AXIS CAPITAL HOLDINGS LTD Form 10-K March 01, 2007

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

FOR ANNUAL AND TRANSITION REPORTS PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

x ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006

OR

0

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission file number 001-31721

AXIS CAPITAL HOLDINGS LIMITED

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

BERMUDA

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

98-0395986

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

92 Pitts Bay Road, Pembroke, Bermuda HM 08

(Address of principal executive offices and zip code)

(441) 496-2600

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class Common shares, par value \$0.0125 per share 7.25% Series A preferred shares Name of each exchange on which registered New York Stock Exchange New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes o No x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, and accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer x Accelerated filer o Non-accelerated filer o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No x

The market value of the common equity held by non-affiliates of the registrant, computed by reference to the closing price as of the last business day of the registrant s most recently completed second fiscal quarter, June 30, 2006, was approximately \$4.2 billion.

At February 23, 2007, there were outstanding 152,215,446 common shares, \$0.0125 par value per share, of the registrant.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the registrant s definitive proxy statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to Regulation 14A relating to the annual meeting of shareholders to be held on May 11, 2007 are incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K.

AXIS CAPITAL HOLDINGS LIMITED

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page	
	PART I		
<u>Item 1.</u>	Business	4	
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	29	
<u>Item 1B.</u>	Unresolved Staff Comments	42	
Item 2.	Properties	42	
Item <u>3.</u>	Legal Proceedings	42	
<u>Item 4.</u>	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	43	
	PART II		
<u>Item 5.</u>	Market for the Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	44	
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data	45	-
Item 7.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	47	
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk	69	
Item <u>8.</u>	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	72	
Item <u>9.</u>	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	120	
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	120	
Item 9B.	Other Information	123	
	PART III		
Item 10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	123	
<u>Item 11.</u>	Executive Compensation	123	
<u>Item 12.</u>	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	123	
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence	123	
<u>Item 14.</u>	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	123	
	PART IV		
Item 15.	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	123	

Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-looking Statements

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the U.S. federal securities laws. We intend these forward-looking statements to be covered by the safe harbor provisions for forward-looking statements in the United States securities laws. In some cases, these statements can be identified by the use of forward-looking words such as may, should, could, anticipate, estimate, expec plan. potential and intend. Forward-looking statements contained in this report include information regarding our estimates believe, predict, losses related to hurricanes and other catastrophes, our expectations regarding pricing and other market conditions, our growth prospects, the amount of our acquisition costs, the amount of our net losses and loss reserves, the projected amount of our capital expenditures, managing interest rate and foreign currency risks, valuations of potential interest rate shifts and foreign currency rate changes and measurements of potential losses in fair market values of our investment portfolio. Forward-looking statements only reflect our expectations and are not guarantees of performance. These statements involve risks, uncertainties and assumptions. Actual events or results may differ materially from our expectations. Important factors that could cause actual events or results to be materially different from our expectations include our losses related to Hurricanes Katrina, Rita and Wilma exceeding our estimates and the impact of such losses on our reinsurers being greater than our current assessment. Additional important factors that could cause actual events to be materially different from our expectations include (1) our limited operating history, (2) the occurrence of natural and man-made disasters, (3) actual claims exceeding our loss reserves, (4) the failure of any of the loss limitation methods we employ, (5) the effects of emerging claims and coverage issues, (6) the failure of our cedants to adequately evaluate risks, (7) the loss of one or more key executives, (8) a decline in our ratings with rating agencies, (9) loss of business provided to us by our major brokers, (10) changes in governmental regulations, (11) increased competition, (12) general economic conditions, (13) changes in the political environment of certain countries in which we operate or underwrite business and (14) the other matters set forth under Item 1A, Risk Factors included in this report. We undertake no obligation to update or revise publicly any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

As used in this report, references to we, us, our or the Company refer to the consolidated operations of AXIS Capital and its direct and indirect subsidiaries and branches, including AXIS Specialty Limited (AXIS Specialty Bermuda), AXIS Re Limited (AXIS Re Ireland), AXIS Specialty Europe Limited (AXIS Specialty Ireland), AXIS Reinsurance Company (AXIS Re U.S.), AXIS Specialty Insurance Company (AXIS Specialty U.S.), AXIS Surplus Insurance Company (AXIS Surplus U.S.), AXIS Insurance Company (AXIS Re Europe and AXIS Specialty London, unless the context suggests otherwise.

General Development & Business

AXIS Capital Holdings Limited (AXIS Capital) is the Bermuda-based holding company for the AXIS Group of Companies. Through our various operating subsidiaries, we provide a broad range of insurance and reinsurance products to insureds and reinsureds worldwide with operations in Bermuda, Europe, Singapore and the United States. Our business consists of two distinct global underwriting platforms, AXIS Insurance and AXIS Re.

In November 2001, we established our operations in Bermuda as a worldwide reinsurer of catastrophe risks and an insurer of global specialty risks accessed predominantly through the London broker network. In May 2002, we commenced insurance and reinsurance operations in Europe through our Dublin office. During 2003, we established our U.K. branch, AXIS Specialty London, and our Zurich branch, AXIS Re Europe.

In late 2002, we commenced underwriting in the United States with the acquisition of a shell insurance and reinsurance company licensed in all 50 states and a non-admitted shell insurance company. In early 2003, we added a team of professionals from Combined Specialty Group, Inc. to underwrite business in the specialty admitted and non-admitted property and casualty insurance marketplace in the U.S. and also acquired the renewal rights to a portfolio of professional liability insurance and related lines of business written by the Financial Insurance Solutions Group of Kemper Insurance Companies.

Our Business Strategy

Our long-term business strategy focuses on utilizing our management s extensive expertise, experience and long-standing market relationships to identify and underwrite attractively priced risks while delivering insurance and reinsurance solutions to our customers. Our underwriters worldwide are focused on constructing a portfolio of risks that effectively utilizes our capital while optimizing the risk-reward characteristics of the portfolio. We exercise disciplined underwriting practices and manage a diverse book of business while we seek to maximize our profitability and generate superior returns on equity. To afford ourselves ample opportunity to construct a portfolio diversified by product and geography that meets our profitability and return objectives, we have implemented organic growth strategies in key markets worldwide.

The markets in which we operate have historically been cyclical. During periods of excess underwriting capacity, as defined by availability of capital, competition can result in lower pricing and less favorable policy terms and conditions for insurers and reinsurers. During periods of reduced underwriting capacity, pricing and policy terms and conditions are generally more favorable for insurers and reinsurers. Historically, underwriting capacity has been impacted by several factors, including industry losses, catastrophes, changes in legal and regulatory guidelines, investment results and the ratings and financial strength of competitors.

Our short-term strategies conform to our long-term objectives but also reflect changes and opportunities within the global market place. The following is an overview of the insurance and reinsurance market since our first full year of operations in 2002, together with a discussion as to how the Company has evolved during this period.

We were established in late 2001 to take advantage of the significant imbalance that had been created between the demand for insurance and reinsurance and the supply of capacity from adequately capitalized insurers and reinsurers. Pricing and deductibles were increasing dramatically and policy terms and coverages tightening across many specialist lines of business. In a short period of time following our formation, we were able to assemble a diverse portfolio of specialist insurance risks. We also established a property reinsurance portfolio largely comprising worldwide catastrophe exposure. Since our inception, we have focused our efforts on identifying and recruiting talented specialist underwriters and diligently building our infrastructure to access and analyze risks for our global portfolio and to deliver service of the highest quality to our clients.

During 2003, we were able to further diversify our global business by adding select underwriting teams and infrastructure in the U.S. and in Europe. Specifically, we established a meaningful presence in the wholesale insurance market in the U.S., which allowed us to quickly take advantage of favorable market conditions. We also entered the professional lines insurance business through a renewal rights transaction and simultaneous recruitment of an underwriting team from Kemper. The shortage of capacity for U.S. professional lines reinsurance business served as an opportunity for further diversification of our global treaty reinsurance business and establishment of a local presence in the U.S. reinsurance marketplace. By the end of 2003, we had also established a local presence in the Continental European reinsurance marketplace. We have been able to further develop our global catastrophe and property portfolios and diversify into other traditional European treaty reinsurance business including motor liability and credit and bond.

The strong diversity by product and geography we have created over time, coupled with our rigorous underwriting processes, allows us the flexibility to trade from one class of business to another as we see market conditions alter and the ability to focus on overall diversification as we do this. Notably, towards the end of 2005, we were faced with a deteriorating aviation insurance market. As we substantially reduced our participation in the aviation market, we focused more closely on more stable lines, such as professional lines. We continue to selectively add underwriting expertise and broaden our producer relationships to find additional opportunities.

Competition

The insurance and reinsurance industry is highly competitive. We compete on an international and regional basis with major U.S., Bermuda, European and other international insurers and reinsurers and with underwriting syndicates, some of which have greater financial, marketing and management resources than we do. We also compete with new companies that continue to be formed to enter the insurance and reinsurance markets. In addition, capital markets participants offer alternative products that are intended to compete with reinsurance products. Increased competition could result in fewer underwriting submissions, lower premium rates and less favorable policy or contract terms, which could have a material adverse impact on our growth and profitability. Competitive information by segment is included in each of the segment discussions below.

Segment Information

We operate through the following business segments: insurance and reinsurance. Our insurance segment is further divided into two sub-segments: global insurance and U.S. insurance. We evaluate the performance of our segments based on underwriting results. Many of our lines of business have loss

experience characterized as low frequency and high severity, which may result in volatility in our segments operating results and cash flows. We do not allocate our assets by segment as we evaluate the underwriting results of each segment separately from the results of the investment portfolio. Financial data relating to our segments is included in our Consolidated Financial Statements presented under Item 8 of this report.

The following discussion of the Company s business segments is organized as follows: (i) lines of business; (ii) methods of distribution; (iii) underwriting and risk management; (iv) ceded reinsurance; and (v) competition.

Insurance Segment

Lines of business

Following are the lines of business in our global insurance sub-segment:

• *Property:* provides physical damage and business interruption coverage for industrial properties and physical damage, business interruption and liability coverage for onshore energy property and operations. Coverage relates to both catastrophic and non-catastrophic events.

• *Marine:* provides coverage for hull, liability, cargo and specie and recreational marine risks. These risks include property damage or physical loss to ships, pollution damage caused by vessels on a sudden and accidental basis and protection for general cargo and the contents of armored cars, vaults, exhibitions and museums. This line of business also provides physical damage, business interruption and liability coverage for offshore energy property and operations.

• *Terrorism and war risk:* includes coverage for physical damage and associated business interruption of an insured following an act of terrorism and specific war coverage for the interests not otherwise covered in our aviation and marine hull and liability business.

• *Aviation and aerospace:* primarily includes hull and liability coverage for passenger and cargo airlines and privately owned aircraft, select aviation product liability coverage, and to a much lesser extent, physical damage coverage on satellite launches and satellite liability.

• *Political risk:* generally provides protection against sovereign default or sovereign actions resulting in impairment of cross-border investments for banks and major corporations. It also provides protection on structured credit based transactions where lenders seek to mitigate some of the non-payment risk of their borrowers.

• *Professional lines and other specialty:* primarily consist of directors and officers and errors and omissions liability coverage.

For the majority of our global insurance business, gross premiums are written throughout the year. Exceptions to this are the business written in our aviation and aviation war accounts, which is predominantly written in the last quarter of the calendar year, and our property business for which two significant renewal dates are April 1 and July 1. In addition, the timing of the premiums that we write for our political risk business is variable. Customers in global insurance include major companies in the airline, banking, multimedia and natural resources industries. During 2006, no customer accounted for more than 10% of gross premiums written within global insurance.

Following are the lines of business in our U.S. insurance sub-segment:

• *Property:* provides coverage for physical damage and business interruption primarily with respect to commercial properties. The book consists of both primary and excess risks, some of which are catastrophe-exposed.

• *Professional lines:* primarily consists of coverage for directors and officers liability, errors and omissions liability and employment practices liability.

• *Liability:* primarily targets casualty risks in the U.S. excess and surplus lines markets. Target classes include mercantile, manufacturing and building/premises, with particular emphasis on commercial and consumer products, commercial construction and miscellaneous general liability.

• *Other specialty:* primarily provides employee medical coverage for self-insured, small and medium sized employers for losses in excess of a retention.

For the majority of our U.S insurance business, gross premiums are written throughout the year, however, for our primary property business two significant renewal dates are April 1 and July 1. Many of the property and casualty insurance products are for non-standard and complex risks. U.S. insurance has the ability to write business on an admitted basis using forms and rates as filed with state insurance regulators and on a non-admitted basis, or surplus lines basis, with flexibility in forms and rates as these are not filed with state regulators. Having access to non-admitted carriers provides the pricing flexibility needed to write non-standard coverage. During 2006, no customer accounted for more than 10% of gross premiums written within U.S. insurance.

Methods of Distribution

Our global insurance sub-segment operates from offices based in Bermuda and Europe and provides specialty lines coverage predominantly through the London broker network and intermediaries. Our U.S. insurance sub-segment operates from offices throughout the U.S. and provides coverage through a variety of channels in the U.S.

We produce our business primarily though insurance brokers worldwide, who receive brokerage fees upfront. In addition to using brokers, some insurance products are also distributed through managing general agents and underwriters. Our management and underwriting team have longstanding relationships with key insurance brokers, such as Marsh, Inc., Aon Corporation and Willis Group Holdings Ltd.

Gross premiums written by broker, shown individually where premiums are 10% or more of the total, were as follows:

	Year ended December 2006 (In thousands)	r 31	2005		2004	
Marsh	\$ 340,976	16.5 %	\$ 385,489	20.6 %	\$ 533,037	27.8 %
Aon	311,138	15.0 %	256,729	13.7 %	337,293	17.6 %
Willis	224,842	10.9 %	189,702	10.1 %	221,757	11.6 %
Other brokers	915,437	44.2 %	798,065	42.6 %	719,598	37.4 %
Managing general agencies and						
underwriters, and direct	278,074	13.4 %	245,032	13.0 %	107,878	5.6 %
Total gross premiums written	\$ 2,070,467	100.0 %	\$ 1,875,017	100.0 %	\$ 1,919,563	100.0 %

Underwriting and Risk Management

Submit.AXIS is a unique, web-based policy submission system that we developed for our global insurance sub-segment. Developed in late 2001 for brokers in the London market and enhanced in 2003, Submit.AXIS allows brokers to provide details of a policy submission via the Internet so that our underwriters may review online submission details and relevant policy documentation. The system provides local and group management with submissions information, acts as a repository for documentation, assists with market intelligence, assists with global clearance, and enables local and international peer review. Although we have been taking submissions electronically since inception, the London market has began a

number of initiatives which will eventually allow for full electronic submission and placement across the industry. We are working closely with the key London brokers and several of the key IT/support firms to actively support these projects.

Since 2005, we have developed our Underwriter Workstation in U.S. insurance, which acts as the workbench tool for underwriters for all lines of business in the segment. This system contains a submission module, clearance function, captures all underwriting details and interfaces in real-time with our cash & billing system. The Underwriter Workstation assists with core pricing and underwriting processes and provides a consistent data feed to our underwriting accounting system. The system allows for complete and consistent data capture and issues quotes and binders.

For our global insurance sub-segment, internal underwriting controls are exercised through a senior peer review panel comprised of several senior underwriters within this sub-segment. Proposal details for each risk we consider underwriting reside in Submit.AXIS and can be reviewed by all underwriting staff. For some lines of business in this segment, we have developed strict guidelines and risks can be bound within those guidelines, subject to peer review by a team of underwriters. For the vast majority of our business and for any exceptions to the guidelines discussed above, risks are peer reviewed by the senior peer review panel on a daily basis by means of Submit.AXIS and a daily conference call. A daily conference call log is maintained of all the risks discussed and this, together with a daily underwriting log of all risks written within each underwriting office, is reviewed and approved regularly by the senior peer review panel .

Our U.S. insurance sub-segment also has a review process in place. Due to the large number of submissions received and the generally smaller net retentions on this business, we use a modified peer review process whereby every account is reviewed by two or more underwriters before a risk is bound and only risks that have the approval of a senior officer are bound. Depending upon the risk s characteristics and our underwriting guidelines, the risk may also be reviewed by a senior underwriting panel.

We use a variety of proprietary and commercially available tools to quantify and monitor the various risks that we accept as a company. Our proprietary systems include those for modeling risks associated with property catastrophe, terrorism risks, and various casualty and specialty pricing models as well as our proprietary portfolio risk model. With respect to the catastrophe-exposures, we utilize Risklink version 6.0. licensed by Risk Management Solutions (RMS) to price and to accumulate individual risks for our commercial property and onshore energy books. This analysis is then combined with the analyses of our reinsurance segment to monitor group-wide aggregate exposures. For terrorism perils, we use the RMS Probabilistic Terrorism Model as well as a tool developed by RMS to measure accumulations around select targets on a deterministic basis. To price and accumulate our offshore energy business in our global insurance sub-segment, a modeling tool licensed by RMS is used as a component of our analyses of this business. Due to limitations on the modeling of offshore energy exposures, significant underwriting judgment is also required.

With respect to the non-catastrophe exposed business in our U.S. insurance sub-segment, we generally analyze specialty insurance contracts using a variety of rating models. Where applicable, our models draw upon industry information, including historical trend, loss development and settlement patterns, claim frequency, claim severity, and pricing information licensed from Insurance Services Office, Inc. (ISO), AMS-Rackley, Institutional Shareholders Services, and Advisen Ltd.

In addition to the above technical and analytical practices, our underwriters use a variety of factors, including specific contract terms and diversification of risk by geography and type of risk, to manage our exposure to loss. Substantially all business written is subject to aggregate limits in addition to event limits.

Ceded Reinsurance

Our insurance segment purchases treaty and facultative reinsurance to reduce exposure to large losses or a series of large losses. Facultative reinsurance provides for all or a portion of the insurance provided by a single policy and each policy reinsured is individually negotiated. Treaty reinsurance provides for a specified type or category of risks. Our reinsurance agreements are written on either an excess of loss or quota share basis. Excess of loss covers provide a contractually set amount of cover after an excess point has been reached. This excess point can be based on the size of an industry loss or a fixed monetary amount. These covers can be purchased on a package policy basis, which provide cover for a number of lines of business within one contract. Quota share covers provide a proportional amount of coverage from the first dollar of loss. All of these reinsurance covers provide for recovery of a portion of losses and loss expenses from reinsurers. We remain liable to the extent that reinsurers do not meet their obligations under these agreements.

In late 2006 we created a new integrated Ceded Reinsurance Unit within our insurance segment that coordinates our reinsurance purchasing activities to improve efficiency and consistency and take advantage of any new opportunities in the marketplace.

All of our reinsurance is subject to financial requirements specified by our Reinsurance Security Committee. This committee, comprising senior management personnel, maintains a list of approved reinsurers, performs credit assessments for existing and potential counterparties, determines counterparty tolerance levels for short and medium tail business, monitors concentrations of credit risk and provides recommendations in respect of reserves required for non-collectable reinsurance. It is generally the Reinsurance Security Committee s policy to require reinsurers which do not meet counterparty security requirements pre-approved by the committee to provide collateral.

Competition

In our global and U.S. insurance sub-segments, where competition tends to be focused more on availability, service and considerations other than price, we compete with insurers that provide property and casualty based lines of insurance such as: ACE Limited, Allianz Group, Allied World Assurance Company, Ltd., American International Group, Inc., Berkshire Hathaway, Inc., Chubb Corporation, Factory Mutual Insurance Company, Lloyd s of London, Munich Re Group, Swiss Reinsurance Company, Zurich Financial Services and XL Capital Ltd. We believe we achieve a competitive advantage through the strategic and operational linking of our global insurance and U.S insurance sub-segments, which allows us to design our insurance programs on a global basis in alignment with the global needs of many of our clients.

Reinsurance

Lines of Business

The following are our lines of business we write on both a treaty and facultative basis in our reinsurance segment:

• *Catastrophe:* provides protection for most catastrophic losses that are covered in the underlying insurance policies written by our ceding company clients which is principally property exposure. This business also consists of contracts covering non-property exposures, including workers compensation, personal accident and life. The principal perils in this portfolio are hurricane and windstorm, earthquake, flood, tornado, hail and fire. In some instances, terrorism may be a covered peril or the only peril.

• *Property:* includes reinsurance written on both a pro rata and a per risk basis and covers underlying personal lines and commercial property exposures. Property pro rata treaty reinsurance

covers a cedent s aggregate losses from all events in the covered period on a proportional basis. Property per risk treaty reinsurance reinsures a portfolio of particular property risks of ceding companies on an excess of loss basis.

• *Professional Liability:* covers directors and officers liability, employment practices liability, medical malpractice and miscellaneous errors and omissions insurance risks.

• *Credit and Bond:* consists principally of reinsurance of trade credit insurance products and includes both proportional and excess-of loss structures. The underlying insurance indemnifies sellers of goods and services against a payment default by the buyer of those goods and services. Also included in this book is coverage for ceding insurers against losses arising from a broad array of surety bonds issued by bond insurers principally to satisfy regulatory demands in a variety of jurisdictions around the world, but predominantly in Europe.

• *Motor:* provides coverage to insurers for motor liability losses arising out of any one occurrence. The occurrence can involve one or many claimants where the ceding insurer aggregates the claims from the occurrence.

• *Liability:* provides coverage to insurers of standard casualty lines, including auto liability, general liability, personal and commercial umbrella and workers compensation.

• Other: includes aviation, engineering, marine, personal accident and crop reinsurance.

During 2006, no customer accounted for more than 10% of gross premiums written within our reinsurance segment.

Methods of Distribution

Our reinsurance segment operates through offices based in Bermuda, the U.S. and Europe and provides treaty property and casualty reinsurance to insurance companies on a worldwide basis. Treaty reinsurance contracts are contractual arrangements that provide for automatic reinsurance of any agreed upon portion of business written as specified in a reinsurance contract. Contracts can be written on an excess of loss basis or a pro rata basis, also known as proportional.

We produce our business primarily though brokers worldwide, who receive brokerage fees upfront. Our management and underwriting team have longstanding relationships with key reinsurance brokers, such as Marsh, Inc, including its subsidiary, Guy Carpenter Company, Inc, Aon Corporation, Willis Group Holdings Ltd., and Benfield Group and with many ceding companies.

Gross premiums written by broker, shown individually where premiums are 10% or more of the total, were as follows:

	Year ended Decembe 2006 (In thousands)	er 31	2005		2004	
Marsh	\$ 548,016	35.6 %	\$ 566,440	37.3 %	\$ 376,245	34.4 %
Aon	313,766	20.4 %	274,418	18.1 %	245,743	22.5 %
Benfield	233,880	15.2 %	186,177	12.3 %	153,542	14.1 %
Other brokers	390,671	25.4 %	387,604	25.4 %	293,371	26.8 %
Managing general agencies and						
underwriters, and direct	52,236	3.4 %	104,229	6.9 %	23,847	2.2 %
Total gross premiums written	\$ 1,538,569	100.0 %	\$ 1,518,868	100.0 %	\$ 1,092,748	100.0 %

Underwriting and Risk Management

All risks in our reinsurance segment are reviewed by a senior underwriter. If the risk meets our internal guidelines for exposure and profitability, it is referred for further analysis to one of three executive officers who coordinate our reinsurance underwriting activities in Bermuda, the U.S. and Europe. Following approval by one of these three executives, contracts presenting significant exposures or with liability exposure are made available for review by at least one of the three executive officers in our reinsurance segment or the Company s Chief Executive Officer for final comments. In every case, the review process is completed before we commit contractually.

Additionally, for catastrophe exposures, we ensure that pricing methodology is consistent and appropriate throughout the segment through the use of proprietary rating and accumulation tools, underwriting authority limits and frequent communication. All business is rated using the same basic risk measurement standards to ensure consistency within the segment. All offers, quotes and bound lines are circulated daily to the senior reinsurance underwriters within the segment to allow for feedback and commentary. This process ensures that the knowledge base and experience of the segment is available to all underwriters to supplement the technology that we use to price our business.

We use a variety of proprietary and commercially available tools to quantify and monitor the various risks that we accept as a company. Our proprietary systems include those for modeling risks associated with property catastrophe, workers compensation, terrorism risks, and various casualty and specialty pricing models as well as our proprietary portfolio risk model. For the analysis of our catastrophe-exposed business, we use four natural catastrophe modeling tools (Risklink version 6.0 licensed by RMS, Classic/2 and CATRADER version 7.5 licensed by AIR and WORLDCAT Enterprise licensed by Eqecat). For the analysis of terrorism perils, we license the RMS Probabilistic Terrorism Model. In addition, we have developed an internal proprietary application, the Reinsurance Work Station , (RWS), which allows us to track the results from each of these models for both pricing and accumulation purposes. For our underwriters focused on property reinsurance business, the system supports pricing and aggregation and interfaces with the catastrophe models used by our underwriters. From this base, we have expanded the system to address non-property business written worldwide by AXIS Re and this enhancement is currently used in our Continental European reinsurance operations. RWS will eventually serve as the sole underwriting application used by all lines of business within our Reinsurance segment. Our modeling system (including an aggregate exposure management tool) allows the underwriting team, in conjunction with the actuarial team, to analyze risk exposure on a per peril (for example, fire, flood, earthquake) and a geographic basis. If a program meets our underwriting criteria, the proposal is evaluated in terms of its risk/reward profile to assess the adequacy of the proposed pricing and its potential impact on our overall return on capital.

For our property pro rata business, we use a combination of actuarial techniques and catastrophe modeling. We use actuarial techniques to examine our ceding companies underwriting results as well as the underwriting results from the companies with comparable books of business and pertinent industry results. In our property per risk business, we rely almost exclusively on actuarial techniques. Although per risk treaties may include exposure to natural perils, catastrophe modeling systems are generally not used largely because the cedents do not generally provide location level information that will allow accurate measurement of exposure to per risk treaty structures. To minimize this impact, we generally participate in middle to upper layers where the natural catastrophe element of exposure is minimized.

For our non-property medium and long-tail reinsurance business in the U.S., we use standard experience rating and exposure rating pricing models. The pricing parameters in these models are drawn from a number of industry sources (ISO, National Council on Compensation Insurance, Inc., Cornerstone Research, Jury Verdict Data, and the National Practitioners Data Bank) and are supplemented with information compiled from clients submissions.

For our non-property medium and long-tail reinsurance business in Europe, we work almost exclusively with our in-house built actuarial model based on perceived market standards in respect of experience, exposure, extrapolation and simulation techniques. To a very large extent, the pricing parameters are drawn from information compiled from clients submissions and supplemented with publicly accessible information where appropriate.

In addition to the above technical and analytical practices, our underwriters use a variety of factors, including specific contract terms and diversification of risk by geography and type of risk, to manage our exposure to loss. Substantially all business written is subject to aggregate limits in addition to event limits.

Competition

In our reinsurance segment where competition tends to be focused on availability, service, financial strength and considerations other than price, we compete with reinsurers that provide property and casualty based lines of reinsurance such as: ACE Limited, Arch Capital Group Ltd., Allied World Assurance Company, Ltd, Endurance Specialty Holdings Ltd., Everest Re Group, Ltd., General Re Corporation., Hannover Re Group, Harbor Point Ltd., IPCRe Limited, Lloyd s of London, Max Re Corporation, Montpelier Re Holdings Ltd., Munich Re Group, PartnerRe Ltd., Platinum Underwriters Holdings, Ltd., QBE Re Ltd., Renaissance Re Holdings Ltd., Signet Star Re, LLC, SCOR Group, Swiss Reinsurance Company, Transatlantic Holdings Inc. and XL Capital Ltd. We believe we achieve a competitive advantage through our technical expertise that allows us to respond quickly to customer needs and provide quality and innovative underwriting solutions. In addition, our customers highly value our exemplary service, strong capitalization and financial strength ratings.

