

DEXCOM INC  
Form 10-Q  
October 30, 2007

**UNITED STATES**

**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

**FORM 10 - Q**

- QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2007

- TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission file number 000-51222

**DEXCOM, INC.**

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

**Delaware**  
(State or Other Jurisdiction of  
Incorporation or Organization)

**5555 Oberlin Drive**  
**San Diego, California**  
(Address of Principal Executive offices)

**33-0857544**  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

**92121**  
(Zip Code)

Registrant's Telephone Number, including area code: **(858) 200-0200**

Edgar Filing: DEXCOM INC - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes  No

As of October 16, 2007, 28,295,788 shares of the Registrant's common stock were outstanding.

---

**DexCom, Inc.**  
**Table of Contents**

**PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

ITEM 1.	Financial Statements
	<u>Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2007 (unaudited) and December 31, 2006</u>
	<u>Statements of Operations (unaudited) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006</u>
	<u>Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited) for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006</u>
	<u>Notes to Financial Statements (unaudited)</u>
ITEM 2.	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>
ITEM 3.	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>
ITEM 4.	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>

**PART II OTHER INFORMATION**

ITEM 1.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>
ITEM 1A.	<u>Risk Factors</u>
ITEM 2.	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>
ITEM 3.	<u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>
ITEM 4.	<u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>
ITEM 5.	<u>Other Information</u>
ITEM 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>

**SIGNATURES**

## DexCom, Inc.

## Balance Sheets

(In thousands except share and per share data)

(Unaudited)

	September 30, 2007	December 31, 2006
<b>Assets</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 15,176	\$ 18,167
Short-term marketable securities, available-for-sale	59,103	36,341
Accounts receivable, net	171	120
Inventory	1,035	1,413
Prepaid and other current assets	1,598	1,315
Total current assets	77,083	57,356
Property and equipment, net	5,058	6,118
Other assets	3,348	1,079
Total assets	\$ 85,489	\$ 64,553
<b>Liabilities and stockholders equity</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 2,088	\$ 2,764
Accrued payroll and related expenses	2,644	1,558
Current portion of long-term debt	1,375	908
Total current liabilities	6,107	5,230
Other long-term liabilities	683	377
Long-term debt, net of current portion	61,375	2,118
Commitments and contingencies (Note 4)		
Stockholders equity:		
Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value, 5,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively		
Common stock, \$0.001 par value, 100,000,000 authorized; 28,446,004 issued and 28,291,800 outstanding at September 30, 2007, and 28,163,690 shares issued and outstanding and December 31, 2006	28	28
Additional paid-in capital	181,285	187,162
Accumulated other comprehensive income	34	12
Accumulated deficit	(164,023)	(130,374)
Total stockholders equity	17,324	56,828
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 85,489	\$ 64,553

See accompanying notes

## DexCom, Inc.

## Statements of Operations

(In thousands except share and per share data)

(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
Revenues	\$ 1,224	\$ 841	\$ 3,099	\$ 1,335
Cost of sales	3,113	3,389	9,081	7,561
Gross margin	(1,889)	(2,548)	(5,982)	(6,226)
Operating expenses				
Research and development	3,671	4,634	11,734	15,489
Selling, general and administrative	5,943	7,074	16,783	15,847
Total operating expenses	9,614	11,708	28,517	31,336
Operating loss	(11,503)	(14,256)	(34,499)	(37,562)
Interest income, net	119	818	850	2,037
Net loss	\$ (11,384)	\$ (13,438)	\$ (33,649)	\$ (35,525)
Basic and diluted net loss per share	\$ (0.40)	\$ (0.48)	\$ (1.19)	\$ (1.32)
Shares used to compute basic and diluted net loss per share	28,324,661	28,068,427	28,282,653	26,938,509

See accompanying notes

## DexCom, Inc.

## Statements of Cash Flows

(In thousands)

(Unaudited)

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2007	2006
<b>Operating activities</b>		
Net loss	\$ (33,649)	\$ (35,525)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to cash used in operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	1,908	1,626
Share-based compensation	4,245	4,363
Amortization of debt issuance costs	281	
Accretion and amortization related to investments, net	(344)	(269)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	(51)	(119)
Inventory	378	(1,937)
Prepaid and other assets	362	(364)
Restricted cash		(664)
Other assets	111	
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(676)	(2,623)
Accrued payroll and related expenses	1,086	1,528
Other liabilities	306	222
Net cash used in operating activities	(26,043)	(33,762)
<b>Investing activities</b>		
Purchase of available-for-sale marketable securities	(63,306)	(55,171)
Proceeds from the maturity of available-for-sale marketable securities	40,403	26,899
Purchase of property and equipment	(848)	(2,841)
Net cash used in investing activities	(23,751)	(31,113)
<b>Financing activities</b>		
Proceeds from issuance of senior convertible notes	60,000	
Payment of senior convertible notes issuance costs	(2,661)	
Purchase of senior convertible notes call spread options	(10,950)	
Net proceeds from issuance of common stock	690	48,131
Proceeds from equipment loan	412	2,700
Repayment of equipment loan	(688)	
Net cash provided by financing activities	46,803	50,831
Decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(2,991)	(14,044)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	18,167	37,247
Cash and cash equivalents, ending of period	\$ 15,176	\$ 23,203
<b>Non-cash investing and financing activities</b>		
Common shares received as settlement for a call spread option	\$ 1,420	\$

See accompanying notes



**DexCom, Inc.**

**Notes to Financial Statements**

**(Unaudited)**

**1. Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies**

*Organization and Business*

DexCom, Inc. (the Company) is a medical device company focused on the design, development, and commercialization of continuous glucose monitoring systems for people with diabetes. On March 24, 2006, the Company received approval from the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, or FDA, for its Short-Term Continuous Glucose Monitoring System, or STS<sup>®</sup>, and has commercialized this product throughout the United States. This approval allows for the use of the STS by adults with diabetes to detect trends and track glucose patterns, to aid in the detection of hypoglycemia and hyperglycemia and to facilitate acute and long-term therapy adjustments. On May 31, 2007, the Company received approval from the FDA for its second generation continuous glucose monitoring system, the SEVEN<sup>™</sup>, designed for up to seven days of continuous use. This approval allows for the use of the SEVEN by adults with diabetes to detect trends and track glucose patterns, to aid in the detection of hypoglycemia and hyperglycemia and to facilitate acute and long-term therapy adjustments.

*Use of Estimates*

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from these estimates. Significant estimates include estimated excess or obsolete inventories, warranty accruals, employee bonus, clinical study expenses, trade show expenses, allowances for returned product, allowance for bad debt, and share-based compensation expense. Excess and obsolete inventories are estimated by identifying the amount of on hand and on order materials and comparing those to expected future sales, taking into account clinical trial and development usage along with new product introductions. The 2007 bonus pool authorized an amount of up to 35% of salary and wages for non sales employees to be awarded from the pool based on the weighted average achievement measured against certain objectives. During the nine months ended September 30, 2007, the Company accrued an amount estimated at 10% of salaries. Actual costs may differ from estimated costs based on the achievement of certain objectives. Clinical trial expenses are accrued based on estimates of progress under related contracts and include initial set up costs as well as ongoing monitoring over multiple sites in the U.S and abroad. Losses on firm purchase commitments are based on the excess of the cost of future materials above the estimate market price of the goods. An allowance for refunds is determined by analyzing the timing and amounts of past refund activity.

*Share-Based Compensation*

*The Company recorded \$1.7 million and \$1.4 million in share-based compensation expense during the three months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively, and \$4.2 million and \$4.4 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively. At September 30, 2007, unrecognized estimated compensation costs related to non-vested stock options totaled \$16.6 million and is expected to be recognized through 2011. The Company utilizes the Black-Scholes option-pricing model as the method of valuation for share-based awards granted.*





*Revenue Recognition*



## Edgar Filing: DEXCOM INC - Form 10-Q

The Company sells its durable systems and disposable units through a direct sales force in the United States. Components are individually priced and can be purchased separately or together. The SEVEN durable system includes a transmitter, a receiver, a power cord, a finger-stick meter interface cable, data management software and a USB cable. Disposable sensors for use with the SEVEN durable system are sold separately in packages of four. The initial SEVEN durable system price is not dependent upon the purchase of any amount of disposable SEVEN sensors. The Company discontinued sales of its STS 3-day durable system in the second quarter of 2007, but continues to sell three day disposable sensors to customers who previously purchased the STS 3-day durable system. Disposable sensors for use with the STS 3-day durable system are sold separately in packages of five.

Revenue on product sales is recognized upon shipment, which is when title and the risk of loss have been transferred to the customer and there are no other post shipment obligations. The Company's products are generally paid for at the time of shipment using a customer's credit card and do not include customer acceptance provisions. After approval of the Company's second generation continuous glucose monitoring system, the SEVEN, on May 31, 2007, the Company started taking orders for an Upgrade Kit to upgrade existing customers for \$150. For systems sold that included an upgrade right, a portion of the sales price is allocated to the undelivered upgrade and deferred based on the fair value of the upgrade kit. This deferred revenue is recognized when the upgrade has been delivered to the customer. Deferred revenue for the period ended September 30, 2007 totaled approximately \$5,400. In August 2007, the Company adopted a 30-day money back guarantee program whereby customers who purchase the SEVEN durable

system and a package of four disposable sensors may return the SEVEN durable system for any reason within thirty days of purchase and receive a full refund of their purchase price. The Company accrues for estimated returns and/or refunds by reducing revenues and establishing a liability account at the time of shipment based on historical experience.

***Warranty Accrual***



## Edgar Filing: DEXCOM INC - Form 10-Q

Estimated warranty costs are recorded at the time of shipment. The Company estimates warranty accruals by analyzing the timing, cost and amount of returned product. Assumptions and historical warranty experience are evaluated on at least a quarterly basis to determine the continued appropriateness of such assumptions.

### *Comprehensive Loss*

SFAS No. 130, *Reporting Comprehensive Income*, requires that all components of comprehensive income, including net income, be reported in the financial statements in the period in which they are recognized. Comprehensive income (loss) is defined as the change in equity during a period from transactions and other events and circumstances from non-owner sources. Net income (loss) and other comprehensive income (loss), including foreign currency translation adjustments, and unrealized gains and losses on investments, shall be reported, net of their related tax effect, to arrive at comprehensive income (loss). The Company's comprehensive loss is as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
Net loss	\$ (11,384)	\$ (13,438)	\$ (33,649)	\$ (35,525)
Unrealized gain on available-for-sale marketable securities	67	65	22	14
Comprehensive loss	\$ (11,317)	\$ (13,373)	\$ (33,627)	\$ (35,511)

### *Inventory*





Inventory is valued at the lower of cost or market value. The Company makes adjustments to reduce the cost of inventory to its net realizable value, if required, for estimated excess, obsolete and potential scrapped inventories. Factors influencing these adjustments include inventories on hand and on order compared to estimated future usage and sales for existing and new products, as well as judgments regarding quality control testing data, and assumptions about the likelihood of scrap and obsolescence. The Company utilizes a standard cost system to track inventories on a part-by-part basis that approximates first in, first out. If necessary, adjustments are made to the standard materials, standard labor and standard overhead costs to approximate actual labor and actual overhead costs. The labor and overhead elements of the standard costs are based on full utilization of the Company's manufacturing capacity.

### ***Income Taxes***

In July 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48 *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes*, or FIN 48, which prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement process for recording in the financial statements uncertain tax positions taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. Additionally, FIN 48 provides guidance on the derecognition, classification, accounting in interim periods and disclosure requirements for uncertain tax positions. The accounting provisions of FIN 48 became effective for the Company beginning January 1, 2007.

At December 31, 2006, the Company had net deferred tax assets of \$51.9 million. The deferred tax assets is primarily composed of federal and state tax net operating loss ( NOL ) carryforwards and federal and state research and development ( R&D ) credit carryforwards. Due to uncertainties surrounding the Company's ability to generate future taxable income to realize these assets, a full valuation allowance has been established to offset this amount. Additionally, utilization of the NOL and R&D credit carryforwards may be subject to a substantial annual limitation due to ownership changes that have occurred previously or that could occur in the future provided by Sections 382 and 383 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as well as similar state provisions. These ownership changes may limit the amount of NOL and R&D credit carryforwards than can be utilized annually to offset future taxable income and tax, respectively. In general, an ownership change, as defined by Sections 382 and 383, results from transactions increasing the ownership of certain shareholders or public groups in the stock of a corporation by more than 50 percentage points over a three-year period. Since the Company's formation, the Company has raised capital through the issuance of capital stock on several occasions which, combined with the purchasing shareholders' subsequent disposition of those shares, may have resulted in a change of control, as defined by Sections 382 and 383, or could result in a change of control in the future upon subsequent disposition. The Company has not currently completed a study to assess whether a change in control has occurred or whether there have been multiple changes of control since the Company's formation due to the significant complexity

and cost associated with such a study. If the Company experienced a change of control as defined by Sections 382 and 383 at any time since Company formation, utilization of the NOL or R&D credit carryforwards would be subject to an annual limitation which is determined by first multiplying the value of the Company's stock at the time of the ownership change by the applicable long-term tax-exempt rate, and then could be subject to additional adjustments, as required. Any limitation may result in the expiration of a portion of the NOL or R&D credit carryforwards before utilization. Further, until a study is completed and any limitation determined, no amounts are being presented as an uncertain tax position under FIN 48. The Company believes that if a change of control occurred, the amount subject to limitation could be significant. Any amounts that the Company determines will expire prior to their utilization due to such limitations will be removed from deferred tax assets with a corresponding reduction of the valuation allowance.

