

FIRSTENERGY CORP  
Form 10-Q  
April 23, 2018

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q  
(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2018

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission Registrant; State of Incorporation; I.R.S. Employer  
File Number Address; and Telephone Number Identification No.

333-21011 FIRSTENERGY CORP. 34-1843785  
(An Ohio Corporation)  
76 South Main Street  
Akron, OH 44308  
Telephone (800)736-3402

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, smaller reporting company, or an emerging growth company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," "smaller reporting company," and "emerging growth company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large Accelerated Filer

Accelerated Filer

Non-accelerated Filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller Reporting Company

Emerging Growth Company

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standard provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes  No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date:

| CLASS                                                              | OUTSTANDING<br>AS OF MARCH<br>31, 2018 |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| FirstEnergy Corp., \$0.10 par value                                | 476,909,318                            |
| FirstEnergy Web Site and Other Social Media Sites and Applications |                                        |

FirstEnergy's Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, amendments to those reports and all other documents filed with or furnished to the SEC pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 are made available free of charge on or through the "Investors" page of FirstEnergy's web site at [www.firstenergycorp.com](http://www.firstenergycorp.com). The public may also read and copy any reports or other information that FirstEnergy files with the SEC at the SEC's public reference room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. The public may obtain information on the operation of the SEC's public reference room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. These documents are also available to the public from commercial document retrieval services and the website maintained by the SEC at [www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov).

These SEC filings are posted on the web site as soon as reasonably practicable after they are electronically filed with or furnished to the SEC. Additionally, FirstEnergy routinely posts additional important information, including press releases, investor presentations and notices of upcoming events under the "Investors" section of FirstEnergy's web site and recognizes FirstEnergy's web site as a channel of distribution to reach public investors and as a means of disclosing material non-public information for complying with disclosure obligations under Regulation FD. Investors may be notified of postings to the web site by signing up for email alerts and RSS feeds on the "Investors" page of FirstEnergy's web site. FirstEnergy also uses Twitter® and Facebook® as additional channels of distribution to reach public investors and as a supplemental means of disclosing material non-public information for complying with its disclosure obligations under Regulation FD. Information contained on FirstEnergy's web site, Twitter® handle or Facebook® page, and any corresponding applications of those sites, shall not be deemed incorporated into, or to be part of, this report.

Forward-Looking Statements: This Form 10-Q includes forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 based on information currently available to management. Such statements are subject to certain risks and uncertainties and readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. These statements include declarations regarding management's intents, beliefs and current expectations. These statements typically contain, but are not limited to, the terms "anticipate," "potential," "expect," "forecast," "target," "will," "intend," "believe," "project," "estimate," "plan" and similar words. Forward-looking statements involve estimates, assumptions, known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause actual results, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements, which may include the following (see Glossary of Terms for definitions of capitalized terms):

• The ability to successfully execute an exit of commodity-based generation that minimizes cash outflows and associated liabilities, including, without limitation, the losses, guarantees, claims and other obligations of FirstEnergy as such relate to the entities previously consolidated into FirstEnergy, including FES and FENOC, which have recently filed for bankruptcy protection.

• The potential for litigation and demands for payment against FirstEnergy by FES and FENOC or certain of their creditors.

• The risks associated with the bankruptcy cases of FES and FENOC, including, but not limited to, third-party motions in the cases that could adversely affect FirstEnergy, its liquidity or results of operations.

• The ability to experience growth in the Regulated Distribution and Regulated Transmission segments and the effectiveness of our strategy to operate as a fully regulated business.

• The accomplishment of our regulatory and operational goals in connection with our transmission and distribution investment plans, including, but not limited to, our planned transition to forward-looking formula rates.

• Changes in assumptions regarding economic conditions within our territories, assessment of the reliability of our transmission and distribution system, or the availability of capital or other resources supporting identified transmission and distribution investment opportunities.

• The ability to accomplish or realize anticipated benefits from strategic and financial goals, including, but not limited to, the ability to grow earnings in our regulated businesses, continue to reduce costs and to successfully execute our financial plans designed to improve our credit metrics and strengthen our balance sheet.

• The risks and uncertainties associated with litigation, arbitration, mediation and like proceedings.

• The uncertainties associated with the deactivation of our remaining commodity-based generating units, including the impact on vendor commitments, and as it relates to the reliability of the transmission grid, the timing thereof.

• Costs being higher than anticipated and the success of our policies to control costs.

• The uncertainty of the timing and amounts of the capital expenditures that may arise in connection with any litigation, including NSR litigation, or potential regulatory initiatives or rulemakings.

• Changes in customers' demand for power, including, but not limited to, changes resulting from the implementation of state and federal energy efficiency and peak demand reduction mandates.

• Economic and weather conditions affecting future sales, margins and operations, such as significant weather events, and all associated regulatory events or actions.

• Changes in national and regional economic conditions affecting FirstEnergy and/or our major industrial and commercial customers, and other counterparties with which we do business.

• The impact of labor disruptions by our unionized workforce.

• The risks associated with cyber-attacks and other disruptions to our information technology system that may compromise our generation, transmission and/or distribution services and data security breaches of sensitive data, intellectual property and proprietary or personally identifiable information regarding our business, employees, shareholders, customers, suppliers, business partners and other individuals in our data centers and on our networks.

• The impact of the regulatory process and resulting outcomes on the matters at the federal level and in the various states in which we do business, including, but not limited to, matters related to rates.

The impact of the federal regulatory process on FERC-regulated entities and transactions, in particular FERC regulation of PJM wholesale energy and capacity markets and cost-of-service rates, as well as FERC's compliance and enforcement activity, including compliance and enforcement activity related to NERC's mandatory reliability standards.

• The uncertainties of various cost recovery and cost allocation issues resulting from ATSI's realignment into PJM.

• The ability to comply with applicable state and federal reliability standards and energy efficiency and peak demand reduction mandates.

• Other legislative and regulatory changes, including the federal administration's required review and potential revision of environmental requirements, including, but not limited to, the effects of the EPA's CPP, CCR, CSAPR and MATS programs, including our estimated costs of compliance, CWA waste water effluent limitations for power plants, and CWA 316(b) water intake regulation.

• Changing market conditions that could affect the measurement of certain liabilities and the value of assets held in our pension trusts and other trust funds, and cause us and/or our subsidiaries to make additional contributions sooner, or in amounts that are larger, than currently anticipated.

• The impact of changes to significant accounting policies.

• The impact of any changes in tax laws or regulations, including the Tax Act, or adverse tax audit results or rulings.

---

The ability to access the public securities and other capital and credit markets in accordance with our financial plans, the cost of such capital and overall condition of the capital and credit markets affecting us and our subsidiaries. Further actions that may be taken by credit rating agencies that could negatively affect us and/or our subsidiaries' access to financing, increase the costs thereof, LOCs and other financial guarantees, and the impact of these events on the financial condition and liquidity of FE and/or its subsidiaries.

Issues concerning the stability of domestic and foreign financial institutions and counterparties with which we do business.

The risks and other factors discussed from time to time in our SEC filings, and other similar factors.

Dividends declared from time to time on FE's common stock, and thereby on FE's preferred stock, during any period may in the aggregate vary from prior periods due to circumstances considered by FE's Board of Directors at the time of the actual declarations. A security rating is not a recommendation to buy or hold securities and is subject to revision or withdrawal at any time by the assigning rating agency. Each rating should be evaluated independently of any other rating.

These forward-looking statements are also qualified by, and should be read together with, the risk factors included in FirstEnergy's filings with the SEC, including but not limited to this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, which risk factors supersede and replace the risk factors contained in the Annual Report on Form 10-K, and any subsequent Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q or Current Reports on Form 8-K. The foregoing review of factors also should not be construed as exhaustive. New factors emerge from time to time, and it is not possible for management to predict all such factors, nor assess the impact of any such factor on FirstEnergy's business or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements. FirstEnergy expressly disclaims any obligation to update or revise, except as required by law, any forward-looking statements contained herein as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

---

TABLE OF CONTENTS

|                                                                                               | Page |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| Part I. Financial Information                                                                 |      |
| <u>Glossary of Terms</u>                                                                      | ii   |
| Item 1. Financial Statements                                                                  |      |
| <u>FirstEnergy Corp.</u>                                                                      |      |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Income</u>                                                      | 1    |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income</u>                                        | 2    |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>                                                            | 3    |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>                                                  | 4    |
| <u>Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements</u>                                             | 5    |
| Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 40   |
| <u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>                     | 71   |
| <u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u>                                                        | 71   |
| Part II. Other Information                                                                    |      |
| <u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u>                                                              | 71   |
| <u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>                                                                  | 71   |
| <u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>                    | 84   |
| <u>Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>                                                | 85   |
| <u>Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures</u>                                                        | 86   |
| Item 5. Other Information                                                                     | 86   |
| <u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>                                                                       | 87   |

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

The following abbreviations and acronyms are used in this report to identify FirstEnergy Corp. and its current and former subsidiaries:

|                 |                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| AE              | Allegheny Energy, Inc., a Maryland utility holding company that merged with a subsidiary of FirstEnergy on February 25, 2011, which subsequently merged with and into FE on January 1, 2014                          |
| AESC            | Allegheny Energy Service Corporation, a subsidiary of FirstEnergy Corp.                                                                                                                                              |
| AE Supply       | Allegheny Energy Supply Company, LLC, an unregulated generation subsidiary of FE                                                                                                                                     |
| AGC             | Allegheny Generating Company, a generation subsidiary of AE Supply and equity method investee of MP                                                                                                                  |
| ATSI            | American Transmission Systems, Incorporated, formerly a direct subsidiary of FE that became a subsidiary of FET in April 2012, which owns and operates transmission facilities                                       |
| BU Energy       | Buchanan Energy Company of Virginia, LLC, a subsidiary of AE Supply, and formerly a 50% owner in a joint venture that owns the Buchanan Generating Facility                                                          |
| Buchanan Energy | Buchanan Generation, LLC, formerly a joint venture between AE Supply and CNX Gas Corporation                                                                                                                         |
| CEI             | The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, an Ohio electric utility operating subsidiary                                                                                                                           |
| CES             | Competitive Energy Services, formerly a reportable operating segment of FirstEnergy                                                                                                                                  |
| FE              | FirstEnergy Corp., a public utility holding company                                                                                                                                                                  |
| FENOC           | FirstEnergy Nuclear Operating Company, a subsidiary of FE, which operates NG's nuclear generating facilities                                                                                                         |
| FES             | FirstEnergy Solutions Corp., together with its consolidated subsidiaries, FG, NG, FE Aircraft Leasing Corp., Norton Energy Storage L.L.C. and FGMUC, which provides unregulated energy-related products and services |
| FESC            | FirstEnergy Service Company, which provides legal, financial and other corporate support services                                                                                                                    |
| FET             | FirstEnergy Transmission, LLC, formerly known as Allegheny Energy Transmission, LLC, which is the parent of ATSI, TrAIL and MAIT, and has a joint venture in PATH                                                    |
| FEV             | FirstEnergy Ventures Corp., which invests in certain unregulated enterprises and business ventures                                                                                                                   |
| FG              | FirstEnergy Generation, LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of FES, which owns and operates non-nuclear generating facilities                                                                                             |
| FGMUC           | FirstEnergy Generation Mansfield Unit 1 Corp., a wholly owned subsidiary of FG, which has certain leasehold interests in a portion of Unit 1 at the Bruce Mansfield plant                                            |
| FirstEnergy     | FirstEnergy Corp., together with its consolidated subsidiaries                                                                                                                                                       |
| Global Holding  | Global Mining Holding Company, LLC, a joint venture between FEV, WMB Marketing Ventures, LLC and Pinesdale LLC                                                                                                       |
| Global Rail     | Global Rail Group, LLC, a subsidiary of Global Holding that owns coal transportation operations near Roundup, Montana                                                                                                |
| GPU             | GPU, Inc., former parent of JCP&L, ME and PN, that merged with FE on November 7, 2001                                                                                                                                |
| JCP&L           | Jersey Central Power & Light Company, a New Jersey electric utility operating subsidiary                                                                                                                             |
| MAIT            | Mid-Atlantic Interstate Transmission, LLC, a subsidiary of FET, which owns and operates transmission facilities                                                                                                      |
| ME              | Metropolitan Edison Company, a Pennsylvania electric utility operating subsidiary                                                                                                                                    |
| MP              | Monongahela Power Company, a West Virginia electric utility operating subsidiary                                                                                                                                     |
| NG              | FirstEnergy Nuclear Generation, LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of FES, which owns nuclear generating facilities                                                                                                      |

Edgar Filing: FIRSTENERGY CORP - Form 10-Q

|                        |                                                                                                                     |
|------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| OE                     | Ohio Edison Company, an Ohio electric utility operating subsidiary                                                  |
| Ohio Companies         | CEI, OE and TE                                                                                                      |
| PATH                   | Potomac-Appalachian Transmission Highline, LLC, a joint venture between FE and a subsidiary of AEP                  |
| PATH-Allegheny         | PATH Allegheny Transmission Company, LLC                                                                            |
| PATH-WV                | PATH West Virginia Transmission Company, LLC                                                                        |
| PE                     | The Potomac Edison Company, a Maryland and West Virginia electric utility operating subsidiary                      |
| Penn                   | Pennsylvania Power Company, a Pennsylvania electric utility operating subsidiary of OE                              |
| Pennsylvania Companies | ME, PN, Penn and WP                                                                                                 |
| PN                     | Pennsylvania Electric Company, a Pennsylvania electric utility operating subsidiary                                 |
| Signal Peak            | Signal Peak Energy, LLC, an indirect subsidiary of Global Holding that owns mining operations near Roundup, Montana |
| TE                     | The Toledo Edison Company, an Ohio electric utility operating subsidiary                                            |
| TrAIL                  | Trans-Allegheny Interstate Line Company, a subsidiary of FET, which owns and operates transmission facilities       |
| Utilities              | OE, CEI, TE, Penn, JCP&L, ME, PN, MP, PE and WP                                                                     |
| WP                     | West Penn Power Company, a Pennsylvania electric utility operating subsidiary                                       |

The following abbreviations and acronyms are used to identify frequently used terms in this report:

|      |                                   |
|------|-----------------------------------|
| AAA  | American Arbitration Association  |
| ADIT | Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes |



## GLOSSARY OF TERMS, Continued

|                     |                                                                                              |
|---------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| AEP                 | American Electric Power Company, Inc.                                                        |
| AFS                 | Available-for-sale                                                                           |
| AFUDC               | Allowance for Funds Used During Construction                                                 |
| ALJ                 | Administrative Law Judge                                                                     |
| AOCI                | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income                                                       |
| ARO                 | Asset Retirement Obligation                                                                  |
| ARP                 | Alternative Revenue Program                                                                  |
| ARR                 | Auction Revenue Right                                                                        |
| ASC                 | Accounting Standard Codification                                                             |
| ASU                 | Accounting Standards Update                                                                  |
| Bankruptcy Court    | U.S. Bankruptcy Court in the Northern District of Ohio in Akron                              |
| BGS                 | Basic Generation Service                                                                     |
| BNSF                | BNSF Railway Company                                                                         |
| BRA                 | PJM RPM Base Residual Auction                                                                |
| BSPC                | Bay Shore Power Company                                                                      |
| CAA                 | Clean Air Act                                                                                |
| CCR                 | Coal Combustion Residuals                                                                    |
| CERCLA              | Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980                |
| CFR                 | Code of Federal Regulations                                                                  |
| CO <sub>2</sub>     | Carbon Dioxide                                                                               |
| CPP                 | EPA's Clean Power Plan                                                                       |
| CSAPR               | Cross-State Air Pollution Rule                                                               |
| CSX                 | CSX Transportation, Inc.                                                                     |
| CTA                 | Consolidated Tax Adjustment                                                                  |
| CWA                 | Clean Water Act                                                                              |
| DCR                 | Delivery Capital Recovery                                                                    |
| DMR                 | Distribution Modernization Rider                                                             |
| DOE                 | United States Department of Energy                                                           |
| DPM                 | Distribution Platform Modernization                                                          |
| DR                  | Demand Response                                                                              |
| DSIC                | Distribution System Improvement Charge                                                       |
| DSP                 | Default Service Plan                                                                         |
| EDC                 | Electric Distribution Company                                                                |
| EDCP                | Executive Deferred Compensation Plan                                                         |
| EE&C                | Energy Efficiency and Conservation                                                           |
| EGS                 | Electric Generation Supplier                                                                 |
| ELPC                | Environmental Law & Policy Center                                                            |
| EmPOWER<br>Maryland | EmPOWER Maryland Energy Efficiency Act                                                       |
| ENEC                | Expanded Net Energy Cost                                                                     |
| EPA                 | United States Environmental Protection Agency                                                |
| EPS                 | Earnings per Share                                                                           |
| ERO                 | Electric Reliability Organization                                                            |
| ESP IV              | Electric Security Plan IV                                                                    |
| ESP IV PPA          | Unit Power Agreement entered into on April 1, 2016 by and between the Ohio Companies and FES |
| Facebook®           | Facebook is a registered trademark of Facebook, Inc.                                         |

|       |                                                                          |
|-------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| FASB  | Financial Accounting Standards Board                                     |
| FERC  | Federal Energy Regulatory Commission                                     |
| Fitch | Fitch Ratings                                                            |
| FMB   | First Mortgage Bond                                                      |
| FPA   | Federal Power Act                                                        |
| FTR   | Financial Transmission Right                                             |
| GAAP  | Accounting Principles Generally Accepted in the United States of America |

## GLOSSARY OF TERMS, Continued

|          |                                                     |
|----------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| GHG      | Greenhouse Gases                                    |
| HCl      | Hydrochloric Acid                                   |
| ICE      | Intercontinental Exchange, Inc.                     |
| IIP      | Infrastructure Investment Program                   |
| IRP      | Integrated Resource Plan                            |
| IRS      | Internal Revenue Service                            |
| kV       | Kilovolt                                            |
| KWH      | Kilowatt-hour                                       |
| LBR      | Little Blue Run                                     |
| LOC      | Letter of Credit                                    |
| LS Power | LS Power Equity Partners III, LP                    |
| LSE      | Load Serving Entity                                 |
| LTIPs    | Long-Term Infrastructure Improvement Plans          |
| MATS     | Mercury and Air Toxics Standards                    |
| MDPSC    | Maryland Public Service Commission                  |
| MISO     | Midcontinent Independent System Operator, Inc.      |
| MLP      | Master Limited Partnership                          |
| mmBTU    | Million British Thermal Units                       |
| Moody's  | Moody's Investors Service, Inc.                     |
| MOPR     | Minimum Offer Price Rule                            |
| MVP      | Multi-Value Project                                 |
| MW       | Megawatt                                            |
| MWH      | Megawatt-hour                                       |
| NAAQS    | National Ambient Air Quality Standards              |
| NDT      | Nuclear Decommissioning Trust                       |
| NERC     | North American Electric Reliability Corporation     |
| NJAPA    | New Jersey Administrative Procedure Act             |
| NJBPU    | New Jersey Board of Public Utilities                |
| NOL      | Net Operating Loss                                  |
| NOPR     | Notice of Proposed Rulemaking                       |
| NOV      | Notice of Violation                                 |
| NOx      | Nitrogen Oxide                                      |
| NPDES    | National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System     |
| NRC      | Nuclear Regulatory Commission                       |
| NS       | Norfolk Southern Corporation                        |
| NSR      | New Source Review                                   |
| NUG      | Non-Utility Generation                              |
| NYPSC    | New York State Public Service Commission            |
| OCA      | Office of Consumer Advocate                         |
| OCC      | Ohio Consumers' Counsel                             |
| OPEB     | Other Post-Employment Benefits                      |
| OPIC     | Other Paid-in Capital                               |
| ORC      | Ohio Revised Code                                   |
| OTTI     | Other Than Temporary Impairments                    |
| OVEC     | Ohio Valley Electric Corporation                    |
| PA DEP   | Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection |
| PCB      | Polychlorinated Biphenyl                            |

PCRB Pollution Control Revenue Bond  
PJM PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.  
PJM Region The aggregate of the zones within PJM  
PJM Tariff PJM Open Access Transmission Tariff  
PM Particulate Matter

iv

---

GLOSSARY OF TERMS, Continued

|                                            |                                                                     |
|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|
| POLR                                       | Provider of Last Resort                                             |
| POR                                        | Purchase of Receivables                                             |
| PPA                                        | Purchase Power Agreement                                            |
| PPB                                        | Parts Per Billion                                                   |
| PPUC                                       | Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission                              |
| PSA                                        | Power Supply Agreement                                              |
| PSD                                        | Prevention of Significant Deterioration                             |
| PUCO                                       | Public Utilities Commission of Ohio                                 |
| PURPA                                      | Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978                      |
| RCRA                                       | Resource Conservation and Recovery Act                              |
| REC                                        | Renewable Energy Credit                                             |
| Regulation FD                              | Regulation Fair Disclosure promulgated by the SEC                   |
| REIT                                       | Real Estate Investment Trust                                        |
| RFC                                        | ReliabilityFirst Corporation                                        |
| RFP                                        | Request for Proposal                                                |
| RGGI                                       | Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative                                  |
| ROE                                        | Return on Equity                                                    |
| RRS                                        | Retail Rate Stability                                               |
| RSS                                        | Rich Site Summary                                                   |
| RTEP                                       | Regional Transmission Expansion Plan                                |
| RTO                                        | Regional Transmission Organization                                  |
| RWG                                        | Restructuring Working Group                                         |
| S&P                                        | Standard & Poor's Ratings Service                                   |
| SB310                                      | Substitute Ohio Senate Bill No. 310                                 |
| SBC                                        | Societal Benefits Charge                                            |
| SEC                                        | United States Securities and Exchange Commission                    |
| Seventh Circuit                            | United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit              |
| SIP                                        | State Implementation Plan(s) Under the Clean Air Act                |
| SO <sub>2</sub>                            | Sulfur Dioxide                                                      |
| Sixth Circuit                              | United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit                |
| SOS                                        | Standard Offer Service                                              |
| SPE                                        | Special Purpose Entity                                              |
| SREC                                       | Solar Renewable Energy Credit                                       |
| SSO                                        | Standard Service Offer                                              |
| Tax Act                                    | Tax Cuts and Jobs Act, adopted December 22, 2017                    |
| TDS                                        | Total Dissolved Solid                                               |
| TMI-2                                      | Three Mile Island Unit 2                                            |
| TO                                         | Transmission Owner                                                  |
| Twitter®                                   | Twitter is a registered trademark of Twitter, Inc.                  |
| U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit | United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit |
| VEPCO                                      | Virginia Electric and Power Company                                 |
| VIE                                        | Variable Interest Entity                                            |
| VMP                                        | Vegetation Management Plan                                          |
| VMS                                        | Vegetation Management Surcharge                                     |
| VSCC                                       | Virginia State Corporation Commission                               |
| WVDEP                                      | West Virginia Department of Environmental Protection                |



## PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## ITEM I. Financial Statements

FIRSTENERGY CORP.  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME  
(Unaudited)

| (In millions, except per share amounts)                                         | For the Three<br>Months Ended<br>March 31, |         |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------|
|                                                                                 | 2018                                       | 2017    |
| REVENUES:                                                                       |                                            |         |
| Regulated Distribution                                                          | \$2,576                                    | \$2,500 |
| Regulated Transmission                                                          | 323                                        | 313     |
| Other                                                                           | 77                                         | 42      |
| Total revenues*                                                                 | 2,976                                      | 2,855   |
| OPERATING EXPENSES:                                                             |                                            |         |
| Fuel                                                                            | 187                                        | 204     |
| Purchased power                                                                 | 825                                        | 791     |
| Other operating expenses                                                        | 962                                        | 657     |
| Provision for depreciation                                                      | 294                                        | 250     |
| Amortization (deferral) of regulatory assets, net                               | (148 )                                     | 83      |
| General taxes                                                                   | 259                                        | 242     |
| Total operating expenses                                                        | 2,379                                      | 2,227   |
| OPERATING INCOME                                                                | 597                                        | 628     |
| OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE):                                                         |                                            |         |
| Miscellaneous income                                                            | 67                                         | 14      |
| Interest expense                                                                | (250 )                                     | (245 )  |
| Capitalized financing costs                                                     | 15                                         | 12      |
| Total other expense                                                             | (168 )                                     | (219 )  |
| INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES                                                      | 429                                        | 409     |
| INCOME TAXES                                                                    | 252                                        | 152     |
| INCOME FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS                                               | 177                                        | 257     |
| Discontinued operations (net of income tax benefits of \$890 and \$26) (Note 3) | 1,192                                      | (52 )   |
| NET INCOME                                                                      | \$1,369                                    | \$205   |
| INCOME ALLOCATED TO PREFERRED STOCKHOLDERS (Note 4)                             | 156                                        | —       |
| NET INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE TO COMMON STOCKHOLDERS                                  | \$1,213                                    | \$205   |

EARNINGS PER SHARE OF COMMON STOCK (Note 4):

|                                                          |        |         |
|----------------------------------------------------------|--------|---------|
| Basic - Continuing Operations                            | \$0.04 | \$0.58  |
| Basic - Discontinued Operations                          | 2.51   | (0.12 ) |
| Basic - Net Income Attributable to Common Stockholders   | \$2.55 | \$0.46  |
| Diluted - Continuing Operations                          | \$0.04 | \$0.58  |
| Diluted - Discontinued Operations                        | 2.50   | (0.12 ) |
| Diluted - Net Income Attributable to Common Stockholders | \$2.54 | \$0.46  |

WEIGHTED AVERAGE NUMBER OF SHARES OUTSTANDING:

|         |     |     |
|---------|-----|-----|
| Basic   | 476 | 443 |
| Diluted | 478 | 444 |

DIVIDENDS DECLARED PER SHARE OF COMMON STOCK \$0.72 \$0.72

\* Includes excise tax collections of \$102 million and \$100 million in the three months ended March 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively.

The accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these financial statements.



FIRSTENERGY CORP.  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME  
(Unaudited)

| (In millions)                                               | For the Three<br>Months Ended<br>March 31, |       |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|-------|
|                                                             | 2018                                       | 2017  |
| NET INCOME                                                  | \$1,369                                    | \$205 |
| OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS):                          |                                            |       |
| Pension and OPEB prior service costs                        | (18 )                                      | (18 ) |
| Amortized losses on derivative hedges                       | 15                                         | 3     |
| Change in unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities | (106 )                                     | 16    |
| Other comprehensive income (loss)                           | (109 )                                     | 1     |
| Income tax benefits on other comprehensive income (loss)    | (53 )                                      | —     |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax               | (56 )                                      | 1     |
| COMPREHENSIVE INCOME                                        | \$1,313                                    | \$206 |

The accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these financial statements.

FIRSTENERGY CORP.  
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS  
(Unaudited)

| (In millions, except share amounts)                                                     | March 31,<br>2018 | December 31,<br>2017 |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| <b>ASSETS</b>                                                                           |                   |                      |
| <b>CURRENT ASSETS:</b>                                                                  |                   |                      |
| Cash and cash equivalents                                                               | \$ 248            | \$ 588               |
| Restricted cash                                                                         | 51                | 51                   |
| Receivables-                                                                            |                   |                      |
| Customers, net of allowance for uncollectible accounts of \$50 in 2018 and \$49 in 2017 | 1,279             | 1,282                |
| Affiliated companies, net of allowance for uncollectible accounts of \$624              | 44                | —                    |
| Other, net of allowance for uncollectible accounts of \$1 in 2018 and 2017              | 159               | 170                  |
| Materials and supplies, at average cost                                                 | 273               | 262                  |
| Prepaid taxes and other                                                                 | 254               | 151                  |
| Current assets - discontinued operations                                                | 2                 | 606                  |
|                                                                                         | 2,310             | 3,110                |
| <b>PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT:</b>                                                   |                   |                      |
| In service                                                                              | 37,717            | 37,270               |
| Less — Accumulated provision for depreciation                                           | 10,267            | 10,098               |
|                                                                                         | 27,450            | 27,172               |
| Construction work in progress                                                           | 1,120             | 1,004                |
|                                                                                         | 28,570            | 28,176               |
| <b>PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT, NET - DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS</b>                     | <b>353</b>        | <b>1,057</b>         |
| <b>INVESTMENTS:</b>                                                                     |                   |                      |
| Nuclear plant decommissioning trusts                                                    | 800               | 822                  |
| Nuclear fuel disposal trust                                                             | 251               | 251                  |
| Other                                                                                   | 252               | 255                  |
| Investments - discontinued operations                                                   | —                 | 1,875                |
|                                                                                         | 1,303             | 3,203                |
| <b>DEFERRED CHARGES AND OTHER ASSETS:</b>                                               |                   |                      |
| Goodwill                                                                                | 5,618             | 5,618                |
| Regulatory assets                                                                       | 49                | 40                   |
| Other                                                                                   | 592               | 697                  |
| Deferred charges and other assets - discontinued operations                             | —                 | 356                  |
|                                                                                         | 6,259             | 6,711                |
|                                                                                         | \$ 38,795         | \$ 42,257            |
| <b>LIABILITIES AND CAPITALIZATION</b>                                                   |                   |                      |
| <b>CURRENT LIABILITIES:</b>                                                             |                   |                      |
| Currently payable long-term debt                                                        | \$ 1,157          | \$ 558               |
| Short-term borrowings                                                                   | 1,200             | 300                  |
| Accounts payable                                                                        | 1,005             | 827                  |
| Accrued taxes                                                                           | 530               | 533                  |
| Accrued compensation and benefits                                                       | 254               | 257                  |
| Collateral                                                                              | 37                | 39                   |
| Other                                                                                   | 882               | 626                  |
| Current liabilities - discontinued operations                                           | —                 | 973                  |

|                                                                                                                                                                         |           |           |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
|                                                                                                                                                                         | 5,065     | 4,113     |
| <b>CAPITALIZATION:</b>                                                                                                                                                  |           |           |
| Stockholders' equity-                                                                                                                                                   |           |           |
| Common stock, \$0.10 par value, authorized 700,000,000 shares - 476,909,318 and 445,334,111 shares outstanding as of March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively | 48        | 44        |
| Mandatorily convertible preferred stock, \$100 par value, authorized 5,000,000 shares - 1,616,000 shares issued and outstanding as of March 31, 2018                    | 162       | —         |
| Other paid-in capital                                                                                                                                                   | 11,937    | 10,001    |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income                                                                                                                                  | 86        | 142       |
| Accumulated deficit                                                                                                                                                     | (4,858    | ) (6,262  |
| Total stockholders' equity                                                                                                                                              | 7,375     | 3,925     |
| Long-term debt and other long-term obligations                                                                                                                          | 16,740    | 18,816    |
|                                                                                                                                                                         | 24,115    | 22,741    |
| <b>NONCURRENT LIABILITIES:</b>                                                                                                                                          |           |           |
| Accumulated deferred income taxes                                                                                                                                       | 2,505     | 3,171     |
| Retirement benefits                                                                                                                                                     | 2,717     | 3,975     |
| Regulatory liabilities                                                                                                                                                  | 2,632     | 2,720     |
| Asset retirement obligations                                                                                                                                            | 580       | 570       |
| Adverse power contract liability                                                                                                                                        | 124       | 130       |
| Other                                                                                                                                                                   | 1,057     | 1,438     |
| Noncurrent liabilities - discontinued operations                                                                                                                        | —         | 3,399     |
|                                                                                                                                                                         | 9,615     | 15,403    |
| <b>COMMITMENTS, GUARANTEES AND CONTINGENCIES (Note 14)</b>                                                                                                              |           |           |
|                                                                                                                                                                         | \$ 38,795 | \$ 42,257 |

The accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these financial statements.

FIRSTENERGY CORP.  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
(Unaudited)

|                                                                                                                                  | For the Three<br>Months Ended<br>March 31, |        |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|--------|
|                                                                                                                                  | 2018                                       | 2017   |
| (In millions)                                                                                                                    |                                            |        |
| <b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:</b>                                                                                     |                                            |        |
| Net income                                                                                                                       | \$1,369                                    | \$205  |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash from operating activities-                                                       |                                            |        |
| Gain on deconsolidation, net of tax (Note 3)                                                                                     | (1,239 )                                   | —      |
| Depreciation and amortization, including nuclear fuel, regulatory assets, net, intangible assets and deferred debt-related costs | 280                                        | 416    |
| Deferred income taxes and investment tax credits, net                                                                            | 278                                        | 114    |
| Retirement benefits, net of payments                                                                                             | (46 )                                      | 10     |
| Pension trust contributions                                                                                                      | (1,250 )                                   | —      |
| Unrealized (gain) loss on derivative transactions                                                                                | (10 )                                      | 47     |
| Changes in current assets and liabilities-                                                                                       |                                            |        |
| Receivables                                                                                                                      | 32                                         | 68     |
| Materials and supplies                                                                                                           | 36                                         | 11     |
| Prepaid taxes and other                                                                                                          | (144 )                                     | (111 ) |
| Accounts payable                                                                                                                 | 96                                         | 45     |
| Accrued taxes                                                                                                                    | (145 )                                     | (131 ) |
| Accrued compensation and benefits                                                                                                | (108 )                                     | (137 ) |
| Other current liabilities                                                                                                        | (15 )                                      | 20     |
| Collateral, net                                                                                                                  | (7 )                                       | 58     |
| Other                                                                                                                            | (7 )                                       | 170    |
| Net cash provided from (used for) operating activities                                                                           | (880 )                                     | 785    |
| <b>CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:</b>                                                                                     |                                            |        |
| New Financing-                                                                                                                   |                                            |        |
| Long-term debt                                                                                                                   | —                                          | 250    |
| Short-term borrowings, net                                                                                                       | 900                                        | 75     |
| Preferred stock issuance                                                                                                         | 1,616                                      | —      |
| Common stock issuance                                                                                                            | 850                                        | —      |
| Redemptions and Repayments-                                                                                                      |                                            |        |
| Long-term debt                                                                                                                   | (1,476 )                                   | (211 ) |
| Preferred stock dividend payments                                                                                                | (21 )                                      | —      |
| Common stock dividend payments                                                                                                   | (171 )                                     | (159 ) |
| Other                                                                                                                            | (19 )                                      | (13 )  |
| Net cash provided from (used for) financing activities                                                                           | 1,679                                      | (58 )  |
| <b>CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:</b>                                                                                     |                                            |        |
| Property additions                                                                                                               | (583 )                                     | (588 ) |
| Nuclear fuel                                                                                                                     | —                                          | (132 ) |
| Proceeds from asset sales                                                                                                        | 20                                         | —      |
| Sales of investment securities held in trusts                                                                                    | 300                                        | 738    |
| Purchases of investment securities held in trusts                                                                                | (322 )                                     | (761 ) |
| Notes receivable from affiliated companies                                                                                       | (500 )                                     | —      |

Edgar Filing: FIRSTENERGY CORP - Form 10-Q

|                                        |          |        |
|----------------------------------------|----------|--------|
| Asset removal costs                    | (57 )    | (35 )  |
| Other                                  | (1 )     | (1 )   |
| Net cash used for investing activities | (1,143 ) | (779 ) |

|                                                                      |        |       |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|-------|
| Net change in cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash          | (344 ) | (52 ) |
| Cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash at beginning of period | 643    | 260   |
| Cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash at end of period       | \$299  | \$208 |

SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION:

|                                                                |          |     |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|----------|-----|
| Non-cash transaction, beneficial conversion feature (Note 4)   | \$296    | \$— |
| Non-cash transaction, deemed dividend preferred stock (Note 4) | \$(113 ) | \$— |

The accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these financial statements.

FIRSTENERGY CORP.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(Unaudited)

| Note<br>Number |                                                  | Page<br>Number |
|----------------|--------------------------------------------------|----------------|
| <u>1</u>       | <u>Organization and Basis of Presentation</u>    | <u>6</u>       |
| 2              | Revenue                                          | <u>8</u>       |
| 3              | Discontinued Operations                          | <u>10</u>      |
| 4              | Earnings Per Share of Common Stock               | <u>14</u>      |
| 5              | <u>Pension and Other Postemployment Benefits</u> | <u>15</u>      |
| 6              | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income           | <u>17</u>      |
| 7              | Income Taxes                                     | <u>18</u>      |
| 8              | Variable Interest Entities                       | <u>18</u>      |
| 9              | Fair Value Measurements                          | <u>20</u>      |
| 10             | Derivative Instruments                           | <u>23</u>      |
| 11             | Capitalization                                   | <u>24</u>      |
| 12             | Asset Retirement Obligations                     | <u>25</u>      |
| 13             | Regulatory Matters                               | <u>26</u>      |
| 14             | Commitments, Guarantees and Contingencies        | <u>33</u>      |
| 15             | Segment Information                              | <u>37</u>      |
| 16             | Subsequent Events                                | <u>39</u>      |

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

1. ORGANIZATION AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Unless otherwise indicated, defined terms and abbreviations used herein have the meanings set forth in the accompanying Glossary of Terms.

FE was incorporated under Ohio law in 1996. FE's principal business is the holding, directly or indirectly, of all of the outstanding equity of its principal subsidiaries: OE, CEI, TE, Penn (a wholly owned subsidiary of OE), JCP&L, ME, PN, FESC, AE Supply, MP, PE, WP, FET and its principal subsidiaries (ATSI, MAIT and TrAIL), and AESC. In addition, FE holds all of the outstanding equity of other direct subsidiaries including: FirstEnergy Properties, Inc., FEV, FELHC, Inc., GPU Nuclear, Inc., and Allegheny Ventures, Inc.

FE and its subsidiaries are principally involved in the transmission, distribution and generation of electricity. FirstEnergy's ten utility operating companies comprise one of the nation's largest investor-owned electric systems, based on serving over six million customers in the Midwest and Mid-Atlantic regions. FirstEnergy's transmission operations include approximately 24,500 miles of lines and two regional transmission operation centers. Additionally, its regulated generation subsidiaries control 3,790 MWs of capacity.

These interim financial statements have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the SEC for Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q. Certain information and disclosures normally included in financial statements and notes prepared in accordance with GAAP have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations. These interim financial statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2017.

FE and its subsidiaries follow GAAP and comply with the related regulations, orders, policies and practices prescribed by the SEC, FERC, and, as applicable, the NRC, the PUCO, the PPUC, the MDPSC, the NYPSC, the WVPS, the VSCC and the NJBPU. The accompanying interim financial statements are unaudited, but reflect all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring adjustments, that, in the opinion of management, are necessary for a fair statement of the financial statements. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make periodic estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. Actual results could differ from these estimates. The reported results of operations are not necessarily indicative of results of operations for any future period. FE and its subsidiaries have evaluated events and transactions for potential recognition or disclosure through the date the financial statements were issued.

FE and its subsidiaries consolidate all majority-owned subsidiaries over which they exercise control and, when applicable, entities for which they have a controlling financial interest. Intercompany transactions and balances are eliminated in consolidation as appropriate. FE and its subsidiaries consolidate a VIE when it is determined that it is the primary beneficiary (see Note 8, "Variable Interest Entities"). Investments in affiliates over which FE and its subsidiaries have the ability to exercise significant influence, but do not have a controlling financial interest, follow the equity method of accounting. Under the equity method, the interest in the entity is reported as an investment in the Consolidated Balance Sheets and the percentage of FE's ownership share of the entity's earnings is reported in the Consolidated Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income.

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation, as discussed in "New Accounting Pronouncements" and Note 3, "Discontinued Operations."

FES and FENOC Chapter 11 Filing

On March 31, 2018, FES and FENOC announced that, in order to facilitate an orderly financial restructuring, they filed voluntary petitions under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code with the Bankruptcy Court (which is referred to throughout as the FES Bankruptcy). As a result of the bankruptcy filings, FirstEnergy has concluded that it no longer has a controlling interest in FES or FENOC as the entities are subject to the control of the Bankruptcy Court and, accordingly, as of March 31, 2018, FES and FENOC were deconsolidated from FirstEnergy's consolidated financial statements. FE will account for its investments in FES and FENOC with fair values of zero. FE concluded that in connection with the disposal, FES and FENOC became discontinued operations. In connection with the disposal, FE has recorded a gain on deconsolidation of approximately \$1.2 billion for the three months ended March 31, 2018. See Note 3, "Discontinued Operations," for additional information.

#### Capitalized Financing Costs

For each of the three months ended March 31, 2018 and 2017, capitalized financing costs on FirstEnergy's Consolidated Statements of Income include \$11 million and \$8 million, respectively, of allowance for equity funds used during construction and \$4 million and \$4 million, respectively, of capitalized interest.



## Restricted Cash

Restricted cash primarily relates to the consolidated VIE's discussed in Note 8, "Variable Interest Entities." The cash collected from JCP&L, MP, PE and the Ohio Companies' customers is used to service debt of their respective funding companies.

## New Accounting Pronouncements

### Recently Adopted Pronouncements

ASU 2014-09, "Revenue from Contracts with Customers" (Issued May 2014 and subsequently updated to address implementation questions): The new revenue recognition guidance establishes a new control-based revenue recognition model, changes the basis for deciding when revenue is recognized over time or at a point in time, provides new and more detailed guidance on specific topics and expands and improves disclosures about revenue. FirstEnergy evaluated its revenues and the new guidance had immaterial impacts to recognition practices upon adoption on January 1, 2018. As part of the adoption, FirstEnergy elected to apply the new guidance on a modified retrospective basis. FirstEnergy did not record a cumulative effect adjustment to retained earnings for initially applying the new guidance as no revenue recognition differences were identified in the timing or amount of revenue. In addition, upon adoption, certain immaterial financial statement presentation changes were implemented. See Note 2, "Revenue," for additional information on FirstEnergy revenues.

ASU 2016-01, "Financial Instruments-Overall: Recognition and Measurement of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities" (Issued January 2016 and subsequently updated in 2018): ASU 2016-01 primarily affects the accounting for equity investments, financial liabilities under the fair value option, and the presentation and disclosure requirements for financial instruments. FirstEnergy adopted this standard on January 1, 2018, and recognizes all gains and losses for equity securities in income with the exception of those that are accounted for under the equity method of accounting. The NDT equity portfolios of JCP&L, ME and PN will not be impacted as unrealized gains and losses will continue to be offset against regulatory assets or liabilities. As a result of adopting this standard, FirstEnergy recorded a cumulative effect adjustment to retained earnings of \$115 million (pre-tax) on January 1, 2018, representing unrealized gains on equity securities with FES NDTs that were previously recorded to AOCI. Following deconsolidation of FES and FENOC, the adoption of this standard is not expected to have a material impact on FirstEnergy's financial statements as the majority of its equity securities are offset against a regulatory asset or liability.

ASU 2016-18, "Restricted Cash" (Issued November 2016): ASU 2016-18 addresses the presentation of changes in restricted cash and restricted cash equivalents in the statement of cash flows. The guidance is required to be applied retrospectively. As a result of adopting this standard, FirstEnergy's statement of cash flows report changes in the total of cash, cash equivalents, restricted cash and restricted cash equivalents. Prior periods have been recasted to conform to the current year presentation.

ASU 2017-01, "Business Combinations: Clarifying the Definition of a Business" (Issued January 2017): ASU 2017-01 assists entities with evaluating whether transactions should be accounted for as acquisitions (or disposals) of assets or businesses. FirstEnergy adopted ASU 2017-01 on January 1, 2018. The ASU will be applied prospectively to future transactions.

ASU 2017-04, "Goodwill Impairment" (Issued January 2017): Simplifies the accounting for goodwill impairment by removing Step 2 of the current test, which requires calculation of a hypothetical purchase price allocation. Under the revised guidance, goodwill impairment will be measured as the amount by which a reporting unit's carrying value exceeds its fair value, not to exceed the carrying amount of goodwill (currently Step 1 of the two-step impairment test). Entities will continue to have the option to perform a qualitative assessment to determine if a quantitative

impairment test is necessary. FirstEnergy has elected to early adopt ASU 2017-04 as of January 1, 2018, and will apply this standard on a prospective basis.

ASU 2017-07, "Compensation-Retirement Benefits: Improving the Presentation of Net Periodic Pension Cost and Net Periodic Postretirement Benefit Cost" (Issued March 2017): ASU 2017-07 requires entities to retrospectively (1) disaggregate the current-service-cost component from the other components of net benefit cost (the "other components") and present it with other current compensation costs for related employees in the income statement and (2) present the other components elsewhere in the income statement and outside of income from operations if such a subtotal is presented. In addition, only service costs are eligible for capitalization on a prospective basis. FirstEnergy adopted ASU 2017-07 on January 1, 2018. Because the non-service cost components of net benefit cost are no longer eligible for capitalization after December 31, 2017, FirstEnergy has recognized these components in income as a result of adopting this standard. FirstEnergy reclassified approximately \$8 million of non-service costs from Other operating expense to Miscellaneous income for the three months ended March 31, 2017.

ASU 2018-02, "Reclassification of Certain Tax Effects from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income" (Issued February 2018): ASU 2018-02 allows entities to reclassify from AOCI to retained earnings stranded tax effects resulting from the Tax Act. FirstEnergy early adopted this standard during the first quarter of 2018 and has elected to present the change in the period of adoption. Upon adoption, FirstEnergy recorded a \$22 million cumulative effect adjustment for stranded tax effects, such as pension and OPEB prior service costs and losses on derivative hedges, to retained earnings on January 1, 2018, of which \$8 million was related to FES and FENOC.

ASU 2018-05, "Income Taxes (Topic 740): Amendments to SEC Paragraphs Pursuant to SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 118" (Issued March 2018): ASU 2018-05, effective 2018, expands income tax accounting and disclosure guidance to include SAB 118 issued by the SEC in December 2017. SAB 118 provides guidance on accounting for the income tax effects of the Tax Act and

among other things allows for a measurement period not to exceed one year for companies to finalize the provisional amounts recorded as of December 31, 2017. See Note 7, "Income taxes," for additional information on FirstEnergy's accounting for the Tax Act.

