1

HERITAGE COMMERCE CORP Form 10-O August 08, 2012

Table of Contents

# **UNITED STATES** SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

# **FORM 10-Q**

(MARK ONE)

#### ý QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES **EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2012

OR

#### TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES 0 **EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from to Commission file number 000-23877

# **Heritage Commerce Corp**

(Exact name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

California

(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)

150 Almaden Boulevard, San Jose, California

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

(408) 947-6900

(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

N/A

(Former Name, Former Address and Former Fiscal Year, if Changed Since Last Report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. YES ý NO o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). YES ý NO o

77-0469558

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

95113

(Zip Code)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer o	Accelerated filer ý	Non-accelerated filer o	Smaller reporting co	ompany c	)
		(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)			
Indicate by check mark whet	her the registrant is a shell c	company (as defined in Rule 12b-	2 of the Exchange Act).	YES o	NO ý
The Registrant had 26,293,27	77 shares of Common Stock	outstanding on July 30, 2012.			

### HERITAGE COMMERCE CORP QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q TABLE OF CONTENTS

~ .		Page No.
	y Note on Forward-Looking Statements	<u>3</u>
<u>Part I. FII</u>	NANCIAL INFORMATION	
<u>Item 1.</u>	Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited):	<u>5</u>
	Consolidated Balance Sheets	<u>5</u>
	Consolidated Statements of Income	<u>6</u>
	Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income	<u>7</u>
	Consolidated Statement of Changes in Shareholders' Equity	<u>8</u>
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	<u>9</u>
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	<u>10</u>
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	<u>36</u>
<u>Item 3.</u>	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	<u>68</u>
<u>Item 4.</u>	Controls and Procedures	<u>69</u>
PART II.	OTHER INFORMATION	
<u>Item 1.</u>	Legal Proceedings	<u>70</u>
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	<u>70</u>
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	<u>70</u>
<u>Item 3.</u>	Defaults Upon Senior Securities	<u>70</u>
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	<u>70</u>
Item 5.	Other Information	<u>70</u>
<u>Item 6.</u>	<u>Exhibits</u>	<u>71</u>
SIGNATU	JRES	72
EXHIBIT	INDEX	73
	2	_

#### **Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements**

This Report on Form 10-Q contains various statements that may constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and are intended to be covered by the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Any statements about our expectations, beliefs, plans, objectives, assumptions or future events or performance are not historical facts and may be forward-looking. These forward-looking statements often can be, but are not always, identified by the use of words such as "assume," "expect," "intend," "plan," "project," "believe," "estimate," "predict," "anticipate," "may," "might," "should," "could," "goal," "potential" and similar expressions. We base these forward-looking statements on our current expectations and projections about future events, our assumptions regarding these events and our knowledge of facts at the time the statements are made. These statements include statements relating to our projected growth, anticipated future financial performance, and management's long-term performance goals, as well as statements relating to the anticipated effects on results of operations and financial condition.

These forward-looking statements are subject to various risks and uncertainties that may be outside our control and our actual results could differ materially from our projected results. In addition, our past results of operations do not necessarily indicate our future results. The forward-looking statements could be affected by many factors, including but not limited to:

Competition for loans and deposits and failure to attract or retain deposits and loans;

Local, regional, and national economic conditions and events and the impact they may have on us and our customers, and our assessment of that impact on our estimates including, the allowance for loan losses;

Risks associated with concentrations in real estate related loans;

Changes in the level of nonperforming assets and charge-offs and other credit quality measures, and their impact on the adequacy of the Company's allowance for loan losses and the Company's provision for loan losses;

The effects of and changes in trade, monetary and fiscal policies and laws, including the interest rate policies of the Federal Open Market Committee of the Federal Reserve Board;

Stability of funding sources and continued availability of borrowings;

Our ability to raise capital or incur debt on reasonable terms;

Regulatory limits on Heritage Bank of Commerce's ability to pay dividends to the Company;

Continued volatility in credit and equity markets and its effect on the global economy;

The impact of reputational risk on such matters as business generation and retention, funding and liquidity;

Oversupply of inventory and continued deterioration in values of California commercial real estate;

A prolonged slowdown in construction activity;

The effect of changes in laws and regulations (including laws and regulations concerning taxes, banking, securities, and executive compensation) which we must comply, including but not limited to, the Dodd-Frank Act of 2010;

The effects of security breaches and computer viruses that may affect our computer systems;

Changes in consumer spending, borrowings and saving habits;

#### Table of Contents

Changes in the competitive environment among financial or bank holding companies and other financial service providers;

The effect of changes in accounting policies and practices, as may be adopted by the regulatory agencies, as well as the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, the Financial Accounting Standards Board and other accounting standard setters;

The costs and effects of legal and regulatory developments, including resolution of legal proceedings or regulatory or other governmental inquiries, and the results of regulatory examinations or reviews;

The ability to increase market share and control expenses; and

Our success in managing the risks involved in the foregoing items.

We are not able to predict all the factors that may affect future results. You should not place undue reliance on any forward looking statement, which speaks only as of the date of this Report on Form 10-Q. Except as required by applicable laws or regulations, we do not undertake any obligation to update or revise any forward looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

### Part I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

### ITEM 1 CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

### HERITAGE COMMERCE CORP

### **CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Unaudited)**

	June 30, 2012 (Dollars in thousands	December 31, 2011 s, except per share data)			
Assets	(Donars in thousands,	except per share data)			
Cash and due from banks	\$ 21,885	\$ 20,861			
Interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions	¢ 21,005 24,476	¢ 20,001 52,011			
	21,170	52,011			
Total cash and cash equivalents	46,361	72,872			
Securities available-for-sale, at fair value	389,820	380,455			
Loans held-for-sale SBA, at lower of cost or fair value, including deferred costs	2,714	753			
Loans held-for-sale other, at lower of cost or fair value, including deferred costs	177	413			
Loans, including deferred costs	798,106	764,591			
Allowance for loan losses	(20,023)	,			
	(_*,*_*)	(_0,)			
Loans, net	778,083	743,891			
Federal Home Loan Bank and Federal Reserve Bank stock, at cost	10,897	9,925			
Company owned life insurance	47,496	46,388			
Premises and equipment, net	7,740	7,980			
Intangible assets	2,246	2,491			
Accrued interest receivable and other assets	39,168	41,026			
	07,100	,0=0			
Total assets	\$ 1,324,702	\$ 1,306,194			
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity					
Liabilities:					
Deposits:					
Demand, noninterest-bearing	\$ 367,937	\$ 344,303			
Demand, interest-bearing	148,777	134,119			
Savings and money market	290,867	282,478			
Time deposits under \$100	28,009	28,557			
Time deposits \$100 and over	164,056	168,874			
Time deposits CDARS	5,427	6,371			
Time deposits brokered	97,680	84,726			
Total deposits	1,102,753	1,049,428			
Subordinated debt	23,702	23,702			
Accrued interest payable and other liabilities	33,556	35,233			
ση , 11: 1:1:/·	1 1/0 011	1 100 272			
Total liabilities	1,160,011	1,108,363			
Shareholders' equity:					
Preferred stock, no par value; 10,000,000 shares authorized					
Series A fixed rate cumulative preferred stock, 40,000 shares issued and outstanding		20.047			
(liquidation preference of \$40,250) at December 31, 2011		39,846			
Discount on Series A preferred stock		(833)			
Series C convertible perpetual preferred stock, 21,004 shares issued and outstanding at					
June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 (liquidation preference of \$21,004 at June 30, 2012	10 510	10 510			
and December 31, 2011)	19,519	19,519			

Common stock, no par value; 60,000,000 shares authorized; 26,293,277 shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2012 and 26,295,001 shares issued and outstanding at December 31,

2011	131,443	131,172
Retained earnings	10,566	7,172
Accumulated other comprehensive income	3,163	955
Total shareholders' equity	164,691	197,831
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 1,324,702	\$ 1,306,194

See notes to consolidated financial statements

### HERITAGE COMMERCE CORP

### CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended June 30,				Six Months Ended June 30,			
	2012 2011				2012		2011	
	(Dollars	s in t	housands,	exc	ept per sha	re da	ata)	
Interest income:								
Loans, including fees	\$ 10,292	\$	10,685	\$	20,608	\$	21,675	
Securities	2,975		2,278		6,072		4,240	
Interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions	29		52		65		86	
Total interest income	13,296		13,015		26,745		26,001	
Interest expense:								
Deposits	738		1,068		1,454		2,339	
Subordinated debt	472		467		946		932	
Other	2		8		2		62	
	-		0		-		02	
Total interest expense	1,212		1,543		2,402		3,333	
Net interest income before provision for loan losses	12,084		11,472		24,343		22,668	
Provision for loan losses	815		955		915		1,725	
	015		755		715		1,725	
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	11,269		10,517		23,428		20,943	
Noninterest income:								
Service charges and fees on deposit accounts	601		587		1,191		1,154	
Servicing income	447		435		907		846	
Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance	429		419		858		845	
Gain on sale of SBA loans	376		476		412		855	
Gain on sale of securities	32				59			
Other	205		253		386		387	
Total noninterest income	2,090		2,170		3,813		4,087	
Total holinterest income	2,090		2,170		5,015		1,007	
Noninterest expense:								
Salaries and employee benefits	5,377		5,111		11,044		10,504	
Occupancy and equipment	967		1,031		1,963		2,069	
Professional fees	470		456		1,681		1,295	
Software subscriptions	313		274		603		529	
Low income housing investment losses	262		40		531		202	
Data processing	247		198		492		419	
Insurance expense	224		244		447		486	
FDIC deposit insurance premiums	202		383		427		907	
Other real estate owned expense	105		127		220		147	
Other	1,287		1,608		2,902		3,345	
Total noninterest expense	9,454		9,472		20,310		19,903	
Income hefere income taxes	2 005		2 215		6 021		5 107	
Income before income taxes	3,905		3,215		6,931		5,127	
Income tax expense	1,226		1,129		2,177		1,460	
Net income	2,679		2,086		4,754		3,667	
Dividends and discount accretion on preferred stock	20.00		(604)		(1,206)		(1,200)	
							( ,)	

Net income available to common shareholders	\$ 2,679	\$ 1,482	\$ 3,548	\$ 2,467
Earnings per common share:				
Basic	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.08
Diluted	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.08

See notes to consolidated financial statements

### HERITAGE COMMERCE CORP

### CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (Unaudited)

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,				For the Six Months Ended June 30,			
	2012			2011	2012		2011	
				(Dollars in thou	isands)			
Net income	\$	2,679	\$	2,086 \$	4,754	\$	3,667	
Net unrealized holding gains on available-for-sale securities and I/O								
strips		3,398		4,243	3,769		3,876	
Reclassification adjustment for (gains) realized in income		(32)			(59)			
Deferred income taxes		(1,414)		(1,782)	(1,558)		(1,628)	
Change in unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities and I/O								
strips, net of deferred income taxes		1,952		2,461	2,152		2,248	
Net pension and other benefit plan liability adjustment		41		89	97		195	
Deferred income taxes		(17)		(37)	(41)		(82)	
Change in pension and other benefit plan liability, net of deferred								
income taxes		24		52	56		113	
Other comprehensive income		1,976		2,513	2,208		2,361	
		1,970		2,515	2,200		2,501	
Total comprehensive income	\$	4,655	\$	4,599 \$	6,962	\$	6,028	

See notes to consolidated financial statements

### CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY (Unaudited)

					Six M	onths Ended	Ju	ne 30, 201	12 a	nd 2011					
									Accumulated Other						
	Pr	efe	rred Sto	ck		Common	ı St	ock		C		prehensiv	e	Total	
									R	etained	h	ncome / S	Sha	reholders'	
	Shares	Α	mount	D	oiscount	Shares	A	mount	E	arnings		(Loss)	]	Equity	
					(Doll	ars in thousa	nds	, except s	har	e data)					
Balance, January 1, 2011	61,004	\$	59,365	\$	(1,227)	26,233,001	\$	130,531	\$	(1,866)	\$	(4,651)	\$	182,152	
Net income										3,667				3,667	
Net change in unrealized gain/(loss) on securities															
available-for-sale and interest-only strips, net of															
reclassification adjustment and deferred income taxes												2,248		2,248	
Net change in pension and other benefit plan liability,															
net of deferred income taxes												113		113	
Issuance of restricted stock awards						62,000		320						320	
Amortization of restricted stock award, net of forfeitures															
and taxes								(346)						(346)	
Cash dividends accrued on Series A preferred stock										(1,006)				(1,006)	
Accretion of discount on Series A preferred stock					194					(194)					
Stock option expense, net of fortfeitures and taxes								331						331	
Balance, June 30, 2011	61,004	\$	59,365	\$	(1,033)	26,295,001	\$	130,836	\$	601	\$	(2,290)	\$	187,479	
Balance, January 1, 2012	61,004	\$	59,365	\$	(833)	26,295,001	\$	131,172	\$	7,172	\$	955	\$	197,831	
Net income					()	-, -, -, -, -				4,754				4,754	
Net change in unrealized gain/(loss) on securities															
available-for-sale and interest-only strips, net of															
reclassification adjustment and deferred income taxes												2,152		2,152	
Net change in pension and other benefit plan liability,															
net of deferred income taxes												56		56	
Repurchase of Series A preferred stock	(40,000)		(40,000)											(40,000)	
Series A preferred stock capitalized offering costs			154							(154)					
Issuance (forfeitures) of restricted stock awards, net						(4,000)									
Reversal of restricted stock awards due to forfeitures								39						39	
Cash dividends accrued on Series A preferred stock										(373)				(373)	
Accretion of discount on Series A preferred stock					833					(833)					
Stock option expense, net of fortfeitures and taxes								223						223	
Stock options exercised						2,276		9						9	
Poloneo June 20 2012	21.004	¢	10 510	¢		26 202 277	¢	121 442	¢	10.566	¢	2 162	\$	164 601	
Balance, June 30, 2012	21,004	\$	19,519	\$		26,293,277	\$	131,443	\$	10,566	Э	3,163	\$	164,691	

See notes to consolidated financial statements

### HERITAGE COMMERCE CORP

### CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)

		Six Mont June		ided
		2012		2011
		(Dollars in	thous	sands)
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:				
Net income	\$	4,754\$		3,667
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:				
Amortization (accretion) of discounts and premiums on securities		1,071		533
Gain on sale of securities available-for-sale		(59)		(0.5.5)
Gain on sale of SBA loans		(412)		(855)
Proceeds from sale of SBA loans originated for sale		5,785		8,967
Net change in SBA loans originated for sale		(7,334)		(3,019)
Write-downs on other loans held-for-sale		015		29
Provision for loan losses		915		1,725
Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance		(858)		(845)
Depreciation and amortization		385		390
Amortization of intangible assets		245		261
Gains on sale of foreclosed assets, net		(84)		(42)
Stock option expense, net		223		331
Reversal (amortization) of restricted stock awards, net		39		(26)
Effect of changes in:				
Accrued interest receivable and other assets		2,381		891
Accrued interest payable and other liabilities		283		(7,385)
Net cash provided by operating activities		7,334		4,622
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:				
Purchase of securities available-for-sale		(49,688)		(62,605)
Maturities/paydowns/calls of securities available-for-sale		38,472		14,775
Proceeds from sale of securities available-for-sale		2,280		
Net change in other loans transferred to held-for-sale				27
Net change in loans		(37,064)		59,136
Change in Federal Home Loan Bank and Federal Reserve Bank stock		(972)		(743)
Purchase of premises and equipment		(145)		(79)
Proceeds from sale of foreclosed assets		341		2,240
Proceeds from sale of other loans transferred to held-for-sale		220		1,769
Purchases of company owned life insurance		(250)		(249)
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities		(46,806)		14,271
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:				
Net change in deposits		53,325		4,637
Repayment of preferred stock		(40,000)		,
Payment of cash dividends preferred stock		(373)		
Exercise of stock options		9		
Net change in securities sold under agreement to repurchase		_		(5,000)
Net change in short-term borrowings				(2,445)
Net cash provided by financing activities		12,961		(2,808)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents		(26,511)		16,085
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period		72,872		72,177
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$	46,361	\$	88,262
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:				
Interest paid	\$	2,418	\$	5,887
	Ŧ	-,		

Income taxes paid	1,230	320
Supplemental schedule of non-cash investing activity:		
Due from broker for securities sold	\$ (378) \$	
Due to broker for securities purchased	3,330	19,755
Loans transferred to foreclosed assets	1,973	1,071
Cash dividend accrued on Series A preferred stock		1,006

See notes to consolidated financial statements

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### June 30, 2012

#### (Unaudited)

#### 1) Basis of Presentation

The unaudited consolidated financial statements of Heritage Commerce Corp (the "Company" or "HCC") and its wholly owned subsidiary, Heritage Bank of Commerce (sometimes referred to as the "Bank" or "HBC"), have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations for reporting on Form 10-Q. Accordingly, certain information and notes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP") for annual financial statements are not included herein. The interim statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes that were included in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. The Company has also established the following unconsolidated subsidiary grantor trusts: Heritage Capital Trust I; Heritage Statutory Trust I; Heritage Statutory Trust II; and Heritage Commerce Corp Statutory Trust III which are Delaware Statutory business trusts formed for the exclusive purpose of issuing and selling trust preferred securities.

HBC is a commercial bank serving customers located in Santa Clara, Alameda, and Contra Costa counties of California. No customer accounts for more than 10 percent of revenue for HBC or the Company. Management evaluates the Company's performance as a whole and does not allocate resources based on the performance of different lending or transaction activities. Accordingly, the Company and its subsidiary operate as one business segment.

In management's opinion, all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of these consolidated financial statements have been included and are of a normal and recurring nature. All intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ significantly from these estimates.

The results for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2012 are not necessarily indicative of the results expected for any subsequent period or for the entire year ending December 31, 2012.

#### Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications of prior year balances have been made to conform to the current year presentation. These reclassifications had no impact on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or net change in cash and cash equivalents.

#### Adoption of New Accounting Standards

In May 2011, the FASB issued an accounting standards update to improve the comparability between U.S. GAAP fair value accounting and reporting requirements and International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS") fair value accounting and reporting requirements. Additional disclosures required by the update include: (i) disclosure of quantitative information regarding the unobservable inputs used in any fair value measurement classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy in addition to an explanation of the valuation techniques used in valuing Level 3 items and information regarding the

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

June 30, 2012

(Unaudited)

#### 1) Basis of Presentation (Continued)

sensitivity in the valuation of Level 3 items to changes in the values assigned to unobservable inputs; (ii) categorization by level within the fair value hierarchy of items not recognized on the Statement of Financial Position at fair value but for which fair values are required to be disclosed; and (iii) instances where the fair values disclosed for non-financial assets were based on a highest and best use assumption when in fact the assets are not being utilized in that capacity. The amendments in the update are effective for interim and annual periods beginning on or after December 15, 2011. The effect of adopting this standard did not have a material effect on the Company's operating results or financial condition, but the additional disclosures are included in Note 8.

In June 2011, the FASB issued an accounting standards update to increase the prominence of items included in Other Comprehensive Income and facilitate the convergence of U.S. GAAP with IFRS. The update prohibits continued presentation of Other Comprehensive Income in the statement of stockholders' equity. The update requires that all non-owner changes in stockholders' equity be presented in either a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but continuous statements. The amendments in the update are effective for interim and annual periods beginning on or after December 15, 2011. The adoption of this amendment changed the presentation of the statement of comprehensive income for the Company to two consecutive statements, instead of presented as part of the consolidated statements of shareholders' equity.