Technology

We have developed a number of sophisticated technology platforms to support our underwriting activities worldwide. We believe our use of technology and our business model allows us to maintain a low-cost infrastructure and efficient underwriting operations. In addition, we believe our technologies provide us with competitive advantages as we seek to improve our relationships with our customers, provide enhanced levels of customer service and optimize our internal decision making process.

Management s directive to our information technology department is to implement a technology platform to support efficiency, facilitate a dynamic and opportunistic business plan and, therefore, sustain competitive advantage. To achieve this, the department works to provide scalable platforms supporting growth while ensuring reliability, redundancy and failover. Critical in the development of these platforms are the efficient receipt, processing and transmission of information from and between business partners, clients, employees and management.

In 2006, we continued to improve the overall capacity, reliability and recoverability of our global network infrastructure. We completed an overhaul of our global email environment to accommodate growth and improve capabilities. We also updated our WAN and LAN infrastructure to accommodate greater data capacity for our critical business applications. We successfully completed multiple disaster recovery tests of key office locations.

We are committed to identifying and deploying technologies that enhance our processing and underwriting capabilities and simultaneously enable us to realize additional operating efficiencies.

Claims Management

We have claims teams located in Bermuda, Europe and the United States. Our claims teams provide global coverage and claims support for the insurance and reinsurance business we write. The role of our claims units is to investigate, evaluate and pay claims efficiently. We have implemented claims handling

guidelines and claims reporting and control procedures in all of our claims units. To ensure that claims are handled and reported in accordance with these guidelines, all claims matters are reviewed during a weekly claims meeting. The minutes from each meeting are also circulated to our underwriters, senior management and our independent actuaries. To maintain communication between underwriting and claims teams, claims personnel regularly report at underwriting meetings and frequently attend client meetings.

When we receive notice of a claim, regardless of size, it is recorded within our underwriting and claims system. To assist with the reporting of significant claims, we have also developed a large claims information database, or LCID. The database is primarily used to flash report significant events and potential insurance or reinsurance losses, regardless of whether we have exposure. Where we have exposure, the system allows a direct notification to be instantly communicated to underwriters and senior management worldwide. Similarly, for natural catastrophes such as hurricanes, we have developed a catastrophe database that allows for the gathering, blending and reporting of loss information as it develops from early modeled results to fully adjusted and paid losses.

Reserves

We establish reserves for losses and loss expenses that arise from our insurance and reinsurance products. These reserves are balance sheet liabilities representing estimates of future amounts required to pay losses and loss expenses for insured or reinsured claims that have occurred at or before the balance sheet date, whether already known or not yet reported. Our loss reserves are established based upon our estimate of the total cost of claims that were reported to us but not yet paid, (case reserves), the costs of additional case reserves on claims reported to us but not considered to be adequately reserved (ACR), and the anticipated cost of claims incurred but not yet reported to us (IBNR). For a discussion of the methods used to establish our ultimate claim liabilities refer to Item 7, Critical Accounting Estimates Reserve for losses and loss expenses.

The following table shows the subsequent development of the estimated year-end liability for net unpaid loss and loss expenses since our inception. The cumulative redundancy represents the aggregate change with respect to that liability originally estimated. The table also shows the cumulative net paid amounts as of successive years with respect to the net reserve liability. Conditions and trends that affected development of liabilities in the past may not necessarily occur in the future. Accordingly, it is inappropriate to anticipate future redundancies or deficiencies based on historical experience.

	Year ended 2001 (in thousan	December 31 2002 ds)	2003	2004	2005	2006
Gross reserve for losses and						
loss expenses	\$ 963	\$ 215,934	\$ 992,846	\$ 2,404,560	\$ 4,743,338	\$ 5,015,113
Reinsurance recoverable		(1,703)	(124,899)	(596,299)	(1,518,110)	(1,359,154)
Net losses and loss expenses						
reserve	963	214,231	867,947	1,808,261	3,225,228	3,655,959
Net reserves reestimated as of:						
1 Year later	\$ 165	\$ 158,443	\$ 686,235	\$ 1,425,265	\$ 3,008,692	
2 Years later	165	141,290	539,110	1,147,866		
3 Years later	165	109,711	434,221			
4 Years later	196	97,981				
5 Years later	196					
Cumulative redundancy	767	116,250	433,726	660,395	216,536	
Cumulative Net Paid Losses						
1 Year later	\$ 15	\$ 46,096	\$ 113,024	\$ 333,543	\$ 898,562	
2 Years later	125	55,437	175,235	475,721		
3 Years later	165	73,647	210,100			
4 Years later	196	69,118				
5 Years later	196					

For an analysis of paid, unpaid and incurred losses and loss expenses and a reconciliation of beginning and ending losses and loss expense reserves for 2006, 2005 and 2004 together with information regarding the development of prior year loss reserve estimates refer to Note 7 of the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of this report.

Cash and Investments

The table below shows our portfolio of investments and cash and cash equivalents:

	At December 31 2006 Amortized Cost (in thousands)	Fair Value	2005 Amortized Cost	Fair Value	
U.S. government and agency securities	\$ 1,190,700	\$ 1,177,911	\$ 1,589,640	\$ 1,572,411	
Non U.S. government securities	146,847	153,258	143,534	137,496	
Corporate debt securities	1,423,146	1,418,553	1,236,928	1,219,878	
Mortgage-backed securities	2,896,362	2,868,578	2,466,324	2,433,649	
Asset-backed securities	backed securities 540,118		295,043	291,824	
Municipals	377,076	376,073	359,529	357,167	
Total Fixed Maturities	6,574,249	6,532,723	6,090,998	6,012,425	
Cash at investment managers, net of unsettled trades	811,295	811,295	197,604	197,604	
Total Invested Assets	7,385,544	7,344,018	6,288,602	6,210,029	
her cash and cash equivalents 1,115,807		1,115,807	1,083,310	1,083,310	
Total Cash and Fixed Maturities	\$ 8,501,351	\$ 8,459,825	\$ 7,371,912	\$ 7,293,339	
Other Investments		1,130,664		409,504	
Total cash and invesments		\$ 9,590,489		\$ 7,702,843	

Our current investment strategy seeks to preserve principal and maintain liquidity while trying to maximize investment return through a high quality, diversified portfolio. In this regard, at December 31, 2006 and 2005, our investment portfolio consisted primarily of fixed income securities and cash and cash equivalents. A significant portion of our fixed income securities and cash provide liquidity to settle claims which arise from our operations and as such, at December 31, 2006 and 2005, all of the fixed income securities were investment grade with 84.1% and 82.1%, respectively, rated AA- or better, with an overall weighted average rating of AA+, based on ratings assigned by Standard & Poor s. Our investment policy is to invest primarily in debt instruments of high credit quality issuers and to limit the amount of credit exposure with respect to particular ratings categories and any one issuer. Within this fixed income portfolio, we attempt to limit our credit exposure by purchasing fixed income investments rated BBB- or higher. In addition, we have limited our exposure to any single corporate issuer to 5% or less of our portfolio for securities rated A-/A3 or above and 2% or less of our portfolio for securities rated between BBB-/Baa3 and BBB+/Baa1. At December 31, 2006 and 2005, we did not have an aggregate exposure to any single issuer of 10% of more of our shareholders equity, other than with respect to U.S. government and agency securities.

The current duration target range for our investment portfolios is two to four years. The duration of an investment is based on the maturity of the security and also reflects the payment of interest and the possibility of early principal payment of such security. We seek to use investment performance benchmarks that reflect this duration target. Management periodically revises these investment benchmarks based on business and economic factors, including the average duration of our potential liabilities. At December 31, 2006 and 2005, our invested assets (assets under management by external investment managers) had an approximate average duration of 3.0 years. When incorporating our operating cash into this calculation, the average duration at December 31, 2006 and 2005 is reduced to 2.6 years.

The finance committee of our board of directors establishes our investment policies and creates guidelines for external investment managers. Management implements the investment strategy with the assistance of those external managers. These guidelines specify minimum criteria on the overall credit

quality and liquidity characteristics of the portfolio and include limitations on the size of some holdings as well as restrictions on purchasing some types of securities.

Beginning in 2004, we began to allocate funds to our other investments portfolio as part of a diversification program. These funds represent a portion of our capital and are invested to maximize investment returns within a defined level of risk over a longer period of time, while reducing the correlation of returns with those from US high grade fixed income securities. The finance committee of our board of directors review each of these investments made to ensure they are within our approved strategic and tactical asset allocation targets. Other investments portfolio consists of collateralized loan obligations (CLOs), investment funds (such as short duration high yield fund, credit funds, and fund of hedge funds) and life settlement contracts. We account for other investments at fair value (see Item 7, Critical Accounting Estimates Other Investments). The table below summarizes the fair values of other investments:

	At December 31 2006 (in thousands)	2005
Life settlement contracts	\$ 377,767	\$
Collateralized loan obligations	263,621	192,986
Fund of hedge funds	235,377	106,077
Credit funds	156,337	48,897
Short duration high yield fund	97,562	61,544
Total	\$ 1,130,664	\$ 409,504

For additional information regarding the investment portfolio, including analysis of sector, rating and maturity distributions, refer to Note 6 of the Consolidated Financial Statements, included in Item 8. For information on the performance of our investment portfolio in 2006, 2005 and 2004, refer to Item 7 of this report.

Ratings

Ratings by independent agencies are an important factor in establishing the competitive position of insurance and reinsurance companies and are important to our ability to market and sell our products. Rating organizations continually review the financial positions of insurers, including ourselves. Standard & Poor s maintains a letter scale rating system ranging from AAA (extremely strong) to R (under regulatory supervision). A.M. Best maintains a letter scale rating system ranging from A++ (superior) to F (in liquidation). Moody s Investors Service maintains a letter scale rating from Aaa (exceptional) to NP (not prime). Our insurance subsidiaries have been rated A (strong) by Standard & Poor s, which is the sixth highest of twenty-one rating levels, and A (excellent) by A.M. Best, which is the third highest of fifteen rating levels. AXIS Specialty Bermuda, AXIS Re Ireland and AXIS Re U.S. are rated A2 (good) by Moody s Investors Service, which is the sixth highest of these ratings systems is to assist policyholders and to provide an opinion of an insurer s or reinsurer s financial strength and ability to meet ongoing obligations to its policyholders. In addition, our \$500 million of senior notes were assigned a senior unsecured debt rating of Baa1 (stable) by Moody s Investors Service and BBB+ (stable) by Standard & Poor s. Our Series A and B preferred shares are rated Baa3 (stable) by Moody s Investors Service and BBB- by Standard and Poor s. These ratings are subject to periodic review by, and may be revised downward or revoked, at the sole discretion of the rating agencies.

Administration

We outsource a number of functions to third parties that can provide levels of service/expertise in a more cost-efficient manner than we could replicate internally. In certain areas this also provides us with

the flexibility to adjust quickly our administrative infrastructure and costs in response to changing market conditions. Functions that we outsource or partially outsource include, but are not limited to the following:

- bulk contract processing and administration;
- actuarial (reserving) services;
- internal audit and Sarbanes-Oxley 404 management testing;
- investment accounting services; and
- claims processing.

Regulation

General

The business of insurance and reinsurance is regulated in most countries, although the degree and type of regulation varies significantly from one jurisdiction to another.

Bermuda

As a holding company, AXIS Capital is not subject to Bermuda insurance regulations. However, the Insurance Act 1978 of Bermuda and related regulations, as amended (together, the Insurance Act), regulate the insurance business of our operating subsidiary in Bermuda, AXIS Specialty Bermuda, and provide that no person may carry on any insurance business in or from within Bermuda unless registered as an insurer by the Bermuda Monetary Authority (the BMA) under the Insurance Act. Insurance as well as reinsurance is regulated under the Insurance Act.

The Insurance Act also imposes on Bermuda insurance companies solvency and liquidity standards and auditing and reporting requirements and grants the BMA powers to supervise, investigate, require information and the production of documents and intervene in the affairs of insurance companies. The material aspects of the Bermuda insurance regulatory framework are set forth below.

Classification of Insurers

The Insurance Act distinguishes between insurers carrying on long-term business and insurers carrying on general business. There are four classifications of insurers carrying on general business, with Class 4 insurers subject to the strictest regulation. AXIS Specialty Bermuda, which is incorporated to carry on general insurance and reinsurance business, is registered as a Class 4 insurer in Bermuda and is regulated as such under the Insurance Act. AXIS Specialty Bermuda is not licensed to carry on long-term business. Long-term business broadly includes life insurance and disability insurance with terms in excess of five years. General business broadly includes all types of insurance that are not long-term business.

Principal Representative

An insurer is required to maintain a principal office in Bermuda and to appoint and maintain a principal representative in Bermuda.

Independent Approved Auditor

Every registered insurer must appoint an independent auditor who will audit and report annually on the statutory financial statements and the statutory financial return of the insurer, both of which, in the case of AXIS Specialty Bermuda, are required to be filed annually with the BMA. AXIS Specialty Bermuda s independent auditor must be approved by the BMA and may be the same person or firm that audits AXIS Capital s consolidated financial statements and reports for presentation to its shareholders.

Loss Reserve Specialist

As a registered Class 4 insurer, AXIS Specialty Bermuda is required to submit an opinion of its approved loss reserve specialist with its statutory financial return in respect of its losses and loss expenses provisions. The loss reserve specialist, who will normally be a qualified casualty actuary, must be approved by the BMA.

Annual Statutory Financial Return and Statutory Financial Statements

AXIS Specialty Bermuda is required to file with the BMA a statutory financial return no later than four months after its financial year end unless specifically extended upon application to the BMA. The statutory financial return for a Class 4 insurer includes, among other matters, a report of the approved independent auditor on the statutory financial statements of the insurer, solvency certificates, the statutory financial statements, the opinion of the loss reserve specialist and a schedule of reinsurance ceded. The statutory financial statements are not prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP and are distinct from the financial statements prepared for presentation to an insurer s shareholders under The Companies Act 1981 of Bermuda (the Companies Act).

Minimum Solvency Margin and Restrictions on Dividends and Distributions

Under the Insurance Act, the value of the general business assets of a Class 4 insurer, such as AXIS Specialty Bermuda, must exceed the amount of its general business liabilities by an amount greater than the prescribed minimum solvency margin. AXIS Specialty Bermuda is required, with respect to its general business, to maintain a minimum solvency margin equal to the greatest of:

• \$100,000,000;

• 50% of net premiums written (being gross premiums written less any premiums ceded by AXIS Specialty Bermuda, but AXIS Specialty Bermuda may not deduct more than 25% of gross premiums written when computing net premiums written); and

• 15% of net losses and loss expense reserves.

AXIS Specialty Bermuda is prohibited from declaring or paying any dividends during any financial year if it is in breach of its minimum solvency margin or minimum liquidity ratio or if the declaration or payment of such dividends would cause it to fail to meet such margin or ratio. In addition, if it has failed to meet its minimum solvency margin or minimum liquidity ratio on the last day of any financial year, AXIS Specialty Bermuda will be prohibited, without the approval of the BMA, from declaring or paying any dividends during the next financial year. AXIS Specialty Bermuda is also prohibited from declaring or paying in any financial year dividends of more than 25% of its total statutory capital and surplus (as shown on its previous financial year s statutory balance sheet) unless it files with the BMA, at least seven days before payment of such dividends, an affidavit stating that it will continue to meet the required margins.

AXIS Specialty Bermuda is prohibited, without the approval of the BMA, from reducing by 15% or more its total statutory capital as set out in its previous year s financial statements, and any application for such approval must include an affidavit stating that it will continue to meet the required margins. In addition, at any time it fails to meet its solvency margin, AXIS Specialty Bermuda is required, within 30 days (45 days where total statutory capital and surplus falls to \$75 million or less) after becoming aware of such failure or having reason to believe that such failure has occurred, to file with the BMA a written report containing certain information.

Additionally, under the Companies Act, AXIS Capital and AXIS Specialty Bermuda may declare or pay a dividend, or make a distribution from contributed surplus, only if it has no reasonable grounds for believing that it is, or would after the payment be, unable to pay its liabilities as they become due, or that

the realizable value of its assets would thereby be less than the aggregate of its liabilities and its issued share capital and share premium accounts.

Minimum Liquidity Ratio

The Insurance Act provides a minimum liquidity ratio for general business insurers, like AXIS Specialty Bermuda. An insurer engaged in general business is required to maintain the value of its relevant assets at not less than 75% of the amount of its relevant liabilities. Relevant assets include, but are not limited to, cash and time deposits, quoted investments, unquoted bonds and debentures, first liens on real estate, investment income due and accrued, accounts and premiums receivable and reinsurance balances receivable. There are some categories of assets which, unless specifically permitted by the BMA, do not automatically qualify as relevant assets, such as unquoted equity securities, investments in and advances to affiliates and real estate and collateral loans. The relevant liabilities are total general business insurance reserves and total other liabilities less deferred income tax and sundry liabilities (by interpretation, those not specifically defined).

Supervision and Investigation

The Insurance Act confers on the BMA wide-ranging powers in relation to the supervision and investigation of insurers.

Disclosure of Information

In addition to powers under the Insurance Act to investigate the affairs of an insurer, the BMA may require information from an insurer (or other persons) to be produced to the BMA. Further, the BMA has been given powers to assist other regulatory authorities, including foreign insurance regulatory authorities, with their investigations involving insurance and reinsurance companies in Bermuda. Under the Companies Act, the Minister of Finance has been given powers to assist a foreign financial regulator that has requested assistance in connection with inquires being carried out by it in the performance of its regulatory functions. The Minister s powers include requiring a person to furnish him or her with information, to produce documents to him or her, to attend and answer questions and to give assistance in connection with inquiries.

Shareholder Controllers

Any person who, directly or indirectly, becomes a holder of at least 10 percent, 20 percent, 33 percent or 50 percent of the common shares of AXIS Capital must notify the BMA in writing within 45 days of becoming such a holder or 30 days from the date they have knowledge of having such a holding, whichever is later. The BMA may, by written notice, object to such a person if it appears to the BMA that the person is not fit and proper to be such a holder. The BMA may require the holder to reduce their holding of common shares in AXIS Capital and direct, among other things, that voting rights attaching to the common shares shall not be exercisable. A person that does not comply with such a notice or direction from the BMA will be guilty of an offence.

For so long as AXIS Capital has as a subsidiary an insurer registered under the Insurance Act, the BMA may at any time, by written notice, object to a person holding 10 percent or more of the its common shares if it appears to the BMA that the person is not or is no longer fit and proper to be such a holder. In such a case, the BMA may require the shareholder to reduce its holding of common shares in AXIS Capital and direct, among other things, that such shareholder s voting rights attaching to the common shares shall not be exercisable. A person who does not comply with such a notice or direction from the BMA will be guilty of an offence.

Other Bermuda Law Considerations

Although AXIS Capital is incorporated in Bermuda, it is classified as a non-resident of Bermuda for exchange control purposes by the BMA. Pursuant to its non-resident status, AXIS Capital may engage in transactions in currencies other than Bermuda dollars and there are no restrictions on its ability to transfer funds (other than funds denominated in Bermuda dollars) in and out of Bermuda or to pay dividends and interest payments to U.S. residents who are holders of its securities.

Under Bermuda law, exempted companies are companies formed for the purpose of conducting business outside Bermuda from a principal place of business in Bermuda. As exempted companies, AXIS Capital and AXIS Specialty Bermuda may not, without the express authorization of the Bermuda legislature or under a license or consent granted by the Minister of Finance, participate in certain business transactions, including: (1) the acquisition or holding of land in Bermuda, subject to some exceptions; (2) the taking of mortgages on land in Bermuda to secure an amount in excess of \$50,000; or (3) the carrying on of business of any kind for which it is not licensed in Bermuda, except in limited circumstances such as doing business with another exempted undertaking in furtherance of AXIS Capital s business or AXIS Specialty Bermuda s business (as the case may be) carried on outside Bermuda. AXIS Specialty Bermuda is a licensed insurer in Bermuda, and so may carry on activities from Bermuda that are related to and in support of its insurance business.

Securities may be offered or sold in Bermuda only in compliance with the provisions of the Investment Business Act 2003 of Bermuda, which regulates the sale of securities in Bermuda. In addition, the BMA must approve all issuances and transfers of securities of a Bermuda exempted company. The BMA has issued its permission for the free transferability of our securities, as long as our shares are listed on the New York Stock Exchange or other appointed stock exchange, to and among persons who are non-residents of Bermuda for exchange control purposes and up to 20% of the common shares to and among persons who are residents of Bermuda for exchange control purposes. Any other transfers remain subject to approval by the BMA.

The Bermuda government actively encourages foreign investment in exempted entities like AXIS Capital and AXIS Specialty Bermuda that are based in Bermuda, but which do not operate in competition with local businesses. AXIS Capital and AXIS Specialty Bermuda are not currently subject to taxes computed on profits or income or computed on any capital asset, gain or appreciation, or any tax in the nature of estate duty or inheritance tax or to any foreign exchange controls in Bermuda.

Under Bermuda law, non-Bermudians (other than spouses of Bermudians, holders of a permanent resident s certificate or holders of a working resident s certificate) may not engage in any gainful occupation in Bermuda without an appropriate governmental work permit. Work permits may be granted or extended by the Bermuda government upon showing that, after proper public advertisement in most cases, no Bermudian (or spouse of a Bermudian, holder of a permanent resident s certificate or holder of a working resident s certificate) is available who meets the minimum standard requirements for the advertised position. In 2001, the Bermuda government announced a new immigration policy limiting the duration of work permits to between six and nine years, with specified exemptions for key employees. In March 2004, the Bermuda government announced an amendment to the immigration policy which expanded the categories of occupations recognized by the government as key and for which businesses are eligible to apply for holders of jobs in those categories to be exempt from the six to nine year term limits. The categories include senior executives (chief executive officers, presidents through vice presidents), managers with global responsibility, senior financial posts (treasurers, chief financial officers through controllers, specialized qualified accountants, quantitative modeling analysts), certain legal professionals (general counsels, specialist attorneys, qualified legal librarians and knowledge managers), senior insurance professionals (senior underwriters, senior claims adjustors), experienced/specialized brokers, actuaries, specialist investment traders/analysts and senior information technology

engineers/managers. All executive officers who work in our Bermuda office that require work permits have obtained them.

United States

AXIS Capital has four operating insurance subsidiaries domiciled in the United States, which we refer to as the AXIS U.S. Subsidiaries.

U.S. Insurance Holding Company Regulation of AXIS Capital

AXIS Capital, as the indirect parent of the AXIS U.S. Subsidiaries, is subject to the insurance holding company laws of Connecticut, New York and Illinois. These laws generally require each of the AXIS U.S. Subsidiaries to register with its respective domestic state insurance department and to furnish annually financial and other information about the operations of companies within the holding company system. Generally, all material transactions among companies in the holding company system to which any of the AXIS U.S. Subsidiaries is a party, including sales, loans, reinsurance agreements and service agreements, must be fair and, if material or of a specified category, require prior notice and approval or non-disapproval by the insurance department where the subsidiary is domiciled.

Change of Control

Before a person can acquire control of a United States insurance company, prior written approval must be obtained from the insurance commissioner of the state where the domestic insurer is domiciled. Prior to granting approval of an application to acquire control of a domestic insurer, the state insurance commissioner will consider such factors as: the financial strength of the applicant, the integrity and management of the applicant s board of directors and executive officers, the acquiror s plans for the future operations of the domestic insurer and any anti-competitive results that may arise from the consummation of the acquisition of control. Generally, state statutes provide that control over a domestic insurer is presumed to exist if any person, directly, owns, controls, holds with the power to vote, or holds proxies representing, 10% or more of the voting securities of the domestic insurer. Because a person acquiring 10% or more of our common shares would indirectly control the same percentage of the stock of the AXIS U.S. Subsidiaries, the insurance change of control laws of Connecticut, Illinois and New York would likely apply to such a transaction. While our bye-laws limit the voting power of any shareholder to less than 9.5%, there can be no assurance that the applicable state insurance regulator would agree that a shareholder who owned 10% of our common shares did not control the applicable AXIS U.S. Subsidiary.

These laws may discourage potential acquisition proposals and may delay, deter or prevent a change of control of AXIS Capital, including transactions that some or all of the shareholders of AXIS Capital might consider to be desirable.

Terrorism Risk Insurance Act

On November 26, 2002, the Terrorism Risk Insurance Act (TRIA) was enacted. TRIA established a temporary Federal program that requires United States and other insurers writing specified commercial property and casualty insurance policies in the United States to make available in some policies coverage for losses resulting from terrorists acts committed by foreign persons or interests in the United States or with respect to specified U.S. air carriers, vessels or missions abroad. The coverage made available may not differ materially from the terms, amounts and other coverage limitations applicable to losses arising from events other than acts of terrorism. The program had been set to expire on December 31, 2005; however, on December 22, 2005, the President of the United States signed into law the Terrorism Risk Insurance Extension Act of 2005, which modified and extended the existing program.

Under TRIA, as amended, if an act is determined to be a covered terrorist act, then losses resulting from the act are ultimately shared among insurers, the federal government and policyholders. Generally, insurers must retain a defined deductible and 10% (15% in 2007) of losses above the deductible but can obtain reimbursement from the federal government for their covered losses in excess of those amounts, once certain aggregate industry loss triggers are met. An insurer s deductible for 2006 is 17.5% of the insurer s 2005 direct earned premiums on TRIA covered lines and for 2007 is 20% of the insurer s 2006 direct earned premiums on TRIA covered lines. Total reimbursement by the federal government is limited to \$100 billion each year, and no insurer that has met its deductible shall be liable for the payment of its portion of the aggregate industry insurer loss that exceeds \$100 billion.

As amended, the entire TRIA program, including provisions authorizing Federal reimbursement of insurers and the requirement to make coverage available, will expire at the end of 2007 unless the Congress passes and the President signs legislation extending this program.

State Insurance Regulation

State insurance authorities have broad regulatory powers with respect to various aspects of the business of U.S. insurance companies, including: licensing to transact business, accreditation of reinsurers, admittance of assets to statutory surplus, regulating unfair trade and claims practices, establishing reserve requirements and solvency standards, regulating investments and dividends, approving policy forms and related materials in some instances and approving premium rates in some instances. State insurance laws and regulations may require the AXIS U.S. Subsidiaries to file financial statements with insurance departments everywhere they are licensed or authorized or accredited to conduct insurance business, and their operations are subject to examination by those departments at any time. The AXIS U.S. Subsidiaries prepare statutory financial statements in accordance with statutory accounting principles (SAP) and procedures prescribed or permitted by these departments. State insurance departments also conduct periodic examinations of the books and records, financial reporting, policy filings and market conduct of insurance companies domiciled in their states, generally once every three to five years. Examinations are generally carried out in cooperation with the insurance departments of other states under guidelines promulgated by the National Association of Insurance Commissioners (NAIC).

The terms and conditions of reinsurance agreements generally are not subject to regulation by any U.S. state insurance department with respect to rates or policy terms. As a practical matter, however, the rates charged by primary insurers do have an effect on the rates that can be charged by reinsurers.

State Dividend Limitations

New York. Under New York law, the New York Superintendent of Insurance must approve any dividend declared or paid by AXIS Re U.S. that, together with all dividends declared or distributed by it during the preceding twelve months, exceeds the lesser of 10% of AXIS Re U.S. s statutory surplus as shown on its latest statutory financial statement on file with the New York Superintendent of Insurance, or 100% of AXIS Re U.S. s adjusted net investment income during that period. New York does not permit a dividend to be declared or distributed, except out of earned surplus.

Connecticut. Under Connecticut law, AXIS Specialty U.S. may not pay a dividend or make a distribution that exceeds the greater of 10% of AXIS Specialty U.S. statutory surplus as of December 31 of the preceding year, or the net income of AXIS Specialty U.S. for the twelve-month period ending December 31of the preceding year, without the prior approval of the Connecticut Insurance Commissioner unless thirty days have passed after receipt by the Insurance Commissioner of notice of such payment without the Insurance Commissioner having disapproved of such payment. In addition, AXIS Specialty U.S. must report for informational purposes to the Insurance Commissioner all dividends and other distributions to security holders following the declaration and prior to payment. Connecticut only permits a dividend to be declared or distributed, either out of earned surplus or with prior regulatory approval.