**Recently Issued Pronouncements** - The following new authoritative accounting guidance issued by the FASB has not yet been adopted. Unless otherwise indicated, FirstEnergy is currently assessing the impact such guidance may have on its financial statements and disclosures, as well as the potential to early adopt where applicable. FirstEnergy has assessed other FASB issuances of new standards not described below or in the 2017 Annual Report on Form 10-K based upon the current expectation that such new standards will not significantly impact FirstEnergy's financial reporting. Below is an update to the discussion of pronouncements contained in the 2017 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

ASU 2016-02, "Leases (Topic 842)" (Issued February 2016) and ASU 2018-01, "Leases (Topic 842): Land Easement Practical Expedient for Transition to Topic 842" (Issued January 2018): ASU 2016-02 will require organizations that lease assets with lease terms of more than 12 months to recognize assets and liabilities for the rights and obligations created by those leases on their balance sheets. In addition, new qualitative and quantitative disclosures of the amounts, timing, and uncertainty of cash flows arising from leases will be required. The ASU will be effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2018, with early adoption permitted. ASU 2018-01 (same effective date and transition requirements as ASU 2016-02) provides an optional transition practical expedient that, if elected, would not require an entity to reconsider its accounting for existing land easements that are not currently accounted for under the old leases standard. FirstEnergy does not plan to adopt these standards early. Lessors and lessees will be required to apply a modified retrospective transition approach, which requires adjusting the accounting for any leases existing at the beginning of the earliest comparative period presented in the adoption-period financial statements. Any leases that expire before the initial application date will not require any accounting adjustment. FirstEnergy expects an increase in assets and liabilities; however, it is currently assessing the impact on its Consolidated Financial Statements, including monitoring utility industry implementation guidance. FirstEnergy is in the process of developing a complete lease inventory, as well as identifying, assessing and documenting technical accounting issues, policy considerations and financial reporting implications. In addition, FirstEnergy is implementing a third-party software tool that will assist with the initial adoption and ongoing compliance.

## 2. REVENUE

FirstEnergy accounts for revenues from contracts with customers under ASC 606, Revenue from Contracts with Customers, which became effective January 1, 2018. As part of the adoption of ASC 606, FirstEnergy applied the new standard on a modified retrospective basis analyzing open contracts as of January 1, 2018. However, no cumulative effect adjustment to retained earnings was necessary as no revenue recognition differences were identified when comparing the revenue recognition criteria under ASC 606 to previous requirements.

Revenue from leases, financial instruments, other contractual rights or obligations and other revenues that are not from contracts with customers are outside the scope of the new standard and accounted for under other existing GAAP. FirstEnergy has elected to exclude sales taxes and other similar taxes collected on behalf of third parties from revenue as prescribed in the new standard. As a result, tax collections and remittances within the scope of this election are excluded from recognition in the income statement and instead recorded through the balance sheet, consistent with FirstEnergy's accounting process prior to the adoption of ASC 606. Excise and gross receipts taxes that are assessed on FirstEnergy are not subject to the election and are included in revenue. FirstEnergy has elected the optional invoice practical expedient for most of its revenues and, with the exception of JCP&L transmission, utilizes the optional short-term contract exemption for transmission revenues due to the annual establishment of revenue requirements, which eliminates the need to provide certain revenue disclosures regarding unsatisfied performance obligations. For a qualitative overview of FirstEnergy's performance obligations, see below.

FirstEnergy's revenues are primarily derived from electric service provided by its Utilities and transmission (ATSI, TrAIL and MAIT) subsidiaries.

8

---

The following table represents a disaggregation of revenue from contracts with customers for the three months ended March 31, 2018, by type of service from each reportable segment:

| Revenues by Type of Service                  | For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2018 |                        |                                                            |          |
|----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
|                                              | Regulated Distribution                    | Regulated Transmission | Corporate/Other and Reconciling Adjustments <sup>(1)</sup> | Total    |
|                                              | (In millions)                             |                        |                                                            |          |
| Distribution services <sup>(2)</sup>         | \$ 1,281                                  | \$ —                   | \$ (12 )                                                   | \$ 1,269 |
| Retail generation                            | 1,040                                     | —                      | (14 )                                                      | 1,026    |
| Wholesale sales                              | 123                                       | —                      | 120                                                        | 243      |
| Transmission <sup>(2)</sup>                  | —                                         | 319                    | —                                                          | 319      |
| Other                                        | 35                                        | —                      | —                                                          | 35       |
| Total revenues from contracts with customers | \$ 2,479                                  | \$ 319                 | \$ 94                                                      | \$ 2,892 |
| ARP                                          | 64                                        | —                      | —                                                          | 64       |
| Other non-customer revenue                   | 33                                        | 4                      | (17 )                                                      | 20       |
| Total revenues                               | \$ 2,576                                  | \$ 323                 | \$ 77                                                      | \$ 2,976 |

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes eliminations and reconciling adjustments of inter-segment revenues.

<sup>(2)</sup> Includes \$76 million in reductions to revenue related to amounts subject to refund resulting from the Tax Act (\$72 million at Regulated Distribution and \$4 million at Regulated Transmission).

Other non-customer revenue includes revenue from derivatives of \$10 million for the three months ended March 31, 2018.

### Regulated Distribution

The Regulated Distribution segment distributes electricity through FirstEnergy's ten utility operating companies and also controls 3,790 MWs of regulated electric generation capacity located primarily in West Virginia, Virginia and New Jersey. Each of the Utilities earn revenue from state-regulated rate tariffs under which it provides distribution services to residential, commercial and industrial customers in its service territory. The Utilities are obligated under the regulated construct to deliver power to customers reliably, as it is needed, which creates an implied monthly contract with the end-use customer. See Note 13, "Regulatory Matters," for additional information on rate recovery mechanisms. Distribution and electric revenues are recognized over time as electricity is distributed and delivered to the customer and the customers consume the electricity immediately as delivery occurs.

Retail generation sales relate to POLR, SOS, SSO and default service requirements in Ohio, Pennsylvania, New Jersey and Maryland, as well as generation sales in West Virginia that are regulated by the WVPSC. Certain of the Utilities have default service obligations to provide power to non-shopping customers who have elected to continue to receive service under regulated retail tariffs. The volume of these sales varies depending on the level of shopping that occurs. Supply plans vary by state and by service territory. Default service for the Ohio Companies, Pennsylvania Companies, JCP&L and PE's Maryland jurisdiction are provided through a competitive procurement process approved by each state's respective commission.

The following table represents a disaggregation of the Regulated Distribution segment revenue from contracts with distribution service and retail generation customers for the three months ended March 31, 2018, by class:

| Revenues by Customer Class | For the<br>Three<br>Months<br>Ended<br>March |
|----------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
|                            |                                              |

|                |                  |
|----------------|------------------|
|                | 31, 2018         |
|                | (In<br>millions) |
| Residential    | \$ 1,463         |
| Commercial     | 580              |
| Industrial     | 254              |
| Other          | 24               |
| Total Revenues | \$ 2,321         |

Wholesale sales primarily consist of generation and capacity sales into the PJM market from FirstEnergy's regulated electric generation capacity and NUGs. Certain of the Utilities may also purchase power from PJM to supply power to their customers. Generally, these power sales from generation and purchases to serve load are netted hourly and reported gross as either revenues or purchased power on the statements of income based on whether the entity was a net seller or buyer each hour. Capacity revenues are recognized ratably over the PJM planning year at prices cleared in the annual BRA and incremental auctions. Capacity purchases and sales through PJM capacity auctions are reported within revenues on the Consolidated Statements of Income. Certain capacity

income (bonuses) and charges (penalties) related to the availability of units that have cleared in the auctions are unknown and not recorded in revenue until, and unless, they occur.

The Utilities' distribution customers are metered on a cycle basis. An estimate of unbilled revenues is calculated to recognize electric service provided from the last meter reading through the end of the month. This estimate includes many factors, among which are historical customer usage, load profiles, estimated weather impacts, customer shopping activity and prices in effect for each class of customer. In each accounting period, the Utilities accrue the estimated unbilled amount as revenue and reverses the related prior period estimate. Customer payments vary by state but are generally due within 30 days.

ASC 606 excludes industry-specific accounting guidance for recognizing revenue from ARPs as these programs represent contracts between the utility and its regulators, as opposed to customers. Therefore, revenue from these programs are not within the scope of ASC 606 and regulated utilities are permitted to continue to recognize such revenues in accordance with existing practice but are presented separately from revenue arising from contracts with customers. FirstEnergy currently has ARPs in Ohio, primarily under rider DMR, and in New Jersey.

#### Regulated Transmission

The Regulated Transmission segment provides transmission infrastructure owned and operated by ATSI, TrAIL, MAIT and certain of FirstEnergy's utilities (JCP&L, MP, PE and WP) to transmit electricity from generation sources to distribution facilities. The segment's revenues are primarily derived from forward-looking formula rates at ATSI, TrAIL and MAIT, as well as stated transmission rates at certain of FirstEnergy's utilities. As discussed in "Regulatory Matters - FERC Matters," below, MAIT filed a settlement with FERC on October 13, 2017, which settlement agreement is pending final order by FERC. Both the forward-looking formula and stated rates recover costs that the regulatory agencies determine are permitted to be recovered and provide a return on transmission capital investment. Under forward-looking formula rates, the revenue requirement is updated annually based on a projected rate base and projected costs, which is subject to an annual true-up based on actual costs. Revenue requirements under stated rates are calculated annually by multiplying the highest one-hour peak load in each respective transmission zone by the approved, stated rate in that zone. Revenues and cash receipts for the stand-ready obligation of providing transmission service are recognized ratably over time.

Effective January 1, 2018, JCP&L is subject to a FERC-approved settlement agreement that provides an annual revenue requirement of \$155 million through December 31, 2019 which is recognized ratably as revenue over time. See Note 13 "Regulatory Matters - FERC Matters," for additional information.

The following table represents a disaggregation of revenue from contracts with regulated transmission customers for the three months ended March 31, 2018, by transmission owner:

| Revenues by Transmission Asset Owner | For the<br>Three<br>Months<br>Ended<br>March<br>31, 2018<br>(In<br>millions) |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| ATSI                                 | \$ 159                                                                       |
| TrAIL                                | 62                                                                           |
| MAIT                                 | 31                                                                           |
| Other                                | 71                                                                           |

Total Revenues \$ 323

### 3. DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

As of March 31, 2018, FES, FENOC, BSPC and a portion of AE Supply, representing substantially all of FirstEnergy's operations that previously comprised the CES reportable operating segment, are presented as discontinued operations in FirstEnergy's consolidated financial statements resulting from actions taken as part of the strategic review of exiting commodity-exposed generation, as discussed below. Prior period results have been reclassified to conform with such presentation as discontinued operations.

#### FES and FENOC Chapter 11 Filing

As discussed in Note 1, "Organization and Basis of Presentation," on March 31, 2018, FES and FENOC announced the FES Bankruptcy. FirstEnergy concluded that it no longer has a controlling interest in FES or FENOC, as the entities are subject to the control of the Bankruptcy Court and, accordingly, as of March 31, 2018, FES and FENOC were deconsolidated from FirstEnergy's consolidated financial statements, and will account for its investments in FES and FENOC with fair values of zero.

By eliminating a significant portion of its competitive generation fleet with the deconsolidation of FES and FENOC, FirstEnergy has concluded FES and FENOC meet the criteria for discontinued operations, as this represents a significant event in management's strategic review to exit commodity-exposed generation and transition to a fully-regulated company.



#### FES Borrowings from FE

On March 9, 2018, FES borrowed \$500 million from FE under the secured credit facility, dated as of December 6, 2016, among FES, as Borrower, FG and NG as guarantors, and FE, as lender, which fully utilized the committed line of credit available under the secured credit facility. Following deconsolidation of FES, FE fully reserved for the \$500 million associated with the borrowings under the secured credit facility.

On March 16, 2018, FES and FENOC withdrew from the unregulated companies' money pool, which included FE, FES and FENOC. As of the date of the withdrawal, FES and FENOC owed FE approximately \$4 million in unsecured borrowings in the aggregate under the money pool. In addition, as of March 31, 2018, AE Supply had a \$102 million outstanding unsecured promissory note owed from FES. Following deconsolidation of FES and FENOC, FE fully reserved the \$4 million associated with the outstanding unsecured borrowings under the unregulated companies' money pool and the \$102 million associated with the AE Supply unsecured promissory note.

#### Services Agreement

FirstEnergy will continue to provide shared services support to FES and FENOC under existing shared services agreements (Services Agreements) through at least December 31, 2018. Under the Services Agreements, costs are directly billed or assigned at no more than cost. The remaining costs are for services that are provided on behalf of more than one company, or costs that cannot be precisely identified and are allocated using formulas provided for in the Services Agreements. Transactions under the Services Agreements are generally settled within 30 days. At this time, FirstEnergy expects to provide shared services support to FES and FENOC under the Services Agreements through at least 2018.

In addition, on March 16, 2018, FES, FENOC and FESC, entered into the FirstEnergy Solutions Money Pool Agreement in order for FESC to assist FES and FENOC with certain treasury support services under the shared service agreement. FESC is a party to the FirstEnergy Solutions Money Pool Agreement solely in the role as administrator of the money pool arrangement thereunder.

#### Benefit Obligations

FirstEnergy will retain certain obligations for FES and FENOC employees for services provided prior to emergence from bankruptcy. The retention of this obligation at March 31, 2018, resulted in a liability of \$820 million (including EDCP, pension and OPEB) with a corresponding loss from discontinued operations. Net pension and OPEB costs earned by FES and FENOC employees during bankruptcy are expected to be billed under the Services Agreements, and will be reassessed as the bankruptcy proceedings progress.

#### Guarantees provided by FE

As discussed in Note 14, "Commitments, Guarantees and Contingencies," FE is the guarantor of the remaining payments due to CSX and BNSF in connection with the definitive settlement of a dispute regarding a transportation agreement. As of March 31, 2018, FE recorded an obligation for this guarantee in other current liabilities with a corresponding loss from discontinued operations. On April 6, 2018, FE paid the remaining \$72 million owed under the settlement agreement as a result of the FES Bankruptcy. In addition, as of March 31, 2018, FE recorded a \$58 million obligation for a sale-leaseback indemnity in other current liabilities with a corresponding loss from discontinued operations.

#### Purchase Power

FES at times provides power through affiliated company power sales to meet a portion of the Utilities' POLR and default service requirements and provide power to certain affiliates' facilities. As of March 31, 2018, the Utilities owed FES approximately \$46 million related to these purchases. The terms and conditions of the agreements are generally consistent with industry practices and other third-party arrangements. For current and pre-disposal periods, the Utilities' expense associated with these transactions are recorded in continuing operations.

#### Tax Allocation Agreement

Until FES and FENOC emerge from bankruptcy, it is expected that FES and FENOC will remain parties to the intercompany income tax allocation agreement with FE and its other subsidiaries, which provides for the allocation of consolidated tax liabilities. Net tax benefits attributable to FE are generally reallocated to the subsidiaries of FirstEnergy that have taxable income. As of March 31, 2018, FE has a \$94 million receivable from FES and FENOC,

in the aggregate, related to the federal tax obligation.

For U.S. federal income taxes, FES and FENOC will continue to be consolidated in FirstEnergy's tax return and taxable income will be determined based on the tax basis of underlying individual net assets. Deferred taxes previously recorded on the inside basis differences may not represent the actual tax consequence for the outside basis difference, causing a recharacterization of an existing consolidated-return net operating loss as a future worthless stock deduction (currently estimated at approximately \$628 million). The estimated worthless stock deduction is contingent upon emergence and such amounts may be impacted by future events.

#### AE Supply and BSPC Asset Sales

FirstEnergy announced in January 2017 that AE Supply and AGC had entered into an asset purchase agreement with a subsidiary of LS Power, as amended and restated in August 2017, to sell four natural gas generating plants, AE Supply's interest in the Buchanan Generating facility and approximately 59% of AGC's interest in Bath County (1,615 MWs of combined capacity). On

December 13, 2017, AE Supply completed the sale of the natural gas generating plants, with net proceeds, subject to post-closing adjustments, of approximately \$388 million. On December 28, 2017, FERC issued an order authorizing the sale of BU Energy's Buchanan interests, and on March 1, 2018, AE Supply completed the sale of the Buchanan Generating Facility with net proceeds of approximately \$20 million.

With the sale of the gas plants completed, upon the consummation of the sale of AGC's interest in the Bath County hydroelectric power station or the sale or deactivation of the Pleasants Power Station, AE Supply is obligated under the amended and restated purchase agreement and AE Supply's applicable debt agreements to satisfy and discharge approximately \$305 million of currently outstanding senior notes, as well as its \$142 million of pollution control notes and AGC's \$100 million senior notes, which are expected to require the payment of "make-whole" premiums currently estimated to be approximately \$90 million based on current interest rates.

On December 12, 2017, FERC issued an order authorizing the partial transfer of the related hydroelectric license for Bath County under Part I of the FPA. On February 16, 2018, FERC issued an order authorizing the redemption of AE Supply's shares in AGC upon consummation of the Bath County transaction. On March 30, 2018, the VSCC issued an order approving, among other items, the sale of AGC's interests in the Bath County hydroelectric power station. The sale of AGC's interests in Bath County is expected to generate net proceeds of approximately \$355 million and is anticipated to close in the second quarter of 2018, subject to various customary and other closing conditions. There can be no assurance that all closing conditions will be satisfied or that the remaining transaction will be consummated. Assets classified as discontinued operations as of March 31, 2018, representing AGC's interest in Bath County hydroelectric power station, include property, plant and equipment (net of accumulated provision for depreciation) of \$353 million and materials and supplies inventory of \$2 million.

Additionally, on March 9, 2018, BSPC and FG entered into an asset purchase agreement with Walleye Energy, LLC, for the sale of the Bay Shore Generating Facility, including the 136 MW Bay Shore Unit 1 and other retired coal-fired generating equipment owned by FG. The sale is subject to customary and other closing conditions, including regulatory approvals, various third-party consents and approval by the Bankruptcy Court in connection with the FES Bankruptcy. There can be no assurance that all regulatory approvals will be obtained and/or all closing conditions will be satisfied or that the transaction will be consummated. As a result of the asset purchase agreement, FirstEnergy recorded non-cash, pre-tax impairment charges of \$14 million for the three month period ended March 31, 2018, and is included in Discontinued operations on the Consolidated Statements of Income.

Individually, the AE Supply and BSPC asset sales did not qualify for reporting as discontinued operations. However, the asset sales were part of management's strategic review to exit commodity-exposed generation and, when considered with FES and FENOC's bankruptcy filings on March 31, 2018, represent a collective elimination of substantially all of FirstEnergy's competitive generation fleet and meet the criteria for discontinued operations.

#### Summarized Results of Discontinued Operations

Summarized results of discontinued operations for the three months ended March 31, 2018 and 2017, were as follows:

| (In millions)              | For the Three<br>Months Ended<br>March 31, |        |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------------------|--------|
|                            | 2018                                       | 2017   |
| Revenues                   | \$622                                      | \$689  |
| Fuel                       | (116 )                                     | (164 ) |
| Purchased power            | (53 )                                      | (49 )  |
| Other operating expenses   | (347 )                                     | (492 ) |
| Provision for depreciation | (46 )                                      | (25 )  |

Edgar Filing: FIRSTENERGY CORP - Form 10-Q

|                                               |         |         |
|-----------------------------------------------|---------|---------|
| General taxes                                 | (18 )   | (29 )   |
| Other Income (Expense)                        | (60 )   | (8 )    |
| Loss from discontinued operations, before tax | (18 )   | (78 )   |
| Income tax expense (benefit)                  | 29      | (26 )   |
| Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax | (47 )   | (52 )   |
| Gain on deconsolidation, net of tax           | 1,239   | —       |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations    | \$1,192 | \$(52 ) |

12

---

The gain on deconsolidation that was recognized in the three months ended March 31, 2018, consisted of the following:

(In millions)

|                                                                                     |         |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| Removal of investment in FES and FENOC                                              | \$2,193 |
| Assumption of benefit obligations retained at FE (including Pension, OPEB and EDCP) | (820 )  |
| Guarantees and credit support provided by FE                                        | (139 )  |
| Reserve on receivables and allocated Pension/OPEB mark-to-market                    | (914 )  |
| Gain on deconsolidation of FES and FENOC, before tax                                | 320     |
| Income tax benefit including estimated worthless stock deduction                    | 919     |
| Gain on deconsolidation of FES and FENOC                                            | \$1,239 |

The following table summarizes the major classes of assets and liabilities as discontinued operations as of March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017:

| (In millions)                                                                            | March<br>31,<br>2018 | December<br>31,<br>2017 |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| Carrying amount of the major classes of assets included in discontinued operations:      |                      |                         |
| Cash                                                                                     | \$ —                 | \$ 1                    |
| Restricted cash                                                                          | —                    | 3                       |
| Receivables                                                                              | —                    | 202                     |
| Materials and supplies                                                                   | 2                    | 201                     |
| Collateral                                                                               | —                    | 130                     |
| Other current assets                                                                     | —                    | 69                      |
| Total current assets                                                                     | 2                    | 606                     |
| Property, plant and equipment                                                            | 353                  | 1,057                   |
| Investments                                                                              | —                    | 1,875                   |
| Other non-current assets                                                                 | —                    | 356                     |
| Total non-current assets                                                                 | 353                  | 3,288                   |
| Total assets included in discontinued operations                                         | \$ 355               | \$ 3,894                |
| Carrying amount of the major classes of liabilities included in discontinued operations: |                      |                         |
| Currently payable long-term debt                                                         | \$ —                 | \$ 524                  |
| Accounts payable                                                                         | —                    | 200                     |
| Accrued taxes                                                                            | —                    | 38                      |
| Accrued compensation and benefits                                                        | —                    | 79                      |
| Other current liabilities                                                                | —                    | 132                     |
| Total current liabilities                                                                | —                    | 973                     |
| Long-term debt and other long-term obligations                                           | —                    | 2,299                   |
| Accumulated deferred income taxes <sup>(1)</sup>                                         | —                    | (1,812 )                |
| Asset retirement obligations                                                             | —                    | 1,945                   |
| Deferred gain on sale and leaseback transaction                                          | —                    | 723                     |
| Other non-current liabilities                                                            | —                    | 244                     |
| Total noncurrent liabilities                                                             | —                    | 3,399                   |
| Total liabilities included in discontinued operations                                    | \$ —                 | \$ 4,372                |

<sup>(1)</sup> Represents an increase in FirstEnergy's ADIT liability as an ADIT asset was removed upon deconsolidation of FES and FENOC.



FirstEnergy's Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows combines cash flows from discontinued operations with cash flows from continuing operations within each cash flow statement category. The following table summarizes the major classes of cash flow items as discontinued operations for the three months ended March 31, 2018 and 2017:

| (In millions)                                                                                                                    | For the Three<br>Months Ended<br>March 31, |         |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------|
|                                                                                                                                  | 2018                                       | 2017    |
| <b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:</b>                                                                                     |                                            |         |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations                                                                                       | \$1,192                                    | \$(52)  |
| Depreciation and amortization, including nuclear fuel, regulatory assets, net, intangible assets and deferred debt-related costs | 47                                         | 79      |
| Unrealized (gain) loss on derivative transactions                                                                                | (10                                        | ) 47    |
| <b>CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:</b>                                                                                     |                                            |         |
| Property additions                                                                                                               | (15                                        | ) (90   |
| Nuclear fuel                                                                                                                     | —                                          | (132)   |
| Sales of investment securities held in trusts                                                                                    | 109                                        | 231     |
| Purchases of investment securities held in trusts                                                                                | (122                                       | ) (245) |
| <b>4. EARNINGS PER SHARE OF COMMON STOCK</b>                                                                                     |                                            |         |

The convertible Preferred Stock issued in January 2018 (see Note 11, "Capitalization") is considered participating securities since these shares participate in dividends on Common Stock on an "as-converted" basis. As a result, Earnings per share on Common Stock is computed using the two-class method required for participating securities.

The two-class method uses an earnings allocation formula that treats participating securities as having rights to earnings that otherwise would have been available only to common stockholders. Under the two-class method, net income attributable to common stockholders is derived by subtracting the following from income from continuing operations:

- preferred share dividends,
- deemed dividends for the amortization of the beneficial conversion feature recognized at issuance of the Preferred Stock (if any), and
- an allocation of undistributed earnings between the common shares and the participating securities (convertible Preferred Stock) based on their respective rights to receive dividends.

Net losses are not allocated to the convertible Preferred Stock as they do not have a contractual obligation to share in the losses of FirstEnergy. FirstEnergy allocates undistributed earnings based upon income from continuing operations.

The Preferred Stock includes an embedded conversion option at a price that is below the fair value of the Common Stock on the commitment date. This beneficial conversion feature, which was approximately \$296 million, represents the difference between the fair value per share of the Common Stock and the conversion price, multiplied by the number of common shares issuable upon conversion. The beneficial conversion feature will be amortized as a deemed dividend over the period from the issue date to the first allowable conversion date (July 22, 2018) as a charge to OPIC, since FE is in an accumulated deficit position with no retained earnings to declare a dividend. As noted above, for EPS reporting purposes, this beneficial conversion feature will be reflected in net income attributable to common stockholders as a deemed dividend. The amount amortized in the first quarter of 2018 was approximately \$113 million.

Basic EPS available to common stockholders is computed by dividing income available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Participating securities are excluded from basic weighted average ordinary shares outstanding. Diluted EPS available to common stockholders is computed by dividing income available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding, including all potentially dilutive common shares, if the effect of such common shares is dilutive.

Diluted EPS reflects the dilutive effect of potential common shares from share-based awards and convertible preferred shares. The dilutive effect of outstanding share based awards is computed using the treasury stock method, which assumes any proceeds that could be obtained upon the exercise of the award would be used to purchase Common Stock at the average market price for the period. The dilutive effect of the convertible Preferred Stock is computed using the if-converted method, which assumes conversion of the convertible Preferred Stock at the beginning of the period, giving income recognition for the add-back of the preferred share dividends, amortization of beneficial conversion feature, and undistributed earnings allocated to preferred stockholders.



The following table reconciles basic and diluted EPS of common stock:

| (In millions, except per share amounts)                                         | For the Three<br>Months Ended<br>March 31, |            |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|------------|
| Reconciliation of Basic and Diluted Earnings per Share of Common Stock          | 2018                                       | 2017       |
| <b>Earnings Per Share of Common Stock</b>                                       |                                            |            |
| Income from continuing operations                                               | \$177                                      | \$257      |
| Less: Preferred dividends                                                       | (43 )                                      | —          |
| Less: Amortization of beneficial conversion feature                             | (113 )                                     | —          |
| Less: Undistributed earnings allocated to preferred stockholders <sup>(1)</sup> | —                                          | —          |
| Income from continuing operations available to common stockholders              | 21                                         | 257        |
| Discontinued operations, net of tax                                             | 1,192                                      | (52 )      |
| Less: Undistributed earnings allocated to preferred stockholders <sup>(1)</sup> | —                                          | —          |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations available to common stockholders     | 1,192                                      | (52 )      |
| <br>Income available to common stockholders, basic and diluted                  | <br>\$1,213                                | <br>\$205  |
| <br><b>Share Count information:</b>                                             |                                            |            |
| Weighted average number of basic shares outstanding                             | 476                                        | 443        |
| Assumed exercise of dilutive stock options and awards                           | 2                                          | 1          |
| Assumed conversion of preferred stock                                           | —                                          | —          |
| Weighted average number of diluted shares outstanding                           | 478                                        | 444        |
| <br><b>Income available to common stockholders, per common share:</b>           |                                            |            |
| Income from continuing operations, basic                                        | \$0.04                                     | \$0.58     |
| Discontinued operations, basic                                                  | 2.51                                       | (0.12 )    |
| Income available to common stockholders, basic                                  | \$2.55                                     | \$0.46     |
| <br>Income from continuing operations, diluted                                  | <br>\$0.04                                 | <br>\$0.58 |
| Discontinued operations, diluted                                                | 2.50                                       | (0.12 )    |
| Income available to common stockholders, diluted                                | \$2.54                                     | \$0.46     |

<sup>(1)</sup> Undistributed earnings were not allocated to participating securities as income from continuing operations less dividends declared (common and preferred) and deemed dividends was a net loss.

For the three months ended March 31, 2018 and 2017, one million stock option and award shares were excluded from the calculation of diluted shares outstanding, as their inclusion would be antidilutive to basic EPS from continuing operations. Also, for the three months ended March 31, 2018, 59 million shares associated with the assumed conversion of Preferred Stock were excluded, as their inclusion would be antidilutive to basic EPS from continuing operations.

#### 5. PENSION AND OTHER POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS

The components of the consolidated net periodic costs (credits) for pension and OPEB (including amounts capitalized) were as follows:

| Components of Net Periodic Benefit Costs (Credits) | Pension       |      | OPEB |      |
|----------------------------------------------------|---------------|------|------|------|
| For the Three Months Ended March 31,               | 2018          | 2017 | 2018 | 2017 |
|                                                    | (In millions) |      |      |      |
| Service costs                                      | \$56          | \$52 | \$1  | \$1  |

Edgar Filing: FIRSTENERGY CORP - Form 10-Q

|                                               |       |       |        |        |
|-----------------------------------------------|-------|-------|--------|--------|
| Interest costs                                | 93    | 97    | 6      | 7      |
| Expected return on plan assets                | (144) | (112) | (8 )   | (8 )   |
| Amortization of prior service costs (credits) | 2     | 2     | (20 )  | (20 )  |
| Net periodic costs (credits)                  | \$7   | \$39  | \$(21) | \$(20) |

15

---

Pension and OPEB obligations are allocated to FE's subsidiaries employing the plan participants. The net periodic pension and OPEB costs (credits), net of amounts capitalized, recognized in earnings by FirstEnergy were as follows:

| Net Periodic Benefit Expense (Credit) | Pension       |      | OPEB |      |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|------|------|------|
| For the Three Months Ended March 31,  | 2018          | 2017 | 2018 | 2017 |
|                                       | (In millions) |      |      |      |

|             |        |       |        |        |
|-------------|--------|-------|--------|--------|
| FirstEnergy | \$(14) | \$ 32 | \$(21) | \$(15) |
|-------------|--------|-------|--------|--------|

Amounts in the tables above include FES' and FENOC's share of the net periodic pension and OPEB costs (credits) of \$13 million and \$(10) million, respectively, for the three months ended March 31, 2018, and FES' and FENOC's share of the net periodic pension and OPEB costs (credits) of \$16 million and \$(8) million, respectively, for the three months ended March 31, 2017. Such amounts are a component of Discontinued Operations in FirstEnergy's Consolidated Statements of Income.

Following adoption of ASU 2017-07, "Compensation-Retirement Benefits: Improving the Presentation of Net Periodic Pension Cost and Net Periodic Postretirement Benefit Cost" in 2018, service costs, net of capitalization, continue to be reported within Other operating expenses on the FirstEnergy Consolidated Statements of Income. Non-service costs are reported within Miscellaneous income within Other income (expense). Prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform with current year presentation. See Note 1, "Organization and Basis of Presentation," for additional information.

In January 2018, FirstEnergy satisfied its minimum required funding obligations of \$500 million and addressed funding obligations for future years to its qualified pension plan with additional contributions of \$750 million.

6. ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

The changes in AOCI, net of tax, in the three months ended March 31, 2018 and 2017, for FirstEnergy are included in the following tables:

|                                                              | Gains<br>&<br>Losses<br>on<br>Cash<br>Flow<br>Hedges<br>(In millions) | Unrealized<br>Gains on<br>AFS<br>Securities | Defined<br>Benefit<br>Pension<br>&<br>OPEB<br>Plans | Total  |
|--------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|--------|
| AOCI balance as of January 1, 2018                           | \$(22)                                                                | \$ 67                                       | \$ 97                                               | \$ 142 |
| Other comprehensive income before reclassifications          | —                                                                     | (97 )                                       | —                                                   | (97 )  |
| Amounts reclassified from AOCI                               | 2                                                                     | (1 )                                        | (18 )                                               | (17 )  |
| Deconsolidation of FES and FENOC                             | 13                                                                    | (8 )                                        | —                                                   | 5      |
| Other comprehensive income (loss)                            | 15                                                                    | (106 )                                      | (18 )                                               | (109 ) |
| Income taxes (benefits) on other comprehensive income (loss) | 8                                                                     | (39 )                                       | (22 )                                               | (53 )  |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax                | 7                                                                     | (67 )                                       | 4                                                   | (56 )  |
| AOCI Balance as of March 31, 2018                            | \$(15)                                                                | \$ —                                        | \$ 101                                              | \$ 86  |
| AOCI balance as of January 1, 2017                           | \$(28)                                                                | \$ 52                                       | \$ 150                                              | \$ 174 |
| Other comprehensive income before reclassifications          | —                                                                     | 32                                          | —                                                   | 32     |
| Amounts reclassified from AOCI                               | 3                                                                     | (16 )                                       | (18 )                                               | (31 )  |
| Other comprehensive income (loss)                            | 3                                                                     | 16                                          | (18 )                                               | 1      |
| Income taxes (benefits) on other comprehensive income (loss) | 1                                                                     | 5                                           | (6 )                                                | —      |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax                | 2                                                                     | 11                                          | (12 )                                               | 1      |
| AOCI Balance as of March 31, 2017                            | \$(26)                                                                | \$ 63                                       | \$ 138                                              | \$ 175 |

The following amounts were reclassified from AOCI for FirstEnergy in the three months ended March 31, 2018 and 2017:

| Reclassifications from AOCI <sup>(2)</sup> | For the<br>Three<br>Months<br>Ended<br>March 31,<br>2018 2017<br>(In millions) |      | Affected Line Item in the Consolidated Statements of Income |
|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                            | 2018                                                                           | 2017 |                                                             |
| Gains & losses on cash flow hedges         | \$2                                                                            | \$3  | Interest expense                                            |
| Long-term debt                             | (1 )                                                                           | (1 ) | Income taxes                                                |
|                                            | \$1                                                                            | \$2  | Net of tax                                                  |



## 7. INCOME TAXES

FirstEnergy's interim effective tax rates reflect the estimated annual effective tax rates for 2018 and 2017. These tax rates are affected by estimated annual permanent items, such as AFUDC equity and other flow-through items, as well as discrete items that may occur in any given period, but are not consistent from period to period.

FirstEnergy's effective tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2018 and 2017, was 58.7% and 37.2%, respectively. The increase in effective tax rate is primarily due to the legal and financial separation of FES and FENOC from FirstEnergy. This separation officially eroded the ties between FES, FENOC and other FirstEnergy subsidiaries doing business in West Virginia. As such, FES and FENOC were removed from the West Virginia unitary group when calculating West Virginia state income taxes, resulting in a \$126 million charge to income tax expense in continuing operations associated with the re-measurement in state deferred taxes. This increase was partially offset by the decrease in the corporate federal income tax rate from 35% to 21%, which became effective January 1, 2018.

At December 31, 2017, FirstEnergy recorded provisional income tax amounts in its accounting for certain effects of the provisions of the Tax Act as allowed under SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin 118 (SAB 118). In addition, SAB 118 allowed for a measurement period for companies to finalize the provisional amounts recorded as of December 31, 2017, not to exceed one year. As of March 31, 2018, FirstEnergy has not yet finalized its assessment of the provisional amounts and there were no significant adjustments recorded in the first quarter of 2018. FirstEnergy expects to complete its assessment and record any final adjustments to the provisional amounts by the fourth quarter of 2018, which could result in a material impact to FirstEnergy's income tax provision or financial position.

FirstEnergy's assessment of accounting for the Tax Act are based upon management's current understanding of the Tax Act. However, it is expected that further guidance will be issued during 2018, which may result in adjustments that could have a material impact to FirstEnergy's future results of operations, cash flows, or financial position.

In March 2018, FirstEnergy recorded unrecognized tax benefits of \$49 million which relates primarily to the tax benefit recognized for the investment in FES and FENOC. The tax impact is reflected in discontinued operations.

On October 18, 2017, the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania affirmed the Commonwealth Court's holding that the state's net loss carryover provision violated the Pennsylvania Uniformity Clause and was unconstitutional. However, the court also opined that the portion of the net loss carryover provision that created the violation may be severed from the statute, enabling the statute to operate as the legislature intended, and on October 30, 2017, the Pennsylvania Governor signed House Bill 542 into law, which, among other things, amended Pennsylvania's limitation on net loss deductions to remove the flat-dollar limitation. On January 4, 2018, the court declined to further hear any arguments related to the matter and, as a result, FirstEnergy withdrew its protective refund claims from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania on January 30, 2018. Upon doing so, FirstEnergy reversed a previously recorded unrecognized tax benefit of approximately \$45 million in the first quarter of 2018, none of which impacted FirstEnergy's effective tax rate.

As of March 31, 2018, it was reasonably possible that approximately \$2 million of unrecognized tax benefits may be resolved within the next twelve months as a result of the statute of limitations expiring, none of which would affect FirstEnergy's effective tax rate.

In January 2018, the IRS completed its examination of FirstEnergy's 2016 federal income tax return and issued a Full Acceptance Letter with no changes or adjustments to FirstEnergy's taxable income.

## 8. VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES

FirstEnergy performs qualitative analyses based on control and economics to determine whether a variable interest classifies FirstEnergy as the primary beneficiary (a controlling financial interest) of a VIE. An enterprise has a controlling financial interest if it has both power and economic control, such that an entity has: (i) the power to direct the activities of a VIE that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance; and (ii) the obligation to absorb losses of the entity that could potentially be significant to the VIE or the right to receive benefits from the entity that could potentially be significant to the VIE. FirstEnergy consolidates a VIE when it is determined that it is the primary beneficiary.

In order to evaluate contracts for consolidation treatment and entities for which FirstEnergy has an interest, FirstEnergy aggregates variable interests into categories based on similar risk characteristics and significance.

#### Consolidated VIEs

VIEs in which FirstEnergy is the primary beneficiary consist of the following (included in FirstEnergy's consolidated financial statements):

Ohio Securitization - In September 2012, the Ohio Companies created separate, wholly owned limited liability company SPEs which issued phase-in recovery bonds to securitize the recovery of certain all-electric customer heating discounts, fuel and purchased power regulatory assets. The phase-in recovery bonds are payable only from, and secured by, phase-in recovery property owned by the SPEs. The bondholder has no recourse to the general credit of FirstEnergy or any of

the Ohio Companies. Each of the Ohio Companies, as servicer of its respective SPE, manages and administers the phase-in recovery property including the billing, collection and remittance of usage-based charges payable by retail electric customers. In the aggregate, the Ohio Companies are entitled to annual servicing fees of \$445 thousand that are recoverable through the usage-based charges. The SPEs are considered VIEs and each one is consolidated into its applicable utility. As of March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, \$304 million and \$315 million of the phase-in recovery bonds were outstanding, respectively.

JCP&L Securitization - In August 2006, JCP&L Transition Funding II sold transition bonds to securitize the recovery of deferred costs associated with JCP&L's supply of BGS. JCP&L did not purchase and does not own any of the transition bonds, which are included as long-term debt on FirstEnergy's and JCP&L's Consolidated Balance Sheets. The transition bonds are the sole obligations of JCP&L Transition Funding II and are collateralized by its equity and assets, which consist primarily of bondable transition property. As of March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, \$52 million and \$56 million of the transition bonds were outstanding, respectively.

MP and PE Environmental Funding Companies - The entities issued bonds, the proceeds of which were used to construct environmental control facilities. The limited liability company SPEs own the irrevocable right to collect non-bypassable environmental control charges from all customers who receive electric delivery service in MP's and PE's West Virginia service territories. Principal and interest owed on the environmental control bonds is secured by, and payable solely from, the proceeds of the environmental control charges. Creditors of FirstEnergy, other than the limited liability company SPEs, have no recourse to any assets or revenues of the special purpose limited liability companies. As of March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, \$371 million and \$383 million of the environmental control bonds were outstanding, respectively.

#### Unconsolidated VIEs

FirstEnergy is not the primary beneficiary of the following VIEs:

Global Holding - FEV holds a 33-1/3% equity ownership in Global Holding, the holding company for a joint venture in the Signal Peak mining and coal transportation operations with coal sales in U.S. and international markets. FEV is not the primary beneficiary of the joint venture, as it does not have control over the significant activities affecting the joint venture's economic performance. FEV's ownership interest is subject to the equity method of accounting. In 2015, FirstEnergy fully impaired the value of its investment in Global Holding.

As discussed in Note 14, "Commitments, Guarantees and Contingencies," FE is the guarantor under Global Holding's term loan facility, which has an outstanding principal balance of \$255 million. Failure by Global Holding to meet the terms and conditions under its term loan facility could require FE to be obligated under the provisions of its guarantee, resulting in consolidation of Global Holding by FE.

PATH WV - PATH, a proposed transmission line from West Virginia through Virginia into Maryland which PJM cancelled in 2012, is a series limited liability company that is comprised of multiple series, each of which has separate rights, powers and duties regarding specified property and the series profits and losses associated with such property. A subsidiary of FE owns 100% of the Allegheny Series (PATH-Allegheny) and 50% of the West Virginia Series (PATH-WV), which is a joint venture with a subsidiary of AEP. FirstEnergy is not the primary beneficiary of PATH-WV, as it does not have control over the significant activities affecting the economics of PATH-WV. FirstEnergy's ownership interest in PATH-WV is subject to the equity method of accounting. As of March 31, 2018, the carrying value of the equity method investment was \$17 million.

Purchase Power Agreements - FirstEnergy evaluated its PPAs and determined that certain NUG entities at its Regulated Distribution segment may be VIEs to the extent that they own a plant that sells substantially all of its output to the applicable utilities and the contract price for power is correlated with the plant's variable costs of production.

FirstEnergy maintains 12 long-term PPAs with NUG entities that were entered into pursuant to PURPA. FirstEnergy was not involved in the creation of, and has no equity or debt invested in, any of these entities. FirstEnergy has determined that for all but one of these NUG entities, it does not have a variable interest or the entities do not meet the criteria to be considered a VIE. FirstEnergy may hold a variable interest in the remaining one entity; however, it applied the scope exception that exempts enterprises unable to obtain the necessary information to evaluate entities.



Because FirstEnergy has no equity or debt interests in the NUG entities, its maximum exposure to loss relates primarily to the above-market costs incurred for power. FirstEnergy expects any above-market costs incurred at its Regulated Distribution segment to be recovered from customers. Purchased power costs related to the contract that may contain a variable interest during the three months ended March 31, 2018 and 2017, were \$32 million and \$28 million, respectively.

FES and FENOC - As a result of the Chapter 11 bankruptcy filing discussed in Note 3, "Discontinued Operations," FE evaluated its investments in FES and FENOC and determined they are VIEs. FE is not the primary beneficiary because it lacks a controlling interest in FES and FENOC, which are subject to the control of the Bankruptcy Court as of March 31, 2018. The carrying values of the equity investments in FES and FENOC were zero at March 31, 2018.

## 9. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

### RECURRING FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

Authoritative accounting guidance establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs used to measure fair value. This hierarchy gives the highest priority to Level 1 measurements and the lowest priority to Level 3 measurements. The three levels of the fair value hierarchy and a description of the valuation techniques are as follows:

Level 1 - Quoted prices for identical instruments in active market

Level 2 - Quoted prices for similar instruments in active market

- Quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active
- Model-derived valuations for which all significant inputs are observable market data

Models are primarily industry-standard models that consider various assumptions, including quoted forward prices for commodities, time value, volatility factors and current market and contractual prices for the underlying instruments, as well as other relevant economic measures.

Level 3 - Valuation inputs are unobservable and significant to the fair value measurement

FirstEnergy produces a long-term power and capacity price forecast annually with periodic updates as market conditions change. When underlying prices are not observable, prices from the long-term price forecast, which has been reviewed and approved by FirstEnergy's Risk Policy Committee, are used to measure fair value.

FTRs are financial instruments that entitle the holder to a stream of revenues (or charges) based on the hourly day-ahead congestion price differences across transmission paths. FTRs are acquired by FirstEnergy in the annual, monthly and long-term PJM auctions and are initially recorded using the auction clearing price less cost. After initial recognition, FTRs' carrying values are periodically adjusted to fair value using a mark-to-model methodology, which approximates market. The primary inputs into the model, which are generally less observable than objective sources, are the most recent PJM auction clearing prices and the FTRs' remaining hours. The model calculates the fair value by multiplying the most recent auction clearing price by the remaining FTR hours less the prorated FTR cost. Generally, significant increases or decreases in inputs in isolation could result in a higher or lower fair value measurement. See Note 10, "Derivative Instruments," for additional information regarding FirstEnergy's FTRs.

NUG contracts represent PPAs with third-party non-utility generators that are transacted to satisfy certain obligations under PURPA. NUG contract carrying values are recorded at fair value and adjusted periodically using a mark-to-model methodology, which approximates market. The primary unobservable inputs into the model are regional power prices and generation MWH. Pricing for the NUG contracts is a combination of market prices for the current year and next two years based on observable data and internal models using historical trends and market data for the remaining years under contract. The internal models use forecasted energy purchase prices as an input when prices are not defined by the contract. Forecasted market prices are based on ICE quotes and management assumptions. Generation MWH reflects data provided by contractual arrangements and historical trends. The model calculates the fair value by multiplying the prices by the generation MWH. Generally, significant increases or decreases in inputs in isolation could result in a higher or lower fair value measurement.

FirstEnergy primarily applies the market approach for recurring fair value measurements using the best information available. Accordingly, FirstEnergy maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs. There were no changes in valuation methodologies used as of March 31, 2018, from those used as of December 31, 2017. The determination of the fair value measures takes into consideration various factors, including

but not limited to, nonperformance risk, counterparty credit risk and the impact of credit enhancements (such as cash deposits, LOCs and priority interests). The impact of these forms of risk was not significant to the fair value measurements.

Transfers between levels are recognized at the end of the reporting period. There were no transfers between levels during the three months ended March 31, 2018. The following tables set forth the recurring assets and liabilities that are accounted for at fair value by level within the fair value hierarchy:

FirstEnergy

| Recurring Fair Value Measurements                     | March 31, 2018 |              |               |                | December 31, 2017 |              |               |                |
|-------------------------------------------------------|----------------|--------------|---------------|----------------|-------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------|
|                                                       | Level 1        | Level 2      | Level 3       | Total          | Level 1           | Level 2      | Level 3       | Total          |
| <b>Assets</b>                                         |                |              |               |                |                   |              |               |                |
| <b>(In millions)</b>                                  |                |              |               |                |                   |              |               |                |
| Corporate debt securities                             | \$—            | \$468        | \$—           | \$468          | \$—               | \$476        | \$—           | \$476          |
| Derivative assets - FTRs                              | —              | —            | 1             | 1              | —                 | —            | 3             | 3              |
| Equity securities <sup>(2)</sup>                      | 288            | —            | —             | 288            | 297               | —            | —             | 297            |
| Foreign government debt securities                    | —              | 24           | —             | 24             | —                 | 23           | —             | 23             |
| U.S. government debt securities                       | —              | 28           | —             | 28             | —                 | 21           | —             | 21             |
| U.S. state debt securities                            | —              | 245          | —             | 245            | —                 | 247          | —             | 247            |
| Other <sup>(3)</sup>                                  | 248            | 30           | —             | 278            | 588               | 38           | —             | 626            |
| <b>Total assets</b>                                   | <b>\$536</b>   | <b>\$795</b> | <b>\$1</b>    | <b>\$1,332</b> | <b>\$885</b>      | <b>\$805</b> | <b>\$3</b>    | <b>\$1,693</b> |
| <b>Liabilities</b>                                    |                |              |               |                |                   |              |               |                |
| Derivative liabilities - commodity contracts          | \$—            | \$—          | \$—           | \$—            | \$—               | \$(4)        | \$—           | \$(4)          |
| Derivative liabilities - NUG contracts <sup>(1)</sup> | —              | —            | (74)          | (74)           | —                 | —            | (79)          | (79)           |
| <b>Total liabilities</b>                              | <b>\$—</b>     | <b>\$—</b>   | <b>\$(74)</b> | <b>\$(74)</b>  | <b>\$—</b>        | <b>\$(4)</b> | <b>\$(79)</b> | <b>\$(83)</b>  |
| <b>Net assets (liabilities)<sup>(4)</sup></b>         | <b>\$536</b>   | <b>\$795</b> | <b>\$(73)</b> | <b>\$1,258</b> | <b>\$885</b>      | <b>\$801</b> | <b>\$(76)</b> | <b>\$1,610</b> |

(1) NUG contracts are subject to regulatory accounting treatment and changes in market values do not impact earnings.