#### 2) Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per common share is computed by dividing net income, less dividends and discount accretion on preferred stock, by the weighted average common shares outstanding. On June 21, 2010, the Company issued to various institutional investors 21,004 shares of Series C Convertible Perpetual Preferred Stock ("Series C Preferred Stock"). The 21,004 shares of Series C Preferred Stock remain outstanding as of June 30, 2012, and are convertible into 5,601,000 shares of common stock. The Series C Preferred Stock participate in the earnings of the Company and, therefore, the shares issued on the conversion of the Series C Preferred Stock are considered outstanding under the two-class method of computing basic earnings per common share during periods of earnings. Diluted earnings per share reflect potential dilution from outstanding stock options and common stock warrants, using the treasury stock method. The common stock warrant was antidilutive at June 30, 2012 and 2011. A

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### June 30, 2012

### (Unaudited)

### 2) Earnings Per Share (Continued)

reconciliation of these factors used in computing basic and diluted earnings per common share is as follows:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,				For the Six Months Ended June 30,			
		2012		2011	2012		2011	
Net income available to common shareholders	\$	2,679	\$	1,482	\$ 3,548	\$	2,467	
Less: net income allocated to Series C Preferred Stock		470		260	623		434	
Net income allocated to common shareholders	\$	2,209	\$	1,222	\$ 2,925	\$	2,033	
Weighted average common shares outstanding for basic earnings per common share		26,290,480		26,243,334	26,289,907		26,238,168	
Dilutive effect of stock options oustanding, using the the treasury stock method		27,011		3,675	28,058		5,566	
Shares used in computing diluted earnings per common share		26,317,491		26,247,009	26,317,965		26,243,734	
Basic earnings per share	\$	0.08	\$	0.05	\$ 0.11	\$	0.08	
Diluted earnings per share	\$	0.08	\$	0.05	\$ 0.11	\$	0.08	

3) Securities

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of securities at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 were as follows:

June 30, 2012	A	Gross Amortized Unrealize Cost Gains (Dollar		realized	Un I	Gross realized Losses sands)	Е	stimated Fair Value
Securities available-for-sale:								
Agency mortgage-backed securities	\$	314,020	\$	11,906	\$		\$	325,926
Corporate bonds		22,771		508		(58)		23,221
Trust preferred securities		40,758		65		(150)		40,673
Total	\$	377,549	\$	12,479	\$	(208)	\$	389,820

December 31, 2011	А	mortized Cost	Un	Gross realized Gains Dollars in	Unr L	Fross realized osses ands)	 stimated Fair Value
Securities available-for-sale:							
Agency mortgage-backed securities	\$	341,901	\$	8,484	\$	(37)	\$ 350,348
Trust preferred securities		29,947		194		(34)	30,107
Total	\$	371,848	\$	8,678	\$	(71)	\$ 380,455

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

June 30, 2012

(Unaudited)

#### 3) Securities (Continued)

Mortgage-backed securities decreased \$24,422,000 to \$325,926,000 at June 30, 2012, from \$350,348,000 at December 31, 2011. At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, all agency mortgage-backed securities were issued by the Federal National Mortgage Association ("Fannie Mae") the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation ("Freddie Mac"), or the Government National Mortgage Association ("Ginnie Mae"). At June 30, 2012, the investment portfolio included \$23,221,000 of corporate bonds, compared to no corporate bonds in the investment portfolio at December 31, 2011. At June 30, 2012, the Company's investment portfolio included single entity issue trust preferred securities by four issuers with a carrying value of \$40,758,000 and market value of \$40,673,000, compared to a carrying value of \$29,947,000 and a market value of \$30,107,000 of single entity issue trust preferred securities at December 31, 2011. There were no holdings of securities of any one issuer, other than the U.S. Government and its sponsored entities, in an amount greater than 10% of shareholders' equity.

At June 30, 2012, the Company held 181 securities, of which ten had fair values below amortized cost. No securities had been carried with an unrealized loss for over 12 months. Unrealized losses were due to higher interest rates. The issuers are of high credit quality and all principal amounts are expected to be paid when securities mature. The fair value is expected to recover as the securities approach their maturity date and/or market rates decline. The Company does not intend to sell any securities with an unrealized loss and does not believe that it is more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell a security in an unrealized loss position prior to recovery in value. The Company does not consider these securities to be other-than-temporarily impaired at June 30, 2012.

At December 31, 2011, the Company held 165 securities, of which five had fair values below amortized cost. No securities had been carried with an unrealized loss for over 12 months. The Company did not consider these securities to be other-than-temporarily impaired at December 31, 2011.

The amortized cost and estimated fair values of securities as June 30, 2012, by contractual maturity, are shown below. The expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities if borrowers have the right to call or pre-pay obligations with or without call or pre-payment penalties. Securities not due at a single maturity date are shown separately.

		Availa	ble-fo	or-sale
	Amort	ized Cost	Est	imated Fair Value
		(Dollars	in the	ousands)
Due within one year	\$		\$	
Due after one through five years		909		921
Due after five through ten years		21,862		22,300
Due after ten years		40,758		40,673
Agency mortgage-backed securities		314,020		325,926
Total	\$	377,549	\$	389,820
				13

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### June 30, 2012

### (Unaudited)

### 4) Loans

Loans were as follows:

	June 30, 2012		ember 31, 2011
	(Dollars in	n thous	ands)
Loans held-for-investment:			
Commercial	\$ 384,260	\$	366,590
Real estate:			
Commercial and residential	333,048		311,479
Land and construction	19,822		23,016
Home equity	47,813		52,017
Consumer	13,024		11,166
Loans	797,967		764,268
Deferred loan origination costs and fees, net	139		323
Loans, including deferred costs	798,106		764,591
Allowance for loan losses	(20,023)		(20,700)
Loans, net	\$ 778,083	\$	743,891

Changes in the allowance for loan losses were as follows:

		Thr	ee M	onths Ende	l Jui	ne 30, 2012	
	Сог	nmercial	<b>Real Estate</b>		Co	onsumer	Total
			( <b>I</b>	Oollars in the	ousai	nds)	
Balance, beginning of period	\$	13,734	\$	6,409	\$	163	\$ 20,306
Charge-offs		(1, 280)		(101)			(1,381)
Recoveries		60		223			283
Net (charge-offs)/recoveries		(1,220)		122			(1,098)
Provision/(credit) for loan losses		864		8		(57)	815
Balance, end of period	\$	13,378	\$	6,539	\$	106	\$ 20,023

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2011											
	Commerc							Total				
			(D	ollars in the	ousan	ds)						
Balance, beginning of period	\$	13,594	\$	9,539	\$	876	\$	24,009				
Charge-offs		(1,681)		(601)		(8)		(2,290)				
Recoveries		91		401		1		493				

	U	0			
Net (charge-offs)/recoveries		(1,590)	(200)	(7)	(1,797)
Provision/(credit) for loan losses		1,988	(1,177)	144	955
Balance, end of period	\$	13,992 \$	8,162 \$	1,013 \$	23,167
			14		

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### June 30, 2012

### (Unaudited)

### 4) Loans (Continued)

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2012											
	Con	nmercial	Rea	al Estate	Сог	nsumer		Total				
			( <b>D</b>	ollars in th	ousan	ds)						
Balance, beginning of period	\$	13,215	\$	7,338	\$	147	\$	20,700				
Charge-offs		(2,190)		(146)				(2,336)				
Recoveries		521		223				744				
Net (charge-offs)/recoveries		(1,669)		77				(1,592)				
Provision/(credit) for loan losses		1,832		(876)		(41)		915				
Balance, end of period	\$	13,378	\$	6,539	\$	106	\$	20,023				

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2011											
	Commercial			al Estate	nsumer		Total					
			(D	Ollars in the	ousar	ıds)						
Balance, beginning of period	\$	13,952	\$	10,363	\$	889	\$	25,204				
Charge-offs		(2,800)		(1,596)		(8)		(4,404)				
Recoveries		230		411		1		642				
Net (charge-offs)/recoveries		(2,570)		(1,185)		(7)		(3,762)				
Provision/(credit) for loan losses		2,610		(1,016)		131		1,725				
Balance, end of period	\$	13.992	\$	8.162	\$	1.013	\$	23.167				
		,		, -		,		,				
				1	5							
				1	5							

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### June 30, 2012

#### (Unaudited)

#### 4) Loans (Continued)

The following table presents the balance in the allowance for loan losses and the recorded investment in loans by portfolio segment, based on the impairment method as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

			June 30,	2012	2		
Co	mmercial	R	eal Estate	Co	onsumer		Total
		(	Dollars in th	iousa	nds)		
\$	1,944	\$	82	\$	18	\$	2,044
	11,434		6,457		88		17,979
\$	13,378	\$	6,539	\$	106	\$	20,023
\$	11,121	\$	3,690	\$	160	\$	14,971
	373,139		396,993		12,864		782,996
\$	384,260	\$	400,683	\$	13,024	\$	797,967
	\$	11,434 \$ 13,378 \$ 11,121 373,139	\$ 1,944 \$ 11,434 \$ 13,378 \$ \$ 11,121 \$ 373,139	Commercial Real Estate (Dollars in the \$ 1,944 \$ 82 11,434 \$ 82 11,434 \$ 6,457 \$ 13,378 \$ 6,539 \$ 11,121 \$ 3,690 373,139 396,993	Commercial Real Estate (Dollars in thousand) Commercial (Dollars in thousand)   \$ 1,944 \$ 82 \$   \$ 1,434 6,457 \$   \$ 13,378 \$ 6,539 \$   \$ 11,121 \$ 3,690 \$   \$ 373,139 396,993 \$	Image: state of the state	Commercial Real Estate Consumer (Dollars in thousands)   \$ 1,944 \$ 82 \$ 18 \$   \$ 1,944 \$ 82 \$ 18 \$   \$ 11,434 6,457 88 \$ \$   \$ 13,378 \$ 6,539 \$ 106 \$   \$ 11,121 \$ 3,690 \$ 160 \$   \$ 11,121 \$ 3,690 \$ 160 \$

	December 31, 2011										
	Co	mmercial	R	eal Estate	Co	onsumer		Total			
			(	Dollars in tl	iousa	ands)					
Allowance for loan losses:											
Ending allowance balance attributable to loans:											
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$	2,249	\$	76	\$	2	\$	2,327			
Collectively evaluated for impairment		10,966		7,262		145		18,373			
Total ending allowance balance	\$	13,215	\$	7,338	\$	147	\$	20,700			
Loans:											
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$	11,954	\$	5,948	\$	12	\$	17,914			
Collectively evaluated for impairment		354,636		380,564		11,154		746,354			
· · ·											
Total ending loan balance	\$	366,590	\$	386,512	\$	11,166	\$	764,268			

The following table presents loans held-for-investment individually evaluated for impairment by class of loans as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011. The recorded investment included in the

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### June 30, 2012

### (Unaudited)

### 4) Loans (Continued)

following table represents loan principal net of any partial charge-offs recognized on the loans. The unpaid principal balance represents the recorded balance prior to any partial charge-offs.

	June 30, 2012							De	)11 Allowance		
	P	Jnpaid rincipal salance		Recorded Investment		owance r Loan Losses located ollars in	Unpaid Principal Balance thousands)		 ecorded vestment	fo L	owance r Loan losses located
With no related allowance					Ì						
recorded:											
Commercial	\$	7,421	\$	6,470	\$		\$	7,644	\$ 5,972	\$	
Real estate:											
Commercial and residential		1,092		1,092				2,916	2,057		
Land and construction		2,197		2,197				3,491	3,039		
Consumer											
Total with no related allowance											
recorded		10,710		9,759				14,051	11,068		
With an allowance recorded:											
Commercial		4,651		4,651		1,944		6,526	5,982		2,249
Real estate:											
Commercial and residential		3		3		1		80	80		44
Land and construction								817	740		32
Home Equity		398		398		81		32	32		
Consumer		160		160		18		12	12		2
Total with an allowance recorded		5,212		5,212		2,044		7,467	6,846		2,327
Total	\$	15,922	\$	14,971	\$	2,044	\$	21,518	\$ 17,914	\$	2,327

The following tables present interest recognized and cash-basis interest earned on impaired loans for the periods indicated:

				Three M	onth	s Ended Ju	ine	30, 20	)12			
				R	eal I	Estate						
	Сог	Commercial and Land and Home Commercial Residential Construction Equity C							Consu	mer		Total
	(Dollars in thousands)											
Average of impaired loans during												
the period	\$	11,034	\$	2,252	\$	2,210	\$	199	\$	86	\$	15,781
Interest income during impairment	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	
Cash-basis interest earned	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	
				17								

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### June 30, 2012

(Unaudited)

### 4) Loans (Continued)

				Three M	onth	s Ended J	ine	30, 20	011			
				R	eal E	state						
			Со	nmercial	T							
	Cor	nmercial	Re	and sidential		and and struction		ome quity	Con	sumer		Total
				(Ľ	Dollars in thous		ands)					
Average of impaired loans during												
the period	\$	13,146	\$	2,780	\$	7,306	\$	141	\$	938	\$	24,311
Interact in some during impointant	<b></b>		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	
Interest income during impairment	\$		φ		φ		ψ		ψ		Ψ	

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2012											
				R	eal l	Estate						
	Сог	nmercial		mmercial and sidential	Co	and and nstruction	E		Cons	sumer		Total
Average of impaired loans during				(1	ona	rs in thous	апо	s)				
the period	\$	11,341	\$	2,214	\$	2,733	\$	143	\$	61	\$	16,492
Interest income during impairment	\$		\$	1	\$	14	\$		\$		\$	15
Cash-basis interest earned	\$		\$	1	\$	14	\$		\$		\$	15

					ntns 1 eal E	Ended Jur	1e 3	), 201	1				
	Сог	Commercial and Land and Home Commercial Residential Construction Equity							Consumer			Total	
				(D	ollar	s in thous	and	5)					
Average of impaired loans during													
the period	\$	13,555	\$	4,248	\$	7,823	\$	94	\$	925	\$	26,645	
Interest income during impairment	\$	1	\$		\$		\$	1	\$		\$	2	
Cash-basis interest earned	\$		\$		\$		\$	1	\$		\$	1	

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### June 30, 2012

### (Unaudited)

### 4) Loans (Continued)

Nonperforming loans include both smaller dollar balance homogenous loans that are collectively evaluated for impairment and individually classified loans. Nonperforming loans were as follows at period-end:

		June		Dece	ember 31,							
		2012		2011		2011						
		(Dollars in thousands)										
Nonaccrual loans held-for-sale	\$	177	\$	202	\$	186						
Nonaccrual loans held-for-investment		12,890		21,607		14,353						
Restructured and loans over 90 days past due and still accruing		1,665	1,073		2,291							
Total nonperforming loans	\$	14,732	\$	22,882	\$	16,830						
Other restructured loans	\$	416	\$	1,375	\$	1,270						
Impaired loans, excluding loans held-for-sale	\$	14,971	\$	24,055	\$	17,914						
	ст	20.20	10	1.D	1 21	0011						

The following table presents the nonperforming loans by class as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

	No	naccrual	Res Lo 9 Pas	e 30, 2012 structured and ans Over 00 Days st Due and I Accruing	Л	Total		naccrual	Re La Pa	aber 31, 2011 structured and pans Over 90 Days st Due and II Accruing		Total
Commercial	\$	9,040	\$	1,665		Dollars in 10,705		8,876	\$	1,803	\$	10,679
Real estate:	Ψ	2,010	Ψ	1,005	Ψ	10,705	Ψ	0,070	Ψ	1,005	Ψ	10,077
Commercial and												
residential		1,104				1,104		2,137				2,137
Land and construction		2,365				2,365		3,514		456		3,970
Home equity		398				398				32		32
Consumer		160				160		12				12
Total	\$	13,067	\$	1,665	\$	14,732	\$	14,539	\$	2,291	\$	16,830

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### June 30, 2012

#### (Unaudited)

### 4) Loans (Continued)

The following table presents the aging of past due loans as of June 30, 2012 by class of loans:

	June 30, 2012 30 - 59 60 - 89 90 Days or Days Days Greater Total Past Due Past Due Past Due						oans Not Past Due	Total	
				(	(Dollars i	n th	ousands)		
Commercial	\$ 1,718	\$	2,794	\$	2,189	\$	6,701	\$ 377,559	\$ 384,260
Real estate:									
Commercial and									
residential	1,164		1,369		101		2,634	330,414	333,048
Land and construction	2,472						2,472	17,350	19,822
Home equity								47,813	47,813
Consumer								13,024	13,024
Total	\$ 5,354	\$	4,163	\$	2,290	\$	11,807	\$ 786,160	\$ 797,967

The following table presents the aging of past due loans as of December 31, 2011 by class of loans:

	]	0 - 59 Days ist Due	60 - 89 90 Days or Days Greater Total				Greater Total Loans Not Past Due Past Due Past Due					
						(Dollars i	n tl	nousands)				
Commercial	\$	1,999	\$	508	\$	3,394	\$	5,901	\$	360,689	\$	366,590
Real estate:												
Commercial and												
residential		2,293						2,293		309,186		311,479
Land and construction						1,532		1,532		21,484		23,016
Home equity		753				32		785		51,232		52,017
Consumer										11,166		11,166
Total	\$	5,045	\$	508	\$	4,958	\$	10,511	\$	753,757	\$	764,268

Past due loans 30 days or greater totaled \$11,807,000 and \$10,511,000 at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, of which \$5,353,000 and \$6,312,000 were on nonaccrual. At June 30, 2012, there were also \$7,537,000 loans less than 30 days past due included in nonaccrual loans held-for-investment. At December 31, 2011, there were also \$8,041,000 loans less than 30 days past due included in nonaccrual loans held-for-investment. Management's classification of a loan as "nonaccrual" is an indication that there is reasonable doubt as to the full recovery of principal or interest on the loan. At that point, the Company stops accruing interest income, and reverses any uncollected interest that had been accrued as income. The Company begins recognizing interest income only as cash interest payments are received and it has been determined the collection of all outstanding principal is not in doubt. The loans may or may not be collateralized, and collection efforts are pursued.

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

June 30, 2012

(Unaudited)

#### 4) Loans (Continued)

#### Credit Quality Indicators

Concentrations of credit risk arise when a number of clients are engaged in similar business activities, or activities in the same geographic region, or have similar features that would cause their ability to meet contractual obligations to be similarly affected by changes in economic conditions. The Company's loan portfolio is concentrated in commercial (primarily manufacturing, wholesale, and service) and real estate lending, with the balance in consumer loans. While no specific industry concentration is considered significant, the Company's lending operations are located in the Company's market areas that are dependent on the technology and real estate industries and their supporting companies. Thus, the Company's borrowers could be adversely impacted by a continued downturn in these sectors of the economy which could reduce the demand for loans and adversely impact the borrowers' ability to repay their loans.

The Company categorizes loans into risk categories based on relevant information about the ability of borrowers to service their debt such as: current financial information, historical payment experience, credit documentation, public information, and current economic trends, among other factors. The Company analyzes loans individually by classifying the loans as to credit risk. This analysis is performed on a quarterly basis. Nonclassified loans generally include those loans that are expected to be repaid in accordance with contractual loans terms. Classified loans are those loans that are assigned a substandard, substandard-nonaccrual, or doubtful risk rating using the following definitions:

*Substandard.* Loans classified as substandard are inadequately protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Loans so classified have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. They are characterized by the distinct possibility that the institution will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected.

*Substandard-Nonaccrual.* Loans classified as substandard-nonaccrual are inadequately protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Loans so classified have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. They are characterized by the distinct possibility that the institution will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected. In addition, the Company no longer accrues interest on the loan because of the underlying weaknesses.

*Doubtful.* Loans classified as doubtful have all the weaknesses inherent in those classified as substandard, with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions, and values, highly questionable and improbable.