Illinois. Under Illinois law, AXIS Surplus U.S. and AXIS Insurance U.S. may not pay a dividend or make a distribution that exceeds the greater of 10% of the company s surplus as of December 31 of the preceding year, or the net income of the company, for the twelve-month period ending December 31 of the preceding year, until thirty days after the Illinois Director of Insurance has received notice and the Director of Insurance has not disapproved of such payment or until the Director of Insurance has approved such payment within the thirty day period. In addition, AXIS Surplus U.S. and AXIS Insurance U.S. must report to the Director of Insurance all dividends and distributions to shareholders following declaration and prior to payment. Illinois does not permit a dividend to be declared or paid, except out of earned surplus.

The dividend limitations imposed by the state laws are based on the statutory financial results of the respective AXIS U.S. Subsidiaries determined by using SAP, which differ in some respects from accounting principles used in financial statements prepared in conformity with U.S. GAAP. The significant differences relate to deferred acquisition costs, investment valuation and deferred income taxes.

Risk-Based Capital Regulations

Connecticut and Illinois require that each domestic insurer report their risk-based capital based on a formula calculated by applying factors to various asset, premium and reserve items. The formula takes into account the risk characteristics of the insurer, including asset risk and insurance risk. Insurance risk takes into consideration that pricing may not be adequate and that loss reserves held might develop adversely. The respective state insurance regulators use the formula as an early warning regulatory tool to identify possibly inadequately capitalized insurers for purposes of initiating regulatory action, and not as a means to rank insurers generally. State insurance laws impose broad confidentiality requirements on those engaged in the insurance business (including insurers, agents, brokers and others) and on state insurance departments as to the use and publication of risk-based capital data. The respective state insurance regulators have explicit financial regulator authority to require various actions by, or to take various actions against, insurers whose total adjusted capital does not exceed certain risk-based capital levels. The New York Insurance Department requires domestic property and casualty insurers to report their risk-based capital. A bill is pending before the New York state legislature that would codify this regulatory requirement. Each of AXIS Re U.S., AXIS Specialty U.S., AXIS Surplus U.S. and AXIS Insurance U.S. have risk-based capital in excess of the required levels.

Guaranty Associations and Similar Arrangements

Most of the jurisdictions in which the AXIS U.S. Subsidiaries are admitted to transact business require property and casualty insurers doing business within the jurisdiction to participate in guaranty associations, which are organized to pay contractual benefits owed pursuant to insurance policies issued by impaired, insolvent or failed insurers. These associations levy assessments, up to prescribed limits, on all member insurers in a particular state on the basis of the proportionate share of the premiums written by member insurers in the lines of business in which the impaired, insolvent or failed insurer is engaged. Some states permit member insurers to recover assessments paid through full or partial premium tax offsets.

Operations of AXIS Specialty Bermuda, AXIS Re Ireland, AXIS Specialty Ireland, AXIS Re Europe and AXIS Specialty London.

The insurance laws of each state of the United States and of many other countries regulate or prohibit the sale of insurance and reinsurance within their jurisdictions by non-domestic insurers and reinsurers that are not admitted to do business within such jurisdictions. AXIS Specialty Ireland (including its branch AXIS Specialty London) is authorized to write surplus lines business in 42 states in the United States and is in the process of applying for authorization to write surplus lines business in the remaining states. AXIS Specialty Bermuda, AXIS Re Ireland and AXIS Re Europe are not licensed or eligible to write business in

the United States. We do not intend that AXIS Specialty Bermuda, AXIS Re Ireland, AXIS Specialty Ireland, AXIS Re Europe and AXIS Specialty London maintain offices or solicit, advertise, settle claims or conduct other insurance activities in any jurisdiction in the United States where the conduct of such activities would require these companies to be admitted or authorized.

In addition to the regulatory requirements imposed by the jurisdictions in which they are licensed, reinsurers business operations are affected by regulatory requirements in various states of the United States governing credit for reinsurance that are imposed on their ceding companies. In general, a ceding company obtaining reinsurance from a reinsurer that is licensed, accredited or approved by the jurisdiction or state in which the ceding company files statutory financial statements is permitted to reflect in its statutory financial statements a credit in an aggregate amount equal to the ceding company s liability for unearned premiums (which are that portion of premiums written which applies to the unexpired portion of the policy period), loss reserves and loss expense reserves ceded to the reinsurer. Neither AXIS Specialty Bermuda nor AXIS Re Ireland are licensed, accredited or approved in any state in the United States. The great majority of states, however, permit a credit to statutory surplus resulting from reinsurance obtained from a non-licensed or non-accredited reinsurer to be recognized to the extent that the reinsurer provides a letter of credit, trust fund or other acceptable security arrangement. A few states do not allow credit for reinsurance ceded to non-licensed reinsurers except in certain limited circumstances and others impose additional requirements that make it difficult to become accredited.

Ireland

AXIS Specialty Ireland

AXIS Specialty Ireland is a non-life insurance company incorporated under the laws of Ireland. AXIS Specialty Ireland is subject to the regulation and supervision of the Irish Financial Services Regulatory Authority (the Irish Financial Regulator) pursuant to the Irish Insurance Acts 1909 to 2000, regulations relating to insurance business and the Central Bank and Financial Services Authority of Ireland Acts 2003 and 2004 (together, the the Insurance Acts and Regulations). AXIS Specialty Ireland is authorized to undertake the business of non-life insurance in various classes of business.

As is normal in the case of insurance companies, when AXIS Specialty Ireland was authorized to write non-life insurance business, in addition to the obligations imposed on AXIS Specialty Ireland by the Insurance Acts and Regulations, the authorization was granted subject to certain conditions. The following are the main conditions that have been imposed:

- AXIS Specialty Ireland must adhere to the business plan submitted in connection with its application for authorization unless otherwise agreed with the Irish Financial Regulator;
- AXIS Specialty Ireland is not permitted to reduce the level of its capital without the consent of the Irish Financial Regulator;
- AXIS Specialty Ireland may not make any dividend payments without the Irish Financial Regulator s prior approval;
- No intercompany loans may be made by AXIS Specialty Ireland without prior notification to and approval of the Irish Financial Regulator;
- The management accounts of AXIS Capital must be submitted to the Irish Financial Regulator on a quarterly basis for the initial years of operation of AXIS Specialty Ireland;
- AXIS Specialty Ireland must maintain a minimum solvency margin equal to 200% of the solvency margin laid down by the Insurance Acts and Regulations (and a solvency ratio of 50%); and

• AXIS Specialty Ireland must file annual statutory insurance returns in the format prescribed by the European Communities (Non-Life Insurance Accounts) Regulations, 1995.

In addition to the above conditions, AXIS Specialty Ireland has agreed with the Irish Financial Regulator to limit the level of treaty reinsurance business that it underwrites.

European Passport. Ireland is a member of the European Economic Area (the EEA), which comprises each of the countries of the European Union (the EU) and some additional countries. Ireland has adopted the EU s Third Non-Life Insurance Directive (92/49/EEC) into Irish law. This directive introduced a single system for the authorization and financial supervision of non-life insurance companies by their home member state. Under this system, AXIS Specialty Ireland (as an Irish authorized insurance company) is permitted to carry on insurance business in any other EEA member state by way of freedom to provide services, on the basis that it has notified the Irish Financial Regulator of its intention to do so and subject to complying with such conditions as may be laid down by the regulator of the jurisdiction in which the insurance activities are carried out for reasons of the general good .

The Third Non-Life Directive also permits AXIS Specialty Ireland to carry on insurance business in any other EEA member state under the so called freedom of establishment rules. Under these rules, AXIS Specialty Ireland established a London branch in May 2003. The Irish Financial Regulator remains responsible for the authorization and financial supervision of the London branch. In addition, the London branch must comply with the general good requirements of the Financial Services Authority of the United Kingdom.

On the basis of the foregoing, in addition to being authorized to carry on non-life insurance business in Ireland, AXIS Specialty Ireland is also authorized to carry on non-life insurance business in all other EEA member states under freedom to provide services (and also, in the case of the United Kingdom, under freedom of establishment). However, AXIS Specialty Ireland is not licensed as an insurance company in any jurisdiction other than Ireland and the other EEA member states.

Qualifying Holding. The Insurance Acts and Regulations require that anyone acquiring or disposing of a qualifying holding in an insurance company (such as AXIS Specialty Ireland), or anyone who proposes to decrease or increase that holding to specified levels, must first notify the Irish Financial Regulator of their intention to do so. It also requires any insurance company that becomes aware of any acquisitions or disposals of its capital involving the specified levels to notify the Irish Financial Regulator. A qualifying holding means a direct or indirect holding in an insurance company that represents 10% or more of the capital or of the voting rights of such company or that makes it possible to exercise a significant influence over the management of such company. The specified levels are 20%, 33% and 50%, or such other level of ownership that results in the company becoming the acquiror s subsidiary. Any person having a holding of 10% or more of the capital or voting rights of AXIS Capital would be considered to have an indirect holding in AXIS Specialty Ireland over the 10% limit. AXIS Specialty Ireland is required, at such times as may be specified by the Irish Financial Regulator, and at least once a year, to notify the Irish Financial Regulator of the names of persons possessing qualifying holdings and the size of such holdings.

Transactions with Related Companies. The Insurance Acts and Regulations provide that prior to entering into any transaction of a material nature with a related company or companies (including, in particular, the provision of loans to and acceptance of loans from a related company or companies), AXIS Specialty Ireland must submit to the Irish Financial Regulator a draft of any contract or agreement that is to be entered into by AXIS Specialty Ireland in relation to the transaction. In addition, AXIS Specialty Ireland must notify the Irish Financial Regulator on an annual basis with respect to transactions with related companies in excess of EUR 10,000.

Financial Requirements. AXIS Specialty Ireland is required to maintain technical reserves calculated in accordance with the Insurance Acts and Regulations. Assets representing its technical reserves are required to cover AXIS Specialty Ireland s calculated underwriting liabilities.

In addition to filing various statutory returns with the Irish Financial Regulator, AXIS Specialty Ireland is obligated to prepare annual accounts (comprising balance sheet, profit and loss account and notes) in accordance with the provisions of the European Communities (Insurance Undertakings: Accounts) Regulations, 1996 (the Insurance Accounts Regulations). The accounts must be filed with the Irish Financial Regulator and with the Registrar of Companies in Ireland.

Additionally, AXIS Specialty Ireland is required to establish and maintain an adequate solvency margin and a minimum guarantee fund, both of which must be free from all foreseeable liabilities. Currently, the solvency margin is calculated as the higher amount of a percentage of the annual amount of premiums (premiums basis) or the average burden of claims, generally for the last three years (claims basis). The amount of premiums or, as the case may be, claims is subject to a 50% increase in the case of certain types of liability business. As noted above with respect to the conditions attaching to AXIS Specialty Ireland s authorization, AXIS Specialty Ireland is required to have a solvency margin significantly in excess of the prescribed minimum.

The amount of the minimum guarantee fund that AXIS Specialty Ireland is required to maintain is equal to one-third of the solvency margin requirement as set out above, subject to a minimum.

Regulatory Guidelines. In addition to the Insurance Acts and Regulations, AXIS Specialty Ireland is expected to comply with guidelines issued by the Irish Financial Regulator in July 2001. The following are the most relevant guidelines:

• All insurance companies supervised by the Irish Financial Regulator are obliged to appoint a compliance officer, who must carry out the duties and functions set forth in the guidelines;

• All directors of insurance companies supervised by the Irish Financial Regulator are required to certify to the Irish Financial Regulator on an annual basis that the company has complied with all relevant legal and regulatory requirements throughout the year;

• All insurance companies must adopt an appropriate asset management policy having regard to its liabilities profile;

• All companies supervised by the Irish Financial Regulator must formulate a clear and prudent policy on the use of derivatives for all purposes and, furthermore, have controls in place to ensure that the policy is implemented;

• Non-life companies supervised by the Irish Financial Regulator such as AXIS Specialty Ireland are required to provide an annual actuarial opinion as to the adequacy of their reserves; and

• All insurance companies must have a reinsurance strategy approved by its board of directors that is appropriate to their risk profile.

Supervision and Investigation. The Insurance Acts and Regulations confer on the Irish Financial Regulator wide-ranging powers in relation to the supervision and investigation of insurers.

AXIS Re Ireland

AXIS Re Ireland is a reinsurance company incorporated under the laws of Ireland and is subject to the regulation and supervision of the Irish Financial Regulator.

The European Communities (Reinsurance) Regulations 2006 (the Regulations) came into effect on July 15, 2006 and Ireland thereby became the first EU member state to implement the European

Reinsurance Directive (the Reinsurance Directive). The Regulations introduce a comprehensive framework for the authorization and supervision of reinsurers in Ireland for the first time.

The Reinsurance Directive provides for a single passport system within Europe for reinsurers similar to that which currently applies to direct insurers. The Reinsurance Directive provides that the authorization and supervision of European reinsurers is the responsibility of the EU member where the head office of the relevant reinsurer is located (known as the home state). Once authorized in its home state, a reinsurer is automatically entitled to conduct reinsurance business in all EU member states under the principles of freedom of establishment and freedom to provide services. The Directive provides that the financial supervision of a reinsurer, including that of the business it carries on in other member states, either through branches or under the freedom to provide services, is the sole responsibility of the home state.

Reinsurers are required to maintain assets covering technical reserves and, in the case of credit reinsurers, equalization reserves. Reinsurers are also required to maintain a solvency margin of assets free of any foreseeable liabilities. In the case of a reinsurer such as AXIS Re Ireland, the required solvency margin is the higher of a premium basis or a claims basis calculation as set out in the Regulations. The Irish Financial Regulator will be encouraging companies to have a solvency margin of at least 150% of the figure calculated in accordance with the Regulations. If a reinsurer falls below 150% of the required solvency margin, it will be required to engage in discussions with the Irish Financial Regulator and may have to report to the Irish Financial Regulator more frequently than would otherwise be the case. Reinsurers are also required to maintain a minimum guarantee fund, which must contain funds equivalent to at least one-third of the reinsurer s required solvency margin and, for reinsurers such as AXIS Re Ireland, must not be less than EUR 3,000,000.

All Irish reinsurers established before December 10, 2005 (including AXIS Re Ireland) are deemed to be authorized under the Regulations, subject to complying with certain requirements not later than December 10, 2007. The Irish Financial Regulator requested all such non-life reinsurers to submit a statement of compliance by June 30, 2006 on the basis of their most recent audited financial statements and AXIS Re Ireland duly filed such a compliance statement.

In addition, the Regulations require that anyone acquiring or disposing of a direct or indirect holding in an Irish authorized reinsurance company (such as AXIS Re Ireland) that represents 10% or more of the capital or of the voting rights of such company or that makes it possible to exercise a significant influence over the management of such company, or anyone who proposes to decrease or increase that holding to specified levels, must first notify the Irish Financial Regulator of their intention to do so. The Regulations also require any Irish authorized reinsurance company that becomes aware of any acquisitions or disposals of its capital involving the specified levels to notify the Irish Financial Regulator. The specified levels are 20%, 33% and 50% or such other level of ownership that results in the company becoming the acquiror s subsidiary within the meaning of the Regulations. The Irish Financial Regulator has three months from the date of submission of a notification within which to oppose the proposed transaction on certain specified grounds.

Other Irish Law Considerations. As each of AXIS Specialty Ireland, AXIS Re Ireland and AXIS Ireland Holdings are companies which are incorporated in Ireland and which carry on business in Ireland, they are subject to the laws and regulations of Ireland. Some of the applicable restrictions and obligations contained in the Irish Companies Acts, 1963 to 2006 (the Companies Acts) are as follows:

• Irish company law applies capital maintenance rules. In particular, each of AXIS Specialty Ireland, AXIS Re Ireland and AXIS Ireland Holdings is restricted to declaring dividends only out of profits available for distribution. Profits available for distribution are a company s accumulated realized profits less its accumulated realized losses. Such profits may not include profits previously utilized

either by distribution or capitalization and such losses do not include amounts previously written-off in a reduction or reorganization of capital;

• Irish law restricts a company from entering into certain types of transactions with its directors and officers by either completely prohibiting such transactions or permitting them only subject to conditions;

• All Irish companies are obliged to file prescribed returns in the Companies Registration Office annually and on the happening of certain events such as the creation of new shares, a change in directors or the passing of certain shareholder resolutions;

• A statutory body (known as the Office of the Director of Corporate Enforcement) has power to carry out investigations into the affairs of Irish companies in circumstances prescribed in the Companies Acts; and

• Civil and criminal sanctions exist for breaches of the Companies Acts.

United Kingdom

Under United Kingdom law, a company may only transact insurance and/or reinsurance business upon authorization. AXIS Specialty Bermuda, AXIS Re Ireland and AXIS Specialty Ireland are not authorized to transact insurance and/or reinsurance business in the United Kingdom, except as otherwise described above in Ireland AXIS Specialty Ireland European Passport . AXIS Specialty Ireland has established a branch office in the United Kingdom that allows it to transact business in the United Kingdom.

Switzerland

In September 2003, AXIS Re Ireland established a branch in Zurich, Switzerland named AXIS Re Europe. The activities of this branch are limited to reinsurance so it is not required to be licensed by the Swiss insurance regulatory authorities.

Singapore

In October 2004, AXIS Specialty Bermuda established a representative office in Singapore. The activities of this office are limited to referring business to AXIS Specialty Bermuda, so it is not required to be licensed by the Singapore insurance regulatory authorities.

Employees

As of February 23, 2007 we had 570 employees. We believe that our employee relations are excellent. None of our employees is subject to a collective bargaining agreement.

Available Information

We file periodic reports, proxy statements and other information with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). The public may read and copy any materials we file with the SEC at the SEC s Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549. The public may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC maintains an Internet site that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC (such as us) and the address of that site is (*http://www.sec.gov*). Our common shares are traded on the NYSE with the symbol AXS and you can review similar information concerning us at the office of the NYSE at 20 Broad Street, New York, New York, 10005.

Our Internet website address is http://www.axiscapital.com. Information contained in our website is not part of this report.

We make available free of charge, including through our Internet website, our annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the U.S. Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act) as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is electronically filed with, or furnished to, the SEC.

Current copies of the Charter for each of our Audit Committee, Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee, Compensation Committee, Finance Committee and Executive Committee, as well as our Corporate Governance Guidelines and Code of Business Conduct, are available on our Internet website and are available, without charge, in print to any shareholder who requests it by contacting the Company s Secretary at 92 Pitts Bay Road, Pembroke, Bermuda, HM 08.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Risks Related to the Company

Our business, results of operations and financial condition could be materially adversely affected by adverse development of losses related to Hurricanes Katrina, Rita and Wilma.

We have substantial exposure to losses resulting from natural disasters, including hurricanes. During the third and fourth quarters of 2005, Hurricanes Katrina, Rita and Wilma caused significant destruction in the Gulf Coast region of the United States. For the year ended December 31, 2005, our estimate of net losses and loss expenses from these hurricanes was \$1,019.1 million. During 2006, we strengthened our estimate of our ultimate liability by a further \$105.0 million to \$1,124.1 million. At December 31, 2006, \$767.0 million, or 68.2%, of our total estimate represented net paid losses. Our estimate of the ultimate net losses and loss expenses for our insurance and reinsurance segments was derived from a combination of the review of in-force contracts and loss information from our clients, brokers and loss adjusters. If our actual losses from these hurricanes are materially greater than our estimated losses, our business, results of operations and financial condition could be materially adversely affected.

Credit agency ratings of our insurance companies and our securities have become an increasingly important factor in maintaining the competitive position of our insurance and reinsurance companies and are also important in establishing the market value of our securities. Our ratings are subject to periodic review by, and may be revised downward or revoked at the sole discretion of, the rating agencies. If our losses from Hurricanes Katrina, Rita or Wilma materially exceed our estimates, or if additional large loss events occur, our ratings could be revised downward or revoked, which could result in a substantial loss of business and a reduction in the market value of our securities. See below, Our operating subsidiaries are rated by rating agencies and a decline in these ratings could affect our standing among brokers and customers and cause our premiums and earnings to decrease.

We purchase reinsurance for our insurance and reinsurance operations in order to mitigate the volatility of losses upon our financial results. The occurrence of additional large loss events could reduce the reinsurance coverage that is available to us and could weaken the financial condition of our reinsurers, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations. See below If we choose to purchase reinsurance, we may be unable to do so, and if we successfully purchase reinsurance, we may be unable to collect.

Our future performance is difficult to predict because we have a limited operating history.

We began our business in November 2001 and have a limited operating and financial history. As a result, there is limited historical financial and operating information available to help you evaluate our

performance. Because we are in the early stages of development, we face substantial business and financial risks and may suffer significant losses. We must continue to develop and maintain business relationships, operating procedures, management information and other systems and complete other tasks necessary to conduct our intended business activities. It is possible that we will not be successful in implementing our business strategy or accomplishing these necessary tasks.

Our results of operations and financial condition could be adversely affected by the occurrence of natural and man-made disasters.

We have substantial exposure to unexpected losses resulting from natural disasters, man-made catastrophes and other catastrophic events. Catastrophes can be caused by various events, including hurricanes, earthquakes, hailstorms, explosions, severe winter weather, fires, war, acts of terrorism, political instability and other natural or man-made disasters. In addition, we have written and intend to continue to write policies explicitly covering war, acts of terrorism and political risk. The incidence and severity of catastrophes are inherently unpredictable and our losses from catastrophes could be substantial. The occurrence of claims from catastrophic events is likely to result in substantial volatility in our results of operations or financial condition for any fiscal quarter or year. This volatility is compounded by accounting regulations that do not permit reinsurers to reserve for catastrophic events until they occur. Although we attempt to manage our exposure to such events, a single catastrophic event could affect multiple geographic zones or the frequency or severity of catastrophic events could exceed our estimates. In addition, increases in the values and concentrations of insured property and demand surge caused by the frequency of events may generate more volatility in the level of losses from catastrophic events. As a result, the occurrence of one or more catastrophic events could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or financial condition and our ability to write new business.

If actual claims exceed our loss reserves, our financial results could be adversely affected.

Our results of operations and financial condition depend upon our ability to accurately assess the potential losses associated with the risks that we insure and reinsure. We establish loss reserves to cover our estimated liability for the payment of all losses and loss expenses incurred with respect to premiums earned on the policies that we write. Our operating history is limited and our loss history is insufficient to allow us currently to extrapolate reserves directly for most of our lines of business. Instead, our current loss reserves are primarily based on estimates involving actuarial and statistical projections of our expectations of the ultimate settlement and administration costs of claims incurred. We utilize actuarial models and historical insurance industry loss development patterns to establish appropriate loss reserves, as well as estimates of future trends in claims severity, frequency and other factors. Establishing an appropriate level of loss reserves is an inherently uncertain process. Accordingly, actual losses and loss expenses paid will deviate, perhaps substantially, from the reserve estimates reflected in our consolidated financial statements. If our loss reserves are determined to be inadequate, we will be required to increase loss reserves at the time of such determination and our net income will be reduced. If our net income is insufficient to absorb a required increase in our loss reserves, we would incur an operating loss and could incur a reduction of our capital.

The failure of any of the loss limitation methods we employ could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or financial condition.

We seek to mitigate our loss exposure by writing a number of our insurance and reinsurance contracts on an excess of loss basis. Excess of loss insurance and reinsurance indemnifies the insured against losses in excess of a specified amount. In addition, we limit the program size for each client on our insurance business and purchase reinsurance for many of our lines of business. In the case of proportional reinsurance treaties, we seek per occurrence limitations or loss and loss expense ratio caps to limit the

impact of losses from any one event. In proportional reinsurance, the reinsurer shares a proportional part of the premiums and losses of the reinsured. We cannot be sure that any of these loss limitation methods will be effective and mitigate our loss exposure. We also seek to limit our loss exposure by geographic diversification. Geographic zone limitations involve significant underwriting judgments, including the determination of the area of the zones and the inclusion of a particular policy within a particular zone s limits. Various provisions of our policies, such as limitations or exclusions from coverage or choice of forum negotiated to limit our risks may not be enforceable in the manner we intend. As a result of these risks, one or more catastrophic or other events could result in claims that substantially exceed our expectations, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or financial condition.

The effects of emerging claim and coverage issues on our business are uncertain.

As industry practices and legal, judicial, social and other environmental conditions change, unexpected and unintended issues related to claims and coverage may emerge. These issues may adversely affect our business by either extending coverage beyond our underwriting intent or by increasing the number or size of claims. In some instances, these changes may not become apparent until some time after we have issued insurance or reinsurance contracts that are affected by the changes. As a result, the full extent of liability under our insurance or reinsurance contracts may not be known for many years after a contract is issued. One example of an emerging claims and coverage issue is whether some of the substantial losses from recent hurricanes are the result of storm surge, which is sometimes covered by insurance, or flood, which is generally not covered. Another example of an emerging coverage and claims issue is larger settlements and jury awards against professionals and corporate directors and officers covered by professional liability and directors and officers liability insurance.

The risk associated with reinsurance underwriting could adversely affect us.

In our reinsurance business, we do not separately evaluate each of the individual risks assumed under reinsurance treaties. This is common among reinsurers. Therefore, we are largely dependent on the original underwriting decisions made by insurers that reinsure their liabilities, or ceding companies. We are subject to the risk that the ceding companies may not have adequately evaluated the risks to be reinsured and that the premiums ceded may not adequately compensate us for the risks we assume.

We could be adversely affected by the loss of one or more key executives or by an inability to attract and retain qualified personnel.

Our success depends on our ability to retain the services of our existing key executives and to attract and retain additional qualified personnel in the future. The loss of the services of any of our key executives or the inability to hire and retain other highly qualified personnel in the future could adversely affect our ability to conduct our business. We do not maintain key man life insurance policies with respect to our employees, except for our Chief Executive Officer and President, John R. Charman. On February 6, 2006, Mr. Charman informed us that he intends to retire on December 31, 2008. There can be no assurance, however, that the Company will be successful in identifying, hiring or retaining successors on terms acceptable to the Company or on any terms.

Under Bermuda law, non-Bermudians, with some limited exceptions, may not engage in any gainful occupation in Bermuda without an appropriate governmental work permit. Work permits may be granted or extended by the Bermuda government only upon showing that, after proper public advertisement in most cases, no Bermudian or spouse of a Bermudian, holder of a permanent resident s certificate or holder of a working resident s certificate is available who meets the minimum standard requirements for the advertised position. In 2001, the Bermuda government announced a new immigration policy limiting the duration of work permits to between six and nine years, with specified exemptions for key employees. In March 2004, the Bermuda government announced an amendment to the immigration policy which

expanded the categories of occupations recognized by the government as key and for which businesses are eligible to apply for holders of jobs in those categories to be exempt from the six to nine year term limits. The categories include senior executives (chief executive officers, presidents through vice presidents), managers with global responsibility, senior financial posts (treasurers, chief financial officers through controllers, specialized qualified accountants, quantitative modeling analysts), certain legal professionals (general counsels, specialist attorneys, qualified legal librarians and knowledge managers), senior insurance professionals (senior underwriters, senior claims adjustors), experienced/specialized brokers, actuaries, specialist investment traders/analysts and senior information technology engineers/managers. All executive officers who work in our Bermuda office that require work permits have obtained them.

Our operating subsidiaries are rated by rating agencies and a decline in these ratings could affect our standing among brokers and customers and cause our premiums and earnings to decrease.

Ratings have become an increasingly important factor in establishing the competitive position of insurance and reinsurance companies. Our insurance subsidiaries have been rated A (Strong) by Standard & Poor s, which is the sixth highest of twenty-one rating levels, and A (Excellent) by A.M. Best, which is the third highest of fifteen rating levels. AXIS Specialty Bermuda, AXIS Re Ireland and AXIS Re U.S. are rated A2 (Good) by Moody s Investors Service, which is the sixth highest of 21 ratings. Our ratings are subject to periodic review by, and may be revised downward or revoked at the sole discretion of, the rating agency. If our ratings are reduced from their current levels by any rating agency, our competitive position in the insurance and reinsurance industry would suffer, and it would be more difficult for us to market our products. A downgrade, therefore, could result in a substantial loss of business as insureds, ceding companies and brokers move to other insurers and reinsurers with higher ratings. In addition, we will be in default of our credit facility if any of our subsidiaries that are party to our credit facility fails to maintain a rating of at least B++ from A.M. Best.