### **Recent Accounting Guidance**

In August 2007, the FASB issued for comment proposed FASB Staff Position ( FSP ) No. APB 14-a, *Accounting for Convertible Debt Instruments That May Be Settled in Cash upon Conversion (Including Partial Cash Settlement)*. The proposed FSP would require the issuer of convertible debt instruments with cash settlement features to separately account for the liability and equity components of the instrument. The debt would be recognized at the present value of its cash flows discounted using the Company's nonconvertible debt borrowing rate. The equity component would be recognized as the difference between the proceeds from the issuance of the note and the fair value of the liability. The proposed FSP would also require an accretion of the resultant debt discount over the expected life of the debt. The proposed transition guidance requires retrospective application to all periods presented, and does not grandfather existing instruments. The comment deadline for the proposed FSP was October 15, 2007 and if issued, the proposed FSP would be effective for the Company on January 1, 2008. The Company believes that if the FSP is issued as proposed, the convertible debt issued in March 2007 would fall under the proposed FSP and the Company would be required to retroactively apply the guidance. Although the Company has not completed its analysis of the impact of this guidance, it believes the application would cause a reduction to the carrying value of the debt on its balance sheet and a corresponding increase in non-cash interest expense to be recognized over the initial five year redemption period which could be significant.

## **2. Net Loss Per Common Share**

Basic net loss per share attributable to common stockholders is calculated by dividing the net loss attributable to common stockholders by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding for the period, without consideration for common stock equivalents. Diluted net loss per share attributable to common stockholders is computed by dividing the net loss attributable to common stockholders by the weighted-average number of common share equivalents outstanding for the period determined using the treasury-stock method. For purposes of this calculation, options, warrant, and the conversion of convertible senior notes are considered to be common stock equivalents and are only included in the calculation of diluted net loss per share when their effect is dilutive.

Historical outstanding anti-dilutive securities not included in diluted net loss per share attributable to common stockholders calculation:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
Warrant		43,729		43,729
Options to purchase common stock	5,763,662	3,913,151	5,763,662	3,913,151
Restricted stock	45,000	14,813	45,000	14,813
Convertible senior notes	7,692,306		7,692,306	
Total	13,500,968	3,971,693	13,500,968	3,971,693

**3. Financial Statement Details (in thousands)**

Inventory	September 30, 2007	December 31, 2006
Raw materials	\$ 774	\$ 1,052
Work in process	122	96
Finished goods	139	265
Total	\$ 1,035	\$ 1,413

<b>Other assets</b>	<b>September 30, 2007</b>		<b>December 31, 2006</b>	
Restricted cash	\$	914	\$	1,079
Debt issuance costs		2,434		
Total	\$	3,348	\$	1,079

<b>Accrued warranty</b>	<b>Three Months Ended September 30, 2007</b>		<b>2006</b>		<b>Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007</b>		<b>2006</b>	
Beginning balance	\$	28	\$	36	\$	49	\$	456
Charges to costs and expenses		85		295		128		456
Costs incurred		(67)		(275)		(131)		(400)
Ending balance	\$	46	\$	56	\$	46	\$	56

<b>Components of interest income, net</b>	<b>Three Months Ended September 30, 2007</b>		<b>2006</b>		<b>Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007</b>		<b>2006</b>	
Interest income	\$	1,014	\$	847	\$	2,932	\$	2,073
Interest expense		(895)		(29)		(2,082)		(36)
Total	\$	119	\$	818	\$	850	\$	2,037

#### 4. Commitments and contingencies

##### *Convertible Senior Notes*

In March 2007, the Company issued \$60 million aggregate principal amount of Convertible Senior Notes due 2027 in a private offering. The notes are convertible into shares of common stock based on an initial conversion rate of 128.2051 shares of common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of notes, which is equivalent to an initial conversion price of approximately \$7.80 per share.

Interest on the notes is due semiannually on March 15 and September 15 of each year at a rate of 4.75% per year. The notes are redeemable by the Company beginning March 20, 2010 at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount to be redeemed plus accrued and unpaid interest. Holders of the notes may require the Company to repurchase the notes for cash equal to 100% of the principal amount to be repurchased plus accrued and unpaid interest upon the occurrence of certain designated events, including a change of control. In addition, the Company will have the right to automatically convert the notes if the closing price of its common stock exceeds 150% of the conversion price, or \$11.70 per share, for at least 20 trading days during any 30-day period. If such an automatic conversion occurs before March 15, 2010, the Company is required to pay additional interest in cash or, at its option, in shares of its common stock, equal to three full years of interest on the converted notes, less any interest actually paid or provided for on the notes prior to automatic conversion. The holders of the notes may require the Company to repurchase the notes for cash on March 15, 2012, March 15, 2017 and March 15, 2022 at a repurchase price equal to 100% of the principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest.

The aggregate underwriting commissions and other debt issuance costs incurred with respect to the issuance of the notes was \$2,661,000. These costs have been capitalized as debt issuance costs on the Company's balance sheet and are being amortized through March 15, 2012 which is the first date holders may require the Company to repurchase the notes. As of September 30, 2007, the remaining unamortized costs totaled \$2,380,000.

*Call Spread Option*

In March 2007, the Company entered into hedge transactions to minimize the potential dilution of the Company's common stock upon conversion of the Convertible Senior Notes if the Company's stock price exceeds \$7.80 per share through March 2009. The Company has the right to purchase a number of shares of common stock equal to the number of shares underlying the \$60 million principal amount of the notes, at a strike price equal to the conversion price of the notes, or \$7.80 per share. The call spread options are structured in four tranches with one tranche expiring in each six-month interval for two years from the date of March 6, 2007. Each of the four options caps the potential benefit to the Company at market prices ranging from \$9.00 for the option which expired in September 2007 to \$18.50 for the option expiring in March 2009. The call spread options are separate transactions entered into by the Company and are not part of the terms of the Convertible Senior Notes.

In accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force Issue, or EITF, No. 00-19, *Accounting for Derivative Financial Instruments Indexed to, and Potentially Settled in, a Company's Own Stock*, the Company recorded the \$10,950,000 cost of the call spread transactions as a net reduction in additional paid in capital in the Balance Sheet for the quarter ended March 31, 2007, and will not recognize subsequent changes in fair value. During September 2007, the Company received approximately 154,000 of its common shares with a value of \$1.4 million on the date the shares were returned to the Company as settlement for the first tranche.

*Line of Credit*

In March 2006, the Company entered into a loan and security agreement that provides for up to \$5,000,000 to finance various equipment purchases. At September 30, 2007, the Company had borrowings of \$2,750,000 under the loan and security agreement and \$0 was available for future borrowings. The loan bears an interest rate equal to the lender's prime rate plus 0.25% and at September 30, 2007, the interest rate was 8.50%. Beginning April 1, 2007, terms of the agreement require monthly amortized payments of principal and interest through the maturity date of September 2009.

*Lease*

In January 2007, the Company entered into a sublease agreement to sublet an existing facility near its corporate headquarters to a third party. Under the terms of the agreement, the Company sublet approximately 7,000 square feet of facilities space at terms and conditions, including real estate taxes and operating costs, which mirror the original lease agreement. The Company retains obligations per the original lease. Rental obligations, excluding real estate taxes and operating costs, owed by the Company, but subject to reimbursement by the subtenant in accordance with the terms of the sublease agreement, as of September 30, 2007 were as follows (in thousands):

<b>Fiscal Year Ending</b>		
2007	\$	26
2008		107
2009		111
2010		114
2011		48
Total	\$	406

*Litigation*

On August 11, 2005, Abbott Diabetes Care, Inc., or Abbott, filed a patent infringement lawsuit against the Company in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, seeking a declaratory judgment that the Company's short-term continuous glucose monitor infringes certain patents held by Abbott. In August 2005, the Company moved to dismiss these claims and filed requests for reexamination of the Abbott patents with the United States Patent and Trademark Office and by March 2006, the Patent Office ordered reexamination of each of the four patents originally asserted against the Company in the litigation. On June 27, 2006, Abbott amended its complaint to include three additional patents owned or licensed by Abbott which are allegedly infringed by the Company's short-term continuous glucose monitor. On August 18, 2006 the court granted the Company's motion to stay the lawsuit pending reexamination by the Patent Office of each of the four patents originally asserted by Abbott, and the court dismissed one significant infringement claim. In approving the stay, the court also granted the Company's motion to strike, or disallow, Abbott's amended complaint in which Abbott had sought to add three additional patents to the litigation. In late 2006, the Patent Office issued a non-final rejection of all claims the Company submitted for reexamination in two of the Abbott patents cited in the original lawsuit. In both cases, Abbott has filed a response with the Patent Office seeking claim construction to differentiate certain claims from the prior art presented by the Company, seeking to amend certain claims to overcome the prior art presented by the Company, and seeking to add new claims. In response, the Company has filed a second reexamination request with the Patent Office challenging each of Abbott's proposed amendments. The reexamination of the other two patents cited in the original complaint has been ordered by the Patent Office, however no office action has been issued in either case. Subject to the stay, the Company intends to continue to vigorously contest the action.

Subsequent to the court's ruling on August 18, 2006, Abbott filed a separate action in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware alleging patent infringement of those same three additional patents. The Company believes this complaint, like the first, is without merit and the Company intends to vigorously contest the action. To that end, the Company filed requests with the Patent Office to reexamine each of the three additional patents cited by Abbott and on September 7, 2006, the Company filed a motion to strike Abbott's new complaint on the grounds that it is redundant of claims Abbott already improperly attempted to inject into the original case, and because the original case is now stayed, Abbott must wait until the court lifts that stay before it can properly ask the court to consider these claims. Alternatively, the Company asked the court to consolidate the new case with the original case and thereby stay the entirety of the case pending conclusion of the reexamination proceedings in the Patent Office. On September 30, 2007, the court granted the Company's motion to consolidate the cases and stay the entirety of the case pending conclusion of the reexamination proceedings in the Patent Office relating to all seven patents asserted against the Company. As of February 2007, the Patent Office ordered reexamination of each of the three patents cited in this new lawsuit and in June 2007, the Patent Office issued a non-final rejection of all claims the Company submitted for reexamination in two of the Abbott patents cited in the new lawsuit. In each of these cases, Abbott has filed a response with the Patent Office seeking claim construction to differentiate certain claims from the prior art presented by the Company, seeking to amend certain claims to

**overcome the prior art presented by the Company, and seeking to add new claims. In response, the Company is preparing to file a second reexamination request with the Patent Office challenging each of Abbott's proposed amendments.**

*Purchase Commitments*

The Company is party to various purchase arrangements related to its development activities including materials used in its glucose monitoring systems. As of September 30, 2007, the Company had purchase commitments with vendors of \$941,000 due within one year. There are no purchase commitments due beyond one year.

*Executive Separation Agreements*





## Edgar Filing: DEXCOM INC - Form 10-Q

In June 2007, the Company and its then Chief Executive Officer ( CEO ) entered into a separation agreement. In connection with the agreement, the Company modified the former CEO s outstanding option awards to allow him to continue vesting of all unvested options for a period of twelve months from the date of separation. Additionally, the exercise date of the outstanding awards was extended to a period of twelve months following the date of separation. Total separation costs of \$669,000, including \$269,000 in stock option modification costs and \$400,000 in cash payments, has been included in general and administrative expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2007.

In July 2007, the Company and its then Chief Financial Officer ( CFO ) entered into a separation agreement with the Company pursuant to which the CFO received a lump sum separation payment of \$115,000 and shall be entitled to purchase, in addition to the shares for which his stock options have already vested, the number of additional shares of his stock options that would have vested if he had remained employed by the Company until March 10, 2008, and all such options shall remain exercisable until June 10, 2008. Total separation costs of \$182,000, including \$67,000 in stock option modification costs and \$115,000 in cash payments, has been included in general and administrative expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2007.

## ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

### CAUTIONARY NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This document, including the following Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, contains forward-looking statements that are based upon current expectations. These forward-looking statements fall within the meaning of the federal securities laws that relate to future events or our future financial performance. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as may, will, expect, plan, anticipate, believe, estimate, intend, potential or continue or the negative of these or comparable terminology. Forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties. Our actual results and the timing of events could differ materially from those anticipated in our forward-looking statements as a result of many factors, including product performance, a lack of acceptance in the marketplace by physicians and patients, the inability to manufacture products in commercial quantities at an acceptable cost, possible delays in the company's research and development programs, the inability of patients to receive reimbursements from third-party payors, inadequate financial and other resources and the other risks those set forth below under Risk Factors and elsewhere in this report. We assume no obligation to update any of the forward-looking statements after the date of this report or to conform these forward-looking statements to actual results.

### Overview

We are a medical device company focused on the design, development and commercialization of continuous glucose monitoring systems for people with diabetes. On March 24, 2006, we received approval from the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, or FDA, for our Short-Term Continuous Glucose Monitoring System, or STS<sup>®</sup>, and we have commercialized this product throughout the United States. Our approval allows for the use of our STS by adults with diabetes to detect trends and track glucose patterns, to aid in the detection of hypoglycemia and hyperglycemia and to facilitate acute and long-term therapy adjustments. On May 31, 2007 we received approval from the FDA for our second generation continuous glucose monitoring system, the SEVEN<sup>™</sup>, designed for up to seven days of continuous use, and we began commercializing this product in the third quarter of 2007. As part of our commercialization of the SEVEN, we discontinued sales of our STS 3-day durable system in the second quarter of 2007, but we continue to sell three day disposable sensors to customers who previously purchased the STS 3-day durable system. Our approval allows for the use of the SEVEN by adults with diabetes to detect trends and track glucose patterns, to aid in the detection of hypoglycemia and hyperglycemia and to facilitate acute and long-term therapy adjustments. Our products are indicated for use as an adjunctive devices to complement, not replace, information obtained from standard home blood glucose monitoring devices. Our products must be prescribed by a physician and includes a disposable sensor, a transmitter and a small cell phone-sized receiver. The sensor is inserted by the patient and is intended to be used continuously for up to three days, in the case of the STS, or up to seven days in the case of the SEVEN, after which it is removed and may be replaced by a new sensor. Our transmitter and receiver are reusable. Since inception, we have devoted substantially all of our resources to start-up activities, raising capital and research and development, including product design, testing, manufacturing and clinical trials. More recently, we have devoted considerable resources for the commercialization of our products as well as the continued clinical development of our technology platform.