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### June 30, 2012

#### (Unaudited)

#### 4) Loans (Continued)

The following table provides a summary of the loan portfolio by loan type and credit quality classification at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

	June 30, 2012						December 31, 2011							
	No	nclassified	С	lassified		Total	No	nclassified	C	lassified		Total		
					(	Dollars in	thou	isands)						
Commercial	\$	353,651	\$	30,609	\$	384,260	\$	333,506	\$	33,084	\$	366,590		
Real estate:														
Commercial and														
residential		317,845		15,203		333,048		294,653		16,826		311,479		
Land and construction		13,832		5,990		19,822		15,343		7,673		23,016		
Home equity		47,168		645		47,813		51,368		649		52,017		
Consumer		12,635		389		13,024		10,853		313		11,166		
Total	\$	745,131	\$	52,836	\$	797,967	\$	705,723	\$	58,545	\$	764,268		

In order to determine whether a borrower is experiencing financial difficulty, an evaluation is performed of the probability that the borrower will be in payment default on any of its debt in the foreseeable future without the modification. This evaluation is performed under the Company's underwriting policy.

During the three months and six months ended June 30, 2012, the terms of certain loans were modified as troubled debt restructurings. The modification of the terms of such loans included one or more combination of the following: a reduction of the stated interest rate of the loan; or an extension of maturity date at a stated rate of interest lower than the current market rate for new debt with similar risk.

The recorded investment of troubled debt restructurings at June 30, 2012 was \$5,240,000, which included \$3,163,000 of nonaccrual loans and \$2,077,000 of accruing loans. The book balance of troubled debt restructurings at December 31, 2011 was \$7,396,000, which included \$4,323,000 of nonaccrual loans and \$3,073,000 of accruing loans. Approximately \$849,000 and \$574,000 in specific reserves were established with respect to these loans as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the Company had no additional amounts committed on any loan classified as a troubled debt restructuring.

The following table presents loans by class modified as troubled debt restructurings during the three month period ended June 30, 2012:

	During the Three Months Ended June 30, 2012										
Troubled Debt Restructurings:	Number of Contracts	Pre-modifi Outstand Record Investm	ding led	Post-modification Outstanding Recorded Investment	1						
		(Dollars in	n thousand	ls)							
Consumer	1	\$	117	\$ 11	17						
			22								

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### June 30, 2012

#### (Unaudited)

#### 4) Loans (Continued)

The troubled debt restructurings described above increased the allowance for loan losses by \$13,000 through the allocation of specific reserves, and resulted in no net charge-offs during the three months period ended June 30, 2012.

The following table presents loans by class modified as troubled debt restructurings during the six month period ended June 30, 2012:

Troubled Debt Restructurings:	) Number of Contracts	During the Six M June 30, Pre-modificat Outstandin Recorded Investmen	2012 tion g	s Ended Post-modi Outstan Recor Investi	nding ded
		(Dollars in th	iousar	nds)	
Commercial	1	\$	112	\$	112
Consumer	1		117		117
Total	2	\$	229	\$	229

The troubled debt restructurings described above increased the allowance for loan losses by \$44,000 through the allocation of specific reserves, and resulted in no net charge-offs during the six months period ended June 30, 2012.

A loan is considered to be in payment default when it is 30 days contractually past due under the modified terms. There were no defaults on troubled debt restructurings, within twelve months following the modification, during the three month and six month periods ended June 30, 2012.

#### 5) Income Taxes

Some items of income and expense are recognized in different years for tax purposes than when applying generally accepted accounting principles, leading to timing differences between the Company's actual tax liability and the amount accrued for this liability based on book income. These temporary differences comprise the "deferred" portion of the Company's tax expense or benefit, which is accumulated on the Company's books as a deferred tax asset or deferred tax liability until such time as they reverse.

Realization of the Company's deferred tax assets is primarily dependent upon the Company generating sufficient taxable income to obtain benefit from the reversal of net deductible temporary differences and utilization of tax credit carryforwards and the net operating loss carryforwards for Federal and California state income tax purposes. The amount of deferred tax assets considered realizable is subject to adjustment in future periods based on estimates of future taxable income. Under generally accepted accounting principles, a valuation allowance is required to be recognized if it is "more likely than not" that a deferred tax asset will not be realized. The determination of the realizability of the deferred tax assets is highly subjective and dependent upon judgment concerning management's evaluation of both positive and negative evidence, including forecasts of future income, cumulative losses, applicable tax planning strategies, and assessments of current and future economic and business conditions.

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### June 30, 2012

#### (Unaudited)

#### 5) Income Taxes (Continued)

The Company had net deferred tax assets of \$19,522,000, and \$21,870,000, at June 30, 2012, and December 31, 2011, respectively. After consideration of the matters in the preceding paragraph, the Company determined that it is more likely than not that the net deferred tax asset at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 will be fully realized in future years.

### 6) Benefit Plans

#### Supplemental Retirement Plan

The Company has a supplemental retirement plan (the "Plan") covering current and former key executives and directors. The Plan is a nonqualified defined benefit plan. Benefits are unsecured as there are no Plan assets. The following table presents the amount of periodic cost recognized for the periods indicated:

	]	Three Mor June	nded		nded				
	2	2012	2011		2012		2011		
			(D	ollars in tl	thousands)				
Components of net periodic benefit cost:									
Service cost	\$	294	\$	236	\$	588	\$	472	
Interest cost		193		206		386		412	
Amortization of prior service cost		7		9		14		18	
Amortization of net actuarial loss		63		31		126		62	
Net periodic benefit cost	\$	557	\$	482	\$	1,114	\$	964	

#### Split-Dollar Life Insurance Benefit Plan

The Company maintains life insurance policies for current and former directors and officers that are subject to split-dollar life insurance agreements. The following table sets forth the funded status of the split-dollar life insurance benefits for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and the year ended December 31, 2011:

	-	ine 30, 2012	De	ecember 31, 2011				
	(Dollars in thousands)							
Change in projected benefit obligation								
Projected benefit obligation at beginning of year	\$	4,525	\$	6,361				
Interest cost		93		306				
Actuarial gain (loss)		(11)		831				
Amendments to split dollar agreements				(2,973)				
Projected benefit obligation at end of period	\$	4,607	\$	4,525				
		24	ł					

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### June 30, 2012

#### (Unaudited)

#### 6) Benefit Plans (Continued)

Amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 consist of the following:

	-	June 30, December 3 2012 2011				
		(Dollars	lars in thousands)			
Net actuarial gain	\$	525	\$	454		
Prior transition obligation		1,730		1,776		
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	\$	2,255	\$	2,230		

#### 7) Preferred Stock

#### Series A Preferred Stock

On November 21, 2008, the Company issued 40,000 shares of Series A Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock ("Series A Preferred Stock") to the U.S. Treasury under the terms of the U.S. Treasury Capital Purchase Program for \$40,000,000 with a liquidation preference of \$1,000 per share. On March 7, 2012, in accordance with approvals received from the U.S. Treasury and the Federal Reserve Board, the Company repurchased all of the Series A Preferred Stock and paid all of the related accrued and unpaid dividends. HCC used available cash and proceeds from a \$30,000,000 distribution approved by the California Department of Financial Institutions from HBC to HCC. The repurchase of the Series A Preferred Stock, including accelerated accretion of approximately \$765,000, reduced net income available to common shareholders by \$1,206,000 in the first quarter of 2012. The Company did not repurchase the related warrant that was issued to the U.S. Treasury, and the warrant remains outstanding as of the date of this report.

#### Series C Preferred Stock

On June 21, 2010, the Company issued to various institutional investors 21,004 shares of Series C Convertible Perpetual Preferred Stock ("Series C Preferred Stock"). The Series C Preferred Stock is mandatorily convertible into common stock at a conversion price of \$3.75 per share upon a subsequent transfer of the Series C Preferred Stock to third parties not affiliated with the holder in a widely dispersed offering. The Series C Preferred Stock remains outstanding until it has been converted into common stock in accordance with its terms. The Series C Preferred Stock is non-voting except in the case of certain transactions that would affect the rights of the holders of the Series C Preferred Stock or applicable law. Holders of Series C Preferred Stock will receive dividends if and only to the extent dividends are paid to holders of common stock. The Series C Preferred Stock is not redeemable by the Company or by the holders and has a liquidation preference of \$1,000 per share. The Series C Preferred Stock ranks senior to the Company's common stock.

### 8) Fair Value

Fair value is the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### June 30, 2012

#### (Unaudited)

#### 8) Fair Value (Continued)

transaction between market participants on the measurement date. There are three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair values:

Level 1: Quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets that the entity has the ability to access as of the measurement date.

Level 2: Significant other observable inputs other than Level 1 prices such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data (for example, interest rates and yield curves observable at commonly quoted intervals, prepayment speeds, credit risks, and default rates).

Level 3: Significant unobservable inputs that reflect a reporting entity's own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability.

#### Financial Assets and Liabilities Measured on a Recurring Basis

The fair values of securities available for sale are determined by obtaining quoted prices on nationally recognized securities exchanges (Level 1 inputs) or matrix pricing, which is a mathematical technique widely used in the industry to value debt securities without relying exclusively on quoted prices for the specific securities, but rather by relying on the securities' relationship to other benchmark quoted securities (Level 2 inputs).

The fair value of interest-only ("I/O") strip receivable assets is based on a valuation model used by a third party. The Company is able to compare the valuation model inputs and results to widely available published industry data for reasonableness (Level 2 inputs).

	]	Balance	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Si O (	asurements ignificant Other bservable Inputs (Level 2)	Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
			(Dollars in th	iousa	nds)	
Assets at June 30, 2012:						
Available-for-sale securities:						
Agency mortgage-backed securities	\$	325,926		\$	325,926	
Corporate bonds		23,221			23,221	
Trust preferred securities		40,673			40,673	
I/O strip receivables		2,140			2,140	
Assets at December 31, 2011:						
Available-for-sale securities:						
Agency mortgage-backed securities	\$	350,348	\$	\$	350,348	
Trust preferred securities		30,107			30,107	
I/O strip receivables		2,094			2,094	
			26			

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

June 30, 2012

(Unaudited)

#### 8) Fair Value (Continued)

There were no transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 during the period for assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis.

#### Assets and Liabilities Measured on a Non-Recurring Basis

The fair value of loans held-for-sale is generally based on obtaining bids and broker indications on the estimated value of these loans held-for-sale, resulting in a Level 2 classification.

At the time a loan is considered impaired, it is valued at the lower of cost or fair value. Impaired loans carried at fair value generally receive specific allocations of the allowance for loan losses. For collateral dependent loans, fair value is commonly based on recent real estate appraisals. These appraisals may utilize a single valuation approach or a combination of approaches including comparable sales and the income approach. Adjustments are routinely made in the appraisal process by the independent appraisers to adjust for differences between the comparable sales and income data available. Such adjustments are usually significant and typically result in a Level 3 classification of the inputs for determining fair value. Non-real estate collateral may be valued using an appraisal, net book value per the borrower's financial statements, or aging reports, adjusted or discounted based on management's historical knowledge, changes in market conditions from the time of the valuation, and management's expertise and knowledge of the client and client's business, resulting in a Level 3 fair value classification. Impaired loans are evaluated on a quarterly basis for additional impairment and adjusted accordingly.

Assets acquired through or instead of loan foreclosure are initially recorded at fair value less costs to sell when acquired, establishing a new cost basis. These assets are subsequently accounted for at lower of cost or fair value less estimated costs to sell. Fair value is commonly based on recent real estate appraisals. These appraisals may utilize a single valuation approach or a combination of approaches including comparable sales and the income approach. Adjustments are routinely made in the appraisal process by the independent appraisers to adjust for differences between the comparable sales and income data available. Such adjustments are usually significant and typically result in a Level 3 classification of the inputs for determining fair value.

### HERITAGE COMMERCE CORP

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### June 30, 2012

#### (Unaudited)

8) Fair Value (Continued)

	Balance		Fair Value Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	or Observabl		Significant	
			(Dollars in t	s in thousands)			
Assets at June 30, 2012:					-,		
Impaired loans held-for-sale other:							
Real estate:							
Land and construction	\$	177		\$	177		
Land and construction	Ψ	1//		Ψ	1//		
Impoined loops, hold for investment							
Impaired loans held-for-investment: Commercial	\$	4,873				\$	4,873
Real estate:	φ	4,875				φ	4,075
Commercial and residential		906					906
Land and construction		900 1,697					900 1,697
Home equity		317					317
Consumer		142					142
Consumer		142					142
	\$	7,935				\$	7,935
Foreclosed assets:							
Commercial and residential	\$	183				\$	183
Land and construction		2,915					2,915
		,					,
	\$	3,098				\$	3,098
	ψ	3,090				Ψ	5,090
A							
Assets at December 31, 2011:							
Impaired loans held-for-sale other:							
Real estate:	¢	106		¢	106		
Land and construction	\$	186		\$	186		
Impaired loans held-for-investment:							
Commercial	\$	6,526				\$	6,526
Real estate:							
Commercial and residential		1,794					1,794
Land and construction		1,590					1,590
Home equity		32					32
Consumer		10					10
	\$	9,952				\$	9,952
Foreclosed assets:							
Commercial and residential	\$	156				\$	156
Land and construction		2,156					2,156
		,					,

\$ 2,312 \$ 2,312

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### June 30, 2012

#### (Unaudited)

#### 8) Fair Value (Continued)

The following table shows the detail of the impaired loans held-for-investment and the impaired loans held-for-investment carried at fair value for the periods indicated:

	J	une 30, 2012	De	cember 31, 2011			
	(Dollars in thousands)						
Impaired loans held-for-investment:							
Book value of impaired loans held-for-investment carried at fair value	\$	9,786	\$	12,279			
Book value of impaired loans held-for-investment carried at cost		4,796		5,635			
Total impaired loans held-for-investment	\$	14,582	\$	17,914			
Impaired loans held-for-investment carried at fair value:							
Book value of impaired loans held-for-investment carried at fair value	\$	9,786	\$	12,279			
Specific valuation allowance		(1,851)		(2,327)			
Impaired loans held-for-investment carried at fair value, net	\$	7,935	\$	9,952			

Impaired loans held-for-investment which are measured primarily for impairment using the fair value of the collateral were \$14,582,000 at June 30, 2012, after partial charge-offs of \$951,000 in the first six months of 2012. In addition, these loans had a specific valuation allowance of \$1,851,000 at June 30, 2012. Impaired loans held-for-investment totaling \$9,786,000 at June 30, 2012 were carried at fair value as a result of the aforementioned partial charge-offs and specific valuation allowances at period-end. The remaining \$4,796,000 of impaired loans were carried at cost at June 30, 2012, as the fair value of the collateral exceeded the cost basis of each respective loan. Partial charge-offs and changes in specific valuation allowances of 2012 on impaired loans held-for-investment carried at fair value at June 30, 2012 resulted in an additional provision for loan losses of \$1,024,000.

Foreclosed assets measured at fair value less costs to sell, had a net carrying amount of \$3,098,000, which is made up of the outstanding balance of \$3,098,000, with no valuation allowance at June 30, 2012.

Impaired loans held-for-investment which are measured primarily for impairment using the fair value of the collateral were \$17,914,000 at December 31, 2011, after partial charge-offs of \$3,604,000 in 2011. In addition, these loans had a specific valuation allowance of \$2,327,000 at December 31, 2011. Impaired loans held-for-investment totaling \$12,279,000 at December 31, 2011 were carried at fair value as a result of the aforementioned partial charge-offs and specific valuation allowances at year-end. The remaining \$5,635,000 of impaired loans were carried at cost at December 31, 2011, as the fair value of the collateral exceeded the cost basis of each respective loan. Partial charge-offs and changes in specific valuation allowances held-for-investment carried at fair value at December 31, 2011 resulted in an additional provision for loan losses of \$2,916,000.

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### June 30, 2012

#### (Unaudited)

#### 8) Fair Value (Continued)

At December 31, 2011, foreclosed assets had a carrying amount of \$2,312,000, with no valuation allowance at December 31, 2011.

The following table presents quantitative information about level 3 fair value measurements for financial instruments measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis at June 30, 2012:

	Fair Value			Unobservable Inputs (Dollars in thousands)	Range (Weighted Average)
Impaired loans held-for-investment:					
Commercial	\$	4,873	Market Approach	Discount adjustment for differences between comparable sales	2% to 34% (17%)
Real estate:					
Commercial and residential		906	Market Approach	Discount adjustment for differences between comparable sales	2% to 7% (5%)
Land and construction		1,697	Market Approach	Discount adjustment for differences between comparable sales	1% to 36% (12%)
Foreclosed assets:					
Land and construction		2,915	Market Approach	Discount adjustment for differences between comparable sales	0% to 23% (6%)

The Company obtains third party appraisals on its impaired loans held-for-investment and foreclosed assets to determine fair value. Generally, the third party appraisals apply the "market approach," which is a valuation technique that uses prices and other relevant information generated by market transactions involving identical or comparable (that is, similar) assets, liabilities, or a group of assets and liabilities, such as a business. Adjustments are then made based on the type of property, age of appraisal, current status of property and other related factors to estimate the current value of collateral.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## June 30, 2012

## (Unaudited)

## 8) Fair Value (Continued)

The carrying amounts and estimated fair values of financial instruments at June 30, 2012 are as follows:

	Carrying Amounts	Ă	ooted Prices in ctive Markets for entical Assets (Level 1)	Si	stimated F gnificant Other bservable Inputs Level 2)	Si Unc	falue gnificant observable Inputs Level 3)	Total
			(Doll	ars	in thousand	ls)		
Assets:								
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 46,361	\$	46,361	\$		\$		\$ 46,361
Securities available-for-sale	389,820				389,820			389,820
Loans (including loans held-for-sale),								
net	780,974				2,891		777,736	780,627
FHLB and FRB stock	10,897							N/A
Accrued interest receivable	3,877				3,877			3,877
Loan servicing rights and I/O strips								
receivables	2,920				5,228			5,228
Liabilities:								
Time deposits	\$ 295,172	\$		\$	296,090	\$		\$ 296,090
Other deposits	807,581				807,581			807,581
Subordinated debt	23,702						19,310	19,310
Accrued interest payable	768				768			768

The carrying amounts and estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments at December 31, 2011:

		Carrying Amounts		stimated air Value				
	(Dollars in thousands)							
Assets:								
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	72,872	\$	72,872				
Securities available-for-sale		380,455		380,455				
Loans (including loans held-for-sale), net		745,057		745,421				
FHLB and FRB stock		9,925		N/A				
Accrued interest receivable		3,719		3,719				
Loan servicing rights and I/O strips receivables		2,886		5,261				
Liabilities:								
Time deposits	\$	288,528	\$	289,512				
Other deposits		760,900		760,900				
Subordinated debt		23,702		15,950				
Accrued interest payable		784		784				
		31						

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

June 30, 2012

(Unaudited)

#### 8) Fair Value (Continued)

The methods and assumptions, not previously discussed, used to estimate the fair value are described as follows:

#### Cash and Cash Equivalents

The carrying amounts of cash on hand, noninterest and interest bearing due from bank accounts, and fed funds sold approximate fair values and are classified as Level 1.

#### Loans

The fair value of loans held-for-sale is estimated based upon binding contracts and quotes from third party investors resulting in a Level 2 classification.

Fair values of loans, excluding loans held for sale, are estimated as follows: For variable rate loans that reprice frequently and with no significant change in credit risk, fair values are based on carrying values resulting in a Level 3 classification. Fair values for other loans are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses, using interest rates currently being offered for loans with similar terms to borrowers of similar credit quality resulting in a Level 3 classification. Impaired loans are valued at the lower of cost or fair value as described previously. The methods utilized to estimate the fair value of loans do not necessarily represent an exit price.