Since we depend on a few brokers for a large portion of our revenues, loss of business provided by any one of them could adversely affect us.

We market our insurance and reinsurance worldwide primarily through insurance and reinsurance brokers. Marsh Inc, including its subsidiary Guy Carpenter & Company, Inc., Aon Corporation and Willis Group Holdings Ltd., provided a total of 52.1% of our gross premiums written during 2006. These brokers also have, or may in the future acquire, ownership interests in insurance and reinsurance companies that may compete with us. Loss of all or a substantial portion of the business provided by one or more of these brokers could have a material adverse effect on our business. For further information on the concentration of our brokers refer to Item 8, Note 11 b) of the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Our reliance on brokers subjects us to their credit risk.

In accordance with industry practice, we generally pay amounts owed on claims under our insurance and reinsurance contracts to brokers, and these brokers pay these amounts over to the clients that have purchased insurance or reinsurance from us. Although the law is unsettled and depends upon the facts and circumstances of the particular case, in some jurisdictions, if a broker fails to make such a payment, we might remain liable to the insured or ceding insurer for the deficiency. Conversely, in certain jurisdictions, when the insured or ceding insurer pays premiums for these policies to brokers for payment over to us, these premiums might be considered to have been paid and the insured or ceding insurer will no longer be liable to us for those amounts, whether or not we have actually received the premiums from the broker. Consequently, we assume a degree of credit risk associated with brokers with whom we transact business.

If we choose to purchase reinsurance, we may be unable to do so, and if we successfully purchase reinsurance, we may be unable to collect.

We purchase reinsurance for our insurance and reinsurance operations in order to mitigate the volatility of losses upon our financial results. A reinsurer s insolvency, or inability or refusal to make payments under the terms of its reinsurance agreement with us, could have a material adverse effect on our business because we remain liable to the insured.

From time to time, market conditions have limited, and in some cases have prevented, insurers and reinsurers from obtaining the types and amounts of reinsurance that they consider adequate for their business needs. For example, following the occurrence of recent hurricanes, terms and conditions in the reinsurance markets generally became less attractive to purchasers of reinsurance. Accordingly, we may not be able to obtain our desired amounts of reinsurance. In addition, even if we are able to obtain such reinsurance, we may not be able to negotiate terms that we deem appropriate or acceptable or obtain such reinsurance from entities with satisfactory creditworthiness.

Our investment performance may affect our financial results and ability to conduct business.

Our funds are invested by several professional investment advisory management firms under the direction of our management in accordance with investment guidelines set by us. Our investments are subject to market-wide risks and fluctuations, as well as to risks inherent in particular securities. In particular, the volatility of our claims may force us to liquidate securities, which may cause us to incur capital losses. If we do not structure our investment portfolio so that it is appropriately matched with our insurance and reinsurance liabilities, we may be forced to liquidate investments prior to maturity at a significant loss to cover the liabilities. Investment losses could significantly decrease our asset base, thereby affecting our ability to conduct business. During 2006, \$381.4 million, or 12.4% of our total revenues, was derived from our invested assets. This represented 41.2% of our net income available to common shareholders.

We may be adversely affected by interest rate changes.

Our operating results are affected, in part, by the performance of our investment portfolio. Our investment portfolio contains interest rate sensitive-instruments, such as bonds, which may be adversely affected by changes in interest rates. Interest rates are highly sensitive to many factors, including governmental monetary policies, domestic and international economic and political conditions and other factors beyond our control. Although we take measures to manage the risks of investing in a changing interest rate environment, we may not be able to mitigate interest rate sensitivity effectively. Despite our mitigation efforts, a significant increase in interest rates could have a material adverse effect on our investment income and results of operations.

In addition, our fixed investment portfolio includes mortgage-backed securities. As of December 31, 2006, mortgage-backed securities constituted approximately 29.9% of our cash and invested assets. As with other fixed income investments, the fair market value of these securities fluctuates depending on market and other general economic conditions and the interest rate environment. Changes in interest rates can expose us to prepayment risks on these investments. In periods of declining interest rates, mortgage prepayments generally increase and mortgage-backed securities are prepaid more quickly, requiring us to reinvest the proceeds at the then current market rates. In periods of increasing interest rates, these investments are exposed to extension risk, which occurs when the holders of underlying mortgages reduce the frequency on which they prepay the outstanding principal before the maturity date and delay any refinancing of the outstanding principal.

We may require additional capital in the future, which may not be available or may only be available on unfavorable terms.

Our future capital requirements depend on many factors, including our ability to write new business successfully, the frequency and severity of catastrophic events and our ability to establish premium rates and reserves at levels sufficient to cover losses. We may need to raise additional funds through financings or curtail our growth and reduce our assets. Any equity or debt financing, if available at all, may be on terms that are not favorable to us. Equity financings could be dilutive to our existing shareholders and could result in the issuance of securities that have rights, preferences and privileges that are senior to those of our other securities. If we cannot obtain adequate capital on favorable terms or at all, our business, operating results and financial condition could be adversely affected.

Our operating results may be adversely affected by currency fluctuations.

Our functional currency is the U.S. dollar. However, a portion of our gross premiums are written in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. A portion of our loss reserves and investments are also in non-U.S. currencies. We may, from time to time, experience losses resulting from fluctuations in the values of these non-U.S. currencies, which could adversely affect our operating results.

From time to time, we may purchase instruments to hedge our currency exposure and alleviate our potential exposure to volatility in foreign exchange losses. We currently are not aware of any material exposures to loss payments that will be paid in non-U.S. currencies. We intend to consider the use of additional hedges when we are advised of known or probable significant losses that will be paid in non-U.S. currencies. However, it is possible that we will not successfully structure those hedges so as to effectively manage these risks.

The regulatory system under which we operate, and potential changes thereto, could have a material adverse effect on our business.

General. Our insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries may not be able to obtain or maintain necessary licenses, permits, authorizations or accreditations in locales where we currently engage in business or in new locales, or may be able to do so only at significant cost. In addition, we may not be able to comply fully with, or obtain appropriate exemptions from, the wide variety of laws and regulations applicable to insurance or reinsurance companies or holding companies. Failure to comply with or to obtain appropriate authorizations and/or exemptions under any applicable laws could result in restrictions on our ability to do business or undertake activities that are regulated in one or more of the jurisdictions in which we operate and could subject us to fines and other sanctions. In addition, changes in the laws or regulations to which our insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries are subject could have a material adverse effect on our business.

AXIS Specialty Bermuda. AXIS Specialty Bermuda is a registered Class 4 Bermuda insurance and reinsurance company. Bermuda statutes and regulations and policies of the BMA require AXIS Specialty Bermuda to maintain minimum levels of statutory capital, surplus and liquidity, meet solvency standards, submit to periodic examinations of its financial condition and restrict payments of dividends and reductions of capital. These statutes, regulations and policies may, in effect, restrict AXIS Specialty Bermuda s ability to write insurance and reinsurance policies, to make some investments and to distribute funds to AXIS Capital. In addition, the offshore insurance and reinsurance regulatory environment has become subject to increased scrutiny in many jurisdictions, including the United States and various states within the United States. Compliance with any new laws or regulations regulating offshore insurers or reinsurers could have a material adverse effect on our business.

AXIS U.S. Subsidiaries. AXIS Re U.S. is organized in New York and is licensed to write certain lines of insurance and reinsurance in New York and elsewhere throughout the United States. AXIS Insurance

U.S. is organized in Illinois and is licensed to write certain lines of insurance and reinsurance in Illinois and elsewhere throughout the United States, except for California and New Hampshire. AXIS Specialty U.S. is organized and licensed to write certain lines of insurance in Connecticut and AXIS Surplus U.S. is organized and licensed to write certain lines of insurance in Illinois, and both are eligible to write certain lines of insurance in other U.S., jurisdictions on an excess or surplus lines basis (AXIS Re U.S., AXIS Specialty U.S., AXIS Surplus U.S. and AXIS Insurance U.S. are collectively referred to as the AXIS U.S. Subsidiaries). The AXIS U.S. Subsidiaries are subject to the laws and regulations of their respective states of domicile and other jurisdictions in which they are licensed or otherwise eligible to engage in business. These laws and regulations subject some affiliate transactions between such entities and other members of our holding company system to regulatory authority and require them to maintain minimum levels of capital, surplus and liquidity and comply with applicable risk-based capital requirements. In addition, they impose restrictions on the payment of dividends and distributions and in some cases require them to file insurance premium rates and policy forms. These rules and regulations may have the effect of restricting the ability of the AXIS U.S. Subsidiaries to write new business or distribute assets to AXIS Capital. The purpose of the state insurance laws and regulations is to protect U.S. insureds and U.S. ceding insurance companies, not shareholders. In recent years, the U.S. insurance regulatory framework has come under increased federal scrutiny, and some state legislators have considered or enacted laws that may alter or increase state regulation of insurance and reinsurance companies and holding companies. Moreover, the NAIC which is an association of the insurance commissioners of all 50 states and the District of Columbia, and state insurance regulators regularly reexamine existing laws and regulations. Changes in these laws and regulations or the interpretation of these laws and regulations could have a material adverse effect on our business.

AXIS Specialty Ireland. AXIS Specialty Ireland is a non-life insurance company incorporated under the laws of Ireland and as such is subject to the regulation and supervision of the Irish Financial Regulator and Regulations. Without the consent of the Irish Financial Regulator, AXIS Specialty Ireland is not permitted to reduce the level of its capital, may not make any dividend payments, may not make intercompany loans and must maintain a minimum solvency margin. Additionally, AXIS Specialty Ireland has agreed with the Irish Financial Regulator to limit the level of reinsurance business that it writes. These rules and regulations may have the effect of restricting the ability of AXIS Specialty Ireland to write new business or distribute assets to AXIS Capital.

AXIS Re Ireland. AXIS Re Ireland is a reinsurance company incorporated under the laws of Ireland. The European Communities (Reinsurance) Regulations 2006 (the Regulations) came into effect on July 15, 2006 and Ireland thereby became the first EU member state to implement the European Reinsurance Directive. The Regulations introduce a comprehensive framework for the authorization and supervision of reinsurers in Ireland for the first time. The Regulations provide that all Irish reinsurers established before December 10, 2005 (including AXIS Re Ireland) are deemed to be authorized under the Regulations, subject to complying with certain requirements not later than December 10, 2007. These requirements include an obligation to maintain assets covering technical reserves and a solvency margin of assets free from any foreseeable liabilities calculated in accordance with the Regulations. If AXIS Re Ireland is unable to comply with any of the requirements of this new regulatory regime, it would not be lawful for it to continue to carry on the business of reinsurance in Ireland and this could have a material adverse effect on our business.

Our inability to obtain the necessary credit could affect our ability to offer reinsurance in certain markets.

Neither AXIS Specialty Bermuda nor AXIS Re Ireland is licensed or admitted as an insurer or reinsurer in any jurisdiction other than Bermuda and Ireland, respectively. Because the U.S. and some other jurisdictions do not permit insurance companies to take credit for reinsurance obtained from unlicensed or non-admitted insurers on their statutory financial statements unless appropriate security

mechanisms are in place, our reinsurance clients typically require AXIS Specialty Bermuda and AXIS Re Ireland to provide letters of credit or other collateral. Our credit facility is used to post letters of credit. However, if our credit facility is not sufficient or if we are unable to renew the credit facility or are unable to arrange for other types of security on commercially reasonable terms, AXIS Specialty Bermuda and AXIS Re Ireland could be limited in its ability to write business for some of our clients.

Our ability to pay dividends and to make payments on indebtedness may be constrained by our holding company structure and regulatory constraints.

AXIS Capital is a holding company and has no direct operations of its own. AXIS Capital has no significant operations or assets other than its ownership of the shares of its operating insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries, AXIS Specialty Bermuda, AXIS Re Ireland, AXIS Specialty Ireland, AXIS Re U.S., AXIS Specialty U.S., AXIS Surplus U.S. and AXIS Insurance U.S. (collectively, our Insurance Subsidiaries). Dividends and other permitted distributions from our Insurance Subsidiaries are our primary source of funds to meet ongoing cash requirements, including debt service payments and other expenses, and to pay dividends to our shareholders. Our Insurance Subsidiaries are subject to significant regulatory restrictions limiting their ability to declare and pay dividends and make distributions. The inability of our Insurance Subsidiaries to pay dividends in an amount sufficient to enable us to meet our cash requirements at the holding company level could have a material adverse effect on our business and our ability to pay dividends and make payments on our indebtedness.

AXIS Capital is subject to Bermuda regulatory constraints that affect its ability to declare and pay dividends on its common shares and make other payments. Under the Companies Act, AXIS Capital may declare or pay a dividend or make a distribution out of contributed surplus only if it has no reasonable grounds for believing that it is, or would after the payment be, unable to pay its liabilities as they become due or that the realizable value of its assets would thereby be less than the aggregate of its liabilities and issued share capital and share premium accounts.

Our participation in a securities lending program subjects us to risk of default by the borrowers.

We participate in a securities lending program whereby our securities are loaned to third parties through a lending agent. The loaned securities are collateralized by cash, government securities and letters of credit in excess of the fair market value of the securities held by the lending agent. However, sharp changes in market values of substantial amounts of securities and the failure of the borrowers to honor their commitments, or default by the lending agent in remitting the collateral to us, could have a material adverse effect on our fixed maturity investments or our results of operations.

AXIS Capital is a Bermuda company and it may be difficult for you to enforce judgments against it or its directors and executive officers.

AXIS Capital is incorporated pursuant to the laws of Bermuda and our business is based in Bermuda. In addition, some of our directors and officers reside outside the United States, and all or a substantial portion of our assets and the assets of such persons are located in jurisdictions outside the United States. As a result, it may be difficult or impossible to effect service of process within the United States upon those persons or to recover against us or them on judgments of U.S. courts, including judgments predicated upon civil liability provisions of the U.S. federal securities laws. Further, it may not be possible to bring a claim in Bermuda against us or our directors and officers for violation of U.S. federal securities laws because these laws may have no extraterritorial application under Bermuda law and do not have force of law in Bermuda. A Bermuda court may, however, impose civil liability, including the possibility of monetary damages, on us or our directors and officers if the facts alleged in a complaint constitute or give rise to a cause of action under Bermuda law.

We have been advised by Conyers Dill & Pearman, our Bermuda counsel, that there is doubt as to whether the courts of Bermuda would enforce judgments of U.S. courts obtained in actions against us or our directors and officers, as well as any experts named herein, or in any registration statement we file predicated upon the civil liability provisions of the U.S. federal securities laws or whether proceedings could be commenced in the courts of Bermuda against us or such persons predicated solely upon U.S. federal securities laws. Further, we have been advised by Conyers Dill & Pearman that there is no treaty in effect between the United States and Bermuda providing for the enforcement of judgments of U.S. courts, and there may be grounds upon which Bermuda courts will not enforce judgments of U.S. courts. Some remedies available under the laws of U.S. jurisdictions, including some remedies available under the U.S. federal securities laws, may not be allowed in Bermuda courts as contrary to that jurisdiction s public policy.

There are provisions in our charter documents that may reduce or increase the voting rights of our shares.

Our bye-laws generally provide that shareholders have one vote for each common share held by them and are entitled to vote, on a non-cumulative basis, at all meetings of shareholders. However, the voting rights exercisable by a shareholder may be limited so that certain persons or groups are not deemed to hold 9.5% or more of the voting power conferred by our shares. Under these provisions, some shareholders may have the right to exercise their voting rights limited to less than one vote per share. Moreover, these provisions could have the effect of reducing the voting power of some shareholders who would not otherwise be subject to the limitation by virtue of their direct share ownership. In addition, our board of directors may limit a shareholder s exercise of voting rights where it deems it necessary to do so to avoid adverse tax, legal or regulatory consequences.

We also have the authority under our bye-laws to request information from any shareholder for the purpose of determining whether a shareholder s voting rights are to be limited pursuant to the bye-laws. If a shareholder fails to respond to our request for information or submits incomplete or inaccurate information in response to a request by us, we may, in our sole discretion, eliminate the shareholder s voting rights.

There are provisions in our bye-laws that may restrict the ability to transfer common shares and which may require shareholders to sell their common shares.

Our board of directors may decline to register a transfer of any common shares under some circumstances, including if they have reason to believe that any non-de minimis adverse tax, regulatory or legal consequences to us, any of our subsidiaries or any of our shareholders may occur as a result of such transfer. Our bye-laws also provide that if our board of directors determines that share ownership by a person may result in non-de minimis adverse tax, legal or regulatory consequences to us, any of our subsidiaries or any of our shareholders, then we have the option, but not the obligation, to require that shareholder to sell to us or to third parties to whom we assign the repurchase right for fair market value the minimum number of common shares held by such person which is necessary to eliminate the non-de minimis adverse tax, legal or regulatory consequences.

Applicable insurance laws may make it difficult to effect a change of control of our company.

Before a person can acquire control of a U.S. insurance company, prior written approval must be obtained from the insurance commissioner of the state where the domestic insurer is domiciled. Prior to granting approval of an application to acquire control of a domestic insurer, the state insurance commissioner will consider such factors as the financial strength of the applicant, the integrity and management of the applicant s board of directors and executive officers, the acquiror s plans for the management of the applicant s board of directors and executive officers, the domestic insurer and any anti-competitive results that may arise from the consummation

of the acquisition of control. Generally, state statutes provide that control over a domestic insurer is presumed to exist if any person, directly or indirectly, owns, controls, holds with the power to vote, or holds proxies representing, 10% or more of the voting securities of the domestic insurer. Because a person acquiring 10% or more of our common shares would indirectly control the same percentage of the stock of the AXIS U.S. Subsidiaries, the insurance change of control laws of Connecticut, Illinois and New York would likely apply to such a transaction.

In addition, the Insurance Acts and Regulations in Ireland require that anyone acquiring or disposing of a direct or indirect holding in an Irish authorized insurance company (such as AXIS Specialty Europe) that represents 10% or more of the capital or of the voting rights of such company or that makes it possible to exercise a significant influence over the management of such company, or anyone who proposes to decrease or increase that holding to specified levels, must first notify the Irish Regulatory Authority of their intention to do so. They also require any Irish authorized insurance company that becomes aware of any acquisitions or disposals of its capital involving the specified levels to notify the Irish Regulatory Authority. The specified levels are 20%, 33% and 50% or such other level of ownership that results in the company becoming the acquiror s subsidiary within the meaning of article 20 of the European Communities (non-Life Insurance) Framework Regulations 1994. The Irish Regulatory Authority has three months from the date of submission of a notification within which to oppose the proposed transaction if the Irish Regulatory Authority is not satisfied as to the suitability of the acquiror in view of the necessity to ensure prudent and sound management of the insurance undertaking concerned. Any person owning 10% or more of the capital or voting rights or an amount that makes it possible to exercise a significant influence over the management of AXIS Capital would be considered to have a qualifying holding in AXIS Specialty Europe.

While our bye-laws limit the voting power of any shareholder to less than 9.5%, there can be no assurance that the applicable regulatory body would agree that a shareholder who owned 10% or more of our shares did not, because of the limitation on the voting power of such shares, control the applicable Insurance Subsidiary.

These laws may discourage potential acquisition proposals and may delay, deter or prevent a change of control of the Company, including transactions that some or all of our shareholders might consider to be desirable.

U.S. persons who own our shares may have more difficulty in protecting their interests than U.S. persons who are shareholders of a U.S. corporation.

The Companies Act, which applies to us, differs in certain material respects from laws generally applicable to U.S. corporations and their shareholders. These differences include the manner in which directors must disclose transactions in which they have an interest, the rights of shareholders to bring class action and derivative lawsuits and the scope of indemnification available to directors and officers.

Anti-takeover provisions in our bye-laws could impede an attempt to replace our directors or to effect a change in control, which could diminish the value of our common shares.

Our bye-laws contain provisions that may make it more difficult for shareholders to replace directors and could delay or prevent a change of control that a shareholder might consider favorable. These provisions include a staggered board of directors, limitations on the ability of shareholders to remove directors other than for cause, limitations on voting rights and restrictions on transfer of our common shares. These provisions may prevent a shareholder from receiving the benefit from any premium over the market price of our shares offered by a bidder in a potential takeover. Even in the absence of an attempt to effect a change in management or a takeover attempt, these provisions may adversely affect the prevailing market price of our shares if they are viewed as discouraging takeover attempts in the future.

Risks Related to Our Industry

We operate in a highly competitive environment.

The insurance and reinsurance industry is highly competitive. We compete on an international and regional basis with major U.S., Bermuda, European and other international insurers and reinsurers and with Lloyds underwriting syndicates, some of which have greater financial, marketing and management resources than we do. We also compete with new companies that continue to be formed to enter the insurance and reinsurance markets. In addition, capital market participants have recently created alternative products that are intended to compete with reinsurance products. Increased competition could result in fewer submissions, lower premium rates and less favorable policy terms and conditions, which could have a material adverse effect on our growth and profitability.

The insurance and reinsurance business is historically cyclical, and we expect to experience periods with excess underwriting capacity and unfavorable premium rates.

The insurance and reinsurance business historically has been a cyclical industry characterized by periods of intense price competition due to excessive underwriting capacity as well as periods when shortages of capacity permitted favorable premium levels. An increase in premium levels is often offset by an increasing supply of insurance and reinsurance capacity, either by capital provided by new entrants or by the commitment of additional capital by existing insurers or reinsurers, which may cause prices to decrease. Any of these factors could lead to a significant reduction in premium rates, less favorable policy terms and fewer submissions for our underwriting services. In addition to these considerations, changes in the frequency and severity of losses suffered by insureds and insurers may affect the cycles of the insurance and reinsurance business significantly.

The impact of the investigations into anti-competitive practices in the insurance industry and related lawsuits cannot be predicted and may have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

During 2005, our U.S. holding company received subpoenas from the Office of the Attorney General of the State of New York seeking information regarding incentive commission agreements, fictitious and inflated quotes and related matters and conditioning direct insurance on the placement of reinsurance. In addition, our U.S. insurance companies have received subpoenas and requests for information from various state insurance regulators regarding these same matters. These inquiries were part of industry-wide investigations in these jurisdictions and we understand that officials from other jurisdictions in which we do business have also initiated investigations into similar matters. Accordingly, we may in the future receive additional subpoenas and requests for information. We have cooperated fully with the Attorney General of the State of New York and the other state regulators in their investigations and intend to cooperate fully with any future investigations. Responding to subpoenas and requests for information and cooperating with investigations is very costly and diverts management s time and efforts away from our operations. In addition, we could be subject to civil and criminal actions, sanctions and penalties as a result of these investigations.

Furthermore, AXIS Surplus U.S., AXIS Re U.S. and AXIS Insurance U.S. are defendants in a putative class action lawsuit captioned *In re Insurance Brokerage Antitrust Litigation*. The lawsuit is pending in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey and includes as defendants numerous insurance brokers and insurance companies. The lawsuit alleges antitrust and Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO) violations in connection with the payment of contingent commissions and manipulation of insurance bids and seeks damages in an unspecified amount. We believe that these lawsuits are completely without merit and we are vigorously defending the filed action.

However, additional similar lawsuits may be filed against us and if the plaintiffs were to prevail in any of these lawsuits we could be subject to substantial damages.

We are party to various legal proceedings generally arising in the ordinary course of our insurance and reinsurance businesses. We do not believe that the eventual outcome of any litigation or arbitration proceeding to which we are presently a party will have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition; however, every proceeding contains an element of uncertainty and if the plaintiffs were to prevail in some of these proceedings we could be subject to substantial damages.

Risks Related to Taxation

We may become subject to taxes in Bermuda after March 28, 2016, which may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

The Bermuda Minister of Finance, under the Exempted Undertakings Tax Protection Act 1966 of Bermuda, as amended, has given each of AXIS Capital and AXIS Specialty Bermuda an assurance that if any legislation is enacted in Bermuda that would impose tax computed on profits or income, or computed on any capital asset, gain or appreciation, or any tax in the nature of estate duty or inheritance tax, then the imposition of any such tax will not be applicable to AXIS Capital, AXIS Specialty Bermuda or any of their respective operations, shares, debentures or other obligations until March 28, 2016. Given the limited duration of the Minister of Finance s assurance, we cannot be certain that we will not be subject to any Bermuda tax after March 28, 2016.

Our non-U.S. companies may be subject to U.S. tax that may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

AXIS Capital and AXIS Specialty Bermuda are Bermuda companies, AXIS Ireland Holdings, AXIS Re Ireland and AXIS Specialty Ireland are Irish companies and AXIS Specialty U.K. Holdings Limited (AXIS U.K. Holdings) is a U.K. company. We intend to manage our business so that each of these companies will operate in such a manner that none of these companies should be subject to U.S. tax (other than U.S. excise tax on insurance and reinsurance premium income attributable to insuring or reinsuring U.S. risks and U.S. withholding tax on some types of U.S. source investment income), because none of these companies should be treated as engaged in a trade or business within the United States. However, because there is considerable uncertainty as to the activities that constitute being engaged in a trade or business within the United States, we cannot be certain that the U.S. Internal Revenue Service (IRS) will not contend successfully that any of AXIS Capital or its non-U.S. subsidiaries is/are engaged in a trade or business in the United States. If AXIS Capital or any of its non-U.S. subsidiaries were considered to be engaged in a trade or business in the United States, it could be subject to U.S. corporate income and additional branch profits taxes on the portion of its earnings effectively connected to such U.S. business. If this were to be the case, our results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

Our non-U.K. companies may be subject to U.K. tax that may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

We intend to operate in such a manner so that none of our companies, other than AXIS U.K. Holdings, should be resident in the United Kingdom for tax purposes and that none of our companies, other than AXIS Ireland Holdings and AXIS Specialty Ireland, should have a permanent establishment in the United Kingdom. Accordingly, we expect that none of our companies other than, AXIS U.K. Holdings, AXIS Ireland Holdings and AXIS Specialty Ireland should be subject to U.K. tax. Nevertheless, because neither case law nor U.K. statutes conclusively define the activities that constitute trading in the United Kingdom through a permanent establishment, the U.K. Inland Revenue might contend successfully that any of our companies, in addition to AXIS U.K. Holdings, AXIS Ireland Holdings and AXIS Specialty

Ireland, is/are trading in the United Kingdom through a permanent establishment in the United Kingdom and therefore subject to U.K. tax. If this were the case, our results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

Our non-Irish companies may be subject to Irish tax that may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

We intend to operate in such a manner so that none of our companies, other than AXIS Ireland Holdings, AXIS Re Ireland and AXIS Specialty Ireland, should be resident in Ireland for tax purposes and that none of our companies, other than AXIS Ireland Holdings, AXIS Re Ireland and AXIS Specialty Ireland, should be treated as carrying on a trade through a branch or agency in Ireland. Accordingly, we expect that none of our companies other than AXIS Ireland Holdings, AXIS Re Ireland and AXIS Specialty Ireland, should be treated as carrying on a trade through a branch or agency in Ireland. Accordingly, we expect that none of our companies other than AXIS Ireland Holdings, AXIS Re Ireland and AXIS Specialty Ireland should be subject to Irish corporation tax. Nevertheless, since the determination as to whether a company is resident in Ireland is a question of fact to be determined based on a number of different factors and since neither case law nor Irish legislation conclusively defines the activities that constitute trading in Ireland through a branch or agency, the Irish Revenue Commissioners might contend successfully that any of our companies, in addition to AXIS Ireland Holdings, AXIS Re Ireland and AXIS Specialty Ireland, is resident in or otherwise trading through a branch or agency in Ireland and therefore subject to Irish corporation tax. If this were the case, our results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

If corporate tax rates in Ireland increase, our results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

Trading income derived from the insurance and reinsurance businesses carried on in Ireland by AXIS Specialty Ireland and AXIS Re Ireland is generally taxed in Ireland at a rate of 12.5%. Over the past number of years, various EU member states have, from time to time, called for harmonization of corporate tax rates within the EU. Ireland, along with other member states, has consistently resisted any movement towards standardized corporate tax rates in the EU. The Government of Ireland has also made clear its commitment to retain the 12.5% rate of corporation tax until at least the year 2025. If, however, tax laws in Ireland change so as to increase the general corporation tax rate in Ireland, our results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

If investments held by AXIS Specialty Ireland or AXIS Re Ireland are determined not to be integral to the insurance and reinsurance businesses carried on by those companies, additional Irish tax could be imposed and our business and financial results could be materially adversely affected.

