,332,087 for the year ended December 31, 2006, and its funds outside the trust account earned interest of \$20,242. Until Argyle enters into a business combination, it will not generate operating revenues. Argyle had no funds in trust as of December 31, 2005.

For the year ended December 31, 2006, Argyle incurred expenses of \$469,943 for consulting and professional fees, \$130,632 for stock compensation, \$148,516 for franchise taxes, \$82,411 for insurance expense, \$61,467 for rental expense pursuant to Argyle's lease of office space and other operating costs of \$131,521.

The consulting and professional fees of \$469,943 for the year ended December 31, 2006 relate primarily to monthly consulting fees that, cumulatively, totaled approximately \$288,000, legal fees of approximately \$52,000, auditing, tax and accounting fees of approximately \$103,000 and bankers' fees and expenses of approximately \$25,000.

On February 1, 2006, Argyle's officers and directors exercised options and purchased 18,761 shares of common stock for an aggregate cost of \$507. The compensation cost associated with these options of \$130,632 was recorded in the

first quarter of 2006 and was computed utilizing the Black-Scholes pricing model.

The franchise tax expense of \$148,516 for the year ended December 31, 2006 was due to the state of Delaware, and approximately \$145,000 of this amount was paid in the first quarter of 2007.

The insurance expense of \$82,411 for the year ended December 31, 2006 relates to the amortization of the prepaid directors and officers insurance policy which was acquired in January 2006.

The other operating costs of \$131,520 for the year ended December 31, 2006 relate primarily to travel expenses of approximately \$48,000, computer server hosting expense of approximately \$21,000, communications expenses of approximately \$12,000, stock transfer fees of \$13,000, office supplies and expenses of approximately \$6,000 and other miscellaneous costs of approximately \$31,000.



## **Results of Operations for the Period from June 22, 2005 (inception) to December 31, 2005**

Argyle had a net loss of \$7,743 for the period ended December 31, 2005 as a result of formation and operating costs. Additionally, deferred offering costs of approximately \$295,000 were incurred in 2005. These costs consisted of professional fees of approximately \$203,000, road show and travel expenses of approximately \$25,000, and regulatory and filing fees of approximately \$67,000. Argyle had no income in 2005.

## **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

On January 24, 2006, Argyle completed a private placement of 125,000 units to its executive officers and their affiliates and received net proceeds of approximately \$.9 million. On January 30, 2006, Argyle consummated its initial public offering of 3,700,046 units (which included 75,046 units sold as part of the underwriter's over-allotment option). Each unit in both the private placement and the public offering consisted of one share of common stock and one redeemable common stock purchase warrant. Each warrant entitles the holder to purchase from Argyle one share of Argyle's common stock at an exercise price of \$5.50 per share commencing on the later of the completion of a business combination or January 24, 2007 and expiring January 24, 2011. Argyle's common stock and warrants started trading separately as of March 2, 2006.

The net proceeds from the sale of Argyle's units, after deducting certain offering expenses of approximately \$2.4 million, including underwriting discounts of approximately \$1.8 million, were approximately \$28.2 million. Approximately \$27.3 million of the proceeds from the initial public offering and the private placement was placed in a trust account for Argyle's benefit. Except for reimbursement of taxes payable and \$600,000 in interest from the trust account that was released to Argyle in September 2006 for working capital, Argyle will not be able to access the amounts held in the trust until it consummates a business combination. The approximate \$29.5 million held in trust as of December 31, 2006 includes approximately \$1.4 million of underwriters' and placement agent's compensation and related interest from Argyle's private placement and initial public offering that will be paid to the underwriters and placement agent only in the event of a business combination. On March 14, 2007, the underwriters from the Company's initial public offering agreed to forfeit any and all rights or claims to a pro-rata portion of the deferred underwriting costs and associated interest with respect to any shares of common stock which are redeemed in connection with our proposed acquisition. As a result, the deferred underwriting and offering costs have been reduced by approximately \$.3 million and common stock subject to possible redemption has been increased by \$.3 million. (See Redemption Rights under Part 1 and Note 9 to the Company's financial statements.) The trust account earned interest income of approximately \$1.3 million during the year ended December 31, 2006. The amounts held outside of the trust account are available to be used by Argyle to provide for business, legal and accounting due diligence on prospective acquisitions and continuing general and administrative expenses. As of December 31, 2006, Argyle had approximately \$.7 million outside the trust account to fund its working capital requirements.

Argyle expects to use up to approximately \$20.5 million (including Argyle and ISI transaction costs) of the net proceeds of the initial public offering to acquire ISI. After paying off any expenses relating to the identification and evaluation of prospective acquisition candidates, the structuring, negotiation and consummation of the business combination and paying for the redemption of the stock of any of Argyle's stockholders who choose to exercise their redemption rights, any residual proceeds from Argyle's initial public offering will be used by the company as working capital.

Argyle anticipates that it will incur total transaction costs of approximately \$1.3 million. Such costs do not include transaction costs of approximately \$1.0 million to be incurred by ISI (related primarily to anticipated attorney, brokerage and accounting fees). Of the \$1.3 million of Argyle anticipated transaction costs, approximately \$.4 million relate to certain Giuliani Capital Advisors' advisory fees which are contingent upon the closing of the transaction. Approximately \$.5 million of the \$.9 million in non-contingent anticipated costs had been incurred and recorded as of December 31, 2006. The \$.9 million primarily relates to Loeb and Loeb legal expenses, fees for Giuliani Capital

Advisors' fairness opinion, accountants and valuation consultants' fees, road show expenses, printer fees and other miscellaneous expenses. Argyle's cash outside the trust and accrued expenses as of December 31, 2006 was approximately \$.7 million and \$.6 million, respectively. Argyle expects to incur the remaining anticipated non-contingent transaction costs of \$.4 million over the first two quarters of 2007. Additionally, recurring monthly operating expenses of approximately \$75,000 per month will continue to accrue after December 31, 2006 and, in January 2007, Argyle renewed its directors and officers' insurance policy, incurring a premium of \$88,000.

Our Board of Directors has discussed and preliminarily approved a \$300,000 bridge loan from our Co-Chief Executive Officers, directors and consultants. The terms and conditions of the bridge loan have not yet been negotiated and the documentation for the bridge loan has not yet been completed. We anticipate that this bridge financing will close in late March or April 2007.

Argyle anticipates that the costs to consummate the acquisition will greatly exceed its available cash outside of the trust, even after the proposed financing discussed above. Argyle expects these costs would ultimately be borne by the combined company from the funds held in trust if the proposed ISI acquisition is completed. If the acquisition is not completed, the costs would be subject to the potential indemnification obligations of Argyle's officers and directors to the trust account related to expenses incurred for vendors or service providers. If these obligations are not performed or are inadequate, it is possible that vendors or service providers could seek to recover these expenses from the trust account, which could ultimately deplete the trust account and reduce a stockholder's current pro rata portion of the trust account upon liquidation.

Upon going public in late January 2006, Argyle has focused its attention on the search for a target business in the security industry. The expenses related to this effort are primarily comprised of certain monthly consulting fees paid to parties identified below for the research and investigation of potential target companies, and professional fees and travel expenses associated with targets which are no longer being pursued. Argyle has recorded these expenses as either part of the disclosed consulting and professional fees, or as travel expenses which are classified as other operating costs. The costs directly associated with the ISI acquisition, excluding the recurring monthly consulting fees which are expensed, have been capitalized as transaction costs. Two of Argyle's consultants work exclusively on the research, investigation and targeting of security companies, while one of the other consultants spends approximately one-half of his time on acquisitions. Argyle believes that the amounts recorded as expenses associated with its search during 2006 were approximately \$.2 million. Additionally, transaction costs associated with the ISI acquisition of approximately \$.5 million were capitalized during 2006, and approximately \$.4 million of additional non-contingent transaction costs associated with the ISI acquisition are anticipated. In the prospectus related to Argyle's initial public offering, Argyle estimated legal, accounting and other expenses attendant to the structuring and negotiation of a business combination, and the preparation and filing of the related proxy statement of \$.15 million and due diligence of prospective target businesses of \$.225 million. The majority of the difference is related primarily to the cost of a fairness opinion from Giuliani Capital Advisors and legal fees which are accumulating at a higher rate than those estimated in the Form S-1.