To support our national product launch, we have built a direct sales organization to call on endocrinologists, physicians and diabetes educators who can educate and influence patient adoption of continuous glucose monitoring. To complement our direct sales efforts, we also employ clinical specialists who educate and provide clinical support in the field. We believe our direct, highly-specialized and focused sales organization is sufficient for us to support our sales efforts and have no immediate plans to increase the size of the sales organization.

We are leveraging our technology platform to enhance the capabilities for our current products and develop additional continuous glucose monitoring products. We are continuing clinical development to seek replacement claim labeling from the FDA, which would allow patients to use our continuous glucose monitoring systems as the sole basis for making therapeutic adjustments, to obtain a pediatric indication for our continuous glucose monitoring systems, and are developing a product for the in-hospital monitoring market. Our clinical trials may be delayed

## Edgar Filing: DEXCOM INC - Form 10-Q

due to scheduling issues with patients and investigators, institutional review boards, sensor performance and manufacturing supply constraints, among other factors. Support of these clinical trials requires significant resources in research and development, manufacturing, quality assurance, and clinical and regulatory personnel. Even if our development and clinical trial efforts are successful, the FDA may not approve our products, and if approved, we may not achieve acceptance in the marketplace by physicians and patients.

We manufacture our products at our facility in San Diego, California. This facility was inspected for medical device manufacturing by the FDA in August 2005. We manufacture our products with components supplied by outside vendors and with parts manufactured by us internally. Key components that we manufacture internally include our wire-based sensor for our disposable sensors. The remaining components and assemblies are purchased from outside vendors. We then assemble, test, package and ship the finished product, which includes a transmitter, a receiver and a disposable sensor. We are expanding our manufacturing capacity in our current facility in San Diego,

California and have leased an additional 66,400 square foot manufacturing facility in San Diego, California to enable us to produce greater quantities of our devices. This facility was approved for medical device manufacturing in October 2007. Our capacity expansion could be constrained by the lack of material availability, equipment design, production and validation, regulatory approval of our new facility, personnel staffing and other factors.

Revenues are generated from sales of our durable transmitter and receiver and from the recurring sales of disposable sensors. The disposable sensor is inserted by the patient and intended to be used continuously for up to three days, in the case of the STS, or up to seven days in the case of the SEVEN, after which it may be replaced with a new disposable sensor. Our transmitter and receiver are reusable. In the event we establish an installed base of patients using our continuous glucose monitoring systems, we expect to generate an increasing portion of our revenues through recurring sales of our disposable sensors. We generally recognize revenue on our products upon shipment and our sales terms provide for customer payment at the time of order.

For the three months ended September 30, 2007, we generated \$1.2 million of revenue. We have incurred net losses in each year since our inception in May 1999. Through September 30, 2007, we had an accumulated deficit of \$164.0 million. We expect our losses to continue as we expand our clinical trial activities and continue commercialization activities. We have financed our operations primarily through offerings of equity and debt securities. In April 2005, we completed our initial public offering in which we sold 4,700,000 shares of common stock for net proceeds of \$50.5 million. In March 2006, we entered into a loan and security agreement that provides for a loan of up to \$5.0 million to finance various equipment expenses. As of September 30, 2007, we had \$2.8 million in borrowings under this agreement. In May 2006 we completed a follow-on offering of 2,117,375 shares of our common stock at \$24.00 per share for gross proceeds of \$50.8 million. After deduction of underwriting discounts and expenses of the offering we received net proceeds of \$47.0 million. In March 2007, we issued \$60.0 million in convertible senior notes. After deducting offering costs of \$2.6 million and the purchase of \$11.0 million in call spread options to reduce potential dilution resulting from conversion of the notes, we netted approximately \$46.4 million.

## **Financial Operations**

### *Revenue*

From inception through September 30, 2007, we generated \$5.3 million in revenue from the sale of our continuous glucose monitoring systems after launching our first system on March 28, 2006. We expect revenues we generate from the sales of our durable systems and disposable sensors will fluctuate from quarter to quarter.

### *Cost of Sales*

Cost of sales includes direct labor and material costs related to each product sold or produced including assembly and test labor and scrap, as well as factory overhead supporting our manufacturing operations. This includes facilities, material procurement and control, manufacturing engineering, quality control, supervision and management. These costs are primarily salary, fringe benefits, stock based compensation, facility expense, supplies and purchased services. The majority of our costs are currently fixed due to the relatively low production volumes compared to our potential capacity. From our inception until December 31, 2005, all of our manufacturing costs were included in research and development expense due to our development stage. From January 1, 2006 and forward these costs are included in cost of sales.

*Research and Development*

Our research and development expenses primarily consist of engineering and research expenses related to our continuous glucose monitoring technology, clinical trials, regulatory expenses, materials and products for clinical trials. Up until December 31, 2005 our manufacturing costs were included in research and development expense. Research and development expenses are primarily related to employee compensation, including salary, fringe benefits, recruitment, stock based compensation, relocation and temporary employee expenses. We also incur significant expenses to operate our clinical trials including trial design, clinical site reimbursement, clinical trial product, and associated travel expenses. Our research and development expenses also include fees for design services, contractors and development materials. We expect our research and development expenses to increase as we continue to support the development and clinical trials of additional products.

*Selling, General and Administrative*

Our selling, general and administrative expenses primarily consist of salary, fringe benefits and stock based compensation for our executive, financial, sales, marketing and administrative functions. Other significant expenses include trade show expenses, sales samples, insurance, professional fees for our outside legal counsel and independent auditors, litigation expenses and expenses for board meetings. We expect our selling, general and administrative expenses to continue to increase to support the commercialization of our products.

## Results of Operations

### *Quarter Ended September 30, 2007 Compared to September 30, 2006*

#### *Revenue, Cost of Sales and Gross Margin*

Revenues increased \$383,000 to \$1.2 million for the third quarter of 2007 compared to \$841,000 for the third quarter of 2006. Cost of sales decreased \$276,000 to \$3.1 million for the third quarter of 2007 compared to \$3.4 million for the third quarter in 2006. The decrease in cost of sales was primarily related to the decrease in direct product costs and better labor utilization. Gross margin loss of \$1.9 million for the third quarter of 2007 decreased \$659,000 compared to the same quarter in 2006, primarily due to increased revenue, a decrease in direct product costs, and better labor utilization.

**Research and Development.** Research and development expense decreased \$1.0 million to \$3.7 million for the third quarter of 2007, compared to \$4.6 million for the third quarter of 2006. Changes in research and development expense include \$713,000 in lower development costs and \$448,000 in lower clinical and regulatory costs which were partially offset by higher quality assurance costs. Major elements of declining research and development costs include \$296,000 in lower clinical trial expenses and \$161,000 in lower legal costs.

**Selling, General and Administrative.** Selling, general and administrative expense decreased \$1.1 million to \$5.9 million for the third quarter of 2007, compared to \$7.1 million for the third quarter of 2006. The decrease was primarily due to \$1.1 million in lower marketing costs. Major elements in decreased selling, general, and administrative expenses include \$869,000 in lower trade show costs and \$434,000 in lower sales samples offset by \$609,000 in increased personnel related costs due primarily to additional headcount.

**Interest Income, Net.** Net interest income decreased \$699,000 to \$119,000 for the third quarter of 2007, compared to \$818,000 for the third quarter of 2006. The decrease was due to a \$866,000 increase in interest expense primarily related to our \$60 million in convertible notes that was partially offset by \$167,000 in higher interest income.

### *Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007 Compared to September 30, 2006*

#### *Revenue, Cost of Sales and Gross Margin*

Revenues increased \$1.8 million to \$3.1 million for the nine months ending September 30, 2007, compared to \$1.3 million for the same period in 2006. Cost of sales increased \$1.5 million to \$9.1 million for the nine months ending September 30, 2007, compared to \$7.6 million for the

## Edgar Filing: DEXCOM INC - Form 10-Q

same period in 2006. The increase in cost of sales was primarily related to a credit of \$1.1 million in the 2006 period for materials purchased and expensed in 2005 while we were still in the development stage, as well \$877,000 in higher fixed overhead spending in the 2007 period. The gross margin loss decreased \$244,000 to \$6.0 million for the nine months ending September 30, 2007, compared to \$6.2 million for the same period in 2006. The \$1.8 million in higher revenues were more than offset by higher fixed overhead spending and the effect of the \$1.1 million credit in the 2006 period.

**Research and Development.** Research and development expense decreased \$3.8 million to \$11.7 million for the nine months ending September 30, 2007, compared to \$15.5 million for the nine months ending September 30, 2006. Changes in research and development expense include \$2.6 million in lower development expenses, \$1.7 million in lower clinical and regulatory that was partially offset by higher quality assurance costs. Major elements of declining research and development costs include \$955,000 in lower clinical trial expenses, \$796,000 in lower tooling and fixturing costs, and \$492,000 in lower consumed supplies.

**Selling, General and Administrative.** Selling, general and administrative expense increased \$936,000 to \$16.8 million for the nine months ending September 30, 2007, compared to \$15.8 million for the nine months ending September 30, 2006. The increase was primarily due to \$3.1 million in higher sales expense that was partially offset by \$2.0 million in reduced marketing costs. Major components of selling, general, and administrative expenses for the 2007 period include \$2.8 million in additional personnel costs, that were partially offset by \$1.0 million in lower legal costs and \$943,000 in lower trade show expenses. During the 2007 period, \$515,000 in separation payments to our former CEO and CFO were included within personnel expense along with an additional \$336,000 for their extended vesting and post-employment exercise period that was included within share-based compensation costs.

**Interest Income, Net.** Net interest income decreased \$1.2 million to \$850,000 for the nine months ending September 30, 2007, compared to \$2.0 million for the nine months ending September 30, 2006. The decrease was due to a \$2.0 million increase in interest expense, primarily related to our \$60 million in convertible notes, partially offset by \$859,000 in higher interest income.

### Liquidity and Capital Resources





## Edgar Filing: DEXCOM INC - Form 10-Q

We are in the early commercialization stage and have incurred losses since our inception in May 1999. As of September 30, 2007, we had an accumulated deficit of \$164.0 million and had working capital of \$71.0 million, which included \$74.3 million in cash, cash equivalents and short-term marketable securities. We have funded our operations primarily from the sale of equity and debt

securities and our bank line, raising aggregate net proceeds of \$167.5 million from equity sales and \$46.4 million from debt sales through September 30, 2007. In April 2005, we completed our initial public offering in which we sold 4,700,000 shares of common stock for net proceeds of \$50.5 million. On March 20, 2006, we entered into a loan and security agreement that provided for a loan of up to \$5.0 million to finance various equipment purchases. As of March 31, 2007 we had drawn \$3.4 million under our bank equipment loan and on April 1, 2007 the loan converted into a 30 month amortized term loan. At September 30, 2007, we had \$2.8 million outstanding on our term loan. On May 2, 2006 we completed the sale of 2,117,375 shares of common stock at \$24 per share for net proceeds of \$47.0 million. On March 9, 2007, we completed a \$60 million offering of our 4.75% Convertible Senior Notes due 2027. After payment of related expenses, including the purchase of a related call option hedge, we received net proceeds of \$46.4 million.

***Net Cash Used in Operating Activities.*** Net cash used in operating activities decreased \$7.8 million to \$26.0 million for the nine months ending September 30, 2007, compared to \$33.8 million net cash used for the same period in 2006. The decrease in cash used in operations was primarily due to \$2.3 million less cash invested in inventories, \$1.9 million less cash used to pay down payables and accrued liabilities, and \$1.9 million lower net loss compared to the same period in 2006.

***Net Cash Used in Investing Activities.*** Net cash used in investing activities decreased \$7.4 million to \$23.8 million for the nine months ending September 30, 2007, compared to \$31.1 million used for the same period of 2006. The decrease was due to \$5.4 million in lower net purchases and sales of short-term marketable securities as well as \$2.0 million in lower purchases of property and equipment. For the nine months ending September 30, 2007, we invested \$848,000 in equipment to support manufacturing improvements.

***Net Cash Provided by Financing Activities.*** Net cash provided by financing activities decreased \$4.0 million to \$46.8 million for the nine months ending September 30, 2007, compared to \$50.8 million for the same period of 2006. The decrease was primarily due to raising \$3.3 million less net debt and equity financing proceeds during the 2007 period than during the same period in 2006.

#### **Operating Capital and Capital Expenditure Requirements**

We anticipate that we will continue to incur net losses for the foreseeable future as we incur expenses to commercialize our approved products, develop additional continuous glucose monitoring products, expand our sales, marketing, manufacturing and corporate infrastructure.