Based on administrative practice, taxable income derived from investments made by AXIS Specialty Ireland and AXIS Re Ireland is generally taxed in Ireland at the rate of 12.5% on the grounds that such investments either form part of the permanent capital required by regulatory authorities, or are otherwise integral to the insurance and reinsurance businesses carried on by those companies. AXIS Specialty Ireland and AXIS Re Ireland intend to operate in such a manner so that the level of investments held by such companies does not exceed the amount that is integral to the insurance businesses carried on by AXIS Specialty Ireland. If, however, investment income earned by AXIS Specialty Ireland or AXIS Re Ireland exceeds these thresholds, or if the administrative practice of the Irish Revenue Commissioners changes, Irish corporation tax could apply to such investment income at a higher rate (currently 25%) instead of the general 12.5% rate, and our results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

The impact of Bermuda s letter of commitment to the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development to eliminate harmful tax practices is uncertain and could adversely affect our tax status in Bermuda.

The Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (the OECD) has published reports and launched a global dialogue among member and non-member countries on measures to limit harmful tax competition. These measures are largely directed at counteracting the effects of tax havens and preferential tax regimes in countries around the world. In the OECD s report dated April 18, 2002 and updated as of June 2004 and September 2006, Bermuda was not listed as an uncooperative tax haven jurisdiction because it had previously committed to eliminate harmful tax practices and to embrace international tax standards for transparency, exchange of information and the elimination of any aspects of the regimes for financial and other services that attract business with no substantial domestic activity. We are not able to predict what changes will arise from the commitment or whether such changes will subject us to additional taxes.

Changes in US federal income tax law could materially adversely affect us.

Legislation has been introduced in the U.S. Congress intended to eliminate some perceived tax advantages of companies (including insurance companies) that have legal domiciles outside the United States but have certain U.S. connections. While the Company believes there are no currently pending legislative proposals which, if enacted, would have a material adverse effect on us, it is possible that similar legislation could be introduced and enacted by the current Congress or future Congresses that could have an adverse impact on us.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

Not applicable.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

We operate in the United States, Bermuda, Europe and Singapore. We own the property in which our offices are located in Dublin, Ireland, and we lease office space in the other countries. We renew and enter into new leases in the ordinary course of business as required. During 2006, we leased new offices at 92 Pitts Bay Road, Bermuda, which have become our worldwide headquarters. See Item 8, Note 11 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for a discussion of our lease commitments for real property. We believe that our office space is sufficient for us to conduct our operations for the foreseeable future.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Except as set forth below, we are not currently a party to any material legal proceedings. From time to time, we are subject to routine legal proceedings, including arbitrations, arising in the ordinary course of business. These legal proceedings generally relate to claims asserted by or against us in the ordinary course of our insurance or reinsurance operations.

During 2005, our U.S. holding company received subpoenas from the Office of the Attorney General of the State of New York seeking information regarding incentive commission agreements, fictitious and inflated quotes and related matters and conditioning direct insurance on the placement of reinsurance. In addition, our U.S. insurance companies have received subpoenas and requests for information from various state insurance regulators regarding these same matters. These inquiries were part of industry-wide investigations in these jurisdictions and we understand that officials from other jurisdictions in which we do business have also initiated investigations into similar matters. We have cooperated fully with the Attorney General of the State of New York and the other state regulators in their investigations. In 2006, we did not

receive any new or supplemental subpoenas from the Attorney General for the State of New York or any other state regulators on these matters.

In connection with these inquiries received in 2005, we conducted an internal investigation, led by outside counsel, to determine whether we engaged in any of the improper business practices that are the focus of the inquiries. This investigation was completed in August 2005 and uncovered no evidence indicating that we engaged in bid rigging, fictitious or inflated quotes or related matters or conditioning direct insurance on the placement of reinsurance. Consistent with long-standing and wide-spread industry practice, we have in the past entered into incentive commission arrangements with brokers. However, we have not entered into any of these arrangements with respect to business underwritten in 2005 or thereafter.

A purported shareholders class action lawsuit has been filed against us and some of our executive officers relating to the practices being investigated by the Attorney General of the State of New York and other state regulators. James Dolan v. AXIS Capital Holdings Limited, Michael A. Butt and John R. Charman was filed on October 28, 2004 in the United States District Court, Southern District of New York. Robert Schimpf v. AXIS Capital Holdings Limited, Michael A. Butt, Andrew Cook and John R. Charman was filed on November 5, 2004 in the United States District Court, Southern District of New York. On April 13, 2005, these lawsuits were consolidated and are now known as In re AXIS Capital Holdings Ltd. Securities Litigation. On May 13, 2005, the plaintiffs filed an amended, consolidated complaint and added as defendants the managing underwriters and one of the selling shareholders in our secondary offering completed in March 2004. The lawsuit alleged securities violations in connection with the failure to disclose payments made pursuant to incentive commission arrangements and sought damages in an unspecified amount. On October 17, 2006, the District Court dismissed the Amended Complaint without prejudice and granted plaintiffs 30 days to file a second amended, consolidated complaint and, as a consequence, the amended, consolidated action has been dismissed without prejudice.

A putative class action lawsuit also has been filed against our U.S. insurance companies. In re Insurance Brokerage Antitrust Litigation was filed on August 1, 2005 in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey and includes as defendants numerous insurance brokers and insurance companies. The lawsuit alleges antitrust and RICO violations in connection with the payment of contingent commissions and manipulation of insurance bids and seeks damages in an unspecified amount. On October 3, 2006, the court granted in part motions to dismiss filed by the defendants, and ordered plaintiffs to file supplemental pleadings setting forth sufficient facts to allege their antitrust and RICO claims. After plaintiffs filed their supplemental pleadings, defendants renewed their motions to dismiss, which are pending before the court. We believe that the lawsuit is completely without merit and we continue to vigorously defend the filed action.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

Not applicable.

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR THE REGISTRANT S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Our common shares, \$0.0125 par value, are listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol AXS .

The following table sets forth the high and low sales prices per share of our common shares for each of the fiscal quarters in the last two fiscal years as reported on the New York Stock Exchange Composite Tape:

	2006			2005		
			Dividends			Dividends
	High	Low	Declared	High	Low	Declared
1st Quarter	\$ 32.21	\$ 28.95	\$ 0.15	\$ 29.32	\$ 26.21	\$ 0.15
2nd Quarter	\$ 30.92	\$ 25.82	\$ 0.15	\$ 28.42	\$ 25.23	\$ 0.15
3rd Quarter	\$ 35.21	\$ 27.73	\$ 0.15	\$ 31.00	\$ 25.49	\$ 0.15
4th Quarter	\$ 35.88	\$ 32.20	\$ 0.165	\$ 31.77	\$ 25.20	\$ 0.15

On February 23, 2007, the number of holders of record of our common shares was 80. This figure does not represent the actual number of beneficial owners of our common shares because shares are frequently held in street name by securities dealers and others for the benefit of beneficial owners who may vote the shares.

The declaration and payment of future dividends will be at the discretion of our board of directors and will depend upon many factors, including our earnings, financial condition, business needs, capital and surplus requirements of our operating subsidiaries and regulatory and contractual restrictions, including those set forth in our credit facility.

As a holding company, our principal source of income is dividends or other statutorily permissible payments from our subsidiaries. The ability of our subsidiaries to pay dividends is limited by the applicable laws and regulations of the various countries in which we operate, including Bermuda, the United States and Ireland. See Item 7, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Item 8, Note 17 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in this report.

ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

The following table sets forth information regarding the number of shares we repurchased in the quarter ended December 31, 2006.

	Total Number of Shares	Average Price Paid	Total Number Of Shares Purchased as Part Of Publicly Announced	Value) of that May	ximate Dollar Shares Yet Be d Under the
Period	Purchased	Per Share	Plans or Programs	Plans or I	Programs
October				\$	150.0 million(a)
November				\$	150.0 million(a)
December				\$	400.0 million(b)
Total				\$	400.0 million(b)

(a) On March 14, 2005, our Board of Directors approved the repurchase of up to \$150 million of our common shares to be effected from time to time in open market or privately negotiated transactions. The repurchase program was authorized to continue until December 2006.

(b) On December 7, 2006, our Board of Directors authorized a renewal of our share repurchase plan with authorization to repurchase up to \$400 million of our common shares. The repurchase program is authorized to continue until December 31, 2008.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following tables set forth our selected historical consolidated financial information for the years 2002 through 2006. Refer to Item 1, Our Business Strategy for a discussion of our business expansion over this period. This data should also be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and the accompanying notes presented under Item 8 and with the Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations under Item 7.

	At and for Yea 2006 (in thousands, o		200	5	s)	20	04		200	3		2002	2	
Selected Statement of Operations Data:														
Gross premiums written	\$ 3,609,036		\$	3,393,885		\$	3,012,311		\$	2,273,645		\$	1,108,003	
Net premiums earned	2,694,270		2,55	53,683		2,0)28,397		1,43	36,230		536,	850	
Net investment income	407,100		256	,712			2,072		73,9			71,2		
Net realized investment (losses) gains	(25,702)	(16,	912)	13	,634		22,5	67		26,0	70	
Net losses and loss expenses	1,425,855		2,05	51,129		1,2	246,244		734	,019		229	265	
Acquisition costs	386,959		337	,383		28	0,568		186	,297		91,2	00	
General and administrative expenses	268,396		212	,842		18	7,305		136	,526		57,6	10	
Interest expense	32,954		32,4	147		5,2	285		1,47	8		1,41	4	
Preferred share dividends	37,295		4,37	79										
Net income available to common														
shareholders	\$ 925,765		\$	90,061		\$	494,998		\$	532,350		\$	265,119	
Per Common Share Data:														
Basic earnings per common share	\$ 6.18		\$	0.63		\$	3.24		\$	3.69		\$	1.96	
Diluted earnings per common share	5.63		0.57	7		2.9	98		3.42	2		1.91		
Cash dividends per common share	0.615		0.60)		0.5	50		0.14	ŀ		0.00	1	
Basic weighted average common shares														
outstanding	149,745		143	,226		15	2,554		144	,263		135.	442	
Diluted weighted average common shares														
outstanding	164,394		157	,524		16	5,876		155	,691		138	481	
Operating Ratios:														
Net loss and loss expense ratio(1)	52.9	%	80.3	3	%	61	.4	%	51.1		%	42.7	· .	%
Acquisition cost ratio(2)	14.4	%	13.2	2	%	13	.8	%	13.0)	%	17.0) (%
General and administrative expense ratio(3)	10.0	%	8.3		%	9.2	2	%	9.5		%	10.7	· c	%
Combined ratio(4)	77.3	%	101	.8	%	84	.4	%	73.6	5	%	70.4	. (%
Selected Balance Sheet Data:														
Investments at fair value	\$ 7,663,387		\$	6,421,929		\$	5,399,689		\$	3,385,576		\$	1,702,990	
Cash and cash equivalents	1,989,287		1,28	30,990		63	2,329		605	,175		729	296	
Reinsurance recoverable balances	1,359,154		1,51	18,110		59	6,299		124	,899		1,70	3	
Total assets	13,665,287		11,9	925,976		9,0)38,285		5,17	2,273		2,94	8,321	
Reserve for losses and loss expenses	5,015,113		4,74	13,338		2,4	104,560		992	,846		215	934	
Unearned premium	2,015,556		1,76	60,467		1,6	644,771		1,14	3,447		555.	962	
Debt	499,144		499	,046		49	8,938							
Total shareholders equity	4,412,647		3,51	12,351		3,2	238,064		2,81	7,148		1,96	1,033	
Book value per common share(5)	\$ 26.09		\$	20.23		\$	21.20		\$	18.48		\$	14.19	

(1) The net loss and loss expense ratio is calculated by dividing net losses and loss expenses by net premiums earned.

(2) The acquisition cost ratio is calculated by dividing acquisition costs by net premiums earned.

(3) The general and administrative expense ratio is calculated by dividing general and administrative expenses by net premiums earned.

(4) The combined ratio is the sum of the net loss and loss expense ratio, the acquisition cost ratio and the general and administrative expense ratio.

(5) Book value per common share is based on total common shareholders equity divided by common shares outstanding of 149,982,139 at December 31, 2006, 148,830,691 at December 31, 2005, 152,737,209 at December 31, 2004, 152,474,011 at December 31, 2003 and 138,168,520 at December 31, 2002.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following is a discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations. This discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and related notes included in Item 8 of this report. For an overview of our business including information on segments and individual lines of business refer to Item 1 of this report.

Results of Operations: Years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

Overview

We believe the following financial indicators are important in evaluating our performance and measuring the overall growth in value generated for our common shareholders:

	20	06		200	5		200	4	
Return on average common equity	26	.7	%	2.9		%	16.	3	%
Diluted book value per common share	\$	24.02		\$	19.19		\$	19.85	
Cash dividends per common share	\$	0.615		\$	0.60		\$	0.50	

Return on average common equity (ROACE) represents the level of net income available to common shareholders generated from the average of the opening and closing common shareholders equity during the period. Our objective is to generate superior returns on capital that appropriately reward our shareholders for the risks assumed by the Company and to grow revenue only when we deem the returns meet or exceed our requirements. Diluted book value per common share is a non-GAAP financial measure; for further information refer to Non-GAAP Financial Measures at the end of Item 7. We consider diluted book value per common share an appropriate measure of our returns to common shareholders, as we believe growth in our book value on a diluted basis ultimately translates into growth of our stock price. Our dividend policy is an integral part of the value we create for our shareholders. The board of directors reviews our dividend policy on a regular basis and has increased the annual dividend in each of the last three years.

The changes in ROACE and diluted book value per common share in the last three years have correlated with movements in our net income available to common shareholders. The following table breaks out net income into three components; underwriting income/loss, investment income and net realized gains/losses, and other revenues and expenses. Underwriting income on a segment basis is a measure of underwriting profitability that takes into account net premiums earned and other insurance related income as revenue and net losses and loss expenses, acquisition costs and underwriting related general and administrative costs as expenses. Underwriting income is the difference between these revenue and expense items. Because our investment portfolio is managed on a total return basis we have reviewed investment income and net realized gains/losses together. Other revenues and expenses represent corporate expenses, foreign exchange gains/losses, interest expense and income tax expense; these have been condensed in the table below but are reviewed individually in the discussion following.

	Year ended Decem 2006 (in thousands)	ber 31 2005	2004
Underwriting income (loss):			
Global insurance	\$ 262,884	\$ 114,781	\$ 195,101
U.S. insurance	100,032	(37,827) 32,280
Reinsurance	311,859	(82,981) 137,261
Investment income and net realized gains/losses	381,398	239,800	165,706
Other revenues and expenses	(93,113)	(139,333) (35,350)
Net income	963,060	94,440	494,998
Preferred share dividends	(37,295)	(4,379)
Net income available to common shareholders	\$ 925,765	\$ 90,061	\$ 494,998

Our underwriting results have significantly impacted the level of net income in the last three years following losses incurred from Hurricanes Katrina, Rita and Wilma in 2005, and Hurricanes Charley, Frances, Ivan and Jeanne in 2004. In 2006, there was an absence of significant catastrophes and therefore our underwriting income was substantially higher. Investment income has grown over the last three years due to a combination of a larger investment base and higher yields and increased income from our allocation to other investments.

Underwriting Results

Premiums: Gross and net premiums written and earned in our insurance and reinsurance segments in the years ended December 31 were as follows:

	Gross 2006		2005		2004		
	Written	Earned	% Written	Earned	% Written	Earned	%
	(in thousands)						
Global Insurance	\$ 958,251	\$ 885,348	26.4 % \$ 861,098	\$ 971,548	29.6 % \$ 1,095,328	\$ 913,382	36.4 %
U.S. Insurance	1,112,216	1,070,619	31.9 % 1,013,919	918,271	28.0 % 824,235	689,037	27.4 %
Insurance total	2,070,467	1,955,967	58.3 % 1,875,017	1,889,819	57.6 % 1,919,563	1,602,419	63.8 %
Reinsuance	1,538,569	1,397,917	41.7 % 1,518,868	1,388,447	42.4 % 1,092,748	908,428	36.2 %
Total	\$ 3,609,036	\$ 3,353,884	100.0 % \$ 3,393,885	\$ 3,278,266	100.0 % \$ 3,012,311	\$ 2,510,847	100.0~%

	Net						
	2006		2005		2004		
	Written	Earned	% Written	Earned	% Written	Earned	%
	(in thousands)						
Global Insurance	\$ 850,533	\$ 746,393	27.7 % \$ 649,703	\$ 748,015	29.3 % \$ 933,198	\$ 796,566	39.3 %
U.S. Insurance	609,866	559,367	20.8 % 518,064	453,534	17.8 % 430,087	349,287	17.2 %
Insurance total	1,460,399	1,305,760	48.5 % 1,167,767	1,201,549	47.1 % 1,363,285	1,145,853	56.5 %
Reinsuance	1,528,780	1,388,510	51.5 % 1,491,222	1,352,134	52.9 % 1,060,388	882,544	43.5 %
Total	\$ 2,989,179	\$ 2,694,270	100.0 % \$ 2,658,989	\$ 2,553,683	100.0 % \$ 2,423,673	\$ 2,028,397	100.0 %

Changes in net premiums earned reflect period to period changes in net premiums written and business mix, together with normal variability in earning patterns. The increase in net premiums written in each of the last two years reflects the continued growth of our global underwriting platform since our inception five years ago. Our underwriting objective is to achieve both profitability and diversity in our portfolio, not simply total premium growth, and in the last three years we have balanced our organic growth and expansions with disciplined underwriting in the face of competitive pricing pressures. Refer to Item 1, Our Business Strategy for further discussion. For a detailed account of the movements above refer to the segments discussion below.

Loss ratio: The table below shows the components of our net loss and loss expense ratio (loss ratio):

	Year ended December 31					
	2006	2005	2004			
Current year, before hurricane losses	60.9 %	6 55.4	% 57.3 %			
Hurricane losses		39.9	% 13.1 %			
Net favorable prior period development	(8.0)	% (15.0)% (9.0)%			
Loss ratio	52.9 %	6 80.3	% 61.4 %			

Although major losses are an inevitable part of our business, the hurricane losses in 2004 and 2005 had such a significant impact that we have highlighted them separately above.

The prior period reserve development was the net result of several underlying favorable and adverse developments, primarily on our short tail lines of business. In 2006 it included 3.9 percentage points of reserve strengthening in relation to Hurricane Katrina, Rita and Wilma. While we believe that our loss reserves at December 31, 2006 are adequate, new information may lead to future developments in ultimate loss and loss expenses significantly greater or less than the reserves currently provided. In addition, conditions and trends that affected the development of liabilities in the past may not necessarily occur in the future. Accordingly, it is inappropriate to anticipate future redundancies and deficiencies based on historical experience.

Our loss ratio also fluctuates from year to year depending on a number of other variables that include the level of estimated attritional losses, changes in our mix of business and changes in pricing and other assumptions used to establish our loss ratios. In 2005, the Company began to incorporate its own specific loss information in establishing initial loss ratios on certain short-tail lines of business.

Acquisition Cost Ratio: Our acquisition costs ratio was 14.4%, 13.2% and 13.8% in 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Acquisition costs relate to the commissions, taxes and other fees paid to obtain business offset by commissions received on ceded premiums. Typically, our acquisition costs are based on a percentage of the premium written and will vary by each line of business that we underwrite. Historically, our acquisition costs have included incentive commission costs however we discontinued such arrangements with brokers at the end of 2004. Incentive commissions represented 2.1 percentage points of our 2004 acquisition cost ratio. Our ratio otherwise increased in 2005 compared to 2004 reflecting an increase in the relative share of net premiums earned generated by our reinsurance segment (see above)

where acquisition costs are higher than our insurance segment. The share of reinsurance business stabilized during 2006 and the increase in the ratio in 2006 as compared to 2005 was largely due to business mix changes in our U.S insurance sub-segment.

Investment Income and Net Realized Investment Gains/Losses

Our investment portfolio is structured to preserve capital and provide us with a high level of liquidity. Additionally we invest our portfolio with a focus on total return rather than establishing yield or income targets.

The following table provides a breakdown of investment income, net realized investment gains/losses and also the associated yields and returns:

(in thousands)	2005		2004	Ļ	
\$ 291,99	í –	\$ 218,	,881	\$	141,92	9
76,735		27,413		14,6	84	
46,252		16,957		2,48	2	
414,983		263,251		159,	,095	
(7,883)	(6,539)	(7,0	23)
407,100		256,712		152,	,072	
(24,646)	(24,248)	16,4	-32	
(1,056)	7,336		(2,7	98)
(25,702)	(16,912)	13,6	34	
\$ 381,398	3	\$ 239,	,800	\$	165,70	6
4.6	%	3.9	%	3.4		%
4.6	%	2.3	%	3.4		%
4.9	%	2.5	%	3.4		%
	\$ 291,996 76,735 46,252 414,983 (7,883 407,100 (24,646 (1,056 (25,702 \$ 381,398 4.6 4.6	(in thousands) \$ 291,996 76,735 46,252 414,983 (7,883 (7,883 407,100 (24,646 (1,056 (25,702 \$ 381,398 4.6 % 4.6 %	(in thousands) \$ 291,996 \$ 218. 76,735 27,413 46,252 16,957 414,983 263,251 (7,883) (6,539 407,100 256,712 (24,646) (24,248 (1,056) 7,336 (25,702) (16,912 \$ 381,398 \$ 239. 4.6 % 2.3	(in thousands) \$ 291,996 \$ 218,881 76,735 27,413 46,252 16,957 414,983 263,251 (7,883) (6,539 407,100 256,712 (24,646) (24,248 (1,056) 7,336 (25,702) (16,912) \$ 381,398 \$ 239,800 4.6 % 2.3 %	(in thousands) \$ 291,996 \$ 218,881 76,735 27,413 14,6 46,252 16,957 2,48 414,983 263,251 159, (7,883) (6,539) (7,0 407,100 256,712 152, (24,646) (24,248) 16,4 (1,056) 7,336 (2,7 (25,702) (16,912) 13,6 \$ 381,398 \$ 239,800 \$ 4.6 % 3.9 % 3.4 4.6 % 2.3 % 3.4 3.4	(in thousands) \$ 291,996 \$ 218,881 \$ 141,922 76,735 27,413 14,684 46,252 16,957 2,482 414,983 263,251 159,095 (7,883) (6,539) 407,100 256,712 152,072 (24,646) (24,248) 16,432 (1,056) 7,336 (2,798 (25,702) (16,912) 13,634 \$ 381,398 \$ 239,800 \$ 165,700 4.6 % 2.3 % 3.4

(1) Invested assets represent assets managed by external investment managers.

Net investment income: Net investment income increased 58.6% in 2006 over 2005 and 68.8% in 2005 over 2004. The growth from period to period is a result of a combination of a larger investment base, higher investment yields and increased income from our allocation to other investments. Our average investment base increased substantially to \$8.4 billion in 2006 from \$6.4 billion in 2005 and from \$4.9 billion in 2004 due to positive operating cash flow and capital raising activity in 2005. The growth in the annualized effective yield was primarily due to higher U.S. interest rates at the short end of the yield curve. As an example, the yield on the 3 year U.S. Treasury increased from a 3.22% yield at December 31, 2004 to 4.36% at December 31, 2005 and 4.73% at December 31, 2006. The yield on our portfolio may vary significantly from period to period due primarily to the timing of cash flows, changes in interest rates and changes in asset allocation. Increased income from our other investment portfolio is a result of larger allocations combined with better total returns in 2006 from those investments.

Net realized investment losses/gains: The majority of our portfolio is invested in the fixed income market and as a result the level of realized gains and losses on these investments are highly correlated to fluctuations in interest rates. The effect of increasing interest rates throughout 2005 and 2006 negatively impacted the price of fixed income resulting in larger unrealized loss positions and consequently, portfolio turnover caused increased realized losses. Additionally, we recognized realized losses of \$4.6 million (2005 and 2004: nil) from securities that were considered to be other than temporarily impaired.

Total return: Our portfolio is managed to maximize total return within certain guidelines. In assessing returns under this approach, we include net investment income, net realized investment gains and losses and the change in unrealized gains and losses generated by our investment portfolio. The increase in our total return between 2004 and 2006 was due to a growing allocation to the higher returning other investments and more significantly an increase in short and intermediate U.S. interest rates which stemmed from the increases in the Federal Funds rate which began in the mid part of 2004 and continued in 2005 and 2006. Despite the steady increase in yield over this period, the magnitude of the rate increases in 2005 had such a negative impact on the fair value of the fixed income portfolio that the realized losses and unrealized losses more than offset the increase in yield in that year.

Other Revenues and Expense items

The following table sets forth our other revenues and expenses:

	Year ended Dece	ember 31	
	2006 (in thousands)	2005	2004
Corporate expenses	\$ (58,822)	\$ (46,729) \$ (39,109)
Foreign exchange gains (losses)	32,505	(54,090) 14,484
Interest expense	(32,954)	(32,447) (5,285)
Income tax expense	(33,842)	(6,067) (5,440)
	\$ (93,113)	\$ (139,333	3) \$ (35,350)

Corporate expenses: Our corporate expenses include holding company costs necessary to support our worldwide insurance and reinsurance operations and costs associated with operating as a publicly-traded company. As a percentage of net premiums earned, corporate expenses were 2.2%, 1.8% and 1.9% for 2006, 2005 and 2004 respectively. The movement largely reflects changes in our incentive compensation costs which are tied to our operating results.

Foreign exchange gains (losses): Our reporting currency is the U.S. dollar; however, some of our business is written in other currencies. The gains in 2006 and 2004 were principally made on the revaluation of asset balances denominated in Sterling and Euro following an appreciation of Sterling and the Euro against the U.S. dollar, whereas these currencies depreciated against the U.S dollar in 2005 resulting in an exchange loss.

Interest expense: Interest expense primarily consists of interest due on our senior notes that we issued in November 2004, which bear interest at 5.75%. In December, 2006, we entered into a \$400.0 million repurchase agreement that we anticipate renewing throughout 2007. The repurchase agreement bears interest at a rate of 3 month LIBOR plus 0.9% per annum and therefore we anticipate interest costs to increase significantly during 2007. For further detail refer to liability under repurchase agreement in the *commitments and contingencies* section, below.

Income tax expense: Income tax expense is generated primarily through our foreign operations in the United States and Europe. Income tax expense increased over the three year period as the foreign operations contribution to income before tax increased. Our effective tax rate on net income, which we calculate as income tax expense divided by income before income tax, was 3.4% for 2006 compared with 6.0% in 2005 and 1.1% in 2004. The Company s effective tax rate was higher in 2005 than 2006 or 2004 due to the substantial reduction in the Company s income before tax due to hurricane losses. Changes in our geographic mix of earnings also impact income tax expense and effective tax rate.

Underwriting Results by Segment: Years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

Our business consists of two underwriting segments: insurance and reinsurance. Our insurance segment is further divided into two sub-segments: global insurance and U.S. insurance.