(2) NDT funds hold equity portfolios whose performance is benchmarked against the Alerian MLP Index or the Wells Fargo Hybrid and Preferred Securities REIT index.

(3) Primarily consists of short-term cash investments.

Excludes \$(15) million and \$(11) million as of March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively, of

(4) receivables, payables, taxes and accrued income associated with financial instruments reflected within the fair value table.

Rollforward of Level 3 Measurements

The following table provides a reconciliation of changes in the fair value of NUG contracts and FTRs that are classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy for the periods ended March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017:

|                           | NUG Contracts <sup>(1)</sup> |                        |         | FTRs              |                        |      |
|---------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|---------|-------------------|------------------------|------|
|                           | Derivative Assets            | Derivative Liabilities | Net     | Derivative Assets | Derivative Liabilities | Net  |
|                           | (In millions)                |                        |         |                   |                        |      |
| January 1, 2017 Balance   | \$1                          | \$ (108 )              | \$(107) | \$3               | \$ (1 )                | \$2  |
| Unrealized gain (loss)    | —                            | (10 )                  | (10 )   | 1                 | (1 )                   | —    |
| Purchases                 | —                            | —                      | —       | 3                 | —                      | 3    |
| Settlements               | (1 )                         | 39                     | 38      | (4 )              | 2                      | (2 ) |
| December 31, 2017 Balance | \$—                          | \$ (79 )               | \$(79 ) | \$3               | \$ —                   | \$3  |
| Unrealized gain (loss)    | —                            | (2 )                   | (2 )    | 1                 | —                      | 1    |
| Settlements               | —                            | 7                      | 7       | (3 )              | —                      | (3 ) |
| March 31, 2018 Balance    | \$—                          | \$ (74 )               | \$(74 ) | \$1               | \$ —                   | \$1  |

(1) NUG contracts are subject to regulatory accounting treatment and changes in market values do not impact earnings.

Level 3 Quantitative Information

The following table provides quantitative information for FTRs and NUG contracts that are classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy for the period ended March 31, 2018:

|               | Fair Value, Net (In millions) | Valuation Technique | Significant Input                         | Range                                  | Weighted Average   | Units              |
|---------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| FTRs          | \$ 1                          | Model               | RTO auction clearing prices               | \$0.50 to \$5.10                       | \$1.20             | Dollars/MWH        |
| NUG Contracts | \$ (74 )                      | Model               | Generation<br>Regional electricity prices | 400 to 1,881,000<br>\$29.10 to \$30.90 | 382,000<br>\$30.00 | MWH<br>Dollars/MWH |

INVESTMENTS

All temporary cash investments purchased with an initial maturity of three months or less are reported as cash equivalents on the Consolidated Balance Sheets at cost, which approximates their fair market value. Investments other than cash and cash equivalents include equity securities, AFS debt securities and other investments. FirstEnergy has no debt securities held for trading purposes.

Generally, unrealized gains and losses on equity securities are recognized in income whereas unrealized gains and losses on AFS debt securities are recognized in AOCI. However, the NDTs of JCP&L, ME and PN are subject to regulatory accounting with all gains and losses on equity and AFS debt securities offset against regulatory assets.

The investment policy for the NDT funds restricts or limits the trusts' ability to hold certain types of assets including private or direct placements, warrants, securities of FirstEnergy, investments in companies owning nuclear power plants, financial derivatives, securities convertible into common stock and securities of the trust funds' custodian or

managers and their parents or subsidiaries.

#### Nuclear Decommissioning and Nuclear Fuel Disposal Trusts

JCP&L, ME and PN hold debt and equity securities within their NDT and nuclear fuel disposal trusts. The debt securities are classified as AFS securities, recognized at fair market value.

The following table summarizes the amortized cost basis, unrealized gains, unrealized losses and fair values of investments held in NDT and nuclear fuel disposal trusts as of March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017:

Edgar Filing: FIRSTENERGY CORP - Form 10-Q

|                   | March 31, 2018              |                  |                   |            | December 31, 2017 <sup>(1)</sup> |                  |                   |            |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------|
|                   | Cost Basis<br>(In millions) | Unrealized Gains | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Cost Basis                       | Unrealized Gains | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
| Debt securities   | \$783                       | \$ 5             | \$ (22 )          | \$ 766     | \$774                            | \$ 11            | \$ (17 )          | \$ 768     |
| Equity securities | \$263                       | \$ 24            | \$ (2 )           | \$ 285     | \$254                            | \$ 40            | \$ —              | \$ 294     |

<sup>(1)</sup> Excludes short-term cash investments of \$11 million.

Proceeds from the sale of investments in equity and AFS debt securities, realized gains and losses on those sales and interest and dividend income for the three months ended March 31, 2018 and 2017 were as follows:

|                              | For the Three Months Ended March 31, |       |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------|
|                              | 2018                                 | 2017  |
|                              | (In millions)                        |       |
| Sale Proceeds                | \$191                                | \$507 |
| Realized Gains               | 19                                   | 21    |
| Realized Losses              | (16 )                                | (15 ) |
| Interest and Dividend Income | 10                                   | 9     |

#### Other Investments

Other investments include employee benefit trusts, which are primarily invested in corporate-owned life insurance policies, and equity method investments. Other investments were \$252 million and \$255 million as of March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively, and are excluded from the amounts reported above.

#### LONG-TERM DEBT AND OTHER LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS

All borrowings with initial maturities of less than one year are defined as short-term financial instruments under GAAP and are reported as Short-term borrowings on the Consolidated Balance Sheets at cost. Since these borrowings are short-term in nature, FirstEnergy believes that their costs approximate their fair market value. The following table provides the approximate fair value and related carrying amounts of FirstEnergy's long-term debt, which excludes capital lease obligations and net unamortized debt issuance costs, premiums and discounts as of March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017:

|                | March 31, 2018 | December 31, 2017 |
|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
|                | (In millions)  |                   |
| Carrying Value | \$17,949       | \$ 19,425         |
| Fair Value     | \$19,487       | \$ 21,551         |

The fair values of long-term debt and other long-term obligations reflect the present value of the cash outflows relating to those securities based on the current call price, the yield to maturity or the yield to call, as deemed appropriate at the end of each respective period. The yields assumed were based on securities with similar characteristics offered by corporations with credit ratings similar to those of FirstEnergy. FirstEnergy classified

short-term borrowings, long-term debt and other long-term obligations as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy as of March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017.

#### 10. DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS

FirstEnergy is exposed to financial risks resulting from fluctuating interest rates and commodity prices, including prices for electricity, coal and energy transmission. To manage the volatility related to these exposures, FirstEnergy's Risk Policy Committee, comprised of senior management, provides general management oversight for risk management activities throughout FirstEnergy. The Risk Policy Committee is responsible for promoting the effective design and implementation of sound risk management programs and oversees compliance with corporate risk management policies and established risk management practice. FirstEnergy also uses a variety of derivative instruments for risk management purposes including forward contracts, options, futures contracts and swaps.



FirstEnergy accounts for derivative instruments on its Consolidated Balance Sheets at fair value (unless they meet the normal purchases and normal sales criteria) as follows:

Changes in the fair value of derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as cash flow hedges are recorded to AOCI with subsequent reclassification to earnings in the period during which the hedged forecasted transaction affects earnings.

Changes in the fair value of derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as fair value hedges are recorded as an adjustment to the item being hedged. When fair value hedges are discontinued, the adjustment recorded to the item being hedged is amortized into earnings.

Changes in the fair value of derivative instruments that are not designated in a hedging relationship are recorded in earnings on a mark-to-market basis, unless otherwise noted.

Derivative instruments meeting the normal purchases and normal sales criteria are accounted for under the accrual method of accounting with their effects included in earnings at the time of contract performance.

FirstEnergy has contractual derivative agreements through 2020.

#### Cash Flow Hedges

FirstEnergy has used forward starting interest rate swap agreements to hedge a portion of the consolidated interest rate risk associated with anticipated issuances of fixed-rate, long-term debt securities of its subsidiaries. These derivatives were designated as cash flow hedges, protecting against the risk of changes in future interest payments resulting from changes in benchmark U.S. Treasury rates between the date of hedge inception and the date of the debt issuance. Total pre-tax unamortized losses included in AOCI associated with prior interest rate cash flow hedges totaled \$20 million and \$22 million as of March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively. Based on current estimates, approximately \$6 million of these unamortized losses are expected to be amortized to interest expense during the next twelve months.

Refer to Note 6, "Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income," for reclassifications from AOCI during the three months ended March 31, 2018 and 2017.

As of March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, no commodity or interest rate derivatives were designated as cash flow hedges.

#### Fair Value Hedges

FirstEnergy has used fixed-for-floating interest rate swap agreements to hedge a portion of the consolidated interest rate risk associated with the debt portfolio of its subsidiaries. As of March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, no fixed-for-floating interest rate swap agreements were outstanding.

Unamortized gains included in long-term debt associated with prior fixed-for-floating interest rate swap agreements totaled \$3 million as of March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017.

#### NUGs

As of March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, FirstEnergy's net liability position under NUG contracts was \$74 million and \$79 million, respectively, representing contracts held at JCP&L and PN. NUG contracts are classified as an Adverse power contract liability on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. During the first quarter of 2018, there were settlements of \$7 million and unrealized losses of \$2 million. Changes in the fair value of NUG contracts are subject

to regulatory accounting treatment and do not impact earnings.

#### FTRs

As of March 31, 2018, and December 31, 2017, FirstEnergy's net asset position associated with FTRs was not material. FirstEnergy holds FTRs that generally represent an economic hedge of future congestion charges that will be incurred in connection with FirstEnergy's load obligations. FirstEnergy acquires the majority of its FTRs in an annual auction through a self-scheduling process involving the use of ARR's allocated to members of PJM that have load serving obligations.

#### 11. CAPITALIZATION

##### Stock Issuance

On January 22, 2018, FirstEnergy entered into agreements for the private placement of its equity securities representing an approximately \$2.5 billion investment in the Company. The Company entered into a Preferred Stock Purchase Agreement (the Preferred SPA) for the private placement of 1,616,000 shares of mandatorily convertible preferred stock, designated as the Series A Convertible Preferred Stock, par value \$100 per share, representing an investment of nearly \$1.62 billion (\$162 million of mandatorily convertible preferred stock and \$1.46 billion of OPIC). The Company also entered into a Common Stock Purchase Agreement for

the private placement of 30,120,482 shares of the Company's common stock, par value \$0.10 per share, representing an investment of \$850 million (\$3 million of Common Stock and \$847 million of OPIC).

The Preferred Stock participates in dividends on the Common Stock on an as-converted basis based on the number of shares of Common Stock a holder of Preferred Stock would receive if its shares of Preferred Stock were converted on the dividend record date at the conversion price in effect at that time. Such dividends are paid at the same time that the dividends on Common Stock are paid.

Each share of Preferred Stock will be convertible into a number of shares of Common Stock equal to the \$1,000 liquidation preference, divided by the Conversion Price then in effect. As of January 22, 2018, the Conversion Price in effect was \$27.42 per share. The Conversion Price is subject to anti-dilution adjustments and adjustments for subdivisions and combinations of the Common Stock, as well as dividends on the Common Stock paid in Common Stock and for certain equity issuances below the Conversion Price then in effect. The Preferred Stock will generally be convertible at the option of holders beginning on July 22, 2018. The holders of Preferred Stock may also elect to convert their shares if the Company undergoes a fundamental change. Furthermore, the Preferred Stock will automatically convert to Common Stock upon certain events of bankruptcy or liquidation of the Company. The Company may elect to convert the Preferred Stock if, at any time, fewer than 323,200 shares of Preferred Stock are outstanding.

In general, any shares of Preferred Stock outstanding on July 22, 2019, will be automatically converted. However, no shares of Preferred Stock will be converted prior to January 22, 2020, if such conversion will cause a converting holder to be deemed to beneficially own, together with its affiliates whose holdings would be aggregated with such holder for purposes of Section 13(d) under the Exchange Act, more than 4.9% of the then-outstanding Common Stock. Furthermore, in no event shall the Company issue more than 58,964,222 shares of Common Stock (the Share Cap) in the aggregate upon conversion of the convertible Preferred Stock. From and after the time at which the aggregate number of shares of Common Stock issued upon conversion of the Preferred Stock equals the Share Cap, each holder electing to convert convertible Preferred Stock will be entitled to receive a cash payment equal to the market value of the Common Stock such holder does not receive upon conversion.

The holders of Preferred Stock have limited class voting rights related to the creation of additional securities that are senior or equal with the Preferred Stock, as well as certain reclassifications and amendments that would affect the rights of the holders of Preferred Stock. The holders of Preferred Stock also have the right to approve issuances of securities convertible or exchangeable for Common Stock, subject to certain exceptions for compensation arrangements and bona fide dividend reinvestment or share purchase plans.

Pursuant to the Preferred SPA, FirstEnergy formed a RWG composed of three employees of FirstEnergy and two outside members identified in the Preferred SPA to advise FirstEnergy management regarding FES' restructuring. The outside RWG members are industry professionals C. John Wilder, Executive Chairman of Bluescape Energy Partners, LLC, and Anthony (Tony) Horton, Chief Financial Officer and Executive Vice President of Energy Future Holdings Corp.

## 12. ASSET RETIREMENT OBLIGATIONS

FirstEnergy has recognized applicable legal obligations for AROs and their associated cost, primarily for the decommissioning of the TMI-2 nuclear generating facility and environmental remediation, including reclamation of sludge disposal ponds, closure of coal ash disposal sites, underground and above-ground storage tanks and wastewater treatment lagoons. In addition, FirstEnergy has recognized conditional retirement obligations, primarily for asbestos remediation.

FirstEnergy uses an expected cash flow approach to measure the fair value of the nuclear decommissioning and environmental remediation AROs, considering the expected timing of settlement of the ARO based on the expected economic useful life of the plants. Conditional retirement obligations associated with tangible long-lived assets are recognized at fair value in the period in which they are incurred if a reasonable estimate can be made, even though there may be uncertainty about timing or method of settlement.

The aggregate ARO liabilities for FirstEnergy are approximately \$580 million and \$570 million as of March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively.

In April 2015, the EPA finalized regulations for the disposal of CCRs (non-hazardous), establishing national standards for landfill design, structural integrity design and assessment criteria for surface impoundments, groundwater monitoring and protection procedures and other operational and reporting procedures to assure the safe disposal of CCRs from electric generating plants. On September 13, 2017, the EPA announced that it would reconsider certain provisions of the final regulations. Based on an assessment of the finalized regulations, the future cost of compliance and expected timing had no significant impact on FirstEnergy's existing AROs associated with CCRs. Although not currently expected, changes in timing and closure plan requirements in the future could materially and adversely impact FirstEnergy's AROs.

### 13. REGULATORY MATTERS

#### STATE REGULATION

Each of the Utilities' retail rates, conditions of service, issuance of securities and other matters are subject to regulation in the states in which it operates - in Maryland by the MDPSC, in Ohio by the PUCO, in New Jersey by the NJBPU, in Pennsylvania by the PPUC, in West Virginia by the WVPSC and in New York by the NYPSC. The transmission operations of PE in Virginia are subject to certain regulations of the VSCC. In addition, under Ohio law, municipalities may regulate rates of a public utility, subject to appeal to the PUCO if not acceptable to the utility. In addition, if any of the FirstEnergy affiliates were to engage in the construction of significant new transmission facilities, depending on the state, they may be required to obtain state regulatory authorization to site, construct and operate the new transmission facility.

Following the adoption of the Tax Act, various state regulatory proceedings have been initiated to investigate the impact of the Tax Act on the Utilities' rates and charges. State proceedings that have arisen are discussed below. The Utilities continue to monitor and investigate the impact of state regulatory proceedings resulting from the Tax Act.

#### MARYLAND

PE provides SOS pursuant to a combination of settlement agreements, MDPSC orders and regulations, and statutory provisions. SOS supply is competitively procured in the form of rolling contracts of varying lengths through periodic auctions that are overseen by the MDPSC and a third-party monitor. Although settlements with respect to SOS supply for PE customers have expired, service continues in the same manner until changed by order of the MDPSC. PE recovers its costs plus a return for providing SOS.

The Maryland legislature adopted a statute in 2008 codifying the EmPOWER Maryland goals to reduce electric consumption and demand and requiring each electric utility to file a plan every three years. On July 16, 2015, the MDPSC issued an order setting new incremental energy savings goals for 2017 and beyond, beginning with the goal of 0.97% savings achieved under PE's plan for 2016, and increasing 0.2% per year thereafter to reach 2%. The Maryland legislature in April 2017 adopted a statute requiring the same 0.2% per year increase, up to the ultimate goal of 2% annual savings, for the duration of the 2018-2020 and 2021-2023 EmPOWER Maryland program cycles, to the extent the MDPSC determines that cost-effective programs and services are available. The costs of PE's 2015-2017 plan approved by the MDPSC in December 2014 were approximately \$60 million. PE's approved 2018-2020 EmPOWER Maryland plan continues and expands upon prior years' programs, and adds new programs, for a projected total cost of \$116 million over the three-year period. On December 22, 2017, the MDPSC issued an order approving the 2018-2020 plan with various modifications. PE recovers program costs subject to a five-year amortization. Maryland law only allows for the utility to recover lost distribution revenue attributable to energy efficiency or demand reduction programs through a base rate case proceeding, and to date, such recovery has not been sought or obtained by PE.

On February 27, 2013, the MDPSC issued an order requiring the Maryland electric utilities to submit analyses relating to the costs and benefits of making further system and staffing enhancements in order to attempt to reduce storm outage durations. PE's responsive filings discussed the steps needed to harden the utility's system in order to attempt to achieve various levels of storm response speed described in the February 2013 Order, and projected that it would require approximately \$2.7 billion in infrastructure investments over 15 years to attempt to achieve the quickest level of response for the largest storm projected in the February 2013 Order. On July 1, 2014, the Staff of the MDPSC issued a set of reports that recommended the imposition of extensive additional requirements in the areas of storm response, feeder performance, estimates of restoration times, and regulatory reporting, as well as the imposition of

penalties, including customer rebates, for a utility's failure or inability to comply with the escalating standards of storm restoration speed proposed by the Staff of the MDPSC. In addition, the Staff of the MDPSC proposed that the Maryland utilities be required to develop and implement system hardening plans, up to a rate impact cap on cost. The MDPSC conducted a hearing September 15-18, 2014, to consider certain of these matters, and has not issued a ruling on any of those matters.

On September 26, 2016, the MDPSC initiated a new proceeding to consider an array of issues relating to electric distribution system design, including matters relating to electric vehicles, distributed energy resources, advanced metering infrastructure, energy storage, system planning, rate design, and impacts on low-income customers. Comments were filed and a hearing was held in late 2016. On January 31, 2017, the MDPSC issued a notice establishing five working groups to address these issues over the following eighteen months, and also directed the retention of an outside consultant to prepare a report on costs and benefits of distributed solar generation in Maryland. On January 19, 2018, PE filed a joint petition, along with other utility companies, work group stakeholders, and the MDPSC electric vehicle work group leader, to implement a statewide electric vehicle portfolio. If approved, PE will launch an electric vehicle charging infrastructure program on January 1, 2019, offering up to 2,000 rebates for electric vehicle charging equipment to residential customers, and deploying up to 259 chargers at non-residential customer service locations at a projected total cost of \$12 million. PE is proposing to recover program costs subject to a five-year amortization. On February 6, 2018, the MDPSC opened a new proceeding to consider the petition and numerous parties filed comments on the petition on March 27, 2018.

On January 12, 2018, the MDPSC instituted a proceeding to examine the impacts of the Tax Act on the rates and charges of Maryland utilities. PE was required to track and apply regulatory accounting treatment for the impacts beginning January 1, 2018,

and submitted a report to the MDPSC on February 15, 2018, estimating that the Tax Act impacts would be approximately \$7 million to \$8 million annually for PE's customers. PE proposed to file a base rate case in the third quarter of 2018 where the benefits from the effects of the Tax Act will be realized by customers through a lower rate increase than would otherwise be necessary.

## NEW JERSEY

JCP&L currently provides BGS for retail customers who do not choose a third-party EGS and for customers of third-party EGSs that fail to provide the contracted service. The supply for BGS is comprised of two components, procured through separate, annually held descending clock auctions, the results of which are approved by the NJBPU. One BGS component reflects hourly real time energy prices and is available for larger commercial and industrial customers. The second BGS component provides a fixed price service and is intended for smaller commercial and residential customers. All New Jersey EDCs participate in this competitive BGS procurement process and recover BGS costs directly from customers as a charge separate from base rates.

JCP&L currently operates under rates that were approved by the NJBPU on December 12, 2016, effective as of January 1, 2017. These rates provide an annual increase in operating revenues of approximately \$80 million from those previously in place and are intended to improve service and benefit customers by supporting equipment maintenance, tree trimming, and inspections of lines, poles and substations, while also compensating for other business and operating expenses. In addition, on January 25, 2017, the NJBPU approved the acceleration of the amortization of JCP&L's 2012 major storm expenses that are recovered through the SRC in order for JCP&L to achieve full recovery by December 31, 2019.

Pursuant to the NJBPU's March 26, 2015 final order in JCP&L's 2012 rate case proceeding directing that certain studies be completed, on July 22, 2015, the NJBPU approved the NJBPU staff's recommendation to implement such studies, which included operational and financial components. The independent consultant conducting the review issued a final report on July 27, 2016, recognizing that JCP&L is meeting the NJBPU requirements and making various operational and financial recommendations. The NJBPU issued an Order on August 24, 2016, that accepted the independent consultant's final report and directed JCP&L, the Division of Rate Counsel and other interested parties to address the recommendations.

In an Order issued October 22, 2014, in a generic proceeding to review its policies with respect to the use of a CTA in base rate cases, the NJBPU stated that it would continue to apply its current CTA policy in base rate cases, subject to incorporating the following modifications: (i) calculating savings using a five-year look back from the beginning of the test year; (ii) allocating savings with 75% retained by the company and 25% allocated to rate payers; and (iii) excluding transmission assets of electric distribution companies in the savings calculation. On November 5, 2014, the Division of Rate Counsel appealed the NJBPU Order regarding the generic CTA proceeding to the Superior Court of New Jersey Appellate Division and JCP&L filed to participate as a respondent in that proceeding supporting the order. On September 18, 2017, the Superior Court of New Jersey Appellate Division reversed the NJBPU's Order on the basis that the NJBPU's modification of its CTA methodology did not comply with the procedures of the NJAPA. JCP&L's existing rates are not expected to be impacted by this order. On December 19, 2017, the NJBPU approved the issuance of proposed rules to modify the CTA methodology consistent with its October 22, 2014 Generic Order, which were published in the NJ Register on January 16, 2018, and republished on February 6, 2018, to correct an error. JCP&L filed comments supporting the proposed rulemaking on April 6, 2018.

At the December 19, 2017 NJBPU public meeting, the NJBPU approved its IIP rulemaking. The IIP creates a financial incentive for utilities to accelerate the level of investment needed to promote the timely rehabilitation and replacement of certain non-revenue producing components that enhance reliability, resiliency, and/or safety. JCP&L expects to make a filing in 2018.

On January 31, 2018, the NJBPU instituted a proceeding to examine the impacts of the Tax Act on the rates and charges of New Jersey utilities. The NJBPU ordered New Jersey utilities to: (1) defer on their books the impacts of the Tax Act effective January 1, 2018; (2) to file tariffs effective April 1, 2018, reflecting the rate impacts of changes in current taxes; and (3) to file tariffs effective July 1, 2018, reflecting the rate impacts of changes in deferred taxes. On March 2, 2018, JCP&L filed a petition with the NJBPU, which included proposed tariffs for a base rate reduction of \$28.6 million effective April 1, 2018, and a rider to reflect \$1.3 million in rate impacts of changes in deferred taxes. On March 26, 2018, the NJBPU approved JCP&L's rate reduction effective April 1, 2018, on an interim basis subject to refund, pending the outcome of this proceeding. The NJBPU, however, did not address refunds and other proposed rider tariffs at such time, but may be addressed at a later date.

## OHIO

The Ohio Companies currently operate under ESP IV which commenced June 1, 2016 and expires May 31, 2024. The material terms of ESP IV, as approved in the PUCO's Opinion and Order issued on March 31, 2016 and Fifth Entry on Rehearing on October 12, 2016, include Rider DMR, which provides for the Ohio Companies to collect \$132.5 million annually for three years, with the possibility of a two-year extension. Rider DMR will be grossed up for federal income taxes, resulting in an approved amount of approximately \$168 million annually in 2018 and 2019. Revenues from Rider DMR will be excluded from the significantly excessive earnings test for the initial three-year term but the exclusion will be reconsidered upon application for a potential two-year extension. The PUCO set three conditions for continued recovery under Rider DMR: (1) retention of the corporate headquarters and nexus of operations in Akron, Ohio; (2) no change in control of the Ohio Companies; and (3) a demonstration of sufficient progress in the implementation of grid modernization programs approved by the PUCO. ESP IV also continues a base distribution rate freeze through May 31, 2024. In addition, ESP IV continues the supply of power to non-shopping customers at a market-based price set



through an auction process.

ESP IV also continues Rider DCR, which supports continued investment related to the distribution system for the benefit of customers, with increased revenue caps of \$30 million per year from June 1, 2016 through May 31, 2019; \$20 million per year from June 1, 2019 through May 31, 2022; and \$15 million per year from June 1, 2022 through May 31, 2024. Other material terms of ESP IV include: (1) the collection of lost distribution revenues associated with energy efficiency and peak demand reduction programs; (2) an agreement to file a Grid Modernization Business Plan for PUCO consideration and approval (which filing was made on February 29, 2016 and remains pending); (3) a goal across FirstEnergy to reduce CO<sub>2</sub> emissions by 90% below 2005 levels by 2045; (4) contributions, totaling \$51 million to: (a) fund energy conservation programs, economic development and job retention in the Ohio Companies' service territories; (b) establish a fuel-fund in each of the Ohio Companies' service territories to assist low-income customers; and (c) establish a Customer Advisory Agency to ensure preservation and growth of the competitive market in Ohio; and (5) an agreement to file an application to transition to a straight fixed variable cost recovery mechanism for residential customers' base distribution rates, which filing was made on April 3, 2017 and remains pending.

Several parties, including the Ohio Companies, filed applications for rehearing regarding the Ohio Companies' ESP IV with the PUCO. The Ohio Companies' application for rehearing challenged, among other things, the PUCO's failure to adopt the Ohio Companies' suggested modifications to Rider DMR. The Ohio Companies had previously suggested that a properly designed Rider DMR would be valued at \$558 million annually for eight years, and include an additional amount that recognizes the value of the economic impact of FirstEnergy maintaining its headquarters in Ohio. Other parties' applications for rehearing argued, among other things, that the PUCO's adoption of Rider DMR is not supported by law or sufficient evidence. On August 16, 2017, the PUCO denied all remaining intervenor applications for rehearing, denied the Ohio Companies' challenges to the modifications to Rider DMR and added a third-party monitor to ensure that Rider DMR funds are spent appropriately. On September 15, 2017, the Ohio Companies filed an application for rehearing of the PUCO's August 16, 2017 ruling on the issues of the third-party monitor and the ROE calculation for advanced metering infrastructure. On October 11, 2017, the PUCO denied the Ohio Companies' application for rehearing on both issues. On October 16, 2017, the Sierra Club and the Ohio Manufacturer's Association Energy Group filed notices of appeal with the Supreme Court of Ohio appealing various PUCO entries on their applications for rehearing. On November 16, 2017, the Ohio Companies intervened in the appeal. Additional parties subsequently filed notices of appeal with the Supreme Court of Ohio challenging various PUCO entries on their applications for rehearing. On February 26, 2018, appellants filed their briefs. Briefs of the PUCO and the Ohio Companies are due May 7, 2018.

Under ORC 4928.66, the Ohio Companies are required to implement energy efficiency programs that achieve certain annual energy savings and total peak demand reductions. Starting in 2017, ORC 4928.66 requires the energy savings benchmark to increase by 1% and the peak demand reduction benchmark to increase by 0.75% annually thereafter through 2020 and the energy savings benchmark to increase by 2% annually from 2021 through 2027, with a cumulative benchmark of 22.2% by 2027. On April 15, 2016, the Ohio Companies filed an application for approval of their three-year energy efficiency portfolio plans for the period from January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2019. The plans as proposed comply with benchmarks contemplated by ORC 4928.66 and provisions of the ESP IV, and include a portfolio of energy efficiency programs targeted to a variety of customer segments, including residential customers, low income customers, small commercial customers, large commercial and industrial customers and governmental entities. On December 9, 2016, the Ohio Companies filed a Stipulation and Recommendation with several parties that contained changes to the plan and a decrease in the plan costs. The Ohio Companies anticipate the cost of the plans will be approximately \$268 million over the life of the portfolio plans and such costs are expected to be recovered through the Ohio Companies' existing rate mechanisms. On November 21, 2017, the PUCO issued an order that approved the filed Stipulation and Recommendation with several modifications, including a cap on the Ohio Companies' collection of program costs and shared savings set at 4% of the Ohio Companies' total sales to customers

as reported on 2015 FERC Form 1. On December 21, 2017, the Ohio Companies filed an application for rehearing challenging the PUCO's modification of the Stipulation and Recommendation to include the 4% cost cap, which was denied by the PUCO on January 10, 2018. On March 12, 2018, the Ohio Companies filed a Notice of Appeal with the Supreme Court of Ohio challenging the PUCO's imposition of a 4% cost cap. Various other parties also filed Notices of Appeal challenging various PUCO entries on their applications for rehearing. The Ohio Companies' brief is due on May 21, 2018.

Ohio law requires electric utilities and electric service companies in Ohio to serve part of their load from renewable energy resources measured by an annually increasing percentage amount through 2026, except that in 2014 SB310 froze 2015 and 2016 requirements at the 2014 level (2.5%), pushing back scheduled increases, which resumed in 2017 (3.5%), and increases 1% each year through 2026 (to 12.5%) and shall remain at 12.5% in 2027 and each year thereafter. The Ohio Companies conducted RFPs in 2009, 2010 and 2011 to secure RECs to help meet these renewable energy requirements. In September 2011, the PUCO opened a docket to review the Ohio Companies' alternative energy recovery rider through which the Ohio Companies recover the costs of acquiring these RECs. The PUCO issued an Opinion and Order on August 7, 2013, approving the Ohio Companies' acquisition process and their purchases of RECs to meet statutory mandates in all instances except for certain purchases arising from one auction and directed the Ohio Companies to credit non-shopping customers in the amount of \$43.4 million, plus interest, on the basis that the Ohio Companies did not prove such purchases were prudent. On December 24, 2013, following the denial of their application for rehearing, the Ohio Companies filed a notice of appeal and a motion for stay of the PUCO's order with the Supreme Court of Ohio, which was granted. The OCC and the ELPC also filed appeals of the PUCO's order. On January 24, 2018, the Supreme Court of Ohio reversed the PUCO order finding that the order violated the rule against prohibiting retroactive ratemaking. On February 5, 2018, the OCC and ELPC filed a motion for reconsideration, to which the Ohio Companies responded in opposition on February 15, 2018.

On December 1, 2017, the Ohio Companies filed an application with the PUCO for approval of a DPM Plan. The DPM Plan is a portfolio of approximately \$450 million in distribution platform investment projects, which are designed to modernize the Ohio Companies' distribution grid, prepare it for further grid modernization projects, and provide customers with immediate reliability benefits. The Ohio Companies have requested that the PUCO issue an order approving the DPM Plan and associated cost recovery no later than May 2, 2018, so that the Ohio Companies can expeditiously commence the DPM Plan and customers can begin to realize the associated benefits.

On January 10, 2018, the PUCO opened a case to consider the impacts of the Tax Act and determine the appropriate course of action to pass benefits on to customers. The Ohio Companies, effective January 1, 2018, were required to establish a regulatory liability for the estimated reduction in federal income tax resulting from the Tax Act, and filed comments on February 15, 2018, explaining that customers will save nearly \$40 million annually as a result of updating tariff riders for the tax rate changes and that the Ohio Companies' base distribution rates are not impacted by the Tax Act changes because they are frozen through May 2024. The Ohio Companies filed reply comments on March 7, 2018.

## PENNSYLVANIA

The Pennsylvania Companies operate under DSPs for the June 1, 2017 through May 31, 2019 delivery period, which provide for the competitive procurement of generation supply for customers who do not choose an alternative EGS or for customers of alternative EGSs that fail to provide the contracted service. Under the DSPs, the supply will be provided by wholesale suppliers through a mix of 12 and 24-month energy contracts, as well as one RFP for 2-year SREC contracts for ME, PN and Penn. The DSPs include modifications to the Pennsylvania Companies' POR programs in order to reduce the level of uncollectible expense the Pennsylvania Companies experience associated with alternative EGS charges.

On December 11, 2017, the Pennsylvania Companies filed DSPs for the June 1, 2019 through May 31, 2023 delivery period. Under the 2019-2023 DSPs, the supply is proposed to be provided by wholesale suppliers through a mix of 3, 12 and 24-month energy contracts, as well as two RFPs for 2-year SREC contracts for ME, PN and Penn. The 2019-2023 DSPs as proposed also include modifications to the Pennsylvania Companies' POR programs in order to continue their clawback pilot program as a long-term, permanent program. The 2019-2023 DSPs also introduce a retail market enhancement rate mechanism designed to stimulate residential customer shopping, and modifications to the Pennsylvania Companies' customer class definitions to allow for the introduction of hourly priced default service to customers at or above 100kW. A hearing was held on April 10, 2018, and the PPUC is expected to issue a final order on these DSPs by mid-September 2018.

The Pennsylvania Companies operate under rates that were approved by the PPUC on January 19, 2017, effective as of January 27, 2017. These rates provide annual increases in operating revenues of approximately \$96 million at ME, \$100 million at PN, \$29 million at Penn, and \$66 million at WP, and are intended to benefit customers by modernizing the grid with smart technologies, increasing vegetation management activities, and continuing other customer service enhancements.

Pursuant to Pennsylvania's EE&C legislation in Act 129 of 2008 and PPUC orders, Pennsylvania EDCs implement energy efficiency and peak demand reduction programs. On June 19, 2015, the PPUC issued a Phase III Final Implementation Order setting: demand reduction targets, relative to each Pennsylvania Companies' 2007-2008 peak demand (in MW), at 1.8% for ME, 1.7% for Penn, 1.8% for WP, and 0% for PN; and energy consumption reduction targets, as a percentage of each Pennsylvania Companies' historic 2010 forecasts (in MWH), at 4.0% for ME, 3.9% for PN, 3.3% for Penn, and 2.6% for WP. The Pennsylvania Companies' Phase III EE&C plans for the June 2016 through May 2021 period, which were approved in March 2016, with expected costs up to \$390 million, are designed to achieve the targets established in the PPUC's Phase III Final Implementation Order with full recovery through the

reconcilable EE&C riders.

Pursuant to Act 11 of 2012, Pennsylvania EDCs may establish a DSIC to recover costs of infrastructure improvements and costs related to highway relocation projects with PPUC approval. Pennsylvania EDCs must file LTIIIPs outlining infrastructure improvement plans for PPUC review and approval prior to approval of a DSIC. On February 11, 2016, the PPUC approved LTIIIPs for each of the Pennsylvania Companies. On June 14, 2017, the PPUC approved modified LTIIIPs for ME, PN and Penn for the remaining years of 2017 through 2020 to provide additional support for reliability and infrastructure investments. The LTIIIPs estimated costs for the remaining period of 2018 to 2020, as modified, are: WP \$50.1 million; PN \$44.8 million; Penn \$33.2 million; and ME \$51.3 million. On April 10, 2018, the PPUC notified each of the Pennsylvania Companies that the PPUC was initiating a review of the LTIIIPs as required by regulation once every five years. Comments from interested parties concerning the LTIIIPs are due to the PPUC by May 10, 2018, and reply comments by May 30, 2018.

On February 16, 2016, the Pennsylvania Companies filed DSIC riders for PPUC approval for quarterly cost recovery, which were approved by the PPUC on June 9, 2016, and went into effect July 1, 2016, subject to hearings and refund or reallocation among customer classes. On January 19, 2017, in the PPUC's order approving the Pennsylvania Companies' general rate cases, the PPUC added an additional issue to the DSIC proceeding to include whether ADIT should be included in DSIC calculations. On February 2, 2017, the parties to the DSIC proceeding submitted a Joint Settlement to the ALJ that resolved the issues that were pending from the order issued on June 9, 2016. On August 31, 2017, the ALJ issued a decision recommending that the complaint of the Pennsylvania OCA be granted by the PPUC such that the Pennsylvania Companies reflect all federal and state income tax deductions related to DSIC-eligible property in the currently effective DSIC rates. On April 19, 2018, the PPUC approved the Joint

Settlement without modification and reversed the ALJ's decision that would have required the Pennsylvania Companies to reflect all federal and state income tax deductions related to DSIC-eligible property in currently effective DSIC rates.

On February 12, 2018, the PPUC initiated a proceeding to determine the effects of the Tax Act on the tax liability of utilities and the feasibility of reflecting such impacts in rates charged to customers. On March 9, 2018, the Pennsylvania Companies submitted their calculation of the net annual effect of the Tax Act on income tax expense and rate base to be \$37 million for ME, \$40 million for PN, \$9 million for Penn, and \$30 million for WP. The Pennsylvania Companies also filed comments proposing that rates be adjusted to reflect the tax rate changes prospectively from the date of a final PPUC order via a reconcilable rider, with the amount that would otherwise accrue between January 1, 2018 and the date of a final order being used to invest in the Pennsylvania Companies' infrastructure. On March 15, 2018, the PPUC issued a Temporary Rates Order making the Pennsylvania Companies' rates temporary and subject to refund for six months, with a possible six-month extension, during which time the PPUC will direct any negative surcharge, refund or other rate adjustment that it deems to be necessary, just and reasonable.

#### WEST VIRGINIA

MP and PE provide electric service to all customers through traditional cost-based, regulated utility ratemaking. MP and PE recover net power supply costs, including fuel costs, purchased power costs and related expenses, net of related market sales revenue through the ENEC. MP's and PE's ENEC rate is updated annually.

On September 23, 2016, the WVPSC approved the Phase II energy efficiency program for MP and PE as reflected in a unanimous settlement, which includes three energy efficiency programs to meet the Phase II requirement of energy efficiency reductions of 0.5% of 2013 distribution sales for the January 1, 2017 through May 31, 2018 period, which was approved by the WVPSC in the 2012 proceeding approving the transfer of ownership of Harrison Power Station to MP. The costs for the Phase II program are expected to be \$10.4 million and are eligible for recovery through the existing energy efficiency rider which is reviewed in the fuel (ENEC) case each year. On December 15, 2017, the WVPSC approved MP's and PE's proposed annual decrease in their EE&C rates, effective January 1, 2018, which decrease is not material to FirstEnergy.

On December 9, 2016, the WVPSC approved the annual ENEC case for MP and PE as reflected in a unanimous settlement, resulting in an increase in the ENEC rate of \$25 million annually beginning January 1, 2017. In addition, ENEC rates will be maintained at the same level for a two year period.

AE Supply was the winning bidder of a December 2016 RFP to address MP's generation shortfall and on March 6, 2017, MP and AE Supply signed an asset purchase agreement for MP to acquire AE Supply's Pleasants Power Station (1,300 MWs) for approximately \$195 million, subject to customary and other closing conditions, including regulatory approvals. On January 12, 2018, FERC issued an order denying authorization for the transaction, holding that MP and AE Supply did not demonstrate that the sale was consistent with the public interest and the transaction did not fall within the safe harbors for meeting FERC's affiliate cross-subsidization analysis. The WVPSC issued its order on January 26, 2018, approving the transfer of Pleasants Power Station under certain conditions, which included MP assuming significant commodity risk. Based on the FERC ruling and the conditions included in the WVPSC order, MP and AE Supply terminated the asset purchase agreement and on February 16, 2018, AE Supply announced its intent to exit operations of the Pleasants Power Station by January 1, 2019, through either sale or deactivation.

On September 1, 2017, MP and PE filed with the WVPSC for a reconciliation of their VMS to confirm that rate recovery matches VMP costs and for a regular review of that program. MP and PE proposed a \$15 million annual decrease in VMS rates effective January 1, 2018, and an additional \$15 million decrease in rates for 2019. This is an

overall decrease in total revenue and average rates of 1%. On December 15, 2017, the WVPSC issued an order adopting a unanimous settlement without modification.

On January 3, 2018, the WVPSC initiated a proceeding to investigate the effects of the Tax Act on the revenue requirements of utilities. MP and PE must track the tax savings resulting from the Tax Act on a monthly basis, effective January 1, 2018, and file written testimony explaining the impact of the Tax Act on federal income tax and revenue requirements by May 30, 2018. On January 26, 2018, the WVPSC issued an order clarifying that regulatory accounting should be implemented as of January 1, 2018, including the recording of any regulatory liabilities resulting from the Tax Act.

## FERC MATTERS

### Reliability Matters

Federally-enforceable mandatory reliability standards apply to the bulk electric system and impose certain operating, record-keeping and reporting requirements on the Utilities, AE Supply, ATSI, MAIT and TrAIL. NERC is the ERO designated by FERC to establish and enforce these reliability standards, although NERC has delegated day-to-day implementation and enforcement of these reliability standards to eight regional entities, including RFC. All of FirstEnergy's facilities are located within the RFC region. FirstEnergy actively participates in the NERC and RFC stakeholder processes, and otherwise monitors and manages its companies in response to the ongoing development, implementation and enforcement of the reliability standards implemented and enforced by RFC.

FirstEnergy believes that it is in compliance with all currently-effective and enforceable reliability standards. Nevertheless, in the course of operating its extensive electric utility systems and facilities, FirstEnergy occasionally learns of isolated facts or

circumstances that could be interpreted as excursions from the reliability standards. If and when such occurrences are found, FirstEnergy develops information about the occurrence and develops a remedial response to the specific circumstances, including in appropriate cases “self-reporting” an occurrence to RFC. Moreover, it is clear that NERC, RFC and FERC will continue to refine existing reliability standards as well as to develop and adopt new reliability standards. Any inability on FirstEnergy's part to comply with the reliability standards for its bulk electric system could result in the imposition of financial penalties, or obligations to upgrade or build transmission facilities, that could have a material adverse effect on its financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

#### PJM Transmission Rates

PJM and its stakeholders have been debating the proper method to allocate costs for certain transmission facilities. While FirstEnergy and other parties advocate for a traditional "beneficiary pays" (or usage based) approach, others advocate for “socializing” the costs on a load-ratio share basis, where each customer in the zone would pay based on its total usage of energy within PJM. This question has been the subject of extensive litigation before FERC and the appellate courts, including before the Seventh Circuit. On June 25, 2014, a divided three-judge panel of the Seventh Circuit ruled that FERC had not quantified the benefits that western PJM utilities would derive from certain new 500 kV or higher lines and thus had not adequately supported its decision to socialize the costs of these lines. The majority found that eastern PJM utilities are the primary beneficiaries of the lines, while western PJM utilities are only incidental beneficiaries, and that, while incidental beneficiaries should pay some share of the costs of the lines, that share should be proportionate to the benefit they derive from the lines, and not on load-ratio share in PJM as a whole. The court remanded the case to FERC, which issued an order setting the issue of cost allocation for hearing and settlement proceedings. On June 15, 2016, various parties, including ATSI and the Utilities, filed a settlement agreement at FERC agreeing to apply a combined usage based/socialization approach to cost allocation for charges to transmission customers in the PJM Region for transmission projects operating at or above 500 kV. Certain other parties in the proceeding filed protests to the settlement, which remains pending before FERC as a contested settlement.

#### RTO Realignment

On June 1, 2011, ATSI and the ATSI zone transferred from MISO to PJM. While many of the matters involved with the move have been resolved, FERC denied recovery under ATSI's transmission rate for certain charges that collectively can be described as "exit fees" and certain other transmission cost allocation charges totaling approximately \$78.8 million until such time as ATSI submits a cost/benefit analysis demonstrating net benefits to customers from the transfer to PJM. Subsequently, FERC rejected a proposed settlement agreement to resolve the exit fee and transmission cost allocation issues, stating that its action is without prejudice to ATSI submitting a cost/benefit analysis demonstrating that the benefits of the RTO realignment decisions outweigh the exit fee and transmission cost allocation charges. On March 17, 2016, FERC denied FirstEnergy's request for rehearing of FERC's earlier order rejecting the settlement agreement and affirmed its prior ruling that ATSI must submit the cost/benefit analysis.

Separately, ATSI resolved a dispute regarding responsibility for certain costs for the “Michigan Thumb” transmission project, pursuant to a 2015 FERC order, affirmed on rehearing and by the Sixth Circuit, finding that ATSI and the ATSI zone do not have to pay MISO MVP charges for the Michigan Thumb transmission project. On a related issue, FirstEnergy joined certain other PJM TOs in a protest of MISO's proposal to allocate MVP costs to energy transactions that cross MISO's borders into the PJM Region. On July 13, 2016, FERC issued its order finding it appropriate for MISO to assess an MVP usage charge for transmission exports from MISO to PJM. Various parties, including FirstEnergy and the PJM TOs, requested rehearing or clarification of FERC's order. The requests for rehearing remain pending before FERC.

In addition, in a May 31, 2011 order, FERC ruled that the costs for certain "legacy RTEP" transmission projects in PJM approved before ATSI joined PJM could be charged to transmission customers in the ATSI zone. The amount to be paid, and the question of derived benefits, is pending before FERC as a result of the Seventh Circuit's June 25, 2014 order described above under "PJM Transmission Rates."