We believe that our cash, cash equivalents and short-term marketable securities balances, and the interest we earn on these balances, will be sufficient to meet our anticipated cash requirements with respect to the scale-up of our commercialization, clinical trials, research and development activities, PMA applications and to meet our other anticipated cash needs for at least the next twelve months. If our available cash, cash equivalents and short-term marketable securities and the funds available under our loan and security agreement are insufficient to satisfy our liquidity requirements, or if we develop additional products, we may seek to sell additional equity or debt securities or obtain an additional credit facility. The sale of additional equity and debt securities may result in additional dilution to our stockholders. If we raise additional funds through the issuance of debt securities or preferred stock, these securities could have rights senior to those of our common stock and could contain covenants that would restrict our operations. We may require additional capital beyond our currently forecasted amounts. Any such required additional capital may not be available on reasonable terms, if at all. If we are unable to obtain additional financing, we may be required to reduce the scope of, delay or eliminate some or all of our planned research, development and commercialization activities, which could harm

our business.

Because of the numerous risks and uncertainties associated with the development of continuous glucose monitoring technologies, we are unable to estimate the exact amounts of capital outlays and operating expenditures associated with our current and anticipated clinical trials. Our future funding requirements will depend on many factors, including, but not limited to:

the revenue generated by sales of our approved products and other future products;

the expenses we incur in manufacturing, developing, selling and marketing our products;

the quality levels of our products and services;

the third party reimbursement of our products for our customers;

our ability to efficiently scale our manufacturing operations to meet demand for our current and any future products;

the costs and timing of additional regulatory approvals;

the costs of filing, prosecuting, defending and enforcing any patent claims and other intellectual property rights, including, but not limited to, defending the patent infringement lawsuit filed against us by Abbott;

the rate of progress and cost of our clinical trials and other development activities;

the success of our research and development efforts;

the emergence of competing or complementary technological developments;

the terms and timing of any collaborative, licensing and other arrangements that we may establish; and

the acquisition of businesses, products and technologies, although we currently have no commitments or agreements relating to any of these types of transactions.

#### ***Contractual Obligations***

In March 2007, we issued \$60 million aggregate principal amount of Convertible Senior Notes due 2027 in a private offering. The notes are convertible into shares of common stock based on an initial conversion rate of 128.2051 shares of common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of notes, which is equivalent to an initial conversion price of approximately \$7.80 per share. Interest on the notes is due semiannually on March 15 and September 15 of each year at a rate of 4.75% per year. The notes will be redeemable by us beginning March 20, 2010 at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount to be redeemed plus accrued and unpaid interest. Holders of the notes may require us to repurchase the notes for cash equal to 100% of the principal amount to be repurchased plus accrued and unpaid interest upon the occurrence of certain designated events, including a change of control. In addition, we will have the right to automatically convert the notes if the closing price of its common stock exceeds 150% of the conversion price or \$11.70 per share, for at least 20 trading days during any 30-day period. If such an automatic conversion occurs before March 15, 2010, we are required to pay additional interest in cash or, at our option, in shares of our common stock. The holders of the notes may require us to repurchase the notes for cash on March 15, 2012, March 15, 2017 and March 15, 2022 at a repurchase price equal to 100% of the principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest.

We are party to various purchase arrangements related to components used in production and research and development activities. As of September 30, 2007, we had purchase commitments with certain vendors totaling approximately \$941,000 due within one year. There are no purchase commitments due beyond one year.

#### **Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based on our financial statements, which we have prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the

## Edgar Filing: DEXCOM INC - Form 10-Q

financial statements as well as the reported revenue and expenses during the reporting periods. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our estimates and judgments. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other factors that we believe are reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying value of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Our significant accounting policies are described in Note 1 to our financial statements included in our annual report on Form 10-K. Other than the adoption of FASB Interpretation No. 48 *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes* as discussed below, there were no significant changes in critical accounting policies or estimates from those at December 31, 2006.

### ***Revenue Recognition***



## Edgar Filing: DEXCOM INC - Form 10-Q

We sell durable systems and disposable units through a direct sales force in the United States. Components are individually priced and can be purchased separately or together. The SEVEN durable system includes a transmitter, a receiver, a power cord, a finger-stick meter interface cable, data management software and a USB cable. Disposable sensors for use with the SEVEN are sold separately in packages of four. The initial SEVEN durable system price is not dependent upon the purchase of any amount of disposable SEVEN sensors. We discontinued sales of our STS 3-day durable system in the second quarter of 2007, but we continue to sell three day disposable sensors to customers who previously purchased the STS 3-day durable system. Disposable sensors for use with the STS 3-day durable system are sold separately in packages of five.

Revenue on product sales is recognized upon shipment, which is when title and the risk of loss have been transferred to the customer and there are no other post shipment obligations. Our products are generally paid for at the time of shipment using a customer's credit card and do not include customer acceptance provisions. After approval of our second generation continuous glucose monitoring system, the SEVEN, on May 31, 2007, we started taking orders for an Upgrade Kit to upgrade existing customers for \$150. For systems sold that included an upgrade right, a portion of the sales price was allocated to the undelivered upgrade and deferred based on the fair value of the upgrade kit. This deferred revenue is recognized when the upgrade has been delivered to the customer. Deferred revenue as of September 30, 2007 totaled approximately \$5,400. In August 2007, we adopted



a 30-day money back guarantee program whereby customers who purchase the SEVEN durable system and a package of four disposable sensors may return the SEVEN durable system for any reason within thirty days of purchase and receive a full refund of their purchase price. We accrue for estimated returns and/or refunds by reducing revenues and establishing a liability account at the time of shipment based on historical experience.

### ***Income Taxes***

In July 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48 *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes*, or FIN 48, which prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement process for recording in the financial statements uncertain tax positions taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. Additionally, FIN 48 provides guidance on the derecognition, classification, accounting in interim periods and disclosure requirements for uncertain tax positions. The accounting provisions of FIN 48 became effective for us beginning January 1, 2007.

At December 31, 2006, we had net deferred tax assets of \$51.9 million. The deferred tax assets is primarily composed of federal and state tax net operating loss ( NOL ) carryforwards and federal and state research and development ( R&D ) credit carryforwards. Due to uncertainties surrounding our ability to generate future taxable income to realize these assets, a full valuation allowance has been established to offset this amount. Additionally, utilization of the NOL and R&D credit carryforwards may be subject to a substantial annual limitation due to ownership changes that have occurred previously or that could occur in the future provided by Sections 382 and 383 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as well as similar state provisions. These ownership changes may limit the amount of NOL and R&D credit carryforwards than can be utilized annually to offset future taxable income and tax, respectively. In general, an ownership change, as defined by Sections 382 and 383, results from transactions increasing the ownership of certain shareholders or public groups in the stock of a corporation by more than 50 percentage points over a three-year period. Since our formation, the we have raised capital through the issuance of capital stock on several occasions which, combined with the purchasing shareholders subsequent disposition of those shares, may have resulted in a change of control, as defined by Sections 382 and 383, or could result in a change of control in the future upon subsequent disposition. We have not currently completed a study to assess whether a change in control has occurred or whether there have been multiple changes of control since our formation due to the significant complexity and cost associated with such a study. If we have experienced a change of control as defined by Sections 382 and 383 at any time since our formation, utilization of our NOL or R&D credit carryforwards would be subject to an annual limitation which is determined by first multiplying the value of our stock at the time of the ownership change by the applicable long-term tax-exempt rate, and then could be subject to additional adjustments, as required. Any limitation may result in the expiration of a portion of the NOL or R&D credit carryforwards before utilization. Further, until a study is completed and any limitation known, no amounts are being presented as an uncertain tax position under FIN 48. We believe that the amount subject to limitation could be significant. Any amounts that we determine will expire prior to their utilization due to such limitations will be removed from deferred tax assets with a corresponding reduction of the valuation allowance.

### ***Share-Based Compensation***



## Edgar Filing: DEXCOM INC - Form 10-Q

On January 1, 2006, we adopted SFAS 123(R), using the modified prospective transition method, which requires the measurement and recognition of compensation expense for all share-based payment awards made to employees, non-employee directors, and consultants including employee stock options and employee stock purchases related to the Employee Stock Purchase Plan based on estimated fair values. As permitted by SFAS 123(R), we utilize the Black-Scholes option-pricing model as the method of valuation for share-based awards granted. Share-based compensation expense recognized under SFAS 123(R) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 was \$1.7 million and \$4.2 million, respectively, compared to \$1.4 million and \$4.4 million, respectively, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006. As of September 30, 2007, there was \$16.6 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to outstanding options that is expected to be recognized as a component of our operating expenses through 2011. Compensation costs will be adjusted for future changes in estimated forfeitures. Prior to January 1, 2006, we had adopted the disclosure-only provision of SFAS 123 as discussed further in our annual report on Form 10-K. Accordingly, we had not previously recognized compensation expense, except for share-based compensation expense accounted for in accordance with APB 25.

### *Recent Accounting Pronouncements*



## Edgar Filing: DEXCOM INC - Form 10-Q

In August 2007, the FASB issued for comment proposed FASB Staff Position ( FSP ) No. APB 14-a, *Accounting for Convertible Debt Instruments That May Be Settled in Cash upon Conversion (Including Partial Cash Settlement)*. The proposed FSP would require the issuer of convertible debt instruments with cash settlement features to separately account for the liability and equity components of the instrument. The debt would be recognized at the present value of its cash flows discounted using our nonconvertible debt borrowing rate. The equity component would be recognized as the difference between the proceeds from the issuance of the note and the fair value of the liability. The proposed FSP would also require an accretion of the resultant debt discount over the expected life of the debt. The proposed transition guidance requires retrospective application to all periods presented, and does not grandfather existing instruments. The comment deadline for the proposed FSP was October 15, 2007 and if issued, the proposed FSP would be effective for us on January 1, 2008. We believe that if the FSP is issued as proposed, the

convertible debt issued in March 2007 would fall under the proposed FSP and we would be required to retroactively apply the guidance. Although we have not completed our analysis of the impact of this guidance, we believe the application would cause a reduction to the carrying value of the debt on our balance sheet and a corresponding increase in non-cash interest expense to be recognized over the initial five year redemption period which could be significant.

### **ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

The primary objective of our investment activities is to preserve our capital for the purpose of funding operations while at the same time maximizing the income we receive from our investments without significantly increasing risk. To achieve these objectives, our investment policy allows us to maintain a portfolio of cash equivalents and short-term investments in a variety of securities, including money market funds and corporate debt securities. Due to the short-term nature of our investments, we believe that we have no material exposure to interest rate risk.

To date we have not entered into any agreements denominated in other than U.S. dollars. Accordingly, we believe we have no material exposure to risk from changes in foreign currency exchange rates.

### **ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

#### **Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

Regulations under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 require public companies to maintain disclosure controls and procedures, which are defined to mean a company's controls and other procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports that it files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms. DexCom's management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, conducted an evaluation as of the end of the period covered by this report of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based on their evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective for this purpose.

#### **Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

There were no changes in our internal control over the financial reporting during our last fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect our internal control over financial reporting.

#### **Limitation on Effectiveness of Controls**

## Edgar Filing: DEXCOM INC - Form 10-Q

It should be noted that any system of controls, however well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, and not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the system are met. The design of any control system is based, in part, upon the benefits of the control system relative to its costs. Control systems can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the control. In addition, over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. Because of these and other inherent limitations of control systems, there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions, regardless of how remote.

**PART II OTHER INFORMATION**

**ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

On August 11, 2005, Abbott Diabetes Care, Inc., or Abbott, filed a patent infringement lawsuit against us in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, seeking a declaratory judgment that our short-term continuous glucose monitor infringes certain patents held by Abbott. In August 2005, we moved to dismiss these claims and filed requests for reexamination of the Abbott patents with the United States Patent and Trademark Office and by March 2006, the Patent Office ordered reexamination of each of the four patents originally asserted against us in the litigation. On June 27, 2006, Abbott amended its complaint to include three additional patents owned or licensed by Abbott which are allegedly infringed by our short-term continuous glucose monitor. On August 18, 2006 the court granted our motion to stay the lawsuit pending reexamination by the Patent Office of each of the four patents originally asserted by Abbott, and the court dismissed one significant infringement claim. In approving the stay, the court also granted our motion to strike, or disallow, Abbott's amended complaint in which Abbott had sought to add three additional patents to the litigation. In late 2006, the Patent Office issued a non-final rejection of all claims we submitted for reexamination in two of the Abbott patents cited in the original lawsuit. In both cases, Abbott has filed a response with the Patent Office seeking claim construction to differentiate certain claims from the prior art presented by us, seeking to amend certain claims to overcome the prior art presented by us, and seeking to add new claims. In response, we filed a second reexamination request with the Patent Office challenging each of Abbott's proposed amendments. The reexamination of the other two patents cited in the original complaint has been ordered by the Patent Office, however no office action has been issued in either case. Subject to the stay, we intend to continue to vigorously contest the action.

Subsequent to the court's ruling on August 18, 2006, Abbott filed a separate action in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware alleging patent infringement of those same three additional patents. We believe this complaint, like the first, is without merit and we intend to vigorously contest the action. To that end, we filed requests with the Patent Office to reexamine each of the three additional patents cited by Abbott and on September 7, 2006, we filed a motion to strike Abbott's new complaint on the grounds that it is redundant of claims Abbott already improperly attempted to inject into the original case, and because the original case is now stayed, Abbott must wait until the court lifts that stay before it can properly ask the court to consider these claims. Alternatively, we asked the court to consolidate the new case with the original case and thereby stay the entirety of the case pending conclusion of the reexamination proceedings in the Patent Office. On September 30, 2007, the court granted our motion to consolidate the cases and stay the entirety of the case pending conclusion of the reexamination proceedings in the Patent Office relating to all seven patents asserted against us. As of February 2007, the Patent Office ordered reexamination of each of the three patents cited in this new lawsuit and in June 2007, the Patent Office issued a non-final rejection of all claims the Company submitted for reexamination in two of the Abbott patents cited in the new lawsuit. In each of these cases, Abbott has filed a response with the Patent Office seeking claim construction to differentiate certain claims from the prior art presented by us, seeking to amend certain claims to overcome the prior art presented by us, and seeking to add new claims. In response, we are preparing to file a second reexamination request with the Patent Office challenging each of Abbott's proposed amendments.

**ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS**

**Factors that May Affect our Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

We have a limited operating history and our products may never achieve market acceptance.



**We are a medical device company with a limited operating history. We received approval from the FDA for our STS on March 24, 2006 and have recently commercialized this product throughout the United States. On May 31, 2007, we received approval from the FDA for our second generation continuous glucose monitoring system, the SEVEN™, designed for up to seven days of continuous use, and we began commercializing this product in the second half of 2007. As part of our commercialization of the SEVEN, we discontinued sales of our STS 3-day durable system in the second quarter of 2007, but we continue to sell three day disposable sensors to customers who previously purchased the STS 3-day durable system. We expect that sales of our continuous glucose monitoring systems, which consist of a cell phone-sized receiver, transmitter and disposable sensor, will account for substantially all of our revenue for the foreseeable future. From inception through September 30, 2007, revenues from sales of our continuous glucose monitoring products total approximately \$5.3 million. However, we have limited experience in selling our products and we might be unable to successfully commercialize our products for a number of reasons, including:**

market acceptance of our products by physicians and patients will largely depend on our ability to demonstrate their relative safety, efficacy, reliability, cost-effectiveness and ease of use;

we may not be able to manufacture our products in commercial quantities or at an acceptable cost;

patients do not generally receive reimbursement from third-party payors for their purchase of our products, which may reduce widespread use of our products;

our inexperience in marketing, selling and distributing our products;

we may not have adequate financial or other resources to successfully commercialize our products;

the uncertainties associated with establishing and qualifying our new manufacturing facility;

our products are not labeled as a replacement for the information that is obtained from single-point finger stick devices;

patients will need to incur the costs of our products in addition to single-point finger stick devices;

the introduction and market acceptance of competing products and technologies;

our inability to obtain sufficient quantities of supplies from our sole source and other key suppliers; and

rapid technological change may make our technology and our products obsolete.

**Our products are more invasive than current self-monitored glucose testing systems, including single-point finger stick devices, and patients may be unwilling to insert a sensor in their body, especially if their current diabetes management involves no more than two finger sticks per day. Moreover, patients may not perceive the benefits of continuous glucose monitoring and may be unwilling to change their current treatment regimens. In addition, physicians tend to be slow to change their medical treatment practices because of perceived liability risks arising from the use of new products. Physicians may not recommend or prescribe our products until there is long-term clinical evidence to convince them to alter their existing treatment methods, there are recommendations from prominent physicians that our products are effective in monitoring glucose levels and reimbursement or insurance coverage is available. We cannot predict when, if ever, physicians and patients may adopt the use of our products. If our products do not achieve an adequate level of acceptance by patients, physicians and healthcare payors, we may not generate significant product revenue and we may not become profitable.**

Additionally, since the launch of the STS, we have experienced periodic field failures. We do not believe these failures created any patient safety concerns and we are not aware of any reports of adverse events or incidents related to these failures. Although we believe we have taken appropriate actions aimed at reducing or eliminating field failures, there can be no assurances that we will not experience additional failures going forward.

**Our debt obligations expose us to risks that could adversely affect our business, operating results and financial condition.**

**In March 2007, we issued an aggregate principal amount of \$60,000,000 in 4.75% Convertible Senior Notes due in 2027. The level of our indebtedness, among other things, could:**

require us to dedicate a portion of our expected cash flow or our existing cash to service our indebtedness, which would reduce the amount of our cash available for other purposes, including working capital, capital expenditures and research and development expenditures;

make it difficult for us to incur additional debt or obtain any necessary financing in the future for working capital, capital expenditures, debt service, acquisitions or general corporate purposes;

limit our flexibility in planning for or reacting to changes in our business;

limit our ability to sell ourselves or engage in other strategic transactions;

make us more vulnerable in the event of a downturn in our business; or

place us at a possible competitive disadvantage relative to less leveraged competitors and competitors that have greater access to capital resources.

If we fail to generate revenue due to any of the factors described in this section entitled **Risk Factors**, or otherwise, we could have difficulty paying amounts due on our indebtedness. Although the convertible senior notes mature in 2027, the holders of the convertible senior notes may require us to repurchase their notes prior to maturity under certain circumstances, including specified fundamental changes such as the sale of a majority of the voting power of the company. If we are unable to generate sufficient cash flow or otherwise obtain funds necessary to make required payments, or if we fail to comply with the various requirements of the convertible senior notes, we would be in default, which would permit the holders of our indebtedness to accelerate the maturity of the indebtedness and could cause defaults under any other indebtedness that we may have outstanding at such time. Any default under our indebtedness could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

Conversion of the convertible senior notes will dilute the ownership interests of existing stockholders.

The terms of the convertible senior notes permit the holders to convert the notes into shares of our common stock. The convertible senior notes are convertible into our common stock initially at a conversion price of \$7.80 per share, which would result in an aggregate of approximately 7.7 million shares of our common stock being issued upon conversion, subject to adjustment upon the occurrence of specified events, provided that the total number of shares of common stock issuable upon conversion, as may be adjusted for fundamental changes or otherwise, may not exceed approximately 9.2 million shares. The conversion of some or all of the convertible senior notes will dilute the ownership interest of our existing stockholders. Any sales in the public market of the common stock issuable upon conversion could adversely affect prevailing market prices of our common stock.

We have incurred losses since inception and anticipate that we will incur continued losses for the foreseeable future.

We have incurred net losses in each year since our inception in May 1999, including a net loss of \$33.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007. As of September 30, 2007, we had an accumulated deficit of \$164.0 million. We have financed our operations primarily through private placements of our equity and debt securities and our public offerings, and have devoted a substantial portion of our resources to research and development relating to our continuous glucose monitoring systems, and more recently, we have incurred significant sales and marketing and manufacturing expenses associated with the commercialization of our products. In addition, we expect our research and development expenses to increase in connection with our clinical trials and other development activities related to our products. We also expect that our general and administrative expenses will continue to increase due to the additional operational and regulatory burdens applicable to public companies. As a result, we expect to continue to incur significant operating losses for the foreseeable future. These losses, among other things, have had and will continue to have an adverse effect on our stockholders' equity and may adversely affect our ability to pay interest on, and principal of, the convertible senior notes.

If we are unable to establish adequate sales, marketing and distribution capabilities or enter into and maintain arrangements with third parties to sell, market and distribute our continuous glucose monitoring products, our business may be harmed.

To achieve commercial success for our products, we must either continue to develop and grow our sales and marketing organization or enter into arrangements with others to market and sell our products. We currently employ a small direct sales force to market our products in the United States. Our sales organization competes with the experienced and well-funded marketing and sales operations of our competitors. We have limited experience developing and managing a direct sales organization and marketing and distributing our products, and we may be unsuccessful in our attempt to do so.

Developing and managing a direct sales organization is a difficult, expensive and time consuming process. To be successful we must:

recruit and retain adequate numbers of effective sales personnel;

effectively train our sales personnel in the benefits of our products;

establish and maintain successful sales and marketing and education programs that encourage endocrinologists, physicians and diabetes educators to recommend our products to their patients; and

manage geographically disbursed sales and marketing operations.

If we are unable to develop and maintain an adequate sales and marketing organization, or if our direct sales organization is not successful, we may have difficulty achieving market awareness and selling our products.

We may contract with third parties to market and sell our products in the United States if we are unable to develop an adequate direct sales organization. To the extent that we enter into arrangements with third parties to perform sales, marketing, distribution and billing services in the United States, our product margins could be lower than if we directly marketed and sold our products. Furthermore, to the extent that we enter into co-promotion or other marketing and sales arrangements with other companies, any revenue received will depend on the skills and efforts of others, and we do not know whether these efforts will be successful. If we are unable to establish and maintain adequate sales, marketing and distribution capabilities, independently or with others, we may not be able to generate product revenue and may not become profitable.

We have limited manufacturing capabilities and manufacturing personnel, and if our manufacturing capabilities are insufficient to produce an adequate supply of products at appropriate quality levels, our growth could be limited and our business could be harmed.

We currently have limited resources, facilities and experience in commercially manufacturing sufficient quantities of product to meet expected demand for our products. During 2006, we had difficulty scaling our manufacturing operations to provide a sufficient supply of product to support our commercialization efforts. As a result of these product shortages, we experienced periods of backorder and, at times, had to limit the efforts of our sales force to introduce the STS to new customers. We have focused significant effort on continual improvement programs in our manufacturing operations intended to improve quality, yields and throughput and we believe we have remedied our supply shortages. Although we believe we have made progress in manufacturing to enable us to supply adequate amounts of product to support our commercialization efforts, there can be no assurances that supply will not be constrained going forward. In order to produce our products in the quantities we anticipate will be necessary to meet market demand, we will need to increase our manufacturing capacity by a significant factor over the current level. There are technical challenges to increasing manufacturing capacity, including equipment design and automation, materials procurement, problems with production yields and quality control and assurance. Developing commercial-scale manufacturing facilities will require the investment of substantial additional funds and the hiring and retention of additional management, quality assurance, quality control and technical personnel who have the necessary manufacturing experience. Also, the scaling of manufacturing capacity is subject to numerous risks and uncertainties, such as construction timelines, design, installation and maintenance of manufacturing equipment, among others, which can lead to unexpected delays. In addition, our facilities may have to undergo additional inspections by the FDA and corresponding state agencies. We cannot assure you that we will be able to develop and expand our manufacturing process and operations or obtain FDA and state agency approval of our facilities in a timely manner or at all. If we are unable to manufacture a sufficient supply of our current products or any future products for which we may receive approval, maintain control over expenses or otherwise adapt to anticipated growth, or if we underestimate growth, we may not have the capability to satisfy market demand and our business will suffer.

Additionally, the production of our products must occur in a highly controlled and clean environment to minimize particles and other yield-and quality-limiting contaminants. Weaknesses in process control or minute impurities in materials may cause a substantial percentage of defective products in a lot. If we are not able to maintain stringent quality controls, or if contamination problems arise, our clinical development and commercialization efforts could be delayed, which would harm our business and our results of operations.

Our products do not have reimbursement and are not approved for insurance coverage. If we are unable to obtain adequate reimbursement at acceptable prices for our products from third-party payors, we will be unable to generate significant revenue.

Our products do not have reimbursement and are not approved for insurance coverage. The availability of insurance coverage and reimbursement for newly approved medical devices is uncertain. In the United States, patients using existing single-point finger stick

devices are generally reimbursed all or part of the product cost by Medicare or other third-party payors. The commercial success of our products in both domestic and international markets will be substantially dependent on whether third-party coverage and reimbursement is available for patients that use our products. In April 2007, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid ( CMS ) Healthcare Common Procedure Coding System ( HCPCS ) Workgroup issued a preliminary decision recommending approval for our request to establish HCPCS codes for the three components of our continuous glucose monitoring system, however this preliminary decision does not represent a coverage decision nor is it final or binding upon CMS or any private payor and is subject to change. Third-party coverage may also be difficult to obtain if our products are not approved by the FDA as a replacement for existing single-point finger stick devices. Medicare, Medicaid, health maintenance organizations and other third-party payors are increasingly attempting to contain healthcare costs by limiting both coverage and the level of reimbursement of new medical devices, and, as a result, they may not cover or provide adequate payment for our products. In order to obtain reimbursement arrangements, we may have to agree to a net sales price lower than the net sales price we might charge in other sales channels. The continuing efforts of government and third-party payors to contain or reduce the costs of healthcare may limit our revenue. Our initial dependence on the commercial success of our products makes us particularly susceptible to any cost

containment or reduction efforts. Accordingly, unless government and other third-party payors provide adequate coverage and reimbursement for our products, patients may not use it.

In some foreign markets, pricing and profitability of medical devices are subject to government control. In the United States, we expect that there will continue to be federal and state proposals for similar controls. Also, the trends toward managed healthcare in the United States and proposed legislation intended to reduce the cost of government insurance programs could significantly influence the purchase of healthcare services and products and may result in lower prices for our products or the exclusion of our products from reimbursement programs.

Our manufacturing operations are dependent upon third-party suppliers, making us vulnerable to supply problems and price fluctuations, which could harm our business.