Insurance Segment Overview

Results of our insurance segment were as follows:

	Year ended Decer 2006 (in thousands)	mber (31 2005		2004	
Revenues:						
Gross premiums written	\$ 2,070,467	,	\$ 1,875,017	7	\$ 1,919,56	3
Net premiums written	1,460,399		1,167,767		1,363,285	
Net premiums earned	1,305,760		1,201,549		1,145,853	
Other insurance related income (loss)	1,758		(5,085)	10,264	
Expenses:						
Net losses and loss expenses	(636,684)	(882,807)	(686,470)
Acquisition costs	(152,002)	(119,000)	(135,732)
General and administrative expenses	(155,916)	(117,703)	(106,534)
Underwriting income	\$ 362,916		\$ 76,954		\$ 227,381	
Ratios:						
Loss ratio	48.8	%	73.5	%	59.9	%
Acquisition cost ratio	11.6	%	9.9	%	11.8	%
General and administrative ratio	11.9	%	9.8	%	9.3	%
Combined ratio	72.3	%	93.2	%	81.0	%

The mix of business in our insurance segment has evolved over the last three years in response to market conditions and opportunities. We have significantly reduced our participation on aviation and aviation war business since 2004 due to pricing pressures; however, growth in professional lines and political risk business mitigated the decline in total premium in the segment.

Our loss ratio in 2005 included 33.8 percentage points of net losses incurred relating to Hurricanes Katrina, Rita and Wilma while our 2004 loss ratio included 8.5 percentage points relating to Hurricanes Charley, Frances, Ivan and Jeanne. In 2006 there was an absence of major catastrophes and therefore our reported loss ratio was significantly lower. Our underwriting results have also been significantly impacted by development on prior year net loss reserves. In 2006, we experienced net favorable prior year development of 12.8 percentage points compared to 22.4 percentage points and 9.3 percentage points in 2005 and 2004, respectively.

2007 outlook

Generally, we are satisfied that the broad property and casualty insurance marketplace, provides us ample opportunity to target attractively priced risks. Current rate levels for some lines of business, like catastrophe-exposed property and energy business, continue to produce returns above historic levels. In areas where the competition has been much more aggressive, such as terrorism and aviation, we are maintaining a conservative posture. Other lines, such as primary casualty, umbrella, and professional lines, still contain good profit potential, but bear closer scrutiny. Smaller accounts are experiencing less pricing pressure and we continue to develop our capabilities for efficiently accessing and underwriting these accounts.

The following is a discussion of the underwriting results within our global and U.S. insurance sub-segments:

Global Insurance Sub-Segment

Results of our global insurance sub-segment were as follows:

	Year ended Dec 2006 (in thousands)	ember	r 31 2005		2004	
Revenues:						
Gross premiums written	\$ 958,251		\$ 861,098		\$ 1,095,328	8
Net premiums written	850,533		649,703		933,198	
Gross premiums earned	885,348		971,548		913,382	
Net premiums earned	746,393		748,015		796,566	
Other insurance related (loss) income			(5,865)	10,264	
Expenses:						
Net losses and loss expenses	(331,778)	(492,667)	(451,724)
Acquisition costs	(102,673)	(97,908)	(124,953)
General and administrative expenses	(49,058)	(36,794)	(35,052)
Underwriting income	\$ 262,884		\$ 114,781		\$ 195,101	
Ratios:						
Loss ratio	44.5	%	65.9	%	56.7	%
Acquisition cost ratio	13.8	%	13.1	%	15.7	%
General and administrative ratio	6.6	%	4.9	%	4.4	%
Combined ratio	64.9	%	83.9	%	76.8	%

Gross premiums written: The following table provides gross premiums written by line of business:

	Year ended Decem 2006 (in thousands)	iber 31	2005	2004	
Property	\$ 237,155	24.7 %	\$ 203,258	23.6 % \$ 249,200	22.7 %
Marine	182,233	19.0 %	162,893	18.9 % 177,592	16.2 %
Terrorism and War Risk	160,140	16.7 %	190,139	22.1 % 318,887	29.1 %
Aviation and Aerospace	41,128	4.3 %	87,421	10.1 % 175,829	16.1 %
Political Risk	208,625	21.8 %	128,904	15.0 % 125,448	11.5 %
Professional Lines and					
Other Specialty	128,970	13.5 %	88,483	10.3 % 48,372	4.4 %
Total	\$ 958,251	100.0 %	\$ 861,098	100.0 % \$ 1,095,328	100.0 %

Several premium trends that characterized 2005 continued into 2006. We continued to reduce our participation on terrorism and war risk and aviation and aerospace lines due to pricing considerably below our threshold for this business. Simultaneously, we grew our professional lines with the addition of a London market-based underwriting team in early 2004 and the acquisition of a large specialty program in mid-2005. While total gross premiums written decreased in 2005 over 2004, we experienced an increase of 11.3% in 2006 over 2005 due to several factors. During 2005, the property and offshore energy markets were characterized by strong competition, particularly on non-U.S. exposures, with pricing pressure leading to reductions in our renewal participation. However, this trend reversed in 2006 for natural perils-

exposed property and offshore energy risks in the U.S. and Gulf of Mexico where significant rate increases were implemented following the 2005 hurricane losses. Growth in these lines would have been greater had it not been for initiatives to reduce our natural peril catastrophe exposure. In 2006, we grew our political risk business through a combination of an additional underwriting resource and new market opportunities.

Ceded premiums: The percentage of premiums ceded in our global insurance sub-segment varies depending on our analysis of the relative attractiveness of reinsurance buying opportunities. Premiums ceded as a percentage of gross premiums written was 11.2%, 24.5% and 14.8% in 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The significant increase in ceded premiums in 2005 was largely due to higher reinstatement premiums following our utilization of reinsurance protections on Hurricanes Katrina, Rita and Wilma. In 2006, there were few attractive reinsurance buying opportunities and we concentrated on reducing our gross exposures in order to mitigate volatility in our portfolio.

Net premiums earned: The following table provides net premiums earned by line of business:

	Year ended Decemb	oer 31							
				2005			2004		
	(in thousands)								
Property	\$ 168,248	22.5	%	\$ 151,905	20.3	%	\$ 199,774	25.1	%
Marine	139,655	18.7	%	95,059	12.7	%	133,883	16.8	%
Terrorism and War Risk	196,513	26.3	%	262,458	35.1	%	252,061	31.6	%
Aviation and Aerospace	53,298	7.1	%	121,412	16.2	%	148,184	18.6	%
Political Risk	87,972	11.8	%	54,202	7.2	%	38,491	4.8	%
Professional Lines and									
Other Specialty	100,707	13.6	%	62,979	8.5	%	24,173	3.1	%
Total	\$ 746,393	100.0	%	\$ 748,015	100.0	%	\$ 796,566	100.0	%

Between 2005 and 2006 net premiums earned decreased by 0.2% despite an increase in net premiums written of 30.9%. This partially relates to the significant reduction in aviation and aviation war premium since 2004. This business is primarily renewed in the fourth quarter. Consequently, 2005 includes the earn-out of significantly more aviation and aviation war premium from the 2004 underwriting year than was earned in 2006 from the 2005 underwriting year. In assessing net premiums earned, another significant consideration relates to our political risk book. This line typically provides multi-year insurance coverage and therefore the premium is earned over a number of years. Less than 10% of premiums written in 2006 were earned in 2006. This was also due to the fact that a significant amount of premiums were written in the last quarter.

Loss ratio: The table below shows the components of our loss ratio:

	Year ended December 31					
	2006	2005	2004			
	(in thousa	nds)				
Current year, before hurricane losses	61.0 %	59.7 %	62.0 %			
Hurricane losses		38.6 %	6.3 %			
Prior period net favorable development	(16.5)%	(32.4)%	(11.6)%			
Loss ratio	44.5 %	65.9 %	56.7 %			

Although major losses are an inevitable part of our business, the hurricane losses in 2004 and 2005 had such a significant impact that we have highlighted them separately above. The prior period reserve development was the net result of several underlying favorable and adverse developments, primarily on our short tail lines of business. In 2006 it included 7.9 percentage points of reserve strengthening in relation to Hurricanes Katrina, Rita and Wilma.

Our loss ratio also fluctuates year on year depending on a number of variables that include the volume of estimated attritional losses, changes in mix of business and changes in pricing and other assumptions used to establish our loss ratios. In 2005, the Company began to incorporate its own specific loss information in establishing initial net loss ratios on certain short tail lines of business.

Acquisition Costs: Incentive commission costs accounted for 3.3 percentage points of our 2004 acquisition cost ratio however we discontinued such arrangements at the end of that year. Our acquisition cost ratio has otherwise increased in each of the last two years reflecting changes in our mix of business.

U.S Insurance

Results of our U.S. insurance sub-segment were as follows:

	2006	ended Decen ousands)	nber 3	31 2005			2004	1	
Revenues:									
Gross premiums written	\$	1,112,216		\$	1,013,919)	\$	824,235	
Net premiums written	609,8	66		518,0)64		430	,087	
Gross premiums earned	1,070	,619		918,2	271		689	,037	
Net premiums earned	559,3	67		453,5	534		349	,287	
Other insurance related income	1,758			780					
Expenses:									
Net losses and loss expenses	(304,9	906)	(390,	140)	(234	4,746)
Acquisition costs	(49,32	29)	(21,0	92)	(10,	779)
General and administrative expenses	(106,8	858)	(80,9	09)	(71,	482)
Underwriting income (loss)	\$	100,032		\$	(37,827)	\$	32,280	
Ratios:									
Loss ratio	54.5		%	86.0		%	67.2	2	%
Acquisition cost ratio	8.8		%	4.7		%	3.1		%
General and administrative ratio	19.1		%	17.8		%	20.5	5	%
Combined ratio	82.4		%	108.5	5	%	90.8	3	%

Gross premiums written: The following table provides gross premiums written by line of business:

	Year ended December 3 2006 (in thousands)	31 2005	2004
Property	\$ 432,921	38.9 % \$ 366,578	36.2 % \$ 322,302 39.1 %
Professional Lines	365,787	32.9 % 343,635	33.9 % 272,224 33.0 %
Liability	279,631	25.1 % 285,168	28.1 % 229,562 27.9 %
Other Specialty	33,877	3.1 % 18,538	1.8 % 147 0.0 %
Total	\$ 1,112,216	100.0 % \$ 1,013,919	100.0 % \$ 824,235 100.0 %

In 2006 we were able to consolidate, build and strengthen the market position we had established by the end of 2005. The majority of growth in 2006 was driven by significant rate increases in our natural peril exposed property catastrophe business following the 2005 hurricane losses. This was achieved while substantially reducing our natural peril aggregate exposures. The premium trends that characterized 2005 continued into 2006. These included: growth of custom accounts in our property line of business, writing additional errors and omissions insurance in our professional lines book (having appointed a managing general underwriter effective January 1, 2005), expanding our umbrella and excess liability business, and growing our employer stop-loss business in our other specialty account. Despite the aforementioned

increase in umbrella and excess premiums, growth in our liability book was tempered during 2006 by a reduction in excess and surplus primary business due to a more competitive market.

Ceded premiums: Premiums ceded as a percentage of gross premiums written was 45.2%, 48.9% and 47.8% in 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The increase in the percentage of premiums ceded in 2005 was largely due to higher reinstatement premiums following our utilization of reinsurance protections on Hurricanes Katrina, Rita and Wilma. The 2006 ratio was also impacted by business mix; a higher percentage of earned premiums were derived from our errors and omissions book which has a low ceding percentage.

Net premiums earned: The following table provides net premiums earned by line of business:

	Year ended Decem 2006 (in thousands)	ber 31	2005	2004	
Property	\$ 184,153	32.9 %	\$ 166,478	36.7 % \$ 154,336	44.2 %
Professional Lines	212,319	38.0 %	141,253	31.1 % 79,431	22.7 %
Liability	134,264	24.0 %	133,550	29.5 % 115,503	33.1 %
Other Specialty	28,631	5.1 %	12,253	2.7 % 17	0.0 %
Total	\$ 559,367	100.0 %	\$ 453,534	100.0 % \$ 349,287	100.0 %

Changes in net premiums earned reflect period to period changes in net premiums written and business mix, together with normal variability in earning patterns. The increase in net premiums earned in each of the last two years reflects growth in our net premiums written. Changes in mix of business over this period have not significantly impacted this trend.

Loss Ratio: The table below shows the components of our loss ratio:

	Year ended December 31						
	2006	2005	2004				
Current year, before hurricane losses	62.4 %	66.0 %	57.9 %				
Hurricane losses		25.8 %	13.4 %				
Net favorable prior period development	(7.9)%	(5.8)%	(4.1)%				
Loss ratio	54.5 %	86.0 %	67.2 %				

Although major losses are an inevitable part of our business, the hurricane losses in 2004 and 2005 had such a significant impact that we have highlighted them separately above. Net favorable development in each of the last three years has been driven by lower than expected frequency and severity on our property accounts. In 2006, approximately 86.0% of the net favorable development related to the 2005 accident year.

Our loss ratio also fluctuates year on year depending on a number of other variables that include the level of estimated attritional losses, changes in our business mix and changes in pricing and other assumptions used to establish our loss ratios.

Acquisition Costs: Incentive commission costs accounted for 3.9 percentage points of our 2004 acquisition cost ratio, however, we discontinued such arrangements at the end of that year. Our ratio has otherwise increased in each of the last two years reflecting the growing share of business generated by managing general underwriters within our professional lines book. This business generally has higher gross acquisition costs than the rest of the book and a significant portion of it does not have an override commission associated with reinsurance coverage.

Reinsurance Segment

Results in our reinsurance segment were as follows:

	2006	ended Decen nousands)	nber 3	31 2005			2004	l	
Revenues:									
Gross premiums written	\$	1,538,569		\$	1,518,868		\$	1,092,748	8
Net premiums written	1,52	8,780		1,491	,222		1,06	0,388	
Gross premiums earned	1,39	7,917		1,388	,447		908,	428	
Net premiums earned	1,38	8,510		1,352	,134		882,	544	
Other insurance related income	1,13	5					989		
Expenses:									
Net losses and loss expenses	(789	,171)	(1,16	8,322)	(559	9,774)
Acquisition costs	(234	,957)	(218,	383)	(144	,836)
General and administrative expenses	(53,6	558)	(48,4	10)	(41,	662)
Underwriting income (loss)	\$	311,859		\$	(82,981)	\$	137,261	
Ratios:		, i							
Loss and loss expenses ratio	56.8		%	86.4		%	63.5		%
Acquisition cost ratio	16.9		%	16.2		%	16.4		%
General and administrative ratio	3.9		%	3.6		%	4.7		%
Combined ratio	77.6		%	106.2		%	84.6		%

The increase in underwriting income in 2006 reflects an absence of major catastrophe losses compared to 2005 and 2004. The book of business underlying our results has grown considerably in the last three years reflecting our geographic and product expansion.

Gross Premiums Written: The following table provides gross premiums written by line of business:

	Year ended December 2006 (in thousands)	31	2005		2004	
Catastrophe	\$ 464,096	30.2 %	\$ 520,057 34.2	%	\$ 424,847	38.9 %
Property	338,562	22.0 %	383,278 25.2	%	246,838	22.6 %
Professional Lines	274,435	17.8 %	232,259 15.3	%	204,637	18.7 %
Credit and bond	97,664	6.3 %	103,277 6.8	%	73,352	6.7 %
Motor	84,171	5.5 %	73,992 4.9	%	37,761	3.4 %
Liability	204,619	13.3 %	165,122 10.9	%	80,496	7.4 %
Other	75,022	4.9 %	40,883 2.7	%	24,817	2.3 %
Total	\$ 1,538,569	100.0 %	\$ 1,518,868 100.) %	\$ 1,092,748	100.0 %

Our reinsurance segment provides treaty property and casualty reinsurance on a worldwide basis through our offices in Bermuda, the U.S and Europe. We initially began writing traditional catastrophe and property reinsurance from our Bermuda office, however, following the commencement of our U.S and European operations in 2003, we have since expanded into specialty property risks, casualty, motor, credit and bond and other select risks. The substantial increase in gross premiums written between 2004 and 2005 primarily reflects the early growth and market penetration of these new operations. In 2006, although we further increased our participation on all these lines of business, growth was naturally less significant than in earlier periods. In addition unfavorable foreign exchange rates reduced gross premiums written in 2006 relative to 2005.

Trends in our catastrophe account over the last three years are distorted by reinstatement premiums. These were significantly higher in 2005 following cedants utilization of coverage in Hurricanes Katrina, Rita and Wilma. Excluding reinstatement premiums, we experienced growth in our catastrophe book of 3.8% between 2005 and 2006. This was driven by significant rate increases, particularly on U.S exposures impacted by the hurricane losses of 2005. Growth, however, was tempered by our decision to reduce our aggregate natural peril catastrophe exposures on this line. The decrease in gross premiums written in our property account in 2006 is driven by a reduction in our property pro rata renewal. This was partially a result of certain cedants exiting the property market and was also due to the fact that such business was considered less attractive than in 2005.

Ceded premiums written: Premiums ceded as a percentage of gross premiums written was 0.6%, 1.8% and 3.0% in 2006, 2005 and 2004 respectively. We primarily purchase reinsurance as and when market opportunities arise to mitigate the impact of catastrophe loss events. Consequently, the level of premiums ceded can fluctuate period to period. The percentage of premiums ceded was lower in 2006 due to a reduction in the availability of appropriately priced coverage.

Net premiums earned: The following table provides net premiums earned by line of business:

	Year ended December - 2006 (in thousands)	31	2005	2004	
Catastrophe	\$ 441,311	31.8 %	\$ 497,724	36.8 % \$ 396,753	45.0 %
Property	335,462	24.1 %	5 346,134	25.6 % 188,572	21.4 %
Professional Lines	225,902	16.3 %	207,298	15.3 % 142,183	16.1 %
Credit and bond	94,487	6.8 %	6 83,030	6.1 % 36,873	4.2 %
Motor	86,193	6.2 %	5 73,249	5.4 % 29,617	3.3 %
Liability	165,391	11.9 %	110,242	8.2 % 67,862	7.7 %
Other	39,764	2.9 %	5 34,457	2.6 % 20,684	2.3 %
Total	\$ 1,388,510	100.0 %	\$ 1,352,134	100.0 % \$ 882,544	100.0 %

Changes in net premiums earned reflect period to period changes in net premiums written and business mix, together with normal variability in earning patterns. The increase in net premiums earned in each of the last two years has largely correlated to the growth in our net premiums written over this period. Changes in mix of business over this period have not significantly impacted this trend.

Loss ratio: The table below shows the components of our loss ratio:

	Year ended December 31					
	2006	2005	2004			
Current year, before hurricane losses	60.3 %	49.5 %	52.8 %			
Hurricane losses		45.4 %	19.2 %			
Prior period development	(3.5)%	(8.5)%	(8.5)%			
Loss ratio	56.8 %	86.4 %	63.5 %			

Although major losses are an inevitable part of our business, the hurricane losses in 2004 and 2005 had such a significant impact that we have highlighted them separately above. The net favorable development in 2006 includes 3.9 percentage points, of strengthening to net reserves relating to Hurricanes Katrina, Rita and Wilma. The favorable development in all three years was primarily due to better than expected claims experience on our property catastrophe and other short tail lines of business.

Our loss ratio also fluctuates year on year depending on a number of other variables that include the volume of attritional losses, changes in mix of business and changes in pricing and other assumptions used to establish our loss ratios.

2007 outlook

Generally, overall discipline throughout the global reinsurance marketplace continues. We continue to experience the ongoing trend of greater risk and retention appetite in the industry bringing to bear modest price reductions. For property catastrophe business, major buyers dropped lower layers in favor of larger limits at the upper end of their programs, whilst, for casualty reinsurance business, cedants tended to retain more business. Critically, we have not seen major slippage in terms and conditions.

Rates continue to be up substantially for U.S. catastrophe-exposed reinsurance business relative to the 1st of January 2006 as an element of catch-up to mid-year 2006 levels took place. However, the market dislocation evident at mid-year 2006 renewals has further corrected. Reinsurance renewals in Continental Europe, where the primary renewal date is January 1st, were characterized by an abundance of capacity. We expect that Windstorm Kyrill, which caused damage across a number of European countries in January of 2007, will stabilize this marketplace. Finally, we expect the impact of recent Florida legislation bypassing the private reinsurance marketplace to directly impact less than 2% of reinsurance premiums.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity

As a holding company we have no substantial operations of our own and our assets consist primarily of our investments in subsidiaries. Accordingly, our future cash flows depend primarily upon the availability of dividends or other statutorily permissible payments from our subsidiaries. See Item 8, Note 17, to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion.

Liquidity is a measure of a Company s ability to generate sufficient cash flows to meet short-term and long-term cash requirements. Our liquidity requirements have been primarily met by funds generated from operations, routine sales of investments and financing arrangements (see capital resources section below). The cash provided from these sources is primarily used to pay loss and loss expenses and other operating costs. Our diversified portfolio and risk management has demonstrated our ability to withstand extraordinary losses as was the case in 2005. To further ensure the sufficiency of funds we hold a certain amount of invested assets in cash and short term investments and other readily marketable securities. At December 31, 2006 this balance was \$8,459.8 million. Although the timing and amount of catastrophe claim payments are inherently unpredictable, we anticipate that our cash flows from the above sources will continue to be sufficient to meet our future liquidity needs, including our contractual obligations, detailed below.

Operating cash flows: Our cash flows from operations generally represent the difference between: (1) premiums collected, reinsurance recoveries and investment income received and (2) losses and loss expenses paid, reinsurance purchased and underwriting and other expenses paid. Cash inflows from operations have been consistent during the last three years despite substantial variations in our levels of net income. We generated net operating cash inflows of \$1,613.3 million, \$1,582.9 million and \$1,600.0 million in 2006, 2005 and 2004 respectively, compared to net income of \$963.1 million, \$94.4 million and \$495.0 million, respectively. The difference between net income and net cash inflow in each of the last three years is primarily due to increases in our net loss reserves, net of paid losses and recoveries.

Financing activities: Cash flows relating to financing activities include the payment of dividends, share related transactions and the issue or repayment of debt. During 2006, we generated \$289.5 million from financing activities compared to \$260.8 million and \$426.8 million in 2005 and 2004, respectively. These cash inflows primarily related to the following: during 2006 we received \$400.0 million from a repurchase agreement used to fund an investment in an asset-backed note (refer to commitments and contingencies below). In 2005 we raised \$693.6 million of additional capital in the form of common and

preferred shares offset by a \$350.0 million repurchase of common shares from initial investors at the formation of the Company. In 2004, we raised \$495.7 million from a senior notes offering.

Investing cash flows: Generally, positive cash flows from our operating and financing activities are invested in the Company s investment portfolio. For further discussion of our investment activities, including our strategy and current durations refer to Item 1 and also Note 6 of the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of this report.

Capital Resources

In addition to common equity, we depend upon other external sources of finance such as debt, preferred shares and credit facilities to support our operating activities. Having sufficient capital allows us to take advantage of profitable opportunities, maintain our financial strength ratings and also comply with various local statutory regulations. The following table summarizes our consolidated capitalization at December 31:

	2006	2005
	(in thousands)	
Long-term debt	\$ 499,144	\$ 499,046
Preferred shares	500,000	500,000
Common equity	3,912,647	3,012,351
Shareholders equity	4,412,647	3,512,351
Total capitalization	\$ 4,911,791	\$ 4,011,397
Ratio of debt to total capitalization	10.2 %	12.4 %

Long-term debt: Long-term debt represents senior notes we issued during 2004; for further information refer to Item 8, Note 10 (a) of the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Shareholders equity: At December 31, 2006, our shareholders equity was \$4,412.6 million compared to \$3,512.3 million at December 31, 2005. The increase of \$900.3 million was primarily due to 2006 net income of \$963.1 million. During 2006, we declared dividends of \$101.7 million to common shareholders and \$37.3 million to preferred shareholders. For further information on our shareholders equity refer to Item 8, Note 13 of the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Credit facilities: At December 31, 2006 and 2005 we had a credit facility agreement of \$1.5 billion and letters of credit outstanding on the facility of \$365.0 million and \$685.1 million, respectively. For further information refer to Item 8, Note 10 b) of the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Shelf registrations: On August 6, 2004, we filed an unallocated universal shelf registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission that was declared effective on August 27, 2004. Under the shelf registration statement, we may issue up to \$750.0 million of equity, debt, trust preferred securities or a combination of these securities. On November 15, 2004, we completed a public offering of \$500 million of senior notes. In addition the shelf registration statement also registered for possible future sales up to 68,216,017 common shares held by certain of the Company s founding shareholders. The registration of the founding shareholders common shares did not obligate these shareholders to offer or sell any of the securities held by them. As at December 31, 2006, there were 36,501,410 remaining common shares available for sale by founding shareholders.

On October 3, 2005, we filed an unallocated universal shelf registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission that was declared effective on October 11, 2005. Under the shelf registration statement, we may issue up to \$1.5 billion of equity, debt, trust preferred securities or a combination of these securities. On November 21, 2005, we completed a common share offering of 6,800,000 shares at

\$29.42 per share raising aggregate net proceeds of \$200.1 million. In addition, we issued \$250.0 million of series B preferred shares under this shelf registration statement. The remaining capacity at December 31, 2006 was \$1,050.0 million.

We believe our financial strength provides us with the flexibility and capacity to continue to obtain external funding within the foreseeable future.

Commitments and Contingencies

The following table provides an analysis of our contractual obligations by period due:

	At December 31, 20	06			
	Total (in thousands)	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	3-5 years	More than 5 years
Estimated gross loss payments	\$ 5,015,113	\$ 775,843	\$ 2,247,045	\$ 1,005,671	\$ 986,554
Senior notes (including interest					
payments)	727,604	28,750	57,500	57,500	583,854
Estimated life insurance premiums	636,638	59,184	142,645	122,129	312,680
Liability under repurchase agreement	400,000	400,000			
Operating lease obligations	85,379	18,343	20,549	19,767	26,720
Reinsurance purchase commitments	47,137	47,137			
Investment commitments	19,400	19,400			
Total	\$ 6,931,271	\$ 1,348,657	\$ 2,467,739	\$ 1,205,067	\$ 1,909,808

Estimated gross loss payments: Estimated gross loss payments represent actuarial and statistical projections of our expected ultimate settlement and administration costs of claims incurred. There are numerous factors and assumptions involved in estimating loss and loss expense reserves. For further discussion refer to our critical accounting estimates section below. Given the limited loss payout pattern information specific to our experience, we have used industry data, on a line by line basis, to estimate our expected payments. The amount and timing of actual loss payments may differ materially from the estimated payouts in the table above.

Estimated life insurance premiums: To avoid life policies from lapsing, we are required to make monthly life premium payments on 222 life policies in connection with our investment in life settlement contracts. We will fund these payments through the use of the \$65.0 million premium reserve account set aside for this purpose and with future life insurance proceeds and investment income earned on the cash flows relating to the life settlement contracts portfolio. Any shortfall from these funding sources will be met by the Company s cash flows from operations.

Liability under repurchase agreement: On December 20, 2006 we entered into a repurchase agreement to fund our investment in a life settlement contracts portfolio. Under the arrangement we sold a \$400.0 million asset-backed note which we have agreed to repurchase on March 16, 2007 for a predetermined price. The agreement provides for the ability to renew for the duration of the asset-backed note. We may renew the repurchase agreement at its maturity.

Further information on the above contractual obligations, refer to Item 8, Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Critical Accounting Estimates

There are certain accounting policies that we consider to be critical due to the amount of judgment and uncertainty inherent in the application of those policies. In calculating financial statement estimates, the use of different assumptions could produce materially different estimates. We believe the following

critical accounting policies affect significant estimates used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements.

Reserve for losses and loss expenses

For most insurance and reinsurance companies, the most significant judgment made by management is the estimation of the reserve for losses and loss expenses, which we also refer to as loss reserves. Our loss reserves are established based upon our estimate of the total cost of claims that were reported to us but not yet paid, or case reserves, the costs of additional case reserves on claims reported to us but not considered to be adequately reserved, or ACR, and the anticipated cost of claims incurred but not reported, or IBNR.