Argyle's accrued expenses, as of December 31, 2006, which includes accruals for deferred acquisition costs, totaled \$624,129. The amount is primarily comprised of an accrual to the state of Delaware for franchise taxes in the amount of approximately \$145,000 and accruals for professional fees, associated with attorneys, accountants and bankers and related expenses of approximately \$440,000. The franchise tax and banker fees are not specifically disclosed in the use of proceeds section of Argyle's Registration Statement on Form S-1 related to its initial public offering, but expenses for legal and accounting are disclosed.

Assuming the completion of the ISI acquisition, Argyle will assume approximately \$6.0 million in long term debt, in addition to the \$9.0 million ISI line of credit which had an outstanding balance of approximately \$5.0 million as of December 31, 2006. Argyle anticipates that the \$6.0 million in long term debt will be due eighteen months after completion of the acquisition and that there will be no prepayment penalties. The line of credit matures in October 2008 with interest payable quarterly and is secured by certain tangible and intangible assets. The line of credit agreement contains certain financial covenants as well as restrictive and affirmative covenants. Additionally, Argyle will assume the capital lease obligation related to ISI's offices in San Antonio, Texas, which had a balance of approximately \$2.1 million as of December 31, 2006. At closing, Argyle will pay certain ISI obligations totaling approximately \$1.9 million as of December 31, 2006, relating to ISI\*MCS and notes payable to ISI shareholders. Argyle will also assume the current liabilities relating to accounts payable, accrued liabilities and billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings on incomplete contracts.

As of February 1, 2006, Argyle entered into a lease for Argyle's office space in San Antonio, Texas and began to pay a base rental of approximately \$5,500 per month. The lease was originally scheduled to terminate on January 31, 2007; however, it was amended to extend the termination date by six months. In connection with its operations, in March 2006, Argyle paid an outstanding obligation to a consultant for approximately \$53,000 and is currently paying

approximately \$24,000 per month in consulting fees for services assisting Argyle in the identification of a target business, securities compliance and administration. The consulting agreements entered into thus far may be terminated by either party for any reason upon 15 days notice.

Presently, Argyle is utilizing four consultants on a monthly basis. Alan Wachtel and Graham Wallis perform research and investigation of target companies in the security industry and assist in the performance of due diligence on potential acquisition candidates. Cindy Kittrell is the office manager and performs associated administrative functions. Mark Mellin is a financial consultant who assists Argyle in its SEC reporting responsibilities, accounting matters and also assists in the investigation and due diligence of potential acquisition candidates.

### **Off Balance Sheet Arrangements**

Argyle does not have any off-balance sheet arrangements.

### **Contractual Obligations**

We do not have any long term debt, capital lease obligations, purchase obligations or other long term liabilities. However, as discussed above, we have an operating lease commitment with the landlord of our office facilities at a monthly rental of approximately \$5,500 through July 2007.

### **Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk**

Market risk is the sensitivity of income to changes in interest rates, foreign exchanges, commodity prices, equity prices, and other market-driven rates or prices. We are not presently engaged in and, if a suitable business target is not identified by us prior to the prescribed liquidation date of the trust fund, we may not engage in, any substantive commercial business. Accordingly, we are not and, until such time as we consummate a business combination, we will not be, exposed to risks associated with foreign exchange rates, commodity prices, equity prices or other market-driven rates or prices. The net proceeds of our initial public offering held in the trust fund have been invested only in money market funds meeting certain conditions under Rule 2a-7 promulgated under the Investment Company Act of 1940. Given our limited risk in our exposure to money market funds, we do not view the interest rate risk to be significant.

### **Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data**

Financial statements are attached hereto beginning on Page F-1.

### **Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure**

None.

### **Item 9A. Controls and Procedures**

An evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2006 was made under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our co-chief executive officers (one of whom serves as our principal financial officer). Based on that evaluation, our co-chief executive officers concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective as of the end of the period covered by this report to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange commission rules and forms. During the most recently completed fiscal quarter, there has been no significant change in our internal control over financial reporting that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

### **Compliance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002**

Pursuant to Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (the Act), beginning with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2007, we will be required to furnish a report by our management on our internal control over financial reporting. This report will contain, among other matters, an assessment of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of the end of our fiscal year, including a statement as to whether or not our internal control over financial reporting is effective. This assessment must include disclosure of any material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting identified by management. If we identify one

or more material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting, we will be unable to assert that our internal control over financial reporting is effective.

Management acknowledges its responsibility for internal controls over financial reporting and seeks to continually improve those controls. In order to achieve compliance with Section 404 of the Act within the prescribed period, we have begun the system and process documentation and evaluation needed to comply with Section 404, which is both costly and challenging. We believe our process, which will be performed primarily in 2007 as our first acquisition is not yet complete, will be consistent with the objectives of Section 404 of the Act.

**Item 9B. Other Information**

None

27

---

### PART III

#### Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

Our current directors and executive officers are as follows:

| Name           | Age | Position  |
|----------------|-----|---|
| Bob Marbut     | 71  | Chairman of the Board and<br>Co-Chief Executive Officer<br>Vice Chairman of the Board and |
| Ron Chaimovski | 47  | Co-Chief Executive Officer  |
| John J. Smith  | 58  | Director  |
| Wesley Clark   | 61  | Director  |

*Bob Marbut* has been Argyle's Chairman of the Board and Co-Chief Executive Officer since Argyle's inception. From November 2004 to the present, Mr. Marbut has been the Executive Chairman of Electronics Line 3000 Ltd., an intrusion protection security company, and from July 2002 to the present he has been the Executive Chairman of SecTecGLOBAL, Inc., a sales and marketing subsidiary of Electronics Line 3000 Ltd., and was the Chief Executive Officer of SecTecGLOBAL from July 2002 to February 2006. From October 2001 to the present, Mr. Marbut has served as the Managing Director of Argyle Global Opportunities, LP, an investment partnership which owns a 41% interest in Electronics Line 3000 Ltd. From January 2001 to January 2003, Mr. Marbut served as the Chairman of Hearst-Argyle Television, Inc., a non-network owned television group and, from August 1997 to January 2001, served as its Chairman and Co-Chief Executive Officer. From January 1995 to August 1997, Mr. Marbut was the co-founder, Chairman and controlling partner of Argyle Television, Inc., which became a Nasdaq traded company and was merged with Hearst Broadcasting in August 1997 to form Hearst-Argyle Television, Inc. From 1993 to 1995, Mr. Marbut founded and was the Chief Executive Officer of Argyle Television Holding, Inc., a private television group that was sold in 1995. From August 1970 through 1990, Mr. Marbut served as the President and Chief Executive Officer of Harte-Hanks Communications, Inc., and concurrently as its Chief Operating Officer from April 1973 to September 1984, and as Vice-Chairman in 1991. During the period that Mr. Marbut was CEO, Harte-Hanks developed from a family-owned newspaper company into a Fortune 500 company listed on the New York Stock Exchange that Mr. Marbut took private in 1984 in a management buyout that he led. In addition to the Board of Directors of Electronics Line 3000 Ltd., Mr. Marbut currently serves on the boards of directors of Hearst-Argyle Television, Tupperware Corporation and Valero Energy Corporation. Mr. Marbut, through control of the general partner of Argyle Joint Venture, manages Argyle Joint Venture, one of Argyle's stockholders which was formed to make equity investments in companies. Mr. Marbut is the sole investor and manager of Argyle New Ventures, which manages Mr. Marbut's personal family investments. He has a Masters of Business Administration degree with Distinction from Harvard University and was a registered engineer in the State of California and holds a Bachelors of Industrial Engineering from Georgia Tech.

*Ron Chaimovski* has been Argyle's Vice Chairman of the Board and Co-Chief Executive Officer since Argyle's inception. Mr. Chaimovski has served as the Vice Chairman of Electronics Line 3000 Ltd. since May 2005 and as a partner in Argyle Global Opportunities, LP since January 2001. From October 1998 to August 2001 Mr. Chaimovski served as the Israeli Economic Minister to North America. From 1991 to 1998, Mr. Chaimovski was a partner in an Israeli law firm. Mr. Chaimovski was the co-founder of Transplan Enterprises Group, an investment group, and served as its Co-Chairman from 1993 to 1998. Mr. Chaimovski served in the Israeli Navy from 1977 to 1983 in various command roles, including those of combat officer and flotilla commander. Mr. Chaimovski, through entities controlled by him or his spouse, owns limited partnership interests in Argyle Joint Venture. Mr. Chaimovski is a member of the Israeli Bar. Mr. Chaimovski received an LLB from Tel Aviv University and an LLM from the University of London.