We rely on Flextronics International, Ltd. to manufacture and supply the receiver included as part of our continuous glucose monitoring systems and the circuit boards for our short-term sensors; we rely on AMI Semiconductor, Inc. to manufacture and supply the application specific integrated circuit, or ASIC, that is incorporated into the transmitter for our continuous glucose monitoring systems; we rely on CardioTech to manufacture the polymers used to synthesize our polymeric biointerface membranes for our products; we rely on Vita Needle to manufacture and supply the insertion needle in our products applicator; and we rely on The Tech Group to supply our injection molded components. Each of these suppliers is a sole-source supplier. In some cases, our agreements with these and our other suppliers can be terminated by either party upon short notice. In other cases we operate without a written agreement with the supplier. Our contract manufacturers also rely on sole-source suppliers to manufacture some of the components used in our products. Our manufacturers and suppliers may encounter problems during manufacturing due to a variety of reasons, including failure to follow specific protocols and procedures, failure to comply with applicable regulations, equipment malfunction and environmental factors, any of which could delay or impede their ability to meet our demand. Our reliance on these outside manufacturers and suppliers also subjects us to other risks that could harm our business, including:

we may not be able to obtain adequate supply in a timely manner or on commercially reasonable terms;

our products are technologically complex and it is difficult to develop alternative supply sources;

we are not a major customer of many of our suppliers, and these suppliers may therefore give other customers needs higher priority than ours;

our suppliers may make errors in manufacturing components that could negatively affect the efficacy or safety of our products or cause delays in shipment of our products;

we may have difficulty locating and qualifying alternative suppliers for our sole-source supplies;



switching components may require product redesign and submission to the FDA of a PMA supplement or possibly a separate PMA, either of which could significantly delay production;

our suppliers manufacture products for a range of customers, and fluctuations in demand for the products these suppliers manufacture for others may affect their ability to deliver components to us in a timely manner; and

our suppliers may encounter financial hardships unrelated to our demand for components, which could inhibit their ability to fulfill our orders and meet our requirements.

**We may not be able to quickly establish additional or replacement suppliers, particularly for our single-source components, in part because of the FDA approval process and because of the custom nature of various parts we design. Any interruption or delay in the supply of components or materials, or our inability to obtain components or materials from alternate sources at acceptable prices in a timely manner, could impair our ability to meet the demand of our customers and cause them to cancel orders or switch to competitive products.**

**Abbott Diabetes Care, Inc. has filed a patent infringement lawsuit against us. If we are not successful in defending against its claims, our business could be materially impaired.**

**On August 11, 2005, Abbott Diabetes Care, Inc., or Abbott, filed a patent infringement lawsuit against us in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, seeking a declaratory judgment that our short-term continuous glucose monitor infringes certain patents held by Abbott. In August 2005, we moved to dismiss these claims and filed requests for reexamination**

of the Abbott patents with the United States Patent and Trademark Office and by March 2006, the Patent Office ordered reexamination of each of the four patents originally asserted against us in the litigation. On June 27, 2006, Abbott amended its complaint to include three additional patents owned or licensed by Abbott which are allegedly infringed by our short-term continuous glucose monitor. On August 18, 2006 the court granted our motion to stay the lawsuit pending reexamination by the Patent Office of each of the four patents originally asserted by Abbott, and the court dismissed one significant infringement claim. In approving the stay, the court also granted our motion to strike, or disallow, Abbott's amended complaint in which Abbott had sought to add three additional patents to the litigation. In late 2006, the Patent Office issued a non-final rejection of all claims we submitted for reexamination in two of the Abbott patents cited in the original lawsuit. In both cases, Abbott has filed a response with the Patent Office seeking claim construction to differentiate certain claims from the prior art presented by us, seeking to amend certain claims to overcome the prior art presented by us, and seeking to add new claims. In response, we filed a second reexamination request with the Patent Office challenging each of Abbott's proposed amendments. The reexamination of the other two patents cited in the original complaint has been ordered by the Patent Office, however no office action has been issued in either case. Subject to the stay, we intend to continue to vigorously contest the action.

Subsequent to the court's ruling on August 18, 2006, Abbott filed a separate action in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware alleging patent infringement of those same three additional patents. We believe this complaint, like the first, is without merit and we intend to vigorously contest the action. To that end, we filed requests with the Patent Office to reexamine each of the three additional patents cited by Abbott and on September 7, 2006, we filed a motion to strike Abbott's new complaint on the grounds that it is redundant of claims Abbott already improperly attempted to inject into the original case, and because the original case is now stayed, Abbott must wait until the court lifts that stay before it can properly ask the court to consider these claims. Alternatively, we asked the court to consolidate the new case with the original case and thereby stay the entirety of the case pending conclusion of the reexamination proceedings in the Patent Office. On September 30, 2007, the court granted our motion to consolidate the cases and stay the entirety of the case pending conclusion of the reexamination proceedings in the Patent Office relating to all seven patents asserted against us. As of February 2007, the Patent Office ordered reexamination of each of the three patents cited in this new lawsuit and in June 2007, the Patent Office issued a non-final rejection of all claims we submitted for reexamination in two of the Abbott patents cited in the new lawsuit. In each of these cases, Abbott has filed a response with the Patent Office seeking claim construction to differentiate certain claims from the prior art presented by us, seeking to amend certain claims to overcome the prior art presented by us, and seeking to add new claims. In response, we are preparing to file a second reexamination request with the Patent Office challenging each of Abbott's proposed amendments.

Although it is our position that Abbott's assertions of infringement have no merit, neither the outcome of the litigation nor the amount and range of potential fees can be assessed. No assurances can be given that we will prevail in the lawsuit or that we can successfully defend ourselves against the claims made by Abbott, and we expect to incur significant costs in defending the action, which could have a material adverse effect on our business and our results of operations regardless of the final outcome of such litigation. Subject to the stay, Abbott could immediately seek a preliminary injunction that, if granted, would force us to stop making, using, selling or offering to sell our products. Our STS and SEVEN products are our only products that are approved for commercial sale, and if we were forced to stop selling either of them, our business and prospects would suffer. We cannot assure you that Abbott will not file for a preliminary injunction, that we would be successful in defending against such an action if filed or that we can successfully defend ourselves against the claim. In addition, defending against this action could have a number of harmful effects on our business, including those discussed in the following risk factor, regardless of the final outcome of such litigation.

We are subject to claims of infringement or misappropriation of the intellectual property rights of others, which could prohibit us from shipping affected products, require us to obtain licenses from third parties or to develop non-infringing alternatives, and subject us to substantial monetary damages and injunctive relief.

Other companies, including Abbott could, in the future, assert infringement or misappropriation claims against us with respect to our current or future products. Whether a product infringes a patent involves complex legal and factual issues, the determination of which is often uncertain. Therefore, we cannot be certain that we have not infringed the intellectual property rights of such third parties or

others. Our competitors may assert that our continuous glucose monitoring systems or the methods we employ in the use of our systems are covered by U.S. or foreign patents held by them. This risk is exacerbated by the fact that there are numerous issued patents and pending patent applications relating to self-monitored glucose testing systems in the medical technology field. Because patent applications may take years to issue, there may be applications now pending of which we are unaware that may later result in issued patents that our products infringe. There could also be existing patents of which we are unaware that one or more components of our system may inadvertently infringe. As the number of competitors in the market for continuous glucose monitoring systems grows, the possibility of inadvertent patent infringement by us or a patent infringement claim against us increases.

Any infringement or misappropriation claim, including the claim brought by Abbott, could cause us to incur significant costs, could place significant strain on our financial resources, divert management's attention from our business and harm our reputation. If the relevant patents were upheld as valid and enforceable and we were found to infringe, we could be prohibited from selling our product that is found to infringe unless we could obtain licenses to use the technology covered by the patent or are able to design around the patent. We may be unable to obtain a license on terms acceptable to us, if at all, and we may not be able to redesign our products to avoid infringement. Even if we are able to redesign our products to avoid an infringement claim, we may not receive FDA approval for such changes in a timely manner or at all. A court could also order us to pay compensatory damages for such infringement, plus prejudgment interest and could, in addition, treble the compensatory damages and award attorney fees. These damages could be substantial and could harm our reputation, business, financial condition and operating results. A court also could enter orders that temporarily, preliminarily or permanently enjoin us and our customers from making, using, selling or offering to sell, or could enter an order mandating that we undertake certain remedial activities. Depending on the nature of the relief ordered by the court, we could become liable for additional damages to third parties.

Our inability to adequately protect our intellectual property could allow our competitors and others to produce products based on our technology, which could substantially impair our ability to compete.

Our success and our ability to compete is dependent, in part, upon our ability to maintain the proprietary nature of our technologies. We rely on a combination of patent, copyright and trademark law, and trade secrets and nondisclosure agreements to protect our intellectual property. However, such methods may not be adequate to protect us or permit us to gain or maintain a competitive advantage. Our patent applications may not issue as patents in a form that will be advantageous to us, or at all. Our issued patents, and those that may issue in the future, may be challenged, invalidated or circumvented, which could limit our ability to stop competitors from marketing related products. In addition, new regulations scheduled to become effective on November 1, 2007 may limit our ability to file continuing patent applications and pursue patent claims in the USPTO.

To protect our proprietary rights, we may in the future need to assert claims of infringement against third parties to protect our intellectual property. The outcome of litigation to enforce our intellectual property rights in patents, copyrights, trade secrets or trademarks is highly unpredictable, could result in substantial costs and diversion of resources, and could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations regardless of the final outcome of such litigation. In the event of an adverse judgment, a court could hold that some or all of our asserted intellectual property rights are not infringed, invalid or unenforceable, and could award attorney fees.

Despite our efforts to safeguard our unpatented and unregistered intellectual property rights, we may not be successful in doing so or the steps taken by us in this regard may not be adequate to detect or deter misappropriation of our technology or to prevent an unauthorized third party from copying or otherwise obtaining and using our products, technology or other information that we regard as proprietary. Additionally, third parties may be able to design around our patents. Furthermore, the laws of foreign countries may not protect our proprietary rights to the same extent as the laws of the United States. Our inability to adequately protect our intellectual property could allow our competitors and others to produce products based on our technology, which could substantially impair our ability to compete.

The federal trademark application for the DEXCOM mark has been opposed, and we continue to vigorously defend against the opposition. The opposition proceeding only determines the right to federally register a trademark and cannot result in the award of any damages. We believe that we are entitled to a registration for our DEXCOM mark, but cannot assure you that we will succeed in these efforts. If we are unsuccessful, we could be forced to change our company name or market our products under a different name, which could result in a loss of brand recognition, could require us to retrieve product and interrupt supply and could require us to devote substantial resources to advertising and marketing our products under the new brand.

**We operate in a highly competitive market and face competition from large, well-established medical device manufacturers with significant resources, and, as a result, we may not be able to compete effectively.**

**The market for glucose monitoring devices is intensely competitive, subject to rapid change and significantly affected by new product introductions and other market activities of industry participants. In selling our products, we compete directly with Roche Diabetes Care, a division of Roche Diagnostics; LifeScan, Inc., a division of Johnson & Johnson; the MediSense and TheraSense divisions of Abbott Laboratories; and Bayer Corporation, each of which manufactures and markets products for the single-point finger stick device market. Collectively, these companies currently account for substantially all of the worldwide sales of self-monitored glucose testing systems. Several companies are developing or marketing short-term continuous glucose monitoring products that will compete directly with our products. To date, in addition to our products, two other companies, Cygnus and Medtronic have received approval from the FDA for continuous glucose monitors and Abbott is seeking approval for another. We believe that one of the products, originally developed and marketed by Cygnus, is no longer actively marketed. In addition, Johnson & Johnson announced in 2006 that it is developing and expects to commence clinical trials in support of a continuous**

glucose monitoring system in 2007. Most of the companies developing or marketing competing devices are publicly traded or divisions of publicly-traded companies, and these companies enjoy several competitive advantages, including:

significantly greater name recognition;

established relations with healthcare professionals, customers and third-party payors;

established distribution networks;

additional lines of products, and the ability to offer rebates or bundle products to offer higher discounts or incentives to gain a competitive advantage;

greater experience in conducting research and development, manufacturing, clinical trials, obtaining regulatory approval for products and marketing approved products; and

greater financial and human resources for product development, sales and marketing, and patent litigation.

**As a result, we may not be able to compete effectively against these companies or their products.**

**No continuous glucose monitoring system, including either of our products, has yet received FDA clearance as a replacement for single-point finger stick devices, and our products may never be approved for that indication.**

**Our products do not eliminate the need for single-point finger stick devices and our future products may not be approved for that indication. No precedent for FDA approval of continuous glucose monitoring systems as a replacement for single-point finger stick devices has been established. Accordingly, there is no established study design or agreement regarding performance requirements or measurements in clinical trials for continuous glucose monitoring systems. We have not yet filed for FDA approval for replacement claim labeling and we cannot assure you that we will not experience delays if we do file. If any of our competitors were to obtain replacement claim labeling for a continuous glucose monitoring system, our products may not be able to compete effectively against that system and our business would suffer.**

**Technological breakthroughs in the glucose monitoring market could render our products obsolete.**

The glucose monitoring market is subject to rapid technological change and product innovation. Our products are based on our proprietary technology, but a number of companies and medical researchers are pursuing new technologies for the monitoring of glucose levels. FDA approval of a commercially viable continuous glucose monitor or sensor produced by one of our competitors could significantly reduce market acceptance of our systems. Several of our competitors are in various stages of developing continuous glucose monitors or sensors, including non-invasive and invasive devices, and the FDA has approved several of these competing products. In addition, the National Institutes of Health and other supporters of diabetes research are continually seeking ways to prevent, cure or improve treatment of diabetes. Therefore, our products may be rendered obsolete by technological breakthroughs in diabetes monitoring, treatment, prevention or cure.

If we are unable to successfully complete the pre-clinical studies or clinical trials necessary to support additional PMA applications, we may be unable to commercialize our continuous glucose monitoring systems under development, which could impair our financial position.

Before submitting any additional PMA applications, we must successfully complete pre-clinical studies and clinical trials that we believe will demonstrate that the product is safe and effective. Product development, including pre-clinical studies and clinical trials, is a long, expensive and uncertain process and is subject to delays and failure at any stage. Furthermore, the data obtained from the studies and trial may be inadequate to support approval of a PMA application. While we have in the past obtained, and may in the future obtain, an Investigational Device Exemption, or IDE, prior to commencing clinical trials for our continuous glucose monitoring systems, FDA approval of an IDE application permitting us to conduct testing does not mean that the FDA will consider the data gathered in the trial to be sufficient to support approval of a PMA application, even if the trial's intended safety and efficacy endpoints are achieved.