### FHLB and FRB Stock

It was not practical to determine the fair value of FHLB and FRB stock due to restrictions placed on its transferability.

### Accrued Interest Receivable/Payable

The carrying amounts of accrued interest approximate fair value resulting in a Level 2 classification.

#### Deposits

The fair values disclosed for demand deposits (e.g., interest and noninterest checking, passbook savings, and certain types of money market accounts) are, by definition, equal to the amount payable on demand at the reporting date (i.e., their carrying amount) resulting in a Level 2 classification. The carrying amounts of variable rate, fixed-term money market accounts approximate their fair values at the reporting date resulting in a Level 2 classification. The carrying amounts of variable rate, certificates of deposit approximate their fair values at the reporting date resulting in a Level 2 classification. Fair values for fixed rate certificates of deposit are estimated using a discounted cash flows calculation that applies interest rates currently being offered on certificates to a schedule of aggregated expected monthly maturities on time deposits resulting in a Level 2 classification.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

June 30, 2012

(Unaudited)

#### 8) Fair Value (Continued)

#### Subordinated Debt

The fair values of the subordinated debentures are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses based on the current borrowing rates for similar types of borrowing arrangements resulting in a Level 3 classification.

#### **Off-balance Sheet Instruments**

Fair values for off-balance sheet, credit-related financial instruments are based on fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements, taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and the counterparties' credit standing. The fair value of commitments is not material.

#### 9) Equity Plan

The Company granted 18,000 restricted shares of its common stock, at a grant price of \$6.39, to three officers pursuant to the terms of the restricted stock agreements, dated May 1, 2012, under the Amended and Restated 2004 Equity Plan. Under the terms of the agreements, the common stock is subject to risk of forfeiture until the common stock has vested. The common stock will vest upon the second anniversary of the grant date. However, upon the occurrence of a change in control, or the death or disability of the participant, the common stock will vest immediately. The fair value of stock awards at the grant date was \$115,020, which is being amortized over a two year period on the straight-line method. Amortization expense related to the 18,000 shares was \$9,600 for the second quarter of 2012. None of the shares were vested at June 30, 2012. There were 22,000 shares of restricted stock forfeited and the related amortized expense of \$48,000 was reversed during the first six months of 2012.

The Company also granted 201,500 shares of unqualified stock options to directors and employees during the first six months of 2012. The exercise price was \$6.39 per share, and the options vest over four years. Stock option expense related to the 201,500 shares was \$32,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2012. As of June 30, 2012, there was \$703,000 of unrecognized compensation expense related to the 201,500 stock options granted during the first six months of 2012.

#### 10) Loss Contingencies

Loss contingencies, including claims and legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business, are recorded as liabilities when the likelihood of loss is probable and an amount or range of loss can be reasonably estimated. The Company's accounting policy for legal costs related to loss contingencies is to accrue for the probable fees that can be reasonably estimated. The Company's accounting policy for uncertain recoveries is to recognize the anticipated recovery when realization is deemed probable. During the first quarter of 2012, the Company accrued \$500,000 for probable costs related to an anticipated legal claim that has not yet been asserted, regarding an apparent transfer of funds for personal use by an authorized signatory of a customer. As of the date of this report, this anticipated legal claim has not yet been asserted, and no additional accruals related to this matter were recorded during the second quarter of 2012. This accrual was reduced by payments of \$126,000 during the second quarter of 2012, resulting in a balance of \$374,000 at June 30, 2012. It is reasonably possible

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### June 30, 2012

#### (Unaudited)

#### 10) Loss Contingencies (Continued)

that the outcome may result in a liability exceeding the amount accrued in the financial statements; however, based on the status of the unasserted claim, a range of the reasonably possible gross loss or gross anticipated recoveries cannot be estimated.

#### 11) Subordinated Debt

The table below summarizes the Company's subordinated debt as of the periods indicated:

	J	une 30, 2012	Dee	cember 31, 2011
		(Dollars i	n tho	usands)
Subordinated debentures due to Heritage Capital Trust I with interest payable semi-annually at 10.875%, redeemable with a premium beginning March 8, 2010 and with no premium beginning March 8, 2020, due March 8, 2030	\$	7,217	\$	7.217
Subordinated debentures due to Heritage Statutory Trust I with interest payable semi-annually at 10.60%, redeemable with a premium beginning September 7, 2010 and with no premium beginning September 7, 2020,	Ţ	,	Ţ	
due September 7, 2030		7,206		7,206
Subordinated debentures due to Heritage Statutory Trust II with interest payable quarterly based on 3-month Libor plus 3.58% (4.04% at June 30, 2012), redeemable with a premium beginning July 31, 2006 and with no premium beginning July 31, 2011, due July 31, 2031		5,155		5,155
Subordinated debentures due to Heritage Statutory Trust III with interest payable quarterly based on 3-month Libor plus 3.40% (3.86% at June 30, 2012), redeemable with no premium beginning September 26, 2007 and		5,155		5,155
due September 26, 2032		4,124		4,124
Total	\$	23,702	\$	23,702

For regulatory reporting purposes, the Company's subordinated debt qualifies for Tier 1 capital treatment.

### 12) Subsequent Events

#### Subordinated Debt

In July 2012, the Company provided notice to the holders that it intends to redeem the Company's 10.875% fixed-rate subordinated debentures in the amount of \$7,000,000 issued to Heritage Capital Trust I, the related common securities of \$217,000 and premium charge of \$304,500, and the

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

June 30, 2012

(Unaudited)

#### 12) Subsequent Events (Continued)

Company's 10.60% fixed-rate subordinated debentures in the amount of \$7,000,000 issued to Heritage Statutory Trust I, and the related common securities of \$206,000 and premium charge of \$296,800 (collectively referred to as the "Fixed-Rate Sub Debt"). The redemption of the 10.60% fixed-rate subordinated debentures is expected to be completed on September 7, 2012, and the 10.875% fixed-rate subordinated debentures on September 8, 2012. Additionally, the Company will pay its regularly scheduled interest payments on the Fixed-Rate Sub Debt totaling approximately \$752,000 on the respective redemption dates. The Company will use available cash and proceeds from a \$15,000,000 distribution from HBC to HCC for the redemptions.

#### Table of Contents

#### ITEM 2 MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion provides information about the results of operations, financial condition, liquidity, and capital resources of Heritage Commerce Corp (the "Company" or "HCC") and its wholly owned subsidiary, Heritage Bank of Commerce (sometimes referred to as the "Bank" or "HBC"). This information is intended to facilitate the understanding and assessment of significant changes and trends related to our financial condition and the results of operations. This discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes presented elsewhere in this report. Unless we state otherwise or the context indicates otherwise, references to the "Company," "Heritage," "we," "us," and "our," in this Report on Form 10-Q refer to Heritage Commerce Corp and Heritage Bank of Commerce.

#### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This summary is intended to identify the most important matters on which management focuses when it evaluates the financial condition and performance of the Company. When evaluating financial condition and performance, management looks at certain key metrics and measures. The Company's evaluation includes comparisons with peer group financial institutions and its own performance objectives established in the internal planning process.

The primary activity of the Company is commercial banking. The Company's operations are located entirely in the southern and eastern regions of the general San Francisco Bay Area of California in the counties of Santa Clara, Alameda and Contra Costa. The largest city in this area is San Jose and the Company's market includes the headquarters of a number of technology based companies in the region known commonly as Silicon Valley. The Company's customers are primarily closely held businesses and professionals.

#### **Performance** Overview

For the three months ended June 30, 2012, net income was \$2.7 million, compared to \$2.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011. Following the redemption of its \$40 million of Series A Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock ("Series A Preferred Stock") issued to the U.S. Treasury Department under the TARP Capital Purchase Program in the first quarter of 2012, the Company did not have any preferred dividends and discount accretion on preferred stock in the second quarter of 2012. The net income available to common shareholders was \$2.7 million, or \$0.08 per common share for the three months ended June 30, 2012. After accrued dividends and discount accretion on preferred stock of \$604,000, net income available to shareholders was \$1.5 million, or \$0.05 per average diluted common share, for the second quarter a year ago. The Company's annualized return on average assets was 0.81% and annualized return on average equity was 6.61% for the second quarter of 2012, compared to 0.66% and 4.50%, respectively, a year ago.

For the six months ended June 30, 2012, net income available to common shareholders was \$3.6 million, or \$0.11 per average diluted common share, up from \$2.5 million, or \$0.08 per average diluted common share, for the six months ended June 30, 2011. The Company's annualized return on average assets was 0.72% and annualized return on average equity was 5.44% for the first six months of 2012, compared to 0.59% and 4.01%, respectively, a year ago.

The following are major factors that impacted the Company's results of operations:

The net interest margin remained flat at 3.95% in the second quarter of 2012, compared to the second quarter of 2011, as a decrease in average yields on loans and investment securities was mainly offset by a lower cost of deposits in the second quarter of 2012. The Company's net interest margin increased six basis points to 4.01% for the six months ended June 30, 2012,



#### Table of Contents

compared to 3.95% for the six months ended June 30, 2011, primarily as a result of a higher yield on loans, a lower level of interest-bearing deposits in other institutions, and a lower cost of deposits.

Net interest income was \$12.1 million for the second quarter of 2012, compared to \$11.5 million for the second quarter of 2011. The increase in net interest income for the second quarter of 2012 compared to the same period in 2011 was primarily due to an increase in the average balance of investment securities and a lower cost of deposits, partially offset by a decrease in average balance of loans and the yield on loans. Net interest income was \$24.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, compared to \$22.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011. The increase in net interest income for the first six months of 2012 compared to the same period in 2011 was primarily due to an increase in the average balance of investment securities and a lower cost of deposits, partially offset by a decrease in average balance of a same period in 2011 was primarily due to an increase in the average balance of investment securities and a lower cost of deposits, partially offset by a decrease in average balance of loans.

The provision for loan losses was \$815,000 for the second quarter of 2012, compared to \$955,000 for the second quarter of 2011. The provision for loan losses for the six months ended June 30, 2012 was \$915,000, compared to \$1.7 million for the first six months of 2011. The decrease in provision for loan losses for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2012, compared to the same periods in 2011 reflects a lower volume of classified assets and nonperforming loans, and a decrease in loan charge-offs.

Noninterest income decreased 4% to \$2.1 million in the second quarter of 2012, from \$2.2 million in the second quarter of 2011, and decreased 7% to \$3.8 million in the first six months of 2012 from \$4.1 million in the first six months of 2011, primarily due to lower gain on sales of SBA loans.

Noninterest expense remained flat at \$9.5 million for the second quarter of 2012, compared to the second quarter of 2011. For the six months ended June 30, 2012, noninterest expense was \$20.3 million, compared to \$19.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011. The increase in noninterest expense in the first six months of 2012 was primarily due to a first quarter \$500,000 accrual for probable costs related to an anticipated legal claim that has not yet been asserted, regarding an apparent transfer of funds for personal use by an authorized signatory of a customer.

The efficiency ratio was 66.70% for the second quarter of 2012, compared to 69.43% for the second quarter of 2011. The efficiency ratio for the six months ended June 30, 2012 was 72.13%, compared to 74.39% for the six months ended June 30, 2011.

Income tax expense for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 was \$1.2 million, compared to \$1.1 million for the second quarter of 2011. For the first six months of 2012, income tax expense was \$2.2 million, compared to \$1.5 million for the first six months a year ago. The effective tax rate for the second quarter of 2012 was 31%, compared to 35% for the second quarter a year ago. The effective tax rate for the six months ended June 30, 2012 was 31%, compared to 28% for the six months ended June 30, 2012 was 31%, compared to 28% for the six months ended June 30, 2011.

The following are important factors in understanding our current financial condition and liquidity position:

Cash, Federal funds sold, interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions and securities available-for-sale increased 11% to \$436.2 million at June 30, 2012, from \$391.2 million at June 30, 2011, and decreased 4% from \$453.3 million at December 31, 2011.

Total loans, excluding loans held-for-sale, increased \$16.0 million, or 2%, to \$798.1 million at June 30, 2012, compared to \$782.1 million at June 30, 2011, and increased \$33.5 million, or 4%, from \$764.6 million at December 31, 2011. Land and construction loans decreased \$22.2 million,

#### Table of Contents

or 53%, to \$19.8 million at June 30, 2012, compared to \$42.0 million at June 30, 2011, and decreased \$3.2 million, or 14%, from \$23.0 million at December 31, 2011.

Classified assets (net of SBA guarantees) decreased to \$54.9 million at June 30, 2012, compared to \$76.1 million at June 30, 2011, and decreased from \$59.5 million at December 31, 2011.

The allowance for loan losses at June 30, 2012 was \$20.0 million, or 2.51% of total loans, representing 137.57% of nonperforming loans excluding nonaccrual loans in loans held-for-sale. The allowance for loan losses at June 30, 2011 was \$23.1 million, or 2.96% of total loans, representing 102.15% of nonperforming loans excluding nonaccrual loans in loans held-for-sale. The allowance for loan losses at December 31, 2011, was \$20.7 million, or 2.71% of total loans, representing 124.37% of nonperforming loans excluding nonaccrual loans in loans held-for-sale.

Nonperforming assets were \$17.8 million, or 1.35% of total assets at June 30, 2012, compared to \$23.1 million or 1.83% of total assets at June 30, 2011, and \$19.1 million, or 1.47% of total assets at December 31, 2011.

Net loan charge-offs were \$1.1 million for the second quarter of 2012, compared to \$1.8 million for the second quarter of 2011. Net loan charge-offs were \$1.6 million for the fourth quarter of 2011.

Core deposits continued to increase for the second quarter of 2012:

Noninterest-bearing demand deposits increased 10% to \$367.9 million at June 30, 2012, from \$333.2 million at June 30, 2011, and increased 7% from \$344.3 million at December 31, 2011.

Interest-bearing demand deposits increased 16% to \$148.8 million at June 30, 2012, from \$128.5 million at June 30, 2011, and increased 11% from \$134.1 million at December 31, 2011.

Savings and money market deposits increased 5% to \$290.9 million at June 30, 2012, from \$276.5 million at June 30, 2011, and increased 3% from \$282.5 million at December 31, 2011.

The ratio of noncore funding (which consists of time deposits \$100,000 and over, CDARS deposits, brokered deposits, securities under agreement to repurchase and short-term borrowings) to total assets was 20.17% at June 30, 2012, compared to 18.20% at June 30, 2011, and 19.90% at December 31, 2011.

The loan to deposit ratio was 72.37% at June 30, 2012, compared to 78.32% at June 30, 2011, and 72.86% at December 31, 2011.

Capital ratios substantially exceed regulatory requirements for a well-capitalized financial institution, both on a consolidated basis and at the bank level at June 30, 2012. The leverage ratio at the holding company was 12.7%, with a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of 16.0%, and a total risk-based capital ratio of 17.3% at June 30, 2012. The leverage ratio for HBC was 11.9%, with a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of 14.9%, and a total risk-based capital ratio of 16.2% at June 30, 2012. The regulatory well-capitalized guidelines are a minimum of a 5% leverage ratio, a 6% Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio, and a 10% total risk-based capital ratio.

#### **Recent Events**

In July 2012, the Company provided notice to the holders that it intends to redeem the Company's 10.875% fixed-rate subordinated debentures in the amount of \$7.0 million issued to Heritage Capital Trust I, and the related common securities of \$217,000 and premium cost of \$304,500, and the Company's 10.60% fixed-rate subordinated debentures in the amount of \$7.0 million issued to Heritage

#### Table of Contents

Statutory Trust I, and the related common securities of \$206,000 and premium cost of \$296,800 (collectively referred to as the "Fixed-Rate Sub Debt"). The redemption of the 10.60% fixed-rate subordinated debentures is expected to be completed on September 7, 2012, and the 10.875% fixed-rate subordinated debentures on September 8, 2012. Additionally, the Company will pay its regularly scheduled interest payments on the Fixed-Rate Sub Debt totaling approximately \$752,000 on the respective redemption dates. The Company will use available cash and proceeds from a \$15 million distribution from HBC to HCC for the redemptions. The redemption of the Fixed-Rate Sub Debt does not significantly reduce capital ratios of HCC or HBC. The Company will incur a charge of \$601,300 in the third quarter of 2012, for the early payoff premiums on the redemption of the Fixed-Rate Sub Debt. On an annual basis, the redemption of the Fixed-Rate Sub Debt will eliminate approximately \$1.5 million in interest expense.

#### Deposits

The composition and cost of the Company's deposit base are important in analyzing the Company's net interest margin and balance sheet liquidity characteristics. Except for brokered time deposits, the Company's depositors are generally located in its primary market area. Depending on loan demand and other funding requirements, the Company also obtains deposits from wholesale sources including deposit brokers. The Company had \$97.7 million in brokered deposits at June 30, 2012, compared to \$94.6 million at June 30, 2011, and \$84.7 million at December 31, 2011. Deposits from title insurance companies, escrow accounts and real estate exchange facilitators increased to \$35.7 million at June 30, 2012, compared to \$33.3 million at June 30, 2011, and \$37.6 million at December 31, 2011. Certificates of deposit from the State of California totaled \$50.0 million at June 30, 2012, compared to none at June 30, 2011, and \$50 million at December 31, 2011. Deposits at June 30, 2012, compared to \$998.6 million at June 30, 2011 and \$1.0 billion at December 31, 2011. Core deposits (excluding all time deposits) grew 9% to \$807.6 million at June 30, 2012, an increase of \$69.4 million from June 30, 2011, and increased \$46.7 million, or 6%, from \$760.9 million at December 31, 2011. The Company has a policy to monitor all deposits that may be sensitive to interest rate changes to help assure that liquidity risk does not become excessive due to concentrations.

HBC is a member of the Certificate of Deposit Account Registry Service ("CDARS") program. The CDARS program allows customers with deposits in excess of FDIC insured limits to obtain coverage on time deposits through a network of banks within the CDARS program. Deposits gathered through this program are considered brokered deposits under regulatory guidelines. Deposits in the CDARS program totaled \$5.4 million at June 30, 2012, compared to \$20.8 million at June 30, 2011, and \$6.4 million at December 31, 2011.

#### Liquidity

Our liquidity position refers to our ability to maintain cash flows sufficient to fund operations and to meet obligations and other commitments in a timely fashion. At June 30, 2012, we had \$46.4 million in cash and cash equivalents and approximately \$368.3 million in available borrowing capacity from various sources including the Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB"), the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco ("FRB"), and Federal funds facilities with several financial institutions. The Company also had \$301.2 million in unpledged securities available at June 30, 2012. Our loan to deposit ratio decreased to 72.37% at June 30, 2012, compared to 78.32% at June 30, 2011, and 72.86% at December 31, 2011.

#### Lending

Our lending business originates principally through our branch offices located in our primary markets. The Company also has an additional SBA loan production office in Santa Rosa, California.



#### Table of Contents

The total loan portfolio remains well diversified with commercial and industrial ("C&I") loans accounting for 48% of the portfolio at June 30, 2012. Commercial and residential real estate loans accounted for 42% of the total loan portfolio at June 30, 2012, of which 51% were owner-occupied by businesses. We have actively lowered our exposure to land and construction loans and our overall credit risk on this portfolio has been reduced. Land and construction loans decreased \$22.2 million to \$19.8 million at June 30, 2012, compared to \$42.0 million at June 30, 2011, and accounted for 2% of our total loan portfolio at June 30, 2012, compared to 5% at June 30, 2011, and 3% at December 31, 2011. Consumer and home equity loans accounted for the remaining 8% of total loans at June 30, 2012. The yield on the loan portfolio was 5.23% for the same period in 2011, and 5.41% for the first quarter of 2012. The 18 basis points decrease in the second quarter of 2012 from the first quarter of 2012 was due to several positive adjustments in the first quarter of 2012 from loan interest recoveries and lower rates (due to competitive factors) on some new and renewed loans in the second quarter of 2012. The yield on the loan portfolio was 5.32% for the six months ended June 30, 2012, compared to 5.30% for six months ended June 30, 2011. Loans, excluding loans held-for-sale, increased 2% to \$798.1 million at June 30, 2012, from \$782.1 million a year ago, and increased 4% from \$764.6 million at December 31, 2011.