The outcome of the proceedings that address the remaining open issues related to MVP costs and "legacy RTEP" transmission projects cannot be predicted at this time.

#### MAIT Transmission Formula Rate

On October 28, 2016, as amended on January 10, 2017, MAIT submitted an application to FERC requesting authorization to implement a forward-looking formula transmission rate to recover and earn a return on transmission assets effective February 1, 2017. Various intervenors submitted protests of the proposed MAIT formula rate. Among other things, the protests asked FERC to suspend the proposed effective date for the formula rate until June 1, 2017. On March 10, 2017, FERC issued an order accepting the MAIT formula transmission rate for filing, suspending the formula transmission rate for five months to become effective July 1, 2017, and establishing hearing and settlement judge procedures. On April 10, 2017, MAIT requested rehearing of FERC's decision to suspend the effective date of the formula rate. FERC's order on rehearing remains pending. MAIT's rates went into effect on July 1, 2017, subject to refund pending the outcome of the hearing and settlement procedures. On October 13, 2017, MAIT and certain parties filed a settlement agreement with FERC. The settlement agreement provides for certain changes to MAIT's formula rate, changes MAIT's ROE from 11% to 10.3%, sets the recovery amount for certain regulatory assets, and establishes that MAIT's capital structure will not exceed 60% equity over the period ending December 31, 2021. The settlement agreement further provides



that the ROE and the 60% cap on the equity component of MAIT's capital structure will remain in effect unless changed pursuant to section 205 or 206 of the FPA provided the effective date for any change shall be no earlier than January 1, 2022. The settlement agreement currently is pending at FERC.

#### JCP&L Transmission Formula Rate

On October 28, 2016, after withdrawing its request to the NJBPU to transfer its transmission assets to MAIT, JCP&L submitted an application to FERC requesting authorization to implement a forward-looking formula transmission rate to recover and earn a return on transmission assets effective January 1, 2017. A group of intervenors filed a protest of the proposed JCP&L transmission rate. Among other things, the protest asked FERC to suspend the proposed effective date for the formula rate until June 1, 2017. On March 10, 2017, FERC issued an order accepting the JCP&L formula transmission rate for filing, suspending the transmission rate for five months to become effective June 1, 2017, and establishing hearing and settlement judge procedures. On April 10, 2017, JCP&L requested rehearing of FERC's decision to suspend the effective date of the formula rate. Following FERC proceedings, JCP&L's rates went into effect on June 1, 2017, subject to refund pending the outcome of the hearing and settlement procedures. On December 21, 2017, JCP&L and certain parties filed a settlement agreement with FERC. The settlement agreement provides for a \$135 million stated annual revenue requirement for Network Integration Transmission Service and an average of \$20 million stated annual revenue requirement for certain projects listed on the PJM Tariff where the costs are allocated in part beyond the JCP&L transmission zone within the PJM Region. The revenue requirements are subject to a moratorium on additional revenue requirements proceedings through December 31, 2019, other than limited filings to seek recovery for certain additional costs. On December 27, 2017, FERC granted JCP&L's motion to implement the settlement rate on an interim basis effective January 1, 2018, pending a final commission order on the settlement agreement. On February 20, 2018, FERC issued an order accepting the settlement agreement with an effective date of June 1, 2017. Refunds for the difference between the filed rate and the settlement rate will be paid out ratably in 2018. In compliance with the settlement agreement, on March 1, 2018, JCP&L withdrew its April 2017 request for rehearing of FERC's March 10, 2017 order.

#### PATH Transmission Project

In 2012, the PJM Board of Managers canceled the PATH project, a proposed transmission line from West Virginia through Virginia and into Maryland. As a result of PJM canceling the project, approximately \$62 million and approximately \$59 million in costs incurred by PATH-Allegheny and PATH-WV, respectively, were reclassified from net property, plant and equipment to a regulatory asset for future recovery. PATH-Allegheny and PATH-WV requested authorization from FERC to recover the costs with a proposed ROE of 10.9% (10.4% base plus 0.5% for RTO membership) from PJM customers over five years. FERC issued an order denying the 0.5% ROE adder for RTO membership and allowing the tariff changes enabling recovery of these costs to become effective on December 1, 2012, subject to hearing and settlement procedures. On January 19, 2017, FERC issued an order reducing the PATH formula rate ROE from 10.4% to 8.11% effective January 19, 2017 and allowing recovery of certain related costs. On February 21, 2017, PATH filed a request for rehearing with FERC seeking recovery of disallowed costs and requesting that the ROE be reset to 10.4%. The Edison Electric Institute submitted an amicus curiae request for reconsideration in support of PATH. On March 20, 2017, PATH also submitted a compliance filing implementing the January 19, 2017 order. Certain affected ratepayers challenged the compliance filing, and FERC Staff requested additional information on, and edits to, the compliance filing, as directed by the January 19, 2017 order. PATH responded to comments and Staff's request. FERC orders on PATH's requests for rehearing and compliance filing remain pending.

#### FERC Actions on Tax Act

On March 15, 2018, FERC took action to address the impact of the Tax Act on FERC-jurisdictional rates, including transmission and electric wholesale rates. FERC directed MP, PE and WP to either submit a joint filing to adjust the transmission rate for the Allegheny transmission zone in the PJM Region to address the impact of the Tax Act changes, or to “show cause” as to why such action is not required. FERC established a refund effective date of March 21, 2018 for any refunds as a result of the change in tax rate. The joint filing is due on May 14, 2018, after which the matter will be before FERC for further action. FERC is not at this time requiring other FirstEnergy FERC-jurisdictional companies to make changes to their transmission or wholesale rates. However, these rates may be affected by a related FERC proceeding assessing the impact of the Tax Act on certain rate components.

Also on March 15, 2018, FERC issued a Notice of Inquiry seeking information regarding whether and how FERC should address possible changes to accumulated deferred income taxes and bonus depreciation as a result of the Tax Act. Such possible changes could impact FERC-jurisdictional rates, including wholesale rates. Responses to the Notice of Inquiry are due May 21, 2018, after which the matter will be before FERC for further action.

#### PJM Markets: Grid Reliability and Resiliency

On September 28, 2017, the Secretary of Energy released a NOPR requesting FERC to issue rules directing RTOs, including PJM, to incorporate pricing for defined “eligible grid reliability and resiliency resources” into wholesale energy markets. FERC established a docket requesting comments, and issued an order on January 8, 2018 terminating the NOPR proceeding, finding that the NOPR did not satisfy the statutory threshold requirements under the FPA for requiring changes to RTO/ISO tariffs to address resilience concerns. FERC in its order instituted a new administrative proceeding to gather additional information regarding resilience issues, and on March 9, 2018, each RTO/ISO responded to a provided list of questions. In the event FERC orders resiliency payments in

wholesale energy markets, such charges may be levied against LSEs in the PJM Region, including the Utilities. There is no deadline or requirement for FERC to act in this new proceeding and as such the outcome of the proceeding and its impact on the Utilities, if any, cannot be predicted at this time.

#### PJM Markets: Capacity Pricing Reform

On April 10, 2018, as amended on April 16, 2018, PJM filed with FERC two alternative proposals to modify the PJM Tariff to address concerns that state-authorized subsidies to certain generators within PJM may affect market prices. Under one approach, PJM would establish a two-stage capacity auction to enable subsidized generators to participate in the auction, but to prevent the subsidies from affecting the market clearing price. Under the alternative approach, the MOPR would be expanded to cover all generators in PJM, including vertically-integrated utility generation owners such as MP and JCP&L. PJM requested FERC action on the filing by June 29, 2018, and to make the proposed tariff revisions effective as of January 4, 2019. Comments on PJM's proposals are due May 1, 2018. The outcome of the proceeding and its impact on MP and JCP&L, if any, cannot be predicted at this time.

### 14. COMMITMENTS, GUARANTEES AND CONTINGENCIES

#### GUARANTEES AND OTHER ASSURANCES

FirstEnergy has various financial and performance guarantees and indemnifications which are issued in the normal course of business. These contracts include performance guarantees, stand-by letters of credit, debt guarantees, surety bonds and indemnifications. FirstEnergy enters into these arrangements to facilitate commercial transactions with third parties by enhancing the value of the transaction to the third party.

As of March 31, 2018, FirstEnergy's outstanding guarantees and other assurances aggregated approximately \$1.9 billion, consisting of guarantees and assurances on behalf of FES and FENOC (\$484 million), parental guarantees on behalf of its consolidated subsidiaries (\$1,007 million), other guarantees (\$255 million) and other assurances (\$178 million).

#### COLLATERAL AND CONTINGENT-RELATED FEATURES

In the normal course of business, FE and its subsidiaries routinely enter into physical or financially settled contracts for the sale and purchase of electric capacity, energy, fuel, and emission allowances. Certain bilateral agreements and derivative instruments contain provisions that require FE or its subsidiaries to post collateral. This collateral may be posted in the form of cash or credit support with thresholds contingent upon FE's or its subsidiaries' credit ratings from each of the major credit rating agencies. The collateral and credit support requirements vary by contract and by counterparty. The incremental collateral requirement allows for the offsetting of assets and liabilities with the same counterparty, where the contractual right of offset exists under applicable master netting agreements.

Bilateral agreements and derivative instruments entered into by FE and its subsidiaries have margining provisions that require posting of collateral. Based on AE Supply's power portfolio exposure as of March 31, 2018, AE Supply had no posted collateral. The Utilities and FET had posted collateral of \$6 million.

These credit-risk-related contingent features, or the margining provisions within bilateral agreements, stipulate that if the subsidiary were to be downgraded or lose its investment grade credit rating (based on its senior unsecured debt rating), it would be required to provide additional collateral. Depending on the volume of forward contracts and future price movements, higher amounts for margining, which is the ability to secure additional collateral when needed, could be required. The following table discloses the potential additional credit rating contingent contractual collateral obligations as of March 31, 2018.

| Potential Collateral Obligations                  | AE<br>Supply  | Utilities<br>and<br>FET | FE<br>Corp | Total |
|---------------------------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|------------|-------|
|                                                   | (In millions) |                         |            |       |
| Contractual Obligations for Additional Collateral |               |                         |            |       |
| At Current Credit Rating                          | \$ 1          | \$ —                    | \$—        | \$1   |
| Upon Further Downgrade                            | —             | 46                      | —          | 46    |
| Surety Bonds (Collateralized Amount)              | 1             | 109                     | 236        | 346   |
| Total Exposure from Contractual Obligations       | \$ 2          | \$ 155                  | \$236      | \$393 |

Surety Bonds are not tied to a credit rating. Surety Bonds' impact assumes maximum contractual obligations (typical obligations require 30 days to cure). FE provides credit support for FG surety bonds of \$169 million and \$31 million for the benefit of the PA DEP with respect to LBR and the Hatfield's Ferry disposal site, respectively.

## OTHER COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

FE is a guarantor under a syndicated senior secured term loan facility due March 3, 2020, under which Global Holding's outstanding balance is \$255 million. In addition to FE, Signal Peak, Global Rail, Global Mining Group, LLC and Global Coal Sales Group, LLC, each being a direct or indirect subsidiary of Global Holding, continue to provide their joint and several guarantees of the obligations of Global Holding under the facility.

In connection with the facility, 69.99% of Global Holding's direct and indirect membership interests in Signal Peak, Global Rail and their affiliates along with FEV's and WMB Marketing Ventures, LLC's respective 33-1/3% membership interests in Global Holding, are pledged to the lenders under the current facility as collateral.

## ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS

Various federal, state and local authorities regulate FirstEnergy with regard to air and water quality and other environmental matters. Pursuant to a March 28, 2017 executive order, the EPA and other federal agencies are to review existing regulations that potentially burden the development or use of domestically produced energy resources and appropriately suspend, revise or rescind those that unduly burden the development of domestic energy resources beyond the degree necessary to protect the public interest or otherwise comply with the law. FirstEnergy cannot predict the timing or ultimate outcome of any of these reviews or how any future actions taken as a result thereof, in particular with respect to existing environmental regulations, may impact its business, results of operations, cash flows and financial condition.

Compliance with environmental regulations could have a material adverse effect on FirstEnergy's earnings and competitive position to the extent that FirstEnergy competes with companies that are not subject to such regulations and, therefore, do not bear the risk of costs associated with compliance, or failure to comply, with such regulations.

### Clean Air Act

FirstEnergy complies with SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> emission reduction requirements under the CAA and SIP(s) by burning lower-sulfur fuel, utilizing combustion controls and post-combustion controls and/or using emission allowances.

CSAPR requires reductions of NO<sub>x</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> emissions in two phases (2015 and 2017), ultimately capping SO<sub>2</sub> emissions in affected states to 2.4 million tons annually and NO<sub>x</sub> emissions to 1.2 million tons annually. CSAPR allows trading of NO<sub>x</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> emission allowances between power plants located in the same state and interstate trading of NO<sub>x</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> emission allowances with some restrictions. The D.C. Circuit ordered the EPA on July 28, 2015, to reconsider the CSAPR caps on NO<sub>x</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> emissions from power plants in 13 states, including Ohio, Pennsylvania and West Virginia. This follows the 2014 U.S. Supreme Court ruling generally upholding the EPA's regulatory approach under CSAPR, but questioning whether the EPA required upwind states to reduce emissions by more than their contribution to air pollution in downwind states. The EPA issued a CSAPR update rule on September 7, 2016, reducing summertime NO<sub>x</sub> emissions from power plants in 22 states in the eastern U.S., including Ohio, Pennsylvania and West Virginia, beginning in 2017. Various states and other stakeholders appealed the CSAPR update rule to the D.C. Circuit in November and December 2016. On September 6, 2017, the D.C. Circuit rejected the industry's bid for a lengthy pause in the litigation and set a briefing schedule. Depending on the outcome of the appeals, the EPA's reconsideration of the CSAPR update rule and how the EPA and the states ultimately implement CSAPR, the future cost of compliance may be material and changes to FirstEnergy's operations may result.

The EPA tightened the primary and secondary NAAQS for ozone from the 2008 standard levels of 75 PPB to 70 PPB on October 1, 2015. The EPA stated the vast majority of U.S. counties will meet the new 70 PPB standard by 2025 due to other federal and state rules and programs but the EPA will designate those counties that fail to attain the new

2015 ozone NAAQS by October 1, 2017. The EPA missed the October 1, 2017, deadline and has not yet promulgated the attainment designations. States will then have roughly three years to develop implementation plans to attain the new 2015 ozone NAAQS. On December 5, 2017, fourteen states and the District of Columbia filed complaints in the U.S. District Court of Northern California seeking an order that the EPA promulgate the attainment designations for the new 2015 ozone NAAQS. Depending on how the EPA and the states implement the new 2015 ozone NAAQS, the future cost of compliance may be material and changes to FirstEnergy's operations may result. In August 2016, the State of Delaware filed a CAA Section 126 petition with the EPA alleging that the Harrison generating facility's NOx emissions significantly contribute to Delaware's inability to attain the ozone NAAQS. The petition seeks a short-term NOx emission rate limit of 0.125 lb/mmBTU over an averaging period of no more than 24 hours. On September 27, 2016, the EPA extended the time frame for acting on the State of Delaware's CAA Section 126 petition by six months to April 7, 2017, but has not taken any further action. On January 2, 2018, the State of Delaware provided the EPA a notice required at least 60 days prior to filing a suit seeking to compel the EPA to either approve or deny the August 2016 CAA Section 126 petition. In November 2016, the State of Maryland filed a CAA Section 126 petition with the EPA alleging that NOx emissions from 36 EGUs, including Harrison Units 1, 2 and 3 and Pleasants Units 1 and 2, significantly contribute to Maryland's inability to attain the ozone NAAQS. The petition seeks NOx emission rate limits for the 36 EGUs by May 1, 2017. On January 3, 2017, the EPA extended the time frame for acting on the CAA Section 126 petition by six months to July 15, 2017, but has not taken any further action. On September 27, 2017, and October 4, 2017, the State of Maryland and various environmental organizations filed complaints in the U.S. District Court for the District of Maryland seeking an order that the EPA either approve or deny the CAA Section 126 petition of November 16, 2016. In March 2018, the State of New York filed a CAA Section 126 petition with the EPA alleging that NOx emissions from nine states (including Ohio, Pennsylvania and

West Virginia) significantly contribute to New York's inability to attain the ozone NAAQS. The petition seeks suitable emission rate limits for large stationary sources that are affecting New York's air quality within the three years allowed by CAA Section 126. FirstEnergy is unable to predict the outcome of these matters or estimate the loss or range of loss.

MATS imposed emission limits for mercury, PM, and HCl for all existing and new fossil fuel fired EGUs effective in April 2015 with averaging of emissions from multiple units located at a single plant. The majority of FirstEnergy's MATS compliance program and related costs have been completed.

On May 1, 2017, FE and FG and CSX and BNSF entered into a definitive settlement agreement, which resolved all claims related to a coal transportation contract dispute as a result of MATS on the terms and conditions set forth below. Pursuant to the settlement agreement, FG agreed to pay CSX and BNSF an aggregate amount equal to \$109 million, payable in three annual installments, the first of which was made on May 1, 2017. FE agreed to unconditionally and continually guarantee the settlement payments due by FG pursuant to the terms of the settlement agreement. The settlement agreement further provided that in the event of the initiation of bankruptcy proceedings or failure to make timely settlement payments, the unpaid settlement amount will immediately accelerate and become due and payable in full. On April 6, 2018, FE paid the remaining \$72 million under the settlement agreement as a result of the FES Bankruptcy.

As to a specific coal supply agreement, AE Supply, the party thereto, asserted termination rights effective in 2015 as a result of MATS. In response to notification of the termination, on January 15, 2015, Tunnel Ridge, LLC, the coal supplier, commenced litigation in the Court of Common Pleas of Allegheny County, Pennsylvania, alleging AE Supply did not have sufficient justification to terminate the agreement and seeking damages for the difference between the market and contract price of the coal, or lost profits plus incidental damages. On February 18, 2018, the parties reached an agreement in principle settling all claims in dispute. The agreement in principle includes, among other matters, a \$93 million payment by AE Supply, as well as certain coal supply commitments for Pleasants Power Station during its remaining operation by AE Supply. Certain aspects of the final settlement agreement are guaranteed by FE, including the \$93 million payment. The parties executed the final settlement agreement on March 9, 2018 and the plaintiff dismissed the matter with prejudice on March 15, 2018.

#### Climate Change

FirstEnergy has established a goal to reduce CO<sub>2</sub> emissions by 90% below 2005 levels by 2045. There are a number of initiatives to reduce GHG emissions at the state, federal and international level. Certain northeastern states are participating in the RGGI and western states led by California, have implemented programs, primarily cap and trade mechanisms, to control emissions of certain GHGs. Additional policies reducing GHG emissions, such as demand reduction programs, renewable portfolio standards and renewable subsidies have been implemented across the nation.

The EPA released its final "Endangerment and Cause or Contribute Findings for Greenhouse Gases under the Clean Air Act," in December 2009, concluding that concentrations of several key GHGs constitutes an "endangerment" and may be regulated as "air pollutants" under the CAA and mandated measurement and reporting of GHG emissions from certain sources, including electric generating plants. On June 23, 2014, the U.S. Supreme Court decided that CO<sub>2</sub> or other GHG emissions alone cannot trigger permitting requirements under the CAA, but that air emission sources that need PSD permits due to other regulated air pollutants can be required by the EPA to install GHG control technologies. The EPA released its final CPP regulations in August 2015 (which have been stayed by the U.S. Supreme Court), to reduce CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from existing fossil fuel-fired EGUs. The EPA also finalized separate regulations imposing CO<sub>2</sub> emission limits for new, modified, and reconstructed fossil fuel fired EGUs. Numerous states and private parties filed appeals and motions to stay the CPP with the D.C. Circuit in October 2015. On January 21, 2016, a panel of the D.C. Circuit denied the motions for stay and set an expedited schedule for briefing

and argument. On February 9, 2016, the U.S. Supreme Court stayed the rule during the pendency of the challenges to the D.C. Circuit and U.S. Supreme Court. On March 28, 2017, an executive order, entitled “Promoting Energy Independence and Economic Growth,” instructed the EPA to review the CPP and related rules addressing GHG emissions and suspend, revise or rescind the rules if appropriate. On October 16, 2017, the EPA issued a proposed rule to repeal the CPP. Depending on the outcomes of the review pursuant to the executive order, of further appeals and how any final rules are ultimately implemented, the future cost of compliance may be material.

At the international level, the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change resulted in the Kyoto Protocol requiring participating countries, which does not include the U.S., to reduce GHGs commencing in 2008 and has been extended through 2020. The Obama Administration submitted in March 2015, a formal pledge for the U.S. to reduce its economy-wide GHG emissions by 26 to 28 percent below 2005 levels by 2025, and in September 2016, joined in adopting the agreement reached on December 12, 2015, at the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change meetings in Paris. The Paris Agreement was ratified by the requisite number of countries (i.e., at least 55 countries representing at least 55% of global GHG emissions) in October 2016 and its non-binding obligations to limit global warming to well below two degrees Celsius became effective on November 4, 2016. On June 1, 2017, the Trump Administration announced that the U.S. would cease all participation in the Paris Agreement. FirstEnergy cannot currently estimate the financial impact of climate change policies, although potential legislative or regulatory programs restricting CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, or litigation alleging damages from GHG emissions, could require material capital and other expenditures or result in changes to its operations.



## Clean Water Act

Various water quality regulations, the majority of which are the result of the federal CWA and its amendments, apply to FirstEnergy's plants. In addition, the states in which FirstEnergy operates have water quality standards applicable to FirstEnergy's operations.

The EPA finalized CWA Section 316(b) regulations in May 2014, requiring cooling water intake structures with an intake velocity greater than 0.5 feet per second to reduce fish impingement when aquatic organisms are pinned against screens or other parts of a cooling water intake system to a 12% annual average and requiring cooling water intake structures exceeding 125 million gallons per day to conduct studies to determine site-specific controls, if any, to reduce entrainment, which occurs when aquatic life is drawn into a facility's cooling water system. Depending on any final action taken by the states with respect to impingement and entrainment, the future capital costs of compliance with these standards may be material.

On September 30, 2015, the EPA finalized new, more stringent effluent limits for the Steam Electric Power Generating category (40 CFR Part 423) for arsenic, mercury, selenium and nitrogen for wastewater from wet scrubber systems and zero discharge of pollutants in ash transport water. The treatment obligations phase-in as permits are renewed on a five-year cycle from 2018 to 2023. The final rule also allows plants to commit to more stringent effluent limits for wet scrubber systems based on evaporative technology and in return have until the end of 2023 to meet the more stringent limits. On April 13, 2017, the EPA granted a Petition for Reconsideration and administratively stayed (effective upon publication in the Federal Register) all deadlines in the effluent limits rule pending a new rulemaking. Also, on September 18, 2017, the EPA postponed certain compliance deadlines for two years. Depending on the outcome of appeals and how any final rules are ultimately implemented, the future costs of compliance with these standards may be substantial and changes to FirstEnergy's operations may result.

In October 2009, the WVDEP issued an NPDES water discharge permit for the Fort Martin plant, which imposes TDS, sulfate concentrations and other effluent limitations for heavy metals, as well as temperature limitations. Concurrent with the issuance of the Fort Martin NPDES permit, WVDEP also issued an administrative order setting deadlines for MP to meet certain of the effluent limits that were effective immediately under the terms of the NPDES permit. MP appealed, and a stay of certain conditions of the NPDES permit and order have been granted pending a final decision on the appeal and subject to WVDEP moving to dissolve the stay. The Fort Martin NPDES permit could require an initial capital investment ranging from \$150 million to \$300 million in order to install technology to meet the TDS and sulfate limits, which technology may also meet certain of the other effluent limits. Additional technology may be needed to meet certain other limits in the Fort Martin NPDES permit. MP intends to vigorously pursue these issues but cannot predict the outcome of the appeal or estimate the possible loss or range of loss.

FirstEnergy intends to vigorously defend against the CWA matters described above but, except as indicated above, cannot predict their outcomes or estimate the loss or range of loss.

## Regulation of Waste Disposal

Federal and state hazardous waste regulations have been promulgated as a result of the RCRA, as amended, and the Toxic Substances Control Act. Certain CCRs, such as coal ash, were exempted from hazardous waste disposal requirements pending the EPA's evaluation of the need for future regulation.

In April 2015, the EPA finalized regulations for the disposal of CCRs (non-hazardous), establishing national standards for landfill design, structural integrity design and assessment criteria for surface impoundments, groundwater monitoring and protection procedures and other operational and reporting procedures to assure the safe disposal of CCRs from electric generating plants. On September 13, 2017, the EPA announced that it would reconsider certain

provisions of the final regulations. Based on an assessment of the finalized regulations, the future cost of compliance and expected timing had no significant impact on FirstEnergy's existing AROs associated with CCRs. Although not currently expected, changes in timing and closure plan requirements in the future could materially and adversely impact FirstEnergy's AROs.

Pursuant to a 2013 consent decree, PA DEP issued a 2014 permit for the Little Blue Run CCR impoundment requiring the Bruce Mansfield plant to cease disposal of CCRs by December 31, 2016, and FG to provide bonding for 45 years of closure and post-closure activities and to complete closure within a 12-year period, but authorizing FG to seek a permit modification based on "unexpected site conditions that have or will slow closure progress." The permit does not require active dewatering of the CCRs, but does require a groundwater assessment for arsenic and abatement if certain conditions in the permit are met. The CCRs from the Bruce Mansfield plant are being beneficially reused with the majority used for reclamation of a site owned by the Marshall County Coal Company in Moundsville, W. Va., and the remainder recycled into drywall by National Gypsum. These beneficial reuse options are expected to be sufficient for ongoing plant operations, however, the Bruce Mansfield plant is pursuing other options. On May 22, 2015 and September 21, 2015, the PA DEP reissued a permit for the Hatfield's Ferry CCR disposal facility and then modified that permit to allow disposal of Bruce Mansfield plant CCR. The Sierra Club's Notices of Appeal before the Pennsylvania Environmental Hearing Board challenging the renewal, reissuance and modification of the permit for the Hatfield's Ferry CCR disposal facility were resolved through a Consent Adjudication between FG, PA DEP and the Sierra Club requiring operational changes that became effective November 3, 2017. As noted above, FE provides credit support for FG surety bonds of \$169 million and \$31 million for the benefit of the PA DEP with respect to LBR and the Hatfield's Ferry disposal site, respectively.

FirstEnergy or its subsidiaries have been named as potentially responsible parties at waste disposal sites, which may require cleanup under the CERCLA. Allegations of disposal of hazardous substances at historical sites and the liability involved are often unsubstantiated and subject to dispute; however, federal law provides that all potentially responsible parties for a particular site may be liable on a joint and several basis. Environmental liabilities that are considered probable have been recognized on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2018, based on estimates of the total costs of cleanup, FirstEnergy's proportionate responsibility for such costs and the financial ability of other unaffiliated entities to pay. Total liabilities of approximately \$125 million have been accrued through March 31, 2018. Included in the total are accrued liabilities of approximately \$85 million for environmental remediation of former manufactured gas plants and gas holder facilities in New Jersey, which are being recovered by JCP&L through a non-bypassable SBC. FE or its subsidiaries could be found potentially responsible for additional amounts or additional sites, but the loss or range of losses cannot be determined or reasonably estimated at this time.

## OTHER LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

### Nuclear Plant Matters

Under NRC regulations, FirstEnergy must ensure that adequate funds will be available to decommission its retired nuclear facility, TMI-2. As of March 31, 2018, FirstEnergy had approximately \$0.8 billion invested in external trusts to be used for the decommissioning and environmental remediation of its retired TMI-2 nuclear generating facility. The values of FirstEnergy's NDTs also fluctuate based on market conditions. If the values of the trusts decline by a material amount, FirstEnergy's obligation to fund the trusts may increase. Disruptions in the capital markets and their effects on particular businesses and the economy could also affect the values of the NDTs.

### FES Bankruptcy

On March 31, 2018, FES, including its consolidated subsidiaries, FG, NG, FE Aircraft Leasing Corp., Norton Energy Storage L.L.C. and FirstEnergy Generation Mansfield Unit 1 Corp, and FENOC filed voluntary petitions for bankruptcy protection under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code in the Bankruptcy Court. See Note 3, "Discontinued Operations," for additional information.

### Other Legal Matters

There are various lawsuits, claims (including claims for asbestos exposure) and proceedings related to FirstEnergy's normal business operations pending against FE or its subsidiaries. The loss or range of loss in these matters is not expected to be material to FE or its subsidiaries. The other potentially material items not otherwise discussed above are described under Note 13, "Regulatory Matters."

FirstEnergy accrues legal liabilities only when it concludes that it is probable that it has an obligation for such costs and can reasonably estimate the amount of such costs. In cases where FirstEnergy determines that it is not probable, but reasonably possible that it has a material obligation, it discloses such obligations and the possible loss or range of loss if such estimate can be made. If it were ultimately determined that FE or its subsidiaries have legal liability or are otherwise made subject to liability based on any of the matters referenced above, it could have a material adverse effect on FE's or its subsidiaries' financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

## 15. SEGMENT INFORMATION

FirstEnergy's reportable segments are as follows: Regulated Distribution and Regulated Transmission.

On March 31, 2018, as discussed in Note 3, "Discontinued Operations," FirstEnergy deconsolidated FES and FENOC and presented FES, FENOC, BSPC and a portion of AE Supply, representing substantially all of FirstEnergy's

operations that previously comprised the CES reportable operating segment, as discontinued operations in FirstEnergy's consolidated financial statements resulting from actions taken as part of the strategic review of exiting commodity-exposed generation. The financial information for all periods has been revised to present the discontinued operations within Reconciling Adjustments. The remaining business activities that previously comprised the CES reportable operating segment were not material and, as such, have been combined into Corporate/Other for reporting purposes.

The Regulated Distribution segment distributes electricity through FirstEnergy's ten utility operating companies, serving approximately six million customers within 65,000 square miles of Ohio, Pennsylvania, West Virginia, Maryland, New Jersey and New York, and purchases power for its POLR, SOS, SSO and default service requirements in Ohio, Pennsylvania, New Jersey and Maryland. This segment also controls 3,790 MWs of regulated electric generation capacity located primarily in West Virginia, Virginia and New Jersey. The segment's results reflect the commodity costs of securing electric generation and the deferral and amortization of certain fuel costs.

The Regulated Transmission segment provides transmission infrastructure owned and operated by ATSI, TrAIL, MAIT and certain of FirstEnergy's utilities (JCP&L, MP, PE and WP) to transmit electricity from generation sources to distribution facilities. The segment's revenues are primarily derived from forward-looking formula rates at ATSI, TrAIL, and MAIT as well as stated transmission rates at certain of FirstEnergy's utilities. As discussed in Note 13, "Regulatory Matters - FERC Matters" above, MAIT filed a settlement with FERC on October 13, 2017, which settlement agreement is pending final order by FERC. Both the forward-looking formula and stated rates recover costs that the regulatory agencies determine are permitted to be recovered and provide a return on

transmission capital investment. Under forward-looking formula rates, the revenue requirement is updated annually based on a projected rate base and projected costs, which is subject to an annual true-up based on actual costs. The segment's results also reflect the net transmission expenses related to the delivery of electricity on FirstEnergy's transmission facilities.

Corporate support not charged to FE's subsidiaries, interest expense on stand-alone holding company debt, corporate income taxes and other businesses that do not constitute an operating segment are categorized as Corporate/Other for reportable business segment purposes. Additionally, reconciling adjustments for the elimination of inter-segment transactions are included in Corporate/Other. As of March 31, 2018, 2,123 MWs of electric generating capacity was included in Corporate/Other, including, as discussed in Note 3, "Discontinued Operations," AGC's interests in Bath County (713 MWs), the sale of which is expected to close in the second quarter of 2018. As of March 31, 2018, Corporate/Other had \$5.35 billion of stand-alone holding company long-term debt and \$1.2 billion was borrowed by FE under its revolving credit facility.

Financial information for each of FirstEnergy's reportable segments is presented in the tables below.

#### Segment Financial Information

| For the Three Months Ended                        | Regulate<br>Distributi | Regulated<br>Transmission | Corporate/<br>Other | Reconciling<br>Adjustments | Consolidated |
|---------------------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|--------------|
|                                                   | (In millions)          |                           |                     |                            |              |
| March 31, 2018                                    |                        |                           |                     |                            |              |
| Revenues                                          | \$2,576                | \$ 323                    | \$ 125              | \$ (48 )                   | \$ 2,976     |
| Depreciation                                      | 196                    | 61                        | 19                  | 18                         | 294          |
| Amortization (deferral) of regulatory assets, net | (152 )                 | 4                         | —                   | —                          | (148 )       |
| Miscellaneous income                              | 56                     | 4                         | 16                  | (9 )                       | 67           |
| Interest expense                                  | 128                    | 39                        | 92                  | (9 )                       | 250          |
| Income taxes                                      | 93                     | 32                        | 127                 | —                          | 252          |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations          | 322                    | 99                        | (244 )              | —                          | 177          |
| Total assets                                      | 27,504                 | 9,681                     | 1,255               | 355                        | 38,795       |
| Total goodwill                                    | 5,004                  | 614                       | —                   | —                          | 5,618        |
| Property additions                                | 264                    | 292                       | 12                  | 15                         | 583          |
| March 31, 2017                                    |                        |                           |                     |                            |              |
| Revenues                                          | \$2,500                | \$ 313                    | \$ 92               | \$ (50 )                   | \$ 2,855     |
| Depreciation                                      | 178                    | 51                        | 4                   | 17                         | 250          |
| Amortization of regulatory assets, net            | 81                     | 2                         | —                   | —                          | 83           |
| Miscellaneous income                              | 15                     | —                         | 6                   | (7 )                       | 14           |
| Interest expense                                  | 138                    | 39                        | 75                  | (7 )                       | 245          |
| Income taxes (benefits)                           | 138                    | 52                        | (38 )               | —                          | 152          |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations          | 237                    | 88                        | (68 )               | —                          | 257          |
| Total assets                                      | 27,826                 | 8,938                     | 1,160               | 5,288                      | 43,212       |
| Total goodwill                                    | 5,004                  | 614                       | —                   | —                          | 5,618        |
| Property additions                                | 264                    | 224                       | 10                  | 90                         | 588          |

## 16. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On April 20, 2018, FirstEnergy reached an agreement in principle with two groups of key FES creditors in the FES Bankruptcy. The first is an ad hoc group, which includes a majority of the pollution control revenue bonds supported by notes issued by FG and NG and the holders of senior notes issued by FES, while the second group includes the majority of Bruce Mansfield unit 1 sale and leaseback transaction certificate holders. The agreement confirms FE will pay certain pre-petition FES and FENOC employee-related obligations, which include unfunded pension obligations and other employee benefits, and provides for the waiver of certain related party claims held by FE, among them the full borrowings by FES under the \$500 million secured credit facility, the \$200 million credit agreement being used to support surety bonds and the BNSF/CSX rail settlement guarantee. Additionally, the agreement provides for, among other things, (1) the full release of all claims against FirstEnergy by FES, FENOC and their creditors; (2) a \$225 million cash payment from FirstEnergy which includes a reversal of the \$88 million NOL purchase prior to the FES Bankruptcy; (3) up to a \$628 million note from FirstEnergy, which is intended to represent the estimated value of a worthless stock deduction associated with the FES Bankruptcy and is designed to trade at par value of such note when issued; (4) the transfer of the Pleasants Power Station to FES for the benefit of FES' creditors; and (5) a right of FirstEnergy to share in recoveries after an agreed-upon threshold is met. FE will also provide FES certain assistance in areas of operations, regulatory and governmental affairs.

The agreement will be subject to approval by the FE, FES, FENOC and AE Supply Boards of Directors, the execution of definitive agreements and the approval of the Bankruptcy Court. Additionally, the Bruce Mansfield certificate holders' support for the agreement is subject to and conditioned upon the ultimate implementation of the agreed upon treatment of certain claims of the Bruce Mansfield certificate holders. The ad hoc group and Bruce Mansfield certificate holders will use their best efforts to have the Official Committee of the Unsecured Creditors, appointed in the FES Bankruptcy, as well as any remaining key creditors join the settlement by June 15, 2018. There can be no assurance that a definitive agreement will be finalized and approved and, even if approved, whether the conditions to the settlement will be satisfied, and the actual outcome of this matter may differ materially from the terms of the agreement in principle described herein.

ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

FIRSTENERGY CORP.  
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF  
FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS  
FIRSTENERGY'S BUSINESS

FE and its subsidiaries are principally involved in the transmission, distribution and generation of electricity. Its reportable segments are as follows: Regulated Distribution and Regulated Transmission.

On March 31, 2018, as discussed below, FirstEnergy deconsolidated FES and FENOC and presented FES, FENOC, BSPC and a portion of AE Supply, representing substantially all of FirstEnergy's operations that previously comprised the CES reportable operating segment, as discontinued operations in FirstEnergy's consolidated financial statements resulting from actions taken as part of the strategic review of exiting commodity-exposed generation. The financial information for all periods has been revised to present the discontinued operations within Reconciling Adjustments. The remaining business activities that previously comprised the CES reportable operating segment were not material and, as such, have been combined into Corporate/Other for reporting purposes.

The Regulated Distribution segment distributes electricity through FirstEnergy's ten utility operating companies, serving approximately six million customers within 65,000 square miles of Ohio, Pennsylvania, West Virginia, Maryland, New Jersey and New York, and purchases power for its POLR, SOS, SSO and default service requirements in Ohio, Pennsylvania, New Jersey and Maryland. This segment also controls 3,790 MWs of regulated electric generation capacity located primarily in West Virginia, Virginia and New Jersey. The segment's results reflect the commodity costs of securing electric generation and the deferral and amortization of certain fuel costs.

The Regulated Transmission segment provides transmission infrastructure owned and operated by ATSI, TrAIL, MAIT and certain of FirstEnergy's utilities (JCP&L, MP, PE and WP) to transmit electricity from generation sources to distribution facilities. The segment's revenues are primarily derived from forward-looking formula rates at ATSI, TrAIL, and MAIT as well as stated transmission rates at certain of FirstEnergy's utilities. As discussed in "Outlook - FERC Matters" below, MAIT filed a settlement with FERC on October 13, 2017, which settlement agreement is pending final order by FERC. Both the forward-looking formula and stated rates recover costs that the regulatory agencies determine are permitted to be recovered and provide a return on transmission capital investment. Under forward-looking formula rates, the revenue requirement is updated annually based on a projected rate base and projected costs, which is subject to an annual true-up based on actual costs. The segment's results also reflect the net transmission expenses related to the delivery of electricity on FirstEnergy's transmission facilities.

Corporate support not charged to FE's subsidiaries, interest expense on stand-alone holding company debt, corporate income taxes and other businesses that do not constitute an operating segment are categorized as Corporate/Other for reportable business segment purposes. Additionally, reconciling adjustments for the elimination of inter-segment transactions are included in Corporate/Other. As of March 31, 2018, 2,123 MWs of electric generating capacity was included in Corporate/Other, including, as discussed below, AGC's interests in Bath County (713 MWs), the sale of which is expected to close in the second quarter of 2018. As of

March 31, 2018, Corporate/Other had \$5.35 billion of stand-alone holding company long-term debt and \$1.2 billion was borrowed by FE under its revolving credit facility.



## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

FirstEnergy's strategy is to be a fully regulated utility company, focusing on stable and predictable earnings and cash flow from its regulated business units - Regulated Distribution and Regulated Transmission - which focus on delivering enhanced customer service and reliability. Together, the Regulated Distribution and Transmission businesses are expected to provide stable, predictable earnings and cash flows that support FE's dividend.

The scale and diversity of the ten Utilities that comprise the Regulated Distribution business uniquely position this business for growth through opportunities for additional investment. Since 2015, Regulated Distribution has experienced significant growth through investments that have improved reliability and added operating flexibility to the distribution infrastructure, which provide benefits to the customers and communities those Utilities serve. Based on its current capital plan, which includes \$5.7 to \$6.7 billion in forecasted capital investments through 2021, Regulated Distribution's rate base growth rate is expected to be approximately 5% through 2021. Additionally, this business is exploring other opportunities for growth, including investments in electric system improvement and modernization projects to increase reliability and improve service to customers, as well as exploring opportunities in customer engagement that focus on the electrification of customers' homes and businesses by providing a full range of products and services.

With approximately 24,500 miles in operations, the Regulated Transmission business is the centerpiece of FirstEnergy's regulated investment strategy with approximately 80% of its capital investments recovered under forward-looking formula rates, including ATSI, TrAIL, and MAIT, which filed a proposed settlement that is pending with FERC regarding its formula rate. Regulated Transmission has also experienced significant growth as part of its Energizing the Future transmission plan with plans to invest \$4.0 to \$4.8 billion in capital from 2018 to 2021, which is expected to result in Regulated Transmission rate base growth of approximately 11% through 2021.

FirstEnergy believes there are incremental investment opportunities for its existing transmission infrastructure of approximately \$20 billion beyond those identified through 2021, which are expected to strengthen grid and cyber-security and make the transmission system more reliable, robust, secure and resistant to extreme weather events, with improved operational flexibility.

On December 22, 2017, the President signed the Tax Act into law. Substantially all of the provisions of the Tax Act are effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017. As discussed below, various state regulatory proceedings have been initiated to investigate the impact of the Tax Act on the Utilities' rates and charges, and FERC recently took action to address the impact of the Tax Act on FERC-jurisdictional rates, including transmission and electric wholesale rates. FirstEnergy continues to work with state regulatory commissions to determine appropriate changes to customer rates and, beginning in the first quarter of 2018, began to track and apply regulatory accounting treatment for the expected rate impact of changes in current taxes resulting from the Tax Act. FirstEnergy has also reflected the impact of changes to current taxes in its normal update to FERC-jurisdictional transmission rates and will continue to work with FERC regarding whether and how it should address possible changes to transmission and wholesale rates resulting from the Tax Act.

On January 22, 2018, FirstEnergy announced a \$2.5 billion equity issuance, which included \$1.62 billion in mandatorily convertible preferred equity with an initial conversion price of \$27.42 per share and \$850 million of common equity issued at \$28.22 per share. The preferred shares will participate in the dividend paid on common stock on an as-converted basis and are non-voting except in certain limited circumstances. The new preferred shares contain an optional conversion right for holders beginning in July 2018, and will mandatorily convert in July 2019, subject to limited exceptions. Proceeds from the investment were used to reduce holding company debt by \$1.45 billion and fund the company's pension plan by \$750 million, with the remainder used for general corporate purposes. Because of this investment, FirstEnergy does not currently anticipate the need to issue additional equity through at least 2021

outside of its regular stock investment and employee benefit plans.

The equity investment strengthens the Company's balance sheet and positions FirstEnergy for sustained investment-grade credit metrics. In connection with the equity investment, FirstEnergy formed a RWG composed of three employees of FirstEnergy and two outside members to advise FirstEnergy management regarding the FES restructuring. The RWG has been engaged in substantive negotiations with a steering committee of FES noteholders.

On March 31, 2018, FirstEnergy's competitive subsidiary FES and FENOC voluntarily filed petitions under Chapter 11 of the Federal Bankruptcy Code with the U.S. Bankruptcy Court. FirstEnergy and its other subsidiaries - including its Utilities and AE Supply - are not part of the filing and will not be subject to the Chapter 11 process. The voluntary bankruptcy filings by FES and FENOC represent a significant event in FirstEnergy's previously announced strategy to exit the competitive generation business and become a fully regulated utility company with a stronger balance sheet, solid cash flows and more predictable earnings. As a result of the bankruptcy filings, as of March 31, 2018, FES and FENOC were deconsolidated from FirstEnergy's financial statements. Additionally, the operating results of FES and FENOC, as well as BSPC and a portion of AE Supply that were subject to completed or pending asset sales, collectively representing substantially all of FirstEnergy's operations that comprised the CES reportable segment, will be presented as discontinued operations. Prior periods have been reclassified to conform with such presentation as discontinued operations.

On April 20, 2018, FirstEnergy reached an agreement in principle with two groups of key FES creditors in the FES Bankruptcy. The first is an ad hoc group, which includes a majority of the pollution control revenue bonds supported by notes issued by FG and NG

and the holders of senior notes issued by FES, while the second group includes the majority of Bruce Mansfield unit 1 sale and leaseback transaction certificate holders. The agreement confirms FE will pay certain pre-petition FES and FENOC employee-related obligations, which include unfunded pension obligations and other employee benefits, and provides for the waiver of certain related party claims held by FE, among them the full borrowings by FES under the \$500 million secured credit facility, the \$200 million credit agreement being used to support surety bonds and the BNSF/CSX rail settlement guarantee. Additionally, the agreement provides for, among other things, (1) the full release of all claims against FirstEnergy by FES, FENOC and their creditors; (2) a \$225 million cash payment from FirstEnergy which includes a reversal of the \$88 million NOL purchase prior to the FES Bankruptcy; (3) up to a \$628 million note from FirstEnergy, which is intended to represent the estimated value of a worthless stock deduction associated with the FES Bankruptcy and is designed to trade at par value of such note when issued; (4) the transfer of the Pleasants Power Station to FES for the benefit of FES' creditors; and (5) a right of FirstEnergy to share in recoveries after an agreed-upon threshold is met. FE will also provide FES certain assistance in areas of operations, regulatory and governmental affairs.

The agreement will be subject to approval by the FE, FES, FENOC and AE Supply Boards of Directors, the execution of definitive agreements and the approval of the Bankruptcy Court. Additionally, the Bruce Mansfield certificate holders' support for the agreement is subject to and conditioned upon the ultimate implementation of the agreed upon treatment of certain claims of the Bruce Mansfield certificate holders. The ad hoc group and Bruce Mansfield certificate holders will use their best efforts to have the Official Committee of the Unsecured Creditors, appointed in the FES Bankruptcy, as well as any remaining key creditors join the settlement by June 15, 2018. There can be no assurance that a definitive agreement will be finalized and approved and, even if approved, whether the conditions to the settlement will be satisfied, and the actual outcome of this matter may differ materially from the terms of the agreement in principle described herein.

With the bankruptcy filings of FES and FENOC, FirstEnergy's electric generation fleet is now made up of 3,790 MW of regulated generation, including four plants in West Virginia, Virginia and New Jersey. This excludes AE Supply's two competitive generation assets - the 1,300 MW Pleasants Plant and 713 MW of competitive generation at Bath County. FirstEnergy has announced plans to sell or deactivate the Pleasants Plant by the end of 2018, and the previously announced sale of Bath is expected to close in the second quarter of 2018.