The commencement or completion of any of our clinical trials may be delayed or halted, or be inadequate to support approval of a PMA application, for numerous reasons, including, but not limited to, the following:

the FDA or other regulatory authorities do not approve a clinical trial protocol or a clinical trial, or place a clinical trial on hold;

patients do not enroll in clinical trials at the rate we expect;

patients do not comply with trial protocols;

patient follow-up is not at the rate we expect;

patients experience adverse side effects;

patients die during a clinical trial, even though their death may not be related to our products;

institutional review boards, or IRBs, and third-party clinical investigators may delay or reject our trial protocol;

third-party clinical investigators decline to participate in a trial or do not perform a trial on our anticipated schedule or consistent with the investigator agreements, clinical trial protocol, good clinical practices or other FDA or IRB requirements;

third-party organizations do not perform data collection, monitoring and analysis in a timely or accurate manner or consistent with the clinical trial protocol or investigational or statistical plans;

regulatory inspections of our clinical trials or manufacturing facilities may, among other things, require us to undertake corrective action or suspend or terminate our clinical trials;

changes in governmental regulations or administrative actions;

the interim or final results of the clinical trial are inconclusive or unfavorable as to safety or efficacy; and

the FDA concludes that our trial design is inadequate to demonstrate safety and efficacy.



The results of pre-clinical studies do not necessarily predict future clinical trial results, and predecessor clinical trial results may not be repeated in subsequent clinical trials. Additionally, the FDA may disagree with our interpretation of the data from our pre-clinical studies and clinical trials, or may find the clinical trial design, conduct or results inadequate to prove safety or efficacy, and may require us to pursue additional pre-clinical studies or clinical trials, which could further delay the approval of our products. If we are unable to demonstrate the safety and efficacy of our products in our clinical trials, we will be unable to obtain regulatory approval to market our products. The data we collect from our current clinical trials, our pre-clinical studies and other clinical trials may not be sufficient to support FDA approval.

We depend on clinical investigators and clinical sites to enroll patients in our clinical trials and other third parties to manage the trials and to perform related data collection and analysis, and, as a result, we may face costs and delays that are outside of our control.

We rely on clinical investigators and clinical sites to enroll patients in our clinical trials and other third parties to manage the trial and to perform related data collection and analysis. However, we may not be able to control the amount and timing of resources that clinical sites may devote to our clinical trials. If these clinical investigators and clinical sites fail to enroll a sufficient number of patients in our clinical trials or fail to ensure compliance by patients with clinical protocols or fail to comply with regulatory requirements, we will be unable to complete these trials, which could prevent us from obtaining regulatory approvals for our products. Our agreements with clinical investigators and clinical sites for clinical testing place substantial responsibilities on these parties and, if these parties fail to perform as expected, our trials could be delayed or terminated. If these clinical investigators, clinical sites or other third parties do not carry out their contractual duties or obligations or fail to meet expected deadlines, or if the quality or accuracy of the clinical data they obtain is compromised due to their failure to adhere to our clinical protocols, regulatory requirements or for other reasons, our clinical trials may be extended, delayed or terminated, or the clinical data may be rejected by the FDA, and we may be unable to obtain regulatory approval for, or successfully commercialize, our products.

We have not received, and may never receive, FDA approval to market our continuous glucose monitoring systems that are under development.

We are continuing to invest in the development of our technology platform and will seek to obtain additional FDA approvals for continuous glucose monitoring systems in addition to our currently approved products, including our continuous glucose monitoring system for the in-hospital market. The regulatory approval process for these continuous glucose monitoring systems

that are under development involves, among other things, successfully completing clinical trials and obtaining a PMA from the FDA. The PMA process requires us to prove the safety and efficacy of our continuous glucose monitoring systems to the FDA's satisfaction. This process can be expensive and uncertain, requires detailed and comprehensive scientific and human clinical data, generally takes one to three years after a PMA application is filed and may never result in the FDA granting a PMA. The FDA can delay, limit or deny approval of a PMA application for many reasons, including:

our systems may not be safe or effective to the FDA's satisfaction;

the data from our pre-clinical studies and clinical trials may be insufficient to support approval;

the manufacturing process or facilities we use may not meet applicable requirements; and

changes in FDA approval policies or adoption of new regulations may require additional data.

Even if approved, our continuous glucose monitoring systems under development may not be approved for the indications that are necessary or desirable for successful commercialization. We may not obtain the necessary regulatory approvals to market these continuous glucose monitoring systems in the United States or anywhere else. Any delay in, or failure to receive or maintain, approval for our continuous glucose monitoring systems under development could prevent us from generating revenue from these products or achieving profitability.

We may be unable to continue the commercialization of our products or the development and commercialization of our other continuous glucose monitoring systems without additional funding.

Our operations have consumed substantial amounts of cash since inception. We expect to continue to spend substantial amounts on commercializing our products, including further development of our direct sales force and expansion of our manufacturing capacity, and on research and development, including conducting clinical trials for our next generation continuous glucose monitoring systems. For the nine months ended September 30, 2007, our net cash used in operating activities was \$26.0 million, compared to \$33.8 million for the same period in 2006, and as of September 30, 2007, we had working capital of \$71.0 million, including \$74.3 million in cash, cash equivalents and short-term marketable securities. We expect that our cash used by operations will increase significantly in each of the next several years, and we may need additional funds to continue the commercialization of our products and for the development and commercialization of other continuous glucose monitoring systems. Additional financing may not be available on a timely basis on terms acceptable to us, or at all. Any additional financing may be dilutive to stockholders or may require us to grant a lender a security interest in our assets. The amount of funding we will need will depend on many factors, including:

the revenue generated by sales of our products and other future products;

the expenses we incur in manufacturing, developing, selling and marketing our products;

our ability to scale our manufacturing operations to meet demand for our current and any future products;

the costs to produce our continuous glucose monitoring systems;

the costs and timing of additional regulatory approvals;

the costs of filing, prosecuting, defending and enforcing any patent claims and other intellectual property rights;

the rate of progress and cost of our clinical trials and other development activities;

the success of our research and development efforts;

the emergence of competing or complementary technological developments;

the terms and timing of any collaborative, licensing and other arrangements that we may establish; and

the acquisition of businesses, products and technologies, although we currently have no commitments or agreements relating to any of these types of transactions.

If adequate funds are not available, we may not be able to commercialize our products at the rate we desire and we may have to delay development or commercialization of our other products or license to third parties the rights to commercialize products or technologies that we would otherwise seek to commercialize. We also may have to reduce marketing, customer support or other resources devoted to our products. Any of these factors could harm our financial condition.

Potential long-term complications from our current products or other continuous glucose monitoring systems under development may not be revealed by our clinical experience to date.

If unanticipated long-term side-effects result from the use of our current products or other glucose monitoring systems under development, we could be subject to liability and our systems would not be widely adopted. Our clinical trials have been limited to seven days of continuous use with our products. Additionally, we have limited clinical experience with repeated use of our products in the same patient. We cannot assure you that long-term use would not result in unanticipated complications. Furthermore, the interim results from our current pre-clinical studies and clinical trials may not be indicative of the clinical results obtained when we examine the patients at later dates. It is possible that repeated use of our products will result in unanticipated adverse effects, potentially even after the device is removed.

If we or our suppliers fail to comply with ongoing regulatory requirements, or if we experience unanticipated problems with our products, these products could be subject to restrictions or withdrawal from the market.

Any product for which we obtain marketing approval, along with the manufacturing processes, post-approval clinical data and promotional activities for such product, will be subject to continual review and periodic inspections by the FDA and other regulatory bodies. The FDA's medical device reporting, or MDR, regulations require that we report to the FDA any incident in which our product may have caused or contributed to a death or serious injury, or in which our product malfunctioned and, if the malfunction were to recur, it would likely cause or contribute to a death or serious injury. We and our suppliers are required to comply with the FDA's Quality System Regulation, or QSR, and other regulations, which cover the methods and documentation of the design, testing, production, control, quality assurance, labeling, packaging, storage, shipping and servicing of our products. The FDA enforces the QSR through unannounced inspections. We currently manufacture our devices at our headquarters in San Diego, California, and a new facility located nearby. In these facilities we have more than 5,000 square feet of laboratory space and approximately 5,000 square feet of controlled environment rooms. In January 2007, both facilities were subject to a post-approval PMA and QSR audit by the FDA. Based on the results of this inspection, we believe we are in substantial compliance with the regulatory requirements for a commercial medical device manufacturer and there were no major observations from the FDA resulting from this audit. At the close of the inspection, the FDA issued a Form 483 indemnifying several inspectional observations and, although we had no formal requirements or obligations to provide anything further to the FDA regarding these observations, we voluntarily provided formal written evidence to the FDA of our actions taken to address these minor observations in April 2007. Compliance with ongoing regulatory requirements can be complex, expensive and time-consuming. Failure by us or one of our suppliers to comply with statutes and regulations administered by the FDA and other regulatory bodies, or failure to take adequate response to any observations, could result in, among other things, any of the following actions:

warning letters;

fines and civil penalties;

unanticipated expenditures;

delays in approving or refusal to approve our continuous glucose monitoring systems;

withdrawal of approval by the FDA or other regulatory bodies;

product recall or seizure;

interruption of production;

operating restrictions;

injunctions; and

criminal prosecution.

If any of these actions were to occur, it would harm our reputation and cause our product sales and profitability to suffer. In addition, we believe MDRs are generally underreported and any underlying problems could be of a larger magnitude than suggested by the number or types of MDRs we receive. Furthermore, our key component suppliers may not currently be or may not continue to be in compliance with applicable regulatory requirements.

Even if regulatory approval of a product is granted, the approval may be subject to limitations on the indicated uses for which the product may be marketed or contain requirements for costly post-marketing testing and surveillance to monitor the safety or efficacy of the product. Later discovery of previously unknown problems with our products, including software bugs, unanticipated adverse events or adverse events of unanticipated severity or frequency, manufacturing problems, or failure to comply with regulatory requirements such as the QSR, may result in restrictions on such products or manufacturing processes, withdrawal of the products from the market, voluntary or mandatory recalls, fines, suspension of regulatory approvals, product seizures, injunctions or the imposition of civil or criminal penalties.

We face the risk of product liability claims and may not be able to maintain or obtain insurance.

Our business exposes us to the risk of product liability claims that is inherent in the testing, manufacturing and marketing of medical devices, including those which may arise from the misuse or malfunction of, or design flaws in, our products. We may be subject to product liability claims if our products cause, or merely appear to have caused, an injury. Claims may be made by patients, healthcare providers or others selling our products. Although we have product liability and clinical trial liability insurance that we believe is appropriate, this insurance is subject to deductibles and coverage limitations. Our current product liability insurance may not continue to be available to us on acceptable terms, if at all, and, if available, the coverage may not be adequate to protect us against any future product liability claims. Further, if additional products are approved for marketing, we may seek additional insurance coverage. If we are unable to obtain insurance at an acceptable cost or on acceptable terms with adequate coverage or otherwise protect against potential product liability claims, we will be exposed to significant liabilities, which may harm our business. A product liability claim, recall or other claim with respect to uninsured liabilities or for amounts in excess of insured liabilities could result in significant costs and significant harm to our business.

We may be subject to claims against us even if the apparent injury is due to the actions of others or misuse of the device. Our customers, either on their own or following the advice of their physicians, may use our products in a manner not described in the products labeling and that differs from the manner in which it was used in clinical studies and approved by the FDA. For example, our SEVEN is designed to be used by a patient continuously for up to seven days, but the patient might be able to circumvent the safeguards designed into the SEVEN and use the product for longer than seven days. Off-label use of products by patients is common, and any such off-label use of our products could subject us to additional liability. These liabilities could prevent or interfere with our product commercialization efforts. Defending a suit, regardless of merit, could be costly, could divert management attention and might result in adverse publicity, which could result in the withdrawal of, or inability to recruit, clinical trial volunteers or result in reduced acceptance of our products in the market.

We may be subject to fines, penalties and injunctions if we are determined to be promoting the use of our products for unapproved off-label uses.

Although we believe our promotional materials and training methods are conducted in compliance with FDA and other regulations, if the FDA determines that our promotional materials or training constitutes promotion of an unapproved use, the FDA could request that we modify our training or promotional materials or subject us to regulatory enforcement actions, including the issuance of a warning letter, injunction, seizure, civil fine and criminal penalties. It is also possible that other federal, state or foreign enforcement authorities

might take action if they consider promotional or training materials to constitute promotion of an unapproved use, which could result in significant fines or penalties under other statutory authorities, such as laws prohibiting false claims for reimbursement.

We conduct business in a heavily regulated industry and if we fail to comply with these laws and government regulations, we could suffer penalties or be required to make significant changes to our operations.

The healthcare industry is subject to extensive federal, state and local laws and regulations relating to:

billing for services;

financial relationships with physicians and other referral sources;

inducements and courtesies given to physicians and other health care providers and patients;

quality of medical equipment and services;

confidentiality, maintenance and security issues associated with medical records and individually identifiable health information;

medical device reporting;

false claims;

professional licensure; and

labeling products.