#### Net Interest Income

The management of interest income and expense is fundamental to the performance of the Company. Net interest income, the difference between interest income and interest expense, is the largest component of the Company's total revenue. Management closely monitors both total net interest income and the net interest margin (net interest income divided by average earning assets).

The Company, through its asset and liability policies and practices, seeks to maximize net interest income without exposing the Company to an excessive level of interest rate risk. Interest rate risk is managed by monitoring the pricing, maturity and repricing options of all classes of interest bearing assets and liabilities. This is discussed in more detail under *"Liquidity and Asset/Liability Management."* In addition, we believe there are measures and initiatives we can take to improve the net interest margin, including increasing loan rates, adding floors on floating rate loans, reducing nonperforming assets, managing deposit interest rates, and reducing higher cost deposits.

The net interest margin is also adversely impacted by the reversal of interest on nonaccrual loans and the reinvestment of loan payoffs into lower yielding investment securities and other short-term investments.

#### Management of Credit Risk

We continue to proactively identify, quantify, and manage our problem loans. Early identification of problem loans and potential future losses helps enable us to resolve credit issues with potentially less risk and ultimate losses. We maintain an allowance for loan losses in an amount that we believe is adequate to absorb probable incurred losses in the portfolio. While we strive to carefully manage and monitor credit quality and to identify loans that may be deteriorating, circumstances can change at any time for loans included in the portfolio that may result in future losses, that as of the date of the financial statements have not yet been identified as potential problem loans. Through established credit practices, we adjust the allowance for loan losses accordingly. However, because future events are uncertain, there may be loans that deteriorate some of which could occur in an accelerated time frame. As a result, future additions to the allowance for loan losses may be necessary. Because the loan portfolio contains a number of commercial loans, commercial real estate, construction and land development loans with relatively large balances, deterioration in the credit quality of one or more of these loans may require a significant increase to the allowance for loan losses. Future additions to the allowance may also be required based on changes in the financial condition of borrowers, such as have resulted due to the current, and potentially worsening, economic conditions. Additionally, Federal and

#### Table of Contents

state banking regulators, as an integral part of their supervisory function, periodically review our allowance for loan losses. These regulatory agencies may require us to recognize further loan loss provisions or charge-offs based upon their judgments, which may be different from ours. Any increase in the allowance for loan losses would have an adverse effect, which may be material, on our financial condition and results of operation.

Further discussion of the management of credit risk appears under "Provision for Loan Losses" and "Allowance for Loan Losses."

#### Noninterest Income

While net interest income remains the largest single component of total revenues, noninterest income is an important component.

A portion of the Company's noninterest income is associated with its SBA lending activity, consisting of gains on the sale of loans sold in the secondary market and servicing income from loans sold with servicing retained. Other sources of noninterest income include loan servicing fees, service charges and fees, cash surrender value from company owned life insurance policies, and gains on the sale of securities.

#### Noninterest Expense

Management considers the control of operating expenses to be a critical element of the Company's performance. The Company has undertaken several initiatives to reduce its noninterest expense and improve its efficiency. Nevertheless, noninterest expense for the first six months of 2012 increased 2% to \$20.3 million, compared to \$19.9 million for the first six months of 2011, primarily due to a \$500,000 accrual established in the first quarter of 2012 for probable costs related to an anticipated legal claim that has not yet been asserted, regarding an apparent transfer of funds for personal use by an authorized signatory of a customer and higher salaries and employee benefits expense.

#### **Capital Management**

As part of its asset and liability management process, the Company continually assesses its capital position to take into consideration growth, expected earnings, risk profile and potential corporate activities that it may choose to pursue.

On November 21, 2008, the Company issued to the U.S. Treasury under its Capital Purchase Program 40,000 shares of Series A Preferred Stock for \$40.0 million and issued a warrant to purchase 462,963 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$12.96.

On March 7, 2012, in accordance with approvals received from the U.S. Treasury and the Federal Reserve, the Company repurchased all shares of the Series A Preferred Stock and paid the related accrued and unpaid dividends. The repurchase of the Series A Preferred Stock will save \$2.0 million in annual dividends. At the time the Company repurchased the Series A Preferred Stock, it did not repurchase the related warrant. The warrant was outstanding as of the date of this report.

On June 21, 2010, the Company issued Series C Convertible Perpetual Preferred Stock ("Series C Preferred Stock") to a limited number of institutional investors. The Series C Preferred Stock remains outstanding until its conversion to common stock upon the transfer of the Series C Preferred Stock in accordance with its terms. Holders of Series C Preferred Stock will receive dividends if and only to the extent dividends are paid to holders of common stock.

We have supported our growth through the issuance of trust preferred securities from special purpose trusts and accompanying sales of subordinated debt to these trusts. The subordinated debt that we issued to the trusts is senior to our shares of common stock and Series C Preferred Stock. As a

#### Table of Contents

result, we must make payments on the subordinated debt before any dividends can be paid on our common stock and Series C Preferred Stock. Under the terms of the subordinated debt, we may defer interest payments for up to five years. The Company is current with respect to interest accrued on trust preferred subordinated debt securities as of June 30, 2012 and was current as of December 31, 2011.

In July 2012, the Company provided notice to the holders that it intends to redeem the Company's Fixed-Rate Sub Debt. The redemption of the 10.6% fixed-rate subordinated debentures is expected to be completed on September 7, 2012, and the 10.875% fixed-rate subordinated debentures on September 8, 2012.

At June 30, 2012, HBC's total risk-based capital ratio was 16.2%, compared to the 10% regulatory requirement for well-capitalized banks under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective actions. HBC's Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of 14.9% and leverage ratio of 11.9% at June 30, 2012 also exceeded regulatory guidelines for well-capitalized banks under the prompt corrective actions framework. On a pro forma basis, assuming HBC distributed \$15.0 million to HCC for the redemption of the \$14 million Fixed-Rate Sub Debt, the total risk-based capital ratio would be 14.7%, the Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio would be 13.5%, and the leverage ratio would be 10.7% for HBC at June 30, 2012. On a consolidated basis, the Company has a total risk-based capital ratio of 17.3%, a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of 16.0%, and a leverage ratio of 12.7% at June 30, 2012. On a pro forma basis, assuming the redemption of the \$14 million Fixed-Rate Sub Debt plus the related redemption premiums, the total risk-based capital ratio would be 15.9%, the Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio would be 14.6%, and the leverage ratio would be 11.6% for the Company at June 30, 2012.

#### **RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

The Company earns income from two primary sources. The first is net interest income, which is interest income generated by earning assets less interest expense on interest-bearing liabilities. The second is noninterest income, which primarily consists of gains on the sale of loans, loan servicing fees, customer service charges and fees, the increase in cash surrender value of life insurance, and gains on the sale of securities. The majority of the Company's noninterest expenses are operating costs that relate to providing a full range of banking services to our customers.

#### Net Interest Income and Net Interest Margin

The level of net interest income depends on several factors in combination, including yields on earning assets, the cost of interest-bearing liabilities, the relative volumes of earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, and the mix of products which comprise the Company's earning assets, deposits, and other interest-bearing liabilities. To maintain its net interest margin, the Company must manage the relationship between interest earned and paid.

The following Distribution, Rate and Yield table presents the average amounts outstanding for the major categories of the Company's balance sheet, the average interest rates earned or paid thereon, and the resulting net interest margin on average interest earning assets for the periods indicated. Average balances are based on daily averages.

## Distribution, Rate and Yield

NET INTEREST INCOME AND NET INTEREST MARGIN	A verage Balance	Interest Income/	Average		Interest	Avonage
		Expense	Yield/ Rate	Average Balance	Income/ Expense	Average Yield/ Rate
			(Dollars in th	ousands)		
Assets:						
Loans, gross(1)	\$ 791,660	\$ 10,292	5.23% 5		\$ 10,685	5.31%
Securities	398,143	2,975	3.01%	278,908	2,278	3.28%
Federal funds sold and interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions	41,508	29	0.28%	77,937	52	0.27%
Total interest earning assets	1,231,311	13,296	4.34%	1,163,684	13,015	4.49%
Cash and due from banks	21,191			20,932		
Premises and equipment, net	7,841			8,160		
Intangible assets	2,316			2,829		
Other assets	69,115			70,542		
Total assets	\$ 1,331,774		S	\$ 1,266,147		
Liabilities and shareholders' equity: Deposits:						
Demand, noninterest-bearing	\$ 370,086		9	\$ 332,535		
Demand, interest-bearing	147,767	56	0.15%	132,079	65	0.20%
Savings and money market	298,544	179	0.24%	280,870	259	0.37%
Time deposits under \$100	28,011	35	0.50%	32,194	61	0.76%
Time deposits \$100 and over	166,486	246	0.59%	121,929	342	1.13%
Time deposits CDARS Time deposits brokered	5,900 93,259	3 219	0.20% 0.94%	21,254 92,131	24 317	0.45% 1.38%
The deposits brokered	93,239	219	0.94%	92,131	517	1.30%
Total interest-bearing deposits	739,967	738	0.40%	680,457	1,068	0.63%
Total deposits	1,110,053	738	0.27%	1,012,992	1,068	0.42%
Subordinated debt	23,702	472	8.01%	23,702	467	7.90%
Short-term borrowings	3,196	2	0.25%	1,005	8	3.19%
Total interest-bearing liabilities	766,865	1,212	0.64%	705,164	1,543	0.88%
Total interest-bearing liabilities and demand,						
noninterest-bearing / cost of funds	1,136,951	1,212	0.43%	1,037,699	1,543	0.60%
Other liabilities	31,905			42,537		
Total liabilities	1 1 ( 0 05 (			1.000.000		
Total liabilities Shareholders' equity	1,168,856 162,918			1,080,236 185,911		
Shareholders equity	102,918			165,911		
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 1,331,774		5	\$ 1,266,147		
Net interest income / margin		\$ 12,084	3.95%		\$ 11,472	3.95%

(1)

Includes loans held-for-sale. Yield amounts earned on loans include loan fees and costs. Nonaccrual loans are included in average balance.

	For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012				For the Six Months En June 30, 2011				
NET INTEREST INCOME AND NET INTEREST MARGIN	Average Balance	Interest Income/ Expense	Average Yield/ Rate	Average Balance	Interest Income/ Expense	Average Yield/ Rate			
			(Dollars in t	housands)					
Assets:									
Loans, gross(1)	\$ 778,640		5.32%		\$ 21,675	5.30%			
Securities	394,031	6,072	3.10%	262,476	4,240	3.26%			
Federal funds sold and interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions	48,750	65	0.27%	69,228	86	0.25%			
Total interest earning assets	1,221,421	26,745	4.40%	1,156,910	26,001	4.53%			
Cash and due from banks	21,089			20,742					
Premises and equipment, net	7,909			8,244					
Intangible assets	2,378			2,895					
Other assets	69,082			68,499					
Total assets	\$ 1,321,879			\$ 1,257,290					
Liabilities and shareholders' equity:									
Deposits:									
Demand, noninterest-bearing	\$ 358,689			\$ 322,345					
Demand, interest-bearing	145,208		0.15%	133,907	132	0.20%			
Savings and money market	293,374	345	0.24%	274,346	526	0.39%			
Time deposits under \$100	28,117	73	0.52%	32,698	132	0.81%			
Time deposits \$100 and over	168,090	501	0.60%	127,856	761	1.20%			
Time deposits CDARS	6,083	6	0.20%	21,389	49	0.46%			
Time deposits brokered	88,992	420	0.95%	93,589	739	1.59%			
Total interest-bearing deposits	729,864	1,454	0.40%	683,785	2,339	0.69%			
Total deposits	1,088,553	1,454	0.27%	1,006,130	2,339	0.47%			
Subordinated debt	23,702	946	8.03%	23,702	932	7.93%			
Securities sold under agreement to repurchase			N/A	1,436	24	3.37%			
Short-term borrowings	1,618	2	0.25%	1,865	38	4.11%			
Total interest-bearing liabilities	755,184	2,402	0.64%	710,788	3,333	0.95%			
Total interest-bearing liabilities and demand, noninterest-bearing / cost of									
funds	1,113,873	2,402	0.43%	1,033,133	3,333	0.65%			
Other liabilities	32,287	2,402	0.4570	39,966	5,555	0.05 %			
Total liabilities	1,146,160			1.073.099					
	1,146,160			1,073,099					
Shareholders' equity	1/5,/19			164,191					
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 1,321,879			\$ 1,257,290					
Net interest income / margin		\$ 24,343	4.01%		\$ 22,668	3.95%			

(1)

Includes loans held-for-sale. Yield amounts earned on loans include loan fees and costs. Nonaccrual loans are included in average balance.

## Table of Contents

### **Volume and Rate Variances**

The Volume and Rate Variances table below sets forth the dollar difference in interest earned and paid for each major category of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities for the noted periods, and the amount of such change attributable to changes in average balances (volume) or changes in average interest rates. Volume variances are equal to the increase or decrease in the average balance. Variances are equal to the increase or decrease in the average balance. Variances attributable to both rate and volume changes are equal to the change in rate times the change in average balance and are included below in the average volume column.

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 vs. 2011 Increase (Decrease) Due to Change In: Average Average Net						
		lume		Rate	(	Change	
		(Do	llars i	n thousan			
Income from interest earning assets:					ĺ		
Loans, gross	\$	(200)	\$	(193)	\$	(393)	
Securities		888		(191)		697	
Federal funds sold and interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions		(25)		2		(23)	
Total interest income from interest earnings assets		663		(382)		281	
Expense on interest-bearing liabilities:							
Demand, interest-bearing		7		(16)		(9)	
Savings and money market		11		(91)		(80)	
Time deposits under \$100		(5)		(21)		(26)	
Time deposits \$100 and over		67		(163)		(96)	
Time deposits CDARS		(8)		(13)		(21)	
Time deposits brokered		4		(102)		(98)	
Subordinated debt				5		5	
Short-term borrowings		1		(7)		(6)	
Total interest expense on interest-bearing liabilities		77		(408)		(331)	
Net interest income	\$	586	\$	26	\$	612	
45							

	Six Mo Increa verage olume	Due	, ,		
	(Dol	lars	in thousar	ıds)	
Income from interest earning assets:					
Loans, gross	\$ (1,223)	\$	156	\$	(1,067)
Securities	2,026		(194)		1,832
Federal funds sold and interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions	(28)		7		(21)
Total interest income from interest earnings assets	775		(31)		744
Expense on interest-bearing liabilities:					
Demand, interest-bearing	9		(32)		(23)
Savings and money market	18		(199)		(181)
Time deposits under \$100	(12)		(47)		(59)
Time deposits \$100 and over	120		(380)		(260)
Time deposits CDARS	(15)		(28)		(43)
Time deposits brokered	(22)		(297)		(319)
Subordinated debt			14		14
Securities sold under agreement to repurchase	(24)				(24)
Short-term borrowings			(36)		(36)
Total interest expense on interest-bearing liabilities	74		(1,005)		(931)
Net interest income	\$ 701	\$	974	\$	1,675

The Company's net interest margin, expressed as a percentage of average earning assets, remained flat at 3.95% for the second quarter of 2012, compared to the second quarter a year ago, as a decrease in average yields on loans and investment securities was mainly offset by a lower cost of deposits in the second quarter of 2012. For the first six months of 2012, the net interest margin increased to 4.01%, compared to 3.95% for the first six months of 2011, primarily as a result of a higher yield on loans, a lower level of interest-bearing deposits in other institutions, and a lower cost of deposits.

Net interest income, before the provision for loan losses, increased 5% to \$12.1 million for the second quarter of 2012, compared to \$11.5 million for the second quarter a year ago, primarily due to an increase in the average balance of investment securities. Net interest income for the first six months of 2012 increased 7% to \$24.3 million, from \$22.7 million for the first six months of 2011, primarily due to a higher average balances of investment securities, and a lower cost of deposits, partially offset by a decrease in the average balance of loans.

A substantial portion of the Company's earning assets are variable-rate loans that re-price when the Company's prime lending rate is changed, versus a large base of core deposits that are generally slower to re-price. This causes the Company's balance sheet to be asset-sensitive, which means that all else being equal, the Company's net interest margin will be lower during periods when short-term interest rates are falling and higher when rates are rising.

### **Provision for Loan Losses**

Credit risk is inherent in the business of making loans. The Company establishes an allowance for loan losses through charges to earnings, which are shown in the statements of operations as the provision for loan losses. Specifically identifiable and quantifiable known losses are promptly charged off against the allowance. The provision for loan losses is determined by conducting a quarterly

#### Table of Contents

evaluation of the adequacy of the Company's allowance for loan losses and charging the shortfall, if any, to the current quarter's expense. This has the effect of creating variability in the amount and frequency of charges to the Company's earnings. The provision for loan losses and level of allowance for each period are dependent upon many factors, including loan growth, net charge-offs, changes in the composition of the loan portfolio, delinquencies, management's assessment of the quality of the loan portfolio, the valuation of problem loans and the general economic conditions in the Company's market area.

The Company had a provision for loan losses of \$815,000 for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 and \$915,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2012. The Company had a provision for loan losses of \$955,000 for the quarter ended June 30, 2011 and \$1.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011.

The allowance for loan losses represented 2.51%, 2.96% and 2.71% of total loans at June 30, 2012, June 30, 2011, and December 31, 2011, respectively. Provisions for loan losses are charged to operations to bring the allowance for loan losses to a level deemed appropriate by the Company based on the factors discussed under "Allowance for Loan Losses".

#### Noninterest Income

The following table sets forth the various components of the Company's noninterest income for the periods indicated:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,					ncrease (d 2012 vers	
	2012		2011		Aı	nount	Percent
			(D	ollars in	thou	sands)	
Service charges and fees on deposit accounts	\$	601	\$	587	\$	14	2%
Servicing income		447		435		12	3%
Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance		429		419		10	2%
Gain on sale of SBA loans		376		476		(100)	-21%
Gain on sale of securities		32				32	N/A
Other		205		253		(48)	-19%
Total noninterest income	\$	2,090	\$	2,170	\$	(80)	-4%

	For the Six Months Ended June 30,					ncrease (d 2012 vers	,
	2012		2011		Ar	nount	Percent
			(D	ollars in	thous	sands)	
Service charges and fees on deposit accounts	\$	1,191	\$	1,154	\$	37	3%
Servicing income		907		846		61	7%
Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance		858		845		13	2%
Gain on sale of SBA loans		412		855		(443)	-52%
Gain on sale of securities		59				59	N/A
Other		386		387		(1)	0%
Total noninterest income	\$	3,813	\$	4,087	\$	(274)	-7%

The decrease in noninterest income in the second quarter and first six months of 2012 compared to the same periods in 2011 was primarily attributable to lower gain on sales of SBA loans.

Historically, a significant percentage of the Company's noninterest income has been associated with its SBA lending activity, as gains on the sale of loans sold in the secondary market and servicing income from loans sold with servicing rights retained. For the six months ended June 30, 2012, SBA

## Table of Contents

loan sales resulted in a \$412,000 gain, compared to an \$855,000 gain on sale of SBA loans for the six months ended June 30, 2011. The servicing assets that result from the sale of SBA loans with servicing retained, are amortized over the expected term of the loans using a method approximating the interest method. Servicing income generally declines as the respective loans are repaid.