*Wesley Clark* joined Argyle's Board of Directors in September 2005. Since March 2003, he has been the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Wesley K. Clark & Associates, a business services and development firm based in Little Rock, Arkansas. In February 2006, Gen. Clark joined Rodman & Renshaw Holdings, LLC, which controls Rodman & Renshaw, LLC, one of the co-managing underwriters in the initial public offering, as Chairman of the Board and as a member of their Advisory Board. From March 2001 to February 2003 he was the Managing Director of the Stephens Group Inc., an emerging company development firm. From July 2000 to March 2001 he was a consultant for Stephens Group Inc. Prior to that time, Gen. Clark served as the Supreme Allied Commander of NATO and Commander-in-Chief for the United States European Command and as the Director of the Pentagon's Strategic Plans and Policy operation. Gen. Clark retired from the United States Army as a four-star general in July 2000 after 38 years in the military and received many decorations and honors during his military career. Gen. Clark is a graduate of the United States Military Academy and studied as a Rhodes Scholar at the Magdalen College at the University of Oxford.

*John J. Smith* has been one of Argyle's directors since Argyle's inception. He has been the Director of Security for the Bank of New York since February 2000. At the Bank of New York, Mr. Smith directs and supervises a worldwide security program that encompasses the investigation and prevention of fraud-related activities, as well as the physical protection of corporate assets, employees, customers and executives. Mr. Smith retired from the United States Secret Service in January 2000 after 24 years of service. He held a variety of positions in field offices and headquarters, culminating with his appointment as the Special Agent in Charge of the New York Field Office, the Service's largest and busiest office. During his career, Mr. Smith was assigned to the Vice Presidential Protective Division, the Presidential Protective Division and as the Special Assistant to the Treasury Secretary. He served as the security coordinator for several high profile protective venues, including: the U.S. delegation attending the Olympic Games in Barcelona, Spain, 1992; the Presidential Inaugural activities of 1993; the dedication of the Holocaust Museum, Washington, DC, 1994; and the visit of Pope John Paul II to New York, 1995. In 1996, he supervised the protective detail assigned to Presidential Candidate Robert Dole. Mr. Smith holds bachelors and masters degrees in Criminal Justice from West Chester University in West Chester, Pennsylvania.

Our board of directors is divided into three classes with only one class of directors being elected in each year and each class serving a three-year term. The term of office of the first class of directors, consisting of John J. Smith, will expire at our first annual meeting of stockholders. The term of office of the second class of directors, consisting of Wesley Clark, will expire at the second annual meeting. The term of office of the third class of directors, consisting of Bob Marbut and Ron Chaimovski, will expire at the third annual meeting.

None of these individuals has been a principal of or affiliated with a public company or blank check company that executed a business plan similar to our business plan and none of these individuals is currently affiliated with such an entity. However, we believe that the skills and experience of these individuals, their collective access to acquisition opportunities and ideas, their contacts, and their transaction expertise should enable them to successfully identify and effect a business combination although we cannot assure our stockholders that they will, in fact, be able to do so.

Argyle's Board of Directors is divided into three classes, with only one class of directors being elected in each year and each class serving a three-year term. The term of office of the first class of directors, consisting of John J. Smith, will expire at Argyle's first annual meeting of stockholders. The term of office of the second class of directors, consisting of Wesley Clark, will expire at the second annual meeting. The term of office of the third class of directors, consisting of Bob Marbut and Ron Chaimovski, will expire at the third annual meeting.

The Board of Directors has not determined whether anyone on the Board is an "audit committee financial expert," as such term is defined by SEC rules. Since the Board does not have a separately designated Audit Committee and Argyle will not have any operating activities until such time as Argyle enters into a business combination (meaning that its financial statements are relatively simple), Argyle's Board of Directors did not feel it was necessary to determine if anyone on Argyle's Board of Directors was an audit committee financial expert. Argyle's Board of Directors will make a determination if there is an audit committee financial expert on its Board of Directors after a business combination with a target business is consummated.

### **Director Independence**

Argyle's Board of Directors has not determined if any of its directors qualifies as independent, although Argyle's management believes that Gen. Clark and Mr. Smith would qualify as independent directors under the rules of the American Stock Exchange because they do not currently own a large percentage of Argyle's stock, are not currently employed by Argyle, have not been actively involved in the management of Argyle and do not fall into any of the enumerated categories of people who cannot be considered independent in the American Stock Exchange Rules. Argyle's Board of Directors will make a determination about independence after the business combination is consummated. Argyle does not have an audit committee, nominating committee or compensation committee and therefore the entire Board of Directors performs those functions for Argyle.

**Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation**

During the last fiscal year, no officer and employee of Argyle, and no former officer of Argyle, during the last completed fiscal year, participated in deliberations of Argyle's Board of Directors concerning executive officer compensation. Bob Marbut and Ron Chaimovski are each officers and directors of SecTecGlobal and Electronics Line 3000 Ltd.

## **Code of Ethics**

We currently do not have a formal code of ethics. Upon consummation of a business combination, we intend to adopt a code of ethics that applies to our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller or persons performing similar functions.

## **Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance**

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act requires our directors, executive officers and persons who own more than 10% of our common stock to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership of our common stock with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Directors, executive officers and persons who own more than 10% of our common stock are required by Securities and Exchange Commission regulations to furnish to us copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file.

Based solely on our review of such forms furnished to us and written representations from certain reporting persons, we believe that all filing requirements applicable to our executive officers, directors and greater than 10% beneficial owners were complied with during 2006.

## **Item 11. Executive Compensation**

### **Executive Compensation**

In 2006, no compensation of any kind, including finders and consulting fees, was paid to any of our officers or directors, or any of their respective affiliates, nor will any compensation of any kind be paid to such persons for services rendered prior to or in connection with a business combination. However, our officers and directors have been and will continue to be reimbursed for any out-of-pocket expenses incurred in connection with activities on our behalf, such as identifying potential target businesses and performing due diligence on suitable business combinations. There is no limit on the amount of these out-of-pocket expenses, and there will be no review of the reasonableness of the expenses by anyone other than Argyle's directors, or a court of competent jurisdiction if such reimbursement is challenged.

Since we do not currently have an operating business, our officers do not receive any compensation for their service to us; and, since we have no other employees, we do not have any compensation policies, procedures, objectives or programs in place. We will adopt appropriate compensation policies, procedures, objectives or programs after a merger with a target business is consummated and our management team has had the opportunity to fully understand the operations of the business. However, it is anticipated that, after closing, the compensation for our senior executives will be comprised of four elements: a base salary, an annual performance bonus, equity and benefits.

In developing salary ranges, potential bonus payouts, equity awards and benefit plans, it is anticipated that our Compensation Committee (when formed after a business combination) will take into account: 1) competitive compensation among comparable companies and for similar positions in the market, 2) relevant ways to incentivize and reward senior management for improving shareholder value while building Argyle into a successful company, 3) individual performance, 4) how best to retain key executives, 5) Argyle's overall performance and its various key component entities, 6) our ability to pay and 7) other factors deemed to be relevant at the time.

Argyle and ISI senior management have discussed Argyle's above mentioned planned process for executive compensation after the merger is complete and the four compensation components. Specific compensation plans for ISI's key executives, assuming the acquisition of ISI is completed, will be negotiated and established by the Compensation Committee after closing.

**Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation**

Argyle's Board of Directors does not have a compensation committee and the entire Board of Directors performs the functions of a compensation committee.

No member of the Compensation Committee has a relationship that would constitute an interlocking relationship with executive officers or directors of the Company or another entity, except that Bob Marbut and Ron Chaimovski are each officers and directors of SecTecGlobal and Electronics Line 3000 Ltd.

## Compensation Committee Report

Argyle's Board of Directors does not have a compensation committee and the entire Board of Directors performs the functions of a compensation committee.

The Board of Directors has reviewed and discussed the discussion and analysis of Argyle's compensation which appears above with management, and, based on such review and discussion, the Board of Directors determined that the above disclosure be included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The members of the Board of Directors are:

Bob Marbut  
 Ron Chaimovski  
 John J. Smith  
 Wesley Clark

## Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

The following table sets forth, as of February 28, 2007, certain information regarding beneficial ownership of our common stock by each person who is known by us to beneficially own more than 5% of our common stock. The table also identifies the stock ownership of each of our directors, each of our officers, and all directors and officers as a group. Except as otherwise indicated, the stockholders listed in the table have sole voting and investment powers with respect to the shares indicated.