**These laws and regulations are extremely complex and, in some cases, still evolving. In many instances, the industry does not have the benefit of significant regulatory or judicial interpretation of these laws and regulations. If our operations are found to be in violation of any of the federal, state or local laws and regulations which govern our activities, we may be subject to the applicable penalty associated with the violation, including civil and criminal penalties, damages, fines or curtailment of our operations. The risk of being found in violation of these laws and regulations is increased by the fact that many of them have not been fully interpreted by the regulatory authorities or the courts, and their provisions are open to a variety of interpretations. Any action against us for violation of these laws or regulations, even if we successfully defend against it, could cause us to incur significant legal expenses and divert our management's time and attention from the operation of our business.**

**In addition, healthcare laws and regulations may change significantly in the future. Any new healthcare laws or regulations may adversely affect our business. A review of our business by courts or regulatory authorities may result in a determination that could adversely affect our operations. Also, the healthcare regulatory environment may change in a way that restricts our operations.**

**We are not aware of any governmental healthcare investigations involving our executives or us. However, any future healthcare investigations of our executives, our managers or us could result in significant liabilities or penalties to us, as well as adverse publicity.**

**The majority of our operations are conducted at two facilities in San Diego, California. Any disruption at these facilities could increase our expenses.**



Historically, the majority of our operations have been conducted at a single location in San Diego, California. We recently relocated a portion of our manufacturing operations and research and development to our new facility also located in San Diego, California. We take precautions to safeguard our facilities, including insurance, health and safety protocols, and off-site storage of computer data. However, a natural disaster, such as a fire, flood or earthquake, could cause substantial delays in our operations, damage or destroy our manufacturing equipment or inventory, and cause us to incur additional expenses. The insurance we maintain against fires, floods, earthquakes and other natural disasters may not be adequate to cover our losses in any particular case.

We may be liable for contamination or other harm caused by materials that we handle, and changes in environmental regulations could cause us to incur additional expense.

Our research and development and clinical processes involve the handling of potentially harmful biological materials as well as hazardous materials. We are subject to federal, state and local laws and regulations governing the use, handling, storage and disposal of hazardous and biological materials and we incur expenses relating to compliance with these laws and regulations. If violations of environmental, health and safety laws occur, we could be held liable for damages, penalties and costs of remedial actions. These expenses or this liability could have a significant negative impact on our financial condition. We may violate environmental, health and safety laws in the future as a result of human error, equipment failure or other causes. Environmental laws could become more stringent over time, imposing greater compliance costs and increasing risks and penalties associated with violations. We are subject to potentially conflicting and changing regulatory agendas of political, business and environmental groups. Changes to or restrictions on permitting requirements or processes, hazardous or biological material storage or handling might require an unplanned capital investment or relocation. Failure to comply with new or existing laws or regulations could harm our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Failure to obtain regulatory approval in foreign jurisdictions will prevent us from marketing our products abroad.

We may seek to market our products internationally. Outside the United States, we can market a product only if we receive a marketing authorization and, in some cases, pricing approval, from the appropriate regulatory authorities. The approval procedure varies among countries and can involve additional testing, and the time required to obtain approval may differ from that required to obtain FDA approval. The foreign regulatory approval process may include all of the risks associated with obtaining FDA approval in addition to other risks. We may not obtain foreign regulatory approvals on a timely basis, if at all. Approval by the FDA does not ensure approval by regulatory authorities in other countries, and approval by one foreign regulatory authority does not ensure approval by regulatory authorities in other foreign countries or by the FDA. We have not taken any actions to obtain foreign regulatory approvals. We may not be able to file for regulatory approvals and may not receive necessary approvals to commercialize our products in any market outside the United States on a timely basis, or at all.

Our success will depend on our ability to attract and retain our personnel.

We are highly dependent on our senior management, especially Terrance H. Gregg, our recently appointed President and Chief Executive Officer, Andrew K. Balo, our Vice President of Clinical and Regulatory Affairs, and Mark Brister, our Vice President of Advanced Development Teams. Our success will depend on our ability to retain our current management and to attract and retain qualified personnel in the future, including sales persons, scientists, clinicians, engineers and other highly skilled personnel. Competition for senior management personnel, as well as sales persons, scientists, clinicians and engineers, is intense and we may not be able to retain our personnel. In addition, some members of our management team have only recently joined our company. For example, Terrance H. Gregg, our President and Chief Executive Officer, joined us in June 2007. We expect that it will take time for Mr. Gregg to integrate into our company and our business could be harmed if the integration is not successful. The loss of the services of members of our senior management, scientists, clinicians or engineers could prevent the implementation and completion of our objectives, including the commercialization of our current products and the development and introduction of additional products. The loss of a member of our senior management or our professional staff would require the remaining executive officers to divert immediate and substantial attention to seeking a replacement. Each of our officers may terminate their employment at any time without notice and without cause or good reason. Additionally, volatility or a lack of positive performance in our stock price may adversely affect our ability to retain key employees.

We expect to continue to expand our operations and grow our research and development, manufacturing, sales, product development and administrative operations. This expansion is expected to place a significant strain on our management and will require hiring a significant number of qualified personnel. Accordingly, recruiting and retaining such personnel in the future will be critical to our success. There is intense competition from other companies and research and academic institutions for qualified personnel in the areas of our activities. If we fail to identify, attract, retain and motivate these highly skilled personnel, we may be unable to continue our development and commercialization activities.

We have incurred and will incur increased costs as a result of recently enacted and proposed changes in laws and regulations relating to corporate governance matters.

Recently enacted and proposed changes in the laws and regulations affecting public companies, including the provisions of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and rules adopted or proposed by the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, will result in increased costs to us as we evaluate the implications of any new rules and regulations and respond to new requirements under such rules and regulations. We are required to comply with many of these rules and regulations, and will be required to comply with additional rules and regulations in the future. As an early commercialization stage company with limited capital and human resources, we will need to

divert management's time and attention away from our business in order to ensure compliance with these regulatory requirements. This diversion of management's time and attention may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Valuation of share-based payments, which we are required to perform for purposes of recording compensation expense under FAS 123(R), involves significant assumptions that are subject to change and difficult to predict.

On January 1, 2006, we adopted SFAS 123(R), which requires that we record compensation expense in the statement of income for share-based payments, such as employee stock options, using the fair value method. The requirements of SFAS 123(R) have and will continue to have a material effect on our future financial results reported under GAAP and make it difficult for us to accurately predict the impact our future financial results.

For instance, estimating the fair value of share-based payments is highly dependent on assumptions regarding the future exercise behavior of our employees and changes in our stock price. Our share-based payments have characteristics significantly different from those of freely traded options, and changes to the subjective input assumptions of our share-based payment valuation models can materially change our estimates of the fair values of our share-based payments. In addition, the actual values realized upon the exercise, expiration, early termination or forfeiture of share-based payments might be significantly different than our estimates.

of the fair values of those awards as determined at the date of grant. Moreover, we rely on third parties that supply us with information or help us perform certain calculations that we employ to estimate the fair value of share-based payments. If any of these parties do not perform as expected or make errors, we may inaccurately calculate actual or estimated compensation expense for share-based payments.

SFAS 123(R) could also adversely impact our ability to provide accurate guidance on our future financial results as assumptions that are used to estimate the fair value of share-based payments are based on estimates and judgments that may differ from period to period. We may also be unable to accurately predict the amount and timing of the recognition of tax benefits associated with share-based payments as they are highly dependent on the exercise behavior of our employees and the price of our stock relative to the exercise price of each outstanding stock option.

For those reasons, among others, SFAS 123(R) may create variability and uncertainty in the share-based compensation expense we will record in future periods, which could adversely impact our stock price and increase our expected stock price volatility as compared to prior periods.

Future changes in financial accounting standards or practices or existing taxation rules or practices may cause adverse unexpected revenue and/or expense fluctuations and affect our reported results of operations.

A change in accounting standards or practices or a change in existing taxation rules or practices can have a significant effect on our reported results and may even affect our reporting of transactions completed before the change is effective. New accounting pronouncements and taxation rules and varying interpretations of accounting pronouncements and taxation practice have occurred and may occur in the future. Changes to existing rules or the questioning of current practices may adversely affect our reported financial results or the way we conduct our business. For example, as a result of changes approved by the Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, on January 1, 2006 we began recording compensation expense in our statements of operations for equity compensation instruments, including employee stock options, using the fair value method. Our reported financial results beginning for the first quarter of 2006 and for all foreseeable future periods will be negatively and materially impacted by this accounting change. Other potential changes in existing taxation rules related to stock options and other forms of equity compensation could also have a significant negative effect on our reported results.

In August 2007, the FASB issued for comment proposed FASB Staff Position ( FSP ) No. APB 14-a, *Accounting for Convertible Debt Instruments That May Be Settled in Cash upon Conversion (Including Partial Cash Settlement)*. The proposed FSP would require the issuer of convertible debt instruments with cash settlement features to separately account for the liability and equity components of the instrument. The debt would be recognized at the present value of its cash flows discounted using our nonconvertible debt borrowing rate. The equity component would be recognized as the difference between the proceeds from the issuance of the note and the fair value of the liability. The proposed FSP would also require an accretion of the resultant debt discount over the expected life of the debt. The proposed transition guidance requires retrospective application to all periods presented, and does not grandfather existing instruments. The comment deadline for the proposed FSP was October 15, 2007 and if issued, the proposed FSP would be effective for us on January 1, 2008. We believe that if the FSP is issued as proposed, the convertible debt issued in March 2007 would fall under the proposed FSP and we would be required to retroactively apply the guidance. Although we have not completed our analysis of the impact of this guidance, we believe the application would cause a reduction to the carrying value of the debt on our balance sheet and a corresponding increase in non-cash interest expense to be recognized over the initial five year redemption period which could be significant.

**Our loan and security agreement contains restrictions that may limit our operating flexibility.**

**On March 20, 2006, we entered into a loan and security agreement that provides for a loan of up to \$5.0 million to finance various equipment and leasehold improvement expenses. The agreement imposes certain limitations on us, including limitations on our ability to:**

transfer all or any part of our businesses or properties, other than transfers done in the ordinary course of business;

engage in any business other than the businesses in which we are currently engaged;

relocate our chief executive offices or state of incorporation;

change our legal name or fiscal year;

replace our chief executive officer or chief financial officer;

merge or consolidate with or into any other business organizations, with certain exceptions;

permit any person to beneficially own a sufficient number of shares entitling such person to elect a majority of our board of directors;

incur additional indebtedness, with certain exceptions;

incur liens with respect to any of our properties, with certain exceptions;

pay dividends or make any other distribution or payment on account of or in redemption, retirement or purchase of any capital stock, other than repurchases of the stock of former employees;

directly or indirectly acquire or own, or make any investment in, any persons, with certain exceptions;



directly or indirectly enter into or permit to exist any material transaction with any affiliates except such transactions that are in the ordinary course of business that are done upon fair and reasonable terms that are no less favorable to us than would be obtained in an arm's length transaction with a non-affiliated company;

make any payment in respect of any subordinated debt, or permit any of our U.S. domestic subsidiaries to make any such payment, except in compliance with the terms of such subordinated debt; or

store any equipment or inventory in which the lender has any interest with any bailee, warehousemen or similar third party unless the third party has been notified of the lender's security interest, or become or be controlled by an investment company.

**Complying with these covenants may make it more difficult for us to successfully execute our business**

**strategy and compete against companies who are not subject to such restrictions.**

## **ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS**

### **Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities**

Not applicable.

### **Use of Proceeds**

Not applicable.

### **Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers**

<b>Period</b>	<b>Total Number of Shares (or Units) Purchased(1)</b>	<b>Average Price Paid per Share (or Unit)</b>	<b>Total Number of Shares (or Units) Purchased as Part of</b>	<b>Maximum Number (or Approximate Dollar Value) of</b>
---------------	---	---	---	--

Edgar Filing: DEXCOM INC - Form 10-Q

				Publicly Announced Plans or Programs(1)		Shares (or Units) that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs(1)
September 6, 2007	154,204	\$	9.21	154,204	\$	40,576,903

(1) In March 2007, the Company entered into hedge transactions to minimize the potential dilution of the Company's common stock upon conversion of its Convertible Senior Notes if the Company's stock price exceeds \$7.80 per share through March 2009. The Company has the right to purchase a number of shares of common stock equal to the number of shares underlying the \$60 million principal amount of the notes, at a strike price equal to the conversion price of the notes, or \$7.80 per share. The call spread options are structured in four tranches with one tranche expiring in each six-month interval for two years from the date of March 6, 2007. Each of the four options caps the potential benefit to the Company at market prices ranging from \$9.00 for the option which expired in September 2007 to \$18.50 for the option expiring in two years. Based on these option caps, the maximum additional value we could receive for the remaining call spread options is \$40,576,903. If we receive any or all of this benefit, we may or may not elect to receive shares of our common stock as settlement for the option spread.

**ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES**

Not applicable.

**ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS**

Not applicable.



**ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION**

Not applicable.

**ITEM 6. EXHIBITS**

The following exhibits are filed as a part of this report:

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description	Form	Incorporated by Reference		Exhibit Number	Provided Herewith
			File No.	Date of First Filing		
10.24	Separation Agreement dated July 30, 2007 between the Company and Steven J. Kemper	8-K	000-51222	July 30, 2007	99.02	
31.01	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a).					X
31.02	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a).					X
32.01	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 and Securities Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(b).*					X
32.02	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 and Securities Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(b).*					X

---

\* This certification is not deemed filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act, or otherwise subject to the liability of that section. Such certification will not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, except to the extent that DexCom specifically incorporates it by reference.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

DEXCOM, INC.  
(Registrant)

Dated: October 30, 2007

By: */s/ Terrance H. Gregg*  
**Terrance H. Gregg,**  
**President and Chief Executive Officer**

Dated: October 30, 2007

By: */s/ Jess Roper*  
**Jess Roper,**  
**Chief Financial Officer**