#### FINANCIAL OVERVIEW AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

| (In millions, except per share amounts) | For the Three Months Ended |         |         |      |   |
|-----------------------------------------|----------------------------|---------|---------|------|---|
|                                         | March 31,                  |         | Change  |      |   |
|                                         | 2018                       | 2017    |         |      |   |
| Revenues                                | \$2,976                    | \$2,855 | \$121   | 4    | % |
| Operating expenses                      | 2,379                      | 2,227   | 152     | 7    | % |
| Operating income                        | 597                        | 628     | (31)    | (5)  | % |
| Other expenses, net                     | (168)                      | (219)   | 51      | (23) | % |
| Income before income taxes              | 429                        | 409     | 20      | 5    | % |
| Income taxes                            | 252                        | 152     | 100     | 66   | % |
| Income from continuing operations       | 177                        | 257     | (80)    | (31) | % |
| Discontinued operations                 | 1,192                      | (52)    | 1,244   | NM   |   |
| Net income                              | \$1,369                    | \$205   | \$1,164 | NM   |   |

\* NM = not meaningful

The financial results discussed below include revenues and expenses from transactions among FirstEnergy's business segments. A reconciliation of segment financial results is provided in Note 15, "Segment Information," of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

On March 31, 2018, as discussed above, FirstEnergy deconsolidated FES and FENOC and presented FES, FENOC, BSPC and a portion of AE Supply, representing substantially all of FirstEnergy's operations that previously comprised the CES reportable operating segment, as discontinued operations in FirstEnergy's consolidated financial statements resulting from actions taken as part of the strategic review of exiting commodity-exposed generation. The financial information for all periods has been revised to present the discontinued operations. The remaining business activities that previously comprised the CES reportable operating segment were not material and, as such, have been combined into Corporate/Other for reporting purposes.

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

#### Summary of Results of Operations — First Three Months of 2018 Compared with First Three Months of 2017

Financial results for FirstEnergy's business segments in the first three months of 2018 and 2017 were as follows:

| First Three Months 2018 Financial Results         | Regulated<br>Distribution | Regulated<br>Transmission | Corporate/Other<br>and Reconciling<br>Adjustments | FirstEnergy<br>Consolidated |   |
|---------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|
|                                                   | (In millions)             |                           |                                                   |                             |   |
| <b>Revenues:</b>                                  |                           |                           |                                                   |                             |   |
| External                                          |                           |                           |                                                   |                             |   |
| Electric                                          | \$2,508                   | \$ 319                    | \$ 94                                             | \$ 2,921                    |   |
| Other                                             | 68                        | 4                         | (17                                               | ) 55                        |   |
| Total Revenues                                    | 2,576                     | 323                       | 77                                                | 2,976                       |   |
| <b>Operating Expenses:</b>                        |                           |                           |                                                   |                             |   |
| Fuel                                              | 139                       | —                         | 48                                                | 187                         |   |
| Purchased power                                   | 819                       | —                         | 6                                                 | 825                         |   |
| Other operating expenses                          | 898                       | 54                        | 10                                                | 962                         |   |
| Provision for depreciation                        | 196                       | 61                        | 37                                                | 294                         |   |
| Amortization (deferral) of regulatory assets, net | (152                      | ) 4                       | —                                                 | (148                        | ) |
| General taxes                                     | 195                       | 47                        | 17                                                | 259                         |   |
| Total Operating Expenses                          | 2,095                     | 166                       | 118                                               | 2,379                       |   |
| Operating Income (Loss)                           | 481                       | 157                       | (41                                               | ) 597                       |   |
| <b>Other Income (Expense):</b>                    |                           |                           |                                                   |                             |   |
| Miscellaneous income                              | 56                        | 4                         | 7                                                 | 67                          |   |
| Interest expense                                  | (128                      | ) (39                     | ) (83                                             | ) (250                      | ) |
| Capitalized financing costs                       | 6                         | 9                         | —                                                 | 15                          |   |
| Total Other Expense                               | (66                       | ) (26                     | ) (76                                             | ) (168                      | ) |
| Income (Loss) Before Income Taxes                 | 415                       | 131                       | (117                                              | ) 429                       |   |
| Income taxes                                      | 93                        | 32                        | 127                                               | 252                         |   |
| Income (Loss) From Continuing Operations          | 322                       | 99                        | (244                                              | ) 177                       |   |

Edgar Filing: FIRSTENERGY CORP - Form 10-Q

|                                     |       |       |        |          |
|-------------------------------------|-------|-------|--------|----------|
| Discontinued Operations, net of tax | —     | —     | 1,192  | 1,192    |
| Net Income                          | \$322 | \$ 99 | \$ 948 | \$ 1,369 |

43

---

| First Three Months 2017 Financial Results    | Regulated<br>Distribution | Regulated<br>Transmission | Corporate/Other<br>and Reconciling<br>Adjustments | FirstEnergy<br>Consolidated |
|----------------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
|                                              | (In millions)             |                           |                                                   |                             |
| Revenues:                                    |                           |                           |                                                   |                             |
| External                                     |                           |                           |                                                   |                             |
| Electric                                     | \$2,434                   | \$ 308                    | \$ 59                                             | \$ 2,801                    |
| Other                                        | 66                        | 5                         | (17                                               | ) 54                        |
| Total Revenues                               | 2,500                     | 313                       | 42                                                | 2,855                       |
| Operating Expenses:                          |                           |                           |                                                   |                             |
| Fuel                                         | 141                       | —                         | 63                                                | 204                         |
| Purchased power                              | 790                       | —                         | 1                                                 | 791                         |
| Other operating expenses                     | 634                       | 45                        | (22                                               | ) 657                       |
| Provision for depreciation                   | 178                       | 51                        | 21                                                | 250                         |
| Amortization of regulatory assets, net       | 81                        | 2                         | —                                                 | 83                          |
| General taxes                                | 184                       | 42                        | 16                                                | 242                         |
| Total Operating Expenses                     | 2,008                     | 140                       | 79                                                | 2,227                       |
| Operating Income (Loss)                      | 492                       | 173                       | (37                                               | ) 628                       |
| Other Income (Expense):                      |                           |                           |                                                   |                             |
| Miscellaneous income (loss)                  | 15                        | —                         | (1                                                | ) 14                        |
| Interest expense                             | (138                      | ) (39                     | ) (68                                             | ) (245                      |
| Capitalized financing costs                  | 6                         | 6                         | —                                                 | 12                          |
| Total Other Expense                          | (117                      | ) (33                     | ) (69                                             | ) (219                      |
| Income (Loss) Before Income Taxes (Benefits) | 375                       | 140                       | (106                                              | ) 409                       |
| Income taxes (benefits)                      | 138                       | 52                        | (38                                               | ) 152                       |
| Income (Loss) From Continuing Operations     | 237                       | 88                        | (68                                               | ) 257                       |
| Discontinued Operations, net of tax          | —                         | —                         | (52                                               | ) (52                       |
| Net Income (Loss)                            | \$237                     | \$ 88                     | \$ (120                                           | ) \$ 205                    |

| Changes Between First Three Months 2018 and First Three Months 2017 Financial Results | Regulated<br>Distributions | Regulated<br>Transmission | Corporate/Other<br>and<br>Reconciling<br>Adjustments | FirstEnergy<br>Consolidated |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
|                                                                                       | (In millions)              |                           |                                                      |                             |
| <b>Revenues:</b>                                                                      |                            |                           |                                                      |                             |
| External                                                                              |                            |                           |                                                      |                             |
| Electric                                                                              | \$74                       | \$ 11                     | \$ 35                                                | \$ 120                      |
| Other                                                                                 | 2                          | (1 )                      | —                                                    | 1                           |
| Total Revenues                                                                        | 76                         | 10                        | 35                                                   | 121                         |
| <b>Operating Expenses:</b>                                                            |                            |                           |                                                      |                             |
| Fuel                                                                                  | (2 )                       | —                         | (15 )                                                | (17 )                       |
| Purchased power                                                                       | 29                         | —                         | 5                                                    | 34                          |
| Other operating expenses                                                              | 264                        | 9                         | 32                                                   | 305                         |
| Provision for depreciation                                                            | 18                         | 10                        | 16                                                   | 44                          |
| Amortization (deferral) of regulatory assets, net                                     | (233)                      | 2                         | —                                                    | (231 )                      |
| General taxes                                                                         | 11                         | 5                         | 1                                                    | 17                          |
| Total Operating Expenses                                                              | 87                         | 26                        | 39                                                   | 152                         |
| Operating Income (Loss)                                                               | (11 )                      | (16 )                     | (4 )                                                 | (31 )                       |
| <b>Other Income (Expense):</b>                                                        |                            |                           |                                                      |                             |
| Miscellaneous income                                                                  | 41                         | 4                         | 8                                                    | 53                          |
| Interest expense                                                                      | 10                         | —                         | (15 )                                                | (5 )                        |
| Capitalized financing costs                                                           | —                          | 3                         | —                                                    | 3                           |
| Total Other Expense                                                                   | 51                         | 7                         | (7 )                                                 | 51                          |
| Income (Loss) Before Income Taxes                                                     | 40                         | (9 )                      | (11 )                                                | 20                          |
| Income taxes                                                                          | (45 )                      | (20 )                     | 165                                                  | 100                         |
| Income (Loss) From Continuing Operations                                              | 85                         | 11                        | (176 )                                               | (80 )                       |
| Discontinued Operations, net of tax                                                   | —                          | —                         | 1,244                                                | 1,244                       |
| Net Income (Loss)                                                                     | \$85                       | \$ 11                     | \$ 1,068                                             | \$ 1,164                    |

## Regulated Distribution — First Three Months of 2018 Compared with First Three Months of 2017

Regulated Distribution's net income increased \$85 million in the first three months of 2018, as compared to the same period of 2017, reflecting higher revenues associated with increased weather-related sales and the implementation of approved rates in Ohio and Pennsylvania, as further described below.

## Revenues —

The \$76 million increase in total revenues resulted from the following sources:

| Revenues by Type of Service          | For the Three<br>Months Ended<br>March 31, |         |          |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------|----------|
|                                      | 2018                                       | 2017    | Increase |
|                                      | (In millions)                              |         |          |
| Distribution services <sup>(1)</sup> | \$1,345                                    | \$1,308 | \$ 37    |
| Generation sales:                    |                                            |         |          |
| Retail                               | 1,040                                      | 1,005   | 35       |
| Wholesale                            | 123                                        | 121     | 2        |
| Total generation sales               | 1,163                                      | 1,126   | 37       |
| Other                                | 68                                         | 66      | 2        |
| Total Revenues                       | \$2,576                                    | \$2,500 | \$ 76    |

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes \$64 million and \$65 million of ARP revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively.

Distribution services revenues increased \$37 million, primarily resulting from full-quarter impact of approved base distribution rate increases in Pennsylvania, effective January 27, 2017, higher revenue from the DCR in Ohio, and higher weather-related customer usage as described below. Additionally, distribution revenues were impacted by higher rates associated with the recovery of deferred costs, partially offset by certain tax impacts reflected as a reduction in revenues from the amortization of excess deferred tax liabilities and the reduction of the corporate income tax rate from 35% to 21% resulting from the Tax Act. Distribution deliveries by customer class are summarized in the following table:

| Electric Distribution MWH Deliveries       | For the Three<br>Months Ended<br>March 31, |        |       | Increase<br>(Decrease) |  |
|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|--------|-------|------------------------|--|
|                                            | 2018                                       | 2017   |       |                        |  |
|                                            | (In thousands)                             |        |       |                        |  |
| Residential                                | 14,999                                     | 13,869 | 8.1   | %                      |  |
| Commercial                                 | 10,526                                     | 10,162 | 3.6   | %                      |  |
| Industrial                                 | 13,075                                     | 12,716 | 2.8   | %                      |  |
| Other                                      | 140                                        | 143    | (2.1) | )%                     |  |
| Total Electric Distribution MWH Deliveries | 38,740                                     | 36,890 | 5.0   | %                      |  |

Higher distribution deliveries to residential and commercial customers primarily reflect higher weather-related usage resulting from heating degree days that were 17.5% above 2017. Deliveries to industrial customers increased reflecting higher shale and steel customer usage.





The following table summarizes the price and volume factors contributing to the \$37 million increase in generation revenues for the first three months of 2018 compared to the same period of 2017:

| Source of Change in Generation Revenues | Increase<br>(Decrease)<br>(In<br>millions) |
|-----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| Retail:                                 |                                            |
| Effect of increase in sales volumes     | \$ 73                                      |
| Change in prices                        | (38 )                                      |
|                                         | 35                                         |
| Wholesale:                              |                                            |
| Effect of decrease in sales volumes     | (22 )                                      |
| Change in prices                        | 19                                         |
| Capacity Revenue                        | 5                                          |
|                                         | 2                                          |
| Increase in Generation Revenues         | \$ 37                                      |

The increase in retail generation sales volumes was primarily due to higher weather-related usage, as described above. Total generation provided by alternative suppliers as a percentage of total MWH deliveries was flat across our service territory. The decrease in retail generation prices primarily resulted from lower default service auction prices in Pennsylvania and New Jersey.

Wholesale generation revenues increased \$2 million in the three months of 2018, as compared to the same period in 2017, primarily due to higher spot market energy prices and capacity revenue, partially offset by lower wholesale sales volumes. The difference between current wholesale generation revenues and certain energy costs incurred are deferred for future recovery or refund, with no material impact to earnings.

Operating Expenses —

Total operating expenses increased \$87 million, primarily due to the following:

Purchased power costs increased \$29 million during the first three months of 2018, as compared to the same period of 2017, primarily due to increased volumes resulting from higher customer weather-related usage, as described above, and higher capacity expense, partially offset by lower unit costs reflecting lower default service auction prices.

| Source of Change in Purchased Power | Increase<br>(Decrease)<br>(In<br>millions) |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| Purchases from non-affiliates:      |                                            |
| Change due to decreased unit costs  | \$ (19 )                                   |
| Change due to volumes               | 41                                         |
|                                     | 22                                         |
| Purchases from affiliates:          |                                            |
| Change due to decreased unit costs  | (4 )                                       |
| Change due to volumes               | (4 )                                       |
|                                     | (8 )                                       |
| Capacity Expense                    | 15                                         |
| Increase in Purchased Power Costs   | \$ 29                                      |



Other operating expenses increased \$264 million primarily due to:

Increased storm restoration costs of \$184 million, primarily associated with the March 2018 east coast storms, which were deferred for future recovery, resulting in no material impact on current period earnings.

Higher network transmission expenses of \$44 million. The difference between current revenues and transmission costs incurred are deferred for future recovery or refund, resulting in no material impact on current period earnings.

Higher energy efficiency program costs of \$24 million, which are deferred for future recovery, resulting in no material impact on current period earnings.

Higher operating and maintenance expenses of \$8 million associated with the timing of maintenance activities and fossil outages.

Depreciation expense increased \$18 million, primarily due to a higher rate base.

Amortization expense decreased \$233 million, primarily due to increased deferral of storm restoration costs, transmission and generation expenses, and energy efficiency program costs.

General taxes expense increased \$11 million, primarily due to higher property taxes and revenue-related taxes associated with increased sales volumes.

Other Expense —

Total other expense decreased \$51 million, primarily due to higher miscellaneous income resulting from lower pension and OPEB non-service costs related to the pension contributions discussed above, higher expected asset returns and lower capitalization, as well as lower interest expense resulting from debt maturities at JCP&L and CEI.

Income Taxes —

Regulated Distribution's effective tax rate was 22.4% and 36.8% for the first three months of 2018 and 2017, respectively. The lower rate is primarily a result of certain impacts of the Tax Act.

Regulated Transmission — First Three Months of 2018 Compared with First Three Months of 2017

Regulated Transmission's net income increased \$11 million in the first three months of 2018, as compared to the same period of 2017, primarily resulting from the impact of a higher rate base at ATSI and MAIT and higher revenues at JCP&L, partially offset by a lower rate base at TrAIL.

Revenues —

Total revenues increased \$10 million, principally due to the implementation of approved settlement rates in JCP&L, effective January 1, 2018, recovery of incremental operating expenses and a higher rate base at ATSI and MAIT, partially offset by a lower rate base at TrAIL.

Revenues by transmission asset owner are shown in the following table:

|                                      | For the<br>Three<br>Months<br>Ended<br>March 31, | Increase        |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Revenues by Transmission Asset Owner | 2018                                             | 2017 (Decrease) |

|                | (In millions) |        |       |
|----------------|---------------|--------|-------|
| ATSI           | \$ 159        | \$ 153 | \$ 6  |
| TrAIL          | 62            | 71     | (9 )  |
| MAIT           | 31            | 25     | 6     |
| Other          | 71            | 64     | 7     |
| Total Revenues | \$323         | \$313  | \$ 10 |

Operating Expenses —

Total operating expenses increased \$26 million, primarily due to higher operating and maintenance expenses, as well as higher property taxes and depreciation due to higher asset base. The majority of the increases are recovered through formula rates at ATSI, MAIT, and TrAIL, resulting in no material impact on current period earnings.

## Other Expense —

Total other expense decreased \$7 million, primarily due to higher miscellaneous income resulting from lower pension and OPEB non-service costs related to the pension contributions discussed above, higher expected asset returns and lower capitalization, as well as higher capitalized financing costs.

## Income Taxes —

Regulated Transmission's effective tax rate was 24.4% and 37.1% for the first three months of 2018 and 2017, respectively. The lower rate is primarily a result of certain impacts of the Tax Act.

## Corporate / Other — First Three Months of 2018 Compared with First Three Months of 2017

Financial results from the Corporate/Other operating segment and reconciling adjustments resulted in a \$176 million decrease in income from continuing operations in the first three months of 2018 compared to the same period of 2017, primarily associated with higher interest expense and a higher consolidated effective tax rate, partially offset by higher wholesale sales from the Pleasants plant due to higher market prices. Higher interest expense resulted from FE's issuance of \$3 billion of senior notes in June 2017. The increase in effective tax rate is primarily due to the legal and financial separation of FES and FENOC from FirstEnergy. This separation officially eroded the ties between FES, FENOC and other FirstEnergy subsidiaries doing business in West Virginia. As such, FES and FENOC were removed from the West Virginia unitary group when calculating West Virginia state income taxes, resulting in a \$126 million charge to income tax expense in continuing operations associated with the re-measurement in state deferred taxes. Additionally, the decrease in the corporate federal income tax rate from 35% to 21%, which became effective January 1, 2018, reduced income tax benefits.

For the three months ended March 31, 2018 and 2017, FirstEnergy recorded income from discontinued operations, net of tax, of \$1,192 million and losses from discontinued operations, net of tax, of \$52 million, respectively.

Discontinued operations were comprised of the results of FES, FENOC, BSPC and a portion of AE Supply and a gain on deconsolidation of approximately \$1.2 billion, which consisted of the following:

(In millions)

|                                                                                     |         |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| Removal of investment in FES and FENOC                                              | \$2,193 |
| Assumption of benefit obligations retained at FE (including Pension, OPEB and EDCP) | (820 )  |
| Guarantees and credit support provided by FE                                        | (139 )  |
| Reserve on receivables and allocated Pension/OPEB mark-to-market                    | (914 )  |
| Income tax benefit including estimated worthless stock deduction                    | 919     |
| Gain on deconsolidation of FES and FENOC                                            | \$1,239 |

## Regulatory Assets

Regulatory assets represent incurred costs that have been deferred because of their probable future recovery from customers through regulated rates. Regulatory liabilities represent amounts that are expected to be credited to customers through future regulated rates or amounts collected from customers for costs not yet incurred. FirstEnergy and the Utilities net their regulatory assets and liabilities based on federal and state jurisdictions.

As a result of the Tax Act, FirstEnergy adjusted its net deferred tax liabilities at December 31, 2017, for the reduction in the corporate federal income tax rate from 35% to 21%. For the portions of FirstEnergy's business that apply regulatory accounting, the impact of reducing the net deferred tax liabilities was offset with a regulatory liability, as appropriate, for amounts expected to be refunded to rate payers in future rates, with the remainder recorded to deferred income tax expense.



The following table provides information about the composition of net regulatory assets and liabilities as of March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, and the changes during the three months ended March 31, 2018:

| Net Regulatory Assets (Liabilities) by Source                          | March 31, December 31, |             | Increase<br>(Decrease) |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------|------------------------|
|                                                                        | 2018                   | 2017        |                        |
|                                                                        | (In millions)          |             |                        |
| Regulatory transition costs <sup>(1)</sup>                             | \$20                   | \$ 46       | \$ (26 )               |
| Customer payables for future income taxes                              | (2,813 )               | (2,765 )    | (48 )                  |
| Nuclear decommissioning and spent fuel disposal costs                  | (301 )                 | (323 )      | 22                     |
| Asset removal costs                                                    | (769 )                 | (774 )      | 5                      |
| Deferred transmission costs <sup>(1)</sup>                             | 181                    | 187         | (6 )                   |
| Deferred generation costs <sup>(1)</sup>                               | 191                    | 198         | (7 )                   |
| Deferred distribution costs                                            | 246                    | 258         | (12 )                  |
| Contract valuations                                                    | 106                    | 118         | (12 )                  |
| Storm-related costs <sup>(1)</sup>                                     | 502                    | 329         | 173                    |
| Other <sup>(1)</sup>                                                   | 54                     | 46          | 8                      |
| Net Regulatory Liabilities included on the Consolidated Balance Sheets | \$(2,583)              | \$ (2,680 ) | \$ 97                  |

Approximately \$342 million and \$201 million of regulatory assets, primarily related to storm damage costs, do not <sup>(1)</sup> earn a current return as of March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively, and are currently being recovered through rates.

## CAPITAL RESOURCES AND LIQUIDITY

FirstEnergy's business is capital intensive, requiring significant resources to fund operating expenses, construction expenditures, scheduled debt maturities and interest payments, dividend payments, and contributions to its pension plan.

On January 22, 2018, FirstEnergy announced a \$2.5 billion equity issuance, which included \$1.62 billion in mandatorily convertible preferred equity with an initial conversion price of \$27.42 per share and \$850 million of common equity issued at \$28.22 per share. The preferred shares participate in the dividend paid on common stock on an as-converted basis and are non-voting except in certain limited circumstances. The new preferred shares contain an optional conversion right for holders beginning in July 2018, and will mandatorily convert in July 2019, subject to limited exceptions. Proceeds from the investment were used to reduce holding company debt by \$1.45 billion and fund the company's pension plan by \$750 million, with the remainder used for general corporate purposes.

The equity investment has further strengthened FirstEnergy's balance sheet and supports the company's transition to a fully regulated utility company. By deleveraging the company, the investment also enables FirstEnergy to enhance its investment grade credit metrics and FirstEnergy does not currently anticipate the need to issue additional equity through at least 2021 outside of its regular stock investment and employee benefit plans.

In addition to this equity investment, FE and its utility and transmission subsidiaries expect their existing sources of liquidity to remain sufficient to meet their respective anticipated obligations. In addition to internal sources to fund liquidity and capital requirements for 2018 and beyond, FE and its utility and transmission subsidiaries expect to rely on external sources of funds. Short-term cash requirements not met by cash provided from operations are generally satisfied through short-term borrowings. Long-term cash needs may be met through the issuance of long-term debt by certain utility and transmission subsidiaries to, among other things, fund capital expenditures and refinance short-term and maturing long-term debt, subject to market conditions and other factors.



FirstEnergy's strategy is to focus on investments in its regulated operations. The centerpiece of this strategy is the Energizing the Future transmission plan, pursuant to which FirstEnergy plans to invest \$4.0 to \$4.8 billion in capital investments from 2018 to 2021, including an expected \$1.1 billion in 2018. This program is focused on projects that enhance system performance, physical security and add operating flexibility and capacity starting with the ATSI system and moving east across FirstEnergy's service territory over time. In total, FirstEnergy has identified over \$20 billion in transmission investment opportunities across the 24,500-mile transmission system, making this a continuing platform for investment in the years beyond 2021.

In alignment with FirstEnergy's strategy to invest in its Regulated Transmission and Regulated Distribution segments as it transitions to a fully regulated company, FirstEnergy is also focused on improving the balance sheet over time consistent with its business profile and maintaining investment grade ratings at its regulated businesses and FE. Specifically, at the regulated businesses, regulatory authority has been obtained for various regulated distribution and transmission subsidiaries to issue and/or refinance debt.

Any financing plans by FE or any of its consolidated subsidiaries, including the issuance of equity and debt, and the refinancing of short-term and maturing long-term debt are subject to market conditions and other factors. No assurance can be given that any

such issuances, financing or refinancing, as the case may be, will be completed as anticipated or at all. Any delay in the completion of financing plans could require FE or any of its consolidated subsidiaries to utilize short-term borrowing capacity, which could impact available liquidity. In addition, FE and its consolidated subsidiaries expect to continually evaluate any planned financings, which may result in changes from time to time.

On March 9, 2018, FES borrowed \$500 million from FE under the secured credit facility, dated as of December 6, 2016, among FES, as Borrower, FG and NG as guarantors, and FE, as lender, which fully utilized the committed line of credit available under the secured credit facility. Following deconsolidation of FES, FE fully reserved for the \$500 million associated with the borrowings under the secured credit facility.

On April 20, 2018, FirstEnergy reached an agreement in principle with two groups of key FES creditors in the FES Bankruptcy. The first is an ad hoc group, which includes a majority of the pollution control revenue bonds supported by notes issued by FG and NG and the holders of senior notes issued by FES, while the second group includes the majority of Bruce Mansfield unit 1 sale and leaseback transaction certificate holders. The agreement confirms FE will pay certain pre-petition FES and FENOC employee-related obligations, which include unfunded pension obligations and other employee benefits, and provides for the waiver of certain related party claims held by FE, among them the full borrowings by FES under the \$500 million secured credit facility, the \$200 million credit agreement being used to support surety bonds and the BNSF/CSX rail settlement guarantee. Additionally, the agreement provides for, among other things, (1) the full release of all claims against FirstEnergy by FES, FENOC and their creditors; (2) a \$225 million cash payment from FirstEnergy which includes a reversal of the \$88 million NOL purchase prior to the FES Bankruptcy; (3) up to a \$628 million note from FirstEnergy, which is intended to represent the estimated value of a worthless stock deduction associated with the FES Bankruptcy and is designed to trade at par value of such note when issued; (4) the transfer of the Pleasants Power Station to FES for the benefit of FES' creditors; and (5) a right of FirstEnergy to share in recoveries after an agreed-upon threshold is met. FE will also provide FES certain assistance in areas of operations, regulatory and governmental affairs.

The agreement will be subject to approval by the FE, FES, FENOC and AE Supply Boards of Directors, the execution of definitive agreements and the approval of the Bankruptcy Court. Additionally, the Bruce Mansfield certificate holders' support for the agreement is subject to and conditioned upon the ultimate implementation of the agreed upon treatment of certain claims of the Bruce Mansfield certificate holders. The ad hoc group and Bruce Mansfield certificate holders will use their best efforts to have the Official Committee of the Unsecured Creditors, appointed in the FES Bankruptcy, as well as any remaining key creditors join the settlement by June 15, 2018. There can be no assurance that a definitive agreement will be finalized and approved and, even if approved, whether the conditions to the settlement will be satisfied, and the actual outcome of this matter may differ materially from the terms of the agreement in principle described herein.

As of March 31, 2018, FirstEnergy's net deficit in working capital (current assets less current liabilities) was due in large part to short-term borrowings and currently payable long-term debt. Currently payable long-term debt as of March 31, 2018, consisted of the following:

| Currently Payable Long-Term Debt | (In millions) |
|----------------------------------|---------------|
| Unsecured notes                  | \$ 750        |
| FMBs                             | 325           |
| Sinking fund requirements        | 62            |
| Other notes                      | 20            |
|                                  | \$ 1,157      |

#### Short-Term Borrowings / Revolving Credit Facilities

FE and the Utilities and FET and its subsidiaries participate in two separate five-year syndicated revolving credit facilities with aggregate commitments of \$5.0 billion (Facilities), which are available through December 6, 2021. FE

and the Utilities and FET and its subsidiaries may use borrowings under their Facilities for working capital and other general corporate purposes, including intercompany loans and advances by a borrower to any of its subsidiaries. Generally, borrowings under each of the Facilities are available to each borrower separately and mature on the earlier of 364 days from the date of borrowing or the commitment termination date, as the same may be extended. Each of the Facilities contains financial covenants requiring each borrower to maintain a consolidated debt-to-total-capitalization ratio (as defined under each of the Facilities) of no more than 65%, and 75% for FET, measured at the end of each fiscal quarter.

Edgar Filing: FIRSTENERGY CORP - Form 10-Q

FirstEnergy had \$1,200 million and \$300 million of short-term borrowings as of March 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively. FirstEnergy's available liquidity from external sources as of March 31, 2018, was as follows:

| Borrower(s)                | Type      | Maturity                  | Commitment | Available Liquidity |
|----------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|------------|---------------------|
| (In millions)              |           |                           |            |                     |
| FirstEnergy <sup>(1)</sup> | Revolving | December 2021             | \$4,000    | \$ 2,790            |
| FET <sup>(2)</sup>         | Revolving | December 2021             | 1,000      | 1,000               |
|                            |           | Subtotal                  | \$5,000    | \$ 3,790            |
|                            |           | Cash and cash equivalents | —          | 248                 |
|                            |           | Total                     | \$5,000    | \$ 4,038            |

(1) FE and the Utilities. Available liquidity includes impact of \$10 million of LOCs issued under various terms.

(2) Includes FET, ATSI, MAIT and TrAIL.

The following table summarizes the borrowing sub-limits for each borrower under the facilities, the limitations on short-term indebtedness applicable to each borrower under current regulatory approvals and applicable statutory and/or charter limitations as of March 31, 2018:

| Borrower      | FirstEnergy<br>Revolving<br>Credit<br>Facility<br>Sub-Limit | FET<br>Revolving<br>Credit<br>Facility<br>Sub-Limit | Regulatory<br>and<br>Other<br>Short-Term<br>Debt<br>Limitations |     |
|---------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| (In millions) |                                                             |                                                     |                                                                 |     |
| FE            | \$4,000                                                     | \$ —                                                | \$ —                                                            | (1) |
| FET           | —                                                           | 1,000                                               | —                                                               | (1) |
| OE            | 500                                                         | —                                                   | 500                                                             | (2) |
| CEI           | 500                                                         | —                                                   | 500                                                             | (2) |
| TE            | 500                                                         | —                                                   | 300                                                             | (2) |
| JCP&L         | 600                                                         | —                                                   | 500                                                             | (2) |
| ME            | 300                                                         | —                                                   | 500                                                             | (2) |
| PN            | 300                                                         | —                                                   | 300                                                             | (2) |
| WP            | 200                                                         | —                                                   | 200                                                             | (2) |
| MP            | 500                                                         | —                                                   | 500                                                             | (2) |
| PE            | 150                                                         | —                                                   | 150                                                             | (2) |
| ATSI          | —                                                           | 500                                                 | 500                                                             | (2) |
| Penn          | 50                                                          | —                                                   | 100                                                             | (2) |
| TrAIL         | —                                                           | 400                                                 | 400                                                             | (2) |
| MAIT          | —                                                           | 400                                                 | 400                                                             | (2) |

(1) No limitations.

(2) Includes amounts which may be borrowed under the regulated companies' money pool.

\$250 million of the FE Facility and \$100 million of the FET Facility, subject to each borrower's sub-limit, is available for the issuance of LOCs (subject to borrowings drawn under the Facilities) expiring up to one year from the date of issuance. The stated amount of outstanding LOCs will count against total commitments available under each of the Facilities and against the applicable borrower's borrowing sub-limit.

The Facilities do not contain provisions that restrict the ability to borrow or accelerate payment of outstanding advances in the event of any change in credit ratings of the borrowers. Pricing is defined in “pricing grids,” whereby the cost of funds borrowed under the facilities is related to the credit ratings of the company borrowing the funds, other than the FET facility, which is based on its subsidiaries' credit ratings. Additionally, borrowings under each of the Facilities are subject to the usual and customary provisions for acceleration upon the occurrence of events of default, including a cross-default for other indebtedness in excess of \$100 million.

As of March 31, 2018, the borrowers were in compliance with the applicable debt-to-total-capitalization ratio covenants, as well as in the case of FE, the minimum interest coverage ratio requirement, in each case as defined under the respective Facilities.

## FirstEnergy Money Pools

FirstEnergy's utility operating subsidiary companies also have the ability to borrow from each other and the holding company to meet their short-term working capital requirements. Similar but separate arrangements exist among FirstEnergy's unregulated companies with AE Supply, FE, FET, FEV and certain other unregulated subsidiaries of FE participating in a money pool. FESC administers these money pools and tracks surplus funds of FirstEnergy and the respective regulated and unregulated subsidiaries, as the case may be, as well as proceeds available from bank borrowings. Companies receiving a loan under the money pool agreements must repay the principal amount of the loan, together with accrued interest, within 364 days of borrowing the funds. The rate of interest is the same for each company receiving a loan from their respective pool and is based on the average cost of funds available through the pool. The average interest rate for borrowings in the first three months of 2018 was 1.95% per annum for the regulated companies' money pool and 2.11% per annum for the unregulated companies' money pool.

On March 16, 2018, FES and FENOC withdrew from the unregulated companies' money pool, which included FE, FES and FENOC. As of the date of the withdrawal, FES and FENOC owed FE approximately \$4 million in unsecured borrowings in the aggregate under the money pool. In addition, as of March 31, 2018, AE Supply had a \$102 million outstanding unsecured promissory note owed from FES. Following deconsolidation of FES and FENOC, FE fully reserved the \$4 million associated with the outstanding unsecured borrowings under the unregulated companies' money pool and the \$102 million associated with the AE Supply unsecured promissory note.

## Long-Term Debt Capacity

FE's and its subsidiaries' access to capital markets and costs of financing are influenced by the credit ratings of their securities. The following table displays FE's and its subsidiaries' credit ratings as of April 23, 2018:

| Issuer    | Senior Secured |         | Senior Unsecured |      |         |       |
|-----------|----------------|---------|------------------|------|---------|-------|
|           | S&P            | Moody's | Fitch            | S&P  | Moody's | Fitch |
| FE        | —              | —       | —                | BB+  | Baa3    | BBB-  |
| AE Supply | BB             | —       | BB               | BB-  | B1      | BB-   |
| AGC       | —              | —       | —                | BB-  | Baa3    | BB    |
| ATSI      | —              | —       | —                | BBB- | Baa1    | BBB+  |
| CEI       | BBB+           | Baa1    | A-               | BBB- | Baa3    | BBB+  |
| FET       | —              | —       | —                | BB+  | Baa2    | BBB-  |
| JCP&L     | —              | —       | —                | BBB- | Baa2    | BBB   |
| ME        | —              | —       | —                | BBB- | A3      | BBB+  |
| MAIT      | —              | —       | —                | BBB- | Baa1    | BBB   |
| MP        | BBB+           | A3      | BBB+             | —    | —       | —     |
| OE        | BBB+           | A2      | A-               | BBB- | Baa1    | BBB+  |
| PN        | —              | —       | —                | BBB- | Baa1    | BBB+  |
| Penn      | —              | A2      | A-               | —    | —       | —     |
| PE        | —              | —       | —                | —    | —       | —     |
| TE        | BBB+           | Baa1    | A-               | —    | —       | —     |
| TrAIL     | —              | —       | —                | BBB- | A3      | BBB+  |
| WP        | BBB+           | A1      | A-               | —    | —       | —     |

Debt capacity is subject to the consolidated debt-to-total-capitalization limits in the credit facilities previously discussed. As of March 31, 2018, FE and its subsidiaries could issue additional debt of approximately \$10.2 billion, or incur a \$5.5 billion reduction to equity, and remain within the limitations of the financial covenants required by the FE Facility.

### Changes in Cash Position

As of March 31, 2018, FirstEnergy had \$248 million of cash and cash equivalents and approximately \$51 million of restricted cash compared to \$589 million of cash and cash equivalents and approximately \$54 million of restricted cash as of December 31, 2017 on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

### Cash Flows From Operating Activities

FirstEnergy's most significant sources of cash are derived from electric service provided by its utility operating subsidiaries and the sales of energy. The most significant use of cash from operating activities is buying electricity in the wholesale market and paying fuel suppliers, employees, tax authorities, lenders and others for a wide range of materials and services.

FirstEnergy's Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows combines cash flows from discontinued operations with cash flows from continuing operations within each cash flow statement category. The following table summarizes the major classes of cash flow items as discontinued operations for the three months ended March 31, 2018 and 2017:

| (In millions)                                                                                                                    | For the Three<br>Months Ended<br>March 31, |        |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|--------|
|                                                                                                                                  | 2018                                       | 2017   |
| <b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:</b>                                                                                     |                                            |        |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations                                                                                       | \$1,192                                    | \$(52) |
| Depreciation and amortization, including nuclear fuel, regulatory assets, net, intangible assets and deferred debt-related costs | 47                                         | 79     |
| Unrealized (gain) loss on derivative transactions                                                                                | (10                                        | ) 47   |

Net cash used for operating activities was \$880 million during the first three months of 2018 compared with \$785 million provided from operating activities during the first three months of 2017. Key changes in cash flows from operations in the first three months of 2018, compared with the same period of 2017, primarily were as follows:

- \$1.25 billion increase in cash contributions to the qualified pension plan; partially offset by higher transmission revenue, reflecting recovery of incremental operating expenses, a higher rate base at ATSI and MAIT, and the implementation of new rates at JCP&L; and
- higher distribution services retail receipts reflecting implementation of approved rates in Ohio and Pennsylvania.

#### Cash Flows From Financing Activities

In the first three months of 2018, cash provided from financing activities was \$1,679 million compared to cash used for financing activities of \$58 million in the first three months of 2017. The following table summarizes new debt financing, equity investments, redemptions, repayments, short-term borrowings and dividends:

|                                        | For the Three<br>Months Ended<br>March 31, |         |
|----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------|
| Securities Issued or Redeemed / Repaid | 2018                                       | 2017    |
|                                        | (In millions)                              |         |
| <b>New Issues</b>                      |                                            |         |
| Term Loan                              | \$—                                        | \$250   |
| Preferred stock issuance               | \$1,616                                    | \$—     |
| Common stock issuance                  | \$850                                      | \$—     |
| <b>Redemptions / Repayments</b>        |                                            |         |
| Term Loan                              | \$(1,450)                                  | \$—     |
| PCRBs                                  | —                                          | (29 )   |
| FMBs                                   | —                                          | (150 )  |
| Senior secured notes                   | (26 )                                      | (32 )   |
|                                        | \$(1,476)                                  | \$(211) |
| Short-term borrowings, net             | \$900                                      | \$75    |



Preferred stock dividend payments      \$(21 ) \$—

Common stock dividend payments      \$(171 ) \$(159)

On January 22, 2018, FE entered into agreements for the private placement of its equity securities representing an approximately \$2.5 billion investment in the Company, including \$1.616 billion in mandatorily convertible preferred equity and \$850 million of common equity.

On January 22, 2018, FE repaid \$1.2 billion of a variable rate syndicated term loan and two separate \$125 million term loans using the proceeds from the \$2.5 billion equity investment as discussed above.

### Cash Flows From Investing Activities

Cash used for investing activities in the first three months of 2018 principally represented cash used for property additions and an increase in notes receivable from affiliated companies. The following table summarizes investing activities for the first three months of 2018 and the comparable period of 2017:

| Cash Used for Investing Activities <sup>(1)</sup> | For the Three<br>Months Ended<br>March 31, |       |                        |
|---------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|-------|------------------------|
|                                                   | 2018                                       | 2017  | Increase<br>(Decrease) |
|                                                   | (In millions)                              |       |                        |
| Property Additions:                               |                                            |       |                        |
| Regulated Distribution                            | \$264                                      | \$264 | \$ —                   |
| Regulated Transmission                            | 292                                        | 224   | 68                     |
| Corporate / Other                                 | 27                                         | 100   | (73 )                  |
| Nuclear fuel                                      | —                                          | 132   | (132 )                 |
| Proceeds from asset sales                         | (20 )                                      | —     | (20 )                  |
| Investments                                       | 22                                         | 23    | (1 )                   |
| Notes receivable from affiliated companies        | 500                                        | —     | 500                    |
| Asset removal costs                               | 57                                         | 35    | 22                     |
| Other                                             | 1                                          | 1     | —                      |
|                                                   | \$1,143                                    | \$779 | \$ 364                 |

<sup>(1)</sup> See Note 3, "Discontinued Operations" for major classes of discontinued operations for cash used for investing activities.

Cash used for investing activities for the first three months of 2018 increased \$364 million, compared to the same period of 2017, primarily due to an increase in notes receivable from affiliated companies, higher property additions and asset removal costs, partially offset by the absence of nuclear fuel purchases. The increase in notes receivable from affiliated companies and property additions were due to the following:

- an increase of \$500 million in notes receivable from affiliated companies resulting from FES' borrowings from the committed line of credit available under the secured credit facility with FE;
- an increase of \$68 million at Regulated Transmission due to timing of capital investments associated with its Energizing the Future investment program; partially offset by
- a decrease of \$73 million at Corporate/Other due to lower generation investments.

## GUARANTEES AND OTHER ASSURANCES

FirstEnergy has various financial and performance guarantees and indemnifications which are issued in the normal course of business. These contracts include performance guarantees, stand-by letters of credit, debt guarantees, surety bonds and indemnifications. FirstEnergy enters into these arrangements to facilitate commercial transactions with third parties by enhancing the value of the transaction to the third party. The maximum potential amount of future payments FirstEnergy and its subsidiaries could be required to make under these guarantees as of March 31, 2018, was approximately \$1.9 billion, as summarized below:

| Guarantees and Other Assurances                                                                                 | Maximum<br>Exposure<br>(In<br>millions) |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| FE's Guarantees and Assurances on Behalf of FES and FENOC<br>Energy and Energy-Related Contracts <sup>(1)</sup> | \$ 7                                    |
| Surety Bonds - FG <sup>(2)</sup>                                                                                | 200                                     |
| Deferred compensation arrangements <sup>(3)</sup>                                                               | 147                                     |
| Sale leaseback indemnity <sup>(4)</sup>                                                                         | 58                                      |
| Fuel Related <sup>(5)</sup>                                                                                     | 72                                      |
|                                                                                                                 | 484                                     |
| FE's Guarantees on Behalf of its Consolidated Subsidiaries                                                      |                                         |
| AE Supply asset sales <sup>(6)</sup>                                                                            | 555                                     |
| Deferred compensation arrangements                                                                              | 449                                     |
| Other                                                                                                           | 3                                       |
|                                                                                                                 | 1,007                                   |
| FE's Guarantees on Behalf of Business Ventures                                                                  |                                         |
| Global Holding facility                                                                                         | 255                                     |
| Other Assurances                                                                                                |                                         |
| Surety Bonds                                                                                                    | 168                                     |
| LOCs <sup>(7)</sup>                                                                                             | 10                                      |
|                                                                                                                 | 178                                     |
| Total Guarantees and Other Assurances                                                                           | \$ 1,924                                |

Issued for open-ended terms, with a 10-day termination right by FirstEnergy. As of March 31, 2018, FE recorded

(1) an obligation for these guarantees in other non-current liabilities with a corresponding loss from discontinued operations.

(2) FE provides credit support for FG surety bonds of \$169 million and \$31 million for the benefit of the PA DEP with respect to LBR and the Hatfield's Ferry disposal site, respectively.

(3) As of March 31, 2018, FE recorded a benefit obligation of \$147 million for the FES and FENOC amounts in other non-current liabilities with a corresponding loss from discontinued operations.

(4) As of March 31, 2018, FE recorded an obligation for this guarantee in other current liabilities with a corresponding loss from discontinued operations.

FE is the guarantor of the remaining payments due to CSX/BNSF in connection with the definitive settlement of a dispute regarding a transportation agreement. As of March 31, 2018, FE recorded an obligation for these

(5) guarantees in other current liabilities with a corresponding loss from discontinued operations. On April 6, 2018, FE paid the remaining \$72 million under the settlement agreement as a result of the FES Bankruptcy.

(6)

As a condition to closing AE Supply's sale of four natural gas plants in December 2017, FE provided the purchaser two limited three-year guarantees totaling \$555 million of certain obligations of AE Supply and AGC.

- (7) Includes \$10 million issued for various terms pursuant to LOC capacity available under FirstEnergy's revolving credit facilities.

#### Collateral and Contingent-Related Features

In the normal course of business, FE and its subsidiaries routinely enter into physical or financially settled contracts for the sale and purchase of electric capacity, energy, fuel, and emission allowances. Certain bilateral agreements and derivative instruments contain provisions that require FE or its subsidiaries to post collateral. This collateral may be posted in the form of cash or credit support with thresholds contingent upon FE's or its subsidiaries' credit ratings from each of the major credit rating agencies. The collateral and credit support requirements vary by contract and by counterparty. The incremental collateral requirement allows for the offsetting of assets and liabilities with the same counterparty, where the contractual right of offset exists under applicable master netting agreements.

Bilateral agreements and derivative instruments entered into by FE and its subsidiaries have margining provisions that require posting of collateral. Based on AE Supply's power portfolio exposure as of March 31, 2018, AE Supply had no posted collateral. The Utilities and FET had posted collateral of \$6 million.

These credit-risk-related contingent features, or the margining provisions within bilateral agreements, stipulate that if the subsidiary were to be downgraded or lose its investment grade credit rating (based on its senior unsecured debt rating), it would be required to provide additional collateral. Depending on the volume of forward contracts and future price movements, higher amounts for margining, which is the ability to secure additional collateral when needed, could be required. The following table discloses the potential additional credit rating contingent contractual collateral obligations as of March 31, 2018.

| Potential Collateral Obligations                  | AE<br>Supply  | Utilities<br>and<br>FET | FE<br>Corp | Total  |
|---------------------------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|------------|--------|
|                                                   | (In millions) |                         |            |        |
| Contractual Obligations for Additional Collateral |               |                         |            |        |
| At Current Credit Rating                          | \$ 1          | \$ —                    | \$ —       | \$ 1   |
| Upon Further Downgrade                            | —             | 46                      | —          | 46     |
| Surety Bonds (Collateralized Amount)              | 1             | 109                     | 236        | 346    |
| Total Exposure from Contractual Obligations       | \$ 2          | \$ 155                  | \$ 236     | \$ 393 |

Surety Bonds are not tied to a credit rating. Surety Bonds' impact assumes maximum contractual obligations (typical obligations require 30 days to cure). FE provides credit support for FG surety bonds of \$169 million and \$31 million for the benefit of the PA DEP with respect to LBR and the Hatfield's Ferry disposal site, respectively.