## Noninterest Expense

The following table sets forth the various components of the Company's noninterest expense for the periods indicated:

	Fo	or the Th En Jun	ded		Increase (decrease) 2012 versus 2011					
	2012 2011			Ar	nount	Percent				
			(D	ollars in	thous	sands)				
Salaries and employee benefits	\$	5,377	\$	5,111	\$	266	5%			
Occupancy and equipment		967		1,031		(64)	-6%			
Professional fees		470		456		14	3%			
Software subscriptions		313		274		39	14%			
Low income housing investment losses		262		40		222	555%			
Data processing		247		198		49	25%			
Insurance expense		224		244		(20)	-8%			
FDIC deposit insurance premiums		202		383		(181)	-47%			
Other real estate owned expense		105		127		(22)	-17%			
Other		1,287		1,608		(321)	-20%			
Total noninterest expense	\$	9,454	\$	9,472	\$	(18)	0%			

	For the Si Enc June	ded			ncrease (d 2012 vers	,
	2012		2011	Aı	nount	Percent
		(D	ollars in tł	iousa	unds)	
Salaries and employee benefits	\$ 11,044	\$	10,504	\$	540	5%
Occupancy and equipment	1,963		2,069		(106)	-5%
Professional fees	1,681		1,295		386	30%
Software subscriptions	603		529		74	14%
Low income housing investment losses	531		202		329	163%
Data processing	492		419		73	17%
Insurance expense	447		486		(39)	-8%
FDIC deposit insurance premiums	427		907		(480)	-53%
Other real estate owned expense	220		147		73	50%
Other	2,902		3,345		(443)	-13%
Total noninterest expense	\$ 20,310	\$	19,903	\$	407	2%

The following table indicates the percentage of noninterest expense in each category for the periods indicated:

#### Noninterest Expense by Category

	For Th	e Three Months Percent	Ended Ju	ıne 30, Percent
	2012	of Total	2011	of Total
		(Dollars in tho	usands)	
Salaries and employee benefits	\$ 5,377	57% \$	5,111	54%
Occupancy and equipment	967	10%	1,031	11%
Professional fees	470	5%	456	5%
Software subscriptions	313	3%	274	3%
Low income housing investment losses	262	3%	40	0%
Data processing	247	3%	198	2%
Insurance expense	224	2%	244	3%
FDIC deposit insurance premiums	202	2%	383	4%
Other real estate owned expense	105	1%	127	1%
Other	1,287	14%	1,608	17%
	0 1 <b>-</b> 1	1000 0	0.450	100 %
Total noninterest expense	\$ 9,454	100% \$	9,472	100%

	For T	he Six Months E	nded June	30,
	2012	Percent of Total	2011	Percent of Total
	2012	(Dollars in thou		of Four
Salaries and employee benefits	\$ 11,044	54% \$	10,504	53%
Occupancy and equipment	1,963	10%	2,069	10%
Professional fees	1,681	8%	1,295	6%
Software subscriptions	603	3%	529	3%
Low income housing investment losses	531	3%	202	1%
Data processing	492	3%	419	2%
Insurance expense	447	2%	486	2%
FDIC deposit insurance premiums	427	2%	907	5%
Other real estate owned expense	220	1%	147	1%
Other	2,902	14%	3,345	17%
Total noninterest expense	\$ 20,310	100% \$	19,903	100%

Noninterest expense for the second quarter of 2012 remained flat at \$9.5 million, compared to the second quarter of 2011. Higher salaries and employee benefits expense, and higher low income housing investment losses in the second quarter of 2012, were partially offset by lower FDIC deposit insurance premiums and other operating expenses. Full-time equivalent employees were 187 at June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011.

Noninterest expense for the first six months of 2012 increased 2% to \$20.3 million, compared to \$19.9 million for the first six months of 2011. This increase resulted from higher salaries and employee benefits expense and additional costs related to an anticipated legal claim that has not yet been asserted, regarding an apparent transfer of funds for personal use by an authorized signatory of a customer, and higher low income housing investment losses, partially offset by lower FDIC deposit insurance premiums and other operating expenses.

#### Income Tax Expense

The Company computes its provision for income taxes on a monthly basis. The effective tax rate is determined by applying the Company's statutory income tax rates to pre-tax book income as adjusted for permanent differences between pre-tax book income and actual taxable income. These permanent differences include, but are not limited to, increases in the cash surrender value of life insurance policies, California Enterprise Zone deductions, certain expenses that are not allowed as tax deductions, and tax credits.

The Company's Federal and state income tax expense for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2012 was \$1.2 million and \$2.2 million, respectively. The income tax expense was \$1.1 million and \$1.5 million for the same periods in 2011. The following table shows the Company's effective income tax rates for the periods indicated:

	For the Three Month June 30	s Ended	For the Six Months June 30	Ended
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Effective income tax rate	31.4%	35.1%	31.4%	28.5%

The difference in the effective tax rate compared to the combined Federal and state statutory tax rate of 42% is primarily the result of the Company's investment in life insurance policies whose earnings are not subject to taxes and tax credits related to investments in low income housing limited partnerships.

The Company has net investments of \$3.1 million in low-income housing limited partnerships as of June 30, 2012. These investments have generated annual tax credits of approximately \$846,000 and \$1.0 million in the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Some items of income and expense are recognized in different years for tax purposes than when applying generally accepted accounting principles, leading to timing differences between the Company's actual tax liability and the amount accrued for this liability based on book income. These temporary differences comprise the "deferred" portion of the Company's tax expense or benefit, which is accumulated on the Company's books as a deferred tax asset or deferred tax liability until such time as they reverse.

Realization of the Company's deferred tax assets is primarily dependent upon the Company generating sufficient future taxable income to obtain benefit from the reversal of net deductible temporary differences and utilization of tax credit carryforwards and the net operating loss carryforwards for Federal and California state income tax purposes. The amount of deferred tax assets considered realizable is subject to adjustment in future periods based on estimates of future taxable income. Under generally accepted accounting principles, a valuation allowance is required to be recognized if it is "more likely than not" that a deferred tax asset will not be realized. The determination of the realizability of the deferred tax assets is highly subjective and dependent upon judgment concerning management's evaluation of both positive and negative evidence, including forecasts of future income, cumulative losses, applicable tax planning strategies, and assessments of current and future economic and business conditions.

The Company had net deferred tax assets of \$19.5 million and \$21.9 million at June 30, 2012, and December 31, 2011, respectively. After consideration of the matters in the preceding paragraph, the Company determined that it is more likely than not that the net deferred tax asset at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 will be fully realized in future years.



## FINANCIAL CONDITION

As of June 30, 2012, total assets increased to \$1.32 billion, compared to \$1.26 billion at June 30, 2011, and increased from \$1.31 billion at December 31, 2011. Total securities available-for-sale (at fair value) were \$389.8 million at June 30, 2012, an increase of 29% from \$303.0 million at June 30, 2011, and an increase of 2% from \$380.5 million at December 31, 2011. The total loan portfolio, excluding loans held-for-sale, was \$798.1 million at June 30, 2012, an increase of 2% from \$782.1 million at June 30, 2011, and an increase of 4% from \$764.6 million at December 31, 2011. Total deposits increased 10% to \$1.1 billion at June 30, 2012, from \$998.6 million at June 30, 2011 and increased 5% from \$1.0 billion at December 31, 2011.

#### Securities Portfolio

The following table reflects the estimated fair values for each category of securities at the dates indicated:

		Jun	e 30,		De	cember 31,					
		2012		2011		2011					
	(Dollars in thousands)										
Securities available-for-sale (at fair value)											
Agency mortgage-backed securities	\$	325,926	\$	302,968	\$	350,348					
Corporate bonds		23,221									
Trust preferred securities		40,673				30,107					
Total	\$	389,820	\$	302,968	\$	380,455					

The following table summarizes the weighted average life and weighted average yields of securities at June 30, 2012:

	After One Within Five		W After Fiv Within Te	e and	), 2012 verage Life After Ten	Years	Tota	I
	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield
			(E	Oollars in t	thousands)			
Securities available-for-sale (at fair								
value):								
Mortgage-Backed								
Securities-Residential	\$ 241,763	2.93%	\$ 62,143	2.82%	\$ 22,020	3.14%	\$ 325,926	2.92%
Corporate bonds	921	2.67%	22,300	3.38%	)		23,221	3.35%
Trust preferred securities					40,673	5.85%	40,673	5.85%
	\$ 242,684	2.93%	\$ 84,443	2.97%	\$ 62,693	4.90%	\$ 389,820	3.25%

The securities portfolio is the second largest component of the Company's interest-earning assets, and the structure and composition of this portfolio is important to an analysis of the financial condition of the Company. The portfolio serves the following purposes: (i) it provides a source of pledged assets for securing certain deposits and borrowed funds, as may be required by law or by specific agreement with a depositor or lender; (ii) it provides liquidity to even out cash flows from the loan and deposit activities of customers; (iii) it can be used as an interest rate risk management tool, since it provides a large base of assets, the maturity and interest rate characteristics of which can be changed more readily than the loan portfolio to better match changes in the deposit base and other funding sources of the Company; and (iv) it is an alternative interest-earning use of funds when loan demand is weak or when deposits grow more rapidly than loans.

## Table of Contents

The Company's securities are all currently classified under existing accounting rules as "available-for-sale" to allow flexibility for the management of the portfolio. Accounting guidance requires available-for-sale securities to be marked to fair value with an offset to accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), a component of shareholders' equity. Monthly adjustments are made to reflect changes in the fair value of the Company's available-for-sale securities.

The Company's portfolio is historically comprised primarily of: (i) U.S. Treasury securities and U.S. Government sponsored entities' debt securities for liquidity and pledging; (ii) mortgage-backed securities, which in many instances can also be used for pledging, and which generally enhance the yield of the portfolio; (iii) municipal obligations, which provide tax free income and limited pledging potential; (iv) collateralized mortgage obligations, which generally enhance the yield of the portfolio; and (v) single entity issue trust preferred securities, which generally enhance the yield on the portfolio.

Compared to June 30, 2011, the securities portfolio increased by \$86.9 million, or 29%, and increased to 29% of total assets at June 30, 2012, from 24% at June 30, 2011. The Company increased its holding of mortgage-back securities by \$23.0 million to \$325.9 million at June 30, 2012, from \$303.0 million at June 30, 2011. Mortgage-backed securities decreased \$24.4 million at June 30, 2012, from \$350.3 million at December 31, 2011. At June 30, 2012, the investment portfolio included \$23.2 million of corporate bonds, compared to no corporate bonds in the investment portfolio at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2011. At June 30, 2012, the Company's investment portfolio included single entity issue trust preferred securities by four issuers with an amortized cost of \$40.8 million and market value of \$40.7 million, compared to no trust preferred securities in the investment portfolio at June 30, 2011, and an amortized cost of \$29.9 million and a market value of \$30.1 million of single entity issue trust preferred securities at December 31, 2011. The Company has not used interest rate swaps or other derivative instruments to hedge fixed rate loans or securities to otherwise mitigate interest rate risk.

#### Loans

The Company's loans represent the largest portion of invested assets, substantially greater than the securities portfolio or any other asset category, and the quality and diversification of the loan portfolio is an important consideration when reviewing the Company's financial condition.

Gross loans, excluding loans held-for-sale, represented 60% of total assets at June 30, 2012, as compared to 62% of total assets at June 30, 2011, and 59% of total assets at December 31, 2011. The ratio of loans to deposits decreased to 72.37% at June 30, 2012 from 78.32% at June 30, 2011 and 72.86% at December 31, 2011.



#### Loan Distribution

The Loan Distribution table that follows sets forth the Company's gross loans, excluding loans held-for-sale, outstanding and the percentage distribution in each category at the dates indicated:

	June 30, 2	2012 % of	June 30,	2011 % of	December	31, 2011 % of
	Balance		Balance	Total	Balance	Total
		(	Dollars in th	ousands)		
Commercial	\$ 384,260	48% \$	358,227	46% \$	366,590	48%
Real estate:						
Commercial and residential	333,048	42%	315,426	40%	311,479	41%
Land and construction	19,822	2%	41,987	5%	23,016	3%
Home equity	47,813	6%	52,621	7%	52,017	7%
Consumer	13,024	2%	13,040	2%	11,166	1%
Total loans	797,967	100%	781,301	100%	764,268	100%
Deferred loan costs	139		779		323	
Loans, including deferred						
costs	798,106	100%	782,080	100%	764,591	100%
			,		,	
Allowance for loan losses	(20,023)		(23,167)		(20,700)	
The manee for four follows	(23,025)		(20,107)		(_0,700)	
Loans, net	\$ 778,083	\$	758,913	\$	743,891	
20410, 100	<i>\(\phi\)</i>	Ψ	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Ψ		

The Company's loan portfolio is concentrated in commercial loans, primarily manufacturing, wholesale, and services, and commercial real estate, with the balance in land development and construction and home equity and consumer loans. An increase in the Company's loan portfolio in the second quarter of 2012 compared to the second and fourth quarters of 2011 is due to increased loan demand. The Company does not have any concentrations by industry or group of industries in its loan portfolio, however, 50% of its gross loans were secured by real property at June 30, 2012, compared to 52% at June 30, 2011, and 51% at December 31, 2011. While no specific industry concentration is considered significant, the Company's lending operations are located in areas that are dependent on the technology and real estate industries and their supporting companies.

The Company's commercial loans are made for working capital, financing the purchase of equipment or for other business purposes. Commercial loans include loans with maturities ranging from thirty days to one year and "term loans" with maturities normally ranging from one to five years. Short-term business loans are generally intended to finance current transactions and typically provide for periodic principal payments, with interest payable monthly. Term loans normally provide for floating interest rates, with monthly payments of both principal and interest.

The Company is an active participant in the SBA and U.S. Department of Agriculture guaranteed lending programs, and has been approved by the SBA as a lender under the Preferred Lender Program. The Company regularly makes such guaranteed loans (collectively referred to as "SBA loans"). The guaranteed portion of these loans is typically sold in the secondary market depending on market conditions. When the guaranteed portion of an SBA loan is sold, the Company retains the servicing rights for the sold portion. During the first three months and the first six months of 2012, loans were sold resulting in a gain on sale of SBA loans of \$376,000 and \$412,000, respectively.

As of June 30, 2012, commercial and residential real estate mortgage loans of \$333.0 million consist primarily of adjustable and fixed rate loans secured by deeds of trust on commercial and residential property. The real estate mortgage loans at June 30, 2012 consist of \$169.0 million, or 51%, of commercial owner occupied properties, \$161.2 million, or 48%, of commercial investment properties, and \$2.8 million, or 1% in residential properties. Properties securing the commercial real estate mortgage loans are generally located in the Company's primary market, which is the Greater San Francisco Bay Area.

#### Table of Contents

The Company's commercial real estate loans consist primarily of loans based on the borrower's cash flow and are secured by deeds of trust on commercial and residential property to provide a secondary source of repayment. The Company generally restricts real estate term loans to no more than 75% of the property's appraised value or the purchase price of the property during the initial underwriting of the credit, depending on the type of property and its utilization. The Company offers both fixed and floating rate loans. Maturities on real estate mortgage loans are generally between five and ten years (with amortization ranging from fifteen to twenty-five years and a balloon payment due at maturity); however, SBA and certain other real estate loans that can be sold in the secondary market may be granted for longer maturities.

The Company's land and construction loans are primarily to finance the development/construction of commercial and single family residential properties. The Company utilizes underwriting guidelines to assess the likelihood of repayment from sources such as sale of the property or availability of permanent mortgage financing prior to making the construction loan. Land and construction loans decreased \$22.2 million to \$19.8 million, or 2% of total loans at June 30, 2012, from \$42.0 million, or 5% of total loans at June 30, 2011, and decreased \$3.2 million from \$23.0 million, or 3% of total loans at December 31, 2011.

The Company makes home equity lines of credit available to its existing customers. Home equity lines of credit are underwritten initially with a maximum 70% loan to value ratio. Home equity lines are reviewed at least semiannually, with specific emphasis on loans with a loan to value ratio greater than 70% and loans that were underwritten from mid-2005 through 2008, when real estate values were at the peak in the cycle. The Company takes measures to work with customers to reduce line commitments and minimize potential losses. There have been no adverse classifications to date as a result of the review.

Additionally, the Company makes consumer loans for the purpose of financing automobiles, various types of consumer goods, and other personal purposes. Consumer loans generally provide for the monthly payment of principal and interest. Most of the Company's consumer loans are secured by the personal property being purchased or, in the instances of home equity loans or lines, real property.

With certain exceptions, state chartered banks are permitted to make extensions of credit to any one borrowing entity up to 15% of the bank's capital and reserves for unsecured loans and up to 25% of the bank's capital and reserves for secured loans. For HBC, these lending limits were \$29.0 million and \$48.4 million at June 30, 2012, respectively.

#### Loan Maturities

The following table presents the maturity distribution of the Company's loans (excluding loans held-for-sale) as of June 30, 2012. The table shows the distribution of such loans between those loans with predetermined (fixed) interest rates and those with variable (floating) interest rates. Floating rates generally fluctuate with changes in the prime rate as reflected in the Western Edition of The Wall

Street Journal. As of June 30, 2012, approximately 67% of the Company's loan portfolio consisted of floating interest rate loans.

	~	Due in Dne Year or Less	Y L	Over One Year But Less than ive Years	Fi	Over ive Years	Total
				(Dollars in	thou	isands)	
Commercial	\$	277,190	\$	35,094	\$	71,976	\$ 384,260
Real estate:							
Commercial and residential		112,323		160,976		59,749	333,048
Land and construction		19,322		500			19,822
Home equity		44,493		780		2,540	47,813
Consumer		12,745		180		99	13,024
Loans	\$	466,073	\$	197,530	\$	134,364	\$ 797,967
Loans with variable interest rates	\$	421,112	\$	35,788	\$	74,498	\$ 531,398
Loans with fixed interest rates		44,961		161,742		59,866	266,569
Loans	\$	466,073	\$	197,530	\$	134,364	\$ 797,967

### Loan Servicing

As of June 30, 2012 and 2011, \$160.6 million and \$172.1 million, respectively, in SBA loans were serviced by the Company for others. Activity for loan servicing rights was as follows:

		e Th En e 30	ded		For the Six Months Ended June 30,				
	2012 2011 (Dollars in					2012 201 (Dollars in			
		thous	and	s)	thousands)				
Beginning of period balance	\$	730	\$	869	\$	792	\$	915	
Additions		106		71		115		156	
Amortization		(56)		(104)		(127)		(235)	
End of period balance	\$	780	\$	836	\$	780	\$	836	

Loan servicing rights are included in accrued interest receivable and other assets on the unaudited consolidated balance sheets and reported net of amortization. There was no valuation allowance as of June 30, 2012 and 2011, as the fair value of the assets was greater than the carrying value.

Activity for the I/O strip receivable was as follows:

		For the Months June	Enc	For the Six Months Ended June 30,				
		2012		2011		2012		2011
	(1	Dollars in	thou	sands)				
Beginning of period balance	\$	2,113	\$	2,193	\$	2,094	\$	2,140
Amortization				(38)				(78)
Unrealized holding gain		27		30		46		123
End of period balance	\$	2,140	\$	2,185	\$	2,140	\$	2,185

#### Table of Contents

## Credit Quality

Financial institutions generally have a certain level of exposure to credit quality risk, and could potentially receive less than a full return of principal and interest if a debtor becomes unable or unwilling to repay. Since loans are the most significant assets of the Company and generate the largest portion of its revenues, the Company's management of credit quality risk is focused primarily on loan quality. Banks have generally suffered their most severe earnings declines as a result of customers' inability to generate sufficient cash flow to service their debts and/or downturns in national and regional economies and declines in overall asset values including real estate. In addition, certain debt securities that the Company may purchase have the potential of declining in value if the obligor's financial capacity to repay deteriorates.