For reported losses, we establish case reserves within the parameters of the coverage provided in our insurance or reinsurance contracts. With respect to our insurance operations, we are notified of insured losses by brokers and insureds and record a case reserve for the estimated amount of the ultimate expected liability arising from the claim. The estimate reflects the judgment of our claims personnel based on general reserving practices, the experience and knowledge of such personnel regarding the nature of the specific claim and, where appropriate, advice of counsel. Reserves are also established to provide for the estimated expense of settling claims, including legal and other fees and the general expenses of administering the claims adjustment process.

Our reinsurance business is predominantly short to medium tail in nature, which typically allows us to determine the ultimate loss experience within a relatively short period after a contract has expired. However, the reserving process for our reinsurance operations is more complicated than for our insurance operations. For reported losses, we generally establish case reserves based on reports received primarily from brokers and also from ceding companies. With respect to contracts written on an excess of loss basis, we typically are notified of insured losses on specific contracts and record a case reserve for the estimated amount of the ultimate expected liability arising from the claim. With respect to contracts written on a pro rata basis, we typically receive aggregated claims information and record a case reserve based on this information.

Following the occurrence of a reinsured loss, an insured notifies its insurance company which then reports the information to its reinsurer, generally via a broker. The period of time that elapses between the notification to the insurance company and the reinsurance company varies depending upon a number of factors such as: the type of business; whether the reinsurance is written on an excess of loss or proportional basis; the size of the loss; and whether the loss information is provided by brokers or cedants. Typically, the delay can vary from a few weeks to several months. In addition, as reinsurers, we do not separately evaluate each of the underlying risks assumed and, therefore, we rely upon our cedants underwriting, risk management and claims administration practices. These factors necessarily create a higher degree of uncertainty in establishing our estimate of loss reserves for our reinsurance operations than for our insurance operations.

In order to reflect this increased level of uncertainty in establishing our loss reserves, some of our underwriting, claims and reserving practices have been modified for our reinsurance business. In deciding whether to provide treaty reinsurance, we carefully review and analyze the cedant s underwriting and risk management practices to ensure appropriate underwriting, data capture and reporting procedures. We also utilize an outsourced claims audit program that allows us to review a cedant s claims administration to ensure that its claim reserves are consistent with reinsured exposures, are adequately established and are properly reported in a timely manner, and to verify that claims are being handled appropriately. For those losses where we receive contract-specific loss notifications, our claims department evaluates each notification and may record ACRs if claims are not considered to be adequately reserved. This requires considerable judgment. Where claims information is received in an aggregated form, our reinsurance contracts typically require that pre-defined large losses must be separately notified so that these losses can

be adequately evaluated. As, Ernst & Young, our independent actuaries, estimate reserves on a line of business basis, their actuarial methodology allows for reporting time lags when establishing ultimate loss and loss expenses for reinsurance business. In addition, because we have limited loss experience and insufficient claims development data to calculate credible trends, our independent actuaries use industry data when establishing ultimate loss and loss expenses for our reinsurance business.

Loss reserving for catastrophe events in our insurance and reinsurance businesses is an inherently uncertain process. When a catastrophe occurs, we review our exposures to determine which exposures will be impacted by the event. We contact brokers and clients to determine their estimate of involvement and the extent to which their programs are affected. We may also use computer modeling to estimate loss exposures under the actual event scenario. We currently use Risklink version 6.0 licensed by RMS, Classic/2 and CATRADER version 8.5 licensed by AIR and WORLDCAT Enterprise licensed by Eqecat. As part of the underwriting process, we obtain exposure data from our clients, so that when an event occurs we can run our models to produce an estimate of the losses incurred by clients on programs that we insure or reinsure. Typically, we derive our estimate for the losses from a catastrophic event by blending all of the sources of loss information available to us. This estimate is derived by the claims team and, where there are no reported case reserves, is known as case IBNR . For catastrophic events, there generally is considerable uncertainty underlying the assumptions and associated estimated reserves for losses and loss expenses. The potential also exists, after a catastrophe loss, for the development of inflationary pressures in a local economy. The anticipated effects on us are considered in our catastrophe loss models. The effects of inflation are also considered in pricing and in estimating reserves for unpaid claims and claim expenses. The actual effects of inflation on our results cannot be accurately known until claims are ultimately settled. Reserves are reviewed quarterly and as experience develops and additional information is known, the reserves are adjusted as necessary. Adjustments are reflected in the period in which they become known.

Our estimate of our ultimate loss and loss expenses is determined in consultation with Ernst & Young and is based on generally accepted actuarial principles. Ernst & Young receives all Large Claims Information Database (LCID) flash reports and has access to our claims information and individual contracts as part of their quarterly review. Ernst & Young utilize various actuarial methodologies to arrive at a single point estimate for baseline ultimate loss and loss expenses. The selection of an actuarial methodology and the associated loss ratio is applied at a line of business level to determine the ultimate loss and loss expenses by line of business. The actuarial method is dependent upon characteristics specific to each line of business, including: the extent of company-specific loss experience, the maturity of the line of business and the type of coverage. The actuarial methods utilized include the Bornhuetter-Ferguson method, the initial expected loss ratio method (IELR) and the chain ladder (loss emergence) method. The Bornhuetter-Ferguson method takes as a starting point an assumed ultimate loss and loss expenses ratio implied by the experience to date. The chain ladder method uses the historical development profile of incurred claims experience to project the more recent, less developed profile of claims experience and to generate ultimate loss expenses. The IELR method estimates ultimate loss and loss expenses based on pricing information and industry data. Due to our limited loss expenses. The IELR method estimates ultimate loss and loss expenses based on pricing information and industry data. Due to our limited loss expense, for most lines of business the independent actuaries primarily utilize the Bornhuetter-Ferguson and IELR methods to derive a single point estimate of baseline ultimate loss and loss expenses.

For our insurance segment, the assumed ultimate loss and loss expense ratios developed by the independent actuaries are based on benchmarks derived from the independent actuaries wider market experience together with our limited historical data. These benchmarks are then adjusted for rating increases and changes in terms and conditions that have been observed by the market and by us. For our reinsurance segment, the assumed ultimate loss and loss expense ratios are based on contract-by-contract initial expected loss ratios derived during pricing and benchmarks derived from the independent actuaries

wider market experience together with our limited historical data. Under U.S. GAAP, we are not permitted to establish loss reserves until an event that gives rise to a loss occurs. On contracts that respond to highly visible, major loss events, we establish IBNR where potential exposure has been identified.

Our independent actuary applies loss and loss expense ratios to our earned premium to generate estimated baseline ultimate costs of the losses. From the estimated baseline ultimate costs of losses, we deduct paid losses and reported case reserves to generate our baseline IBNR. The estimated baseline ultimate costs of losses will almost certainly differ materially from our actual losses and loss expenses. The uncertainty surrounding our actual losses and loss expenses is greater for a company like ours that has a limited operating history and claims loss development patterns. In addition, our limited operating history and claims loss development patterns have necessitated the use of benchmarks in deriving our IBNR. These benchmarks could differ materially from our actual experience despite management s and the independent actuaries care in selecting them.

To reduce some of this uncertainty, our independent actuary performs, in conjunction with management, an analysis of additional factors to be considered when establishing our IBNR. These uncertainties are intended to compensate for our limited operating history and claims loss development patterns, which require the use of industry information that may not be indicative of our actual loss experience. At December 31, 2006, we recorded additional IBNR for uncertainties relating to the timing of the emergence of claims. We anticipate that these uncertainties may vary over time. A combination of the baseline estimate of IBNR and the reserves for the additional uncertainties produces a single point estimate that constitutes management s and the actuaries best estimate of IBNR.

The following table provides a breakdown of reserves for losses and loss expenses by segment by type of exposure:

At December 31 2006 (in thousands)	2005	2004
\$ 1,242.6	\$ 1,305.6	\$ 694.5
122.5	106.4	158.9
151.6	88.7	28.5
\$ 1,516.7	\$ 1,500.7	\$ 881.9
\$ 413.8	\$ 701.0	\$ 301.8
561.5	401.7	198.6
679.8	460.7	260.2
\$ 1,655.1	\$ 1,563.4	\$ 760.6
\$ 1,049.1	\$ 1,106.6	\$ 517.8
449.1	299.2	150.0
345.1	273.4	94.3
\$ 1,843.3	\$ 1,679.2	\$ 762.1
\$ 5,015.1	\$ 4,743.3	\$ 2,404.6
	2006 (in thousands) \$ 1,242.6 122.5 151.6 \$ 1,516.7 \$ 413.8 561.5 679.8 \$ 1,655.1 \$ 1,049.1 449.1 345.1 \$ 1,843.3	2006 2005 (in thousands) \$ 1,305.6 \$ 1,242.6 \$ 1,305.6 122.5 106.4 151.6 88.7 \$ 1,516.7 \$ 1,500.7 \$ 413.8 \$ 701.0 561.5 401.7 679.8 460.7 \$ 1,655.1 \$ 1,563.4 \$ 1,049.1 \$ 1,106.6 449.1 299.2 345.1 273.4 \$ 1,843.3 \$ 1,679.2

In estimating our ultimate loss and loss expenses, our independent actuaries utilize actuarial methodologies that rely on the use of various assumptions. At December 31, 2006, the key assumptions used by our actuaries were the establishment of initial expected loss ratios and the establishment of development factors. Both of these assumptions are largely based upon loss information, which may not be indicative of our actual loss experience. A 5% change in our gross loss reserves equates to \$250.8 million and represents 27.1% of our income before income taxes for 2006 and 5.7% of our shareholders equity at December 31, 2006. For a summary of the cumulative redundancies on our loss reserves since our inception refer to Reserves in Item 1 of this report.

The methodology of estimating loss reserves is reviewed each quarter to evaluate whether the assumptions made continue to be appropriate. Any adjustments that result from this review are recorded in the quarter in which they are identified.

Our reserving practices and the establishment of any particular reserve reflect management s judgment concerning sound financial practice and do not represent any admission of liability with respect to any claims made against us. No assurance can be given that actual claims made and related payments will not be in excess of the amounts reserved. During the loss settlement period, it often becomes necessary to refine and adjust the estimates of liability on a claim either upward or downward. Even after such adjustments, ultimate liability may exceed or be less than the revised estimates.

Reinsurance Recoverable Balances

Reinsurance recoverable balances include amounts owed to us in respect of paid and unpaid ceded losses and loss expenses and are presented net of a reserve for non-recoverability. At December 31, 2006 and 2005, reinsurance recoverable balances were \$1,359.2 million and \$1,518.1 million, respectively. In establishing our reinsurance recoverable balances, significant judgment is exercised by management in determining the amount of unpaid losses and loss expenses to be ceded as well as our ability to cede losses and loss expenses under our reinsurance contracts.

Our ceded unpaid losses and loss expenses consist of two elements, those for reported losses and those for IBNR. Ceded amounts for IBNR are developed as part of our loss reserving process. Consequently, the estimation of ceded unpaid losses and loss expenses is subject to similar risks and uncertainties as the estimation of gross IBNR (see Reserve for losses and loss expenses). At December 31, 2006 and 2005, ceded IBNR recoverable balances were \$666.5 million and \$555.0 million, respectively.

As the majority of the reinsurance recoverable balances will not be due for collection until some point in the future, the recoverability of such amounts may ultimately differ materially from the recorded amounts due to the ability and willingness of reinsurers to pay our claims, for reasons including insolvency, contractual dispute over contract language or coverage and for other reasons. Additionally, over this period of time, the economic conditions and operational performance of a particular reinsurer may change and consequently these changes may affect the reinsurer s willingness and ability to meet their contractual obligations to us. Accordingly, we review our reinsurance recoverable balances on a quarterly basis to estimate and record a valuation provision for potential uncollectible amounts, with changes in the provision flowing through earnings.

To estimate this valuation provision, we start with a default analysis by applying specific percentages against each recovery, net of collateral, based on the financial strength rating of the reinsurer using A.M. Best credit ratings. These percentages are based on historical industry default statistics developed by Standards & Poor (S&P) and are then adjusted by us based on industry knowledge and best judgment. For example, when a recoverable is expected to be paid in a brief period of time by a highly rated reinsurer, such as certain property catastrophe claims, we may not apply a default factor to such amount. We also apply case-specific valuation allowances against certain reinsurance recoverable balances that we deem

unlikely to collect in full. Lastly, we evaluate the overall adequacy of the valuation allowance for the total reinsurance recoverable balances based on qualitative and judgmental factors. Based on this process, we recorded a valuation provision against reinsurance recoverable balances of \$34.0 million and \$15.6 million as at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

See Item 8, Note 8 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for an analysis of reinsurance recoverable and valuation provision by segment. For an analysis of credit risk with respect our reinsurance recoverable balances at December 31, 2006, refer to Item 8, Note 11, (a) to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Premiums

Our revenue is generated primarily by gross premiums written from our underwriting operations. The basis for the amount of gross premiums recognized varies by the type of contract we write.

For the majority of our insurance business, we receive a flat premium which is identified in the policy and which is recorded as unearned premium on the inception date of the contract. This premium will adjust only if the underlying insured values adjust. We actively monitor underlying insured values and record adjustment premiums in the period in which amounts are reasonably determinable.

We also write business on a line slip basis, under which we assume a fixed percentage of the premiums and losses on a particular risk or group of risks along with numerous other unrelated insurers. Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 60 Accounting and Reporting By Insurance Enterprises requires that if the ultimate premium is reasonably estimable, the estimated ultimate premium should be recognized as revenue over the period of the contract. Although a premium estimate is not contractually stated for business written on a line slip basis, we believe that the premium is reasonably estimable because we receive an initial estimate of the expected premium written from the client via the broker. This estimate has been derived by reference to one or more of the following: the historical premium volume experienced by the line slip; historical premium volume of similar line slips; and industry information on the underlying business. We may, if we believe appropriate, adjust the initial estimates provided by the broker to reflect management s best judgments and expectations. This is most likely where the underwriter believes that the estimate is not prudent. Under these circumstances, we will generally recognize as revenue a lower than advised premium written estimate. We actively monitor the development of actual reported premium to the estimates made. Where actual reported premium deviates from the estimate, we carry out an analysis to determine the cause and may, if necessary, adjust the estimated premium in the period in which the determination was made. During 2006, 2005 and 2004, line slip premiums accounted for 10%, 8% and 5%, respectively, of total gross premiums written.

For our reinsurance business, we write contracts on both an excess of loss basis and a proportional basis. For excess of loss contracts, the amount of premium is usually contractually documented at inception and no management judgment is necessary. For most such contracts, a deposit premium is generally contractually specified and is payable during the contract period. After the contract has expired, a premium adjustment is calculated, which is based on the underlying exposure of the ceded business. We record the deposit premium at the inception of the contract and record adjustments in the periods in which they are reasonably determinable.

For business written under a proportional contract, similar to our line slip business, we are able to reasonably estimate the premium written by reference to an initial estimate of expected ceded premium received from our clients. In most cases, the treaties are not new and the client can use historical experience to estimate the amount of premium. We may adjust the initial estimate of premium, and any adjustment is usually a result of the underwriter s prior experience with a client. We actively monitor the development of actual premium data and, if an adjustment in the premium estimate is warranted, it will be

recorded in the period during which the adjustment is determined. During 2006, 2005 and 2004, proportional premiums accounted for 15%, 15% and 10%, respectively, of total gross premiums written.

Our premiums are earned over the period during which we are exposed to the insured or reinsured risk. Generally, this period equates to the contract period, except for contracts written on a line slip or proportional basis. For line slip and proportional business, the earning period is generally twice the contract period due to the fact that some of the underlying exposures may attach towards the end of our contracts, and such underlying exposures generally have a one year coverage period.

Other Investments

Other investments consist of collateralized loan obligations (CLOs), investment funds (such as short duration high yield fund, credit funds, and fund of hedge funds) and life settlement contracts. We record our other investments at fair value.

A CLO is an asset-backed security that is primarily supported by commercial loans. We invest in both senior debt and equity tranches of CLOs. The fair value of senior debt tranches is based on available market prices; accordingly, there is little measurement uncertainty. However, for equity tranches the fair value is derived by amortizing the invested amounts at rates which incorporate certain assumptions such as market default rates, weighted average spread earned and recovery rates over the expected life of the security. We use significant judgment in establishing appropriate assumptions, which are reviewed annually to incorporate current market conditions.

The fair value for investment funds is determined by management using the net asset values provided by the third party administrators of these funds. Generally, the fair value is determined based upon the valuation criteria established by the governing documents of such investments. Further, the valuation for certain funds is reported on a one month lag, which contributes to the fair value measurement uncertainty at a point in time. Additionally, some funds are subject to restrictions on redemptions and sales and therefore limit our ability to liquidate these investments in the short term. Accordingly, the estimated fair value may differ materially from the values that would have been used had ready markets existed for these funds.

We have elected to use the fair value method to account for all the life settlement contracts in accordance with FASB Staff Position FTB 85-4-1, *Accounting for Life Settlement Contracts by Third-Party Investors*. As there are no active markets for these contracts, we are required to estimate fair value, which is based on discounting the future cash flows of the life insurance policies including life insurance proceeds and premium payments.

There is significant judgment involved in the fair value estimation process. The timing of life insurance receipts is dependent on the longevity of the insured individuals underlying the life insurance policy. The estimation of life expectancy of an individual is a complex process which may include, but not limited to, subjective interpretation of the following: sex; age; height; weight; race; lifestyle; medical history and ancestry; marital status; educational background; improvements in mortality rates; wealth; and access to and impact of changes in medical techniques. The subjectivity of these input variables can lead to vast complexities. Further, experts in the field of estimating life expectancy can arrive at materially different quotes for life expectancy for the same individual based on the same input information. The discount factor used in discounting future cash flows adds to the measurement uncertainty. It is calculated by deriving a risk yield curve which incorporates the USD LIBOR swap rates, credit spreads for the life insurance companies and a spread for longevity risk as observed in the secondary market. A third key assumption in discounting future cash flows is life premiums. We assume fairly stable life premium rates over the life of the respective policies.

The estimated fair value was initially calculated and provided by the seller, a financial institution, using a proprietary Monte Carlo model. Prior to the consummation of the transaction as disclosed in Item 8, Note 6 (c) of the Consolidated Financial Statements, we engaged Ernst & Young, as an independent actuary, to perform due diligence on the pricing of the structured life deal which included a review of life expectancy data, the survival probability curves, and the Monte Carlo model methodology used by the above financial institution. Based on the results of this due diligence, we have established the estimated fair value of the life settlement contracts at December 31, 2006.

The following table illustrates the fair value sensitivity due to changes in life expectancy assumptions:

Change in Average Life Expectancy	Fair Values (in thousands)
-1 year	\$ 485,816
-0.5 year	\$ 430,127
0	\$ 377,767
+0.5 year	\$ 326,003
+ 1 year	\$ 277,257

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

See Item 8, Note 2 (1) to the Consolidated Financial Statements for a discussion on recently issued accounting pronouncements not yet adopted.

Off-Balance Sheet and Special Purpose Entity Arrangements

At December 31, 2006, we have not entered into any off-balance sheet arrangements, as defined by Item 303 (a) (4) of Regulation S-K.

Non-GAAP Financial Measure

We have presented diluted book value per common share calculated using the If-Converted method, rather than the Treasury Stock method, which is a non-GAAP financial measure. We have included this measure because it takes into account the effect of dilutive securities and, therefore, we believe this is a better measure of calculating shareholder returns than book value per share. The following table is a reconciliation of diluted book value per share to book value per share:

	At December 31 2006 (in thousands, except	2005 per share)	2004	
Common shareholders equity	\$ 3,912,647	\$ 3,012,351	\$ 3,238,064	
Shares outstanding	149,982	148,831	152,737	
Book value per share	\$ 26.09	\$ 20.24	\$ 21.20	
Diluted book value on a				
as if converted basis				
Common shareholders equity	3,912,647	3,012,351	3,238,064	
add in:				
proceeds on excerise of options	94,811	117,808	94,724	
proceeds on exercise of warrants	244,363	244,812	244,812	
Adjusted shareholders equity	\$ 4,251,821	\$ 3,374,971	\$ 3,577,600	
As if converted diluted shares outstanding				
Shares outstanding	149,982	148,831	152,737	
add in:				
vested phantom stock units	46	38	28	
vesting of restricted stock	2,229	1,172	2,183	
exersice of options	5,147	6,174	5,694	
exercise of warrants	19,644	19,651	19,619	
Diluted shares outstanding	177,048	175,866	180,261	
Diluted book value per share	\$ 24.02	\$ 19.19	\$ 19.85	

ITEM 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risk

We are exposed to potential loss on our investment portfolio from various market risks, including changes in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates, and from credit risk. Our investment portfolio primarily consists of fixed income securities denominated in both U.S. and foreign currencies. External investment professionals manage our portfolio under the direction of our management in accordance with investment guidelines provided by us. Our guidelines do not permit the use of derivatives other than foreign currency forward contracts. In the future, we may change our guidelines to permit the use of derivatives.

Interest Rate Risk. Fluctuations in interest rates have a direct impact on the market valuation of fixed income securities included in our investment portfolio. As interest rates rise, the market value of our fixed income portfolio falls, and the converse is also true. We manage interest rate risk by selecting investments with characteristics such as duration, yield, currency and liquidity tailored to the anticipated cash outflow characteristics of our insurance and reinsurance liabilities.

The current duration target range for our investment portfolios is two to four years. The duration of an investment is based on the maturity of the security and also reflects the payment of interest and the possibility of early principal payment of such security. We seek to use investment performance benchmarks that reflect this duration target. Management periodically revises these investment benchmarks based on business and economic factors, including the average duration of our potential liabilities. At December 31,

2006 and 2005, our invested assets (assets under management by external investment managers) had an approximate average duration of 3.0 years. When incorporating our operating cash into this calculation, the average duration at December 31, 2006 and 2005 is reduced to 2.6 years.

At December 31, 2006, we held \$2,868.6 million (2005: \$2,433.6 million) at fair value, or 29.9% (2005: 31.6%) of our total cash and investments, in mortgage-backed securities. When interest rates decline, these assets are exposed to prepayment risk, which occurs when holders of underlying mortgages increase the frequency with which they prepay the outstanding principal before the maturity date and refinance at a lower interest rate cost. When interest rates increase, these assets are exposed to extension risk, which occurs when holders of underlying mortgages reduce the frequency with which they prepay the outstanding principal before the maturity date and delay any refinancing of the outstanding principal.

We have calculated the effect that an immediate parallel shift in the U.S. interest rate yield curve would have on our assets under management by third party investment managers at December 31, 2006. The modeling of this effect was performed on each security individually using the security s effective duration and changes in prepayment expectations for mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities. The results of this analysis are summarized in the table below.

Interest Rate Movement Analysis on Market Value of total cash and fixed maturities investments

	Interest Rate Shift in	n Basis Points			
	-100 (in thousands)	-50	0	+50	+100
Total Market Value	\$ 8.666.341	\$ 8.573.917	\$ 8.474.607	\$ 8.370.234	\$ 8.262.453
Market Value Change from Base	2.26 %	1.17 %	0.00 %	(1.23)%	(2.57)%
Change in Unrealized Value	\$ 191,734	\$ 99,310	\$	\$ (104,373)	\$ (212,154)

Foreign Currency Risk. Fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates have a direct impact on the market valuation of fixed income securities included in our investment portfolio that are denominated in those currencies. Therefore, we attempt to manage our foreign currency risk by seeking to match our liabilities under insurance and reinsurance policies that are payable in foreign currencies with cash and investments that are denominated in such currencies. From time to time, we have entered into several foreign currency forward contracts in order to minimize the effects of fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates on our foreign currency denominated assets and liabilities. Additionally our investment managers may also enter into foreign currency forward contracts to manage portfolio risk and enhance investment returns. A foreign currency forward contract involves an obligation to purchase or sell a specified currency at a future date at a price set at the time of the contract. Foreign currency forward contracts will not eliminate fluctuations in the value of our assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies but rather allow us to establish a rate of exchange for a future point in time. However, we do not expect to enter into such contracts with respect to a material amount of our assets. Foreign currency forward contracts purchased are not specifically identifiable against cash, any single security or any groups of securities and, therefore, do not qualify and are not designated as a hedge for financial reporting purposes. All realized gains and losses and unrealized gains and losses on foreign currency forward contracts are recognized in our Consolidated Statements of Operations under Item 8 of this report.

At December 31, 2006, the net contractual amount of foreign currency forward contracts was \$7.2 million (2005: \$87.0 million) with an unrealized loss of \$0.9 million (2005: \$0.5 million gain).

At December 31, 2006, we had insurance and reinsurance premium balances receivable of \$1,125.8 million (2005: \$1,027.0 million). Of this balance, 86.4% was denominated in U.S. dollars, 5.0% was denominated in Euro and 6.3% in Sterling. A 10% increase or decrease in the value of the Euro and Sterling currencies against the U.S. dollar would produce a gain or loss of approximately \$12.7 million at December 31, 2006 compared to \$11.4 million at December 31, 2005.

Credit Risk. We have exposure to credit risk primarily as a holder of fixed income securities. Our risk management strategy and investment policy is to invest in debt instruments of high credit quality issuers and to limit the amount of credit exposure with respect to particular ratings categories and any one issuer. We attempt to limit our credit exposure by purchasing fixed income investments rated BBB-/Baa3 or higher. In addition, we have limited our exposure to any single corporate issuer to 5% or less of our portfolio for securities rated A-/A3 or above and 2% or less of our portfolio for securities rated between BBB-/Baa3 and BBB+/Baa1. At December 31, 2006, we did not have an aggregate exposure to any single issuer of more than 10% of our shareholders equity, other than with respect to U.S. government and agency securities. In addition, we have credit risk under some contracts where we receive premiums in return for assuming the risk of default on pre-determined portfolios of sovereign and corporate obligations.