#### Other Commitments and Contingencies

FE is a guarantor under a syndicated senior secured term loan facility due March 3, 2020, under which Global Holding's outstanding balance is \$255 million. In addition to FE, Signal Peak, Global Rail, Global Mining Group, LLC and Global Coal Sales Group, LLC, each being a direct or indirect subsidiary of Global Holding, continue to provide their joint and several guarantees of the obligations of Global Holding under the facility.

In connection with the facility, 69.99% of Global Holding's direct and indirect membership interests in Signal Peak, Global Rail and their affiliates along with FEV's and WMB Marketing Ventures, LLC's respective 33-1/3% membership interests in Global Holding, are pledged to the lenders under the current facility as collateral.

#### MARKET RISK INFORMATION

FirstEnergy uses various market risk sensitive instruments, including derivative contracts, primarily to manage the risk of price and interest rate fluctuations. FirstEnergy's Risk Policy Committee, comprised of members of senior management, provides general oversight for risk management activities throughout the company.

#### Commodity Price Risk

FirstEnergy has limited exposure to financial risks resulting from fluctuating commodity prices, including prices for electricity, natural gas, coal and energy transmission. FirstEnergy's Risk Management Committee is responsible for promoting the effective design and implementation of sound risk management programs and oversees compliance with corporate risk management policies and established risk management practice.

The valuation of derivative contracts is based on observable market information. As of March 31, 2018, FirstEnergy has a net liability of \$74 million in non-hedge derivative contracts that are primarily related to NUG contracts at

certain of the Utilities. NUG contracts are subject to regulatory accounting and do not impact earnings.

#### Equity Price Risk

As of March 31, 2018, the FirstEnergy pension plan assets were allocated approximately as follows: 39% in equity securities, 38% in fixed income securities, 10% in absolute return strategies, 8% in real estate, 1% in private equity, and 4% in cash and short-term securities. A decline in the value of pension plan assets could result in additional funding requirements. FirstEnergy's funding policy is based on actuarial computations using the projected unit credit method. In January 2018, FirstEnergy satisfied its minimum required funding obligations of \$500 million and addressed funding obligations for future years to its qualified pension plan with additional contributions of \$750 million. See Note 5, "Pension and Other Postemployment Benefits," of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional details on FirstEnergy's pension plans and OPEB. Through March 31, 2018, FirstEnergy's pension plan assets earned approximately (1.4)% as compared to an annual expected return on plan assets of 7.5%.

As of March 31, 2018, FirstEnergy's OPEB plans were invested in fixed income and equity securities. Through March 31, 2018, FirstEnergy's OPEB plans have earned approximately (0.7)% as compared to an annual expected return on plan assets of 7.5%.

NDT funds have been established to satisfy FirstEnergy subsidiaries' nuclear decommissioning obligations. As of March 31, 2018, approximately 64% of the funds were invested in fixed income securities, 35% of the funds were invested in equity securities and 1% were invested in short-term investments, with limitations related to concentration and investment grade ratings. The investments are carried at their market values of approximately \$520 million, \$285 million and \$10 million for fixed income securities, equity securities and short-term investments, respectively, as of March 31, 2018, excluding \$(15) million of net receivables, payables and accrued income. A hypothetical 10% decrease in prices quoted by stock exchanges would result in a \$28 million reduction in fair value as of March 31, 2018. A decline in the value of FirstEnergy's NDT or a significant escalation in estimated decommissioning costs could result in additional funding requirements. During the three months ended March 31, 2018, FirstEnergy made no contributions to the NDTs.

### Interest Rate Risk

FirstEnergy recognizes net actuarial gains or losses for its pension and OPEB plans in the fourth quarter of each fiscal year. A primary factor contributing to these actuarial gains and losses are changes in the discount rates used to value pension and OPEB obligations as of the measurement date of December 31 and the difference between expected and actual returns on the plans' assets. At this time, FirstEnergy is unable to determine or project the mark-to-market adjustment that may be recorded as of December 31, 2018.

### CREDIT RISK

Credit risk is the risk that FirstEnergy and its subsidiaries would incur a loss as a result of nonperformance by counterparties of their contractual obligations. FirstEnergy maintains credit policies and procedures with respect to counterparty credit (including requirements that counterparties maintain specified credit ratings) and require other assurances in the form of credit support or collateral in certain circumstances in order to limit counterparty credit risk. However, FirstEnergy, as applicable, has concentrations of suppliers and customers among electric utilities, financial institutions and energy marketing and trading companies. These concentrations may impact FirstEnergy's overall exposure to credit risk, positively or negatively, as counterparties may be similarly affected by changes in economic, regulatory or other conditions. In the event an energy supplier of the Ohio Companies, Pennsylvania Companies, JCP&L and PE defaults on its obligation, the Ohio Companies, Pennsylvania Companies, JCP&L and PE would be required to seek replacement power in the market. In general, subject to regulatory review or other processes, appropriate incremental costs incurred by these entities would be recoverable from customers through applicable rate mechanisms, thereby mitigating the financial risk for these entities. FirstEnergy's credit policies to manage credit risk include the use of an established credit approval process, daily monitoring of counterparty positions and the use of master netting agreements or provisions. These agreements generally include credit mitigation provisions, such as margin, prepayment or collateral requirements. FirstEnergy and its subsidiaries may request additional credit assurance, in certain circumstances, in the event that the counterparties' credit ratings fall below investment grade, their tangible net worth falls below specified percentages or their exposures exceed an established credit limit.

### OUTLOOK

### STATE REGULATION

Each of the Utilities' retail rates, conditions of service, issuance of securities and other matters are subject to regulation in the states in which it operates - in Maryland by the MDPSC, in Ohio by the PUCO, in New Jersey by the NJBPU, in Pennsylvania by the PPUC, in West Virginia by the WVPSC and in New York by the NYPS. The transmission operations of PE in Virginia are subject to certain regulations of the VSCC. In addition, under Ohio law, municipalities may regulate rates of a public utility, subject to appeal to the PUCO if not acceptable to the utility. In addition, if any of the FirstEnergy affiliates were to engage in the construction of significant new transmission facilities, depending on the state, they may be required to obtain state regulatory authorization to site, construct and

operate the new transmission facility.

Following the adoption of the Tax Act, various state regulatory proceedings have been initiated to investigate the impact of the Tax Act on the Utilities' rates and charges. State proceedings that have arisen are discussed below. The Utilities continue to monitor and investigate the impact of state regulatory proceedings resulting from the Tax Act.

## MARYLAND

PE provides SOS pursuant to a combination of settlement agreements, MDPSC orders and regulations, and statutory provisions. SOS supply is competitively procured in the form of rolling contracts of varying lengths through periodic auctions that are overseen by the MDPSC and a third-party monitor. Although settlements with respect to SOS supply for PE customers have expired, service continues in the same manner until changed by order of the MDPSC. PE recovers its costs plus a return for providing SOS.

The Maryland legislature adopted a statute in 2008 codifying the EmPOWER Maryland goals to reduce electric consumption and demand and requiring each electric utility to file a plan every three years. On July 16, 2015, the MDPSC issued an order setting new incremental energy savings goals for 2017 and beyond, beginning with the goal of 0.97% savings achieved under PE's plan for 2016, and increasing 0.2% per year thereafter to reach 2%. The Maryland legislature in April 2017 adopted a statute requiring the same 0.2% per year increase, up to the ultimate goal of 2% annual savings, for the duration of the 2018-2020 and 2021-2023 EmPOWER Maryland program cycles, to the extent the MDPSC determines that cost-effective programs and services are available. The costs of PE's 2015-2017 plan approved by the MDPSC in December 2014 were approximately \$60 million. PE's approved 2018-2020 EmPOWER Maryland plan continues and expands upon prior years' programs, and adds new programs, for a projected total cost of \$116 million over the three-year period. On December 22, 2017, the MDPSC issued an order approving the 2018-2020



plan with various modifications. PE recovers program costs subject to a five-year amortization. Maryland law only allows for the utility to recover lost distribution revenue attributable to energy efficiency or demand reduction programs through a base rate case proceeding, and to date, such recovery has not been sought or obtained by PE.

On February 27, 2013, the MDPSC issued an order requiring the Maryland electric utilities to submit analyses relating to the costs and benefits of making further system and staffing enhancements in order to attempt to reduce storm outage durations. PE's responsive filings discussed the steps needed to harden the utility's system in order to attempt to achieve various levels of storm response speed described in the February 2013 Order, and projected that it would require approximately \$2.7 billion in infrastructure investments over 15 years to attempt to achieve the quickest level of response for the largest storm projected in the February 2013 Order. On July 1, 2014, the Staff of the MDPSC issued a set of reports that recommended the imposition of extensive additional requirements in the areas of storm response, feeder performance, estimates of restoration times, and regulatory reporting, as well as the imposition of penalties, including customer rebates, for a utility's failure or inability to comply with the escalating standards of storm restoration speed proposed by the Staff of the MDPSC. In addition, the Staff of the MDPSC proposed that the Maryland utilities be required to develop and implement system hardening plans, up to a rate impact cap on cost. The MDPSC conducted a hearing September 15-18, 2014, to consider certain of these matters, and has not issued a ruling on any of those matters.

On September 26, 2016, the MDPSC initiated a new proceeding to consider an array of issues relating to electric distribution system design, including matters relating to electric vehicles, distributed energy resources, advanced metering infrastructure, energy storage, system planning, rate design, and impacts on low-income customers. Comments were filed and a hearing was held in late 2016. On January 31, 2017, the MDPSC issued a notice establishing five working groups to address these issues over the following eighteen months, and also directed the retention of an outside consultant to prepare a report on costs and benefits of distributed solar generation in Maryland. On January 19, 2018, PE filed a joint petition, along with other utility companies, work group stakeholders, and the MDPSC electric vehicle work group leader, to implement a statewide electric vehicle portfolio. If approved, PE will launch an electric vehicle charging infrastructure program on January 1, 2019, offering up to 2,000 rebates for electric vehicle charging equipment to residential customers, and deploying up to 259 chargers at non-residential customer service locations at a projected total cost of \$12 million. PE is proposing to recover program costs subject to a five-year amortization. On February 6, 2018, the MDPSC opened a new proceeding to consider the petition and numerous parties filed comments on the petition on March 27, 2018.

On January 12, 2018, the MDPSC instituted a proceeding to examine the impacts of the Tax Act on the rates and charges of Maryland utilities. PE was required to track and apply regulatory accounting treatment for the impacts beginning January 1, 2018, and submitted a report to the MDPSC on February 15, 2018, estimating that the Tax Act impacts would be approximately \$7 million to \$8 million annually for PE's customers. PE proposed to file a base rate case in the third quarter of 2018 where the benefits from the effects of the Tax Act will be realized by customers through a lower rate increase than would otherwise be necessary.

## NEW JERSEY

JCP&L currently provides BGS for retail customers who do not choose a third-party EGS and for customers of third-party EGSs that fail to provide the contracted service. The supply for BGS is comprised of two components, procured through separate, annually held descending clock auctions, the results of which are approved by the NJBPU. One BGS component reflects hourly real time energy prices and is available for larger commercial and industrial customers. The second BGS component provides a fixed price service and is intended for smaller commercial and residential customers. All New Jersey EDCs participate in this competitive BGS procurement process and recover BGS costs directly from customers as a charge separate from base rates.

JCP&L currently operates under rates that were approved by the NJBPU on December 12, 2016, effective as of January 1, 2017. These rates provide an annual increase in operating revenues of approximately \$80 million from those previously in place and are intended to improve service and benefit customers by supporting equipment maintenance, tree trimming, and inspections of lines, poles and substations, while also compensating for other business and operating expenses. In addition, on January 25, 2017, the NJBPU approved the acceleration of the amortization of JCP&L's 2012 major storm expenses that are recovered through the SRC in order for JCP&L to achieve full recovery by December 31, 2019.

Pursuant to the NJBPU's March 26, 2015 final order in JCP&L's 2012 rate case proceeding directing that certain studies be completed, on July 22, 2015, the NJBPU approved the NJBPU staff's recommendation to implement such studies, which included operational and financial components. The independent consultant conducting the review issued a final report on July 27, 2016, recognizing that JCP&L is meeting the NJBPU requirements and making various operational and financial recommendations. The NJBPU issued an Order on August 24, 2016, that accepted the independent consultant's final report and directed JCP&L, the Division of Rate Counsel and other interested parties to address the recommendations.

In an Order issued October 22, 2014, in a generic proceeding to review its policies with respect to the use of a CTA in base rate cases, the NJBPU stated that it would continue to apply its current CTA policy in base rate cases, subject to incorporating the following modifications: (i) calculating savings using a five-year look back from the beginning of the test year; (ii) allocating savings with 75% retained by the company and 25% allocated to rate payers; and (iii) excluding transmission assets of electric distribution companies in the savings calculation. On November 5, 2014, the Division of Rate Counsel appealed the NJBPU Order regarding the generic CTA proceeding to the Superior Court of New Jersey Appellate Division and JCP&L filed to participate as a respondent

in that proceeding supporting the order. On September 18, 2017, the Superior Court of New Jersey Appellate Division reversed the NJBPU's Order on the basis that the NJBPU's modification of its CTA methodology did not comply with the procedures of the NJAPA. JCP&L's existing rates are not expected to be impacted by this order. On December 19, 2017, the NJBPU approved the issuance of proposed rules to modify the CTA methodology consistent with its October 22, 2014 Generic Order, which were published in the NJ Register on January 16, 2018, and republished on February 6, 2018, to correct an error. JCP&L filed comments supporting the proposed rulemaking on April 6, 2018.

At the December 19, 2017 NJBPU public meeting, the NJBPU approved its IIP rulemaking. The IIP creates a financial incentive for utilities to accelerate the level of investment needed to promote the timely rehabilitation and replacement of certain non-revenue producing components that enhance reliability, resiliency, and/or safety. JCP&L expects to make a filing in 2018.

On January 31, 2018, the NJBPU instituted a proceeding to examine the impacts of the Tax Act on the rates and charges of New Jersey utilities. The NJBPU ordered New Jersey utilities to: (1) defer on their books the impacts of the Tax Act effective January 1, 2018; (2) to file tariffs effective April 1, 2018, reflecting the rate impacts of changes in current taxes; and (3) to file tariffs effective July 1, 2018, reflecting the rate impacts of changes in deferred taxes. On March 2, 2018, JCP&L filed a petition with the NJBPU, which included proposed tariffs for a base rate reduction of \$28.6 million effective April 1, 2018, and a rider to reflect \$1.3 million in rate impacts of changes in deferred taxes. On March 26, 2018, the NJBPU approved JCP&L's rate reduction effective April 1, 2018, on an interim basis subject to refund, pending the outcome of this proceeding. The NJBPU, however, did not address refunds and other proposed rider tariffs at such time, but may be addressed at a later date.

## OHIO

The Ohio Companies currently operate under ESP IV which commenced June 1, 2016 and expires May 31, 2024. The material terms of ESP IV, as approved in the PUCO's Opinion and Order issued on March 31, 2016 and Fifth Entry on Rehearing on October 12, 2016, include Rider DMR, which provides for the Ohio Companies to collect \$132.5 million annually for three years, with the possibility of a two-year extension. Rider DMR will be grossed up for federal income taxes, resulting in an approved amount of approximately \$168 million annually in 2018 and 2019. Revenues from Rider DMR will be excluded from the significantly excessive earnings test for the initial three-year term but the exclusion will be reconsidered upon application for a potential two-year extension. The PUCO set three conditions for continued recovery under Rider DMR: (1) retention of the corporate headquarters and nexus of operations in Akron, Ohio; (2) no change in control of the Ohio Companies; and (3) a demonstration of sufficient progress in the implementation of grid modernization programs approved by the PUCO. ESP IV also continues a base distribution rate freeze through May 31, 2024. In addition, ESP IV continues the supply of power to non-shopping customers at a market-based price set through an auction process.

ESP IV also continues Rider DCR, which supports continued investment related to the distribution system for the benefit of customers, with increased revenue caps of \$30 million per year from June 1, 2016 through May 31, 2019; \$20 million per year from June 1, 2019 through May 31, 2022; and \$15 million per year from June 1, 2022 through May 31, 2024. Other material terms of ESP IV include: (1) the collection of lost distribution revenues associated with energy efficiency and peak demand reduction programs; (2) an agreement to file a Grid Modernization Business Plan for PUCO consideration and approval (which filing was made on February 29, 2016 and remains pending); (3) a goal across FirstEnergy to reduce CO<sub>2</sub> emissions by 90% below 2005 levels by 2045; (4) contributions, totaling \$51 million to: (a) fund energy conservation programs, economic development and job retention in the Ohio Companies' service territories; (b) establish a fuel-fund in each of the Ohio Companies' service territories to assist low-income customers; and (c) establish a Customer Advisory Agency to ensure preservation and growth of the competitive market in Ohio; and (5) an agreement to file an application to transition to a straight fixed variable cost recovery mechanism for residential customers' base distribution rates, which filing was made on April 3, 2017 and remains

pending.

Several parties, including the Ohio Companies, filed applications for rehearing regarding the Ohio Companies' ESP IV with the PUCO. The Ohio Companies' application for rehearing challenged, among other things, the PUCO's failure to adopt the Ohio Companies' suggested modifications to Rider DMR. The Ohio Companies had previously suggested that a properly designed Rider DMR would be valued at \$558 million annually for eight years, and include an additional amount that recognizes the value of the economic impact of FirstEnergy maintaining its headquarters in Ohio. Other parties' applications for rehearing argued, among other things, that the PUCO's adoption of Rider DMR is not supported by law or sufficient evidence. On August 16, 2017, the PUCO denied all remaining intervenor applications for rehearing, denied the Ohio Companies' challenges to the modifications to Rider DMR and added a third-party monitor to ensure that Rider DMR funds are spent appropriately. On September 15, 2017, the Ohio Companies filed an application for rehearing of the PUCO's August 16, 2017 ruling on the issues of the third-party monitor and the ROE calculation for advanced metering infrastructure. On October 11, 2017, the PUCO denied the Ohio Companies' application for rehearing on both issues. On October 16, 2017, the Sierra Club and the Ohio Manufacturer's Association Energy Group filed notices of appeal with the Supreme Court of Ohio appealing various PUCO entries on their applications for rehearing. On November 16, 2017, the Ohio Companies intervened in the appeal. Additional parties subsequently filed notices of appeal with the Supreme Court of Ohio challenging various PUCO entries on their applications for rehearing. On February 26, 2018, appellants filed their briefs. Briefs of the PUCO and the Ohio Companies are due May 7, 2018.

Under ORC 4928.66, the Ohio Companies are required to implement energy efficiency programs that achieve certain annual energy savings and total peak demand reductions. Starting in 2017, ORC 4928.66 requires the energy savings benchmark to increase by 1% and the peak demand reduction benchmark to increase by 0.75% annually thereafter through 2020 and the energy savings

benchmark to increase by 2% annually from 2021 through 2027, with a cumulative benchmark of 22.2% by 2027. On April 15, 2016, the Ohio Companies filed an application for approval of their three-year energy efficiency portfolio plans for the period from January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2019. The plans as proposed comply with benchmarks contemplated by ORC 4928.66 and provisions of the ESP IV, and include a portfolio of energy efficiency programs targeted to a variety of customer segments, including residential customers, low income customers, small commercial customers, large commercial and industrial customers and governmental entities. On December 9, 2016, the Ohio Companies filed a Stipulation and Recommendation with several parties that contained changes to the plan and a decrease in the plan costs. The Ohio Companies anticipate the cost of the plans will be approximately \$268 million over the life of the portfolio plans and such costs are expected to be recovered through the Ohio Companies' existing rate mechanisms. On November 21, 2017, the PUCO issued an order that approved the filed Stipulation and Recommendation with several modifications, including a cap on the Ohio Companies' collection of program costs and shared savings set at 4% of the Ohio Companies' total sales to customers as reported on 2015 FERC Form 1. On December 21, 2017, the Ohio Companies filed an application for rehearing challenging the PUCO's modification of the Stipulation and Recommendation to include the 4% cost cap, which was denied by the PUCO on January 10, 2018. On March 12, 2018, the Ohio Companies filed a Notice of Appeal with the Supreme Court of Ohio challenging the PUCO's imposition of a 4% cost cap. Various other parties also filed Notices of Appeal challenging various PUCO entries on their applications for rehearing. The Ohio Companies' brief is due on May 21, 2018.

Ohio law requires electric utilities and electric service companies in Ohio to serve part of their load from renewable energy resources measured by an annually increasing percentage amount through 2026, except that in 2014 SB310 froze 2015 and 2016 requirements at the 2014 level (2.5%), pushing back scheduled increases, which resumed in 2017 (3.5%), and increases 1% each year through 2026 (to 12.5%) and shall remain at 12.5% in 2027 and each year thereafter. The Ohio Companies conducted RFPs in 2009, 2010 and 2011 to secure RECs to help meet these renewable energy requirements. In September 2011, the PUCO opened a docket to review the Ohio Companies' alternative energy recovery rider through which the Ohio Companies recover the costs of acquiring these RECs. The PUCO issued an Opinion and Order on August 7, 2013, approving the Ohio Companies' acquisition process and their purchases of RECs to meet statutory mandates in all instances except for certain purchases arising from one auction and directed the Ohio Companies to credit non-shopping customers in the amount of \$43.4 million, plus interest, on the basis that the Ohio Companies did not prove such purchases were prudent. On December 24, 2013, following the denial of their application for rehearing, the Ohio Companies filed a notice of appeal and a motion for stay of the PUCO's order with the Supreme Court of Ohio, which was granted. The OCC and the ELPC also filed appeals of the PUCO's order. On January 24, 2018, the Supreme Court of Ohio reversed the PUCO order finding that the order violated the rule against prohibiting retroactive ratemaking. On February 5, 2018, the OCC and ELPC filed a motion for reconsideration, to which the Ohio Companies responded in opposition on February 15, 2018.

On December 1, 2017, the Ohio Companies filed an application with the PUCO for approval of a DPM Plan. The DPM Plan is a portfolio of approximately \$450 million in distribution platform investment projects, which are designed to modernize the Ohio Companies' distribution grid, prepare it for further grid modernization projects, and provide customers with immediate reliability benefits. The Ohio Companies have requested that the PUCO issue an order approving the DPM Plan and associated cost recovery no later than May 2, 2018, so that the Ohio Companies can expeditiously commence the DPM Plan and customers can begin to realize the associated benefits.

On January 10, 2018, the PUCO opened a case to consider the impacts of the Tax Act and determine the appropriate course of action to pass benefits on to customers. The Ohio Companies, effective January 1, 2018, were required to establish a regulatory liability for the estimated reduction in federal income tax resulting from the Tax Act, and filed comments on February 15, 2018, explaining that customers will save nearly \$40 million annually as a result of updating tariff riders for the tax rate changes and that the Ohio Companies' base distribution rates are not impacted by the Tax Act changes because they are frozen through May 2024. The Ohio Companies filed reply comments on March 7, 2018.

PENNSYLVANIA

The Pennsylvania Companies operate under DSPs for the June 1, 2017 through May 31, 2019 delivery period, which provide for the competitive procurement of generation supply for customers who do not choose an alternative EGS or for customers of alternative EGSs that fail to provide the contracted service. Under the DSPs, the supply will be provided by wholesale suppliers through a mix of 12 and 24-month energy contracts, as well as one RFP for 2-year SREC contracts for ME, PN and Penn. The DSPs include modifications to the Pennsylvania Companies' POR programs in order to reduce the level of uncollectible expense the Pennsylvania Companies experience associated with alternative EGS charges.

On December 11, 2017, the Pennsylvania Companies filed DSPs for the June 1, 2019 through May 31, 2023 delivery period. Under the 2019-2023 DSPs, the supply is proposed to be provided by wholesale suppliers through a mix of 3, 12 and 24-month energy contracts, as well as two RFPs for 2-year SREC contracts for ME, PN and Penn. The 2019-2023 DSPs as proposed also include modifications to the Pennsylvania Companies' POR programs in order to continue their clawback pilot program as a long-term, permanent program. The 2019-2023 DSPs also introduce a retail market enhancement rate mechanism designed to stimulate residential customer shopping, and modifications to the Pennsylvania Companies' customer class definitions to allow for the introduction of hourly priced default service to customers at or above 100kW. A hearing was held on April 10, 2018, and the PPUC is expected to issue a final order on these DSPs by mid-September 2018.

The Pennsylvania Companies operate under rates that were approved by the PPUC on January 19, 2017, effective as of January 27, 2017. These rates provide annual increases in operating revenues of approximately \$96 million at ME, \$100 million at PN, \$29 million at Penn, and \$66 million at WP, and are intended to benefit customers by modernizing the grid with smart technologies, increasing vegetation management activities, and continuing other customer service enhancements.

Pursuant to Pennsylvania's EE&C legislation in Act 129 of 2008 and PPUC orders, Pennsylvania EDCs implement energy efficiency and peak demand reduction programs. On June 19, 2015, the PPUC issued a Phase III Final Implementation Order setting: demand reduction targets, relative to each Pennsylvania Companies' 2007-2008 peak demand (in MW), at 1.8% for ME, 1.7% for Penn, 1.8% for WP, and 0% for PN; and energy consumption reduction targets, as a percentage of each Pennsylvania Companies' historic 2010 forecasts (in MWH), at 4.0% for ME, 3.9% for PN, 3.3% for Penn, and 2.6% for WP. The Pennsylvania Companies' Phase III EE&C plans for the June 2016 through May 2021 period, which were approved in March 2016, with expected costs up to \$390 million, are designed to achieve the targets established in the PPUC's Phase III Final Implementation Order with full recovery through the reconcilable EE&C riders.

Pursuant to Act 11 of 2012, Pennsylvania EDCs may establish a DSIC to recover costs of infrastructure improvements and costs related to highway relocation projects with PPUC approval. Pennsylvania EDCs must file LTIIPs outlining infrastructure improvement plans for PPUC review and approval prior to approval of a DSIC. On February 11, 2016, the PPUC approved LTIIPs for each of the Pennsylvania Companies. On June 14, 2017, the PPUC approved modified LTIIPs for ME, PN and Penn for the remaining years of 2017 through 2020 to provide additional support for reliability and infrastructure investments. The LTIIPs estimated costs for the remaining period of 2018 to 2020, as modified, are: WP \$50.1 million; PN \$44.8 million; Penn \$33.2 million; and ME \$51.3 million. On April 10, 2018, the PPUC notified each of the Pennsylvania Companies that the PPUC was initiating a review of the LTIIPs as required by regulation once every five years. Comments from interested parties concerning the LTIIPs are due to the PPUC by May 10, 2018, and reply comments by May 30, 2018.

On February 16, 2016, the Pennsylvania Companies filed DSIC riders for PPUC approval for quarterly cost recovery, which were approved by the PPUC on June 9, 2016, and went into effect July 1, 2016, subject to hearings and refund or reallocation among customer classes. On January 19, 2017, in the PPUC's order approving the Pennsylvania Companies' general rate cases, the PPUC added an additional issue to the DSIC proceeding to include whether ADIT should be included in DSIC calculations. On February 2, 2017, the parties to the DSIC proceeding submitted a Joint Settlement to the ALJ that resolved the issues that were pending from the order issued on June 9, 2016. On August 31, 2017, the ALJ issued a decision recommending that the complaint of the Pennsylvania OCA be granted by the PPUC such that the Pennsylvania Companies reflect all federal and state income tax deductions related to DSIC-eligible property in the currently effective DSIC rates. On April 19, 2018, the PPUC approved the Joint Settlement without modification and reversed the ALJ's decision that would have required the Pennsylvania Companies to reflect all federal and state income tax deductions related to DSIC-eligible property in currently effective DSIC rates.

On February 12, 2018, the PPUC initiated a proceeding to determine the effects of the Tax Act on the tax liability of utilities and the feasibility of reflecting such impacts in rates charged to customers. On March 9, 2018, the Pennsylvania Companies submitted their calculation of the net annual effect of the Tax Act on income tax expense and rate base to be \$37 million for ME, \$40 million for PN, \$9 million for Penn, and \$30 million for WP. The Pennsylvania Companies also filed comments proposing that rates be adjusted to reflect the tax rate changes prospectively from the date of a final PPUC order via a reconcilable rider, with the amount that would otherwise accrue between January 1, 2018 and the date of a final order being used to invest in the Pennsylvania Companies' infrastructure. On March 15, 2018, the PPUC issued a Temporary Rates Order making the Pennsylvania Companies' rates temporary and subject to refund for six months, with a possible six-month extension, during which time the PPUC will direct any negative surcharge, refund or other rate adjustment that it deems to be necessary, just and

reasonable.

## WEST VIRGINIA

MP and PE provide electric service to all customers through traditional cost-based, regulated utility ratemaking. MP and PE recover net power supply costs, including fuel costs, purchased power costs and related expenses, net of related market sales revenue through the ENEC. MP's and PE's ENEC rate is updated annually.

On September 23, 2016, the WVPSC approved the Phase II energy efficiency program for MP and PE as reflected in a unanimous settlement, which includes three energy efficiency programs to meet the Phase II requirement of energy efficiency reductions of 0.5% of 2013 distribution sales for the January 1, 2017 through May 31, 2018 period, which was approved by the WVPSC in the 2012 proceeding approving the transfer of ownership of Harrison Power Station to MP. The costs for the Phase II program are expected to be \$10.4 million and are eligible for recovery through the existing energy efficiency rider which is reviewed in the fuel (ENEC) case each year. On December 15, 2017, the WVPSC approved MP's and PE's proposed annual decrease in their EE&C rates, effective January 1, 2018, which decrease is not material to FirstEnergy.

On December 9, 2016, the WVPSC approved the annual ENEC case for MP and PE as reflected in a unanimous settlement, resulting in an increase in the ENEC rate of \$25 million annually beginning January 1, 2017. In addition, ENEC rates will be maintained at the same level for a two year period.

AE Supply was the winning bidder of a December 2016 RFP to address MP's generation shortfall and on March 6, 2017, MP and AE Supply signed an asset purchase agreement for MP to acquire AE Supply's Pleasants Power Station (1,300 MWs) for



approximately \$195 million, subject to customary and other closing conditions, including regulatory approvals. On January 12, 2018, FERC issued an order denying authorization for the transaction, holding that MP and AE Supply did not demonstrate that the sale was consistent with the public interest and the transaction did not fall within the safe harbors for meeting FERC's affiliate cross-subsidization analysis. The WVPSC issued its order on January 26, 2018, approving the transfer of Pleasants Power Station under certain conditions, which included MP assuming significant commodity risk. Based on the FERC ruling and the conditions included in the WVPSC order, MP and AE Supply terminated the asset purchase agreement and on February 16, 2018, AE Supply announced its intent to exit operations of the Pleasants Power Station by January 1, 2019, through either sale or deactivation.

On September 1, 2017, MP and PE filed with the WVPSC for a reconciliation of their VMS to confirm that rate recovery matches VMP costs and for a regular review of that program. MP and PE proposed a \$15 million annual decrease in VMS rates effective January 1, 2018, and an additional \$15 million decrease in rates for 2019. This is an overall decrease in total revenue and average rates of 1%. On December 15, 2017, the WVPSC issued an order adopting a unanimous settlement without modification.

On January 3, 2018, the WVPSC initiated a proceeding to investigate the effects of the Tax Act on the revenue requirements of utilities. MP and PE must track the tax savings resulting from the Tax Act on a monthly basis, effective January 1, 2018, and file written testimony explaining the impact of the Tax Act on federal income tax and revenue requirements by May 30, 2018. On January 26, 2018, the WVPSC issued an order clarifying that regulatory accounting should be implemented as of January 1, 2018, including the recording of any regulatory liabilities resulting from the Tax Act.

## FERC MATTERS

### Reliability Matters

Federally-enforceable mandatory reliability standards apply to the bulk electric system and impose certain operating, record-keeping and reporting requirements on the Utilities, AE Supply, ATSI, MAIT and TrAIL. NERC is the ERO designated by FERC to establish and enforce these reliability standards, although NERC has delegated day-to-day implementation and enforcement of these reliability standards to eight regional entities, including RFC. All of FirstEnergy's facilities are located within the RFC region. FirstEnergy actively participates in the NERC and RFC stakeholder processes, and otherwise monitors and manages its companies in response to the ongoing development, implementation and enforcement of the reliability standards implemented and enforced by RFC.

FirstEnergy believes that it is in compliance with all currently-effective and enforceable reliability standards. Nevertheless, in the course of operating its extensive electric utility systems and facilities, FirstEnergy occasionally learns of isolated facts or circumstances that could be interpreted as excursions from the reliability standards. If and when such occurrences are found, FirstEnergy develops information about the occurrence and develops a remedial response to the specific circumstances, including in appropriate cases "self-reporting" an occurrence to RFC. Moreover, it is clear that NERC, RFC and FERC will continue to refine existing reliability standards as well as to develop and adopt new reliability standards. Any inability on FirstEnergy's part to comply with the reliability standards for its bulk electric system could result in the imposition of financial penalties, or obligations to upgrade or build transmission facilities, that could have a material adverse effect on its financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

### PJM Transmission Rates

PJM and its stakeholders have been debating the proper method to allocate costs for certain transmission facilities. While FirstEnergy and other parties advocate for a traditional "beneficiary pays" (or usage based) approach, others advocate for "socializing" the costs on a load-ratio share basis, where each customer in the zone would pay based on its

total usage of energy within PJM. This question has been the subject of extensive litigation before FERC and the appellate courts, including before the Seventh Circuit. On June 25, 2014, a divided three-judge panel of the Seventh Circuit ruled that FERC had not quantified the benefits that western PJM utilities would derive from certain new 500 kV or higher lines and thus had not adequately supported its decision to socialize the costs of these lines. The majority found that eastern PJM utilities are the primary beneficiaries of the lines, while western PJM utilities are only incidental beneficiaries, and that, while incidental beneficiaries should pay some share of the costs of the lines, that share should be proportionate to the benefit they derive from the lines, and not on load-ratio share in PJM as a whole. The court remanded the case to FERC, which issued an order setting the issue of cost allocation for hearing and settlement proceedings. On June 15, 2016, various parties, including ATSI and the Utilities, filed a settlement agreement at FERC agreeing to apply a combined usage based/socialization approach to cost allocation for charges to transmission customers in the PJM Region for transmission projects operating at or above 500 kV. Certain other parties in the proceeding filed protests to the settlement, which remains pending before FERC as a contested settlement.

#### RTO Realignment

On June 1, 2011, ATSI and the ATSI zone transferred from MISO to PJM. While many of the matters involved with the move have been resolved, FERC denied recovery under ATSI's transmission rate for certain charges that collectively can be described as "exit fees" and certain other transmission cost allocation charges totaling approximately \$78.8 million until such time as ATSI submits a cost/benefit analysis demonstrating net benefits to customers from the transfer to PJM. Subsequently, FERC rejected a proposed settlement agreement to resolve the exit fee and transmission cost allocation issues, stating that its action is without prejudice to ATSI submitting a cost/benefit analysis demonstrating that the benefits of the RTO realignment decisions outweigh the exit fee and

transmission cost allocation charges. On March 17, 2016, FERC denied FirstEnergy's request for rehearing of FERC's earlier order rejecting the settlement agreement and affirmed its prior ruling that ATSI must submit the cost/benefit analysis.

Separately, ATSI resolved a dispute regarding responsibility for certain costs for the "Michigan Thumb" transmission project, pursuant to a 2015 FERC order, affirmed on rehearing and by the Sixth Circuit, finding that ATSI and the ATSI zone do not have to pay MISO MVP charges for the Michigan Thumb transmission project. On a related issue, FirstEnergy joined certain other PJM TOs in a protest of MISO's proposal to allocate MVP costs to energy transactions that cross MISO's borders into the PJM Region. On July 13, 2016, FERC issued its order finding it appropriate for MISO to assess an MVP usage charge for transmission exports from MISO to PJM. Various parties, including FirstEnergy and the PJM TOs, requested rehearing or clarification of FERC's order. The requests for rehearing remain pending before FERC.

In addition, in a May 31, 2011 order, FERC ruled that the costs for certain "legacy RTEP" transmission projects in PJM approved before ATSI joined PJM could be charged to transmission customers in the ATSI zone. The amount to be paid, and the question of derived benefits, is pending before FERC as a result of the Seventh Circuit's June 25, 2014 order described above under "PJM Transmission Rates."

The outcome of the proceedings that address the remaining open issues related to MVP costs and "legacy RTEP" transmission projects cannot be predicted at this time.

#### MAIT Transmission Formula Rate

On October 28, 2016, as amended on January 10, 2017, MAIT submitted an application to FERC requesting authorization to implement a forward-looking formula transmission rate to recover and earn a return on transmission assets effective February 1, 2017. Various intervenors submitted protests of the proposed MAIT formula rate. Among other things, the protests asked FERC to suspend the proposed effective date for the formula rate until June 1, 2017. On March 10, 2017, FERC issued an order accepting the MAIT formula transmission rate for filing, suspending the formula transmission rate for five months to become effective July 1, 2017, and establishing hearing and settlement judge procedures. On April 10, 2017, MAIT requested rehearing of FERC's decision to suspend the effective date of the formula rate. FERC's order on rehearing remains pending. MAIT's rates went into effect on July 1, 2017, subject to refund pending the outcome of the hearing and settlement procedures. On October 13, 2017, MAIT and certain parties filed a settlement agreement with FERC. The settlement agreement provides for certain changes to MAIT's formula rate, changes MAIT's ROE from 11% to 10.3%, sets the recovery amount for certain regulatory assets, and establishes that MAIT's capital structure will not exceed 60% equity over the period ending December 31, 2021. The settlement agreement further provides that the ROE and the 60% cap on the equity component of MAIT's capital structure will remain in effect unless changed pursuant to section 205 or 206 of the FPA provided the effective date for any change shall be no earlier than January 1, 2022. The settlement agreement currently is pending at FERC.

#### JCP&L Transmission Formula Rate

On October 28, 2016, after withdrawing its request to the NJBPU to transfer its transmission assets to MAIT, JCP&L submitted an application to FERC requesting authorization to implement a forward-looking formula transmission rate to recover and earn a return on transmission assets effective January 1, 2017. A group of intervenors filed a protest of the proposed JCP&L transmission rate. Among other things, the protest asked FERC to suspend the proposed effective date for the formula rate until June 1, 2017. On March 10, 2017, FERC issued an order accepting the JCP&L formula transmission rate for filing, suspending the transmission rate for five months to become effective June 1, 2017, and establishing hearing and settlement judge procedures. On April 10, 2017, JCP&L requested rehearing of FERC's decision to suspend the effective date of the formula rate. Following FERC proceedings, JCP&L's rates went

into effect on June 1, 2017, subject to refund pending the outcome of the hearing and settlement procedures. On December 21, 2017, JCP&L and certain parties filed a settlement agreement with FERC. The settlement agreement provides for a \$135 million stated annual revenue requirement for Network Integration Transmission Service and an average of \$20 million stated annual revenue requirement for certain projects listed on the PJM Tariff where the costs are allocated in part beyond the JCP&L transmission zone within the PJM Region. The revenue requirements are subject to a moratorium on additional revenue requirements proceedings through December 31, 2019, other than limited filings to seek recovery for certain additional costs. On December 27, 2017, FERC granted JCP&L's motion to implement the settlement rate on an interim basis effective January 1, 2018, pending a final commission order on the settlement agreement. On February 20, 2018, FERC issued an order accepting the settlement agreement with an effective date of June 1, 2017. Refunds for the difference between the filed rate and the settlement rate will be paid out ratably in 2018. In compliance with the settlement agreement, on March 1, 2018, JCP&L withdrew its April 2017 request for rehearing of FERC's March 10, 2017 order.

#### PATH Transmission Project

In 2012, the PJM Board of Managers canceled the PATH project, a proposed transmission line from West Virginia through Virginia and into Maryland. As a result of PJM canceling the project, approximately \$62 million and approximately \$59 million in costs incurred by PATH-Allegheny and PATH-WV, respectively, were reclassified from net property, plant and equipment to a regulatory asset for future recovery. PATH-Allegheny and PATH-WV requested authorization from FERC to recover the costs with a proposed ROE of 10.9% (10.4% base plus 0.5% for RTO membership) from PJM customers over five years. FERC issued an order denying the 0.5% ROE adder for RTO membership and allowing the tariff changes enabling recovery of these costs to become effective on

December 1, 2012, subject to hearing and settlement procedures. On January 19, 2017, FERC issued an order reducing the PATH formula rate ROE from 10.4% to 8.11% effective January 19, 2017 and allowing recovery of certain related costs. On February 21, 2017, PATH filed a request for rehearing with FERC seeking recovery of disallowed costs and requesting that the ROE be reset to 10.4%. The Edison Electric Institute submitted an amicus curiae request for reconsideration in support of PATH. On March 20, 2017, PATH also submitted a compliance filing implementing the January 19, 2017 order. Certain affected ratepayers challenged the compliance filing, and FERC Staff requested additional information on, and edits to, the compliance filing, as directed by the January 19, 2017 order. PATH responded to comments and Staff's request. FERC orders on PATH's requests for rehearing and compliance filing remain pending.

#### FERC Actions on Tax Act

On March 15, 2018, FERC took action to address the impact of the Tax Act on FERC-jurisdictional rates, including transmission and electric wholesale rates. FERC directed MP, PE and WP to either submit a joint filing to adjust the transmission rate for the Allegheny transmission zone in the PJM Region to address the impact of the Tax Act changes, or to "show cause" as to why such action is not required. FERC established a refund effective date of March 21, 2018 for any refunds as a result of the change in tax rate. The joint filing is due on May 14, 2018, after which the matter will be before FERC for further action. FERC is not at this time requiring other FirstEnergy FERC-jurisdictional companies to make changes to their transmission or wholesale rates. However, these rates may be affected by a related FERC proceeding assessing the impact of the Tax Act on certain rate components.

Also on March 15, 2018, FERC issued a Notice of Inquiry seeking information regarding whether and how FERC should address possible changes to accumulated deferred income taxes and bonus depreciation as a result of the Tax Act. Such possible changes could impact FERC-jurisdictional rates, including wholesale rates. Responses to the Notice of Inquiry are due May 21, 2018, after which the matter will be before FERC for further action.

#### PJM Markets: Grid Reliability and Resiliency

On September 28, 2017, the Secretary of Energy released a NOPR requesting FERC to issue rules directing RTOs, including PJM, to incorporate pricing for defined "eligible grid reliability and resiliency resources" into wholesale energy markets. FERC established a docket requesting comments, and issued an order on January 8, 2018 terminating the NOPR proceeding, finding that the NOPR did not satisfy the statutory threshold requirements under the FPA for requiring changes to RTO/ISO tariffs to address resilience concerns. FERC in its order instituted a new administrative proceeding to gather additional information regarding resilience issues, and on March 9, 2018, each RTO/ISO responded to a provided list of questions. In the event FERC orders resiliency payments in wholesale energy markets, such charges may be levied against LSEs in the PJM Region, including the Utilities. There is no deadline or requirement for FERC to act in this new proceeding and as such the outcome of the proceeding and its impact on the Utilities, if any, cannot be predicted at this time.

#### PJM Markets: Capacity Pricing Reform

On April 10, 2018, as amended on April 16, 2018, PJM filed with FERC two alternative proposals to modify the PJM Tariff to address concerns that state-authorized subsidies to certain generators within PJM may affect market prices. Under one approach, PJM would establish a two-stage capacity auction to enable subsidized generators to participate in the auction, but to prevent the subsidies from affecting the market clearing price. Under the alternative approach, the MOPR would be expanded to cover all generators in PJM, including vertically-integrated utility generation owners such as MP and JCP&L. PJM requested FERC action on the filing by June 29, 2018, and to make the proposed tariff revisions effective as of January 4, 2019. Comments on PJM's proposals are due May 1, 2018. The outcome of the proceeding and its impact on MP and JCP&L, if any, cannot be predicted at this time.

## ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS

Various federal, state and local authorities regulate FirstEnergy with regard to air and water quality and other environmental matters. Pursuant to a March 28, 2017 executive order, the EPA and other federal agencies are to review existing regulations that potentially burden the development or use of domestically produced energy resources and appropriately suspend, revise or rescind those that unduly burden the development of domestic energy resources beyond the degree necessary to protect the public interest or otherwise comply with the law. FirstEnergy cannot predict the timing or ultimate outcome of any of these reviews or how any future actions taken as a result thereof, in particular with respect to existing environmental regulations, may impact its business, results of operations, cash flows and financial condition.

Compliance with environmental regulations could have a material adverse effect on FirstEnergy's earnings and competitive position to the extent that FirstEnergy competes with companies that are not subject to such regulations and, therefore, do not bear the risk of costs associated with compliance, or failure to comply, with such regulations.

### Clean Air Act

FirstEnergy complies with SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> emission reduction requirements under the CAA and SIP(s) by burning lower-sulfur fuel, utilizing combustion controls and post-combustion controls and/or using emission allowances.

CSAPR requires reductions of NO<sub>x</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> emissions in two phases (2015 and 2017), ultimately capping SO<sub>2</sub> emissions in affected states to 2.4 million tons annually and NO<sub>x</sub> emissions to 1.2 million tons annually. CSAPR allows trading of NO<sub>x</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> emission allowances between power plants located in the same state and interstate trading of NO<sub>x</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> emission allowances with some restrictions. The D.C. Circuit ordered the EPA on July 28, 2015, to reconsider the CSAPR caps on NO<sub>x</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> emissions from power plants in 13 states, including Ohio, Pennsylvania and West Virginia. This follows the 2014 U.S. Supreme Court ruling generally upholding the EPA's regulatory approach under CSAPR, but questioning whether the EPA required upwind states to reduce emissions by more than their contribution to air pollution in downwind states. The EPA issued a CSAPR update rule on September 7, 2016, reducing summertime NO<sub>x</sub> emissions from power plants in 22 states in the eastern U.S., including Ohio, Pennsylvania and West Virginia, beginning in 2017. Various states and other stakeholders appealed the CSAPR update rule to the D.C. Circuit in November and December 2016. On September 6, 2017, the D.C. Circuit rejected the industry's bid for a lengthy pause in the litigation and set a briefing schedule. Depending on the outcome of the appeals, the EPA's reconsideration of the CSAPR update rule and how the EPA and the states ultimately implement CSAPR, the future cost of compliance may be material and changes to FirstEnergy's operations may result.

The EPA tightened the primary and secondary NAAQS for ozone from the 2008 standard levels of 75 PPB to 70 PPB on October 1, 2015. The EPA stated the vast majority of U.S. counties will meet the new 70 PPB standard by 2025 due to other federal and state rules and programs but the EPA will designate those counties that fail to attain the new 2015 ozone NAAQS by October 1, 2017. The EPA missed the October 1, 2017, deadline and has not yet promulgated the attainment designations. States will then have roughly three years to develop implementation plans to attain the new 2015 ozone NAAQS. On December 5, 2017, fourteen states and the District of Columbia filed complaints in the U.S. District Court of Northern California seeking an order that the EPA promulgate the attainment designations for the new 2015 ozone NAAQS. Depending on how the EPA and the states implement the new 2015 ozone NAAQS, the future cost of compliance may be material and changes to FirstEnergy's operations may result.