The Company's policies and procedures identify market segments, set goals for portfolio growth or contraction, and establish limits on industry and geographic credit concentrations. In addition, these policies establish the Company's underwriting standards and the methods of monitoring ongoing credit quality. The Company's internal credit risk controls are centered in underwriting practices, credit granting procedures, training, risk management techniques, and familiarity with loan customers as well as the relative diversity and geographic concentration of our loan portfolio.

The Company's credit risk may also be affected by external factors such as the level of interest rates, employment, general economic conditions, real estate values, and trends in particular industries or geographic markets. As an independent community bank serving a specific geographic area, the Company must contend with the unpredictable changes in the general California market and, particularly, primary local markets. The Company's asset quality has suffered in the past from the impact of national and regional economic recessions, consumer bankruptcies, and depressed real estate values.

Nonperforming assets are comprised of the following: loans and loans held-for-sale for which the Company is no longer accruing interest; restructured loans which have been current under six months; loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing interest (although they are generally placed on nonaccrual when they become 90 days past due, unless they are both well-secured and in the process of collection); and foreclosed assets. Management's classification of a loan as "nonaccrual" is an indication that there is reasonable doubt as to the full recovery of principal or interest on the loan. At that point, the Company stops accruing interest income, and reverses any uncollected interest that had been accrued as income. The Company begins recognizing interest income only as cash interest payments are received and it has been determined the collection of all outstanding principal is not in doubt. The loans may or may not be collateralized, and collection efforts are pursued. Loans may be restructured by management when a borrower has experienced some change in financial status causing an inability to meet the original repayment terms and where the Company believes the borrower will eventually overcome those circumstances and make full restitution. Foreclosed assets consist of properties acquired by foreclosure or similar means that management is offering or will offer for sale. Total foreclosed assets were \$3.1 million at June 30, 2012, compared to \$248,000 at June 30, 2011, and \$2.3 million at December 31, 2011.

The following table summarizes the Company's nonperforming assets at the dates indicated:

	June 30,					ecember 31
		2012		2011		2011
		(1	Dolla	rs in thou	sands	)
Nonaccrual loans held-for-sale	\$	177	\$	202	\$	186
Nonaccrual loans held-for-investment		12,890		21,607		14,353
Restructured and loans over 90 days past due and still accruing		1,665		1,073		2,291
Total nonperforming loans		14,732		22,882		16,830
Foreclosed assets		3,098		248		2,312
Total nonperforming assets	\$	17,830	\$	23,130	\$	19,142
Nonperforming assets as a percentage of loans plus foreclosed assets plus nonaccruals loans						
held-for-sale		2.229	6	2.96%	6	2.50%
Nonperforming assets as a percentage of total assets Allowance for Loan Losses		1.35%	6	1.83%	þ	1.47%

The allowance for loan losses is an estimate of probable incurred losses in the loan portfolio. Loans are charged-off against the allowance when management believes the uncollectibility of a loan balance is confirmed. Subsequent recoveries, if any, are credited to the allowance for loan losses. Management's methodology for estimating the allowance balance consists of several key elements, which include specific allowances on individual impaired loans and the formula driven allowances on pools of loans with similar risk characteristics. Allocations of the allowance may be made for specific loans, but the entire allowance is available for any loan that, in management's judgment, should be charged-off.

Specific allowances are established for impaired loans. Management considers a loan to be impaired when it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the original contractual terms of the loan agreement, including scheduled interest payments. Loans for which the terms have been modified with a concession granted, and for which the borrower is experiencing financial difficulties, are considered troubled debt restructurings and classified as impaired. When a loan is considered to be impaired, the amount of impairment is measured based on the fair value of the collateral less costs to sell if the loan is collateral dependent, or on the present value of expected future cash flows or values that are observable in the secondary market. If the measure of the impaired loans is less than the investment in the loan, the deficiency will be charged off against the allowance for loan losses if the amount is a confirmed loss, or, alternatively, a specific allocation within the allowance will be established. Loans that are considered impaired are specifically excluded from the formula portion of the allowance for loan losses analysis.

The estimated loss factors for pools of loans that are not impaired are based on determining the probability of default and loss given default for loans within each segment of the portfolio, adjusted for significant factors that, in management's judgment, affect collectibility as of the evaluation date. The Company's historical delinquency experience and loss experience are utilized to determine the probability of default and loss given default for segments of the portfolio where the Company has experienced losses in the past. For segments of the portfolio where the Company has no significant prior loss experience, the Company uses quantifiable observable industry data to determine the probability of default and loss given default.



### Table of Contents

Loans that demonstrate a weakness for which there is a possibility of loss if the weakness is not corrected, are categorized as "classified." Classified assets include all loans considered as substandard, substandard-nonaccrual, and doubtful and may result from problems specific to a borrower's business or from economic downturns that affect the borrower's ability to repay or that cause a decline in the value of the underlying collateral (particularly real estate), and OREO. The principal balance of classified assets, net of SBA guarantees was \$54.9 million at June 30, 2012, \$76.1 million at June 30, 2011, and \$59.5 million at December 31, 2011. Included in the \$54.9 million of classified assets at June 30, 2012, were \$177,000 of loans held-for-sale. Loans held-for-sale are carried at the lower of cost or estimated fair value, and are not allocated an allowance for loan losses. Reducing classified assets will continue to be a focus for executive management, the lending staff and the Company's Special Assets Department.

It is the policy of management to maintain the allowance for loan losses at a level adequate for risks inherent in the loan portfolio. We have engaged an outside firm to perform independent credit reviews of our loan portfolio. The Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco ("FRB") and the California Department of Financial Institutions ("DFI") also review the allowance for loan losses as an integral part of the examination process. Based on information currently available, management believes that the allowance for loan losses is adequate. However, the loan portfolio can be adversely affected if California economic conditions and the real estate market in the Company's market area were to further weaken. Also, any weakness of a prolonged nature in the technology industry would have a negative impact on the local market. The effect of such events, although uncertain at this time, could result in an increase in the level of nonperforming loans and increased loan losses, which could adversely affect the Company's future growth and profitability. No assurance of the ultimate level of credit losses can be given with any certainty.

The following tables summarize the Company's loan loss experience, as well as provisions and charges to the allowance for loan losses and certain pertinent ratios for the periods indicated:

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2012									
	Commercial		<b>Real Estate</b>		Consumer			Total		
			(I	Oollars in th						
Balance, beginning of period	\$	13,734	\$	6,409	\$	163	\$	20,306		
Charge-offs		(1,280)		(101)				(1,381)		
Recoveries		60		223				283		
Net (charge-offs)/recoveries		(1,220)		122				(1,098)		
Provision/(credit) for loan losses		864		8		(57)		815		
Balance, end of period	\$	13,378	\$	6,539	\$	106	\$	20,023		
	-	,		,	-			·		
RATIOS:										
Net charge-offs to average loans(1)		0.62%	)	-0.06%	2	0.00%	,	0.56%		
Allowance for loan losses to total loans(1)		1.68%	)	0.82%	2	0.01%	,	2.51%		
Allowance for loan losses to nonperforming loans		90.81%	)	44.39%	,	0.72%	,	135.92%		

(1)

Average loans and total loans exclude loans held-for-sale.

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2011							
	Commercial		Rea	Real Estate		Consumer		Total
				(Dollars in thousands)				
Balance, beginning of period	\$	13,594	\$	9,539	\$	876	\$	24,009
Charge-offs		(1,681)		(601)		(8)		(2,290)
Recoveries		91		401		1		493
Net (charge-offs)/recoveries		(1,590)		(200)		(7)		(1,797)
Provision/(credit) for loan losses		1,988		(1,177)		144		955
Balance, end of period	\$	13,992	\$	8,162	\$	1,013	\$	23,167
		,		,		,		<i>,</i>
RATIOS:								
Net charge-offs to average loans(1)		0.80%	)	0.10%	, 2	0.00%	,	0.90%
Allowance for loan losses to total loans(1)		1.79%	)	1.04%	ว	0.13%	ว	2.96%
Allowance for loan losses to nonperforming loans		61.15%	)	35.67%	2	4.43%	,	101.25%

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2012							
	Con	nmercial	R	eal Estate	Co	onsumer		Total
				Dollars in the				
Balance, beginning of period	\$	13,215	\$	7,338	\$	147	\$	20,700
Charge-offs		(2,190)		(146)				(2,336)
Recoveries		521		223				744
Net (charge-offs)/recoveries		(1,669)		77				(1,592)
Provision/(credit) for loan losses		1,832		(876)		(41)		915
Balance, end of period	\$	13,378	\$	6,539	\$	106	\$	20,023
		,		,				,
RATIOS:								
Net charge-offs to average loans(1)		0.44%	,	-0.02%	,	0.00%	,	0.41%
Allowance for loan losses to total loans(1)		1.68%	,	0.82%	,	0.01%	,	2.51%
Allowance for loan losses to nonperforming loans		90.81%	,	44.39%	,	0.72%	,	135.92%

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2011							
	Commercial		Re	Real Estate		nsumer		Total
			( <b>I</b>	Oollars in the				
Balance, beginning of period	\$	13,952	\$	10,363	\$	889	\$	25,204
Charge-offs		(2,800)		(1,596)		(8)		(4,404)
Recoveries		230		411		1		642
Net (charge-offs)/recoveries		(2,570)		(1,185)		(7)		(3,762)
Provision/(credit) for loan losses		2,610		(1,016)		131		1,725
Balance, end of period	\$	13.992	\$	8.162	\$	1.013	\$	23,167
r i i i		- ,		- , -		,		-,
RATIOS:								
Net charge-offs to average loans(1)		0.64%	,	0.29%	,	0.00%	,	0.93%
Allowance for loan losses to total loans(1)		1.79%	,	1.04%	,	0.13%	,	2.96%
Allowance for loan losses to nonperforming loans		61.15%	,	35.67%	,	4.43%	,	101.25%

(1)

Average loans and total loans exclude loans held-for-sale.

The Company's allowance for loan losses decreased \$3.1 million at June 30, 2012 from June 30, 2011, and decreased \$677,000 from December 31, 2011. The decrease in the allowance for loan losses at June 30, 2012 from June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2011, was primarily due to a lower volume of classified assets and nonperforming loans.

#### Table of Contents

Net loans charged-off reflects the realization of losses in the portfolio that were partially recognized previously through provisions for loan losses. Net charge-offs were \$1.1 million and \$1.6 million for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, compared to net charge-offs of \$1.8 million and \$3.8 million for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011. Historical net loan charge-offs are not necessarily indicative of the amount of net charge-offs that the Company will realize in the future.

The following table provides a summary of the allocation of the allowance for loan losses for specific class at the dates indicated. The allocation presented should not be interpreted as an indication that charges to the allowance for loan losses will be incurred in these amounts or proportions, or that the portion of the allowance allocated to each category represents the total amount available for charge-offs that may occur within these classes.

#### Allocation of Allowance for Loan Losses

		June 3	0,			
	20	12	201	1	December	31, 2011
	Allowance	Percent of Loans in each category to total loans	Percent of Loans in each category to total Allowance loans		llowance	Percent of Loans in each category to total loans
			(Dollars in t	housands)		
Commercial	\$ 13,378	48% 5	\$ 13,992	46% \$	13,215	48%
Real estate	6,539	50%	8,162	52%	7,338	51%
Consumer	106	2%	1,013	2%	147	1%
Total	\$ 20,023	100% \$	\$ 23,167	100% \$	20,700	100%

#### Deposits

The composition and cost of the Company's deposit base are important components in analyzing the Company's net interest margin and balance sheet liquidity characteristics, both of which are discussed in greater detail in other sections herein. The Company's liquidity is impacted by the volatility of deposits or other funding instruments or, in other words, by the propensity of that money to leave the institution for rate-related or other reasons. Deposits can be adversely affected if economic conditions in California, and the Company's market area in particular, continue to weaken. Potentially, the most volatile deposits in a financial institution are jumbo certificates of deposit, meaning time deposits with balances that equal or exceed \$100,000, as customers with balances of that magnitude are typically more rate-sensitive than customers with smaller balances.

The following table summarizes the distribution of deposits and the percentage of distribution in each category of deposits for the periods indicated:

	June 30, 2012		June 30,	2011	December 31, 2011		
		Dalamas	% to Tatal	Dalamas	% to Tatal	Dalamas	% to
		Balance	Total	Balance	Total	Balance	Total
			(	Dollars in th	ousands)		
Demand deposits,							
noninterest-bearing	\$	367,937	33% \$	5 333,199	33% \$	344,303	33%
Demand deposits,							
interest-bearing		148,777	13%	128,464	13%	134,119	13%
Savings and money market		290,867	26%	276,538	28%	282,478	27%
Time deposits under \$100		28,009	3%	30,676	3%	28,557	2%
Time deposits \$100 and over		164,056	15%	114,208	11%	168,874	16%
Time deposits CDARS		5,427	1%	20,839	2%	6,371	1%
Time deposits brokered		97,680	9%	94,631	10%	84,726	8%
Total deposits	\$	1,102,753	100% \$	5 998,555	100% \$	1,049,428	100%

The Company obtains deposits from a cross-section of the communities it serves. The Company's business is not generally seasonal in nature. The Company is not dependent upon funds from sources outside the United States. Less than 5%, 1%, and 5% of deposits were from public sources, at June 30, 2012, June 30, 2011, and December 31, 2011, respectively.

Noninterest-bearing demand deposit accounts increased \$34.7 million, or 10%, at June 30, 2012 from June 30, 2011, and increased \$23.6 million, or 7%, from December 31, 2011. At June 30, 2012, the Company had \$56.8 million of securities pledged for \$50.0 million in certificates of deposits from the State of California. There were no certificates of deposit from the State of California at June 30, 2011. At December 31, 2011, the Company had \$56.5 million of securities pledged for \$50.0 million in certificates of deposits from the State of California. At June 30, 2012, brokered deposits increased \$3.1 million, or 3%, to \$97.7 million, compared to \$94.6 million at June 30, 2011, increased \$13.0 million, or 15%, from \$84.7 million at December 31, 2011.

The following table indicates the contractual maturity schedule of the Company's time deposits of \$100,000 and over, and all CDARS and brokered deposits as of June 30, 2012:

		Balance	% of Total			
	(Dollars in thousands)					
Three months or less	\$	108,618	41%			
Over three months through six months		28,278	11%			
Over six months through twelve months		56,646	21%			
Over twelve months		73,621	27%			
Total	\$	267,163	100%			

The Company focuses primarily on providing and servicing business deposit accounts that are frequently over \$100,000 in average balance per account. As a result, certain types of business clients that the Company serves typically carry average deposits in excess of \$100,000. The account activity for some account types and client types necessitates appropriate liquidity management practices by the Company to help ensure its ability to fund deposit withdrawals.

#### Return on Equity and Assets

The following table indicates the ratios for return on average assets and average equity, and average equity to average assets for the periods indicated:

	Three Month June 30		Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2012	2011	2012	2011	
Annualized return on average assets	0.81%	0.66%	0.72%	0.59%	
Annualized return on average tangible assets	0.81%	0.66%	0.72%	0.59%	
Annualized return on average equity	6.61%	4.50%	5.44%	4.01%	
Annualized return on average tangible equity	6.71%	4.57%	5.52%	4.08%	
Average equity to average assets ratio	12.23%	14.68%	13.29%	14.65%	
<b>Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements</b>					

In the normal course of business, the Company makes commitments to extend credit to its customers as long as there are no violations of any conditions established in the contractual arrangements. These commitments are obligations that represent a potential credit risk to the Company, yet are not reflected on the Company's consolidated balance sheets. Total unused commitments to extend credit were \$298.9 million at June 30, 2012, as compared to \$273.2 million at June 30, 2011 and \$284.8 million at December 31, 2011. Unused commitments represented 37%, 35%, and 37% of outstanding gross loans at June 30, 2012 and 2011, and December 31, 2011, respectively.

The effect on the Company's revenues, expenses, cash flows and liquidity from the unused portion of the commitments to provide credit cannot be reasonably predicted, because there is no certainty that lines of credit and letters of credit will ever be fully utilized. The following table presents the Company's commitments to extend credit for the periods indicated:

				Jun	e 30	,						
		2012 Variable				2011 Variable				December 31, 2011 Variable		
	Fiz	xed Rate		Rate	Fi	xed Rate		Rate	Fiz	ked Rate		Rate
					(	Dollars ir	n the	ousands)				
Unused lines of credit and												
commitments to make loans	\$	15,375	\$	272,191	\$	8,369	\$	247,040	\$	15,723	\$	257,342
Standby letters of credit		2,200		9,103		2,291		15,514		2,291		9,482
	\$	17,575	\$	281,294	\$	10,660	\$	262,554	\$	18,014	\$	266,824

#### Liquidity and Asset/Liability Management

Liquidity refers to the Company's ability to maintain cash flows sufficient to fund operations and to meet obligations and other commitments in a timely and cost effective fashion. At various times the Company requires funds to meet short-term cash requirements brought about by loan growth or deposit outflows, the purchase of assets, or liability repayments. An integral part of the Company's ability to manage its liquidity position appropriately is the Company's large base of core deposits, which are generated by offering traditional banking services in its service area and which have, historically, been a stable source of funds. To manage liquidity needs properly, cash inflows must be timed to coincide with anticipated outflows or sufficient liquidity resources must be available to meet varying demands. The Company manages liquidity to be able to meet unexpected sudden changes in levels of its assets or deposit liabilities without maintaining excessive amounts of balance sheet liquidity. Excess balance sheet liquidity can negatively impact the Company's interest margin. In order to meet

# Edgar Filing: HERITAGE COMMERCE CORP - Form 10-Q

#### Table of Contents

short-term liquidity needs, the Company utilizes overnight Federal funds purchase arrangements and other borrowing arrangements with correspondent banks, solicits brokered deposits if cost effective deposits are not available from local sources and maintains collateralized lines of credit with the FHLB and FRB. In addition, the Company can raise cash for temporary needs by selling securities under agreements to repurchase and selling securities available-for-sale.

One of the measures we analyze for liquidity is our loan to deposit ratio. Our loan to deposit ratio was 72.37% at June 30, 2012 compared to 78.32% at June 30, 2011, and 72.86% at December 31, 2011.

#### FHLB and FRB Borrowings and Available Lines of Credit

The Company has off-balance sheet liquidity in the form of Federal funds purchase arrangements with correspondent banks, including the FHLB and FRB. The Company can borrow from the FHLB on a short-term (typically overnight) or long-term (over one year) basis. The Company had no overnight borrowings from the FHLB at June 30, 2012, June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2011. The Company had \$197.4 million of loans pledged to the FHLB as collateral on an available line of credit of \$114.3 million at June 30, 2012.

The Company can also borrow from FRB's discount window. The Company had \$275.3 million of loans pledged to the FRB as collateral on an available line of credit of \$199.0 million at June 30, 2012, none of which was outstanding.

At June 30, 2012, the Company had Federal funds purchase arrangements available of \$55 million. There were no Federal funds purchased outstanding at June 30, 2012, June 30, 2011, and December 31, 2011.

The Company may also utilize securities sold under repurchase agreements to manage our liquidity position. There were no securities sold under agreements to repurchase at June 30, 2012, June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2011.