Shares of common stock which an individual or group has a right to acquire within 60 days pursuant to the exercise or conversion of options, warrants or other similar convertible or derivative securities are deemed to be outstanding for the purpose of computing the percentage ownership of such individual or group, but are not deemed to be outstanding for the purpose of computing the percentage ownership of any other person shown in the table.

| <b>Name and Address of Beneficial Owner(1)</b>  | <b>Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership</b> | <b>Approximate Percentage of Outstanding Common Stock</b> |
|---|--|---|
| Bob Marbut  | 651,569(2)                                       | 13.6%   |
| Argyle Joint Venture<br>200 Concord Plaza, Suite 700<br>San Antonio, Texas 78216  | 278,910(3)                                       | 5.8%  |
| Ron Chaimovski  | 310,159  | 6.5%  |
| Wesley Clark  | 71,720   | 1.5%  |
| John J. Smith   | 47,813   | 1.0%  |
| Sapling, LLC (4)<br>Fir Tree Recovery Master Fund, L.P.<br>Fir Tree, Inc.<br>535 Fifth Avenue<br>31 <sup>st</sup> Floor | 292,976  | 6.1%  |

New York, New York 10017

|                                  |         |      |
|----------------------------------|---------|------|
| Jonathan M. Glaser(5)            | 247,751 | 5.2% |
| Daniel Albert David              |         |      |
| Roger Richter                    |         |      |
| Pacific Assets Management, LLC   |         |      |
| Pacific Capital Management, Inc. |         |      |
| JMG Triton Offshore Fund, Ltd.   |         |      |

|  |           |        |
|--|-----------|--------|
| All directors and executive officers as a group<br>(4 individuals) | 1,081,261 | 22.61% |
|--|-----------|--------|

31

---

(1) The business address of each of the individuals and entities is 200 Concord Plaza, Suite 700, San Antonio, Texas 78216.

(2) Includes 372,659 shares of common stock held by Argyle New Ventures, LP which is controlled by Mr. Marbut.

(3) Mr. Marbut has voting and dispositive power over the shares held by Argyle Joint Venture.

(4) The information relating to Sapling, Fir Tree Master Recovery Fund and Fir Tree, Inc. is derived from a Schedule 13G dated December 31, 2006 filed by such entities with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Sapling may direct the voting and disposition of 200,241 shares of Argyle's common stock, Fir Tree Master Recovery Fund may direct the voting and disposition of 92,735 shares of Argyle's common stock and Fir Tree, Inc. as their investment manager has voting and dispositive power over all of such securities. The sole member of both Sapling and Fir Tree Master Recovery Fund is Fir Tree Value Master Fund, LP and their investment manager is Fir Tree, Inc. Jeffrey Tannenbaum is the President of Sapling, LLC and Fir Tree, Inc.

(5) The information relating to the indicated stockholders is derived from a Schedule 13G, dated December 8, 2006, filed by such persons with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The principal business address of Jonathan M. Glaser is 11601 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 2180, Los Angeles, CA 90025. The principal business address of Daniel Albert David, Pacific Assets Management, LLC and Pacific Capital Management, Inc. is 100 Drakes Landing, Suite 207, Greenbrae, CA 94904. The principal business address of Roger Richter is One Sansome Street, 39th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94104. The principal business address of JMG Triton Offshore Fund, Ltd. is Citco Building, Wickhams Cay, P.O. Box 662, Road Town, Tortola, BVI. Each person has shared voting and dispositive power with respect to each share of Argyle's common stock owned. Pacific Assets Management, LLC is the investment adviser to JMG Triton Offshore Fund, Ltd. and Pacific Capital Management, Inc. is a member of Pacific Assets Management, LLC. Mr. Glaser, Mr. David and Mr. Richter are control persons of Pacific Capital Management, Inc. and Pacific Assets Management, LLC.

Argyle had no options outstanding as of fiscal year end.

### Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

#### Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

On June 23, 2005, we issued an aggregate of 937,500 shares of our common stock to the individuals and entities set forth below for \$25,000 in cash, at a purchase price of \$0.027 per share, as follows:

| Name                 | Number of Shares | Relationship to Us   |
|----------------------|------------------|--|
| Argyle Joint Venture | 296,875          | The general partner is an entity controlled by Bob Marbut, our Co-Chief Executive Officer, and Mr. Chaimovski, our other Co-Chief Executive Officer, owns interests in certain of its limited partners |
| Bob Marbut           | 296,875          | These shares are owned by Argyle New Ventures, L.P., whose general partner is owned by Mr. Marbut, our Chairman and Co-Chief Executive Officer   |





On June 23 and July 6, 2005, Mr. Chaimovski and Argyle New Ventures, L.P., an entity controlled by Mr. Marbut, advanced a total of \$125,000 to us to cover expenses related to our initial public offering. Such loans were payable with 4% annual interest on the earlier of June 30, 2006 or the consummation of our initial public offering. In November 2005, these stockholders loaned us an additional \$30,000 pursuant to 4% promissory notes due the earlier of November 15, 2006 or the consummation of the initial public offering. These loans were paid off subsequent to the closing of our initial public offering.

Argyle New Ventures, LP, an entity controlled by Bob Marbut, and Ron Chaimovski purchased 125,000 units, consisting of 125,000 shares of our common stock and warrants to purchase 125,000 shares of our common stock, from us on January 24, 2006 at a purchase price of \$8.00 per unit in a private placement. We granted them demand and “piggy-back” registration rights with respect to the 125,000 shares, the 125,000 warrants and the 125,000 shares underlying the warrants at any time commencing on the date we announce that we have entered into a letter of intent with respect to a proposed business combination. The demand registration may be exercised by the holders of a majority of such units. We will bear the expenses incurred in connection with the filing of any such registration statements.

We will reimburse our officers and directors for any reasonable out-of-pocket business expenses incurred by them in connection with certain activities on our behalf such as identifying and investigating possible target businesses and business combinations. There is no limit on the amount of accountable out-of-pocket expenses reimbursable by us, which will be reviewed only by our board of directors or a court of competent jurisdiction if such reimbursement is challenged.

Our Board of Directors does not have any policies or procedures that it follows in connection to transactions it undertakes with related parties. The determination of any policies or procedures will be made after we consummate a business combination. Other than the repayment of expenses, Argyle has had no transactions with related parties since its initial public offering.

### **Director Independence**

Argyle’s Board of Directors has not determined if any of its directors qualifies as independent, although Argyle’s management believes that Gen. Clark and Mr. Smith would qualify as independent directors under the rules of the American Stock Exchange, because they do not currently own a large percentage of Argyle’s stock, are not currently employed by Argyle, have not been actively involved in the management of Argyle and do not fall into any of the enumerated categories of people who cannot be considered independent in the American Stock Exchange Rules. Argyle’s Board of Directors will make a determination about independence after the business combination is consummated. Argyle does not have an audit committee, nominating committee or compensation committee and therefore the entire Board of Directors performs those functions for Argyle.

### **Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services**

Ernst & Young LLP audited our financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2006. Goldstein Golub Kessler LLP acted as our principal accountant from our inception through April 18, 2006. Through September 30, 2005, Goldstein Golub Kessler LLP had a continuing relationship with American Express Tax and Business Services Inc. (TBS), from which it leased auditing staff who were full time, permanent employees of TBS and through which its partners provide non-audit services. Subsequent to September 30, 2005, this relationship ceased and Goldstein Golub Kessler LLP established a similar relationship with RSM McGladrey, Inc. Goldstein Golub Kessler LLP has no full time employees and therefore, none of the audit services performed were provided by permanent full-time employees of Goldstein Golub Kessler LLP. Goldstein Golub Kessler LLP manages and supervises the audit and audit staff, and is exclusively responsible for the opinion rendered in connection with its examination.

**Audit Fees**

Fees for audit services provided by Ernst & Young LLP totaled \$132,878 in 2006, including fees associated with the audit of the annual financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006, the reviews of the Company's quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, and for services performed in connection with the Company's Form S-4 filing in December 2006.