Value-at-Risk: Our management uses Value-at-Risk (VaR) as one of its tools to measure potential losses in the fair values of our cash and invested assets. The VaR calculation is calculated using a Monte Carlo simulation to project many different prices of fixed income securities, derivatives and currencies taking into account, among other things, the volatility and the correlation between security price changes over various forecast horizons. The VaR of our cash and invested assets at December 31, 2006 was approximately \$202.1 million, or 2.1%, compared to \$252.2 million, or 2.6% at December 31, 2005, which represents the potential loss in fair value of our invested assets portfolio over a one year time horizon within a 95% confidence level. There was a decrease in our VaR which resulted from the shortening of the invested assets duration over the period. The VaR computation is a risk analysis tool and does not purport to represent actual losses in fair value. We cannot predict actual future movements in market rates and do not present these results to be indicative of future movements in such market rates or to be representative of any actual impact that future changes in market rates may have on our future results of operations or financial position. During the years ended 2006 and 2005 actual changes in fair value did not exceed the VaR amount.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Index to Consolidated Financial Statements and Related Notes	Page
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	73
Consolidated Balance Sheets as at December 31, 2006 and 2005	74
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004	75
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004	76
Consolidated Statements of Shareholders Equity for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004	77
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004	78
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	79

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of AXIS Capital Holdings Limited

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of AXIS Capital Holdings Limited and subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income, shareholders equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2006. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of AXIS Capital Holdings Limited and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2006, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based on the criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated February 28, 2007 expressed an unqualified opinion on management s assessment of the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting and an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting and an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche Hamilton, Bermuda February 28, 2007

AXIS CAPITAL HOLDINGS LIMITED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS DECEMEBER 31, 2006 AND 2005

	2006 (in thousands)	2005		
Assets				
Investments:				
Fixed maturity investments available for sale, at fair value				
(Amortized cost 2006: \$6,574,249; 2005: \$6,090,998)	\$ 6,532,723	\$ 6,012,425		
Other investments, at fair value	1,130,664	409,504		
Total investments	7,663,387	6,421,929		
Cash and cash equivalents	1,989,287	1,280,990		
Accrued interest receivable	76,967	59,784		
Insurance and reinsurance premium balances receivable	1,125,822	1,026,975		
Reinsurance recoverable balances	1,293,660	1,455,248		
Reinsurance recoverable balances on paid losses	65,494	62,862		
Deferred acquisition costs	251,799	196,388		
Prepaid reinsurance premiums	241,821	281,579		
Securities lending collateral	794,149	998,349		
Goodwill and intangible assets	29,041	37,013		
Other assets	133,860	104,859		
Total assets	\$ 13,665,287	\$ 11,925,976		
Liabilities				
Reserve for losses and loss expenses	\$ 5,015,113	\$ 4,743,338		
Unearned premiums	2,015,556	1,760,467		
Insurance and reinsurance balances payable	294,374	314,232		
Securities lending payable	791,744	995,287		
Senior notes	499,144	499,046		
Liability under repurchase agreement	400,000			
Net payable for investments purchased	62,185	76		
Other liabilities	174,524	101,179		
Total liabilities	9,252,640	8,413,625		
Commitments and Contingencies				
Shareholders Equity				
Series A preferred shares				
(issued and outstanding 2006: 10,000; 2005: 10,000)	\$ 125	\$ 125		
Series B preferred shares				
(issued and outstanding 2006: 2,500; 2005: 2,500)	31	31		
Common shares				
(issued and outstanding 2006: 149,982; 2005: 148,831)	1,875	1,861		
Additional paid-in capital	2,429,250	2,386,200		
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(44,638)	(77,798)		
Retained earnings	2,026,004	1,201,932		
Total shareholders equity	4,412,647	3,512,351		
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$ 13,665,287	\$ 11,925,976		

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

AXIS CAPITAL HOLDINGS LIMITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006, 2005 AND 2004

	2006 (in thousands, except po		2005 per share amounts)		2004		Ļ
Revenues	(111 0	iousuitus, eiteepe					
Net premiums earned	\$	2,694,270	\$	2,553,683		\$	2,028,397
Net investment income	407,	100	256	,712	152,072		072
Net realized investment (losses) gains	(25,	702)	(16,	912)	13,6	34
Other insurance related income (loss)	2,89	3	(5,0	85)	11,2	53
Total revenues	3,07	8,561	2,78	38,398		2,20	5,356
Expenses							
Net losses and loss expenses	1,42	5,855	2,05	51,129		1,24	6,244
Acquisition costs	386,	959	337	,383		280,	568
General and administrative expenses	268,396		212,842			187,305	
Foreign exchange (gains) losses	(32,505)		54,0	54,090		(14,484	
Interest expense and financing costs	32,954		32,447			5,285	
Total expenses	2,081,659		2,687,891			1,704,918	
Income before income taxes	996,	902	100,507			500,438	
Income tax expense	33,8	42	6,067			5,440	
Net income	963,	060	94,440			494,998	
Preferred share dividends	37,2	95	4,37	79			
Net income available to common shareholders	\$	925,765	\$	90,061		\$	494,998
Weighted average common shares and common share equivalents:							
Basic	149,745		143	,226		152	554
Diluted	164,394		157,524			165,	876
Earnings per common share:							
Basic	\$	6.18	\$	0.63		\$	3.24
Diluted	\$	5.63	\$	0.57		\$	2.98
Cash dividends declared per common share	\$	0.615	\$	0.60		\$	0.50

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

AXIS CAPITAL HOLDINGS LIMITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006, 2005 AND 2004

	2006 (in thousands)	2005	2004
Net income	\$ 963,060	\$ 94,440	\$ 494,998
Other comprehensive income, net of tax			
Net loss on supplemental executive retirement plans (SERPs)	(484)		
Unrealized gains (losses) arising during the year	22,517	(72,211)	(3,216)
Adjustment for re-classification of investment losses (gains) realized in net			
income	15,630	(18,542)	(9,033)
Comprehensive income	\$ 1,000,723	\$ 3,687	\$ 482,749

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

AXIS CAPITAL HOLDINGS LIMITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006, 2005 AND 2004

	2006 (in tl	ousands)		2005		2004	
Preferred shares		,					
Balance at beginning of year	\$	156		\$		\$	
Series A and B preferred shares issued during the year				156			
Balance at end of year	156			156			
Common shares							
Balance at beginning of year	1,86	1		1,910		1,906	
Issued during the year	14			111		4	
Repurchased during the year				(160)		
Balance at end of year	1,87	5		1,861		1,910	
Additional paid-in capital							
Balance at beginning of year	2,38	6,200		2,017,144		2,000,731	
Shares issued during year, net of costs	305			684,765		(1,718)
Repurchased during year	(335)	(349,840)		
Stock option exercise	17,4	11		8,577		729	
Share-based compensation expense	25,6	69		25,554		17,402	
Balance at end of year	2,42	9,250		2,386,200		2,017,144	
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income							
Balance at beginning of year	(77,	798)	12,915		25,164	
Change in unrealized gains (losses) on fixed maturity investments	38,3	26		(92,409)	(12,594)
Change in net loss on SERP	(484)				
Adjustment to initially adopt FASB Statement No. 158	(4,50)3)				
Change in deferred taxes	(179	1)	1,696		345	
Balance at end of year	(44,	538)	(77,798)	12,915	
Retained earnings							
Balance at beginning of year	1,20	1,932		1,206,095		789,347	
Net income for year	963,	060		94,440		494,998	
Series A and B preferred shares dividends	(37,2	295)	(4,379)		
Common share dividends	(101	,693)	(94,224)	(78,250)
Balance at end of year	2,02	6,004		1,201,932		1,206,095	
Total Shareholders Equity	\$	4,412,647		\$ 3,512,35	1	\$ 3,238,00	64

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

AXIS CAPITAL HOLDINGS LIMITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006, 2005 AND 2004

	2006 (in thousands)					2004			
Cash flows from operating activities:									
Net income	\$	963,060		\$	94,440		\$	494,998	
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by									
operating activities:									
Net realized investment losses (gains)	25,70			16,9			(13,6	534)
Change in carrying value of other investments	(32,3)	(9,51)	0		
Amortization/accretion of fixed maturities	31,91			32,00			31,1		
Other amortization and depreciation	8,680			9,274			5,21		
Share-based compensation expense	25,60	59		25,55	53		17,4	03	
Changes in:									
Accrued interest receivable	(17,1)	(12,0)	(17,9)
Reinsurance recoverable balances	158,9			(898)	,894)	(471	,400)
Deferred acquisition costs	(55,4	11)	14,69	94		(74,8	301)
Prepaid reinsurance premiums	39,75	58		(10,3)	(106	,188)
Reserve for loss and loss expenses	271,7	775		2,315	5,861		1,41	1,714	
Unearned premiums	255,0)89		115,6	559		501,	324	
Insurance and reinsurance balances, net	(118	,705)	(46,1	21)	(157	,473)
Other items	56,38	86		(64,4	98)	(20,3	384)
Net cash provided by operating activities	1,613	3,305		1,582	2,941		1,59	9,951	
Cash flows from investing activities:									
Acquisitions, net of cash acquired				(27,7	51)			
Purchase of available-for-sale securities	(4,90	0,182)	(7,32	4,809)	(7,63	32,473)
Sales and maturities of available-for-sale securities	4,435	5,543		6,440	0,423		5,74	7,301	
Purchases of other investments	(701	,584)	(300,	,872)	(105	,812)
Net cash used in investing activities	(1,16	6,223)	(1,21	3,009)	(1,99	90,984)
Cash flows from financing activities:									
Dividends paid common shares	(90,5	575)	(82,7	77)	(67,9	966)
Dividends paid preferred shares	(37,2	.95)						
Proceeds from issuance of senior notes							495,	714	
Proceeds from repurchase agreement	400,0	000							
Repurchase of shares	(335)	(350	,000)			
Issuance of common shares, net	17,85	56		204,0	046		(986)
Issuance of preferred shares, net	(126)	489,5	564				
Net cash provided by financing activities	289,525			260,8	333		426,	762	
Effect of exchange rate changes on foreign currency cash	(28,3	510)	17,89	96		(8,57	75)
Increase in cash and cash equivalents	708,297		648,661		561			54	
Cash and cash equivalents beginning of period	1,280,990			632,3	329		605,	175	
Cash and cash equivalents end of period	\$	1,989,287		\$	1,280,990		\$	632,329	
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:									
Income taxes paid	\$	56,178		\$	27,800		\$	17,626	
Interest paid	\$	28,750		\$	30,051		\$		

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

1. History

AXIS Capital Holdings Limited (AXIS Capital) is the Bermuda-based holding company for the AXIS group of companies, collectively the Company AXIS Capital was incorporated on December 9, 2002, under the laws of Bermuda. Through its subsidiaries organized in Bermuda, Ireland and the United States, AXIS Capital provides a broad range of insurance and reinsurance products on a worldwide basis under two distinct global underwriting platforms, AXIS Insurance and AXIS Re.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

a) Basis of Presentation

The terms FAS and FASB used in these notes refer to Statements of Financial Accounting Standards issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board, a United States based accounting standard setter.

These consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (U.S. GAAP). The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company as well as all variable interest entities (VIEs) for which the Company is the primary beneficiary, as defined under FASB Interpretation No. 46 (revised December 2003), *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities* (FIN 46). FIN 46 requires a VIE to be consolidated if a party with an ownership, contractual or other financial interest in the VIE is obligated to absorb a majority of the risk of loss from the VIE s activities, is entitled to receive a majority of the VIE s residual returns or both. All significant inter-company accounts and transactions have been eliminated. All amounts are reported in U.S. dollars.

The preparation of these consolidated financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amount of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. The significant estimates reflected in the Company s consolidated financial statements are:

- the reserve for losses and loss expenses;
- the estimate of reinsurance recoverable balances, including the provision for unrecoverable reinsurance;
- the premium estimates for business written on a line slip or proportional basis;
- the estimation of fair values for other investments;
- the estimation of prepayment for mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities;
- the valuation of deferred tax assets; and
- the impairments to the carrying value of the investment portfolio.

While the amounts included in the consolidated financial statements reflect management s best estimates and assumptions, these amounts could ultimately differ from those estimates.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Certain reclassifications have been made to prior year amounts to conform to the current year s presentation.

b) Investments

Investments available for sale

Investments that are considered to be available for sale under the definition included in FAS No. 115, *Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities*, are reported at fair value at the balance sheet date. The fair value of investments is based upon quoted market values where available or by reference to broker or underwriter bid indications. The net unrealized gain or loss on investments, net of tax, is included as accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) in shareholders equity.

Purchases and sales of investments are recorded on a trade date basis. Realized gains or losses on sales of investments are determined based on the specific identification method. Net investment income is recognized when earned and includes interest and dividend income together with amortization of market premiums and discounts using the effective yield method and is net of investment fees. For mortgage-backed securities and any other holdings for which there is a prepayment risk, prepayment assumptions are evaluated and revised as necessary. Any adjustments required due to the change in effective yields and maturities are recognized on a prospective basis through yield adjustments.

Other investments

The Company accounts for its collateralized loan obligations (CLOs), investment funds and life settlement contracts at fair value. Interest, dividend income, income distributions, changes in net asset values, and realized gains and losses are included in net investment income.

The Company invests in both senior debt and equity tranches of CLOs. The fair value of senior debt tranches is based on available market prices; whereas, the fair value of equity tranches is derived by amortizing the invested amounts at rates which incorporate certain assumptions such as market default rates, weighted average spread earned and recovery rates over the expected life of the security. Any adjustments required due to the change in effective yields and maturities are recognized on a prospective basis through yield adjustments.

Investment funds include a short duration high yield fund, credit funds and fund of hedge funds. The fair value for these investment funds is determined by management using the net asset value provided by the third party administrators of these funds.

The Company elected to use the fair value method to account for all of the life settlement contracts under FASB Staff Position No. FTB 85-4-1, *Accounting for Life Settlement Contracts by Third Party Investors*. The fair value of the life settlement contracts is based on discounting the estimated cash flows of the life insurance policies, as calculated by a financial institution and reviewed by management. The discount factor is calculated by deriving a risk yield curve which incorporates the USD LIBOR swap rates, credit spreads for the life insurance proceeds and a spread for longevity risk as observed in the secondary market. Changes in the fair value of life settlement contracts, life insurance proceeds and life premium

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

payments are included in net investment income. The cash flows relating to the life settlement contracts are included in investing activities in the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

The Company has no significant influence and does not participate in the management of CLOs, investment funds and the life settlement contracts.

Other than temporary declines in investments

The Company assesses quarterly whether declines in fair value of its investments represent impairments that are other than temporary. There are several factors that are considered in the assessment of a security, which include (i) the time period during which there has been a significant decline below cost, (ii) the extent of the decline below cost, (iii) the Company s intent and ability to hold the security, (iv) the potential for the security to recover in value, (v) an analysis of the financial condition of the issuer and (vi) an analysis of the collateral structure and credit support of the security, if applicable. Where the Company has determined that there is an other than temporary impairment in the fair value of the security, the cost of the security is written down to the fair value and the unrealized loss at the time of the determination is charged to net realized investment (losses)gains in the Consolidated Statement of Operations.

c) Cash and cash equivalents

Cash equivalents include money-market funds and fixed interest deposits placed with a maturity of under 90 days when purchased. At December 31, 2006, cash and cash equivalents included restricted cash of \$105.7 million primarily for funding future life insurance premiums (see Notes 6 (c) and 11(h)) and collateralizing certain reinsurance agreements.

d) Premiums and Acquisition Costs

Premiums written are recorded in accordance with the terms of the underlying policies. Reinsurance premiums assumed are estimated based upon information received from ceding companies and any subsequent differences arising on such estimates are recorded in the period they are determined. Premiums are generally contractually stated except for business written on a line slip or proportional basis. Under FAS No. 60, *Accounting and Reporting by Insurance Enterprises*, a company is permitted to book premium as long as it is reasonably estimable. For line slip premiums, the Company receives an initial estimate of expected premium from the client via the broker. In the case of proportional contracts, the Company receives an estimate of the expected premium to be ceded from its client. The Company monitors the emergence of actual premium data on line slip policies and proportional reinsurance contracts and adjusts its estimates of written premiums to reflect reported premiums on a periodic basis as additional information becomes available.

Premiums are earned over the terms of the policies in proportion to the risks to which they relate. Unearned premiums represent the portion of premiums written that is applicable to the unexpired portion of the policies in force. Reinstatement premiums are recognized at the time a loss event occurs where coverage limits for the remaining life of the contract are reinstated under pre-defined contract terms and are earned on a pro-rata basis over the remaining risk period.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Acquisition costs, primarily fees and commissions paid to brokers and taxes, vary with and are directly related to the acquisition of policies and are deferred and amortized over the period in which the related premiums are earned. Deferred acquisition costs are reviewed to determine if they are recoverable from future income, including investment income. If such costs are estimated to be unrecoverable, they are expensed.

e) Reinsurance

In the normal course of business, the Company seeks to reduce the loss that may arise from events that could cause unfavorable underwriting results by reinsuring certain levels of risk in various lines of business with other reinsurers. Reinsurance premiums ceded are expensed over the period the reinsurance coverage is provided. Prepaid reinsurance premiums represent the portion of premiums ceded on the unexpired term of the policies in force. Reinstatement premiums ceded are recorded at the time a loss event occurs where coverage limits for the remaining life of a contract are reinstated under pre-defined contract terms and are expensed over the remaining risk period.

Reinsurance recoverables are based on contracts in force and are presented net of a reserve for uncollectible reinsurance. The method for determining the reinsurance recoverable on unpaid losses and loss expenses involves actuarial estimates of unpaid losses and loss expenses as well as a determination of the Company s ability to cede unpaid losses and loss expenses under its reinsurance treaties.

The reserve for uncollectible reinsurance is based on an estimate of the amount of the reinsurance recoverable balance that will ultimately not be recovered due to reinsurer insolvency, contractual disputes or some other reason. The valuation of this reserve for uncollectible reinsurance includes several processes including a review of the credit ratings of the reinsurance recoverables by reinsurer, an analysis of default probabilities as well as coverage issues. These factors require considerable management judgment and the factors are reviewed in detail on a regular basis with any resulting adjustments reflected in losses and loss expenses in our Consolidated Statement of Operations in the period that collection issues are identified.

f) Losses and Loss Expenses

Reserves for losses and loss expenses are established by management with assistance from the Company s independent actuaries. They represent an estimate of the total cost of claims that are reported but not yet paid, the cost of additional case reserves on claims reported to the Company but not considered to be adequately reserved and the anticipated cost of claims incurred but not reported (IBNR).

IBNR is estimated by management in consultation with the independent actuaries who use actuarial models to derive IBNR. The Bornhuetter-Ferguson and initial expected loss ratio (IELR) methods are primarily used although the chain ladder (loss emergence) method is also utilized for some lines of business. The Bornhuetter-Ferguson method takes as a starting point an assumed ultimate loss and loss expense ratio and blends in the loss and loss expense ratio implied by the experience to date.

The IELR method estimates ultimate loss and loss expenses based on pricing information and industry data. Both methods are typically used by companies with limited loss experience.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

For the insurance segment, the assumed ultimate loss and loss expense ratios are based on benchmarks derived from the independent actuaries wider market experience together with our limited historical data. These benchmarks are then adjusted for rating increases or decreases and changes in terms and conditions that have been observed by the market and by the Company. For the reinsurance segment, the assumed ultimate loss and loss expense ratios are based on contract—by—contract initial expected loss ratios derived during pricing together with benchmarks derived from the independent actuaries wider market experience.

Applying these loss and loss expense ratios to earned premium derives the estimated baseline ultimate costs of the losses from which paid losses and reported case reserves are deducted to generate baseline IBNR. The actuarial methodologies used to derive the baseline estimate can not fully allow for all uncertainties within the Company s business. To reduce some of these uncertainties, management performs in conjunction with the independent actuaries an analysis of additional factors to be considered when establishing IBNR. These uncertainties may vary over time, but generally contemplate matters such as the timing and emergence of claims or short term market trends that might alter the Company s otherwise consistent baseline approach. A combination of the baseline estimate of IBNR and the reserves for the additional uncertainties constitutes management s and the actuaries best estimate of IBNR.

While management believes that the reserves for unpaid losses and loss expenses are sufficient to pay losses that fall within coverages assumed by the Company, the actual losses and loss expenses incurred by the Company may be materially greater or less than the reserve provided. Due to the limited length of time that the Company has been operating, actual loss experience is limited; this increases the potential for material deviation from currently estimated amounts. The methods of determining such estimates and establishing the resulting reserve are reviewed quarterly and any adjustments are reflected in income in the period in which they become known.

g) Foreign Currency Transactions

The functional currency of the Company and its subsidiaries is the U.S. dollar. Monetary assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies are translated at year end exchange rates, with the resulting foreign exchange gains and losses recognized in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Revenues and expenses in foreign currencies are translated at average exchange rates during the year.

h) Stock Compensation

On January 1, 2003, the Company adopted the fair value recognition provisions of FAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation* (FAS 123), as amended under FAS No. 148, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure* (FAS 148), under the prospective method permitted for stock-based awards granted subsequent to January 1, 2003.

Effective January 1, 2006, the Company adopted the provisions of FAS No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payments* (FAS 123 (R)) using the modified prospective approach. Under both FAS 123 and FAS 123 (R), compensation expense for stock options and for restricted stock awards granted to employees is based on the grant-date fair value and recorded over the requisite service period. However, FAS 123(R) requires an estimate of forfeiture benefits at the time of grant be incorporated into the

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

determination of share-based compensation expense. For awards that have a graded vesting schedule, the Company recognizes compensation expense on a straight-line basis over the vesting period for each separate vesting portion of the award as if the award was, in-substance, multiple awards. The Company has not issued awards subject to performance and market conditions. The adoption of FAS 123 (R) did not have a significant impact on net income and basic and diluted earnings per share for 2006.

The following table illustrates the effect on net income and earnings per share if the Company had applied the fair value recognition provisions to all of its stock-based compensation.

	200	r ended De 5 thousands)		oer 31 2004	
Net income available to common shareholders, as reported	\$	90,061		\$	494,998
Add: Stock based compensation expense included in net income, net of related tax					
effects	21,956			15,008	
Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation determined under fair value					
methods for all awards, net of related tax effects	(22	,671)	(17,	,848
Pro- forma net income available to common shareholders	\$	89,346		\$	492,158
Earnings per common share:					
Basic as reported	\$	0.63		\$	3.24
Basic pro forma	\$	0.62		\$	3.23
Diluted as reported	\$	0.57		\$	2.98
Diluted pro forma	\$	0.57		\$	2.97

i) Derivative Instruments

The Company accounts for its derivative instruments using FAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities (FAS 133). For non-hedging transactions, FAS 133 generally requires an entity to recognize all derivatives as either assets or liabilities in the balance sheet and measure those instruments at fair value with movements in fair value reflected in earnings. The Company may enter into derivative instruments such as futures, options, interest rate swaps and foreign currency forward contracts in order to manage duration and foreign currency exposure, obtain exposure to a particular financial market or for yield enhancement. The Company manages the exposure to these instruments based on guidelines established by management and these derivative instruments are carried at fair value with the corresponding changes in fair value recognized in the Consolidated Statements of Operations in the period that they occur.

Insurance and Reinsurance Derivative Contracts

From time to time the Company enters into insurance and reinsurance contracts that meet the definition of a derivative contract under FAS 133. The Company has recorded these contracts at fair value with any changes in the value reflected in other insurance related income in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Generally, the contracts are modeled on prevailing market conditions and certain other factors relating to the structure of the contracts. The Company s model takes into account movements on

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

credit spreads and credit qualities and when data is not readily available from the market, the Company uses data from independent counterparties.

Investment Related Derivative Instruments

The Company currently uses foreign currency forward contracts in its investment portfolios to minimize the effect of fluctuating foreign currencies and to gain exposure to interest rate differentials between differing market rates. Foreign currency forward contracts purchased are not specifically identifiable against cash, any single security or any groups of securities and, therefore, do not qualify and are not designated as a hedge for financial reporting purposes. Realized and unrealized gains (losses) on foreign currency forward contracts that are purchased as part of the Company s investment strategies are recognized in realized investment gains (losses) in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Foreign Currency Related Derivative Instruments

The Company currently uses foreign currency forward contracts as part of its process to manage foreign currency exposures in the balance sheet. Foreign currency forward contracts are purchased as economic hedges to minimize the effect of fluctuating foreign currencies but are not specifically identifiable against cash, any single security or any groups of securities, insurance and reinsurance premium balances receivable or the reserve for losses and loss expenses and, therefore, do not qualify and are not designated as a hedge for financial reporting purposes. Realized and unrealized gains (losses) on foreign currency forward contracts that are purchased as economic hedges of the Company s net foreign exposure are recognized in foreign exchange losses (gains) in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

j) Intangible Assets

The Company accounts for intangible assets in accordance with FAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*. The Company does not amortize goodwill or identifiable intangible assets with indefinite lives, but rather re-evaluates on a yearly basis, or whenever changes in circumstances warrant, the recoverability of the assets. If it is determined that an impairment exists, the Company adjusts the carrying value of the assets to fair value. In respect of intangible assets with finite lives, the Company amortizes the value of the assets over their useful lives.

The Company has recorded the purchase of numerous U.S. state licenses as intangible assets with indefinite lives as they provide a legal right to transact business indefinitely and could be resold.

k) Taxation

Certain subsidiaries of the Company operate in jurisdictions where they are subject to taxation. Current and deferred income taxes are provided based upon enacted tax laws and rates applicable in the relevant jurisdictions. Deferred income taxes are provided on all temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

A valuation allowance against deferred tax assets is recorded when management s assessment indicates that it is more likely than not that a portion of the deferred tax asset will not be realized in the foreseeable future.

1) Recently Issued Accounting Policies Not Yet Adopted

In July 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes* (FIN 48). This Interpretation prescribes detailed guidance for the financial statement recognition, measurement and disclosure of uncertain tax positions recognized in an enterprise s financial statements in accordance with FASB Statement No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. Tax positions must meet a more-likely-than-not recognition threshold at the effective date to be recognized upon the adoption of FIN 48 and in subsequent periods. FIN 48 will be effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006 and the provisions of FIN 48 will be applied to all tax positions upon initial adoption of the Interpretation. The cumulative effect of applying the provisions of this Interpretation will be reported as an adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings for that fiscal year. The Company will adopt FIN 48 effective January 1, 2007. This interpretation will not have a significant impact on the Company s financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

In September 2006, the FASB issued FAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurement* (FAS 157). This Statement provides guidance for using fair value to measure assets and liabilities. Under this standard, the definition of fair value focuses on the price that would be received to sell the asset or paid to transfer the liability (an exit price), not the price that would be paid to acquire the asset or received to assume the liability (an entry price). FAS 157 clarifies that fair value is a market-based measurement, not an entity-specific measurement, and sets out a fair value hierarchy with the highest priority being quoted prices in active markets and the lowest priority to unobservable data. Further, FAS 157 requires tabular disclosures of the fair value measurements by evel within the fair value hierarchy. FAS 157 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. Early adoption is permitted as of the beginning of a fiscal year. The Company is currently evaluating the potential adoption impact of FAS 157 on the Company s financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

In February 2007, the FASB issued FAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities* (FAS 159). Under this standard, an entity is required to provide additional information that will assist investors and other users of financial information to more easily understand the effect of the company s choice to use fair value on its earnings. Further, the entity is required to display the fair value of those assets and liabilities for which the company has chosen to use fair value on the face of the balance sheet. This standard does not eliminate the disclosure requirements about fair value measurements included in FAS 157 and FAS No. 107, *Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments*. FAS 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and early adoption is permitted as of January 1, 2007, provided that the entity makes that choice in the first quarter of 2007 and also elects to apply the provisions of FAS 157. The Company is currently evaluating the potential adoption impact of FAS 159 on the Company s financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

3. Segment Information

The Company has two underwriting segments: insurance and reinsurance. These have been determined on the basis of underlying information reviewed by the Company s chief decision maker, the Chief Executive Officer.

The Company evaluates the performance of its insurance and reinsurance segments based on underwriting results. The Company does not allocate its assets by segment as it evaluates the underwriting results of each segment separately from the results of the investment portfolio.

Insurance

The Company s insurance segment provides insurance coverage on a worldwide basis and is divided into two sub-segments: global insurance and U.S. insurance.

Global insurance provides specialty lines coverage, predominantly through the London broker network. The product lines in this segment are property, marine, terrorism and war risk, aviation and aerospace, political risk and professional lines and other specialty.

U.S. insurance provides specialty lines coverage through a variety of channels in the U.S. and covers exposures predominantly in the U.S. The product lines in this segment are property, professional lines, liability and other specialty and are offered through wholesale brokers, retail brokers and managing general underwriters.

Reinsurance

The Company s reinsurance segment provides treaty property and casualty reinsurance to insurance companies on a worldwide basis. Treaty reinsurance contracts are contractual arrangements that provide for automatic reinsurance of any agreed upon portion of business written, as specified in a reinsurance contract. Contracts can be written on an excess of loss basis or a pro rata basis, also known as proportional. The product lines in this segment are catastrophe, property, professional liability, credit and bond, motor, liability and other.

3. Segment Information (Continued)

The following tables summarize the underwriting results, income before income taxes and ratios for the Company s reportable operating segments and sub-segments:

	Year ended December 31, 2006							
	Global Insurance (in thousands)	U.S. Insurance	Total Insurance	Reinsurance	Total			
Gross premiums written	\$ 958,251	\$ 1,112,216	\$ 2,070,467	\$ 1,538,569	\$ 3,609,036			
Ceded premiums	(107,718)	(502,350)	(610,068)	(9,789)	(619,857)			
Net premiums written	850,533	609,866	1,460,399	1,528,780	2,989,179			
Gross premiums earned	885,348	1,070,619	1,955,967	1,397,917	3,353,884			
Net premiums earned	746,393	559,367	1,305,760	1,388,510	2,694,270			
Other insurance related income		1,758	1,758	1,135	2,893			
Net losses and loss expenses								