The following table summarizes the Company's borrowings under its Federal funds purchased, security repurchase arrangements and lines of credit for the periods indicated:

	June 30,			De	cember 31,
	2012		2011		2011
	(De	ollaı	s in thou	sand	s)
Average balance year-to-date	\$ 1,582	\$	1,436	\$	712
Average interest rate year-to-date	0.22%	)	3.37%	, ,	3.37%
Maximum month-end balance during the quarter	\$ 27,000	\$		\$	5,000
Average rate at period-end	N/A		N/A		N/A
Capital Resources					

The Company uses a variety of measures to evaluate capital adequacy. Management reviews various capital measurements on a regular basis and takes appropriate action to ensure that such measurements are within established internal and external guidelines. The external guidelines, which are issued by the Federal Reserve Board and the FDIC, establish a risk-adjusted ratio relating capital to different categories of assets and off-balance sheet exposures. There are two categories of capital under the Federal Reserve Board and FDIC guidelines: Tier 1 and Tier 2 Capital. Our Tier 1 Capital currently consists of total shareholders' equity (excluding accumulated other comprehensive income or loss) and the proceeds from the issuance of trust preferred securities (trust preferred securities are counted only up to a maximum of 25% of Tier 1 capital), less goodwill and other intangible assets and disallowed deferred tax assets. Our Tier 2 Capital includes the allowances for loan losses and off-balance sheet credit losses.



The following table summarizes risk-based capital, risk-weighted assets, and risk-based capital ratios of the consolidated Company:

	June	e 30	,	De	ecember 31,
	2012		2011		2011
	(D	olla	rs in thousan	ds)	
Capital components:					
Tier 1 Capital	\$ 165,974	\$	194,001	\$	199,423
Tier 2 Capital	13,033		11,825		12,181
Total risk-based capital	\$ 179,007	\$	205,826	\$	211,604
Risk-weighted assets	\$ 1,035,545	\$	934,361	\$	965,756
Average assets for capital purposes	\$ 1,302,189	\$	1,248,090	\$	1,300,002

			Re	gulatory I	Minimum Regulatory equirements
Capital ratios:					-
Total risk-based capital	17.3%	22.0%	21.9%	10.00%	8.00%
Tier 1 risk-based capital	16.0%	20.8%	20.6%	6.00%	4.00%
Leverage(1)	12.7%	15.5%	15.3%	N/A	4.00%

## (1)

Tier 1 capital divided by quarterly average assets (excluding goodwill, other intangible assets and disallowed deferred tax assets).

The table above presents the capital ratios of the consolidated Company computed in accordance with applicable regulatory guidelines and compared to the standards for minimum capital adequacy requirements for bank holding companies.

The following table summarizes risk-based capital, risk-weighted assets, and risk-based capital ratios of HBC:

	June 30, I		December 31,		
	2012		2011		2011
	( <b>D</b>	olla	rs in thousan	ds)	
Capital components:					
Tier 1 Capital	\$ 154,480	\$	170,524	\$	178,697
Tier 2 Capital	13,024		11,843		12,207
Total risk-based capital	\$ 167,504	\$	182,367	\$	190,904
Risk-weighted assets	\$ 1,034,804	\$	935,830	\$	967,898
Average assets for capital purposes	\$ 1,301,471	\$	1,249,964	\$	1,301,859

			Re	egulatory R	Ainimum egulatory quirements
Capital ratios:					
Total risk-based capital	16.2%	19.5%	19.7%	10.00%	8.00%
Tier 1 risk-based capital	14.9%	18.2%	18.5%	6.00%	4.00%
Leverage(1)	11.9%	13.6%	13.7%	5.00%	4.00%

(1)

Tier 1 capital divided by quarterly average assets (excluding goodwill, other intangible assets and disallowed deferred tax assets).

# Edgar Filing: HERITAGE COMMERCE CORP - Form 10-Q

#### Table of Contents

The table above presents the capital ratios of HBC computed in accordance with applicable regulatory guidelines and compared to the standards for minimum capital adequacy requirements under the FDIC's prompt corrective action authority.

Due primarily to the \$40 million repurchase of the Series A Preferred Stock during the first quarter of 2012, the Company's total risk-based capital ratio, tier 1 risk-based capital ratio, and leverage ratio at June 30, 2012 decreased to 17.3%, 16.0%, and 12.7%, compared to 22.0%, 20.8%, and 15.5% at June 30, 2011, and 21.9%, 20.6%, and 15.3% at December 31, 2011, respectively. HBC's total risk-based capital ratio, tier 1 risk-based capital ratio, and leverage ratio at June 30, 2012 decreased to 16.2%, 14.9%, and 11.9%, compared to 19.5%, 18.2%, and 13.6% at June 30, 2011, and 19.7%, 18.5%, and 13.7% at December 31, 2011, respectively. However, at June 30, 2012, the Company's and HBC's capital ratios exceed the highest regulatory capital requirement of "well-capitalized" under prompt corrective action provisions.

At June 30, 2012, the Company had total shareholders' equity of \$164.7 million, including \$19.5 million in preferred stock, \$131.4 million in common stock, \$10.6 million in retained earnings, and \$3.2 million of accumulated other comprehensive income. The components of other comprehensive income, net of taxes, at June 30, 2012 include the following: an unrealized gain on available-for-sale securities of \$7.1 million, an unrealized loss on the supplemental executive retirement plan of (\$2.9) million, an unrealized loss on the split dollar insurance contracts of (\$2.2) million and an unrealized gain on interest-only strip from SBA loans of \$1.2 million.

#### Mandatory Redeemable Cumulative Trust Preferred Securities

To enhance regulatory capital and to provide liquidity, the Company, through unconsolidated subsidiary grantor trusts, issued the following mandatory redeemable cumulative trust preferred securities of subsidiary grantor trusts: In the first quarter of 2000, the Company issued \$7.0 million principal amount of 10.875% fixed-rate subordinated debt due on March 8, 2030, and common securities of \$217,000 to a subsidiary trust, which in turn issued a similar amount of trust preferred securities. In the third quarter of 2000, the Company issued \$7.0 million principal amount of 10.60% fixed-rate subordinated debt due on September 7, 2030, and common securities of \$206,000 to a subsidiary trust, which in turn issued a similar amount of trust preferred securities. In the third quarter of 2001, the Company issued \$5.2 million aggregate principal amount of Floating Rate Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Debentures due on July 31, 2031 to a subsidiary trust, which in turn issued a similar amount of trust preferred securities. In the third quarter of 2002, the Company issued \$4.1 million of aggregate principal amount of Floating Rate Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Debentures due on September 26, 2032 to a subsidiary trust, which in turn issued a similar amount of trust preferred securities. The subordinated debt is recorded as a component of long-term debt and includes the value of the common stock issued by the trusts to the Company. The common stock is recorded as other assets for the amount issued. Under applicable regulatory guidelines, the trust preferred securities currently qualify as Tier I capital. The subsidiary trusts are not consolidated in the Company's consolidated financial statements. Under the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, certain trust preferred securities will no longer be eligible to be included as Tier 1 capital for regulatory purposes. However, an exception to this statutory prohibition applies to securities issued prior to May 19, 2010 by bank holding companies with less than \$15 billion of total assets; therefore, our trust preferred securities will continue to be eligible to be treated as Tier 1 capital, subject to other rules and limitations.

In July 2012, the Company provided notice to the holders that it intends to redeem the Company's \$14 million Fixed-Rate Sub Debt. The redemption of the 10.60% fixed-rate subordinated debentures is expected to be completed on September 7, 2012, and the 10.875% fixed-rate subordinated debentures on September 8, 2012. The Company's and HBC's June 30, 2012 regulatory capital ratios and pro forma capital ratios including the redemption of the \$14 million Fixed-Rate Sub Debt plus the related

redemption premium, and the \$15 million cash contribution from HBC are detailed in the tables below. The Company's and HBC's pro forma June 30, 2012 regulatory capital ratios all significantly exceed the well-capitalized requirements.

	Actual June 30, 2012	Pro Forma(1) June 30, 2012	Well-Capitalized Regulatory Requirements
Heritage Commerce Corp:			
Total risk-based capital ratio	17.3%	15.9%	10.0%
Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio	16.0%	14.6%	6.0%
Leverage ratio	12.7%	11.6%	N/A

(1)

Assumes redemption of \$14 million Fixed-Rate Sub Debt and related redemption premiums at June 30, 2012.

	Actual June 30, 2012	Pro Forma(2) June 30, 2012	Well-Capitalized Regulatory Requirements
Heritage Bank of Commerce:			
Total risk-based capital ratio	16.2%	14.7%	10.0%
Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio	14.9%	13.5%	6.0%
Leverage ratio	11.9%	10.7%	5.0%

(2)

Assumes HBC \$15 million cash distribution at June 30, 2012.

#### U.S. Treasury Capital Purchase Program

The Company received \$40 million in November 2008 through the issuance of its Series A Preferred Stock and a warrant to purchase 462,963 shares of its common stock to the Treasury through the U.S. Treasury Capital Purchase Program. The Series A Preferred Stock qualifies as a component of Tier 1 capital.

On March 7, 2012, in accordance with approvals received from the U.S. Treasury and the Federal Reserve, the Company repurchased all of the Series A Preferred Stock and paid the related accrued and unpaid dividends. The repurchase of the Series A Preferred Stock will save \$2.0 million in annual dividends. At the time the Company repurchased the Series A Preferred Stock, it did not repurchase the related warrant. The warrant was outstanding as of the date of this report.

#### Series C Preferred Stock

On June 21, 2010, the Company issued to various institutional investors 21,004 shares of newly issued Series C Convertible Perpetual Preferred Stock ("Series C Preferred Stock"). The Series C Preferred Stock is mandatorily convertible into common stock at a conversion price of \$3.75 per share upon both approval by the shareholders and thereafter, a subsequent transfer of the Series C Preferred stock to third parties not affiliates with the holder in a widely dispersed offering.

The Series C Preferred Stock remains outstanding until it has been converted into common stock in accordance with its terms. The Series C Preferred Stock is non-voting except in the case of certain transactions that would affect the rights of the holders of the Series C Preferred Stock or applicable law. Holders of Series C Preferred Stock will receive dividends if and only to the extent dividends are paid to holders of common stock. The Series C Preferred Stock is not redeemable by the Company or by the holders and has a liquidation preference of \$1,000 per share. The Series C Preferred Stock ranks senior to the Company's common stock.

#### Market Risk

Market risk is the risk of loss of future earnings, fair values, or future cash flows that may result from changes in the price of a financial instrument. The value of a financial instrument may change as a result of changes in interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates, commodity prices, equity prices and other market changes that affect market risk sensitive instruments. Market risk is attributed to all market risk sensitive financial instruments, including securities, loans, deposits and borrowings, as well as the Company's role as a financial intermediary in customer-related transactions. The objective of market risk management is to avoid excessive exposure of the Company's earnings and equity to loss and to reduce the volatility inherent in certain financial instruments.

#### **Interest Rate Management**

Market risk arises from changes in interest rates, exchange rates, commodity prices and equity prices. The Company's market risk exposure is primarily that of interest rate risk, and it has established policies and procedures to monitor and limit earnings and balance sheet exposure to changes in interest rates. The Company does not engage in the trading of financial instruments, nor does the Company have exposure to currency exchange rates.

The principal objective of interest rate risk management (often referred to as "asset/liability management") is to manage the financial components of the Company in a manner that will optimize the risk/reward equation for earnings and capital in relation to changing interest rates. The Company's exposure to market risk is reviewed on a regular basis by the Asset/Liability Committee. Interest rate risk is the potential of economic losses due to future interest rate changes. These economic losses can be reflected as a loss of future net interest income and/or a loss of current fair market values. The objective is to measure the effect on net interest income and to adjust the balance sheet to minimize the inherent risk while at the same time maximizing income. Management realizes certain risks are inherent, and that the goal is to identify and manage the risks. Management uses two methodologies to manage interest rate risk: (i) a standard GAP analysis; and (ii) an interest rate shock simulation model.

The planning of asset and liability maturities is an integral part of the management of an institution's net interest margin. To the extent maturities of assets and liabilities do not match in a changing interest rate environment, the net interest margin may change over time. Even with perfectly matched repricing of assets and liabilities, risks remain in the form of prepayment of loans or securities or in the form of delays in the adjustment of rates of interest applying to either earning assets with floating rates or to interest bearing liabilities. The Company has generally been able to control its exposure to changing interest rates by maintaining primarily floating interest rate loans and a majority of its time certificates with relatively short maturities.

Interest rate changes do not affect all categories of assets and liabilities equally or at the same time. Varying interest rate environments can create unexpected changes in prepayment levels of assets and liabilities, which may have a significant effect on the net interest margin and are not reflected in the interest sensitivity analysis table. Because of these factors, an interest sensitivity gap report may not provide a complete assessment of the exposure to changes in interest rates.

The Company uses modeling software for asset/liability management in order to simulate the effects of potential interest rate changes on the Company's net interest margin, and to calculate the estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments under different interest rate scenarios. The program imports current balances, interest rates, maturity dates and repricing information for individual financial instruments, and incorporates assumptions on the characteristics of embedded options along with pricing and duration for new volumes to project the effects of a given interest rate change on the Company's interest income and interest expense. Rate scenarios consisting of key rate and yield curve projections are run against the Company's investment, loan, deposit and borrowed funds portfolios. These rate projections can be shocked (an immediate and parallel change in all base rates, up or down)



and ramped (an incremental increase or decrease in rates over a specified time period), based on current trends and econometric models or stable economic conditions (unchanged from current actual levels).

The following table sets forth the estimated changes in the Company's annual net interest income that would result from the designated instantaneous parallel shift in interest rates noted, as of June 30, 2012. Computations of prospective effects of hypothetical interest rate changes are based on numerous assumptions including relative levels of market interest rates, loan prepayments and deposit decay, and should not be relied upon as indicative of actual results.

	Increase/(Decrease) in Estimated Net Interest Income				
	A	mount	Percent		
	(	(Dollars in thousands)			
Change in Interest Rates (basis points)					
+400	\$	14,842	30.4%		
+300	\$	11,587	23.7%		
+200	\$	7,804	16.0%		
+100	\$	3,758	7.7%		
0	\$		0.0%		
-100	\$	(5,356)	-11.0%		
-200	\$	(9,085)	-18.6%		

This data does not reflect any actions that we may undertake in response to changes in interest rates such as changes in rates paid on certain deposit accounts based on local competitive factors, which could reduce the actual impact on net interest income.

As with any method of gauging interest rate risk, there are certain shortcomings inherent to the methodology noted above. The model assumes interest rate changes are instantaneous parallel shifts in the yield curve. In reality, rate changes are rarely instantaneous. The use of the simplifying assumption that short-term and long-term rates change by the same degree may also misstate historic rate patterns, which rarely show parallel yield curve shifts. Further, the model assumes that certain assets and liabilities of similar maturity or period to repricing will react in the same way to changes in rates. In reality, certain types of financial instruments may react in advance of changes in market rates, while the reaction of other types of financial instruments may lag behind the change in general market rates. Additionally, the methodology noted above does not reflect the full impact of annual and lifetime restrictions on changes in rates for certain assets, such as adjustable rate loans. When interest rates change, actual loan prepayments and actual early withdrawals from certificates may deviate significantly from the assumptions used in the model. Finally, this methodology does not measure or reflect the impact that higher rates may have on adjustable-rate loan clients' ability to service their debt. All of these factors are considered in monitoring the Company's exposure to interest rate risk.

## CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Critical accounting policies are discussed in our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. There are no changes to these policies as of June 30, 2012.

#### ITEM 3 QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The information concerning quantitative and qualitative disclosure or market risk called for by Item 305 of Regulation S-K is included as part of Item 2 above.

#### **ITEM 4 CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

#### **Disclosure Control and Procedures**

The Company has carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as of June 30, 2012. As defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), disclosure controls and procedures are controls and procedures designed to reasonably assure that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act are recorded, processed, summarized and reported on a timely basis. Disclosure controls are also designed to reasonably assure that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Based upon their evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded the Company's disclosure controls were effective as of June 30, 2012, the period covered by this report on Form 10-Q.

During the six months ended June 30, 2012, there were no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

## Part II OTHER INFORMATION

#### ITEM 1 LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The Company is involved in certain legal actions arising from normal business activities. Management, based upon the advice of legal counsel, believes the ultimate resolution of all pending legal actions will not have a material effect on the financial statements of the Company.

## **ITEM 1A RISK FACTORS**

In addition to the other information set forth in this Report, you should carefully consider the factors discussed in Part I, "Item 1A. Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, which could materially affect our business, financial condition and/or operating results. There were no material changes from risk factors previously disclosed in our 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K. The risk factors identified are in addition to those contained in any other cautionary statements, written or oral, which may be made or otherwise addressed in connection with a forward-looking statement or contained in any of our subsequent filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

#### ITEM 2 UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

None

#### **ITEM 3 DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES**

None

#### ITEM 4 MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

None

#### **ITEM 5 OTHER INFORMATION**

None

# **ITEM 6 EXHIBITS**

Exhibit 3.1	<b>Description</b> Heritage Commerce Corp Restated Articles of Incorporation, as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 4, 2010)
3.2	Certificate of Amendment of Articles of Incorporation of Heritage Commerce Corp as filed with the California Secretary of State on June 1, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed July 23, 2010).
3.3	Heritage Commerce Corp Bylaws, as amended (incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Registration Statement Form S-1 filed on July 23, 2010)
4.1	Warrant to Purchase Common Stock dated November 21, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Registrant's Form 8-K filed on November 26, 2008)
4.2	Certificate of Determination for Series C Convertible Perpetual Preferred Stock (incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Form 8-K filed on June 22, 2010)
12.1	Calculation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges and Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges and Preferred Stock Dividends
31.1	Certification of Registrant's Chief Executive Officer Pursuant To Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Certification of Registrant's Chief Financial Officer Pursuant To Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1	Certification of Registrant's Chief Executive Officer Pursuant To 18 U.S.C. Section 1350
32.2	Certification of Registrant's Chief Financial Officer Pursuant To 18 U.S.C. Section 1350
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document, furnished herewith
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document, furnished herewith
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document, furnished herewith
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document, furnished herewith
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document, furnished herewith
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document, furnished herewith 71

## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

	Heritage Commerce Corp (Registrant)
Date: August 8, 2012	/s/ WALTER T. KACZMAREK
	Walter T. Kaczmarek Chief Executive Officer
Date: August 8, 2012	/s/ LAWRENCE D. MCGOVERN
	Lawrence D. McGovern Chief Financial Officer 72

# Edgar Filing: HERITAGE COMMERCE CORP - Form 10-Q

# Table of Contents

# EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit 3.1	<b>Description</b> Heritage Commerce Corp Restated Articles of Incorporation, as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 4, 2010)
3.2	Certificate of Amendment of Articles of Incorporation of Heritage Commerce Corp as filed with the California Secretary of State on June 1, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed July 23, 2010).
3.3	Heritage Commerce Corp Bylaws, as amended (incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Registration Statement Form S-1 filed on July 23, 2010)
4.1	Warrant to Purchase Common Stock dated November 21, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Registrant's Form 8-K filed on November 26, 2008)
4.2	Certificate of Determination for Series C Convertible Perpetual Preferred Stock (incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Form 8-K filed on June 22, 2010)
12.1	Calculation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges and Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges and Preferred Stock Dividends
31.1	Certification of Registrant's Chief Executive Officer Pursuant To Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Certification of Registrant's Chief Financial Officer Pursuant To Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1	Certification of Registrant's Chief Executive Officer Pursuant To 18 U.S.C. Section 1350
32.2	Certification of Registrant's Chief Financial Officer Pursuant To 18 U.S.C. Section 1350
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document, furnished herewith
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document, furnished herewith
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document, furnished herewith
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document, furnished herewith
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document, furnished herewith
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document, furnished herewith 73