Fees for audit services provided by Goldstein Golub Kessler LLP totaled \$47,460 in 2005, including fees associated with the audit of the annual financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005, the audit of the Company's balance sheet at January 30, 2006 included in the Current Report on Form 8-K, and for services performed in connection with the Company's registration statement on Form S-1 initially filed in 2005. In addition, fees of \$6,000 were billed in 2006 related to the audit for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005.

### **Audit-Related Fees**

Fees for audit-related services provided by Ernst & Young LLP totaled \$28,500 in 2006. Audit-related services principally include due diligence in connection with acquisitions.

Other than the fees described under the caption "Audit Fees" above, Goldstein Golub Kessler LLP did not bill any fees for services rendered to us during fiscal year 2005 for assurance and related services in connection with the audit or review of our financial statements.

### **Tax Fees**

Fees for tax services provided by Ernst & Young LLP, including tax compliance, tax advice, and tax planning, totaled \$11,015 in 2006.

There were no fees billed by Goldstein Golub Kessler LLP for tax services in 2005, however, RSM McGladrey, Inc. did charge us \$3,264 for tax compliance services in 2006.

### **All Other Fees**

There were no fees billed by Ernst & Young LLP or Goldstein Golub Kessler LLP for other professional services rendered during the fiscal years ended December 31, 2006 or 2005.

### **Pre-Approval of Services**

We do not have an audit committee. As a result, our board of directors performs the duties of an audit committee. Our board of directors evaluates and approves in advance the scope and cost of the engagement of an auditor before the auditor renders the audit and non-audit services. We do not rely on pre-approval policies and procedures.

## **PART IV**

### **Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules**

#### (a) (1) Consolidated Financial Statements

Consolidated Balance Sheets  
Consolidated Statement of Operations  
Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity  
Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows

#### (2) Schedules

None.

#### (b) Exhibits

The following Exhibits are filed as part of this report

#### **Exhibit**

| <b>No.</b> | <b>Description</b>   |
|------------|--|
| 3.1        | Second Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation (1) |

- 3.2 By-laws(1)
- 4.1 Specimen Unit Certificate(1)
- 4.2 Specimen Common Stock Certificate (1)
- 4.3 Specimen Warrant Certificate(1)
- 4.4 Form of Warrant Agreement between American Stock Transfer & Trust Company and the Registrant(1)
- 4.5 Form of Unit Purchase Option to be granted to Rodman & Renshaw, LLC(1)

34

---

- 10.1 Form of Letter Agreement among the Registrant, Rodman & Renshaw, LLC and Argyle Joint Venture (1)
- 10.2 Form of Letter Agreement among the Registrant, Rodman & Renshaw, LLC and Argyle New Ventures L.P. (1)
- 10.3 Form of Letter Agreement among the Registrant, Rodman & Renshaw, LLC and John J. Smith(1)
- 10.4 Form of Letter Agreement among the Registrant, Rodman & Renshaw, LLC and Ron Chaimovski(1)
- 10.5 Form of Letter Agreement among the Registrant, Rodman & Renshaw, LLC and Bob Marbut(1)
- 10.6 Form of Letter Agreement among the Registrant, Rodman & Renshaw, LLC and Wesley Clark(1)
- 10.7 Form of Investment Management Trust Agreement between American Stock Transfer & Trust Company and the Registrant(1)
- 10.8 Form of Stock Escrow Agreement between the Registrant, American Stock Transfer & Trust Company and the pre-offering stockholders (1)
- 10.9 Form of Registration Rights Agreement among the Registrant and the pre-offering stockholders(1)
- 10.10 Form of Voting Agreement by John J. Smith and Wesley Clark(1)
- 10.11 Letter Agreement between Argyle Security Acquisition Corporation, Rodman & Renshaw, LLC and I-Bankers Securities, Inc. dated March 14, 2007. (2)
- 10.12 Warrant Clarification Agreement between the Company and American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, dated August 10, 2006 (3)
- 10.13 Unit Purchase Option Clarification Agreement between the Company and I-Bankers, Inc., dated August 10, 2006 (3)
- 10.14 Unit Purchase Option Clarification Agreement between the Company and Rodman & Renshaw, LLC, dated August 10, 2006 (3)
- 10.15 Letter Agreement between Argyle Security Acquisition Corporation, Rodman & Renshaw, LLC and I-Bankers Securities, Inc. (4)
- 10.16 Merger Agreement by and among Argyle Security Acquisition Corporation, ISI Security Group, Inc. and ISI Detention Contracting, Inc. (5)
- 10.17 Lease between the Company and Frost National Bank, Trustee For A Designated Trust (6)

- 21.1 List of Subsidiaries
- 31.1 Certification of the Co-Chief Executive Officer (Principal Financial Officer) pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act, as amended
- 31.2 Certification of the Co-Chief Executive Officer and (Principal Executive Officer) pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act, as amended
- 32.1 Certification of the Co-Chief Executive Officers pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- (1) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-124601).
- (2) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 14, 2007.
- (3) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2006.
- (4) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 14, 2007.
- (5) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 8, 2006.
- (6) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 20, 2006.

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant had duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

**ARGYLE SECURITY ACQUISITION CORPORATION**

March 19, 2007

By: /s/ Bob Marbut

\_\_\_\_\_  
Bob Marbut, Co-Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

March 19, 2007

By: /s/ Bob Marbut

\_\_\_\_\_  
Bob Marbut, Chairman of the Board and Co-Chief Executive Officer (Principal accounting and financial officer)

March 19, 2007

By: /s/ Ron Chaimovski

\_\_\_\_\_  
Ron Chaimovski, Co-Chairman of the Board and Co-Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)

March 19, 2007

By: /s/ Wesley Clark

\_\_\_\_\_  
Wesley Clark, Director

March 19, 2007

By: /s/ John J. Smith

\_\_\_\_\_  
John J. Smith, Director



Index to Consolidated Financial Statements

|  | Page  |
|--|-------|
| <b>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</b> | F-2   |
| <b>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</b> | F-3   |
| <b>Financial Statements</b>                                    |       |
| Consolidated Balance Sheet                                     | F-4   |
| Consolidated Statements of Operations                          | F-5   |
| Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity                | F-6   |
| Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows                          | F-7   |
|  | F-8 - |
| Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements                     | F-12  |

F-1

---

**Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm**

The Board of Directors and Stockholders  
Argyle Security Acquisition Corporation

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of Argyle Security Acquisition Corporation (the Company) (a development stage company) as of December 31, 2006, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for the year then ended, and for the period June 22, 2005 (inception) through December 31, 2006. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. The financial statements as of December 31, 2005, and for the period June 22, 2005 (inception) through December 31, 2005, were audited by other auditors whose report dated February 3, 2006, expressed an unqualified opinion on those statements. The financial statements for the period June 22, 2005 (inception) through December 31, 2005 include no revenues and net loss of \$7,743. Our opinion on the statements of operations, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for the period June 22, 2005 (inception) through December 31, 2006, insofar as it relates to amounts for prior periods through December 31, 2005, is based solely on the report of other auditors.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. We were not engaged to perform an audit of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit and the report of other auditors provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, based on our audit and the report of other auditors, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Argyle Security Acquisition Corporation at December 31, 2006, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the year then ended and the period from June 22, 2005 (inception) through December 31, 2006, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that Argyle Security Acquisition Corporation will continue as a going concern. As more fully described in Note 1, the Company has a working capital deficiency (excluding funds held in trust) and must consummate an acquisition by July 30, 2007 (or by January 30, 2008 if certain extension criteria have been satisfied) or be dissolved. These conditions raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. (Management's plans in regard to these matters also are described in Note 1.) The 2006 financial statements do not include any adjustments to reflect the possible future effects on the recoverability and classification of assets or the amounts and classification of liabilities that may result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

San Antonio, Texas  
March 15, 2007

**REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders  
Argyle Security Acquisition Corporation

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets of Argyle Security Acquisition Corporation (a corporation in the development stage) as of December 31, 2005, and the related statements of operations, stockholders' equity and cash flows for the period from June 22, 2005 (inception) to December 31, 2005. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Argyle Security Acquisition Corporation as of December 31, 2005, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the period from June 22, 2005 (inception) to December 31, 2005 in conformity with United States generally accepted accounting principles.