In August 2016, the State of Delaware filed a CAA Section 126 petition with the EPA alleging that the Harrison generating facility's NOx emissions significantly contribute to Delaware's inability to attain the ozone NAAQS. The petition seeks a short-term NOx emission rate limit of 0.125 lb/mmBTU over an averaging period of no more than 24 hours. On September 27, 2016, the EPA extended the time frame for acting on the State of Delaware's CAA Section 126 petition by six months to April 7, 2017, but has not taken any further action. On January 2, 2018, the State of Delaware provided the EPA a notice required at least 60 days prior to filing a suit seeking to compel the EPA to either approve or deny the August 2016 CAA Section 126 petition. In November 2016, the State of Maryland filed a CAA Section 126 petition with the EPA alleging that NOx emissions from 36 EGUs, including Harrison Units 1, 2 and 3 and Pleasants Units 1 and 2, significantly contribute to Maryland's inability to attain the ozone NAAQS. The petition seeks NOx emission rate limits for the 36 EGUs by May 1, 2017. On January 3, 2017, the EPA extended the time frame for acting on the CAA Section 126 petition by six months to July 15, 2017, but has not taken any further action. On September 27, 2017, and October 4, 2017, the State of Maryland and various environmental organizations filed complaints in the U.S. District Court for the District of Maryland seeking an order that the EPA either approve or deny the CAA Section 126 petition of November 16, 2016. In March 2018, the State of New York filed a CAA Section 126 petition with the EPA alleging that NOx emissions from nine states (including Ohio, Pennsylvania and West Virginia) significantly contribute to New York's inability to attain the ozone NAAQS. The petition seeks suitable emission rate limits for large stationary sources that are affecting New York's air quality within the three years allowed by CAA Section 126. FirstEnergy is unable to predict the outcome of these matters or estimate the loss or range of loss.

MATS imposed emission limits for mercury, PM, and HCl for all existing and new fossil fuel fired EGUs effective in April 2015 with averaging of emissions from multiple units located at a single plant. The majority of FirstEnergy's MATS compliance program and related costs have been completed.

On May 1, 2017, FE and FG and CSX and BNSF entered into a definitive settlement agreement, which resolved all claims related to a coal transportation contract dispute as a result of MATS on the terms and conditions set forth below. Pursuant to the settlement agreement, FG agreed to pay CSX and BNSF an aggregate amount equal to \$109 million, payable in three annual installments, the first of which was made on May 1, 2017. FE agreed to unconditionally and continually guarantee the settlement payments due by FG pursuant to the terms of the settlement agreement. The settlement agreement further provided that in the event of the initiation of bankruptcy proceedings or failure to make timely settlement payments, the unpaid settlement amount will immediately accelerate and become due and payable in full. On April 6, 2018, FE paid the remaining \$72 million under the settlement agreement as a result of the FES Bankruptcy.

As to a specific coal supply agreement, AE Supply, the party thereto, asserted termination rights effective in 2015 as a result of MATS. In response to notification of the termination, on January 15, 2015, Tunnel Ridge, LLC, the coal supplier, commenced litigation in the Court of Common Pleas of Allegheny County, Pennsylvania, alleging AE Supply did not have sufficient justification to terminate the agreement and seeking damages for the difference between the market and contract price of the coal, or lost profits plus incidental damages. On February 18, 2018, the parties reached an agreement in principle settling all claims in dispute. The agreement in principle includes, among other matters, a \$93 million payment by AE Supply, as well as certain coal supply commitments for Pleasants Power Station during its remaining operation by AE Supply. Certain aspects of the final settlement agreement are guaranteed by FE, including the \$93 million payment. The parties executed the final settlement agreement on March 9, 2018 and the plaintiff dismissed the matter with prejudice on March 15, 2018.

#### Climate Change

FirstEnergy has established a goal to reduce CO<sub>2</sub> emissions by 90% below 2005 levels by 2045. There are a number of initiatives to reduce GHG emissions at the state, federal and international level. Certain northeastern states are

participating in the RGGI and western states led by California, have implemented programs, primarily cap and trade mechanisms, to control emissions of certain GHGs. Additional policies reducing GHG emissions, such as demand reduction programs, renewable portfolio standards and renewable subsidies have been implemented across the nation.

The EPA released its final "Endangerment and Cause or Contribute Findings for Greenhouse Gases under the Clean Air Act," in December 2009, concluding that concentrations of several key GHGs constitutes an "endangerment" and may be regulated as "air pollutants" under the CAA and mandated measurement and reporting of GHG emissions from certain sources, including electric generating plants. On June 23, 2014, the U.S. Supreme Court decided that CO<sub>2</sub> or other GHG emissions alone cannot trigger permitting requirements under the CAA, but that air emission sources that need PSD permits due to other regulated air pollutants can be required by the EPA to install GHG control technologies. The EPA released its final CPP regulations in August 2015 (which have been stayed by the U.S. Supreme Court), to reduce CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from existing fossil fuel-fired EGUs. The EPA also finalized separate regulations imposing CO<sub>2</sub> emission limits for new, modified, and reconstructed fossil fuel fired EGUs. Numerous states and private parties filed appeals and motions to stay the CPP with the D.C. Circuit in October 2015. On January 21, 2016, a panel of the D.C. Circuit denied the motions for stay and set an expedited schedule for briefing and argument. On February 9, 2016, the U.S. Supreme Court stayed the rule during the pendency of the challenges to the D.C. Circuit and U.S. Supreme Court. On March 28, 2017, an executive order, entitled "Promoting Energy Independence and Economic Growth," instructed the EPA to review the CPP and related rules addressing GHG emissions and suspend, revise or rescind the rules if appropriate. On October 16, 2017, the EPA issued a proposed rule to repeal the CPP. Depending on the outcomes of the review pursuant to the executive order, of further appeals and how any final rules are ultimately implemented, the future cost of compliance may be material.



At the international level, the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change resulted in the Kyoto Protocol requiring participating countries, which does not include the U.S., to reduce GHGs commencing in 2008 and has been extended through 2020. The Obama Administration submitted in March 2015, a formal pledge for the U.S. to reduce its economy-wide GHG emissions by 26 to 28 percent below 2005 levels by 2025, and in September 2016, joined in adopting the agreement reached on December 12, 2015, at the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change meetings in Paris. The Paris Agreement was ratified by the requisite number of countries (i.e., at least 55 countries representing at least 55% of global GHG emissions) in October 2016 and its non-binding obligations to limit global warming to well below two degrees Celsius became effective on November 4, 2016. On June 1, 2017, the Trump Administration announced that the U.S. would cease all participation in the Paris Agreement. FirstEnergy cannot currently estimate the financial impact of climate change policies, although potential legislative or regulatory programs restricting CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, or litigation alleging damages from GHG emissions, could require material capital and other expenditures or result in changes to its operations.

#### Clean Water Act

Various water quality regulations, the majority of which are the result of the federal CWA and its amendments, apply to FirstEnergy's plants. In addition, the states in which FirstEnergy operates have water quality standards applicable to FirstEnergy's operations.

The EPA finalized CWA Section 316(b) regulations in May 2014, requiring cooling water intake structures with an intake velocity greater than 0.5 feet per second to reduce fish impingement when aquatic organisms are pinned against screens or other parts of a cooling water intake system to a 12% annual average and requiring cooling water intake structures exceeding 125 million gallons per day to conduct studies to determine site-specific controls, if any, to reduce entrainment, which occurs when aquatic life is drawn into a facility's cooling water system. Depending on any final action taken by the states with respect to impingement and entrainment, the future capital costs of compliance with these standards may be material.

On September 30, 2015, the EPA finalized new, more stringent effluent limits for the Steam Electric Power Generating category (40 CFR Part 423) for arsenic, mercury, selenium and nitrogen for wastewater from wet scrubber systems and zero discharge of pollutants in ash transport water. The treatment obligations phase-in as permits are renewed on a five-year cycle from 2018 to 2023. The final rule also allows plants to commit to more stringent effluent limits for wet scrubber systems based on evaporative technology and in return have until the end of 2023 to meet the more stringent limits. On April 13, 2017, the EPA granted a Petition for Reconsideration and administratively stayed (effective upon publication in the Federal Register) all deadlines in the effluent limits rule pending a new rulemaking. Also, on September 18, 2017, the EPA postponed certain compliance deadlines for two years. Depending on the outcome of appeals and how any final rules are ultimately implemented, the future costs of compliance with these standards may be substantial and changes to FirstEnergy's operations may result.

In October 2009, the WVDEP issued an NPDES water discharge permit for the Fort Martin plant, which imposes TDS, sulfate concentrations and other effluent limitations for heavy metals, as well as temperature limitations. Concurrent with the issuance of the Fort Martin NPDES permit, WVDEP also issued an administrative order setting deadlines for MP to meet certain of the effluent limits that were effective immediately under the terms of the NPDES permit. MP appealed, and a stay of certain conditions of the NPDES permit and order have been granted pending a final decision on the appeal and subject to WVDEP moving to dissolve the stay. The Fort Martin NPDES permit could require an initial capital investment ranging from \$150 million to \$300 million in order to install technology to meet the TDS and sulfate limits, which technology may also meet certain of the other effluent limits. Additional technology may be needed to meet certain other limits in the Fort Martin NPDES permit. MP intends to vigorously pursue these issues but cannot predict the outcome of the appeal or estimate the possible loss or range of loss.

FirstEnergy intends to vigorously defend against the CWA matters described above but, except as indicated above, cannot predict their outcomes or estimate the loss or range of loss.

#### Regulation of Waste Disposal

Federal and state hazardous waste regulations have been promulgated as a result of the RCRA, as amended, and the Toxic Substances Control Act. Certain CCRs, such as coal ash, were exempted from hazardous waste disposal requirements pending the EPA's evaluation of the need for future regulation.

In April 2015, the EPA finalized regulations for the disposal of CCRs (non-hazardous), establishing national standards for landfill design, structural integrity design and assessment criteria for surface impoundments, groundwater monitoring and protection procedures and other operational and reporting procedures to assure the safe disposal of CCRs from electric generating plants. On September 13, 2017, the EPA announced that it would reconsider certain provisions of the final regulations. Based on an assessment of the finalized regulations, the future cost of compliance and expected timing had no significant impact on FirstEnergy's existing AROs associated with CCRs. Although not currently expected, changes in timing and closure plan requirements in the future could materially and adversely impact FirstEnergy's AROs.

Pursuant to a 2013 consent decree, PA DEP issued a 2014 permit for the Little Blue Run CCR impoundment requiring the Bruce Mansfield plant to cease disposal of CCRs by December 31, 2016, and FG to provide bonding for 45 years of closure and post-closure activities and to complete closure within a 12-year period, but authorizing FG to seek a permit modification based on "unexpected site conditions that have or will slow closure progress." The permit does not require active dewatering of the CCRs,

but does require a groundwater assessment for arsenic and abatement if certain conditions in the permit are met. The CCRs from the Bruce Mansfield plant are being beneficially reused with the majority used for reclamation of a site owned by the Marshall County Coal Company in Moundsville, W. Va., and the remainder recycled into drywall by National Gypsum. These beneficial reuse options are expected to be sufficient for ongoing plant operations, however, the Bruce Mansfield plant is pursuing other options. On May 22, 2015 and September 21, 2015, the PA DEP reissued a permit for the Hatfield's Ferry CCR disposal facility and then modified that permit to allow disposal of Bruce Mansfield plant CCR. The Sierra Club's Notices of Appeal before the Pennsylvania Environmental Hearing Board challenging the renewal, reissuance and modification of the permit for the Hatfield's Ferry CCR disposal facility were resolved through a Consent Adjudication between FG, PA DEP and the Sierra Club requiring operational changes that became effective November 3, 2017. As noted above, FE provides credit support for FG surety bonds of \$169 million and \$31 million for the benefit of the PA DEP with respect to LBR and the Hatfield's Ferry disposal site, respectively.

FirstEnergy or its subsidiaries have been named as potentially responsible parties at waste disposal sites, which may require cleanup under the CERCLA. Allegations of disposal of hazardous substances at historical sites and the liability involved are often unsubstantiated and subject to dispute; however, federal law provides that all potentially responsible parties for a particular site may be liable on a joint and several basis. Environmental liabilities that are considered probable have been recognized on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2018, based on estimates of the total costs of cleanup, FirstEnergy's proportionate responsibility for such costs and the financial ability of other unaffiliated entities to pay. Total liabilities of approximately \$125 million have been accrued through March 31, 2018. Included in the total are accrued liabilities of approximately \$85 million for environmental remediation of former manufactured gas plants and gas holder facilities in New Jersey, which are being recovered by JCP&L through a non-bypassable SBC. FE or its subsidiaries could be found potentially responsible for additional amounts or additional sites, but the loss or range of losses cannot be determined or reasonably estimated at this time.

## OTHER LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

### Nuclear Plant Matters

Under NRC regulations, FirstEnergy must ensure that adequate funds will be available to decommission its retired nuclear facility, TMI-2. As of March 31, 2018, FirstEnergy had approximately \$0.8 billion invested in external trusts to be used for the decommissioning and environmental remediation of its retired TMI-2 nuclear generating facility. The values of FirstEnergy's NDTs also fluctuate based on market conditions. If the values of the trusts decline by a material amount, FirstEnergy's obligation to fund the trusts may increase. Disruptions in the capital markets and their effects on particular businesses and the economy could also affect the values of the NDTs.

### FES Bankruptcy

On March 31, 2018, FES, including its consolidated subsidiaries, FG, NG, FE Aircraft Leasing Corp., Norton Energy Storage L.L.C. and FirstEnergy Generation Mansfield Unit 1 Corp, and FENOC filed voluntary petitions for bankruptcy protection under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code in the Bankruptcy Court. See Note 3, "Discontinued Operations," for additional information.

### Other Legal Matters

There are various lawsuits, claims (including claims for asbestos exposure) and proceedings related to FirstEnergy's normal business operations pending against FE or its subsidiaries. The loss or range of loss in these matters is not expected to be material to FE or its subsidiaries. The other potentially material items not otherwise discussed above are described under Note 13, "Regulatory Matters."

FirstEnergy accrues legal liabilities only when it concludes that it is probable that it has an obligation for such costs and can reasonably estimate the amount of such costs. In cases where FirstEnergy determines that it is not probable, but reasonably possible that it has a material obligation, it discloses such obligations and the possible loss or range of loss if such estimate can be made. If it were ultimately determined that FE or its subsidiaries have legal liability or are otherwise made subject to liability based on any of the matters referenced above, it could have a material adverse effect on FE's or its subsidiaries' financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

#### NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

##### Recently Adopted Pronouncements

ASU 2014-09, "Revenue from Contracts with Customers" (Issued May 2014 and subsequently updated to address implementation questions): The new revenue recognition guidance establishes a new control-based revenue recognition model, changes the basis for deciding when revenue is recognized over time or at a point in time, provides new and more detailed guidance on specific topics and expands and improves disclosures about revenue. FirstEnergy evaluated its revenues and the new guidance had immaterial impacts to recognition practices upon adoption on January 1, 2018. As part of the adoption, FirstEnergy elected to apply the new guidance on a modified retrospective basis. FirstEnergy did not record a cumulative effect adjustment to retained earnings for initially applying the new guidance as no revenue recognition differences were identified in the timing or amount of revenue. In

addition, upon adoption, certain immaterial financial statement presentation changes were implemented. See Note 2, "Revenue," for additional information on FirstEnergy revenues.

ASU 2016-01, "Financial Instruments-Overall: Recognition and Measurement of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities" (Issued January 2016 and subsequently updated in 2018): ASU 2016-01 primarily affects the accounting for equity investments, financial liabilities under the fair value option, and the presentation and disclosure requirements for financial instruments. FirstEnergy adopted this standard on January 1, 2018, and recognizes all gains and losses for equity securities in income with the exception of those that are accounted for under the equity method of accounting. The NDT equity portfolios of JCP&L, ME and PN will not be impacted as unrealized gains and losses will continue to be offset against regulatory assets or liabilities. As a result of adopting this standard, FirstEnergy recorded a cumulative effect adjustment to retained earnings of \$115 million (pre-tax) on January 1, 2018, representing unrealized gains on equity securities with FES NDTs that were previously recorded to AOCI. Following deconsolidation of FES and FENOC, the adoption of this standard is not expected to have a material impact on FirstEnergy's financial statements as the majority of its equity securities are offset against a regulatory asset or liability.

ASU 2016-18, "Restricted Cash" (Issued November 2016): ASU 2016-18 addresses the presentation of changes in restricted cash and restricted cash equivalents in the statement of cash flows. The guidance is required to be applied retrospectively. As a result of adopting this standard, FirstEnergy's statement of cash flows report changes in the total of cash, cash equivalents, restricted cash and restricted cash equivalents. Prior periods have been recasted to conform to the current year presentation.

ASU 2017-01, "Business Combinations: Clarifying the Definition of a Business" (Issued January 2017): ASU 2017-01 assists entities with evaluating whether transactions should be accounted for as acquisitions (or disposals) of assets or businesses. FirstEnergy adopted ASU 2017-01 on January 1, 2018. The ASU will be applied prospectively to future transactions.

ASU 2017-04, "Goodwill Impairment" (Issued January 2017): Simplifies the accounting for goodwill impairment by removing Step 2 of the current test, which requires calculation of a hypothetical purchase price allocation. Under the revised guidance, goodwill impairment will be measured as the amount by which a reporting unit's carrying value exceeds its fair value, not to exceed the carrying amount of goodwill (currently Step 1 of the two-step impairment test). Entities will continue to have the option to perform a qualitative assessment to determine if a quantitative impairment test is necessary. FirstEnergy has elected to early adopt ASU 2017-04 as of January 1, 2018, and will apply this standard on a prospective basis.

ASU 2017-07, "Compensation-Retirement Benefits: Improving the Presentation of Net Periodic Pension Cost and Net Periodic Postretirement Benefit Cost" (Issued March 2017): ASU 2017-07 requires entities to retrospectively (1) disaggregate the current-service-cost component from the other components of net benefit cost (the "other components") and present it with other current compensation costs for related employees in the income statement and (2) present the other components elsewhere in the income statement and outside of income from operations if such a subtotal is presented. In addition, only service costs are eligible for capitalization on a prospective basis. FirstEnergy adopted ASU 2017-07 on January 1, 2018. Because the non-service cost components of net benefit cost are no longer eligible for capitalization after December 31, 2017, FirstEnergy has recognized these components in income as a result of adopting this standard. FirstEnergy reclassified approximately \$8 million of non-service costs from Other operating expense to Miscellaneous income for the three months ended March 31, 2017.

ASU 2018-02, "Reclassification of Certain Tax Effects from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income" (Issued February 2018): ASU 2018-02 allows entities to reclassify from AOCI to retained earnings stranded tax effects resulting from the Tax Act. FirstEnergy early adopted this standard during the first quarter of 2018 and has elected to present the change in the period of adoption. Upon adoption, FirstEnergy recorded a \$22 million cumulative effect

adjustment for stranded tax effects, such as pension and OPEB prior service costs and losses on derivative hedges, to retained earnings on January 1, 2018, of which \$8 million was related to FES and FENOC.

ASU 2018-05, "Income Taxes (Topic 740): Amendments to SEC Paragraphs Pursuant to SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 118" (Issued March 2018): ASU 2018-05, effective 2018, expands income tax accounting and disclosure guidance to include SAB 118 issued by the SEC in December 2017. SAB 118 provides guidance on accounting for the income tax effects of the Tax Act and among other things allows for a measurement period not to exceed one year for companies to finalize the provisional amounts recorded as of December 31, 2017. See Note 7, "Income taxes," for additional information on FirstEnergy's accounting for the Tax Act.

Recently Issued Pronouncements - The following new authoritative accounting guidance issued by the FASB has not yet been adopted. Unless otherwise indicated, FirstEnergy is currently assessing the impact such guidance may have on its financial statements and disclosures, as well as the potential to early adopt where applicable. FirstEnergy has assessed other FASB issuances of new standards not described below or in the 2017 Annual Report on Form 10-K based upon the current expectation that such new standards will not significantly impact FirstEnergy's financial reporting. Below is an update to the discussion of pronouncements contained in the 2017 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

ASU 2016-02, "Leases (Topic 842)" (Issued February 2016) and ASU 2018-01, "Leases (Topic 842): Land Easement Practical Expedient for Transition to Topic 842" (Issued January 2018): ASU 2016-02 will require organizations that lease assets with lease terms of more than 12 months to recognize assets and liabilities for the rights and obligations created by those leases on their balance sheets. In addition, new qualitative and quantitative disclosures of the amounts, timing, and uncertainty of cash flows arising

from leases will be required. The ASU will be effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2018, with early adoption permitted. ASU 2018-01 (same effective date and transition requirements as ASU 2016-02) provides an optional transition practical expedient that, if elected, would not require an entity to reconsider its accounting for existing land easements that are not currently accounted for under the old leases standard. FirstEnergy does not plan to adopt these standards early. Lessors and lessees will be required to apply a modified retrospective transition approach, which requires adjusting the accounting for any leases existing at the beginning of the earliest comparative period presented in the adoption-period financial statements. Any leases that expire before the initial application date will not require any accounting adjustment. FirstEnergy expects an increase in assets and liabilities; however, it is currently assessing the impact on its Consolidated Financial Statements, including monitoring utility industry implementation guidance. FirstEnergy is in the process of developing a complete lease inventory, as well as identifying, assessing and documenting technical accounting issues, policy considerations and financial reporting implications. In addition, FirstEnergy is implementing a third-party software tool that will assist with the initial adoption and ongoing compliance.

### ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

See “First Energy Corp. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations — Market Risk Information” in Item 2 above.

### ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

#### (a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The management of FirstEnergy, with the participation of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, have reviewed and evaluated the effectiveness of its disclosure controls and procedures, as defined under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e), as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of FirstEnergy have concluded that its disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this report.

#### (b) Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

During the quarter ended March 31, 2018, there were no changes in internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, FirstEnergy's internal control over financial reporting.

## PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

### ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Information required for Part II, Item 1 is incorporated by reference to the discussions in Note 13, "Regulatory Matters," and Note 14, "Commitments, Guarantees and Contingencies," of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Part I, Item 1 of this Form 10-Q.

### ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

We operate in a business environment that involves significant risks, many of which are beyond our control. Management of FirstEnergy regularly evaluates the most significant risks of its businesses and reviews those risks with the Board of Directors or appropriate Committees of such Board. The following risk factors and all other information contained in this report should be considered carefully when evaluating FirstEnergy. These risk factors could affect our financial results and cause such results to differ materially from those expressed in any forward-looking statements made by or on behalf of us. Below, we have identified risks we consider material. Additional information on risk factors is included in “Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations,” and in other sections of this Form 10-Q that include forward-looking and other statements involving risks and uncertainties that could impact our business and financial results. The Risk Factors set forth in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q supersede in their entirety the Risk Factors contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017, filed with the SEC on February 20, 2018.

Risks Related to the FES Bankruptcy and Remaining Competitive Generation

#### We Are Subject to Risks Relating to the FES Bankruptcy

As previously disclosed, FES and FENOC (collectively, the Filing Entities) filed voluntary petitions for relief under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code to facilitate an orderly restructuring. It is possible that as part of the restructuring process, claims may be asserted by or on behalf of the Filing Entities against non-debtor affiliates of the Filing Entities. Any assertions of claims by creditors of the Filing Entities against FirstEnergy may require significant effort, resources, and money to defend or could result in material losses to FirstEnergy. We can provide no assurance that any such claims, if asserted, will be resolved in an efficient manner or a manner that is satisfactory to FirstEnergy.



There Is Substantial Uncertainty Regarding the FES Bankruptcy, Which Could Have a Material Adverse Impact on FirstEnergy's Business, Financial Condition, Results of Operations and Cash Flows

Management of FirstEnergy may be required to spend a significant amount of time and effort dealing with the FES Bankruptcy instead of focusing on FirstEnergy's business operations, which could have an adverse impact on our ability to execute our business plan and operations. Additionally, FirstEnergy's relationship with its employees, suppliers, customers and other parties may be adversely impacted by negative publicity related to the FES Bankruptcy or otherwise and FirstEnergy's operations could be materially and adversely affected. The FES Bankruptcy also may make it more difficult to retain, attract or replace management and other key personnel.

In addition, the FES Bankruptcy creates substantial uncertainty regarding certain significant commercial and other relationships among FE, the Filing Entities and other FE subsidiaries, including, but not limited to, AE Supply. These relationships include a

shared services agreement, cash management, intercompany loans, tax sharing and energy-related purchases and sales, among others, which may be subject to review and possible challenge in the FES Bankruptcy. FirstEnergy is unable to estimate the outcome of such challenges or other claims arising out of the FES Bankruptcy, any resulting material losses, obligations or other liabilities of FirstEnergy or their possible material adverse effect on the business, results of operations and financial condition of FirstEnergy.

The costs of potential liabilities resulting from the FES Bankruptcy could have a material and adverse impact on FirstEnergy's business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

**The FES Bankruptcy Could Negatively Impact FirstEnergy's Right to Repayment under the Intercompany Loans and Other Financial Arrangements**

FE has loaned \$500 million to FES, has provided additional credit support to FES of up to \$200 million and has guaranteed certain material financial obligations of the Filing Entities. Additionally, AE Supply has separately loaned \$102 million to FES. There can be no assurance that the loans made by FirstEnergy will be repaid or that FirstEnergy will be reimbursed for any guaranteed obligations it satisfies. Any of these matters could adversely affect the financial condition, cash flows and ability to satisfy obligations of FirstEnergy. In addition, the uncertainty associated with these matters could adversely affect FirstEnergy's ability to access the capital or credit markets and ability to finance its business.

**Adverse Developments Related to the Filing Entities Could Trigger Events of Default under Certain FirstEnergy Obligations**

FirstEnergy's credit facilities contain various events of default, including with respect to the borrowers or significant subsidiaries (each as defined in the credit agreements), a bankruptcy or insolvency of FirstEnergy, the failure to pay any principal of or premium or interest on any indebtedness in excess of \$100 million, or the failure to satisfy any judgment or order for the payment of money exceeding any applicable insurance coverage by more than \$100 million. Although the Filing Entities are not "significant subsidiaries" for these purposes, it is possible that an adverse development related to the Filing Entities could otherwise trigger an event of default under the FirstEnergy credit facilities if creditors of the Filing Entities asserted successful claims against FE or our significant subsidiaries.

**In the FES Bankruptcy, the Value of the Collateral Securing the Secured Indebtedness of the Filing Entities May Not Be Sufficient to Ensure Repayment of Such Indebtedness and, the Ability of Holders of Such Indebtedness, Including FE, to Realize Any Such Value May Be Delayed or Otherwise Limited**

The FES Bankruptcy will affect the Filing Entities' respective properties and assets that serve as collateral securing certain of the Filing Entities' secured indebtedness. As a result, the value of the collateral securing such indebtedness or the net proceeds from any sale or liquidation of such collateral, as applicable, may not be sufficient to pay the obligations under such secured indebtedness, including amounts owed to FE under the FES secured credit agreement. If the value of the collateral or the net proceeds of any sale of such collateral, as applicable, are not sufficient to repay all amounts due with respect to such secured indebtedness, the holders of the secured indebtedness would have an unsecured claim for the deficiency in value or proceeds against the applicable obligors alongside all other unsecured creditors of such obligor. None of the Filing Entities can assure holders of their respective secured debt that, if a sale process were to be pursued, the collateral will be saleable or, if saleable, that there will not be substantial delays in its sale due to, among other things, the need for regulatory authorization from the FERC, NRC or other governmental authorities, as applicable.

Additionally, the holders of the secured indebtedness, including FE, may not be able or entitled to receive payment of interest, fees (including attorney's fees), costs or charges related to such secured obligations, and may be required to repay any such amounts received by such holders during the FES Bankruptcy.

**Regardless of the Viability or Success of the Sale of Certain AE Supply Generation Assets, Certain Events May Significantly Increase Cash Flow and Liquidity Risks and Have a Material Adverse Effect on Results of Operations and the Financial Condition of FirstEnergy**

Any developments that negatively impact the viability or success of the sale of the remaining AE Supply generation assets could have adverse consequences, including:

-

- the risk that we may not be able to complete our planned disposition of our competitive generation assets;
- the risk that FirstEnergy could be required to satisfy or otherwise elect to guarantee significant financial obligations related to such sales, which could adversely affect the financial condition and cash flows of FirstEnergy; and
- the risk that AE Supply is unable to adequately address the capacity coverage risk for shutting Pleasants Power Station down early.

Further, as part of AE Supply's recent sale of gas generation assets to a subsidiary of LS Power, FE provided two limited three-year guarantees totaling \$555 million of certain obligations of AE Supply and AGC arising under the purchase agreement. Liabilities incurred under these guarantees could have an adverse impact on the financial condition of FE.

## Risks Related to Business Operations Generally

Temperature Variations as well as Weather Conditions or other Natural Disasters Could Have an Adverse Impact on Our Results of Operations and Financial Condition, and Demand Significantly Below or Above Our Forecasts Could Adversely Affect Our Energy Margins and Have an Adverse Effect on our Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Weather conditions directly influence the demand for electric power. Demand for power generally peaks during the summer and winter months, with market prices also typically peaking at that time. Overall operating results may fluctuate based on weather conditions. In addition, we have historically sold less power, and consequently received less revenue, when weather conditions are milder. Severe weather, such as tornadoes, hurricanes, ice or snowstorms, or droughts or other natural disasters, may cause outages and property damage that may require us to incur additional costs that are generally not insured and that may not be recoverable from customers. The effect of the failure of our facilities to operate as planned under these conditions would be particularly burdensome during a peak demand period and could have an adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

We Are Subject to Financial Performance Risks Related to Regional and General Economic Cycles and also Related to Heavy Industries such as Shale Gas, Automotive and Steel

Our business follows economic cycles. Economic conditions impact the demand for electricity and declines in the demand for electricity will reduce our revenues. The regional economy in which our Utilities operate is influenced by conditions in industries in our business territories, e.g. shale gas, automotive, chemical, steel and other heavy industries, and as these conditions change, our revenues will be impacted.

Certain FirstEnergy Companies May Not Be Able to Meet Their Obligations to or on Behalf of Other FirstEnergy Companies or Their Affiliates, Which Could Have a Material Adverse Effect on the Results of Operations, Financial Condition or Liquidity of One or More FirstEnergy Entities

Certain of the FirstEnergy companies have obligations to other FirstEnergy companies pursuant to transactions involving credit, energy, coal, services and hedging transactions. If one FirstEnergy entity failed to perform under any of these arrangements, other FirstEnergy entities could incur losses. Their results of operations, financial position, or liquidity could be adversely affected, and such non-performance could result in the non-defaulting FirstEnergy entity being unable to meet its obligations to unrelated third parties. Certain FirstEnergy companies also provide guarantees to third-party creditors on behalf of other FirstEnergy affiliate companies under transactions of the types described above, legal settlements or under financing transactions. Any failure to perform under such guarantees by such FirstEnergy guarantor company or under the underlying transaction by the FirstEnergy company on whose behalf the guarantee was issued could have similar adverse impacts on one or both FirstEnergy companies or their affiliates.

We Are Subject to Risks Arising from the Operation of Our Power Plants and Transmission and Distribution Equipment Which Could Reduce Revenues, Increase Expenses and Have a Material Adverse Effect on Our Business, Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Operation of generation, transmission and distribution facilities involves risk, including the risk of potential breakdown or failure of equipment or processes due to aging infrastructure, fuel supply or transportation disruptions, accidents, labor disputes or work stoppages by employees, human error in operations or maintenance, acts of terrorism or sabotage, construction delays or cost overruns, shortages of or delays in obtaining equipment, material and labor, operational restrictions resulting from environmental requirements and governmental interventions, and performance below expected levels. In addition, weather-related incidents and other natural disasters can disrupt generation, transmission and distribution delivery systems. Because our transmission facilities are interconnected with those of third parties, the operation of our facilities could be adversely affected by unexpected or uncontrollable events occurring on the systems of such third parties.

Operation of our power plants below expected capacity could result in lost revenues and increased expenses, including higher operation and maintenance costs, purchased power costs and capital requirements. Unplanned outages of generating units and extensions of scheduled outages due to mechanical failures or other problems occur from time to time and are an inherent risk of our business. Unplanned outages typically increase our operation and maintenance

expenses or may require us to incur significant costs as a result of operating our higher cost units or obtaining replacement power from third parties in the open market to satisfy our sales obligations. Moreover, if we were unable to perform under contractual obligations, including, but not limited to, our coal and coal transportation contracts, penalties or liability for damages could result, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

**Failure to Provide Safe and Reliable Service and Equipment Could Result in Serious Injury or Loss of Life That May Harm Our Business Reputation and Adversely Affect Our Operating Results**

We are obligated to provide safe and reliable service and equipment in our franchised service territories. Meeting this commitment requires the expenditure of significant capital resources. However, our employees, contractors and the general public may be exposed to dangerous environments due to the nature of our operations. Failure to provide safe and reliable service and equipment

due to various factors, including equipment failure, accidents and weather, could result in serious injury or loss of life that may harm our business reputation and adversely affect our operating results through reduced revenues, increased capital and operating costs, litigation or the imposition of penalties/fines or other adverse regulatory outcomes.

#### Our Use of Non-Derivative and Derivative Contracts to Mitigate Risks Could Result in Financial Losses That May Negatively Impact Our Financial Results

We use a variety of non-derivative and derivative instruments, such as swaps, options, futures and forwards, to manage our commodity and financial market risks. In the absence of actively quoted market prices and pricing information from external sources, the valuation of some of these derivative instruments involves management's judgment or use of estimates. As a result, changes in the underlying assumptions or use of alternative valuation methods could affect the reported fair value of some of these contracts. Also, we could recognize financial losses as a result of volatility in the market value of these contracts if a counterparty fails to perform or if there is limited liquidity of these contracts in the market.

#### The Outcome of Litigation, Arbitration, Mediation, and Similar Proceedings Involving Our Business, or That of One or More of Our Operating Subsidiaries, Is Unpredictable and an Adverse Decision in Any Material Proceeding Could Have a Material Adverse Effect on Our Financial Condition and Results of Operations

We are involved in a number of litigation, arbitration, mediation, and similar proceedings. These and other matters may divert financial and management resources that would otherwise be used to benefit our operations. Further, no assurances can be given that the resolution of these matters will be favorable to us. If certain matters were ultimately resolved unfavorably to us, the results of operations and financial condition of FirstEnergy could be materially adversely impacted.

In addition, we are sometimes subject to investigations and inquiries by various state and federal regulators due to the heavily regulated nature of our industry. Any material inquiry or investigation could potentially result in an adverse ruling against us, which could have a material adverse impact on our financial condition and operating results.

#### Capital Market Performance and Other Changes May Decrease the Value of Pension Fund Assets and Other Trust Funds, Which Could Require Significant Additional Funding and Negatively Impact Our Results of Operations and Financial Condition

Our financial statements reflect the values of the assets held in trust to satisfy our obligations to decommission our retired nuclear generating facility and under pension and other postemployment benefit plans. Certain of the assets held in these trusts do not have readily determinable market values. Changes in the estimates and assumptions inherent in the value of these assets could affect the value of the trusts. If the value of the assets held by the trusts declines by a material amount, our funding obligation to the trusts could materially increase. These assets are subject to market fluctuations and will yield uncertain returns, which may fall below our projected return rates. Forecasting investment earnings and costs to decommission FirstEnergy's retired nuclear generating facility, to pay future pension and other obligations, requires significant judgment and actual results may differ significantly from current estimates. Capital market conditions that generate investment losses or that negatively impact the discount rate and increase the present value of liabilities may have significant impacts on the value of the decommissioning, pension and other trust funds, which could require significant additional funding and negatively impact our results of operations and financial position.

#### We Could be Subject to Higher Costs and/or Penalties Related to Mandatory Reliability Standards Set by NERC/FERC or Changes in the Rules of Organized Markets

Owners, operators, and users of the bulk electric system are subject to mandatory reliability standards promulgated by NERC and approved by FERC. The standards are based on the functions that need to be performed to ensure that the bulk electric system operates reliably. NERC, RFC and FERC can be expected to continue to refine existing reliability standards as well as develop and adopt new reliability standards. Compliance with modified or new reliability standards may subject us to higher operating costs and/or increased capital expenditures. If we were found not to be in compliance with the mandatory reliability standards, we could be subject to sanctions, including substantial monetary penalties. FERC has authority to impose penalties up to and including \$1 million per day for failure to comply with these mandatory electric reliability standards.

In addition to direct regulation by FERC, we are also subject to rules and terms of participation imposed and administered by various RTOs and ISOs. Although these entities are themselves ultimately regulated by FERC, they can impose rules, restrictions and terms of service that are quasi-regulatory in nature and can have a material adverse impact on our business. For example, the independent market monitors of ISOs and RTOs may impose bidding and scheduling rules to curb the perceived potential for exercise of market power and to ensure the markets function appropriately. Such actions may materially affect our ability to sell, and the price we receive for, our energy and capacity. In addition, PJM may direct our transmission-owning affiliates to build new transmission facilities to meet PJM's reliability requirements or to provide new or expanded transmission service under the PJM Tariff. We incur fees and costs to participate in RTOs. Administrative costs imposed by RTOs, including the cost of administering energy markets, may increase. To the degree we incur significant additional fees and increased costs to participate in an RTO, and are

limited with respect to recovery of such costs from retail customers, our results of operations and cash flows could be significantly impacted.

We may be allocated a portion of the cost of transmission facilities built by others due to changes in RTO transmission rate design. We may be required to expand our transmission system according to decisions made by an RTO rather than our own internal planning processes. Various proposals and proceedings before FERC may cause transmission rates to change from time to time. In addition, RTOs have been developing rules associated with the allocation and methodology of assigning costs associated with improved transmission reliability, reduced transmission congestion and firm transmission rights that may have a financial impact on us.

As a member of an RTO, we are subject to certain additional risks, including those associated with the allocation among members of losses caused by unreimbursed defaults of other participants in that RTO's market and those associated with complaint cases filed against the RTO that may seek refunds of revenues previously earned by its members.

**We Face Certain Human Resource Risks Associated with Potential Labor Disruptions and/or With the Availability of Trained and Qualified Labor to Meet Our Future Staffing Requirements**

We are continually challenged to find ways to balance the retention of our aging skilled workforce while recruiting new talent to mitigate losses in critical knowledge and skills due to retirements. Additionally, a significant number of our physical workforce are represented by unions. While we believe that our relations with our employees are generally fair, we cannot provide assurances that the company will be completely free of labor disruptions such as work stoppages, work slowdowns, union organizing campaigns, strikes, lockouts or that any labor disruption will be favorably resolved. Mitigating these risks could require additional financial commitments and the failure to prevent labor disruptions and retain and/or attract trained and qualified labor could have an adverse effect on our business.

**Significant Increases in Our Operation and Maintenance Expenses, Including Our Health Care and Pension Costs, Could Adversely Affect Our Future Earnings and Liquidity**

We continually focus on limiting, and reducing where possible, our operation and maintenance expenses. However, we expect to continue to face increased cost pressures related to operation and maintenance expenses, including in the areas of health care and pension costs. We have experienced health care cost inflation in recent years, and we expect our cash outlay for health care costs, including prescription drug coverage, to continue to increase despite measures that we have taken requiring employees and retirees to bear a higher portion of the costs of their health care benefits. The measurement of our expected future health care and pension obligations and costs is highly dependent on a variety of assumptions, many of which relate to factors beyond our control. These assumptions include investment returns, interest rates, discount rates, health care cost trends, benefit design changes, salary increases, the demographics of plan participants and regulatory requirements. While we anticipate that our operation and maintenance expenses will continue to increase, if actual results differ materially from our assumptions, our costs could be significantly higher than expected which could adversely affect our future earnings and liquidity.

**Our Results May be Adversely Affected by the Volatility in Pension and OPEB Expenses**

FirstEnergy recognizes in income the change in the fair value of plan assets and net actuarial gains and losses for its defined Pension and OPEB plans. This adjustment is recognized in the fourth quarter of each year and whenever a plan is determined to qualify for a remeasurement, which could result in greater volatility in pension and OPEB expenses and may materially impact our results of operations.

FirstEnergy recognizes as a pension and OPEB mark-to-market adjustment the change in the fair value of plan assets and net actuarial gains or losses for its pension and OPEB plans in the fourth quarter of each fiscal year and whenever a plan is determined to qualify for a remeasurement.

**Cyber-Attacks, Data Security Breaches and Other Disruptions to Our Information Technology Systems Could Compromise Our Business Operations, Critical and Proprietary Information and Employee and Customer Data, Which Could Have a Material Adverse Effect on Our Business, Financial Condition and Reputation**

In the ordinary course of our business, we depend on information technology systems that utilize sophisticated operational systems and network infrastructure to run all facets of our generation, transmission and distribution services. Additionally, we store sensitive data, intellectual property and proprietary or personally identifiable information regarding our business, employees, shareholders, customers, suppliers, business partners and other



individuals in our data centers and on our networks. The secure maintenance of information and information technology systems is critical to our operations.

Over the last several years, there has been an increase in the frequency of cyber-attacks by terrorists, hackers, international activist organizations, countries and individuals. These and other unauthorized parties may attempt to gain access to our network systems or facilities, or those of third parties with whom we do business in many ways, including directly through our network infrastructure or through fraud, trickery, or other forms of deceiving our employees, contractors and temporary staff. Additionally, our information

and information technology systems may be increasingly vulnerable to data security breaches, damage and/or interruption due to viruses, human error, malfeasance, faulty password management or other malfunctions and disruptions. Further, hardware, software, or applications we develop or procure from third parties may contain defects in design or manufacture or other problems that could unexpectedly compromise information and/or security.

Despite security measures and safeguards we have employed, including certain measures implemented pursuant to mandatory NERC Critical Infrastructure Protection standards, our infrastructure may be increasingly vulnerable to such attacks as a result of the rapidly evolving and increasingly sophisticated means by which attempts to defeat our security measures and gain access to our information technology systems may be made. Also, we may be at an increased risk of a cyber-attack and/or data security breach due to the nature of our business.

Any such cyber-attack, data security breach, damage, interruption and/or defect could: (i) disable our generation, transmission (including our interconnected regional transmission grid) and/or distribution services for a significant period of time; (ii) delay development and construction of new facilities or capital improvement projects; (iii) adversely affect our customer operations; (iv) corrupt data; and/or (v) result in unauthorized access to the information stored in our data centers and on our networks, including, company proprietary information, supplier information, employee data, and personal customer data, causing the information to be publicly disclosed, lost or stolen or result in incidents that could result in economic loss and liability and harmful effects on the environment and human health, including loss of life. Additionally, because our generation, transmission and distribution services are part of an interconnected system, disruption caused by a cybersecurity incident at another utility, electric generator, RTO, or commodity supplier could also adversely affect our operations.

Although we maintain cyber insurance and property and casualty insurance, there can be no assurance that liabilities or losses we may incur, including as a result of cybersecurity-related litigation, will be covered under such policies or that the amount of insurance will be adequate. Further, as cyber threats become more difficult to detect and successfully defend against, there can be no assurance that we can implement adequate preventive measures, accurately assess the likelihood of a cyber-incident or quantify potential liabilities or losses. Also, we may not discover any data security breach and loss of information for a significant period of time after the data security breach occurs.

For all of these reasons, any such cyber incident could result in significant lost revenue, the inability to conduct critical business functions and serve customers for a significant period of time, the use of significant management resources, legal claims or proceedings, regulatory penalties, significant remediation costs, increased regulation, increased capital costs, increased protection costs for enhanced cybersecurity systems or personnel, damage to our reputation and/or the rendering of our internal controls ineffective, all of which could materially adversely affect our business and financial condition.

**Our Risk Management Policies Relating to Energy and Fuel Prices, and Counterparty Credit, Are by Their Very Nature Subject to Uncertainties, and We Could Suffer Economic Losses Resulting in an Adverse Effect on Results of Operations Despite Our Efforts to Manage and Mitigate Our Risks**

We attempt to mitigate the market risk inherent in our energy, fuel and debt positions. Procedures have been implemented to enhance and monitor compliance with our risk management policies, including validation of transaction and market prices, verification of risk and transaction limits, sensitivity analysis and daily portfolio reporting of various risk measurement metrics. Nonetheless, we cannot economically hedge all of our exposure in these areas and our risk management program may not operate as planned. As a result, actual events may lead to greater losses or costs than our risk management positions were intended to hedge.

**We Have Coal-Fired Generation Capacity, Which Exposes Us to Risk from Regulations Relating to Coal, GHGs and CCRs**

Approximately 76% of FirstEnergy's generation fleet capacity is coal-fired, totaling 3,093 MWs at MP and 1,367 MWs at AE Supply. Historically, coal-fired generating plants have greater exposure to the costs of complying with federal, state and local environmental statutes, rules and regulations relating to air emissions, including GHGs and CCR disposal, than other types of electric generation facilities. These legal requirements and any future initiatives could impose substantial additional costs and, in the case of GHG requirements, could raise uncertainty about the future viability of fossil fuels, particularly coal, as an energy source for new and existing electric generation facilities

and could require our coal-fired generation plants to curtail generation or cease to generate. Failure to comply with any such existing or future legal requirements may also result in the assessment of fines and penalties. Significant resources also may be expended to defend against allegations of violations of any such requirements.