/s/ Goldstein Golub Kessler LLP

**GOLDSTEIN GOLUB KESSLER LLP**

New York, New York

February 3, 2006

F-3

---

**Argyle Security Acquisition Corporation**  
(a development stage company)

**Consolidated Balance Sheet**

|   | December 31,         |                   |
|---|----------------------|-------------------|
|   | 2006                 | 2005              |
| <b>ASSETS</b>   |                      |                   |
| <b>Current assets:</b>  |                      |                   |
| Cash  | \$ 694,115           | \$ 9,608          |
| Cash and cash equivalents, held in trust  | 29,453,449           | -                 |
| Prepaid expenses  | 7,333                | -                 |
| <b>Total current assets</b>   | <b>30,154,897</b>    | <b>9,608</b>      |
| Deferred income taxes   | 27,932               | -                 |
| Property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$1,619  | 4,901                | -                 |
| Deferred offering costs   | -                    | 294,745           |
| Deferred transaction costs  | 493,583              | -                 |
| <b>Total assets</b>   | <b>\$ 30,681,313</b> | <b>\$ 304,353</b> |
| <b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>   |                      |                   |
| <b>Current liabilities:</b>   |                      |                   |
| Accrued expenses  | \$ 624,129           | \$ 132,096        |
| Notes payable - stockholders  | -                    | 155,000           |
| Deferred underwriting costs   | 1,162,183            | -                 |
| Accrued income taxes  | 118,855              | -                 |
| <b>Total current liabilities</b>  | <b>1,905,167</b>     | <b>287,096</b>    |
| Common stock, subject to possible redemption - 764,627 shares at \$7.50 per share   | 5,738,206            | -                 |
| Deferred interest attributable to common stock subject to possible redemption (net of taxes of \$90,536)  | 175,747              | -                 |
| <b>Stockholders' Equity:</b>  |                      |                   |
| Preferred stock — \$.0001 par value; 1,000,000 shares authorized; 0 shares issued and outstanding   | -                    | -                 |
| Common stock—\$.0001 par value; 89,000,000 shares authorized; issued and outstanding: 4,781,307 at December 31, 2006 (including 764,627 shares of common stock subject to possible redemption) and 937,500 at December 31, 2005 | 478                  | 94                |
| Additional paid-in capital  | 22,696,946           | 24,906            |
| Retained earnings/(deficit accumulated) during the development stage  | 164,769              | (7,743)           |
| <b>Total stockholders' equity</b>   | <b>22,862,193</b>    | <b>17,257</b>     |
| <b>Total liabilities and stockholders' equity</b>   | <b>\$ 30,681,313</b> | <b>\$ 304,353</b> |

See notes to financial statements

**Argyle Security Acquisition Corporation**  
(a development stage company)

**Consolidated Statements of Operations**

|   | Year Ended<br>December 31,<br>2006 | Inception (June<br>22, 2005) through<br>December 31,<br>2005 | Inception (June<br>22, 2005)<br>through<br>December 31,<br>2006 |
|---|------------------------------------|--|---|
| <b>Operating expenses</b>   | \$ 1,024,490                       | \$ 7,743   | \$ 1,032,233  |
| <b>Other income and expense</b>   |                                    |  |   |
| Bank interest income  | 20,242                             | -  | 20,242  |
| Interest on cash and cash equivalents held in trust   | 1,332,087                          | -  | 1,332,087   |
| Interest expense  | (64,404)                           | -  | (64,404)  |
| Total other income and expense  | 1,287,925                          | -  | 1,287,925   |
| Income/(Loss) before provision for income taxes   | 263,435                            | (7,743)  | 255,692   |
| Provision for income taxes  | 90,923                             | -  | 90,923  |
| <b>Net income/(loss)</b>  | 172,512                            | (7,743)  | 164,769   |
| Deferred interest attributable to common stock subject to possible redemption (net of taxes)                              | 175,747                            | -  | 175,747   |
| <b>Net (loss) allocable to holders of non-redeemable common stock</b>   | \$ (3,235)                         | \$ (7,743)   | \$ (10,978)   |
| <b>Net income/(loss) per share - - basic and diluted</b>  | \$ 0.04                            | \$ (0.01)  | \$ 0.05   |
| Weighted average number of shares outstanding - - basic and diluted   | 4,477,861                          | 937,500  | 3,253,327   |
| <b>Net (loss) per share exclusive of shares and related interest subject to possible redemption - - basic and diluted</b> | \$ (0.00)                          | \$ (0.01)  | \$ (0.00)   |
| Weighted average number of shares outstanding exclusive of shares subject to possible redemption -basic and diluted       | 3,773,985                          | 937,500  | 2,792,907   |

See notes to financial statements

Argyle Security Acquisition Corporation  
(a development stage company)

**Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity**

|  | Common Stock<br>Shares | Common Stock<br>Amount | Paid-in<br>Capital<br>in Excess<br>of Par | Retained<br>Earnings/<br>(Deficit<br>Accumulated)<br>During the<br>Development<br>Stage | Total<br>Stockholders'<br>Equity |
|--|------------------------|------------------------|---|---|----------------------------------|
| Stock issuance on June 23, 2005 at<br>\$.027   | 937,500                | \$ 94                  | \$ 24,906                                 | \$  | \$ 25,000                        |
| Net loss   |                        |                        |   | \$ (7,743)  | (7,743)                          |
| <b>Balances</b> , at December 31, 2005   | 937,500                | \$ 94                  | \$ 24,906                                 | \$ (7,743)  | \$ 17,257                        |
| Stock issuance on January 24, 2006<br>at \$8   | 125,000                | 12                     | 999,988                                   | -   | 1,000,000                        |
| Stock issuance on January 30, 2006<br>at \$8   | 3,625,000              | 362                    | 28,999,638                                | -   | 29,000,000                       |
| Stock issuance on January 30, 2006<br>at \$8   | 75,046                 | 8                      | 600,360                                   | -   | 600,368                          |
| Proceeds from issuance of option to<br>underwriters  | -                      | -                      | 100                                       | -   | 100                              |
| Expenses of offerings  | -                      | -                      | (2,145,230)                               | -   | (2,145,230)                      |
| Less: Proceeds subject to possible<br>redemption of 764,627 shares and<br>associated deferred interest | -                      | -                      | (5,913,953)                               | -   | (5,913,953)                      |
| Stock based compensation   | -                      | -                      | 130,632                                   | -   | 130,632                          |
| Officer and director option exercise   | 18,761                 | 2                      | 505                                       | -   | 507                              |
| Net income   | -                      | -                      | -   | 172,512   | 172,512                          |
| <b>Balances</b> at December 31, 2006   | 4,781,307              | \$ 478                 | \$ 22,696,946                             | \$ 164,769  | \$ 22,862,193                    |

See notes to financial statements

**Argyle Security Acquisition Corporation**  
(a development stage company)

**Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows**

|   | Year ended<br>December 31,<br>2006 | Inception<br>through<br>December 31,<br>2005 | Inception<br>through<br>December 31,<br>2006 |
|---|------------------------------------|--|--|
| <b>Cash flows from operating activities</b>   |                                    |  |  |
| Net income/(loss)   | \$ 172,512                         | \$ (7,743)                                   | \$ 164,769                                   |
| <b>Adjustment to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities:</b> |                                    |  |  |
| Stock based compensation  | 130,632                            | -  | 130,632                                      |
| Depreciation expense  | 1,619                              | -  | 1,619  |
| Increase in prepaid expenses  | (7,333)                            | -  | (7,333)                                      |
| Increase in accrued expenses  | 177,910                            | 4,096  | 182,006                                      |
| Interest earned on cash and cash equivalents, held in trust                           | (1,332,087)                        | -  | (1,332,087)                                  |
| Accrued interest on deferred underwriting costs                                       | 63,938                             | -  | 63,938                                       |
| Increase in deferred income tax asset   | (27,932)                           | -  | (27,932)                                     |
| Increase in accrued income taxes  | 118,855                            | -  | 118,855                                      |
| Interest income released from the trust   | 600,000                            | -  | 600,000                                      |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities                                   | (101,886)                          | (3,647)                                      | (105,533)                                    |
| <b>Cash flows from investing activities:</b>  |                                    |  |  |
| Purchases of investments held in trust  | (318,720,208)                      | -  | (318,720,208)                                |
| Maturity of investments held in trust   | 289,998,845                        | -  | 289,998,845                                  |
| Purchase of property and equipment  | (6,520)                            | -  | (6,520)                                      |
| Transaction costs   | (58,343)                           | -  | (58,343)                                     |
| Net cash used in investing activities   | (28,786,226)                       | -  | (28,786,226)                                 |
| <b>Cash flows from financing activities</b>   |                                    |  |  |
| Gross proceeds from public offering and private placement                             | 30,600,368                         | -  | 30,600,368                                   |
| Offering costs  | (873,356)                          | (166,745)                                    | (1,040,101)                                  |
| Proceeds from issuance and exercises of options                                       | 607                                | -  | 607  |
| Proceeds from notes payable, stockholders   | -                                  | 155,000                                      | 155,000                                      |
| Repayment of notes payable, stockholders  | (155,000)                          | -  | (155,000)                                    |
| Proceeds from sale of common stock to founding stockholders                           | -                                  | 25,000                                       | 25,000                                       |
| Net cash provided by financing activities   | 29,572,619                         | 13,255                                       | 29,585,874                                   |
| <b>Net increase in cash</b>   | <b>684,507</b>                     | <b>9,608</b>                                 | <b>694,115</b>                               |
| <b>Cash, beginning of period</b>  | <b>9,608</b>                       | <b>-</b>                                     | <b>-</b>                                     |
| <b>Cash, end of period</b>  | <b>\$ 694,115</b>                  | <b>\$ 9,608</b>                              | <b>\$ 694,115</b>                            |
| <b>Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information</b>                               |                                    |  |  |
| Cash paid for interest  | \$ 3,177                           | \$ -   | \$ 3,177                                     |

Edgar Filing: Argyle Security Acquisition CORP - Form 10-K

Supplemental schedule of non-cash financing activities:

|  |    |           |    |         |    |           |
|--|----|-----------|----|---------|----|-----------|
| Accrual of deferred underwriting costs | \$ | 1,098,245 | \$ | -       | \$ | 1,098,245 |
| Accrual of costs of public offering    | \$ | 6,885     | \$ | 128,000 | \$ | 6,885     |

Supplemental schedule of non-cash investing activities:

|                                       |    |         |    |   |    |         |
|---------------------------------------|----|---------|----|---|----|---------|
| Accrual of deferred transaction costs | \$ | 435,240 | \$ | - | \$ | 435,240 |
|---------------------------------------|----|---------|----|---|----|---------|

See notes to financial statements

F-7

---



**Argyle Security Acquisition Corporation**  
(a development stage company)

**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**  
**December 31, 2006**

**Note 1 - Background, formation and summary of significant accounting policies**

The Company was incorporated in Delaware on June 22, 2005 as a blank check company formed to acquire, through merger, capital stock exchange, asset acquisition or other similar business combination, a business in the security industry.

The Company completed a private placement (the "Private Placement") on January 24, 2006 and received net proceeds of approximately \$900,000. Also on January 24, 2006, the registration statement for the Company's initial public offering (the "Public Offering") was declared effective. The Company consummated the Public Offering on January 30, 2006 and received net proceeds of approximately \$27.3 million. The Company's management has broad discretion with respect to the specific application of the net proceeds of the Private Placement and the Public Offering (collectively the "Offerings"), although substantially all of the net proceeds of the Offerings are intended to be generally applied toward consummating a business combination with a target company. As used herein, a "target business" shall include an operating business in the security industry and a "business combination" shall mean the acquisition by the Company of a target business.

Of the proceeds from the Offerings, approximately \$28.7 million was deposited into a trust account ("Trust Account") until the earlier of (i) the consummation of the first business combination or (ii) the distribution of the Trust Account as described below. The amount in the Trust Account as of December 31, 2006 included approximately \$1.4 million total of contingent underwriting compensation, contingent private placement fees and associated interest which will be paid to the underwriters if a business combination is consummated. (See Note 9 for a subsequent event which impacts the underwriters costs.) The remaining proceeds may be used to pay for business, legal and accounting due diligence on prospective acquisitions and continuing general and administrative expenses.

On December 8, 2006, Argyle, Argyle's wholly-owned subsidiary ISI Security Group, Inc. (referred to in this document as the Merger Subsidiary) and ISI entered into a merger agreement pursuant to which the Merger Subsidiary will merge into ISI, and ISI will become a wholly-owned subsidiary of Argyle. Pursuant to the merger agreement, Argyle will pay ISI's security holders an aggregate of \$16,300,000 and 1,180,000 shares of Argyle's common stock (valued at \$8,708,400, based on the closing price of the common stock on February 28, 2007). In the event that ISI's earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (EBITDA) for the year ended December 31, 2006 are greater than \$4,500,000 and its backlog of orders at February 28, 2007 is greater than \$80,000,000 (including inter-company amounts), Argyle will pay the stockholders of ISI an additional \$1,900,000. The calculations of EBITDA and the February 28, 2007 backlog will be initially calculated by ISI and such calculations will be presented to Argyle. Argyle will verify the calculations and, if they are not accurate, object to the calculations. Pursuant to the merger agreement, if there is a dispute over the calculation of (i) EBITDA that cannot be resolved between the parties, the parties will engage a third party accountant to resolve the dispute, and (ii) the February 28, 2007 backlog, then ISI and Argyle must negotiate a resolution to the dispute among themselves. The calculation of ISI's EBITDA is subject to an adjustment of \$900,000 relating to certain events that Argyle and ISI agreed should not reduce the EBITDA calculation. Argyle anticipates that the calculation of February 28, 2007 backlog will be finalized in late March or early April 2007. In addition, Argyle will assume approximately \$6,000,000 of long term debt (not including capitalized leases) and up to \$9,000,000 pursuant to a line of credit (of which approximately \$5,000,000 was outstanding as of December 31, 2006).

The Company will proceed with the combination only if a majority of the shares of common stock voted by the public stockholders are voted in favor of the business combination and public stockholders owning less than 20% of the aggregate shares sold in this offering and the private placement exercise their redemption rights. The officers and directors of the Company (“Initial Stockholders”), have agreed to vote their 956,261 founding shares of common stock in accordance with the vote of the majority in interest of all other stockholders of the Company with respect to any business combination and to vote the 125,000 shares of common stock included in the units they purchased in the Private Placement and any shares they acquire in the aftermarket in favor of the business combination. After consummation of the Company’s first business combination, these voting agreements will no longer be applicable.

F-8

---

With respect to the first business combination which is approved and consummated, any holder of shares sold in the Public Offering, other than the Initial Stockholders and their nominees (the "Public Stockholders") who voted against the business combination may demand that the Company redeem his or her shares. The per share redemption price will equal \$7.50 per share (see Note 9) plus interest earned thereon in the Trust Account, net of taxes payable and \$600,000 of interest income which was released from the Trust Account in September, 2006 to fund our working capital. Public Stockholders holding up to 19.99% of the aggregate number of shares sold in this offering and the private placement may seek redemption of their shares in the event of a business combination. Accordingly, amounts classified as common stock subject to possible redemption of approximately \$5.7 million, and the associated deferred interest of approximately \$176,000 have been reflected in the accompanying balance sheet.

The Company's Certificate of Incorporation provides for liquidation of the Company in the event that the Company does not consummate a business combination within 18 months from the date of consummation of the Public Offering (July 30, 2007), or 24 months from the consummation of the Public Offering (January 30, 2008) if certain extension criteria have been satisfied. The Initial Stockholders have waived their right to liquidation distributions with respect to the shares of common stock owned by them prior to the Public Offering. Accordingly, in the event of such a liquidation, the amount in the Trust Account will be distributed to the holders of the shares sold in the Public Offering.

There is no assurance that the Company will be able to successfully complete a business combination within the time frame discussed above. That factor and the Company's declining cash available outside of the Trust Account raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. To address some of the Company's liquidity needs, the Company's Board of Directors has discussed and preliminarily approved a \$300,000 bridge loan from our Co-Chief Executive Officers, directors and consultants. The terms and conditions of the bridge loan have not yet been negotiated and the documentation for the bridge loan has not yet been completed. The Company anticipates that the bridge financing will close in late March or April 2007. Even after considering such proposed financing, the Company anticipates that the costs to consummate the acquisition will greatly exceed its available cash outside of the Trust Account. The Company expects these costs would ultimately be borne by the combined company from the funds held in the Trust Account if the proposed acquisition is completed. If it is not completed, the costs would be subject to the potential indemnification obligations of Argyle's officers and directors of the Trust Account related to expenses incurred for vendors or service providers. If these obligations are not performed or are inadequate, it is possible that vendors or service providers could seek to recover these expenses from the Trust Account, which could ultimately deplete the Trust Account and reduce a stockholder's current pro rata portion of the Trust Account upon liquidation.