**Physical Acts of War, Terrorism or Other Attacks on any of Our Facilities or Other Infrastructure Could Have an Adverse Effect on Our Business, Results of Operations and Financial Condition**

As a result of the continued threat of physical acts of war, terrorism, or other attacks in the United States, our electric generation, fuel storage, transmission and distribution facilities and other infrastructure, including power plants, transformer and high voltage lines and substations, or the facilities or other infrastructure of an interconnected company, could be direct targets of, or indirect casualties of, an act of war, terrorism, or other attack, which could result in disruption of our ability to generate, purchase, transmit or distribute electricity for a significant period of time, otherwise disrupt our customer operations and/or result in incidents that could result in harmful effects on the environment and human health, including loss of life. Any such disruption or incident could result in

a significant decrease in revenue, significant additional capital and operating costs, including costs to implement additional security systems or personnel to purchase electricity and to replace or repair our assets over and above any available insurance reimbursement, higher insurance deductibles, higher premiums and more restrictive insurance policies, legal claims or proceedings, greater regulation with higher attendant costs, generally, and significant damage to our reputation, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

**Capital Improvements and Construction Projects May Not be Completed Within Forecasted Budget, Schedule or Scope Parameters or Could be Canceled Which Could Adversely Affect Our Business and Results of Operations**

Our business plan calls for execution of extensive capital investments in electric generation, transmission and distribution, including but not limited to our Energizing the Future transmission expansion program, which has been extended to include \$4.0 to \$4.8 billion in investments from 2018 through 2021. We may be exposed to the risk of substantial price increases in, or the adequacy or availability of, the costs of labor and materials used in construction, nonperformance of equipment and increased costs due to delays, including delays relating to the procurement of permits or approvals, adverse weather or environmental matters. We engage numerous contractors and enter into a large number of construction agreements to acquire the necessary materials and/or obtain the required construction-related services. As a result, we are also exposed to the risk that these contractors and other counterparties could breach their obligations to us. Such risk could include our contractors' inability to procure sufficient skilled labor as well as potential work stoppages by that labor force. Should the counterparties to these arrangements fail to perform, we may be forced to enter into alternative arrangements at then-current market prices that may exceed our contractual prices, with resulting delays in those and other projects. Although our agreements are designed to mitigate the consequences of a potential default by the counterparty, our actual exposure may be greater than these mitigation provisions. Also, because we enter into construction agreements for the necessary materials and to obtain the required construction related services, any cancellation by FirstEnergy of a construction agreement could result in significant termination payments or penalties. Any delays, increased costs or losses or cancellation of a construction project could adversely affect our business and results of operations, particularly if we are not permitted to recover any such costs in rates.

**Changes in Technology and Regulatory Policies May Make Our Facilities Significantly Less Competitive and Adversely Affect Our Results of Operations**

Traditionally, electricity is generated at large central station generation facilities. This method results in economies of scale and lower unit costs than newer generation technologies such as fuel cells, microturbines, windmills and photovoltaic solar cells. It is possible that advances in newer generation technologies will make newer generation technologies more cost-effective, or that changes in regulatory policy will create benefits that otherwise make these newer generation technologies even more competitive with central station electricity production. To the extent that newer generation technologies are connected directly to load, bypassing the transmission and distribution systems, potential impacts could include decreased transmission and distribution revenues, stranded assets and increased uncertainty in load forecasting and integrated resource planning and could adversely affect our business and results of operations.

**Certain FirstEnergy Companies Have Guaranteed the Performance of Third Parties, Which May Result in Substantial Costs or the Incurrence of Additional Debt**

Certain FirstEnergy companies have issued guarantees of the performance of others, which obligates such FirstEnergy companies to perform in the event that the third parties do not perform. For instance, FE is a guarantor under a syndicated senior secured term loan facility, under which Global Holding's outstanding principal balance is \$255 million. In the event of non-performance by the third parties, FirstEnergy could incur substantial cost to fulfill this obligation and other obligations under such guarantees. Such performance guarantees could have a material adverse impact on our financial position and operating results.

Additionally, with respect to FEV's investment in Global Holding, it could require additional capital from its owners, including FEV, to fund operations and meet its obligations under its term loan facility. These capital requirements could be significant and if other partners do not fund the additional capital, resulting in FEV increasing its equity ownership and obtaining the ability to direct the significant activities of Global Holding, FEV may be required to

consolidate Global Holding, increasing FirstEnergy's long-term debt by \$255 million.

**Energy Companies are Subject to Adverse Publicity Causing Less Favorable Regulatory and Legislative Outcomes Which Could have an Adverse Impact on Our Business**

Energy companies, including FirstEnergy's utility subsidiaries, have been the subject of criticism on matters including the reliability of their distribution services and the speed with which they are able to respond to power outages, such as those caused by storm damage. Adverse publicity of this nature, as well as negative publicity associated with the operation or bankruptcy of nuclear and/or coal-fired facilities or proceedings seeking regulatory recoveries may cause less favorable legislative and regulatory outcomes and damage our reputation, which could have an adverse impact on our business.

## Risks Associated with Regulation

We Have Taken a Series of Actions to Focus on Growing Our Regulated Operations, Particularly Within the Regulated Transmission Segment. Whether This Investment Strategy Will Deliver the Desired Result Is Subject to Certain Risks Which Could Adversely Affect Our Results of Operations and Financial Condition in the Future We focus on capitalizing on investment opportunities available to our regulated operations - particularly within our Regulated Transmission segment - as we focus on delivering enhanced customer service and reliability. The success of these efforts will depend, in part, on successful recovery of our transmission investments. Factors that may affect rate recovery of our transmission investments include: (1) FERC's timely approval of rates to recover such investments; (2) whether the investments are included in PJM's RTEP; (3) FERC's evolving policies with respect to incentive rates for transmission assets; (4) FERC's evolving policies with respect to the calculation of the base ROE component of transmission rates, as articulated in FERC's Opinion No. 531 and related orders; (5) consideration of the objections of those who oppose such investments and their recovery; and (6) timely development, construction, and operation of the new facilities.

The success of these efforts will also depend, in part, on any future distribution rate cases or other filings seeking cost recovery for distribution system enhancements in the states where our Utilities operate and transmission rate filings at FERC. Any denial of, or delay in, the approval of any future distribution or transmission rate requests could restrict us from fully recovering our cost of service, may impose risks on the Regulated Transmission and Regulated Distribution operations, and could have a material adverse effect on our regulatory strategy and results of operations.

Our efforts also could be impacted by our ability to finance the proposed expansion projects while maintaining adequate liquidity. There can be no assurance that our efforts to reflect a more regulated business profile will deliver the desired result which could adversely affect our future results of operations and financial condition.

Any Subsequent Modifications to, Denial of, or Delay in the Effectiveness of the PUCO's Approval of the DMR Could Impose Significant Risks on FirstEnergy's Operations and Materially and Adversely Impact the Credit Ratings, Results of Operations and Financial Condition of FirstEnergy

On October 12, 2016, the PUCO denied the Ohio Companies' modified Rider RRS and, in accordance with the PUCO Staff's recommendation, approved a new DMR providing for the collection of \$132.5 million annually for three years with a possible extension for an additional two years. Rider DMR will be grossed up for federal income taxes, resulting in an approved amount of approximately \$168 million annually in 2018 and 2019. Various parties have appealed the PUCO's denial of subsequent applications for rehearing to the Ohio Supreme Court. Any subsequent modification to, denial of, or delay in the effectiveness of, the PUCO's order approving the DMR could impose risks on our operations and materially and adversely impact the credit ratings, results of operations and financial condition of FirstEnergy.

Complex and Changing Government Regulations, Including Those Associated with Rates and Rate Cases and Restrictions and Prohibitions on Certain Business Dealings Could Have a Negative Impact on Our Business, Financial Condition, Results of Operations and Cash Flows

We are subject to comprehensive regulation by various federal, state and local regulatory agencies that significantly influence our operating environment. Changes in, or reinterpretations of, existing laws or regulations, or the imposition of new laws or regulations, could require us to incur additional costs or change the way we conduct our business, and therefore could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations.

Our transmission and operating utility subsidiaries currently provide service at rates approved by one or more regulatory commissions. Thus, the rates a utility is allowed to charge may be decreased as a result of actions taken by FERC or by a state regulatory commission in which the Utilities operate. Also, these rates may not be set to recover such utility's expenses at any given time. Additionally, there may also be a delay between the timing of when costs are incurred and when costs are recovered. For example, we may be unable to timely recover the costs for our energy efficiency investments or expenses and additional capital or lost revenues resulting from the implementation of aggressive energy efficiency programs. While rate regulation is premised on providing an opportunity to earn a reasonable return on invested capital and recovery of operating expenses, there can be no assurance that the applicable regulatory commission will determine that all of our costs have been prudently incurred or that the regulatory process

in which rates are determined will always result in rates that will produce full recovery of our costs in a timely manner. Further, there can be no assurance that we will retain the expected recovery in future rate cases. In addition, as a U.S. corporation, we are subject to U.S. laws, Executive Orders, and regulations administered and enforced by the U.S. Department of Treasury and the Department of Justice restricting or prohibiting business dealings in or with certain nations and with certain specially designated nationals (individuals and legal entities). If any of our existing or future operations or investments, including our joint venture investment in Signal Peak or our continued procurement of uranium from existing suppliers, are subsequently determined to involve such prohibited parties we could be in violation of certain covenants in our financing documents and unless we cease or modify such dealings, we could also be in violation of such U.S. laws, Executive Orders and

sanctions regulations, each of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

**State Rate Regulation May Delay or Deny Full Recovery of Costs and Impose Risks on Our Operations. Any Denial of or Delay in, Cost Recovery Could Have an Adverse Effect on Our Business, Results of Operations, Cash Flows and Financial Condition**

Each of the Utilities' retail rates are set by its respective regulatory agency for utilities in the state in which it operates - in Maryland by the MDPSC, in Ohio by the PUCO, in New Jersey by the NJBPU, in Pennsylvania by the PPUC, in West Virginia by the WVPSC and in New York by the NYPSC - through traditional, cost-based regulated utility ratemaking. As a result, any of the Utilities may not be permitted to recover its costs and, even if it is able to do so, there may be a significant delay between the time it incurs such costs and the time it is allowed to recover them.

Factors that may affect outcomes in the distribution rate cases include: (i) the value of plant in service; (ii) authorized rate of return; (iii) capital structure (including hypothetical capital structures); (iv) depreciation rates; (v) the allocation of shared costs, including consolidated deferred income taxes and income taxes payable across the FirstEnergy utilities; (vi) regulatory approval of rate recovery mechanisms for capital spending programs (including for example accelerated deployment of smart meters); and (vii) the accuracy of forecasts used for ratemaking purposes in "future test year" cases.

FirstEnergy can provide no assurance that any base rate request filed by any of the Utilities will be granted in whole or in part. Any denial of, or delay in, any base rate request could restrict the applicable Utility from fully recovering its costs of service, may impose risks on its operations, and may negatively impact its results of operations, cash flows and financial condition. In addition, to the extent that any of the Utilities seeks rate increases after an extended period of frozen or capped rates, pressure may be exerted on the applicable legislators and regulators to take steps to control rate increases, including through some form of rate increase moderation, reduction or freeze. Any related public discourse and debate can increase uncertainty associated with the regulatory process, the level of rates and revenues that are ultimately obtained, and the ability of the Utility to recover costs. Such uncertainty may restrict operational flexibility and resources, and reduce liquidity and increase financing costs.

**Federal Rate Regulation May Delay or Deny Full Recovery of Costs and Impose Risks on Our Operations. Any Denial or Reduction of, or Delay in Cost Recovery Could Have an Adverse Effect on Our Business, Results of Operations, Cash Flows and Financial Condition**

FERC policy currently permits recovery of prudently-incurred costs associated with wholesale power rates and the expansion and updating of transmission infrastructure within its jurisdiction. If FERC were to adopt a different policy regarding recovery of transmission costs or if transmission needs do not continue or develop as projected, or if there is any resulting delay in cost recovery, our strategy of investing in transmission could be affected. If FERC were to lower the rate of return it has authorized for FirstEnergy's cost-based wholesale power rates or transmission investments and facilities, it could reduce future earnings and cash flows, and impact our financial condition.

There are multiple matters pending before FERC. There can be no assurance as to the outcome of these proceedings and an adverse result could have an adverse impact on FirstEnergy's results of operations and business conditions.

**The Business Operations of Our Subsidiaries That Sell Wholesale Power Are Subject to Regulation by FERC and Could be Adversely Affected by Such Regulation**

FERC granted the Utilities authority to sell electric energy, capacity and ancillary services at market-based rates. These orders also granted waivers of certain FERC accounting, record-keeping and reporting requirements, as well as, for certain of these subsidiaries, waivers of the requirements to obtain FERC approval for issuances of securities. FERC's orders that grant this market-based rate authority reserve with FERC the right to revoke or revise that authority if FERC subsequently determines that these companies can exercise market power in transmission or generation, or create barriers to entry, or have engaged in prohibited affiliate transactions. In the event that one or more of FirstEnergy's market-based rate authorizations were to be revoked or adversely revised, the affected FirstEnergy subsidiaries may be subject to sanctions and penalties, and would be required to file with FERC for authorization of individual wholesale sales transactions, which could involve costly and possibly lengthy regulatory proceedings and the loss of flexibility afforded by the waivers associated with the current market-based rate authorizations.



**Energy Efficiency and Peak Demand Reduction Mandates and Energy Price Increases Could Negatively Impact Our Financial Results**

A number of regulatory and legislative bodies have introduced requirements and/or incentives to reduce peak demand and energy consumption. Such conservation programs could result in load reduction and adversely impact our financial results in different ways. We currently have energy efficiency riders in place to recover the cost of these programs either at or near a current recovery time frame in the states where we operate.

Currently, only our Ohio Companies recover lost distribution revenues that result between distribution rate cases. In our regulated operations, conservation could negatively impact us depending on the regulatory treatment of the associated impacts. Should we be required to invest in conservation measures that result in reduced sales from effective conservation, regulatory lag in adjusting

rates for the impact of these measures could have a negative financial impact. We have already been adversely impacted by reduced electric usage due in part to energy conservation efforts such as the use of efficient lighting products such as CFLs, halogens and LEDs. We could also be adversely impacted if any future energy price increases result in a decrease in customer usage. We are unable to determine what impact, if any, conservation and increases in energy prices will have on our financial condition or results of operations.

Additionally, failure to meet regulatory or legislative requirements to reduce energy consumption or otherwise increase energy efficiency could result in penalties that could adversely affect our financial results.

**Mandatory Renewable Portfolio Requirements Could Negatively Affect Our Costs and Have an Adverse Effect on Our Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

Where federal or state legislation mandates the use of renewable and alternative fuel sources, such as wind, solar, biomass and geothermal and such legislation does not also provide for adequate cost recovery, it could result in significant changes in our business, including material increases in REC purchase costs, purchased power costs and capital expenditures. Such mandatory renewable portfolio requirements may have an adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

**Changes in Local, State or Federal Tax Laws Applicable to Us or Adverse Audit Results or Tax Rulings, and Any Resulting Increases in Taxes and Fees, May Adversely Affect Our Results of Operations, Financial Condition and Cash Flows**

FirstEnergy is subject to various local, state and federal taxes, including income, franchise, real estate, sales and use and employment-related taxes. We exercise significant judgment in calculating such tax obligations, booking reserves as necessary to reflect potential adverse outcomes regarding tax positions we have taken and utilizing tax benefits, such as carryforwards and credits. Additionally, various tax rate and fee increases may be proposed or considered in connection with such changes in local, state or federal tax law. We cannot predict whether legislation or regulation will be introduced, the form of any legislation or regulation, or whether any such legislation or regulation will be passed by legislatures or regulatory bodies. Any such changes, or any adverse tax audit results or adverse tax rulings on positions taken by FirstEnergy or its subsidiaries could have a negative impact on its results of operations, financial condition and cash flows.

In addition, in December 2017, Congress passed the Tax Act. Various state regulatory proceedings have been initiated to investigate the impact of the Tax Act on the Utilities' rates and charges, and FERC recently took action to address the impact of the Tax Act on FERC-jurisdictional rates, including transmission and electric wholesale rates.

FirstEnergy continues to work with state regulatory commissions to determine appropriate changes to customer rates and, beginning in the first quarter of 2018, began to track and apply regulatory accounting treatment for the expected rate impact of changes in current taxes resulting from the Tax Act. FirstEnergy has also reflected the impact of changes to current taxes in its normal update to FERC-jurisdictional transmission rates and will continue to work with the commission regarding whether and how FERC should address possible changes to transmission and wholesale rates resulting from the Tax Act.

We cannot predict whether, when or to what extent new tax regulations, interpretations or rulings will be issued, nor is the short-term or long-term impact of proposed tax reform clear. Any future reform of U.S. tax laws may be enacted in a manner that negatively impacts our results of operations, financial condition, business operations, earnings and is adverse to FE's shareholders. Furthermore, with respect to the Utilities and our transmission-owning affiliates, FirstEnergy cannot predict what, if any, response state regulatory commissions or FERC may have and the potential response of such authorities regarding the rates and charges of the Utilities and our transmission-owning affiliates.

**The EPA is Conducting NSR Investigations at Generating Plants that We Currently or Formerly Owned, the Results of Which Could Negatively Impact Our Results of Operations and Financial Condition**

We may be subject to risks from changing or conflicting interpretations of existing laws and regulations, including, for example, the applicability of the EPA's NSR programs. Under the CAA, modification of our generation facilities in a manner that results in increased emissions could subject our existing generation facilities to the far more stringent new source standards applicable to new generation facilities.

The EPA has taken the view that many companies, including many energy producers, have been modifying emissions sources in violation of NSR standards during work considered by the companies to be routine maintenance. The EPA

has investigated alleged violations of the NSR standards at certain of our existing and former generating facilities. We intend to vigorously pursue and defend our position, but we are unable to predict their outcomes. If NSR and similar requirements are imposed on our generation facilities, in addition to the possible imposition of fines, compliance could entail significant capital investments in pollution control technology, which could have an adverse impact on our business, results of operations, cash flows and financial condition.

**Costs of Compliance with Environmental Laws are Significant, and the Cost of Compliance with New Environmental Laws, Including Limitations on GHG Emissions, Could Adversely Affect Cash Flow and Profitability**

Our operations are subject to extensive federal, state and local environmental statutes, rules and regulations.

Compliance with these legal requirements requires us to incur costs for, among other things, installation and operation of pollution control equipment, emissions monitoring and fees, remediation and permitting at our facilities. These expenditures have been significant in the past and may increase in the future. We may be forced to shut down other facilities or change their operating status, either temporarily or permanently, if we are unable to comply with these or other existing or new environmental requirements, or if the expenditures required to comply with such requirements are unreasonable.

Moreover, new environmental laws or regulations including, but not limited to CWA effluent limitations imposing more stringent water discharge regulations, or changes to existing environmental laws or regulations may materially increase our costs of compliance or accelerate the timing of capital expenditures. Our compliance strategy, including but not limited to, our assumptions regarding estimated compliance costs, although reasonably based on available information, may not successfully address future relevant standards and interpretations. If we fail to comply with environmental laws and regulations or new interpretations of longstanding requirements, even if caused by factors beyond our control, that failure could result in the assessment of civil or criminal liability and fines. In addition, any alleged violation of environmental laws and regulations may require us to expend significant resources to defend against any such alleged violations.

At the international level, the Obama Administration submitted in March 2015, a formal pledge for the U.S. to reduce its economy-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 26 to 28 percent below 2005 levels by 2025 and in September 2016, joined in adopting the agreement reached on December 12, 2015 at the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change meetings in Paris. However, on June 1, 2017, the Trump Administration announced that the U.S. would cease all participation in the 2015 Paris Agreement. Due to the uncertainty of control technologies available to reduce GHG emissions, any other legal obligation that requires substantial reductions of GHG emissions could result in substantial additional costs, adversely affecting cash flow and profitability, and raise uncertainty about the future viability of fossil fuels, particularly coal, as an energy source for new and existing electric generation facilities.

**We Could be Exposed to Private Rights of Action Relating to Environmental Matters Seeking Damages Under Various State and Federal Law Theories Which Could Have an Adverse Impact on Our Results of Operations, Financial Condition and Business Operations**

Private individuals may seek to enforce environmental laws and regulations against us and could allege personal injury, property damages or other relief. For example, claims have been made against certain energy companies alleging that CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from power generating facilities constitute a public nuisance under federal and/or state common law. While FirstEnergy is not a party to this litigation, it, and/or one of its subsidiaries, could be named in other actions making similar allegations. An unfavorable ruling in any such case could result in the need to make modifications to our coal-fired plants or reduce emissions, suspend operations or pay money damages or penalties. Adverse rulings in these or other types of actions could have an adverse impact on our results of operations and financial condition and could significantly impact our business operations.

**We Are or May Be Subject to Environmental Liabilities, Including Costs of Remediation of Environmental Contamination at Current or Formerly Owned Facilities, Which Could Have a Material Adverse effect on Our Results of Operations and Financial Condition**

We may be subject to liability under environmental laws for the costs of remediating environmental contamination of property now or formerly owned or operated by us and of property contaminated by hazardous substances that we may have generated regardless of whether the liabilities arose before, during or after the time we owned or operated the facilities. We are currently involved in a number of proceedings relating to sites where hazardous substances have been released and we may be subject to additional proceedings in the future. We also have current or previous ownership interests in sites associated with the production of gas and the production and delivery of electricity for which we may be liable for additional costs related to investigation, remediation and monitoring of these sites. Remediation activities associated with our former MGP operations are one source of such costs. Citizen groups or others may bring litigation over environmental issues including claims of various types, such as property damage,

personal injury, and citizen challenges to compliance decisions on the enforcement of environmental requirements, such as opacity and other air quality standards, which could subject us to penalties, injunctive relief and the cost of litigation. We cannot predict the amount and timing of all future expenditures (including the potential or magnitude of fines or penalties) related to such environmental matters, although we expect that they could be material.

In some cases, a third party who has acquired assets from us has assumed the liability we may otherwise have for environmental matters related to the transferred property. If the transferee fails to discharge the assumed liability or disputes its responsibility, a regulatory authority or injured person could attempt to hold us responsible, and our remedies against the transferee may be limited by the financial resources of the transferee.

#### We Are and May Become Subject to Legal Claims Arising from the Presence of Asbestos or Other Regulated Substances at Some of Our Facilities

We have been named as a defendant in pending asbestos litigations involving multiple plaintiffs and multiple defendants, in several states. The majority of these claims arise out of alleged past exposures by contractors (and in Pennsylvania, former employees) at both currently and formerly owned electric generation plants. In addition, asbestos and other regulated substances are, and may continue to be, present at currently owned facilities where suitable alternative materials are not available. We believe that any remaining asbestos at our facilities is contained and properly identified in accordance with applicable governmental regulations, including OSHA. The continued presence of asbestos and other regulated substances at these facilities, however, could result in additional actions being brought against us. This is further complicated by the fact that many diseases, such as mesothelioma and cancer, have long latency periods in which the disease process develops, thus making it impossible to accurately predict the types and numbers of such claims in the near future. While insurance coverages exist for many of these pending asbestos litigations, others have no such coverages, resulting in FirstEnergy being responsible for all defense expenditures, as well as any settlements or verdict payouts.

#### The Risks Associated with Climate Change May Have an Adverse Impact on Our Business Operations, Operating Results and Cash Flows

Physical risks of climate change, such as more frequent or more extreme weather events, changes in temperature and precipitation patterns, and other related phenomena, could affect some, or all, of our operations. Severe weather or other natural disasters could be destructive, which could result in increased costs, including supply chain costs. An extreme weather event within the Utilities' service areas can also directly affect their capital assets, causing disruption in service to customers due to downed wires and poles or damage to other operating equipment. Further, as extreme weather conditions increase system stress, we may incur costs relating to additional system backup or service interruptions, and in some instances, we may be unable to recover such costs. For all of these reasons, these physical risks could have an adverse financial impact on our business operations, operating results and cash flows. Climate change poses other financial risks as well. To the extent weather conditions are affected by climate change, customers' energy use could increase or decrease depending on the duration and magnitude of the changes. Increased energy use due to weather changes may require us to invest in additional system assets and purchase additional power. Additionally, decreased energy use due to weather changes may affect our financial condition through decreased rates, revenues, margins or earnings.

#### Future Changes in Accounting Standards May Affect Our Reported Financial Results

The SEC, FASB or other authoritative bodies or governmental entities may issue new pronouncements or new interpretations of existing accounting standards that may require us to change our accounting policies. These changes are beyond our control, can be difficult to predict and could materially impact how we report our financial condition and results of operations. We could be required to apply a new or revised standard retroactively, which could adversely affect our financial position.

#### Risks Associated with Financing and Capital Structure

#### In the Event of Volatility or Unfavorable Conditions in the Capital and Credit Markets, Our Business, Including the Immediate Availability and Cost of Short-Term Funds for Liquidity Requirements, Our Ability to Meet Long-Term Commitments and the Competitiveness and Liquidity of Energy Markets May be Adversely Affected, Which Could Negatively Impact Our Results of Operations, Cash Flows and Financial Condition

We rely on the capital markets to meet our financial commitments and short-term liquidity needs if internal funds are not available from our operations. We also use letters of credit provided by various financial institutions to support our hedging operations. We also deposit cash in short-term investments. In the event of volatility in the capital and credit markets, our ability to draw on our credit facilities and cash may be adversely affected. Our access to funds under those credit facilities is dependent on the ability of the financial institutions that are parties to the facilities to meet their funding commitments. Those institutions may not be able to meet their funding commitments if they experience shortages of capital and liquidity or if they experience excessive volumes of borrowing requests within a short period of time. Any delay in our ability to access those funds, even for a short period of time, could have a material adverse

effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

Should there be fluctuations in the capital and credit markets as a result of uncertainty, changing or increased regulation, reduced alternatives or failures of significant foreign or domestic financial institutions or foreign governments, our access to liquidity needed for our business could be adversely affected. Unfavorable conditions could require us to take measures to conserve cash until the markets stabilize or until alternative credit arrangements or other funding for our business needs can be arranged. Such measures could include deferring capital expenditures, changing hedging strategies to reduce collateral-posting requirements, and reducing or eliminating future dividend payments or other discretionary uses of cash.

Energy markets depend heavily on active participation by multiple counterparties, which could be adversely affected should there be disruptions in the capital and credit markets. Reduced capital and liquidity and failures of significant institutions that participate in the energy markets could diminish the liquidity and competitiveness of energy markets that are important to our business.

Perceived weaknesses in the competitive strength of the energy markets could lead to pressures for greater regulation of those markets or attempts to replace those market structures with other mechanisms for the sale of power, including the requirement of long-term contracts, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and cash flows.

#### Interest Rates and/or a Credit Rating Downgrade Could Negatively Affect Our or Our Subsidiaries' Financing Costs, Ability to Access Capital and Requirement to Post Collateral

We have near-term exposure to interest rates from outstanding indebtedness indexed to variable interest rates, and we have exposure to future interest rates to the extent we seek to raise debt in the capital markets to meet maturing debt obligations and fund construction or other investment opportunities. Past disruptions in capital and credit markets have resulted in higher interest rates on new publicly issued debt securities, increased costs for certain of our variable interest rate debt securities and failed remarketing of variable interest rate tax-exempt debt issued to finance certain of our facilities. Similar future disruptions could increase our financing costs and adversely affect our results of operations. Also, interest rates could change as a result of economic or other events that are beyond our risk management processes. As a result, we cannot always predict the impact that our risk management decisions may have on us if actual events lead to greater losses or costs that our risk management positions were intended to hedge. Although we employ risk management techniques to hedge against interest rate volatility, significant and sustained increases in market interest rates could materially increase our financing costs and negatively impact our reported results of operations.

We rely on access to bank and capital markets as sources of liquidity for cash requirements not satisfied by cash from operations. A downgrade in our or our subsidiaries' credit ratings from the nationally recognized credit rating agencies, particularly to a level below investment grade, could negatively affect our ability to access the bank and capital markets, especially in a time of uncertainty in either of those markets, and may require us to post cash collateral to support outstanding commodity positions in the wholesale market, as well as available letters of credit and other guarantees. Furthermore, a downgrade could increase the cost of such capital by causing us to incur higher interest rates and fees associated with such capital. A rating downgrade would increase our interest expense on certain of FirstEnergy's long-term debt obligations and would also increase the fees we pay on our various existing credit facilities, thus increasing the cost of our working capital. A rating downgrade could also impact our ability to grow our regulated businesses by substantially increasing the cost of, or limiting access to, capital.

#### Any Default by Customers or Other Counterparties Could Have a Material Adverse Effect on Our results of Operations and Financial Condition

We are exposed to the risk that counterparties that owe us money, power, fuel or other commodities could breach their obligations. Should the counterparties to these arrangements fail to perform, we may be forced to enter into alternative arrangements at then-current market prices that may exceed our contractual prices, which would cause our financial results to be diminished and we might incur losses. Some of our agreements contain provisions that require the counterparties to provide credit support to secure all or part of their obligations to FirstEnergy or its subsidiaries. If the counterparties to these arrangements fail to perform, we may have a right to receive the proceeds from the credit support provided, however the credit support may not always be adequate to cover the related obligations. In such event, we may incur losses in addition to amounts, if any, already paid to the counterparties, including by being forced to enter into alternative arrangements at then-current market prices that may exceed our contractual prices. Although our estimates take into account the expected probability of default by a counterparty, our actual exposure to a default by customers or other counterparties may be greater than the estimates predict, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

#### We Must Rely on Cash from Our Subsidiaries and Any Restrictions on Our Utility Subsidiaries' Ability to Pay Dividends or Make Cash Payments to Us May Adversely Affect Our Cash Flows and Financial Condition

We are a holding company and our investments in our subsidiaries are our primary assets. Substantially all of our business is conducted by our subsidiaries. Consequently, our cash flow, including our ability to pay dividends and service debt, is dependent on the operating cash flows of our subsidiaries and their ability to upstream cash to the holding company. Any inability of our subsidiaries to pay dividends or make cash payments to us may adversely affect our cash flows and financial condition.



Additionally, our utility and transmission subsidiaries are regulated by various state utility and federal commissions that generally possess broad powers to ensure that the needs of utility customers are being met. Those state and federal commissions could attempt to impose restrictions on the ability of our utility and transmission subsidiaries to pay dividends or otherwise restrict cash payments to us.

Our Mandatorily Convertible Preferred Stock Will be Converted into Common Stock, at the Latest, in Two Years from the Date of Issuance and the Holders Thereof Have Registration Rights. Upon Conversion of the Preferred Shares, the Number of Common Shares Eligible for Future Resale in the Public Market Will Increase and May Result in Dilution to Common Shareholders. This May Have an Adverse Effect on the Market Price of Common Stock. On January 22, 2018, FE issued \$2.5 billion of equity, which included \$1.62 billion of mandatorily convertible preferred equity with an initial conversion price of \$27.42 per share and \$850 million of common equity issued at \$28.22 per share. The issuance of

common equity created some dilution to existing common holders. The new preferred shares contain an optional conversion for holders beginning in July 2018, and will mandatorily convert in 18 months from issuance, subject to limited exceptions.

Upon the conversion of the mandatorily convertible preferred stock additional shares of our common stock will be issued, which results in dilution to our stockholders, and will increase the number of shares eligible for resale in the public market. Sales of substantial numbers of such shares in the public market could adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

**We Cannot Assure Common and Preferred Shareholders that Future Dividend Payments Will be Made, or if Made, in What Amounts They May be Paid**

Our Board of Directors will continue to regularly evaluate our common stock dividend and determine an appropriate dividend each quarter taking into account such factors as, among other things, our earnings, financial condition and cash flows from subsidiaries, as well as general economic and competitive conditions. We cannot assure common or preferred shareholders that dividends will be paid in the future, or that, if paid, dividends will be at the same amount or with the same frequency as in the past. Further, the terms of the outstanding preferred stock require that preferred shareholders receive dividends alongside the common shareholders on an as-converted, pro rata basis.

**The Recognition of Impairments of Goodwill and Long-Lived Assets Has Adversely Affected Our Results of Operations and Additional Impairments Could Have a Material Adverse Effect on FirstEnergy's Business, Financial Condition, Results of Operations, Liquidity and the Trading Price of FirstEnergy's Securities**

We have approximately \$5.6 billion of goodwill on our consolidated balance sheet as of March 31, 2018. Goodwill is tested for impairment annually as of July 31 or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate impairment may have occurred. Key assumptions incorporated in the estimated cash flows used for the impairment analysis requiring significant management judgment include: discount rates, growth rates, future energy and capacity pricing, projected operating income, changes in working capital, projected capital expenditures, projected funding of pension plans, expected results of future rate proceedings, the impact of pending carbon and other environmental legislation and terminal multiples.

We are unable to predict whether further impairments of one or more of our long-lived assets or investments may occur in the future. The actual timing and amounts of any impairments to goodwill, or long-lived assets in the future depends on many factors, including the outcome of the strategic review, interest rates, sector market performance, our capital structure, natural gas or other commodity prices, market prices for power, results of future rate proceedings, operating and capital expenditure requirements, the value of comparable acquisitions, environmental regulations and other factors. A determination that goodwill, a long-lived asset, or other investments are impaired would result in a non-cash charge that could materially adversely affect our results of operations and capitalization.

**ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS**

(c)

The table below sets forth information on a monthly basis regarding FirstEnergy's purchases of its common stock during the first quarter of 2018:

| Period | Total<br>Number of<br>Shares<br>Purchased <sup>(1)</sup> | Average Total<br>Price<br>Paid per<br>Share | Total<br>Number of<br>Shares<br>Purchased<br>As Part of<br>Publicly<br>Announced<br>Plans or<br>Programs <sup>(2)</sup> | Maximum<br>Number (or<br>Approximate<br>Dollar Value)<br>of Shares that<br>May Yet Be<br>Purchased<br>Under the<br>Plans or |
|--------|----------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|--------|----------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

Programs<sup>(2)</sup>

|                       |       |          |   |   |
|-----------------------|-------|----------|---|---|
| January 1-31, 2018    | —     | —        | — | — |
| February 1-28, 2018   | 1,118 | \$ 33.01 | — | — |
| March 1-31, 2018      | —     | —        | — | — |
| First Quarter of 2018 | 1,118 | \$ 33.01 | — | — |

Share amounts reflect shares that were surrendered to FirstEnergy by a participant under our 2015 Incentive Compensation Plan to satisfy tax withholding obligations relating to the vesting of a restricted stock award. The total number of shares repurchased represents the net shares surrendered to FirstEnergy to satisfy tax withholding. All such repurchased shares are now held as treasury shares.

(2) FirstEnergy does not currently have any publicly announced plan or program for share purchases.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

The FES Bankruptcy constituted an event of default, or otherwise triggered repayment obligations, under the terms of the following debt instruments of the following entities (collectively, the “Debt Documents”):

FG:

- Air Quality Facilities Loan Agreement, dated as of December 1, 2006, between the Ohio Air Quality Development Authority (“OAQDA”) and FG, relating to \$234.5 million of pollution control refunding bonds (“PCRBs”) due 2023;
- Exempt Facilities Loan Agreement, dated as of November 1, 2006, between the Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority (“PEDFA”) and FG, relating to \$26 million of PCRBs due 2041;
- Exempt Facilities Loan Agreement, dated as of December 1, 2005, between PEDFA and FG, relating to \$43 million of PCRBs due 2040;
- Exempt Facilities Loan Agreement, dated as of July 1, 2002, as amended, between PEDFA and FG, relating to \$15 million of PCRBs due 2028 and certain related first mortgage bonds (“FMBs”) issued and outstanding under the FG Mortgage (as defined below);
- Pollution Control Facilities Loan Agreement, dated as of November 1, 2008, as amended, between the Beaver County Industrial Development Authority (“BCIDA”) and FG, relating to \$25 million of PCRBs due 2028 and certain related FMBs issued and outstanding under the FG Mortgage;
- Waste Water Facilities Loan Agreement, dated as of April 1, 2006, between the Ohio Water Development Authority (“OWDA”) and FG, relating to \$90.1 million of PCRBs due 2019;
- Pollution Control Facilities Loan Agreement, dated as of September 1, 2008, between BCIDA and FG, relating to \$46.3 million of PCRBs due 2047 and certain related FMBs issued and outstanding under the FG Mortgage;
- Pollution Control Facilities Loan Agreement, dated as of April 1, 2006 between BCIDA and FG, relating to \$56.6 million of PCRBs due 2041;
- Air Quality Facilities Loan Agreement, dated as of August 1, 2009, between OAQDA and FG, relating to \$177 million of PCRBs due 2020;
- Air Quality Facilities Loan Agreement, dated as of March 1, 2009, between OAQDA and FG, relating to \$50 million of PCRBs due 2023;
- Air Quality Facilities Loan Agreement, dated as of June 1, 2009, between OAQDA and FG, relating to \$100 million of PCRBs due 2029 and certain related FMBs issued and outstanding under the FG Mortgage;
- Air Quality Facilities Loan Agreement dated as of June 1, 2009, between OAQDA and FG, relating to \$141.3 million of PCRBs due 2018 and certain related FMBs issued and outstanding under the FG Mortgage;
- Guaranty, dated as of March 26, 2007, of FG relating to indebtedness of FirstEnergy Solutions Corp.; and
- Open-End Mortgage, General Mortgage Indenture and Deed of Trust, dated as of June 19, 2008, as amended and supplemented (the “FG Mortgage”), by and between FG and UMB Bank, National Association, as successor trustee under which FG’s FMBs are issued and outstanding, including but not limited to \$250 million of FMBs supporting the Parent Credit Facility (as defined below).

NG:

- Pollution Control Facilities Loan Agreement, dated as of December 1, 2005, between BCIDA and NG, relating to \$72.7 million of PCRBs due 2035;
- Air Quality Facilities Loan Agreement, dated as of December 1, 2005, between OAQDA and NG, relating to \$7.2 million of PCRBs due 2034;
- Air Quality Facilities Loan Agreement, dated as of November 1, 2008, between OAQDA and NG, relating to \$23 million of PCRBs due 2032;
- Air Quality Facilities Loan Agreement, dated as of September 15, 2010, between OAQDA and NG, relating to \$8 million of PCRBs due 2033;
-

- Waste Water Facilities and Solid Waste Facilities Loan Agreement, dated as of December 1, 2005, between OWDA and NG, relating to \$82.8 million of PCRBs due 2034;
- Waste Water Facilities and Solid Waste Facilities Loan Agreement, dated as of November 1, 2008, between OWDA and NG, relating to \$33 million of PCRBs due 2032;
- Waste Water Facilities and Solid Waste Facilities Loan Agreement, dated as of September 15, 2010, between OWDA and NG, relating to \$99.1 million of PCRBs due 2033;
- Waste Water Facilities and Solid Waste Facilities Loan Agreement, dated as of June 1, 2009, between OWDA and NG, relating to \$107.5 million of PCRBs due 2033 and certain related FMBs issued and outstanding under the NG Mortgage (as defined below);
- Pollution Control Facilities Loan Agreement, dated as of December 1, 2006, between BCIDA and NG, relating to \$164 million of PCRBs due 2035;

• Air Quality Facilities Loan Agreement, dated as of December 1, 2006, between OAQDA and NG, relating to \$15.5 million of PCRBs due 2033;

• Air Quality Facilities Loan Agreement, dated as of November 15, 2010, between OAQDA and NG, relating to \$26 million of PCRBs due 2033;

• Waste Water Facilities and Solid Waste Facilities Loan Agreement, dated as of December 1, 2006, between OWDA and NG, relating to \$135.6 million of PCRBs due 2033;

• Waste Water Facilities and Solid Waste Facilities Loan Agreement, dated as of September 1, 2008, between OWDA and NG, relating to \$20.5 million of PCRBs due 2033;

• Waste Water Facilities and Solid Waste Facilities Loan Agreement, dated as of November 15, 2010, between OWDA and NG, relating to \$46.5 million of PCRBs due 2033;

• Waste Water Facilities and Solid Waste Facilities Loan Agreement, dated as of November 15, 2010, between OWDA and NG, relating to \$54.6 million of PCRBs due 2033 and certain related FMBs issued and outstanding under the NG Mortgage;

• Air Quality Facilities Loan Agreement, dated as of September 1, 2008, between OAQDA and NG, relating to \$9.1 million of PCRBs due 2033;

• Air Quality Facilities Loan Agreement, dated as of April 1, 2009, between the Company and the OAQDA and NG (as amended or supplemented from time to time) relating to \$62.5 million of PCRBs due 2033 and certain related FMBs issued and outstanding under the NG Mortgage;

• Pollution Control Facilities Loan Agreement, dated as of April 1, 2006, as amended, between BCIDA and NG, relating to \$60 million of PCRBs due 2035 and certain related FMBs issued and outstanding under the NG Mortgage;

• Pollution Control Facilities Loan Agreement, dated as of June 1, 2008, as amended, between BCIDA and NG, relating to \$98.9 million of PCRBs due 2035;

• Guaranty, dated as of March 26, 2007, of NG relating to indebtedness of FirstEnergy Solutions Corp.; and

• Open-End Mortgage, General Mortgage Indenture and Deed of Trust, dated as of June 1, 2009, as amended and supplemented (the “NG Mortgage”), by and between NG and UMB Bank, National Association, as successor trustee under which NG’s FMBs are issued and outstanding, including but not limited to \$450 million of FMBs supporting the Parent Credit Facility;

FirstEnergy Solutions Corp.:

• Indenture, dated as of August 1, 2009, and the First Supplemental Indenture thereto, dated as of August 1, 2009, between FirstEnergy Solutions Corp. and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as trustee, under which \$332 million and \$363 million, respectively, of FirstEnergy Solutions Corp. 6.05% senior notes due 2021 and 6.80% senior notes due 2039 are issued and outstanding;

• U.S. \$700 million Credit Agreement, dated as of December 6, 2016, among FirstEnergy Solutions Corp., as borrower, FG and NG, as guarantors, and FE, as lender under which \$700 million is currently utilized (the “Parent Credit Facility”);

• Revolving Credit Note, dated June 29, 2016, issued by FirstEnergy Solutions Corp. to Allegheny Energy Supply Company, LLC, under which \$102 million is currently outstanding;

• Guaranty, dated as of March 26, 2007, of FirstEnergy Solutions Corp. relating to indebtedness of FG;

• Guaranty, dated as of March 26, 2007, of FirstEnergy Solutions Corp. relating to indebtedness of NG; and

• Guaranty, dated as of July 1, 2007, of FirstEnergy Solutions Corp. relating to the FG Leases.

As a result of such an event of default or triggering event, all obligations under the Debt Documents, by the terms of the Debt Documents, have become due and payable, subject to the provisions of Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code. The aggregate indebtedness subject to events of default under the Debt Documents is approximately \$3.6 billion. FirstEnergy believes that any efforts to enforce such payment obligations against the above entities are stayed as a result of the filing of the FES Bankruptcy, and the creditors’ rights of enforcement are subject to the applicable provisions of Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

On March 28, 2018, FES amended and restated its outstanding 2018 Annual Incentive Program, in which FirstEnergy Solutions Corp. President Donald Schneider, who is deemed a named executive officer, participates to distinguish the impact of a voluntary resignation as opposed to death or retirement, clarify the authority of the FES Board of Directors with respect to the program and awards thereunder, and to clarify the portion of awards that correlate to FES' prior short term incentive program. The foregoing description does not purport to be complete and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of the Amended and Restated FES 2018 Annual Incentive Plan, a copy of which is included as Exhibit 10.14 and incorporated by reference herein.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

| Exhibit Number | Description                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
|----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 4              | <u>Amendment to the Amended Articles of Incorporation of FirstEnergy Corp., effective January 22, 2018 (incorporated by reference to FE's Form 8-K filed January 22, 2018, Exhibit 3.1, File No. 333-21011).</u>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
| 10.1           | <u>Preferred Stock Purchase Agreement, dated January 22, 2018, among FirstEnergy Corp. and the Preferred Investors (incorporated by reference to FE's Form 8-K filed January 22, 2018, Exhibit 10.1, File No. 333-21011).</u>                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
| 10.2           | <u>Common Stock Purchase Agreement, dated January 22, 2018, among FirstEnergy Corp. and ZP Master Utility Fund, Ltd., P Zimmer, Ltd., ZP Energy Fund, L.P. and ZP Master Energy Fund, L.P. (incorporated by reference to FE's Form 8-K filed January 22, 2018, Exhibit 10.2, File No. 333-21011).</u>                                                                                                                                                    |
| (A) 10.3       | <u>FirstEnergy Solutions Corp. 2016 Key Employee Retention Plan, effective December 1, 2016.</u>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
| (B)            |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| (A) 10.4       | <u>Amendment to FirstEnergy Solutions Corp. 2016 Key Employee Retention Plan, effective March 23, 2017.</u>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| (B)            |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| (A) 10.5       | <u>Second Amendment to FirstEnergy Solutions Corp. 2016 Key Employee Retention Plan, effective December 1, 2017.</u>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
| (B)            |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| (A) 10.6       | <u>Form of FirstEnergy Solutions Corp. Retention Agreement.</u>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| (B)            |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| (A) 10.7       | <u>FirstEnergy Nuclear Operating Company 2016 Key Employee Retention Plan, effective December 1, 2016.</u>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
| (B)            |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| (A) 10.8       | <u>Amendment to FirstEnergy Nuclear Operating Company 2016 Key Employee Retention Plan, effective March 23, 2017.</u>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
| (B)            |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| (A) 10.9       | <u>Second Amendment to FirstEnergy Nuclear Operating Company 2016 Key Employee Retention Plan, effective December 1, 2017.</u>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
| (B)            |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| (A) 10.10      | <u>Form of FirstEnergy Nuclear Operating Company Retention Agreement.</u>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
| (B)            |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| (A) 10.11      | <u>FirstEnergy Nuclear Operating Company Replacement 2017 Long-Term Incentive Program (LTIP), effective March 6, 2017.</u>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
| (B)            |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| (A) 10.12      | <u>Form of 2018-2019 Stock-Based Performance-Adjusted Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement.</u>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
| (B)            |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| (A) 10.13      | <u>FirstEnergy Solutions Corp. 2018 Annual Incentive Program, effective February 19, 2018.</u>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
| (B)            |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| (A) 10.14      | <u>FirstEnergy Solutions Corp. Amended and Restated 2018 Annual Incentive Program (AIP), effective March 28, 2018.</u>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
| (B)            |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| (A) 12         | <u>Consolidated ratios of earnings to fixed charges.</u>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| (A) 31.1       | <u>Certification of chief executive officer, as adopted pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a).</u>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| (A) 31.2       | <u>Certification of chief financial officer, as adopted pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a).</u>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| (A) 32         | <u>Certification of chief executive officer and chief financial officer, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.</u>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
| 101            | The following materials from the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of FirstEnergy Corp. for the period ended March 31, 2018, formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language): (i) Consolidated Statements of Income and Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income, (ii) Consolidated Balance Sheets, (iii) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, (iv) related notes to these financial statements and (v) document and entity information. |

(A) Provided herein in electronic format as an exhibit.

(B) Management contract or compensatory plan contract or arrangement filed pursuant to Item 601 of Regulation S-K



Pursuant to paragraph (b)(4)(iii)(A) of Item 601 of Regulation S-K, except as set forth above FirstEnergy has not filed as an exhibit to this Form 10-Q any instrument with respect to long-term debt if the respective total amount of securities authorized thereunder does not exceed 10% of its respective total assets, but hereby agrees to furnish to the SEC on request any such documents.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

April 23, 2018

FIRSTENERGY CORP.

Registrant

/s/ Jason J. Lisowski

Jason J. Lisowski

Vice President, Controller

and Chief Accounting Officer