#### *Cash and cash equivalents*

The Company considers all highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

#### *Income taxes*

Deferred income taxes are recorded based on enacted statutory rates to reflect the tax consequences in future years of the differences between the tax bases of assets and liabilities and their financial reporting amounts. Deferred tax assets which will generate future tax benefits are recognized to the extent that realization of such benefits through future taxable earnings or alternative tax strategies in the foreseeable short term future is more likely than not.

#### *Recently issued accounting pronouncements*

In December 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), "Share based payment" ("SFAS 123(R)"). SFAS 123(R) requires all share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, to be recognized in the financial statements based on their fair values. The Company adopted

SFAS 123(R) on January 1, 2006.

In June, 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes" (FIN 48), an interpretation of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes" (FAS 109).

FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes by prescribing a recognition threshold for tax positions taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. We are currently evaluating the impact FIN 48 will have on our financial position or results of operations.

*Transaction costs*

The Company has capitalized approximately \$.5 million related to the ISI acquisition. These costs are primarily composed of attorney and accountants' fees and bankers' fees regarding the fairness opinion.

*Use of estimates*

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of income and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

*Basis of presentation*

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and our wholly-owned subsidiary, ISI Security Group, Inc. All significant intercompany transactions are eliminated in the consolidation process.

## **Note 2 - Offerings**

### *Public Offering*

On January 30, 2006, the Company sold 3,700,046 units (which includes 75,046 units sold by the underwriters pursuant to a partial exercise of their over-allotment option) to the public at a price of \$8.00 per unit. Each unit consists of one share of the Company's common stock, \$0.0001 par value, and one redeemable common stock purchase warrant ("warrant"). Each warrant entitles the holder to purchase from the Company one share of common stock at an exercise price of \$5.50 commencing the later of the completion of a business combination with a target business or January 24, 2007 and expiring January 24, 2011. The warrants are redeemable by the Company at a price of \$.01 per warrant upon 30 days notice after the warrants become exercisable, only in the event that the last sale price of the common stock is at least \$11.50 per share for any 20 trading days within a 30 trading day period ending three business days before a notice of redemption is delivered.

### *Private Placement*

On January 24, 2006, the Company sold to its officers an aggregate of 125,000 units identical to the units sold in the Public Offering at a price of \$8.00 per unit.

## **Note 3 - Notes Payable to Stockholders**

In 2005, the Company issued unsecured promissory notes to the officers of the Company totaling \$155,000. The Notes had an interest rate of 4% per annum and were paid in full with proceeds from the Public Offering, including aggregate interest of \$3,177.

## **Note 4 - Stockholders' Equity and Stock-Based Compensation**

On July 13, 2005 the Company granted to its officers, directors and their respective affiliates certain options, which were exercisable only in the event the underwriters exercised the over allotment option, to purchase that number of shares enabling them to maintain their 20% ownership interest in the company (without taking into account the units they purchased in the private placement). The measurement date was deemed to be January 30, 2006, the date the over allotment was exercised because the number of options to be issued was not known until that date.

On January 30, 2006 the underwriters exercised the over allotment option in the amount of 75,046 units. On February 1, 2006 the officers and directors exercised their options and purchased 18,761 units for an aggregate cost of \$507. The compensation cost, recorded in operating expenses, resulting from these share-based payments was \$130,632 at January 30, 2006 using the Black-Scholes pricing model. This model was developed for use in estimating the fair value of traded options that have no vesting restrictions and are fully transferable. The fair value of the options was estimated at the measurement date using the following assumptions:

Weighted average volatility factor of 0.10;

No expected dividend payments;

Weighted average risk-free interest rate of 5%;

A weighted average expected life of 0.13 years.

The fair value of each option was \$6.99 per share. The exercise price of each option was \$0.027 per share. All options vested immediately at the measurement date and no further options may be exercised. Compensation expense was

recognized immediately and recorded as an operating expense.

As of December 31, 2006, no officer or director of the Company holds options to purchase the Company's securities.

The Company's officers and their respective affiliates purchased an aggregate of 125,000 units in the Private Placement, but have waived their right to liquidation distributions with respect to the shares of common stock included in such units. Accordingly, in the event of such a liquidation, the amount in the Trust Account will be distributed to the holders of the shares sold in the Public Offering.

The Company sold to the underwriters for \$100, options to purchase up to an aggregate of 187,500 units. The units issuable upon exercise of these options are identical to those sold on January 24, 2006. These options will be exercisable at \$8.80 per unit commencing on the later of the consummation of a business combination or one year from January 24, 2006, and expiring January 24, 2011. The options to purchase the 187,500 units and the Securities underlying such units have been deemed compensation by the National Association of Securities Dealers ("NASD") and are therefore subject to a 180-day lock-up pursuant to Rule 2710(g) (1) of the NASD Conduct Rules. Additionally, these options may not be sold, transferred, assigned, pledged or hypothecated for a one-year period (including the foregoing 180-day period) following January 24, 2006. However, these options may be transferred to any underwriter and selected dealer participating in the offering and their bona fide officers or partners.

F-10

---

The Company accounted for these purchase options as a cost of raising capital and included the instrument as equity in its financial statements. Accordingly, there is no net impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations, except for the recording of the \$100 proceeds from the sale. The Company has estimated, based upon a Black Scholes model, that the fair value of the purchase options on the date of sale was approximately \$3.40 per unit, (a total value of approximately \$637,500) using an expected life of five years, volatility of 44%, and a risk-free rate of 5%. However, because the Company's units do not have a trading history, the volatility assumption was based on information currently available to management. The volatility estimate was derived using historical data of public companies in the proposed industry. The Company believes the volatility estimate calculated from these companies was a reasonable benchmark to use in estimating the expected volatility of our units; however, the use of an index to estimate volatility may not necessarily be representative of the volatility of the underlying securities. Although an expected life of five years was used in the calculation, if the Company does not consummate a business combination within the prescribed time period and it liquidates, the options will become worthless.

The Company has engaged Rodman & Renshaw, LLC (the "Representative"), on a non-exclusive basis, as its agent for the solicitation of the exercise of the warrants. To the extent not inconsistent with the guidelines of the NASD and the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission, the Company has agreed to pay the Representative for bona fide services rendered a commission equal to 5% of the exercise price, if the exercise was solicited by the Representative. In addition to soliciting, either orally or in writing, the exercise of the warrants, the Representative's services may also include disseminating information, either orally or in writing, to warrant holders about the Company or the market for the Company's securities, and assisting in the processing of the exercise of the warrants. No compensation will be paid to the Representative upon the exercise of the warrants if:

- the market price of the underlying shares of common stock is lower than the exercise price;
- the holder of the warrants has not confirmed in writing that the representative solicited the exercise;
- the warrants are held in a discretionary account;
- the warrants are exercised in an unsolicited transaction; or
- the arrangements to pay the commission are not disclosed to warrant holders at the time of exercise.

**Note 5 - Common stock reserved for issuance**

As of December 31, 2006, 3,825,046 shares of common stock were reserved for issuance upon exercise of redeemable warrants and 375,000 shares of common stock were reserved for issuance pursuant to the underwriters' unit purchase option described above.

**Note 6 - Preferred Stock**

The Company is authorized to issue 1,000,000 shares of preferred stock with such designations, voting and other rights and preferences, as may be determined from time to time by the Board of Directors. No shares of preferred stock are currently issued or outstanding.

**Note 7 - Income Taxes**

Significant components of our deferred tax assets (liabilities) at December 31, 2006 and 2005 are as follows:

|                      | 2006 | 2005 |
|----------------------|------|------|
| Net Operating Losses | -    | 922  |

Edgar Filing: Argyle Security Acquisition CORP - Form 10-K

|  |          |         |
|--|----------|---------|
| Stock-Based Compensation               | 44,415   | -       |
| Other - net                            | (16,483) | 1,711   |
| Subtotal                               | 27,932   | 2,633   |
| Deferred tax asset valuation allowance | -        | (2,633) |
| Net deferred tax assets                | 27,932   | -       |

F-11

---



